

ADEQUACY OF SITE SERVICING REPORT

Project Address –1274 Marygrove Circle Ottawa, ON

Client:

Oleksandr Patsukevych

By Blanchard Letendre Engineering Ltd. Date – July 25, 2023 Our File Reference: 23-172

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Tab	le of Contents	2
1.	Introduction	3
1.1.	Site Description	3
1.2.	Proposed Development	3
2.	Water Supply	3
3.	Sanitary sewage	6
4.	Storm sewage	7
Con	clusion	7
App	endix A – Fire Flow Calculation	8
App	endix B – Boundary Conditions	9
App	endix C – Sanitary flow calculation1	0

1. INTRODUCTION

Blanchard Letendre Engineering Ltd. (BLEL) was retained by Oleksandr Patsukevych to prepare a site servicing adequacy report for their proposed semi-detached building on the property located on 1274 Marygrove Circle in the City of Ottawa, Ontario.

This report will address the servicing (water, sanitary) requirements associated with the proposed development in response to the request of the City of Ottawa Planning department due to a rezoning application.

1.1. SITE DESCRIPTION

The existing site is located at 1274 Marygrove Circle, a short street ending with a cul-de-sac. The subject property measures a total area of approximately 0.05.ha.

Currently, the subject property features an existing single family home, which will be demolished and the proposed building will be constructed

1.2. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development will be a 2-unit semi-detached building with a HIP roof and 2 regular garages, based on the site plan and conceptual floor plans by the owner's designer, Vince Catelli.

The site is fronting 225mm diameter concrete sanitary sewer, a 152mm diameter uncoated cast iron watermain and 300mm diameter concrete storm sewer on Marygrove Circle.

The site is proposed to be serviced from existing municipal water and sanitary services on Marygrove Circle Street.

2. WATER SUPPLY

К

2.1. Existing Residential Water Demand:

The water is distributed from the grid not far from the proposed development to Clyde Ave and runs from a feeder main on Maitland Ave, to Prom. Terrebonne Dr and finally to Marygrove circle.

The existing water demand is calculated based on the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines for an average house as follow:

Residential occupancy = 3.4 persons per single family home unit (Table 4.1)

Total occupancy = 3.4 persons

- Residential Average Daily Demand = 280 L/c/d. (Table 4.2)
- Average daily demand of 280 L/c/day x 3.4 persons = 952 Liters/day or 0.011 L/s.
- Maximum Daily Demand (factor of 2.5) is 0.011 L/s x 2.5 = 0.028 L/s
- Peak hourly demand (factor of 2.2) = 0.028 L/s x 2.2 = 0.061 L/s

2.2. Proposed Residential Water Demand

The water demand is calculated based on the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Design Guidelines as follow:

- Residential occupancy = 2.7 persons per semi-detached unit (Table 4.1)
- 2x unit x 2.7pers./unit = 5.4 persons

Total occupancy = 5.4 persons rounded up to 6 persons

- Residential Average Daily Demand = 280 L/c/d. (Table 4.2)
- Average daily demand of 280 L/c/day x 6 persons = 1680 Liters/day or 0.019 L/s.
- Maximum Daily Demand (factor of 2.5) is $0.019 \text{ L/s} \times 2.5 = 0.049 \text{ L/s}$
- Peak hourly demand (factor of 2.2) = 0.049 L/s x 2.2 = 0.11 L/s

The difference in maximum daily demand = 0.11 L/s - 0.061 L/s = 0.05 L/s is negligible.

2.3. <u>Fire Fighting Requirements:</u>

Water demand for firefighting was calculated using both the OBC method and the 2020 FUS method, though the 2020 FUS is proposed to govern the design. The proposed building is defined as two semi-detached units, with both units having two combustible storeys of 107 sq.m in area. The construction style is not defined, and despite the drawings being suggestive of an ICF type construction, fully combustible construction with a fire separation has been assumed.

The fire flow for one area was calculated as 7000L/m for each unit. A copy of the calculation can be found in Appendix A.

The proposed development is within 40m of a hydrant, in accordance with table 2, footnote a.

2.4. Water Boundary Conditions:

The above calculated residential water supply requirement and Fire Fighting Requirement were provided to the City of Ottawa for boundary conditions. The following are boundary conditions, (Provided by the City of Ottawa) HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 1274 Marygrove Circle assumed tobe connected to the 152 mm watermain on Marygrove Circle.

Page 5 of 5 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

Minimum HGL = 126.7m Maximum HGL = 133.0m MaxDay + FireFlow (48 L/s) = 93.5m

The proposed development will have an underside of footing of 91.32m. The maximum and minimum HGL in the main at the proposed connection will be 41.68m (59.27 psi) and 35.38m (50.31 psi). The available pressure range exceeds the city's minimum 50psi, but does not exceed 70 psi and therefore pressure reducing valves are not required.

The building is proposed to be serviced with two 19mm diameter HDPE water service (1 for each unit) connecting to the water main on Marygrove Circle. Using the Hazen Williams Equation:

 $h_f = \frac{10.67 \times Q^{1.85} \times L}{C^{1.85} \times d^{4.87}}$

Where:

К

hf	Head loss over the length of pipe (m)
Q	Volumetric flow rate (m3/s)
L	Length of pipe (m)
С	Pipe roughness coefficient
d	Pipe diameter (m)

The pressure loss as a result of servicing this development was determined to be 0.36psi, which is negligible.

2.5. <u>Water Main Capacity</u>

Fire hydrant flow and pressure tests were provided by the City of Ottawa for one hydrant. The Hydrant is located on the intersection of Marygrove Circle and Prom.Terrebone Dr. Available fire flow is at a pressure of 20psi with a flow of 48L/s (2880 L/m). There are at least one additional hydrant within 135m and another within 95m.

With a static pressure of 20psi, the available fire flow from the single nearest hydrant is not enough to meet the FUS 2020 recommended fire flow.

A copy of fire hydrant flow can be found in Appendix B.

The available fire flow is 2880 L/min, which exceeds the required 1800L/min by the OBC method. A recalculation of the city's boundary conditions to determine the elevation head under MaxDay+FireFlow, or reverting to the OBC method is recommended.

3. SANITARY SEWAGE

3.1. Existing Sanitary Sewage Calculation:

The existing sanitary sewer on Marygrove Circle discharges into the one in Prom. Terrebonne Dr. The existing sanitary sewer on Terrebonne Drive also receives effluent from Maitland Ave Prom. Cameo and Greyrock Cres. The total upstream resident count has been estimated at 502 people with an average daily sewage generation of 1.63L/s.

An infiltration allowance of 0.33L/s/Ha has been considered. With an approximate area of 7.61ha, the extraneous flow will be 2.51L/s. The total average flow was calculated as 4.14L/s.

A peaking factor of PF=3.97 was calculated using the Harmon Equation. The peak flow is therefore 16.44 L/s.

3.2. <u>Proposed Sanitary Sewage Calculation:</u>

The design population will be the same as determined in the domestic water servicing section above. The design population of the building was determined to be 6 people.

The sanitary sewage flows were calculated in accordance with Chapter 5 of the MOE's 2008 Design Guidelines for Sewage Works. A per capita sewage flow of 280L/person/day was assumed. The total domestic sewage flow for 6 people is 0.024L/s. The peak factor, using the Harmon Formula, was found to be 4.5* use 4 maximum, for a peak sewage flow of approximately 0.1 L/s.

An extraneous flow allowance of 0.33 L/s/ha was assumed. With a site 0.05ha in size, the extraneous flow is 0.017 L/s, for a total design flow of 0.117L/s.

The total average daily demand for the site plus upstream domestic sewage generation is 1.64L/s and a population of 505 residents. The infiltration allowance remains 2.51L/s.

The peak factor is not affected. The proposed peak flow is therefore still 16.48m, after rounding up.

3.3. Domestic Sanitary Service:

R

This building is proposed to be served by two 135mm diameter PVC sanitary services (1 for each unit). With a slope of 2%, the sanitary service for each unit will have a capacity of approximately 19 L/s.

A copy of the sanitary flows can be found in Appendix C.

Page 7 of 7 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

3.4. <u>City Sanitary Sewer Capacity:</u>

The existing sanitary sewer on Terrbonne Drive is a 300mm concrete pipe with a slope of 0.65% which has a capacity of 81.33 L/s as per appendix 6A of the city's sewer design guidelines. The proposed development will therefore have negligible impact on the city's sanitary sewers.

4. STORM SEWAGE

Each unit is proposed to have foundation drainage outletting to the storm sewer using a private storm service.

The proposed development will increase the imperviousness of the island. It is assumed that the site storm will be dealt with using lot level controls, designed by others. Therefore, there will be no impact on nearby storm sewers.

The site grading has been designed by Fairhall Moffat & Woodland Limited, and demonstrates that the site drainage will be split between Marygrove Circle and an easement along the rear property line. No details of downspout locations are available at this time.

CONCLUSION

1. There is an adequate water supply for domestic use and firefighting.

2. The existing water pressure is adequate for the proposed development.

3. Since it is estimated that the water pressure is less than 80 psi, pressure reducing valves are not required.

4. The proposed water service connection is adequately sized to serve the development.

5. The expected sanitary sewage flow will be adequately handled by the proposed sanitary sewer service connection.

6. The expected sanitary sewage flow will be adequately handled by the by the existing sanitary sewers on Marygrove Circle

7. The increase in sanitary flows contributing to the existing municipal sanitary sewer on Prom. Terrebonne is expected to have a negligible impact.

For any comment or clarification please contact the undersigned.

Should you have any question, do not hesitate to let us know.

Yours truly,

Blanchard Letendre Engineering Ltd.,



Michael Jans, P.Eng.

Page 8 of 8 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

APPENDIX A – FIRE FLOW CALCULATION

Fire Flow Calculations

Project JLXMD Date April 18, 2023 Method Fire Underwriters Survey (FU Designed by A. ElHatimi	BLE File No.	23-172
Date April 18, 2023 Method Fire Underwriters Survey (FU Designed by A. ElHatimi	Project	JLXMD
Method Fire Underwriters Survey (FU Designed by A. ElHatimi	Date	April 18, 2023
Designed by A. ElHatimi	Method	Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS)
	Designed by	A. ElHatimi

Semi-detached building	211	
	211	m²

Revision 01

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	unit	Fire Flow			
	Structural Framing Material										
			Wood Frame	1.5							
	Chasses from a used	Coefficient C	Ordinary Construction	1.0		1.5					
1	for building	related to the type of	Non-combustible construction	0.8	Wood Frame						
		construction	Fire resistive construction <2 hrs	0.7							
			Fire resistive construction >2 hrs	0.6							
	Floor Space Area										
	Chasse turns of		Single family dwelling	0							
2	bousing	Type of housing	Townhouse - no. of units	1	Townhouse - no. of units	1	unit				
	nousing		Building - no. of units per floor	0							
	Enter no. of storeys	Number of floors/storey	s for the building (excluding the basement)			2	floor				
3	Enter area of a unit	Enter floor space area	of one unit	1	107	214	sq.m.				
4	Obtain fire flow before	Required fire flow	Required fire flow Fire Flow = 220 x C x Area ^{x 0.5}					4,827			
	reductions							80			
			Reductions or surcharge due to factor	s affecting bu	rning						
			Non-combustible	-0.25	Limited combustible						
	Choose combustibility	Occupancy bazard	Limited combustible	-0.15							
5	of contents	reduction or surcharge	Combustible	0		-0.15					
			Free burning	0.15	_		L/min	4,103			
5			Rapid burning	0.25			L/s	68			
			Sprinklers (NFPA13)	-0.30	False	0					
6	Choose reduction for sprinklers	Sprinkler reduction	Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines	-0.10	False	0	L/min	4,103			
			Fully supervised system	-0.10	False	0	L/s	68			
			North side	20.1 to 30m	0.25						
7	Choose constation	Exposure distance	East side	3.1 to 10m	0.2						
'	Choose separation	between units	South side	20.1 to 30m	0.1		L/min	7,181			
			West side	3.1 to 10m	0.2	0.75	L/s	120			
			Net required fire flow	v							
				Minimur	n required fire flow rate (rounded to n	earest 100)	L/min	7,200			
_	Obtain fire flow,				Minimum required fi	ire flow rate	L/s	120			
0	duration, and volume				Required duration	of fire flow	min	120			
					Required volume sto	ored on site	m ³	864			

Page 9 of 9 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

APPENDIX B - BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Alae El Hatimi

oriya, Shika <thakshika.rathnasooriya@ottawa.ca></thakshika.rathnasooriya@ottawa.ca>
May 23, 2023 2:25 PM
timi
2_1274 Marygrove Circle_Adequacy report
/grove Circle May 2023.pdf

Hi Alae,

Please see boundary conditions below. As the required fire flow cannot be achieved, a multi-hydrant analysis will be required.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 1274 Marygrove Circle (zone 2W2C) with assumed to be connected to the 152 mm watermain on Marygrove Circle (see attached PDF for location).

All Connections:

Minimum HGL: 126.7 m

Maximum HGL: 133.0 m

Available fire flow at 20 psi: 48 L/s, assuming ground elevation of 93.5 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Regards, Shika Rathnasooriya, P.Eng Project Manager Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department - West Branch City of Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON 613.580.2424 ext. 23433

From: Alae El Hatimi <alae@blengineering.ca>
Sent: May 17, 2023 1:28 PM
To: Rathnasooriya, Shika <Thakshika.Rathnasooriya@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Damien Letendre <damien@blengineering.ca>; Michael Jans <michael@blengineering.ca>;
sashaandco@hotmail.com; Vince Catalli <vincecatalli@hotmail.com>; Watson, Kieran <kieran.watson@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: 23-172_1274 Marygrove Circle_Adequacy report

Page 10 of 10 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

APPENDIX C - SANITARY FLOW CALCULATION

Cumulative Sanitary Flow

Existing									
Street	nb. Units	nb.hectars	nb.ppl	average daily flow Litre/Day	L/s				
Av.Maitland	28	3.74	224.4	62832	0.727222				
Prom cameo	34	2.52	151.2	52416	0.606667				
	20	0.6	36.0						
Marygrove Circle	17		45.9	12852	0.14875				
grayrock cres	15	0.75	45	12600	0.145833				
Total		7.61	502.5	140700	1.628472				

Proposed									
Street	nb. Units	nb.hectars	nb.ppl	average daily flow Litre/Day	L/s				
Av.Maitland	28	3.74	224.4	62832	0.727222				
Prom cameo	34	2.52	151.2	52416	0.606667				
	20	0.6	36.0						
Marygrove Circle	18		48.6	13608	0.1575				
grayrock cres	15	0.75	45	12600	0.145833				
Total			505.2	141456	1.637222				

Page 11 of 11 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

APPENDIX D – CIVIL DESIGN BY FAIRHALL MOFFAT WOODLAND



	ASFRALI, CURDING AND DEDRIS, OFF SITE OR AS DIRECTED BI THE ENGINEER.
4.	BUILDER TO VERIFY LOCATION OF ALL UNDERGROUND UTILITIES AND SERVICES PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION AND TO VERIFY INVERT ELEVATIONS OF SEWER STUBS AND TO VERIFY MINIMUM SEPARATION DISTANCES.
5.	EXISTING ASPHALT DRIVEWAY IS TO BE REMOVED AND REPLACED WITH SOFT SURFACE WHERE APPLICABLE.
6.	ALL SERVICES ARE TO BE EXPOSED AND GRADES DETERMINED IN THE FIELD BEFORE COMMENCING NEW CONNECTIONS.
7.	INSTALL SEWER SERVICES PRIOR TO HOUSE EXCAVATION TO VERIFY PROPOSED UNDERSIDE OF FOOTING ELEVATION.
8.	WATER AND SEWER WORK AND MATERIALS TO BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
9.	SANITARY/STORM SERVICE LATERAL TO CONNECT TO SANITARY/STORM MAIN IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STANDARD S11 FOR CONNECTION TO RIGID SEWER MAIN. CONNECTION VIA CORING IS REQUIRED, AS PER CITY STANDARD S11.2, WHERE SERVICE LATERAL DIAMETER IS GREATER THAN 50% OF THE DIAMETER OF THE RIGID SEWER MAIN.
10.	INVERT ELEVATION FOR SANITARY SERVICE TO BE 300MM BELOW USF AT DWELLING OR
11.	PROPOSED SEWER LATERAL AND WATER SERVICE LATERAL TO BE SLEEVED UNDER EACH PORCH. PROPOSED STORM LATERAL TO BE SLEEVED THROUGH FOUNDATION WALL.
12.	BACKWATER VALVES ARE TO BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY STANDARDS S14 AND S14.1 OR S14.2.
13. 14.	CONNECTION TO EXISTING WATER BY CITY OF OTTAWA FORCES.
15.	MINIMUM COVERAGE FOR ALL SEWER LATERALS AND LEADS SHALL BE 2.0m FROM THE FINISHED GRADE UNLESS INSULATION IS PROVIDED AS PER CITY STANDARD W22.
16.	EAVES TROUGHING WILL BE INSTALLED. EAVES TROUGH DOWNSPOUTS TO BE DISCHARGED TOWARD THE STREET AND SPLASH PAD TO BE INSTALLED WHEN DOWNSPOUT IS LESS THAN 1.5m FROM PROPERTY LIMIT.
17.	GRADING IN GRASSED AREAS IS TO BE BETWEEN 2% AND 7% UNTERRACED. GRADES IN EXCESS OF 7% WILL REQUIRE TERRACING AT A MAXIMUM OF 3H:1V
18.	WATER SERVICE MUST HAVE A MINIMUM 2.4m OF COVER OR INSULATED AS PER CITY STANDARD W22
19.	ANY PROPOSED WINDOW WELLS ARE TO BE DRAINED THROUGH GRAVEL TOWARD WEEPING TILE, DIRECT CONNECTIONS TO WEEPING TILES ARE PROHIBITED.
20.	WATERMAIN DEPTH IS TO BE VERIFIED IN THE FIELD. 0.30 MINIMUM SEPARATION BETWEEN EXISTING WATERMAIN AND PROPOSED SEWER LATERALS. IF 0.30 MINIMUM SEPARATION CANNOT BE MET BASED ON FINDINGS, UNSHRINKABLE
21.	EXISTING WATER SERVICE TO BE BLANKED AT THE MAIN.
22.	EXISTING SEWER LATERALS ARE TO BE DECOMMISSIONED AT THE PROPERTY LIMIT
23.	SUMP PIT AND PUMP TO BE INSTALLED FOR EACH UNIT.
24.	ANY PROPOSED SWALES ARE TO BE A MINIMUM 0.30m FROM ALL PROPERTY LINES.
25.	NO CHANGE TO EXISTING DRAINAGE PATTERNS ARE PROPOSED.
26.	NO EXCESS DRAINAGE WILL BE DIRECTED TOWARD NEIGHBOURING PROPERTIES DURING OR AFTER CONSTRUCTION.
27.	ALL CATCH BASINS AND MAINTENANCE HOLES ARE TO BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES BY INSTALLING SEDIMENT BARRIERS.
28.	THE CONTRACTOR WILL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.
29.	PROPOSED SERVICES ARE TO BE LOCATED OUTSIDE OF A TREE'S CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (CRZ). THE RADIUS OF THE CRITICAL ROOT ZONE (CRZ) IS CALCULATED BY MULTIPLYING THE DIAMETER OF THE TREE TRUNK AT BREAST HEIGHT BY A FACTOR OF 10. THIS AREA, CENTRED AROUND THE BASE OF THE TREE, IS TO BE PROTECTED BEFORE AND AFTER CONSTRUCTION. PLEASE NOTE THAT A TREE PERMIT IS REQUIRED TO REMOVE ANY TREES LOCATED IN THE RIGHT-OF-WAY. VISIT OTTAWA.CA/URBANTREE OR PHONE 311 AND TO APPLY FOR A DISTINCTIVE TREE PERMIT OR FOR MORE INFORMATION REGARDING THE URBAN TREE CONSERVATION BY-LAW.
30.	ALL TREES ON THE SUBJECT PROPERTY AND RIGHT-OF-WAY (STREET) SHALL BE PROTECTED AS PER THE 'MUNICIPAL TREES AND NATURAL AREAS PROTECTION BY-LAWS' AND THE 'URBAN TREES CONSERVATION BY-LAW', AS AMENDED FROM TIME TO TIME.
31.	ALL TREES WITHIN THE STREET RIGHT OF WAY TO BE PROTECTED AND MAINTAINED BEFORE AND AFTER CONSTRUCTION.
32.	ACCORDING TO THE URBAN TREE CONSERVATION BY-LAW, PROPERTIES THAT ARE ONE HECTARE OR LESS REQUIRE A TREE PERMIT TO REMOVE TREES WITH A DIAMETER OF 0.30m OR GREATER. Visit ottawa.ca/urbantree or phone 613-580-2401 for more information regarding the Urban Tree Conservation By-Law.
33.	ALL TREES ON CITY PROPERTY ARE TO BE PROTECTED(eg. place protective fencing around the tree no closer than at the critical root zone) prior to and during construction as per the Protection Measures outlined in the Municipal Trees and Natural Protection By-law (2006-279); failure to do so may result in a fine or tree compensation.
N U Th (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)	Natural Protection By-law (2006–279); failure to do so may result in a fine or tree compensation. Normal High Ground Water Table (NHGWT) Elevation relative to the Underside of Footing (USF) Elevation for Infill Projects the relationship between the proposed Underside of Footing USF) elevation and the Normal High Ground Water Table NHGWT) elevation for the residential unit(s) has not been erified and is to be determined prior to or at the time of poting/foundation excavation as per the City of Ottawa — Building Code Services requirements.
	nitigate this situation by either raising the footing elevation

10 metres

above the NHGWI elevation or demonstrate the use of appropriate foundation water proofing methods as per current building code requirements, as amended. The owner must apply for and receive any applicable permits before proceeding with the aforementioned works.

Fairhall, Moffatt & Woodland Limited assumes no responsibility or liability for impact on footings and/or basement drainage or possible damages due to this design arrangement dated February 09, 2023.

REVISIONS									
1.	FEB. 09	, 2023	REVISED	REAR	DECK	AS	PER	DESIGNER'S	INSTRUCTIONS
2.			2 V - 22 7 2 V -		2011 2011 2011				

m. Drive

Fairhall Moffatt & Woodland	Job No. AC28000 Date January 25, 2023 Reference No. 28(a) - 330973	LOT 206 REGISTERED PLAN 330973 CITY OF OTTAWA (#1274 Marygrove Circle)	THIS IS NOT A VALID COPY UNLESS EMBOSSED
Surveying and Land Information Services 100-600 TERRY FOX DRIVE, KANATA, ONTARIO K2L 486 TEL: (613) 591-2580 FAX: (613) 591-1495 www.fmw.on.co	Drawing Name s:\JOBS\AC28000\DWG sg280ac.dwg (cnr)	GRADE AND SERVICES PLAN	1000 1000 P

Page 12 of 12 1274 Marygrove Circle Our File Ref. 23-172

APPENDIX E – ARCHITECTURAL SKETCHES





1 BASEMENT PLAN A4 1:75



A4





GROUND LEVEL PLAN 1:75









