patersongroup

Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

Noise and Vibration Studies

Geotechnical Investigation

Proposed Residential Development 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared For

Minto Communities Inc.

Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa, Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca

August 27, 2021

Report: PG5348-1 Revision 3

Table of Contents

Page

1.0	Introduction
2.0	Proposed Development 1
3.0	Method of Investigation23.1Field Investigation23.2Field Survey33.3Laboratory Testing33.4Analytical Testing4
4.0	Observations4.1Surface Conditions54.2Subsurface Profile54.3Groundwater7
5.0	Discussion5.1Geotechnical Assessment85.2Site Grading and Preparation85.3Foundation Design95.4Design for Earthquakes115.5Basement Slab115.6Pavement Structure11
6.0	Design and Construction Precautions6.1Foundation Drainage and Backfill146.2Protection of Footings Against Frost Action146.3Excavation Side Slopes146.4Pipe Bedding and Backfill156.5Groundwater Control166.6Winter Construction176.7Corrosion Potential and Sulphate176.8Landscaping Considerations18
7.0	Recommendations
8.0	Statement of Limitations 21

Appendices

- Appendix 1Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets
Symbols and Terms
Borehole Logs by Others
Grain-Size Distribution and Hydrometer Testing Results
Analytical Testing Results
- Appendix 2Figure 1 Key PlanDrawing PG5348-1 Test Hole Location PlanDrawing PG5348-2 Permissible Grade Raise PlanDrawing PG5348-3 Tree Planting Setback Plan

1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Minto Communities Inc. (Minto) to complete a geotechnical investigation for the proposed residential development to be located at 3432 Greenbank Road, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan presented in Appendix 3 of this report).

The objectives of the current investigation were to:

- determine the subsoil and groundwater conditions at this site by means of test holes.
- □ provide geotechnical recommendations for the design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect its design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. It contains our findings and includes geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as they are understood at the time of writing this report.

2.0 Proposed Development

Based on available design plans, it is understood that the proposed residential development will consist of a combination of two- to three-storey townhouses and single family residential dwellings with associated parks, roadways, local access lanes and driveways. It is also expected that the proposed development will be municipally serviced.

3.0 Method of Investigation

3.1 Field Investigation

Field Program

The field program for the current geotechnical investigation was carried out on February 3 and January 14 to January 15, 2021 and consisted of advancing 24 test pits to a maximum depth of 6.1 m below existing ground surface. A preliminary investigation had also been undertaken and between May 19 and 20, 2020 and consisted of advancing 7 boreholes to a maximum depth of 6.7 m below existing ground surface. Previous investigations were completed by others in May, 2015 along the north and northwest portion of the site consisting of 3 boreholes advanced to a maximum depth of 15.8 m below the ground surface. The test hole locations for the current and preliminary investigations were determined in the field by Paterson personnel taking into consideration site features and underground services. The locations of the boreholes are shown on Drawing PG5348-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

Boreholes were advanced using a track-mounted auger drill rig operated by a twoperson crew and the test pits were extended using a hydraulic excavator at the selected locations. The test hole procedure consisted of augering or excavating to the required depths at the selected locations and sampling the overburden soils. All fieldwork was conducted under the full-time supervision of our personnel under the direction of a senior engineer from our geotechnical department.

Sampling and In-Situ Testing

Soil samples collected from the boreholes were either recovered directly from the auger flights (AU) or collected using a 50 mm diameter split-spoon (SS) sampler. Soil samples collected from the test pits were recovered from the side walls of the open excavation as grab samples. All soil samples were visually inspected and initially classified on site. The auger and split-spoon samples were placed in sealed plastic bags and transported to our laboratory for further examination and classification. The depths at which the auger, split spoon and grab samples were recovered from the test holes are shown as AU, SS and G, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split-spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split-spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

Undrained shear strength testing was carried out in cohesive soils using a field vane apparatus.

Overburden thickness was evaluated during the course of the investigation by dynamic cone penetration testing (DCPT) at BH5-20 and BH7-20. The DCPT consists of driving a steel drill rod, equipped with a 50 mm diameter cone at the tip, using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm. The number of blows required to drive the cone into the soil is recorded for each 300 mm increment. Due to the low resistance exerted by the silty clay in some boreholes, the cone was often pushed using the hydraulic head of the drill rig until resistance to penetration was encountered. The hammer was then used to further advance the cone to practical refusal.

The subsurface conditions observed in the test holes were recorded in detail in the field. The soil profiles are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1.

Groundwater

Flexible stand pipes were installed in all boreholes to permit monitoring of the groundwater levels subsequent to the completion of the sampling program. Where observed, the depth of groundwater Infiltration noted along the test pit sidewalls and/or excavation bases were recorded in detail at the time of the current test pit investigation.

Sample Storage

All samples from the current investigation will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one month after issuance of this report. They will then be discarded unless we are otherwise directed.

3.2 Field Survey

The locations and the ground surface elevation at the test hole locations were recovered in the field by Paterson personnel. The ground surface elevations were determined in the field using a hand held GPS unit and are referred to a geodetic datum. The ground surface elevation at each borehole location in the previous investigation completed by others are understood to be referenced to a geodetic datum.

The locations of the test holes and the ground surface elevation at the test hole location are presented on Drawing PG5348-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

3.3 Laboratory Testing

The soil samples recovered from the test holes were examined in our laboratory to review the results of the field logging.

A total of three (3) representative soil samples collected from the test pits during the current investigation were submitted for grain size distribution analysis and a total of ten (10) representative soil samples were submitted for Atterberg limit testing. The results of the grain size distribution analysis and Atterberg limit testing are presented in Appendix 1 and further discussed in Section 4.2.

Furthermore, all soil samples from the current investigation submitted for Atterberg testing were submitted for the determination of their in-situ moisture contents and one representative soil sample was submitted for shrinkage testing.

All samples will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one month after issuance of this report. They will then be discarded unless we are otherwise directed.

3.4 Analytical Testing

One (1) soil sample was submitted for analytical testing to assess the corrosion potential for exposed ferrous metals and the potential of sulphate attacks against subsurface concrete structures. The sample was submitted to determine the concentration of sulphate and chloride, the resistivity and the pH of the sample. The results are presented in Appendix 1 and are discussed further in Subsection 6.7.

4.0 Observations

4.1 Surface Conditions

The subject site is currently undeveloped and is primarily used for agricultural purposes. The site is relatively flat with a gradual upward slope towards the centre of the site. Four drainage ditches were observed in a north-south orientation along with some tree lines along the ditches and northern property boudary. The site is bordered to the east by Greenbank Road, to the south by a residential subdivision and to the north and west by vacant lands.

4.2 Subsurface Profile

Overburden

East Portion

Generally, the subsurface profile encountered at the test holes locations (BH1-20 to BH3-20, TP 1-21 to TP 3-21, TP16-21 and TP 19-21) at the east portion of the site consists of a topsoil followed by compact to very dense silty sand and/or glacial till. The glacial till layer consisting of dense to very dense silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders.

Practical refusal to augering was encountered at all boreholes within the east portion of the site at depths ranging between 1.4 and 4.7 m below existing grade. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered at TP 1-20 at a depth of 3.4 m below existing grade. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location.

West Portion

Generally, the subsurface profile encountered at the remaining test holes locations throughout the remainder of the subject site consists of a thin layer of topsoil and/or silty sand with clay overlying a silty clay deposit. The upper portion of the silty clay consists of stiff brown silty clay while the lower portion consists of firm grey silty clay. Practical refusal to DCPT was encountered at a depth of 8.9 and 12.6 m below the existing grade at BH 5-20 and BH 7-20, respectively. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for specific details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location.

Grain Size Distribution and Hydrometer Testing

The results of the three (3) soil samples submitted for grain size analysis from the test holes from the current investigation are summarized in Table 1 and presented on the Grain Size Distribution and Hydrometer Testing Results sheets in Appendix 1.

Table 1 - Grain Size Distribution											
Test Hole Sample Gravel (%) Sand (%) Silt (%) Clay (%)											
TP 4-21	G4	0	7	57.2	35.8						
TP 8-21	G2	0	11.4	54.8	33.8						
TP 12-21	G2	0	28.2	46.2	25.6						

Atterberg Limits Testing

Atterberg limits testing, as well as associated moisture content testing, was completed on the recovered silty clay samples at selected locations throughout the subject site. The results are summarized in Table 2 and presented on the Grain Size Distribution sheet in Appendix 1.

Table 2 - Summary of Atterberg Limits Tests											
Sample	Moisture Content %	Liquid Limit %	Plastic Limit %	Plasticity Index %	Classification						
TP 4-21 - G4	26.6	35	18	17	CL						
TP 5-21 - G3	31.1	44	21	23	CL						
TP 6-21 - G3	28.2	37	19	18	CL						
TP 7-21 - G2	29.4	38	20	18	CL						
TP 8-21 - G2	29.9	39	24	15	CL						
TP 9-21 - G2	28.6	35	22	13	CL						
TP 10-21 - G2	30.2	35	19	16	CL						
TP 11-21 - G2	29.8	36	18	18	CL						
TP 12-21 - G2	29.2	38	19	19	CL						
TP 13-21 - G2	32.6	42	21	21	CL						
Notes: CL: Inorganic (Clay of Low Plasticity	ý	•	-	-						

The shrinkage limit and ration of the tested soil sample (TP 4-21) are 16.7 percent and 1.87, respectively.

Bedrock

Based on available geological mapping, the bedrock in this area consists of interbedded limestone and dolomite of the Gull River formation with an overburden drift thickness of 3 to 15 m depth.

4.3 Groundwater

Groundwater levels were measured at the standpipe piezometers in the borehole locations on May 22, 2020. Depths of sidewall groundwater infiltration as observed during the test pit investigations were also recorded. The majority of the test pits were dry upon completion with the exception of some minor infiltration noted where test pits were in carried out below the long-term groundwater table. The measured groundwater levels in the piezometers at the borehole locations are presented in Table 3. It is important to note that groundwater readings at piezometers can be influenced by surface water perched within the borehole backfill material.

Table 3 - Summary of Groundwater Levels										
Borehole	Measured Grou	De condia a Dete								
Number	Depth (m)	Depth (m) Elevation (m)								
Groundwater Levels Based on Boreholes Investigation (Report PG5348)										
BH 1A-20	Blocked	n/a	May 22, 2020							
BH 2-20	1.67	90.11	43972							
BH 3-20	-	n/a	43972							
BH 4-20	5.10	87.07	43972							
BH 5-20	1.49	90.50	May 22, 2020							
BH 6-20	1.16	90.62	May 22, 2020							
BH 7-20	1.02	91.02	May 22, 2020							

The long term groundwater level can also be estimated based observations of the recovered soil samples, such as moisture levels, colouring and consistency. Based on these observations, **the long term groundwater table is anticipated to be at a depth of approximately 2.5 to 3.5 m below the existing ground surface**. It should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations. Therefore, groundwater level could vary at the time of construction.

5.0 Discussion

5.1 Geotechnical Assessment

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is satisfactory for the current phase of the proposed development. It is anticipated that the proposed buildings will be founded on conventional style shallow foundations placed on an undisturbed, stiff to firm silty clay, glacial till and/or bedrock bearing surface.

Due to the presence of a silty clay deposit throughout the western portion of the site, recommendations have been provided for permissible grade raise and tree planting setback restrictions for the western portion of the subject site. The areas of the grade raise restrictions and tree planting setbacks may be referenced in further detail on Drawing PG5348-2 - Permissible Grade Raise Plan and on Drawing PG5348-3 - Tree Planting Setback Areas, respectively, in Appendix 2.

Further, the area of the clay deposit indicated throughout the western portion of the subject site and on the above-noted drawings is considered acceptable for the implementation of sump pump systems as part of the proposed residential development, from a geotechnical perspective.

The above and other considerations are further discussed in the following sections.

5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

Stripping Depth

Topsoil and deleterious fill, such as material containing a high content of organic materials, should be stripped from under the proposed building footprints and other settlement sensitive structures such as roadways and service pipes.

Fill Placement

Fill used for grading beneath the proposed buildings should consist of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. This material should be tested and approved prior to delivery to the site. The fill should be placed in lifts no greater than 300 mm thick and compacted using suitable compaction equipment for the lift thickness. Fill placed beneath the building and paved areas should be compacted to at least 98% of the material's standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

Non-specified existing fill, along with site-excavated soil, can be used as general landscaping fill where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern. This material should be spread in thin lifts and at least compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. If this material is to be used to build up the subgrade level for areas to be paved, it should be compacted in thin lifts to at least 95% of the material's SPMDD.

Non-specified existing fill and site-excavated soils are not suitable for use as backfill against foundation walls unless used in conjunction with a composite foundation drainage board.

In-filling the existing ditches should be completed in a stepped fashion within the lateral support of the proposed buildings. The fill should consist of clean imported granular fill, such as OPSS Granular A or OPSS Granular B Type II material. The steps should have a minimum horizontal length of 1.5 m and minimum vertical height of 0.5 m and should be compacted using suitable compaction equipment to a minimum 98% of the material's SPMDD.

5.3 Foundation Design

Bearing Resistance Values

Strip footings, up to 3 m wide, and pad footings, up to 6 m wide, placed in an undisturbed, stiff brown silty clay bearing surface or engineered backfill placed on an undisturbed brown silty clay bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **150 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **225 kPa** incorporating a geotechnical factor of 0.5 at ULS.

Strip footings, up to 2 m wide, and pad footings, up to 4 m wide, placed in an undisturbed, firm grey silty clay bearing surface bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **60 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **90 kPa** incorporating a geotechnical factor of 0.5 at ULS.

Footings placed in an undisturbed, compact glacial till bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **150 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **225 kPa** incorporating a geotechnical factor of 0.5 at ULS.

Footings placed in an clean, surface sounded bedrock bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at ULS of **500 kPa** incorporating a geotechnical factor of 0.5.

An undisturbed soil bearing surface consists of one from which all topsoil and deleterious materials, such as loose, frozen or disturbed soil, have been removed prior to the placement of concrete for footings.

The bearing resistance value at SLS given for footings will be subjected to potential post construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively.

A clean, surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface should be free of loose materials, and have no near surface seams, voids, fissures or open joints which can be detected from surface sounding with a rock hammer.

Footings bearing on an acceptable bedrock bearing surface and designed using the bearing resistance values provided herein will be subjected to negligible potential post-construction total and differential settlements.

Bedrock/Soil Transition

Where a building is founded partly on bedrock and partly on soil, it is recommended to decrease the soil bearing resistance value by 25% for the footings placed on a soil bearing medium to reduce the potential long-term total and differential settlements. At the soil/bedrock transitions, it is recommended that a minimum depth of 500 mm of bedrock be removed from below the founding elevation for a minimum length of 2 m on the bedrock side. This area should be subsequently reinstated with an engineered fill, such as OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the material SPMDD.

Lateral Support

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to a deposit of silty sand, silty clay and/or glacial till above the groundwater table when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1.5H:1V passes only through in situ soil of the same or higher capacity as the bearing medium soil. The lateral support zone for footings placed on bedrock will be 1H:6V from the edge of footings.

Permissible Grade Raise Recommendations

Based on the undrained shear strength values of the silty clay deposit encountered within the west portion of the site, the recommended permissible grade raise areas for buildings are defined in Drawing PG5348-2 - Permissible Grade Raise Areas in Appendix 2.

5.4 Design for Earthquakes

The site class for seismic site response can be taken as **Class C** for the shallow foundations at the subject site. The soils underlying the subject site are not susceptible to liquefaction. Reference should be made to the latest revision of the 2012 Ontario Building Code for a full discussion of the earthquake design requirements.

5.5 Basement Slab

With the removal of all topsoil and deleterious fill, such as material containing a high content of organic materials, the native soil, approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of excavation, will be considered to be an acceptable subgrade surface on which to commence backfilling for floor slab construction. Any soft areas should be removed and backfilled with appropriate backfill material. OPSS Granular A or OPSS Granular B Type II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, are recommended for backfilling below the floor slab for this purpose.

A clear crushed stone fill is recommended for backfilling below the floor slab for limited span slab-on-grade areas, such as front porch or garage footprints. It is recommended that the upper 200 mm of sub-slab fill consist of 19 mm clear crushed stone below basement floor slabs.

5.6 Pavement Structure

For design purposes, the pavement structure presented in the following tables could be used for the design of driveways, local residential streets and roadways with bus traffic. It should be noted that for residential driveways and car only parking areas, an Ontario Traffic Category A is applicable. For local roadways an Ontario Traffic Category B should be used for design purposes.

Table 4 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Driveways								
Thickness (mm)	Material Description							
50	Wear Course - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete							
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone							
300	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II							
Notes: 1-SUBGRADE - Either in situ soils or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil 2- Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this Pavement Structure.								

Report: PG5348-1 Revision 3 August 27, 2021

Table 5 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Local Residential Roadways							
Thickness (mm)	Material Description						
40	Wear Course - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete						
50	Binder Course - HL-8 or Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete						
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone						
450	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II						

Notes:

1-SUBGRADE - Either in situ soils or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil **2-Minimum Performance Graded** (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this Pavement Structure.

Table 6 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Arterial Roadways with Bus Traffic								
Thickness (mm)	Material Description							
40	Wear Course - Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete							
50	Upper Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete							
50	Lower Binder Course - Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete							
150	BASE - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone							
600	SUBBASE - OPSS Granular B Type II							
Notes: 1-SUBGRADE - Either in situ soils or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil 2- Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 64-34 asphalt cement should be used for this Pavement Structure.								

If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type II material. Weak subgrade conditions may be experienced over service trench fill materials. This may require the use of a geotextile, thicker subbase or other measures that can be recommended at the time of construction as part of the field observation program.

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for driveways and local roadways and PG 64-34 asphalt cement should be used for roadways with bus traffic. The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 100% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment.

Pavement Structure Drainage

Satisfactory performance of the pavement structure is largely dependent on the contact zone between the subgrade material and the base stone in a dry condition. Failure to provide adequate drainage under conditions of heavy wheel loading can result in the fine subgrade soil being pumped into the voids in the stone subbase, thereby reducing load carrying capacity.

Due to the low permeability of the subgrade materials consideration should be given to installing subdrains during the pavement construction as per City of Ottawa standards. The subdrain inverts should be approximately 300 mm below subgrade level. The subgrade surface should be crowned to promote water flow to the drainage lines.

6.0 Design and Construction Precautions

6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill

Foundation Drainage

It is recommended that a perimeter foundation drainage system be provided for the proposed structure. The system should consist of a 150 mm diameter perforated corrugated plastic pipe, surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of 10 mm clear crushed stone which is placed at the footing level around the exterior perimeter of the structure. The perimeter drainage pipe should direct water to sump pit(s) located within the lower basement levels or provided a gravity connection to the storm sewer.

Foundation Backfill

Backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of freedraining, non frost susceptible granular materials. The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a drainage geocomposite, such as Miradrain G100N or Delta Drain 6000, connected to the perimeter foundation drainage system. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should otherwise be used for this purpose.

6.2 **Protection of Footings Against Frost Action**

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. A minimum of 1.5 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided in this regard.

Exterior unheated footings, such as those for isolated exterior piers, are more prone to deleterious movement associated with frost action than the exterior walls of the heated structure and require additional protection, such as soil cover of 2.1 m or an equivalent combination of soil cover and foundation insulation.

6.3 Excavation Side Slopes

The side slopes of excavations in the soil and fill overburden materials should either be cut back at acceptable slopes or should be retained by shoring systems from the start of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. It is expected that sufficient room will be available for the greater part of the excavation to be undertaken by open-cut methods (i.e. unsupported excavations). The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be excavated at 1H:1V or shallower. The shallower slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsurface soils are considered to be a Type 2 and 3 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be kept away from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

It is recommended that a trench box be used at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. It is expected that services will be installed by "cut and cover" methods and excavations will not be left open for extended periods of time.

6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill

Bedding and backfill materials should be in accordance with the most recent Material Specifications & Standard Detail Drawings from the Department of Public Works and Services, Infrastructure Services Branch of the City of Ottawa.

The pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes should consist of a minimum of 150 mm of OPSS Granular A material. Where the bedding is located within the firm to stiff grey silty clay or bedrock subgrade, the thickness of the bedding material should be increased to a minimum of 300 mm. The material should be placed in a maximum 225 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 99% of its SPMDD. The bedding material should extend at least to the spring line of the pipe.

The cover material, which should consist of OPSS Granular A, should extend from the spring line of the pipe to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe. The material should be placed in a maximum 225 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 99% of its SPMDD.

Based on the soil profile encountered at the time of the investigation, it is expected that site services will be founded partially on bedrock and overburden soils. At transitions between bedrock and soil subgrade, it is recommended that the founding medium be reviewed in the field to determine how steeply the bedrock surface drops off. A transition treatment should be provided where the bedrock slopes downwards at more than 3H:1V. At these locations, the bedrock should be excavated, and additional bedding material should be placed to provide a 3H:1V transition form the bedrock subgrade toward the soil subgrade. This treatment will reduce the propensity for bending stresses to occur in the pipes.

It should generally be possible to re-use the moist (not wet) brown silty clay above the cover material if the excavation and filling operations are carried out in dry weather conditions. Wet silty clay materials will be difficult to re-use, as the high water contents make compacting impractical without an extensive drying period.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to minimize differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in a maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the material's SPMDD.

To reduce long-term lowering of the groundwater level at this site, clay seals should be provided in the service trenches. The seals should be at least 1.5 m long and should extend from trench wall to trench wall. Generally, the seals should extend from the frost line and fully penetrate the bedding, subbedding and cover material. The barriers should consist of relatively dry and compactable brown silty clay placed in a maximum 225 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the material's SPMDD. The clay seals should be placed at the site boundaries and at strategic locations at no more than 60 m intervals in the service trenches.

6.5 Groundwater Control

Due to the relatively impervious nature of the silty clay and existing groundwater table depth, it is anticipated that groundwater infiltration into the excavations should be low to medium and controllable using open sumps. Pumping from open sumps should be sufficient to control the groundwater influx through the sides of shallow excavations.

A temporary Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) permit to take water (PTTW) may be required if more than 400,000 L/day of ground and/or surface water are to be pumped during the construction phase. At least 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the application and issuance of the permit by the MECP.

For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, typically between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16. If a project qualifies for a PTTW based upon anticipated conditions, an EASR will not be allowed as a temporary dewatering measure while awaiting the MECP review of the PTTW application.

The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

Long-term Groundwater Control

Our recommendations for the long-term groundwater control for proposed construction are presented in Subsection 6.1. Any groundwater encountered along the proposed structure's perimeter or sub-slab drainage system will be directed to the proposed structure's sump pit. It is expected that groundwater flow will be low (i.e.- less than 10,000 L/day) with peak periods noted after rain events. It is anticipated that the groundwater flow will be controllable using conventional open sumps.

6.6 Winter Construction

The subsoil conditions at this site mostly consist of frost susceptible materials. In presence of water and freezing conditions ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur. Precautions should be taken if winter construction is considered for this project.

In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters, tarpaulins or other suitable means. In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level.

The trench excavations should be carried out in a manner that will avoid the introduction of frozen materials into the trenches. As well, pavement construction is difficult during winter. The subgrade consists of frost susceptible soils which will experience total and differential frost heaving as the work takes place. In addition, the introduction of frost, snow or ice into the pavement materials, which is difficult to avoid, could adversely affect the performance of the pavement structure. Additional information could be provided, if required.

6.7 Corrosion Potential and Sulphate

The results on analytical testing show that the sulphate content is less than 0.1%. The results are indicative that Type 10 Portland Cement would be appropriate for this site. The chloride content and the pH of the sample indicate that they are not significant factors in creating a corrosive environment for exposed ferrous metals at this site, whereas the resistivity in indicative of a moderate to slightly aggressive corrosive environment.

6.8 Landscaping Considerations

Tree Planting Restrictions

Paterson completed a soils review of the site to determine applicable tree planting setbacks, in accordance with the City of Ottawa Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils (2017 Guidelines) for trees planted within a public right-of-way (ROW). Atterberg limits testing was completed for recovered silty clay samples at selected locations throughout the subject site. Grain size distribution and hydrometer testing was also completed on selected soil samples. The above-noted test results were completed on samples taken at depths between the anticipated underside of footing elevation and a 3.5 m depth below finished grade. The results of our testing are presented in Tables 1 and 2 in Subsection 4.2 and in Appendix 1.

Based on the results of our review, the two tree planting setback areas are present within the subject site. The two areas are detailed below and have been outlined in Drawing PG5348-3 - Tree Planting Setback Recommendations presented in Appendix 2.

Area 1 - No Tree Planting Setback Restrictions

Cohesive soils were not encountered within the subsurface profile throughout Area 1. Therefore, tree planting restrictions are not required for Area 1 illustrated on Drawing PG5348-3 - Tree Planting Setback Recommendations in Appendix 2.

Area 2 - Low/Medium Sensitivity Clay Soils

A low to medium sensitivity clay soil was encountered between design underside of footing elevations and 3.5 m below finished grade throughout this area. Based on our Atterberg Limits test results, the modified plasticity limit generally does not exceed 40%. The following tree planting setbacks are recommended for Area 2.

Large trees (mature height over 14 m) can be planted within Area 2 provided a tree to foundation setback equal to the full mature height of the tree can be provided (e.g. in a park or other green space). Tree planting setback limits may be reduced to 4.5 m for small (mature tree height up to 7.5m) and medium size trees (mature tree height 7.5 m to 14 m) provided that the following conditions are met:

□ The underside of footing (USF) is 2.1 m or greater below the lowest finished grade must be satisfied for footings within 10 m from the tree, as measured from the centre of the tree trunk and verified by means of the Grading Plan as indicated procedural changes below. It should be noted that a 1.8 m depth for footings is considered acceptable provided that additional measures be taken. These measures can be discussed upon request under a separate cover.

- A small tree must be provided with a minimum of 25 m³ of available soil volume while a medium tree must be provided with a minimum of 30 m³ of available soil volume, as determined by the Landscape Architect. The developer is to ensure that the soil is generally un-compacted when backfilling in street tree planting locations.
- □ The tree species must be small (mature tree height up to 7.5 m) to medium size (mature tree height 7.5 m to 14 m) as confirmed by the Landscape Architect.
- □ The foundation walls are to be reinforced at least nominally (minimum of two upper and two lower 15M bars in the foundation wall).
- Grading surround the tree must promote drainage to the tree root zone (in such a manner as not to be detrimental to the tree), as noted on the subdivision Grading Plan.

Aboveground Swimming Pools, Hot Tubs, Decks and Additions

The in-situ soils are considered to be acceptable for in-ground swimming pools. Above ground swimming pools must be placed at least 5 m away from the residence foundation and neighbouring foundations. Otherwise, pool construction is considered routine, and can be constructed in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements.

Additional grading around the hot tub should not exceed permissible grade raises. Otherwise, hot tub construction is considered routine, and can be constructed in accordance with the manufacturer's specifications. Additional grading around proposed deck or addition should not exceed permissible grade raises. Otherwise, standard construction practices are considered acceptable.

7.0 Recommendations

It is a requirement for the foundation design data provided herein to be applicable that the following material testing and observation program be performed by the geotechnical consultant.

- Grading plan review from a geotechnical perspective, once the final grading plan is available.
- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials used.
- Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- **Grade States and Stat**
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

All excess soils, with the exception of engineered crushed stone fill, generated by construction activities that will be transported on-site or off-site, should be handled as per *Ontario Regulation 406/19: On-Site and Excess Soil Management*.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued, upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory material testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.

8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations provided in this report are in accordance with our present understanding of the project. We request permission to review our recommendations when the drawings and specifications are completed.

A geotechnical investigation of this nature is a limited sampling of a site. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, we request immediate notification to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The recommendations provided herein should only be used by the design professionals associated with this project. They are not intended for contractors bidding on or undertaking the work. The latter should evaluate the factual information provided in this report and determine its suitability and completeness for their intended construction schedule and methods. Additional testing may be required for their purposes.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Minto Communities or their agents is not authorized without review by Paterson Group for the applicability of our recommendations to the altered use of the report.

Paterson Group Inc.

Drew Petahtegoose, B.Eng.

Report Distribution

- □ Minto Communities (1 digital copy)
- Paterson Group (1 copy)



APPENDIX 1

SOIL PROFILE & TEST DATA SHEETS

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

BOREHOLE LOGS BY OTHERS

GRAIN-SIZE DISTRIBUTION AND HYDROMETER TESTING RESULTS

ANALYTICAL TESTING RESULTS

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE	NO. TD 1 01	
BORINGS BY Excavator	1			D	ATE	2021 Jan	uary 14			IP 1-21	1
SOIL DESCRIPTION		법 SAMPLE DEPTH ELEV. F				Pen. R	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m				
	LA P	FI	IR	ERY	Вą	(m)	(m)				neter
	STRA.	ТУРІ	NUMBE		L VAL			• v	later C	ontent %	ezom onstri
GROUND SURFACE				8	Z ~	0-	-91.42	20	40	60 80	ΞU
TOPSOIL 0.28		G	1								-
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, some clay		G	2			1-	-90.42				
		G	3			2-	-89.42				
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	4			3-	-88.42				
3.42									<u> </u>		
Practical refusal to excavation encountered at 3.42 m depth											
(Minor groundwater infiltration noted at 3.40 m depth)								20	40	60 80 1	00
								Shea	urbed	ngth (kPa) △ Remoulded	

patersongroup

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

										PG	5348	
REMARKS									нс		0 01	
BORINGS BY Excavator	DATE 2021 January 14										-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION			SAMPLE			DEPTH E	ELEV.	Pen. R	. Resist. Blows/0.3m 50 mm Dia. Cone			r on
	RATA	(PE	IBER	» DVERY	ALUE ROD	(11)	(11)		Vato	r Content %		omete structi
GROUND SURFACE	STI	Ē	NUN	REC	N OF V			20	40	60 8	n	Piez
TOPSOIL 0.31		G	1			0-	-94.10					
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	2			1-	-93.10					
		– G	3			2-	-92.10					
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand with						3-	-91.10					
		_ G	4			4-	-90.10					
End of Test Pit												
(TP dry upon completion)												
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 a r St urber	60 80 t rength (kPa d ∆ Remou	0 10 1) Ided	00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

DATUM

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE N	^{).} TD 0 01	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 14	1		IP 3-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT	SAMPLE DEPTH ELEV.				Pen. R	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m				
	A P		Ř	RY	Ba	(m)	(m)				eter
		ТҮРЕ	NUMBE	COVE	VALI Dr RQ			• v	later Co	ntent %	ezom onstru
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	RI	zv	0-	-92 65	20	40	60 80	ΞŎ
TOPSOIL0.34		G	1				02.00				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, some clay		_ G	2			1-	-91.65				
2.44		G	3			2-	-90.65				
GLACIAL TILL: Grey silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	4			3-	-89.65				
4.52						4-	-88.65				
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 0 Ir Streng urbed ∠	60 80 10 I th (kPa) ⊾ Remoulded	00

patersongroup

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

40

20

▲ Undisturbed

60

Shear Strength (kPa)

80

△ Remoulded

100

Piezometer Construction

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Boad

R

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, (Ontario	K2E 7J	15		Ot	tawa, Or	ntario			4
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	PG5348
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	DATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 14			TP 4-21
	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. F	lesist. Blo	ows/0.3m
SUL DESCRIPTION	TA P	田	ER	ERY	OD E	(m)	(m)	•	o min Dia.	. cone
	STRA	аль	NUMB	™ ECOV	N VAI of R			0 1	Vater Con	tent %
				<u></u>		0-	-92.17	20	40 60	0 80
TOPSOIL0	.26	L G	1							
0. Brown SILTY SAND, some clay	54	G G	2							
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY some sand seams		G	3			1-	-91.17			
		G	4					0	A	
2.	23					2-	-90.17			
Grey SILTY CLAY										
						3-	-89.17			
		G	5							
						4-	-88.17			
End of Test Pit	24///	4							<u></u>	<u> </u>
(TP dry upon completion)										

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

DATUM

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE	NO	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 14	1		TP 5-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. 0 mm	Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	. 5
	LATA F	PE	IBER	WERY	ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)		lotor C	Contont %	structic
GROUND SUBFACE	STR	ΤX	MUN	ECO S	N VI						liezo
				щ		0-	91.87	20	40	60 80	
TOPSOIL 0.30	- - - - - - - - - - - 	_ G 	1								
Very stiff to firm brown SILTY CLAY some sand seams		⊑ G	2								-
						1-	-90.87				
		- G	3					C)		
		_ G	4			2-	-89.87				
3.13	X					3-	-88.87				
Firm grey SILTY CLAY		G	5								
		_									
4 24						4-	-87.87				
End of Test Pit											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea	40 ar Strei	60 80 1 ngth (kPa)	⊣ 00
									undeu		

patersongroup

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

DATUM

REMARKS					
BORINGS BY Excavator					[
		LOT		SAN	IPLE
SUL DESCRIPTION		RATA P	ЭЛТ	MBER	% OVERY
GROUND SURFACE		LS.	н	NN	REC
TOPSOIL	0.26		G	1	
Brown SILTY SAND with clay	0.56		G	2	
Verv stiff to firm brown SILTY CLA	Y	1 X			

FILE NO.	PG5348
HOLE NO.	TP 6-21

IP 6-21 DATE 2021 January 14 Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m DEPTH ELEV. Piezometer Construction • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) N VALUE or RQD Water Content % Ο 80 20 40 60 0+92.211+91.21 some sand seams 0 3 G 2+90.21 G 4 2.34 Firm grey SILTY CLAY 3+89.21 G 5 3.47 End of Test Pit (Minor groundwater infiltration from base of test pit upon completion) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

DATUM

										² PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE N		
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 14	1		IP 7-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. B 0 mm D	lows/0.3m ia. Cone	μ
	ATA F	ΡE	BER	VERY	ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)			ntont 0/	meter
	STR	ТҮ	NUM		N VJ						iezo Cons
				щ		0-	-92.26	20	40	60 80	ШО
1026 0.26	/¥	. L									
Very stiff to firm brown SILTY CLAY , some sand seams		⊑ G	1				01.00				
						-	-91.26				
		- 0	0								
		_ G	2			2-	-00.26				
						2	30.20				
3.05		- G	3			0	00.00				
		<u> </u>				3-	-89.26				
Firm, grey SILTY CLAY		- c	1								
End of Test Pit		G	4								
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 I r Streng urbed	00 80 10 gth (kPa) ∆ Remoulded	UU

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

DATUM

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE I	NO. TO 0 01	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 15	1		IP 8-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re ● 50	esist. E 0 mm C	Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	- 5
	ATA F	PE	BER	VERY	ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)		latar C	entent 9/	ometer
	STR	ΤY	NUM		N VI						iezo Cons
				щ		0-	92.13	20	40	60 80	шU
TOPSOIL 0.31	77¥										
Very stiff to firm brown SILTY CLAY , some sand seams		_ G	1								
		- G	2			1-	-91.13		<u> </u>		
	X	_ u								/	
	X										
	X						00.10				
						2-	-90.13				
	X	G	3								
2.93	XX					3-	-89.13	/	<u></u>		
Firm, grey SILTY CLAY	X										
End of Test Pit	XX	G	4								
(IP dry upon completion)											
								20	40	60 80 10	00
								Shea	r Stren	gth (kPa)	
								▲ Undist	urbed	△ Remoulded	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

RF	MД	\R	(5	

DATUM	Geodetic

										PG534	8
REMARKS									HOL	E NO. TP 9-2-	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 15			11 5-2	•
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. 0 mm	Blows/0.3m Dia Cone	. =
	TA P	G	ER	ERY	Ba	(m)	(m)				neter uctio
	TRA	ITYPI	IUMBI	Scov ∾	VAL VAL			0 N	/ater	Content %	ezon onstri
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	RE	z ^o	0-	-92.42	20	40	60 80	ŭ <u>ה</u>
TOPSOIL 0.31		2- G	1								
Very stiff to firm brown SILTY CLAY ,											· · · ·
some sand seams		G	2				01.10				
						1-	-91.42				
						2-	90.42				
		G	3								
						3-	-89.42				
<u>3.25</u>		G -	4								
Firm, grey SILTY CLAY 3.57											
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20	40	60 80	100
								Shea	urbed	ength (kPa) △ Remoulded	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

REMARKS

DATUM

Geodetic

REMARKS				Г		2021 .lan	uary 15		HOLE N	^{o.} TP10-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ		SAN			DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Bl 0 mm Di	lows/0.3m a. Cone	- uo
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	% COVERY	VALUE Dr RQD	(11)	(11)	• v	/ater Co	ntent %	ezomete onstructi
GROUND SURFACE	01		4	RE	z	0-	-92.06	20	40	60 80	ΞŎ
	7 4 4										
Very stiff to firm brown SILTY CLAY , some sand seams		= G	1								
						1-	-91.06				
		_ G	2								
		- 0				2-	- 90 06				
2.38		_ G	3			2	30.00				
Eirm arev SILTY CLAY											
						0	00.00				
0.47		[–] G	4			3-	-89.06				
End of Test Pit	<u> </u>	~									
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20	40	60 80 10	 00
								Snea ▲ Undist	urbed 2	ITT (KPa)	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

REMARKS

DATUM

|--|

Geodetic

E NO.	PG5348

FILE NO.

HOLE NO. TP11-21

BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE	2021 Jan	uary 15						
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. F	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone				
GROUND SURFACE	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	<pre>%</pre>	N VALUE or RQD			• Water Content %				Piezomet∈ Constructi	
				щ		0-	92.10	20	40		80	шО	
TOPSOIL 0.31 Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY, some sand seams		G	1										
		G	2			1-	-91.10			<u> </u>			
		_ G	3			2-	-90.10						
2.85 Firm, grey SILTY CLAY 3.32 End of Test Pit		G	4			3-	-89.10						
(TP dry upon completion)								20 She ▲ Undis	40 ear S	0 60 t rength (kP ad △ Remo	80 11 'a) ulded	00	
SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS

BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Jan	uary 15		TP12-21				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. R • 5	esist. 0 mm	Blows/0 Dia. Con	.3m e	er ion	
	STRATA	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	≪ ECOVER3	N VALUE or RQD	(,		• V	/ater C	Content 9	%	iezomet	
				щ		0-	92.24	20	40	60	30	шU	
	III.	ſ											
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY		[–] G	1										
		_ 4											
		- c	2			1-	-91.24						
		_ G	2										
		G	3					0		F			
						2-	90.24						
			1										
2.51			4										
Stiff, grey SILTY CLAY		_ G	5										
3 24						3-	-89.24						
End of Test Pit													
(TP dry upon completion)													
								20	40	60	B0 10	00	
								Shea	ar Stre	ngth (kP	a)		
								▲ Undist	urbed		uided		

patersongroup Consulting Engineers

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS

DATUM

HOLE NO.	TP13-21

PG5348

FILE NO.

ORINGS BY Excavator	DATE					2021 Jan	uary 15	1	TP13-21			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blov 0 mm Dia.	ws/0.3m Cone	-	
ROUND SURFACE	STRATA 1	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)	0 V	Vater Cont	ent %	Piezomete	
0.20						0-	-91.95		40 00			
ery stiff to firm brown SILTY CLAY ith sand seams		G	1									
						1-	-90.95		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
		G	2 3			2-	-89.95		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	/		
tiff, grey SILTY CLAY		G G	4									
3.31		⊑ G	5			3-	-88.95				•	
nd of Test Pit												

patersongroup Consulting Engineers

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE N	^{0.} TD1 4 01	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE	2021 Feb	ruary 3			TP14-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. B 0 mm Di	lows/0.3m a. Cone	, u
	LATA F	ЪE	IBER	% VERY	ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)		Vator Co	ntont %	ometer
GROUND SURFACE	STE	Υ.	NUN	SECC	N OF C			20	40 Valei CU		Piez
		G	1			0-	-92.35		40		E C
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY , with sand seams		G	2								
- Decreasing sand content with depth		_				1-	-91.35		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-
		G	3			2-	-90.35				-
2.85											
Stiff grey SILTY CLAY		G	4			3-	-89.35				
		_				4-	-88.35				
		G	5			5-	-87.35				
6.12						6-	-86.35				
End of Test Pit											
(GWL @ 2.85 m depth based on site observations - Feb 3, 2021)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Streng urbed 2	60 80 10 jth (kPa) ∖ Remoulded	⊣ 00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

											PG5348	
REMARKS										HOLE		
BORINGS BY Excavator					D	ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 3	1		IP15-21	
		Ц		SAN	IPLE		DEDTU		Pen. Re	esist.	Blows/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION		ΡĽ			ĸ	ы	(m)	(m)	• 5) mm	Dia. Cone	ter tion
		RATA	ζ₽Έ	ABER	% OVER	ALUI RQD			0 M	later (Content %	ome
GROUND SURFACE		ST	Ĥ	IUN	RECO	N OF			20	40	60 80	Piez
TOPSOIL	21		G	1			0-	-93.30				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders			G	2								
	17		G	3			1-	-92.30				-
End of Test Pit	.17	<u>. </u>										
(GWL @ 1.17 m based on site observations - Feb 3, 2021)												
									20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 I r Stre urbed	60 80 10 ength (kPa) △ Remoulded	 00

patersongroup Consulting Engineers

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS

REMARKS									HOLE N	0	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Feb			TP16-21		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гол		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. Bl 0 mm Di	ows/0.3m a. Cone	r N
	RATA 1	ХРЕ	MBER	°° OVERY	/ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)		later Co	ntent %	zomete structio
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	ΝŪ	REC	N OF			20	40	60 80	Piez
TOPSOIL 0.15		G	1			0-	-94.18				
Loose brown SILTY SAND some gravel, trace clay0.58		G	2								
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders 1.20 End of Test Pit		G 	3			1 -	-93.18				
(TP dry upon completion)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Streng urbed 2	60 80 10 th (kPa) A Remoulded	00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM	Geodetic

										PG53	48
REMARKS				_		0001 Eab			HOLE	^{10.} TP17-	21
BORINGS BY EXCAVALOR							ruary 3	D			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. E 0 mm D	ia. Cone	u u
	АТА	ЪE	BER	VERY	LUE	(11)	(11)				mete tructi
GROUND SUBFACE	STR	ΤΥ	MUM	ECO.	N VP				/ater Co	ontent %	ons:
		6	- 1	щ		0-	92.49	20	40	60 80	
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY		G	2								115
- Decreasing sand content with depth		-	2			1-	-91.49				120
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	3			2-	-90.49				
2.71 End of Test Pit											
(GWL @ 1.59 m depth based on site observations - Feb 3, 2021)											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stren urbed	60 80 gth (kPa) △ Remoulde	100

patersongroup Consulting Engineers

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	PG5348	
REMARKS				_					HOLE NO	TP18-21	
BORINGS BY EXCAVATOR	ы		SAN				ruary 3	Pen R	esist Bla	ws/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLO			<u>א</u>	M .	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	. Cone	ter tion
	FRATA	IYPE	JMBER	SOVER	VALUI ROD			• v	Vater Con	tent %	zome
GROUND SURFACE	s.		N	REC	z ^õ		00.40	20	40 6	0 80	Die Cor
TOPSOIL 0.21 Stiff brown SILTY CLAY 0.38		G	1				-92.43				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense brown silty clay with sand, gravel and boulders		G	2			1-	-91.43		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
		G	3								
1.90 End of Test Pit)[^ <u>^^^/</u>										
(TP dry upon completion)								20 Shez	40 6 ar Strengi	0 80 1(h (kPa)	00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS									HOLE NO. TP19-21
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 3		11 13-21
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia Cone
	TA P	ы	ER	ERY	E G	(m)	(m)		
	TRA	IJYPI	UMB	°∾ Co	VAI r R(• V	Vater Content %
GROUND SURFACE	ω		N	RE	N O	0-	-92 26	20	40 60 80 ¹
TOPSOIL0.22	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	G	1			0	52.20		
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders		G	2			- 1	01.06		
1.21		<u>_</u> . G	3			I -	-91.20		
End of Test Pit									
(GWL @ 1.11 m depth based on site observations - Feb 3, 2021)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 80 100 ar Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE N	0	
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE	2021 Feb	ruary 3	1		TP20-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Bl 0 mm Di	lows/0.3m a Cone	c
	A P	_	ĸ	RY	Be	(m)	(m)				eter
	TRAT	ТҮРЕ	IUMBE	COVE	VALI r RQ			• V	Vater Co	ntent %	ezom
GROUND SURFACE	00		N	RE	z ^o	0.	02.04	20	40	60 80	in of
TOPSOIL 0.27		G	1			0	92.04				
										1	20
trace sand		G	2								↑
1.02						1-	91 04				
							51.04			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
brown silty clay with sand, gravel,											
cobbles and boulders		G	3								
						2	00.04				
2.19						2	90.04				-
End of Test Pit											
(GWL @ 2.13 m depth based on site											
observations - Feb 3, 2021)											
								20 Shore	40 ar Strong	60 80 1 ith (kPa)	00
								▲ Undist	urbed 2	Remoulded	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

										NO.	PG5	348	
REMARKS									HOL	E NO.			
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 3	1			1921	1-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. 0 mm	Blow Dia. (/s/0.3 Cone	m	, <u> </u>
	LATA F	PE	IBER	% VERY	ALUE RQD	(m)	(m)		Votor	Conto	nt 0/		ometer
	STR	ΤX	NUM		N N				valer	Conte	ent 70		iezo
				<u> </u>		0-	-92.13	20	40	60	80		шO
0.24		G	1										
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY trace sand		G	2			1-	-91.13					1	5
		_					-					12	20
						2-	-90.13						
		G	3			3-	-89.13						
- Grey by 4.2 m depth						4-	-88.13						
- Grey by 4.2 in depth		_											
- Sidewall instability encoutered at a depth of 5.5 m		G	4			5-	-87.13						
5.90 GLACIAL TILL: Compact grey silty 6.07 clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders		 				6-	-86.13						
End of Test Pit													
(GWL @ 1.73 m depth based on site observations - Feb 3, 2021)													
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Stro turbed	60 ength △ R	80 (kPa) emould	10 Jed	00

patersongroup Consulting Engineers

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS

REMARKS									HOLE NO	-			
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE	2021 Feb	ruary 3			IP22-21			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV.	Pen. Re	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m • 50 mm Dia. Cone				
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	% COVERY	VALUE r RQD	(,	(,	• W	/ater Con	tent %	szomete		
GROUND SURFACE	S		Z	RE	zÖ			20	40 6	0 80	i∰ S		
TOPSOIL 0.18		G	1			0-	-92.26						
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY											-		
		G	2			1-	-91.26						
		G					01120						
						2-	90.26						
									•				
						2	20.26						
		G	3				09.20						
						4-	-88.26						
4.41													
GLACIAL TILL: Stiff grey silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 4 93		G	4										
End of Test Pit	<u></u>												
(GWL @ 4.93 m depth based on site													
observations - Feb 3, 2021)													
								20 Shea ▲ Undistr	40 6 ar Strengt urbed △	0 80 10 : h (kPa) Remoulded	00		

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

RF	MД	\R	(5	

DATUM	Geodetic

										PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE N	^{0.} TD22 21	
BORINGS BY Excavator	DATE 2021 February 3									1823-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РІОТ		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R • 5	esist. B 0 mm Di	lows/0.3m a. Cone	n r
	RATA	ЭДХ	MBER	overy	VALUE ROD	(11)	(11)	0 V	Vater Co	ntent %	zomete istructi
GROUND SURFACE	IS	н	NN	REC	N			20	40	60 80	Piez Cor
TOPSOIL 0.24		G	1			0-	-92.07				
Very stiff to stiff brown SILTY CLAY		G	2			1-	-91.07				
		_				2-	-90.07			1	0
		G	3			3-	-89.07				
GLACIAL TILL: Compact grey silty						4-	-88.07				
clay with sand, gravel, cobbles and boulders 5.08 End of Test Pit		G 	4			5-	-87.07				
(GWL @ 1.8 m depth based on site observations - Feb 5, 2021)								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Streng urbed 2	60 80 10 j th (kPa) ∆ Remoulded	00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Geotechnical Investigation Half Moon Bay North - Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS									-		0.		
BORINGS BY Excavator				D	ATE 2	2021 Feb	ruary 3				TP2	:4-21	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	гот		SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pe	n. Res • 50	sist. Bl mm Dia	ows/0.: a. Cone	3m Ə	r n
	TRATA	JAPE	JMBER	°° SOVERY	VALUE RQD	(m)	(m)	() Wa	iter Co	ntent %	, o	zomete nstructio
GROUND SURFACE	ร	F	NC	REC	NO			2	20	40	60 8	0	Cor
TOPSOIL0.21GLACIAL TILL: Compact to dense brown silty sand with clay, gravel, cobbles and boulders0.87		G G	1 2			- 0-	-93.10						
End of Test Pit													
(TP dry upon completion)									20 Shear	40 g Streng	60 8 th (KPa	v0 11 a)	00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation
 Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road
 Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic		FILE NO.	PG5348				
		HOLE NO.	BH 1A-20)			
BORINGS BY CIVE-55 LOW Clearance Drill DATE May 20, 2020	Dem D						
SOIL DESCRIPTION 법 DEPTH ELEV.	Pen. R	io mm Dia.	Cone	م م			
	0 V 20	vater Cont	ent %	Piezo			
TOPSOIL 0.30 \$ AU 1 0-91.53	20	40 80					
Compact to dense, brown SILTY SS 2 42 16 1+90.53							
SAND with gravel, trace clay							
- running sand from 2.7 to 4.0m depth.							
3+88.53 - 3+88.55 - 3+88.5							
4.04							
End of Borehole							
Practical refusal to augering at 4.04m depth							
(Piezometer dry/blocked - May 22, 2020)							
	20	40 60	80 10				
	Shea	ar Strengtl	h (kPa)				

patersongroup Consulting Engineers

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	PG5348	
REMARKS	ווייר				ATE		0000		HOLE NO	D. BH 1B-20)
BORINGS BY CIVIE-35 LOW Clearance L			SVI			viay 20, 2		Don B	eiet Bl	owe/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	A PLO'		с ц	RY	Be	DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 50) mm Dia	a. Cone	eter iction
	STRAT	ТҮРЕ	NUMBE	ECOVE	N VALI or RQ			• W	ater Co	ntent %	iezom
				щ	1	0-	-91.53	20	40 (50 80	<u>а</u> 0
Compact to dense, brown SILTY SAND with gravel, trace clay						4	00.52				-
End of Borehole	<u>· . `.</u>					1-	-90.53				
Practical refusal to augering at 1.14m depth								20 Shea	40 o	50 80 1(th (kPa)	00
								Shea	r Streng urbed △	tn (KPa)	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Shear Strength (kPa)

△ Remoulded

▲ Undisturbed

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	PG53	48
REMARKS BOBINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			D		May 20-2	2020		HOLE NO	^{).} BH 1C	-20
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	/IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blo 0 mm Dia	ows/0.3m a. Cone	. 5
	RATA P	TPE	MBER	°° OVERY	VALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	• • •	Vater Cor	ntent %	zometer
GROUND SURFACE	SI	H	NN	REC	Z O			20	40 6	Cor	
TOPSOIL0.3	0					- 0-	-91.53				
Compact to dense, brown SILTY SAND with gravel, trace clay	0	· · ·				1-	-90.53				
End of Borehole											
Practical refusal to augering at 1.40m depth											
								20	40 6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	100

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Shear Strength (kPa)

△ Remoulded

▲ Undisturbed

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa. Ontario

						iumu, oi	iturio									
DATUM Geodetic											FIL	E NO.	PC	35348		
REMARKS											нс	DLE NO).		0	_
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance [Drill			D	ATE	May 20, 2	2020	1					BH	2A-2	0	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	РГОТ		SAN			DEPTH (m)	H ELEV. (m) Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3n • 50 mm Dia. Cone							.3m e	e	on
	FRATA	LYPE	JMBER	COVERY	VALUE ROD	(11)	(11)		0	W	/ate	r Cor	ntent °	%	zomete	nstructi
GROUND SURFACE	ι. Σ	L.	IN	RE(z ö				20)	40		0	80	Pie	õ
TOPSOIL0.36		8 AU	1			0-	-91.78			• • • • •						
Very dense to dense, brown SII TV		∦ss	2	38	59	1-	-90.78			· · · · · ·						
SAND with gravel, trace clay		ss	3	54	47	0	00 70			• • • • •						
GLACIAL TILL: Dense to very dense, grey sandy silt to sity fine sand with		ss	4	46	42	2-	-89.78									
gravel, cobbles and boulders 3.30		n X ss	5	40	50+	3-	-88.78			·····						
End of Borehole			Ũ												-	<u>Perio</u>
Practical refusal to augering at 3.30m depth																
(GWL @ 1.67m - May 22, 2020)																
									-							
				1				1	20)	40	6	0	80 1	00	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	PG5348	
REMARKS									HOLE NO.	BH 2B-20)
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Jrill				ATE	May 20, 2	2020				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN			DEPTH (m)	ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. Blo 0 mm Dia.	ws/0.3m . Cone	er on
	RATA	YРЕ	MBER	°∥ OVER	ROD	(,	(,	0 V	Vater Cont	tent %	cometo
GROUND SURFACE	ST T	H	NU	REC	N N OF			20	40 60	0 80	Piez
TOPSOIL 0.36						0-	-91.78				
Vary dance to dance, brown SILTV						1-	-90.78				
SAND with gravel, trace clay											
2.20						2-	-89.78				
						3-	- 88 78				
GLACIAL TILL:Very dense, grey sandy silt to sity fine sand with gravel,		ss	1	0	50		00.70				
cobbles and boulders		ss	2	42	61	4-	-87.78				
4.75		∐ ≊_SS	3		50+						
End of Borehole											
depth											
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 ar Strengt) 80 10 h (kPa) Remoulded	00

patersongroup Consulting SOIL PROFILE AND TES Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

REMAR

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ont	tario ł	∎ (2E 7J	5		Pr	oposed I tawa, Or	Developr ntario	nent - 3432	2 Green	bank Road			
DATUM Geodetic									FILE N	o. PG5348			
REMARKS									HOLE I		0		
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	May 19, 2	2020	1	БП 3А-20				
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. E 0 mm D	Blows/0.3m Dia. Cone	2 4		
	RATA 1	YPE	MBER	°° OVERY	/ALUE ROD	(m)	(m)	0 V	Vater Co	ontent %	zomete		
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	NN	REC	NOF			20	40	60 80	Piez		
TOPSOIL 0.25		8-11	- 1			- 0-	-94.08						
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with		¥ AU					02.00				-		
sand, gravel, cobbles, occasional boulders		x ss	2	58	51	1-	-93.08				-		
2.16		∬ ss	3	41	39	2-	-92.08				-		
End of Borehole													
Practical refusal to augering at 2.16m depth.													

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

REMARKS	

DATUM	Geodet

						tawa, Oi	itano				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NC). PG5348	}
REMARKS									HOLE N	10	
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			D	ATE	May 20, 2	2020			BH 3B-2	0
	гот		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. Re	esist. B	lows/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	TA PI	E	BER	TERY	LUE	(m)	(m)	• 5			meter
	TRZ	ТУF	INME	°° CO	L A.			0 N	ater Co	ntent %	ezol
GROUND SURFACE	N N		z	RE	z °	0	04.00	20	40	60 80	i S
	5					0-	-94.08				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with						1-	-93.08			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · ·
sand, gravel, cobbles, occasional boulders							00.00				· · ·
2.16		^				2-	92.08				-
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown sandy silt to silty fine sand with gravel,		ss	1	64	50+						
CODDIES and DOUIDERS		ss	2	100	50+	3-	-91.08				-
End of Borehole		<u> </u>									-
Practical refusal to augering at 3.38m depth.											

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	PG5348	
REMARKS	וויר					May 20	2020		HOLE NO). BH 3C-20	0
BURINGS BY CIVIL-33 LOW Clearance L			SVI			iviay 20, 2		Don R	ociet Bl	owe/0.3m	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOJ					DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	• 5	0 mm Dia	a. Cone	er tion
	RATA	ТРE	MBER	over,	VALUE			• v	Vater Cor	ntent %	zomet
GROUND SURFACE	S T S	H	DN N	REC	NOR			20	40 6	0 80	Piez
TOPSOIL 0.25	<u>~~~</u> ~~					- 0-	-94.08				-
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with				PG5348 HOLE NO. BH 3C-20 IPLE DEPTH (m) ELEV. (m) Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m Image: Constraint of the second of							
sand, gravel, cobbles, occasional boulders											
2.16	eadelic PIE N0. PG5348 ME-65 Low Clearance Drill DATE May 20, 2020 BH 3C-20 DESCRIPTION SAMPLE DEPTH ELEV. (m) Pen. Resist. Blows 0.3m Bus 0.2m RFACE 0-94.08 1-93.08 2-92.08 0-94.08 1-93.08 0-94.08 0-9										
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown sandy silt to silty fine sand with gravel											
Cobbles and boulders		· L									
								20 Shore	40 6	60 80 10	⊣ 00

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

40

Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

60

80

100

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road

REMARKS	

					U	tawa, Or	itario				
DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO.	PG5348	5
REMARKS									HOLE NO).	
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE I	May 20, 2	020			BH 3D-2	0
SOIL DESCRIPTION			SAN	IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV.	Pen. R ● 5	esist. Bl	ows/0.3m a. Cone	er ion
	TRATA	ТҮРЕ	UMBER	°° COVER	VALUE r rod	()	()	• V	Vater Cor	itent %	ezomet
GROUND SURFACE	Ω Ω		z	RE	z ^o	0	04.00	20	40 6	in C	
TOPSOIL 0.25						0-	-94.08				
GLACIAL TILL: Brown silty clay with sand, gravel, cobbles, occasional boulders						1-	-93.08				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
0.16						2-	-02.08				
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown sandy silt to silty fine sand with gravel,						2	92.00				
cobbles and boulders						3-	-91.08				
End of Borehole											-
Practical refusal to augering at 3.43m depth.											

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

PG5348

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geodetic

REMARKS

BOBINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	Drill			п		May 19-2	2020		HOLE N	IO. BH	4-20	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. B 0 mm D	lows/0	.3m e	. =
	RATA P	УРЕ	MBER	° overy	'ALUE RQD	(m)	(m)	0 V	/ater Co	ontent 9	6 /0	ometer structio
GROUND SURFACE	S. H	H	IUN	REC	N N N			20	40	60	B0	Piez Con
TOPSOIL0.33		× AU	1			0-	-92.17					
		82 ∏										
		∦ ss	2	67	4	1-	-91.17					
						2-	-90.17					
Stiff to firm, brown SILTY CLAY with sand seams									X			
						3-	-89.17					
- grey by 3.0m depth						1-	-88 17					
						-	00.17					
						5-	-87.17					
						6-	-86.17		$\overline{\left\langle \cdot \right\rangle}$			
6.70									À			
End of Borehole												
(GWL @ 5.10m - May 22, 2020)												
								20	40	60	BQ 10	+ 00
								Shea	urbed	gth (kP △ Remo	a) ulded	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

FILE NO.

HOLE NO.

PG5348

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

REMARKS

DATUM

BORINGS BY	CME-55 Low	Clearance D

Geodetic

BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance I	Drill			D	ATE	May 19, 2	2020		BH 5-20	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	LOT		SAN	IPLE	1	DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone	u
	STRATA F	ТҮРЕ	NUMBER	°° ECOVERY	I VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)	• v	Jater Content %	onstructic
		~		8	4	0-	91.99	20		S
		AU	1	02		1-	-90 99			
Stiff, brown SILTY CLAY , some sand		ss	3	100	3	2-	- 80 00		T	
- sand content decreasing with depth		ss	4	100	2	2	09.99			
0.00						3-	-88.99			
Firm, grey SILTY CLAY						4-	-87.99			
						5-	-86.99			
6.70						6-	-85.99			
Dynamic Cone Penetration Test commenced at 6.70m depth. Cone pushed to 7.0m depth.						7-	-84.99			
						8-	-83.99			
8.94									······································	
Practical DCPT refusal at 8.94m depth										
(GWL @ 1.49m - May 22, 2020)										
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 60 80 100 ar Strength (kPa) urbed △ Remoulded	

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

20

▲ Undisturbed

40

Shear Strength (kPa)

60

80

△ Remoulded

100

FILE NO.

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

REMARKS	

											PG5348	3
REMARKS	_								HOLE	NO.	BH 6-20	
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance	e Drill			D	ATE	May 19, 2	2020	1			БП 0-20	,
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	Resist. Blows/0.3m 50 mm Dia. Cone			2
	FRATA	LYPE	JMBER	°° COVERY	VALUE ROD	(11)	(11)	0 V	Nater (Conte	ent %	zomete
GROUND SURFACE	ŗ.		IN	REC	z ö			20	40	60	80	Pie
OPSOIL0.2	8	AU	1			0-	-91.78					
		∦ ss	2	54	5	1-	-90.78					
		ss	3	88	2							
Stiff to firm, brown SILTY CLAY,			U			2-	-89.78					
ome to trace sand								4				
soft to firm and arey by 3.0m depth						3-	-88.78					
												
						4-	87.78					
						5-	86.78					
						6-	85.78					
6.7	0											
End of Borehole												
GWL @ 1.16m - May 22, 2020)												

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Development - 3432 Greenbank Road Ottawa, Ontario

REMARKS

_	PG5348
LE NO.	

FILE NO.

HO **BH 7-20** BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE May 19, 2020 SAMPLE Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m PLOT DEPTH ELEV. Piezometer Construction SOIL DESCRIPTION • 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD STRATA NUMBER TYPE 0/0 \cap Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 40 60 0+92.04TOPSOIL 0.33 AU 1 Brown SILTY SAND with clay 0.60 1+91.04 SS 2 79 4 Stiff to firm, brown SILTY CLAY with SS 3 46 2 sand 2 + 90.04- sand content decreasing with depth 2.90 3+89.04 4+88.04 Firm, grey SILTY CLAY 5 + 87.046+86.04 6.70 **Dynamic Cone Penetration Test** 7+85.04 commenced at 6.70m depth. Cone pushed to 9.0m depth. 8+84.04 9+83.04 10+82.04 11+81.04 12+80.04 12.60 End of Borehole Practical DCPT refusal at 12.60m depth. (GWL @ 1.02m - May 22, 2020) 20 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

SYMBOLS AND TERMS

SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

Compactness Condition	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85
-		

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value	
Very Soft	<12	<2	
Soft	12-25	2-4	
Firm	25-50	4-8	
Stiff	50-100	8-15	
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30	
Hard	>200	>30	

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity, St, is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

Low Sensitivity:	St < 2
Medium Sensitivity:	$2 < S_t < 4$
Sensitive:	$4 < S_t < 8$
Extra Sensitive:	$8 < S_t < 16$
Quick Clay:	St > 16

ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

RQD % ROCK QUALITY

90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler
G	-	"Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WC%	-	Natural water content or water content of sample, %
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
PL	-	Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)
PI	-	Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL)
Dxx	-	Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size
D10	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)
D60	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer
Сс	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D30)^2 / (D10 \times D60)$
Cu	-	Uniformity coefficient = D60 / D10

Cc and Cu are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels: Well-graded gravels have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 4Well-graded sands have: 1 < Cc < 3 and Cu > 6Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded. Cc and Cu are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

CONSOLIDATION TEST

p'o	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p'c	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
Ccr	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p'c)
Сс	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p'c)
OC Ratio		Overconsolidaton ratio = p'_{c} / p'_{o}
Void Rati	0	Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
Wo	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

PERMEABILITY TEST

k - Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.



Slotted PVC Screen

Silica Sand

PROJECT: 1530273

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 15-1

SHEET 1 OF 1 DATUM: Geodetic

LOCATION: See Site Plan

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

BORING DATE: May 20, 2015

		,																		
, I	ç		SOIL PROFILE			S/	AMPL	LES	DYNAMIC RESISTAN	PENET	RATIO	DN ⁄0.3m	ì	HYDR	AULIC C k, cm/s	ONDUCT	IVITY,		0	DIEZON
Su l	ļ			OT		~		m	20	40	6	i0 8	30 [`]	1	0 ⁻⁶ 1	0-5 1	0 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻³	STIN	OR
L H	2	≦ פ	DECODIDITION	PL	ELEV.		Ж	/0.3	SHEAR S	[RENG	TH r	i at V. +	Q - ●	- N	ATER C	ONTENT		I	ΞĔΪ	STANDPIPE
ĮΣ			DESCRIPTION	SAT/	DEPTH	- M	∣≿	MC	Cu, kPa		r	em V. 🕀	Ũ-Õ	l w	p	W		WI	ADC AB.	INSTALLATION
	0			STF	(m)	Ĺ		BLC	20	40	6	i0 8	30	2	20 4	4 <u>0 6</u>	0	80		
0		\square	GROUND SURFACE		91.83	3														KANK
Ŭ			TOPSOIL - (CL) SILTY CLAY, some sand_trace_gravel: dark brown		0.00															
			(SM-CI/CH) SILTY SAND and SILTY	T.	0.25	5														
			CLAY, interbedded; grey brown;																	
			non-conesive, moist, ioose																	
1				1		1	SS	4							0					
				X																
					90.15	2														Native Backfill
		stem)	(CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY, trace sand; grey brown, highly fissured		1.68	2	SS	2												
2	er	No No	(WEATHÉRED CRUST); cohesive,																	
	- Aug	Hol	w-FL, very sun to sun																	
	lowe	Diam											>96 +							
		E E																		
		200							•			-	l+							
3					88.78	3														
			(CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY; grey, with thick laminations of silt; cohesive, w>PL,		3.05															
			stiff			3	SS	WH							P	D				
					80.0-	,														Bentonite Seal
			(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; grey		3.76	5	-													
4			(GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, very loose		2															2
						4	SS	2												Standpipe
							-													
		\square	End of Borehole	-34	4.57	7														
																				W/L in Standaina at
5																				Elev. 91.04 m on
																				May 28, 2015
6																				
7																				
8																				
																		1		
9																		1		
10						1														
1																				
				_	1	1	1					1	1	1	1	1	I	1	1	1
DE	ΡT	НS	CALE							Gol	do	r							L	OGGED: RI
1:	50									SSO		ites							СН	ECKED: WAM
1 : :	50									SSO	cia	ites							CH	ECKED: WAM

PROJECT: 1530273

LOCATION: See Site Plan

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 15-2

SHEET 1 OF 1 DATUM: Geodetic

BORING DATE: May 20, 2015

C C D METRES Power Auger BORING METHC BORING METHC BORING METHC	GROUND SURFACE TOPSOIL - (CL) SILTY CLAY, some sand, trace gravel; dark brown (SM-CI/CH) SILTY SAND and SILTY CLAY, interbedded; grey brown; non-cohesive, moist, loose (CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY, trace sand; grey brown, highly fissured (WEATHERED CRUST); cohesive, w>PL, very stiff	STRATA PLOT	ELEV. DEPTH (m) 91.85 0.00 91.57 0.28 90.33 1.52	NUMBER	SS	4 BLOWS/0.30m	SHEAR Cu, kPa 20) 2 STREN	ICOWS	60 8 nat V. + rem V. ⊕	Q - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0 2 - 0	1 W W 2	20 4	0 ⁻⁵ 10 DNTENT I W 0 60	⁻⁴ 10 ⁻³ PERCENT W 0 80	LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETEF OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION
C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	GROUND SURFACE TOPSOIL - (CL) SILTY CLAY, some sand, trace gravel; dark brown (SM-CI/CH) SILTY SAND and SILTY CLAY, interbedded; grey brown; non-cohesive, moist, loose (CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY, trace sand; grey brown, highly fissured (WEATHERED CRUST); cohesive, w>PL, very stiff		91.85 0.00 91.57 0.28 90.33 1.52	1	SS	4		<u>, </u>							<u> </u>		
c c 1 Power Auger Diam. (Hollow Stem)	(CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY, trace sand; grey brown, highly fissured (WEATHERED CRUST); cohesive, w>PL, very stiff		90.33 1.52	1	SS	4											
co Power Auger n Diam. (Hollow, Stem)	w>PL, very stiff			2	SS	5							0				\square
د Power Auger Diam. (Hollow Ster				3	SS	4								0		Ν	lative Backfill
200 mn	(CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY; grey, with thin laminations of silt; cohesive, w>PL, firm to soft		88.80 3.05	4	SS	wн											
4				5	TP	PM	⊕ ⊕	+						Ð		C s	lentonite Seal Standpipe
6	(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; grey (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, loose		86.11 5.74			ŝ	⊕ - ₽ -	+								E	3entonite Seal
7	End of Borehole		<u>85.14</u> 6.71	6	SS	5											
8																E	VL in Standpipe at lev. 90.61 m on flay 28, 2015
9																	

PROJECT: 1530273

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 15-3

LOCATION: See Site Plan

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

BORING DATE: May 20-21, 2015

SHEET 1 OF 2

DATUM: Geodetic

.	DD	SOIL PROFILE				SAMPLES			DYNAI RESIS	MIC PEN	ETRAT	ION 5/0.3m	ì	HYDRAULIC CONDUCTIVITY, k, cm/s							
TRES	3 METH		DESCRIPTION		ELFV	ĭER	ш	0.30m	20 40 60 80									0 ⁻³	ADDITIONAL LAB. TESTING	PIEZOMETER OR STANDPIPE INSTALLATION	
ME	ORING	DESCRIPTIO			DEPTH	NUMB	ΤΥΡΕ	OWS/0	SHEAR STRENGTH nat V. + Q - ● Cu, kPa rem V. ⊕ U - ○							NT WI					
+		GROUND SURFACE		S	91.57			BI	2	20 4	40	60	30	2	20 4	0 0	50 8	0			
0		TOPSOIL - (ML/SM) SILT sandy SILT, trace gravel;	Y SAND to dark brown		0.00																
		(SM-CI/CH) SILTY SAND	and SILTY		91.11															₹	
		CLAY, interbedded; grey to non-cohesive, moist, loos	orown; e			<u> </u>															
1						1	SS	4													
			LAV trace		90.05																
		sand; grey brown, highly fissured, with thin laminations of silty sand	1.52	2	2 SS	3							0								
2		(WEATHERED CRUST); w>PL, stiff	cohesive,																		
									\oplus			+									
									\oplus			+									
3		(CH/CI) SILTY CLAY to C	AY to CLAY; grey, with		88.52 3.05																
		laminations of silty sand; o w>PL, soft to firm	cohesive,			3	SS	wн												X	
4									•	+											
		(ma							⊕	+											
	nger	tollow St				4	TP	PH								0			с	×	
5	Power A	Diam. (F																		Native Backfill	
		200 mm							⊕	+											
									⊕	+											
6																					
						5	SS	wн						⊦							
							-														
7									\oplus	+										X	
									Ð	+											
						6	ss	WR													
8																					
									Ð	+											
									⊕	+											
9																					
						7	SS	2									0				
5	_ L		- — — — — – T PAGE	_928	4		+ -	-				+								8	
	ידכ			1	1	I	<u> </u>						1	1	1	1	1	I	L		
ו⊐י }:	50	II JUALE						(S	G	olde oci	r ates							СН	ECKED: WAM	

LOCATION: See Site Plan

RECORD OF BOREHOLE: 15-3

BORING DATE: May 20-21, 2015

SHEET 2 OF 2

DATUM: Geodetic

SAMPLER HAMMER, 64kg; DROP, 760mm

		SOIL PROFILE			S/		ES	DYNAMIC PE	NETRA	TION		HYDRAULI	C CONDUC	TIVITY,		
ES	ETHO						щ	RESISTANCE	., BLOW	/S/0.3m 60 80	۲,	k, c 10 ⁻⁶	:m/s 10 ⁻⁵ 1	0 ⁻⁴ 10 ⁻³	NAL TING	PIEZOMETER OR
NETR S	NG M	DESCRIPTION	LA PL	ELEV.	MBER	ΥPE	S/0.3	SHEAR STRE	NGTH	nat V. + C	2 - O	WATE	R CONTENT	PERCENT	DITIC	STANDPIPE
	BORI		TRA	DEPTH (m)	Ĩ	Ĥ	POW	Си, кра		rem v. ⊕ t	J- U	Wp —	O ^W	WI	LAE	
		CONTINUED FROM PREVIOUS PAGE	0		-			20	40	60 80		20	40 0	<u>30 80</u>		
10		(CI/CH) SILTY CLAY to CLAY; grey, with thin laminations of silt; cohesive, w>PL, firm		81.20 10.37	8	SS	PM	⊕ + ⊕ +								Native Backfill
						-		⊕ -	÷							Bentonite Seal
12	Power Auger	(SM) gravelly SILTY SAND; grey (GLACIAL TILL); non-cohesive, wet, very loose to compact		79.02 12.55	2			Ð	+							Standpipe
14					9	ss	2					0				Cave
16		End of Borehole Note:		75.72 15.85	10	ss	16									- WL in Standpipe at
17		1. Blow up of silty clay up to 3.1 m inside the augers from 6.10 m to 12.55 m depth.														Elev. 91.07 m on May 28, 2015
18																-
· 19																-
20																
DE 1::	PTH 50	SCALE							lolde soci	er iates						OGGED: RI IECKED: WAM










Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO:

Report Date: 25-May-2020

Order Date: 20-May-2020

Project Description: PG5348

	Client ID:	BH4-20 SS2	-	-	-
	Sample Date:	19-May-20 11:00	-	-	-
	Sample ID:	2021151-01	-	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-
Physical Characteristics			•	-	
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	75.1	-	-	-
General Inorganics					
рН	0.05 pH Units	7.37	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	69.6	-	-	-
Anions					
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	11	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	<5	-	-	-

APPENDIX 2

FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN

DRAWING PG5348-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN

DRAWING PG5348-2 - PERMISSIBLE GRADE RAISE PLAN

DRAWING PG5348-3 - TREE PLANTING SETBACK RECOMMENDATIONS



FIGURE 1

KEY PLAN

patersongroup



isers\robertg\documents\pg5348-1-thlp (rev.03).



ers\robertg\documents\pg5348-1-thlp (rev.



sers/robertg/documents/pg5348-1-thlp (rev.03)