


GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY

1995 Carling Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario

Report: 20-060-PLW



April 9, 2020

PREPARED FOR
Claridge Homes
210 Gladstone Avenue, Suite 2001
Ottawa, ON K2P 0Y6

PREPARED BY
Sacha Ruzzante, MAsc, Junior Wind Scientist
Justin Ferraro, P.Eng., Principal

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study to satisfy the requirements for a Site Plan Control Application (SPA) submission for a proposed development located at 1995 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as “subject site”). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify any areas where wind conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, as required.

The study involves simulation of wind speeds for selected wind directions in a three-dimensional (3D) computer model using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique, combined with meteorological data integration, to assess pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site according to City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria. The results and recommendations derived from these considerations are detailed in the main body of the report (Section 5), illustrated in Figures 3A-3D, and summarized as follows:

- 1) Wind conditions within the grade-level amenity areas will be mostly suitable for sitting during the summer season, although conditions near the western extent of the northwest and southwest amenity areas, and near the southeast corner of the southeast amenity area, will be suitable for standing. As such, we recommend locating sitting or lounging areas closer to the building.
- 2) Conditions around the subject site at grade level, including along sidewalks and walkways, at all building entrances, and at the bus stop adjacent to 2001 Carling Avenue, will be acceptable for their intended uses throughout the year.
- 3) Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas surrounding the subject site at grade level or within the common amenity terraces were found to experience conditions that could be considered uncomfortable or dangerous.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 1

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE 1

3. OBJECTIVES 2

4. METHODOLOGY..... 2

4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling 3

4.2 Wind Speed Measurements 3

4.3 Meteorological Data Analysis..... 3

4.4 Pedestrian Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa 6

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 8

5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions – Grade Level..... 8

5.2 Wind Comfort Conditions – Surrounding Area..... 10

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS..... 10

FIGURES

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Simulation of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer



1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by Claridge Homes to undertake a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study to satisfy the requirements for a Site Plan Control Application (SPA) submission for a proposed development located at 1995 Carling Avenue in Ottawa, Ontario (hereinafter referred to as “subject site”). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify any areas where wind conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, as required.

Our work is based on industry standard computer simulations using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique and data analysis procedures, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, architectural drawings provided by EVOQ Architecture. In March 2020, surrounding street layouts and existing and approved future building massing information obtained from the City of Ottawa, as well as recent satellite imagery via Google Earth Pro.


2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The subject site is situated on a parcel of land bordered by Carling Avenue to the south, Bromley Road to the east, and existing developments to the west and north.

The proposed development comprises a 27-storey (plus mechanical penthouse) tower, with an irregular planform at grade. At the second level, the floorplate steps out at the northeast corner, creating an overhang over the garage entry, and steps back at the north of the west elevation, creating an outdoor terrace. At the 5th level the floorplate steps back from the north and west elevation, creating private roof terraces. Above the 5th floor, the floorplan remains consistent to the 26th floor. At the 27th floor there is an angular setback from the east elevation, creating a private terrace at the east elevation.

At grade, the floorplan includes lobby, meeting room, and amenity space. Outdoor amenity spaces are located to the west and south of the building. Levels 2 and above comprise residential units, as well as lockers at Levels 2, 3 and 4.

The main pedestrian entrance is located near the centre of the south elevation, fronting Carling Avenue, while secondary entrances are located at the centre of the north elevation and at the northeast corner of



the building, and the amenity entrance is located at the northwest corner of the building. A nearby bus stop is located on Carling Avenue, in front of the existing building at 2001 Carling Avenue (Bromley Square).

The near-field surroundings (defined as an area within a radius of 200 metres (m) of the subject site) comprise a 25-storey building to the immediate west (Bromley Square) as well as several mid-rise buildings to the west, and low-rise residential buildings in all other directions. The far-field surroundings (defined as an area beyond the near-field but within a 5 kilometer (km) radius of the subject site) contribute primarily suburban wind exposures from all wind directions with isolated taller buildings to the west, north and northeast, although the Ottawa Experimental Farm to the east and the Ottawa River from the west clockwise to the northeast serve to create slightly more open exposures from these directions.

Key areas under consideration for pedestrian wind comfort include surrounding sidewalks, walkways, building access points, and nearby transit stops. Figure 1 illustrates the subject site and surrounding context, while Figures 2A-2D illustrate the computational model used to conduct the study.

3. OBJECTIVES

The principal objectives of this study are to (i) determine pedestrian level wind comfort and safety conditions at key areas within and surrounding the development site; (ii) identify areas where wind conditions may interfere with the intended uses of outdoor spaces; and (iii) recommend suitable mitigation measures, where required.

4. METHODOLOGY

The approach followed to quantify pedestrian wind conditions over the site is based on CFD simulations of wind speeds across the study site within a virtual environment, meteorological analysis of the Ottawa area wind climate, and synthesis of computational data with City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria¹. The following sections describe the analysis procedures, including a discussion of the noted pedestrian wind criteria.

¹ City of Ottawa Terms of References: Wind Analysis
https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf

4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling

A computer based PLW study was performed to determine the influence of the wind environment on pedestrian comfort over the proposed development site. Pedestrian comfort predictions, based on the mechanical effects of wind, were determined by combining measured wind speed data from CFD simulations with statistical weather data obtained from Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport.

The general concept and approach to CFD modelling is to represent building and topographic details in the immediate vicinity of the study site on the surrounding model, and to create suitable atmospheric wind profiles at the model boundary. The wind profiles are designed to have similar mean and turbulent wind properties consistent with actual site exposures.

An industry standard practice is to omit trees, vegetation, and other existing and planned landscape elements from the model due to the difficulty of providing accurate seasonal representation of vegetation. The omission of trees and other landscaping elements produces slightly more conservative (i.e., windier) wind speed values.

4.2 Wind Speed Measurements

The PLW analysis was performed by simulating wind flows and gathering velocity data over a CFD model of the site for 12 wind directions. The CFD simulation model was centered on the study building, complete with surrounding massing within a diameter of approximately 820 m. Mean and peak wind speed data obtained over the study site for each wind direction were interpolated to 36 wind directions at 10° intervals, representing the full compass azimuth. Measured wind speeds approximately 1.5 m above local grade and the rooftop amenity terrace were referenced to the wind speed at gradient height to generate mean and peak velocity ratios, which were used to calculate full-scale values. Gradient height represents the theoretical depth of the boundary layer of the earth's atmosphere, above which the mean wind speed remains constant. Further details of the wind flow simulation technique are presented in Appendix A.


4.3 Meteorological Data Analysis

A statistical model for winds in Ottawa was developed from approximately 40 years of hourly meteorological wind data recorded at Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport and obtained from Environment and Climate Change Canada. Wind speed and direction data were analyzed for each month

of the year in order to determine the statistically prominent wind directions and corresponding speeds, and to characterize similarities between monthly weather patterns. Based on this portion of analysis, the four seasons are represented by grouping data from consecutive months based on similarity of weather patterns, and not according to the traditional calendar method. The winter season is defined as December-March, spring as April-May, summer as June-September, and autumn as October-November.

The statistical model of the Ottawa area wind climate, which indicates the directional character of local winds on a seasonal basis, is illustrated on the following page. The plots illustrate seasonal distribution of measured wind speeds and directions in kilometers per hour (km/h). Probabilities of occurrence of different wind speeds are represented as stacked polar bars in sixteen azimuth divisions. The radial direction represents the percentage of time for various wind speed ranges per wind direction during the measurement period. The preferred wind speeds and directions can be identified by the longer length of the bars. For Ottawa, the most common winds occur for westerly wind directions, followed by those from the east, while the most common wind speeds are below 36 km/h. The directional preference and relative magnitude of wind speed changes somewhat from season to season.

SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF WIND OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT



Notes:

1. Radial distances indicate percentage of time of wind events.
2. Wind speeds are mean hourly in km/h, measured at 10 m above the ground.

4.4 Pedestrian Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa

Pedestrian comfort and safety criteria are based on the mechanical effects of wind without consideration of other meteorological conditions (i.e., temperature, relative humidity). The comfort criteria assume that pedestrians are appropriately dressed for a specified outdoor activity during any given season. Five pedestrian comfort classes are based on 80% non-exceedance mean wind speed ranges, which include (1) Sitting; (2) Standing; (3) Strolling; (4) Walking; and (5) Uncomfortable. More specifically, the comfort classes and associated mean wind speed ranges are summarized as follows:

- 1) **Sitting:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 10 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 16 km/h.
- 2) **Standing:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 14 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 22 km/h.
- 3) **Strolling:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 17 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 27 km/h.
- 4) **Walking:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 20 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 32 km/h.
- 5) **Uncomfortable:** Uncomfortable conditions are characterized by predicted values that fall below the 80% target for walking. Brisk walking and exercise, such as jogging, would be acceptable for moderate excesses of this criterion.

The pedestrian safety wind speed criterion is based on the approximate threshold that would cause a vulnerable member of the population to fall. A 0.1% exceedance gust wind speed of 90 km/h is classified as dangerous. The gust speeds, and equivalent mean speeds, are selected based on ‘The Beaufort Scale’, presented on the following page, which describes the effects of forces produced by varying wind speed levels on objects. Gust speeds are included because pedestrians tend to be more sensitive to wind gusts than to steady winds for lower wind speed ranges. For strong winds approaching dangerous levels, this effect is less important because the mean wind can also create problems for pedestrians. The mean gust speed ranges are selected based on ‘The Beaufort Scale’, which describes the effect of forces produced by varying wind speeds on levels on objects.

THE BEAUFORT SCALE

Number	Description	Wind Speed (km/h)	Description
2	Light Breeze	6-11	Wind felt on faces
3	Gentle Breeze	12-19	Leaves and small twigs in constant motion; Wind extends light flags
4	Moderate Breeze	20-28	Wind raises dust and loose paper; Small branches are moved
5	Fresh Breeze	29-38	Small trees in leaf begin to sway
6	Strong Breeze	39-49	Large branches in motion; Whistling heard in electrical wires; Umbrellas used with difficulty
7	Moderate Gale	50-61	Whole trees in motion; Inconvenient walking against wind
8	Gale	62-74	Breaks twigs off trees; Generally impedes progress

Experience and research on people’s perception of mechanical wind effects has shown that if the wind speed levels are exceeded for more than 80% of the time, the activity level would be judged to be uncomfortable by most people. For instance, if a mean wind speed of 10 km/h (gust equivalent mean wind speed of 16 km/h) was exceeded for more than 20% of the time most pedestrians would judge that location to be too windy for sitting. Similarly, if mean wind speed of 20 km/h (gust equivalent mean wind speed of 32 km/h) at a location were exceeded for more than 20% of the time, walking or less vigorous activities would be considered uncomfortable. As most of these criteria are based on subjective reactions of a population to wind forces, their application is partly based on experience and judgment.

Once the pedestrian wind speed predictions have been established at tested locations, the assessment of pedestrian comfort involves determining the suitability of the predicted wind conditions for their associated spaces. This step involves comparing the predicted comfort class to the desired comfort class, which is dictated by the location type represented by the sensor (i.e., a sidewalk, building entrance, amenity space, or other). An overview of common pedestrian location types and their desired comfort classes are summarized on the following page.



DESIRED PEDESTRIAN COMFORT CLASSES FOR VARIOUS LOCATION TYPES

Location Types	Desired Comfort Classes
Primary Building Entrance	Standing
Secondary Building Access Point	Walking
Primary Public Sidewalk	Strolling / Walking
Secondary Public Sidewalk / Bicycle Path	Walking
Outdoor Amenity Space	Sitting / Standing / Strolling
Café / Patio / Bench / Garden	Sitting
Transit Stop	Sitting / Standing
Public Park / Plaza	Standing / Strolling
Garage / Service Entrance	Walking
Parking Lot	Strolling / Walking
Vehicular Drop-Off Zone	Standing / Strolling / Walking

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following discussion of predicted pedestrian wind conditions is accompanied by Figures 3A-3D (following the main text) illustrating the seasonal wind conditions at grade level. The colour contours indicate various comfort classes predicted for certain regions. Wind conditions comfortable for sitting or more sedentary activities are represented by the colour green, standing are represented by yellow, strolling by orange, walking by blue, while uncomfortable conditions are represented by the colour magenta. Pedestrian wind comfort is summarized below for each area of interest.

5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions – Grade Level

Carling Avenue: The sidewalks along Carling Avenue are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, transitioning to mostly suitable for standing during the autumn, and suitable for a mix of standing and strolling during the winter and spring. These conditions are acceptable.

Bromley Road: The sidewalks along Bromley Road will be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, with strolling conditions developing near the southeast and northeast corners of the building during the spring and autumn. Conditions during the winter will be suitable for a mix of sitting (near the

centre of the east façade of the building), standing and strolling, with an area near the northeast corner of the building becoming suitable for walking. These conditions are considered acceptable.

Bus Stop: The bus stop adjacent to 2001 Carling Avenue will be suitable for sitting during the summer, becoming suitable for standing for the remainder of the year. These conditions are considered acceptable.

Building Entrances: All building entrances will be suitable for sitting throughout the year, with the exception of the entrance at the centre of the north elevation and the amenity entrance near the northwest corner of the building, which may experience standing conditions during the winter. These conditions are considered acceptable according to the wind comfort criteria in Section 4.4.

Grade Level Outdoor Amenity, Northwest Corner: The outdoor amenity area at the northwest corner of the building will be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, becoming mostly suitable for standing during the spring, autumn, and winter. We recommend locating sitting or lounging areas away from the western perimeter of the defined amenity area.

Grade Level Outdoor Amenity, Southwest Corner: The outdoor amenity area to the southwest of the building will be mostly suitable for sitting during the summer, although the western extent of the area will be windier and suitable for standing due to channelling between the study building and the existing building at 2001 Carling Avenue. During the remaining colder seasons, the amenity area will be mostly suitable for standing, with strolling conditions near the western extent of the space. We recommend locating sitting or lounging areas away from the western perimeter of the defined amenity area.

Grade Level Outdoor Amenity, Southeast Corner: The outdoor amenity area to the southeast of the building will be mostly suitable for sitting during the summer, becoming mostly suitable for standing during the remaining three colder seasons. We recommend locating sitting or lounging areas away from the southeast corner of the defined amenity area.


5.2 Wind Comfort Conditions – Surrounding Area

Wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks beyond the subject site, as well as at nearby primary building entrances, will be acceptable for their intended pedestrian uses during each seasonal period upon the introduction of the subject site. Pedestrian wind comfort and safety have been quantified for the specific configuration of existing and foreseeable construction around the study site. Future changes (i.e., construction or demolition) of these surroundings may cause changes to the wind effects in two ways, namely: (i) changes beyond the immediate vicinity of the site would alter the wind profile approaching the site; and (ii) development in proximity to the site would cause changes to local flow patterns. In general, development in urban centers generally creates reduction in the mean wind and localized increases in the gustiness of the wind.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A complete summary of the predicted wind comfort and safety conditions is provided in Section 5 and illustrated in Figures 3A-3D. Based on computer simulations using the CFD technique, meteorological data analysis of the Ottawa wind climate, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, and experience with similar developments in Ottawa, we conclude the following:

- 1) Wind conditions within the grade-level amenity areas will be mostly suitable for sitting during the summer season, although conditions near the western extent of the northwest and southwest amenity areas, and near the southeast corner of the southeast amenity area, will be suitable for standing. As such, we recommend locating sitting or lounging areas closer to the building.
- 2) Conditions around the subject site at grade level, including along sidewalks and walkways, at all building entrances, and at the bus stop adjacent to 2001 Carling Avenue, will be acceptable for their intended uses throughout the year.
- 3) Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas surrounding the subject site at grade level or within the common amenity terraces were found to experience conditions that could be considered uncomfortable or dangerous.



GRADIENTWIND
ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

This concludes our pedestrian level wind study and report. Please advise the undersigned of any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.




Sacha Ruzzante, MASc
Junior Wind Scientist



Justin Ferraro, P.Eng.
Principal





PROJECT	1995 CARLING AVENUE, OTTAWA PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY	
SCALE	1:2500 (APPROX)	DRAWING NO. 20-060-PLW-1
DATE	APRIL 6, 2020	DRAWN BY S.R.

DESCRIPTION	FIGURE 1: SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT
-------------	--




FIGURE 2A: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, NORTH PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2B: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2A






FIGURE 2C: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, SOUTH PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2D: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2C






FIGURE 3A: SPRING – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 3B: SUMMER – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS




FIGURE 3C: AUTUMN – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 3D: WINTER – WIND CONDITIONS AT GRADE LEVEL



GRADIENTWIND

ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS



APPENDIX A

SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

The atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) is defined by the velocity and turbulence profiles according to industry standard practices. The mean wind profile can be represented, to a good approximation, by a power law relation, Equation (1), giving height above ground versus wind speed [1], [2].

$$U = U_g \left(\frac{Z}{Z_g} \right)^\alpha \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

where, U = mean wind speed, U_g = gradient wind speed, Z = height above ground, Z_g = depth of the boundary layer (gradient height), and α is the power law exponent.

For the model, U_g is set to 6.5 metres per second (m/s), which approximately corresponds to the 60% mean wind speed for Ottawa based on historical climate data and statistical analyses. When the results are normalized by this velocity, they are relatively insensitive to the selection of gradient wind speed.

Z_g is set to 540 m. The selection of gradient height is relatively unimportant, so long as it exceeds the building heights surrounding the subject site. The value has been selected to correspond to our physical wind tunnel reference value.

α is determined based on the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings (i.e., the area that it not captured within the simulation model).

Table 1 presents the values of α used in this study, while Table 2 presents several reference values of α . When the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings is a mixture of multiple types of terrain, the α values are a weighted average with terrain that is closer to the subject site given greater weight.

TABLE 1: UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE) VS TRUE WIND DIRECTION

Wind Direction (° True)	Alpha (α) Value
0	0.21
49	0.24
74	0.23
103	0.24
167	0.24
197	0.24
217	0.24
237	0.23
262	0.23
282	0.22
302	0.21
324	0.21

TABLE 2: DEFINITION OF UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE)

Upstream Exposure Type	α
Open Water	0.14-0.15
Open Field	0.16-0.19
Light Suburban	0.21-0.24
Heavy Suburban	0.24-0.27
Light Urban	0.28-0.30
Heavy Urban	0.31-0.33

The turbulence model in the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations is a two-equation shear-stress transport (SST) model, and thus the ABL turbulence profile requires that two parameters be defined at the inlet of the domain. The turbulence profile is defined following the recommendations of the Architectural Institute of Japan for flat terrain [3].

$$I(Z) = \begin{cases} 0.1 \left(\frac{Z}{Z_g} \right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z > 10 \text{ m} \\ 0.1 \left(\frac{10}{Z_g} \right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z \leq 10 \text{ m} \end{cases} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

$$L_t(Z) = \begin{cases} 100 \text{ m} \sqrt{\frac{Z}{30}}, & Z > 30 \text{ m} \\ 100 \text{ m}, & Z \leq 30 \text{ m} \end{cases} \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

where, I = turbulence intensity, L_t = turbulence length scale, Z = height above ground, and α is the power law exponent used for the velocity profile in Equation (1).

Boundary conditions on all other domain boundaries are defined as follows: the ground is a no-slip surface; the side walls of the domain have a symmetry boundary condition; the top of the domain has a specified shear, which maintains a constant wind speed at gradient height; and the outlet has a static pressure boundary condition.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Arya, "Chapter 10: Near-neutral Boundary Layers," in *Introduction to Micrometeorology*, San Diego, California, Academic Press, 2001.
- [2] S. A. Hsu, E. A. Meindl and D. B. Gilhousen, "Determining the Power-Law Wind Profile Exponent under Near-neutral Stability Conditions at Sea," vol. 33, no. 6, 1994.
- [3] Y. Tamura, H. Kawai, Y. Uematsu, K. Kondo and T. Okhuma, "Revision of AIJ Recommendations for Wind Loads on Buildings," in *The International Wind Engineering Symposium, IWES 2003*, Taiwan, 2003.

