

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT



Project No.: OCP-18-0070 – 263 Greensway Avenue, Ottawa, ON

Prepared for:

Manor Park Management, c/o Anand Aggarwal  
231 Brittany Drive, Unit D  
Ottawa, ON  
K1L 0R8

May 2018; Revised October 10, 2018

McINTOSH PERRY



## Executive Summary

---

Developing a site within the City of Ottawa requires meeting a predefined set of requirements outlined in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (SDG) - 2012 along with meeting the local conservation authority requirements (Rideau Valley Conservation Authority - RVCA) and provincial requirements (Ministry of Environmental and Climate Change – MOECC). Site specific requirements are discussed and outlined in the pre-consultation meeting with the City of Ottawa before the detailed design process is initiated.

This report describes an innovative and cost-efficient design solution for the site servicing (water, sanitary, and storm) and stormwater management (SWM) requirements in order to develop this site. The Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) will not require quality protections at this time as the distance between the proposed connection and the outlet of the existing main is more than 2 kilometres. Catch basins located on site will have a sump of 0.6 as per OPSD 705.010 to however promote sedimentation of suspended solids.

Evaluation of the proposed site plan in addition to a review of the site grading and soil characteristics was completed. Our review identified that parking storage is the optimal design solution to meet the SWM requirements. The parking storage will contain stormwater runoff from the terrace, parking as well as some of the landscaped and grassed area of the site. The on-site storage is a direct result of the inlet control device within manhole one, used to reduce the stormwater runoff flows before outletting to the existing main. The evaluation of proposed development, existing site characteristics and surrounding municipal infrastructure suggest that the parking retention will be a sufficient solution to the site constraints.

The proposed sanitary, storm and water services will utilize the existing infrastructure surrounding the site to service the development. Therefore, it is our professional opinion that this site located at 263 Greensway Avenue is able to be developed and fully serviced for the proposed residential building development.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|       |                                      |    |
|-------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 1.0   | PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....            | 1  |
| 1.1   | Purpose .....                        | 1  |
| 1.2   | Site Description.....                | 1  |
| 2.0   | BACKGROUND STUDIES.....              | 2  |
| 3.0   | PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY .....       | 2  |
| 4.0   | EXISTING SERVICES .....              | 2  |
| 4.1   | Greensway Avenue .....               | 2  |
| 4.2   | Mark Avenue .....                    | 3  |
| 5.0   | SERVICING PLAN .....                 | 3  |
| 5.1   | Proposed Servicing Overview .....    | 3  |
| 5.2   | Proposed Water Design .....          | 3  |
| 5.3   | Proposed Sanitary Design .....       | 4  |
| 5.4   | Proposed Storm Design.....           | 4  |
| 5.5   | Site Utilities .....                 | 4  |
| 5.6   | Service Locations/Cover .....        | 4  |
| 6.0   | PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT ..... | 5  |
| 6.1   | Design Criteria and Methodology..... | 5  |
| 6.2   | Runoff Calculations.....             | 5  |
| 6.2.1 | Pre-Development Drainage.....        | 6  |
| 6.2.2 | Post-Development Drainage .....      | 6  |
| 6.3   | Quantity Control.....                | 7  |
| 6.4   | Quality Control .....                | 9  |
| 7.0   | SEDIMENT EROSION CONTROL .....       | 9  |
| 8.0   | SUMMARY .....                        | 10 |
| 9.0   | RECOMMENDATIONS .....                | 11 |
| 10.0  | STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS.....        | 12 |

## LIST OF FIGURES

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Figure 1: Key Map – 263 Greensway Avenue, Vanier. .... | 1 |
|--|---|

## LIST OF TABLES

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Table 1: Pre-Development Runoff Summary .....              | 6 |
| Table 2: Post-Development Restricted Runoff Summary .....  | 6 |
| Table 3: Allowable Release Rate .....                      | 7 |
| Table 4: Post-Development Runoff - Area B1 .....           | 7 |
| Table 5: Post-Development Ruoff Summary – Area B2-B11..... | 8 |
| Table 6: On-Site Storage Summary .....                     | 8 |

## APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: City of Ottawa Pre-Consultation Notes

APPENDIX B: Existing Watermain Flow and Fire Protection Calculations

APPENDIX C: Sanitary Sewer Calculation

APPENDIX D: Pre-Development Drainage Plan

APPENDIX E: Post-Development Drainage Plan

APPENDIX F: Stormwater Management Calculations

## 1.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

### 1.1 Purpose

This report will address the servicing (water, sanitary, and storm) and stormwater management requirements (SWM) associated with the proposed development located at 263 Greensway Avenue within the City of Ottawa.

### 1.2 Site Description

The property is located at 263 Greensway Avenue. The legal description of the land is Plan 29, Part Lots 4,5 & 6. The land in question covers approximately 0.45 ha and is located on the corner of Greensway Avenue and Mark Avenue, southwest of Vanier Parkway and northwest of Montreal Road. The Rideau River runs approximately 250m southwest of the site. The site is situated within the Rideau River Flood Plain.

The existing site is currently developed with a two-storey residential building and is likely serviced with sanitary and water services from infrastructure running along Greensway Avenue. Due to the planned development of the site, the existing service will remain and continue to service the existing building on the property. The current private entrance will be removed and replaced with a new private entrance with depressed curb, as per city standard SC1.1, to match existing conditions on Greensway Avenue.

The proposed development consists of a 1,139 m<sup>2</sup>, six storey residential building. Vehicular access to the site from Greensway avenue will lead to provided aboveground and underground parking. Some at grade amenity space will be provided northeast of the proposed building. A bicycle path connecting Greensway Avenue to Vanier Parkway will also be provided. There will be pedestrian access to the building from both Vanier Parkway and Greensway Avenue.



Figure 1: Key Map – 263 Greensway Avenue, Vanier.



## 2.0 BACKGROUND STUDIES

Background studies that have been completed for the site include a review of the City of Ottawa as-built drawings, a topographical survey of the site, a geotechnical report and a Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessment (ESA).

As-built drawings of the existing services within the vicinity of the site were reviewed in order to determine proper servicing and stormwater management schemes for the site.

A topographic survey of the site was completed by Fairhall, Moffatt & Woodland Limited dated May 4<sup>th</sup>, 2016 and can be found under separate cover.

The following reports have previously been completed and are available under separate cover:

- Geotechnical Investigation completed by Paterson Group dated May, 2018.
- Phase I ESA completed by Paterson Group dated May 7<sup>th</sup>, 2018.
- Phase II ESA completed by Paterson Group dated May 14<sup>th</sup>, 2018.

## 3.0 PRE-CONSULTATION SUMMARY

City of Ottawa Staff have been pre-consulted regarding this proposed development by email on March 12<sup>th</sup>, 2018. Specific design parameters to be incorporated within this design include the following:

- Control 5 through 100-year post-development flows to the 5-year pre-development flows with a combined C value to a maximum of 0.50 with a time of concentration of 10 minutes.

Correspondence with the City can be found in Appendix 'A'.

## 4.0 EXISTING SERVICES

The existing site is serviced with sanitary and water connections. The sanitary service crosses the front of the lot where it is connected within the easement located southeast of the property. It is further directed towards Montreal Road. The existing connections will continue to service the existing building on the property.

### 4.1 Greensway Avenue

There is an existing 200mm diameter sanitary sewer as well as a 450mm diameter storm sewer located within Greensway Avenue. The 450mm storm sewer services catch basins along both sides of Greensway Avenue. The existing storm and sanitary sewers increase in size at the Greensway Avenue and Wayling Avenue intersection. The existing sanitary sewer begins at the Greensway Avenue and Mark Avenue intersection.

Also located within the Greensway Avenue is a 200mm diameter watermain. The watermain services the other properties located on Greensway Avenue including the existing site.



Overhead utilities are available along the back of the property and gas services are available along the subject section of Greensway Avenue.

## 4.2 Mark Avenue

There is an existing 300mm diameter sanitary sewer as well as a 450mm diameter storm sewer located within Mark Avenue. The 450mm storm sewer services catch basins located on both sides of Mark Avenue and continues along Greensway Avenue. The existing 300mm diameter sanitary line begins on Montreal Road where it further crosses to Mark Avenue within an easement.

Also located within Mark Avenue is a 200mm diameter watermain. The watermain services the other properties located on Mark Avenue including the existing site.

A fire hydrant is located directly across from the front of property along the north side of Mark Avenue.

## 5.0 SERVICING PLAN

### 5.1 Proposed Servicing Overview

The proposed storm and water services will be connected via infrastructure within Greensway Avenue. Services will be located within the entrance to the site. The proposed sanitary service will connect to the existing main extending from Mark Avenue to Montreal Road within the easement.

### 5.2 Proposed Water Design

Two new, 150mm and 50 mm diameter, PVC water laterals will be connected to the existing 200 mm PVC watermain within Greensway Avenue, complete with a water valve located at the property line as well as water valve located between the two connection points along the existing main.

The proposed building will be equipped with a sprinkler system; fire protection will also be provided by the existing hydrant immediately across from the site along Mark Avenue. The required fire protection from the Ontario Building Code (OBC) is 5,400 L/min (See Appendix 'B' for calculation). The required fire protection from the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) is 15,000 L/min (provided for information purposes only).

The water demands for the new building have been calculated as per the Ottawa Design Guidelines. The demands have been calculated for a residential building. Residential demands are as follows: the average and maximum daily demands are 0.55 L/s and 1.38 L/s respectively. The maximum hourly demand was calculated as 3.03 L/s (Refer to Appendix 'B' for flow details). Boundary conditions have been requested and are available in Appendix 'B' of this report. From conducting a water model using Bentley's WaterCAD the development is able to be fully serviced with a pressure reducing valve installed within the building since the average day scenario resulted in pressure above 80 psi.

### 5.3 Proposed Sanitary Design

A new 150 mm diameter gravity sanitary service along with a proposed manhole will be connected to the existing 300 mm sanitary main within the easement located southwest of the site. The north portion of the existing 300 mm diameter sanitary main will be replaced with a new pipe.

The peak design flow for the proposed site was determined to be 0.59 L/s, however, for pipe design, a more conservative peak design flow of 2.96 L/s was used. This flow takes into account the infiltration of the entire area and is also calculated based on a 2.3p/p/u rate for residential area. Using the calculated peak design flow, the proposed 135 mm diameter lateral has sufficient capacity to convey the flows (See Appendix 'C' for detailed calculations). It is anticipated that there will be no issues with capacity constraints within the proposed lateral or within the existing sanitary main within the easement as the amount of flow leaving the site is minimal.

### 5.4 Proposed Storm Design

The majority of the site will be composed of the proposed building as well as parking area. The hard surface areas of the site will be captured and restricted within the proposed storm network. The south grassed portion of the site will sheet flow to the parking area where it will also be captured and restricted and finally, the northeast and north grassed areas will flow unrestricted towards Vanier Parkway. The proposed storm network will restrict and convey the stormwater runoff towards the existing infrastructure along Greensway Avenue.

The quantity of stormwater runoff will be restricted in MH2, located at the west corner of the property, with the use of a Hydrovex 125VHV-2 inlet control device. The inlet control device will restrict the 100-year post-development runoff to the 5-year pre-development runoff, as per the City's requirements. The stormwater management design will be further detailed in Section 6.0.

The site will be constructed with adequate grading to ensure major overflow to the west corner of the site. The direction and location of overland sheet flow has been indicated on the Site Grading Plan (C101).

### 5.5 Site Utilities

All relevant utility companies (telephone – Bell and Rogers, gas – Enbridge and hydro – Hydro Ottawa) will be contacted prior to construction in order to confirm adequate utility servicing for the site. The services are anticipated to be connected from the relocated infrastructure within the back of the property. Gas is anticipated to connect to the existing main along Greensway Avenue.

### 5.6 Service Locations/Cover

The proposed storm and water services will be placed under the new entrance to the site and the sanitary service will be placed under the south parking area. Hydro, telephone and cable will be primarily above ground to relocated infrastructure located at the back of the property.

All minimum cover requirements are as per City of Ottawa Standards. Separation distances between the storm, water and sanitary sewer will be maintained as per Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change requirements.

## 6.0 PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

### 6.1 Design Criteria and Methodology

Stormwater management for this site will be maintained through positive drainage away from the proposed buildings and into a new underground storm sewer system within the site. This SWM plan will implement quantity control strategies. The storm runoff will enter the pipe system through a catch basin (CB) and landscape catch basins located on the site. The restricted stormwater runoff will be directed to the existing sewer within Greensway Avenue; similarly, overland flow will mostly be directed towards Greensway Avenue. The northwest portion of the site will have its overland flow directed towards Vanier Parkway.

Through per-consultation with the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA), no quality control will be required as the storm outlet is more than 2km away from the site. The quantitative and qualitative properties of the storm runoff for both the pre and post-development flows are further detailed below.

### 6.2 Runoff Calculations

Runoff calculations presented in this report are derived using the Rational Method, given as:

$$Q = 2.78 CIA \text{ (L/s)}$$

|       |   |   |
|-------|---|---|
| Where | C | = Runoff coefficient                                      |
|       | I | = Rainfall intensity in mm/hr (City of Ottawa IDF curves) |
|       | A | = Drainage area in hectares                               |

It is recognized that the rational method tends to overestimate runoff rates. As a by-product of using extremely conservative prediction method, any facilities that are sized using these results are expected to function as intended in real world conditions.

In conjunction with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines the following coefficients were used to develop a balanced 'C' for each drainage area:

|                                   |      |
|-----------------------------------|------|
| Asphalt, Building roofs, Concrete | 0.90 |
| Gravel                            | 0.60 |
| Grass, undeveloped areas          | 0.20 |

As per the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, the 5-year balanced 'C' value must be increased by 25% for a 100-year storm event to a maximum of 1.0.

The pre-development and post-development flows shall both be calculated using a time of concentration (Tc) of 10 minutes.

### 6.2.1 Pre-Development Drainage

Pre-development drainage consists of the overland sheet flow runoff from the existing paved parking lot and the roof runoff of the existing building. There are currently no existing flow restrictions for the site. The existing drainage areas are demonstrated as area A1 and A2 on drawing CP-18-0070 PRE (Appendix 'D').

Table 1: Pre-Development Runoff Summary

| Area  | Drainage Area (ha) | C (5-Yr) | C (100-Yr) | Tc (min) | Q (L/s) |          |
|-------|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|---------|----------|
|       |                    |          |            |          | 5-Year  | 100-Year |
| A1    | 0.04               | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10       | 9.48    | 18.03    |
| A2    | 0.42               | 0.77     | 0.86       | 10       | 60.42   | 178.39   |
| Total | 0.45               |          |            |          | 69.90   | 196.42   |

(See Appendix 'F' for Calculations)

### 6.2.2 Post-Development Drainage

The post development drainage scheme for the proposed development consists of two regions describing tributary areas for runoff to be captured and restricted within the storm network and unrestricted runoff. Drawing CP-18-0070 POST (Appendix 'E') indicates the limits of drainage areas B1-B11.

Table 2: Post-Development Restricted Runoff Summary

| Drainage Area ID | Total Area (ha) | C (5-Yr) | C (100Yr) | Tc | I (mm/hr) |          | Q (L/s) |          |
|------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----|-----------|----------|---------|----------|
|                  |                 |          |           |    | 5-Year    | 100-Year | 5-Year  | 100-Year |
| B1               | 0.04            | 0.90     | 1.00      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 9.48    | 18.05    |
| B2               | 0.05            | 0.62     | 0.70      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 9.05    | 17.51    |
| B3               | 0.06            | 0.71     | 0.79      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 12.27   | 23.59    |
| B4               | 0.06            | 0.70     | 0.79      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 12.49   | 24.02    |
| B5               | 0.09            | 0.90     | 1.00      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 22.82   | 43.46    |
| B6               | 0.02            | 0.90     | 1.00      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 5.78    | 11.00    |
| B7               | 0.01            | 0.90     | 1.00      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 2.44    | 4.65     |
| B8               | 0.01            | 0.90     | 1.00      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 2.55    | 4.85     |
| B9               | 0.09            | 0.42     | 0.48      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 11.42   | 22.66    |
| B10              | 0.01            | 0.20     | 0.25      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 0.52    | 1.12     |
| B11              | 0.01            | 0.34     | 0.40      | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 1.24    | 2.51     |
| Total            | 0.45            |          |           |    |           |          | 90.07   | 173.42   |

(See Appendix 'F' for Calculations)

Runoff from Area B1 will remain as in pre-development conditions as the area is not being re-developed. Runoff flows from Area B2-B4 will sheet flow on the grass to the parking area where it will be captured through CB1, CBMH1 and CBMH2 and before outletting to the existing infrastructure along Greensway Avenue. Runoff from Area B5 will be captured via roof drains and stormwater runoff from Area B6 will be captured within a trench drain in the parking garage. The remaining runoff from Area B7-B11 will mostly sheet flow towards the existing

infrastructure along Vanier Parkway. See Appendix 'F' for calculations. Runoff restriction and quality control will be further detailed in Sections 6.3 and 6.4.

### 6.3 Quantity Control

After discussing the quantity control criteria for the site with the City staff, the total post-development runoff for this site has to be restricted to meet the 5-year pre-development flow rate with a maximum runoff coefficient of 0.5. A time of concentration of 10 minutes was also initially required for the site (See Appendix 'A' for pre-consultation notes).

Table 3: Allowable Release Rate

| Area  | Drainage Area (ha) | Balanced Runoff Coefficient | T <sub>c</sub> (min) | Allowable Release Rate (L/S) |
|-------|--------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------|
| A1    | 0.04               | 0.90                        | 10                   | 9.48                         |
| A2    | 0.42               | 0.50                        | 10                   | 60.42                        |
| Total | 0.45               |                             |                      | 69.90                        |

(See Appendix 'F' for Calculations)

Area B1 will remain unchanged as it is the existing building and therefore has been omitted in the calculation of restricted post-development flows. The Table below presents the runoff from Area B1.

Table 4: Post-Development Runoff - Area B1

| Drainage Area ID | Post-Development Unrestricted (L/S) |          | Post-Development Restricted (L/S) |          | Restricted/Unrestricted |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|-------------------------|
|                  | 5-year                              | 100-Year | 5-Year                            | 100-Year |                         |
| B1               | 9.48                                | 18.05    | 9.48                              | 18.05    | Unrestricted            |

(See Appendix 'F' for Calculations)

Reducing post-development flows will be achieved by using an inlet control device and roof drains. It will consequently create the need for on-site storage. Runoff from Areas B2-B5 will be restricted as detailed in the Table on the following page.

Table 5: Post-Development Ruoff Summary – Area B2-B11

| Drainage Area ID | Post-Development Unrestricted (L/S) |          | Post-Development Restricted (L/S) |          | Restricted/ Unrestricted |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
|                  | 5-year                              | 100-Year | 5-Year                            | 100-Year |                          |
| B2               | 9.05                                | 17.51    | 11.96                             | 11.96    | Restricted               |
| B3               | 12.27                               | 23.59    |                                   |          |                          |
| B4               | 12.49                               | 24.02    |                                   |          |                          |
| B5               | 22.82                               | 43.46    | 0.96                              | 1.68     | Unrestricted             |
| B6               | 5.78                                | 11.00    | 5.78                              | 11.00    |                          |
| B7               | 2.44                                | 4.65     | 2.44                              | 4.65     |                          |
| B8               | 2.55                                | 4.85     | 2.55                              | 4.85     |                          |
| B9               | 11.42                               | 22.66    | 11.42                             | 22.66    |                          |
| B10              | 0.52                                | 1.12     | 0.52                              | 1.12     |                          |
| B11              | 1.24                                | 2.51     | 1.24                              | 2.51     |                          |
| Total            | 78.82                               | 155.37   | 36.87                             | 60.42    |                          |

(See Appendix 'F' for Calculations)

Runoff from area B2-B4 will be capture through catch basins and catch basin manholes, located within the outside parking area. The stormwater runoff will be conveyed through the storm network towards MH2 located within area B7 in the west corner of the property, where a Hydrovex 125VHV-2 inlet control device will restrict the flow to 11.96 L/s with a design head of 2.95m for the 100-year storm event. The restriction by the inlet control device will require some on-site storage. The required storage for the site will be provided entirely in-pipe. Area B5 will be restricted via 2 roof drains. The roof drains will restrict the stormwater runoff to 1.68 L/s with a head of 70 mm based on 75% of the roof area. The restricted flow from the roof will further flow to a lateral connected to the storm network past the restriction device.

The table below details the required and provided storage volumes for 5-year and 100-year storm event to meet the requirements.

Table 6: On-Site Storage Summary

| Location   | 5-yr Storage Required (m³) | 5-yr Storage Available (m³) | 5-yr Depth of Ponding (m) | 100-yr Storage Required (m³) | 100-yr Storage Available (m³) | 100-yr Depth of Ponding (m) |
|------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Area B2-B4 | 13.64                      | 45.19                       | N/A                       | 38.87                        | 45.19                         | N/A                         |
| Area B5    | 23.79                      | 26.27                       | 0.04                      | 45.56                        | 45.97                         | 0.07                        |

(See Appendix 'F' for Calculations)

In the event that there is a rainfall above the 100-year storm event, or a blockage within the storm network, an emergency overland flow route has been provided such that the stormwater runoff will be conveyed towards the west corner of the site away from the building, and into Greensway Avenue. Another flow route towards Vanier Parkway has also been provided. An elevation difference of 0.56 m has been provided from the finished floor (56.83m) of the building to the overland flow route elevation (56.27m).

## 6.4 Quality Control

The development of this lot will employ Best Management Practices (BMP's) wherever possible. The intent of implementing stormwater BMP's is to ensure that water quality and quantity concerns are addressed at all stages of development. Lot level BMP's typically include temporary retention of the parking lot runoff, minimizing ground slopes and maximizing landscaped areas. Some of these BMP's cannot be provided for this site due to site constraints and development requirements.

As per the discussions with the RVCA, the outlet to the existing storm infrastructure within Greensway Avenue is further than 2km from the connection point and therefore will not require any quality protection at this time. The combination of the above BMP's and the proposed flow control measures will however still aid in the protection of the natural environment.

## 7.0 SEDIMENT EROSION CONTROL

The site-grading contractor is responsible for ensuring sediment control structures are installed in accordance with the Removals, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan as indicated. Silt fences shall be installed on site before construction or earth-moving operations begin, as shown on the Removals, Sediment & Erosion Control Plan.

Geosock is to be installed under the grates of all existing structures along the frontage of the site and any new structures immediately upon installation. The Geosock is to be removed only after all areas have been paved and vegetation has been established. Care shall be taken at the removal stage to ensure that any silt that has accumulated is properly handled and disposed of. Removal of silt fences without prior removal of the sediments shall not be permitted.

At the discretion of the project manager, municipal staff or conservation authority, additional silt control devices shall be installed at designated locations.



## 8.0 SUMMARY

- A new 1,139 m<sup>2</sup> 6-storey residential building will be constructed on the site located at 263 Greensway Avenue.
- A new 150 mm diameter sanitary service will be installed and connected to the existing 300 mm diameter sewer.
- New 50- and 150-mm diameter water laterals will be extended from the existing 200 mm diameter main within Greensway Avenue.
- A new storm network will be installed onsite and will connect to the existing 450mm diameter storm sewer that services catch basins within Greensway Avenue.
- As discussed with the City of Ottawa staff, the stormwater management design will ensure that the post-development flow rates are restricted to the 5-year pre-development flow rate calculated with a C value of 0.5.
- Storage for the 5- through 100-year storm events will be provided within the underground storm network.
- As per discussed with the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority staff, no quality protection is required. Nevertheless, best management practices will be employed.

## 9.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the information presented in this report dated May 2018 and revised October 10, 2018, we recommend that City of Ottawa approve this Servicing and Stormwater Management Report in support of the proposed 6-storey residential building located at 263 Greensway Avenue.

The sediment and erosion control plan outlined in Section 7.0 and detailed in the Grading and Drainage Plan notes are to be implemented by the contractor.

This report is respectfully being submitted for approval.



---

Ryan Kennedy, P.Eng.  
Practice Area Lead, Land Development  
McIntosh Perry Consulting Engineers  
T: 613.836.2184 x 2243  
E: [r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com)

## 10.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This report was produced for the exclusive use of Manor Park Management. The purpose of the report is to assess the existing stormwater management system and provide recommendations and designs for the post-construction scenario that are in compliance with the guidelines and standards from the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, City of Ottawa and local approval agencies. McIntosh Perry reviewed the site information and background documents listed in Section 2.0 of this report. While the previous data was reviewed by McIntosh Perry and site visits were performed, no field verification/measures of any information were conducted.

Any use of this review by a third party, or any reliance on decisions made based on it, without a reliance report is the responsibility of such third parties. McIntosh Perry accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions or actions made based on this review.

The findings, conclusions and/or recommendations of this report are only valid as of the date of this report. No assurance is made regarding any changes in conditions subsequent to this date. If additional information is discovered or becomes available at a future date, McIntosh Perry should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions presented in this report, and provide amendments, if required.

## APPENDIX A: CITY OF OTTAWA PRE-CONSULTATION NOTES



From: Buchanan, Richard <Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca>  
Sent: March-29-18 8:45 AM  
To: Tyler Ferguson  
Cc: Laure-Anne Larose; Ryan Kennedy  
Subject: RE: 263 Greensway Avenue - Criteria

Hi Tyler

As indicated in my earlier e-mail, with this form of development, we can be flexible and as such will accept the Tc of 15 min.

**Richard Buchanan, CET**

Project Manager, Development Approvals  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
Planning & Growth Management Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
☎ 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801  
[ottawa.ca/planning](http://ottawa.ca/planning) / [ottawa.ca/urbanisme](http://ottawa.ca/urbanisme)

---

From: Tyler Ferguson [<mailto:t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com>]  
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2018 6:14 PM  
To: Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
Cc: Laure-Anne Larose <[l.larose@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com)>; Ryan Kennedy <[r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:r.kennedy@mcintoshperry.com)>  
Subject: RE: 263 Greensway Avenue - Criteria

Hi Richard,

We have reviewed the stormwater management criteria for the site at 263 Greensway Avenue, and based on the historical use of the site, the time of concentration seems rather high. Using the images available within geoOttawa, the site has been a gravel/asphalt driveway for quite some time. We calculated a time of concentration based on the Airport formula as per the below for the site.

$$T_c = (3.26(1.1-c)L^{0.5}/S^{0.33})$$

c = 0.77 (Balanced Runoff Coefficient)  
L = 99 m (Watershed length)  
S = 1.08% (Average slope of watershed)

The result of this calculation produced a time of concentration for the existing site of 10 minutes. With this in mind we are wondering if it would be possible to reduce the time of concentration for pre-development flow. Can the Tc for pre-development be changed from 20 minutes to 15 minutes? The "C" factor of 0.5 would remain.

Let us know your thoughts and we could potentially set up a quick phone call to discuss.

Thanks,

**Tyler Ferguson, EIT**

Engineering Intern  
115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0  
T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2242) | F. 613.836.3742  
[t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com) | [www.mcintoshperry.com](http://www.mcintoshperry.com)

---

From: Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
Sent: March-12-18 10:21 AM  
To: Laure-Anne Larose <[l.larose@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com)>  
Subject: RE: 263 Greensway Avenue - Criteria

Good Morning Laure-Anne,

Stormwater Management is as follows

Flow leaving the site (up to the 1:100 year storm event) cannot exceed a 1:5 year storm event using a "C" factor of 0.5 with a Tc of 20 minutes. It is obvious that entrance grades into underground parking cannot be below the 1:100 year storm event (on-site and off-site influences since it is in a flood plain).

For development that only have internal building works and no outside works, there may be justification to be flexible in applying any criteria.

**Richard Buchanan, CET**

Project Manager, Development Approvals  
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department  
Planning & Growth Management Branch  
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa  
☎ 613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801  
[ottawa.ca/planning](http://ottawa.ca/planning) / [ottawa.ca/urbanisme](http://ottawa.ca/urbanisme)

---

From: Laure-Anne Larose [<mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com>]  
Sent: Monday, March 12, 2018 8:53 AM  
To: Buchanan, Richard <[Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca](mailto:Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca)>  
Subject: 263 Greensway Avenue - Criteria

Good Morning,

I am currently working on the stormwater management for the site located at 263 Greensway Avenue. A pre-consultation meeting has already took place; following my review of the pre-consultation meeting, only some information was provided regarding the site criteria for storm water management (parking garage elevations to be above 100-yr storm). Could you provide me with more details on the stormwater management criteria for this site?

Thank you,

**Laure - Anne Larose, EIT**

**Engineering Intern**

115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0

T. 613.836.2184 (ext 2273) | F. 613.836.3742

[llarose@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:llarose@mcintoshperry.com) | [www.mcintoshperry.com](http://www.mcintoshperry.com)

**McINTOSH PERRY**

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click [here](#) to read all of the legal language around this concept.



This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



From: Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>  
Sent: April-19-18 8:57 AM  
To: Tyler Ferguson  
Cc: Laure-Anne Larose  
Subject: RE: 263 Greensway - Flood Plain

Good Morning Tyler,

The elevation you have cited is correct. As for the first floor, that would be the ground floor which must be 0.3 metre above the 1:100 year flood elevation.

---

From: Tyler Ferguson [<mailto:t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com>]  
Sent: Tuesday, April 10, 2018 5:20 PM  
To: Jamie Batchelor <[jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca](mailto:jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca)>  
Cc: Laure-Anne Larose <[l.larose@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com)>  
Subject: RE: 263 Greensway - Flood Plain

Hi Jamie,

Just wondering if you could confirm the 100-year flood elevation that is to be used for our site. I believe you told Laure-Anne over the phone that an elevation of 56.53m was to be used for our site. Can you confirm?

Thanks,

**Tyler Ferguson, EIT**

**Engineering Intern**

**T.** 613.836.2184 (ext 2242) | **F.** 613.836.3742

---

From: Jamie Batchelor [<mailto:jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>]  
Sent: March-06-18 11:38 AM  
To: Laure-Anne Larose <[l.larose@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com)>  
Cc: Tyler Ferguson <[t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com)>  
Subject: RE: 263 Greensway - Flood Plain

Good Morning Laure-Anne,

As a follow up to our conversation this morning I have attached the RVCA floodplain mapping and cross sections for the area.

I can also confirm that the storm sewers flow path fronting on the Vanier Parkway and on Greensway Avenue travel over 2 km to an outlet at the Ottawa River. In the opinion of the RVCA, the distance of the outlet is sufficiently far that onsite quality controls would have a negligible impact on surface water improvement. Therefore the RVCA would not require any additional onsite water quality controls aside from BMP's.

---

From: Laure-Anne Larose [<mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com>]  
Sent: Monday, March 05, 2018 3:49 PM  
To: Jamie Batchelor <[jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca](mailto:jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca)>  
Cc: Tyler Ferguson <[t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:t.ferguson@mcintoshperry.com)>  
Subject: 263 Greensway - Flood Plain

Hi Jamie,

I am currently working on the grading and servicing for the site located as 263 Greensway Avenue in Vanier. I would like to clarify; as per the pre -consultation notes with the City, the underground parking garage and entrances to the building have to be at 0.3m above the 100-year flood levels in addition to the 0.3m that the City requires, is this correct?

Also, would you be able to provide me with an elevation of water for the 100-year flood level.

Thank you,

**Laure - Anne Larose, EIT**

**Engineering Intern**

115 Walgreen Road, R.R. 3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0

**T.** 613.836.2184 (ext 2273) | **F.** 613.836.3742

[l.larose@mcintoshperry.com](mailto:l.larose@mcintoshperry.com) | [www.mcintoshperry.com](http://www.mcintoshperry.com)

**McINTOSH PERRY**

---

Confidentiality Notice – If this email wasn't intended for you, please return or delete it. Click [here](#) to read all of the legal language around this concept.





## APPENDIX B: EXISTING WATERMAIN FLOW AND FIRE PROTECTION CALCULATIONS



# McINTOSH PERRY

## CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway - Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Calculations

1 of 2

|              |               |
|--------------|---------------|
| Project:     | 263 Greensway |
| Project No.: | CP-18-0070    |
| Designed By: | LAL           |
| Checked By:  | RPK           |
| Date:        | 27/04/2018    |

### From the Fire Underwriters Survey (1999)

From Part II – Guide for Determination of Required Fire Flow Copyright I.S.O.:

$F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$  Where:

F = Required fire flow in liters per minute

C = Coefficient related to the type of construction.

A = The total floor area in square meters (including all storey's, but excluding basements at least 50 percent below grade) in the building being considered.

### A. Determine The Coefficient Related To The Type Of Construction

The building is considered to be of ordinary construction type. Therefore,

**C = 1.00**

### B. Determine Ground Floor Area

As provided by the Architect:

Floor Area (One Floor) = 940.69 m<sup>2</sup>

**Total Floor Area = 5,644.14 m<sup>2</sup>**

This floor area represents the final build-out of the development; as outlined on the Site Plan drawing.

### C. Determine Height in Storeys

From Architectural Drawings:

Number of Storeys = 6.00

### D. Calculate Required Fire Flow

$F = 220 \times C \times \sqrt{A}$

F = 220.00 X 1.00 X  $\sqrt{5644.14}$

**F = 16,528.05 L/min.**

**F = 17,000.00 L/min.**

### E. Determine Increase or Decrease Based on Occupancy

From note 2, Page 18 of the Fire Underwriter Survey:

Non-Combustible

-25%

**Occupancy Decrease = -4,250.00 L/min.**

**F = 12,750.00 L/min.**

# McINTOSH PERRY

## CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway - Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Calculations

2 of 2

### F. Determine the Decrease, if any for Sprinkler Protection

From note 3, Page 18 of the Fire Underwriter Survey:

- The flow requirement may be reduced by up to 50% for complete automatic sprinkler protection depending upon adequacy of the system.
- The credit for the system will be a maximum of 30% for an adequately designed system conforming to NFPA 13 and other NFPA sprinkler standards.
- Additional credit of 10% if water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines
- If sprinkler system is fully supervised system, an additional 10% credit is granted
- The entire building will be installed with a fully automated, standardized with the City of Ottawa Fire Department and fully supervised.
- Therefore the value obtained in Step E is reduced by 30% (The building is sprinklered with a standard system and fire department hose lines)

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Reduction} &= 12,750.00 \text{ L/min.} \quad \times \quad 30\% \\ \text{Reduction} &= \mathbf{3,825.00 \text{ L/min.}}\end{aligned}$$

### G. Determine the Total Increase for Exposures

From note 4, Page 18 of the Fire Underwriter Survey:

- Exposure distance to the existing building to the east of the proposed building is over 45m away. Building to the north is 19.30m, to the south 10.38m and to the west 18.25m.
- There are no existing buildings surrounding the remainder of the site that are within 45m.
- Therefore the charge for exposure is 45% of the value obtained in Step E.

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Increase} &= 12,750.00 \text{ L/min.} \quad \times \quad 45\% \\ \text{Increase} &= \mathbf{5,737.50 \text{ L/min.}}\end{aligned}$$

### H. Determine the Total Fire Demand

- To the answer obtained in E, subtract the value obtained in F and add the value obtained in G
- Fire flow should be no less than 2,000L/min. and the maximum value should not exceed 45,000L/min.
- The fire flow must be rounded to the nearest 1,000L/min.

$$\begin{aligned}F &= 12,750.00 \text{ L/min.} \quad - \quad 3,825.00 \text{ L/min.} \quad + \quad 5,737.50 \text{ L/min.} \\ F &= \mathbf{14,662.50 \text{ L/min.}} \\ F &= \mathbf{15,000.00 \text{ L/min.}}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, after rounding to the nearest 1,000 L/min, the total required fire flow for the development is 15,000 L/min (5,283 GPM).

# McINTOSH PERRY

## CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway - OBC Fire Calculations

|              |               |
|--------------|---------------|
| Project:     | 263 Greensway |
| Project No.: | CP-18-0070    |
| Designed By: | LAL           |
| Checked By:  | RPK           |
| Date:        | 27/04/2018    |

### Ontario 2006 Building Code Compendium (Div. B - Part 3)

#### Water Supply for Fire-Fighting - Store/Office & Warehouse Building

Building is classified as Group : D, E and F2 up to 2 Storeys

(from table 3.2.2.55)

Building is of noncombustible construction with fire separations and fire-resistance ratings provided in accordance with Subsection 3.2.2, including loadbearing walls, columns and arches.

From Div. B A-3.2.5.7. of the Ontario Building Code - 3. Building On-Site Water Supply:

(a)  $Q = K \times V \times Stot$

where:

Q = minimum supply of water in litres

K = water supply coefficient from Table 1

V = total building volume in cubic metres

Stot = total of spatial coefficient values from the property line exposures on all sides as obtained from the formula:

$Stot = 1.0 + [S_{side1} + S_{side2} + S_{side3} + \dots \text{etc.}]$

|      |              |   |
|------|--------------|---|
| K    | 10           | (from Table 1 pg A-31) (Worst case occupancy {E / F2} 'K' value used) |
| V    | 16,932       | (Total building volume in m <sup>3</sup> .)                           |
| Stot | 1.0          | (From figure 1 pg A-32 )  |
| Q =  | 169,324.20 L |   |

From  
Figure 1  
(A-32)

|        |       |   |     |
|--------|-------|---|-----|
| Snorth | 19.3  | m | 0.0 |
| Seast  | 45+   | m | 0.0 |
| Ssouth | 10.38 | m | 0.0 |
| Swest  | 18.25 | m | 0.0 |

\*approximate distances

From Table 2: Required Minimum Water Supply Flow Rate (L/s)

5400 L/min (if Q >162,000 and <190,000 L)

1427 gpm



# McINTOSH PERRY

## CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway - Water Demands

|                    |               |
|--------------------|---------------|
| Project:           | 263 Greensway |
| Project No.:       | CP-18-0070    |
| Designed By:       | LAL           |
| Checked By:        | RPK           |
| Date:              | 27/04/2018    |
| Residents per Unit | 136.00 People |

### AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND

| DEMAND TYPE                 | AMOUNT      | UNITS                    |
|-----------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|
| <b>Residential</b>          | <b>350</b>  | <b>L/c/d</b>             |
| Industrial - Light          | 35,000      | L/gross ha/d             |
| Industrial - Heavy          | 55,000      | L/gross ha/d             |
| Shopping Centres            | 2,500       | L/(1000m <sup>2</sup> /d |
| Hospital                    | 900         | L/(bed/day)              |
| Schools                     | 70          | L/(Student/d)            |
| Trailer Parks no Hook-Ups   | 340         | L/(space/d)              |
| Trailer Park with Hook-Ups  | 800         | L/(space/d)              |
| Campgrounds                 | 225         | L/(campsite/d)           |
| Mobile Home Parks           | 1,000       | L/(Space/d)              |
| Motels                      | 150         | L/(bed-space/d)          |
| Hotels                      | 225         | L/(bed-space/d)          |
| Tourist Commercial          | 28,000      | L/gross ha/d             |
| Othe Commercial             | 28,000      | L/gross ha/d             |
| <b>AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND</b> | <b>0.55</b> | <b>L/s</b>               |

### MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND

| DEMAND TYPE                 | AMOUNT                | UNITS        |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| <b>Residential</b>          | <b>2.5 x avg. day</b> | <b>L/c/d</b> |
| Industrial                  | 1.5 x avg. day        | L/gross ha/d |
| Commercial                  | 1.5 x avg. day        | L/gross ha/d |
| Institutional               | 1.5 x avg. day        | L/gross ha/d |
| <b>MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND</b> | <b>1.38</b>           | <b>L/s</b>   |

### MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND

| DEMAND TYPE                | AMOUNT                | UNITS        |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| <b>Residential</b>         | <b>2.2 x max. day</b> | <b>L/c/d</b> |
| Industrial                 | 1.8 x max. day        | L/gross ha/d |
| Commercial                 | 1.8 x max. day        | L/gross ha/d |
| Institutional              | 1.8 x max. day        | L/gross ha/d |
| <b>MAXIMUM HOUR DEMAND</b> | <b>3.03</b>           | <b>L/s</b>   |

WATER DEMAND DESIGN FLOWS PER UNIT COUNT  
CITY OF OTTAWA - WATER DISTRIBUTION GUIDELINES, JULY 2010

**Site Grading and Drainage Plan:** drawing number C101, prepared by McIntosh Perry Consulting, Project Number CP-18-0070, revision 2, dated May 24, 2018.

- 26. Need grades along the back of Montreal Road sidewalk.
- 27. Indicate entrance ramp into underground parking area to be heated.
- 28. Construct new curb to the parking lot to the south of the existing 2 story apartment building.
- 29. Indicate T/C and B/C along the proposed concrete curb.
- 30. Extend sidewalk on Greensway Avenue to the south side of the northerly entrance to the site.
- 31. Indicate U.S.F. elevations and top of garage structure elevations (to ensure there are no conflicts with the grading along the Vanier Parkway section).
- 32. Recommend you prepare your shoring details soon in order to coordinate with the City ROW group (since tiebacks may enter the city right-of-way).

**Removals, Sediments & Erosion Control Plan:** drawing number REM, prepared by McIntosh Perry Consulting, Project Number CP-18-0070, revision 1, dated May 24, 2018.

- 33. Existing Hydro Line is located in the bicycle path. Indicate the need to relocate the Hydro Line.
- 34. Text at north end of drawing refers to “Crub” when I believe it should refer to “Curb”.

**Servicing and Storm Water Management Report:** prepared by McIntosh Perry Consulting, Project Number OCP-18-0070, dated May, 2018.

- 35. The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 263 Greensway (zone 1E) assumed to be connected to the 203mm on Mark Ave (see attached PDF for location).



263 Greensway  
June 2018.pdf

Minimum HGL = 109.5m

Maximum HGL = 118.3m, the maximum pressure is estimated to be above 80 psi. A pressure check at completion of construction is recommended to determine if pressure control is required

MaxDay + FireFlow (250 L/s) = 79.0m

Review your design to ensure adequate servicing is available.

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

*Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of water mains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation*

36. Sanitary service to be a 150 mm dia PVC pipe.

37. Site to be controlled to a 1:5 year storm event with a "C" factor of 0.5 and a Tc of 15 minutes.

**Phase II ESA:** prepared by Paterson Group, Report: PE4258-2, dated May 14, 2018.

38. Report indicates contamination across the site. An off-site Contamination remediation agreement will be needed to ensure the investigation of contaminated fill disposed of on the city ROW be identified and removed. Your recommendations indicate the remediation take place during the development of the site but there will be a need to investigate adjacent city lands to confirm if any contaminated fill was disposed onto our lands.

Please direct any questions, regarding the engineering review comments, to Richard Buchanan, Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals, by phone at (613) 580-2424 ext. 27801 or by email at richard.buchanan@ottawa.ca.

## **G. Transportation Review**

39. Please Vanier Parkway is designated as an Arterial roadway. There are no additional ROW protection limits identified in the OP.

40. The proposed MUP will require proper signage.

41. Bicycle parking spaces are required as per Section 111 of the Ottawa Comprehensive Zoning By-law. Bicycle parking spaces should be located in safe, secure places near main entrances and preferably protected from the weather.

42. There is no safety discussion regarding the North River Road/Montreal Road intersection, where the development traffic will be accessing the arterial network. The focus seemed to be on the Montreal Road/Vanier Parkway intersection for some reason. More analysis is required for the North River Road intersection.

Average Day

| Label | Elevation<br>(m) | Demand<br>(L/min) | Pressure<br>(psi) | Hydraulic Grade<br>(m) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| J-1   | 54.00            | 33.00             | 91.3              | 118.30                 |

Peak Hourly

| Label | Elevation<br>(m) | Demand<br>(L/min) | Pressure<br>(psi) | Hydraulic Grade<br>(m) |
|-------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| J-1   | 54.00            | 181.80            | 78.7              | 109.48                 |

Max Day + Fire

| Label | Is Fire Flow Run Balanced? | Satisfies Fire Flow Constraints? | Fire Flow (Needed) (L/min) | Fire Flow (Available) (L/min) | Pressure (psi) | Elevation (m) | Demand (L/min) | Pressure (Residual Lower Limit) (psi) |
|-------|----------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|
| H-1   | True                       | False                            | 15,000.00                  | 10,518.74                     | 35.6           | 53.91         | 0.00           | 20.0                                  |
| J-1   | False                      | False                            | 15,000.00                  | (N/A)                         | 35.5           | 54.00         | 82.80          | 20.0                                  |

## APPENDIX C: SANITARY SEWER CALCULATIONS





## 1. BUILDING OCCUPANCY

The maximum number of bedroom units will be 76 units as per the floors plans and the attached unit break down from the Architect.

## 2. DAILY VOLUME IN LITRES

As per the extract of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Appendix 4-A; Daily Sewage Flow for Dwellings;

- Each Dwelling unit of bachelor
  - 275 Liters/Dwelling/Day
- Each Dwelling unit of 1 bedrooms
  - 275 Liters/Dwelling/Day
- Each Dwelling unit of 2 bedrooms
  - 1100 Liters/Dwelling/Day
- Each Dwelling unit of 3 bedrooms
  - 1600 Liters/Dwelling/Day

## 3. PEAK FLOW (Q/P)

- $Q_{\text{BACHELOR}}(p) = F_{\text{BACHELOR}} \times P_{\text{BACHELOR}}$  Where:  
 $F_{\text{BACHELOR}} = 275 \text{ Liters/Dwelling/Day}$  (as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines)  
 $P_{\text{BACHELOR}} = 8 \text{ Units}$  (as per Site Plan)
- Therefore,  $Q_{\text{BACHELOR}}(p) = (275) \times (8) = \underline{2,200 \text{ L/Day (0.025 L/sec)}}$
- $Q_{\text{2-BED}}(p) = F_{\text{1-BED}} \times P_{\text{1-BED}}$  Where:  
 $F_{\text{1-BED}} = 275 \text{ Liters/Dwelling/Day}$  (as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines)  
 $P_{\text{1-BED}} = 35 \text{ Units}$  (as per Site Plan)
- Therefore,  $Q_{\text{1-BED}}(p) = (275) \times (35) = \underline{9,625 \text{ L/Day (0.111 L/sec)}}$
-

- $Q_{2-BED}(p) = F_{2-BED} \times P_{2-BED}$

Where:

$F_{2-BED} = 1100$  Litres/Dwelling/Day (as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines)

$P_{2-BED} = 27$  Units (as per Site Plan)

- Therefore,  $Q_{2-BED}(p) = (1,100) \times (27) = \underline{29,700 \text{ L/Day (0.344 L/sec)}}$

- $Q_{3-BED}(p) = F_{3-BED} \times P_{3-BED}$

Where:

$F_{3-BED} = 1600$  Litres/Dwelling/Day (as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines)

$P_{3-BED} = 6$  Units (as per Site Plan)

- Therefore,  $Q_{3-BED}(p) = (1,600) \times (6) = \underline{9,600 \text{ L/Day (0.111 L/sec)}}$

- $Q_{TOTAL}(p) = Q_{BACHELOR} + Q_{1-BED} + Q_{2-BED} + Q_{3-BED}$

Where:

$Q_{BACHELOR} = 2,200 \text{ L/Day}$

$Q_{1-BED} = 9,625 \text{ L/Day}$

$Q_{2-BED} = 29,700 \text{ L/Day}$

$Q_{3-BED} = 9,600 \text{ L/Day}$

- Therefore,  $Q_{TOTAL}(p) = (2,200) + (9,625) + (29,700) + (9,600) = \underline{51,125 \text{ L/Day (0.59 L/sec)}}$

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

PROJECT:263 Greensway Avenue

LOCATION:VANIER

CLIENT:Anand Aggarwal



McINTOSH PERRY

| LOCATION           |         |         |       | RESIDENTIAL   |          |    |     |             |            |       |             | ICI AREAS               |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 | INFILTRATION ALLOWANCE |      |                  | FLOW              | SEWER DATA     |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
|--------------------|---------|---------|-------|---|----------|----|-----|-------------|------------|-------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------|------------------|------------------------------|------|------------|------|-----------------|------------------------|------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|----------|-----------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--------------------|-------|
| 1                  | 2       | 3       | 4     | 5   | 6        | 7  | 8   | 9           | 10         | 11    | 12          | 13                      | 14            | 15               | 16                           | 17   | 18         | 19   | 20              | 21                     | 22   | 23               | 24                | 25             | 26         | 27       | 28        | 29                    | 30              | 31                      | 30                 | 31    |
| STREET             | AREA ID | FROM MH | TO MH | UNIT TYPES  |          |    |     | AREA (ha)   | POPULATION |       | PEAK FACTOR | PEAK FLOW (L/s)         | AREA (ha)     |                  |                              |      |            |      | PEAK FLOW (L/s) | AREA (ha)              |      | FLOW (L/s)       | DESIGN FLOW (L/s) | CAPACITY (L/s) | LENGTH (m) | DIA (mm) | SLOPE (%) | VELOCITY (full) (m/s) | FLOW DEPTH (mm) | VELOCITY (actual) (m/s) | AVAILABLE CAPACITY |       |
|                    |         |         |       | SF  | SD       | TH | APT |             | IND        | CUM   |             |                         | INSTITUTIONAL |                  | COMMERCIAL                   |      | INDUSTRIAL |      |                 | IND                    | CUM  |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         | L/s                | (%)   |
|                    |         |         |       |   |          |    |     |             |            |       |             |                         |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
|                    |         |         |       |   |          |    | 76  | 0.45        | 174.8      | 174.8 | 4.00        | 2.83                    |               | 0.00             |                              | 0.00 |            | 0.00 | 0.00            | 0.45                   | 0.45 | 0.13             | 2.96              | 11.23          | 26.45      | 150      | 0.50      | 0.616                 | 53.6            | 0.523                   | 8.28               | 73.67 |
| Design Parameters: |         |         |       | Notes:<br>1. Mannings coefficient (n) = 0.013<br>2. Demand (per capita): 350 L/day<br>3. Infiltration allowance: 0.28 L/s/Ha<br>4. Residential Peaking Factor:<br>Harmon Formula = 1+(14/((4+P^0.5))<br>where P = population in thousands |          |    |     |             |            |       |             | Designed: LAL           |               | No.              | Revision                     |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  | Date              |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
|                    |         |         |       |   |          |    |     |             |            |       |             |                         |               | 1.               | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  | 2018-10-10        |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
| Residential        |         |         |       | ICI Areas   |          |    |     | Peak Factor |            |       |             | Checked: RPK            |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
|                    |         |         |       |   |          |    |     |             |            |       |             |                         |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
| SF                 | 3.4     | p/p/u   |       |   |          |    |     |             |            |       |             | Project No.: CP-18-0070 |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
| TH/SD              | 2.7     | p/p/u   | INST  | 50,000  | L/Ha/day |    |     | 1.5         |            |       |             |                         |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
| APT                | 2.3     | p/p/u   | COM   | 50,000  | L/Ha/day |    |     | 1.5         |            |       |             |                         |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
| Other              | 60      | p/p/Ha  | IND   | 35,000  | L/Ha/day |    |     | MOE Chart   |            |       |             |                         |               |                  |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      |                  |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |
|                    |         |         |       |   |          |    |     |             |            |       |             |                         |               | Date: 2018-10-10 |                              |      |            |      |                 |                        |      | Sheet No: 1 of 1 |                   |                |            |          |           |                       |                 |                         |                    |       |

## APPENDIX D: PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN



FILENAME: I:\01 Project Drawings\CP18-0070-18-0070-PreDevelopment.dwg Drawing: MCF-18-0070- PRESENTATION.dwg  
LAST SAVED: Tuesday, October 09, 2018 1:51 PM BY: R.P.K. (R.P.K.)  
LAST PLOTTED: Wednesday, October 10, 2018 10:08 AM BY: R.P.K. (R.P.K.)



|  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

|     |                              |              |
|-----|------------------------------|--------------|
| 2   | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | OCT. 10/2018 |
| 1   | ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN CONTROL | MAY 24/2018  |
| No. | Revision/Issue               | Date         |

Check and verify all dimensions before proceeding with the work. Do not scale drawings.

**McINTOSH PERRY**  
115 Walgreen Road, RR3, Carp, ON K0A 1L0  
Tel: 613-836-2184 Fax: 613-836-3742  
www.mcintoshperry.com

Stamp:

Client:  
**Manor Park Management**  
231 BRITTANY, SUITE D  
OTTAWA, ON  
K1L 0R8

Project:  
**263 GREENSWAY AVENUE**  
**SIX STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING**

VANIER ON

Drawing Title:  
**PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREAS**

|              |        |                 |            |
|--------------|--------|-----------------|------------|
| Scale:       | N.T.S. | Project Number: |            |
| Drawn by:    | L.A.L. |                 | CP-18-0070 |
| Checked By:  | R.P.K. | Drawing Number: |            |
| Designed By: | L.A.L. |                 | PRE        |

## APPENDIX E: POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE PLAN







## APPENDIX F: STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CALCULATIONS



# McINTOSH PERRY

CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway Avenue - Runoff Calculations

1 of 6

## Pre-Development Runoff Coefficient

| Area | Drainage Area (ha) | Roof/Asphalt/Concrete (m <sup>2</sup> ) | C    | Gravel (m <sup>2</sup> ) | C    | Treed/Grass Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) | C    | Average C (5-year) | Average C (100-year) |
|------|--------------------|---|------|--------------------------|------|------------------------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------|
| A1   | 0.04               | 363                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 0                                  | 0.20 | 0.90               | 1.00                 |
| A2   | 0.42               | 3401                                    | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 771                                | 0.20 | 0.77               | 0.86                 |

## Pre-Development Runoff Calculations

| Area  | Drainage Area (ha) | C (5-Yr) | C (100-Yr) | Tc (min) | I (mm/hr) |          | Q (L/s) |          |
|-------|--------------------|----------|------------|----------|-----------|----------|---------|----------|
|       |                    |          |            |          | 5-Year    | 100-Year | 5-Year  | 100-Year |
| A1    | 0.04               | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10       | 104.2     | 178.6    | 9.48    | 18.03    |
| A2    | 0.42               | 0.50     | 0.86       | 10       | 104.2     | 178.6    | 60.42   | 178.39   |
| Total | 0.45               |          |            |          |           |          | 69.90   | 196.42   |

## Post-Development Runoff Coefficient

| Area | Drainage Area (ha) | Roof/Asphalt/Concrete (m <sup>2</sup> ) | C    | Gravel (m <sup>2</sup> ) | C    | Treed/Grass Area (m <sup>2</sup> ) | C    | Average C (5-year) | Average C (100-year) |
|------|--------------------|---|------|--------------------------|------|------------------------------------|------|--------------------|----------------------|
| B1   | 0.04               | 364                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 0                                  | 0.20 | 0.90               | 1.00                 |
| B2   | 0.05               | 303                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 200                                | 0.20 | 0.62               | 0.70                 |
| B3   | 0.06               | 434                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 165                                | 0.20 | 0.71               | 0.79                 |
| B4   | 0.06               | 440                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 177                                | 0.20 | 0.70               | 0.79                 |
| B5   | 0.09               | 876                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 0                                  | 0.20 | 0.90               | 1.00                 |
| B6   | 0.02               | 222                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 0                                  | 0.20 | 0.90               | 1.00                 |
| B7   | 0.01               | 94                                      | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 0                                  | 0.20 | 0.90               | 1.00                 |
| B8   | 0.01               | 98                                      | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 0                                  | 1.20 | 0.90               | 1.00                 |
| B9   | 0.09               | 292                                     | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 657                                | 0.20 | 0.42               | 0.48                 |
| B10  | 0.01               | 0                                       | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 90                                 | 0.20 | 0.20               | 0.25                 |
| B11  | 0.01               | 25                                      | 0.90 | 0                        | 0.60 | 100                                | 0.20 | 0.34               | 0.40                 |

## Post-Development Runoff Calculations

| Drainage Area ID | Total Area (ha) | C (5-Yr) | C (100-Yr) | Tc | I (mm/hr) |          | Q (L/s) |          |
|------------------|-----------------|----------|------------|----|-----------|----------|---------|----------|
|                  |                 |          |            |    | 5-Year    | 100-Year | 5-Year  | 100-Year |
| B1               | 0.04            | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 9.48    | 18.05    |
| B2               | 0.05            | 0.62     | 0.70       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 9.05    | 17.51    |
| B3               | 0.06            | 0.71     | 0.79       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 12.27   | 23.59    |
| B4               | 0.06            | 0.70     | 0.79       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 12.49   | 24.02    |
| B5               | 0.09            | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 22.82   | 43.46    |
| B6               | 0.02            | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 5.78    | 11.00    |
| B7               | 0.01            | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 2.44    | 4.65     |
| B8               | 0.01            | 0.90     | 1.00       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 2.55    | 4.85     |
| B9               | 0.09            | 0.42     | 0.48       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 11.42   | 22.66    |
| B10              | 0.01            | 0.20     | 0.25       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 0.52    | 1.12     |
| B11              | 0.01            | 0.34     | 0.40       | 10 | 104.2     | 178.6    | 1.24    | 2.51     |
| Total            | 0.45            |          |            |    |           |          | 90.07   | 173.42   |

# McINTOSH PERRY

CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway Avenue - Runoff Calculations

2 of 6

## Post-Development Restricted Runoff Calculations

| Drainage Area ID | Post-Development Unrestricted (L/S) |          | Post-Development Restricted (L/S) |          | Restricted/ Unrestricted |
|------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|-----------------------------------|----------|--------------------------|
|                  | 5-year                              | 100-Year | 5-Year                            | 100-Year |                          |
| B1               | 9.48                                | 18.05    | 9.48                              | 18.05    | Unrestricted             |
| Total (A1)       | 9.48                                | 18.05    | 9.48                              | 18.05    |                          |
| B2               | 9.05                                | 17.51    | 11.96                             | 11.96    | Restricted               |
| B3               | 12.27                               | 23.59    |                                   |          |                          |
| B4               | 12.49                               | 24.02    |                                   |          |                          |
| B5               | 22.82                               | 43.46    | 0.96                              | 1.68     | Unrestricted             |
| B6               | 5.78                                | 11.00    | 5.78                              | 11.00    |                          |
| B7               | 2.44                                | 4.65     | 2.44                              | 4.65     |                          |
| B8               | 2.55                                | 4.85     | 2.55                              | 4.85     |                          |
| B9               | 11.42                               | 22.66    | 11.42                             | 22.66    |                          |
| B10              | 0.52                                | 1.12     | 0.52                              | 1.12     |                          |
| B11              | 1.24                                | 2.51     | 1.24                              | 2.51     |                          |
| Total (A2)       | 78.82                               | 155.37   | 36.87                             | 60.42    |                          |

# McINTOSH PERRY

CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway Avenue - Runoff Calculations

3 of 6

Storage Requirements for Area B2-B4

5-Year Storm Event

| Tc (min) | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (L/s) B2 | Runoff (L/s) B3 | Runoff (L/s) B4 | Allowable Outflow (L/s) | Runoff to be Stored (L/s) | Storage Required (m³) |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 10       | 104.2     | 9.1             | 12.3            | 12.5            | 11.96                   | 21.85                     | 13.11                 |
| 15       | 83.6      | 7.3             | 9.8             | 10.0            | 11.96                   | 15.15                     | 13.64                 |
| 20       | 70.3      | 6.1             | 8.3             | 8.4             | 11.96                   | 10.84                     | 13.00                 |
| 25       | 60.9      | 5.3             | 7.2             | 7.3             | 11.96                   | 7.80                      | 11.70                 |
| 30       | 53.9      | 4.7             | 6.4             | 6.5             | 11.96                   | 5.54                      | 9.97                  |
| 35       | 48.5      | 4.2             | 5.7             | 5.8             | 11.96                   | 3.78                      | 7.94                  |
| 40       | 44.2      | 3.8             | 5.2             | 5.3             | 11.96                   | 2.38                      | 5.70                  |

Maximum Storage Required 5-Year (m³) = 13.64

100-Year Storm Event

| Tc (min) | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (L/s) B2 | Runoff (L/s) B3 | Runoff (L/s) B4 | Allowable Outflow (L/s) | Runoff to be Stored (L/s) | Storage Required (m³) |
|----------|-----------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| 10       | 178.6     | 17.5            | 23.6            | 24.0            | 11.96                   | 53.17                     | 31.90                 |
| 15       | 142.9     | 14.0            | 18.9            | 19.2            | 11.96                   | 40.16                     | 36.14                 |
| 20       | 120.0     | 11.8            | 15.8            | 16.1            | 11.96                   | 31.79                     | 38.15                 |
| 25       | 103.8     | 10.2            | 13.7            | 14.0            | 11.96                   | 25.92                     | 38.87                 |
| 30       | 91.9      | 9.0             | 12.1            | 12.4            | 11.96                   | 21.55                     | 38.78                 |
| 35       | 82.6      | 8.1             | 10.9            | 11.1            | 11.96                   | 18.16                     | 38.13                 |
| 40       | 75.1      | 7.4             | 9.9             | 10.1            | 11.96                   | 15.45                     | 37.07                 |

Maximum Storage Required 100-Year (m³) = 38.87

Storage Occupied In Area B2-B4

| Underground Storage Available - Structures |       |          |           |           |               |             |
|--|-------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------------|-------------|
| Location                                   | T/G   | Inv. Out | Depth (m) | Area (m²) | Diameter (mm) | Volume (m³) |
| CB1  | 56.00 | 53.80    | 2.20      | 0.36      | 600           | 0.79        |
| MH1  | 56.48 | 53.32    | 2.68      | 1.13      | 1200          | 3.03        |
| CBMH1                                      | 56.10 | 53.23    | 2.77      | 1.13      | 1200          | 3.13        |
| CBMH2                                      | 56.05 | 53.06    | 2.94      | 1.13      | 1200          | 3.33        |
| MH2  | 56.00 | 52.92    | 3.08      | 1.13      | 1200          | 3.48        |
| TOTAL                                      |       |          |           |           |               | 13.76       |

| Underground Storage Available - Pipes |       |           |               |            |             |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-----------|---------------|------------|-------------|
| Start                                 | End   | Area (m²) | Diameter (mm) | Length (m) | Volume (m³) |
| CB1                                   | MH1   | 0.28      | 300           | 18.5       | 5.23        |
| MH1                                   | CBMH1 | 0.28      | 300           | 16.88      | 4.77        |
| CBMH1                                 | CBMH2 | 0.28      | 300           | 21.12      | 5.97        |
| CBMH2                                 | MH2   | 0.64      | 450           | 22.5       | 14.31       |
| LSCB1                                 | TEE   | 0.20      | 250           | 5.78       | 1.13        |
| TOTAL                                 |       |           |               |            | 31.42       |

5-Year Storm Event

|                                |
|--------------------------------|
| Storage Available (m³) = 45.19 |
| Storage Required (m³) = 13.64  |

100-Year Storm Event

|                                |
|--------------------------------|
| Storage Available (m³) = 45.19 |
| Storage Required (m³) = 38.87  |

# McINTOSH PERRY

CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway Avenue - Runoff Calculations

4 of 6

## Storage Requirements for Area B5

### 5-Year Storm Event

| Tc (min) | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (L/s) | Allowable Outflow (L/s) | Runoff to be Stored (L/s) | Storage Required (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 110      | 20.8      | 4.56         | 0.96                    | 3.60                      | 23.77                              |
| 115      | 20.1      | 4.41         | 0.96                    | 3.45                      | 23.79                              |
| 120      | 19.5      | 4.26         | 0.96                    | 3.30                      | 23.79                              |
| 125      | 18.9      | 4.13         | 0.96                    | 3.17                      | 23.79                              |
| 130      | 18.3      | 4.01         | 0.96                    | 3.05                      | 23.77                              |
| 135      | 17.8      | 3.89         | 0.96                    | 2.93                      | 23.75                              |
| 140      | 17.3      | 3.78         | 0.96                    | 2.82                      | 23.71                              |

Maximum Storage Required 5-Year (m<sup>3</sup>) = 23.79

### 100-Year Storm Event

| Tc (min) | I (mm/hr) | Runoff (L/s) | Allowable Outflow (L/s) | Runoff to be Stored (L/s) | Storage Required (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
|----------|-----------|--------------|-------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| 110      | 35.2      | 8.57         | 1.68                    | 6.89                      | 45.46                              |
| 115      | 34.0      | 8.28         | 1.68                    | 6.60                      | 45.52                              |
| 120      | 32.9      | 8.01         | 1.68                    | 6.33                      | 45.55                              |
| 125      | 31.9      | 7.76         | 1.68                    | 6.08                      | 45.56                              |
| 130      | 30.9      | 7.52         | 1.68                    | 5.84                      | 45.56                              |
| 135      | 30.0      | 7.30         | 1.68                    | 5.62                      | 45.53                              |
| 140      | 29.2      | 7.10         | 1.68                    | 5.42                      | 45.49                              |

Maximum Storage Required 100-Year (m<sup>3</sup>) = 45.56

## Storage Occupied In Area B5

### 5-Year Storm Event

| Roof Storage |        |       |                          |
|--------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|
| Location     | Area*  | Depth | Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| Roof Drains  | 656.67 | 0.040 | 26.27                    |

Storage Available (m<sup>3</sup>) = 26.27

Storage Required (m<sup>3</sup>) = 23.79

### 100-Year Storm Event

| Roof Storage |        |       |                          |
|--------------|--------|-------|--------------------------|
| Location     | Area*  | Depth | Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) |
| Roof Drains  | 656.67 | 0.070 | 45.97                    |

Storage Available (m<sup>3</sup>) = 45.97

Storage Required (m<sup>3</sup>) = 45.56

\*Area is calculated using 75% of the total roof area



# McINTOSH PERRY

CP-18-0070 - 263 Greensway Avenue - Runoff Calculations

5 of 6

Roof Drain Flow for Area B5

| Roof Drains Summary               |                                |          |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------|
| Type of Control Device            | Watts Drainage - Accutrol Weir |          |
| Number of Roof Drains             | 2                              |          |
|                                   | 5-Year                         | 100-Year |
| Rooftop Storage (m <sup>3</sup> ) | 656.67                         | 656.67   |
| Storage Depth (m)                 | 0.040                          | 0.070    |
| Flow (Per Roof Drain) (L/s)       | 0.48                           | 0.84     |
| Total Flow (L/s)                  | 0.96                           | 1.68     |

| Flow Rate Vs. Build-Up<br>(One Weir) |            |
|--------------------------------------|------------|
| Depth (mm)                           | Flow (L/s) |
| 15                                   | 0.18       |
| 20                                   | 0.24       |
| 25                                   | 0.30       |
| 30                                   | 0.36       |
| 35                                   | 0.42       |
| 40                                   | 0.48       |
| 45                                   | 0.54       |
| 50                                   | 0.60       |
| 55                                   | 0.66       |

\*Roof Drain model to be Accutrol Weirs, See attached sheets

\*Roof Drain Flow information taken from Watts Drainage website

## CALCULATING ROOF FLOW EXAMPLES

1 roof drain during a 5 year storm  
elevation of water = 25mm  
Flow leaving 1 roof drain = (1 x 0.30 L/s) = 0.30 L/s

1 roof drain during a 100 year storm  
elevation of water = 50mm  
Flow leaving 1 roof drain = (1 x 0.60 L/s) = 0.60 L/s

4 roof drains during a 5 year storm  
elevation of water = 25mm  
Flow leaving 4 roof drains = (4 x 0.30 L/s) = 1.20 L/s

4 roof drains during a 100 year storm  
elevation of water = 50mm  
Flow leaving 4 roof drains = (4 x 0.60 L/s) = 2.40 L/s

|          |      | Roof Drain Flow |                    |                   |
|----------|------|-----------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|          |      | Flow (l/s)      | Storage Depth (mm) | Drains Flow (l/s) |
| 5-year   |      | 0.18            | 15                 | 0.36              |
|          |      | 0.24            | 20                 | 0.48              |
|          |      | 0.30            | 25                 | 0.60              |
|          |      | 0.36            | 30                 | 0.72              |
|          |      | 0.42            | 35                 | 0.84              |
|          |      | 0.48            | 40                 | 0.96              |
|          |      | 0.54            | 45                 | 1.08              |
|          |      | 0.60            | 50                 | 1.20              |
| 100-year |      | 0.66            | 55                 | 1.32              |
|          |      | 0.72            | 60                 | 1.44              |
|          |      | 0.78            | 65                 | 1.56              |
|          |      | 0.84            | 70                 | 1.68              |
|          |      | 0.90            | 75                 | 1.80              |
|          |      | 0.96            | 80                 | 1.92              |
|          |      | 1.02            | 85                 | 2.04              |
|          |      | 1.08            | 90                 | 2.16              |
|          |      | 1.14            | 95                 | 2.28              |
|          |      | 1.20            | 100                | 2.40              |
|          |      | 1.26            | 105                | 2.52              |
|          |      | 1.32            | 110                | 2.64              |
|          |      | 1.38            | 115                | 2.76              |
|          |      | 1.44            | 120                | 2.88              |
|          | 1.50 | 125             | 3.00               |                   |
|          | 1.56 | 130             | 3.12               |                   |
|          | 1.62 | 135             | 3.24               |                   |
|          | 1.68 | 140             | 3.36               |                   |
|          | 1.74 | 145             | 3.48               |                   |
|          | 1.80 | 150             | 3.60               |                   |

Note: The flow leaving through a restricted roof drain is based on flow vs. head information

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

PROJECT: 263 Greensway Avenue  
LOCATION: Vanier  
CLIENT: Anand Aggarwal



| LOCATION  |         |         |          | CONTRIBUTING AREA (ha)                        |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          | RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  | SEWER DATA        |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---------|---------|----------|---|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|----------|----------|----------------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|-------------------|----------------|------------|----------------|-----|----|------------|----------------|-----------------|--------|--------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1   | 2       | 3       | 4        | 5   | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   | 13       | 14       | 15                   | 16           | 17          | 18            | 19             | 20                      | 21                           | 22                   | 23                    | 24               | 25                | 26             | 27         | 28             | 29  | 30 | 31         | 32             | 33              | 34     |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| STREET  | AREA ID | FROM MH | TO MH    | C-VALUE                                       |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | INDIV AC | CUMUL AC | INLET (min)          | TIME IN PIPE | TOTAL (min) | i (5) (mm/hr) | i (10) (mm/hr) | i (100) (mm/hr)         | 5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)          | 10yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | 100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s) | FIXED FLOW (L/s) | DESIGN FLOW (L/s) | CAPACITY (L/s) | LENGTH (m) | PIPE SIZE (mm) |     |    | SLOPE (%)  | VELOCITY (m/s) | AVAIL CAP (5yr) |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          | 0.20  | 0.34 | 0.36 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.74 | 0.75 | 0.90 |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            | DIA            | W   | H  |            |                | (L/s)           | (%)    |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | B2      | CB1     | MH1      | 0.02  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.03     | 0.03     | 0.03                 | 10.00        | 0.15        | 10.15         | 104.19         | 122.14                  | 178.56                       | 8.98                 |                       |                  |                   | 8.98           | 153.66     | 18.50          | 300 |    |            | 2.32           | 2.106           | 144.68 | 94.16% |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         | MH1     | CBMH1    |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          | 0.03     | 0.03                 | 10.15        | 0.29        | 10.43         | 103.43         | 121.24                  | 177.23                       | 8.91                 |                       |                  |                   | 8.91           | 71.33      | 16.88          | 300 |    |            | 0.50           | 0.978           | 62.42  | 87.50% |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | B3      | CBMH1   | CBMH2    | 0.02  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.04     | 0.04     | 0.07                 | 10.43        | 0.36        | 10.79         | 101.95         | 119.50                  | 174.68                       | 20.72                |                       |                  |                   | 20.72          | 71.33      | 21.12          | 300 |    |            | 0.50           | 0.978           | 50.62  | 70.96% |  |  |  |  |  |
|   | B4      | CBMH2   | MH2      | 0.02  |      |      |      |      |      |      |      | 0.04     | 0.04     | 0.12                 | 10.79        | 0.29        | 11.09         | 100.18         | 117.41                  | 171.61                       | 32.39                |                       |                  |                   | 32.39          | 210.32     | 22.50          | 450 |    |            | 0.50           | 1.281           | 177.93 | 84.60% |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         | MH2     | EX. MAIN |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          | 0.12                 | 11.09        | 0.25        | 11.34         | 98.78          | 115.77                  | 169.20                       | 31.94                |                       |                  | 11.96             | 11.96          | 75.98      | 22.50          | 250 |    |            | 1.50           | 1.500           | 64.02  | 84.26% |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
| Definitions:<br>Q = 2.78CiA, where:<br>Q = Peak Flow in Litres per Second (L/s)<br>A = Area in Hectares (ha)<br>i = Rainfall intensity in millimeters per hour (mm/hr)<br>[i = 998.071 / (TC+6.053)^0.814]<br>[i = 1174.184 / (TC+6.014)^0.816]<br>[i = 1735.688 / (TC+6.014)^0.820]<br><br>5 YEAR<br>10 YEAR<br>100 YEAR |         |         |          | Notes:<br>1. Mannings coefficient (n) = 0.013 |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          | Designed: LAL        |              |             |               |                | No.                     | Revision                     |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    | Date       |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          | Checked: RPK         |              |             |               |                | 1.                      | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    | 2018-10-10 |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                | Project No.: CP-18-0070 |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |
|   |         |         |          |   |      |      |      |      |      |      |      |          |          |                      |              |             |               |                |                         |                              |                      |                       |                  |                   |                |            |                |     |    |            |                |                 |        |        |  |  |  |  |  |