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## Transportation Impact Assessment

## 1. SCREENING FORM

The screening form was submitted for the subject development on March $7^{\text {th }}, 2018$ to City of Ottawa staff for review and confirmation of the need for a Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA). The safety triggers were met based on the proposed site driveway's proximity to the Hazeldean/Fringewood, which is located approximately 120 m south of the Hazeldean Road. City staff provided confirmation to proceed with Step 2 - Scoping Report on March 9th, 2018. The Screening Form and City Response are provided in Appendix A.

## 2. SCOPING REPORT

### 2.1. EXISTING AND PLANNED CONDITIONS

### 2.1.1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Based on the proposed Site Plan provided by Campanale Homes, it is our understanding that the proponent is proposing a two-phase development located at 5 Orchard Road with an expected date of occupancy for Phase 1 in 2022. Phase 1 is a residential development on the southern portion of the site and Phase 2 is a commercial development on the northern portion of the site. This application is for Plan of Subdivision and only includes Phase 1 of the development. The proposed residential development will consist of 67 townhomes and 7 single family homes. One unsignalized full-movement access is proposed along Fringewood Drive. The site is currently a vacant lot and zoned as AM9 - Arterial Mainstreet Zone. The local context of the site is provided as Figure 1 and the proposed Site Plan is provided as Figure 2.

Figure 1: Local Context


Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan

### 2.1.2. EXISTING CONDITIONS

## Area Road Network

Hazeldean Road is an east-west, city owned, arterial roadway that extends from Spruce Ridge Road in the west to Eagleson Road in the east, where is continues as Robertson Road. It provides access through an interchange to HWY 7. Within the study area, Hazeldean Road has a four-lane divided cross-section with auxiliary turn lanes provided as major intersections.. The posted speed limit is $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Fringewood Drive is a north-south, city owned, local roadway that extends from Hazeldean Road in the north to Harry Douglas Drive in the south, where it continues as Granite Ridge Drive. The roadway has a two-lane undivided cross-section and parking is prohibited along both sides of the roadway. The posted speed limit is $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and centre line flexible bollards are provided during warmer months.

## Existing Study Area Intersections

## Hazeldean/Fringewood

The Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection is a signalized ' T ' intersection. The eastbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The westbound approach consists of two through lanes and an auxiliary left-turn lane. The northbound approach consists of a single full-movement lane. All movements are permitted at this location. The north leg of this intersection was recently constructed, however is not included in this report as the data was collected prior to construction.

Cycle lanes are provided east and westbound along Hazeldean Road.


## Existing Driveways to Adjacent Developments

There are private residential driveways approximately every 25 m on the east and west sides of Fringewood Drive approximately 90 m south of the proposed site access.

## Pedestrian/Cycling Network

With respect to pedestrians, sidewalk facilities in the vicinity of the site are provided along both sides of Hazeldean Road. A gravel shoulder is provided on the east side of Fringewood Drive from Hazeldean Road to Cloverloft Court.

With respect to cyclists, according to the Ottawa Cycling Plan, Hazeldean Road is classified as a "Spine" cycling route and Fringewood Drive is classified as a "Local" cycling route. Cycling facilities are currently provided on Hazeldean Road in the form of curb-side bike lanes and cyclists operate in mixed traffic along Fringewood Drive. A pathway is planned west of the site, along Sweetnam Drive.

## Transit Network

Transit service within the vicinity of the site is currently provided by OC Transpo Routes \#61, \#62, \#261 and \#263. Bus stops for routes \#62 and \#261 are located on Fringewood Drive, approximately 60 m walking distance south of the site. Bus stops for Routes \#61, \#62, \#261 and \#263 are located on Hazeldean Road approximately 200m walking distance

## PARSONS

north of the site. Local Routes \#61 and 62 provide frequent all-day service, and Connexion Routes \#261 and \#263 provide morning service in the northbound direction and afternoon service in the southbound direction.


## Existing Area Traffic Measures

## Hazeldean Road

- Median barriers
- Sidewalks
- Traffic Signal at Hazeldean/Fringewood


## Fringewood Drive

- Speed flex posts south of Cloverloft Court
- Stop signs at major intersections


## Peak hour travel demands

The existing peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 4 below and were collected by the City of Ottawa in March 2016. The peak hour traffic volume count data is included as Appendix B.

Figure 4: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


## Existing Road Safety Conditions

Collision history for the study area intersection (2012 to 2016, inclusive) was obtained from the City of Ottawa. A total of 7 collisions were recorded at the Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection in the 5-year period and one (1) collision was recorded along Fringewood Drive. Most collisions (62\%) involved only property damage, indicating low impact speeds, and $38 \%$ involved personal injuries. The primary causes of collisions cited by police include; turning movement (50\%) and single vehicle (25\%) type collisions.

A standard unit of measure for assessing collisions at an intersection is based on the number collisions per million entering vehicles (MEV). At the signalized Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection, reported collisions have historically take place at a rate of $0.15 / \mathrm{MEV}$.

It is noteworthy that within the five-years of recorded collision data there was one collision that involved a pedestrian (nonfatal injuries) and none involving cyclists. The source collision data as provided by the City of Ottawa and related analysis is provided as Appendix C .

### 2.1.3. PLANNED CONDITIONS

## Planned Study Area Transportation Network Changes

Transit signal priority (isolated measures) is planned on Hazeldean Road between Stittsville Main Street and Eagleson Road and is identified on the 2031 Affordable Network and Network Concept plans.

No road projects have been identified in the TMP or Planned Construction Program along Hazeldean Road and Fringewood Drive within the study area.

## PARSONS

## Other Area Development

According to the City's development application search tool, the following developments are planned within the vicinity of the subject site.

## 5731 HazeIdean Road

Wellings Communities Holding Inc and Extendicare (Canada) Inc. is proposing the construction of a long-term care facility, retirement home and an office/retail development at the above-noted address, which is located approximately 290 m north of the subject development. The Transportation Impact Study (prepared by Stantec) projected an increase in vehicle traffic of approximately $50 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ and $110 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

## 5705 Hazeldean Road

North American (Goulbourn) Corporation is proposing a retail development and drive-through facility at the above-noted address, which is located approximately 530 m north of the subject development. The Transportation Impact Study Addendum (prepared by D.J. Halpenny and Associated Ltd.) projected an increase in vehicle traffic of approximately 120 veh/h and 215 veh/h during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

## 5734-5754 HazeIdean Road, 24 Iber Road

Huntington Properties is proposing a mixed-use project consisting of approximately $76,300 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of retail uses, 59,200 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of office uses, a $11,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ pharmacy and $5,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of medical uses at the above-noted address, which is located approximately 270 m east of the subject development. The Transportation Impact Study (prepared by Parsons (formally Delcan)) projected an increase in vehicle traffic of approximately 270 veh/h and 447 veh/h during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

### 2.2. STUDY AREA AND TIME PERIODS

The proposed study area is outlined below and highlighted in Figure 5.

- Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection; and
- Fringewood Drive - adjacent to the site.

Figure 5: Study Area


## PARSONS

### 2.3. EXEMPTION REVIEW

Based on the City's TIA guidelines and the subject site, the following modules/elements of the TIA process, summarized in Table 1, are recommended to be exempt in the subsequent steps of the TIA process:

Table 1: Exemptions Review Summary

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.1 Developmentgenerated Travel Demand | All elements | As shown in the Screening Form, the site does not meet the trip generation trigger, as such, no trip-generation analysis is required. As the site does not meet the trip generation trigger, its impact on the surrounding network is considered negligible. As such, no traffic impact analysis is required for this development. |
| 3.3 Demand Rationalization | All elements |  |
| 4.1 Development Design | 4.1.2 <br> Circulation and Access | Not required for applications involving subdivisions. |
| 4.2 Parking | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline \text { 4.2.2 Spillover } \\ \text { Parking } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | The parking is expected to meet By-Law requirements. |
| 4.4 Access Intersection Design | 4.4.3 <br> Intersection Design | The proposed site access will not require an intersection screening for a signal or roundabout as the number of vehicle trips is negligible. <br> As the proposed site access intersection is assumed to be unsignalized, MMLoS analysis is not possible for unsignalized intersection. |
| 4.5 <br> Transportation Demand Management | All elements | The site does not meet the trip generation trigger and as per City response in Appendix A, Modules 4.5 to 4.9 are exempt as the total number of vehicle trips will be negligible in terms of vehicle impact. |
| 4.6 <br> Neighbourhood <br> Traffic <br> Management | All elements | The site does not meet the trip generation trigger and as per City response in Appendix A, Modules 4.5 to 4.9 are exempt as the total number of vehicle trips will be negligible in terms of vehicle impact. |
| 4.7 Transit | All elements | The site does not meet the trip generation trigger and as per City response in Appendix A, Modules 4.5 to 4.9 are exempt as the total number of transit trips will be negligible. |
| 4.8 Review of Network Concept | All elements | The site is not expected to generate 200 trips more than the established zoning. |
| 4.9 Intersection Design | All elements | The site does not meet the trip generation trigger and as per City response in Appendix A, Modules 4.5 to 4.9 are exempt as the total number of vehicle trips will be negligible in terms of vehicle impact. |

## 3. FORECASTING

### 3.1. DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAVEL DEMAND

Although exempt, the trip generation analysis indicates approximately 35 to 50 two-way vehicles trips are expected during the morning and afternoon peak hours. This equates to approximately 1 new vehicle every 1 to 2 minutes which is considered negligible.

## PARSONS

### 3.2. BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMANDS

### 3.2.1. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS

See Section 2.1.3.

### 3.2.2. BACKGROUND TRAFFIC GROWTH

The following background traffic growth through the immediate study area (summarized in Table 2) was calculated based on historical traffic count data (years 2008, 2009, 2012, and 2016) provided by the City of Ottawa at the Hazeldean/Stittsville Main intersection. Detailed background traffic growth analysis is included as Appendix D.

Table 2: Hazeldean/Stittsville Historical Background Growth (2008-2016)

| Time Period | Percent Annual Change |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg | Overall |
| 8 hrs | $8.25 \%$ | $-0.07 \%$ | $0.56 \%$ | $3.67 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 0 \%}$ |
| AM Peak | $9.62 \%$ | $-1.86 \%$ | $0.94 \%$ | $2.37 \%$ | $\mathbf{2 . 3 1 \%}$ |
| PM Peak | $12.77 \%$ | $1.16 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ | $3.76 \%$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 3 \%}$ |

As shown in Table 2, the Hazeldean/Stittsville Main intersection has experienced an approximate $2.3 \%$ to $4.3 \%$ annual increase in vehicle traffic within recent years (calculated as a weighted average). A 3\% per annum growth factor was applied to existing traffic volumes along Hazeldean Road to obtain background traffic volumes for the 2022 built-out horizon year and 2027 (5-years beyond site build-out). The resultant 2022 and 2027 background traffic volumes are depicted as Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively.

Figure 6: 2022 Background Traffic Volumes


Figure 7: 2027 Background Traffic Volumes


## PARSONS

### 3.2.3. OTHER DEVELOPMENTS

See Section 2.1.3.

### 3.3. DEMAND RATIONALIZATION

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

## 4. ANALYSIS

### 4.1. DEVELOPMENT DESIGN

### 4.1.1. DESIGN FOR SUSTAINABLE MODES

Vehicle and Bicycle Parking
Shared off-road driveways are proposed for each residential unit. Bicycle parking will be available in each residential unit.

## Transit Amenities

Transit service within the vicinity of the site is currently provided by OC Transpo Routes \#61, \#62, \#261 and \#263. Bus stops for routes \#62 and \#261 are located on Fringewood Drive, approximately 60 m walking distance south of the site. Bus stops for Routes \#61, \#62, \#261 and \#263 are located on Hazeldean Road approximately 200m walking distance north of the site. Local Routes \#61 and 62 provide frequent all-day service, and Connexion Routes \#261 and \#263 provide morning service in the northbound direction and afternoon service in the southbound direction.

## Pedestrian Routes and Facilities

Existing today are gravel shoulders along the east side of Fringewood Drive and sidewalks are provided along both sides of Hazeldean Road. A major pathway/pathway link is planned, located west of the proposed development.

### 4.1.2. CIRCULATION AND ACCESS

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

### 4.1.3. NEW STREETS NETWORK

The proposed new roadway will be designated as a local public roadway. Local roadways should have less than 100 veh/h during the peak hours. Given the subject roadway ends in a cul-de-sac and there are less than 100 residential units, the projected amount of traffic is less than 100 veh/h during peak hours, which is appropriate for a local roadway. The roadway is 8.5 m wide terminating in a cul-de-sac that has a radius of 13.5 m . An active mode pathway is proposed at the western edge of the roadway to connect to future development to the north, promoting non-auto travel within the neighbourhood.

### 4.2. PARKING

### 4.2.1. PARKING SUPPLY

Vehicle and Bicycle Parking
Shared off-road private driveways are proposed for each residential unit, which meets the City's minimum parking requirement of 1 parking space per unit. Garages will be provided for each residential unit, and as such, bicycle parking will be accommodated at each residential garage and no additional bicycle parking is required.

## PARSONS

### 4.3. BOUNDARY STREET DESIGN

The boundary street for the development is Fringewood Drive. At this time, there has not been any complete street concepts prepared for the boundary street. The existing roadway's geometry consists of the following features:

- 1 vehicle travel lane in each direction;
- Less than 3,000 vehicles per day;
- Posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, assumed operating speed of 30 to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$;
- $\quad 3.7 \mathrm{~m}$ wide lanes;
- No dedicated transit facilities;
- Gravel shoulder; and
- No on-street parking.

The multi-modal level of service analysis for the road segment along Fringewood Drive adjacent to the site is summarized in Table 3, with detail analysis provided in Appendix E. Truck level of service were not analyzed as Fringewood Drive is not a designated truck route.

Table 3: MMLOS - Projected Fringewood Drive Segment (West Side of Roadway, Adjacent to Site)

| Road Segment |  | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Pedestrian (PLoS) |  | Bicycle (BLoS) |  | Transit (TLoS) |  |
|  |  | Target | BLoS | Target | TLoS | Target |
| Fringewood Drive | F | C | B | B | D | N/A |

Given the development's location within a general urban area along a local bicycle route and a local roadway, the target levels of service for pedestrians and cyclists are PLoS ' $C$ ' and BLoS ' $B$ '. There are no transit priority plans for Fringewood Drive and as such there is no transit level of service target.

As shown in Table 3, the BLoS target is met along Fringewood Drive, adjacent to the site. A PLoS ' $F$ ' is realized because there are no sidewalks provided along the boundary street. As part of the future development to the north of the subject site, sidewalks or pedestrian pathways should be provided to connect to Hazeldean Road. As there are currently no sidewalks along Fringewood Drive, the proponent is willing to discuss with the City a potential plan to provide sidewalks along Fringewood Drive adjacent to the site with potential cost sharing between the City and the developer.

### 4.4. ACCESS INTERSECTION DESIGN

### 4.4.1. LOCATION AND DESIGN OF ACCESS

The site access driveway is proposed on Fringewood Drive, approximately 120 m south of the Hazeldean/Fringewood signalized intersection. The driveway connection is proposed as a full movement driveway. The driveway width is noted to be 8.5 m and is STOP controlled on the minor approach only. The proximity of the driveway to the signalized intersection is considered acceptable given there are no auxiliary turn lanes that extend to the site driveway, queues along Fringewood are not expected to spill back to the site driveway, and traffic travel to/from the proposed development is low.

Phase 2 of the development, located north of the subject site, is planned as a commercial development and is anticipated to have between 100 to 200 parking spaces. If a full movement access is proposed for Phase 2 to Fringewood Drive the Private Approach By-Law should be consulted. According to the By-Law, the Phase 2 access to Fringewood Drive should be located at least 45 m from the Hazeldean/Fringewood signalized intersection and at least 45 m from the subject site's driveway. If more than 200 parking spaces are provided for Phase 2, these intersection spacing distances would increase to 60 m .

## PARSONS

### 4.4.2. INTERSECTION CONTROL AND DESIGN

As the trip-generation trigger has not been satisfied, the projected volumes are negligible and as such, the site driveway will operate acceptably with STOP control on the minor approach only.

### 4.4.3. INTERSECTION DESIGN

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

### 4.5. TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

### 4.6. NEIGHBOURHOOD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

### 4.7. TRANSIT

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

### 4.8. REVIEW OF NETWORK CONCEPT

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

### 4.9. INTERSECTION DESIGN

Exempt - Refer to Section 2.3.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

Based on the results summarized herein the following transportation related conclusions are offered:

- A total of 74 residential units (67 townhomes and 7 single family homes) are being proposed at 5 Orchard Drive;
- Vehicle and bicycle parking will be provided through shared off-road driveways/garages;
- An active mode pathway is proposed to connect the west end of the development to the future development to the north;
- Transit service is provided along Hazeldean Road approximately 200m walking distance north of the site;
- One access is proposed along Fringewood Drive into the development, approximately 120 m south of the Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection. This driveway is located as far south as possible along the site's frontage and will not conflict with the operations of the Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection; and
- Based on the results herein, no Roadway Modification Application or monitoring plan is require.


## PARSONS

Based on the foregoing, the proposed residential development at 5 Orchard Drive is recommended from a transportation perspective. Note that a separate TIA will be prepared for Phase 2 of development when a Site Plan for the retail component has been developed.

Prepared By:


Reviewed By:



## Appendix A

Screening Form

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines
TIA Screening Form

Date
Project

Project Number

| Results of Screening | Yes/No |
| :--- | :---: |
| Development Satisfies the Trip Generation Trigger | No |
| Development Satisfies the Location Trigger | No |
| Development Satisfies the Safety Trigger | Yes |


| Module 1.1 - Description of Proposed Development |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Municipal Address | 5 Orchard Drive |
| Description of location | Southwest quadrant of Hazeldean/Fringewood intersection <br> Residential townhomes on southern portion of site and commercial <br> on northern portion of site. Plan of subdivision is just for southern <br> portion of the site |
| Land Use | 67 Townhomes and 7 single family homes |
| Development Size | One unsignalized full-movement access to Fringewood Drive |
| Number of Accesses and Locations | One Phase |
| Development Phasing | Assume 2020 |
| Buildout Year | See attached |
| Sketch Plan / Site Plan |  |


| Module 1.2 - Trip Generation Trigger |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Land Use Type | Townhomes or Apartments |  |
| Development Size | 74 | Units |
| Trip Generation Trigger Met? | No |  |


| Module 1.3 - Location Triggers |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Development Proposes a new driveway to a boundary street <br> that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid <br> Transit, or Spine Bicycle Networks (See Sheet 3) | No |
| Development is in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit- <br> oriented Development (TOD) zone. (See Sheet 3) <br> Location Trigger Met? | No |


| Module 1.4 - Safety Triggers |  | $<80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Posted Speed Limit on any boundary road <br> Horizontal / Vertical Curvature on a boundary street limits <br> sight lines at a proposed driveway | No |  |
| A proposed driveway is within the area of influence of an <br> adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of <br> intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of <br> intersection in urban/ suburban conditions) or within auxiliary <br> lanes of an intersection; | Yes | No |
| A proposed driveway makes use of an existing median break <br> that serves an existing site <br> There is a documented history of traffic operations or safety <br> concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the <br> development <br> The development includes a drive-thru facility <br> Safety Trigger Met? | No |  |

## Appendix B

Traffic Counts

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Turning Movement Count - Full Study Peak Hour Diagram
HAZELDEAN RD @ FRINGEWOOD DR

Survey Date: Wednesday, March 23, 2016
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 35818
Device: Miovision


Comments

Survey Date: Wednesday, March 23, 2016
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 35818
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Appendix C

Collision Data

## City Operations - Transportation Services

## Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2014 To: December 31, 2016
Location: HAZELDEAN RD @ FRINGEWOOD DR

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type |  | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-Sep-08, Mon,18:41 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2015-Jun-22, Mon,16:55 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon |  | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2015-Aug-29, Sat, 16:56 | Rain | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Wet | West | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Jun-22, Wed, 11:50 | Clear | SMV other | P.D. only | Dry | North | Slowing or stopping Pick-up truck |  | Ran off road |  |



## Collision Main Detail Summary

OnTRAC Reporting System

## FRINGEWOOD DR \& HAZELDEAN RD

Former Municipality: Goulbourn Traffic Control: Traffic signal Number of Collisions: 3
DATE IMPACT

| CLASS | DIR | SURFACE <br> COND'N | VEHICLE <br> MANOEUVRE | VEHICLE TYPE | FIRST EVENT | No. <br> PED |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Non-fatal | V1 W | Dry | Turning left | Automobile, station | Other motor vehicle | O |
|  | V2 E | Dry | Going ahead | Automobile, station | Other motor vehicle |  |
| P.D. only | V1 W | Dry | Going ahead | Unknown | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  | V2 W | Dry | Stopped | Municipal transit bus | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  | Turning left | Automobile, station | Other motor vehicle | 0 |



FRI NGEWOOD DR/ HAZELDEAN RD

| Years | Total \# <br> Collisions | 24 Hr AADT <br> Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ | 7 | 25,102 | 1825 | $\mathbf{0 . 1 5}$ |


| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | Single Vehicle (other) | Single vehicle (Unattended vehicle) | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.D. only | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Non-fatal injury | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| Non reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 14\% |  | 43\% | 14\% | 0\% | 0\% | 29\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |

FRI NGEWOOD DR, HAZELDEAN RD to CLOVERLOFT CRT

| Years | Total \# <br> Collisions | 24 Hr AADT <br> Veh Volume | Days | Collisions/MEV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2012-2013$ | 1 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ | 730 | n/a |


| Classification of Accident | Rear End | Turning Movement | Sideswipe | Angle | Approaching | Single Vehicle (other) | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Single vehicle } \\ \text { (Unattended } \\ \text { vehicle) } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Other | Total | 100\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P.D. only | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Non-fatal injury | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Non reportable | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Total | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 100\% |
|  | 0\% | 100\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |

## Appendix D

Background Traffic Analysis

## Hazeldean/ Stittsville Main

8 hrs

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2008 | Tuesday 6 May | 1103 | 1051 | 3334 | 3280 | 5261 | 6372 | 2740 | 2765 | 25906 |
| 2009 | Tuesday 5 May | 1405 | 1193 | 3295 | 3314 | 4799 | 6413 | 2702 | 2281 | 25402 |
| 2012 | Friday 8 June | 1703 | 1319 | 2419 | 2114 | 4162 | 3996 | 2536 | 3390 | 21639 |
| 2016 | Wednesday 13 March | 2405 | 1814 | 3463 | 3442 | 6360 | 6115 | 3043 | 3900 | 30542 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Hazeldean/ Stittsville Main
AM Peak

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2008 | Tuesday 6 May | 139 | 95 | 407 | 270 | 484 | 756 | 387 | 285 | 2823 |
| 2009 | Tuesday 5 May | 219 | 157 | 385 | 331 | 523 | 705 | 354 | 286 | 2960 |
| 2012 | Friday 8 June | 278 | 87 | 304 | 234 | 382 | 627 | 317 | 333 | 2562 |
| 2016 | Wednesday 13 March | 388 | 191 | 341 | 280 | 519 | 845 | 431 | 363 | 3358 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Hazeldean/ Stittsville Main
PM Peak

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2008 | Tuesday 6 May | 142 | 170 | 476 | 568 | 940 | 719 | 369 | 490 | 3874 |
| 2009 | Tuesday 5 May | 207 | 213 | 478 | 557 | 809 | 846 | 535 | 389 | 4034 |
| 2012 | Friday 8 June | 253 | 201 | 353 | 354 | 696 | 581 | 397 | 563 | 3398 |
| 2016 | Wednesday 13 March | 421 | 425 | 538 | 649 | 1244 | 866 | 456 | 719 | 5318 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| North Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT |
|  | 2008 | 170 | 142 | 312 | 3874 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 213 | 207 | 420 | 4034 | 25.3\% | 45.8\% | 34.6\% | 4.1\% |
|  | 2012 | 201 | 253 | 454 | 3398 | -5.6\% | 22.2\% | 8.1\% | -15.8\% |
|  | 2016 | 425 | 421 | 846 | 5318 | 111.4\% | 66.4\% | 86.3\% | 56.5\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2008 | 157 | 150 | 307 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2016 | 392 | 410 | 802 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change | 12.12\% |  | 13.42\% 12.77\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | EB+WB | INT | EB | WB | $\frac{\text { ange }}{E B+W B}$ | INT |
|  | 2008 | 369 | 490 | 859 | 3874 | $\begin{gathered} 45.0 \% \\ -25.8 \% \\ 14.9 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -20.6 \% \\ 44.7 \% \\ 27.7 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.6 \% \\ 3.9 \% \\ 22.4 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \% \\ -15.8 \% \\ 56.5 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009 | 535 | 389 | 924 | 4034 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 397 | 563 | 960 | 3398 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 456 | 719 | 1175 | 5318 |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 434 \\ & 447 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 425 \\ & 708 \end{aligned}$ | 860 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  | 0.36\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | EB+WB | INT | EB | WB | EB+WB | INT |
|  | 2008 | 719 | 940 | 1659 | 3874 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -0.2 \% \\ -22.8 \% \\ 65.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.1 \% \\ -15.8 \% \\ 56.5 \% \end{gathered}$ |
|  | 2009 | 846 | 809 | 1655 | 4034 | 17.7\% | -13.9\% |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 581 | 696 | 1277 | 3398 | -31.3\% | -14.0\% |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 866 | 1244 | 2110 | 5318 | 49.1\% | 78.7\% |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & 2008 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 1519 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate |  |  |  | 1904 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  | 1.06\% | 4.35\% 2.86\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT |
|  | 2008 | 476 | 568 | 1044 | 3874 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2009 | 478 | 557 | 1035 | 4034 | 0.4\% | -1.9\% | -0.9\% | 4.1\% |
|  | 2012 | 353 | 354 | 707 | 3398 | -26.2\% | -36.4\% | -31.7\% | -15.8\% |
|  | 2016 | 538 | 649 | 1187 | 5318 | 52.4\% | 83.3\% | 67.9\% | 56.5\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2008 | 445 | 511 | 956 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2016 | 485 | 563 | 1048 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change | 1.10\% |  | 1.21\% | 1.16\% |  |  |  |  |  |

## Appendix E

Multi-Modal Level of Service Analysis

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form


