



**Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis  
Proposed Residential Development  
37 Wildpine Court  
Ottawa, Ontario**

**Client:**

Wildpine Trails Inc.  
Attn.: Carmine Zayoun/Raad Akrawi  
52 Kilspindie Ridge  
Ottawa, ON. K2J 5M8

**Type of Document:**

**Updated Final**

**Project Number:**

OTT-00263154-A0

**Prepared By:**

Ismail Taki, M.Eng., P.Eng.  
Senior Manager, Eastern Region  
Earth and Environment

**Date Submitted:**

March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)

## Executive Summary

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the updated geotechnical investigation and slope stability analysis for the proposed residential development at the property registered by the street address of 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario (Figure 1). Terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP Services Inc. (EXP) proposal number: P90800GM dated October 21, 2020. It was authorized by Wildpine Trails Inc. (the client) on November 11, 2020. The update is required due to change in the number of basements of the proposed four-storey, 94-unit apartment building from one level of underground parking to two levels of underground parking.

The proposed new development as per Site Plan prepared by PMA Architects under their project 21010 dated March 12, 2026 would comprise of a four (4) storey, 94-unit apartment building with two levels of underground parking and one semi-detached residential building. The underside of footing of the proposed apartment building will be set at Elevation 113.445 m. The underside of footing of the semi-detached building will be set at Elevation 116.6 m. The finished floor of the apartment building will be set at 119.45 m and that of the semi-detached building will be set at Elevation 119.95 m. Underground services, access roads and surface parking facilities will also be constructed as part of the proposed development.

The site is currently occupied by a residential dwelling, garage, and metal shed which was demolished/decommissioned to permit the construction of the proposed development. Part of Poole Creek and wetlands are present on the east property line and extend through the site to the northwest side of the development.

The fieldwork for the geotechnical investigation was completed on December 11 and 18, 2020 and May 5, 2021 and consists of eighteen (18) test holes (Borehole Nos. 2 to 4, Borehole Nos. 6 to 8, and Test Pit Nos. 1 to 7, 1A, 2A, 4A, 4B, and 4C) advanced to depths ranging between 1.1 m and 6.4 m below the existing ground surface.

The geotechnical investigation revealed the subsurface conditions at the site to generally comprise of silty sand with gravel fill extending to depths ranging from 0.9 m to 3.0 m (Elevation 113.3 m to Elevation 116.7 m), underlain by organic silty sand to sandy silt (peat-like) to depths ranging from 1.9 m to 4.1 m (Elevation 112.3 m to Elevation 114.8 m). The organic soils are underlain by sandy silt to depths of 5.1 m and 5.8 m (Elevation 110.6 m and Elevation 110.3 m), and by glacial till extending to termination depths/auger refusal depths of 2.0 m to 6.4 m (Elevation 115.2 m to Elevation 109.7 m).

Since the issuance of the original report in August 2021, periodic monitoring of the groundwater table in the piezometers/wells installed at the site were collected between the period of 2022 to 2025 with the latest reading taken on October 27, 2025. A review of the latest groundwater readings indicates that the groundwater table is at 2.4 m to 3.0 m depth (Elevation 114.01 m to 112.82 m).

For seismic design, a comparison of the borehole information with Table 4.1.8.4.-B of the 2024 Ontario Building Code (OBC) indicates the site classification is Class C and the site designation is Xc. The subsurface soils are not considered to be liquefiable during a seismic event.

Compressible clayey soils were not encountered at the site, therefore a grade raise of up to 3.0 m is considered acceptable from a geotechnical perspective.

The heterogenous fill and organic soils (peat) are not considered suitable for founding the proposed residential development or as subgrade for the roadways, hardscape and therefore must be removed and replaced with engineered fill as discussed in the main body of the report. In addition, following the demolishing of the existing

residential structures on-site and the removal of all fill/construction debris down to the surface of the undisturbed native soils, these excavations must also be backfilled with engineered fill.

Footings for the residential apartment building set at Elevation 113.445 m and those of the semi-detached building at Elevation 116.6 m may be supported by strip footings having a maximum width of 1.5 m and square pad footings having a maximum width and length of 3.0 m bearing on the compact sandy silt, compact to very dense glacial till, or well prepared engineered fill pad and designed for a bearing pressure at Serviceability Limit State (SLS) of 150 kPa and a factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of 200 kPa. The factored geotechnical resistance at ULS includes a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5. Settlements of footings designed for the above SLS bearing pressure are expected to be within the tolerable limits of 25 mm total and 19 mm differential. Loose sandy silt layers were contacted in the upper levels of the native soils and may require compaction or removal. Contractors should assume that removal of some of the loose soils may be required.

A minimum of 1.8 m of earth cover should be provided to the exterior foundations of heated structures to protect them from damage due to frost penetration. The frost cover should be increased to 2.1 m for unheated structures if snow will not be removed from their vicinity and to 2.4 m if snow will be removed from the vicinity of the structure.

The basement floors of the new buildings may be designed as a slab-on-grade set on a bed of clear stone placed on the compact native sandy silt or glacial till or on well compacted engineered fill placed on the sandy silt or glacial till.

Perimeter and underfloor drainage systems are required for the proposed buildings. The foundation for the apartment building should be waterproofed.

The subsurface basement walls of the new buildings should be backfilled with free draining material, such as OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II and equipped with a perimeter drainage system to prevent the buildup of hydrostatic pressure behind the walls. The expressions provided in the body of the report may be used to compute the lateral static and seismic earth pressures at the subsurface walls.

The excavations for the new buildings, access roads, and underground services are expected to extend to a maximum depth of 4.1 m below the existing ground surface. These excavations will extend through the fill, organic soils, and into the native sandy silt and glacial till and they are expected to be up to 2.0 m below the groundwater table. These excavations may be undertaken using conventional equipment and should be completed in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario, Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils at the site are considered to be Type 4 where organic soils are present and Type 3 where organic soils are not present. As per OHSA, the sidewalls of open cut excavations undertaken within Type 3 soil must be sloped back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation above the groundwater table. Below the groundwater table, the excavations sides are expected to slough and will eventually stabilize at a slope of 2H:1V to 3H:1V. The excavation sides must be cut back at a slope of 3H:1V from the bottom of the excavation to ground surface where organic soils are present.

In areas where the safe slopes cannot be achieved due to the neighboring buildings, such as the case at the southeast and southwest sides of site, support of the excavation sides using shoring may be required.

A pre-construction survey of buildings and infrastructure within the influence zone of the construction should be undertaken prior to start of construction activities including shoring installation activity.

Seepage of the surface and subsurface water into these excavations is anticipated. However, it should be possible to collect water entering the excavations at low points and to remove it by conventional pumping techniques. In

areas of high infiltration or in areas where more permeable soil layers may exist, a higher seepage rate should be anticipated. Therefore, the need for high-capacity pumps to keep the excavation dry should not be ignored.

It is anticipated that the majority of the material required for underfloor fill and backfilling purposes would have to be imported and should preferably conform to the specifications discussed in the body of the report.

Pavement structure thicknesses required for the new access road were computed and are provided in the body of the report.

For the purpose of establishing the limits of hazardous lands, a slope stability analysis comprising of four (4) cross-sections of the creek were selected and analyzed. A geotechnical set-back line ranging from 0 to 7.5 m was established.

In order to establish the limit of hazardous lands for the proposed development, two other factors in addition to the geotechnical set-back lines have to be taken into consideration. These are toe erosion allowance and erosion access allowance. A site visit was conducted on March 26, 2021 to examine the creek for evidence of any toe erosion. Photographs are presented in Appendix C. Two areas of active erosion of the creek banks have been identified as shown on Figure 2. RVCA allows the provision of toe protection in lieu of toe erosion allowance. It is understood that due to site restrictions, this option was selected by the client to address the observed areas of toe erosion. Therefore, erosion allowance has not been taken into consideration when establishing the limit of hazardous lands which include the geotechnical set-back established plus the access allowance of 6 m which varied from 0 to 13.5 m from the top of the slope bank as shown on Figure 2. EXP can provide further input on design and construction of the toe erosion protection during the detailed design.

Due to the new layout, a limited amount of current test holes is situated within the building envelope. Therefore, it is recommended and required that additional boreholes/test pits be completed within the building envelope to collect additional data on the subsurface condition, depth of fill, organic layers, etc.

The above and other related considerations are discussed in greater detail in the attached report.

## Table of Contents:

Executive Summary.....	i
<b>1. Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2. Site Description .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>3. Procedure.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>4. Subsurface Soil and Groundwater Conditions .....</b>	<b>5</b>
4.1 Topsoil .....	5
4.2 Pavement Structure .....	5
4.3 Fill .....	5
4.4 Organic Silty Sand to Sandy Silt (Peat-Like).....	6
4.5 Sandy Silt (ML).....	6
4.6 Glacial Till.....	7
4.7 Groundwater Level.....	7
<b>5. Seismic Site Classification and Liquefaction Potential of On-Site Soils.....</b>	<b>10</b>
5.1 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response.....	10
5.2 Liquefaction Potential of Soils.....	10
<b>6. Grade Raise Restrictions and Site Grading .....</b>	<b>11</b>
<b>7. Foundation Considerations .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>8. Slab-on-Grade Construction .....</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>9. Building Flooding Considerations .....</b>	<b>14</b>
<b>10. Impact of Site Dewatering on Bioswales .....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>11. Lateral Earth Pressure to Subsurface Walls .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>12. Excavation and De-Watering Requirements .....</b>	<b>17</b>
12.1 Excess Soil Management .....	17
12.2 Excavations.....	17
12.3 De-Watering Requirements and Impact on Surrounding Structures and Infrastructure .....	18

**13. Pipe Bedding Requirements ..... 19**

**14. Backfilling Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes ..... 20**

**15. Subsurface Concrete and Steel Requirements ..... 21**

**16. Tree Planting Restriction ..... 22**

**17. Pavement Structure ..... 23**

**18. Disposal of Site Drainage Water ..... 25**

**19. Slope Stability Analysis and Limits of Hazardous Lands ..... 26**

    19.1 Slope Stability Analysis ..... 26

    19.2 Limits of Hazardous Lands ..... 27

**20. Infiltration Rate ..... 29**

**21. General Comments ..... 30**

## Appendices:

- Appendix A: Laboratory Certificate of Analysis
- Appendix B: Toe Erosion Photographs
- Appendix C: Legal Notification

## Figures:

- Figure 1 – Site Location Plan
- Figure 2 – Borehole Location Plan
- Figures 3 to 15– Borehole Logs
- Figures 16 to 22 – Grain Size Distribution Curves
- Figure 22a – Cross Sections of Bioswales and Proposed Building
- Figure 22b – Post Development Drainage Area Plan
- Figures 23a to 23c, 24a to 24c, 25a to 25c, and 26a to 26c – Slope Stability Analysis
- Figure 27 – Areas of Active Erosion Along Poole Creek Banks

## List of Tables:

Table I: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Fill Samples .....	6
Table II: Summary of Grain-size Analysis and Organic Content Results – Organic Silty Sand to Sandy Silt Samples.....	6
Table III: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Sandy Silt Samples .....	7
Table IV: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Glacial Till Sample.....	7
Table V: Summary of Groundwater (GWT) Depths and Elevations Measurements.....	8
Table VI: Determination of Clearance Between Perimeter Drains and Bioswales .....	15
Table VII: Chemical Test Results on Soil Sample .....	21
Table VIII: Recommended Pavement Structure Thickness .....	23
Table IX: Engineering Properties of Soils for Slope Stability Analysis .....	26
Table X: Results of Slope Stability Analysis and Geotechnical Setback .....	27
Table XI: Summary of Percolation Time and Infiltration Rate of Soils .....	29

## 1. Introduction

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the updated geotechnical investigation and slope stability analysis for the proposed residential development at the property registered by the street address of 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario (Figure 1). Terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP Services Inc. (EXP) proposal number: P90800GM dated October 21, 2020. It was authorized by Wildpine Trails Inc. (the client) on November 11, 2020. Since that time, a number of reports were issued due to design changes. The present report updates the last report dated February 26, 2026. This update was necessary as the number of basements has been increased from one to two. This report supersedes all previous reports issued.

The proposed new development as per the Site Plan prepared by PMA Architects under their project 21010 dated February 12, 2026 would comprise of a four (4) storey, 94-unit apartment building with two levels of underground parking and one semi-detached residential building.

The finished floor slab of the apartment building will be set at Elevation 119.45 m. The first basement floor slab will be located at Elevation 116.275 m whereas the second basement floor slab will be set at Elevation 113.945 m. The footings of the structure will be located at Elevation 113.445 m. The foundation slab of the elevator pit will be set at Elevation 112.445 m approximately. The floor slab of the semi-detached building will be set at Elevation 119.95 m and its footing will be set at Elevation 116.6 m. Underground services, access roads, and surface parking facilities will also be constructed as part of the design.

The site is currently occupied by a residential dwelling, garage, and a metal shed which was demolished to permit the construction of the proposed development. A tributary of Poole Creek is situated along the eastern boundary of the site and extends to the northwest side.

This geotechnical investigation was undertaken to:

- a) Establish the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions at locations of test holes drilled at the site;
- b) Provide classification of the site for seismic design in accordance with requirements of the 20242 Ontario Building Code (OBC)
- c) Discuss grade raise restrictions;
- d) Provide the bearing pressure at Serviceability Limit State (SLS) and factored geotechnical resistance at Ultimate Limit State (ULS) of the most suitable type of foundation for the new buildings, as well as anticipated total and differential settlements;
- e) Comment on slab-on-grade construction and permanent drainage requirements;
- f) Discuss lateral earth pressure against subsurface walls;
- g) Discuss excavation conditions and dewatering requirements during construction of the foundations for the new buildings;
- h) Provide pipe bedding requirements for the new underground services;
- i) Comment on backfilling requirements and suitability of the on-site soils for backfilling purposes;
- j) Comment on subsurface concrete requirements and the corrosion potential of subsurface soils to buried metal structures/members;

- k) Recommend pavement structure thickness for the new access roads;
- l) Establish the limits of hazardous lands from for the proposed development; and,
- m) Provide percolation time (T, minutes/cm) for preselected locations and depths.

The comments and recommendations given in this report are preliminary and subject to change as they are based on limited geotechnical information. It is understood that an additional geotechnical investigation will be undertaken prior to the commencement of construction and any modifications if necessary made.

## **2. Site Description**

The site is located at the dead end of Wildpine Court and is occupied by an abandoned one-storey single family house, a detached garage, and a storage shed, all located at the southwest corner of the property. The remainder of the property consisted of forested wood area and low-lying wetland area. Surrounding properties consist of residential and commercial properties to the north, west, and south. Part of Poole Creek and wetlands are present on the east property line and extend through the site to the northwest side of the development.

The site is gently undulating downward towards the creek with ground surface elevations ranging between 115.67 m and 117.88 m at the test hole locations.

It is understood that the site was recently cleared of trees.

### 3. Procedure

The fieldwork for the geotechnical investigation was completed on December 11 and 18, 2020 and May 5, 2021 and consists of eighteen (18) test holes (Borehole Nos. 2 to 4, Borehole Nos. 6 to 8, and Test Pit Nos. 1 to 7, 1A, 2A, 4A, 4B, and 4C). The test holes were advanced to depths ranging between 1.1 m and 6.4 m below the existing ground surface. The boreholes were drilled using a track-mounted drill rig whereas the test pits were excavated using an excavator. The fieldwork was supervised on a full-time basis by a representative from EXP.

The locations of the boreholes were established on site by EXP. The geodetic ground surface elevation at the locations of Borehole Nos. 2 to 4, Borehole Nos. 6 to 8, and Test Pit Nos. 1 to 7 was surveyed by EXP. The geodetic ground surface elevation at the locations of Test Pit Nos. 1A, 2A, 4A, 4B, and 4C was estimated from the spot elevations shown on the topographic survey plan dated January 7, 2021 and prepared by Annis, O’Sullivan, Vollebakk Ltd. Therefore, the elevations presented on these test holes should be considered approximate. The test hole locations are shown in Figure 2.

Prior to the fieldwork, the locations of the test holes were cleared of any public and private underground services. Standard penetration tests (SPTs) were performed in the boreholes at approximately 0.75 m depth intervals and the soil samples were retrieved by the split-barrel sampler. Grab samples were collected from selected depths from the test pits.

A 19 mm diameter standpipe with slotted section was installed in each of Borehole Nos. 2, 4, 6, and 8 for long-term monitoring of the groundwater table at the site. The standpipes were installed in accordance with EXP standard practice and the installation configuration is documented on the respective borehole logs. The test holes were backfilled upon completion of drilling, installation of the standpipes, or upon the completion of excavation.

All soil samples were visually examined in the field for textural classification, logged, preserved in plastic bags and identified. On completion of the fieldwork, all the soil samples were transported to the EXP laboratory in the City of Ottawa, Ontario, where they were visually examined by a geotechnical engineer, and borehole logs were prepared. The engineer also assigned the laboratory testing which consisted of performing the following tests on soil samples:

Natural Moisture Content.....	60 Tests
Grain Size Analysis.....	7 Tests
Chemical Analysis (pH, sulphate, chloride and resistivity) .....	2 Tests
Organic Content.....	2 Tests

## 4. Subsurface Soil and Groundwater Conditions

A detailed description of the geotechnical conditions encountered in the test holes is given on the test hole logs, Figures 3 to 15, 4A, 5A, 10A, 12A, and 15A. The test hole logs and related information depict subsurface conditions only at the specific locations and times indicated. Subsurface conditions and water levels at other locations may differ from conditions at the locations where sampling was conducted. The passage of time may also result in changes in the conditions interpreted to exist at the locations where sampling was conducted.

The test holes were drilled or excavated to provide representation of subsurface conditions as part of a geotechnical exploration program and are not intended to provide evidence of environmental conditions.

It should be noted that the soil boundaries indicated on the test hole logs are intended to reflect approximate transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design and should not be interpreted as exact planes of geological change. The “Notes on Sample Descriptions” preceding the test hole logs forms an integral part of this report and should be read in conjunction with this report.

A review of the test hole logs indicates the following subsurface soil conditions with depth and groundwater level measurements.

### 4.1 Topsoil

A 100 mm to 300 mm thick topsoil was contacted at ground surface in all test holes except Borehole Nos. 3 and 4 and Test Pit No. 7.

### 4.2 Pavement Structure

A 60 mm thick asphalt pavement underlain by 540 mm thick silty sand with gravel granular fill was contacted at ground surface in Borehole No. 3.

### 4.3 Fill

Silty sand with gravel fill was contacted at ground surface in Borehole No. 4 and Test Pit No. 7 and beneath the topsoil and pavement structure in all other test holes. The fill extends to termination depths of 1.1 to 2.4 m (Elevation 115.6 m and Elevation 115.4 m) in Test Pit No. 3, 4B, and 4C and to depths ranging from 0.9 m to 3.0 m below existing grade (Elevation 113.3 m to Elevation 116.7 m) in all other test holes. It is generally organic and contains cobbles and boulders, topsoil, and tree roots in all test holes and construction debris such as concrete, asphalt, and brick pieces and insulation in Test Pit Nos. 1, 1A, 2, 2A, 4C, 6, and 7. The fill is in a very loose to very dense state and has a natural moisture content ranging from 3.3 to 33.2 percent. The fill has a moisture content of 334.0 percent in Test Pit No. 1 indicating a high organic content.

Grain size analysis was conducted on two (2) samples of the fill and the grain size distribution curves are shown in Figure Nos. 16 and 17 and results summarized in Table I.

Table I: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Fill Samples						
Borehole No. – Sample No.	Depth (m)	Grain-size Analysis (%)				Soil Classification (USCS)
		Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	
BH-2 – SS2	0.8 – 1.4	15	43	41	1	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)
BH-7 – SS2	0.8 – 1.4	15	46	29	10	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)

Based on the results of the grain size analysis, the fill may be classified as a silty sand with gravel (SM) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

#### 4.4 Organic Silty Sand to Sandy Silt (Peat-Like)

The fill in Borehole Nos. 2, 3, 7, and 8 and in Test Pit Nos. 1A, 1, 2, 4, 6, and 7 was underlain by 0.3 m to 1.9 m thick layer of organic silty sand to sandy silt peat-like deposit containing decayed wood and topsoil. This deposit extends to depths ranging from 1.9 m to 4.1 m below existing grade (Elevation 112.3 m to Elevation 114.8 m). This deposit extends to the test pit termination depth at 2.5 m (Elevation 113.6 m) in Test Pit No. 1A. It is in a very loose to loose state and has a natural moisture content ranging from 13.7 percent to 240.5 percent.

Grain size analysis and organic content were conducted on two (2) samples from this deposit and the grain size distribution curves are shown in Figure Nos. 18 and Figure 19 and summarized in Table II.

Table II: Summary of Grain-size Analysis and Organic Content Results – Organic Silty Sand to Sandy Silt Samples								
Borehole No. – Sample No.	Depth (m)	Moisture Content (%)	Organic Content (%)	Grain-size Analysis (%)				Soil Classification (USCS)
				Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	
BH-2 – SS4	2.3 – 2.9	89.4	14.4	0	59	34	7	Organic Silty Sand (SM)
BH-3 – SS5	3.0 – 3.6	174.1	27.7	0	36	58	6	Organic Sandy Silt (ML)

Based on the results of the grain size analysis, the soil may be classified as organic silty sand to sandy silt (SM to ML) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

#### 4.5 Sandy Silt (ML)

The organic silty sand to sandy silt peat-like deposit in Borehole Nos. 2, 3, 7, and 8 and in Test Pit Nos. 1, 2, and 6, and the fill in Test Pit No. 2A is underlain by sandy silt with trace to some gravel which extends to depths of 5.1 m and 5.8 m (Elevation 110.6 m and Elevation 110.3 m) in Borehole Nos. 2 and 7, to termination depth at 5.2 m (Elevation 111.4 m) in Borehole No. 3, to auger refusal at 5.9 m depth (Elevation 109.9 m) in Borehole No. 8, and to termination depths ranging from 2.0 m to 4.0 m (Elevation 114.0 m to Elevation 111.9 m) in Test Pit Nos. 1, 2, 2A,

and 6. It is in a compact state as indicated by the SPT N-values of 12 to 25 and has a natural moisture content ranging from 11.5 to 41.0 percent. Grain size analysis was conducted on two (2) samples of the sandy silt and the grain size distribution curves are shown in Figure 20 and Figure 21 and the test results are summarized in Table III.

Table III: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Sandy Silt Samples						
Borehole No. – Sample No.	Depth (m)	Grain-size Analysis (%)				Soil Classification (USCS)
		Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	
BH-3 – SS7	4.6 – 5.2	1	26	68	5	Sandy Silt (ML)
BH-8 – SS6	3.8 – 4.4	0	36	59	5	Sandy Silt (ML)

Based on the results of the grain size analysis, the soil may be classified as a sandy silt (ML) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

#### 4.6 Glacial Till

The fill in Borehole Nos. 4 and 6 and Test Pit Nos. 4A and 5, the organic silty sand to sandy silt in Test Pit Nos. 4 and 7, and the sandy silt in Borehole No. 2 are underlain by silty sand with gravel glacial till. The glacial till contains numerous cobbles and boulders and extends to termination depths at 4.2 m to 5.2 m (Elevation 112.5 m to Elevation 110.5 m) in Borehole Nos. 2, 4, and 6, to auger refusal at 6.4 m depth (Elevation 109.7 m) in Borehole No. 7, and to termination depths at 2.0 m to 3.0 m (Elevation 115.2 m to Elevation 113.2 m) in Test Pit Nos. 4A, 4, 5, and 7. The till is in a compact to very dense state and has a natural moisture content ranging from 3.7 to 19.7 percent.

Grain size analysis was conducted on one (1) sample of the glacial till and the grain size distribution curve is shown in Figure 22. The test results are summarized in Table IV.

Table IV: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Glacial Till Sample					
Borehole No. – Sample No.	Depth (m)	Grain-size Analysis (%)			Soil Classification (USCS)
		Gravel	Sand	Silt and Clay	
BH-4 – SS3	1.5 – 2.1	39	48	13	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)

Based on the results of the grain size analysis, the glacial till may be classified as silty sand with gravel (SM) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

#### 4.7 Groundwater Level

Groundwater levels were recorded in the test pits and boreholes upon completion of excavation (un-stabilized) and in standpipes installed in selected boreholes (stabilized). In addition, periodic readings have been collected during the period between 2021 and 2025 with the latest reading taken on October 27 2025. A selective representative summary of the groundwater depths and elevation measurements taken to date, is shown in Table V.

Table V: Summary of Groundwater (GWT) Depths and Elevations Measurements			
Borehole No.	GS Elevation (m)	Depth (Elevation) of GWT Level (m)	Measurement Date
BH-2	115.70	2.4 (113.30)	January 5, 2021
		2.4 (113.30)	May 7, 2021
		2.25 (113.45)	March 30, 2022
		2.29 (113.41)	February 15, 2023
		3.16 (113.41)	March 30, 2023
		2.35 (113.35)	May 30, 2023
		2.36 (113.34)	July 27, 2023
		2.36 (113.34)	October 13, 2023
		2.20 (113.50)	December 19, 2023
		2.29 (113.41)	March 19, 2024
		2.19 (113.51)	July 17, 2024
		2.51 (113.19)	October 30, 2024
		2.49 (113.21)	January 14, 2025
		2.01(113.69)	April 4, 2025
		2.50 (113.20)	July 8, 2025
		2.79 (112.92)	September 30, 2025
2.58 (113.12)	October 27, 2025		
BH-4	116.72	2.7 (114.02)	January 5, 2021
		2.7 (114.02)	May 7, 2021
		Destroyed	March 30, 2023
BH-6	116.19	2.3 (113.89)	January 5, 2021
		2.3 (113.89)	May 7, 2021
		2.02 (114.17)	March 30, 2022
		2.17 (114.02)	February 15, 2023
		1.95 (114.24)	March 30, 2023
		2.19 (114.00)	May 30, 2023
		2.18 (114.01)	July 27, 2023
		Destroyed	October 13, 2023

Table V: Summary of Groundwater (GWT) Depths and Elevations Measurements			
Borehole No.	GS Elevation (m)	Depth (Elevation) of GWT Level (m)	Measurement Date
BH-8	115.79	2.9 (112.89)	January 5, 2021
		2.8 (112.99)	May 7, 2021
		2.71 (113.08)	March 30, 2022
		2.88 (112.91)	February 15, 2023
		2.71 (113.08)	March 30, 2023
		3.03 (112.76)	May 30, 2023
		3.04 (112.75)	July 27, 2023
		2.97 (112.82)	October 13, 2023
		2.68 (113.11)	December 19, 2023
		2.75 (113.04)	March 19, 2024
		2.73 (113.06)	July 17, 2024
		3.15 (112.64)	October 30, 2024
		2.97 (112.82)	January 14, 2025
		2.48 (113.31)	April 4, 2025
		2.59 (113.20)	July 8, 2025
		3.48 (112.31)	September 30, 2025
		3.24 (112.55)	October 27, 2025

The groundwater table is subject to seasonal fluctuations. It is not expected to be appreciably higher than established by the investigation as the monitoring was undertaken for a period of 3 years approximately.

Groundwater levels were determined in the boreholes at the times and under the conditions stated in the scope of services. Note that fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to a seasonal variation such as precipitation, snowmelt, rainfall activities, and other factors not evident at the time of measurement and therefore may be at a somewhat higher level during wet weather periods.

## **5. Seismic Site Classification and Liquefaction Potential of On-Site Soils**

The investigation has revealed the subsurface conditions comprise of fill, organic silty sand and sandy silt (peat like) underlain by silty sand glacial till. The fill and organic soils are not suitable for founding purposes and must be removed to the surface of the native soils and replaced with engineered fill as described in Sections 6 and 7 of the report.

### **5.1 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response**

Provided that the footings are placed on compact to very dense sand to sand and gravel or glacial till (native soils) or on engineered fill placed on compact to very dense native soils, then Table 4.1.8.4.-B of the 2024 Ontario Building Code (OBC) indicates that the site classification for seismic response is estimated to be Class C and the site designation is Xc.

Consideration may be given to undertaking a shear wave velocity survey to possibly lower the seismic site classification.

### **5.2 Liquefaction Potential of Soils**

The subsurface soils are not susceptible to liquefaction during a seismic event.

## 6. Grade Raise Restrictions and Site Grading

The investigation has revealed the site is generally underlain by fill, organic silt, sandy silt, and glacial till.

It is anticipated that a grade raise ranging between 1 and 3 m will be required. This grade raise is considered acceptable from a geotechnical point of view provided any loose or compressible materials are removed from the envelope of the proposed building and the excavation backfilled with engineered fill as specified in this report.

Site grading within the **proposed building footprint area**, should consist of the removal of all existing fill and organic silt soils down to the native undisturbed soil. The native soil subgrade should be examined by a geotechnician. Any loose/soft areas identified during the subgrade examination should be excavated, removed and replaced with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II material compacted to 100 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD). Once approved, the grades can be raised to the underside of footings using OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II material placed in 300 mm lift and each lift compacted to 100 % of the SPMDD.

Site grading within the **proposed areas to be paved (i.e. parking lot, access roads) and areas to be hardscaped (sidewalks, walkways)** should also consist of the removal of all existing fill and organic peat soils down to the native undisturbed material. The native subgrade should be proofrolled in the presence of a geotechnician. Any loose/soft areas identified during the proofrolling process should be excavated, removed and replaced to subgrade level with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II or OPSS Select Subgrade Material (SSM) compacted to 98 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

A grading plan prepared by Novatech under their project 125077 and dated November 5, 2025 was reviewed. The review indicates that the proposed grades at the site are in general conformance with the recommendation of the geotechnical report.

## 7. Foundation Considerations

The underside of footings of the proposed apartment building will be set at Elevation 113.445 m. The underside of the footings of the semi-detached building will be set at Elevation 116.6 m. The finished floor of the apartment building will be set at Elevation 119.45 m and that of the semi-detached building will be at Elevation 119.95 m.

The investigation has revealed that the subsurface condition comprises of fill, organic silty sand to sandy silt, sandy silt which is loose in the upper levels and glacial till. The fill and organic soils which extend to a considerable depth are not suitable founding material and must be removed to the surface of the undisturbed sandy silt/glacial till and replaced with engineered fill. Similarly, fill materials and construction debris in the areas of the existing structures must be removed to the surface of the undisturbed sandy silt/glacial till and replaced with engineered fill. It is noted that the upper layers of the native soils were loose and may require removal or compaction prior to the placement of the engineered fill. This requirement will be best established during the excavation process. Contractors should assume that removal up to the surface of the glacial till will likely be required within the envelope of the proposed building.

Following approval of the subgrade by a geotechnician, OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II should be placed in 300 mm lifts and each lift compacted to 100 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD) in accordance with ASTM D-698-12e2. In-Place density tests must be conducted on each lift to ensure that the specified degree of compaction has been achieved. (Figure 22a). Along the perimeter of the building, the engineered fill pad must extend 0.6 m beyond the edge of the footings and thereafter sloped down at a gradient of 1H:1V.

Footings for the residential apartment building set at Elevation 113.445 m and for the semi-detached building at Elevation 116.6 m, may be supported by strip footings having a maximum width of 1.5 m and square pad footings having a maximum width and length of 3.0 m bearing on the compact sandy silt, compact to very dense glacial till, or well-prepared engineered fill pad. These footings may be designed for a bearing pressure at serviceability limit state (SLS) of 150 kPa and a factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of 200 kPa. The factored geotechnical resistance at ULS includes a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5. Settlements of footings designed for the above SLS bearing pressure are expected to be within the tolerable limits of 25 mm total and 19 mm differential.

A minimum of 1.8 m of earth cover should be provided to the exterior foundations of heated basementless structures to protect them from damage due to frost penetration. The frost cover should be increased to 2.1 m for unheated structures if snow will not be removed from their vicinity and to 2.4 m if snow will be removed from the vicinity of the structure. When earth cover is less than the minimum required, an equivalent thermal combination of earth cover and rigid insulation or rigid insulation alone should be provided. EXP can provide additional comments in this regard, if required.

The founding surfaces should be reviewed and approved by a geotechnician prior to placement of concrete and or placement and compaction of the engineered fill.

The recommended bearing pressure at SLS and factored geotechnical resistances at ULS have been calculated by EXP from the borehole information for the design stage only. The investigation and comments are necessarily on-going as new information if underground conditions becomes available. For example, more specific information is available with respect to conditions between boreholes when foundation construction is underway. The interpretation between boreholes and the recommendations of this report must therefore be checked through field monitoring provided by an experienced geotechnical engineer to validate the information for use during the construction stage.

## **8. Slab-on-Grade Construction**

The basement floors of the new buildings may be designed as a slab-on-grade set on a bed of clear stone placed on the native sandy silt, glacial till, or well compacted engineered fill set on the compact sandy silt or glacial till prepared as described in Sections 6 and 7 of this report. Any loose sandy silt encountered at the basement level must be compacted or removed and replaced with engineered fill.

Perimeter and underfloor drainage systems are recommended for the proposed building. For general guidance, the drainage systems may consist of 150 mm diameter perforated pipes wrapped with filter cloth (sock) and set on the perimeter footings or at least 300 mm below the underside of the floor slab placed in parallel rows at 6 m centres. The perforated pipes should be surrounded with 150 mm of clear stone. The perimeter and underfloor drainage systems should be connected to separate sumps so that at least one system would be operational should the other fail.

The ground floor of the new buildings should be at least 150 mm above the finished exterior grade. The finished exterior grade should be sloped away from the buildings to prevent ponding of surface water close to the exterior walls.

## **9. Building Flooding Considerations**

The groundwater table at the site in Boreholes 2, 6 and 8 where long-term groundwater readings were taken for approximately 1036 days varied from Elevation 113.08 m to 114.17 m. The proposed finished floor elevation of the basement floor slab is Elevation 113.495 m. Therefore, the groundwater table is located approximately 0.42 m depth below the basement floor slab level to 0.45 m above it. Since the groundwater monitoring was undertaken for a long time, the readings likely represent high groundwater table at the site.

In Section 8 of the report, it has been recommended that perimeter and underfloor drainage system should be provided. The drainage from these systems is to be discharged to the west sanitary/stormsewer. It has been recommended that the perimeter and underfloor drains should be connected separately to the sewer. This is to ensure that at least one of the systems will be functional should the other one get blocked. It is therefore considered that the proposed drainage system will maintain the groundwater table below the underside of the floor slab. Therefore, in our opinion, danger of the basement flooding is low.

## 10. Impact of Site Dewatering on Bioswales

The high groundwater elevation in the borehole located closest to each bioswale, elevation of the underside of the footings and the estimated invert of the perimeter drains have been summarized in the table below.

Table VI: Determination of Clearance Between Perimeter Drains and Bioswales		
Groundwater Elevation (m)	West Swale 113.45 (BH2)	East Swale 113.08 (BH8)
Founding level elevation (m)	113.445	113.445
Estimated perimeter drain Invert elevation	113.945	113.945
Elevation of biosphere base	115.0	115.0
Elevation of high groundwater table	113.69	113.31
Clearance between high groundwater table of bioswale base (m)	1.31	1.69
Clearance between perimeter drain invert and bioswale base (m)	1.055	1.055

As the above table indicates, the clearance between the base of the west retention bioswale and the groundwater table is 1.31 m. This clearance is 1.69 m for the east retention bioswale.

The west retention bioswale is located approximately 5.5 m from the building with its invert and Elevation 115.0 m. It is underlain by fill to Elevation 114.2 m and organic silt to Elevation 112.3 m. The water from the bioswale will seep into the underlying fill which consists of silty sand with gravel and the organic silty sand to sandy silt to the groundwater table.

The perimeter drains around the building will pick up the water from the free draining backfill adjacent to the basement walls at Elevation 113.945 m and carry it to the storm sewer. Consequently, there is no potential for the two to come into contact. It is there for considered that the water from the subsurface drains will have no impact on the retention bioswale and vice-versa.

The east retention bioswale is located 4.3 m from the building. In this case the water from the retention bioswale will be discharged through a 7.2 m long, 250 mm diameter pipe and a ditch to Pool Creek. The water that is picked up by the perimeter drains will be discharged to the storm sewer. Therefore, there will be no impact on the retention bioswale in this case also.

## 11. Lateral Earth Pressure to Subsurface Walls

The subsurface basement walls of the new buildings should be backfilled with free draining material, such as OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II and equipped with a perimeter drainage system to prevent the buildup of hydrostatic pressure behind the walls. The walls will be subjected to lateral static and dynamic (seismic) earth forces. The expressions below assume free draining backfill material, a perimeter drainage system, level backfill surface behind the wall and vertical face on the back side of the wall.

For design purposes, the lateral static earth thrust against the subsurface walls may be computed from the following equation:

$$P = K_0 h \left( \frac{1}{2} \gamma h + q \right)$$

where  $P$  = lateral earth thrust acting on the subsurface wall; kN/m

$K_0$  = lateral earth pressure coefficient for 'at rest' condition for Granular B Type II backfill material = 0.50

$\gamma$  = unit weight of free draining granular backfill; Granular B Type II = 22 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

$h$  = depth of point of interest below top of backfill, m

$q$  = surcharge load stress, kPa

The lateral seismic thrust may be computed from the equation given below:

$$\Delta_{pe} = \gamma H^2 \frac{a_h}{g} F_b$$

where  $\Delta_{pe}$  = dynamic thrust in kN/m of wall

$H$  = height of wall, m

$\gamma$  = unit weight of backfill material = 22 kN/m<sup>3</sup>

$\frac{a_h}{g}$  = seismic coefficient = 0.32

$F_b$  = thrust factor = 1.0

The dynamic thrust does not take into account the surcharge load. The resultant force acts approximately at 0.63H above the base of the wall.

All subsurface walls should be properly waterproofed.

## 12. Excavation and De-Watering Requirements

### 12.1 Excess Soil Management

A new Ontario Regulation 406/19 made under the Environmental Protection Act (November 28, 2019) has been implemented as of January 1, 2021. The new regulation dictates the testing protocol that is required for the management and disposal of excess soils. As set forth in the regulation, specific analytical testing protocols need to be implemented and followed based on the volume of soil to be managed. The testing protocols are specific as to whether the soils are stockpiled or in situ. In either scenario, the testing protocols are far more onerous than have been historically carried out as part of standard industry practices. These decisions should be factored in and accounted for prior to the initiation of the project-defined scope of work. EXP would be pleased to assist with the implementation of a soil management and testing program that would satisfy the requirements of Ontario Regulation 406/19.

Reference is made to the Phase II ESA report completed by EXP on October 10, 2021.

### 12.2 Excavations

Excavations for the new buildings, access roads, and underground services including the removal of the fill materials and organic soils will extend to a maximum depth of 4.1 m below the existing ground surface and are expected to be up to 2.0 m below the groundwater level to allow the placement of the engineered fill.

Excavations through the overburden material (fill and glacial till) may be undertaken using conventional equipment capable of removing construction debris, cobbles, and boulders. All excavation work should be completed in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario, Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils at the site are considered to be Type 4 where organic soils are present and Type 3 where organic soils are not present. As per OHSA, the sidewalls of open cut excavations undertaken within Type 3 soil must be sloped back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation above the groundwater table. Below the groundwater table, the excavations sides are expected to slough and will eventually stabilize at a slope of 2H:1V to 3H:1V. The excavation sides must be cut back at a slope of 3H:1V from the bottom of the excavation to ground surface where organic soils are present.

In areas where the safe slopes cannot be achieved due to space restrictions, supporting of the excavation sides using shoring may be required. The need for a shoring system, the most appropriate type of shoring system and the design and installation of the shoring system should be determined by the contractors bidding on this project. The design of the shoring system should be undertaken by a professional engineer experienced in shoring design and the installation of the shoring system should be undertaken by a contractor experienced in the installation of shoring systems. The shoring system should be designed and installed in accordance with latest edition of Ontario Regulation 213/91 under the OHSA and the 2023 Fifth Edition of the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM). It must be noted that OHSA does not allow the use of prefabricated shoring system in Type 4 soil.

The shoring system as well as adjacent settlement sensitive structures (buildings) and infrastructure should be monitored for movement (deflection) on a periodic basis during construction operations.

A pre-construction survey of buildings and infrastructure within the influence zone of the construction should be undertaken prior to the start of construction activities including shoring installation activity.

It is recommended that vibration monitoring be conducted at the site and at adjacent existing buildings and infrastructure during the installation of the shoring system and during construction of the new building to ensure the existing structures and infrastructure are not damaged as a result of the construction activities and shoring installation.

Many geologic materials deteriorate rapidly upon exposure to meteorological elements. Unless otherwise specifically indicated in this report, walls and floors of excavations must be protected from moisture, desiccation, and frost action throughout the course of construction.

### **12.3 De-Watering Requirements and Impact on Surrounding Structures and Infrastructure**

For excavations extending to 4.1 m below the existing grade, the excavations are anticipated to be approximately 1.8 m below the groundwater level during construction and placement of engineered fill. Therefore, the removal of groundwater from the excavation will be required during construction and placement of engineered fill.

As previously indicated, excavations that extend through the fill below the groundwater level and terminate in the sandy silt or silty sand will be susceptible to 'base heave' type of failure of the excavation which may result in loss of bearing capacity of these strata. For these reasons, it is imperative that the groundwater is lowered to at least 1.0 m below the bottom of the final excavation base prior to the start of the excavation. This may require the installation of deep sumps and pumping with high-capacity pumps and/or well points. The final design and operation of the dewatering system is the purview of the constructor undertaking the work. The dewatering contractor should review the subsurface conditions at the site and select the most appropriate method to lower the groundwater level.

For construction dewatering, an Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) approval may be obtained for water takings greater than 50 m<sup>3</sup> and less than 400 m<sup>3</sup> per day. If more than 400 m<sup>3</sup> per day of groundwater are generated for dewatering purposes, then a Category 3 Permit to Take Water (PTTW) must be obtained from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). A Category 3 PTTW would require a complete hydrogeological assessment and would take at least 90 days for the MECP to process once the application is submitted.

Although this investigation has estimated the groundwater levels at the time of the fieldwork, and commented on dewatering and general construction problems, conditions may be present which are difficult to establish from standard boring and excavating techniques and which may affect the type and nature of dewatering procedures used by the contractor in practice. These conditions include local and seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater table, erratic changes in the soil profile, thin layers of soil with large or small permeabilities compared with the soil mass, etc. Only carefully controlled tests using pumped wells and observation wells will yield the quantitative data on groundwater volumes and pressures that are necessary to adequately engineer construction dewatering systems.

### **13. Pipe Bedding Requirements**

It is recommended that the bedding for underground services including material specifications, thickness of cover material, and compaction requirements conform to City of Ottawa requirements and/or Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and Drawings (OPSS and OPSD).

The pipe subgrade material is anticipated to be sandy silt or glacial till. In areas where organic soils are encountered at invert level, they must be removed to the surface of the sandy silt or glacial till and replaced with engineered fill comprising of OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 95 percent of SPMDD. It is recommended the pipe bedding should consist of 300 mm thick OPSS 1010 Granular A compacted to at least 98 percent SPMDD. The bedding material should be also placed along the sides and on top of the pipes to provide a minimum cover of 300 mm. The bedding, spring line and cover should be compacted to at least 98 percent SPMDD.

## **14. Backfilling Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes**

The material to be excavated from the site will consist of silty sand with gravel fill, granular fill, organic silty sand to sandy silt, sandy silt, and silty sand with gravel glacial till. The fill is generally organic and contains occasional cobbles and boulders, topsoil, wood chips, tree roots, and construction debris such as concrete and asphalt pieces and insulation.

The organic silty sand to sandy silt (peat-like) soils are not suitable for use as backfill and may be used as fill in landscaped areas if mixed with other on-site soils to reduce the organic contents. The fill overlying the organic soils is also not suitable for use as structural or subgrade fill and can also be used as fill in landscaped areas. The native sandy silt and glacial till from above the groundwater table only may be used as backfill of service trenches outside the buildings. However, these soils are susceptible to moisture absorption due to precipitation and must be protected if stockpiled on-site for re-use. The rest of the excavated material may be used also for general grading purposes in landscaped areas.

It is noted that the buildings previously located on site were demolished and therefore area of construction and building material debris should be expected to be present on site.

It is anticipated that the majority of the material required for backfilling purposes would have to be imported and should preferably conform to the following specification:

- Engineered fill under the slab-on-grade area and footings - OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 98 and 100 percent SPMDD, respectively.
- Backfill in footing trenches and against foundation walls – OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 98 percent of the SPMDD inside the building and 95 percent SPMDD outside the building respectively.
- Backfill in services trenches inside buildings – OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 98 percent of the SPMDD.
- Backfill in exterior services trenches or subgrade fill – OPSS 1010 Select Subgrade Material (SSM) placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 95 percent of the SPMDD or on-site approved excavated material as noted above.
- Trench backfill and subgrade fill - Select on-site material free of organics, boulders and cobbles and following further sampling and testing during construction.

## 15. Subsurface Concrete and Steel Requirements

Chemical tests limited to pH, chloride, sulphate and resistivity were performed on two (2) selected soil samples. The certificate of the laboratory analysis is attached in Appendix A and the results are summarized in Table VII.

Table VII: Chemical Test Results on Soil Sample						
Borehole No. (Sample No.)	Soil Type	Depth (m)	pH	Sulphate (%)	Chloride (%)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)
BH-4 (SS4)	Glacial Till	2.3 – 2.6	8.38	0.0023	0.0017	6370
BH-7 (SS5)	Organic Silty Sand to Sandy Silt	3.0 – 3.6	7.27	0.0073	0.0121	2160

The test results indicate the sulphate content in the glacial till and the organic silty sand to sandy silt is 0.0023 percent and 0.0073 percent respectively or less than 0.1 percent. These concentrations would have negligible potential of sulphate attack on subsurface concrete. The concrete should be designed in accordance with Table Nos. 3 and 6 of CSA A.23.1-14. However, the concrete should be dense, well compacted and cured.

Based on a review of the resistivity test result, the glacial till and the organic silty sand to sandy silt samples are considered mildly corrosive to bare steel as per the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE). Appropriate measures should be undertaken to protect buried steel elements from corrosion.

## **16. Tree Planting Restriction**

Clayey soils were not encountered at the site and therefore there are no tree planting restrictions at the site. However, a landscape architect must be consulted for the selection of the type of trees best suited for this site following development.

## 17. Pavement Structure

The pavement structure thickness required for the new access road was computed and is shown on Table VII. The thicknesses are based upon an estimate of the subgrade soil properties determined from visual examination and textural classification of the soil samples and a pavement functional design life of ten to fifteen (10 to 15) years as well and provided that all peat are removed from the areas to be landscaped and areas to be paved and replaced with non-organic competent soils preferably conforming to OPSS Select subgrade material as noted in Section 6 of the report. The proposed functional design life represents the number of years to the first rehabilitation, assuming regular maintenance is carried out. The subgrade is anticipated to consist of the native sandy silt, glacial till, or select subgrade material (SSM).

Table VIII: Recommended Pavement Structure Thickness		
Pavement Layer	Compaction Requirements	Heavy Duty Traffic (trucks)
Asphaltic Concrete (PG 58-34)	92 - 97 percent MRD*	40 mm HL3/SP12.5 Cat B 50 mm HL8 or SP 19 Cat B
OPSS 1010 Granular A Base	100 percent SPMDD**	150 mm
OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II Sub-Base	100 percent SPMDD**	450 mm
*Denotes maximum relative density.		
** Denotes standard Proctor maximum dry density, ASTM-D698-12e2.		

Construction procedures for the pavement structure are discussed below.

The foregoing design assumes that construction is carried out during dry periods and that the subgrade is undisturbed under the load of construction equipment. If construction is carried out during wet weather, and heaving or rolling of the subgrade is experienced, additional thickness of granular material and/or geotextile may be required.

Additional comments on the construction of the new access roads are as follows:

- As part of the subgrade preparation for the new pavement, the pavement area as well as areas of hard landscaping should be stripped of existing fill materials, asphalt, topsoil and organic soils, and other obviously unsuitable material down to subgrade level. In areas where organic peat soils were encountered, they should also be removed down to the native non organic soils and replaced with approved non-organic soils or with OPSS Select Subgrade material placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 95 percent of the SPMDD. The subgrade should be properly shaped, crowned, then proof-rolled using a ten (10) ton vibratory roller in the full-time presence of a representative of this office. Any loose, soft, or spongy subgrade areas detected should be sub-excavated and replaced OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II material placed in 300 mm lifts and each lift compacted to 95% of the SPMDD in accordance with ASTM D698-12e2. Other options may be available to strengthen the subgrade such as the use of geogrid at subgrade level. This can be explored further if required. However, for the purpose of this report, contractor should assume that all fill and organic soils must be removed and replaced as noted above.

- It is noted that the long-term performance of the pavement structure is highly dependent upon the subgrade support conditions. Stringent construction control procedures should be maintained to ensure that uniform subgrade moisture and density conditions are achieved. Therefore, it is recommended that sub-drains should be installed and connected between catch basins. This will ensure no water collects in the granular course, which could result in pavement distress during the spring thaw.
- To minimize the problems of differential movement between the pavement and catch basins/manhole due to frost action, the backfill around the structures should consist of free-draining granular preferably conforming to OPSS Granular B Type II material. Care should be taken to ensure that the fill around the services installation (catch basins and manholes) is properly compacted using smaller compaction equipment. Weep holes should be provided in the catch basins/manholes to facilitate drainage of any water that may accumulate in the granular fill.
- The most severe loading conditions on pavement areas and the subgrade may occur during construction. Consequently, special provisions such as restricted lanes, half-loads during paving, temporary construction roadways, etc., may be required, especially if construction is carried out during unfavorable weather.
- The finished pavement surface should be free of depressions and should be sloped (preferably at a minimum cross fall of 2 percent) to provide effective surface drainage towards catch basins. Surface water should not be allowed to pond adjacent to the outside edges of paved areas.
- Relatively weaker subgrade may develop over service trenches at subgrade level. Therefore, only compactible and dry soil should be used as backfill in the services trenches. The use of a geotextile may be required at subgrade level and should be allowed for as a provisional item in the contract.
- The granular materials used for pavement construction should conform to Ontario Provincial Standard Specifications (OPSS 1010) for Granular A and Granular B Type II and should be compacted to 100 percent of the SPMDD. The asphaltic concrete used, and its placement should meet OPSS 1150 or 1151 requirements. It should be compacted from 92 percent to 97 percent of the MRD (ASTM D2041). Asphalt placement should be in accordance with OPSS 310 and OPSS 313.
- It is recommended that EXP be retained to review the final pavement structure design and drainage plans prior to construction to ensure they are consistent with the recommendations of this report.

## **18. Disposal of Site Drainage Water**

It is noted that the perimeter and underfloor drains of the building will be connected to the west storm sewer. All the drainage from the underground parking level will be pumped to the sanitary service. All the water from the uncontrolled roof drains will be discharged to the stormwater cistern.

The stormwater from the site will be collected in swales located around the perimeter of the structure and discharged into the west and east retention bioswales (Figure 22a). The site drainage plan prepared by Novatech Engineering Consultants is shown on Figure 22b. A review of this figure indicates that the area defined by green outlines will be discharged to the west retention bioswale and the area outlined by the blue lines will be discharged to the east retention bioswale. The water from the west retention bioswale will seep into the ground. The water from the east retention bioswale will be discharged to the Poole Creek.

## 19. Slope Stability Analysis and Limits of Hazardous Lands

### 19.1 Slope Stability Analysis

Poole creek tributary borders the site along the east and northwest boundaries. In order to establish the limit of development from top of creek bank, a slope stability analysis was undertaken. A total of four (4) critical cross-sections were selected and analysed (cross-section A to D) as shown on Figure No. 2. The height and gradient of the slopes of the selected cross-sections ranged from 1.6 m to 2.2 m and 1.9H:1V to 6.1H:1V respectively.

The slope profiles were established using data presented on the topographic survey plan dated January 7, 2021 by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebakk Ltd. provided to EXP. The subsurface profiles were established during the data collected from the test holes completed by EXP as part of the geotechnical investigation.

The stability of the creek slope at the selected cross-sections was analysed using Bishop and Price method with the aid of a computerized program for the following conditions:

- (1) Total Stress Analysis;
- (2) Total Stress Analysis with seismic loading; and,
- (3) Long-term stability of slope using effective stress analysis.

The following soil properties were used in the slope stability analysis. The properties used in the slope stability analysis were selected based on previous experience with similar sites and sourced from published literature.

Table IX: Engineering Properties of Soils for Slope Stability Analysis		
Soil Type	Unit Weight (kN/m <sup>3</sup> )	Angle of Internal Friction, Phi (degrees)
FILL: silty sand with gravel (SM)	18 - 19	32
Organic Silty Sand to Sandy Silt (SM to ML)	16.5 - 17.5	27 - 31
Sandy Silt (ML)	19	32

It is noted that the current City of Ottawa guidelines require a factor of safety of 1.5 against slope failure for static analysis and a factor of safety of 1.1 against slope failure under seismic conditions.

Table X presents the required geotechnical set-back which will produce safety factors which meets the City of Ottawa requirement of 1.5 and 1.1 for static and seismic loading conditions respectively.

Table X: Results of Slope Stability Analysis and Geotechnical Setback						
Cross-Section	Height (m)	Gradient	Conditions Analysed	Computed Factor of Safety	Figure No.	Geotechnical Setback (m)
A	1.9	3.4H:1V	Total stress analysis	2.08	23a	7.3
			Total stress analysis with seismic loading	1.29	23b	
			Effective stress analysis	1.50	23c	
B	2.1	2.6H:1V	Total stress analysis	1.75	24a	7.5
			Total stress analysis with seismic loading	1.14	24b	
			Effective stress analysis	1.49	24c	
C	1.6	1.9H:1V	Total stress analysis	1.50	25a	5.8
			Total stress analysis with seismic loading	1.10	25b	
			Effective stress analysis	1.48	25c	
D	2.2	6.1H:1V	Total stress analysis	3.54	26a	0
			Total stress analysis with seismic loading	1.75	26b	
			Effective stress analysis	1.62	26c	

A review of Table IX indicates a geotechnical setback ranging between 5.8 and 7.5 was established to be required from top of bank at cross-sections A, B, and C. No geotechnical setback is required at cross-section D.

## 19.2 Limits of Hazardous Lands

In order to establish the limit of hazardous lands in addition to the geotechnical set-back, two other factors have to be taken into consideration. These are toe erosion allowance and erosion access allowance. The magnitude of the toe erosion allowance depends on the type of soils forming the creek slope, channel width, quantity and velocity of water flow, and the state of erosion along the creek bank. The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources procedures permit either the installation of erosion protection or the consideration of toe erosion allowance.

A site visit was conducted on March 26, 2021, to examine the creek for evidence of any toe erosion. Photographs are presented in Appendix C. Two areas of active erosion of the creek banks have been identified as shown on Figure 27. RVCA allows the provision of toe protection in lieu of toe erosion allowance. It is understood that due to site restrictions, this option was selected by the client to address the observed areas of toe erosion. For this reason,

additional slope stability analyses were not undertaken in areas where active erosion of the Poole Creek banks was identified. Therefore, erosion allowance has not been taken into consideration when establishing the limit of hazardous lands which include the geotechnical set-back established plus the access allowance of 6 m as shown on Figure 2. EXP can provide further input on design and construction of the toe erosion protection during the detailed design.

The crest of the slope was surveyed by a registered Ontario Land Surveyor and is shown on the site plan. This crest was used to plot the limit of hazardous lands. The limit of hazardous lands should be staked out in the field by a registered Ontario Land Surveyor as shown on Figure 2. No development should take place within the hazardous land.

During construction, the following precautions should be taken so that the stability of the slopes is not adversely affected.

1. Care should be exercised during construction to ensure that the existing slopes are not steepened by placement of fill close to the crest of the slope since this would reduce the stability of the slope.
2. Excavations should not be undertaken at the toe of the slopes since this would adversely affect the stability of the slopes.
3. Natural drainage paths should not be blocked by placement of fill on the slope. If fill must be placed on the slope, adequate drainage should be provided to prevent buildup of pore pressures in the soil.
4. Vegetation should not be removed from the faces of the slopes as they protect the face of the slope from erosion. Additional vegetation should be planted on the slopes when necessary.

## 20. Infiltration Rate

It is our understanding that an estimate of the infiltration rate of the subsurface soils is required for stormwater management design for the proposed residential development. The infiltration rate of the subsurface soils was estimated from the percolation time of the subsurface soils. The percolation time of the subsurface soils was determined by conducting falling head test in five (5) test pits at locations and depths selected by the civil engineer as shown in Figure 2.

The procedure for the falling head test involved the excavation of a large test pit to depths ranging from 0.9 m to 2.0 m below existing grade using an excavator. At the bottom of each test pit, a cone-shaped 300 mm diameter by 300 mm deep test pit was excavated using a hand shovel. The falling head test was conducted by filling the cone-shaped hole in the bottom of the test pit with water and recording the drop in the water level over time. In Test Pit Nos. 1A and 4A, the falling head test was conducted at two (2) different depths within the test pit. Upon completion of the percolation test, each test pit was backfilled and the backfill nominally packed in place using the excavator bucket.

A summary of the percolation time determined from the falling head test at each test pit location and depths and the estimated infiltration rate are summarized in Table XI. The infiltration rate for a given percolation time was estimated from Table C1 provided in Appendix C of the document titled, "Low Impact Development Stormwater Management Planning and Design Guide".

Table XI: Summary of Percolation Time and Infiltration Rate of Soils				
Test Pit No.	Depth of Bottom of Large Test Pit (m)	Soil Type Exposed in Cone-Shaped Test Pit	Percolation Time, T (mins/cm) From Falling Head Test	Estimated Infiltration Rate, 1/T (mm/hr)
TP-1A	0.9	Fill: silty sand with gravel (SM)	22	29
TP-1A	2.0	Fill: silty sand with gravel (SM)	5	131
TP-2A	2.0	Sandy Silt, some gravel (ML)	47	14
TP-4A	1.1	Fill: silty sand with gravel (SM), with organics	<2	>300
TP-4A	2.0	Glacial Till: silty sand with gravel (SM)	<2	>300
TP-4B	1.1	Fill: silty sand with gravel (SM)	24	28
TP-4C	1.1	Fill: silty sand with gravel (SM)	3	225

## 21. General Comments

The comments given in this report are intended only for the guidance of design engineers. The number of test holes required to determine the localized underground conditions between test holes affecting construction costs, techniques, sequencing, equipment, scheduling, etc., would be much greater than has been carried out for design purposes. Contractors bidding on or undertaking the works should, in this light, decide on their own investigations, as well, as their own interpretations of the factual test hole results, so that they may draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect them.

The information contained in this report is not intended to reflect environmental aspects of the soils and groundwater. Should specific information be required, including for example the presence of pollutants, contaminants or other hazards in the soil, additional testing may be required.

Due to the new layout, a limited amount of current test holes is situated within the building envelope. Therefore, it is recommended and required that additional boreholes/test pits be completed within the building envelope to collect additional data on the subsurface condition, depth of fill, organic layers, etc.

We trust that the information contained in this report is satisfactory for your purposes. Should you have any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely,



Surinder K Aggrawal, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.  
Geotechnical Engineer  
Earth and Environment



Ismail Taki, M.Eng., P.Eng.  
Senior Manager, Geotechnical Services  
Earth and Environment

EXP Services Inc.

*Wildpine Trails Inc.  
Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis, Proposed Residential Development  
37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-00263154-A0  
March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)*

# Figures




**SITE LOCATION**

CARP RD.

STITTVILLE MAIN ST.

WILDPINE COURT



Filename: E:\OTT\OTT-00263154-A0\60 Execution\65 Drawings\BH\_LAYOUT.dwg  
 Last Saved: Jan 20, 2021 3:12 PM Last Plotted: Jan 20, 2021 3:35 PM Plotted by: ColeA

**exp Services Inc.**  
 100-2650 Queensview Drive  
 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6  
 www.exp.com




DESIGN ---  
 DRAWN A.C.  
 DATE 01-20-21  
 FILE NO 263154

CLIENT: **WILD PINE TRAILS INC.**  
 TITLE: **PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT  
 GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION-SITE LOCATION PLAN  
 37 WILDPINE COURT, OTTAWA, ON**

SCALE  
 1:5000  
 SKETCH NO

**FIG 1**

File: E:\OTT-00263154-A0\_60\_Execution\65 Drawings\OTT-00263154-A0\_Geo\_February-2026.dwg  
 Last Saved: Feb 24, 2026 9:15 AM Last Plotted: Feb 24, 2026 9:23 AM Plotted by: Severa




LEGEND	
---	PROPERTY LINE
DC	PROPOSED BARRIER CURB
---	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
98.40	PROPOSED ELEVATION
x 98.40	EXISTING ELEVATION
x 97.78(S)	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION
x 97.78(W)	PROPOSED TOP OF WALL ELEVATION
x 97.78(B)	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF WALL ELEVATION
x 97.88(C)	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION
V&VB	PROPOSED VALVE AND VALVE BOX
FDSC Y	PROPOSED SIAMESE CONNECTION LOCATION
H.P.	PROPOSED BUILDING ENTRANCE
H.P.	PROPOSED HIGH POINT
---	SWALE c/w SUBDRAIN AND DIRECTION OF FLOW
---	TERRACING 3:1 SLOPE MAX (UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED)
---	PROPOSED RETAINING WALL
2.0%	SLOPE AND DIRECTION
---	DIRECTION OF MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW
---	PROPOSED LANDSCAPE DRAIN
---	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN MANHOLE
---	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN
SAN MH	PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE
STM MH	PROPOSED STORM MANHOLE
---	PROPOSED HYDRANT & VALVE
---	PROPOSED VALVE AND VALVE BOX
---	PROPOSED TRANSFORMER
---	EXISTING VALVE & VALVE BOX
---	EXISTING VALVE & LEAD
SAN MH	EXISTING SANITARY MANHOLE
STM MH	EXISTING STORM MANHOLE
CB 1	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
---	EXISTING DITCH CENTERLINE
---	EXISTING UTILITY POLE
---	EXISTING UTILITY POLE ANCHORS
---	EXISTING STREETLIGHT

- NOTES:**
1. THE BOUNDARIES AND SOIL TYPES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED ONLY AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS. BETWEEN BOREHOLES THEY ARE ASSUMED AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO CONSIDERABLE ERROR.
  2. SOIL SAMPLES WILL BE RETAINED IN STORAGE FOR 3 MONTHS AND THEN DESTROYED UNLESS THE CLIENT ADVISES THAT AN EXTENDED PERIOD IS REQUIRED.
  3. TOPSOIL QUANTITIES SHOULD NOT BE ESTABLISHED FROM THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AT THE BOREHOLE LOCATIONS.
  4. BOREHOLE ELEVATIONS SHOULD NOT BE USED TO DESIGN BUILDING(S) OR FLOOR SLABS OR PARKING LOT(S) GRADES.
  5. THIS DRAWING FORMS PART OF THE REPORT PROJECT NUMBER AS REFERENCED AND SHOULD BE USED ONLY IN CONSTRUCTION WITH THIS REPORT.
  6. BASE GRADING PLAN (BLOCK 2) PRODUCED BY: NOVATECH ENGINEERS PLANNERS & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROJ. #: 125077, DWG. #: 125077-GRB2, DATED: FEB 19, 2026

LEGEND	
BH-1 116.12	BOREHOLE LOCATION, NUMBER AND SURFACE ELEVATION
TP-1 116.07	TEST PIT LOCATION, NUMBER AND SURFACE ELEVATION


8 - 10 METRE GEOTECHNICAL SETBACK	
1 METRE ACCESS ALLOWANCE	
6 METRE ACCESS ALLOWANCE	
WETLAND BOUNDARY	
30 METRE SETBACK FROM WETLAND	
X ↑	SECTION MARK



<b>exp Services Inc.</b> 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6 www.exp.com	DESIGN I.T./S.A. DRAWN A.S. DATE FEBRUARY 2026 FILE NO OTT-00263154-A0	CLIENT: <b>WILD PINE TRAILS INC.</b> TITLE: <b>PROPOSED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT          GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION (GRADING PLAN)          TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN          37 WILDPINE COURT, OTTAWA, ON</b>	SCALE 1:750 SKETCH NO
			<b>FIG 2</b>

## Notes On Sample Descriptions

1. All sample descriptions included in this report follow the Canadian Foundations Engineering Manual soil classification system. This system follows the standard proposed by the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Laboratory grain size analyses provided by **exp** Services Inc. also follow the same system. Different classification systems may be used by others; one such system is the Unified Soil Classification. Please note that, with the exception of those samples where a grain size analysis has been made, all samples are classified visually. Visual classification is not sufficiently accurate to provide exact grain sizing or precise differentiation between size classification systems.



2. **Fill:** Where fill is designated on the borehole log it is defined as indicated by the sample recovered during the boring process. The reader is cautioned that fills are heterogeneous in nature and variable in density or degree of compaction. The borehole description may therefore not be applicable as a general description of site fill materials. All fills should be expected to contain obstruction such as wood, large concrete pieces or subsurface basements, floors, tanks, etc., none of these may have been encountered in the boreholes. Since boreholes cannot accurately define the contents of the fill, test pits are recommended to provide supplementary information. Despite the use of test pits, the heterogeneous nature of fill will leave some ambiguity as to the exact composition of the fill. Most fills contain pockets, seams, or layers of organically contaminated soil. This organic material can result in the generation of methane gas and/or significant ongoing and future settlements. Fill at this site may have been monitored for the presence of methane gas and, if so, the results are given on the borehole logs. The monitoring process does not indicate the volume of gas that can be potentially generated nor does it pinpoint the source of the gas. These readings are to advise of the presence of gas only, and a detailed study is recommended for sites where any explosive gas/methane is detected. Some fill material may be contaminated by toxic/hazardous waste that renders it unacceptable for deposition in any but designated land fill sites; unless specifically stated the fill on this site has not been tested for contaminants that may be considered toxic or hazardous. This testing and a potential hazard study can be undertaken if requested. In most residential/commercial areas undergoing reconstruction, buried oil tanks are common and are generally not detected in a conventional geotechnical site investigation.
3. **Till:** The term till on the borehole logs indicates that the material originates from a geological process associated with glaciation. Because of this geological process the till must be considered heterogeneous in composition and as such may contain pockets and/or seams of material such as sand, gravel, silt or clay. Till often contains cobbles (60 to 200 mm) or boulders (over 200 mm). Contractors may therefore encounter cobbles and boulders during excavation, even if they are not indicated by the borings. It should be appreciated that normal sampling equipment cannot differentiate the size or type of any obstruction. Because of the horizontal and vertical variability of till, the sample description may be applicable to a very limited zone; caution is therefore essential when dealing with sensitive excavations or dewatering programs in till materials.

# Log of Borehole BH-2



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 11, 2020  
 Drill Type: Track Mounted Drill Rig  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 3  
 Page. 1 of 1

Split Spoon Sample   
 Auger Sample   
 SPT (N) Value   
 Dynamic Cone Test   
 Shelby Tube   
 Shear Strength by Vane Test   
 Combustible Vapour Reading   
 Natural Moisture Content   
 Atterberg Limits   
 Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure   
 Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

GWL L OBS YS	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	Depth m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
				Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
				20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
	<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~150 mm thick	115.7	0								
	<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), organic, cobbles and boulders, some pieces of wood, brown, moist, (loose to compact)	115.6	0					X			BS1
			1					X			SS2
	<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist, (very loose)	114.2	1	10				X			SS3
			2					X			SS3
			2								
		113.3	3								
			3								
	<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Grey, wet, (compact)	112.3	3	25				X			SS5
			4	15				X			SS6
			4								
			5	20				X			SS7
	<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), brown to grey, wet	110.6	5								
	<b>Borehole Terminated at 5.2 m Depth</b>	110.5	5								

LOG OF BOREHOLE ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

**NOTES:**

- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
- A 19 mm diameter standpipe installed upon completion of drilling.
- Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
- See Notes on Sample Descriptions
- Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	3.4	
Jan. 5, 2021	2.4	
May 7, 2021	2.4	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-3



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 11, 2020  
 Drill Type: Track Mounted Drill Rig  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 4  
 Page. 1 of 1

Split Spoon Sample  Combustible Vapour Reading   
 Auger Sample  Natural Moisture Content   
 SPT (N) Value  Atterberg Limits   
 Dynamic Cone Test  Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure   
 Shelby Tube  Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test   
 Shear Strength by Vane Test

GWL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	Depth m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
				Shear Strength kPa				250	500	750	
				20	40	60	80	Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
	<b>ASPHALT</b> ~60 mm thick	116.58	0								
	<b>GRANULAR FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel, grey, dry	116.5									BS1
	<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders, grey, moist, (compact to very dense)	116.0	1								SS2
				37							
			2								SS3
				23							
	with organics from 2.3 m to 2.9 m depths										
		113.88									SS4
				70							
	<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist, (loose)	113.6	3								SS5
				7							
		112.5	4								SS6
	<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Grey, wet, (compact)			8							
											SS7
				16							
		111.4	5								
	<b>Borehole Terminated at 5.2 m Depth</b>										

LOG OF BOREHOLE ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154\_AN.GPJ TROW/OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

**NOTES:**

- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
- Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.
- Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
- See Notes on Sample Descriptions
- Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	2.7	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-4



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 11, 2020  
 Drill Type: Track Mounted Drill Rig  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 5  
 Page. 1 of 1

Split Spoon Sample   
 Auger Sample   
 SPT (N) Value   
 Dynamic Cone Test   
 Shelby Tube   
 Shear Strength by Vane Test   
 Combustible Vapour Reading   
 Natural Moisture Content   
 Atterberg Limits   
 Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure   
 Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	SOIL LOG	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>	
					Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content %				
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750		Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)
				50	100	150	200	20	40	60			
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), grey to brown, cobbles and boulders, damp to moist (dense to very dense)	116.72	0									BS1
				1		37							SS2
								88 for 250 mm					SS3
		<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, grey, moist to wet, (very dense)	114.7	2									SS4
								85 for 275 mm					
			114.02	3									RUN1
			112.5	4									
		<b>Borehole Terminated at 4.2 m Depth</b>											

LOG OF BOREHOLE ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN GPJ TROW OTTAWA GDT 5/7/21

- NOTES:**
- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
  - A 19 mm diameter standpipe installed upon completion of drilling.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Jan. 5, 2021	2.7	
May 7, 2021	2.7	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-6



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 11, 2020  
 Drill Type: Track Mounted Drill Rig  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 6  
 Page. 1 of 1

Split Spoon Sample   
 Auger Sample   
 SPT (N) Value   
 Dynamic Cone Test   
 Shelby Tube   
 Shear Strength by Vane Test   
 Combustible Vapour Reading   
 Natural Moisture Content   
 Atterberg Limits   
 Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure   
 Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O M E S	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					Shear Strength				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~150 mm thick	116.19	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), organic, cobbles and boulders, brown, moist, (loose)	116.0									BS1
				1						X		SS2
										X		SS3
		<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, grey to brown, moist to wet, (compact to very dense)	114.2	2						X		SS4
			113.89							X		SS5
				3						X		SS6
				4						X		SS7
										X		SS7
		<b>Borehole Terminated at 4.8 m Depth</b>	111.4							X		

LOG OF BOREHOLE - ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN GPJ TROW OTTAWA GDT 5/7/21

**NOTES:**  
 1. Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others  
 2. A 19 mm diameter standpipe installed upon completion of drilling.  
 3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.  
 4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions  
 5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	2.4	
Jan. 5, 2021	2.3	
May 7, 2021	2.3	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-7



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 11, 2020  
 Drill Type: Track Mounted Drill Rig  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 7  
 Page. 1 of 1

Split Spoon Sample  Combustible Vapour Reading   
 Auger Sample  Natural Moisture Content   
 SPT (N) Value  Atterberg Limits   
 Dynamic Cone Test  Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure   
 Shelby Tube  Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test   
 Shear Strength by Vane Test

GWL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	Depth	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
				Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
				20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
	<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~100 mm thick	116.09	0								
	<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), organic, pieces of wood and rootlets, (very loose to compact)	116.0						X			BS1
			1	12				X			SS2
			2	3				X			SS3
		113.3						X			SS4
	<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist, (loose)	112.7	3	6				X			SS5
	<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Grey, wet, (compact)	112.39						X			SS6
			4	12				X			SS7
		110.3						X			SS8
	<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, brown, wet, (very dense)	109.7	6			76 for 225 mm		X			
	<b>Auger Refusal at 6.4 m Depth</b>										

LOG OF BOREHOLE ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN.GPJ TROW/OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
  - Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	3.7	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-8



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 11, 2020  
 Drill Type: Track Mounted Drill Rig  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 8  
 Page. 1 of 1

Split Spoon Sample   
 Auger Sample   
 SPT (N) Value   
 Dynamic Cone Test   
 Shelby Tube   
 Shear Strength by Vane Test   
 Combustible Vapour Reading   
 Natural Moisture Content   
 Atterberg Limits   
 Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure   
 Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

Depth (m)	Geodetic Elevation (m)	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
			Shear Strength (kPa)				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
			20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
0	115.79	<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~100 mm thick								
	115.7	<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), organic, cobbles and boulders, pieces of wood, some topsoil, moist, (loose to compact)	16				X		BS1	
1			10				X		SS2	
	113.8	<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist, (very loose)	7				X		SS3	
2			1					X	SS4	
	112.99									
3			3					X	SS5	
	112.3	<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Grey, wet, (compact)					X			
4			10				X		SS6	
5			21				X		SS7	
	109.9	<b>Auger Refusal at 5.9 m Depth</b>								

LOG OF BOREHOLE ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN GPJ TROW/OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

**NOTES:**

- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
- A 19 mm diameter standpipe installed upon completion of drilling.
- Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
- See Notes on Sample Descriptions
- Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	3.5	
Jan. 5, 2021	2.9	
May 7, 2021	2.8	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-1



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 18, 2020  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 9  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O I L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>	
					Shear Strength				Natural Moisture Content %				S O I L
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750		
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~100 mm thick	116.07 116.0	0									
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, topsoil, wood chips, concrete pieces, foam insulation, asphalt pieces, tree roots, brown, moist		1								BS1	
		<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist to wet	113.8	2					X			BS2	
		<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Trace gravel, grey, moist to wet	112.9	3								BS3	
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 4.0 m Depth</b>	112.07	4									

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154\_AN.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/6/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	4.0	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-1A



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: May 5, 2021  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Approximate Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Nader Checked by: I. Taki

Figure No. 9A  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

GWL	SOIL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	Depth m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					Shear Strength				Natural Moisture Content %			
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~100 mm thick	116.07 116.0	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), topsoil, wood chips, concrete pieces, foam insulation, asphalt pieces, tree roots and logs, brown, moist		1								
		<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist to wet	113.8 113.657	2								
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 2.5 m Depth</b>										

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN - ADDITIONAL TESTPITS FOR PERCOLATION GPJ TROW OTTAWA GDT 5/7/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	2.5	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-2A



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0

Figure No. 10A

Project: Proposed Residential Development

Page. 1 of 1

Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario

Date Drilled: May 5, 2021

Split Spoon Sample

Combustible Vapour Reading

Drill Type: Excavator

Auger Sample

Natural Moisture Content

SPT (N) Value

Atterberg Limits

Datum: Approximate Elevation

Dynamic Cone Test

Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure

Shelby Tube

Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

Logged by: A. Nader Checked by: I. Taki

Shear Strength by Vane Test

G W L	S O B O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
					Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~200 mm thick	116.02	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, concrete, bricks, and asphalt pieces, brown to grey, moist to wet	115.8	1								
		<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Some gravel, grey, moist to wet <b>Test Pit Terminated at 2.0 m Depth</b>	114.1 114.0	2								

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN - ADDITIONAL TESTPITS FOR PERCOLATION.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

NOTES:  
 1. Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others  
 2. Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.  
 3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.  
 4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions  
 5. This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-3



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 18, 2020  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 11  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O B O L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			S O I L T E M P E R A T U R E	Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750		
					Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)				
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~100 mm thick	117.88	0									
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, rootlets, brown, moist	117.8	0									
				1					X				BS1
				2					X				BS2
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 2.4 m Depth</b>	115.5										

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154\_AN.GPJ TROW/OTTAWA.GDT 5/6/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-4



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 18, 2020  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 12  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O I L D E S C R I P T I O N	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
				kPa				250	500	750	
				Shear Strength				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
	<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~300 mm	116.5	0	50	100	150	200				
	<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), concrete and asphalt pieces, cobbles and boulders, brown, moist	116.2									
	<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist to wet	115.0	1								BS1
	<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, grey, moist	114.5	2								BS2
	<b>Test Pit Terminated at 3.0 m Depth</b>	113.5	3								

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154\_AN.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/6/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-4A



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0

Figure No. 12A

Project: Proposed Residential Development

Page. 1 of 1

Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario

Date Drilled: May 5, 2021

Split Spoon Sample

Combustible Vapour Reading

Drill Type: Excavator

Auger Sample

Natural Moisture Content

SPT (N) Value

Atterberg Limits

Datum: Approximate Elevation

Dynamic Cone Test

Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure

Shelby Tube

Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

Logged by: A. Nader Checked by: I. Taki

Shear Strength by Vane Test

GWL	SOIL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	Depth m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					Shear Strength kPa				250	500	750	
					20	40	60	80	Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~150 mm thick	116.35	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, brown, damp to moist  with organics from 1.1 m to 1.3 m depths	116.2	1								
		<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, grey, moist to wet	114.4	2								
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 2.5 m Depth</b>	113.85									

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN - ADDITIONAL TESTPITS FOR PERCOLATION GPJ TROW OTTAWA GDT 5/7/21

NOTES:  
 1. Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others  
 2. Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.  
 3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.  
 4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions  
 5. This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	2.5	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-4B



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: May 5, 2021  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Approximate Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Nader Checked by: I. Taki

Figure No. 12B  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O B Y L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	D e p t h m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
					Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), grey to brown, cobbles and boulders, damp to moist	116.72	0								
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 1.1 m Depth</b>	115.6	1								

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN - ADDITIONAL TESTPITS FOR PERCOLATION.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

**NOTES:**  
 1. Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others  
 2. Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.  
 3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.  
 4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions  
 5. This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-4C



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: May 5, 2021  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Approximate Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Nader Checked by: I. Taki

Figure No. 12C  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O B Y L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	D e p t h m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
					Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~300 mm	116.5	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), concrete and asphalt pieces, cobbles and boulders, brown, moist	116.2									
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 1.1 m Depth</b>	115.4	1								

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN - ADDITIONAL TESTPITS FOR PERCOLATION.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/7/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-5



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 18, 2020  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 13  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O B Y L	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					Shear Strength				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~125 mm thick	117.58 117.5	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, grey, moist										BS1
		<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), occasional cobbles and boulders, grey, moist	116.7	1								BS2
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 2.4 m Depth</b>	115.2	2								

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154 - AN.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/6/21

**NOTES:**  
 1. Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others  
 2. Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.  
 3. Field work supervised by an EXP representative.  
 4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions  
 5. This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-6



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 18, 2020  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 14  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

GWL	SOIL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation m	Depth m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
					Shear Strength				Natural Moisture Content %			
					20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~200 mm	115.86	0								
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), organic, cobbles and boulders, concrete, wood, and asphalt pieces, tree roots, brown and black, moist to wet	115.7	0								BS1
				1								
				2								BS2
		<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist to wet	113.5	2								
			113.16	3								
		<b>SANDY SILT (ML)</b> Trace gravel, grey, moist to wet	112.6	3								BS3
			111.9	4								
		<b>Test Pit Terminated at 4.0 m Depth</b>										

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154\_AN.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 5/6/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	2.7	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Test Pit TP-7



Project No: OTT-00263154-A0  
 Project: Proposed Residential Development  
 Location: 37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario  
 Date Drilled: December 18, 2020  
 Drill Type: Excavator  
 Datum: Geodetic Elevation  
 Logged by: A. Neguss Checked by: A. Nader

Figure No. 15  
 Page. 1 of 1

- Split Spoon Sample
- Auger Sample
- SPT (N) Value
- Dynamic Cone Test
- Shelby Tube
- Shear Strength by Vane Test
- Combustible Vapour Reading
- Natural Moisture Content
- Atterberg Limits
- Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure
- Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

G W L	S O I L D E S C R I P T I O N	Geodetic Elevation m	D e p t h m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
				Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
				20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
	<b>FILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), concrete, wood, and asphalt pieces, cobbles and boulders, roots, brown, moist	116.66	0								
	<b>ORGANIC SILTY SAND TO SANDY SILT (SM TO ML)</b> Silty sand to sandy silt with pieces of decaying wood and topsoil, dark brown, moist to wet	115.1	1								BS1
	<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel (SM), cobbles and boulders, grey, moist	114.8	2								BS2
	<b>Test Pit Terminated at 2.7 m Depth</b>	114.0									

LOG OF TEST PIT ALL TESTHOLE LOGS - 263154\_AN.GPJ TROW/OTTAWA.GDT 5/6/21

- NOTES:
- Borehole/Test Pit data requires Interpretation by exp. before use by others
  - Test Pit backfilled upon completion of excavation.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - This Figure is to read with exp. Services Inc. report OTT-00263154-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Elapsed Time	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Completion	Dry	


CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %



## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development	
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario	
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-2	Sample No.: SS2
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	42	% Sand	43
Sample Description :	<b>FILL: Silty SAND with Gravel (SM)</b>			% Gravel
				15
			Figure :	16




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development		
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario		
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-7	Sample No.: SS2	
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	39	% Sand	46	
Sample Description :			% Gravel	15	
Sample Description :	FILL: Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)			Depth (m) :	0.8-1.4
				Figure :	17




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development		
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario		
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-2	Sample No.: SS4	
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	41	% Sand	59	
Sample Description :	<b>Organic Silty SAND (SM)</b>			% Gravel	0
Sample Description :				Depth (m) :	2.3-2.9
Sample Description :				Figure :	18




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development		
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario		
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-3	Sample No.: SS5	
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	64	% Sand	36	
Sample Description :			% Gravel	0	
Sample Description :	Organic Sandy SILT (ML)			Depth (m) :	3.0-3.7
				Figure :	19




**Grain-Size Distribution Curve  
Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil  
ASTM C-136/ASTM D422**

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

**Unified Soil Classification System**

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development		
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario		
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-3	Sample No.: SS7	
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	73	% Sand	26	
Sample Description :			% Gravel	1	
Sample Description :	<b>Sandy SILT (ML)</b>			Depth (m) :	4.6-5.2
				Figure :	20




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System

CLAY AND SILT	SAND			GRAVEL	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development		
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario		
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-8	Sample No.: SS6	
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	64	% Sand	36	
Sample Description :			% Gravel	0	
Sample Description :	Sandy Silt (ML)			Depth (m) :	3.8-4.4
				Figure :	21




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate ASTM C-136

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6



### Unified Soil Classification System

CLAY AND SILT	SAND			GRAVEL	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-00263154-A0	Project Name :	Proposed Residential Development	
Client :	Wildpine Trails Inc.	Project Location :	37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario	
Date Sampled :	December 11, 2020	Borehole No:	BH-4	Sample: SS3
Sample Composition :	Gravel (%)	39	Sand (%)	48
			Silt & Clay (%)	13
Sample Description :	<b>GLACIAL TILL: Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)</b>			Depth (m) : 1.5-2.1
				Figure : 22

File: E:\OTT\00263154-A0\_60\_Execution\00263154-A0\_Geo\_March-2026.dwg  
 Last Saved: Mar 19, 2026 5:33 PM Plotted by: SeverA




Excavation Slopes as per OHS  
and Geotechnical Report

Excavation Slopes as per OHS  
and Geotechnical Report

**NOTE:**

- BASE CROSS-SECTION DETAILS (BLOCK 2)  
PRODUCED BY: NOVATECH ENGINEERS PLANNERS  
& LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROJ. #: 125077, DWG.  
#: 125077-NDB2, DATED: FEB 19, 2026



exp Services Inc.  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6  
www.exp.com




DESIGN I.T./S.A.  
DRAWN A.S.  
DATE MARCH 2026  
FILE NO OTT-00263154-A0

CLIENT: WILD PINE TRAILS INC.  
TITLE: CROSS SECTIONS X-X' AND Y-Y'  
BUILDING AND PROPOSED E AND W  
BIORETENTION SWALE


SCALE 1:75  
SKETCH NO  
FIG 22a

PROJECT BOUNDARY




**NOTES:**

1. BASE POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN (BLOCK 2) PRODUCED BY: NOVATECH ENGINEERS PLANNERS & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROJ. #: 125077, DWG. #: 125077-SWM, DATED: SEPT. 17, 2025




<b>exp Services Inc.</b> 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6 www.exp.com		DESIGN I.T./S.A.	<b>CLIENT:</b> WILD PINE TRAILS INC.	SCALE 1:750
		DRAWN A.S.		SKETCH NO
DATE FEBRUARY 2026 FILE NO OTT-00263154-A0		<b>TITLE:</b> POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA PLAN	<b>FIG 22b</b>	


Filename: E:\OTT\OTT-00263154-A0\_60\_Execution\65 Drawings\OTT-00263154-A0\_Geo\_February-2026.dwg  
 Last Saved: Feb 26, 2026 3:05 PM Last Plotted: Feb 26, 2026 3:09 PM Plotted by: SeverA



Condition : Total Stresses  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : A



Condition : Total Stresses/Siesmic Loading  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : A




Condition : Effective Stresses/Saturated Slope  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : A


**SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS**  
**Proposed Residential Development**  
**37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario**

OTT-00263154-A0

Figure No. 24a



Condition : Total Stresses  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : B




Condition : Total Stresses/Siesmic Loading  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : B


**SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSIS**  
**Proposed Residential Development**  
**37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, Ontario**

OTT-00263154-A0


Figure No. 24c




Condition : Effective Stresses/Saturated Slope  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : B




Condition : Total Stresses  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : C




Condition : Total Stresses/Siesmic Loading  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : C




Condition : Effective Stresses/Saturated Slope  
 Analysis Method : Morgenstern-Price  
 Cross-section : C



Condition	: Total Stresses
Analysis Method	: Morgenstern-Price
Cross-section	: D




Condition	: Total Stresses/Siesmic Loading
Analysis Method	: Morgenstern-Price
Cross-section	: D




Condition	: Effective Stresses/Saturated Slope
Analysis Method	: Morgenstern-Price
Cross-section	: D

Filename: E:\OTT-00263154-A0\60 Execution\OTT-00263154-A0\_Geo\_February-2026.dwg  
 Last Saved: Feb 24, 2026 3:18 PM Last Plotted: Feb 24, 2026 3:19 PM Plotted by: Severn



LEGEND	
	PROPERTY LINE
	EASEMENT LIMIT
	PROPOSED MOUNTABLE CURB
	PROPOSED CAP
	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER AND MANHOLE
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER AND MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN & LEAD
	PROPOSED METER
	PROPOSED INTERNAL TEST POINT PER 150.1
	PROPOSED REMOTE METER
	PROPOSED AREA DRAIN
	PROPOSED LANDSCAPE DRAIN
	PROPOSED WATER MAIN
	PROPOSED HYDRANT C/W LEAD & VALVE
	PROPOSED TOP OF BOTTOM FLANGE
	PROPOSED VALVE AND VALVE BOX
	PROPOSED BEND AND THRUST BLOCK 11.25' x 22.5' x 46" OF TEE
	EX. WATER MAIN C/W VALVE
	EX. SANITARY C/W VALVE & LEAD
	EX. SANITARY BOWWALK & SERVICE
	EX. STORM SEWER & SEWER
	EX. CATCHBASIN
	EX. BOREHOLE LOCATION
	EX. UTILITY POLE ARM/ARMED
	EX. UTILITY POLE
	EX. LIGHT EX-LAND
	EX. UTILITY POLE C/W STRIKE SLIGHT
	EX. STAKE FUNCTION

- NOTES:**
1. THE BOUNDARIES AND SOIL TYPES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED ONLY AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS. BETWEEN BOREHOLES THEY ARE ASSUMED AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO CONSIDERABLE ERROR.
  2. SOIL SAMPLES WILL BE RETAINED IN STORAGE FOR 3 MONTHS AND THEN DESTROYED UNLESS THE CLIENT ADVISES THAT AN EXTENDED PERIOD IS REQUIRED.
  3. TOPSOIL QUANTITIES SHOULD NOT BE ESTABLISHED FROM THE INFORMATION PROVIDED AT THE BOREHOLE LOCATIONS.
  4. BOREHOLE ELEVATIONS SHOULD NOT BE USED TO DESIGN BUILDING(S) OR FLOOR SLABS OR PARKING LOT(S) GRADES.
  5. THIS DRAWING FORMS PART OF THE REPORT PROJECT NUMBER AS REFERENCED AND SHOULD BE USED ONLY IN CONSTRUCTION WITH THIS REPORT.
  6. BASE GENERAL PLAN OF SERVICES (BLOCK 2) PRODUCED BY: NOVATECH ENGINEERS & LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS, PROJ. #: 125077, DWG. #: 125077-GRB2, DATED: FEB 13, 2026



**LEGEND**

- BOREHOLE LOCATION, NUMBER AND SURFACE ELEVATION
- TEST PIT LOCATION, NUMBER AND SURFACE ELEVATION

- 8 - 10 METRE GEOTECHNICAL SETBACK
- 1 METRE ACCESS ALLOWANCE
- 6 METRE ACCESS ALLOWANCE
- WETLAND BOUNDARY
- 30 METRE SETBACK FROM WETLAND
- SECTION MARK

exp Services Inc. 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6 www.exp.com	DESIGN I.T./S.A.	CLIENT: WILD PINE TRAILS INC.	SCALE 1:750
	DRAWN A.S.		SKETCH NO
	DATE FEBRUARY 2026	TITLE: AREAS OF ACTIVE EROSION ALONG POOLE CREEK BANKS	FIG 27
FILE NO OTT-00263154-A0			

EXP Services Inc.

*Wildpine Trails Inc.  
Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis, Proposed Residential Development  
37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-00263154-A0  
March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)*

# Appendix A: Laboratory Certificate of Analysis

**CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC**  
**2650 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, UNIT 100**  
**OTTAWA, ON K2B8H6**  
**(613) 688-1899**

**ATTENTION TO: Maxime Leroux**  
**PROJECT: OTT-263154**  
**AGAT WORK ORDER: 21Z696412**

**SOIL ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Amanjot Bhela, Inorganic Lab Manager**  
**DATE REPORTED: Jan 13, 2021**  
**PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 6**  
**VERSION\*: 1**

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

**\*Notes**

Empty box for notes.

**Disclaimer:**

- All work conducted herein has been done using accepted standard protocols, and generally accepted practices and methods. AGAT test methods may incorporate modifications from the specified reference methods to improve performance.
- All samples will be disposed of within 30 days following analysis, unless expressly agreed otherwise in writing. Please contact your Client Project Manager if you require additional sample storage time.
- AGAT's liability in connection with any delay, performance or non-performance of these services is only to the Client and does not extend to any other third party. Unless expressly agreed otherwise in writing, AGAT's liability is limited to the actual cost of the specific analysis or analyses included in the services.
- This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
- The test results reported herewith relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.
- Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. AGAT assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the guidelines contained in this document.
- All reportable information as specified by ISO/IEC 17025:2017 is available from AGAT Laboratories upon request.



## Certificate of Analysis

AGAT WORK ORDER: 21Z696412

PROJECT: OTT-263154

5835 COOPERS AVENUE  
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO  
CANADA L4Z 1Y2  
TEL (905)712-5100  
FAX (905)712-5122  
<http://www.agatlabs.com>

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

SAMPLING SITE: 37 Wildpine

ATTENTION TO: Maxime Leroux

SAMPLED BY: EXP

### Inorganic Chemistry (Soil)

DATE RECEIVED: 2021-01-05

DATE REPORTED: 2021-01-13

Parameter	Unit	BH4 SS4 7.			
		G / S	RDL	1917733	1917735
Chloride (2:1)	µg/g		2	17	121
Sulphate (2:1)	µg/g		2	23	73
pH (2:1)	pH Units		NA	8.38	7.27
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	ohm.cm		1	6370	2160

**Comments:** RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

**1917733-1917735** EC, pH, Chloride and Sulphate were determined on the extract obtained from the 2:1 leaching procedure (2 parts DI water: 1 part soil). Resistivity is a calculated parameter.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by \*)

**Certified By:**

Anayot Bhela  




## Certificate of Analysis

AGAT WORK ORDER: 21Z696412

PROJECT: OTT-263154

5835 COOPERS AVENUE  
 MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO  
 CANADA L4Z 1Y2  
 TEL (905)712-5100  
 FAX (905)712-5122  
<http://www.agatlabs.com>

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

SAMPLING SITE: 37 Wildpine

ATTENTION TO: Maxime Leroux

SAMPLED BY: EXP

### Inorganic Chemistry (Soil) (%)

DATE RECEIVED: 2021-01-05

DATE REPORTED: 2021-01-13

Parameter	Unit	BH4 SS4 7.			
		SAMPLE DESCRIPTION:		5'-9.5'	BH4 SS4 10'-12'
		SAMPLE TYPE:		Soil	Soil
		DATE SAMPLED:		2020-12-11	2020-12-11
		G / S	RDL	1917733	1917735
Chloride (2:1)	%		0.0002	0.0017	0.0121
Sulphate (2:1)	%		0.0002	0.0023	0.0073

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

1917733-1917735 Chloride and Sulphate were determined on the extract obtained from the 2:1 leaching procedure (2 parts DI water: 1 part soil).

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by \*)

**Certified By:**

*Anayot Bhela*

## Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC  
 PROJECT: OTT-263154  
 SAMPLING SITE: 37 Wildpine

AGAT WORK ORDER: 21Z696412  
 ATTENTION TO: Maxime Leroux  
 SAMPLED BY: EXP

Soil Analysis															
RPT Date: Jan 13, 2021			DUPLICATE				Method Blank	REFERENCE MATERIAL			METHOD BLANK SPIKE			MATRIX SPIKE	
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Measured Value		Acceptable Limits		Recovery	Acceptable Limits		Recovery	Acceptable Limits	
								Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper

**Inorganic Chemistry (Soil)**

Chloride (2:1)	1917769		7	7	NA	< 2	101%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	107%	70%	130%
Sulphate (2:1)	1917769		57	56	1.8%	< 2	102%	70%	130%	103%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%
pH (2:1)	1917744		7.81	7.84	0.4%	NA	99%	90%	110%						

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.  
 pH duplicates QA acceptance criteria was met relative as stated in Table 5-15 of Analytical Protocol document.

Duplicate NA: results are under 5X the RDL and will not be calculated.

**Inorganic Chemistry (Soil) (%)**

Chloride (2:1)	1917769		0.0007	0.0007	NA	< 0.0002	101%	70%	130%	106%	80%	120%	107%	70%	130%
Sulphate (2:1)	1917769		0.0057	0.0056	1.8%	< 0.0002	102%	70%	130%	103%	80%	120%	103%	70%	130%

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.  
 Duplicate NA: results are under 5X the RDL and will not be calculated.

**Certified By:**




## Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

AGAT WORK ORDER: 21Z696412

PROJECT: OTT-263154

ATTENTION TO: Maxime Leroux

SAMPLING SITE:37 Wildpine

SAMPLED BY:EXP

PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE
<b>Soil Analysis</b>			
Chloride (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH
Sulphate (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH
pH (2:1)	INOR 93-6031	MSA part 3 & SM 4500-H+ B	PH METER
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	INOR-93-6036	McKeague 4.12, SM 2510 B,SSA #5 Part 3	CALCULATION



# AGAT

L.T → 82/8.3/8.4  
**Laboratories**

5835 Coopers Avenue  
 Mississauga, Ontario L4Z 1Y2  
 Ph: 905.712.5100 Fax: 905.712.5122  
 webearth.agatlabs.com

**Laboratory Use Only**  
 Work Order #: 217696412  
 Cooler Quantity: 1  
 Arrival Temperatures: 20.5, 20.5, 20.4  
 Custody Seal Intact:  Yes  No  N/A  
 Notes:

**Chain of Custody Record** If this is a Drinking Water sample, please use Drinking Water Chain of Custody Form (potable water consumed by humans)

**Report Information:**  
 Company: EXP Services  
 Contact: Maxime Leduc  
 Address: 2650 Queensview Drive Suite 100  
K2B 8N6  
 Phone: 613-688-1899 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Reports to be sent to:  
 1. Email: Maxime.Leduc@exp.com  
 2. Email: \_\_\_\_\_

**Regulatory Requirements:**  
 (Please check all applicable boxes)

Regulation 153/04  Excess Soils R406  Sewer Use  
 Sanitary  Storm

Table Indicate One Table Indicate One Region  
 Ind/Com  Res/Park  Prov. Water Quality Objectives (PWQO)  
 Agriculture  Regulation 558  Other  
 Soil Texture (Check One)  CCME  Other  
 Coarse  Fine Indicate One

**Turnaround Time (TAT) Required:**  
 Regular TAT  5 to 7 Business Days  
 Rush TAT (Rush Surcharges Apply)  
 3 Business Days  2 Business Days  Next Business Day  
**OR** Date Required (Rush Surcharges May Apply): \_\_\_\_\_

**Project Information:**  
 Project: OTT-263154  
 Site Location: 37 Wildfire  
 Sampled By: EXP  
 AGAT Quote #: \_\_\_\_\_ PO: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Please note: If quotation number is not provided, client will be billed full price for analysis.

**Is this submission for a Record of Site Condition?**  
 Yes  No

**Report Guideline on Certificate of Analysis**  
 Yes  No

Please provide prior notification for rush TAT  
 \*TAT is exclusive of weekends and statutory holidays  
**For 'Same Day' analysis, please contact your AGAT CPM**

**Invoice Information:** Bill To Same: Yes  No   
 Company: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Email: \_\_\_\_\_

**Sample Matrix Legend**  
**B** Biota  
**GW** Ground Water  
**O** Oil  
**P** Paint  
**S** Soil  
**SD** Sediment  
**SW** Surface Water

Metals & Inorganics	0. Reg 153		PAHs	PCBs	VOC	Landfill Disposal Characterization TCLP: TCLP: <input type="checkbox"/> M&I <input type="checkbox"/> VOCs <input type="checkbox"/> ABNs <input type="checkbox"/> BglP <input type="checkbox"/> PCBs	Excess Soils SPLP Rainwater Leach SPLP: <input type="checkbox"/> Metals <input type="checkbox"/> VOCs <input type="checkbox"/> SVOCs	Excess Soils Characterization Package pH, ICPMS Metals, BTEX, FL-F4	Salt - EC/SAR	PH	Sulfate	Chloride	Electro Resistivity	Potentially Hazardous or High Concentration (Y/N)
	Field Filtered - Metals, Hg, CrVI, DOC	Metals - <input type="checkbox"/> CrVI <input type="checkbox"/> Hg <input type="checkbox"/> HWSB												
										✓	✓	✓	✓	

Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	# of Containers	Sample Matrix	Comments/ Special Instructions	Y / N
BH4 564 7.5'-9.5'	Dec 11/10	AM	1			
BH7 565 10'-12'	Dec 11/10	AM	1			
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				
		AM				

Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): <u>Ryan DiGiuseppe</u>	Date: <u>Jan 5/21</u>	Time: <u>5:00pm</u>	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): <u>Judith Davis</u>	Date: <u>5 Jan 21</u>	Time: <u>17:00</u>
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): <u>W. Blum</u>	Date: <u>2021-01-06</u>	Time: <u>10h00</u>	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): <u>Tal Sharys</u>	Date: <u>8:150</u>	Time: <u># Jan 7</u>
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): _____	Date: _____	Time: _____	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): _____	Date: _____	Time: _____

Page 1 of 1  
 No: **T 111707**

EXP Services Inc.

*Wildpine Trails Inc.*

*Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis, Proposed Residential Development*

*37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, ON*

*OTT-00263154-A0*

*March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)*

# Appendix B: Toe Erosion Photographs



Gully erosion at the Poole Creek west of cross-section A



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek west of cross-section A



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek west of cross-section A



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek west of cross-section A



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek between cross-sections A and B



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek between cross-sections A and B



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek between cross-sections A and B



Toe erosion at the Poole Creek between cross-sections A and B



The Poole Creek tributary borders and slope west of cross-section B



The Poole Creek tributary borders and slope near cross-section B



The Poole Creek tributary borders and slope between cross-sections B and C



The Poole Creek tributary borders and slope near cross-sections D

EXP Services Inc.

*Wildpine Trails Inc.*

*Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis, Proposed Residential Development*

*37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, ON*

*OTT-00263154-A0*

*March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)*

# Appendix C: Legal Notification

EXP Services Inc.

*Wildpine Trails Inc.  
Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis, Proposed Residential Development  
37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-00263154-A0  
March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)*

## Legal Notification

This report was prepared by EXP Services Inc. (EXP) for the account of Latitude Homes Inc.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. EXP accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this project.



EXP Services Inc.

*Wildpine Trails Inc.  
Updated Geotechnical Investigation and Slope Stability Analysis, Proposed Residential Development  
37 Wildpine Court, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-00263154-A0  
March 20, 2026 (supersedes all previous reports)*

## Report Distribution

Raad Akrawi, Latitude Homes Inc.; [rakrawi@groupeheafey.com](mailto:rakrawi@groupeheafey.com)

Carmine Zayoun, Latitude Homes Inc.; [carmine@zayoungroup.com](mailto:carmine@zayoungroup.com)

