Mattamy Homes
50 Hines Road, Suite 100
Ottawa, ON K1K 2M5

Attention: Jillian Normand Land Development Manager

Dear Jillian Normand

## Subject: Transportation Brief Cedarview Subdivision: Additional Access to O'Keefe Court

The project at 848 Cedarview Road has historically been known as the Onassa Spring Subdivision. It is our understanding that a Plan of Subdivision has been approved for this project consisting of approximately 147 country estate lots and that the new owner has no problem with the transportation-related conditions of approval.

In 2011 Parsons prepared/submitted the Transportation Impact Assessment in support of the proposed development that was accepted by the City. The TIA identified a peak hour site traffic generation of 147 vph twoway total, with the site access/egress being via a single connection to Cedarview Road. At this intersection, the City required, as a condition of approval:

- A southbound direct taper of 70 m ;
- 2.0 m wide southbound bicycle pocket (incorporated into the above-noted taper); and
- A 2.5 m wide paved shoulder on the east side of Ceaderview for the full length of the site's frontage.

It is our understanding that the subject Plan of Subdivision now has a new owner (Mattamy) and that there is a desire, from a servicing perspective, to have an additional site connection from the south end of the subdivision to O'Keefe Court. This connection would not only facilitate site servicing, it would also provide a second vehicle outlet for the subdivision which improves both site-generated traffic distribution and emergency access. The approved Plan of Subdivision and a depiction of the proposed 22 m wide road link to O’Keefe Court are included as Appendix A and Appendix B respectively. It is our understanding that the proposed road link requires both City park land and land from the adjacent industrial lands owned by Simplicity, and that both are in agreement in principal with the alignment and right-of-way requirements depicted in the Appendix B figure.

From a transportation perspective, as the proposed road link will reduce site-generated traffic using the approved connection to Cedarview Road, there is no further analysis required at this location. As the new link will attract traffic to O'Keefe Court and therefore the O'Keefe/Fallowfield intersection, some analysis is required at this intersection to determine the impact/requirements, if any. At a recent pre-consult meeting with the City, it was agreed to that this Transportation Brief was sufficient to address this issue as no new development is proposed, the approved subdivision layout is the same, and the only consideration is the operation of the O'Keefe/Fallowfield intersection.

Based on the orientation of the subdivision and the location of the approved and proposed access points, it is assumed that site-generation traffic would be distributed approximately 60\% to the approved Cedarview Road access and $40 \%$ to the proposed O'Keefe Court access. As the whole subdivision was projected to generate approximately 150 vph two-way total, a 40\% distribution to the proposed new connection would result in 60 vph

two-way total using it during peak periods. Applying appropriate inbound and outbound distribution percentages would result in approximately $50 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ out and $10 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ in during the morning peak hour, and $15 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ out and 45 vph in during the afternoon peak hour. Based on the proximity of Fallowfield Road to the east, Strandherd Drive to the south and the Highway 416 to the west, the assumed distribution of site-generated traffic to these primary roads is $50 \%$ to/from Highway 416, $25 \%$ to/from Fallowfield east and $25 \%$ to/from Strandherd south during peak periods. The resultant assignment of site-generated traffic is depicted in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Assignment of Site-Generated Traffic Via Proposed O'Keefe Court Connection


In January 2015 IBI prepared/submitted a comprehensive Community Transportation Study (CTS) that accounted for all the existing/projected development planned for the lands in and around the node centered on the O'Keefe/Fallowfield/Strandherd/Highway 416 intersections. It is our understanding that this CTS accounted for proposed development of all vacant lands in the area and included functional plans for required intersection modifications. The total projected 2022 volumes from the CTS are included as Appendix C and the proposed functional plan for the O'Keefe/Fallowfield intersection is included as Appendix D.

In review of the CTS's level of service analysis for the O'Keefe/Fallowfield intersection, we agree with the City's comment that a double left-turn is required for the future eastbound left-turn movement from Fallowfield onto O'Keefe. Assuming these double left-turn lanes are provided, the projected level of service for the projected 2022 volumes is summarized in Table 1. We are advised that there is no specific date for the proposed intersection modifications, and that it is dependent on the rate of area development.

For the purpose of this Transportation Brief, the reassigned Figure 1 volumes were added to the Appendix C volumes to determine the impacts/requirements, if any, of the reassigned site traffic. The resultant level of service of adding Figure 1 volumes to Appendix $C$ volumes are summarized in Table 2. As can be seen by comparing the Table 1 and Table $2 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ 's and level of service, the addition of site-generated traffic to the O'Keefe/Fallowfield intersection has no or negligible impact on the operation of the intersection.

Table 1: Fallowfield/O’Keefe 2022 Level of Service

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. v/c or <br> avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |  |
| Fallowfield/OKeefe | D(E) | $0.89(0.94)$ | SBT(SBT) | $27.6(38.0)$ | D(E) | $0.81(0.91)$ |  |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.95 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Figure 2: Fallowfield/O’Keefe 2022 Volumes Plus Site Traffic Level of Service

| Intersection |  | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  |  | max. v/c or <br> avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Fallowfield/OKeefe/Cobble <br> Hill l | D(E) | $0.90(0.95)$ | SBT(EBL) | $27.6(39.9)$ | D(E) | $0.81(0.92)$ |

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.95 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane.

In conclusion, assuming Mattamy, the City and Simplicity can come up with an acceptable alignment solution for the proposed new local street connection to O'Keefe Court, the road link is recommended from a transportation perspective.

Sincerely,


Christopher Gordon, P.Eng. Senior Project Manager

Attachments

Appendix A
Approved Plan of Subdivision

Appendix B
Proposed Road Connection to O'Keefe Court


Appendix C
CTS's Total Projected Peak Hour Volumes at Study Area Intersections


Appendix D
CTS's Proposed Functional Design of O'Keefe/Fallowfield Intersection


Appendix E
Fallowfield/O’Keefe 2022 SYNCHRO Analysis

Existing AM
2: Fallowfield \& OKeefe/Cobble Hilll

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | ¢ | \% ${ }^{1 / 1}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 87 | 0 | 19 | , | 472 | 683 | 4 | 4 | 679 | 254 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 87 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 472 | 683 | 4 | 4 | 679 | 254 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 97 | 118 | 0 | 45 | 524 | 759 | 4 | 4 | 754 | 282 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Prot | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( $s$ ) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 10.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.0 | 91.6 | 91.6 | 63.6 | 63.6 | 63.6 |
| Total Split (\%) | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 23.3\% | 76.3\% | 76.3\% | 53.0\% | 53.0\% | 53.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 |  | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 14.3 | 14.3 |  | 14.3 | 20.3 | 75.0 | 75.0 | 48.6 | 48.6 | 48.6 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.48 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.21 |  | 0.18 | 0.80 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.89 | 0.36 |
| Control Delay | 55.1 | 0.9 |  | 3.6 | 51.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 38.5 | 11.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 55.1 | 0.9 |  | 3.6 | 51.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 38.5 | 11.8 |
| LOS | E | A |  | A | D | A | A | B | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 25.3 |  | 3.6 |  | 25.9 |  |  | 31.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 19.4 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 53.7 | 55.5 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 132.0 | 20.1 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 37.2 | 0.0 |  | 3.0 | \#89.4 | 109.9 | 0.0 | 2.5 | \#231.3 | 43.5 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 156.0 |  | 92.8 |  | 282.5 |  |  | 212.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 50.0 |  |  |  | 140.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 288 | 649 |  | 360 | 733 | 1495 | 1276 | 392 | 1039 | 928 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.18 |  | 0.13 | 0.71 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.73 | 0.30 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 101.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 27.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



## Existing PM

2: Fallowfield \& OKeefe/Cobble Hilll

|  | $\Rightarrow$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | $\$$ | ${ }^{17}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | \% | 个 | ${ }^{7}$ |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 325 | O | 9 | 0 | 106 | 664 | 19 | 21 | 751 | 67 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 325 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 106 | 664 | 19 | 21 | 751 | 67 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 361 | 350 | 0 | 21 | 118 | 738 | 21 | 23 | 834 | 74 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Prot | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( $s$ ) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 10.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 41.0 | 11.3 | 79.0 | 79.0 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 67.7 |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 34.2\% | 9.4\% | 65.8\% | 65.8\% | 56.4\% | 56.4\% | 56.4\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 |  | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 33.0 | 33.0 |  | 33.0 | 5.5 | 67.7 | 67.7 | 56.3 | 56.3 | 56.3 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | 0.29 | 0.05 | 0.60 | 0.60 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.50 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.93 | 0.60 |  | 0.05 | 0.75 | 0.69 | 0.02 | 0.09 | 0.94 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 72.5 | 19.0 |  | 0.2 | 83.2 | 19.9 | 2.1 | 16.1 | 46.4 | 2.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 72.5 | 19.0 |  | 0.2 | 83.2 | 19.9 | 2.1 | 16.1 | 46.4 | 2.8 |
| LOS | E | B |  | A | F | B | A | B | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 46.2 |  | 0.2 |  | 28.0 |  |  | 42.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  | A |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 83.1 | 28.0 |  | 0.0 | 14.4 | 108.7 | 0.0 | 2.7 | 172.7 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | \#140.9 | 59.5 |  | 0.0 | \#30.3 | 151.8 | 2.2 | 7.4 | \#257.6 | 5.9 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 156.0 |  | 92.8 |  | 282.5 |  |  | 212.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  |  | 140.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 409 | 611 |  | 480 | 158 | 1163 | 1000 | 283 | 983 | 874 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.88 | 0.57 |  | 0.04 | 0.75 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 0.08 | 0.85 | 0.08 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 113.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 38.0 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.8\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Projected AM
2: Fallowfield \& OKeefe/Cobble Hilll

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个 |  | $\uparrow$ | ${ }^{17}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | 7 | 4 | F |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 87 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 472 | 683 | 4 | 4 | 679 | 254 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 87 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 472 | 683 | 4 | 4 | 679 | 254 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 97 | 118 | 0 | 45 | 524 | 759 | 4 | 4 | 754 | 282 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | Prot | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  | 8 | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  | 8 |  |  |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( $s$ ) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 10.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 22.9 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 28.4 | 39.0 | 91.6 | 91.6 | 52.6 | 52.6 | 52.6 |
| Total Split (\%) | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 23.7\% | 32.5\% | 76.3\% | 76.3\% | 43.8\% | 43.8\% | 43.8\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.4 | 6.4 |  | 6.4 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lag |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | Min | Min | Min | Min | Min |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 13.8 | 13.8 |  | 13.8 | 21.1 | 74.1 | 74.1 | 47.1 | 47.1 | 47.1 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.14 | 0.14 |  | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.74 | 0.74 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.47 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.54 | 0.18 |  | 0.18 | 0.76 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.37 |
| Control Delay | 53.0 | 0.6 |  | 3.7 | 45.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 42.0 | 14.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 53.0 | 0.6 |  | 3.7 | 45.1 | 8.6 | 0.0 | 18.8 | 42.0 | 14.5 |
| LOS | D | A |  | A | D | A | A | B | D | B |
| Approach Delay |  | 24.2 |  | 3.7 |  | 23.5 |  |  | 34.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | A |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 17.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 48.6 | 53.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 126.0 | 21.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 35.8 | 0.0 |  | 3.0 | 72.8 | 111.3 | 0.0 | 2.8 | \#257.9 | 52.6 |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 156.0 |  | 92.8 |  | 282.5 |  |  | 212.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 50.0 |  |  |  | 140.0 |  |  | 65.0 |  | 30.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 287 | 725 |  | 358 | 1093 | 1535 | 1310 | 316 | 836 | 759 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.34 | 0.16 |  | 0.13 | 0.48 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.90 | 0.37 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 100.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 27.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Projected PM
2: Fallowfield \& OKeefe/Cobble Hilll



