



Geotechnical  
Engineering

Environmental  
Engineering

Hydrogeology

Geological  
Engineering

Materials Testing

Building Science

Archaeological Services

## **Geotechnical Investigation**

Proposed Residential Development  
1919 Maple Grove Road  
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared For

Formasian Development Corporation

### **Paterson Group Inc.**

Consulting Engineers  
154 Colonnade Road South  
Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario  
Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381  
Fax: (613) 226-6344  
[www.patersongroup.ca](http://www.patersongroup.ca)

March 24, 2020

Report: PG4507-1 Revision 2

## Table of Contents

	<b>Page</b>
<b>1.0</b>	<b>Introduction</b> . . . . . 1
<b>2.0</b>	<b>Proposed Development</b> . . . . . 1
<b>3.0</b>	<b>Method of Investigation</b>
3.1	Field Investigation . . . . . 2
3.2	Field Survey . . . . . 3
3.3	Laboratory Testing . . . . . 3
<b>4.0</b>	<b>Observations</b>
4.1	Surface Conditions . . . . . 4
4.2	Subsurface Profile . . . . . 4
4.3	Groundwater . . . . . 4
<b>5.0</b>	<b>Discussion</b>
5.1	Geotechnical Assessment . . . . . 5
5.2	Site Grading and Preparation . . . . . 5
5.3	Foundation Design . . . . . 7
5.4	Design for Earthquakes . . . . . 9
5.5	Basement Slab . . . . . 9
5.6	Pavement Design . . . . . 10
<b>6.0</b>	<b>Design and Construction Precautions</b>
6.1	Foundation Drainage and Backfill . . . . . 11
6.2	Protection of Footings Against Frost Action . . . . . 11
6.3	Excavation Side Slopes . . . . . 12
6.4	Pipe Bedding and Backfill . . . . . 12
6.5	Groundwater Control . . . . . 13
6.6	Winter Construction . . . . . 13
6.7	Landscaping Considerations . . . . . 14
<b>7.0</b>	<b>Recommendations</b> . . . . . 15
<b>8.0</b>	<b>Statement of Limitations</b> . . . . . 16

## **Appendices**

Appendix 1    Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets  
                  Symbols and Terms  
                  Analytical Testing Results

Appendix 2    Figure 1 - Key Plan  
                  Drawing PG4507-1 - Test Hole Location Plan

## 1.0 Introduction

Paterson Group (Paterson) was commissioned by Formasian Development Corporation to conduct a geotechnical investigation for the proposed residential development to be located at 1919 Maple Grove Road, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in Appendix 2 of this report).

The objective of the current investigation was to:

- ❑ determine the existing subsoil and groundwater conditions at this site by means of boreholes.
- ❑ provide geotechnical recommendations for the design of the proposed development including construction considerations which may affect its design.

The following report has been prepared specifically and solely for the aforementioned project which is described herein. It contains our findings and includes geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design and construction of the subject development as they are understood at the time of writing this report.

Investigating the presence or potential presence of contamination on the subject property was not part of the scope of work of the current investigation.

## 2.0 Proposed Development

It is expected that the proposed development will consist of residential dwellings, such as townhouses and detached units, as well as, an area of four storey residential buildings. Associated local roadways, landscaped areas and park areas are also anticipated as part of the subject development. Municipal services will also be constructed as part of the proposed development.

## **3.0 Method of Investigation**

### **3.1 Field Investigation**

The current geotechnical field investigation was carried out on June 25, 2018. At that time a total of six (6) boreholes were drilled to a maximum depth of 1.8 m. The test hole locations were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the subject site taking into consideration site features. The locations of the test holes are shown on Drawing PG4507-1 - Test Hole Location Plan included in Appendix 2.

The boreholes were advanced using a track-mounted auger drill rig operated by a two person crew. The field work was conducted under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel under the direction of a senior engineer. The test hole procedures consisted of augering to the required depths at the selected locations and sampling the overburden.

#### **Sampling and In Situ Testing**

Soil samples from the boreholes were recovered from the auger flights or using a 50 mm diameter split-spoon (SS) sampler. The depths at which the auger and split-spoon samples were recovered from the test holes are shown as AU and SS, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1.

The Standard Penetration Test (SPT) was conducted in conjunction with the recovery of the split spoon samples. The SPT results are recorded as "N" values on the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets. The "N" value is the number of blows required to drive the split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after a 150 mm initial penetration using a 63.5 kg hammer falling from a height of 760 mm.

All soil samples were classified on site, placed in sealed plastic bags and were transported to our laboratory for visual inspection.

#### **Groundwater**

Open hole groundwater levels were noted at the time of the field investigation.

#### **Sample Storage**

All samples will be stored in the laboratory for a period of one month after issuance of this report. They will then be discarded unless we are otherwise directed.

## **3.2 Field Survey**

The borehole locations were selected by Paterson in a manner to provide general coverage of the subject site, taking into consideration underground utilities and existing site features. The ground surface elevations at the test hole locations were referenced to a temporary benchmark (TBM), consisting of the top spindle of the fire hydrant located across Maple Grove road from the subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00 m was assigned to the TBM.

The test hole locations are presented on Drawing PG4507-1 - Test Hole Location Plan in Appendix 2.

## **3.3 Laboratory Testing**

The soil samples recovered from the subject site were visually examined in our laboratory to review the results of the field logging.

## **4.0 Observations**

### **4.1 Surface Conditions**

The subject site is mainly treed, undeveloped land with a residential house and associated lawn and driveway are present along Maple Grove Road. The ground surface is relatively flat across the majority of the subject site with a slight slope down towards the south. Some bedrock outcrops were noted within the north portion of the site.

The site is bordered by an existing residential development to the east, additional tree covered areas to the north and west, and Maple Grove Road to the south.

### **4.2 Subsurface Profile**

Generally, the subsurface profile encountered at the borehole locations consists of a topsoil layer overlying a layer of brown silty sand, trace clay followed by a glacial till deposit consisting of silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders. Practical refusal to augering was encountered at all borehole locations on inferred bedrock at depths ranging from 0.6 to 1.8 m below ground surface. Reference should be made to the Soil Profile and Test Data sheets in Appendix 1 for the details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location.

Based on field observations and available geological mapping, the local bedrock consists of interbedded limestone and dolomite of the Gull River formation. The overburden thickness is anticipated to vary between 2 and 3 m.

### **4.3 Groundwater**

Open hole groundwater levels were noted in the boreholes at the time of the field investigation. All boreholes were noted to be dry upon completion. The open hole groundwater observations are noted on the applicable Soil Profile and Test Data sheets presented in Appendix 1.

It should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations. Therefore, the groundwater level could vary at the time of construction.

## **5.0 Discussion**

### **5.1 Geotechnical Assessment**

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is suitable for the proposed residential development. It is expected that the proposed buildings will be constructed with conventional shallow foundations. It should be further noted that bedrock outcrops and shallow bedrock were observed at various locations across the subject site.

The above and other considerations are discussed in the following sections.

### **5.2 Site Grading and Preparation**

#### **Stripping Depth**

Topsoil should be stripped from under any buildings, paved areas, pipe bedding and other settlement sensitive structures.

#### **Bedrock Removal**

Based on the volume of bedrock encountered in the area, it is expected that line-drilling in conjunction with hoe-ramming or controlled blasting will be required to remove the bedrock. In areas of weathered bedrock and where only a small quantity of bedrock is to be removed, bedrock removal may be possible by hoe-ramming.

Prior to considering blasting operations, the blasting effects on the existing nearby services, buildings and other structures should be addressed. A pre-blast or pre-construction survey of the existing structures located in proximity to the blasting operations should be carried out prior to commencing construction. The extent of the survey should be determined by the blasting consultant and sufficient to respond to any inquiries/claims related to the blasting operations.

As a general guideline, peak particle velocity (measured at the structures) should not exceed 25 mm/s during the blasting program to reduce the risks of damage to the existing structures.

The blasting operations should be planned and conducted under the supervision of a licensed professional engineer who is an experienced blasting consultant.



Excavation side slopes in sound bedrock can be completed with almost vertical side walls. A minimum 1 m horizontal bench should remain between the bottom of the overburden and the top of the bedrock surface to provide an area for potential sloughing or to provide a stable base for the overburden shoring system.

### **Vibration Considerations**

Construction operations could be the cause of vibrations, and possibly sources of nuisance to the community. Therefore, means to reduce the vibration levels as much as possible should be incorporated in the construction operations to maintain a cooperative environment with the residents. Vibrations, whether caused by blasting operations or by construction operations could be the cause of the source of detrimental vibrations on the adjoining buildings and structures. Therefore, it is recommended that all vibrations be limited.

Two parameters determine the permissible vibrations: the maximum peak particle velocity and the frequency. For low frequency vibrations, the maximum allowable peak particle velocity is less than that for high frequency vibrations. As a guideline, the peak particle velocity should be less than 15 mm/s between frequencies of 4 to 12 Hz, and 50 mm/s above a frequency of 40 Hz (interpolate between 12 and 40 Hz). These guidelines are for current construction standards. These guidelines are above perceptible human level and, in some cases, could be very disturbing to some people; a pre-construction survey is therefore recommended to minimize the risks of claims during or following the construction of the proposed development.

### **Fill Placement**

Fill used for grading beneath the building footprints, unless otherwise specified, should consist of clean imported granular fill, such as Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS) Granular A or Granular B Type II. The fill should be tested and approved prior to delivery to the site. It should be placed in lifts no greater than 300 mm thick and compacted using suitable compaction equipment for the lift thickness. Fill placed beneath the building areas should be compacted to at least 98% of its standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

If site excavated blast rock is to be used as fill to build up the bearing medium, it should be suitably fragmented to produce a well-graded material with a maximum particle size of 400 mm placed in maximum 600 mm loose lifts and compacted by an adequately sized bulldozer making several passes and approved by the geotechnical consultant at the time of placement. Any blast rock greater than 400 mm in diameter should be segregated and hoe rammed into acceptable fragments. The site excavated blast rock fill with maximum particle size of 400 mm should be capped with a minimum of 300 mm of Granular B Type II or Granular A crushed stone material and should be compacted to at least 98% of its standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

Site-excavated soil can be used as general landscaping fill where settlement of the ground surface is of minor concern. These materials should be spread in thin lifts and at least compacted by the tracks of the spreading equipment to minimize voids. If these materials are to be used to build up the subgrade level for areas to be paved, they should be compacted in thin lifts to a minimum density of 95% of their respective SPMDD. Site-excavated soils are not suitable for use as backfill against foundation walls unless a composite drainage blanket connected to a perimeter drainage system is provided.

Fill used for grading beneath the base and subbase layers of paved areas should consist, unless otherwise specified, of clean imported granular fill, such as OPSS Granular B Type I, Type II or select subgrade material. This material should be tested and approved prior to delivery to the site. The fill should be placed in lifts no greater than 300 mm thick and compacted using suitable compaction equipment for the lift thickness. Fill placed beneath the paved areas should be compacted to at least 95% of its SPMDD.

If excavated rock is to be used as fill to build up the subgrade for roadways, it should be suitably fragmented to produce a well-graded material with a maximum particle size of 300 mm. Where the fill is open-graded, a blinding layer of finer granular fill or a geotextile may be required to prevent adjacent finer materials from migrating into the voids, with associated loss of ground and settlements.

### **5.3 Foundation Design**

Based on the subsurface profile encountered, it is expected that silty sand, glacial till or bedrock will be encountered at founding levels for the buildings of the proposed development.

## **Bearing Resistance Values**

Footings placed on an undisturbed, compact silty sand bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **150 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **225 kPa**.

Footings placed on an undisturbed, dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at SLS of **250 kPa** and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of **400 kPa**.

An undisturbed soil bearing surface consists of one from which all topsoil and deleterious materials, such as loose, frozen or disturbed soil, have been removed prior to the placement of concrete for footings.

Footings placed on a clean, surface sounded bedrock bearing surface can be designed using a bearing resistance value at ULS of **500 kPa**.

A clean surface sounded bedrock bearing surface consists of one from which all loose materials have been removed, and has no near surface seams, voids, fissures or open joints which can be detected from surface sounding with a rock hammer.

A geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5 was applied to the reported bearing resistance values at ULS.

## **Lateral Support**

The bearing medium under footing-supported structures is required to be provided with adequate lateral support with respect to excavations and different foundation levels. Adequate lateral support is provided to a compact to dense glacial till, above the groundwater table, when a plane extending down and out from the bottom edge of the footing at a minimum of 1.5H:1V passes only through in situ soil or engineered granular fill, as described above. In sound unfractured bedrock, a 1H:6V slope may be used.

## **Settlement**

Footings placed on a soil bearing surface and designed using the bearing resistance values at SLS given above will be subjected to potential post construction total and differential settlements of 25 and 20 mm, respectively. Footings placed on a clean surface sounded bedrock bearing surface will be subjected to negligible post construction settlements.

## **Additional Considerations**

Where a building is founded partly on bedrock and partly on soil, it is recommended to decrease the soil bearing resistance value by 25% for the footings placed on soil bearing media to reduce the potential long term total and differential settlements. Also, at the soil/bedrock and bedrock/soil transitions, it is recommended that the upper 0.5 m of the bedrock be removed for a minimum length of 2 m (on the bedrock side) and replaced with nominally compacted OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II material. The width of the sub-excavation should be at least the proposed footing width plus 0.5 m. Steel reinforcement, extending at least 3 m on both sides of the 2 m long transition, should be placed in the top part of the footings and foundation walls.

### **5.4 Design for Earthquakes**

The site class for seismic site response can be taken as **Class C** for the foundations considered at this site. A higher seismic site class, such as Class A or B may be available for the subject site. However, a site specific seismic shear wave test is required to provide the higher site classes according to the 2012 Ontario Building Code. Soils underlying the subject site are not susceptible to liquefaction.

### **5.5 Basement Slab**

With the removal of all topsoil and deleterious materials within the footprint of the proposed buildings, such as those containing organic materials, the native soil or granular fill approved by the geotechnical consultant will be considered to be an acceptable subgrade surface on which to commence backfilling for basement floor slab construction. The upper 150 mm of sub-slab fill should consist of 19 mm clear stone below the basement floor slab. All backfill material within the footprint of the proposed building should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to at least 98% of its SPMDD.

Where encountered, it is recommended that a minimum 300 mm thick layer (native soil plus crushed stone layer) be present between the floor slabs and the bedrock surface to reduce the risks of bending stresses in the concrete slab. The bending stress could lead to cracking of the concrete slabs. This requirement could be waived if the bedrock surface is relatively flat within the footprint of the building. This recommendation does not refer to potential concrete shrinkage cracking which should be controlled in the usual manner.

Any soft areas should be removed and backfilled with appropriate backfill material. OPSS Granular B Type II, with a maximum particle size of 50 mm, is recommended for backfilling below the floor slab.

## 5.6 Pavement Design

For car only parking areas, an Ontario Traffic Category A is applicable. For local roadways, an Ontario Traffic Category B should be used for design purposes. The proposed pavement structures are shown in Tables 1 and 2.

<b>Table 1 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Car Only Parking Areas</b>	
<b>Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Material Description</b>
50	<b>Wear Course</b> - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete
150	<b>BASE</b> - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone
300	<b>SUBBASE</b> - OPSS Granular B Type II
	<b>SUBGRADE</b> - Either fill, in situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil or fill

<b>Table 2 - Recommended Pavement Structure - Local Roadways</b>	
<b>Thickness (mm)</b>	<b>Material Description</b>
40	<b>Wear Course</b> - HL-3 or Superpave 12.5 Asphaltic Concrete
50	<b>Binder Course</b> - HL-8 or Superpave 19.0 Asphaltic Concrete
150	<b>BASE</b> - OPSS Granular A Crushed Stone
450	<b>SUBBASE</b> - OPSS Granular B Type II
	<b>SUBGRADE</b> - Either fill, in situ soil, or OPSS Granular B Type I or II material placed over in situ soil or fill

Minimum Performance Graded (PG) 58-34 asphalt cement should be used for this project.

If soft spots develop in the subgrade during compaction or due to construction traffic, the affected areas should be excavated and replaced with OPSS Granular B Type II material.

The pavement granular base and subbase should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts and compacted to a minimum of 98% of the material's SPMDD using suitable vibratory equipment.

## **6.0 Design and Construction Precautions**

### **6.1 Foundation Drainage and Backfill**

It is recommended that a perimeter foundation drainage system be provided for the proposed structures. The system should consist of a 100 mm to 150 mm diameter perforated corrugated plastic pipe, surrounded on all sides by 150 mm of 10 mm clear crushed stone, placed at the footing level around the exterior perimeter of the structure. The pipe should have a positive outlet, such as a gravity connection to the storm sewer.

Backfill against the exterior sides of the foundation walls should consist of free-draining non frost susceptible granular materials. The greater part of the site excavated materials will be frost susceptible and, as such, are not recommended for re-use as backfill against the foundation walls, unless used in conjunction with a composite drainage board connected to the perimeter drainage system. Imported granular materials, such as clean sand or OPSS Granular B Type I granular material, should be used for this purpose.

### **6.2 Protection of Footings Against Frost Action**

Perimeter footings of heated structures are required to be insulated against the deleterious effect of frost action. A minimum of 1.5 m thick soil cover (or equivalent) should be provided in this regard. For footings founded directly on sound bedrock where sufficient soil cover is not available, the suggested insulation can be omitted.

Exterior unheated footings, such as those for isolated exterior piers, are more prone to deleterious movement associated with frost action than the exterior walls of the structure proper and require additional protection, such as soil cover of 2.1 m or a combination of soil cover and foundation insulation.

### **6.3 Excavation Side Slopes**

The side slopes of excavations in the soil and fill overburden materials should either be cut back at acceptable slopes or should be retained by shoring systems from the start of the excavation until the structure is backfilled. It is assumed that sufficient room will be available for the greater part of the excavation to be undertaken by open-cut methods (i.e. unsupported excavations).

The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level. The subsoil at this site is considered to be mainly a Type 2 soil according to the Occupational Health and Safety Act and Regulations for Construction Projects.

The slope cross-sections recommended above are for temporary slopes. Excavated soil should not be stockpiled directly at the top of excavations and heavy equipment should be maintain safe working distance from the excavation sides.

Slopes in excess of 3 m in height should be periodically inspected by the geotechnical consultant in order to detect if the slopes are exhibiting signs of distress.

It is recommended that a trench box be used at all times to protect personnel working in trenches with steep or vertical sides. It is expected that services will be installed by “cut and cover” methods and excavations will not be left open for extended periods of time.

## **6.4 Pipe Bedding and Backfill**

Bedding and backfill materials should be in accordance with the most recent Material Specifications and Standard Detail Drawings from the Department of Public Works and Services, Infrastructure Services Branch of the City of Ottawa.

A minimum of 150 mm of OPSS Granular A should be placed for pipe bedding for sewer and water pipes for a soil subgrade. The bedding should be increased to 300 mm for areas where the subgrade consists of bedrock. The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe should consist of OPSS Granular A. The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick lifts compacted to a minimum of 95% of the SPMDD.

Where hard surface areas are considered above the trench backfill, the trench backfill material within the frost zone (about 1.8 m below finished grade) should match the soils exposed at the trench walls to minimize differential frost heaving. The trench backfill should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick loose lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the material's SPMDD.

## **6.5 Groundwater Control**

The contractor should be prepared to direct water away from all bearing surfaces and subgrades, regardless of the source, to prevent disturbance to the founding medium.

Infiltration levels are anticipated to be low through the excavation face, depending on the local groundwater table. The groundwater infiltration is anticipated to be controllable with open sumps and pumps.

A temporary Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) permit to take water (PTTW) may be required for this project if more than 400,000 L/day of ground and/or surface water is to be pumped during the construction phase. A minimum 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the PTTW application package and issuance of the permit by the MECP.

For typical ground or surface water volumes, being pumped during the construction phase, between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16. If a project qualifies for a PTTW based upon anticipated conditions, an EASR will not be allowed as a temporary dewatering measure while awaiting the MECP review of the PTTW application.

## **6.6 Winter Construction**

Precautions must be taken if winter construction is considered for this project.

The subsoil conditions at this site consist of frost susceptible materials. In the presence of water and freezing conditions, ice could form within the soil mass. Heaving and settlement upon thawing could occur.

In the event of construction during below zero temperatures, the founding stratum should be protected from freezing temperatures by the use of straw, propane heaters and tarpaulins or other suitable means. In this regard, the base of the excavations should be insulated from sub-zero temperatures immediately upon exposure and until such time as heat is adequately supplied to the building and the footings are protected with sufficient soil cover to prevent freezing at founding level. Trench excavations and pavement construction are also difficult activities to complete during freezing conditions without introducing frost in the subgrade or in the excavation walls and bottoms. Precautions should be taken if such activities are to be carried out during freezing conditions. Additional information could be provided, if required.



## **6.7 Landscaping Considerations**

### **Tree Planting Restrictions**

The subject site is located in an area without sensitive silty clay deposits with regards to tree planting as per the city of Ottawa Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay Soils guidelines of 2007. Therefore, the subject site is not subject to the tree planting restrictions described in the guidelines.

## 7.0 Recommendations

It is a requirement for the foundation design data provided herein to be applicable that the following material testing and observation program be performed by the geotechnical consultant.

- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials used.
- Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling.
- Field density tests to determine the level of compaction achieved.
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued upon the completion of a satisfactory inspection program by the geotechnical consultant.

## 8.0 Statement of Limitations

The recommendations made in this report are in accordance with our present understanding of the project. We request that we be permitted to review the grading plan once available and our recommendations when the drawings and specifications are complete.

A geotechnical investigation of this nature is a limited sampling of a site. The recommendations are based on information gathered at the specific test locations and can only be extrapolated to an undefined limited area around the test locations. The extent of the limited area depends on the soil, bedrock and groundwater conditions, as well the history of the site reflecting natural, construction, and other activities. Should any conditions at the site be encountered which differ from those at the test locations, we request notification immediately in order to permit reassessment of our recommendations.

The present report applies only to the project described in this document. Use of this report for purposes other than those described herein or by person(s) other than Formasian Development Corporation or their agent(s) is not authorized without review by Paterson Group for the applicability of our recommendations to the altered use of the report.

### Paterson Group Inc.



Joey R Villeneuve, M.A.Sc, P.Eng.



David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

### Report Distribution:

- Formasian Development Corporation (3 copies)
- Paterson Group (1 copy)

# **APPENDIX 1**

**SOIL PROFILE & TEST DATA SHEETS**

**SYMBOLS AND TERMS**

**DATUM** TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located across from subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00m was assigned to the TBM.

**REMARKS**

**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** June 25, 2018

**FILE NO.**  
PG4507

**HOLE NO.**  
BH 1

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80	
TOPSOIL	0.18	AU	1			0	97.96					
Brown SILTY SAND, trace clay	0.81	AU	2									
GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders	1.83	SS	3	50	50+	1	96.96					
End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 1.83m depth (BH dry upon completion)		SS	4	43	50+							

20 40 60 80 100  
**Shear Strength (kPa)**  
 ▲ Undisturbed    △ Remoulded

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation  
 Prop. Residential Development - 1919 Maple Grove Rd.  
 Ottawa, Ontario

**DATUM** TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located across from subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00m was assigned to the TBM.

**REMARKS**


**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** June 25, 2018

**FILE NO.**  
**PG4507**

**HOLE NO.**  
**BH 2**

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80		
TOPSOIL	0.15	AU	1			0	97.77						
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace clay		AU	2										
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders	0.76												
End of Borehole	0.91	SS	3	50	50+								
Practical refusal to augering at 0.91m depth (BH dry upon completion)													



## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation  
 Prop. Residential Development - 1919 Maple Grove Rd.  
 Ottawa, Ontario

**DATUM** TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located across from subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00m was assigned to the TBM.

**REMARKS**

**FILE NO.**  
**PG4507**

**HOLE NO.**  
**BH 3**

**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** June 25, 2018

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80		
TOPSOIL						0	98.05						
Brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace clay			1										
<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> : Very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders		AU											
End of Borehole  Practical refusal to augering at 0.63m depth  (BH dry upon completion)													

20 40 60 80 100  
**Shear Strength (kPa)**  
 ▲ Undisturbed    △ Remoulded

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation  
 Prop. Residential Development - 1919 Maple Grove Rd.  
 Ottawa, Ontario

**DATUM** TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located across from subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00m was assigned to the TBM.

**REMARKS**


**FILE NO.**  
**PG4507**

**HOLE NO.**  
**BH 4**

**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** June 25, 2018

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80		
TOPSOIL	0.18					0	98.68						
Loose, brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace clay	AU	1											
	SS	2	42	5		1	97.68						
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand, some gravel, cobbles, boulders	1.22												
End of Borehole	1.50												
Practical refusal to augering at 1.50m depth (BH dry upon completion)													





**DATUM** TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located across from subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00m was assigned to the TBM.

**REMARKS**

**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** June 25, 2018

**FILE NO.**  
**PG4507**

**HOLE NO.**  
**BH 5**

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			20	40	60	80		
<b>GROUND SURFACE</b>						0	98.66						
<b>TOPSOIL</b>													
0.30		AU	1										
Very dense, brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace clay													
0.69		SS	2	75	50+								
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay						1	97.66						
1.68		SS	3	100	50+								
End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 1.68m depth (BH dry upon completion)													

20 40 60 80 100  
**Shear Strength (kPa)**  
 ▲ Undisturbed    △ Remoulded

## SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation  
 Prop. Residential Development - 1919 Maple Grove Rd.  
 Ottawa, Ontario

**DATUM** TBM - Top spindle of fire hydrant located across from subject site. An arbitrary elevation of 100.00m was assigned to the TBM.

**REMARKS**


**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** June 25, 2018

**FILE NO.**  
**PG4507**

**HOLE NO.**  
**BH 6**

SOIL DESCRIPTION	STRATA PLOT	SAMPLE				DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m ● 50 mm Dia. Cone				Piezometer Construction	
		TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY %	N VALUE or RQD			○ Water Content %					
GROUND SURFACE								20	40	60	80		
TOPSOIL	0.15					0	98.16						
Compact, brown <b>SILTY SAND</b> , trace clay		AU	1										
	0.91					1	97.16						
<b>GLACIAL TILL:</b> Brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, trace clay		SS	2	32	29								
	1.35												
End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 1.35m depth (BH dry upon completion)													



# SYMBOLS AND TERMS

## SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesionless soils is the relative density, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm.

Relative Density	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory vane tests, penetrometer tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by Standard Penetration Tests.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

### SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their "sensitivity". The sensitivity is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil.

Terminology used for describing soil strata based upon texture, or the proportion of individual particle sizes present is provided on the Textural Soil Classification Chart at the end of this information package.

### ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NXL size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BX, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called "mechanical breaks") are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

<b>RQD %</b>	<b>ROCK QUALITY</b>
90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

### SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube
PS	-	Piston sample
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size AXT, BXL, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

### GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

MC%	-	Natural moisture content or water content of sample, %
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
PL	-	Plastic limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)
PI	-	Plasticity index, % (difference between LL and PL)
D <sub>xx</sub>	-	Grain size which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size
D <sub>10</sub>	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)
D <sub>60</sub>	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer
C <sub>c</sub>	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D_{30})^2 / (D_{10} \times D_{60})$
C <sub>u</sub>	-	Uniformity coefficient = $D_{60} / D_{10}$

C<sub>c</sub> and C<sub>u</sub> are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have:  $1 < C_c < 3$  and  $C_u > 4$

Well-graded sands have:  $1 < C_c < 3$  and  $C_u > 6$

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

C<sub>c</sub> and C<sub>u</sub> are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

### CONSOLIDATION TEST

p' <sub>o</sub>	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p' <sub>c</sub>	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
C <sub>cr</sub>	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p' <sub>c</sub> )
C <sub>c</sub>	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p' <sub>c</sub> )
OC Ratio		Overconsolidation ratio = $p'_c / p'_o$
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
W <sub>o</sub>	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)

### PERMEABILITY TEST


k	-	Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.
---	---	--

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)


### STRATA PLOT



Topsoil




Asphalt




Fill




Peat



Sand




Silty Sand




Silt



Sandy Silt



Clay




Silty Clay




Clayey Silty Sand



Glacial Till




Shale




Bedrock

### MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION

#### MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION



#### PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION



# **APPENDIX 2**

**FIGURE 1 - KEY PLAN**

**DRAWING PG4507-1 - TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN**






FIGURE 1  
KEY PLAN





**patersongroup**  
consulting engineers

154 Colonnade Road South  
Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5  
Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL
1	UPDATED TO NEW BASE PLAN	13/03/2020	JV

FORMASIAN DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION  
GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION  
PROP. RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - 1919 MAPLE GROVE ROAD  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Title: **TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN**

Scale:	1:1500	Date:	07/2018
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PG4507-1
Checked by:	JV	Dwg. No.:	<b>PG4507-1</b>
Approved by:	DJG	Revision No.:	1

p:\autocad\drawings\geotechnical\pg4507\pg4507-1\pg4507-1.dwg