



Structural Retaining Walls Memorandum

**New Campus Development for
The Ottawa Hospital
Phase 4: Main Hospital Project
Ottawa, Ontario**

**March 27, 2026
(Issued for SPC Resubmission)**

Prepared by WSP Canada Inc.

CA0027758.0-51

New Campus Development for The Ottawa Hospital

Phase 4: Main Hospital

VERSION NUMBER AND DATE

Version 05, 2026/03/27

Table of Contents

1.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION 2

2.0 RETAINING WALL LAYOUT 2

3.0 WALL TYPES 3

4.0 WALL DESIGN 3

Structural 3

Conflict With Adjacent Properties 4

Traffic Barriers and Railings 4

Wall Finishes 4

Construction 4

APPENDIX A: CIP WALL DESIGN CALCULATIONS 6

1.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

PCL/ED has retained WSP to provide Civil Engineering Services in support of development of the New Civic Campus for the Ottawa Hospital, located in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada. The New Civic Campus will be located on Carling Avenue, southwest of the intersection of Preston Street and Carling Avenue. The new Civic Campus is part of a multi-phased development that includes a parking garage, a new research facility, a future University of Ottawa Heart Institute, a new Main Hospital Building and a Central Utility Plant.


As part of WSP's engineering services WSP is responsible for the design of retaining walls throughout the project site. This memorandum provides a summary of the design concept, parameters and rationale that were employed in the design of the retaining walls. The actual details of the retaining walls are illustrated in the Site Plan Control Resubmission (SPC) drawings for reference only.

2.0 RETAINING WALL LAYOUT

The positions and lengths of the retaining walls in the Site Plan Control Resubmission Drawings generally reflect those of the Indicative Schematic Design (ISD); however, the ISD retaining walls have been impacted by the continued design development of the site and Advanced Work (AW). These impacts include the addition of new walls, coordination with other engineering disciplines, accommodation of utilities, some wall alignment changes, removal of some of the ISD walls, and changes in the wall types. All the changes from ISD as related to the design of the retaining walls are reflected on the 95% DD drawings and addressed in this Memorandum as applicable.

Each retaining wall has been numbered for reference; please note that the addition and deletion of retaining walls throughout the design development process, has created "gaps" in the identification numbers. Figure 2.1 below shows the walls found in the 95% DD drawings.

Figure 2.0-1: 95% DD Retaining Walls



3.0 WALL TYPES

There are generally two types of retaining walls in the 95% DD: pre-cast concrete block walls (proprietary modular block products) and cast-in-place (CIP) reinforced concrete walls. In general, pre-cast concrete block walls were selected for use in areas where walls are less visible to the public (ex. loading dock walls), while CIP walls were selected in highly visible public areas and in locations where a pre-cast block wall would not meet structural requirements (ex. where precast concrete block walls were technically unsuitable to accommodate conflicts with other structures and/or utilities). Table 3.1 below provides a schedule of the retaining wall locations and types.

Table 3.0-1: Retaining Wall Schedule

ID	LOCATION	TYPE
3	Loading dock	Pre-cast block
4	Loading dock	CIP
5	South Road E (wall extension)	Pre-cast block
6	Main entrance	CIP
7	Main entrance	CIP
8	Main entrance	CIP
9	Main entrance	CIP
12	Pavilion	CIP
13	Road A	CIP
14	Loading dock	CIP
15	Woodland path	Pre-cast block
18	Road A	Pre-cast block

4.0 WALL DESIGN

The site retaining walls have been designed as per the requirements of Schedule 15 of the Project Agreement (PA); the following information is provided to demonstrate how the design of the retaining walls meets the requirements of Schedule 15.

Structural

- All retaining walls are designed in accordance with the CSA S6-19 Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CHBDC).
- All retaining walls are designed for a minimum service life of 75 years.
- Cast-in-place retaining walls are designed to limit long-term (post construction and completion of backfill operation) lateral displacements of the top of the wall to lesser of the following:
 - 1 horizontal to 500 vertical, relative to bottom of the wall, or
 - 10mm
 - **Note:** Pre-cast block walls are inherently flexible structures by-design, due to tolerances in manufacturing and installation, reduced frost protection requirements and design-movement during seismic events. Therefore, the above requirement for long-term lateral displacement is not applicable to these walls. Small pre-cast block wall movements are generally imperceptible due to the batter in the wall face as well as the rough surface texture of the blocks.
- Design calculations for CIP walls are provided in Appendix A of this memorandum.
- Pre-cast block retaining walls are shown schematically in the 95% DD drawings; detailed design of the pre-cast block walls, and final signed and sealed shop drawings, will be provided by the manufacturer of the pre-cast block wall units.

- All new concrete for CIP walls will be Class C-1 exposure as per CSA requirements with target strength of 35 MPa at 56 days. Higher strength concrete is normally utilized for precast concrete modular block walls.
- The drainage of the walls will be primarily buried in field perforated pipe drains discharging into storm sewer installation. The back of the CIP retaining walls will be waterproofed with waterproofing dimple board channeling any water in backfill to the subdrain pipes. No drainage holes are utilized in the CIP walls. The use of waterproofing is to prevent staining along the front of the wall should any run off make it through the fill behind the wall.
- The walls are designed with appropriate articulation with use of expansion and control/construction joints to accommodate seasonal thermal changes.
- The frost protection for cast in place retaining walls is provided either by sufficient soil frost cover or where, or where full frost cover is not possible, high-grade insulation is specified.
- The walls are designed with free draining backfill material as well as a subdrain system. Therefore, no hydrostatic pressure has been designed for behind the walls.

Conflict With Adjacent Properties

- The retaining walls are located near the main hospital structure and are not in proximity to adjacent properties lines. Wall #5, which is a short extension of an Advanced Works (AW) wall, is the closest wall to an adjacent property, however, this is a relatively short wall with no tiebacks or deep excavations which would encroach onto adjacent property.

Traffic Barriers and Railings

- Where required due to proximity to live traffic, rigid traffic barriers are provided on top of the retaining walls to provide appropriate performance level protection as per the CHBDC. Walls with traffic barriers are designed to resist collision loads as per CHBDC.
- Hand railings or fences will be installed to the top of all walls which do not have a traffic barrier; the minimum fence height is 1800mm and the minimum railing height is 1070mm above the top of the retaining wall. The retaining walls are designed to resist all loads from fences and railings. Gaps in the fencing and safety railings will be 100 mm or less.

Wall Finishes

- Architectural finishes of the pre-cast block retaining walls and CIP retaining walls will be confirmed during the design development and will require approval from The Ottawa Hospital (TOH).
- TOH will approve all aesthetic wall features; aesthetic considerations shall not negatively affect the maintenance or reparability of the walls.
- An anti-graffiti coating will be applied to all site retaining walls.

Construction

The Schedule 15 requirements concerning construction of the site retaining walls (by others) is quoted below for reference. The design of the walls was carried out so that the Schedule 15 requirements could be fully met for constructability.

- Structural stability of walls and excavations will be maintained throughout construction
- Dewatering provisions will be provided to control groundwater during all phases of construction
- Temporary Shoring Movement Tolerances: To reduce construction costs, PCL-ED has proposed to relax the lateral shoring movement tolerances for any shoring that is not directly adjacent to a foundation wall element. This proposal was accepted by the CA and is documented in RFI-00305.

Prepared by



Hui Liu, P. Eng,
Structural Engineer - Bridges
Transportation Ontario



2026-03-26

Prepared by

for

Matt Thom, P. Eng,
Project Manager - Bridges
Transportation Ontario

2026-03-26

Reviewed and Approved by

Felix Wasiewicz, P. Eng, PMP
Lead Engineer – Bridge and Civil Structures
Transportation Ontario

2026-03-26

APPENDIX A: CIP WALL DESIGN CALCULATIONS



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - ULS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	1.2

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K ₀	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
 The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMDD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	1.65	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	3.2	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.5	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	
parapet width (m) =	0.25	
parapet height (m) =	1.24	not actual height, equi. weight of barrier

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0.45	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.5	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	1.65	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	2.1	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	76.23	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.15	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.55	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	3.63	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.03	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.57	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	4.95
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.25	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.35	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	24.66	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.90	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	3.96	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.97	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.63	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	46.08	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.60	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Weight due to parapet, W5 (kN) =	7.44	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.63	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.98	





PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Shear key weight, W6 (kN) = 0.00
 lever arm to toe (m) = 0.50
 lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -1.1

3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:

total lateral earth pressure (kN) = 48.61 factored
 lever arm to toe (m) = 1.02
 total overtruning momoment (kN-m) = 49.42

compaction surcharge:		not used for comparision only	
total compaction surcharge (kN) =	12.00		
distacne between force and base bottom			
(m) =	1.58	SLS	
overtruning momoment (kN-m) =	19.00	SLS	

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1.25 1.00
 dead load factor-earth fill = 1.25 1.00
 dead load factor-concrete = 1.2 1.00

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 99.468

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 167.65
 total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 267.11

D/C = 0.18 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:

total sliding force (kN) = 60.76 factored

Resistance:

total weight (kN) = 162.00 factored, excluding soil on toe
 friction coefficient = 0.7
 sliding resistacne by friction (kN) = 113.40
 sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
 total sliding force (kN) = 113.40

D/C = 0.54 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 3.20
 Area property, S (m³) = 1.71
 total vertical load, P (kN) = 195.65 including soil on toe
 moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 40.93 minus, reaction at heel is higher

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 85.12 P/A+M/S
 Reaction at heel (kPa) = 37.16 P/A-M/S
 ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 350.00
 D/C = 0.24

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.

5. Stem Design:

lateral earth pressue on stem (kN-m) = 31.36

at bottom of stem, Mf (kN-m) = 25.61 D/C = 0.16
 Vf (kN) = 31.36 D/C = 0.09



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

15M @300

6. Base Design:

Note: clockwise moment is positive

- left reaction centroid (m) = 0.95
- left reaction centroid (m) = 0.25
- soil pressure at stem face - heel side (kPa) = 68.64
- soil pressure at stem face - toe side (kPa) = 77.63
- Mf, at left bot.corner of stem (kN-m) = 33.08
- Mf, at right bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 6.62
- Vf - left (kN) = 20.49
- Vf - right(kN) = 25.86
- 15M @ 300 transverse
- 15M @ 300 longitudinal
- 100mm concrete cover at bottom, 70mm other locations


Vertical Height (\bar{y}): $\frac{h}{3} \left(\frac{2b+a}{b+a} \right)$ from the bottom base a .

$$L = \frac{b_1 h_2 + b_2 h_1}{h_1 + h_2}$$

- D/C = 0.21
- D/C = 0.04
- D/C = 0.06
- D/C = 0.07

This formula is a **weighted average** of the two base lengths. It describes the width of the trapezoid at a specific vertical position. [YouTube v1](#)

- b_1 : The length of the bottom base.
- b_2 : The length of the top base.
- h_1 : The vertical distance from the **bottom base** (b_1) to the parallel line.
- h_2 : The vertical distance from the **top base** (b_2) to the parallel line.
- **Total Height (H)**: The sum $h_1 + h_2$ represents the full height of the trapezoid.



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 -SLS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	1.20

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°)	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{sat}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K _o	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60

Notes:

The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD.

Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile.


For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	1.65	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	3.2	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.5	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	
parapet width (m) =	0.25	
parapet height (m) =	1.24	not actual height, equi. weight of barrier

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0.45	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.5	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	1.65	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	2.1	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	76.23	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.15	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.55	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	3.63	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.03	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.57	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	4.95
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.25	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.35	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	24.66	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.90	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	3.96	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.97	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.63	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	46.08	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.60	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Weight due to parapet, W5 (kN) =	7.44	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.63	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.98	





PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 -SLS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Shear key weight, W6 (kN) = 0.00
 lever arm to toe (m) = 0.50
 lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -1.1

3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:

total earth pressure (kN) = 38.88 factored
 lever arm to toe (m) = 1.02
 total overtruning momoment (kN-m) = 39.53

compaction surcharge:	not used for comparision only	
total compaction surcharge (kN) =	12.00	
distacne between force and base bottom (m) =	1.58	SLS
overtruning momoment (kN-m) =	19.00	SLS

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 dead load factor-earth fill = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 dead load factor-concrete = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 99.468

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 167.65
 total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 267.11

D/C = 0.1 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:

total sliding force (kN) = 38.88 factored

Resistance:

total weight (kN) = 162.00 factored, excluding soil on toe
 friction coefficient = 0.7
 sliding resistacne by friction (kN) = 113.40
 sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
 total sliding force (kN) = 113.40

D/C = 0.34 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 3.20
 Area property, S (m³) = 1.71
 total vertical load, P (kN) = 159.51 including soil on toe
 moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 31.05 minus, reaction at heel is higher

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 68.04 P/A+M/S
 Reaction at heel (kPa) = 31.65 P/A-M/S
 SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 200.00
 D/C = 0.34

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - ULS Calculations for Collision	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	1.2

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K _o	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	1.65	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	3.2	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.5	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	
parapet width (m) =	0.25	
parapet height (m) =	1.24	not actual height, equi. weight of barrier

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0.45	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.5	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	1.65	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	2.1	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	76.23	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.15	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.55	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	3.63	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.03	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.57	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	4.95
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.25	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.35	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	24.66	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.90	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	3.96	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.97	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.63	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	46.08	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.60	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Weight due to parapet, W5 (kN) =	7.44	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.63	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.98	






PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - ULS Calculations for Collision	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Shear key weight, W_6 (kN) = 0.00
 lever arm to toe (m) = 0.50
 lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -1.1

 TL-4 vertical load (kN) = 70 MASH
 TL-4 transverse load (kN) = 150 MASH
 transverse TL-4 acting width, b (m) = 1.5 MASH
 TL-4 acting height, h_1 (m) = 0.76
 transverse TL-4 distribution width, c (m) = 4.51 at stem bottom
 vertical TL-4 distribution width, c (m) = 8.51



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:

total lateral earth pressure (kN) = 21.16 factored
 lever arm to toe (m) = 0.75
 collision overturning moment (kN-m) = 100.11
 total overtruning momoment (kN-m) = 115.98

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1.00 1.00
 dead load factor-earth fill = 1.00 1.00
 dead load factor-concrete = 1.00 1.00
 collision load factor = 1.00 CHBDC Table 3.1

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 99.468
 overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 167.65
 overturning resistance by vertical collision
 force (kN-m) = 43.75
 total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 310.86
 D/C = 0.37 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

Table 3.7
Loads on traffic barriers
(See Clauses 3.8.8.1, 12.4.3.2.5, and 12.4.3.4.5.)

Test level	Transverse load, kN	Longitudinal load, kN	Vertical load, kN
TL-1	25	10	10
TL-2	50	20	10
TL-3	100	30	10
TL-4 (NCHRP 350)*	100	30	30
TL-4 (MASH)†	150	50	70
TL-5	210	70	150

* Minimum barrier loads for TL-4 barriers meeting the crash test requirements specified in the NCHRP Report 350.
† Minimum barrier loads for TL-4 barriers meeting the crash test requirements specified in the MASH.

- When investigating overturning and sliding capacities of a retaining wall due to vehicle collision, a load factor of 1.0 may be used for horizontal earth pressure and all related dead loads, with the appropriate load factor for live load impact when applied together at the ultimate limit state.
- When a refined method of analysis is performed, moment redistribution may be considered over a larger width than determined by an elastic analysis.
- Live load and compaction surcharges may be neglected for evaluating retaining walls subject to barrier impact load.

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.


Load:

total sliding force (kN) = 54.42 factored

Resistance:

total weight (kN) = 162.00 factored, excluding soil on toe
 friction coefficient = 0.7
 sliding resistance by friction (kN) = 113.40
 sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00

Figure 12.3
Application of traffic design loads to traffic barriers
(See Clauses 5.7.1.5.1, 5.7.1.5.2, 12.4.3.3.2, 12.4.3.5.2, 12.4.3.5.3, and 12.4.7.4.)



Notes:

- Traffic barrier types are illustrative only and other types may be used.
- Transverse load P_t shall be applied over a barrier length of 1200 mm for TL-1, TL-2, and TL-3 barriers, 1050 mm for TL-4 barriers crash tested to the requirements specified in the NCHRP Report 350, 1500 mm for TL-4 barriers crash tested to the requirements specified in the MASH, and 2400 mm for TL-5 barriers.
- Longitudinal load P_l shall be applied at the same locations and over the same barrier lengths as P_t . For post and railing barriers, the longitudinal load shall not be distributed to more than three posts.
- Vertical load P_v shall be applied over a barrier length of 5500 mm for TL-1, TL-2, TL-3, and TL-4 barriers, and 12 000 mm for TL-5 barriers.
- These loads shall be used for the design of traffic barrier anchorages and decks only, except as noted in Clause 12.4.3.4.5.



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - ULS Calculations for Collision	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

total sliding force (kN) = 113.40
 D/C = 0.48 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 3.20
 Area property, S (m³) = 1.71
 total vertical load, P (kN) = 175.18 including soil on toe and vertical collision force
 moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 114.75

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 121.98 P/A+M/S
 Reaction at heel (kPa) = -12.49 P/A-M/S
 ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 350.00

D/C = 0.35 Not used

tension occurred at heel = Yes
 eccentricity of resultant force (m) = 0.66 M/P
 eccentricity limit of 0.3L (m) = 0.96 OKAY
 effective bearing width (m) = 1.89
 pressure on base (kPa) = 92.69
 D/C = 0.26

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.

5. Stem Design:

lateral earth pressure on stem (kN) = 11.38
 transverse collision force (kN) = 33.26
 at bottom of stem, Mf (kN-m) = 86.41 D/C = 0.54
 Vf (kN) = 44.64 D/C = 0.13
 15M @ 300

6. Base Design:

Note: clockwise moment is positive

left reaction centroid (m) = 0.56
 left reaction centroid (m) = 0.26
 soil pressure at stem face - heel side (kPa) = 75.75
 soil pressure at stem face - toe side (kPa) = 100.97
 Mf, at left bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 74.48
 Mf, at right bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 11.33
 Vf - left (kN) = 40.05
 Vf - right (kN) = 43.59

Vertical Height (\bar{y}): $\frac{h}{3} \left(\frac{2b + a}{b + a} \right)$ from the bottom base a .


$L = \frac{b_1 h_2 + b_2 h_1}{h_1 + h_2}$

D/C = 0.46
 D/C = 0.07
 D/C = 0.12
 D/C = 0.13

This formula is a **weighted average** of the two base lengths. It describes the width of the trapezoid at a specific vertical position. [YouTube v1](#)

- b_1 : The length of the bottom base.
- b_2 : The length of the top base.
- h_1 : The vertical distance from the **bottom base** (b_1) to the parallel line.
- h_2 : The vertical distance from the **top base** (b_2) to the parallel line.
- **Total Height (L)**: The sum $h_1 + h_2$ represents the full height of the trapezoid.

15M @ 300 transverse
 15M @ 300 longitudinal
 100mm concrete cover at bottom, 70mm other locations






PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Wall flexural capacity calculation - at bottom of wall stem

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	19.25	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	17.23	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	312000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	867	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	312000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	600.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	522.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	160.18	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	157744097	N-mm	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	18000000000	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	300.00	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	131453413.80	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.04		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #4 - shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Wall stem shear capacity calculation - section at bottom of wall

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	600	mm	Overall thickness
		d	522	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	469.8	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	1000	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	347382.08	N	$V_c = 2.5 * \beta * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	Spacing of stirups
			V_s	0	N
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * (\cot\theta + \cot\alpha) * \sin\alpha / s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	2642625	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25 * \phi_c * f'_c * b_v * d_v$
		$\Phi_p = 0.95 V_p$	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	347.38	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	154392	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 * T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * (A_{cp} / P_c) * [1 + f_{ce} / (0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr})] * 0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	82	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: Av is not less than $0.15 * f_{cr} * (b_v * s / f_y)$



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6 #7 #8 & #9 tall sections	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS Soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	250
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Height of retaining wall, H (m) =	5.6
At rest lateral soil pressure coefficient, K ₀ =	0.38
Lateral soil pressure modification factor =	1.19

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	5.6	
Base width, L (m) =	3	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	1	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	1	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.45	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.8	B=0.25L to 0.33L
Parapet height (m) =	0.8	
Parapet width (m) =	0.3	

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	5.6

DESIGN AID 2-11
ACTIVE EARTH PRESSURE WITH 800 mm SURCHARGE





Table 3.7
Loads on traffic barriers
(See Clauses 3.8.8.1 and 12.4.3.2.5.)

Performance level	Transverse load, kN	Longitudinal load, kN	Vertical load, kN
TL-1	25	10	10
TL-2	50	20	10
TL-4	100	30	30
TL-5	210	70	90

Notes:

- (1) Traffic barrier types are illustrative only and other types may be used.
- (2) Transverse load P_t shall be applied over a barrier length of 1200 mm for TL-1 and TL-2 barriers, 1050 mm for TL-4 barriers, and 2400 mm for TL-5 barriers.
- (3) Longitudinal load P_l shall be applied at the same locations and over the same barrier lengths as P_t . For post and railing barriers, the longitudinal load shall not be distributed to more than three posts.
- (4) Vertical load P_v shall be applied over a barrier length of 5500 mm for TL-1, TL-2, and TL-4 barriers and 12 000 mm for TL-5 barriers.
- (5) These loads shall be used for the design of traffic barrier anchorages and decks only.


Table 6.9-2 - Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°)	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ_{dr}	Effective γ			Active K_a	At Rest K_0	Passive K_p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60

Notes:
 The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMDD.
 Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile.
 For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

3.1 Moment at Bottom of Stem :

live load factor =	1.7	
dead load factor-earth fill =	0.8	CHBDC Table 3.3
min. dead load factor-concrete =	0.9	CHBDC Table 3.3
max. dead load factor-concrete =	1.2	
TL-4 load transverse load, P _t (kN) =	100	
P _t distribution length at bottom of the =	13.65	
ULS overturning moment about point A =	78.46	
ULS overturning moment about point A =	526.68	obtained from Design Aid 2-11
ULS total, M _o (kNm) =	605.14	



3.2 Shear at Bottom of Stem:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

ULS sliding force (kN) =	229.30	obtained from Design Aid 2-11
ULS TL-4 transverse force, (kN) =	12.45	
Total, F _s (kN) =	241.76	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Feb-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6 #7 #8 & #9 tall sections	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

5. Stem Design:

ULS design loads bottom of the stem

$$M \text{ (kNm)} = 605.14$$

$$D/R = 0.81$$

$$V \text{ (kN)} = 241.76$$

$$D/R = 0.44$$

same shear capacities are taken for footing slab and wall stem

20M @ 150

70mm cover

6. Base Design:

$$\text{Parapet weight (kN/m)} = 5.76$$

$$\text{Stem weight (kN/m)} = 97.44$$

$$\text{Vertical collision force, } P_v \text{ (kN/m)} = 1.82$$

$$\text{ULS total (kN/m)} = 103.2$$

$$\text{Shear at base (kN/m)} = 103.2$$

$$D/R = 0.19$$

$$\text{Positive moment at edge (kNm)} = 605.14$$

$$D/R = 0.84$$

$$\text{Negative moment at mid-span (kNm)} = 159.6$$

$$D/R = 0.58$$

15M @ 300 at positive moment area

20M @ 150 at negative moment area

100mm cover




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	08-Jan-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6 #7 #8 & #9 tall sections - flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Footing slab flexural capacity calculation

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	51.08	mm	c = (T _s -Cc1)/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	45.71	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	Cc1 = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	828000.00	N	Cc2 = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	2300	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	828000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	1000.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	890.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	717.99	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - Cc1*(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	231	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	83333333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	948.92	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	192401560.01	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.06		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)






PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	08-Jan-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6 #7 #8 & #9 tall sections - flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Footing slab flexural capacity calculation

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	19.25	mm	c = (Ts-Cc1)/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	17.23	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	Cc1 = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	312000.00	N	Cc2 = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	867	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	312000.00	N	Ts = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	1000.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	890.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	274.99	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = Ts*(d _s -a/2) - Cc1*(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	223	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	83333333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	980.75	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	186157069.39	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.02		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)






PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6 #7 #8 & #9 tall sections - flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

Wall flexural capacity calculation - at bottom of wall stem

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axis is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	51.08	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	45.71	mm	a = β ₁ *c, Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	828000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	2300	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	828000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	1000.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	920.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	742.83	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	231	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	8333333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	948.92	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	192401560.01	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.06		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	08-Jan-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6 #7 #8 & #9 tall sections - shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Wall stem shear capacity calculation - section at bottom of wall

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	1000	mm	Overall thickness
		d	920	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	828	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	900	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	551019.85	N	$V_c = 2.5*\beta*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	Spacing of stirups
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s*f_y*A_v*d_v*\cot\theta/s$ (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
	$\sin\alpha$	0.7071068			
	V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s*f_y*A_v*d_v*(\cot\theta + \cot\alpha)*\sin\alpha/s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)	
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	4191750	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25*\phi_c*f'_c*b_v*d_v$
	$\Phi_p = 0.95$	V_p	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	551.02	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	244898	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v + 0.5\phi_p*V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v + 0.5\phi_p*V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25*T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8*\phi_c*f_{cr}*(A_{cp}/P_c)*[1 + f_{ce}/(0.8*\phi_c*f_{cr})]$ 0.5 (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	74	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: A_v is not less than $0.15*f_{cr}(b_v*s/f_y)$



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6,#7 ,#8 & #9 short height sections	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS Soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	250
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m3) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m3) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m3) =	24
Height of retaining wall, H (m) =	3.7
At rest lateral soil pressure coefficient, Ko =	0.38
Lateral soil pressure modification factor =	1.19

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	3.7	
Base width, L (m) =	3	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.7	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.7	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.45	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.8	B=0.25L to 0.33L
Parapet height (m) =	0.8	
Parapet width (m) =	0.3	
Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	3.7	

DESIGN AID 2-11
ACTIVE EARTH PRESSURE WITH 800 mm SURCHARGE




Table 6.9-2 - Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°)	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained	Effective			Active	At Rest	Passive
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60

Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMDD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

Table 3.7
Loads on traffic barriers
(See Clauses 3.8.8.1 and 12.4.3.2.5.)


Performance level	Transverse load, kN	Longitudinal load, kN	Vertical load, kN
TL-1	25	10	10
TL-2	50	20	10
TL-4	100	30	30
TL-5	210	70	90

Notes:

- Traffic barrier types are illustrative only and other types may be used.
- Transverse load P_t shall be applied over a barrier length of 1200 mm for TL-1 and TL-2 barriers, 1050 mm for TL-4 barriers, and 2400 mm for TL-5 barriers.
- Longitudinal load P_l shall be applied at the same locations and over the same barrier lengths as P_t . For post and railing barriers, the longitudinal load shall not be distributed to more than three posts.
- Vertical load P_v shall be applied over a barrier length of 5500 mm for TL-1, TL-2, and TL-4 barriers and 12 000 mm for TL-5 barriers.
- These loads shall be used for the design of traffic barrier anchorages and decks only.

3.1 Moment at Bottom of Stem :

live load factor =	1.7	
dead load factor-earth fill =	0.8	CHBDC Table 3.3
min. dead load factor-concrete =	0.9	CHBDC Table 3.3
max. dead load factor-concrete =	1.2	
TL-4 load transverse load, P _t (kN) =	100	
P _t distribution length at bottom of the =	9.85	
ULS overturning moment about point A =	75.94	
ULS overturning moment about point A =	200.64	obtained from Design Aid 2-11
ULS total, M _o (kNm) =	276.58	



3.2 Shear at Bottom of Stem:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

ULS sliding force (kN) =	121.82	obtained from Design Aid 2-11
ULS TL-4 transverse force, (kN) =	17.26	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	20-Feb-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6,#7 ,#8 & #9 short height sections	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Total, Fs (kN) = 139.08

5. Stem Design:

ULS design loads bottom of the stem

M (kNm) = 276.58 D/R = 0.83
V (kN) = 139.08 D/R = 0.56

15M @ 150
70mm cover

6. Base Design:

Parapet weight (kN/m) = 5.76
Stem weight (kN/m) = 51.06
Vertical collision force, Pv (kN/m) = 1.82
ULS total (kN/m) = 56.82
Shear at base (kN/m) = 56.82 D/R = 0.23

Positive moment at edge (kNm) = 276.58 D/R = 0.87
Negative moment at mid-span (kNm) = 104.4 D/R = 0.57

15M @ 300 at positive moment area
15M @ 150 at negative moment area
100mm cover




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6, #7 #8 & #9 short height sections- flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

Wall flexural capacity calculation - at bottom of wall stem

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	34.05	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	30.48	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	552000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	1533	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	552000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	700.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	620.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	333.83	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	113	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	28583333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	665.95	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*v _f c'
M _{cr}	94035734.05	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.05		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	08-Jan-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6, #7 #8 & #9 short height sections- shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Wall stem shear capacity calculation - section at bottom of wall

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	700	mm	Overall thickness
		d	622	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	559.8	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	600	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; 0.4 $\sqrt{f'_c}$ - nomal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	248358.22	N	$V_c = 2.5 * \beta * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400$ MPa, $f'_c \leq 60$ MPa; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	Spacing of stirups
			V_s	0	N
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400$ MPa, $f'_c \leq 60$ MPa; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * (\cot\theta + \cot\alpha) * \sin\alpha / s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	1889325	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25 * \phi_c * f'_c * b_v * d_v$
		$\Phi_p = 0.95 V_p$	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	248.36	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	110381	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 * T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * (A_{cp} / P_c) * [1 + f_{ce} / (0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr})] * 0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	49	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: Av is not less than $0.15 * f_{cr} (b_v * s / f_y)$




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	08-Jan-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6, #7 #8 & #9 short height sections- flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Footing slab flexural capacity calculation

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	34.05	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	30.48	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	552000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	1533	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	552000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	700.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	592.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	318.37	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	113	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	28583333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	665.95	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	94035734.05	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.06		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)






PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	08-Jan-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #6, #7 #8 & #9 short height sections- flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Footing slab flexural capacity calculation

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ' ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ' ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	19.25	mm	c = (Ts-Cc1)/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c '*b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c ' is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	17.23	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	Cc1 = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c '*h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	312000.00	N	Cc2 = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c '*a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	867	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	312000.00	N	Ts = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	700.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	592.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	182.02	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - Cc1*(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	110	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	28583333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	680.75	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	91990641.89	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.03		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Tall Wall ULS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa)	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	4.46

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) ϕ	Friction Factor, $\tan \delta$	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ_{dr}	Effective γ			Active K_a	At Rest K_r	Passive K_p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60

Notes:

The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD.

Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile.


For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	6.26	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	5.4	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.8	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.7	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	1.6	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	1.8	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	1.6	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	6.26	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	3.1	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	426.93	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	3.85	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	1.15	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	20.66	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.20	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.50	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	63.36
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.80	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.90	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	26.50	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.80	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.90	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	22.54	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.10	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.60	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	103.68	
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.70	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W6 (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.60	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.1	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:

total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	260.43	factored, without live load surcharge
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.62	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Tall Wall ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) = 682.33

compaction surcharge:
total compaction surcharge (kN) = 12.00 unfactored

distance between force and base bottom (m) = 6.39

overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) = 95.90

Total overturning moment (kN-m) = 778.23

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1.25 1.00
dead load factor-earth fill = 1.25 1.00
dead load factor-concrete = 1.2 1.00

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 374.9688

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 1689.14
total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 2064.10
D/C = 0.38 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:
total sliding force (kN) = 340.54 factored

Resistance:
total weight (kN) = 600.31 factored, excluding soil on toe
friction coefficient = 0.7
sliding resistance by friction (kN) = 420.22
sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
total sliding force (kN) = 420.22
D/C = 0.81 need to be less than 0.9 (high understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 5.40
Area property, S (m³) = 4.86
total vertical load, P (kN) = 821.95 including soil on toe
moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 455.35
13582.22

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 245.91 P/A+M/S
Reaction at heel (kPa) = 58.52 P/A-M/S
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 350.00
D/C = 0.70

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.

5. Stem Design:

lateral earth pressure on stem (kN-m) = 204.76

at bottom of stem, M_f (kN-m) = 427.26 D/C = 0.86
V_f (kN) = 204.76 D/C = 0.83

20M @ 150 vertical
15M @ 300 vertical & horizontal
70mm concrete cover

6. Base Design:



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Tall Wall ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Note: clockwise moment is positive


left reaction centroid (m) = 1.30
left reaction centroid (m) = 0.83
soil pressure at stem face - heel side (kPa) = 166.09
soil pressure at stem face - toe side (kPa) = 190.38
Mf, at left bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 484.40
Mf, at right bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 198.22
Vf - left (kN) = 282.76
Vf - right(kN) = 269.83

Vertical Height (\bar{y}): $\frac{h}{3} \left(\frac{2b+a}{b+a} \right)$ from the bottom base a .

$$L = \frac{b_1 h_2 + b_2 h_1}{h_1 + h_2}$$

D/C = 0.88
D/C = 0.36
D/C = 0.88
D/C = 0.84

20M @ 150 transverse
15M @ 300 longitudinal
100mm concrete cover at bottom, 70mm other locations





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Tall Wall SLS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa)	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H ₁ (m) =	4.46

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K ₀	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	6.26	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	5.4	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.8	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.7	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	1.60	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	1.8	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	1.6	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	6.26	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	3.1	
Weight due to soil, W ₁ (kN) =	426.93	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	3.85	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	1.15	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W ₁ (kN) =	20.66	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.20	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.50	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W ₂ (kN) =	0.00	63.36
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.80	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.90	
Weight due to stem, W ₃ (kN) =	26.50	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.80	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.90	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W ₃ (kN) =	22.54	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.10	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.60	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W ₄ (kN) =	103.68	
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.70	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W ₆ (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.60	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.1	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:		
total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	258.24	factored
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.62	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Tall Wall SLS Caculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) = 676.59

compaction surcharge:
total compaction surcharge (kN) = 12.00

distacne between force and base bottom (m) = 6.39

overturning momoment by compaction (kN-m) = 76.72 factored

total overturning moment (kN-m) = 753.31

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
dead load factor-earth fill = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
dead load factor-concrete = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 374.9688

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 1689.14
total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 2064.10

D/C = 0.3 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:

total sliding force (kN) = 270.24 factored

Resistance:

total weight (kN) = 600.31 factored, excluding soil on toe
friction coefficient = 0.7
sliding resistacne by friction (kN) = 420.22
sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
total sliding force (kN) = 420.22

D/C = 0.64 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m2) = 5.40
Area property, S (m3) = 4.86
total vertical load, P (kN) = 663.67 including soil on toe
moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 353.70

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 195.68 P/A+M/S
Reaction at heel (kPa) = 50.12 P/A-M/S
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 200.00
D/C = 0.98

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Short Wall ULS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

- Friction coefficient between conc. and soil = 0.7
- ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 350
- SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 200
- Unit weight of soil (kN/m³) = 22
- Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m³) = 20
- Unit weight of concrete (kN/m³) = 24
- Granular A friction angle (°) = 38
- Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient = 0.38
- Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) = 2.55

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K ₀	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60

Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMDD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

- Retaining wall height, H (m) = 4.35 stem height
- Base width, L (m) = 4.2 L=0.5H to 2/3H
- Thickness of base, D (m) = 0.7 D=0.1H
- Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) = 0.6 C=0.1H
- Stem thickness at the top, T (m) = 0.4 T=0.25m min.
- Width of the toe, B_T (m) = 1.0 B=0.25L to 0.33L
- shear key width (m) = 0.0
- shear key height (m) = 0.0

3. Stability Check:

- Soil height at toe, H_T (m) = 1.8
- Width of the toe, B_T (m) = 1
- Soil height at heel, H_H (m) = 4.35
- Width of the heel, B_H (m) = 2.6

- Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) = 248.82 rectangular portion
- lever arm to toe (m) = 2.90 rectangular portion
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = 0.80 rectangular portion


- Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) = 9.57 triangle portion
- lever arm to toe (m) = 1.53 triangle portion
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -0.57 triangle portion

- Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) = 0.00 39.6
- lever arm to toe (m) = 0.50
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -1.60

- Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) = 25.74 rectangular portion
- lever arm to toe (m) = 1.20 rectangular portion
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -0.90 rectangular portion

- Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) = 10.44 triangle portion
- lever arm to toe (m) = 1.47 triangle portion
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -0.63 triangle portion

- Weight due to base, W4 (kN) = 70.56
- lever arm to toe (m) = 2.10
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = 0.00
- Shear key weight, W6 (kN) = 0.00
- lever arm to toe (m) = 1.00
- lever arm to centre of base slab (m) = -1.1



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:

- total lateral earth pressure (kN) = 133.25 factored, without live load surcharge
- lever arm to toe (m) = 1.95



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Short Wall ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) = 259.84

compaction surcharge:
total compaction surcharge (kN) = 12.00 unfactored

distance between force and base bottom (m) = 4.38

overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) = 65.75

Total overturning moment (kN-m) = 325.59

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1.25 1.00
dead load factor-earth fill = 1.25 1.00
dead load factor-concrete = 1.2 1.00

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 194.376

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 736.25
total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 930.63
D/C = 0.35 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:
total sliding force (kN) = 181.56 factored

Resistance:
total weight (kN) = 365.13 factored, excluding soil on toe
friction coefficient = 0.7
sliding resistance by friction (kN) = 255.59
sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
total sliding force (kN) = 255.59
D/C = 0.71 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 4.20
Area property, S (m³) = 2.94
total vertical load, P (kN) = 500.58 including soil on toe
moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 225.09

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 195.75 P/A+M/S
Reaction at heel (kPa) = 42.62 P/A-M/S
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 350.00
D/C = 0.56

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.

5. Stem Design:

lateral earth pressure on stem (kN-m) = 98.87

at bottom of stem, M_f (kN-m) = 143.36 D/C = 0.51
V_f (kN) = 98.87 D/C = 0.47

15M @ 150 vertical
15M @ 300 vertical & horizontal
70mm concrete cover

6. Base Design:



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Short Wall ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Note: clockwise moment is positive

left reaction centroid (m) = 1.07
left reaction centroid (m) = 0.52
soil pressure at stem bottom on heel side (kPa) = 137.41
soil pressure at stem bottom on toe side (kPa) = 159.29
Mf, at left bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 221.61
Mf, at right bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 56.97
Vf - left (kN) = 141.36
Vf - right(kN) = 128.02

Vertical Height (\bar{y}): $\frac{h}{3} \left(\frac{2b+a}{b+a} \right)$ from the bottom base a .


$$L = \frac{b_1 h_2 + b_2 h_1}{h_1 + h_2}$$

D/C = 0.70
D/C = 0.18
D/C = 0.51
D/C = 0.46

15M @ 150 transverse

15M @ 300 longitudinal

100mm concrete cover at bottom, 70mm other locations





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Short Wall SLS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H ₁ (m) =	2.55

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K ₀	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	4.35	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	4.2	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.7	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	1.00	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	1.8	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	1	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	4.35	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	2.6	
Weight due to soil, W ₁ (kN) =	248.82	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.90	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.80	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W ₁ (kN) =	9.57	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.53	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.57	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W ₂ (kN) =	0.00	39.6
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.50	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.60	
Weight due to stem, W ₃ (kN) =	25.74	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.20	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.90	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W ₃ (kN) =	10.44	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.47	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.63	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W ₄ (kN) =	70.56	
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.10	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W ₆ (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.00	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.1	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:		
total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	143.05	factored
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.95	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -Short Wall SLS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) = 278.95

compaction surcharge:
total compaction surcharge (kN) = 12.00

distance between force and base bottom (m) = 4.38

overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) = 52.60 factored

total overturning moment (kN-m) = 331.55

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 dead load factor-earth fill = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 dead load factor-concrete = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 194.376

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 736.25
 total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 930.63

D/C = 0.3 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:

total sliding force (kN) = 155.05 factored

Resistance:

total weight (kN) = 365.13 factored, excluding soil on toe
 friction coefficient = 0.7
 sliding resistance by friction (kN) = 255.59
 sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
 total sliding force (kN) = 255.59

D/C = 0.61 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 4.20
 Area property, S (m³) = 2.94
 total vertical load, P (kN) = 404.73 including soil on toe
 moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 178.45

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 157.06 P/A+M/S
 Reaction at heel (kPa) = 35.67 P/A-M/S
 SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 200.00
 D/C = 0.79

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - tall wall stem flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

Tall wall stem flexural capacity calculation - at bottom of wall stem

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	51.08	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	45.71	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	828000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	2300	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side -20M @ 150
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	828000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	700.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	620.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	494.43	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	116	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	28583333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	648.92	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	96502952.91	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.08		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - tall wall stem shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Tall wall stem shear capacity calculation - section at bottom of wall stem

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	700	mm	Overall thickness
		d	620	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	558	mm	$0.72h$ - taken as the greater; $0.9d$ - taken as the greater
		b_v	600	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	247559.64	N	$V_c = 2.5 \cdot \beta \cdot \phi_c \cdot f_{cr} \cdot b_v \cdot d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	4.20
			V_s	0	N
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s \cdot f_y \cdot A_v \cdot d_v \cdot (\cot\theta + \cot\alpha) \cdot \sin\alpha / s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	1883250	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25 \cdot \phi_c \cdot f'_c \cdot b_v \cdot d_v$
		$\Phi_p = 0.95 V_p$	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	247.56	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	110027	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 \cdot \phi_c \cdot f_{cr} \cdot b_v \cdot d_v + 0.5 \phi_p \cdot V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 \cdot \phi_c \cdot f_{cr} \cdot b_v \cdot d_v + 0.5 \phi_p \cdot V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8 \cdot \phi_c \cdot f_{cr} \cdot (A_{cp} / P_c) \cdot [1 + f_{ce} / (0.8 \cdot \phi_c \cdot f_{cr})] \cdot 0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	49	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: Av is not less than $0.15 \cdot f_{cr} \cdot (b_v \cdot s / f_y)$




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - tall wall footing slab flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Tall wall footing slab flexural capacity calculation

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	51.08	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	45.71	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	828000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	2300	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side -20M@150
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	828000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	800.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	690.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	552.39	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	150	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	42666666667	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	748.92	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	124816616.46	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.07		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - tall wall footing slab shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Tall wall footing slab shear capacity calculation

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	800	mm	Overall thickness
		d	690	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	621	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	700	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; 0.4 $v f'_c$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	321428.24	N	$V_c = 2.5 * \beta * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400$ MPa, $f'_c \leq 60$ MPa; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	4.20
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * \cot\theta / s$ (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400$ MPa, $f'_c \leq 60$ MPa; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		$\sin\alpha$	0.7071068		
	V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * (\cot\theta + \cot\alpha) * \sin\alpha / s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)	
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	2445187.5	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25 * \phi_c * f'_c * b_v * d_v$
		$\Phi_p = 0.95 V_p$	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	321.43	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	142857	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 * T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * (A_{cp} / P_c) * [1 + f_{ce} / (0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr})] * 0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	58	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: A_v is not less than $0.15 * f_{cr} (b_v * s / f_y)$




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 -short wall stem flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Short wall stem flexural capacity calculation - at bottom of wall stem

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	34.05	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	30.48	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	552000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	1533	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side -15M@150
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	552000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	600.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	522.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	279.73	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	84	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	18000000000	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	565.95	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	69681308.05	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.07		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - short wall stem shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Short wall stem shear capacity calculation - section at bottom of wall stem

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	600	mm	Overall thickness
		d	522	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	469.8	mm	$0.72h$ - taken as the greater; $0.9d$ - taken as the greater
		b_v	600	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
	V_c	208429.25	N	$V_c = 2.5 * \beta * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)	
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	4.20
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * \cot\theta / s$ (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
	$\sin\alpha$	0.7071068			
	V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * (\cot\theta + \cot\alpha) * \sin\alpha / s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)	
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	1585575	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25 * \phi_c * f'_c * b_v * d_v$
	$\Phi_p = 0.95$	V_p	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	208.43	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	92635	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 * T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * (A_{cp} / P_c) * [1 + f_{ce} / (0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr})] * 0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	49	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: Av is not less than $0.15 * f_{cr} (b_v * s / f_y)$




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - short wall footing slab flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Short wall footing slab flexural capacity calculation

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	34.05	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	30.48	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	552000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	1533	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side -15M @ 150
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	552000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	700.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	592.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	318.37	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	113	kN-m	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	28583333333	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	665.95	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	94035734.05	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.06		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #12 - short wall footing slab shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Short wall footing slab shear capacity calculation

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	700	mm	Overall thickness
		d	592	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	532.8	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	700	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	275776.12	N	$V_c = 2.5 * \beta * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	4.20
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * \cot\theta / s$ (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		$\sin\alpha$	0.7071068		
	V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s * f_y * A_v * d_v * (\cot\theta + \cot\alpha) * \sin\alpha / s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)	
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	2097900	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25 * \phi_c * f'_c * b_v * d_v$
	$\Phi_p = 0.95$	V_p	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	275.78	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	122567	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25 T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * b_v * d_v + 0.5 \phi_p * V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 * T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr} * (A_{cp} / P_c) * [1 + f_{ce} / (0.8 * \phi_c * f_{cr})] * 0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	A_v (min.)	58	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: A_v is not less than $0.15 * f_{cr} * (b_v * s / f_y)$



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 -ULS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	1.70

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K _o	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	2.80	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	2.7	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.7	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	1.1	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.7	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	2.8	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	1.4	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	86.24	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.00	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.65	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	6.16	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.23	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.12	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	16.94
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.35	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.00	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	25.12	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.90	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.45	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	6.72	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.17	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.18	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	38.88	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.35	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W6 (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.65	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:		
total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	60.40	factored, without live load surcharge
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.40	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 -ULS Caculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) =	84.56	
compaction surcharge:		
total compaction surcharge (kN) =	12.00	unfactored
distacne between force and base bottom (m) =	2.73	
overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) =	41.00	
Total overturning moment (kN-m) =	125.56	
Resistance		
earth pressure load factor =	1.25	1.00
dead load factor-earth fill =	1.25	1.00
dead load factor-concrete =	1.2	1.00
overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) =	82.936	
overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) =	180.08	
total overturning resistance (kN-m) =	263.01	
D/C =	0.48	need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:		
total sliding force (kN) =	90.50	factored
Resistance:		
total weight (kN) =	163.12	factored, excluding soil on toe
friction coefficient =	0.7	
sliding resistacne by friction (kN) =	114.18	
sliding resistance by shear key (kN) =	0.00	
total sliding force (kN) =	114.18	
D/C =	0.79	need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m ²) =	2.70	
Area property, S (m ³) =	1.22	
total vertical load, P (kN) =	221.54	including soil on toe
moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) =	99.70	
	993.61	
Reaction at toe (kPa) =	164.11	P/A+M/S
Reaction at heel (kPa) =	-0.01	P/A-M/S
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350.00	
D/C =	0.47	

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.

5. Stem Design:

lateral earth pressue on stem (kN-m) =	40.96	
at bottom of stem, Mf (kN-m) =	38.23	D/C = 0.25
Vf (kN) =	40.96	D/C = 0.13
15M @ 300 vertical		
15M @ 300 vertical & horizontal		
70mm concrete cover		

6. Base Design:



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 -ULS Caculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Note: clockwise moment is positive

left reaction centroid (m) = 0.47
left reaction centroid (m) = 0.37
soil pressure at stem face - heel side (kPa) = 85.09
soil pressure at stem face - toe side (kPa) = 121.56
Mf, at left bot.corner of stem (kN-m) = 64.60
Mf, at right bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 25.09
Vf - left (kN) = 80.13
Vf - right(kN) = 78.81

Vertical Height (\bar{y}): $\frac{h}{3} \left(\frac{2b+a}{b+a} \right)$ from the bottom base a .


$$L = \frac{b_1 h_2 + b_2 h_1}{h_1 + h_2}$$

D/C = 0.43
D/C = 0.17
D/C = 0.24
D/C = 0.24

15M @ 300 transverse

15M @ 300 longitudinal

100mm concrete cover at bottom, 70mm other locations





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 -SLS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa)	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	1.70

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K _o	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	2.80	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	2.7	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.70	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	1.1	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.7	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	2.80	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	1.4	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	86.24	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	2.00	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.65	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	6.16	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.23	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.12	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	16.94
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.35	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-1.00	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	25.12	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.90	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.45	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	6.72	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.17	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.18	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	38.88	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.35	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W6 (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.65	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:		
total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	73.74	factored
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.40	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 -SLS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) = 103.23

compaction surcharge:
total compaction surcharge (kN) = 12.00

distance between force and base bottom (m) = 2.73

overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) = 32.80 factored

total overturning moment (kN-m) = 136.03

Resistance

earth pressure load factor = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
dead load factor-earth fill = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
dead load factor-concrete = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3

overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 82.936

overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 180.08
total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 263.01

D/C = 0.4 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:

total sliding force (kN) = 85.74 factored

Resistance:

total weight (kN) = 163.12 factored, excluding soil on toe
friction coefficient = 0.7
sliding resistance by friction (kN) = 114.18
sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
total sliding force (kN) = 114.18

D/C = 0.75 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 2.70
Area property, S (m³) = 1.22
total vertical load, P (kN) = 180.06 including soil on toe
moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 77.37

Reaction at toe (kPa) = 130.37 P/A+M/S
Reaction at heel (kPa) = 3.01 P/A-M/S
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 200.00
D/C = 0.65

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 - flexural capacity calculation	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

Wall flexural capacity calculation - at bottom of wall stem

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	19.25	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	17.23	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	312000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	867	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side - 15M @ 300
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	312000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	600.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	492.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	150.82	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	157744097	N-mm	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	18000000000	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	300.00	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	131453413.80	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.04		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #13 - shear capacity calculation	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Wall stem shear capacity calculation - section at bottom of wall

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	600	mm	Overall thickness
		d	492	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	442.8	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	1000	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	327417.59	N	$V_c = 2.5*\beta*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	Spacing of stirups
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s*f_y*A_v*d_v*\cot\theta/s$ (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		$\sin\alpha$	0.7071068		
	V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s*f_y*A_v*d_v*(\cot\theta + \cot\alpha)*\sin\alpha/s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)	
Vr	Automatic	Limit of $(V_c + V_s)$	2490750	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25*\phi_c*f'_c*b_v*d_v$
	$\Phi_p = 0.95$	V_p	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	327.42	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	145519	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v + 0.5\phi_p*V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v + 0.5\phi_p*V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25*T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8*\phi_c*f_{cr}*(A_{cp}/P_c)*[1 + f_{ce}/(0.8*\phi_c*f_{cr})]0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	$A_v(\text{min.})$	82	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: Av is not less than $0.15*f_{cr}(b_v*s/f_y)$



PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - ULS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H1 (m) =	1.83

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{sat}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K ₀	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	2.28	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	2.4	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.7	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0.45	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.7	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	2.28	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	1.1	
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	55.18	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.85	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.65	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W1 (kN) =	5.02	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.23	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.03	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W2 (kN) =	0.00	6.93
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.35	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.85	
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	24.91	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.90	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.30	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W3 (kN) =	5.47	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.17	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.03	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W4 (kN) =	34.56	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.20	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W6 (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.5	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:		
total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	43.34	factored, without live load surcharge
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.23	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) =	53.16	
compaction surcharge:		
total compaction surcharge (kN) =	12.00	unfactored
distance between force and base bottom (m) =	2.21	
overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) =	33.20	
Total overturning moment (kN-m) =	86.36	
Resistance		
earth pressure load factor =	1.25	1.00
dead load factor-earth fill =	1.25	1.00
dead load factor-concrete =	1.2	1.00
overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) =	70.2768	
overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) =	108.26	
total overturning resistance (kN-m) =	178.54	
D/C =	0.48	need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:		
total sliding force (kN) =	69.17	factored
Resistance:		
total weight (kN) =	125.14	factored, excluding soil on toe
friction coefficient =	0.7	
sliding resistance by friction (kN) =	87.60	
sliding resistance by shear key (kN) =	0.00	
total sliding force (kN) =	87.60	
D/C =	0.79	need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m ²) =	2.40	
Area property, S (m ³) =	0.96	
total vertical load, P (kN) =	161.84	including soil on toe
moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) =	63.88	
	501.87	
Reaction at toe (kPa) =	133.97	P/A+M/S
Reaction at heel (kPa) =	0.89	P/A-M/S
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350.00	
D/C =	0.38	

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.

5. Stem Design:

lateral earth pressure on stem (kN-m) =	27.16	
at bottom of stem, M _f (kN-m) =	20.64	D/C = 0.14
V _f (kN) =	27.16	D/C = 0.08
15M @ 300 vertical		
15M @ 300 vertical & horizontal		
70mm concrete cover		

6. Base Design:



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - ULS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Note: clockwise moment is positive

left reaction centroid (m) = 0.37
left reaction centroid (m) = 0.37
soil pressure at stem face - heel side (kPa) = 61.89
soil pressure at stem face - toe side (kPa) = 95.16
Mf, at left bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 35.55
Mf, at right bot. corner of stem (kN-m) = 22.39
Vf - left (kN) = 59.72
Vf - right (kN) = 71.53

Vertical Height (\bar{y}): $\frac{h}{3} \left(\frac{2b+a}{b+a} \right)$ from the bottom base a .


$$L = \frac{b_1 h_2 + b_2 h_1}{h_1 + h_2}$$

D/C = 0.24
D/C = 0.15
D/C = 0.18
D/C = 0.22

15M @ 300 transverse

15M @ 300 longitudinal

100mm concrete cover at bottom, 70mm other locations





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - SLS Calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

1. Design Parameters:

Friction coefficient between conc. and soil =	0.7
ULS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	350
SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) =	200
Unit weight of soil (kN/m ³) =	22
Unit weight of existing soil (kN/m ³) =	20
Unit weight of concrete (kN/m ³) =	24
Granular A friction angle (°) =	38
Lateral earth pressure at rest coefficient =	0.38
Height of retaining wall at grade, H ₁ (m) =	1.83

5.6 Basement Wall

There are several combinations of backfill materials and retained soils that could be applicable for the basement walls of the subject structure. However, the conditions can be well-represented by assuming the retained soil consists of a material with an angle of internal friction of 30 degrees and a bulk (drained) unit weight of 20 kN/m³. The applicable effective (undrained) unit weight of the retained soil can be taken as 13 kN/m³, where applicable. A hydrostatic pressure should be added to the total static earth pressure when using the effective unit weight. Two distinct conditions, static and seismic, should be reviewed for design calculations. The corresponding parameters are presented below.

Table 6.9-2 – Geotechnical parameters for backfill material

Material Description	Unit Weight (kN/m ³)		Friction Angle (°) φ	Friction Factor, tan δ	Lateral Earth Pressure Coefficients		
	Drained γ _{dr}	Effective γ			Active K _a	At Rest K _o	Passive K _p
Granular A (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	38	0.6	0.24	0.38	4.20
Granular B Type II (Crushed Stone)	22	13.5	40	0.6	0.22	0.36	4.60


Notes:
The properties of backfill materials are for a condition of 98% of the materials SPMDD. Earth pressure coefficients provided are for the horizontal backfill profile. For soil above the water table, the "drained" unit weight must be used and below the water table, the "effective" unit weight must be used.

2. Dimension Estimates:

Retaining wall height, H (m) =	2.28	stem height
Base width, L (m) =	2.4	L=0.5H to 2/3H
Thickness of base, D (m) =	0.6	D=0.1H
Stem thickness at the bottom, C (m) =	0.6	C=0.1H
Stem thickness at the top, T (m) =	0.4	T=0.25m min.
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.70	B=0.25L to 0.33L
shear key width (m) =	0.0	
shear key height (m) =	0.0	

3. Stability Check:

Soil height at toe, H _T (m) =	0.45	
Width of the toe, B _T (m) =	0.7	
Soil height at heel, H _H (m) =	2.28	
Width of the heel, B _H (m) =	1.1	
Weight due to soil, W ₁ (kN) =	55.18	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.85	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.65	rectangular portion
Weight due to soil, W ₁ (kN) =	5.02	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.23	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.03	triangle portion
Weight due to soil, W ₂ (kN) =	0.00	6.93
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.35	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.85	
Weight due to stem, W ₃ (kN) =	24.91	rectangular portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.90	rectangular portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.30	rectangular portion
Weight due to stem, W ₃ (kN) =	5.47	triangle portion
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.17	triangle portion
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.03	triangle portion
Weight due to base, W ₄ (kN) =	34.56	
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.20	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	0.00	
Shear key weight, W ₆ (kN) =	0.00	
lever arm to toe (m) =	0.70	
lever arm to centre of base slab (m) =	-0.5	



3.1 Check for Overturning Moment:

Load:		
total lateral earth pressure (kN) =	56.61	factored
lever arm to toe (m) =	1.23	



PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - SLS Calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

overturning moment by lateral earth pressure (kN-m) = 69.44
 compaction surcharge:
 total compaction surcharge (kN) = 12.00
 distance between force and base bottom (m) = 2.21
 overturning moment by compaction (kN-m) = 26.56 factored
 total overturning moment (kN-m) = 96.00
Resistance
 earth pressure load factor = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 dead load factor-earth fill = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 dead load factor-concrete = 1 CHBDC Table 3.3
 overturning resistance by structure (kN-m) = 70.2768
 overturning resistance by fill (kN-m) = 108.26
 total overturning resistance (kN-m) = 178.54
 D/C = 0.4 need to be less than 0.5 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

3.2 Check for Sliding:

The passive soil pressure is neglected in the sliding check.

Load:
 total sliding force (kN) = 68.61 factored
Resistance:
 total weight (kN) = 125.14 factored, excluding soil on toe
 friction coefficient = 0.7
 sliding resistance by friction (kN) = 87.60
 sliding resistance by shear key (kN) = 0.00
 total sliding force (kN) = 87.60
 D/C = 0.78 need to be less than 0.8 (typical understanding) as per CHBDC Table 6.2

4. Check for Bearing Pressure under Footing:

Base area, A (m²) = 2.40
 Area property, S (m³) = 0.96
 total vertical load, P (kN) = 132.07 including soil on toe
 moment at centroid of base slab (kNm) = 46.95
 Reaction at toe (kPa) = 103.94 P/A+M/S
 Reaction at heel (kPa) = 6.12 P/A-M/S
 SLS soil bearing capacity (kPa) = 200.00
 D/C = 0.52

Conventional Shallow Foundations

Conventional strip and pad footings that are constructed over an engineered fill or native undisturbed dense glacial till bearing surface can be designed using the bearing resistance values at serviceability limit states (SLS) and ultimate limit states (ULS) for 200 kPa and 350 kPa, respectively.




PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - wall stem/footing flexural capacity calculations	DESIGNER
		CHECKER

Wall stem/footing flexural capacity calculations

Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
b	1000.00	mm	Total width of flange (including web)
b _w	1000.00	mm	Web width, when neutral axil is located in flange, b _w should be taken as b (C8.8.4.1)
h _f	0.00	mm	thickness of flange
f' _c	30.00	Mpa	Concrete strength
α ₁	0.81		α ₁ =0.85-0.0015*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
β ₁	0.90		β ₁ =0.97-0.0025*f' _c ≥ 0.67 (CHBDC 8.8.3)
c	19.25	mm	c = (T _s -C _{c1})/α ₁ *β ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *b _w , Distance from extrem compression fibre to neutral axis, α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c is concrete stress uniformly distributed over an equivalent compression zone
a	17.23	mm	a = β ₁ *c , Equivalent rectangular compression zone height
φ _c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
C _{c1}	0.00	N	C _{c1} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *h _f *(b-b _w) Amount of compression in flange (C8.8.3)
C _{c2}	312000.00	N	C _{c2} = α ₁ *φ _c *f' _c *a*b _w Amount of compression in web (C8.8.3)
A _s	867	mm ²	Area of rebars on the flexural tension side
f _y	400.00	Mpa	Yield strength of tensile rebar
φ _s	0.90		Resistance factor of reinforcing steel
T _s	312000.00	N	T _s = φ _s *f _y *A _s Amount of tension in reinforcing steel
h	600.00	mm	Overall height of beam
d _s	492.00	mm	Distance from centroid of rebars to extrem compression fibre of concrete beam
M _r	150.82	kN-m	Moment resistance, M _r = T _s *(d _s -a/2) - C _{c1} *(h _f /2-a/2) (C8.8.4.1)

Limit for Min. & Max. Reinforcement Ratios

M _r (min.)	157744097	N-mm	Min. Reinforcement: Factored M _r is at least 1.2*M _{cr} . M _{cr} = f _{cr} *I/y (CHBDC 8.8.4.3)
I	18000000000	mm ⁴	Moment of inertia
y	300.00	mm	Distance to neutral axis
f _{cr}	2.19	Mpa	Cracking strength for normal-density concrete 0.4*√f' _c
M _{cr}	131453413.80	N-mm	Cracking moment
c/d (max.)	0.04		Max. Reinforcement: c/d not exceeding 0.5, (CHBDC 8.8.4.5)





PROJECT	The Ottawa Hospital New Campus Development - Phase 4 Retaining Wall Calculation	SHEET	
PROJECT No.	CA0027758.0-51	DATE	09-Mar-26
SUBJECT	Retaining Wall #14 - wall stem / footing shear calculations	DESIGNER	Hui Liu
		CHECKER	Felix Wasiewicz

Wall stem/footing shear capacity calculations

	Rmark	Symbols	Data	Unit	Notes
Section		h	600	mm	Overall thickness
		d	492	mm	Effective depth (distance from extrem compression fibre to centroid of tensile force)
		d_v	442.8	mm	0.72h - taken as the greater; 0.9d - taken as the greater
		b_v	1000	mm	Effective web width, taken as minimum web width whin the depth d_v
Vc		ϕ_c	0.75		Resistance factor for concrete
		f'_c	30	Mpa	Concrete strength
		f_{cr}	2.1908902	Mpa	Not be greater than 3.2MPa; $0.4\sqrt{f'_c}$ - normal-density concrete
		β	0.18		$230/(1000+d_v)$ without transverse reinforcement, but having max. size of coarse aggregate not less than 20mm (CHBDC 8.9.3.6)
		V_c	327417.59	N	$V_c = 2.5*\beta*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v$, (CHBDC 8.9.3.4)
Vs		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.9		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	100	mm	Spacing of stirups
		V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s*f_y*A_v*d_v*\cot\theta/s$ (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)
Vs - with inclination		θ	42	°	$\theta = 42^\circ$, non-prestressed, not subjected to axial tension, $f_y \leq 400\text{MPa}$, $f'_c \leq 60\text{MPa}$; Angle of inclination of the principal diagonal compressive stresses to the longitudinal axis of beam
		Radians	0.7330383	rad	Convert Dgrees to Radians
		ϕ_s	0.95		Resistance factor for rebars
		f_y	400	Mpa	Specified yield strength of rebars
		A_v	0	mm ²	Area of transverse shear reinforcement perpendicular to the axis of beam within a distance of s
		$\cot\theta$	1.1106125		$\cos\theta/\sin\theta$
		s	200	mm	Spacing of stirups
		α	45	°	Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis
		Radians	0.7853982	rad	Convert Degrees to Radians
		$\cot\alpha$	1		$\cos\alpha/\sin\alpha$
		$\sin\alpha$	0.7071068		
	V_s	0	N	$V_s = \phi_s*f_y*A_v*d_v*(\cot\theta + \cot\alpha)*\sin\alpha/s$ Transverse reinforcement inclined at an angle to the longitudinal axis, and in the direction that will intersec diagonal cracks caused by the shear (CHBDC 8.9.3.5)	
Vr	Automatic	Limit of ($V_c + V_s$)	2490750	N	$V_c + V_s$ shall not exceed $0.25*\phi_c*f'_c*b_v*d_v$
	$\Phi_p = 0.95$	V_p	0	N	Component in the direction of the applied shear of all of the effective prestressing forces crossing the critical section factored by ϕ_p (taken as positive if resisting the applied shear)
	Total	V_r	327.42	kN	$V_r = V_c + V_s + V_p$

Min. Av, Vf limit requiring transverse reinforcement

	V (limit)	145519	N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v + 0.5\phi_p*V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25 T_{cr}$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.2)
	$0.25T_{cr}$		N	Regions requiring transverse reinforcement. Vf is greater than $(0.2*\phi_c*f_{cr}*b_v*d_v + 0.5\phi_p*V_p)$ and Tf is greater than $0.25*T_{cr}$, $T_{cr} = 0.8*\phi_c*f_{cr}*(A_{cp}/P_c)*[1 + f_{ce}/(0.8*\phi_c*f_{cr})]0.5$ (CHBDC 8.9.1.1)
	$A_v(\text{min.})$	82	mm ²	Min. amount of transverse reinforcement: Av is not less than $0.15*f_{cr}(b_v*s/f_y)$