

Engineers, narmers a Eanascape /

Engineering

Land / Site Development

Municipal Infrastructure

Environmental / Water Resources

Traffic / Transportation

Structural

Recreational

Planning

Land / Site Development

Planning Application Management

Municipal Planning Documents & Studies

Expert Witness (OMB)

Wireless Industry

Landscape Architecture

Urban Design & Streetscapes

Open Space, Parks & Recreation Planning

Community & Residential Developments

Commercial & Institutional Sites

Environmental Restoration



Proposed 2-Storey Mixed Use Building 437 Donald B. Munro Drive

Development Servicing Study and Stormwater Management Report

PROPOSED 2-STOREY MIXED USE BUILDING 437 DONALD B. MUNRO DRIVE

DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Prepared by:

NOVATECH Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Kanata, Ontario K2M 1P6

May 31, 2019

Ref: R-2019-098 Novatech File No. 119023



May 31, 2019

City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approvals Division 110 Laurier Street West, 4th Floor Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

Attention: Jenny Kluke Planner

Dear Sir:

Re: Development Servicing Study and Stormwater Management Report 437 Donald B. Munro Drive, Carp, ON Our File No.: 119023

Please find enclosed the 'Development Servicing Study and Stormwater Management Report' for the proposed development of 437 Donald B. Munro Drive, in the Village of Carp. This report addresses the approach to site servicing and stormwater management for the subject property and is submitted in support of the Site Plan application.

Please contact the undersigned, should you have any questions or require additional information.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH

2 -12

Mark Bissett, P. Eng. Senior Project Engineer

M:\2019\119023\DATA\REPORTS\DESIGN BRIEF\119023-DSS&SWM (2019.05.31).DOCX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INT	RODUCTION1				
1.1	P	Purpose1				
1.2	L	Location and Site Description1				
1.3	P	Pre-Consultation Information 2				
1.4	P	Proposed Development				
1.5	F	Reference Material 2				
1.6	G	Seotechnical Investigation 2				
2.0	SIT	E SERVICING4				
2.1	S	Sanitary Sewage				
2.2	V	Vater5				
2.	2.1	Domestic Water Demand5				
2.	2.2	Water Supply for Fire-Fighting6				
3.0 3.1	ST(S	DRM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT				
3.	1.1	Allowable Release Rates 7				
3.2	P	Post-Development Conditions				
3.3	F	lydrologic and Hydraulic Modelling (PCSWMM)8				
3.	3.1	Model Parameters 8				
3.	3.2	Model Results9				
3.4	S	Stormwater Infiltration10				
3.	4.1	Infiltration Target10				
3.	4.2	Percolation Rate and Groundwater Depths10				
3.	4.3	Infiltration System10				
3.5	V	Vater Quality Treatment11				
4.0	SIT	E GRADING12				
4.1	Ν	lajor System Overflow Route12				
5.0	ER	OSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL				
6.0	CO	NCLUSIONS				
1.0	CL	JOURE				

LIST OF TABLES

- Table 2.1: Sanitary Flows
- Table 2.2: Water Demand Summary
- Table 3.1: Post-Development Subcatchment Parameters
- Table 3.2: Summary of Peak Flows
- Table 3.3: Infiltration Rate through Soil and Retention Time

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1 Existing Conditions
- Figure 2 Site Plan
- Figure 3 Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Correspondence
- Appendix B: Development Servicing Study Checklist
- Appendix C: Fire Flow Demand, WM Boundary Conditions, Sanitary Design Sheet
- Appendix D: Stormwater Management Calculations
- Appendix E: Stormtech Chambers Information
- Appendix F: Inlet Control Device (ICD) Information
- Appendix G: Water Quality Treatment Unit Information
- Appendix H: Stormwater Management Modeling

Appendix I: Drawings:

- General Plan of Services (119023-GP)
- Grading and Drainage Plan (119023-GR)
- Removals and Erosion Sediment Control Plan (119023-ESC)
- Storm Drainage Area Plan (119023-STM)

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Maple Leaf Homes is proposing to construct a mixed-use building in the Village of Carp. Novatech has been retained to complete the grading, servicing and stormwater management design for this project.

1.1 Purpose

This report outlines the servicing aspects of the proposed development with respect to water, sanitary and storm drainage and addresses the approach to stormwater management. This report is being submitted in support of the site plan application for the subject property.

1.2 Location and Site Description

The 0.165 hectares site is located at 437 Donald B. Munro Drive, Village of Carp, in the City of Ottawa. The property is bordered by existing commercial / residential buildings to the east and west, Donald B. Munro Drive to the north and an east-west railway corridor and Carp River to the south. The site is currently a vacant lot with some granular fill and some brush. The legal description of the property is designated as Lot 109 and Lot 114, Village of Carp, Geographic Township of West Carleton, City of Ottawa on Registered Plan 218. Refer to **Figure 1** – Existing Conditions.



Figure 1 – Existing Conditions

1.3 Pre-Consultation Information

A pre-consultation meeting was held with the City of Ottawa on June 18, 2018, at which time the client was advised of the general submission requirements. Refer to **Appendix A** for a summary of the correspondence with the City of Ottawa. Subsequent discussions were held with the City of Ottawa regarding the previously approved approach to site servicing and stormwater management.

1.4 Proposed Development

The proposed development will consist of a 2-storey mixed use building with associated parking lot and landscaped areas. Access to the subject site will be provided through a single lane driveway off Donald B. Munro Drive. Refer to **Figure 2** – Site Plan.

1.5 Reference Material

The following guidelines and supporting documents were prepared or reviewed as part of the design process:

- **City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines** (OWDG) City of Ottawa, October 2012.
- **Revisions to OWDG** (ISTB-2010-01, ISTB-2014-02, ISTB-2018-02, & ISTB-2018-04) City of Ottawa, December 2010, May 2014, March 2018, and June 2018.
- **City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines** (OSDG) City of Ottawa, October 2012.
- Revisions to OSDG (ISTB-2016-01, ISTB-2018-01, & ISTB-2018-03) City of Ottawa, September 2016 and March 2018.
- **Design Guidelines for Sewage Works and Drinking Water System** (MOE Guidelines) Ontario's Ministry of the Environment, 2008.
- **Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual** (MOE SWM Guidelines) Ontario's Ministry of the Environment, 2003.

1.6 Geotechnical Investigation

A Geotechnical Investigation Report has been prepared by Paterson Group Inc. for the proposed project:

 Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Mixed-Use Building, 437 Donald B. Munro Drive, Ottawa, Ontario (Report: PG4916-1) Paterson Group Inc., May 24, 2019.

The report identified the sub-surface conditions, construction recommendations and geotechnical inspection requirements. Surficial soils consisted of compact silty sand to sandy silt with occasional traces of clay and gravel. Groundwater was observed to be approximately 2.5 - 3 meters below the existing ground surface.



2.0 SITE SERVICING

The objective of the site servicing design is to conform to the requirements of the City of Ottawa; to provide a suitable domestic water supply, proper sewage outlets and to ensure that appropriate fire protection is provided. The servicing criteria, expected sewage flows and water demands for the subject site have been established using the City of Ottawa municipal design guidelines for sewer and water distribution. Refer to the enclosed plans and to the subsequent sections of the report for further details.

The City of Ottawa Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications requires a Development Servicing Study Checklist to confirm that each applicable item is deemed complete and ready for review by City of Ottawa Infrastructure Approvals. A completed checklist is enclosed in **Appendix B** of the report.

2.1 Sanitary Sewage

The proposed development will be serviced by two (2) 200mm diameter sanitary service connections to the existing 300mm diameter sanitary sewer within Donald B. Munro Drive and will ultimately outlet to the Carp Pumping Station on Salisbury Street.

The following City of Ottawa design criteria were used to calculate the sanitary flows from the proposed development:

- 3 Bedroom Apartment: 3.1 people/unit
- Residential Flow Rate, Average Daily: 280 L/cap/day
- Commercial Flow Rate: 28,000 L/ha/day
- Residential Peaking Factor: Harmon Equation (min=2.0, max=4.0)
- Commercial Peaking Factor: 1.5
- Infiltration: 0.33 L/s/ha

Table 2.1 identifies the pre-development and post-development sanitary flows based on the design population, using the above design criteria.

Scenario	Residential Peak Flow (L/s)	Commercial Peak Flow (L/s)	Infiltration Peak Flow (L/s)	Total Flow (L/s)
Pre-Development	0.04 (3.4 ppl)	-	0.06 (0.165 ha)	0.10
Post-Development	0.08 (6.2 ppl)	0.08 (0.165 ha)	0.06 (0.165 ha)	0.21

Table 2.1: Sanitary Flows

The existing 300mm diameter sanitary sewer within Donald B. Munro Drive runs at 0.56% with a full flow conveyance capacity of 75.5 L/s. The increase of 0.11 L/s from pre to post development corresponds to 0.1% of the total capacity. The introduction of such a small flow into the existing system will not have a significant impact to the Carp sanitary system. Refer to sanitary design sheet provided in **Appendix C**.

2.2 Water

The proposed development will be serviced by two (2) 25mm diameter watermain service connections to the existing 300mm diameter watermain within Donald B. Munro Drive.

The watermain boundary condition below was obtained from the City of Ottawa and has been included in **Appendix C**:

<u>Boundary Condition</u> – Located at the service connection to the 300mm diameter watermain on Donald B. Munro Drive. Minimum HGL = 150.0m Maximum HGL = 160.0m MaxDay + Fire Flow (60L/s) = 135.0m to 163.0m

2.2.1 Domestic Water Demand

The water demands for the proposed development were calculated using the City of Ottawa design criteria and are outlined below:

- Apartment Population: 3.1 ppl/unit
- Residential Demand: 350 L/c/d
- Commercial Demand: 28,000 L/gross ha/day
- Residential Maximum Day Demand: 2.5 x Average Day
- Residential Peak Hour Demand: 2.2 x Max Day
- Commercial Max Day Demand: 1.5 x Average Day
- Commercial Peak Hour Demand: 1.8 x Max Day

The following design criteria were taken from Section 4.2.2 – 'Watermain Pressure Demand Objectives' of the City of Ottawa Design guidelines for Water Distribution:

- Normal operating pressure are to range between 40psi and 80 psi under Max Day demands
- Minimum system pressures are to be 40 psi under peak hour demands
- Minimum system pressures are to be 20 psi under Max Day + Fire Flow demands.

Table 2.2 below identifies the domestic water demands for the proposed building based on the above design criteria.

Land Use	Area (ha)	Units	Population	Average Day Demand (L/s)	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
Apartment	N/A	2	6.2	0.025	0.063	0.138
Commercial	0.17	N/A	N/A	0.055	0.083	0.149
Total	0.17	2	6.2	0.080	0.145	0.287

Table 2.2: Water Demand Summary

The boundary conditions provided by the City were converted to psi to confirm conformance with the above design criteria. A summary of the results are provided below:

- Minimum HGL (150.0m) = 150.0m 95.45m (FF) = 54.55m = **77.6 psi**
- Maximum HGL (160.0m) = 160.0m 95.45m (FF) = 64.55m = 91.8 psi

As shown above the minimum HGL of 77.6 psi falls within the normal operating pressure range (50 psi to 80 psi). The maximum HGL of 91.8 psi is above 80 psi, therefore pressure reducing valves shall be installed on all services.

2.2.2 Water Supply for Fire-Fighting

The proposed building is located within 75m of two existing class AA (blue top) hydrants on Donald B. Munro Drive, with one 58m to the west and the other 37m to the east. As directed by the City, the fire flow was calculated in accordance with the Ontario Building Code and was calculated as 60 L/s.

The minimum and maximum fire flow boundary conditions of 135.0m and 163.0m provided by the City were converted to psi and are listed below:

- MaxDay + Fire Flow (60 L/s) Minimum (135.0m) = 135m 95.45m = 39.55m = **56.2 psi**
- MaxDay + Fire Flow (60 Ls) Maximum (163.0m) = 163m 95.45m = 67.55m = 96.0 psi

As summarized above, since the minimum system pressure during the Maximum Day + Fire condition is above the minimum required 20 psi the proposed development can be adequately serviced for both domestic use and firefighting conditions.

3.0 STORM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The storm outlet for the site is the existing ditch along the railway to the south of the site. Under existing conditions uncontrolled drainage sheet flows towards this railway ditch. There is an existing 900mm dia. CSP culvert within a drainage easement immediately west of the property. No modifications are proposed to the existing 900mm dia. CSP culvert.

The site is tributary to the Carp River; under the jurisdiction of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA). As such, the stormwater management criteria from the Carp River Subwatershed Study (Robinson Consultants, December 2004) apply.

3.1 Stormwater Management Criteria and Objectives

The following stormwater management criteria was established during the pre-consultation process:

- Control post-development peak flows to pre-development rates for all storm events up-to and including the 100-year storm event.
- Ensure that no surface ponding will occur on the paved surfaces (i.e. private drive aisles or parking lots) during the 2-year storm event.
- Maximum 100-year ponding depths cannot exceed 350mm and there is to be no major system flows offsite during 100-year events.
- Annual Infiltration target of 73 mm/year; as per the Carp River Subwatershed Study (Robinson Consultants, December 2004).
- Provide an enhanced level of water quality treatment corresponding to 80% long-term TSS removal.

3.1.1 Allowable Release Rates

Under existing conditions, the site has a runoff coefficient of 0.38 (approximately 25% impervious). This represents a single-family home with a gravel driveway, with the remainder of the site grass lawn as shown below in **Figure 3** – Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan. The allowable release rates below represent the pre-development peak flow, using the Rational Method, for the 2, 5, and 100-year storm events.

Allowable Release Rates

2-year:	13.2 L/s
5-year:	17.9 L/s
100-year:	35.8 L/s

Refer to Appendix D for pre-development catchment parameters and peak flow calculations.

3.2 Post-Development Conditions

Stormwater runoff from the uncontrolled rooftop and parking area will be directed towards a single catchbasin. The catchbasin will discharge into an on-site storm sewer system.

The on-site storm sewer system will operate as described below:

- Storm runoff for a 2-year storm event will be stored underground (i.e. no surface ponding).
 - Underground storage will be provided using 16x Stormtech SC-310 arch-type storage chambers covered in clearstone (**Appendix E**).
 - The Stormtech Chambers will have a 300mm clearstone base, set below the invert of the outlet pipe, to promote infiltration.
- Storm runoff for larger storm events (>2-year storm) will be stored on the parking lot surface to a maximum ponding depth of 0.27m during the 100-year event.
- Peak flows from the parking lot will be controlled using an inlet control device (ICD) installed in the outlet pipe of CB1.
 - The inlet control device will consist of a Tempest LMF ICD (Appendix F).
- Controlled peak flows will discharge into a water quality treatment unit.
 - The water quality treatment unit will be a CDS Model PMSU2015_4 sized to provide at least 80% long-term TSS removal (**Appendix G**).

Runoff from the areas around the perimeter of the site will sheet flow uncontrolled. These areas have been accounted for in the overall allowable release rate for the site.

3.3 Hydrologic and Hydraulic Modelling (PCSWMM)

The performance of the proposed storm drainage system was evaluated using the PCSWMM hydrologic / hydraulic model. The PCSWMM model simulates the storage and routing of flows through the proposed storm drainage network. The results of the analysis were used to:

- Calculate subcatchment peak flows and runoff volumes
- Calculate the required underground storage and surface ponding depths
- Size the proposed ICD

The design storms used in the hydrologic analysis model include the 3-hour Chicago distribution and the 12-hour SCS Type II distribution for return periods of 1:2 years, 1:5 years and 1:100 years. IDF data was taken from the *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines* (OSDG) dated October 2012. The 3-hour Chicago storm distribution was found to generate the highest peak flows and the model results from this distribution are documented in the following tables. The model was also 'stress tested' using a 100-year 3-hour Chicago storm that is increased by 20% in total rainfall and intensity.

The PCSWMM model schematic and 2-year & 100-year output files are provided in **Appendix H**. A copy of the PCSWMM model is provided on the enclosed CD.

3.3.1 Model Parameters

The proposed site has been divided into five (5) sub-catchment areas representing postdevelopment conditions. Areas were delineated based on the proposed grading design. The sub-catchment areas and parameters used in the model are summarized in **Table 3.1**.

Area ID	Drainage Area	Runoff Coefficient	Percent Imperviousness	Flow Length	Equivalent Width	Slope		
	(ha)		(%)	(m)	(m)	(%)		
		Uncont	rolled Subcatchmer	nts				
1	0.024	0.30	14.3	4	60	5		
2	0.008	0.79	84.3	3	27	2		
3	0.003	0.50	42.9	2	15	2		
	Controlled Subcatchments							
4	0.090	0.90	100.0	10	90	2		
5	0.040	0.90	100.0 (zero impervious)	8	50	1.5		
TOTAL	0.165	0.80	85.7	-	-	-		

Refer the enclosed Storm Drainage Area Plan (119023-STM) for details.

3.3.2 Model Results

Storm runoff from the rooftop and parking lot will be captured by the proposed on-site storm sewer system and will be attenuated by the ICD installed in the outlet pipe of CB1. Runoff will be temporarily stored within the underground Stormtech Chambers and on the surface (parking lot). Minor system peak flows will be controlled on-site to the allowable release rates, prior to discharging into the railway ditch.

Peak Flows

A comparison of post-development peak flows to the allowable (pre-development) release rate is summarized in **Table 3.2**.

	Peak Flow (L/s) 3-hour Chicago Storm							
Return Period ->	2-year	5-year	100-year	100-year (+20%)				
	Pre-Development Conditions							
Allowable Release Rate	13.2	17.9	35.8	-				
	Post-Development Conditions							
Minor System	8.3	10.5	17.2	17.8				
Major System	0.0	0.0	0.0	61.0				
Uncontrolled Runoff	2.6	6.7	14.3	17.6				
TOTAL	10.9	17.2	31.5	96.4				

As indicated above, the proposed storm drainage system will provide adequate underground storage for the 2-year storm event and surface storage for the 5-year and 100-year design events, without exceeding the allowable release rates.

Ponding Depths (CB01)

The maximum static ponding depth at CB01 is 0.27m (93.85m). CB01 has an invert elevation of 92.33m and T/G elevation of 93.58m (1.25m CB depth). The ponding depths (elevation) at CB01 for each return period is shown below:

<u>Return Period</u>	Ponding Depth (Elevation)		
2-year	0.00 m (92.77 m)		
5-year	0.00 m (92.99 m)		
100-year	0.25 m (93.83 m)		
100-year (+20%)	0.30 m (93.88 m)		

Surface ponding depths will not touch the building envelope or lowest openings during the 100year (+20%) 'stress test' event (finished floor (FF) elevation = 95.45; top of slab). There is no surface ponding during the 2-year and 5-year storm events. Surface ponding during the 100year storm event is contained on-site.

3.4 Stormwater Infiltration

By implementing infiltration BMPs as part of the storm drainage design, the impacts of development on the hydrologic cycle can be considerably reduced. Infiltration of clean runoff will have additional benefits for stormwater management.

The proposed stormwater management strategy includes the installation of arch-type infiltration chambers (Stormtech SC-310 or approved equivalent) to meet the infiltration targets identified in the Carp River Subwatershed Study (Robinson Consultants, December 2004).

3.4.1 Infiltration Target

The annual infiltration target is dependent on the existing soil conditions on the site (silty sand). Silty sand has a low groundwater recharge capability. As such, the annual infiltration target is to provide 73 mm/year or 120.5 m³/year based on a site area of 0.165 ha.

3.4.2 Percolation Rate and Groundwater Depths

The native soils are silty sand to sandy silt with low to moderate permeability. A typical percolation rate for the silty sand is estimated to be 20 mm/hr. This value has been divided by 2 to account for clogging (10 mm/hr). The average groundwater depths in Boreholes 14-1, 14-2 and 14-3 is approximately 2.4 m or greater.

3.4.3 Infiltration System

To meet the infiltration target, arch-type infiltration chambers (Stormtech SC-310 or approved equivalent) will be constructed below the parking lot. The chambers will store and infiltrate stormwater runoff from the rooftop and parking lot. The chambers will be covered with clearstone with a 300mm base. The 300mm base provides 4.8 m³ of storage for infiltration, based on an assumed 40% void ratio. The available storage will be sufficient to retain and infiltrate first 3.7 mm of rainfall / direct runoff from the contributing drainage areas.

No subdrain is proposed for the infiltration chambers. The ICD will be set at same invert as the bottom of the chambers. This provides the 300mm clearstone base for infiltration. Refer to the detail on the General Plan of Services (Drawing 119023-GP).

The drawdown time for the 300mm clearstone base for infiltration is approximately 12 hours. This is due to the low / moderate permeability of the surrounding silty sand soils (10 mm/hr) – refer to **Table 3.3**; calculations provided in **Appendix D**. This should be sufficient to fully drain the infiltration trenches each day.

STM Areas	Estimated	Storage Volume for Infiltration	Bottom	Infiltration Rate	Retention
(Drainage Area)	Percolation Rate		Area	through Soil ¹	Time ²
4 & 5 (0.130 ha)	10 mm/hr	4.8 m ³	40.4 m ²	0.11 L/s	12.0 hours

 Table 3.3: Infiltration Rate through Soil and Retention Time

¹Infiltration rate = percolation rate x bottom area of trench (assumes no infiltration through the sides)

²Retention time = storage volume of clearstone below stormtech chambers / infiltration rate through soil *Sample calculations provided in **Appendix D**.

Annual Rainfall and Volume Captured

Based on thirty (30) years of climate data (1971-2000) from the Ottawa CDA Environment Canada Weather Station (STA ID: 6105976), the average annual precipitation in Ottawa is 914 mm (rain + snow). The average annual rainfall is 733 mm, and the annual rainfall between May and October is 515 mm. Refer to the Climate Normals provided in **Appendix D**.

The drainage area to the Stormtech Chambers is 0.130 ha. The storage provided for infiltration (3.6 m^3) equates to an infiltration depth of 3.7 mm over the 0.130 ha area. Based on the 30 years of daily rainfall data, infiltrating the first 3.7 mm of rainfall equates to 37% of the annual rainfall (515 mm) being infiltrated. This corresponds to infiltrating 192.8 mm/year or 250.7 m³/year. This exceeds the Carp River Subwatershed Study target of 73 mm/year or 120.5 m³/year. Refer to calculations provided in **Appendix D**.

3.5 Water Quality Treatment

The subject site is located within the jurisdiction of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) and is tributary to the Carp River. An 'Enhanced' Level of Protection, equivalent to a long-term average removal of 80% Total Suspended Solids (TSS), with at least 90% of the total rainfall being captured and treated, is required.

To achieve this level of quality control protection, a new oil-grit separator unit (CDS Model PMSU2015_4) will be installed upstream the outlet. Stormwater runoff collected by the on-site storm sewer system (0.133 ha tributary area) will be directed through the proposed treatment unit. The contributing area includes the proposed paved parking lot as well as a small grass strip on the northeast side of the building.

Echelon Environmental and Contech Stormwater Solutions Inc. have modeled and analyzed the tributary area to provide a CDS unit capable of meeting the TSS removal requirements. The model parameters for the TSS removal were based on historical rainfall data for Ottawa. It was determined that a CDS Model PMSU2015_4 will exceed the target removal rate, providing a net annual 89.6% TSS removal.

The CDS Model PMSU2015_4 unit has a treatment capacity of approximately 20 L/s, a sediment storage capacity of 0.84m³; an oil storage capacity of 232 L; a total holding capacity of 1.6 m³; and will treat a net annual volume of approximately 99.0% for the tributary area.

Maintenance and Monitoring of the Storm Sewer and Stormwater Management Systems

It is recommended that the client implement a maintenance and monitoring program for both the on-site storm sewers and the stormwater management systems: The storm drainage system, including the Stormtech Chambers, should be inspected routinely (at least annually); the ICD should be inspected to ensure it is fitted securely and free of debris; and the oil-grit separator should be inspected at regular intervals and maintained when necessary to ensure optimal performance. Refer to **Appendix G** for the CDS unit design parameters, sizing analysis, operation, design, performance and maintenance summary parameters as well as the annual TSS removal efficiency data.

4.0 SITE GRADING

The elevation of the existing site varies from approximately 95.32m at the northwest corner of the property down to approximately 92.32m at the southeast property corner. Stormwater runoff from the site currently sheet drains uncontrolled, flowing in a south-easterly direction towards the existing ditch along the south property line before outletting to the Carp River. Refer to drawing 119023-ESC for existing conditions.

The finished floor elevation of the proposed building will be set at an elevation of 95.45m, providing positive drainage away from the building in all directions. The grades along the perimeter of the site will be maintained. Refer to the enclosed Grading Plan for details.

4.1 Major System Overflow Route

In the case of a major rainfall event exceeding the design storms provided for, the stormwater located within the parking lot will overflow towards the existing ditch along the south property line that outlets to the Carp River through a 600mm diameter culvert. The major system overflow route is shown on the enclosed Grading and Drainage Plan (119023-GR).

5.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

To mitigate erosion and to prevent sediment from entering the storm drainage system, temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented on-site during construction in accordance with the "Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites" (Government of Ontario, May 1987). Details are provided on the Grading and Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (Drawing C-200). This includes the following measures:

- Filter bags / catchbasin inserts (sediment sacks) will be placed under the grates of nearby catchbasins and manholes and they will remain in place until vegetation has been established and construction is completed.
- Silt fencing will be placed per OPSS 577 and OPSD 219.110 along the surrounding construction limits.
- Mud mats will be installed at the site entrances.
- Street sweeping, and cleaning will be performed, as required, to suppress dust and to provide safe and clean roadways adjacent to the construction site.
- On-site dewatering is to be directed to a sediment trap and/or gravel splash pad and discharged safely to an approved outlet as directed by the engineer.

The temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented prior to construction and will remain in place during all phases of construction. Regular inspection and maintenance of the erosion control measures will be undertaken.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

This report has been prepared in support of a site plan application for the proposed development located at 437 Donald B. Munro Drive. The conclusions are as follows:

- The proposed development will consist of a 2-storey mixed use building with associated parking lot.
- The proposed building will be serviced by connecting sanitary and water services to the existing municipal watermain and sanitary sewer within Donald B. Munro Drive.
- The proposed on-site SWM system will attenuate the peak flows to pre-development release rates for the 2, 5, and 100-year storm events.
- There is no surface ponding during the 2-year and 5-year storm events. All stormwater will be stored within the underground SC-310 Stormtech Chambers.
- The maximum surface ponding depth during the 100-year design event will be approximately 0.25m with no major system flows off-site.
- Major overland flow from the site greater than the 100-year event will be directed to the existing ditch along the south property line that outlets to the Carp River.
- Regular inspection and maintenance of the storm sewer system, including the Stormtech Chambers, inlet control device, and water quality treatment unit is recommended to ensure that the storm drainage system is clean and operational.
- Temporary erosion and sediment control measures are to be provided during construction.

7.0 CLOSURE

It is recommended that the proposed servicing and stormwater management design for the proposed development at 437 Donald B. Monroe Drive be approved for implementation.

NOVATECH

Prepared by:



Lucas Wilson, P.Eng. **Project Coordinator**



Conrad Stang, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Project Manager, Water Resources

Reviewed by:

MART

Mark Bisett, P. Eng. Senior Project Manager

APPENDIX A

Correspondence

Lucas Wilson

From:	Brian Saumure <brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca></brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca>
Sent:	Tuesday, February 19, 2019 10:21 AM
То:	Mark Bissett
Subject:	FW: Pre-consult follow up - 437 Donald B. Munro

Hi Mark, Do you have time to meet and discuss the requirements for this project? Thanks, Brian

From: Jessica D'Aoust <jessica@lloydphillips.com>
Sent: February-13-19 3:58 PM
To: Brian Saumure <brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca>
Subject: Re: Pre-consult follow up - 437 Donald B. Munro

Hi Brian,

Thanks for your patience on me looking into this. I reviewed the pre-con notes again for Donald B Munro to determine the rationale for the studies you had concerns about. Here's some more context based on the City's notes:

- Roadway modification: This isn't required upfront, but rather is flagged as a potential condition of approval. Therefore, it's not something that needs to be done right now in order to submit the application I will confirm with Jenny what would trigger this plan as being a condition.
- Environmental Impact Statement: I'll be reaching out to Jenny to discuss if there's any possibility of doing an EIS screening as opposed to the full report would be possible, based on the notes below. I'll get back to you as soon as I hear back. Here's the City's notes for reference:

○ The subject property is adjacent to O1 zoned area south of the railway. This area is also designated as floodplain area.

 South of the tracks is identified as Natural Heritage overlay and the subject property is adjacent to natural heritage system on Schedule L3 of the OP (within 120 m)

o Schedule K of the OP identified unstable slopes associated with the Carp River and is a Wellhead Protection Area.

o The subject property is within the regulation limit of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority.

o There is a watercourse along the south end of the property along the lot line

An Environmental Impact Statement that includes impacts to species at risk will be required, as the subject property has potentially significant wildlife habitat for Endangered and Threatened species as per OP policy 4.7.4. There are chimney swift (threatened species) observations on either side of the property (within 120 m) and in the vicinity. The property's proximity to the natural system overlay also triggers the requirement for an EIS.

 The address lies within the Wellhead Protection Area for the Carp well; specifically the WHPA-C with vulnerability scores of 6 and 8. There are applicable Source Protection policies in this area for certain activities, specifically non-residential activities since future non-residential storage and handling of certain chemicals is prohibited in this area (see Policy DNAPL-2-LB-S57 in the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan). The storage and handling of certain chemicals is prohibited (I can provide more information) and a source protection screening will be required for a complete application

- Slope stability: The City is just looking for a geotechnical investigation based on the following comments:
 - Please note that the area may contain sensitive marine clays. Please note that Atterberg limits and consolidation testing and discussion thereof will be required in the report if soil with characteristics in the realm of sensitive marine clay is found.
 - o Grade raise restrictions will need rationale
 - The geotechnical consultant will need to provide full copies of any published and peer reviewed papers relied on to determine results and conclusion
 - o Earthquake analysis is now required to be provided in the report
 - Any retaining walls that invoke the requirements of the "Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa", 1st Edition, December 2004, prepared by Golder Associates, shall be discussed in a slope stability report and show the required factor of safety.

Have decisions been made for consultants for the other required plans/studies?

Thanks,

Jessica D'Aoust, M.Pl Planner | Lloyd Phillips & Associates Ltd. T 613 236 5373 x 3 F 613 236 5776 E jessica@lloydphillips.com 1827 Woodward Dr., Suite 109, Ottawa, ON Canada K2C 0P9 • http://www.lloydphillips.com

Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. | Pensez à l'environnement avant d'imprimer ce courriel

NOTE: This e-mail message and attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.

NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez recu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.

On Feb 7, 2019, at 9:57 AM, Jessica D'Aoust <<u>jessica@lloydphillips.com</u>> wrote:

Hi Brian,

All good points. I will give the planner a call today to discuss the rationale/see if there's any flexibility.

Thanks

Jessica

On Thu, Feb 7, 2019 at 9:02 AM Brian Saumure <<u>brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca</u>> wrote:

Hi Jessica,

Is there a possibility to push back on any of these studies?

-Roadway modification? Not changing anything

-Endangered species? The site is currently a parking lot

-Slope stability? Its flat

Just seems some of this is wasted money. Is there any allowance for common sense ${f i}$

From: Jessica D'Aoust <<u>jessica@lloydphillips.com</u>> Sent: February-05-19 4:23 PM To: Brian Saumure <<u>brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>

Hi Brian,

To proceed with the Site Plan application for Donald B Munro, the following plans and studies will need to be prepared:

Engineering:

- Site Servicing Plan
- Grading and Draining Plan
- Stormwater Management Report
- Roadway Modification Design Plan
- Site Servicing Study
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Noise / Vibration Study
- Geotechnical Study

Planning / Design:

- Site Plan
- Architectural Elevations
- Landscape Plan
- Survey Plan
- Planning Rationale and Design Brief

Environmental:

• Environmental Impact Statement

Have you confirmed the project team for this project?

Thanks very much,

Jessica

(t): 613.236.5373 x 3

From: Brian Saumure <<u>brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca</u>> Sent: Tuesday, February 5, 2019 12:08 PM To: Barrett L. Wagar <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Cc: 'Jessica D'Aoust' <<u>jessica@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>

Hi Jessica,

Can you forward the updated summary of what is needed to proceed? Thanks,

к	rıar	٦.
-	iiui	•

From: Barrett L. Wagar <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Sent: January-21-19 3:51 PM To: Brian Saumure <<u>brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca</u>> Cc: 'Jessica D'Aoust' <<u>jessica@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - 437 Donald B. Munro

Hi Brian,

No additional pre-consultation is required. Just need to commission the consultant team to proceed with their required submission material.

Jessica will pass along a brief summary of the items needed.

Best regards,

Barrett

From: Brian Saumure <<u>brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca</u>> Sent: Monday, January 21, 2019 3:36 PM To: Barrett L. Wagar <<u>brieflowdphillips.com</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>

Hi Barrett,

Is there another consultation required or scheduled with the city? Just want to move this forward.

Thanks,

Brian

From: Barrett L. Wagar <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Sent: January-11-19 3:06 PM To: Brian Saumure <<u>brian@mapleleafcustomhomes.ca</u>>; 'Steve Ardington' <<u>steve@ardington.ca</u>> Cc: Lloyd Phillips <<u>lloyd@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: FW: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u> Please see the below positive comments from the Urban Designer that was in attendance at the pre-con.

Do you have consultants in mind for the required submission material?

Barrett

From: Young, Mark <<u>Mark.Young@ottawa.ca</u>> Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 1:21 PM To: 'Barrett L. Wagar' <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>>; Kluke, Jenny <<u>jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca</u>> Cc: Turkington, Seana <<u>Seana.Turkington@ottawa.ca</u>>; 'Lloyd Phillips' <<u>lloyd@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>

Hi Barrett,

I understand that this site may be re-assigned to another Planner for comment.

From an Urban Design perspective the proposal appears to meet the intent of the CDP. I am very pleased with the look and feel of the proposal. The only thing I might question is the segregation of the central building entrances with fencing and what appears to be hedging.

Thanks Mark

From: Barrett L. Wagar <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Sent: January 10, 2019 2:49 PM To: Kluke, Jenny <<u>jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca</u>>; Young, Mark <<u>Mark.Young@ottawa.ca</u>> Cc: Turkington, Seana <<u>Seana.Turkington@ottawa.ca</u>>; 'Lloyd Phillips' <<u>lloyd@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - 437 Donald B. Munro

Hi Jenny and Mark,

Happy New Year to you both!

We've arrived at a concept plan and some rough renderings for <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u> , and would appreciate any preliminary comments you could provide before we proceed in having the consultant team prepare the required submission material for the Site Plan Control application.
The intent remains the same, with the building containing two ground floor and two second floor uses, for a total of four units. The drive aisle will be a wide single lane intended for two-way traffic (requiring an MV as discussed previously), and there will be ROWs/easements in place to allow for shared vehicle access, parking, and for the front walkway, since a severance will also be proposed with any required MVs (this explains the six different parts).
Best regards,
Barrett
From: Kluke, Jenny < <u>jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca</u> > Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 11:55 AM To: 'Barrett L. Wagar' < <u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u> > Cc: Turkington, Seana < <u>Seana.Turkington@ottawa.ca</u> >; Lloyd Phillips < <u>lloyd@lloydphillips.com</u> > Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>
Hi Barrett,
Yes, Seana is the C of A planner.
Regards,
Jenny
From: Barrett L. Wagar < <u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u> > Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 11:43 AM To: Kluke, Jenny < <u>jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca</u> > Cc: Turkington, Seana < <u>Seana.Turkington@ottawa.ca</u> >; Lloyd Phillips < <u>lloyd@lloydphillips.com</u> > Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>
Hi Jenny,
Thank you for looking into this and providing comment. For clarity, would Seana be our Committee Planner for the file?

6

Best regards,

Barrett

From: Kluke, Jenny <jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca> Sent: Wednesday, October 31, 2018 11:32 AM To: 'Barrett L. Wagar' <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Cc: Turkington, Seana <<u>Seana.Turkington@ottawa.ca</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>

Hi Barrett,

The single traffic lane is for one-way flow into or out of the parking lot, so relief will be needed if it is intended to be used for two-way traffic flows. I don't anticipate the Department having concerns with that variance considering the size of the development and the policies within the CDP that seek to keep driveways to a single lane. If you would like to discuss this variance further, please contact Seana Turkington (cc'd).

Regards,

Jenny

Jenny Kluke MCIP, RPP

Planner

Development Review - Central Branch

Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

<image001.gif>613.580.2424 ext./poste 27184

E-mail: jenny.kluke@ottawa.ca

ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

From: Barrett L. Wagar <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2018 5:24 PM To: Kluke, Jenny <<u>jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca</u>> Cc: Lloyd Phillips <<u>lloyd@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>

Hi Jenny,

Hope all is well. I have a question I was hoping you could assist with for <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>, and I suspect it may require comment from Amira and Mark Young.

The attached concept shows a single driveway for the site leading to a small shared parking lot in the rear, as desired by the CDP.

The intent is to have a single-lane driveway with two-way flows, since a full 6.7 metre two-lane driveway would be excessive in this case, and would go against the design principles in the CDP.

Given the proposed uses (two residential units above, and two retail/office uses below), it is not anticipated that a two-way single-lane driveway would result in any adverse traffic issues, especially when considering the throat length of the driveway connecting the ROW to the parking lot is basically limited to the rather insignificant depth of the building, so instances of incoming and outgoing vehicle conflicts would be rare and easily mitigated.

My question is whether the wording of the By-law allows for single traffic lane driveways to be used for two-way flows as described above?

Section 107(1)(a)(i) states that a driveway providing access to a parking lot or parking garage must have a minimum width of three metres for a single traffic lane.

The wording seems unclear whether a "single traffic lane" is allowed to permit two-way flows or not.

If two-way flows are permitted for single traffic lanes, then this will allow us to avoid an MV, otherwise, we would need to request an MV to permit somewhere along the lines of 4 metres in width whereas 6.7 metres would be required.

Thanks Jenny,

Barrett

<image002.jpg></image002.jpg>	Barrett L. Wagar, MCIP, RPP, M.PI	
	Planner	
	LLOYD PHILLIPS & ASSOCIATES LTD.	
	T 613 236 5373 x 4 F 613 236 5776 E <u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>	
	<u>1827 Woodward Dr., Suite 109, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada, K2C 0P9</u> • <u>http://www.lloydphillips.com</u> Please consider the environment before printing this e-mail. / Pensez à l'environnement avant d'imprimer ce courriel	
NOTE: This e-mail message and attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If you have received this message in error, please immediately notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.		
NOTE: Ce courriel peu le mentionner immédia	ut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez recu ce message par erreur, veuillez atement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.	
From: Kluke, Jennifer - Sent: Tuesday, July 17 To: Barrett Wagar < <u>bar</u> Subject: RE: Pre-cons	<j<u>ennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca> ′, 2018 4:26 PM <u>rrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> ult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u></j<u>	
Thanks, Barrett!		
From: Barrett Wagar < <u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u> > Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 4:12 PM To: Kluke, Jennifer < <u>jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca</u> > Subject: RE: Pre-consult follow up - <u>437 Donald B. Munro</u>		
Hi Jenny,		
l nudged our client this was on vacation).	morning to address the pre-consult fee and he advised he will take care of it this week (he	
In good faith I will wait until payment has been received before distributing the material.		
Thanks,		
Barrett		

From: Kluke, Jennifer <jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca> Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2018 3:50 PM To: Barrett Wagar <<u>barrett@lloydphillips.com</u>> Subject: Pre-consult follow up - 437 Donald B. Munro

Hi Barrett,

We still have not received payment for the pre-consult fee, but further to our meeting on June 18, 2018 regarding the proposal to construct a mixed-use building at <u>437</u> <u>Donald B. Munro</u>, below is a summary of what was discussed.

Planning & Design Considerations

Official Plan

The property is within the Villages designation in the Official Plan (Section 3.7.1). The proposed development conforms to the OP policies for Villages.

Carp Community Design Plan

The property is within the Carp Community Design Plan area and designated as part of the Village Core. You will need to demonstrate how the development fits and is compatible with the existing features of the core of the village. Attached is a copy of the Carp Community Design Plan for your reference.

Zoning By-law

The property is currently zoned VM – Village Mixed-Use Zone, which permits the proposed uses.

Design Considerations

- The design of the site will need to conform with the Carp Community Design Plan
- The scale of the proposed development makes sense
- Breaking up the building into two separate parts makes it more attractive and fits well with the existing fabric of the village core

- When designing the building, take cues from the character of the village. Building materials should reflect the character of other buildings in the core.
- The CDP requires that 50% of the first floor façade facing the public street be comprised of windows or active entrances
- The CDP encourages single driveways leading to shared parking at the rear of the property. The Zoning By-law requires the driveway leading to the parking lot to be 6.7 metres wide. You may wish to seek a minor variance to permit a reduced driveway width.

It is understood that the proposed building may be severed in the future, which will require consent and minor variance applications through the Committee of Adjustment. The consent application will include the severance of the property, as well as a right-of-way easement for accessing the parking at the rear.

We will not require an Archaeological Resource Assessment as part of this application.

Engineering Considerations

Water/Sanitary/Storm Servicing:

Water servicing

Municipal water pipes are adjacent / near the proposed development; a 305 mm dia water pipe exists in Donald B. Munro.

Sanitary Sewers

Municipal sanitary pipes are adjacent / near the proposed development; a 300 mm dia sanitary sewer exists in Donald B. Munro.

Storm Sewers

Municipal storm pipes are adjacent / near the proposed development; a 300 mm dia storm sewer exists in Donald B. Munro.

Roads:

Please refer to the City of Ottawa Private Approach By-Law 2003-447

Exterior Site Lighting:

- Any exterior lighting proposed for the site is required by the City of Ottawa to be certified by a qualified engineer conforming the design complies with the following criteria:
- It must be designed using only fixtures that meet the criteria for Full-Cut-Off (Sharp cut-off) Classification, as recognized by the illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES).
- It must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties. As a guide, 0.5 foot-candle is normally the maximum allowable spillage.
- The location of the fixtures, fixture types (make, model, and part number) and the mounting heights must be provided.

Snow Storage:

Any portion of the subject property which is intended to be used for permanent, or temporary, snow storage shall be as shown on the approved site plan and grading plan. Snow storage shall not interfere with approved grading and drainage patterns or servicing. Snow storage areas shall be setback from the property lines, foundations, fencing or landscaping a minimum of 1.5m. Snow storage areas shall not occupy driveways, aisles, required parking spaces or any portion of a road allowance.

Permits and Approvals:

- All development application should be considered for an approval by the MOECC.
- Consultant determines if an approval for sewage works under Section 53 of OWRA is required. Consultant determines what type of application is required and the City's project manager confirms.
- If the consultant is not clear if an ECA is required they will work with the City to determine what is required. If between the City and the consultant it is still unclear or there is a difference of opinion only then will they approach the MOECC.
- The project will be either transfer review under the standard works, transfer review under the additional works, direct submission, or exempt as per O. Reg. 525/98.
- Pre-consultation is not required if applying for standard works (schedule A of the Agreement) under Transfer Review.
- Mandatory pre-consultation is required if applying for additional works (schedule A of the Agreement) under Transfer Review.
- Pre-consultation with local District office of MOECC is recommended for direct submission.
- Consultant completes an MOECC request form for a pre-consultation. Sends request to <u>moeccottawasewage@ontario.ca</u>.

Responsibility rests with the applicant, or agent, for obtaining all external agency approvals.

The address shall be in good standing with all approval agencies, for example the MVCA and the City's By-Law office, prior to approval. City staff require copies of confirmation correspondence from all approval agencies, where necessary, that a form of assent is given.

No construction shall occur until after a commence work notification is given.

Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority

Contact Information:

Niall Oddie - Planner

noddie@mvc.on.ca

www.mvca.ca

Site Plan Submission Requirements for engineering:

Site Servicing Plan*

Grading and Drainage Area Plan*

Erosion and Sediment Control Plan*

All identified required plans are to be submitted on standard A1 size sheets as per City of Ottawa Servicing and Grading Plan Requirements.

Report Submission Requirements:

• Site Servicing Report

 $_{\rm o}\,$ Discussion will be required by the consultant of the servicing capacity of the connecting systems and anticipated performance.

 Boundary conditions will need to be requested including a list of the demand values and a full discussion of the fire demand.

• Calculations for the FUS value (Required flow, Coefficient related to the type of the construction and other required calculations)

- A plan indicating approximate location of the water service connection.
- Water Card
- Storm Water Management Report

 The consultant should determine a stormwater management regime for the application and, generally, maintain post-development flows to pre-development levels by way of providing storage to offset increased impervious areas.

• Any existing stormwater runoff from adjacent site(s) that crosses the property must be accommodated by the proposed stormwater management design.

 Stormwater quality control is required for the site. Consultation with the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) is required to determine the level of stormwater quality control required for the site.

• All stormwater management (SWM) determinations shall have supporting rationale.

• Geotechnical Investigation Study

 Please note that the area may contain sensitive marine clays. Please note that Atterberg limits and consolidation testing and discussion thereof will be required in the report if soil with characteristics in the realm of sensitive marine clay is found.

o Grade raise restrictions will need rationale.

 The geotechnical consultant will need to provide full copies of any published and peer reviewed papers relied on to determine results and conclusions

• Earthquake analysis is now required to be provided in the report.

 Any retaining walls that invoke the requirements of the "Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa", 1st Edition, December 2004, prepared by Golder Associates, shall be discussed in a slope stability report and show the required factor of safety.

• A Site Lighting Memorandum and plan will be required for registration.

Transportation Considerations

<u>Noise</u>

• Property is backing onto a CNR rail line and if a sensitive use (residential) is being proposed then a Noise and Vibration Brief is required (Official Plan policy 4.8.7)

- The setback and mitigation should be in conformity with the Zoning By-law.
- Notice on Title may be required.
- Refer to the Environmental Noise Control Guidelines for further description:

https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents.ottawa.ca/files/documents/enviro_noi se_guide_en.pdf

Transportation/ Site Plan

- Donald B. Munro Drive is a collector road with a right-of-way protection of 16m between Falldown Lane and Langstaff Road, i.e. 8m from the existing centreline of the road
- Please consult with the owner and operator of the CNR railway line to obtain information on the requirements for new developments
- Ensure that the appropriate setback (distance between the building and railway ROW), and mitigation measures are incorporated into the development.
- Site development should be consistent with intent of the CDP for the Village of Carp
- As discussed at the pre-consultation meeting, pedestrian and cyclist requirements should be addressed

Environmental Considerations

- The subject property is adjacent to O1 zoned area south of the railway. This area is also designated as floodplain area.
- South of the tracks is identified as Natural Heritage overlay and the subject property is adjacent to natural heritage system on Schedule L3 of the OP (within 120 m)
- Schedule K of the OP identified unstable slopes associated with the Carp River and is a Wellhead Protection Area.
- The subject property is within the regulation limit of the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority.
- There is a watercourse along the south end of the property along the lot line
- An Environmental Impact Statement that includes impacts to species at risk will be required, as the subject property has potentially significant wildlife habitat for Endangered and Threatened species as per OP policy 4.7.4. There are chimney swift (threatened species) observations on either side of the property (within 120 m) and in the vicinity. The property's proximity to the natural system overlay also triggers the requirement for an EIS.
- The address lies within the Wellhead Protection Area for the Carp well; specifically the WHPA-C with vulnerability scores of 6 and 8. There are

applicable Source Protection policies in this area for certain activities, specifically non-residential activities since future non-residential storage and handling of certain chemicals is prohibited in this area (see Policy DNAPL-2-LB-S57 in the Mississippi-Rideau Source Protection Plan). The storage and handling of certain chemicals is prohibited (I can provide more information) and a source protection screening will be required for a complete application.

Development Applications Required

To move forward with this proposal, a <u>Site Plan Control, Manager Approval, Public</u> <u>Consultation Application</u> will be required. Please review the fees associated with this <u>here</u>.

Attached is the *Applicant's Study and Plan Identification List*, which identifies the required studies and plans to support your application. For additional information on preparing studies and plans, please click on the following hyperlink: <u>Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans</u>.

Also attached is the *Public Notification list*, which lists the contact information for the community groups registered to be notified of development within this area. As you may know, the property is in Ward 5-West Carleton-March, with Councillor Eli El-Chantiry. It is in your best interest to initiate contact with close neighbours as well as the Councillor and Registered Community Groups. In addition, it may be beneficial to contact key technical agencies that may be involved in this file to discuss the proposal before submitting an application.

You may also want to reference information available on the City's website for building permits/demolition permits and development charges as well. For additional information on these items, please follow the following associated links: <u>Building</u> <u>Permits</u> or <u>Development Charges</u>. Please contact Building Code Services if you have any questions regarding permits or charges; they can be reached by phoning 311.

The above pre-consultation comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have questions or require clarification.
Regards,

Jenny

Jenny Kluke

Planner

Development Review, Rural Branch

Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

<image001.gif>613.580.2424 ext./poste 27184

E-mail: jennifer.kluke@ottawa.ca

ottawa.ca/planning / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

Vacation alert: Please note that I will be out of the office from August 6th to August 21st.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Jessica D'Aoust, M.Pl Planner, Lloyd Phillips & Associates Ltd. (t): <u>613-236-5373</u> x 3 (c): <u>613-812-1726</u>

•

•

APPENDIX B

Development Servicing Study Checklist

4.1 General Content	Addressed	Comments					
Evocutivo Summany (for larger reports only)							
Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A V						
Location man and plan showing municipal address	<u> </u>						
boundary and layout of proposed development	Y	Refer to Report Figures					
Plan showing the site and location of all existing services	v	Refer to Grading and Servicing Plans					
Development statistics land use density adherence to	1						
zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable							
subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context	Y	Refer to Site Plan					
to which individual developments must adhere.							
Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and							
other approval agencies	Y						
Beference and confirm conformance to higher level							
studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies							
Environmental Assessments Community Design Plans)							
or in the case where it is not in conformance, the	Y						
proponent must provide justification and develop a							
defendable design criteria.							
Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.							
	Y	Report Sections: 2.0 Site Servicing,					
Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure		3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater Management					
available in the immediate area.	Y						
Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas,							
watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted							
by the proposed development (Reference can be made	Y						
to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).							
Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing							
and proposed grades in the development. This is							
required to confirm the feasibility of proposed							
stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and	v	Refer to Grading Plan and Stormwater Managemen					
fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighboring	Ŷ	Plan					
properties. This is also required to confirm that the							
proposed grading will not impede existing major system							
flow paths.							
4.1 General Content	Addressed (Y/N/NA)	Comments					
Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped							
services on private services (such as wells and septic							
fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to	N/A						
address potential impacts.							
Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A						
Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations	v	Depart Section 1.6 Contachnical Investigation					
concerning servicing.	ř	Report Section 1.8 Geotechnical Investigation					
All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should							
have the following information:							
Metric scale	Y						
North arrow (including construction North)	Y						
Key plan	Y						
Name and contact information of applicant	Y						
and property owner							
Property limits including bearings and	Y						
dimensions							
Existing and proposed structures and parking	Y						
areas							
Freemant 1 th to 1 th to 1	Y						
Easements, road widening and rights-of-way	.,						
Adjacent street names	Y						

4.2 Water	Addressed (Y/N/NA)	Comments
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available.	N/A	
Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed	v	Pofer to DSS & SW/M Papart
development.	ř	Refer to DSS & SWIVI Report
Identification of system constraints.	N/A	
Identify boundary conditions.	Y	Provided by City of Ottawa
Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure.	Y	Refer to DSS & SWM Report
Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.	Y	Refer to DSS & SWM Report
Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	Y	Refer to DSS & SWM Report
Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design.	N/A	
Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves.	N/A	
Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.	N/A	
Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range.	Y	Report Section 2.2 Water
Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	Y	Report Section 2.2 Water
Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A	
Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Y	Report Section 2.2 Water
Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A	

4.3 Wastewater	Addressed	Comments
Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-	(Y/N/NA)	
weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Y	Report Section 2.1 Sanitary Sewage
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A	
Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A	
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Y	Report Section 2.1 Sanitary Sewage
Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	У	Report Section 2.1 Sanitary Sewage
Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	N/A	
Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Y	Report Section 2.1 Sanitary Sewage
Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A	
Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	N/A	
Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A	
Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A	
Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A	

4.4 Stormwater	Addressed	Comments
Description of drainage outlets and downstream		
constraints including legality of outlet (i.e. municipal	v	Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)	I	Management
Analysis of the available canacity in existing public		
infrastructure	N/A	
A drawing showing the subject lands its surroundings		
the receiving watercourse existing drainage natterns	v	Figure 3 Pre Development Drainage Area Plan
and proposed drainage patterns	I	Storm Drainage Area Plan (119023-STM)
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-		
development neak flows to pre-development level for		
storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event		
(dependent on the receiving sower design) to 100 year		Popert Section 2.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
(dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year	Y	Management
rationale must be included with reference to bydrologic		Management
analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds		
taking into account long-term sumulative effects		
Water Quality control abianting (basis, normal or		
water Quality control objective (basic, normal of	v	Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of	Ŷ	Management
the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.		
Description of stormwater management concept with	V	Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
facility locations and descriptions with references and	Ŷ	Management
Supporting information.	NI / A	
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A	
Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A	
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Millistry of	NI/A	
Environment and the conservation Authority that has	IN/A	
Jurisdiction of the affected watershed and Master		Papart Section 2.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
Servicing Study, if applicable study exists	Y	Management
Storage requirements (complete with calcs) and		Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
conveyance canacity for 5 vr and 100 vr events	Y	Management
Identification of watercourse within the proposed		Management
development and how watercourses will be protected		Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
or if necessary altered by the proposed development	Y	Management
with applicable approvals		Management
Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates		
including a description of existing site conditions and		Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in	Y	Management
comparison to existing conditions.		management
Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas		
from one outlet to another.	N/A	
Proposed minor and major systems including locations		
and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and SWM	N/A	
If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that		
downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-		
development flows up to and including the 100-year	N/A	
return period storm event.		

4.4 Stormwater	Addressed (Y/N/NA)	Comments
Identification of potential impacts to receiving	N/A	
watercourses.	11/7	
Identification of municipal drains and related approval	N/A	
requirements.		
Description of how the conveyance and storage capacity	v	Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
will be achieved for the development.		Management
100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect	v	Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater
proposed development from flooding for establishing	T	Management
minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.		
Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including HGL elevations.	N/A	
Description of approach to erosion and sediment control		
during construction for the protection of receiving	Y	Report Section 5.0 Erosion and Sediment Control
watercourse or drainage corridors.		
Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain		
relevant floodplain information from the appropriate		
Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required		
to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of	N/A	
the Conservation Authority if such information is not		
available or if information does not match current		
conditions.		
Identification of fill constrains related to floodplain and	N/A	
geotechnical investigation.	11/7	

4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements	Addressed (Y/N/NA)	Comments				
Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.	Y	Report Section 3.0 Storm Drainage and Stormwater Management				
Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	N/A					
Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A					
Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)	N/A					

4.6 Conclusion	Addressed (Y/N/NA)	Comments
Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations.	Y	Report Section 6.0 Conclusions
Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	N/A	T.B.D.
All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario.	Y	

APPENDIX C

Fire Flow Demand, Watermain Boundary Conditions, Sanitary Design Sheet

437 Donald B. Munroe Drive Water Demand										
	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)								
Apartment	N/A	2	6.2	0.025	0.063	0.138				
Commercial	0.17	N/A	N/A	0.055	0.083	0.149				
Total	0.17	2	6.2	0.080	0.145	0.287				

Water Demand Parameters

Apartments	3.1	ppl/unit
Residential Demand	350	L/c/day
Commercial Demand	28000	L/gross ha/day
Residential Max Day	2.5	x Avg Day
Residential Peak Hour	2.2	x Max Day
Commercial Max Day	1.5	x Avg Day
Commercial Peak Hour	1.8	x Max Day
Fire Flow	60	L/s

OBC Water Supply for Firefighting Calculation

Based on OBC 2012 (Div. B, Article 3.2.5.7)

References: Ontario Fire Marshal - OBC Fire Fighting Water Supply Ontario Building Code 2012, Appendix A, Vol 2., A-3.2.5.7

Novatech Project #: 119023 Project Name: 437 Donald B. Munroe Date: 5/1/2019 Input By: Lucas Wilson Reviewed By: Mark Bissett

Building Description: 2-storey mixed use (Commercial 1st floor, Residential 2nd floor)

Unsprinklered



Step		Calculation	Inputs	Calculatio	Value)	
	Minimur	n Fire Prot	ection	Water Supply Vo	olume		
	Water Supply Coefficient						
1	Building Classification =	C, D		From Table	e 3.1.2.1		
	Water Supply Coefficient - K =			From Table 1	(A3.2.5.7)	23	
	Total Building Volume						
	Building Width - W	17.50	m				
2	Building Length - L	22.30	m	Area (W * L) =	390 m		
	Building Height - H	7.5	m				
	Total Building Volume - V =			W * L	.* H	2927	m³
	Spatial Coefficient Value						
	Exposure Distances:			Spatial Coefficients	S:		
	(Exterior building face to property/lot li	ne, to street o	entre,	From Figure 1 (Spa	tial Coefficient vs		
	or to mid-point between proposed build building on same lot)	ding and anot	her	Exposure D	Distance)		
3	North	12 70	m	Sside 1 =	0.00		
3	Fast	1 22	m	Sside 2 =	0.50		
	South	27.00	m	Sside 3 =	0.00		
	West	5.00	m	Sside 4 =	0.50		
	Total of Spacial Coefficient Values	- S-Tot		1.0 + (Sside 1 + Ss	ide 2 + Sside 3 +	2.00	
	as obtained from the formula =			Sside 4) (Max	2.00		
4	Minimum Fire Protection Water Sup	oply Volume					
4	Q =			K * V *	134,636	L	
	Re	quired Mir	nimum	Water Supply Flo	ow Rate		
_	Minimum Water Supply Flow Rate			From Table 2 (For w	ater supply from a	3,600	L/min
5	=			municipal or indust	trial water supply	or 60	l/s
	Minimum Fi	re Protecti	on Wa	ter Supply Volun	ne for 30 minut	es to	2,0
				– Minimum Water S	Supply Flow Rate		
6	Q =			(L/min) * 30) minutes	108,000	L
	Req	uired Fire	Protec	tion Water Suppl	ly Volume		
7	Q =			Highest volume of	ut of (4) and (6)	134,636	L
Notes							

Lucas Wilson

From:	Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca></reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	Monday, May 6, 2019 10:52 AM
То:	Lucas Wilson
Subject:	RE: 437 Donald B. Munroe Boundary Condition Request
Attachments:	437 Don Munroe April 2019.pdf

Good morning Lucas,

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 437 Donald Munroe (zone Carp) assumed to be connected to the 305mm on Donald Munroe (see attached PDF for location). Minimum HGL = 150.0m Maximum HGL = 160.0m MaxDay + FireFlow (60 L/s) = 135.0m to 163.0m. Please note the minimum pressure during a fire flow occurs just before the large pump at the Carp pumping station turns on. The maximum pressure occurs when the large pump is on.

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation. Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation

Regards,

Reza Bakhit, E.I.T, C.E.T. Engineering Intern Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department - Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique Development Review - Rural Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2424 ext./poste 19346, reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca

From: Lucas Wilson <I.wilson@novatech-eng.com>
Sent: Wednesday, May 01, 2019 11:46 AM
To: Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: 437 Donald B. Munroe Boundary Condition Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good Morning Reza,

Using the OBC to calculate fire flows has yielded a flow of 60 L/s.

Please let me know if you need anything else in order to provide the boundary conditions.

Thanks, Lucas Wilson, P.Eng., Project Coordinator | Engineering

NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects | 200-240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Ottawa, ON K2M 1P6 **Office** 613.254.9643 x282 | **Fax** 613.254.5867 | **Email** <u>l.wilson@novatech-eng.com</u> *The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.*

From: Bakhit, Reza <<u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u>>
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2019 11:12 AM
To: Lucas Wilson <<u>l.wilson@novatech-eng.com</u>>
Subject: 437 Donald B. Munroe Boundary Condition Request

Good morning Lucas,

The fire flow demand of 233L/s is very high for a closed zone like Carp water system. You may use OBC to calculate fire flows.

Regards,

ı

Reza Bakhit, E.I.T, C.E.T. Engineering Intern Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department - Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique Development Review - Rural Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2424 ext./poste 19346, <u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u>

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



437 Donald B. Munroe Drive - Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

ŀ	AREA					RESID	ENTIAL	_				ICI	INFILTR			DN			PIPE			
			SIN	GLES	APAR	TMENT		TOTAL														
ID	From	То	Units	Pop.	Units	Pop.	Pop.	Accum. Pop.	Peak Factor	Peak Flow (I/s)	Commercial Area (ha)	Accum. Area (ha)	Peak Flow (l/s)	Total Area (ha)	Accum. Area (ha)	Infilt. Flow (I/s)	Total Flow (I/s)	Size (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	Capacity (l/s)	Full Flow Vel. (m/s)
	Site																					
				0.0	2	6.2	6.2	6.2	3.7	0.08	0.17	0.17	0.08	0.17	0.17	0.06	0.21	300	0.56	35.4	75.5	1.03
Pre-Development																						
			1	3.4		0.0	3.4	3.4	4.0	0.04				0.17	0.17	0.06	0.10					
Design Pa	rameters	:		L.						Population	Density:				1				Pro	oject: 437	Donald B. M	lunroe Dri
ISTB-2018	• 01 Avg F	low/Per	rson =		280	l/day								ppl/unit	u	nits/net	ha					Des
Comm./Ins	. Flow =				28000	l/ha/day				Mixed Use				1.80								Ch
ISTB-2018	01 Infiltra	tion =			0.33	l/s/ha																
Pipe Frictio	n n =				0.013					Singles				3.40								Date: N
Residential	Peaking	Factor	= Harmor	n Equatior	n (max 4, i	min 2)			3 Bdrr	n Apartment				3.10								
Peaking Fa	ctor Com	m./Inst.	1.5																			



Q/Q _{full}
(%)
0.3%
ve (119023)
igned: LRW
ecked: MAB
lav 21, 2019
., ,



APPENDIX D

Stormwater Management Calculations

437 Donald B. Munroe Drive (119023) Pre-Development Catchment Parameters and Peak Flows



Existing Catchment Parameters

		Areas (ha)		Runoff C	oefficient	
Catchment ID	Total	Hard Surfaces (C=0.90)	Soft Surfaces (C=0.20)	C _{avg}	C _{100yr} ¹	%Imperv.
1 (Pre-Dev)	0.165	0.041	0.124	0.38	0.44	25.0%

¹ Runoff coefficient increases by 25%, up to a maximum value of 1.00, for the 100-year event.

Pre-Development Peak Flows

Catchment ID	Rain	fall Intensity (mm	ו/hr) ¹	Peak Flows (L/s)					
Catenment	2-year	5-year	100-year	2-year	5-year	100-year			
Site Boundary (existing conditions)	76.81	104.19	178.56	13.2	17.9	35.8			

¹ Tc = 10 minutes

Notes:

Rainfall Intensity based on City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (Oct. 2012)

- 100 year Intensity = $1735.688 / (Tc + 6.014)^{0.820}$
- 5 year Intensity = $998.071 / (Tc + 6.053)^{0.814}$
- 2 year Intensity = 732.951 / (Tc + 6.199)^{0.810}

Q(peak flow) = $2.78 \times C \times I \times A$

- C is the runoff coefficient

- I is the rainfall intensity

- A is the total drainage area



Infiltration Criteria and Storage Provided for Infiltration

Subcatchme for th	nt Parameters ne Site	Infiltration	n Criteria		Di Stor	mensions of Clear rmtech Chambers	stone below for Infiltration	
STM Areas	Total Drainage Area of Site	Annual Infiltration Requirement ¹	Volume to be Infiltrated Annually	Length	Width	Height	Bottom Area	Storage for Infiltration ³
	(ha)	(mm/year)	(m³/year)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m²)	(m ³)
1 - 5	0.165	73	120.5	34.72	1.164	0.300	40.4	4.8

¹ Annual infiltration requirement from Carp River Subwatershed Study (Dec. 2004); for low recharge areas.

² Volume to be infiltrated = 73mm / year x total site area (0.165 ha).

³Assumed 40% void ratio for Clearstone.

Retention Time and Annual Infiltration

Subcatchme to the Infiltr	nt Parameters ation System	R	etnetion Time		Annual Infiltration						
STM Areas	Drainage Area to Infiltration System	Percolation Rate ¹	Infiltration Rate	Retention Time	Infiltration Depth	% of Annual Rainfall (515mm) Infiltrated ²	Amount of Annual Rainfall Infiltrated	Volume of Rainfall Infiltrated / Year			
	(ha)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(hours)	(mm)	(%)	(mm/year)	(m³/year)			
4 & 5	0.130	10	0.11	12.0	3.7	37%	192.8	250.7			

¹ Percolation rate estimated for silty sand = 20 mm/hr; divided by 2 to account for clogging.

² Based on 30-years (1971 - 2000) of daily climate data (May - October).



Climate

Home > Data > Climate Normals & Averages

Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

The minimum number of years used to calculate these Normals is indicated by a <u>code</u> for each element. A "+" beside an extreme date indicates that this date is the first occurrence of the extreme value. Values and dates in bold indicate all-time extremes for the location.

Data used in the calculation of these Normals may be subject to further quality assurance checks. This may result in minor changes to some values presented here.

		OTTAWA	CDA *		
		ONTAF	OIS		
Latitude:	45°23'00.000" N	Longitude:	75°43'00.000" W	Elevation:	79.20 m
Climate ID:	6105976	WMO ID:		TC ID:	WCG

* This station meets <u>WMO standards</u> for temperature and precipitation.

▼ Temperature

	<u>Temperature</u>														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code	
Daily Average (°C)	-10.5	-8.6	-2.4	6.0	13.6	18.4	21.0	19.7	14.7	8.2	1.5	-6.6	6.3	Α	
Standard Deviation	2.9	2.7	2.5	1.9	1.8	1.3	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.6	1.7	3.3	0.8	Δ	
Daily Maximum (°C)	-6.1	-3.9	2.1	10.9	19.1	23.8	26.4	25.0	19.7	12.6	4.9	-2.9	11.0	Α	
Daily Minimum (°C)	-14.8	-13.2	-7.0	1.1	8.0	13.0	15.5	14.3	9.7	3.7	-1.9	-10.3	1.5	A	
Extreme Maximum (°C)	11.7	12.2	25.6	31.2	35.0	36.7	37.8	37.8	36.7	29.4	23.3	16.1			
Date (yyyy/dd)	1932/ 14	1953/ 21	1945/ 28	1990/ 27	1921/ 21	1921/ 22	1913/ 04	1917/ 01	1931/ 11	1891/ 03	1961/ 03	1951/ 07			
Extreme Minimum (°C)	-37.8	-38.3	-36.7	-20.6	-7.2	0.0	3.3	1.7	-4.4	-12.8	-23.9	-38.9			
Date (yyyy/dd)	1925/ 19	1934/ 17	1938/ 04	1923/ 01	1902/ 10	1910/ 04	1942/ 10	1934/ 30	1947/ 28	1933/ 26	1925/ 30	1933/ 29			

Precipitation

	Precipitation														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code	
Rainfall (mm)	22.9	16.1	33.6	59.7	80.9	91.2	88.9	87.6	86.8	76.2	60.5	28.8	733.2	Α	
Snowfall (cm)	49	41	32	7	0	0	0	0	0	3	18	52	203	A	

11	1/8	/20	1	4

Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

Precipitation (mm)	64.2	51.6	64.9	67.7	81.0	91.2	88.9	87.6	86.8	79.1	77.0	74.1	914.2	Α
Average Snow Depth (cm)	21	25	20	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	11	7	Α
Median Snow Depth (cm)	21	25	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	10	7	Α
Snow Depth at Month- end (cm)	23	26	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	16	7	Α
Extreme Daily Rainfall (mm)	40.1	38.4	41.8	48.3	75.9	77.5	74.2	90.4	93.2	58.4	49.0	73.2		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1995/ 15	1997/ 21	1980/ 21	1956/ 15	1916/ 17	1946/ 17	1899/ 11	1943/ 23	1942/ 09	1995/ 05	1907/ 07	1933/ 31		
Extreme Daily Snowfall (cm)	56	46	48	33	19	0	0	0	0	22	53	38		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1894/ 29	1895/ 08	1947/ 02	1970/ 02	1907/ 04	1890/ 01	1890/ 01	1890/ 01	1890/ 01	1933/ 24	1912/ 25	1973/ 20		
Extreme Daily Precipitation (mm)	55.9	45.7	48.8	48.3	75.9	77.5	74.2	90.4	93.2	58.4	53.3	73.2		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1894/ 29	1895/ 08	1962/ 12	1956/ 15	1916/ 17	1946/ 17	1899/ 11	1943/ 23	1942/ 09	1995/ 05	1912/ 25	1933/ 31		
Extreme Snow Depth (cm)	53	97	89	66	8	0	0	0	0	18	30	51		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1971/ 30	1971/ 24	1971/ 12	1971/ 01	1963/ 11	1961/ 01	1961/ 01	1961/ 01	1961/ 01	1997/ 27	1995/ 28	1970/ 25		

▼ Days with Maximum Temperature

Days with Maximum Temperature														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Νον	Dec	Year	Code
<= 0 °C	23.3	19.8	10.9	0.9	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	5.8	19.1	79.7	Δ
> 0 °C	7.7	8.5	20.1	29.1	31.0	30.0	31.0	31.0	30.0	31.0	24.2	11.9	285.5	Δ
> 10 °C	0.0	0.1	3.0	15.3	29.5	30.0	31.0	31.0	29.5	20.5	5.4	0.4	195.6	Δ
> 20 °C	0.0	0.0	0.1	2.6	12.8	24.1	29.8	27.4	13.6	2.6	0.1	0.0	113.2	Δ
> 30 °C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	2.3	4.3	2.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	10.3	Δ
> 35 °C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	Δ

▼ Days with Minimum Temperature

Days with Minimum Temperature														
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
> 0 °C	1.0	1.1	4.5	17.5	30.3	30.0	31.0	31.0	29.5	23.6	10.5	1.8	211.9	<u>A</u>

11/8/2014

Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

<= 2 °C	30.9	27.9	29.5	18.5	2.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	1.5	12.3	24.3	30.4	177.9	A
<= 0 °C	30.0	27.2	26.5	12.5	0.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	7.4	19.5	29.2	153.4	<u>A</u>
< -2 °C	29.0	25.6	21.9	7.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.7	13.1	26.2	125.7	Α
<-10 °C	21.8	18.7	10.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.9	15.2	67.9	<u>A</u>
<-20 °C	8.6	5.9	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	3.4	18.9	A
< - 30 °C	0.5	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.7	A

\blacksquare Days with Rainfall

			Di	ays w	<mark>/ith R</mark>	ainfa	L							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 0.2 mm	3.9	3.3	6.3	10.8	13.4	12.9	12.4	12	14.1	13.7	10.7	5.1	118.5	<u>A</u>
>= 5 mm	1.5	1.1	2.1	4	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.3	4.7	3.7	2.1	45	Δ
>= 10 mm	0.73	0.47	1	1.9	2.7	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.8	2.3	1.9	1.1	23.9	<u>A</u>
>= 25 mm	0.23	0.07	0.20	0.30	0.37	0.80	0.70	0.83	0.63	0.47	0.40	0	5	Δ

▼ Days With Snowfall

			Da	ys Wi	ith Sn	<u>owfa</u>								
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 0.2 cm	14.8	10.6	8.2	2.7	0.17	0	0	0	0	1.1	5.5	13.4	56.6	<u>A</u>
>= 5 cm	3.4	2.7	2.6	0.37	0	0	0	0	0	0.10	1.2	3.6	13.9	<u>A</u>
>= 10 cm	0.80	0.93	0.83	0.17	0	0	0	0	0	0.07	0.40	1.4	4.6	<u>A</u>
>= 25 cm	0	0.13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	0.07	0.23	<u>A</u>

▼ Days with Precipitation

			Days	s with	Prec	<mark>ipita</mark>	<u>tion</u>							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
>= 0.2 mm	16.6	12.2	12.4	12.4	13.4	12.9	12.4	12.0	14.1	14.2	14.7	16.1	163.4	<u>A</u>
>= 5 mm	4.3	3.0	4.3	4.6	5.3	5.2	5.1	4.9	5.3	4.9	4.7	5.2	57.0	<u>A</u>
>= 10 mm	1.4	1.5	1.9	2.2	2.7	3.1	3.1	2.6	2.8	2.4	2.4	2.3	28.5	<u>A</u>
>= 25 mm	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.8	0.6	0.5	0.4	0.1	5.3	Α

▼ Days with Snow Depth

			Days	s witl	n Sno	<mark>w De</mark>	<u>pth</u>							
	Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Year Cod													Code
>= 1 cm	30.3	28	25.7	5.4	0.04	0	0	0	0	0.40	5.9	23.8	119.5	<u>A</u>
>= 5 cm	28.2	27.6	23.5	3.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.13	3.7	20.3	107.1	<u>A</u>
>= 10 cm	24.2	24.3	20.5	2.6	0	0	0	0	0	0.03	1.6	13.8	87	Δ
>= 20 cm	15.6	16.4	12.8	1.5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.17	5.3	51.7	<u>A</u>

▼ Degree Days

					D	<u>egree</u>	<u>Days</u>							
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Year Cod														Code
Above 24 °C	0	0	0	0	0.2	2.7	6.9	3.2	0.5	0	0	0	13.4	Α

11/8/2014

Canadian Climate Normals 1971-2000 Station Data

Above 18 °C	0	0	0	0.9	13	51	99.8	71.6	16.4	0.5	0	0	253	Α
Above 15 °C	0	0	0	3.8	37.3	114.2	186.1	147.7	46.2	3.4	0	0	538.6	<u>A</u>
Above 10 °C	0	0	0.6	19.8	125.9	253.7	340.6	299.7	148.4	31.6	2.7	0	1222.8	<u>A</u>
Above 5 °C	0.1	0.3	8	76	266.3	403.2	495.6	454.7	291.1	115.3	21.1	0.8	2132.4	<u>A</u>
Above 0 °C	4.7	6.9	43.7	188.6	420.7	553.2	650.6	609.7	441	254.2	85.7	12.1	3270.9	<u>A</u>
Below 0 °C	329.8	249.1	118.9	8.5	0	0	0	0	0	0.3	39.8	217.5	963.9	<u>A</u>
Below 5 °C	480.2	383.8	238.2	46	0.7	0	0	0	0.1	16.5	125.2	361.2	1651.7	<u>A</u>
Below 10 °C	635.1	524.9	385.8	139.7	15.2	0.5	0	0	7.4	87.7	256.8	515.4	2568.5	A
Below 15 °C	790.1	666.2	540.2	273.7	81.6	11	0.5	3	55.3	214.5	404.1	670.4	3710.6	A
Below 18 °C	883.1	751	633.2	360.8	150.3	37.8	7.2	20	115.4	304.6	494.1	763.4	4520.8	Α

▼ Soil Temperature

			<u>s</u>	oil T	empe	ratur	<u>e</u>							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
at 5 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	-0.2	-0.7	-0.2	3.0	11.1	16.6	19.1	18.2	14.2	8.3	3.5	0.5	7.8	A
at 5 cm depth (PM obs) (°C)	-0.2	-0.6	-0.0	5.2	14.4	20.2	23.0	21.8	17.0	10.3	4.1	0.5	9.6	A
at 10 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	0.0	-0.5	-0.1	3.2	11.2	16.7	19.2	18.4	14.6	8.8	3.8	0.8	8.0	Α
at 10 cm depth (PM obs) (°C)	0.0	-0.4	0.0	4.7	13.6	19.4	22.1	21.1	16.6	10.2	4.2	0.8	9.4	Δ
at 20 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	0.5	-0.1	0.3	3.4	11.5	17.0	19.6	19.0	15.3	9.7	4.6	1.4	8.5	Δ
at 20 cm depth (PM obs) (°C)	0.5	-0.0	0.3	4.1	12.6	18.3	21.0	20.2	16.2	10.2	4.8	1.4	9.1	Δ
at 50 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	1.1	0.3	0.3	2.5	9.8	15.0	17.8	17.8	15.2	10.4	5.6	2.2	8.2	Δ
at 100 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	2.9	2.0	1.6	2.5	7.6	12.3	15.2	16.2	15.0	11.7	7.8	4.5	8.3	Δ
at 150 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	5.0	3.9	3.3	3.5	6.8	10.7	13.6	15.0	14.8	12.7	9.7	6.7	8.8	<u>C</u>
at 300 cm depth (AM obs) (°C)	7.0	5.9	5.1	4.6	5.7	8.1	10.4	12.1	12.9	12.3	10.7	8.7	8.6	Δ

▼ Evaporation

				<u>Eva</u>	apora	<u>tion</u>								
Jan Feb Mar Apr May Jun Jul Aug Sep Oct Nov Dec Year Code														
Lake Evaporation (mm)	0	0	0	0	3.6	4.3	4.5	3.7	2.4	1.4	0	0	0	<u>C</u>

▼ Bright Sunshine

					B	right Su	Inshin	<u>e</u>						
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
Total Hours	101.2	129.8	159.8	189.4	230.3	253.3	276.8	246.7	171.5	136.7	83.6	82.0	2061.1	<u>C</u>
Days with measureable	21.6	22.3	24.7	25.5	27.9	28.6	30.2	29.7	26.5	25.8	20.9	19.7	303.4	<u>C</u>

11/8/2014					Canadiar	Climate No	ormals 197	71-2000 St	tation Data	1				
% of possible daylight hours	35.7	44.3	43.3	46.8	50.0	54.1	58.4	56.5	45.5	40.2	29.1	30.1	44.5	<u>C</u>
Extreme Daily	8.9	10.4	11.6	13.5	14.9	15.2	15.0	14.0	12.7	10.6	9.6	8.1		Δ
Date (yyyy/dd)	1981/ 31	1974/ 26	1987/ 24	1974/ 26	1997/ 27	1979/ 25	1978/ 01	1978/ 05	1991/ 01	1976/ 01	1985/ 01	1979/ 30		

▼ Radiation

						<u>Radiat</u>	ion							
	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Мау	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Code
Extreme Global - RF1 (MJ/m2)	11.4	16.7	22.6	27.9	31.3	32.5	30.8	28.8	23.6	17.2	11.7	8.7		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1994/ 31	1994/ 27	1994/ 30	1986/ 23	1990/ 30	1987/ 20	1996/ 01	1987/ 01	1991/ 01	1992/ 01	1985/ 01	1989/ 01		
Extreme Net - RF4 (MJ/m2)	2.6	1.8	11.8	15.3	17.7	19.3	19.3	15.7	12.5	7.8	3.7	1.7		
Date (yyyy/dd)	1988/ 31	1986/ 28	1996/ 31	1993/ 14	1987/ 15	1987/ 17	1997/ 16	1995/ 07	1996/ 01	1995/ 01	1988/ 03	1987/ 10		

Legend

- A = WMO "3 and 5 rule" (i.e. no more than 3 consecutive and no more than 5 total missing for **either** temperature **or** precipitation)
- B = At least 25 years
- C = At least 20 years
- D = At least 15 years

Date modified: 2014-07-09

APPENDIX E

Stormtech Chambers Information

437 Donald B. Monroe Drive Underground Storage System (Stormtech Chambers)



Storage Unit	Number of Chambers	Total Storage Provided ¹ (m ³)	Storage Provided per Metre ² (m ³ /m)	Bottom Area ³ (m ²)	Total Length ⁴ (m)	Total Height ⁵ (m)	Width Used in PCSWMM Model ⁶ (m)
SC-310 Stormtech Chambers	16	11.2	0.32	40.4	34.72	0.555	0.579
300mm Clearstone Base	-	4.8	0.14	40.4	34.72	0.300	0.466
TOTAL	16	16.0	0.46	40.4	34.72	0.855	-

¹ Installed storage per chamber = 1.00 m^3 /chamber or 0.46 m^3 /m. Includes 150 mm clearstone cover and spacing; 300 mm clearstone base.

² Installed storage per chamber = 0.70 m³/chamber and 0.30 m³/chamber for clearstone, respectively. Chamber Length = 2.17 m.

³ Installed chamber width = 1.164 m; storage unit width = 0.864 m + 0.15 m (x2) clearstone on each side.

⁴ Length per chamber = 2.17 m; end caps are not included.

⁵ Chamber height = 405 mm + 150 mm clearstone cover.

⁶ Represents equivalent storage per m within a rectangular conduit.





SC-310 CHAMBER

Designed to meet the most stringent industry performance standards for superior structural integrity while providing designers with a cost-effective method to save valuable land and protect water resources. The StormTech system is designed primarily to be used under parking lots, thus maximizing land usage for private (commercial) and public applications. StormTech chambers can also be used in conjunction with Green Infrastructure, thus enhancing the performance and extending the service life of these practices.

9.9"

(251 mm)

STORMTECH SC-310 CHAMBER

(not to scale)

Nominal Chamber Specifications

Size (L x W x H) 85.4" x 34.0" x 16.0" 2,170 mm x 864 mm x 406 mm

Chamber Storage 14.7 ft³ (0.42 m³)

Min. Installed Storage* 31.0 ft³ (0.88 m³)

Weight 37.0 lbs (16.8 kg)

Shipping 41 chambers/pallet 108 end caps/pallet 18 pallets/truck

*Assumes 6" (150 mm) stone above and below chambers and 40% stone porosity.

> CHAMBERS SHALL MEET THE REQUIREMENTS FOR ASTM F2418 POLYPROPLENE (PP) CHAMBERS



15.6"

(396 mm)

12" (300 mm)

DIAMETER MAX.



90.7" (2304 mm) ACTUAL LENGTH



GRANULAR WELL-GRADED SOIL/AGGREGATE MIXTURES, <35% FINES, COMPACT IN 6" (150 mm) MAX LIFTS TO 95% PROCTOR DENSITY. SEE THE TABLE OF ACCEPTABLE FILL MATERIALS. EMBEDMENT STONE SHALL BE A CLEAN, CRUSHED AND ANGULAR STONE WITH AN AASHTO M43 DESIGNATION BETWEEN #3 AND #57 CHAMBERS SHALL BE BE DESIGNED IN ACCORDANCE WITH ASTM F2787 "STANDARD PRACTICE FOR STRUCTURAL DESIGN OF THERMOPLASTIC CORRUGATED WALL STORMWATER COLLECTION CHAMBERS". OR ASTM F922 POLYETHYLENE (PE) CHAMBERS ADS GEOSYTHETICS 601T NON-WOVEN GEOTEXTILE ALL AROUND CLEAN, CRUSHED PAVEMENT LAYER (DESIGNED ANGULAR EMBEDMENT STONE BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER) ***** 6" (150 mm) MIN 18' (2.4 m) (450 mm) MIN* MAX PERIMETER STONE 16 (405 mm) EXCAVATION WALL (CAN BE SLOPED OR VERTICAL) DEPTH OF STONE TO BE DETERMINED BY SITE DESIGN ENGINEER 6" (150 mm) MIN 12" (300 mm) MIN 34" (865 mm) 12" (300 mm) TYP (150 mm) MIN END CAP

SITE DESIGN ENGINEER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR THE ENSURING THE REQUIRED BEARING CAPACITY OF SUBGRADE SOILS

*MINIMUM COVER TO BOTTOM OF FLEXIBLE PAVEMENT. FOR UNPAVED INSTALLATIONS WHERE RUTTING FROM VEHICLES MAY OCCUR, INCREASE COVER TO 24" (600 mm).





SC-310 CUMULATIVE STORAGE VOLUMES PER CHAMBER

Assumes 40% Stone Porosity. Calculations are Based Upon a 6" (150 mm) Stone Base Under Chambers.

Depth of Water in System Inches (mm)	Cumulative Chamber Storage ft ³ (m ³)		Total System Cumulative Storage ft ³ (m ³)
28 (711)	•	14.70 (0.416)	31.00 (0.878)
27 (686)		14.70 (0.416)	30.21 (0.855)
26 (680)	Stone	14.70 (0.416)	29.42 (0.833)
25 (610)	Cover	14.70 (0.416)	28.63 (0.811)
24 (609)		14.70 (0.416)	27.84 (0.788)
23 (584)	*	14.70 (0.416)	27.05 (0.766)
22 (559)		14.70 (0.416)	26.26 (0.748)
21 (533)		14.64 (0.415)	25.43 (0.720)
20 (508)		14.49 (0.410)	24.54 (0.695)
19 (483)		14.22 (0.403)	23.58 (0.668)
18 (457)		13.68 (0.387)	22.47 (0.636)
17 (432)		12.99 (0.368)	21.25 (0.602)
16 (406)		12.17 (0.345)	19.97 (0.566)
15 (381)	11.25 (0.319)		18.62 (0.528)
14 (356)	10.23 (0.290)		17.22 (0.488)
13 (330)		9.15 (0.260)	15.78 (0.447)
12 (305)	7.99 (0.227)		14.29 (0.425)
11 (279)	6.78 (0.192)		12.77 (0.362)
10 (254)	5.51 (0.156)		11.22 (0.318)
9 (229)	4.19 (0.119)		9.64 (0.278)
8 (203)	2.83 (0.081)		8.03 (0.227)
7 (178)		1.43 (0.041)	6.40 (0.181)
6 (152)		0	4.74 (0.134)
5 (127)		0	3.95 (0.112)
4(102)	_ Stone Four	ndation 0	3.16 (0.090)
3 (76)		0	2.37 (0.067)
2 (51)		0	1.58 (0.046)
1 (25)	*	0	0.79 (0.022)

Note: Add 0.79 ft 3 (0.022 m $^{3}) of storage for each additional inch. (25 mm) of stone foundation.$

STORAGE VOLUME PER CHAMBER FT³ (M³)

	Bare Chamber	Chamber and Stone Foundation Depth in. (mm)			
	ft ³ (m ³)	6 (150)	12 (300)	18 (450)	
StormTech SC-310	14.7 (0.4)	31.0 (0.9)	35.7 (1.0)	40.4 (1.1)	

Note: Assumes 6" (150 mm) of stone above chambers, 6" (150 mm) row spacing and 40% stone porosity.

AMOUNT OF STONE PER CHAMBER

	Stone Foundation Depth			
ENGLISH TONS (yas")	6"	12"	18"	
StormTech SC-310	2.1 (1.5 yd ³)	2.7 (1.9 yd ³)	3.4 (2.4 yd ³)	
METRIC KILOGRAMS (m ³)	150 mm	300 mm	450 mm	
StormTech SC-310	1830 (1.1 m³)	2490 (1.5 m ³)	2990 (1.8 m ³)	

Note: Assumes 6" (150 mm) of stone above, and between chambers.

VOLUME EXCAVATION PER CHAMBER YD³ (M³)

	Stone Foundation Depth			
	6" (150 mm)	12" (300 mm)	18" (450 mm)	
StormTech SC-310	2.9 (2.2)	3.4 (2.6)	3.8 (2.9)	

Note: Assumes 6" (150 mm) of row separation and 18" (450 mm) of cover. The volume of excavation will vary as the depth of the cover increases.



Working on a project? Visit us at www.stormtech.com and utilize the StormTech Design Tool

For more information on the StormTech SC-310 Chamber and other ADS products, please contact our Customer Service Representatives at 1-800-821-6710

THE MOST ADVANCED NAME IN WATER MANAGEMENT SOLUTIONS™

ADS "Terms and Conditions of Sale" are available on the ADS website, www.ads-pipe.com The ADS logo and the Green Stripe are registered trademarks of Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. StormTech* is a registered trademark of StormTech, Inc. © 2017 Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. #S15 090508 09/17 CS Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. 4640 Trueman Blvd., Hilliard, OH 43026 1-800-821-6710 www.ads-pipe.com

APPENDIX F

Inlet Control Device (ICD) Information

Conrad Stang

From:	Rosiu, Cornel <cornel.rosiu@ipexna.com></cornel.rosiu@ipexna.com>
Sent:	Friday, May 24, 2019 11:25 AM
То:	Conrad Stang
Cc:	Donnelly, Ryan; Lucas Wilson
Subject:	RE: Tempest LMF ICD request (437 Donald Monro Drive)
Attachments:	2019052401 Novatech - Donald Monro Drive ICD Submittal.pdf

Conrad,

Please see attached ICD submittal

Regards,

Cornel Rosiu IPEX Inc. - *Municipal Estimator, ON* <u>Cornel.Rosiu@ipexna.com</u> 6810 Invader Crescent, Mississauga, ON, L5T 2B6 T: (905) 670-7676 x200

Confidentiality Note: This e-mail message and any attachments to it are intended only for the named recipients and may contain confidential information. If you are not one of the intended recipients, please do not duplicate or forward this e-mail message and immediately delete it from your computer.

From: Conrad Stang <c.stang@novatech-eng.com> Sent: May 24, 2019 10:49 AM To: Rosiu, Cornel <Cornel.Rosiu@ipexna.com> Cc: Doppelly_Byan_<Byan_Doppelly@ipexna.com>: Lucas Wilson <Lwilson

Cc: Donnelly, Ryan <Ryan.Donnelly@ipexna.com>; Lucas Wilson <I.wilson@novatech-eng.com> Subject: Tempest LMF ICD request (437 Donald Monro Drive)

Hi Cornel,

Can I please have sizing / documentation for a Tempest LMF ICD for a proposed development at 437 Donald B. Monro Drive, in Ottawa, Ontario.

ICD Location	Head (m)	Release Rate (L/s)
CB01	1.50	17.8

*100-year 3-hour Chicago Storm

The Tempest LMF ICD will be installed within a square 600mm x 600mm CB; 300mm outlet pipe.

Thanks,

Conrad

Conrad Stang, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., Project Manager | Water Resources

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON, K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 x310 | Fax: 613.254.5867 Email: <u>c.stang@novatech-eng.com</u> | Website: <u>www.novatech-eng.com</u>

The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.

TEMPEST Product Submittal Package



Date: May 24, 2019

<u>Customer</u>: Novatech

<u>Contact</u>: Conrad Stang

Location: Ottawa

<u>Project Name</u>: Donald B. Monro Drive



Tempest MHF ICD Sq Shop Drawing





<u>Tempest HF ICD Sq</u> Shop Drawing







Square CB Installation Notes:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
 - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8x3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts
- 2. Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Put the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts on the ends of the anchors
- 5. Install the wall mounting plate on the anchors and screw the nut in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the LMF device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the wall mounting plate and has created a seal.









Round CB Installation Notes: (Refer to square install notes above for steps 1, 3, & 4)

- 2. Use spigot catch basin wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 5. Install the CB spigot wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lb-ft). There should be no gap between the CB spigot wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Apply solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate and the spigot of the spigot CB wall plate. Slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the universal mounting plate hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered into the mounting plate and has created a seal.



CAUTION/WARNING/DISCLAIM:

- Verify that the inlet(s) pipe(s) is not protruding into the catch basin. If it is, cut it back so that the inlet pipe is flush with the catch basin wall.
- Any required cement in the installation must be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Please refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm required curing times or attend the IPEX <u>Online Solvent</u> <u>Cement Training Course</u>.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.



IPEX TEMPEST Inlet Control Devices Technical Specification

General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control where specified. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's must have no moving parts.

Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.


APPENDIX G

Water Quality Treatment Unit Information

Conrad Stang

From:	Evelyn Krolicka <evelyn@echelonenvironmental.ca></evelyn@echelonenvironmental.ca>
Sent:	Friday, May 24, 2019 1:13 PM
To:	Conrad Stang
Subject:	RE: 437 Donald B. Monro - CDS Model Request
Attachments:	CDS TSSR- 437 Donald B Monro Drive.pdf

Hello Conrad,

I hope you're doing well!

I have attached the TSS removal calculations for the project at 437 Donald B. Monro Drive in Ottawa, Ontario. For this project, we recommend our smallest CDS unit, PMSU2015_4. The sample drawings can be found after the calculations in the attachment.

If you have any questions you can contact me at your convenience.

Have a good weekend!

Evelyn Krolicka

Project Manager



505 Hood Road |Markham, ON | L3R5V6 Tel: 905-948-0000 | Fax: 905-948-0577 Email: evelyn@echelonenvironmental.ca

From: Conrad Stang [mailto:c.stang@novatech-eng.com]
Sent: Friday, May 24, 2019 10:46 AM
To: Evelyn Krolicka
Cc: Lucas Wilson
Subject: 437 Donald B. Monro - CDS Model Request

Hi Evelyn,

Can I please get sizing / documentation for water quality treatment unit, preferably a CDS unit. Here are the design parameters:

80% long-term TSS removal (enhanced)

Drainage Area: 0.133 ha Runoff Coefficient: 0.89

Controlled water quality flow rate: 6.7 L/s (25mm 4-hour Chicago storm)

Controlled 100-year flow rate: 17.8 L/s (100-year 4-hour Chicago storm)

This for a proposed development at 437 Donald B. Monro in Ottawa, Ontario.

Kind regards,

Conrad

Conrad Stang, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., Project Manager | Water Resources

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON, K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 x310 | Fax: 613.254.5867 Email: <u>c.stang@novatech-eng.com</u> | Website: <u>www.novatech-eng.com</u>

The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.

CWNTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS

CDS ESTIMATED NET ANNUAL SOLIDS LOAD REDUCTION BASED ON THE RATIONAL RAINFALL METHOD BASED ON A FINE PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION



l/s

Project Name:	437 Donald B	. Monro Dr	Engineer:	NOVATECH	
Location:	Ottawa, ON		Contact:	Conrad Stang,	P.Eng
OGS #:	OGS		Report Date:	24-May-19	
Area	0.13	ha	Rainfall Station	on #	215
Weighted C	0.89		Particle Size	Distribution	FINE
CDS Model	2015-4		CDS Treatme	ent Capacity	20

<u>Rainfall</u> Intensity ¹ (mm/hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume ¹	Cumulative Rainfall Volume	Total Treated Open Flowrate Flowrate (I/s) Rate		<u>Operating</u> <u>Rate (%)</u>	<u>Removal</u> Efficiency <u>(%)</u>	Incremental Removal (%)				
1.0	10.6%	19.8%	0.3 0.3 1.7		1.7	98.4	10.4				
1.5	9.9%	29.7%	0.5	0.5	2.5	98.1	9.7				
2.0	8.4%	38.1%	0.7	0.7	3.3	97.9	8.2				
2.5	7.7%	45.8%	0.8	0.8	4.1	97.7	7.5				
3.0	5.9%	51.7%	1.0	1.0	5.0	97.4	5.8				
3.5	4.4%	56.1%	1.2	1.2	5.8	97.2	4.2				
4.0	4.7%	60.7%	1.3	1.3	6.6	97.0	4.5				
4.5	3.3%	64.0%	1.5	1.5	7.5	96.7	3.2				
5.0	3.0%	67.1%	1.6	1.6	8.3	96.5	2.9				
6.0	5.4%	72.4%	2.0	2.0	10.0	96.0	5.2				
7.0	4.4%	76.8%	2.3 2.3		11.6	95.5	4.2				
8.0	3.5%	80.3%	2.6	2.6	13.3	95.1	3.4				
9.0	2.8%	83.2%	83.2% 3.0 3.0 14.9 94.6								
10.0	2.2%	85.3%	3.3	3.3	16.6	94.1	2.1				
15.0	7.0%	92.3%	4.9	4.9	24.9	91.7	6.4				
20.0	4.5%	96.9%	6.6	6.6	33.2	89.3	4.1				
25.0	1.4%	98.3%	8.2	8.2	41.5	87.0	1.3				
30.0	0.7%	99.0%	9.9	9.9	49.8	84.6	0.6				
35.0	0.5%	99.5%	11.5	11.5	58.1	82.2	0.4				
40.0	0.5%	100.0%	13.2	13.2	66.4	79.8	0.4				
45.0	0.0%	100.0%	14.8	14.8	74.7	77.4	0.0				
50.0	0.0%	100.0%	16.5	16.5	83.0	75.1	0.0				
							96.1				
				Rem	oval Efficiency	Adjustment ² =	6.5%				
			Predic	ted Net Annual	Load Remov	al Efficiency =	89.6%				
	Predicted Annual Rainfall Treated = 99.0%										
1 - Based on 42	- Based on 42 years of hourly rainfall data from Canadian Station 6105976, Ottawa ON										
2 - Reduction du	ie to use of 60-r	ninute data for a	site that has	a time of conce	ntration less th	an 30-minutes.					





APPENDIX H

Stormwater Management Modeling PCSWMM Model Schematic, Output Data

437 Donald B. Monro Drive (119023) PCSWMM Model Schematic







EPA STORM WATER I	MANAGEMENT MODEL	- VERSION	5.1 (Bui	ld 5.1.013)		
Allowable Release 2-year = 13.2 L/s 5-year = 17.9 L/s	e Rates (Pre-Dev. s s):					
**************************************	ages 1 chments 5 						
******************* Raingage Summary *****************							
Name Design_Storms	Data Source C3hr-2yr			Data Type INTENSITY	Recordin Interval 10 min	ng L 	
**************************************	**** mary ****	ぼうふちゃ	& Tmoney	\$01er-	Pair Car	<u>_</u>	Outlot
1 2 3 4 5	0.02 0.01 0.00 0.09 0.04	60.00 26.67 15.00 90.00 50.00	14.30 84.30 42.90 100.00 100.00	5.0000 2.0000 2.0000 2.0000 1.5000	Design_St Design_St Design_St Design_St	corms corms corms corms corms	Out (Uncontrolled) Out (Uncontrolled) MH100 Stormtech01 Stormtech01
************ Node Summary *****							
		Τ	vort	Max	Ponded	External	
Name	Туре	E	lev.	Depth	Area	Inflow	
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION	9 9 9 9	2.33 2.51 2.22	Depth 	Area 0.0 0.0 0.0	Inflow	
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Major) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE	9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 3.82 2.17 3.80 2.33	1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25	Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Inflow	
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Minor) Out(Minor) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 Link Summary Name	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE From Node	9999999999	2.33 2.51 2.22 2.06 3.82 2.17 3.80 2.33	Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.00 2.25 Type	Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	rth *6	Lope Roughness
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Major) Out(Minor) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 CB01 CB01-OGS Clearstone MH100-OGS OGS-OUT(minor) StormtechCCB01 StormtechChambers CB01(ICD)	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE From Node CB01 CB01 (ICD) Stormtech01 MH100 OGS Stormtech02 stormtech01 CB01	To Node 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 2.382 2.17 3.80 2.33	Lepth Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25 Type 	Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	gth %S3 3.0 1.0 5.8 0.4 1.7 0.2 5.4 0.2 5.4 0.4 5.7 0.5 5.4 0.4 5.7 0.5 5.7 0.	lope Roughness
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Minor) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 CB01(Major) CB01(Major) CB01-OGS Clearstone MH100-OGS Clearstone MH100-OGS StormtechCB01 Stormtech02	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE From Node CB01 (CD) Stormtech01 MH100 OGS Stormtech01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB	To Node	2.33 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.12 2.17 3.80 2.33 	Depth Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25 Type 	Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	yth %S: 3.0 1.0 5.7 0.1 5.7 0.0 1.4.7 0.3	Lope Roughness
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Major) Out(Minor) Out(Minor) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01-OGS CB01-OGS CGS-OUT(minor) Stormtech-CB01 Stormtech-	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE CB01 CB01(ICD) Stormtech01 MH100 OGS Stormtech02 s Stormtech01 cB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 C	To Node 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99 99	2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 3.82 2.17 2.33 2.33 2.33 2.33 2.33 2.51 2.72 2.06 3.82 2.33 2.51 2.06 3.82 2.33 2.51 2.52 2.06 3.82 2.33 2.51 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52 2.52	Depth Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25 Type CONDUIT C	Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	yth %S: 3.0 1.0 5.8 0.4 1.7 0.1 5.9 0.4 1.8 1.0 4.7 0. No. of Barrels	Lope Roughness

NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step,

437 Donald B. Monro (119023) PCSWMM Model Output (2-year, 3-hour Chicago Storm)

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Analysis Options *******		
Flow Units Process Models:	LPS	
Process Models: Rainfall/Runoff RDII Snowmelt Groundwater Flow Routing Ponding Allowed Water Quality Infiltration Method Flow Routing Method Surcharge Method Starting Date Antecedent Dry Days Report Time Step Dry Time Step Ty Time Step Routing Time Step Naximum Trials Number of Threads Head Tolerance	YES NO NO YES YES YES NO HORTON DYNWAVE EXTRAN 05/10/2019 05/11/2019 0.0 01:01:00 00:01:00 00:01:00 2.00 sec YES 8 1 0.001500 m	00:00:00 00:00:00

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Total Precipitation	0.005	31.857
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	0.001	4.518
Surface Runoff	0.004	26.410
Final Storage	0.000	0.966
Continuity Error (%)	-0.117	

**************************************	Volume hectare-m	Volume 10^6 lti
Dry Weather Inflow Wet Weather Inflow Groundwater Inflow RDII Inflow External Inflow External Outflow Flooding Loss Evaporation Loss Exfiltration Loss Initial Stored Volume Final Stored Volume Continuity Error (%)	0.000 0.004 0.000 0.000 0.004 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.001 0.669	0.000 0.044 0.000 0.000 0.030 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
Highest Continuity Errors **********************************		
Time-Step Critical Elements Time-Step Critical Line Stormtech-CB01 (1.28%)		
Highest Flow Instability Ind	**** lexes ****	
Routing Time Step Summary Minimum Time Step Average Time Step Maximum Time Step Percent in Steady State Average Iterations per Step Percent Not Converging	: 0.50 s : 1.99 s : 2.00 s : 0.00 : 2.00 : 0.00	ec ec ec

Date: 05/24/19 M:\2019\119023\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\Model Schematic-Output\Output(2-year).pdf

437 Donald B. Monro (119023) PCSWMM Model Output (2-year, 3-hour Chicago Storm)

Subcatchment Runoff Summary

Subcatchment	Total Precip mm	Total Runon mm	Total Evap mm	Total Infil mm	Imperv Runoff mm	Perv Runoff mm	Total Runoff mm	Total Runoff 10^6 ltr	Peak Runoff LPS	Runoff Coeff
1	31.86	0.00	0.00	27.16	4.33	0.15	4.49	0.00	1.05	0.141
2	31.86	0.00	0.00	4.94	25.57	0.07	25.64	0.00	1.51	0.805
3	31.86	0.00	0.00	18.05	13.00	0.15	13.16	0.00	0.32	0.413
4	31.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.33	0.00	30.33	0.03	19.20	0.952
5	31.86	0.00	0.00	0.00	31.90	0.00	31.90	0.01	8.53	1.001

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Node Depth Summary

Node	Туре	Average Depth Meters	Maximum Depth Meters	Maximum HGL Meters	Time Occu days	of Max urrence hr:min	Reported Max Depth Meters					
CB01(ICD)	JUNCTION	0.01	0.08	92.41	0	01:20	0.08					
MH100	JUNCTION	0.00	0.01	92.52	0	01:10	0.01					
OGS	JUNCTION	0.00	0.06	92.28	0	01:20	0.06					
Stormtech01	JUNCTION	0.29	0.65	92.77	0	01:20	0.65					
Stormtech02	JUNCTION	0.34	0.71	92.77	0	01:19	0.71					
Out(Major)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	93.82	0	00:00	0.00					
Out(Minor)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.06	92.23	0	01:20	0.06					
Out(Uncontrolled)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	93.80	0	00:00	0.00					
CB01	STORAGE	0.02	0.44	92.77	0	01:19	0.44					

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Node Inflow Summary

		Maximum	Maximum		Lateral	Total	Flow
		Lateral	Total	Time of Max	Inflow	Inflow	Balance
		Inflow	Inflow	Occurrence	Volume	Volume	Error
Node	Туре	LPS	LPS	days hr:min	10^6 ltr	10^6 ltr	Percent

CB01(ICD)	JUNCTION	0.00	8.21	0	01:19	0	0.0346	-0.148
MH100	JUNCTION	0.32	0.32	0	01:10	0.000395	0.000395	0.281
OGS	JUNCTION	0.00	8.29	0	01:20	0	0.0351	0.003
Stormtech01	JUNCTION	27.74	27.74	0	01:10	0.0401	0.0408	5.871
Stormtech02	JUNCTION	0.00	19.23	0	01:09	0	0.0386	8.957
Out(Major)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000 ltr
Out(Minor)	OUTFALL	0.00	8.29	0	01:20	0	0.0351	0.000
Out(Uncontrolled)	OUTFALL	2.56	2.56	0	01:10	0.00313	0.00313	0.000
CB01	STORAGE	0.00	8.46	0	01:10	0	0.0346	0.026

Node Surcharge Summary

No nodes were surcharged.

Node Flooding Summary

No nodes were flooded.

Storage Volume Summary

Storage Unit	Average	Avg	Evap	Exfil	Maximum	Max	Time of Max	Maximum
	Volume	Pcnt	Pcnt	Pcnt	Volume	Pcnt	Occurrence	Outflow
	1000 m3	Full	Loss	Loss	1000 m3	Full	days hr:min	LPS
CB01	0.000	0	0	0	0.000	1	0 01:19	8.21

Outfall Loading Summary

Flow Avg Max Total Freq Flow Flow Volume Pont LPS LPS 10^6 ltr Outfall Node

Date: 05/24/19

M:\2019\119023\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\Model Schematic-Output\Output(2-year).pdf

437 Donald B. Monro (119023) PCSWMM Model Output (2-year, 3-hour Chicago Storm)

Out(Major)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000
Out (Minor)	14.80	2.91	8.29	0.035
Out(Uncontrolled)	11.04	0.35	2.56	0.003
System	8.61	3.26	2.56	0.038

Link Flow Summary

Link	Туре	Maximum Flow LPS	Time Occu days	of Max arrence hr:min	Maximum Veloc m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth
CB01(Major) CB01-OGS Clearstone MH100-OGS	CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT	0.00 8.21 14.76 0.30	0 0 0 0	00:00 01:20 01:02 01:10	0.00 0.63 0.11 0.34	0.00 0.12 0.16 0.02	0.00 0.24 1.00 0.10
OGS-OUT(minor) Stormtech-CB01 StormtechChambers CB01(ICD)	CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT ORIFICE	8.29 8.46 11.97 8.21	0 0 0	01:20 01:10 01:09 01:19	0.80 0.56 0.07	0.09 0.09 0.04	0.20 1.00 0.69 1.00

Flow Classification Summary

	Adjusted			Fract	ion of	Time	in Flo	w Clas	s	
Conduit	/Actual Length	Dry	Up Dry	Down Dry	Sub Crit	Sup Crit	Up Crit	Down Crit	Norm Ltd	Inlet Ctrl
CB01 (Major)	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CB01-OGS	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.96	0.00	0.00
Clearstone	1.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00
MH100-OGS	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.97	0.00	0.00
OGS-OUT(minor)	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.87	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00
Stormtech-CB01	1.00	0.04	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.02	0.00
StormtechChambers	1.00	0.04	0.87	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00

Conduit Surcharge Summary

		Hours Full		Hours Above Full	Hours Capacity
Conduit	Both Ends	Upstream	Dnstream	Normal Flow	Limited
Clearstone Stormtech-CB01	2.19 0.42	2.19 0.42	23.05 0.57	0.01 0.01	0.01 0.01

Analysis begun on: Fri May 24 11:01:53 2019 Analysis ended on: Fri May 24 11:01:53 2019 Total elapsed time: < 1 sec

EPA STORM WATER I	MANAGEMENT MODEL	- VERSION	5.1 (Bui	ld 5.1.013)		
Allowable Release 2-year = 13.2 L/s 5-year = 17.9 L/s	e Rates (Pre-Dev. s s):					
Element Count ************************************	ages 1 chments 5 						
************************ Raingage Summary *******************							
Name	Data Source			Data Type	Record: Interva	ing al	
Design_Storms	C3hr-100yr			INTENSITY	10 min	 n.	
**************************************	**** mary ****						
Name	Area	Width	%Imperv	%Slope	Rain Gao	ge 	Outlet
1 2	0.02	60.00 26.67	14.30 84.30	5.0000	Design_S	Storms Storms	Out (Uncontrolled) Out (Uncontrolled)
3 4 5	0.00 0.09 0.04	15.00 90.00 50.00	42.90 100.00 100.00	2.0000 2.0000 1.5000	Design_S Design_S Design_S	Storms Storms Storms	MH100 Stormtech01 Stormtech01
************ Node Summary							
Name	Туре	In E	vert lev.	Max. Depth	Ponded Area	Extern Inflow	al «
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Major) Out(Minor)	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL	In E 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 2.12 2.12 2.16 2.12	Max. Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30	Ponded Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Exter Inflo	
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Storntech01 Storntech02 Out(Mior) Out(Mior) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 Link Summary	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE	In E 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	vert lev. 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 3.82 2.17 2.33	Max. Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25	Ponded Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Exter Inflot	
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Mior) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 Name CB01(Major) CB01-OGS Clearstone MH100-OCS OGS-OUT(minor) StormtechChamber: CB01(ICD)	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION UNTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE CB01 CB01(ICD) Stormtech01 MH100 OGS Stormtech02 s Stormtech01 CB01	To Node Out (Major OGS Out (Minor CB01 Stormtech OGS	vert lev. 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.06 3.82 2.17 3.80 2.33	Max. Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25 Type CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT	Ponded Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Exter Inflox 3.0 16.8 34.7 34.7	*Slope Roughness 1.0001 0.0150 0.4759 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130 1.0019 0.0130 0.1834 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Minor) Out(Uncontrolled) CB01 CB01(Major) CB01-OGS Clearstone MH100-OGS OGS-OUT(minor) Stormtech-CB01 StormtechChamber: CB01(ICD) 	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE CB01 CB01(ICD) Stormtech01 MH100 OGS Stormtech01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB	To Node Out (Major OGS Stormtech OGS Out (Minor CB01 Stormtech CB01 (ICD)	vert lev. 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.06 3.82 2.17 3.80 2.33) 02) h02	Max. Depth Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25 Type CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT	Ponded Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Exter Inflox 3.0 16.8 34.7 34.7	*Slope Roughness 1.0001 0.0150 0.4759 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130 0.01728 0.0130 0.0130 0.01728 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130
Name CB01(ICD) MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech02 Out(Major) Out(Minor) Out(Uncontrolled CB01 Link Summary CB01(Major) CB01-OGS Clearstone MH100-OGS OGS-OUT(minor) Stormtech-CB01	Type JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION JUNCTION OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL STORAGE From Node CB01 (CD) Stormtech01 MH100 OGS Stormtech01 Stormtech01 Stormtech01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB01 CB	In E E Source Full Depth	vert lev. 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.17 3.80 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.17 3.80 2.33 2.51 2.33 2.51 2.22 2.17 3.80 2.33 2.51 2.33 2.51 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 2.33 2.51 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.06 2.33 2.51 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.12 2.06 2.33 2.51 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.03 2.51 2.51 2.22 2.12 2.12 2.12 2.12 2.12	Max. Depth 1.25 1.80 1.73 2.46 2.52 0.73 0.30 0.00 2.25 Type CONDUIT CONDUI	Ponded Area 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.	Exter Inflox 3.0 1.0 5.7 4.8 34.7 No. 0 Barre	*Slope Roughness 1.0001 0.0150 0.4759 0.0130 1.0019 0.0130 1.0019 0.0130 1.0374 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130 0.1728 0.0130

NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step,

437 Donald B. Monro (119023) PCSWMM Model Output (100-year, 3-hour Chicago Storm)

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Analysis Options		

Flow Units	LPS	
Process Models:		
Rainfall/Runoff	YES	
RDII	NO	
Snowmelt	NO	
Groundwater	NO	
Flow Routing	YES	
Ponding Allowed	YES	
Water Quality	NO	
Infiltration Method	HORTON	
Flow Routing Method	DYNWAVE	
Surcharge Method	EXTRAN	
Starting Date	05/10/2019	00:00:00
Ending Date	05/11/2019	00:00:00
Antecedent Dry Days	0.0	
Report Time Step	00:01:00	
Wet Time Step	00:01:00	
Dry Time Step	00:01:00	
Routing Time Step	2.00 sec	
Variable Time Step	YES	
Maximum Trials	8	
Number of Threads	1	
Head Tolerance	0.001500 m	

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	Volume	Depth
Runoff Quantity Continuity	hectare-m	mm
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *		
Total Precipitation	0.012	71.667
Evaporation Loss	0.000	0.000
Infiltration Loss	0.001	6.259
Surface Runoff	0.011	64.557
Final Storage	0.000	0.966
Continuity Error (%)	-0.159	

****	Volu	ıme	Volume
Flow Routing Continuity	nectare	-m	10~6 Itr
Dry Weather Inflow	0.0	00	0.000
Wet Weather Inflow	0.0	11	0.107
Groundwater Inflow	0.0	00	0.000
RDII Inflow	0.0	00	0.000
External Inflow	0.0	000	0.000
External Outflow	0.0	10	0.102
Flooding Loss	0.0	000	0.000
Evaporation Loss	0.0	000	0.000
Initial Stored Volume	0.0	00	0.000
Final Stored Volume	0.0	01	0.005
Continuity Error (%)	-0.6	81	0.000
Winhert Continuity Durant			
Hignest Continuity Errors			
Node Stormtech02 (2 96%)			
Node 5001m200102 (2.500)			

Time-Step Critical Elements			
Tiph Charmtoch CD01 (1 06%)			
LINK SCOIMCECH-CBOI (1.00%)			
***************************************	****		
Hignest Flow Instability Inc	iexes		
Link (2011(TCD) (2)			
LINK CBOI(ICD) (2)			

Routing Time Step Summary			
Minimum Time Sten	· 0 10	800	
Average Time Step	. 0.10	sec	
Maximum Time Step	: 2.00	sec	
Percent in Steady State	: 0.00	1	
Average Iterations per Step	: 2.01		
Percent Not Converging	: 0.06	;	

Date: 05/24/19 M:\2019\119023\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\Model Schematic-Output\Output(100-year).pdf

437 Donald B. Monro (119023) PCSWMM Model Output (100-year, 3-hour Chicago Storm)

Subcatchment Runoff Summary ******

	Total Precip	Total Runon	Total Evap	Total Infil	Imperv Runoff	Perv Runoff	Total Runoff	Total Runoff	Peak Runoff	Runoff Coeff
Subcatchment	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	mm	100 Itr	LPS	
1	71.67	0.00	0.00	37.62	10.03	23.91	33.94	0.01	10.42	0.474
2	71.67	0.00	0.00	6.86	59.16	4.43	63.59	0.01	3.88	0.887
3	71.67	0.00	0.00	25.00	30.09	16.02	46.11	0.00	1.37	0.643
4	71.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	70.21	0.00	70.21	0.06	44.64	0.980
5	71.67	0.00	0.00	0.00	71.78	0.00	71.78	0.03	19.84	1.002

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Node Depth Summary

	_	Average Depth	Maximum Depth	Maximum HGL	Time Occu	of Max irrence	Reported Max Depth
Node	Type	Meters	Meters	Meters	days	hr:min	Meters
CB01 (ICD)	JUNCTION	0.01	0.11	92.44	0	01:20	0.11
MH100	JUNCTION	0.00	0.03	92.54	0	01:10	0.03
OGS	JUNCTION	0.01	0.09	92.31	0	01:10	0.09
Stormtech01	JUNCTION	0.34	1.77	93.89	0	01:10	1.77
Stormtech02	JUNCTION	0.40	1.83	93.89	0	01:10	1.83
Out(Major)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	93.82	0	00:00	0.00
Out(Minor)	OUTFALL	0.01	0.09	92.26	0	01:10	0.09
Out(Uncontrolled)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	93.80	0	00:00	0.00
CB01	STORAGE	0.08	1.50	93.83	0	01:20	1.50

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * Node Inflow Summary

		Maximum	Maximum		Lateral	Total	Flow
		Lateral	Total	Time of Max	Inflow	Inflow	Balance
		Inflow	Inflow	Occurrence	Volume	Volume	Error
Node	Type	LPS	LPS	days hr:min	10^6 ltr	10^6 ltr	Percent

CB01 (ICD)	JUNCTION	0.00	16.04	0	01:20	0	0.0875	-0.031
MH100	JUNCTION	1.37	1.37	0	01:10	0.00138	0.00138	0.078
OGS	JUNCTION	0.00	17.24	0	01:10	0	0.0889	0.003
Stormtech01	JUNCTION	64.48	65.78	0	01:04	0.0919	0.0926	1.743
Stormtech02	JUNCTION	0.00	64.51	0	01:05	0	0.0911	3.050
Out(Major)	OUTFALL	0.00	0.00	0	00:00	0	0	0.000 ltr
Out (Minor)	OUTFALL	0.00	17.24	0	01:10	0	0.0889	0.000
Out(Uncontrolled)	OUTFALL	14.30	14.30	0	01:10	0.0132	0.0132	0.000
CB01	STORAGE	0.00	64.20	0	01:10	0	0.0877	0.135

Node Surcharge Summary

-----Max. Height Min. Depth Hours Above Crown Below Rim Node Type Surcharged Meters Meters

Surcharging occurs when water rises above the top of the highest conduit.

Node Flooding Summary No nodes were flooded. Storage Volume Summary -----

 Stormtech01
 JUNCTION
 0.92
 0.914
 0.691

 Stormtech02
 JUNCTION
 0.99
 0.972
 0.693

Average
VolumeAvgEvapExfil
PentMaximum
PentMaxTime of Max
OccurrenceMaximum
Outflow
OutflowStorage Unit1000 m3FullLoss1000 m3Fulldays hr:minDufflow
DescriptionCB010.000200.01980001:2016.04

Date: 05/24/19 M:\2019\119023\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\Model Schematic-Output\Output(100-year).pdf

437 Donald B. Monro (119023) PCSWMM Model Output (100-year, 3-hour Chicago Storm)

Outfall Loading Summary

	Flow Freq	Avg Flow	Max Flow	Total Volume
Outfall Node	Pcnt	LPS	LPS	10^6 ltr
Out(Major)	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.000
Out(Minor)	16.37	6.40	17.24	0.089
Out(Uncontrolled)	11.91	1.30	14.30	0.013
System	9.43	7.70	14.30	0.102

*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	7

Туре	Maximum Flow LPS	Time Occu days	of Max rrence hr:min	Maximum Veloc m/sec	Max/ Full Flow	Max/ Full Depth	
CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT	0.00 16.04 17.34 1.37 17.24 64.20 48.56 16 04	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	00:00 01:20 01:01 01:10 01:10 01:10 01:10 01:20	0.00 0.75 0.15 0.53 0.99 0.91 0.15	0.00 0.24 0.19 0.09 0.19 0.65 0.17	0.00 0.34 1.00 0.20 0.30 1.00 1.00	
	Type CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT CONDUIT ORIFICE	Maximum Flow Type LPS CONDUIT 0.00 CONDUIT 16.04 CONDUIT 17.34 CONDUIT 17.34 CONDUIT 17.24 CONDUIT 64.20 CONDUIT 48.56 ORIFICE 16.04	Maximum Time Flow Occu Type LPS CONDUIT 0.00 CONDUIT 16.04 CONDUIT 17.34 CONDUIT 1.37 CONDUIT 1.24 CONDUIT 64.20 CONDUIT 48.56 ORIFICE 16.04	Maximum Time of Max Occurrence Type LPS days hr:min CONDUIT 0.00 0 00:00 CONDUIT 16.04 0 01:20 CONDUIT 17.34 0 01:20 CONDUIT 17.24 0 01:10 CONDUIT 17.24 0 01:10 CONDUIT 164.20 0 01:10 CONDUIT 48.56 0 01:10 ORIFICE 16.04 0 1:20	Maximum Time of Max Maximum IFlow Occurrence IVeloc Type LPS days hr:min m/sec CONDUIT 0.00 0 00:00 0.00 CONDUIT 16.04 0 01:20 0.75 CONDUIT 1.7.34 0 01:01 0.15 CONDUIT 1.37 0 01:10 0.53 CONDUIT 17.24 0 01:10 0.91 CONDUIT 64.20 0 01:10 0.91 CONDUIT 48.56 0 01:10 0.15 ORIFICE 16.04 01:20 0.15	Maximum Time of Max Maximum Max/ Flow Occurrence Veloc Full Type LPS days hr:min m/sec Flow CONDUIT 0.00 0 00:00 0.00 0.00 CONDUIT 16.04 0 1:20 0.75 0.24 CONDUIT 17.34 0 01:01 0.15 0.19 CONDUIT 17.24 0 11:10 0.99 0.19 CONDUIT 64.20 0 01:10 0.91 0.65 CONDUIT 48.56 0 01:10 0.15 0.17 ORIFICE 16.04 0 1:20 0.15 0.17	

Conduit	Adjusted /Actual Length	Dry	Up Dry	Fract Down Dry	ion of Sub Crit	Time Sup Crit	in Flo Up Crit	w Clas Down Crit	s Norm Ltd	Inlet Ctrl
CB01(Major)	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
CB01-OGS Clearstone	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.99	0.00	0.00	0.97 0.00	0.00 0.01	0.00

MH100-OGS	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.98	0.00	0.00
OGS-OUT (minor)	1.00	0.02	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.12	0.00	0.00	0.90	0.00
Stormtech-CB01	1.00	0.03	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.86	0.01	0.00
StormtechChambers	1.00	0.03	0.86	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

		Hours Full		Hours Above Full	Hours Capacity
Conduit	Both Ends	Upstream	Dnstream	Normal Flow	Limited
Clearstone	2.57	2.57	23.26	0.01	0.01
Stormtech-CB01	1.44	1.44	1.56	0.01	0.09
StormtechChambers	0.92	0.92	0.99	0.01	0.01

Analysis begun on: Fri May 24 11:03:03 2019 Analysis ended on: Fri May 24 11:03:04 2019 Total elapsed time: 00:00:01

APPENDIX I

General Plan of Services (119023-GP) Grading and Drainage Plan (119023-GR) Removals and Erosion Sediment Control Plan (119023-ESC) Storm Drainage Area Plan (119023-STM)







ΝΟΛΤΞϹΗ	CITY OF OTTAWA 437 DONALD B. MUNRO DRIVE					
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive	EXISTING CONDITIONS, REMOVALS,	PROJECT No. 119023				
Telephone (613) 254-9643 Facsimile (613) 254-5867 Website www.novatech-eng.com	AND EROSION SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN	REV REV # 1 DRAWING No.				
		119023-ESC				



0.240 ha	
88a	
0.65	

AREA (hectares)	
AREA ID	

STORM STRUCTURE TABLE					
MANHOLE ID	INVERT				
CB1	SE=92.33 NE=92.35				
LCB1	SW=93.27				
OGS	NW=92.25 S=92.22 NE=92.40				
STM 1	N=92.66 SW=92.56 NE=93.16				

ΝΟΛΤΞΟΗ	LOCATION CITY OF OTTAWA 437 - DONALD B. MUNRO DRIVE	
Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects	DRAWING NAME	PROJECT No.
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive		119023
Telephone (613) 254-9643 Facsimile (613) 254-5867 Website www.novatech-eng.com	STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN	REV
		REV # 1
		DRAWING No.
		119023-STM
		PLANA1.DWG - 841mmx594mn