

120 lber Road, Suite 103 Ottawa, Ontario K2S 1E9 Tel. (613) 836-0856 Fax (613) 836-7183 www.DSEL.ca

# SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

FOR

# **KATASA GROUPE + DÉVELOPPEMENT** 275 CARLING AVENUE

CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: 19-1147 CITY APPLICATION NO.: D07-12-18-0120

> DECEMBER 2019 – REV 3 © DSEL

#### SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR 275 CARLING AVENUE

### KATASA GROUPE + DÉVELOPPEMENT JUNE 2019 – REV 3

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Existing Conditions	2
1.2	Required Permits / Approvals	2
1.3	Pre-consultation	3
2.0	GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS	4
2.1	Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports	4
3.0	WATER SUPPLY SERVICING	6
3.1	Existing Water Supply Services	6
3.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	6
3.3	Water Supply Conclusion	8
4.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING	9
4.1	Existing Wastewater Services	9
4.2	Wastewater Design	9
4.3	Wastewater Servicing Conclusions	. 10
5.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	11
5.1	Existing Stormwater Services	. 11
5.2	Post-development Stormwater Management Target	. 11
5.3	Proposed Stormwater Management System	. 12
5.4	Stormwater Quality Control	. 12
5.5	Stormwater Servicing Conclusions	. 13
6.0	COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM FLOW	13
7.0	UTILITIES	14
8.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	15
9.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	16

### **FIGURES**

Figure 1 Site Location				
TABLES				
Table 1Water Supply Design CriteriaTable 2Water Demand and Boundary ConditionsTable 3Wastewater Design CriteriaTable 4Summary of Estimated Peak Wastewater FlowTable 5Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow RatesTable 6Stormwater Flow Rate SummaryTable 7Summary of Allowable and Proposed Release F				
APPENDICES				
Appendix A	<ul> <li>Pre-Consultation Notes</li> <li>Development Servicing Study Checklist</li> <li>BVCA Correspondence dated June 1st 2018</li> </ul>			
Appendix B	<ul> <li>Water Supply</li> <li>Water Demand Calculations</li> <li>Boundary Conditions Conversion Calculations</li> <li>City of Ottawa Boundary Conditions dated May 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2018</li> <li>National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 13 – Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems</li> <li>City of Ottawa – Water Distribution System, Facilities and Feedermains</li> </ul>			
Appendix C	<ul> <li>Wastewater Collection</li> <li>Wastewater Demand Calculations</li> <li>City of Ottawa – Sanitary Trunk and Collection Areas</li> </ul>			
Appendix D	Stormwater Management <ul> <li>Stormwater Calculations</li> </ul>			
Drawings / Figures	<ul> <li>Proposed Site Plan</li> <li>Site Plan, prepared by RLA Architecture, dated January 24<sup>th</sup>, 2019</li> <li>Topographic Survey prepared by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd. Dated January 13<sup>th</sup>, 2012</li> </ul>			

#### SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR 275 CARLING AVENUE KATASA GROUPE + DÉVELOPPEMENT DECEMBER 2019 – REV 3

### CITY OF OTTAWA PROJECT NO.: 19-11478

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

David Schaeffer Engineering Limited (DSEL) has been retained by Katasa Groupe + Développement to prepare a Servicing and Stormwater Management report in support of the application for Site Plan Control (SPC) at 275 Carling Avenue.

The subject property is located within the City of Ottawa urban boundary, in the Capital ward. As illustrated in *Figure 1*, the subject property is bound by Carling Avenue to the South, Cambridge Street South to the West, and Clemow Avenue to the North. Currently comprised of a single parcel of land (265 Carling Avenue) to be divided into two parcels, the subject property measures approximately *0.38 ha* and is zoned Arterial Mainstreet (AM).



Figure 1: Site Location

The proposed SPC would allow for the development of a 16-storey retirement residence/commercial building fronting onto Carling Avenue, Cambridge Street South and Clemow Avenue within 0.21 ha of the subject site. The proposed development would include approximately  $2,227 m^2$  of amenity/office space and underground parking, with access from Clemow Avenue. The residential component is comprised of approximately 118 dwelling units and 50 rooming units. A copy of the Site Plan prepared by RLA Architecture is included in **Drawings/Figures**.

The objective of this report is to provide sufficient detail to demonstrate that the proposed development is supported by existing municipal services.

#### 1.1 Existing Conditions

The existing site includes a paved parking area surrounded by vegetated grass areas. The elevations range between 76.22 m and 77.41 m with a grade change of approximately 0.31 m from the Northeast to the Southwest corner of the property.

Sewer and watermain mapping collected from the City of Ottawa indicate that the following services exist across the property frontages within the adjacent municipal right-of-ways:

#### Clemow Avenue:

- > 203 mm diameter PVC watermain;
- 300 mm diameter PVC SDR 35 combined sewer, tributary to the Rideau Canal Interceptor and tributary to the Ottawa River, in the event of an overflow event.

#### Cambridge Street South:

- > 203 mm diameter PVC watermain;
- 300 mm diameter PVC SDR 35 combined sewer, tributary to the Rideau Canal Interceptor and tributary to the Ottawa River, in the event of an overflow event.

#### Carling Avenue:

- 406 mm diameter PVC watermain;
- 300 mm diameter combined sewer, tributary to the Rideau Canal Interceptor and tributary to the Ottawa River, in the event of an overflow event.

#### **1.2 Required Permits / Approvals**

The proposed development is subject to the site plan control approval process. The City of Ottawa must approve the engineering design drawings and reports prior to the issuance of site plan control.

The development is proposed to consist of a single parcel of land that is not industrial, however will outlet to a combined sewer. As a result, the Ministry of the Environment,

Conservation and Parks (MECP) will require an Environmental Compliance Application (ECA) to be submitted under the Direct Submission process.

#### 1.3 **Pre-consultation**

Pre-consultation correspondence, along with the servicing guidelines checklist, is located in *Appendix A*.

#### 2.0 GUIDELINES, PREVIOUS STUDIES, AND REPORTS

#### 2.1 Existing Studies, Guidelines, and Reports

The following studies were utilized in the preparation of this report:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, SDG002, October 2012. (City Standards)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01
     City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.
     (ISTB-2018-01)
  - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03 City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018. (ISTB-2018-03)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution City of Ottawa, July 2010. (Water Supply Guidelines)
  - Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-2
     City of Ottawa, December 15, 2010.
     (ISD-2010-2)
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02
     City of Ottawa, May 27, 2014.
     (ISDTB-2014-02)
  - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-02
     City of Ottawa, March 21, 2018.
     (ISDTB-2018-02)
- Design Guidelines for Sewage Works, Ministry of the Environment, 2008. (MOE Design Guidelines)
- Stormwater Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003. (SWMP Design Manual)
- Ontario Building Code Compendium
   Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing Building Development Branch, January 1, 2010 Update.
   (OBC)

- NFPA 13 Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems National Fire Protection Association, 2016. (NFPA Standards)
- Geotechnical Investigation Paterson Group, PG2682-1 Revision 1, February 15, 2018.
- Memorandum Response to City Comments Paterson Group, PG2682-MEMO.02, February 07, 2019. (Paterson, Memo.02)

#### 3.0 WATER SUPPLY SERVICING

#### 3.1 **Existing Water Supply Services**

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1W pressure zone, as shown by the Water Distribution System map, included in Appendix B. Local 203 mm diameter watermains exist within the Cambridge South Street and Clemow Avenue right-of-ways and a local 406 mm diameter watermain exists within the Carling Avenue right-of way.

#### 3.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

It is proposed that the development be serviced via two 150 mm diameter water services to the existing 203 mm watermain located within the Clemow Avenue right-of-way on either side of the existing valve box. Refer to drawing **SSP-1** for a detailed site servicing layout.

Table 1, below, summarizes the Water Supply Guidelines employed in the preparation of the water demand estimate.

Design Parameter	Value
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit
Residential Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/P
Residential Maximum Daily Demand	3.6 x Average Daily *
Residential Maximum Hourly	5.4 x Average Daily *
Commercial Retail	2.5 L/m²/d
Commercial Maximum Daily Demand	1.5 x avg. day
Commercial Maximum Hour Demand	1.8 x max. day
Office Space	75 L/9.3m <sup>3</sup> /d (12-hour Operation)
Nursing/Rest Homes	450 L/bed/d
Housekeeping Facilities	275 L/P/d
Dining Room/Kitchen	115 L/9.3m <sup>3</sup> /d (12-hour Operation)
Minimum Watermain Size	150mm diameter
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4m from top of watermain to finished grade
During normal operating conditions desired	350kPa and 480kPa
operating pressure is within	
During normal operating conditions pressure must	275kPa
not drop below	
During normal operating conditions pressure must	552kPa
not exceed	
During fire flow operating pressure must not drop	140kPa
below	
*Daily average based on Appendix 4-A from <b>Water Supply Guidelines</b> ** Residential Max. Daily and Max. Hourly peaking factors per MOE Guide	elines for Drinking-Water Systems Table 3-3 for 0 to 500 persons.

Table 1 Water Supply Design Criteria

-Table updated to reflect ISD-2010-2

*Table 2,* below, summarizes the estimated water supply demand and boundary conditions for the proposed development based on the development statistics provided by RLA Architecture.

Design Parameter	Proposed Demand <sup>1</sup> (L/min)	Boundary Condition <sup>2</sup> Connection 1 (m H <sub>2</sub> O / kPa)	
Average Daily Demand	59.3	38.4 / 376.7	
Max Day + Fire Flow	170.2 + 4,150 = 4,320.2	12,900 L/min @ 140 kPa	
Peak Hour	264.5	29.9 / 293.3	
1) Water demand calculation per <i>Water Supply Guidelines</i> . See <i>Appendix B</i> for detailed calculations.			
2) Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa for the demands indicated in the correspondence;			
assumed ground elevation 77.0m (Connection 1). See Appendix B for detailed calculations.			

Table 2Water Demand and Boundary Conditions

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand, as indicated in the boundary request correspondence included in *Appendix B*.

Based on the updated Site Plan, the estimated water demand for the site decreased by approximately 13%. It is not anticipated to have a significant impact on the previously provided boundary conditions.

The City provided the available fire flow at 140 kPa along with the anticipated minimum and maximum water pressures for the demands, as indicated by the correspondence included in *Appendix B*. The minimum and maximum pressures fall within the required range identified in *Table 1*. Based on the City of Ottawa boundary conditions, the existing municipal infrastructure is capable of providing *12,360 L/min* near the proposed connection at 140 kPa during fire flow demands.

For the purpose of estimating fire flow, the short method within the National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) standards were utilized. As indicated by Section 11.2.2 from the *NFPA Standards*, fire flow requirements are to be determined by combining the required flow rate for the sprinkler system, along with the anticipated hose stream. As indicated by Table 11.2.2.1 and Table 11.2.3.1.2 extracted from the *NFPA Standards* and included in *Appendix B*, the anticipated fire flow requirements for the sprinkler system is *3,200 L/min* (850 gpm) and the anticipated internal and external total combined inside and outside hose stream demand is *950 L/min* (250 gpm).

As a result, the total fire flow is anticipated to be *4,150 L/min* (1,100 gpm). Based on the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, sufficient supply is available for fire flow. A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed to design the building fire suppression system and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

In accordance with City of Ottawa technical bulletin ISDTB-2014-02, redundant service connections will be required due to an anticipated design flow of greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup>/day.

The existing valve box within the Clemow Avenue right-of-way allowing for a redundant service should the watermain close within Clemow Avenue from the east or west.

#### 3.3 Water Supply Conclusion

The estimated water demand under proposed conditions was submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions. Based on the updated Site Plan, the estimated water demand for the site decreased by approximately 8%. It is not anticipated to have a significant impact on the previously provided boundary conditions.

In accordance with *NFPA Standards, 4,150 L/min of* fire flow is required for the proposed development. As demonstrated by the boundary conditions, the municipal system is capable of delivering water within the *Water Supply Guidelines* pressure range and supply the required fire flow.

DSEL employed a daily consumption rate of 280 L/person/day to align with the revised wastewater rates identified by City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03. As a result, DSEL is submitting for a deviation from the *Water Supply Guidelines*.

#### 4.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

#### 4.1 Existing Wastewater Services

The subject site lies within the Rideau Canal Interceptor catchment area, as shown by the City sewer mapping included in *Appendix C*. Existing 300 mm diameter combined sewers within the Clemow Avenue, Cambridge Street South, and Carling Avenue right-of-ways are available to service the proposed development.

#### 4.2 Wastewater Design

It is proposed that the development be serviced via a 200 mm sanitary service to the existing 300 mm diameter combined sewer located within the Clemow Avenue right-of-way. Refer to drawing **SSP-1** for a detailed site servicing layout.

*Table 3,* below, summarizes the *City Standards* employed in the design of the proposed wastewater sewer system.

Design Parameter	Value	
Residential 1 Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit	
Residential 2 Bedroom Apartment	2.1 P/unit	
Average Daily Demand	280 L/d/per	
Peaking Factor	Harmon's Peaking Factor. Max 4.0, Min 2.0	
	Harmon Correction Factor 0.8	
Commercial Floor/Amenity Space	2.5 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d	
Nursing/Rest Homes	450 L/Bed/d	
Housekeeping Facilities	275 L/P/d	
Dining Room/Kitchen	115 L/9.3m <sup>3</sup> /d	
Office Space	75 L/9.3m <sup>3</sup> /d	
Commercial Peaking Factor	1.0	
Infiltration and Inflow Allowance	0.05 L/s/ha (Dry Weather)	
	0.28 L/s/ha (Wet Weather)	
	0.33 L/s/ha (Total)	
Sanitary sewers are to be sized employing the	$1 \ln \frac{2}{3} \ln \frac{1}{2}$	
Manning's Equation	$Q = -AR^{3}S^{2}$	
Minimum Sewer Size	200 mm diameter	
Minimum Manning's 'n'	0.013	
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.5m from crown of sewer to grade	
Minimum Full Flowing Velocity	0.6m/s	
Maximum Full Flowing Velocity	3.0m/s	
	0.011/0	
Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sew	er Design Guidelines, October 2012 and Technical Bulletin ISTB-	

Table 3 Wastewater Design Criteria

Extracted from Sections 4 and 6 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, October 2012 and Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-03.

*Table 4,* below, demonstrates the estimated peak flow from the proposed development based on the development statistics provided by RLA Architecture. See *Appendix C* for associated calculations.

Design Parameter	Total Flow (L/s)
Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow	5.58
Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow	7.21
Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow	7.27

Table 4Summary of Estimated Peak Wastewater Flow

The peak wet weather sanitary flow based on the Site Plan dated January 24<sup>th</sup>, 2019, prepared by RLA Architecture and included in *Drawings/Figures,* is **7.27** *L/s*.

As discussed in **Section 6.0** of the report, there will be a net decrease in total stormwater and sanitary flow is proposed to the existing combined sewer.

#### 4.3 Wastewater Servicing Conclusions

The subject site lies within the Rideau Canal Interceptor catchment area. It is proposed that the development be serviced via a 200 mm sanitary service to the existing 300 mm diameter combined sewer within Clemow Avenue, tributary to the Rideau Canal Interceptor.

As discussed in **Section 6.0** of the report, there will be a net decrease in total stormwater and sanitary flow is proposed to the existing combined sewer.

The proposed wastewater design conforms to all relevant *City Standards*.

#### 5.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 5.1 Existing Stormwater Services

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa combined sewer system and is located within the Ottawa Central sub-watershed. As such, approvals for proposed development within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa.

Flows that influence the watershed in which the subject property is located are further reviewed by the principal authority. The subject property is located within the Ottawa River watershed, and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). Consultation with the RVCA is located in *Appendix A*.

It was assumed that the existing development contained no stormwater management controls for flow attenuation. The estimated combined pre-development peak flows for the 2, 5, and 100-year storm events are summarized in *Table 5*:

City of Ottawa Design Storm	Estimated Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
2-year	56.1
5-year	76.8
100-year	151.4

Table 5Summary of Existing Peak Storm Flow Rates

#### 5.2 Post-development Stormwater Management Target

Stormwater management requirements for the proposed development were reviewed with the City of Ottawa, generating the following requirements for the proposed development:

- Meet a total allowable combined release rate based on a Rational Method Coefficient of 0.40, employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 2-year storm with a time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 minutes;
- Attenuate all storms up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year design event on site;
- Quality controls are not required for the proposed development due to the combined sewer outlet; correspondence with the RVCA is included in *Appendix A*.

Based on the above stated requirements, the allowable stormwater release rate for the proposed development is **16.3 L/s**. As discussed in **Section 6.0** of this report and outlined in **Table 7**, there is a net reduction in combined sanitary and stormwater flow rates to the existing combined sewer from the existing condition.

#### 5.3 Proposed Stormwater Management System

It is proposed that the stormwater outlet from the development will be to the existing 300 mm diameter combined sewer within Clemow Avenue via a 250 mm diameter storm service. Refer to drawing *SSP-1* for a detailed site servicing layout.

To meet the stormwater objectives the proposed development will contain flow attenuation via an internal cistern. Detailed design of the cistern will be completed by the architect and the mechanical engineer.

As coordinated with the architect and mechanical engineer, stormwater runoff collected from the roof area will be directed to an **85.0** *m*<sup>3</sup> internal stormwater cistern. Cistern flow will be pumped to a maximum release rate of **2.9** *L*/*s* using the internal mechanical system and will outlet to the municipal combined sewer within Clemow Avenue, as shown by **SSP-1**. Foundation drains are to be connected downstream of any cistern controls. Detailed calculations are located in *Appendix D* and cistern detail prepared by Quadrant is included in *Drawings/Figures*.

Based on the Geotechnical Memo (*Paterson, Memo.02*), post-development groundwater flows will be less than *50,000 L/day*, with peak periods noted after rain events. This groundwater infiltration was included within the total post-development site release rate.

*Table 6,* below, summarizes post-development flow rates based on the proposed Site Plan and *Paterson, Memo.02*.

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage Required
	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m³)
Unattenuated Areas	6.0	0.0	12.8	0.0
Attenuated Areas	1.5	41.5	2.9	82.3
Ground Water Infiltration*	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0
Total	8.0	41.5	16.3	82.3
*Including 50,000 L/day groundwater infiltration as indicated by (Paterson, Memo.02)				

Table 6Stormwater Flow Rate Summary

It is calculated that **82.3**  $m^3$  of storage will be required on site to attenuate flow to the established release rate of **16.3** *L*/s and will be provided via an **85.0**  $m^3$  internal cistern.

As discussed in **Section 6.0** of this report and outlined in **Table 7**, there is a net reduction in total sanitary and stormwater flow rates to the existing combined sewer proposed.

#### 5.4 Stormwater Quality Control

Quality controls are not required for the proposed development due to the combined sewer outlet; correspondence with the RVCA is included in *Appendix A*.

#### 5.5 Stormwater Servicing Conclusions

Post development stormwater runoff will be required to be restricted to the allowable target release rate for storm events up to and including the 100-year storm, in accordance with *City Standards*.

Based on the above stated requirements, the allowable release rate for the proposed development is **16.3** *L*/**s**. It is estimated that **82.3**  $m^3$  of storage is required to meet this release rate and will be provided via an **85.0**  $m^3$  internal cistern.

Quality controls are not required for the proposed development due to the combined sewer outlet.

The proposed stormwater design conforms to all relevant *City Standards* and Policies for approval.

#### 6.0 COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM FLOW

Under existing conditions, the site contains no stormwater management system for flow attenuation. Therefore, the pre-development "design" combined flow during the 2-year storm event was estimated to be approximately **56.1 L/s**. The assessment of the pre-development combined flow condition assumes peak wastewater rates during a 2-year storm event.

The post-development combined flow for all storms up to and including a 100-year event will be limited to **23.5 L/s**. This value includes the peak dry weather sanitary flow and both controlled and uncontrolled flows directed from the subject property.

Based on the current Site Plan, the development proposes to control stormwater to an allowable release rate of **16.3** *L*/**s**, calculated based on the design parameters outlined in **Section 5.2**.

*Table 7,* below, summarizes the allowable and proposed release rates from the development.

City of Ottawa Design Storm	Existing Peak Flow Rate (L/s)	Proposed Peak Flow Rate (L/s)
Wastewater	0.0	7.2
Stormwater*	56.1	16.3
Combined	56.1	23.5
*Including 50,000 L/day groundwater infiltration as indicated by (Paterson, Memo.02)		

Table 7Summary of Existing and Proposed Release Rates

As indicated by Table 7, above, based on the proposed flow rate of **23.5** *L/s*, the postdevelopment combined flow will result in a net reduction to the existing combined sewer by approximately **32.6** *L/s* or **58%** during a 2-year storm event.

### 7.0 UTILITIES

Gas and Hydro services currently exist within the Clemow Avenue and Cambridge Street South right-of-ways. Utility servicing will be coordinated with the individual utility companies prior to site development.

#### 8.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Soil erosion occurs naturally and is a function of soil type, climate and topography. During construction the extent of erosion losses is exaggerated due to the removal of vegetation and the top layer of soil becoming agitated.

Prior to topsoil stripping, earthworks or underground construction, erosion and sediment controls will be implemented and will be maintained throughout construction.

Silt fence will be installed around the perimeter of the site and will be cleaned and maintained throughout construction. Silt fence will remain in place until the working areas have been stabilized and re-vegetated.

Catch basins will have SILTSACKs or an approved equivalent installed under the grate during construction to protect from silt entering the storm sewer system.

A mud mat will be installed at the construction access in order to prevent mud tracking onto adjacent roads.

Erosion and sediment controls must be in place during construction. The following recommendations to the contractor will be included in contract documents:

- Limit extent of exposed soils at any given time;
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible;
- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed;
- Protect exposed slopes with plastic or synthetic mulches;
- Install silt fence to prevent sediment from entering existing ditches;
- No refueling or cleaning of equipment near existing watercourses;
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering;
- Install filter cloth between catch basins and frames;
- Plan construction at proper time to avoid flooding;
- Establish material stockpiles away from watercourses, so that barriers and filters may be installed.

The contractor will, at every rainfall, complete inspections and guarantee proper performance. The inspection is to include:

- Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers;
- Clean and change filter cloth at catch basins.

#### 9.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS

David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (DSEL) has been retained by Katasa Groupe + Développement to prepare a Servicing and Stormwater Management report in support of the application for Site Plan Control (SPC) at 275 Carling Avenue. The preceding report outlines the following:

- Based on boundary conditions provided by the City, the existing municipal water infrastructure is capable of providing the proposed development with water within the City's required pressure range;
- Fire flow requirements were estimated in accordance with NFPA Standards. Based on the boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa, sufficient flow is available to service the development;
- It is proposed to attenuate post development storm and sanitary flows to an equivalent combined release rate of 23.5 L/s. The combined release rate of sanitary and stormwater flow from the proposed site provides a reduction in flow of approximately 58% to the combined sewer within Clemow Avenue;
- The proposed development is estimated to have a peak wet weather flow of 7.27 L/s;
- Based on City of Ottawa design criteria, the post development storm allowable release rate was established as *16.3 L/s*;
- > It is proposed that stormwater objective be met through storm water retention via cistern storage, it is calculated that **82.3**  $m^3$  of onsite storage will be required to attenuate flow to the established release rate above and will be provided via an internal **85.0**  $m^3$  cistern;
- Quality controls are not required for the proposed development due to the combined sewer outlet, correspondence with the RVCA is included in *Appendix A*;
- The development proposes to outlet to a combined sewer, as a result, the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) will require an Environmental Compliance Application (ECA) to be submitted under the Direct Submission process.

Prepared by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. Prepared by, **David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.** 

Werding

 $\mathbb{C}$ 

Per: Alison J. Gosling, EIT.

Per: Charlotte M. Kelly, EIT.

#### Reviewed by, David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd.



Per: Robert D. Freel, P.Eng.

# APPENDIX A

**Pre-Consultation** 

### **DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST**

19-1147

	General Content	
	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
	Date and revision number of the report.	Report Cover Sheet
	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	Drawings/Figures
$\boxtimes$	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figure 1, EX-1
$\boxtimes$	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.	Section 1.0
	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 1.3
	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	Section 2.1
$\boxtimes$	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0
	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Sections 3.1, 4.1, 5.1, EX-1
	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	N/A
	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	GP-1
	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	N/A
	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: -Metric scale -North arrow (including construction North) -Key plan -Name and contact information of applicant and property owner -Property limits including bearings and dimensions -Existing and proposed structures and parking areas	SP-1
	-Easements, road widening and rights-of-way	
	-Adjacent street names	
4.2	Development Servicing Report: Water	
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A
	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	Section 3.1

 ☑
 Identification of system constraints
 Section 3.1

 ☑
 Identify boundary conditions
 Section 3.1, 3.2

 ☑
 Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure
 Section 3.3

1

	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is	
$\boxtimes$	calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.	Section 3.2
	Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	N/A
	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	N/A
	Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	N/A
	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification	N/A
	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Section 3.2, 3.3
	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	N/A
	Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
$\boxtimes$	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 3.2
	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
4.3	Development Servicing Report: Wastewater	
	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 4.2
	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A
	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A
$\boxtimes$	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 4.1
	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	Section 4.2
	Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 4.2, Appendix C
$\boxtimes$	Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 4.2
	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality)	N/A

	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping	N/A
	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity	N/A
	Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
	Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A
4.4	Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist	
$\boxtimes$	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	Section 5.1
$\boxtimes$	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	Section 5.1, 7.0, Appendix D
$\boxtimes$	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Drawings/Figures
$\boxtimes$	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	Section 5.2, 5.4, 5.5
$\boxtimes$	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	Section 5.2, 5.4, 5.5
$\boxtimes$	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information	Section 5.3
	Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
$\boxtimes$	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	Appendix A
	Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	N/A
$\boxtimes$	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	Section 5.3
	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	N/A
$\boxtimes$	Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	Section 5.1, 5.3
	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A
	Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	N/A
	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100- year return period storm event.	N/A
	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses	N/A
	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A

$\square$	Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for	Section 5.3
	the development.	
_	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development	
	from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall	N/A
	grading.	
	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A
$\boxtimes$	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for	Section 8.0
	the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	
	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain	
_	information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may	
	be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the	N/A
	Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information	
	does not match current conditions.	
	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical	N/A
	investigation.	
4 F	Approval and Dermit Deguirements: Checklist	
4.5	Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist	
	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of	
	floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a	
	watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement	
X	Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and	Section 1.2
	Rivers improvement ct. where there are Conservation Authority regulations in	
	place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers improvement Act is not required,	
	except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	
	Application for Certificate of Approval (CoTA) under the Ontario water	N/A
	Changes to Municipal Drains	N/A
Ш.	Other nermits (Netional Capital Commission, Parks Capada, Public Marks and	IN/A
	Covernment Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation atc.)	N/A
	Government services canada, winnstry of transportation etc.)	
16	Conclusion Checklist	
	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 0.0
Ø,	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 9.0
	comments received from review agencies including the Lity of Uttawa and	
	information on now the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the	
	responsible reviewing agency.	
	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional	
	Engineer registered in Ontario	

#### **Alison Gosling**

From:	Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca></jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>
Sent:	Friday, June 1, 2018 8:41 AM
То:	Alison Gosling
Subject:	RE: 275 Carling Avenue - Quality Control Requirement

Good Morning Alison,

Stormwater from this site would be directed to a combined storm sewer where water quality treatment is provided by the downstream Robert O. Pickard Environmental Centre. Therefore, the RVCA accepts that there is requirement for additional onsite water quality treatment measures save and except best management practices.

Jamie Batchelor, MCIP, RPP Planner Rideau Valley Conservation Authority 3889 Rideau Valley Drive 613-692-3571 ext 1191 jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca

From: Alison Gosling [mailto:AGosling@dsel.ca]
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 4:35 PM
To: Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>
Subject: RE: 275 Carling Avenue - Quality Control Requirement

Thank you!

Alison Gosling, E.I.T. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

## DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

phone: (613) 836-0856 ext.542 fax: (613) 836-7183 email: <u>agosling@dsel.ca</u>

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

From: Jamie Batchelor [mailto:jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca]
Sent: Thursday, May 31, 2018 4:34 PM
To: Alison Gosling <<u>AGosling@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: 275 Carling Avenue - Quality Control Requirement

Hi Alison,

I haven't forgot your inquiry. I'm hoping to get to it tomorrow.

From: Alison Gosling [mailto:AGosling@dsel.ca]
Sent: Monday, May 28, 2018 11:39 AM
To: Jamie Batchelor <<u>jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca</u>>
Subject: 275 Carling Avenue - Quality Control Requirement

Good afternoon Jamie,

We wanted to touch base with you regarding a development at 275 Carling Avenue. The development involves the construction of a 16-storey retirement residence and commercial building with associated underground parking. The existing site consists of a paved surface parking lot as demonstrated in *Figure 1* below.

The development proposes to outlet to the existing combined sewer within Clemow Avenue. The existing combined sewer either travels approximately **3.7** *km* to an outlet into the Ottawa River during a major storm event, as shown by *Figure 2* below, or travels to the Robert O. Pickard Environmental Centre.

It is anticipated that stormwater quality controls are not required as the development proposes to outlet to the combined sewer within Clemow Avenue. Can you please confirm?



Figure 1: Existing Site



#### Figure 2: Combined Overflow Path to Ottawa River

Please feel free to contact me to discuss.

Thank you,

Alison Gosling, E.I.T. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

# **DSEL** david schaeffer engineering ltd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

 phone:
 (613) 836-0856 ext.542

 fax:
 (613) 836-7183

 email:
 agosling@dsel.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

# APPENDIX B

Water Supply

#### Water Demand Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa - Water Distribution Guidelines, July 2010



#### **Domestic Demand**

Type of Housing	Per / Unit	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Apartment			0
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4	70	98
2 Bedroom	2.1	48	101
3 Bedroom	3.1		0
Average	1.8		0

	Рор	Avg. Daily		🖉 🔰 Max Day Ƴ		Peak Hour 🌱	
		m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Total Domestic Demand	199	55.7	38.7	200.6	139.3	300.9	209.0

#### Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Demand

			Avg. [	Daily	Max D	ay Ƴ	Peak Ho	our YY
Property Type	Unit Rate	Units	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min	m³/d	L/min
Nursing / Rest homes <b>†</b>	450 L/bed/d	50	22.50	15.6	33.8	23.4	60.8	42.2
Housekeeping Facilities †	275 L/person/d	186.0	5.50	3.8	8.2	5.7	14.8	10.3
Dining Room/Kitchen*	115 L/seat/d	1085.4	1.12	0.8	1.7	1.2	3.0	2.1
Office Space*	75 L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d	122.8	0.08	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2
Commercial/Amentities Space*	2.5 L/m²/d	2104.0	0.44	0.3	0.7	0.5	1.2	0.8
	Total I/	CI Demand	29.6	20.6	44.5	30.9	80.0	55.6
	Tot	tal Demand	85.4	59.3	245.1	170.2	380.9	264.5

*†* Flow rates per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelins Appendix 4A

\* Assumed 12 Hour operation per day

Y Residential Max Day Peaking Factor =	3.6	Y Commercial Max Day Peaking Factor =	1.5
YY Residential Peak Hour Peaking Factor =	5.4	YY Commercial Peak Hour Peaking Factor =	1.8

Fire Flow Water Supply	Estimation per Fire Under For Public Fire Protection - 1999	write	rs Surve	у					DE	EL
Fire Flow Re	equired									
1. Ba	se Requirement									
	$F = 220C\sqrt{A}$	L/i	min	Where	ə <b>F</b> is	the fire flow	, <b>C</b> is the	Type of construction	n and <b>A</b> is the Tot	al floor area
	Type of Construction:	No	on-Combus	tible Cor	nstructi	on				
		C A	0.8 9872.7	<i>Type</i> m²	of Con Tota	struction Co I floor area I	efficient p based on l	er FUS Part II, Secti FUS Part II section 1	on 1	
	Fire Flow		17487. <b>17000.</b>	6 L/min <b>0 L/min</b>	roun	ded to the n	earest 1,0	000 L/min		
Adjustments	S									
2. Re	eduction for Occupancy Type									
	Limited Combustible		-15%	6						
	Fire Flow		14450.	0 L/min	-					
3. Re	eduction for Sprinkler Protection									
	Sprinklered - Supervised		-50%	6						
	Reduction		-722	5 L/min	-					
4. Inc N S E W	Crease for Separation Distance Cons. of Exposed Wall Ordinary - Unprotected Openings Ordinary - Unprotected Openings Ordinary - Unprotected Openings Ordinary - Unprotected Openings Ordinary - Unprotected Openings	<b>S.</b> 20 3. 30 <b>%</b>	D 0.1m-30m 0.1m-30m 1m-10m 0.1m-45m Increase 5780.0	Lw 2: 5: 4: 0 L/min	Ha 6 3 1 9	<b>LH</b> 3 3 8 7	EC 78 69 408 343	8% 8% 19% <u>5%</u> <b>40%</b> value not to	exceed 75%	
Total Fire FI	Lw = Length of the Exposed Wall Ha = number of storeys of the adjace LH = Length-height factor of expose EC = Exposure Charge	ent stru d wall. \	cture (maxir /alue round	mum 5 s led up.	tories)					
	Fire Flow		13005.	0 L/min	fire f	low not to e.	xceed 45,0	000 L/min nor be les	s than 2,000 L/min	per FUS Section 4
			13000.	0 L/min	roun	ded to the n	earest 1,0	000 L/min		

Notes:

-Type of construction, Occupancy Type and Sprinkler Protection information provided by \_\_\_\_\_\_. -Calculations based on Fire Underwriters Survey - Part II

#### Katasa Groupe + Développment 275 Carling Avenue Boundary Conditions

# Boundary Conditions Unit Conversion Connection 1:

	Height (m) Elevat	ion (m	m H₂O	PSI	kPa		L/s	L/min
Avg. DD	115.4	77	38.4	54.6	376.7	Fire Flow @ 140kPa	215	12900
Fire Flow			0.0	0.0	0.0			
Peak Hou	r 106.9	77	29.9	42.5	293.3			

### **Alison Gosling**

From:	Alison Gosling
Sent:	Friday, May 18, 2018 10:18 AM
То:	'Buchanan, Richard'
Cc:	Robert Freel
Subject:	275 Carling Avenue - Boundary Condition Request

Good morning Richard,

We would like to request water boundary conditions for Clemow Avenue using the following proposed development demands:

- 1. Location of Service / Street Number: 275 Carling Avenue
- 2. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required for the proposed development:
  - The proposed development is mixed use retirement residence/commercial. The full build-out proposes 168 residential units and 1,243 m<sup>2</sup> of commercial space and medical facilities.
  - It is anticipated that the development will have a dual connection to be services from the existing 203 mm diameter watermain within Clemow Avenue, as shown by the attached map.
  - A maximum fire flow of 14,000L/s is anticipated for the development.

#### 3.

	L/min	L/s
Avg. Daily	68.4	1.14
Max Day	204.2	3.40
Peak Hour	315.3	5.25



If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Alison Gosling, E.I.T. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

# **DSEL** david schaeffer engineering Itd.

120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

 phone:
 (613) 836-0856 ext.542

 fax:
 (613) 836-7183

 email:
 agosling@dsel.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

#### **Alison Gosling**

From:Buchanan, Richard <Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca>Sent:Tuesday, May 22, 2018 1:27 PMTo:Alison GoslingSubject:FW: 275 Carling Avenue - Boundary Condition RequestAttachments:275 Carling May 2018.pdf

Good afternoon Alison,

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 275 Carling (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to two locations to the 203 mm on Clemow (see PDF for locations).

Minimum HGL = 106.9 m (Both Connections) Maximum HGL = 115.4 m (Both Connections)

```
Available Fire Flow = 215 L/s (Connection 1) assuming a residual of 20 psi and a ground elevation of 77.0 m
Available Fire Flow = 206 L/s (Connection 2) assuming a residual of 20 psi and a ground elevation of 76.4 m
```

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

#### **Richard Buchanan, CET**

Project Manager, Development Approvals Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department Planning & Growth Management Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa \$613.580.2424 ext./poste 27801 ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

From: Alison Gosling <<u>AGosling@dsel.ca</u>>
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2018 10:18 AM
To: Buchanan, Richard <<u>Richard.Buchanan@ottawa.ca</u>>
Cc: Robert Freel <<u>RFreel@dsel.ca</u>>
Subject: 275 Carling Avenue - Boundary Condition Request

Good morning Richard,

We would like to request water boundary conditions for Clemow Avenue using the following proposed development demands:

- 1. Location of Service / Street Number: 275 Carling Avenue
- 2. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required for the proposed development:
  - The proposed development is mixed use retirement residence/commercial. The full build-out proposes 168 residential units and 1,243 m<sup>2</sup> of commercial space and medical facilities.
  - It is anticipated that the development will have a dual connection to be services from the existing 203 mm diameter watermain within Clemow Avenue, as shown by the attached map.
  - A maximum fire flow of 14,000L/s is anticipated for the development.

2		
.3		
-	•	

	L/min	L/s
Avg. Daily	68.4	1.14
Max Day	204.2	3.40
Peak Hour	315.3	5.25



If you have any questions please feel free to contact me.

Thank you,

Alison Gosling, E.I.T. Project Coordinator / Junior Designer

# DSEL

david schaeffer engineering ltd.

#### 120 Iber Road, Unit 103 Stittsville, ON K2S 1E9

۱

,

 phone:
 (613) 836-0856 ext.542

 fax:
 (613) 836-7183

 email:
 agosling@dsel.ca

This email, including any attachments, is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain private, confidential, and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure, or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, or if this information has been inappropriately forwarded to you, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



## National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) 13 – Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems

Table 11.2.2.1, Table 11.2.3.1.2

# National Fire Protection Association 13 - Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems Report, Table 11.2.2.1

Occupancy	Minimum Residual Pressure Required		Acceptab Base o (Includi Stream A	Duration		
classification -	stication psi b		gpm	L/min	(minutes)	
Light hazard	15	1	500-750	1900-2850	30-60	
Ordinary hazard	20	1.4	850-1500	3200-5700	60-90	

Table 11.2.2.1 Water Supply Requirements for Pipe Schedule Sprinkler Systems

## National Fire Protection Association 13 - Standard for the Installation of Sprinkler Systems Report, Table 11.2.3.1.2

	Inside	e Hose	Total Co Inside an He	Duration		
Occupancy	gpm	L/min	gpm	L/min	(minutes)	
Light hazard	$\substack{0,59,\alpha r\\100}$	0, 190, or 380	100	380	30	
Ordinary hazard	0, 59, or 100	0, 190, or 380	250	950	6090	
Extra hazard	0, 59, or 100	0, 190, or 380	50.0	1900	90-129	

Table 11.2.3.1.2 Hose Stream Allowance and Water Supply Duration Requirements for Hydraulically Calculated Systems



Water Distribution System Facilities & Feedermains City of Ottawa

# APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection

Wastewater Design Flows per Unit Count City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012



Site Area			0.21 <b>ha</b>
Extraneous Flow Allowances	Infiltration / I Infiltration / Infiltration / Ir	Inflow (Wet) Inflow (Dry) Iflow (Total)	0.01 L/s 0.06 L/s 0.07 L/s
Domestic Contributions			_
Unit Type	Unit Rate	Units	Рор
Single Family	3.4		0
Semi-detached and duplex	2.7		0
Townhouse	2.7		0
Stacked Townhouse	2.3		0
Apartment			
Bachelor	1.4		0
1 Bedroom	1.4	70	98
2 Bedroom	2.1	48	101

Total Pop	199
Average Domestic Flow	0.64 L/s
Peaking Factor	3.52
Peak Domestic Flow	2.27 L/s

3.1

1.8

#### Institutional / Commercial / Industrial Contributions

3 Bedroom

Average

Property Type	Unit Rate	No. of Units	Avg Wastewater (L/s)	
Nursing / Rest homes <b>†</b>	450 L/bed/d	50	0.52	
Housekeeping Facilities †	275 L/person/d	186.0	1.18	
Dining Room/Kitchen*	115 L/seat/d	1085.4	2.89	
Office Space*	75 L/9.3m <sup>2</sup> /d	122.8	0.21	
Commercial/Amenity Space*	2.5 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d	2104.0	0.12	

Average I/C/I Flow	4.93
Peak Institutional / Commercial Flow	4.93
Peak Industrial Flow**	0.00
Peak I/C/I Flow	4.93

0

0

\* assuming a 12 hour commercial operation

\*\* peak industrial flow per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4B

Total Estimated Average Dry Weather Flow Rate	5.58 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Dry Weather Flow Rate	7.21 L/s
Total Estimated Peak Wet Weather Flow Rate	7.27 L/s

# CITY OF OTTAWA-SANITARY TRUNK AND COLLECTION AREAS



# APPENDIX D

# Stormwater Management

Estimated Peak Stormwater Flow Rate City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012

#### Existing Drainage Charateristics From Internal Site

Area	0.191 ha
С	0.87 Rational Method runoff coefficient
L	35.0 m
Up Elev	77.23 m
Dn Elev	76.16 m
Slope	3.1 %
Tc	3.0 min

1) Time of Concentration per Federal Aviation Administration

t —	$1.8(1.1-C)L^{0.5}$	
$\iota_c$	_	S <sup>0.333</sup>

tc, in minutes

C, rational method coefficient, (-)

L, length in ft

S, average watershed slope in %

#### **Estimated Peak Flow**

	2-year	5-year	100-year	
i	121.3	165.9	285.7	mm/hr
Q	56.1	76.8	151.4	L/s

Note:

C value for the 100-year storm is increased by 25%, to a maximum of 1.0 per Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (5.4.5.2.1)

	Imp.	Perv.	Total
Area	0.183	0.007	0.191
С	0.9	0.2	0.87

#### Stormwater - Proposed Development City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 Target Flow Rate Area 0.191 ha С 0.40 Rational Method runoff coefficient tc 10.0 min 2-year 76.8 mm/hr i Q 16.3 L/s Target Long Tem Post-Development Groundwater 50000 L/day \*As per Geotechnical Response to City Comments (PG2682-MEMO.02) Q Q 0.6 L/s prepared by Paterson Group and dated February 7th, 2019. Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Unattenuated Areas Imp. Total Perv. 0.033 Area ID U1 Area 0.020 0.013 **Total Area** 0.033 ha с 0.9 0.2 0.62 0.62 Rational Method runoff coefficient С 100-year 5-year **Q**<sub>actual</sub> $V_{\text{stored}}$ **Q**<sub>stored</sub> $\mathbf{V}_{\text{stored}}$ $\mathbf{t}_{c}$ i **Q**<sub>release</sub> **Q**<sub>stored</sub> i **Q**actual **Q**<sub>release</sub> <u>(mm/hr)</u> <u>(m</u>m/hr) (L/s) (m<sup>3</sup>) (L/s) (L/s) (L/s) (L/s) (L/s) (m<sup>3</sup>) (min) 104.2 178.6 6.0 0.0 10.0 6.0 0.0 0.0 12.8 12.8 Estimated Post Development Peak Flow from Attenuated Areas Imp. Perv. Total Area ID **A1** 0.158 ha Area C 0.158 0.146 0.011 **Total Area** 0.9 0.2 0.85 С 0.85 Rational Method runoff coefficient

	5-vear					100-vear				
t <sub>c</sub>	i (mm/br)	Q <sub>actual</sub>	Q <sub>release</sub>	Q <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>	i (mm/br)	Q <sub>actual</sub>	Q <sub>release</sub>	Q <sub>stored</sub>	V <sub>stored</sub>
(1111)	16.4	([13]	(L/3)	([13]	(11)	07.6	(13)	([13]	(L/3)	<u>(m)</u>
150	10.4	6.1	1.5	4.0	41.3	27.0	12.1	2.9	9.1	02.3
155	15.9	5.9	1.5	4.5	41.4	26.9	11.8	2.9	8.8	82.2
160	15.6	5.8	1.5	4.3	41.3	26.2	11.5	2.9	8.5	82.0
165	15.2	5.7	1.5	4.2	41.3	25.6	11.2	2.9	8.3	81.8
170	14.8	5.5	1.5	4.0	41.2	25.0	10.9	2.9	8.0	81.7
175	14.5	5.4	1.5	3.9	41.1	24.4	10.7	2.9	7.8	81.4
180	14.2	5.3	1.5	3.8	41.0	23.9	10.5	2.9	7.5	81.2
185	13.9	5.2	1.5	3.7	40.8	23.4	10.2	2.9	7.3	81.0
190	13.6	5.1	1.5	3.6	40.7	22.9	10.0	2.9	7.1	80.7
195	13.3	5.0	1.5	3.5	40.6	22.4	9.8	2.9	6.9	80.4
200	13.0	4.9	1.5	3.4	40.5	22.0	9.6	2.9	6.7	80.2
205	12.8	4.8	1.5	3.3	40.3	21.6	9.4	2.9	6.5	79.9
210	12.6	4.7	1.5	3.2	40.2	21.1	9.3	2.9	6.3	79.5
215	12.3	4.6	1.5	3.1	40.0	20.8	9.1	2.9	6.1	79.2
220	12.1	4.5	1.5	3.0	39.8	20.4	8.9	2.9	6.0	78.9
225	11.9	4.4	1.5	2.9	39.7	20.0	8.8	2.9	5.8	78.5
230	11.7	4.3	1.5	2.9	39.5	19.7	8.6	2.9	5.7	78.2
235	11.5	4.3	1.5	2.8	39.3	19.3	8.5	2.9	5.5	77.8
240	11.3	4.2	1.5	2.7	39.1	19.0	8.3	2.9	5.4	77.4
245	11.1	4.1	1.5	2.6	38.9	18.7	8.2	2.9	5.2	77.0
250	10.9	4.1	1.5	2.6	38.7	18.4	8.1	2.9	5.1	76.6

5-year Q<sub>attenuated</sub> 5-year Max. Storage Required

1.49 L/s 41.5 m<sup>3</sup>

100-year Q<sub>attenuated</sub> 100-year Max. Storage Required

2.94 L/s 82.3 m<sup>3</sup> 0.0

Summary of Release Rates and Storage Volumes

Control Area	5-Year Release Rate	5-Year Storage	100-Year Release Rate	100-Year Storage
	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	(L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )
Unattenuated Areas	6.0	0.0	12.8	0.0
Attenutated Areas	1.5	41.5	2.9	82.3
Ground Water Infiltration	0.6	0.0	0.6	0.0
Total	8.0	41.5	16.3	82.3

**DRAWINGS / FIGURES** 



PAPER SIZE: ISO Full Bleed B1 (707.00 X 1000.00 M M) OT DATE: Thursday, November 07, 2019



F:\2016\1628 Carling @ Cambridge (Taggart)\01\_Design Development\1628 275 Carling Site Plan 2019 05 07.dwg

TES:
SHEET FOR WALL, OR TYPES.
RE REQUIREMENTS A900 SERIES.
TAKEN FROM THE
TAKEN FROM THE
TYPE 'W1' UNLESS
O BE TYPE 'P1'



NORTH ARROW:





SP-

-0120 8  $\sim$ 





5 CISTERN STORM WATER MANAGEMENT SYSTEM, CISTERN SCHEMATIC



<u>CISTERN NOTES</u> SEE IBI GROUP STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT FOR ADDITIONAL SUPPORTING INFORMATION FOR THIS SYSTEM. THAT REPORT SHALL FORM PART OF THE SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS FOR THIS CONTRACT. CONTRACTOR SHALL READ THE REPORT PRIOR TO SUBMITTING CONTRACT PRICE. 2. THIS CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE PIPING, CONTROLS, CONDUIT, WIRING AND EQUIPMENT FOR THE CISTERN, CISTERN TO BE PROVIDED BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR. 3. ALL PIPING SHALL BE PRESSURE PIPING INCLUDING STORM UP TO THE 3RD FLOOR. 4. WATER LEVEL LOWER THAN FLOAT LEVEL X.2 SHALL SHUT PUMPS OFF. 5. PROVIDE CONTROLS WITH INDICATOR LIGHTS FOR ALL FLOAT LEVELS AND PUMP OPERATION INDICATOR. 6. ALL PIPING TO BE IDENTIFIED AS "NON-POTABLE WATER" IN ADDITION TO ANY IDENTIFICATION REQUIRED IN THE SPECIFICATION. 7. ALL PENETRATIONS OF THE CISTERN SHALL BE MADE PRESSURE AND WATER TIGHT. 8. THIS CONTRACTOR TO MEASURE AREA OF CISTERN AFTER IT IS FORWED AND DETERMINE HEIGHTS OF ALL WATER LEVELS, FLOAT LEVELS AND PIPE PENETRATIONS. SUBMIT FABRICATION SKETCH TO ENGINEER FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO STARTING WORK.





## THIS DRAWING, OR PARTS THEREOF, MAY NOT BE REPRODUCED OR USED IN ANY FORM, BY ANY METHOD, FOR ANY PURPOSE, WITHOUT THE WRITTEN PERMISSION OF QUADRANT ENGINEERING.

THIS DRAWING IS TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATION. WHERE CONFLICT ARISES THE MORE STRINGENT OF THE TWO SHALL APPLY.

COPYRIGHT © 2019 QUADRANT ENGINEERING.

THE MECHANICAL CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE THE OF QUADRANT ENGINEERING LIMITED (QEL) THE CONTRACTOR SHALL OBTAIN A FULL SET OF , CONSULTANTS' DESIGN DOCUMENTS PRIOR TO STA WORK.

QEL BEARS NO RESPONSIBILITY FOR INTERPRETATION THESE DOCUMENTS BY OTHERS.

UPON WRITTEN APPLICATION QEL WILL PROVIDE WE CLARIFICATION REGARDING THE INTENT OF THE CO DOCUMENTS.

QEL REVIEW OF SHOP DRAWINGS SUBMITTED BY CONTRACTOR IS FOR DESIGN CONFORMANCE ONLY DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED FOR CONSTRU CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL CONDITIONS AND DIM ON SITE, REQUIRED TO PERFORM THE WORK AND ANY DISCREPANCIES WITH THE CONTRACT DOCUME

THE DESIGN TEAM BEFORE COMMENCING WORK.

6	ISSUED FOR PERMIT		JUNE 2
5	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION		MAY 31
4	ISSUED FOR PROGRESS		APR 30
3	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION		APR 16
2	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	(33%)	MAR 19
1	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION		FEB 28
NO	REVISION		C
OTT	275 CARLIN CARLING @	CAM	BRI[
	2283 ST. LAURENT BLVD. UNIT 2 TEL. (613) 567–1487 E–Mail: mail@quad	RA Instaelectric 203, OTTAW FAX. Irantengin	A, ONT. K (613) 56 eering.co
		CURRENT D Thu, 20	DATE D Jun 20
	LESSION	SCALE	1:125
	PROFLOSIONAL	DRAWN	JT
	See See	DESIGNED	JT
	CWI CLARK Z	CHECKED	CWC
		PROJECT	Q18092
	$\langle \circ \rangle \rangle \rangle \sim \langle \circ \rangle$		

M-160

DRAWING NUMBER

P1 FLOOR PLAN

21, 2019 JT 1, 2019 JT 0, 2019 JT 6, 2019 JT 9, 2019 JT 8, 2019 JT 0ATE PLOT DATE PLOT DATE ONTARIO 0NTARIO	E PR ALL ARTIN TIONS VRITTI ONTR THE Y. UCTIC MENS D REF ENTS		RTY	
ONTARIO ONTARIO (16 5A2 57-1493 ca 019, 17 56	21, 2 1, 20 0, 20 6, 20 9, 20 8, 20 DATE	019 )19 )19 )19 )19 )19	JT JT JT JT JT JT JT PLOT BY	
	C ( ( ( ( () () () () () () () () () () ()	5 E DNTA 93 17	.RIO	



- LOT LOT LOT 3 18864 PLAN 4R -(BY-LAW 4112, INST. 133994) 75.9 (FORMERLY CRAWFORD STREET) Asphalt \_\_\_\_\_ s \_\_\_\_\_ s \_\_\_\_\_ s 04:04 - 0204 G X \_\_\_\_\_ 76.59 76.48 45 76.34 76.34 76.35 35 76.37 76.13 T/G=76.29 ×76.74 Ø 50mm 76.78 Sidewalk 76.90 AN UP 76.57 76.43 Concrete Sidewalk 76.30 OHW 76.42 OHW OHW OHW OHW OHW OHW OHW 04:04 - 0:49 P'NLOT LOT 6 PIN 04/04 - 0/48 No. 350 2 I/2 Storey Brick Building ×77.09 Northwest Corner CRW-----0.21 East 0.33 South 9 0.5ø LOT 8 PIN 04/04 - 0201 PIN 04/04 - 0202 76.59 J75.0 v75.32 Asphalt Ramp 76 8 ×76.64 ×76.89 76.58 54 PLAN 76.53 35 75.55 / 75.3 75.72 75.61 Concrete X Curl Asphalt 0.3 East ×76.45 at C/L CRW <sub>RF</sub> **30.62** 76.90 N 58° 02' 20" E X CRW 0.25 Wide 77.27\* X x75.85 16,17 76.48" 39"CRW 76.38 76.00 1/2 00 77.20 76.31 76.32 North Face CRW
 to Line 77.26\*) × 16.19 O LS North Face CRW 0.03 North --West Face CRW 0.01 West 76.20 76.22 76.35 × 76.35 0 76.35 76.36 76.34 76.32 04:04 0203 Doorsill \_\_\_\_\_ Elev=76.36 -CB T/G≠76.15 ×76.25 LOT LOT *Ľ0*7 13 |4 76.51 ×76.31 No. 265 / Carling Avenue 8 Storey Brick Office Building BRW 76.34 , ( Brick Noted ) 76.39 × 76.37 ₩76.5 \$ 76.5 PART 3 Finished Floor Elev=76.35 PLAN 4R-1120 ×76.33 177.88" 76.40 ×76.57 4R-1120 N58°01'40"E JUJ ×76.83 <sup>2</sup> 18.34 BY-LAW 47-58. INST. CR369399 76.36 CB T/G=75.80 / (Nepean) I <sup>№</sup> (Ottawa Front) CONCESSION (Rideau Front) 8 В iσ × <sup>76.48</sup> A VENUE ----- S -----\_\_\_\_\_\_W \_\_\_\_\_\_W \_\_\_ ROAĎ No. 38 · FO \_\_\_\_\_ FØ LOT I Омн-т PLAN 28

