

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Engineering

Land/Site Development

Municipal Infrastructure

Environmental/ Water Resources

Traffic/

Transportation

Recreational

Planning

Land/Site Development

Planning Application Management

Municipal Planning

Urban Design

Expert Witness (LPAT)

Wireless Industry

Landscape **Architecture**

Streetscapes & **Public Amenities**

Open Space, Parks &

Recreation

Community & Residential

Commercial &

Institutional

Environmental Restoration

Copperwood Flats Block 125

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Copperwood Flats

Block 125

City of Ottawa

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Prepared By:

NOVATECH

Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2M 1P6

> March 21, 2025 Revised: May 09, 2025 Revised: August 19, 2025

Novatech File: 122144 Ref: R-2025-009



August 19, 2025

City of Ottawa Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department Planning and Infrastructure Approvals Branch 110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor Ottawa ON, K1P 1J1

Attention: Amanda Davidson, Planner I, Development Review West

Reference: Copperwood Flats – Block 125

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report

Our File No.: 122144

Please find enclosed the 'Servicing and Stormwater Management Report' for the above noted development located in the City of Ottawa. This report is being submitted in support of the site plan application for the proposed development.

Should you have any questions or require additional information, please contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH

Anthony Mestwarp, P. Eng.

Project Manager, Land Development

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Existing Conditions	1
1.2	Proposed Development	1
2.0	SITE CONSTRAINTS	1
3.0	WATER SERVICING	2
4.0	SANITARY SERVICING	4
5.0	STORM SERVICING	5
6.0	STORM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT	6
6.1	Design Criteria	6
6.2	Quantity Control	7
6	.2.1 Impacts to the Copperwood Subdivision System	. 10
6.3	Quality Control	. 10
6.4	Major Overland Flow Route	. 10
7.0	EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL1	0
8.0	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS1	1
9.0	CLOSURE1	2
Apper	ndices	
•	Appendix A Pre - Consultation Meeting Minutes	. 13
	Appendix B Water Servicing	
	Appendix C Sanitary Servicing	
	Appendix D Storm Servicing	. 16
Tables	S	
Table	3.1: Domestic Water Demand Summary	3
Table	3.2: Maximum Flow to be considered from a given hydrant	3
	3.3: Water Boundary Conditions and Hydraulic Analysis Summary5.1: Storm Sewer Design Parameters	
	6.1: Stormwater Management Summary	
Figure	es estate de la constant de la const	
Figure		
Figure		
Figure	Proposed Site Plan	

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Novatech has been retained to prepare a Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for the proposed site plan located within the City of Ottawa. The proposed site is denoted as Block 125 within 1053, 1075 and 1145 March Road Copperwood Estates Subdivision and is presently named Copperwood Flats. The purpose of this report is to support the site plan application for the subject development. **Figure 1** Key Plan shows the site location.

1.1 Existing Conditions

The subject site is approximately 0.55 hectares (ha.) in size and is denoted as Block 125 of the Copperwood Estates Subdivision. Presently the site consists of vacant and undeveloped land.

The site is bound by rue Spoor Street to the west, Buckbean Avenue to the North, Copperwood Estates Subdivision SWM Pond to the east, and Block 126 - Shirley's Brook Northwest branch to the west. The site primarily drains from the west to east with a +/- 2.3m grade differential across the site. **Figure 2** shows the existing site conditions.

The Copperwood Estates subdivision development was designed by Novatech and information is provided in the following report:

 '1053, 1075 and 1145 March Road Copperwood Estate - Detailed Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report (Phase 1) By Novatech dated May 19th, 2023 – 4th Submission' (Referenced as Copperwood Estates Report).


1.2 Proposed Development

It is proposed to develop the site with three (3) low rise stacked buildings complete with above ground parking. The buildings will have individual footprints of 447m² and a total of 36 dwelling units. Vehicular access to the site will be provided from Rue Spoor Street while pedestrian access will be provided from both Rue Spoor Street and Buckbean Avenue. **Figure 3** shows the concept plan for the proposed development. Correspondence from the City pre-consultation meeting for the proposed development is also included in **Appendix A** for reference.

2.0 SITE CONSTRAINTS

A geotechnical investigation was completed for the Copperwood Flats development, and a report prepared entitled 'Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Building Development, Copperwood Flats Block 125, 1075 March Road, Ottawa Ontario prepared by Paterson Group Inc. dated August 1, 2025 (PG6613-1) Revision 4'. The following is a summary of the findings of the report:

- Practical refusal to excavation equipment on bedrock surface was encountered at all test hole locations at depths ranging between 0.7 to 3.1m below existing ground surface.
- Based on available geological mapping, and refusal to excavation, the bedrock in the subject area consists of sandstone and dolomite of the March Formation, with an overburden thickness of 0.7 to 3.1m depth.
- Groundwater infiltration levels were recorded in the open test holes upon completion of the current investigation program. The test holes were noted to be generally dry. It should be noted that groundwater levels are subject to seasonal fluctuations. Therefore,





Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone Facsimile Website

(613) 254-9643 (613) 254-5867 www.novatech-eng.com





CITY OF OTTAWA COPPERWOOD FLATS LOW-RISE **APARTMENTS - BLOCK 125**

KEY PLAN

N.T.S

FIGURE 1 MAR 2025 122144





- the groundwater level could vary at the time of construction but is expected at an approximate elevation between 81.5 and 82.5m.
- Due to the presence of a silty clay deposit at the subject site, a permissible grade raise restriction is required for the proposed development where the silty clay layer is present below the building footprint.
- Based on the undrained shear strength values of the silty clay deposit encountered within the subject site, a permissible grade raise restriction of 3.0 m is recommended for the site. Footings bearing on bedrock are not subjected to permissible grade raise restrictions.
- A temporary Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) permit to take water (PTTW) may be required for this project if more than 400,000 L/day of ground and/or surface water is to be pumped during the construction phase. A minimum 4 to 5 months should be allowed for completion of the PTTW application package and issuance of the permit by the MECP.
- For typical ground or surface water volumes being pumped during the construction phase, typically between 50,000 to 400,000 L/day, it is required to register on the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). A minimum of two to four weeks should be allotted for completion of the EASR registration and the Water Taking and Discharge Plan to be prepared by a Qualified Person as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16.

3.0 WATER SERVICING

There are existing City watermains in all rights-of-way fronting the proposed site. There is an existing 300mm PVC diameter (dia.) watermain within Rue Spoor Street and a 300mm PVC dia. watermain within Buckbean Avenue.

It is proposed to service the development with a private watermain which will connect to the existing 300mm diameter watermain within rue Spoor Street in two (2) locations. The site will be serviced internally with 38mm services to water entry rooms beneath the entry staircases at each building. Each 38mm waterline will service 3 dwelling units with 4 services (12 units) per building.

Water demand calculations have been calculated using criteria from Section 4 of the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and the Ontario Building Code. The required fire demand was calculated using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Guidelines.

Demand Values:

- Residential Demand = 280L/capital/day
- Residential Max Day = 2.5 x Avg. Day
- Residential Peak Hour = 2.2 x Max. Day
- Population Density
 - 2.1 persons/unit (2 Bed Apartments/Stacked Towns)
 - 2.1 persons per unit utilized as stacked towns are all one storey with two bedrooms.
- Fireflows
 - 217.0 L/s. Typical unit fireflow can be found in Appendix B.
 - Calculation method as per Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 and FUS 2020.

System Requirements

Max. Pressure (Unoccupied Areas) 690 kPa (100 psi)
Max. Pressure (Occupied Areas) 552 kPa (80 psi)

Min. Pressure
 Min. Pressure (Fire)
 276 kPa (40 psi) excluding fire flows
 138 kPa (20 psi) including fire flows

Friction Factors:

Watermain Size C-Factor

150mm 100
200-250 mm 110
300-400 mm 120

Water demand and fire flow calculations are provided in **Appendix B** for reference. A summary of the water demand and fire flows are provided in **Table 3.1**.

Table 3.1: Domestic Water Demand Summary

Pop	ulation	Ave. Daily Demand (L/s)	Max. Daily Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)	Fire Flow (L/s)
	76	0.25	2.33	3.50	217

Note as per ITSB 2018-02 the fire flow was distributed among several surrounding hydrants during modelling as outlined in **Table 3.2**.

Table 3.2: Maximum Flow to be considered from a given hydrant.

Hydrant Class	Distance to building	Contribution to Fire Flow			
Tryurant olass	(m)	(L/min)	(L/s)		
AA	≤75	5700	95		
AA	>75and ≥150	3800	63.33		
А	≤75	3800	63.33		
	>75and ≥150	2850	47.50		
В	≤75	1900	31.67		
D	>75and ≥150	1500	25.00		
С	≤75	800	13.33		
	>75and ≥150	800	13.33		

For the purpose of the model, and in light of the available pressures, it was assumed offsite Hydrants would be rated as class AA. As the Fire flow is calculated as 217L/s, three (3) hydrants

will be required to achieve the required flow. There are presently two (2) existing class AA Hydrants along the east side of Rue Spoor Street, and one (1) hydrant on the North side of Buckbean Avenue. Additionally, one (1) private hydrant is proposed in the south-east corner of the subject site. Thus four (4) AA hydrants will be within 150m of each proposed building capable of providing a combined total flow of 217 L/s of flow as per **Table 3.2.** Refer to **Appendix B** for calculations and the Hydrant Coverage figure.

The above demands were inserted into the EPA Net hydraulic model for the Copperwood Estates Subdivision for analyzing the performance of the proposed watermain system for three theoretical conditions: 1) High Pressure check under Average Day conditions, 2) Peak Hour demand, 3) Maximum Day + Fire Flow Demand.

Refer to **Table 3.3** for a summary of the boundary conditions and hydraulic analysis.

Table 5.5. Water Boundary Conditions and Hydraune Analysis Summary							
Condition	Demand (Block 125) (L/s)	Min/Max Allowable Operating Pressures (psi)	Limits of Design Operating Pressures (psi)				
High Pressure	0.25 L/s	80psi (Max)	63psi (Block 125)				
Maximum Daily Demand and Fire Flow	219.33 L/s	20psi (Min)	38psi (Block 125)				
Peak Hour	3.50 L/s	40psi (Min)	49psi (Block 125)				

Table 3.3: Water Boundary Conditions and Hydraulic Analysis Summary

Based on the preceding analysis it can be concluded that the existing watermain system will provide adequate system pressures and flows to service the proposed development. Refer to **Appendix B** for detailed model results, schematics of the model and boundary conditions.

4.0 SANITARY SERVICING

There is an existing 375mm PVC diameter sanitary sewer within rue Spoor Street Road right-of-way, and a 375mm PVC diameter sanitary sewer within Buckbean Avenue that was installed as part of the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision**.

It is proposed to service the proposed development with two (2) 200mm diameter private sanitary sewers. One service will connect to an existing 1200mm diameter sanitary manhole within rue Spoor Street, while the other will require a proposed manhole at the connection location. The site will be serviced internally with 135mm services to water entry rooms beneath the entry staircases at each building. Each 135mm sanitary service will service 3 dwelling units with 4 services (12 units) per building.

Sanitary flows for the proposed development were calculated using criteria from Section 4 of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines and the Ontario Building Code as follows:

- Residential Average Flow = 280 L/capita/day
- Population Density
 - 2.1 persons/unit (2 Bed Apartments/Stacked Towns)

- 2.1 persons per unit utilized as stacked towns are all one storey with two bedrooms.
- Residential Peaking Factor = Harmon Equation (max peaking factor = 4.0)
- Commercial Peaking Factor = 1.0
- Peak Extraneous Flows (Infiltration) = 0.33L/s/ha

The peak sanitary flow including infiltration for the development was calculated to be **0.81L/s** at the south connection and **0.27L/s** at the North section for a total flow of **1.08 L/s**. Detailed sanitary flow calculations are provided in **Appendix C** for reference.

As noted previously, the detailed design of the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision** was completed by Novatech with details provided within the Report. The Subdivision design assumed that Block 125 & Block 284 was to be a residential development area for a total assumed population of 232. The design criteria are summarized below, and excerpts from the report are included within **Appendix C** for reference.

- Average Daily Flow = 280 L/capita/day
- Residential Peaking Factor = Harmon Equation (max peaking factor = 4.0)
- Commercial/ Institutional Peaking Factor = 1.0
- Peak Extraneous Flows (Infiltration) = 0.33L/s/ha

The resultant flow for Block 125 & Block 284 was calculated to be **4.0 L/s**. The combined area of Block 125 and Block 284 is **1.71ha**. Therefore, the allotment of flow associated with the **0.55ha** Block 125 (based percentage of area) is **1.28 L/s**. The assumed design flow was higher than currently proposed, thus the existing infrastructure within the Copperwood Estates Subdivision has capacity to service the proposed development.

5.0 STORM SERVICING

There is a 1500mm concrete storm sewer located within Rue Spoor Street right-of-way fronting to the proposed development and a 1650mm concrete storm sewer located within Buckbean Avenue right-of-way as apart of the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision**.

It is proposed to provide storm sewers within the development and connect to an existing storm manhole within rue Spoor Street. The proposed storm sewers will vary in size ranging from 250mm to 450mm in diameter, with 750mm diameter underground storage pipes to control peak flows. The site will be serviced internally with 100mm services to water entry rooms beneath the one entry staircases at each building. Each 100mm storm service will service the foundation drainage system of the proposed building. The proposed roof is peaked, and roof drainage will be directed to downspouts that will discharge to the surface.

The design criteria used in sizing the storm sewers are summarized below in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1: Storm Sewer Design Parameters

Parameter	Design Criteria
Local Roads	2 Year Return Period
Storm Sewer Design	Rational Method
IDF Rainfall Data	Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines
Initial Time of Concentration (Tc)	10 min
Minimum Velocity	0.8 m/s
Maximum Velocity	3.0 m/s
Minimum Diameter	250 mm

Refer to **Appendix D** for detailed storm drainage area plans and storm sewer design sheets.

6.0 STORM DRAINAGE AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

The stormwater management strategy for the site is based on the established criteria from the City of Ottawa, and the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision** Report.

6.1 Design Criteria

Through correspondence with the City of Ottawa, the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision** Report and our knowledge of development requirements in the area, the following criteria have been adopted to control post-development stormwater discharge from the site:

- Control proposed development flows, up to and including the 100-year storm event, to an allowable release rate of **117.6L/s**
- Provide source controls which are in conformity with the City of Ottawa requirements, where possible;
- Limit ponding to 0.15 m for all rooftop storage areas and 0.30 m for all parking storage areas;
- Quality control will be provided by the downstream SWM pond associated with Copperwood Estates Subdivision.
- Provide guidelines to ensure that site preparation and construction is in accordance with the current Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control.

The approach to the stormwater management design is to determine the allowable release rate for the site, calculate the uncontrolled flow, and ensure that the remaining flow, in combination with the uncontrolled flow, does not exceed the allowable release rate. All proposed development runoff in excess of the allowable release rate, will be attenuated on-site prior to being released into the storm sewers within rue Spoor Street.

6.2 Quantity Control

The allowable release rate for the 0.580 ha catchment was calculated to be **117.6** L/s based on the SWM criteria provided by the City of Ottawa, and the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision** Report. Excerpts from the report are included within **Appendix C** for reference.

Design Storms

The design storms are based on City of Ottawa design storms. Design storms were used for the 5, 100, and 100+20%-year return periods (i.e. storm events).

Model Parameters

Post-development catchments were analyzed utilizing the rational method based on the proposed site plan and grading as shown on **Drawing 122144-SWM** within **Appendix D**.

The site has been divided into nine (9) drainage areas for the post development condition. The drainage areas are as follows:

Area A-01 & A-02

• Flows from the proposed parking area abutting the existing SWM facility, and rear portion of the stacked town roofs will be conveyed to the existing storm sewer in rue Spoor Street. These flows will be captured by catchbasin manholes and conveyed to a 750mm diameter pipe. Flows from the manholes will be conveyed by superpipe and controlled by an inlet control device (ICD). Additional storage will be provided within the parking area.

Area A-03 & A-04

Flows from the stacked town roofs and outdoor amenity area will be conveyed to the
existing storm sewer in rue Spoor Street. These flows will be captured by a catchbasins
manhole, and a landscape drain. Flows will be conveyed by superpipe and controlled by
an inlet control device (ICD), with storage provided underground within the superpipe and
above ground within the amenity area.

Area A-05

Flows from the proposed central parking area, and stacked town roofs will be conveyed
to the existing storm sewer in rue Spoor Street. These flows will be captured by a
catchbasin. Flows will be conveyed by storm sewer and controlled by an inlet control
device (ICD). Surface storage is provided in parking area.

Area D-01:

• A portion of the drainage along the east frontage of the property and Block 1 roof will flow uncontrolled to the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision** SWM Pond.

Area D-02:

 The drainage along the north frontage of the property and Block 1 roof will flow uncontrolled to the Buckbean Avenue right-of-way, where it will be captured by the existing storm system apart of Copperwood Estates Subdivision.

Area D-03

 A portion of the drainage along the west frontage of the property and Block 3 roof will flow uncontrolled to the rue Spoor Street right-of-way, where it will be captured by the existing storm system apart of Copperwood Estates Subdivision.

Area D-04:

 A portion of the drainage along the south frontage of the property and Block 3 roof will flow uncontrolled to Block 126 - Shirley's Brook Northwest branch, where it will be captured by the existing storm system apart of Copperwood Estates Subdivision.

Table **6.1 below** summarizes the flow, storage required, and storage provided for each of the site drainage areas.

Table 6.1: Stormwater Management Summary

	_		1:100	•			2 Year Storm	Event			5 Year Storm	Event		1	00 Year St	orm Ever	nt
Area ID	Area (ha)	1:5 Year Weighted Cw	Year Weighted Cw	Control Device	Outlet Location	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provide d
D-01	0.037	0.51	0.58	N/A	SWMF	4.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.50	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-02	0.037	0.63	0.71	N/A	Buckbean Avenue	4.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.80	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-03	0.031	0.65	0.71	N/A	Spoor Street	4.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.30	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-04	0.031	0.68	0.76	N/A	Shirleys Brook	4.50	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.70	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-05	0.026	0.57	0.64	N/A	Shirleys Brook	3.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.20	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.20	N/A	N/A	N/A
A-01-02 (CBMH 213)	0.218	0.78	0.88	Plate Oriface Dia 94	Spoor Street	13.80	0.52	21.78	60.86	16.60	0.77	31.09	60.86	29.72	2.40	60.85	60.86
A-03-04 (STMMH 206)	0.115	0.61	0.69	LMF 75	Spoor Street	4.40	0.78	10.12	31.42	5.00	0.98	14.63	31.42	8.20	2.70	29.93	31.42
A-05 (CB 209)	0.082	0.80	0.89	Plate Oriface Dia 102	Spoor Street	14.09	0.400	0.00	8.72	19.12	0.720	0.00	8.72	25.20	1.280	6.82	8.72
Post-Development Flow			53.1	-			68.8				117.6	-	97.6				
Total Allowable Releas	e Rate					117.6				117.6				117.6			

Refer to **Appendix D** for Rational Method calculations and **Drawing SWM**-Stormwater Management Plan.

As per the above table the site flows will be restricted to the allowable release rate of **117.6L/s**. This release rate meets the requirements noted within the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision Report**, and thus no additional quantity control measures are required.

6.2.1 Impacts to the Copperwood Subdivision System

The original design of the Copperwood Subdivision assumed that all flows from Block 125 would be controlled on site with no direct run-off to the surrounding rights-of-way. As outlined above the proposed development contains four (4) direct run-off areas. As such the model for the overall subdivision was updated to review the impacts on the overall system. Due to the increased direct run-off, it is recommended to increase the exiting 94mm ICDs within CB5/CB6 to 102mm as depicted on the General Plan of Services (122144-GP). There are no other impacts to the system, and the overall system will continue to function as designed. A memo titled Copperwood Flats Block 125 Medium Density Development Stormwater Impacts of Block 125 on Overall Subdivision Model (PCSWMM) was prepared and is included within **Appendix C** for reference.

6.3 Quality Control

Quality control will be provided by the existing downstream SWM Pond for the **Copperwood Estates Subdivision**.

6.4 Major Overland Flow Route

A major overland flow route will be provided for storms greater than the 100-year storm event. Stormwater will be directed to the surrounding rights-of-way, and the SWM Pond for the **Copperwood Estates subdivision**. The major overland system is shown on the Grading Plan (drawing 122144-GR).

7.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

Temporary erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented on-site during construction in accordance with the Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control. This includes the following temporary measures:

- Filter socks (catchbasin inserts) will be placed in existing and proposed catchbasins and catchbasin manholes, and will remain in place until vegetation has been established and construction is completed;
- Silt fencing will be placed along the surrounding construction limits;
- Mud mats will be installed at the site entrances;
- The contractor will be required to perform regular street sweeping and cleaning as required, to suppress dust and to provide safe and clean roadways adjacent to the construction site;

Erosion and sediment control measures should be inspected daily and after every rain event to determine maintenance, repair or replacement requirements. Sediments or granulars that enter site sewers shall be removed immediately by the contractor. These measures will be implemented prior to the commencement of construction and maintained in good order until vegetation has been established. Refer to the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (drawing 122144-ESC) for additional information.

8.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Watermain

The analysis of the existing and proposed watermain network confirms the following:

- The proposed 150mm dia. private watermain which connects to the existing 300mm watermain within rue Spoor Street can service the proposed development.
- There are adequate pressures in the existing watermain infrastructure to meet the required domestic demands for the development.
- There is adequate flow to service the proposed fire protections system.

Sanitary Servicing

The analysis of the existing and proposed sanitary system confirms the following:

- It is proposed to service the development with a proposed 200mm private sanitary sewer which will connect to the existing manhole within the rue Spoor Street right-of-way.
- It is anticipated there is adequate capacity within the existing sanitary infrastructure to service the development.

Stormwater Management

The following provides a summary of the storm sewer and stormwater management system:

- The proposed private storm sewer system is to connect to the storm sewers within in the rue Spoor Street right-of-way.
- Storm flows will be attenuated through the implementation of inlet control devices.
- As per existing conditions a major overland flow routes have been provided to the surrounding rights-of-way.
- Quality control is provided by the existing downstream SWM facility.

Erosion and Sediment control

 Erosion and sediment control measures (i.e. filter fabric, catch basin inserts, silt fences, etc.) will be implemented prior to construction and are to remain in place until vegetation is established.

9.0 CLOSURE

The preceding report is respectfully submitted for review and approval. Please contact the undersigned should you have questions or require additional information.

NOVATECH

Prepared by:

Curtis Ferguson, P.Eng Project Engineer Land Development Engineering Reviewed by:



Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng Project Manager Land Development Engineering

A
Appendix A Pre - Consultation Meeting Minutes
rie - Consultation Meeting Minutes
Novatech

Copperwood Flats – Block 125

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report



File No.: PC2024-0316

October 11, 2024

Robert Tran Novatech Engineering

Via email: r.tran@novatech-eng.com

Subject: Phase 2 Pre-Consultation: Meeting Feedback and City Response to

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter September 17, 2024 Proposed Site Plan Control Application – 1053, 1075, 1145 March

Road

Please find below information regarding next steps as well as consolidated comments from the above-noted pre-consultation meeting held on August 16, 2024.

Pre-Consultation Preliminary Assessment

		1	1	
4 —	• -		4 —	
1	7	l 3 ⊻	1	5
I L	∠ ⊔	J 🔼	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	J

One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required while five (5) suggests that the proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.

Next Steps

- 1. A review of the proposal and materials submitted for the above-noted preconsultation has been undertaken. Please consider proceeding to a Phase 3 preconsultation. Fill in the Pre-consultation Application Form and submit it together with the necessary studies and/or plans to planningcirculations@ottawa.ca.
- 2. In your subsequent submission, please ensure that all comments or issues detailed herein are addressed. A detailed cover letter stating how each issue has been addressed must be included with the submission materials. Please coordinate the numbering of your responses within the cover letter with the comment number(s) herein.
- 3. Please note, if your development proposal changes significantly in scope, design, or density, you may be recommended to complete or repeat the pre-consultation process before filing an Official application.

Supporting Information and Material Requirements

1. The attached **Study and Plan Identification List** outlines the information and material that has been further identified and/or confirmed, during this phase of pre-



consultation, as <u>required</u> (R) or <u>advised</u> (A) as part of a future complete application submission.

a. The required plans and studies must meet the City's Terms of Reference (ToR) and/or Guidelines, as available on <u>Ottawa.ca</u>. These ToR and Guidelines outline the specific requirements that must be met for each plan or study to be deemed adequate.

Consultation with Technical Agencies

1. You are encouraged to consult with technical agencies early in the development process and throughout the development of your project concept. A list of technical agencies and their contact information is enclosed.

Proposed Development

1. Planned Unit Development consisting of three (3) twelve (12) unit stacked townhouses within the Copperwood Estates Subdivision in Kanata North.

<u>Planning</u>

List of Studies and Plans Reviewed:

Copperwood Kanata Stacked Flats Study, prepared by Hobin Architecture,
July 2024.

□ **Site Plan**, SP-1, prepared by Hobin Architecture, dated July 29, 2024.

Deficiencies:

- An updated Study and Plan Identification list has been provided. Please ensure that required studies and plans are submitted with a formal application. Staff will require additional information (elevations, etc.) in order to comment on specific policies and zoning conformity.
- 2. Please be advised that until the corresponding draft approved subdivision is registered, the site will not be considered zoning compliant. Until such time as the subdivision is registered, the site does not have legal access or servicing and will not comply with Section 56 and Section 59 of the Zoning By-law.
 - a. Additionally, please be advised that the site will not be considered zoning compliant until the holding provision is lifted. No construction is permitted prior to the lifting of the hold.



- 3. Please confirm setback from Block 3 to the relocated Shirley's Brook Northwest Branch (Tributary #2) as per Section 69 of the zoning by-law (Setbacks from Watercourses and Waterbodies).
 - a. Subsection 3 states that "Development requiring a plan of subdivision or that is subject to site plan control must provide the watercourse or waterbody setbacks set forth in subsection (2) unless, as established through conditions of approval, a different setback is determined to be appropriate in accordance with the criteria set forth in the Official Plan. (By-law 2009-347)".
 - b. Setback should be reflected on the subsequent submission.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

The Shirley's Brook Tributary has been realigned as part of the approved Copperwood Estates Subdivision (City File No.: D07-16-18-0023 and D02-02-18-0076). A 40 metre wide realigned corridor is being provided in accordance with the City Council approved Kanata North Community Design Plan (2016) and Environmental Management Plan (2016). The approved Combined Environmental Impact Statement and Tree Conservation Report (Revised) prepared by McKinley Environmental Solutions dated November 2019 addresses the realignment of the tributary and setback from this feature. Specifically in Section 4.2.1 Tributary Setbacks of the report,

"As specified in Section 4.7.3 of the City of Ottawa Official Plan, current policy recommends that the setback from watercourses should be the greater of either 15 m from the top of slope or 30 m from the normal high-water mark of the watercourse. The minimum 40 m wide corridor surrounding the tributaries of Shirley's Brook established by the KNUEA EMP effectively requires implementation of a 20 m setback from the watercourses. The City of Ottawa Official Plan Policy 4.7.3 identifies four (4) items that are to be addressed in cases where watercourse setbacks are less than 30 m from the normal highwater mark.

A. Slope and Bank Stability: The realigned North Tributary of Shirley's Brook will be designed to minimize erosion potential. Tree planting within the setbacks (discussed below in Section 4.2.4), will help to stabilize the slope and prevent future erosion. No significant slope and bank stability issues have been identified. B. Natural Vegetation and Ecological Functions in the Setback Area: As discussed above, under existing conditions the majority of the North Tributary lacks riparian tree cover. During the realignment process, vegetation cover within the watercourse corridor will be enhanced, thereby improving the quality of the habitat above existing conditions.

C. The Nature of the Abutting Waterbody and the Presence of the Floodplain: The floodplain of the North Tributary will be confined within the minimum 40 m wide watercourse corridor following development of the Site (Novatech 2016b). D. No Negative Impacts on Fish Habitat: As discussed above, the North Tributary currently provides low quality, intermittent fish habitat for a tolerant warm-water




fish community (MES 2019a). As described below, the realignment process will include habitat restoration works, which will improve the quality of fish habitat above existing conditions.

In summary, the minimum 40 m wide corridor surrounding the realigned North Tributary is anticipated to be sufficient to protect the ecological functions of the watercourse. As part of the realignment process, habitat restoration and habitat enhancement works will be undertaken, which will improve the quality of the aquatic habitat above existing conditions".

Based on the above, no further setbacks from the realignment of the Shirley's Brook Tributary #2 will be required from the proposed development.


City Response: Acknowledged.

- 4. Please provide the width of the parking spaces between Block 2 and Block 3 to confirm conformity with the zoning by-law.
- 5. Ensure setbacks are dimensioned from the closest point of the building to the property line. See image below regarding the rear yard setback for Block 3, please confirm the shortest dimension.



6. The lockers located on the balconies are not permitted projections within Section 65 of the zoning by-law, and are considered part of the main building footprint. Please review and ensure compliant setbacks are provided, with reference to the rear yard setback for Block 3. The lockers do not currently comply with a minimum rear yard setback of 6 meters.





Comments:

7. Official Plan

- a. The subject lands are located within the Suburban transect and are designated Neighbourhood with an Evolving Neighbourhood overlay as per Schedule A and Schedule B5, respectively.
- b. The subject lands are located within the Kanata North Community Design Plan area. Within the design brief, please include discussion regarding the proposal's consideration of the vision and objectives of the Kanata North Community Design Plan.
- c. There are no secondary plans applicable to the site.
- d. The site is subject to an area specific policy as indicated in Annex 5, specifically Area Specific Policy 6 - Kanata North.
 - Volume 2C Area Speific Policies, Policy #6 Kanata North
 - 1. Council has approved the Kanata North Community Design Plan (CDP) to guide future development. Development is therefore to occur in keeping with the CDP and policies within the Official Plan, subject to the following:
 - a. Residential development is to be not more than 55 per cent single detached dwellings, at least 10 per



cent apartment dwellings and the remainder multiple dwellings, other than apartments; and

- b. The overall residential development will meet the minimum average density target of 36 units per net hectare. Net residential density is based on the area of land exclusively for residential use, including lanes and parking areas internal to developments but excluding public streets, right of way and all nonresidential uses.
- 2. Landowners within the boundary of the Kanata North Community Design Plan, approved by Council, shall enter into private agreement(s) to share the costs of the major infrastructure projects and associated studies and plans required for the development of the Kanata North Urban Expansion Area (UEA). In addition, the landowners shall enter into private agreement(s) to share the dedication and costs of development of parkland.

Such agreement(s) are initiated by the landowners within the defined Kanata North UEA and provide for the fair sharing of costs among the benefiting parties, to complement or replace the provisions of a Development Charges By-law. Each agreement shall contain a financial schedule describing the estimated costs of the major infrastructure projects or parkland requirements and associated studies and plans, as well as the proportionate share of the costs for each landowner. The City will require the execution of the agreement(s) by each landowner prior to the approval of any application by the landowner for draft plan of subdivision or condominium, conditional approval of a severance, or approval of site plan control. The City shall include, as a condition of approval for all plans of subdivision and condominium, site plan and severance applications in Kanata North UEA, requiring notification from the Trustee of the Kanata North Landowners Group that the owner is party to the agreement(s) and has paid its share of any costs pursuant to the agreement(s).

 Please include discussion of Special Policy 6 within the planning rationale.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

As per the City of Ottawa's approved Terms of References for Planning Rationales, a Planning Rationale is not required for Site Plan Control applications. Nonetheless, an



acknowledgement that the Subject Site is situated within the Special Policy 6 of the City of Ottawa Official Plan will be referenced in the cover letter.

City Response: Acknowledged. A Planning Rationale is not required for the site plan control application.

- 8. Section 4.8.2 within the Official Plan requires that development accommodate space for tree planting. Please ensure that the landscaping plan illustrates tree planting options.
 - a. Please consider opportunities to include planting along the southern boundary of the site adjacent to the tributary, as per the environmental comments below, to further assist with protecting the naturalized corridor.
- 9. Policy 9 of Section 4.1.2 outlines that proponents of development shall provide an adequate number of bicycle parking facilities, and identifies associated requirements for short- and long-term bicycle parking.
 - a. Please consider whether there are opportunities to provide bicycle parking on-site for future residents.
- 10. Policy 3 of Section 4.6.5 states that development shall minimize conflict between vehicles and pedestrians and improve the attractiveness of the public realm by internalizing all servicing, loading areas, mechanical equipment and utilities into the design of the building, and by accommodating space on the site for trees, where possible. Policy 3 further states that where underground parking is not viable, surface parking must be visually screened from the public realm.
 - a. For the parking lot between Block 2 and 3, please demonstrate on the landscaping plan how the site will be screened from Spoor street.
 - b. Consider opportunities to relocate the parking lot between Block 2 and 3 to the east of the buildings, rather than fronting on Spoor Street.
- 11. Policy 11 of Section 4.1.4 outlines requirements for surface parking lots, including regular spacing of tree islands that support the growth of mature shade trees.
 - Consider opportunities to provide additional tree planting within the surface parking, as per Forestry comments below and reducing heat island effect.

12. Zoning

a. The subject lands are zoned R4Z[2818]-h (Residential Fourth Density, Subzone Z, Urban Exception 2818, subject to a holding provision).



- The R4Z zones permits low-rise residential uses. Planned Unit Development and Dwelling, Stacked are permitted uses within the R4Z zone.
- b. The site is currently subject to a holding provision, as indicated within the text of Urban Exception 2818. No construction of buildings is permitted prior to the removal of the holding symbol.
 - i. Urban Exception 2818 states that the holding provision shall not be removed until the following conditions are satisfied:
 - Approval of detail design for the stormwater management pond and Shirley's Brook Tributary 2 realignment and restoration plan within the 1053, 1075 and 1145 March Road subdivision;
 - 2. Submission of an Environmental Compliance Approval application to the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks for the stormwater management pond within the 1053, 1075 and 1145 March Road subdivision;
 - 3. Written permission from Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority based on Ontario Regulation 153/06 for the works outlined in item 1. above; and
 - 4. Provision of updated floodplain mapping for the Shirley's Brook Tributary 2 to the City of Ottawa by the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority illustrating removal of the floodplain from the lot.
 - ii. As noted in Comment #2, please be advised that the site plan will not be considered zoning compliant until the holding provision is lifted.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

It should be noted that Items #1-3 have been completed with Item #4 ongoing as discussions are taking place between the MVCA and Novatech on the requirement to update the floodplain mapping.

City Response: Acknowledged.

13. Parking Requirements

a. The minimum parking rates identified for Area D on Schedule 1A in Table 101 of the Zoning By-law apply:



- i. Planned Unit Development as per dwelling type
- ii. Dwelling, stacked
 - 1. 1 per dwelling unit.
- b. The minimum visitor parking rates identified for Area D on Schedule 1A in Table 102 of the Zoning By-law apply:
 - Stacked dwelling
 - 1. 0.2 per dwelling unit

Required Applications

- 14. The proposal will require a Site Plan Control Complex Application
 - a. Please refer to the City of Ottawa website for more information about the site plan control process. <u>Site Plan Control | City of Ottawa</u>
- 15. The proposal will require a Lifting of Holding By-Law
 - a. Please refer to the City of Ottawa website for more information about the Lifting Holding By-Law process <u>Lifting Holding By-law | City of Ottawa</u>
- 16. The proposal will require a Plan of Condominium if the intention is to proceed with freehold units.
 - a. Please refer to <u>Plan of Condominium | City of Ottawa</u> for additional information regarding the Plan of Condominium process.

Feel free to contact Amanda Davidson (<u>amanda.davidson@ottawa.ca</u>), Planner I, for follow-up questions.

<u>Urban Design</u>

Comments:

- 17. Staff require a scoped Urban Design Brief, architectural plans (Site Plan, Building Elevations, etc.), and a Landscape Plan. Please refer to the attached Urban Design Brief Terms of Reference.
- 18. As part of the landscape details, please ensure that the private amenity area is detailed.
- 19. If there is a fence surrounding the private amenity area, please ensure that it is a low fence that facilitates visibility from the street.



20. Explore opportunities to enhance circulation to the pathway along the SWM pond.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

The approved Draft Plan Conditions for the Copperwood Estates Subdivision (Condition #46) requires that a fence be constructed between the SWM pond and the Subject Site. As such, no connection will be provided.

City Response: Acknowledged.

21. Explore additional opportunities for tree and low-scale planting throughout the site.

Feel free to contact Nader Kadri (<u>nader.kadri@ottawa.ca</u>), Planner III, for follow-up questions.

Engineering

<u>List of Studies and Plans Reviewed:</u>

Water Design

- Submission to include watermain system analysis demonstrating adequate pressure at all sections of the private watermain as per section 4.2.2 of the Water Distribution Guidelines.
- b. Demonstrate adequate hydrant coverage for fire protection. Please review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02, Appendix I table 1 maximum flow to be considered from a given hydrant.
- c. Any proposed emergency route (to be satisfactory to Fire Services).

Sanitary Design

- a. Sanitary discharge rate as per Copperwood subdivision detailed servicing design. Refer to 1053, 1075, and 1145 March Road Copperwood Estate Detailed Servicing and Stormwater Management Report (Phase 1), Report R-2021-188 prepared by Novatech revised May 19, 2023 and Sanitary Drainage Area Plan, drawing 116132-SAN prepared by Novatech revision 8 dated May 19, 2023
- b. A monitoring maintenance hole is required just inside the property line for the proposed development.



c. Please apply the wastewater design flow parameters in Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01.

Storm Design

d. Stormwater Management Design criteria as per Copperwood subdivision detailed servicing design. Refer to 1053, 1075, and 1145 March Road Copperwood Estate Detailed Servicing and Stormwater Management Report (Phase 1), Report R-2021-188 prepared by Novatech revised May 19, 2023 and Storm Drainage Area Plan, drawing 116132-STM prepared by Novatech revision 9 dated May 19, 2023

23. Geotechnical

 Sensitive Marine Clay (SMC) is widely found across Ottawa- geotechnical reports should include Atterberg Limits, consolidation testing, sensitivity values, and vane

24. Additional Notes

- a. No road moratorium that would impact the application has been identified
- b. Any easement identified should be shown on all plans
- c. For any proposed exterior light fixtures, please provide certification from a licensed professional engineer confirming lighting has been designed only using fixtures that meet the criteria for full cut-off classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America and result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (maximum allowable spillage is 0.5 fc). Additionally, include in the submission the location of the fixtures, fixture type (make, model, part number and mounting height.

Feel free to contact Abibatou Dieme (<u>abibatou.dieme@ottawa.ca</u>), Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

Noise

Comments:

22. A noise study is required.

Feel free to contact Mike Giampa (<u>mike.giampa@ottawa.ca</u>), Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.



Transportation

Comments:

- 23. Buckbean and Spoor road designs (cross sections, pavement width, street parking, etc.) must match the approved subdivision geometric road design drawings.
- 24. Right-of-way protection.
 - a. See Schedule C16 of the Official Plan.
 - a. Any requests for exceptions to ROW protection requirements must be discussed with Transportation Planning and concurrence provided by Transportation Planning management.
- 25. A TIA is not required.

Feel free to contact Mike Giampa (<u>mike.giampa@ottawa.ca</u>), Transportation Project Manager, for follow-up questions.

Environment

Comments:

26. Should the application proceed in advance of registration of the subdivision, an updated Environmental Impact Study will be required and you may require your own permit for Blandings Turtles (endangered species) or the existing permit may need to transfer name.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

The approved Environmental Impact Study will not be updated as this report has been approved as part of the Copperwood Estates Subdivision as discussed above. A permit for Blanding's Turtle was issued for the Copperwood Estate Subdivision by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks dated March 22, 2021. Currently, the Copperwood Estates Subdivision is currently under construction with the Shirley's Brook Tributary realigned.

City Response: Work on this site will need to follow the recommendations of the Combined Environmental Impact Statement & Tree Conservation report and those of the subsequent addendums. The City recommends that a Minor EIS be prepared to summarize the work completed under the MECP permit, a summary of the monitoring results and what considerations need to be addressed as part of the site plan approval, for example the location of the proposed snow storage adjacent to the corridor.



Development within 30 m of a watercourse and endangered species habitat is a trigger for an EIS.

27. After registration, please provide an Integrated Environmental Report indicating that the recommendations of the subdivision and Environmental Management Plan are implemented.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

An Integrated Environmental Report will not be submitted after registration. The Planning Rationale and Integrated Environmental Review prepared by Novatech dated July 24, 2018 was approved as part of the Copperwood Estates Subdivision. No IER is required as a Planning Rationale is not required.

City Response: Noted, draft conditions reviewed and it isn't required.

28. Please discuss how the site will integrate with the pathway. Turtle fencing is a requirement of the subdivision that was proposed to address the requirements of the ESA/Blanding's turtle. It needs to be installed before this site plan is built.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

No connections to pathway will be provided as discussed during the meeting.

City Response: Acknowledge that there will be no direct connections to the pathway. The pathway is a requirement however of the subdivision and the site will need to address this and on how they transition their site to the public pathway.

29. Considering that the tributary to the south is protected turtle habitat, staff have concerns with the snow storage in the southeast corner. Runoff from that snow as it melts can carry a lot of salt and other contaminants into the water. The snow storage location should be moved, or an engineered solution should be provided to ensure runoff does not enter the tributary.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

An engineered solution will be provided to ensure runoff does not enter the tributary.

City Response: Noted, see comment regarding EIS.



30. Plantings on the southern grassy strip would assist with protecting the naturalized corridor. More space could be made for tree plantings if the pavers were moved a little north, closer to the building. The southernmost building (block 3) itself could also be moved slightly north, there seems to be some room left with regard to the yard setbacks on the north side of the building.

Feel free to contact Matthew Hayley (<u>matthew.hayley@ottawa.ca</u>), Environmental Planner, for follow-up questions.

Forestry

Comments:

31. Confirm whether any trees remain on the sites proposed for development. If yes, a TCR will be required with the Site Plan application, in accordance with Schedule E of the Tree Protection By-law.

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:


There are no existing trees on the Subject Site. A Tree Cutting Permit (City File No.: D06-01- 18-0133) was issued by the City of Ottawa for the Copperwood Estates Subdivision in March 2021. As such, a Tree Conservation Report is not required and will not be submitted for the Subject Site.

City Response: Response document confirmed that all trees have been removed as part of the subdivision plan and permit. No further comments on the TCR.

The following comment (comment #32) was not adequately addressed in the response letter. It must be confirmed that the design of this site, particularly the parking and grading along the property lines will not impact newly planted trees or proposed tree planting locations on the adjacent property.

32. The 2 adjacent properties (Shirley's brook tributary #2 and SWM site) have Landscape Plans in place. The design of the PUD and proposed parking, services, etc. must account for the retention, protection and growing space for trees planted and/or proposed on these properties.





- 33. Snip from TCR Addendum July 5, 2023. McKinley.
 - a. A permit is required prior to removal of any protected trees on site. The tree permit will be released upon site plan approval. Monetary compensation for City trees must be paid before the permit is issued. Please contact the planner associated with the file or the Planning Forester, Nancy Young (<u>Nancy.young@ottawa.ca</u>) for information on obtaining the tree permit.
 - To ensure that no harm is caused to breeding birds, tree removal and vegetation clearing should be avoided during the migratory bird season (April 15 – August 15) as specified by The City of Ottawa's Environmental Impact Study Guidelines.

City Response: All landscape plan comments from the pre-consultation are still outstanding.

Landscape Plan Comments

- 34. A Landscape Plan is required with this application and must address all requirements within the Landscape Plan Terms of Reference (https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents/files/landscape_tor_en.pdf), including the projection of canopy cover toward the target of 40%, and confirmation of adequate soil volumes to support any proposed trees.
- 35. Please ensure that any tree planting conforms with the recommendations in the geotechnical report provided with the subdivision application. If there are specific



recommendations relating to this site, they must be reflected in the Landscape Plan, including confirmation that the proposed layout will provide sufficient setbacks for tree planting.

- 36. Please provide street trees within the 2 municipal road allowances. This must be provided by either the subdivision development or through the site plan application.
- 37. The Landscape Plan must show the setback distances between proposed and existing trees to buildings and underground structures to ensure that both the above and below-ground space proposed is sufficient for tree planting in the Right of Way and other landscaped areas.
- 38. It is a Best Management Practice to plant 1 tree for every 5 parking spaces to reduce the heat island effect created by paving and also to work toward the 40% canopy cover target. Ideally trees could be planted directly in the vicinity of parking areas to provide shade; please consider this in the site layout.
- 39. The Official Plan section 4.8.2, sub 3 provides the following direction related to tree planting related to site plans:
 - a. Preserve and provide space for mature, healthy trees on private and public property, including the provision of adequate volumes of highquality soil as recommended by a Landscape Architect;
 - b. On urban properties subject to site plan control or community planning permits, development shall create tree planting areas within the site and in the adjacent boulevard, as applicable, that meet the soil volume requirements in any applicable City standards or best management practices or in accordance with the recommendation of a Landscape Architect.

Feel free to contact Nancy Young, Forester, nancy.young@ottawa.ca, for follow-up questions.

Parkland

- 40. the Parkland Dedication is requirements for the development will have been accounted for through the registration of subdivision application: D07-16-18-0023. The conditions of subdivision registration will require updating to account for the multi-residential units. The owners planning team is asked to contact the park planner to confirm the approach to parkland tracking in light of the landowners cost sharing agreement.
- 41. How many site plan applications are anticipated for the multi-unit residential blocks within the draft plan of subdivision?



Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

Separate Site Plan Control applications will be filed for each of the multi-unit residential blocks with the Copperwood Estates Subdivision.

City Response: Acknowledged.

42. What is the unit count and commercial square footage expected in the multi-unit blocks within the plan of subdivision. Are we still anticipated 216 units as was indicated in the subdivision application?

Novatech Pre-Consultation Response Letter, September 17 2024:

To be confirmed at the time of Site Plan Control application. No commercial will be developed.

City Response: Acknowledged.

43. Should any of the site plan developments cumulatively result in a parkland dedication requirement exceeding that which is accounted for through the subdivision agreement, there will be a requirement for Cash-in-lieu of parkland.

Feel free to contact Anissa McAlpine (<u>anissa.mcalpine@ottawa.ca</u>), Parks Planner, for follow-up questions.

We look forward to further discussing your project with you.

Should there be any questions, please do not hesitate to contact myself or the contact identified for the above areas / disciplines.

Yours Truly,

Amanda Davidson
Planner I, Development Review West

Encl. Study and Plan Identification List List of Technical Agencies

c.c. Stream Shen, Planner III, Development Review West



Abi Dieme, Infrastructure Approvals Project Manager Rubina Rasool, Infrastructure Approvals Project Manager Mike Giampa, Transportation Project Manager Nader Kadri, Planner III, Urban Design Nancy Young, Forester Anissa McAlpine, Planner II, Parks and Facilities Planning Matthew Hayley, Environmental Planner

Companyood Flate - Block 425
Copperwood Flats – Block 125

Appendix B

Water Servicing

Servicing and Stormwater Management Report



Boundary Condition Request

Novatech Project #: 122144

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 2/18/2025

Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T

Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng.

Drawing Reference:

Small System = YES

Legend: Input by User No Input Required

Calculated Cells \rightarrow

Reference: Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010 and TBs)

MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems (2008)

Fire Underwriter's Survey Guideline (2020) Ontario Building Code, Part 3 (2012)

	# of Dwellings	Area (ha.)	Pop. Equiv.	Average Day Demand (L/s)	Maximum Day Demand (L/s)	Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
Residential Input						
Stacked Towns	36		75.60	0.25	2.33	3.50
Totals	36		75.60	0.25	2.33	3.50

Summary									
i. Type of Development and Units: Low-Rise Stacked Flats - 3 Blocks, 12 Units per Block									
ii. Site Address:	ress: 1053, 1075, and 1145 March Road								
iv. Average Day Flow Demand:		0.25	L/s						
v. Peak Hour Flow Demand:		3.50	L/s						
vi. Maximum Day Flow Demand:		2.33	L/s						
vii. Required Fire Flow #1:		13,000	L/min						
viii. Required Fire Flow #2:		13,000	L/min						
ix. Required Fire Flow #3:		11,000	L/min						



Design Parameters

Residential										
Unit Type Population Equiv.	Singles	Semis/ Towns	Apts (2-BR) / Stacked Towns	Apts (1-BR)	Apts (Avg)					
	3.4	2.7	2.1	1.4	1.8					
Dailly Demand			L/per pers	son/day						
Average Demand			280	0						
Basic Demand			200	0						

Residential Peaking Fa	actors	Max Day (x Avg Day)	Peak Hour (x Avg Day)
	Pop.	(x Avg Day)	(x Avg Day)
	0	9.50	14.30
Small System	30	9.50	14.30
(If Applicable)	150	4.90	7.40
Modified	300	3.60	5.50
Modified	450	3.00	5.50
	500	2.90	5.50
Large System (Default)	> 500	2.50	5.50

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial											
Industria	ıl	Commercial	Institutional	Other Use							
Light	Heavy										
	L/gross ha/d	day		L/m²/day							
35,000	35,000 55,000		28,000	5							
10,000	17,000	17,000	17,000	3							

ICI Peaking Factors	Max Day (x Avg Day)	Peak Hour (x Avg Day)
	1.50	2.70

FUS - Fire Flow Calculations



Novatech Project #: 122144

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 9/12/2024

Input By: Anjush Musyaju, E.I.T
Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng

Drawing Reference: 122144-SEP1

Building Description: Block 1 - 12 Unit Stacked Town

Type V - Wood frame


Legend: Input by User


No Input Required

Reference: Fire Underwriter's Survey Guideline (2020)

Formula Method

Step			Choose		Value Used	Total Fire Flow
						(L/min)
		Base Fire F	low			
	Construction Ma		Mult			
	Coefficient	Type V - Wood frame	Yes	1.5		
1	related to type	Type IV - Mass Timber		Varies		
·	of construction	Type III - Ordinary construction		1	1.5	
	С	Type II - Non-combustible construction		0.8		
		Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs)		0.6		
	Floor Area					
		Building Footprint (m ²)	447			
	Α	Number of Floors/Storeys	3			
2	Α	Protected Openings (1 hr) if C<1.0	No			
		Area of structure considered (m ²)			1,341	
	_	Base fire flow without reductions				40.000
	F	$F = 220 \text{ C (A)}^{0.5}$				12,000
		Reductions or Su	rcharges		<u> </u>	
	Occupancy haza	rd reduction or surcharge	FUS Table 3	Reduction	/Surcharge	
		Non-combustible		-25%		
	(1)	Limited combustible	Yes	-15%	1	
3		Combustible		0%	-15%	10,200
		Free burning		15%	.0,0	10,200
		Rapid burning		25%		
	Sprinkler Reduc		FUS Table 4		ction	
	Oprinkier Reduc	Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13)	No No	-30%		
		Standard Water Supply	No	-10%		
4		Fully Supervised System	No	-10%		
•	(2)	Tully Supervised System		ive Sub-Total	0%	0
		Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m²)	0	0%	078	
		Area of Sprinklered Coverage (iii)	•	nulative Total	0%	
	Exposure Surch	arge	FUS Table 5		Surcharge	
	Exposure ourcin	North Side	20.1 - 30 m		10%	
		East Side	>30m		0%	
5	(3)	South Side	10.1 - 20 m		15%	2,550
	(3)				0%	2,550
		West Side >30m		l nulative Total	25%	
	1	Results		inialive iolai	23%	
	1			ī		40.000
•	(4) (0) (0)	Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nea	rest 1000L/min		L/min	13,000
6	(1) + (2) + (3)	(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		or	L/s	217
		, ,	or	USGPM	3,435	





FUS - Fire Flow Calculations



Novatech Project #: 122144

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 9/12/2024

Input By: Anjush Musyaju, E.I.T
Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng

Drawing Reference: 122144-SEP2

Building Description: Block 2 - 12 Unit Stacked Town

Type V - Wood frame


Legend: Input by User


No Input Required

Reference: Fire Underwriter's Survey Guideline (2020)

Formula Method

Step			Choose		Value Used	Total Fire Flow
						(L/min)
		Base Fire F	low			
	Construction Ma			iplier		
	Coefficient	Type V - Wood frame	Yes	1.5		
1	related to type	Type IV - Mass Timber		Varies		
	of construction	Type III - Ordinary construction		1	1.5	
	С	Type II - Non-combustible construction		0.8		
		Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs)		0.6		
	Floor Area					
ı		Building Footprint (m ²)	447			
	Α	Number of Floors/Storeys	3			
2	A	Protected Openings (1 hr) if C<1.0	No			
		Area of structure considered (m ²)			1,341	
	_	Base fire flow without reductions				40.000
	F	$F = 220 \text{ C (A)}^{0.5}$				12,000
	•	Reductions or Su	ircharges		•	
	Occupancy haza	rd reduction or surcharge	FUS Table 3	Reduction	/Surcharge	
	. ,	Non-combustible		-25%		
_	(1)	Limited combustible	Yes	-15%		
3		Combustible		0%	-15%	10,200
		Free burning		15%		,
		Rapid burning		25%		
	Sprinkler Reduc	, ,	FUS Table 4		ction	
		Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13)	No.	-30%		
		Standard Water Supply	No	-10%		
4		Fully Supervised System	No	-10%		
-	(2)	- any Caporneou Cyclem		ive Sub-Total	0%	0
		Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m²)	0	0%	0,0	
		rada di opinimarida doverago (iii)	•	nulative Total	0%	
	Exposure Surch	arge	FUS Table 5		Surcharge	
		North Side	10.1 - 20 m		15%	
		East Side	>30m		0%	
5	(3)	South Side	20.1 - 30 m		10%	2,550
	(0)	West Side >30			0%	_,500
		- Vest elde	nulative Total	25%		
		Results			23 /0	
		Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nea			L/min	13,000
6	(1) + (2) + (3)	Total Nequiled File Flow, Toulided to flea	IEST IUUUL/IIIIII	or	L/min L/s	217
U	(1) + (2) + (3)	(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		-	USGPM	3,435
			or	USGPIVI	১,435	





FUS - Fire Flow Calculations



Novatech Project #: 122144

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 9/12/2024

Input By: Anjush Musyaju, E.I.T
Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng

Drawing Reference: 122144-SEP3

Building Description: Block 3 - 12 Unit Stacked Towns

Type V - Wood frame


Legend: Input by User


No Input Required

Reference: Fire Underwriter's Survey Guideline (2020)

Formula Method

Step			Choose		Value Used	Total Fire Flow
		Base Fire F	low.			(L/min)
	Construction Ma		TOW	Mult	iplier	
	Construction wa	Type V - Wood frame	Yes	1.5	·	
	Coefficient	Type IV - Mass Timber	165	Varies		
1	related to type	Type III - Ordinary construction		1	1.5	
	of construction	Type II - Non-combustible construction		0.8	1.5	
	С	Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs)		0.6		
	Floor Area	Type 1 - Fire resistive construction (2 ms)		0.0		
	1 loor Area	Building Footprint (m ²)	447			
		Number of Floors/Storeys	3			
2	Α	Protected Openings (1 hr) if C<1.0	No	-		
_			INU		1,341	
		Area of structure considered (m²) Base fire flow without reductions			1,341	
	F	$\mathbf{F} = 220 \mathbf{C} (\mathbf{A})^{0.5}$				12,000
	<u>.</u>	Reductions or Su		D. J. de	(0	
	Occupancy haza	rd reduction or surcharge	FUS Table 3		/Surcharge	
	(1)	Non-combustible		-25%		
3		Limited combustible	Yes	-15%		
		Combustible		0%	-15%	10,200
		Free burning		15%		
		Rapid burning		25%		
	Sprinkler Reduc		FUS Table 4		ction	
		Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13)	No	-30%		
		Standard Water Supply	No	-10%		
4	(2)	Fully Supervised System	No	-10%		0
	(-/		ı	ive Sub-Total	0%	-
		Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m²)	0	0%		
				nulative Total	0%	
	Exposure Surch		FUS Table 5		Surcharge	
		North Side	20.1 - 30 m		10%	
5		East Side	>30m		0%	
ŭ	(3)	South Side	>30m		0%	1,020
		West Side >30m			0%	
				nulative Total	10%	
		Results	<u> </u>			
		Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nea	rest 1000L/min		L/min	11,000
6	(1) + (2) + (3)	(2.000 L/min + Fire Flow + 4F 000 L/min)	or	L/s	183	
		(2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min)		or	USGPM	2,906







Novatech Project #: 122144

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 3/19/2025

Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T.

Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp P.Eng

Drawing Reference: 122144 - EPA Net

Small System = YES

Legend: Input by User No Input Required

Calculated Cells \rightarrow

Reference: Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010 and TBs)

MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems (2008)

Fire Underwriter's Survey Guideline (2020)
Ontario Building Code, Part 3 (2012)

Location	Total Water Demand															
	Residential Input & Average Demand					Maximum Day & Peak Hour Demand				& Design Fire Demand						
Node							Res.	Maxi	mum Day Der	nand	Pe	ak Hour Dema	and	Required Fire Flow (RFF)		
	Singles	Semis / Towns	Apts (2-BR) / Stacked Towns	Apts (1-BR)	Apts (Avg)	Pop. Equiv.	Average Day Flow Demand (L/s)	Res. Peaking Factor	ICI Peaking Factor	Max Day Flow Demand (L/s)	Res. Peaking Factor	ICI Peaking Factor	Peak Hour Flow Demand (L/s)	FUS (L/min)	Max Day + RFF (L/s)	
BLK125A			18			37.80	0.12	9.50	1.50	1.16	14.30	2.70	1.75		1.16	
BLK125B			18			37.80	0.12	9.50	1.50	1.16	14.30	2.70	1.75	13,000	217.83	
N5c						0.00	0.00	9.50	1.50	0.00	14.30	2.70	0.00		0.00	
N5b						0.00	0.00	9.50	1.50	0.00	14.30	2.70	0.00	0.00		
Copperwood Flats Total	0	0	36	0	0	75.60	0.25	9.50	1.50	2.33	14.30	2.70	3.50			

Demand Parameters

Residential											
Unit Type Population Equiv.	Singles	Semis/ Towns	Apts (2-BR)	Apts (1-BR)	Apts (Avg)						
opulation Equiv.	3.4	3.4 2.7 2.1 1.4 1									
Dailly Demand		L/ŗ	oer person/o	day							
Average Demand			280								
Basic Demand		200									

Residential Peaking Fa	ctors	Max Day (x Avg Day)	Peak Hour (x Avg Day)
	Pop.	(X Avg Day)	(x Avg Day)
	0	9.50	14.30
Small System	30	9.50	14.30
(If Applicable)	150	4.90	7.40
Modified	300	3.60	5.50
ou	450	3.00	5.50
	500	2.90	5.50
Large System (Default)	> 500	2.50	5.50

	Quick Fire Flow	Reference Guide											
FUS (L/min)	Comments	OBC (L/min)	Comments										
> 2,000	Min FUS	< 9,000	Unsprinklered Non- Combustible										
	Low Density - Singles/Towns												
10,000	•	Complies w/ TB2014-01 Cap. (10m rear spacing, 6 units max, <600 m²)											
13,000	Non-complying w/TB20	014-01. Calculate.											
15,000	Medium Density												
15,000	Back-to-back Towns.	Back-to-back Towns.											
	High Density												
20,000	Wood Frame 4-Storey												
5,000	Fire-Resisitve Podium	/Multi-Storey											
30,000	High Contiguous / Ha	azard Areas											
< 45,000	Max FUS												



EPA Net Pipe Length, Diameter and Coefficient

Novatech Project #: 122144 Legend: Input by User No Input Required

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125 Acceptable (40psi - 80psi)

Date: 3/19/2025 Acceptable w/ PRV (81psi - 100psi)
Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T. Unacceptable (< 40psi or > 100psi)

Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp P.Eng

Note: Hydraulic modelling completed using EPANET 2.0.

Drawing Reference: 122144-EPA Net

Pipe	Length (m)	Diameter (mm)	Coefficient
P10A	51.98	152	100
P10C	27.77	152	100
P125A	54.16	152	100
P125B	42.66	152	100
P125C	107.40	152	100



Maximum Pressure During Average Day (AVDY) Conditions

Novatech Project #: 122144 Legend: Input by User No Input Required

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125 Acceptable (40psi - 80psi)

Date: 3/19/2025

Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T.

Acceptable w/ PRV (81psi - 100psi)

Unacceptable (< 40psi or > 100psi)

Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp P.Eng

Note: Hydraulic modelling completed using EPANET 2.0.

Drawing Reference: 122144-EPA Net

Node	Elevation	Demand	Total Head	Pressure	Pressure
Node	(m)	(L/s)	(m)	(m)	(psi)
BLK125A	86.39	0.12	130.39	44.00	63
BLK125B	87.15	0.12	130.39	43.24	61
N5c	87.60	0.00	130.39	42.79	61
N5b	86.80	0.00	130.39	43.59	62



Minimum Pressure During Peak Hour (PKHR) Conditions

Novatech Project #: 122144 Legend: Input by User No Input Required

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 3/19/2025

Acceptable (=> 40psi)

Unacceptable (< 40psi)

Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T. Note: Hydraulic modelling completed using EPANET 2.0.

Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp P.Eng
Drawing Reference: 122144 - EPA Net

Node	Elevation (m)	Demand (L/s)	Total Head (m)	Pressure (m)	Pressure (psi)
BLK125A	86.39	1.75	122.10	35.71	51
BLK125B	87.15	1.75	122.10	34.95	50
N5c	87.60	0.00	122.11	34.51	49
N5b	86.80	0.00	122.11	35.31	50



Minimum Pressure During Max Day Plus Fire Flow (MXDY+FF) Condition

Novatech Project #: 122144 Legend: Input by User No Input Required

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Date: 3/19/2025

Acceptable (=> 20psi)


Unacceptable (< 20psi)


Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T. **Note:** Hydraulic modelling completed using EPANET 2.0.


Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp P.Eng
Drawing Reference: 122144 - EPA Net

Node	Elevation	Demand	Total Head	Pressure	Pressure
Node	(m)	(L/s)	(m)	(m)	(psi)
BLK125A	86.39	1.16	114.16	27.77	39
BLK125B	87.15	2.33	114.10	26.95	38
N5c	87.60	95.00	114.07	26.47	38
N5b	86.80	27.00	114.38	27.58	39
N5a	87.35	95.62	114.07	26.72	38

As per City of Ottawa ITSB-2018-02, Fire Flows are spread among mutiple Hydrants per Page 4.12







Population and Consumption Rate Calculations

									Con	Consumption Rates	
Node	Number of Single Units	Number of Townhouse Units	Number of Multi-Unit Townhouse Units	Number of Multi-Unit Apartment Units	Number of Multi-Unit Flats	Multi-Use / Commerical Area (ha)	*Institutional Area (ha)	Residential Population	Average Daily	Maximum Daily	Maximum Hourly
N KNE07		6						16	0.05	0.13	0.29
N2		7						19	0.06	0.15	0.34
							3.78	0	1.23	1.84	3.31
N3a								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N3b	8							27	0.09	0.22	0.48
N4		7						19	0.06	0.15	0.34
N_KNE18		10						27	0.09	0.22	0.48
N5a					48			101	0.33	0.82	1.80
N5b								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N_KNE25			29	29				131	0.42	1.06	2.33
N6								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N7a							0.00	0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N7b							0.83	0	0.27	0.40	0.73
N_KNE02								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N8a	8							27	0.09	0.22	0.48
N8b N9a		3 7						8 19	0.03	0.07	0.14 0.34
	40	/								0.15	
N9b N10	10	3						34 8	0.11	0.28 0.07	0.61 0.14
N10 N11	15	3						51	0.03	0.07	0.14
	15 12										
N12 N KNE22	4							41 14	0.13 0.04	0.33	0.73 0.24
N13a	12							41	0.04	0.11	0.24
N13b	10							34	0.13	0.33	0.73
N14	7							24	0.08	0.28	0.42
N15	9							31	0.00	0.19	0.42
N16	17							58	0.10	0.47	1.03
N17a	1	8						25	0.08	0.20	0.45
N17b	'	2						5	0.02	0.04	0.10
N18a		9						24	0.08	0.20	0.43
N18b		8						22	0.07	0.18	0.39
N19a		7						19	0.06	0.15	0.34
N19b		15						41	0.13	0.33	0.72
N20a		16						43	0.14	0.35	0.77
N20b		20						54	0.18	0.44	0.96
N KNE08		6						16	0.05	0.13	0.29
N21a								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N21b		21						57	0.18	0.46	1.01
N KNE09		13						35	0.11	0.28	0.63
N22a								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N29a								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N29b		18						49	0.16	0.39	0.87
N30		26						70	0.23	0.57	1.25
N31		26						70	0.23	0.57	1.25
N32								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N33a								0	0.00	0.00	0.00
N33b		1						3	0.01	0.02	0.05
N34a		11						30	0.10	0.24	0.53
N34b		11	25	25				142	0.46	1.15	2.53
N_KNE03**	5	14	8	8				91	0.29	0.74	1.62

N48					42			88	0.29	0.71	1.57
N49					48			101	0.33	0.82	1.80
N50						0.33		0	0.11	0.16	0.29
N51						1.02		0	0.33	0.50	0.89
TOTAL ONSITE PH. 1	234	355	79	78		1.35	6.67	2398	10.37	23.32	49.75

^{****}Values are based on Stantec report. Values represent demand from future buildouts.
***Assumes existing single lot along roadway will ultimately become 2 single units.

Notes:

1) Nodes with prefixes N KNE## are the Same Identification and Approximate Location of Nodes within Stantec's Kanata North Urban Expansion (KNUEA) Potable Water Assessment, dated March 28, 2016

Water Demand Parameters For Claridge / Uniform Site - As per City of Ottawa Guidelines


Single Residential Units	3.4	persons/unit
Townhouse Residential Units	2.7	persons/unit
Multi-Unit Residential (Townhouse)	2.7	persons/unit
Multi-Unit Flats	2.1	persons/unit
Multi-Unit Residential (Apartment)	1.8	persons/unit

Water Demand Parameters For Claridge / Uniform Site (Local Demand as per City of Ottawa Guidelines - Water Distribution Systems)

Residential Demand - Single (low density)	280.0	L/c/day
Residential Demand - Street Town (med. density)	280.0	L/c/day
Residential Demand - Multi-Unit Town (med. density)	280.0	L/c/day
Residential Demand - Apartment (high density)	280.0	L/c/day
Residential Max Day	2.5	x Avg Day
Residential Peak Hour	2.2	x Max Day
Commercial/Intitutional Demand	28000	L/Gross ha/Day
Commerical/Institutional Max Day	1.5	x Avg Day
Commerical/Institutional Peak Hour	1.8	x Max Day
Residential Fire Flow (Typical)	133	L/s
Residential Fire Flow Cap (Typical)	167	L/s
Multi-Unit Flats Fire Flow (Typical)	250	L/s


- Notes:
 1) Fireflows of 167L/s have been applied based on Stantec's Potable Water Assessment (March 28, 2016) and is the maximum (capped) fireflow for single/townhouse units as per City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02.
 2) Maximum achievable fireflows have been indicated (fireflow summary) in High Density residential areas.
- 3) Fireflow values have been distributed over several hydrants as per Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02.

^{**}Assumes north half of property is 50% towns and 50% singles at same density as CU lands (25 singles/ha, 47 towns/ha), south half of property assumed to be multi unit residential at same density as CU lands (62.8units/ha).



Copperwood Flats – Block 125	

Appendix C
Sanitary Servicing



SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET



Novatech Project #: 122144

Date: 3/19/2025

Revised 05/08/2025 (Anthony Mestwarp) Revised 08/12/2025 (Anthony Mestwarp) Input By: Curtis Ferguson, E.I.T.

Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng. Drawing Reference: 122144-SAN

Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

Legend: Design Input by User As-Built Input by User Cumulative Cell

> Calculated Design Cell Output Calculated Annual Cell Output Calculated Rare Cell Output

Reference: City of Ottawa - Sewer Design Guidelines (2012 and TBs) MOE - Design Guidelines for Sewage Works (2008)

			1													1								
Location										Dema	nd						Design Capacity							
				Residential Flow								Extraneous Flow Area Method	Total Design Flow			Pr	oposed Sewer Pi	ipe Sizing / De	sign					
Street	From MH	To MH	Singles	Semis /	Stk.	Park	Population	Cumulative Population	Average Pop. Flow	Design Peaking Factor	Peak Design Pop. Flow	Res. Drainage Area	Cumulative Res. Drainage Area	Cumulative Extraneous Drainage Area	Design Extraneous Flow	Total Peak Design Flow	Pipe Length	Pipe Size (mm) and Material	Pipe ID Actual	Roughness	Design Grade	Capacity	Full Flow Velocity	Q(D) / Qfull
			Siligles	Towns	Towns	Area	(in 1000's)	(in 1000's)	Q(q) (L/s)	M	Q(p) (L/s)	(ha.)	(ha.)	(ha.)	Q(e) (L/s)	Q(D) (L/s)	(m)	Waterial	(m)	n	So (%)	Qfull (L/s)	(m/s)	
South Connection																								
Copperwood Flats	107	106			3		0.006	0.006	0.02	3.75	0.08	0.034	0.034	0.034	0.01	0.09	29.5	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	1.00	34.2	1.06	0.3%
Copperwood Flats	106	105			3		0.006	0.013	0.04	3.72	0.15	0.031	0.065	0.065	0.02	0.17	8.4	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	1.00	34.2	1.06	0.5%
Copperwood Flats	105	102			3		0.006	0.019	0.06	3.71	0.23	0.090	0.154	0.154	0.05	0.28	47.5	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.65	27.6	0.85	1.0%
Copperwood Flats	104	103B			6		0.013	0.013	0.04	3.72	0.15	0.052	0.052	0.052	0.02	0.17	28.1	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	1.24	38.1	1.17	0.4%
Copperwood Flats	103B	103A			0		0.000	0.013	0.04	3.72	0.15	0.005	0.057	0.057	0.02	0.17	6.0	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	2.00	48.4	1.49	0.4%
Copperwood Flats	103A	102			0		0.000	0.013	0.04	3.72	0.15	0.060	0.117	0.117	0.04	0.19	31.0	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	2.00	48.4	1.49	0.4%
Copperwood Flats	102	101			12		0.025	0.057	0.18	3.64	0.67	0.162	0.433	0.433	0.14	0.81	35.9	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	0.50	24.2	0.75	3.4%
rue Spoor Street	101	EX			0		0.000	0.057	0.18	3.64	0.67	0.005	0.438	0.438	0.14	0.81	14.4	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	2.00	48.4	1.49	1.7%
North Connection								•		•	•	•		•	•			•	•			•	•	
Copperwood Flats	109	108			6		0.013	0.013	0.04	3.72	0.15	0.067	0.067	0.067	0.02	0.17	25.7	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	1.00	34.2	1.06	0.5%
Copperwood Flats	108	110			3		0.006	0.019	0.06	3.71	0.23	0.069	0.069	0.069	0.02	0.25	10.3	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	1.00	34.2	1.06	0.7%
Copperwood Flats	110	11			0		0.000	0.019	0.06	3.71	0.23	0.069	0.137	0.137	0.05	0.27	12.6	200 PVC	0.203	0.013	1.00	34.2	1.06	0.8%
Totals			0	0	36	0.000	0.076	0.076	0.25								249.4							

Demand Equation / Parameters

1. Q(D), Q(A), Q(R) = Q(p) + Q(fd) + Q(ici) + Q(e)(P x q x M x K / 86,400) 2. Q(p) =

280 L/per person/day 3. q = 200 L/per person/day (annual and rare)

4. M = Harmon Formula (maximum of 4.0)

5. K= 0.8 0.6 (annual and rare)

6. Park flow is considered equivalent to a single unit / ha

Park Demand = 4 single unit equivalent / park ha (~ 3,600 L/ha/day) 0.45 L/s/unit

7. Q(fd) = 8. Q(ici) = ICI Area x ICI Flow x ICI Peak 0.33 L/s/ha 9. Q(e) =

(design) 0.30 L/s/ha (annual) 0.55 L/s/ha (rare)

Definitions

Q(D) = Peak Design Flow (L/s) Q(A) = Peak Annual Flow (L/s) Q(R) = Peak Rare Flow (L/s)

Q(p) = Peak Design Population Flow (L/s)

Q(q) = Average Population Flow (L/s)

	Olligies
P = Residential Population =	3.4
q = Average Capita Flow	
M = Harmon Formula	
K = Harmon Correction Factor	
Typ. Service Diameter (mm) =	135
Typ. Service Length (m) =	15
I/I Pipe Rate (L/mm dia/m/hr) =	0.007
Q(fd) = Foundation Flow (L/s)	

Q(ici) = Industrial / Commercial / Institutional Flow (L/s)

Q(e) = Extraneous Flow (L/s)

Institutional / Commercial / Industrial <u>Industrial</u> Commercial / Institutional Design = 35000 L/gross ha/day Annual / Rare = 10000 17000 L/gross ha/day ICI Peak * Design = #DIV/0! 1.5 * ICI Peak = 1.0 Default, 1.5 if ICI in contributing area is >20% (design only) Annual / Rare = 1.0

Semis / Towns

2.7

15

Stacked Towns

2.1

Capacity Equation

Q full = $1000*(1/n)*A_p*R^{2/3}*So^{0.5}$

Definitions

Q full = Capacity (L/s)

n = Manning coefficient of roughness (0.013)

A_p = Pipe flow area (m²)

R = Hydraulic Radius of wetted area (dia./4 for full pipes)

So = Pipe slope/gradient

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET 1053, 1075 and 1145 March Road Copperwood Estate- Phase 1



PROJECT #: 116132 DESIGNED BY: MM/SAZ CHECKED BY: DDB DATE PREPARED : 6-Jun-18 DATE REVISED : 8-May-19 DATE REVISED : 20-Apr-20 DATE REVISED : 23-Dec-21 DATE REVISED : 4-May-22 DATE REVISED : 9-Dec-22

										RESIDENTIAL							СО	MMERCIAL / I	NSTITUTI	ONAL / P	ARK		INFILTRA	ATION	FLOW	PROPOSED SEWER				-					
1	LOCAT	ION						INDIV	DUAL				CUM	IULATIVE		COM	M	INST	PAF	RK															
STREET	FROM MH	то мн	Area ID	Total Area (ha.)	Single Units	Semi/ Town Units	Mult-U Town			Population (in 1000's)		Population (in 1000's)		PEAK FACTOR M	PEAK POPULATION FLOW Qr(p) (L/s)	(ha)	Accu. AREA (ha.)		AREA (ha.)	Accu. AREA (ha.)	PEAK COMM/INST/PARK FLOW Qc(p) (L/s)	Total Area (ha.)	Accu. Total AREA (ha.)	PEAK EXTRAN. FLOW Q(i) (L/s)	PEAK DESIGN FLOW Q(d) (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	PIPE SIZE (mm)	PIPE ID (mm)	TYPE OF PIPE	GRADE %	CAPACITY (L/s)	FULL FLOW VELOCITY (m/s)	Qpeak/ Qcap	d/ D _{full}	Actual Velocity
O _r	utlet 1 - Street 1 a	nd March Road			1	1																													
Future Phase 2	FUT	405								0.000		0.078	1.29	3.6	0.92					1.17			2.46	0.81	1.78										
cours Strawberry Walk	405	607	B10	0.25	3					0.010	0.25	0.252	4.31	3.5	2.84		0.00	0.00		1.17	0.05	0.25	5.48	1.81	4.70	79.8	250	254.00	DR 35	0.66	50.4	0.99	9.3%	0.19	0.60
voie Whitlow Grass Way voie Whitlow Grass Way	603 605	605 607	B13 B14	0.15 0.70		3 25				0.008 0.068	0.15 0.70	0.008 0.076	0.15 0.85		0.10 0.89		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.15 0.70		0.05 0.28	0.15 1.17	14.0 92.6	200 200	203.20 203.20	DR 35 DR 35		33.4 33.7	1.03 1.04	0.4% 3.5%		
voie Whitlow Grass Way	607	609	B15	0.62		21				0.057	0.62	0.384	5.78	3.4	4.26		0.00	0.00		1.17	0.05	0.62		2.29	6.60	79.5	250	254.00	DR 35	0.55	46.0	0.91	14.4%	0.25	0.64
voie Whitlow Grass Way voie Whitlow Grass Way	609 611	611 613	B16	0.11						0.000	0.00	0.384 0.384	5.78 5.89	3.4 3.4	4.26 4.26		0.00	0.00		1.17	0.05 0.05	0.00		2.29	6.60 6.64	7.4 51.0	250 250	254.00 254.00	DR 35 DR 35		51.2 46.0	1.01 0.91	12.9% 14.4%	0.23 0.25	0.68
	613	615									0.00	0.384	5.89	3.4	4.26		0.00	0.00		1.17	0.05	0.00	7.06	2.33	6.64	11.3	250	254.00	DR 35	0.71	52.3	1.03	12.7%	0.23	0.69
voie Whitlow Grass Way	615	617	B17	0.44		14				0.038	0.44	0.422	6.33	3.4	4.66		0.00	0.00		1.17	0.05	0.44	7.50	2.48	7.18	47.7	250	254.00	DR 35	0.55	46.0	0.91	15.6%	0.27	0.66
rang Hague Row / Park / voie Whitlow Grass Way	601	703	B18	2.06		30 11				0.081	1.01	0.081	1.01	3.6	0.95		0.00	0.00	1.05	1.05	0.04		2.06	0.68	1.67	108.0	200	203.20	DR 35	0.85	31.5	0.97	5.3%		
rang Hague Row rang Hague Row	703 705	705 617	B19	0.39		11				0.030	0.39	0.111 0.111	1.40 1.40		1.29 1.29		0.00	0.00		1.05 1.05	0.04 0.04	0.39		0.81 0.81	2.14 2.14	39.2 41.8	200 200	203.20 203.20	DR 35 DR 35		39.0 57.5	1.20 1.77	5.5% 3.7%		
voie Whitlow Grass Way	617	619	B20	0.49		16				0.043	0.49	0.576	8.22	3.4	6.26		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10	0.49	10.44	3.45	9.80	70.1	250	254.00	DR 35	0.57	46.8	0.92	20.9%	0.30	0.72
cer. Rotterdam Circle	901	801	B27b	0.36	5					0.017	0.36	0.017	0.36		0.20		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.36		0.12	0.32	73.4	200	203.20	DR 35		37.5	1.16	0.9%		
cer. Rotterdam Circle cer. Rotterdam Circle	801 803	803 805	B27a B21	0.08	1 					0.003 0.017	0.08	0.020 0.037	0.44		0.24 0.44		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.08		0.15 0.25	0.39 0.69	12.1 61.2	200	203.20 203.20	DR 35 DR 35		35.4 44.5	1.09 1.37	1.1% 1.6%		
cer. Rotterdam Circle cer. Rotterdam Circle	805 807	807 809	B23 B24	0.68	14 10					0.048 0.034	0.68 0.49	0.085 0.119	1.43 1.92		0.99 1.38		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.68 0.49		0.47 0.63	1.47 2.01	83.0 70.9	200	203.20 203.20	DR 35		42.0 40.5	1.30 1.25	3.5% 5.0%		
cer. Rotterdam Circle	809	619	D24	0.43	10					0.000	0.00	0.119	1.92		1.38		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.00		0.63	2.01	9.8	200				47.8	1.47	4.2%		
voie Whitlow Grass Way voie Whitlow Grass Way	619 621	621 907	B25 B26	0.16 0.06		4				0.011	0.16 0.06	0.705 0.705	10.30 10.36		7.58 7.58		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10 0.10	0.16 0.06		4.13 4.15	11.80 11.82	39.2 41.2	250 250	254.00 254.00	DR 35 DR 35		48.5 48.5	0.96 0.96	24.4% 24.4%	0.34 0.34	0.79 0.79
voic minder crase way	021	301	BZO	0.00						0.000	0.00	0.700	10.00	0.0	7.00		0.00	0.00		E.EE	0.10	0.00	12.00	0	11102	71.2	200	20 1.00	211 00	0.01	10.0	0.00		5.0 .	0.70
place Bosch Place place Bosch Place	901 903	903 905	B28 B29	0.59 0.61	10 10					0.034 0.034	0.59 0.61	0.034 0.068	0.59 1.20		0.41 0.80		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.59 0.61		0.19 0.40	0.60 1.20	75.0 75.0	250 250	254.00 254.00	DR 35 DR 35		87.1 93.5	1.72 1.84	0.7% 1.3%	0.00	0.00 0.61
place Bosch Place	905	907	B30	0.57	10					0.034	0.57		1.77		1.19		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.57		0.58	1.77	70.9			DR 35		91.4	1.80	1.9%	0.08	0.60
cer. Rotterdam Circle cer. Rotterdam Circle	901 1001	1001 1003	B31 B32	0.40	5 1					0.017	0.40 0.12	0.017 0.020	0.40 0.52		0.20 0.24		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.40 0.12		0.13 0.17	0.34 0.42	72.1 13.4	200	203.20 203.20	DR 35 DR 35		27.6 23.0	0.85 0.71	1.2%		
cer. Rotterdam Circle cer. Rotterdam Circle	1003	1005	B33	0.97	18					0.061	0.97	0.082	1.49	3.6	0.96 1.49		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.97	1.49	0.49	1.45	114.4	200	203.20	DR 35	1.60	43.3	1.33	3.3% 4.3%		
	1005	1101	B34	0.72	14					0.048	0.72		2.21					0.00				0.72			2.22	97.6		203.20			51.8				
rle. Spoor Lane	1103	1101	B35	0.34		7				0.019	0.34	0.019	0.34	3.7	0.23		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.34		0.11	0.34	53.0	200	203.20	DR 35	0.66	27.8	0.86	1.2%		
rle. Spoor Lane	1101	907	B36	0.25		6				0.016	0.25		2.80		1.89		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.25		0.92	2.81	82.0	200		DR 35		21.6	0.67	13.0%		
place Bosch Place	907	1311	B37	0.56	10					0.034	0.56	1.006	15.49		10.56		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10	0.56		5.84	16.50	82.8	375	381.00	DR 35	0.53	133.2	1.17	12.4%	0.23	0.78
Salinger Street Salinger Street	1315 1313	1313 1311	B38 B39	0.44 0.25		5	+			0.022 0.014	0.44	0.022 0.035	0.44 0.69		0.26 0.42		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.44 0.25		0.15 0.23	0.40 0.65	57.8 73.6	200 200	203.20	DR 35 DR 35		30.6 36.4	0.94 1.12	1.3%		
Salinger Street Salinger Street	1311 1309	1309 1307	B40	0.25		4				0.000	0.25	1.041 1.052	16.43 16.43		10.90 11.00		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10 0.10	0.25		6.15 6.15	17.15 17.25	24.1 33.9	375 375	381.00 381.00	DR 35 DR 35	0.00	139.3 133.2	1.22	12.3% 13.0%	0.23 0.23	0.82 0.78
Salinger Street Salinger Street	1307	1305	B41	0.23		6				0.016	0.23	1.068	16.66	3.2	11.16 11.34		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10 0.10	0.23	18.88	6.23 6.33	17.49 17.77	44.3	375	381.00	DR 35	0.54	134.4 134.4	1.18 1.18	13.0%	0.25	0.83
Salinger Street	1305 1303	1303 1301	B42 B43	0.29		7				0.019 0.000	0.20	1.087	16.95 17.15	3.2	11.34		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10	0.29 0.20	19.37	6.39	17.83	84.8	375	381.00 381.00	DR 35	0.53	133.2	1.17	13.4%	0.25 0.25	0.82
Salinger Street Future Phase 3	1301 FUT	1215 1205	B44	1.71			29	29	48	0.231	1.71	1.010	18.86 3.75		13.56		0.00	0.00		2.22	0.10	1.71	3.75	6.96 1.24	20.62 4.07	93.4	375	381.00	DR 35	0.54	134.4	1.18	15.3%	0.27	0.86
Future Phase 3	FUT	307								0.000			3.75		2.84								3.75	1.24	4.07										
ch. Goldenseal Road	307	1205	B58	0.17							0.17	0.251			2.84		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.17		1.16	4.00	81.5	200	203.20	DR 35	0.68	28.2	0.87	14.4%		
avenue Buckbean Avenue		1205	B58 B59	,,,,		15						0.542			5.91		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.17	0.00	2.65	8.56						26.1		32.9%		
avenue Buckbean Avenue	1207	1209	B60	0.26		7				0.019	0.26	0.561	8.29	3.4	6.11		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.26	8.29	2.74	8.84	48.1	200	203.20	DR 35	0.65	27.6	0.85	32.1%		
avenue Buckbean Avenue			B61	0.12		3						0.569			6.19		0.00	0.00		0.00		0.12		2.78	8.96						29.0		30.9%		
plct. Dandelion Mews plct. Dandelion Mews	309 311	311 313	B62 B63	0.95 0.31		35 11				0.095 0.030		0.095 0.124	0.95 1.26		1.10 1.44		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.95 0.31		0.31 0.42	1.42 1.85			203.20 203.20			23.0 23.0		6.2% 8.1%		
plct. Dandelion Mews plct. Dandelion Mews	313 315	315 317	B64 B65			16 2						0.167 0.173			1.92 1.98		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00 0.00	0.48 0.11		0.57 0.61	2.49 2.59	54.3	200	203.20	DR 35	0.45	23.0 23.0	0.71	10.9% 11.3%		
plct. Dandelion Mews		1211					1					0.173			1.98		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.11		0.65	2.63						34.4		7.6%		
avenue Buckbean Avenue	1211	1213	B67	0.22							0.22	0.742			7.94 7.94		0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.22	10.59	3.49	11.44	18.6 75.4					26.3		43.5%		

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET 1053, 1075 and 1145 March Road **Copperwood Estate- Phase 1**



PROJECT #: 116132 DESIGNED BY: MM/SAZ CHECKED BY: DDB DATE PREPARED : 6-Jun-18 DATE REVISED: 8-May-19 DATE REVISED : 20-Apr-20 DATE REVISED : 23-Dec-21 DATE REVISED : 4-May-22 DATE REVISED : 9-Dec-22

	LOCAT	ON							RESIDENTIA	L							COMMER	CIAL / IN	STITUTION	IAL / PA	RK		INFILTR	ATION	FLOW					PRO	POSED SEW	ER			
	LOCAT	ON					INDIVIDU	AL				CU	MULATIVE		CC	MM	INST		PARK																
STREET	FROM MH	то мн	Area ID		ingle Sem Jnits Unit	vn Mult-Unit	Multi-Unit Apartment	Multi-Unit Flats	Population (in 1000's)	AREA (ha.)	Population (in 1000's)	AREA (ha.)	PEAK FACTOR M	PEAK POPULATION FLOW Qr(p) (L/s)	AREA (ha.)	Accu. AREA (ha.)	AREA	Accu. AREA (ha.)	AREA A	iccu. IREA [ha.)	PEAK COMM/INST/PARK FLOW Qc(p) (L/s)	Total Area (ha.)	Accu. Total AREA (ha.)	PEAK EXTRAN FLOW Q(i) (L/s)	PEAK DESIGN FLOW Q(d) (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	PIPE SIZE (mm)	PIPE ID (mm)	TYPE OF PIPE	GRADE %	CAPACITY (L/s)	FULL FLOW VELOCITY (m/s)	Qpeak/ Qcap	d/ D _{full}	Actual Velocity
FUTURE BLOCK / EXISTING LANDS ACCOUNTED FOR INCLUDING BLOCK 315	FUT / EX	1407		0.00					0.000		0.280	5.69	3.5	3.15		0.00		4.34	C	0.00	1.41	0.00	10.03	3.31	7.86	69.2	200	203.20	DR 35	0.45	23.0	0.71	34.3%		
Easement - Park&Ride	1407	1409	B77	3.33		25	25		0.113	3.33	0.392	9.02	3.4	4.35		0.00		4.34	(0.00	1.41	3.33	13.36	4.41	10.16	103.3	200	203.20	DR 35	0.44	22.7	0.70	44.8%		
Easement - Park&Ride	1409	1215		0.00					0.000		0.392	9.02	3.4	4.35		0.00		4.34	(0.00	1.41	0.00	13.36	4.41	10.16	97.2	200	203.20	DR 35	0.44	22.7	0.70	44.8%		
avenue Buckbean Avenue	1215	1217	B68	0.13					0.000	0.13	2.452	38.60	3.0	23.94		0.00		4.34	2	2.22	1.50	0.13	45.16	14.90	40.34	69.9	375	381.00	DR 35	0.75	158.4	1.39	25.5%	0.34	1.15
avenue Buckbean Avenue	1217	1219	B69	0.14					0.000	0.14	2.452	38.74	3.0	23.94		0.00		4.34	2	2.22	1.50	0.14	45.30	14.95	40.39	27.1	375	381.00	DR 35	0.75	158.4	1.39	25.5%	0.34	1.15
avenue Buckbean Avenue	1219	1221							0.000	0.00	2.452	38.74	3.0	23.94		0.00		4.34	2	2.22	1.50	0.00	45.30	14.95	40.39	28.2	375	381.00	DR 35	0.76	159.5	1.40	25.3%	0.34	1.16
																																			0.00
avenue Buckbean Avenue	1221	1223	B78	1.10					0.000	0.27	2.452	39.01	3.0	23.94		0.00	0.83	5.17	2	2.22	1.77	1.10	46.40	15.31	41.02	99.1	375	381.00	DR 35	0.75	158.4	1.39	25.9%	0.34	1.15
_	Total Flows -	Outlet 1												23.94							1.77		46.40	15.31	41.02										
							-							•																					
Out	let 2 - Street 10 a	nd March Road		I					ĺ																										
place Bosch Place	909	911	A1	1.05				42	0.088	1.05	0.088	1.05	3.6	1.03		0.00		0.00	(0.00	0.00	1.05	1.05	0.35	1.38	82.0	250	254.00	DR 35	1.94	86.4	1.71	1.6%		
place Bosch Place	911	913	A2	3.57				48	0.101	0.50	0.189	1.55	3.5	2.16		0.00	3.07	3.07		0.00	0.99	3.57	4.62	1.52	4.68	45.3	250	254.00	DR 35	1.94	86.4	1.71	5.4%		
place Bosch Place	913	915	A3	0.00					0.000	0.00	0.189	1.55	3.5	2.16		0.00		3.07	(0.00	0.99	0.00	4.62	1.52	4.68	47.4	250	254.00	DR 35	1.71	81.1	1.60	5.8%		
place Bosch Place	915	917	A4	0.25					0.000	0.00	0.189	1.55	3.5	2.16	0.25	0.25		3.07	(0.00	1.08	0.25	4.87	1.61	4.84	75.7	250	254.00	DR 35	1.98	87.3	1.72	5.5%		
place Bosch Place	917	919	A5	2.36					0.000	0.00	0.189	1.55	3.5	2.16	2.36	2.61		3.07	(0.00	1.84	2.36	7.23	2.39	6.39	74.9	250	254.00	DR 35	2.15	91.0	1.80	7.0%		
	Total Flows -	Outlet 2												2.16							1.84			2.39	6.39										

Notes:
1. Q(d) = Qr(p) + Q(i) + Qc(p)
2. Q(i) = 0.33 L/sec/ha
3. Qr(p) = (PxqxM/86,400)
3. Qc(p) = (A*q*Pf)/86,400 *Assumes existing single lot along roadway will ultimately become 2 single units.

**Assumes north half of property is 50% towns and 50% singles at same density as CU lands (25 singles/ha, 47 towns/ha), south half of property assumed to be multi unit residential at same density as CU lands (62.8units/ha).


Definitions: Q(d) = Design Flow (L/sec) Qr(p) = Population Flow (L/sec), Residential

Q(i) = Extraneous Flow (L/sec) Qc(p) = Population Flow (L/sec), Com

Min pipe size 200mm (emin. stope 3.32.6)
Mannings n = 0.013
Pf = Peak factor (Commercial/Institional/Park) = 1.0 (less than 20% of total contributing areas), 1.5 (if area is 20% or greater of total contributing area)


P = Population (3.4 persons per single unit, 2.7 persons per townhouse unit, 2.7 persons per multi-unit townhouse unit, 2.1 persons per multi-unit apartment, 1.8 persons per multi-unit apartment)
q = Average per capita flow = 280 L/cap/day - Residential
q = Average per gross ha. flow = 35000 L/gross ha/day - Light industrial
q = Average per gross ha. flow = 28000 L/gross ha/day - Commercial/Institutional
q = Average per gross ha. flow = 3700 L/gross ha/day - Park (20L/day/person, 185 persons/ha - as per Appendix 4-A of the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines)
M = Harmon Formula (maximum of 4.0), K = Correction Factor = 0.8
Min pipe size 200mm @ min. slope 0.32%
Mannings = 0.013

M:\2016\116132\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SAN\20221209-SAN.xlsx



Copperwood Flats – Block 125

Appendix D
Storm Servicing



STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET



Novatech Project #: 122144
Project Name: Copperwood Flats - Block 125
Date: 2/19/2025
Revised 05/08/2025 (Anthony Mestwarp)
Input By: Anjush Musyaju, E.I.T.
Reviewed By: Anthony Mestwarp, P.Eng.
Drawing Reference: 122144-BLK307-STM

Legend: Design Input by User
As-Built Input by User
Cumulative Cell

Calculated Design Cell Output
Calculated Uncontrolled Peak Flow Cell Output
Design Input Restricted Peak Flow Cell

Reference: City of Ottawa - Sewer Design Guidelines (2012 and TBs) MOE - Design Guidelines for Sewage Works (2008)

	Location								Demand										I	Design Capaci	ty				
	Location				А	rea					F	Flow							Proposed \$	Sewer Pipe Sizing / Design					
Street	Area ID	From	То	Hard	Grass	Total Area	Weighted Runoff Coefficient	Indivi.	Accum.	Time of Conc.		Rain Intens	ity (mm/hr)	Peak Fl	w Total Uncor Peak Fl	Pipe Length	Pipe Size (mm) and Material	Pipe ID Actual	Roughness	Design Grade	Capacity	Full Flow Velocity	Time of Flow	Q / Qfull	
Street	Alea ib	МН	МН	0.90	0.20	A (ha.)	С	2.78 AC	2.78 AC	Tc (min.)	2-yr	5-yr	10-yr	(L/s)	Q (L/s)	(m)	Material	(m)	n	So (%)	Qfull (L/s)	(m/s)	(min.)		
				0.50	0.20	0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00	2-yı	3-yı	10-yi	0.00											
						0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00				0.00											
Block 125		204	201			0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00				0.00	0.0	39.7	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	1.00	62.0	1.22	0.54	0.0%	
						0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00				0.00											
				0.18	0.04	0.22	0.78	0.48	0.48	10.54	74.79			35.55											
Block 125	A-01 & A-02	203	201			0.00		0.00	0.00	10.54				0.00	35.6	35.1	450 PVC	0.4572	0.013	0.30	162.9	0.99	0.59	21.8%	
BIOCK 125	A-01 & A-02	203	201			0.00		0.00	0.00	10.54				0.00	35.0	33.1	450 FVC	0.4572	0.013	0.30	102.9	0.99	0.59	21.070	
						0.00		0.00	0.00	10.54				0.00											
				0.07	0.01	0.08	0.80	0.18	0.66	11.13	72.72			47.97											
Block 125	A-05	201	200			0.00		0.00	0.00	11.13				0.00	48.0	34.5	450 PVC	0.4572	0.013	0.30	162.9	0.99	0.58	29.4%	
						0.00		0.00	0.00	11.13				0.00									0.00	1	
						0.00		0.00	0.00	11.13				0.00										4	
				0.07	0.05	0.40	0.04	0.40	0.40	40.00	70.04			1100										4	
				0.07	0.05	0.12	0.61	0.19	0.19	10.00	76.81			14.92											
Block 125	A-03 & A-04	206	200			0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00				0.00	14.9	13.7	250 PVC	0.254	0.013	0.50	43.9	0.87	0.26	34.0%	
						0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00				0.00											
						0.00		0.00	0.00	10.00				0.00										_	
						0.00		0.00	0.85	11.71	70.81			60.47										_	
						0.00		0.00	0.00	11.71	70.01			0.00											
Block 125		200	Existing			0.00		0.00		11.71				0.00	60.5	16.8	450 PVC	0.4572	0.013	0.50	210.3	1.28	0.22	28.8%	
						0.00		0.00		11.71				0.00											
Totals				0.32	0.10	0.42	0.74									139.8	İ								

Demand Equation / Parameters

1. Q = 2.78 ACI

Definitions

Q = Peak flow in litres per second (L/s)

A = Area in hectares (ha) **C** = Weighted runoff coefficient (increased by 25% for 100-year)

I = Rainfall intensity in millimeters per hour (mm/hr)

Rainfall intensity is based on City of Ottawa IDF data presented in the City of Ottawa - Sewer Design Guidelines

Capacity Equation

Q full = $1000*(1/n)*A_p*R^{2/3}*So^{0.5}$

Definitions

Q full = Capacity (L/s)

n = Manning coefficient of roughness (0.013)

 A_p = Pipe flow area (m²)

R = Hydraulic Radius of wetted area (dia./4 for full pipes)

So = Pipe slope/gradient

PROJECT #: 122144 PROJECT NAME: Copperwood Flats - Block 125 LOCATION: City of Ottawa



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 0A: Allowable Runoff Coefficient "C"

Area	"C"
Total	0.70
0.580	0.70

TABLE 0B: Allowable Flows

Outlet Options	Area (ha)	"C"	Tc (min)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)	Q _{ALLOW} (L/s)
Spoor Street	0.580	0.70	10	117.6	117.6

Time of Concentration 10 min Intensity (2 Year Event) 76.81 mm/hr I₅= 104.19 Intensity (5 Year Event) mm/hr Intensity (100 Year Event)

Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A I₁₀₀= 178.56 Where: mm/hr

Equations:

100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) $^{0.820}$ 5 year Intensity = $998.071 / \text{(Time in min + } 6.053)^{0.814}$ 2 year Intensity = $732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199)^{0.810}$

C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area

PROJECT #: 122144 PROJECT NAME: Copperwood Flats - Block 125 LOCATION: City of Ottawa



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 1A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - D-01

Area	Surface	На	"C"	C_{avg}	*C ₁₀₀	Runoff Coefficient Equa
Total	Hard	0.016	0.90	0.51	0.58	$C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times$
0.037	Soft	0.021	0.20	0.51	0.50	* Runoff Coefficient incre
						25% up to a maximum v

TABLE 1B: Post-Development D-01 Flows

ation x 0.2)/A_{Tot} reases by value of 1.00 for the 100-Year event

Outlet Options	Area (ha)	C _{avg}	Tc (min)	Q _{2 Year} (L/s)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)	Q _{100 Year} (L/s)
SWMF	0.037	0.51	10	4.0	5.4	10.5

Time of Concentration	Tc=	10	min
Intensity (2 Year Event)	I ₂ =	76.81	mm/hr
Intensity (5 Year Event)	I ₅ =	104.19	mm/hr
Intensity (100 Year Event)	I ₁₀₀ =	178.56	mm/hr

Equations: Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A Where:

100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) $^{0.820}$ 5 year Intensity = 998.071 / (Time in min + 6.053) $^{0.814}$ 2 year Intensity = 732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199) $^{0.810}$

C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 2A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - D-02

Area	Surface	На	"C"	C _{avg}	*C ₁₀₀	Runoff Coefficient Equation
Total	Hard	0.022	0.90	0.63	0.71	$C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot}$
0.037	Soft	0.014	0.20	0.03	0.71	* Runoff Coefficient increases by
						25% up to a maximum value of
TABLE 2B: Post-Developi		1.00 for the 100-Year event				

Outlet Options	Area (ha)	C _{avg}	Tc (min)	Q _{2 Year} (L/s)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)	Q _{100 Year} (L/s)
Buckbean Avenue	0.037	0.63	10	4.9	6.6	12.8

Time of Concentration	Tc=	10 min	Equations:
Intensity (2 Year Event)	I ₂ = 7	6.81 mm/hr	Flow Equation
Intensity (5 Year Event)	I ₅ = 10	4.19 mm/hr	$Q = 2.78 \times C \times I \times$
Intensity (100 Year Event)	I ₁₀₀ = 17	8.56 mm/hr	Where:

100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) $^{0.820}$ 5 year Intensity = 998.071 / (Time in min + 6.053) $^{0.814}$ 2 year Intensity = 732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199) $^{0.810}$

C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area

Α



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 3A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - D-03

Area	Surface	На	"C"	C _{avg}	*C ₁₀₀	Runoff Coefficient Equation	
Total	Hard	0.020	0.90	0.65	0.74	$C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot}$	
0.031	Soft	0.011	0.20	0.03	0.74	* Runoff Coefficient increases by	
						25% up to a maximum value of	
TABLE 3B: Post-Developr	nent D-03	Flows			1.00 for the 100-Year event		

Outlet Options	Area (ha)	C _{avg}	Tc (min)	Q _{2 Year} (L/s)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)	Q _{100 Year} (L/s)
Spoor Street	0.031	0.65	10	4.3	5.8	11.3

Time of Concentration 10 min Tc= Intensity (2 Year Event) 76.81 Intensity (5 Year Event) I₅= 104.19 Intensity (100 Year Event) 178.56

Equations: Flow Equation mm/hr Q = 2.78 x C x I x A mm/hr mm/hr Where:

100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) $^{0.820}$ 5 year Intensity = $998.071 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.053)^{0.814}$ 2 year Intensity = $732.951 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.199)^{0.810}$

C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 4A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - D-04

Area	Surface	На	"C"	C _{avg}	*C ₁₀₀	Runoff Coefficient Equation
Total	Hard	0.021	0.90	0.68	0.76	$C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot}$
0.031	Soft	0.010	0.20	0.00	0.70	* Runoff Coefficient increases by
						25% up to a maximum value of

TABLE 4B: Post-Developr	1.00 for the	100-Year event					
Outlet Options	Area (ha)	C _{avg}	Tc (min)	Q _{2 Year} (L/s)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)	Q _{100 Year} (L/s)	
Shirleys Brook	0.031	0.68	10	4.5	6.1	11.7	

Time of Concentration	Tc=	10	min	
Intensity (2 Year Event)	I ₂ =	76.81	mm/hr	
Intensity (5 Year Event)	I ₅ =	104.19	mm/hr	
ntensity (100 Year Event)	I ₁₀₀ =	178.56	mm/hr	

100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) $^{0.820}$ 5 year Intensity = 998.071 / (Time in min + 6.053) $^{0.814}$ 2 year Intensity = 732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199) $^{0.810}$

Equations: Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A

Where:

C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 5A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - D-05

Runoff Coefficient Equation	*C ₁₀₀	C _{avq}	"C"	Ha	Surface	Area
. tanion Goomolom Equation	0 100	- avg		i iu	Curiacc	71100
$C = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)$	0.64	0.57	0.90	0.013	Hard	Total
* Runoff Coefficient increases	0.04	0.57	0.20	0.012	Soft	0.026
25% up to a maximum value						

soft x 0.2)/A_{Tot} increases by num value of 1.00 for the 100-Year event

TABLE 5B: Post-Development D-05 Flows

Outlet Options	Area (ha)	C _{avg}	Tc (min)	Q _{2 Year} (L/s)	Q _{5 Year} (L/s)	Q _{100 Year} (L/s)
Shirleys Brook	0.026	0.57	10	3.1	4.2	8.2

Time of Concentration 10 Tc= min Intensity (2 Year Event) 76.81 mm/hr Intensity (5 Year Event) I₅= 104.19 mm/hr Intensity (100 Year Event) 178.56 mm/hr Equations: Flow Equation $Q = 2.78 \times C \times I \times A$

Where:

100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) $^{0.820}$ 5 year Intensity = $998.071 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.053)^{0.814}$ 2 year Intensity = $732.951 / (\text{Time in min} + 6.199)^{0.810}$

C is the runoff coefficient I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF A is the total drainage area



TABLE 6A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A-01 & A-02

			5 Year	Event	100 Year Event		
Area	Surface	Ha	"C"	C_{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}	
Total	Hard	0.181	0.90		1.00		
0.218	Roof	0.000	0.90	0.78	1.00	0.88	
0.210	Soft	0.036	0.20		0.25		

TABLE 6B: 2 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-01 & A-0;

0.218 =Area (ha)

0.78 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	15	61.77	29.29	6.9	22.39	20.15
	20	52.03	24.67	6.9	17.77	21.33
2 YEAR	25	45.17	21.42	6.9	14.52	21.78
	30	40.04	18.99	6.9	12.09	21.76
	35	36.06	17.10	6.9	10.20	21.42

TABLE 6C: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-01 & A-02

0.218 =Area (ha)

0.78 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)*	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	20	70.25	33.32	8.300	25.02	30.02
	25	60.90	28.88	8.300	20.58	30.87
5 YEAR	30	53.93	25.57	8.300	17.27	31.09
	35	48.52	23.01	8.300	14.71	30.89
	40	44.18	20.95	8.300	12.65	30.37

TABLE 6D: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-01 & A-02

0.218 =Area (ha)

0.88 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)*	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	20	119.95	63.54	14.86	48.68	58.42
	25	103.85	55.01	14.86	40.15	60.23
100 YEAR	30	91.87	48.66	14.86	33.80	60.85
	35	82.58	43.74	14.86	28.88	60.66
	40	75.15	39.81	14.86	24.95	59.87

TABLE 6E: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-01 & A-02

0.218 =Area (ha)

0.88 = C

Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Allowable Runoff (L/s)*	Net Flow to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd (m³)
	25	124.62	66.01	15.2	50.81	76.22
	30	110.24	58.40	15.2	43.20	77.76
100 YEAR + 20	35	99.09	52.49	15.2	37.29	78.31
	40	90.17	47.77	15.2	32.57	78.16
	45	82.86	43.89	15.2	28.69	77.47

Equations:

Where:

Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A Runoff Coefficient Equation $C_5 = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot}$

 $C_{100} = (A_{hard} \times 1.0 + A_{soft} \times 0.25)/A_{Tot}$

C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF

A is the total drainage area

DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025

^{*} Allowable run-off is 50% of the actual flow to calculate the required volume as per city of Ottawa Guidelines for underground storage



TABLE 6F: Structure Details

Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Area (m²)	T/G	Inv OUT
STMMH 217	1500	1.77	86.05	83.97
STMMH 213	1500	1.77	86.75	83.85

TABLE 6G: Pipe Details

TABLE 00. I lpe betalls						
		Actual dia		Upstream	Down stream	Length (m)*
Structures	Dia.(mm)	(mm)	Area (m²)	inv	invert	
CBMH 217-CBMH 213	750	762	0.46	83.97	83.91	55.20
STUB - CBMH 213	750	762	0.46	83.92	83.91	12.25

^{*} Pipe lengths for volume calculations are inner structure wall to inner structure wall

TABLE 6H: Above Ground Storage Provided - A-01 & A-02

	Area A-05: Above Ground Ponding									
Elevation (m)	STMMH 217 Ponding Depth (m)	STMMH 217 Area* (m²)	STMMH 213 Ponding Depth (m)	STMMH 213 Area* (m²)	Storage Volume (m³)					
86.05	0.000	0.798	0.000	-	0.00					
86.1	0.050	27.815	0.000	-	0.72					
86.15	0.100	76.812	0.000	-	3.33					
86.2	0.150	112.067	0.000	-	8.05					
86.25	0.200	141.793	0.000	-	14.40					
86.3	0.250	166.030	0.000	-	22.10					
86.35	0.300	188.865	0.000	-	30.97					
86.4	0.350	229.962	0.000	-	41.44					




TABLE 6I: Total Storage Provided - A-01 & A-02

Storage Table								
	System	STMMH 217	STMMH 213	Pipe	Underground	Ponding	Total	
Elevation	Depth	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	
(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)*	(m ³)	(m³)	
83.850	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
84.732	0.88	1.35	1.56	30.76	33.66	0.00	33.66	
84.882	1.03	1.61	1.82	-	34.20	0.00	34.20	
85.032	1.18	1.88	2.09	-	34.73	0.00	34.73	
85.182	1.33	2.14	2.35	-	35.26	0.00	35.26	
85.332	1.48	2.41	2.62	-	35.79	0.00	35.79	
85.482	1.63	2.67	2.88	-	36.32	0.00	36.32	
85.632	1.78	2.94	3.15	-	36.85	0.00	36.85	
85.782	1.93	3.20	3.41	-	37.38	0.00	37.38	
85.932	2.08	3.47	3.68	-	37.91	0.00	37.91	
86.050	2.20	3.68	3.89	-	38.32	0.00	38.32	
86.100	2.25	-	3.98	-	38.41	0.72	39.13	
86.150	2.30	-	4.06	-	38.50	3.33	41.83	
86.200	2.35	-	4.15	-	38.59	8.05	46.64	
86.250	2.40	-	4.24	-	38.68	14.40	53.08	
86.300	2.45	-	4.33	-	38.76	22.10	60.86	
86.350	2.50	-	4.42	-	38.85	30.97	69.82	
86.400	2.55	-	4.51	-	38.94	41.44	80.38	

^{**} Red text indicates ponding above the spill elevation in storms exceeding the 100-yr event.

TABLE 6J: Orifice Sizing information - A-01 & A-02

Control Device Plate Oriface Dia Outlet dia. Equivelent Flow (L/S) Volume (m³) Area (m²) Design Event Head (m) Elev (m) Dia. (mm) (mm) 1:2 Year 13.8 0.52 84.42 250 21.78 0.0069 94.0 0.77 94.0 1:5 Year 16.6 84.67 250 31.09 0.0069 29.7 2.40 86.30 250 60.85 0.0070 94.0 1:100 Year 30.4 2.49 86.39 78.31

Orifice Control Sizing
Q = 0.62 x A x (2gh) ^ 0.5
Q is the release rate in m³/s

A is the orifice area in m²

g is the acceleration due to gravity, 9.81 m/s²
h is the head of water above the orifice centre in m
d is the diameter of the orifice in m

The design Head is calculated based on the centre of the orifice at the bottom of the pipe

PROJECT NAME: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

LOCATION: City of Ottawa



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025 Revised: May 08,2025 Revised: August 12,2025

TABLE 7A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A-03 & A-04

		5 Year	· Event	100 Year Event		
Area	Surface	Ha	"C"	C_{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}
Total	Hard	0.067	0.90		1.00	
0.115	Roof	0.000	0.90	0.61	1.00	0.69
0.115	Soft	0.048	0.20		0.25	

TABLE 7B: 2 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-03 & A-04

0.115 =Area (ha)

0.61 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	25	45.17	8.79	2.2	6.59	9.88
	30	40.04	7.79	2.2	5.59	10.07
2 YEAR	35	36.06	7.02	2.2	4.82	10.12
	40	32.86	6.39	2.2	4.19	10.07
	45	30.24	5.88	2.2	3.68	9.95

TABLE 7C: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-03 & A-04

0.115 =Area (ha)

0.61 = C

Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Allowable Runoff	Net Flow to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)*	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	30	53.93	10.49	2.500	7.99	14.39
	35	48.52	9.44	2.500	6.94	14.58
5 YEAR	40	44.18	8.60	2.500	6.10	14.63
	45	40.63	7.91	2.500	5.41	14.60
	50	37.65	7.33	2.500	4.83	14.48

TABLE 7D: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-03 & A-04

0.115 =Area (ha)

0.69 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)*	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	35	82.58	18.16	4.10	14.06	29.53
	40	75.15	16.53	4.10	12.43	29.82
100 YEAR	45	69.05	15.19	4.10	11.09	29.93
	50	63.95	14.07	4.10	9.97	29.90
	55	59.62	13.11	4.10	9.01	29.74

TABLE 7E: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-03 & A-04

0.115 =Area (ha)

0.69 = C

Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Allowable Runoff (L/s)*	Net Flow to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd (m³)
	45	82.86	18.22	4.2	14.02	37.86
	50	76.74	16.88	4.2	12.68	38.03
100 YEAR + 20	55	71.55	15.74	4.2	11.54	38.07
	60	67.07	14.75	4.2	10.55	37.98
	65	63.18	13.89	4.2	9.69	37.81

Equations:

Flow Equation Q = 2.78 x C x I x A $\begin{aligned} &Runoff Coefficient Equation \\ &C_5 = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot} \\ &C_{100} = (A_{hard} \times 1.0 + A_{soft} \times 0.25)/A_{Tot} \end{aligned}$

Where:
C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF

A is the total drainage area

^{*} Allowable run-off is 50% of the actual flow to calculate the required volume as per city of Ottawa Guidelines for underground storage



TABLE 7F: Structure Details

Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Area (m²)	T/G	Inv OUT
CBMH 207	1500	1.78	86.30	84.25
STMMH 206	1500	1.78	87.74	83.69

TABLE 7G: Pipe Details

Structures	Dia.(mm)	Actual dia	Area (m²)	Upstream inv	Down stream invert	Length (m)*
Structures	Dia.(IIIIII)	(111111)	Alea (III)	1117	HIVEIL	
STMMH 207-STMMH 206	750	762	0.46	84.25	84.21	35.20

^{*} Pipe lengths for volume calculations are inner structure wall to inner structure wall

TABLE 7H: Storage Provided - A-03 & A-04

	Area A-05: Above Ground Ponding							
	CBMH 207	CBMH 207	STMMH 206	STMMH 206	Storage			
Elevation	Ponding Depth	Area*	Ponding Depth	Area*	Volume			
(m)	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m ²)	(m ³)			
86.3	0.000	0.798	0.000	-	0.00			
86.35	0.050	7.137	0.000	-	0.20			
86.4	0.100	17.970	0.000	-	0.83			
86.45	0.150	32.441	0.000	-	2.09			
86.5	0.200	50.756	0.000	-	4.17			
86.54	0.240	68.183	0.000	-	6.55			
86.59	0.290	88.729	0.000	-	10.47			
86.64	0.340	88.729	0.000	-	14.90			




TABLE 7I: Storage Provided - A-03 & A-04

	Storage Table						
	System	CBMH 207	STMMH 206	Pipe	Underground	Ponding	Total
Elevation	Depth	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume
(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)	(m ³)*	(m ³)	(m ³)
83.690	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
84.210	0.52	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.93	0.00	0.93
85.012	1.32	1.36	2.35	16.05	19.76	0.00	19.76
85.162	1.47	1.62	2.62	-	20.30	0.00	20.30
85.312	1.62	1.89	2.89	-	20.83	0.00	20.83
85.462	1.77	2.16	3.16	-	21.37	0.00	21.37
85.612	1.92	2.43	3.42	-	21.90	0.00	21.90
85.762	2.07	2.69	3.69	-	22.44	0.00	22.44
85.912	2.22	2.96	3.96	-	22.97	0.00	22.97
86.062	2.37	3.23	4.23	-	23.51	0.00	23.51
86.212	2.52	3.49	4.49	-	24.04	0.00	24.04
86.300	2.61	3.65	4.65	-	24.35	0.00	24.35
86.350	2.66	3.74	4.74	-	24.53	0.20	24.73
86.400	2.71		4.83	-	24.62	0.83	25.45
86.450	2.76		4.92	-	24.71	2.09	26.80
86.500	2.81		5.01	-	24.80	4.17	28.96
86.540	2.85		5.08	-	24.87	6.55	31.42
86.590	2.90	-	5.17	-	24.96	10.47	35.43
86.640	2.95	-	5.25	-	25.05	14.90	39.95

^{**} Red text indicates ponding above the spill elevation in storms exceeding the 100-yr event.

TABLE 7J: Orifice Sizing information - A-03 & A-04

Control Device LMF 75 Orifice Control Sizing
Q = 0.62 x A x (2gh) ^ 0.5
Q is the release rate in m³/s

Design Event	Flow (L/S)	Head (m)	Elev (m)	Outlet dia. (mm)	Volume (m³)	Area (m²)	Equivelent Dia. (mm)
1:2 Year	4.4	0.78	84.60	250	10.12	0.0018	48.0
1:5 Year	5.0	0.98	84.80	250	14.63	0.0018	48.0
1:100 Year	8.2	2.70	86.52	250	29.93	0.0018	48.0
1:100 + 20 Year	8.4	2.81	86.62	250	38.07	0.0018	48.0

A is the orifice area in m²

g is the acceleration due to gravity, 9.81 m/s² h is the head of water above the orifice centre in m

^{86.62 250 38.07 0.0018 48.0} d is the diameter of the orifice in m

The design Head is calculated based on the centre of the outlet pipe

PROJECT NAME: Copperwood Flats - Block 125

LOCATION: City of Ottawa



DATE PREPARED: March 11, 2025

Revised: May 08,2025

TABLE 8A: Post-Development Runoff Coefficient "C" - A-05

			5 Year	Event	100 Yea	ar Event
Area	Surface	На	"C"	C_{avg}	"C" + 25%	*C _{avg}
Total	Hard	0.071	0.90		1.00	
0.082	Roof	0.000	0.90	0.80	1.00	0.89
0.062	Soft	0.012	0.20		0.25	

TABLE 8B: 2 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-05

0.082 =Area (ha)

0.80 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	0	167.22	30.68	14.1	16.59	0.00
	5	103.57	19.00	14.1	4.91	1.47
2 YEAR	10	76.81	14.09	14.1	0.00	0.00
	15	61.77	11.33	14.1	-2.76	-2.48
	20	52.03	9.55	14.1	-4.54	-5.45

TABLE 8C: 5 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-05

0.082 =Area (ha)

0.80 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	0	230.48	42.29	19.120	23.17	0.00
	5	141.18	25.90	19.120	6.78	2.03
5 YEAR	10	104.19	19.12	19.120	0.00	0.00
	15	83.56	15.33	19.120	-3.79	-3.41
	20	70.25	12.89	19.120	-6.23	-7.48

TABLE 8D: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-05

0.082 =Area (ha)

0.89 = C

				Allowable	Net Flow	
Return	Time	Intensity	Flow	Runoff	to be Stored	Storage
Period	(min)	(mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	Req'd (m ³)
	0	398.62	81.62	25.20	56.42	0.00
	5	242.70	49.69	25.20	24.49	7.35
100 YEAR	10	178.56	36.56	25.20	11.36	6.82
	15	142.89	29.26	25.20	4.06	3.65
	20	119.95	24.56	25.20	-0.64	-0.77

TABLE 8E: 100 YEAR EVENT QUANTITY STORAGE REQUIREMENT - A-05

0.082 =Area (ha)

0.89 = C

Return Period	Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Flow Q (L/s)	Allowable Runoff (L/s)	Net Flow to be Stored (L/s)	Storage Req'd (m³)
	0	478.34	97.94	25.9	72.04	0.00
	5	291.24	59.63	25.9	33.73	10.12
100 YEAR + 20	10	214.27	43.87	25.9	17.97	10.78
	15	171.47	35.11	25.9	9.21	8.29
	20	143.94	29.47	25.9	3.57	4.29

Equations:

Flow Equation

 $Q = 2.78 \times C \times I \times A$

Where:

Runoff Coefficient Equation $C_5 = (A_{hard} \times 0.9 + A_{soft} \times 0.2)/A_{Tot}$

 $C_{100} = (A_{hard} \times 1.0 + A_{soft} \times 0.25)/A_{Tot}$

C is the runoff coefficient

I is the rainfall intensity, City of Ottawa IDF

A is the total drainage area



TABLE 8F: Catchbasin

ſ	Structures	Size Dia.(mm)	Area (m²)	T/G	Inv OUT
ſ	CB 209	610X610	0.37	87.35	86.15

TABLE 8G: Storage Provided -A-05

Area A-05: Above Ground Ponding						
	CB 209	CB 209	Storage			
Elevation	Ponding Depth	Area*	Volume			
(m)	(m)	(m ²)	(m ³)			
87.35	0.000	0.798	0.00			
87.4	0.050	23.264	0.60			
87.45	0.100	72.655	3.00			
87.5	0.150	138.189	8.27			
87.55	0.200	138.189	15.18			

TABLE 8H: Storage Provided - A-05


	Storage Table												
	System	CB 209	Underground	Ponding	Total								
Elevation	Depth	Volume	Volume	Volume	Volume								
(m)	(m)	(m ³)	(m ³)*	(m ³)	(m³)								
86.150	86.15	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00								
87.350	87.35	0.45	0.45	0.00	0.45								
87.400	87.40	-	-	0.60	1.05								
87.450	87.45	-	-	3.00	3.45								
87.500	87.50	-	-	8.27	8.72								
87.550	87.55	-	-	15.18	15.63								

^{**} Red text indicates ponding above the spill elevation in storms exceeding the 100-yr event.

TABLE 8I: Orifice Sizing information - A-05

Plate Oriface Dia	102										
Design Event	Flow (L/S)	Head (m)	Elev (m)	Outlet dia. (mm)	Volume (m³)	Area (m²)	Equivelent Dia. (mm)	A			
1:2 Year	14.09	0.40	86.66	200	0.00	0.0081	102.0	1			
1:5 Year	19.1	0.72	87.05	200	0.00	0.0082	102.0	g			
1:100 Year	25.2	1.28	87.48	200	6.82	0.0081	102.0	h			
1:100 + 20 Year	25.9	1.31	87.51	200	10.78	0.0082	102.0	d			

The design Head is calculated based on the centre of the orifice at the bottom of the pipe



Orifice Control Sizing

 $Q = 0.62 \times A \times (2gh)^{0.5}$

Q is the release rate in m³/s

A is the orifice area in m²

g is the acceleration due to gravity, 9.81 m/s²

h is the head of water above the orifice centre in m

d is the diameter of the orifice in m



Table 9: Post-Development Stormwater Management Summary

Table 3. Fost-Developin	able 9: Post-Development Stormwater Management Summary																
							2 Year Storn	n Event			5 Year Storm	Event		1	00 Year St	orm Even	t
Area ID	Area (ha)	1:5 Year Weighted Cw	1:100 Year Weighted Cw	Control Device	Outlet Location	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)	Release (L/s)	Head (m)	Req'd Vol (cu.m)	Max. Vol. Provided (cu.m.)
D-01	0.037	0.51	0.58	N/A	SWMF	4.00	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.40	N/A	N/A	N/A	10.50	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-02	0.037	0.63	0.71	N/A	Buckbean Avenue	4.90	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.60	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.80	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-03	0.031	0.65	0.71	N/A	Spoor Street	4.30	N/A	N/A	N/A	5.80	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.30	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-04	0.031	0.68	0.76	N/A	Shirleys Brook	4.50	N/A	N/A	N/A	6.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	11.70	N/A	N/A	N/A
D-05	0.026	0.57	0.64	N/A	Shirleys Brook	3.10	N/A	N/A	N/A	4.20	N/A	N/A	N/A	8.20	N/A	N/A	N/A
A-01-02 (CBMH 213)	0.218	0.78	0.88	Plate Oriface Dia 94	Spoor Street	13.80	0.52	21.78	60.86	16.60	0.77	31.09	60.86	29.72	2.40	60.85	60.86
A-03-04 (STMMH 206)	0.115	0.61	0.69	LMF 75	Spoor Street	4.40	0.78	10.12	31.42	5.00	0.98	14.63	31.42	8.20	2.70	29.93	31.42
A-05 (CB 209)	0.082	0.80	0.89	Plate Oriface Dia 102	Spoor Street	14.09	0.400	0.00	8.72	19.12	0.720	0.00	8.72	25.20	1.280	6.82	8.72
Post-Development Flow	st-Development Flow					53.1				68.8	-			117.6	-	97.6	
Total Allowable Release	Rate			·		117.6				117.6	•			117.6			

Volume III: TEMPEST INLET CONTROL DEVICES

Municipal Technical Manual Series



SECOND EDITION





IPEX Tempest™ Inlet Control Devices


Municipal Technical Manual Series

Vol. I, 2nd Edition

© 2022 by IPEX. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without prior written permission.

For information contact: IPEX, Marketing, 1425 North Service Road East, Oakville, Ontario, Canada, L6H 1A7

The information contained here within is based on current information and product design at the time of publication and is subject to change without notification. IPEX does not guarantee or warranty the accuracy, suitability for particular applications, or results to be obtained therefrom.



ABOUT IPEX

At IPEX, we have been manufacturing non-metallic pipe and fittings since 1951. We formulate our own compounds and maintain strict quality control during production. Our products are made available for customers thanks to a network of regional stocking locations throughout North America. We offer a wide variety of systems including complete lines of piping, fittings, valves and custom-fabricated items.

More importantly, we are committed to meeting our customers' needs. As a leader in the plastic piping industry, IPEX continually develops new products, modernizes manufacturing facilities and acquires innovative process technology. In addition, our staff take pride in their work, making available to customers their extensive thermoplastic knowledge and field experience. IPEX personnel are committed to improving the safety, reliability and performance of thermoplastic materials. We are involved in several standards committees and are members of and/or comply with the organizations listed on this page.

For specific details about any IPEX product, contact our customer service department.

CONTENTS

TEMPEST INLET CONTROL DEVICES Technical Manual

About IPEX

Section One:	Product Information: TEMPEST Low, Medium Flow (LMF) ICD Purpose
	Product Description.
	Product Function
	Product Construction
	Product Applications.
	Chart 1: LMF 14 Preset Flow Curves.
	Chart 2: LMF Flow Vs. ICD Alternatives
	Product Installation
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a square catch basin:
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a round catch basin:
	Product Technical Specification
	General
	Materials
	Dimensioning
	Installation
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD Product Description
	Product Function
	Product Construction
	Product Applications
	Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves.
	Product Installation
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin: 10
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin: 10
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF Sump into a square or round catch basin: 1
	Product Technical Specification
	General
	Materials1
	Dimensioning. 1
	Installation

PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST LOW, MEDIUM FLOW (LMF) ICD

Purpose

To control the amount of storm water runoff entering a sewer system by allowing a specified flow volume out of a catch basin or manhole at a specified head. This approach conserves pipe capacity so that catch basins downstream do not become uncontrollably surcharged, which can lead to basement floods, flash floods and combined sewer overflows.

Product Description

Our LMF ICD is designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter and larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 14 preset flow curves, the LMF ICD has the ability to provide flow rates: 2lps – 17lps (31gpm – 270gpm)

Product Function

The LMF ICD vortex flow action allows the LMF ICD to provide a narrower flow curve using a larger orifice than a conventional orifice plate ICD, making it less likely to clog. When comparing flows at the same head level, the LMF ICD has the ability to restrict more flow than a conventional ICD during a rain event, preserving greater sewer capacity.

Product Construction

Constructed from durable PVC, the LMF ICD is light weight 8.9 Kg (19.7 lbs).

Product Applications


Will accommodate both square and round applications:



Square Application



Universal Mounting Plate



Round Application





Spigot CB Wall Plate



Universal Mounting Plate Hub Adapter




Chart 1: LMF 14 Preset Flow Curves





Chart 2: LMF Flow vs. ICD Alternatives



PRODUCT INSTALLATION

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
 - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device.
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
 (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer.

 Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer.

 Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- 5. Install the universal mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal mounting plate and has created a seal.

M WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
 - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- 2. Use the spigot catch basin wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2".
 Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer.

 Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer.

 Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the CB spigot wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Apply solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of the CB wall plate, then slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the universal mounting plate hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the mounting plate and has created a seal.

MARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut back the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C
 (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to
 the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required
 curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement
 Training Course available at ipexna.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's shall have no moving parts.

Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD

Product Description

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD's are designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 5 preset flow curves, these ICDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

Product Function

TEMPEST HF (High Flow): designed to manage moderate to higher flows 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevent the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter and has been designed to limit head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.



TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump: The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these applications,

the HF Sump is offered. The HF Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.

TEMPEST MHF (Medium to High Flow):

The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.



Product Construction

The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.8 Kg (14.6 lbs).

Product Applications


The HF and MHF ICD's are available to accommodate both square and round applications:




Square Application

Round Application














The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:








Round Catch Basin

Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves



PRODUCT INSTALLATION

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
 - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
 (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer.

 Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer.

 Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the universal wall mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

MARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
 - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- Use the round catch basin spigot adaptor to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall.
 You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the spigot CB wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot CB wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Put solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of the CB wall plate, then slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

▲ WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF Sump into a Square or Round Catch Basin:

STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, mastic tape and metal strapping
 - Material: (2) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (2) washers,
 (2) nuts, HF Sump pieces (2).
- 2. Apply solvent cement to the spigot end of the top half of the sump. Apply solvent cement to the hub of the bottom half of the sump. Insert the spigot of the top half of the sump into the hub of the bottom half of the sump.
- 3. Install the 8" spigot of the device into the outlet pipe. Use the mastic tape to seal the device spigot into the outlet pipe. You should use a level to be sure that the fitting is standing at the vertical.
- 4. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make a series of 2 holes along each side of the body throat. The depth of the hole should be between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the 2 holes.
- 5. Install the anchors (2) in the holes by using a hammer.
 Put the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the
 threads when you hit the anchors. Remove the nuts from
 the ends of the anchors.
- 6. Cut the metal strapping to length and connect each end of the strapping to the anchors. Screw the nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). The device should be completely flush with the catch basin wall.

M WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control where specified. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook shall be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above shall not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices shall consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's shall have no moving parts.

Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

NOTES

SALES AND CUSTOMER SERVICE

IPEX Inc.

Toll Free: (866) 473-9462

ipexna.com

About the IPEX Group of Companies

As leading suppliers of thermoplastic piping systems, the IPEX Group of Companies provides our customers with some of the largest and most comprehensive product lines. All IPEX products are backed by more than 50 years of experience. With state-of-the-art manufacturing facilities and distribution centers across North America, we have established a reputation for product innovation, quality, end-user focus and performance.

Markets served by IPEX group products are:


- · Electrical systems
- · Telecommunications and utility piping systems
- PVC, CPVC, PP, ABS, PEX, FR-PVDF and PE pipe and fittings (1/4" to 48")
- · Industrial process piping systems
- · Municipal pressure and gravity piping systems
- · Plumbing and mechanical piping systems
- · PE Electrofusion systems for gas and water
- · Industrial, plumbing and electrical cements
- · Irrigation systems

Products manufactured by IPEX Inc.
Tempest™ is a trademark of IPEX Branding Inc.

This literature is published in good faith and is believed to be reliable. However it does not represent and/or warrant in any manner the information and suggestions contained in this brochure. Data presented is the result of laboratory tests and field experience.

A policy of ongoing product improvement is maintained. This may result in modifications of features and/or specifications without notice.





STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET Copperwood Estate c/w Scenario 1 Servicing Strategy for Future / Existing Lands FLOW RATES BASED ON RATIONAL METHOD



													Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects											
	LOCATION		ARE	EA (ha))		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			FLOW				TOTAL FLOW		, and the second		SE	WER DA	ATA				
	From	То	Area	С	AC	Indiv	Accum	Time of	Rainfall Intensity	Rainfall Intensity	Rainfall Intensity	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Total Peak	Dia. (m)	Dia.	Туре	Slope	Length	Capacity	Velocity		Ratio	
Catchment ID	Manhole	Manhole	(ha)			2.78 AC	2.78 AC	Concentration	2 Year (mm/hr)	5 Year (mm/hr)	10 Year (mm/hr)	100 Year (mm/hr)		Flow, Q (L/s)	Actual	(mm)		(%)	(m)	(L/s)	(m/s)	Time	Q/Q full	
					0.00	0.000	24.648	23.29	47.27				1,165											
	1310	1308			0.00	0.000	7.586	23.29		63.77			484	1,649	1.524	1500	Conc	0.17	23.4	3,039.6	1.67	0.23	54%	
					0.00	0.000	0.000	23.29																
					0.00	0.000		23.53	46.97				1,158											
NW-93			0.39	0.70		0.759	8.345	23.53		63.36			529											
	1308	1306		-	0.00	0.000	0.000	23.53	40.07		ļ		4.450	1,701	1.524	1500	Conc	0.12	34.6	2,553.8	1.40	0.41	67%	
NW-94			0.14	0.59	0.00	0.000	24.648 8.574	23.53 23.53	46.97	63.36			1,158 543											
1444-54			0.14	0.59	0.00	0.000	0.000	23.53		03.30			545	1										
					0.00	0.000	24.648	23.94	46.45				1.145										+	
	1306	1304			0.00	0.000	8.574	23.94	40.40	62.65			537	1.682	1.524	1500	Conc	0.11	44.6	2,445.1	1.34	0.55	69%	
					0.00	0.000	0.000	23.94		02.00			00.	.,						_,				
					0.00	0.000	24.648	24.49	45.77				1,128										1	
NW-95			0.36	0.71	0.26	0.711	9.285	24.49		61.72			573]										
	1304	1302			0.00	0.000	0.000	24.49						1.745	1.524	1500	Conc	0.13	45.1	2,658.1	1.46	0.52	66%	
	1304	1302			0.00		24.648	24.49	45.77				1,128	1,745	1.324	1300	Conc	0.13	43.1	2,030.1	1.40	0.32	0076	
NW-96			0.41	0.62		0.707	9.992	24.49		61.72			617											
					0.00	0.000	0.000	24.49																
NIN 07					0.00			25.01	45.16				1,113											
NW-97			0.21	0.62		0.362	0.000	25.01 25.01		60.88			630											
	1302	1300			0.00	0.000	24.648	25.01	45.16				1,113	1,811 1.5	1.524	1.524	1500	Conc	0.12	84.7	2,553.8	1.40	1.01	71%
NW-98			0.57	0.70		1.109	11.463	25.01	43.10	60.88			698											
			0.07	0.70	0.00	0.000	0.000	25.01		00.00			000	1										
					0.00	0.000	24.648	26.02	44.01				1,085										1	
NW-99			0.13	0.65		0.235	11.698	26.02		59.32			694											
	1300	1214			0.00	0.000	0.000	26.02						1,887	1.524	1500	Conc	0.12	00 0	2,553.8	1.40	1.06	74%	
	1300	1214			0.00	0.000	24.648	26.02	44.01				1,085	1,007	1.324	1500	Conc	0.12	00.9	2,555.0	1.40	1.00	7470	
NW-31			0.94	0.70		1.829	13.527	26.02		59.32			802											
					0.00	0.000	0.000	26.02																
								27.07																
					0.00		36.422	27.07	42.87				1,561	1										
NW-100	1214	1216	0.07	0.72		0.140	19.439	27.07		57.77			1,123	2,685	1.651	1650	Conc	0.13	74.3	3,290.6	1.54	0.81	82%	
	ļ			1	0.00	0.000	0.000	27.07															1	
NIN 440	1010	0.40	0.40	0.00	0.00	0.000		27.88	42.05	50.05			1,532	0.054	4.051	4050		0.46	04 -	0.000.0	4.54	001	0461	
NW-113	1216	343	0.18	0.63		0.315	19.754	27.88	1	56.65	1		1,119	2,651	1.651	1650	Conc	0.13	31.7	3,290.6	1.54	0.34	81%	
	1	-	1	1	0.00	0.000	0.000 36.422	27.88 28.22	41.71		-		1 510	-				-					+	
	343	1218	<u> </u>		0.00	0.000	19.754	28.22	41./1	56.19			1,519 1,110	2,629	1.651	1650	Conc	0.1E	10.7	3,534.7	1.65	0.20	74%	
	545	1210	1		0.00	0.000	0.000	28.22	1	50.19	1		1,110	2,029	1.001	1030	COILC	0.15	19.7	3,334.7	1.03	0.20	7470	
	1	1	1						44.54		1		4.540										+	
	1218	INLET 1			0.00		36.422	28.42	41.51	FF 02	-		1,512	2 617	1 651	1650	Conc	0.10	16.1	3,978.1	1 96	1.86	0.14	66%
	1210	INLET	-	1	0.00	0.000	19.754	28.42		55.93	-		1,105	2,617		1.651	651 1650	Conc	0.19	10.1	5,810.1	1.00	0.14	00%
	1	-		1	0.00	0.000	0.000	28.42 28.57	1		-				_		-	-	-				+	
				1		<u> </u>		28.57																

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET Copperwood Estate c/w Scenario 2 Servicing Strategy for Future / Existing Lands FLOW RATES BASED ON RATIONAL METHOD



													Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects												
L	OCATION		ARE	A (ha)						FLOW				TOTAL FLOW				SE	WER DA	ATA					
	From	То	Area	С	AC	Indiv	Accum	Time of	Rainfall Intensity	Rainfall Intensity	Rainfall Intensity	Rainfall Intensity	Peak Flow	Total Peak	Dia. (m)	Dia.	Туре	Slope	Length	Capacity	Velocity		Ratio		
Catchment ID	Manhole	Manhole	(ha)			2.78 AC	2.78 AC	Concentration	2 Year (mm/hr)	5 Year (mm/hr)	10 Year (mm/hr)	100 Year (mm/hr)		Flow, Q (L/s)	Actual	(mm)		(%)	(m)	(L/s)	(m/s)	Time	Q/Q fu		
					0.00	0.000	24.648	23.29	47.27				1,165												
	1310	1308			0.00	0.000	7.586	23.29		63.77			484	1,649	1.524	1500	Conc	0.17	23.4	3,039.6	1.67	0.23	54%		
					0.00	0.000	0.000	23.29																	
					0.00			23.53	46.97				1,158												
NW-93			0.39	0.70		0.759	8.345	23.53		63.36			529												
	1308	1306		_	0.00	0.000	0.000	23.53						1,701	1.524	1500	Conc	0.12	34.6	2,553.8	1.40	0.41	67%		
NIM O4			0.44	0.50	0.00	0.000	24.648	23.53	46.97	00.00			1,158												
NW-94			0.14	0.59	0.00	0.230	8.574 0.000	23.53 23.53		63.36			543												
					0.00	0.000	24.648	23.94	46.45				1,145												
	1306	1304			0.00	0.000	8.574	23.94	40.43	62.65			537	1.682	1.524	1500	Conc	0.11	44.6	2.445.1	1.34	0.55	69%		
					0.00	0.000	0.000	23.94		02.00			007	1,002			00110	0		2,		0.00	0070		
					0.00	0.000	24.648	24.49	45.77				1,128												
NW-95			0.36	0.71	0.26	0.711	9.285	24.49		61.72			573												
	4204	1302			0.00	0.000	0.000	24.49						1.745	4 504	4500	0	0.40	45.4	2,658.1	4.40	0.50	66%		
	1304	1302		-	0.00	0.000	24.648	24.49	45.77				1,128	1,745	,745 1.524	1.524	1500	Conc	0.13	45.1	2,008.1	1.46	0.52	00%	
NW-96			0.41	0.62	0.25	0.707	9.992	24.49		61.72			617												
					0.00	0.000	0.000	24.49																	
					0.00	0.000	24.648	25.01	45.16				1,113												
NW-97			0.21	0.62	0.13	0.362	10.354	25.01		60.88			630								ļ				
	1302	1300		<u> </u>	0.00	0.000	0.000	25.01						1,811 1.5	1 1.524	1.524	1500	Conc	0.12	84.7	2,553.8	1.40	1.01	71%	
					0.00	0.000	24.648	25.01	45.16				1,113							_,					
NW-98			0.57	0.70		1.109	11.463	25.01		60.88			698												
					0.00	0.000	0.000	25.01	44.04				4.005												
NW-99			0.13	0.65	0.00	0.000	24.648 11.698	26.02 26.02	44.01	59.32			1,085 694												
NVV-99			0.13	0.05	0.00	0.235	0.000	26.02		59.32			694	-											
	1300	1214			0.00	0.000	24.648	26.02	44.01		 		1,085	1,887	1.524	1500	Conc	0.12	88.9	2,553.8	1.40	1.06	74%		
NW-31			0.94	0.70	0.00	1.829	13.527	26.02	44.01	59.32			802												
			0.34	0.70	0.00	0.000	0.000	26.02		33.32			002	1											
								27.07																	
					0.00	0.000	36.422	27.07	42.87				1,561												
NW-100	1214	1216	0.07	0.72	0.05	0.140	19.439	27.07	72.01	57.77	 		1,123	2,685	1.651	1650	Conc	0.13	74.3	3,290.6	1.54	0.81	82%		
1444-100	1213	1210	0.07	0.12	0.00	0.000	0.000	27.07		01.11	 		1,120	2,000	1.001	1000	00110	0.10	74.5	5,200.0	1.04	0.01	02/0		
	1				0.00	0.000	36.422	27.88	42.05		1		1,532	1											
NW-113	1216	1218	0.18	0.63		0.315	19.754	27.88	.2.00	56.65	1		1,119	2,651	1.651	1650	Conc	0.13	31.7	3,290.6	1.54	0.34	81%		
					0.00	0.000	0.000	27.88					,,,,,,	1											
					0.00	0.000	36.422	28.22	41.71				1,519												
	1218	937			0.00	0.000	19.754	28.22		56.19			1,110	2,629	1.651	1650	Conc	0.15	19.7	3,534.7	1.65	0.20	74%		
	1				0.00	0.000	0.000	28.22						,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,											
					0.00	0.000	36.422	28.42	41.51				1,512												
	937	INLET 1			0.00	0.000	19.754	28.42		55.93			1,105				1.651	1 1650	Conc	0.19	16.1	3,978.1	1.86	0.14	66%
					0.00	0.000	0.000	28.42																	
	1			1				28.57		1	1														



MEMORANDUM

DATE: AUGUST 14, 2025

TO: ANTHONY MESTWARP

FROM: MELANIE SCHROEDER

RE: COPPERWOOD FLATS BLOCK 125

MEDIUM DENSITY DEVELOPMENT

STORMWATER IMPACTS OF BLOCK 125 ON OVERALL SUBDIVISION MODEL (PCSWMM)

NOVATECH PROJECT NO.: 122144

CC: GREG MACDONALD

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

This memo has been prepared to summarize the findings of this analysis in support of the detailed SWM design for Block 125 of the Copperwood Estates Subdivision, as described in the *Copperwood Flats Block 125 Servicing and Stormwater Management Report*, prepared by Novatech, revised August 14, 2025.

Novatech previously prepared a hydrologic and hydraulic model in PCSWMM in support of the detailed design of the Cooperwood Estate Subdivision Phase 1, which has been recently updated for Phase 2 and is in the approval process. This model included a conceptual stormwater management design for Block 125, which included on-site storage and a controlled release rate to the storm sewer on Spoor Street.

The detailed stormwater management design and drainage patterns for Block 125 (formerly Block 307) has some differences from the conceptual design represented in the Phase 1 PCSWMM model. The primary difference is that some areas of the site will have direct runoff to Buckbean Avenue, Spoor Street, the Upper SWM Facility and Shirley's Brook. The detailed SWM design for Block 125 was incorporated into the overall subdivision PCSWMM model to evaluate the impacts of the direct runoff areas on the surrounding subdivision and Shirley's Brook Tributary 2.

PCSWMM MODEL

The detailed design for Block 125 has a single controlled minor system outlet to Spoor Street and five direct runoff areas. The detailed design for Block 125 was incorporated into the overall Copperwood Estates PCSWMM model as follows:

Catchment Areas & Parameters

- Drainage areas A-01 to A-05 and D-01 to D-05 were added to replace subcatchment NW-98.
 - Subcatchment parameters representing the proposed grading and drainage design for Block 125 were assigned based on **Drawing 122144-SWM**.



Controlled Runoff (to Spoor Street Sewer)

- The controlled areas A-01 to A-05 were modelled as follows:
 - The internal pipe network of the site was not modelled and for simplicity the onsite site storage and ICDs were modelled with a single storage node and orifice.
 - This storage node represents the required storage volume of 97.6 m³ as noted in the *Copperwood Flats Block 125 Servicing and Stormwater Management Report* (Novatech, August 2025).
 - The orifice for the storage node was sized so that the release rate from the controlled area is similar the total release rate from the onsite ICDs (Report release rate = 63.12 L/s, model = 62.21 L/s in the 100-year).
 - A major system spill was added above the 100-year maximum storage elevation to represent major system spills during events exceeding the 100-year storm event.

Direct Runoff

- Direct runoff Areas were modelled as follows:
 - Area D-01 was set to outlet uncontrolled to the Upper SWMF node.
 - Area D-02 was set to outlet uncontrolled to CB7/CB8 on Buckbean Avenue.
 - Area D-03 was set to outlet uncontrolled to CB19/CB20 on Spoor Street.
 - Area D-04 and D-05 were set to outlet uncontrolled to an outfall representing flows to Shirley's Brook.

MODEL RESULTS

The updated subdivision model was run, and the results were compared to the original model. It should be noted that both Buckbean Avenue and Spoor Street are collector roads.

ICD Sizing

The results show that there is minimal impact to the surrounding areas, except for CB5/CB6, which require upsized ICDs to accommodate the additional direct runoff from the site (i.e. ensure no ponding in the 5-year event for collector roads). A summary of the ICD sizing is as follows:

- The current ICDs at CB5/CB6 are two 94mm diameter ICDs.
 - Ponding at this location is 0.03m in the 5-year (exceeds requirement of no ponding for in-sag CBs).
 - o 100-year ponding at this location is 0.19m which is below the maximum of 0.35m.
- If the ICDs at CB5/CB6 are upsized to two 102mm diameter ICDs.
 - o Ponding at this location is 0.00m in the 5-year (meet no ponding requirement).
 - 100-year ponding at this location is 0.18m which is below the maximum of 0.35m.

Based on the above, it is proposed that the ICDs at CB5/CB6 be replaced with 102mm diameter ICDs as part of the design of Block 125.

Ponding Depths & HGL

A comparison of modelled ponding depths and HGL elevations between the Phase 1 PCSWMM model and the updated model reflecting the detailed design of Block 125 are provided in **Tables 1** and **2**.



Table 1: Ponding Comparison (3-hour Chicago Storm Distribution)

Table 1. I			,	ear)-year		100-year + 20%					
Scenario	Location	T/G	Elev.	Depth	Elev.			Velocity x Depth	Elev.		1	Valaaitu		
		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m/s)	(m²/s)	(m)	(m)	(m/s)	(m²/s)		
	CB2/CB1 ⁽¹⁾	82.30	82.32	0.02	82.33	0.03	0.18	0.01	82.33	0.03	0.20	0.01		
	CB3/CB4	82.76	82.44	0.00	82.90	0.14	0.00	0.00	82.95	0.19	0.00	0.00		
	CB5/CB6	84.92	84.93	0.01	85.10	0.18	0.58	0.10	85.15	0.23	0.57	0.13		
Previous	CB7/CB8 ⁽¹⁾	86.20	86.23	0.03	86.24	0.04	0.58	0.02	86.24	0.04	0.76	0.03		
Model	CB21/CB22	87.67	87.27	0.00	87.84	0.17	0.00	0.00	87.90	0.23	0.00	0.00		
	CB19/CB20	87.36	86.94	0.00	87.47	0.11	0.00	0.00	87.65	0.29	0.59	0.17		
	CB159-162/ CB17-18 ⁽¹⁾	86.65	86.67	0.02	86.68	0.03	0.25	0.01	86.68	0.03	0.27	0.01		
	CB2/CB1 ⁽¹⁾	82.30	82.32	0.02	82.33	0.03	0.18	0.01	82.33	0.03	0.00	0.00		
	CB3/CB4	82.76	82.44	0.00	82.90	0.14	0.00	0.00	82.95	0.19	0.00	0.00		
Updated	CB5/CB6	84.92	84.84	0.00	85.10	0.18	0.67	0.12	85.15	0.23	0.67	0.15		
Model	CB7/CB8 ⁽¹⁾	86.20	86.23	0.03	86.24	0.04	0.67	0.03	86.25	0.05	0.67	0.03		
with Block 125	CB21/CB22	87.67	87.27	0.00	87.84	0.17	0.00	0.00	87.90	0.23	0.00	0.00		
120	CB19/CB20	87.36	87.35	0.00	87.50	0.14	0.00	0.00	87.68	0.32	0.50	0.16		
C	CB159-162/ CB17-18 ⁽¹⁾	86.65	86.67	0.02	86.68	0.03	0.46	0.01	86.68	0.03	0.73	0.02		

⁽¹⁾ CBs are on grade and can have ponding in the 5-year storm

Table 2: HGL Comparison (3-hour Chicago Storm Distribution)

MH ID	100-year HGL (m)								
	Previous Model	Updated Model with Block 125							
MH1214	84.14	84.14							
MH1216	84.14	84.14							
MH1218	84.14	84.14							
MH1300	84.59	84.55							
MH1302	84.81	84.77							
MH937	84.14	84.14							

SWMF Storage Volumes and Release Rates

Table 3 provides a comparison of the 100-year storage volumes and release rates from the Copperwood Estates SWM facility following the above noted updates to Block 125. The model results demonstrate that there will be no impact to the performance of the SWM facility resulting from the proposed changes to the SWM design for Block 125. The maximum active storage volume for the upper cell increased very slightly, but there are no changes to the maximum water levels or release rates from either cell of the SWMF.



Table 3: SWMF Comparison (12-hour SCS Storm Distribution)

		Upper Pond		Lower Pond						
Scenario	100-yr HGL	100-year Volume	100-year Outflow	100-yr HGL	100-year Volume	100-year Outflow				
	(m)	(m³)	(L/s)	(m)	(m³)	(L/s)				
Previous Model	84.38	24,584	149	81.87	16,715	113				
Updated Model with Block 125	84.38	24,619	149	81.87	16,715	113				

Direct Runoff Areas to Shirley's Brook

The Copperwood Estates subdivision model (PCSWMM) does not include any direct runoff areas to Shirley's Brook. All rear yards and pathways that drain uncontrolled to Shirley's Brook Tributary 2 were not included in the subdivision model and were instead accounted for in the Shirley's Brook watershed model (SWMHYMO).

The direct runoff area from areas D-04 and D-05 are a total of 0.06 ha with an imperviousness of 62% (runoff coefficient of 0.63). The peak 100-year runoff to Shirley's Brook from these areas is 28 L/s. This runoff area is small compared to the other direct runoff areas within the Copperwood Estates Subdivision and the overall upstream drainage of Shirley's Brook. The March Road crossing off Shirley's Brook has an upstream drainage area of approximately 445 ha, which includes the Cooperwood Estates Subdivision. The 0.06 ha of direct runoff from Block 125 to directly Shirley's Brook only accounts for a negligible area to the overall drainage area.

CONCLUSIONS

The PCSWMM results from the updated model which includes the detailed design of Block 125 indicate that there are minor changes to storm sewer HGL elevations and ponding depths above CBs, which will be addressed through the upsizing of the existing ICDs in CB5/6.

There will be no impact to maximum water levels or outflows from the Copperwood Estates SWMF resulting from the detailed design of Block 125.


The additional direct runoff to Shirley's Brook Tributary 2 is negligible and will have no impact on the floodplain elevations in the watercourse.

The updated model indicates that there will be no adverse impacts to the function of the stormwater management system for the Copperwood Estate Subdivision or the receiving watercourse.

Attachments

- Model Schematic
- PCSWMM model files (digital)






Date: 2025-08-13

M:\2022\122144\BLOCK 307\DATA\Calculations\SWM\PCSWMM\122144-PCSWMM Model Schematics.docx






Date: 2025-08-13

M:\2022\122144\BLOCK 307\DATA\Calculations\SWM\PCSWMM\122144-PCSWMM Model Schematics.docx





Date: 2025-08-13

M:\2022\122144\BLOCK 307\DATA\Calculations\SWM\PCSWMM\122144-PCSWMM Model Schematics.docx