Byron / Ravenhill Avenue Rezoning

Transportation Impact Assessment

Prepared By:

NOVATECH Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2M 1P6

> March 2018 Revised September 2018

Novatech File: 116168 Ref: R-2018-038



September 28, 2018

City of Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department 110 Laurier Ave. W., 4th Floor, Ottawa, Ontario K1P 1J1

Attention: Mr. Wally Dubyk Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Dear Mr. Dubyk:

Reference: Byron / Ravenhill Avenue Rezoning Transportation Impact Assessment Novatech File No. 116168

We are pleased to submit the following revised Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment for the eastern portion of the City block bounded by Byron Avenue to the north, Roosevelt Avenue to the east, Ravenhill Avenue to the south and Golden Avenue to the west. The structure and format of this report is in accordance with the 2017 City of Ottawa TIA Guidelines.

If you have any questions or comments regarding this report, please feel free to contact Jennifer Luong, or the undersigned.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH

Kristyn Boehme, E.I.T. Engineering Intern

M:\2016\116168\DATA\REPORTS\TRAFFIC\116168 - TIA.2018.09.28.DOCX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	3
2.0	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	4
3.0	SCREENING AND SCOPING	4
3.1	SCREENING FORM	4
3.2	EXISTING CONDITIONS	4
3	.2.1 Roadways	4
3	.2.2 Intersections	. 5
3	.2.3 Driveways	5
3	.2.4 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities	6
3	2.5 I ransit	6
ა ა	2.7 Callisian Reports	/
33		o
3.4	STUDY AREA AND TIME PERIODS	10
3.5	Exemptions Review	10
10	EODECASTING	10
4.0		10
4.1		10
4	1.1 Irip Generation	10
4	1.2 Irip Distribution	11
4 12		11 12
۲.۲ ۵	2.1 Other Area Development	12
'		
5.0		13
5.1	DEVELOPMENT DESIGN	13
5.2	PARKING	14
5.3	BOUNDARY STREETS	14
5	.3.1 Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS)	14
5	.3.2 Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS)	15
5	.3.3 Venicular Level of Service (Auto-LOS)	16
5		10
b .4	AUGESS INTERSECTIONS DESIGN	17
6.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	18

Figures

Figure 1: View of the Subject Site	3
Figure 2: OC Transpo Bus Stop Locations	6
Figure 3: Travel Route between Dominion Station and the Subject Site	7
Figure 4: Existing Traffic Volumes	8
Figure 5: Proposed Site Generated Traffic Volumes	
Figure 6: Total Traffic Volumes	

Tables

Table 2: ITE Trip Generation10Table 3: Person Trip Generation11Table 4: Site-Generated Trips by Modal Share11Table 5: Other Area Development Projected Traffic12Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis14Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis16Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions16Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 1: Reported Collisions	9
Table 3: Person Trip Generation11Table 4: Site-Generated Trips by Modal Share11Table 5: Other Area Development Projected Traffic12Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis14Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis16Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions16Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 2: ITE Trip Generation	10
Table 4: Site-Generated Trips by Modal Share11Table 5: Other Area Development Projected Traffic12Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis14Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis16Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions16Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 3: Person Trip Generation	11
Table 5: Other Area Development Projected Traffic12Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis14Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis16Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions16Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 4: Site-Generated Trips by Modal Share	11
Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis14Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis16Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions16Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 5: Other Area Development Projected Traffic	12
Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis16Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions16Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis	14
Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions 16 Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary 17 Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total 18	Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis	16
Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary.17Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total18	Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions	16
Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total 18	Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary	17
	Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total	18

Appendices

TIA Screening Form Traffic Count Data

Appendix B: TIA Screening For Appendix C: Traffic Count Data Appendix D: Collision Records

Appendix E: Access Intersection Synchro Reports

Appendix F: Excerpts from Other Development Traffic Studies

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment for the properties located at 566, 570, 574 and 576 Byron Avenue, 430, 430B, 432, 432B, 434, 434B, 436, 436B and 440 Roosevelt Avenue, and 411A-C, 415 A-C, 419 A-C, 423 A-C, 425 and 431 Ravenhill Avenue (the "Subject Site"). The Subject Site is currently occupied by a range of low-rise residential dwellings, including detached, semi-detached and three-unit dwellings.

The Subject Site will be rezoned from Residential Third Density, subzone R (R3R) to Residential Fourth Density, Subzone H (R4H) which enables the construction of low-rise apartment dwellings on the properties. The proposed rezoning would permit an increase in the number of dwelling units from 35 (existing/approved) to a maximum of 72. The proposed redevelopment is anticipated to be constructed in 2019.

The subject site is surrounded by the following:

- Byron Avenue to the north;
- Roosevelt Avenue to the east;
- Ravenhill Avenue to the south; and
- Existing residential developments/a Lawn Bowling club to the west.

A view of the subject site is provided in **Figure 1**.



Figure 1: View of the Subject Site

2.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

The rezoning will enable the construction of low-rise apartment dwellings on the Subject Site.

The proposed rezoning would permit a maximum of 72 residential units (an increase of 37 units compared to existing/approved number of units), limited surface parking and shared driveway accesses with access to Byron and Ravenhill.

The conceptual plan is provided in **Appendix A**.

3.0 SCREENING AND SCOPING

3.1 Screening Form

The City's 2017 TIA Guidelines identify three triggers for completing a TIA report, including trip generation, location, and safety. The criteria for each trigger are outlined in the City's TIA Screening Form.

The trigger results are as follows:

- Trip Generation Trigger: During peak hours, the development is expected to generate 20 to 30 vehicle trips/hour, which is equivalent to approximately 30 to 40 person trips/hour based on an adjustment factor of 1.28. The Trip Generation Trigger of 60 person trips/hour is not satisfied; further assessment is not required based on this trigger.
- Location Triggers The development is not located along a Transit Priority, Rapid Transit Route, or Spine Cycling Route; further assessment is not required based on this trigger.
- Safety Triggers Proposed driveways are within 150m of the traffic signal at Byron/Roosevelt; a TIA assessing the Design Review component only is required based on this trigger.

A copy of the TIA Screening Form is included in Appendix B.

3.2 Existing Conditions

3.2.1 Roadways

Byron Avenue is a collector road, that generally runs on an east-west alignment between Woodroffe Avenue and Holland Avenue. It extends west of Woodroffe to Richmond as a local road. It has a twolane undivided urban cross-section and an unposted regulatory speed limit of 50km/hr under the *Ontario Highway Traffic Act.* On-street parking is not permitted on the either side of Byron Avenue in the vicinity of the subject site. A loading zone is located on the north side of Byron, opposite the subject site.

Roosevelt Avenue is a local roadway that generally runs on a north-south alignment between the Transitway and Cole Avenue. In the vicinity of the subject site, it has a two-lane undivided urban cross-section and a regulatory speed limit of 40km/hr. On-street parking is permitted on the west side in the vicinity of the subject site.

Ravenhill Avenue is a local roadway that generally runs in an east-west alignment between Golden Avenue and Churchill Avenue. It is discontinuous west of Melbourne Avenue. Adjacent to the site, it has a two-lane undivided rural cross-section and an unposted regulatory speed limit of 50km/hr. Onstreet parking is permitted on both the north and south sides in the vicinity of the subject site.

3.2.2 Intersections

Byron Avenue/Roosevelt Avenue

- Signalized intersection
- Eastbound/Westbound: one shared through/right/left turn lane
- Northbound/Southbound: one shared through/right/left turn lane

Ravenhill Avenue/Roosevelt Avenue

- Yield controlled intersection
- Eastbound/Westbound: one shared through/right/ left turn lane under yield control
- Northbound/Southbound: one shared through/right/left turn lane under free flow



In accordance with the City's 2017 TIA guidelines, a review of adjacent driveways along the boundary roads are provided as follows:

Byron, North Side:

 Driveway to commercial/residential development at 410 Richmond Road

Roosevelt, East Side:

- Driveways to residential dwellings at 431,433 and 439 Roosevelt
- Driveway to residential dwelling at 397 Ravenhill

Byron, South Side:

• Driveways to residential dwellings at 586, 582, 580, and 578 Byron

Roosevelt, West Side:

• Not applicable





Ravenhill, North Side:

- Driveways to residential dwellings at 431 and 435 Ravenhill
- Driveway to residential dwelling at 439 Golden Avenue

Ravenhill, South Side:

- Driveways to residential dwellings at 432, 436 and 438 Ravenhill
- Driveway to residential dwelling at 451
 Cole Avenue
- Driveway to residential dwelling at 453 Golden Avenue
- Driveway to residential dwelling at 450 Roosevelt

3.2.4 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities

Sidewalks are provided on both sides of Byron Avenue (concrete on the south side; units pavers on the north side). An asphalt sidewalk is provided on the west side of Roosevelt Avenue. There are no existing sidewalks on Ravenhill Avenue.

Byron Avenue and Roosevelt Avenue are classified as local cycling routes in the City's Ultimate Cycling Network. No dedicated cycling facilities are provided along any of the streets adjacent to the subject site.

Byron Avenue has an eastbound bike lane from Roosevelt Avenue to Churchill Avenue, east of the site.

3.2.5 Transit

The nearest bus stops include OC Transpo bus stops #2436 and #7406 at the southeast and northeast corners of Richmond/Roosevelt which serve route 11 and bus stops #7538 and #7539 at the southeast and southwest corners of Byron/Churchill which serve route 50. The aforementioned bus stop locations are shown in **Figure 2**.

Trans and a construction of the trans of the

Figure 2: OC Transpo Bus Stop Locations

OC Transpo route 11 is a frequent route that travels between the Bayshore transit station and the Rideau transit station. This route operates every 15 minutes on weekdays between 8:00am and 8:00pm. This bus route operates seven days a week. OC Transpo route 50 travels between Tunney's Pasture transit station and Lincoln Fields transit station. This route operates every 15 minutes between 7:00am and 9:00am and every 30 minutes between 9:00am and 9:00pm on weekdays. This bus route operates every day, with the exception of Sundays.

The site is located 500m (approximately 6-minute walk) from Dominion Transit Station, with access to approximately two dozen transit routes. The aforementioned travel route between Dominion Station and the subject site is shown in **Figure 3**.



Figure 3: Travel Route between Dominion Station and the Subject Site

3.2.6 Existing Traffic Volumes

Weekday traffic counts completed by the City of Ottawa were used to determine the existing pedestrian, cyclist and vehicular traffic volumes at the study area intersections. The traffic counts were completed on the following dates:

- Byron Avenue/Roosevelt Avenue
- Ravenhill Avenue/Roosevelt Avenue

June 01, 2017 August 08, 2008 There are no recent traffic counts conducted for the intersection of Ravenhill/Roosevelt. However, considering the short length of Ravenhill Avenue, the dead end to the east and the number of homes has not changed significantly in the past 10 years, it can be assumed that the traffic volumes on Ravenhill have not significantly changed and therefore the 2008 data can be referenced. Peak hour summary sheets of the above traffic counts are included in **Appendix C**. Existing weekday AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study area intersections are shown in **Figure 4**.



Figure 4: Existing Traffic Volumes

3.2.7 Collision Records

Historical collision data from the last five years was obtained from the City's Public Works and Service Department for the study area intersections. Copies of the collision summary reports are included in **Appendix D**.

The collision data has been evaluated to determine if there are any identifiable collision patterns. The following **Table 1** summarizes the number of collisions at each intersection and roadway segment from January 1, 2011 to January 1, 2016.

Table 1: Reported Collisions

Intersection	Number of Reported Collisions				
Byron/Roosevelt	23				
Roosevelt/Ravenhill	No available data				

<u>Byron/Roosevelt</u>

A total of 23 collisions were reported at the Byron Avenue/Roosevelt Avenue intersection over the last five years. Twenty-one were angle impacts and two were turning movement impacts. Six of the collisions caused personal injuries, but none caused fatalities.

Twelve of the twenty-one angle impacts occurred with vehicles travelling in the southbound and eastbound direction. Sight lines may have been a factor in these two directions.

The two turning movement impacts involved cyclists.

A pedestrian signal was installed at the intersection of Byron and Roosevelt on the west leg between 2010-2011. Pedestrian activated signals were provided for vehicles along Byron, while stop controls were provided for drivers on Roosevelt. Danforth Avenue and Byron Place connect into Roosevelt on either side of the intersection. From January 1, 2011 to January 1, 2015 twenty-one collisions occurred. The overall collision data during this time equates to approximately five collisions per year.

Due to the high number of collisions, City staff installed a full, four-way traffic signal in 2015. Existing shrubs and trees were removed from the southwest corner to improve sight lines. Since the installation of the traffic signal, collisions have reduced. From January 2, 2015 to January 1, 2016 two collisions occurred.

Roosevelt/Ravenhill

Data was not available for this intersection.

3.3 Planned Conditions

The City of Ottawa's 2013 TMP does not identify any roadway or transit projects along the adjacent streets within its Affordable Road Network and Affordable Rapid Transit and Transit Priority (RTTP) Network. However, several nearby projects are planned.

Richmond Road is identified as a Transit Priority Measures Corridor under the 2031 Affordable RTTP Network. Stage 2 of the LRT extension will run from Scott Street to Bayshore Station. Dominion Station is planned to be rebuilt into a rail transit station. The station will consist of open air LRT platforms on the lower level and local bus platforms at the street level.

The 2013 Ottawa Cycling Plan identifies a major pathway connection along Byron Avenue between an existing east-west pathway west of Golden Avenue and east of Churchill Avenue.

The City of Ottawa's Byron Avenue Traffic Calming project is scheduled for implementation in 2018. The project consists of traffic calming support measures such as speed humps, mini roundabouts, raised intersections, road narrowing and corner tightening along Byron Avenue from Sherbourne Road to Island Park Drive. The design for Byron Avenue adjacent to the aforementioned properties includes a bulbout at the southeast corner of Byron/Golden (cycling ride-over design), an eastbound painted bike lane and a westbound mixed-use lane with painted bike sharrows. The existing road

width of 8.1m is to be reallocated as a 1.5m eastbound bike lane, a 3.0m eastbound travel lane and a 3.6m westbound travel lane.

3.4 Study Area and Time Periods

The study area for this report will include Byron Avenue, Roosevelt Avenue and Ravenhill Avenue, within the vicinity of the subject area. The study area includes the signalized intersection of Byron/Roosevelt and the unsignalized intersection of Roosevelt/Ravenhill.

The selected time periods for the analysis are the weekday AM and PM peak hours, as they represent the 'worst case' combination of site generated traffic and adjacent street traffic. Anticipated parking requirements will also be reviewed for the subject site. The proposed residential development is anticipated to be constructed with full occupancy of the development by 2019. The following TIA will review intersection operations for the existing and build-out condition.

3.5 Exemptions Review

As the trip generation and location triggers were not met, the Transportation Demand Management (Module 4.5), Neighbourhood Traffic Management (Module 4.6), Transit (Module 4.7), Network Concept (Module 4.8) and Network Intersections (Module 4.9) are omitted from the required analysis. The following modules will be included in the TIA report:

- Module 4.1 Development Design
- Module 4.2 Parking
- Module 4.3 Boundary Streets
- Module 4.4 Access Intersections

4.0 FORECASTING

4.1 Development-Generated Traffic

4.1.1 Trip Generation

Trips generated by the proposed concept plan have been estimated using the relevant peak hour rates identified in the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, 9th Edition. The trips generated by 37 new units during the weekday AM and PM peak hours are outlined in the following **Table 2**.

Table 2: ITE Trip Generation

	Code	Units/	AN	l Peak (vph	¹)	PM Peak (vph)		
Land Use		GFA	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Low-Rise Apartment	221	37	5	19	24	18	10	28

1. vph denotes vehicles per hour

The ITE trips have been converted to person trips using a factor of 1.28, consistent with the TIA guidelines. Person trips generated by the proposed units are shown in the following **Table 3**.

Land Use	Units	Peak Hour	ln (vph)	Out (vph)	Total (vph)	Person Trip Factor	In (pph¹)	Out (pph)	Total (pph)
Low-Rise	27	AM	5	19	24	x 1.28	6	24	30
Apartment	37	PM	18	10	28	\rightarrow	23	13	36

Table 3: Person Trip Generation

1. pph denotes persons per hour

The number of car trips that the proposed development will generate has been estimated by categorizing the person trips by modal share. The modal shares are based on observed percentages in the 2011 TRANS O-D Survey Report that are specific to the region referred to as the Ottawa West district. The modal share values applied to the trips generated by the proposed development are based on all observed trips within the Ottawa West district, including those with an origin or destination beyond that area. A full breakdown of the projected person trips by modal share and arrival/departure is shown in the following **Table 4**.

Table il elle concluted impossy medal enale										
Trevel Mede				ΑΜ Ρε	ak	PM Peak				
	Share	IN	OUT	TOTAL	IN	OUT	TOTAL			
	Total Person Trips		6	24	30	23	13	36		
Proposed	Auto Driver	40%	2	10	12	9	5	14		
Units (37)	Auto Passenger	13%	1	3	4	3	2	5		
	Transit	25%	2	6	8	6	3	9		
	Non-Auto	22%	1	5	6	5	3	8		

Table 4: Site-Generated Trips by Modal Share

4.1.2 Trip Distribution

The assumed distribution of trips generated by the proposed development has been derived from existing traffic patterns on the study area roadways. Trips generated by the proposed development will be distributed to the road network as follows:

- 15% to/from the north via Roosevelt Avenue
- 5% to/from the south via Roosevelt Avenue
- 55% to/from the east via Byron Avenue
- 25% to/from the west via Byron Avenue

4.1.2 Trip Assignment

The conceptual plan (**Appendix A**) consists of three accesses along Byron Avenue, one access along Roosevelt Avenue and five accesses along Ravenhill Avenue. Due to this configuration, it is assumed that 50% of site generated trips would access from the Byron/Roosevelt intersection and 50% of site generated trips would access from the Ravenhill/Roosevelt intersection.

Site generated traffic volumes from the proposed (37) units are shown in **Figure 5** for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.



Figure 5: Proposed Site Generated Traffic Volumes

4.2 **Background Traffic**

No historical counts are available for the intersection of Byron and Roosevelt; therefore, growth rate cannot be determined by comparing traffic counts from previous years. Additionally, based on a review of 2031 and 2011 snapshots from the City's long-range transportation planning model for the study area roads, the background growth rate can be considered negligible.

4.2.1 Other Area Development

According to the City's development application search tool, the following developments are planned within the vicinity of the subject site:

Table 5: Other Area Develo	opment Projecte	a Traffic					
Development Application		Distance	Site-Generated Projected Traffic				
Study	Proposal	from Subject Site	New In (AM)	New Out (AM)	New In (PM)	New Out (PM)	
398, 402 and 406 Roosevelt Avenue TIA Strategy Report (Parsons, 2017)	Multi-Use Development	150m NW	4	8	7	9	
335 Roosevelt Avenue TIS (Novatech, 2011)	Two High-Rise Condominium Apartment Buildings	400m NW	N/A - Site- assigned t	generated version of the second se	ehicle traffic Ave, and Ric	distribution hmond Rd.	

371 Richmond Road TB (Parsons, 2014)	Condominium Development	310m NE	N/A - Site-generated vehicle traffic distribution assigned to Scott St, Richmond Rd and Churchill Ave.
386 Richmond Road TIA	Mixed-Use	200m NE	Negligible vehicle traffic during the peak
(Parsons, 2017)	Development		hours.

Relevant excerpts for each study are attached in **Appendix F**.

The projected traffic from other area developments within the vicinity of the subject site is low. Additionally, trip distribution in many of the reports do not assign vehicle travel to/from Roosevelt Avenue, Byron Avenue, or Ravenhill Avenue. As such, the vehicle projected traffic volumes from other area developments can be considered negligible.

The total existing, approved and proposed site generated traffic volumes are shown in **Figure 6** for the weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hours.

34(37) 143(450) **BYRON** 15(27) 38(18) 1 / 289(186) -28(29) (10) 11(11) LEGEND AM Peak Hour veh/h xx PM Peak Hour veh/h Signalized Intersection Unsignalized intersection (yy) 0 4(1) (10) Ē 2(9) RAVENHILL 7(2) 5 7(6) 🗲 1 🏞 15(4) 0(1) 35(31) 0(1) ROOSEVELT

Figure 6: Total Traffic Volumes

5.0 ANALYSIS

5.1 Development Design

A review of the development design and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) – *Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist* can be conducted at the site plan stage, if required.

The walking distance from the southeast corner of the site (425 Ravenhill Avenue) to the bus stops at Richmond/Ravenhill is 260m. The walking distance from the same corner of the site to the bus stops at Byron/Churchill is 450m. As noted in section 3.2.5. the site is within 500m (approx. 6 min walk) from the Dominion Transit Station. Deliveries and municipal services including garbage collection and emergency vehicles will be accommodated curbside.

5.2 Parking

The subject site is located in Area X (Inner Urban) of Schedule 1A to the City of Ottawa's *Zoning By-law* (ZBL). For a low-rise apartment building with less than 12 units, no vehicle parking, or visitor parking is required. The minimum bicycle parking space rate is 0.50 per dwelling unit.

Based on the proposed conceptual four-plex units, no on-site parking is required for each property. Each four-plex requires 2 bicycle parking spaces.

5.3 Boundary Streets

This section provides a review of the boundary streets using complete streets principles. The Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) guidelines produced by IBI Group in October 2015 were used to evaluate the LOS of all roadway segments for pedestrian, bicycle and auto modes of transportation. Transit and truck modes have not been evaluated as none of the study area roads has bus or truck routes. Schedule B of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan indicates all roadway segments are located in the General Urban Area.

5.3.1 Pedestrian Level of Service (PLOS)

Exhibits 4, 5 and 6 of the MMLOS guidelines have been used to evaluate the existing segment and intersection PLOS within the project limits. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS guidelines suggest a target PLOS C for collector and local roads in the General Urban Areas.

The results of the segment PLOS analysis are summarized in Table 6:

Sidewalk Width	Boulevard Width	Motor Vehicle AADT	Presence of On-Street Parking	Operating Speed ¹	Segment PLOS
Byron Avenue	(Golden Avenu	e to Roosevelt	Avenue)		
2.0m	None	> 3000 vpd	No	50km/hr	С
		Byronk			

Table 6: PLOS Segment Analysis

Sidewalk Width	Boulevard Width	Motor Vehicle AADT	Presence of On-Street Parking	Operating Speed ¹	Segment PLOS							
Roosevelt Ave	Roosevelt Avenue (Byron Avenue to Ravenhill Avenue)											
1.5m	> 2m	< 3000 vpd	N/A	40km/hr	С							
			Roosen									
Ravenhill Ave	nue (Golden Av	enue to Roosev	elt Avenue)									
No sidewalk		N/A		50km/hr	F							

5.3.2 Bicycle Level of Service (BLOS)

Exhibit 11 of the MMLOS guidelines has been used to evaluate the existing segment BLOS within the project limits. BLOS for future conditions with the Byron Avenue Traffic Calming project has also been evaluated as bicycle facilities will be added in 2018. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS guidelines suggests a target BLOS B for local and collector roads with local cycling routes and BLOS D for elsewhere in the General Urban Area.

The results of the segment BLOS analysis are shown in Table 7.

Condition	Type of Bikeway	Travel Lanes and/or Speed	LOS
Byron Aven	ue (Golden Avenue to Roosevel	t Avenue)	
Existing	Mixed Traffic	2 to 3 travel lanes; 50km/h	D
Future	Bike Lanes Not Adjacent to Parking Lane	1.5m wide bike lane	В
Roosevelt A	venue (Byron Avenue to Raven	hill Avenue)	
Existing	Mixed Traffic	2 travel lanes; 40km/h; no marked centerline or classified as residential	А
Ravenhill Av	venue (Golden Avenue to Roose	evelt Avenue)	
Existing	Mixed Traffic	2 travel lanes; 50km/h; no marked centerline or classified as residential	В

Table 7: BLOS Segment Analysis

5.3.3 Vehicular Level of Service (Auto-LOS)

The vehicular LOS analysis has been completed in accordance with the City's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines.

Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggests that the minimum desirable Auto-LOS target is LOS D for collector and local roads in the General Urban Area.

Lane capacity of a two-way collector and a local roadway with on-street parking and at-grade intersections is estimated at 600 vph per lane and 400 vph per lane, respectively. Traffic counts at the Byron/Roosevelt and Ravenhill/Roosevelt and intersections were used to estimate peak hour traffic along the three roadway segments.

Results of the segment Auto-LOS analysis are summarized in Table 8.

Road		AM Peak	(PM Peak						
Segment	Volume (vph)	Capacity (vph)	Capacity (vph) v/c		Volume (vph)	Capacity (vph)	v/c	LOS			
Byron Avenue	(Golden Ave	enue to Roo	sevelt	Avenue)							
Eastbound	333	600	0.55	А	212	600	0.35	А			
Westbound	191	600	0.32	A	509	600	0.85	D			
Roosevelt Aver	nue (Byron /	Avenue to R	Ravenhi	ill Avenu	e)						
Northbound	53	400	0.13	A	52	400	0.13	А			
Southbound	88	400	0.22	А	96	400	0.24	А			
Ravenhill Aven	ue (Golden	Avenue to F	Roosev	elt Aven	ue)						
Eastbound	27	400	0.07	А	10	400	0.03	А			
Westbound	13	400	0.03	A	12	400	0.03	A			

Table 8: Auto-LOS Segment Analysis - Existing Conditions

5.3.4 MMLOS Summary

A summary of the results of the existing segment MMLOS analysis is provided in **Table 9**.

Table et E/		ee eannar j				
Segments		Byron – Golden to Roosevelt	Roosevelt – Byron to Ravenhill	Ravenhill – Golden to Roosevelt		
Pedestrian	Sidewalk Width	2.0m	1.5m	N/A		
	Boulevard Width	N/A	> 2.0m	N/A		
AADT On-Street Parking		>3000	≤3000	N/A		
		no	N/A	yes		
	Operating Speed	>30km/h to 50km/h	40km/h	50km/h		
	Level of Service	С	С	F		
Cyclist	Number of Travel Lanes (per direction)	2	2	2		
	Type of Bikeway	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic		
	Operating Speed	50km/h	40km/h	40km/h		
	Level of Service	D	Α	В		
Auto	Level of Service	D	Α	Α		

Table 9: Existing Segments MMLOS Summary

Byron Avenue from Golden Avenue to Roosevelt Avenue currently meets the target PLOS and Auto-LOS, however fails to meet the target BLOS for a local cycling route in the General Urban Area based on the existing conditions. The City plans to implement additional bicycle facilities in the summer of 2018. The planned improvements for Byron Avenue include a 1.5m wide eastbound bike lane, bringing the BLOS to B for the eastbound lane, which meets the target. The westbound lane will consist of a mixed-use lane with painted bike sharrows and the BLOS will not change.

Roosevelt Avenue from Byron Avenue to Ravenhill Avenue meets the target PLOS, BLOS and Auto-LOS for the General Urban Area.

Ravenhill Avenue from Golden Avenue to Roosevelt Avenue meets the target BLOS and Auto-LOS, however does not meet the target PLOS in the General Urban Area. If the City wishes to address this deficiency, they could consider re-design for an operating speed of 30km/h or less, or the installation of sidewalks to provide an acceptable PLOS of C. This section of Ravenhill has a ROW width of 16m, a paved surface of 8.5m and a rural cross section. Above-ground hydro is located on the north side of the road allowance, approximately 1m from the edge of pavement.

5.4 Access Intersections Design

The conceptual plan consists of 9 accesses, which includes three on Byron Avenue, one on Roosevelt Avenue and five on Ravenhill Avenue. For simplicity, one access on Byron Avenue (the roadway with highest existing volume of traffic) was modeled using the Synchro 10 software package to evaluate the total site-generated Auto-LOS. The following analysis is based on the City's vehicular LOS criteria.

The access intersection analysis has been completed using 2017 traffic count data from the intersection of Byron Avenue and Roosevelt Avenue. Byron Avenue is considered free-flow conditions and the access is considered a stop-controlled condition. The intersection parameters

used in the analysis are consistent with the TIA guidelines (saturated flow rate: 1800vphpl, PHF: 1.0).

The results of the access intersection Auto-LOS analysis are summarized in **Table 10** for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in **Appendix E**.

Table 10: Auto-LOS Analysis - Total

Intersection AM Peak PM Peak Max V/C LOS Movement Max V/C LOS Byron Avenue/Access 0.20 B EBT/R 0.13 B	ak					
intersection	Max V/C	LOS	Movement	Max V/C	LOS	Movement
Byron Avenue/Access	0.20	В	EBT/R	0.13	В	EBT/R

The access intersection operates with LOS B during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, meeting the target Auto-LOS for the General Urban Area. Based on the lower traffic volumes along Roosevelt Avenue and Ravenhill Avenue, it is assumed that the access intersections along these roadways will operate with the same Auto-LOS, or better than Byron Avenue. The proposed concept essentially results in the same number of driveways as the existing condition with an additional 1-2 vehicle trips at each driveway during the peak hours. No significant impact is anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the foregoing, the conclusions and recommendations of this TIA can be summarized as follows:

- Twelve of the twenty-one angle impacts at the Byron/Roosevelt intersection occurred with vehicles travelling in the southbound and eastbound direction. Sight lines may have been a factor in these two directions.
- Full traffic signal installed in 2015 at Byron/Roosevelt and trees were removed to improve sightlines.
- A review of the development design and Transportation Demand Management (TDM) *Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist* can be conducted at the site plan stage, if required.
- Based on the proposed conceptual four-plex units, no on-site parking is required for each property. Each four-plex requires 2 bicycle parking spaces
- Based on the results of the segment multi-modal level of service (MMLOS) analysis, Byron, Roosevelt and Ravenhill meet the minimum desirable Auto-LOS target LOS D for collector and local roads in the General Urban Area.
- Based on the results of the segment multi-modal level of service (MMLOS) analysis, Byron and Roosevelt meet the target pedestrian level of service (PLOS), however Ravenhill Avenue did not. If the City wishes to address this deficiency, they could consider re-design for an operating speed of 30km/h or less, or the installation of sidewalks to provide an acceptable PLOS of C. This section of Ravenhill has a ROW width of 16m, a paved surface of 8.5m and a rural cross section. Above-ground hydro is located on the north side of the road allowance, approximately 1m from the edge of pavement.

- Based on the results of the segment multi-modal level of service (MMLOS) analysis, Roosevelt
 and Ravenhill meet the target bicycle level of service (BLOS), however Byron does not meet the
 target BLOS for a local cycling route in the General Urban Area based on existing conditions.
 The City plans to implement bicycle facilities in the summer of 2018. The planned improvements
 for Byron Avenue includes a 1.5m wide eastbound bike lane, bringing the BLOS to B for the
 eastbound lane, which meets the target. The westbound lane will continue to remain as a mixeduse lane with painted bike sharrows and the BLOS will not change.
- Under the total traffic conditions, the access intersections are anticipated to operate with a LOS B or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours, meeting the target for the General Urban Area.

NOVATECH Prepared by:

Kristyn Boehme, E.I.T. Engineering Intern

Reviewed By:

Jennifer Lerong

Jennifer Luong, P.Eng. Senior Project Manager | Transportation/Traffic

APPENDIX A

Conceptual Plan





APPENDIX B

TIA Screening Form



Transportation Impact Assessment Screening Form

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines Screening Form

1. Description of Proposed De	velopment
Municipal Address	576, 570, 566 and 436 Byron Avenue, 425,419,417,415 and 411 Ravenhill Avenue, and 440 Roosevelt Avenue
Description of Location	Eastern portion of the lots surrounded by Byron Ave, Roosevelt Ave, Ravenhill Ave and Golden Ave
Land Use Classification	Apartments
Development Size (units)	30
Development Size (m ²)	4925
Number of Accesses and Locations	TBD
Phase of Development	
Buildout Year	2019

If available, please attach a sketch of the development or site plan to this form.

2. Trip Generation Trigger

Considering the Development's Land Use type and Size (as filled out in the previous section), please refer to the Trip Generation Trigger checks below.

Land Use Type	Minimum Development Size
Single-family homes	40 units
Townhomes or apartments	90 units
Office	3,500 m ²
Industrial	5,000 m ²
Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop	100 m ²
Destination retail	1,000 m ²
Gas station or convenience market	75 m²

* If the development has a land use type other than what is presented in the table above, estimates of person-trip generation may be made based on average trip generation characteristics represented in the current edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual.

If the proposed development size is greater than the sizes identified above, <u>the Trip Generation</u> <u>Trigger is satisfied.</u>



3. Location Triggers

	Yes	No
Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit or Spine Bicycle Networks?		\checkmark
Is the development in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit-oriented Development (TOD) zone?*		\checkmark

*DPA and TOD are identified in the City of Ottawa Official Plan (DPA in Section 2.5.1 and Schedules A and B; TOD in Annex 6). See Chapter 4 for a list of City of Ottawa Planning and Engineering documents that support the completion of TIA).

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Location Trigger is satisfied.

4. Safety Triggers

	Yes	No
Are posted speed limits on a boundary street are 80 km/hr or greater?		\checkmark
Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway?		✓
Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions)?	\checkmark	
Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection?		\checkmark
Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site?		\checkmark
Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development?		✓
Does the development include a drive-thru facility?		\checkmark

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Safety Trigger is satisfied.

5. Summary

	Yes	No
Does the development satisfy the Trip Generation Trigger?		\checkmark
Does the development satisfy the Location Trigger?		\checkmark

APPENDIX C

Traffic Count Data



37081

Turning Movement Count - 15 Minute Summary Report

						B١	(RO	ΝA	VE	@ R	003	SEVE	ELT	AVI	Ε					
Sur	vey Da	ate:		Thurs	sday, J	lune (01, 20	17			Fotal	Obser	ved	U-Turr	าร					
									N	orthbou	nd: ())	So	outhbour	nd: ()				
			F	2005	EVEI -	ΓΔ\/F	=		Ľ	asiboui	iu. ()	BYR		ια. (/F)				
		N	orthboi	und		So	- uthbour	nd			Fas	stbound			We	stbound	1			
Time	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	Е ТОТ	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	4	5	2	16	0	18	0	11	2	13	31	36
07:15	07:30	0	4	2	6	0	4	2	6	12	1	27	0	28	5	19	3	27	55	67
07:30	07:45	0	4	2	6	4	5	1	10	16	0	48	1	49	0	20	6	26	75	91
07:45	08:00	3	6	2	11	3	4	7	14	25	5	72	3	80	3	39	8	50	130	155
08:00	08:15	2	6	2	10	6	7	4	17	27	9	69	4	82	2	24	7	33	115	142
08:15	08:30	0	7	11	18	11	5	3	19	37	7	74	3	84	2	27	11	40	124	161
08:30	08:45	1	6	5	12	13	3	4	20	32	14	83	5	102	3	32	8	43	145	177
08:45	09:00	0	9	4	13	11	10	5	26	39	6	66	1	73	6	51	5	62	135	174
09:00	09:15	3	5	2	10	12	6	5	23	33	9	63	2	74	3	33	10	46	120	153
09:15	09:30	1	9	2	12	7	6	3	16	28	6	46	3	55	7	34	6	47	102	130
09:30	09:45	1	5	3	9	7	5	3	15	24	6	31	2	39	3	31	9	43	82	106
09:45	10:00	0	1	5	6	7	2	4	13	19	4	49	2	55	2	36	7	45	100	119
11:30	11:45	1	6	5	12	9	3	6	18	30	2	44	7	53	4	39	6	49	102	132
11:45	12:00	2	7	3	12	19	7	7	33	45	5	32	0	37	8	51	8	67	104	149
12:00	12:15	3	8	8	19	17	5	7	29	48	4	47	4	55	5	45	8	58	113	161
12:15	12:30	0	5	3	8	9	5	12	26	34	5	40	1	46	9	43	8	60	106	140
12:30	12:45	3	9	3	15	11	3	14	28	43	4	53	4	61	7	44	9	60	121	164
12:45	13:00	1	7	2	10	16	5	11	32	42	10	42	3	55	1	50	10	61	116	158
13:00	13:15	2	9	2	13	13	4	6	23	36	4	38	3	45	2	46	11	59	104	140
13:15	13:30	0	5	4	9	13	3	10	26	35	13	31	2	46	0	48	12	60	106	141
15:00	15:15	2	10	4	16	12	10	7	29	45	5	36	6	47	2	66	2	70	117	162
15:15	15:30	3	3	5	11	13	9	7	29	40	2	53	3	58	1	57	11	69	127	167
15:30	15:45	1	4	4	9	9	10	6	25	34	2	56	0	58	5	65	7	77	135	169
15:45	16:00	5	11	2	18	10	6	11	27	45	4	54	3	61	5	73	9	87	148	193
16:00	16:15	2	4	5	11	17	10	7	34	45	7	59	5	71	3	99	7	109	180	225
16:15	16:30	3	5	5	13	15	6	9	30	43	4	42	1	47	5	102	8	115	162	205
16:30	16:45	3	7	2	12	7	4	9	20	32	4	43	2	49	5	103	8	116	165	197
16:45	17:00	2	6	3	11	5	7	7	19	30	4	44	4	52	10	108	11	129	181	211
17:00	17:15	4	7	4	15	14	7	10	31	46	4	50	2	56	3	120	10	133	189	235
17:15	17:30	0	9	5	14	7	7	12	26	40	6	47	2	55	7	116	8	131	186	226
17:30	17:45	3	4	6	13	7	5	2	14	27	6	35	1	42	6	103	10	119	161	188
17:45	18:00	1	11	3	15	12	6	9	27	42	8	45	1	54	1	89	14	104	158	200
ΤΟΤΑΙ	:	52	200	118	370	319	179	211	709	1079	172	1535	80	1787	125	1824	25	9 220	08 3995	5074
Note: L	J-Turns	are i	nclude	d in To	otals.					(Comme	ent:								

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Turning Movement Count - Cyclist Volume Report

Work Order

37081

BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Count Dat	e: Thursday,	June 01, 2017				Start Time:	07:00
	R	OOSEVELT AV	E		BYRON AVE		
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	10	9	19	18	6	24	43
08:00 09:00	22	19	41	8	7	15	56
09:00 10:00	6	8	14	9	8	17	31
11:30 12:30	5	8	13	0	6	6	19
12:30 13:30	1	10	11	3	3	6	17
15:00 16:00	25	19	44	4	9	13	57
16:00 17:00	6	28	34	8	13	21	55
17:00 18:00	1	25	26	7	10	17	43
Total	76	126	202	57	62	119	321

Comment:

Note: These volumes consists of bicycles only (no mopeds or motorcycles) and ARE NOT included in the Turning Movement Count Summary.



BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE





Turning Movement Count - Heavy Vehicle Report

BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Survey Date:

Thursday, June 01, 2017

			ROO	SEVE	ELT A	VE					BYRON AVE									
		Northb	ound		ę	Southb	ound				Eastbo	ound		1	Westbo	ound				
Time	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	08:00	0	3	0	3	0	2	2	4	7	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	10
08:00	09:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	4	0	6	0	3	1	4	10	11
09:00	10:00	1	0	0	1	1	0	2	3	4	0	4	0	4	0	5	0	5	9	13
11:30	12:30	0	1	0	1	1	1	0	2	3	0	1	0	1	0	2	0	2	3	6
12:30	13:30	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	2	4	0	6	0	4	0	4	10	12
15:00	16:00	1	2	0	3	0	1	0	1	4	0	5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	10
16:00	17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	4
17:00	18:00	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	6
Sub	Total	2	7	1	10	4	5	4	13	23	4	26	0	30	0	18	1	19	49	72
U-Turi	ns (Heav	/y Veł	nicles)		0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Тс	otal	2	7	1	0	4	5	4	13	23	4	26	0	30	0	18	1	19	49	72



Work Order

37081

Turning Movement Count - Pedestrian Volume Report

BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Count Dat	<mark>e:</mark> Thursday, Ju	une 01, 2017				Start Time:	07:00
Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	2	0	2	2	0	2	4
07:15 07:30	3	1	4	1	1	2	6
07:30 07:45	1	6	7	6	2	8	15
07:45 08:00	8	1	9	12	1	13	22
07:00 08:00	14	8	22	21	4	25	47
08:00 08:15	5	5	10	9	3	12	22
08:15 08:30	6	2	8	7	1	8	16
08:30 08:45	6	13	19	10	7	17	36
08:45 09:00	8	2	10	8	5	13	23
08:00 09:00	25	22	47	34	16	50	97
09:00 09:15	4	9	13	6	8	14	27
09:15 09:30	3	8	11	6	3	9	20
09:30 09:45	6	1	7	8	5	13	20
09:45 10:00	2	4	6	7	4	11	17
09:00 10:00	15	22	37	27	20	47	84
11:30 11:45	0	4	4	8	3	11	15
11:45 12:00	3	9	12	12	7	19	31
12:00 12:15	1	5	6	11	8	19	25
12:15 12:30	1	4	5	6	2	8	13
11:30 12:30	5	22	27	37	20	57	84
12:30 12:45	2	3	5	9	3	12	17
12:45 13:00	7	5	12	10	5	15	27
13:00 13:15	2	4	6	8	5	13	19
13:15 13:30	0	5	5	9	4	13	18
12:30 13:30	11	17	28	36	17	53	81
15:00 15:15	7	2	9	13	1	14	23
15:15 15:30	6	6	12	9	2	11	23
15:30 15:45	2	2	4	12	12	24	28
15:45 16:00	3	7	10	15	4	19	29
15:00 16:00	18	17	35	49	19	68	103
16:00 16:15	8	4	12	11	13	24	36
16:15 16:30	4	5	9	11	7	18	27
16:30 16:45	4	1	5	10	0	10	15
16:45 17:00	5	7	12	17	14	31	43
16:00 17:00	21	17	38	49	34	83	121
17:00 17:15	2	7	9	17	16	33	42
17:15 17:30	1	3	4	5	11	16	20
17:30 17:45	1	5	6	13	3	16	22
17:45 18:00	3	2	5	3	2	5	10
17:00 18:00	7	17	24	38	32	70	94
Total	116	142	258	291	162	453	711

Comment:



37081

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Summary Report

BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Survey Da	ate: -	Thurso	lay, Ju	une 01	, 2017			Total Observed U-Turns										T Fact	or
							l	Northbou	und: 0		Sout	hbound:	0				.90		
								Eastbou	nd: 0		Wes	tbound:	0						
								F	ull Stu	udy									
			ROC	DSEVE	ELT AV	/E						В	YRON	AVE					
_	١	orthb	ound		S	Southb	ound		_		Eastbo	ound			Westb	ound			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grano Tota
07:00 08:00	3	15	6	24	10	13	11	34	58	8	163	4	175	8	89	19	116	291	349
08:00 09:00	3	28	22	53	41	25	16	82	135	36	292	13	341	13	134	31	178	519	654
09:00 10:00	5	20	12	37	33	19	15	67	104	25	189	9	223	15	134	32	181	404	508
11:30 12:30	6	26	19	51	54	20	32	106	157	16	163	12	191	26	178	30	234	425	582
12:30 13:30	6	30	11	47	53	15	41	109	156	31	164	12	207	10	188	42	240	447	603
15:00 16:00	11	28	15	54	44	35	31	110	164	13	199	12	224	13	261	29	303	527	691
16:00 17:00	10	22	15	47	44	27	32	103	150	19	188	12	219	23	412	34	469	688	838
17:00 18:00	8	31	18	57	40	25	33	98	155	24	177	6	207	17	428	42	487	694	849
Sub Total	52	200	118	370	319	179	211	709	1079	172	1535	80	1787	125	1824	259	2208	3995	5074
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	52	200	118	370	319	179	211	709	1079	172	1535	80	1787	125	1824	259	2208	3995	5074
EQ 12Hr	72	278	164	514	443	249	293	986	1500	239	2134	111	2484	174	2535	360	3069	5553	7053
Note: These v	alues a	re calcu	lated by	y multipl	ying the	totals b	y the ap	opropriat	e expans	sion fac	tor.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	65	250	148	463	399	224	264	887	1350	215	1920	100	2236	156	2282	324	2762	4998	6348
Note: These v	olumes	are calo	culated	by multi	plying th	ne Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. tota	ls by the	AADT	factor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	85	328	193	606	523	293	346	1162	1768	282	2516	131	2929	205	2989	424	3618	6547	8315
Note: These v	olumes	are calo	culated	by multi	plying th	ne Avera	age Dail	y 12 hr. i	totals by	12 to 2	4 expan	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Comments:

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE





Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE





Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE





Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE





Work Order 37081

Turning Movement Count - 15 Min U-Turn Total Report

BYRON AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Survey Date:	TI	hursday, June 01	, 2017			
Time Pe	riod	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	1	0	0	0	0	0



25214

Turning Movement Count - 15 Minute Summary Report

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Sur	vey D	ate:		Frida	iy, Aug	just C	8, 200	Total Observed U-Turns												
	-								Ν	orthbou	nd: ()	So	outhbou	nd: 0					
									E	astbour	nd: ()	W	estbour	nd: 0					
		N	lorthbou	und		So	uthbour	nd			Eas	stbound	ł		Wes	stbound	ł			
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	Е ТОТ	LT	ST	RT	w тот	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	7	0	7	0	5	0	5	12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12
07:15	07:30	0	11	0	11	0	16	0	16	27	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	4	6	33
07:30	07:45	0	10	0	10	0	14	0	14	24	0	2	7	9	1	1	1	3	12	36
07:45	08:00	0	7	0	7	0	8	0	8	15	2	11	3	16	2	1	3	6	22	37
08:00	08:15	0	2	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
08:15	08:30	3	6	0	9	0	4	2	6	15	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	17
08:30	08:45	0	13	1	14	0	7	1	8	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	23
08:45	09:00	0	11	0	11	0	4	0	4	15	1	0	2	3	0	0	1	1	4	19
09:00	09:15	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	6	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	8
09:15	09:30	1	6	0	7	0	3	0	3	10	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	0	4	14
09:30	09:45	0	1	0	1	0	2	1	3	4	1	2	2	5	0	0	0	0	5	9
09:45	10:00	0	5	0	5	1	4	0	5	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	10
11:30	11:45	1	10	0	11	1	13	0	14	25	0	1	0	1	1	0	2	3	4	29
11:45	12:00	1	4	0	5	1	12	2	15	20	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	3	23
12:00	12:15	1	8	1	10	0	11	1	12	22	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	24
12:15	12:30	1	16	0	17	0	7	3	10	27	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	28
12:30	12:45	7	17	8	32	0	13	1	14	46	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	49
12:45	13:00	0	15	0	15	0	15	2	17	32	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	33
13:00	13:15	2	8	0	10	0	10	5	15	25	0	2	2	4	3	0	0	3	7	32
13:15	13:30	1	4	0	5	0	8	1	9	14	3	0	2	5	0	0	2	2	7	21
15:00	15:15	0	5	1	6	0	11	4	15	21	1	3	0	4	2	9	1	12	16	37
15:15	15:30	0	2	0	2	0	7	0	7	9	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	10
15:30	15:45	1	12	0	13	1	5	0	6	19	3	0	1	4	0	0	0	0	4	23
15:45	16:00	0	12	0	12	0	12	2	14	26	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	27
16:00	16:15	0	14	0	14	2	8	0	10	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24
16:15	16:30	1	9	0	10	0	5	2	7	17	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	18
16:30	16:45	0	8	1	9	0	5	1	6	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15
16:45	17:00	0	6	0	6	0	4	0	4	10	2	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	3	13
17:00	17:15	1	10	1	12	0	5	2	7	19	0	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	3	22
17:15	17:30	1	4	0	5	0	9	1	10	15	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	1	2	17
17:30	17:45	0	5	1	6	1	8	1	10	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	16
17:45	18:00	0	5	0	5	0	12	0	12	17	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	1	3	20
TOTAL		22	256	14	292	7	251	32	290	582	18	26	31	75	15	13	17	· 4	5 120	702

Comment:

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Turning Movement Count - Cyclist Volume Report

Work Order 25214

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Count Date: Friday, August 08, 2008

Start Time: 07:00

Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 09:00	4	8	12	0	0	0	12
09:00 10:00	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
11:30 12:30	2	4	6	0	0	0	6
12:30 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 16:00	2	4	6	1	1	2	8
16:00 17:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
17:00 18:00	5	9	14	0	0	0	14
Total	15	26	41	1	1	2	43

Comment:

Note: These volumes consists of bicycles only (no mopeds or motorcycles) and ARE NOT included in the Turning Movement Count Summary.



Turning Movement Count - Full Study Diagram

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE





Turning Movement Count - Heavy Vehicle Report

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Survey Date: Friday

Friday, August 08, 2008

	1	Northb	ound		ę	Southb	ound				Eastb	ound	Westbou			ound				
Time Pe	eriod	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 0	00:80	0	1	0	1	0	8	0	8	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9
08:00 0	9:00	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
09:00 1	0:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	2	2
11:30 1	2:30	0	5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6
12:30 1	3:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
15:00 1	6:00	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
16:00 1	7:00	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
17:00 1	8:00	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
Sub To	otal	0	8	0	8	0	13	2	15	23	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	26
U-Turns	(Heav	y Veh	nicles)		0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	I	0	8	0	0	0	13	2	15	23	1	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	3	26
Heavy Vel	hicles	includ	e Buse	s, Sing	le-Unit	Trucks	and Ar	ticulate	ed Truck	ks. Furt	her, the	y ARE	include	ed in the	Turnin	g Move	ment (Count S	ummary.	



Work Order

25214

Turning Movement Count - Pedestrian Volume Report

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Count Dat	<mark>e:</mark> Friday, Augu	ıst 08, 2008				Start Time:	07:00
Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	2	4	6	6
07:15 07:30	0	4	4	0	8	8	12
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:00 08:00	0	4	4	2	12	14	18
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	3	1	4	4
08:15 08:30	2	0	2	7	2	9	11
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	1	3	4	4
08:00 09:00	2	0	2	14	6	20	22
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	2	2	1	1	2	4
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	4	0	4	0	2	2	6
09:00 10:00	4	2	6	1	3	4	10
11:30 11:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
11:45 12:00	1	0	1	6	0	6	7
12:00 12:15	0	1	1	4	2	6	7
12:15 12:30	0	1	1	4	0	4	5
11:30 12:30	2	2	4	14	2	16	20
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	2	2	4	4
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	3	0	3	3
13:00 13:15	0	4	4	4	1	5	9
13:15 13:30	1	1	2	0	2	2	4
12:30 13:30	1	5	6	9	5	14	20
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	7	1	8	8
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	4	2	6	6
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	1	8	9	9
15:00 16:00	0	0	0	12	11	23	23
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	4	0	4	4
10:15 10:30	0	0	U	4	0	4	4
10:30 10:45	0	0	U	4	2	6	6
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
10:00 17:00	0	0	0	13	2	15	15
17:00 17:15	U	U	U	ঠ 7	1	4	4
17:15 17:30	U	U	U	/ _	0	(E	(F
17:30 17:45	U	0	0	5	0	5	5
17:45 18:00	2	1	3	U	1	1	4
17:00 18:00	2	1	3	15	۷	1/	20
I otal	11	14	25	80	43	123	148

Comment:



25214

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Summary Report

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Survey Date:	Friday, August 08, 2008	Tota	al Obs		AADT Factor	
		Northbound:	0	Southbound:	0	.90
		Eastbound:	0	Westbound:	0	
		Full	Study	1		

	Northbound			S	Southbo	ound				Eastbo	ound		۱	Nestbo	ound				
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	0	35	0	35	0	43	0	43	78	2	15	10	27	7	2	4	13	40	118
08:00 09:00	3	32	1	36	0	16	3	19	55	2	0	3	5	0	0	2	2	7	62
09:00 10:00	1	15	0	16	1	12	1	14	30	4	3	4	11	0	0	0	0	11	41
11:30 12:30	4	38	1	43	2	43	6	51	94	1	1	1	3	2	0	5	7	10	104
12:30 13:30	10	44	8	62	0	46	9	55	117	3	3	5	11	3	1	3	7	18	135
15:00 16:00	1	31	1	33	1	35	6	42	75	4	4	2	10	2	9	1	12	22	97
16:00 17:00	1	37	1	39	2	22	3	27	66	2	0	1	3	0	1	0	1	4	70
17:00 18:00	2	24	2	28	1	34	4	39	67	0	0	5	5	1	0	2	3	8	75
Sub Total	22	256	14	292	7	251	32	290	582	18	26	31	75	15	13	17	45	120	702
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	22	256	14	292	7	251	32	290	582	18	26	31	75	15	13	17	45	120	702
EQ 12Hr	31	356	19	406	10	349	44	403	809	25	36	43	104	21	18	24	63	167	976
Note: These v	alues ar	e calcul	ated by	multiply	ing the	totals by	y the ap	opropriate	e expansi	ion facto	or.		1	.39					
AVG 12Hr	28	320	18	365	9	314	40	363	728	23	33	39	94	19	16	21	56	150	878
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	lying th	ne Equiv	alent 12	2 hr. tota	ls by the	AADT f	actor.			90					
AVG 24Hr	36	420	23	479	11	411	52	475	954	29	43	51	123	25	21	28	74	197	1151
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	lying th	ie Avera	ge Dail	y 12 hr. t	otals by	12 to 24	expans	sion fac	tor. 1	.31					

Comments:

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.



















Work Order 25214

Turning Movement Count - 15 Min U-Turn Total Report

RAVENHILL AVE @ ROOSEVELT AVE

Survey Date:	F	Friday, August 08,	2008			
Time Pe	eriod	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
Tota	ıl	0	0	0	0	0

APPENDIX D

Collision Records



City Operations - Transportation Services Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2014 To: January 1, 2016

Location: BYRON	NAVE @ ROO	DSEVELT AVE							
Traffic Control: Tra	ffic signal						Total C	ollisions: 9	
Date/Day/Time	Environment	Impact Type	Classification	Surface Cond'n	Veh. Dir	Vehicle Manoeuve	r Vehicle type	First Event	No. Ped
2014-Jan-18, Sat,11:34	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	Wet	North	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2014-Feb-26, Wed,14:44	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injury	Dry	South	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
2014-Oct-09, Thu,12:31	Clear	Angle	Non-fatal injury	Wet	East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Cyclist	
					South	Going ahead	Bicycle	Other motor vehicle	
2014-Mar-06, Thu,16:43	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
					East	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
2014-Sep-13, Sat,13:00	Rain	Angle	Non-fatal injury	Wet	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	
					West	Going ahead	Motorcycle	Other motor vehicle	
2014-Sep-17, Wed,15:24	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	Dry	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle	

					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle
2014-Jun-24, Tue,10:58	Rain	Angle	P.D. only	Wet	South	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle
					East	Going ahead	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle
2015-Mar-22, Sun,10:47	Clear	Angle	P.D. only	Dry	North	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle
					East	Turning right	Automobile, station wagon	Other motor vehicle
2015-Sep-18, Fri,13:57	Clear	Turning movement	Non-fatal injury	Dry	West	Turning left	Bicycle	Other motor vehicle
					West	Going ahead	Automobile, station wagon	Cyclist

Collision Main Detail Summary

OnTRAC Reporting System

BYRON AVE & ROOSEVELT AVE

Former Municipality: Ottawa

1

2

& ROOSEVELT AVE							
ality: Ottawa	Traffic Control: Traff	ic signal	Num	ber of Collisions: 14	Ļ		
DATE DAY TIME F	IMPACT NV LIGHT TYPE	CLASS DIR	SURFACE COND'N	VEHICLE MANOEUVRE	VEHICLE TYPE	FIRST EVENT	No. PED
2011-04-30 Sat 14:14 C	ear Daylight Turning	Non-fatal V1 S V2 N	Dry Dry	Turning left Going ahead	Automobile, station Bicycle	Cyclist Other motor vehicle	0
2011-06-11 Sat 19:15 Ra	ain Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 W	Wet	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0

		V2 S	Wet	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	
2011-09-24 Sat 07:31 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2011-11-10 Thu 14:03 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Delivery van	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2011-11-15 Tue 12:14 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 S	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2012-02-25 Sat 09:05 Snow	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Loose snow	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Loose snow	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2012-09-30 Sun 11:44 Rain	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Wet	Turning left	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 W	Wet	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2013-01-10 Thu 00:45 Clear	Dark Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2013-05-04 Sat 13:40 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 N	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 W	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2013-08-01 Thu 16:08 Clear	Daylight Angle	Non-fatal V1 N	Dry	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
2013-09-07 Sat 12:18 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Passenger van	Other motor vehicle	
2013-11-14 Thu 22:52 Clear	Dark Angle	P.D. only V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
		V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
	2011-09-24Sat07:31Clear2011-11-10Thu14:03Clear2011-11-15Tue12:14Clear2012-02-25Sat09:05Snow2012-09-30Sun11:44Rain2013-01-10Thu00:45Clear2013-05-04Sat13:40Clear2013-08-01Thu16:08Clear2013-09-07Sat12:18Clear2013-11-14Thu22:52Clear	2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylightAngle2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylightAngle2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylightAngle2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylightAngle2012-09-30Sun11:44RainDaylightAngle2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDaylightAngle2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylightAngle2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDaylightAngle2013-09-07Sat12:18ClearDaylightAngle2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngle	V2S2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1S2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1S2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1S2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1S2012-09-30Sun11:44RainDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-09-07Sat12:18ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1N2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1S2013-11-14	V2SWet2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1EDry2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1EDry2012-09-30Sun11:44RainDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snow2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1NDry2013-09-07Sat12:18ClearDaylight AngleNon-fatalV1NDry2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDry2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1<	V2SWetTurning left2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1EDryGoing ahead2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1EDryGoing ahead2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing ahead2012-09-30Sun11:44RainDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing ahead2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1NDryGoing ahead2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1NDryGoing ahead2013-09-07Sat12:18ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1NDryGoing ahead2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead2013-11-14Thu22:52ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead2013-11	V2SWetTurning leftPick-up truck2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1EDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing aheadAutomobile, station2012-02-30Sun11:44RainDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing aheadAutomobile, station2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2013-09-07Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1NDryGoing aheadAutomobile, station2013-09-07Sat <td< td=""><td>V2SWetTurning leftPick-up truckOther motor vehicle2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2012-09-30Sun11:44RainDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SVetGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead</td></td<>	V2SWetTurning leftPick-up truckOther motor vehicle2011-09-24Sat07:31ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2011-11-10Thu14:03ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2011-11-15Tue12:14ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2012-02-25Sat09:05SnowDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2012-09-30Sun11:44RainDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SLoose snowGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-01-10Thu00:45ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SVetGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-05-04Sat13:40ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDarkAngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing aheadAutomobile, stationOther motor vehicle2013-08-01Thu16:08ClearDaylight AngleP.D. onlyV1SDryGoing ahead

(Note: Time of Day = "00:00" represents unknown collision time Friday, October 27, 2017

Page 1 of 2

FROM: 2011-01-01 TO: 2014-01-01

Collision Main Detail Summary

OnTRAC Reporting System

FROM: 2011-01-01 TO: 2014-01-01

13	2013-11-20 We 12:33 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only	V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	0
			-	V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	
14	2013-12-03 Tue 08:30 Clear	Daylight Angle	P.D. only	V1 S	Dry	Going ahead	Pick-up truck	Other motor vehicle	0
				V2 E	Dry	Going ahead	Automobile, station	Other motor vehicle	

APPENDIX E

Access Intersection Synchro Reports

	-	\mathbf{r}	4	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1.			្ឋ	M	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	333	0	1	164	0	4
Future Volume (Veh/h)	333	4	1	164	4	1
Sian Control	Free			Free	Stop	
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
Hourly flow rate (yph)	333	4	1.00	164	4	1.00
Pedestrians		•	•	101	•	•
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NUNC			NULLE		
Instream signal (m)						
nX platoon upblocked						
vC conflicting volume			337		501	335
vC1_stage 1_conf_vol			557		301	555
vC1, stage 2 confivel						
vCu, unblocked vol			337		501	335
$tC_{\rm cincle}(c)$			1 1		6.4	6.2
(C, Single (S))			4.1		0.4	0.2
tC, Z stage (s)			2.2		25	2.2
$\Gamma(S)$			100		0.0	100
pu queue liee %			100		500	707
civi capacity (ven/n)			IZZZ		529	101
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	337	165	5			
Volume Left	0	1	4			
Volume Right	4	0	1			
cSH	1700	1222	557			
Volume to Capacity	0.20	0.00	0.01			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.0	0.2			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	11.5			
Lane LOS		А	В			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	11.5			
Approach LOS			В			
Intersection Summary						
			0.1			
Average Delay	- ation		0.1	10		1 Cardes
Intersection Capacity Utiliz	zation		20.0%	IC		or Service
Analysis Period (min)			15			

	-	$\mathbf{\hat{z}}$	4	-	1	1
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	۴.			្ឋ	M	
Traffic Volume (veh/h)	212	1	4	494	0	2
Future Volume (Veh/h)	212	2	4	494	2	4
Sign Control	Free	_	·	Free	Stop	•
Grade	0%			0%	0%	
Peak Hour Factor	1.00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00	1 00
Hourly flow rate (vph)	212	2	4	494	2	4
Pedestrians	212	_		101	-	•
Lane Width (m)						
Walking Speed (m/s)						
Percent Blockage						
Right turn flare (veh)						
Median type	None			None		
Median storage veh)	NULL			NULLE		
Linstream signal (m)						
nX platoon upblocked						
vC conflicting volume			21/		715	212
vC1 stage 1 confive			214		715	215
vC1, stage 1 confive						
			21/		715	213
tC single (s)			<u> </u>		61	62
to, single (s) $t_{\rm c}$ 2 stage (c)			4.1		0.4	0.2
t = (c)			2.2		3.5	3.3
r (s)			2.Z		0.0	0.0 100
oM conceity (yeb/b)			1256		306	007
civi capacity (ven/n)			1220		290	021
Direction, Lane #	EB 1	WB 1	NB 1			
Volume Total	214	498	6			
Volume Left	0	4	2			
Volume Right	2	0	4			
cSH	1700	1356	607			
Volume to Capacity	0.13	0.00	0.01			
Queue Length 95th (m)	0.0	0.1	0.2			
Control Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	11.0			
Lane LOS		А	В			
Approach Delay (s)	0.0	0.1	11.0			
Approach LOS			В			
Intersection Summary						
			0.2			
Intersection Canacity Litili	zation		10.8%			f Service
Analysis Period (min)	2011011		15	10		
Analysis Feriou (IIIII)			15			

APPENDIX F

Excerpts from Other Development Traffic Studies

Intersection	Lane Group	Heavy Vehicles	Intersection	Lane Group	Heavy Vehicles
Richmond Rd. /	EB	3%	Richmond Rd. /	EB	3%
Roosevelt Ave.	WB	3%	Churchill Ave.	WB	5%
	NB	2%	North	NB	3%
	SB	2%		SB	4%
Scott St. / Churchill	EB	45%	Wilmont Ave. /	EB	2%
Ave. North	WB	8%	Churchill Ave.	WB	n/a
	NB	7%	North	NB	7%
	SB	6%		SB	6%

Table 3:	Percentage	Heavy	Vehicles,	by	approach
----------	------------	-------	-----------	----	----------

3.3 Other Planned Developments

Westboro Station Phase II is currently under construction at the corner of Richmond Road and Golden Avenue. For the purposes of this study, the turning traffic generated by the development at the Richmond Road and Roosevelt Avenue intersection has been added to the background traffic data (Figure 3). A copy of the trip generation data for Phase II of Westboro Station is included in Appendix C.

3.4 Trip Generation

Site-generated traffic volumes for the proposed condominium units have been estimated based on rates identified in the Trip Generation Manual (Institute of Transportation Engineers, 8th Edition).

The City's Richmond Road/Westboro TIS uses a 20% Transit Modal Split (TMS) to analyse the potential traffic generated by the redevelopment of the 335 Roosevelt Avenue property, and concludes with a recommendation that a 40% TMS should become the City's new target in the Richmond Road corridor. Figure 2.11 of the City of Ottawa's 2008 Transportation Master Plan (TMP) reports that the Transit Modal Split (TMS) was 23% in 2005 for areas within the Greenbelt Cordon. Given the proximity of the subject site to the Transitway, we feel that a 23% modal split to transit modes is conservative and justified for this development. We have applied this rate to the trips generated by the development. The results have been summarized as follows:

Table 4:-Trip Generation based on ITE Land Use

Land Use	Units	AM Peak	PM Peak
High –Rise Residential Condominium/Townhouse (ITE Land Use – 232)	220	13 in/ 59 out ¹	44 in/ 26 out ¹

1. ITE trip generation rates have been adjusted using a non-auto modal share factor of 0.77.

Weekday a.m. and p.m. peak hour site-generated traffic volumes are shown in Figure 6. The traffic generated during the Saturday peak hour is lower than the weekday peak hours, and was not assessed. All trips generated are assumed to be primary trips. These volumes are consistent with the trip generation included in the Transportation Impact Study completed as part of the Richmond Road / Westboro Community Design Plan. In the report, Sector 7 of the Richmond Road / Westboro TIS included an additional 54 and 65 trips during the AM and PM peak hours to be generated by redevelopment of 335 Roosevelt Avenue.

3.5 Trip Distribution

For the purposes of this preliminary traffic analysis, the study area intersections include Richmond Road and Roosevelt Avenue, Richmond Road and Churchill Avenue, and Churchill Avenue and Scott Street. Site-generated traffic was distributed based on the peak hour traffic patterns within the study area. Trip distribution is assumed to be as follows:

- 5% to/from the north via Churchill Avenue;
- 33% to/from the east via Churchill Avenue;
- 17% to/from the east via Richmond Road;
- 25% to/from the west via Richmond Road;
- 20% to/from the south via Churchill Avenue.

For the purposes of this analysis, it is assumed that all site traffic using the Winston Avenue access will travel to and from Churchill Avenue using Wilmont Avenue. All trips to and from the north and south via Churchill have been assigned to the Wilmont Avenue access; all trips to and from the west have been assigned to Roosevelt Avenue. Trips to and from the east have been assigned equally to the site accesses on Wilmont Avenue and Roosevelt Avenue. The resultant traffic volumes on Roosevelt Avenue are below those forecasted in the Richmond Road / Westboro Community Design Plan TIS.

Total traffic volumes for 2015 and 2020 have been calculated by adding the estimated sitegenerated traffic with the background traffic projections. The 2015 and 2020 total traffic volumes are shown in Figures 7 and 8.

4.0 INTERSECTION ANALYSIS

Intersection capacity analysis has been completed using the Synchro 6.0 software package. Operating conditions at signalized intersections have been evaluated in terms of the volume to capacity (v/c) ratio and the corresponding Level of Service (LOS) based on City of Ottawa criteria. Operating conditions at unsignalized intersections have been evaluated in terms of delay and LOS based on *Highway Capacity Manual 2000* (HCM) criteria. Mitigation measures in the form of additional lane capacity, intersection control modifications, and/or traffic signal adjustments have been identified for movements with a LOS E or F.

4.1 2015 Background Traffic

Intersection capacity analysis has been completed for the projected 2015 background traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in the following table for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in Appendix E1.

Table 3: Modified Person Trip Generation

Land Liso	Data	Area/	AM F	Peak (per	sons)	PM Peak (persons)		
	Source	Unit Count	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
High-Rise Condominium	ITE 232	100 units	14	61	75	39	25	64
Note: 1.3 factor to account for motorized modal shares of le	Note: 1.3 factor to account for typical North American auto occupancy values of approximately 1.15 and combined transit and non-							

The person trips shown in Table 3 for the proposed development were then reduced by modal share values based on the 2011 TRANS O-D survey and our knowledge of the surrounding area to reflect the site's location and proximity to employment, shopping uses and transit availability. Modal share values for the proposed uses are summarized in Table 4.

Table 4:	Total Site Modal	Trip Generation

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peal	k (Person	Trips/hr)	PM Peak (Person Trips/hr)			
	Mode Share	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Auto Driver	45%	7	28	35	18	12	30	
Auto Passenger	10%	2	6	8	4	3	7	
Transit	35%	4	21	25	14	8	22	
Non-motorized	10%	1	6	7	3	2	5	
Total Person Trips	100%	14	61	75	39	25	64	
Total	'New' Auto Trips	7	28	35	18	12	30	

As shown in Table 4, the resulting number of potential 'new' two-way vehicle trips for the proposed development is approximately 30 to 35 veh/h during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours. These volumes equate to approximately 1 new vehicle per 2 minutes during peak hours. This amount of traffic is considered to be a negligible increase and will have minimal impact.

3.2 Vehicle Traffic Distribution and Assignment

Traffic distribution was based on the existing road network and our knowledge of the surrounding area. The resultant distribution is outlined as follows:

- 60% to/from the east via Scott Street or Richmond Road;
- 20% to/from the west via Richmond Road; and
- 20% to/from the south via Churchill Avenue.

Based on these distributions, 'new' site-generated trips were assigned to the study area, which is illustrated as Figure 4.

PARSONS

Table 3: Mode Share Targets for the Development

Travel Mode	Mode Share Target	Rationale
Auto Driver	0%	See rationale below
Auto Passenger	0%	See rationale below
Transit	75%	See rationale below
Walking	10%	See rationale below
Cycling	15%	See rationale below

The modes shares presented in Table 3 have been estimated based on local knowledge, the proposed development context, as well as the proximity to the future Dominion LRT Station (approximately 400m north of the subject site).

Using the mode share and total person trips, both documented above, the person trips by mode were estimated. The person trips shown in Table 2 for the proposed site were reduced by modal share values for the 2019 scenario, with the total site-generated traffic summarized in Table 4.

Travel Mode	Mada Chara	AM Peak	(Person Trij	ps/hr)	PM Peak (Person Trips/hr)		
	woue Share	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Auto Passenger	0%	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transit	75%	6	9	15	10	13	23
Non-motorized	25%	1	3	4	3	4	7
Total Person Trips	100%	7	12	19	13	17	30
Total 'New' Auto Trips		0	0	0	0	0	0

Table 4:	Total	Site	Trip	Generation
10010 -1	10101	0110	111P	aonoration

As shown in Table 4, no 'new' two-way vehicle trips are anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

5. DEVELOPMENT DESIGN

5.1. DESIGN FOR SUSTAINABLE MODES

5.1.1. BICYCLE PARKING

The proposed development includes 10 bicycle parking spaces including eight interior spaces and two exterior spaces.

5.1.2. PEDESTRIAN ROUTES AND FACILITIES

The building will have at-grade accesses directly on to Richmond Road providing access directly to the sidewalk. No internal walkways or site circulation is required.

5.1.3. LOACTION OF TRANSIT FACILITIES

As documented in Figure 5 below, the subject site is approximately 530m walking distance from the Dominion Future LRT Station. Additionally, there are eastbound and westbound transit stops located 80m and 60m to the east of the site, respectively.

PARSONS

combined transit/non-motorized modal shares of 10%. As such, the person trip generation for the proposed retail development is summarized in Table 6.

Land Use	Area	AM Pe	eak (Person 1	ſrip/h)	PM Peak (Person Trip/h)		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Specialty Retail	555 m²	12	11	23	20	27	47

Table 6: Modified Person Trip Generation - Retail

The person trips shown in Table 6 for the proposed retail development were then reduced by modal share values based on the site's location and proximity to adjacent communities, employment, shopping uses and transit availability. Modal share values for the retail component of the proposed development are summarized in Table 7.

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Pe	ak (Person T	rips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)			
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Auto Driver	35%	5	4	9	7	10	17	
Auto Passenger	5%	1	1	2	1	2	3	
Transit	40%	4	4	8	8	10	18	
Non-motorized	20%	2	2	4	4	5	9	
Total Person Trips	100%	12	11	23	20	27	47	
Less Retail Pass-by (30%)		-1	-1	-2	-3	-3	-6	
Total 'New' Auto Trips		4	3	7	4	7	11	

Table 7: Retail Modal Site Trip Generation

The following Table 8 summarizes the foregoing people trip generations for the residential and retail components of the proposed development.

Travel Mode	Approximate Mode Share	AM Pe	eak (Person T	rips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)		
		In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Auto Driver	30%	5	9	14	9	11	20
Auto Passenger	5%	1	1	2	1	2	3
Transit	34%	5	10	15	11	12	23
Non-motorized	31%	5	9	14	10	11	21
Total Person Trips	100%	16	29	45	31	36	67
Less Retail Pass-by (30%)		-1	-1	-2	-2	-2	-4
Total 'New' Auto Trips		4	8	12	7	9	16

Table 8: Total Site Trip Generation

As shown in Table 8, the total number of person trips expected to be generated by this development is approximately 45 and 70 persons/h during the weekday commuter peak hours. The total amount of 'new' vehicle traffic to the study area is projected to be 15 to 20 veh/h during the peak hours. This amount of traffic equates to less than 1 new vehicle every 2 to 3 minutes and is not considered a significant increase in traffic. As such, no future vehicle capacity analysis related to the development's vehicle impact is expected to be required.