

120 lber Road, Suite 103 Ottawa, Ontario K2S 1E9 Tel. (613)836-0856 Fax (613) 836-7183 www.DSEL.ca

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

FOR

CAMPANALE HOMES 5 ORCHARD DRIVE

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 18-1006

MARCH 2019 - REV. 3

© DSEL

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR CAMPANALE HOMES 5 ORCHARD DRIVE

MARCH 2019 - REV. 3

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 1.2	Existing Conditions Required Permits / Approvals	2 2
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	4
2.1	Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports	4
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	6
3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Existing Water Supply Services Water Supply Servicing Design Watermain Modelling Water Supply Conclusion	6 6 7 8
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	10
4.1 4.2 4.3	Existing Wastewater Services Wastewater Design Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	10 10 11
5.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	12
5.1 5.2 5.3 5.4 5.5 5.6	Existing Stormwater Services Post-development Stormwater Management Target Proposed Stormwater Management System Proposed Quantity Controls Proposed Quality Control Stormwater Management Conclusions	
6.0	UTILITIES	19
7.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	20
8.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	21

FIGURES

Figure 1

Site Location

TABLES

Table 1 Water Supply Design Criteria
Table 2 Proposed Water Demand
Table 3 Model Simulation Output Summary
Table 4 Wastewater Design Criteria
Table 5 Summary of Proposed Wastewater Flows
Table 6 Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates from Subject Property
Table 7 Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates from External Area
Table 8 Summary of Existing DICB Capture Rate
Table 9 Stormwater Flowrate and Storage Summary

APPENDICES

- Appendix A Servicing Check List / Pre-consultation
- Appendix B Water Supply Calculations
- Appendix C Wastewater Collection Calculations
- Appendix D Stormwater Management Calculations
- Drawings

FUNCTIONAL SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR CAMPANALE HOMES 5 ORCHARD DRIVE

CITY OF OTTAWA

MARCH 2019 – REV. 3 PROJECT NO.: 18-1006

1.0 INTRODUCTION

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) has been retained by Campanale Homes to prepare a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report in support of the Draft Plan of Subdivision (DPS) for the proposed development at 5 Orchard Drive.

The subject property is located within the City of Ottawa urban boundary, in the Stittsville ward. As illustrated in *Figure 1*, the subject property is bounded by Hazeldean Road to the north, Fringewood Drive to the east, an existing restaurant to the west and existing residential development to the south. The subject property measures approximately **3.97** *ha* and is designated Arterial Mainstreet (AM9) under the current City of Ottawa zoning by-law.



Figure 1: Site Location

MARCH 2019 – REV. 3

DSEL: 18-1006

The proposed development consists of **1.82** *ha* of commercial space and **2.13** *ha* of residential land: comprised of 65 townhouse units; 2 semi-detached units; and 7 single home units.

The objective of this report is to support the application for Draft Plan of Subdivision by providing sufficient detail demonstrating that the proposed development is supported by existing and proposed municipal servicing infrastructure. Additionally, this report will demonstrate that the site design conforms to current City of Ottawa design standards.

1.1 Existing Conditions

The subject site is currently undeveloped. Two existing parallel ditches run from the south side of the property toward two ditch-inlet catch basins (DICBs) at the north edge of the property along Hazeldean Road. The existing DICBs outlet into the existing 675 mm diameter stormwater on Hazeldean Road. There is also a ditch along the southern property line which collects storm water runoff from the existing residential units on the adjacent property and outlets into the western most ditch of the two previously mentioned ditches. Note that in existing conditions there is a drop in elevation between the gravel shoulder and the subject property, to the north of the subject site, along Hazeldean Road. Sewer system and watermain distribution mapping collected from the City of Ottawa indicate that the following services exist across the property frontages, within the adjacent municipal right-of-ways:

Hazeldean Road:

- > 762 mm watermain;
- \succ 675 mm storm sewer;
- ➢ 450 mm storm sewer;
- > 150 mm sanitary sewer at northwest corner of site; and
- ➢ 675 mm sanitary sewer northeast of site.

Fringewood Drive:

> 200 mm watermain.

1.2 Required Permits / Approvals

Development of the site is subject to the City of Ottawa Planning and Development Approvals process. The City of Ottawa must approve detailed engineering design drawings and reports prepared to support the proposed development plan before issuing approval. The subject property contains existing trees. Development, which may require removal of existing trees, may be subject to the City of Ottawa Urban Tree Conservation By-law No. 2009-200.

1.3 **Pre-consultation**

Pre-consultation correspondence and the servicing guidelines checklist are located in *Appendix A*.

Further pre-consultation with City Staff has been completed via email. Associated correspondence is located in *Appendix A*.

DSEL: 18-1006

2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, October 2012. (City Standards)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01 City of Ottawa, February 5, 2014. (ITSB-2014-01)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
 City of Ottawa, September 6, 2016.
 (PIEDTB-2016-01)
 - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01
 City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.
 (ISTB-2018-01)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution City of Ottawa, July 2010. (Water Supply Guidelines)
 - Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2 City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010. (ISDTB-2010-2)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02
 City of Ottawa, May 27, 2014.
 (ISDTB-2014-02)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-02 City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018. (ISDTB-2018-02)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (SWMP Design Manual)
- Ontario Building Code Compendium
 Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Building Development Branch, January 1, 2010 Update.
 (OBC)

West End Pumping Stations Decommissioning & By-Pass Sewers Fringewood Drive By-Pass Sewer Design Novatech, May 2018. (Fringewood By-Pass Sewer Design)

- Hunting Properties Development / Proposed Realignment of Channel on 2 and 3 Iber Road
 JF Sabourin and Associates Inc., March 2017. (JFSA Channel Realignment)
- Hazeldean Road Widening Poole Creek to Terry Fox Drive Stormwater Management
 IBI Group, November 2009 (Hazeldean SWM Report)
- 5 Orchard External Stormwater Management Cost Implications DSEL, March 2019 (External SWM Cost Implications)
- 5 Orchard Drive Stormwater Functional Servicing Analysis JF Sabourin and Associates Inc., March 2019 (5 Orchard JFSA Memo)
- Kanata West Master Servicing Study Stantec Consultin Ltd., June 2006 (Kanata West Master Servicing Plan)

3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

3.1 Existing Water Supply Services

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 3W pressure zone, as shown by the Pressure Zone map in *Appendix B.* Watermains exist within Hazeldean Road and Fringewood Drive.

3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

The subject property is proposed to be serviced through two connections to the existing 203 mm watermain within Fringewood Drive.

Table 1, below, summarizes the *Water Supply Guidelines* employed in the preparation of the water demand estimate.

Water Supply Design Criteria			
Design Parameter	Value		
Commercial-Floor space	2.5 L/m²/d		
Single Family House	3.4 P/unit		
Semi-Detached House	2.7 P/unit		
Townhouse	2.7 P/unit		
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per		
Residential Maximum Daily Demand	3.6 x Average Daily *		
Residential Maximum Hourly	5.4 x Average Daily *		
Commercial Maximum Daily Demand	1.5 x avg. day L/gross ha/d		
Commercial Maximum Hour Demand	1.8 x avg. day L/gross ha/d		
Minimum Watermain Size	150 mm diameter		
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4 m from top of watermain to finished grade		
During normal operating conditions desired	350 kPa and 480 kPa		
operating pressure is within			
During normal operating conditions pressure must	275 kPa		
not drop below			
During normal operating conditions pressure shall	552 kPa		
not exceed			
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop	140 kPa		
below			
* Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per Mo	DE Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500		
** Table updated to reflect ISD-2010-2			

Table 1Water Supply Design Criteria

Table 2, below, summarizes the anticipated water demand and boundary conditions for the proposed development; calculated using the **Water Supply Guidelines.** The City provided both the anticipated minimum and maximum water pressures, as well as, the estimated water pressure during fire flow as indicated by the correspondence located in **Appendix A**.

MARCH 2019 – REV. 3

DSEL 18-1006

Proposed Water Demand				
Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand ¹ (L/min)	Boundary Conditions ² Fringewood Dr. (South of valve) (m H ₂ O / kPa)	Boundary Conditions ² Fringewood Drive (North of valve) (m H ₂ O / kPa)	
Average Daily Demand	71.2	56.4 / 553.7	56.0 / 549.3	
Max Day + Fire Flow (@10,000L/min)	190.9+10,000 = 10,190.9	40.8 / 400.6	53.3 / 522.8	
Max Day + Fire Flow (@15,000L/min)	190.9+15,000 = 15,190.9	26.1 / 256.4	52.4 / 513.9	
Peak Hour	300.3	52.6 / 516.4	52.7 / 516.9	
 Water demand calculation per <i>Water Supply Guidelines</i>. See <i>Appendix B</i> for detailed calculations. Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa for the demands indicated in the correspondence; assumed ground elevation 104.56m for connection 1 and 105.01m for connection 2 to the municipal watermain. See <i>Appendix A</i>. 				

Table 2Proposed Water Demand

The residential component of the development is contemplated to meet the criteria for the **10,000** *L/min* maximum fire flow cap, as per **ISDTB-2014-02**. As the commercial component is considered a future development and details have not yet been established, maximum fire flow for the commercial component was assumed to be **15,000** *L/min*, as per **ISDTB-2014-02**.

3.3 Watermain Modelling

EPANet was utilized to model the proposed watermain system during peak hour, average day and max daily water demand, plus fire flow scenarios. The model was developed to assess pipe sizing.

EPANET uses pipe length, pipe diameter, elevation and friction loss factors based on pipe diameter obtained from *Water Supply Guidelines, Table 4.4*. Minor loss coefficients based on bends, valves and tees in the pipe were also utilized in the model. EPANet calculated pressure drop using the Hazen-Williams equation and is used to assess the pressure that is being provided to each node.

To model the maximum daily flow scenario, **10,000L/min** was applied to each of the proposed hydrants for the residential part of the site and **15,000L/min** at the connection to the future commercial component of the property.

Table 3, below, summarizes pressures reported during average day, peak hour and maximum daily plus fire flow scenarios for nodes at points of interest.

MARCH 2019 – REV. 3

DSEL: 18-1006

Table 3						
	Model S	imulation Output	Summary			
Node ID	Average Day (kPa)	Peak Hour (kPa)	Max Day + Fire Flow	Max Day + Fire Flow		
	(((10,000L/min) (kPa)	(15,000L/min) (kPa)		
10	553.3	516.4	399.6	255.4		
12	551.8	516.7	401.3	252.0		
14	552.0	516.6	395.3	251.1		
15	552.4	517.0	330.5	232.1		
17	551.5	516.8	409.5	253.2		
18	552.2	516.8	381.3	247.2		
19	551.6	516.8	396.0	175.1		
20	552.4	517.2	303.3	203.9		
21	552.6	517.3	269.8	214.2		
23	552.8	517.5	284.8	209.8		
25	552.1	516.4	395.9	251.7		

The pressures modeled in average day scenario are either near or exceed the maximum allowable, per **Table 2**. Pressures which exceed the desired operation pressure in the peak hour scenario, however, do not exceed the maximum allowable pressure. It is recommended a pressure check is performed during construction to determine if pressure reducing valves are required.

The pressures during maximum daily plus fire flow scenarios as well as peak hour scenarios fall within the required pressure range outlined in **Table 2**. For the residential area, the node yielding the lowest pressure during fire flow scenario at **10,000L/min** is node 21. For the commercial area of the development, the fire flow scenario of **15,000 L/min** was modeled through node 19. The pressure at both of these critical nodes fall above the minimum required pressure indicated in **Table 1**.

Model output reports, as well as, figures for each model scenario are found in *Appendix B*.

3.4 Water Supply Conclusion

It is proposed to service the development from two connections to the existing 203 mm watermain within Fringewood Drive.

The contemplated development was analyzed using 10,000 L/min max fire flow for the residential components and assuming 15,000 L/min maximum fire flows for the future commercial component.

Water modeling was completed to confirm that adequate pressure is available to service the ultimate proposed development based on boundary conditions received from the *City of Ottawa*. Fire flow scenario pressures fall within the guidelines outline in *Table 2*.

MARCH 2019 - REV. 3

however, pressure check should be completed during construction to determine if pressure reducing valves will be required. The municipal system is capable of delivering water within the *Water Supply Guidelines* pressure range.

The design of the water distribution system conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.

4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The subject property lies within the future Kanata West Pump Station catchment area, per the *Kanata West Master Servicing Plan*.

There is an existing 675 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Hazeldean Road. Currently there is no sanitary sewer services within Fringewood Drive, on the section of the road directly adjacent to the subject property.

Pre-consultation with the City of Ottawa indicates that the Hazeldean Road sanitary sewer has been sized to convey additional flows from the proposed subdivision, upon completion of the Kanata West Pumping Station (KWPS), which is slated for completion in the summer of 2019. It is anticipated the contemplated development will proceed after the completion of the KWPS, therefore, the downstream system will have capacity to convey flow from the subject property.

4.2 Wastewater Design

The proposed development will be serviced via a connection to the existing 675 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Hazeldean Road through a future 250 mm diameter sanitary sewer within Fringewood Drive, running along the east end of the property.

Table 4, below, summarizes the *City Standards* employed in the calculation of wastewater flow rates for the proposed development.

Table 4				
Wastewater Design Criteria				
Design Parameter	Value			
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per			
Single Family House	3.4 P/unit			
Semi-Detached House	2.7 P/unit			
Townhouse	2.7 P/unit			
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 3.8, Min 2.0			
Commercial Floor Space	28,000 L/ha/d			
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha			
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the Manning's Equation	$Q = \frac{1}{n} A R^{\frac{2}{3}} S^{\frac{1}{2}}$			
Commercial Peaking Factor	1.50 per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B			
Minimum Sanitary Sewer Lateral	135 mm diameter			
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013			
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5 m from crown of sewer to grade			
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6 m/s			
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0 m/s			
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012 updated per ISTB-2018-01				

Table 5, below, demonstrates the anticipated peak flow from the proposed development. See *Appendix C* for associated calculations.

Summary of Proposed Wastewater Flows			
Design Parameter Anticipated Sanitary			
	Flow (L/s)		
Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.26		
Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	3.24		
Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	4.51		

Table 5

The estimated sanitary flow for the contemplated development anticipates a peak wet weather flow of 4.51 L/s.

A future sanitary sewer is contemplated to be constructed within Fringewood Drive starting in May 2019. A gravity sanitary connection from the existing subdivision to the north will by-pass the existing Fringewood Pump Station, thus directing wastewater flows from the proposed development to the existing 675 mm sanitary sewer within Hazeldean Road.

In the design of the bypass sewer, the subject property was estimated to have a total anticipated peak flow equal to 6.22 L/s as indicated in the Fringewood By-Pass Sewer Design (FBPSD), calculation shown in Appendix C. The contemplated development results in a reduction of **1.71L/s** flow to the future sanitary sewer than that anticipated in the (FBPSD), therefore, the future sewer has sufficient capacity to convey the wastewater flow from the subject site. Refer to **Appendix C** for a copy of **FSPSD**, including future sanitary design sheets and sanitary drainage figure.

4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The site is tributary to the existing sanitary sewer within Hazeldean Road.

A future sanitary sewer is contemplated to be constructed adjacent to the subject property within Fringewood Drive. The proposed development results in a decrease in wastewater flow of **1.71L/s** to the future sanitary sewer contemplated in the *Fringewood By-Pass* Sewer Design. The proposed future Fringewood Drive sanitary sewer has sufficient capacity to convey wastewater flow from the subject property to the existing sanitary sewer with Hazeldean Road

The proposed wastewater design conforms to all relevant *City Standards*.

5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

5.1 Existing Stormwater Services

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the Carp River sub-watershed via Poole Creek and City of Ottawa storm sewer system and is therefore, reviewed by the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA). Runoff from the subject site is collected and conveyed by storm sewers within Hazeldean Road to an interim stormwater wetland located on Hazeldean Road, east of the intersection of Hazeldean Road and Huntmar Drive. The interim wetland discharges to a ditch that conveys flow along the north edge of the existing commercial development on Hazeldean, eventually discharging to the Carp River.

Two parallel ditches currently exist on the subject property that lead to two existing DICBs; refer to **DICB 1** and **DICB 2** on drawing **EX-SWM-1**, accompanying this report. The majority of the flow from the subject site is picked up by the ditch draining to **DICB 1**, with flow from the east portion of the site directed to **DICB 2**. A portion of flow from the west of the site is directed to Poole Creek, denoted as **P1** on the drawing **EX-SWM-1**.

Based on the topographic survey of Hazeldean Road, adjacent to the site, major overland flow is directed east and south down Fringewood Drive. The Major overland flow route for this area, 100-year subtract 10-year storm event, shown as *MH400, MH405 & MH413* on drawing *EX-SWM-1*, would enter the site and be captured by *DICB 2*.

The runoff from the rear yards of the Cloverloft Court properties that bound the south edge of the subject property, shown as *EX2* and *EX3* in *EX-SWM-1*, flow into a rear yard ditch that runs along the south property line of the subject property. Drainage area *EX2* drains to the *DICB 1*, whereas, *EX3* drains to *DICB 2*.

Drainage from the existing subdivision to the south of the subject property drains east towards the intersection of Fringewood Drive and Cloverloft Court. Note that based on field inspection completed by DSEL in May 2018, a culvert crossing Fringewood Drive at Cloverloft Court is perched and would not accept flow from *EX5*, thus it is assumed all *EX5* drainage by-passes this culvert and is directed north to *DICB 2*. Further investigation will be conducted in the Spring 2019, when a survey will be completed to determine the ditch and culvert inverts.

Both **DICB 1** and **DICB 2** discharge to the existing 675 mm diameter storm sewer within Hazeldean Road. The stormwater discharge is conveyed through the existing storm sewer within Hazeldean road to ditches north of Hazeldean Road, and east of Huntmar Drive which convey directly to the Carp River.

Drainage from the existing restaurant located west of the subject property drains to the existing storm sewer within Hazeldean Road through existing catch basins, denoted as *EX6* on *EX-SWM-1*.

The estimated pre-development peak flows from the subject site and external areas for the 2, 5, and 100-year events are summarized in Table 6 and Table 7, below:

Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates from Subject Property					
City of Ottawa Design Storm	Estimated Peak Flow Rate to DICB1 (3.14 Ha) (L/s)	Estimated Peak Flow Rate to DICB2 (0.78 Ha) (L/s)	Estimate Peak Flow to Poole Creek (0.05 Ha) (L/s)		
2-year	72.1	15.6	3.4		
5-year	96.9	21.0	4.6		
100-year	206.0	44.6	9.9		

Table 6

Та	ıb	le	7
			-

Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates from External Area City of Ottawa Design **External Peak Flow Estimated Peak Flow** Rate to DICB2 Rate to DICB1 (EX2 Storm 0.422 Ha) (L/s) (MH400, MH405, MH413*, EX3, EX4, EX5 4.104 Ha) (L/s) 2-year 30.9 182.3 5-year 41.9 245.1 457.9 100-year 89.8

* Only Major System Contributions from MH400, MH405 & MH413 (100-Year - 10-Year)

Based on field investigation by DSEL in May 2018, no stormwater management controls for flow attenuation exist on-site.

A capacity analysis of the existing DICB capture rate and DICB leads was completed to determine if the existing DICB are capable of capturing the 100-year storm in the 100year storm event. DICB elevation, head and capture rate are summarized in Table 8. below:

Summary of Existing DICD Capture Rate						
Parameter DICB 1 DICB 2						
DICB Grate Invert Elevation (m)	103.98	103.65				
DICB Lead Invert (m)	102.94	102.71				
Ponding Level ¹ (m)	104.49	104.49				
Assumed Downstream HGL ² (m)	103.08	102.77				
Total Head ³ (m)	1.41	1.72				
DICB Grate Capture Rate ⁴ (L/s)	660	660				
375mm DICB Lead Capture⁵ (L/s)	354	391				
1) Spill Elevation across Fringewood Drive per topographic survey						

Table 8 mmary of Existing DICB Canture Pate

2) Downstream HGL assumed equal to obvert of Ex. 675mm Storm within Hazeldean Road

3) Total Head equal to Ponding Level less the downstream HGL

4) DICB capture rate determined from Design Chart 4.20 from the MTO Drainage Management Manual, 1997 using 0.51m of ponding, capture rate multiplied by 1.2 to account for 1200mm x 600mm grate and then by 0.5 to account for blockages. DICB2 has a higher ponding than DICB1 so the capture rate for DICB1 was used for both DICBs conservatively.

5) Orifice equation used per the City Standards, refer to Appendix D for orifice equation

MARCH 2019 – REV. 3

Per the above, the flow through the DICB lead will restrict flow to **354** *L/s* and **391** *L/s* to **DICB 1** and **DICB 2**, respectively. Based on the total flows summarized in **Table 6 & 7**, **DICB 1** is capable of conveying the 100-year flow form areas **DICB 1** and **EX 2**. Flow to **DICB 2** exceeds **391** *L/s* in the 100-year storm event. Ponding will occur in the existing condition up to the elevation of 104.49 where spill will occur across Fringewood Drive to the adjacent property. The spill is conveyed through a tributary of the carp river, currently the adjacent property is proposed to be re-developed and the tributary re-aligned. The spill from the subject property has been accounted for in the design of the re-aligned tributary and downstream culverts, described in **JFSA Channel Alignment**.

A design sheet has been prepared by DSEL in lieu of the design information from the City of Ottawa for the Hazeldean storm sewer in the existing condition, located in *Appendix* **D**. The design sheet indicates that storm sewers are surcharged in the existing condition. A hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis was complete for the existing storm sewer, by JFSA, and outlined in the **5** Orchard JFSA Memo. The results of the HGL analysis conclude that spill to the surface occurs in the existing condition at manholes 405, 413, 421,426 and 13. Refer to drawing **EX-SWM-1**, for drainage area IDs and **Appendix D** for HGL results prepared by JFSA.

5.2 Post-development Stormwater Management Target

Based on City of Ottawa standards, stormwater management requirements for the proposed development are as follows:

- The release rate for the subject property is limited by the capacity of the existing storm sewers within Hazeldean Road. A hydraulic grade line analysis was completed for the existing sewers to determine the maximum available capacity of the sewers. To ensure that the hydraulic grade line in the proposed condition does not impact the proposed development or have negative impact on the downstream system, the allowable release rate for the subject property has been determined to be **251.9 L/s**;
- As stormwater quality control is constrained on the residential portion of the subject site, a larger portion of the allowable release rate is allocated to the residential block of **200** *L*/**s**, with the remaining **51.9** *L*/**s** to be the release rate for the commercial block;
- Uncontrolled Flow to Poole Creek is less than during the existing condition in the 5-Year and 100-Year event;
- All storms, up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event, are to be attenuated on site; and
- Quality controls are required, as per correspondence with the MVCA, 70% TSS removal will be necessary. Refer to *Appendix A* for correspondence. However, the quality control that will be provided will be 80% TSS removal.

5.3 **Proposed Stormwater Management System**

It is proposed that the stormwater for the development will be serviced by the existing 675 mm diameter storm sewer on Hazeldean Road via a new storm sewer extended south on Fringewood Drive.

It is proposed to service the residential component of the development with a proposed 450 mm diameter storm sewer that would connect to a proposed 675 mm diameter storm sewer within Fringewood Drive. The commercial component of the site would connect independently to the proposed storm sewer within Fringewood Drive. The existing swale along Fringewood Drive would be regraded to flow towards the existing **DICB 2**.

It is contemplated to re-grade the existing roadside ditch south of the subject property to re-direct flow from EX5 to the Hazeldean Tributary on the 2 lber Road lands, located on the east side of Fringewood Drive. Refer to drawing SWM-1, accompanying this report, for storm servicing and stormwater management details.

Drainage to existing **DICB 2** would include major system flow only (100-Year – 10-Year Flow) from a portion of Hazeldean Road (Area MH400, MH405, MH413) and major and minor system flow from Fringewood Drive (Area EX4). A 100-year flow rate of 105.5 L/s is contemplated to continue to discharge to **DICB 2**.

5.4 **Proposed Quantity Controls**

The release rate for the proposed development is restricted to ensure the hydraulic grade line allows for gravity drainage for the majority of residential units. A sewer analysis was completed for the downstream Hazeldean storm sewer system in the post-development condition to ensure no negative impacts, refer to **Appendix D** for HGL analysis in the proposed condition. To provide gravity drainage for the proposed units and improve the downstream condition, a release rate of 251.9 L/s was selected as described in Section 5.1. Refer to the sewer analysis included in Appendix D.

Table 9, below, summarizes post-development flow rates and anticipated storage for the development of the property.

Stormwater Flowrate and Storage Summary							
Control Area 5-Year 5-Year 100-Year 100-Year							
	Release Rate	Storage	Release Rate	Storage			
	(L/s)	(m ³)	(L/s)	(m³)			
Unattenuated Areas to Poole Creek	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.0			
Residential Areas	116.7	169.5	200.0	416.9			
Commercial Areas	30.3	434.9	51.9	843.1			
Total Comm + Res to Hazeldean* 147.0 604.4 251.9 1260.0							
* Total Flow does not include Flow to Poole Creek							

Table 9 Ctores Cumment

It is anticipated that 416.9 m^3 of storage will be required for the residential development and 843.1 m^3 of storage will be needed for the future commercial development in order

MARCH 2019 – REV. 3

DSEL: 18-1006

to attenuate flows to the target flow rate of **251.9** *L*/**s** in the 100-year storm event. Refer to storage calculations that are contained within *Appendix D*.

To achieve the allowable release rate, the proposed residential portion of the development will employ a combination of Low Impact Development (LID) practice infiltration chambers located in the 8 m easement between the commercial and residential properties, as well as, take advantage of surface ponding on the streets. Proposed surface ponding will be designed in accordance with *City Standards*. The commercial block is contemplated to use similar stormwater management techniques to attenuate to the allowable release rate.

An HGL analysis was completed for the proposed condition, summarized in the **5** *Orchard JFSA Memo*, for the downstream Hazeldean storm sewer network. The analysis concluded that adequate freeboard is provided from the 100-year HGL to the proposed Underside of Footing (USF) of the development and that the HGL is lowered in the proposed condition compared to the existing condition within the existing storm sewer system. Spill will continue to occur within the Hazeldean storm sewer system during the 100-year storm event, however, the spill is less than in the existing condition. Only road drainage and the subject property are connected to the storm system, so the resulting spill presents no risk of surcharging into existing foundation drains.

A preliminary stormwater analysis was completed by JFSA, summarized in the **5** Orchard JFSA Memo, which reviewed the impacts of the development on the water levels within the Carp River and the tributary to the Carp River using the City of Ottawa's PCSWMM model of the Carp River. Based on the results from the **5** Orchard JFSA Memo, the tributary to the Carp River has sufficient capacity to convey stormwater in the 100-year storm event. Sheer stress was also analyzed from the existing to proposed condition, during detailed design, and it was concluded that a geomorphological review will be required to determine if erosion control measures are necessary for the proposed condition. At the outlet to the Carp River, the analysis concluded that there are no impacts to the 100-year water levels within the Carp River in the proposed condition, refer to Appendix D for **5** Orchard JFSA Memo.

A detailed hydrologic model will be completed during the detailed design phase to confirm the conclusions from the **5** Orchard JFSA Memo and confirm storage requirements. During detailed design, efforts will be made to reduce the LID infiltration chambers maximize surface ponding within the right-of-way.

The unattenuated area directed to Poole Creek, U1 on drawing **SWM-1**, is less than the flow to Poole Creek in the pre-development condition shown in **Table 7** for the 5 and 100-year storm events. The drainage area consists of rear yard area, which is considered clean water, therefore, quality controls are not anticipated for the uncontrolled area draining to Poole Creek.

Due to the depth of the existing storm sewer within Hazeldean Road, the proposed four blocks of townhomes units closest to Fringewood Drive will be required to use sump

pumps, discharging to the surface to service the foundation drains, refer to **CSP-1**, accompanying this report for applicable units.

5.5 Proposed Quality Control

Quality controls are proposed to be provided by the interim Wetland located approximately 380 m north-east of the intersection of Huntmar Drive and Hazeldean Road. As discussed in **Section 5.1**, a portion of the 5 Orchard site was contemplated to drain to the interim Wetland. Per the **Hazeldean SWM Report**, a total of **3.84 Ha** of External Drainage and **3.51 Ha** of Hazeldean Road runoff was contemplated to drain to the interim Wetland, for a total of **7.35 Ha**. **3.08 Ha** of the subject property at 5 Orchard Drive was allocated to drain to the interim Wetland.

The total proposed drainage area to the interim pond includes **3.94 Ha** from the subject site; **0.87 Ha** of external drainage from Fringewood Drive, Existing Residential and an Existing Restaurant on Hazeldean Road and **3.91 Ha** of Hazeldean Road widening for a total of **8.72 Ha**. This results in an increase in **1.37 Ha** compared to the contemplated drainage in the **Hazeldean SWM Report**.

The pond sizing was reviewed to confirm if it can accommodate the additional site drainage and external flow not contemplated in the *Hazeldean SWM Report*. Interim Westland Quality Control is summarized in *Table 10*, below, refer to *Appendix D* for quality control calculations.

	Area (Ha)	Impervious (%)	Required Extended Detention (m³)	Required Permanent Pool (m ³)
Per Hazeldean SWM Report	7.35	77%	294	331
Per 5 Orchard FSR	8.72	71%	349	401
Provided Volumes in Interim SWM Po		r Hazeldean		
SWM Report			406	432

Table 10 Interim Wetland Quality Control

The interim Wetland facility has sufficient permanent pool and extended detention volume to treat the drainage area from the development and external area to the required **80% TSS Removal**.

Upon the decommissioning of the Hazeldean Road interim Wetland, it is proposed to achieve the quality control of 80% TSS removal through the implementation of an Oil/Grit Separator (OGS). The proposed OGS would be installed downstream of the interim wetland and will discharge to the existing ditch as shown on figure 1 provided in *Appendix D*. The OGS has been sized to treat all drainage areas that are directed in the interim to the Wetland. Detailed description of cost and reasonability is included in a separate memo, *External SWM Cost Implications*, included in *Appendix D* of this

report. Sizing report and shop drawings for the proposed OGS are also included in *Appendix D*.

5.6 Stormwater Management Conclusions

Post development stormwater runoff will be required to be restricted to the allowable target release rate for storm events up to and including the 100-year storm, in accordance with City of Ottawa, *City Standards*. The post-development allowable release rate to the sewer within Hazeldean Road was calculated to be 251.9 L/s; with an estimated 416.9 m^3 of storage required for the residential development and 843.1 m^3 of storage required in the future commercial development in order to meet this release rate.

Four blocks of townhomes will be required to be sump pumped due to the shallow connection to the existing storm sewer within Hazeldean Road.

Please refer to **5** Orchard JFSA Memo and the External SWM Cost Implications, both located in Appendix D, for further information on Quality and Quantity controls in the existing and proposed conditions.

The proposed stormwater design conforms to all relevant *City Standards* and Policies for approval.

6.0 UTILITIES

Utility servicing will be coordinated with the individual utility companies prior to site development.

7.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Soil erosion occurs naturally and is a function of soil type, climate and topography. The extent of erosion losses is exaggerated during construction where vegetation has been removed and the top layer of soil becomes agitated.

Prior to topsoil stripping, earthworks or underground construction, erosion and sediment controls will be implemented and will be maintained throughout construction.

Silt fence will be installed around the perimeter of the site and will be cleaned and maintained throughout construction. Silt fence will remain in place until the working areas have been stabilized and re-vegetated.

Catch basins will have SILTSACKs installed under the grate during construction to protect from silt entering the storm sewer system.

A mud mat will be installed at the construction access in order to prevent mud tracking onto adjacent roads.

Erosion and sediment controls must be in place during construction. The following recommendations to the contractor will be included in contract documents:

- Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time;
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible;
- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed;
- Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches;
- Install silt fence to prevent sediment from entering existing ditches;
- > No refueling or cleaning of equipment near existing watercourses;
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering;
- Install filter cloth between catch basins and frames;
- Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding; and
- Establish material stockpiles away from watercourses, so that barriers and filters may be installed.

The contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance. The inspection is to include:

- > Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers; and
- > Clean and change filter cloth at catch basins.

8.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) has been retained by Campanale Homes to prepare a Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management report in support of the application for Draft Plan of Subdivision for the proposed development at 5 Orchard Drive. The preceding report outlines the following:

- Based on boundary conditions provided by the City the existing municipal water infrastructure is capable of providing the proposed development with water within the City's required pressure range. Pressure check will need to be completed during construction to determine if pressure reducing valves will be required;
- The proposed development is anticipated to have a peak wet weather flow of 4.51 L/s directed to the Stittsville Trunk Sewer, the property has been contemplated in the sizing of the future sewer to be installed within Fringewood Drive;
- The proposed development will be required to attenuate post development flows to an equivalent release rate of 251.9 L/s to the sewer within Hazeldean Road, for all storms up to and including the 100-year storm event;
- It is anticipated that 416.9 m³ of storage will be required for the residential development and 843.1 m³ of storage will be needed for the future commercial development to attenuate stormwater to the allowable release rate to the storm sewer within Hazeldean Road; and
- Utility services would need to be coordinated with utility companies prior to development.

Prepared by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.



Reviewed by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.**



Per: Steven L. Merrick, P.Eng

Per: Stephen Pichette, P.Eng.

© DSEL

z:\projects\18-1006_campanale_5-orchard\b_design\b3_reports\b3-2_servicing (dsel)\fsr-subm3\fsr-2019-03-13_1006_kef_ggm.docx

APPENDIX A

Pre-Consultation

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST

15-812

	General Content	
	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
\boxtimes	Date and revision number of the report.	Report Cover Sheet
\boxtimes	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	Drawings/Figures
\boxtimes	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figure 1
\boxtimes	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.	Section 1.0
\boxtimes	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 1.3
\boxtimes	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	Section 2.1
\boxtimes	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0
\boxtimes	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Sections 3.1, 4.1, 5.1
	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	N/A
\boxtimes	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	GP-1
	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
\boxtimes	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	Section 1.4
	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale -North arrow (including construction North) -Key plan -Name and contact information of applicant and property owner -Property limits including bearings and dimensions -Existing and proposed structures and parking areas -Easements, road widening and rights-of-way -Adjacent street names	SSP-1
4.2	Development Servicing Report: Water	

	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A
\boxtimes	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	Section 3.1
\boxtimes	Identification of system constraints	Section 3.1
\boxtimes	Identify boundary conditions	Section 3.1, 3.2
\boxtimes	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	Section 3.3

\boxtimes	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available	Section 3.2
	fire flow at locations throughout the development. Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment	N/A
	is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves. Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm	N/A
	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off values	NI/A
	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification	N/A
	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Section 3.2, 3.3
	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	N/A
	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
\boxtimes	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 3.2
	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
4.3	Development Servicing Report: Wastewater	
\boxtimes	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 4.2
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A
	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A
\boxtimes	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 4.1
\boxtimes	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	Section 4.2
\boxtimes	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 4.2, Appendix C
\boxtimes	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 4.2
	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A

	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping	N/A	
	stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.		
	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A	
	Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A	
	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A	
4.4	Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist		
\boxtimes	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 5.1	
\boxtimes	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	Section 5.1, Appendix D	
\boxtimes	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Drawings/Figures	
\boxtimes	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	Section 5.2	
\boxtimes	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	Section 5.2	
\boxtimes	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information	Section 5.3	
	Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A	
	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A	
	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the	Appondix A	
	Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	Appendix A	
	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	N/A	
\boxtimes	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	Section 5.3	
	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	N/A	
\boxtimes	Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	Section 5.1, 5.3	
	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A	
	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater	N/A	
	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has		
	adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-	N/A	
	year return period storm event.		
	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	N/A	
	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A	

	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development	Section 5.3	
	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development		
	from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall	N/A	
	grading.		
	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A	
	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for	Section 6.0	
<u>.</u>	the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.		
	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain		
_	information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may		
	be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the	N/A	
	Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information		
	does not match current conditions.		
	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical	N/A	
	investigation.		
4.5	Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist		
	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of		
	floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a		
	watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement		
\boxtimes	Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and	Section 1.2	
	Rivers Improvement ct. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in		
	place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required,		
	except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.		
	Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water	N/A	
	Resources Act.		
	Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A	
	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and	N/A	
	Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	19/2	
4.6	Conclusion Checklist		
\boxtimes	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 8.0	
	Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and		
	information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the		
	responsible reviewing agency.		
	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional		
	Engineer registered in Ontario		

Steve Merrick

From:	Moodie, Derrick < Derrick.Moodie@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Tuesday, January 17, 2017 4:44 PM
То:	Adam Fobert
Cc:	Steve Pichette
Subject:	RE: 5 Orchard Drive

Further to your conversation with Steve Pichette earlier today, please find below a summary of our servicing inquiries.

Water: We have discussed water connections with Santhosh. He has confirmed that we cannot connect to the existing 762mm diameter watermain. We anticipate that the contemplated development will involve more than 50units and therefore requires a looped connection. Santosh has indicated that Sweetnam is available, however connecting to this location involves crossing Poole Creek. We propose that we make a looped connections to Fringewood. Note that the Fringewood main is part of robust looped system with connections to Sweetnam and Iber, via Harry Douglas as well as Abott via Granite Ridge.

Agree, As long as the applicant/consultant demonstrate that the connection to water main on Fringewood meet the water demand and pressure requirements

2) Storm: There is limited background information available for the existing storm sewers on Hazeldean. Santhosh is providing us with a report that was an earlier version of the materials submitted to the MOE. However, the materials are not the final approved plans / report. We are in possession of a background report for the Hazeldean Road widening, the appendices have been scanned and are not legible. DSEL have completed a review of the drainage on the site. It appears that drainage from the existing site is being picked up by ditch inlet catchbasins. Our preliminary analysis of the capacity of the sewers shows that the site has been accommodated for. We require confirmation that no additional quality treatment is necessary and that the site can be temporarily accommodated within the existing temporary facilitate on Hazeldean (250m east of Huntmar). Ultimately this site is part of the drainage area tributary to the future Pond 5 on Richcraft's lands per the KWMS.

Storm - Based on the available information, I am not sure if the existing storm sewer on Hazeldean Rd. is adequately sized to receive flow from this site. The applicant/consultant needs to clearly demonstrate that the existing storm sewer on Hazeldean Road is adequately sized to receive flow from this site, based on the approved drainage area plan and storm sewer design sheet for the Hazeldean Road widening project. Quality treatment – The applicant/consultant needs to consult with Conservation Authority to determine if any quality treatment is required.

Existing temporary storm pond – The applicant/Consultant needs to demonstrate that the subject land is located within the catchment area of the existing temporary storm pond

Future pond 5 – The applicant/consultant needs to demonstrate that the subject land/site is located within the catchment area of the future pond 5

 Sanitary (DC Charges): Can you confirm that no additional fees or charges are required to connect to the Hazeldean sanitary sewer, other than development charges?
 If this site is located within the sanitary catchment area of the Hazeldean sanitary sewer, I don't believe there is a connection fee applicable to this site.

Thank you for your time. Please feel free to contact either myself or Steve Pichette.

Adam Fobert, P.Eng. Manager of Site Plan Design

DSEL david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

office: (613) 836-0856 direct: (613) 836-0626 cell: (613) 222-9493 email: <u>afobert@DSEL.ca</u>

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Steve Merrick

To: Subject: Adam Fobert RE: Pre-Consultation Follow-Up: 5 Orchard Drive

From: McCreight, Laurel [mailto:Laurel.McCreight@ottawa.ca]
Sent: Wednesday, January 10, 2018 4:09 PM
To: Cody Campanale
Subject: Pre-Consultation Follow-Up: 5 Orchard Drive

Hi Cody,

Please refer to the below regarding our Pre-Consultation Meeting on Monday January 8, 2018 on 5 Orchard Drive. I have also attached the Plans & Study List.

General

- Mixed use development of free-hold residential townhomes and semi-detached dwellings on a public road, combined with a commercial component fronting Hazeldean Road
- The commercial component would have two drive-throughs
- Ideally would like to taylor the development to future tenants and configure the concept based on tenants
- Discussion around how to proceed with applications
 - Recommended to file a <u>subdivision application</u> to create the residential lots and one commercial block
 - When a more defined concept has evolved for the commercial block, a site plan application can be filed
 - $\circ\;$ The site plan can be phased so long as zoning is met
- If the gross floor area of the commercial component exceeds 1,858 square metres (20,000 square feet) the site plan application will be subject to the <u>Urban Design Review Panel</u> because Hazeldean is an Arterial Mainstreet
- Please refer to the link for "<u>Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans</u>" in the attached plan/study list for proper submission requirements
- Digital copies of all plans and studies are to be submitted with the application
- It is suggested to contact the Ward Councillor, Shad Qadri (<u>shad.qadri@ottawa.ca</u>) of your proposal

Planning

- The proposal will be reviewed on OP policies related to General Urban Area (2.5.1 and 4.11) and Arterial Mainstreets (3.6.3) and on following zoning provisions.
- OP section 3.6.1.6 (b, d) is looking for connections for pedestrians and cyclists
- A pedestrian connection from the proposed subdivision to the commercial block should be provided
 This will provide pedestrians and faster means to access Hazeldean
- Regard for compatibility with existing residential development to the south
- The addition of semi-detached dwellings are not permitted under the current zoning
 - $_{\odot}\,$ A zoning by-law amendment would be required to add this use
- The treatment of the end units along Fringewood will be an important element
- Attempt to avoid as much of a noise wall as possible along Fringewood
- Please be cognisant of street trees in the townhome scenario (ex. Space and soil volume)
- A possibility could be the introduction of bungalow townhomes
- Parkland dedication is based on 1.0 ha /300 units for residential and 2% of the land value for commercial development

Engineering

- I understand the DSEL has spoken with Santhosh Kuruvilla (please continue to contact Santhosh for engineering matters on this project <u>Santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca</u>)
- The allowable stormwater release rate must be controlled to the 2-year, 5-year or 10-year pre-development level depending on the design return period of the receiving sewer
- Please demonstrate Hazeldean Road Storm sewers are adequately sized to receive stormwater runoff from this site
- The plans or reports for the Hazeldean Road widening project can be obtained by contacting the City of Ottawa information Centre at <u>informationcentre@ottawa.ca</u> or contact the design consultant McCormick Rankin Corporation
- Hazeldean sanitary sewers are sized to receive flows from this site, however, the sanitary sewers are not
 operational until the Kanata west pumping station construction is complete (planned to be commissioned in
 June 2018, subject to change)
 - $\,\circ\,$ As an interim solution, you may direct 5 L/S of sanitary flow to the Sweetnam Drive sewer
 - However, this flow needs to be redirected to Hazeldean Rd. sewer once the Kanata west pumping station construction is complete.
- As the Fringewood pumping station is at or near capacity, no sanitary flow can be directed to Fringewood Drive sanitary sewer
- A slope stability analysis may be required to determine the required setback for any proposed buildings from the Poole Creek
- Please contact or pre-consult with the Conservation Authority to determine the stormwater treatment requirement
 - \circ Include the correspondence in the stormwater management/site servicing report.
- Please contact the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) to determine if Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) is required and ensure that this correspondence is included in the stormwater management/site servicing report.
- Engineering plans must be submitted on standard A1 size (594mm x 841mm) sheets
 - All engineering plans and reports must be signed, sealed, and dated by the engineer of record

Transportation

- Show all road details for Hazeldean and Fringewood when submitting drawings (ie curb line work, pavement markings, median locations, sidewalks, etc)
- Denote lane widths, radii, etc
- ROW protection on Hazeldean 37.5 metres
- Private access minimum distance to signalized intersection as per TAC design
 - On Hazeldean 70 metres
 - On Fringewood 15 metres
- Clear throat length for the commercial block as per TAC design
 - $\,\circ\,\,$ Drive-in >200 square metres needs a 40 metres length clear throat off of an arterial
 - The other two building will be a minimum of 15-2 5metres length clear throat off of an arterial depending on what the uses will be
- <u>Transportation Impact Assessment</u> (TIA) guidelines have been revised
 - $\,\circ\,$ Need to see if the development will trigger the need for a TIA to be prepared
- The proposal may require a signalled intersection if placed at Cedarow Court to allow for all directional accesswill be need to be addressed in the TIS
- Road modification may be needed if a eastbound right-turn lane is required off of Hazeldean (TIS to confirm)
- Road noise analysis required for residential
- Noise study required for commercial if any of the tenants will be noise sensitive users (ie day care, offices, etc)
- Stationary noise analysis required if there are any exposed mechanical on the commercial building and their impacts to the surrounding noise sensitive land uses.
- Please contact Rosanna Baggs (<u>rosanna.baggs@ottawa.ca</u>) for any transportation related questions
Environmental

- Poole Creek is type 1-2 cold fish habitat
- Please note that setback requirements from Poole Creek is whichever of the following is greater: 30m normal high water mark, floodplain, geotechnical hazard, meaderbelt (65 metres)
- The Poole Creek corridor should be enhanced with native vegetation to supplement existing natural vegetation
 Please use a naturalization planting plan
- Discussion regarding the spillway (floodplain) onto the property and this could be addressed with MVCA
- An Environmental Impact Statement is required.
 - Please have the report address the potential of endangered and threatened species habitat (e.g., butternut trees, turtles) and wildlife linkage along the Poole Creek corridor
 - Please contact MNRF Kemptville District office to obtain a complete list
- There is a portion of the site that is zoned O1R (Parks & Open Space)
 - This zoning dates back to the Township of Goulbourn and was zoned EPA (Environmental Protection Area) (please see attached screen capture from Township of Goulbourn Zoning By-law 40-99)
 - Based on the development proposed, part of the development is within this zone, which is not permitted (not even backyards)
 - $\circ\,$ Should you wish to amend this zone, a Zoning By-law Amendment is required
 - $\circ\,$ The removal of this zone would have to be rationalized in the EIS
- OP sections 2.4.5 and 4.6.3.4: Public access to shorelines along all waterways which is accomplished by requiring that the land be dedicated
 - $\,\circ\,$ The dedicates lands should be accessible from a public road
- Tree retention along creek corridor is required
 - Please consider tree retention near rear property lines, future parklands, and where appropriate.
- A tree permit is needed to remove trees 10 cm in diameter or larger
- A Tree Conservation Report can be combined with the Environmental Impact Statement.
- The information required in a Tree Conservation Report:
 - $\circ~$ Tree species, diameter and health condition
 - $\circ~$ Trees proposed for retention or removal
 - $\circ~$ Protection details of retained trees
- For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson <u>mark.richardson@ottawa.ca</u>

Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority

- Meeting held with the applicant and MVCA prior to the Christmas holidays
- Email from Niall Oddie attached

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards, Laurel

Laurel McCreight MCIP, RPP Planner Development Review West Urbaniste

Examen des demandes d'aménagement ouest

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 613.580.2424 ext./poste 16587

ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

۱

,

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Genavieve Melatti

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Nader Nakhaei <NNakhaei@mvc.on.ca> Tuesday, June 5, 2018 9:32 AM Genavieve Melatti Steve Merrick RE: 5 Orchard Drive

Hi Genavieve,

The stormwater quality target for the Carp River is a 'Normal' Level of Protection (i.e. 70% TSS removal). Please let me know if you have any further question or concern.

Cheers,

Nader Nakhaei, Ph.D. | Postdoctoral Felllow / Water Resources Engineer (EIT) | Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority

www.mvc.on.ca | t. 613 253 0006 ext. 259 | f. 613 253 0122 | NNakhaei@mvc.on.ca



This e-mail originates from the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number shown above or by return e-mail and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you.

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail and/or its attachments

From: Genavieve Melatti [mailto:GMelatti@dsel.ca]
Sent: Tuesday, June 5, 2018 9:14 AM
To: Nader Nakhaei <NNakhaei@mvc.on.ca>
Cc: Steve Merrick <SMerrick@dsel.ca>
Subject: 5 Orchard Drive

Good morning Nader,

We wanted to touch base with you regarding 5 Orchard Drive.

The development proposes a residential component consisting of 65 townhomes, 2 semi-detached homes and 7 single family residences. It also contemplates a future commercial component. The development will discharge stormwater into the existing 675 mm diameter storm sewer within Hazeldean Road. Stormwater collected form site travels approximately 0.7 km before discharging into a pond on the north side of Hazeldean Road show below. Discharge from the pond travels an additional 0.97m through an open ditch to Carp River.

Can you please confirm the TSS removal required and what quality controls may be required?



Please feel free to let me know if you have any questions or would like to discuss.

Thank you,

Genavieve Melatti Project Coordinator/ Junior Designer

DSEL david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext. 569 **email**: gmelatti<u>@DSEL.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.



This map and the associated information displayed are to be used for general illustrative purposes only. Although best efforts have been made to create accuracy; due to the complex and extensive nature of the data, all representations and/or information provided herein are approximate and to be verified by user. User hereby acknowledges that this map is not intended for true and accurate navigational purposes and hereby accepts and assumes all inherent risks associated with the use of this map.

This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under Licence with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2015

Aerial Imagery © Fugro Geospatial May 2014 Digital Elevation Information © GeoDigital International Inc. 0 25

SCALE 1:2000 ÉCHELLE Meters / Mètres

Cette carte et les renseignements connexes qui sont affichés sont fournis à titre d'exemple général seulement. En dépit de tous les efforts consentis pour en garantir l'exactitude, les représentations ou renseignements l'on trouvera ici demeurent approximatifs du fait de la nature complexe et de l'étendue des données, et doi donc être vérifiés par l'utilisateur. L'utilisateur reconnait par la présente que cette carte n'est pas conçue une navigation exacte et véridique, accepte et endosse les risques connexes associés à son utilisation.

Cette carte a été en partie réalisée à l'aide de données fournies par le Groupe d'échange de données géospatiales en Ontario, en vertu d'un contrat de licence passé avec le ministère des Richesses naturelles et l'Imprimeur de la Reine pour l'Ontario en 2015.

lmages aériennes © Fugro Geospatial, Mai 2014 Données altimétriques numériques © GeoDigital International Inc.



s que	
oivent	
pour	

Revision #	Issue	Engineers stamp and seal
1 - Nov. 14, 2014	Public review	PROFESSION
2 - Dec. 4, 2014	Board approval	(2XRD) 2
3 - Jan. 21, 2015	Final	S Commence
4 - Sept. 24, 2015	Re-print for DRAPE 2014	E J. S. A. PRICE
		a Cor. 31/15 a
		204 March 19
		ACE OF OF



This map and the associated information displayed are to be used for general illustrative purposes only. Although best efforts have been made to create accuracy; due to the complex and extensive nature of the data, all representations and/or information provided herein are approximate and to be verified by user. User hereby acknowledges that this map is not intended for true and accurate navigational purposes and hereby accepts and assumes all inherent risks associated with the use of this map.

This map is produced in part with data provided by the Ontario Geographic Data Exchange under Licence with the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and the Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2015

Aerial Imagery © Fugro Geospatial May 2014 Digital Elevation Information © GeoDigital International Inc. 0 25 50

SCALE 1:2000 ÉCHELLE 100 Meters / Mètres

Cette carte et les renseignements connexes qui sont affichés sont fournis à titre d'exemple général seulement. En dépit de tous les efforts consentis pour en garantir l'exactitude, les représentations ou renseignements que l'on trouvera ici demeurent approximatifs du fait de la nature complexe et de l'étendue des données, et doivent donc être vérifiés par l'utilisateur. L'utilisateur reconnait par la présente que cette carte n'est pas conçue pour une navigation exacte et véridique, accepte et endosse les risques connexes associés à son utilisation.

Cette carte a été en partie réalisée à l'aide de données fournies par le Groupe d'échange de données géospatiales en Ontario, en vertu d'un contrat de licence passé avec le ministère des Richesses naturelles et l'Imprimeur de la Reine pour l'Ontario en 2015.

Images aériennes © Fugro Geospatial, Mai 2014 Données altimétriques numériques © GeoDigital International Inc.



Revision #	Issue	Engineers stamp and seal
1 - Nov. 14, 2014	Public review	OROFESSIONA
2 - Dec. 4, 2014	Board approval	(2XRD) 2
3 - Jan. 21, 2015	Final	S Comirado un
4 - Sept. 24, 2015	Re-print for DRAPE 2014	E J. S. A. PRICE
		a Cor. 31/152 a
		20 Line and the
		VCE OF ON

APPENDIX B

Water Supply



EQUIVAIENTS ninal actual nominal actual nm) (inches) (mm) (inches)	A - ASBESTOS CI - CAST IRON CO - COPPER	<u>è</u> –	348-016	350-016	352-016
	V096 V097 Dino Motoriolo				
	V091 V090 H060		<u> </u>	\mathbf{X}	
	2 P ¹ C				/
10 ¹ V076	059				
V003 V124 V123 J14				7~//S	
H058			55		$\left\langle \begin{array}{c} \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\$
		, in the second s			
			A SZ		
		1 mg/			
					X
			Ń		
			X.		
					2
		I			

inal	actual	nominal (mm)	actual	
,	(inches)	()	(inches)	(
5	27	1800	72	
0	30	1950	78	c c
5	33	2025	80	c c
0	36	2100	84	c c
5	39	2250	90	
50	42	2400	96	l F
00	48	2550	102	P\
50	54	2700	108	S1
00	60	2850	114	Ū
50	66	3000	120	U UN

348-016	350-016	352-016
348-015	350-015	352-015
348-014	350-014	352-014

Pressure Zone Map



Average Day



	2018-05-29_1006_avg-day_	ggm.rpt
Page 1		5/31/2018 12:51:16 PM
******	***********	*******
*	EPANET	*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	/ *
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks	*
*	Version 2.0	*
******	***********	******

Input File: 2018-05-29_1006_avg-day_ggm.net

Link - Node Table: End Node Link Start Length Diameter ID Node Node m mm _____ 79.9 16.23 213.04 35.73 24.78 127.54 103.24 15.79 24.29 16.35 8.96 72.63 18.42

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
10	0.00	161.00	56.40	0.00	
11	0.00	161.00	56.39	0.00	
12	0.00	161.00	56.25	0.00	
13	0.00	161.00	56.24	0.00	
14	0.00	161.00	56.27	0.00	
15	10.03	161.00	56.31	0.00	
16	0.00	161.00	56.30	0.00	

Page 1

2018-05-29_1006_avg-day_ggm.rpt 161.00 56.22 161.00 56.29 0.00 0.00 0.00 10 02

			_ 0 / _00	
17	0.00	161.00	56.22	0.00
18	10.03	161.00	56.29	0.00
19	31.10	161.00	56.23	0.00
20	0.00	161.00	56.31	0.00
21	10.03	161.00	56.33	0.00
22	0.00	161.00	56.32	0.00

♠

Page 2

Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality	
23	10.03	161.00	56.35	0.00	
24	0.00	161.00	55.99	0.00	
25	0.00	161.00	56.28	0.00	
1	-38.32	161.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
2	-32.91	161.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir

Link Results:

Link ID	Flow LPM	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status
			·	
1	38.32	0.02	0.00	Open
2	38.32	0.02	0.01	Open
3	41.95	0.02	0.01	Open
4	10.85	0.01	0.00	Open
5	10.85	0.01	0.00	Open
6	0.82	0.00	0.00	Open
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
8	-9.21	0.00	0.00	Open
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
10	-19.25	0.01	0.00	Open
11	-29.28	0.02	0.00	Open
12	-3.63	0.00	0.00	Open
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
14	-3.63	0.00	0.00	Open
15	-32.91	0.02	0.01	Open
16	-32.91	0.02	0.00	Open
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
18	-32.91	0.02	0.00	Open

	2018-06-04_1006_avg-day_gg	;m.rpt
Page 1		6/4/2018 4:34:20 PM
*****	*******	******
*	EPANET	*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks	*
*	Version 2.0	*
******	*******	******

Input File: 2018-05-29_1006_avg-day_ggm.net

Link - Node Table:						
Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter		
1D	Node	Node	m 	mm		
1	1	24	1	200		
2	24	17	79.9	200		
3	17	19	16.23	200		
4	19	20	213.04	200		
5	20	23	35.73	200		
6	23	21	24.78	200		
7	21	22	2.13	150		
8	21	15	127.54	200		
9	15	16	2.13	150		
10	15	18	103.24	200		
11	18	14	15.79	200		
12	17	12	24.29	200		
13	12	13	2.94	150		
14	12	14	16.35	200		
15	14	25	8.96	200		
16	25	10	72.63	200		
17	10	11	3	150		
18	10	2	18.42	200		

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
10	0.00	161.00	56.40	0.00	
11	0.00	161.00	56.39	0.00	
12	0.00	161.00	56.25	0.00	
13	0.00	161.00	56.24	0.00	
14	0.00	161.00	56.27	0.00	
15	10.03	161.00	56.31	0.00	
16	0.00	161.00	56.30	0.00	

Page 1

2018-06-04 1006 avg-day ggm.rpt

			_ 0 /_00	
17	0.00	161.00	56.22	0.00
18	10.03	161.00	56.29	0.00
19	31.10	161.00	56.23	0.00
20	0.00	161.00	56.31	0.00
21	10.03	161.00	56.33	0.00
22	0.00	161.00	56.32	0.00

♠

Page 2 Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
23	10.03	161.00	56.35	0.00	
24	0.00	161.00	55.99	0.00	
25	0.00	161.00	56.28	0.00	
1	-38.32	161.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
2	-32.91	161.00	0.00	0.00	Reservoir

Link Results:

Link ID	Flow LPM	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status
1	38.32	0.02	0.00	Open
2	38.32	0.02	0.01	Open
3	41.95	0.02	0.01	Open
4	10.85	0.01	0.00	Open
5	10.85	0.01	0.00	Open
6	0.82	0.00	0.00	Open
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
8	-9.22	0.00	0.00	Open
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
10	-19.25	0.01	0.00	Open
11	-29.28	0.02	0.00	Open
12	-3.63	0.00	0.00	Open
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
14	-3.63	0.00	0.00	Open
15	-32.91	0.02	0.01	Open
16	-32.91	0.02	0.00	Open
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
18	-32.91	0.02	0.00	Open

Max Daily Demand + Fire Flow (10,000L/min)



	2018-06-04_1006_max-day+ff-10000_ggm.r	pt
Page 1	6/4/201	8 4:39:19 PM
*******	***************************************	*******
*	ΕΡΑΝΕΤ	*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks	*
*	Version 2.0	*
*******	***************************************	*******

Input File: 2018-05-29_1006_max-day+ff-10000_ggm.net

LIIK - Node Table.						
Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter		
ID	Node	Node	m	mm		
1	1	24	1	200		
2	24	17	79.9	200		
3	17	19	16.23	200		
4	19	20	213.04	200		
5	20	23	35.73	200		
6	23	21	24.78	200		
7	21	22	2.13	150		
8	21	15	127.54	200		
9	15	16	2.13	150		
10	15	18	103.24	200		
11	18	14	15.79	200		
12	17	12	24.29	200		
13	12	13	2.94	150		
14	12	14	16.35	200		
15	14	25	8.96	200		
16	25	10	72.63	200		
17	10	11	3	150		
18	10	2	18.42	200		

Link - Node Table:

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
10	0.00	145.33	40.73	0.00	
11	0.00	145.33	40.72	0.00	
12	0.00	145.66	40.91	0.00	
13	0.00	145.66	40.90	0.00	
14	0.00	145.03	40.30	0.00	
15	36.05	138.38	33.69	0.00	
16	0.00	138.38	33.68	0.00	

Page 1

2018-06-04_1006_max-day+ff-10000_ggm.rpt

			-	
17	0.00	146.52	41.74	0.00
18	36.05	143.58	38.87	0.00
19	46.70	145.14	40.37	0.00
20	0.00	135.61	30.92	0.00
21	10036.05	132.17	27.50	0.00
22	0.00	132.17	27.49	0.00

♠

Page 2 Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
23	36.05	133.68	29.03	0.00	
24	0.00	158.17	53.16	0.00	
25	0.00	145.08	40.36	0.00	
1	-9012.55	158.30	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
2	-1178.35	145.40	0.00	0.00	Reservoir

Link Results:

Link ID	Flow LPM	VelocityUni m/s	t Headloss m/km	Status
1	9012.55	4.78	134.06	Open
2	9012.55	4.78	145.72	Open
3	5008.78	2.66	85.06	Open
4	4962.08	2.63	44.72	Open
5	4962.08	2.63	54.27	Open
6	4926.03	2.61	60.64	Open
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
8	-5110.02	2.71	48.63	Open
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
10	-5146.07	2.73	50.43	Open
11	-5182.12	2.75	91.99	Open
12	4003.78	2.12	35.51	Open
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
14	4003.77	2.12	38.27	Open
15	-1178.35	0.63	5.32	Open
16	-1178.35	0.63	3.43	Open
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
18	-1178.35	0.63	3.75	Open

Max Daily Demand + Fire Flow (15,000L/min)



	2018-06-04_1006_max-day+ff-15000_ggm.r	pt
Page 1	6/4/201	8 4:42:30 PM
*******	*******	********
*	ΕΡΑΝΕΤ	*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks	*
*	Version 2.0	*
********	***************************************	********

Input File: 2018-05-29_1006_max-day+ff-15000_ggm.net

LIIK - Node Table.						
Link	Start	End	Length	Diameter		
ID	Node	Node	m	mm		
1	1	24	1	200		
2	24	17	79.9	200		
3	17	19	16.23	200		
4	19	20	213.04	200		
5	20	23	35.73	200		
6	23	21	24.78	200		
7	21	22	2.13	150		
8	21	15	127.54	200		
9	15	16	2.13	150		
10	15	18	103.24	200		
11	18	14	15.79	200		
12	17	12	24.29	200		
13	12	13	2.94	150		
14	12	14	16.35	200		
15	14	25	8.96	200		
16	25	10	72.63	200		
17	10	11	3	150		
18	10	2	18.42	200		

Link - Node Table:

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours
10	0.00	130.63	26.03	0.00
11	0.00	130.63	26.02	0.00
12	0.00	130.44	25.69	0.00
13	0.00	130.44	25.68	0.00
14	0.00	130.33	25.60	0.00
15	36.05	128.35	23.66	0.00
16	0.00	128.35	23.65	0.00

Page 1

2018-06-04_1006_max-day+ff-15000_ggm.rpt

			-	
17	0.00	130.59	25.81	0.00
18	36.05	129.91	25.20	0.00
19	15046.70	122.62	17.85	0.00
20	0.00	125.47	20.78	0.00
21	36.05	126.50	21.83	0.00
22	0.00	126.50	21.82	0.00

♠

Page 2 Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
23	36.05	126.04	21.39	0.00	
24	0.00	157.10	52.09	0.00	
25	0.00	130.38	25.66	0.00	
1	-14012.95	157.40	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
2	-1177.95	130.70	0.00	0.00	Reservoir

Link Results:

Link ID	Flow LPM	VelocityUni m/s	t Headloss m/km	Status
1	14012.95	7.43	303.59	Open
2	14012.95	7.43	331.79	Open
3	12458.20	6.61	490.96	Open
4	-2588.50	1.37	13.39	Open
5	-2588.50	1.37	15.99	Open
6	-2624.55	1.39	18.43	Open
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
8	-2660.60	1.41	14.47	Open
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
10	-2696.65	1.43	15.16	Open
11	-2732.70	1.45	26.91	Open
12	1554.75	0.82	6.03	Open
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
14	1554.75	0.82	6.45	Open
15	-1177.95	0.62	5.32	Open
16	-1177.95	0.62	3.42	Open
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
18	-1177.95	0.62	3.74	Open

Peak Hour



	2018-06-04_1006_peak-hour_ggm	1.rpt
Page 1	6	/4/2018 4:47:05 PM
******	************	*******
*	EPANET	*
*	Hydraulic and Water Quality	*
*	Analysis for Pipe Networks	*
*	Version 2.0	*
*****	************	*****

Input File: 2018-06-04_1006_peak-hour_ggm.net

LIIK - NOUE TADIE.					
Link ID	Start Node	End Node	Length m	Diameter mm	
1	1	24	1	200	
2	24	17	79.9	200	
3	17	19	16.23	200	
4	19	20	213.04	200	
5	20	23	35.73	200	
6	23	21	24.78	200	
7	21	22	2.13	150	
8	21	15	127.54	200	
9	15	16	2.13	150	
10	15	18	103.24	200	
11	18	14	15.79	200	
12	17	12	24.29	200	
13	12	13	2.94	150	
14	12	14	16.35	200	
15	14	25	8.96	200	
16	25	10	72.63	200	
17	10	11	3	150	
18	10	2	18.42	200	

Link - Node Table:

Node Results:

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
10	0.00	157.24	52.64	0.00	
11	0.00	157.24	52.63	0.00	
12	0.00	157.42	52.67	0.00	
13	0.00	157.42	52.66	0.00	
14	0.00	157.39	52.66	0.00	
15	54.08	157.39	52.70	0.00	
16	0.00	157.39	52.69	0.00	

Page 1

	2018	-06-04_1006	_peak-hour	_ggm.rpt
17	0.00	157.46	52.68	0.00
18	54.08	157.39	52.68	0.00
19	84.00	157.45	52.68	0.00
20	0.00	157.41	52.72	0.00
21	54.08	157.40	52.73	0.00
22	0.00	157.40	52.72	0.00

♠

Page 2 Node Results: (continued)

Node ID	Demand LPM	Head m	Pressure m	Quality hours	
23	54.08	157.40	52.75	0.00	
24	0.00	157.70	52.69	0.00	
25	0.00	157.36	52.64	0.00	
1	-1123.27	157.70	0.00	0.00	Reservoir
2	822.95	157.20	0.00	0.00	Reservoir

Link Results:

Link ID	Flow LPM	VelocityUnit m/s	Headloss m/km	Status
1	1123.27	0.60	2.83	Open
2	1123.27	0.60	3.02	Open
3	339.29	0.18	0.49	Open
4	255.29	0.14	0.18	Open
5	255.29	0.14	0.21	Open
6	201.21	0.11	0.15	Open
7	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
8	147.13	0.08	0.07	Open
9	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
10	93.05	0.05	0.03	Open
11	38.97	0.02	0.01	Open
12	783.98	0.42	1.67	Open
13	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
14	783.98	0.42	1.78	Open
15	822.95	0.44	2.68	Open
16	822.95	0.44	1.75	Open
17	0.00	0.00	0.00	Open
18	822.95	0.44	1.91	Open

Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010

Domestic Demand

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4	7	24
Semi-detached	2.7	2	6
Townhouse	2.7	65	176
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4		0
2 Bedroom	2.1		0
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0

	Рор	Avg. Daily		Avg. Daily Max Day		vg. Daily Max Day Peak		Peak I	lour
		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min		
Total Domestic Demand	206	57.7	40.1	207.6	144.2	311.5	216.3		

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

				Avg. [Daily	Max	Day	Peak I	Hour
Property Type	Unit	Rate Ur	nits	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Commercial Space	28,000.0	L/ha/d	2	44.80	31.1	67.2	46.7	121.0	84.0
Office	75	L/9.3m ² /d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Light	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Industrial - Heavy	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
		Total I/CI Der	mand	44.8	31.1	67.2	46.7	121.0	84.0
		Total Der	mand	102.5	71.2	274.8	190.9	432.4	300.3



APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection



Trunk Sanitary Sewers and Collection Areas Map

Existing Sanitary Map



Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area	rea 4.060 ha				
Extraneous Flow Allowance	es In filter	4:	4 00 1 /	_	
	Inflitra	tion / Inflow	1.30 L/s	5	
Domestic Contributions					
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор		
Single Family	3.4		0		
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0		
Townhouse	2.7		0		
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0		
Apartment					
Bachelor	1.4		0		
1 Bedroom	1.4		0		
2 Bedroom	2.1		0		
3 Bedroom	3.1		0		
Average	1.8		0		

Total Pop	362	
Average Domestic Flow	1.17	L/s
Peaking Factor	3.43	

Peak Domestic Flow 4.03 L/s

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Contributions **Property Type** Unit Rate

Property Type	Unit	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Commercial floor space	28,000	L/ha/d	1.83	0.59
Pool	40	L/9.3m²/d		0.00
Office	75	L/9.3m²/d		0.00
Ex. Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00

Average I/C/I Flow	0.59
Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow	0.89
Peak Industrial Flow**	0.00
Peak I/C/I Flow	0.89

* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

** peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.77 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	4.92 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	6.22 L/s

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area			3.980 ha
Extraneous Flow Allowance	es Infiltra	tion / Inflow	1.27 L/s
Domestic Contributions			
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4	7	24
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7	2	6
Townhouse	2.7	65	176
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0
Apartment			
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4		0
2 Bedroom	2.1		0
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0

Total Pop	206
Average Domestic Flow	0.67 L/s
Peaking Factor	3.51
Peak Domestic Flow	2.35 L/s

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Contributions Property Type Unit Rate

Property Type	Unit	Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)
Commercial floor space	28,000	L/ha/d	1.83	0.59
Pool	40	L/9.3m ² /d		0.00
Office	75	L/9.3m ² /d		0.00
Ex. Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Light**	35,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
Industrial - Heavy**	55,000	L/gross ha/d		0.00
		_		
		Ave	erage I/C/I Flow	0.59

Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow	0.89
Peak Industrial Flow**	0.00
Peak I/C/I Flow	0.89

** peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	1.26 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	3.24 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	4.51 L/s

SANITARY SEWER CALCULATION SHEET

CLIENT: Campanale Homes	DESIGN PARAMETERS			
LOCATION: 5 Orchard Drive	Avg. Daily Flow Res. 280 L/p/d	Peak Fact Res. Per Harmons: Min = 2.0, Ma	ax =3.8 Infiltration / Inflow	0.33 L/s/ha
FILE REF: 18-1016	Avg. Daily Flow Comn 28,000 L/ha/	d Peak Fact. Comm. If Peak (Q ₄ /Q _{TOTAL} >20%) 1.5 Col	eak Fact. 1 Min. Pipe Velocity	0.60 m/s full flowing
DATE: 6-Mar-19	Avg. Daily Flow Instit. 28,000 L/ha/	ed Peak Fact. Instit. If Peat (Q ₄ /Q _{TOTAL} >20%) 1.5 Ins	eak Fact. 1 Max. Pipe Velocity stit.	3.00 m/s full flowing
	Avg. Daily Flow Indust 35,000 L/ha/	d Peak Fact. Indust. per MOE graph Correction Factor K 0.8	Mannings N	0.013

Location Residential Area and Population											Commercial		Institutional		Industrial			Infiltration				Pipe Data									
Area ID	Up	Down	Area		Numbe	er of Units		Pop.	Cumu	ative	Peak.	Qres	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Q _{C+I+I}	Total	Accu.	Infiltration	Total	DIA	Slope	Length	A _{hydraulic}	R	Velocity	Q _{cap}	Q / Q full
			by type				Area	Pop.	Fact.			Area		Area		Area		Area	Area	Flow	Flow										
			(ha)	Singles	Semi's	Town's	Apt's		(ha)		(-)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(-)
SAN1	SAN101	SAN102	1.3	0 7	7	2 30		110.0	1.3	110.0	3.59	1.28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.298	1.298	0.428	1.71	200	0.70	119.8	0.031	0.050	0.87	27.4	0.06
SAN2	SAN102	FUT.SAN103A	0.8	5		35		95.0	2.146	205.0	3.52	2.34		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	0.848	2.146	0.708	3.04	200	1.60	119.2	0.031	0.050	1.32	41.5	0.07
	FUT.SAN103	FUT.SAN103A	0.00	0				0.0	0.000	0.0	3.80	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.00	250	0.80	49.5	0.049	0.063	1.08	53.2	0.00
	FUT.SAN103A	FUT.SAN104	0.0	0				0.0	2.146	205.0	3.52	2.34		0.00		0.00		0.00	0.0	0.000	2.146	0.708	3.04	250	0.80	47.3	0.049	0.063	1.08	53.2	0.06
SAN3	COMMERCIAL	FUT.SAN104	1.8	3				0.0	1.828	0.0	3.80	0.00	1.83	1.83	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.9	3.656	3.656	1.206	2.10	200	1.00	13.7	0.031	0.050	1.04	32.8	0.06
	FUT.SAN104	FUT.SAN105	0.0	0				0.0	3.974	205.0	3.52	2.34		1.83		0.00		0.00	0.9	0.000	5.802	1.915	5.14	250	0.80	18.5	0.049	0.063	1.08	53.2	0.10
	FUT.SAN105	FUT.SAN106	0.0	0				0.0	3.974	205.0	3.52	2.34		3.66		0.00		0.00	1.8	0.000	9.458	3.121	7.23	250	0.80	45.0	0.049	0.063	1.08	53.2	0.14
																															· · · · ·



MEMORANDUM

DATE: MAY 16, 2018

TO: JEFF DELOYDE, CITY OF OTTAWA

FROM: KRISTYN BOEHME, NOVATECH

RE: WEST END PUMPING STATIONS DECOMMISSIONING & BY-PASS SEWERS FRINGEWOOD DRIVE BY-PASS SEWER DESIGN

CC: BOB DOWDALL, NOVATECH

1.0 Introduction & Purpose

Novatech has been retained by the City of Ottawa to decommission five (5) pump stations in the Stitsville area, including the facility currently servicing Fringewood Drive and the adjacent streets. As part of the Fringewood pump station decommissioning, a by-pass sewer is required to divert flows from the pump station to the Hazeldean Trunk Sewer. This memo is intended to provide an overview of the new by-pass sewer design.

2.0 Design Criteria

Based on discussions with the City, peak design flows to be for sizing by-pass sewers should consider the following peak flows:

- 1. Measured Wet Weather Peak Flows (2014 WWF Event)
- 2. Pump Stations Capacity (from MOE C of A's)
- 3. Rationale Method using Drainage Areas and Populations

The greatest flow was used to establish the peak design flow to size the sewers.

2.1 Wet Weather Peak Flows

The peak wet weather flows (WWF) from the event of June 24, 2014 was provided by the City of Ottawa. The event peak flow at Fringewood pump station was 33.2L/s.

2.2 Pump Station Capacity

The capacity of the pump station was specified in the corresponding Certificates of Approvals (C of A). The C of A for Fringewood Pump Station is 27L/s.

M:\2018\118022\DATA\MEMOS\SEWERSIZING_FRINGEWOOD\20180416_SEWERSIZING_FRINGEWOOD.DOCX


2.3 Drainage Areas and Population / Occupancy

Existing Development

The Fringewood area was divided into 14 drainage areas based on placement of the existing sanitary sewers flowing to the pump station and the vacant lands to the west, refer to **Appendix A: Drainage Areas**. Each drainage area was assigned unique Drainage Area ID's for the purposes of identification. Within each drainage area, each building type was defined by single family, semi-detached, duplex, townhouse, or apartment. Based on the building type, a general population density was applied to estimate the existing population. The total flow of the existing sanitary sewers was then calculated using the population of each drainage area, refer to **Appendix B: Sewer Design Sheets**. The total flow based on the existing population is 47.4L/s.

Potential Future Development

As part of the sanitary sewer design, a review of the potential future development within the project limits has been completed to project anticipated users of the underground sanitary sewer system and to ensure the new sewer will accommodate existing, as well as future development users.

The Fringewood area is designated as General Urban Area on Schedule B of the City of Ottawa Official Plan which permits all types and densities of housing, as well as employment, retail uses, service, industrial, cultural, leisure, greenspace, entertainment and institutional uses. However, it is not within the boundaries of a Community Design Plan (CDP) or Secondary Plan. Since the Official Plan designation permits a wide range of uses, existing zoning has been used to determine growth potential.

Fringewood Drive and the neighbouring side streets are an established residential neighbourhood characterized by single detached dwellings. Zoning primarily consists of R1L, with exception to one property with zoning L1 and the lands to the west with zoning AM9. R1 zones permit only single detached dwellings, as well as ancillary uses and generally permitted uses such as secondary suites, group homes, bed and breakfasts, etc. L1 zones permit only recreational uses such as community centres, day care, emergency services, park, etc. AM zones permit a broad range of uses including retail, service commercial, offices, residential and institutional uses in mixed-use buildings, or side by side in separate buildings.

As each lot in the R1 residential zone is currently occupied by a single-detached dwelling, the potential future development was considered negligible and the existing development population was used for future sizing. However, the vacant lands to the west (zoning AM) may undergo significant development in the nearby future. Through discussions with City Planning, it was noted that the lands north of Fringewood Drive (5734/5754 Hazldean Road) have an approved sanitary outlet to the lber Road sewer system. The development plans for the lands to the south (5 Orchard Drive) are unknown at this time and these flows may be conveyed to the new by-pass sewer. As such, future population growth was estimated for this area.

The subject lands are located adjacent to Hazeldean Road. Given that Hazeldean is a Transit Priority Street, it was assumed the subject sites will develop similar to those neighbouring lands identified in the Fernbank Community Design Plan (CDP). The Fernbank CDP considers land use area for Mixed Use to be 55% residential and 50% commercial. Given the discrepancy, it was assumed 55%

M:\2018\118022\DATA\MEMOS\SEWERSIZING_FRINGEWOOD\20180416_SEWERSIZING_FRINGEWOOD.DOCX



residential and 45% commercial. **Table 1** below documents the assumptions used to estimate the total projected population of the Subject Lands.

	Target/gross ha
Land Use Designation	Mixed Use
Land Use: Mixed Use (Residential)	55% of lands
Land Use: Mixed Use (Commercial)	45% of lands
Residential Units	90 (units / ha)
Residential Population per Mixed Use Unit	1.8 (people per unit)
Neighbourhood Commercial	50 (jobs / ha)

Table 1: Projected Population Assumptions from Fernbank CDP

The total flow based on the future population is 52.4L/s, refer to Appendix C: Planning Input.

3.0 By-Pass Sewer Design

Based on the foregoing analysis, the future drainage areas and population/density resulted with the highest peak flow of 52.4L/s and was used for sizing purposes. A 250mm dia. sanitary sewer can adequately accommodate these flows, refer to **Appendix B: Sewer Design Sheets**.

The proposed alignment will drain northwest on Fringewood Drive from the existing maintenance hole (MHSA 09075) to tie-in to the existing 250mm dia. stub approximately 10m southeast of Hazeldean Road that connects to the Hazeldean Trunk Sewer. The approximate length is 190m with a fixed slope of 0.96% between the upstream invert of MHSA 09075 (102.41m) and the downstream invert of the stub (100.93m).

M:\2018\118022\DATA\MEMOS\SEWERSIZING_FRINGEWOOD\20180416_SEWERSIZING_FRINGEWOOD.DOCX

Appendix A Drainage Areas



M:\2018\11E

Appendix B Sewer Design Sheets

Sanitary Area #1 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Woodwind Cres				
57	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
55	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
53	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
51	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
49	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
47	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
45	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
36	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
32	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
30	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
Dowdall Cres				
22	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	11	37.4

Sanitary Area #2 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Woodwind Cres				
43	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
28	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	2	6.8

Sanitary Area #3 - Fringewood Address Pop. Factor Units Population Туре Woodwind Cres Single Family 41 3.4 3.4 1 39 Single Family 3.4 1 3.4 Single Family 37 3.4 1 3.4 35 Single Family 3.4 1 3.4 4 Total 13.6

<u>Summary Charts</u>					
Fringewood	lotal				
Sanitary Area	Units	Population			
1	11	37.4			
2	2	6.8			
3	4	13.6			
4	17	57.8			
5	16	54.4			
6	10	34			
7	16	54.4			
8	19	64.6			
9	5	17			
10	9	30.6			
11	45	153			
12	14	44.2			
13	50	170			
14	0	0			
Total	218	737.8			

Sanitary Area	Area(m^2)	Area (ha)
1	12836.17	1.28
2	2800.882	0.28
3	6859.468	0.69
4	13284.591	1.33
5	15989.287	1.60
6	10100.535	1.01
7	12626.086	1.26
8	15575.105	1.56
9	5396.262	0.54
10	8788.172	0.88
11	51152.337	5.12
12	19323.086	1.93
13	51670.735	5.17
14	40600	4.06
Total	267002.72	26.70

Sanitary Area #4 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Woodwind Cres				
26	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
24	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
22	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
20	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
18	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
16	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
14	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
12	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
33	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
31	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
29	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
27	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
25	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
23	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
21	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
19	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
17	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	17	57.8

Sanitary Area #5 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Dowdall Cres				
27	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
23	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
20	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
19	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
18	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
16	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
15	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
14	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
12	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
11	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
10	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
8	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
7	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
6	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
4	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
2	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	16	54.4

Sanitary Area #6 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Woodwind Cres				
15	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
13	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
11	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
9	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
8	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
7	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
6	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
5	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
4	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
2	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	10	34

Sanitary Area #7 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Fringewood Dr				
54	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
53	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
52	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
51	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
50	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
49	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
48	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
47	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
46	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
45	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
44	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
43	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
42	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
41	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
40	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
38	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	16	54.4

Sanitary Area #8 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Poole Creek Cres				
40	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
41	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
42	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
43	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
44	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
45	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
46	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
47	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
48	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
49	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
50	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
51	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
52	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
53	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
54	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
55	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
56	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
58	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
60	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	19	64.6

Sanitary Area #9 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Fringewood Dr				
36	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
34	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
33	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
32	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
30	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	5	17

Sanitary Area #10 - Fringewood

	_			
Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Fringewood Dr				
28	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
27	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
26	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
25	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
24	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
23	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
22	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
21	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
20	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	9	30.6

Sanitary Area #11 - Fringewood

Address	Pop. Factor	Units	Population		
Lazy Nol Crt		1			
1	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
2	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
3	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
4	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
5	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
7	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
8	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
9	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
10	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
11	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
12	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
14	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
15	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
16	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
17	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
18	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
19	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
20	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
21	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
22	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
23	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
25	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
27	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
29	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
31	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
32	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
33	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
35	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
37	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
39	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
40	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
41	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
42	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
43	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
44	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
45	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
46	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
47	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
48	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
49	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
51	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
52	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
53	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
55	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
57	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4	
		Total	45	153	

Sanitary Area #12 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Fringewood Dr				
18	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
17	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
16	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
15	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
14	Commercial	FALSE	1	0
12	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
11	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
10	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
9	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
8	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
7	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
6	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
5	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
4	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	14	44.2

Sanitary Area #13 - Fringewood

Address	Туре	Pop. Factor	Units	Population
Cloverloft Crt	• •	-		
3	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
4	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
5	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
6	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
7	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
9	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
10	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
11	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
15	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
16	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
17	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
18	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
19	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
20	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
21	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
22	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
23	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
24	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
25	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
26	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
27	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
28	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4

29	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
31	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
33	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
35	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
37	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
38	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
39	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
41	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
43	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
44	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
45	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
46	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
47	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
48	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
49	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
50	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
51	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
52	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
53	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
54	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
55	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
56	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
57	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
59	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
61	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
63	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
65	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
Fringewood Dr				
3	Single Family	3.4	1	3.4
		Total	50	170

Sanitary Area #14 - Fringewood

Orchard Drive

5	Total	0	0
	Total	0	0

Sanitary	Area (ha)	Existing Units	Тс	otal	Futur	e Units	То	tal
Area	Aled (IId)	Sing. Family	Units	Pop.	Apart.	Sing. Family	Units	Pop.
Fringewood								
1	1.28	11	11	37.4	0	11	11	37.4
2	0.28	2	2	6.8	0	2	2	6.8
3	0.69	4	4	13.6	0	4	4	13.6
4	1.33	17	17	57.8	0	17	17	57.8
5	1.60	16	16	54.4	0	16	16	54.4
6	1.01	10	10	34	0	10	10	34.0
7	1.26	16	16	54.4	0	16	16	54.4
8	1.56	19	19	64.6	0	19	19	64.6
9	0.54	5	5	17	0	5	5	17.0
10	0.88	9	9	30.6	0	9	9	30.6
11	5.12	45	45	153	0	45	45	153.0
12	1.93	14	14	44.2	0	14	14	47.6
13	5.17	50	50	170	0	50	50	170.0
14	4.06	0	0	0	201	0	201	361.8

¹ Forecasted dwelling units are calculated based on growth projections prepared by Novatech's planning staff. The number of dwelling units applies a unit factor per hectare to determine the number of units based on expected development potential in the project area. The factors were provided from planning staff for each area.

SAN 3 - SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET JOB# 118022

EXISTING FLOW

	LOCATION RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION						COMME	RCIAL/INSTI	TUTIONAL		INFI	LTRATION		OTHER	EXTRANEO	US FLOWS	FLOW		SEWER DATA									
	LUCATI			Area	Pop.	Cun	nulative	Peak	Peak	Area	Peak	Peak	Total	Infiltration	Found. Drain	Combined	Rev. Slope	Flat	Combined	Total			Diameter	Diameter		Velocity	Capacity	Ratio
	MAN	HOLES		1		Area	Pop.	Factor	Flow		Factor	Flow	Area	Flow	Allowance	Add. Flow	Driveways	Roofs	Ext Flows	Flow	l ype of Pipe	Length	Actual	Nominal	SLOPE	(Full)	(Full)	Q/Qfull
STREET	FROM	то	AREA ID	(ha)		(ha)			(l/s)	(ha)		(I/s)	(ha)	(I/s)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(l/s)		(m)	(mm)	(mm)		(m/s)	(I/s)	(%)
Woodwind			1	1.28	37.4	1.28	37.4	4.00	0.48				1.28	0.36	1.80	2.16				2.64	PVC	156.9	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	4%
			2	0.28	6.8	1.56	44.20	4.00	0.57				0.28	0.08	0.39	0.47				3.20	PVC	41.6	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	5%
			3	0.69	13.6	0.69	13.6	4.00	0.18				0.69	0.19	0.96	1.15				1.33	PVC	34.2	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	2%
			4	1.33	57.8	3.58	115.60	4.00	1.50				1.33	0.37	1.86	2.23				7.51	PVC	255.2	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	12%
Dowdall			5	1.60	54.4	1.60	54.4	4.00	0.71				1.60	0.45	2.24	2.69				3.39	PVC	255.0	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	5%
Woodwind			6	1.01	34	6.19	204.00	4.00	2.64				1.01	0.28	1.41	1.70				13.04	PVC	227.3	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	21%
Fringewood			7	1.26	54.4	1.26	54.4	4.00	0.71				1.26	0.35	1.77	2.12				2.83	PVC	210.3	254	250	0.60	0.95	48.0	6%
Poole Creek			8	1.56	64.6	1.56	64.6	4.00	0.84				1.56	0.44	2.18	2.62				3.45	PVC	272.0	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	6%
Fringewood			9	0.54	17	3.36	136.00	4.00	1.76				0.54	0.15	0.76	0.91				17.80	PVC	106.3	254	250	0.60	0.95	48.0	37%
			10	0.88	30.6	10.43	370.60	4.00	4.80				0.88	0.25	1.23	1.48				22.32	PVC	141.9	254	250	0.40	0.77	39.2	57%
Lazy Nol			11	5.12	153	5.12	153	4.00	1.98				5.12	1.43	7.16	8.59				10.58	PVC	772.8	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	17%
Fringewood			12	1.93	44.2	17.47	567.80	3.95	7.26	0.02	1.5	0.01	1.95	0.55	2.73	3.27				36.65	PVC	281.2	254	250	0.40	0.77	39.2	93%
Cloverloft			13	5.17	170	5.17	170	4.00	2.20				5.17	1.45	7.23	8.68				10.88	PVC	835.1	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	18%
Fringewood			14																									
			Outlet			22.64	737.80	3.88	9.28											47.35	PVC	190.0	254	250	0.96	1.20	60.7	78%

FUTURE FLOW (PEAK DESIGN FLOW)

	LOCATION RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION					COMME	RCIAL/INSTIT	UTIONAL		INFI	TRATION		OTHER EXTRANEOUS FLOWS FLOW				SEWER DATA											
	LUCATIO			Area	Pop.	Cun	nulative	Peak	Peak	Area	Peak	Peak	Total	Infiltration	Found. Drain	Combined	Rev. Slope	Flat	Combined	Total			Diameter	Diameter		Velocity	Capacity	Ratio
	MANH	OLES				Area	Pop.	Factor	Flow		Factor	Flow	Area	Flow	Allowance	Add. Flow	Driveways	Roofs	Ext Flows	Flow	Type of Pipe	Length	Actual	Nominal	SLOPE	(Full)	(Full)	Q/Qfull
STREET	FROM	то	AREA ID	(ha)		(ha)			(l/s)	(ha)		(I/s)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(I/s)	(I/s)	(l/s)	(l/s)		(m)	(mm)	(mm)		(m/s)	(l/s)	(%)
Woodwind			1	1.28	37.4	1.28	37.4	4.00	0.48				1.28	0.36	1.80	2.16				2.64	PVC	156.9	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	4%
			2	0.28	6.8	1.56	44.20	4.00	0.57				0.28	0.08	0.39	0.47				3.20	PVC	41.6	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	5%
			3	0.69	13.6	0.69	13.6	4.00	0.18				0.69	0.19	0.96	1.15				1.33	PVC	34.2	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	2%
			4	1.33	57.8	3.58	115.60	4.00	1.50				1.33	0.37	1.86	2.23				7.51	PVC	255.2	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	12%
Dowdall			5	1.60	54.4	1.60	54.4	4.00	0.71				1.60	0.45	2.24	2.69				3.39	PVC	255.0	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	5%
Woodwind			6	1.01	34	6.19	204.00	4.00	2.64				1.01	0.28	1.41	1.70				13.04	PVC	227.3	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	21%
Fringewood			7	1.26	54.4	1.26	54.4	4.00	0.71				1.26	0.35	1.77	2.12				2.83	PVC	210.3	254	250	0.60	0.95	48.0	6%
Poole Creek			8	1.56	64.6	1.56	64.6	4.00	0.84				1.56	0.44	2.18	2.62				3.45	PVC	272.0	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	6%
Fringewood			9	0.54	17	3.36	136.00	4.00	1.76				0.54	0.15	0.76	0.91				17.80	PVC	106.3	254	250	0.60	0.95	48.0	37%
			10	0.88	30.6	10.43	370.60	4.00	4.80				0.88	0.25	1.23	1.48				22.32	PVC	141.9	254	250	0.40	0.77	39.2	57%
Lazy Nol			11	5.12	153	5.12	153	4.00	1.98				5.12	1.43	7.16	8.59				10.58	PVC	772.8	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	17%
Fringewood			12	1.93	47.6	17.47	571.20	3.94	7.30	0.02	1.5	0.01	1.95	0.55	2.73	3.27				36.69	PVC	281.2	254	250	0.40	0.77	39.2	94%
Cloverloft			13	5.17	170	5.17	170	4.00	2.20				5.17	1.45	7.23	8.68				10.88	PVC	835.1	254	250	1.00	1.22	62.0	18%
Fringewood			14	4.06	361.8	26.70	1103.00	3.77	13.48	1.83	1.5	0.89								52.44	PVC	190.0	254	250	0.96	1.20	60.7	86%
			Outlet			26.70	1103.00	3.77	13.48									52.44 PVC 190.0 254 250 0.96 1.20 60.7 86				86%						
	DESIGN PARAMETERS														-	PRO	JECT INFOR	MATION										

Debion l'AttaileTen	5	
	NOTES:	SANITARY DESIGN: NOVATECH
Infiltration Flow = 0.33L/s/effective gross ha	1) Design Flow Rates are based on the formulas located in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.	PROJECT: West End PS Decommissioning and By-P
Foundation Drain Allowance = 1.4L/s/gross ha (less than 10 ha.)	2) Population totals are based on current and anticipated residential intensification rates. (Refer to Section 4.0:	West End 1 o Decontinisationing and By-
Extraneous Flows: Q = 2.78 CIA (I/s), where	Development Review of the Preliminary Design Report.)	CLIENT: City of Ottawa
A = Area (ha)	3) Existing sanitary sewers are indicated in italics.	DATE: May 16, 2018
I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)	4) Peak Factors were calculated using the Harmon Equation.	
C = Runoff Coefficient	5) Extraneous Flows are based on City of Ottawa IDF Curve 5 Year intensity with Minimum Time of Concentration of	
then K = 1.0	10 min.	
	Infiltration Flow = 0.33L/s/effective gross ha Foundation Drain Allowance = 1.4L/s/gross ha (less than 10 ha.) Extraneous Flows: Q = 2.78 CIA (l/s), where A = Area (ha) I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) C = Runoff Coefficient then K = 1.0	NOTES: Infiltration Flow = 0.33L/s/effective gross ha 1) Design Flow Rates are based on the formulas located in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Foundation Drain Allowance = 1.4L/s/gross ha (less than 10 ha.) 2) Population totals are based on current and anticipated residential intensification rates. (Refer to Section 4.0: Development Review of the Preliminary Design Report.) Extraneous Flows: Q = 2.78 CIA (l/s), where 3) Existing sanitary sewers are indicated in italics. I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) 4) Peak Factors were calculated using the Harmon Equation. C = Runoff Coefficient 5) Extraneous Flows are based on City of Ottawa IDF Curve 5 Year intensity with Minimum Time of Concentration of 10 min.

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

ass Sewers

DESIGNED: KB CHECKED: RJD

DWG. REFERENCE: 118022_SAN_DA.dwg

Appendix C Planning Input

Fringewood Properties

Ma	ay 16, 208	Teresa Thomas			Current Zo	oning	Projected Growth and Development						
Drainage Study Area ID	Property ID	Property Area (net ha)	Drainage Study Area (gross ha)	Zoning	Height Limit	Highest Density Permitted Use as per Zoning By-law	Anticipated Future Land Use* - based on current zoning or policy plans	Density, Residential (Units / Gross Ha Mixed Use*)	Residential Area	Commercial Area	Residential Population	Assumptions	
14	PIN 044630331	3.8595	4.06	AM9	15m	Mixed Use with mid- rise apartment	Mixed Use (55% residential, 45% commercial/gross ha)	201	2.22	1.93	262	1 7 7	
14	Remainder Drainage Area	0.2005	4.06	AM9				201	2.23	1.83	302	1, 2, 3	

Assumptions

- 1
- 2
- 3

Given that Hazeldean is a Transit Priority Street we assume the Subject Sites will develop similarly to those neighbouring lands identified in the Fernbank CDP. The fernbank CDP considers land use area for Mixed Use to be 55% residential and 50% commercial. Given the discrepancy, we have assumed 55% residential and 45% commercial. People per Mixed Use unit taken from Fernbank CDP (1.8ppl/unit)

							1		
					ΓΤΔ\Λ/Δ				
		۱۸							
			SSIONINI		PV_DASS			ЛЦ	IWU
S.				JAND	DIFROS	SLVLINS			
). 7 5915	Dwg. No.
			PLAN A	ND PF	ROFILE			1-5215	
		FRING	EWOOD DRI	IVE - STA	А. 1+000 ТО	1+150	Sne	et 13	ot 44
							Asset No.		
		ain C. Gor <i>Dire</i>	NTHIER, P.E ECTOR	ng. J	EFF DeLOYL SENIOR EN	DE, P.Eng. <i>GINEER</i>	Accet Grou	n	
				Suit	e 200, 240 Michael Co	wpland Drive	Asset Grou	^p ISI)
		NOV	ЛТЕСН	Ki	anata, Ontario, Canada	a, K2M 1P6	Des.	Ch	k'd.
		Engineers, Planne	ers & Landscape Architects	Facsimile: Email:	(613) 254-5867 novainfo@novated	ch-eng.com	КВ		
	F	OR REV	IEW ONLY	/ F	OR REVIE	W ONLY	Dwn.	. Ch	k'd. KB
— R — _ R R R _							Utility Circ.	No. Ind	lex No.
B B B B									
							Const. Insp	ector	
	150						Scale:		
	- 4 - 4 - 4						HC 0 2	ORIZONTAL 4 6	. 1:250 8 10
	521 521								
	ST 217-						0 0.4	VERTICAL	1:50
		TE: The loc	cation of utilities	is approxim	nate only, the exa	act location should	be determin	ed by consu	Ilting
0.78%		of utiliti	es and shall be	responsible	for adequate pr	otection from dam	actor snall pr age.	ove the loca	.11011
	5	No.			Description			Ву	Date (dd/mm/yy)
S ⁺	_ N N − N	1. ISS	SUED FOR PRE	LIMINARY	DESIGN CIRCU	LATION		RJD	25/07/18
3		2. ISS	SUED FOR MOE	APPROV	AL.			RJD	31/01/19
	KEVIS	3. ISS	UED FOR 90%	DESIGN R	EVIEW			RJD	01/02/19
I	L C								
			NOT	ΓFΟ	R CON	ISTRU	CTIO	N	
	LEC	GEND:							
	,				JLL				
					. -				
		- PROF	POSED SANITA	RY SERVI	CΕ				
	(EXIS	TING SANITAR	y Manhol	E				
	(EXIS	TING STORM N	IANHOLE					
1(09 —	EXIS	TING SANITAR`	Y SERVICE					
		-O- EXIS	TING FIRE HYD	RANT					
	١	VB⊗ EXIS	TING VALVE BO	Х					
1(28								
1(07								
1(06		SA	NITARY	MAINTENAN	ICE HOLE DA	TA		
		NO.	STATION	OFFSET	COVER	STRUCTURE	ELE T/GRATE		
	-	MHSA1	1+056.14	*5.86R	S24	OPSD 701.010	104.75	100.9	3
1(05	MHSA2	1+101.17	*5.81R	S24	OPSD 701.010	104.62	101.2	.9
		MHSA3	1+123.46	(*0.77R		OPSD 701.010	104.50 PE	101.4	7
		* STATIO	NS AND T/GRA	TE ELEVA	TIONS ARE FRO	OM THE CENTRE		TURE	
1	74								
	J 4								
				SAN	IITARY SEW	ER DATA			
				DIA (mm)	TYPE	LENGTH (m)			S TR
10)3	MHSA1	MHSA2	250	PVC SDR-35	45.03	101.29	100.9	3
		MHSA2	MHSA3	250	PVC SDR-35	22.86	101.47	101.29	<u>ə</u>
		MHSA3	MHSA4	250	PVC SDR-35	42.86	101.79	101.47	7
1(02								
1	01								
1									

DE	CON	CITY OF WEST END PU MMISSIONING A	C) tta	awa	
	FR		O PROFILE - STA. 1+150 TO 1+300	Contract No ISD1 Shee	7 -521 et 14	5 Dwg. No. 5 14 of 44
ALA	AIN C.	GONTHIER, P.Eng.	JEFF DeLOYDE, P.Eng.	Asset No.		
		DIRECTOR	SENIOR ENGINEER	Asset Grou	° IS	SD
		OVATECH rs, Planners & Landscape Architects	Kanata, Ontario, Canada, K2M 1P6 <u>ephone:</u> (613) 254-9643 <u>simile:</u> (613) 254-5867 ail: novainfo@novatech-eng.com	Des. KB		Chk'd. RJD
F	FOR F	REVIEW ONLY	FOR REVIEW ONLY	Dwn. AJL		Chk'd. KB
				Utility Circ.	No.	Index No.
				Scale: HC 0 2 0 0.4	ORIZONT 4 0.8 VERTICA	AL 1:250 6 8 10 1.2 1.6 2 AL 1:50
NO	DTE: T tr o	he location of utilities is ap ne municipal authorities and f utilities and shall be respo	proximate only, the exact location should d utility companies concerned. The contr onsible for adequate protection from dam	d be determine actor shall pre nage.	ed by cor ove the lo	nsulting ocation
	No.		Description		Ву	Date (dd/mm/yy)
<u>s</u>	1.	ISSUED FOR PRELIMIN	NARY DESIGN CIRCULATION		RJD	25/07/18
SION	2.	ISSUED FOR MOE APP	PROVAL		RJD	31/01/19
REVI	3.	ISSUED FOR 90% DES	IGN REVIEW		RJD	01/02/19
L		NOT F	OR CONSTRU	CTIO	N	4

LEGEND:

SANITARY MAINTENANCE HOLE DATA											
NO	STATION	OFESET		STRUCTURE	ELEVATION						
NO.	STATION	OFFSET	COVER	STRUCTURE	T/GRATE	LOW/INV					
MHSA4	1+166.32	*1.31R	S24	OPSD 701.010	104.50	101.79					
MHSA5	1+215.95	*1.87R	S24	OPSD 701.010	104.50	102.17					
MHSA6	1+243.58	*1.69L	S24	OPSD 701.010	104.75	102.38					

* OFFSETS ARE FROM CONTROL LINE TO CENTRE OF STRUCTURE. * STATIONS AND T/GRATE ELEVATIONS ARE FROM THE CENTRE OF STRUCTURE

SANITARY SEWER DATA											
MAINTENA	NCE HOLE	DIA	TVDE	LENGTH	INVERT ELEVATIONS						
FROM	TO	(mm)		(m)	UP STR.	DOWN STR.					
MHSA3	MHSA4	250	PVC SDR-35	42.86	101.79	101.47					
MHSA4	MHSA5	250	PVC SDR-35	49.62	102.17	101.79					
MHSA5	MHSA6	250	PVC SDR-35	27.82	102.41	102.17					

APPENDIX D

Stormwater Management

Campanale Homes 5 Orchard Drive **External Storm Sewer Design - Existing Condition**

Area ID	Up	Inv Dn	Area	С	Indiv AxC	Acc AxC	Тc	I	Q Inc*	Q Total*	DIA	Slope	Length	A _{hydraulic}	R	Velocity	Qcap	Time Flow	Q / Q full
			(ha)	(-)			(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)		(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(min)	(-)
HAZELDEAN	ROAD - SOUTH SE	WER																	
EX 6			0.15	0.55	0.08	0.08													
	STM400	103.24	0.18	0.70	0.13	0.21			47.70	47.70	450.00	0.68	79.0	0.16	0.11	1.48	235.72	0.89	0.20
	STM405	102.54	0.20	0.70	0.14	0.35			38.20	85.90	450.00	0.70	100.0	0.16	0.11	1.51	239.39	1.11	0.36
Total Flow dire	cted to STM413									85.90									
FLOW DIREC	TED TO DICB 1 & D	ICB 2																	ļ'
EX 2			0.422	0.35	0.15	0.15	10.39	175.03		71.81									
DICB 1			3.14	0.26	0.82	0.96	41.92	72.67		243.28									
Restricted Flow	v per DICB 1 Inlet &	Lead Capacity dire	ected to STI	M413						243.28	< Flow to	DICB1 less	than capac	ity of DICB	1, therefore	100-Year F	low Captur	ed	L
																			ļ
Major System	Flow MH400,405,413	3 (100-yr - 10-yr)	0.59	0.70	0.41	0.41	36.49	80.20		51.826									ļ
EX 5			3.21	0.45	1.44	1.44	47.76	66.13		331.71									ļ
EX 3			0.11	0.34	0.04	0.04	12.78	156.60		20.71									ļ'
EX 4			0.19	0.79	0.15	0.15	25.74	101.85		53.64		-							'
DICB 2			0.78	0.35	0.27	1.91	40.05	75.08		548.88		-							
Restricted Flow	v per DICB 2 Inlet & I	Lead Capacity dire	ected to SII	M413						391.38									
HAZELDEAN	ROAD - SOUTH SEV	WER																<u> </u>	
	STM413	101.98	0.21	0.70	0.15	3.37			18.20	738.75	675.00	0.59	95.0	0.36	0.17	1.80	645.38	0.88	1.14
	STM421	101.64	0.21	0.70	0.15	3.51			0.00	738.75	675.00	0.33	102.0	0.36	0.17	1.36	485.31	1.25	1.52
	STM426	101.25	0.14	0.70	0.10	3.61			21.00	759.75	675.00	0.70	56.0	0.36	0.17	1.96	701.49	0.48	1.08
	STMMH 6	100.75	0.26	0.70	0.18	3.79			38.20	797.95	675.00	0.52	94.1	0.36	0.17	1.70	606.67	0.92	1.32
	STMMH 7	100.70	0.18	0.70	0.13	3.92			0.00	797.95	675.00	0.52	7.7	0.36	0.17	1.69	605.85	0.08	1.32
	STMMH 7A	100.27			0.00	3.92			18.20	816.15	675.00	0.55	76.7	0.36	0.17	1.74	622.03	0.74	1.31
	STM MH 8	99.75	0.19	0.70	0.13	4.05			16.40	832.55	675.00	0.54	95.0	0.36	0.17	1.72	615.89	0.92	1.35
	STM MH 9	99.18	0.26	0.70	0.18	4.23			16.40	848.95	825.00	0.44	94.0	0.53	0.21	1.77	948.01	0.88	0.90
	STM MH 10	98.98			0.00	4.23			0.00	848.95	900.00	0.80	25.0	0.64	0.23	2.55	1619.19	0.16	0.52
HAZELDEAN	ROAD - NORTH SE	WER																	ļ'
Flow to DI407			0.18	0.40	0.07	0.07	17.38	130.85	32.71	32.71									
MH 11			1.90	0.70	1.33	5.56			328.00	360.71								'	ļ'
Total Flow to N	Iorth Storm Sewer to	STM11								360.71								ļ'	ļ
																			
HAZELDEAN	KUAD - COMBINED		H SEWER		0.00	E 50			0.00	1000.00	1050.00	0.50	24.0	0.07	0.00	0.00	1020.04	0.10	0.00
		90.80			0.00	5.50			0.00	1209.00	1050.00	0.50	24.0	0.07	0.26	2.23	1930.91	0.18	0.03
		90.71			0.00	0.00 5.50			0.00	1209.00	1050.00	0.18	00.U	0.87	0.20	1.32	2409.04	1.07	1.05
	STIVI IVIH 13	98.60			0.00	5.50			0.00	1209.00	1050.00	1.64	0.7	0.87	0.26	4.04	3498.94	0.03	0.35
	1																		1

Note: Information highlighted in green is using publically available invert information from GeoOttawa Remaining pipe information from as-built information

100-Year Rational Method Flows used for area draining to DICB 1, DICB 2 and DI407 * Incremental and Total Controlled Release Rate per CB Locations, ICDs and Major Overflow Routes prepared by IBI Group 2009-09-23

Campanale Homes 5 Orchard Drive External Storm Sewer Design Sheet - Proposed Condition

Area ID	Up	Down	Area	С	Indiv AxC	Acc AxC	Tc	I	Q Inc*	Q Total*	DIA	Slope	Length	A _{hydraulic}	R	Velocity	Qcap	Time Flow	Q / Q full
			(ha)	(-)			(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)		(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(min)	(-)
HAZEL	EAN ROAD	SOUTH SEWER																	
EX 6			0.15	0.55	0.08	0.08													
	STM400	STM405	0.18	0.70	0.13	0.21			47.70	47.70	450	0.68	79.0	0.16	0.11	1.48	235.72	0.89	0.20
	STM405	STM413	0.20	0.70	0.14	0.35			38.20	85.90	450	0.70	100.0	0.16	0.11	1.51	239.39	1.11	0.36
	STM413	STM421	0.21	0.70	0.15	0.50			18.20	104.10	675	0.59	95.0	0.36	0.17	1.80	645.38	0.88	0.16
Flow Dir	ected to STM	421								104.10									
DRAINA	GE FROM 5	ORCHARD																	
RESIDE	NTIAL PROP	ERTY																	
A1	STM101	STM102	1.00	0.60	0.60	0.60			66.67	66.67	450.00	0.70	76.30	0.16	0.11	1.50	238.54	0.85	0.28
EX2			0.42	0.35	0.15	0.15	10.39	175.03	89.76	89.76									
A2	STM102	STM103	0.47	0.65	0.31	1.05			66.67	223.10	525.00	0.70	62.40	0.22	0.13	1.66	359.82	0.63	0.19
								172.22											
EX3	0714400	0714404	0.11	0.34	0.04	0.04	12.78	156.60	20.71	20.71	505.00	4.00	00.70	0.00	0.40	0.10		0.00	0.11
A3	STM103	STM104	0.65	0.65	0.42	1.51			66.67	310.48	525.00	1.20	90.70	0.22	0.13	2.18	4/1.11	0.69	0.14
	STM104	STM105	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.51			0.00	310.48	675.00	0.40	10.40	0.36	0.17	1.49	531.63	0.12	0.00
	STM105	STM106	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.51			0.00	310.48	675.00	0.40	47.30	0.36	0.17	1.49	531.63	0.53	0.00
001111																			
COMME	RCIAL BLOC		4.00	0.00	1.04	1.04			F4 0F	F4 0F	075.00	0.50	11.10	0.00	0.47	4.00	504.20	0.11	0.00
A4	CIRLMH	STM106	1.82	0.90	1.64	1.64			51.85	51.85	675.00	0.50	11.40	0.36	0.17	1.66	594.39	0.11	0.09
	0714400	071407	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.45			0.00	202.22	075.00	0.40	00.00	0.00	0.47	4.40	524.02	0.00	0.00
	STM100		0.00	0.00	0.00	3.15			0.00	302.33	675.00	0.40	23.00	0.30	0.17	1.49	531.03	0.20	0.00
	3111107		0.00	0.00	0.00	3.13			0.00	302.33	075.00	0.40	56.00	0.30	0.17	1.49	551.05	0.03	0.00
EXTER																			
			0 10	0 70	0.15	0 15	25.7	101.85	53.64	53.64	-								
Maior St	I Istem Flow MI	400 MH 405 MH 4	0.15	0.73	0.13	0.10	36.5	80.20	51.83	105.04									
inajoi O		1 400, 1011 400, 1011 4	0.00	0.70	0.41	0.00	00.0	00.20	01.00	100.47									
HAZEI I	FAN ROAD	SOUTH SEWER																	
	STM421	STM426	0.21	0.70	0.15	4.36			0.00	571.90	675	0.33	102.0	0.36	0 17	1.36	485.31	1 25	1 18
	STM426	STM430 (STM MH 6	0.14	0.70	0.10	4 46			21.00	592.90	675	0.70	56.0	0.36	0.17	1.96	701 49	0.48	0.85
	STMMH 6	STMMH 7	0.26	0.70	0.18	4.64			38.20	631.10	675	0.52	94.1	0.36	0.17	1.70	606.67	0.92	1.04
	STMMH 7	STMMH 7A	0.18	0.70	0.13	4.76			0.00	631.10	675	0.52	7.7	0.36	0.17	1.69	605.85	0.08	1.04
	STMMH 7A	STM MH 8			0.00	4.76			18.20	649.30	675	0.55	76.7	0.36	0.17	1.74	622.03	0.74	1.04
	STM MH 8	STM MH 9	0.19	0.70	0.13	4.90			16.40	665.70	675	0.54	95.0	0.36	0.17	1.72	615.89	0.92	1.08
	STM MH 9	STM MH 10	0.26	0.70	0.18	5.08			16.40	682.10	825	0.44	94.0	0.53	0.21	1.77	948.01	0.88	0.72
	STM MH 10	STM MH 11			0.00	5.08			0.00	682.10	900	0.80	25.0	0.64	0.23	2.55	1619.19	0.16	0.42
HAZEL	EAN ROAD	NORTH SEWER																	
Flow to	DI407		0.18	0.40	0.07	0.07	17.38	130.85	32.71	32.71									
MH 11			1.90	0.70	1.33	6.41			328.00	360.71									
Total Flo	ow to North Sto	orm Sewer to STM11								360.71									
HAZEL	DEAN ROAD	COMBINED NORTH	& SOUTH	SEWER															
	STM MH 11	STM MH 12			0.00	6.41			0.00	1042.81	1050	0.50	24.0	0.87	0.26	2.23	1930.91	0.18	0.54
	STM MH 12	STM MH 13			0.00	6.41			0.00	1042.81	1050	0.18	85.0	0.87	0.26	1.32	1147.13	1.07	0.91
	STM MH 13	HW			0.00	6.41			0.00	1042.81	1050	1.64	6.7	0.87	0.26	4.04	3498.94	0.03	0.30

100-Year Controlled Release Rate Used from Subject Property - Residential Controlled to 200 L/s, Commercial controlled to 51.9 L/s. Includes Flow from EX 2 and EX 3

100-Year Flow from EX 4 used, 100-Year subtract 10-year used for MH 400, MH 405, MH 413

Note: Information highlighted in green is using publically available invert information from GeoOttawa

Remaining pipe information from as-built information

* Incremental and Total Controlled Release Rate per CB Locations, ICDs and Major Overflow Routes prepared by IBI Group 2009-09-23

Estimated Peak Stormwater Flow Rate City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012				D	SEL.			
Tc Calculation / Peak Flow to DICB1 (DICB1)	Tc Calcula	tion / Peak I	low to D	CB2 (DICB2	2)			
Area 3.14 ha	Area	0.78 h	а					
C 0.26 Rational Method runoff coefficient	С	0.22 F	ational M	ethod runoff	coefficient			
L 287.2 m	L	151 n	า					
Up Elev 107.88 m	Up Elev	104.68 n	ı					
Dn Elev 103.98 m	Dn Elev	103.65 n	n ,					
Slope 1.4 % Tc 41.9 min	Siope	0.7 % 40.0 n	₀ nin					
1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration	1) Time of	Concentratio	n per ⊢ede ⊐	eral Aviation	Administration			
$t = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}$	$t = \frac{1.8}{2}$	$S(1.1-C)L^{0.2}$	_					
$S^{0.333}$	· c	$S^{0.333}$						
tc, in minutes	tc, in minut	es						
C, rational method coefficient, (-)	C, rational	method coeff	icient, (-)					
L, length in ft	L, length in	ft						
S, average watershed slope in %	S, average	watershed s	ope in %					
Estimated Peak Flow	Estimated	Peak Flow						
2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year		2-year	5-year	10-Year	100-year			
i 31.8 42.7 49.9 72.7 mm/hr	i	32.8	44.1	51.6	75.1 mm/hr			
Q 72.1 96.9 113.2 206.0 L/s	Q	15.6	21.0	24.5	44.6 L/s			
Tc Calculation / Peak Flow to Poole's Creek (Area P1)	Runoff Co	- 61 - 1 4 - 0 - 1						
		efficient Cal	culations	for Existing	g Drainage Areas			
	DICB1	efficient Cal	culations	for Existing	Drainage Areas			
Area 0.05 ha	DICB1	Perv. li	nperv.	for Existing	g Drainage Areas DICB2	Perv.	Imperv.	Fotal
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient	DICB1	Perv. li 2.85	nperv.	for Existing Total	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area	Perv. 0.76	Imperv. 5 0.02	Fotal 0.78
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m	DICB1 Area C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90	for Existing Total 3.14 0.26	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20	Imperv. 6 0.02 0 0.90	Fotal 0.78 0.22
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m	DICB1 Area C	Perv. li 2.85 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90	for Existing Total 3.14 0.26	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20	Imperv. 6 0.02 0 0.90	Fotal 0.78 0.22
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Sione 3.4 %	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4 ⁻ Perv. II	nperv. 0.29 0.90	for Existing Total 3.14 0.26	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2	Perv. 0.76 0.20	Imperv. 6 6 0.02 9 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22
Area0.05 haC0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficientL14.9 mUp Elev107.25 mDn Elev106.74 mSlope3.4 %Tc10.0 min	DICB1 Area C MH400, Mł	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4' Perv. II 0.00	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59	for Existing Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area EX2 Area	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33	Imperv. 0.02 0 0.90 Imperv. 3	Fotal 0.78 0.22 Fotal 0.42
Area0.05 haC0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficientL14.9 mUp Elev107.25 mDn Elev106.74 mSlope3.4 %Tc10.0 min	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C	Perv. In 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4 ² In Perv. In 0.00 0.20	culations nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70	for Existing 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0 0.90 Imperv. 0 3 0.09 0 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration	DICB1 Area C MH400, Mł Area C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70	Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0 0.90 Imperv. 0 8 0.09 0 0.90	Fotal 0.78 0.22 Fotal 0.42 0.35
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $t = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}$	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH41 Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70	Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0 0.90 Imperv. - 3 0.09 0 0.90	Fotal 0.78 0.22 Fotal 0.42 0.35
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3 Area	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4 ⁻¹ Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 0.09	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 nperv. 0.02	for Existing Total 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20 Perv. 0.03	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.03 3 0.16	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes	DICB1 Area C MH400, Mł Area C EX3 Area C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4 ⁻¹ Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 0.09 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90	for Existing Total 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0 0.90 Imperv. 0 3 0.09 0 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-)	DICB1 Area C Area C EX3 Area C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4: Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 0.09 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90	for Existing Total 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34	Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.09 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft	DICB1 Area C MH400, Mł Area C EX3 Area C EX5	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90	Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34	g Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0 3 0.09 0 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in %	DICB1 Area C MH400, Mł Area C EX3 Area C EX5	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90	Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34 Total 2.04	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv.	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.090 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in % Estimated Peak Flow	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3 Area C EX5 Area C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4' Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90 nperv. 1.15 0.90	for Existing Total 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.33 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.02 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.090 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0.090 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79 Cotal 0.05 0.32
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $f_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in % Estimated Peak Flow 2.vear 5.vear 10 Year 100 year	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3 Area C EX5 Area C C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4' Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 nperv. 1.15 0.90 0.90	Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45 0(DICP 1)	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.04 0.02 0.04 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 6 3 0.09 0 0.90 Imperv. 6 3 0.16 0 0.90 Imperv. 6 4 0.01 0 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79 Total 0.05 0.32
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in % Estimated Peak Flow 2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 76.8 104.2 122.1 178.6 mm/hr	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3 Area C EX5 Area C C Composite	Perv. Ii 2.85 0.20 1405 & MH4' 1 0.00 0.20 Perv. Ii 0.09 0.20 Perv. Ii 0.20 2.06 0.20 2.06 0.20 2.06 0.20 2.06	nperv. 0.29 0.90 3 nperv. 0.59 0.70 0.70 nperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 nperv. 1.15 0.90 0.90 nperv. 1.15 0.90 0.90	for Existing Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45 h(DiCB1) Total	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.04 0.20 0.04 0.20 0.04 0.20 0.04 0.20 0.04 0.20	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.090 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.090 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0.090 0.90	Fotal 0.78 0.22 Fotal 0.42 0.35 Fotal 0.19 0.79 Fotal 0.05 0.32 (DICB2) Fotal
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in % Estimated Peak Flow 2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 76.8 104.2 122.1 178.6 mm/hr Q 3.4 4.6 5.4 9.9 1/s	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3 Area C EX5 Area C Composite Area	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4' Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20 RC for 100-Y Perv. II 3.18	nperv. 0.29 0.90 0.3 0.59 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.90 <t< td=""><td>Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45 h(DiCB1) Total 3.56</td><td>p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C C Compos Area</td><td>Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.</td><td>Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.90 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0.090 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0.90 0.90</td><td>Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79 Total 0.05 0.32 (DICB2) Total 4.29</td></t<>	Total 3.14 0.26 Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45 h(DiCB1) Total 3.56	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C C Compos Area	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.90 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0.09 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0.090 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0.90 0.90	Total 0.78 0.22 Total 0.42 0.35 Total 0.19 0.79 Total 0.05 0.32 (DICB2) Total 4.29
Area 0.05 ha C 0.32 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 14.9 m Up Elev 107.25 m Dn Elev 106.74 m Slope 3.4 % Tc 10.0 min 1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $I_c = \frac{1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in % Estimated Peak Flow 2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 76.8 104.2 122.1 178.6 mm/hr Q 3.4 4.6 5.4 9.9 L/s	DICB1 Area C MH400, MH Area C EX3 Area C EX5 Area C Composite Area C	Perv. II 2.85 0.20 H405 & MH4' Perv. II 0.00 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20 Perv. II 2.06 0.20 RC for 100-1 Perv. II 3.18 0.20	nperv. 0.29 0.90 0.3 0.70 0.59 0.70 0.70 0.70 0.90 <t< td=""><td>Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45 n(DICB1) Total 3.56 0.27</td><td>p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1</td><td>Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.02 0.20 ite RC for 100 Perv. 2.93 0.22</td><td>Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0 0.90 Imperv. 0.016 0 0.90 Imperv. 1.35 0 0.90</td><td>Fotal 0.78 0.22 Fotal 0.42 0.35 Fotal 0.19 0.79 Fotal 0.05 0.32 (DICB2) Fotal 4.29 0.42</td></t<>	Total 0.59 0.70 Total 0.11 0.11 0.34 Total 3.21 0.45 n(DICB1) Total 3.56 0.27	p Drainage Areas DICB2 Area C EX2 Area C EX4 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1 Area C P1	Perv. 0.76 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.03 0.20 Perv. 0.02 0.20 ite RC for 100 Perv. 2.93 0.22	Imperv. 0.02 0.90 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0 0.90 Imperv. 0.09 0 0.90 Imperv. 0.01 0 0.90 Imperv. 0.016 0 0.90 Imperv. 1.35 0 0.90	Fotal 0.78 0.22 Fotal 0.42 0.35 Fotal 0.19 0.79 Fotal 0.05 0.32 (DICB2) Fotal 4.29 0.42

Campanale Homes 5 Orchard Drive External Drainage

Estimated Peak Stormwater Flow Rate City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012

Tc Calculation / Peak Flow from EX 6	Tc Calculation / Peak Flow from EX2						
Area0.150 haC0.55 Rational Method runoff coefficientL33 mUp Elev106.6 mDn Elev105.2 mSlope4.2 %Tc10.0 min	Area 0.422 ha C 0.35 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 38 m Up Elev 106.25 m Dn Elev 105.09 m Slope 3.1 % Tc 10.4 min						
2) Time of Concentration per Bransby Williams Formula	1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration $t_c = \frac{1.8(1.1 - C)L^{0.5}}{S^{0.333}}$ tc, in minutes C, rational method coefficient, (-) L, length in ft S, average watershed slope in %						
Estimated Peak Flow	Estimated Peak Flow						
2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 76.8 104.2 122.1 178.6 mm/hr Q 17.6 23.9 28.0 51.1 L/s	2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 75.3 102.2 119.7 175.0 mm/hr Q 30.9 41.9 49.1 89.8 L/s						
Tc Calculation / Peak Flow from EX3	Tc Calculation / Peak Flow from EX4						
Area0.112 haC0.34 Rational Method runoff coefficientL50 mUp Elev105.5 mDn Elev104.21 mSlope2.6 %Tc12.8 min	Area 0.192 ha C 0.79 Rational Method runoff coefficient L 120 m Up Elev 104.82 m Dn Elev 104.44 m Slope 0.3 % Tc 25.7 min						
1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration	2) Time of Concentration per Bransby Williams Formula $ \begin{bmatrix} t_c = \frac{0.605L}{S^{0.2}A^{0.1}} \end{bmatrix} $ tc, in hours L, length in km S, average watershed slope in % A, area in km ²						
Estimated Peak Flow	Estimated Peak Flow						
2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 67.6 91.5 107.2 156.6 mm/hr Q 7.1 9.7 11.3 20.7 L/s	2-year 5-year 10-Year 100-year i 44.3 59.7 69.9 101.9 mm/hr Q 18.7 25.2 29.4 53.6 L/s						

201	9-03	-12
-----	------	-----

Tc Calculation	c Calculation / Peak Flow from EX5					Tc Calculation / Peak Flow from MH 400, MH 405 and MH 413						
Area	2 210	ha			Area	0 500	ha					
Area	3.210	Deficiend M	a the a dimune off		Area	0.590	na Detienel M	a tha a di wuxa a ff				
	0.45	Rational M	elnoa runoii	coemcient		0.70	Rational M	etnoa runoii	coefficient			
L L	405	m			L L	233	m					
Up Elev	110	m			Up Elev	106.23	m					
Dn Elev	103.75	m			Dn Elev	104.2	m					
Slope	1.5	%			Slope	0.9	%					
Тс	47.8	min			Tc	36.5	min					
2) Time of Con	centration	per Bransby	v Williams Fo	ormula	2) Time of Co	ncentration	per Bransb	v Williams F	Formula			
			,		_,	0.5.1		,				
$t = \frac{0.60}{0.60}$	5L				$\int_{t} - \frac{0.6}{0.6}$	05L						
$l_{c}^{c} - \frac{1}{S^{0.2}}$	$A^{0.1}$				$l_{c}^{\prime} = \frac{1}{S^{0.2}}$	$^{2}A^{0.1}$						
	1					21						
tc, in hours					tc, in hours							
L, length in km					L, length in k	n						
S, average wat	tershed slo	pe in %			S, average w	atershed slo	pe in %					
A, area in km ²					A, area in km	2						
,					,							
Estimated Pea	ak Flow				Estimated P	eak Flow						
	2-vear	5-vear	10-Year	100-vear		2.voar	5-vear	10-Year	100-vear			
	2-yea	38 0	10-1eai 15.5	66.1 mm/br		2-yea 35.0	J-year 17 1	55 1	80.2 mm/	hr		
	29.0	156.0	40.0	221.7 1 /2		40.2	47.1 57.1	53.1	115.0 1/2	111		
Q Q	110.3	150.2	182.4	331.7 L/S	Q Q	40.2	54.1	03.Z	115.0 L/S			
Tc Calculation	ı / Peak Flo	ow from DI	407		Post-Develo	pment Flow	Directed f	o DICB2				
					1 031-Develo		Briceleu I					
Area	0.180	ha			Area EX4	0.190	ha					
C	0 40	Rational M	ethod runoff	coefficient	C	0.79	Rational M	ethod runoff	coefficient			
Ĭ	0 - .0 ۵٦	m		Sociality	Ĭ	0.19			Sochoont			
	50	111										
					Area							
					MH400,							
					MH405 and							
Un Flev	105	m			MH413	0 590	ha					
	104 6	m			C	0 70	Rational M	ethod runoff	coefficient			
	0. 0 00	0/			Ĭ	0.70			Sochiolent			
Siope	U.O	70 min			Entimeted P	aak Elaw						
IC	17.4	min			Estimated P	eak FIOW						
1) Time of Orm	oontrotio.	nor Coder-1	Aviotice A-	ministration		0	Ever	10	100			
i) Time of Con		per Federal	Aviation Ad	ministration	_	∠-year	ə-year	iu-year	100-year			
1.8(1	$(1-C)L^{0.5}$				Q	58.9	79.2	92.6	105.5 L/s			
$t_{c} = \frac{1.0(11)}{1.0(11)}$	<u>a</u> 0.333	-										
	5											
tc, in minutes												
C. rational met	hod coeffici	ient. (-)										
I length in ft		, ()										
S average wat	tershed slow	ne in %										
S, average wat												
Estimated Pos	ak Flow					1 101						
	an i IUW					4.104						
	2.vear	5-voar	10-Year	100-vear		182.3	2/15 1	286 1	457 0			
	L-yeai	J-yeai		120.0 mm/b=		102.3	24J. I	200.4	5.10 1			
	50.7	/0.6	89.6									
					-							

Estimated DICB Release Rate City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012

2019-03-12

Orifice Equation	n DICB1	Orifice Equation DICB2	
Diameter of DICB Lead	0.375 m	Diameter of DICB Lead	0.375 m
Area of Orfice	0.110447 m2	Area of Orfice	0.110447 m2
Inv=	102.94 m	Inv=	102.71 m
Spill Point=	104.49 m	Spill Point=	104.49 m
Head	1.41 m	Head	1.72 m
Q=	354 L/s	Q=	391 L/s

Stormwater - Proposed Development City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012

Target Flow Rate

100-Year Allowable Release Rate

251.9 L/s

0.01 ha

2.12 ha

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas

С

Area ID U1 **Total Area**

0.20 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual} *	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10.0	104.2	0.6	0.6	0.0	0.0	178.6	1.2	1.2	0.0	0.0

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Note: External Area from EX2 and EX3 not included in Drainage Area, External Area not proposed to be controlled in the proposed condition

Area ID Residential

Total Area С

0.62 Rational Method runoff coefficient

Γ	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	380.4	116.7	263.7	158.2	178.6	814.9	200.0	614.9	369.0
15	83.6	305.1	116.7	188.4	169.5	142.9	652.2	200.0	452.2	406.9
20	70.3	256.5	116.7	139.8	167.7	120.0	547.4	200.0	347.4	416.9
25	60.9	222.3	116.7	105.6	158.5	103.8	473.9	200.0	273.9	410.9
30	53.9	196.9	116.7	80.2	144.3	91.9	419.3	200.0	219.3	394.7
35	48.5	177.1	116.7	60.4	126.9	82.6	376.9	200.0	176.9	371.4
40	44.2	161.3	116.7	44.6	107.1	75.1	343.0	200.0	143.0	343.1
45	40.6	148.3	116.7	31.6	85.4	69.1	315.1	200.0	115.1	310.9
50	37.7	137.5	116.7	20.8	62.3	64.0	291.9	200.0	91.9	275.6
55	35.1	128.2	116.7	11.5	38.1	59.6	272.1	200.0	72.1	238.0
60	32.9	120.3	116.7	3.6	12.9	55.9	255.1	200.0	55.1	198.3
65	31.0	113.3	116.7	0.0	0.0	52.6	240.3	200.0	40.3	157.1
70	29.4	107.2	116.7	0.0	0.0	49.8	227.2	200.0	27.2	114.4
75	27.9	101.8	116.7	0.0	0.0	47.3	215.7	200.0	15.7	70.5
80	26.6	97.0	116.7	0.0	0.0	45.0	205.3	200.0	5.3	25.6
85	25.4	92.6	116.7	0.0	0.0	43.0	196.0	200.0	0.0	0.0
90	24.3	88.7	116.7	0.0	0.0	41.1	187.6	200.0	0.0	0.0
95	23.3	85.1	116.7	0.0	0.0	39.4	180.0	200.0	0.0	0.0
100	22.4	81.8	116.7	0.0	0.0	37.9	173.0	200.0	0.0	0.0
105	21.6	78.8	116.7	0.0	0.0	36.5	166.6	200.0	0.0	0.0
110	20.8	76.0	116.7	0.0	0.0	35.2	160.7	200.0	0.0	0.0

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

5-year Qattenuated	116.70 L/s	100-year Q _{attenuated}	200.00 L/s
5-year Max. Storage Required	169.5 m ³	100-year Max. Storage Required	416.9 m ³

Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas

Area ID Commercial (A4) 1.82 ha

Total Area С

0.90 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-year					100-year				
t _c	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}	i	Q _{actual}	Q _{release}	Q _{stored}	V _{stored}
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	104.2	474.1	30.3	443.8	266.3	178.6	902.7	51.9	850.9	510.5
15	83.6	380.2	30.3	349.9	314.9	142.9	722.4	51.9	670.6	603.5
20	70.3	319.6	30.3	289.4	347.3	120.0	606.4	51.9	554.6	665.5
25	60.9	277.1	30.3	246.8	370.2	103.8	525.0	51.9	473.2	709.7
30	53.9	245.4	30.3	215.1	387.2	91.9	464.4	51.9	412.6	742.7
35	48.5	220.8	30.3	190.5	400.0	82.6	417.5	51.9	365.6	767.8
40	44.2	201.0	30.3	170.8	409.9	75.1	379.9	51.9	328.1	787.3
45	40.6	184.9	30.3	154.6	417.4	69.1	349.1	51.9	297.2	802.5
50	37.7	171.3	30.3	141.1	423.2	64.0	323.3	51.9	271.5	814.4
55	35.1	159.8	30.3	129.6	427.5	59.6	301.4	51.9	249.6	823.6
60	32.9	149.9	30.3	119.6	430.7	55.9	282.6	51.9	230.7	830.6
65	31.0	141.2	30.3	111.0	432.9	52.6	266.2	51.9	214.3	835.8
70	29.4	133.6	30.3	103.4	434.2	49.8	251.7	51.9	199.9	839.4
75	27.9	126.9	30.3	96.6	434.9	47.3	238.9	51.9	187.1	841.7
80	26.6	120.9	30.3	90.6	434.9	45.0	227.5	51.9	175.6	842.9
85	25.4	115.4	30.3	85.2	434.4	43.0	217.2	51.9	165.3	843.1
90	24.3	110.5	30.3	80.3	433.4	41.1	207.8	51.9	156.0	842.3
95	23.3	106.0	30.3	75.8	432.0	39.4	199.4	51.9	147.5	840.8
100	22.4	102.0	30.3	71.7	430.2	37.9	191.6	51.9	139.8	838.6
105	21.6	98.2	30.3	67.9	428.0	36.5	184.5	51.9	132.7	835.8
110	20.8	94.7	30.3	64.5	425.6	35.2	178.0	51.9	126.1	832.4

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

5-year Q _{attenuated}	30.26 L/s	100-year Q _{attenuated}	51.85 L/s
5-year Max. Storage Required	434.9 m ³	100-year Max. Storage Required	843.1 m ³

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate (L/s)	5-Year Storage (m ³)	100-Year Release Rate (L/s)	100-Year Storage (m ³)
Unattenuated Areas to Poole Creek	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.0
Residential Areas	116.7	169.5	200.0	416.9
Commercial Areas	30.3	434.9	51.9	843.1
Total Comm + Res to Hazeldean	147.0	604.4	251.9	1260.0

Campanale Homes 5 Orchard Drive Preliminary Pool Calculation

Wet Pond Sizing Per MOE		
Protection Level	%	80 % TSS Removal
Tributary Area	ha	8.72
Estimated Imperviousness	(%)	71
Permanent Pool Volume Requirements	m³/ha	86 < 40 m3/ha accounted for in ext. detention
Permanent Pool Required	m ³	401.12
Extended Detention Required	m ³	348.8

		Storage Volume (m³/ha) for Impervious Level			
Protection Level	SWMP Type	35%	55%	70%	85%
Enhanced	Infiltration	25	30	35	40
80% long-term S.S. removal	Wetlands	80	105	120	140
5.5.10.10.10	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	110	150	175	195
	Wet Pond	140	190	225	250
Normal	Infiltration	20	20	25	30
70% long-term S.S. removal	Wetlands	60	70	80	90
S.S. Polito (di	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	75	90	105	120
	Wet Pond	90	110	130	150
Basic	Infiltration	20	20	20	20
60% long-term S.S. removal	Wetlands	60	60	60	60
S.S. Polloval	Hybrid Wet Pond/Wetland	60	70	75	80
	Wet Pond	60	75	85	95
	Dry Pond (Continuous Flow)	90	150	200	240

Table 3.2 Water Quality Storage Requirements based on Receiving Waters^{1, 2}

Source: Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual prepared by the MOE, 2003

Plot Style: ---- Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: Sep. 28, 09 2:04 PM Printed By: SLAVICA VUKIC Last Saved By: SVUKIC Last Saved At: Sep. 22, 09

Project Title

FUTURE ROAD RIGHT OF WAY DRAINAGE AREA TO INTERIM SWM FACILITY

FIGURE 4

Sheet No.

Design Chart 4.20: Ditch Inlet Capacity

Capacities of grates operating in high velocity flows are less than indicated.

DESIGN GUIDE

STORM TANK Module

Contents

- 1.0 Introduction
- 2.0 Product Information
- 3.0 Manufacturing Standards
- 4.0 Structural Response
- 5.0 Foundation
- 6.0 System Materials
- 7.0 Connections
- 8.0 Pretreatment
- 9.0 Additional Considerations
- 10.0 Inspection & Maintenance
- 11.0 System Sizing
- 12.0 Detail Drawings
- 13.0 Specifications
- 14.0 Appendix Bearing Capacity Tables

General Notes

- 1. Brentwood recommends that the installing contractor contact either Brentwood or the local distributor prior to installation of the system to schedule a pre-construction meeting. This meeting will ensure that the installing contractor has a firm understanding of the installation instructions.
- 2. All systems must be designed and installed to meet or exceed Brentwood's minimum requirements. Although Brentwood offers support during the design, review, and construction phases of the Module system, it is the ultimate responsibility of the Engineer of Record to design the system in full compliance with all applicable engineering practices, laws, and regulations.
- 3. Brentwood requires a minimum cover of 24" (610 mm) and/or a maximum Module invert of 11' (3.35 m). Additionally, a minimum 6" (152 mm) leveling bed, 12" (305 mm) side backfill, and 12" (305 mm) top backfill are required on every system.
- 4. Brentwood recommends a minimum bearing capacity and subgrade compaction for all installations. If site conditions are found not to meet any design requirements during installation, the Engineer of Record must be contacted immediately.
- 5. All installations require a minimum two layers of geotextile fabric. One layer is to be installed around the Modules, and another layer is to be installed between the stone/soil interfaces.
- 6. Stone backfilling is to follow all requirements of the most current installation instructions.
- 7. The installing contractor must apply all protective measures to prevent sediment from entering the system during and after installation per local, state, and federal regulations.
- 8. The StormTank® Module carries a Limited Warranty, which can be accessed at www.brentwoodindustries.com.

2

1.0 Introduction

About Brentwood

Brentwood is a global manufacturer of custom and proprietary products and systems for the construction, consumer, medical, power, transportation, and water industries. A focus on plastics innovation, coupled with diverse production capabilities and engineering expertise, has allowed Brentwood to build a strong reputation for thermoplastic molding and solutions development.

Brentwood's product and service offerings continue to grow with an ever-increasing manufacturing presence. By emphasizing customer service and working closely with clients throughout the design, engineering, and manufacturing phases of each project, Brentwood develops forward-thinking strategies to create targeted, tailored solutions.

StormTank® Module

The StormTank Module is a strong, yet lightweight, alternative to other subsurface systems and offers the largest void space (up to 97%) of any subsurface stormwater storage unit on the market. The Modules are simple to assemble on site, limiting shipping costs, installation time, and labor. Their structural PVC columns pressure fit into the polypropylene top/bottom platens, with side panels inserted around the perimeter of the system. This open design and lack of internal walls make the Module system easy to clean compared to other subsurface box structures. When properly designed, applied, installed, and maintained, the Module system has been engineered to achieve a 50-year lifespan.

Technical Support

Brentwood's knowledgeable distributor network and in-house associates emphasize customer service and support by parterning with customers to extend the process beyond physical material supply. These trained specialists are available to assist in the review of proposed systems, conversions of alternatively designed systems, or to resolve any potential concerns before, during, and after the design process. To provide the best assistance, it is recommended that associates be provided with a site plan and cross-sections that include grading, drainage structures, dimensions, etc.

3

2.0 Product Information

Applications

The Module system can be utilized for detention, infiltration, capture and reuse, and specialty applications across a wide range of industries, including the commercial, residential, and recreational segments. The product's modular design allows the system to be configured in almost any shape (even around utilities) and to be located under almost any pervious or impervious surface.

Module Selection

Brentwood manufactures the Module in five different heights (Table 1) that can be stacked uniformly up to two Modules high. This allows for numerous height configurations up to 6' (1.83 m) tall. The Modules can be buried up to a maximum invert of 11' (3.35 m) and require a minimum cover of 24" (610 mm) for load rating. When selecting the proper Module, it is important to consider the minimum required cover, any groundwater or limiting zone restrictions, footprint requirements, and all local, state, and federal regulations.

Table 1: Nominal StormTank® Module Specificiations

	ST-18	ST-24	ST-30	ST-33	ST-36
Height	18"	24″	30"	33″	36"
	(457 mm)	(610 mm)	(762 mm)	(838 mm)	(914 mm)
Void Space	95.5%	96.0%	96.5%	96.9%	97.0%
Module Storage	6.54 ft ³	8.64 ft ³	10.86 ft ³	11.99 ft³	13.10 ft ³
Capacity	(0.18 m ³)	(0.24 m ³)	(0.31 m ³)	(0.34 m³)	(0.37 m ³)
Min. Installed	9.15 ft ³	11.34 ft ³	13.56 ft ³	14.69 ft³	15.80 ft³
Capacity*	(0.26 m ³)	(0.32 m ³)	(0.38 m ³)	(0.42 m³)	(0.45 m³)
Weight	22.70 lbs	26.30 lbs	29.50 lbs	31.3 lbs	33.10 lbs
	(10.30 kg)	(11.93 kg)	(13.38 kg)	(14.20 kg)	(15.01 kg)

*Min. Installed Capacity includes the leveling bed, Module, and top backfill storage capacity for one Module. Stone storage capacity is based on 40% void space. **Side backfill storage is not included**.
3.0 Manufacturing Standards

Brentwood selects material based on long-term performance needs. To ensure longterm performance and limit component deflection over time (creep), Brentwood selected polyvinyl chloride (PVC) for the Module's structural columns and a virgin polypropylene (PP) blend for the top/bottom and side panels. PVC provides the largest creep resistance of commonly available plastics, and therefore, provides the best performance under loading conditions. Materials like polyethylene (HDPE) and recycled PP have lower creep resistance and are not recommended for load-bearing products and applications.

Materials:

Brentwood's proprietary PVC and PP copolymer resins have been chosen specifically for utilization in the StormTank® Module. The PVC is blended in house by experts and is a 100% blend of post-manuacturing/pre-consumer recycled material. Both materials exhibit structural resilience and naturally resist the chemicals typically found in stormwater runoff.

Methods:

Injection Molding

The Module's top/bottom platens and side panels are injection molded, using proprietary molds and materials. This allows Brentwood to manufacture a product that meets structural requirements while maintaining dimensional control, molded-in traceability, and quality control.

Extrusion

Brentwood's expertise in PVC extrusion allows the structural columns to be manufactured in house. The column extrusion includes the internal structural ribs required for lateral support.

Quality Control

Brentwood maintains strict quality control in order to ensure that materials and the final product meet design requirments. This quality assurance program includes full material property testing in accordance with American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) standards, full-part testing, and process testing in order to quantify product performance during manufacturing. Additionally, Brentwood conducts secondary finshed-part testing to verify that design requirements continue to be met post-manufacturing.

All Module parts are marked with traceability information that allows for tracking of manufacturing. Brentwood maintains equipment at all manufacturing locations, as well as at its corporate testing lab, to ensure all materials and products meet all requirements.









4.0 Structural Response

Structural Design

The Module has been designed to resist loads calculated in accordance with the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Official's (AASHTO) Load and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) Bridge Design manual. This fully factored load includes a multiple presence factor, dynamic load allowance, and live load factor to account for real-world situations. This loading was considered when Brentwood developed both the product and installation requirements. The developed minimum cover ensures the system maintains an adequate resistance factor for the design truck (HS-20) and HS-25 loads.

Full-Scale Product Testing

Engineers at Brentwood's in-house testing facility have completed full-scale vertical and lateral tests on the Module to evaluate product response. To date, Brentwood continues in-house testing in order to evaluate long-term creep effects.

Fully Installed System Testing

Brentwood's dedication to providing a premier product extends to fully installed testing. Through a partnership with Queen's University's GeoEngineering Centre in Kingston, Ontario, Brentwood has conducted full-scale installation tests of single- and double-stacked Module systems to analyze short- and long-term performance. Testing includes short-term ultimate limit state testing under fully factored AASHTO loads and minimum installation cover, lateral load testing, long-term performance and lifecycle testing utilizing time-temperature superposition, and load resistance development. Side backfill material tests were also performed to compare the usage of sand, compacted stone, and uncompacted stone.



5.0 Foundation

The foundation (subgrade) of the subsurface storage structure may be the most important part of the Module system installation as this is the location where the system applies the load generated at the surface. If the subgrade lacks adequate support or encounters potential settlement, the entire system could be adversely affected. Therefore, when implementing an underground storage solution, it is imperative that a geotechnical investigation be performed to ensure a strong foundation.

Considerations & Requirements:

Bearing Capacity

The bearing capacity is the ability of the soil to resist settlement. In other words, it is the amount of weight the soil can support. This is important versus the native condition because the system is replacing earth, and even though the system weighs less than the earth, the additional load displacement of the earth is not offset by the difference in weight.

Using the Loading and Resistance Factor Design (LRFD) calculation for bearing capacity, Brentwood has developed a conservative minimum bearing capacity table (see Appendix). The Engineer of Record shall reference this table to assess actual cover versus the soil bearing required for each unit system.

Limiting Zones

Limiting zones are conditions in the underlying soils that can affect the maximum available depth for installation and can reduce the strength and stability of the underlying subgrade. The three main forms of limiting zones are water tables, bedrock, and karst topography. It is recommended that a system be offset a minimum of 12" (305 mm) from any limiting zones.

Compaction

Soil compaction occurs as the soil particles are pressed together and pore space is eliminated. By compacting the soils to 95% (as recommended by Brentwood), the subgrade strength will increase, in turn limiting both the potential for the soil to move once installed and for differential settlement to occur throughout the system. If designing the specific compaction requirement, settlement should be limited to less than 1" (25 mm) through the entire subgrade and should not exceed a 1/2" (13 mm) of differential settlement between any two adjacent units within the system over time.

Mitigation

If a minimum subgrade bearing capacity cannot be achieved because of weak soil, a suitable design will need to be completed by a Geotechnical Engineer. This design may include the overexcavation of the subgrade and an engineered fill or slurry being placed. Additional material such as geogrid or other products may also be required. Please contact a Geotechnical Engineer prior to selecting products or designing the subgrade.



Soil Profile



Water Table Zones

6.0 System Materials

Geotextile Fabric

The 6-ounce geotextile fabric is recommended to be installed between the soil and stone interfaces around the Modules to prevent soil migration.

Leveling Bed

The leveling bed is constructed of 6"-thick (152 mm) angular stone (Table 2). The bed has not been designed as a structural element but is utilized to provide a level surface for the installation of the system and provide an even distribution of load to the subgrade.

Stone Backfill

The stone backfill is designed to limit the strain on the product through displacement of load and ensure the product's longevity. Therefore, a minimum of 12"-wide (305 mm) angular stone must be placed around all sides of the system. In addition, a minimum layer of 12" (305 mm) angular stone is required on top of the system. All material is to be placed evenly in 12" (305 mm) lifts around and on top of the system and aligned with a vibratory plate compactor.

Table 2: Approved Backfill Material

Material Location	Description	AASHTO M43 Designation	ASTM D2321 Class	Compaction/Density	
Finished Surface	Topsoil, hardscape, stone, concrete, or asphalt per Engineer of Record	N/A	N/A	Prepare per engineered plans	
Suitable Compactable Fill	Well-graded granular soil/aggregate, typically road base or earthen fill (maximum 4" particle size)	56, 57, 6, 67, 68	l & ll III (Earth Only)	Place in maximum 12" lifts to a minimum 90% standard proctor density	
Top Backfill	Crushed angular stone placed between Modules and road base or earthen fill	56, 57, 6, 67, 68	I & II	Plate vibrate to provide evenly distributed layers	
Side Backfill	Crushed angular stone placed between earthen wall and Modules	56, 57, 6, 67, 68	&	Place and plate vibrate in uniform 12" lifts around the system	
Leveling Bed	Crushed angular stone placed to provide level surface for installation of Modules	56, 57, 6, 67, 68	&	Plate vibrate to achieve level surface	

Impermeable Liner

In designs that prevent runoff from infiltrating into the surrounding soil (detention or reuse applications) or groundwater from entering the system, an impermeable liner is required. When incorporating a liner as part of the system, Brentwood recommends using a manufactured product such as a PVC liner. This can be installed around the Modules themselves or installed around the excavation (to gain the benefit of the void space in the stone) and should include an underdrain system to ensure the basin fully drains. This liner is installed with a layer of geotextile fabric on both sides to prevent puncture, in accordance with manufacturer recommendations.

7.0 Connections

Stormwater runoff must be able to move readily in and out of the StormTank[®] Module system. Brentwood has developed numerous means of connecting to the system, including inlet/outlet ports and direct abutment to a catch basin or endwall. All methods of connection should be evaluated as each one may offer a different solution. Brentwood has developed drawings to assist with specific installation methods, and these are available at <u>www.brentwoodindustries.com</u>.

Inlet/Outlet and Pipe Connections

To facilitate easy connection to the system, Brentwood manufactures two inlet/outlet ports. They are 12" (305 mm) and 14" (356 mm), respectfully, and utilize a flexible coupling connection to the adjoining pipe.

Another common installation method is to directly connect the pipe to the system. In order to do this, an opening is cut into the side panels, the pipe is inserted, and then the system is wrapped in geotextile fabric. When utilizing this connection method, the pipe must be located a minimum of 3" (76 mm) from the bottom of the system. This provides adequate clearance for the bottom platen and the required strength in the remaining side panel. To maintain the required clearances or reduce pipe size, it may be necessary to connect utilizing a manifold system.

Direct Abutment

The system can also be connected by directly abutting Modules to a concrete catch basin or endwall. This allows for a seamless connection of structures in close proximity to the system and eliminates the need for numerous pipe connections. When directly abutting one of these structures, remove any side panels that fully abut the structure, and make sure it is flush with the system to prevent material migration into the structure.

<u>Underdrain</u>

Underdrains are typically utilized in detention applications to ensure the system fully drains since infiltration is limited or prohibited. The incorporation of an underdrain in a detention application will require an impermeable liner between the stone-soil interface.

Cleanout Ports

Brentwood understands the necessity to inspect and clean a subsurface system and has designed the Module without any walls to allow full access. Brentwood offers three different cleanout/ observation ports for utilization with the system. The ports are made from PVC, provide an easy means of connection, and are available in 6" (152 mm), 8" (203 mm) and 10" (254 mm) diameters. The 10" (254 mm) port is sized to allow access to the system by a vacuum truck suction hose for easy debris removal.

It is recommended that ports be located a maximum of 30' (9.14 m) on center to provide adequate access, ensure proper airflow, and allow the system to completely fill.



Ventilation and Air Flow

8.0 Pretreatment

Removing pollutants from stormwater runoff is an important component of any stormwater management plan. Pretreatment works to prevent water quality deterioration and also plays an integral part in allowing the system to maintain performance over time and increase longevity. Treatment products vary in complexity, design, and effectiveness, and therefore, should be selected based on specific project requirements.

Typical Stormwater System



StormTank® Shield

Brentwood's StormTank Shield provides a low-cost solution for stormwater pretreatment. Designed to improve sumped inlet treatment, the Shield reduces pollutant discharge through gross sediment removal and oil/water separation. For more information, please visit <u>www.brentwoodindustries.com</u>.

Debris Row (Easy Cleanout)

An essential step of designing, installing, and maintaining a subsurface system is preventing debris from entering the storage. This can be done by incorporating debris rows (or bays) at the inlets of the system to prevent debris from entering the rest of the system.

The debris row is built into the system utilizing side panels with a 12" (305 mm) segment of geotextile fabric. This allows for the full basin capacity to be utilized while storing any debris in an easy-to-remove location. To calculate the number of side panels required to prevent backing up, the opening area of the side panels on the area above the geotextile fabric has been calculated and compared to the inflow pipe diameter.

Debris row cleanout is made easy by including 10" (254 mm) suction ports, based on the length of the row, and a 6" (152 mm) saddle connection to the inflow pipe. If the system is directly abutting a catch basin, the saddle connection is not required, and the flush hose can be inserted through the catch basin. Debris is then flushed from the inlet toward the suction ports and removed.

Brentwood has developed drawings and specifications that are available at <u>www.brentwoodindustries.com</u> to illustrate the debris row configuration and layouts.



Debris Row Section Detail

Ю

9.0 Additional Considerations

Many variable factors, such as the examples below, must be taken into consideration when designing a StormTank® Module system. As these considerations require complex calculations and proper planning, please contact Brentwood or your local distributor to discuss project-specific requirements.

Adaptability

The Modules can be arranged in custom configurations to meet tight site constraints and to provide different horizontal and edge configurations. Modules can also be stacked, to a maximum 2 units tall, to meet capacity needs and can be buried to a maximum invert of 11' (3.35 m) to allow for a stacked system or deeper burial.

Adjacent Structures

The location of adjacent structures, especially the location of footings and foundations, must be taken into consideration as part of system design. The foundation of a building or retaining wall produces a load



Site Plan Module Layout Adaptability (StormTank Modules shown in blue)

that is transmitted to a footing and then applied to the surface below. The footing is intended to distribute the line load of the wall over a larger area without increasing the larger wall's thickness. The reason this is important is because the load the footing is applying to the earth is distributed through the earth and could potentially affect a subsurface system as either a vertical load to the top of the Module or a lateral load to the side of the Module.

Based on this increased loading, it is recommended that the subsurface system either maintain a distance away from the foundation, footing equal to the height between the Module invert and structure invert of the system, or the foundation or footing extend at a minimum to the invert of the subsurface system. By locating the foundation away from the system or equal to the invert, the loading generated by the structure does not get transferred onto the system. It is recommended that all adjacent structures be completed prior to the installation of the Modules to prevent construction loads from being imparted on the system.

Adjacent Excavation

The subsurface system must be protected before, during, and after the installation. Once a system is installed, it is important to remember that excavation adjacent to the system could potentially cause the system to become unstable. The uniform backfilling will evenly distribute the lateral loads to the system and prohibit the system from becoming unstable and racking from unequal loads. However, it is recommended that any excavation adjacent to a system remain a minimum distance away from the system equal to the invert. This will provide a soil load that is equal to the load applied by the opposite side of the installation. If the excavation is to exceed the invert of the system, additional analysis may be necessary.

Sloped Finished Grade

Much like adjacent excavation, a finished grade with a differential cover could potentially cause a subsurface system to become disproportionately loaded. For example, if one side of the system has 10' (3.05 m) of cover and the adjacent side has 24" (610 mm) of cover, the taller side will generate a higher lateral load, and the opposite side may not have an equal amount of resistance to prevent a racking of the system. Additional evaluation may be required when working on sites where the final grade around a system exceeds 5%.

Ð

Description

Proper inspection and maintenance of a subsurface stormwater storage system are vital to ensuring proper product functioning and system longevity. It is recommended that during construction the contractor takes the necessary steps to prevent sediment from entering the subsurface system. This may include the installation of a bypass pipe around the system until the site is stabilized. The contractor should install and maintain all site erosion and sediment per Best Management Practices (BMP) and local, state, and federal regulations.

Once the site is stabilized, the contractor should remove and properly dispose of erosion and sediment per BMP and all local, state, and federal regulations. Care should be taken during removal to prevent collected sediment or debris from entering the stormwater system. Once the controls are removed, the system should be flushed to remove any sediment or construction debris by following the maintenance procedure outlined below.

During the first service year, a visual inspection should be completed during and after each major rainfall event, in addition to semiannual inspections, to establish a pattern of sediment and debris buildup. Each stormwater system is unique, and multiple criteria can affect maintenance frequency. For example, whether or not a system design includes inlet protection or a pretreatment device has a substantial effect on the system's need for maintenance. Other factors include where the runoff is coming from (hardscape, gravel, soil, etc.) and seasonal changes like autumn leaves and winter salt.

During and after the second year of service, an established annual inspection frequency, based on the information collected during the first year, should be followed. At a minimum, an inspection should be performed semi-annually. Additional inspections may be required at the change of seasons for regions that experience adverse conditions (leaves, cinders, salt, sand, etc).

Maintenance Procedures

Inspection:

- 1. Inspect all observation ports, inflow and outflow connections, and the discharge area.
- 2. Identify and log any sediment and debris accumulation, system backup, or discharge rate changes.
- 3. If there is a sufficient need for cleanout, contact a local cleaning company for assistance.

Cleaning:

- 1. If a pretreatment device is installed, follow manufacturer recommendations.
- 2. Using a vacuum pump truck, evacuate debris from the inflow and outflow points.
- 3. Flush the system with clean water, forcing debris from the system.
- 4. Repeat steps 2 and 3 until no debris is evident.

11.0 System Sizing

System Sizing Calculation

This section provides a brief description of the process required to size the StormTank® Module system. If you need additional assistance in determining the required number of Modules or assistance with the proposed configuration, it is recommended that you contact Brentwood or your local distributor. Additionally, Brentwood's volume calculator can help you to estimate the available storage volumes with and without stone storage. This tool is available at <u>www.brentwoodindustries.com</u>.

1. Determine the required storage volume (Vs):

It is the sole responsibility of the Engineer of Record to calculate the storage volume in accordance with all local, state, and federal regulations.

2. Determine the required number of Modules (N):

If the storage volume does not include stone storage, take the total volume divided by the selected Module storage volume. If the stone storage is to be included, additional calculations will be required to determine the available stone storage for each configuration.

3. Determine the required volume of stone (Vstone):

The system requires a minimum 6" (152 mm) leveling bed, 12" (305 mm) backfill around the system, and 12" (305 mm) top backfill utilizing 3/4" (19 mm) angular clean stone. Therefore, take the area of the system times the leveling bed and the top backfill. Once that value is determined, add the volume based on the side backfill width times the height from the invert of the Modules to the top of the Modules.

4. Determine the required excavation volume (Vexcv):

Utilizing the area of the system, including the side backfill, multiply by the depth of the system including the leveling bed. It is noted that this calculation should also include any necessary side pitch or benching that is required for local, state, or federal safety standards.

5. Determine the required amount of geotextile (G):

The system utilizes a multiple layer system of geotextile fabric. Therefore, two calculations are required to determine the necessary amount of geotextile. The first layer surrounds the entire system (including all backfill), and the second layer surrounds the Module system only. It is recommended that an additional 20% be included for waste and overlap.

11.1 Storage Volume

7					
б				Total 11.986	0.284
5					0.344
ļ				0.370	
3			Total 10.876	0.284	0.370
2				0.344	0.370
				0.370	0.370
)			0.284	0.370	0.370
)			0.344	0.370	0.370
			0.370	0.370	0.370
		Total 8.656	0.370	0.370	0.370
			0.370	0.370	0.370
			0.370	0.370	0.370
·		0.284	0.370	0.370	0.370
		0.344	0.370	0.370	0.370
		0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	Total 6.436	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
		0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
		0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.284	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.344	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370	0.370
	0.344	0.344	0.344	0.344	0.344
	0.284	0.284	0.284	0.284	0.284
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
	18" Module	24" Module	30" Module	33" Module	36" Module

Module Height

Stage Elevation – (Inches)

11.2 Material Quantity Worksheet

Project Name:	By:		
Location:	Date:		
System Requirements			
Required Storage	ft ³ (m ³)		
Number of Modules	Each		
Module Storage	ft ³ (m ³)		
Stone Storage	ft ³ (m ³)		
Module Footprint	ft² (m²) Number of Modules x 4.5 ft² (0.42 m²)		
System Footprint w/ Stone	ft² (m²) Module Footprint + 1 ft (0.3048 m) to each edge		
Stone Tons (kg) Leveling Bed + Side Backfill + Top Backfill			
Volume of Excavation yd ³ (m ³) System Footprint w/ Stone x Total Height			
Area of Geotextile	yd² (m²) Wrap around Modules + Wrap around Stone/Soil Interface		

System Cost

	Quantity		Unit Price			Total
Modules	ft ³ (m ³)	х	\$	ft ³ (m ³)	=	\$
Stone	Tons (kg)	х	\$	Tons (kg)	=	\$
Excavation	yd³ (m³)	х	\$	yd³ (m³)	=	\$
Geotextile	yd² (m²)	х	\$	yd² (m²)	=	\$
				Subtot	al =	\$
				Το	ns =	\$

Material costs may not include freight.

Please contact Brentwood or your local distributor for this information.

12.0 Detail Drawings

Brentwood has developed numerous drawings for utilization when specifying a StormTank® Module system. Below are some examples of drawings available at <u>www.brentwoodindustries.com</u>.







13.0 Specifications

1) General

a) This specification shall govern the implementation, performance, material, and fabrication pertaining to the subsurface stormwater storage system. The subsurface stormwater storage system shall be manufactured by Brentwood Industries, Inc., 500 Spring Ridge Drive, Reading, PA 19610 (610.374.5109), and shall adhere to the following specification at the required storage capacities.
b) All work is to be completed per the design requirements of the Engineer of Record and to meet or exceed the manufacturer's design and installation requirements.

2) Subsurface Stormwater Storage System Modules

a) The subsurface stormwater storage system shall be constructed from virgin polypropylene and 100% recycled PVC to meet the following requirements:

i) High-Impact Polypropylene Copolymer Material

(1) Injection molded, polypropylene, top/bottom platens and side panels formed to a dimension of 36" (914 mm) long by 18" (457 mm) wide [nominal].

ii) 100% Recycled PVC Material

(1) PVC conforming to ASTM D-1784 Cell Classification 12344 b-12454 B.

(2) Extruded, rigid, and 100% recycled PVC columns sized for applicable loads as defined by Section 3 of the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications and manufactured to the required length per engineer-approved drawings.

iii) Platens and columns are assembled on site to create Modules, which can be uniformly stacked up to two Modules high, in vertical structures of variable height (custom for each project).

iv) Modular stormwater storage units must have a minimum 95% void space and be continuously open in both length and width, with no internal walls or partitions.

3) Submittals

a) Only systems that are approved by the engineer will be allowed.

b) At least 10 days prior to bid, submit the following to the engineer to be considered for pre-qualification to bid:

i) A list of materials to be provided for work under this article, including the name and address of the materials producer and the location from which the materials are to be obtained.

ii) Three hard copies of the following:

- (1) Shop drawings.
- (2) Specification sheets.
- (3) Installation instructions.
- (4) Maintenance guidelines.

c) Subsurface Stormwater Storage System Component Samples for review:

i) Subsurface stormwater storage system Modules provide a single 36" (914 mm) long by 18" (457 mm) wide, height as specified, unit of the product for review.

ii) Sample to be retained by owner.

d) Manufacturers named as acceptable herein are not required to submit samples.

4) Structural Design

a) The structural design, backfill, and installation requirements shall ensure the loads and load factors specified in the AASHTO LRFD Bridge Design Specifications, Section 3 are met.

b) Product shall be tested under minimum installation criteria for short-duration live loads that are calculated to include a 20% increase over the AASHTO Design Truck standard with consideration for impact, multiple vehicle presences, and live load factor.

c) Product shall be tested under maximum burial criteria for long-term dead loads.

d) The engineer may require submission of third-party test data and results in accordance with items 4b and 4c to ensure adequate structural design and performance.

14.0 Appendix - Bearing Capacity Tables

Cover		HS-25 (Unfactored)		HS-25 (Factored)			Cover		HS-25 (Unfactored)		HS-25 (Factored)	
English (in)	Metric (mm)	English (ksf)	Metric (kPa)	English (ksf)	Metric (kPa)		English (in)	Metric (mm)	English (ksf)	Metric (kPa)	English (ksf)	Metric (kPa)
24	610	1.89	90.45	4.75	227.43	1 1	70	1,778	1.13	54.26	2.06	98.63
25	635	1.82	86.96	4.53	216.90		71	1,803	1.14	54.46	2.06	98.63
26	660	1.75	83.78	4.34	207.80		72	1,829	1.14	54.67	2.06	98.63
27	686	1.69	80.88	4.16	199.18		73	1,854	1.15	54.90	2.06	98.63
28	711	1.63	78.24	3.99	191.04		74	1,880	1.15	55.13	2.06	98.63
29	737	1.58	75.82	3.84	183.86		75	1,905	1.16	55.38	2.06	98.63
30	762	1.54	73.62	3.70	177.16		76	1,930	1.16	55.64	2.06	98.63
31	787	1.50	71.60	3.57	170.93		77	1,956	1.17	55.90	2.06	98.63
32	813	1.46	69.75	3.45	165.19		78	1,981	1.17	56.18	2.06	98.63
33	838	1.42	68.06	3.34	159.92		79	2,007	1.18	56.46	2.07	99.11
34	864	1.39	66.51	3.24	155.13		80	2,032	1.19	56.76	2.07	99.11
35	889	1.36	65.10	3.14	150.34		81	2,057	1.19	57.06	2.07	99.11
36	914	1.33	63.80	3.05	146.03		82	2,083	1.20	57.37	2.08	99.59
37	940	1.31	62.62	2.97	142.20		83	2,108	1.20	57.69	2.08	99.59
38	965	1.29	61.54	2.90	138.85		84	2,134	1.21	58.02	2.09	100.07
39	991	1.26	60.55	2.83	135.50		85	2,159	1.22	58.35	2.09	100.07
40	1,016	1.25	59.65	2.76	132.15		86	2,184	1.23	58.69	2.10	100.55
41	1,041	1.23	58.54	2.70	129.28		87	2,210	1.23	59.04	2.11	101.03
42	1,067	1.21	58.09	2.67	127.84		88	2,235	1.24	59.39	2.11	101.03
43	1,092	1.20	57.42	2.60	124.49		89	2,261	1.25	59.75	2.12	101.51
44	1,118	1.19	56.81	2.55	122.09		90	2,286	1.26	60.11	2.13	101.98
45	1,143	1.18	56.26	2.50	119.70		91	2,311	1.26	60.48	2.13	101.98
46	1,168	1.16	55.77	2.46	117.79		92	2,337	1.27	60.86	2.14	102.46
47	1,194	1.16	55.33	2.42	115.87		93	2,362	1.28	61.24	2.15	102.94
48	1,219	1.15	54.94	2.39	114.43		94	2,388	1.29	61.62	2.16	103.42
49	1,245	1.14	54.59	2.36	113.00		95	2,413	1.30	62.01	2.17	103.90
50	1,270	1.13	54.29	2.33	111.56		96	2,438	1.30	62.41	2.18	104.38
51	1,295	1.13	54.03	2.30	110.12		97	2,464	1.31	62.81	2.19	104.86
52	1,321	1.12	53.80	2.27	108.69		98	2,489	1.32	63.21	2.20	105.34
53	1,346	1.12	53.62	2.25	107.73		99	2,515	1.33	63.62	2.21	105.82
54	1,372	1.12	53.46	2.23	106.77		100	2,540	1.34	64.03	2.22	106.29
55	1,397	1.11	53.34	2.21	105.82		101	2,565	1.35	64.45	2.23	106.77
56	1,422	1.11	53.24	2.19	104.86		102	2,591	1.35	64.87	2.24	107.25
57	1,448	1.11	53.18	2.17	103.90		103	2,616	1.36	65.29	2.25	107.73
58	1,473	1.11	53.14	2.16	103.42		104	2,642	1.37	65.72	2.27	108.69
59	1,499	1.11	53.12	2.14	102.46		105	2,667	1.38	66.15	2.28	109.17
60	1,524	1.11	53.13	2.13	101.98		106	2,692	1.39	66.58	2.29	109.65
61	1,549	1.11	53.16	2.12	101.51		107	2,718	1.40	67.02	2.30	110.12
62	1,575	1.11	53.21	2.11	101.03		108	2,743	1.41	67.45	2.31	110.60
63	1,600	1.11	53.28	2.10	100.55		109	2,769	1.42	67.90	2.33	111.56
64	1,626	1.11	53.37	2.09	100.07		110	2,794	1.43	68.34	2.34	112.04
65	1,651	1.12	53.48	2.08	99.59		111	2,819	1.44	68.79	2.35	112.52
66	1,676	1.12	53.61	2.08	99.59		112	2,845	1.45	69.24	2.36	113.00
67	1,702	1.12	53.75	2.07	99.11		113	2,870	1.46	69.69	2.38	113.96
68	1,727	1.13	53.91	2.07	99.11		114	2,896	1.47	70.15	2.39	114.43
69	1,753	1.13	54.08	2.06	98.63							



BRENTWOOD INDUSTRIES, INC.

brentwoodindustries.com stormtank@brentw.com +1.610.374.5109

