

Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport

Culture Programs Unit
Programs and Services Branch
Culture Division
401 Bay Street, Suite 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tel.: 416-212-5107
Fax: 416 314-7175
Email: wai.kok@ontario.ca

Ministère du Tourisme, de la Culture et du Sport

Unité des programmes culturels
Direction des programmes et des services
Division de culture
401, rue Bay, bureau 1700
Toronto ON M7A 0A7
Tél. : 416-212-5107
Télééc. : 416 314-7175
Email: wai.kok@ontario.ca



October 30, 2012

Ben Mortimer
Paterson Group
154 Colonnade Road South,
Ottawa, Ontario
K2E 7T7

RE: Entry into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports: Archaeological Assessment Report Entitled, "Stage 1 and 2 Archaeological Assessment, Proposed Cardinal Creek Development - Part Lot 28, Ottawa, Ontario," Revised Report Dated September 2012, Filed by MTCS Toronto Office on October 1, 2012, MTCS Project Information Form Number P369-002-2012, MTCS RIMS Number 06SB143

Dear Mr. Mortimer:

This office has reviewed the above-mentioned report, which has been submitted to this ministry as a condition of licensing in accordance with Part VI of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, c 0.18. This review has been carried out in order to determine whether the licensed professional consultant archaeologist has met the terms and conditions of their licence, that the licensee assessed the property and documented archaeological resources using a process that accords with the 2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* set by the ministry, and that the archaeological fieldwork and report recommendations are consistent with the conservation, protection and preservation of the cultural heritage of Ontario.

The report documents the assessment of the study area, as depicted in Map 1 of the above titled report, and recommends the following:

- That a partial clearance of the Proposed Cardinal Creek Development - Part Lot 28 be granted, except for Operation 2.
- The Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport provide a letter confirming that there are no further concerns with regard to alterations to archaeological sites for the partial cleared areas of the property (excluding Operation 2).

- That a Stage 3 archaeological assessment be conducted by a licensed archaeologist in the form of a controlled surface pick-up followed by 1m² excavation units on a grid. This site dates to before 1870 and so displays cultural heritage value as per Section 1. a., Standard 3.4.2, and is recommended to proceed to a Stage 4. Therefore the Stage 3 testing should be done on a 10 m interval as per Standard 1, Section 3.2.3, as (MTCS 2011), to determine the extent and affinity of the historic site located on Part Lot 28, Operation 2 (BiFu-7) (as illustrated by the area marked in orange on Supplementary Documentation Map 3) as per Sections 3.2.1, 3.2.2, and 3.2.3 (MTCS 2011). Furthermore, as per Standard 1, Section 3.2.3, as (MTCS 2011), an additional 40% infill of the initial grid unit total should be excavated in areas of interest. This site will have a 20 m protective buffer zone, and a 50 m monitoring zone (Supplementary Documentation Map 4).

Based on the information contained in the report, the ministry is satisfied that the fieldwork and reporting for the archaeological assessment is consistent with the ministry's 2011 *Standards and Guidelines for Consultant Archaeologists* and the terms and conditions for archaeological licences. This report will be entered into the Ontario Public Register of Archaeological Reports. Please note that the ministry makes no representation or warranty as to the completeness, accuracy or quality of reports in the register.

Should you require any further information regarding this matter, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,

Wai Kok
Archaeology Review Officer

cc. Archaeology Licensing Officer
Ted Phillips, Taggart Investments
Steve Gauthier, City of Ottawa

****In no way will the ministry be liable for any harm, damages, costs, expenses, losses, claims or actions that may result: (a) if the Report(s) or its recommendations are discovered to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent; or (b) from the issuance of this letter. Further measures may need to be taken in the event that additional artifacts or archaeological sites are identified or the Report(s) is otherwise found to be inaccurate, incomplete, misleading or fraudulent.***