

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9 613-425-8044 d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

SITE SERVICING STUDY & STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

2009-2013 PRINCE OF WALES DRIVE OTTAWA, ONTARIO

REPORT NO. 22055

JUNE 29, 2023 REVISED DECEMBER 11, 2023

CONTENTS

- 1.0 INTRODUCTION
- 2.0 WATER SERVICING
 - 2.1 WATER SUPPLY FOR FIREFIGHTING
 - 2.2 DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY
- 3.0 SANITARY SERVICING
- 4.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
 - 4.1 QUALITY CONTROL
 - 4.2 STORM SERVICING
- 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

LOCATION MAP

LIST OF APPENDICES

- A PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES
- B WATER SERVICING
- C SANITARY SERVICING
- D STORMWATER MANAGEMENT
- E DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Site Servicing Study & Stormwater Management Report has been prepared in support of a rezoning application and an application for a seven residential lot subdivision on a private roadway located on a 1.13 hectare property at 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Drive in Ottawa, Ontario. The property backs onto the Rideau River to the east and is adjacent to a railway line to the south, and is currently occupied by two single residential dwellings. The dwelling at 2009 Prince of Wales Drive is to remain and the dwelling at 2013 will be demolished. Refer to Key Plan and Pre-Consultation Meeting notes in Appendix A.

This report forms part of the site servicing and stormwater management design for the proposed development. Also refer to drawings C-1 to C-7 prepared by D.B. Gray Engineering Inc.

2.0 WATER SERVICING

2.1 WATER SUPPLY FOR FIREFIGHTING

There is an existing municipal Class AA fire hydrant located in the Prince of Wales Drive ROW in front of the subject property. Two private onsite hydrants are proposed. One fire hydrant (FH-1) is located at the far east end of the private road and the other (FH-2) is located near the entrance (west end) of the private road.

In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2021-03, when calculating the required fire flow where pipe sizing is affected, the Fire Underwriters Survey Method (FUS) is to be used. However, as per City of Ottawa Technical Bulletins ISDB-2014-02 and ISTB-2018-02, the FUS calculated fire flows may be capped to 10,000 L/min for single detached dwellings and row houses (provided there is a minimum spatial separation of 10 m between the back of adjacent units); therefore, the fire flow is capped at 10,000 L/min (166.7 L/s).

The boundary conditions for the 166.7 L/s fire flow (based on the city's computer model of the municipal water distribution system) were received from the City. They include a HGL (hydraulic grade line) of 126.7 m for the above flow rate in the 400 mm Prince of Wales Drive municipal watermain in front of the subject property. This HGL calculates to be 426 kPa (61.9 psi). Since the pressure is above 138 kPa (20 psi) there is an adequate water supply for firefighting from the existing municipal water distribution system.

A 150 mm private watermain, connecting to the 400 mm municipal watermain, is proposed to serve the proposed residential development including the two private on-site fire hydrants. A model was created using EPANET software to analyze the hydraulics of the private watermain. Using the provided HGL boundary conditions, and a 95 L/s demand at hydrant FH-2 and 59 L/s at FH-1 (plus the Max Day flow of 0.7 L/s – see Domestic Water Supply below), the pressure at fire hydrant FH-2 is calculated to be 243 kPa (35.2 psi); and 138 kPa (20.0 psi) at FH-1. Since the pressures are at least 138 kPa (20 psi), the private watermain is adequately sized. Refer to Appendix B.

A model was also created using EPANET assuming no flow (0 L/s) at FH-2. Under this scenario and using the for the fire flow of 166.7 L/s only 87 L/s demand is available at hydrant FH-1 (plus the Max Day flow of 0.7 L/s) and based on a minimum pressure of 138 kPa (20.0 psi) at FH-1. This means that, while a hydrant flow test will likely rate FH-1 as Class AA, 95 L/s will not be available during fire flow conditions.

In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, the aggregate flow of all contributing fire hydrants within 150 m of the building shall not be less than the required fire flow; and the contribution from a given hydrant shall be as per Table 1 in Appendix I (an excerpt is below):

Hydrant Class	Distance to Building m	Contribution to Fire Flow L/min (L/s)
A A	≤ 75	5,700 (95)
	> 75 and ≤ 150	3,800 (63.3)
٨	≤ 75	3,800 (63.3)
A	> 75 and ≤ 150	2,850 (47.5)
D	≤ 75	1,900 (31.7)
В	> 75 and ≤ 150	1500 (25)

The front entrances of Lots 6 & 7 (the two west dwelling units closest to Prince of Wales Drive) will be less than 75 m from the existing municipal and proposed private hydrant FH-2. Therefore, the aggregate flow of the two contributing fire hydrants is 11,400 L/min (190 L/s) (= $2 \times 5,700$ L/min or 2×95 L/s); which is greater than required fire flow of 10,000 L/min or 166.7 L/s. The front entrances of Lots 1 to 5 (the five east dwelling units furthest from Prince of Wales Drive) will be greater than 75 m and less than 150 m from the existing municipal fire hydrant (contributing 63.3 L/s) and less than 75 m from FH-1 (contributing 95 L/s) and FH-2. Since, during fire flow conditions, only 59 L/s is available from FH-1, to be conservative it is assumed that FH-1 is rated as a Class B hydrant, and such, as per Table 1 in ISTB-2018-02, can only contribute 31.7 L/s (1,900 L/min). Therefore, the aggregate flow of the three contributing fire hydrants is 11,400 L/min or 190 L/s (= 3,800 + 5,700 + 1,900 L/min or 63.3 + 95 + 31.7 L/s); which is greater than required fire flow of 10,000 L/min (166.7 L/s). Therefore, the aggregate flow of all contributing fire hydrants within 150 m of each dwelling unit is greater than the required fire flow.

2.2 DOMESTIC WATER SUPPLY

In accordance with:

- i. the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines for the populations;
- ii. City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2021-03 for the consumption rate; and
- iii. the Ministry of the Environment Water Design Guidelines for the peaking factors.

Based on seven single family dwelling units, the average daily demand was calculated to be 0.1 L/s, the maximum daily demand was calculated to be 0.7 L/s and the maximum hourly demand was calculated to be 1.1 L/s. Refer to calculations in Appendix B.

To determine water pressure under these demands, boundary conditions, based on the City of Ottawa computer simulation of the water distribution system, at the subject location, are required. The boundary conditions received from the City stated that the minimum HGL (hydraulic grade line) is 124.3 m, and the maximum is 132.3 m. Based on these HGLs the water pressure at the water meters are calculated to vary from 410 kPa to 490 kPa (59 psi to 71 psi). This is an acceptable range of water pressures for the proposed development.

3.0 SANITARY SERVICING

In accordance with:

- i. the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines for the populations;
- ii. City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01 for the average daily flow, Harmon Formula correction factor and infiltration allowance; and
- iii. the Harmon Formula for the peaking factor.

Based on seven single family dwelling units, the total sanitary flow rate was calculated to be 0.58 L/s. A proposed 200 mm private sanitary sewer at 0.65% slope (26.80 L/s capacity) is proposed to service the subdivision. At the design flow rate the private sanitary sewer service will only be at about 2% of its capacity. Refer to calculations in Appendix C.

The proposed 200 mm sanitary sewer will connect to the existing 250 mm Prince of Wales Drive municipal sanitary sewer, which at 0.85% slope has a capacity of 44.17 L/s. Refer to calculations in Appendix C. Given the capacity of the municipal sewer and the generated peak flow generated (0.58 L/s) the proposed development is expected to have an acceptable impact on the municipal sanitary sewer.

Backwater valves are proposed for each dwelling unit.

Since the proposed sanitary sewers services more than one property, it is expected that a Ministry of the Environment (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be required.

4.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

4.1 QUANTITY CONTROL

City staff has stated: "The City's preferred stormwater arrangement is for the proposed subdivision to outlet to the Rideau River. If the RVCA requires quantity control, the City will not support oversized underground sewers to accommodate storage requirements. Catchbasin (CB) inlet-control devices (ICDs), with associated street ponding, per City guidelines, are acceptable to control storm events greater than the 2 year event. Quantity control to the Rideau River is within the RVCA's jurisdiction." Refer to Appendix A. In response, Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) staff has stated: "The RVCA deferred quantity control requirements to the City, so we will provide comments based on the design parameters that is required by the City." Refer to Appendix D.

However, in the City's 1st Review Comments it is stated:

"The pre-consultation meeting notes were provided on the applicant's presentation that the proposed development would be a public subdivision. Now that the submitted application is for a private subdivision, some of the City requirements/constraints related to stormwater do not apply as we will not be assuming the proposed stormwater infrastructure. Since this private subdivision will direct drainage straight to the Rideau River, the stormwater requirements are under the RVCA's jurisdiction. The City has noted RVCA's correspondence to D.B Gray's office on Nov 4, 2022 deferring quantity control to the City. On other similarly located projects (i.e. directly adjacent to the Rideau River), and post the introduction of Bill 109 and Bill 23, the RVCA has stated to the City that:

"Where flows are connected directly to the Rideau, there are typically no Quantity Control requirements on the Rideau River", therefore no quantity control is required for this site."

Therefore no quantity controls are proposed for the site.

4.2 QUALITY CONTROL

City staff has stated: "If agreeable to the RVCA, the City of Ottawa would accept an oil-grit separator (OGS) prior to releasing drainage into the Rideau River. Quality control requirements are to be provided by the RVCA however, the City expects Enhanced Level protection will be the requirement (i.e. 80% TSS removal). In response, RVCA staff has stated: "Water Quality Control is required as detailed [above], a new outlet to the Rideau would also need to be designed to ensure that adequate erosion protection is provided as part of the design."

To meet the water quality target of 80% TSS (total suspended solids) removal an oil grit separator (OGS) is proposed to be located downstream of the inlet control device (ICD). A CDS Model PMSU2015-4-C was selected by the manufacturer based on the manufacturer's software which calculated that it would

remove about 89% of the TSS. The proposed OGS has an oil capacity of 313 L and a sediment capacity of 1.1 m 3 .

An erosion and sediment control plan has been developed to be implemented during construction, (see drawing C-4 and notes 2.1 to 2.7 on drawing C-7). In summary: to filter out construction sediment a silt fence barrier will be installed where runoff will drain off the site toward the river; sediment capture filter sock inserts are to be installed in all existing catch-basins adjacent to the site and in all new catch basins as they are installed; straw bale check dams will be installed at the proposed outlet to the river; and any material deposited on a public road will be removed.

4.3 STORM SERVICING

A private storm sewer system is proposed. Backwater valves are proposed for each dwelling units.

The unrestricted 5-year flow rate in each pipe segment varies from 2% to 88% capacity, with the flow rate in the last segment being 96.290 L/s. However, at the 5-year restricted flow rate of 42.27 L/s (through the ICDs) flow rate in each pipe segment is significantly reduced. As previously mentioned, the private storm sewer system is proposed to outlet near the southeast corner of the property and to the Rideau River.

Since the proposed storm sewers services more than one property, it is expected that a Ministry of the Environment (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be required.

5.0 CONCLUSIONS

- 1. Two private onsite hydrants are required.
- 2. There is an adequate water supply for firefighting from the existing municipal water distribution system.
- 3. Since the pressures are above 138 kPa (20 psi) during fire flow conditions, the private watermain is adequately sized.
- 4. The aggregate flow of all contributing fire hydrants within 150 m of each dwelling unit is greater than the required fire flow.
- 5. There is an acceptable range of water pressures available for the proposed development.
- 6. The post-development sanitary flow rates will be adequately handled by the proposed private sanitary sewer system.
- 7. The proposed development is expected to have an acceptable impact on the existing municipal sanitary sewer.
- 8. Since the proposed sewers service more than one property, it is expected that a Ministry of the Environment (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be required.
- 9. The proposed OGS will achieve 80% TSS removal.
- 10. An Erosion & Sediment Control Plan has been developed to be implemented during construction.
- 11. The peak flow rates during the 5-year event will be adequately handled by the proposed private storm sewer system.

Prepared by D.B. Gray Engineering Inc.



APPENDIX A

LOCATION MAP & PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES

KEY PLAN



ADDRESS: 2009 & 2013 Prince Of Wales Pre-Consultation Meeting Minutes Meeting Date: April 8, 2022

Attendee	Role	Organization
Lisa Stern	File Lead	City of Ottawa
Sami Rehman	Environmental Planner	
Louise Cerveny	Parks Planner	
Mark Richardson	Forester	
Gabrielle Shaeffer	Engineer	
Josiane Gervais	Transportation	
Eric Lalande	Planner	RVCA
Alex Sivasambu		Land Owner
Jane Thompson	Applicant	Jane Thompson Architect
Erin Duncan		

Comments from the Applicant:

- 1. Subdivision and rezoning to facilitate the creation of seven residential lots and a public roadway.
- 2. 1 storey brick dwelling at 2009 Prince of Wales to remain

Planning Comments:

- 1. A minor rezoning and subdivision application are required.
- 2. The site is located adjacent to the Rideau River and next to an elevated rail corridor.
- 3. The site is designated General Urban Area and Natural Heritage in the Existing Official Plan and is designated Neighbourhood Area within the Outer Urban Transect and Natural Area in the Council Adopted Official Plan. These designations support low rise infill development that is compatible with existing development.
- 4. The site is zoned Residential First Density subzone E (R1E). A rezoning is required to facilitate reduced lot areas, increased setbacks from the Watercourse and rail line.
- 5. A Planning Rationale prepared by a qualified professional is required to support the proposed application. The Planning Rationale should discuss compliance with Official Plan policy and guidelines and should address compatibility with adjacent residential uses, rail line and Rideau River.
- 6. A "no touch" setback to the Rideau River is required as per the environmental comments below. Parks Canada and the NCC will be circulated on the application and will provide comments on impacts to the River.
- 7. To improve compatibility, retention of existing mature vegetation should be considered.
- 8. Consideration for the interface between the roadway and the property to the north should be given. Minimizing retaining walls and lighting ,and the retention or provision of screening plantings should be thought-out.
- 9. As the site is adjacent to a rail line, the Guidelines for New Development in Proximity to Railway Operations which was prepared for the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and the Railway Association of Canada apply. These guidelines recommend a *minimum* 30m setback from the building face to the rail right of way. Additionally, noise walls and crash berms may be required to mitigate impacts. Please reach out to the railway to discuss requirements for safety setbacks and/or mitigation measures.

10. As this property is located adjacent to the Rideau Canal World Heritage Site, a Cultural Heritage Impact Statement *may* be required. Please reach out to the Heritage Planning branch via our general email: <u>heritage@ottawa.ca</u> prior to submission.

Parks:

1. Parks and Facilities Planning request that Cash-in-lieu of parkland be taken based on the total developable area of the site

Environment:

- 1. The subject property is situated next to the Rideau River, so according to the new Official Plan (OP) policies, the proposed development will require an Environmental Impact Study (EIS).
- 2. The EIS should address the setback requirements outlined in the OP Section 4.9.
- 3. The minimum required setbacks are to be kept in a naturally vegetated state. So, the EIS should provide recommendations for ecological enhancements in the setbacks, in addition to general tree retention throughout the property.
- 4. The EIS should focus on mitigating potential impacts on the Rideau River.
- 5. The EIS should also explore potential significant habitat for threatened or endangered species on or near the subject property.

Forestry:

- 1. a Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City
 - a. an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval.
 - b. The TCR may be combined with the EIS provided all information is supplied
- 2. Any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter, or city-owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.
- 3. The Planning Forester from Planning and Growth Management as well as foresters from Forestry Services will review the submitted TCR
 - a. If tree removal is required, both municipal and privately-owned trees will be addressed in a single permit issued through the Planning Forester
 - b. Compensation may be required for city owned trees if so, it will need to be paid prior to the release of the tree permit
- 4. the TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ extends into the developed area, by species, diameter and health condition
- 5. please identify trees by ownership private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, coowned (trees on a property line)
- 6. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained
- All retained trees must be shown, and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at <u>Tree Protection</u> <u>Specification</u> or by searching Ottawa.ca
 - a. the location of tree protection fencing must be shown on the plan
 - b. show the critical root zone of the retained trees
 - c. if excavation will occur within the critical root zone, please show the limits of excavation
- 8. the City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.

9. For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson mark.richardson@ottawa.ca or on City of Ottawa

LP tree planting requirements:

For additional information on the following please contact tracy.smith@Ottawa.ca

Minimum Setbacks

- Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track.
- Maintain 2.5m from curb •
- Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
- Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing, except where otherwise approved in naturalization / afforestation areas. Adhere to Ottawa Hydro's planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when planting around overhead primary conductors.

Tree specifications

- Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
- Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy • coverage
- Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Tree Planting Specification; and include watering and warranty as described in the specification (can be provided by Forestry Services).
- Plant native trees whenever possible
- No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
- No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)

Hard surface planting

- Curb style planter is highly recommended
- No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be • provided) shall be used.
- Trees are to be planted at grade

Soil Volume

Please ensure adequate soil volumes are met:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil	Multiple Tree Soil
	Volume (m3)	Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

Please note that these soil volumes are not applicable in cases with Sensitive Marine Clay. Sensitive Marine Clay

Please follow the City's 2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines

Tree Canopy Cover

- The landscape plan shall show how the proposed tree planting will replace and increase canopy cover on the site over time, to support the City's 40% urban forest canopy cover target.
- At a site level, efforts shall be made to provide as much canopy cover as possible, through tree planting and tree retention, with an aim of 40% canopy cover at 40 years, as appropriate.
- Indicate on the plan the projected future canopy cover at 40 years for the site.

Engineering:

- 1. The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following address: <u>https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/developing-property/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans#servicing-study-guidelines-development-applications</u>
- 2. Servicing and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:
 - ⇒ Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
 - ⇒ Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution (2010)
 - Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January, 2016)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Park and Pathway Development Manual (2012)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012)
 - ⇒ Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
 - ⇒ Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
 - ⇒ Fire Underwriter's Survey (2020)
- 3. Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at <u>geoinformation@ottawa.ca</u> or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x.44455). <u>Stormwater</u>
- 4. There is a 525mm diameter concrete storm sewer on Prince of Wales Drive built in 1975 fronting the site. The site also fronts the Rideau River.
- 5. There is an existing localized stormwater management system in place within the Prince of Wales roadside ditch fronting the site. The proposed cul-de-sac connection to Prince of Wales is through this system. Please incorporate into the design changes that would relocate the localized stormwater system.
- 6. The City's preferred stormwater arrangement is for the proposed subdivision to outlet to the Rideau River. To pursue this option, please confirm with the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) if this option is possible, as they have Rideau River jurisdiction.
 - a) If agreeable to the RVCA, the City of Ottawa would accept an oil-grit separator (OGS) prior to releasing drainage into the Rideau River. Quality control requirements are to be provided by the RVCA however, the City expects Enhanced Level protection will be the requirement (i.e. 80% TSS removal).
 - b) If the RVCA requires quantity control, the City will not support oversized underground sewers to accommodate storage requirements. Catchbasin (CB) inletcontrol devices (ICDs), with associated street ponding, per City guidelines, are acceptable to control storm events greater than the 2 year event. Quantity control to the Rideau River is within the RVCA's jurisdiction.
 - c) If basements are proposed the storm sewer is to be for the 5 year minor storm event. All storm events greater than the minor storm event is to be controlled with CB ICDs and/or overland flow toward the Rideau River.

- 7. If the applicant wishes to explore connection to the Prince of Wales 525mm diameter concrete storm sewer (built in 1975):
 - a. Please provide <u>gabrielle.schaeffer@ottawa.ca</u> the expected flow rate from the site to the Prince of Wales storm sewer to assess storm boundary conditions. Please note this is not the City's preferred option and is expected to be a more restrictive option with respect to stormwater release rates.
 - b. Utilize the 5-yr storm event using the IDF information derived from the Meteorological Services of Canada rainfall data, taken from the MacDonald Cartier Airport, collected 1966 to 1997.
 - c. Utilize the pre-development runoff coefficient <u>or</u> a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.5, whichever is less (§ 8.3.7.3).
 - d. A calculated time of concentration (Cannot be less than 10 minutes).
 - e. Flows to the storm sewer in excess of the allowable release rate, up to and including the 100year storm event, may need to be detained on site.
 - f. This option may work best when only necessary flows (i.e. road and fronting half of houses) flow toward the Prince of Wales minor system, and the rest flows to the Rideau River.

<u>Sanitary</u>

8. There is an existing 250mm diameter sanitary ductile iron sewer on Prince of Wales built in 1975 fronting the site.

<u>Water</u>

- 9. There is an existing 406mm diameter ductile iron watermain on Prince of Wales built in +/-1975 fronting the site.
- 10. Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service (map or plan with connection location(s) indicated) and the expected loads required by the proposed development, including calculations. Please provide the following information:
 - i. Location of service
 - ii. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS, 1999).
 - iii. Average daily demand: ____ l/s.
 - iv. Maximum daily demand: ____l/s.
 - v. Maximum hourly daily demand: ____ l/s.
- 11. Fire trucks require access to each building entrance as per the Ontario Building Code. Please ensure that the fire route extends as much as needed. Also, a fire route does not need a turn around unless the road length is more than 90m. If a turn around is needed for fire services, please follow the City standard. Please note the City standard turn around may be needed for other reasons.

MECP ECA Requirements

12. An MECP Environmental Compliance Approval (Municipal Sewage Works), for SWM/STM/SAN, will be required for the proposed development. This application qualifies to be reviewed by Transfer of Review through the City.

Slope Stability / Geotechnical Report

13. A slope stability analysis will need to be completed as per City guidelines for the slope next to the river.

14. A geotechnical report is required as per City guidelines.

<u>ESAs</u>

15. Phase 1 ESAs and Phase 2 ESAs must conform to clause 4.8.4 of the Official Plan that requires that development applications conform to Ontario Regulation 153/04.

Transportation:

- 1. Follow Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines:
 - a. A TIA is required. Submit a Scoping Report at your earliest convenience to josiane.gervais@ottawa.ca.
 - b. Correct the Screening Form:
 - i. The proposed roadway is within the area of influence of the Colonnade Rd traffic signal.
 - ii. The proposed roadway is within the auxiliary lanes of the intersection.
 - c. A review of auxiliary lane warrants and sightline analysis must be addressed with the TIA.
 - d. A turning lane on Prince of Wales may be required, which would trigger an RMA.
 - e. Start this process asap, the TIA process is iterative and the majority of the work must take place before submission. The application will not be deemed complete until the submission of the draft step 1-4, including the functional draft RMA package (if applicable) and/or monitoring report (if applicable).
 - f. Request base mapping asap if RMA is required. Contact Engineering Services (<u>https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/engineering-services</u>)
 - g. An update to the *TRANS Trip Generation Manual* has been completed (October 2020). This manual is to be utilized for this TIA. A copy of this document can be provided upon request.
- ROW protection on Prince of Wales between Colonnade and Rideau Heights Lane is 32-58m (varies and subject to unequal widening requirements of the Prince of Wales Dr Widening ESR). Future ROW line must be shown on the site plan, and all set-backs must be measured from this new property line.
- 3. Widening of Prince of Wales is on the TMP's Affordable Network. Note that ROW is required from these parcels, as described in the Prince of Wales Drive EA. I've included a plan depicting the ROW lines as per the EA, note that these are still subject to change during detailed design. As per the EA, a service road parallel to Prince of Wales is proposed along the frontage of the properties to provide access via the Colonnade signalized intersection.
- 4. The proposed local roadway design would need to consider both the existing condition of Prince of Wales, as well as the future horizon when Prince of Wales is widened.
- 5. Corner triangles as per OP Annex 1 Road Classification and Rights-of-Way at the following locations on the final plan will be required (measure on the property line/ROW protected line; no structure above or below this triangle): Local Road to Arterial Road: 5 m x 5 m
- 6. The proposed local roadway would require a cul-de-sac at the end to allow vehicles to turn around. Refer to Ontario Provincial Standard Drawing (OPSD) 500.020.
- 7. While preparing the Draft Plan, note that all new local residential streets should be designed with a target operating speed of 30km/h per the new Strategic Road Safety Action Plan Update. Please follow the City's *Local Residential Streets 30 km/h Design Toolbox* (2021) document.
- 8. A sidewalk would be required along the new local road.
- 9. Corner clearances should follow minimum distances set out within TAC Figure 8.8.2.
- 10. Geometric Road Design Drawings (GRDD) will be required with the first submission of underground infrastructure and grading drawings. These drawings should include such items as, but are not limited to:
 - a. Road signage and pavement markings.
 - b. Location of depressed curbs and tactile walking surface indicators (TWSI).

- c. Traffic calming measures aimed at reducing vehicle speed and enhancing pedestrian safety. Measures may include either vertical or horizontal features, however such measures shall not interfere with stormwater management and overland flow routing. Traffic calming measures shall reference best management practices from the Canadian Guide to Neighbourhood Traffic Calming, published by the Transportation Association of Canada, and/or Ontario Traffic Manual, and/or the City of Ottawa's Traffic Calming Design Guidelines.
- 11. Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
 - a. Road, as the site is within proximity to Prince of Wales
 - b. Rail, Noise and Vibration study required. The Outdoor Living Area noise levels may be a concern and mitigation may be a challenge as a traditional noise wall would be less effective since the railway is raised.

c. Aircraft, as the site falls within the Airport Vicinity Development Zone.

RVCA:

- 1. A RVCA permit is required for any works within the Regulated area of the property.
- 2. Please contact the RVCA to determine if any permits or approvals are required under their regulations.

Please refer to the links to <u>"Guide to preparing studies and plans"</u> and fees for general information. Additional information is available related to <u>building permits</u>, <u>development</u> <u>charges</u>, and the <u>Accessibility Design Standards</u>. Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting informationcentre@ottawa.ca.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined. Please contact me at Lisa.Stern@ottawa.ca or at 613-580-2424 extension 21108 if you have any questions.

APPENDIX B

WATER SERVICING

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9 613-425-8044 d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

REVISED

February 28, 2023 June 28, 2023

2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr 7-Lot Residential Development

Ottawa, Ontario

WATER DEMAND CALCULATIONS

	Number	Persons				
	of Units	per Unit	Population	_		
Single Family:	7	3.4	23.8			
Semi- detached:	0	2.7	0.0			
Duplex:	0	2.3	0.0			
Townhouse:	0	2.7	0.0			
Total:	7		23.8			
Average Daily Demand:	280	L/capita/day				
	4.6	L/min	0.1	L/s	1.2	USgpm
Maximum Daily Demand:	9.5	(Peaking fac	tor for a popu	lation of 23.8	interpolated	from
		MOE Design	Guidelines fo	or Drinking W	ater Systems	Table 3-3)
	44.0	L/min	0.7	L/s	11.6	USgpm
Maximum Hourly Demand:	14.3	(Peaking fac	tor for a popu	lation of 23.8	Interpolated	Trom
			Guidelines fo		ater Systems	Table 3-3)
	66.2	L/min	1.1	L/S	17.5	USgpm
Elevation of Water Meter:	82.53	m				
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation:	82.53 81.63	m				
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest):	82.53 81.63	m m				
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL:	82.53 81.63 124.3	m m m				
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8	m m m	410	kPa	59	psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3	m m m m	410	kPa	59	psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8	m m m m m	410	kPa kPa	59	psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8	m m m m m	410	kPa kPa	59 71	psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Elevation of Water Meter:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33	m m m m m	410 488	kPa kPa	59 71	psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33 81.43	m m m m m m	410	kPa kPa	59 71	psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Lowest):	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33 81.43	m m m m m m	410	kPa kPa	59 71	psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Lowest): Minimum HGL:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33 81.43 124.3	m m m m m m m	410	kPa kPa	59 71	psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Lowest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33 81.43 124.3 42.0	m m m m m m m	410 488 411	kPa kPa	59 71 60	psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Lowest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33 81.43 124.3 42.0 132.3	m m m m m m m m	410 488 411	kPa kPa kPa	59 71 60	psi psi psi
Elevation of Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Highest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Basement Floor Elevation: (Varies - Lowest): Minimum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter: Maximum HGL: Static Pressure at Water Meter:	82.53 81.63 124.3 41.8 132.3 49.8 82.33 81.43 124.3 42.0 132.3 50.0	m m m m m m m m m m	410 488 411 490	kPa kPa kPa kPa	59 71 60 71	psi psi psi

Laurent Brosseau <l.brosseau@dbgrayengineering.com>

Boundary Conditions Request - 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr

Schaeffer, Gabrielle <gabrielle.schaeffer@ottawa.ca> To: laurent Brosseau <l.brosseau@dbgrayengineering.com> Cc: Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com> Wed, Apr 19, 2023 at 11:17 AM

Hi Laurent,

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Drive (zone 2W2C) assumed to be connected to the 406 mm watermain on Prince of Wales Drive. (see attached PDF for location).

Minimum HGL: 124.3 m

Maximum HGL: 132.3 m

Max Day + Fire Flow (166.7 L/s): 126.7 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Regards,

Gabrielle (Gabi) Schaeffer, P.Eng

Senior Engineer - Infrastructure Applications

City of Ottawa

Development Review - West Branch

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department

110 Laurier Ave West, 4th Floor East;

Ottawa ON K1P 1J1

Cell: 613-227-7419

From: Laurent Brosseau <l.brosseau@dbgrayengineering.com> Sent: March 21, 2023 9:33 AM To: Schaeffer, Gabrielle <gabrielle.schaeffer@Ottawa.ca>
Cc: Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com>
Subject: Boundary Conditions Request - 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good morning,

We are working on a 7 lot development, each with a single family dwelling.

Please provide the boundary conditions at 2009-2013 Prince of Wales. We have calculated the following expected demands.

Average daily demand: 0.1 L/s. Maximum daily demand: 0.7 L/s. Maximum hourly daily demand: 1.1 L/s Fire Flow demand: 166.7 L/s Fire Flow + Max Day: 167.4 L/s

The fire flow demand was capped at 10 000L/min (166.7 L/s) as stated in the technical bulletin ISTB-2018-02, P. 25. Which states:

In the interim, the City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 [12] that was issued in 2014 to qualify the application of the FUS method to single detached dwellings as well as traditional side-by-side town and row houses remains in effect. Accordingly, practitioners may cap FUS calculated fire flows to 10 000 L/min under the following conditions:

1. For single detached dwellings, provided that there is a minimum spatial separation of 10 m between the backs of adjacent units;

Calculations are attached. Also attached is a sketch showing the approximate location of the proposed service connection.

D.B. Gray Engineering Inc. Mail - Boundary Conditions Request - 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr

Laurent Brosseau

D.B. GRAY ENGINEERING INC.

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle

Tel: 613-425-8044

Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

l.brosseau@dbgrayengineering.com

[Quoted text hidden]

2009-2013 Prince of Wales Drive March 2023.pdf 727K

2009-2013 Prince of Wales Drive

Ottawa, Ontario

EPANET RESULTS

Nodo ID	Demand	HGL	Elevation		Pressure	
	(L/s)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kPa)	(psi)
1 - Reservoir	-154.7	126.7	83.20	43.5	426	61.9
2 - Fire Hydrant FH-2	95.0	107.92	83.15	24.8	243	35.2
3 - Fire Hydrant FH-1 (inc Max Day 0.7 L/s)	59.7	96.44	82.37	14.1	138	20.0

Link ID	Length	Diameter	Roughness	Minor Loss	Flow	Velocity
Elitk ID	(m)	(mm)	Coefficient	Coefficient	(L/s)	(m/s)
1 - Reservoir to Fire Hydrant FH-2	10.3	150	100	3.00	154.7	8.75
2 - Fire Hydrant FH-2 to FH-1	94.7	150	100	0.60	59.7	3.38

	Elevation	Demand	Head	Pressure
Node ID	ш	LPS	ш	ш
June 2	83.15	95.00	107.92	24.77
June 3	82.37	59.70	96.44	14.07
Resvr 1	126.7	-154.70	126.70	0.00

Network Table - Links

I ink ID	Length m	Diameter	Roughness	Flow	Velocity m/s
Pipe 1	10.3	150	100	154.70	8.75
Pipe 2	94.7	150	100	59.70	3.38

2009-2013 Prince of Wales Drive

Ottawa, Ontario

EPANET RESULTS

Nodo ID	Demand	HGL	Elevation		Pressure	
	(L/s)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(kPa)	(psi)
1 - Reservoir	-87.7	126.7	83.20	43.5	426	61.9
2 - Fire Hydrant FH-2	0.0	120.47	83.15	37.3	366	53.1
3 - Fire Hydrant FH-1 (inc Max Day 0.7 L/s)	87.7	97.01	82.37	14.6	144	20.8

Link ID	Length	Diameter	Roughness	Minor Loss	Flow	Velocity
	(m)	(mm)	Coefficient	Coefficient	(L/s)	(m/s)
1 - Reservoir to Fire Hydrant FH-2	10.3	150	100	3.00	87.7	4.96
2 - Fire Hydrant FH-2 to FH-1	94.7	150	100	0.60	87.7	4.96

	Elevation	Demand	Head	Pressure
Node ID	m	LPS	ш	ш
Junc 2	83.15	0.00	120.47	37.32
Junc 3	82.37	87.70	97.01	14.64
Resvr 1	126.7	-87.70	126.70	0.00

Network Table - Links

Link ID	Length m	Diameter mm	Roughness	Flow LPS	Velocity m/s
Pipe 1	10.3	150	100	87.70	4.96
Pipe 2	94.7	150	100	87.70	4.96

APPENDIX C

SANITARY SERVICING

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATIONS

2009-2013 Prince of Wales 7 Lot Development Ottawa, Ontario

Residential Average Daily Flow: 280 L/capita/day Commercial Average Daily Flow: 28,000 L/ha/day Institutional Average Daily Flow: 28,000 L/ha/day Light Industrial Average Daily Flow: 35,000 L/ha/day Heavy Industrial Average Daily Flow: 55,000 L/ha/day

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

613-425-8044 d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

							F	Residential							Non-Residential Infiltration					Q	Q Sewer Data								
						Individual						Cum	ulative		Indi	vidual		Cumulative	Individual	Cumu	ılative	Total		Nominal	Actual			Q _{Full}	
Loc	ation	Single	Semi			Ара	rtment		Area	Population	Area	Population	Peaking	Flow Rate	Area	Daily Flow	Peaking	Flow Rate	Area	Area	Flow Rate	Flow Rate	Length	Diameter	Diameter	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	
From	То	Family	Detached	Duplex	(1 Bed)	(2 Bed)	(3 Bed)	(Average)	(ha)		(ha)		Factor	(L/s)	(ha)	L/ha/day	Factor	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(mm)	(%)	(m/s)	(L/s)	Q / Q _{Full}
		ppu = 3.4	ppu = 2.7	ppu = 2.3	ppu = 1.4	ppu = 2.1	ppu = 3.1	ppu = 1.8																					
Lot 1	MH-SA.1	1							0.1114	3.4	0.1114	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.1114	0.1114	0.04	0.07	22.2	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.00
Lot 2	MH-SA.1	1							0.2370	3.4	0.2370	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.2370	0.2370	0.08	0.11	15.4	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.01
Lot 3	MH-SA.1	1							0.0750	3.4	0.0750	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.0750	0.0750	0.02	0.06	19.2	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.00
Lot 4	MH-SA.1	1							0.0908	3.4	0.0908	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.0908	0.0908	0.03	0.07	11.9	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.00
Lot 5	MH-SA.1	1							0.0917	3.4	0.1825	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.0917	0.1825	0.06	0.10	11.5	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.01
																													<u> </u>
Lot 6	MH-SA.1	1							0.0924	3.4	0.0924	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.0924	0.0924	0.03	0.07	11.5	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.00
		-																											
Lot 7	MH-SA.1	1							0.2122	3.4	0.2122	3.4	3.2	0.04					0.2122	0.2122	0.07	0.11	11.5	135	133	2.00	1.12	15.63	0.01
																												_	<u> </u>
MH-SA.1	MH-SA.2									0.0	1.0013	23.8	3.2	0.25					0.0000	1.0013	0.33	0.58	97.5	200	201	0.65	0.84	26.80	0.02
MH-SA.2	Existing									0.0	1.5792	23.8	3.2	0.25					0.0000	1.0013	0.33	0.58	3.2	200	201	0.65	0.84	26.80	0.02
	250 SAN																												
																			250 r	nm Prince	ot Wales E	Drive Sanita	ary Sewer:	250	251	0.54	0.89	44.17	

Residential Peaking Factor: Harmon Formula Harmon Formula Correction Factor: 0.8 Commercial Peaking Factor: 1.5 Institutional Peaking Factor: 1.5 Industrial Peaking Factor: Ministry of the Environment

Infiltration Allowance: 0.33 L/s/ha

Manning's Roughness Coefficient: 0.013

APPENDIX D

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com>

RE: 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr

1 message

Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca> To: Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com> Fri, Nov 4, 2022 at 9:35 AM

Hi Doug,

Water Quality Control is required as detailed below, a new outlet to the Rideau would also need to be designed to ensure that adequate erosion protection is provided as part of the design.

The RVCA deferred quantity control requirements to the City, so we will provide comments based on the design parameters that is required by the City.

Thank you,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP

Planner, Rideau Valley Conservation Authority

613-692-3571 x1137

From: Douglas Gray <d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com> Sent: Wednesday, November 02, 2022 9:53 AM To: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca> Cc: Laurent Brosseau <l.brosseau@dbgrayengineering.com> Subject: 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr

Hi Eric

We are working on a 7 lot subdivision at 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr (see attached site plan and two topo survey plans).

The City has stated:

"The City's preferred stormwater arrangement is for the proposed subdivision to outlet to the Rideau River. To pursue this option, please confirm with the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) if this option is possible, as they have Rideau River jurisdiction.

a) If agreeable to the RVCA, the City of Ottawa would accept an oil-grit separator (OGS) prior to releasing drainage into the Rideau River. Quality control requirements are to be provided by the RVCA however, the City expects Enhanced Level protection will be the requirement (i.e. 80% TSS removal).

b) If the RVCA requires quantity control, the City will not support oversized underground sewers to accommodate storage requirements. Catchbasin (CB) inlet-control devices (ICDs), with associated street

D.B. Gray Engineering Inc. Mail - RE: 2009-2013 Prince of Wales Dr

ponding, per City guidelines, are acceptable to control storm events greater than the 2 year event. Quantity control to the Rideau River is within the RVCA's jurisdiction.

c) If basements are proposed the storm sewer is to be for the 5 year minor storm event. All storm events greater than the minor storm event is to be controlled with CB ICDs and/or overland flow toward the Rideau River."

Please comment on the above and any other issues that RVCA may have concerning this site.

Also, please identify any permits or approvals that are required

Regards, Doug

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle

Tel: 613-425-8044

Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9

d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

C NTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS

CDS ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SOLIDS LOAD REDUCTION BASED ON THE RATIONAL RAINFALL METHOD BASED ON A FINE PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

Painfall	Porcont	Quantulation	Tatal		Demostel								
CDS Model	2015-4			CDS Treatment Capacity	20	l/s							
Weighted C	0.32			Particle Size Distribution	FINE								
Area	0.4522	ha		Rainfall Station #	215								
OGS #:	OGS			Report Date: 2-Nov-23									
Location:	Ottawa, ON			Contact: Laurent Brosse	eau								
Project Name:	2009-2016 Pi	ince of Wales Dr.		Engineer: D. B. Gray En	Engineer: D. B. Gray Engineering Inc.								

<u>Rainian</u> Intensity ¹	Rainfall	Rainfall	<u>lotal</u> Flowrate	Treated	Operating	<u>Removal</u> Efficiency	Incremental
(mm/hr)	Volume ¹	Volume	<u>(l/s)</u>	<u>Flowrate (l/s)</u>	<u>Rate (%)</u>	<u>(%)</u>	<u>Removal (%)</u>
0.5	9.2%	9.2%	0.2	0.2	1.0	98.6	9.0
1.0	10.6%	19.8%	0.4	0.4	2.0	98.3	10.4
1.5	9.9%	29.7%	0.6	0.6	3.0	98.0	9.7
2.0	8.4%	38.1%	0.8	0.8	4.1	97.7	8.2
2.5	7.7%	45.8%	1.0	1.0	5.1	97.4	7.5
3.0	5.9%	51.7%	1.2	1.2	6.1	97.1	5.8
3.5	4.4%	56.1%	1.4	1.4	7.1	96.8	4.2
4.0	4.7%	60.7%	1.6	1.6	8.1	96.5	4.5
4.5	3.3%	64.0%	1.8	1.8	9.1	96.2	3.2
5.0	3.0%	67.1%	2.0	2.0	10.1	95.9	2.9
6.0	5.4%	72.4%	2.4	2.4	12.2	95.4	5.1
7.0	4.4%	76.8%	2.8	2.8	14.2	94.8	4.1
8.0	3.5%	80.3%	3.2	3.2	16.2	94.2	3.3
9.0	2.8%	83.2%	3.6	3.6	18.3	93.6	2.6
10.0	2.2%	85.3%	4.0	4.0	20.3	93.0	2.0
15.0	7.0%	92.3%	6.0	6.0	30.4	90.1	6.3
20.0	4.5%	96.9%	8.0	8.0	40.6	87.2	4.0
25.0	1.4%	98.3%	10.1	10.1	50.7	84.3	1.2
30.0	0.7%	99.0%	12.1	12.1	60.9	81.4	0.5
35.0	0.5%	99.5%	14.1	14.1	71.0	78.5	0.4
40.0	0.5%	100.0%	16.1	16.1	81.2	75.6	0.4
45.0	0.0%	100.0%	18.1	18.1	91.3	72.7	0.0
50.0	0.0%	100.0%	20.1	19.8	100.0	69.2	0.0
							95.5
				Rem	noval Efficiency	v Adjustment ² =	6.5%
			Predic	ted Net Annua	I Load Remov	al Efficiency =	89.0%
				Predicte	ed Annual Rai	nfall Treated =	100.0%
1 - Based on 42	vears of hourly	rainfall data from	Canadian St	ation 6105976	Ottawa ON		

1 - Based on 42 years of hourly rainfall data from Canadian Station 6105976, Ottawa ON

2 - Reduction due to use of 60-minute data for a site that has a time of concentration less than 30-minutes.

3 - CDS efficiency based on testing conducted at the University of Central Florida.

4 - CDS design and scaling based on original manufacturer model and product specifications.

CDS PMSU2015-4-C DESIGN NOTES

- 1'-9" [533] -

4

ELEVATION A-A

N.T.S.

[718])

4]⁄4"

N.

4 4 4

THE STANDARD CDS PMSU2015-4-C CONFIGURATION IS SHOWN. ALTERNATE CONFIGURATIONS ARE AVAILABLE AND ARE LISTED BELOW. SOME CONFIGURATIONS MAY BE COMBINED TO SUIT SITE REQUIREMENTS.
CONFIGURATION DESCRIPTION
GRATED INLET ONLY (NO INLET PIPE)
GRATED INLET WITH INLET PIPE OR PIPES
CURB INLET ONLY (NO INLET PIPE)
CURB INLET WITH INLET PIPE OR PIPES
CUSTOMIZABLE SUMP DEPTH AVAILABLE

ANTI-FLOTATION DESIGN AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST

(DIAMETER VARIES) N.T.S.

GENERAL NOTES

- 1. CONTECH TO PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.
- 2. DIMENSIONS MARKED WITH () ARE REFERENCE DIMENSIONS. ACTUAL DIMENSIONS MAY VARY.
- SOLUTIONS LLC REPRESENTATIVE. www.contechES.com
- MAINTENANCE CLEANING.

INSTALLATION NOTES

- Α. SPECIFIED BY ENGINEER OF RECORD.
- В. (LIFTING CLUTCHES PROVIDED).
- CONTRACTOR TO ADD JOINT SEALANT BETWEEN ALL STRUCTURE SECTIONS, AND ASSEMBLE STRUCTURE. C.
- D. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE, INSTALL, AND GROUT PIPES. MATCH PIPE INVERTS WITH ELEVATIONS SHOWN.
- Ε. SUGGESTED THAT ALL JOINTS BELOW PIPE INVERTS ARE GROUTED.

OIL BAFFLE SKIRT

SEPARATION

PVC HYDRAULIC

SOLIDS STORAGE SUMP

SHEAR PLATE

SCREEN

CDS PMSU2015-4-C **INLINE CDS** STANDARD DETAIL

CONTRACTOR TO TAKE APPROPRIATE MEASURES TO ASSURE UNIT IS WATER TIGHT, HOLDING WATER TO FLOWLINE INVERT MINIMUM. IT IS

CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE EQUIPMENT WITH SUFFICIENT LIFTING AND REACH CAPACITY TO LIFT AND SET THE CDS MANHOLE STRUCTURE

ANY SUB-BASE, BACKFILL DEPTH, AND/OR ANTI-FLOTATION PROVISIONS ARE SITE-SPECIFIC DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS AND SHALL BE

4. CDS WATER QUALITY STRUCTURE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL DESIGN DATA AND INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS DRAWING. 5. STRUCTURE SHALL MEET AASHTO HS20 AND CASTINGS SHALL MEET HS20 (AASHTO M 306) LOAD RATING, ASSUMING GROUNDWATER ELEVATION AT, OR BELOW, THE OUTLET PIPE INVERT ELEVATION. ENGINEER OF RECORD TO CONFIRM ACTUAL GROUNDWATER ELEVATION. 6. PVC HYDRAULIC SHEAR PLATE IS PLACED ON SHELF AT BOTTOM OF SCREEN CYLINDER. REMOVE AND REPLACE AS NECESSARY DURING

3. FOR FABRICATION DRAWINGS WITH DETAILED STRUCTURE DIMENSIONS AND WEIGHTS, PLEASE CONTACT YOUR CONTECH ENGINEERED

	A REQ			1T:	S			
STRUCTURE ID								
WATER QUALITY	FLOW RAT	Έ (CFS OR L/s)		*			
PEAK FLOW RAT	E (CFS OR	L/s)	,		*			
RETURN PERIOD	OF PEAK F	LO	W (YRS)		*			
SCREEN APERTU	JRE (2400 C	R 4	1700)		*			
PIPE DATA:	I.E.	1	MATERIAL	D	IAMETER			
INLET PIPE 1	*							
INLET PIPE 2	NLET PIPE 2 * *							
OUTLET PIPE	*		*		*			
RIM ELEVATION					*			
ANTI-FLOTATION	BALLAST		WIDTH		HEIGHT			
			*		*			
NOTES/SPECIAL	REQUIREM	EN	TS:					
* PER ENGINEER	OF RECOF	RD						

Stormwater Management - Grading & Drainage - Storm & Sanitary Sewers - Watermains

700 Long Point Circle Ottawa, Ontario K1T 4E9 613-425-8044 d.gray@dbgrayengineering.com

STORM SEWER CALCULATIONS

Rational Method

2009-2013 Prince of Wales 7 Lot development Ottawa, Ontario

November 21, 2023

Manning's Roughness Coefficient: 0.013

FIVE YEAR EVENT

				Individual				Cum	ulative		Sewer Data								
		Roof	Hard	Gravel	Soft				Rainfall	Flow		Nominal	Actual			Q _{Full}			
Loca	ation	C = 0.90	C = 0.90	C = 0.70	C = 0.20			Time	Intensity	Rate	Length	Diameter	Diameter	Slope	Velocity	Capacity	Time		
From	То	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	2.78AC	2.78AC	(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(mm)	(%)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(min)	Q / Q _{Full}	
CB-1	CB/MH-4				0.0357	0.0198	0.0198	10.00	104	2.07	5.8	250	251	2	1.72	85.00	0.06	0.02	
CB-2	CB/MH-4				0.0555	0.0309	0.0309	10.00	104	3.22	6.7	250	251	0.43	0.80	39.41	0.14	0.08	
CB-3	CB/MH-4	0.0525	0.0760		0.0908	0.3720	0.3720	10.00	104	38.76	3.1	250	251	1	1.21	60.10	0.04	0.64	
CB/MH-4	MH-5	0.0153	0.0386		0.0308	0.1520	0.5747	10.14	103	59.46	77.6	375	366	0.26	0.80	83.79	1.62	0.71	
MH-5	CB/MH-6					0.0000	0.5747	11.76	96	55.01	21.3	375	366	0.26	0.80	83.79	0.45	0.66	
CB/MH-6	CB/MH-8		0.0008		0.0296	0.0185	0.5931	12.21	94	55.64	41.1	375	366	0.26	0.80	83.79	0.86	0.66	
CB/MH-7	MH-7A	0.0422			0.4071	0.3319	0.3319	10.00	104	34.59	62.6	250	251	0.43	0.80	39.41	1.31	0.88	
MH-7A	CB/MH-8					0.0000	0.3319	11.31	98	32.45	62.6	250	251	0.43	0.80	39.41	1.31	0.82	
CB/MH-8	Rideau	0.0093			0.2110	0.1406	1.0657	13.07	90	96.29	23.7	375	366	3.7	3.00	316.10	0.13	0.30	
	River																		

APPENDIX E

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

GENERAL

Executive Summary: N/A

Date and revision number of report: Included

Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary and layout of proposed development: **Included**

Plan showing site and location of all existing services: Included

Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and Official Plan and reference to applicable watershed and subwatershed plans: **N/A**

Summary of Pre-Application Consultation meetings with City of Ottawa and other approval agencies: **Included**

Confirmation of conformance with higher level studies: N/A

Statement of objectives and servicing criteria: Included

Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area: Included

Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development: **N/A**

Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the proposed development: **Included**

Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services on adjacent lands: N/A

Proposed phasing of proposed development: N/A

Reference to geotechnical studies: Included

All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:

Metric scale: Included North arrow: Included Key plan: Included Property limits: Included Existing and proposed structures and parking areas: Included Easements, road widenings and right-of-ways: Included Street names: Included

WATER SERVICING

Confirmation of conformance with Master Servicing Study: N/A

Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development: Included

Identification of system constraints: Included

Identification of boundary conditions: Included

Confirmation of adequate domestic supply: Included

Confirmation of adequate fire flow: Included

Check of high pressures: Included

Definition of phasing constraints: N/A

Address reliability requirements: **Included**

Check on necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification: N/A

Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for proposed development: **Included**

Description of proposed water distribution network: Included

Description of required off-site infrastructure to service proposed development: N/A

Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines: **Included**

Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels and building locations: **Included**

SANITARY SERVICING

Summary of proposed design criteria: Included

Confirmation of conformance with Master Servicing Study: N/A

Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines: N/A

Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development: **Included**

Verification of available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service proposed development: N/A

Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates: Included

Description of proposed sewer network: Included

Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing: N/A

Impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station: N/A

Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity: N/A

Identification and implementation of emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding: **N/A**

Special considerations (e.g. contamination, corrosive environment): N/A

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT & STORM SERVICING

Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints: Included

Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure: N/A

Plan showing subject lands, its surroundings, receiving watercourse, existing drainage pattern and proposed drainage pattern: **Included**

Water quantity control objective: Included

Water quality control objective: Included

Description of the stormwater management concept: Included

Setback from private sewage disposal systems: N/A

Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks: N/A

Record of pre-consultation with the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks and the Conservation Authority having jurisdiction on the affected watershed: **Included**

Confirmation of conformance with Master Servicing Study: N/A

Storage requirements and conveyance capacity for minor events (5-year return period) and major events (100-year return period): **Included**

Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected or if necessary altered by the proposed development: **N/A**

Calculation of pre-development and post-development peak flow rates: N/A

Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another: N/A

Proposed minor and major systems: N/A

If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event: N/A

Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses: N/A

Identification of municipal drains: N/A

Description of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the proposed development: **Included**

100-year flood levels and major flow routing: N/A

Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations: N/A

Description of erosion and sediment control during construction: Included

Obtain relevant floodplain information from Conservation Authority: N/A

Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation: N/A

APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act: **N/A**

Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act: N/A

Changes to Municipal Drains: N/A

Other permits (e.g. National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation): **N/A**

CONCLUSIONS

Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations: Included

Comments received from review agencies: N/A

Signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario: Included