

nextrans.ca

# Transportation Impact Assessment

# PROPOSED GAS STATION DEVELOPMENT

8605 Campeau Drive, City of Ottawa

July 26, 2022

Project No: NT-20-091



Consulting Engineers
A Division of NextEng Consulting Group Inc.

July 26, 2022

J+B Engineering Inc. 25 Centurian Drive, Suite 201 Markham, ON L3R 5N8

Attention: Janusz Kuszynski

Re: Transportation Impact Assessment - Addendum

Proposed Gas Station Development 8605 Campeau Drive, City of Ottawa

Our Project No. NT-20-091

NexTrans Consulting Engineers (a Division of NextEng Consulting Group Inc.) is pleased to present the enclosed Transportation Impact Assessment Addendum for the above noted property.

Nextrans acknowledges the City of Ottawa comments on the Transportation Impact Assessment, dated December 17, 2020, prepared By Nextrans. The purpose of this Addendum is to address concerns raised by City staff with regards to transportation, namely as they relate to the proposed site access.

City Transportation comments are reiterated below followed by our responses:

#### **Transportation Engineering Services**

Indicate in the report if access widths meet bylaw requirements. Narrow access widths as much as possible to meet these requirements. Wider accesses must be justified with turning movement diagrams. The submitted diagrams do not provide this justification.

**Response:** The vehicle turning diagram demonstrates that a 12.0 m driveway is required for fuel truck access.

WB-19 truck movements from the arterial should be initiated from the curb side lane or at most straddle the white line of the adjacent lane. Use base mapping that accurately shows the road width and lane markings.

Response: See Figure 7-2.

Confirm that the TAC recommended throat length is met at the Campeau Drive entrance.

Response: In accordance with the TAC manual, the Campeau Drive achieves the recommended throat length.

Confirm the location of the Campeau Drive access. There currently exists an entrance to this site that was is not constructed to current standards. If the proposed access is not in the location of the existing access the existing access must be removed. TWSI's should not be placed in the sidewalk at the access and curb returns

should be removed in front of the sidewalk and cycle track. A continuous sidewalk and cycle track with delineator strip is required and the preference is to have the sidewalk on a continuous elevation. Refer to City of Ottawa standard detail SC7.1. Pedestrians should not step down to the road level as they cross the access. Use the boulevard to shift from sidewalk elevation to street level. In addition, provide a green line across the access to highlight the cycle track. Motorists should be clear that priority is given to pedestrians and cyclists crossing the access.

Response: Acknowledged.

According to the attached Terms of Reference, a review of the existing and future transit availability will be done, including a review of transit routes and service frequencies. This has not been done. Please include a review of the transit service in the area and provide comments on the impact to that service from the proposed development.

**Response:** Transit review has been conducted. See Section 2.2.

The updated site plan, dated July 19, 2022, has been appended to this report. The development proposal has been updated to omit the proposed oil change facility and proposes a car wash facility in a second development phase. Additionally, the number of fueling positions has been increased to 12. The trip generation has been updated to remove the vehicle trips generated by the oil change facility and the increase in fueling positions. The updated trip generation does not include the car wash, which will be included in a future submission subsequent to rezoning approval. The total proposed parking supply has been increased to 20 spaces.

As the previous study found that the study area intersections operate with excellent levels of service, the changes in the estimated number of site generated trips would present no significant effects on the analysis. Thus, the results and conclusions remain valid.

The study concludes that the development proposal can adequately be accommodated by the existing transportation network with negligible traffic impact to the adjacent public roadways.

We trust the enclosed sufficiently addresses your needs. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

**NEXTRANS CONSULTING ENGINEERS** 

Prepared by:

Approved by:

Janus Mora, B.Eng.

Richard Pernicky, MITE

Transportation Analyst Principal

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Nextrans Consulting Engineers was retained by Janusz Kuszynski (the 'Client') to undertake a Transportation Impact Assessment for the proposed gas station development, in the City of Ottawa. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive intersection, municipally known as 8605 Campeau Drive.

#### **Development Proposal**

The subject property is currently vacant. Based on the preliminary site plan prepared by Petro Canada, dated July 19, 2022, the development proposal is to develop the vacant lands to include a gas station comprising of six (6) gasoline pumps with 12 fueling stations, a convenience store and eating establishment with a drive through. Access to the site is proposed through one (1) right-in / right-out entrances located via Campeau Drive, one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet parking lot. The preliminary site plan provides for a total of 20 parking spaces.

#### **Capacity Analysis**

The is anticipated to generate 97 two-way trips (51 inbound and 46 outbound) during the AM peak hours and 112 two-way trips (59 inbound and 53 outbound) during the PM peak hours.

The intersection capacity analysis results (based on the methodology and procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, HCM 2000 and HCM 2010 Roundabout, published by the Transportation Research Board) indicate that the study intersection and proposed access are expected to operate with excellent levels of service.

#### Access/Parking Review

According to the Site Plan provided, access to the site is proposed through one (1) right-in / right-out entrances located via Campeau Drive, one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet parking lot. In accordance with Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 5, we recommend appropriate signage consisting of a STOP Signs (Ra-1) be provided on the Campeau Drive, Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and Tangers Outlet parking lot egress driveways, a DISABLES PARKING PERMIT Sign (Rb-93) and DO NOT ENTER Sign (Rb-19) at the accessible parking spaces and end of drive-through aisle respectively.

Based on City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2008-250, a total of 19 parking spaces will be required for the proposed development with 169.76 m<sup>2</sup> of convenience store and 115 m<sup>2</sup> drive through restaurant.. The preliminary site plan provides for a total of 20 parking spaces, which results in a technical surplus of one (1) parking spaces.

#### **Loading Area Review**

A Suncor Tanker Truck turning path assessment was conducted to evaluate the expected movements to and from the proposed development site. The site is accessible from a circulation perspective.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	7
2.0	EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	8
	2.1. Existing Road Network	8
	2.2. Transit Assessment	8
	2.3. Existing Active Transportation Network	9
	2.4. Existing Traffic Volumes	10
	2.5. Existing Traffic Assessment	10
3.0	FUTURE BACKGROUND CONDITIONS	11
	3.1. Background Traffic Growth	11
4.0	SITE TRAFFIC	15
5.0	FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS	16
6.0	PARKING ASSESSMENT	18
7.0	LOADING AND ON-SITE CIRCULATION	18
8.0	SITE ACCESS REVIEW	19
	8.1. Driveway Width	19
	8.2. Throat Depth	19
9.0	TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT	20
10.0	CONCLUSION	20

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1-1 Site Location

Figure 1-2 Proposed Site Plan

Figure 2-1 Existing Traffic Volumes

Figure 3-1 Future Background Development Locations

Figure 3-2 Future Background Development Trip Generation (8700 Campeau Drive / 3199 Palladium Drive)

Figure 3-3 Future Background Development Trip Distribution (3001 Palladium Drive / 3075 Palladium Drive

/ 3015 Palladium Drive / 3005 Palladium Drive)

Figure 3-4 Future (2025) Background Traffic Volumes

Figure 4-1 Site Generated Traffic Volumes

Figure 5-1 Future (2025) Total Traffic Volumes

Figure 7-1 Signage Plan

Figure 7-2 AutoTURN – Maneuverability Demonstration (ADT TAC-2017)

Figure 7-3 AutoTURN – Maneuverability Demonstration (P TAC-2017)

#### LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1 – Level of Service – Existing Traffic Assessments

Table 3-1 – 3001 Palladium Drive / 3075 Palladium Drive / 3015 Palladium Drive / 3005 Palladium Drive Site

**Traffic Trip Generation** 

Table 3.2 – Level of Service – Future (2025) Total Traffic Assessments

Table 4.1 – Site Traffic Trip Generation (Based on ITE)

Table 4.2 – Site Traffic Trip Distribution

Table 5.1 – Level of Service – Future (2025) Total Traffic Assessment

Table 6.1 – Vehicle Parking Requirements (ZBL 2008-250)

#### **APPENDICES**

Appendix A – Proposed Site Plan

Appendix B – Terms of Reference

Appendix C – Existing Traffic Data

Appendix D – Existing Traffic Level of Service Calculations

Appendix E – Future Background Level of Service Calculations

Appendix F – Future Total Level of Service Calculations

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Nextrans Consulting Engineers was retained by Janusz Kuszynski (the 'Client') to undertake a Transportation Impact Assessment for the proposed gas station development, in the City of Ottawa. The subject property is located at the southeast corner of Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive intersection, municipally known as 8605 Campeau Drive.

The location of the proposed development is illustrated in **Figure 1-1**.





Figure 1-1 – Site Location

The subject property is currently vacant. The development proposal is to develop the vacant lands to include a gas station comprising of 12 fueling positions, a convenience store and eating establishment with a drive through. Access to the site is proposed through one (1) right-in / right-out entrances located via Campeau Drive, one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet parking lot. The preliminary site plan provides for a total of 20 parking spaces. The preliminary site plan is provided in Figure 1-2; Appendix A also provides a larger scale version of the proposed site plan.

Given the nature of the development proposal, the analysis will include the weekday morning and afternoon peak periods for traffic assessment purposes.



#### 2.0 EXISTING TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

#### 2.1. Existing Road Network

The existing subject lands are located on the southeast corner of Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive intersection, municipally known as 8605 Campeau Drive. The road network is described as follows:

**Palladium Drive**: is classified as an east-west Arterial road under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa, in accordance with the *Official Plan Consolidation for the City of Ottawa – October 2011*; however, functions in the north-south directions in the vicinity of the subject site. Palladium Drive has a four-lane cross section (2 lanes per direction) and posted speed limit of 60 km/h in the vicinity of the subject site.

**Campeau Drive**: is classified as an east-west Arterial road under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa, in accordance with the *Official Plan Consolidation for the City of Ottawa – October 2011*. Campeau Drive has a four-lane cross section (2 lanes per direction) and posted speed limit of 60 km/h in the vicinity of the subject site.

#### 2.2. Transit Assessment

Based on the study prepared by the Ministry of transportation of Ontario (MTO) entitled: 'Transit Supportive Guidelines', dated January 2012, transit users are generally willing to walk 400 meters to a local stop or 800 meters to a transit station. The nearest bus stops to the subject site are located on Campeau Drive at Journeyman Street. The transit routes servicing the immediate area are described below:

OC Transpo 62 Tunney's Pasture – The OC Transpo 62 bus route operates generally in the east-west
direction between Tunney's Pasture and CARDELREC Recreation Complex. Campeau Drive makes up a
segment of this route, which provides access to the subject site via eastbound and westbound bus stops at
Campeau Drive and Journeyman Street. This route operates seven (7) days a week with 30-minute headways.

Due the proposed use, the development is expected to have a negligible transit trip demand. In its existing state, the transit infrastructure can support the proposed development.

Schedule D of the Ottawa Official Plan identifies Huntmar Drive south of Campeau Drive as part of the Rapid Transit Priority Network.

#### 2.3. Existing Active Transportation Network

#### **Sidewalks**

The area surrounding the proposed development is serviced with dedicated walkways. Currently, sidewalks are available on Campeau Drive, Palladium Drive and Huntmar Drive, as well as throughout the residential and commercial areas surrounding the subject site.

#### Cycling

The area surrounding the proposed development is serviced with dedicated bike lanes. Currently, separated bicycle lanes are available on Campeau Drive, Palladium Drive and Huntmar Drive. **Figure 2-1** depicts the locations of the sidewalks and bike lanes in the vicinity of the subject site.




Figure 2-1 - Sidewalk and Bike Lane Availability

#### 2.4. Existing Traffic Volumes

Based on the Terms of Reference established with City of Ottawa staff, provided in **Appendix B**, existing traffic volumes at the study area intersection of Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive were obtained from the City of Ottawa dated Monday, November 18, 2019 from 7:00 AM to 6:00 PM. Peak periods to be analyzed are the morning (7:00 - 10:00 AM) and the afternoon (4:00 - 7:00 PM) peak periods. In accordance to the City of Ottawa *Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines* (2017), dated June 2017, Peak Hour factor for existing conditions have been set to 0.90, and Heavy vehicle have been set to 1.7. Detailed existing traffic data is provided in **Appendix C**.

#### 2.5. Existing Traffic Assessment

The existing volumes are illustrated in **Figure 2-2** and were analyzed using Synchro 10 software. The methodology of the software follows the procedures described and outlined in the highway Capacity manual, HCM 2000 and HCM 2010 Roundabout, published by the Transportation Research Board. The detailed results are provided in **Appendix D** and summarized in **Table 2.1**.




Table 2.1 – Level of Service – Existing Traffic Assessments

		Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	Movement	LOS (v/c)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	LOS (v/c)	Delay (s)	Queue (m)	
Palladium Drive and	EBLT	A (0.134)	5.4	0	A (0.325)	9.7	1	
	EBR	A (0.051)	4.6	0	A (0.036)	5.7	0	
Campeau Drive	SBLT	A (0.050)	4.8	0	A (0.215)	8.0	1	
(unsignalized)	SBR	A (0.027)	4.5	0	A (0.025)	5.6	0	

Based on **Table 2.1**, the study area intersection is currently operating at excellent levels of service during peak hour time periods with no critical movements identified.

#### 3.0 FUTURE BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

#### 3.1. Background Traffic Growth

For assessment purposes, a 5-year planning horizon was selected, representing a horizon year of 2025. A conservative 2% growth rate has been applied to the through volumes along Palladium Drive and Campeau Drive intersection. In accordance to the City of Ottawa *Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines (2017)*, dated June 2017, Peak Hour factor for future conditions has been set to 1.00.

Background developments were obtained from the City of Ottawa Development Application website: <a href="https://app01.ottawa.ca/postingplans/home.jsf?lang=en">https://app01.ottawa.ca/postingplans/home.jsf?lang=en</a>. Background development locations are provided in **Figure 3-1**, and are as follows:

- 8825 Campeau Drive UPS Distribution Centre located south of Campeau Drive, approximately 500-m west of the Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive intersection. Site currently exists, and has been captured in the existing TMC.
- 8700 Campeau Drive / 3199 Palladium Drive Office Development located at the northwest corner of Palladium Drive and Campeau Drive. Proposed development consists of a five (5)-storey office building with a GFA of 150,000 ft<sup>2</sup>. Site generated traffic is provided in **Figure 3-2**.
- 8600 Campeau Drive Hotel Development located at the northeast corner of Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive. Site currently exists, and has been captured in the existing TMC.
- 3280 Palladium Drive Medical office building located at the northeast corner of Palladium Drive and Upper Canada Street. Site currently exists, and has been captured in the existing TMC.
- 3001 Palladium Drive / 3075 Palladium Drive / 3015 Palladium Drive / 3005 Palladium Drive In accordance to the Transportation Impact Study Addendum #13 prepared by Parsons, dated May 18, 2017, the background development is anticipated to generate 577 two-way trips (343 inbound and 235 outbound) during the AM peak hours and 1,199 two-way trips (536 inbound and 663 outbound) during the PM peak hours. However, Cabela's Sporting Goods store currently exists, and has been captured in the existing TMC, and the UPS Distribution Centre has been accounted for in background development 8825 Campeau Drive above. As such, Table 3.1 depicts the trips generated by the background development excluding the Sporting Goods store and UPS Distribution Centre, as detailed in the Transportation Impact Study Addendum #13 prepared by Parsons, dated May 18, 2017.

Table 3.1 – 3001 Palladium Drive / 3075 Palladium Drive / 3015 Palladium Drive / 3005 Palladium Drive Site Traffic Trip Generation

Land Use	Land Use Area		Peak (vel	h/h)	PN	l Peak (ve	h/h)
Large Format Retail	120,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	88	72	159	212	230	442
Shopping Centre	68,262 ft <sup>2</sup>	65	41	106	188	205	393
Fast Food Restaurant	5,220 ft <sup>2</sup>	103	99	202	75	70	145
Auto Parts / Furniture Stores	83,115 ft <sup>2</sup>	41	39	80	108	115	223
Industrial Park	165,000 ft <sup>2</sup>	97	22	119	28	107	135
Large Format Retail Pass-by (30%)		-24	-24	-48	-66	-66	-132
Shopping Centre Pass-b	Shopping Centre Pass-by (30%)			-32	-59	-59	-118
Fast Food Restaurant Pass	Fast Food Restaurant Pass-by (50%)		-51	-102	-36	-36	-72
Auto Parts / Furniture Stores Pass-by (5%)		-2	-2	-4	-6	-6	-12
Multi-Purpose Trips (	-18	-13	-31	-28	-34	-62	
New Trips		283	167	449	416	526	942

As detailed in **Table 3.1**, the background developments are anticipated to generate 449 two-way trips (283 inbound and 167 outbound) during the AM peak hours and 942 two-way trips (416 inbound and 526 outbound) during the PM peak hours. **Figure 3.3** depicts the background development trip distribution, in accordance to the information detailed in **Table 4.3** below.

Since the Terms of Reference established with the City indicate we only need to analyze the Palladium Drive and Campeau Drive intersection, background development traffic at only this intersection has been analyzed, with through volumes projected to the site access locations. The future (2025) background traffic volumes are provided in **Figure 3-4**. The detailed calculations are provided in **Appendix E** and **Table 3.2** summarizes the level of service at the study area intersection under future background traffic conditions.





Figure 3-1 – Background Development Locations

Figure 3-2 – Future Background Development Trip Distribution (8700 Campeau Drive / 3199 Palladium Drive)







Figure 3-3 – Future Background Development Trip Distribution (3001 Palladium Drive / 3075 Palladium Drive / 3015 Palladium Drive / 3005 Palladium Drive)





Weekday AM Peak Hour Weekday PM Peak Hour Intersection Movement Delay 95th Queue LOS Delay 95th Queue LOS (v/c) (m) (v/c) (m) (s) (s) **EBLT** 5.4 A (0.325) 9.7 A (0.134) 0 1 Palladium Drive EBR A (0.051) 4.6 0 A (0.036) 5.7 0 and Campeau Drive 1 SBLT A (0.050) 4.8 0 A (0.215) 8.0 (unsignalized) 0 SBR A (0.027) 4.5 0 A (0.025) 5.6

Table 3.2 – Level of Service – Future (2025) Background Traffic Assessments

As summarized in **Table 3.2**, under future background conditions, the study area intersection will continue to operate at excellent levels of service during both peak hour periods with no critical movements identified.

#### 4.0 SITE TRAFFIC

The subject property is currently vacant. Based on the preliminary site plan prepared by Petro Canada, dated July 19, 2022, the development proposal is to develop the vacant lands to include a gas station comprising of six (6) gasoline pumps with 12 fueling stations, a convenience store and eating establishment with a drive through. Based on discussions with the Client, the drive through restaurant will have a total GFA of 115m² while the remainder of the GFA will be for the convenience store. For the purpose of this study, the proposed scenarios were analyzed using Synchro 10 software.

Trip rates and site generated trips were derived from the information contained in the *Trip Generation Manual,* 10<sup>th</sup> Edition published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) for "Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market" (LUC 945), "Quick Lubrication Vehicle Shop" (LUC 941) and "Fast-Food Restaurant with Drive-Through Window" (LUC934).

Based on the information contained in the Trip Generation Handbook, 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), the average pass-by rates for LUC 945 is 62% and 56% for the weekday AM and PM peak periods, respectively. The trip generation summary is shown in **Table 4.1** and **Figure 4-1**.

Table 4.1 – Site Traffic Trip Generation (Based on ITE)

ITE Land Use	Parameter	Morn	ing Peak	Hour	Afternoon Peak Hour		
ITE Latiu USE	Parameter	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Casalina/Camias Station	Gross New Trips	86	61	125	86	82	168
Gasoline/Service Station with Convenience Market	Trip Rate	6.40	6.10	12.50	7.10	6.90	14.00
	Pass-by (62/56%)	39	39	78	48	48	96
(12 fueling positions) (LUC 945)	New Trips	25	22	47	38	34	72
(LOC 943)	New Rate	2.50	2.20	4.70	3.17	2.83	6.00
Foot Food Doctovrent with	Gross New Trips	26	24	50	21	19	40
Fast-Food Restaurant with	Trip Rate	21.00	19.39	40.39	16.96	15.35	32.31
Drive-Through Window (1,237.85 ft²) (LUC 945)	New Trips	26	24	50	21	19	40
(1,237.03 H²) (LUC 943)	New Rate	21.00	19.39	40.39	16.96	15.35	32.31
Net Total T	rips	51	46	97	59	53	112

As shown in **Table 4.1**, the proposed development is anticipated to generate 97 two-way trips (51 inbound and 46 outbound) during the AM peak hours and 112 two-way trips (59 inbound and 53 outbound) during the PM peak hours.

The assumptions for the trip distribution rates are based on the existing traffic patterns at the Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive intersection, and routes that drivers would likely take to access the subject site and engineering judgement based on ease of site access. As a result, site trip distribution is summarized for the inbound and outbound site traffic movements during the morning and afternoon peak hours in Table 4.2.

Table 4.2 – Site	Traffic Tri	ip Distribution
------------------	-------------	-----------------

Direction	Via	AM Pe	ak Hour	PM Peak Hour	
Direction	Via	Inbound	Outbound	Inbound	Outbound
North	Palladium Drive	8%	8%	2%	2%
South	Palladium Drive	42%	42%	55%	55%
East	Campeau Drive	36%	36%	32%	32%
West	Campeau Drive	14%	14%	11%	11%
	Total	100%	100%	100%	100%

Palladium Drive Project North (N.T.S) Campeau Drive **⋤** 22 (19) **←** 22 (19) (27) 36 🗇 (7) 9 → ٦ 8 (19) 332 Fangers Outlet Parking Lot Legend AM Peak Hour (Right-In / Right-Out ) PM Peak Hour Existing Yield Sign Subject Site Existing Stop Sign **Existing Roundabout -**21 (32) (38)32 1 32 (39) (33) 25 ौ Tangers Outlet Site Access 25 (33) Existing Lane Config. **^** Future Lane Config.

Figure 4-1 - Site Generated Traffic Volumes

#### 5.0 **FUTURE TOTAL TRAFFIC CONDITIONS**

The future (2025) total traffic volumes under proposed conditions (future background traffic volumes plus site generated traffic volumes) are illustrated in Figure 5-1, and were analyzed using Synchro 10 software. The detailed calculations are provided in Appendix F and summarized in Table 5.1. As previously mentioned, in

accordance to the City of Ottawa *Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines (2017),* dated June 2017, Peak Hour factor for future conditions has been set to 1.00.




Figure 5-1 - Future (2025) Traffic Volumes

Table 5.1 - Level of Service - Future (2025) Total Traffic Assessments

,								
		Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	Movement	LOS (v/c)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	LOS (v/c)	Delay (s)	Queue (m)	
Campeau Drive and Site Access 1 (unsignalized)	NBR	A (0.02)	9.1	0.5	A (0.02)	9.6	0.6	
Palladium Drive and Tangers Outlet Access (unsignalized)	WBR	A (0.04)	9.3	0.9	A (0.04)	9.3	1.1	

Table 5.1 – Level of Service – Future (2025) Total Traffic Assessments Cont'd

		Weekday AM Peak Hour			Weekday PM Peak Hour			
Intersection	Movement	LOS (v/c)	Delay (s)	95 <sup>th</sup> Queue (m)	LOS (v/c)	Delay (s)	Queue (m)	
Tangers Outlet Access and Site Access 2 (unsignalized)	EBLT SBLR	A (0.02) A (0.03)	7.3 8.4	0.4 0.7	A (0.02) A (0.04)	7.3 8.4	0.5 0.8	
Palladium Drive and	EBLT	A (0.151)	5.8	1	A (0.354)	10.6	2	
Campeau Drive	EBR	A (0.054)	4.8	0	A (0.038)	6.0	0	
(unsignalized)	SBLT	A (0.059)	5.2	0	A (0.230)	8.6	1	
, 3 /	SBR	A (0.029)	4.8	0	A (0.027)	6.0	U	

As summarized in **Table 5.1**, under future total conditions, the study area intersection will continue to operate at excellent levels of service during both peak hour periods with no critical movements identified.

#### 6.0 PARKING ASSESSMENT

Based on the information contained in the City of Ottawa Zoning By-law No. 2008-250, the subject site is located in "Area C" on Schedule 1A. The technical parking requirement for the proposed development is detailed in **Table 6.1**.

Table 6.1 – Vehicle Parking Requirements (ZBL 2008-250)

Use	GFA	Rate	Parking Requirement	Parking Provided	Difference
Gas Bar	1	None	0		
Convenience Store	169.76 m <sup>2</sup>	3.4 per 100 m <sup>2</sup>	6	20	+2
Restaurant – Fast Food	115 m <sup>2</sup>	10 per 100 m <sup>2</sup>	12		
	Total	18	20	+2	

Based on City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2008-250, a total of 18 parking spaces will be required for the proposed development. The preliminary site plan provides for a total of 20 parking spaces, which results in a technical surplus of two (2) parking spaces.

#### 7.0 LOADING AND ON-SITE CIRCULATION

As previously mentioned, access to the site is proposed through one (1) right-in / right-out entrances located via Campeau Drive, one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet parking lot. In accordance with Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 5, we recommend appropriate signage consisting of a STOP Signs (Ra-1) be provided on the Campeau Drive, Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and Tangers Outlet parking lot egress driveways, a DISABLES PARKING PERMIT Sign (Rb-93) and DO NOT ENTER Sign (Rb-19) at the accessible parking spaces and end of drive-through aisle respectively, see **Figure 7-1**.

Figure 7-1 – Signage Plan

Legend

STOP Sign (Ra-1)

DISABLED PARKING

PERMIT Sign(Rb-39)

DO NOT ENTER

Sign (Rb-19)

STOP Sign (Ra-1)

S

AutoTURN analysis was undertaken for ADT TAC-2017 truck and 5.6-m long passenger vehicle to the proposed refueling station and parking spaces. Maneuverability is provided in **Figures 7.2** and **7-3**.

#### 8.0 SITE ACCESS REVIEW

#### 8.1. Driveway Width

The maximum driveway width permitted by By-law 2003-447 is 9.0 m. The site plan proposes a width of 12.0 m at the southerly access. The vehicle turning diagram, shown in **Figure 8-1**, demonstrates that a 12.0 m width is required to facilitate fuel truck access.

ENTRANCE TO TANGER QUILET

#### 8.2. Throat Depth

According to the TAC Geometric Design guide for Canadian Roads, clear throat length is defined as the distance measured from the ends of the driveway curb return radii and the point of first conflict on-site. As per Table 8.9.3 of the TAC Manual, the proposed development would require a minimum clear throat length of 15 m based on GFA. The site plan provides a clear throat length of over 20 m at the Campeau Drive access, which is adequate.

#### 9.0 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Transportation demand management (TDM) refers to variety of strategies to reduce congestion, minimize the number of single-occupant vehicles, encourage non-auto modes of travel, and reduce vehicle dependency to create a sustainable transportation system.


Based on our experience, excessive parking supply imposes environmental costs, contradicts community development objectives for more livable and walkable communities, and tends to increase driving and discourage the use of alternative mode of travel. It is anticipated that the combination of reduced parking supply and an efficient public transit system will encourage the use of alternative modes of travel.


Pedestrian sidewalks are provided on both sides of the roadways, and sidewalk connectivity is provided throughout the proposed municipal road to ensure a complete sidewalk network.

#### 10.0 CONCLUSION


The findings and conclusions of our analysis are as follows:

- The subject property is currently vacant. Based on the preliminary site plan prepared by Petro Canada, dated July 12, 2022 the development proposal is to develop the vacant lands to include a gas station comprising of six (6) gasoline pumps with 12 fueling stations, a convenience store and eating establishment with a drive through. Access to the site is proposed through one (1) right-in / right-out entrances located via Campeau Drive, one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and one (1) full movement entrance via Tangers Outlet parking lot. The preliminary site plan provides for a total of 20 parking spaces.
- The proposed development is anticipated to generate 97 two-way trips (51 inbound and 46 outbound) during the AM peak hours and 112 two-way trips (59 inbound and 53 outbound) during the PM peak hours.
- The intersection capacity analysis results (based on the methodology and procedures outlined in the Highway Capacity Manual, HCM 2000 and HCM 2010 Roundabout, published by the Transportation Research Board) indicate that the study intersection and access are expected to continue to operate with acceptable levels of service.
- In accordance with Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 5, we recommend appropriate signage consisting of a STOP Signs (Ra-1) be provided on the Campeau Drive, Tangers Outlet Westerly Site Access and Tangers Outlet parking lot egress driveways, a DISABLES PARKING PERMIT Sign (Rb-93) and DO NOT ENTER Sign (Rb-19) at the accessible parking spaces and end of drive-through aisle respectively.
- Based on City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2008-250, a total of 18 parking spaces will be required for the proposed development with 169.76 m<sup>2</sup> of convenience store and a 115 m<sup>2</sup> drive through restaurant. The preliminary site plan provides for a total of 20 parking spaces, which results in a technical surplus of two (2) parking spaces.
- The site is functional from a maneuverability perspective.





**Appendix A - Proposed Site Plan** 



**Appendix B – Terms of Reference** 

#### **Andy Bilawejian**

From: Giampa, Mike < Mike.Giampa@ottawa.ca>

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 09, 2020 7:06 AM

To: Andy Bilawejian

**Subject:** RE: 8605 Campeau Drive Terms of Reference

Good morning Andy, your terms of reference are adequate. Please proceed to your scoping report.

From: Andy Bilawejian <andy@nextrans.ca>

Sent: June 04, 2020 11:07 AM

**To:** Giampa, Mike < Mike. Giampa@ottawa.ca> **Subject:** 8605 Campeau Drive Terms of Reference

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

My name is Andy and I work at NexTrans Consulting Engineers. We are currently in the process of preparing scope of work for a new gas station development in the City of Ottawa. Based on the TIA Screening Form, a TIA is required. Please see attached Terms of Reference and advise if acceptable, or if you have any comments.

If you need further information, feel free to contact me.

Thanks,

#### Andy Bilawejian, B.Eng., EIT

**Transportation Analyst** 

o: 905-503-2563 ext. 209

c: 416-358-2348

e: andy@nextrans.ca

w: www.nextrans.ca

# **NexTrans Consulting Engineers A Division of NextEng Consulting Group Inc.**

520 Industrial Parkway South, Suite 201

Aurora ON L4G 6W8

COVID UPDATE: Please be advised that we continue to service our clients to the fullest extent possible, albeit in a modified office environment, as such a reply may be slightly delayed. Thank you and keep well!

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

nexirans consulting Engineers

Phone: 905-503-2563 www.nextrans.ca

NextEng Consulting Group Inc.

# **Terms of Reference**

**To:** Giampa, Mike, Senior Transportation Engineer, City of Ottawa

From: Andy Bilawejian, Transportation Analyst, Nextrans Consulting Engineers

**Date:** June 4, 2020

Re:

8605 Campeau Drive, Gas Station Development – TOR for Traffic Impact Assessment

These terms of reference have been prepared to outline (for the City/s review and approval) the intended scope of work for a Traffic Impact Assessment for a proposed gas station Development consisting of 284.76 m<sup>2</sup> GFA of convenience store area and drive-thru fast food restaurant. The subject site is located at the southeast corner of Palladium Drive and Campeau Drive in the City of Ottawa.

#### Introduction

The report introduction will include:

- 1. Description of site location
- 2. Description of nature of application
- 3. Description of proposed development and land use
- 4. Proposed study area

## **Existing Traffic Assessment**

The existing conditions within the study area will be summarized and documented. This will include, but not limited to:

- A description of key roads and intersections (lanes, speed limits)
- Identifying forms of traffic control, lane configurations, turning restrictions
- Identifying pedestrian and cycling facilities
- Noting the location of adjacent driveways and access points
- Identifying other traffic generators in the vicinity of the site

Turning movement counts will be collected during weekday AM (7am-10am), weekday PM (4pm-7pm) peak periods at the following study area intersections:

Campeau Drive and Palladium Drive

Once existing traffic volumes have been collected, we will prepare a baseline model of existing traffic operations at the study area intersections using Synchro v.10 analysis for the identified critical time periods (weekday AM and PM peak hours). The existing analysis will include levels of service, volume to capacity ratios, and queuing at the key study intersections.`

#### **Future Background Traffic Assessment**

Future Background consists of background growth and other background development traffic. We will obtain historic AADT records and estimate a background growth rate for the assumed full build-out year for the proposed development along with a 5-year time horizon period thereafter.

We do understand that there is and may be further redevelopment applications, as such traffic generation associated with those developments will be included in our analysis to reflect our horizon year assessment.

Operational deficiencies as a result of future forecasted traffic volumes will be identified and mitigative measures will be proposed and documented in the final report.

#### **Site Traffic Assessment**

The weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic to be generated by the proposed development will be estimated based on information published in the *Trip Generation*, 10<sup>th</sup> Edition, by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE).

The directional trip distribution and assignment for traffic approaching and departing the site will be determined based upon existing traffic patterns and Transportation Tomorrow Survey (TTS) 2016 data.

#### **Future Total Traffic Assessment**

Future total traffic consists of future background plus site traffic. Operational deficiencies as a result of site traffic will be identified and mitigative measures will be proposed and documented in the final report. We will develop and recommend appropriate intersection controls and geometric improvements for all key intersections as well as determine the appropriateness of the proposed site access location(s) and the lane requirements at these new locations.

## Parking / On Site Circulation and Site Access Review

- Review the available parking to determine whether the proposed parking supply is sufficient to accommodate the parking demand of the proposed site and meets current bylaw requirements.
- We will review and provide comment on the most recent site plan with respect to the functionality of the internal vehicular circulation to facilitate vehicle maneuvering, loading, servicing, parking and pick-up / drop-off activities.
- Using Auto TURN, we will confirm the turning radius requirements and site circulation for passenger and heavy vehicles.
- Determine the appropriateness of access location and ensure adequate connections to main corridors are provided.
- Assign appropriate internal signage to site plan.

# Transit and Transportation Demand Management Plan


A review of the existing and future transit availability in the area and recommendations shall be made to ensure acceptable walking distances are proposed to the subject lands. Transit routes, service frequencies, and stations will be identified in the study area.

**Appendix C – Existing Traffic Data** 



# **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

# **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**



July 30, 2020 Page 1 of 8




# **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

# **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

# **Full Study Peak Hour Diagram**




July 30, 2020 Page 2 of 8



# **Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram**

# **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**




**Comments** 

2020-Jul-30 Page 1 of 3



# **Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram**

# **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**




**Comments** 

2020-Jul-30 Page 2 of 3



# **Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram**

# **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**



**Comments** 

2020-Jul-30 Page 3 of 3



#### **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

#### **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

**Start Time:** 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

**Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)** 

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 **Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor** 

> Southbound: Northbound: 504

1.00

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 1

			PALL	ADIUM	1 DR							CAI	MPEA	U DR					
	Nor	thbou	nd		So	uthbou	nd			E	astbou	ınd		W	estbou	ınd			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	30	26	75	131	3	9	2	14	145	3	21	24	48	106	0	5	111	159	304
08:00 09:00	52	17	112	181	7	14	0	21	202	4	38	45	87	120	9	13	142	229	431
09:00 10:00	33	19	85	137	11	15	2	28	165	1	23	11	35	100	0	8	108	143	308
11:30 12:30	39	19	107	165	0	22	6	28	193	3	37	12	52	146	0	9	155	207	400
12:30 13:30	33	14	100	147	8	27	2	37	184	3	36	13	52	124	0	4	128	180	364
15:00 16:00	47	20	140	207	10	26	1	37	244	1	43	18	62	140	1	12	153	215	459
16:00 17:00	72	9	167	248	9	39	2	50	298	0	33	24	57	203	2	6	211	268	566
17:00 18:00	47	5	147	199	0	9	1	10	209	0	28	28	56	132	5	0	137	193	402
Sub Total	353	129	933	1415	48	161	16	225	1640	15	259	175	449	1071	17	57	1145	1594	3234
U Turns				504				0	504				0				1	1	505
Total	353	129	933	1919	48	161	16	225	2144	15	259	175	449	1071	17	57	1146	1595	3739
EQ 12Hr	491	179	1297	2667	67	224	22	313	2980	21	360	243	624	1489	24	79	1593	2217	5197
Note: These	values ar	re calcu	lated by	y multiply	ing the	totals b	y the ap	opropriat	e expansi	ion fact	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	462	169	1222	2514	63	211	21	295	2980	20	339	229	588	1403	22	75	1501	2217	5197
Note: These	volumes	are cal	culated	by multip	lying th	ne Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. tota	Is by the	AADT f	actor.			1					
AVG 24Hr	606	221	1601	3293	82	276	27	386	3679	26	444	300	771	1838	29	98	1967	2738	6417
Note: These	volumes	are cal	culated	by multip	lying th	ne Avera	ige Dail	y 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 24	1 expan	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

July 30, 2020 Page 3 of 8



**PALLADIUM DR** 

# **Transportation Services - Traffic Services**

### **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

### **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

# Full Study 15 Minute Increments CAMPEAU DR

		No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			Е	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	6	3	17	27	1	0	1	2	5	2	2	2	6	20	0	1	21	5	56
07:15	07:30	4	6	14	25	1	2	0	3	3	0	4	4	8	24	0	1	25	3	61
07:30	07:45	8	12	27	55	1	3	1	5	10	0	9	12	21	29	0	2	31	10	112
07:45	08:00	12	5	17	37	0	4	0	4	5	1	6	6	13	33	0	1	34	5	88
08:00	08:15	19	3	30	52	2	0	0	2	5	0	0	3	3	30	5	5	40	5	97
08:15	08:30	20	8	31	60	2	7	0	9	8	2	11	4	17	28	0	1	29	8	115
08:30	08:45	8	2	26	36	2	3	0	5	7	1	10	12	23	35	4	3	42	7	106
08:45	09:00	5	4	25	42	1	4	0	5	3	1	17	26	44	27	0	4	31	3	122
09:00	09:15	3	4	22	31	5	5	1	11	4	0	7	6	13	24	0	5	29	4	84
09:15	09:30	12	7	22	44	2	3	0	5	7	1	7	0	8	28	0	1	29	7	86
09:30	09:45	9	6	18	41	3	4	0	7	2	0	5	1	6	26	0	0	26	2	80
09:45	10:00	9	2	23	42	1	3	1	5	6	0	4	4	8	22	0	2	24	6	79
11:30	11:45	8	4	18	45	0	6	1	7	4	0	8	3	11	37	0	3	40	4	103
11:45	12:00	10	5	29	66	0	2	1	3	9	0	9	5	14	36	0	2	38	9	121
12:00	12:15	7	7	30	62	0	7	4	11	8	2	8	3	13	31	0	1	32	8	118
12:15	12:30	14	3	30	64	0	7	0	7	7	1	12	1	14	42	0	3	45	7	130
12:30	12:45	9	5	26	65	3	7	1	11	8	0	5	3	8	35	0	2	37	8	121
12:45	13:00	6	3	21	56	2	5	0	7	4	0	13	4	17	28	0	0	28	4	108
13:00	13:15	11	3	25	59	1	13	1	15	5	2	9	3	14	37	0	1	38	5	126
13:15	13:30	7	3	28	71	2	2	0	4	9	1	9	3	13	24	0	1	25	9	113
15:00	15:15	9	5	31	77	0	10	0	10	8	1	8	6	15	30	1	3	34	8	136
15:15	15:30	14	6	36	79	4	6	0	10	8	0	11	4	15	36	0	1	37	8	141
15:30	15:45	13	7	37	83	4	1	0	5	6	0	12	7	19	33	0	5	38	6	145
15:45	16:00	11	2	36	84	2	9	1	12	12	0	12	1	13	41	0	3	44	12	153
16:00	16:15	13	2	41	90	3	5	1	9	6	0	8	7	15	39	0	1	40	6	154
16:15	16:30	20	3	33	75	4	11	0	15	8	0	11	7	18	50	0	2	52	8	160
16:30	16:45	15	3	46	93	0	7	0	7	5	0	6	2	8	65	2	1	68	5	176
16:45	17:00	24	1	47	87	2	16	1	19	4	0	8	8	16	49	0	2	51	4	173
17:00	17:15	11	2	38	73	0	5	0	5	7	0	6	4	10	37	1	0	39	7	127
17:15	17:30	12	1	40	70	0	2	1	3	6	0	6	7	13	32	0	0	32	6	118
17:30	17:45	13	1	42	75	0	0	0	0	6	0	11	8	19	33	2	0	35	6	129
17:45	18:00	11	1	27	53	0	2	0	2	7	0	5	9	14	30	2	0	32	7	101
Total:		353	129	933	1919	48	161	16	225	202	15	259	175	449	1071	17	57	1146	202	3,739

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

July 30, 2020 Page 4 of 8



### **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

### CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

### **Full Study Cyclist Volume**

#### PALLADIUM DR CAMPEAU DR

		I ALLADIONI DI			OAIIII EAO DI	•	
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:45 12:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	1	0	0	0	1

July 30, 2020 Page 5 of 8



### **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

### **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

### **Full Study Pedestrian Volume**

PALLADIUM DR CAMPEAU DR

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	3	0	3	0	4	4	7
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	1	0	1	1	0	1	2
08:45 09:00	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
09:00 09:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
13:00 13:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
13:15 13:30	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
15:45 16:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:30 16:45	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:00 17:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	19	1	20	2	5	7	27

July 30, 2020 Page 6 of 8



### **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

### CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

### **Full Study Heavy Vehicles**

#### PALLADIUM DR CAMPEAU DR

		No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			Е	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time Pe	eriod	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 0	07:15	0	1	3	4	1	0	0	1	5	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	7
07:15 0	07:30	0	0	2	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
07:30 0	07:45	0	2	7	9	0	1	0	1	10	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	4	5	15
07:45 0	00:80	0	0	3	3	0	2	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	9
08:00 0	08:15	0	1	4	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	7	7	12
08:15 0	08:30	0	2	3	5	1	2	0	3	8	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	4	5	13
08:30 0	08:45	0	0	6	6	0	1	0	1	7	0	1	12	13	3	0	1	4	17	24
08:45 0	09:00	0	0	3	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	6	24	30	0	0	0	0	30	33
09:00 0	09:15	0	1	2	3	0	1	0	1	4	0	1	6	7	1	0	0	1	8	12
09:15 0	09:30	2	1	1	6	0	1	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	9
09:30 0	09:45	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	6
09:45   1	10:00	1	0	5	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	4	5	11
11:30 1	11:45	0	0	2	3	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	8
11:45   1	12:00	0	1	7	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	4	5	14
12:00 1	12:15	1	1	4	6	0	2	0	2	8	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	12
12:15   1	12:30	0	0	6	6	0	1	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	5	5	12
12:30 1	12:45	0	2	3	5	0	3	0	3	8	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	11
12:45   1	13:00	0	0	3	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	7
13:00 1	13:15	1	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	4	5	10
13:15 1	13:30	0	2	3	6	1	2	0	3	9	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	3	3	12
	15:15	1	2	4	7	0	1	0	1	8	1	1	2	4	5	1	0	6	10	18
15:15 1	15:30	0	0	8	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	4	4	12
15:30 1	15:45	0	2	4	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	1	1	5	0	0	5	6	12
15:45 1	16:00	2	0	5	9	0	3	0	3	12	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	8	8	20
	16:15	1	0	3	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	3	5	11
16:15 1	16:30	1	2	5	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	3	11
16:30 1	16:45	2	0	1	3	0	2	0	2	5	0	0	1	1	3	2	0	5	6	11
16:45 1	17:00	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	1	4	0	0	1	1	4	0	0	4	5	9
17:00 1	17:15	6	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	2	9
	17:30	5	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
	17:45	6	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	3	3	9
17:45 1	18:00	6	0	1	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	3	10
Total: N	None	38	21	103	173	4	25	0	29	202	3	12	52	67	97	8	3	109	176	378

July 30, 2020 Page 7 of 8



### **Turning Movement Count - Study Results**

### **CAMPEAU DR @ PALLADIUM DR**

Survey Date: Monday, November 18, 2019 WO No: 39002

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

# Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total PALLADIUM DR CAMPEAU DR

Time F	eriod	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	1	0	0	0	1
07:15	07:30	1	0	0	0	1
07:30	07:45	8	0	0	0	8
07:45	08:00	3	0	0	0	3
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	1	0	0	0	1
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	8	0	0	0	8
09:00	09:15	2	0	0	0	2
09:15	09:30	3	0	0	0	3
09:30	09:45	8	0	0	0	8
09:45	10:00	8	0	0	0	8
11:30	11:45	15	0	0	0	15
11:45	12:00	22	0	0	0	22
12:00	12:15	18	0	0	0	18
12:15	12:30	17	0	0	0	17
12:30	12:45	25	0	0	0	25
12:45	13:00	26	0	0	0	26
13:00	13:15	20	0	0	0	20
13:15	13:30	33	0	0	0	33
15:00	15:15	32	0	0	0	32
15:15	15:30	23	0	0	0	23
15:30	15:45	26	0	0	0	26
15:45	16:00	35	0	0	0	35
16:00	16:15	34	0	0	0	34
16:15	16:30	19	0	0	0	19
16:30	16:45	29	0	0	0	29
16:45	17:00	15	0	0	0	15
17:00	17:15	22	0	0	1	23
17:15	17:30	17	0	0	0	17
17:30	17:45	19	0	0	0	19
17:45	18:00	14	0	0	0	14
То	tal	504	0	0	1	505

July 30, 2020 Page 8 of 8

**Appendix D - Existing Traffic Level of Service Calculations** 

Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	4.3						
Intersection LOS	Α						
Approach		EB	WB	NB		SB	
Entry Lanes		2	3	4		2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1	1	1		1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		96	0	0		24	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		98	0	0		24	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		170	92	55		215	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		69	177	213		37	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186	3.186	3.186		3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		1	4	7		0	
Ped Cap Adj		0.999	1.000	1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		4.3	0.0	0.0		4.2	
Approach LOS		Α	-	-		Α	
Lane	Left	Right			Left	Right	
Designated Moves	LT	R			LT	R	
Assumed Moves	LT	R			LT	R	
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	0.480	0.520			1.000	0.000	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193			5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	47	51			24	0	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	953	953			911	911	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.982	0.980			0.987	1.000	
Flow Entry, veh/h	46	50			24	0	
Cap Entry, veh/h	935	934			899	911	
V/C Ratio	0.049	0.054			0.026	0.000	
Control Delay, s/veh	4.3	4.3			4.2	4.0	
LOS	Α	Α			А	Α	
95th %tile Queue, veh	0	0			0	0	

Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	5.4						
Intersection LOS	Α						
Approach		EB	WB	NB		SB	
Entry Lanes		2	3	4		2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1	1	1		1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		64	0	0		55	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		66	0	0		56	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		387	202	40		425	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		94	230	413		17	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186	3.186	3.186		3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0	0	2		0	
Ped Cap Adj		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		5.3	0.0	0.0		5.5	
Approach LOS		Α	-	-		Α	
Lane	Left	Right			Left	Right	
Designated Moves	LT	R			LT	R	
Assumed Moves	LT	R			LT	R	
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	0.576	0.424			0.821	0.179	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193			5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	38	28			46	10	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	767	767			739	739	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.980	0.964			0.981	1.000	
Flow Entry, veh/h	37	27			45	10	
Cap Entry, veh/h	752	740			725	739	
V/C Ratio	0.050	0.036			0.062	0.014	
Control Delay, s/veh	5.3	5.2			5.6	5.0	
LOS	Α	Α			Α	Α	
95th %tile Queue, veh	0	0			0	0	

**Appendix E - Future Background Level of Service Calculations** 

Intersection							
Intersection Delay, s/veh	5.0						
Intersection LOS	Α						
Approach		EB	WB	NB		SB	
Entry Lanes		2	3	4		2	
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1	1	1		1	
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		164	0	0		64	
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		167	0	0		65	
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		224	189	130		297	
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		138	227	261		151	
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186	3.186	3.186		3.186	
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		1	4	7		0	
Ped Cap Adj		0.999	1.000	1.000		1.000	
Approach Delay, s/veh		5.1	0.0	0.0		4.7	
Approach LOS		Α	-	-		А	
Lane	Left	Right			Left	Right	
Designated Moves	LT	R			LT	R	
Assumed Moves	LT	R			LT	R	
RT Channelized							
Lane Util	0.725	0.275			0.646	0.354	
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193			5.193	5.193	
Entry Flow, veh/h	121	46			42	23	
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	903	903			840	840	
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.983	0.978			0.985	1.000	
Flow Entry, veh/h	119	45			41	23	
Cap Entry, veh/h	887	883			827	840	
V/C Ratio	0.134	0.051			0.050	0.027	
Control Delay, s/veh	5.4	4.6			4.8	4.5	
LOS	Α	Α			А	Α	
95th %tile Queue, veh	0	0			0	0	

Intersection						
Intersection Delay, s/veh	8.7					
Intersection LOS	Α					
Approach		EB	WB	NB		SB
Entry Lanes		2	3	4		2
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1	1	1		1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		239	0	0		159
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		243	0	0		162
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		518	211	232		516
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		160	391	529		47
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186	3.186	3.186		3.186
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0	0	2		0
Ped Cap Adj		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh		9.3	0.0	0.0		7.7
Approach LOS		Α	-	-		Α
Lane	Left	Right			Left	Right
Designated Moves	LT	R			LT	R
Assumed Moves	LT	R			LT	R
RT Channelized						
Lane Util	0.901	0.099			0.895	0.105
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193			5.193	5.193
Entry Flow, veh/h	219	24			145	17
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	673	673			674	674
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	1.000			0.982	1.000
Flow Entry, veh/h	215	24			142	17
Cap Entry, veh/h	661	673			662	674
V/C Ratio	0.325	0.036			0.215	0.025
Control Delay, s/veh	9.7	5.7			8.0	5.6
LOS	Α	Α			А	Α
95th %tile Queue, veh	1	0			1	0

**Appendix F - Future Total Level of Service Calculations** 

Intersection						
Intersection Delay, s/veh	5.4					
Intersection LOS	Α					
Approach		EB	WB	NB		SB
Entry Lanes		2	3	4		2
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1	1	1		1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		173	0	0		69
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		176	0	0		70
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		273	222	166		348
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		145	263	283		155
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186	3.186	3.186		3.186
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		1	4	7		0
Ped Cap Adj		0.999	1.000	1.000		1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh		5.5	0.0	0.0		5.0
Approach LOS		Α	-	-		Α
Lane	Left	Right			Left	Right
Designated Moves	LT	R			LT	R
Assumed Moves	LT	R			LT	R
RT Channelized						
Lane Util	0.739	0.261			0.671	0.329
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193			5.193	5.193
Entry Flow, veh/h	130	46			47	23
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	860	860			798	798
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.983	0.978			0.986	1.000
Flow Entry, veh/h	128	45			46	23
Cap Entry, veh/h	845	841			787	798
V/C Ratio	0.151	0.054			0.059	0.029
Control Delay, s/veh	5.8	4.8			5.2	4.8
LOS	А	А			А	Α
95th %tile Queue, veh	1	0			0	0

	-	•	1		4	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	<b>†</b>			<b>^</b>		7	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	223	36	0	277	0	18	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	223	36	0	277	0	18	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	223	36	0	277	0	18	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			259		380	130	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			259		380	130	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			100		100	98	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1303		595	896	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	149	110	138	138	18		
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0		
Volume Right	0	36	0	0	18		
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	896		
Volume to Capacity	0.09	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.02		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.1		
Lane LOS					Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		9.1		
Approach LOS					Α		
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			0.3				
Intersection Capacity Utiliza	ation		17.3%	IC	U Level o	f Service	
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	1	•	1	1	1	ļ
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations		7	<b>1</b> %			<b>^</b>
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	32	272	25	0	241
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	32	272	25	0	241
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	32	272	25	0	241
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	405	148			297	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	405	148			297	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	96			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	574	871			1261	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	
Volume Total	32	181	116	120	120	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	32	0	25	0	0	
cSH	871	1700	1700	1700	1700	
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.07	0.07	
Control Delay (s)	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lane LOS		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Approach Delay (s)	9.3	0.0		0.0		
Approach LOS	9.5 A	0.0		0.0		
	А					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		18.3%	IC	U Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	٠	<b>→</b>		•	1	1	
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR	
Lane Configurations		ःसी	13		Y		
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	25	0	0	0	0	32	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	25	0	0	0	0	32	
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop		
Grade		0%	0%		0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	25	0	0	0	0	32	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type		None	None				
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume	0				50	0	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol	0				50	0	
tC, single (s)	4.1				6.4	6.2	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF(s)	2.2				3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %	98				100	97	
cM capacity (veh/h)	1623				944	1085	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1				
Volume Total	25	0	32				
Volume Left	25	0	0				
Volume Right	0	0	32				
cSH	1623	1700	1085				
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.00	0.03				
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.4	0.0	0.7				
Control Delay (s)	7.3	0.0	8.4				
Lane LOS	Α		Α				
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	0.0	8.4				
Approach LOS			Α				
Intersection Summary							
Average Delay			7.9				
Intersection Capacity Utilizati	ion		13.3%	IC	U Level o	of Service	A
Analysis Period (min)			15				

Intersection						
Intersection Delay, s/veh	9.5					
Intersection LOS	Α					
Approach		EB	WB	NB		SB
Entry Lanes		2	3	4		2
Conflicting Circle Lanes		1	1	1		1
Adj Approach Flow, veh/h		246	0	0		160
Demand Flow Rate, veh/h		250	0	0		163
Vehicles Circulating, veh/h		571	252	259		575
Vehicles Exiting, veh/h		167	418	562		48
Follow-Up Headway, s		3.186	3.186	3.186		3.186
Ped Vol Crossing Leg, #/h		0	0	2		0
Ped Cap Adj		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000
Approach Delay, s/veh		10.2	0.0	0.0		8.3
Approach LOS		В	-	-		Α
Lane	Left	Right			Left	Right
Designated Moves	LT	R			LT	R
Assumed Moves	LT	R			LT	R
RT Channelized						
Lane Util	0.904	0.096			0.896	0.104
Critical Headway, s	5.193	5.193			5.193	5.193
Entry Flow, veh/h	226	24			146	17
Cap Entry Lane, veh/h	638	638			636	636
Entry HV Adj Factor	0.981	1.000			0.982	1.000
Flow Entry, veh/h	222	24			143	17
Cap Entry, veh/h	626	638			625	636
V/C Ratio	0.354	0.038			0.230	0.027
Control Delay, s/veh	10.6	6.0			8.6	6.0
LOS	В	Α			A	Α
95th %tile Queue, veh	2	0			1	0

		•	1		1	1	
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR	
Lane Configurations	<b>†</b> 1>			<b>^</b>		7	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	384	27	0	365	0	19	
Future Volume (Veh/h)	384	27	0	365	0	19	
Sign Control	Free			Free	Stop		
Grade	0%			0%	0%		
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	
Hourly flow rate (vph)	384	27	0	365	0	19	
Pedestrians							
Lane Width (m)							
Walking Speed (m/s)							
Percent Blockage							
Right turn flare (veh)							
Median type	None			None			
Median storage veh)							
Upstream signal (m)							
pX, platoon unblocked							
vC, conflicting volume			411		580	206	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol							
vC2, stage 2 conf vol							
vCu, unblocked vol			411		580	206	
tC, single (s)			4.1		6.8	6.9	
tC, 2 stage (s)							
tF (s)			2.2		3.5	3.3	
p0 queue free %			100		100	98	
cM capacity (veh/h)			1144		445	801	
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	EB 2	WB 1	WB 2	NB 1		
Volume Total	256	155	182	182	19		
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0		
Volume Right	0	27	0	0	19		
cSH	1700	1700	1700	1700	801		
Volume to Capacity	0.15	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.02		
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6		
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	9.6		
Lane LOS					Α		
Approach Delay (s)	0.0		0.0		9.6		
Approach LOS					Α		
Intersection Summary							 
Average Delay			0.2				
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		21.5%	IC	U Level o	f Service	Α
Analysis Period (min)			15				

	1	•	1	1	/	<b>↓</b>
Movement	WBL	WBR	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT
Lane Configurations		7	<b>†</b>			<b>^</b>
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	0	39	266	33	0	396
Future Volume (Veh/h)	0	39	266	33	0	396
Sign Control	Stop		Free			Free
Grade	0%		0%			0%
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	0	39	266	33	0	396
Pedestrians						
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type			None			None
Median storage veh)						
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	480	150			299	
vC1, stage 1 conf vol						
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	480	150			299	
tC, single (s)	6.8	6.9			4.1	
tC, 2 stage (s)						
tF (s)	3.5	3.3			2.2	
p0 queue free %	100	96			100	
cM capacity (veh/h)	514	870			1259	
Direction, Lane #	WB 1	NB 1	NB 2	SB 1	SB 2	
Volume Total	39	177	122	198	198	
Volume Left	0	0	0	0	0	
Volume Right	39	0	33	0	0	
cSH	870	1700	1700	1700	1700	
Volume to Capacity	0.04	0.10	0.07	0.12	0.12	
Queue Length 95th (m)	1.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Control Delay (s)	9.3	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lane LOS	Α					
Approach Delay (s)	9.3	0.0		0.0		
Approach LOS	Α					
Intersection Summary						
Average Delay			0.5			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		18.4%	IC	U Level	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			
ranaryolo i onou (iliii)			10			

	١	-		•	1	1
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations		્રની	13		W	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	33	0	0	0	0	39
Future Volume (Veh/h)	33	0	0	0	0	39
Sign Control		Free	Free		Stop	
Grade		0%	0%		0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	33	0	0	0	0	39
Pedestrians		•				
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type		None	None			
Median storage veh)		NONE	INOTIC			
Upstream signal (m)						
pX, platoon unblocked						
vC, conflicting volume	0				66	0
vC1, stage 1 conf vol	U				00	U
vC2, stage 2 conf vol						
vCu, unblocked vol	0				66	0
	4.1				6.4	6.2
tC, single (s)	4.1				0.4	0.2
tC, 2 stage (s)	0.0				2.5	2.2
tF (s)	2.2				3.5	3.3
p0 queue free %	98				100	96
cM capacity (veh/h)	1623				920	1085
Direction, Lane#	EB 1	WB 1	SB 1			
Volume Total	33	0	39			
Volume Left	33	0	0			
Volume Right	0	0	39			
cSH	1623	1700	1085			
Volume to Capacity	0.02	0.00	0.04			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.5	0.0	0.8			
Control Delay (s)	7.3	0.0	8.4			
Lane LOS	А	0.0	A			
Approach Delay (s)	7.3	0.0	8.4			
Approach LOS	7.0	0.0	A			
			, ,			
Intersection Summary			7.0			
Average Delay			7.9			
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	ation		13.3%	IC	U Level c	of Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			