Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 Functional Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Job #160401328



Prepared for: Valecraft Homes

Prepared by: Stantec Consulting Ltd. 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa, Ontario K2C 3G4

July 31, 2019

Revision	Description	Pre	Checked by	
0	Conceptual Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report	Ana Paerez	July 31, 2019	Dustin Thiffault

### Sign-off Sheet

This document entitled Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 Functional Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report was prepared by Stantec Consulting Ltd. ("Stantec") for the account of Valecraft Homes (the "Client"). Any reliance on this document by any third party is strictly prohibited. The material in it reflects Stantec's professional judgment in light of the scope, schedule and other limitations stated in the document and in the contract between Stantec and the Client. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the document was published and do not take into account any subsequent changes. In preparing the document, Stantec did not verify information supplied to it by others. Any use which a third party makes of this document is the responsibility of such third party. Such third party agrees that Stantec shall not be responsible for costs or damages of any kind, if any, suffered by it or any other third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken based on this document.

Prepared by			
. ,	101		

(Signature)

Ana M. Paerez, P.Eng.

2/10

Reviewed by

(Signature)

Dustin Thiffault, P.Eng.



### **Table of Contents**

<b>1.0</b> 1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4	INTRODUCTION BACKGROUND EXISTING CONDITIONS OBJECTIVE BACKGROUND RESOURCES	<b>1.1</b> 1.2 1.2 1.3 1.3
2.0	POTABLE WATER	2.1
3.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	3.2
3.1	BACKGROUND	
3.2	DESIGN CRITERIA	
3.3	PROPOSED SERVICING	
4.0		
4.1	BACKGROUND	
4.2	DESIGN CONSTRAINTS AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS	4.6
4.3	PROPOSED CONDITIONS	4.6
4.4	POST DEVELOPMENT CONCEPTUAL MODELING RATIONALE	4.7
	4.4.1 SWMM Dual Drainage Methodology	4.7
	4.4.2 Land Use	4.9
	4.4.3 Design Storms	4.9
	4.4.4 Boundary Conditions	
4 5	4.4.5 Modeling Parameters	
4.5	CONCEPTUAL MODEL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	4.12
	4.5.1 Proposed Development Conceptual Hydraulic Grade Line	110
	Analysis	4.12
	4.5.2 Mujor now	4.15
5.0	GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND GRADING	5.1
6.0	EROSION CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION	6.1
7.0	UTILITIES	7.1
8.0	APPROVALS	8.1
9.0	CONCLUSIONS	9.2
9.1	WATER SERVICING	
9.2	SANITARY SERVICING	
9.3	STORMWATER SERVICING	9.2
9.4	UTILITIES	9.3

LIST OF TABLES



Table 1: Sanitary Sewer Design Criteria Comparison	3.3
Table 2: Estimated Wastewater Peak Flows.	
Table 3: General Subcatchment Parameters	
Table 4: Conceptual Subcatchment Parameters	
Table 5: Storage Node Parameters	
Table 6: Conceptual Minor System Capture Rates	
Table 7: Exit Loss Coefficients for Bends at Manholes	
Table 8: Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 Functional HGL Results	4.13
Table 9: 100-Year, 3hr Chicago Overland Flow Results	

#### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Approximate Location of Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4	
Development	1.1
Figure 2 : Schematic Representing Model Object Roles	4.8

#### LIST OF APPENDICES

APPEN	IDIX A CORRESPO	POTABLE WATER SERVICING BACKGROUND AND ONDENCE	A.1
APPEN	IDIX B	SANITARY SEWER CALCULATIONS	B.2
B.1	Concept	Jal Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet	В.З
B.2	Sanitary D	Design Background Report Excerpts and Correspondence	B.4
APPEN		STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS	C.1
C.1	Concept	Jal Storm Sewer Design Sheet	C.2
C.2	PCSWMM	Layout	C.3
C.3	Post Deve	elopment PCSWMM Model Input Example	C.4
C.4	Storm Des	ign Background Report Excerpts and Correspondence	C.5
APPEN	IDIX D	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION EXCERPTS	D.1
APPEN	IDIX E	DRAWINGS	E.1



Introduction July 31, 2019

## **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

Stantec Consulting Ltd. has been retained by Valecraft Homes to provide a functional servicing plan in support of their application for draft plan approval. The intent of this report is to provide a servicing scenario for the proposed development that is free of conflicts, includes external areas, and utilizes the existing/future infrastructure in accordance with the background studies.

Valecraft Homes is proposing a mixed use development located on Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 within the Kanata North Urban Expansion Area (KNUEA). The proposed development measures approximately 38.5 ha and is located east of March Road, west of a former CN rail corridor, south of the Hillsview Estates Subdivision, and north of a proposed residential development owned by Minto as shown in **Figure 1**.

The proposed draft of subdivision consists of 297 single detached units, 319 town-home units, a school block (Block 310), a designated park area (Block 309), two (2) commercial/mixed use blocks (Blocks 324 & 327), and a medium density block (Block 322). The roads proposed consist of 24 m wide right of way (ROW) collector roads and 18 m wide ROW local roads.



#### Figure 1: Approximate Location of Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 Development



Introduction July 31, 2019

### 1.1 BACKGROUND

The proposed development is within the Kanata North Community Design Plan (CDP) and servicing criteria for the site is outlined in the Kanata North Master Servicing Study (KNMSS) and the Kanata North Environmental Management Plan (KNEMP) prepared by Novatech Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects (Novatech) in June 2016. The proposed development is referred to as the northeast quadrant of the KNUEA within the KNMSS and the KNEMP. The background reports describe the conceptual trunk sewers and watermains that will service all developments within the KNUEA, establish targets for site-specific stormwater management (SWM) plans, and identify required infrastructure upgrades to support growth within the KNUEA.

The KNEMP outlined an environmental compensation and mitigation plan for each quadrant within the KNUEA. The northeast quadrant environmental compensation and mitigation plan is summarized as follows.

- Realigned 40m corridor + 6m pathway for Shirley's Brook Tributary 2.
- Healthy and mature white cedars in the northwest corner of woodlot S20 should be retained as part of proposed parkland.
- Blanding's turtle compensation with shallow pans/pools and deep channel pockets.
- Rearyard flows from properties along the eastern boundary should be directed to culverts crossing the abandoned CN rail corridor to maintain flows in channels 'C' and 'D'.
- Re-grade ditch west of the former rail corridor to eliminate perched culverts and direct rearyard drainage to headwater channels east of the rail corridor.
- Replace headwater functions in protected stream corridors or other areas.

### 1.2 EXISTING CONDITIONS

The site is predominantly occupied by agricultural uses under existing conditions. An existing farmhouse is located in the middle of the northern property line. The lands to the west, south and east are also predominantly occupied by agricultural uses. A forested area exists in the southeast corner of the site. This forested area is part of the woodlot referred as S20 in the KNEMP.

The proposed development is located within the jurisdiction of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and within the Shirley's Brook sub-watershed. Tributary 2 of Shirley's Brook borders the south-west corner of the site, while three headwater drainage channels identified as E, C-West and D-West in the KNEMP cross the site as shown in **Figure 1**. Drainage channel E crosses the site from north to south before discharging into Tributary 2, while drainage channels C-West and D-West cross the site from west to east before discharging into the existing ditch west of the former CN railway corridor.



Introduction July 31, 2019

The existing elevations within the site range from 82 m to 7 0m generally draining from west to east. The western portion of the site drains to Tributary 2, while the rest of the site drains into Shirley's Brook via existing drainage channels to the east.

A significant soil ridge, approximately 9 m high with a slope of 8H:1V, runs in a north-south direction in the middle of the site. As identified in the KNEMP, test pits and boreholes conducted in the area of the existing slope were analyzed and it was concluded that the slope is stable with slope stability factors of safety greater than 1.5.

### 1.3 OBJECTIVE

This functional servicing report is being prepared in support of draft plan approval for the Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 Development. This report will provide a recommended servicing plan for the major municipal infrastructure needed to support development of the subject property. The review will be a macro level detail study with further details to be confirmed and provided during the detailed design process. This report will demonstrate how proposed municipal servicing is in conformance with the KNMSS and the KNEMP recommendations. Any deviation from the background documents will also be identified with rationalization for the change.

### 1.4 BACKGROUND RESOURCES

The following documents were referenced in the preparation of this report:

- Kanata North Community Design Plan, Novatech, June 28, 2016
- Kanata North Master Servicing Study, Novatech, June 28, 2016
- Kanata North Environmental Management Plan, Novatech, June 28, 2016
- Kanata North Transportation Master Plan, Novatech, June 28, 2016
- Consolidated Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation Kanata North Urban Expansion Area Community Development Plan March Road, Ottawa, Ontario, Paterson Group Inc., October 7, 2013
- Briar Ridge Sanitary Pumping Station Pre-Design Report, Cumming Cockburn Limited, March 2001

Additional documents referenced in designing the functional servicing plans for the proposed development include:

- Erosion & Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction, Greater Golden Horseshoe Area Conservation Authorities, December 2006
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment (Ontario), March 2003
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution, City of Ottawa, July 2010
  - o Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2, City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02, City of Ottawa, May 27, 2014



Introduction July 31, 2019

- o Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018
- City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., City of Ottawa, October 2012
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines Sewer, City of Ottawa, February 5, 2014. (ISDTB-2014-01)
  - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines Sewer, City of Ottawa, September 6, 2016. (PIEDTB-2016-01)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines Sewer, City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018. (ISTB-2018-01)



Potable Water July 31, 2019

## 2.0 POTABLE WATER

The KNUEA is located within the existing City of Ottawa 2W pressure zone. An existing 400 mm diameter watermain on March Road services the existing subdivisions south of Maxwell Bridge Road, while a network of 200 mm and 300 mm diameter trunk watermains services the residential subdivision south of the proposed Minto Development to the south.

The KNMSS completed a review of the existing water plan adjacent to the area and made recommendations for improvements and expansion to the City's water transmission and distribution system to support the Kanata North Urban Expansion Area (KNUEA). Excerpts from the KNMSS outlining the design criteria and indicating the limits of existing watermains in the vicinity of the subject property are included in **Appendix A**.

The proposed development will ultimately be serviced through two watermain connections: a connection to a future 400 mm diameter watermain on March Road, and a connection to the watermain network within the future Minto development south of the site as shown on **Drawing WTR-1**.

Novatech is currently working on the March Road watermain design and it is anticipated that construction of the March Road watermain extension will start in late spring/early summer of 2019, with an anticipated completion date of fall 2020 (see correspondence in **Appendix A**). Design of the proposed watermain connections to the future Minto development to the south is currently being coordinated with DSEL.

The proposed watermain network will be designed in accordance with City of Ottawa Design Guidelines and the recommendations provided in the KNMSS summarized as follows.

- Site grading should not exceed 93 m to maintain minimum pressures greater than 40 psi.
- Services installed in areas where the grade is less than 74 m will need pressure reducing valves to keep the maximum pressure below 80 psi.

At the detailed design stage, a complete hydraulic analysis will be prepared for the proposed development water distribution network to confirm that water supply is available within the required pressure range under the anticipated demands during average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions prior to full buildout of the KNUEA.



Wastewater Servicing July 31, 2019

## 3.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

### 3.1 BACKGROUND

Two existing sanitary sewer systems will service the KNUAE as outlined in the KNMSS (see report excerpts in **Appendix B.2**).

The existing Brookside Subdivision located immediately south of the future Minto Development to the south of the proposed development is serviced by the sanitary sewer network that conveys wastewater to the Briar Ridge Pump Station (BRPS), located south of Klondike Road and east of the former CN railway corridor. The BRPS discharges into the East March Trunk sanitary sewer. Two pumps are currently operating in the BRPS and a third is to be added when necessary per the Briar Ridge Sanitary Pumping Station Pre-Design Report. BRPS upgrades are included in the Infrastructure Master Plan (City of Ottawa, 2013) and the City of Ottawa 2014 Development Charges Background Study (October 27, 2017) with anticipated timing for construction between 2019 – 2031. The KNUEA owners' group is in the process of coordinating with the City to ensure that the BRPS upgrades are appropriately budgeted and scheduled to accommodate the buildout of the study area. The KNMSS assumed sewage from 19.80 ha within the proposed site would be directed to the BRPS outlet with a total peak flow of 26.4 L/s (see KNMSS excerpts in **Appendix B.2**).

The second sanitary sewer system consists of the future extension of the sanitary trunk sewer on March Road and the upgrade of the existing 375 mm diameter sanitary sewer on Shirley's Brook Drive to 600 mm diameter. The existing sanitary on Shirley's Brook Drive discharges into the East March Trunk sanitary sewer. The sanitary trunk sewer on March Road is in the latest stages of design and it is anticipated that construction of the March Road sanitary trunk sewer will start in late spring/early summer of 2019, with an anticipated completion date of fall 2020 (see correspondence in **Appendix A**). The KNMSS assumed sewage from 19.99 ha within the proposed site would be directed to the March Road outlet with a total peak flow of 28.7 L/s (see KNMSS excerpts in **Appendix B.2**).

As part of the KNMSS, a hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis was completed on the BRPS to ensure that, when the future lands within the KNUEA are added to the system, there were no negative impacts to the existing developments. The existing BRPS has two existing overflow outlets to provide relief to the system in the event of failure. The analysis concluded that an additional overflow outlet discharging into the KNUEA SWM Pond 3 (Overflow invert of 67.50 m) would be required to minimize any negative impacts on the existing subdivision.

### 3.2 DESIGN CRITERIA

The conceptual sanitary sewer design sheet is included in **Appendix B.1**. The sewers are to be designed in conformance with all relevant City of Ottawa and MECP Guidelines and Policies. Per ISTB-2018-01, the City's current design parameters represent a flow reduction from the outdated



Wastewater Servicing July 31, 2019

standards used within the KNMSS. As a result, the revised sanitary sewer design criteria differs from the criteria previously used in the KNMSS as shown in the table below.

Design Parameters	Revised Design Criteria (City Guidelines - 2018)	2016 KNMSS Criteria		
Minimum Velocity (m/s)	0.6			
Maximum Velocity (m/s)	3.0	)		
Manning roughness coefficient for all smooth wall pipes	0.0	13		
Minimum size	200mm dia. for residential areas, 250mm for commercial areas			
Single Family Persons per unit	3.4	3.4		
Townhouse Persons per unit	2.7 2.7			
Average Apartment Persons per unit	1.8 1.8			
Extraneous Flow Allowance (L/s/ha)	0.33 0.28			
Manhole Spacing (m)	120 m			
Minimum Cover (m)	2.5 m			
Average Daily Discharge / Person (L/cap/day)	280	350		
Harmon Correction Factor	0.8 1.0			
Institutional Daily Flow (L/ha/day)	28,000 28,000			
Commercial Daily Flow (L/ha/day)	50,000	50,000		

#### Table 1: Sanitary Sewer Design Criteria Comparison

### 3.3 PROPOSED SERVICING

The wastewater servicing strategy for the proposed site was considered within the KNMSS, with a portion of the study area draining to the south, connecting to the sanitary sewer system within the future Minto Development, and the remaining portion of the site draining to the west, connecting to the future 600 mm diameter trunk sanitary sewer on March Road.

**Drawing SAN-1** illustrates the conceptual main trunk sewer alignment and sanitary drainage areas. As per the KNMSS, the proposed development sanitary sewer system is split between the future Minto Development sanitary sewers draining south toward the BRPS and the future March Road sanitary sewer, both currently in the design stages. The proposed location of the drainage split is approximately the top of the existing soil ridge, with all lands east of the split draining south to BRPS and lands west of the split draining to March Road.

The proposed development will be serviced by a network of gravity sewers, designed in accordance with the wastewater design parameters from ISTB-2018-01 and the Sewer Design



Wastewater Servicing July 31, 2019

Guidelines, summarized in **Table 1**. These design parameters represent a flow reduction from the outdated wastewater design parameters used during the KNMSS design.

The conceptual sanitary sewer design sheet can be found in **Appendix B.1**. A breakdown of the estimated sewage peak flows that will be directed to each outlet is shown in **Table 2**.

Outlet	Residential Population (persons)	Residential Peak Flow (L/s)	Institutional Area (ha)	Commercial Area (ha)	Commercial /Institutional Peak Flow (L/s)	Total Area (ha)	Extraneous Flow (L/s)	Total Peak Flow (L/s)
BRPS (via Minto Development)	1,270	13.1	N/A	N/A	N/A	21.05	6.9	20.1
March Road	863	9.2	2.92	3.54	3.1	17.46	5.8	18.1

#### Table 2: Estimated Wastewater Peak Flows

As can be seen in the above table, the total estimated peak flows to the March Road outlet and BRPS outlet are less than the peak flows assumed in the KNMSS of 28.7 L/s and 26.4 L/s respectively.

It is anticipated that a sanitary HGL analysis will be completed for the proposed sanitary sewer system tributary to the BRPS at the detailed design stage, once design information on the sanitary overflow and KNUEA SWM Pond 3 have been finalized.



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

## 4.0 STORM DRAINAGE

The proposed development encompasses approximately 38.5 ha of land at 66% imperviousness and comprises a school block, two commercial/mixed use blocks, designated park land, a medium density residential block, and a mix of single family homes and town homes. The proposed development storm sewer system will be sized to convey runoff from a portion of urbanized March Road (1.14 ha at 71% imperviousness). Post development runoff from the development will be directed to the KNUEA SWM Pond 3 which is currently being designed as part of the Minto Development to the south. The KNUEA SWM Pond 3 will provide quantity and quality control (80% TSS removal) of runoff before discharging into Shirley's Brook. **Drawing STM-1** shows the overall major and minor system flow direction, storm drainage areas and extent of external areas.

The storm drainage objective is to complete a conceptual stormwater management plan for the proposed development that meets all relevant design criteria.

### 4.1 BACKGROUND

The study area is located within the Shirley's Brook sub-watershed. Under existing conditions the western portion of the study area drains into Shirley's Brook via Shirley's Brook Tributary 2. The eastern portion of the study area drains into Shirley's Brook to the east via existing drainage channels. See **Appendix C** for KNMSS excerpts showing existing drainage patterns for the site.

The KNMSS outlined the SWM criteria for the proposed development. Both the minor and major systems are to be directed towards the KNUEA SWM Pond 3.

**Drawing STM-1** illustrates the proposed trunk storm sewer network, drainage areas and overland flow direction. The site trunk storm sewers will convey stormwater runoff from the study area and a portion of March Road. The storm sewer network ultimately drains towards the KNUEA SWM Pond 3 to the east. Local storm sewers will provide service to all roads and development blocks within the proposed development. Major flows from the portion of urbanized March Road north of the proposed Street 1 will be routed through the site to the designated outlet.

The KNMSS outlined that the proposed storm sewers be sized to convey the 5-year storm for local and collector roads and the 10-year storm for arterial roads. However, the proposed storm sewer system will be designed in accordance with the latest City of Ottawa SWM bulletins (see **Section 1.4**) which deviate from the design criteria used in the KNMSS. The proposed storm sewers and inlet control devices (ICDs) will be designed to convey/capture the 2-year runoff for local streets, 5-year runoff for collector roads, and 10-year runoff for arterial roads.



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

### 4.2 DESIGN CONSTRAINTS AND REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS

The following summarizes the SWM criteria and constraints that will govern the detailed design of the proposed development as per the governing background studies.

- Design using the dual drainage principle.
- 'Enhanced' level of treatment as per MECP recommendations which represent an equivalent 80% TSS removal to be provided in the KNUEA SWM Pond 3.
- Quantity control to be provided in the KNUEA SWM Pond 3.
- Maximum 100-year water depth of 0.35 m in road sags, including overflow spill depth.
- Proposed school block and commercial/mixed-use blocks to provide on-site storage for all storms up to the 100-year storm.
- Rear-yard storage is not to be included in calculations.
- Rearyard flows from properties along the eastern boundary should be directed to culverts crossing the abandoned CN rail corridor to maintain flows in channels 'C' and 'D'.
- Re-grade ditch west of the former rail corridor to eliminate perched culverts and direct rearyard drainage to headwater channels east of the rail corridor
- As per the KN MSS, parks are estimated to discharge up to 12 L/s/ha to the major system. No surface storage has been assumed for park areas at this stage, for conservatism.
- 100-year hydraulic grade line (HGL) to be a minimum 0.30 m below lowest building underside of footing elevation.
- Design inlets along local roadways to capture the 2-year peak flow.
- Design inlets within the school and commercial blocks, and along collector roadways to capture the 5-year peak flow.
- Design storm sewers along local and collector roadways to convey the 2-year and 5year peak flow respectively under free-flow conditions using 2004 City of Ottawa I-D-F parameters and an inlet time of 10 minutes.
- Provide adequate emergency overflow conveyance as shown on Drawing STM-1.
- Design and submit a detailed erosion control plan.

### 4.3 **PROPOSED CONDITIONS**

As outlined in the KNMSS, major system peak flows from the proposed site and from the portion of March Road identified as area A211A on **Drawing STM-1** will be directed east the KNUEA SWM Pond 3. The proposed conceptual grading plan is outlined on **Drawing GP-1** which shows that the proposed development will have two major system flow outlets. One major system outlet along Street 1, through Block 306 which will discharge into the existing ditch west of the abandoned CN railway corridor. The second major system outlet will be provided along Street 6, through Block 303, which will also discharge into the existing ditch west of the abandoned CN



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

railway corridor. The extent of regrading required along the existing ditch on the west side of the CN railway corridor will be confirmed at the detailed design stage to ensure positive drainage and sufficient conveyance capacity.

As per the MSS, the proposed outlet for the minor system from the proposed development is the KNUEA SWM Pond 3. Pond 3 is to be situated south-east of the proposed development and is currently being designed by DSEL as part of the Minto Development to the south. A preliminary storm sewer design sheet is provided in **Appendix C.1**.

The KNUEA SWM Pond 3 is intended to provide Enhanced Protection quality control (80% TSS removal), and operate at a permanent pool elevation of 65.5m, consistent with the preliminary design presented in the KNEMP and KNMSS. Similarly, the preliminary design for Pond 3 presented in the KNEMP anticipates a 100-year water level of 67.0m.

### 4.4 POST DEVELOPMENT CONCEPTUAL MODELING RATIONALE

Hydrologic and hydraulic modeling of the proposed storm sewer system was completed using PCSWMM modeling software which uses the EPA-SWMM 5.1.012 computational engine for analysis. The included models can also be opened and reviewed using the free EPA-SWMM GUI. PCSWMM model layout, input parameters, and example input file are provided in **Appendix C**. Electronic model files are provided on the enclosed CD. As previously noted, the site design is currently at a conceptual level and will be further refined at the detailed design stage. The following sections summarize the input parameters used in the conceptual post development model.

### 4.4.1 SWMM Dual Drainage Methodology

The proposed development is modeled in one modeling program as a dual conduit system (see **Figure 2**), with: 1) circular conduits representing the sewers & junction nodes representing manholes; 2) irregular conduits using street-shaped cross-sections to represent the approximate overland road network and storage nodes representing catchbasins. The dual drainage systems are connected via outlet link objects from storage node (i.e. CB) to junction (i.e. MH), and represent inlet control devices (ICDs). Subcatchments are linked to the storage node on the surface so that generated hydrographs are directed there firstly.



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019



Figure 2 : Schematic Representing Model Object Roles

Storage nodes are used in the model to represent catchbasins. The invert of the storage node represents the invert of the CB and the rim of the storage node represents the top of the CB plus the allowable flow depth on the segment. For the purpose of this conceptual SWM plan, CB inverts have been assumed to be 2 m below the top of the CB and a flow depth of 0.60 m has been assumed on grassed swale segments and of 0.40 m on road segments.

Storage nodes on some street catchments were assigned a storage curve assuming a maximum storage of 30 m<sup>3</sup>/ha, based on conceptual grading, while sufficient storage to contain the 100-year overflows was assumed for the school block and the commercial/mixed-use blocks. Storage curves in PCSWMM are required to be input as depth-area curves, as such an equivalent area was calculated at a depth of 2.35 m. All storage was assumed to occur between the top of the CB (2.0 m head) and a 0.35 m depth (2.35 m head) prior to spilling into the downstream segment. If the available storage volume in a storage node is exceeded, flows spill above the storage node and into the downstream irregular conduit (representing roads) and continue routing through the system until ultimately flows reach the outfall of the major system. No storage has been accounted for within storage nodes at park areas and some street catchments that are expected to have limited or no sags. Capture curves were defined for each catchment to restrict outlet link flows to the 2-year for local streets, 5-year rate for collector roads, the school and commercial blocks, and 10-year rate for arterial roads.



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

#### 4.4.2 Land Use

The proposed site will be developed as a mixture of low and medium density residential areas with one park area, a school, and two commercial blocks. Runoff coefficients based on land use were obtained from the KNMSS as shown in the excerpts included in **Appendix C**.

#### 4.4.3 Design Storms

The 3 hour Chicago distribution was selected to estimate the 2-year, 5-year and 10-year capture rates for the proposed and external subcatchments, and to assess the 100-year HGL across the proposed development. The Chicago distribution was selected due to its tendency to generate high peak flows in urban catchments, similar to the proposed development. The following storm events were used to evaluate the preliminary minor and major systems performance and assess the preliminary 100-year HGL across the development:

- 2-year, 3 hour Chicago storm, 10-minute time step (2yr3hrChicago)
- 5-year, 3 hour Chicago storm, 10-minute time step (5yr3hrChicago)
- 10-year, 3 hour Chicago storm, 10-minute time step (10yr3hrChicago)
- 100-year, 3 hour Chicago storm, 10-minute time step (100yr3hrChicago)

#### 4.4.4 Boundary Conditions

A static backwater elevation of 67.00 m equal to the 100-year water level in the KNUEA SWM Pond 3 as outlined in the KNMSS was used to assess the preliminary 100-year HGL across the site.

#### 4.4.5 Modeling Parameters

Table 3 presents the general subcatchment parameters used:

Subcatchment Parameter	Value
Infiltration Method	Horton
Max. Infil. Rate (mm/hr)	76.2
Min. Infil. Rate (mm/hr)	13.2
Decay Constant (1/hr)	4.14
N Imperv	0.013
N Perv	0.25
Dstore Imperv (mm)	1.57
Dstore Perv (mm)	4.67
Zero Imperv (%)	0

#### **Table 3: General Subcatchment Parameters**



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

**Table 4** presents the individual parameters that vary for each of the proposed and external subcatchments.

	Area	Width	Slope	%	Runoff	Subarea	% Routed
Aleu ID	(ha)	(m)	(%)	Impervious	Coefficient	Routing	78 KOUleu
A211A	0.44	102.0	1.0	71.4%	0.70	OUTLET	100
A211B	0.70	169.0	1.0	71.4%	0.70	OUTLET	100
C203A	0.73	162.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C204A	1.90	725.0	2.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	20
C204B	0.31	80.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C205A	2.92	657.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	30
C205B	0.71	260.0	2.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C205C	0.87	255.0	2.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C205D	2.46	798.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	20
C206A	1.22	274.5	1.0	92.9%	0.85	OUTLET	100
C206B	1.25	473.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C206C	1.93	434.3	1.0	92.9%	0.85	OUTLET	100
C206D	0.65	131.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C206E	0.63	223.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C209A	1.71	384.8	3.0	28.6%	0.40	PERVIOUS	100
C209B	0.39	66.0	2.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
C209C	1.84	411.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
L202A	5.07	2088.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	20
L207A	2.12	734.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	20
L207B	2.56	768.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	20
L208A	2.33	865.0	1.0	71.4%	0.70	OUTLET	100
L209A	1.58	367.0	2.0	64.3%	0.65	OUTLET	100
L210A	5.33	1580.0	1.0	64.3%	0.65	PERVIOUS	20

#### **Table 4: Conceptual Subcatchment Parameters**

 The width parameter was estimated as twice the road/rear yard swale for two-sided catchments and equal to the length of the road/rear yard swale for one-sided catchments. The width parameter for the school and commercial blocks was defined as 225m/ha as per the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

 Table 5 summarizes the storage node parameters used in the conceptual model.

**Table 5: Storage Node Parameters** 

Storage Node	Invert Elevation (m)	Rim Elevation (m)	Total Depth (m)	Surface Storage (m³)
A211A-S	80.70	83.10	2.40	0
A211B-S	81.04	83.44	2.40	0
C203A-S	69.85	72.90	3.05	0
C204A-S	71.33	74.38	3.05	57
C204B-S	70.66	73.06	2.40	0
C205A-S	72.68	75.73	3.05	310
C205B-S	72.89	75.94	3.05	21



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

Storage Node	Invert Elevation (m)	Rim Elevation (m)	Total Depth (m)	Surface Storage (m³)
C205C-S	73.15	75.55	2.40	0
C205D-S	78.80	81.85	3.05	0
C206A-S	77.96	81.01	3.05	105
C206B-S	77.78	80.83	3.05	0
C206C-S	77.96	81.01	3.05	166
C206D-S	78.45	81.50	3.05	19
C206E-S	78.81	81.21	2.40	0
C209A-S	70.55	73.15	2.60	0
C209B-S	73.24	75.64	2.40	0
C209C-S	70.15	73.20	3.05	0
L202A-S	70.82	73.87	3.05	0
L207A-S	79.10	82.15	3.05	64
L207B-S	78.69	81.74	3.05	0
L208A-S	79.06	82.11	3.05	0
L209A-S	70.58	72.98	2.40	0
L210A-S	70.85	73.90	3.05	0
A211A-S	80.70	83.10	2.40	0

1. School and commercial blocks (storage nodes C205A-S, C206A-S and C206C-S) were assumed to provide on-site storage for up to the 100-year storm.

As per the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (OSDG 2012), Manning's roughness values of 0.013 were used for sewer modeling and overland flow corridors representing roadways.

Table 6 summarizes the outlet link maximum flow rates for the 100-year, 3hr Chicago storm event.

Outlet Name	Drainage Area ID	Inlet Node	Outlet Node	Invert Elevation (m)	100-year Minor System Capture Rate (L/s)
A211A-IC	A211A	A211A-S	211	80.70	121.31
A211B-IC	A211B	A211B-S	211	81.04	218.85
C206B-IC	C206B	C206B-S	206	77.78	288.00
C206A-IC	C206A	C206A-S	206	77.96	373.00
C206C-IC	C206C	C206C-S	206	77.96	588.00
C206E-IC	C206E	C206E-S	206	78.81	134.35
C206D-IC	C206D	C206D-S	206	78.45	143.90
L207A-IC	L207A	L207A-S	207	79.10	284.00
L207B-IC	L207B	L207B-S	207A	78.69	338.00
L208A-IC	L208A	L208A-S	208	79.06	393.00
C205D-IC	C205D	C205D-S	205	78.80	526.50
C205C-IC	C205C	C205C-S	205	73.15	190.13
C204A-IC	C204A	C204A-S	204	71.33	444.00
C205A-IC	C205A	C205A-S	205	72.68	562.57

#### Table 6: Conceptual Minor System Capture Rates



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

Outlet Name	Drainage Area ID	Inlet Node	Outlet Node	Invert Elevation (m)	100-year Minor System Capture Rate (L/s)
C205B-IC	C205B	C205B-S	205	72.89	167.80
L209A-IC	L209A	L209A-S	209	70.58	229.52
C209A-IC	C209A	C209A-S	209	70.55	141.31
C209C-IC	C209C	C209C-S	209	70.15	407.80
C209B-IC	C209B	C209B-S	209	73.24	79.15
L210A-IC	L210A	L210A-S	210	70.85	703.20
C204B-IC	C204B	C204B-S	204	70.66	65.10
C203A-IC	C203A	C203A-S	203	69.85	161.60
L202A-IC	L202A	L202A-S	202	70.82	689.60

Exit losses at manholes were set for all pipe segments based on the flow angle through the structure. Exit losses were assigned as per City guidelines (Appendix 6b), see **Table 7** below.

Degrees	Coefficient
11	0.060
22	0.140
30	0.210
45	0.390
60	0.640
90	1.320
180	0.020

#### Table 7: Exit Loss Coefficients for Bends at Manholes

### 4.5 CONCEPTUAL MODEL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following section summarizes the key hydrologic and hydraulic conceptual model results. For detailed model results or inputs please refer to the example input file in **Appendix C.3** and the electronic model files on the enclosed CD.

### 4.5.1 Proposed Development Conceptual Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis

The preliminary 100-year hydraulic grade line (HGL) elevation across the proposed development was estimated using the functional post development PCSWMM model for the 100-year, 3 hour Chicago storm with the conceptual 100-year water level in the KNUEA SWM Pond 3 (67.00 m) as a fixed boundary condition. **Table 8** below presents the clearance between the trunk sewer 100-year HGL and the proposed road grade along the trunk sewer. The storm sewer design sheet is included in **Appendix C.1**.



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

STM MH	Prop. Grade (m)	3 HR Chicago HGL (m)	Prop. Grade-HGL Clearance (m)
182	70.54	67.69	2.85
201	72.62	68.02	4.60
202	72.50	68.78	3.72
203	72.66	69.67	2.99
204	72.74	69.98	2.76
205	80.27	70.53	9.74
206	81.91	77.76	4.15
207	80.55	76.05	4.50
207A	80.14	76.02	4.12
208	80.29	75.87	4.42
209	72.58	70.34	2.24
210	72.49	69.96	2.53
211	82.12	78.19	3.93

#### Table 8: Valecraft Homes Part of Lot 13, Concession 4 Functional HGL Results

The model results indicate that there is sufficient clearance between the preliminary 100-year HGL and the proposed road grades. Detailed grading of the proposed development will be completed based on the above results to ensure that a minimum clearance of 0.3 m is provided between all under side of footings (USFs) and the 100-year HGL, and that no basement flooding occurs in the climate change scenario.

#### 4.5.2 Major Flow

Major system peak flows from the proposed site and from the portion of March Road identified as area A211A on **Drawing STM-1** will be directed east the KNUEA SWM Pond 3. Major flows from the northern half of the site will be directed along Street 1 to Block 306 and ultimately to the existing ditch west of the abandoned CN railway corridor. Major flows from the southern half of the site will be directed along Street 6 to Block 303 and ultimately to the existing ditch west of the abandoned CN railway corridor. The extent of regrading required along the existing ditch on the west side of the CN railway corridor will be confirmed at the detailed design stage to ensure positive drainage and sufficient conveyance capacity.

The PCSWMM model is based on lumped drainage areas with major system storage represented in storage nodes that overestimate the major system peak flows to the proposed major system



Storm Drainage July 31, 2019

outlets. It is anticipated that the actual major system peak flow contribution from the proposed development will be much lower once detailed grading is completed and the actual road configuration with available sag storage is included in the model during detailed design.

The northern major flow outlet through Block 306 was modeled in PCSWMM as a trapezoidal channel with a 3m-wide bottom, 3:1 side slopes, average longitudinal slope of 4.5%, and 0.6 m depth. Similarly, the southern major flow outlet through Block 303 was modeled as a trapezoidal channel with 2m-wide bottom, 3:1 side slopes, 4.9% longitudinal slope, and 0.4 m depth. The maximum flow depth, velocity and peak flow have been obtained from PCSWMM and the results are presented in **Table 9** below for the 100-year, 3 hour Chicago storm which is commonly used to evaluate the urban component of dual drainage, specifically on-site detention.

Location	Peak Flow (L/s)	Depth (m)
Northern Major System Outlet – Block 306	1,698	0.25
Northern Most Downstream Street – Street 1	1,048	0.20
Southern Major System Outlet – Block 303	1,852	0.29
Northern Most Downstream Street – Street 6	1,890	0.28

#### Table 9: 100-Year, 3hr Chicago Overland Flow Results



Geotechnical Considerations and Grading July 31, 2019

## 5.0 GEOTECHNICAL CONSIDERATIONS AND GRADING

A Geotechnical Report was prepared by Paterson Group in 2013 as part of the KNMSS to outline the geotechnical constraints for the KNUEA CDP. The report consolidated all existing geotechnical studies completed for individual properties. The proposed development lands were identified as 1020 March Road and 1070 March Road in the geotechnical investigation.

The geotechnical investigation concluded that the undeveloped parcel on 1070 March Road which represents the northern portion of the site consists of topsoil and compact silty sand or stiff silty clay. A glacial till layer was noted at all test pit locations. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered between 0.9 m and 4.6 m depth at all test pit locations.

The undeveloped parcel on 1020 March Road which represents most of the site consists of topsoil, compact silty sand, stiff silty clay and/or a glacial till layer. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered between 0.2 and 4 m depth at most test pit locations.

Groundwater levels measured in March 2013 showed levels that ranged between 1.4 m to 2.2 m for 1070 March Road and 1.2 m to 4.1 m for 1020 March Road.

Based on the information provided, no grade raise restriction exist for the northern edge of the site (1070 March Road), and up to 2 m grade raise restriction is expected for the rest of the site.

A Permit to Take Water (PTTW) was recommended to be obtained for the site servicing work due to the potential for groundwater inflow in areas of rock excavation (excerpts from the geotechnical report are included in **Appendix D**)

Preliminary grading for the proposed site has been provided as shown on **Drawing GP-1**. Grading design has been provided to direct overland flows from the proposed development and a section of March Road to the east to the existing ditch on the west side of the abandoned CN railway corridor. Proposed grades along March Road were obtained from the Kanata North MSS Master Grading Plan which assumes March Road will be fully urbanized. Proposed grading along the southern property line adjacent to the future Minto Development has been coordinated with DSEL.



Erosion Control During Construction July 31, 2019

### 6.0 **EROSION CONTROL DURING CONSTRUCTION**

Erosion and sediment controls must be in place during construction. The following recommendations to the contractor will be included in contract documents.

- 1. Until the local storm sewer and SWM pond are constructed, groundwater in trenches will be pumped into a filter mechanism prior to release to the environment. After construction of the SWM facility, any construction dewatering will be routed to the nearest storm sewer.
- 2. Seepage barriers to be constructed in any temporary drainage ditches.
- 3. Install a silt fence along the site perimeter.
- 4. Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time.
- 5. Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.
- 6. Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- 7. Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches.
- 8. Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering.
- 9. Install sediment traps (such as SiltSack® by Terrafix) between catchbasins and frames.
- 10. Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding.

The contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance. The inspection is to include:

- 1. Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers.
- 2. Clean and change silt traps at catchbasins.



Utilities July 31, 2019

## 7.0 UTILITIES

Utility services were consulted as part of the KNMSS process to provide information regarding their existing infrastructure, initial plans for servicing the KNUEA, and identify any known constraints.

Hydro Ottawa is reported to have overhead infrastructure running through the KNUEA on the east side of March Road. Per the KNMSS, the existing infrastructure on March Road will need to be upgraded in order to service the KNUEA.

Similarly, Enbridge Gas is reported to have service extended off the 6" high-pressure gas main within the west side of March Road near the site.

Bell and Rogers are reported to have services up to the intersection of March Road and Old Carp Road, southwest of the study area. Service to the KNUEA would extend off this location. Per the KNMSS, Rogers' existing infrastructure would require upgrading to service the KNUEA.



Approvals July 31, 2019

## 8.0 APPROVALS

The City of Ottawa will review and approve most development applications as they relate to provision of water supply, wastewater collection and disposal, and stormwater conveyance and treatment. The City of Ottawa will issue a commence work notification for construction of the sanitary and storm sewers once an Environmental Compliance Approvals (ECA) is issued by the Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP).

Ontario Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approvals (ECA) will be required for the proposed subdivision works related to stormwater management, inlet control devices, storm sewers and sanitary sewers. The MECP is expected to review the proposed servicing works by transfer of review submission.

A Permit under Ontario Regulation 153/06, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation is expected to be required from the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) due to alterations of existing watercourses through site as part of the proposed development.

An MECP Permit to Take Water (PTTW) may be required for the site. The geotechnical consultant shall confirm at the time of application that a PTTW is required.



Conclusions July 31, 2019

## 9.0 CONCLUSIONS

### 9.1 WATER SERVICING

The KNUEA is located within the existing City of Ottawa 2Ww pressure zone. The proposed development will be serviced through two watermain connections: a connection to a future 400 mm diameter watermain on March Road, and a connection to the watermain network within the future Minto development south of the site.

At the detailed design stage, a complete hydraulic analysis will be prepared for the proposed development water distribution network to confirm that water supply is available within the required pressure range under the anticipated demands during average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions prior to full buildout of the KNUEA.

### 9.2 SANITARY SERVICING

The proposed development will be serviced by a network of gravity sewers. The wastewater servicing strategy for the proposed site was considered within the KNMSS, with a portion of the study area draining to the south, connecting to the sanitary sewer system within the future Minto Development, and the remaining portion of the site draining to the west, connecting to the future 600 mm diameter trunk sanitary sewer on March Road.

The total estimated peak flows to the March Road outlet and BRPS outlet are less than the peak flows assumed in the KNMSS of 28.7 L/s and 26.4 L/s respectively.

It is anticipated that a sanitary HGL analysis will be completed for the proposed sanitary sewer system tributary to the BRPS at the detailed design stage, once design information on the sanitary overflow and KNUEA SWM Pond 3 have been finalized.

### 9.3 STORMWATER SERVICING

The proposed stormwater management plan is in compliance with the requirements outlined in the background documents and the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

Capture curves were defined for each catchment to restrict inflow rates to the sewer to the 2year runoff for local streets and the 5-year runoff for commercial/institutional blocks and for collector roads as per the City design criteria. Major system peak flows from the entire site will be directed towards the KNUEA SWM Pond 3.

Quantity and 'Enhanced' quality control will be provided in the KNUEA SWM Pond 3 to restrict peak flows to Shirley's Brook to existing conditions and to achieve 80% TSS removal.



Conclusions July 31, 2019

### 9.4 UTILITIES

Hydro Ottawa is reported to have overhead infrastructure running through the KNUEA on the east side of March Road. Per the KNMSS, the existing infrastructure on March Road will need to be upgraded in order to service the KNUEA.

Similarly, Enbridge Gas is reported to have service extended off the 6" high-pressure gas main within the west side of March Road near the site.

Bell and Rogers are reported to have services up to the intersection of March Road and Old Carp Road, southwest of the study area. Service to the KNUEA would extend off this location. Per the KNMSS, Rogers' existing infrastructure would require upgrading to service the KNUEA.

Exact size, location and routing of utilities will be finalized at the detailed design stage.



Appendix A Potable Water Servicing Background and Correspondence July 31, 2019

## Appendix A POTABLE WATER SERVICING BACKGROUND AND CORRESPONDENCE





A north-south feedermain generally follows the Teron Road / March Road corridor towards North Kanata. Between Shirley¢ Brook Drive and Klondike Road, the water main is reduced to a 400mm pipe and continues north to the Zone 2Ww boundary at Old Carp Road.

The Morganc Grant Pressure Zone is an isolated parcel located west of March Road and south of the Study Area. There is a small local pump station at the intersection of Klondike Road and Wimbledon Way to meet pressure servicing requirements in this area. The station is needed due to local high topography with ground elevations between 91m and 109m. The Morganc Grant Pump Station (MGPS) operates with discharge HGL values from 138m to 151m.

An existing water distribution schematic taken from the 2013 Infrastructure Master Plan is attached in **Appendix C**, and depicts a skeletonized system for the entire City of Ottawa. Most of the features discussed above can be identified on this high-level drawing. Figure 3 from the Stantec Report highlights the North Kanata area and depicts the Morgance Grant Pressure Zone and part of the 2Ww Pressure Zone, in relation to the Study Area.

#### 7.3 Planned Water Infrastructure

The City has identified several projects in the 2013 Infrastructure Master Plan to reinforce the current water distribution system. Specific to the WUC, some of these projects will directly affect the KNUEA, and have been listed below:

**March Road Pipe Upgrades:** the March Road Watermain is predominantly a 600mm feedermain system with several short sections of 400mm pipe. These smaller pipe segments restrict capacity, and reduce system pressure in North Kanata. Replacement of the undersized pipes with 600mm conduit is proposed and construction is expected between 2019-2024 in the 2013 IMP. The timing of these upgrades is based on demand due to growth.

**Morgan's Grant Secondary Supply and PRV:** the objective of this project is to provide a secondary link between the 3W pressure zone and the Morgance Grant pressure zone. This infrastructure would improve system reliability in the event of mechanical failure at the MGPS. Staff advises this project has not been scheduled. This project is only relevant to the Study Area if ites determined a connection is needed to this pressure zone.

**Glen Cairn Pump Station Upgrades & Reservoir Expansion:** these are two distinct projects. City staff advises some pump improvements were done recently at the same time as the Campeau Drive facility works. Additional upgrades are expected in the future, the timing and need for which will be strongly linked to growth in the WUC.

No work is currently scheduled on the reservoir expansion. City staff has indicated work on the reservoir will be needed around 2019.

It is proposed to extend the existing 406mm diameter watermain along March Road north to service the development. A secondary connection to the existing watermain along Old Carp Road at Halton or at Celtic Ridge can be made with a 305mm diameter watermain to provide redundancy in the system. Figure 2-1, from the Stantec Report, shows the preliminary proposed watermain system and connection points to the existing system.

Refer to **Figure 7.1** for proposed onsite watermain infrastructure. Detailed watermain drawings (112117-WM1 and 112117-WM2) are included in **Appendix D**. There are some watermain crossings proposed under Tributaries 2 and 3. The proposed trenches for these crossings will be in rock and will require a clay cap to prevent surface water in the tributaries from migrating into the underlying trenches. Details of the proposed crossings will be provided at detailed design.

Based on the modelling completed by Stantec, the following recommendations were made:

- The Kanata North Urban Expansion should be serviced entirely from the Zone 2Ww pressure zone due to topography and location.
- Site grading should not exceed 93m to maintain minimum pressures greater than 40 psi.
- Services installed in areas where the grade is less than 74m will need pressure reducing valves to keep the maximum pressure below 80 psi.
- To improve minimum pressures, two sections of off-site 406mm diameter watermain could be upgraded to reduce headloss from full buildout demands. In particular the upgrade along March Road and Solandt Drive would be required prior to any development within the KNUEA above the 93m elevation.
- A secondary connection from Old Carp Road is the preferred secondary connection over the Celtic Ridge connection. However, either connection will adequately service the development.

It should be noted that it is not anticipated that site grading within the KNUEA will be above the 93m elevation. Therefore, the two existing sections along March Road and Solandt Drive do not require upgrading to service the KNUEA. Also, in the ultimate, full build out scenario, both secondary connections should be completed.

The staging of development is unknown at this time. The City has agreed that a maximum of 200 units can be constructed and serviced with the single watermain connection along March Road. Once more than 200 units have been constructed a secondary connection is required for system reliability. This secondary connection can either be at the Old Carp Road location, the Celtic Ridge location or a second watermain within the March Road ROW (in the interim). Internal looping will also be required as development progresses. This will be reviewed at the subdivision stage on a case by case basis.

The on-site servicing was evaluated in order to confirm the preferred servicing alternative and to understand the impacts of the servicing scenario and provide any mitigation required. On-site servicing was determined based on factors such as optimum routing to the outlet/connection points, minimizing creek crossings, avoiding crossing conflicts with other



#### 7.5 Water Summary and Recommendations

The following conclusions are presented as a summary of the findings of this hydraulic analysis, as completed by Stantec, for the KNUEA:

- The Kanata North Urban Expansion should be serviced entirely from the Zone 2Ww pressure zone due to topography and location.
- Site grading should not exceed 93m to maintain minimum pressures greater than 40 psi.
- Services installed in areas where the grade is less than 74m will need pressure reducing valves to keep the maximum pressure below 80 psi.
- A secondary connection from Old Carp Road is the preferred secondary connection over the Celtic Ridge connection. However, either connection will adequately service the development.
- It is recommended that both secondary connections be completed prior to full build out of the KNUEA. As an interim measure, a second watermain within the March Road ROW could be provided.
- A servicing evaluation was completed and is summarized to document the results using the criteria and indicators as shown in **Section 7.4** on the preferred water servicing solution.

From:	Smadella, Karin		
To:	Paerez, Ana		
Subject:	FW: March Road		
Date:	Thursday, May 23, 2019 3:56:15 PM		
Attachments:	116132-FD.pdf		
	<u>112117 PP PP-18.pdf</u>		
	<u>112117 PP PP-16.pdf</u>		
	<u>112117 PP PP-17.pdf</u>		

March Road and anticipated timing.

#### Karin Smadella, P.Eng.

Project Manager

Direct: 613 724-4371 Mobile: 613 698-8088 Karin.Smadella@stantec.com

Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Marc St.Pierre <m.stpierre@novatech-eng.com> Sent: Friday, May 03, 2019 2:18 PM To: Smadella, Karin <Karin.Smadella@stantec.com> Subject: March Road

Karin,

As requested, please find attached the following information.

- 1. March Road sanitary and water profile design drawings adjacent to the Kanata North development lands. These drawings have been circulated to the City and we are awaiting comments. We are anticipating a 2019 late spring/early summer construction start date with an anticipated completion date of fall 2020.
- 2. Functional intersection design of March Road and Street 1.

As discussed with Danny Page, Novatech will be responsible for preparing the Road Modification Approval (RMA) application for the shared intersection along March Road. Please find attached a PDF and CADD of the proposed March Road intersection. The intersection includes:

- Left turn lanes on all approaches
- Northbound right turn lane
- Bi-directional cross-ride on the south leg
- 1.5m median along the east and west legs, per City request on our original

submission.

The storage length for the left turn lanes have been calculated using future traffic projections presented in the KNUEA Transportation master Plan.

Can you please share this information with Lauren O'Grady.

Please advise if you have any comments on the geometry for the intersection or for the sanitary and water designs.

Regards

Marc St. Pierre, Senior Project Manager | Land Development Engineering NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects 240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON, K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 Ext: 247 | Cell: 613.229.9714 | Fax: 613.254.5867 The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.


	MARCH RD	FUTURE ROW
<del>сн. нон⊖н -<b> -</b>_он -ң</del> он-но⊖н	<del>┼фи┼н_ке_</del> он_н@}┼ฟ <mark>┼фи-нон-н</mark> Ѻ┿┤н <del>┼</del> он-нон-	ЕХ 600mmØ CSP NW INV=79.84 <u>-HЮ́І-HHО́І-HЮ́І-H</u> О́І-HО́І-HО́І-HО́І-HО́І- ЕХ <u>D</u> ITCHО́І-HО́І-IО́І-HО́І-IО́І-IО́І-IО́І-IО́І- ЕХ <u>D</u> ITCH
6+600	406mmØ PVC WM	45° APPROXIMATE EX EDGE OF SHOULDER EX EDGE OF ASPHALT 6+700
97	4m-600mmØ SAN @ 0.13%	EX EDGE OF ASPHALT APPROXIMATE EX EDGE OF SHOW OFF 119.4m-600mmØ SAN @ 0.13
ССССС Зв–он⊖∣-∳-онв-онв_онв∳оψ		
		FUTURE ROW
		WATERMAIN & SANITARY SEWER TO BE INSTALLED BY TRENCHLESS METHODS INSIDE A STEEL CASING AT CULVERT CROSSING (SEE DETAILS 4a-4b)

		Ø	
	BH 2-17	525 - (1500mm T/G=81.79	
	FILL: CRUSHED STONE		WG CH RD 
ALUMINUM SAFETY PLATFORM	FILL: BROWN SILTY SAND WITH CRUSHED STONE FILL: BROWN SILTY CLAY, TRACE CRUSHED STONE		FILL: CRUSHED STONE WITH SILTY SAND FILL: BROWN SILTY SAND WITH CRUSHED STONE FILL: BROWN SILTY CLAY.
	HARD, BROWN SILTY CLAY SOME SAND SEAMS AND TRACE GRAVEL BY 3.0m DEPTH		
EXTERNAL DROP STRUCTURE AS PER OPSD 1003.010	PRACTICAL REFUSAL	ALUMINUM SAFETY PLATFORM AS PER OPSD 404.020	ATERMAIN
		406mm WM TRENCH	ILESS SECTION
	600mmØ SAN		END OF BOREHOLE

			- OPEN CU	т —					
79.46	79.41	79.37			79.28	79.26 79.17		78.99	78.81
	9	C-301 (L) 7.4m - 600mmØ SAN AWWA @ 0.13%		<b>V</b> NW=75.72	SE=75.72				C-301 (L) — 119.4m - 600mmØ SAN — AWWA @ 0.13%
81.86	81.81	81.76	81.70			81.62		81.42	
009+9		6+650		r 000 - 0	0+000.4	002+9			
						SCALE	DESIGN		FOR REVIE
					0 5	1:500 10 15 20 ORIZONTAL	CHECKED DRAWN		
	1.	ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW/COMMENT	MARCH 8/19	FM	0 0.5	1:50 1.0 1.5 2.0	CHECKED		
	No.	REVISION	DATE	BY		VENHOAL			





SS												
·b)									OPEN			
77.54 78.57	78.57	78.57		78.58 78.59	78.60		78.61	78.61		78.63	78.64	
WW=75.37 SE=75.36					——— 152.0n AW	C-301(l n - 600m /WA @ (	-) mØ SAN					
<i>B1.05</i>			81.11	81.16	81.21	i	81.23		81.25			
6+944.97 6+948.06 6+950 6+952.6	6+959.26	6+963.53		7+000			7+050				7+091.01	
							SCALE	DESIGN		FO	R REVI	E
							1:500 0 5 10 15 20 HORIZONTAL	CHECKED DRAWN				
			1.	ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW/COMMENT	MARCH 8/19	FM	1:50 0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0					
			No.	REVISION	DATE	BY	VERTICAL					

	6					
	R R					
BH 5-17	523 - (1200m T/G=80.77			BH 6-17	- APPROXIMATE EXISTING CENTRELINE OF MARCH RD	
FILL:	CRESHED STONE SILTY SAND			WITH SIL	TY SAND	
FILL: WITH	BROWN SILTY SAND CRUSHED STONE			FILL: BR	OWN SILTY SAND WITH D STONE, SOME CLAY	
_						
						11
	AS PER OPSD 404.0	)20				11
		400	6mmØ WATERMAIN			<u>N</u>
// <sup>VER</sup>	Y STIFF, BROWN SILTY CLAY			HARD I BROWN	OVERYSTIFF, I SILTY CLAY ALUMIN AS PER	UM SAFETY PLATFORM OPSD 404.020
GLA CLA PRA	CIAL TILL: BROWN SILTY Y, ŞOME SAND AND GRAVEL, CTICAL REFUSAL					
				CLAY.	SOME SAND AND GRAVEL,	
				END OF	BOREHOLE 600	mmØ SAN
						∞ <u>₩</u>
45.0° VERT. BI	45.0° HOR. BE 45.0° HOR. BE					406mm VALVE

	FUTURE ROW	·	S
Сонции — н — он-н — Отой-н - + + он-н — он-н		-он-ноң-ңон-нон_ оннонноннон	EX 600mmØ CSP NW INV=79.22 SE INV =78.91 он-нон-нон-нон-н
45°			
	EX EDGE OF ASPHALT	7+050	7+
₩ <sup>BH 5-17</sup>	EX EDGE OF ASPHALT	🕱 <sup>BH</sup> 6-17	
	152.0m-600mmØ SAN (	@ 0.12%	
С	GGGGGG	ССССС - в-оң- - в-он- SE INV=80.36 NW INV =80.29	GGG — в-онB-онB-онB-онB-онB-он
NE INV =78.97	FUTURE ROW		
ANITARY SEWER TO BE RENCHLESS METHODS CASING (SEE DETAILS 3a-3b)			STREET A

CREEK FLOW THROUGH CULVERTS TO BE MAINTAINED AT ALL TIMES DURING

TRENCHLESS OPERATIONS





OPEN CUT						- TR - WM DF	ENCHLESS //SAN (SEE - TAIL 2a-b)		
77.61	77.47	77.33			77.04	75.71	75.71	76.29 76.78	76.70
1	C-301(L) 147.0m - 600mmØ SAN AWWA @ 0.13%				NW=74.77 SE=74.76				C-301(L) — 80.5m - 600mmØ SAN—— AWWA @ 0.47%
80.06	79.84	79.61	79.47		79.34			79.18	60 67
7+300		7+350			7+395.65 7‡ <u>3</u> 88.2	7+404.54	7+419.54	7+428.44	
					SCALE		DESIGN		FOR REVIE
					1:500 0 5 10 15 HORIZONTAL	20	CHECKED		
	1.	ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW/COMMENT	MARCH 8/19	FM	1:50 0 0.5 1.0 1.5	2.0	CHECKED		
	No.	REVISION	DATE	BY	VENTIONE				

Appendix B Sanitary Sewer Calculations July 31, 2019

# Appendix B SANITARY SEWER CALCULATIONS



Appendix B Sanitary Sewer Calculations July 31, 2019

# **B.1 CONCEPTUAL SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET**



		SUBDIVISION	l:						SANIT	ARY S	SEWER	2											DESIGN P	ARAMETERS											
5		Valecra	aft Home	s Part of	Lot 13,				DES	IGN SI	HEET	•																							
			COLES	51011 4					(Ci	ty of Otta	wa)				MAX PEAK F	ACTOR (RES.	.)=	4.0		AVG. DAILY	FLOW / PERSO	ON	280	) l/p/day		MINIMUM VE	ELOCITY		0.60	m/s					
		DATE:		7/31/	2019										MIN PEAK FA	ACTOR (RES.)	)=	2.0		COMMERCIA	NL.		28,000	) l/ha/day		MAXIMUM V	ELOCITY		3.00	m/s					
		REVISION			1										PEAKING FA	CTOR (INDUS	STRIAL):	2.4		INDUSTRIAL	(HEAVY)		55,000	) l/ha/day		MANNINGS	n		0.013						
Stanto	- r	DESIGNED	) BY:	A	'n	FILE NUM	IBER:	16040132	8						PEAKING FA	CTOR (ICI >20	D%):	1.5		INDUSTRIAL	(LIGHT)		35,000	) l/ha/day		BEDDING CL	LASS		В						
Stantes		CHECKED	BY:	A	ΛP										PERSONS / S	SINGLE		3.4		INSTITUTION	IAL		28,000	) l/ha/day		MINIMUM CO	OVER		2.50	m					
															PERSONS / 1	TOWNHOME		2.7		INFILTRATIO	N		0.33	8 I/s/Ha		HARMON CO	ORRECTION F	ACTOR	0.8						
						Draft Plan	Approval P	reliminary D	Design						PERSONS / /	APARTMENT		1.8																	
LOCATIO	ИС					RESIDENTIA	AL AREA AND	POPULATION	1			COMM	ERCIAL	INDUS	TRIAL (L)	INDUST	rrial (H)	INSTITU	JTIONAL	GREEN	UNUSED	C+I+I		INFILTRATION		TOTAL				PI	PE				
AREA ID	FROM	TO	AREA		UNITS		POP.	CUMU	JLATIVE	PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	FLOW	LENGTH	DIA	MATERIAL	CLASS	SLOPE	CAP.	CAP. V	VEL.	VEL.
NUMBER	M.H.	M.H.		SINGLE	TOWN	APT		AREA	POP.	FACT.	FLOW		AREA		AREA		AREA		AREA		AREA	FLOW	AREA	AREA	FLOW							(FULL)	PEAK FLOW	(FULL)	(ACT.)
			(ha)					(ha)			(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m)	(mm)			(%)	(l/s)	(%)	(m/s)	(m/s)
1108B, R108A	108	107	2.38	0	74	0	200	2.38	200	3.52	2.3	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.92	2.92	0.00	0.00	1.4	5.30	5.30	1.7	5.4	291.2	300	PVC	SDR 35	0.20	42.9	12.68%	0.61	0.35
R107C, R107B	107	110	4.37	43	69	0	333	6.74	532	3.37	5.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.92	0.00	0.00	1.4	4.37	9.66	3.2	10.4	160.0	300	PVC	SDR 35	0.20	42.9	24.26%	0.61	0.42
D1004	100	110	4.00	10	110	0	224	4.00	224	2.45	2.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	4.00	4.00	1 4	E 1	266.9	200	DVC	000.25	0.20	42.0	44 000/	0.61	0.24
RTU9A	109	110	4.20	10	110	U	331	4.20	331	3.45	3.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	4.20	4.20	1.4	D. I	200.0	300	FVC	3DR 33	0.20	42.9	11.00%	0.01	0.34
C110A	110	110A	0.00	0	0	0	0	11.00	863	3 27	9.2	3 54	3 54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2 92	0.00	0.00	3.1	3 54	17 46	5.8	18.1	161.0	300	PVC	SDR 35	0.20	42 9	42 05%	0.61	0.50
0110/1	110A	777	0.00	0	0	0	0	11.00	863	3.27	9.2	0.00	3.54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.92	0.00	0.00	3.1	0.00	17.46	5.8	18.1	36.2	300	PVC	SDR 35	0.20	42.9	42.05%	0.61	0.50
							-																					300							
Total t	to March Ro	oad Outlet:	11.00	53	253	0	863					3.54		0.00		0.00		2.92		0.00			17.46					300							
R102A	102	104	2.15	34	12	0	148	2.15	148	3.55	1.7	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	2.15	2.15	0.7	2.4	119.9	200	PVC	SDR 35	0.32	18.9	12.77%	0.60	0.34
R107A	107	106	1.33	10	14	0	72	1.33	72	3.62	0.8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.33	1.33	0.4	1.3	253.6	200	PVC	SDR 35	3.00	57.9	2.21%	1.82	0.63
R106A, R106B, G106C	106	104	4.56	69	15	0	275	5.89	347	3.44	3.9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.71	1.71	0.0	6.27	7.60	2.5	6.4	212.9	200	PVC	SDR 35	0.32	18.9	33.71%	0.60	0.45
	104	100	0.00	0	0	0	0	8.04	495	3.38	5.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.71	0.0	0.00	9.75	3.2	8.6	225.2	200	PVC	SDR 35	0.35	19.7	43.94%	0.62	0.51
Diese	405	101	4.00		~ ~ ~	•	070	4.00	070	0.40			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		1.00	1.00			105.5		DVO	000.05	0.00	40.0		0.00	0.40
R105A	105	101	4.28	62	24	0	2/6	4.28	276	3.48	3.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	4.28	4.28	1.4	4.5	195.5	200	PVC	SDR 35	0.32	18.9	23.87%	0.60	0.40
RIUTA	101	100	1.69	29	U	0	99	5.97	374	3.43	4.2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.69	5.97	2.0	0.1	99.9	200	FVC	3DK 35	0.32	18.9	JZ.40%	0.00	0.45
R100A	100	198	5 32	41	97	0	401	19 33	1270	3.18	13.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1 71	0.0	5 32	21.05	6.9	20.1	180.1	375	PVC	SDR 35	0.20	72.6	27 63%	0.69	0.49
	100	150	0.02		51	U	101	10.00	1210	0.10	10.1	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.7.1	0.0	0.02	21.00	0.0	20.1	100.1	375		021100	0.20	12.0	21.0070	0.03	0.40
Total to Briar Ridge	Pump Stat	ion Outlet:	19.33	245	162	0	1270					0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		1.71			21.05												
	1							1		1		1		1		1				1		1	1			1	1								

NOTES:

1. The following summarizes the peak flow and drainage area assumptions made in the Kanata North MSS (Novatech, 2016) for the proposed development. a. March Road Outlet = 19.99ha Total Peak Flow = 28.7 L/s

b. Briar Ridge Pump Station Outlet = 19.80ha Total Peak Flow = 26.4 L/s

 b. Briar Ridge Pump Station Outlet = 19.80ha Total Peak Flow = 26.4 L/s

 c. Medium density units for areas R107B and R108A are assumed to be 6m-wide back to back units for a total of 32 units per block.

Appendix B Sanitary Sewer Calculations July 31, 2019

# B.2 SANITARY DESIGN BACKGROUND REPORT EXCERPTS AND CORRESPONDENCE





SHT8x11 DWG - 216mmx279mm



COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN



TRUNK INFRASTRUCTURE

FEB 2016 N.T.S.

DATE

SCALE

112117



M:/2012/112117/CAD/Design/ MSS/FIGURES/FIGURE 7.2.dwg, Existing Boundaries, Feb 24, 2016 - 10:45am

SHT11X17.DWG - 279mmX432mm

## 6.6 Detailed Wastewater Servicing Evaluation

### 6.6.1 Onsite Servicing

### 6.6.1.1 Design Criteria

The proposed development will be serviced by a gravity sanitary sewer network within the road right-of-ways. A proposed trunk sanitary sewer (preferred wastewater Option 2) and associated drainage areas are shown on **Figure 6.6.1.1** and in more detail in the Onsite & Offsite Sanitary Drainage Area Plans (112117-SAN1 & 112117-SAN2.) for the KNUEA. Sanitary sewers, for the proposed development, are designed based on criteria established in Section 4.0 of the *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines* (October 2012) and are summarized as follows:

Commercial/Institutional flows = 50,000 L/ha/day Industrial flows = 35,000 L/ha/day Population Flow = 350 L/capita/day Infiltration = 0.28 L/s/ha Single Family Home = 3.4 persons per unit Townhouse = 2.7 persons per unit Apartment = 1.8 persons per unit Maximum Residential Peak Factor = 4.0 Commercial/Institutional Peak Factor = 1.5 Industrial Peak Factor = per MOE/City of Ottawa graph (included in **Appendix C-6**) Minimum velocity = 0.6m/s Manning**s** n = 0.013



### 6.6.1.2 Probable Wastewater Flow

Based on the land uses proposed in the Demonstration Plan (**Figure 4.2**) the following flow rates were calculated. Detailed flow calculations are included in **Appendix C-6**.

	Area (ha)	Units*	Population**	Population Flow (L/s)	Peak Factor	Infiltration (L/s)	Total Sanitary
							Flow (L/s)
Schools	11.12			9.7	1.5	3.1	12.8
Creek Corridor	12.22			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
SWM Blocks	4.08			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Parks	10.65			0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Commercial - Mixed Use	15.91			13.8	1.5	4.5	18.3
Park and Ride	2.54			0.0	0.0	0.7	0.7
Misc. Ex. Lands (School)	5.70			4.9	1.5	1.6	6.5
Fire Hall	0.83			0.7	1.5	0.2	1.0
Residential	80.10					22.4	22.4
Singles		1056	3590	43.6	3.0	0.0	0.0
Street Townhouse	64.14	1045	2822	34.3	3.0	0.0	0.0
Multi-Unit Residential	15.96	1144	2574	31.3	3.0	0.0	0.0
Roads	40.21			0.0	0.0	11.3	11.3
Total	183.36	3339	8986	138.4		43.8	182.2

Table	6.6.1	– Land	Use and	Probable	Flow
IUNIC	0.0.1	Lana	000 0110		1 10 11

\* Based on May 13, 2016 Novatech Memo (included in Appendix C-6) + 10%

\*\* Population calculated based on the following:

Singles = 3.4 persons per unit

Street Townhouse = 2.7 persons per unit

Multi-Unit Residential = 50% Towns @ 2.7 persons per unit & 50% Apartments @ 1.8 persons per unit





## 6.6.3 Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis

A Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) analysis should be performed on wastewater trunk sewers to determine if surcharged conditions may be present. If the HGL is analysed and determined to be above the obvert of the sanitary sewers then measures such as overflows may be required to protect buildings/basements. These measures may include establishing minimum underside of footing elevations for all proposed buildings to be above the HGL, per City Design Guidelines. In addition, sanitary sewer overflows may be provided to allow relief of the system prior to potential flooding of basements.

Based on the information provided by the City with respect to the EMT and any future trunk sewer works (i.e., NKT Phase 2 works) it is assumed that there are free flow conditions within the EMT and MPS. As such, no HGL analysis was performed on the EMT.

An HGL analysis is required to be completed on the BRPS to ensure that, when the future lands are added to the system, there are no negative impacts to the existing developments. The existing BRPS has two existing overflow outlets to provide relief to the system in the event of failure. With the additional flows generated by the KNUEA, an additional overflow outlet would be required to minimize any negative impacts on the existing subdivision.

The HGL in the Trunk sewer, along the existing rail corridor to the BRPS, was analysed using Autodesk Storm and Sanitary Analysis (SSA). This model was used to determine the elevation and location of an additional sanitary overflow. Based on *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines*, the overflow must outlet to a Stormwater Management Facility, and be located 0.5m above the 100 year water level. This is to ensure that the overflow outlet is able to operate under free flow conditions during major storm events. A flow monitoring system will be required on the wastewater overflow to the SWM Facility to alert City staff if a wastewater overflow occurs. This system will need to be specified during the detailed design phase of the wastewater and SWM Facility.

The HGL is governed by the overflow elevation provided. The BRPS contains a primary over flow at an elevation of 67.29. The design of the Brookside subdivision proposed a secondary overflow at an invert elevation of 67.30. The resultant HGL for the sanitary sewer upstream of this secondary overflow is 67.44. This is the starting point for the HGL model. Four scenarios were modelled and summarized in an email to City Staff. A copy of the email and a plan and profile drawing showing the hydraulic grade lines for the scenarios is included in **Appendix C-7**. The scenarios evaluated are as follows:

Scenario #1. Original HGL resulting from the development of the Brookside Subdivision.

Scenario #2 . KNUEA flow of 52 L/s added to original HGL resulting from development of the Brookside Subdivision

Scenario #3 . KNUEA flow of 52L/s added to original HGL resulting from development of Brookside Subdivision and upgraded trunk sewers downstream (approx. 900m of ex 375mm and 450mm upgraded to 600mm)

Scenario #4 . KNUEA flow of 52 L/s added to original HGL resulting from the development of the Brookside Subdivision with proposed tertiary (third) overflow to the proposed KNUEA SWM pond. Elevation of overflow is 67.50 and resultant HGL is 67.67

Scenario #2 shows the increase in the HGL which is not a reasonable option since it impacts the existing subdivision. Scenario #3 is the most expensive since it requires approximately 900m of sewer to be upgraded. Scenario #4 is the preferred option because it has no impact on the existing subdivision with reasonable costs to provide the third overflow.

The model for the preferred scenario was then updated using design flows calculated using the Demonstration Plan. The updated SSA model indicates that an overflow outlet elevation of 67.50 will be able to provide relief to the existing trunk sewer along the rail corridor and not raise the HGL in the existing sanitary sewers tributary to the BRPS. The Autodesk SSA Modeling information has been provided in **Appendix C-7**, and the proposed overflow outlet has been shown on the Onsite Sanitary Drainage Area Plan (112117-SAN1). A summary of the SSA model is provided in **Table 6.6.3** below.

	Node ID	HGL	(m)			
		Previous BRPS HGL	KNUEA Analysis	KNUEA minus Previous	Preliminary Underside of Footings	Freeboard (0.3m Minimum)
	001	Analysis	07.44			
	201	67.44	67.44	0.00		
	201A	67.50	67.50	0.00		
	201B	67.56	67.55	-0.01		
	202	67.63	67.62	-0.01		
ng	203	67.69	67.67	-0.02		
iisti	204	67.73	67.71	-0.02		
ш	205	67.78	67.74	-0.04		
	206	67.79	67.76	-0.03		
	207	67.82	67.77	-0.05		
	208	67.88	67.79	-0.09		
	209	67.90	67.80	-0.10		
osed	KNCDP-9		67.80		69.0	1.2
Prop	KNCDP- OUT		67.51		69.3	2.2

Table 6.6.3 – Summary of HGL SSA Model

### KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

#### TABLE C-6b: SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

LOCATION						R	ESIDENTIAL /	AREA	AND POP	ULATION							ICI						INFILTRA	TION		FLOW			PIPE		
										Cumul	ative				IND		со	мм	IN	ST											
Street	From	То	Total	Dwe	llinas	Densitv	(Net ha) F	op.	R	esidential		Peak	Peak	Area	Accu.	Peak	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Peak	Total	Accu, Ar	ea In	filtration	Total	Dia Dia	Slop	e Velocit	/ Capaci	tv Ratio
	Node	Node	Area	SEH	SD/TH	Low <sup>3</sup>	High <sup>4</sup>		Area	Pon	F	Factor	Flow		Area	Factor		Area		Area	Flow	Area	New F	-xist	Flow	Flow	Act Non	1	(Full)	(Full)	O/Ofull
	Houo	Houo	(ha)	3.4	2.7	101	161		(ha)	New Ex	vist .	aotor	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	i dotoi	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)		(l/s)	(l/s)	(mm) (mm	) (%)	) (m/s)	(I/s)	(%)
			( - /	pers/ea	pers/ea	pers/ha	per/ha		( - /	-			(	( - )					1 - 7	( - /	()	( - /	( -7		(	(		/ (**/		(	()
EAST KNCDP							·																								
E-1	E-1	E-3	4.47			3.00		303.0	3.00	303		4.00	4.9								0.0	4.47	4.47		1.3	6.2	203 20	0 0.4	10 0.6	7 21.	6 28%
E-2	E-2	E-3	5.91			4.29	4	433.3	7.29	736		3.88	11.6								0.0	5.91	10.38		2.9	14.5	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.6	2 20.	2 72%
E-3	E-3	E-6	9.42			6.51	(	657.5	13.80	1394		3.70	20.9								0.0	9.42	19.80		5.5	26.4	254 25	0 0.4	10 0.7	7 39.	2 67%
						-																									
E-4	E-4	E-5	6.89			3.12	1.36	534.1	3.12	534		3.96	8.6								0.0	6.89	6.89		1.9	10.5	203 20	0 1.0	00 1.0	5 34.	2 31%
E-5	E-5	E-9	4.70			1.46		147.5	4.58	682		3.90	10.8						2.29	2.29	2.0	4.70	11.59		3.2	16.0	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.62	2 20.	2 79%
E 6	E 6	FO	2.20			2 2 2		224.2	16 10	1629		2.65	24.1								0.0	2.20	22.09		6 5	20.6	205 20	0 0 7	0.6	50	4 619/
E-0	E-0	E-9	3.28			2.32		234.3	10.12	1620		3.65	24.1								0.0	3.28	23.08		6.5	30.6	305 30	0 0.2	25 0.6	9 50.	4 61%
F-7	F-7	F-8	10.04			7 21	-	728.2	7 21	728		3.88	11 5	-							0.0	10.04	10.04		2.8	1/1 3	203 20	0 0/	10 0.6	7 21	6 66%
E-8	E-8	E-9	4 05			2.94		296.9	10.15	1025		3 79	15.8								0.0	4 05	14.09		3.9	19.7	254 25	0 0.3	30 0.6	7 33	9 58%
	2.0	20	1.00			2.01		200.0	10.10	1020		0.70	10.0								0.0	1.00	11.00		0.0	10.1	201 20	0 0.0	0.0	00.	0070
E-9	E-9	MH 209	3.98			3.06		309.1	33.91	3644		3.37	49.7							2.29	2.0	3.98	52.74		14.8	66.5	381 37	5 0.2	0.7	5 85.	7 78%
Total Flows From East KNUEA			52.74					3644	33.91	3644		3.37	49.7		1		Ì			2.29	1.99		52.74		14.77	66.49				İ	
X-1 (Brookside Subdivision)*		MH 209	32.80				22	216.1	26.04	2	2216	3.55	18.2				6.76	6.76			2.3	32.80		32.80	11.5	32.0					
				*Populat	tion from	Novatech	#103106 Sanit	ary Se	wer Desig	n Sheet																					
			ļ																												
	MH 209	MH 208						0.0	59.95	3644 2	2216	3.18	63.3					6.76		2.29	7.9	0.00	52.74	32.80	26.2	97.4	457 45	0 0.2	20 0.8	132.	9 73%
	MH 208	MH 207						0.0	59.95	3644 2	2216	3.18	63.3					6.76		2.29	7.9	0.00	52.74	32.80	26.2	97.4	457 45	0 0.2	20 0.8	132.	9 73%
X-2 (Brookside Subdivision)	MH 207	MH 206	3.12		44	-	1	18.8	63.07	3644 2	2335	3.17	64.0					6.76		2.29	7.9	3.12	52.74	35.92	27.3	99.2	457 45	0.2	0 0.81	132.	9 75%
X-3 (Brookside Subdivision)	IVIH 206	MH 205	9.81	**244 TL	244	107 Unito f		#1021	72.88	3644 2	ian Sha	3.73	67.9	27 unite	North	of Klondil	ke end \	0.70	Aaroon	2.29	7.9 0 @ 65	9.87	52.74	45.73	30.8	106.5	457 45	0.2	0.83	130.	2 78%
				244 16			Tom Novalech	#1031	UO Sanitai	y Sewer Des	ign She	eet, prus	siuluie	37 unite			ke anu v	vest of r	viarcon	1 (5.671	a @ 00	pers/na)						-			
X-13 (Future Industrial Lands)	Future	MH 205	20.99											15.85	15.85	3.6					13.2	20.99	20.99		59	191					
	i uturo	11117 200	20.00											10.00	10.00	0.0					10.2	20.00	20.00		0.0	10.1					
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 205	MH 204							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	0 0.8	132.	9 94%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 204	MH 203							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	0 0.81	132.	9 94%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 203	MH 202							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	.9	148.	6 85%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 202	MH 201A							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	0.92	151.	6 83%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 201A	MH 201							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	.91	148.	6 85%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 201	MH 200							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	.9	148.	6 85%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 200	EXMH1							72.88	3644 2	2994	3.13	67.9		15.85	3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73	36.6	125.6	457 45	0.2	.3 0.87	142.	5 88%
			40.40					24.00			100	0.40	04.0						0.00	0.00	10	40.40		10.10	11.0	40.5					
		EXMH1	42.42	***Dopul	otion from	n Novotool	#102106 Sor	3100	Cower Deal	ian Shoot	8100	3.43	24.0						2.90	2.90	1.0	42.42		42.42	14.8	40.5					
				Popul	ation from	n Novaleci	1#103106 Sar	intary S	sewer Des	ign Sneet																					
	EXMH1	EXMH2							72.88	3644 6	5094	2 97	85.6		15.85	3.6		6 76		5 25	23.6	0.00	73 73	88 15	51 5	160.8	457 45	2 03	0 0 00	162	8 99%
	EXMH2	EXMH4			-	-			72.88	3644 6	5094	2.97	85.6		15.85	3.6		6.76		5.25	23.6	0.00	73 73	88 15	51.5	160.8	457 45	0.3	0 0.93	162	8 99%
X-14 (Future Industrial Lands east of Marshes Golf Course)	EXMH4	EXMH5	19.23						72.88	3644 6	6094	2.97	85.6	19.23	35.08	3.1		6.76		5.25	35.6	19.23	92.96	88.15	56.9	178.1	457 45	0.4	4 1.20	197.	2 90%
	EXMH5	PS							72.88	3644 6	6094	2.97	85.6		35.08	3.1		6.76		5.25	35.6	0.00	92.96	88.15	56.9	178.1	457 45	0.4	0 1.14	188.	0 95%
Briar Ridge Pump Station									72.88	3644 6	6094	2.97	85.6		35.08	3.1		6.76		5.25	35.6	0.00	92.96	88.15	56.9	178.1					
	1	1					· ·																						-	1	1
WEST KNUEA / MARCH ROAD																															
W-1	\\/_1	\M/_2	7 54			E 14		510.4	5 14	E10		2 07	0.0								0.0	7 5 1	7.51		2.1	10.4	202 20		10 0.0	7 04	6 490/
VV-1	VV-1	vv-3	7.51			5.14		519.1	5.14	515		3.97	0.3								0.0	7.51	7.51		2.1	10.4	203 20	0 0.4	10 0.0	21.	40%
W-2	W-2	W-3	8.94			2.36		238.4	2.36	238		4.00	3.9						4.32	4.32	3.8	8.94	8.94		2.5	10.1	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.6	2 20.	2 50%
													0.0																		
W-3	W-3	W-4	6.52			1.97	2.16	546.7	11.63	1304		3.72	19.7								0.0	6.52	22.97		6.4	26.1	254 25	0 0.7	70 1.02	2 51.	9 50%
W-5	W-5	W-6	4.20			2.74		276.7	2.74	277		4.00	4.5								0.0	4.20	4.20		1.2	5.7	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.63	2 20.	2 28%
W-6	W-6	W-8	4.29			3.04	;	307.0	5.78	584		3.94	9.3								0.0	4.29	8.49		2.4	11.7	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.6	2 20.	2 58%
			<u> </u>										0.0																		
W-7	W-7	W-8	7.39			4.24	· · ·	428.2	4.24	428		4.00	6.9			-		<u> </u>			0.0	7.39	7.39		2.1	9.0	203 20	0 1.6	50 1.3	3 43.	2 21%
W/ 0	M/ C	W/ C	0.05			4.00	0.55	104.0	14.50	4004		0.75	40.0									0.05	10.70			00 -	054 07	0 0 0	0.5		7 0401
<u>vv-o</u>	vv-8	vv-9	2.85			1.02	0.55	191.6	11.59	1204		3.15	18.3	-							0.0	2.85	18.73	<u> </u>	5.2	23.5	254 25	0.3	0.72	2 36.	1 64%
W-4	W-4	MR-1	3 10					0.0	23.22	2508		3.51	35 F	-		+	0.35	0.35	0 83	5 15	4 8	3 10	26.07		7 2	<u>4</u> 7 7	254 25	0 10	1 2	ca (	0 77%
	vv- <del>-</del>	1711 - 1	3.10					0.0	20.22	2000		0.01	0.0				0.00	0.55	0.00	5.10	4.0	5.10	20.07		1.3	+1.1	204 20	- 1.0	1.2	. 02.	5 11/0
W-14	W-14	W-15	3.79	1		0.36		36.4	0.36	36		4.00	0.6				İ		2.89	2.89	2.5	3.79	3.79		1.1	4.2	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.6	2 20	2 21%
W-15	W-15	W-17	3.17	1		2.20		222.2	2.56	259		4.00	4.2								0.0	3.17	6.96		1.9	6.1	203 20	0 0.3	35 0.6	2 20.	2 30%

# NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

### KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

#### TABLE C-6b: SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

LOCATION						RESIDE		AREA	AND PO	PULATIO	N						ICI					INFILT	RATION		FLOW			F	PIPE	inners & Lanuscap
										C	umula	ative			IND		СОММ		INST						-					
Street	From	То	Total	Dwelli	ings D	ensity (Net ha	) F	Pop.		Residentia	al	Peak I	Peak	Area	Accu.	Peak	Area Acc	u. A	Area Accu.	Peak	Total	Accu.	Area	Infiltration	Total	Dia	Dia	Slope	Velocity	Capacity Ratio
	Node	Node	Area	SFH	SD/TH L	.ow <sup>3</sup> High	4		Area	Po	p.	Factor	Flow		Area	Factor	Are	a	Area	Flow	Area	New	Exist	Flow	Flow	Act	Nom		(Full)	(Full) Q/Qfull
			(ha)	3.4	2.7	101 161			(ha)	New	Ex	kist	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)		(ha) (ha	a) (	(ha) (ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)		(l/s)	(l/s)	(mm)	(mm)	(%)	(m/s)	(l/s) (%)
W-16	W-16	W-17	6.55			3.17 1	.78 (	606.8	4.95	607		3.93	9.7							0.0	6.55	6.55		1.8	11.5	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2 57%
W/ 47	144 47		0.40						7.54				10.5					0.5		0.0	0.40	40.00		5.0		054	050	0.00	0.07	00.0
W-17	VV-17	MR-1	3.43					0.0	7.51	865		3.84	13.5				<b>3.05</b> 3.	05	8.04	9.6	6.48	19.99		5.6	28.7	254	250	0.30	0.67	33.9 84%
MR-1 (MARCH ROAD)	MR-1	MR-2	1.36					0.0	30.73	3373		3 40	46.4				3	40	8 04	99	1.36	47 42		13.3	69.6	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 34%
								0.0	00110			0.10					Ŭ.		0.01	0.0				1010	00.0	0.0	000	0.10	0.00	202.1
W-9	W-9	MR-2	7.17			1	.13	181.9	1.13	182		4.00	2.9				<b>1.38</b> 1.	38	<b>3.77</b> 3.77	4.5	7.17	25.90		7.3	14.7	203	200	1.20	1.15	37.4 39%
MR-2 (MARCH ROAD)	MR-2	MR-3	1.37					0.0	33.23	3555		3.38	48.7				4.	78	11.81	14.4	1.37	74.69		20.9	84.0	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 41%
W 40	144.40		4.50				70	405.0	0 70			1.00								0.0	4 50	4.50		0.4				0.70	0.00	00.0
W-10	W-10	W-11	1.53			0	.78	125.6	0.78	126		4.00	2.0				1.00 1	00		0.0	1.53	1.53		0.4	2.5	203	200	0.70	0.88	28.6 9%
VV-11	VV-11	IVIR-3	3.00			1	.04 4	204.0	2.42	390		4.00	0.3				1.00 1.	08		0.9	3.00	5.08		1.4	8.7	203	200	0.70	0.88	28.0 30%
W-18	W-18	W-19	3.90			1.21 1	.82 4	415.2	3.03	415		4.00	6.7							0.0	3.90	3.90		1.1	7.8	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2 39%
W-19	W-19	MR-3	9.23					0.0	3.03	415		4.00	6.7				<b>8.83</b> 8.	83		7.7	9.23	13.13		3.7	18.1	254	250	0.25	0.61	31.0 58%
MR-3 (MARCH ROAD)	MR-3	MR-4	4.74					0.0	38.68	4360		3.30	58.3				2.06 16.	75	11.81	24.8	4.74	97.64		27.3	110.4	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 55%
W-12	W-12	X-12	11.62			2.24 6	.98 13	350.0	9.22	1350		3.71	20.3					1	<b>2.01</b> 2.01	1.7	11.62	11.62		3.3	25.3	254	250	0.30	0.67	33.9 75%
X-12 (BIDGOOD / HALTON TERRACE)	X-12	MR-4	3.54			C	.79	127.2	10.01	1477	<u> </u>	3.68	22.0							0.0	3.54	15.16		4.2	26.3	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0 42%
Y 5 (760 & 799 March Road)	X 5		1 76			1	76	202 /	1 76	202		4.00	16					_		0.0	1 76	1 76		0.5	51					
	X-0	IVIIN-4	1.70				.70 /	203.4	1.70	205		4.00	4.0					-		0.0	1.70	1.70		0.5	5.1					
MR-4 (MARCH ROAD)	MR-4	MH 186	4.71					0.0	50.45	6120		3.16	78.4				16.	75	13.82	26.5	4.71	119.27		33.4	138.3	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 68%
X-6 (750 March Road, Blue Heron Co-op Homes)****	X-6	X-8	1.29		83		2	224.1	1.29			224 4.00	2.1							0.0	1.29		1.29	0.5	2.5					
			**** 83 u	inits obtair	ned from Co	-op website (h	ttp://wv	ww.cha	seo.ca/r	nember/bl	ue-he	eron-co-op/)																		
X-7 (Morgans Grant) *****	X-7	X-8	48.45				31	188.0	49.74		3	3188 3.42	25.2							0.0	48.45		49.74	17.4	42.6					
X 8 (Invorant Drive)	V O	MLI 106	***** Info	ormation of	btained from	n JL Richards	#24566	o, Sanit	tary Des	ign Sheet,	July	2012	20 6							0.0	1 21		E4 0E	10.0	47.6					
X-8 (Inverary Drive)	7-0		4.31	39	49		4	204.9	54.05		3	3.37	20.0					-		0.0	4.31		54.05	10.9	47.0					
Shirley's Brooke Drive	MH 186	MH 184	0.00					0.0	104.50	6120	3	677 2.96	98.7				16.3	75	13.82	26.5	0.00	119.27	54.05	52.3	177.5	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 88%
			0.00					0.0		0.20		2.00								20.0	0.00		00	02.0		0.0	000	0.10	0.00	202.1. 0070
X-9 (Mckinley Drive)	X-9	MH 184	7.84		117		3	315.9				316 4.00	2.9				2.73 2.7	73		2.4	7.84		7.84	2.7	8.0					
Shirleys Brooke Drive	MH 184	MH 182	0.00					0.0	104.50	6120	3	2.95	100.4				19.4	48	13.82	28.9	0.00	119.27	61.89	55.1	184.4	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 91%
Shirleys Brooke Drive	MH 182	MH 1	0.00					0.0	104.50	6120	3	2.95	100.4				19.4	48	13.82	28.9	0.00	119.27	61.89	55.1	184.4	610	600	0.10	0.69	202.4 91%
X-10 (Sandhill Road)		MH 1	11.62	9	60	5	32 10	749 1	11 62		1	049 3 79	9.2						211 211	18	11 62		11.62	41	15 1					
			11.02	, v	00	0	52 70	743.1	11.02			040 0.70	5.2					-	2.11 2.11	1.0	11.02		11.02	7.1	10.1					
X-11		MH 1	0.87			0	87 1	140.1	0.87			140 4.00	1.3							0.0	0.87		0.87	0.3	1.6					
Briar Ridge Pump Station	PS	MH 1							72.88	3644	6	6094 2.97 8	35.623	0	35.08	3.1	0.00 6.	76	0.00 5.25	35.6	0.00	92.96	88.15	56.9	178.1					
EAST MARCH TRUNK	MH 1	EMT	0.00					0.0	189.87	9764	11	1276 2.63	172.7		35.08	3.1	26.	24	21.18	66.3	0.00	212.23	162.53	116.3	355.3	762	750	0.10	0.80	367.1 97%
					DESICN		26													Dooign	d		lov				·т.			
Average Daily Flow (Future)=	35	0 L/can/day	1		ndustrial Pe	ak Factor= ne		araph												Design	eu.	AIEX IVICAL	liey			Kanata I	, i . Jorth C	ommuni	tv Desiar	Plan
Average Daily Flow (Future)=	20	0 L/cap/day		F	Extraneous I	Flow (Future)=	MOL	0.28 I	_/s/ha																	i tanata I		Juniuili	, Desigi	i ian
Indust/Comm/Inst Flow (Future)=	5000	0 L/ha/day		E	Extraneous I	Flow (Existing)	=	0.35 L	_/s/ha	(Jan 2008	moni	itored event)							ŀ	Checke	d:	CJR				CLIENT				
Indust/Comm/Inst Flow (Existing)=	2000	0 L/ha/day		Ν	Minimum Ve	locity=		0.60 r	m/s			,														Kanata I	Iorth La	and Own	ers	
Max Res Peak Factor=	4.0	0		Ν	Manning's n⊧	=	(	0.013												Dwg. R	eference	:		112117-SA	N1					
Comm/Inst Peak Factor=	1.5	0																						112117-SA	N2	Date:	May, 2	016		

Notes:

1. Existing sanitary sewers tributary to, and not receiving flow from the KNUEA Trunk sewer have not been analysed for capacity

2. Existing unit counts obtained from City of Ottawa geoOttawa (2014) parcel counts, unless otherwise indicated

3. Low Density based on (16.6 Singles/net ha \* 3.4pers/unit) + (16.5 Towns/net ha \* 2.7pers/unit)

4. High Density based on (35.8 Towns/net ha \* 2.7 pers/unit) + (35.8 Apartments/net ha \* 1.8 pers/unit)

5. Overall unit counts for the KNCDP are based on Demonstration Plan "A-24", plus 10% to allow for flexibility in unit type distribution

Upgraded Existing Sanitary Sewers

NOV



From:	Matt Wingate
To:	Smadella, Karin
Cc:	Thiffault, Dustin; Johnston, Anthony
Subject:	RE: Kanata North Valecraft & Minto Lands
Date:	Tuesday, May 28, 2019 5:33:33 PM
Attachments:	image001.png 2019-05-23 982 San_markup.pdf p&p10.pdf

#### Hi Karin,

Thanks for your feedback. We will need to work closely to confirm that the proposed sanitary trunk best meets Valecraft's needs.

An option to lower the sanitary sewer in the NE corner of the Valecraft site would be to provide a more direct sewer alignment from the connection to Celtic Ridge, similar to the MSS (screenshot below), by creating a servicing easement near the eastern boundary between the two properties. This could lower the sewer by ~1m, compared to following the collector.

Please note that we are still working out the sanitary design, based on information available for the downstream Celtic Ridge sewer. In our January prelim design we had used the downstream Celtic Ridge sanitary obvert information from the MSS. Drawings more recently collected from the City's records department indicate that the Celtic Ridge sanitary sewer is ~0.5m higher than shown in the MSS. See attached. We're working with Minto to arrange for as-built survey to confirm the actual existing sewer invert elevations, and also coordinating with Novatech to find out if they have final as-built info for Celtic Ridge that is different than shown on the drawings received from the City. The final design elevation of the sanitary sewer that will service both Minto and Valecraft will be based on the available depth at the receiving sewer. We'll be investigating options to maximize the depth.

I'll send you modified servicing info for coordination as soon as we've made some progress with the plan and survey of existing sewer.

Feel free to give me a call if you would like to discuss.

#### regards

Matt Wingate, P.Eng.

### **DSEL** david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Smadella, Karin [mailto:Karin.Smadella@stantec.com]

Sent: May 28, 2019 3:31 PM

To: Matt Wingate <MWingate@dsel.ca>

**Cc:** Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>; Johnston, Anthony <Anthony.Johnston@stantec.com> **Subject:** RE: Kanata North Valecraft & Minto Lands Hi Matt

We have cover issues based on the sanitary sewer invert you provided for the collector road at the P/L for Minto's previous draft plan. The grade provided is significantly higher than MSS and we can't get sufficient cover over the sanitary sewer in the NE section of the Valecraft lands. The MSS shows an obvert of 69.29 at the property line boundary which will still be tight for cover.

Have you revised your sanitary design and can you match the proposed MSS obvert grade?

Please let us know asap and give us a call should it be more effective to discuss over the phone.

Thanks,

Karin

Karin Smadella, P.Eng. Project Manager

Direct: 613 724-4371 Mobile: 613 698-8088 Karin.Smadella@stantec.com

Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4

![](_page_58_Picture_9.jpeg)

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Matt Wingate 
Sent: Friday, May 03, 2019 8:51 AM
To: Smadella, Karin 
Karin.Smadella@stantec.com>
Cc: Johnston, Anthony 
Anthony.Johnston@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: Kanata North Valecraft & Minto Lands

#### Hi Karin,

Minto has just updated their proposed draft plan (attached). The north-south leg of the collector road is now 24m. My understanding is that Minto will also be proceeding with the x-section from the CDP. Do you have any concerns/comments related to the connection points, etc? Are you able to share Valecraft's plan for coordination with our preliminary design?

thanks

Matt Wingate, P.Eng.

### DSEL

### david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Smadella, Karin [mailto:Karin.Smadella@stantec.com]

**Sent:** May 1, 2019 3:33 PM

To: Matt Wingate <<u>MWingate@dsel.ca</u>>

Cc: Johnston, Anthony <<u>Anthony.Johnston@stantec.com</u>>

Subject: RE: Kanata North Valecraft & Minto Lands

#### Hi Matt,

Can you advise what you are proposing for the 26m ROW shown on Minto's draft plan? Valecraft will be proceeding with the 24m collector ROW and x-section as outlined in the CDP. There will have to be a transition from the 26 to the 24 that we will have to coordinate.

Thanks,

Karin

Karin Smadella, P.Eng. Project Manager

Direct: 613 724-4371 Mobile: 613 698-8088 Karin.Smadella@stantec.com

Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4

![](_page_59_Picture_13.jpeg)

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Matt Wingate <<u>MWingate@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2019 10:43 AM
To: Smadella, Karin <<u>Karin.Smadella@stantec.com</u>>
Cc: Johnston, Anthony <<u>Anthony.Johnston@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Kanata North Valecraft & Minto Lands

Hi Karen,

Unfortunately we don't have a CAD copy of the MSS pond concept. We've simply imported a PDF copy of the MSS pond concept into our base drawing for comparison to our preliminary pond design. 1<sup>st</sup> attachment is a PDF copy of the pond overlay plan that we reviewed at our meeting last week. CAD to follow by separate email.

Our functional servicing and grading design are a work in progress. We're expecting a revised draft plan from Minto this week, to use for our functional design modifications to address the City's feedback related to Minto's 1<sup>st</sup> draft plan submission. I've attached copies of our 1<sup>st</sup> submission storm/sanitary/water/grading figures that were prepared to support Minto's draft plan application. Note that the 1<sup>st</sup> submission engineering was prepared with the intention of gathering City feedback and advancing the overall development concept. We will be making modifications to the design in the coming weeks, but I expect that major servicing alignments will remain consistent with those shown in the 1<sup>st</sup> submission figures.

#### regards

Matt Wingate, P.Eng.

### **DSEL** david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Smadella, Karin [mailto:Karin.Smadella@stantec.com]
Sent: April 29, 2019 12:43 PM
To: Matt Wingate <<u>MWingate@dsel.ca</u>>
Cc: Johnston, Anthony <<u>Anthony.Johnston@stantec.com</u>>
Subject: Kanata North Valecraft & Minto Lands

Hi Matt,

Further to our meeting last week, please send us your servicing and grading functional design so that we can tie in to your works. Also – it was noted that you have cad of the conceptual pond from the MSS. Can you also please send that file to us. If the servicing isn't ready yet, we would like to receive the cad for the conceptual pond right away.

Thanks and let me know if you have any questions.

Karin

Karin Smadella, P.Eng. Project Manager

Direct: 613 724-4371 Mobile: 613 698-8088 Karin.Smadella@stantec.com

Stantec 400 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4

![](_page_60_Picture_14.jpeg)

The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

![](_page_61_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

	975mm¢ STM		900mm¢ STM	67	
			SAN SAN	66	
	375mmø SAN	LAY=65.36	200mm# 54.1		
			-375mmø SAN(S)	- 65	
69.79 69.78	0 <u>ō</u> 0 0	69.94 69.85 85	69.87	ତ୍ତୁ ROAD ELEVATION	
67,39	6 4 9 9		67.47	TOP OF WATERMAIN	
.7m-975	mmø STM CONC. 65-D <b>m</b> 0.119	Υ	9 0mm# 9 20NC. 9 0.18% W N N	STORM SEWER	
5m-375m	m∳ SAN P∨C DR35 60 0.20%	N=655 S=655 865.44 865.62	80.9m-200mmø SAN PVC DR35 10 0.75%	SANITARY SEWER INVERT	Conforms to City of Ottawa Standard
69.32	83.30		63.25	EXISTING & R.O.W.	Conforme aux standards de la Ville d'Ottawa <u>Plan 14070</u> 11
6+725	6+731.7 HYD 6+750	6+764.5 STMMH 6+769 SANMH	6+773.6 STMMH	DESCRIPTION	Date-: 02/08/06
ON AT ENGINE				SCALE CIT I:500 HORIZONTAL	Y OF OTTAWA COOKSIDE SUBDIVISION
	ENGINEER Suite 200, 240 Ottawa,	A S & PLANNERS Michael Cowpland Drive Ontario, Canada K2M IP6	SM CHECKED MAB		AN AND PROFILE

Appendix C Stormwater Management Calculations July 31, 2019

# Appendix C STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS

![](_page_63_Picture_3.jpeg)

Appendix C Stormwater Management Calculations July 31, 2019

# C.1 CONCEPTUAL STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

![](_page_64_Picture_3.jpeg)

	Valeo	craft Home	s Part of L	ot 13,			STORM	SEWE	R		DESIGN	PARAME	TERS																										
( ) Stante	C	Coces	sion 4				DESIGN	SHEE	т		I = a / (t+	·b) <sup>c</sup>	-	(As per 0	City of Otta	wa Guide	lines, 201	2)																					
	DATE:		2019-	07-31			(City of	Ottawa)				1:2 yr	1:5 yr	1:10 yr	1:100 yr																								
	REVISION			1			40040400	•			a =	732.951	998.071	1174.184	1735.688	MANNING	3'S n =	0.013		BEDDING (	CLASS =	В																	
	CHECKER	ט שז: אשר	-		FILE NUM	MBER:	T6040132	Decian			b =	6.199	6.053	6.014 0.816	6.014		COVER:	2.00	m min																				
LOCATION	ONEONED	501.	~	VII	Dialeria	in Approval	richiniary	Design			0 -	0.010	0.014	DE			LINIIXI	10														P	IPE SELEC	TION					
AREA ID	FROM	то	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	С	С	с	с	AxC	ACCUM	AxC	ACCUM.	AxC	ACCUM.	AxC	ACCUM.	T of C	I <sub>2-YEAR</sub>	I <sub>5-YEAR</sub>	I <sub>10-YEAR</sub>	I100-YEAR	QCONTROL	ACCUM.	Q <sub>ACT</sub>	LENGTH	PIPE WIDTH	PIPE	PIPE	MATERIAL	CLASS	SLOPE	Q <sub>CAP</sub>	% FULL	VEL.	VEL.	TIME OF
NUMBER	M.H.	M.H.	(2-YEAR)	(5-YEAR)	(10-YEAR)	) (100-YEAR	.) (ROOF)	(2-YEAR)	(5-YEAR)	(10-YEAR)	(100-YEAR)	) (2-YEAR)	AxC (2YR)	(5-YEAR)	AxC (5YR)	(10-YEAR)	AxC (10YR)	(100-YEAR)	AxC (100YR)							QCONTROL	(CIA/360)		OR DIAMETE	HEIGHT	SHAPE				(FULL)		(FULL)	(ACT)	FLOW
			(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(-)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(min)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(mm)	(-)	(-)	(-)	%	(L/s)	(-)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(min)
C209C C209A 1209A C209B	209	204	1.58	3.94	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.54	0.00	0.00	1 025	1 025	2 133	2 133	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.00	76.81	104 19	122 14	178 56	0.0	0.0	836.1	292.8	975	975	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE		0.30	1280.5	65 30%	1.66	1.54	3.16
																				13.16																			
4011D 40114	044	2000	0.00	0.00	4.4.4	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.00	70.04	101 10	400.44	470.50	0.0	0.0	074.4	22.5	000	000		CONODETE		0.50	450.0	50.04%	4.55	4.40	0.20
AZTIB, AZTIA 206B, C206D, C206C, C206A, C206	211	206	0.00	5.69	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.000	0.000	4.331	4.331	0.800	0.800	0.000	0.000	10.00	75.35	104.19	122.14	175.50	0.0	0.0	271.4	32.5	1200	1200	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.50	452.9 2876.0	59.91%	2.46	2.13	2 44
, 2000, 02000, 02000, 0200, 0200	200	200	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.000	0.000	1.001	1.001	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	12.83	10.00	102.10	110.10		0.0	0.0	1100.0	011.0	1200	1200				0.00	2010.0	0210070	2.10	2.10	2.11
	007	000	4.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.05		0.00	0.00	0.044	0.044	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.00	70.01	101.10	100.11	170.50			040.5	000.0	075	075	0100111.10	001100575		0.45	005 5	74 700/	4.47	1.10	0.00
L207A, L207B	207	208	4.68	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.044	3.044	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	1/8.56	0.0	0.0	649.5 834.8	268.9	975	975	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE		0.15	905.5	75.66%	1.17	1.12	3.99
ELCO, (	200	200	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.000	1.071	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	18.05	01.20	00.00	101.00	110.70	0.0	0.0	001.0	20112	1000	1000				0.10	1100.0	1010070	1.20		1.00
C205D, C205C, C205A, C205B	205	204	0.00	6.95	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.000	4.677	4.520	8.851	0.000	0.800	0.000	0.000	18.05	55.39	74.83	87.60	127.85	0.0	0.0	2754.1	253.6	1650	1650	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.15	3682.6	74.79%	1.67	1.61	2.62
C204B, C204A	204	203	0.00	2.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.000	5.702	1.431	12.415	0.000	0.800	0.000	0.000	20.67	50.98	68.81	80.52	117.47	0.0	0.0	3359.4	83.0	1650	1650	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.20	4252.4	79.00%	1.93	1.89	0.73
																				21.40																			
L210A	210	203	5.33	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.467	3.467	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	10.00	76.81	104.19	122.14	178.56	0.0	0.0	739.6	283.2	975	975	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.15	905.5	81.68%	1.17	1.16	4.05
																				14.05																			
C203A	203	202	0.00	0.73	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.000	9.169	0.475	12.890	0.000	0.800	0.000	0.000	21.40	49.88	67.31	78.76	114.89	0.0	0.0	3855.5	160.0	1800	1800	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.26	6114.7	63.05%	2.33	2.13	1.25
L202A	202	201	5.07	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.65	0.00	0.00	0.00	3.293	12.462	0.000	12.890	0.000	0.800	0.000	0.000	22.66	48.12	64.91	75.94	110.76	0.0	0.0	4158.6	121.8	1800	1800	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.26	6114.6	68.01%	2.33	2.18	0.93
	201	182	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	12.462	0.000	12.890	0.000	0.800	0.000	0.000	23.59	46.90	63.25	73.99	107.90	0.0	0.0	4052.3	42.9	1800	1800	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.30	6568.2	61.70%	2.50	2.27	0.32
	182	182A	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000	12.462	0.000	12.890	0.000	0.800	0.000	0.000	23.90	46.50	62.71	73.35	106.96	0.0	0.0	4017.7	16.0	1800	1800	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.30	6568.2	61.17%	2.50	2.27	0.12
	182A	#1	0.00	0.00	0.00	U.00	U.00	U.00	U.00	U.00	U.00	U.000	12.462	0.000	12.890	0.000	0.800	U.000	0.000	24.02	46.35	62.51	/3.12	106.62	0.0	U.O	4005.0	28.3	1800	1800	CIRCULAR	CONCRETE	-	0.30	6568.2	60.98%	2.50	2.27	0.21

Appendix C Stormwater Management Calculations July 31, 2019

# C.2 PCSWMM LAYOUT

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

Appendix C Stormwater Management Calculations July 31, 2019

# C.3 POST DEVELOPMENT PCSWMM MODEL INPUT EXAMPLE

![](_page_68_Picture_3.jpeg)

# [TITLE]

[OPTIONS] ;;Options	Value					
FLOW_UNITS INFILTRATION FLOW_ROUTING START_DATE START_TIME REPORT_START_DATE REPORT_START_DATE END_DATE END_DATE END_TIME SWEEP_START SWEEP_END DRY_DAYS REPORT_STEP WET_STEP ROUTING_STEP ALLOW_PONDING INERTIAL_DAMPING VARIABLE_STEP LENGTHENING_STEP MIN_SURFAREA NORMAL_FLOW_LIMITH SKIP_STEADY_STATE FORCE_MAIN_EQUATIO LINK_OFFSETS MIN_SLOPE MAX_TRIALS HEAD_TOLERANCE SYS_FLOW_TOL LAT_FLOW_TOL MINIMUM_STEP THREADS	LPS HORTON DYNWAVE 09/14/2011 00:00:00 09/14/2011 12:00:00 01/01 12/31 0 00:01:00 00:05:00 00:05:00 5 NO PARTIAL 0.75 0 0 ED BOTH NO D-W ELEVATION 0 8 0.0015 5 5 5 0.5 4					
USE HOTSTART "C:\a	ana's\valecraft-I	KN\PCSWMM\100CHI.	HSF"			
[EVAPORATION] ;;Type Pa	arameters					
CONSTANT 0.0 DRY_ONLY NO						
[RAINGAGES] ;;	Rain Time Type Intrvl	Snow Data Catch Source  1.0 TIMESERIE	- s 100vr3h	rChicago		
[SUBCATCHMENTS]			]			
;; Curb Snow ;;Name F Length Pack	Raingage	Outlet	rotal Area	Pcnt. Imperv	width 	Pcnt. Slope
A211A F	 RG1	A211A-S	0.44	71.4	102	1

	160401	328_2019-05-24_amp_10	0yr_3hr_chi.i	np	1.60	_
A211B 0	RG1	A211B-S	0.7 7	71.4	169	1
;0.65 C203A 0	RG1	C203A-S	0.730021 6	54.3	162	1
;0.65 C204A	RG1	C204A-S	1.895291 6	54.3	725	2
;0.65 C204B 0	RG1	C204B-S	0.306321 6	54.3	80	1
;0.8 C205A	RG1	C205A-S	2.920322 6	54.3	657	1
;0.65 C205B 0	RG1	C205B-S	0.706147 6	54.3	260	2
;0.65 c205c 0	RG1	c205c-s	0.869825 6	54.3	255	2
;0.65 C205D 0	RG1	c205D-S	2.457139 6	54.3	798	1
;0.8 C206A	RG1	C206A-S	1.224666 9	92.9	274.5	1
;0.65 C206B	RG1	C206B-S	1.249479 6	54.3	473	1
;0.8 C206C	RG1	c206c-s	1.932323 9	92.9	434.25	1
;0.65 C206D	RG1	C206D-S	0.654697 6	54.3	131	1
;0.65 C206E	RG1	C206E-S	0.630928 6	54.3	223	1
;0.4 C209A 0	RG1	c209A-s	1.712171 2	28.6	384.75	3
;0.65 C209B	RG1	C209B-S	0.386506 6	54.3	66	2
;0.65 C209C	RG1	c209c-s	1.841795 6	54.3	411	1
;0.65 L202A 0	RG1	L202A-S	5.065552 6	54.3	2088	1
;0.65 L207A 0	RG1	L207A-S	2.121042 6	54.3	734	1
;0.65 L207в 0	RG1	L207B-S	2.562324 6	54.3	768	1
;0.65 L208A 0	RG1	L208A-S	2.332445 7	71.4	865	1
;0.65 L209A	RG1	L209A-S	1.577251 6	54.3	367	2
;0.65						

	160403	1328_2019-0	5-24_amp_10	Dyr_3hr_chi	.inp				
L210A 0	RG1	L21	0A-S	5.333627	64.3 1	L580 1			
[SUBAREAS] ;;Subcatchment PctRouted	N-Imper∨	N-Perv	S-Imperv	S-Perv	PctZero	RouteTo			
	0.012	0.05	1 57	4 67	0				
A211A A211B C203A C204A	0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013	0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0 0	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET PERVIOUS			
20 C204B C205A	0.013 0.013	0.25 0.25	1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67	0 0	OUTLET PERVIOUS			
с205в с205с с205D	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.25 0.25 0.25	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	OUTLET OUTLET PERVIOUS			
20 C206A C206B C206C C206D C206E C209A	0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013 0.013	0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25 0.25	1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67 4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0 0 0 0	OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET OUTLET PERVIOUS			
с209в с209с L202A	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.25 0.25 0.25	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	OUTLET OUTLET PERVIOUS			
20 L207A	0.013	0.25	1.57	4.67	0	PERVIOUS			
L207B	0.013	0.25	1.57	4.67	0	PERVIOUS			
L208A L209A L210A 20	0.013 0.013 0.013	0.25 0.25 0.25	1.57 1.57 1.57	4.67 4.67 4.67	0 0 0	OUTLET OUTLET PERVIOUS			
[INFILTRATION];;Subcatchment	MaxRate	MinRate	Decay	DryTime	MaxInfil				
,, A211A A211B C203A C204A C204B C205A C205B C205C C205D C206A C206B C206C C206D C206E C209A C209B C209C L202A L207A L207B	76.2 76.2 76.2 76.2 76.2 76.2 76.2 76.2	13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2 13.2	$\begin{array}{c} 4.14\\$	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7					
1 2084		16040	01328_2019	9-05-24_a	mp_100yr_3h	r_chi.inp			
----------------------------------	----------------	----------------------------------	-------------------------------	----------------------	------------------------	-----------------------	----------	------	---
L208A L209A L210A		76.2 76.2 76.2	13.2 13.2 13.2	4.14 4.14 4.14	7 7 7	0 0			
[OUTFALLS ;; ;;Name	5]	Invert Elev.	Outfal <sup>-</sup> Type	l St Ti	age/Table me Series	Tide Gate	Route To		
MJ-OF1 MJ-OF2 MN-OF OF1		70.23 70.32 66.23 82.12	FREE FREE FIXED FREE	67		YES NO NO NO			
[STORAGE]	]	Invert	Мах	Tnit	Storage	Curve			
Ponded ;;Name Area	Evap. Frac.	Elev. Infiltra	Depth ation para	Depth ameters	Curve	Params			
182		66	4.54	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;3600mm 201		66.05	6.57	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
202 0		66.5	6	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;3600mm 203		67	5.66	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;3600mm 204 0		67.3	5.44	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;3600mm 205 0		67.217	13.048	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;2400mm 206		76.726	5.18	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
0 206в-s1		82.12	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
207		75.23	5.32	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
207A 0		75	5.14	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;2400mm 208 0		74.603	5.687	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;1800mm 209 0		69	3.58	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;1800mm 210 0		68.2	4.29	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
;2400mm 211		77.8	4.32	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	1.13	0
0 A211A-S		80.7	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
0 A211B-S		81.04	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
0 C203A-S 0		69.85	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0

C204A-S		16040 71.33	)1328_2019 3.05	9-05-24_an 0	ıp_100yr_3hr TABULAR	_chi.inp C204A-S	)		0
0 С204в-5		70.66	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
0 С204в-S1		72.74	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
0 C205A-S		72.68	3.05	0	TABULAR	C205A-S			0
0 С205в-S		72.89	3.05	0	TABULAR	с205в-ѕ			0
0 C205C-S		73.15	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
0 c205c-s1		80.27	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
0 C205D-S		78.8	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
C206A-S		77.96	3.05	0	TABULAR	C206A-S			0
с206в-5		77.78	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
c206c-s		77.96	3.05	0	TABULAR	c206c-s			0
C206D-S		78.45	3.05	0	TABULAR	c206d-s			0
C206E-S		78.81	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
C209A-S		70.55	2.6	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
С209в-5		73.24	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
c209c-s		70.15	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
c209c-s1		72.5	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L202A-S		70.82	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L207A-S		79.1	3.05	0	TABULAR	L207A-S			0
L207B-S		78.69	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L207B-S1		80.45	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L208A-S		79.06	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L209A-S		70.58	2.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L210A-S		70.85	3.05	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L210A-S1		72.26	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
L210A-S2		72.42	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
MJ-OUT		70.45	0.6	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
su10 0		80.37	0.4	0	FUNCTIONAL	0	0	0	0
[CONDUITS]		Thlot				A	lanning	Tnlo+	
Outlet ;;Name Offset	Init. Flow	Max. Node Flow	I	Node	Leng	jth N	l	Offset	

Page 5

160401328_2019-05-24_amp_100yr_3hr_chi.inp
--

C1		A211A-S	206B-S1	116	0.013	82.7
82.12 C10	0	0 C205D-S	c205c-s1	350	0.013	81.45
80.27 C11	0	0 1 2084-5	c205c-s1	450	0.013	81.71
80.27	0	0		E	0.012	75 22
75.3	0	0	2030-5	5	0.015	/3.33
C13 72.74	0	C205C-S 0	C204B-S1	83.9	0.013	75.15
C14	0	C204B-S1	C204B-S	83	0.013	72.74
C15	0	c204A-S	C204B-S1	254	0.013	73.98
72.74 C16	0	0 C205B-S	c205c-s	77.4	0.013	75.54
75.15 c17	0	0 C204B-S	C203A-S	32	0.013	72.66
72.5	0	0		<u>م</u> د ک	0.025	72.00
70.45	0	0	MJ-001	43.3	0.035	72.5
C2 82 12	0	A211B-S 0	OF1	184	0.013	83.04
C20	0	L210A-S	L210A-S2	610	0.013	73.5
72.42 C21	0	L202A-S	C203A-S	450	0.013	73.47
72.5 C22	0	0 C209B-S	c209c-s	77.5	0.013	75.24
72.8	0	0	12104-52	100	0 013	72 5
72.42	0	0	2210A 32	100	0.013	72.5
C24 72.5	0	L209A-S 0	C209C-S1	10	0.013	/2.58
C25 72 5	0	c209c-s	c209c-s1	24	0.013	72.8
C26	0	C209A-S	C209C-S1	5	0.035	72.55
C27	0	L207A-S	L207B-S1	259	0.013	81.75
80.45 C28	0	0 L207в-S1	SU10	80.8	0.013	80.45
80.37	0	0	1 2004-5	337 0	0.013	80.37
72.58	0		2205A 5	1.00	0.015	00.57
C3 80.43	0	206B-S1 0	C206B-S	169	0.013	82.12
C30 80 37	0	L207B-S	SU10	250	0.013	81.34
C31	0	MJ-OUT	MJ-OF1	10	0.035	70.45
C32	0	207	207A	106.4	0.013	75.53
75.37 C33	0	0 201	182	45.3	0.013	66.48
66.36	0	0		22 0	0.012	66.22
66.23	0	0	MN-OF	52.0	0.015	00.55
C35 72.26	0	L210A-S2 0	L210A-S1	100	0.013	72.42
C36	0	L210A-S1	MJ-OF2	40	0.035	72.26
C4	0	C206B-S	c205c-s1	32	0.013	80.43
80.27 C5	0	0 C206A-S	С206в-S	5	0.013	80.61
80.58	0	0		-		

160401328_2019-05-24_amp_100yr_3hr_chi.inp						
C6	c206c-s		с206в-ѕ	5	0.013	80.61
C7 80.43 0	C206E-S		C206B-S	75	0.013	80.81
C8 80.43 0	C206D-S		C206B-S	134	0.013	81.1
60.43 0 C9	c205c-s1		c205c-s	171	0.013	80.27
75.15 0 Pipe_138	211 0		206	32.5	0.013	77.79
77.63 0 Pipe_81	202		201	121.8	0.013	66.84
66.51 0 Pipe_82	203		202	159.962	0.013	67.32
66.89 0 Pipe_82_(1)	204		203	83.028	0.013	67.628
67.462 0 Pipe_83	209		204	292.8	0.013	69.256
68.31 0 Pipe_86	210		203	283.016	0.013	68.57
68.14 0 Pipe_87	205		204	253.6	0.013	68.02
67.64 0 Pipe_87_(1)	206		205	311.9	0.013	77.03
75.47 0 Pipe_89	208		205	291.819	0.013	75.05
74.62 0 Pipe_90 75.13 0	207A 0		208	161.737	0.013	75.37
[OUTLETS]	Inlet		Outlet	Outflow	Outlet	
Qcoeff/ ;;Name	Node	Flap	Node	Height	Туре	
QTable ::	Qexpon	Gate				
A211A-IC	A211A-S		211	80.7	TABULAR/H	IEAD
A211A-IC A211B-IC	A211B-S	NO	211	81.04	TABULAR/H	IEAD
A211B-IC C203A-IC	C203A-S	NO	203	69.85	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C203A-IC C204A-IC	C204A-S	NO	204	71.33	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C204A-IC C204B-IC	C204B-S	NO	204	70.66	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C204B-IC C205A-IC	C205A-S	NO	205	72.68	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C205A-IC C205B-IC	C205B-S	NO	205	72.89	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C205B-IC C205C-IC	c205c-s	NO	205	73.15	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C205C-IC C205D-IC	C205D-S	NO	205	78.8	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C205D-IC C206A-IC	C206A-S	NO	206	77.96	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C206A-IC C206B-IC	C206B-S	NO	206	77.78	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C206B-IC C206C-IC	c206c-s	NO	206	77.96	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C206C-IC C206D-IC	C206D-S	NO	206	78.45	TABULAR/H	IEAD
C206D-IC C206E-IC	C206E-S	NO	206	78.81	TABULAR/H	IEAD

## 160401328\_2019-05-24\_amp\_100yr\_3hr\_chi.inp NO

	T00101		010 00 21		• • • • •
C206E-IC		NO		_ !_ ;	•
C209A-IC	C209A-S		209	70.55	TABULAR/HEAD
C209A-IC		NO			-
C209B-IC	C209B-S		209	73.24	TABULAR/HEAD
C209B-IC		NO			-
C209C-IC	c209c-s		209	70.15	TABULAR/HEAD
C209C-IC		NO			
L202A-IC	L202A-S		202	70.82	TABULAR/HEAD
L202A-IC		NO			
L207A-IC	L207A-S		207	79.1	TABULAR/HEAD
L207A-IC		NO			
L207B-IC	L207B-S		207A	78.69	TABULAR/HEAD
L207B-IC		NO			
L208A-IC	L208A-S		208	79.06	TABULAR/HEAD
L208A-IC		NO			
L209A-IC	L209A-S		209	70.58	TABULAR/HEAD
L209A-IC		NO			
L210A-IC	L210A-S		210	70.85	TABULAR/HEAD
L210A-IC		NO			

[XSECTIONS] ;;Link Barrels	Shape	Geoml	Geom2	Geom3	Geom4	
, ,						
C1	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C10	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C11	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C12	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C13	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C14	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C15	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C16	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C17	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C19	TRAPEZOIDAL	0.6	3	3	3	1
C2	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C20	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C21	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C22	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C23	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1
C24	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C25	IRREGULAR	24mROW	0	0	0	1
C26	TRIANGULAR	0.6	3.6	0	0	1
C27	IRREGULAR	18mROW	0	0	0	1

C28	I	160401323 RREGULAR	8_2019-05 18mROW	-24_amp_1	LOOyr_3hr_c 0	hi.inp 0	0		1
C29	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
С3	I	RREGULAR	24mROW		0	0	0		1
C30	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
C31	т	RAPEZOIDAL	0.6		3	3	3		1
C32	C	IRCULAR	0.975		0	0	0		1
C33	C	IRCULAR	1.8		0	0	0		1
C34	С	IRCULAR	1.8		0	0	0		1
C35	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
C36	т	RAPEZOIDAL	0.4		2	3	3		1
C4	I	RREGULAR	24mROW		0	0	0		1
С5	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
C6	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
С7	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
C8	I	RREGULAR	18mROW		0	0	0		1
С9	I	RREGULAR	24mROW		0	0	0		1
Pipe_138	C	CIRCULAR	0.6		0	0	0		1
Pipe_81	С	IRCULAR	1.8		0	0	0		1
Pipe_82	C	CIRCULAR	1.8		0	0	0		1
Pipe_82_(1)	C	CIRCULAR	1.65		0	0	0		1
Pipe_83	C	IRCULAR	0.975		0	0	0		1
Pipe_86	C	IRCULAR	0.975		0	0	0		1
Pipe_87	C	IRCULAR	1.65		0	0	0		1
Pipe_87_(1)	C	IRCULAR	1.2		0	0	0		1
Ріре_89	C	IRCULAR	1.05		0	0	0		1
Ріре_90	C	IRCULAR	0.975		0	0	0		1
[TRANSECTS]									
;Full street 0.02m/m, bar	t, widt nk-heig	h = 10m, cu ht = 0.23m.	rb = 0.15	m , cross	s-slope = C	).02m/m, ł	pank-slo	pe =	
X1 10mROW	0.025	7	4	14	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
GR 0.23	0	0.15	4	0	4	0.1	9	0	
GR 0.15	14	0.23	18	<b>D</b>					

#### 160401328\_2019-05-24\_amp\_100yr\_3hr\_chi.inp

;Full street, width = 8.5m, curb = 0.15m , cross-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-height = 0.23m. NC 0.025 0.025 0.013 8 12.5 0.0 X1 16.5mROW 4 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.35 4 4 0 0.19 2.2 0.15 0 0.13 8.25 GR 0 12.5 0.15 12.5 0.35 16.5 ;Half street, width = 4.25m, curb = 0.15m , cross-slope = 0.03m/m NC 0.025 0.025 0.013 X1 16.5mROW\_half 4 0.0 4.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.13 0 4.25 0 0.15 4.25 0.35 8.25 NC 0.025 0.025 0.013 X1 16.5mROW\_mountable\_half 5 7 11.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.22 3 0.08 0 7 0.16 7 0.13 0 11.25 NC 0.025 0.013 0.013 X1 16.5mROW\_sidewalk\_half 5 2.2 8.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.35 0.19 0 4 0 2.2 0.15 4 0.13 8.25 ;Full street, width = 8.5m, curb = 0.15m , cross-slope = 0.03m/m, bank-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-height = 0.4m. NC 0.025 0.025 0.013 7 12.5 X1 18mROW 21 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.4 0 0.15 12.5 0 12.5 0.13 16.75 0 21 GR 0.15 21 0.4 33.5 ;Half street, width = 4.25m, curb = 0.15m , cross-slope = 0.03m/m, bank-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-height = 0.245m. NC 0.025 0.0 X1 18mROW\_half 0.025 0.013 4 4.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.13 0 0 4.25 0.15 4.25 0.25 9 ;Full street, width = 8.5m, curb = 0.15m, cross-slope = 0.03m/m, bank-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-height = 0.27m. 0.025 0.013 NC 0.025 7 X1 20mrow 5.75 14.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.27 0 0.15 5.75 0 5.75 0.13 10 0 14.25 GR 0.15 14.25 0.27 20.5 ;Half street, width = 4.25m, curb = 0.15m , cross-slope = 0.03m/m, bank-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-height = 0.27m. NC 0.025 0.025 0.013 X1 20mROW\_half 4 0.0 4.25 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 GR 0.13 0.27 0 0 4.25 0.15 4.25 10 ;Full street, width =11m, curb = 0.15m , cross-slope = 0.03m/m, bank-slope = 0.02m/m, bank-height = 0.23m. NC 0.025 0.025 0.013

$\times 1 24mROW$		1604013 7	328_2019-0 12 5	5-24_amp_ 23 5	100yr_3hr_0	chi.i	np 0 0	0 0
0.0		1	12.5	23.5	10.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GR 0.4 23.5	0	0.15	12.5	0	12.5	0.17	18	0
GR 0.15	23.5	0.4	36					
;Half stree 0.02m/m, ban	t, widt nk-heig 0.025	th = 5.5m, ght = 0.28r 0.013	curb = 0. n.	15m , cro	ss-slope =	0.03	n/m, bank-s <sup>-</sup>	lope =
X1 24mROW_h	alf	4	0.0	5.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GR 0.17	0	0	5.5	0.15	5.5	0.28	12	
;Full stree 0.02m/m, ban NC 0.02	t, wid nk-heig 0.02	th = 5.5m, ght = 0.23r 0.013	curb = 0.	15m , cro	ss-slope =	0.03	n∕m, bank-s⁻	lope =
X1 8.5mROW		7	1.5	7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GR 0.18	0	0.15	1.5	0	1.5	0.08	4.25	0
, GR 0.15	7	0.18	8.5					
NC 0.025 X1 8.5mROW_	0.025 half	0.013 4	1.25	4.25	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
GR 0.35	0	0.15	1.25	0	1.25	0.09	4.25	
NC 0.025 X1 8.5mROW_1	0.025 mountal	0.013 ple_half 5	1.	9 4.	9 0.0		0.0	0.0
GR 0.12	0	0.11	0.65	0.08	1.9	0	1.9	0.09
[LOSSES] ;;Link	:	Inlet	Outlet	Average	Flap G	ate :	SeepageRate	
;; C32 C33 Pipe_138 Pipe_81 Pipe_82 Pipe_82_(1) Pipe_83 Pipe_86 Pipe_87 Pipe_87 Pipe_89 Pipe_90		) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )	0.06 0.21 0.06 0.64 1.32 0.06 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.06 0.06 0.06 0.0	 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO NO		2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
[CURVES] ;;Name	-	Гуре	X-Value	Y-Value				
A211A-IC A211A-IC A211A-IC A211A-IC	I	Rating	0 2 2.4	0 119.2 131.1				
A211B-IC A211B-IC A211B-IC	I	Rating	0 2 2.4	0 215.2 236.7				
C203A-IC C203A-IC C203A-IC	I	Rating	0 2 2.35	0 146.9 161.6 Page 11				

C203A-IC	160401	L328_2019-05	5-24_amp_100yr_3hr_chi.inp 161.6
C204A-IC	Rating	0	0
C204A-IC		2	403.5
C204A-IC		2.35	444
C204A-IC		3	444
C204B-IC	Rating	0	0
C204B-IC		2	62.4
C204B-IC		2.4	68.6
C205A-IC C205A-IC C205A-IC C205A-IC C205A-IC	Rating	0 2 2.35 3	0 512.4 563 563
C205B-IC C205B-IC C205B-IC C205B-IC C205B-IC	Rating	0 2 2.35 3	0 152.5 167.8 167.8
C205C-IC	Rating	0	0
C205C-IC		2	183.9
C205C-IC		2.4	202.3
C205D-IC C205D-IC C205D-IC C205D-IC C205D-IC	Rating	0 2 2.35 3	0 478.6 526.5 526.5
C206A-IC	Rating	0	0
C206A-IC		2	339.2
C206A-IC		2.35	373
C206A-IC		3	373
C206B-IC	Rating	0	0
C206B-IC		2	262.1
C206B-IC		2.35	288
C206B-IC		3	288
C206C-IC	Rating	0	0
C206C-IC		2	535.2
C206C-IC		2.35	588
C206C-IC		3	588
C206D-IC	Rating	0	0
C206D-IC		2	130.8
C206D-IC		2.35	143.9
C206D-IC		3	143.9
C206E-IC	Rating	0	0
C206E-IC		2	131.6
C206E-IC		2.4	144.8
C209A-IC	Rating	0	0
C209A-IC		2	133.8
C209A-IC		2.6	147.2
C209B-IC	Rating	0	0
C209B-IC		2	78.2
C209B-IC		2.4	86.0
C209C-IC	Rating	0	0 Page 12

C209C-IC	160401	.328_2019-05	-24_amp_100yr_3hr_chi.inp 370.7
C209C-IC		2.35	407.8
C209C-IC		3	407.8
L202A-IC	Rating	0	0
L202A-IC		2	626.9
L202A-IC		2.35	689.6
L202A-IC		3	689.6
L207A-IC	Rating	0	0
L207A-IC		2	257.9
L207A-IC		2.35	284
L207A-IC		3	284
L207B-IC	Rating	0	0
L207B-IC		2	307.5
L207B-IC		2.35	338
L207B-IC		3	338
L208A-IC	Rating	0	0
L208A-IC		2	357.3
L208A-IC		2.35	393
L208A-IC		3	393
L209A-IC	Rating	0	0
L209A-IC		2	217.6
L209A-IC		2.35	239.4
L209A-IC		3	239.4
L210A-IC L210A-IC L210A-IC L210A-IC L210A-IC	Rating	0 2 2.35 3	0 639.3 703.2 703.2
C203A-S	Storage	0	0
C203A-S		2	0
C203A-S		2.35	122
C203A-S		2.36	0
C203A-S		3.05	0
C204A-S	Storage	0	0
C204A-S		2	0
C204A-S		2.35	316
C204A-S		2.36	0
C204A-S		3.05	0
C205A-S C205A-S C205A-S C205A-S C205A-S C205A-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 1800 0 0
C205B-S C205B-S C205B-S C205B-S C205B-S C205B-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 119 0 0
C205D-S C205D-S	Storage	0 2	0 0 Page 13

C205D-S C205D-S C205D-S	160401	328_2019-05 2.35 2.36 3.05	-24_amp_100yr_3hr_chi.inp 410 0 0
C206A-S C206A-S C206A-S C206A-S C206A-S C206A-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 600 0 0
C206B-S C206B-S C206B-S C206B-S C206B-S C206B-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 208 0 0
C206C-S C206C-S C206C-S C206C-S C206C-S C206C-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 950 0
C206D-S C206D-S C206D-S C206D-S C206D-S C206D-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 108 0 0
C209C-S C209C-S C209C-S C209C-S C209C-S C209C-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 307 0 0
L202A-S L202A-S L202A-S L202A-S L202A-S L202A-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 844 0 0
L207A-S L207A-S L207A-S L207A-S L207A-S L207A-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 354 0 0
L207B-S L207B-S L207B-S L207B-S L207B-S L207B-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 427 0 0
L208A-S L208A-S L208A-S L208A-S L208A-S L208A-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 389 0 0
L210A-S L210A-S L210A-S L210A-S L210A-S L210A-S	Storage	0 2 2.35 2.36 3.05	0 0 889 0 0 Page 14

160401328\_2019-05-24\_amp\_100yr\_3hr\_chi.inp

## VALECRAFT HOMES PART OF LOT 13, CONCESSION 4 FUNCTIONAL SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Appendix C Stormwater Management Calculations July 31, 2019

## C.4 STORM DESIGN BACKGROUND REPORT EXCERPTS AND CORRESPONDENCE





### MASTER SERVICING STUDY

# <image>





FINAL JUNE 28, 2016





REPORT

#### Storm Drainage

- The EMP evaluates the stormwater management servicing options for the KNUEA and recommends servicing the development using three stormwater management ponds. The EMP also outlines design criteria for the stormwater management system.
- The storm drainage design includes a dual-drainage approach and design criteria are provided which provides guidance for future draft plan and site plan applications.
- A preliminary trunk sewer network was designed based on the Demonstration Plan to confirm feasibility of servicing the KNUEA.
- The preliminary trunk sewer network was modelled and adjusted to ensure the HGL for the storm sewer system is no more than 0.6m above the obvert of the storm sewer at any given point.
- A preliminary grading plan was prepared and used to develop overland flow catchment areas.
- Allowable release rates were developed based on land use for the minor and major storm systems. These allowable release rates should be used in future detailed designs for the development.
- A storm sewer servicing evaluation was completed and is summarized to document the results using the criteria and indicators as shown in Section 5.5 on the preferred storm servicing solution.
- Additional capacity has been incorporated into the storm sewer system which permits design flexibility for a moderate degree of intensification within KNUEA and suggests the system can readily accommodate moderate change and minor adjustments to the land use plan are readily accommodated.
- Drainage solutions for two off-site, upstream drainage areas are provided and incorporated into the storm servicing design.
- The existing ditch and culverts within the abandoned rail corridor have the capacity to convey the major system flows from the proposed development to Pond 3.





	LEGEND		
CM	Community Mixed Use		Residential Street-Oriented <sup>2</sup>
NM	Neighbourhood Mixed Use		Limit of Study Area
SM	Service Mixed Use		Transition
CP	Community Park		appropriate to adjacent
Р	Park		residential
	Natural Heritage Feature		Arterial Road (45.0m)
S	School		Collector Road (24.0m)
FH	Fire Hall		Median Bus
Swm	Stormwater		Rapid Transit
•	Management Pond	<b>3</b>	Existing Creek Corridor
P+R	Park and Ride		Re-aligned Creek
	Institutional		Corridor
	Residential Multi-Unit <sup>1</sup>		Signals

<sup>1</sup> Townhouses, Stacked Townhouses, Back-to-Back Townhouses, Low-rise Apartments (Max 4 Storeys)

<sup>2</sup> Singles, Semis, Townhouses (Max 3 Storeys)



#### 5.0 STORM DRAINAGE & SERVICING

The Environmental Management Plan (EMP), prepared by Novatech, evaluates the stormwater management servicing options for the KNUEA. The EMP recommends servicing the proposed development with three stormwater management (SWM) ponds to provide water quality, erosion and peak flow control for the proposed development. The conceptual SWM facility design and analysis are provided in the EMP. The location of the SWM ponds and their associated contributing drainage areas are shown on **Figure 5.1**.

#### 5.1 Stormwater Management Criteria

Stormwater management criteria have been established and are outlined in the EMP. The SWM criteria have been developed on the basis of aquatic habitat protection and the sensitivity of the downstream erosion regime. Quality control objectives have been developed based on the recommendations of the Shirleyos Brook and Wattos Creek Subwatershed Study. Quantity control objectives have been developed to ensure there is no adverse impact on the downstream watercourses resulting from the proposed development. A summary of the stormwater management criteria presented in the EMP is provided below.

#### Quantity Control

- West of March Road, quantity control storage is to be designed to ensure no increase in peak flow in the receiving watercourses (Tributaries 2 & 3) downstream of the KNUEA;
- East of March Road, post-development peak flows from the development area are to be controlled to pre-development rates for all storms up to and including the 100year event.
- Ensure no adverse impacts on erosion in the watercourses resulting from future development within the KNUEA.

#### Quality Control

• An *Enhanced* level of water quality treatment (80% long-term TSS removal) is required for all development within the Shirleys Brook subwatershed.

#### Storm Drainage

- Storm drainage within the urban area will be provided using storm sewers sized to convey the uncontrolled 5-year post-development peak flow (10-year for March Road right-of-way).
- Major system flows are to be conveyed within the rights-of-way and/or along defined overland flow routes with no encroachment onto private property.
- Major system flows must not flow overland across arterial roads (March Road).



M:\2012\112\117\CAD\Design\\_MSS\FIGURES\Figure 5.1\_5.7.1\_5.7.2. - Drainage Areas.dwg, FIG 5.1, May 18, 2016 - 1:07pm, m

#### Watercourse Crossings (Culverts)

- Watercourse crossings are to be sized to convey the 100-year peak flow without overtopping the roadways.
- Watercourse crossings should be designed in accordance with geomorphology principles.
- Watercourse crossings should be designed to ensure they meet any additional requirements for terrestrial and aquatic habitat.

#### SWM Facilities

- All proposed SWM facilities are to be designed in accordance with the following guidelines and manuals:
  - City of Ottawa Stormwater Management Facility Design Guidelines.
  - MOE SWM Planning and Design Manual.
- The normal water level (permanent pool) in wet ponds should ideally be above the 2year water level in the receiving watercourse.
- Where possible, sanitary overflows are to be directed to SWM facilities. City design standards for overflows are currently in development. The following sanitary overflow criteria have been applied to the KNUEA:
  - Sanitary overflows are to operate by gravity and be directed to a SWM facility.
  - The sanitary overflow must be above the 100-year elevation in the SWM facility.
- SWM facilities should be integrated into the community through the use of pathways or other linkages.

#### Geotechnical / Rock Elevation

The proposed stormwater strategies are to be designed to minimize the extent of bedrock excavation as much as possible. The depth to bedrock is relatively shallow in some areas, and some bedrock excavation will be required.

#### Low Impact Development / Green Stormwater Infrastructure

Low impact development (LID) represents a design philosophy which attempts to minimize the impacts on the hydrologic cycle resulting from development. Green stormwater infrastructure represents the stormwater management technologies used to achieve this objective. The City of Ottawa has recently implemented several LID pilot projects to evaluate the performance and maintenance requirements of LID designs, with the expectation that LID designs will become more prevalent in the near future. The EMP provides general guidance for areas and opportunities where LID techniques could be considered at the plan of subdivision / site plan stage.

#### 5.2 Storm Drainage Design

The MSS has built upon the recommendations of the EMP to develop a preliminary storm servicing design. The conceptual design of the SWM ponds included establishing contributing drainage areas for each SWM pond. These drainage areas were used to establish a conceptual layout of trunk sewers based on the road network shown on the Demonstration Plan (**Figure 4.2**). Factors such as optimizing routing to the outlet location (SWM ponds), minimizing creek crossings, and collection of runoff from upstream drainage areas have been considered as part of the conceptual storm drainage design.

In accordance with City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012) a dual drainage approach was applied to the design of the KNUEA storm drainage system, which includes:

- Storm sewers (minor system) will be used for conveyance of runoff up to the 5-year return period (10-year for March Road);
- An overland flow network (major system) consisting of the road network and other defined overland flow routes will be designed to provide safe conveyance of runoff from larger storm events when peak flows exceed the inlet capacity to the minor system.

#### 5.3 Storm Drainage Design – Minor System

#### 5.3.1 Minor System Criteria

The storm sewers servicing the KNUEA are to be designed based on the criteria outlined in the *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines*, as summarized below:

Return Period

- 5 year Local and Collector Roads
- 10 year Arterial Roads and Transitways

#### **Design Flows**

- Storm Sewer Design Sheets created using Rational Method
- IDF Rainfall Data as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines
- Initial Time of Concentration  $T_c = 15$  minutes (trunk sewers only)
- Runoff Coefficients

•	Mixed Use / Commercial	C = 0.85
•	Arterial Roads / Transitway	C = 0.65
•	Parks	C = 0.40
•	Open Space	C = 0.20
•	Schools / Church	C = 0.65
•	Street Oriented Residential	C = 0.65
•	Multi / Unit Residential	C = 0.70
•	Park and Ride	C = 0.85

#### Inlet Control Devices

Inlet control devices (ICD) are proposed within the roadways to ensure inflows to the storm sewer system are regulated to the 5-year peak flow (10-year peak flow for arterial roads and transitway). Inlet control devices in catchbasins are to be vertical sliding type for removal and cleaning. ICDs should be selected from the sizes/types listed in Section 13.1.19 of the *Ottawa Sewer Materials Specifications* (March 2014). Final specifications to be provided at detail design.

#### 5.3.2 Trunk Sewer Sizing

The preliminary design of the trunk sewers is based on the road patterns shown on the Demonstration Plan and is intended to provide a preliminary design of the required storm drainage infrastructure. The proposed trunk storm sewer system is shown on **Figure 5.3.2** and drainage areas are shown on the Storm Drainage Area Plan . Minor System Drainage (112117-STM1) in **Appendix B**. Storm sewer design sheets for the drainage areas tributary to each of the proposed SWM facilities are also provided in **Appendix B**. Prior to Draft Plan Approval the routing of sub-drainage areas tributary to Pond 1 will need to be confirmed once more information on the proposed development is available. Consideration will need to be given to elements including but not limited to grade raise restrictions, rock, any existing storm drainage plans and storm sewer crossings under existing Tributaries. The overall drainage area (sewershed) to Pond 1 will remain unchanged.

The storm sewer design prepared for the MSS is based on the Demonstration Plan and intended to demonstrate the feasibility of the overall storm servicing strategy. Refinements to the design and layout of the trunk storm sewer system will be made as plans of subdivision are developed. Development plans within the KNUEA should make an effort to maintain the drainage boundaries shown on the above noted drainage area plan since the SWM facility blocks have been sized to accommodate those areas.

It is not anticipated that the grade raise restrictions, as indicated in the various geotechnical investigations, will be a constraining factor in the trunk storm sewer design. The geotechnical information is summarized on **Figure 3.3**.

The preliminary design of trunk sewers includes some rock removal. In particular, there is a portion of the trunk storm sewer in the northwest quadrant that requires some deep rock removal. Refer to the Preliminary Plan and Profiles (Drawings 112117-PP5 and 112117-PP11 in **Appendix E**). This section of sewer has been designed to collect drainage from a low area, through the KNUEA and outlet to Pond 1. **Figure 5.3.3** shows this area. Another option would be to drain this low area to a sewer along March Road which would still outlet to Pond 1. This option still requires rock removal and overall more storm sewer. When the type of housing and final road patterns are more defined, a cost benefit analysis could be completed to determine which option is preferable.

#### 5.3.3 Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis - Trunk Storm Sewers

A preliminary hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis of the trunk storm sewers was undertaken using the Autodesk Storm and Sanitary Analysis (SSA) model. This model is based on EPA SWMM 5.0 and can be exported to a generic SWMM5 file, which can then be used in PCSWMM or any other modelling software based on the EPA SWMM engine. The HGL generated as part of this study is preliminary in nature and was used only to confirm feasibility of the proposed storm sewer sizing. Prior to any draft plan approvals, the HGL



di:2012/112117/CAD\Design\_MSS\FIGURES\Figure 5.3.2-PROP STORM INFRASTRUCTURE.dwg, FIG 5, May 18, 2016 - 3:15pm, mhreh

#### 5.4 Storm Drainage Design - Major System

A conceptual analysis of the major system was completed to evaluate the conveyance of overland flows exceeding the capacity of the minor system during the 100-year storm event.

#### 5.4.1 Major System Criteria

Design of the major system will adhere to the design standards outlined in Section 5.5 of the *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines*. Criteria used in the major system design are summarized below:

#### Major System Flow Outlets

Major system flow must be directed to either:

- One of the proposed SWM facilities; or
- An outlet watercourse.

#### Maximum Flow/Velocity on Streets

For overland flow the product of the Velocity (m/s) x Depth (m) should not be greater than 0.6.

#### Cross-Street Flow

No cross-street flow is permitted for the minor (5-year) storm event, and there is to be only minimal ponding within the roadways. Major system flow from local streets can be conveyed to other local or collector roads, or to a SWM facility or watercourse.

#### Major System Flow Depths

For events exceeding the minor system design storm and up to the 100 year design storm, flow depth is permitted in the right of way up to the following maximum water depths:

- Local: 300mm at edge of pavement
- Collector: 250mm at edge of pavement
- Arterial: No barrier curbs overtopping. Flow spread must leave at least one lane free of water in each direction

It should also be noted that during detailed design, where possible, it is desirable to promote overland sheet drainage directly to the tributaries from primarily vegetated open spaces (i.e. School yards, parks, and low density residential rear yards). These mainly pervious areas will generally have *±*leanqrunoff, and as such do not require quality or quantity treatment. Allowing these vegetated areas to sheet drain will help distribute major system flows along the tributaries, which will reduce the flows directed along the proposed rights-of-way, and to the SWM ponds.

Where on-site storage is provided up to the 100-year event and if locations permit, major system flows in excess of the 100-year storm event may be allowed to flow overland directly to the tributaries.

#### <u>Culverts</u>

There are various culverts proposed to service the KNUEA. These culverts include:

- Existing culverts crossing March Road. These existing culverts have been evaluated in terms of condition and capacity in the EMP.
- Existing culverts crossing the abandoned rail corridor. These existing culverts have been evaluated in the MSS to confirm there is capacity to convey major flows from the proposed development.
- Proposed culverts for the road crossings proposed along Tributary 2 and 3. Supporting calculations for these proposed culverts are included in the EMP.

It should be noted that there will be services located at the tributary crossings including storm sewer, sanitary sewer and watermain. The proposed trenches for these crossings will be in rock and will require a clay cap to prevent surface water in the tributaries from migrating into the underlying trenches. Prior to Draft Plan Approval the details of the crossings will need to be confirmed to ensure City requirements have been met.

#### 5.4.2 Major System Drainage Areas

A preliminary grading plan was developed using the Demonstration Plan. This Preliminary Grading Plan provides preliminary grades at key points such as intersections and defines the major system overland flow routes within the KNUEA. A major system drainage area plan was developed based on this preliminary macro-grading plan that subdivides the site into overland flow catchment areas. The macro-grading is shown on the Preliminary Grading Plan (112117-PGR) in **Appendix E**. Prior to Draft Plan Approval the routing of sub-drainage areas in the northwest quadrant tributary to Pond 1 and specifically the Park and Ride block will need to be confirmed once more information on the proposed development is available. Consideration will need to be given to elements including grade raise restrictions, shallow rock, overland flow routes and any existing storm drainage plans. The overall drainage area (sewershed) to Pond 1 will remain unchanged.

The northwest quadrant of the KNUEA, including portions of March Road will be graded to direct the major system drainage to Pond 1. The southwest quadrant will be graded, where possible, to direct the major system drainage to Pond 2. Some areas of the southwest quadrant are at a lower elevation and the major system flow will be directed either along March Road directly to Tributary 3, or to cross under March Road to Pond 3.

East of March Road, the major system drainage will be directed along collector roads, and cross through existing and proposed culverts (if required) along the abandoned rail corridor and outlet into the proposed drainage swales leading to Pond 3. The existing culverts have been evaluated and have capacity to convey the proposed flows. However, there may be more preferable locations for culverts to cross the abandoned rail corridor therefore, new culverts may be proposed during the detail design. It is also anticipated that the existing abandoned rail corridor drainage ditch will be used to provide rear yard drainage for lots adjacent to the rail corridor, as well as provide conveyance for the major system from the proposed subdivision to the various culverts crossing the abandoned rail corridor. The existing ditch has also been evaluated and has capacity to convey the major flows from the proposed development. A figure showing the ditch sections and culvert locations, and capacity calculations are included in **Appendix B**.

Prior to Draft Plan Approval, the assumptions and calculations made will need to be confirmed and the following requirements will need to be addressed:

- Grade raise restrictions;
- Property limits identified;
- Major system flow encroachment onto private property;
- Adequate maintenance access.

The major system drainage areas are shown on the Storm Drainage Area Plan . Major System Drainage (112117-STM2) in **Appendix B**. The major and minor system drainage boundaries may differ due to site topography and should be confirmed during detail design.

#### 5.4.3 Major System Design Flows

Conceptual major system design flows were determined based on land use and are summarized in **Table 5.4.3**. The anticipated flow rates shown in the table are cumulative for a given site.

• Example: During a 100-year event, a collector road is assumed to discharge 145 L/s/ha into the minor drainage system (storm sewers) and an additional 125 L/s/ha into the major drainage system (overland flow).

#### Storage in Roadways

Storage within road sags has not been included in the analysis of the major overland system given the general topography of the site. The conceptual grading design does not include any road sags on collector roads, except at the major system inlets to the SWM facilities.

Storage in roads is not precluded, and during the detailed design stage road sag storage could be implemented where appropriate.

#### Low / Medium Density Residential Areas

The KNUEA is comprised mainly of low and medium density residential development and the stormwater management methodology is different than for larger, single outlet sites (i.e. commercial/institutional). The routing of major system flows through local streets and rear yards of residential areas attenuates peak flows in the major system. The total flow will still increase as the upstream area increases, but the per hectare rate will gradually decrease. This concept is similar to the minor system design where a greater time of concentration for a given catchment area will reduce unit (per hectare) flows.

The attenuation of major system flows has been accounted for in the major system analysis by using SWMHYMO to simulate major and minor system runoff from generic residential drainage areas ranging in size from 5 hectares to 25 hectares . refer to **Figure 5.4.3**.

• Example: During a 100-year event, a 10ha medium density residential area will generate 90L/s/ha of major system runoff. If this runoff is routed through another 10ha medium density residential area, the total major system flow from the combined 20ha area will have been attenuated to approximately 70 L/s/ha.

#### Commercial / Institutional / Multi-Unit Residential Areas

The major system analysis also assumes that on-site storage will be provided for commercial, institutional, and multi-unit residential areas for storms greater than the 5-year and up to the 100-year event, and that no major system flows will be generated for these areas. The overall site grading does provide major drainage outlets from these areas in the event that the available on-site storage is exceeded.

Land Use	'C'	% Imperv	Minor System Inlet Rate (L/s/ha)	Major System Discharge Rate (L/s/ha)
Arterial Roads / Transitway	0.65	64%	185	101
Collector Roads	0.70	71%	145	125
Mixed Use / Commercial	0.85	93%	150	0
Schools/Church	0.65	64%	115	130
Parks	0.40	29%	70	12
Open Space	0.20	0%	50	26
Street Oriented Residential	0.65	64%	100	Varies, see Figure 5.4.3
Multi Unit Residential	0.70	71%	115	Varies, see Figure 5.4.3
Park and Ride	0.85	93%	185	0

Table 5.4.3: Estimated Major System Peak Flows and Runoff Volumes

#### 5.8 Storm Summary and Recommendations

Following is a summary of the storm sewer system findings for the Kanata North Urban Expansion Area Lands:

- The EMP evaluates the stormwater management servicing options for the KNUEA and recommends servicing the development using three stormwater management ponds. The EMP also outlines design criteria for the stormwater management system.
- The storm drainage design includes a dual-drainage approach and design criteria are provided which provides guidance for future draft plan and site plan applications.
- A preliminary trunk sewer network was designed based on the Demonstration Plan to confirm feasibility of servicing the KNUEA.
- The preliminary trunk sewer network was modelled and adjusted to ensure the HGL for the storm sewer system is no more than 0.6m above the obvert of the storm sewer at any given point.
- A preliminary grading plan was prepared and used to develop overland flow catchment areas.
- Allowable release rates were developed based on land use for the minor and major storm systems. These allowable release rates should be used in future detailed designs for the development.
- A storm sewer servicing evaluation was completed and is summarized to document the results using the criteria and indicators as shown in Section 5.5 on the preferred storm servicing solution.
- Additional capacity has been incorporated into the storm sewer system which permits design flexibility for a moderate degree of intensification within KNUEA and suggests the system can readily accommodate moderate change and minor adjustments to the land use plan are readily accommodated.
- Drainage solutions for two off-site, upstream drainage areas are provided and incorporated into the storm servicing design.
- The existing ditch and culverts within the abandoned rail corridor have the capacity to convey the major system flows from the proposed development to Pond 3.

## VALECRAFT HOMES PART OF LOT 13, CONCESSION 4 FUNCTIONAL SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Appendix D Geotechnical Investigation Excerpts July 31, 2019

## Appendix D GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION EXCERPTS



## patersongroup

Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering

Archaeological Studies

Hydrogeology

Geological Engineering

**Materials Testing** 

**Archaeological Studies** 

#### Consolidated Preliminary Geotechnical Investigation

Kanata North Urban Expansion Area Community Development Plan March Road Ottawa, Ontario

**Prepared For** 

Novatech Engineering Consultants

#### Paterson Group Inc.

Consulting Engineers 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa (Nepean), Ontario Canada K2E 7J5

Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 www.patersongroup.ca October 7, 2013

Report PG2878-1R

Ottawa Kingston North Bay

#### 4.0 OBSERVATIONS

#### 4.1 <u>Surface and Subsurface Observations</u>

The subject site currently covers an area of approximately 194 hectares. The majority of the site is undeveloped (tilled agricultural or treed) areas. Observations at the subject properties are presented below.

#### 927 March Road

The vacant property located at 927 March Road is relatively flat, grass covered agricultural farm land. Several mature trees follow the 1.5 to 2.5 m deep creek that meanders diagonally through the subject site. It was observed during out field investigation that the shallow creek is flowing on the bedrock surface in several locations across the site.

The subsoil conditions at the test hole locations consist of a surficial topsoil layer underlain by a very stiff silty clay deposit followed by a glacial till layer and sound bedrock encountered at all test holes on the two parcels of land located on 927 March Road.

#### 936 March Road

The vacant property located at 936 March Road consists of mostly undeveloped land. Dense bush was noted in the northwestern portion of the site. The site is bisected by an existing rail track. The remainder of the site consists of agricultural land or an existing fam house. A significant slope was noted to exist north of the residential house, but south of the existing rail tracks.

The subsoil conditions at the test hole locations consist of topsoil, agricultural soil or fill underlain by a stiff to very stiff silty clay deposit. Glacial till was noted below the silty clay in the southern portion of the property. Practical refusal to excavation was also noted in the southern portion of the site.

#### 1015 and 1035 March Road

1015 and 1035 March Road is currently grass covered with several large trees bordering the property. The site slopes gradually downward to the east toward to the meandering creek located within the east portion of the subject site.

Generally, the subsoil conditions at the test hole locations consist of topsoil underlain by very stiff brown silty clay or bedrock. Glacial till was encountered below the silty clay at TP 1, TP 3, TP 4, TP 5, TP 9, TP 10 and TP 11 at depths varying between 1.1 m and 2.1 m below ground surface. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered at all test hole locations between ground surface to 3.2 m depth.

#### 1020 March Road

1020 March Road is divided into two parcels by a railway line easement. The portion of the site located to the east of the railway line easement is heavily wooded, whereas the remainder of the subject site is grass covered with some young tree growth. An approximately 9 m high slope running in a north-south direction crosses the central portion of the subject site.

The subsurface profile encountered at the test pit locations, consists of topsoil, compact silty sand, stiff silty clay and/or a glacial till layer. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered between 0.2 and 4 m depth at all test pit locations, except TP 1, TP 3, TP 4, TP 7 to TP 12.

#### 1070 March Road

1070 March Road consists of a berry farm. The majority of the site is agricultural fields with associated outbuildings and a residential dwelling located within the central portion of the site. Based on available topographic mapping, the west portion of the site is relatively flat and approximately at grade with neighbouring properties and the east portion of the site slopes gradually downward to the east. An approximately 4 to 5 m high slope running in a north-south direction located within the central portion of the site divides the east and west portions of the subject site.

The subsurface profile encountered at the test pit locations, consists of topsoil and compact silty sand or stiff silty clay. A glacial till layer was noted at all test pit locations. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered between 0.9 and 4.6 m depth at all test pit locations, except TP 6, which extended to a 4.6 m depth.

#### 1075 March Road

1075 March Road consists of undeveloped, agricultural land. The ground surface across the site is relatively flat and a shallow ditch was noted to bisect the subject site.

Generally, the subsoil conditions at the test hole locations consist of topsoil underlain by very stiff brown silty clay, glacial till and/or bedrock.

1145 March Road

1145 March Road is undeveloped and grass covered. The site slopes gradually downward to the east.

The subsoil conditions at the test hole locations consist of topsoil underlain by very stiff brown silty clay, silty sand/sandy silt, glacial till and/or bedrock. Practical refusal to excavation was encountered between 0.7 to 3.2 m below surface at all test hole locations.

Based on available geological mapping, the bedrock below the majority of the subject site consists of interbedded sandstone and dolomite of the March formation. Below the east portion of the site, bedrock consists of either dolomite of the Oxford formation or sandstone of the Nepean formation. The overburden thickness varies from 0 to 10 m depth throughout the proposed development area, with shallow bedrock encountered within the west portion of the site.

#### 4.2 Groundwater

Groundwater levels (GWL) were measured in the test pits upon completion of the field program. The results are summarized in Table 1. It should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations. Therefore, the groundwater levels could vary at the time of construction.

Table 1 - Summary of Groundwater Level Readings						
Test Pit Number	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Groundwater Level (m)	Groundwater Depth (m)	Recording Date		
PG1626 - 9	PG1626 - 927 March Road					
TP 1		1.60		February 25, 2008		
TP 2		0.70		February 25, 2008		
TP 3		dry		February 25, 2008		
TP 4		1.00		February 25, 2008		
TP 5		1.60		February 25, 2008		
PG1716 - 1015 and 1035 March Road						
TP 1	81.70	1.75	79.95	July 9, 2008		
TP 2	83.10	dry		July 9, 2008		
TP 3	83.80	1.75	82.05	July 9, 2008		
TP 4	86.20	1.20	85.00	July 9, 2008		
TP 5	86.80	1.10	85.70	July 9, 2008		

# Ottawa Kingston North Bay

Table 1 - Summary of Groundwater Level Readings				
Test Pit Number	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Groundwater Level (m)	Groundwater Depth (m)	Recording Date
PG1716 - 10	15 and 1035 March R	oad		
TP 6	90.70	dry		July 9, 2008
TP 7	89.40	dry		July 9, 2008
TP 8	88.80	dry		July 9, 2008
TP 9	81.90	1.50	80.40	July 9, 2008
TP 10	88.40	2.65	85.75	July 9, 2008
TP 11	89.50	dry		July 9, 2008
PG1823 - 11	45 March Road			
TP 1	88.10	dry		February 9, 2009
TP 2	88.57	1.40	87.17	February 9, 2009
TP 3	85.48	dry		February 9, 2009
TP 4	88.13	dry		February 9, 2009
TP 5	88.50	dry		February 9, 2009
TP 6	89.10	dry		February 9, 2009
TP 7	88.06	1.80	86.26	February 9, 2009
TP 8	89.86	1.10	88.76	February 9, 2009
TP 9	91.42	1.90	89.52	February 9, 2009
TP 10	90.76	2.50	88.26	February 9, 2009
TP 11	90.22	1.00	89.22	February 9, 2009
TP 12	89.26	dry		February 9, 2009
PG2256 - 10	70 March Road			
TP 1		1.80		November 4, 2010
TP 2		2.40		November 4, 2010
TP 3		1.40		November 4, 2010
TP 4		1.80		November 4, 2010
TP 5		1.70		November 4, 2010
TP 6		dry		November 4, 2010
TP 7		dry		November 4, 2010
TP 8		2.10		November 4, 2010
TP 9		dry		November 4, 2010
TP 10		1 80		November 4, 2010

## Dttawa Kingston North Bay

Table 1 - Summary of Groundwater Level Readings				
Test Pit Number	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Groundwater Level (m)	Groundwater Depth (m)	Recording Date
PG2256 - 10	70 March Road			
TP 11		1.10		November 4, 2010
TP 12		2.00		November 4, 2010
TP 13		2.20		November 4, 2010
PG2878 - 93	6 and 1075 March Ro	ad		
TP 1	78.55	dry		March 11, 2013
TP 2	77.89	1.70	76.19	March 11, 2013
TP 3	78.58	dry		March 11, 2013
TP 4	78.91	1.80	77.11	March 11, 2013
TP 5	78.22	1.20	77.02	March 11, 2013
TP 6	79.28	1.80	77.48	March 11, 2013
TP 7	78.81	dry		March 11, 2013
TP 8	78.84	dry		March 11, 2013
TP 9	78.71	dry		March 11, 2013
TP 10	70.43	0.76	69.67	March 20, 2013
TP 11	70.02	0.38	69.64	March 20, 2013
TP 12	69.71	2.30	67.41	March 20, 2013
TP 13	69.87	2.70	67.17	March 20, 2013
TP 14	69.90	dry		March 20, 2013
TP 15	68.82	dry		March 20, 2013
TP 16	69.61	3.30	66.31	March 21, 2013
TP 17	69.25	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 18	67.12	1.22	65.90	March 20, 2013
TP 19	66.43	1.50	64.93	March 20, 2013
TP 20	66.31	2.70	63.61	March 20, 2013
TP 21	65.90	2.10	63.80	March 20, 2013
TP 22	66.83	2.70	64.13	March 20, 2013
TP 23	66.94	1.80	65.14	March 20, 2013
TP 24	75.76	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 25	89.66	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 26	89.74	dry		March 21, 2013

# Ottawa Kingston North Bay

Table 1 - Summary of Groundwater Level Readings				
Test Pit Number	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Groundwater Level (m)	Groundwater Depth (m)	Recording Date
PG2878 - 93	6 and 1075 March Ro	ad		
TP 27	88.96	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 28	86.85	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 29	86.13	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 30	86.42	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 31	88.37	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 32	86.81	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 33	84.00	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 34	84.02	dry		March 21, 2013
TP 35	82.99	2.70	80.29	March 21, 2013
TP 36	84.76	2.60	82.16	March 21, 2013
Test Holes I	by Others - 1020 Marc	ch Road		
TP 1	81.35	3.00	78.35	December 10, 2012
TP 2	79.06	1.50	77.56	December 10, 2012
TP 3	78.49	1.50	76.99	December 10, 2012
TP 4	79.62	4.10	75.52	December 10, 2012
TP 5	79.42	2.70	76.72	December 10, 2012
TP 6	78.40	1.50	76.90	December 10, 2012
TP 7	79.41	4.00	75.41	December 10, 2012
TP 8	79.41	dry		December 10, 2012
TP 9	79.59	dry		December 10, 2012
TP 10	79.21	4.00	75.21	December 10, 2012
TP 11	78.57	0.80	77.77	December 10, 2012
TP 12	80.02	3.40	76.62	December 10, 2012
TP 13	72.12	dry		December 10, 2012
TP 14	70.57	1.80	68.77	December 10, 2012
TP 15	70.32	3.90	66.42	December 10, 2012
TP 16	70.73	1.20	69.53	December 10, 2012
TP 17	70.77	1.20	69.57	December 10, 2012
TP 18	70.96	2.00	68.96	December 10, 2012
TP 19	70.36	drv		December 10, 2012
Ottawa Kingston North Bay

# 5.0 DISCUSSION

# 5.1 <u>Geotechnical Assessment</u>

From a geotechnical perspective, the subject site is adequate for the anticipated development. It is expected that low rise wood framed buildings or mid to high rise buildings could be founded on conventional shallow footings placed on an undisturbed, stiff silty clay, compact silty sand, compact glacial till or surface-sounded bedrock bearing surface.

A permissible grade raise restriction is required for the proposed residential development where the silty clay layer is present below the proposed buildings. Areas effected by a permissible grade raise restriction due to the presence of a silty clay deposit are indicated in Drawing PG2878-2 - Permissible Grade Raise Areas - Housing in Appendix 2.

The above and other considerations are discussed in the following paragraphs.

# 5.2 Site Grading and Preparation

### **Stripping Depth**

Topsoil, and any deleterious fill, such as those containing organic materials, should be stripped from under any buildings and other settlement sensitive structures. Other settlement sensitive structures include, but are not limited to, underground services and paved areas.

Existing foundation walls and other construction debris should be entirely removed from within the building perimeter. Under paved areas, existing construction remnants such as foundation walls should be excavated to a minimum of 1 m below final grade.

### **Bedrock Removal**

It is expected that line-drilling in conjunction with hoe-ramming or controlled blasting may required to remove the bedrock. In areas of weathered bedrock and where only a small quantity of bedrock is to be removed, bedrock removal may be possible by hoe-ramming.

Ottawa Kingston North Bay

# 7.0 <u>RECOMMENDATIONS</u>

It is a requirement for the foundation design data provided herein to be applicable that a materials testing and observation services program including the following aspects be performed by the geotechnical consultant.

- A detailed geotechnical investigation should be completed to City of Ottawa standards for the subject site.
- Observation of all bearing surfaces prior to the placement of concrete.
- Sampling and testing of the concrete and fill materials used.
- Periodic observation of the condition of unsupported excavation side slopes in excess of 3 m in height, if applicable.
- Observation of all subgrades prior to backfilling
- **Gold Provide State 
- Sampling and testing of the bituminous concrete including mix design reviews.

A report confirming that these works have been conducted in general accordance with our recommendations could be issued, upon request, following the completion of a satisfactory materials testing and observation program by the geotechnical consultant.



A 4







# MASTER SERVICING STUDY

# <image>





FINAL JUNE 28, 2016





REPORT





# LEGEND



KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (KNUEA)

LAND OWNED BY SPONSORING LANDOWNERS



# **KANATA NORTH**

COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

FIGURE NO. 3.1 OWNERSHIP PLAN



<sup>SCALE</sup>**N.T.S.** 

APRIL 2016 JOB 112117





### MARCH VALLEY ROAD

# LEGEND



KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (KNUEA)

CONTOUR LINE AND ELEVATION

Elevations Table				
Number	Minimum Elevation	Maximum Elevation	Colour	
1	64.00	72.00		
2	72.00	77.00		
3	77.00	82.00		
4	82.00	87.00		
5	87.00	92.00		
6	92.00	94.00		





# **KANATA NORTH**

COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

# FIGURE NO. 3.2 **EXISTING TOPOGRAPHY**



DATE FEB 2016 SCALE N.T.S.

JOB 112117





A A	LEG
	C
mal B	
	-
etrata a	
	-
	-
Seatth?	-E 78.
	[64]
-	{76
i Charles	12

### END KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (KNUEA) EXISTING DRAINAGE CHANNEL IDENTIFIED MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE GRADE RAISE LESS THAN 1.5m TO 3.0m TEST PIT LOCATION, CURRENT INVESTIGATION PATTERSON GROUP REPORT PG2878 TEST PIT LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION PATERSON GROUP REPORT PG2256, 2011 TEST PIT LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION PATERSON GROUP REPORT PG1823, 2009 TEST PIT LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION PATERSON GROUP REPORT PG1716, 2008 $\boxtimes$ TEST PIT LOCATION, PREVIOUS INVESTIGATION PATERSON GROUP REPORT PG1626, 2008 • ₽ TEST PIT LOCATION BY OTHERS 8.55 GROUND ELEVATION (m) 5.39 BEDROCK ELEVATION (m) 4.10] PRACTICAL REFUSAL TO EXCAVATION ELEV. (m) 5.19} GROUNDWATER ELEVATION (m)



# **KANATA NORTH**

COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

FIGURE NO. 3.3 GEOTECHNICAL INFORMATION



DATE FEB 2016 <sup>SCALE</sup> N.T.S.

112117



# VALECRAFT HOMES PART OF LOT 13, CONCESSION 4 FUNCTIONAL SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Appendix E Drawings July 31, 2019

# Appendix E DRAWINGS

