

Application # D07-12-22-0155

2510 St. Laurent Blvd – Tree Conservation Report

Claridge Homes



Prepared for Claridge Homes
by Arcadis | IBI Group

January 30, 2024

IBI GROUP

Table of Contents

| | |
|---------------------------|---|
| CLIENT: | Claridge Homes |
| PROJECT NAME: | Walkley Conroy |
| REPORT TITLE: | 2510 St Laurent Blvd - Tree Conservation Report |
| ARCADIS REFERENCE: | 140253 |
| VERSION: | 3.0 |
| DIGITAL MASTER: | J:\140253_Walkley_Conr |
| ORIGINATOR: | Brittany Semmler, Ecologist |
| REVIEWER: | Alex Zeller, Associate – Manager, Natural Systems |

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Site Observation and Methodology | 1 |
| 3. Survey Results | 2 |
| 3.1. Tree Inventory Results | 2 |
| 3.2. Limitations of Assessment | 4 |
| 4. Criteria for Removal | 4 |
| 5. Criteria for Retention | 5 |
| 6. Mitigation Measures | 5 |
| 6.1. Privately Owned Trees on Adjacent Properties | 5 |
| 6.2. City Owned Trees | 6 |
| 6.3. Tree Preservation Mitigation Measures | 7 |
| 7. Tree Conservation Summary | 9 |
| Appendix A – Site Maps | 10 |
| Appendix B – Complete Tree Inventory | 18 |
| Appendix C - City of Ottawa Tree Protection Specification | 36 |

1. Introduction

Arcadis | IBI Group was retained to complete a tree inventory and prepare a Tree Conservation Report for development located at 2510 St. Laurent Boulevard (Subject Property). The proposed development consists of a residential area with medium-density dwellings and an urban park. Tree removals are required to facilitate the construction of the approved residential development within the 5.7-hectare property.

The purpose of this report is to identify those trees that will be impacted by the proposed development and construction activities within the Subject Property, identify opportunities for tree retention, and establish a mitigative framework for removals that allow for the implementation of impact avoidance measures, to minimize risk to surrounding natural heritage features.

The following was considered during the production of the Tree Conservation Report:

The characteristics of trees growing on site including species composition, size, figure, and other health considerations; The social and ecological functions of the trees identified; The sensitivity of these trees to disturbances (including changes to grade and drainage, sun and wind exposure, and proximity to physical construction activities).

This report aims to identify each individual tree of significance on the property as outlined by The City of Ottawa's Tree Protection By-law.

2. Site Observation and Methodology

The Subject Property is a decommissioned commercial lot with no existing structures present within the 5.7 ha. Large ornamental plant species such as: Norway Spruce (*Picea albies*), Blue Spruce (*Picea pungens*) and Honey Locust (*Gleditsia triacanthos*); mid-succession species such as Large Tooth Aspen (*Populus grandidentata*), Trembling Aspen (*Populus tremuloides*), and Green Ash (*Fraxinus pensynvanica*); and various invasive species such as European Buckthorn (*Rhamnus cathartica*) and Amur Honeysuckle (*Lonicera maackii*) dominate the landscape within the Subject Property.

Trees were assessed and inventoried on June 24th and June 27th of 2022 by a qualified terrestrial ecologist. Weather conditions were sunny, with a temperature of 27°C and 22°C respectively.

All trees greater than 10 cm Diameter at Breast Height (DBH) were measured using a calibrated diameter tape at 1.4 m above ground as per the City of Ottawa's Tree Protection By-law (No. 2020-340).

Tree inventory data included the following metrics: tree species, general health conditions, DBH, UTM coordinates, and other notable characteristics identified by the surveyor (i.e. number of stems, cavities, etc...).

3. Survey Results

The vegetation on this vacant commercial property (Figures 1-3.3) can be described as a disturbed urban tree stand composed of several non-native and invasive tree species of various sizes and stages of development. The trees within the tree stand may provide cover and nesting habitat services for birds and other wildlife. However, none of the inventoried trees possessed cavities that would be suitable for any significant wildlife habitat.

Invasive species such as European Buckthorn and Dog Strangling Vine (*Cynanchum rossicum*) were prevalent within the Subject Property. These invasive species are present within the understory of taller conifers and canopy trees, fence lines, and open hedge rows. The presence of Emerald Ash Borer (EAB) was evident within some of the dead tree snags. The presence of these invasive species within the urban tree canopy can have a significant impact on the ecological integrity of the existing landscape. Over time, invasive could outcompete and displace native vegetation by impacting the existing species diversity and as has likely occurred within the Subject Property.

Four major structures existed on the Subject property until they were demolished around 2007. Currently, all that remains of the existing structures are the abandoned parking lots that once serviced the units. Additionally, the urban woodlot serves no social value as it is fenced off from the public.

3.1. Tree Inventory Results

271 trees with a DBH greater than 10cm were located within the Development Footprint during field visits. A total of 26 different tree species were found in varying stages of maturity with an average of 23cm DBH. Larger trees within the lot are predominately ornamental spruces, pines, and honey locust species. Some larger native trees such as Red Oaks and Sugar Maples are present throughout the Subject Property, however presence is limited. Smaller diameter trees throughout the Subject Property are predominantly Poplar species, Manitoba Maple, Russian Olive, and Green Ash trees.

The following table (**Table 1**) provides a summary of the grouped tree inventory results with a full tree inventory in Appendix B.

None of the trees identified within the inventoried footprint are considered to be at risk or regionally rare.

Table 1: Summary of grouped tree inventories for 2510 St Laurent Blvd

| COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | AVERAGE DBH | AVERAGE HEALTH | TOTAL TREES INVENTORIED |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-------------------------|
| Amur Honeysuckle | <i>Lonicera maackii</i> | 13 | Good | 4 |
| Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | 14 | Good | 15 |
| Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | 38 | Fair | 27 |
| Balsam Poplar | <i>Populus balsamifera</i> | 10 | Good | 1 |
| Basswood | <i>Tilia americana</i> | 31 | Excellent | 1 |
| Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | 30 | Good | 54 |
| Canada Plum | <i>Prunus nigra</i> | 15 | Good | 1 |
| Crabapple Tree | <i>Malus spp.</i> | 12 | Fair | 2 |
| Siberian Elm | <i>Ulmus pumila</i> | 12 | Good | 2 |
| Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | 15 | Fair | 16 |
| Honey Locust | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> | 29 | Good | 4 |
| Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | 13 | Very Good | 27 |
| Little Leaf Linden | <i>Tilia cordata</i> | 32 | Very Good | 2 |
| Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | 14 | Good | 21 |
| Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | 34 | Very Good | 5 |
| Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | 37 | Very Good | 17 |
| Peach Leaf Willow | <i>Salix amygdaloides</i> | 12 | Very Good | 4 |
| Red Oak | <i>Quercus rubra</i> | 39 | Good | 3 |
| Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | 16 | Good | 12 |
| Staghorn Sumac | <i>Rhus typhina</i> | 16 | Good | 1 |
| Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | 39 | Very Good | 6 |
| Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | 12 | Very Good | 14 |
| Unknown | N/A | 21 | Dead | 22 |
| White Ash | <i>Fraxinus americana</i> | 15 | Very Good | 1 |
| White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | 19 | Very Good | 8 |
| White Spruce | <i>Picea glauca</i> | 19 | Good | 1 |
| | | | Total | 271 |

3.2. Limitations of Assessment

The inventory and assessment provided in this report has been completed using techniques of visual observation of above-ground parts of each tree. This tree assessment is therefore valid at the time of inspection, and no guarantee can be made about the continued health of the trees deemed to be in good condition.

In addition, due to tree canopy cover, there can be variability associated with the accuracy of the GPS utilized during the inventory. As such, the inventoried tree locations are approximate.

4. Criteria for Removal

Tree removals within the property have been determined by cross referencing recorded tree locations with the proposed site plan. The site plan which has been guided by the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-law that identifies that the Subject Property zoned as General Mixed Use and allows for the development of residential units (ie. apartment, stacked, or townhouse dwellings).

The Critical Root Zone (CRZ) of 159 trees located within the Subject Property are in direct conflict with the proposed site plan (Figure 2) and will require removal. Physical impacts on the CRZ by construction activities rapidly deteriorates the overall health, quality, and ecological service of the tree.

In addition to the 159 trees within the Subject Property, **the development will require the removal of 1 privately owned tree on an adjacent property (tree 229), and 1 City owned tree (tree 302). Replacement and compensation requirements are outlined within Section 6 of this report.**

The tree inventory identified a number of invasive and non-native species on site. The removal of invasive tree species will help protect adjacent natural heritage features, and local biodiversity. Landscape plans for the proposed development should favour native species that hold greater ecological and social value to local communities.

To protect trees in properties adjacent to the Subject Property orange snow fence should be installed to serve as tree protection fencing along the perimeter of the construction footprint (**Figures 3.1-3.3**). Additionally, to ensure that no harm is caused to breeding birds, tree removal and vegetation clearing should be avoided during the migratory bird season (April 15 – August 15) as specified by The City of Ottawa's Environmental Impact Study Guidelines.

5. Criteria for Retention

Trees have been considered for retention in instances where they do not conflict with the development footprint, or where there is limited anticipated impact to the CRZ of high-quality trees. Characteristics such as species type, location in development area, overall tree quality, and relative age, aided in determining a tree's potential for retention.

Additionally, retention has been considered for trees owned by the City of Ottawa, or adjacent landowners.

A total of 110 trees have been identified as being considered for retention (Appendix B).

- 69 of the retainable trees are located within the proposed park area. Removals within this area should be determined at the detailed design stage for the park. Where possible, the design should prioritize the retention of healthy trees, such as the following tree numbers: 91, 92, 94, 95, 96, 99, 101, 102, and 108.
- 41 trees within and adjacent to the project footprint have been identified as retainable.

Efforts will be taken to maintain the health and well being of each retainable tree. Tree protection fencing must be installed around the critical root zone of all retained trees and recommended areas of tree protection interest as based on the guidance of the City of Ottawa's Tree Protection Specification under the City of Ottawa's Tree Protection (By-law No. 2020-340).

6. Mitigation Measures

The success of this mitigation plan is largely dependent upon the execution of clearing and construction activities to minimize impacts while meeting the planned objectives. The following mitigation and monitoring requirements are intended to manage the potential risk on the local ecology and ensure this conservation plan is executed to the standards expected by the City of Ottawa and the local community.

This section describes the mitigation measures that are to be implemented in order to ensure the survival of trees deemed retainable within, or adjacent to the Subject Property.

6.1. Privately Owned Trees on Adjacent Properties

A drainage swale is proposed on the southwestern boundary of the property, adjacent to 2520 St-Laurent Blvd. The swale abuts the property limits, and excavation may impact the CRZ of privately owned trees on the adjacent property. The swale is located 1.5 metres from the property line, and will contain a 250 mm pipe, surrounding by clear stone to allow for drainage of the property. The excavation of the swale will likely interfere with the CRZ of **trees 403, 407, 415, 426, 300** which are located on the above noted adjacent property.

A retaining wall is proposed on the southeastern boundary of the property, adjacent to 2502 St-Laurent Boulevard. The installation of the retaining wall will require the removal of **tree 229**.

A monitoring plan should be developed to ensure the long-term survivability of these trees. **Table 3** details the species, and health condition of the trees that will require monitoring.

Specific mitigation for trees whose CRZ may be impacted are listed in Section 6.3 of the report.

6.2. City Owned Trees

There are 5 trees within the road right-of-way along (St. Laurent Blvd) that are identified as City owned trees. 4 trees are recommended for retention; however, **tree number 302 will require removal to accommodate utilities, drainage, and the road network.** Certain trees that are recommended for retention such as **trees 300, 301, 303, 304**, may be impacted due to excavation within the CRZ of the trees. A monitoring plan shall be developed to ensure the long-term survivability of these trees. **Table 3** details the species, and health condition of the tree that will require monitoring.

Specific mitigation for trees whose CRZ may be impacted are listed in Section 6.3 of the report.

Table 3: Summary of trees to be monitored for survivability.

| TREE ID | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | DBH | HEALTH | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|---------------|-------------------------|-----|-----------|---------------------------------------|
| 300 | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | 10 | Very Good | City owned tree |
| 301 | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | 27 | Very Good | City owned tree |
| 303 | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | 31 | Very Good | City owned tree |
| 304 | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | 37 | Very Good | City owned tree |
| 403 | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | 39 | Good | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 407 | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | 33 | Very Good | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 415 | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | 43 | Very Good | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 419 | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | 34 | Very Good | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 426 | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | 46 | Very Good | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |

6.3. Tree Preservation Mitigation Measures

The following tree protection and mitigation measures are recommended:

- Removals in Park Blocks shall be determined at the detailed design stage. The retention of healthy trees shall be prioritized where possible, and Tree Protection Fencing shall be installed in a manner that protects the CRZ of retainable trees.
- Grading plans shall ensure that the CRZ of the trees identified for retention are not impacted.
- The limit of all grading shall be clearly staked in the field in advance of tree clearing to facilitate the flagging/marking of trees that need to be removed.
- Tree Protection Fencing shall be installed as per the mapping in **Figures 3.1-3.3** of the TCR to protect the CRZ of the trees to be retained.
 - Tree protection fencing must be at least 1.2m in height, and constructed of rigid or framed materials (e.g. moduloc - steel, plywood hoarding, or snow fence on a 2"x4" wood frame) with posts 2.4m apart, such that the fence location cannot be altered. All supports and bracing must be placed outside of the CRZ, and installation must minimise damage to existing roots, as per the City of Ottawa Tree Protection Specification (**Appendix C**).
 - Tree protection fencing shall be monitored weekly to ensure that it is in working order. Should deficiencies be identified, the contractor must ensure to fix the fence within 48 hours of notice.
 - Do not place any material or equipment within the CRZ of any trees to be preserved.
 - There shall be no access to the area beyond the limit of construction. All construction access shall be limited to the development side of the tree protection fence.
 - Do not attach any signs, notices, or posters to any tree.
 - Do not raise or lower the existing grade within the CRZ of trees without approval.
 - Do not tunnel or bore when digging within the CRZ of a tree without approval.
 - A qualified professional shall inspect the fencing prior to commencement of construction activities to confirm the tree protection measures are adequate.
- A qualified professional shall mark all trees (dead and alive) that need to be removed, relative to the staked grading limits and referring the tree inventory.
- An updated removals tally shall be provided to the City Forester for review to ensure general compliance with the permit.

- If tree clearing is required during the breeding bird season (April 5th to August 28th), a qualified biologist shall undertake a search for active nests and nesting behaviors within and adjacent to the clearing limits within 2 days before clearing activities begin. If nesting activity is identified, an appropriate area around the nest (as determined by the qualified biologist) shall be protected until the young have left the nest or the nest is abandoned.
- **Should roots be encountered during construction, they are to be clean cut using proper arboricultural practices to minimize root damage and impact to tree health. These shall be conducted by, or under the supervision of a qualified professional as per the City of Ottawa’s requirements.**
- **Trees listed within Table 3 of the report shall be monitored for long-term survivability. This includes routine monitoring by a qualified professional during the construction activities that may impact tree health, as well as post-construction monitoring that shall occur 1-year post-completion.**
- **The privately owned tree on adjacent property that is proposed for removal (Tree 229) will be replaced at a ratio of 2:1 and will be accounted for within the Landscaping Plan. Should replacement not be feasible, monetary compensation shall be provided as described in Schedule D of the City of Ottawa’s Tree Protection By-law.**
- **Should the privately owned trees outlined within Table 3 of the report die throughout construction, or within 1-year post construction, they shall be replaced at a ratio of 1:1 with a caliper sized tree of a comparable species. Should replacement not be feasible, monetary compensation shall be provided as described in Schedule D of the City of Ottawa’s Tree Protection By-law.**
- **The City owned tree that is proposed for removal (Tree 302) will be replaced at a ratio of 2:1 and will be accounted for within the Landscaping Plan. Should replacement not be feasible, monetary compensation shall be provided as described in Schedule D of the City of Ottawa’s Tree Protection By-law.**
- **Should the City owned trees outlined within Table 3 of the report die throughout construction, or within 1-year post construction, they shall be replaced at a ratio of 2:1 with a caliper sized tree of a comparable species. Should replacement not be feasible, monetary compensation shall be provided as described in Schedule D of the City of Ottawa’s Tree Protection By-law.**
- To minimize the risks to adjacent natural heritage features and wildlife during construction, the following best management procedures and mitigation measures should be followed prior to and during construction:
 - Prior to the start of tree clearing, a qualified biologist should conduct site visit(s) with the contractor to review exactly which trees need to be removed and to identify those trees that that can be ‘topped’ to provided wildlife habitat.
 - A qualified professional should be on-site for vegetation clearing to ensure only those trees selected for removal are being removed.

7. Tree Conservation Summary

To accommodate the proposed residential development, it is expected that tree removals will be required for the construction of medium-density residential development and its associated infrastructure. Trees considered for removal were determined based off the site plan, and the overall impact to a tree's critical root zone. Ecological impacts associated with the removal of identified trees will be permanent but limited due to the presence of invasive and non-native/cultivated trees within the Subject Property. Urban tree cover quality is likely to improve with the installation of newly planted native tree species. The proposed works would result in the removal of 161 trees over an area of 5.7 hectares. The tree selection for the Subject Property and the proposed park should incorporate native trees to enhance the ecological integrity. Native plantings will extend The City of Ottawa's existing wildlife corridors within the south end by connection existing nearby green spaces such as Sharel Park, Fairlea Park, and Orlando Park.

Tree removals are to be guided by a trained professional where a site visit is required to mark all trees to be removed to ensure that no additional trees are harmed or killed during the works. The Tree Conservation Plan is to be reviewed by the City of Ottawa to ensure that the plan adequately mitigates the anticipated impacts of tree removals.

Sincerely,




Brittany Semmler, HB.Sc.
Ecologist, Natural Systems



Alex Zeller, M.Sc.
Associate – Manager, Natural Systems

Appendix A – Site Maps




Legend

- Study Area
- Subject Property

Tree Inventory

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Snag
- Dead



Client:

Claridge Homes

Title:

2510 St. Laurent Blvd:
Study Area


Prepared By:

ARCADIS | IBI GROUP

Project: 140253

Date:
2024-01-29

Figure: 1




Legend

- Site Plan (Jan 11, 2024)
- - - Park Boundary
- Subject Property

Tree Inventory

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Snag
- Dead



Client:

Claridge Homes

Title:

2510 St. Laurent Blvd:
Site Plan


Prepared By:

ARCADIS | IBI GROUP

Project: 140253

Date:
2024-01-29

Figure: 2




Legend

- X Tree Removal
- Site Plan (Jan 11, 2024)
- Tree Protection Fencing
- Park Boundary
- Subject Property
- Potential to be Retained
- Trees to be Removed
- Critical Root Zone (CRZ)

Tree Inventory

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- Snag
- Dead



Client:

Claridge Homes

Title:

2510 St. Laurent Blvd:
Tree Protection and Removals:
Area-A


Prepared By:

ARCADIS | IBI GROUP

Project: 140253

Date:
2024-01-30

Figure: 3.1




Legend

- ✕ Tree Removal
- Site Plan (Jan 11, 2024)
- Tree Protection Fencing
- Subject Property
- ▨ Potential to be Retained
- ▨ Trees to be Removed
- Critical Root Zone (CRZ)

Tree Inventory

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Dead



Client:
Claridge Homes

Title:
 2510 St. Laurent Blvd:
 Tree Protection and Removals:
 Area-B

Prepared By:
ARCADIS | IBI GROUP

Project: 140253
 Date:
 2024-01-29

Figure: 3.2




Legend

- ✕ Tree Removal
- Trees to Monitor
- Site Plan (Jan 11, 2024)
- Tree Protection Fencing
- Park Boundary
- Subject Property
- Potential to be Retained
- Trees to be Removed
- Critical Root Zone (CRZ)
- Western Drain Clear Stone Surround (0.3m)

Tree Inventory

- Excellent
- Very Good
- Good
- Fair
- Dead



Client:

Claridge Homes

Title:

2510 St. Laurent Blvd:
Tree Protection and Removals:
Area-C

Prepared By:

ARCADIS | IBI GROUP

Project: 140253

Date:
2024-01-29

Figure: 3.3

Appendix B – Complete Tree Inventory

Table 2 Complete tree inventory list, including condition, recommended action, and action rationale for all trees.

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 30 | 34 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 31 | 26 | No | Snag | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 34 | 11 | No | Poor | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 35 | 40 | No | Poor | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 36 | 39 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 37 | 38 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 38 | 37 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 39 | 48 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 40 | 16 | Yes | Fair | Honey Locust | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 41 | 37 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 42 | 34 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 43 | 21 | Yes | Dead | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 44 | 35 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 45 | 30 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 46 | 23 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 47 | 13 | No | Fair | Crabapple Tree | <i>Malus spp.</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 48 | 20 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 49 | 11 | Yes | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 50 | 18 | Yes | Poor | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 51 | 29 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 52 | 44 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 53 | 25 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 54 | 28 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 55 | 32 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 56 | 32 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 57 | 29 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 58 | 38 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 59 | 38 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 60 | 50 | No | Good | Red Oak | <i>Quercus rubra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 61 | 13 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 62 | 11 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 63 | 10 | No | Very Good | Peach Leaf Willow | <i>Salix amygdaloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 64 | 10 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 65 | 23 | Yes | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 66 | 20 | No | Dead | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 67 | 28 | No | Poor | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 68 | 28 | No | Poor | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 69 | 24 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 70 | 17 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 71 | 39 | No | Very Good | Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 72 | 28 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 73 | 41 | No | Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 74 | 11 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 75 | 52 | No | Good | Honey Locust | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 76 | 35 | No | Good | Honey Locust | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 77 | 37 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 78 | 26 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 79 | 29 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 80 | 29 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 81 | 37 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 82 | 29 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 83 | 15 | Yes | Good | Honey Locust | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 84 | 28 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 85 | 38 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 86 | 34 | No | Very Good | Honey Locust | <i>Gleditsia triacanthos</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 87 | 32 | No | Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 88 | 36 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 89 | 23 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 90 | 20 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 91 | 18 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 92 | 17 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 93 | 11 | No | Fair | Crabapple Tree | <i>Malus spp.</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 94 | 34 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 95 | 27 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 96 | 29 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 97 | 20 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 98 | 15 | Yes | Poor | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 99 | 44 | No | Excellent | Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | Potential to be Retained | Updated Site Plan places this tree within the proposed park block. As there is no detailed design available for the proposed park, the tree can be retained. Tree removal details for the park will occur at the detailed | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | design stage, and trees identified by the City should be considered for incorporation in the design. | |
| 100 | 16 | Yes | Very Good | Peach Leaf Willow | <i>Salix amygdaloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 101 | 38 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 102 | 38 | No | Poor | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 103 | 13 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 104 | 12 | No | Very Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 105 | 12 | No | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 106 | 11 | No | Very Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a swale | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 107 | 10 | No | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 108 | 36 | No | Very Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 109 | 43 | No | Poor | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a swale | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 110 | 33 | No | Good | Red Oak | <i>Quercus rubra</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan places this tree within the footprint of a home and is not suitable for retention. Tree is to be removed. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 111 | 37 | No | Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 112 | 35 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 113 | 12 | No | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 114 | 14 | Yes | Excellent | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 115 | 15 | Yes | Excellent | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 116 | 12 | Yes | Excellent | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 117 | 11 | No | Excellent | Peach Leaf Willow | <i>Salix amygdaloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 118 | 15 | No | Excellent | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 119 | 21 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 120 | 15 | Yes | Fair | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 121 | 15 | No | Excellent | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 122 | 25 | No | Snag | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 123 | 21 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 124 | 19 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 125 | 28 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 126 | 40 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 127 | 24 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 128 | 39 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 129 | 36 | No | Dead | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 130 | 35 | No | Dead | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 131 | 37 | No | Dead | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 132 | 25 | No | Dead | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 135 | 29 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 136 | 12 | Yes | Fair | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 137 | 13 | Yes | Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 138 | 15 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 139 | 11 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 140 | 15 | Yes | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 141 | 18 | Yes | Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 142 | 28 | Yes | Fair | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 143 | 18 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 144 | 11 | No | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 145 | 17 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 146 | 10 | No | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 147 | 12 | No | Fair | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 148 | 22 | Yes | Fair | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 149 | 15 | Yes | Fair | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 150 | 48 | No | Fair | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 151 | 18 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 152 | 28 | Yes | Fair | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 153 | 44 | No | Excellent | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 154 | 12 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 155 | 13 | Yes | Excellent | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 156 | 33 | Yes | Poor | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a swale | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 157 | 48 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a swale | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 158 | 10 | Yes | Very Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 159 | 11 | No | Very Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 160 | 11 | No | Very Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Tree removal is required for construction | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 161 | 11 | No | Very Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 162 | 10 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 163 | 11 | No | Excellent | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 164 | 23 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 165 | 14 | No | Very Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 166 | 10 | No | Good | Balsam Poplar | <i>Populus balsamifera</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 167 | 10 | No | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 168 | 12 | No | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 169 | 12 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 170 | 14 | Yes | Excellent | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 171 | 15 | Yes | Good | White Ash | <i>Fraxinus americana</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 172 | 13 | No | Poor | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 173 | 14 | Yes | Very Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Potential to be Retained | In park block, removal to be determined at detailed design stage. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 174 | 15 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 175 | 15 | No | Good | Canada Plum | <i>Prunus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 176 | 10 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 177 | 10 | No | Excellent | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 178 | 13 | Yes | Very Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 179 | 10 | No | Very Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 180 | 33 | No | Very Good | Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 181 | 12 | Yes | Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 182 | 2 | Yes | Very Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 183 | 10 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 184 | 10 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 185 | 11 | Yes | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 186 | 12 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 187 | 10 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 188 | 11 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 189 | 11 | Yes | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 190 | 10 | Yes | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 191 | 10 | Yes | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 192 | 14 | Yes | Very Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 193 | 14 | No | Very Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 194 | 10 | No | Very Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 195 | 13 | No | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 196 | 10 | Yes | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 197 | 13 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 198 | 10 | No | Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 199 | 14 | No | Very Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 200 | 11 | No | Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 201 | 10 | No | Very Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 202 | 49 | No | Excellent | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 203 | 13 | Yes | Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 204 | 14 | No | Good | White Poplar | <i>Populus alba</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 205 | 12 | Yes | Fair | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 206 | 11 | No | Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 207 | 16 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 208 | 24 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 209 | 19 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 210 | 22 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 211 | 24 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 212 | 37 | No | Fair | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 213 | 29 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 214 | 31 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 215 | 29 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 216 | 29 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 217 | 41 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 218 | 34 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 219 | 29 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 220 | 25 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 221 | 14 | Yes | Good | Amur Honeysuckle | <i>Lonicera maackii</i> | Retain | Updated Site plan identifies this section of trees as retainable. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 222 | 14 | Yes | Good | Amur Honeysuckle | <i>Lonicera maackii</i> | Retain | Updated Site plan identifies this section of trees as retainable. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 223 | 14 | Yes | Good | Amur Honeysuckle | <i>Lonicera maackii</i> | Retain | Updated Site plan identifies this section of trees as retainable. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 224 | 13 | Yes | Good | Amur Honeysuckle | <i>Lonicera maackii</i> | Retain | Updated Site plan identifies this section of trees as retainable. Tree | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| | | | | | | | protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ. | |
| 225 | 35 | No | Very Good | Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | Retain | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree can be retained. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ as it borders the property line. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 226 | 10 | No | Good | Elm spp. | <i>Ulmus pumila</i> | Retain | Outside property limit. Tree to be retained, CRZ of tree to be protected. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 227 | 27 | No | Fair | Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | Retain | Native Species, outside property limit. Tree to be retained, CRZ of tree to be protected. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 228 | 12 | No | Good | Elm spp. | <i>Ulmus pumila</i> | Retain | Outside property limit. Tree to be retained, CRZ of tree to be protected. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 229 | 55 | No | Good | Sugar Maple | <i>Acer saccharum</i> | Remove | Tree removal required to be monitored due to it's location on the proposed retaining wall | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 230 | 10 | No | Very Good | Trembling Aspen | <i>Populus tremuloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 231 | 37 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a road right-of-way. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 232 | 13 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 233 | 14 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 234 | 35 | No | Very Good | Little Leaf Linden | <i>Tilia cordata</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a road right-of-way. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 235 | 18 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 236 | 21 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 237 | 31 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a road right-of-way. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------------|
| 238 | 11 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 239 | 31 | No | Excellent | Basswood | <i>Tilia americana</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a road right-of-way. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 240 | 13 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 241 | 11 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 242 | 12 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 243 | 12 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 244 | 10 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer ginnala</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 245 | 39 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 246 | 39 | No | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a noise wall | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 247 | 34 | No | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a swale | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 248 | 25 | Yes | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Tree removal is necessitated by the installation of a swale | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 249 | 35 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a parking lot. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 250 | 39 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a parking lot. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 251 | 34 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 252 | 38 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |


| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| 253 | 45 | No | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a parking lot. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 254 | 29 | No | Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Remove | Updated Site Plan shows that this tree cannot be retained as it is within a parking lot. | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 255 | 24 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 256 | 12 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 257 | 12 | No | Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 258 | 10 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 259 | 28 | No | Very Good | Little Leaf Linden | <i>Tilia cordata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 260 | 22 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 261 | 13 | Yes | Good | Russian Olive Tree | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 262 | 15 | No | Dead | Unknown | Unknown | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 263 | 13 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 264 | 11 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 265 | 21 | No | Very Good | Large Tooth Aspen | <i>Populus grandidentata</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 266 | 12 | Yes | Very Good | Peach Leaf Willow | <i>Salix amygdaloides</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 267 | 30 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 268 | 32 | No | Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Remove | Required for construction activities | Tree is privately owned by applicant |
| 300 | 10 | No | Very Good | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | Retain and monitor | Tree health to be monitored due to proximity to construction. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ | City of Ottawa |
| 301 | 37 | No | Very Good | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | Retain | Tree owned by City of Ottawa | City of Ottawa |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 302 | 27 | No | Very Good | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | Remove | Located within Road Right of Way, tree to be compensated for in Landscaping Plan | City of Ottawa |
| 303 | 36 | No | Very Good | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | Retain | Tree owned by City of Ottawa | City of Ottawa |
| 304 | 31 | No | Very Good | Norway Maple | <i>Acer platanoides</i> | Retain | Tree owned by City of Ottawa | City of Ottawa |
| 305 | 37 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Retain | Tree owned by City of Ottawa | City of Ottawa |
| 306 | 22 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Retain | Tree owned by City of Ottawa | City of Ottawa |
| 307 | 25 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Retain | Tree owned by City of Ottawa | City of Ottawa |
| 400 | 46 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 402 | 23 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Updated Site Plan interferes with the CRZ of this tree. | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 403 | 39 | No | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain and monitor | Tree health to be monitored due to proximity to construction. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 404 | 11 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 405 | 12 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Updated Site Plan Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ as it borders the property line. | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 406 | 19 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 407 | 33 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain and monitor | Tree health to be monitored due to proximity to construction. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |


| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 408 | 13 | Yes | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 409 | 20 | Yes | Very Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 410 | 18 | No | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 411 | 31 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 412 | 29 | Yes | Good | Russian Olive | <i>Elaeagnus angustifolia</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 413 | 11 | Yes | Good | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 414 | 10 | Yes | Fair | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 415 | 43 | No | Very Good | Austrian Pine | <i>Pinus nigra</i> | Retain and monitor | Tree health to be monitored due to proximity to construction. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 416 | 24 | No | Excellent | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 417 | 19 | No | Good | White Spruce | <i>Picea glauca</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 418 | 15 | No | Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 419 | 34 | No | Very Good | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Retain and monitor | Tree health to be monitored due to proximity to construction. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 420 | 19 | Yes | Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |

| TREE ID | DBH (CM) | MULTI STEM TREE | GENERAL CONDITION | COMMON NAME | BOTANICAL NAME | RECOMENDED ACTION | ACTION RATIONALLE | OWNERSHIP |
|---------|----------|-----------------|-------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|---|---------------------------------------|
| 421 | 15 | Yes | Very Good | Amur Maple | <i>Acer tataricum ssp. ginnala</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 422 | 11 | No | Poor | Blue Spruce | <i>Picea pungens</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 423 | 14 | No | Good | Manitoba Maple | <i>Acer negundo</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 424 | 16 | No | Good | Staghorn Sumac | <i>Rhus typhina</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 425 | 11 | No | Dead | Green Ash | <i>Fraxinus pennsylvanica</i> | Retain | Tree on Adjacent Property | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 426 | 46 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Retain and monitor | Tree health to be monitored due to proximity to construction. Tree protection fencing will be required to protect the CRZ | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 427 | 38 | No | excellent | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Critical Root Zone will be impacted by drainage construction | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |
| 428 | 46 | No | Very Good | Norway Spruce | <i>Picea abies</i> | Remove | Critical Root Zone will be impacted by drainage construction | Privately owned tree on adjacent land |

Appendix C - City of Ottawa Tree Protection Specification



PLAN VIEW



TREE PROTECTION REQUIREMENTS:

1. PRIOR TO ANY WORK ACTIVITY WITHIN THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (CRZ = 10 X DIAMETER) OF A TREE, TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE INSTALLED SURROUNDING THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE, AND REMAIN IN PLACE UNTIL THE WORK IS COMPLETE.
2. UNLESS PLANS ARE APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF, FOR WORK WITHIN THE CRZ:
 - DO NOT PLACE ANY MATERIAL OR EQUIPMENT - INCLUDING OUTHOUSES;
 - DO NOT ATTACH ANY SIGNS, NOTICES OR POSTERS TO ANY TREE;
 - DO NOT RAISE OR LOWER THE EXISTING GRADE;
 - TUNNEL OR BORE WHEN DIGGING;
 - DO NOT DAMAGE THE ROOT SYSTEM, TRUNK, OR BRANCHES OR ANY TREE;
 - ENSURE THAT EXHAUST FUMES FROM ALL EQUIPMENT ARE NOT DIRECTED TOWARD ANY TREE CANOPY.
 - DO NOT EXTEND HARD SURFACE OR SIGNIFICANTLY CHANGE LANDSCAPING
3. TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE AT LEAST 1.2M IN HEIGHT, AND CONSTRUCTED OF RIGID OR FRAMED MATERIALS (E.G. MODULOC - STEEL, PLYWOOD HOARDING, OR SNOW FENCE ON A 2"X4" WOOD FRAME) WITH POSTS 2.4M APART, SUCH THAT THE FENCE LOCATION CANNOT BE ALTERED. ALL SUPPORTS AND BRACING MUST BE PLACED OUTSIDE OF THE CRZ, AND INSTALLATION MUST MINIMISE DAMAGE TO EXISTING ROOTS. (SEE DETAIL)
4. THE LOCATION OF THE TREE PROTECTION FENCING MUST BE DETERMINED BY AN ARBORIST AND DETAILED ON ANY ASSOCIATED PLANS FOR THE SITE (E.G. TREE CONSERVATION REPORT, TREE INFORMATION REPORT, ETC). THE PLAN AND CONSTRUCTED FENCING MUST BE APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF WORK.
5. IF THE FENCED TREE PROTECTION AREA MUST BE REDUCED TO FACILITATE CONSTRUCTION, MITIGATION MEASURES MUST BE PRESCRIBED BY AN ARBORIST AND APPROVED BY CITY FORESTRY STAFF. THESE MAY INCLUDE THE PLACEMENT OF PLYWOOD, WOOD CHIPS, OR STEEL PLATING OVER THE ROOTS FOR PROTECTION OR THE PROPER PRUNING AND CARE OF ROOTS WHERE ENCOUNTERED.

THE CITY'S TREE PROTECTION BY-LAW, 2020-340 PROTECTS BOTH CITY-OWNED TREES, CITY-WIDE, AND PRIVATELY-OWNED TREES WITHIN THE URBAN AREA. PLEASE REFER TO WWW.OTTAWA.CA/TREEBYLAW FOR MORE INFORMATION ON HOW THE TREE BY-LAW APPLIES.

ACCESSIBLE FORMATS AND COMMUNICATION SUPPORTS ARE AVAILABLE, UPON REQUEST



TREE PROTECTION SPECIFICATION

TO BE IMPLEMENTED FOR RETAINED TREES, BOTH ON SITE AND ON ADJACENT SITES, PRIOR TO ANY TREE REMOVAL OR SITE WORKS AND MAINTAINED FOR THE DURATION OF WORK ACTIVITIES ON SITE.

SCALE: NTS

DATE: MARCH 2021

DRAWING NO.: 1 of 1