APPENDIX A: Annotated Renderings

September 1, 2022

December 2, 2022

Annotated Renderings 01: View from Head Street Square facing west

Continuous band created to soften edge and create a solid "exterior" face

Stepped geometry remains for balconies on "interior" face to create illusion of erosion within solid "exterior" face

Annotated Rendering 02: View from Head Street Square facing west

View of Main Residential Entrance

APPENDIX A: Full Renderings

View from Head Street Square

Appendix B

Department	Reviewer	Cycle	Comment #	Comment	Drawing/Document	Response
City of Ottawa - Planning	Kersten Nitsche	2	1	The Site Plan and Landscape Plan do not match. The Site Plan shows two vehicular lay-by areas on Miwatè Private to the south of Block 204. On the Site Plan, they appear to conflict with a planter curb and concrete sitting wall (north side of Miwate) and pedestrian light post (south side of Miwatè).	N/A (General Comment)	Please refer to updated drawing set - the vehicular lay-by illustrated on the Site Plan has been removed.
City of Ottawa - Planning	Kersten Nitsche	2	2	Please provide a Site Plan and Landscape Plan that does not include the vehicle pathways and turning movements as the linework makes these plans difficult to read. Please shift the vehicle movements to a stand-alone plan that minimizes other linework (e.g., landscaping hatching, etc.) so that those movements are clear and easy to read.	A100 - Site Plan L1.1, L1.2 - Landscape Plan Ground Floor	See Architectural Site Plan A101 where turning radii and vehicle pathway linework have been removed. Linework has also been removed from Landscape plans L1.1 and L1.2.
City of Ottawa - Planning	Kersten Nitsche	2	3	Ensure the title block on all plans has a border around it. Please note that the Application Number (i.e., D07-12-22-0071) is to be located on the short edge of the plan as shown in the diagram below.	N/A (General Comment)	Application number has been listed in the requested location on all drawings.
City of Ottawa - Planning	Kersten Nitsche	2	4	The resubmission materials reference a two-storey base and an eight-storey podium. Please note that a mezzanine is considered as a storey within the Zoning By-law. Therefore, all references to a two-storey base are correct, but the reference to an eight-storey podium is incorrect as there are seven storeys above the two-storey base (as per the submitted elevations).	N/A (General Comment)	The mezzanine level has been deleted, resulting in a building that now contains an 8-storey podium that is compliant with the Zoning By-Law.
City of Ottawa - Planning	Kersten Nitsche	2	4.a	A nine-storey podium is permitted pursuant to the zoning provisions provided that the 10th storey and above has a maximum floorplate of 700 square metres. My rough calculations show that this provision is met, but the floorplate for floors 10 and higher is not confirmed on any plans. Please confirm on the Site Plan and associated floor plans or indicate where it is located on the second submission plans, as I may have missed it.	Architectural Plans	Please refer to updated drawing set, specifically A210 and A211. These plans list an annotation noting the total floorplate area for floors above the 10th storey.
City of Ottawa - Planning	Kersten Nitsche	2	4.b	Please clarify and revise all plans and references within other supporting reports to reference the correct number of storeys (e.g., Site Plan, Floor Plans, Landscape plans for terraces, Design Brief, etc.)	N/A (General Comment)	Noted. The impacted plans have been revised accordingly.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(24) 5	*(Cycle 1 - Previous)* I do not see any job benchmark provided for this site. Please show and label one Geodetic benchmark for this site or put a note on the plan stating which benchmark will be used for this site and add elevation on the note. (Cycle 2) I did not see benchmark with elevation on servicing plan.	General Comments (Applies to all engineering plans)	(Cycle 2 Response) We listed four (4) benchmarks on C001 - Topographical Survey Plan. These are the four (4) benchmarks that will be used. We have coordinates and a description of each benchmark. These benchmarks were provided by Stantec Geomatic. We also added the info in our C0005B - Site Servicing Layout drawing
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(26) 6	*(Cycle 1 - Previous)* In the title block, include the name of Owner, full address including Postal Code, telephone number. (Cycle 2) no phone number added on the plan. Phone number is needed for issuing a CWNL.	General Comments (Applies to all engineering plans)	CIMA's Company phone number - 613-219-2722 has been added in the title block of all Civil drawings.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	8	Subdrain entrance to sumpit refer to mechanical drawing: Subdrain is in the middle of the road, i.e., under sky should not discharge into the internal sumpit, please disconnect, and discharge the subdrain to the storm sewer.	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	The foundation drain is along the perimeter of the underground parking garage which does line up in the middle of the road. The subdrain then enters the building into a sump pit and is then discharges to the storm sewer. We cannot connect the subdrain directly into the storm sewer because the subdrain is lower.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	9	As per the plan, STM MH 110 and SAN MH 109 are the end upstream MHs. Do you think there will be upstream sewers beyond these MHs to service future development? If there is any, do you think there will be enough depth of coverages for the upstream sewers?	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	No anticipated future development should occur upstream of those manholes. Also we are connecting our storm sewer to an existing network and therefore cannot lower the sewers by a significant amount.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	10	I see one storm service lateral 4.4m-200m after the above MHs. What is the function of this lateral?	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	This storm lateral will drain a portion of the trench drain. Additionnal notes will be provided.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	11	I see a storm service lateral 3.7m-200mm upstream of the MH 107, what is the purpose of this lateral?	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	This Storm lateral will drain a portion of the trench drain. Additionnal notes will be provided.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	12	I see two arrows (2.2m -250mm, 4.3m-200mm) indicating the same storm service lateral upstream of the dual water service laterals. Please clarify it. What is the function of this lateral?	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	These are two seperate storm connections. One is used to drain a portion of the trench drain and the other is used for the future Block 201.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	13	I see two dual water service laterals have been proposed for Block 204. Why do you need two dual water service laterals?	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	Dual water service is mandatory as per City of Ottawa Water distribution guidelines ISTB-2021-03. Dual servicing is mandatory with an isolation valve if a residential area contains more than 50 dwelling units.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	14	Sediment and erosion control Plan needs to be prepared as per OPSD requirement, if you are not sure, please call me to discuss.	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	Our sediment and erosion control drawing has notes that refer to OPSD and OPSS. All details and specifications related to provincial standards can be found online.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	15	Could you please include note 1 from the attached EC plan in your plan C003?	Site Servicing Layout: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	Note added as requested to plan C003. See Note 1.1.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(29) 7	*(Cycle 1 - Previous)* As the ZIBI site will have perimeter meters at the entrance, please put specific notes regarding this on the plan as before. (Cycle 2) please note the requested above note is very important and required for the servicing plan specifically for issuing the CWNL, please add this note on the servicing plan in addition to the notes that was added in the "Notes Plan."	Site Servicing Layout, (interim): ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005A, Revision 1 dated April 18, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	The note 2.20 in the Services section - Drawing C0002 -Notes Plan was copied on C0005B - Site Servicing Layout drawing to address this concern.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(42) 20	(Previous - Cycle 1) We used to believe that the removal capacity of an OGS was 80%, but the recent research done by the manufacturer indicates that it does not provide 80% TSS removal as is supposed to be. Can you please investigate it? (Cycle 2) No explanation was added in the report.	Site Servicing Report: CIMA + file number A0000931, Revision 0 dated April 19, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	(Cycle 2 Response): We've added the explanation in our report. Refer to Section 4.1 (Previous Respponse) The proposed development will have interlock pavers all around Block 204 with a subdrain in the center line of the road. This will provide a certain quality treatment with infiltration that was not anticipated when the OGS was originally designed. Therefore, the added quality treatment will reduce the impervious ratio of the site and will allow the OGS to perform adequately.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(44) 21	(Previous - Cycle 1) It has been considered that the sprinkler system will be designed as a fully supervised system that is the system will be monitored continuously with fire alarm. Please add a certificate from the respective professional in the appendix and revise the report with the info. (Cycle 2) No explanation was added in the report.	Site Servicing Report: CIMA + file number A0000931, Revision 0 dated April 19, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	(Cycle 2 Response): Explanation has been added in the report. Refer to section 2.3 Required Fire Flow (RFF) (Previous Response) Letter added in the Appendix A of the report

City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(45) 22	(Previous - Cycle 1) Hydraulic Analysis, Page 8: comparison between table 2-5 and 2-6 is not clear to me. Please add a bit more info about both the tables such as description of the total demand in table 5 and the description of the total demand in table 6, then draw the comparison. (Cycle 2) No explanation was added in the report.	Site Servicing Report: CIMA + file number A0000931, Revision 0 dated April 19, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	(Cycle 2 Response): We added clarification in our report to compare the current and existing water demand versus what was anticipated in the Master Servicing Study. We demonstrate that the current ZIBI development is following the Master Servicing Study and that the rest of the future development blocks will have adequate capacity if they follow the anticipated water demand in the Master Servicing Study. (Previous Response) Table 2-5 shows the water demand from the Master Servicing Study. Table 2-6 shows the proposed water demand for current conditions including Block 204. We are demonstrating that the water demand for the Master Servicing Study which was approved by the city is superior to the current water demands and therefore no additional hydraulic calculations are required. We added additional details to the section.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(47) 23	Page 15: Plumbing system in the underground garage had capacity 53SI/s. I am not clear about this info, please add a bit more info about the system which has capacity to hold 53SI/s. Response: "Therefore, peak runoff flow lower than what was originally approved by the city." Please mention it (Cycle 1 Consultant Response) clearly in the report how much flow was approved and under which report (report details) and how much flow has been proposed currently.	Site Servicing Report: CIMA + file number A0000931, Revision 0 dated April 19, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	(Cycle 2 Response): We've added an explanation and justification in our report regarding the flows entering the underground parking lot. Because the area and runoff coefficient are both lower than what was anticipated in the Phase 1 approved report, the flows entering the underground parking lot will be lower. (Previous Response): We had a misunderstanding of DSEL's Storm Water Management (SWM) report. Our approach to the courtyard drainage is as follow. The proposed area that will be draining into the underground plumbing system is lower than what was anticipated in DSEL's SWM - Phase 1 report. Therefore peak runoff flow will be lower than what was originally approved by the City.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(39) 16	(Previous - Cycle 1) Please confirm that any portion of the roof top of the underground parking garage will not have heavy vehicular access such as fire truck. (Cycle 2) FYI: I have circulated this response to our fire protection engineer for his review and comments. Please see attached email and address his concerns in the next submission.	Site Servicing Layout, (interim): ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C005A, Revision 1 dated April 18, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	(Cycle 2 Response): We have added signs on each facade of the proposed building indicating that there is an underground parking garage. See sign detail on C007 drawing. We added dimensions and height of the signs as per the documentation received. We also included in our report the letter from the structural team confirming the 15KPA capacity of the underground parking garage. (Previous Response) The roof top of the underground garage will have heavy duty vehical circulating above it. The design of the parking garage roof has been designed to acoomodate the heavy truck loading as per OBC requirements. Refer to Appendix A (Correspondence) for Structural Engineer confirmation.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	17	I have added here W22 City of Ottawa Standard drawing for your reference. If the depth of cover H is less than 1.2 m, then W22 is not applicable to protect the sewer, special design is required to protect freezing of the sewer. Please redesign this section to have at least 1.2 m depth of cover or please provide special design to protect the sewer.	Plan and Profile STA,2+000T)2+100: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C006B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	We have done a special design for this pipe. Refer to detail 200 in the C007 Cross-Sections and Details drawing.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	18	I received two plan and profile drawings, C00A and C00B and I reviewed them. I did not receive the plan and profile drawing C00C, please submit this drawing in the next submission for my review.	Plan and Profile STA,2+000T)2+100: ZIBI Ontario-Block 204, Dwg no. C006B, Revision 2 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	There is no Plan and Profile C00C.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	19	Page 8: RFF: "multiple municipal hydrants- are they municipal or private?"	Site Servicing Report: CIMA + file number A0000931, Revision 1 dated August 31, 2022, prepared by CIMA+	The hydrants are private hydrants. We've adjusted our report accordingly.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(50) 24	(Previous - Cycle 1) Month of April is the appropriate month to assess the water level in the monitoring well, but here it has been done in February, page 14 -please explain here clearly that the data are still acceptable for geotechnical consideration. (Cycle 2) Response is good but it has not been included in the report section, please include the response in the report.	Geotechnical Investigation: Proposed Development Blocks 201,202,203,204 & 205B for ZIBI, Report: OTT -00250193-S0, Revised Final, dated April 14,2022, prepared by EXP Services Inc.	(Cycle 2 Response): Noted. As discussed with Daniel from EXP, this has been added to the report. (Previous Response) It is our opinion, based on the water levels measured in on-site monitoring wells and in the Ottawa River, that a variety of factors influence water levels in a monitoring well and that the water levels measured in February are representative of actual site conditions and correspond well with mean water levels within the Ottawa River. As a result, these water levels are suitable for use for building design.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(51) 25	(Previous - Cycle 1) Page 24: A temporary Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) permit to take water (PTTW) Category 3 may be required if more than 400,000 L/day of ground and/or surface water are to be pumped during the construction phase. At least 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MECP. Please confirm here whether a PTTW is required or not as it needs 4 to 5 months to get approval from the Ministry. (Cycle 2) response is good but it has not been included in the report section, please include the response in the report.	Geotechnical Investigation: Proposed Development Blocks 201,202,203,204 & 205B for ZIBI, Report: OTT -00250193-S0, Revised Final, dated April 14,2022, prepared by EXP Services Inc.	(Cycle 2 Response): Noted. As discussed with Daniel from EXP, this has been added to the report. (Previous Response) Zibi (TG) A PTTW exists for construction dewatering.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(52) 26	(Previous - Cycle 1) Please add a section in the report titled "Recommendations" as per the City Geotechnical Guidelines. (Cycle 2) response is not adequate and not clear, please call me (613-263-1054) to discuss.	Geotechnical Investigation: Proposed Development Blocks 201,202,203,204 & 205B for ZIBI, Report: OTT -00250193-S0, Revised Final, dated April 14,2022, prepared by EXP Services Inc.	(Cycle 2 Response): Report has been revised to include the requested information.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	(1) 2	(53) 27	(Previous - Cycle 1) Page 30, Section 7.0 Conclusion: "It is understood that future development of the phase one property will be residential. As a result, a Record of Site Condition must be filed."Filling an RSC to the ministry usually takes almost two years, so based on the recommendations, please submit the necessary documents to the ministry for filling the RSC. (Cycle 2) Applicant Response: *"Previously a RSC has been provided for building occupancy permit, we request the same approach on this file."* Please note: As per the condition 44 included in the agreement attached here, the RSC should need be approved before the building permit application, not before the occupancy permit.	Phase One Environmental Site Assessment for 315 and 330 Miwate Private and 505 Chaudière Private for ZIBI, prepared for Windmill Dream ON Holding LP, Final Report, dated April 08,2022, prepared by Exp Services Inc. Project Number OTT-00250193-P0.	(Cycle 2 Resonse): Noted. (Prevous Response): (Cycle 1) Zibi (TG) - Understood, we will remediate the soil under a single excavation program for the Block/services. Work in planned for 2023, with an RSC aquired in 2024, in advance of building occupancy.
City of Ottawa - Infrastructure	Abdul Mottalib	2	28	I did not see Transportation noise level calculation included in the report. Please add the Stamps on (*Stamson)* Noise level with respect to the receptor numbers in a table. Please call me at my cell 613-2631054 if want to discuss more.	Environmental Noise Assessment Study for Block 204 A for ZIBI, Report GW22-015-Environment Noise R1, dated September 12, 2022, prepared by Gradientwind Engineers & Scientists	Dream - As discussed with Abdul on December 1st with Darrin, the Stamson calculations and their location within the Appendix will suffice.

City of Ottawa - Natural Systems	Amy MacPherson	(1) 2	(67) 35	(Previous - Cycle 1) (based on the Architectural Design Brief, item 2.22, page 51):low reflectance materials are not necessarily bird-safe, unless they are also opaque. Visual markers need to be used on transparent glass regardless of its reflectance. I also refer them to Guideline 3 regarding their proposed use of glass balcony railings – hopefully they plan to render these bird-safe as well. (Cycle 2) The proponent's response is not very promising, however; we will need them to do more than just "explore" the use of visual markers to reduce the risk of so much glazing, especially along a known migratory corridor like the Ottawa River. Here is the condition that we have developed for site plans to address concerns with glazing: The Owner acknowledges and agrees that the project will be constructed using bird-safe glass and/or other integrated measures as specified in Guideline 2 of the City's Bird-Safe Design Guidelines to reduce the risks to birds associated with transparent and/or reflective surfaces. This includes the application of measures meeting the City's specifications to a minimum of 90% of the glass within the first 16 metres of height or to the height of the adjacent mature tree canopy, whichever is greater, and to a minimum height of 4 m from the surface of any green roof, rooftop garden or terrace. This further includes the use of bird-safe glass to reduce the risks associated with design traps such as glass corners, fly-through conditions, glass railings or parapets as described in Guideline 3.	Architectural Design Brief - Item 2.22 (pg 51)	(Cycle 2 Response): Please refer to our response under comment #36 (below) for a consolidated reply to this comment as well. (Previous Response) Noted. Bird-safe visual markers will be explored in the design in recommended areas including glass balcony railings.
City of Ottawa - Natural Systems	Amy MacPherson	2	36	In this specific case, I note that the landscaped terrace on the top of the podium would be subject to the above condition, and that there is no specified height limit for bird-safe measures required to address design traps such as glass corners and balcony railings (i.e., those need to be addressed throughout, not just within the first 16 m).		The design has been developed further to integrate the measures specified in Guideline 2 of the City's Bird-Safe Design Guidelines. Bird-safe glass with frit on surface #1 is integrated into the building design at a rate of minimum 90% within the first 16 metres of height and 4m from the surface of green roof, rooftop garden or terrace. Please refer to sheet A104 and below renderings for extent of bird-safe glass under development. No treatment will be applied outside the areas indicated.

Appendix C

Department/Agency	Comment Text	Comment No.	Neuf Response
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	I continue to appreciate the high-quality design materials provided by the applicant team. The Design Brief highlights key comments from the UDRP and clearly illustrates changes made in response to the UDRP comments. The illustrated responses to the Urban Design Guidelines for High-Rise Buildings can serve as a good example for others to follow. In a number of locations in the Design Brief, a 9-storey podium is mentioned. Since the height of the podium has been reduced to 8 storeys, please review and correct if necessary.	30	The mezzaine level has been deleted, and the building now contains an 8-storey podium as required by the in-force Zoning By-Law, which we understand designates a mezzanine as a storey.
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	With respect to architecture, the simplified design is stepping in the right direction. While it is not easy see the relationship between parti diagram and the actual design, overall, the tower is elegant and the podium is interesting. The building fits well into the emerging context of the Chaudière Island.	31	Noted and appreciated.
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	The east elevation appears to be an outlier comparing with the other elevations and requires further study. Unlike the other elevations, which are more interesting and successful in expressing the concept of "rock erosion", on the east elevation the east exterior walls of the tower, the podium, and the projected canopy of the ground floor, are parallel to each other, and there is no vertical break on the podium. Could the east elevation be further refined to reflect on the concept of "rock erosion? Considerations may be given to introducing a vertical break on the podium and/or adjusting the shape of the canopy? (see attached diagrams)	31.a	The design team has revisited the east canopy elevation and created a more dynamic shape by raising the mid-point of the masonry canopy fascia along the top edge. This breaks up the consistent horizontal lines to create a more interesting visual from Head Street Square. Please refer to Annotated Renderings 01 included in Appendix A.
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	Please study the balconies of the south facing units next to staircase in the podium. It appears the shape of these balconies can be adjusted to soften the rather rigid podium roofline (see attached diagrams).	31.b	The design team has revisited the south-facing balconies on the podium to create a continuous "external" face with the "interior" balcony face stepping back to maintain an eroding effect. Please refer to Annotated Rendering 02 included in Appendix A.
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	On the ground floor plan, it is interesting to see the allocation of a "public wc". Is it meant to be used by the general public? If so, how could the public access without a key?	31.c	The vestibule containing the two public washrooms will be accessible to the public without a key during operating hours (to be determined by building operator). Key access will be required to the back of house corridor to ensure security for retailers. The stair door will be separate from the vestibule.
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	On the roof top of the tower a series of glass walls raise up above the parapet at the south-east corner. Is the intent to create a more interesting roofline? Should these glass walls be integrated with the mechanical penthouse?	31.d	The intention of these glass walls is to provide a more interesting crown on the top of the building. Since the previous SPA submission, mechanical units have been rearranged and therefore this portion now contains a roof and is integrated within the mechanical penthouse. Please refer to updated drawing set.
City of Ottawa - Urban Design	I have no further comments on the public realm and landscape design.	32	Noted.

APPENDIX D

From: Mottalib, Abdul
To: André Chaumont; Nitsche, Kersten
Cc: Taryn Glancy; Julien Sauvé; Paul Cope; Darrin Rankine; McCreight, Andrew; Mottalib, Abdul
Subject: RE: A000931 - ZIBI- Block 204 SPA Revision 3
Date: February 10, 2023 5:06:12 PM
Attachments: image001.jpg

--EXTERNAL--

Hi Andre,

I reviewed the revised servicing drawings, and I am okay with the revised submission. With this review , I have finished reviewing the civil part of the Block 204 of the ZIBI project.

If the file lead has no concerns with the civil submission, you can prepare the ECA package using the latest drawings and reports.

There are two ways to submit the ECA to the ministry , Direct submission, and ToR. This private site will follow the direct submission. However, if you want to submit this application under ToR, please prepare an email with the justifications (urgency, big project, etc.) and send it me I will process it to the ministry. Once the ministry is okay , you can submit the application under ToR.

--
Thanks,
Abdul
Mohammad Abdul Mottalib, P. Eng.
Extension: 27798
Vacation alert: I will be away from 21st February to 9th of March

From: André Chaumont <Andre.Chaumont@cima.ca>
Sent: February 03, 2023 11:11 AM
To: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Cc: 'Taryn Glancy' <TGlancy@zibi.ca>; julien.sauve@cima.ca; Nitsche, Kersten <Kersten.Nitsche@ottawa.ca>; Paul Cope <PCope@dream.ca>; Darrin Rankine <drankine@dream.ca>
Subject: A000931 - ZIBI- Block 204 SPA Revision 3

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Abdul

As mentioned, we reviewed with the architect and others the grading along the south side of Block 204 to remove the shallow storm sewer. As per the team's discussion, we managed to eliminate the shallow storm

sewer and reviewed the grading accordingly. See attached the modified Grading and Servicing drawings. We made some grading modification with a continuous 0.5% slope and a portion of Block 204 Finish floor was lowered to accommodate the new grading. These changes should suffice the SPA application as this was the last item on your list of comments.

Once you confirm your approval of these changes, we will send the ECA application package.

Regards,

ANDRÉ CHAUMONT, ing / P.Eng
Associé / Directeur principal
Partner / Senior Director

Cell : 613-761-0558

201–420, boul. Maloney Est, Gatineau QC J8P 1E7 CANADA
600 - 1400 Blair Towers Road, Ottawa, ON K1J 9B8 CANADA

'

'