SUBSURFACE INVESTIGATION REPORT

6787 HIRAM DRIVE, GREELY, ON, K4P 1A2

Abstract

This report presents the findings of a subsurface investigation completed at the 6787 Hiram Drive parcel, in the City of Greely, ON, K4P 1A2, and issue recommendations for a proposed Light Industrial Warehouse. It consists on a qualified interpretation of the subsurface conditions at 3 boreholes from information compiled from field sampling and testing and a subsequent laboratory testing program of soils. The borehole locations are shown in figure 1 in page 6. The information reviewed also includes a site reconnaissance, readily available geologic information from the Geological Survey of Canada (GSC), and local climate data from Environment Canada.

Yuri Mendez M. Eng., P. Eng.

Report number: 33-VPS-R0¹

April 02, 2018





196 Britannia Road Ottawa, On. K2B 5W9

Phone: 613-899-0834 e-mail: yuri@ymendez.ca PO Box 74087 RPO BEECHWOOD OTTAWA, ON, K1M 2H9

 $^{^1}$ For the account of Venom Powersports Ltd. (VPS) as per proposal in email dated March 07, 2018 and subject to the user agreement in page 10

 ${\bf Report~33\text{-}VPS\text{-}R0}$ This page is intentionally left blank

Contents

1	Report Organization 5							
2	Sampling and Testing	5						
3	Physical Settings, Strata and Topography Test Hole Locations Plan View	5 6 7 7						
4	Recommendations 1.1 Foundations General 2.1.1 Load and Resistance Factors 3.2 Bearing Capacity of Strip and/or Pad Footings 3.3 Settlements 4.4 Frost Protection for Foundations 4.4.1 Foundation Insulation 5.5 Site Class for Seismic Design 6.6 Roadbed Soils and Pavement Structure 7.7 Excavations, Open Cuts, Trenches and Safety 8.8 Underground Corrosion 9.9 Potential of Sulphate Attack to Concrete 10.10 Special Issues or Concerns 11.11 Construction Recommendations for Stripping, Excavation to Undisturbed Soils, Fill Placement and Compaction	77 77 77 88 88 88 89 99 90 100 100						
Us	r Agreement	10						
Aŗ	pendices	13						
A	Borehole Logs	15						
В	Geotechnical Site Class Assignment 3.1 Reference Site and Design Spectral Accelerations	19 19 20						
\mathbf{C}	Resistivity, PH and Soluble Salts Test C.1 Resistivity Tests Results	21 22						
D	Construction Recommendations for Stripping, Excavation to Undisturbed Soils, Fill Placement and Compaction O.1 Striping	23 23 23 23 24						

33-	V	PS	S-F	3.0
ບບ-	٠.٧	ıκ	J-1	w

D.4	Compa	action General	2^{2}
D.5	Compa	action Specific	24
	D.5.1	Compaction Along Basement Walls and Retaining Walls .	2^{2}
	D.5.2	Compaction Quality Control	2^{ι}

1 Report Organization

The body of this report and its appendices constitute the entire report. To facilitate expedite and efficient use of its contents, the most relevant aspects in connection with the findings of this investigation are described in the body under section headings. The discussion presented under sections in the body may refer to further information and/or background and/or details which may be required contextually for each topic in the appendices. The reader is responsible of reviewing the information in the appendices as applicable for each case. Other references may be presented as footnotes.

2 Sampling and Testing

The field and laboratory program set out in our proposal dated March 07, 2018, is guided by the following standards:

- ASTM D 420-98 Standard Guide to Site Characterization for Engineering Design and Construction Purposes,
- ASTM D5434 12 Standard Guide for Field Logging of Subsurface Explorations of Soil and Rock,
- ASTM D1586 11 Standard Test Method for Standard Penetration Test (SPT) and Split-Barrel Sampling of Soils.

The program also included an elevation survey referenced to an elevation of 100 m assigned arbitrarily to the pavement at the intersection of the center lines of Hiram Drive and Mckeowm Drive (TBM) shown in the Test Hole Locations Plan in fig. 1 in page 6. The program included in addition a laboratory review of samples recovered from the field and one sample submitted to a local laboratory to investigate soluble ions concentration, PH and resistivity.

The test hole locations are shown in the test-hole location plan in figure 1 in page 6. The laboratory testing, soil sampling and field testing at each location are shown in the soil profile testing and sampling logs (BH) in the appendices.

Note that all references to elevations in this report are with respect to the TBM.

3 Physical Settings, Strata and Topography

The site and surrounding streets and properties are relatively flat. It consists on the 6787 Hiram Drive parcel in the City of Greely, ON. Figure 1 in page 6 shows a plan view of the site displaying the approximate test hole locations, elevations and depth. Figure 2 in page 6 presents a schematic site cross section including some borehole data.

The geology data base by Belanger J. R. 1998 suggests 10 to 15 m of overburden soils underlain by dolomite bedrock at this site.




Figure 1: Test hole Locations Plan




Figure 2: Schematic cross section

3.1 Surface and Subsurface Materials

The site surface is grass and topsoil covered. The arrangement of strata found in our investigation is shown in the borehole logs in appendix A. The schematic cross section shown in Fig. 2 in page 6 presents rough details regarding the geometry, depth and strata found during this investigation at the borehole locations. Generally, the site is underlain by dense silt with sand and trace clay. At surface, the dense silt with sand and trace clay is overlain by 0.79 to 1 m of fill at the borehole locations. The DCPT test suggests bedrock or very dense materials depth of approximately 7.47 m at BH3. Refer to the borehole logs in appendix A for specific and accurate details at each location.

3.2 Groundwater and Moisture

The water level was measured on March 26, 2018 in a monitoring well installed in borehole 3 at a 0.25 depth and shown in the borehole logs. Ground water measurements in stand pipe installations often require numerous assessments in combination with borehole data.

The above noted measurement was completed during the spring thaw with water ponding seen at several locations and is interpreted as water perched within the surface materials. From borehole data it is seen that the transition of brown coloration to gray occurs at about 2.1 m which strongly suggest that the permanent ground water table is approximately at this depth.

4 Recommendations

The following set of the recommendations result from sampling and testing outlined in section 2 and from geotechnical engineering evaluation and assessments.

It is understood that the proposed Light Industrial Warehouse may be of slab on grade construction.

4.1 Foundations General

Generally speaking, code compliant Part 9 and Part 4 residential buildings founded on shallow spread footings can be considered for the proposed Light Industrial Warehouse.

4.1.1 Load and Resistance Factors

For the purpose of computations related to the service (SLS) and strength limits (ULS) note:

• A resistance factor of 0.5 is applied to the computed or estimated (nominal) bearing resistance to obtain the strength limit for factored loads (ULS).

• An average load factor of 1.5 is assumed to compute the service limit (SLS).

4.2 Bearing Capacity of Strip and/or Pad Footings

Shallow strip or pad footings below frost depth can be given consideration for the proposed Light Industrial Warehouse.

Based on the findings of this investigation and geotechnical assessments, the following bearing capacity can be used for strip footings up to 1.0 m wide and pad footings up to 2 m wide placed on undisturbed dense silt with sand and trace clay or engineered backfill:

- 150 kPa at service limit (SLS).
- 225 kPa for factored loads (ULS).

4.3 Settlements

For the footing loads provided in section 4.2 building settlements for foundations on undisturbed soils are not to exceed limiting values of 25 mm and 20 mm total and differential settlements respectively at this site.

4.4 Frost Protection for Foundations

Shallow foundations in section 4.2 are considered to be frost protected when placed at sufficient depth to prevent supporting soils from freezing. Foundations in the perimeter of heated buildings where snow is not cleared are considered frost protected at 1.5 m depth (as having a soil cover of 1.5 m). Foundations away from heated buildings or in areas where snow is cleared, need to be at about 1.8 m depth to be frost protected. On the alternative frost protection can be provided by using foundation insulation for shallower foundations.

4.4.1 Foundation Insulation

For foundation placement not having the minimum soil cover protection indicated in section 4.4 and in unheated areas in otherwise heated buildings foundation insulation is required. Frost protection of unheated buildings can also be considered at shallow depths by insulation placement beneath the entire perimeter and extending out via insulation "skirts".

Generally speaking, 75 mm of extruded polystyrene insulation (XPS) type V, VI or VII meet foundation insulation requirements for the freezing index in the Ottawa area. However, foundation insulation requirements include vertical placement and are highly dependent on geometry and foundation loads. It is hence advised to have a geotechnical review or design with view of the proposed geometry.

4.5 Site Class for Seismic Design

At this site, the geotechnical testing completed along with the estimated 7.47 m depth of bedrock (or hard strata) via Dynamic Cone Penetration (DCPT) conducted in BH3 are indicative of a Vs(30) between 360 to 760 m/s. As such, site class C is assigned under the provisions in section 4.1.8.4 of the Ontario Building Code 2012 (OBC 2012) for seismic design. It is hence recommended to refer to the following information in appendix B.1:

- 1. The 2010 National Building Code Seismic Hazard Calculation for the reference site in page 20.
- 2. Figure 3 in page 19 showing the design spectral accelerations.

4.6 Roadbed Soils and Pavement Structure

Generally, for low volume roads, the pavement structure to be placed on native soils or engineered roadbed at this site may consist of 400 mm of OPSS granular B, 150 mm of OPSS Granular A and up to 75 mm of asphalt.

For parking lots, pavement structure to be placed on native soils or engineered roadbed at this site may consist of 300 mm of OPSS granular B, 150 mm of OPSS Granular A and 50 mm of asphalt. This thicknesses will vary depending on expected traffic at different locations.

4.7 Excavations, Open Cuts, Trenches and Safety

Typically, the main concern when excavating soils or rock is the stability of the sides of excavations. The stability of the sides is achieved by either cutting the sides to safe slopes or by providing shoring. It is also an issue of safety because of imminent hazards to the safety of workers and to property. As such, excavations are governed by the provisions in the Occupational Health and Safety Act of Ontario (O. Reg. 213/91). The application of O. Reg. 213/91 requires a classification of soils in one or several of four types (type I to type IV). At this site for soils can be considered type II under O. Reg. 213/91. As such, the following key aspects of O. Reg. 213/91 are applicable to excavations:

- Safe open cut is 1 vertical to 1 horizontal.
- Within 1.2 m of the bottom of open cut areas or trenches, the soil can be cut vertical.

Where the safe open cut is not provided, either the shoring systems described in O. Reg. 213/91 or engineered shoring systems need be used.

Note also that since excavation and safety are usually in control of the contractor, shoring design and construction is done by the contractor.

4.8 Underground Corrosion

For the resistivity, PH and soluble ions concentrations found at this site and shown in the Paracel Laboratories certificate of analysis in appendix C.1, the soils are mildly corrosive. Resistivity, PH and soluble ions testing was completed in a representative sample at a 1.8 m depth in BH1. After Romanoff (1957)², the following corrosion rates can be used:

- 1. For carbon steel:
 - 16 μ m/year for the first 2 years,
 - 12 μ m/year, thereafter.
- 2. For galvanized metal:
 - 3.6 μ m/year for the first 2 years,
 - 2.25 μ m/year until depletion of zinc,
 - 12 μ m/year for carbon steel.

4.9 Potential of Sulphate Attack to Concrete

For the sulphate content less than 0.1% in soil encountered at this site, there are no restrictions to the cement type which can be used for underground structures. This refers to restrictions associated with sulphate attack only.

4.10 Special Issues or Concerns

Our investigation did not reveal special concerns for the proposed development, such as slope stability, liquefaction, organic materials, etc.

4.11 Construction Recommendations for Stripping, Excavation to Undisturbed Soils, Fill Placement and Compaction

Appendix D presents recommended geotechnical guidelines for stripping, excavation to undisturbed soils, fill placement, compacted lifts thicknesses for equipment type and compaction for different placements.

User Agreement

Acknowledgment of Duties

In this 33-VPS-R0 report, Yuri Mendez Engineering (YME) has pursued to fulfill every aspect of the obligations of professional engineers. As a part of those duties, from field work, operations, testing, analyses, application of knowledge and report, YME has ensured that it meats

²Romanoff's work for the U. S. National Bureau of Standards is authoritative in underground corrosion

a high standard of Geotechnical engineering practice and care in the province of Ontario. Obligations under R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 941: Professional Engineers Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P.28, further referred to as Reg. 941 which are of immediate interest to this service are:

- "77. 7. A practitioner shall,
- i. act towards other practitioners with courtesy and good faith,
- ii. not accept an engagement to review the work of another practitioner for the same employer except with the knowledge of the other practitioner or except where the connection of the other practitioner with the work has been terminated,
 - iii. not maliciously injure the reputation or business of another practitioner,
- 8. A practitioner shall maintain the honour and integrity of the practitioners profession and without fear or favour expose before the proper tribunals unprofessional, dishonest or unethical conduct by any other practitioner."

Communications

33-VPS-R0 is to be used solely in connection with the Light Industrial Warehouse by Venom Powersports Ltd. (VPS) and thus subject of communications amongst other professionals (OP) and VPS for that purpose. YME demands great care in precluding damage to the integrity of this professional work which may arise from careless communications from engineers of Canada. OP and VPS acknowledge understanding that where any such communication occur in connection with this report, they are bound by this agreement as an extension to the standard of care embodied in R.R.O. 1990, Reg. 941 and thus accept that any correspondence from OP or the public seen to add any bad connotations to the breadth, depth, typesetting, typography, formal semantics and scope of this report or otherwise diminish the breadth of services and knowledge delivered in this report which in any way raise concerns or insecurities to the qualities and/or the reasonable completeness delivered to VPS in this report will be forwarded to YME.

Reasonable Completeness

OP and Venom Powersports Ltd. acknowledge understanding that said care and said standard has been applied equality to the reasonable completeness of this report and acknowledge understanding that is neither feasible nor possible to convey geotechnical information in this report that would cover for every possible consideration by OP and/or VPS and that upon issuance it will be subject to reviews which may trigger the need to add information which at the discretion of YME will be added when considered within the practice obligations under Reg. 941. YME agrees to issue revised versions of this 33-VPS-R0 report by adding R# to each revision where # is the number of the revision. OP covenant to conduct all communications in connection with these reviews following great care to preclude the suggestion of a breach to the reasonable completeness acknowledged herein. Written communications which may trigger reviews under this agreement will be acknowledged as requests for "review under the 33-VPS-R0 report user agreement".

Errors

Where errors are found during reviews under the 33-VPS-R0 report user agreement, OP covenant great care in communications to preclude the suggestion of a breach to the duties acknowledge herein which could induce damages to YME. Communications triggered by errors or any such communication which would render the person doing the request in a position of technical authority above the author implies an unauthorized review and constitute a serious breach of the code of ethics under Reg. 941 and damages to YME and so subject to disciplinary measures and/or liability for damages to YME. VPS is thus acquainted that correction of errors will be made and acknowledged by YME as they may arise in any professional work but in no way OP will purport or render such corrections as omissions departing away from the correction of errors set forth in this agreement. Where communications in connection with the correction of errors process set forth in this agreement raise concerns or insecurities to the qualities and/or the reasonable completeness delivered to VPS in this report occur, VPS

covenants to inform YME. VPS is acquainted that such corrections are part of the natural processes associated with the applied sciences nature of this report and so typified explicitly in this agreement to protect YME from inappropriate manipulation of those processes by OP and others.

Disclaimer

VPS and OP understand that soils and groundwater information in this report has been collected in boreholes guided by standards and practice guidelines generally accepted for engineering characterization of ground conditions in Ontario and in no case borehole data and their interpretation warrant understanding of conditions away from the borehole locations. VPS accepts that as development will have spread away from the boreholes other designers will need the best opinion from the geotechnical consultant based on the findings of the investigation so that any statements which could be implicitly or explicitly depart from the conditions at borehole may be given to fulfill this need in good faith as best available opinion with the information available at the time without any warranties.

Appendices

A Borehole Logs

 ${\bf Report~33\text{-}VPS\text{-}R0}$ This page is intentionally left blank


Project:			Propose	d warehouse					YME	Yuri	Mer	ndez Er	ngineer	ing.
Location	Location: 6787 Hiram Dr Client: Venom Powersports Inc. Test Hole No.: BH1 of 3													
Job No.: 33-VMS Test Hole Type: Rotary 8"dia. Date: March 22, 2018							8							
8" Holl	low sten	n auger	s (4.25" ID).	SPT Hammer	SPT Hammer Type: Safety auto hammer			Logged By: Yuri Mendez						
	L					W							ratory Tes	sts
Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Lithology and color	Material De	scription	Samples or Blows/Ft	a t e r	Elevation (m)	Depth (m)	,	ar Strer (kPa)		Moisture Content (%)	Rock Quality RQD %	Other Lab Tests
0 0.25	_ _100.2		Topsoil	16 1			100.2	0 0.25						
0.23	_ 100.2 _		Fill: Dark gray with clay woo		2		100.2	0.23						
0.75	- 99.7		gravel				99.7	0.75						
<u> </u>		******	Brownish den	se silt with	3			<u> </u>						
1.25	_99.2 _		fine sand and	trace clay			99.2	1.25						
1.5	_							1.5						
1.75	98.7				23		98.7	1.75						
_ 2	<u> </u>						-	2						
2.25	_98.2		Dark gray der				98.2	2.25						
2.5	_		fine sand and	trace clay	13		-	2.5						
2.75	_ _97.7						97.7	2.75						
3							_	3						
3.25	- 97.2				17		97.2	3.25						
3.5					17		_	3.5						
3.75	_ 96.7						96.7	3.75						
<u> </u>	<u> </u>						_	4						
4.25	_ −96.2				6		96.2	4.25						
4.5	_						-	4.5						
4.75	_ 95.7						_ _ 95.7	4.75						
5	_ 50.7				5		- 50.7	5						
Ę ~			Borehole term	ninated at	/									
											Y		ıri Mendez ngineering	

Project:			Propose	d warehouse					YM	1E `	Yuri	Meı	ndez E	ngineer	ing.
Location	n: 6787 H	iram Dr		Client:Venom	Pow	ersp	orts In	с.	Tes	t Ho	ole N	o.: Bl	H2 of 3		
Job No.:	33	-VMS							Date	e:	M	Iarch	22, 201	.8	
8" Hol	low sten	n augers	s (4.25" ID).	SPT Hammer	Гуре:	Safe <u>han</u>	ety auto imer		Log	ged	By:	Yuri	Mende		
Depth (m)	Elevation (m)	Lithology and color	Material De	scription	Samples or Blows/Ft	W a t e r	(m) (m)	Depth (m)		(kPa)	ength	Moisture Content (%)	Rock Quality RQD %	Other Lab
0.25 - 0.25 - 0.75 - 1 - 1.25 - 1.5 - 1.75			Topsoil Fill: Dark gray with clay woo gravel Brownish den fine sand and	se silt with	2 23		99.6 99.1	0 0.25							
2.25 - 2.5 - 2.75 - 3 - 3.25	- 98.1 97.6		Dark gray den fine sand and		23		98.1	2.25 2.5 2.75 3							
3.25 - 3.5 - 3.75 - 4	-97.1 96.6				21		97.1	3.23 3.5 3.75							
4.25 4.5	- - - -96.1		\Borehole term	ninated /	21		96.1	4.25 4.5							
														uri Mendez ngineering	

Project:			Propose	d warehouse					YM	E Yu	ıri Me	ndez E	ngineer	ing.
Location: 6787 Hiram Dr Client: Venom						Powersports Inc. Test Hole No.: BH3 of 3								
Job No.: 33-VMS Test H				Test Hole Type: Rotary 8"dia.				Date: March 22, 2018						
8" Holl	low ster	n auger	s (4.25" ID).	SPT Hammer	Гуре:	Safe han	ety auto imer		Logg	ged By	: Yur	i Mende		
	Ξ					W							ratory Tes	sts
	Elevation (m)	logy			es or Æt	9	Elevation (m)		Sł		trength	sture at (%)	Rock	Other
Depth (m)	⊕ 100.5	Lithology and color	Material Des	scription	Samples or Blows/Ft	e r	ш 100.5	Depth (m)	- L	(kP		Moisture Content (%)	Quality RQD %	Lab
0			Topsoil		ОП	1		0					RQD 70	10313
0.25	_100.3		Fill: Dark gray		3		_ 100.3	E 0.23						
0.5	-		with clay woo gravel	d and trace				0.5						
0.75	-99.8 -	******	Brownish den	se silt with			99.8	0.75				-		
1	-		fine sand and		4		00.0	- 1						
1.25	-99.3 -						99.3	1.25						
1.5	-							1.5						
1.75	-98.8 -				28		98.8	1.75						
2	-							2				1		
2.25	-98.3 -		Dark gray den fine sand and				98.3	2.25						
2.5	- - -		inic sand and	trace cray	28		-	2.5						
2.75	_97.8 _						97.8	2.75				1		
3	-							3						
3.25	_97.3 _				18		97.3	3.25						
3.5	-						-	3.5						
3.75	_96.8 _						96.8	3.75						
4	_ 				17		-	- 4						
4.25	_96.3 _						96.3	4.25						
4.5	-						-	4.5						
4.75	_95.8 _				32		95.8	4.75				1		
<u> </u>	_						_	5						
5.25	_95.3 _		Danahala tama		7		95.3	5.25						
5.5	-		Borehole term 5.33 m depth	mateu at	17		04.0	5.5						
5.75	-94.8 -		Dynamic cone		36		94.8	5.75						
6	-		not sampled b	elow)	46		04.0	6				1		
6.25	-94.3 -				23		94.3	6.25						
6.5	-						60.0	6.5						
6.75	-93.8 -				39		93.8	6.75				-		
- 7	-				108		00.0	7						
- 7.25	-93.3 -				133		93.3	7.25				VME		
							uri Mendez ngineering							
S = Sa	mple for	lab revie	w and moisture o	content			▼ N	/leasure	ed wa	ter lev	el			



Well Construction



Class C Design Spectral Accelerations T(s) S(T) 0.2 0.631 0.5 0.305 1 0.137 2 0.046 4 0.023 0.1 0.0 Period (s)

Figure 3:

Appendix

B Geotechnical Site Class Assignment

The ground motion transfered from earthquakes to buildings depend largely on ground conditions. Current seismic provisions in building codes recognize seismic waves as oscillations and buildings as oscillators having natural periods and damping. The role of soils engineering is to assign a site class which defines the interpolations prescribed under the code to obtain a spectrum of period versus damped accelerations using a base reference site for design of buildings at a given site. The soils information required to do this site class assignment is the velocity at which a seismic shear wave travels upward 30 meters (or downward) in a given site (Vs(30)). The Vs(30) is estimated based on standard geotechnical testing along with experience and available local data bases. Seismic tests can also be completed to determine the Vs(30) with greater accuracy.

B.1 Reference Site and Design Spectral Accelerations

Details of the *reference site* spectral and peak seismic hazard values applicable to this site are presented in the 2010 National Building Code Seismic Hazard Calculation in page 20 of this appendix. Figure 3 in page 19 presents the design spectral accelerations computed under section 4.1.8.4 of the Ontario Building Code 2012 (OBC 2012) for the site class C assigned to this site.

2010 National Building Code Seismic Hazard Calculation

INFORMATION: Eastern Canada English (613) 995-5548 français (613) 995-0600 Facsimile (613) 992-8836 Western Canada English (250) 363-6500 Facsimile (250) 363-6565

Requested by: , March 29, 2018

Site Coordinates: 45.2558 North 75.5784 West

User File Reference: 6787 Hiram Drive

National Building Code ground motions:

2% probability of exceedance in 50 years (0.000404 per annum)

Sa(0.2) Sa(0.5) Sa(1.0) Sa(2.0) PGA (g) 0.631 0.305 0.137 0.046 0.321

Notes. Spectral and peak hazard values are determined for firm ground (NBCC 2010 soil class C - average shear wave velocity 360-750 m/s). Median (50th percentile) values are given in units of g. 5% damped spectral acceleration (Sa(T), where T is the period in seconds) and peak ground acceleration (PGA) values are tabulated. Only 2 significant figures are to be used. These values have been interpolated from a 10 km spaced grid of points. Depending on the gradient of the nearby points, values at this location calculated directly from the hazard program may vary. More than 95 percent of interpolated values are within 2 percent of the calculated values.

Ground motions for other probabilities:

Probability of exceedance per annum	0.010	0.0021	0.001
Probability of exceedance in 50 years	40%	10%	5%
Sa(0.2)	0.088	0.245	0.382
Sa(0.5)	0.043	0.121	0.185
Sa(1.0)	0.017	0.055	0.087
Sa(2.0)	0.0061	0.018	0.028
PGA	0.037	0.121	0.198

References

National Building Code of Canada 2010 NRCC no. 53301; sections 4.1.8, 9.20.1.2, 9.23.10.2, 9.31.6.2, and 6.2.1.3


Appendix C: Climatic Information for Building Design in Canada - table in Appendix C starting on page C-11 of Division B, volume 2

User's Guide - NBC 2010, Structural Commentaries NRCC no. 53543 (in preparation) Commentary J: Design for Seismic Effects

Geological Survey of Canada Open File xxxx Fourth generation seismic hazard maps of Canada: Maps and grid values to be used with the 2010 National Building Code of Canada (in preparation)

See the websites www.EarthquakesCanada.ca and www.nationalcodes.ca for more information

Aussi disponible en français





Ressources naturelles Canada



Appendix

C Resistivity, PH and Soluble Salts Test



Order #: 1812532

Certificate of Analysis Client: Yuri Mendez

Report Date: 28-Mar-2018 Order Date: 22-Mar-2018

Client PO: Project Description: 6787 Hiram Dr.

	Client ID:	BH1 SS3	-	-	-
	Sample Date:		-	-	-
	Sample ID:	1812532-01	-	-	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	-	-	-
Physical Characteristic	S				
% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	86.4	-	-	-
General Inorganics	<u>.</u>				
рН	0.05 pH Units	7.78	-	-	-
Resistivity	0.10 Ohm.m	51.0	-	-	-
Anions		<u> </u>			
Chloride	5 ug/g dry	10	-	-	-
Sulphate	5 ug/g dry	65	-	-	-

Appendix

D Construction Recommendations for Stripping, Excavation to Undisturbed Soils, Fill Placement and Compaction

In the event that any of the following recommendations conflict with municipal and or provincial specifications, the most restrictive applies. For the case when products involving ground conditions are used, the manufacturers specifications take precedence.

D.1 Striping

Topsoil and existing fill must be removed from the perimeter of all proposed structures, including retaining wall, buildings, pavement, parking areas and earth or fill banks for grading.

D.2 Excavation to Undisturbed Soil Surface

All soil surfaces in which to commence construction for all structures are to be preserved in undisturbed condition (Undisturbed Soil Surface (USS)). Where rainy weather and/or equipment operation and/or labours make impractical or difficult the preservation of USS a working-leveling granular pad may be used. Use the compaction requirements and materials for trench foundation (stabilization).

Except as otherwise indicated for select borrow materials at this site, reinstatement of excavated soil is not allowed. When excavation exceeds the depth of the proposed USS, a granular pad using the material and compaction requirements for trench foundation will be used.

It can be assumed that it is impractical to conduct excavations to an even USS. In such case a granular pad not less than 150mm thick must be used to remedy for irregularities caused by the operation of equipment.

D.3 Fill Placement

D.3.1 Compacted Lifts Thicknesses Equipment and Passes

Compacted lifts for non cohesive soils or specified granular will not exceed 200 mm and 150 mm for cohesive soils. For specified granulars, subject to test trials a maximum compacted lift of 300 mm may be accepted provided vibratory compaction equipment rated at 60,000 lb-f (27,300 kg-f) of dynamic force is used.

For road construction passes are to overlap by 300 mm for full coverage.

Where non vibratory pneumatic compactors with ballast an tire pressure of 100 psi (7 kg/cm2) are used (9 or 13 ply) the compacted lift thicknesses will not exceed 150 mm for granular and 120 mm for cohesive soils.

For services and culvert trenches, when using rammers and light vibratory plates weighing less than 115 kg (250 lbs) the compacted lift thicknesses will not exceed 100 and 125 mm respectively. For heavier trench equipment the compacted lifts for non cohesive soils or specified granular will not exceed 200 mm and 150 mm for cohesive soils.

No heavy equipment will be operated above the crown of pipes or culverts unless 1.2 m of fill has been placed or the subgrade elevation has been reached.

For all trenches below the water table, trench foundation not less than 200 mm will be provided as per materials and specification in Table 1 in page 25.

Materials lift placement beneath foundations, slabs or any placement not specified above must abide to the above specifications as they relate to the equipment being used.

D.3.2 Compaction Guide for Passes and Level of Compaction

The contents of this section are provided as guidelines for construction. The resulting compaction densities and compacted lift thicknesses can only be verified by actual testing and field trials respectively.

For equipment passes the contractor may consider not less than 6, 7 or 8 passes for 90, 95 or 100 % Proctor Standard compaction.

For granular materials loose lifts may be approximately 150, 175 and 235 mm for compacted lift thicknesses 125, 150 and 200 mm respectively.

For cohesive materials loose lifts may be approximately 125 and 190 mm for compacted lift thicknesses 100 and 150 mm respectively.

D.4 Compaction General

Table 1 in page 25 presents Proctor Standard (PS) compaction requirements for specified placement and materials.

D.5 Compaction Specific

D.5.1 Compaction Along Basement Walls and Retaining Walls

The consolidation zone is defined as the zone within 0.9 m of the exterior edge of basements or the interior edge of retaining walls. Compaction along the consolidation zone is to be conducted in 125 mm compacted lifts using 2 passes of light vibratory equipment.

D.5.2 Compaction Quality Control

Provide moisture density relationships for Standard Proctor compaction for the proposed materials and source. Conduct one in situ test at randomly selected

Material Placement	Material Description	% PS
Base Subbase Subgrade	OPSS 1010 Granular A OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II Cohesionless (with 12 % or less fines)	100 100 95
Subgrade	and 100% passing 106 mm sieve Cohesive	95 95
Backfill for trenches under pavement	Cohesionless (with 12 % or less fines) and 100% passing 106 mm sieve.	95 95
Under sidewalks top 200 mm	Any OPSS 1010 Granular specification for which 100% passes the 26.5 mm sieve the 26.5 mm sieve	95
	Cohesive	95
Under foundations	Any OPSS 1010 Granular specification for which 100% passes the 106 mm sieve except Granular B Type I	95
Backfill under slabs on grade	Cohesionless (with 12 % or less fines) and 100% passing 106 mm sieve.	100
Top 100 mm under slabs	Cohesive Crushed stone 9.5 to 19 mm (use one or several sizes).	95 90
Pipe bedding and cover (150 mm for bedding to 150 mm above the crown)	Any OPSS 1010 Granular specification for which 100% passes the 26.5 mm sieve	95
Trench founda- tion (stabilization minimum 200 mm)	Any OPSS 1010 Granular specification for which 100% passes the 106 mm sieve except Granular B Type I	95
Backfill for non building, non traffic and/or non parking areas	Cohesionless (with 12 % or less fines) and 100% passing 106 mm sieve	90
	Cohesive	90
Placement not specified above	Cohesionless (with 12% or less fines) and 100% passing 106 mm sieve	95
	Cohesive	95

Table 1: Proctor Standard (PS) compaction requirements for specified placement and materials.

locations per $60~\mathrm{m}3$ of fill. This is approximately one test, each $300~\mathrm{m}2$ of lift in place. Nuclear or non-nuclear density probes testing can be used.