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## Strategy Report

Parsons has been retained by 9378-0633 Quebec Inc. to prepare a Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBLA) for a residential development located at 1009 Trim Road in Orléans. This document follows the new TIA process, as outlined in the City Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines (2017). The following report represents Step 4 - Strategy Report.

## 1. Screening Form

The screening form confirmed the need for a TIA Report based on the Trip Generation trigger, given that the proposed development consists of three 24 to 32 -storey buildings with approximately 795 residential units anticipated; The Location trigger given that the development is located within a Transit Oriented Development Zone (TOD) and within 600 m of the future Trim LRT Station; and Safety trigger given that the proposed driveway is in the influence area of an adjacent intersection and there is documented safety concerns on boundary streets within 500m of the development. The Screening Form as well as City Comments and correspondence has been provided in Appendix A.

## 2. Scoping Report

### 2.1. Existing and Planned Conditions

### 2.1.1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The proposed development is located at the municipal address of 1009 Trim Road at the north-east corner of the Trim Road and Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard intersection. The proposed study area includes the intersections of Trim/Jeanne D'Arc, Trim/Hwy 174, future realigned New Trim/Jeanne D'arc intersection and roadway segments adjacent to site or between intersections as shown in Figure 1. More details regarding the study area found in

## Section 2.1.2.

The site is located approximately within 250 m of Highway 174, where there is an at-grade signalized intersection with Trim Road. It has a developable area of approximately 3.3 acres next to Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard. The land elevation was raised above the floodplain with necessary approvals from Rideau Valley Conservation Authority and is now above the floodplain. The site has full servicing capabilities from Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and has road access from Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and Trim Road.

Figure 1: Local Context


The existing site is vacant, and the property is currently zoned as DR (Development Reserve), which triggers the re-zoning application to allow high rise residential buildings. The owners are currently seeking planning approvals for two apartment buildings of 28 to 32 -storeys each connected by a 4 -storey podium. Ultimately, a third building has been shown representing desired development that may be pursued through an amendment to the current application upon confirmation of additional lands that may be able to be developed. The ultimate plan contains 795 residential units combined. For the purposes of this study, full buildout of the site has been assumed by 2024. Note, this estimate is highly dependent on market forces, but is considered the earliest possible date.

The proposed plan provides two two-way accesses off Jeanne D'arc, leading to a driveway loop. There will be 11 surface parking spaces within the driveway loop. Access to resident parking is provided from an internal access road leading to the podium. The type of parking facility has yet to be confirmed, whether below grade and/or within the podium. Approximately 700 parking spaces are expected at this time. Onsite parking and design components have yet to be finalized and will be confirmed during the Site Plan Application. The current site plan concept is shown in Figure 2.

Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan


### 2.1.2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Area Road Network

Ottawa Regional Road 174 (Hwy 174) is an east-west City-owned freeway, which extends from Hwy 417 in the west to Trim Road and continues east. Within the study area, Hwy 174 has a four-lane cross section and auxiliary turn lanes are provided at its intersection with Trim Road. The posted speed limit within the study area is 90 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Trim Road is classified as an arterial roadway south of Hwy 174 and as a major collector roadway between Hwy 174 and Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard (formerly known as North Service Road). North of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard, Trim Road is classified as a local roadway. Within the study area, Trim Road has a two-lane cross section. The posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard is a major collector roadway west of Trim Road. East of Trim Road, Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard continues as Inlet Private. It is assumed that once Trim Road is realigned further east, it will then extend Jeanne D'arc Boulevard further east with it too, finishing at the New Trim Road and Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard intersection. Within the study area, Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard has a two-lane cross section. The posted speed limit is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Inlet Private is the continuation of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard east of Trim Road and extends for about 400m to the east to Brigil Petrie's Landing I Towers. It is assumed that once Trim Road is realigned further east, it will then extend Jeanne D'arc Boulevard further east with it too, shortening Inlet Private and calling it such only east of the New Trim Road and Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard intersection. Inlet Private is a local roadway with an unposted speed limit assumed to be $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

## Existing Study Area Intersections

## Trim/Hwy 174

The Trim/ Hwy 174 intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection. The eastbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane, two through lanes and a single channelized right-turn lane. The westbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane, a through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The northbound approach consists of two left-turn lanes, a single through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane, a single through lane and a left-turn lane. A southbound pocket bike lane and curbside northbound bike lanes are provided on Trim Road. This intersection will be relocated further east within the LRT Stage 2 project and will be referred to as New Trim/Hwy 174.

## Trim/Jeanne D'Arc

The Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection is a four-legged intersection with all-way STOP control. All approaches consist of a single full-movement lane. The northbound approach includes a bike lane. Curbside bike lanes are provided on the eastbound approach of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and on both sides of the road on Trim Road south of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard. Once Trim Road is realigned, this intersection will be referred to as "Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc". The realigned intersection will be referred to as "New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc".


## Existing Driveways to Adjacent Developments

Within 200 m of the proposed site, there are only 3 driveways. The existing driveways as shown in Figure 3 include:

- Brigil sales center - located on the south side of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard, approximately 50m west of the Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection. Assumed to be temporary.
- City of Ottawa Maintenance Facility - located on the east side of Trim Road, approximately 110 m south of the Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection.
- Brigil Petrie's Landing I Towers - located on the north side of Inlet Private, approximately 160 m east of the most eastern site point.

Figure 3: Existing Driveways Adjacent to Development


## Existing Area Traffic Management Measures

Below are the existing area traffic management measures within the study area:

- Two "Prepare to Stop when Flashing" signals on Hwy 174, each approximately 600 m to the west of Trim Road and 600m to the east of Trim Road; and,
- One High Deer Collision Corridor signal on Hwy 174 westbound approximately 300 m to the west of Trim Road.


## Pedestrian/Cycling Network

A sidewalk is provided on the south side of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and Inlet Private. The north side of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard has a paved, separated multi-use pathway (MUP). Trim Road has sidewalk facilities on the west side of the roadway on the north side of Hwy 174. South of Hwy 174, the east and west sides of Trim Road have paved multi-use pathways (MUPs).

The Ultimate Cycling Plan classifies Trim Road as a spine route from Smith Road to Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard, and similarly Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard west of Trim Road. The links north of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and east of Trim Road are considered major pathways. The spine route segment of Trim Road includes a 'cycle track' which consists of a curbside paved bike lane with pocket bike lanes at some intersections. West of Trim Road, Jeanne D'Arc boulevard provides a curbside bike lane on the south side of the roadway and a paved shoulder on the north side. Within the study area, paved, physically separated MUPs are provided along most of the Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and Trim Road frontages, on the north and east of roadways respectively.

## Transit Network

The transit network for the study area is illustrated in Figure 4. The following OC Transpo routes currently operate within 600 m radius of the site frontage:

Figure 4: Area Transit Network

- Route \#38 (Blair <-> Jeanne D'Arc/Trim): identified by OC Transpo as a "Local Route", this route operates on customized routing and schedules, to serve local destinations with connection to the Confederation LRT Line. Route \#38 operates at an average rate of every 30 minutes during weekdays. Bus stops for this route are available on both sides of Trim Road, approximately 100 to 190m south of Trim/Jeanne D'Arc.
- Route \#39 (Blair <-> Millenium): identified by OC Transpo as a "Rapid Route", this route operates at a high frequency with connection to the Confederation LRT Line. Route \#39 operates 7 days a week, at an average rate of every 15 minutes or less during weekday peak hours. Bus stops for this route are available at Trim Station, located approximately 600 m walk from the proposed site.



## Peak Hour Travel Demands

The existing peak hour traffic volumes within the study area, as illustrated in Figure 5, were obtained from the City of Ottawa or conducted recently by Parsons. The peak hour traffic volume count data has been provided in Appendix B.

Figure 5: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


## Existing Road Safety Conditions

A five-year collision history data (2014-2018, inclusive) was requested and obtained from the City of Ottawa for all intersections and road segments within the study area. Upon analyzing the collision data, the total number of collisions observed within the study area was determined to be 104 collisions within the past five-years. The majority of the collisions 85 ( $82 \%$ ) resulted in property damage only, $18(17 \%)$ resulted in non-fatal injury and 1 (1\%) resulted in a fatal injury. The fatal injury occurred on a clear, dark, dry night when a single vehicle ran off the road near the intersection of Trim/Hwy 174. The types of impact were broken down into the following: 57 (55\%) rear end, 18 (17\%) sideswipes, 12 (12\%) single vehicle (other), 10 (10\%) angled, 4 (4\%) turning movement, 2 (2\%) other and 1 (1\%) approaching.

To help quantify the relative safety risk at intersections within the study area, an industry standard unit of measure for assessing collisions at an intersection was used based on the number collisions per million entering vehicles (MEV). An MEV value greater than 1.00 indicates a relatively high frequency of collisions; however, it does not explain the type or severity of collision. A secondary analysis is done to determine the severity of collision by representing the number of personal injuries as a percentage of the total number of collisions at a given intersection.

Locations with more than 6 recorded collisions were evaluated for MEV's and/or personal injury rates. A high propensity (MEV > 1.00 or \%PIR > 30\%) would signal a potential intersection design deficiency or other contributing factor, such as poor intersection geometry, blind spots, poor lighting, excessive speeds, high amount of entry/exit driveways etc.

At intersections within the study area, reported collisions have historically taken place at a rate of:

- 0.99 Collisions/MEV with $15 \%$ causing injury and $2 \%$ causing a fatality at the intersection of Trim/Hwy 174 (total of 62 collisions with 43 or $69 \%$ of all collisions involving rear end, likely to do with high operating speeds on Hwy 174 and high vehicle volumes). Note that the fatality is included within this intersection; however, it actually occurred on Hwy 174 where a vehicle ran off the road
- 0.98 Collisions/MEV with $22 \%$ causing injury at the intersection of Trim/Dairy/Taylor Creek (total of 32 collisions with 11 of them being sideswipes and 7 being angle, for a total of $56 \%$ of all collisions at this intersection, a more common type of collision witnessed at roundabouts)
- No collisions were recorded at the intersection of Trim/Jeanne D'Arc
- Both Trim/Hwy 174 and Trim/Dairy/Taylor Creek experienced Collision/MEV approaching 1 which is considered medium to high risk. It is likely that the medium to high MEV are due to the quantity of vehicles entering the intersections, high turning movements and operating speeds. None of the intersections had high injury rates (above $30 \%$ of all collisions) meaning that most collisions were not severe

Other collisions within the study area include:

- There was a total of 10 collisions between intersections (mid-block segments)
- Out of all collisions, only 2 involved cyclists and they both occurred between intersections in mid-block segments on Trim Road
- There were no registered collisions with pedestrians

Overall, there are no safety concerns along the frontage of the proposed development and the planned Trim Road realignment is expected to significantly alter the roadway landscape within the broader study area. Therefore, no mitigation measures were considered. The source collision data as provided by the City of Ottawa and related analysis is provided as Appendix C.

### 2.1.3. PLANNED CONDITIONS

## Planned Study Area Transportation Network Changes

## Stage 2 LRT and Hwy 174 Modifications

Stage 2 of the City of Ottawa LRT system is currently under construction. Stage 2, as shown in Figure 6, is a package of three extensions - south, east and west - totaling 44 km of new rail and 24 new LRT stations. The subject site will be located within 300 m of Trim Station, the east-most station along Confederation Line.


Schedule D of the Official Plan - Rapid Transit and Transit Priority Network identifies the light rail Confederation Line east extension to Trim Road. According to the Confederation Line East Functional Design Report, the Stage 2 LRT east extension proposes a new signalized intersection on Hwy 174, approximately 200 m east of the existing Trim Road intersection. Figure 7 illustrates the planned LRT station and interchange at Trim Road. This new intersection location accommodates the LRT rail tracks. Trim Road will be truncated both north and south of Hwy 174 to accommodate the new station. Trim Road to the south of Hwy 174 will be realigned at the existing Dairy Road roundabout to the new intersection.

The relocated at-grade intersection is expected to include pedestrian crosswalks and bi-directional cycling crossride facilities at grade on both the east and south legs. The Trim Road Park and Ride Facility will be modified to include a new bus loop, bus lay-bys, and bus station platforms. It is noteworthy that the subject site is located approximately 500 m from the future Trim Road LRT Station and is therefore considered to be within the Trim Station TOD area.

Figure 7: Confederation Line East Extension Interchange at Trim Road


Figure 7 is only a preliminary design and subject to change as the detailed design of the realignment is still ongoing. However, it has been confirmed by the City of Ottawa that the required property to build the new interchange has been acquired. The precise location and types of facilities proposed by the new realigned Trim/Hwy 417 (referred as New Trim/Hwy 417 in this report) and New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc have yet to be finalized within the final detailed design plan.

## Hwy 174 Widening

An Environmental Assessment for the potential widening of Hwy 174 was conducted by the Townships of Prescott-Russell/City of Ottawa. The widening of Hwy 174 to six-lanes from Hwy 417 to Trim Road and to fourlanes from Trim Road to the City boundary is identified as a road project in the current 2013 City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan. However, the widening of Hwy 174 is not identified as part of the Affordable Network Plan within the TMP. Therefore, the road widening of Hwy 174 east of Trim Road is unlikely within the foreseeable future. A potential cross-section is illustrated in Figure 8.


Source: http://ottwatch.ca/meetings/file/366361

## Cycling Network

Within the Ottawa 2013 Cycling Plan, both Trim Road north of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard/Inlet Private east of Trim Road are identified as major cycling pathways. To the north, the planned pathway will extend along Trim Road to Petrie Island Beach and to the east, the planned MUP will extend along Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard/Inlet Private to Cardinal Creek, bordering the northern edge of Hwy 174. Trim Road is classified as a Spine route south of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard. Figure 9 depicts the existing and future network.

Figure 9: Existing and Future "Ultimate Cycling Network"


Source: Geoottawa.ca

## Other Area Developments

The following section outlines adjacent developments in the general area that were considered in the TIA. The criteria for inclusion of other area developments are either approved developments or developments that have an active planning application in the City. Figure 10 illustrates the location and relative size of relevant other area developments.

Figure 10: Other Area Developments


Note: The above image shows the previous Trim/Jeanne D'Arc design concept as part of Stage 2 LRT, which was an overpass. This has since been modified into an at-grade intersection. Refer to Figure 7 for additional details.

## Petrie's Landing I

Brigil is proposing the construction of a residential development consisting of approximately 1,085 residential units total within 5 towers. At the time this report was written, towers 1 and 2 are occupied and tower 3 is under construction; however, the most recent count reflects trip volumes from tower 1 only and will have the remainder tower volumes layered on separately. The proposed Petrie's Landing I is located off of Inlet Private and is located approximately 300 m east of the subject site, as illustrated in Figure 11. The projected two-way vehicle trips to be layered on for this proposed residential development are approximately 280 to 245 veh/h during the AM and PM peak hours respectively according to a TIA prepared by Parsons (July 2019). The most recent site plan for Petrie's Landing I has been provided in Appendix D.

## Petrie's Landing II

Brigil is proposing the construction of a residential development consisting of approximately 560 residential units total within 8 block buildings. At the time this report was written, blocks 1 through 5 are occupied, blocks 6 and 7 are under construction and block 8 has been increased from 93 to 214 units as per the latest update done by Parsons. The most recent count reflects trip volumes from blocks 1 through 5 only and will have the remainder block volumes layered on separately. The proposed Petrie's Landing II is located south of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard, approximately 1.2 km west of the subject site, as illustrated in Figure 11. The projected twoway vehicle trips to be layered on for this proposed residential development are approximately 190 to $175 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ during the AM and PM peak hours, respectively according to a TIA prepared by Parsons (May 2020). The most recent site plan for Petrie's Landing II has been provided in Appendix D.

## Petrie's Landing III

Brigil is proposing the construction of a mixed-use development consisting of approximately $370,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of office, $23,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of retail and up to 790 residential units. The proposed Petrie's Landing III is located south of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard, approximately 600 m west of the subject site, as illustrated in Figure 11. The projected two-way vehicle trips for this proposed mixed-use development is approximately 660 and 685 veh/h during the morning
and afternoon peak hours respectively, was derived within the approved Petrie's Landing I Report completed in July 2019, by Parsons.

Figure 11: Petrie's Landing I, II \& III Concept Plan


Note: The above image shows the previous Trim/Jeanne D'Arc design concept as part of Stage 2 LRT, which was an overpass. This has since been modified into an at-grade intersection. Refer to Figure 7 for additional details.

## Cardinal Creek

Tamarack Homes is currently constructing a 1,446-unit subdivision and a 430,000 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ shopping centre, south of Hwy 174 and east of Cardinal Creek, as illustrated in Figure 12. The Transportation Impact Study (prepared by IBI Group, October 2013) projected approximately 1,460 veh/h and 2,619 veh/h by horizon year 2031 (full buildout) during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.


## Phoenix Homes

Phoenix Homes is currently constructing a subdivision consisting of 432 terrace flats, 35 townhomes and 16 semi-detached homes along Old Montreal Road, within Cardinal Creek Village. The Transportation Impact Study
(prepared by WSP Group, March 2018) projected approximately 251 veh/h and 295 veh/h by horizon year 2022 (full build-out) during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

### 2.2. Study Area and Time Periods

Full buildout of the proposed residential development is planned by 2024. As such, the horizon years being analyzed in this report are the 2024 and 2029 (five years after full buildout) horizon years, using the weekday morning and afternoon peak hour time periods.

Considering construction trends of the past years, the following phasing has been assumed for other area developments (the earliest possible assumed buildout):

## Year 2024:

- Phase 1 and 2 full build-out
- Stage 2 LRT - Trim Station open
- Petrie's Landing I - 100\% built;
- Petrie's Landing II - 100\% built;
- Petrie's Landing III - 0\% built;
- Cardinal Creek - 60\% built; and,
- Phoenix Homes - 100\% built.


## Year 2029:

- 5 years after full buildout
- Stage 2 LRT - Trim Station open
- Petrie's Landing I - 100\% built;
- Petrie's Landing II - 100\% built;
- Petrie's Landing III - 50\% built;
- Cardinal Creek - 90\% built; and,
- Phoenix Homes - 100\% built.

Proposed study area intersections and boundary roads are outlined below and highlighted in Figure 13.

Intersections:

- Old Trim/Hwy 174;
- Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc;
- New Trim/Hwy 174;
- New Trim/Jeanne D’Arc; and,
- Site Access/Jeanne D'Arc

Figure 13: Study Area Boundaries and Intersections


The following modules/elements of the TIA process recommended to be exempt in the subsequent steps of the TIA process, based on the City's TIA guidelines and the subject site:

Table 1: Exemptions Review Summary

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 4.1 <br> Design | 4.1.2 Circulation <br> and Access | Only required for site plans |
|  | 4.1.3 New Streets <br> Networks | Only required for plans of subdivision |
|  | 4.2 .1 <br> Supply | 4.2.2 Spillover <br> Parking | | Only required for site plans |
| :--- |

## 3. Forecasting Report

### 3.1. Development Generated Travel Demand

### 3.1.1. TRIP GENERATION AND MODE SHARES

Appropriate trip generation rates for the proposed development consisting of approximately 795 high-rise condominium units within three towers were obtained from the City's 2009 TRANS Trip Generation - Residential Trip Rates Report. These rates are summarized in Table 2. There is potential for small ground floor retail in the
magnitude of low $10,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ to cater to local residents only and thus, is not anticipated to create any primary vehicle trips. The basis of the commercial aspect assumption takes into account the potential size and location of the development, on the north side of Hwy 174 which is constrained by the Ottawa River and is unlikely to create pass-by trips for the majority of Orleans dwellers who live on the south side of Hwy 174 near the site.

Table 2: 2009 TRANS Residential Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | Data | Trip Rates |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| High Rise Condominiums | 232 | $\mathrm{~T}=0.46(\mathrm{du})$ | $\mathrm{T}=0.46(\mathrm{du})$ |
| Note: $T=$ Average Vehicle Trip Ends; $d u=d$ welling units |  |  |  |

Using the TRANS Trip Generation rates, the total amount of vehicle trips generated by the proposed 795 residential units was calculated. The results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3: Projected Site Vehicle Trip Generation - TRANS Model

| Land Use | Area | AM Peak (Veh/h) |  |  | PM Peak (Veh/h) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Three High-Rise Condominiums | 795 units | 102 | 264 | 366 | 267 | 99 | 366 |

As shown in Table 3, a total of 365 veh/h are projected to travel to/from the proposed development during the weekday morning and afternoon commuter peak hours.

## Proposed Development of 795 Units in 3 Towers

Using the TRANS Auto Trips projected in Table 3 and the mode share percentages in the TRANS Trip Generation Report (Table 3.13), the total projected number of person trips by mode for the residential development are summarized in Table 4. The 'person trip generation' for the development was then converted to 'vehicle trip generation' using mode shares extrapolated from the OD-Survey 2011 for Orleans Area and are summarized in Table 5.

Table 4: Site Person Trip Generation for 795 Units (3 Towers)

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak (Person Trips/h) |  |  | Mode Share | PM Peak (Person Trips/h) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total |  | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | 44\% | 102 | 264 | 366 | 44\% | 267 | 99 | 366 |
| Auto Passenger | 9\% | 20 | 54 | 74 | 14\% | 85 | 31 | 116 |
| Transit | 34\% | 79 | 204 | 283 | 33\% | 201 | 74 | 275 |
| Non-motorized | 13\% | 31 | 78 | 109 | 9\% | 54 | 21 | 75 |
| Total Person Trips | 100\% | 232 | 600 | 832 | 100\% | 607 | 225 | 832 |

Table 5: Site Vehicle Trip Generation with Orleans Mode Shares for 795 Units (3 Towers)

| Travel Mode | AM Mode Share | AM Peak (veh/h) |  |  | PM <br> Mode <br> Share | PM Peak (veh/h) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total |  | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | 50\% | 116 | 300 | 416 | 55\% | 333 | 124 | 457 |
| Auto Passenger | 15\% | 35 | 89 | 124 | 15\% | 90 | 34 | 124 |
| Transit | 25\% | 58 | 150 | 208 | 20\% | 121 | 46 | 167 |
| Bicycle | 5\% | 12 | 30 | 42 | 5\% | 30 | 12 | 42 |
| Walk | 5\% | 11 | 31 | 42 | 5\% | 31 | 11 | 42 |
| Total People Trips | 100\% | 232 | 600 | 832 | 100\% | 607 | 225 | 832 |
| Total 'New' Auto Trips |  | 116 | 300 | 416 | - | 333 | 124 | 457 |

As shown in Table 5, based on the TRANS Trip Generation method and OD-Survey modal shares, the proposed site is projected to generate approximately 415 to 455 new auto-trips per hour during the weekday commuter peak hours if the proposed three towers at 795 units total was constructed. The increase in two-way transit trips is estimated to be approximately 210 to 165 persons per hour, and the increase in bike/walk trips is approximately 40 persons per hour.

It is important to note that the OD Mode share for Orléans includes a large portion of homes located far from rapid transit and thus, the mode shares reflected in Table 5 show a large percentage of drivers and low percentage of transit/active users, making an adjusted mode share valid.

### 3.1.2. TOD MODE SHARES

Given the location of the site, within close proximity to the Trim BRT Transit Station (future LRT station expected to be operational by 2024), a higher transit modal share is appropriate. Table 6 illustrates future modal shares which reflect the site's location within close proximity to the existing Trim BRT Station and construction of future Phase 2 Trim LRT Station.

Table 6: Future Mode Share Targets for the Development

| Travel Mode | Mode Share <br> Target | Rationale |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Transit | $65 \%$ | Development is located within 600m of a future LRT station and is <br> within 600m of existing BRT Transitway Corridor, making it a Transit- <br> Oriented Development (TOD) which have transit targets of 65\%. |
| Walking | $10 \%$ | This is consistent with the City's TMP, TOD areas and the existing <br> TRANS trip-generation report. |
| Biking | $5 \%$ | This is consistent with the City's TMP, TOD areas and the existing <br> TRANS trip-generation report. |
| Auto Passenger | $5 \%$ | This is consistent with TOD targets. |
| Auto Driver | $15 \%$ | This is consistent with TOD targets. |

Based on the City's ideal TOD future mode share targets for this development with the projected total person trips, the project site-generated trips with adjusted modal shares were calculated and are outlined in Table 7.

Table 7: Future Projected Site Generated Traffic Based on Ideal TOD Targets

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak (Person Trips/h) |  |  | PM Peak (Person Trips/h) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | $15 \%$ | 35 | 90 | 125 | 90 | 34 | 124 |
| Auto Passenger | $5 \%$ | 12 | 29 | 41 | 30 | 12 | 42 |
| Transit | $65 \%$ | 151 | 390 | 541 | 394 | 147 | 541 |
| Bicycle | $5 \%$ | 10 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 10 | 40 |
| Walk | $10 \%$ | 25 | 60 | 85 | 60 | 25 | 85 |
| Total Person Trips | $100 \%$ | 233 | 599 | 832 | 605 | 227 | 832 |
| Total 'New' Auto Trips |  | 35 | 90 | 125 | 90 | 34 | 124 |

Future trips generated for the development under ideal TOD targets for the proposed three tower build are anticipated to be approximately 125 'new' vehicles trips, 540 'new' transit trips, 40 'new' bike trips, and 85 'new' walk trips, two-way per peak hour.

Although the mode shares in Table 7 are consistent with the ideal TOD targets for developments within 600 m of rapid transit, hybrid mode shares were developed to reflect local conditions and location of the site. It is anticipated that non-motorized trips will be predominantly for pleasure and not for daily commuter trips; therefore, the peak hour non-motorized mode shares were assumed to be negligible. Transit trips will still remain high, but auto driver and passenger trips have been increased to reflect the lack in non-motorized trips. Table 8 highlights future projected site generated traffic based on custom mode shares which reflect the local conditions and location of the proposed site.

Table 8: Future Projected Site Generated Traffic Based on Custom Mode Shares

| T Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak (Person Trips/h) |  |  | PM Peak (Person Trips/h) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver |  | 70 | 180 | 250 | 181 | 68 | 249 |
| Auto Passenger | $10 \%$ | 24 | 59 | 83 | 61 | 23 | 84 |
| Transit | $60 \%$ | 139 | 360 | 499 | 364 | 135 | 499 |
| Non-motorized | $0 \%$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Person Trips | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 3}$ | 599 | $\mathbf{8 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 3 2}$ |
| Total 'New' Auto Trips |  | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 4 9}$ |

Based on Table 8, for proposed 795 unit build within three towers, it is anticipated that the proposed development will generate approximately 250 'new' vehicles trips, 500 'new' transit trips and 0 'new' bike/walk trips (excluding recreational and trips to transit station), two-way per peak hour. Note that transit trips are anticipated to contribute to active modes to/from Trim Station, which will be accounted for in the future analysis.

### 3.1.3. TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Based on the OD Mode Share Survey, existing traffic volume counts and the location of adjacent arterial roadways and neighborhoods, the distribution of site-generated traffic volumes is as follows:

- (From/To) the East: 5\%;
- (From/To) the South: 20\%; and,
- (From/To) the West: 75\%.


### 3.1.4. TRIP ASSIGNMENT

The latest site plan proposes two full movement driveways on to Inlet Private (referred to as Jeanne D'arc Boulevard herein). The driveways proposed are approximately 55 m and 105 m east of Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc, creating a separation of approximately 50 m between each driveway and the eastern most driveway approximately 75 m west of the proposed New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection. The exact location of the driveways will be confirmed once a Site Plan Application is filed. The 'new' site-generated vehicle trips outlined in Table 8 for 795 units were assigned to the study area network and are illustrated as Figure 14.

Figure 14: 'New’ Site-Generated Traffic for 795 Units (3 Towers)


### 3.2.1. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS

Refer to section 2.1.3 Planned Conditions - Planned Study Area Transportation Network Changes.

### 3.2.2. BACKGROUND GROWTH

The background traffic growth through the immediate study area (summarized in Table 9) was calculated based on historical traffic count data (2007, 2008, 2010, 2012, and 2017) provided by the City of Ottawa at the Trim/Hwy 174 intersection. Detailed analysis of the background growth is included in Appendix E.

Table 9: Trim/OR-174 Historical Background Growth (2007-2017)

| Time Period | Percent Annual Change |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg | Overall |
| 8 hrs | $2.64 \%$ | $1.03 \%$ | $-0.66 \%$ | $-0.05 \%$ | $0.13 \%$ |
| AM Peak | $4.40 \%$ | $2.49 \%$ | $0.26 \%$ | $0.84 \%$ | $1.13 \%$ |
| PM Peak | $-3.09 \%$ | $0.12 \%$ | $-0.16 \%$ | $-0.37 \%$ | $-0.24 \%$ |

As shown in Table 9, in past years Hwy 174 and Trim Road have experienced varied annual growth, ranging from $-0.37 \%$ to $0.84 \%$ and $-3.09 \%$ to $4.40 \%$, respectively. Overall, growth was observed north of Hwy 174, which coincides with recent development (Petrie Landing I - III), whereas Hwy 174 traffic growth remained fairly stagnant. For the subsequent analysis of future conditions, a conservative $1 \%$ annual growth rate along Hwy 174 and Trim Road, in addition to other area developments-generated traffic will be layered on to future analysis.

### 3.2.3. OTHER AREA DEVELOPMENTS

Other area developments were identified and described in Section 2.1.3. Peak hour trips generated by these developments, based on the supporting TIA studies, have been summarized in Table 10.

Table 10: Other Area Developments Vehicle Trip Generation

|  | AM Peak (persons/h) |  |  | PM Peak (persons/h) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Petrie's Landing I ${ }_{1}$ | 72 | 210 | 282 | 144 | 101 | 245 |
| Petrie's Landing II | 28 | 89 | 117 | 107 | 67 | 174 |
| Petrie's Landing III | 422 | 237 | 659 | 254 | 430 | 584 |
| Cardinal Creek | 412 | 940 | 1,352 | 1,246 | 980 | 2,226 |
| Phoenix Homes | 93 | 161 | 251 | 156 | 138 | 295 |
| 1,027 | 1,637 | $\mathbf{2 , 6 6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 9 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 , 7 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 , 6 2 4}$ |  |

[^0]
## Petrie's Landing I-Towers 2 to 5

Petrie's Landing I - Towers 2 to 5 are expected to be fully occupied by 2022. The projected traffic volumes were obtained, Figure 13 within the Petrie's Landing I Report, and additional layering of Tower 2 volumes (Figure 14) are illustrated in Figure 15.

Figure 15: Petrie's Landing I Tower 2 Projected Traffic Volumes


## PARSONS

## Petrie's Landing II

Figure 16 illustrates the projected traffic volumes for Petrie's Landing II at full build-out, obtained from the 2013 Petrie's Landing I TIS (Figure 15) and Block 8 TIS Report layered together. Assumed to be fully occupied by 2024.

Figure 16: Petrie's Landing II Projected Traffic Volumes - Full Build Out


## Petrie's Landing III

Figure 17 illustrates the projected traffic volumes for Petrie's Landing III at full build-out, obtained from the 2013 Petrie's Landing I TIS (Figure 16). Considering assumed time horizons, $50 \%$ of build-out volumes will be applied in year 2024 and 100\% in year 2029.

Figure 17: Petrie's Landing III Projected Traffic Volumes - Full Build-Out


## Cardinal Creek Village

Figure 18 illustrates the projected traffic volumes for Cardinal Creek Village at horizon year 2029 at study area intersections (obtained from the 2013 Cardinal Creek Village CTS, Exhibit 12). Considering assumed time horizons, $60 \%$ of full build-out volumes will be applied in year 2024 and $90 \%$ in year 2029.

Figure 18: Cardinal Creek Projected Traffic Volumes at Study Area Intersections


## Phoenix Homes

Figure 19 illustrates the projected traffic volumes for Phoenix Homes at full build-out, obtained from the 2018 Phoenix Homes TIS by WSP (Figure 1). Considering assumed time horizons, $100 \%$ of build-out volumes will be applied in year 2024 and onwards.

Figure 19: Phoenix Homes Projected Traffic Volumes - Full Build-Out


### 3.3. Demand Rationalization

According to the City of Ottawa, Inlet Private is classified as a local road, while Jeanne D'arc and Trim Road, the latter only north Hwy 174, are classified as major collector roads. The section of Inlet Private between the current Trim Road and the future realigned Trim Road will be redesigned to match the Jeanne D'arc classification, making it major collector road in the future.

The Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads outlines "typical" daily traffic volume (DTV) capacities of urban collector road. The recommended urban residential collector road capacity threshold is 8,000 vehicles per day, and 12,000 for urban industrial/commercial collector road. An urban minor arterial road can accommodate up to 20,000 vehicles a day, while a major arterial can accommodate up to 30,000 vehicles per day.

The 2029 total projected daily traffic volumes, which includes background growth, known future developments and site-generated traffic, were estimated by factoring the peak hour traffic volumes. The conversion factor was calculated as the sum of AM and PM peak hour two-way traffic volumes on the roadway and multiplied 5, which is a standard industry approach to estimating DTV. The estimated DTVs along Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard at the
development frontage was approximately 3,500 vehicles per day, and along Trim Road, north of Hwy 174 was approximately 10,000 vehicles per day. Therefore, both roadways are expected to accommodate anticipated growth through year 2029. The future intersection capacity along these road sections will be explored in more detail in the ensuing Strategy Report.

## 4. Strategy Report

### 4.1. Development Design

### 4.1.1. DESIGN FOR SUSTAINABLE MODES

Although the detailed design of the Trim Road realignment, including the intersection at Hwy 174, is ongoing, some information is available to what is currently proposed as shown in Figure 20. Since the segment of road fronting the site, formerly known as Inlet Private and referred to as Jeanne D'Arc herein, is anticipated to have an increase in vehicular traffic and buses will be added to this short segment of road between the Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc and New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc, intersections, it is assumed that this segment of road will be retrofitted to collector road standards.

Figure 20: Stage 2 LRT Station Connectivity Enhancement Study


Source: https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/public-engagement/projects/stage-2-Irt-station-connectivity-enhancement-study

## Location of Transit Facilities

The subject site is located approximately 600m walking distance to the future Trim LRT Station via New Trim/Hwy 174 intersection. If a pedestrian bridge from Trim LRT Station to the north, connecting to Old Trim Road was built, the site would be approximately 250 m from Trim LRT Station and would highly incentivize the use of public transit.

It is anticipated that a bus route similar to existing OC-Transpo Route \#39 will provide connection from Trim LRT Station to local communities on the north side of Hwy 174. Such route would likely pass on Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard adjacent to the site, with the possibility of adding a bus stop near the proposed site.

## Pedestrian/Cycling Routes and Facilities

All proposed buildings will have direct pedestrian and cycling access to Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard. Currently there is a sidewalk on the south side of Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard only. It's expected that new active travel facilities will be introduced onto Jeanne D'arc, east of the Trim, to facilitate connectivity to Hwy 174 and the broader network. However, the current functional plans of the Trim Road realignment, as seen in Figure 20, do not provide any details on active transportation facilities or treatments north of Hwy 174 or on the future Jeanne D'arc connection (currently Inlet Private). The expectation is that the planned Trim Road realignment by the City of Ottawa will incorporate appropriate pedestrian and cycling facilities along the Jeanne D'arc and Trim as part of Stage 2 LRT implementation.

## Bicycle Parking

Bicycle parking is anticipated to meet the minimum City By-Law requirements of 0.5 spaces per units. Bicycle parking will be encouraged to be indoors in a secure, well-lit area located at a level which would provide convenient access to and from the building to cycling facilities. More details will be available once a Site Plan Application is filed.

### 4.1.2. CIRCULATION AND ACCESS

## Exempt. See Table 1.

### 4.1.3. NEW STREETS NETWORK

## Exempt. See Table 1.

### 4.2. Parking

### 4.2.1. PARKING SUPPLY

Though parking is normally exempt for Zoning or OP Applications, as discussed in Table 1, a preliminary estimate has been provided and compared to parking requirements as per City of Ottawa Zoning By-Law. The site is located in Area C according to Schedule 1 and Area C in Schedule 1A, however, it is within 600 m walk to Trim Rapid Transit Station within Schedule 2B. Table 11 summarizes the vehicle parking minimum and maximums allowed within the parking by-law. Table 12 summarizes the bicycle parking requirements as per City of Ottawa Zoning By-Law-Part 4, sections 100-114.

Table 11: Vehicle Parking Space Supply

| Land Use |  | Rate per Unit |  | Required Vehicle Spaces |  |  |  | Proposed Spaces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Base | Visitor | Base | Visitors | Min <br> Req. | Max Allowed $_{3}$ |  |
| Residential 3 Towers | $\begin{aligned} & 795 \\ & \text { units } \end{aligned}$ | 0.5 per unit ${ }_{1}$ | 0.1 per unit ${ }_{2}$ | 392 | 30 | 422 | 1,193 | 711 |

1) no off-street motor vehicle parking is required for the first 12 dwelling units
2) no off-street motor vehicle parking is required for the first 12 dwelling units with a max of 30 visitor spots
3) maximum parking allowed is at a rate of 1.5 parking stalls per unit (combined base and visitor)

Table 12: Bicycle Parking Requirements

| Land Use |  | Rate | Required Bicycle Spaces | Proposed <br> Spaces |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Unknown |  |
| Residential 3 Towers | 795 units | 0.5 per unit | 398 |  |

Estimated parking space estimates meet City guidelines, and the required number bicycle spaces has been identified. The majority onsite parking is expected to be enclosed within a parking structure beneath the podium, with some potential for parking on the podium level. Details will be confirmed during detailed design, but the parking rates are expected to be compliant with the Zoning By-Law.

### 4.2.2. SPILLOVER PARKING

## Exempt. See table Table 1.

### 4.3. Boundary Street Design

### 4.3.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS

The boundary street for the development is Inlet Private (or future Jeanne D’Arc Boulevard). Since New Trim Road will be a critical link for pedestrians accessing the Trim LRT Station, it will be considered as well for future conditions in Section 4.3.2. The existing roadway geometries consist of the following features:

- Inlet Private:
o 1 vehicle travel lane in each direction;
o 2 m sidewalk on south side, no sidewalk on north side of roadway;
o Less than 3,000 vehicles per day;
o Assumed unposted speed $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
o Classified as local roadway;
o Identified as a spine route and major pathway for cycling; and,
o Not identified as a Truck Route.
The proposed site is located within 600m of a rapid bus station/future LRT station at Trim. Multi-modal Level of Service analysis for the subject road segments adjacent to the site is summarized in Table 13 with detail analysis provided in Appendix F.

Table 13: MMLOS - Boundary Street Segment Existing

| Road Segment | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian |  | Bicycle (BLOS) |  | Transit (TLoS) |  | Truck (TkLoS) |  |
|  | PLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | BLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | TLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | TkLoS | Minimum Desirable Target |
| Inlet Private North side between Trim Road and future Trim Road | F | A | B | B | D | D | - | N/A |
| Inlet Private South side between Trim Road and future Trim Road | B | A | B | B | D | D | - | N/A |

## Pedestrian

- Existing Inlet Private does not meet pedestrian PLoS due to lack of sidewalk on the north side and lack of boulevard separation on the sidewalk in the south side of roadway.


## Bicycle

- Existing Inlet Private meets cyclist BLoS.


## Transit

- Existing Inlet Private meets transit TLoS targets.


## Truck

- Existing Inlet Private is not a truck route.


### 4.3.2. FUTURE CONDITIONS

The future boundary streets for the development will be Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and New Trim Road, both critical link for pedestrians accessing the Trim LRT Station. While roadway geometries are still unclear at this time, the expectation is the following features would be included as part of the Trim Road realignment in support of Stage 2 LRT by the City:

- Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard (formerly Inlet Private):
o 1 vehicle travel lane in each direction;
o Sidewalk on both sides of roadway assumed with potential MUP on one side;
o More than 3,000 vehicles per day;
o Speed limit $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
o Classified as major collector roadway;
o Identified as a spine route and major pathway for cycling; and,
o Not a Truck Route.
- New Trim Road (realigned):
o 1 vehicle travel lane in each direction;
o Sidewalk on both sides of roadway assumed with MUP on one side;
o More than 3,000 vehicles per day;
o Speed limit $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
o Classified as major collector roadway;
o Identified as a spine route and major pathway for cycling; and,
o Not a Truck Route.
The proposed site is located within 600 m of a rapid bus station/future LRT station. Multi-modal Level of Service analysis for the subject road segments adjacent to the site is summarized in Table 14 with detail analysis provided in Appendix F.

Table 14: MMLOS - Future Boundary Street Segment

| Road Segment | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian |  | Bicycle (BLoS) |  | Transit (TLoS) |  | Truck (TkLoS) |  |
|  | PLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | BLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | TLoS | Minimum <br> Desirable <br> Target | TkLoS | Minimum Desirable Target |
| Jeanne D'Arc - North side between Old Trim and future Trim | C | A | B | B | D | D | - | N/A |
| Jeanne D'Arc - South side between Old Trim and future Trim | C | A | A | B | D | D | - | N/A |
| New Trim Road between Jeanne D'Arc and Hwy 174 | C | A | A | B | D | D | - | N/A |

## Pedestrian

- Jeanne D'Arc B/vd \& New Trim Road do not meet pedestrian PLoS due to increase in vehicular traffic anticipated to >3000 veh/day and lack of boulevard separation.


## Bicycle

- Jeanne D'Arc B/vd \& New Trim Roadmeet and exceed cyclist BLoS in some areas.


## Transit

- Jeanne D'Arc Blvd \& New Trim Road meet transit TLoS targets.


## Truck

- Jeanne D'Arc Blvd \& New Trim Road are not a truck routes.


### 4.4. Access Intersection Design

### 4.4.1. LOCATION AND DESIGN OF ACCESS

The proposed access to the site is currently envisioned as a half-moon crescent loop, which would add two new full movement access driveways to Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard. The new driveways, called East Site Access and West Site Access herein, are located approximately 55m and 105m east of Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc respectively. This results in a separation of approximately 50 m between each driveway and the eastern most driveway would be approximately 75 m west of the proposed New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection.

With most site generated traffic expected to be drawn to Hwy 174, it was assumed that the majority of these vehicles will enter/exit via the East Site Access, ultimately destined for the parking structure. Vehicles will also have a secondary route in/out, which provides redundancy and helps mitigate potential queue spillback. These assumptions will be further analyzed during the SPA.

### 4.4.2. INTERSECTION CONTROL

A traffic signal warrant was completed at New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc and it was not warranted. However, the all-way stop control warrant was triggered, confirming that the intersection should be maintained as an AWSC on opening day. All warrant analysis has been provided in Appendix G.

According to the City of Ottawa Private Approach By-Law Section 25, if a site has more than 300 parking spaces, a minimum distance between the private approach and signalized intersection is 75 meters. In the event that the New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection were to require traffic control signals, the nearest site proposed access would be located approximately 75 meters west of the signalized intersection, which meets the minimum corner clearance requirement on a major collector road.

### 4.4.3. INTERSECTION DESIGN

## See Section 4.9.2

### 4.5. Transportation Demand Management

### 4.5.1. CONTEXT FOR TDM

Based on the type of development, it is assumed that most trips generated by the proposed site will be residents leaving the site in the AM peak to go to work and returning from work to the proposed site in the PM peak. Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2 describe how many trips are anticipated per travel mode and anticipates the likely locations that they will travel to and from based on the OD-Survey 2011 for Ottawa. The site is located in a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) zone according to the Official Plan.

### 4.5.2. NEED AND OPPORTUNITY

Developments located in a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) zone such as the proposed site are expected to utilize measures to provide sustainable active mode shares. Such measures are described in more detail in Section 4.5.3 below, but can include reduced parking (to be discussed in SPA), more aggressive Multi-Modal Levels of Service (MMLOS) as described in Section 4.3 and 4.9 and safe and efficient connectivity to public transit as described in Section 4.7, to name a few.

### 4.5.3. TDM PROGRAM

Given that this is a ZBLA only, the exact details of the proposed development are unknown. A draft TDM infrastructure checklist is attached as Appendix H with some of the proposed attributes that are known. Note that the measures checklist is not yet applicable to this ZBLA as details of the buildings are not concrete yet.

### 4.6. Neighborhood Traffic Management

### 4.6.1. ADJACENT NEIGHBORHOODS

The road segment adjacent to the site, currently known as Inlet Private and referred to as Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard in the future is anticipated to increase in traffic significantly as Trim Road will be relocated further east and all traffic headed to and from west of the new intersection will now pass in front of the site. Once this new traffic is added, following the opening of the New Trim/Hwy 174 intersection, this segment of road is anticipated to be upgraded from a local roadway to a major collector between the old and new Trim/Jeanne D'Arc segment as part of the Trim Road realignment in support of Stage 2 LRT by the City.

The future projected 2029 volumes along this stretch are anticipated to be approximately 3,500 vehicles per day or 570 peak hour volumes which is consistent with a major collector road, capable of handling volumes between 2,500 to 5,000 daily or 300 to 600 peak hour volumes, based on City of Ottawa suggested guidelines. Once the intersection has been relocated, this segment of roadway should be retrofitted to major collector roadway standards. The geometric features will be confirmed during the Site Plan Application.

### 4.7. Transit

### 4.7.1. ROUTE CAPACITY

It is projected that 500 'new' two-way transit passenger trips per hour will be generated for the AM and PM peak hours. Considering the envisioned LRT East extension line is projected to begin operation in 2024 and assuming a similar capacity to that of the Confederation Line (600 passengers per train and 12 trains per hour during peak), it is anticipated that the future transit network will have sufficient capacity to accommodate the subject development transit demand. Additionally, added capacity is available on local bus routes on Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard and Trim Station.

### 4.7.2. TRANSIT PRIORITY

Since the Confederation LRT Line is grade separated, the development's driveways will not impact travel times. On average, the LRT stations are approximately 90 m long, providing enough station distance to efficiently load and off-load the passengers without creating delays.

### 4.8. Review of Network Concept

The proposed site is currently located within DR zoning (developmental reserve). Given that the maximum height allowed for DR is 11 m high (approximately 4 -storeys), a good portion of the development will be above that height and it is anticipated that more than 200 peak hour person trips more than the equivalent volume permits by the established zoning will be achieved.

Approximately 830 person trips are anticipated to be generated by the proposed development, as shown Section 3.1.2, with a large portion of these people trips surpassing the current zoning allowance. However, changes to the existing network are not expected, based on the close proximity of Trim Station, access to pedestrian and cycling facilities, and anticipated capacity on the adjacent road network to accommodate the proposed development traffic.

### 4.9. Intersection Design

### 4.9.1. INTERSECTION CONTROL

## See Section 4.4.2.

### 4.9.2. INTERSECTION DESIGN

## Multi-Modal Level of Service

As stated in the MMLOS Guidelines, only signalized intersections are considered for the intersection Level of Service measures. The Old Trim/Hwy 174 and New Trim/Hwy 174 intersections are signalized intersections within the study area. The Old Trim/Hwy 174 reflects existing MMLOS while New Trim/Hwy 174 reflects futurebuilt conditions once Trim Road is relocated further east. The MMLOS analysis is summarized in Table 15, with detailed analyses provided in Appendix I.

Table 15: MMLOS - Existing and Future Trim/Hwy 174

| Intersection | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian |  | Bicycle (BLoS) |  | Transit (TLoS) |  | Truck (TkLoS) |  |
|  | PLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | BLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | TLoS | Minimum Desirable Target | TkLoS | Minimum Desirable Target |
| Old Trim/Hwy 174 (Existing) | E | A | D | B | F | D | A | D |
| New Trim/Hwy 174 (Future) ${ }_{1}$ | F | A | D | B | F | D | A | D |

## Pedestrian

- Pedestrians have to cross up to eight lanes of traffic at Trim/Hwy 174. There are no options that can help improve the PLoS significantly enough to come anywhere near the target PLoS. A grade separated pathway, such as the addition of a north bridge from the Trim LRT Station would provide a secure pedestrian crossing.


## Bicycle

- Bike lanes are provided along east and west legs of the realigned Trim/OR-174 intersection. This intersection also features north and south bike lanes that are a part of the Spine Route of Ottawa, spine pocket bike lane on the north leg and major bike path lane on the south leg. The failure in BLoS at the intersection can be attributed to operating speed of vehicles. As such, there are no options that can help improve the BLoS significantly enough to meet the target BLoS.


## Transit

- Transit TLoS targets were not met for either existing or future as it relies on average signal delay. To reach the target goal, buses must wait no longer than 30 seconds at the intersection. Since the existing cycles are in the magnitude of 120-130 seconds, buses are anticipated to wait longer than 30 seconds.


## Truck

- Truck target level of service was met.

Direct north access to the Trim LRT Station would reduce walking and cycling distances to site and would provide increased pedestrian/cyclist safety by eliminating the at-grade crossing point at Trim/Hwy 174 intersection.

## Existing Intersection Performance

The following Table 16 provides a summary of the existing traffic operations at the study area intersection based on the Synchro (V10) traffic analysis software. The subject intersections were assessed in terms of the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio and the corresponding Level of Service (LoS) for the critical movement(s). The Synchro
model outputs of existing conditions are provided within Appendix J and the volumes used were obtained from Figure 5.

Table 16: Existing Intersection Performance

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Hwy 174 | E(C) | 0.96(0.78) | NBL(NBL) | 43.3(24.1) | D(C) | 0.88(0.76) |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | A(A) | 8(8) | NB(NB) | 8(7) | A(A) | - |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.9 and a saturation flow rate of $1800 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{lane}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As seen in Table 16, all intersections operate overall at acceptable LoS ' $D$ ' or better with critical movements operating at LoS ' $E$ ' or better during the existing conditions.

## Background Conditions 2029

The future background 2029 conditions are anticipated to operate worse than 2024 as more developments were accounted for and the future background volumes have been increased for a longer period. Since 2029 background is the more critical of the two scenarios, only 2029 will be analyzed. The future projected 2029 background volumes are illustrated in Figure 21 with projected operation outputs in Table 17. The detailed Synchro results can be found in Appendix K.

Figure 21: 2029 Background Projected Volumes


Table 17: 2029 Background Intersection Performance

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. $v / c$ or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Hwy 174 | E(E) | 0.94(0.92) | NBL(EBT) | 48.7(40.5) | E(D) | 0.91(0.89) |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | B(B) | 11(12) | NB(NB) | 10(11) | B(B) | - |
| Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | A(A) | 8(8) | EB(EB) | 8(8) | A(A) | - |

As seen in Table 17, all intersections operate overall at acceptable LoS ' $E$ ' or better with critical movements operating at LoS 'E' or better during the 2029 background volumes. Operations are similar with existing intersection performance.

## Future Conditions 2024

The future full build-out 2024 volumes were derived by superimposing background 2024 volumes which include other area developments and background growth, with future site-generated volumes. The future projected 2024 volumes are illustrated in Figure 22 with projected operation outputs in Table 18. The detailed Synchro results can be found in Appendix L.

Figure 22: 2024 Total Projected Volumes


PARSONS
Table 18: 2024 Full Build-out Intersection Performance

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Hwy 174 | D(D) | 0.90(0.85) | NBL(EBT) | 44.1(37.1) | D(D) | 0.85(0.81) |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | B(C) | 12(16) | WB(NB) | 11(14) | B(B) | - |
| Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | A(A) | 8(8) | EB(EB) | 8(8) | A(A) | - |
| Jeanne D'Arc/W Site Access | A(A) | 9(9) | SB(SB) | 1(1) | A(A) | - |
| Jeanne D'Arc/E Site Access | B(B) | 11(11) | SB(SB) | 4(2) | A(A) | - |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.0 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As seen in Table 18, all study area intersections are expected to operate similarly to existing conditions with acceptable delays.

## Future Conditions 2029

The future full build-out 2029 volumes were derived by superimposing background 2029 volumes which include other area developments and background growth, with future site-generated volumes. The future projected 2029 volumes are illustrated in Figure 23 with projected operation outputs in Table 19. The detailed Synchro results can be found in Appendix L.

Figure 23: 2029 Total Projected Volumes


Table 19: 2029 Full Build-out Intersection Performance

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Signalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Hwy 174 | E(E) | 0.96(0.92) | EBL(EBT) | 52.5(40.9) | E(D) | 0.94(0.89) |
| Unsignalized Intersections |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | B(C) | 13(22) | NB(NB) | 13(17) | B(C) | - |
| Old Trim/Jeanne D'Arc | A(A) | 8(8) | EB(EB) | 8(8) | A(A) | - |
| Jeanne D'Arc/W Site Access | B(B) | 10(10) | SB(SB) | 1(1) | A(A) | - |
| Jeanne D'Arc/E Site Access | B(B) | 12(12) | SB(SB) | 4(1) | A(A) | - |

As seen in Table 19, all study area intersections are expected to operate similarly to existing conditions with acceptable delays. New Trim/Hwy 174, overall, is nearing theoretical capacity, similar to 2029 background volumes, but still operates within accepted City standards.

A sensitivity analysis was completed using Sim Traffic to determine if queue lengths would spill back to upstream intersections, particularly the northbound queues on Trim Road leading to Jeanne D'arc. The intersection spacing between Jeanne D'arc and Hwy 174 on Trim Road was estimated to be approximately 190 m . The analysis showed the estimated northbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue was approximately 60 m and 250 m in the AM and PM peak hours respectively. The latter result exceeds the available spacing capacity. Different storage lane configurations were explored and are summarized in Table 20 below.

Table 20: 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Percentile Queue Northbound Approach New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc PM Peak

| Intersection |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Modification | 95 ${ }^{\text {th Percentile Queue PM Peak (m) }}$ |  |
|  | NBL Queue | NBR Queue |
| No storage lanes | $254_{1}$ | $254_{1}$ |
| 2 full lanes | 44 | 34 |
| 50m NBR storage, NBL full lane | 85 | 42 |
| 60m NBR storage, NBL full lane | 73 | 40 |
| 60m NBL storage, NBR full lane | 50 | 66 |
| 1.) NBL and NBR share a single lane, making the queues the same |  |  |

To reduce the potential northbound queue length and spill back onto Hwy 174, an auxiliary turn lane should be implemented on the northbound approach, with the preferred configuration of a 60 m left-turn storage which reduces the northbound $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue to approximately 65 m in the PM peak hour. These modifications would be incorporated into the ongoing detailed design for the future Trim/Jeanne D'arc intersection, as part of the Trim Road realignment in support of Stage 2 LRT by the City. The SimTraffic results have been included in Appendix M.

## Future Conditions if Custom Mode Share not Met

The trips generated based on Orléans mode share are shown in Figure 24 in the event that the custom mode shares are not met. The projected intersection performance for the critical scenario 2029 with Orléans mode shares is shown in Table 21 with detailed output in Appendix $\mathbf{N}$.

Figure 24: 2029 Total if Custom Mode Share not Met Projected Volumes


As seen in Table 21, most study area intersections are expected to operate similarly to existing conditions with the exception of New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc, which has a critical movement of LoS 'F' in the PM peak hour. Although this scenario is highly unlikely to occur once Trim LRT Station is open, the implementation of traffic signals may be required at this location to meet City standards if non-TOD mode splits were assumed. If the City is concerned of this unlikely outcome, it is recommended that the City include traffic signals at this location into the ongoing Trim Road realignment detailed design, for implementation on Day 1 of Stage 2 LRT.

## 5. Findings and Recommendations

Based on the results summarized herein the following findings and recommendations are provided:

## Existing Conditions

- Trim Road will be realigned further east as part of the Confederation LRT Line Extension and the addition of Trim LRT Station to be build less than 600 m walking distance from the proposed site.
- As a result, both New Trim/Hwy 174 and New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersections will be relocated further east. It is expected the existing portion of Inlet Private, along the proposed development frontage, will be modified by the City to match Jeanne D'Arc west of Trim; upgrading the local roadway classification to a major collector roadway classification.
- The detailed design of the planned Trim Road realignment as part of Stage 2 LRT is ongoing. Therefore, the relevant recommendations herein, upon confirmation by City staff, should be incorporated into these designs prior to implementation.
- Overall, there are no existing safety concerns along the proposed development frontage and the planned Trim Road realignment is expected to significantly alter the roadway landscape within the broader study area. Therefore, no mitigation measures were considered.
- Existing intersections operate at good overall LoS 'D' or better with critical movements of ' $E$ ' or better during the weekday peak hours.


## Proposed Development

- The proposed development will comprise of approximately 800 apartment units and $10,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of ground floor commercial/retail in three 28 to 32 -storey buildings with 4 -storey podium connecting the towers.
- The proposed development is projected to generate 'new' vehicle volumes of approximately $250 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ two-way total during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours.
- The proposed development is projected to generate approximately 500 'new' transit trips during the AM and PM peak hour periods, which can be accommodated by the nearby high-capacity Confederation LRT Line. Additional capacity is available on local bus routes departing Trim Station.
- TDM measures should be reviewed during the Site Plan Application to identify ways to leverage the site's proximity to rapid transit.
- A total of 711 parking spaces are proposed which would meet the City's minimum and maximum parking requirements for this development. Note, that the quantity of parking spaces and locations are subject to change and will be confirmed during the Site Plan Application.
- The proposed access to the site proposes two new full movement driveways off Jeanne D'Arc Boulevard, with at least 50 m separation between each other and 75 m to the nearest potential signalized intersection, which meet City By-Law requirements.


## Future Conditions

- Other nearby developments and a $1 \%$ growth rate were applied to existing volumes to estimate 2029 background conditions. The 2029 background overall intersection performance of all study area intersections was LoS ' $E$ ' or better and with critical movement of ' $E$ ' or better.
- Future conditions with the addition of 250 veh/h two-way vehicle trips and transit trips modelled as pedestrians heading to/from the site to Trim Station performed at acceptable levels of service with overall LoS ' $E$ ' or better and with critical movement of ' $E$ ' or better.
- The afternoon peak hour $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile queue on the northbound movement at New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc showed a high probability of queue spillback to Hwy 174. A 60 m northbound left-turn lane was shown to mitigate the anticipated queue in the 2029 horizon. To ensure the lowest probability of queue spillback impacts beyond the ultimate horizon set in this TIA, two northbound lanes would be required between Jeanne D'arc and Hwy 174. Whichever recommendation is chosen by City staff should be incorporated into the ongoing Trim Road realignment detailed design.
- If the custom modal shares are not met, the New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc intersection may need to be signalized to improve performance.
- The MMLOS road segment analysis shows that existing and future conditions on boundary streets do not meet MMLOS area targets for pedestrians due to high vehicular volumes in the future and lack of sidewalk on north side in the present. The bike and transit targets are met for both present and future.
- Pedestrian and cycling facilities are expected to be incorporated along the future Jeanne D'arc frontage, improving upon the existing design for Inlet Private. The expectation is that the planned Trim Road realignment by the City of Ottawa will incorporate appropriate pedestrian and cycling facilities along the Jeanne D'arc and Trim as part of Stage 2 LRT implementation.
- The MMLOS intersection analysis shows that only truck target goals are met at the Old and New Trim/Hwy 174 intersections. Given the fast operating speeds and number of lanes crossed, it is not possible to meet pedestrian and cyclist target goals without grade separating their crossing, such as a pedestrian/cyclist bridge over Hwy 174 between Trim Station and Old Trim Road to the north.

Based on the foregoing findings, the proposed development located at 1009 Trim is recommended from a transportation perspective.


## APPENDIX A

SCREENING FORM AND CITY COMMENTS

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines

## TIA Screening Form

| TIA Screening Form | Project <br> Results of Screening |
| :--- | ---: |
| Project Number | 477526 Trim Road Development |
| Development Satisfies the Trip Generation Trigger | Yes/No |
| Development Satisfies the Location Trigger | Yes |
| Development Satisfies the Safety Trigger | Yes |


| Module 1.1 - Description of Proposed Development |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipal Address | 1009 Trim Road Ottawa Ontario |
| Description of location | Vacant parcel located on the northeast corner of Trim/Jeanne <br>  <br> D'Arc/Inlet intersection |
| Land Use | existing - Development Reserve Zone (DR), proposed - medium to <br> high density residential |
| Development Size | proposes three towers, approximately 25 to 30 storeys (800 units), <br> with theoretical max 5 towers total (1250 units) |
| Number of Accesses and Locations | Single access off Inlet Private |
| Development Phasing | Two phases (assumed) |
| Buildout Year | 2024 |
| Sketch Plan / Site Plan | See attached |

Module 1.2 - Trip Generation Trigger
Land Use Type

| Development Size | 1250 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Trip Generation Trigor Met? | Yes |

## Module 1.3 - Location Triggers

Development Proposes a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit, or Spine Bicycle Networks (See Sheet 3)
Development is in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transitoriented Development (TOD) zone. (See Sheet 3) Yes
Location Trigger Met? Yes

| Module 1.4 - Safety Triggers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Posted Speed Limit on any boundary road <br> Horizontal / Vertical Curvature on a boundary street limits <br> sight lines at a proposed driveway | No | $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
| A proposed driveway is within the area of influence of an <br> adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of <br> intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of <br> intersection in urban/ suburban conditions) or within auxiliary <br> lanes of an intersection; <br> A proposed driveway makes use of an existing median break <br> that serves an existing site <br> There is a documented history of traffic operations or safety <br> concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the <br> development | Yes | No Will access new intersection from |
| The development includes a drive-thru facility | realigned Trim Road and OR-174 |  |
| intersection |  |  |
| Safety Trigger Met? |  |  |

17 June 2020
City of Ottawa
Development Review Services
110 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

Attention: Mike Giampa, P.Eng.
Dear Mike:

## Re: 1009 Trim Road <br> Step 2 - Comment and Response Form

The following response form has been prepared to address City of Ottawa comments received on June 3rd, 2020. City comments are noted in black with the corresponding responses from Parsons in Green.

## Transportation Engineering Services

Regarding the highlighted bullet on the narrowing of the ROW question that came up at the pre-consultation, the ROW at this location seems to be too wide ( 50 m ) and I don't see major issues with narrowing the ROW. I noticed that there is a sanitary sewer in the right of way as well (the section that they may want to buy). So, there may be concerns with selling that land from infrastructure perspective too. Noted

If the applicant would like to consider buying some of the land at this location from the City, please consult with real estate department regarding the process. This will most [likely] initiate another City process and be circulated to various City groups (transportation, infrastructure etc.) to ensure there are no issues of further interests with the narrowing of the ROW. Noted

My pre-consultation notes are as follows:

- Follow Traffic Impact Assessment Guidelines
- Please proceed to forecasting. Noted
- Applicant advised that their application will not be deemed complete until the submission of the draft step 1-4, including the functional draft RMA package (if applicable) and/or monitoring report (if applicable). Noted
- Request base mapping as soon as possible if RMA is required. Contact Engineering Services (https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/engineering-services [ottawa.ca]) Noted
- Site triangles at the following locations on the final plan will be required:
- Local to Arterial Road: 5 metre $\times 5$ metres Noted
- Good cycling and pedestrian connectivity in the area needs to be considered. Noted
- If the applicant wants to initiate the process of narrowing the ROW on Inlet Private/Jeanne D'arc east of Trim, please consult with real estate. A technical circulation to various City groups will be required to ensure there are no concerns with the narrowing. Note that there should be sufficient right of way to accommodate turning lanes (if required) and cycling/ped connections. Further review with regards to infrastructure may also be required. Noted
- Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
- Road Noted

20 July 2020
City of Ottawa
Development Review Services
110 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

## Attention: Neeti Paudel, P.Eng.

Dear Neeti:

## Re: 1009 Trim Road <br> Step 3 - Comment and Response Form

The following response form has been prepared to address City of Ottawa comments received on July 17th, 2020. City comments are noted in black with the corresponding responses from Parsons in Green.

## Transportation Engineering Services

## Section 2.1.2 Existing Conditions:

The Existing Study Area Intersections section notes that the northbound approach of Jeanne d'Arc Boulevard / Inlet Private / Trim Road includes a bike lane. However, this section does not mention all other bike lanes at study area intersections. There is a bike lane on the eastbound approach to the Jeanne d'Arc Boulevard / Inlet Private / Trim Road intersection, and bike lanes on the northbound and southbound approaches of the Trim Road / Highway 174 intersection. Noted, description expanded on "Pedestrian/Cycling Network" and "Existing Study Area Intersections" subheaders.

Sidewalks and bike lanes are not area traffic management measures. Noted
At the top of page 5, clarify what is meant by the phrase "Trim Road is part of a 'cycle track'". Noted, text adjusted.
Specify the exact locations of the Route \#38 bus stops "on both sides of Trim Road". "Transit Network" updated

Section 3.1.1 Trip Generation and Mode Shares and Section 3.1.2 Mode Shares:
Separate the pedestrian and cycling mode trips in Tables 5, 7 and 8. Noted

## Section 3.1.4 Trip Assignment:

Ensure that pedestrian trips are captured within intersection analysis, as applicable. While it is acknowledged that pedestrians and cyclists will make up a negligible portion of the commuting trips, these transit trips will begin as pedestrians or cyclists heading to Trim Station. Noted, will be included in analysis.

## Section 3.3 Demand Rationalization:

Include the background and total traffic at all horizon years as part of the demand rationalization module. Noted

## APPENDIX B

TRAFFIC COUNT DATA

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram
REGIONAL RD 174 @ TRIM RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 36942
Device: Miovision


Comments

REGIONAL RD 174 @ TRIM RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 36942
Device: Miovision


Comments

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
W.O.

36942

## Turning Movement Count - Heavy Vehicle Report

## REGIONAL RD 174 @ TRIM RD

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 19, 2017

| Time Period | TRIM RD |  |  |  |  |  | RT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | REGIONAL RD 174 |  |  |  |  |  |  | RT | $\begin{gathered} \text { w } \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | Grand <br> Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ \mathrm{TOT} \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 08:00 | 33 | 2 | 5 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 44 | 5 | 22 | 34 | 61 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 32 | 93 | 137 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 32 | 4 | 5 | 41 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 45 | 3 | 28 | 41 | 72 | 1 | 31 | 0 | 32 | 104 | 149 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 36 | 3 | 5 | 44 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 49 | 1 | 45 | 39 | 85 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 28 | 113 | 162 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 25 | 4 | 2 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 7 | 38 | 2 | 34 | 31 | 67 | 10 | 34 | 0 | 44 | 111 | 149 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 21 | 3 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 35 | 4 | 28 | 27 | 59 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 29 | 88 | 123 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 32 | 4 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 47 | 0 | 13 | 31 | 44 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 67 | 114 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 23 | 3 | 3 | 29 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 38 | 0 | 16 | 28 | 44 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 20 | 64 | 102 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 24 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 34 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 18 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 25 | 59 |
| Sub Total | 226 | 25 | 30 | 281 | 3 | 28 | 18 | 49 | 330 | 15 | 190 | 245 | 450 | 28 | 187 | 0 | 215 | 665 | 995 |
| U-Turns (Heav | $y$ Veh | cles) |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 226 | 25 | 30 | 0 | 3 | 28 | 18 | 49 | 330 | 15 | 190 | 245 | 450 | 28 | 187 | 0 | 215 | 665 | 995 |

Heavy Vehicles include Buses, Single-Unit Trucks and Articulated Trucks. Further, they ARE included in the Turning Movement Count Summary.

Turning Movement Count Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour

## Jeanne d'Arc Boulevard North \& Trim Road



## APPENDIX C

COLLISION DATA

| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning <br> Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | Single Vehicle (other) | Single vehicle (Unattended vehicle) | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.D. only | 46 | 4 | 16 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 85 |
| Non-fatal injury | 11 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| Fatal injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Non reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 57 | 4 | 18 | 10 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 2 | 104 |
| \#1 or 55\% |  | \#5 or 4\% | \#2 or 17\% | \#4 or 10\% | \#7 or 1\% | \#3 or 12\% | \#8 or 0\% | \#6 or 2\% |  |



| Classification of <br> Accident | Rear End | Turning <br> Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | Single Vehicle <br> (other) | Single vehicle <br> (nartended <br> vehicle) | Other | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.D. only | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Non-fatal injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Non reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | $\mathbf{2}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ | 0 |



| Years | Total \# Collisions | 24 Hr AADT Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-2018 | 3 | n/a | 1825 | n/a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | Single Vehicle (other) | Single vehicle (Unattended vehicle) | Other | Total |  |
| P.D. only | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 67\% |
| Non-fatal injury | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 33\% |
| Non reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 100\% |

## APPENDIX D

GENERAL SITE PLANS FOR PETRIE'S LANDING


## NEUF <br> 区 <br> BRIGIL

PETRIE'S LANDING I
PETRIE'S LAN
PHASES 3-5

${ }^{\text {nopapasi }} 1467$



## APPENDIX E

BACKGROUND VOLUME GROWTH

Trim/OR 174
8 hrs

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2007 | Wednesday 31 January | 322 | 242 | 4191 | 4602 | 5927 | 5317 | 8831 | 9110 | 38542 |
| 2008 | Friday 20 June | 618 | 391 | 4770 | 5319 | 6281 | 6058 | 10034 | 9935 | 43406 |
| 2010 | Friday 9 July | 744 | 722 | 5389 | 4539 | 6433 | 6484 | 9542 | 10363 | 44216 |
| 2012 | Friday 8 June | 329 | 441 | 4696 | 4430 | 5833 | 5818 | 8875 | 9044 | 39466 |
| 2017 | Wednesday 19 April | 590 | 518 | 4739 | 5742 | 5522 | 5570 | 10003 | 9024 | 41708 |



Trim/OR 174
AM Peak

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2007 | Wednesday 31 January | 50 | 32 | 626 | 402 | 1346 | 395 | 658 | 1651 | 5160 |
| 2008 | Friday 20 June | 34 | 14 | 649 | 439 | 1326 | 294 | 674 | 1836 | 5266 |
| 2010 | Friday 9 July | 42 | 46 | 819 | 454 | 1309 | 387 | 720 | 2003 | 5780 |
| 2012 | Friday 8 June | 62 | 64 | 875 | 414 | 1292 | 313 | 578 | 2016 | 5614 |
| 2017 | Wednesday 19 April | 48 | 51 | 807 | 537 | 1324 | 428 | 727 | 1890 | 5812 |



Trim/OR 174
PM Peak

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2007 | Wednesday 31 January | 144 | 50 | 455 | 788 | 672 | 1440 | 2018 | 911 | 6478 |
| 2008 | Friday 20 June | 64 | 60 | 494 | 1051 | 424 | 1354 | 2206 | 723 | 6376 |
| 2010 | Friday 9 July | 107 | 40 | 603 | 1007 | 664 | 1334 | 2131 | 1124 | 7010 |
| 2012 | Friday 8 June | 94 | 69 | 634 | 905 | 624 | 1353 | 2024 | 1049 | 6752 |
| 2017 | Wednesday 19 April | 56 | 61 | 587 | 801 | 657 | 1284 | 1839 | 993 | 6278 |


| North Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NB | SB | $N B+S B$ | INT | NB | SB | $N B+S B$ | INT |
|  | 2007 | 50 | 144 | 194 | 6478 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 60 | 64 | 124 | 6376 | 20.0\% | -55.6\% | -36.1\% | -1.6\% |
|  | 2010 | 40 | 107 | 147 | 7010 | -33.3\% | 67.2\% | 18.5\% | 9.9\% |
|  | 2012 | 69 | 94 | 163 | 6752 | 72.5\% | -12.1\% | 10.9\% | -3.7\% |
|  | 2017 | 61 | 56 | 117 | 6278 | -11.6\% | -40.4\% | -28.2\% | -7.0\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2007 | 2.00\% ${ }^{63}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 114 \\ 58 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll}166 & 6642 \\ 121 & 6475\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2017 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  |  | -6.52\% | -3.09\% | -0.25\% |  |  |  |  |
| West Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | $E B+W B$ | INT | EB | WB | $E B+W B$ | INT |
|  | 2007 | 2018 | 911 | 2929 | 6478 |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -1.6 \% \\ 9.9 \% \\ -3.7 \% \\ -7.0 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2008 | 2206 | 723 | 2929 | 6376 | 9.3\% | -20.6\% | 0.0\% |  |
|  | 2010 | 2131 | 1124 | 3255 | 7010 | -3.4\% | 55.5\% | 11.1\% |  |
|  | 2012 | 2024 | 1049 | 3073 | 6752 | -5.0\% | -6.7\% | -5.6\% |  |
|  | 2017 | 1839 | 993 | 2832 | 6278 | -9.1\% | -5.3\% | -7.8\% |  |
| Regression Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & 2017 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2148 \\ & 1874 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 898 \\ 1062 \end{array}$ | 3045 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate |  |  |  | 2936 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  | -1.35\% | 1.69\% | -0.37\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | $E B+W B$ | INT | EB | WB | $E B+W B$ | INT |
|  | 2007 | 1440 | 672 | 2112 | 6478 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 1354 | 424 | 1778 | 6376 | -6.0\% | -36.9\% | -15.8\% | -1.6\% |
|  | 2010 | 1334 | 664 | 1998 | 7010 | -1.5\% | 56.6\% | 12.4\% | 9.9\% |
|  | 2012 | 1353 | 624 | 1977 | 6752 | 1.4\% | -6.0\% | -1.1\% | -3.7\% |
|  | 2017 | 1284 | 657 | 1941 | 6278 | -5.1\% | 5.3\% | -1.8\% | -7.0\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2007 | 1398 | 575 | 1973 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2017 | 1279 | 663 | 1942 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change | -0.88\% |  | 1.43\% -0.16\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT | NB | SB | $N B+S B$ | INT |
|  | 2007 | 455 | 788 | 1243 | 6478 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2008 | 494 | 1051 | 1545 | 6376 | 8.6\% | 33.4\% | 24.3\% | -1.6\% |
|  | 2010 | 603 | 1007 | 1610 | 7010 | 22.1\% | -4.2\% | 4.2\% | 9.9\% |
|  | 2012 | 634 | 905 | 1539 | 6752 | 5.1\% | -10.1\% | -4.4\% | -3.7\% |
|  | 2017 | 587 | 801 | 1388 | 6278 | -7.4\% | -11.5\% | -9.8\% | -7.0\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2007 | 506 | 952 | 1458 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2017 | 634 | 842 | 1476 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change | 2.29\% |  | -1.22\% | 0.12\% |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX F

MMLOS ROAD SEGMENTS

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

| Consultant Scenario Comments | Parsons 1009 Trim Road |  | Project Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 477526-01000 } \\ & \text { 1-Aug-20 } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Existing | Existing | Future | Fututre | Future | Section | Section | Section | Section |
| SEGMENTS |  | Street A | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inlet Private } \mathrm{N} \\ & \text { Side } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Inlet Private S } \\ & \text { Side } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jeanne D'Arc N } \\ \text { Side } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Jeanne D'Arc S } \\ \text { Side } \end{array}$ | New Trim | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
|  | Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width | F | no sidewalk <br> n/a | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ & <0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\geq 2 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ & <0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ & <0.5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume |  | $\leq 3000$ | $\leq 3000$ | > 3000 | > 3000 | > 3000 |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operating Speed On-Street Parking |  | $\begin{array}{\|c} >30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & >30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline>30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline>30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ & \text { no } \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\substack{>30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no }}}{ }$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Exposure to Traffic PLos |  | F | B | c | c | c | - |  | . | . |
|  | Effective Sidewalk Width |  | 1.2 m | 2.0 m | 2.0 m | 2.0 m | 2.0 m |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pedestrian Volume |  | 250 ped/hr | 250 ped/hr | 1000 ped/hr | 1000 ped/hr | 1000 ped/hr |  |  |  |  |
|  | Crowding PLos |  | B | B | B | B | B | - | - | - | . |
|  | Level of Service |  | F | B | C | C | C | - | - | - | - |
|  | Type of Cycling Facility | A | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | Physically Separated | Physically Separated |  |  |  |  |
|  | Number of Travel Lanes |  | $\begin{aligned} & \leq 2 \text { (no } \\ & \text { centreline) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \leq 2 \text { (no } \\ & \text { centreline) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \leq 2 \text { (no } \\ \text { centreline) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Operating Speed |  | $>40$ to $<50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $>40$ to $<50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $>40$ to < $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | \# of Lanes \& Operating Speed Los |  | B | B | B | - | - | . | - | - | - |
|  | Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bike Lane Width Los |  | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdot$ | - | - | - |
|  | Bike Lane Blockages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blockage Los |  | . | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | . |
|  | Median Refuge Width (no median $=<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Sidestreet Operating Speed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest Los |  | . | - | - | A | A | - | - | - | . |
|  | Level of Service |  | - | - | - | A | A | - | - | - | - |
|  | Faciility Type | D | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Trafic | Mixed Trafic | Mixed Traftic |  |  |  |  |
|  | Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed |  | VtVp $\geq 0.8$ | $\mathrm{VtVp} \geq 0.8$ | $\mathrm{VtVp} \geq 0.8$ | $\mathrm{VtVp} \geq 0.8$ | V VVp $\geq 0.8$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Level of Service |  | D | D | D | D | D | - | - | - | - |
| 들 | Truck Lane Width Travel Lanes per Direction | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Level of Service |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

## APPENDIX G

WARRANT CHECKS

New Trim/Jeanne D'Arc - (peak hour signal warrant)

| Signal Warrant |  | Description |  | Minimum Requirement for Two Lane Roadways | Compliance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Restricted Flow Operating Speed Less Than 70 km/h | Sectional \% | Entire \% | Warrant |
|  | 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day, and | 720 | 66\% | 66\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { 66\% } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | (4) B | Vehicle Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 255 | 104\% |  |  |
|  | 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 720 | 29\% | 29\% |  |  |
|  |  | (2) B | Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume Crossing the Major Street for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 75 | 165\% |  |  |  |

Notes
1 Vehicle Volume Warrants (1A), (2A) and (5B) for Roadways Having Two or More Moving Lanes in one Direction Should Be 25\% Higher Than Values Given Above

No
2 For Definition of Crossing Volume Refer to Note 4 on the Signal Warrant Analysis Form B2.03.08
3 The Lowest Sectional Percentage Governs the Entire Warrant
4 For "T" Intersections the Warrant Values for Minor Street Should be Increased by 50\% (Warrant 1B only)

Yes

$\rightarrow$ Ul』」 MON


| AWSC Warrant |  | Description |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimum } \\ \text { Requirement for a 'T' } \\ \text { intersection } \end{gathered}$ | Compliance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sectional \% | Entire \% |  | Warrant |
|  | 1.Minimum Volume Criterion |  |  | A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day or | 200 | 239\% | 240\% | Yes |
|  |  | в | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for the Heaviest Peak Hour, and | 350 | 282\% |  |  |
|  |  | c | Vehicle and pedestrian Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours, and | 80 | 265\% |  |  |
|  |  | D | The volume split between the major and minor streets | 75/25 | 240\% |  |  |
|  | 2. <br> Minimum Collision Criterion | A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 9 | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |

Note: $\quad \begin{gathered}\boldsymbol{o} \text { prevertable by AWSC collisions (i.e. right angle and turning movement collisions) were reported during a } 3 \text { year } \\ \text { time period }\end{gathered}$


Existing


## APPENDIX H

TDM CHECKLIST

# TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: Residential Developments (multi-family or condominium) 

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| REQUIRED | The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance <br> that must be followed |
| BASIC | The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most <br> cases would benefit the development and its users |
| BETTER | The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable <br> modes, and optimize development performance |


| TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WALKING \& CYCLING: ROUTES |  |
|  | 1.1 | Building location \& access points |  |
| BASIC | 1.1.1 | Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances | Minimal visitor parking |
| BASIC | 1.1.2 | Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations | entrances towards road |
| BASIC | 1.1.3 | Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort | Modern design |
|  | 1.2 | Facilities for walking \& cycling |  |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.1 | Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3) | MUP and sidewalks proposed |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.2 | Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.12) | Internal pathways shown on current plan |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .3$ | Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) | Assumed to be built to city standards |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .4$ | Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) | Assumed to be built to city standards |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.5 | Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and onroad cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11) | MUP and sidewalks proposed connecting to other MUPs |
| BASIC | 1.2.6 | Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops | $\nabla$ MUP and sidewalks proposed connecting to Trim Station |
| BASIC | 1.2.7 | Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 1.2.8 | Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, or provide a separated cycling facility | $\square$ |
|  | 1.3 | Amenities for walking \& cycling |  |
| BASIC | 1.3.1 | Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 1.3.2 | Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious) | $\square$ |

TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments

Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
2. WALKING \& CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES

### 2.1 Bicycle parking

## REQUIRED

2.1.1 Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.6)
2.1.2 Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or wellused areas (see Zoning By-law Section 111)
2.1.3 Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than $50 \%$ of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored (see Zoning By-law Section 111)
BASIC
2.1.4 Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of resident-owned bicycles, plus the expected peak number of visitor cyclists

### 2.2 Secure bicycle parking

| REQUIRED | 2.2.1 | Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single residential building, locate at least $25 \%$ of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | Unknown at this time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BETTER | 2.2.2 | Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units at condominiums or multifamily residential developments | $\square$ |
|  | 2.3 | Bicycle repair station |  |
| BETTER | 2.3.1 | Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided) | $\square$ |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Customer amenities |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter | $\square$ |
| BETTER | 3.1.3 | Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building | $\square$ |


| TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4. | RIDESHARING |  |
|  | 4.1 | Pick-up \& drop-off facilities |  |
| BASIC | 4.1.1 | Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones | $\square$ |
|  | 5. | CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |
|  | 5.1 | Carshare parking spaces |  |
| BETTER | 5.1.1 | Provide up to three carshare parking spaces in an R3, R4 or R5 Zone for specified residential uses (see Zoning By-law Section 94) | $\square$ |
|  | 5.2 | Bikeshare station location |  |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 | Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection | $\square$ |
| - | 6. | PARKING |  |
|  | 6.1 | Number of parking spaces |  |
| REQUIRED | 6.1.1 | Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for | Quantity of parking unknown at this time, but assumed to meet |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 | Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 6.1.3 | Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (see Zoning By-law Section 104) | $\square$ |
| BETTER | 6.1.4 | Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ |
|  | 6.2 | Separate long-term \& short-term parking areas |  |
| BETTER | 6.2.1 | Provide separate areas for short-term and long-term parking (using signage or physical barriers) to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage residents from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa) | $\square$ |

## APPENDIX I

MMLOS INTERSECTIONS

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Intersections Form


## APPENDIX J

SYCNHRO: EXISTING CONDITIONS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 个个 | 「 | ${ }^{4}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | ${ }^{*}{ }^{1 / 1}$ | 性 |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | ${ }^{7}$ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 25 | 258 | 380 | 89 | 1143 | 12 | 760 | 31 | 42 | 14 | 49 | 20 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 25 | 258 | 380 | 89 | 1143 | 12 | 760 | 31 | 42 | 14 | 49 | 20 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 3383 | 0 | 3288 | 3060 | 0 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| FIt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 1694 | 3390 | 1496 | 1694 | 3383 | 0 | 3288 | 3060 | 0 | 1683 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 422 |  | 1 |  |  | 47 |  |  |  | 217 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 28 | 287 | 422 | 99 | 1283 | 0 | 844 | 81 | 0 | 16 | 54 | 22 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | Free |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（ $s$ ） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.1 | 41.2 |  | 17.5 | 41.2 |  | 12.2 | 42.4 |  | 11.9 | 17.4 | 17.4 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | 55.0 |  | 42.0 | 43.0 |  | 17.0 | 18.0 | 18.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 11．5\％ | 38．5\％ |  | 15．4\％ | 42．3\％ |  | 32．3\％ | 33．1\％ |  | 13．1\％ | 13．8\％ | 13．8\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.8 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.1 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 7.0 | 47.4 | 130.0 | 11.7 | 57.7 |  | 34.9 | 31.8 |  | 17.9 | 10.2 | 10.2 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.05 | 0.36 | 1.00 | 0.09 | 0.44 |  | 0.27 | 0.24 |  | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.08 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.65 | 0.86 |  | 0.96 | 0.10 |  | 0.07 | 0.39 | 0.07 |
| Control Delay | 67.6 | 30.8 | 0.5 | 77.3 | 41.6 |  | 68.5 | 24.4 |  | 41.1 | 65.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 67.6 | 30.8 | 0.5 | 77.3 | 41.6 |  | 68.5 | 24.4 |  | 41.1 | 65.2 | 0.4 |
| LOS | E | C | A | E | D |  | E | C |  | D | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 14.8 |  |  | 44.1 |  |  | 64.6 |  |  | 45.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 7.0 | 28.3 | 0.0 | 24.8 | ～183．6 |  | 109.5 | 2.7 |  | 4.0 | 13.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 17.1 | 39.8 | 0.0 | \＃45．5 | \＃228．1 |  | \＃149．7 | 12.5 |  | 8.8 | 27.0 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ m ） |  | 353.5 |  |  | 594.5 |  |  | 361.2 |  |  | 134.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 155.0 |  | 255.0 | 130.0 |  |  | 190.0 |  |  | 125.0 |  | 50.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 103 | 1236 | 1496 | 162 | 1500 |  | 886 | 1030 |  | 265 | 145 | 323 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.27 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.61 | 0.86 |  | 0.95 | 0.08 |  | 0.06 | 0.37 | 0.07 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $24(18 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBT and 6：WBT，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.96
Intersection Signal Delay: $43.3 \quad$ Intersection LOS: D

Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.6\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
Splits and Phases: 2: Trim \& Hwy-174


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{4}$ | 个个 | 「 | \％ | 瑯 |  | 4 | 性 |  | ${ }_{1}$ | $\uparrow$ | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 11 | 1068 | 1057 | 67 | 411 | 13 | 406 | 43 | 90 | 16 | 65 | 19 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 11 | 1068 | 1057 | 67 | 411 | 13 | 406 | 43 | 90 | 16 | 65 | 19 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 3375 | 0 | 3288 | 3014 | 0 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| FIt Permitted | 0.481 |  |  | 0.113 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 857 | 3390 | 1517 | 202 | 3375 | 0 | 3288 | 3014 | 0 | 1689 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 882 |  | 3 |  |  | 100 |  |  |  | 217 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 12 | 1187 | 1174 | 74 | 471 | 0 | 451 | 148 | 0 | 18 | 72 | 21 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA |  | Prot | NA |  | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 12.1 | 41.2 |  | 11.0 | 41.2 |  | 12.2 | 42.4 |  | 11.9 | 17.4 | 17.4 |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 54.0 |  | 16.0 | 54.0 |  | 33.0 | 43.0 |  | 17.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．3\％ | 41．5\％ |  | 12．3\％ | 41．5\％ |  | 25．4\％ | 33．1\％ |  | 13．1\％ | 20．8\％ | 20．8\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.8 | 2.1 |  | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 7.1 | 7.2 |  | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 | 7.4 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lead | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 70.4 | 65.5 | 130.0 | 77.0 | 71.8 |  | 22.9 | 23.4 |  | 15.6 | 11.5 | 11.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.54 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.59 | 0.55 |  | 0.18 | 0.18 |  | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.09 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.35 | 0.25 |  | 0.78 | 0.24 |  | 0.09 | 0.46 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 15.0 | 31.0 | 3.9 | 18.1 | 18.7 |  | 60.6 | 19.8 |  | 44.7 | 65.4 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 15.0 | 31.0 | 3.9 | 18.1 | 18.7 |  | 60.6 | 19.8 |  | 44.7 | 65.4 | 0.4 |
| LOS | B | C | A | B | B |  | E | B |  | D | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.5 |  |  | 18.6 |  |  | 50.6 |  |  | 49.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 1.3 | 128.5 | 0.0 | 7.9 | 29.8 |  | 57.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 18.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 4.8 | \＃198．4 | 0.0 | 17.6 | 57.7 |  | 72.1 | 16.7 |  | 10.0 | 32.6 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 353.5 |  |  | 594.5 |  |  | 361.2 |  |  | 134.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 155.0 |  | 255.0 | 130.0 |  |  | 190.0 |  |  | 125.0 |  | 50.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 536 | 1707 | 1517 | 237 | 1866 |  | 665 | 931 |  | 234 | 268 | 413 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.02 | 0.70 | 0.77 | 0.31 | 0.25 |  | 0.68 | 0.16 |  | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.05 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 125 （96\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.1 Intersection LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 72.7\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: Trim \& Hwy-174


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 7.5$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |


|  | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Movement |  | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ |  |  |  | $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $70 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $22 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $75 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $8 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 91 | 65 | 25 | 12 |
| LT Vol | 64 | 8 | 20 | 0 |
| Through Vol | 20 | 3 | 5 | 9 |
| RT Vol | 7 | 54 | 0 | 3 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 101 | 72 | 28 | 13 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.118 | 0.074 | 0.034 | 0.015 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.213 | 3.68 | 4.35 | 4.036 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 848 | 961 | 815 | 879 |
| Service Time | 2.252 | 1.749 | 2.42 | 2.098 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.119 | 0.075 | 0.034 | 0.015 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.8 | 7 | 7.6 | 7.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh $\quad 7.3$ |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 7 | 4 | 87 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 7 | 4 | 87 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 51 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 8 | 4 | 97 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 12 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.1 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 7.7 |  |  | 7.2 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $76 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $12 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $69 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $12 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 67 | 98 | 10 | 16 |
| LT Vol | 51 | 7 | 8 | 1 |
| Through Vol | 8 | 4 | 2 | 11 |
| RT Vol | 8 | 87 | 0 | 4 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 74 | 109 | 11 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.088 | 0.108 | 0.013 | 0.02 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.238 | 3.584 | 4.339 | 4.063 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 844 | 992 | 818 | 876 |
| Service Time | 2.269 | 1.637 | 2.4 | 2.11 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.088 | 0.11 | 0.013 | 0.021 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.7 | 7.1 | 7.5 | 7.2 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.1 |

## APPENDIX K

SYCNHRO: BACKGROUND CONDITIONS

|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 『＇ | \％ | 4种 | 『゙ | \％\％ | 4 | 『＇ | \％ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 157 | 356 | 5 | 114 | 1492 | 20 | 1086 | 63 | 61 | 39 | 126 | 263 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 157 | 356 | 5 | 114 | 1492 | 20 | 1086 | 63 | 61 | 39 | 126 | 263 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 4871 | 1517 | 4780 | 1784 | 1517 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.098 |  |  | 0.483 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 175 | 3390 | 1185 | 559 | 4871 | 1466 | 4490 | 1784 | 1317 | 1053 | 1784 | 1486 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 266 |  |  | 266 |  |  | 266 |  |  | 266 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 157 | 356 | 5 | 114 | 1492 | 20 | 1086 | 63 | 61 | 39 | 126 | 263 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | ， |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | ， |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 25.2 |  | 12.5 | 25.2 |  | 12.2 | 25.4 |  | 11.9 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 14.0 | 48.8 |  | 16.8 | 51.6 |  | 39.0 | 50.1 |  | 14.3 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 10．8\％ | 37．5\％ |  | 12．9\％ | 39．7\％ |  | 30．0\％ | 38．5\％ |  | 11．0\％ | 19．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 52.6 | 42.2 | 130.0 | 52.0 | 43.4 | 130.0 | 31.6 | 45.4 | 130.0 | 7.0 | 18.0 | 130.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.40 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.35 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.88 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.92 | 0.01 | 0.94 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.18 |
| Control Delay | 72.3 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 51.4 | 0.0 | 63.2 | 30.8 | 0.1 | 74.3 | 60.0 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 72.3 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 51.4 | 0.0 | 63.2 | 30.8 | 0.1 | 74.3 | 60.0 | 0.3 |
| LOS | E | C | A | C | D | A | E | C | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.5 |  |  | 48.9 |  |  | 58.3 |  |  | 24.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 24.8 | 36.4 | 0.0 | 17.0 | 132.3 | 0.0 | 96.9 | 11.2 | 0.0 | 9.8 | 30.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃68．9 | 49.6 | 0.0 | 28.9 | 152.6 | 0.0 | \＃122．5 | 21.9 | 0.0 | 21.8 | 50.7 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 572.1 |  |  | 692.6 |  |  | 218.7 |  |  | 259.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 200.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 178 | 1101 | 1185 | 306 | 1663 | 1466 | 1170 | 622 | 1317 | 96 | 247 | 1486 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.90 | 0.01 | 0.93 | 0.10 | 0.05 | 0.41 | 0.51 | 0.18 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 48.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 96．2\％ |  |  |  |  | Level of | vice F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．

Splits and Phases：2：New Trim \＆Hwy－174


|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 4束 | 『゙ | ＊＊＊ | 4 | 「 | \％ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 228 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 35 | 539 | 115 | 151 | 37 | 126 | 216 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 228 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 35 | 539 | 115 | 151 | 37 | 126 | 216 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 4871 | 1517 | 4780 | 1784 | 1517 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.368 |  |  | 0.075 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 640 | 3390 | 1185 | 134 | 4871 | 1466 | 4490 | 1784 | 1317 | 1098 | 1784 | 1486 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 228 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 35 | 539 | 115 | 151 | 37 | 126 | 216 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | ， |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | ， |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 25.2 |  | 12.5 | 25.2 |  | 12.2 | 25.4 |  | 11.9 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 19.0 | 66.6 |  | 15.0 | 62.6 |  | 23.0 | 34.3 |  | 14.1 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 14．6\％ | 51．2\％ |  | 11．5\％ | 48．2\％ |  | 17．7\％ | 26．4\％ |  | 10．8\％ | 19．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 72.0 | 58.6 | 130.0 | 63.2 | 55.7 | 130.0 | 16.3 | 33.0 | 130.0 | 6.8 | 18.0 | 130.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.55 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.25 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{C}$ Ratio | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 17.5 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 63.4 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 43.0 | 0.2 | 74.2 | 60.0 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 17.5 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 63.4 | 24.8 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 43.0 | 0.2 | 74.2 | 60.0 | 0.2 |
| LOS | B | D | A | E | C | A | E | D | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 40.4 |  |  | 30.2 |  |  | 56.5 |  |  | 27.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 26.9 | 172.2 | 0.0 | 17.4 | 36.1 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 25.2 | 0.0 | 9.3 | 30.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 40.8 | \＃208．3 | 0.0 | \＃53．3 | 45.5 | 0.0 | \＃70．8 | 42.8 | 0.0 | 21.0 | 50.7 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 572.1 |  |  | 692.6 |  |  | 218.7 |  |  | 259.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 200.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 463 | 1548 | 1185 | 158 | 2090 | 1466 | 599 | 453 | 1317 | 93 | 247 | 1486 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ， | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.49 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.29 | 0.02 | 0.90 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.15 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $39(30 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 40.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 95．4\％ |  |  |  |  | Level of | vice F |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．
Splits and Phases：2：New Trim \＆Hwy－174


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 10.4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations $\uparrow$ |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h 5 |  | 131 | 295 | 9 | 151 | 103 |
| Future Vol, veh/h 5 |  | 131 | 295 | 9 | 151 | 103 |
| Peak Hour Factor 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% |  | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow |  | 131 | 295 | 9 | 151 | 103 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right NB |  |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right |  |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.3 |  | 11.4 |  | 10.4 |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  | B |  | B |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 59\% | 0\% | 97\% |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 0\% | 4\% | 3\% |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 41\% | 96\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 254 | 136 | 304 |  |  |
| LT Vol |  | 151 | 0 | 295 |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 0 | 5 | 9 |  |  |
| RT Vol |  | 103 | 131 | 0 |  |  |
| Lane Flow Rate |  | 254 | 136 | 304 |  |  |
| Geometry Grp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Degree of Util (X) |  | 0.341 | 0.165 | 0.415 |  |  |
| Departure Headway (Hd) |  | 4.84 | 4.367 | 4.911 |  |  |
| Convergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Cap |  | 739 | 815 | 730 |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 2.895 | 2.429 | 2.964 |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.344 | 0.167 | 0.416 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 10.4 | 8.3 | 11.4 |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOSHCM 95th-tile Q |  | B | A | B |  |  |
|  |  | 1.5 | 0.6 | 2 |  |  |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.9 |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 138 | 166 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 128 | 146 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 138 | 166 | 14 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.157 | 0.184 | 0.018 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.6 | 4.096 | 3.988 | 4.552 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 872 | 896 | 791 |
| Service Time | 2.601 | 2.139 | 2.029 | 2.552 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.158 | 0.185 | 0.018 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 7.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |

1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 10.6 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 202 | 183 | 3 | 118 | 252 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 202 | 183 | 3 | 118 | 252 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 9 | 202 | 183 | 3 | 118 | 252 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.2 |  | 10.3 |  | 11.5 |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  | B |  | B |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 32\% | 0\% | 98\% |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 0\% | 4\% | 2\% |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 68\% | 96\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 370 | 211 | 186 |  |  |
| LT Vol |  | 118 | 0 | 183 |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 0 | 9 | 3 |  |  |
| RT Vol |  | 252 | 202 | 0 |  |  |
| Lane Flow Rate |  | 370 | 211 | 186 |  |  |
| Geometry Grp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Degree of Util ( X ) |  | 0.465 | 0.262 | 0.271 |  |  |
| Departure Headway (Hd) |  | 4.521 | 4.476 | 5.244 |  |  |
| Convergence, $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Cap |  | 792 | 793 | 679 |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 2.579 | 2.55 | 3.321 |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.467 | 0.266 | 0.274 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 11.5 | 9.2 | 10.3 |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOSHCM 95th-ile Q |  | B | A | B |  |  |
|  |  | 2.5 | 1 | 1.1 |  |  |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.4 |  |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 209 | 123 | 18 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 200 | 115 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 209 | 123 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.236 | 0.14 | 0.023 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.671 | 4.066 | 4.083 | 4.624 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 880 | 872 | 779 |
| Service Time | 2.672 | 2.106 | 2.139 | 2.624 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.237 | 0.141 | 0.023 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 7.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.9 | 0.5 | 0.1 |

## APPENDIX L

SYCNHRO: FUTURE PROPOSED CONDITIONDS


Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $60 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $40 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 258 | 261 | 303 |
| LT Vol | 155 | 0 | 294 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| RT Vol | 103 | 256 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 258 | 261 | 303 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.365 | 0.319 | 0.428 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.094 | 4.405 | 5.087 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 699 | 806 | 700 |
| Service Time | 3.179 | 2.482 | 3.166 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.369 | 0.324 | 0.433 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.1 | 9.6 | 12 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 1.7 | 1.4 | 2.2 |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 10 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.4 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 95 | 110 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 85 | 90 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 95 | 110 | 14 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.107 | 0.12 | 0.017 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.296 | 4.061 | 3.919 | 4.255 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 882 | 913 | 830 |
| Service Time | 2.386 | 2.089 | 1.948 | 2.34 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.108 | 0.12 | 0.017 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 7.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.1 |

5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access


6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access


|  | $y$ |  |  |  |  |  | $4$ |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 4乐4 | 「 | \％＊＊ | 4 | F＇ | \％ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 320 | 1282 | 5 | 109 | 532 | 43 | 512 | 146 | 130 | 35 | 125 | 198 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 320 | 1282 | 5 | 109 | 532 | 43 | 512 | 146 | 130 | 35 | 125 | 198 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 4871 | 1517 | 4780 | 1784 | 1517 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.368 |  |  | 0.086 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 640 | 3390 | 1180 | 153 | 4871 | 1460 | 1722 | 1784 | 1315 | 1123 | 1784 | 1270 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 329 |  |  | 329 |  |  | 329 |  |  | 329 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 320 | 1282 | 5 | 109 | 532 | 43 | 512 | 146 | 130 | 35 | 125 | 198 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（ $s$ ） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 25.2 |  | 12.5 | 25.2 |  | 12.2 | 25.4 |  | 11.9 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 27.0 | 65.4 |  | 15.2 | 53.6 |  | 24.0 | 35.4 |  | 14.0 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 20．8\％ | 50．3\％ |  | 11．7\％ | 41．2\％ |  | 18．5\％ | 27．2\％ |  | 10．8\％ | 19．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 74.0 | 57.9 | 130.0 | 56.7 | 49.3 | 130.0 | 17.0 | 33.6 | 130.0 | 6.9 | 18.0 | 130.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.57 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.44 | 0.38 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.26 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.63 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 0.69 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.82 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.39 | 0.51 | 0.16 |
| Control Delay | 20.8 | 38.8 | 0.0 | 47.3 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 43.2 | 0.2 | 72.1 | 59.8 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 20.8 | 38.8 | 0.0 | 47.3 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 43.2 | 0.2 | 72.1 | 59.8 | 0.3 |
| LOS | C | D | A | D | C | A | E | D | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 35.1 |  |  | 30.3 |  |  | 51.3 |  |  | 28.1 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 42.5 | 154.6 | 0.0 | 12.9 | 35.5 | 0.0 | 45.2 | 32.0 | 0.0 | 8.7 | 30.1 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 59.8 | 181.4 | 0.0 | \＃39．4 | 45.7 | 0.0 | \＃61．9 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 19.9 | 50.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ $m$ ） |  | 572.1 |  |  | 692.6 |  |  | 218.7 |  |  | 259.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 200.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 536 | 1529 | 1180 | 160 | 1847 | 1460 | 636 | 461 | 1315 | 95 | 247 | 1270 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.60 | 0.84 | 0.00 | 0.68 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.81 | 0.32 | 0.10 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.16 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $39(30 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 37.1 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：DICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 93．5\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases：$\quad$ 2：New Trim \＆Hwy－174


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private


3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |
| Trafic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 8 | 124 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.8 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.5 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $91 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 132 | 88 | 18 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 8 | 0 | 13 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 124 | 80 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 132 | 88 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.148 | 0.098 | 0.021 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.426 | 4.043 | 4.009 | 4.286 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 887 | 891 | 822 |
| Service Time | 2.426 | 2.07 | 2.045 | 2.382 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.149 | 0.099 | 0.022 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.4 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.1 |

5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access


6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access


2：New Trim \＆Hwy－174

|  | ب |  | \％ |  |  |  | $4$ | 9 | $1$ | $t$ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | k | 中年 | ＊ | k | 中虫 | 「 | \％＊＊ | 4 | 「 | \％ | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 206 | 356 | 5 | 114 | 1492 | 24 | 1086 | 77 | 61 | 48 | 162 | 389 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 206 | 356 | 5 | 114 | 1492 | 24 | 1086 | 77 | 61 | 48 | 162 | 389 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 4871 | 1517 | 4780 | 1784 | 1517 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.096 |  |  | 0.519 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 171 | 3390 | 1180 | 556 | 4871 | 1460 | 1875 | 1784 | 1315 | 1066 | 1784 | 1270 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |  |  | 279 |  |  | 323 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 206 | 356 | 5 | 114 | 1492 | 24 | 1086 | 77 | 61 | 48 | 162 | 389 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 25.2 |  | 12.5 | 25.2 |  | 12.2 | 25.4 |  | 11.9 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 18.0 | 49.8 |  | 16.8 | 48.6 |  | 38.0 | 47.1 |  | 16.3 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 13．8\％ | 38．3\％ |  | 12．9\％ | 37．4\％ |  | 29．2\％ | 36．2\％ |  | 12．5\％ | 19．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 56.2 | 43.0 | 130.0 | 50.0 | 41.4 | 130.0 | 30.8 | 43.4 | 130.0 | 8.2 | 18.0 | 130.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.43 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.24 | 0.33 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.96 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.39 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.45 | 0.66 | 0.31 |
| Control Delay | 85.0 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 32.9 | 0.1 | 71.9 | 66.6 | 0.6 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 85.0 | 33.6 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 59.0 | 0.0 | 67.6 | 32.9 | 0.1 | 71.9 | 66.6 | 0.6 |
| LOS | F | C | A | C | E | A | E | C | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 52.0 |  |  | 55.8 |  |  | 62.1 |  |  | 24.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 37.4 | 36.0 | 0.0 | 16.8 | 137.4 | 0.0 | 98.0 | 14.2 | 0.0 | 12.0 | 39.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃86．0 | 49.0 | 0.0 | 28.5 | \＃169．0 | 0.0 | \＃126．0 | 26.6 | 0.0 | 24.9 | 63.5 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 572.1 |  |  | 692.6 |  |  | 218.7 |  |  | 259.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 200.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 214 | 1121 | 1180 | 297 | 1551 | 1460 | 1132 | 595 | 1315 | 122 | 247 | 1270 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.96 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.38 | 0.96 | 0.02 | 0.96 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.39 | 0.66 | 0.31 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $0(0 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.96 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 52.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 102．4\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service G |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．

Splits and Phases：2：New Trim \＆Hwy－174


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $68 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $32 \%$ | $98 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 321 | 307 | 304 |
| LT Vol | 018 | 0 | 295 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| RT Vol | 103 | 302 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 321 | 307 | 304 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.482 | 0.404 | 0.462 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.408 | 4.732 | 5.467 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 668 | 761 | 660 |
| Service Time | 3.441 | 2.766 | 3.501 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.481 | 0.403 | 0.461 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.4 | 11 | 13.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 2.6 | 2 | 2.4 |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 7.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.9 |  |  |  | 8 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 141 | 175 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 131 | 155 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 141 | 175 | 14 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.161 | 0.194 | 0.018 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.626 | 4.103 | 3.994 | 4.577 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 870 | 894 | 787 |
| Service Time | 2.627 | 2.147 | 2.036 | 2.577 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.162 | 0.196 | 0.018 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 | 7.9 | 8 | 7.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |

5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access


6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\mathbf{t}$ | $\mathbf{t}$ |  | 1r |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 1 | 146 | 163 | 67 | 162 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 1 | 146 | 163 | 67 | 162 | 4 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 1 | 146 | 163 | 67 | 162 | 4 |



| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1338 | - | - | - | 655 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.001 | - | - | - | 0.253 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.7 | 0 | - | - | 12.4 |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | - | B |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - | - | 1 |



2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

|  | $4$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | + | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 44 | 「 | \% | 444 | 7 | 7** | 4 | 7 | $\cdots$ | 4 | \# |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 355 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 44 | 539 | 151 | 151 | 40 | 140 | 264 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 355 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 44 | 539 | 151 | 151 | 40 | 140 | 264 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 4871 | 1517 | 4780 | 1784 | 1517 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.335 |  |  | 0.085 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 584 | 3390 | 1180 | 152 | 4871 | 1460 | 1785 | 1784 | 1315 | 1127 | 1784 | 1270 |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  | 329 |  |  | 329 |  |  | 329 |  |  | 329 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 355 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 44 | 539 | 151 | 151 | 40 | 140 | 264 |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | Free | pm+pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 11.0 | 25.2 |  | 12.5 | 25.2 |  | 12.2 | 25.4 |  | 11.9 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 29.0 | 66.6 |  | 15.0 | 52.6 |  | 23.0 | 34.1 |  | 14.3 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 22.3\% | 51.2\% |  | 11.5\% | 40.5\% |  | 17.7\% | 26.2\% |  | 11.0\% | 19.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Min |  | None | C-Min |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 74.6 | 58.6 | 130.0 | 56.0 | 48.5 | 130.0 | 16.3 | 30.1 | 130.0 | 7.0 | 18.0 | 130.0 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.57 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.43 | 0.37 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.71 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.44 | 0.57 | 0.21 |
| Control Delay | 23.1 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 66.3 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 46.7 | 0.2 | 74.9 | 62.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 23.1 | 44.3 | 0.0 | 66.3 | 30.2 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 46.7 | 0.2 | 74.9 | 62.2 | 0.4 |
| LOS | C | D | A | E | C | A | E | D | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 39.9 |  |  | 34.6 |  |  | 56.6 |  |  | 26.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 45.9 | 172.2 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 39.8 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 33.9 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 34.0 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 65.8 | \#208.3 | 0.0 | \#55.6 | 52.1 | 0.0 | \#70.8 | 54.5 | 0.0 | 22.3 | 55.6 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 572.1 |  |  | 692.6 |  |  | 218.7 |  |  | 259.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 200.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 534 | 1548 | 1180 | 158 | 1815 | 1460 | 599 | 413 | 1315 | 96 | 247 | 1270 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.82 | 0.33 | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.57 | 0.21 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: $39(30 \%)$, Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 40.9 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 98.8\% ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 17.2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | ち |  |  | * | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 267 | 183 | 3 | 290 | 252 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 267 | 183 | 3 | 290 | 252 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 9 | 267 | 183 | 3 | 290 | 252 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 11.5 |  | 11.8 |  | 22 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 54\% | 0\% | 98\% |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 46\% | 97\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 542 | 276 | 186 |  |  |
| LT Vol |  | 290 | 0 | 183 |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 0 | 9 | 3 |  |  |
| RT Vol |  | 252 | 267 | 0 |  |  |
| Lane Flow Rate |  | 542 | 276 | 186 |  |  |
| Geometry Grp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Degree of Util (X) |  | 0.756 | 0.395 | 0.312 |  |  |
| Departure Headway (Hd) |  | 5.021 | 5.147 | 6.03 |  |  |
| Convergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Cap |  | 721 | 698 | 595 |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 3.057 | 3.195 | 4.083 |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.752 | 0.395 | 0.313 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 22 | 11.5 | 11.8 |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | C | B | B |  |  |
| HCM 95th-tile Q |  | 7 | 1.9 | 1.3 |  |  |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\$$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.4 |  |  |  | 7.8 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.8 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 218 | 126 | 18 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 209 | 118 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 218 | 126 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.246 | 0.143 | 0.023 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.695 | 4.068 | 4.09 | 4.648 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 879 | 869 | 775 |
| Service Time | 2.696 | 2.108 | 2.148 | 2.648 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.248 | 0.145 | 0.023 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.7 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 7.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.1 |

5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access


6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access



Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 4506 | 4545 | 4528 | 4591 | 4386 | 4435 | 4688 |
| Vehs Exited | 4467 | 4495 | 4506 | 4524 | 4362 | 4380 | 4643 |
| Starting Vehs | 146 | 163 | 125 | 142 | 168 | 116 | 161 |
| Ending Vehs | 185 | 213 | 147 | 209 | 192 | 171 | 206 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 3491 | 3517 | 3533 | 3552 | 3405 | 3437 | 3669 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 195.2 | 225.6 | 182.8 | 166.7 | 183.1 | 164.4 | 201.1 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 143.1 | 173.5 | 130.4 | 113.7 | 132.6 | 112.9 | 146.7 |
| Total Stops | 6792 | 5566 | 5992 | 5930 | 5373 | 5724 | 6693 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 466.6 | 499.6 | 463.8 | 450.3 | 455.3 | 439.0 | 490.1 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 4589 | 4663 | 4542 | 4545 |
| Vehs Exited | 4539 | 4544 | 4423 | 4489 |
| Starting Vehs | 147 | 128 | 142 | 140 |
| Ending Vehs | 197 | 247 | 261 | 197 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 3609 | 3585 | 3502 | 3530 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 182.8 | 201.4 | 208.7 | 191.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 129.5 | 148.0 | 156.6 | 138.7 |
| Total Stops | 6428 | 6336 | 6128 | 6095 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 468.4 | 480.3 | 482.8 | 469.6 |

Interval \#O Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 57$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording


Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 07$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 07$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 4589 | 4663 | 4542 | 4545 |
| Vehs Exited | 4539 | 4544 | 4423 | 4489 |
| Starting Vehs | 147 | 128 | 142 | 140 |
| Ending Vehs | 197 | 247 | 261 | 197 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 3609 | 3585 | 3502 | 3530 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 182.8 | 201.4 | 208.7 | 191.2 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 129.5 | 148.0 | 156.6 | 138.7 |
| Total Stops | 6428 | 6336 | 6128 | 6095 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 468.4 | 480.3 | 482.8 | 469.6 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 54.5 | 122.2 | 70.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 44.8 | 65.9 | 34.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.9 | 135.6 | 60.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 135.2 | 253.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 26 | 12 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 81 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 87.9 | 60.9 | 45.7 | 14.8 | 150.2 | 240.0 | 237.3 | 219.3 | 37.4 | 119.3 | 120.5 | 120.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 43.4 | 29.8 | 23.1 | 0.5 | 55.4 | 158.7 | 156.7 | 145.0 | 7.3 | 113.3 | 110.7 | 102.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 76.6 | 47.8 | 40.9 | 7.5 | 155.0 | 245.1 | 241.0 | 230.0 | 31.5 | 119.1 | 124.8 | 133.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 |  | 0 | 21 |  | 56 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 23 |  | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 105.9 | 7.1 | 157.4 | 244.7 | 37.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 24.9 | 0.2 | 41.1 | 151.7 | 32.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 81.2 | 3.6 | 137.5 | 266.3 | 50.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 13 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  | 0 | 56 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 13 |  | 0 | 243 | 4 |

Intersection: 3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.4 | 19.0 | 10.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 13.2 | 9.9 | 3.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 40.5 | 14.2 | 11.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 145.1 | 42.8 | 96.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access

| Movement | EB | B9 | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | T | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.2 | 16.0 | 12.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 7.3 | 3.3 | 3.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 35.5 | 21.6 | 11.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.9 | 42.8 | 79.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 10 | 3 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 14 | 5 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.9 | 63.3 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 10.3 | 32.0 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.8 | 70.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.9 | 64.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 12 | 17 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 18 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Trim Rd \& Taylor Creek/Dairy

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ |
| Average Queue $(m)$ |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist ( $m$ ) |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 11: Dairy/New Trim

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ |
| Average Queue $(m)$ |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 15:
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$
Average Queue m$)$
95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$
Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$
Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$
Storage Blk Time $(\%)$
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 17: Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | R | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.4 | 416.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.1 | 39.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.4 | 281.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 130.2 | 571.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  | 17 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 444

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 5039 | 5002 | 5218 | 5097 | 4982 | 5026 | 5135 |
| Vehs Exited | 5042 | 4974 | 5151 | 5112 | 4954 | 4999 | 5063 |
| Starting Vehs | 137 | 111 | 148 | 163 | 135 | 105 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 134 | 139 | 215 | 148 | 163 | 132 | 191 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4271 | 4228 | 4400 | 4305 | 4247 | 4248 | 4377 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 154.1 | 140.8 | 218.6 | 159.1 | 146.5 | 148.7 | 169.8 |
| Total Delay $(\mathrm{hr})$ | 90.6 | 77.7 | 153.1 | 94.3 | 83.3 | 84.8 | 104.6 |
| Total Stops | 5166 | 4811 | 5407 | 5252 | 4848 | 5133 | 5587 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 491.8 | 473.7 | 554.5 | 497.9 | 480.5 | 480.3 | 507.5 |

Summary of All Intervals

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 5084 | 5099 | 5099 | 5077 |
| Vehs Exited | 5074 | 5052 | 5063 | 5047 |
| Starting Vehs | 103 | 128 | 106 | 120 |
| Ending Vehs | 113 | 175 | 142 | 152 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4264 | 4265 | 4324 | 4293 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 145.9 | 163.9 | 152.4 | 160.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 81.7 | 99.8 | 88.1 | 95.8 |
| Total Stops | 4976 | 5022 | 5116 | 5130 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 485.5 | 499.2 | 492.2 | 496.3 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 57$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | 7:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End Time | 8:07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 5039 | 5002 | 5218 | 5097 | 4982 | 5026 | 5135 |
| Vehs Exited | 5042 | 4974 | 5151 | 5112 | 4954 | 4999 | 5063 |
| Starting Vehs | 137 | 111 | 148 | 163 | 135 | 105 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 134 | 139 | 215 | 148 | 163 | 132 | 191 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4271 | 4228 | 4400 | 4305 | 4247 | 4248 | 4377 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 154.1 | 140.8 | 218.6 | 159.1 | 146.5 | 148.7 | 169.8 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 90.6 | 77.7 | 153.1 | 94.3 | 83.3 | 84.8 | 104.6 |
| Total Stops | 5166 | 4811 | 5407 | 5252 | 4848 | 5133 | 5587 |
| Fuel Used (I) | 491.8 | 473.7 | 554.5 | 497.9 | 480.5 | 480.3 | 507.5 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 07$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 07$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 5084 | 5099 | 5099 | 5077 |
| Vehs Exited | 5074 | 5052 | 5063 | 5047 |
| Starting Vehs | 103 | 128 | 106 | 120 |
| Ending Vehs | 113 | 175 | 142 | 152 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4264 | 4265 | 4324 | 4293 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 145.9 | 163.9 | 152.4 | 160.0 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 81.7 | 99.8 | 88.1 | 95.8 |
| Total Stops | 4976 | 5022 | 5116 | 5130 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 485.5 | 499.2 | 492.2 | 496.3 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 54.2 | 38.8 | 222.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.2 | 18.0 | 120.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 53.6 | 31.4 | 247.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 135.2 | 253.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 5 |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 13 |  | 10 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 152.5 | 217.8 | 210.2 | 26.0 | 62.3 | 64.2 | 61.1 | 52.2 | 14.7 | 114.4 | 110.2 | 98.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 71.4 | 125.1 | 120.8 | 1.0 | 29.8 | 42.3 | 37.2 | 19.8 | 0.6 | 92.1 | 79.1 | 60.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 144.3 | 206.1 | 199.9 | 10.8 | 56.8 | 59.5 | 56.6 | 45.6 | 8.3 | 124.5 | 115.9 | 103.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 3 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 26 | 12 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 73.7 | 33.0 | 30.6 | 100.4 | 37.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.0 | 3.8 | 12.2 | 38.5 | 7.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 63.0 | 20.5 | 26.2 | 77.2 | 30.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 86 | 0 |  | 57 | 0 |

Intersection: 3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 21.4 | 18.5 | 9.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.8 | 9.7 | 3.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.2 | 13.5 | 11.0 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 145.1 | 42.8 | 96.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 6.4 | 8.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.2 | 1.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.7 | 6.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.9 | 79.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | TR | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 28.2 | 1.6 | 25.4 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.8 | 0.1 | 9.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 14.7 | 1.6 | 19.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.9 | 50.2 | 64.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Trim Rd \& Taylor Creek/Dairy

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ |
| Average Queue $(m)$ |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist ( $m$ ) |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 11: Dairy/New Trim

| Movement | SB |
| :--- | ---: |
| Directions Served | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 21.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 21.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 218.0 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |

Intersection: 15:


Intersection: 17: Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.0 | 138.9 | 140.7 | 400.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 1.7 | 51.8 | 58.0 | 19.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 26.2 | 160.3 | 168.2 | 188.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 130.2 | 130.2 | 130.2 | 571.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 9 | 11 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 208

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 5044 | 5138 | 5066 | 5027 | 4998 | 5025 | 5069 |
| Vehs Exited | 5056 | 5109 | 5032 | 5019 | 5018 | 4972 | 5027 |
| Starting Vehs | 123 | 135 | 120 | 139 | 146 | 102 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 111 | 164 | 154 | 147 | 126 | 155 | 161 |
| Travel Distance $(\mathrm{km})$ | 4240 | 4362 | 4308 | 4280 | 4237 | 4248 | 4306 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 146.4 | 150.6 | 154.9 | 144.8 | 142.2 | 136.3 | 140.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 82.5 | 85.5 | 90.9 | 80.8 | 78.6 | 72.8 | 76.1 |
| Total Stops | 4995 | 5504 | 4862 | 5072 | 4996 | 4954 | 5121 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 482.6 | 494.6 | 495.5 | 482.5 | 477.8 | 473.9 | 478.9 |

Summary of All Intervals

| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Start Time | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ | $6: 57$ |
| End Time | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ | $8: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| Time Recorded (min) | 60 | 60 | 60 | 60 |
| \# of Intervals | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| \# of Recorded Intervals | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Vehs Entered | 5067 | 5002 | 5092 | 5051 |
| Vehs Exited | 5041 | 4974 | 5087 | 5034 |
| Starting Vehs | 107 | 110 | 120 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 133 | 138 | 125 | 140 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4267 | 4245 | 4345 | 4284 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 147.0 | 140.5 | 140.4 | 144.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 82.9 | 76.7 | 75.6 | 80.2 |
| Total Stops | 5307 | 5049 | 5012 | 5087 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 484.1 | 477.9 | 486.1 | 483.4 |

Interval \#0 Information Seeding

| Start Time | $6: 57$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| End Time | $7: 07$ |
| Total Time (min) | 10 |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |
| No data recorded this interval. |  |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 07$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 07$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 1 | 10 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| Vehs Entered | 5044 | 5138 | 5066 | 5027 | 4998 | 5025 | 5069 |
| Vehs Exited | 5056 | 5109 | 5032 | 5019 | 5018 | 4972 | 5027 |
| Starting Vehs | 123 | 135 | 120 | 139 | 146 | 102 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 111 | 164 | 154 | 147 | 126 | 155 | 161 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4240 | 4362 | 4308 | 4280 | 4237 | 4248 | 4306 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 146.4 | 150.6 | 154.9 | 144.8 | 142.2 | 136.3 | 140.5 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 82.5 | 85.5 | 90.9 | 80.8 | 78.6 | 72.8 | 76.1 |
| Total Stops | 4995 | 5504 | 4862 | 5072 | 4996 | 4954 | 5121 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 482.6 | 494.6 | 495.5 | 482.5 | 477.8 | 473.9 | 478.9 |

Interval \#1 Information Recording

| Start Time | $7: 07$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| End Time | $8: 07$ |  |  |  |
| Total Time (min) | 60 |  |  |  |
| Volumes adjusted by Growth Factors. |  |  |  |  |
| Run Number | 7 | 8 | 9 | Avg |
| Vehs Entered | 5067 | 5002 | 5092 | 5051 |
| Vehs Exited | 5041 | 4974 | 5087 | 5034 |
| Starting Vehs | 107 | 110 | 120 | 119 |
| Ending Vehs | 133 | 138 | 125 | 140 |
| Travel Distance (km) | 4267 | 4245 | 4345 | 4284 |
| Travel Time (hr) | 147.0 | 140.5 | 140.4 | 144.4 |
| Total Delay (hr) | 82.9 | 76.7 | 75.6 | 80.2 |
| Total Stops | 5307 | 5049 | 5012 | 5087 |
| Fuel Used (l) | 484.1 | 477.9 | 486.1 | 483.4 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 54.3 | 43.8 | 56.6 | 43.0 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 31.3 | 18.9 | 25.2 | 18.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 53.7 | 34.1 | 44.3 | 33.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 131.4 | 253.9 | 253.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 4 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $(v e h)$ | 12 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 150.3 | 197.3 | 188.7 | 25.4 | 66.0 | 63.3 | 61.0 | 49.6 | 8.1 | 110.3 | 103.1 | 83.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 63.9 | 118.5 | 115.0 | 1.1 | 29.4 | 41.7 | 37.8 | 19.5 | 0.3 | 87.2 | 73.4 | 52.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 124.9 | 178.5 | 174.4 | 11.2 | 55.0 | 58.1 | 55.8 | 44.0 | 5.6 | 117.1 | 105.9 | 88.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 3 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 84.5 | 36.8 | 28.7 | 103.2 | 36.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.4 | 5.2 | 12.2 | 41.7 | 8.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.8 | 24.9 | 25.9 | 85.0 | 32.4 |
| Link Distance (m) <br> Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 14 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 97 | 0 |  | 59 | 0 |

Intersection: 3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Movement | EB | WB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LTR | LTR | LTR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 20.9 | 17.0 | 9.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 12.0 | 9.5 | 4.1 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.6 | 13.3 | 11.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 145.1 | 42.8 | 96.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |

Intersection: 5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 4.9 | 8.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 0.3 | 1.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 3.1 | 6.3 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.9 | 79.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

Intersection: 6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access

| Movement | EB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 18.7 | 23.8 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.2 | 9.5 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 11.8 | 19.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.9 | 64.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |

## Intersection: 10: Trim Rd \& Taylor Creek/Dairy

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ |
| Average Queue $(m)$ |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist ( $m$ ) |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 11: Dairy/New Trim

| Movement |
| :--- |
| Directions Served |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ |
| Average Queue $(m)$ |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |

Intersection: 15:
Movement
Directions Served
Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$
Average Queue m$)$
95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$
Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$
Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$
Queuing Penalty (veh)
Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$
Storage Blk Time $(\%)$
Queuing Penalty (veh)

Intersection: 17: Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | WB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | T | R | T |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 60.4 | 140.2 | 139.5 | 117.5 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 2.4 | 45.1 | 45.4 | 5.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.0 | 150.3 | 150.7 | 100.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 130.2 | 130.2 | 130.2 | 571.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 9 | 10 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |  |

Network Summary
Network wide Queuing Penalty: 181

## APPENDIX M

SIMTRAFFIC: QUEUE LENGTH SENSITIVITY ANALYSIS

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private AM No Storage Lanes

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 54.5 | 122.2 | 70.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 44.8 | 655.9 | 34.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.9 | 135.6 | 60.8 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 135.2 | 253.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 26 | 12 |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ | 81 | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 87.9 | 60.9 | 45.7 | 14.8 | 150.2 | 240.0 | 237.3 | 219.3 | 37.4 | 119.3 | 120.5 | 120.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 43.4 | 29.8 | 23.1 | 0.5 | 55.4 | 158.7 | 156.7 | 145.0 | 7.3 | 113.3 | 110.7 | 102.9 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 76.6 | 47.8 | 40.9 | 7.5 | 155.0 | 245.1 | 241.0 | 230.0 | 31.5 | 119.1 | 124.8 | 133.6 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  | 3 |  | 0 | 21 |  | 56 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 23 |  | 13 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 105.9 | 7.1 | 157.4 | 244.7 | 37.5 |
| Average Queue (m) | 24.9 | 0.2 | 41.1 | 151.7 | 32.4 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 81.2 | 3.6 | 137.5 | 266.3 | 50.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 2 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  | 13 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 1 |  | 0 | 56 | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 13 |  | 0 | 243 | 4 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private PM No Storage Lanes

| Movement | EB | WB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | LR |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 54.2 | 38.8 | 222.6 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.2 | 18.0 | 120.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 53.6 | 31.4 | 247.2 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 135.2 | 253.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 5 |  | 2 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 13 |  | 10 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 152.5 | 217.8 | 210.2 | 26.0 | 62.3 | 64.2 | 61.1 | 52.2 | 14.7 | 114.4 | 110.2 | 98.0 |
| Average Queue (m) | 71.4 | 125.1 | 120.8 | 1.0 | 29.8 | 42.3 | 37.2 | 19.8 | 0.6 | 92.1 | 79.1 | 60.2 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 144.3 | 206.1 | 199.9 | 10.8 | 56.8 | 59.5 | 56.6 | 45.6 | 8.3 | 124.5 | 115.9 | 103.1 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 4 | 3 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 26 | 12 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 73.7 | 33.0 | 30.6 | 100.4 | 37.1 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.0 | 3.8 | 12.2 | 38.5 | 7.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 63.0 | 20.5 | 26.2 | 77.2 | 30.4 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 12 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 86 | 0 |  | 57 | 0 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private PM Full NBL and NBR Lanes

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 54.3 | 43.8 | 56.6 | 43.0 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.3 | 18.9 | 25.2 | 18.2 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 53.7 | 34.1 | 44.3 | 33.7 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 131.4 | 253.9 | 253.9 |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) | 4 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 12 |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 150.3 | 197.3 | 188.7 | 25.4 | 66.0 | 63.3 | 61.0 | 49.6 | 8.1 | 110.3 | 103.1 | 83.4 |
| Average Queue (m) | 63.9 | 118.5 | 115.0 | 1.1 | 29.4 | 41.7 | 37.8 | 19.5 | 0.3 | 87.2 | 73.4 | 52.3 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 124.9 | 178.5 | 174.4 | 11.2 | 55.0 | 58.1 | 55.8 | 44.0 | 5.6 | 117.1 | 105.9 | 88.8 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 3 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 10 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 84.5 | 36.8 | 28.7 | 103.2 | 36.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 33.4 | 5.2 | 12.2 | 41.7 | 8.6 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 65.8 | 24.9 | 25.9 | 85.0 | 32.4 |
| Link Distance (m) <br> Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 14 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 97 | 0 |  | 59 | 0 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private 50m NBR Storage

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 53.4 | 48.9 | 138.5 | 53.7 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.5 | 19.5 | 31.0 | 20.7 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 55.7 | 37.2 | 85.2 | 42.1 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 50.2 | 131.4 | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 7 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 18 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 2 | 50.0 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 4 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 4 | 1 |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | $R$ | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 157.3 | 227.2 | 216.3 | 18.4 | 56.7 | 64.0 | 63.6 | 57.2 | 27.6 | 113.6 | 100.9 | 78.3 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 72.7 | 126.8 | 124.3 | 0.6 | 27.9 | 42.4 | 38.8 | 23.5 | 1.3 | 86.9 | 71.9 | 49.9 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 142.8 | 219.3 | 212.3 | 8.4 | 52.3 | 59.4 | 59.4 | 51.7 | 12.4 | 117.7 | 101.7 | 78.4 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ |  |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ | 150.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ | 1 | 5 | 40 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 8 | 17 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 76.2 | 37.0 | 56.3 | 108.4 | 37.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 31.5 | 4.2 | 13.0 | 42.9 | 9.3 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 58.7 | 22.1 | 36.7 | 91.7 | 33.5 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 0 |  | 21 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 89 | 0 |  | 63 | 0 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private 60m NBR Storage

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 54.0 | 43.1 | 114.6 | 49.3 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 34.3 | 19.0 | 28.3 | 19.7 |
| 95th Queue $(m)$ | 57.7 | 35.1 | 73.1 | 39.5 |
| Link Distance $(m)$ | 50.2 | 131.4 | 253.9 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 8 |  | 0 |  |
| Queuing Penalty $($ veh $)$ | 22 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Bay Dist $(m)$ |  |  | 1 | 60.0 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 | 0 |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 151.2 | 194.1 | 186.5 | 18.2 | 60.9 | 67.4 | 64.0 | 57.2 | 22.4 | 115.7 | 107.9 | 86.8 |
| Average Queue (m) | 62.0 | 120.1 | 118.6 | 0.8 | 28.7 | 42.4 | 38.0 | 20.3 | 1.1 | 87.3 | 73.5 | 51.8 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 122.3 | 173.1 | 171.0 | 9.8 | 53.1 | 61.8 | 59.1 | 48.5 | 11.7 | 119.0 | 104.8 | 81.7 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.2 | 571.2 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 3 | 42 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 71.1 | 34.7 | 30.8 | 99.4 | 36.9 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 30.7 | 4.4 | 13.1 | 38.7 | 7.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 56.7 | 21.9 | 26.3 | 77.7 | 29.1 |
| Link Distance (m) <br> Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  | 253.9 |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 0 |  | 19 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 90 | 0 |  | 57 | 0 |

Intersection: 1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private 60m NBL Storage

| Movement | EB | WB | NB | NB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | TR | LT | L | R |
| Maximum Queue $(m)$ | 54.5 | 40.6 | 57.8 | 99.7 |
| Average Queue $(m)$ | 31.9 | 17.8 | 28.1 | 21.8 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 54.6 | 31.8 | 49.9 | 65.9 |
| Link Distance $(\mathrm{m})$ | 52.0 | 133.3 |  | 254.2 |
| Upstream Blk Time $(\%)$ | 5 |  |  | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 13 |  |  | 0 |
| Storage Bay Dist $(\mathrm{m})$ |  |  | 60.0 | 0 |
| Storage Blk Time $(\%)$ |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  | 2 | 0 |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | EB | EB | EB | EB | WB | WB | WB | WB | WB | NB | NB | NB |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Directions Served | L | T | T | R | L | T | T | T | R | L | L | L |
| Maximum Queue (m) | 140.9 | 198.4 | 199.0 | 25.8 | 56.8 | 68.7 | 66.1 | 52.7 | 19.2 | 114.3 | 106.4 | 91.6 |
| Average Queue (m) | 67.8 | 126.1 | 124.6 | 1.3 | 28.1 | 42.1 | 37.9 | 20.0 | 0.8 | 90.4 | 75.9 | 54.1 |
| 95th Queue (m) | 133.4 | 207.4 | 203.8 | 12.7 | 49.8 | 60.4 | 58.7 | 46.0 | 9.1 | 121.6 | 108.5 | 88.9 |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 571.3 | 571.3 |  |  | 701.9 | 701.9 | 701.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) | 150.0 |  |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  |  |  | 30.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 | 200.0 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 0 | 5 | 41 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  |  |

Intersection: 2: New Trim \& Hwy-174

| Movement | NB | NB | SB | SB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Directions Served | T | R | L | T | R |
| Maximum Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 78.4 | 36.8 | 32.3 | 103.3 | 37.2 |
| Average Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 32.3 | 3.8 | 12.9 | 42.4 | 9.9 |
| 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 61.8 | 20.8 | 27.9 | 86.6 | 35.2 |
| Link Distance (m) |  |  |  | 254.2 |  |
| Upstream Blk Time (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) |  |  |  |  | 30.0 |
| Storage Bay Dist (m) |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 22 |
| Storage Blk Time (\%) | 13 | 0 |  | 22 | 0 |
| Queuing Penalty (veh) | 87 | 1 |  | 66 | 0 |

## APPENDIX N

SYCNHRO: FUTURE CONDITIONS IF MODE SHARE TARGETS NOT MET


Splits and Phases: $\quad 2: ~ N e w ~ T r i m ~ \& ~ H w y-174 ~$


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 15.3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | Mr |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 416 | 295 | 9 | 262 | 103 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 416 | 295 | 9 | 262 | 103 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 5 | 416 | 295 | 9 | 262 | 103 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 0 |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 14.7 |  | 14.5 |  | 16.6 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  | B |  | C |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, $\%$ | $72 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $0 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $28 \%$ | $99 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 365 | 421 | 304 |
| LT Vol | 262 | 0 | 295 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 5 | 9 |
| RT Vol | 103 | 416 | 0 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 365 | 421 | 304 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.584 | 0.58 | 0.494 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.758 | 4.961 | 5.85 |
| Convergence, YIN | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 624 | 724 | 614 |
| Service Time | 3.81 | 3.018 | 3.911 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0.585 | 0.581 | 0.495 |
| HCM Control Delay | 16.6 | 14.7 | 14.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.7 |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\leftrightarrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 10 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 10 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 10 | 133 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8 |  |  |  | 8.1 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $71 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $93 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 143 | 181 | 14 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 133 | 161 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 20 | 4 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 143 | 181 | 14 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.163 | 0.201 | 0.018 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.642 | 4.107 | 3.998 | 4.594 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 869 | 894 | 784 |
| Service Time | 2.643 | 2.152 | 2.04 | 2.594 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.165 | 0.202 | 0.018 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.6 | 8 | 8.1 | 7.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.1 |

5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access


6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access


|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 |  | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 4种 | 7 | 7\％ | 4 | 「 | \％ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 461 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 51 | 539 | 182 | 151 | 43 | 151 | 303 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 461 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 51 | 539 | 182 | 151 | 43 | 151 | 303 |
| Satd．Flow（prot） | 1695 | 3390 | 1517 | 1695 | 4871 | 1517 | 4780 | 1784 | 1517 | 1695 | 1784 | 1517 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.314 |  |  | 0.100 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd．Flow（perm） | 549 | 3390 | 1180 | 169 | 4871 | 1460 | 1928 | 1784 | 1315 | 1151 | 1784 | 1286 |
| Satd．Flow（RTOR） |  |  | 269 |  |  | 269 |  |  | 269 |  |  | 275 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 461 | 1405 | 5 | 130 | 598 | 51 | 539 | 182 | 151 | 43 | 151 | 303 |
| Turn Type | pm＋pt | NA | Free | pm＋pt | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free | Prot | NA | Free |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | Free | 6 |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 7 | 4 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（ $s$ ） | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.0 | 25.2 |  | 12.5 | 25.2 |  | 12.2 | 25.4 |  | 11.9 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（s） | 41.0 | 66.6 |  | 15.0 | 40.6 |  | 23.0 | 32.4 |  | 16.0 | 25.4 |  |
| Total Split（\％） | 31．5\％ | 51．2\％ |  | 11．5\％ | 31．2\％ |  | 17．7\％ | 24．9\％ |  | 12．3\％ | 19．5\％ |  |
| Yellow Time（s） | 4.0 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 5.1 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All－Red Time（s） | 2.0 | 2.1 |  | 4.2 | 2.1 |  | 3.9 | 4.1 |  | 3.6 | 4.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.0 | 7.2 |  | 7.5 | 7.2 |  | 7.2 | 7.4 |  | 6.9 | 7.4 |  |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C－Min |  | None | C－Min |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 75.1 | 58.5 | 130.0 | 49.0 | 41.5 | 130.0 | 16.3 | 29.1 | 130.0 | 7.9 | 18.0 | 130.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.58 | 0.45 | 1.00 | 0.38 | 0.32 | 1.00 | 0.13 | 0.22 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 1.00 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.84 | 0.92 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.38 | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.42 | 0.61 | 0.24 |
| Control Delay | 31.3 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 71.7 | 36.3 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 49.8 | 0.2 | 70.7 | 64.2 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 31.3 | 44.4 | 0.0 | 71.7 | 36.3 | 0.0 | 75.2 | 49.8 | 0.2 | 70.7 | 64.2 | 0.4 |
| LOS | C | D | A | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | E | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 41.1 |  |  | 39.8 |  |  | 56.9 |  |  | 25.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 64.8 | 172.2 | 0.0 | 17.9 | 42.9 | 0.0 | 49.2 | 42.2 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 36.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 94.9 | \＃208．3 | 0.0 | \＃60．9 | 60.1 | 0.0 | \＃70．8 | 66.3 | 0.0 | 23.1 | 59.4 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ $m$ ） |  | 572.1 |  |  | 692.6 |  |  | 218.7 |  |  | 259.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 | 200.0 |  | 30.0 | 150.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 625 | 1548 | 1180 | 155 | 1554 | 1460 | 599 | 399 | 1315 | 118 | 247 | 1286 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.74 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 0.84 | 0.38 | 0.03 | 0.90 | 0.46 | 0.11 | 0.36 | 0.61 | 0.24 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 130 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： $39(30 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.92 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 42.4 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：DICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 98．8\％ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．Queue shown is maximum atter two cycles． |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Splits and Phases：} & \text { 2：New Trim \＆Hwy－174 }\end{array}$


1: New Trim \& Jeanne D'Arc/Inlet Private

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 320 | 183 | , | 434 | 252 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 320 | 183 | 3 | 434 | 252 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 9 | 320 | 183 | 3 | 434 | 252 |
| Number of Lanes | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | NB |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  | EB |  |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left |  |  | NB |  | EB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right NB |  |  |  |  | WB |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  | 0 |  | 1 |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 14.9 |  | 13.4 |  | 62.7 |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  | B |  | F |  |
| Lane |  | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 |  |  |
| Vol Left, \% |  | 63\% | 0\% | 98\% |  |  |
| Vol Thru, \% |  | 0\% | 3\% | 2\% |  |  |
| Vol Right, \% |  | 37\% | 97\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Vol by Lane |  | 686 | 329 | 186 |  |  |
| LT Vol |  | 434 | 0 | 183 |  |  |
| Through Vol |  | 0 | 9 | 3 |  |  |
| RT Vol |  | 252 | 320 | 0 |  |  |
| Lane Flow Rate |  | 686 | 329 | 186 |  |  |
| Geometry Grp |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |  |
| Degree of Util ( X ) |  | 1.019 | 0.521 | 0.346 |  |  |
| Departure Headway (Hd) |  | 5.349 | 5.696 | 6.807 |  |  |
| Convergence, Y/N |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |  |  |
| Cap |  | 679 | 628 | 532 |  |  |
| Service Time |  | 3.409 | 3.785 | 4.807 |  |  |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 1.01 | 0.524 | 0.35 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay |  | 62.7 | 14.9 | 13.4 |  |  |
| HCM Lane LOSHCM 95th-tile Q |  | F | B | B |  |  |
|  |  | 16.8 | 3 | 1.5 |  |  |

3: Jeanne D'Arc \& Old Trim

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection LOS | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  | * |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 9 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 9 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 9 | 217 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 5 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 8.5 |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  | 0 |  | 7.8 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | A |  |  |  | A |  |  | - |  | A |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $0 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $72 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $100 \%$ | $96 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| Vol Right, $\%$ | $0 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 0 | 226 | 129 | 18 |
| LT Vol | 0 | 9 | 0 | 13 |
| Through Vol | 0 | 217 | 121 | 0 |
| RT Vol | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 0 | 226 | 129 | 18 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0 | 0.256 | 0.147 | 0.023 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 4.722 | 4.07 | 4.097 | 4.673 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 0 | 879 | 868 | 771 |
| Service Time | 2.722 | 2.111 | 2.158 | 2.673 |
| HCM Lane VIC Ratio | 0 | 0.257 | 0.149 | 0.023 |
| HCM Control Delay | 7.7 | 8.5 | 7.9 | 7.8 |
| HCM Lane LOS | N | A | A | A |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 0 | 1 | 0.5 | 0.1 |

5: Jeanne D'Arc \& W Site Access


6: Jeanne D'Arc \& E Site Access



[^0]:    Includes all towers not captured by the existing traffic count (Towers 2-6)
    2) Includes all blocks not captured by the existing traffic count, including proposed Block 8
    3) Vehicle Trips generated by Cardinal Creek are not anticipated to use Jeanne D'Arc, external only

