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1.0 Introduction

This report presents the results of the Geotechnical Investigation and recommendations carried out for the proposed 28-storey building near the corner of Parkdale Ave. & Lyndale Ave., Ottawa, ON. This building will include six below grade parking levels.

The work was carried out in general accordance with our Proposal Number 1224-B11221, dated December 5, 2011.

This report has been prepared specifically and solely for the project described herein. It presents the factual results of the investigation and provides geotechnical recommendations for the design and construction of the proposed building.

2.0 Site Description and Background

It is understood that the proposed 28-storey building is to be located at the corner of Parkdale Avenue and Lyndale Avenue east of Parkdale Avenue. The building is approximately 85 m high and has six underground parking levels. The finish floor elevation of the ground floor will be elevation 62.80 m. The finish floor elevation of the first parking level will be elevation 59.80 m and the finish floor elevation of the sixth parking level will be 44.8 m. The site area is approximately 1,374 m² and the total gross building floor area (above grade) is approximately 17,149 m².

The location of the proposed building is shown on Drawing No. 1 in Appendix B.

Surficial soil maps indicate the soil conditions in the area consist of fill/glacial till over shallow bedrock within 3 m of ground surface.

3.0 Scope of Work

The scope of work for this investigation included the following:

- Advance four boreholes and two test pits. Two boreholes were cored to the depths of 18 m and 30 m below the ground surface. Two boreholes were terminated on shallow bedrock confirmed by auger refusal. Test pits were excavated to refusal on bedrock.
- Install two monitoring wells to measure groundwater levels.
- Survey the ground surface elevations at the borehole/test pit locations with reference to a geodetic benchmark.
- Complete a geotechnical laboratory testing program to characterize the soil and rock.
• Prepare a Geotechnical Report outlining the field observations, laboratory results and providing geotechnical recommendations for design and construction of the proposed building including:
  • Bearing capacity of rock for shallow foundations;
  • Lateral earth pressures for shoring systems;
  • Seismic site classification in accordance with 2006 Ontario Building Code;
  • Design recommendations for rock anchors extending to bedrock;
  • Groundwater levels and construction dewatering requirements.

4.0 Method of Investigation

4.1 GEOTECHNICAL FIELD INVESTIGATION

Prior to carrying out the investigation, Stantec Consulting Limited (SCL) personnel marked out the proposed borehole locations at the site. As a component of our standard procedures and due diligence, Stantec arranged to have the borehole locations cleared of both private and public underground utilities.

The field drilling program was carried out on January, 9, 11 and 25, 2012. The four boreholes and two test pits were advanced, at the locations shown on Drawing No. 2 in Appendix B, with a truck mounted CME 55 auger drill rig and rubber tire backhoe. The subsurface stratigraphy encountered in each borehole was recorded in the field by SCL personnel while performing Standard Penetration Tests (SPT). Split spoon samples were collected for surficial fill materials. Bedrock was cored with HQ size coring equipment in boreholes MW 12-7 and BH 12-8 to the depths of 18 m and 30 m below the ground surface respectively. Bulk soil samples were collected from the sidewalls of the test pits.

Following the investigation, all boreholes were backfilled with augered material and test pits were backfilled with excavated material. 50 mm diameter monitoring wells were installed in two holes, MW12-7 and MW12-10. Monitoring well MW12-7 was installed to 14.6 m below ground surface and MW 12-10 was installed to 0.9 m below ground surface.

Samples were returned to the laboratory and subjected to detailed visual examination and additional classification by a geotechnical engineer. Selected samples were tested for moisture content, particle size analysis, and intact rock core strength. Groundwater samples collected from the monitoring wells were submitted to Paracel Laboratories to measure pH, resistivity, chlorides, and sulphate content. Results of this testing are shown in Appendix D and on the Borehole and Test Pit Record in Appendix C.

Samples will be stored for a period of one (1) month after issuance of this report unless we are otherwise directed by the client.
Borehole/test pit locations were surveyed in the field by Stantec personnel using a Trimble Geo XH GPS. Geodetic ground surface elevations were obtained for all the borehole/test pits and are accurate to 0.1 m.

The ground surface elevations at the borehole/test pit locations are shown on the Borehole and Test Pit Records included in Appendix C.

5.0 Results of Investigation

5.1 SUBSURFACE INFORMATION

The subsurface conditions observed in the boreholes and test pits are presented in detail on the Borehole Records, Test Pit Records, Field Core Logs, and Bedrock Core Photos in Appendix C. An explanation of the symbols and terms used to describe the Borehole and Test Pit Records is also provided in Appendix C. In general, the observed stratigraphy consisted of fill material underlain by shallow bedrock.

A general overview of the soil, rock and groundwater conditions encountered in the boreholes and test pits is provided below.

5.1.1 Surficial Materials

Asphalt was encountered at the surface of the boreholes MW 12-7, BH 12-9 and MW 12-10. The asphalt varied from 12 mm to 40 mm in thickness.

A 10 mm thick layer of topsoil was encountered in test pit TP 12-6.

Fill materials were observed in all the boreholes and varied from 0.1 m to 1.3 m in thickness. This material consisted of silty sand with gravel with trace bricks and occasional rock fragments. The moisture content of this material ranged from 6% to 12%. Gradation tests performed on this material show 14% and 34% gravel, 44% and 56% sand, and 22% and 30% fines (silt and clay). This material can be classified as a silty sand with gravel (SM), according to the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

5.1.2 Bedrock

Limestone with shaly partings bedrock was encountered in all the boreholes. The depth to top of bedrock ranged from 0.1 m to 1.3 m below ground surface. The limestone had very close to wide joint spacing which had generally flat orientation. The rock was unweathered with shale partings with occasional clay filling and Calcite seams.

Generally bedrock quality was excellent however the top portion (down to 1.5 m depth) was observed to be of poor to fair quality. The Rock Quality Designation (RQD) varied from 0% to 100%. The unconfined compressive strength of the rock, which is summarized below in Table...
5.1, ranged from 100 MPa to 188 MPa. Rock Core logs and photos of the rock core are shown in Appendix C.

### Table 5.1: Unconfined Compressive Strength of Rock Cores

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Unconfined Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW 12-7</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>150.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>168.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>99.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>187.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BH 12-8</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>101.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>126.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>127.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>130.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>151.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>149.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>136.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 5.2 GROUNDWATER

Groundwater was measured by means of monitoring wells installed in boreholes MW 12-7 and MW 12-10. Groundwater was measured on January 24, 2012. At monitoring well MW 12-7, the groundwater level was measured at 6.85 m below ground surface. At the shallow monitoring well MW 12-10, the groundwater was measured at 0.87 m below ground surface.

Fluctuation in the groundwater level due to seasonal variations or in response to a particular precipitation event should be anticipated.
6.0 Discussion and Recommendations

The following geotechnical issues should be considered during design activities:

- Conventional spread footings founded on bedrock are appropriate for the design of the multi-storey building at this site.
- Groundwater was encountered at depths within the proposed depth of construction. It is anticipated that surface water run-off and groundwater can be controlled with sump and pump methods during construction.
- The bedrock on this site consists of limestone, with a measured unconfined compressive strength ranging between 100 MPa to 188 MPa which suggest very strong rock.
- The Soluble sulphate concentrations show that a low degree of sulphate attack is expected for concrete in contact with soil and groundwater. Type GU Portland Cement should therefore be suitable for use in concrete at this site.
- The recommended Site Classification for Seismic Site Response for the site is Site Class A in accordance with 2006 Ontario Building Code.

6.1 SITE GRADING AND PREPARATION

6.1.1 Building Footprint

Footings should be founded on sound bedrock. Exposed bedrock surfaces should be free of loose bedrock, soil, water, bedrock irregularities, bedrock pinnacles and sloping surfaces. Hand cleaning and pressure washing of the bearing areas to remove any loose materials will be required to achieve the recommended bearing pressure.

Temporary frost protection should be provided for all footings if construction is carried out under winter conditions.

Prepared subgrade surfaces should be inspected by experienced geotechnical personnel prior to placement of either Structural Fill or concrete.

Structural Fill should conform to the requirements of OPSS Granular A. Structural Fill placed beneath building should contain no recycled materials such as concrete or asphalt. It should be compacted in lifts no thicker than 300 mm to at least 100% Standard Proctor Maximum Dry Density (SPMDD). This material should be tested and approved by a Geotechnical Engineer prior to delivery to the site.

Earth removals should be inspected by a geotechnical engineer to ensure that all unsuitable materials are removed prior to placement of fill or concrete. Inspection and testing services will be critical to ensure that all fill and concrete used is suitable and is placed competently.
6.1.2 Paved Areas

All vegetation, topsoil, existing asphalt and other deleterious material should be removed from beneath pavement areas. The subgrade should be proof rolled in the presence of geotechnical personnel. All soft areas revealed during proof rolling or subgrade inspections should be excavated to a maximum depth of 500 mm and replaced with compacted OPSS Granular B Type II.

6.2 FOUNDATIONS

The foundations for the proposed building may be supported on spread footings provided that the foundation preparation work described in Section 6.1 above is carried out. Spread footings should be placed on clean undisturbed sound bedrock.

Table 6.1 provides Geotechnical Bearing Resistances for shallow foundations on bedrock.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Foundation Type</th>
<th>Footing Width (m)</th>
<th>Geotechnical Resistance, ULS, (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strip Footing</td>
<td>1.0 to 3.0</td>
<td>4500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Square Footing</td>
<td>1.0 to 3.0</td>
<td>5500</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The factored geotechnical bearing resistance at ultimate limit states (ULS) incorporates a resistance factor of 0.5. The settlement of foundations founded on bedrock is expected to be negligible and therefore, the geotechnical reaction at Serviceability Limit States (SLS) is not provided for footings on bedrock.

The design frost depth is 1.8 m. All exterior spread footings and footings for unheated structures should be protected from frost action by a minimum soil cover of 1.8 m or equivalent insulation. Perimeter footings should be protected by a minimum soil cover of 1.5 m or equivalent insulation. Perimeter footings and interior footings within 1.5 m of perimeter walls of heated structures should be protected by a minimum soil cover of 1.5 m or equivalent insulation. Where proposed footings have insufficient soil cover for frost protection, the use of insulation will be required.

The base of all footing excavations should be inspected by a geotechnical engineer prior to placing concrete to confirm the design pressures and to ensure that there is no disturbance of the founding soils.

Where construction is undertaken during winter conditions, all footing subgrades should be protected from freezing. Foundation walls and columns should be protected against heave due to soil adfreeze.
6.3 SEISMIC SITE CLASSIFICATION

Existing $V_{s30}$ measurements around the study site were reviewed to determine the site class according to the 2006 Ontario Building Code. The measurements were obtained from the geological Survey of Canada Surficial Boreholes for the National Capital Area. The data is accessible through the Carleton University website called the Interactive Surface Geography Map for the City of Ottawa. The selected boreholes are illustrated in Drawing No. 3 in Appendix B and the corresponding shear wave velocity information is shown in Table 6.2. This Table provides the average shear wave velocity in top 30 m for the studied sites ($V_{s30}$).

Based on $V_{s30}$ values, the recommended site classification for seismic site response for the building is Site Class A in accordance with Table 4.1.8.4.A of the 2006 Ontario Building Code.

Table 6.2: Shear Wave Velocity Information of Selected Boreholes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole ID</th>
<th>Borehole Name</th>
<th>Bedrock Depth (m)</th>
<th>$V_{s30}$ (m/sec)</th>
<th>Bedrock Velocity Range (m/sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UGE00470</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>1602-2392</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGE00407</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>2052</td>
<td>1653-2447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGE05680</td>
<td>c</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1856</td>
<td>1466-2239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGE00469</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td>1902</td>
<td>1509-2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UGE05646</td>
<td>e</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1944</td>
<td>1549-2333</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The location of the proposed building and known faults was evaluated. Drawing No. 4 in Appendix B shows the location of the nearest faults. The drawing indicates that the proposed building is not located on a fault.

6.4 GROUNDWATER CONTROL

The groundwater level within monitoring well MW12-7 was measured at elevation 54.3 m. The proposed below grade parking levels will be below the groundwater level. The design of the below grade parking levels should consider the groundwater level. The below grade levels could be designed to be waterproof or a subdrain system could be provided. The subdrains should be founded at least 400 mm below the underside of the floor slab and should be connected to a frost free outlet. If subdrains are proposed, the floor slab should be supported on a 400 mm thick layer of clear stone for drainage.

6.5 PIPE BEDDING AND BACKFILL

Bedding for utilities should be placed in accordance with the pipe design requirements. It is recommended that a minimum of 150 mm to 200 mm of OPSS Granular A be placed below the pipe invert as bedding material. Granular pipe backfill placed above the invert should consist of Granular A material. A minimum of 300 mm vertical and side cover should be provided. These materials should be compacted to at least 95% of SPMDD.
Backfill for service trenches in landscaped areas may consist of excavated material replaced and compacted in lifts. Where the service trenches extend below paved areas, the trench should be backfilled with OPSS Select Subgrade Material (SSM) from the top of the pipe cover to within 1.2 m of the proposed pavement surface, placed in lifts and compacted to at least 95% of SPMDD. The material used within the upper 1.2 m and below the subgrade line should be similar to that exposed in the trench walls to prevent differential frost heave, placed in lifts and compacted to at least 95% of SPMDD. Different abutting materials within this zone will require a 3 horizontal to 1 vertical frost taper in order to minimize the effects of differential frost heaving.

Excavations for catch basins and manholes should be backfilled with compacted granular material. A 3 horizontal to 1 vertical frost taper should be built within the upper 1.2 m. The joints between catch basin or manhole sections must be wrapped with non-woven geotextile.

It should be noted that reuse of the site generated material will be highly dependent on the material’s moisture content at time of placement.

Backfill should be compacted in lifts not exceeding 300 mm.

6.6 TEMPORARY EXCAVATIONS AND BACKFILLING

6.6.1 Excavations in Soil

The shallow silty sand fill (maximum encountered thickness of 1.3 m) present at the site is considered a Type 3 soil in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA) and Regulations for Construction Projects. Temporary excavations in the overburden may be supported or should be sloped at 1 horizontal to 1 vertical from the base of the excavation and as per the requirements of OHSA. Alternatively, sheet piling or other support methods will be required. Excavations should be inspected regularly for signs of instability and flattened as required. The excavation support system should be designed to resist loads from traffic and foundations from adjacent structures.

6.6.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was encountered during this geotechnical investigation within the depths of the anticipated excavations.

Though soils and bedrock permeability measurements were not included as part of this investigation, it is expected that dewatering of the excavations will be possible using conventional sump and pump techniques. It should be noted that groundwater elevations fluctuate seasonally. Dewatering of the excavation is not anticipated to cause settlement of soils due to groundwater lowering in the vicinity of the site.
6.6.3 Excavations in Bedrock

Drilling and blasting and hoe ramming techniques will be required to excavate bedrock. Temporary excavation in bedrock may be carried out at near vertical slopes, provided the trench sides are cleared of loose rock prior to workers entering the trench. If the bedrock is overly fractured such that the loose rock cannot be entirely removed, a temporary rock catchment system such as a wire mesh system should be used. The catchment system should be designed to contain and/or prevent loose rock particles from falling on workers within the excavation.

Bedrock excavation sidewalls adjacent to existing building foundations should be supported to ensure the stability of the existing buildings.

6.6.4 Earth Pressures on Shoring Systems

Earth pressures will need to be considered in the design of shoring systems for temporary excavations during construction. Table 6.3 gives the coefficients of lateral earth pressure for shoring systems. These values are based on the assumption that a horizontal back slope will be utilized behind the shoring system.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Native Fill</th>
<th>OPSS Granular A</th>
<th>OPSS Granular B Type I</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit Weight (kN/m$^3$)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>21.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Angle of Internal Friction, $\Phi$</td>
<td>32°</td>
<td>40°</td>
<td>35°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Passive Earth Pressure, $K_p$</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of at Rest Earth Pressure, $K_o$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coefficient of Active Earth Pressure, $K_a$</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sliding resistance can be calculated using the following unfactored friction coefficients, outlined in Table 6.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Condition</th>
<th>Unfactored Friction Coefficient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Between Concrete and Structural Fill</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Between Concrete and Clean Bedrock</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6.6.5 Rock Anchors

Rock anchors could be used to ensure stability of temporary shoring system and resist uplift forces. For the design of rock anchors extending into bedrock, the following design parameters may be considered for the rock mass.
A rock to grout working bond stress of 1000 kPa may be used for holes grouted with non-shrink grout having a minimum compressive strength of 30 MPa.

The minimum fixed anchor length (i.e. the length over which the rock to grout bond stress is developed) should be no less than 3 m.

The unbounded length of anchor should be equal to the height of the rock cone and less half the bonded length.

To ensure against the possibility of a rock mass failure, the following design parameters should be used:

- Submerged Unit weight of rock = 16 kN/m³
- A 90° (apex angle) failure cone with the apex located at the midpoint of the bonded length as shown on the sheet titled “Rock Anchor: Resistance to Rock Mass Failure” in Appendix E.

The bond stress used by the contractor for design should be confirmed by full scale testing of anchors.

6.6.6 Foundation Backfill

Backfill within the footprint of the proposed buildings should consist of OPSS Granular A compacted to 100% SPMDD. Exterior foundation backfill should consist of a material meeting the requirements of OPSS Select Subgrade Material (SSM).

Exterior foundation backfill shall be placed in lifts no thicker than 300 mm and compacted using suitable compaction equipment to at least 95% of SPMDD. Care should be taken immediately adjacent to the foundation walls to avoid over-compaction of the soil which could result in damage to the walls.

6.7 CEMENT TYPE AND CORROSION POTENTIAL

One representative groundwater sample was submitted to Paracel Laboratories Ltd. in Ottawa, Ontario, for pH, chloride, sulphate and resistivity testing. The test results are summarized in Table 6.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Borehole No.</th>
<th>pH</th>
<th>Sulphate (µg/g)</th>
<th>Resistivity (0.01 ohm.m)</th>
<th>Chloride (µg/g)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MW12-7</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One concentration of soluble sulphate provides an indication of the degree of sulphate attack that is expected for concrete in contact with soil and groundwater at the site. The soluble sulphate is 104 µg/g. Soluble sulphate concentrations less than 1000 µg/g generally indicate that a low degree of sulphate attack is expected for concrete in contact with soil and groundwater. Type GU Portland Cement should therefore be suitable for use in concrete at this site.
The pH, resistivity and chloride concentration provide an indication of the degree of corrosiveness of the sub-surface environment. The soil pH was 7.7 which is within what is considered the normal range for soil pH of 5.5 to 9.0. The pH levels of the tested soil do not indicate a highly corrosive environment. The test results provided in the Table 6.5 can be used to aid in the selection of coatings and corrosion protection systems for buried steel objects.

### 6.8 PAVEMENT STRUCTURE RECOMMENDATIONS

It has been assumed that the parking areas will be used mostly by passenger vehicles and the access roads will be used by delivery trucks and fire vehicles.

The subgrade in paved areas should be prepared as described in Section 6.1 above. The following minimum pavement structures are recommended:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Heavy Duty Parking Access Roads</th>
<th>Standard Duty Parking Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SP 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete</td>
<td>40 mm</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SP 19 Asphaltic Concrete</td>
<td>50 mm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granular Base Course, OPSS Granular A</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
<td>150 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granular Subbase Course, OPSS Granular B Type II</td>
<td>400 mm</td>
<td>300 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is estimated that the service life prior to major rehabilitation for the above pavement structures is 20 years provided they are properly maintained. The pavement surface and the underlying subgrade should be graded to direct runoff water towards suitable drainage.

All granular materials should be tested and approved by a geotechnical engineer prior to delivery to the site. Both base and subbase materials should be compacted to at least 100% SPMDD. Asphalt should be compacted to at least 97% Marshal bulk density.

It is recommended that the lateral extent of the subbase and base layers not be terminated in a vertical fashion immediately behind the curb line. A taper with a grade of 5 horizontal to 1 vertical is recommended in the subgrade line to minimize differential frost heave problems under sidewalks.

### 6.9 VIBRATIONS MONITORING AND PRE-CONSTRUCTION SURVEYS

The required construction activities for the proposed building will generate some vibrations that will be perceptible to nearby residents. The vibrations are expected to be greatest during bedrock excavation by blasting/mechanical methods. It is recommended that pre-construction surveys of all structures be carried out in accordance with OPSS 120 “General Specifications for the Use of Explosives”.

It is recommended that construction vibrations generally be limited to a maximum peak particle velocity as outlined in OPSS 120. Should there be structures in the area sensitive to vibrations,
more stringent specifications should be developed by a vibration specialist. For instance, the particle velocity should be limited to 10 mm/sec if there is any historic building in the area. Vibration monitoring should be carried out prior to and throughout the construction period.

No blasting should be carried out within a distance of 200 m from any water storage reservoir, pumping station, water works transformer station or water storage tank without prior approval by the owner of the facility.
6.10 CLOSURE

Use of this report is subject to the Statement of General Conditions provided in Appendix A. It is the responsibility of Richcraft, who is identified as “the Client” within the Statement of General Conditions, and its agents to review the conditions and to notify Stantec should any of these notes be satisfied. The Statement of General Conditions addresses the following:

- Use of the report
- Basis of the report
- Standard of care
- Interpretation of site conditions
- Varying of unexpected site conditions
- Planning, design or construction

This report has been prepared by Kasgin Khaheshi Banab and reviewed by Chris McGrath.

Respectfully submitted,

STANTEC CONSULTING LIMITED

Kasgin Khaheshi Banab, PhD, E.I.T.
Geotechnical Engineering

Chris McGrath, P.Eng.
Associate - Geotechnical Engineer
APPENDIX A

Statement of General Conditions
STATEMENT OF GENERAL CONDITIONS

USE OF THIS REPORT: This report has been prepared for the sole benefit of the Client or its agent and may not be used by any third party without the express written consent of Stantec Consulting Ltd. and the Client. Any use which a third party makes of this report is the responsibility of such third party.

BASIS OF THE REPORT: The information, opinions, and/or recommendations made in this report are in accordance with Stantec Consulting Ltd.’s present understanding of the site specific project as described by the Client. The applicability of these is restricted to the site conditions encountered at the time of the investigation or study. If the proposed site specific project differs or is modified from what is described in this report or if the site conditions are altered, this report is no longer valid unless Stantec Consulting Ltd. is requested by the Client to review and revise the report to reflect the differing or modified project specifics and/or the altered site conditions.

STANDARD OF CARE: Preparation of this report, and all associated work, was carried out in accordance with the normally accepted standard of care in the state or province of execution for the specific professional service provided to the Client. No other warranty is made.

INTERPRETATION OF SITE CONDITIONS: Soil, rock, or other material descriptions, and statements regarding their condition, made in this report are based on site conditions encountered by Stantec Consulting Ltd. at the time of the work and at the specific testing and/or sampling locations. Classifications and statements of condition have been made in accordance with normally accepted practices which are judgmental in nature; no specific description should be considered exact, but rather reflective of the anticipated material behavior. Extrapolation of in situ conditions can only be made to some limited extent beyond the sampling or test points. The extent depends on variability of the soil, rock and groundwater conditions as influenced by geological processes, construction activity, and site use.

VARYING OR UNEXPECTED CONDITIONS: Should any site or subsurface conditions be encountered that are different from those described in this report or encountered at the test locations, Stantec Consulting Ltd. must be notified immediately to assess if the varying or unexpected conditions are substantial and if reassessments of the report conclusions or recommendations are required. Stantec Consulting Ltd. will not be responsible to any party for damages incurred as a result of failing to notify Stantec Consulting Ltd. that differing site or sub-surface conditions are present upon becoming aware of such conditions.

PLANNING, DESIGN, OR CONSTRUCTION: Development or design plans and specifications should be reviewed by Stantec Consulting Ltd., sufficiently ahead of initiating the next project stage (property acquisition, tender, construction, etc), to confirm that this report completely addresses the elaborated project specifics and that the contents of this report have been properly interpreted. Specialty quality assurance services (field observations and testing) during construction are a necessary part of the evaluation of sub-subsurface conditions and site preparation works. Site work relating to the recommendations included in this report should only be carried out in the presence of a qualified geotechnical engineer; Stantec Consulting Ltd. cannot be responsible for site work carried out without being present.
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FAULT LOCATION PLAN
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION FOR PROPOSED MULTI-LEVEL BLDG.
159, 163 AND 167 PARKDALE AVENUE, OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Client: RICHRAFT GROUP OF COMPANIES

Job No.: 122410780
Scale: 1:20,000
Date: 12/01/30

Dwg. No.: 4
Owner: GBB
App'd By: 

REFERENCE: 2011 MICROSOFT STREETS AND TRIPS.
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SYMBOLS AND TERMS USED ON BOREHOLE AND TEST PIT RECORDS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Terminology describing common soil genesis:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Topsoil</td>
<td>mixture of soil and humus capable of supporting vegetative growth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peat</td>
<td>mixture of visible and invisible fragments of decayed organic matter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Till</td>
<td>unstratified glacial deposit which may range from clay to boulders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fill</td>
<td>material below the surface identified as placed by humans (excluding buried services)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terminology describing soil structure:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Desiccated</td>
<td>having visible signs of weathering by oxidization of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fissured</td>
<td>having cracks, and hence a blocky structure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Varved</td>
<td>composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratified</td>
<td>composed of alternating successions of different soil types, e.g., silt and sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer</td>
<td>&gt; 75 mm in thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seam</td>
<td>2 mm to 75 mm in thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parting</td>
<td>&lt; 2 mm in thickness</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terminology describing soil types:
The classification of soil types are made on the basis of grain size and plasticity in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) (ASTM D 2487 or D 2488). The classification excludes particles larger than 76 mm (3 inches). The USCS provides a group symbol (e.g., SM) and group name (e.g., silty sand) for identification.

Terminology describing cobbles, boulders, and non-matrix materials (organic matter or debris):
Terminology describing materials outside the USCS, (e.g. particles larger than 76 mm, visible organic matter, construction debris) is based upon the proportion of these materials present:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trace, or occasional</th>
<th>Less than 10%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Some</td>
<td>10-20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frequent</td>
<td>&gt; 20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terminology describing compactness of cohesionless soils:
The standard terminology to describe cohesionless soils includes compactness (formerly "relative density"), as determined by the Standard Penetration Test N-Value (also known as N-Index). A relationship between compactness condition and N-Value is shown in the following table.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compactness Condition</th>
<th>SPT N-Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Loose</td>
<td>&lt;4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose</td>
<td>4-10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact</td>
<td>10-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dense</td>
<td>30-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Dense</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Terminology describing consistency of cohesive soils:
The standard terminology to describe cohesive soils includes the consistency, which is based on undrained shear strength as measured by in situ vane tests, penetrometer tests, or unconfined compression tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consistency</th>
<th>Undrained Shear Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>kips/sq.ft.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Soft</td>
<td>&lt;0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft</td>
<td>0.25 - 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firm</td>
<td>0.5 - 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stiff</td>
<td>1.0 - 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Stiff</td>
<td>2.0 - 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard</td>
<td>&gt;4.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**ROCK DESCRIPTION**

**Terminology describing rock quality:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RQD</th>
<th>Rock Mass Quality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-25</td>
<td>Very Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25-50</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-75</td>
<td>Fair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75-90</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90-100</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Rock quality classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage (RQD) in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be due to close shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD was originally intended to be done on NW core; however, it can be used on different core sizes if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses are easily distinguishable from in situ fractures. The terminology describing rock mass quality based on RQD is subjective and is underlain by the presumption that sound strong rock is of higher engineering value than fractured weak rock.

**Terminology describing rock mass:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spacing (mm)</th>
<th>Joint Classification</th>
<th>Bedding, Laminations, Bands</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 6000</td>
<td>Extremely Wide</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-6000</td>
<td>Very Wide</td>
<td>Very Thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600-2000</td>
<td>Wide</td>
<td>Thick</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-600</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60-200</td>
<td>Close</td>
<td>Thin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-60</td>
<td>Very Close</td>
<td>Very Thin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>Extremely Close</td>
<td>Laminated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Thinly Laminated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Terminology describing rock strength:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strength Classification</th>
<th>Unconfined Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Weak</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Weak</td>
<td>1 – 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weak</td>
<td>5 – 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium Strong</td>
<td>25 – 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strong</td>
<td>50 – 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very Strong</td>
<td>100 – 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extremely Strong</td>
<td>&gt; 250</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Terminology describing rock weathering:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fresh</td>
<td>No visible signs of rock weathering. Slight discolouration along major discontinuities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slightly Weathered</td>
<td>Discolouration indicates weathering of rock on discontinuity surfaces. All the rock material may be discoloured.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately Weathered</td>
<td>Less than half the rock is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Highly Weathered</td>
<td>More than half the rock is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completely Weathered</td>
<td>All the rock material is decomposed and/or disintegrated into soil. The original mass structure is still largely intact.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
STRATA PLOT

Strata plots symbolize the soil or bedrock description. They are combinations of the following basic symbols. The dimensions within the strata symbols are not indicative of the particle size, layer thickness, etc.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shape</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boulders</td>
<td>Sand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobble</td>
<td>Silt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel</td>
<td>Clay</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Organics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asphalt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fill</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Igneous Bedrock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Meta- morphic Bedrock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sedimentary Bedrock</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SAMPLE TYPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>Split spoon sample (obtained by performing the Standard Penetration Test)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ST</td>
<td>Shelby tube or thin wall tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DP</td>
<td>Direct-Push sample (small diameter tube sampler hydraulically advanced)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PS</td>
<td>Piston sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS</td>
<td>Bulk sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WS</td>
<td>Wash sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ, NQ, BQ, etc.</td>
<td>Rock core samples obtained with the use of standard size diamond coring bits.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOVERY

For soil samples, the recovery is recorded as the length of the soil sample recovered. For rock core, recovery is defined as the total cumulative length of all core recovered in the core barrel divided by the length drilled and is recorded as a percentage on a per run basis.

N-VALUE

Numbers in this column are the field results of the Standard Penetration Test: the number of blows of a 140 pound (64 kg) hammer falling 30 inches (760 mm), required to drive a 2 inch (50.8 mm) O.D. split spoon sampler one foot (305 mm) into the soil. For split spoon samples where insufficient penetration was achieved and N-values cannot be presented, the number of blows are reported over sampler penetration in millimetres (e.g. 50/75). Some design methods make use of N value corrected for various factors such as overburden pressure, energy ratio, borehole diameter, etc. No corrections have been applied to the N-values presented on the log.

DYNAMIC CONE PENETRATION TEST (DCPT)

Dynamic cone penetration tests are performed using a standard 60 degree apex cone connected to A size drill rods with the same standard fall height and weight as the Standard Penetration Test. The DCPT value is the number of blows of the hammer required to drive the cone one foot (305 mm) into the soil. The DCPT is used as a probe to assess soil variability.

OTHER TESTS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S</td>
<td>Sieve analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H</td>
<td>Hydrometer analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k</td>
<td>Laboratory permeability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>y</td>
<td>Unit weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G_s</td>
<td>Specific gravity of soil particles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Consolidated drained triaxial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>Consolidated undrained triaxial with pore pressure measurements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UU</td>
<td>Unconsolidated undrained triaxial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS</td>
<td>Direct Shear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>Consolidation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q_u</td>
<td>Unconfined compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I_p</td>
<td>Point Load Index (I_p on Borehole Record equals I_p(50) in which the index is corrected to a reference diameter of 50 mm)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WATER LEVEL MEASUREMENT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>measured in standpipe, piezometer, or well</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inferred</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Falling head permeability test using casing |

Falling head permeability test using well point or piezometer
## Monitoring Well Record

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Location:** 159, 163 and 167 Parkdale Ave., Ottawa, ON  
**Dates:** Boring: January 11, 2012, Water Level: January 24, 2012  
**Datum:** Geodetic

### Soil Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Soil Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td><strong>40 mm Asphalt</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.12</td>
<td><strong>Fill:</strong> Brown sand with gravel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.8</td>
<td><strong>Limestone bedrock, weathered</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Limestone with shaly partings bedrock</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Grey</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Excellent rock mass quality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Close to moderate spacing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Strong intact rock strength</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unweathered</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Fractures dip at 0 to 20°</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See Field Core Log for detailed description of rock core</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>Plot</th>
<th>Water Level</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Recovery (%)</th>
<th>N-Value OR RQD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)

- **Water Content & Atterberg Limits**
  - Wp
  - w
  - Wf

- **Dynamic Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m**
  - *

- **Standard Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m**
  - ●

### Symbols

- ▼ Inferred Groundwater Level
- ▲ Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe
- ○ Field Vane Test, kPa
- □ Remoulded Vane Test, kPa
- △ Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa
- App'd
- Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Soil Description</th>
<th>Strata Plot</th>
<th>Water Level</th>
<th>Samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Limestone with shaly partings bedrock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Grey</td>
<td>HQ 9</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Excellent rock mass quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Moderate to wide spacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Strong intact rock strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unweathered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 10</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 11</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 12</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 13</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>End of borehole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Monitoring well installed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inferred Groundwater Level**

**Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe**

**Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)**

**Water Content & Atterberg Limits**

**Dynamic Penetration Test, Blow/s/0.3m**

**Standard Penetration Test, Blow/s/0.3m**

**Field Vane Test, kPa**

**Remoulded Vane Test, kPa**

**Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa**

**Datum**: Geodetic
### Borehole Record

**BH 12-8**

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Location:** 159, 163 and 167 Parkdale Ave., Ottawa, ON  
**Dates:** Boring: January 9, 2012  
**Datum:** Geodetic

#### Soil Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Soil Description</th>
<th>Strata Plot</th>
<th>Water Level</th>
<th>Samples</th>
<th>Undrained Shear Strength - kPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Fill: brown sand/gravel, trace concrete</td>
<td>SS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.1</td>
<td>Limestone with shaly partings bedrock</td>
<td>HQ 2, HQ 3</td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>100, 100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Grey</td>
<td>HQ 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Fair to excellent rock mass quality</td>
<td>HQ 5</td>
<td></td>
<td>75%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Very close to wide spacing</td>
<td>HQ 6</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Strong intact rock strength</td>
<td>HQ 7</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Unweathered</td>
<td>HQ 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Fractures dip at 0 to 20°</td>
<td>HQ 9</td>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>See Field Core Log for detailed description of rock core</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Wp**  
- **w**  
- **WL**  

**WATER CONTENT & ATTERBERG LIMITS**

- **Dynamic Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m**
  - *  

- **Standard Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m**
  - ●

**Relative Density**

- Field Vane Test, kPa
- Remoulded Vane Test, kPa
- Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa

- Inferred Groundwater Level
- Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe

**Date:**

**Ap’d:**

**Date:**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (m)</th>
<th>ELEVATION (m)</th>
<th>SOIL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRATA PLOT</th>
<th>STRATA NUMBER</th>
<th>WATER LEVEL</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>RECOVERY (mm)</th>
<th>N-VALUE OR RQD</th>
<th>UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td>LIMESTONE with shaly partings bedrock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Grey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Excellent rock mass quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Moderate to wide spacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Strong intact rock strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>- Unweathered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Inferred Groundwater Level
- Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe

- Field Vane Test, kPa
- Remoulded Vane Test, kPa
- App'd
- Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa
- Date
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (m)</th>
<th>SOIL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRATA PLOT</th>
<th>WATER LEVEL</th>
<th>SAMPLES</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>RECOVERY</th>
<th>N-VALUE OR ROD</th>
<th>UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH - kPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>LIMESTONE with shaly partings bedrock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 16</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>- Grey</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 17</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>- Excellent rock mass quality</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 18</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>- Close to wide spacing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 19</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td>88%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>- Strong intact rock strength</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 20</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>- Unweathered</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 21</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HQ 22</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Inferred Groundwater Level

Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe

Field Vane Test, kPa
Remoulded Vane Test, kPa
Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa

App'd Date

WATER CONTENT & ATTERBERG LIMITS
DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST, BLOWS/0.3m
STANDARD PENETRATION TEST, BLOWS/0.3m
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (m)</th>
<th>ELEVATION (m)</th>
<th>SOIL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRATA PLOT</th>
<th>WATER LEVEL</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>RECOVERY (%)</th>
<th>N-VALUE OR RQD</th>
<th>UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH - kPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30.4</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>End of borehole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-31</td>
<td>PVC casing</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Inferred Groundwater Level
- Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe
- Field Vane Test, kPa
- Remoulded Vane Test, kPa
- Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa
- App'd
- Date

WATER CONTENT & ATTERBERG LIMITS
DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST, BLOWS/0.3m
STANDARD PENETRATION TEST, BLOWS/0.3m

BH 12-8
4 of 4

CLIENT: Richcraft Group of Companies
LOCATION: 159, 163 and 167 Parkdale Ave., Ottawa, ON
DATES: BORING January 9, 2012
WATER LEVEL

BOREHOLE No.: BH 12-8
PROJECT No.: 122410780
DATUM: Geodetic
## BOREHOLE RECORD

**CLIENT**: Richcraft Group of Companies  
**BOREHOLE No.**: BH 12-9  
**LOCATION**: 159, 163 and 167 Parkdale Ave.- Ottawa, ON  
**DATES**: BORING January 11, 2012  
**WATER LEVEL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (m)</th>
<th>SOIL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRATA PLOT</th>
<th>WATER LEVEL</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>RECOVERY (%)</th>
<th>N-VALUE OR RQD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>60.25</td>
<td>25 mm ASPHALT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>FILL: grey sand and gravel with rock fragments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59.2</td>
<td>End of borehole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>102mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Auger refusal on inferred bedrock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### UNDRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH (kPa)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WATER CONTENT &amp; ATERBERG LIMITS</th>
<th>DYNAMIC PENETRATION TEST, BLOW/S@0.3m</th>
<th>STANDARD PENETRATION TEST, BLOW/S@0.3m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V_p</td>
<td>w</td>
<td>W_l</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Inferred Groundwater Levels

- Inferred Groundwater Level
- Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe

---

Field Vane Test, kPa
Remoulded Vane Test, kPa
Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa

App'd ______
Date ______
### Monitoring Well Record

**MW 12-10**

**CLIENT:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**BOREHOLE No.:** MW 12-10

**LOCATION:** 159, 163 and 167 Parkdale Ave., Ottawa, ON  
**PROJECT No.:** 122410780

**DATES:** BORING: January 11, 2012  
**WATER LEVEL:** January 24, 2012  
**DATUM:** Geodetic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH (m)</th>
<th>SOIL DESCRIPTION</th>
<th>STRATA PLOT</th>
<th>WATER LEVEL</th>
<th>TYPE</th>
<th>NUMBER</th>
<th>RECOVERY (%m)</th>
<th>N-VALUE OR RQD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.66</td>
<td>12 mm ASPHALT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.6</td>
<td>FILL: dark brown silty sand with trace gravel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>End of borehole</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>Auger refusal on inferred bedrock</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>Monitoring well installed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Undrained Shear Strength (kPa):**

- 50
- 100
- 150
- 200

**Water Content & Atterberg Limits:**

- Wp
- Wl

**Dynamic Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m:**

- 

**Standard Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m:**

- 

**Inferred Groundwater Level**

- Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe

**Field Vane Test, kPa**

**Remoulded Vane Test, kPa**

**App'd**

**Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa**

**Date**
## Test Pit Record

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Location:** 159, 163 and 167 Parkdale Ave., Ottawa, ON  
**Dates:** Boring January 25, 2012  
**Data:** Geodetic  
**Borehole No.:** TP 12-11  
**Project No.:** 122410780

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth (m)</th>
<th>Soil Description</th>
<th>Strata Plot</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60.94</td>
<td>Dark brown organic TOPSOIL</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.8</td>
<td>FILL: Dark brown silty sand with gravel, some cobbles and boulders</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>End of test pit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Refusal on Inferred bedrock</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Undrained Shear Strength - kPa**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Water Content &amp; Atterberg Limits</th>
<th>Dynamic Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m</th>
<th>Standard Penetration Test, Blows/0.3m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wp</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Wk</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Inferred Groundwater Level**
- Inferred Groundwater Level
- Groundwater Level Measured in Standpipe

**Field Vane Test, kPa**  
**Remoulded Vane Test, kPa**  
**Pocket Penetrometer Test, kPa**  
**App'd Date**  
**Date**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>HQ 1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>HQ 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical Fracture 1.12 - 1.27 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>HQ 3</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Vertical Fracture 3.35 - 3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>HQ 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>V</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa)**
- EH = Extremely Strong = > 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE**
- B = Bedding Joint
- J = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°

**SPACING**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 5-30 cm
- VC = Very Close = <5 cm

**ROUGHNESS**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SU = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LU = Slickensided Undulating
- LP = Slickensided Planar

**FILLING**
- T = Tight, Hard
- O = Oxidized
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- SI = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
## Field Core Log

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Project:** Lyndale at Parkdale  
**Contractor:** Downing CME 55 Truck  
**Project No.:** 122410780  
**Date:** January 11, 2012  
**Borehole No.:** MW 12-7 (page 2 of 4)  
**Logger:** Jeff Forrester/Bridgit Bocage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>HQ 5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B  F  M  SP</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.94</td>
<td>HQ 6</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B  F  M  RP</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.47</td>
<td>HQ 7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B  F  M  RU</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.98</td>
<td>HQ 8</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B  F  C/M RU</td>
<td>T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa):**
- EH = Extremely Strong = > 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING:**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE:**
- B = Bedding Joint
- J = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION:**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°

**ROUGHNESS:**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SU = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LU = Slickensided Undulating
- LP = Slickensided Planar

**SPACING:**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 5-30 cm
- VC = Very Close = <3 cm

**FILLING:**
- T = Tight, Hard
- O = Oxidized
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- SI = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROCK</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.47</td>
<td>HQ 9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U 1</td>
<td>B F M RU SI</td>
<td>Seam @ 11.43 m</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>HQ 10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U 1</td>
<td>B F M/W RU T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.56</td>
<td>HQ 11</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U 1</td>
<td>B F M/W RU T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.09</td>
<td>HQ 12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U 1</td>
<td>B F M RU T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa):**
- EH = Extremely Strong = ≥ 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING:**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE:**
- B = Bedding Joint
- J = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION:**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- SI = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay

**SPACING:**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 5-30 cm
- VC = Very Close = <5 cm

**ROUGHNESS:**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SU = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LU = Slickensided Undulating
- LP = Slickensided Planar
### Field Core Log

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Project:** Lyndale at Parkdale  
**Contractor:** Downing CME 55 Truck  
**Project No.:** 122410780  
**Date:** January 11, 2012  
**Borehole No.:** MW 12-7 (page 4 of 4)  
**Logger:** Bridgit Bocage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% RQD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.64</td>
<td>HQ 13</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>18.16</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B/F M/W SP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa):**  
EH = Extremely Strong = > 250  
VS = Very Strong = 100-250  
S = Strong = 50-100  
MS = Medium Strong = 25-50  
W = Weak = 5 - 25  

**WEATHERING:**  
U = Unweathered = No Signs  
S = Slightly = Oxidized  
M = Moderately = Discoloured  
H = Highly = Friable  
C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE:**  
B = Bedding Joint  
J = Cross Joint  
F = Fault  
S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION:**  
F = Flat = 0-20°  
D = Dipping = 20-50°  
V = n-VERTICAL = >50°

**ROUGHNESS:**  
RU = Rough Undulating  
RP = Rough Planar  
SU = Smooth Undulating  
SP = Smooth Planar

**SPACING:**  
VW = Very Wide = >3m  
W = Wide = 1-3 m  
M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m  
C = Close = 5-30 cm  
VC = Very Close = <5 cm  

**FILLING:**  
T = Tight, Hard  
O = Oxidized  
SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free  
S = Sandy, Clay Free  
Si = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay  
NC = Non-softening Clay  
SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>HQ 2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F VC RP SA</td>
<td></td>
<td>Vertical Calcite Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>HQ 3</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>HQ 4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>Grey to Dark Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>J D M RU T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>HQ 5</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>Grey to Dark Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B F M SP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa)**
- EH = Extremely Strong = > 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE**
- B = Bedding Joint
- J = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°

**ROUGHNESS**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SU = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LU = Slickensided Undulating
- LP = Slickensided Planar

**SPACING**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 5-30 cm
- VC = Very Close = <5 cm

**FILLING**
- T = Tight, Hard
- O = Oxidized
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- SI = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
**Field Core Log**

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Project:** Lynwood at Parkdale  
**Contractor:** Downing CME 55 Truck  
**Project No.:** 122410780  
**Date:** January 9, 2012  
**Borehole No.:** MW 12-8 (page 2 of 6)  
**Logger:** Jeff Forrester

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>HQ 6</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F M RP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>HQ 7</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F M RP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.42</td>
<td>HQ 8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F M SP/RP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.92</td>
<td>HQ 9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F W RP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa)**  
EH = Extremely Strong = > 250  
VS = Very Strong = 100-250  
S = Strong = 50-100  
MS = Medium Strong = 25-50  
W = Weak = 5 – 25  

**WEATHERING**  
U = Unweathered = No Signs  
S = Slightly = Oxidized  
M = Moderately = Discoloured  
H = Highly = Friable  
C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE**  
B = Bedding Joint  
J = Cross Joint  
F = Fault  
S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION**  
F = Flat = 0-20°  
D = Dipping = 20-50°  
V = n-Vertical = >50°

**ROUGHNESS**  
RU = Rough Undulating  
RP = Rough Planar  
SU = Smooth Undulating  
SP = Smooth Planar  
LU = Lickensided Undulating  
LP = Lickensided Planar

**SPACING**  
VW = Very Wide = >3m  
W = Wide = 1-3 m  
M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m  
C = Close = 5-30 cm  
VC = Very Close = <5 cm  
T = Tight, Hard  
O = Oxidized  
SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free  
S = Sandy, Clay Free  
Sl = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay  
NC = Non-softening Clay  
SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10.41</td>
<td>HQ 10</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F M RP</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.91</td>
<td>HQ 11</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F W SP</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.44</td>
<td>HQ 12</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F M SP</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td>Calcite Cavity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.94</td>
<td>HQ 13</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa):**
- EH = Extremely Strong = > 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING:**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE:**
- B = Bedding Joint
- J = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION:**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°

**SPACING:**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 5-30 cm
- VC = Very Close = <3 cm

**ROUGHNESS:**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SU = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LU = Slickensided Undulating
- LP = Slickensided Planar

**FILLING:**
- T = Tight, Hard
- O = Oxidized
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- Si = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16.46</td>
<td>HQ 14</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>B F W SP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.93</td>
<td>HQ 15</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B F W SP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.46</td>
<td>HQ 16</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B F W SP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.98</td>
<td>HQ 17</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B F W SP T</td>
<td></td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa)**
- EH = Extremely Strong = > 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE**
- B = Bedding joint
- J = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°

**ROUGHNESS**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SU = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LU = Slickensided Undulating
- LP = Slickensided Planar

**SPACING**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 0.1-0.3 m
- VC = Very Close = <0.1 m

**FILLING**
- T = Tight, Hard
- Q = Oxidized
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- Si = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
### Field Core Log

**Client:** Richcraft Group of Companies  
**Project:** Lyndale at Parkdale  
**Contractor:** Downing CME 55 Truck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Depth From (m)</th>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>% Core Recovery</th>
<th>% Rod</th>
<th>Depth to (m)</th>
<th>General Description</th>
<th>Strength</th>
<th>Weathering</th>
<th>Discontinuities</th>
<th>Occasional Features</th>
<th>Drilling Observations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>22.48</td>
<td>HQ 18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>HQ 19</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>HQ 20</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.08</td>
<td>HQ 21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>28.58</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Strength (MPa):**  
- **EH** = Extremely Strong = ≥ 250  
- **VS** = Very Strong = 100-250  
- **S** = Strong = 50-100  
- **MS** = Medium Strong = 25-50  
- **W** = Weak = 5 - 25  

**Weathering:**  
- **U** = Unweathered = No Signs  
- **S** = Slightly = Oxidized  
- **M** = Moderately = Discoloured  
- **H** = Highly = Frangible  
- **C** = Completely = Soil-like  

**Discontinuity Type:**  
- **B** = bedding joint  
- **J** = cross joint  
- **F** = fault  
- **S** = shear plane  

**Orientation:**  
- **F** = flat = 0-20°  
- **D** = dipping = 20-50°  
- **V** = vertical = >50°  

**Spacing:**  
- **VW** = Very Wide = >3 m  
- **W** = Wide = 1-3 m  
- **M** = Moderate = 0.3-1 m  
- **C** = Close = 5-30 cm  
- **VC** = Very Close = <5 cm  

**Roughness:**  
- **RU** = Rough Undulating  
- **RP** = Rough Planar  
- **SL** = Smooth Undulating  
- **SP** = Smooth Planar  
- **LU** = Slickensided Undulating  
- **LP** = Slickensided Planar  

**Filling:**  
- **T** = Tight, Hard  
- **O** = Oxidized  
- **SA** = Slightly Altered, Clay Free  
- **S** = Sandy, Clay Free  
- **Si** = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay  
- **NC** = Non-softening Clay  
- **SC** = Swelling, Soft Clay

---

**Additional Information:**  
- **Project No.:** 122410780  
- **Date:** January 9, 2012  
- **Borehole No.:** MW 12-8 (page 5 of 6)  
- **Logger:** Jeff Forrester
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DEPTH FROM (m)</th>
<th>RUN NO.</th>
<th>% CORE RECOVERY</th>
<th>% ROD</th>
<th>DEPTH TO (m)</th>
<th>GENERAL DESCRIPTION (Rock Type/s, %, Colour, Texture, etc.)</th>
<th>STRENGTH</th>
<th>WEATHERING</th>
<th>DISCONTINUITIES</th>
<th>OCCASIONAL FEATURES</th>
<th>DRILLING OBSERVATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>28.58</td>
<td>HQ 21</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>30.07</td>
<td>Grey Limestone</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>B F M SP T</td>
<td>Shale Parting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**STRENGTH (MPa)**
- EH = Extremely Strong = > 250
- VS = Very Strong = 100-250
- S = Strong = 50-100
- MS = Medium Strong = 25-50
- W = Weak = 5 - 25

**WEATHERING**
- U = Unweathered = No Signs
- S = Slightly = Oxidized
- M = Moderately = Discoloured
- H = Highly = Friable
- C = Completely = Soil-like

**DISCONTINUITY TYPE**
- B = Bedding Joint
- I = Cross Joint
- F = Fault
- S = Shear Plane

**ORIENTATION**
- F = Flat = 0-20°
- D = Dipping = 20-50°
- V = n-Vertical = >50°

**ROUGHNESS**
- RU = Rough Undulating
- RP = Rough Planar
- SL = Smooth Undulating
- SP = Smooth Planar
- LL = Slicksided Undulating
- LP = Slicksided Planar

**SPACING**
- VW = Very Wide = >3m
- W = Wide = 1-3 m
- M = Moderate = 0.3-1 m
- C = Close = 5-30 cm
- VC = Very Close = <5 cm

**FILLING**
- T = Tight, Hard
- O = Oxidized
- SA = Slightly Altered, Clay Free
- S = Sandy, Clay Free
- Si = Sandy, Silty, Minor Clay
- NC = Non-softening Clay
- SC = Swelling, Soft Clay
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Core Photo No.:</th>
<th>Borehole:</th>
<th>Depth:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MW 12-7</td>
<td>0.38 – 1.4 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MW 12-7</td>
<td>1.40 – 4.42 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project No.: 122410780
Project Name: Parkdale Development

Rock Core Photo No.: 3  Borehole: MW 12-7  Depth: 4.42 – 7.47 m

Rock Core Photo No.: 4  Borehole: MW 12-7  Depth: 7.47 – 10.46 m
Rock Core Photo No.: 5  Borehole: MW 12-7  Depth: 10.46 – 13.56 m

Rock Core Photo No.: 6  Borehole: MW 12-7  Depth: 13.56 – 16.64 m
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Core Photo No.</th>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>MW 12-7</td>
<td>16.64 – 18.16 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>MW 12-8</td>
<td>1.32 – 3.86 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rock Core Photo No.: 9  |  Borehole: BH 12-8  |  Depth: 3.86 – 6.35 m

Rock Core Photo No.: 10  |  Borehole: MW 12-8  |  Depth: 6.35 – 8.92 m
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Core Photo No.:</th>
<th>Borehole:</th>
<th>Depth:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>BH 12-8</td>
<td>6.92 – 11.91 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>BH 12-8</td>
<td>11.91 – 14.94 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rock Core Photo No.: 13 | Borehole: BH 12-8 | Depth: 14.94 – 17.93 m

Rock Core Photo No.: 14 | Borehole: BH 12-8 | Depth: 17.93 – 20.98 m
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rock Core Photo No.</th>
<th>Borehole</th>
<th>Depth</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>BH 12-8</td>
<td>20.98 – 24.00 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>BH 12-8</td>
<td>24.00 – 27.08 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rock Core Photo No.:</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Borehole:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Unified Soil Classification System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SAND</th>
<th>Gravel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CLAY &amp; SILT</td>
<td>Fine</td>
<td>Medium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure No. 1**

**Sample ID**
- BH12-8 SS1
- MW12-10 SS1

**Grain Size Distribution**
- FILL

**Percent Retained vs. Percent Passing**
- U.S. Std. Sieve No.: 200, 100, 50, 30, 16, 8, 4
- Grain Size in Millimetres
- Sample ID:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>MW12-7 HQ-4 2.9 to 4.2 M</th>
<th>MW12-7 HQ-7 7.5 to 9.0 M</th>
<th>MW12-7 HQ-11 13.6 to 15.1 M</th>
<th>MW12-7 HQ-13 16.6 to 18.1 M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Description</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Diameter (mm) (&lt;47.0)</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen Length (mm)</td>
<td>156.00</td>
<td>156.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/D Ratio (2.0-2.5)</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>2.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure Load (lbs)</td>
<td>105636</td>
<td>118149</td>
<td>70015</td>
<td>131442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength (Mpa)</td>
<td>150.7</td>
<td>168.6</td>
<td>99.9</td>
<td>187.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightness by Procedure S1 (&lt;0.02inch)</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatness by Procedure FP2 (&lt;0.001inch)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallelism by Procedure FP2 (&lt;0.25°)</td>
<td>0.164</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpendicularity by Procedure P2 (&lt;0.0043)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture Condition</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of Break</td>
<td>Well formed cone @ one end with vertical cracking</td>
<td>Vertical cracking</td>
<td>Vertical cracking</td>
<td>Vertical cracking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Sample Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Description</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ-5</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ-8</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ-12</th>
<th>0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.9 to 4.4 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.42 to 8.92 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.5 to 14.9 M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Physical Description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Physical Description</th>
<th>As per Geo-tech Report</th>
<th>As per Geo-tech Report</th>
<th>As per Geo-tech Report</th>
<th>As per Geo-tech Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Average Diameter (mm) (&lt;47.0)</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specimen Length (mm)</td>
<td>153.00</td>
<td>138.00</td>
<td>150.00</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/D Ratio (2.0-2.5)</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>2.38</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure Load (lbs)</td>
<td>70874</td>
<td>88724</td>
<td>89580</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compressive Strength (Mpa)</td>
<td>101.1</td>
<td>126.6</td>
<td>127.8</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Straightness by Procedure S1 (&lt;0.02inch)</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flatness by Procedure FP2 (&lt;0.001inch)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parallelism by Procedure FP2 (&lt;0.25&quot;)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>0.055</td>
<td>0.158</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perpendicularity by Procedure P2 (&lt;0.0043)</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture Condition</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of Break</td>
<td>Well formed cone @ one end with vertical cracking</td>
<td>Well formed cone @ one end with vertical cracking</td>
<td>Well formed cone @ one end with vertical cracking</td>
<td>#DIV/0!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### ASTM-D7012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample Location</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ15 17.9-19m</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ18 22.5-24m</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ20 25.5-27.1m</th>
<th>BH12-8 HQ22 28.6-30.1m</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Description</strong></td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
<td>As per Geo-tech Report</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Diameter (mm) (&lt;47.0)</strong></td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
<td>63.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Specimen Length (mm)</strong></td>
<td>153.00</td>
<td>151.00</td>
<td>149.00</td>
<td>151.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>L/D Ratio (2.0-2.5)</strong></td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Failure Load (lbs)</strong></td>
<td>91340</td>
<td>106297</td>
<td>104766</td>
<td>95760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Compressive Strength (Mpa)</strong></td>
<td>130.3</td>
<td>151.7</td>
<td>149.5</td>
<td>136.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Straightness by Procedure S1 (&lt;0.02inch)</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
<td>&lt;0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Flatness by Procedure FP2 (&lt;0.001inch)</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Parallelism by Procedure FP2 (&lt;0.25°)</strong></td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>0.202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perpendicularity by Procedure P2 (&lt;0.0043)</strong></td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
<td>&lt;0.0043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Moisture Condition</strong></td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
<td>As-Received</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Description of Break D7012/11.1.13</strong></td>
<td>Well formed Cone with vertical cracking.</td>
<td>Vertical cracking</td>
<td>Well formed Cone with vertical cracking</td>
<td>Vertical cracking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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APPENDIX E

Rock Anchor: Resistance to Rock Mass Failure
Rock Anchor

Resistance to Rock Mass Failure

Required Safety Factor for Resistance to Rock Mass Failure: \[ \frac{W_R}{P} \geq 2.0 \]

Design Considerations:

1. Use 60° or 90° apex angle as per recommendations in the geotechnical report

\[ P = \text{Resultant of maximum axial anchor forces} \]
\[ D = \text{Height of rock cone} \]
\[ R = \text{Radius of rock cone} \]
\[ \theta = \text{Apex angle} \]
\[ L_B = \text{Bond length} \]
\[ \gamma_R = \text{Submerged unit weight of bedrock} \]
\[ W_R = \text{Weight of rock cone (} W_R = \frac{1}{3} \pi R^2 D \gamma_R \text{)} \]