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## Check List

$\square$ Municipal address; N/A
$\square \quad$ Location relative to major elements of the existing transportation system (e.g., the site is located in the southwest quadrant of the intersection of Main Street/ First Street, 600 metres from the Maple Street Rapid Transit Station);

- Existing land uses or permitted use provisions in the Official Plan, Zoning By-law, etc.; N/A
- Proposed land uses and relevant planning regulations to be used in the analysis;
$\square$ Proposed development size (building size, number of residential units, etc.) and location on site;

E Estimated date of occupancy;
$\square$ Planned phasing of development;
$\square \quad$ Proposed number of parking spaces (not relevant for Draft Plans of Subdivision); and N/A
$\square \quad$ Proposed access points and type of access (full turns, right-in/ right-out, turning restrictions, etc.

■ Study area;
■ Time periods and phasing; and
$\square$ Horizon years (include reference to phased development).

## Existing Conditions

$\square$ Existing roads and ramps in the study area, including jurisdiction, classification, number of lanes, and posted speed limit;
$\square$ Existing intersections, indicating type of control, lane configurations, turning restrictions, and any other relevant data (e.g., extraordinary lane widths, grades, etc.);
$\square$ Existing access points to adjacent developments (both sides of all roads bordering the site);
$\square$ Existing transit system, including stations and stops;
Existing on- and off-road bicycle facilities and pedestrian sidewalks and pathway networks;

- Existing system operations (V/C, LOS); and
$\square$ Major trip generators/ attractors within the Study Area should be indicated. N/A


## Demand Forecasting

■ General background growth;
$\square \quad$ Other study area developments;
$\square \quad$ Changes to the study area road network;
$\square \quad$ Future background system operations (V/C, LOS, queue lengths):
■ Trip generation rates;
四 Trip distribution and assignment:

## Impact Analysis

$\square \quad$ Total future system operations (V/C, LOS, queue lengths);
$\square \quad$ Signal and auxiliary lane (device) warrants;

- Operational/ safety assessment (e.g., sight line assessment where grades are an issue); N/A
$\square \quad$ Storage analysis for closely spaced intersections;
$\square$ Pedestrian and bicycle network connections and continuity;
$\square$ On-site circulation and design;
$\square$ Potential for neighbourhood impacts; and N/A
- TDM. N/A
- Synchro Files


## CTS

## Impact Analysis

- Network Capacity Analysis;
$\square$ Non-auto network connections and continuity;
$\square \quad$ Potential for community impacts, and
- TDM.
- Synchro Files
- Screenline Analysis
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## 1. I NTRODUCTION

Richcraft is proposing a 457 unit residential subdivision on the lands in Kanata located adjacent to the south of Terry Fox Drive between Richardson Side Road and Second Line Road approximately midway. The site's context is shown in Figure 1 and the Site Plan is shown on Figure 2. As shown on the Site Plan, Phase 1 two roadway connections are proposed to Terry Fox Drive and one is shown connecting to the residential subdivision adjacent to the south.

Figure 1: Local Context


Figure 2: Preliminary Site Plan


## 2. Scope of Work

The scope of work for the required transportation study was discussed with the City's Ed Blaszynski, Program Manager, Infrastructure Approvals. As the proposed subdivision is at the current edge of urban development, and as Terry Fox Drive has significant spare capacity at its existing two lanes (planned for four lanes ultimately), the following was agreed to:

- A Traffic Impact Study is required but a screenline analysis and study area collision analysis is not required; and
- The primary focus should be on local issues including; current Terry Fox Drive peak hour volumes, site intersection spacing and requirements, internal street layout and pedestrian and bicycle network connectivity.

The following TIS addresses these topics.

## 3. Existing Transportation Conditions

This section focuses on Terry Fox Drive, which now extends from March Road in the east to Highway 417 and beyond in the west/southwest. Over much of its length, and adjacent to the site, it exists as the first two lanes of an ultimate four-lane divided arterial. Currently, the south two lanes are built, with its south edge being urban and its north edge being rural. Proceeding from south to north the existing road cross-section is comprised of an asphalt multi-use pathway, street lighting, a boulevard, curbing, a bike lane, two paved lanes (one each direction), a wide paved shoulder and a ditch.

The key intersections on either side of the subject site are Terry Fox/Kanata Avenue to the southwest and Terry Fox/March Road to the east.

## Terry Fox/ March

The Terry Fox/March intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection. The east and westbound approaches consist of double left-turn lanes, two through lanes and single channelized right-turn lanes. The northbound approach consists of two leftturn lanes, three through lane and a single channelized right-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane, three through lanes and a single channelized right-turn lane. All movements are permitted at this location. Terry Fox Drive at this location has a
 sidewalk on the south side and bicycle lanes in both directions.

## Terry Fox/ Kanata

The Terry Fox/Kanata intersection is a signalized three-legged intersection. The northbound approach consists of two through lanes and a channelized right-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane and two through lanes. The westbound approach consists of two left-turn lanes and a channelized rightturn lane. All movements are permitted at this location. Terry Fox Drive at this location also has a sidewalk on the east side and bicycle lanes in both directions.


In the vicinity of Huntsville Drive located to the north of Kanata Avenue, the road's crosssection transitions down to a two-lane road, which extends to the subject site and beyond with the above-noted two-lane cross-section.

With regard to traffic volumes, the City's most current peak hour intersection counts at the Terry Fox/Kanata and Terry Fox/March intersections are included in Appendix A and summarized as follows in Figure 3. As noted, two-way peak our volumes adjacent to the site are approximately 1000 vph during the morning peak hour and 1200 vph during the afternoon peak hour, with peak directional volumes ranging from approximately 500 vph to 725 vph. At these volumes, there remains significant available capacity within the existing two-lane road, and even more if/when Terry Fox is widened to a four-lane divided road.

Figure 3: Current Study Area Traffic Volumes


Table 1 provides a summary of existing traffic operations at study area intersections based on the SYNCHRO (V8) traffic analysis software. The subject intersections were assessed in terms of the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio and the corresponding Level of Service (LoS) for the critical movement(s). The subject intersections "as a whole" were assessed based on a weighted $v / c$ ratio and the Synchro model output of existing conditions is provided within Appendix $B$.

As shown in Table 1, the two key study area signalized intersections "as a whole" operate at an excellent Level of Service 'A' during both peak periods. With regard to the "critical movement", it is an excellent LoS ' $A$ ' for the Kanata/Terry Fox intersection and at capacity (LoS ' $E$ ') for the eastbound left-turn movement during the afternoon peak hour at the March/Terry Fox intersection. During the morning peak hour, it is at an acceptable Los 'C' for the southbound left-turn movement.

With regard to existing transit service, there is currently none adjacent to the site. Further to the east, Route 60 runs on Terry Fox Drive between March Road and Flamborough Way. Once the subject subdivision is developed, transit routing adjustments will be required.

Table 1: Existing I ntersection Operation

| I ntersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  |  | Intersection as a Whole |  |
|  | LoS | Max. v/c or <br> avg. delay(s) | Movement | Delay(s) | LoS | v/ c |
| March/Terry Fox | C(E) | $0.79(0.94)$ | SBL(EBL) | $38.3(37.1)$ | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{A})$ | $0.59(0.57)$ |
| Kanata/Terry Fox | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{A})$ | $0.43(0.26)$ | SBR(SBR) | $8.7(6.9)$ | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{A})$ | $0.26(0.25)$ |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.95 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## 4. Planned Transportation Network Modifications

Within the general study area, the three major road projects are; the widening (8 lanes) of Highway 417 west to Carp Road, the extension of Campeau Drive west to Huntmar Drive and the four-laning of the balance of Terry Fox Drive from Kanata Avenue to March Road. Current schedules are as follows, however, as the City is currently finalizing its Updated TMP, these dates could change.

- Highway 417 widening to Carp Road ....................... 2013/2014
- Campeau Drive extension to Huntmar Drive ............ 2014/2015
- Terry Fox Widening to four lanes ............................. 2023-2031

With regard to transit, the Environmental Assessment Study for the Transitway Extension west and south to Fernbank Road, and north along March Road to Terry Fox Drive, has been completed. Current timing for its implementation is:

- Transitway: Eagleson to Canadian Tire Centre - Phase 1, Increment 3; and
- Transitway: March Road, Eagleson to Klondike - Phase 2.


## 5. Site Plan Traffic Generation, Distribution and Assi gnment

### 5.1 Traffic Generation

Richcraft's proposed subdivision will consist of approximately 117 single family homes and 340 townhomes. The appropriate trip generation rate for the proposed land use was obtained from the $8^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual and is summarized in Table 2.

Table 2: ITE Vehicle Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | Data Source | Trip Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Single Family Grove | ITE 210 | 0.75 | 1.01 |
| Residential TownGroves | ITE 231 | 0.44 | 0.52 |

As ITE trip generation surveys only record vehicle trips and typically reflect highly suburban locations (with little to no access by travel modes other than private automobiles), adjustment factors appropriate to areas where quality transit service will be available.

To convert ITE vehicle trip rates to person trips, an auto occupancy factor and a non-auto trip factor were applied to the ITE vehicle trip rates. Our review of the available literature suggests that a combined factor of approximately 1.3 is considered reasonable to account for typical North American auto occupancy values of approximately 1.15 and combined transit and non-motorized modal shares of less than 10\%. The modified person trip generation rates for the prosed subdivision are summarized in Table 3 with the resultant person trip generation shown in Table 4.

Table 3: ITE Vehicle Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | Data |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | AM Peak | PM Peak | SAT Peak |
| Single Family Homes | ITE 210 | 0.75 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| Townhouses | ITE 230 | 0.44 | 0.52 | 0.00 |

## Modified Person Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | Data | Person Trip Rate |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | AM Peak | PM Peak | SAT Peak |
| Single Family Homes | ITE 210 | 0.98 | 1.30 | 0.00 |
| Townhouses | ITE 230 | 0.57 | 0.68 | 0.00 |

Note: 1.3 factor to account for typical North American auto occupancy values of approximately 1.15 and
combined transit and non-motorized modal shares of less than 10\%
ITE Fitted Curve Equations

| Land Use | Data | Fitted Curve Equation |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Single Family Homes | ITE 210 | $T=0.70(x)+9.74$ | $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.90(x)+0.51$ |
| Townhouses | ITE 230 | $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.80(x)+0.26$ | $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.82(x)+0.32$ |

Table 4: Modified Person Trip Generation

| Land Use | Data Source | Area | AM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  | PM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
|  |  | Units | 25\% 75\% |  |  | 63\% | 37\% |  |
| Single Family Homes | ITE 210 | $117 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 29 | 90 | 119 | 98 | 59 | 157 |
|  |  | Units | 17\% 83\% |  |  | 67\% | 33\% |  |
| Townhouses | ITE 230 | $340 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 30 | 149 | 179 | 142 | 71 | 213 |
|  |  | Total | 59 | 239 | 298 | 240 | 130 | 370 |

As shown in Table 5, the resulting number of potential "new" two-way vehicle trips generated by the proposed subdivision and approximately $180 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ and $223 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ during the morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively. It is these volumes that will be assigned to the proposed site intersections and the study area's signalized intersections to determine impacts and requirements.

Table 5: Total Site Trip Generation

| Travel Mode | Mode <br> Share | AM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  | PM Peak <br> (Persons/ hr) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Auto Driver | $60 \%$ | 36 | 144 | 180 | 144 | 78 | 222 |
| Auto Passenger | $15 \%$ | 8 | 35 | 43 | 36 | 19 | 55 |
| Transit | $20 \%$ | 12 | 48 | 60 | 48 | 26 | 74 |
| Non-motorized | $5 \%$ | 3 | 12 | 15 | 12 | 7 | 19 |
| Total Person Trips | $100 \%$ | 59 | 239 | 298 | 240 | 130 | 370 |
| Total 'New' Auto Trips |  | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 4}$ | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 2}$ |

### 5.2 Traffic Distribution and Assignment

Traffic distribution is impacted by a number of factors when considering a residential site plan. Included are locations of employment and retail, subdivision driveway connections to adjacent arterial roads and connectivity to the area's main commuter/highway routes. In this particular instance, another excellent indicator of traffic distribution is the existing turning movements at the Kanata Avenue/Terry Fox Drive intersection. Although this intersection is located closer to the Highway 417 interchange than it is to March Road, it is a good reference. At this intersection, the distribution is approximately $60 \%$ to the south towards the Highway 417 interchange and $40 \%$ to the northeast to the March Road intersection. As the Richcraft subdivision is approximately 0.5 km to 1 km closer to March Road than is the Kanata/Terry Fox intersection, it would be reasonable to assume that the directional split for its traffic generation is $50 \%$ to/from the south via Terry Fox to Highway 417 and $50 \%$ to/from the east via Terry Fox Drive to March Road. Applying this distribution to the Table 5 projected peak hour traffic generation and assigning it to the subdivision's two proposed roadway connections to Terry Fox Drive results in the traffic assignment depicted in Figure 4. It is noteworthy that the percentage distribution at the Terry Fox/March intersection is approximately the same as existing conditions.

Figure 4: Site-Generated Peak Hour Traffic Assignment


## 6. Total Proj ected Traffic Conditions

Total projected traffic conditions typically are for a "horizon year" which is 5 years beyond full build-out. As an approximate 457 unit subdivision typically takes 3 to 4 years to be built and occupied, and as the project will not start construction for a year or two, it is realistic to assume a build-out of 4 to 5 years from now. This would be approximately 2017, with a resultant horizon year of 2022.

As Terry Fox Drive has only recently been open for its full length in Kanata North, meaningful background traffic growth data is not available. Therefore, as a default, we are assuming an approximate $2 \%$ annual background traffic growth rate for applicable volumes, which translates to an approximate $20 \%$ growth in current volumes by the horizon year. The combination of $20 \%$ growth in existing applicable volumes plus projected site-generated traffic (Figure 4) are depicted in Figure 5. It is noteworthy that the significant north-south movements on March Road through the Terry Fox Drive intersection were only increased by $10 \%$ due to their currently high absolute value compared to the other movements at this location.

Figure 5: Total Projected Horizon Year Traffic Volumes


The projected intersection operation and requirements for the total projected horizon year traffic conditions (background traffic growth + site-generated traffic as per Figure 5) were determined using the SYNCHRO (V8) traffic analysis software. The subject intersections were assessed in terms of the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio and the corresponding Level of Service (LoS) for the critical movement(s). The subject intersections "as a whole" were assessed based on a weighted v/c ratio and the Synchro model output of horizon year conditions ask provided within Appendix C. Projected intersection performance is summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Projected Intersection Performance

| I ntersection | Weekday AM Peak ( PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection as a Whole |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/ c |
| March/Terry Fox | $D(F)$ | 0.85(1.16) | SBL(EBL) | 42.0(46.7) | $B(C)$ | 0.66(0.71) |
| Kanata/Terry Fox | A(A) | 0.47(0.35) | SBR(EBT) | 8.9(7.6) | A(A) | 0.32(0.34) |
| Terry Fox/Site North | D(D) | 25.5(32.3) | NBL(NBL) | 1.8(2.1) | - | - |
| Terry/Fox/Site South | D(E) | 27.8(37.3) | WBL(WBL) | 1.3(0.9) | - | - |

As noted in Table 6, both the March/Terry Fox and Kanata/Terry Fox "intersections as a whole" are continuing to operate at very good levels of service in the Los ' A ' to ' C ' range. With regard to the "critical movements", there are none (LoS ' $A$ ') at the Kanata/Terry Fox intersection. At the March/Terry Fox intersection, the growth in background traffic during the afternoon peak hour reduces the "critical" eastbound left-turn from LoS 'E' to LoS 'F'. As double eastbound left-turn lanes already exist, possible mitigative measures to improve the Level of Service for the eastbound left-turn movement from LoS ' $F$ ' to LoS ' $D$ ' at the March/Terry Fox intersection include optimizing signal timing phasing (Appendix D).

With regard to the two proposed site driveways, based on high volumes along Terry Fox Drive, auxiliary left turn lanes are warranted at both site driveways. The left-turn warrant analysis is included as Appendix E. All-way STOP control and signal control warrant analysis was also performed at the site driveway connections. Based on the low projected peak volume entering the proposed development, neither all-way STOP or signal control are initially warranted at either location (Appendix F) for these initial conditions.

With regard to turn lane requirements at the site's North and South Accesses, they are as follows for the volumes shown in Figure 5.
North Site Access:

- WB left-turn lane $=21 \mathrm{~m}$
- EB right-turn lane $=12 \mathrm{~m}$
- NB left-turn lane $=18 \mathrm{~m}$

South Site Access:

- NB right-turn lane $=12 \mathrm{~m}$
- SB left-turn lane $=7 \mathrm{~m}$
- WB left-turn lane $=12 \mathrm{~m}$

It is noteworthy in reviewing the Figure 2 Site Plan that there is a Commercial Block located in the northwest corner of the Terry Fox/South Site Access intersection that is not part of the Site Plan Application. Regardless, its traffic generation will affect the turn lane requirements at this intersection.

Preliminary indications are that an approximate $34,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ plaza could be accommodated. A plaza of this size would generate an approximate two-way total of approximately 40 vph and 80 vph during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours (Appendix G). When assigned into the adjacent subdivision and onto Terry Fox Drive via the South Site Access, it could add the following traffic to the Figure 5 Horizon year volumes.

- NB right-turn: + 5 vph and 15 vph during a.m. and p.m. peak hours respectively
- SB left-turn: +5 vph and 15 vph during a.m. and p.m. peak hours respectively
- WB left-turn: + 10 vph and 15 vph during a.m. and p.m. peak hours respectively

When these retail-generated volumes are accounted for, the requirements for turn lanes at the South Site Access/Terry Fox intersection are:

- NB right-turn lane $\quad=\quad 18 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- SB left-turn lane $=10 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- WB left-turn lane $=16 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper

Signal warrant analysis for these combined conditions, which include volumes from the retail site, indicate that traffic signal control is still not warranted.

In review of the foregoing, as all the identified turn-lane requirements vary in length from 10 m to 21 m , it is recommended that all be provided at 25 m plus the appropriate length of taper.

## 7. Site Plan Review

During the development of the Site Plan, Delcan reviewed a number of iterations and provided comments regarding internal intersection location, uninterrupted throat lengths on the site connections to Terry Fox Drive, street rights-of-way and intersection spacing along Terry Fox Drive.

In review of the Site Plan, all of our comments have been taken into account except for the spacing of intersections along Terry Fox Drive.

With regard to rights-of-way, the two streets that connect to Terry Fox Drive have the following characteristics:

- 20 m right-of-way;
- up to 100 m of throat length uninterrupted by residential driveways; and
- the potential for 11 m to 12 m of pavement width to accommodate one inbound lane and two outbound lanes at their future signalized intersections with Terry Fox Drive.

All other internal local streets have an 18 m rights-of-way with appropriate intersection spacings and design so as to have safe and efficient traffic circulation and to minimize the noise attenuation requirements along Terry Fox Drive.

With regard to intersection spacing along Terry Fox Drive, key considerations are the future four-laning of Terry Fox Drive and the location of site connections to planned development on the west side of Terry Fox Drive. As shown on the Figure 2: Site Plan, the two proposed site driveway connections to the subject Richcraft Site Plan are both located towards the south end of the site and located only 260 m from each other. Further to the north and accessing lands on the west side of Terry Fox Drive, is a proposed intersection only 180 m north of the subject site's North Site Driveway intersection. Ultimately where volumes increase and Terry Fox is widened to four lanes, each of these intersections would want to be traffic signalled controlled. It is our opinion that this series of three intersections are located too close together to signalize and have efficient traffic flow progression along Terry Fox Drive. Ideally, the spacing of signalized intersections along a major arterial road should be in the 350 m to 450 m range. Spacings of 260 m and 180 m
adjacent to each other are considered much too close. Ideally, the subject site's North Driveway connection to Terry Fox Drive should be relocated north to align opposite the proposed driveway connection on the north side of Terry Fox Drive. This would then result in an approximate 440 m spacing between signalized (ultimately) and consolidated intersections, which would be ideal.

With regard to sidewalks, the locations have not yet been shown, but it is assumed that they will be provided on both sides of the 20 m wide roads and on at least one side of the 18 m roads.

Pathway connections to the adjacent subdivisions are shown on the Figure 2: Site Plan. On the south boundary of the Site Plan, Block 112 will be a pathway connection that provides a good north-south connection. On the east boundary is an open space system that abuts Block 934. This will result in a good east-west pathway connection.

With regard to transit service, it will ultimately be provided along Terry Fox Drive and bus pads/shelters will be required. OC Transpo will determine the location of bus stop locations along Terry Fox Drive and these will be well connected to the Richcraft subdivision via both the sidewalk system on Terry Fox Drive and the planned sidewalk system internal to the community. As noted in Table 5, the Richcraft subdivision is projected to generate between 60 and 75 transit riders during peak hours.

## 8. Findings, CONCLUSI ONS AND RECOMMENDATI ONS

The findings, conclusions and recommendations of the foregoing analysis are as follows.

- Richcraft's proposed 457 unit residential development is projected to generate a two-way total of 180 vph and 222 vph during the morning and afternoon peak hours respectively.
- The two proposed site connections to Terry Fox Drive are sufficient to accommodate projected site-generated traffic.
- The current Site Plan has the two site connections to Terry Fox Drive spaced only 260 m apart with the next planned intersection to the north only being 180 m away. This intersection spacing is not sufficient when Terry Fox Drive is widened to four lanes and all intersections are signalized. It is recommended that the site's North Driveway connection with Terry Fox Drive be shifted north to align with the proposed road connection to the planned subdivision on the north side of Terry Fox Drive. With this occurrence, the intersection spacing would then be approximately 440 m , which would be ideal spacing for signalized intersections along a major fourlane divided arterial road.
- Internal to the subdivision, the combination of road rights-of-way, throat lengths on the Terry Fox Drive connections, intersection locations and pathway connections are all considered acceptable.
- The projected transit ridership of 60 to 75 transit riders per hour can be adequately accommodated by planned transit service on Terry Fox Drive.
- For the horizon year of this analysis, traffic signal control is not warranted at the site's road connections to Terry Fox Drive. Regardless, the proponent may want to signalize these intersections prior to warrants being met to maximize safe access/egress to/from their subdivision.
- Turn lane requirements at the site connections to Terry Fox Drive are initially as follows.


## North Site Driveway/Terry Fox

- northbound left-turn lane $\quad=\quad 18 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- eastbound right-turn lane $\quad=\quad 12 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- westbound left-turn lane $=21 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper

South Site Driveway/Terry Fox

- northbound right-turn lane $\quad=\quad 12 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- southbound left-turn lane $\quad=\quad 7 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- westbound left-turn lane $\quad=\quad 12 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- For the South Site Driveway, once the adjacent proposed retail plaza is developed, it will add traffic that will require the length of turn-lanes to be increased as follows:
- northbound right-turn lane $\quad=12 \mathrm{~m}+6 \mathrm{~m}=18 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- southbound left-turn lane $=7 \mathrm{~m}+3 \mathrm{~m}=10 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper
- westbound left-turn lane $\quad=\quad 12 \mathrm{~m}+4 \mathrm{~m}=16 \mathrm{~m}+$ taper

As all the foregoing turn-lane requirements are in the 10 m to 21 m range plus tapers, it is recommended that all be provided initially at 25 m plus the appropriate length of taper.

Accounting for the foregoing recommendations, the proposed Site Plan is recommended form a transportation perspective.


Appendix A - Study Area Intersection Counts

- Terry Fox/Kanata
- Terry Fox/March


## KANATA AVE and TERRY FOX RD

(ULRS Listing KANATA \& RR-61)


KANATA

|  |  | KANATA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 |  | 193 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  | 74 | 0 | 119 | 250 |  |  |  |
|  | 494 |  |  |  |  | 141 |  |  |
|  | 109 |  |  |  |  | 420 | 561 |  |
| 723 | 614 |  | EA | 17:00 |  | 0 |  | P-61 |

0


0

0

MARCH RD and TERRY FOX DR
(ULRS Listing RR-49 \& TERRYFOX)

Survey Date: Wednesday 20 June 2012 Conditions: dry Start Time: 0700

Total Observed U-Turns
Northbound: 214 Southbound: 0
Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

AADT Factor
Wednesday in June i: 0.9


## Appendix B - SYNCHRO Model of Existing Conditions

Existing AM
4：March \＆Terry Fox

|  | $4$ |  | $\geqslant$ | $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  | $t$ |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7＊ | 中4 | 「＇ | ＊＊ | 中4 | 「＇ | ＊＊ | 4中4 | 7＇ | 7 | 4中4 | F＇ |
| Volume（vph） | 141 | 643 | 212 | 68 | 33 | 20 | 157 | 408 | 91 | 193 | 914 | 48 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 148 | 677 | 223 | 72 | 35 | 21 | 165 | 429 | 96 | 203 | 962 | 51 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 15.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．5\％ | 38．3\％ | 38．3\％ | 12．5\％ | 38．3\％ | 38．3\％ | 19．2\％ | 30．0\％ | 30．0\％ | 19．2\％ | 30．0\％ | 30．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 11.5 | 31.5 | 31.5 | 7.5 | 28.5 | 28.5 | 11.3 | 37.9 | 37.9 | 18.3 | 44.9 | 44.9 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.09 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.76 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.53 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.79 | 0.53 | 0.08 |
| Control Delay | 58.6 | 46.3 | 11.3 | 58.4 | 30.6 | 0.2 | 57.8 | 33.9 | 1.0 | 70.4 | 33.1 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 58.6 | 46.3 | 11.3 | 58.4 | 30.6 | 0.2 | 57.8 | 33.9 | 1.0 | 70.4 | 33.1 | 0.2 |
| LOS | E | D | B | E | C | A | E | C | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 40.6 |  |  | 41.3 |  |  | 35.0 |  |  | 37.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 17.8 | 77.3 | 8.8 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 19.4 | 29.9 | 0.0 | 45.1 | 66.9 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃29．8 | 90.2 | 27.2 | 15.9 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 29.6 | 40.9 | 1.1 | \＃90．0 | 92.8 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 1862.6 |  |  | 280.2 |  |  | 873.2 |  |  | 461.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 70.0 |  | 75.0 | 150.0 |  | 75.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 314 | 1098 | 595 | 227 | 1098 | 590 | 443 | 1538 | 588 | 262 | 1823 | 648 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.03 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.77 | 0.53 | 0.08 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 8 （7\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.79
Intersection Signal Delay： $38.3 \quad$ Intersection LOS：D

Intersection Capacity Utilization 71．0\％ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．



## Existing PM

4：March \＆Terry Fox

|  | $4$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\cdots$ | \％ |  |  | 4 | 9 |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | kik | 中呂 | 7 | \％ | 中4 | 「 | ＊＊ | 中虫 | 7 | \％ | 虫伞 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 228 | 147 | 110 | 68 | 351 | 86 | 329 | 1278 | 72 | 67 | 507 | 34 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 240 | 155 | 116 | 72 | 369 | 91 | 346 | 1345 | 76 | 71 | 534 | 36 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 16.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 17.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 17.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 13．3\％ | 30．8\％ | 30．8\％ | 13．3\％ | 30．8\％ | 30．8\％ | 14．2\％ | 41．7\％ | 41．7\％ | 14．2\％ | 41．7\％ | 41．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 9.3 | 23.7 | 23.7 | 7.9 | 19.7 | 19.7 | 20.2 | 56.7 | 56.7 | 9.7 | 43.6 | 43.6 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.47 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.23 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.66 | 0.24 | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.52 | 0.30 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 99.2 | 41.6 | 3.9 | 57.5 | 52.4 | 1.8 | 53.2 | 26.4 | 0.2 | 66.2 | 27.9 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 99.2 | 41.6 | 3.9 | 57.5 | 52.4 | 1.8 | 53.2 | 26.4 | 0.2 | 66.2 | 27.9 | 0.2 |
| LOS | F | D | A | E | D | A | D | C | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 60.1 |  |  | 44.4 |  |  | 30.5 |  |  | 30.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 29.4 | 17.0 | 0.0 | 8.5 | 44.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 | 85.2 | 0.0 | 16.2 | 32.6 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃54．2 | 24.4 | 6.8 | 15.8 | 53.8 | 0.7 | \＃78．5 | 119.2 | 0.0 | 31.1 | 42.2 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 1862.6 |  |  | 280.2 |  |  | 873.2 |  |  | 461.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 70.0 |  | 75.0 | 150.0 |  | 75.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 254 | 844 | 483 | 254 | 844 | 489 | 553 | 2302 | 801 | 152 | 1770 | 634 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.94 | 0.18 | 0.24 | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.63 | 0.58 | 0.09 | 0.47 | 0.30 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 54 （45\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 90
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.94

| Intersection Signal Delay： 37.1 | Intersection LOS：D |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $71.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service C |

Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．


Existing PM
7: Terry Fox \& Kanata


Appendix C - SYNCHRO Model of Horizon Year Conditions

Projected AM
4：March \＆Terry Fox

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％ | 444 | 「 | ＊ | 444 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 175 | 750 | 285 | 85 | 45 | 25 | 205 | 450 | 108 | 230 | 1005 | 48 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 184 | 789 | 300 | 89 | 47 | 26 | 216 | 474 | 114 | 242 | 1058 | 51 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Total Split（s） | 15.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 15.0 | 46.0 | 46.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 | 23.0 | 36.0 | 36.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 12．5\％ | 38．3\％ | 38．3\％ | 12．5\％ | 38．3\％ | 38．3\％ | 19．2\％ | 30．0\％ | 30．0\％ | 19．2\％ | 30．0\％ | 30．0\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.9 | 34.9 | 34.9 | 7.8 | 31.2 | 31.2 | 13.0 | 30.0 | 30.0 | 20.1 | 37.0 | 37.0 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| V／c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.80 | 0.53 | 0.42 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.61 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.09 |
| Control Delay | 56.4 | 45.8 | 14.6 | 59.9 | 29.0 | 0.2 | 58.1 | 38.7 | 2.8 | 76.4 | 41.0 | 0.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 56.4 | 45.8 | 14.6 | 59.9 | 29.0 | 0.2 | 58.1 | 38.7 | 2.8 | 76.4 | 41.0 | 0.3 |
| LOS | E | D | B | E | C | A | E | D | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 40.0 |  |  | 41.4 |  |  | 38.8 |  |  | 45.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 22.4 | 89.0 | 17.5 | 10.5 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 25.3 | 34.3 | 0.0 | 56.6 | 82.4 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃40．7 | 108.2 | 42.4 | 18.9 | 8.4 | 0.0 | 36.8 | 45.0 | 5.3 | \＃112．5 | \＃111．1 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ $m$ ） |  | 1862.6 |  |  | 280.2 |  |  | 873.2 |  |  | 461.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ $m$ ） | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 70.0 |  | 75.0 | 150.0 |  | 75.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 409 | 1098 | 613 | 227 | 1098 | 590 | 443 | 1216 | 498 | 284 | 1503 | 563 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.45 | 0.72 | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.49 | 0.39 | 0.23 | 0.85 | 0.70 | 0.09 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 8 （7\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 100
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.85
Intersection Signal Delay： $42.0 \quad$ Intersection LOS：D

Intersection Capacity Utilization 76．2\％
Intersection LOS：D

Analysis Period（min） 15
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．


Projected AM
7: Terry Fox \& Kanata


Projected AM
10: Site North \& Terry Fox


Projected AM
13: Terry Fox \& Site South


Projected PM
4：March \＆Terry Fox

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％ | 44 | 「 | \％＊ | 44 | 「 | ＊＊ | 444 | 「 | 7 | 4來 | 「 |
| Volume（vph） | 280 | 200 | 140 | 85 | 420 | 100 | 430 | 1410 | 90 | 85 | 560 | 34 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 295 | 211 | 147 | 89 | 442 | 105 | 453 | 1484 | 95 | 89 | 589 | 36 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Total Split（s） | 16.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 16.0 | 37.0 | 37.0 | 17.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 | 17.0 | 50.0 | 50.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 13．3\％ | 30．8\％ | 30．8\％ | 13．3\％ | 30．8\％ | 30．8\％ | 14．2\％ | 41．7\％ | 41．7\％ | 14．2\％ | 41．7\％ | 41．7\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 9.3 | 22.9 | 22.9 | 8.2 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 18.3 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 10.7 | 43.4 | 43.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.08 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.15 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.09 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| V／c Ratio | 1.16 | 0.33 | 0.36 | 0.40 | 0.72 | 0.26 | 0.91 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 155.4 | 42.6 | 7.8 | 58.5 | 52.6 | 3.1 | 73.3 | 32.1 | 0.5 | 68.3 | 28.5 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 155.4 | 42.6 | 7.8 | 58.5 | 52.6 | 3.1 | 73.3 | 32.1 | 0.5 | 68.3 | 28.5 | 0.2 |
| LOS | F | D | A | E | D | A | E | C | A | E | C | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 85.7 |  |  | 45.2 |  |  | 39.8 |  |  | 32.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | $\sim 42.3$ | 22.8 | 0.0 | 10.5 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 54.7 | 105.8 | 0.0 | 20.3 | 36.5 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃70．1 | 32.0 | 14.2 | 18.7 | 64.4 | 4.0 | \＃108．3 | 136.0 | 0.8 | \＃37．7 | 46.7 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（ $m$ ） |  | 667.9 |  |  | 280.2 |  |  | 873.2 |  |  | 461.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（ m ） | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 70.0 |  | 75.0 | 150.0 |  | 75.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 254 | 844 | 483 | 254 | 844 | 489 | 500 | 2068 | 736 | 160 | 1761 | 632 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.16 | 0.25 | 0.30 | 0.35 | 0.52 | 0.21 | 0.91 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.56 | 0.33 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： $54(45 \%)$ ，Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 100
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.16
Intersection Signal Delay： $46.7 \quad$ Intersection LOS：D

Intersection Capacity Utilization $77.5 \% \quad$ ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
～Volume exceeds capacity，queue is theoretically infinite．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．
\＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer．
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles．
Splits and Phases：4：March \＆Terry Fox


Projected PM
7: Terry Fox \& Kanata


Projected PM
10: Site North \& Terry Fox


Projected PM
16: Terry Fox \& Site South


## Appendix D - Signal Timing Plan Modifications

Projected PM（Modified）
4：March \＆Terry Fox

|  | $4$ |  | $\geqslant$ | $\%$ |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | 7\％ | 中4 | 7＇ | 7\％ | 44 | 「＇ | 7＊ | 4中4 | 7＇ | 7 | 4中4 | F＇ |
| Volume（vph） | 280 | 200 | 140 | 85 | 420 | 100 | 430 | 1410 | 90 | 85 | 560 | 34 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 295 | 211 | 147 | 89 | 442 | 105 | 453 | 1484 | 95 | 89 | 589 | 36 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.7 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 | 11.8 | 28.6 | 28.6 |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 42.2 | 42.2 | 13.9 | 36.1 | 36.1 | 31.2 | 47.9 | 47.9 | 16.0 | 32.7 | 32.7 |
| Total Split（\％） | 16．7\％ | 35．2\％ | 35．2\％ | 11．6\％ | 30．1\％ | 30．1\％ | 26．0\％ | 39．9\％ | 39．9\％ | 13．3\％ | 27．3\％ | 27．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 3.4 | 3.8 | 3.8 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 | 6.8 | 6.6 | 6.6 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 13.1 | 27.8 | 27.8 | 7.0 | 21.8 | 21.8 | 21.2 | 47.4 | 47.4 | 10.6 | 36.8 | 36.8 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.11 | 0.23 | 0.23 | 0.06 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.09 | 0.31 | 0.31 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.83 | 0.27 | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.72 | 0.23 | 0.78 | 0.77 | 0.14 | 0.60 | 0.39 | 0.06 |
| Control Delay | 71.8 | 37.6 | 2.1 | 62.8 | 52.6 | 1.2 | 57.1 | 36.0 | 0.6 | 69.5 | 35.4 | 0.2 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 71.8 | 37.6 | 2.1 | 62.8 | 52.6 | 1.2 | 57.1 | 36.0 | 0.6 | 69.5 | 35.4 | 0.2 |
| LOS | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | D | A | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 45.0 |  |  | 45.6 |  |  | 39.1 |  |  | 37.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 35.5 | 21.5 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 52.1 | 0.0 | 52.8 | 112.6 | 0.0 | 20.2 | 40.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃56．2 | 29.6 | 2.2 | 19.1 | 64.4 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 140.1 | 0.8 | \＃45．1 | 58.0 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 667.9 |  |  | 280.2 |  |  | 873.2 |  |  | 461.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 70.0 |  | 40.0 | 70.0 |  | 75.0 | 150.0 |  | 75.0 | 100.0 |  | 100.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 364 | 991 | 584 | 197 | 819 | 526 | 668 | 1923 | 695 | 153 | 1492 | 603 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.81 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.54 | 0.20 | 0.68 | 0.77 | 0.14 | 0.58 | 0.39 | 0.06 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 54 （45\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 100
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.83

| Intersection Signal Delay： 40.9 | Intersection LOS：D |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization $77.5 \%$ | ICU Level of Service D |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |
| \＃95th percentile volume exceeds capacity，queue may be longer． |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles． |  |



Appendix E - Left-Turn Warrant Analysis


TRAFFIC SIGNALS MAY BE WARRANTED IN RURAL
AREAS OR URBAN AREAS WITH RESTRICTED FLOW
TRAFFIC SIGNaL.s may be warranted in
"free flow" urban areas


TRAFFIC SIGNALS MAY BE WARRANTED IN RURAL
AREAS OR URBAN AREAS WITH RESTRICTED FLOW
TRAFFIC SIGNALS may be warranted in
" FREE FLOW" URBAN AREAS

Appendix F - Traffic Control Warrant Analysis

Terry Fox/ Site North - Projected

| AWSC <br> Warrant |  | Description |  | Minimum Requirement for a 'T' intersection | Compliance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sectional \% | Entire \% |  | Warrant |
|  | 1. <br> Minimum Volume Criterion |  |  | A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day, or | 200 | 372\% | 14\% | No |
|  |  | B | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for the Heaviest Peak Hour, and | 350 | 466\% |  |  |
|  |  | C | Vehicle and pedestrian Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours, and | 80 | 43\% |  |  |
|  |  | D | The volume split between the major and minor streets | 75/25 | 14\% |  |  |
|  | 2. <br> Minimum Collision Criterion | A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 3 | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |

Note: $\mathbf{0}$ preventable by AWSC collisions (i.e. right angle and turning movement collisions) were reported during a 3 year time period


Terry Fox/ Site South - Projected

| AWSC <br> Warrant |  | Description |  | Minimum Requirement for a 'T' intersection | Compliance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sectional \% | Entire \% |  | Warrant |
|  | 1. <br> Minimum Volume Criterion |  |  | A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day, or | 200 | 366\% | 9\% | No |
|  |  | B | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for the Heaviest Peak Hour, and | 350 | 456\% |  |  |
|  |  | C | Vehicle and pedestrian Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours, and | 80 | 28\% |  |  |
|  |  | D | The volume split between the major and minor streets | 75/25 | 9\% |  |  |
|  | 2. <br> Minimum Collision Criterion | A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 3 | 0\% | 0\% |  |  |

Note: $\mathbf{0}$ preventable by AWSC collisions (i.e. right angle and turning movement collisions) were reported during a 3 year time period


Terry Fox/ Site North - Projected

| Signal Warrant |  | Description |  | Minimum Requirement for Two Lane Roadways | Compliance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Restricted Flow Operating Speed Less Than 70 km/h | Sectional \% | Entire \% | Warrant |
|  | 1. Minimum Vehicular Volume |  |  | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, All Approaches for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of on Average Day, and | 720 | 103\% | 13\% | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | (4) B | Vehicle Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 255 | 13\% |  |  |
|  | 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 720 | 99\% | 19\% |  |  |
|  |  | (2) | Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume Crossing the Major Street for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 75 | 19\% |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Vehicl Lanes | e Volume Warrants (1A), (2A) and (5B) for in one Direction Should Be $25 \%$ Higher Th | Roadways Having Two or an Values Given Above | More Moving | No |  |  |  |
| 2 For Definition of Crossing Volume Refer to Note 4 on the Signal Warrant Analysis Form B2.03.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 The Lowest Sectional Percentage Governs the Entire Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 For "T" Intersections the Warrant Values for Minor Street Should be Increased by 50\% (Warrant 1B only) |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  | (Warrant 1B only)

Yes


Terry Fox/ Site South - Projected

| Signal Warrant |  | Description |  | Minimum Requirement for Two Lane Roadways | Compliance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Restricted Flow Operating Speed Less Than 70 km/h | Sectional \% | Entire \% | Warrant |
|  |  |  |  | (1) AVehicle Volume, All Approaches <br> for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours <br> of on Average Day, and |  | 720 | 102\% | 9\% | $\begin{gathered} \text { 19\% } \\ \text { No } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Volume | (4) B | Vehicle Volume, Along Minor Streets for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 255 | 9\% |  |  |
|  | 2. Delay to Cross Traffic | (1) A | Vehicle Volume, Along Major Street for Each of the Heaviest 8 Hours of an Average Day, and | 720 | 99\% | 19\% |  |  |
|  |  | (2) B | Combined Vehicle and Pedestrian Volume Crossing the Major Street for Each of the Same 8 Hours | 75 | 19\% |  |  |  |
| Notes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | Vehic Lanes | e Volume Warrants (1A), (2A) and (5B) for in one Direction Should Be $25 \%$ Higher Th | Roadways Having Two or an Values Given Above | More Moving | No |  |  |  |
| 2 For Definition of Crossing Volume Refer to Note 4 on the Signal Warrant Analysis Form B2.03.08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 The Lowest Sectional Percentage Governs the Entire Warrant |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 For "T" Intersections the Warrant Values for Minor Street Should be Increased by 50\% Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (Warrant 1B only)

Average 8 Hour


Appendix G - 34,500 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ Retail Plaza: Trip Generation

ITE Vehicle Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | Data |  | Trip Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | AM Peak | PM Peak |  |
| Retail | ITE 826 | 1.36 | 2.71 |  |

Modified Person Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use | Data | Person Trip Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Source | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Retail | ITE 826 | 1.77 | 3.52 |
| Note: 1.3 factor to account for typical North American auto occupancy values of approximately 1.15 and <br> combined transit and non-motorized modal shares of less than $10 \%$ |  |  |  |

ITE Fitted Curve Equations

| Land Use | Data Source | Fitted Curve Equation |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| Retail | ITE 826 | $\mathrm{T}=$ | 1.20(x) | + 10.74 | $\mathrm{T}=$ | 2.40(x) | + 21.48 |

Modified Person Trip Generation

| Land Use | Data Source | Area | AM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  | PM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
|  |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 56\% 4 年 |  |  | 44\% |  |  |
| Retail | ITE 826 | 34,500 ft ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | 38 | 30 | 68 | 59 | 77 | 136 |
|  |  | Tota | 38 | 30 | 68 | 59 | 77 | 136 |

Total Site Trip Generation

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  | PM Peak (Persons/ hr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | 60\% | 23 | 18 | 41 | 36 | 47 | 83 |
| Auto Passenger | 15\% | 6 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 12 | 21 |
| Transit | 5\% | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 6 |
| Non-motorized | 20\% | 7 | 6 | 13 | 11 | 15 | 26 |
| Total Person Trips | 100\% | 38 | 30 | 68 | 59 | 77 | 136 |
|  | Total 'New' Auto Trips | 23 | 18 | 41 | 36 | 47 | 83 |

Total Site Vehicle Trip Generation

| Travel Mode | AM Peak (veh/ hr) |  |  | PM Peak (veh/ hr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{I n}$ | Out | Total | $\mathbf{I n}$ | Out | Total |
| Total Site Trip Generation | 23 | 18 | 41 | 36 | 47 | 83 |
| Total 'New' Auto Trips | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | $\mathbf{8 3}$ |

