

Roadway Traffic Noise Feasibility Assessment

Conservancy Subdivision

Ottawa, Ontario

REPORT: GWE17-151 - Traffic Noise

Prepared For:

Andrew Finnson

Barrhaven Conservancy East Inc.
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared By:

Joshua Foster, P.Eng., Principal Omar Daher, B.Eng., EIT, Junior Environmental Scientist

May 28, 2018



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This document describes a roadway traffic noise feasibility assessment performed for a proposed residential subdivision located at 3285 Borrisokane Road in Ottawa, Ontario. The study site is situated in the southwest area of Barrhaven in Ottawa, Ontario. The initial concept plan being considered for draft plan of subdivision applications, comprises residential developments. However, the road network and arrangement of land uses may be subject to change through the development approval process. The major sources of roadway noise affecting the development are roadway traffic along two proposed collectors, a minor collector located west, and a major collector (Chapman Mills Drive) located north of the development, in addition to a proposed Bus Rapid Transit in the centre of Chapman Mills Drive running east-west north of the development.

The assessment is based on: (i) theoretical noise prediction methods that conform to the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) and City of Ottawa requirements; (ii) noise level criteria as specified by the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG); (iii) future vehicular traffic volumes based on the City of Ottawa's Official Plan roadway classifications; and (iv) architectural drawings received from NAK Design Strategies.

As the site plan may be subject to change, GWE took the approach to establish noise contours around the site with a general massing consideration for the townhouses and single homes. The contours, based on the City of Ottawa noise criteria, were used to determine what level of noise control for various areas on site would be required. The results of the current study indicate that noise levels due to roadway traffic over the site will range between approximately 62 and 67 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00). The highest roadway traffic noise levels will occur nearest to the intersection of the two collectors.

Results of the roadway traffic noise calculations also indicate that outdoor living areas having direct exposure to the noise sources that are within approximately 45 metres of the proposed major collector and minor collector, may require noise control measures depending on final site orientation. These measures are in Section 5.2, with the aim to reduce the L_{eq} to as close to 55 dBA as technically, economically and administratively feasible.



Once the final site plan configuration has been established, at the time of site plan approval, future detailed noise studies would be performed to determine site specific noise mitigation and appropriate warning clauses.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				PAGE
1.	INTRO	DDUCTION		
2.	TERM	1S OF REFERENCE		
3.	OBJE	OBJECTIVES		
4.	METHODOLOGY			2
	4.1	Background		
	4.2	Roadway Traffic Noise		2
		4.2.1	Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise	2
	4.3	Roadway Noise Assessment		3
		4.3.1	Theoretical Roadway Traffic Noise Predictions	3
		4.3.2	Roadway Traffic Volumes	4
5.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION			
	5.1	Roadway Traffic Noise Levels		
	5.2 Summary of Noise Control Measures			6
6	CONC	LISIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		

FIGURES

APPENDICES:

Appendix A – STAMSON 5.04 Input and Output Data Supporting Information



1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (GWE) was retained by Barrhaven Conservancy East Inc. to undertake a roadway traffic noise feasibility assessment of the proposed residential subdivision located at 3285 Borrisokane Road in Ottawa, Ontario. This report summarizes the methodology, results and recommendations related to a roadway traffic noise feasibility assessment, and was prepared in support of the client's draft plan of subdivision applications. GWE's scope of work involved assessing exterior noise levels throughout the site, generated by local roadway traffic. The report also quantitatively addresses any potential noise impacts. The assessment was performed on the basis of theoretical noise calculation methods conforming to the City of Ottawa¹ and Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change² guidelines. Noise calculations were based on an initial concept plan received from NAK Design Strategies, with future traffic volumes corresponding to the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) roadway classifications, and Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) volumes based on correspondence with a senior reviewer at the City of Ottawa.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The focus of this roadway traffic noise feasibility assessment is a proposed subdivision concept plan located at 3285 Borrisokane Road, southeast of the intersection of Strandherd Drive with Borrisokane Road in Ottawa. The concept plan is currently comprising single homes and townhouse units. The townhouse units will contain rear lanes and are located at the west and east side of the site, with the remaining space dedicated to single homes. The development is expected to contain outdoor living areas in the rear yards of each unit. The study area is bordered on the north by a major collector running east – west (Chapman Mills Drive), and a collector running north south to the west side of the development. The major sources of roadway noise are the proposed major and minor collectors running north and west of the site, as per the Land Use Concept Plan, in addition to a Bus Rapid Transit (BRT) running in the centre of Chapman Mills Drive (East-West). The closest arterials to the site are more than 100 m from the site and includes Strandherd Drive to the north, Greenbank Road to the east, and Borrisokane Road to the west. As such, they are considered insignificant source of transportation noise. The site is surrounded by vacant land designated with residential developments to the north, and open land space west, east, and south of the development.

¹ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines, January 2016

² Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change – Publication NPC-300 *Barrhaven Conservancy East Inc. – Conservancy Project*



A river runs south of the site plan, and a storm water ponds to east of the site. Figure 1 illustrates the site location with surrounding context.

Due to the current state of the development, the final site configuration is uncertain and may be subject to change. Therefore, GWE took the approach to establish noise contours around the site as per the current plans. The contours, based on the City of Ottawa noise criteria, were used to determine what level of noise control for various areas on site would be required.

3. OBJECTIVES

The principal objective of this work is to calculate the future noise levels on the study site produced by local roadway traffic and explore potential for noise mitigation where required.

4. METHODOLOGY

4.1 Background

Noise can be defined as any obtrusive sound. It is created at a source, transmitted through a medium, such as air, and intercepted by a receiver. Noise may be characterized in terms of the power of the source or the sound pressure at a specific distance. While the power of a source is characteristic of that particular source, the sound pressure depends on the location of the receiver and the path that the noise takes to reach the receiver. Measurement of noise is based on the decibel unit, dBA, which is a logarithmic ratio referenced to a standard noise level (2×10^{-5} Pascals). The 'A' suffix refers to a weighting scale, which better represents how the noise is perceived by the human ear. With this scale, a doubling of power results in a 3 dBA increase in measured noise levels and is just perceptible to most people. An increase of 10 dBA is often perceived to be twice as loud.

4.2 Roadway Traffic Noise

4.2.1 Criteria for Roadway Traffic Noise

For vehicle traffic, the equivalent sound energy level, L_{eq} , provides a measure of the time varying noise levels, which is well correlated with the annoyance of sound. It is defined as the continuous sound level, which has the same energy as a time varying noise level over a period of time. For roadways, the L_{eq} is commonly calculated on the basis of a 16-hour (L_{eq16}) daytime (07:00-23:00) / 8-hour (L_{eq8}) nighttime (23:00-07:00) split to assess its impact on residential buildings. The City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise



Control Guidelines (ENCG) specifies that the recommended Outdoor Living Area (OLA) noise limit is 55 dBA during the daytime period. OLA do not need to be considered during the nighttime period.

Predicted noise levels at the outdoor living area dictate the action required to achieve the recommended sound levels. According to the ENCG, if an area is to be used as an outdoor living area (OLA), noise control measures are required to reduce the L_{eq} to 55 dBA. This is typically done with noise control measures outlined in Section 5.2. When noise levels at these areas exceed the criteria, specific Warning Clause requirements may apply. As this is a preliminary assessment, noise control recommendations are of a general nature; specific mitigation requirements would be the work of a future study.

4.3 Roadway Noise Assessment

4.3.1 Theoretical Roadway Traffic Noise Predictions

Noise predictions were determined by computer modelling using two programs. To provide a general sense of noise across the site, the software program *Predictor-Lima (TMN calculation)*, which incorporates the United States Federal Highway Administration's (FHWA) Transportation Noise Model (TNM) 2.5. This computer program is capable of representing three-dimensional surface and first reflections of sound waves over a suitable spectrum for human hearing. A receptor grid with 5 × 5 m spacing was placed across the study site, along with a number of discrete receptors at key sensitive areas. This program outputs noise contours, however, is not the approved model for roadway predictions by the City of Ottawa. Therefore, the results were confirmed by performing discrete noise calculations with the Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change's (MOECC) computerized noise assessment program, STAMSON 5.04, at key receptor locations coinciding with receptor locations in Predictor as shown in Figure 2. Appendix A includes the STAMSON 5.04 input and output data.

Roadway noise calculations were performed by treating each road segment as separate line sources of noise. In addition to the traffic volumes summarized in Table 1, theoretical noise predictions were based on the following parameters:

- Truck traffic on all roadways was taken to comprise 5% heavy trucks and 7% medium trucks, as per ENCG requirements for noise level predictions
- The day/night split was taken to be 92% / 8% respectively for all streets
- Reflective ground surface between source and receivers for conservatism.



- BRT located in the centre of Chapman Mills Drive, similar to the centerline of the road, therefore
 exposure angles and distances for BRT similar to Chapman Mills Drive. .
- The study site was treated as having flat or gently sloping topography
- No massing considered as potential noise screening elements
- Receptor distances and exposure angles illustrated in Figure 2.

4.3.2 Roadway Traffic Volumes

The ENCG dictates that noise calculations should consider future sound levels based on a roadway's classification at the mature state of development. Therefore, traffic volumes are based on the roadway classifications outlined in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (OP) and Transportation Master Plan³ (TMP) which provides additional details on future roadway expansions. Average Annual Daily Traffic (AADT) volumes are then based on data in Table B1 of the ENCG for each roadway classification. As for the BRT, the total number of busses was assumed to be 258, based on correspondence with a senior reviewer in the City of Ottawa, attached in Appendix A. Table 1 (below) summarizes the AADT values used for each roadway included in this assessment.

TABLE 1: ROADWAY TRAFFIC DATA

Roadway	Roadway Class	Speed Limit (km/h)	Official Plan AADT	BRT Volumes
Proposed Collector A	2-Lane Collector (2-UCU)	50	8,000	-
Proposed Chapman Mills Drive (Collector)	2-Lane Major Collector (2-UMCU)	50	12,000	-
Bus Rapid Transit	(BRT)	80	-	*191/67

^{*}Daytime and Nighttime volumes based on correspondence with the City of Ottawa

³ City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan, November 2013 *Barrhaven Conservancy East Inc. – Conservancy Project*



5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Roadway Traffic Noise Levels

The results of the roadway traffic noise calculations for the daytime period are shown in Figure 3 respectively, which cover the entire study site. Discrete receptors were also placed at ground level at key locations throughout the site. The noise contours were generated using *TMN* and verified with discrete receptors using STAMSON 5.04 as shown in Figure 2 and summarized in Table 2 below. Receptors 1-4 are located on the western side of the site, Receptor 5 in the central area of the site, and Receptors 6-8 on the eastern side of the site. Appendix A contains the complete set of input and output data from all STAMSON 5.04 calculations.

TABLE 2: EXTERIOR NOISE LEVELS DUE TO ROAD TRAFFIC

Receptor Number	Receptor Height Above Grade (m)	Zone Location	STAMSON 5.04 Noise Level (dBA)	Predictor- Lima Noise Level (dBA)
1	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of townhome	67	63
2	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of townhome	65	62
3	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of townhome	63	60
4	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of townhome	62	59
5	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of single home	64	60
6	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of townhome	64	60
7	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of townhome	62	58
8	1.5	OLA – Grade Level – Rear of single home	63	60

As shown above, the results calculated from *TMN* generally have good correlation with calculations performed in STAMSON 5.04. A tolerance of 3 dBA between models is generally considered acceptable given human hearing cannot detect a change in sound level of less than 3 dBA. The difference of 4 dBA for receptors between STAMSON and *TMN* calculations are a result of the difference in calculation methodology between both programs and is not considered significant. The TMN model accounts for more attenuation factors, generally resulting in lower predicted values. As stated in Section 4.3.1, no massing of proposed buildings considered as potential screening elements. Results of the roadway traffic noise calculations also indicate that outdoor living areas having direct exposure to the noise sources that



are within approximately 45 metres of the proposed collectors, may require noise control measures. These measures are in Section 5.2, with the aim to reduce the L_{eq} to as close to 55 dBA as technically, economically and administratively feasible.

5.2 Summary of Noise Control Measures

The OLA noise levels predicted due to roadway traffic, at a number of receptors, exceed the criteria listed in the ENCG for outdoor living areas, as discussed in Section 4.2. Therefore, noise control measures as described below from Table 2.3a in the ENCG, in order of preference, will be required to reduce the L_{eq} to 55 dBA:

- Distance setback with soft ground
- Insertion of noise insensitive land uses between the source and sensitive points of reception
- Orientation of buildings to provide sheltered zones in rear yards
- Shared outdoor amenity areas
- Earth berms (sound barriers)
- Acoustic barriers

Examining the noise control measures listed above, not all of the OLA have the proposed buildings oriented to provide screening elements against traffic sources. The central single home blocks on the north, the townhouse blocks on the furthest west, and the single homes on the furthest east have OLA with direct exposure to the major collector and/or minor collector north and west of the development. Distance setback, insertion of non-noise sensitive land uses, and building orientation to provide sheltered zones in rear yards may not be feasible due to the requirements of the Community Development Plan. It is also not feasible to have shared outdoor amenity areas for this development with respect to rear yards as this would have a significant impact on salability. Therefore, the most feasible measures are insertion of earth berms or acoustic wall barriers between the sensitive rear yards and sources of noise. By siding lots onto the collector and arterial roadways the extent of barriers are minimized. The use of earth berms or acoustic barriers will depend on the grading plan when it becomes available. Both options can reduce OLA noise levels to below 55 dBA.

Regarding Figure 3, the area(s) with noise levels under 55 dBA (yellow and light orange) have no ventilation or mitigation requirements. The area(s) with noise levels between 55 and 65 dBA (orange and red) require forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning with an applicable generic



Warning Clause. Finally, the area(s) that represent noise levels above 65 dBA (maroon) require central air conditioning with an applicable extensive mitigation Warning Clause. Additionally, if the daytime/ nighttime noise levels in the plane of window for a bedroom or living room is greater than 65 dBA or 60 dBA, respectively, building components including windows, walls and doors, where applicable, will be designed so that the indoor noise levels comply with the noise levels stated in Section 4.2. A detailed review of proposed assemblies will be conducted at the time of site plan approval to determine site specific noise mitigation and appropriate warning clauses.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the current study indicate that noise levels due to roadway traffic over the site will range between approximately 62 and 67 dBA during the daytime period (07:00-23:00). The highest roadway traffic noise levels will occur nearest to the intersection of the two collectors.

Results of the roadway traffic noise calculations also indicate that outdoor living areas having direct exposure to the noise sources that are within approximately 45 metres of the proposed collectors, may require noise control measures. These measures are in Section 5.2, with the aim to reduce the L_{eq} to as close to 55 dBA as technically, economically and administratively feasible.

A detailed roadway traffic noise study will be required at the time of site plan approval to determine specific noise control measures for the development.



This concludes our assessment and report. If you have any questions or wish to discuss our findings, please advise us. In the interim, we thank you for the opportunity to be of service.

Yours truly,

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.



Joshua Foster, P.Eng. Principal GWE17-151 - Traffic Noise

Omar Daher, B.Eng., EIT Junior Environmental Analyst




127 Walgreen Road Ottawa, Ontario (613) 836 0934

GWEGRADIENT WIND ENGINEERING INC

I	CONSERVANCY PROJECT - TRAFFIC NOISE FEASIBILITY ASSESSIMENT				
I	1:4000 (APPROX.)	GWE17-151-1			
I	MAY 28, 2018	O.D.			

FIGURE 1: SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT



127 Walgreen Road
Ottawa, Ontario
(613) 836 0934

G W E GRADIENT WIND
ENGINEERINGING

CONSERVANCY PROJECT - TRAFFIC NOISE FEASIBILITY ASSESSMENT

SCALE

1:3000 (APPROX.)

DRAWING NO.

GWE17-151-2

DRAWN BY

O.D.


MAY 28, 2018

FIGURE 2: RECEPTOR LOCATIONS





FIGURE 3: GROUND LEVEL NOISE CONTOURS FOR THE SITE (DAYTIME PERIOD)





APPENDIX A STAMSON 5.04 - INPUT AND OUTPUT DATA



STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 16-04-2018 08:56:32

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Minor Collec (day/night) -----Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *

Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient : 0 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Minor Collec (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg : 0 (No woods.) Wood depth

No of house rows : Surface : 0 / 0

2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 21.00 / 21.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Road data, segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume : 773/67 veh/TimePeriod * Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (day/night) _____

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -4.00 deg : 0 Wood depth (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0

0 / C 2 / 47 (Reflective ground surface) Surface

Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m $\,$

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Road data, segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume : 773/67 veh/TimePeriod * Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (day/night) _____

: 12.00 deg 90.00 deg Angle1 Angle2 0 Wood depth (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0

0 / C 2 / 4{ : (Reflective ground surface) Surface

Receiver source distance : 46.00 / 46.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m $\,$

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Minor Collec (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 64.29 + 0.00) = 64.29 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 65.75 0.00 -1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 64.29

Segment Leq: 64.29 dBA

Results segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 59.34 + 0.00) = 59.34 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -4 0.00 67.51 0.00 -4.96 -3.21 0.00 0.00 0.00 59.34

Segment Leq: 59.34 dBA

Results segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 59.01 + 0.00) = 59.01 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -4.87 -3.63 0.00 0.00 0.00 59.01

Segment Leq: 59.01 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 66.38 dBA



Results segment # 1: Minor Collec (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 56.70 + 0.00) = 56.70 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 58.16 0.00 -1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 56.70

Segment Leq: 56.70 dBA

Results segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Segment Leg: 51.74 dBA

Results segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 51.41 + 0.00) = 51.41 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 12 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -4.87 -3.63 0.00 0.00 0.00 51.41

Segment Leq: 51.41 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 58.78 dBA



RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) ______ 1 - Bus: Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod Speed : 80 km/h Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night) Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -4.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods : Wood depth 0 (No woods.) 0 / 0 No of house rows (Reflective ground surface) Surface : 2 Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00 RT/Custom data, segment # 2: OC2 (day/night) _____ Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod Speed : 80 km/h80 km/h Data for Segment # 2: OC2 (day/night) ______ : 12.00 deg 90.00 deg : 0 (No woods Angle1 Angle2 Wood depth (No woods.) : No of house rows 0 / 0 2 (Reflective ground surface) Surface Receiver source distance : 46.00 / 46.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) : 0.00 Reference angle Results segment # 1: OC (day) ______ Source height = 0.50 m RT/Custom (0.00 + 51.25 + 0.00) = 51.25 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______

-4 0.00 59.41 -4.96 -3.21 0.00 0.00 0.00 51.25

Segment Leq: 51.25 dBA



Results segment # 2: OC2 (day) Source height = 0.50 mRT/Custom (0.00 + 50.92 + 0.00) = 50.92 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 12 90 0.00 59.41 -4.87 -3.63 0.00 0.00 0.00 50.92 ______ Segment Leq: 50.92 dBA Total Leg All Segments: 54.10 dBA Results segment # 1: OC (night) Source height = 0.50 m RT/Custom (0.00 + 49.71 + 0.00) = 49.71 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -4 0.00 57.87 -4.96 -3.21 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.71 Segment Leq: 49.71 dBA Results segment # 2: OC2 (night) Source height = 0.50 m RT/Custom (0.00 + 49.38 + 0.00) = 49.38 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______

12 90 0.00 57.87 -4.87 -3.63 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.38

Segment Leq: 49.38 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 52.56 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 66.63 (NIGHT): 59.71



Date: 24-05-2018 15:19:11 STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Minor Collec (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient :

0 %1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Minor Collec (day/night) _____

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 25.00 / 25.00 mReceiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Road data, segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient : 0 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (day/night)

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg -8.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0

Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 69.00 / 69.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Road data, segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume : 773/67 veh/TimePeriod * Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (day/night) _____

: 9.00 deg 90.00 deg Angle1 Angle2 Wood depth 0 (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0 : 2 : 0 / 0

(Reflective ground surface) Surface

Receiver source distance : 69.00 / 69.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Minor Collec (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 63.53 + 0.00) = 63.53 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 65.75 0.00 -2.22 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 63.53

Segment Leq: 63.53 dBA

Results segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

Segment Leq: 57.47 dBA



Results segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 57.42 + 0.00) = 57.42 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

9 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -6.63 -3.47 0.00 0.00 0.00 57.42

Segment Leq: 57.42 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.27 dBA

Results segment # 1: Minor Collec (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 55.94 + 0.00) = 55.94 dBA

Segment Leq: 55.94 dBA



Results segment # 2: Major Coll.1 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 49.87 + 0.00) = 49.87 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 -8 0.00 59.91 0.00 -6.63 -3.41 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.87

Segment Leq: 49.87 dBA

Results segment # 3: Major Coll.2 (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 49.82 + 0.00) = 49.82 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

9 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -6.63 -3.47 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.82

Segment Leq: 49.82 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 57.68 dBA



RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) ______

1 - Bus:

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod

Speed : 80 km/h

Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -8.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods : Wood depth 0 (No woods.)

0 / 0 No of house rows

(Reflective ground surface) Surface : 2

Receiver source distance : 69.00 / 69.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00

RT/Custom data, segment # 2: OC2 (day/night) _____

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod Speed : 80 km/h

80 km/h

Data for Segment # 2: OC2 (day/night) _____

: 9.00 deg 90.00 deg : 0 (No woods Angle1 Angle2 Wood depth (No woods.)

: No of house rows 0 / 0

2 (Reflective ground surface) Surface

Receiver source distance : 69.00 / 69.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

: 0.00 Reference angle

Results segment # 1: OC (day) ______

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 49.37 + 0.00) = 49.37 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -8 0.00 59.41 -6.63 -3.41 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.37

Segment Leq: 49.37 dBA



Results segment # 2: OC2 (day)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 49.32 + 0.00) = 49.32 dBA
Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
9 90 0.00 59.41 -6.63 -3.47 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.32

Segment Leq: 49.32 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 52.36 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

Segment Leq: 47.83 dBA

Results segment # 2: OC2 (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 47.78 + 0.00) = 47.78 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

9 90 0.00 57.87 -6.63 -3.47 0.00 0.00 0.00 47.78

Segment Leq: 47.78 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 50.82 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 65.49 (NIGHT): 58.49



STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 16-04-2018 08:56:45

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r3.te

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Major Coll. (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume: 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume: 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

: 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Major Coll. (day/night) _____

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 45.00 / 45.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography

: 0.00 Reference angle

Results segment # 1: Major Coll. (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 62.74 + 0.00) = 62.74 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq _____ _____ -90 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 62.74 ______

Segment Leq: 62.74 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 62.74 dBA



Results segment # 1: Major Coll. (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 55.14 + 0.00) = 55.14 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.14 ______

Segment Leq: 55.14 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 55.14 dBA

RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) _____

1 - Bus:

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod

Speed : 80 km/h

Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night) -----

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

Wood depth
No of house rows : 0 / 0

2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 45.00 / 45.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: OC (day)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 54.64 + 0.00) = 54.64 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 59.41 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 54.64

Segment Leq: 54.64 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 54.64 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 53.10 + 0.00) = 53.10 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq -90 90 0.00 57.87 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.10

Segment Leq: 53.10 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.10 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 63.37 (NIGHT): 57.25



Date: 24-05-2018 15:20:26 STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: r4.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Major Coll. (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

: 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Major Coll. (day/night)

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 66.00 / 66.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Major Coll. (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 61.08 + 0.00) = 61.08 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -6.43 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 61.08

Segment Leq: 61.08 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 61.08 dBA

Results segment # 1: Major Coll. (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 53.48 + 0.00) = 53.48 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -6.43 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.48

Segment Leq: 53.48 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.48 dBA



RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) ______ 1 - Bus: Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod Speed 80 km/h Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night) Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg : Wood depth 0 (No woods.) 0 / 0 : No of house rows (Reflective ground surface) Surface : 2 Receiver source distance : 66.00 / 66.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00 Results segment # 1: OC (day) _____ Source height = 0.50 m RT/Custom (0.00 + 52.98 + 0.00) = 52.98 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 59.41 -6.43 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 52.98 Segment Leq: 52.98 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 52.98 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

Segment Leq: 51.44 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 51.44 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 61.71 (NIGHT): 55.59



STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 16-04-2018 08:57:02

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r5.te

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume: 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume: 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

: 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 41.00 / 41.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography

: 0.00 Reference angle

Results segment # 1: Major Collec (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 63.14 + 0.00) = 63.14 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq _____ _____ -90 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -4.37 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 63.14

Segment Leq: 63.14 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 63.14 dBA



Results segment # 1: Major Collec (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 55.54 + 0.00) = 55.54 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -4.37 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.54 ______

Segment Leq: 55.54 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 55.54 dBA

RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) _____

1 - Bus:

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod

Speed : 80 km/h

Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night) -----

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

Wood depth
No of house rows :

0 / 0 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 41.00 / 41.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: OC (day)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 55.05 + 0.00) = 55.05 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 59.41 -4.37 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.05

Segment Leq: 55.05 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 55.05 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

Segment Leq: 53.51 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.51 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 63.77 (NIGHT): 57.65



STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 16-04-2018 08:57:11

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r6.te

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume: 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume: 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

: 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 43.00 / 43.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography

: 0.00 Reference angle

Results segment # 1: Major Collec (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 62.94 + 0.00) = 62.94 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ _____ -90 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -4.57 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 62.94 ______

Segment Leq: 62.94 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 62.94 dBA



Results segment # 1: Major Collec (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 55.34 + 0.00) = 55.34 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -4.57 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.34

Segment Leq: 55.34 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 55.34 dBA

RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) _____

1 - Bus:

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod

Speed : 80 km/h

Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night) -----

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

Wood depth
No of house rows :

0 / 0 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 43.00 / 43.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: OC (day)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 54.84 + 0.00) = 54.84 dBA
Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
-90 90 0.00 59.41 -4.57 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 54.84

Segment Leq: 54.84 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 54.84 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 53.30 + 0.00) = 53.30 dBA Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq -90 90 0.00 57.87 -4.57 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.30

Segment Leq: 53.30 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.30 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 63.57 (NIGHT): 57.45



Date: 24-05-2018 15:21:45 STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r7.te

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

: 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 63.00 / 63.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: Major Collec (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 61.28 + 0.00) = 61.28 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -6.23 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 61.28

Segment Leq: 61.28 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 61.28 dBA

Results segment # 1: Major Collec (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Segment Leq: 53.68 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.68 dBA

#



RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night)

1 - Bus:

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod

Speed : 80 km/h

Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0

Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 63.00 / 63.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m $\,$

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: OC (day)

Source height = 0.50 m

Segment Leq: 53.18 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.18 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night)

Source height = 0.50 m

Segment Leq: 51.64 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 51.64 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 61.91 (NIGHT): 55.79



STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 16-04-2018 08:57:28

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Filename: r8.te

Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod * Medium truck volume: 773/67 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume: 552/48 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient :

: 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Road pavement

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 12000 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Major Collec (day/night) _____

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 45.00 / 45.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Topography

: 0.00 Reference angle

Results segment # 1: Major Collec (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 62.74 + 0.00) = 62.74 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq _____ _____ -90 90 0.00 67.51 0.00 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 62.74 ______

Segment Leq: 62.74 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 62.74 dBA



Results segment # 1: Major Collec (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 55.14 + 0.00) = 55.14 dBAAnglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 59.91 0.00 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 55.14 ______

Segment Leq: 55.14 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 55.14 dBA

RT/Custom data, segment # 1: OC (day/night) _____

1 - Bus:

Traffic volume : 191/67 veh/TimePeriod

Speed : 80 km/h

Data for Segment # 1: OC (day/night) -----

Anglel Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods (No woods.)

Wood depth
No of house rows :

0 / 0 2 (Reflective ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 45.00 / 45.00 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

: Topography 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00



Results segment # 1: OC (day)

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 54.64 + 0.00) = 54.64 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ -90 90 0.00 59.41 -4.77 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 54.64 ______

Segment Leq: 54.64 dBA

Total Leg All Segments: 54.64 dBA

Results segment # 1: OC (night) _____

Source height = 0.50 m

RT/Custom (0.00 + 53.10 + 0.00) = 53.10 dBAAngle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq ______ $-90 \qquad 90 \quad 0.00 \quad 57.87 \quad -4.77 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 0.00 \quad 53.10$

Segment Leq: 53.10 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 53.10 dBA

TOTAL Leg FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 63.37 (NIGHT): 57.25



BUS RAPID TRANSIT (BRT VOLUMES):

Please use the following info:

Table 6: Bus Rapid Transit Corridor Data to Predict Noise Levels

	Bus Rapid Transit Corridor
Total Traffic Volume (AADT)	258
Day/Night Split (%)	74/26
Medium Trucks (%)	100
Heavy Trucks (%)	0
Posted Speed (km/hr) (East-West)	80
Post Speed while Parallel to Chapman Mills Drive (km/hr) (North-South)	50
Road Gradient (%)	1

The assumed posted speed limit along a dedicated BRT corridor is 80 km/hr. JLR used 50 km/hr for the North-South sections of the BRT that are parallel to Chapman Mills Drive. This section of the BRT is an interim condition until the dedicated BRT is extended west of Chapman Mills Drive. Additionally, a future school site is located on the west side of Chapman Mills Drive. Appendix 'D' includes a summary of the information provided by the City specific to the Bus Rapid Transit Corridor, including how the AADT value and the day/night split was calculated.

The computer program Stamson is used to predict noise levels associated with the bus rapid transit corridor. Appendix 'D' includes the detailed noise calculation for the East-West portion of Chapman Mills Drive and the BRT. For this section, the BRT was modelled at 80 km/hr. The resulting contour is illustrated on Drawing N1.

Regards,

Rosanna Baggs, C.E.T.

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals | GPRJ Approbation demandes infrastructure Development Review West Branch | Dir Services d'exam des dem d'amgt City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

Tel |Tél.: 613-580-2424 ext. | poste 26388

web | Site Web: www.ottawa.ca

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you

Barrhaven Conservancy East Inc. – Conservancy Project