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## TIA Plan Reports

On 14 June 2017, the Council of the City of Ottawa adopted new Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. In adopting the guidelines, Council established a requirement for those preparing and delivering transportation impact assessments and reports to sign a letter of certification.

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that $\mathrm{s} /$ he meets the four criteria listed below.

## CERTIFICATION

1. I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines;
2. I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;
3. I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and
4. I am either a licensed ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ or registered ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ professional in good standing, whose field $\boldsymbol{v}^{\text {of }}$ expertise [check $\checkmark$ appropriate field(s)] is either transportation engineering $\square$ or transportation planning $\square$.

1,2 License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.

Dated at $\qquad$ this 24 day of January , 2019. (City)

Name:
Ronald Jack $\qquad$
(Please Print)

Professional Title: Senior Transportation Engineer


Signature of Individual certifier that s/he meets the above four criteria


Office Contact Information (Please Print)
Address: 1223 Michael Street

City / Postal Code: Ottawa K1J 7T2

Telephone / Extension: 613-738-4160

E-Mail Address: Ronald.Jack@Parsons.com


## Scoping Report

## 1. SCREENING FORM

The Screening Form prepared for Phase 1 development at the Elmvale Acres Shopping Centre confirmed the need for a Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) as it met the Trip Generation, Location and Safety triggers.

The screening form and correspondences are provided in Appendix A.

## 2. DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

### 2.1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

RIOCAN is proposing to build Phase 1 of the proposed Elmvale Shopping Centre expansion located at 1910 St. Laurent Boulevard. Phase 1 consists of a residential apartment tower with 168 apartment units, approximately $1100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail, a 140-spaces two-level garage, and 38 surface parking spaces. The proposal includes using existing driveway connections to Othello Avenue and to St. Laurent Boulevard, however, the existing one-way driveway entrance from Smyth Road would be eliminated. The proposal also replaces an existing $466 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ restaurant (Kelseys). However, the proposed retail development includes space for a similar size restaurant. As such, the net increase in proposed retail will be $651 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The site is in Ward 18, Alta Vista, and is designated as Arterial Mainstreet Zone, according to Part 10, sec. 185-186 of the Zoning By-Law No. 2008-250. The site local context is illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Local Context


For this study, horizon years have been assumed to be the year 2021, representing Phase 1 build-out and, depending on background growth analysis, year 2026, representing the plus five years horizon. The Site Plan is provided in Figure 2.


## 3. EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1. AREA ROAD NETWORK

Smyth Road is an east-west arterial roadway with a four-lane cross-section within the study area. It extends from George Mcllraith Bridge in the west, where it continues as Main Street, and St. Laurent Boulevard in the east, where it continues as Lancaster Road. The protected right-of-way for this corridor is 26 m . Within the study area, the posted speed limit is 50 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ and its right-of-way protection policy is 26 m .

St. Laurent Boulevard is a north-south arterial roadway north of Russell Road and a collector roadway west of Russell Road. Within the study area, St. Laurent Boulevard has a four-lane cross-section with auxiliary turn lanes provided at major intersections. It extends from Sandridge Road in the north to Don Reid Drive in the south. Within the study area, the posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and its right-of-way protection policy is 37.5 m .

Lancaster Road is an east-west collector roadway with a two-lane cross-section. It extends from St. Laurent Boulevard in the west, where it continues as Smyth Road, and Walkley Road in the south. Within the study area, the unposted (default) speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Russell Road is a discontinuous north-south roadway with a section operating between Industrial Avenue and Smyth Road as a collector roadway and another section operating south of St. Laurent Boulevard as an arterial roadway. It has a twolane cross-section and its full extent is between Industrial Avenue in the north and Ramsayville Road in the south. The protected right-of-way for this corridor is 37.5 m . Within the study area, the posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Pleasant Park Road is an east-west collector roadway with a two-lane cross-section. It extends from Riverside Drive in the west and St. Laurent Boulevard in the east. Within the study area, the unposted (default) speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Heavy trucks are prohibited on Pleasant Park Road, despite this, local truck trips (i.e. delivery trucks, moving trucks, etc.) are required to access the Loblaws loading bay.

Othello Avenue is a north-south local roadway with a two-lane cross-section. It extends from Smyth Road in the north to Pleasant Park Road in the south. Within the study area, the unposted speed limit is understood to be $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Heavy trucks are prohibited on Othello Avenue, however, local truck trips (i.e. delivery trucks, moving trucks, etc.) are required to access the Loblaws loading bay.

Local Streets. West of the site are four local east-west streets that connect to Otello. They are two lanes wide with on-street parking and they are STOP sign controlled on their approach to Othello. Their peak hour traffic volume are less than 60veh/h two-way total.

### 3.2. PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLING NETWORK

Sidewalks are currently provided along both sides of St. Laurent Boulevard and Smyth Road. Sidewalks are provided on the west side of Othello Avenue, on the north side of Pleasant Park Road, and on the south side of Lancaster Road. Sidewalks and crosswalks are also provided through the existing development to facilitate access to the existing commercial developments and to the Transit Station that is located along the east side of the site.

Per the City's Cycling Plan, there is an existing suggested route along Russell Road, connecting to Othello Avenue, and Pleasant Park Road. Additionally, a paved shoulder is provided on Russell Road south of the St. Laurent Boulevard Intersection. A cycle track has recently been constructed on both sides of St. Laurent Boulevard, north of Smyth Road, which continues as a curbside bike lane to Russel Road intersection.

Figure 3 depicts the existing cycling and pedestrian facilities within the Study Area.

Figure 3: Area Pedestrian and Cycling Network

http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoottawa/, accessed August 8th, 2018.

It is noteworthy that during field visits to the study area, a southbound powered-wheelchair user was spotted on St. Laurent Boulevard roadway between the Transit Loop intersection and the Elmvale Shopping Centre Southern access (Figure 4). Substandard pedestrian facilities at the west approach of the St. Laurent Boulevard/Transit Loop intersection as well as indirect sidewalk connections might be discouraging reduced-mobility users of using the southbound sidewalk on St. Laurent Boulevard at this location. Further study is suggested by the City at this location.

Figure 4: Location of SB Powered Wheelchair User on Roadway


### 3.3. TRANSIT NETWORK

The subject site includes a transit loop providing local transit service (Routes \#86, \#106, \#112, \#40, \#48 and \#49) to/from the sites frontage to St. Laurent Boulevard. The 2013 City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan identifies St. Laurent Boulevard as a Bus Rapid Transit/Transit Priority Corridor. The existing transit network is illustrated in Figure 5.

It is noteworthy that the City has recently completed the construction of new transit lanes on St. Laurent Boulevard (one bus-only lane in each direction) from north of Industrial Avenue to south of Smyth Road. The reconstruction also included bicycle tracks on both sides of St. Laurent Boulevard, and improvements to the parking lot entrance near Elmvale transit station.

Figure 5: Area Transit Network

www.octranspo.ca, accessed August 8 ${ }^{\text {th }}, 2018$.

### 3.4. EXISTING STUDY AREA INTERSECTION

## Smyth/Russell/Othello

The Smyth/Russell/Othello intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection. The eastbound and westbound approaches consist of a single left-turn lane, a through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The northbound and southbound approaches consist of a single full-movement lane. The southbound leg is offset by approximately 20 m . All movements are permitted at this location. In the east and westbound directions, right-turns on red lights are not permitted.

## St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth

The St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection. The northbound and southbound approaches consist of a single leftturn lane, two through lanes, and a channelized rightturn lane. The eastbound approach consists of two left-turn lanes, a through lane and channelized rightturn lane. The westbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane, a through lane, and a shared through/channelized right-turn lane. All movements are permitted at this location.


## St. Laurent/Russell

The St. Laurent/Russell intersection is a signalized three-legged intersection. The northbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane and two through lanes. The southbound approach consists of two through lanes and a channelized right-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane and a shared left-turn/channelized right-turn lane. All movements are permitted at this location.

## St. Laurent/Pleasant Park

The St. Laurent/Pleasant Park intersection is a signalized three-legged intersection. The northbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane and a single through lane. The southbound approach consists of a single through lane and a right-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane and a single right-turn lane. All movements are permitted at this location.


## St. Laurent/Transit Loop

The St. Laurent/Transit Loop intersection is a signalized three-legged intersection. The northbound approach consists of a single left-turn lane and two through lanes. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/channelized right-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of left-turn lane and a channelized right-turn lane. All movements are permitted at this location.


### 3.5. EXISTING INTERSECTION VOLUMES

To examine the existing traffic network, turning movement counts were requested from the City of Ottawa. Where data was unavailable turning movement count surveys were undertaken. Table 1 below documents the date of each count that was used.

Table 1: Turning Movement Count Data Summary

| Count Location | Date Counted |
| :--- | :--- |
| Hamlet Rd-Site \& Othello Ave | Tuesday, July 21, 2015 |
| Smyth Rd E/Lancaster Rd @ St. Laurent Blvd | Thursday, May 29, 2014 |
| Othello Ave \& Pleasant Park Rd | Tuesday, July 14, 2015 |
| Othello Ave \& Site | (Wednesday \& Thursday), July (15 \& 16), 2015 |
| Othello Ave-Russell Rd \& Smyth Rd | Wednesday, August 1, 2012 |
| Pleasant Park Rd \& St. Laurent Blvd | Tuesday, May 4, 2010 |
| Russell Rd \& St. Laurent Towers | (Wednesday \& Thursday), September (2\&3), 2015 |
| St. Laurent Blvd \& Site | (Wednesday \& Thursday), July (15\&16), 2015 |
| St. Laurent Blvd \& St. Laurent Blvd (Minor) | (Wednesday \& Thursday), July (22 \& 23), 2015 |
| Othello Ave \& Chapman Dr. | Tuesday, February 28, 2017 |
| Othello Ave \& Wingate Dr. | Tuesday, October 3, 2018 |

The table above shows that the counts were undertaken several years apart. To adjust for the difference in data collection years a $1 \%$ background growth rate / annum was applied along the Arterial Roads to reflect growth outside of the Study Area up to 2017. Figure 6 illustrates the adjusted AM and PM peak hour volumes at the Study Area intersections. Turning movement count sheets have been included in Appendix $B$.

Figure 6: Existing Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


Table 2 summarizes the operational analysis of existing traffic conditions based on the Synchro (V9) traffic analysis software. The signalized intersections were assessed in terms of the volume-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio and the corresponding Level of Service (LOS) for the critical movement(s). The signalized intersections, overall, were assessed based on weighted
$\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ratio. The unsignalized intersections were assessed by examining the maximum delay on any one of the approaches. The SYNCHRO model output of existing conditions is provided within Appendix C.

Table 2: Operational Analysis - Existing Conditions

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | max. v/c or avg. delay (s) | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Othello/Russell/Smyth (TCS) | D(D) | 0.86(0.84) | NBT(SBT) | 28.2(45.0) | A(B) | 0.59(0.68) |
| St. <br> Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster <br> (TCS) | D(F) | 0.83(1.07) | EBL(NBT) | 41.0(60.6) | C (E) | 0.79(0.99) |
| Russell/St. Laurent (TCS) | C(C) | 0.73(0.73) | EBL(EBL) | 14.7(16.5) | B(C) | 0.64(0.73) |
| St. Laurent/Transit Loop (TCS) | A(A) | 0.32(0.53) | EBL(EBL) | 7.8(8.0) | A(A) | 0.25(0.45) |

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.95 and a saturation flow rate of $1800 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h} / \mathrm{lane}$.
TWSC - Two Way Stop Control, with stop signs on the minor approach. Or stop control on a single leg of a intersection AWSC - All Way Stop Control
TCS - Traffic Control Signal
The Study area's signalized intersections generally operate with good levels of service (LoS) and reasonable V/C and delay. The intersection of St. Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster is an exception to this. The intersection was shown to operate with LOS E in the PM peak hour, with an overall v/c ratio of 0.99 . Additionally, on the northbound through movement the existing volume was shown to exceed the theoretical capacity of the through movement.

The study area's unsignalized intersections are shown to operate with minimal delays and good levels of service, however, there is a known operational issue on northbound Othello between the Smyth/Othello intersection to the Site/Othello driveway. During peak periods, the northbound queues have been observed to extend back through and beyond the Site/Othello driveway. This delay/queue causes two problems. The queue can block access/egress to/from the site and the delay can cause traffic to short-cut via Hamlet Road as an alternative to waiting for a green light at the Smyth/Othello intersection. Previous study in support of a Secondary Plan and Rezoning for the overall Site identified the need for a second northbound lane from the Site Driveway north to the signalized Smyth intersection. This lane would be for northbound right-turning traffic and it would significantly reduce northbound queuing and delay. It was identified as a Phase 1 requirement, and its design would need to be compatible with the City's larger plan to acquire property and properly align the Russel/Smyth/Othello intersection. Also, previously identified as a Phase 1 requirement was the redesign of the Site/Othello intersection to eliminate the potential for site-traffic to use Hamlet Road to access/egress the site.

### 3.6. EXISTING ROAD SAFETY CONDITIONS

Collision history for study area (2013 to 2017, inclusive) was obtained from the City of Ottawa. A total of 169 collisions have been reported within the study area. The majority ( $75 \%$, or 126 ) of collisions involved property damage while the remaining ( $25 \%$, or 43 ) collisions involved non-fatal injuries.

Regarding the type of collision, rear end accounted for $49 \%$ (or 82 collisions) of collisions, angle accounted for $21 \%$ (or 35 collisions) of collisions, turning movement accounted for $11 \%$ (or 19 collisions), sideswipe accounted for $9 \%$ (or 16 collisions), single vehicle other accounted for $8 \%$ (or 13 collisions), other accounted for $2 \%$ (or 3 collisions) of collisions and single vehicle (Unattended vehicle) accounted for 1\% (or 1 collision) of collisions.

Over the five-year period, collisions observed at the following locations include:

- St. Laurent Boulevard at Lancaster Road at Smyth Road - A total of 71 collisions were registered. The types of collisions cited by police included: rear end ( $65 \%$ or 46 collisions), sideswipe ( $16 \%$ or 11 collisions), angle ( $8 \%$ or 6 collisions),
single vehicle other ( $6 \%$ or 4 collisions), turning movement ( $4 \%$ or 3 collisions) and other ( $1 \%$ or 1 collision). The majority of rear end collisions involved property damage only, which indicates low speeds;
- Russell Road S at St. Laurent Boulevard - A total of 47 collisions were registered. The types of collisions cited by police included: turning movement, rear end and angle ( $30 \%$ or 14 collisions each); single vehicle other ( $6 \%$ or 3 collisions) and sideswipe ( $4 \%$ or 2 collisions) and sideswipe ( $3 \%$ or 1 collisions). There were 2 registered collisions involving a pedestrian: one included an eastbound-left vehicle and one a northbound-through vehicle. The majority of turning movement collisions involved northbound left vehicles colliding with southbound through vehicles;
- Pleasant Park Road at St. Laurent Boulevard - A total of 29 collisions were registered. The types of collisions cited by police included: rear end ( $55 \%$ or 16 collisions), single vehicle other ( $21 \%$ or 6 collisions), sideswipe ( $7 \%$ or 2 collisions), angle ( $7 \%$ or 2 collisions), turning movement ( $7 \%$ or 2 collisions) and other ( $3 \%$ or 1 collision). There were 6 registered collisions involving a pedestrian, 5 of which included an eastbound-left vehicle and one northboundthrough vehicle. The majority of rear end collisions were property damage only, which indicates low speeds;
- St. Laurent Boulevard at St. Laurent Boulevard Service - A total of 5 collisions were registered. The types of collisions cited by police included: rear end ( $80 \%$ or 4 collisions) and angle ( $20 \%$ or 1 collision);
- Hamlet Road at Othello Avenue - A total of 4 angle collisions were registered;
- Othello Avenue at Pleasant Park Road - A total of 3 angle collisions were registered;
- Chapman Boulevard at Othello Avenue - 1 collision was registered under category "other";
- Othello Avenue, Weston Drive to Pleasant Park Road - A total of 3 collisions were registered. The types of collisions cited by police included: rear end (33\% or 1 collisions), sideswipe ( $33 \%$ or 1 collisions) and single vehicle unattended (33\% or 1 collision);
- Othello Avenue, Hamlet Road to Smyth Road - A total of 2 angle collisions were registered;
- Othello Avenue, Wingate Drive to Chapman Boulevard - 1 rear end collision was registered;
- Othello Avenue, Hamlet Road to Wingate Drive - 1 angle collision was registered.

A standard unit of measure for assessing collisions at an intersection is based on the number collisions per million entering vehicles (MEV). The reported collision rate for the study area intersections are as follows:

- Pleasant Park Road at St. Laurent Boulevard - 0.98 MEV;
- Russell Road S at St. Laurent Boulevard - 0.90 MEV;
- Smyth Road at Lancaster Road at St. Laurent Boulevard - 0.82 MEV;
- Hamlet Road at Othello Avenue - 0.36 MEV;
- Othello Avenue, Weston Drive to Pleasant Park Road - 0.27 MEV;
- St. Laurent Boulevard at St. Laurent Boulevard Service - 0.20 MEV;
- Othello Avenue at Pleasant Park Road - 0.20 MEV;
- Othello Avenue, Hamlet Road to Smyth Road - 0.18 MEV;
- Chapman Boulevard at Othello Avenue - 0.09 MEV;
- Othello Avenue, Wingate Drive to Chapman Boulevard - 0.09 MEV; and
- Othello Avenue, Hamlet Road to Wingate Drive - 0.09 MEV.

Based on the available data, there does not appear to be any prevailing safety issues within the study area. It is suggested that the City considers measures to increase visibility of/protect pedestrians crossing at Pleasant Park/St. Laurent intersection and Russell Road/St. Laurent intersection, given the left-hook collisions registered. The source of the collision data is provided by the City of Ottawa and related analysis is provided within Appendix D.

### 3.7. EXISTING AREA TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASURES

Traffic management measures within the area of study exist at the Transit Loop located at the site driveway connection to St. Laurent Boulevard. This includes prohibiting eastbound left-turns from the site to prevent vehicles entering the bus-only lane for northbound left-turning buses on St. Laurent and also to prohibit left-turns at St. Laurent Boulevard/Transit Loopnorth.

## 4. PLANNED CONDITIONS

### 4.1. PLANNED STUDY AREA TRANSPORTATION NETWORK CHANGES

St. Laurent Transit Priority and Bus-Rapid-Transit Measures<br>Schedule D of the City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan identifies isolated Transit Priority measures on St. Laurent Boulevard, from Smyth Road to Walkley Road and on Smyth Road, from St. Laurent Boulevard to Roger Guindon Avenue. Bus-Rapid-Transit measures with at-grade crossings are identified on Russell Road from Smyth Road to Walkley Road.

## Future Cycling Facilities

The 2013 City of Ottawa Cycling Plan envisions Smyth Road west of St. Laurent Boulevard and Russell Road south of Smyth Road as a Cycling Spine Routes. The latter extends north from Smyth Road along St. Laurent Boulevard. Local routes are identified on Othello Avenue between Pleasant Park and Smyth Road, St. Laurent Boulevard south of Russell Road, Pleasant Park west of St. Laurent Boulevard and the shopping centre transit loop.

Ward 18 Alta Vista - Construction Program
Within the ward Construction Program road resurfacing of the Othello Avenue, between Pleasant Park and Smyth Road is programmed for years 2018 to 2021.

### 4.2. OTHER AREA DEVELOPMENTS

According to a review performed using the In My Neighbourhood City of Ottawa application for new developments, no other area developments have been identified for this site.

### 4.3. TRANSIT

As mentioned previously, transit is served within the area with a transit station on the east side of the shopping Centre (frontage to St. Laurent Boulevard), including routes \#86, \#106, \#112, \#40, \#48 and \#49).

### 4.4. NETWORK CONCEPT

To determine the regional network capacity, a screenline analysis was undertaken. This exercise draws an imaginary line across several roads and looks at the roadway capacity across this point. While each road is tabulated individually, the theory behind a screenline is the total capacity that is available in the network and the trip demands will normalize across each link. For this Study a screenline just north of Smyth Road was selected, crossing Russell Road and St. Laurent Boulevard. Figure 7 below illustrates the screenline volumes.

Figure 7: Existing Screenline


Table 3: Existing Screenline Analysis

| Screenline |  |  | Direction | Peak | Vehicles | v/c |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Station | \# Lanes | Capacity |  |  |  |  |
| Russell Road | 1 | 800 | Northbound | AM | 183 | 0.23 |
|  |  |  |  | PM | 184 | 0.23 |
|  |  |  | Southbound | AM | 171 | 0.21 |
|  |  |  |  | PM | 269 | 0.34 |
| St. Laurent Boulevard | 2 | 2000 | Northbound | AM | 1294 | 0.65 |
|  |  |  |  | PM | 2002 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | Southbound | AM | 1401 | 0.70 |
|  |  |  |  | PM | 1154 | 0.58 |
| Total | 3 | 2800 | Northbound | AM | 1477 | 0.53 |
|  |  |  |  | PM | 2186 | 0.78 |
|  |  |  | Southbound | AM | 1572 | 0.56 |
|  |  |  |  | PM | 1423 | 0.51 |

As shown in the foregoing table, the existing screenline is operating with an overall maximum v/c ratio of 0.78 and, therefore, there is residual capacity available to accommodate additional traffic growth / development. The station at St. Laurent Road is at capacity during the PM peak hour in the northbound direction.

### 4.5. INTERSECTION DESIGN

The proposed Phase 1 development will access through existing driveways to/from Othello Avenue, via the Othello /Smyth signalized intersection and to/from St. Laurent Boulevard, via channelized right-turns from St. Laurent Boulevard. The ensuing strategy analysis will review and document any related access/design requirements. As noted in Section 3.5, modifications are expected at the Othello/Site Driveway intersection and on Othello Avenue between the Site Driveway and Smyth Road.

## 5. TIME PERIODS

Given the land use of the proposed development, the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours will be analyzed.

## 6. HORIZON YEARS

For the purposes of this analysis the site full build-out date is assumed to be year 2021. Depending on the background growth analysis, the plus five years horizon will be analyzed for year 2026.

## 7. EXEMPTIONS REVIEW

Based on the foregoing analysis and review of the existing conditions, it is recommended that any future work within the context of this TIA excludes the following modules and elements summarized in Table 4.

Table 4: Exemptions Review Summary

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| 4.1 Development <br> Design | 4.1.3 New <br> Street Networks | Not required for applications involving site plans. |
| 4.2 Parking | 4.2.2 Spillover <br> Parking | With 178 parking spaces proposed for Phase 1, the site is noted to meet the <br> City's minimum By-Law requirements (153 stalls). As such, parking is not <br> expected to spill out of the site. |
| 4.8 Review of <br> Network Concept | All elements | This development is not expected to generate 200-person trips more than the <br> permitted zoning for the site. |

In addition to the above recommendations of the Exemptions Review, the following exemptions are also proposed for both Step 3 - Forecasting and Step 4 - Analysis and are summarized in Table 5.

Table 5: Additional Recommended Exemptions Summary

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 4.7 Transit | 4.7.2 Transit Priority | The proposed development will use an existing driveway connecting to the <br> St. Laurent Boulevard transit loop. The 2017 CTS prepared by Parsons <br> analyzed full build-out of the site and identified transit priority measures <br> required by OC Transpo at the adjacent Transit Loop This access point <br> was improved by implementing transit priority measures in 2017 and, as <br> such, it will not require additional screening for transit priority measures. |

## 8. DEVELOPMENT GENERATED TRAVEL DEMAND

### 8.1. TRIP GENERATION AND MODE SHARES

### 8.1.1. TRIP GENERATION

The proposed redevelopment includes 168 residential units and a net additional $651 \mathrm{~m}^{2}\left(6,306 \mathrm{ft}^{2}\right)$ of retail uses. It has been assumed that $10 \%$ of the trips generated by the retail uses will be internal. As such, a $10 \%$ reduction factor has been applied to the projected retail trips to account for internal trips that do not cause an increase in traffic in the adjacent transportation network. Also, it has been assumed that retail uses will not be open during the morning peak hour and will, therefore, not generate trips during this time period.

Appropriate vehicle trip generation rates for the proposed retail uses were obtained from the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Vehicle trip generation rates for residential uses were obtained from the TRANS Trip Generation Study. Vehicle trip generation rates are summarized in Table 6.

Table 6: Vehicle Trip Generation Rates for Retail and Residential Uses

| Land Use | Data Source | Trip Rate |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | AM Peak | PM Peak |
| Shopping Centre | ITE 820 | 0 | $\operatorname{Ln}(T)=0.74 \operatorname{Ln}(x)+2.89$ |
| High Rise Apartment | TRANS STUDY | 0.24 | 0.27 |

As ITE trip generation surveys only record vehicle trips and typically reflect highly suburban locations (with little to no access by travel modes other than private automobiles), adjustment factors appropriate to the Ottawa study area context were applied to attain estimates of person trips for the proposed phase 1 development.

Using the ITE and TRANS Study trip generation rates, the total amount of vehicle trips generated by the proposed development were projected and the results are summarized in Table 7. To convert ITE vehicle trip rates to person trips, an auto occupancy factor and a non-auto trip factor were applied to the ITE vehicle trip rates. Our review of available literature suggests that a combined factor of approximately 1.3 is considered reasonable to account for typical North American auto occupancy values of approximately 1.15 and combined transit and non-motorized modal shares of less than $10 \%$. As such, the person trip generation for the subject development is summarized in Table 7.

Table 7: Phase 1 Development Person Trip Generation

| Land Use | Data Source | Area | AM Peak (Person Trips/hr) |  |  | PM Peak (Person Trips/hr) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
|  |  | $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | - - |  |  | 48\% 52\% |  |  |
| Shopping Center | ITE 820 | 6,306 ft² |  |  |  | 43 | 48 | 91 |
|  |  | Units | 24\% 76\% |  |  | 62\% 38\% |  |  |
| High Rise Apartment | TRANS STUDY | 168 | 23 | 86 | 109 | 68 | 45 | 113 |
|  |  | Total | 23 | 86 | 109 | 111 | 93 | 204 |

Note: The net added retail area is $6,306 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Considering $10 \%$ of retail trips are assumed to be internal, a $10 \%$ reduction factor has been applied to the net added retail area to discount those internal trips that will not cause an increase of vehicular traffic on the adjacent road network.

### 8.1.2. MODE SHARES

Using the Person-Trips projected in Table 7, the modal share percentages from the 2017 Elmvale Shopping Centre Community Transportation Study for retail uses and Trip Generation TRANS Study apartments modal share for residential uses, the modal share for the proposed Phase 1 development is summarized in Table 8 to Table 10.

Table 8: Phase 1 Development Residential Trip Generation by Mode of Transportation

| Travel Mode | AM <br> Mode <br> Share | AM Peak (persons/h) |  |  | PM <br> Mode <br> Share | PM Peak (persons/h) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total |  | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | 37\% | 9 | 31 | 40 | 40\% | 27 | 18 | 45 |
| Auto Passenger | 8\% | 1 | 7 | 8 | 9\% | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Transit | 41\% | 10 | 35 | 45 | 37\% | 26 | 16 | 42 |
| Non-motorized | 14\% | 3 | 13 | 16 | 14\% | 9 | 7 | 16 |
| Total People Trips | 100\% | 23 | 86 | 109 | 100\% | 68 | 45 | 113 |
| Total 'New' Residential Auto Trips |  | 9 | 31 | 40 |  | 27 | 18 | 45 |

Table 9: Phase 1 Development Retail Trip Generation by Mode of Transportation

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak (Persons/h) |  |  | PM Peak (Persons/h) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Auto Driver | 40\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 20 | 38 |
| Auto Passenger | 10\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Transit | 35\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 16 | 31 |
| Non-motorized | 15\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| Total People Trips | 100\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 48 | 91 |
| Less Pass-by (10\%) |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -2 | -4 |
| Total 'New' Shopping Center Auto Trips |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 18 | 34 |

Table 10: Phase 1 Development Total Trip Generation by Mode of Transportation

| Travel Mode |  | AM Peak (Persons/h) |  |  | PM Peak (Persons/h) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |  |
| Auto Driver | 9 | 31 | 40 | 45 | 38 | 83 |  |
| Auto Passenger | 1 | 7 | 8 | 10 | 9 | 19 |  |
| Transit | 10 | 35 | 45 | 41 | 32 | 73 |  |
| Non-motorized | 3 | 13 | 16 | 15 | 14 | 29 |  |
| Total Person Trips | 23 | 86 | 109 | 111 | 93 | 204 |  |
| Total 'New' Phase 1 Auto Trips | 9 | 31 | 40 | 43 | 36 | 79 |  |

As shown in Table 7 and Table 8, based on the Modified ITE's Person-Trip Generation method and the 2017 Elmvale Shopping Centre Community Transportation Study, the proposed Phase 1 is projected to generate between 109 and 204 two-way person-trips per hour during the weekday peak hours. Of these totals approximately 40 to 83 two-way vehicles per hour will be accessing/leaving the site during the weekday peak hours and 45 to 73 two-way trips will be made by transit. Approximately 16 to 29 two-way trips are expected to be made in active transportation modes during the peak hours for this phase.

### 8.2. TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Considering the adjacent road network and our knowledge of the area, the site trip distribution is outlined next:

## Residential trips (AM and PM)

Residential trips are anticipated to move to/from the arterial network, centers of employment, shopping centres and leisure destinations. Such areas/networks can be mostly found north of the site, including downtown Ottawa, the Ottawa Train Yards, Highway 417 and St. Laurent Mall. Other significant areas are located to the south of the site with the Ottawa Business Park located south of Walkley Road and Walkley Road itself which connects to Highway 417. Some additional activity areas can be found to the west via Smyth Road at the Ottawa Hospital General Campus and Ottawa Hospital Riverside Campus.

- (From/To) the North: $45 \%$;
- (From/To) the South: 30\%;
- (From/To) the West: $25 \%$.


## Retail trips (PM)

Retail trips are anticipated to move mainly to/from adjacent communities and employment centres. Again, activity centres to the north and to the south are expected to generate a significant portion of trips to/from the site via St. Laurent Boulevard, followed by activity centres and residential communities to the west via Smyth Road. Retail uses within the site are also expected to serve the proposed residential units. As such, a portion of trips attracted are anticipated to be internal.

- (From/To) the North: $30 \%$;
- (From/To) the South and Southwest: $40 \%$;
- (From/To) the West: 20\%;
- $10 \%$ assumed to be internal.


### 8.3. TRIP ASSIGNMENT

Based on this distribution, site-generated traffic for Phase 1 development (2021) was assigned to the existing adjacent network and is illustrated in Figure 8.

Figure 8: Phase 1-Generated Traffic (year 2021)


## 9. BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMAND

### 9.1. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS

The transportation network changes have been discussed within Section 4.1.

### 9.2. BACKGROUND GROWTH

The following background traffic growth through the immediate study area (summarized in Table 11) was calculated based on historical traffic count data (years 2009, 2012, 2014 and 2016) provided by the City of Ottawa at the St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth intersection. Detailed analysis of the background growth is included in Appendix E.

Table 11: St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth Historical Background Growth (2009-2016)

| Time <br> Period | Percent Annual Change |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg | Overall |
| 8 hrs | $-1.12 \%$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $-2.45 \%$ | $-1.19 \%$ | $-1.00 \%$ |
| AM Peak | $-1.44 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $-1.21 \%$ | $-1.15 \%$ | $-0.89 \%$ |
| PM Peak | $-2.20 \%$ | $-1.68 \%$ | $-3.04 \%$ | $-1.65 \%$ | $-2.06 \%$ |

As show in Table 11, in past years St. Laurent Boulevard and Smyth Road have experienced approximate annual growth in traffic volume of $-2.20 \%$ to $0.09 \%$ and $-3.04 \%$ to $-1.15 \%$, respectively. As a conservative estimate, the subsequent analysis of future conditions will assume a 0\% annual growth rate along St. Laurent Boulevard and Smyth Road. As such, the Phase 1 plus five years horizon will not be analyzed, as it is the same as the foregoing 2021 Phase 1 build-out year scenario.

### 9.2.1. PROJECTED BACKGROUND 2021 OPERATIONS

As mentioned in Section 9.2, historical traffic growth in the area during the past 10 years has shown a decreasing trend. As a conservative estimate for the purposes of this study, a $0 \%$ background growth has been assumed. As such, 2021 background traffic operations on the adjacent network is expected to be similar to the existing traffic operations (see Section 3.5 and Figure 6).

### 9.3. OTHER AREA DEVELOPMENTS

As mentioned in Section 4.2, no other area developments have been identified for this site.

## 10.FUTURE NETWORK TRAVEL DEMAND

### 10.1. TOTAL PROJECTED 2021 PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES

The projected total 2021 traffic volumes were derived by superimposing the projected Phase 1 generated trips (Figure 8) onto the 2021 background growth. The resulting projected total 2021 traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 9.

Figure 9: Total Projected 2021 Traffic Volumes


Considering that a proposed northbound right-turn only lane is being recommended at the current Othello/Smyth/Russell intersection, synchro analysis has been performed for year 2021 by applying a $0 \%$ background growth and including the proposed right-out access at Hamlet/Othello intersection. Total projected 2021 intersection performance is summarized in Table 10 and the SYNCHRO model output of background 2021 conditions is provided within Appendix F.

Table 12: Total Projected 2021 Performance at Study Area Intersections

| Intersection | Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement |  |  | Intersection |  |  |
|  | LoS | $\begin{aligned} & \max . \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \text { or } \\ & \text { max delay (s) } \end{aligned}$ | Movement | Delay (s) | LoS | v/c |
| Othello/Russell/Smyth (S) | C(D) | 0.72(0.84) | NBT(SBT) | 25.3(42.5) | A(B) | 0.52(0.63) |
| St. Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster | D(F) | 0.86(1.07) | EBL(NBT) | 40.4(61.8) | C(F) | 0.80(1.00) |
| Russell/St. Laurent | C(C) | 0.73(0.73) | EBL(EBL) | 14.7(16.5) | B(C) | 0.64(0.73) |
| St. Laurent/Transit Loop | A(A) | 0.33(0.54) | EBL(EBL) | 7.7(8.2) | A(A) | 0.26(0.46) |
| St. Laurent/Site (U) | C(D) | 17.0(28.8) | WB(EB) |  | - | - |
| Othello/Site (U) | B(B) | 10.4(13.5) | WB(WB) | - | - | - |
| Othello/Hamlet/Site (U) | B(C) | 11.6(14.9) | EB(EB) | - | - | - |
| Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.95 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. <br> (S) - Signalized Intersection. <br> (U) - Unsignalized Intersection. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

As shown in Table 12, the significant majority of intersections 'as a whole' are projected to operate at an acceptable LoS 'D' or better. The St. Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster intersection 'as a whole' is projected to operate at an acceptable LoS 'C' during the weekday morning peak hour and at a congested LoS ' E ' (same as existing conditions) during the weekday afternoon peak hour. With regard to the 'critical movements', all study area intersections' critical movements are operating at an acceptable LoS ' $D$ ' or better. The St. Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster intersection northbound through movement is projected to operate at a congested LoS ' $F$ ' during the afternoon peak hour (same as existing conditions).

Regarding northbound queues at the Othello/Russell/Smyth intersection, the addition of a northbound right-turn lane on Othello at the Othello/Russell/Smyth intersection resulted in a significant reduction of northbound queues, according to SYNCHRO 10.1 model results. Table 13 summarizes the projected northbound queues results on Othello Avenue at the Othello/Russell/Smyth intersection.

Table 13: Projected Queues on Othello Avenue between Hamlet Road and Smyth Road

| Weekday Peak Hour | 50th NBT Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | 95th NBT Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | SBL veh/minute | D - 95th Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 45 | 65 | 1 | -2 |
| PM | 39.7 | 59.3 | 3 | 3.7 |

Note: " $D$ " is the distance between Othello/Site driveway center to Smyth Road south side (approximately 63 m ).

As observed in Table 1, the addition of the NBR lane to Othello Avenue at Smyth/Othello intersection will result in only 5\% of NBT queues on Othello backing to Othello/Site driveway. For this condition, projected SBL traffic volumes at Othello/Site driveway are unlikely to queue back to Smyth Road (storage length of approximately 63 m ), as they do not currently do so with only one NB lane. As such, the proposed addition of a NBR lane on Othello at the Smyth/Othello intersection is a significant improvement over existing conditions.

Based on the 0\% background growth identified, the Phase 1 plus five years horizon will not be analyzed, as it is the same as the ensuing 2021 Phase 1 build-out year scenario.

### 10.2. NEIGHBOURHOOD TRANSPORTATION MANAGEMENT STUDY

The Secondary Plan identifies the need for the conduct of a Neighbourhood Transportation Management (NTM) Study at some point during the site development process in response to community concerns regarding speeding and cut-through traffic. Initially, these concerns have been partially responded to with the development of a "complete street' concept plan for Othello which includes additional sidewalks, pedestrian crosswalks, bulb-outs, raised intersections and in some
locations, turning movement restrictions. For Phase 1 development, which is the focus of this TIA study, elements of the complete street concept are being proposed for the Othello/Site Driveway/Hamlet intersection to calm traffic, improve the pedestrian environment and direct traffic away from the community and its local streets. These modifications are discussed in detail in the ensuing Section 12.4. They also address the NTM measures for Phase 1 development as per Module 4.6 of the City's TIA Guidelines.

With regard to the proposed turn restrictions at the Phase 1 Site driveway intersection with Othello, the community/City have requested that the outbound movement from the site be physically restricted to a right-turn only. While this will prevent traffic exiting the shopping centre from travelling on Othello south of the driveway and from travelling west on Hamlet, it also inconveniences many of those local residents living in the neighbourhood immediately west of the site who shop at the plaza. If they use this site driveway to return home, they would have to turn right on Othello, wait in the queue for the light at Smyth to turn green, travel west on Smyth and then turn left on either Saunderson Drive or Haig Drive to wind their way home. This route is likely more circuitous and could result in a greater amount of actual vehicle travel on local streets.

Alternatively, local residents could travel through the full length of the site to reach the south driveway to then connect to Othello before they wind their way home using various local streets. It is suggested that the residents/City look very closely at this proposed site driveway turn restriction and the implications on the resultant travel patterns of local residents before a solution is finalized.

With regard to the community concerns about cut-through traffic and speeding, we are not aware of any data available that qualifies either. What we do know from the available traffic counts is that peak hour volumes on the local streets that intersect Othello are quite low. As depicted on Figure 6, the following are the existing peak hour two-way traffic volumes (rounded) for the three adjacent east-west local streets, and as can be seen, these volumes are at appropriate levels for local streets. In fact they are only $50 \%$ of the City's guideline threshold for local street volumes.

Table 14: Two-Way Peak Hour Traffic Volume on Local Streets (veh/h)

| Street | Morning Peak Hour | Afternoon Peak Hour |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Hamlet Road | 30 | 50 |
| Wingate Drive | 40 | 60 |
| Chapman Boulevard | 45 | 60 |

So, while the foregoing volumes are well within acceptable limits for local street volumes, the vehicle speeds and the percentage of through traffic are unknown. These types of data are typically collected as part of a City-initiated Area Traffic Calming Study or an Area Transportation Management Study. As real data is required to properly separate fact from perception and accurately identify problems and potential solutions, extensive data collection is typically required. This would include speed surveys on each street of concern and license plate surveys on all entrance/exit points to the community to properly differentiate between local traffic and cut-through traffic. Until this data is collected and analysed, it is recommended that no traffic management/traffic calming measures be implemented on the area's local streets (other than Othello) as they may not be warranted, or the impact of the solution may be worse than the problem with regard to the mobility impacts on local residents.

As such, prior to Phases 2,3 and 4 of site development proceeding, the City and developer need to discuss the scope of an Area Transportation Management Study and determine who is best to conduct such a study to ensure the public's acceptance of its findings.

## 11. DEMAND RATIONALIZATION

Considering adjacent area transportation network, background growth trends and that less than 80 vehicle-trips per peak hour are projected for Phase 1, it is not anticipated that demand rationalization of Phase 1 will be required. Nevertheless, opportunities for transportation demand management (TDM) will be explored in the strategy report.

## 12.ANALYSIS

### 12.1. DEVELOPMENT DESIGN

The proposed Phase 1 Site Plan includes a setback of 5.0 meters on Othello Avenue and of 4.0 meters to Smyth Road. Both setbacks are proposed as green spaces with tree plantings, enhancing the walking experience for people on the east and south sidewalks of Othello Avenue and Smyth Road, respectively. A 6.2 m north-south interlocked central pathway is proposed between the retail area and the amenity area. This interlocked pathway connects the Smyth Road south sidewalk to the Phase 1 residential entrance, to the retail suites and to the existing commercial building and existing surface parking. An east-west 3.75 m interlocked pathway is also proposed between the Othello east sidewalk and the retail suites entrances, via the central interlocked pathway. The proposed interlocked pedestrian pathways are noted to improve walking porosity in the area and adequately connect the proposed residential, retail, POPS and surface parking areas. Adequate connectivity is also noted towards the OC Transpo bus stops located adjacent to the Smyth Road north and south sidewalks, approximately 60 m and 100 m to the west of the proposed central interlocked pathway. Further improvement to pedestrian connectivity would include formalizing crosswalks towards the existing mall building to the south and towards the Elmvale Mall transit station (located 200 m southeast of the proposed residential entrance) via existing sidewalks, with appropriate treatments at the proposed garage access. The following Figure 10: Phase 1 Landscape Plan includes all the forgoing plus the proposed modifications at the Othello/Site Driveway/Hamlet Intersection.

Vehicular access is envisioned via the existing 6.7 m wide Elmvale Mall private two-way roadway, which connects to the proposed 38 surface parking spaces and to the 6.0 m wide underground garage ramp, at the southeast corner of the atgrade retail area. The proposal includes a 4.5 m wide, 25 m long delivery lane at the far eastern side of the Phase 1 Site and a 6.0 m wide and 20 m long temporary north-south loading area adjacent to the north-south interlocked central pathway, which is understood to be for occasional use by small trucks. It is suggested that the three parallel parking spaces located between the Othello and the interlocked central pathway are moved 2.0 m to the west for small truck access to the temporary loading area purposes. No issues are noted for access of municipal and emergency services HSU vehicles. Also, the proposed layout of the road network is consistent with traffic calming principles and is considered appropriate for safe sharing of the road with cyclists.

The underground garage consists of two levels connected by a 6.6 m wide ramp that transitions from a $13 \%$ slope to a $18.5 \%$ and then back to a $13 \%$ slope. The steepest portion is sustained along 12 m of the ramp, approximately. The City's Private Approach By-Law states that a private approach may be greater than $6 \%$ but shall not exceed $12 \%$ provided that a subsurface melting device sufficient to keep the private approach free of ice at all times is installed and properly maintained. Given that the average ramp connects to a private road/lane and not a City Street, and as there are good sight lines at the top of the ramp, the proposed ramp grades will function acceptably provided appropriate pedestrian signage is installed, a subsurface melting device is installed for ramps exposed to ice/snow, and the appropriate transition grades are provided. Parking stalls dimensions are noted as 5.2 m long and 2.6 m wide with 6.7 m two-way aisles, meeting City of Ottawa By-Law requirements.

Figure 10: Phase 1 Landscape and Road Modification Plan
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The proposed Phase 1 development includes 38 surface parking spaces, 140 underground parking spaces and 87 bike parking spaces. As per the City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation Part 4, the Phase 1 development is required to provide 84 parking spaces for residents, 31 parking spaces for visitors, 38 parking spaces for retail and 87 bicycle parking spaces. With 178 proposed vehicle parking spaces and 87 bicycle parking spaces, the Phase 1 development is meeting the City of Ottawa parking requirements.

### 12.3. BOUNDARY STREET

### 12.3.1. EXISTING CONDITIONS

Given the development's location within the general urban area and on a bicycle spine route, the target levels of service for pedestrians and cyclists are PLoS 'C' and BLoS ' $C$ ', respectively. There are currently no MMLoS targets for transit on Smyth Road or Othello Avenue. The target levels of service for trucks on Smyth Road are TrLoS 'D'. The multi-modal level of service analysis for the existing road segments adjacent to the site is summarized in Table 15, with detailed analysis provided in Appendix G.

Table 15: MMLOS - Othello Avenue and Smyth Road Adjacent to the Site

| Road Segment | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian (PLoS) |  | Bicycle (BLoS) |  | Truck (TKLoS) |  |
|  | PLoS | Target | BLoS | Target | TrLoS | Target |
| Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Smyth Road | E | C | F | C | A | D |
| Othello Avenue | F | C | D | C | - | No target |

The MMLOS road segment analysis shows that existing conditions on the east side of Othello Avenue and south side of Smyth Road does not meet MMLOS area targets for pedestrians and cyclists. To meet the target PLoS ‘C ‘ and BLoS ‘C' for Othello Avenue, adjacent to Phase 1 Site, the following are identified:

- Regarding pedestrians, providing a 2.0 m sidewalk with 0.5 m boulevard would result in PLoS ' C ', meeting the area MMLoS targets,
- Regarding Cyclists, reducing operating speed to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or less and providing a median refugee island at Site access (as part of the right-out proposal at Hamlet/Othello/Site Access intersection) would result in BLoS ' B', meeting area MMLoS targets.
To meet the target PLoS ' C ' and BLoS ' C ' for Smyth Road south side, adjacent to Phase 1 Site, the following are identified:
- Regarding pedestrians, adding a 0.5 m boulevard to the existing 2.0 m sidewalk and reducing operating speeds to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would result in PLoS ‘C’, achieving MMLoS area targets,
- Regarding cyclists, implementing a curbside bike lane and lowering the operation speed to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would result in BLoS 'D', not meeting area MMLoS targets,
o Further, reducing the number of lanes to two (which can be achieved by shortening the right-turn lane provided at St. Laurent / Lancaster / Smyth intersection, given that the proposal includes the elimination of the Smyth Road Elmvale Mall parking access), would result in BLoS ' C ', achieving the area MMLoS targets. This modification would require a pocket bike lane at St. Laurent / Lancaster / Smyth intersection.

The foregoing opportunities are identified only, and it is the City's decision to determine if they wish to implement any or all of them. Providing a sidewalk on the east side of Othello from the site driveway north to Smyth is a recommended
improvement, however, the foregoing speed reductions and lane reductions may be problematic, as would the 0.5 m boulevard addition to the existing sidewalk locations.

### 12.4. SITE ACCESS INTERSECTION DESIGN

Access to the Phase 1 development will be mainly via the existing site driveway connection at the Othello/Hamlet unsignalized intersection, located approximately 70 m south of the Smyth/Othello signalized intersection and via the existing right-in/right-out connection to Russel Road. The proposed geometry for the Othello access, includes a 50 m throat length, one westbound lane with a right-out exit and a median refuge in the northeast quadrant. The southbound lane will remain as is, however, north of the site driveway, between the site driveway and the Smyth intersection, Othello will be widened to include a 3.5 m wide northbound right-turn lane, as depicted in Figure 2 and Figure 11. A Pedestrian Crossover (PXO) is also recommended on the south leg of Othello at Hamlet.

As summarized in Table 12, the Othello/Hamlet access intersection and its proposed configuration is projected to operate at an acceptable LoS ' B ' and ' C ' during the morning and afternoon weekday peak hours. It is noteworthy that these modifications are consistent with both the long-term Othello Avenue complete street concept (Appendix H) and the Cityapproved Secondary Plan (Appendix I). Key components of these Phase 1 road modifications include:

- Provision of a 3.5 m wide northbound right-turn lane;
- Right-turn channel on the site driveway intersection with Othello to prohibit outbound through and left-turn movements. The proposed design accommodates an HSU truck;
- New 2.0 m wide concrete sidewalk on the east side north of the site driveway;
- Replacement of the west side sidewalk with a 1.8 to 2.0 m wide concrete sidewalk (existing tree locations will determine width) from Smyth south to Othello;
- A pedestrian crossover (PXO) on the south leg of Othello at Hamlet.
- A pedestrian crosswalk across the west leg of Hamlet at Othello;
- A blub-out at the southwest corner of the intersection, and
- A raised intersection.


### 12.5. TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

As discussed in Section 8, the Phase 1 development is projected to generate approximately 109 to 204 person-trips during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, of which 61 to 102 are projected to be made by foot, bicycle or transit. In order to support the use of sustainable modes of transportation, and considering the relatively low travel demand expected for this phase, the following optional TDM post-occupancy measures were identified for Phase 1 development residential uses:

- Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
- Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances;
- Offer PRESTO cards preloaded with one monthly transit pass on residence purchase/move-in within the first 6 months after pre-sales/sales initiation, to encourage residents to use transit; and
- Consider car sharing spaces, a car charging station and a VRTUCAR station within the project.

The corresponding optional post-occupancy TDM measures checklist is included in Appendix J.

Figure 11: Proposed Phase 1 Roadway Modifications


Primary access routes to Phase 1 development include Smyth Road and St. Laurent Boulevard, which are designated arterial roads, and Othello Avenue, which is a designated local road. Existing traffic volumes on Othello Avenue are already above the local road guidelines 120 veh/h threshold. The Phase 1 future projected volumes would increase traffic on Othello Avenue north of the site driveway by approximately 25 to $35 \mathrm{veh} / \mathrm{h}$ during peak hours, as depicted in Figure 8 . To mitigate any further impacts to Othello Avenue and to the Othello/Russell/Smyth signalized intersection, and to be consistent with the Othello Complete Street staging plans as per the approved Secondary Plan, the proposed Phase 1 modifications include all the measures summarized in Section 12.4 and depicted in Figure 11. With regard to a future Area Traffic Management Study, this has been previously discussed in Section 10.2.

As summarized in Table 10, the addition of a second northbound lane on Othello approaching Smyth would result in the Othello/Russell/Smyth signalized intersection operating at an acceptable LOS ' C ' and LOS ' $D$ ', during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively.

### 12.7. TRANSIT

### 12.7.1. ROUTE CAPACITY

Figure 12 depicts the location of nearby eastbound, westbound, northbound and southbound transit stops and

Table 16 summarizes the estimated Phase 1 demanded seats on-vehicle for the corresponding transit stops.
Figure 12: Nearby Transit Stops Locations


Table 16: Transit Capacity at Adjacent Transit Stops

| Stop | Direction | Average Frequency <br> (Buses/Hr) |  | Total Capacity (Seats/hr) | Capacity Demanded by <br> Phase 1 $(\%)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7247 | Eastbound | PM | 4 | 220 | $8 \%$ |
| 8810 | Northbound/Southbound | PM | 4 | 300 | $18 \%$ |
| 8813 | Northbound/Southbound | PM | 2 | 150 | $18 \%$ |
| 8351 | Westbound | PM | 4 | 220 | $8 \%$ |

Note: Bus capacity is assumed to be 55 seats for a single bus, 75 seats for an articulated bus and 90 seats for a double decker bus.

As outlined within Section 8, the forecasted 'new' two-way transit trips are estimated to be 45 trips (10 in, 35 out) during the AM peak and 73 trips ( 41 in, 32 out) during the PM peak. During the PM peak, the two-way transit trips represent approximately $73 \%$ of an articulated bus ( 75 passengers). By distributing transit trips as described in Section 8.2 , it can be seen that Phase 1-generated transit trips are less than $20 \%$ of the estimated capacity in each direction. As such, the additional forecasted transit trips can be accommodated on the existing transit network.

### 12.7.2. TRANSIT PRIORITY

The proposed Phase 1 Development will use an existing driveway connecting to the St. Laurent Boulevard transit loop. The 2017 Community Transportation Study prepared by Parsons identified transit priority measures required by OC Transpo at the adjacent Transit Loop, at the St. Laurent Boulevard/Russell Road intersection and at the St. Laurent Boulevard /Transit Loop intersection as part of the full build-out of Elmvale Shopping Centre Redevelopment. As such, these measures are considered supportive of future phases of the Elmvale Shopping Centre Redevelopment and their timing is best determined by OC Transpo. No additional required transit priority measures are identified, for Phase 1 development.

### 12.8. INTERSECTION DESIGN

The MMLOS analysis for the St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth and Smyth/Russel/Othello signalized intersections is summarized in Table 17. The existing detailed MMLoS analysis is provided as Appendix K.

Table 17: MMLOS - Signalized Study Area Intersections

| Intersection | Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pedestrian (PLoS) |  | Bicycle (BLoS) |  | Truck (TKLoS) |  |
|  | PLoS | Target | BLoS | Target | TKLoS | Target |
| Existing Conditions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth | F | C | F | C | C | D |
| Smyth/Russel/Othello | F | C | F | C | E | No Target |

The MMLOS analysis shows that the existing St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth and Smyth/Russel/Othello signalized intersections are currently below the area targets for pedestrian LoS and bicycle LoS. The following discussion regarding possible improvements are offered for consideration by the City.

With regard to pedestrians - In general, high pedestrian level of service is difficult to achieve at signalized intersections larger than 2-lane roads. At the St. Laurent/Lancaster/Smyth signalized intersection, pedestrians must cross 7 lanes of traffic if they wish to cross St. Laurent Boulevard or Lancaster Road and 6 lanes if the wish to cross Smyth Road. With restrictions on both left and right-turns, pedestrian leading intervals and Zebra stripe hi-vis markings, the PLoS would only be improved to a LoS 'E' on St. Laurent Boulevard and to LoS 'C' on Smyth Road. Given the nature of the two corridors, it is unlikely that turn restrictions are feasible for this intersection.

At the Smyth/Russel/Othello signalized intersection, pedestrians must cross 5 or more lanes of traffic if they wish to cross Smyth Road. Implementing restrictions on both left and right-turns, pedestrian leading intervals, Zebra stripe hi-vis markings and reducing corner radii, would result in PLoS ' $D$ '. Given the access needs of the surrounding communities and retail activities, it is unlikely that turn restrictions are feasible for this intersection.

With regard to Bicyclists - the lack of protected facilities at intersections and the high-speeds of adjacent traffic create low levels of service for bicycle users. The recent reconstruction of St. Laurent Boulevard north of Smyth Road, which included the provision of cycle tracks and left-turn boxes, results in BLoS 'A' for the north approach, meeting area targets. Should similar measures be applied to the south approach, BLoS ' $A$ ' could also be obtained. For the east approach, BLoS ' $C$ ' can be achieved by providing a pocket bike lane 50 m from the intersection. For the west approach, BLoS 'C' can be achieved by providing a cycletrack with two-stage left-turns.

At the Smyth/Russel/Othello signalized intersection, the lack of protected facilities at intersections and the high-speeds of adjacent traffic on Smyth Road result in low levels of service for bicycle users. Providing Curb Bike Lanes on the north, east, west approaches and a pocket bike lane on the south approach with 2-stage left-turn boxes for WBL and EBL cyclists and a $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ speed limit on Othello Avenue would result in BLoS 'C', meeting area targets.

## 13.SUMMARY OF PHASE 1 IMPACTS AND RELATED MODIFICATIONS

Based on the results summarized herein the following conclusions are offered:

## Proposed Site

- The Phase 1 Development will consist of 168 apartment units and approximately $1117 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of ground level retail. Given the proposed development replaces an existing $466 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ restaurant (Kelseys), the net increase in retail will be $651 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$. The proposal includes existing driveway connections to Othello Avenue and to St. Laurent Boulevard, however, the existing one-way driveway entrance from Smyth Road would be eliminated;
- In total, the Phase 1 development is anticipated to generate approximately 109 and 204 two-way person trips during the AM and PM weekday peak hours, respectively and split into the following modal shares:
o 40 auto trips ( $9 \mathrm{in}, 31$ out) during the AM peak and 83 auto trips ( $45 \mathrm{in}, 38$ out) during the PM peak;
o 45 transit trips (10 in, 35 out) during the AM peak and 73 transit trips ( $41 \mathrm{in}, 32$ out) during the PM peak; and
o 16 active mode trips ( $3 \mathrm{in}, 13$ out) during the AM peak and 29 active mode trips ( $15 \mathrm{in}, 14$ out) during the PM peak.
- A total of 178 parking spaces will be provided within the Phase 1 development, of which 38 will be surface parking spaces and 140 will be in a two-level underground garage; and
- A total of 84 bicycle parking spaces will be provided, meeting zoning requirements.


## Site Plan

- Vehicular access is envisioned via the existing 6.7 m wide Elmvale Mall private two-way roadway, which connects to the proposed 38 surface parking spaces and to the 6.0 m wide underground garage ramp, located at the southeast corner of the ground retail area. These are considered sufficient to accommodate the subject development vehicle demand;
- The proposal includes a 4.5 m wide, 25 m long delivery lane at the far eastern side of the Phase 1 Site;
- No issues are noted for access of municipal and emergency services HSU vehicles;
- The proposed layout of the road network is noted to be consistent with traffic calming principles and is considered appropriate for safe sharing of the road with cyclists. Considering the envisioned ultimate cycling network, it is suggested that surface bicycle parking spaces are provided with convenient access to main entrances and well-used areas, as per City of Ottawa Bicycle Parking Space Provisions;
- The proposed interlocked pedestrian pathways are noted to improve walking porosity in the area and adequately connect the proposed residential, retail, POPS and surface parking areas. A further improvement to pedestrian connectivity would include formalizing crosswalks towards the existing commercial building to the south and towards the Elmvale Mall transit station;
- The underground garage consists of two levels connected by a 6.6 m wide ramp. Parking stalls dimensions are noted as 5.2 m long and 2.6 m wide with 6.7 m two-way aisles, meeting City of Ottawa By-Law requirements.


## Transit

- Adequate connectivity is noted towards the OC Transpo bus stops located on Smyth Road north and south sidewalks, approximately 60 m and 100 m to the west of the Phase 1 site;
- A further improvement to transit connectivity would include formalizing crosswalks towards the existing commercial building to the south and towards the Elmvale Mall transit station;
- Phase 1-generated transit trips are projected to be less than $15 \%$ of the estimated capacity in each direction. As such, existing capacity exists on the current transit service in the area to accommodate the Phase 1 forecasted transit trips;
- Transit priority measures pertaining to the Transit Loop and adjacent intersections at St. Laurent/Russell and St. Laurent/ T. Loop were identified by the 2017 CTS as supportive of full build-out of the Elmvale Shopping Centre Redevelopment, but these are not required for Phase 1 development. No additional transit priority measures are identified for the Phase 1 development.


## Network Performance

- St. Laurent Boulevard and Smyth Road have experienced in recent years approximate annual growths in traffic volume of $-2.20 \%$ to $0.09 \%$ and $-3.04 \%$ to $-1.15 \%$, respectively. As a conservative estimate, the subsequent analysis of future conditions will assume a 0\% annual growth rate along St. Laurent Boulevard and Smyth Road;
- On Phase 1 build-out year 2021, the significant majority of intersections 'as a whole' are projected to operate at an acceptable LoS ‘D' or better:
o The St. Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster intersection 'as a whole' is projected to operate at an acceptable LoS 'C' during the weekday morning peak hour and at a congested LoS ' $E$ ' (same as existing conditions) during the weekday afternoon peak hour; and
o The St. Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster intersection northbound through movement is projected to operate at a congested LoS 'F' during the afternoon peak hour.


## Neighbourhood Traffic Management

- Existing volumes on Othello Avenue are over the City of Ottawa 120 veh/h guideline threshold for local roads. The Phase 1 future projected volumes would increase traffic on Othello Avenue north of Hamlet by less than 35 veh/h two-way total;
- Existing volumes on the local streets west of Othello are less than 60 veh/h, which is $50 \%$ of the City's guideline for the threshold volume on local streets;
- To mitigate any further impacts to Othello Avenue and to the Othello/Russell/Smyth signalized intersection, Phase 1 development includes:
o The addition of a 3.5 m wide northbound right-turn lane on Othello, between Hamlet and Smyth;
o Site egress at the Hamlet/Othello intersection to operate as a right-out only. All inbound traffic movements at this intersection to be permitted. It should be highlighted while this community/City proposed restriction would reduce site-generated traffic from using Othello south of the site driveway and from using Hamlet, it would also result in local residents who shop at the plaza having a more circuitous route home, likely resulting in increased travel on other local streets;
o A 2.0 m wide sidewalk on the east side from the site driveway north to Smyth;
o A 1.8 to 2.0 m , wide sidewalk on the west side of Othello from Hamlet north to Smyth;
o A pedestrian crossover (PXO) on the south leg of Othello at Hamlet;
o A pedestrian crosswalk on the west leg of Hamlet at Othello;
o A bulb-out at the southwest corner of the Othello/Side Driveway/ Hamlet intersection; and
o A raised intersection.
These NTM measures would result in the Othello/Russell/Smyth signalized intersection operating at an acceptable LOS ' $C$ ' and LOS ' $D$ ', and the unsignalized Othello/Site Driveway/Hamlet intersection operation at an acceptable LOS ' $B$ ' and LOS ' $C$ ' during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours, respectively, and are therefore deemed sufficient.
- As current volumes on the adjacent east-west local streets are well below the City's guideline threshold, and as traffic diversion and calming measures are identified as part of Phase 1 for the Othello/Site Driveway/Hamlet intersection to address existing and Phase 1 traffic, an Area Traffic Management Study has not been conducted for the Phase 1 Site Plan Application. It is best done as part of Phase $2 / 3 / 4$ development and it will require fairly extensive data collection to quantify vehicle speeds and cut-through so as to clarify the facts. This is critical so that problems, if any, are correctly identified, and proper measures are correctly identified along with the net impact on local residents' mobility. In some instances, traffic calming and/or traffic restrictions are warranted, and in others, the proposed solution may be worse than the problem as for its impact on local residents; and
- With regard to the Area Traffic Management Study, it is recommended that further discussion is required between the City, developer and the Community to properly scope the study and to determine who is best to conduct the study given the data collection requirements and the importance of public acceptance of its findings. Typically, these studies are the responsibility of the City.


## Boundary Streets and Intersection Design

- The MMLOS road segment analysis shows that existing conditions on the east side of Othello Avenue and south side of Smyth Road do not meet MMLOS area targets for pedestrians and cyclists (PLoS'C' and BLoS'C', respectively);
- To meet the target PLoS ‘C ‘ and BLoS ‘C’ for Othello Avenue, adjacent to Phase 1 Site, the following are identified:
o Regarding pedestrians, providing a 2.0 m sidewalk with a 0.5 m boulevard would result in PLoS ' C ', meeting the area MMLoS targets; and
o Regarding cyclists, reducing operating speed to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or less and providing a median refugee island at Site access (as part of the right-out proposal at Hamlet/Othello/Site Access intersection) would result in BLoS ' B', meeting area MMLoS targets.
- To meet the target PLoS 'C' and BLoS 'C’ for Smyth Road south side, adjacent to Phase 1 Site, the following are identified:
o Regarding pedestrians, adding a 0.5 m boulevard to the existing 2.0 m sidewalk and reducing operating speeds to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would result in PLoS 'C’, achieving MMLoS area targets,
o Regarding cyclists, implementing a curbside bike lane and lowering the operation speed to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ would result in BLoS 'D', below area MMLoS targets. Further, reducing the number of lanes to two (which can be achieved by shortening the right-turn lane provided at St. Laurent / Lancaster / Smyth intersection, given that the proposal includes the elimination of the Smyth Road Elmvale Mall parking access), would result in BLoS ' $C$ ', achieving the area MMLoS targets. This modification would require a pocket bike lane at St. Laurent / Lancaster / Smyth intersection.

In review of the foregoing, the identified changes to Smyth are not part of the project, but those identified for Othello will be phased in as appropriate.

The 2017 Community Transportation Study and the subsequent City-approved Elmvale Acres Shopping Centre Secondary Plan identified a complete street concept plan and a possible staging plan for roadway modifications along Othello Avenue. Consistent with these documents, the following has been recommended for the Othello/Site Driveway/Hamlet Intersection as part of the Phase 1 development project;

- Provision of a 3.5 m wide northbound right-turn lane from the site driveway north to Smyth;
- Right-turn channel on the site driveway intersection with Othello to prohibit outbound through and left-turn movements. This channel accommodates an HSU truck;
- New 2.0 m wide concrete sidewalk on the east side north of the site driveway;
- Replacement of the existing west side sidewalk with a 1.8 m to 2.0 m wide cement sidewalk from Smyth south to Hamlet;
- A pedestrian crossover (PXO) on the south leg of Othello at Hamlet;
- A pedestrian crosswalk on the west leg of Hamlet at Othello;
- A bulb-out at the southwest corner of the intersection; and
- A raised intersection.

Based on the foregoing conclusions, this report satisfies the TIA requirements for Elmvale Shopping Centre Phase 1 redevelopment and the Site Plan is recommended from a transportation perspective.
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Appendix A
Screening Form

1223 Michael Street, Suite 100, Ottawa, Ontario, K1J 7T2 P: +1 613.738.4160 I F: +1 613.739.7105 I www.parsons.com

City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines
TIA Screening Form

Date
Project
Phase 1 Expansion at Elmvale Acres

|  | Project Number | Shopping Centre |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Results of Screening | Yes/No |  |
| Development Satisfies the Trip Generation Trigger | Yes |  |
| Development Satisfies the Location Trigger | Yes |  |
| Development Satisfies the Safety Trigger | Yes |  |

## Module 1.1 - Description of Proposed Development

Municipal Address
1910 St. Laurent Boulevard

| Description of location | Within Elmvale Acres Shopping Centre, with Phase 1 located at the <br> southwest corner of Smyth/Othello intersections. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Land Use Residential apartments and retail. <br> Development Size 168 apartment units, 11,690 ft2 of retail and 189 Parking Spaces. <br> Number of Accesses and Locations One to Othello and one to St. Laurent. <br> Development Phasing First of three phases. <br> Buildout Year <br> Sketch Plan / Site Plan Likely 2021/22 for Phase 1. |  |


| Module 1.2 - Trip Generation Trigger |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Land Use Type | Townhomes or Apartments |  |
| Development Size | 168 | Units |
| Trip Generation Trigger Met? | Yes |  |


| Module 1.3-Location Triggers |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Development Proposes a new driveway to a boundary street <br> that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid <br> Transit, or Spine Bicycle Networks (See Sheet 3) | No | Bounded by these types of streets |
| Development is in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit- <br> oriented Development (TOD) zone. (See Sheet 3) | Yes |  |
| Location Trigger Met? |  |  |


| Module 1.4 - Safety Triggers |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Posted Speed Limit on any boundary road <br> Horizontal / Vertical Curvature on a boundary street limits <br> sight lines at a proposed driveway | No | No $/ \mathrm{h}$ |
| A proposed driveway is within the area of influence of an <br> adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of <br> intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection <br> in urban/ suburban conditions) or within auxiliary lanes of an <br> intersection; | No | No |
| A proposed driveway makes use of an existing median break <br> that serves an existing site <br> There is a documented history of traffic operations or safety <br> concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the <br> development <br> The development includes a drive-thru facility <br> Safety Trigger Met? | Yes |  |

## Re: Elmvale Redevelopment, Phase 1

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The following transportation-related comments were received by the City (January 2019) in response to the Elmvale Redevelopment, Phase 1 Transportation Impact Assessment Strategy Report submitted in December 2018. Responses to these city comments are provided herein.

## 2. CITY COMMENTS

## General Comments/ Site Plan Comments

Comment 1: The City will be resurfacing Othello Avenue in 2019. We will instruct our road renewal group to pave from Hamlet Road south to Pleasant Park Drive, leaving Smyth Road to Hamlet. Through the RMA works we ask that Smyth to Hamlet resurfacing be part of the developers works and cost. Please include this work in your RMA

Response 1: The RMA has been updated to reflect the subject resurfacing.

Comment 2: No construction traffic is to utilize Othello Avenue, and we will condition the approval as such.

Response 2: Noted. Proponent has been advised and this will be passed on to the contractor.

## Traffic and Transportation Comments

Comment 1: There are proposed changes in the existing roadway geometry for the purpose of modifications to existing TCS, [Smyth/Othello]. The City of Ottawa Traffic Signal Design and Specification Unit is required to complete a review for traffic signal plant re-design, provide the actual re-design, and a new design layout for new TCS.
If the proposed traffic signals are warranted/approved for installation, or/and modifications to existing TCS are approved, and RMA approved, please forward an approved geometry detail design drawings (dwg digital format in NAD 83 coordinates) including base mapping, existing and new underground utilities/sewers, new/existing catch basins locations, Turn-Radius Modeling and approved pavement markings drawings in separate files for detail traffic plant design lay out.
The proponent will be responsible for all costs of putting new signal on line, i.e. Bell com, in case the signal is not warranted. Please send all digital (CADD) design files to Peter.Grajcar@ottawa.ca 613-580-2424 ext. 23035.

Response 1: Noted and the appropriate detailed design drawings will be provided when available.
Comment 2: The Synchro analysis provided was limited to existing conditions. Provide projected Synchro analysis for review and comment. The submitted Synchro analysis for existing PM conditions file contains fatal errors and will not run traffic simulation. Revise and resubmit.

Response 2: Total Projected 2021 conditions SYNCHRO file is attached, and analysis is included in Section 10 of the revised TIA Report.

Comment 3: Background growth analysis should not be limited to one intersection. Analysis should encompass the network. Provide 5-year horizon analysis if growth exceeds 0\% across the network.

Response 3: Considering the Elmvale SC Phase 1 location and the adjacent arterial network (Smyth Road and St. Laurent Boulevard), it is considered that the Smyth/St. Laurent /Lancaster intersection historical traffic growth constitutes an adequate proxy of growth rates on the adjacent arterial network. No new area developments were identified via "My Neighboorhood" City of Ottawa Application within the area of study. Given the consolidated communities adjacent to Othello Avenue and Pleasant Park Road, no additional background growth is expected on these collector roads.

Comment 4: Naming of Appendix E Charts is ambiguous. Provide clarification.

Response 4: Naming has been corrected. Charts refer to historical traffic counts at the Smyth/St. Laurent/Lancaster intersection. See previous comment.

Comment 5: 16. Table 12 indicates LOS E for St Laurent/Smyth/Lancaster as a whole. LOS is F.

Response 5: Table 12 in the revised TIA report has been corrected.

## Transit

Comment 1: Relocated bus stop on Demonstration Plan from Planning Rational acceptable. Standard drawings SC11 and SC12 to be referenced when stop will be relocated.

Response 1: RIOCAN has been notified.

## Transportation Engineering Services

Comment 1: Provide largest vehicle turning template for the access on Othello Avenue.

Response 1: Vehicle turning templates are attached for an HSU vehicle which was the design vehicle used for this location.

Comment 2: Regardless of the ramp being located on private property, $18.5 \%$ slope is too high for driver comfort. Please ensure the ramp does not exceed $12 \%$.

Response 2: The architect has reviewed the ramp design and has ben able to achieve an approximate $15.5 \%$. This is the minimum achievable and is considered acceptable given the relatively low traffic volumes and the good sight lines at the top of ramp. A variance will be required.

Comment 3: Please contact Traffic Management (Kunjan Ghimire) for review of the pedestrian crossover (PXO) selection and design.

Response 3: Based on the combination of projected traffic volumes, number of lanes and posted speed on Othello, OTM Book 15 Table 7 - Pedestrian Crossover Selection Matrix, indicates a Level 2 Type D Pedestrian Crossover would be required.

Comment 4: The design of the channelized right turn requires further review. The WB RT movement should be a yieldcontrolled movement.

Response 4: The RMA drawings have been updated to reflect changes to yield control

## Public Comments

Comment 1: Some residents have concerns with restriction from vehicular movement from the Shopping Centre to Hamlet / while others appreciate this restriction.

Response 1: The restrictions have been requested by some area residents and supported by the City. The proponent is OK with either restrictions or no restrictions. The plan is to proceed with the restrictions unless advised otherwise by the City.

Comment 2: Some residents like the transportation improvements to Othello Ave

Response 2: These are currently proposed from Othello north to Smyth. Any other potential modifications south of Othello will be addressed during future phases of site development

Comment 3: Concerns remain on cut through traffic from Pleasant to Smyth (truck traffic / delivery trucks)

Response 3: The Phase 1 modifications to Othello and the site driveway will direct all site-exiting traffic at this location north to Smyth away from local streets. Future phases of site redevelopment will further address the truck traffic issue along Othello.

Comment 4: Alignment of Russell / Othello / Smyth needs to be completed asap

Response 4: Noted. This is a City issue/topic and they have identified the need for the realignment, however, its timing is undefined at this time.

Comment 5: The new proposed right turn lane only from Othello to Smyth is appreciated

Response 5: Noted.

## Appendix B

## SMYTH RD/OTHELLO AVE @ RUSSELL RD W

Survey Date: 01-Aug-12
WO\#: 31019
Device:


Comments

## Public Works - Traffic Services

## SMYTH RD/OTHELLO AVE @ RUSSELL RD W

Survey Date: 01-Aug-12
Start Time: 7:00

WO No:
31019
Device:


Comments


Comments


Comments

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Summary Report

## SMYTH RD/OTHELLO AVE @ RUSSELL RD W



## Comments:

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.
2015-Jul-06
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Public Works - Traffic Services
W.O.

31019
Turning Movement Count - 15 Minute Summary Report

## SMYTH RD/OTHELLO AVE @ RUSSELL RD W



Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.



Comment:
Note: These volumes consists of bicycles only (no mopeds or motorcycles) and ARE NOT included in the Turning Movement Count Summary.

Public Works - Traffic Services

Work Order
31019
Turning Movement Count - Pedestrian Volume Report

| SMYTH RD/OTHELLO AVE @ RUSSELL RD W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Count Date: 01-Aug-12 |  |  |  |  |  | Start Time: | 7:00 |
| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach (N or S Crossing) | WB Approach ( N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
| 7:00 7:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| 7:15 7:30 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 11 |
| 7:30 7:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| 7:45 8:00 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 16 |
| 7:00 8:00 | 8 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 14 | 22 | 36 |
| 8:00 8:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 13 |
| 8:15 8:30 | 7 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 24 |
| 8:30 8:45 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 16 | 27 | 36 |
| 8:45 9:00 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 13 | 14 | 20 |
| 8:00 9:00 | 20 | 6 | 26 | 22 | 45 | 67 | 93 |
| 9:00 9:15 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 18 | 20 | 28 |
| 9:15 9:30 | 11 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 30 |
| 9:30 9:45 | 10 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 23 | 28 | 40 |
| 9:45 10:00 | 21 | 1 | 22 | 7 | 19 | 26 | 48 |
| 9:00 10:00 | 47 | 7 | 54 | 19 | 73 | 92 | 146 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 20 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 9 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 23 | 27 | 40 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 17 | 9 | 26 | 1 | 29 | 30 | 56 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 16 | 17 | 24 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 36 | 17 | 53 | 8 | 79 | 87 | 140 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 5 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 24 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 12 | 2 | 14 | 8 | 18 | 26 | 40 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 15 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 23 | 24 | 40 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 9 | 24 | 33 | 48 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 43 | 8 | 51 | 20 | 81 | 101 | 152 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 30 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 18 | 25 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 22 | 25 | 33 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 18 | 23 | 30 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 25 | 3 | 28 | 10 | 80 | 90 | 118 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 30 | 37 | 48 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 13 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 23 | 28 | 43 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 15 | 16 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 25 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 25 | 7 | 32 | 13 | 87 | 100 | 132 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 19 | 20 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 22 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 14 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 15 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 47 | 60 | 71 |
| Total ......... | 212 | 57 | 269 | 113 | 506 | 619 | 888 |
| Comment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## PARSONS

1223 Michael Street, Suite $100 \cdot$ Ottawa, Ontario K1J 7T2 • (613) 738-4160 • Fax: (613) 739-7105 • www.parsons.com

Intersection:
Date:
Observer:
Weather:
Time:

Hamlet Rd-Site \& Othello Ave
July 21, 2015
Alex Buck
Overcast
8:00 AM - 9:00 AM


| TIME | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:00 AM | 0 | 30 | 8 | 10 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 25 |
| 8:15 AM | 3 | 35 | 5 | 14 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 19 |
| 8:30 AM | 1 | 43 | 5 | 11 | 25 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 20 |
| 8:45 AM | 0 | 34 | 5 | 17 | 22 | 3 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 22 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |

Notes: Northbound queue at Othello/Smyth intersection spills back into Hamlet/Othello intersection but the queue clears during the northbound green phase at the Othello/Smyth intersection.

## PARSONS

1223 Michael Street, Suite $100 \cdot$ Ottawa, Ontario K1J 7T2 • (613) 738-4160 • Fax: (613) 739-7105 • www.parsons.com

Intersection:
Date:
Observer:
Weather:
Time:

Hamlet Rd-Site \& Othello Ave
July 21, 2015
Alex Buck
Sunny, Clear
4:30 PM - 5:30 PM


| TIME | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $4: 30$ PM | 3 | 21 | 8 | 36 | 37 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 35 |
| $4: 45$ PM | 3 | 18 | 5 | 29 | 44 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 36 |
| $5: 00$ PM | 2 | 25 | 10 | 28 | 50 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 42 |
| $5: 15$ PM | 0 | 29 | 8 | 25 | 43 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 30 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | $\mathbf{5}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 3}$ |

Notes: Northbound queue at Othello/Smyth intersection spills back into Hamlet/Othello intersection but the queue clears during the northbound green phase at the Othello/Smyth intersection.

## Public Works - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Full Study Diagram

## SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R

Survey Date: 29-May-14
WO\#:
1024
Device: Jamar Technologies, Inc


Comments

Public Works - Traffic Services
Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R

Survey Date: 29-May-14
Start Time: 7:00

WO No: 1024
Device: Jamar Technologies, Inc


Comments


Comments


Comments

Public Works - Traffic Services
Turning Movement Count - Full Study Summary Report

## SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R

| Survey Date: |  |  | 29-May-14 |  |  |  |  |  | Total Observed U-Turns |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | AADT Factor |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound: <br> Eastbound: |  | 2 |  | Southbound: <br> Westbound: |  | 00 |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Full Study |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ST. LAURENT BLVD N/RUSSELL RD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period |  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { SB } \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | Eastbound |  |  | Westbound |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { TO } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | Grand Total |
|  |  | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { TOT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT |  |  | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST | RT |  |  |  |
| 7:00 | 8:00 | 119 | 876 | 493 | 1488 | 162 | 1028 | 82 | 1272 | 2760 | 232 | 90 | 121 | 443 | 29 | 319 | 56 | 404 | 847 | 3607 |
| 8:00 | 9:00 | 155 | 853 | 527 | 1535 | 190 | 1106 | 95 | 1391 | 2926 | 323 | 124 | 123 | 570 | 25 | 247 | 81 | 353 | 923 | 3849 |
| 9:00 | 10:00 | 134 | 739 | 298 | 1171 | 140 | 818 | 65 | 1023 | 2194 | 332 | 119 | 118 | 569 | 49 | 94 | 83 | 226 | 795 | 2989 |
| 11:30 | 12:30 | 106 | 918 | 215 | 1239 | 114 | 914 | 57 | 1085 | 2324 | 466 | 111 | 140 | 717 | 63 | 103 | 164 | 330 | 1047 | 3371 |
| 12:30 | 13:30 | 103 | 1021 | 243 | 1367 | 123 | 815 | 63 | 1001 | 2368 | 436 | 104 | 155 | 695 | 47 | 90 | 135 | 272 | 967 | 3335 |
| 15:00 | 16:00 | 94 | 1157 | 229 | 1480 | 135 | 873 | 77 | 1085 | 2565 | 582 | 316 | 159 | 1057 | 106 | 94 | 120 | 320 | 1377 | 3942 |
| 16:00 | 17:00 | 126 | 1258 | 160 | 1544 | 120 | 956 | 80 | 1156 | 2700 | 546 | 418 | 175 | 1139 | 105 | 132 | 151 | 388 | 1527 | 4227 |
| 17:00 | 18:00 | 95 | 1162 | 121 | 1378 | 133 | 836 | 60 | 1029 | 2407 | 528 | 186 | 149 | 863 | 87 | 120 | 177 | 384 | 1247 | 3654 |
|  | tal | 932 | 7984 | 2286 | 11202 | 1117 | 7346 | 579 | 9042 | 20244 | 3445 | 1468 | 1140 | 6053 | 511 | 1199 | 967 | 2677 | 8730 | 28974 |
|  | 12 Hr | 1295 | 11097 | 3177 | 15569 | 1552 | 10210 | 804 | 12566 | 28135 | 4788 | 2040 | 1584 | 8412 | 710 | 1666 | 1344 | 3720 | 12132 | 40267 |
| Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1.39 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg | 12 Hr | 1165 | 9987 | 2859 | 14011 | 1396 | 9189 | 723 | 11309 | 25321 | 4309 | 1836 | 1425 | 7570 | 639 | 1499 | 1209 | 3348 | 10918 | 36240 |
| Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr . totals by the AADT factor. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.90 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg | 24Hr | 1526 | 13082 | 3745 | 18354 | 1828 | 12037 | 947 | 14814 | 33170 | 5644 | 2405 | 1866 | 9916 | 837 | 1963 | 1583 | 4385 | 14302 | 47474 |
| Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr . totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor. $\mathbf{1 . 3 1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^0]2015-Jul-06
Page 1 of 1

## SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R



Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.
Comment:

Public Works - Traffic Services
Turning Movement Count - Heavy Vehicle Report

## SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R

## Survey Date: 29-May-14




Comment:
Note: These volumes consists of bicycles only (no mopeds or motorcycles) and ARE NOT included in the Turning Movement Count Summary.

Public Works - Traffic Services
Work Order

Turning Movement Count - Pedestrian Volume Report
SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R

| Count Date: 29-May-14 |  |  |  |  |  | Start Time: | 7:00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach (N or S Crossing) | WB Approach (N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
| 7:00 7:15 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 7:15 7:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 7:30 7:45 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 7:45 8:00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 7:00 8:00 | 1 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| 8:00 8:15 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 8:15 8:30 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 8:30 8:45 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| 8:45 9:00 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 8:00 9:00 | 2 | 10 | 12 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 18 |
| 9:00 9:15 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 11 |
| 9:15 9:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:30 9:45 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 9:45 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 9:00 10:00 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 14 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 10 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 11 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 1 | 8 | 9 | 25 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 7 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 16 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 3 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 7 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 14 | 7 | 21 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 27 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 9 | 15 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 1 | 12 | 13 | 8 | 3 | 11 | 24 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 9 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 6 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 9 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 1 | 15 | 16 | 27 |
| Total .......... | 21 | 84 | 105 | 17 | 42 | 59 | 164 |

## PARSONS

1223 Michael Street, Suite $100 \cdot$ Ottawa, Ontario K1J 7T2 • (613) 738-4160 • Fax: (613) 739-7105 • www.parsons.com

Intersection:
Date:
Observer:
Weather:
Time:

St. Laurent Blvd \& St. Laurent Blvd (Minor) July 23, 2015
Alex Buck
Sunny, Clear
8:00 AM - 9:00 AM

St. Laurent

St. Minor
55


40

## St. Laurent

| TIME | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8:00 AM | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:15 AM | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:30 AM | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 8:45 AM | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 15 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | $\mathbf{6 7}$ |  | $\mathbf{5 2}$ |  |  |  |

Notes:

## PARSONS

1223 Michael Street, Suite $100 \cdot$ Ottawa, Ontario K1J 7T2 • (613) 738-4160 • Fax: (613) 739-7105 • www.parsons.com

Intersection:
Date:
Observer:
Weather:
Time:

St. Laurent Blvd \& St. Laurent Blvd (Minor) July 22, 2015
Alex Buck
Overcast
4:30 PM - 5:30 PM


| TIME | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4:30 PM | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 23 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 4:45 PM | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 28 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:00 PM | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 37 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5:15 PM | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 31 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 58 |  |  |  |  | 76 | 119 |  | $\mathbf{9 8}$ |  |  |  |

Notes:


## Appendix C

SYNCHRO Capacity Analysis: Existing Conditions

Existing - AM
6: St. Laurent \& Site


Existing - AM
8: Pleasant Park \& Othello


Existing - AM
10: Othello \& Hamlet/Site


Existing - AM
13: Othello \& Site


Existing - AM
14: Russell \& St. Laurent Towers


Existing - PM
6: St. Laurent \& Site

|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  | \% | 性 |  | 7 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 6 | 41 | 10 | 3 | 22 | 70 | 589 | 20 | 29 | 809 | 34 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 20 | 6 | 41 | 10 | 3 | 22 | 70 | 589 | 20 | 29 | 809 | 34 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 6 | 43 | 11 | 3 | 23 | 74 | 620 | 21 | 31 | 852 | 36 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( $m$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 |  |  | 129 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC , conflicting volume | 1414 | 1721 | 444 | 1312 | 1728 | 320 | 888 |  |  | 641 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 1200 | 1548 | 98 | 1084 | 1557 | 320 | 602 |  |  | 641 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| po queue free \% | 80 | 93 | 95 | 91 | 97 | 97 | 91 |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 106 | 88 | 826 | 124 | 87 | 675 | 855 |  |  | 939 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 70 | 37 | 74 | 413 | 228 | 31 | 568 | 320 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 11 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 43 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 220 | 235 | 855 | 1700 | 1700 | 939 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th ( m ) | 9.9 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 28.8 | 23.2 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | D | C | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 28.8 | 23.2 | 1.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 44.415 |  |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Existing - PM
8: Pleasant Park \& Othello

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | * |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop |  | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 103 | 160 | 140 | 56 | 91 | 97 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 103 | 160 | 140 | 56 | 91 | 97 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 108 | 168 | 147 | 59 | 96 | 102 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 276 | 206 | 198 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 108 | 0 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 59 | 102 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.11 | -0.14 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 716 | 736 | 686 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.5 | 9.3 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.5 | 9.3 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47.9\% |  | vel of |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |

Existing - PM
10: Othello \& Hamlet/Site

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\longleftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 3 | 17 | 5 | 26 | 18 | 143 | 8 | 93 | 31 | 118 | 174 | 10 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) 3 |  | 17Stop |  | 26 | 18 | 143 | 8 | 93 | 31 | 118 | 174 | 10 |
|  |  | Stop | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 |  |  | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { Hourly flow rate (vph) } & 3 & 18 & 5 & 27 & 19 & 151 & 8 & 8 & 98 & 33\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 81 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 | 0.99 |  | 0.99 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 728 | 584 | 188 | 581 | 572 | 114 | 194 |  |  | 131 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC2}$, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 717 | 571 | 170 | 568 | 560 | 114 | 176 |  |  | 131 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| po queue free \% | 99 | 95 | 99 | 93 | 95 | 84 | 99 |  |  | 91 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 256 | 386 | 861 | 382 | 392 | 938 | 1381 |  |  | 1454 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 26 | 197 | 139 | 318 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 3 | 27 | 8 | 124 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 5 | 151 | 33 | 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CSH | 406 | 703 | 1381 | 1454 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.06 | 0.28 | 0.01 | 0.09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 1.6 | 8.7 | 0.1 | 2.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 14.5 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | B | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 14.5 | 12.1 | 0.5 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 51.3\% |  | evel of |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Existing - PM
13: Othello \& Site


Existing - PM
14: Russell \& St. Laurent Towers


Existing - AM
1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 个t |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }^{2}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 372 | 48 | 52 | 623 | 70 | 73 | 105 | 97 | 95 | 62 | 14 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 372 | 48 | 52 | 623 | 70 | 73 | 105 | 97 | 95 | 62 | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 392 | 51 | 55 | 656 | 74 | 77 | 111 | 102 | 100 | 65 | 15 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 443 | 0 | 55 | 730 | 0 | 0 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 180 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Split | NA |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial ( $s$ ) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 33.8 | 33.8 |  | 33.8 | 33.8 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 29.2 | 29.2 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 59.0 | 59.0 |  | 59.0 | 59.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 30.0 | 30.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 49.2\% | 49.2\% |  | 49.2\% | 49.2\% |  | 25.8\% | 25.8\% |  | 25.0\% | 25.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  | 3.9 | 3.9 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 6.8 |  | 6.8 | 6.8 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Efft Green (s) | 57.4 | 57.4 |  | 57.4 | 57.4 |  |  | 24.3 |  |  | 17.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.48 | 0.48 |  | 0.48 | 0.48 |  |  | 0.20 |  |  | 0.14 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.28 |  | 0.14 | 0.46 |  |  | 0.86 |  |  | 0.72 |  |
| Control Delay | 20.0 | 19.7 |  | 9.2 | 11.0 |  |  | 69.7 |  |  | 63.9 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.6 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 20.0 | 19.7 |  | 9.2 | 11.6 |  |  | 69.7 |  |  | 63.9 |  |
| LOS | B | B |  | A | B |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.7 |  |  | 11.4 |  |  | 69.7 |  |  | 63.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.0 | 32.7 |  | 3.0 | 63.7 |  |  | 64.1 |  |  | 40.2 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 4.2 | 45.8 |  | m6.3 | 78.2 |  |  | \#113.3 |  |  | 61.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 150.3 |  |  | 108.3 |  |  | 56.8 |  |  | 170.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 55.0 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 263 | 1602 |  | 404 | 1603 |  |  | 352 |  |  | 328 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 485 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.28 |  | 0.14 | 0.65 |  |  | 0.82 |  |  | 0.55 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 75 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 29.1 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.4\% Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| m Volume for 95th percentile queue is | s metered | upstrea | gnal. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth


Existing - AM
2: St. Laurent \& Smyth/Lancaster


Splits and Phases: 2: St. Laurent \& Smyth/Lancaster

| ${ }_{\emptyset \emptyset 1}$ | - 4 Ø2 (R) | $\checkmark \square 3$ | $\rightarrow 84$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 s | 52 s | 15.6 s | 32.4 s |  |
| 405 | $\downarrow \square 6$ (R) | ${ }^{4} 9$ | ${ }_{\square}{ }_{\square 8}$ |  |
| 20 s | 52 s | 20 s | 28 s |  |

Existing - AM
4: Russell \& St. Laurent


Existing - AM
5: St. Laurent \& Transit Loop


Existing - AM
7: St. Laurent \& Pleasant Park


Existing - PM
1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth

|  | $y$ | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 个t |  | \% | 性 |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 10 | 608 | 100 | 71 | 363 | 56 | 39 | 118 | 88 | 114 | 140 | 15 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 10 | 608 | 100 | 71 | 363 | 56 | 39 | 118 | 88 | 114 | 140 | 15 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 640 | 105 | 75 | 382 | 59 | 41 | 124 | 93 | 120 | 147 | 16 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 11 | 745 | 0 | 75 | 441 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Split | NA |  | Split | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 3 | 3 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 33.8 | 33.8 |  | 10.6 | 33.8 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 29.2 | 29.2 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 41.0 | 41.0 |  | 13.0 | 54.0 |  | 32.0 | 32.0 |  | 34.0 | 34.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 34.2\% | 34.2\% |  | 10.8\% | 45.0\% |  | 26.7\% | 26.7\% |  | 28.3\% | 28.3\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 2.3 | 3.5 |  | 3.7 | 3.7 |  | 3.9 | 3.9 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 6.8 |  | 5.6 | 6.8 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  | Lead | Lead |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes | Yes |  | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max |  | None | C-Max |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) | 42.9 | 42.9 |  | 54.8 | 53.6 |  |  | 22.1 |  |  | 23.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.36 |  | 0.46 | 0.45 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.19 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.62 |  | 0.30 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.83 |  |  | 0.84 |  |
| Control Delay | 31.4 | 36.5 |  | 38.5 | 37.3 |  |  | 69.5 |  |  | 67.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.1 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.1 |  |
| Total Delay | 31.4 | 36.6 |  | 38.5 | 37.3 |  |  | 69.5 |  |  | 67.2 |  |
| LOS | C | D |  | D | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 36.5 |  |  | 37.5 |  |  | 69.5 |  |  | 67.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | E |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 1.8 | 81.2 |  | 15.3 | 48.0 |  |  | 58.1 |  |  | 63.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.5 | 106.2 |  | m26.3 | m63.3 |  |  | \#92.0 |  |  | \#93.9 |  |
| Internal Link Dist ( $m$ ) |  | 150.3 |  |  | 108.2 |  |  | 56.8 |  |  | 170.5 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( m ) | 55.0 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 316 | 1197 |  | 254 | 1491 |  |  | 350 |  |  | 388 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 35 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.64 |  | 0.30 | 0.30 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.73 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 82 (68\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 46.3 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.3\% |  |  |  |  | Level of | vice D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 l |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth

|  |  | $\stackrel{+}{ }$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13 s | 41 s | 34 s | 32 s |
|  |  |  |  |
| 54 s |  |  |  |

Existing - PM
2: St. Laurent \& Smyth/Lancaster



Existing - PM

## 4: Russell \& St. Laurent

|  | 4 |  | $4$ | 4 | $\frac{1}{7}$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR | R |
| Lane Configurations | \% ${ }^{*}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 靳 |  | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 736 | 95 | 92 | 442 | 738 | 778 | 78 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 736 | 95 | 92 | 442 | 738 | 778 | 78 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 95 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 775 | 100 | 97 | 465 | 777 | 819 | 19 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 875 | 0 | 97 | 465 | 777 |  | 19 |
| Turn Type | Prot |  | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | m |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 |  | 2 | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | . 0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 |  | 15.5 | 15.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 | . 5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | . 0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 55.0\% |  | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.7 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |  | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None |  | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 21.6 |  | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | . 9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 |  | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 45 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 |  | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 72 |
| Control Delay | 15.1 |  | 20.1 | 12.3 | 18.3 | 18.1 | . 1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 15.1 |  | 20.1 | 12.3 | 18.3 | 18.1 | . 1 |
| LOS | B |  | C | B | B |  | B |
| Approach Delay | 15.1 |  |  | 13.7 | 18.2 |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | B | B |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 41.7 |  | 6.8 | 16.3 | 69.9 | 131.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 14.8 |  | \#23.0 | 29.8 | 92.1 | 171.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 126.3 |  |  | 108.0 | 153.9 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  | 60.0 |  |  | 90.0 | . 0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1484 |  | 240 | 1519 | 1519 | 1132 | 32 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.59 |  | 0.40 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.72 | 72 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 27 (45\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.3\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Existing - PM
5: St. Laurent \& Transit Loop


Existing - PM
7: St. Laurent \& Pleasant Park


## Appendix D

Collision Data Analysis






City Operations - Transportation Services

## Collision Details Report - Public Version

From: January 1, 2013 To: December 31, 2017
Location: CHAPMAN BLVD @ OTHELLO AVE

| Traffic Control: Stop | sign |  |  |  | Total Collisions: 1 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeu | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2014-May-16, Fri, 11:40 | Rain | Other | P.D. only | Wet | North | Reversing | Truck - closed | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

Location: HAMLET RD @ OTHELLO AVE
Traffic Control: Yield sign Total Collisions: 4

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuve | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-Feb-01, Sat, 12:20 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2014-Jun-27, Fri, 16:09 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Nov-24, Thu,09:00 | Snow | Angle | P.D. only | Loose snow | West | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

2017-Sep-08, Fri,15:29 Clear Angle P.D.only Dry East Going ahead Automobile, Other motor
station wagon vehicle

Location: OTHELLO AVE @ PLEASANT PARK RD
Traffic Control: Stop sign
Total Collisions: 3

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuve | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-Jan-18, Wed,08:49 | Freezing Rain | Angle | P.D. only | Slush | East | Turning left | School bus | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2017-Nov-17, Fri, 19:44 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | South | Unknown | Unknown | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2013-Sep-12, Thu,21:55 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | West | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

Location: OTHELLO AVE @ WESTON DR
Traffic Control: Stop sign Total Collisions: 1

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuv | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Jan-09, Sat, 16:30 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

## Location: OTHELLO AVE @ WINGATE DR

Traffic Control: Stop sign
Total Collisions: 1

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-Feb-05, Thu, 14:53 | Snow | Angle | P.D. only | Packed snow | East | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

Location: OTHELLO AVE btwn HAMLET RD \& SMYTH RD
Traffic Control: No control
Total Collisions: 2

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type |  | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-May-27, Wed,09:51 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2013-Mar-21, Thu, 15:19 | Snow | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | West | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

## Location: OTHELLO AVE btwn HAMLET RD \& WINGATE DR

Traffic Control: No control
Total Collisions: 1

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuv | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-Jul-14, Tue, 17:43 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | West | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |

## Location: OTHELLO AVE btwn WESTON DR \& PLEASANT PARK RD

Traffic Control: No control
Total Collisions: 3

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuv | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-Dec-25, Thu, 18:52 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South | Overtaking | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

2015-Jan-07, Wed,15:50 Snow Rear end P.D.only

Ice South Slowing or stopping Automobile, Other motor
station wagon vehicle

|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Aug-02, Tue,11:15 | Clear | SMV unattended vehicle | P.D. only | Dry | Unknown | Unknown | Unknown | Unattended vehicle |

Location: OTHELLO AVE btwn WINGATE DR \& CHAPMAN BLVD
Traffic Control: No control
Total Collisions: 1

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface <br> Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2016-May-20, Fri,15:37 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor |  |
| vehicle |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Location: PLEASANT PARK RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD
Traffic Control: Traffic signal
Total Collisions: 29

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-Jul-30, Wed,09:19 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | North | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Slowing or stopping Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2014-Sep-25, Thu, 11:33 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Slowing or stopping Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2014-Dec-22, Mon,13:18 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Unknown Unknown | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Unknown Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2015-May-25, Mon, 17:20 | Rain | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Wet | North | Going ahead Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |



| 2017-Aug-14, Mon,16:18 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Going ahead <br> Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2017-Mar-24, Fri, 18:55 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Slush | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2017-Apr-26, Wed,21:29 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2017-May-01, Mon,17:46 | Rain | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Wet | East | Turning left | Unknown | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2017-May-31, Wed, 10:48 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2017-Jun-30, Fri, 15:37 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Slowing or stopping | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2017-Dec-12, Tue,18:06 | Snow | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Packed snow | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2017-Aug-02, Wed, 21:01 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning left | Truck - tank | Other motor vehicle |  |


| 2017-Aug-11, Fri,08:38 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Slowing or stopping Fire vehicle |  | Other motor vehicle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Slowing or stopp | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2017-Dec-29, Fri, 14:22 | Clear | Other | P.D. only | Dry | South | Reversing | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2013-Jan-12, Sat, 15:25 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Wet | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2013-Feb-15, Fri,08:27 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Wet | North | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2013-Mar-20, Wed, 16:49 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | South | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2013-Mar-08, Fri,08:43 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2013-Jun-20, Thu, 16:23 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2013-Jun-28, Fri, 12:50 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | North | Slowing or stoppin | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |



| 2014-Jun-18, Wed,00:30 | Rain | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | East | Turning right | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Jun-21, Sat, 17:38 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Jun-20, Fri, 16:46 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-May-15, Thu,15:30 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Jun-18, Wed,13:21 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Jul-28, Mon,13:14 | Rain | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Wet | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Aug-25, Mon,07:53 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Motorcycle | Other motor vehicle |


| 2014-Nov-03, Mon,15:51 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Dec-04, Thu,16:48 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Oct-09, Thu, 18:45 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Feb-18, Wed, 18:41 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Loose snow | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Aug-24, Sun, 16:02 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning left | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Aug-19, Wed, 14:50 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Jun-20, Sat, 19:59 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning left | Motorcycle | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Feb-02, Mon, 13:23 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Loose snow | East | Slowing or stopping | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |


|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-Jan-30, Fri, 18:32 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Slush | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2015-Feb-03, Tue,21:27 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2015-Jun-17, Wed, 07:31 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Going ahead | Truck - closed | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2015-Jul-17, Fri, 18:02 | Clear | SMV other | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Curb |  |
| 2015-Oct-01, Thu, 13:12 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2015-Jun-12, Fri, 14:30 | Rain | Turning movement | P.D. only | Wet | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Unknown | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Apr-15, Fri,21:20 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Aug-02, Tue,18:22 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Turning right | Truck - closed | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |


| 2016-Oct-25, Tue, 11:05 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Nov-03, Tue,18:34 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Oct-20, Tue,23:00 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Nov-25, Wed, 16:53 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Nov-17, Tue,17:22 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Nov-28, Sat,08:19 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Jan-21, Thu,08:21 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |


| 2015-Dec-24, Thu, 20:17 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South South | Making "U" turn <br> Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Sep-13, Tue,17:23 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Sep-09, Fri, 14:57 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Aug-16, Tue,06:11 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning right | Bicycle | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Cyclist |
| 2016-Oct-01, Sat,18:06 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Apr-06, Thu,14:00 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | East | Turning left | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Apr-27, Thu,16:16 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Sep-21, Thu,09:51 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |


|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Tow truck | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-Sep-13, Wed,17:00 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Jan-25, Fri, 12:20 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North | Turning left | Bicycle | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Cyclist |
| 2013-Jan-19, Sat,02:05 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Slush | North | Slowing or stoppi | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Mar-20, Wed,08:20 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Ice | North | Slowing or stoppin | Pick-up truck | Skidding/sliding |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Feb-10, Sun, 15:24 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |

## Location: SMYTH RD E/LANCASTER RD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD N/R

Traffic Control: Traffic signal
Total Collisions: 71

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuv | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-Mar-27, Thu, 13:02 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |


| 2014-Mar-30, Sun, 17:20 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon |  | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Jun-15, Sun, 17:45 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Jul-20, Sun, 15:32 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Aug-27, Wed,21:52 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Mar-15, Sun, 13:01 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | East | Turning right | Municipal transit bus | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2014-Oct-26, Sun,13:35 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Jan-10, Sat, 17:45 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Wet | South | Unknown | Unknown | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Aug-27, Thu, 15:07 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |



|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2014-Oct-27, Mon,19:07 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | North | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning left | Truck and trailer | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Jan-30, Fri, 11:46 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Loose snow | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Mar-30, Mon,20:49 | Freezing Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Ice | East | Turning right | Ambulance | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Sep-12, Sat, 17:21 | Rain | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Wet | South | Slowing or stopping | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Slowing or stopping | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Apr-24, Fri, 13:25 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Apr-20, Mon,14:15 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Feb-17, Tue,09:48 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |


|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-May-18, Mon,21:56 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | North | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Jul-07, Tue, 11:54 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Slowing or stopping Pick-up truck |  | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Mar-25, Wed, 13:15 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Slowing or stopping | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Sep-01, Tue,17:06 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Slowing or stoppin | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Sep-10, Thu, 13:13 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning right | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Mar-17, Thu,12:42 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | West | Slowing or stopping Passenger van |  | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Oct-03, Mon,09:05 | Clear | Turning mover | P.D. only | Dry | South | Making "U" turn | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |


|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Jul-30, Sat,03:28 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Skidding/sliding |
| 2016-Jun-15, Wed, 12:45 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Slowing or stoppin | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2015-Nov-03, Tue, 11:36 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | North | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning left | Truck - dump | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Jan-04, Mon, 11:55 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry |  | Turning right | Truck - closed | Ran off road |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Apr-11, Mon,12:44 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning left | Delivery van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Sep-14, Wed, 16:28 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Oct-03, Mon,13:20 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |


| 2016-Jul-04, Mon, 12:30 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Farm tractor | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Apr-29, Fri, 17:26 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2016-Jun-10, Fri, 18:30 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Jan-07, Sat,20:07 | Snow | SMV other | P.D. only | Packed snow | North | Reversing | Construction equipment | Other |
| 2017-Oct-22, Sun, 14:43 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Jan-12, Thu, 12:23 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | West | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Turning right | Truck - dump | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Feb-18, Sat, 11:57 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | North | Changing lanes | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Jan-16, Mon, 19:33 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |


|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2017-Mar-09, Thu,18:42 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | West | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Mar-16, Thu,17:03 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | East | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Municipal transit bus | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Mar-17, Fri,07:50 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Feb-22, Wed, 14:50 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Slowing or stopping | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Apr-26, Wed,08:26 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | South | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-May-26, Fri,15:40 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | North | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Jun-01, Thu, 17:20 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |


| 2017-Nov-17, Fri, 13:03 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Slowing or stopping Pick-up truck |  | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Pick-up truck |  |
| 2017-Nov-12, Sun, 12:59 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Going ahead | Truck - open | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Dec-18, Mon,13:03 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Slush | East | Slowing or stoppi | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Slowing or stopp | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Nov-24, Fri,14:41 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Sep-23, Sat, 11:11 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2017-Sep-21, Thu,14:11 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West | Turning right | Truck - open | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Jan-24, Thu, 10:02 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Ice | North | Slowing or stoppi | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |


| 2013-Jan-03, Thu, 18:51 | Snow | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Wet | North <br> East | Going ahead <br> Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2013-Mar-04, Mon,08:37 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | North | Changing lanes | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning left | Municipal transit bus | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Feb-27, Wed, 13:30 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Loose snow | North | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning right | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Mar-01, Fri, 16:00 | Snow | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-May-24, Fri, 18:42 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Slowing or stopping | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Apr-18, Thu, 10:12 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | South | Going ahead | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Apr-06, Sat,22:03 | Clear | Other | P.D. only | Dry | South | Reversing | Unknown | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-May-31, Fri,09:20 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East | Turning right | Municipal transit bus | Other motor vehicle |


|  |  |  |  |  | East | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013-Sep-11, Wed,07:45 | Clear | SMV other | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Municipal transit bus | Other |
| 2013-Sep-25, Wed, 16:15 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning left | Motorcycle | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Oct-30, Wed,08:29 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | North | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning left | Municipal transit bus | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Nov-01, Fri, 10:12 | Strong wind | SMV other | P.D. only | Dry | South | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other |

Location: ST. LAURENT BLVD @ ST. LAURENT BLVD SERVICE
Traffic Control: Traffic signal Total Collisions: 5

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type |  | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-Nov-21, Sat,14:17 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South | Slowing or stopping Pick-up truck |  | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Nov-03, Thu, 13:30 | Other | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | North | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning right | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2017-Feb-03, Fri, 13:08 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | West | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |


|  |  |  |  |  | South | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013-Jan-24, Thu, 10:15 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Ice | South | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | South | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
| 2013-Jul-24, Wed, 16:30 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | West | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Stopped | Passenger van | Other motor vehicle |

## Appendix E

Background Traffic Growth Analysis

Smyth/St Laurent/ Lancaster
8 hrs

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2009 | 5-Jun | 11865 | 9457 | 7059 | 9582 | 2592 | 2206 | 4754 | 4925 | 52440 |
| 2012 | 24-Oct | 14785 | 11934 | 9034 | 9895 | 12221 | 4961 | 3384 | 12634 | 78848 |
| 2014 | 29-May | 9042 | 12396 | 11204 | 8999 | 2677 | 4871 | 6053 | 2710 | 57952 |
| 2016 | 28-Jan | 10206 | 10237 | 7679 | 7956 | 2457 | 2378 | 5309 | 5080 | 51302 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| North Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT |
|  | 2009 | 9457 | 11865 | 21322 | 52440 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 11934 | 14785 | 26719 | 78848 | 26.2\% | 24.6\% | 25.3\% | 50.4\% |
|  | 2014 | 12396 | 9042 | 21438 | 57952 | 3.9\% | -38.8\% | -19.8\% | -26.5\% |
|  | 2016 | 10237 | 10206 | 20443 | 51302 | -17.4\% | 12.9\% | -4.6\% | -11.5\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2009 | 10396 13032 23428 <br> 11535 10125 21659 <br> $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ $\mathbf{- 3 . 5 4 \%}$ $\mathbf{- 1 . 1 2 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| West Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | EB+WB | INT | EB | WB | $E B+W B$ | INT |
|  | 2009 | 4754 | 4925 | 9679 | 52440 | $\begin{aligned} & -28.8 \% \\ & 78.9 \% \\ & -12.3 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 156.5 \% \\ -78.5 \% \\ 87.5 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 65.5 \% \\ -45.3 \% \\ 18.6 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.4 \% \\ & -26.5 \% \\ & -11.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2012 | 3384 | 12634 | 16018 | 78848 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 6053 | 2710 | 8763 | 57952 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 5309 | 5080 | 10389 | 51302 |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4250 \\ & 5416 \end{aligned}$ | 7465 | 11716 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate |  |  | $\begin{array}{rr}5360 & 10776 \\ -4.62 \% & -1.19 \%\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  | 3.52\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | EB | WB | EB+WB | I NT | EB | WB | EB+WB | INT |
|  | 2009 | 2206 | 2592 | 4798 | 52440 |  | $\begin{gathered} 371.5 \% \\ -78.1 \% \\ -8.2 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 258.1 \% \\ & -56.1 \% \\ & -35.9 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50.4 \% \\ & -26.5 \% \\ & -11.5 \% \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 2012 | 4961 | 12221 | 17182 | 78848 | 124.9\% |  |  |  |
|  | 2014 | 4871 | 2677 | 7548 | 57952 | -1.8\% |  |  |  |
|  | 2016 | 2378 | 2457 | 4835 | 51302 |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | $\begin{aligned} & 2009 \\ & 2016 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3348 \\ & 3826 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6046 \\ & 4069 \end{aligned}$ | 9394 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate |  |  |  | 7895 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change |  | 1.92\% | -5.50\% -2.45\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| South Leg | Year | Counts |  |  |  | \% Change |  |  |  |
|  |  | NB | SB | NB+SB | I NT | NB | SB | NB+SB | INT |
|  | 2009 | 7059 | 9582 | 16641 | 52440 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2012 | 9034 | 9895 | 18929 | 78848 | 28.0\% | 3.3\% | 13.7\% | 50.4\% |
|  | 2014 | 11204 | 8999 | 20203 | 57952 | 24.0\% | -9.1\% | 6.7\% | -26.5\% |
|  | 2016 | 7679 | 7956 | 15635 | 51302 | -31.5\% | -11.6\% | -22.6\% | -11.5\% |
| Regression Estimate | 2009 | 7943 | 9984 | 1792 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regression Estimate | 2016 | 9438 | 8349 | 1778 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Annual Change | 2.50\% |  | -2.52\% | -0.11\% |  |  |  |  |  |

Smyth/St Laurent/ Lancaster
AM Peak

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2009 | 5-Jun | 1633 | 1149 | 1082 | 1045 | 344 | 338 | 422 | 949 | 6962 |
| 2012 | 24-Oct | 1750 | 2003 | 1527 | 1043 | 1548 | 474 | 428 | 1733 | 10506 |
| 2014 | 29-May | 1401 | 1294 | 1570 | 1293 | 403 | 814 | 561 | 534 | 7870 |
| 2016 | 28-Jan | 1337 | 1345 | 1240 | 723 | 394 | 276 | 443 | 1070 | 6828 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Smyth/ St Laurent/ Lancaster
PM Peak

| Year | Date | North Leg |  | South Leg |  | East Leg |  | West Leg |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SB | NB | NB | SB | WB | EB | EB | WB |  |
| 2009 | 5-Jun | 1751 | 1589 | 1056 | 1642 | 450 | 338 | 912 | 600 | 8338 |
| 2012 | 24-Oct | 2157 | 1382 | 1094 | 1604 | 1748 | 914 | 651 | 1750 | 11300 |
| 2014 | 29-May | 1154 | 2002 | 1573 | 1224 | 404 | 680 | 1121 | 346 | 8504 |
| 2016 | 28-Jan | 1411 | 1453 | 962 | 1332 | 323 | 445 | 1016 | 482 | 7424 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



| Time <br> Period | Percent Annual Change |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | North Leg | South Leg | East Leg | West Leg | Overall |
| 8 hrs | $-1.12 \%$ | $-0.11 \%$ | $-2.45 \%$ | $-1.19 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 0 0} \%$ |
| AM Peak | $-1.44 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $-1.21 \%$ | $-1.15 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 8 9 \%}$ |
| PM Peak | $-2.20 \%$ | $-1.68 \%$ | $-3.04 \%$ | $-1.65 \%$ | $\mathbf{- 2 . 0 6 \%}$ |

## Appendix F

SYNCHRO Capacity Analysis: Total Projected 2021 Conditions

Total Projected AM
1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 |  |  | 7 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\uparrow$ | T | \$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 372 | 53 | 623 | 107 | 111 | 63 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 372 | 53 | 623 | 107 | 111 | 63 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 8 | 446 | 56 | 730 | 199 | 117 | 181 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | Perm | NA | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 6 | 4 |  | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 33.8 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 33.8 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.2 |
| Total Split (s) | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 51.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 42.5\% | 42.5\% | 42.5\% | 42.5\% | 29.2\% | 29.2\% | 28.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | -3.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 7.2 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 62.3 | 19.1 | 22.1 | 17.7 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.15 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.42 | 0.72 | 0.42 | 0.71 |
| Control Delay | 19.6 | 17.7 | 8.3 | 8.3 | 61.9 | 46.7 | 62.5 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 19.6 | 17.7 | 8.3 | 8.7 | 61.9 | 46.7 | 62.5 |
| LOS | B | B | A | A | E | D | E |
| Approach Delay |  | 17.7 |  | 8.7 | 56.3 |  | 62.5 |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  | A | E |  | E |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.9 | 28.5 | 2.6 | 17.7 | 45.1 | 24.6 | 40.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 4.4 | 48.8 | m6.5 | 67.5 | 65.2 | 39.5 | 60.6 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 150.3 |  | 108.3 | 56.8 |  | 170.5 |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 55.0 |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 297 | 1733 | 443 | 1737 | 407 | 391 | 385 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 500 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.30 | 0.47 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 75 (63\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 25.3 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 62.8\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth


Total Projected AM
2：St．Laurent \＆Smyth／Lancaster
09／12／2018

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | － | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \％＊ | 4 | F | \％ | 个4 | F | \％ | 个 $\uparrow$ | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | F |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 319 | 121 | 135 | 29 | 313 | 61 | 132 | 928 | 510 | 183 | 1147 | 89 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 319 | 121 | 135 | 29 | 313 | 61 | 132 | 928 | 510 | 183 | 1147 | 89 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 336 | 127 | 142 | 31 | 329 | 64 | 139 | 977 | 537 | 193 | 1207 | 94 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | ， |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial（ s ） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.6 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 10.6 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 11.0 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 11.0 | 27.9 | 27.9 |
| Total Split（s） | 20.0 | 32.4 | 32.4 | 15.6 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 20.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 | 20.0 | 52.0 | 52.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 16．7\％ | 27．0\％ | 27．0\％ | 13．0\％ | 23．3\％ | 23．3\％ | 16．7\％ | 43．3\％ | 43．3\％ | 16．7\％ | 43．3\％ | 43．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 14.2 | 28.3 | 28.3 | 7.7 | 17.1 | 17.1 | 14.0 | 47.6 | 47.6 | 17.9 | 51.5 | 51.5 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.12 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.06 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.40 | 0.40 | 0.15 | 0.43 | 0.43 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.86 | 0.30 | 0.30 | 0.29 | 0.68 | 0.19 | 0.70 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.13 |
| Control Delay | 78.3 | 38.0 | 11.0 | 59.7 | 56.1 | 1.3 | 69.6 | 33.6 | 26.4 | 69.7 | 37.6 | 2.0 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 78.3 | 38.0 | 11.0 | 59.7 | 56.1 | 1.3 | 69.6 | 33.6 | 26.4 | 69.7 | 37.6 | 2.0 |
| LOS | E | D | B | E | E | A | E | C | C | E | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 54.0 |  |  | 48.1 |  |  | 34.3 |  |  | 39.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | D |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 42.8 | 20.0 | 1.2 | 7.1 | 39.3 | 0.0 | 33.1 | 103.3 | 65.0 | 43.5 | 133.3 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃63．5 | 44.9 | 17.7 | 16.8 | 52.0 | 0.0 | \＃60．0 | 120.7 | 108.5 | \＃91．0 | \＃184．8 | 4.9 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 108.3 |  |  | 148.1 |  |  | 67.3 |  |  | 155.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 55.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 110.0 |  | 85.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 394 | 436 | 478 | 141 | 629 | 389 | 212 | 1345 | 713 | 252 | 1453 | 724 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.85 | 0.29 | 0.30 | 0.22 | 0.52 | 0.16 | 0.66 | 0.73 | 0.75 | 0.77 | 0.83 | 0.13 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 110 （92\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 100
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.86
Intersection Signal Delay： 40.4
Intersection LOS：D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79．3\％
ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period（min） 15
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 2: St. Laurent \& Smyth/Lancaster


m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 4: Russell \& St. Laurent


$m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 5: St. Laurent \& Transit Loop


Total Projected AM
7: St. Laurent \& Pleasant Park

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 7: St. Laurent \& Pleasant Park



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | M |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop |  | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 57 | 155 | 104 | 63 | 62 | 46 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 57 | 155 | 104 | 63 | 62 | 46 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 60 | 163 | 109 | 66 | 65 | 48 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 223 | 175 | 113 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 60 | 0 | 65 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 66 | 48 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.09 | -0.19 | -0.11 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.5 | 4.2 | 4.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.28 | 0.21 | 0.15 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 785 | 811 | 712 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.1 | 8.3 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.1 | 8.3 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 38.4\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |





Total Projected PM
1：Othello／Russell \＆Smyth

|  | 4 |  | 7 |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBL | WBT | NBT | NBR | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\uparrow$ | 「 | ＊ |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 10 | 608 | 72 | 363 | 120 | 100 | 142 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 10 | 608 | 72 | 363 | 120 | 100 | 142 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 11 | 756 | 76 | 441 | 175 | 105 | 285 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA | pm＋pt | NA | NA | Perm | NA |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 |  | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial（s） | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split（s） | 33.8 | 33.8 | 10.6 | 33.8 | 31.0 | 31.0 | 29.2 |
| Total Split（s） | 41.0 | 41.0 | 13.0 | 54.0 | 32.0 | 32.0 | 34.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 34．2\％ | 34．2\％ | 10．8\％ | 45．0\％ | 26．7\％ | 26．7\％ | 28．3\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 3.5 | 3.5 | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.9 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 6.8 | 6.8 | 5.6 | 6.8 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.2 |
| Lead／Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag | Lead |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | None | None | None |
| Act Effct Green（s） | 47.1 | 47.1 | 59.5 | 58.3 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 23.4 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.39 | 0.39 | 0.50 | 0.49 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.20 |
| v／c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.58 | 0.27 | 0.27 | 0.69 | 0.48 | 0.84 |
| Control Delay | 29.9 | 33.0 | 34.4 | 33.6 | 62.5 | 53.5 | 67.3 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |
| Total Delay | 29.9 | 33.1 | 34.4 | 33.6 | 62.5 | 53.5 | 67.4 |
| LOS | C | C | C | C | E | D | E |
| Approach Delay |  | 33.0 |  | 33.7 | 59.1 |  | 67.4 |
| Approach LOS |  | C |  | C | E |  | E |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | 1.7 | 75.5 | 14.9 | 46.6 | 39.7 | 23.1 | 63.7 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | 6.5 | 108.1 | m26．6 | m63．0 | 59.3 | 38.1 | \＃94．6 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 150.3 |  | 108.2 | 56.8 |  | 170.5 |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 55.0 |  | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 347 | 1309 | 287 | 1622 | 366 | 316 | 388 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 0.03 | 0.59 | 0.26 | 0.27 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.74 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length： 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset： 82 （68\％），Referenced to phase 2：EBTL and 6：WBTL，Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle： 105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v／c Ratio： 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay： 42.5 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS：D |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 64．2\％ |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period（min） 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Total Projected PM

1: Othello/Russell \& Smyth
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.


Total Projected PM
2：St．Laurent \＆Smyth／Lancaster
09／12／2018

| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}{ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ | F＇ | \％ | 个个 | F＇ | ${ }^{7}$ | 个4 | 「 | ${ }_{1}$ | ¢ $\uparrow$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume（vph） | 559 | 395 | 179 | 98 | 144 | 162 | 124 | 1293 | 155 | 130 | 968 | 78 |
| Future Volume（vph） | 559 | 395 | 179 | 98 | 144 | 162 | 124 | 1293 | 155 | 130 | 968 | 78 |
| Lane Group Flow（vph） | 588 | 416 | 188 | 103 | 152 | 171 | 131 | 1361 | 163 | 137 | 1019 | 82 |
| Turn Type | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm | Prot | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 7 | 4 |  | 3 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 6 |

Switch Phase

| Minimum Initial（s） | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Split（s） | 10.6 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 10.6 | 27.7 | 27.7 | 11.0 | 27.9 | 27.9 | 11.0 | 27.9 | 27.9 |
| Total Split（s） | 26.0 | 38.4 | 38.4 | 15.6 | 28.0 | 28.0 | 17.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 | 17.0 | 49.0 | 49.0 |
| Total Split（\％） | 21．7\％ | 32．0\％ | 32．0\％ | 13．0\％ | 23．3\％ | 23．3\％ | 14．2\％ | 40．8\％ | 40．8\％ | 14．2\％ | 40．8\％ | 40．8\％ |
| Yellow Time（s） | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| All－Red Time（s） | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.7 |
| Lost Time Adjust（s） | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time（s） | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.6 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 6.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| Lead／Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lag | Lag |
| Lead－Lag Optimize？ | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Recall Mode | None | None | None | None | None | None | None | C－Max | C－Max | None | C－Max | C－Max |
| Act Efft Green（s） | 20.4 | 30.9 | 30.9 | 9.6 | 20.1 | 20.1 | 11.2 | 44.9 | 44.9 | 11.4 | 45.1 | 45.1 |
| Actuated g／C Ratio | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.26 | 0.08 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.37 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.38 | 0.38 |
| v／c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.91 | 0.36 | 0.76 | 0.27 | 0.42 | 0.83 | 1.07 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.12 |
| Control Delay | 93.5 | 60.7 | 5.1 | 86.6 | 44.0 | 8.4 | 87.7 | 81.6 | 4.7 | 94.8 | 39.8 | 0.4 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 9.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 93.5 | 69.9 | 5.1 | 86.6 | 44.0 | 8.4 | 87.7 | 81.6 | 4.7 | 94.8 | 39.8 | 0.4 |
| LOS | F | E | A | F | D | A | F | F | A | F | D | A |
| Approach Delay |  | 71.3 |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 74.5 |  |  | 43.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | D |  |  | E |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th（m） | ～78．5 | 99.2 | 13.1 | 24.1 | 16.2 | 0.0 | 31.5 | ～188．8 | 2.7 | 32.4 | 114.8 | 0.0 |
| Queue Length 95th（m） | \＃109．6 | \＃147．0 | m9．7 | \＃51．2 | 26.0 | 15.7 | \＃66．1 | \＃233．7 | 11.8 | \＃68．8 | 141.9 | 0.0 |
| Internal Link Dist（m） |  | 108.2 |  |  | 148.1 |  |  | 67.3 |  |  | 155.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length（m） | 55.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |  | 20.0 | 110.0 |  | 85.0 |
| Base Capacity（vph） | 558 | 486 | 550 | 141 | 629 | 430 | 159 | 1268 | 680 | 160 | 1274 | 682 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v／c Ratio | 1.05 | 0.96 | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.24 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 1.07 | 0.24 | 0.86 | 0.80 | 0.12 |

## Intersection Summary

Cycle Length： 120
Actuated Cycle Length： 120
Offset： 41 （34\％），Referenced to phase 2：NBT and 6：SBT，Start of Green
Natural Cycle： 120
Control Type：Actuated－Coordinated
Maximum v／c Ratio： 1.07
Intersection Signal Delay： 61.8
Intersection LOS：E
Intersection Capacity Utilization 92．3\％
ICU Level of Service $F$
Analysis Period（min） 15

## Total Projected PM

2: St. Laurent \& Smyth/Lancaster
~ Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m \quad$ Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 2: St. Laurent \& Smyth/Lancaster


|  | 4 | $4$ |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 44 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 736 | 97 | 442 | 742 | 778 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 736 | 97 | 442 | 742 | 778 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 875 | 102 | 465 | 781 | 819 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 30.0 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 33.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 | 27.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 55.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% | 45.0\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.7 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 6.0 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 21.6 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 | 26.9 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.36 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.45 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.73 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.72 |
| Control Delay | 15.1 | 21.3 | 12.3 | 18.3 | 18.1 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 15.1 | 21.3 | 12.3 | 18.3 | 18.1 |
| LOS | B | C | B | B | B |
| Approach Delay | 15.1 |  | 13.9 | 18.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  | B | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 41.5 | 7.3 | 16.3 | 70.6 | 132.4 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 14.9 | \#26.5 | 29.8 | 93.0 | 171.2 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 126.3 |  | 108.0 | 153.9 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  | 60.0 |  |  | 90.0 |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1484 | 239 | 1519 | 1519 | 1132 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.59 | 0.43 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.72 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 27 (45\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16.5 |  |  |  |  | tersectio |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 69.5\% |  |  |  |  | U Level |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |

## Total Projected PM

4: Russell \& St. Laurent
\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Russell \& St. Laurent


m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 5: St. Laurent \& Transit Loop


Total Projected PM
7: St. Laurent \& Pleasant Park

|  | 4 | $\geqslant$ | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 7 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 94 | 148 | 97 | 561 | 831 | 156 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 94 | 148 | 97 | 561 | 831 | 156 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 99 | 156 | 102 | 591 | 875 | 164 |
| Turn Type | Prot | Perm | Perm | NA | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases | 4 |  |  | 2 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  | 4 | 2 |  |  | 6 |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 6 |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 24.5 | 24.5 | 15.5 | 15.5 | 24.5 | 24.5 |
| Total Split (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 | 35.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 41.7\% | 41.7\% | 58.3\% | 58.3\% | 58.3\% | 58.3\% |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.5 |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max | C-Max |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 11.8 | 11.8 | 41.4 | 41.4 | 41.4 | 41.4 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| v/c Ratio | 0.30 | 0.41 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.15 |
| Control Delay | 21.9 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 8.7 | 17.7 | 2.8 |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total Delay | 21.9 | 11.4 | 14.2 | 8.7 | 17.7 | 2.8 |
| LOS | C | B | B | A | B | A |
| Approach Delay | 15.5 |  |  | 9.5 | 15.3 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 9.8 | 5.1 | 4.4 | 28.1 | 124.7 | 7.2 |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 17.0 | 15.2 | \#26.5 | 75.6 | \#178.0 | 6.0 |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 138.9 |  |  | 102.6 | 218.8 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 40.0 |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 550 | 562 | 265 | 1230 | 1230 | 1097 |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.15 |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 23 (38\%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 13.3 |  |  |  |  |  | LOS: B |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 76.6\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 7: St. Laurent \& Pleasant Park



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 4 | 9 | $p$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  | \% | 中\% |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 20 | 6 | 41 | 10 | 3 | 22 | 70 | 589 | 20 | 29 | 809 | 34 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 20 | 6 | 41 | 10 | 3 | 22 | 70 | 589 | 20 | 29 | 809 | 34 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 6 | 43 | 11 | 3 | 23 | 74 | 620 | 21 | 31 | 852 | 36 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 243 |  |  | 129 |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |  | 0.88 |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 1414 | 1721 | 444 | 1312 | 1728 | 320 | 888 |  |  | 641 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 1200 | 1548 | 98 | 1084 | 1556 | 320 | 602 |  |  | 641 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 7.5 | 6.5 | 6.9 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 80 | 93 | 95 | 91 | 97 | 97 | 91 |  |  | 97 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 106 | 88 | 827 | 124 | 87 | 675 | 855 |  |  | 939 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | NB 2 | NB 3 | SB 1 | SB 2 | SB 3 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 70 | 37 | 74 | 413 | 228 | 31 | 568 | 320 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 11 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 43 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 220 | 235 | 855 | 1700 | 1700 | 939 | 1700 | 1700 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.32 | 0.16 | 0.09 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.33 | 0.19 |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 9.9 | 4.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 28.8 | 23.2 | 9.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | D | C | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 28.8 | 23.2 | 1.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | D | C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 44.4\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\uparrow$ |  | M |  |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop | Stop |  | Stop |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 103 | 160 | 140 | 56 | 91 | 97 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 103 | 160 | 140 | 56 | 91 | 97 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |  |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 108 | 168 | 147 | 59 | 96 | 102 |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 276 | 206 | 198 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 108 | 0 | 96 |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 59 | 102 |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.11 | -0.14 | -0.18 |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.8 | 4.6 | 4.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.37 | 0.26 | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 716 | 736 | 686 |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.5 | 9.3 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.5 | 9.3 | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 47.9\% |  | ICU Level | Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |





## Appendix G

Adjacent Road Segment Multi-modal Analysis

## Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

| Consultant Scenario Comments | Parsons <br> Existing and 2021 Conditions |  | Project Date | Elmvale SC Phase 1 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 31-Aug-18 |  |
|  | Existing conditions and improvements |  |  |  |  |  |
| SEGMENTS |  | Street A |  | Othello Existing | Othello 2021 | Smyth Existing | Smyth 2021 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 气్ } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \text { N } \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |  | $E$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Sidewalk Width Boulevard Width |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { no sidewalk } \\ & \text { n/a } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ & <0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ & <0.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \geq 2 \mathrm{~m} \\ & <0.5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume |  | > 3000 | > 3000 | > 3000 | > 3000 |
|  | Operating Speed On-Street Parking |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >50 \text { to } 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \text { to } 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { no } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Exposure to Traffic PLoS |  | F | c | E | c |
|  | Effective Sidewalk Width |  |  | 2.0 m | 2.0 m | 2.0 m |
|  | Pedestrian Volume |  | $250 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $250 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $250 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{hr}$ | $250 \mathrm{ped} / \mathrm{hr}$ |
|  | Crowding PLoS |  | - | B | B | B |
|  | Level of Service |  | - | C | E | C |
| $$ | Type of Cycling Facility | F | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | Mixed Traffic | Curbside Bike Lane |
|  | Number of Travel Lanes |  | $\begin{gathered} \quad \leq 2(\mathrm{no} \\ \text { centreline) } \end{gathered}$ | 2-3 lanes total | $\geq 6$ lanes total | 2 ea. dir. (w median) |
|  | Operating Speed |  | $\geq 50$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\leq 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\geq 50$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\leq 50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | \# of Lanes \& Operating Speed LoS |  | D | B | F | C |
|  | Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width |  |  |  |  | $\geq 1.5$ to <1.8 m |
|  | Bike Lane Width LoS |  | - | - | - | B |
|  | Bike Lane Blockages |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Blockage LoS |  | - | - | - | - |
|  | Median Refuge Width (no median $=<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ ) |  | $<1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ refuge | $\geq 1.8 \mathrm{~m}$ refuge | <1.8 m refuge | <1.8 m refuge |
|  | No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing |  | $\leq 3$ lanes | $\leq 3$ lanes | $\leq 3$ lanes | $\leq 3$ lanes |
|  | Sidestreet Operating Speed |  | $\leq 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\leq 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $>50$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $>50$ to $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS |  | A | A | c | c |
|  | Level of Service |  | D | B | F | C |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 든 } \\ & \text { 른 } \end{aligned}$ | Truck Lane Width | A |  |  | $\leq 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ | $\leq 3.5 \mathrm{~m}$ |
|  | Travel Lanes per Direction |  |  |  | >1 | >1 |
|  | Level of Service |  | - | - | A | A |

## Appendix H

Othello Complete Street Concept


## Appendix I

Elmvale Acres Shopping Centre Secondary Plan - Schedule D: Public Realm and Transportation


## Appendix J

Optional Post-Occupancy TDM Measures Checklist

## Introduction

The City of Ottawa's Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines (specifically Module 4.3-Transportation Demand Management) requires proponents of qualifying developments to assess the context, need and opportunity for transportation demand management (TDM) measures at their development. The guidelines require that proponents complete the City's TDM Measures Checklist, at a minimum, to identify any TDM measures being proposed.

The remaining sections of this document are:

- Using the Checklist
- Glossary
- TDM Measures Checklist: Non-Residential Developments
- TDM Measures Checklist: Residential developments

> Readers are encouraged to contact the City of Ottawa's TDM Officer for any guidance and assistance they require to complete this checklist.

## Using the Checklist

The City's TIA Guidelines are designed so that Module 3.1—Development-Generated Travel Demand, Module 4.1—Development Design, and Module 4.2—Parking are complete before a proponent begins Module 4.3-Transportation Demand Management.

Within Module 4.3, Element 4.3.1—Context for TDM and Element 4.3.2—Need and Opportunity are intended to create an understanding of the need for any TDM measures, and of the results they are expected to achieve or support. Once those two elements are complete, proponents begin Element 4.3.3-TDM Program that requires proponents to identify proposed TDM measures using the TDM Measures Checklist, at a minimum. The TIA Guidelines note that the City may require additional analysis for large or complex development proposals, or those that represent a higher degree of performance risk; as well, proponents proposing TDM measures for a new development must also propose an implementation plan that addresses planning and coordination, funding and human resources, timelines for action, performance targets and monitoring requirements.

This TDM Measures Checklist document includes two actual checklists, one for non-residential developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial) and one for residential developments (multifamily, condominium or subdivision). Readers may download the applicable checklist in electronic format and complete it electronically, or print it out and complete it by hand. As an alternative, they may create a freestanding document that lists the TDM measures being proposed and provides additional detail on them, including an implementation plan as required by the City's
TIA Guidelines.
Each measure in the checklist is numbered for easy reference. Each measure is also flagged as:

- BASIC - The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users.
- better - The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance.
- $\quad \star$ —The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes.


## Glossary

This glossary defines and describes the following measures that are identified in the TDM Measures Checklist:

## TDM program management

- Program coordinator
- Travel surveys

Parking

- Priced parking

Walking \& cycling

- Information on walking/cycling routes \& destinations
- Bicycle skills training
- Valet bike parking

Transit

- Transit information
- Transit fare incentives
- Enhanced public transit service
- Private transit service


## Ridesharing

- Ridematching service
- Carpool parking price incentives
- Vanpool service

Carsharing \& bikesharing

- Bikeshare stations \& memberships
- Carshare vehicles \& memberships

TDM marketing \& communications

- Multimodal travel information
- Personalized trip planning
- Promotions

Other incentives \& amenities

- Emergency ride home
- Alternative work arrangements
- Local business travel options
- Commuter incentives
- On-site amenities

For further information on selecting and implementing TDM measures (particularly as they apply to non-residential developments, with a focus on workplaces), readers may find it helpful to consult Transport Canada's Workplace Travel Plans: Guidance for Canadian Employers, which can be downloaded in English and French from the ACT Canada website at www.actcanada.com/resources/act-resources.

## - TDM program management

While some TDM measures can be implemented with a minimum of effort through routine channels (e.g. parking or human resources), more complex measures or a larger development site may warrant assigning responsibility for TDM program coordination to a designated person either inside or outside the implementing organization. Similarly, some TDM measures are more effective if they are targeted or customized for specific audiences, and would benefit from the collection of related information.

Program coordinator. This person is charged with day-to-day TDM program development and implementation. Only in very large employers with thousands of workers is this likely to be a full-time, dedicated position. Usually, it is added to an existing role in parking, real estate, human resources or environmental management. In practice, this role may be called TDM coordinator, commute trip reduction coordinator or employee transportation coordinator. The City of Ottawa can identify external resources (e.g. non-profit organizations or consultants) that could provide these services.

Travel surveys. Travel surveys are most commonly conducted at workplaces, but can be helpful in other settings. They identify how and why people travel the way they do, and what barriers and opportunities exist for different behaviours. They usually capture the following information:

- Personal data including home address or postal code, destination, job type or function, employment status (full-time, part-time and/or teleworker), gender, age and hours of work
- Commute information including distance or time for the trip between home and work, usual methods of commuting, and reasons for choosing them
- Barriers and opportunities including why other commuting methods are unattractive, willingness to consider other options, and what improvements to other options could make them more attractive


## Parking

Priced parking. Charging for parking is typically among the most effective ways of getting drivers to consider other travel options. While drivers may not support parking fees, they can be more accepting if the revenues are used to improve other travel options (e.g. new showers and change rooms, improved bicycle parking or subsidized transit passes). At workplaces or daytime destinations, parking discounts (e.g. early bird specials, daily passes that cost significantly less than the equivalent hourly charge, monthly passes that cost significantly less than the equivalent daily charge) encourage long-term parking and discourage the use of other travel options. For residential uses, unbundling parking costs from dwelling purchase, lease or rental costs provides an incentive for residents to own fewer cars, and can reduce car use and the costs of parking provision.

## - Walking \& cycling

Active transportation options like cycling and walking are particularly attractive for short trips (typically up to 5 km and 2 km , respectively). Other supportive factors include an active, healthconscious audience, and development proximity to high-quality walking and cycling networks. Common challenges to active transportation include rain, darkness, snowy or icy conditions, personal safety concerns, the potential for bicycle theft, and a lack of shower and change facilities for those making longer trips.

Information on walking/cycling routes \& destinations. Ottawa, Gatineau and the National Capital Commission all publish maps to help people identify the most convenient and comfortable walking or cycling routes.

Bicycle skills training. Potential cyclists can be intimidated by the need to ride on roads shared with motor vehicles. This barrier can be reduced or eliminated by offering cycling skills training to interested cyclists (e.g. CAN-BIKE certification courses).

Valet bike parking. For large events, temporary "valet parking" areas can be easily set up to maximize convenience and security for cyclists. Experienced local non-profit groups can help.

## Transit

Transit information. Difficulty in finding or understanding basic information on transit fares, routes and schedules can prevent people from trying transit. Employers can help by providing online links to OC Transpo and STO websites. Transit users also appreciate visible maps and schedules of transit routes that serve the site; even better, a screen that shows real-time transit arrival information is particularly useful at sites with many transit users and an adjacent transit stop or station.

Transit fare incentives. Free or subsidized transit fares are an attractive incentive for nontransit riders to try transit. Many non-users are unsure of how to pay a fare, and providing tickets or a preloaded PRESTO card (or, for special events, pre-arranging with OC Transpo that transit fares are included with event tickets) overcome that barrier.

Enhanced public transit service. OC Transpo may adjust transit routes, stop locations, service hours or frequencies for an agreed fee under contract, or at no cost where warranted by the potential ridership increase. Information provided by a survey of people who travel to a given development can support these decisions.

Private transit service. At remote suburban or rural workplaces, a poor transit connection to the nearest rapid transit station can be an obstacle for potential transit users, and an employer in this situation could initiate a private shuttle service to make transit use more feasible or attractive. Other circumstances where a shuttle makes sense include large special events, or a residential development for people with limited independent mobility who still require regular access to shops and services.

## Ridesharing

Ridesharing's potential is greatest in situations where transit ridership is low, where parking costs are high, and/or where large numbers of car commuters (e.g. employees or full-time students) live reasonably far from the workplace.

Ridematching service. Potential carpoolers in Ottawa are served by www.OttawaRideMatch.com, an online service to help people find carpool partners. Employers can arrange for a dedicated portal where their employees can search for potential carpool partners only among their colleagues, if they desire. Some very large employers may establish internal ridematching services, to maximize employee uptake and corporate control.
Ridematching service providers typically include a waiver to relieve employers of liability when their employees start carpooling through a ridematching service. Ridesharing with co-workers also tends to eliminate security concerns.

Carpool parking price incentives. Discounted parking fees for carpools can be an extra incentive to rideshare.

Vanpool service. Vanpools operate in the Toronto and Vancouver metropolitan areas, where vans that carry up to about ten occupants are driven by one of the vanpool members. Vanpools tend to operate on a cost-recovery basis, and are most practical for long-distance commutes where transit is not an option. Current legislation in Ontario does not permit third-party (i.e. private or non-profit) vanpool services, but does permit employers to operate internal vanpools.

## - Carsharing \& bikesharing

Bikeshare station \& memberships. VeloGO Bike Share and Right Bike both operate bikesharing services in Ottawa. Developments that would benefit from having a bikeshare station installed at or near their development may negotiate directly with either service provider.

Carshare vehicles \& memberships. VRTUCAR and Zipcar both operate carsharing services in Ottawa, for use by the general public or by businesses as an alternative to corporate fleets. Carsharing services offer 24 -hour access, self-serve reservation systems, itemized monthly billings, and outsourcing of all financing, insurance, maintenance and administrative responsibilities.

## - TDM marketing \& communications

Multimodal travel information. Aside from mode-specific information discussed elsewhere in this document, multimodal information that identifies and explains the full range of travel options available to people can be very influential-especially when provided at times and locations where individuals are actively choosing among those options. Examples include: employees when their employer is relocating, or when they are joining a new employer; students when they are starting a program at a new institution; visitors or customers travelling to an unfamiliar destination, or when faced with new options (e.g. shuttle services or parking restrictions); and residents when they purchase or occupy a residence that is new to them.

Personalized trip planning. As an extension to the simple provision of information, this technique (also known as individualized marketing) is effective in helping people make more sustainable travel choices. The approach involves identifying who is most likely to change their travel choices (notably relocating employees, students or residents) giving them customized information, training and incentives to support them in making that change. It may be conducted with assistance from an external service provider with the necessary skills, and delivered in a variety of settings including workplaces and homes.

Promotions. Special events and incentives can raise awareness and encourage individuals to examine and try new travel options.

- Special events can help attract attention, build participation and celebrate successes. Events that have been held in Ottawa include Earth Day (in April) Bike to Work Month (in May), Environment Week (early June), International Car Free Day (September 22), and Canadian Ridesharing Week (October). At workplaces or educational institutions, similarly effective internal events could include workshops, lunch-and-learns, inter-departmental challenges, pancake breakfasts, and so on.
- Incentives can encourage trial of sustainable modes, and might include loyalty rewards for duration or consistency of activity (e.g. 1,000 km commuted by bicycle), participation prizes (e.g. for completing a survey or joining a special event), or personal recognition that highlights individual accomplishments.


## Other incentives \& amenities

Emergency ride home. This measure assures non-driving commuters that they will be able to get home quickly and conveniently in case of family emergency (or in some workplaces, in case of unexpected overtime, severe weather conditions, or the early departure of a carpool driver) by offering a chit or reimbursement for taxi, carshare or rental car usage. Limits on annual usage or cost per employee may be set, although across North America the actual rates of usage are typically very low.

Alternative work arrangements. A number of alternatives to the standard 9-to-5, Monday-toFriday workweek can support sustainable commuting (and work-life balance) at workplaces:

- Flexible working hours allow transit commuters to take advantage of the fastest and most convenient transit services, and allow potential carpoolers to include people who work slightly different schedules in their search for carpool partners. They also allow active commuters to travel at least one direction in daylight, either in the morning or the afternoon, during the winter.
- Compressed workweeks allow employees to work their required hours over fewer days (e.g. five days in four, or ten days in nine), eliminating the need to commute on certain days. For employees, this can promote work-life balance and gives flexibility for appointments. For employers, this can permit extended service hours as well as reduced parking demands if employees stagger their days off.
- Telework is a normal part of many workplaces. It helps reduce commuting activity, and can lead to significant cost savings through workspace sharing. Telework initiatives involve many stakeholders, and may face as much resistance as support within an organization. Consultation, education and training are helpful.

Local business travel options. A common obstacle for people who might prefer to not drive to work is that their employer requires them to bring a car to work so they can make business trips during the day. Giving employees convenient alternatives to private cars for local business travel during the workday makes walking, cycling, transit or carpooling in someone else's car more practical.

- Walking and cycling-Active transportation can be a convenient and enjoyable way to make short business trips. They can also reduce employer expenses, although they may require extra travel time. Providing a fleet of shared bikes, or reimbursing cyclists for the kilometres they ride, are inexpensive ways to validate their choice.
- Public transit-Transit can be convenient and inexpensive compared to driving. OC Transpo's PRESTO cards are transferable among employees and automatically reloadable, making them the perfect tool for enabling transit use during the day.
- Ridesharing-When multiple employees attend the same off-site meeting or event, they can be reminded to carpool whenever possible.
- Taxis or ride-hailing-Taxis and ride-hailing can eliminate parking costs, save time and eliminate collision liability concerns. Taxi chits eliminate cash transactions and minimize paperwork.
- Fleet vehicles or carsharing—Fleet vehicles can be cost-effective for high travel volumes, while carsharing is a great option for less frequent trips.
- Interoffice shuttles-Employers with multiple worksites in the region could use a shuttle service to move people as well as mail or supplies.
- Videoconferencing-New technologies mean that staying in the office to hold meetings electronically is more viable, affordable and productive than ever.

Commuter incentives. Financial incentives can help create a level playing field and support commuting by sustainable modes. A "commuting allowance" given to all employees as a taxable benefit is one such incentive; employees who choose to drive could then be charged for parking, while other employees could use the allowance for transit fares or cycling equipment, or for spending or saving. (Note that in the United States this practice is known as "parking cash-out," and is popular because commuting allowances are not taxable up to a certain limit). Alternatively, a monthly commuting allowance for non-driving employees would give drivers an incentive to choose a different commuting mode. Another practical incentive for active commuters or transit users is to offer them discounted "rainy day" parking passes for a small number of days each month.

On-site amenities. Developments that offer services to limit employees' need for a car during their commute (e.g. to drop off clothing at the dry cleaners) or during their workday (e.g. to buy lunch) can free employees to make the commuting decision that otherwise works best for them.

Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

## Legend

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
BETTER
The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance
The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Program coordinator |  |  |  |
| BASIC | - 1.1.1 | Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator | $\square$ |
| 1.2 Travel surveys |  |  |  |
| better | 1.2.1 | Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress | $\square$ |
| 2. WALKING AND CYCLING |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes \& destinations |  |  |  |
| BASIC | 2.1.1 | Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances | $\square$ |
| 2.2 Bicycle skills training |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | - 2.2.1 | Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses | $\square$ |
| 2.3 Valet bike parking |  |  |  |
| Visitor travel |  |  |  |
| better | 2.3.1 | Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Transit information |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information | $\square$ |
| BETTER | 3.1.3 | Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances | $\square$ |
|  | 3.2 | Transit fare incentives |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| BETTER | 3.2.1 | Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit | $\square$ |
| BETTER | * 3.2.2 | Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees | $\square$ |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.2.3 | Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |
|  | 3.3 | Enhanced public transit service |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| BETTER | 3.3.1 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | $\square$ |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.3.2 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |
|  | 3.4 | Private transit service |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| better | 3.4.1 | Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | $\square$ |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.4.2 | Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. RIDESHARING |  |  |  |  |
| 4.1 |  | Ridematching service |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 4.1.1 | Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch.com | $\square$ |  |
| 4.2 |  | Carpool parking price incentives |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 4.2.1 | Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools |  | And provide a car charging station. |
|  | 4.3 | Vanpool service |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 4.3.1 | Provide a vanpooling service for long-distance commuters | $\square$ |  |
| 5. CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |  |  |  |
| 5.1 |  | Bikeshare stations \& memberships |  |  |
| better | 5.1.1 | Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors | $\square$ |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| better | 5.1.2 | Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel | $\square$ |  |
| 5.2 Carshare vehicles \& memberships |  |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 | Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants | $\square$ |  |
| BETTER | 5.2.2 | Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel | $\square$ |  |
| 6. PARKING |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.1 | Priced parking |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 6.1.1 | Charge for long-term parking (daily, weekly, monthly) | $\square$ |  |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 | Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi-tenant sites | $\square$ |  |
| Visitor travel |  |  |  |  |
| better | 6.1.3 | Charge for short-term parking (hourly) | $\square$ |  |



## TDM Measures Checklist:

Residential Developments (multi-family, condominium or subdivision)

## Legend

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users

## better

The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance
The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

|  | TDM measures: Residential developments |  |  <br> add descriptions |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1. | TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT |  |
| BASIC | 1.1 | 1.1.1Program coordinator <br> Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with <br> an external coordinator | $\square$ |
| BETTER | 1.2 | Travel surveys |  |

## TDM measures: Residential developments

## Check if proposed \& add descriptions

## 3. TRANSIT

### 3.1 Transit information

| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances (multi-family, condominium) | $\nabla$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BETTER | 3.1.2 | Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances (multi-family, condominium) |  |  |
|  | 3.2 | Transit fare incentives |  |  |
| BASIC | +3.2.1 | Offer PRESTO cards preloaded with one monthly transit pass on residence purchase/move-in, to encourage residents to use transit | $\nabla$ | On residence purchase/move-in within the first 6 months of Pre-sales/ sales initiation. |
| BETTER | 3.2.2 | Offer at least one year of free monthly transit passes on residence purchase/move-in | $\square$ |  |
|  | 3.3 | Enhanced public transit service |  |  |
| BETTER | + 3.3 .1 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide early transit services until regular services are warranted by occupancy levels (subdivision) | $\square$ |  |
|  | 3.4 | Private transit service |  |  |
| BETTER | 3.4.1 | Provide shuttle service for seniors homes or lifestyle communities (e.g. scheduled mall or supermarket runs) | $\square$ |  |

## 4. CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING

### 4.1 Bikeshare stations \& memberships

BETTER 4.1.1 Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station (multi-family)
BETTER 4.1.2 Provide residents with bikeshare memberships, either free or subsidized (multi-family)

### 4.2 Carshare vehicles \& memberships

| BETTER | 4.2.1Contract with provider to install on-site carshare <br> vehicles and promote their use by residents | $\square$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| BETTER | 4.2.2Provide residents with carshare memberships, <br> either free or subsidized | $\square$ |

## 5. PARKING

### 5.1 Priced parking

BASIC * 5.1.1 Unbundle parking cost from purchase price (condominium)
BASIC * 5.1.2 Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent (multi-family)

Check if proposed \& add descriptions

## 6. TDM MARKETING \& COMMUNICATIONS

### 6.1 Multimodal travel information

| BASIC | $\star$ 6.1.1 | Provide a multimodal travel option information <br> package to new residents | $\square$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 6.2 | Personalized trip planning |  |

## Appendix K

Adjacent Signalized Intersections Multi-modal Analysis


## Appendix L

Correspondence

# 1910 St Laurent Blvd -Elmvale Acres Shopping Centre (Phase 1) <br> TIA Forecasting Report Resubmission, Dated October 02, 2018 Proposed Site Plan - Option 2, Dated September 05, 2018 

(Ref: D01-01-15-0019)

## Traffic Engineering

PM synchro file still contains fatal error and will not run SIM traffic. Please contact Connor Bomhower at 613-580-2424 Ext 23088 to discuss further.

## Transportation Engineering Services

Include a discussion/rational to explain the projected trip distribution. Confirm that retail trips during the PM are expected to be $40 \%$ from/to the South and $20 \%$ from/to the West.

Figures 6 and 9 continue to not contain any volumes for Wingate Drive.
The exemptions chart in the scoping section indicates that Section 4.7 .2 should be excluded. However, the CTS completed in May 2017 indicated that OC Transpo requested that Transit Priority Measures be examined at the north end of the Transit loop at St. Laurent Boulevard and the intersection of St. Laurent and Russell Road.

Also of note, is that the 2017 CTS report indicated background growth of $1 \%$. This Forecasting report is indicating that through review of previous traffic counts that the background growth has been negative and therefore a 0\% growth rate will be applied. Following from that change there is no projection for total future volumes 5 years after completion of the development.

Wally Dubyk<br>Project Manager - Transportation Approvals<br>Development Review, Central \& South Branches<br>613-580-2424 x13783

NOTICE: This email message and all attachments transmitted with it may contain privileged and confidential information, and information that is protected by, and proprietary to, Parsons Corporation, and is intended solely for the use of the addressee for the specific purpose set forth in this communication. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and you should delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. The recipient may not further distribute or use any of the information contained herein without the express written authorization of the sender. If you have received this message in error, or if you have any questions regarding the use of the proprietary information contained therein, please contact the sender of this message immediately, and the sender will provide you with further instructions.

# Memorandum 

| To: | Wally Dubyk |
| :--- | :--- |
| Copy: | Ronald Jack |
| From: | Andrés Pena |

Date:
2 October 2018
Copy: Ronald Jack
Project:
476853

## Re: Elmvale SC Phase 1 - TIA Forecasting Report- Response to City's Additional Comments

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Parsons has prepared a Transportation Impact Assessment Forecasting Report in support of the proposed Phase 1 development located at 1910 St. Laurent Boulevard and consisting of a residential apartment tower with 168 apartment units, approximately $1086 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of ground level retail, a 144-spaces two-level garage, and 45 surface parking spaces, as per latest information.

As part of the review process, the City of Ottawa, through its Development Review, Central \& South Branches delivered comments related to the Trip Generation and Traffic Analysis sections of the report. This memo addresses these comments and provide record to the City. The revised Forecasting Report is attached.

## 2. CITY OF OTTAWA COMMENTS

## 1910 St Laurent Blvd (Elmvale Shopping Centre) <br> TIA - Forecasting Report, Dated August 28, 2018

D02-02-15-0087

## Traffic Engineering

Comment 1: The SYNCHRO as provided contains fatal errors and will not run sim traffic simulations.
Response 1: The SYNCHRO file has been corrected and is also attached to the foregoing Memo.

Comment 2: Any revision to trip distribution and generation will require revisions to the synchro for review.
Response 2: Noted.

Comment 3: Northbound queuing at Smyth Road and Othello Avenue may impact SBL and SBT movements at Hamlet Road and Site access. Depending on the severity, this may extend to Smyth Road causing disruptions at the traffic signal. Elimination of existing access on Smyth Road east of Othello Avenue may exacerbate the queuing issue on Othello Avenue.
Response 3: Field observations of existing conditions have identified NB queues occasionally extending back beyond the Site/Othello driveway ( $>70 \mathrm{~m}$ ). When this condition has been observed, gaps are provided by users to allow for access/egress to/from Elmvale Mall and Hamlet Street. At no time have we observed southbound queues extending back and impacting the Smyth/Othello intersection, nor have we heard of this occurring. Very low use of the existing right-in access on Smyth Road was also observed and its removal is not considered problematic to traffic operations on Othello.

As part of the proposed Phase 1 development, the addition of one NBR lane to Othello Ave between Smyth Road and Othello/Site driveway will significantly reduce any NB queues on Othello approaching Smyth/Othello intersection. Table 1

## PARSONS

summarizes queue projections at Smyth/Othello at 2021, as per SYNCHRO 10.1 model results, and with the additional NB lane.

Table 1: Queue Summary at Smyth/Othello for 2021 Total Projected Conditions

| Weekday Peak Hour | $50^{\text {th }}$ NBT Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | $95^{\text {th }}$ NBT Queue $(\mathrm{m})$ | SBL veh/minute | D - 95 th Queue (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 45 | 65 | 1 | -2 |
| PM | 39.7 | 59.3 | 3 | 3.7 |
| Note: "D" is the distance between Othello/Site driveway center to Smyth Road south side (approximately 63 m ). |  |  |  |  |

As observed in Table 1, the addition of the NBR lane to Othello Avenue at Smyth/Othello intersection will result in only 5\% of NBT queues on Othello backing to Othello/Site driveway. For this condition, projected SBL traffic volumes at Othello/Site driveway are unlikely to queue back to Smyth Road (storage length of approximately 63 m ), as they do not currently do so with only one NB lane. As such, the proposed addition of a NBR lane on Othello at the Smyth/Othello intersection is a significant improvement over existing conditions.

## Transportation Engineering Services

Comment 4: Distribution percentage for retail according to ITE Manual is $44 \%$ in and $56 \%$ out.
Response 4: Distribution percentages have been corrected according to ITE Manual 10 th edition. As such, for land use code 820 - Shopping Center, distribution percentages are $48 \%$ entering, $52 \%$ exiting. These changes have been inserted to the revised Forecasting Report.

Comment 5: For the residential apartments, please use Table 6.3 for trip rates and 3.13 for mode shares when calculating person trips. The person trips for AM and PM is approximately double of the numbers identified in the report. Table 8 should be revised accordingly.
Response 5: Person trips for AM and PM revised and inserted to the revised Forecasting Report.

Comment 6: Please resubmit the revised Forecasting Report and Synchro files for review.
Response 6: Noted. Revised Forecasting Report and Synchro files attached to this memo for review by the City.

## PARSONS

The City has provided the following additional comments:

1910 St Laurent Blvd (Elmvale Shopping Centre) Re-Submitted Synchro Files, Dated September 14, 2018

## Traffic Engineering

Comment 7: Synchro analysis has only been provided for projected 2021. No existing conditions, or post 2021 analysis.
Response 7: Noted. Existing conditions SYCNHRO files are attached to this memo. Based on the 0\% background growth identified, post-2021 horizon analysis is the same as the 2021 full-build-out scenario. As such, no post-2021 horizon will be analyzed.

Comment 8: Comments regarding queuing at Hamlet Road/Site access intersection and Smyth Road/Othello Avenue intersection carry forward from the previous response;
"Northbound queuing at Smyth Road and Othello Avenue may impact SBL and SBT movements at Hamlet Road and Site access. Depending on the severity, this may extend to Smyth Road causing disruptions at the traffic signal. Elimination of existing access on Smyth Road east of Othello Avenue may exacerbate the queuing issue on Othello Avenue."

Response 8: See response 3. The proposed addition of a NBR lane on Othello at the Smyth/Othello intersection is a significant improvement over existing conditions and will eliminate or minimize any queuing concerns.

Comment 9: Given the proposed 144 residential parking stalls along with an additional 45 surface stalls with 168 residential units, will the transit modal share be achieved by providing this number of parking stalls?

Response 9: Vehicle ownership, in conjunction with available transportation options can determine modal choice. Where convenient, high-frequency transit services are provided, car owners can -and have been observed- to choose to commute by transit and use their vehicles for non-work-related activities. In this sense, it is expected that modal choices in the analyzed time periods will be largely determined by the quality of available transit services. Considering the site is located less than 300 m walking from a high-frequency, sheltered transit service within an envisioned mixed-use center, a high transit ridership is anticipated for the analyzed time periods. Additional transportation demand management measures will be explored in the Strategy Report.

Prepared By:


Andrés Pena, M.Sc.
Engineering Intern

Reviewed By:


Ronald Jack, P.Eng.
Senior Transportation Engineer

## Attached:

- Revised Elmvale Shopping Centre Phase 1 TIA Forecasting Report, and
- SYNCHRO files package - Existing Conditions.

| From: | Pena-cabra, Andres |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | "Dubyk, Wally" |
| Cc: | "Baggs, Rosanna"; Lack, Ronald; Baker, Mark |
| Subject: | RE: Elmvale Shopping Centre Forecasting Report- |
| Date: | Tuesday, October 02, 2018 1:29:00 PM |
| Attachments: | Comments Response Memo 2.pdf |

Hi Wally,

Please find attached the corresponding signed Response Memo \#2 and ignore the one attached to the previous email.
Apologies for the signature omission before.

Thank you,

Andrés Pena
E.I.T

1223 Michael St, Suite 100, Gloucester, ON K1J7T2
andres.pena-cabra@parsons.com +1 613.738.4160
PARSONS - Envision More
www.parsons.com | LinkedIn[linkedin.com] | Twitter [twitter.com]| Facebook [facebook.com]

## Parsons PLUS

experience the Parsons advantage

From: Pena-cabra, Andres
Sent: Tuesday, October 02, 2018 12:50 PM
To: 'Dubyk, Wally' [Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca](mailto:Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca)
Cc: 'Baggs, Rosanna' [Rosanna.Baggs@ottawa.ca](mailto:Rosanna.Baggs@ottawa.ca); Jack, Ronald [Ronald.Jack@parsons.com](mailto:Ronald.Jack@parsons.com); Baker, Mark [Mark.Baker@parsons.com](mailto:Mark.Baker@parsons.com)
Subject: RE: Elmvale Shopping Centre Forecasting Report-

Hi Wally,

Please find attached the revised Elmvale Shopping Centre Phase 1 Forecasting Report and Response Memo \#2, as per comments received in September 25, 2018.
Please note that comments received previously have also been included in Memo \#2 for reference purposes.
Due to file size, I will send the corresponding Existing Conditions-SYNCHRO files in another email.

Thank you,

Andrés Pena
E.I.T

1223 Michael St, Suite 100, Gloucester, ON K1J7T2
andres.pena-cabra@parsons.com +1 613.738.4160
PARSONS - Envision More

From: Pena-cabra, Andres
Sent: Thursday, September 13, 2018 3:47 PM
To: 'Dubyk, Wally' [Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca](mailto:Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca)
Cc: Jack, Ronald [Ronald.Jack@parsons.com](mailto:Ronald.Jack@parsons.com); Baker, Mark [Mark.Baker@parsons.com](mailto:Mark.Baker@parsons.com)
Subject: RE: Elmvale Shopping Centre Forecasting Report

Hi Wally,

Please find attached the revised Elmvale Shopping Centre Phase 1 Forecasting Report and corresponding Synchro files.

Thank you,

Andrés Pena
E.I.T

1223 Michael St, Suite 100, Gloucester, ON K1J7T2
andres.pena-cabra@parsons.com +1 613.738.4160
PARSONS - Envision More
www.parsons.com | LinkedIn[linkedin.com] | Twitter [twitter.com]| Facebook [facebook.com]


From: Pena-cabra, Andres
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 11:42 AM
To: 'Dubyk, Wally' < Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca>; Jack, Ronald [Ronald.Jack@parsons.com](mailto:Ronald.Jack@parsons.com)
Subject: RE: Elmvale Shopping Centre Forecasting Report

Hi Wally,

Please find attached the requested Synchro files.

Thank you,

Andrés Pena
Engineer in Training 1223 Michael St, Suite 100, Gloucester, ON K1J7T2
andres.pena-cabra@parsons.com +1 613.738.4160
PARSONS - Envision More
www.parsons.com | LinkedIn[linkedin.com] | Twitter [twitter.com]| Facebook [facebook.com]

From: Dubyk, Wally < Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 11:29 AM
To: Jack, Ronald [Ronald.Jack@parsons.com](mailto:Ronald.Jack@parsons.com)
Cc: Pena-cabra, Andres < Andres.Pena-cabra@parsons.com>
Subject: RE: Elmvale Shopping Centre Forecasting Report

## Andres,

Please provide us with the Synchro files in digital format.

Thank you,

Wally Dubyk
Project Manager - Transportation Approvals
Development Review, Central \& South Branches
613-580-2424 x13783

From: Jack, Ronald < Ronald.Jack@parsons.com>
Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2018 11:12 AM
To: Dubyk, Wally [Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca](mailto:Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca)
Cc: Pena-cabra, Andres [Andres.Pena-cabra@parsons.com](mailto:Andres.Pena-cabra@parsons.com); Stuart Craig [scraig@riocan.com](mailto:scraig@riocan.com); pbisson@hobinarc.com
Subject: Elmvale Shopping Centre Forecasting Report

Hi Wally
Attached is the Forecasting Report for Phase 1 of the redevelopment of Elmvale Acres Shopping Centre for your review/comment. We will now proceed with the Analysis/TIA Strategy Report and integrate your comments on the Forecasting Report when received. If you need the SYNCHRO files, Andres can provide.
Regards
Ron

Ronald Jack, P.Eng.


1223 Michael Street
Suite 100
Ottawa, ON K1J 7T2
P: 613.738.4160 x5250
F: 613.739.7105
Ronald.Jack@parsons.com

NOTICE: This email message and all attachments transmitted with it may contain privileged and confidential information, and information that is protected by, and proprietary to, Parsons Corporation, and is intended solely for the use of the addressee for the specific purpose set forth in this communication. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any reading, dissemination, distribution, copying, or other use of this message or its attachments is strictly prohibited, and you should delete this message and all copies and backups thereof. The recipient may not further distribute or use any of the information contained herein without the express written authorization of the sender. If you have received this message in error, or if you have any questions regarding the use of the proprietary information contained therein, please contact the sender of this message immediately, and the sender will provide you with further instructions.
I

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.


[^0]:    Comments:
    Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

