

120 lber Road, Suite 103 Ottawa, Ontario K2S 1E9 Tel. (613)836-0856 Fax (613) 836-7183 www.DSEL.ca

## **FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT**

FOR

# MINTO COMMUNITIES – CANADA & 2559688 ONTARIO INC. KANATA NORTH

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 17-982

JAN 2019 – 1<sup>ST</sup> SUBMISSION © DSEL

#### FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT FOR MINTO COMMUNITIES – CANADA & 2559688 ONTARIO INC. KANATA NORTH

#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Existing Conditions	3
1.2	Required Permits / Approvals	3
1.3	Summary of Pre-Consultation 1.3.1 City of Ottawa, July 11 <sup>th</sup> , 2018	4 4
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	5
2.1	Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports	5
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	6
3.1	Existing Water Supply Services	6
3.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	6
3.3	Water Supply Conclusion	7
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	8
4.1	Existing Wastewater Services	8
4.2	Wastewater Design	8
4.3	Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	9
5.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	11
5.1	Existing Stormwater Drainage	11
5.2	Stormwater Management Strategy	11
5.3	Floodplain Mapping	13
5.4	Proposed Outlet – Stormwater Management (SWM) Pond 3	13
5.5	Stormwater Servicing Conclusions	14
6.0	UTILITIES	15
7.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	16
8.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	

#### **FIGURES**

Figure 1: Site Location Plan Figure 2: Concept Plan Figure 3: Storm Servicing Plan Figure 4: Sanitary Servicing Plan Figure 5: Watermain Servicing Plan Figure 6: SWM Pond Drawing 1: Conceptual Grading Plan

#### **TABLES**

Table 1: Development Statistic Projections Derived from Concept Plan	. 2
Table 2: Anticipated Permit/Approval Requirements	. 4
Table 3: Water Supply Design Criteria	. 6
Table 4: Wastewater Design Criteria	. 9
Table 5: Storm Sewer Design Criteria	12

#### APPENDICES

Appendix A: Development Study Checklist, Draft Plan of Subdivision, Record of Pre-Consultation, Record of City Comments

Appendix B: Excerpts from the MSS (Novatech, June 2016)

Appendix C: Sanitary Servicing Design

Appendix D: Stormwater Servicing Design

Appendix E: SWM Pond 3 Design

#### FUNCTIONAL SERVICING REPORT FOR MINTO COMMUNITIES – CANADA & 2559688 ONTARIO INC. KANATA NORTH

#### JAN 2019 – 1<sup>ST</sup> SUBMISSION

#### CITY OF OTTAWA PROJECT NO.: 17-982

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Minto Communities – Canada have retained David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) to prepare a Functional Servicing Report (FSR) in support of their application for draft plan approval.

Minto Communities – Canada is proposing a residential development on 936 March Road (PIN 04527-1004) within the Kanata North Urban Expansion Area (KNUEA). The FSR study area encompasses lands owned by Minto Communities – Canada and 2559688 Ontario Inc., which are subject to development permit and zoning by-law amendment applications. The study area measures approximately 56 ha and is located north of the existing Brookside Subdivision, east of March Road and west of a former CN railway corridor. The subject area can be seen in *Figure 1*.

The proposed draft plan of subdivision contemplates approximately 455 single detached units and 401 executive townhomes. The study area also contemplates a school site, neighborhood parks, a woodlot, a stormwater management pond block and two commercial mixed-use blocks fronting existing March Road. The roads are proposed to consist of 26 m wide Right-of-Way (ROW) collector roads, as well as 24 m wide ROW and 16.5 m wide ROW local roads. The proposed concept plan can be seen in *Appendix A* and *Figure 2*. Corresponding development stats can be seen in *Table 1* below.

Land Use	Total Area (ha)	Projected Residential Units	Residential Population per Unit *	Projected Population *
<b>Residential &amp;</b>	34.09	455 Singles	3.4	1547
Roads		401 Towns	2.7	1083
Commercial Mixed Use	9.35			
School	2.51			
Storm Pond	4.48			
Parks	2.35			
Open Space	0.14			
Creek Buffer	0.35			
Woodlot	2.40			
Total	55.67	856		2630

Table 1: Develo	pment Statistic	<b>Projections</b>	<b>Derived from</b>	Concept Plan
		1 10/00/10/10	Bonnoa nom	oonoopt i iun

\* NOTE: Population projections may differ from population estimates used in background Transportation Studies, Planning Rationale, and other studies. Population projection and residential population per unit values are based on Ministry of Environment and Climate Change guidelines for servicing demand calculations. Local Roads included in Block estimates above.

The FSR study area and surrounding lands are governed by the broader *Kanata North Community Design Plan* (CDP) *(City of Ottawa, June 28, 2016)* and the Kanata North Master Servicing Study (*MSS*) *(City of Ottawa, June 28 2016)*. The study area is considered as part of the southeast quadrant of the KNUEA within the *MSS*. The design plan and preliminary serviceability report were completed in order to prepare a preferred servicing strategy and cohesive development concept for the core KNUEA (181 ha total area). The reports identify existing infrastructure and environmental constraints, describe the neighbourhood-level trunk services that will service all properties within the study area, establish targets for future site-specific stormwater management plans, and identify required infrastructure upgrades to support the proposed development of the KNUEA.

The proposed draft plan is in conformance with the demonstration plan for the study area, prepared as part of the *MSS*, with the exception of minor alterations to the draft plan's road alignment and to land use locations within the study area.

This FSR is provided to demonstrate conformance with the design criteria of the City of Ottawa, the *MSS* and other background studies, and general industry practice. This FSR has also been prepared in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications, as demonstrated by the checklist included in *Appendix A*.

#### **1.1 Existing Conditions**

Under existing conditions, the study area is predominantly occupied by agricultural uses. A forested area exists in the northeast corner of the study area. The lands to the west, north and east are also predominantly occupied by agricultural uses.

The existing elevations within the study area generally range from 79m to 70m. There is a ridge approximately 8 m in height located in the middle of the study area that runs in the north south direction. The soil profile in the area consists of topsoil, stiff silty clay underlain by glacial till and bedrock. The *MSS* indicates that the maximum permissible grade raise for the study area is up to 3.0 m. Similarly, the site geotechnical report recommends a permissible grade raise restriction of 3 m. Additional geotechnical details can be found within the *Geotechnical Investigation – Proposed Residential Development 936 March Road* (July 31, 2018, Paterson Group).

The proposed development is located within the jurisdiction of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA). The study area is located within the Shirley's Brook subwatershed.

The western portion of the study area drains to Shirley's Brook to the south via adjacent existing drainage channels. The eastern portion of the study area drains into Shirley's Brooke via existing drainage channels to the east. See *Appendix B* for details.

#### **1.2 Required Permits / Approvals**

The City of Ottawa must approve detailed engineering design drawings and reports prior to construction of the municipal infrastructure identified in this report. This is expected to occur as part of the approval process for *Planning Act* development applications.

The following additional approvals and permits listed in **Table 2** could be expected to be required prior to construction of the municipal infrastructure detailed herein. Please note that other permits and approvals may be required, as detailed in the other studies submitted as part of the *Planning Act* development applications (e.g. *Tree Conservation Report, Environmental Impact Statement, Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, etc.*).

Table 2:	Anticipated	<b>Permit/Approval</b>	Requirements
	•		•

Agency	Permit/Approval Required	Trigger	Remarks
MVCA	Permit under Ontario Regulation 153/06, MVCA's Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation	Construction of new pond and alterations of existing watercourse.	Proposed stormwater management strategy is to have flows directed to new stormwater management pond per the <i>MSS</i> . Existing watercourses through the site may be altered as part of development.
MOECP	Environmental Compliance Approval	Construction of new sanitary, storm sewers, and stormwater management works.	The MOECP is expected to review the stormwater collection system, wastewater collection system and stormwater management works by transfer of review submission.
MOECP	Permit to Take Water	Construction of proposed land uses (e.g. basements for residential homes) and services.	Pumping of groundwater or surface water may be required during construction, given site conditions, proposed land uses, and on-site/off-site municipal infrastructure (Paterson Group, July 2018).
City of Ottawa	MOE Form 1 – Record of Watermains Authorized as a Future Alteration.	Construction of watermains.	The City of Ottawa is expected to review the watermains on behalf of the MOE through the Form 1 – Record of Watermains Authorized as a Future Alteration.
City of Ottawa	Commence Work Notification (CWN)	Construction of new sanitary and storm sewer throughout the subdivision.	The City of Ottawa will issue a commence work notification for construction of the sanitary and storm sewers once an ECA is issued by the MOECP.
City of Ottawa / Private Landowners	Permission/license to access/occupation and/or legal property instruments.	Construction of servicing infrastructure (e.g. storm sewer, overland flow route) beyond the FSR study area.	Construction activities and permanent infrastructure beyond the FSR study area may trigger legal agreements.

#### 1.3 Summary of Pre-Consultation

#### 1.3.1 City of Ottawa, July 11th, 2018

A formal Pre-Application Consultation with City of Ottawa staff occurred July 11<sup>th</sup>, 2018. The purpose of the meeting was to discuss the proposed development, review technical considerations and identify/confirm the studies required to accompany the submission of a Plan of Subdivision application. A copy of the Pre-Application Consultation meeting notes can be found in *Appendix A*.

#### 2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

#### 2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The following documents informed the preparation of this FSR report:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, SDG002, October 2012. (Sewer Design Guidelines)
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines Sewer, City of Ottawa, February 5, 2014. (ISDTB-2014-01)
  - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines Sewer, City of Ottawa, September 6, 2016. (*PIEDTB-2016-01*)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01, Revisions to Ottawa Design Guidelines Sewer, City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018. (ISTB-2018-01)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution, City of Ottawa, July 2010. (Water Supply Guidelines)
  - Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2, City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010. (ISDTB-2010-2)
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02, City of Ottawa, May 27, 2014. (ISDTB-2014-02)
  - Technical Bulleting ISTB-2018-02, City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018. (ISTB-2018-02)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008. (MOE Design Guidelines)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (SWMP Design Manual)
- Erosion & Sediment Control Guidelines for Urban Construction, Greater Golden Horseshoe Area Conservation Authorities, December 2006. (*E&S Guidelines*)
- Ontario Building Code Compendium, Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Building Development Branch, 2012 and as updated from time to time. (OBC)
- > Mississippi-Rideau Source Water Protection Plan, MVCA & RVCA, August 2014.
- > Kanata North Community Design Plan, Novatech, June 28, 2016. (CDP)
- Kanata North Master Servicing Study, Novatech, June 28, 2016. (MSS)
- > Kanata North Environmental Management Plan, Novatech, June 28, 2016. (EMP)
- > Kanata North Transportation Master Plan, Novatech, June 28, 2016. (TMP)
- Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Residential Development 936 March Road, Paterson Group, July 31, 2018.
- Briarridge Sanitary Pumping Station Pre-Design Report, Cumming Cockburn, March 2001

#### 3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

#### 3.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The study area lies within the existing City of Ottawa 2Ww pressure zone. Existing 200mm and 300mm diameter trunk watermains exist within the residential subdivision to the south of the study area. These watermains are connected to existing 400mm diameter watermains within Klondike Road and March Road.

#### 3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

Water supply servicing and hydraulic analysis for the study area were contemplated as part of the *MSS*. The preferred design concept indicated by the *MSS*, for servicing of the study area, consists of connecting to the existing 200mm diameter watermain within Celtic Ridge Crescent and a proposed extension of the 400mm diameter watermain within March Road.

The proposed development will be serviced internally by a trunk 300mm diameter watermain and a network of local watermains to be designed in accordance with the *Water Supply Guidelines*, as summarized in **Table 3** below. Potable water will be supplied to the study area through pressurized local watermains on each street, connecting to the trunk 300mm diameter watermain. The proposed watermain network can be seen in **Figure 5**.

Design Parameter	Value	
Residential Single Family	3.4 P/unit	
Residential Semi-detached	2.7 P/unit	
Residential Townhouse/Back-to-Back	2.1 P/unit	
Residential Apartment (High Density)	1.8 P/unit	
Residential Average Daily Demand	350 L/d/P	
Residential Maximum Daily Demand **	2.5 x Average Daily *	
Residential Maximum Hourly **	5.5 x Average Daily *	
Minimum Watermain Size	150mm diameter	
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4m from top of watermain	
During normal operating conditions desired operating	350kPa and 480kPa	
pressure is within		
During normal operating conditions pressure must not drop	275kPa	
below		
During normal operating conditions pressure must not	552kPa	
exceed		
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop below	140kPa	
*Daily average based on Appendix 4-A from <b>Water Supply Guidelines.</b> Table updated to reflect ISD-2010-2. ** Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per MOE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons. City Guidelines used for populations greater than 500 persons.		

#### Table 3: Water Supply Design Criteria

Consistent with the *MSS*, the study area will be serviced entirely from the Zone 2Ww pressure zone and site grading is planned to not exceed 93m to maintain minimum pressures greater than 275kpa. Per the *MSS*, services where the grade is below 74m will likely require pressure reducing valves to keep maximum pressure below 552kpa.

Through the detailed design of the study area, a complete hydraulic analysis will be prepared for the water distribution network to confirm that water supply is available within the required pressure range under the anticipated demands during average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions prior to full buildout of the KNUEA. Depending on the status of other developments in the KNUEA, an interim condition or agreements for off-site works may be required to provide a looped network of watermains within the KNUEA. In circumstances where infrastructure may be required outside of the study area, land owner agreements will be put in place to facilitate cost sharing and access when necessary.

#### 3.3 Water Supply Conclusion

Consistent with the *MSS*, potable water will be delivered to the proposed study area via a trunk 300mm diameter watermain running through the study area connecting to the existing watermain within Celtic Ridge Crescent and the proposed extension of the March Road watermain. Potable water will be supplied to the study area through pressurized local watermains on each street, connecting to the trunk 300mm diameter watermain.

A complete hydraulic analysis will be prepared at the time of detailed design. The watermain network will be sized to meet maximum hour and maximum day plus fire flow demands and conform to all relevant City Standards and policies and take into consideration the various draft plan configurations.

In circumstances where infrastructure may be required outside of the study area, there will be agreements in place facilitating cost sharing and access when necessary.

#### 4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

#### 4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The existing residential subdivision to the south of the study area is serviced by the sanitary sewer network that conveys wastewater to the Briar Ridge Pump Station (BRPS), located south of Klondike Road and east of the former CN railway corridor. The BRPS discharges into the East March Trunk sanitary sewer. Two pumps are currently operating in the BRPS and a third is to be added when necessary per the *Briarridge Sanitary Pumping Station Pre-Design Report* (Cumming Cockburn, March 2001). BRPS upgrades are included in the *Infrastructure Master Plan* (City of Ottawa, 2013) (pg 219) and the *City of Ottawa 2014 Development Charges Background Study* (October 27, 2017) (pg B-22, item 10.5074) with anticipated timing for construction between 2019 – 2031. The KNUEA owners' group is in the process of coordinating with the City to ensure that the BRPS upgrades are appropriately budgeted and scheduled to accommodate the buildout of the study area.

#### 4.2 Wastewater Design

The wastewater servicing strategy for the study area was considered within the *MSS*, with a portion of the study area draining to the south and the remaining portion draining to the west.

Per the *MSS*, the eastern portion of the study area is to have its wastewater drain into existing sanitary infrastructure to the south of the study area before being conveyed to the Briar Ridge Pump Station. The Briar Ridge Pump Station then directs flows towards the East March Trunk sanitary sewer.

The remaining portion of the study area is to have its wastewater drain to a proposed 600mm diameter sanitary sewer within March Road before being conveyed to the proposed upsized sanitary sewers in Shirley's Brooke Drive and ultimately into the East March Trunk sanitary sewer, as identified in the *MSS*.

*Figure 4* illustrates the proposed trunk sanitary sewer network. Consistent with the *MSS*, the study area's wastewater servicing is split between the existing sanitary sewers draining south toward the BRPS and the proposed March Road sanitary sewer. The proposed location of the drainage split is the Shirley's Brooke Tributary 2 corridor, with all lands east of the split draining south. See *Figure 4* and *Appendix C* for sanitary drainage area information.

The proposed development will be serviced by a network of gravity sewers, designed in accordance with the wastewater design parameters from ISTB-2018-01 and the Sewer Design Guidelines, summarized in *Table 4*. These design parameters represent a flow reduction from the outdated wastewater design parameters used during the *MSS* design.

Design Parameter	Value	
Residential - Single Family	3.4p/unit	
Residential – Townhome/ Semi	2.7p/unit	
Residential Townhouse/Back-to-Back	2.1 P/unit	
Residential Apartment (High Density)	1.8 P/unit	
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per	
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor, where K=0.8	
Commercial / Institutional Flows	28,000 L/gross ha/day	
Commercial / Institutional Peak Factor	1.5 if contribution >20%, otherwise 1.0	
Light Industrial Flows	35,000 L/gross ha/day	
Industrial Peaking Factor	Per Figure in Appendix 4-B, City of Ottawa	
	Guidelines	
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.33 L/s/gross ha for all areas	
Park Peaking Factor	1.0	
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the	$1 + p^{\frac{2}{3}} + q^{\frac{1}{3}}$	
Manning's Equation	$Q = -AR^{3}S^{2}$	
Minimum Sewer Size	200mm diameter	
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013	
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade	
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s	
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s	
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012,		
Technical Bulletins, and recent residential subdivisi	on in City of Ottawa.	

#### Table 4: Wastewater Design Criteria

No deviations from the *MSS* wastewater servicing strategy are proposed, aside from the use of the latest wastewater design parameters and the minor changes to the drainage split. Per the *MSS*, the total anticipated peak flow conveyed through the study area to the existing BRPS sanitary infrastructure south of the study area is 66.49 L/s, see *Appendix* **B**. Using the design parameters set out in *Table 4*, a preliminary sanitary analysis was undertaken using the draft plan along with external drainage areas from the *MSS*. As the exact alignment of residential homes in the subject area are not known at the time of this FSR, population densities that conservatively represent the current population projection were applied to the proposed residential areas. A calculated peak flow of 57.91 L/s is anticipated to discharge to the existing sanitary sewer network to the south (87% of the peak flow anticipated in the *MSS*).

The commercial mixed use blocks west of Shirley's Brooke Tributary 2 will drain towards the proposed 600mm diameter trunk sanitary sewer within March Road. The peak total flow will be lower than anticipated in the *MSS*, based on the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines' latest wastewater parameters and the reduced tributary area due to the drainage split change. In circumstances where infrastructure may be required outside of the study area, there will be agreements in place facilitating cost sharing and access when/where necessary.

#### 4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

A network of local gravity sewers is proposed within the study area to convey flow to existing and proposed offsite sanitary sewers, in accordance with the *MSS*. The majority of the study area is intended to have its wastewater drain into the existing BRPS sanitary infrastructure to the south of the study area and ultimately to the Briar Ridge Pump Station. The remaining portion of the study area is to have its wastewater drain to the proposed sanitary sewer within March Road before ultimately being conveyed into the East March Trunk sanitary sewer.

The sewers are to be designed in conformance with all relevant City of Ottawa and MOECC Guidelines and Policies. Per ISTB-2018-01, the City's current design parameters represent a flow reduction from the outdated standards used within the *MSS*.

#### 5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 5.1 Existing Stormwater Drainage

The study area is located within the Shirley's Brooke sub-watershed. Under existing conditions the western portion of the study area drains into Shirley's Brooke via Shirley's Brooke Tributary 2. The eastern portion of the study area drains into Shirley's Brooke to the east via existing drainage channels. See *Appendix B* for the existing drainage patterns for the study area.

#### 5.2 Stormwater Management Strategy

The overall stormwater management strategy for the study area was considered within the *MSS*. Both the minor and major systems are to be directed towards the proposed stormwater management (SWM) Pond 3 to be situated in the northeast corner of the study area.

*Figure 3* illustrates the proposed trunk storm sewer network. The trunk storm sewers, ranging in diameter from 600mm to 2100mm, collects stormwater runoff from the study area and portions of March Road. The storm sewer network ultimately drains towards SWM Pond 3 to the east. Local storm sewers will provide service to all roads and development blocks within the study area.

The study area will be serviced by a storm sewer designed in accordance with the amendment to the storm sewer and stormwater management elements of *PIETB-2016-01*. As such, the minor storm system is proposed to be designed for the following minimum rates of capture, deviating from the *MSS*:

- 2-year event for local streets;
- ➢ 5-year event for collector roads; and
- > 10-year event for arterial roads.

Inlet control devices (ICD) will be employed to ensure that storm flows entering the minor system are limited to the flows described above. No additional deviations from the *MSS*'s stormwater management strategy are anticipated at this stage. **Table 5** summarizes the standards that will be employed in the detailed design of the trunk and local storm sewers.

Design Parameter	Value
Minor System Design Return Period	2-Year (Local Streets), 5-Year (Collector Streets), 10-Year
	(Arterial Streets) – PIEDTB-2016-01
Major System Design Return Period	100-Year
Intensity Duration Frequency Curve	. A
(IDF)	$i = \frac{1}{(1 + p)^C}$
2-year storm event:	$(t_c + B)$
A = 723.951, B = 6.199, C = 0.810	
5-year storm event:	
A = 998.071, B = 6.053, C = 0.814	
Minimum Time of Concentration	10 minutes
Rational Method	Q = CiA
Runoff coefficient for paved and roof	0.90
areas	
Runoff coefficient for landscaped areas	0.20
Storm sewers are to be sized	$O = \frac{1}{4R} \frac{2}{3} \frac{5}{2}$
employing the Manning's Equation	Q = -AK + S + n
Minimum Sewer Size	250 mm diameter
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013
Service Lateral Size	100mm dia PVC SDR 28 with a minimum slope of 1.0%.
Minimum Depth of Cover	1.7m from crown of sewer to grade (based on recent
	residential subdivisions in City of Ottawa)
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.8 m/s
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	6.0 m/s
Clearance from 100-Year Hydraulic	0.30 m
Grade Line to Building Opening	
Max. Allowable Flow Depth on	35 cm above gutter (PIEDTB-2016-01)
Municipal Roads	
Extent of Major System	I o be contained within the municipal right-of-way or adjacent
	to the right-of-way provided that the water level must hot
	touch any part of the building envelope and must remain
	$(100 - y_{0})$ and $(15 - y_{0})$ and $(15 - y_{0})$
	between spill elevation on the street and the ground elevation
	at the nearest building envelope (PIEDTR-2016-01)
Stormwater Management Model	DDSWMM (release 2.1) SWMHYMO (v. 5.02) and
Stormwater Management Meder	XPSWMM (v. 10)
Model Parameters	$F_0 = 76.2 \text{ mm/hr}$ , $F_c = 13.2 \text{ mm/hr}$ , $DCAY = 4.14/hr$ .
	D.Stor.Imp. = 1.57 mm, D.Stor.Per. = 4.67 mm
Imperviousness	Based on runoff coefficient (C) where
	Percent Imperviousness = $(C - 0.2)/0.7 \times 100\%$ .
Design Storms	Chicago 3-hour Design Storms and 24-hour SCS Type II
	Design Storms. Maximum intensity averaged over 10
	minutes.
Historical Events	July 1st, 1979, August 4th, 1988 and August 8th, 1996
Climate Change Street Test	20% increase in the 100-year, 3-hour Chicago storm
Extracted from City of Ottawa Sewer Design recently approved residential subdivision des	Guidelines, October 2012, as amended by PIEDTB-2016-01, and based on ians in City of Ottawa.

Preliminary sizing of the storm sewer network is provided in *Appendix D*, based on rational method calculations and the design parameters set out in *Table 5*. Conservative runoff coefficients were applied based on the coefficients used within the *MSS*, see *Appendix B* for details. A peak rational method flow of 5600 L/s was anticipated to outlet into the drainage swale towards Pond 3. At the time of detailed design, a detailed hydraulic gradeline (HGL) analysis will be completed for the proposed system based on the 100-year 3-hour Chicago and 24-hour SCS design storms. The possibility to downsize the trunk storm infrastructure and detailed runoff coefficients will be also be analyzed.

Consistent with the *MSS*, the major system drainage is proposed to be directed towards and along the local and collector roads, ultimately draining into SWM Pond 3 to the east. The proposed conceptual grading plan can be seen in *Drawing 1*. The *MSS* and the *Geotechnical Investigation – Proposed Residential Development 936 March Road* (July 31, 2018, Paterson Group) both report a preliminary grade raise restriction of up to 3m. The conceptual grading plan does not propose any grades exceeding the 3m restriction. The major system and minor system are both conveyed to SWM Pond 3 via a drainage swale adjacent to the woodlot east of the former CN railway corridor.

#### 5.3 Floodplain Mapping

An existing drainage channel, a tributary of Shirley's Brooke (refered to as Tributary 2 in the MSS), runs through the study area. According to the *EMP*, within the study area, the drainage channel has sufficient capacity to confine the 100-year peak flow within the top of bank and existing channel corridor. Existing floodplain limits from the *EMP* and *MSS* can be seen in *Appendix B*. The draft plan includes a 40 m wide corridor and an additional 6 m buffer to respect the existing drainage channel and the 35m meander belt width identified within the *EMP*. Consistent with the *MSS*, culverts will be installed under the proposed road crossing to convey the 100-year peak flow without stormwater overtopping the proposed road. The culvert(s) will be sized at the time of detailed design.

#### 5.4 Proposed Outlet – Stormwater Management (SWM) Pond 3

Consistent with the *MSS*, the proposed outlet for both the minor and major systems from the proposed development is Pond 3. Pond 3 is to be situated east of the study area, west of March Valley Road and ultimately drains towards the east into Shirley's Brook. A conceptual pond footprint is shown in *Figure 6*. Note that per the *MSS*, Pond 3 is ultimately meant to service stormwater runoff from both the study area and the lands to the north. The proposed Pond 3 illustrated in *Figure 6* is only designed to service the subject lands, and will need to be expanded into a future block within the property to the north to accommodate ultimate development drainage from those lands.

Pond 3 is intended to be constructed within a 6 ha block, provide Enhanced Protection quality control (80% TSS removal), and operate at a permanent pool elevation of 65.50m, consistent with the preliminary Pond 3 design presented in the *EMP and MSS*. It is noted that this preliminary pond outlet and permanent pool elevation are approximately 0.9m above the 2-year water level in Shirley's Brook, providing flexibility to lower the operating

levels within the pond upon detailed design to best suit the proposed development conditions. The preliminary design for the pond anticipates a 100-year event water level of 67.00m with  $40,900m^3$  of active storage. Additional pond design details can be seen in **Appendix E**.

East of the former CN railway corridor, the study area's stormwater infrastructure will outlet into a 5m wide drainage swale with 3:1 side slopes to convey flow along the woodlot to Pond 3. See *Figure 6* for details.

#### 5.5 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

Consistent with the *MSS*, a network of local gravity sewers is proposed within the study area to capture stormwater and convey the flows to the proposed trunk storm sewer network. The trunk storm sewer network is to outlet into a proposed drainage swale which will then convey flows to the proposed SWM Pond 3. Flows not captured in the sewer network are to be directed towards SWM Pond 3 via the proposed roadways and the proposed drainage swale.

The storm sewer network and stormwater management facility designs are to be designed in conformance with all relevant City of Ottawa and MOECC Guidelines, and standard City of Ottawa Modelling techniques.

#### 6.0 UTILITIES

Utility services were consulted as part of the *MSS* process to provide information regarding their existing infrastructure, initial plans for servicing the KNUEA, and identify any known constraints.

Hydro Ottawa is reported to have overhead infrastructure running through the KNUEA on the east side of March Road. Per the *MSS*, the existing infrastructure on March Road will need to be upgraded in order to service the KNUEA.

Enbridge Gas is reported to have service extended off the 6" high-pressure gas main within the west side of March Road near the study area.

Bell and Rogers are reported to have services up to the intersection of March Road and Old Carp Road, southwest of the study area. Service to the KNUEA would extend off this location. Per the *MSS*, Rogers' existing infrastructure would require upgrading to service the KNUEA.

DSEL has begun coordination with the utility services to confirm the servicing plan for the study area.

#### 7.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Soil erosion occurs naturally and is a function of soil type, climate and topography. The extent of erosion losses is exaggerated during construction where vegetation has been removed and the top layer of soil becomes agitated.

Prior to topsoil stripping, earthworks or underground construction, erosion and sediment controls will be implemented and will be maintained throughout construction.

Silt fence will be installed around the perimeter of the active part of the site and will be cleaned and maintained throughout construction. Silt fence will remain in place until the working areas have been stabilized and re-vegetated.

Catchbasins will have catchbasin inserts installed during construction to protect from silt entering the storm sewer system.

Specifically, the following recommendations to the Contractor will be included in contract documents.

- > Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time.
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.
- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- > Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches.
- Install silt fence to prevent sediment from exiting the construction area and entering existing ditches/stormwater systems.
- Install mud mat at the construction access in order to prevent mud tracking onto adjacent roads.
- > No refueling or cleaning of equipment near existing watercourses.
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering.
- Install catchbasin inserts.
- > Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding.

The Contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance. The inspection is to include:

- > Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers.
- > Clean and change inserts at catch basins.

#### 8.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The overall municipal servicing strategy for the study area was contemplated as part of the *Kanata North Community Design Plan (City of Ottawa, June 28, 2016)* and the Kanata North Master Servicing Study *(City of Ottawa, June 28 2016)*.

This *Functional Servicing Study* (FSR) (DSEL, January 2019) provides details on the planned on-site and off-site municipal services for the subject property and demonstrates that adequate municipal infrastructure capacity is expected to be available for the planned development of the study area.

Prior to detailed design of the infrastructure presented in this report, this FSR will require approval under the *Planning Act* as supporting information for the development applications. Project-specific approvals are also expected to be required for the infrastructure presented in this report from the City of Ottawa, Ministry of Environment and Climate Change, and Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority.

Prepared by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.

Blanni

Per: Braden Kaminski, E.I.T.

Reviewed by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.



© DSEL

z:\projects\17-982\_minto\_kanata-north\b\_design\b3\_reports\b3-2\_servicing (dsel)\2019-01-11\_982\_fsr\2019-01-11\_982\_fsr.docx

## **Appendix A**

Development Study Checklist, Draft Plan of Subdivision, Record of Pre-Consultation, Record of City Comments

#### **DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST**

General Content	
Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
Date and revision number of the report.	Title Page
Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	Figure 1
Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figures 1/4/5/6
Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.	Section 1.0 & Section 2.0
Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 1.3 & Appendix A
Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	All sections
Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0 & Section 3.2, Section 4.2, and Section 5.2
Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Sections 3.1, Section 4.1, and Section 5.1
Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	Sections 1.1 & 1.2
Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	Drawing 1
Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	To be addressed in at detailed design.
Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A. Depends on landowner preferred timing
Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	Section 1.1 & Section 2.1
All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale -North arrow (including construction North) -Key plan -Name and contact information of applicant and property owner -Property limits including bearings and dimensions -Existing and proposed structures and parking areas -Easements, road widening and rights-of-way	All Figures
-אטןמנכווג או לכן וומווובא	

4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water	
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	Section 3.2
Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	MSS & Section 3.2
Identification of system constraints	MSS & Section 3.2
Identify boundary conditions	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	N/A for FSR

_		MSS.
	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	Detailed hydraulic assessment N/A for FSR.
	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is	MSS.
	calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	fire flow at locations throughout the development.	N/A for FSR.
	Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	N/A for FSR.
	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	N/A for FSR.
	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	Chack on the percentity of a processing zone houndary modification	
	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary mounication	10155.
	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable	MSS.
	shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	conditions provide water within the required pressure range	N/A for FSR.
	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of	
_	proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping,	MSS, Section 3.2 & Figure 5.
	and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	hydrants) including special metering provisions.	N/A for FSR.
	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and	
	other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed	MSS
	development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of	14135.
	implementation.	
	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa	Section 3.2
	Design Guidelines.	
	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations,	Detailed hydraulic assessment
	streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A TOT FSR.
	4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewate	er

4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewate	er
Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 4.2
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	Section 4.2
Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	MSS
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	MSS & Section 4.2
Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	MSS, Section 4.2, Figure 4, Appendix C
Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Appendix C
Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	MSS, Section 4.2, Appendix C & Figure 4

Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	MSS
Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	MSS, Section 4.2
Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	MSS
Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	MSS
Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	MSS

#### 4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist

Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 1.1 & Section 5.2
Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	MSS & Section 5.4
A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Figure 3
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	MSS & Section 5.4
Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	MSS & Section 5.4
Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information	Section 5.2, Section 5.4 & Figure 6
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	MSS, Section 5.3
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	Record of consultation forthcoming.
Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	MSS, Section 5.2, Section 5.3 & Section 5.4
Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	MSS. Detailed modelling N/A for FSR.
Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	MSS. Detailed assessment N/A for FSR.
Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	MSS. Detailed modelling N/A for FSR.
Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A
Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	Section 5.2, Appendix D & Figure 3

If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-	Ν/Δ
vear return period storm event.	
Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	MSS
Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A
Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	N/A at FSR level, future work described in Section 5.2 & Section 5.4
100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	Section 5.3 & Drawing 1
Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A at FSR level, future work described in Section 5.2 & Section 5.5
Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	Section 7.0
Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.	MSS, Section 5.3
Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	Section 1.1
4 5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklis	:†
Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement ct. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	Section 1.2
Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	Section 1.2
Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	Section 1.2
4.6 Conclusion Checklist	
Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 8.0
Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	N/A – first submission
All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario	Section 8.0



#### Braden Kaminski

From:	Beth Henderson <bhenderson@minto.com></bhenderson@minto.com>
Sent:	Monday, July 16, 2018 4:04 PM
То:	Emilie Coyle; Paul Black; Miguel Tremblay - FoTenn Urban Planners & Designers
	(tremblay@fotenn.com);    Steve Pichette;    McKinley Environmental; Matt Wingate;
	Christopher Gordon (gogogordons.chris@rogers.com);        Dave Gilbert
	(dgilbert@patersongroup.ca); Mark D'Arcy; 'Karyn Munch'; 'Ben Mortimer'; 'Webster,
	Brian'
Subject:	FW: Pre-Consultation Follow-up: 936 March Road
Attachments:	936 March.pdf; Plan & Study List.pdf

Hi All

Please find attached and below the comments from the city from our pre consultation meeting last Wednesday July 11<sup>th</sup> 20118.

Thanks Beth

Beth Henderson Senior Land Development Manager MINTO COMMUNITIES - CANADA 200-180 Kent St, Ottawa, ON K1P 0B6 T 613.782.2311 A division of The Minto Group

You are receiving this email because you may have expressly consented to receive commercial electronic messages from Minto Group of Companies (Minto Properties Inc, Minto Communities Canada Inc., Minto Communities LLC.) and affiliates. To unsubscribe, please <u>click here</u>. Contact Minto Group of Companies at 200-180 Kent Street, Ottawa ON K1P 0B6 or 1-877-751-2852. <u>Click here</u> to access our privacy policy.

The information in this email is intended solely for the addressee(s) named and may contain privileged, confidential or personal information. If you have received this communication in error, please reply by e-mail to the sender and delete or destroy all copies of this message. Any other distribution, disclosure or copying is strictly prohibited.

From: McCreight, Laurel [mailto:Laurel.McCreight@ottawa.ca]
Sent: Friday, July 13, 2018 12:05 PM
To: Emilie Coyle <coyle@fotenn.com>
Cc: Beth Henderson <BHenderson@minto.com>
Subject: Pre-Consultation Follow-up: 936 March Road

Hi Emilie,

Please refer to the below regarding the Pre-Consultation Meeting held on Wednesday Jull 11<sup>th</sup>, 2018 for the property at 936 March Road for a proposed plan of subdivision. I have also attached the Plans & Study List.

#### <u>General</u>

- Proposal to develop a subdivision containing approximately 800 units, 396 being single-family dwellings and 400 being townhomes
- Two blocks have been severed, under an application to Committee of Adjustment, fronting onto March Road and retained by the current property owner for future commercial development
- The proposed subdivision will consist of a park block and school block along with the residential blocks
- The current proposal is generally consistent with the council approved Kanata North Community Design Plan
- The subject property currently contains an existing farmhouse that is being retained along with its access
- The western portion of the property contains a 40-metre creek corridor.
- The applicants are proposing a Zoning By-law Amendment for both the commercial and subdivision portions
- The commercial portion is proposing to be rezoned from Rural Countryside (RU) to General Mixed Use (GM)

#### Planning/Urban Design

- The property is subject to the Kanata North Community Design Plan (CDP)
- The subject property is currently zoned Rural Countryside (RU) with the intent of rezoning the property
- There is a concern regarding the applicant's proposal of rezoning RU to GM without a Master Plan for the remaining commercial blocks
  - A concept plan will be required to demonstrate layout of the site
- Please be aware of the gateway features in the CDP
  - $\circ$   $\;$  There are currently two labelled potential community gateways where the CDP has a maximum of two  $\;$
  - Potential neighbourhood gateway also identified
- Please provide more linkages throughout the site in the northwest corner towards the creek
- Consider realigning Block 42 to align the pathway to the street
  - It is understood that there is an easement on title for this existing access and it may be difficult to move the location
  - Please describe if this can / cannot be accommodated in the Planning Rationale
- The attached image also illustrates other linkages that are recommended
- The ideal layout would have the back-to-back townhomes block along the collector to allow for a multi-use pathway (MUP) and to ensure driveways do not interfere
- Please provide a similar form of housing located in the existing residential neighbourhood to the south (along the southern property line)
- A mixture of product type dispersed throughout the subdivision is encouraged
- There is a 6-metre MUP around the retained dwelling
- A right-of-way of 1.8 metres is preferable for tree planting along the MUP
- The hedge row in Block 34, the southern portion, is to be enhanced and retained
- Please note the woodlot is to be conveyed to the City as part of the natural heritage system and is to be shown separately on the plans from the stormwater management pond (two separate blocks)
- Discussion regarding the rail corridor
  - The corridor may be acquired, but there are no guarantees, depends on whether the City has the funds and how much of the corridor is actually being sold
  - At minimum, we should be protecting for the potential and access will need to be provided across the corridor for the SWM connection
- Please be aware of the location of clay soils and their relation to tree planting

#### Engineering

- Master Servicing Study to be followed
- Please incorporate LIDs where possible

#### **Transportation**

• Follow Traffic Impact Assessment Guidelines – Full Traffic Impact Assessment will be required.

- Start this process as soon as possible
- The application will not be deemed complete until the submission of the draft step 1-4, including the functional draft RMA package (if applicable) and/or monitoring report (if applicable)
- ROW protection on March Road between Urban Area Limit and Terry Fox Drive is 44.5m even (Note: An additional 5.0 m on the Greenbelt side may be required to construct a rural cross section)
- Noise Feasibility Impact Studies required prior to DPA and Detailed Noise Impact Assessment required prior to registration, for the following:
  - $\circ$  Road
  - Rail (if applicable)

#### **Environmental / Forestry**

- The Environmental Management Plan (EMP) and CDP will provide direction for the development
- When filling up the corridor limits, be cognizant of the transitions as there are regulations about drainage into the corridor
  - No retaining walls or rear yard drainage
- There are perched culverts along the rail ditch, if there is the opportunity to fix the culverts, the EMP suggests it be done
- Please be advised of the coordination that will have to take place for the obtaining of a turtle permit
  - o A Ministry of Natural Resources permit may be required
- There is a high presence of butternut trees on the subject property with majority surrounding the existing dwelling that is being retained
- Further butternut planting is supported along the woodlot
- A tree permit is required prior to any tree removal on site
- A Tree Conservation Report will need to be submitted for review as part of the Plan of Subdivision submission requirements (can be combined with the EIS)

#### <u>Parks</u>

- Please provide further connectivity throughout the proposal from the streets to the park block
- It is anticipated the park may be suitable for more active uses

#### Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority

- The stormwater management pond at the bottom of the inlet is to be constructed as soon as possible and completed as part of the development
- Some temporary sediment ponds may be required for construction
- Be sure to implement the MSS.
- Current regulation mapping extends beyond the established corridor primarily into the proposed commercial block but there is spillage into the eastern side of the property
  - This results in the way of MNR however does not incorporate stormwater management controls until developed
- The flood limit anticipates full build up, upstream, with no stormwater management
  - Flood plain mapping will be reduced to incorporate the stormwater management pond upon its completion
    - o There is confidence it will be reduced to 40-metres
- There is currently an issue in the interim for crossing
  - o If it is temporary, pre-servicing permits will be required
  - This will include a hydraulic-pumping analysis
  - The crossing on the map would have to look at flows and flooding
- The floodwater is currently over topping by 0.3metres
- Please show the reduced spill area on pond 2

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards, Laurel

1

۱

Laurel McCreight MCIP, RPP Planner Development Review West Urbaniste Examen des demandes d'aménagement ouest

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 16587 ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

ABSENCE ALERT - I will be away from July 20 to August 8

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

## **Appendix B**

Excerpts from the MSS (Novatech, June 2016)



### MASTER SERVICING STUDY

# <image>





FINAL JUNE 28, 2016





REPORT



	LEGEND		
CM	Community Mixed Use		Residential Street-Oriented <sup>2</sup>
NM	Neighbourhood Mixed Use		Limit of Study Area
SM	Service Mixed Use		Transition
CP	Community Park		appropriate to adjacent
Р	Park		residential
	Natural Heritage Feature		Arterial Road (45.0m)
S	School		Collector Road (24.0m)
FH	Fire Hall		Median Bus
Swm	Stormwater		Rapid Transit
•	Management Pond	<b>3</b>	Existing Creek Corridor
P+R	Park and Ride		Re-aligned Creek
	Institutional		Corridor
	Residential Multi-Unit <sup>1</sup>		Signals

<sup>1</sup> Townhouses, Stacked Townhouses, Back-to-Back Townhouses, Low-rise Apartments (Max 4 Storeys)

<sup>2</sup> Singles, Semis, Townhouses (Max 3 Storeys)





M:2012112117/CAD/Design/ MSS/FIGURES/Figure 3.4 - DRAINAGE FEATURES dwg, DRAINAGE FEAT, Feb 23, 2016 - 11:38am, Issely





KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (KNUEA)

EXISTING DRAINAGE CHANNEL AND DIRECTION OF FLOW

SUBWATERSHED BOUNDARY

SHIRLEY'S BROOK FLOOD PLAIN

EXISTING SWM FACILITY



## KANATA NORTH

COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

#### FIGURE NO. 3.4

DRAINAGE FEATURES & SUBWATERSHED BOUNDARIES FEB 2016 112117



QUT11v17 DM/G 970mmv/399mm









JECT No. 112117-04 REV # 3 RAWING No. 112117-SAN1

PLANB1.DWG -

#### KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

#### TABLE C-6b: SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

				Т								1		-											Engin	eers, P	lanners &	Landsca
LOCATION					R	ESIDENTIAL AREA	A AND PO	PULATION	mulative			IND				IET			INFIL	RATION	FLO	w			PI	IPE		
Street	From	То	Total	Dwellings	Density	(Net ha) Pop.		Residential	mulative	Peak	Peak	Area Accu. Peak	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Peak	Total	Accu	Area Infiltratio	on Tota	al Dia	ia	Dia	Slope V	/elocitv	Capacity	Ratio
	Node	Node	Area	SFH SD/TH	Low <sup>3</sup>	High <sup>4</sup>	Area	Pop	).	Factor	Flow	Area Factor		Area		Area	Flow	Area	New	Exist Flow	Flov	v Ac	ct	Nom		(Full)	(Full)	Q/Qfull
			(ha)	3.4 2.7	101	161	(ha)	New	Exist		(l/s)	(ha) (ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	) (mr	m) (	(mm)	(%)	(m/s)	(l/s)	(%)
				pers/ea pers/ea	pers/ha	per/ha									-													
EAST RICOF	E-1	E-3	4.47	,	3.00	303.0	3.00	303		4.00	4.9						0.0	4.47	4.47	1	.3 6	.2 2	203	200	0.40	0.67	21.6	28%
E-2	E-2	E-3	5.91		4.29	433.3	7.29	736		3.88	11.6	5					0.0	5.91	10.38	2	.9 14	.5 2	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2	72%
																						_						
E-3	E-3	E-6	9.42	2	6.51	657.5	13.80	1394		3.70	20.9						0.0	9.42	19.80	5	5 26	.4 2	254	250	0.40	0.77	39.2	67%
E-4	E-4	E-5	6.89	)	3.12	1.36 534.1	3.12	534		3.96	8.6	;					0.0	6.89	6.89	1	.9 10	.5 2	203	200	1.00	1.05	34.2	31%
E-5	E-5	E-9	4.70	)	1.46	147.5	4.58	682		3.90	10.8				2.29	2.29	2.0	4.70	11.59	3	3.2 16	.0 2	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2	79%
		= -					10.10															_						
E-6	E-6	E-9	3.28	5	2.32	234.3	16.12	1628		3.65	24.1						0.0	3.28	23.08	6	5 30	.6 3	305	300	0.25	0.69	50.4	61%
E-7	E-7	E-8	10.04	L .	7.21	728.2	2 7.21	728		3.88	11.5	i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i					0.0	10.04	10.04	2	.8 14	.3 2	203	200	0.40	0.67	21.6	66%
E-8	E-8	E-9	4.05	5	2.94	296.9	10.15	1025		3.79	15.8						0.0	4.05	14.09	3	8.9 19	.7 2	254	250	0.30	0.67	33.9	58%
	<b>F</b> 0	MIL 000	0.00	, <u> </u>	0.00	000.4	20.01	0044		2.07	40 7		1		1	0.00		0.00	F0 7 1		0 00			075	0.00	0 75	05.7	700/
L-9 Total Flows From East KNUEA	⊏-9	IVIT 209	3.98 52.74	2 L	3.06	309.1 3644	33.91 33.91	3644		3.37	49.7 49.7					2.29	2.0 1.99	৩.9४	52.74	14 14	77 66 4	.o a 19	100	3/5	0.22	0.75	ŏ5.7	10%
							20.01	3074								2.20				14.			+					
X-1 (Brookside Subdivision)*		MH 209	32.80			2216.1	26.04		2216	3.55	18.2		6.76	6.76			2.3	32.80		32.80 11	.5 32.	0						
		_		*Population from	Novatech	#103106 Sanitary S	ewer Des	ign Sheet		F			<u> </u>		1					<u> </u>			-+					
	MH 200	MH 208				0.0	59 95	3644	2216	3 18	633		1	6 76	-	2 20	70	0 00	52 74	32.80 26	2 07	4	457	450	0.20	0.81	132.0	73%
	MH 208	MH 207	1			0.0	59.95	3644	2216	3.18	63.3		1	6.76	1	2.29	7.9	0.00	52.74	32.80 26	.2 97.	4 4	457	450	0.20	0.81	132.9	73%
X-2 (Brookside Subdivision)	MH 207	MH 206	3.12	44		118.8	63.07	3644	2335	3.17	64.0			6.76		2.29	7.9	3.12	52.74	35.92 27	.3 99.	.2 4	457	450	0.20	0.81	132.9	75%
X-3 (Brookside Subdivision)**	MH 206	MH 205	9.81	244	40711.1	658.8	72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9			6.76		2.29	7.9	9.81	52.74	45.73 30	.8 106.	5 4	157	450	0.21	0.83	136.2	78%
				**244 TH units =	107 Units 1	from Novatech #103	3106 Sani	ary Sewer	Design S	sheet, plus	future	137 units North of Klond	ike and	West of	Marco	nı (5.67)	na @ 65	pers/ha)										
X-13 (Future Industrial Lands)	Future	MH 205	20.99	9								15.85 15.85 3.6	6				13.2	20.99	20.99	5	5.9 19	.1						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,																												
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 205	MH 204					72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9	15.85 3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73 36	.6 125.	6 4	457	450	0.20	0.81	132.9	94%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 204	MH 203					72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9	15.85 3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73 36 45.73 36	.6 125.	6 4	157	450	0.20	0.81	132.9	94%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 202	MH 201A					72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9	15.85 3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73 36	.6 125.	6 4	457	450	0.25	0.97	151.6	83%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 201A	MH 201					72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9	15.85 3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73 36	.6 125.	6 4	457	450	0.25	0.91	148.6	85%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 201	MH 200					72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9	15.85 3.6		6.76		2.29	21.1	0.00	73.73	45.73 36	.6 125.	6 4	157	450	0.25	0.91	148.6	85%
Briar Ridge Pump Station Access Road	MH 200	EXMH1					72.88	3644	2994	3.13	67.9	15.85 3.0		0.70		2.29	21.1	0.00	13.13	45.73 30	.0 125.	.0 4	157	450	0.23	0.87	142.5	88%
RIDDELL VILLAGE (X-4)***		EXMH1	42.42			3100			3100	3.43	24.6				2.96	2.96	1.0	42.42		42.42 14	.8 40.	5	-+					
				***Population fro	m Novatec	h #103106 Sanitary	Sewer De	esign Sheet																				
		574440					70.00	2044	600.4	0.07	05.0	45.05 0.0		0.70		5.05	00.0	0.00	70 70	00.45 54	5 400	_	457	450	0.00	0.00	100.0	000/
	EXMH1 FXMH2	EXMH2					72.88	3644	6094	2.97	85.6	15.65 3.6		6.76		5.25	23.0	0.00	73.73	88 15 51	.5 160.	8 4	457	450	0.30	0.99	162.8	99%
X-14 (Future Industrial Lands east of Marshes Golf Course)	EXMH4	EXMH5	19.23	3			72.88	3644	6094	2.97	85.6	19.23 35.08 3.1		6.76		5.25	35.6	19.23	92.96	88.15 56	.9 178.	1 4	457	450	0.44	1.20	197.2	90%
	EXMH5	PS					72.88	3644	6094	2.97	85.6	35.08 3.1		6.76		5.25	35.6	0.00	92.96	88.15 56	.9 178.	.1 4	457	450	0.40	1.14	188.0	95%
Briar Ridge Pump Station							72.88	3644	6094	2.97	85.6	35.08 3.1		6.76		5.25	35.6	0.00	92.96	88.15 56	5.9 178	.1						
WEST KNUEA / MARCH ROAD																												
NN 4	10/ 4	14/ 0						F 10		0.07					-			7 - 1					2000	000	0.40	0.07	01.0	4000
۷۷- ۱	vv-1	vv-3	7.51		5.14	519.1	5.14	519		3.97	8.3		1		1		0.0	7.51	7.51	2	.1 10	.4 2	203	200	0.40	0.67	21.6	48%
W-2	W-2	W-3	8.94	L I	2.36	238.4	2.36	238		4.00	3.9		1		4.32	4.32	3.8	8.94	8.94	2	.5 10	.1 2	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2	50%
											0.0		1		1								_					
W-3	W-3	W-4	6.52	2	1.97	2.16 546.7	11.63	1304		3.72	19.7		<b> </b>		<b> </b>		0.0	6.52	22.97	6	6.4 26	.1 2	254	250	0.70	1.02	51.9	50%
W-5	W-5	W-6	4.20		2.74	276 7	2.74	277		4.00	4.5		1		1		0.0	4.20	4.20	1	.2 5	.7 2	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2	28%
W-6	W-6	W-8	4.29	)	3.04	307.0	5.78	584		3.94	9.3		L		1		0.0	4.29	8.49	2	.4 11	.7 2	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2	58%
											0.0																	
N-7	W-7	W-8	7.39	)	4.24	428.2	4.24	428		4.00	6.9		<b> </b>		<b> </b>		0.0	7.39	7.39	2	2.1 9	.0 2	203	200	1.60	1.33	43.2	21%
W-8	W-8	W-9	2 85	5	1 02	0.55 191.6	11 59	1204		3.75	18.3		1		1		0.0	2.85	18 73	5	5.2 23	.5 2	254	250	0.35	0.72	36.7	64%
-						5.00 101.0				0.70	. 5.5		1		1	1	0.0					1				0.12		2.70
W-4	W-4	MR-1	3.10	)		0.0	23.22	2508		3.51	35.6		0.3	<b>5</b> 0.35	0.83	5.15	4.8	3.10	26.07	7	'.3 47	.7 2	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	77%
NN 14	10/ 14	W/ 15	0 70		0.00	20.4	0.20	20		4.00	0.0		<b> </b>		2 00	2 00	9 F	2 70	0 70		1 4	2 4	202	200	0.25	0.60	20.2	210/
W-15	W-14 W-15	W-15 W-17	3.19	7	2.20	222.2	2.56	259		4.00	4.2		1		2.09	2.89	2.5	3.19	5.79 6.96	1	.1 4	.2 2	203	200	0.35	0.62	20.2	∠1% 30%
			0.11										1		1		0.0	5	0.00							5.02		
													_		-						-	-						

## Page 1 of 2 2016-05-18

NOV

CH



di:2012/112117/CAD\Design\_MSS\FIGURES\Figure 5.3.2-PROP STORM INFRASTRUCTURE.dwg, FIG 5, May 18, 2016 - 3:15pm, mhreh



ISSUED WITH DRAFT MASTER SERVICING STUDY	MAY 20/16
ISSUED WITH DRAFT MASTER SERVICING STUDY	APR 4/16
ISSUED WITH DRAFT MASTER SERVICING STUDY	FEB 26/16
PEV/ISION	DATE

112117-STM1 PLANB1.DWG - 1



3.	ISSUED WITH DRAFT MASTER SERVICING STUDY	MAY 20/16	JLS	
2.	ISSUED WITH DRAFT MASTER SERVICING STUDY	APR 4/16	JLS	0 30
1.	ISSUED WITH DRAFT MASTER SERVICING STUDY	FEB 26/16	JLS	
No.	REVISION	DATE	BY	

ΞΑ	<b>N</b>
	PROJECT No.
	112117-00
	REV
	REV # 3
	DRAWING No.
	112117-PGR
/	PLANB1.DWG - 1000mmx707mn



LEGEND	- GENERAL
	KANATA NORTH URBAN EXPANSION AREA (KNUEA)
	DRAINAGE CHANNEL
	STUDY AREA QUADRANT BOUNDARY
<u>LEGEND</u>	- CONSTRAINTS
	FLOODPLAIN BOUNDARY (APPROXIMATE - MVCA/ AECOM)
	ADJACENT AREAS SERVICED BY WELLS
	HYDRAULIC STRUCTURE ID•BDBEAVER DAM•CWCONCRETE WEIR•DDRIVEWAY CULVERT•RRAILWAY CULVERT•RDROADWAY CULVERT•RGROCK GABIAN BASKET•SSHIRLEY'S BROOK CULVERT
	HEADWATER DRAINAGE CHANNEL TO BE COMPENSATED
	HEADWATER DRAINAGE CHANNEL NOT REQUIRING COMPENSATION OR MITIGATION
A	DRAINAGE CHANNEL ID
LEGEND	- FEATURES
	EXISTING SWM FACILITY
	WOODED AREA
•	BUTTERNUT LOCATIONS (EXAMPLES)



## **KANATA NORTH**

COMMUNITY DESIGN PLAN

FIGURE NO. 3.5 EXISTING ENVIRONMENTAL INVENTORY



APR 2016 scale AS SHOWN

<sub>јов</sub> 112117



## **Appendix C**

Sanitary Servicing Design



#### SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

LO L

SANITARY SEWER CA		TION SH	EET																				(	6	Ha		
fanning's n=0.013																									шим	<i>vu</i>	
LOCATION			RE	SIDENTIAL	. AREA AND	POPULATIO	IN			CO	MM	ÍNs	STIT	PA	RK	C+I+I		NFILTRATIO	4					PIPE			
STREET	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA	UNITS	POP.	AREA	ATIVE POP.	PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU. AREA	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK FLOW	AREA	ACCU. AREA	INFILT. FLOW	TOTAL FLOW	DIST	DIA	SLOPE	CAP. (FULL)	RATIO Q act/Q cap	(FULL)	L. (ACT.)
			(ha)			(ha)			(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(#/s)	(ha) I	(ha)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(l/s)		(m/s)	(m/s)
runk 202	ii												1														
	53A	57A	2.07		138	2.07	138	3.36	1.50		0.00		0.00	2.11	2.11	0.34	4.18	4.18	1.38	3.22	67.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.11	0.61	0.39
	57A	64A	2.10		138	4.17	276	3.27	2.93		0,00		0,00		2.11	0.34	2.10	6.28	2.07	5.34	68.5	250	0.25	29.73	0. <u>18</u>	0.61	0.46
	64A	68A	3.46		225	7.63	501	3.18	5.16		0.00		0.00		2.11	0.34	3.46	9.74	3.21	8,72	68.5	250	0.25	29.73	0,29	0.61	0.53
	094	704	0.31		21	7.94	522	2.40	D E7		0.00		0.00	0.40	2.51	0.44	0.71	10.45	2 0 0	10.70	70.0	250	0.05	00.70	0.26	0.64	0.50
	06A	. 76A	0.30		125	9.06	600	3.13	0.37	<u> </u>	0.00		0.00		2.51	0.41	0.30	11.57	3.02	10.79	79.0	250	0.25	29.13	0.35	0.01	0.56
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	76A	84A	2.73		182	12 18	872	3.07	8.68		0.00		0.00		2.51	0.41	273	14.69	4 85	13.93	126.5	250	0.25	29.73	0.47	0.61	0.60
		01/1	0.26		17	12.44	889	0.07	0.00		0.00		0.00		2.51	0,11	0.26	14.95		10.00	120.0	200	0.20	20.10	0.17		.0.00
	84A	85A	3.04		338	15.48	1227	2.99	11.90		0.00		0.00		2.51	0.41	3.04	17.99	5.94	18.24	68.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.38	0.68	0.64
o Trunk 200, Pipe 85A - 86A						15,48	1227				0.00		0.00		2.51			17.99									
runk 201																					101.0						
	18A	19A	1.32		86	1.32	86	3.41	0.95		0.00		0.00	<u> </u>	0.00	0.00	1.32	1.32	0.44	1.39	121.0	200	0.65	26.44	0.05	0.84	0.44
			0,25		17	1.57	103				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.25	1.57									
	19A	22A	1.10		117	4 42	342	3.24	3 59		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.75	4 4 2	1 46	5 05	68.5	250	0.25	29.73	0 17	0.61	0.45
			0.48		32	4,90	374	0.21	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.48	4.90	1.10	0.00			0.20	20.10	*		5,14
	22A	23A	1.22		135	6.12	509	3.18	5.24		0.00		0,00	i — —	0.00	0.00	1.22	6.12	2.02	7.26	92.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.15	0.68	0.49
	23A	24A	0.53		35	6.65	544	3.16	5.58		0.00	2.51	2.51		0.00	1.22	3.04	9.16	3.02	9.82	109.0	300	0.25	48,35	0.20	0.68	0.54
	24A	25A	0.90		59	7.55	603	3.14	6.15		0.00		2.51		0.00	1.22	0.90	10.06	3.32	10.69	105.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.22	0.68	0.55
	25A	41A	0.62		41	8.17	644	3.13	6.54		0.00		2.51		0.00	1.22	0.62	10.68	3.52	11.28	89.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.23	0.68	0.55
o Trunk 200, Pipe 41A - 44A						8.17	644				0.00		2.51		0.00		1	10.68									
runk 200																	+										
			0.08		6	0.08	6				0.00		0.00		0.00		0.08	0.08									
	30A	31A	21.32		1390	21.40	1396	2.96	13.40		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	21.32	21.40	7.06	20.46	34.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.42	0.68	0.65
			1.37		90	22.77	1486				0.00		0.00		0.00		1.37	22.77									
	31A	36A	1.54		170	24.31	1656	2.92	15.66		0.00		0.00		0,00	0,00	1.54	24.31	8.02	23.68	68.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.49	0.68	0.68
	36A	37A	1.95		130	26.26	1786	2.90	16.78		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.00	1.95	26.26	8.67	25.44	68.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.53	0.68	0.69
	37A	38A	0.62		41	26.88	1827	2.89	17.13		0.00	-	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.62	26.88	8.87	26.00	102.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.54	0.68	0.70
	38A	39A	0.58		38	27.46	1865	2.89	17.45		0.00	<b> </b>	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.58	27.46	9.06	26.51	84.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.55	0.68	0.70
· _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _ · _	39A	40A	0.10		10	27.04	1896	2.09	17.55		0.00		0.00			0.00	0.10	27.04	9.12	26.67	64.5	300	0.25	46,33	0.55	0.68	0.70
Contribution From Trunk 201 Pine 25	A - 41A	10	0.20			8 17	644	2.00	0.01	<u> </u>	0.00		2.51		0 10	- 0.00	10.68	38.60	<u>v.z.</u>	20.00	- 04.0		0.20		0.00	0.00	0.70
	41A	44A	0.29		19	36.38	2559	2.80	23.22		0.00	<u> </u>	2.51	1	0.00	1.22	0.29	38,89	12.83	37,28	72.5	300	0.25	48,35	0.77	0.68	0.75
The second s	44A	85A	1.60		106	37.98	2665	2.79	24.08		0.00		2.51		0.00	1.22	1.60	40.49	13.36	38.66	68.5	300	0.25	48.35	0.80	0.68	0.76
Chtribution From Trunk 202, Pipe 84	A - 85A					15.48	1227				0.00		0.00		2.51		17.99	58.48									
OPIC CONTRACT	85A	86A	0.56		62	54.02	3954	2.67	34.22		0.00		2.51		2.51	1.63	0.56	59.04	19.48	55.33	84.5	375	0.22	82.24	0.67	0.74	0.80
	86A	90A	0.77		85	54.79	4039	2.66	34.87		0.00	<u> </u>	2.51		2.51	1.63	0.77	59.81	19.74	56.23	99.5	375	0.22	82.24	0.68	0.74	0.80
	90A	91A	1.43	<u> </u>	159	56.22	4196	2.65	36.07		0.00		2.51		2.51	1.03	1.43	61.24	20.21	57.91	47.0	375	0.22	82.24	0.70	0.74	0.80
	9IA	\$ZA	+			50.22	4190	2.00	30,07		1 0.00		2,51		2,31	1.03	0.00	01.24	20.21	37.81	39.0	3/3	0.22	02.24	0.70	0.74	0.00
	1		1			<u> </u>					···· ·												+			+	
- 100187932							1									1											
Contraction of the second seco								1						1		1											
Jan IC W/																											
						<u> </u>																				· · · · ·	•
			DECIONIDA						1				Designe						<b>-</b>	Mint	La Kanata	h a sth					
Park Pow =	9300	/he/de	DESIGN PA	AKAMEL	EK2									ea:	10/1			PRUJEC	1:	Min	to Kanata	North					
Average Daily Flow =	280	l/p/dav				Industrial	Peak Fact	tor = as ne	er MOE Gr	aph					¥¥.L.												
Comm/Inst Flow =	28000	L/ha/da				Extraneo	us Flow =		0.330	L/s/ha			Checke	d:				LOCATIC	N:								
ndustrial Flow =	35000	L/ha/da				Minimum	Velocity =		0.600	m/s					K.M.				City of Ottawa								
Max Res. Peak Factor =	4.00					Manning's	sn =	(Conc)	0.013	(Pvc)	0.013																
Commercial/inst./Park Peak Factor =	1.50					Townhou	se coeff=		2.7	(110 p/r	ia)		Dwg. R	eference:				File Ref: Date: Sheet No 1			1						
						Single ho	use coeff=		3.4	(65 p/ha	)		Sanitary	Drainage F	Plan, Dwg:	s. No.	17-982 January, 2019 of 1			i 1							

## **Appendix D**

**Stormwater Servicing Design** 



# STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD) Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years Manning 0.013

-

.

	LOCA	TION						EN		AREA	(Ha)	40.34	F A D			400			Time	1	FL	.ow	1-111	D. J. 721	SEWER DATA				DUTIC				
			ARFA	2 1		Accum	AREA	5 YI	EAK	Accum	ARFA	10 Y	EAR Indiv	Accum	AREA	100	t⊏AK	Accum	Lime of Coor	2 Year	intensity 5 Year	Intensity 10 Year	Intensity 100 Year	reak Flow	DIA. (mm)	ULA. (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	/ELUCITY	TIME OF	KATIO
ocation	From Node	To Node	(Ha)	R	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)	R	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)	R	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)	R	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(min)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	Q (1/s)	(actual)	(nominal)		(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (min	Q/Q full
runk 10	1				0.00	<b>D</b> 00							0.00																				
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.16							·····							
			0.98	0.65	1.77	1.77	0.20	0.00	0.00	0.45			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00					l										
	86	91	1.49	0.70	2.90	4.67			0.00	0.45			0.00	0,00			0.00	0.00	13.16	66.49	90.02	105.46	154.06	351	825	825	CONC	0.15	74.5	555,9418	1.0400	1.1939	0.632
					0.00	4.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.45			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.75														
	01	02	1.61	0.65	2.00	4.67	0.32	0.65	0.58	1.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	1475	62.26	94.26	09.90	144 30	560	925	976	CONC	0.45	62.5	082.0105	1 9012	0 5793	0.691
	91	92	0.62	0.65	1.12	8.70			0.00	1.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.33	61.00	82.50	96.60	144.29	616	975	825 975	CONC	0.45	103.5	867 9562	1.6013	1 4839	0.56
	93	94	0.60	0.65	1.08	9.78			0.00	1,03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.82	57.79	78.12	91.46	133.51	646	975	975	CONC	0.15	87,5	867.9562	1.1625	1.2545	0.744
	94	95	0.16	0.65	0.29	10.07			0.00	1.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.07	55.36	74.79	87.55	127.78	635	975	975 975 CONC 0.15 13.5 867.9562 1.1625 0.1935						0.731	
- Terrela	95	96	0.18	0.65	0.33	10.40			0.00	1.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.26	55.00	74.31	86,98	126.94	649	975	975	CONC	0.95	68.5	2184.3085	2.9256	0.3902	0.297
o Trunk	100, Pipe s	90-97				10.40				1.03				0.00				0.00	18,65														
runk 10	2							i																								····	
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.62		•		1.										
			0.51	0.65	0.92	0.92			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0,00															
	50	52	1.02	0.40	1,13	2.06			0,00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	43.60	85.04	00.24	102.44	154.40	070	800	800	0010	3.60		1105 0050	4 4004	0.0740	0.040
	52	55	1.25	0.65	0.00	4.20	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.02	65.24	88.31	103.44	151.10	279	800	600	CONC	3.60	67.0	1165.0050	4.1204	0.2710	0.240
	53	58	3.50	0.65	6.32	10.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.22	61.26	82.86	97.04	141.70	650	900	900	CONC	0.25	62.5	905.1556	1.4228	0.7321	0.718
					0.00	10.60	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	13.77														
	58	62	2.08	0.65	3.76	14.35			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	15.95	59.62	80.61	94.39	137.81	856	975	975	CONC	0.30	74.5	1227.4754	1.6440	0.7553	0.697
			0.45	0.65	0.00	14.36	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.87		· · · · ·	<b> </b>	<b> </b>										$\vdash$
	·		0.40	0.65	0.8	15.62			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00												····			
	62	63	1.24	0.70	2.41	18.03			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.70	58.02	78.43	91.82	134.04	1046	1050	1050	CONC	0.25	73.0	1365.3626	1.5768	0.7716	0.766
	63	64	0.54	0.65	0.98	19.01			0.00	0.00			0.00	0,00			0,00	0.00	17.48	56.48	76.33	89.35	130.42	1074	1050	1050	CONC	0.30	66.0	1495.6798	1.7273	0.6368	0.718
	64	72	0.57	0.65	1.03	20.04			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18.11	55.28	74.69	87.42	127.59	1108	1050	1050	CONC	0.30	66.0	1495.6798	1.7273	0.6368	0.741
	[		0.66	0.65	0.00	20.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.62		•												
	72	78	2.00	0.05	5.39	26.62			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	18 75	54.13	73.12	85.58	124.90	1441	1050	1050	CONC	1 1 5	68.5	2028 3765	3 3819	0 3376	0.492
					0.00	26.62	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	16.49		/ 0.12	00.00	121.00	1		1000	00.10	1.10		2020.0700	0.0010	0.0010	0.402
	78	81	2.63	0.70	5.12	31.74			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	19.09	53.55	72.32	84.64	123.52	1700	1500	1500	CONC	0.15	68.5	2737.7609	1.5493	0.7369	0.621
					0.00	31.74	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	12.35														
To Truck	81 100 Bine 1	96 97	1.07	0.65	1.93	33.67			0.00	0.00		<u> </u>	0.00	0.00	-		0.00	0.00	19.82	52.32	70.64	82.67	120.62	1762	1500	1500	CONC	0.15	66.5	2737.7609	1.5493	0.7154	0.643
	Too, Fipe	30-37				33.07				0.00				0.00				0.00	20.04														
Frunk 10	io .																					1											
	1				0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	14.99														
					0.00	0.00	0.15	0.85	0.35	0.35	4.00	0.05	0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00		<u> </u>	<u> </u>												
	10	11			0.00	0.00			0.00	0.35	1.39	0.65	3.28	7 75	-		0.00	0.00	14.99	61.79	83.59	97.89	142.95	788	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	80.5	1057 6053	1 2214	1 0985	0.745
	11	12	l	1	0.00	0.00	0.14	0.85	0.33	0.69		2.00	0.00	7.75	1		0.00	0.00	16.09	59,31	80,20	93.90	137.10	782	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	54.5	1057.6053	1.2214	0.7437	0.740
	12	13			0.00	0.00	0.15	0.85	0.35	1.04			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00	16.83	57.76	78.07	91.40	133.43	789	1050	1050	CONC	0.15	56.5	1057.6053	1.2214	0.7710	0.746
	ļ				0.00	0.00	0.12	0.85	0.28	1.32			0.00	7.75	-		0.00	0.00						ļ									
	12	1 14			0.00	0.00	3.67	0.85	8.67	23.42			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00	17.60	56.24	75.00	88.06	170.95	2460	1950	1250	CONC	0.40	50 5	3375 6604	2 2502	0.4124	0.724
	14	15		1	0.00	0.00	0.74	0.65	1.34	24.75	<u> </u>		0.00	7.75	1		0.00	0.00	18.02	55.46	74.93	87.71	128.00	2534	1350	1350	CONC	0.40	118.0	3580.4381	2.5014	0.4134	0.708
	15	25			0.00	0.00	0.19	0.65	0.34	25.10			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00	18.80	54.04	72.99	85,43	124.68	2494	1350	1350	CONC	2.15	41.0	7826.1677	5.4675	0.1250	0.319
					0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.10			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00	15.01														
			0.24	0.65	0.43	0,43	0.00	0.05	0.00	25.10		<b> </b>	0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00											+ ·				
	+		0.70	0.70	1.36	1.80	0.28	0.00	0.51	25.60		<u> </u>	0.00	7.75	-	Children and and and and and and and and and an	0.00	0.00	+			+				l						<u> </u>	
	25	28	1,44	0.65	2.60	4.40			0.00	25.60			0.00	7.75	A STREET	OFE	SAMO	0.00	18.93	53.82	72.70	85.08	124.16	2757	1350	1350	CONC	2.15	62.5	7826.1677	5.4675	0.1905	0.352
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	1	1										L			1 28	No.	10																
															$\langle \varphi \rangle$	and and a second		$\left[ \bigcirc \right]$															
Definition	<u> </u> .	I	L		1						Ι			└──/			ℊ∠∟	Alto						Deciman			DROTECT			1	Kanata Ma		1
0 = 2.78	a. AIR, where									Notes:					and the second	- Carrier	terror parts	12000	A					W.L.			ROJECT			MINTO	nanata NO	21 611	
Q = Peak	Flow in Litr	es per secon	nd (L/s)							1) Ottawa	Rainfall-Inte	ansity Curv	Ð		šС	W	1111	៍ ក្រុ	1					Checked			LOCATIO	N:					
A = Areas	s in hectares	(ha)								2) Min. Ve	lacity = 0.8	) m/s		1		100-1	310 277000	10) 20						K,M.						City of C	ttawa		
l = Rainfa	ll Intensity (	(mm/b)												N	(The second		11932	0	1					Dwg. Refe	erence:		File Ref			Date:		Sheet No.	
K = Runo	tt Coefficier	nt												{		To	10 77	<u>304</u>	#								17-982	-		January,	2019	SHEE	1 OF 2
														1	121	Jan	10, 0	AL A	Ø														
															VO1.	Constanting	AND DESCRIPTION OF A DE	N A													982_STM_	_DesignSheet	_FSR1.xisx
																VCEA	E ONT	N' AN															
															TOD .	. ~~ U	ື່	and the second se															

Ottawa

## STORM SEWER CALCULATION SHEET (RATIONAL METHOD) Local Roads Return Frequency = 2 years Collector Roads Return Frequency = 5 years Manning 0.013 Arterial Roads Return Frequency = 10 years

ianimig	0.015		7 in Kinai 160		i ioquaicy	- 10 yours																											]
Т	1000									AREA	REA (Ha)						FLOW						SEWER DATA										
	LUGA	TION -		2 YI	EAR	I		5 YI	EAR		10 YEAR 100 YEAR 17					Time of	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Intensity	Peak Flow	DIA. (mm)	DIA (mm)	TYPE	SLOPE	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME OF!	RATIO			
	·····		AD= A	~ ''	lardiar	Ano:	ADE 4		Ladia I	A	AD 1		lodin I	A		1001	Lacia.	Ann:	Core	O Vees	E Veer	10 10	100 1/101		and chang	(ndu)		JEOLD		c.u.ic.ii i		1212 01	101110
		m 11 1	AREA	R	indiv.	Accum.	AREA	R	Indiv.	ACCUM.	AREA	R	indiv.	ACCUM.	AKEA	R	inaiv.	ACCUITI.	Conc.	Z Year	orear	iv year	IUU Year										
ocation I	rom Node	To Node	(Ha)		2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)		2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)		2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(Ha)		2.78 AC	2.78 AC	(min)	(mm/h) [	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	(mm/h)	Q (1/s)	(actual)	(nominal)		(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	LOW (min	Q/Q full
					0.00	4 40	0.00	0.00	0.00	25.60			0.00	7 75			0.00	0.00	11 30														
					0.00	1.70	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00	11.05								├────						
					0.00	4.40	0.61	0.65	1.10	20./1			0.00	1./b			0.00	0.00															
	28	29	1.45	0.70	2.82	7.22		· ·	0.00	26.71			0.00	7.75			0.00	0,00	19,12	53,49	72.25	84.56	123.39	2971	1350	1350	CONC	0.60	94.0	4134.3338	2.8883	0.5424	0.719
					0.00	7.22	0.66	0.65	1.19	27.90			0.00	7,75			0,00	0.00									1						
	29	36	2.51	0.65	4 54	11.76			0.00	27.90			0.00	7 75			0.00	0.00	19.66	52.58	71.00	83.10	121.25	32/3	1500	1500	CONC	0.36	107.5	/181 0020	2 3665	0.7571	0.775
	63	00	2.01	0.00	4.04	11.70	0.05	0.00	0.00	21.80			0.00	7.70			0.00	0.00	45.00	JZ, 30	71.00	00.10	121.20	0240	1000	1000		0.00	07,0	-101,9909	2.0000	u,i 01 1	u.110
					0.00	11.76	0.00	0.00	0.00	27.90			0.00	1.15			0.00	0.00	15.45			ļ									L		
					0.00	11.76	0.97	0.65	1.75	29.65			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00															
	36	37	1.38	0.65	2,49	14.25			0.00	29.65			0.00	7.75			0.00	0.00	20.42	51.37	69.35	81.15	118.39	3417	1650	1650	CONC	0.25	107.0	4557.2242	2,1313	0.8367	0.750
	37	96			0.00	14.25	0.85	0.65	154	31 10			0.00	7 75			0.00	0.00	21.25	50.10	67.61	79.11	115.41	3/35	1650	1650	CONC	0.25	91.0	4667 2242	2 1312	0.7351	0.754
- الارد - المرفق من	n Ecom T	100 C	Dine D4 - 7	0	0.00	39.07	0.00	0.00	<u> '-×⁻</u>		┝────┤		0.00	0.00			0.00	0.00	21.23	00.10	07.01	19.11	110,41		1000	1000		0.20	34.0	-331,2242	2.1313	0.7001	0.704
ontributio		unk 102, 1	-ihe 91 - 8	0		33.0/				0.00				0.00				0.00	20.54														
Contributio	n From Tr	unk 101, F	⊇ipe 9 <u>5</u> - 9	6		10.40				1.03				0.00				0.00	18.65			Ì		<u> </u>									
	96	HW			0.00	58.32	0.07	0.65	0.13	32.34			0.00	7.75			0,00	0.00	21.99	49.04	66.17	77.41	112.92	5600	2100	2100	CONC	0.20	64.0	7754,2474	2.2388	0.4765	0.722
						·			<u>i -                                   </u>																								
									il																		<u> </u>						
																								100 - 100 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10 - 10	· · · ·	L							
																									1 . C.		· ·						
						1																	A Star	0255	Sillia.	A DECK							
					1										-			-						$\sim$		1							
						<u>├</u>		<u>├</u>																Car The Car	1						· —		
					L			<u> </u>															14		100	1211	J						
																							CY /	12-24 ALCORTAN	$\mathbb{Z}$	N Gal	7					1	
																					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		21			-	Ŕ					1	
																							<u>n &gt;</u>	and the second			1						
									<b>↓</b>														3/	10.0	30.8	<u>in</u>	1 10						
																							-	VV		<b>f</b> i]							
				1			1																	40044	2000								1.
				1		1																		10076	1932		1						
								<u> </u>	+															and the second second	100200-000		1				⊢		
			ļ		L		L	L													i						1						
				I																	L			10.	11 749		ð						
																						T T		QCAI.	0,0%	1.01	1						
— i						ł			1								·						$\mathbf{N}$	1000	- THERE	88	1						
					1	<del>.</del>		<u> </u>	I							-						l		VA .				<u> </u>	<del> </del>				
					L		L	L			Į													WCc d	1 <u>6 017</u> 1	1 All	· ·						
								I			۱ <u> </u>				l																		
																				1				South States and States	CONTRACTOR OF STREET								
						1	t	1	1	· · · ·											-	1		(			1					·	
				t		l			I			<u> </u>									l						l	<u> </u>	<b> </b>				
			ļ		l																	Į								•			
			1						1						l	l		l '	1				1 –				1	I –	7				
						T			[														1				1						
··					· ·	1			1								1				ì	1	<u> </u>			<u>}</u>	1					t – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – – –	
						1			l												<u> </u>											<u> </u>	
					L		L		I								Ļ																
					<u> </u>																												
						1																					· · · ·						
				1	1	1	1	1													l						1						
															<u> </u>		<u> </u>		· · · ·		h								1			<u> </u>	
							ļ	L			ļ	L			1					ļ								L	I		L		
							1																			I –	I –				1		
																				1							1	i				1	
				1	1	1	1	1	1		-						l		i	í · · · ·		t		1			1	ł	1		<u> </u>	1	
			<u>↓ · · ·</u>		+														<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1									┝────		
					ļ	Į			ļ		L								1			ļ		I			<u> </u>					L	
						1			1																								
					1			1	1							1								1	1	1							
]			l		1	1	1	1	1		1					<u> </u>	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	l	1		1	· · · · · ·		+	<u> </u>	1			1	
		ŀ			<u> </u>				·	h						<u> </u>					<u>}</u>	l	ļ	ł		<u> </u>	4	L			L		
					L		ļ												I		l												
							1																									1	
				1			1				1										1	1	1	i			1		i i			i –	
			<u> </u>		+ ·		<u>+</u>	+			t	·			<u> </u>			<u> </u>			-	+		1			+	<u> </u>	ł		l	+	<u> </u>
			<u> </u>	1		1						<u> </u>					l		L	I			I			L		L	ļ	<u> </u>	ļ	1	ļ
				L		1			1								L	I				I		L								I	
					1							1					1	[		1		Γ		ſ			Γ				1	T	
Definitions							•	•	•		•		Anna a									•		Designed	•		PROTECT	÷	·	Minte	Kanata N/	h.	·
	<b>m</b> 1									N7 .														Designed:			FROJECT			MINIC	rue nata No	21 AU	
Į = 2.78 A	IK, where									Notes:														W.L.									
) = Peak F	low in Litre	es per secor	1d (L/s)							1) Ottawa	Rainfall-Inte	ansity Curve												Checked:			LOCATIO	N:					
A = Areas	n hectares	(ha)	• •							2) Min Ve	locity = 0.80	) m/s												км			1			City of (	Itawa		
- Dei-0-1	Intonnito	(								-, 19141. 90														Dum D-0			El. D.A					Charles M.	
= Kaintal	intensity ()	mm/nj																						Dwg. Refe	erence:		File Ref:			Date:		Sheet No.	
R = Runoff	Coefficien	t																									17-982			January	2019	SHEET	2 OF 2

- Statistic

![](_page_52_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **Appendix E**

SWM Pond 3 Design

#### Permanent Pool Volume

Table 3.2 SWM Manual 2003

#### Storage Volume (m3/ha) for Impervious Level (%)

_				Actual			
Level	SWMP Type	35	55	66	70		85
Enhanced	Wet Pond	140	190	215.7	225	108.3	250

Note: Required Volume has been minused 40m3/ha for extended volume.

	Area		
P/P Volume required:	<u>59.5</u>	175.7	<b>10452</b> m3
Quality Volume required:		40	<b>2380</b> m3

From Pond 3 Design, EMP (Page 85)											
SWM Pond Block		11.8		ha							
Drainage Area		95.6		ha							
Imperviousness 68 %											
Quality Control											
	PP	17,688		m3							
Ext.Det. 3824 m3											
Quantity Control 100yr ald	Quantity Control 100vr alowable flow 1.045 m3/s										
Stage	Elev	Volume	Active Storage	Relese Rate							
	(m)	(m3)	(m3)	(l/s)							
Bottom	64.50	-		-							
NWL	65.50	17,914		-							
Ext.Det.	65.75	25,499	7,585	190							
2 yr	66.25	46,999	29,085	220							
5 yr	66.50	58,710	40,796	402							
100 yr	67.00	83,785	65,871	1,045							

Estimated Interim Pond 3										
SWM Pond Block		6		ha						
Drainage Area		59.5		ha						
Imperviousness		66		%						
Quality Control										
	PP	10,452		m3						
	Ext.Det.	2,380		m3						
Quantity Control 100yr alc	Quantity Control 100yr alowable flow 1.045 m3/s									
Stane	Elev	Volume	Active Storage	Relese Bate						
Oldge	(m)	(m3)	(m3)	(l/s)						
Bottom	64.50	-		-						
NWL	65.50	10,452		-						
Ext.Det.	65.75	12,832	2,300	118						
2 yr	66.25	29,251	18,100	137						
5 yr	66.50	36,540	25,300	250						
100 yr	67.00	52,147	40,900	650						

![](_page_57_Figure_0.jpeg)

Date: File: Minto Kanata North **OTTAWA Calculation of Pond 3 Forebay Size** © DSEL Minimum Forebay Deep Zone Bottom Width Minimum Bottom Width = Dispersion Length 8 Dispersion Length = 82 m 10.3 m = Forebay Bottom width provided = 12 metres 7.700 m<sup>3</sup>/s Q 10yr to pond : Cross sectional area of forebay: btm width 12 m 1.00 m depth 4 :1 slope 0.50 m depth slope 4 :1 Avarage Width of Forebay 24.0 m 0.32 m/s Forebay velocity = 0.5 OK <

Date: January, 2019 File: 17-982

#### Minto Kanata North **City of Ottawa** SWM Pond 3 **Sediment Management Area**

As per Table 6.3 in the MOE SWMP Manual, the annual sediment loading for this 2.56 m<sup>3</sup>/ha catchments will be

Table 6.3 Annual Sediment Loadings											
Catchement	Annual Loading (kg/ha)	Wet Density	Annual Loading								
Imperviousness		(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )	(m³/ha)								
35%	770	1230	0.6								
55%	2300	1230	1.9								
70%	3495	1230	2.8								
85%	4680	1230	3.8								

Interpolate for Catchement Imperviousness of 66% - Annual Loading = Total Drainage Area =

2.56 m<sup>3</sup>/ha 59.5 ha

![](_page_59_Figure_6.jpeg)

=

![](_page_59_Figure_7.jpeg)

Provided Sediment Drying Area Capacity = 927 m<sup>3</sup>

762 m<sup>3</sup>

1650 m<sup>2</sup> BaseArea=

## **FIGURES**

![](_page_62_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_67_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)