

CITY OF OTTAWA

LANSDOWNE PARK EVENT CENTRE
OTTAWA, ON
SERVICING REPORT

JANUARY 15, 2025
REVISION 2





LANSDOWNE PARK EVENT
CENTRE
OTTAWA, ON
SERVICING STUDY
CITY OF OTTAWA

PROJECT NO.: CA0033920.1056
DATE: JANUARY 15, 2025

WSP
SUITE 300
2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON, CANADA, K2B 8K2

T: +1 613 829-2800
F: +1 613 829-8299
WSP.COM

January 15, 2025

City of Ottawa

Attention: Sean Moore

Dear Sir:

Subject: Lansdowne 2.0 Event Centre Development for Site Plan Control Application

We are pleased to deliver this enclosed servicing report in support of the application for Site Plan Control for the subject Lansdowne 2.0 Phase 1 – New Event Centre. This report details the water and sanitary demands for the proposed development in coordination with the existing site and future phased works.

Should there be any questions or comments regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Winston Yang', is positioned above the typed name.

Winston Yang, P.Eng.
Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal
Engineering, Ontario

WSP ref.: CA0033920.1056

SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY



Zarak Ali, EIT
Civil Designer

January 15th, 2025

Date

APPROVED BY



Winston Yang, P.Eng., PMP
Lead Engineer – Technical Lead

January 15th, 2025

Date



WSP Canada Inc. prepared this report solely for the use of the intended recipient, City of Ottawa, in accordance with the professional services agreement. The intended recipient is solely responsible for the disclosure of any information contained in this report. The content and opinions contained in the present report are based on the observations and/or information available to WSP Canada Inc. at the time of preparation. If a third party makes use of, relies on, or makes decisions in accordance with this report, said third party is solely responsible for such use, reliance or decisions. WSP Canada Inc. does not accept responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions taken by said third party based on this report. This limitations statement is considered an integral part of this report.

The original of this digital file will be conserved by WSP Canada Inc. for a period of not less than 10 years. As the digital file transmitted to the intended recipient is no longer under the control of WSP Canada Inc., its integrity cannot be assured. As such, WSP Canada Inc. does not guarantee any modifications made to this digital file subsequent to its transmission to the intended recipient.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL	1
1.1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
1.2	DATE AND REVISION NUMBER.....	1
1.3	LOCATION MAP AND PLAN	2
1.4	Adherence to zoning and related requirements.....	2
1.5	Pre-Consultation meetings.....	2
1.6	Higher level studies	2
1.7	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.....	3
1.8	Available existing and proposed infrastructure.....	3
1.9	Environmentally significant areas, watercourses and municipal drains	3
1.10	Concept level master grading plan.....	4
1.11	Impacts on private services	4
1.12	Development phasing	4
1.13	Geotechnical study	4
1.14	Drawing requirement	4
2	WATER DISTRIBUTION	5
2.1	Consistency with master servicing study and availability of public infrastructure.....	5
2.2	System constraints and boundary conditions	6
2.3	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure	8
2.4	CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE Fire Flow Protection.....	10
2.5	Check of High Pressure.....	11
2.6	Phasing constraints	11
2.7	Reliability requirements.....	11
2.8	Need for pressure zone boundary modification	11

2.9	Capability of major infrastructure to supply sufficient water	12
2.10	Description of proposed water distribution network	12
2.11	Off-site requirements	12
2.12	Calculation of water demands	12
2.13	Model Schematic	12
3	WASTEWATER DISPOSAL.....	13
3.1	Design Criteria.....	13
3.2	Consistency with master servicing study	13
3.3	Review of Soil conditions	13
3.4	Description of existing sanitary sewer	13
3.5	Verification of available capacity in downstream sewer	14
3.6	Calculations for New sanitary sewer	14
3.7	Description of proposed sewer network.....	14
3.8	Environmental constraints	14
3.9	Pumping requirements	14
3.10	Forcemains	14
3.11	Emergency overflows from sanitary pumping stations.....	14
3.12	Special considerations	14
4	SITE STORM SERVICING.....	15
4.1	Existing Condition.....	15
4.2	Analysis of available capacity in public infrastructure	15
4.3	Drainage drawing	15
4.4	Water quantity control objective.....	15
4.5	Water quality control objective	15

4.6	Design criteria.....	15
4.7	Proposed minor system	16
4.8	Stormwater management	16
4.9	Inlet Controls	16
4.10	On-site detention	16
4.11	Watercourses.....	16
4.12	Pre and Post development peak flow rates	17
4.13	Diversion of drainage catchment areas	17
4.14	Downstream capacity where quanTity control is not proposed	17
4.15	Impacts to receiving watercourses	17
4.16	Municipal drains and related approvals.....	17
4.17	Means of conveyance and storage capacity	17
4.18	Hydraulic analysis.....	17
4.19	Identification of floodplains	17
4.20	Fill constraints.....	17
5	SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL	18
5.1	General	18
6	APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	19
6.1	General	19
7	CONCLUSION CHECKLIST	20
7.1	Conclusions and recommendations	20
7.2	Comments received from review agencies	20

TABLES

TABLE 2-1: FIRE HYDRANT TESTING RESULTS.....	5
TABLE 2-2: WATER DEMAND AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS EXISTING CONDITIONS FROM DSEL'S ANALYSIS	6
TABLE 2-3: WATER DEMAND AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS PROPOSED CONDITIONS	6
TABLE 2-4: LANSDOWNE SITE WATER DEMANDS BREAKDOWN PER BUILDING.....	7
TABLE 2-5: EXISTING AND PROPOSED WATER DEMANDS AND FUS FOR PHASE 1 AND PHASE 2	8
TABLE 2-6: FIRE SERVICE PIPE SIZING AND PRESSURE CHECK FOR EVENT CENTRE AND NORTH SIDE STAND	10
TABLE 2-7: DOMESTIC SERVICE PIPE SIZING AND PRESSURE CHECK FOR EVENT CENTRE AND NORTH SIDE STAND	10

FIGURES

FIGURE 1-1 LANSDOWNE SITE LOCATION.....	2
---	---

APPENDICES

A

- CITY AND NCC COMMENTS
- LANSDOWNE CIVIL DRAWINGS - STANTEC
- CONCEPTUAL ARCHITECTURAL PLAN
- AS-BUILT DRAWINGS
- TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PLAN

B

- BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
- CORRESPONDENCE

- FIRE FLOW CALCULATION FOR BUILDINGS
 - EXISTING WATER DEMAND CALCULATION
 - HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS
 - FIRE HYDRANT TEST RESULTS
 - HYDRANT COVERAGE FIGURE
- C**
- STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET
 - DWG C08 – STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN
 - EXISTING STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET AND DRAINAGE AREA PLAN BY STANTEC
 - SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET
 - EXISTING SANITARY DESIGN SHEET BY DSEL
 - CORRESPONDANCE
 - DWG C04 – GRADING PLANS
 - DWG C05A/C05B – SERVICING PLANS
- D**
- DWG C06 – EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN

1 GENERAL

1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Following the Zoning By-Law Amendment submission in September 2023, the Lansdowne Park redevelopment project (Lansdowne 2.0) entered the Site Plan Control Application stage. WSP was again retained by the City of Ottawa to provide servicing, grading and stormwater management design services for the phase 1 (Event Centre) development of the project for Site Plan Control Application.

The Lansdowne site is home to many commercial, residential, and leisure facilities. This includes TD place Stadium, Aberdeen Pavilion, Horticultural Building, mixed-use retail/office/residential, and a subsurface parking lot. The overall site is approximately 15.4 ha, and borders Bank Street to the west, Holmwood Ave to the north, and Queen Elizabeth Drive to the south and east.

The overall proposed redevelopment of Lansdowne Park is divided into 3 phases: Phase 1 includes a new event centre and landscaping/south stands modifications, Phase 2 involves the reconstruction of the north stands and Grand Stairs, and Phase 3 is for a future commercial/residential block containing probably two residential towers and retail space. This report pertains to the overall infrastructure upgrades except watermain due to Lansdowne 2.0 redevelopment and specifically to Phase 1, the design of the Event Centre, Great Lawn, and other landscaping modifications. See Appendix A for the architectural design upon which this report is based.

The site is located in the City of Ottawa per the Topographic Sketch of Lansdowne Park dated June 2024 and completed by Stantec Geomatics Ltd. Based on the topographic survey, the site slopes from the existing berm to the great lawn and the swale on the south side of the site. The existing Lansdowne site has been previously developed to convey flow to various underground tanks and the existing Great Lawn for detention. The private storm network eventually discharges to a 1050mm storm sewer on O'Connor Street. And runoff will drain overland to the Queen Elizabeth Drive exceeding 100 year event.

Design of a drainage and stormwater management system in this development must be prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, October 2012;
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003; and
- Stormwater Management Facility Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, April 2012

This report was prepared utilizing servicing design criteria obtained from the City of Ottawa and outlines the design for water, sanitary wastewater, and stormwater facilities, including stormwater management.

The format of this report matches that of the servicing study checklist found in Section 4 of the City of Ottawa's Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications, November 2009.

It is proposed that:

- On-site stormwater management systems, employing underground storage will be provided to attenuate flow rates leaving the site area to be redeveloped. Existing drainage patterns, previously established controlled flow rates and storm sewers will be maintained.
- The on-site storm and sanitary pipes will be re-routed around the proposed Event Centre and previously established conveyance patterns will be maintained.

1.2 DATE AND REVISION NUMBER

This version of the report is the third issue, dated January 15th, 2024.

1.3 LOCATION MAP AND PLAN

The proposed development is located at 1015 Bank Street, Ottawa, Ontario at the location shown in Figure 1-1 below.



Figure 1-1 Lansdowne Site Location

1.4 ADHERENCE TO ZONING AND RELATED REQUIREMENTS

The proposed property use will be in conformance with zoning and related requirements prior to approval and construction and is understood to be in conformance with current zoning.

1.5 PRE-CONSULTATION MEETINGS

Outstanding comments from the ZBLA stage and updated engineering comments were provided July 26, 2024. These comments are provided in Appendix A for reference.

1.6 HIGHER LEVEL STUDIES

The review for servicing has been undertaken in conformance with, and utilizing information from, the following documents:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Second Edition, Document SDG002, October 2012, City of Ottawa including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2012-4 (20 June 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01 (05 February 2014)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 (September 6, 2018)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-01 (21 March 2018)

- Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-04 (27 June 2018)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution, July 2010 (WDG001), including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 (May 27, 2014)
 - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 (21 March 2018)
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, March 2003 (SMPDM).
- Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Report for Lansdowne Live Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group, Project No. 09-378, January 2012, by DSEL.
- Stormwater Management Design Report for Lansdowne Urban Park, February 2012, by Stantec Consulting Ltd.
- Functional Servicing and Stormwater Management Study for Lansdowne Park Redevelopment 2.0, Project No. CA0000286.1662, September 2023, by WSP.
- Geotechnical Investigation – Proposed Event Centre Lansdowne Park Redevelopment, Report No. PG6655-1, May 2024, by Patterson Group.
- Fire Underwriters Survey, Water Supply for Public Fire Protection (FUS), 2020.

1.7 STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND SERVICING CRITERIA

The objective of the site servicing is to meet the requirements for the proposed modification of the site while adhering to the stipulations of the applicable higher-level studies and City of Ottawa servicing design guidelines. The current phase of the site plan includes a new Event Centre building, a modified Great Lawn and south stands and other landscape features.

1.8 AVAILABLE EXISTING AND PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE

The site is currently serviced by a network of watermain, storm, and sanitary sewers constructed during the Lansdowne 1.0 redevelopment project completed between 2012 and 2015. The Sport and Entertainment Group provided an as-built services and grading plan after its completion, contained in Appendix A.

Based on the previous design information by DSEL and Stantec, portable water supply is available within the site, and there should be adequacy fire protection supply. The existing Lansdowne Park has a peak dry weather flow of 42.1 L/s and wet weather flow of 45.3 L/s. The existing minor storm system has been designed to convey all storms up to and including a 5-year storm event and detention up to and including a 100-year storm event has also been designed and provided on site with the use of existing subsurface tank and surface ponding within the existing Great Lawn.

Due to the placement of the Event Centre building and the modification to the Great Lawn area, it is proposed to internally reroute the on-site storm, sanitary and watermain infrastructure around the Event Centre footprint to service the redevelopment. In addition, it is proposed to flatten the Great Lawn in Lansdowne 2.0 and introduced a large new underground chamber that will be interconnected to the existing underground chamber to provide additional stormwater storage in place of the Great Lawn to accommodate the increased of imperviousness due to Lansdowne 2.0 redevelopment.

1.9 ENVIRONMENTALLY SIGNIFICANT AREAS, WATERCOURSES AND MUNICIPAL DRAINS

Rideau Canal is south to the Lansdowne site. From the previous design or the existing condition before Lansdowne 1.0 development, an outlet to the Rideau Canal exist. But the outlet to the Canal is no longer in used as per the current finding. And this outlet will be completely abandoned and removed to accommodate the changes for the proposed

Lansdowne 2.0 redevelopment. Thus the proposed changes to the site will not require any additional approvals or amendments to approvals pertaining to environmentally significant areas, watercourses or municipal drains.

1.10 CONCEPT LEVEL MASTER GRADING PLAN

As the design is being submitted for site plan approval, the grading plan has been developed for the Phase 1 modifications. The existing and proposed grading are shown on drawing C04 (Grading Plan). Existing grading information is based on the topographic survey of the site completed in June 2024. No changes in grading are proposed beyond the redevelopment area boundaries. The proposed grading plan confirms the feasibility of the proposed stormwater management system, drainage, soil removal and fills. The geotechnical investigation was completed in 2024 by Patterson Group. The grading along the redevelopment extents is proposed to meet the existing grade.

1.11 IMPACTS ON PRIVATE SERVICES

There are no existing domestic private services (septic system and well) located on the site. There are no neighbouring properties using private services.

The existing on-site storm, sanitary and watermain services will be re-routed around the Event Centre and connect back into the existing on-site systems just south of the Aberdeen Pavilion. The overall site drainage system will remain unaffected. The drainage areas around the modified Great Lawn will be modified based on the proposed grading and addition of a new underground storage chamber. Ultimately, all of the flows will still be conveyed through the same downstream on-site storm sewer system.

1.12 DEVELOPMENT PHASING

As previously mentioned, the redevelopment of Lansdowne 2.0 will be completed in 3 phases. This report focuses on phase 1 (New Event Centre, modified Great Lawn and surrounding landscaped areas). However, the civil design of storm conveyance, stormwater management and wastewater take into consideration the ultimate design/demands (i.e. all 3 phases are taking into account). Upgrading the existing watermain network for phase 1 and 2 is not anticipated since the domestic water demand for New Event Centre and New North Side Stands are assumed to be equal to or less than compared to existing condition, and the fire flow is less than existing. For phase 3, hydraulic analysis for the watermain network might be considered depending on the detail design of future residential and commercial development.

1.13 GEOTECHNICAL STUDY

A geotechnical investigation report was previously prepared by Patterson Group. on May 30, 2024. No additional geotechnical information was required for the design of the modified site services, including paving. This geotechnical report will be included with the contract documents to be issued for construction, and the recommendations of the reports will be referenced in the construction specifications. The geotechnical study specifies a design recommendation based on a maximum groundwater elevation of 60.78m.

1.14 DRAWING REQUIREMENT

The engineering plans submitted for site plan approval will be in compliance with City requirements.

2 WATER DISTRIBUTION

2.1 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY AND AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

Lansdowne Park resides within the City of Ottawa 1W Pressure Zone. Water supply is delivered to the subject property through existing 300mm on Bank Street, 400mm on Holmwood Ave and 200mm on Fifth Ave.

The existing on site 200mm watermain is proposed to be rerouted around the new addition (Event Centre) since it lays in the new addition's footprint. The Event Centre building's services (2 services will be required since the average day demands are greater than 50 m³/day) are proposed to connect to the on-site 200mm watermain. The new Event Centre will be protected with a fully supervised and automatic fire protection system sprinkler system. The water service system includes a water flow and control valve alarm service. Refer to Appendix B for correspondence from the mechanical engineer. The fire department connection is located near the main entrance on the north side of the building.

No changes are required to the existing City water distribution system to allow servicing for this property.

The Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group have completed fire hydrant testing on site in September 2022. Table 2-1 summarizes the results of the hydrant testing. The associated hydrant testing results are located Appendix B.

Table 2-1: Fire Hydrant Testing Results

Hydrant Location	Color Code	Static Pressure (psi)	Dynamic Pressure (psi)	Pitot Pressure (psi)	Measured Flow (Gallons/min L/s)	Available Fire Flow at 20 psi (Gallons/min L/s)
Apartment Facing Field	Blue	68	62	39	875/55.0	2689/169.7
Back Entrance	Blue	70	62	44	929/58.6	2499/157.7
Behind Apartment (Bank St)	Blue	70	61	41	897/56.6	2264/142.8
Behind Apartment (Parkway)	Blue	70	62	38	863/54.5	2323/146.6
Box Office	Blue	68	62	42	908/57.3	2790/176.0
Cattle Castle	Blue	70	62	38	863/54.5	2323/146.6
Cineplex	Blue	66	61	38	863/54.5	2739/172.8
Filed Entrance*	Blue	70	60	39	875/55.2	2086/131.6
On Field*	Blue	70	62	43	918/57.9	2471/155.9
Goodlife*	Blue	67	60	37	852/53.8	2382/150.3

Milestones*	Blue	67	62	34	817/51.5	2739/172.8
Sporting Life	Blue	65	58	41	897/56.6	2450/154.6

*Fire hydrants proposed to meet the fire flow demands of the Event Centre and North Side Stands.

2.2 SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The existing water supply network is shown on As-Built Site Servicing Plan C01003 by DSEL. Boundary condition from the Lansdowne 1.0 post development is summarized below. A conservative estimate for the required fire supply of 9,000 L/min (150 L/s) was used for the analysis. Table 2-2 summarizes the DSEL anticipated water demands and boundary conditions under existing conditions.

Table 2-2: Water Demand and Boundary Conditions Existing Conditions from DSEL's analysis

Design Parameter	Existing Demand (L/s)	Boundary Condition (Hydraulic m/kPa)
Average Daily Demand	11.8	115.6/481.7
Max Day + Fire Flow	19.9+150=169.9	106.4/391.4
Peak Hour	38.0	103.1/359.0

*Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa during Lansdowne 1.0. Assumed ground elevation 65.50m.

This report will focus on the existing total site and future total site water demands. Due to the lack of information for Phase 3, a hydraulic check should be conducted at the beginning of Phase 3 design to determine if modification to the existing watermain network is required.

A boundary request for the proposed Lansdowne 2.0 development for the entire site has been submitted to the City on December 11, 2024, based on the recent fire flows and domestic demands for the total site. It is assumed that the future demand from the Lansdowne 2.0 development will be equal to or less than the demand of the existing system. The existing system's real-world demands were determined from the provided actual metering data as described below. The purpose of this exercise is to ensure the pre and post water pressure are consistent from the existing water network. The new Event Centre and North Side Stands have fire flow demands of 5000 L/min (83 L/s) and 6000 L/min (100 L/s), respectively. Refer to Appendix B for the fire flow calculations. Note that the fire flow of 150 L/s (as per existing conditions) was used to analyze the Lansdowne 2.0 development as this is more conservative, and thus, is why it was provided for boundary conditions.

Table 2-3 summarizes the anticipated Water Demands (per metering data) and Boundary Conditions under proposed conditions.

Table 2-3: Water Demand and Boundary Conditions Proposed Conditions

Design Parameter	Proposed Demand (L/s)	Boundary Condition 1 (Hydraulic m/kPa)	Boundary Condition 2 (Hydraulic m/kPa)
Average Daily Demand	5.41	114.6/481.4	114.6/465.7
Max Day + Fire Flow	13.52+150=163.52	107.8/414.7	106.5/386.3
Peak Hour	29.73	105.7/394.1	105.6/377.5

*Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa. Assumed ground elevation 65.50m at Connection 1 and 67.10m at Connection 2. See Appendix B for detail boundary condition.

As demonstrated in Table 2-2 and 2-3, the pressure range is similar during Maximum Day plus Fire Flow as well as Peak Hour demands. Therefore, the existing water supply is available per the design requirement and conforms to all relevant City Guidelines and Policies.

For the purposes of determining accurate water demands for the ultimate condition, it has been assumed that the existing average day demands derived from the OSEG CARMA metering data for 2023 and 2024 will be equivalent, or greater than, the ultimate condition demands (see Appendix B for correspondence with the City of Ottawa regarding the use of metering data to determine the total site water demands and the OSEG CARMA metering data spreadsheet).

Based on the 12-month average of the November to December 2023 Metered Total readings in the OSEG CARMA Metering data and the January to October 2024 Metered Total readings, an average water consumption of 14,012,838 L/month was calculated. This is equivalent to 5.41 L/s. As per the City correspondence, 5.41 L/s and residential peaking factors is acceptable as the average day demand for the total site. The water demands for the entire site are as follows:

Average Day Demand = 5.41 L/s
 Max. Day Demand = Average Day Demand x 2.5 (Residential Peaking Factor)
 = 5.41 L/s x 2.5
 = 13.52 L/s
 Peak Hour Demand = Max. Day Demand x 2.2 (Residential Peaking Factor)
 = 13.53 L/s x 2.2
 = 29.73 L/s

As previously stated, the fire flow of 150 L/s was used in the boundary condition request for the entire site to determine the adequacy of the watermain network.

The water demands of the individual buildings as per the CARMA metering report is as follows:

Table 2-4: Lansdowne Site Water Demands Breakdown per Building

Building	Avg. Day (L/mo)	Avg. Day (L/s)	Max. Day (L/s)	Peak Hour (L/s)
Aberdeen	133,208	0.05	0.13	0.28
Horticulture	96,600	0.04	0.09	0.20
Plaza	5,975	0.00	0.01	0.01
Ice Rink	1,572,614	0.61	1.52	3.34
Bldg I	627,112	0.24	0.60	1.33
Bldg A - Condo	396,692	0.15	0.38	0.84
Bldg K	946,138	0.37	0.91	2.01
NTH Condo	376,627	0.15	0.36	0.80
Bldg A - Retail	34,866	0.01	0.03	0.07
Bldg B - Retail	785,189	0.30	0.76	1.67
Bldg C - Retail	765,755	0.30	0.74	1.62
Bldg D - Retail	477,617	0.18	0.46	1.01
Bldg G - Retail	1,240,308	0.48	1.20	2.63

Bldg H - Retail	992,625	0.38	0.96	2.11
Bldg J - Civil Centre	659,273	0.25	0.64	1.40
North Stands	3,780,750	1.46	3.65	8.02
South Stands	987,500	0.38	0.95	2.10
Stadium Public Realm	133,990	0.05	0.13	0.28
Total	14,012,838	5.41	13.52	29.73

2.3 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE DOMESTIC SUPPLY AND PRESSURE

Existing water demands are based on existing information that was used in Lansdowne 1.0. The existing (Lansdowne 1.0) and proposed (Lansdowne 2.0) condition total site demands are listed in Table 2-5. As shown in the table, the Lansdowne 2.0 demands, as determined from the CARMA metering report, are lower than the DSEL approved demands. Since it is assumed that the ultimate demands will be less than or equal to the existing (CARMA metering) demands, therefore, the existing watermain network should be adequate to support the proposed developments. Refer to Appendix B for detail existing demands (as used in Lansdowne 1.0) calculation provided by DSEL.

Table 2-5: Existing and Proposed Water Demands and FUS for Phase 1 and Phase 2.

	Avg Day (L/s)	Max Day (L/s)	Peak HR (L/s)	FUS (L/s)
Lansdowne 1.0 Demands (as per DSEL calculations)	11.8	19.9	38.0	150
Lansdowne 2.0 Demands (as per OSEG CARMA Metering Data)	5.41	13.52	29.73	150*

*FUS as per existing Lansdowne Park Building Service Summary by DSEL (Appendix B) to be conservative.

The 2010 City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines stated that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 552 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure	Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand conditions shall not be less than 276 kPa (40 psi)
Fire Flow	During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall not be less than 140 kPa (20 psi) during a fire flow event.
Maximum Pressure	Maximum pressure at any point the distribution system shall not exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). In accordance with the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, the maximum pressure should not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure reduction controls may be required for buildings where it is not possible/feasible to maintain the system pressure below 552 kPa.

To demonstrate the proposed service connections are able to provide the required building fire sprinkler and peak hour demand, conservative approach has been taken into account that the watermain analysis would not be looped or interconnected. The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the two connections on Holmwood Ave and Bank Street from City Boundary Condition.

The flow capacity of a water pipe is commonly modelled by the Hazen-Williams equation to confirm the physical properties of the pipe and the pressure drop caused by friction:

$$H_L = \frac{10.67 * L * Q^{1.852}}{C^{1.852} * D^{4.87}}$$

Where: Q is volumetric flow rate
 C is the Hazen-Williams friction coefficient
 L is the pipe length
 D is the pipe diameter
 H_L is the friction head loss

Sample calculation for residual pressure at Event Centre using Connection 1 and the Max. Day plus Fire Flow condition:

C = 110 (204mm diameter PVC pipe)
 D = 0.205 m
 Pipe Length = 395 m
 Flow = 83 L/s + 13.52 L/s (use total site flow to be conservative)
 = 97 L/s
 = 0.097 m³/s

Friction Head Loss is determined as follows:

$$H_L = \frac{10.67 * L * Q^{1.852}}{C^{1.852} * D^{4.87}}$$

$$H_L = \frac{10.67 * 395 \text{ m} * \left(\frac{0.097 \text{ m}^3}{\text{s}}\right)^{1.852}}{(110)^{1.852} * (0.204 \text{ m})^{4.87}}$$

$$H_L = 21.17 \text{ m}$$

Total Head Loss = Friction Head Loss + Static Head (elevation different between boundary condition and building)
 = 21.17 m + 1.80 m
 = 22.97 m
 Residual Pressure = Ex. Residual Pressure – Total Head Loss
 = 415 kPa – (22.97 m * 9.81)
 = 190 kPa > 140 kPa

Residual pressure and pipe sizing check are summarized as shown in Table 2-6 and Table 2-7 in respect to the provided boundary condition. Refer to Appendix B for detail water services sizing and pressure analysis.

Table 2-6: Fire Service Pipe Sizing and Pressure Check for Event Centre and North Side Stand

Boundary Condition	Event Centre		North Side Stand	
	Connection 1	Connection 2	Connection 1	Connection 2
Max Day + Fire Flow (l/s)	83.6	83.6	103.7	103.7
Existing Residual Pressure (kPa)	415	386	415	386
Length (m)	395	415	360	125
Total Headloss (kPa)	225	220	261	94
Residual Pressure for Site (kPa)	190	166	154	292

Table 2-7: Domestic Service Pipe Sizing and Pressure Check for Event Centre and North Side Stand

Boundary Condition	Event Centre		North Side Stand	
	Connection 1	Connection 2	Connection 1	Connection 2
Peak Hour (l/s)	1.40	1.40	8.02	8.02
Existing Residual Pressure (kPa)	394	378	394	378
Length (m)	395	415	360	125
Total Headloss (kPa)	41	27	26	12
Residual Pressure for Site (kPa)	353	351	368	365

The minimum water pressure inside the building at the connection is determined with the minimum HGL condition, resulting in a pressure of 351 kPa for Event Centre and 365 kPa for North Side Stand which exceed the minimum requirement of 276 kPa per the guidelines.

Fire flow pressure at building connection is determined with the max day + fire HGL condition resulting in a pressure of 166 kPa for Event Centre and 154 kPa for North Side Stand which exceed the minimum requirement of 140 kPa during a fire flow event.

And based on the on-site hydrant flow test, the residual pressures of both hydrants that will be used to service the Event Centre (Field Entrance and On Field) are 414 kPa and 427 kPa, respectively. Thus, the hydrants meet the requirements for minimum system pressure. The measured hydrant flow at 20 psi were 2086 gpm (131.6 l/s) and 2471 gpm (155.9 l/s), respectively, which is greater than the existing hydrant maximum rating of 95 L/s.

2.4 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE FIRE FLOW PROTECTION

The fire flow rate has been calculated using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method. The method takes into account the type of building construction, the building occupancy, the use of sprinklers and the exposures to adjacent structures.

Assuming fire resistive construction for North Side Stands and Event Centre and a fully supervised sprinkler system, the following have been determined: Fire flow demand of 6,000 l/min (100 l/s) for the North Side Stands and fire flow demand of 5,000 l/min (83 l/s) for the Event Centre. Copies of the FUS calculation sheets are included in Appendix B.

The existing available fire flow for the nearby private hydrants at 140 kPa range from 131.6 l/s to 176.0 l/s. Each proposed building can be serviced by two or more existing fire hydrants. The combined available fire flow exceeds the required fire flow by FUS for each proposed building.

And the boundary condition for Maximum Day and Fire Flow results from Table 2-5 in a pressure of 166 kPa at the ground floor level for Event Centre and 154 kPa for North Side Stand. In the guidelines, a minimum residual pressure of 140 kPa must be maintained in the distribution system for a fire flow and maximum day event. As a pressure of 166 kPa and 154 kPa is achieved, the fire flow requirement is exceeded.

The existing fire hydrants that will be used to meet the required Event Centre's fire flow demand of 5,000 l/min (83 l/s) are located at the Field Entrance and On Field as listed on Table 2-1. These two hydrants are proposed to be slightly shifted to accommodate the new Event Centre footprint and the proposed rerouted servicing. These 2 hydrants can provide up to 95 l/s with a combined total of 190 l/s which is greater than the FUS demand for the Event Centre. The existing hydrants that will be used to meet the required North Side Stand's fire flow demand of 6,000 l/min (100 l/s) are located at the Field Entrance, On Field, Goodlife and Milestones as listed on Table 2-1. These hydrants can provide a total flow greater than the FUS demand for the North Side Stands, Therefore, the watermain system will have adequate capacity to service the Phase 1 and Phase 2 Lansdowne 2.0 development for new Event Centre and North Side Stands.

2.5 CHECK OF HIGH PRESSURE

High pressure is not a concern.

Water pressure at building connection (at average day) check:

Max. HGL – Finished floor elevation = 114.6m – 67.3m = 47.3m = 463.7 kPa

The maximum water pressure inside the Event Centre at the connection is determined with the maximum HGL condition, resulting in a pressure of 463.7 kPa which is less than the 552 kPa threshold in the guideline in which pressure control is required. Based on this result, pressure control is not required for the building.

2.6 PHASING CONSTRAINTS

There will be three different phases for the Lansdowne 2.0 redevelopment. Phase 1 and 2 will be the new Event Centre and North Side Stand. Phase 3 will be the Air Rights residential tower and commercial podium. The ultimate design condition, which assumes the ultimate condition is to be equal to or less than the existing conditions, is used for design consideration of this report. No on site and off-site upgrades are anticipated during the Phase 1 and 2 developments.

2.7 RELIABILITY REQUIREMENTS

Existing shut off valves will remain as per existing conditions. Additional shut off valves have been provided on the domestic services connecting to the Event Centre and North Side Stand.

2.8 NEED FOR PRESSURE ZONE BOUNDARY MODIFICATION

There is no need for a pressure zone boundary modification.

2.9 CAPABILITY OF MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPLY SUFFICIENT WATER

The capability of the major infrastructure to supply sufficient water is confirmed.

2.10 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WATER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

The existing on-site network is proposed to be rerouted around the Event Centre and will be connected back onto the existing on-site watermain. New domestic services connecting to the on-site watermain is proposed to connect to the new Event Centre and North Side Stand. Two private hydrants will be slightly shifted to accommodate the Event Centre footprint and watermain rerouting. The overall site will continue to be serviced through existing 400mm and 200mm diameter watermains on Holmwood Avenue and Bank Street.

2.11 OFF-SITE REQUIREMENTS

No off-site improvements to watermains, feeder mains, pumping stations, or other water infrastructure are required to maintain existing conditions and service the adjacent developments.

2.12 CALCULATION OF WATER DEMANDS

Water demands were calculated as described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 above.

2.13 MODEL SCHEMATIC

The water works for phases 1 and 2 consist only a dual building services, the proposed condition are exactly the same as existing, a model schematic is not required for this development.

3 WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

In accordance with the City of Ottawa's Sewer Design Guidelines, the following design criteria have been utilized in order to predict wastewater flows generated by the subject site and complete the sewer design.

The area of 15.4 ha represents the lot area of the Lansdowne Park. This is the sanitary collection area that is being considered to contribute to the existing 600mm trunk sanitary sewer along Holmwood Ave.

3.2 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY

The outlet for the sanitary service from the proposed buildings is the 375 mm diameter private sewer. The Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines provide estimates of sewage flows based on residential development.

The criteria to determine anticipated actual peak flow based on site used as described in Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines Appendix 4-A are described in the sanitary sewer design sheet in Appendix C.

The contributing flows for the north stands, south stands and the Event Centre are based on the DSEL sanitary design sheet and Building Service Summary for Lansdowne Park (also found in Appendix C). The new Event Centre is assumed to provide 5.2 l/s of sanitary flow.

The proposed Lansdowne 2.0 increases the peak dry weather flow from 42.1 l/s to 48.92 l/s. Under wet weather flow condition, the peak discharge is also increased from 45.3 l/s to 53.54 l/s. The release rate of 53.54 l/s is the approved ultimate release rate as per the zoning approval.

Based on the correspondence with the City, the capacity of the downstream sewer is 77.07 l/s which is greater than the peak wet weather discharge of 53.54 l/s. Refer to Appendix C for correspondence.

3.3 REVIEW OF SOIL CONDITIONS

There are no specific local subsurface conditions that suggest the need for a higher extraneous flow allowance. Soil conditions have been reviewed by Patterson Group. Bedding and backfill will be provided as recommended, conventional sewer materials will be utilized, and dewatering will be undertaken as necessary in accordance with the geotechnical recommendations and conditions encountered. The geotechnical study specifies a design recommendation based on a maximum groundwater elevation of 60.78m. Therefore, groundwater should not be an issue for the sanitary network.

3.4 DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SANITARY SEWER

The subject site lies within the Rideau River Interceptor catchment. The existing development is serviced by a 600mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer on Holmwood Street. The existing peak wastewater flow rates have been determined employing City guidelines based on building type and usage. The anticipated dry weather peak wastewater discharge

from the site is 42.1 l/s while the wet weather peak is 45.3 l/s. The peak discharge from the development assumes that both the retail and stadium will be operating at maximum capacity. The existing sanitary design sheet is found in Appendix C.

3.5 VERIFICATION OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN DOWNSTREAM SEWER

The capacity of the downstream 375 mm diameter private sewer from existing sanitary manhole 7 to existing sanitary manhole 6 has 67.91 l/s capacity with slope at 0.15%, which is adequate for the flow assumptions from the proposed addition as noted above. The servicing pipe capacity is capable to handle the estimated peak sanitary flow rate of 53.54 l/s for the site include both existing and proposed. Please refer to sanitary sewer design sheet in Appendix C.

3.6 CALCULATIONS FOR NEW SANITARY SEWER

The new sanitary network consists of varying pipe sizes and slopes. The downstream pipe size that conveys all the combined wastewater flows from the site is a 375 mm diameter sewer at a minimum slope of 0.15%. This size and slope of sewer provides a capacity of 67.91 l/s.

For the subject area, the post-development sanitary peak flow is calculated at a total flow of 53.54 l/s. Both the proposed and existing sanitary sewers will have adequate capacity to convey this flow. Refer to Appendix C for the sanitary design sheet for details.

3.7 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED SEWER NETWORK

The proposed sanitary sewer network on site will consist of a sanitary network of varying sized pipes ranging from 250mm to 375mm and ten 1200mm maintenance holes. The proposed sanitary network function to reroute the existing sanitary network around the Event Centre footprint and eventually connect back into the existing network.

3.8 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

There are no previously identified environmental constraints that impact the sanitary servicing design in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, or soil cover, or to manage water quantity or quality.

3.9 PUMPING REQUIREMENTS

The proposed development will have no impact on existing pumping stations and will not require new pumping facilities.

3.10 FORCEMAINS

There are no sanitary forcemains proposed on this site.

3.11 EMERGENCY OVERFLOWS FROM SANITARY PUMPING STATIONS

No sanitary pumping stations are proposed on this site.

3.12 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no known need for special considerations for sanitary sewer design related to existing site conditions.

4 SITE STORM SERVICING

4.1 EXISTING CONDITION

The existing conditions on the Lansdowne site are as designed in the Stantec Stormwater Management Design Report – Lansdowne Urban Park (2012). The primary site stormwater outlet is to the storm sewer on O'Connor Street, which discharges to a combined sewer at the intersection with Fifth Street. During large storm events (i.e. exceeding 100-year return period) runoff is directed to the Rideau Canal through overland flow.

The existing stormwater management system consists of two subsurface storage tanks, surface storage on the Great Lawn, outlet controls, and quality control structures. The two underground storage tanks provide 600 m³ in Basin 1 and 2200 m³ in Basin 2, with 700 m³ provided in pipe storage (total of 3500 m³ subsurface storage). A minimum storage volume of 3000 m³ is also provided on the surface of the Great Lawn.

Based on the design criteria identified in the Stantec 2012 report (as per the OSDG 8.3.7.2 design criteria), the allowable release rate has been set to 616 l/s to O'Connor Street for all events from the 2-year to the 100-year return period.

4.2 ANALYSIS OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

As the allowable release rate from the site will be unchanged and was determined in conjunction with the design of the public infrastructure, there are no concerns related to the adequacy and available capacity of the downstream network. Capacity in the minor system is not a concern.

4.3 DRAINAGE DRAWING

Drawing C105A/C105B shows the detailed site sewer network. Drawings C104 provides proposed grading and drainage and includes existing grading information. Drawing C07 provides post-development drainage areas. Site sub-area information is also provided on the storm sewer design sheet attached in Appendix C. Drainage patterns and storm sewers outside of the study limits are to remain per the existing condition.

4.4 WATER QUANTITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

Refer to the Stormwater Management Report for the water quantity objective for the site.

4.5 WATER QUALITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

On-site quality control measures are expected for the proposed development per the previous studies. Stormwater shall be treated to MOE enhanced protection (80% TSS removal of suspended solids). The 80% TSS removal will be provided from the Stormtech chamber via an OGS unit at STMH201. Most of the runoff from the redeveloped area going to the chambers comes from grassed areas, Event Centre roof, and other pedestrian/landscaped areas and thus the runoff is considered clean.

4.6 DESIGN CRITERIA

The stormwater system was designed following the principles of dual drainage, making accommodation for both major and minor flow.

Some of the key criteria include the following:

- Design Storm (minor system) 1:5-year return (Ottawa)
 - Rational Method Sewer Sizing
 - Initial Time of Concentration 10 minutes
 - Runoff Coefficients
 - Landscaped Areas C = 0.20
 - Asphalt/Concrete C = 0.90
 - Traditional Roof C = 0.90
 - Pipe Velocities 0.80 m/s to 6.0 m/s
 - Minimum Pipe Size 250 mm diameter
(200 mm CB Leads and service pipes)
-

4.7 PROPOSED MINOR SYSTEM

Under proposed conditions the majority of the site land use remains as it is under existing conditions, except for the new Event Centre with a traditional roof. The new event centre requires some rerouting of storm sewers and encroaches on the surface storage previously provided in the Great Lawn. The proposed design involves routing storm sewers south of the new Event Centre and installing subsurface storage beneath the Great Lawn to account for the additional storage required from the change in land use and elimination of storage available on the surface.

The subject site will be serviced by a storm sewer system designed in accordance with the amendment to the storm sewer and stormwater management elements of the Ottawa Design Guidelines. The minor system has been designed to convey the 5-year storm without ponding on the surface. Storm sewer design sheets are included in Appendix C.

The site outlets remain the same as they are in existing conditions. The primary outlet is to O'Connor Street to the north. During large storm events exceeding 100-year, runoff is directed to the Rideau Canal overland.

The major system will remain similar to how it is in existing conditions. The site is graded toward the Great Lawn where catch basins and trench drain around the perimeter will intercept overland runoff and direct it to the proposed underground storm chamber under the Great Lawn. Runoff within the Great Lawn will also be first intercepted by the subdrain along the perimeter, excess runoff that absorbed by the grass medium will also be intercepted by the weeper of the underground chamber down at the bottom, and ultimately directed to the piping system. Emergency overland flow is directed toward the Rideau Canal during extreme events exceeding the 100-year design storm.

4.8 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Refer to the Stormwater Management Report.

4.9 INLET CONTROLS

Refer to the Stormwater Management report.

4.10 ON-SITE DETENTION

Refer to the Stormwater Management report.

4.11 WATERCOURSES

There will be no modification to watercourses as a result of this proposed site plan.

4.12 PRE AND POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES

Pre and post development peak flow rates have been noted in the Stormwater Management Report.

4.13 DIVERSION OF DRAINAGE CATCHMENT AREAS

There will be no diversion of existing drainage catchment areas arising from the proposed work described in this report.

4.14 DOWNSTREAM CAPACITY WHERE QUANTITY CONTROL IS NOT PROPOSED

This checklist item is not applicable to this development as quantity control is provided.

4.15 IMPACTS TO RECEIVING WATERCOURSES

No significant negative impact is anticipated to downstream receiving watercourses due to proposed quantity and quality control measures.

4.16 MUNICIPAL DRAINS AND RELATED APPROVALS

There are no municipal drains on the site or associated with the drainage from the site.

4.17 MEANS OF CONVEYANCE AND STORAGE CAPACITY

The means of flow conveyance and storage capacity are described in Sections 4.7, 4.8, 4.9 and 4.10 above.

4.18 HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

Hydraulic calculations for the site storm sewers are provided in the storm sewer design sheet.

4.19 IDENTIFICATION OF FLOODPLAINS

There are no designated floodplains on the site of this development.

4.20 FILL CONSTRAINTS

There are no known fill constraints applicable to this site related to any floodplain. The site is generally being raised higher relative to existing conditions.

5 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

5.1 GENERAL

During construction, existing storm sewer system can be exposed to sediment loadings. A number of construction techniques designed to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings will be used including:

- Silt sacks will remain on open surface structures such as manholes and catchbasins until these structures are commissioned and put into use.
- Installation of silt fence, where applicable, around the perimeter of the proposed work area.
- The installation of straw bales within existing drainage features surround the site.
- Bulkhead barriers will be installed in the outlet pipes.

During construction of the services, any trench dewatering using pumps will be fitted with a “filter sock.” Thus, any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filter sock as needed including sediment removal and disposal.

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree, manholes, convey surface water to sewers. Consequently, until the surrounding surface has been completed, these structures will be covered to prevent sediment from entering the minor storm sewer system. These measures will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build-out until it is appropriate to remove them.

During construction of any development both imported and native soils are placed in stockpiles. Mitigative measures and proper management to prevent these materials entering the sewer system are needed.

During construction of the deeper watermains and sewers, imported granular bedding materials are temporarily stockpiled on site. These materials are however quickly used up and generally placed before any catchbasins are installed.

Refer to the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan (drawing C06) provided in Appendix D.

6 APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

6.1 GENERAL

The proposed development is subject to site plan approval.

No approvals related to municipal drains are required.

No permits or approvals are anticipated to be required from the Ontario Ministry of Transportation, National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, or any other provincial or federal regulatory agency.

7 CONCLUSION CHECKLIST

7.1 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded that the proposed development can meet all provided servicing constraints and associated requirements. It is recommended that this report be submitted to the City of Ottawa in support of the application for site plan approval.

7.2 COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM REVIEW AGENCIES

The outstanding comments from the ZBLA stage and preliminary review have been addressed. Further comments will be provided after the complete review. This is the revision 2 of the report.

APPENDIX

A

- CITY AND NCC COMMENTS
- LANSDOWNE CIVIL DRAWINGS - STANTEC
- CONCEPTUAL ARCHITECTURAL PLAN
- AS-BUILT DRAWINGS
- TOPOGRAPHICAL SURVEY PLAN

ZBLA/OPA Comments to be Addressed During Site Plan Control Application

1. In addition to the above comment please see the previous comment from the ZBLA/OPA review regarding the underground storm water storage facility.

Geotechnical comments Section 5.8 states the following “It is understood that an underground stormwater infiltration tank system will be included as part of the proposed development. The tank is expected to be founded on a combination of in-situ, undisturbed silty sand/sandy silt and sandy fill. Based on the above, a bearing resistance value for the proposed structure may be considered to be 120 kPa (SLS) and a factored bearing resistance value at ULS of 180 kPa may be considered for the system and associated infrastructure/structures.”

Please provide rationale to how the subsurface soil data was determined. Based on the City guidelines we believe that an additional borehole be performed to determine the bearing capacity for the proposed storage tank.

The above comment remains outstanding, please revise the report to include information addressing the foundation design of the under-ground storm storage cistern. The geotechnical design should include discussion regarding the elevation of ground water table in relation to the underside of footing of the storage facility and how this may affect the design.

It is understood that the Great Lawn subsurface profile includes contaminated soil, the geotechnical report should speak to the contamination and any potential mitigation measures that may be required to ensure that migration of the contamination does not enter the underground storage facility.

2. Outstanding comments from ZBLA/OPA

- a. Storm Water Management Modeling:

Please see comments from City of Ottawa Asset Management Branch regarding the storm water management model reviewed during the ZBLA and OPA. Comments were not addressed at the ZBLA and OPA, it is assumed that comments would be addressed during SPC.

In summary, the modeling approach appears overly generalized as it lacks detailed consideration of the primary system. Assumptions are made regarding the flow being directed solely into the minor storage system, without sufficient clarity on the management of overland drainage. Potential issues arising from overland drainage remain unaddressed. While the approach is

conservative regarding the minor system's storage and release rates, certain key factors are overlooked.

Of note, external areas draining onto the site, as evidenced by the GIS Stream Builder snapshot provided, are not accounted for. Additionally, the current design indicates flow into the canal during a 5-year storm event, contrary to the presented model. It's worth noting that our authorization allows for controlled releases into the canal up to a specified rate, as outlined in the previous report. Leveraging this authorization could be beneficial.

Further detailed comments are available below. It may be prudent to arrange a meeting with the consultant to articulate these concerns effectively.



Existing model

- a) There are IDs for the storm network based on city sources. Please use appropriate storm IDs and info to reflect the existing system. This will give us ease to review model files and documents.
- b) Storage node at Great lawn can be defined by a storage curve as opposed to a constant value of 8150. Not sure where does it come from?

- c) CB contributions in many areas are missing. Please consider CB captures where appropriate. For example, A3 subcatchment at TD place stadium– there are CBs within it, require assigning all CB captures to the minor system, and then excess runoff will travel to further downstream, similarly for A2, A4 etc.
- d) All underground storage areas need to be clearly shown on a map/drawing or in the model. At basin2 node for underground storage, DICB needs to be included. Also confirm the existence of 450 mm dia backflow preventor. I don't see any orifice control at that location as per drawing. Also, not sure how DICB, orifice and underground reservoir are connected to what?
- e) Area or catchbasin capture should be assigned at the beginning of the node, otherwise the system will lose its actual contributions, for example OPGG and Great lawn area/TD place stadium areas etc.
- f) At J19 node, this is used as a ponding location but no area is being assigned to that.
- g) Excess flow to Rideau Canal operating level at El 64.1 during a 5 year is 480 l/s (DSEL) after surcharging on-site, but WSP shows a 0.0 l/s during a 5 year (WSP). Appears to be quite different than previous findings. Please explain.
- h) Some external area (from the area in between of Clarey and Holmwood) for overland flow contribution may require including in the model and eventually drains to the Great lawn area as per city streambuilder.
- i) Please show the extent of ponding in the Great Lawn area.
- j) Major system modelling is kind of unclear. The major systems were modelled by weir, looks like everything is drained to the minor system first before overflowing to the next segment. Should be other way around, yes/no?
- k) As per Stantec schematic, 2x900 mm overflow from Basin 2 is connected to Great lawn area. This is not reflected in the existing model.

Proposed New Arena

- l) The proposed system for the new arena was not properly modelled as per functional drawing. Also, the drawing info in the Great Lawn area is not clear or labelled to follow the model files.

- m) The proposed pipe segment that connects to the existing outlet pipe to Rideau Canal should be included in the model.
- n) Basin 1 connecting to J32 was modelled a bit differently though the pre and post remains the same. What's the reason behind it where water comes in and out of Basin1 node in the proposed condition?
- o) The existing system (C8, C9, C11, C12) model should be in the proposed model as pipe storage as well unless these are proposed to be retired as per functional drawing. Please confirm
- p) Flow releasing to Rideau Canal was controlled by a orifice plate. This is not found in the existing model or in the drawing. Please confirm or show on a drawing for consistency.
- q) What size of underground storage facility is required instead of surface storage at Great lawn (basin3 node) if it is for recreational use? Modeling this storage node should be consistent with other underground storage curves. How many CBs are required to immediate capture flows to the proposed underground system to avoid ponding ? Require to include in the model as well.

Additional modeling comments post meeting on Monday 22nd 2024

- 3. WSP to confirm in the body of the servicing report that external flow from neighboring properties will not spill onto the Lansdowne property during the 100year event and below.
- 4. The major system needs to be included in the model to confirm flooding on the street.
- 5. Please provide pipe loss coefficients to the model.
- 6. My idea is that the **Rideau canal outlet** functions as an emergency flow. If the proposed great lawn storage is popped up by chance for the 100 year storm and above, then you may need an emergency exit. Please confirm the feasibility of decommissioning the Rideau Canal outfall. Note that this has been there for many years.
- 7. At node 40 linked to Basin2, please check the flow continuity at these locations.
- 8. Assuming the existing perforated system will be replaced by Great Lawn storage tank, still you may need some catch basins to capture local flows to the tank.

Comments:

- 9. Stormwater Management Quantity and Quality Criteria

It is assumed that the stormwater management criteria for the subject site, is to follow the recommendations of the Functional Servicing and Stormwater

Management Study prepared by WSP May 25, 2023, which was based on the design criteria as identified in the Stantec Stormwater Management Design Report – Lansdowne Urban Park (2012) as per OSDG 8.3.7.2. Design criteria are as follows:

- a. Peak flow rate of 616 L/s to O'Connor Street sewer for all events from the 2-year to the 100-year return period
- b. Stormwater shall be treated to MOE "enhanced" standard (80% TSS removal)
- c. The "first flush" (i.e. 10mm event) shall be directed to the O'Connor Street sewer for the entire site drainage area.
- d. The 600mm pipe to the Rideau Canal may be used as an overflow, with a peak flow of 480 L/s once the water level is above the operating level of the canal (64.08 m).
- e. Outflow to O'Connor Street Sewer will be restricted if the downstream system surcharges and will be cut off when the receiving sewer HGL is higher than the onsite HGL.
- f. Minor system shall be design for a 5-year level of service with minimal surface ponding.
- g. Major system shall provide a 100-year level of service while minimizing outflow to the canal.
- h. The 5-yr storm event using the IDF information derived from the Meteorological Services of Canada rainfall data, taken from the MacDonald Cartier Airport, collected 1966 to 1997.
- i. For separated sewer system built pre-1970 the design of the storm sewers are based on a 2 year storm.
- j. The pre-development runoff coefficient or a maximum equivalent 'C' of 0.5, whichever is less (§ 8.3.7.3).
- k. A calculated time of concentration (Cannot be less than 10 minutes).
- l. Flows to the storm sewer in excess of the 5-year storm release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, must be detained on site.

10. Deep Services (Storm, Sanitary & Water Supply)

- a. Provide existing servicing information and the recommended location for the proposed connections. Services should ideally be grouped in a common trench to minimize the number of road cuts.

- b. Connections to trunk sewers and easement sewers are typically not permitted.
- c. Provide information on the monitoring manhole requirements – should be located in an accessible location on private property near the property line (ie. Not in a parking area).
- d. Review provision of a high-level sewer.
- e. Sewer connections to be made above the springline of the sewermain as per:
 - i. Std Dwg S11.1 for flexible main sewers – connections made using approved tee or wye fittings.
 - ii. Std Dwg S11 (For rigid main sewers) – lateral must be less than 50% the diameter of the sewermain,
 - iii. Std Dwg S11.2 (for rigid main sewers using bell end insert method) – for larger diameter laterals where manufactured inserts are not available; lateral must be less than 50% the diameter of the sewermain,
 - iv. When the connection is to rigid main sewers where the lateral exceeds 50% the diameter of the sewermain connection via Maintenance hole is required. – Connect obvert to obvert with the outlet pipe.
 - v. No submerged outlet connections.

11. Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service (map or plan with connection location(s) indicated) and the expected loads required by the proposed development, including calculations. Please provide the following information:

Location of service

Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS).

Average daily demand: ___ l/s.

Maximum daily demand: ___ l/s.

Maximum hourly daily demand: ___ l/s.

Please **review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02**, maximum fire flow hydrant capacity is provided in Section 3 Table 1 of Appendix I. A **hydrant coverage**

figure shall be provided and **demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the proposal.**

*[Fire flow demand requirements shall be based on **ISTB-2021-03**
Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the
FUS calculation and required fire flow (RFF).*

Hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Please identify which hydrants are being considered to meet the RFF on a fire hydrant coverage plan as part of the boundary conditions request.

12. An MECP Environmental Compliance Approval [**Industrial Sewage Works or Municipal/Private Sewage Works**] will be required for the proposed development. Please contact the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, Ottawa District Office to arrange a pre-submission consultation:
- a. Charlie Primeau at (613) 521-3450, ext. 251 or Charlie.Primeau@ontario.ca
 - b. Emily Diamond at (613) 521-3450, ext. 238 or Emily.Diamond@ontario.ca

13. Water

As per ISTB-2021-03, Industrial, commercial, institutional service areas with a basic day demand greater than 50 m³/day and residential areas serving 50 or more dwellings shall be connected with a minimum of two watermains, separated by an isolation valve, to avoid the creation of a vulnerable service area. Individual residential facilities with a basic day demand greater than 50 m³/day shall be connected with a minimum of two water services, separated by an isolation valve, to avoid the creation of a vulnerable service area.

14. Sewer (sanitary and storm)

- a. Sanitary sewer capacity, Please provide the new Sanitary sewer discharge and we confirm if sanitary sewer main has the capacity.
- b. Sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) *Monitoring Devices*.
- c. A storm sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) *Monitoring Devices*.

15. Stormwater

- a. **Underground Storage:** Please note that the Modified Rational Method for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.

When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. **We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.**

In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.

Provide information on type of underground storage system including product name and model, number of chambers, chamber configuration, confirm invert of chamber system, top of chamber system, required cover over system and details, interior bottom slope (for self-cleansing), chart of storage values, length, width and height, capacity, entry ports (maintenance) etc. UG storage to provide actual 2- and 100-year event storage requirements.

In regard to all proposed UG storage, ground water levels (and in particular HGW levels) will need to be reviewed to ensure that the proposed system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective.

Modeling can be provided to ensure capacity for both storm and sanitary sewers for the proposed development by City's Water Distribution Dept. – Modeling Group, through PM and upon request.




- b. **If rooftop control** and storage is proposed as part of the SWM solutions sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and document in the report and on the plans. Roof drains are to be connected downstream of any incorporated ICDs within the SWM system and not to the foundation drain system. Provide a **Roof Drain Plan** as part of the submission.
- c. Please note that the minimum orifice dia. for a plug style **ICD is 83mm and the minimum flow rate from a vortex ICD is 6 L/s** in order to reduce the likelihood of plugging.
- d. Quality Control Stormwater shall be treated to MOE “enhanced” standard (80% TSS removal)

- e. The “first flush” (i.e. 10mm event) shall be directed to the O’Connor Street sewer for the entire site drainage area.
- f. Document how any foundation drainage system will be integrated into the servicing design and show the positive outlet on the plan. Foundation drainage is to be independently connected to sewer main unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention. **It is recommended that the foundation drainage system be drained by a sump pump connection to the storm sewer to minimize risk of basement flooding as it will provide the best protection from the uncontrolled sewer system compared to relying on the backwater valve.**

16. Grading

Post-development site grading shall match existing property line grades to minimize disruption to the adjacent residential properties. A **topographical plan of survey** shall be provided as part of the submission and a note provided on the plans.

- r) Are these extracted values from the model shown in Table 4-3? Please explain. Also, for comparison available storage can be added in the table.
- s) Detailed info in the model should be laid on a drawing to follow, for example J30, J31 and so on. Also, show a separate drawing sheet for post development condition only for clarity.




CONSULTANT TEAM




COPYRIGHT RESERVED
 This plan and design are, and at all times remain the exclusive property of the client and consultant team and cannot be used or reproduced without written consent.
 Do not scale drawings. This drawing shall not be used for construction.

REVISIONS			
No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVG
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG
3	2012-01-11	REVISED AS PER COORDINATION WITH DSEI	JVG
4	2012-01-26	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG




DRAWING TITLE
 EXISTING CONDITIONS PLAN

DATE Oct. 13, 2011	DRAWING No. EX01
SCALE 1:600	
REVISION # 4	



- LEGEND**
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER AND MAINTENANCE HOLE
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER AND MAINTENANCE HOLE
 - PROPOSED CATCH BASIN
 - PROPOSED WATER MAIN
 - PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT
 - PROPOSED DRINKING FOUNTAIN
 - PROPOSED WATER CONNECTION
 - PROPOSED SANITARY DRAIN FOR DRINKING FOUNTAIN
 - PROPOSED SURFACE SWALE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW
 - PROPOSED GRADE
 - EXISTING GRADE
 - EXISTING TREES



- NOTES**
1. ALL WORK SHALL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PROVISIONS OF THE CONSTRUCTION ACT AND THE CONSTRUCTION REGULATIONS.
 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES ON THE SITE.
 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.
 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE MUNICIPAL ENGINEER AND THE ENVIRONMENTAL AGENCIES.

WATERMAIN 'A' - 200mm ID

STATION	FINISHED GRADE	TOP OF W/M	ITEM
0+00	62.80	62.80	CONNECT TO EXISTING WATERMAIN
0+20	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
0+40	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
0+60	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
0+80	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+00	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+20	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+40	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+60	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+80	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
2+00	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX

WATERMAIN 'B' - 200mm ID

STATION	FINISHED GRADE	TOP OF W/M	ITEM
0+00	62.80	62.80	CONNECT TO EXISTING WATERMAIN
0+20	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
0+40	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
0+60	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
0+80	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+00	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+20	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+40	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+60	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
1+80	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX
2+00	62.80	62.80	200mm VALVE AND BOX

WATERMAIN 'C' - 150mm ID

STATION	FINISHED GRADE	TOP OF W/M	ITEM
0+00	62.80	62.80	CONNECT TO EXISTING WATERMAIN
0+20	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
0+40	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
0+60	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
0+80	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
1+00	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
1+20	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
1+40	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
1+60	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
1+80	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX
2+00	62.80	62.80	150mm VALVE AND BOX

CLIENT PARTNERSHIP

Ottawa OSEG
Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group

CONSULTANT TEAM

CANNON DESIGN
AN IDEAS BASED PRACTICE

CORUSH SUNDERSLAND WRIGHT

DSEL
David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd
SMART SUBSIDIARY

GreenbergFarrow

Stantec

PHILLIPS FAREVAAG SHALLENBERG
PLANNING URBAN DESIGN LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE

COPYRIGHT RESERVED
This plan and design are, and all times remain the exclusive property of the client and consultant team and cannot be used or reproduced without written consent.

Do not scale drawings. This drawing shall not be used for construction.

REVISIONS

No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVG
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG
3	2012-01-11	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG
4	2012-01-28	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG

PROJECT


Lansdowne
THE TRANSFORMATION OF LANSDOWNE PARK SITE URBAN DESIGN

DRAWING TITLE
SITE SERVICING PLAN




DATE: OCT. 13, 2011
SCALE: 1:600
REVISION # 4

DRAWING No. **C01**

V:\01-634\lansdowne\0634_0032_Lansdowne Park Competition\Design\Drawing\06340032_Civ Stantec.dwg Feb.06.2012 - 3:05pm



- LEGEND**
- PROPOSED STORM MAINTENANCE HOLE
 - PROPOSED SANITARY MAINTENANCE HOLE
 - PROPOSED CATCH BASIN
 - PROPOSED SURFACE SWALE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW
 - + 65.81 PROPOSED GRADE
 - + 65.81 EX PROPOSED GRADE = EXISTING GRADE
 - EXISTING GRADE
 - ▭ EXTENT OF PAH IMPACTED SOIL



- SITE NOTES**
1. ALL CONCRETE BASE & SUB-BASE COURSE MATERIALS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO 100% STANDARD PROCTOR MAX DRY DENSITY.
 2. ALL DISTURBED AREAS SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER, WITH 50% MIN. 100mm TOPSOIL. THE RELIEF OF THESE AREAS SHALL BE SUBJECT TO APPROVAL BY THE PROJECT LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT OR ENGINEER.
 3. FINISHED CONSTRUCTION AS PER DETAILS ON DRAWING NO. 007.
 4. ALL BARRIER CURBS AND SEWERALS TO BE CONSTRUCTED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD DRAWING S-1 AND S-2 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED ON THESE DETAILS.
 5. INSPECTIONS ALL WORK ON THE MANHOLE RIGHT OF WAY AND EXISTENCES TO BE INSPECTED BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR TO BACKFILLING. ALL WORK SHALL BE SUBJECT TO INSPECTION AND APPROVAL BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR TO PROCEEDING. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN FOR DIMENSIONS AND SITE DETAILS.
 6. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY A ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALIQUOT IF REQUIRED BY THE MUNICIPALITY.
 7. PARKING AREA PAVING DEPTH TO BE 0.30m (FINISHING).
 8. EMBANKMENTS TO BE SLOPED AT 1:1 UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
 9. ALL FINISHED BERMING, LAWNS, AND OTHER AREAS SHALL BE FINISHED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN OR THE PROJECT'S LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE CONSULTANT DRAWINGS. FINISHED ELEVATIONS SHALL BE SHOWN WITH A MINIMUM OF THE 0.05m FINISH ELEVATION BOUNDARY.
 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE TO THE ENGINEER 1 (ONE) SET OF AS CONSTRUCTED SITE BOUNDING, GRADING, AND SITE SITE PLAN TO BE REVIEWED AS PER ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN.
 11. ALL NECESSARY CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTOR REVIEW WITH ARCHITECT AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA PRIOR TO THIS CUTTING.
 12. 150mm-GRADE SOFT AREAS & FILL WITH CONCRETE TO BE COMPLETED IN 0.15m LAYERS.
 13. FOR ALL LANDSCAPING FEATURES (e.g. TREES, MULCHING, PARK DETAILS, BARRIERS, FENCES etc.) REFER TO LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURE DRAWINGS.
 14. BENCH MARKING FOR LANDSCAPING SHALL BE LEFT 150mm BELOW CURB AND COMPACTED TO 90%.
 15. WHERE APPLICABLE THE CONTRACTOR IS TO VERIFY SHOP DRAWINGS FOR RETAINING WALLS INCLUDE DETAILS AS APPLICABLE TO THE PROJECT AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SHOP DRAWINGS MUST BE PROVIDED TO THE ARCHITECT AND APPROVED BY A LICENSED STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO VERIFY STRUCTURAL AND GEOTECHNICAL CHARACTERISTICS OF ALL EXISTING RETAINING WALLS TO BE DEMOLISHED PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE.
 16. ALL SWALES LESS THAN 0.30m SHALL INCLUDE A 250mm PERFORATED SUBBASE AS PER CITY STANDARD S-20.




CONSULTANT TEAM



COPYRIGHT RESERVED


This plan and design are, and at all times remain the exclusive property of the client and consultant team and cannot be used or reproduced without written consent. Do not scale drawings. This drawing shall not be used for construction.

REVISIONS			
No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVG
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG
3	2012-01-11	REVISED AS PER COORDINATOR	JVG
4	2012-01-26	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG





DRAWING TITLE
GRADING PLAN

DATE Oct. 13, 2011	DRAWING No. C02
SCALE 1:600	REVISION # 4



LEGEND


- PROPOSED STORM SEWER AND MAINTENANCE HOLE
- PROPOSED CATCH BASIN
- PROPOSED SURFACE SWALE AND DIRECTION OF FLOW
- PROPOSED GRADE
- EXISTING GRADE
- STORM CATCHMENT BOUNDARY AREA
- STORM CATCHMENT AREA ID
- AREA (ha)



Copyright Reserved
 This plan and design are, and at all times remain the exclusive property of the client and consultant team and cannot be used or reproduced without written consent.
 Do not scale drawings. This drawing shall not be used for construction.

REVISIONS




No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVC
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVC
3	2012-01-11	REVISED AS PER COORDINATION WITH CITY	JVC
4	2012-01-26	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVC



DRAWING TITLE
 CATCHMENT AREA PLAN

DATE	DRAWING No.
SCALE	1:600
REVISION #	4

C03



- GENERAL NOTES**
- THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING THE NECESSARY OCCUPANCY PERMITS AND SAFETY AT ALL TIMES DURING CONSTRUCTION.
 - ALL WORK SHALL BE DONE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR HIGHWAY CONSTRUCTION.
 - THE CONTRACTOR SHALL MAINTAIN ACCESS TO ALL ADJACENT PROPERTIES AND UTILITIES AT ALL TIMES.
 - ALL UTILITIES SHALL BE LOCATED AND DEPTH MARKED PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
 - ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME FRAME.
 - ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE STORED AND HANDLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME FRAME.
 - ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE STORED AND HANDLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME FRAME.
 - ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE STORED AND HANDLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE COMPLETED WITHIN THE SPECIFIED TIME FRAME.
 - ALL MATERIALS SHALL BE STORED AND HANDLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
 - ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
- REVISIONS**
- | No. | Date | Details | By |
|-----|------------|---------------------------------------|-----|
| 1 | 2011-11-21 | ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW | JVG |
| 2 | 2011-12-12 | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | JVG |
| 3 | 2012-01-11 | REVISED AS PER COORDINATION WITH US&A | JVG |
| 4 | 2012-01-26 | REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS | JVG |
- SCALE**
1:600
- DRAWING TITLE**
INTERIM STORM SEWER CONNECTION PLAN

CLIENT PARTNERSHIP

CONSULTANT TEAM

REVISIONS

PROJECT


DRAWING TITLE

DATE: Oct. 13, 2011


SCALE: 1:600

REVISION # 4


DRAWING NO. C04



PLAN VIEW - AT GRADE




PLAN VIEW - SECTION




SECTION A-A

ELEVATION TABLE-BASIN No.1	
LOCATION I.D. No.	NORTH MANHOLE 1
1	62.71
2	65.04
3	63.65


FLOW CONTROL MANHOLE TO SWM BASIN No.1 DETAIL N.T.S.



PLAN VIEW - AT GRADE




PLAN VIEW - SECTION




SECTION A-A

ELEVATION TABLE-BASIN No.2	
LOCATION I.D. No.	WEST MANHOLE 1
1	62.85
2	65.14
3	63.75


FLOW CONTROL MANHOLE TO SWM BASIN No.2 DETAIL N.T.S.



PLAN VIEW - AT GRADE





PLAN VIEW - SECTION




SECTION A-A


FLOW CONTROL MANHOLE No.101 DETAIL



PLAN VIEW - AT GRADE



PLAN VIEW - SECTION




SECTION A-A


FLOW CONTROL MANHOLE No.105 DETAIL



SECTION B-B



SECTION B-B










CANAL FLOW CONTROL MANHOLE




© COPYRIGHT RESERVED
 This plan and design are, and at all times remain, the exclusive property of the client and consultant team and cannot be used or reproduced without written consent.
 Do not scale drawings. This drawing shall not be used for construction.

REVISIONS			
No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVG
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG
3	2012-01-26	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG



REVISIONS

No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVG
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG
3	2012-01-26	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVG



ZONING TABLE

A. Project Information		
Review Date:	August 7, 2024	Official Plan Designation: Landsowne Special
Municipal Address:	945 & 1015 Bank Street	Legal Description: Plan 4R-2635
Scope of Work:	Site Plan Control application	
Existing Zoning Code:	L2C(2)19, S208-A, S208-B, S487	By-law Number: 2024-250
Schedule 17A Area:	Area X	Overlay Applicable: Heritage Overlay
Zoning Mechanism		
Minimum Lot Width:	No minimum	15.1 m (distance to the event centre from Exhibition Way)
Minimum Lot Area:	No minimum	37,300 m ²
Minimum Setback from Bank Street (1700):	3 m	> 3 m
Minimum Setback from Holmwood Avenue (1700):	3 m	> 3 m
Minimum Setback from Queen Elizabeth Drive (1700):	7.5 m	> 7.5 m
Maximum Building Height (Schedule 208-A):	Area A: 6 m Area E: 15.5 m	Area A: no buildings proposed Area E: 13.86 m
Maximum Non-Residential Gross Leasable Floor Area (GLFA) (1700):	33,450 m ²	Not applicable to the proposed event centre
Maximum Office Gross Leasable Floor Area (GLFA) (1700):	9,300 m ²	Not applicable to the proposed event centre
Minimum Vehicle Parking (1700):	1200 spaces across L2C and O1(B) parking is proposed. No minimum parking rates are required for Area X on Schedule 487.	Yes
Minimum Driveway Width (1700):	2.6 m - 3.0 m (8 ft 6 in driveway for wheelchair drop off, not for permanent parking)	Yes
Minimum Bicycle Parking Spaces (Table 11-44):	1 space per 1,500 m ² of GFA 14,864 m ² = 9.9 spaces	56 spaces

The proposal adheres fully to the provisions of Zoning By-law 2008-250.

NOTE:
LOCATION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT AND RECYCLING ENCLOSURES LOCATED ONE LEVEL DOWN OFF OF THE LOADING DOCK

ALL SITE SIGNAGE DESIGN IS IN DEVELOPMENT AND WILL COMPLY TO CODE AND AHJ REQUIREMENTS

PROPERTY INFORMATION DERIVED FROM STANTEC SITE SURVEY ISSUED ON 16/08/2024

PROPERTY PLAN - 4R-2635
PIN - 04139-0264

© Copyright of BBB Architects Toronto Inc. 2024. Not to be reproduced or distributed without written authorization of the copyright owner.

RIDEAU CANAL



ENTUITIVE
135 LAURIER AVE WEST, SUITE 413
OTTAWA, ON M5H 5J2
(343) 308-9274

TAMP
200 KING ST. WEST, SUITE 310
TORONTO, ON M5H 3T4
(416) 499-8000
MECH. PLUMB. FIRE PROTECTION

MULVEY & BANANI
90 SHEPPARD AVE. EAST, SUITE 500
TORONTO, ON M2N 3A
(416) 751-2520


C S W
319 MCRAE AVENUE, SUITE 502
OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1Z 0B9
(613) 729-4536

BRISBROOK ARCHITECTS
14 DUNCAN ST 4TH FLOOR
TORONTO, ON M5H 3G8
(416) 591-8999

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
4	ISSUED FOR 50% DD	2024-10-18
3	ISSUED FOR 30% DD	2024-10-04
2	ISSUED FOR CITY SPA COMMENTS	2024-09-05
1	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	2024-08-07

REVISIONS/ ISSUES

CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. **DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWINGS**



SEAL

THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY SUBMITTAL

IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

DRAWN: JI
DATE: 2024-10-04
CHECKED: SJ


LANSDOWNE 2.0
EVENT CENTRE,
NORTH SIDE STANDS AND
PUBLIC REALM
ENHANCEMENTS
945 & 1015 BANK STREET

DWG. TITLE
SITE PLAN - UPON COMPLETION OF EVENT CENTRE & NEW NORTH STANDS

SCALE: 1 : 400
PROJ. NO.: 2418
DWG. NO.: EC-A1-004

LEGEND

- FIRE HYDRANT
- EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT
- ▬ PRECAST CONCRETE STEPS
- ▬ TOTAL LOT AREA (37,300 m²)
- ⊕ LIGHT COLUMN
- 66.75 PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION
- - - OHT EASEMENT PROPERTY BOUNDARY
- BOLLARDS
- ▬ TWSI TYPE 3: ATTENTION
- ▬ BIKE RACKS



IN PROGRESS



BRISBROOK ARCHITECTS
14 DUNCAN ST 4TH FLOOR
TORONTO, ON M5H 3G8
(416) 591-8999

ENTUITIVE
135 LAURIER AVE WEST, SUITE 413
OTTAWA, ON K1P 5J2
(343) 308-9274

TMP
200 KING ST. WEST, SUITE 310
TORONTO, ON M5H 3T4
(416) 499-8000
MECH. PLUMB. FIRE PROTECTION

MULVEY & BANANI
90 SHEPPARD AVE. EAST, SUITE 500
TORONTO, ON M2N 3A
(416) 751-2520


C S W
319 MCRAE AVENUE, SUITE 502
OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1Z 0B9
(613) 729-4536

LEGEND


- 1 VERTICAL METAL PLANK SIDING
- 2 ALUMINUM FRAME CURTAIN WALL GLAZING W/ BIRD-SAFE GLAZING, REF TO SPECIFICATIONS
- 3 SPLIT FACE MASONRY BLOCK
- 4 MECHANICAL SCREENS
- 5 METAL FLASHING

NOTES:

1. ALL SIGNAGE TO COMPLY WITH THE LANSDOWNE SIGNAGE AND WAYFINDING PLAN




2 SOUTH ELEVATION - ARENA
1:200



1 NORTH ELEVATION - ARENA
1:200

6	CD RELEASE	2024-10-20
5	ISSUED FOR 90% DD- CLASS B ESTIMATE	2024-11-19
4	ISSUED FOR 50% DD	2024-10-18
3	ISSUED FOR 30% DD	2024-10-04
2	ISSUED FOR CITY SPA COMMENTS	2024-09-05
1	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPROVAL	2024-08-07

REVISIONS/ ISSUES
CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. **DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWINGS**




SEAL
THIS DOCUMENT IS RELEASED FOR THE PURPOSE OF PRELIMINARY SUBMITTAL
IT IS NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES

DRAWN	JD
DATE	2024-10-04
CHECKED	SJ

LANSDOWNE 2.0
EVENT CENTRE,
NORTH SIDE STANDS AND
PUBLIC REALM
ENHANCEMENTS
945 & 1015 BANK STREET

DWG. TITLE
NORTH & SOUTH ELEVATIONS

SCALE	1 : 200	DWG. NO.	EC-A3-001
PROJ. NO.	2418		




- GENERAL NOTES**
1. ALL WORK AND MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE STANDARD AND SPECIFICATION FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA. SPECIFICATIONS, CODES, STANDARDS, LOCAL, UTILITY REGULATIONS AND ORDINANCES SHALL BE APPLIED TO ALL WORK.
 2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND RECORD THEM ON THE SITE PLAN. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 3. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 6. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.
 20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE OTTAWA SEWERAGE AND WATER BOARD.

TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION
TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROVIDED BY FARHALL MORTFATT & ASSOCIATES INC.
PROJECT NO. 19-378
DATE: 2015-01-27

SITE PLAN INFORMATION
DATE: 2015-01-27

BENCH MARK
MAGNETIC NAIL SET IN TOP OF CONCRETE WALL OF CANAL
ELEVATION: 44.581

No.	Description	Date
21	Issued to City	Jan 27/15
20	Issued to City	Sept 04/14
19	Revised Bldg G1 Siamese connection	June 17/14
18	Revised Siamese connections	May 8/14
17	Revised FH Bank Street	Feb 21/14
16	Revised per municipal comments	Dec 10/13
15	Added Meter and Remote Meter locations	Dec 04/13
14	Revised water meter at Bank Street	Nov 26/13
13	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
12	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
11	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
10	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
9	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
8	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
7	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
6	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
5	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
4	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
3	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
2	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13
1	Revised per OSEG comments	Oct 08/13



REFER TO SITE SERVICING NOTES ON DRAWING SS 01008

CONTRACTOR TO COMPLETE ALL WORKS WITHOUT ENCROACHMENT NEIGHBORING PROPERTIES

HOLMWOOD AVENUE


REDEVELOPMENT OF LANSDOWNE PARK



1015 Bank Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1S 3W7

DSEI
David Schaeffer Engineering Inc.
1200 Bay Road Unit 203
Stittville, Ontario N2G 2T1
Tel: (513) 722-4420
Fax: (513) 722-7784
www.dsei.ca

Stantec
1500 Lippincott Avenue
Ottawa, Ontario K2J 1T1
Tel: (613) 722-4420
Fax: (613) 722-7784
www.stantec.com



Drawings are not to be used on any project unless they are accompanied by the appropriate contract documents. The contractor shall be responsible for verifying the accuracy of the information provided in this drawing and for obtaining any necessary permits. This drawing is not to be used for construction without the approval of the contractor.

GENERAL NOTES

1. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST EDITION OF THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA, CANADA: HIGHWAY, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR ROADWAY CONSTRUCTION, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR UTILITIES, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR WATER SUPPLY, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR SEWERAGE AND SANITARY ENGINEERING, STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR TRANSPORTATION STANDARDS WILL APPLY UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
2. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES AND SERVICES PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION WORK. ANY DAMAGE TO EXISTING UTILITIES OR SERVICES SHALL BE REPAIRED AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
3. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO ANY CONSTRUCTION WORK. ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED TO THE ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
4. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
5. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
6. ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS. THE GENERAL CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
7. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
8. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
9. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
10. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
11. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
12. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
13. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
15. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
16. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
17. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
18. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
20. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
21. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
22. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.

TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION

TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROVIDED BY PARSONS, WOLFARTH & WOODLAND LTD.

SITE PLAN INFORMATION

TOPOGRAPHIC INFORMATION PROVIDED BY CORUSH, SUNDERLAND AND WELLS

BENCH MARK

PREPARED BY PATRICKSON GROUP


GEOTECHNICAL REPORT

PREPARED BY PATRICKSON GROUP

LEGEND

100.00	PROPOSED SPOT ELEVATION	LIMITS OF CONTRACT
100.00/C	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION	FLOOR ELEVATION
100.00/B	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF WALL ELEVATION	APPROX. GARAGE FLOOR ELEVATION
100.00/W	PROPOSED TOP OF WALL ELEVATION	PROPOSED TRENCH DRAIN
100.00/A	PROPOSED TOP OF LD ELEVATION	"ADD DRAIN #1000/AS1000"
100.00	PROPOSED GRADE AND DIRECTION	PROPOSED DRAIN DRAIN LOCATION
100.00	PROPOSED/EXISTING SPOT ELEVATION	"BATTI DRAINAGE FD-430"
		ENTER TO MECHANICAL AND LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
		PROPOSED CATCH BASIN (OSPD 705.010)
		PROPOSED STORM MAINTENANCE HOLE
		PROPOSED SANITARY MAINTENANCE HOLE

AREA DRAIN DETAIL



KEY PLAN



LANDSDOWNE PARK

SITE GRADING PLAN

Scale: 1:500 Date Created: MM/DD/YY

Project No.: 09-378 Checked by: CHK


SS 01002

APPENDIX

B

- BOUNDARY CONDITIONS
- CORRESPONDENCE
- FIRE FLOW CALCULATION FOR BUILDINGS
- EXISTING WATER DEMAND CALCULATION
- HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS
- FIRE HYDRANT TEST RESULTS
- HYDRANT COVERAGE FIGURE

Boundary Conditions for Lansdowne 2.0 Redevelopment excluding Towers 1&2



Legend

- Private
- Public

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>
Sent: December 19, 2024 8:43 AM
To: Ali, Zarak
Cc: Moore, Sean; Yang, Winston; Mottalib, Abdul
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands
Attachments: [Lansdowne 2.0 Redevelopment REVISED December 2024.pdf](#)

Good morning Ali,

Please find the results of the boundary condition request below:

Not much change in results from last BC that was provided. Fire flow governs. Since FF was the same there's no significant change in the BC.

Information Provided: (Water demands with New Additions)

Average Day= 5.2 L/s

Max Day= 13.0 L/s

Peak Hour= 28.6 L/s

Fire flow (RFF)= 150 L/s

Development type: Commercial - New North Stands and New Event Center (Lansdowne 2.0 Redevelopment excluding Towers 1&2)

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 1015 Bank Street, Lansdowne 2.0 Redevelopment (excluding Towers 1 &2), (zone 1W) assumed to be privately connected to the 305 mm watermain on Bank Street, AND the 406 mm watermain on Holmwood Avenue (see attached PDF for location).

-

Both Connections:

Min HGL: 105.7 m

Max HGL: 114.6 m

Max Day + FF (150L/s): 107.8 m (Connection 1-Holmwood Avenue), 106.5 m (Connection 2-Bank Street)

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Whelan, Amy
Sent: December 17, 2024 9:18 AM
To: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Ali,

Thank you for your email. We have sent the request to our water resources group as an urgent request last week. We have not received a response, however we will follow up with the status. I will let you know as soon as possible.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

From: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Sent: December 16, 2024 3:54 PM
To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Amy,

I am following up on my request below.

Please note that we will be using the Metered Totals readings from the CARMA Metering report spreadsheet instead of the City Main Totals readings. This is because the Metered Totals reading will allow us to determine the individual demands of each of the buildings. This slightly changes the overall water demands of the site to the following:

NEW: Water Demands (using residential peaking factors and OSEG CARMA Metering Data):

Avg Day Demand = 5.41 L/s

Max Day + Fire Flow Demand = 13.53 + 150 = 163.53 L/s

Peak Hour Demand = 29.77 L/s

Let me know if you have any questions.

Regards,



Zarak Ali

Designer E.I.T

Land Development & Municipal Engineering - Ontario

T+ 1 343-227-9179

Zarak.ali@wsp.com

WSP Canada Inc.

2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300

Ottawa, Ontario

K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Ali, Zarak

Sent: December 11, 2024 12:02 PM

To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Amy,

Thank you for the confirmation below. We will include the spreadsheet in the appendix and include discussion and assumptions in the body regarding the approach. We will also use the residential peaking factors to be conservative.

We will need to request new boundary conditions as now the water demands are different from boundary request submitted in September 2024. Can you please take of this as soon as possible?

OLD: Sept 5, 2024 Boundary Condition Request:

Avg Day Demand = 12.3 L/s

Max Day + Fire Flow Demand = 20.8 + 150 = 170.8 L/s

Peak Hour Demand = 39.3 L/s

NEW: Water Demands (using residential peaking factors and OSEG CARMA Metering Data):

Avg Day Demand = 5.2 L/s

Max Day + Fire Flow Demand = 13 + 150 = 163 L/s

Peak Hour Demand = 28.6 L/s

Regards,



Zarak Ali

Designer E.I.T

Land Development & Municipal Engineering - Ontario

T+ 1 343-227-9179

Zarak.ali@wsp.com

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 10, 2024 1:29 PM

To: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Winston and Zarak,

The average daily demand of 5.2L/s from the metering data is acceptable, please be sure to include the spread sheet information in the appendix of the report and include a discussion of the approach in the body of the report.

Additionally, we are okay with the assumptions detailed in Winston's email, again please be sure to include discussion of all assumptions in the body of the report.

What peaking factor are you proposing to use for the calculation will the average daily demands from the entire site be multiplied by one peaking factor or will the demands be segregated by each type of use? For simplicity and to remain conservative we would accept that the total site max day demand is calculated with the residential peaking factor. unless it is calculated individually for each use type.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>

Sent: December 10, 2024 9:16 AM

To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Amy/Abdul,

Based on the OSEG CARMA Metering City Main Total readings (see attached spreadsheet), I have calculated an average day demand of **5.2 L/s** over a 12 month span of data for the entire site.

I took the City Main Totals readings from January to October from 2024 data and November/December City Main Totals readings from 2023 data to determine an average 12-month consumption of 13,443,083 L/month or about 5.2 L/s.

Please let us know your thoughts.

Regards,



Zarak Ali

Designer E.I.T
Land Development & Municipal Engineering - Ontario

T+ 1 343-227-9179
Zarak.ali@wsp.com

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>

Sent: December 9, 2024 1:33 PM

To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Amy,

We can update the calculation with the provided overall water meter data without knowing the consumption from each building as long as you are satisfied with the assumption we are going to make. We will assume that the future water demand will be equivalent in value to the existing demand or less.

Then we will just need to plug the number in to the current calculation. If the result shows minimum pressure is achieved, then further computer modeling includes the looping is not required for Phase 1 and 2.

Kindly let me know what's your thought.

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 9, 2024 12:56 PM

To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Winston,

Thank you for your email, have you received more information about the meter account locations? Sean informed me that two chamber meters relating to four account numbers were identified. Please let me know if you would like me to reach out to Rick Nelson from facilities.

Unfortunately, although we understand that the approach is conservative we cannot accept fire flow calculations that do not meet the minimum pressure requirements under max day + fire flow. Since, the analysis does not meet the minimum pressure requirements with out analyzing the looping we suggest that you wait for the metering data or provide a computer model that includes the looping.

Understanding, that we are operating under tight timelines we could consider that the servicing report will be required to be updated as a condition of approval prior to building permit. The condition would require that you update the calculations with the metering data, if the minimum pressure under max day + fire flow is still not achieved then a hydraulic watermain analysis of the entire site would be required, finally if the hydraulic watermain analysis shows that minimum pressure still can not be achieved then the private infrastructure would need to be upsized accordingly. We would have to work on the exact wording with our legal team.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>

Sent: December 06, 2024 4:58 PM

To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Amy and Abdul,

If it takes time to sort out the water meter data and to identify the usage from different buildings, I would suggest to proceed with the below approach.

I have updated the calculation to include the overall demand for the entire Lansdowne site for both fire flow and minimum pressure check. Please see the below results for the updated residual pressure for each scenario.

	EC		NNS	
	Max Day+Fire Flow	Peak Hour	Max Day+Fire Flow	Peak Hour
BC from Bank	131 kPa < 140 kPa	334 kPa > 276 kPa	299 kPa > 140 kPa	363 kPa > 276 kPa
BC from Holmwood Ave	158 kPa > 140 kPa	337 kPa > 276 kPa	122 kPa < 140 kPa	353 kPa > 276 kPa

There are two feeds from City main to the Lansdowne Site. One at Bank Street near scoreboard and the other at the NE corner of Horticulture at Holmwood Ave. And there is an internal watermain looping system at Lansdowne.

As you can tell from the above results, most of the design pressures exceeds the minimum requirement except the boundary condition from Bank St to EC and boundary condition from Holmwood Ave to NNS. These two scenarios show the resulting pressures drop slightly below the

minimum requirement. But keep it in mind that this is a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected, and the water service connection is assumed to connect to the building directly from the city main.

Base on the assumption without updating the demand from the city water meter data, we can conclude that the existing private watermain network at Lansdowne has sufficient pressure to provide adequate fire flow protection and secure minimum pressure during Peak Hour use.

Are you satisfied with the above approach?

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800

T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)

M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 6, 2024 2:04 PM

To: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>; Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hello all,

I just had a quick phone call with Winston to discuss the metering data and he informed me that a request has been made to OSEG and they confirmed that they could get the information that WSP requires. If for some reason we can not get that information from OSEG then we can ask Rick Nelson to see if he has the account information.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Sent: December 05, 2024 6:11 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Sean,

Probably Nelson, Richard <Richard.Nelson@ottawa.ca> can help us. Amy and I will talk about it tomorrow morning.

--

Thanks,

Abdul
Mohammad Abdul Mottalib, P. Eng.
Extension: 27798

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: December 05, 2024 4:21 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Sean,

Will OSEG/TD Garden be able to verify the account number?

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 5, 2024 4:18 PM

To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>

Cc: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: FW: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Winston,

I'm having trouble identifying the exact building for those water meters – Amy /Abdul do you have any suggestions on what we can do here?

sean

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Khawam, Walid <Walid.Khawam@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 05, 2024 4:10 PM

To: Johns, Susan <Susan.Johns@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Feilders, Andrea <Andrea.feilders@ottawa.ca>; Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Sue,

There is currently no GIS layer showing exact locations of water meters. There is a water service location layer that shows those WSL#s in the table below. However, that layer puts a point in the middle of a parcel, which means it could be anywhere on the property, not necessarily where the meter is located. The address and detailed description of meter location is probably the best info to use.

Hope this helps,

Please let me know if you have any questions,

Thank you

Walid Khawam, P.Eng.

Infrastructure Assessment Engineer - Watermains
Linear Asset Management Branch
Infrastructure and Water Services / *Services d'infrastructure et d'eau*
City of Ottawa
Cell:613-263-5851

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Johns, Susan <Susan.Johns@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 03, 2024 10:51 AM

To: Khawam, Walid <Walid.Khawam@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Feilders, Andrea <Andrea.feilders@ottawa.ca>; Johns, Susan <Susan.Johns@ottawa.ca>

Subject: FW: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Good morning,

I see some info on GeoOttawa with details of watermain structure numbers, and I cannot match the chart below to any ID numbers on GeoOttawa, although the address descriptions make sense.

Do we have a map that would include the info in the chart below so the designer for the Lansdowne project can proceed?

Thanks

Sue

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Sent: December 03, 2024 10:26 AM

To: Johns, Susan <Susan.Johns@ottawa.ca>

Subject: Fw: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Sue,

Can you look at the email below (see the Table) and let me know if there is some way we can use the structure ID and location number to on point exactly where the water meter is at Lansdowne Park -does staff have a map?

Our consulting engineer needs the location to finish their water design.

Thx

Sean

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 3, 2024 9:23 AM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Sean,

Can we have OSEG/TD Garden to confirm which is which?

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Sent: December 2, 2024 4:35 PM
To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Subject: Fw: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Is this what you needed?

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Kuhn, Jonathan <Jonathan.Kuhn@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Monday, December 2, 2024 3:47 PM
To: Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>; Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Jafferjee, Ishaque <Ishaque.Jafferjee@wsp.com>; Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi So Sorry for the delay, See answers below,

1. Unit of measure is cubic meters
2. Here is some info I pulled from our Asset Management system. The identifier I previously gave you is the "ACCT" number. I hope between the address and the description you can identify where these meters are.

Structure ID	Location	Description
WSL00540604	588275	Water Service Location, WSL00540604, ACCT# 00540604, CHAMBER OPP #1 5TH AVE PKG L
WSL00530738	391449	Water Service Location, WSL00530738, ACCT#00530738, R F W, LS, N, B, 150, 1.0, OC, F, CU
WSL30059411	705517	Water Service Location, WSL30059411, ACCT#10069217, F.W, R.F, F, B, 020, 1.0, OC, CUST T
WSL00530736	377334	Water Service Location, WSL00530736, ACCT# 00530736, LSCW IN BOILER ROOM, LSCW LT
WSL60010180	739433	Water Service Location, WSL60010180, ACCT# 10081618, IN CHAMBER - 200 YARDS FROM C
WSL60005535	722563	Water Service Location, WSL60005535, ACCT# 10077975, OPP 1018 BANK IN LANDSDOWN I
WSL60005532	722565	Water Service Location, WSL60005532, ACCT# 10077977, OPP 1018 BANK IN LANDSDOWN I
WSL60005533	722564	Water Service Location, WSL60005533, ACCT# 10077978, EAST CORNER OF LANDSDOWN O
WSL30059412	705595	Water Service Location, WSL30059412, ACCT#10069216, FURNACE RM, R.F, F, B, 020, 1.0, C
WSL60005534	722566	Water Service Location, WSL60005534, ACCT# 10077976, EAST CORNER OF LANDSDOWN O

3. The calculated consumption column is just a simple delta of the meter read column and not something derived from the meter reading system so it can be ignored and you can calculate your own deltas with error correction from the "meter read column"



Jonathan Kuhn

AMI Network Administrator

City of Ottawa

Finance and Corporate Services

Tel: 613-580-2424, ext. 24067

951 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON, K2C 3R8
Ottawa.ca | [My ServiceOttawa](#)

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: November 28, 2024 12:46 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Kuhn, Jonathan <Jonathan.Kuhn@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Jafferjee, Ishaque <Ishaque.Jafferjee@wsp.com>; Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>;
Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Sean and Kuhn,

I would like to follow up the below request.

Can we get the clarification ASAP? ‘

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Sent: November 20, 2024 12:22 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Jonathan.Kuhn@ottawa.ca
Cc: Jafferjee, Ishaque <Ishaque.Jafferjee@wsp.com>; Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Sean/Jonathan,

Thank you for the water consumption data. We had a few questions we were hoping you could help us with.

1. Can you provide us the units of measurement for the “Calculated Consumption” column and the “MeterRead” column?
2. There seem to be 9 different account numbers/meters (see image below). Are you able to provide information on which building on the Lansdowne campus corresponds to each meter?

Unique acc numbers
530736
530738
540604
10069216
10077975
10077976
10077977
10077978
10081618

3. Can you verify that the 0 value data points (calculated consumption column) are correct data points and that the negative/large positive numbers (also calculated consumption column) are readings which can be ignored for purposes of determining average daily consumptions?

Regards,



Zarak Ali
Designer E.I.T
Land Development & Municipal Engineering - Ontario

T+ 1 343-227-9179
Zarak.ali@wsp.com

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario

K2B 8K2 Canada
wsp.com

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: November 20, 2024 9:55 AM
To: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Cc: Jafferjee, Ishaque <Ishaque.Jafferjee@wsp.com>
Subject: FW: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Zarak,

Can you look at the attached water consumption data for Lansdowne?
The attached data contains all the meter consumption for the entire Lansdown site. City would like us to use the actual demand instead of the assumption we have made on the report.

Let me know if you have any questions.

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Sent: November 14, 2024 7:53 PM
To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>; Jafferjee, Ishaque <Ishaque.Jafferjee@wsp.com>
Subject: Fw: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Winston please find the water consumption data as requested:

Sean

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Kuhn, Jonathan <Jonathan.Kuhn@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Thursday, November 14, 2024 4:43 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Subject: FW: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Hi Sean,

I have some water consumption data as requested by WSP.

I do not have a working relationship with WSP so I do not know what level of data we can share with them. I have included account numbers and water consumption for the Lansdown meters.

If you see no issue with sharing this data with the consultant you may fwd it along.



Jonathan Kuhn
AMI Network Administrator
City of Ottawa
Finance and Corporate Services
Tel: 613-580-2424, ext. 24067
951 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON, K2C 3R8
Ottawa.ca | [My ServiceOttawa](http://My.ServiceOttawa)

Classified as City of Ottawa - Internal / Ville d'Ottawa - classé interne

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: November 11, 2024 4:51 PM
To: Kuhn, Jonathan <Jonathan.Kuhn@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Levesque, Joshua <Joshua.Levesque@ottawa.ca>; Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>; Zhang, Alice <alice.zhang@ottawa.ca>
Subject: FW: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands
Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Jonathan,

In Alice's away, can you look into the below request?

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Yang, Winston

Sent: November 11, 2024 4:43 PM

To: alice.zhang@ottawa.ca

Cc: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - Existing Building Water Demands

Importance: High

Hi Alice,

We are working for the Lansdowne 2.0 project. City would like us to obtain the existing water demands from the existing buildings on site.

Can I have the existing domestic water demand data from the entire Lansdowne site?

Feel free to reach out if you need more info.

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Sent: September 11, 2024 3:31 PM

To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>; Hughes, Brett <brett.hughes@ottawa.ca>; Renaud, Jean-Charles <jean-charles.renaud@ottawa.ca>; van Wyk, Adrian <adrian.vanwyk@ottawa.ca>; Smith, Jack <jack.smith@ottawa.ca>; McCreight, Andrew <Andrew.McCreight@ottawa.ca>; Ahmad, Shohan <Shohan.Ahmad@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Good afternoon Sean,

Please find the updated boundary conditions enclosed in this email. Upon further internal discussion it was determined that due to the rationale that WSP consulting had indicated in the meeting held September 5th 2024 from 11:00am-12:00pm the City is willing to accept a spreadsheet type hydraulic watermain analysis. Please note that the requirement to model the entire private network has been lifted on an exceptional basis, for this specific application, and does not set precedent for future applications.

The applicant is required to demonstrate how the internal private network can support the proposed development i.e. the future event centre through the above mentioned hydraulic watermain analysis and include the results in their servicing report. The City does not accept hydrant pressure testing in place of a hydraulic watermain analysis.

The following details/changes are required for approval:

- Submit an updated boundary condition request to the City of Ottawa for the proposed event center only. (Completed)
- Include the boundary condition request correspondence email in the appendix of the servicing report.
- Remove all language in the site servicing report that states that the design is considering all three phases of the proposed Lansdowne design as it pertains to drinking water servicing.
- Provide the domestic demand calculations of the existing event center and provide the domestic demand calculations of proposed the event center.
- Provide the required fire flow calculations of the existing event center and the required fire flow calculations of the proposed event center.
- Provide discussion in the site servicing report explaining the rational discussed in the meeting detailing how the demands of the proposed event center can be met with the existing watermain network. In addition the report should justify that the proposed development will not negatively impact the existing hydraulic condition. This rational is required as a basis for the City to make an exception for this site to accept a hydraulic analysis using the spreadsheet approach.
- Provide a hydraulic watermain analysis that incorporates the hydraulic losses in the system from the boundary condition connection locations to the proposed event center. The hydraulic watermain analysis is required to include the existing demands from the existing buildings, please

contact water metering division to obtain the existing domestic water demand data (alice.zhang@ottawa.ca).

-Provide results of the spread sheet analysis demonstrating that the private system meets the criteria of section 4.2.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution for the proposed event centers demands. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure ranges.

Requirements for future phases:

-All subsequent phases will require updated boundary conditions, and an updated hydraulic watermain analysis.

-Phase 3 Lansdowne project (towers and podium) will be required to demonstrate, via a hydraulic watermain analysis that the private system meets the criteria of section 4.2.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution. The hydraulic watermain analysis will be required to analyze the entire private system and include the existing site demands and the proposed demands for the project.

If you have any questions or wish to arrange a meeting to discuss further, please let us know.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Sent: September 06, 2024 11:59 AM

To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Thank you for the update Amy.

Sean.

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Sent: September 06, 2024 11:27 AM

To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Good morning Sean,

I am just following up to inform you that we are currently awaiting the boundary condition results from the updated request that Winston had sent yesterday. We would like to review the results of the boundary conditions internally and get back to you regarding the hydraulic watermain analysis requirement. As per the meeting we believe that we should be receiving the boundary condition results by Monday or Tuesday next week.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>

Sent: September 05, 2024 5:41 PM

To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>

Subject: Re: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Sean and Abdul,

Attached is the code report prepared specifically for OSEG by Morrison Hershfield dated May 17, 2012 for the Lansdowne site and this information should be used on future developments on site.

.

The approach used at Lansdowne is unique and took some detailed conversations with the Authority having jurisdiction to come to an agreeable arrangement.

As you are aware that most of the existing watermain network is within the building garage, they are part of the building mechanical system, all these network are also inter-connected within the building plumping system and designed using the O.B.C requirement.

The typical hydraulic water model that City uses to work on is not applicable for this site.

The existing water network was designed and completed by few different consultants, Civil and Building Mechanical, etc., we are not able to put a unique model that it's suitable for this site.

And as I have mentioned during the meeting, the worse case scenario is the max daily demand + fire flow within the development site shall be governed.

This is typical for all subdivision or site plan developments that we have to make sure there will be adequate demand available from each junction through the hydraulic analysis to meet the targeting max daily and fire.

As per previous approved design, fire flow of 150 l/s has been used for all the buildings within Lansdowne site.

For the current redevelopment phase 1 EC and phase 2 NNS, the max daily demand are almost the same, the fire flow is way less than 150 L/s. This is better off from the existing condition.

If the existing network is working, issues for phase 1 and 2 should not be anticipated.

For future residential development (the two towers), hydraulic analysis is also not required because tower 1 and 2 will be part of the existing building, it should be treated as a single building as per the attached Code report since the underground parking is attached.

The two towers will be serviced internally from the existing garage system.

Further analysis should be provided by the Architect and Mechanical consultant to verify the pressure for daily demand and fire protection during the design of the residential towers.

I am agree that we can obtain an updated boundary condition for city's record, but hydraulic analysis for the entire Lansdowne site is not necessary.

Simple hydraulic check on water pressure using the new boundary condition should be enough to verify the maximum and minimum pressures for phase 1 and 2.

And the hydrant flow test result can be used to confirm the adequate flow within the system down to 140 Kpa (20 psi) to meet the targeting the max daily + fire flow.

Feel free to reach out if you would like to discuss.

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/cas. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to cascompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au www.wsp.com/lcap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitecap@wsp.com afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages électroniques commerciaux.

-LAEmHhHzbJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

,

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

,

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

,

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

,

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Yang, Winston

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Sent: September 12, 2024 6:55 PM
To: Yang, Winston
Subject: Fw: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection
Attachments: FW: Lansdowne 2.0 - Revised Boundary Conditions Request (Excluding Future Residential Tower 1 and 2)

Winston please let me know your teams ETA on the necessary updates based on the boundary conditions provided and Amy's deficiencies identified.

Thx

Sean

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2024 3:45 PM
To: Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>
Cc: Patricia Warren <warren@fotenn.com>
Subject: Fw: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Winston please see email below from the City and action.

Thank you
Sean

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, September 11, 2024 3:31 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>; Hughes, Brett <brett.hughes@ottawa.ca>; Renaud, Jean-Charles <Jean-Charles.Renaud@ottawa.ca>; van Wyk, Adrian <adrian.vanwyk@ottawa.ca>; Smith, Jack <jack.smith@ottawa.ca>; McCreight, Andrew <Andrew.McCreight@ottawa.ca>; Ahmad, Shohan <Shohan.Ahmad@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Good afternoon Sean,

Please find the updated boundary conditions enclosed in this email. Upon further internal discussion it was determined that due to the rationale that WSP consulting had indicated in the meeting held September 5th 2024 from 11:00am-12:00pm the City is willing to accept a spreadsheet type hydraulic watermain analysis. Please note that the requirement to model the entire private network has been lifted on an exceptional basis, for this specific application, and does not set precedent for future applications.

The applicant is required to demonstrate how the internal private network can support the proposed development i.e. the future event centre through the above mentioned hydraulic watermain analysis and include the results in their servicing report. The City does not accept hydrant pressure testing in place of a hydraulic watermain analysis.

The following details/changes are required for approval:

-Submit an updated boundary condition request to the City of Ottawa for the proposed event center only.

(Completed)

-Include the boundary condition request correspondence email in the appendix of the servicing report.

-Remove all language in the site servicing report that states that the design is considering all three phases of the proposed Lansdowne design as it pertains to drinking water servicing.

-Provide the domestic demand calculations of the existing event center and provide the domestic demand calculations of proposed the event center.

-Provide the required fire flow calculations of the existing event center and the required fire flow calculations of the proposed event center.

-Provide discussion in the site servicing report explaining the rationale discussed in the meeting detailing how the demands of the proposed event center can be met with the existing watermain network. In addition the report should justify that the proposed development will not negatively impact the existing hydraulic condition. This rationale is required as a basis for the City to make an exception for this site to accept a hydraulic analysis using the spreadsheet approach.

-Provide a hydraulic watermain analysis that incorporates the hydraulic losses in the system from the boundary condition connection locations to the proposed event center. The hydraulic watermain analysis is required to include the existing demands from the existing buildings, please contact water metering division to obtain the existing domestic water demand data (alice.zhang@ottawa.ca).

-Provide results of the spread sheet analysis demonstrating that the private system meets the criteria of section 4.2.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution for the proposed event centers demands. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure ranges.

Requirements for future phases:

-All subsequent phases will require updated boundary conditions, and an updated hydraulic watermain analysis.

-Phase 3 Lansdowne project (towers and podium) will be required to demonstrate, via a hydraulic watermain analysis that the private system meets the criteria of section 4.2.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution. The hydraulic watermain analysis will be required to analyze the entire private system and include the existing site demands and the proposed demands for the project.

If you have any questions or wish to arrange a meeting to discuss further, please let us know.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Sent: September 06, 2024 11:59 AM
To: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Thank you for the update Amy.

Sean.

From: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>
Sent: September 06, 2024 11:27 AM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection

Good morning Sean,

I am just following up to inform you that we are currently awaiting the boundary condition results from the updated request that Winston had sent yesterday. We would like to review the results of the boundary conditions internally and get back to you regarding the hydraulic watermain analysis requirement. As per the meeting we believe that we should be receiving the boundary condition results by Monday or Tuesday next week.

Kind regards,

Amy Whelan, E.I.T

Project Manager, Infrastructure Approvals
Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central
Planning, Development and Building Services Department (PDBS) | Direction générale des services de la planification, de l'aménagement et du bâtiment (DGSPAB)
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 26642, amy.whelan@ottawa.ca

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: September 05, 2024 5:41 PM
To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Whelan, Amy <amy.whelan@ottawa.ca>
Subject: Re: Lansdowne Park - CODE REPORT to interconnection
Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Sean and Abdul,

Attached is the code report prepared specifically for OSEG by Morrison Hershfield dated May 17, 2012 for the Lansdowne site and this information should be used on future developments on site.

The approach used at Lansdowne is unique and took some detailed conversations with the Authority having jurisdiction to come to an agreeable arrangement.

As you are aware that most of the existing watermain network is within the building garage, they are part of the building mechanical system, all these network are also inter-connected within the building plumbing system and designed using the O.B.C requirement.

The typical hydraulic water model that City uses to work on is not applicable for this site.

The existing water network was designed and completed by few different consultants, Civil and Building Mechanical, etc., we are not able to put up a unique model that it's suitable for this site.

And as I have mentioned during the meeting, the worse case scenario is the max daily demand + fire flow within the development site shall be governed.

This is typical for all subdivision or site plan developments that we have to make sure there will be adequate demand available from each junction through the hydraulic analysis to meet the targeting max daily and fire.

As per previous approved design, fire flow of 150 l/s has been used for all the buildings within Lansdowne site.

For the current redevelopment phase 1 EC and phase 2 NNS, the max daily demand are almost the same, the fire flow is way less than 150 L/s. This is better off from the existing condition.

If the existing network is working, issues for phase 1 and 2 should not be anticipated.

For future residential development (the two towers), hydraulic analysis is also not required because tower 1 and 2 will be part of the existing building, it should be treated as a single building as per the attached Code report since the underground parking is attached.

The two towers will be serviced internally from the existing garage system.

Further analysis should be provided by the Architect and Mechanical consultant to verify the pressure for daily demand and fire protection during the design of the residential towers.

I am agree that we can obtain an updated boundary condition for city's record, but hydraulic analysis for the entire Lansdowne site is not necessary.

Simple hydraulic check on water pressure using the new boundary condition should be enough to verify the maximum and minimum pressures for phase 1 and 2.

And the hydrant flow test result can be used to confirm the adequate flow within the system down to 140 Kpa (20 psi) to meet the targeting the max daily + fire flow.

Feel free to reach out if you would like to discuss.

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300

Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/cas. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to cascompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au www.wsp.com/lcap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages électroniques commerciaux.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

From: McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>
Sent: December 17, 2024 9:50 AM
To: Ali, Zarak
Cc: Talundzic, Tarik; Yang, Winston
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi Ali,

Apologies for the delay.
The fire protection system will be a fully supervised system.

Regards,



John McKinnon B.Eng.
Mechanical Designer
C: 647-454-1806
[tmptoronto.com](mailto:jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com)

From: Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Sent: Tuesday, December 17, 2024 9:46 AM
To: McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>
Cc: Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>; Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi John,

I am following up on my inquiry below.

Regards,



Zarak Ali
Designer E.I.T
Land Development & Municipal Engineering - Ontario

T+ 1 343-227-9179
Zarak.ali@wsp.com

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Ali, Zarak
Sent: December 11, 2024 1:31 PM
To: McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>
Cc: Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>; Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi John/Tarik,

Can you provide confirmation that the new Event Centre will be a Fully Supervised System as per the 2020 Fire Underwriter's Survey. A fully supervised system requires a proper supervision system including water flow and control valve alarm service. The City would like us to provide confirmation from mechanical consultant that the water service system for this building will have this arrangement.

Regards,



Zarak Ali
Designer E.I.T
Land Development & Municipal Engineering - Ontario

T+ 1 343-227-9179
Zarak.ali@wsp.com

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>
Sent: December 10, 2024 5:13 PM
To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Cc: Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi Winston,

EC Domestic water demand: 480 GPM
NNS Domestic water demand: 210 gpm

As we work through finalizing our connections for the EC, I am hoping to have an updated coordination pdf to you later this week.

Regards,



John McKinnon B.Eng.
Mechanical Designer
C: 647-454-1806
tmptoronto.com

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2024 3:36 PM
To: McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>
Cc: Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>; Ali, Zarak <Zarak.Ali@wsp.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi John,

Please see attached CAD file for the 90% DD package and the pdf we have discussed on Tuesday.

By the way, we are looking for the domestic water demand for the New Event Centre and North Side Stand.

Do you have these finalized?

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>
Sent: November 27, 2024 5:35 PM

To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Cc: Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Evening Winston,

I am hoping you can share your 90% DD package as we do not seem to have this on file.

Further to our discussion, please see attached plan for our EC storm, sanitary, and DCW connections.

For the fire protection line, we are currently determining whether we are feeding both EC & NNS from one fire service, or if we will provide a dedicated FSP line to NNS.

We are also working through the foundation drainage and hope to have the connection and detailed info to you by end of week, if not first thing next week.

Regards,



John McKinnon B.Eng.
Mechanical Designer
C: 647-454-1806
tmptoronto.com

My moustache is in need of your support! Please donate to my #Movember efforts and help me change the face of men's health.

[Click the link here to donate!](#)

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: Friday, November 22, 2024 10:47 AM
To: Sylvia Jacobs <sjacobs@bbb.ca>; Sheri Edwards <edwards@csw.ca>; Jerrold Corush <corush@csw.ca>; Nick Manesis <nmanesis@mbii.com>; Liam Green <lgreen@mbii.com>; Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>; Barry Charnish <barry.charnish@entuitive.com>; David Stevenson <david.stevenson@entuitive.com>; Jason Dello <jdello@bbb.ca>; Valeriia Vapelnyk <vvapelnyk@bbb.ca>; El-Haddad, Haitham <haitham.el-haddad@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Christian Matteau <Matteau@csw.ca>; Orchard, Steve <sorchard@tmptoronto.com>; McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>; Murray Beynon <murray@bbb.ca>; Scott, Ryan (ISD) <Ryan.Scott2@ottawa.ca>; Kurosky, Justin <justin.kurosky@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi Sylvia,

I am available Monday 1-4pm and Tuesday 1-2pm.

Yours truly,

Winston Yang
Lead Engineer – Technical Lead



Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Sylvia Jacobs <sjacobs@bbb.ca>
Sent: November 22, 2024 10:45 AM
To: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>; Sheri Edwards <edwards@cs.wisc.edu>; Jerrold Corush <corush@cs.wisc.edu>; Nick Manesis <nmanesis@mbii.com>; Liam Green <lgreen@mbii.com>; Talundzic, Tarik <ttalundzic@tmptoronto.com>; Barry Charnish <barry.arnish@entuitive.com>; David Stevenson <david.stevenson@entuitive.com>; Jason Dello <jdello@bbb.ca>; Valeriia Vapelnik <vvapelnik@bbb.ca>; El-Haddad, Haitham <haitham.el-haddad@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Christian Matteau <Matteau@cs.wisc.edu>; Steve Orchard <sorchard@tmptoronto.com>; McKinnon, John <jmckinnon@tmptoronto.com>; Murray Beynon <murray@bbb.ca>; Scott, Ryan (ISD) <Ryan.Scott2@ottawa.ca>; Kurosky, Justin <justin.kurosky@ottawa.ca>
Subject: Lansdowne Civil - coordination mtg

Hi Winston,

Now that you have been retained by the City for new duct bank scope we would like to set up a coordination mtg for this and few other civil items to be captured in the civil package.

Can everyone pls confirm their availability for a mtg next:
Monday Nov 25 between 1-4
Tuesday Nov 26 between 1-4

Regards,
Sylvia

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/cas. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to cascompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note

that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au www.wsp.com/lcap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages électroniques commerciaux.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl

Carma Metering Report - MM0781 - Lansdowne Stadium - Water - 2023

Less than 20% of previous month		More than 20% of previous month		Percentage (%)	September			October			November			December			Total YTD Litres	Total YTD \$	
Tenant Name	Tenant Number	CARMA Plus Meter Number	Service Description [Real Meter]		Rate \$/unit	End Read Date: Oct.7		Rate \$/unit	End Read Date: Nov.6		Rate \$/unit	End Read Date: Dec.6		Rate \$/unit	End Read Date: Jan.5				
					\$0.0055	Units = Litres	Cost	Reading	Consumption	\$0.0057	Units = Litres	Cost	Reading	Consumption	\$0.0063	Units = Litres			Cost
City-Ottawa-Aberdeen	City-Aber	No Reader	[No Meter] 1" Mdl-70-Badger in Aberdeen SE Fire	100	\$692.79	34,663.900	114,400	\$649.65	34,799,500	135,600	\$852.84	35,003,500	204,000	\$1,343.41	35,158,000	154,500	\$1,010.74	1,322,400	\$7,933.32
City-Ottawa-Horticulture	City-Hort	E249M01	[E249M01] 1.5in T-10 (2.5in pipe) in Horticulture 1	100	\$710.38	11,700.200	117,100	\$664.99	11,803,600	103,400	\$650.32	11,889,300	85,700	\$564.36	12,012,600	123,300	\$806.63	1,537,900	\$8,810.69
City-Ottawa-Plaza	City-Plaza	E249M02	[E249M02] 3in HPT (3in pipe) in Garage Room P16	100	\$76.92	2,355,200	12,100	\$58.71	2,362,700	7,500	\$47.17	2,362,700	0	\$0.00	2,362,700	0	\$0.00	100,500	\$574.67
City-Ottawa-Serv-Bunk-Ice-Rink	City-Serv-Bunk	No Reader	[No Meter] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Urban Park East C	100	\$18,172.95	89,437,035	2,166,487	\$12,303.01	90,114,773	677,738	\$4,262.54	90,135,137	20,364	\$134.10	90,135,137	0	\$0.00	14,281,729	\$81,087.50
I-Office BTR_REIT	Office-I	E921M00	[E252M02] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Garage Bldg 1 Fire	100	\$3,824.76	61,326,900	747,170	\$4,243.02	61,876,840	549,940	\$3,458.77	62,389,060	512,220	\$3,373.15	63,045,940	656,880	\$4,297.31	12,869,360	\$74,238.50
A-Condo Vibe OCSCC 967	Res-A-Condo	E910M00	[E218M08] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Garage Bldg A Fire	100	\$2,587.13	39,414,400	395,900	\$2,248.23	39,744,400	330,000	\$2,075.49	40,083,300	338,900	\$2,231.78	40,407,200	323,900	\$2,118.95	4,923,100	\$27,722.49
K-Condo Rideau OCSCC 1003	Res-K-Condo (needs replacement)	E919M00	[E213M06] Hi-Flo and [E213M07] Low-Flo 3in Nep	100	\$5,117.29	Roll-up submeter	887,086	\$5,037.57	Roll-up submeter	870,583	\$5,475.41	Roll-up submeter	803,710	\$5,292.71	Roll-up submeter	840,330	\$5,497.44	7,460,357	\$43,981.58
NorthTH-Condo OCSCC 1010	Res-NTH-Condo	E909M00	[TH-Total] Virtual Meter Total of Townhomes	100	\$1,883.68	27,995,380	369,430	\$2,097.91	28,353,920	358,540	\$2,254.99	28,716,020	362,100	\$2,384.56	29,090,990	374,970	\$2,453.05	4,732,380	\$36,802.39
A-Retail Trinity	Ret-A-Retail (needs electrician)	E911M00	[E160M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	\$138.20	5,149,300	32,238	\$183.08	5,186,402	37,102	\$233.35	5,220,800	34,398	\$226.52	5,261,700	40,900	\$267.57	601,387	\$3,350.98
B-Retail Trinity	Ret-B-Retail	E912M00	[E162M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	\$6,664.23	66,796,200	943,900	\$5,360.20	67,075,231	279,031	\$1,754.93	67,353,700	278,469	\$1,833.82	67,727,900	374,200	\$2,448.02	7,387,900	\$42,372.45
C-Retail Trinity	Ret-C-Retail	E913M00	[E137M04] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	\$4,734.19	74,534,600	730,200	\$4,146.65	75,228,940	694,340	\$4,366.96	75,975,700	746,760	\$4,917.68	76,743,900	768,200	\$5,025.57	10,516,500	\$59,602.17
D-Retail Trinity	Ret-D-Retail	E914M00	[E156M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	\$2,582.73	34,860,900	472,600	\$2,683.79	35,332,900	472,000	\$2,968.58	35,831,000	498,100	\$3,280.16	36,353,400	522,400	\$3,417.54	6,534,700	\$37,029.98
G-Retail Trinity	Ret-G-Retail	E915M00	[E139M08] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	\$7,347.69	118,405,300	1,435,700	\$8,153.03	119,670,300	1,265,900	\$7,956.05	120,799,000	1,128,700	\$7,432.89	122,073,100	1,274,100	\$8,335.17	16,032,022	\$91,064.33
H-Retail Trinity	Ret-H-Retail	E916M00	[E155M02] 1st-Flr Tenants 1.5in T-10 and [E154M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in Bldg G	-100	\$587.95	13,921,030	184,630	\$1,048.42	13,982,850	461,820	\$388.81	13,982,850	0	\$0.00	13,982,850	0	\$0.00	939,200	\$5,365.29
J-Retail Trinity	Ret-J-Retail	E917M00	[E133M05] 2in GWF (2in pipe) in Arena Service Lev	100	\$3,104.39	67,414,600	609,560	\$3,461.56	67,989,980	575,380	\$3,618.77	68,618,230	628,250	\$4,137.25	69,201,250	583,020	\$3,814.12	7,914,940	\$44,591.87
Stadium OSEG Stadium	Stad-OSEG	No Reader	[No Meter] North Stad 4in HPT (4in pipe) in North	100	\$28,601.81	1,150,723,000	4,460,000	\$25,327.38	1,154,021,000	3,298,000	\$20,742.32	1,158,023,000	4,002,000	\$26,354.57	1,161,290,000	3,267,000	\$21,372.72	45,129,000	\$262,105.50
Stadium Public Realm	Stad-PubRealm	No Reader	[No Meter] South Stad 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Urban	100	\$7,087.27	75,353,000	1,624,000	\$9,222.35	75,828,000	475,000	\$2,987.45	75,990,000	162,000	\$1,066.83	76,080,000	90,000	\$588.78	8,021,000	\$45,884.82
		E917M00	[E133M05] 2in GWF (2in pipe) in Arena Service Lev	-100	\$3,104.39	67,414,600	-609,560	\$3,461.56	67,989,980	-575,380	\$3,618.77	68,618,230	-628,250	\$4,137.25	69,201,250	-583,020	\$3,814.12	7,914,940	\$44,591.87
			Total		\$2,584.69	5,475,440	\$3,088.16	5,475,440	\$3,117.93	\$20,111.00	4,164,000	\$27,421.40	3,357,000	\$21,961.50	47,171,260	\$74,249.53			
		E915M01	[E144M02] 1in Mdl-70-Badger (2in pipe) in Bldg G	100	\$807.95	13,921,030	184,630	\$1,048.42	13,982,850	61,820	\$388.81	13,982,850	0	\$0.00	13,982,850	0	\$0.00	939,200	\$5,365.29
		E922M00	[E252M06] 1in Dwyer (1.5in pipe) in Garage Bldg 1	100	\$323.70	8,156,710	57,874	\$328.65	8,181,175	24,465	\$153.87	8,181,176	2	\$0.01	8,181,176	0	\$0.00	346,134	\$1,977.57
		E923M00	[E213M05] 0.75" Dwyer WMT2 A-C-03 in Garage N	100	\$276.64	2,037,986	26,803	\$152.21	2,058,121	20,135	\$126.64	2,058,121	0	\$0.00	2,058,121	0	\$0.00	279,261	\$1,595.90
			Total		\$1,408.29	2,692,297	\$1,529.28	2,692,297	106,420	\$569.32	2	\$0.01	0	\$0.00	0	\$0.00	1,564,715	\$8,939.16	
City Total	City Total				\$19,653.03	2,410,087	\$13,686.36	2,410,087	924,238	\$5,812.87	310,064	\$2,041.88	277,800	\$1,817.37	17,242,529	\$98,406.18			
Office Total	Office Total				\$3,824.76	747,170	\$4,243.02	747,170	549,940	\$3,458.77	512,220	\$3,373.15	656,880	\$4,297.31	12,869,360	\$74,238.50			
Residential Total	Residential Total				\$9,588.10	1,652,416	\$9,383.71	1,652,416	1,559,123	\$9,805.89	1,504,710	\$9,909.04	1,539,200	\$10,069.45	17,115,837	\$98,506.46			
Retail Total	Retail Total				\$30,610.11	5,036,278	\$28,599.94	5,036,278	4,342,233	\$27,309.89	4,284,677	\$28,216.10	4,596,920	\$30,073.06	64,885,428	\$367,267.06			
Stadium / OSEG Total	Stadium / OSEG Total				\$33,992.98	5,743,737	\$32,617.44	5,743,737	3,304,040	\$20,780.31	4,164,000	\$27,421.41	3,357,000	\$21,961.50	48,735,975	\$283,188.69			
Metered Total	Metered Total				\$97,668.98	15,589,688	\$88,930.48	15,589,688	10,679,575	\$67,167.74	10,775,672	\$70,961.58	10,427,800	\$68,218.69	160,849,128	\$921,932.87			
City Main Utility Meter1	City Account 1of4 (0270300 - 10077975)				\$32,371.63	587,548,000	7,394,000	\$31,494.07	594,033,000	6,485,000	\$27,621.73	599,997,000	5,964,000	\$25,402.27	605,971,000	5,974,000	\$25,444.87	75,833,000	\$322,478.14
City Main Utility Meter2	City Account 2of4 (0270300 - 10077976)				\$9,214.27	137,238,000	2,140,000	\$9,112.03	139,362,000	2,124,000	\$9,043.87	141,423,000	2,061,000	\$8,775.49	143,446,000	2,037,000	\$8,673.25	23,746,000	\$100,947.77
City Main Utility Meter3	City Account 3of4 (0270300 - 10077977)				\$25,041.39	101,642,000	1,168,000	\$23,418.33	102,017,000	375,000	\$20,040.15	102,235,000	218,000	\$19,371.33	102,566,000	331,000	\$19,852.71	10,429,000	\$252,184.64
City Main Utility Meter4	City Account 4of4 (0270300 - 10077978)				\$28,204.78	316,745,000	4,311,000	\$21,231.16	318,257,000	1,512,000	\$9,307.42	319,174,000	917,000	\$6,772.72	320,166,000	992,000	\$7,092.22	38,588,000	\$198,400.92
City Mains Total					\$94,832.07	15,013,000	\$85,255.59	15,013,000	10,496,000	\$66,013.17	10,496,000	\$60,324.81	9,334,000	\$61,083.05	148,596,000	\$874,011.47			
% Difference Submeter to Mains							3.7%			1.7%		15.0%		10.5%		14.8%			

Carma Metering Report - MM0781 - Lansdowne Stadium - Water - 2024

Less than 20% of previous month		More than 20% of previous month		Percentage (%)	January		February		March		April		May		June		July		August		September										
Tenant Name	Tenant Number	CARMA Plus Meter Number	Service Description [Real Meter]		End Read Date: Feb 14		End Read Date: Mar 5		End Read Date: Apr 4		End Read Date: May 3		End Read Date: Jun 2		End Read Date: Jul 1		End Read Date: Aug 1		End Read Date: Sep 30		End Read Date: Oct 31										
					Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres		Units = Litres								
					Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption	Reading	Consumption							
City-Ottawa-Aberdeen	City-Aber	No Reader	[No Meter] 1" Mdl-70-Badger in Aberdeen SE Fire Room	100	35,196,700	38,700	35,328,400	131,700	8848.58	35,408,100	79,700	5531.56	35,492,000	83,900	5538.47	35,746,400	254,400	51,480.11	35,920,900	174,500	5925.27	36,019,600	98,700	5543.06	36,121,000	101,400	5592.55	36,244,400	123,400	5735.37	
City-Ottawa-Horticulture	City-Hort	E249M01	[E249M01] 1.5in T-10 (2.5in pipe) in Horticulture 1st Fl Mechanical Room	100	12,076,400	63,800	12,163,300	86,900	5559.92	12,234,800	71,500	5476.87	12,319,000	84,200	5540.40	12,445,800	126,800	5737.73	12,593,400	147,600	5782.64	12,694,200	100,800	5554.61	12,783,200	89,000	5520.09	12,886,800	103,600	5617.37	
City-Ottawa-Plaza	City-Plaza	E249M02	[E249M02] 3in HPT (3in pipe) in Garage Room P161	100	2,362,700	0	2,362,700	0	50.00	2,362,700	0	50.00	2,364,000	1,300	58.34	2,379,300	15,300	589.02	2,393,500	14,200	575.29	2,405,500	12,000	566.03	2,414,400	8,900	552.01	2,427,400	13,000	577.47	
City-Ottawa-Serv-Bunk-Ice-Rink	City-Serv-Bunk	No Reader	[No Meter] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Urban Park East Court Manhole	100	90,135,137	0	90,153,671	18,534	5119.42	90,153,700	31	50.21	91,228,877	1,075,175	56,900.47	95,280,237	4,051,360	523,571.00	98,478,137	3,197,900	516,956.62	104,001,615	5,523,478	530,390.80	106,566,599	2,564,984	514,989.05	108,027,897	1,461,298	58,708.18	
I-Office BTB_REIT	Office-I	E921M00	[E257M02] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Garage Bldg 1 Fire Room P119	100	63,530,010	484,070	63,228.25	64,041,010	511,000	33,292.52	64,620,120	579,110	33,862.36	65,293,274	673,154	34,320.30	66,067,715	774,441	34,505.74	66,867,910	800,195	34,242.97	67,595,080	727,170	34,000.97	68,240,480	645,400	33,771.54	68,881,310	640,830	33,818.84
A-Condo Vibe OCSCC 967	Res-A-Condo	E910M00	[E18M08] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Garage Bldg A Fire Room P144B	100	40,746,400	339,200	40,407,200	341,500	52,200.38	41,422,700	334,800	52,232.94	41,725,500	302,800	51,943.37	42,181,100	455,600	52,650.70	42,689,300	508,200	52,694.69	43,228,300	539,000	52,965.64	43,675,700	447,400	52,614.48	44,128,200	452,500	52,696.54	
K-Condo Rideau OCSCC 1003	Res-K-Condo	E919M00	[E213M07] 3in Nep (3in pipe) in Garage Bldg K Water Room P119	100	29,459,430	368,440	29,459,430	345,700	52,227.45	30,181,810	376,680	52,512.26	30,547,860	366,050	52,349.31	30,926,520	378,660	52,203.06	31,344,780	418,260	52,217.79	31,729,210	384,430	52,115.18	32,112,640	383,430	52,240.66	32,489,690	377,050	52,246.92	
NorthTH-Condo OCSCC 1010	Res-NTH-Condo	E909M00	[TH-Total] Virtual Meter Total of Townhomes	100	29,459,430	368,440	29,459,430	345,700	52,227.45	30,181,810	376,680	52,512.26	30,547,860	366,050	52,349.31	30,926,520	378,660	52,203.06	31,344,780	418,260	52,217.79	31,729,210	384,430	52,115.18	32,112,640	383,430	52,240.66	32,489,690	377,050	52,246.92	
A-Retail Trinity	Ret-A-Retail	E911M00	[E160M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	5,296,700	35,000	5,296,700	30,000	5193.30	5,350,100	23,400	5156.07	5,375,700	25,600	5164.30	5,418,100	42,400	5246.69	5,500,700	82,600	5437.98	5,526,700	26,000	5143.05	5,552,600	25,900	5151.35	5,579,400	26,800	5159.71	
B-Retail Trinity	Ret-B-Retail	E912M00	[E162M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	68,022,400	294,500	68,164,000	294,300	51,896.26	68,593,900	277,200	51,848.78	68,937,000	343,100	52,202.01	69,198,800	981,800	55,712.16	71,398,400	1,479,600	57,845.47	73,126,900	1,728,500	59,510.40	74,649,500	1,523,000	58,899.99	75,859,400	1,209,500	57,207.67	
C-Retail Trinity	Ret-C-Retail	E913M00	[E137M04] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	77,351,300	607,400	77,000,000	740,200	54,769.33	78,774,500	683,000	54,555.25	79,359,200	584,700	53,752.60	80,059,000	699,800	54,071.47	80,888,900	929,900	54,930.72	82,018,200	1,029,300	55,663.33	83,059,100	1,040,900	56,082.73	83,785,500	726,400	54,328.77	
D-Retail Trinity	Ret-D-Retail	E914M00	[E156M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	36,833,000	479,600	36,353,400	503,100	53,241.62	37,785,600	449,500	52,997.93	38,268,900	483,300	53,101.82	38,797,100	528,200	53,073.09	39,316,600	519,500	52,754.61	39,765,100	448,800	52,467.70	40,215,400	450,300	52,631.43	40,658,400	443,000	52,639.93	
G-Retail Trinity	Ret-G-Retail	E915M00	[E139M08] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	123,106,400	1,033,300	123,106,400	1,064,800	58,860.82	125,256,000	1,084,800	57,235.04	126,360,200	1,104,200	57,086.75	127,702,200	1,342,000	57,807.82	129,204,200	1,502,000	57,964.24	130,582,100	1,377,900	57,581.36	131,951,600	1,369,500	58,002.98	133,307,400	1,355,800	58,079.50	
H-Retail Trinity	Ret-H-Retail	E916M00	[E155M02] 1st-Flr- Tenants 1.5in T-10 and [E154M01] 2nd-Flr- WholeFoods (4in pipe)	100	104,173,200	1,095,800	104,173,200	1,042,700	56,718.42	106,172,300	956,400	56,378.68	107,054,100	881,800	55,659.39	108,028,700	974,600	55,670.27	109,038,500	1,009,800	55,354.39	110,052,800	1,014,300	55,580.79	111,013,500	960,700	55,614.06	111,967,100	953,600	55,682.70	
J-Retail Trinity	Ret-J-Retail	E917M00	[E133M05] 2in GWF (2in pipe) in Arena Service Level Boiler Room	100	69,853,340	652,090	69,853,340	651,180	54,195.74	71,129,660	625,140	54,169.35	71,755,560	625,900	54,017.02	72,375,960	620,400	53,609.52	72,967,730	591,770	53,137.81	73,538,920	571,190	53,142.75	74,119,040	580,120	53,390.06	74,884,230	565,190	53,555.85	
Stadium OSEG Stadium	Stad-OSEG	No Reader	[No Meter] North Stad 4in HPT (4in pipe) in North Side SE Room	100	1,165,096,000	3,806,000	1,165,096,000	4,052,000	526,108.22	1,173,250,000	4,102,000	527,558.17	1,177,900,000	4,650,000	529,843.68	1,181,723,000	3,823,000	522,242.39	1,184,955,000	3,232,000	517,137.43	1,187,932,000	2,977,000	513,379.79	1,191,752,000	3,320,000	519,401.16	1,195,268,000	4,016,000	523,932.19	
		No Reader	[No Meter] South Stad 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Urban Park East Court Manhole	100	76,135,000	55,000	76,135,000	78,000	5502.58	76,386,000	179,000	51153.82	77,194,000	808,000	55,185.74	79,202,000	2,008,000	51,682.64	81,279,000	2,077,000	51,103.13	83,607,000	2,328,000	52,808.92	85,141,000	1,534,000	56,964.27	86,541,000	1,400,000	58,342.89	
		E917M00	[E133M05] 2in GWF (2in pipe) in Arena Service Level Boiler Room	-100	69,853,340	0	69,853,340	0	50.00	70,504,520	0	50.00	71,129,660	0	50.00	72,375,960	0	50.00	72,967,730	0	50.00	73,538,920	0	50.00	74,119,040	0	50.00	74,884,230	0	50.00	
Stadium Public Realm	Stad-PubRealm	Total			3,861,000	525,748.94		4,130,000	526,610.80		4,275,000	528,511.99		4,458,000	535,029.42		4,630,000	543,958.02		4,800,000	553,506.56		4,970,000	563,000.00		5,140,000	572,500.00		5,310,000	581,500.00	
		E915M01	[E144M02] 1in Mdl-70-Badger (2in pipe) in Bldg G 1st Fl Fire Room f.f. E139M08	100	13,982,850	0	13,982,850	0	50.00	13,982,850	0	50.00	14,011,540	28,690	184.13	14,106,800	95,260	554.23	14,212,200	205,400	510.89.12	14,492,460	180,260	509.81	14,694,830	202,370	511,825.59	14,900,720	205,890	512,276.94	
		E922M00	[E252M06] 1in Dwyer (1.5in pipe) in Garage Bldg 1 Fire Room P119	100	8,181,176	0	8,181,176	0	50.00	8,181,176	0	50.00	8,210,489	29,313	5188.13	8,278,222	67,733	5394.07	8,312,690	34,468	5182.76	8,362,584	49,894	5274.52	8,428,863	66,279	5387.32	8,484,588	55,725	5332.07	
		E923M00	[E213M05] 0.75" Dwyer WMT2-A-C-03 in Garage Near RB player entrance vent s	100	2,058,121	0	2,058,121	0	50.00	2,058,121	0	50.00	2,074,981	16,860	5108.21	2,126,060	51,079	5297.18	2,177,589	51,525	5273.23	2,228,362	50,773	5279.36	2,277,866	49,504	5289.29	2,317,199	49,333	5234.39	
City Total	Office Total	Residential Total	Retail Total	Stadium / DSEG Total	Metered Total	City Main Utility Meter1	City Main Utility Meter2	City Main Utility Meter3	City Main Utility Meter4	City Mains Total	% Difference Submeter to Mains																				

Carma Metering Report - MM0781 - Lansdowne Stadium - Water - 2024

Less than 20% of previous month		More than 20% of previous month		Percentage (%)	October			November			December			Total YTD			
Tenant Name	Tenant Number	CARMA Plus Meter Number	Service Description [Real Meter]		End Read Date: Oct 30	Units = Litres		Rate \$/unit \$0.0063	End Read Date: Nov 1	Units = Litres		Rate \$/unit #DIV/0!	End Read Date: Dec 1		Units = Litres		Rate \$/unit #DIV/0!
						Reading	Consumption			Cost	Reading				Consumption	Cost	
City-Ottawa-Aberdeen	City-Aber	No Reader	[No Meter] 1" Mdl-70-Badger in Aberdeen SE Fire Room	100	36,398,000	153,600	\$970.22	0	-36,398,000	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-35,158,000			
			Total		36,398,000	153,600	\$970.22	0	-36,398,000	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-35,158,000			
City-Ottawa-Horticulture	City-Hort	E249M01	[E249M01] 1.5in T-10 (2.5in pipe) in Horticulture 1st Fl Mechanical Room	100	12,962,800	96,900	\$480.06			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	950,200			
			Total		12,962,800	96,900	\$480.06			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	950,200			
City-Ottawa-Plaza	City-Plaza	E249M02	[E249M02] 3in HPT (3in pipe) in Garage Room P161	100	2,434,400	7,000	\$44.22			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	71,700			
			Total		2,434,400	7,000	\$44.22			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	71,700			
City-Ottawa-Serv-Bunk-Ice-Rink	City-Serv-Bunk	No Reader	[No Meter] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Urban Park East Court Manhole	100	108,986,140	958,243	\$6,052.80		-108,986,140	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-90,135,137			
			Total		108,986,140	958,243	\$6,052.80		-108,986,140	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-90,135,137			
I-Office BTB_REIT	Office-I	E921M00	[E257M02] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Garage Bldg F Fire Room P119	100	69,402,180	520,870	\$3,290.11			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	6,356,240			
			Total		69,402,180	520,870	\$3,290.11			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	6,356,240			
A-Condo Vibe OCSCC 967	Res-A-Condo	E910M00	[E218M08] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Garage Bldg A Fire Room P144B	100	44,504,700	376,500	\$2,378.19			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	4,097,500			
			Total		44,504,700	376,500	\$2,378.19			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	4,097,500			
K-Condo Rideau OCSCC 1003	Res-K-Condo	E919M00	[E213M07] 3in Nep (3in pipe) in Garage Bldg K Water Room P119	100	3,412,936,000	811,900	\$5,122.73			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	9,709,630			
			Total		3,412,936,000	811,900	\$5,122.73			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	9,709,630			
NorthTH-Condo OCSCC 1010	Res-NTH-Condo	E909M00	[TH-Total] Virtual Meter Total of Townhomes	100	32,873,440	383,750	\$2,423.98			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	3,782,450			
			Total		32,873,440	383,750	\$2,423.98			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	3,782,450			
A-Retail Trinity	Ret-A-Retail	E911M00	[E160M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	5,604,800	25,400	\$160.44			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	343,100			
			Total		5,604,800	25,400	\$160.44			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	343,100			
B-Retail Trinity	Ret-B-Retail	E912M00	[E162M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	76,497,500	638,100	\$4,030.60			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	8,769,600			
			Total		76,497,500	638,100	\$4,030.60			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	8,769,600			
C-Retail Trinity	Ret-C-Retail	E913M00	[E137M04] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	84,418,000	632,500	\$3,995.23			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	7,674,100			
			Total		84,418,000	632,500	\$3,995.23			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	7,674,100			
D-Retail Trinity	Ret-D-Retail	E914M00	[E156M05] 1.5in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	41,064,300	405,900	\$2,563.89			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	4,710,900			
			Total		41,064,300	405,900	\$2,563.89			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	4,710,900			
G-Retail Trinity	Ret-G-Retail	E915M00	[E139M08] 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in 1st Fl Fire Room	100	134,554,000	1,246,600	\$7,874.23			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	12,480,900			
		E915M01	[E144M02] 1in Mdl-70-Badger (2in pipe) in Bldg G 1st Fl Fire Room f.f. E139M08	-100	15,005,280	0	\$0.00			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	0			
			Total		1,246,600	1,246,600	\$7,874.23			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	12,480,900			
H-Retail Trinity	Ret-H-Retail	E916M00	[E155M02] 1st-Flr. Tenants 1.5in T-10 and [E154M01] 2nd-Flr. WholeFoods (4in	100	112,984,800	1,017,700	\$6,428.37			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	9,907,400			
			Total		112,984,800	1,017,700	\$6,428.37			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	9,907,400			
J-Retail Trinity	Ret-J-Retail	E917M00	[E133M05] 2in GWF (2in pipe) in Arena Service Level Boiler Room	100	75,901,250	917,020	\$5,792.42			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	6,700,000			
			Total		75,901,250	917,020	\$5,792.42			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	6,700,000			
Stadium OSEG Stadium	Stad-OSEG	No Reader	[No Meter] North Stad 4in HPT (4in pipe) in North Side SE Room	100	1,199,390,000	4,122,000	\$26,036.88		-1,199,390,000	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-1,161,290,000			
		No Reader	[No Meter] South Stad 2in T-10 (4in pipe) in Urban Park East Court Manhole	100	87,676,000	1,137,000	\$7,181.93		-87,676,000	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-76,080,000			
		E917M00	[E133M05] 2in GWF (2in pipe) in Arena Service Level Boiler Room	-100	75,901,250	0	\$0.00		0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	0			
			Total		1,287,066,000	5,259,000	\$33,218.82		-1,287,066,000	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-1,237,370,000			
Stadium Public Realm	Stad-PubRealm	E915M01	[E144M02] 1in Mdl-70-Badger (2in pipe) in Bldg G 1st Fl Fire Room f.f. E139M08	100	15,005,280	104,560	\$660.46			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	1,022,430			
		E922M00	[E252M06] 1in Dwyer (1.5in pipe) in Garage Bldg F Fire Room P119	100	8,507,538	22,950	\$144.96			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	326,362			
		E923M00	[E213M05] 0.75" Dwyer WMT2-A-C-03 in Garage Near RB player entrance vent s	100	2,317,202	4	\$0.02			#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	259,081			
			Total		127,514	805.45				#DIV/0!			#DIV/0!	1,607,873			
City Total			City Total		1,194,843	\$7,547.30		-145,384,140	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-124,271,237				
Office Total			Office Total		520,870	\$3,290.11		0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	6,356,240				
Residential Total			Residential Total		1,571,250	\$9,924.90		0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	17,589,570				
Retail Total			Retail Total		4,883,220	\$30,845.18		0	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	50,586,000				
Stadium / OSEG Total			Stadium / OSEG Total		5,386,514	\$34,024.26		-1,287,066,000	#DIV/0!	0	0	#DIV/0!	-1,235,762,127				
Metered Total			Metered Total		13,556,697	\$85,631.76		-1,432,452,140	#VALUE!	0	0	#VALUE!	-1,285,501,554				
City Main Utility Meter1	Bank - 50mm Low Flow		City Account 10f4 (0270300 - 10077975)		10,510,000	2,654,000	\$11,567.45		\$0.00					50,804,000			
City Main Utility Meter2	Holmwood - 50mm Low Flow		City Account 20f4 (0270300 - 10077976)		164,397,000	2,161,000	\$9,417.97		\$0.00					20,937,000			
City Main Utility Meter3	Bank - 200mm High Flow		City Account 30f4 (0270300 - 10077977)		116,950,000	1,215,000	\$25,735.94		\$0.00					14,384,000			
City Main Utility Meter4	Holmwood - 200mm High Flow		City Account 40f4 (0270300 - 10077978)		376,864,000	5,941,000	\$28,894.64		\$0.00					56,698,000			
City Mains Total					11,971,000	\$75,615.60		1	\$0.00		1	\$0.00		142,833,000			
% Difference Submeter to Mains					11.7%			100.0%						13.3%			



Proposed North Stands
Fire Flow Requirements Based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) 2020

1. An estimate of the Fire Flow required for a given fire area may be estimated by:

$$F = 220 C \sqrt{A}$$

- F = required fire flow in litres per minute
- C = coefficient related to the type of construction
 - 1.5 for **Type V** Wood Frame Construction
 - 0.8 for **Type IV-A** Mass Timber Construction
 - 0.9 for **Type IV-B** Mass Timber Construction
 - 1.0 for **Type IV-C** Mass Timber Construction
 - 1.5 for **Type IV-D** Mass Timber Construction
 - 1.0 for **Type III** Ordinary Construction
 - 0.8 for **Type II** Noncombustible Construction
 - 0.6 for **Type I** Fire resistive Construction

A =2-b) The single largest Floor Area plus 25% of each of the two immediately adjoining floors

A = 9318.1 m²

C = 0.6

F = 12742.0 L/min

rounded off to 13,000 L/min (min value of 2000 L/min)

2. The value obtained in 1. may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having a low contents fire hazard.

Non-combustible	-25%
Limited Combustible	-15%
Combustible	0%
Free Burning	15%
Rapid Burning	25%

Reduction due to low occupancy hazard -25% x 13,000 = 9,750 L/min

3. The value obtained in 2. may be reduced by as much as 50% for buildings equipped with automatic sprinkler protection.

Adequate Sprinkler confirms to NFPA13	-30%
Water supply common for sprinklers & fire hoses	-10%
Fully supervised system	-10%
No Automatic Sprinkler System	0%

Reduction due to Sprinkler System -50% x 9,750 = 4,875 L/min

4. The value obtained in 2. is increased for structures exposed within 45 metres by the fire area under consideration.

Separation	Charge
0 to 3 m	25%
3.1 to 10 m	20%
10.1 to 20 m	15%
20.1 to 30 m	10%
30.1 to 45 m	0%

Side 1	10	0% north side	(fire resistive wall with residential towers)
Side 2	16	0% east side	(fire resistive wall with Event Centre)
Side 3	85	0% south side	
Side 4	13	15% west side	
		15%	(Total shall not exceed 75%)

Increase due to separation 15% x 9,750 = 1,463 L/min

5. The flow requirement is the value obtained in 2., minus the reduction in 3., plus the addition in 4.

- The fire flow requirement is 6,000 L/min (Rounded to nearest 1000 L/min)
- or 100 L/sec
- or 1,585 gpm (us)
- or 1,320 gpm (uk)



Proposed Event Centre
Fire Flow Requirements Based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) 2020

1. An estimate of the Fire Flow required for a given fire area may be estimated by:

$$F = 220 C \sqrt{A}$$

- F = required fire flow in litres per minute
- C = coefficient related to the type of construction
 - 1.5 for **Type V** Wood Frame Construction
 - 0.8 for **Type IV-A** Mass Timber Construction
 - 0.9 for **Type IV-B** Mass Timber Construction
 - 1.0 for **Type IV-C** Mass Timber Construction
 - 1.5 for **Type IV-D** Mass Timber Construction
 - 1.0 for **Type III** Ordinary Construction
 - 0.8 for **Type II** Noncombustible Construction
 - 0.6 for **Type I** Fire resistive Construction

A =2-b) The single largest Floor Area plus 25% of each of the two immediately adjoining floors

A = 7926.3 m²

C = 0.6

F = 11751.9 L/min

rounded off to 12,000 L/min (min value of 2000 L/min)

2. The value obtained in 1. may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having a low contents fire hazard.

Non-combustible	-25%
Limited Combustible	-15%
Combustible	0%
Free Burning	15%
Rapid Burning	25%

Reduction due to low occupancy hazard -25% x 12,000 = 9,000 L/min

3. The value obtained in 2. may be reduced by as much as 50% for buildings equipped with automatic sprinkler protection.

Adequate Sprinkler confirms to NFPA13	-30%
Water supply common for sprinklers & fire hoses	-10%
Fully supervised system	-10%
No Automatic Sprinkler System	0%

Reduction due to Sprinkler System -50% x 9,000 = 4,500 L/min

4. The value obtained in 2. is increased for structures exposed within 45 metres by the fire area under consideration.

<u>Separation</u>	<u>Charge</u>
0 to 3 m	25%
3.1 to 10 m	20%
10.1 to 20 m	15%
20.1 to 30 m	10%
30.1 to 45 m	0%

Side 1	85	0% north side
Side 2	100	0% east side
Side 3	100	0% south side
Side 4	16	0% west side (fire resistive wall separation with North Stands)
		0% (Total shall not exceed 75%)

Increase due to separation 0% x 9,000 = 0 L/min

5. The flow requirement is the value obtained in 2., minus the reduction in 3., plus the addition in 4.

- The fire flow requirement is 5,000 L/min (Rounded to nearest 1000 L/min)
- or **83 L/sec**
- or 1,321 gpm (us)
- or 1,100 gpm (uk)

Building	Retail (m ²)	Residential		Office (m ²)	Estimated WTR / SAN / STM per Mechanical Eng.				Estimated Per City of Ottawa Design Guidelines					Notes	
		# towns	# apts		WTR (L/s)	FIRE (L/s)	SAN (L/s)	STM (L/s)	AVG (L/s)	WTR MAX. DAY (L/s)	PEAK HR (L/s)	FIRE (L/s)	SAN (L/s)		STM (L/s)
A	4,129	7	50		16.7		5.4	8.3	0.6	1.3	2.7	150	2.5	8.6	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
B	5,401	15			6.9		5.7	8.6	0.3	0.6	1.3	150	1.6	11.1	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
C	9,262	11			13.9		5.4	19.6	0.4	0.7	1.4	150	2.1	10.1	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
D	2,131	7			6.3		3.8	5.2	0.1	0.3	0.6	150	0.7	4.6	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
G1	3,507				6.3		5.4	5.5	0.1	0.2	0.3	150	0.6	5.8	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail)
G2	399				5.0		2.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	150	0.1	1.3	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail)
H	7,294				9.5		500FU	9.5	0.2	0.3	0.6	150	1.3	11.7	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail)
I	2,505			8,361					0.9	1.3	2.3	150	1.6	8.1	
J	1,220								0.0	0.1	0.1	150	0.2	4.3	
J - Salon	3,425								0.1	0.1	0.3	150	0.6	N/A	Roof covered in North Stands flow.
K			190						1.4	3.5	7.6	150	5.5	5.3	
North Stands									2.8	4.2	7.6	150	7.6	219.2	No City standard for estimating flow from stadium / civic centre. Used monitored data
South Stands					25.2	31.5	11.6	211	2.8	4.2	7.5	150	11.6	212.0	No City standard for estimating flow from stadium / civic centre. Used monitored data
Civil Centre									1.9	2.9	5.2	150	5.2	N/A	No City standard for estimating flow from stadium / civic centre. Used monitored data
Aberdeen	4,098								0.1	0.2	0.3	150	0.7	N/A	Peaked Roof, storm runoff included in surface drainage.
Horticulture	1,591								0.0	0.1	0.1	150	0.3	N/A	Peaked Roof, storm runoff included in surface drainage.
Total	44,962	40	240	8,361	89.9	31.5	39.8	270.1	11.8	19.9	38.0		42.1	502.2	

Notes

- Retail floor areas for buildings A, B, C, D, G1, G2, H, I, J, J - Salon provided by Perkins Eastman - November 18, 2011. Above table uses total GFA.
- Residential for Buildings A, B, C, D, and K component extracted from RFO Addendum 3 - October 20, 2011 as follows:
 - Parcel A1 = Residential Tower above Bldg A. 240units (280units max less townhomes) proportionate between Bldg A and K. Therefore, 240units x 66,000/316,000 = 50units.
 - Parcel A2 = Townhomes abutting buildings A, B, C, D. Assuming 1,225sq.ft townhomes = 40units. Divided between buildings per ground floor area shown on Perkins Eastman November 19, 2011 merchandising plan.
 - Bldg A = 3,426/19,104 x 40 = 7 units
 - Bldg B = 7,188/19,104 = 15 units
 - Bldg C = 5,096/19,104 = 11 units
 - Bldg D = 3,394/19,104 = 7units
 - Parcel B = Office tower above Building I, 90,000sq.ft.
 - Parcel C = Building K 240units (280units max less townhomes) proportionate between Bldg A and K. Therefore, 240units x 250,000/316,000 = 190units.
- Mech. Eng. Servicing for Bldgs A, B, C, D, G1, G2, H provided by LKM, dated July 19, 2011. Revised Storm and Sanitary flow per November 29, 2011 email.
- City of Ottawa rates were estimated accordingly

Water Supply

Retail: Average Day 2.5L/m²/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 1.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 2.7

Residential:

Townhouse Avg Day = 2.7p/unit x 350m³/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 2.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 5.5

Apartment Avg Day = 1.8p/unit x 350m³/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 2.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 5.5

Office: Average Day 75L/9.3m²/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 1.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 2.7

North and South Stands: City of Ottawa completed Flow Monitoring in 2005. A peak dry weather flow for a capacity game was recorded to be 15.1L/s.

Report titled "Lansdowne Park - 2005, Combined Sewer Flow Monitoring Report," G.A. Clark & Associates Limited, Proj. No: 200524

Interpolated Average Day, Max Day and, Peak Hour accordingly: Peak Hour = 15.1L/s, Max Day = Peak Hour / 1.8, Average Day = Peak Hour / 2.7

North and South stands flow proportioned by number of seating: North Stands = 14,542 South Stands = 14,284, as described in Lansdowne Park information material.

Civil Centre: Flow monitoring completed in 2005 indicated a peak a 4L/s. However, this recorded flow did not account for wastewater directed to Holmwood.

Civil Centre Flow estimated based on Stadium monitored flow and seating: 9,836 / 28,826 x 15.1 = 5.2L/s

Interpolated Average Day, Max Day and, Peak Hour accordingly: Peak Hour = 5.2L/s, Max Day = Peak Hour / 1.8, Average Day = Peak Hour / 2.7

Wastewater

Retail: Average Day 5L/m²/d x 24hour day / 12hour operation, Peak = Average Day x 1.5

Residential:

Townhouse Avg Day = 2.7p/unit x 350m³/d, Peak = Avg Day x 3.95

Apartment Avg Day = 1.8p/unit x 350m³/d, Peak = Avg Day x 3.95

Office: Average Day 75L/9.3m²/d, Peak = Avg Day x 1.5

North and South Stands: City of Ottawa completed Flow Monitoring in 2005. A peak dry weather flow for a capacity game was recorded to be 15.1L/s.

Report titled "Lansdowne Park - 2005, Combined Sewer Flow Monitoring Report," G.A. Clark & Associates Limited, Proj. No: 200524

Peak flow interpreted as peak monitored flow (15.1L/s)

North stands flow proportioned by number of seating: North Stands = 14,542 South Stands = 14,284, as described in Lansdowne Park information material.

Civil Centre: Flow monitoring completed in 2005 indicated a peak a 4L/s. However, this recorded flow did not account for wastewater directed to Holmwood.

Civil Centre Flow estimated based on Stadium monitored flow and seating: 9,836 / 28,826 x 15.1 = 5.2L/s

South Stands - Mechanical Consultant provided estimated peak Wastewater Flow Rate (Smith and Anderson (2011-12-02) servicing sketch)

Storm

See Separate Analysis - Estimated per City of Ottawa IDF curves and Control Flow roof drains where appropriate

North and South Stands assumed to have roof drains sized to accommodate 5-year storm only. To be confirmed by DSEL through modeling.

The proposed water supply network is illustration on **Drawing C01003** and the associated hydraulic analysis is located **Appendix B. Table 3** summarizes the anticipated Water Demand and Boundary Conditions under proposed conditions.

Table 4
Water Demand and Boundary Conditions
Proposed Conditions

Design Parameter	Anticipated Demand ¹ (L/s)	Boundary Condition ² (m H ₂ O / kPa)
Average Daily Demand	11.8	115.6 / 481.7
Max Day + Fire Flow	19.9 + 150 = 169.9	106.4 / 391.4
Peak Hour	38.0	103.1 / 359.0
1) Water demand calculation per Water Supply Guidelines. See Appendix B for detailed calculations. 2) Boundary conditions supplied by the City of Ottawa. Assumed ground elevation 65.50m . See Appendix B .		

3.3 Fire Flow Requirements


Section 4.2.11 of the City Design guidelines for water distribution provides guidance for determining the method for estimating Fire Demand. As indicated, the requirements for levels of fire protection on private property are covered in the Ontario Building code. Section 7.2.11 of the OBC addresses the installation of water service pipes and fire service mains. Part 3 of the OBC outlines the requirement for Fire Protection, Occupant Safety, and Accessibility; and sub-section A-3.2.5.7 provides the provisions for fire fighting. Based on trained personnel responding to the emergency, and water supply being delivered through a municipal system, the required minimum provision for water supply shall not be less than 2,700L/min or greater than 9000L/min (OBC Section A.3.2.5.7, Table 2). Therefore, a conservative estimate for the required fire supply is 9000L/min (150L/s). A certified fire protection system specialist shall be employed to design the building fire suppression system(s) and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

City of Ottawa completed fire hydrant testing in **2007**. The testing indicated that water supply is available between **8,610/min** and **11,610L/min** at **140kPa**.

3.4 Water Supply Conclusion

Anticipated water demand under proposed conditions were submitted to the City of Ottawa for establishing boundary conditions considering the existing and proposed zoning.

As demonstrated in **Table 4**, the recommended pressure range is respected during Maximum Day plus Fire Flow as well as Peak Hour demands. A pressure check should be conducted at the completion of construction to determine if pressure control is required.



CONNECTION 1

~360m TO NORTH STANDS
~395m TO EVENT CENTRE

NEW NORTH SIDE STANDS (PHASE 2)

EVENT CENTRE (PHASE 1)

~125m

CONNECTION 2

~415m



Table A1 - 200mm Fire Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS	COMMENTS
<p>Average Day Flow: Project Area = 1 Ha</p> <p>ADF_{BLDG} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p> <p>ADF_{TOTAL} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of ADF</p>
<p>Maximum Day Flow: Maximum Day Factor = 2.50</p> <p>MDF_{BLDG} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p> <p>MDF_{TOTAL} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of MDF</p>
<p>Peak Hour Flow: Peak Hour Factor = 2.20</p> <p>PHF_{BLDG} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p> <p>PHF_{TOTAL} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of PHF</p>
<p>Fire Flow = 83 L/s</p> <p>Max Day + Fire Flow > Peak Hour Flow = 97 L/s > 29.73 L/s</p>	<p>The FUS (2020) calculated Fire Flow</p> <p>Max Day + Fire Flow for sizing calculations - Note: No upgrade to existing network Required</p>
<p>Maximum Pressure = 552 kPa Minimum Pressure = 276 kPa Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow = 140 kPa Existing Static Pressure = 481 kPa Existing Residual Pressure = 415 kPa</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave</p>
<p>Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters</p> <p>Design Flow = 97 L/s Length = 395 m C = 110 Inside Diameter of Watermain = 204 mm</p> <p>Solve for Friction Headloss = 21.17 m Static Head = 1.80 m Total Headloss = 22.97 m = 225 kPa</p> <p>Residual Pressure for Site = 190 kPa > 140 kPa</p>	<p>MDF + Fire Flow from above Measured (length from the Holmwood Watermain to Building Connection) As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.</p> <p>Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)</p> <p>Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss</p>
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Holmwood Ave from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p>	
<p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building fire sprinkler demand and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>	
<p>Designed By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Project: Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - Event Centre</p>
<p>Checked By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Location: 1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON</p>
<p>Project Number: CA0033920.1056</p>	<p>Dwg. Reference:</p>



Table A2 - 200mm Domestic Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS			COMMENTS
Average Day Flow:			
Project Area	Ha		
ADF _{BLDG} =	467,424 L/d	= 5.41 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
ADF _{TOTAL} =	467,424 L/d	= 5.41 L/s	Sum of ADF
Maximum Day Flow:			
Maximum Day Factor =	2.50		
MDF _{BLDG} =	1,168,128 L/d	= 13.52 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
MDF _{TOTAL} =	1,168,128 L/d	= 13.52 L/s	Sum of MDF
Peak Hour Flow:			
Peak Hour Factor =	2.20		
PHF _{BLDG} =	2,568,672 L/d	= 29.73 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
PHF _{TOTAL} =	2,568,672 L/d	= 29.73 L/s	Sum of PHF
Maximum Pressure =	552 kPa		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Minimum Pressure =	276 kPa		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow =	140 kPa		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Existing Static Pressure =	481 kPa		Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave
Existing Residual Pressure =	394 kPa		Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave
Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters			
Design Flow =	29.73 L/s		From above - Peak Hour Flow
Length =	395 m		Measured (length from the Holmwood Watermain to Building Connection)
C =	110		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Inside Diameter of Watermain =	204 mm		Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.
Solve for Friction Headloss =	2.39 m		Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation
Static Head =	1.80 m		Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)
Total Headloss =	4.19 m	= 41 kPa	
Residual Pressure for Site =	353 kPa	> 276 kPa	Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Holmwood Ave from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p> <p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building Peak Hour Flow and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>			
Designed By:		Project:	
Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.		Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - Event Centre	
Checked By:		Location:	
Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.		1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON	
Project Number:		Dwg. Reference:	
CA0033920.1056			



Table A3 - 200mm Fire Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS			COMMENTS
Average Day Flow:			
Project Area	Ha		
ADF _{BLDG} =	467,424 L/d	= 5.41 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
ADF _{TOTAL} =	467,424 L/d	= 5.41 L/s	Sum of ADF
Maximum Day Flow:			
Maximum Day Factor =	2.50		
MDF _{BLDG} =	1,168,128 L/d	= 13.52 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
MDF _{TOTAL} =	1,168,128 L/d	= 13.52 L/s	Sum of MDF
Peak Hour Flow:			
Peak Hour Factor =	2.20		
PHF _{BLDG} =	2,568,672 L/d	= 29.73 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
PHF _{TOTAL} =	2,568,672 L/d	= 29.7 L/s	Sum of PHF
Fire Flow =	83 L/s		The FUS (2020) calculated Fire Flow
Max Day + Fire Flow > Peak Hour Flow =	96.5 L/s	> 29.7 L/s	Max Day + Fire Flow for sizing calculations - Note: No upgrade to existing network Required
Maximum Pressure =	552 kPa		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Minimum Pressure =	276 kPa		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow =	140 kPa		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Existing Static Pressure =	466 kPa		Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street
Existing Residual Pressure =	386 kPa		Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street
Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters			
Design Flow =	96.5 L/s		MDF + Fire Flow from above
Length =	415 m		Measured (length from the Bank Watermain to Building Connection)
C =	110		As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Inside Diameter of Watermain =	204 mm		Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.
Solve for Friction Headloss =	22.24 m		Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation
Static Head =	0.20 m		Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)
Total Headloss =	22.44 m	= 220 kPa	
Residual Pressure for Site =	166 kPa	> 140 kPa	Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Bank Street from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p> <p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building fire sprinkler demand and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>			
Designed By:		Project:	
Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.		Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - Event Centre	
Checked By:		Location:	
Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.		1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON	
Project Number:		Dwg. Reference:	
CA0033920.1056			



Table A4 - 200mm Domestic Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS	COMMENTS
<p>Average Day Flow: Project Area = 1 Ha</p> <p>ADF_{BLDG} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p> <p>ADF_{TOTAL} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of ADF</p>
<p>Maximum Day Flow: Maximum Day Factor = 2.50</p> <p>MDF_{BLDG} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p> <p>MDF_{TOTAL} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of MDF</p>
<p>Peak Hour Flow: Peak Hour Factor = 2.20</p> <p>PHF_{BLDG} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p> <p>PHF_{TOTAL} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of PHF</p>
<p>Maximum Pressure = 552 kPa Minimum Pressure = 276 kPa Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow = 140 kPa Existing Static Pressure = 466 kPa Existing Residual Pressure = 378 kPa</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street</p>
<p>Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters</p> <p>Design Flow = 29.73 L/s Length = 415 m C = 110 Inside Diameter of Watermain = 204 mm</p> <p>Solve for Friction Headloss = 2.51 m Static Head = 0.20 m Total Headloss = 2.71 m = 27 kPa</p> <p>Residual Pressure for Site = 351 kPa > 276 kPa</p>	<p>From above - Peak Hour Flow Measured (length from the Bank Watermain to Building Connection) As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.</p> <p>Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)</p> <p>Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss</p>
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Bank Street from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p> <p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building Peak Hour Flow and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>	
<p>Designed By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Project: Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - Event Centre</p>
<p>Checked By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Location: 1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON</p>
<p>Project Number: CA0033920.1056</p>	<p>Dwg. Reference:</p>



Table B1 - 200mm Fire Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS		COMMENTS
Average Day Flow:		
Project Area	Ha	
ADF _{BLDG} =	467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
ADF _{TOTAL} =	467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s	Sum of ADF
Maximum Day Flow:		
Maximum Day Factor =	2.50	
MDF _{BLDG} =	1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
MDF _{TOTAL} =	1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s	Sum of MDF
Peak Hour Flow:		
Peak Hour Factor =	2.20	
PHF _{BLDG} =	2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0
PHF _{TOTAL} =	2,568,672 L/d = 29.7 L/s	Sum of PHF
Fire Flow =	100 L/s	The FUS (2020) calculated Fire Flow
Max Day + Fire Flow > Peak Hour Flow =	114 L/s > 29.7 L/s	Max Day + Fire Flow for sizing calculations - Note: No upgrade to existing network Required
Maximum Pressure =	552 kPa	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Minimum Pressure =	276 kPa	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow =	140 kPa	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Existing Static Pressure =	481 kPa	Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave
Existing Residual Pressure =	415 kPa	Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave
Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters		
Design Flow =	114 L/s	MDF + Fire Flow from above
Length =	360 m	Measured (length from the Holmwood Watermain to Building Connection)
C =	110	As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines
Inside Diameter of Watermain =	204 mm	Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.
Solve for Friction Headloss =	26.06 m	Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation
Static Head =	0.50 m	Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)
Total Headloss =	26.56 m = 261 kPa	
Residual Pressure for Site =	154 kPa > 140 kPa	Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Holmwood Ave from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p> <p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building fire sprinkler demand and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>		
Designed By:		Project:
Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.		Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - New North Stand
Checked By:		Location:
Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.		1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON
Project Number:		Dwg. Reference:
CA0033920.1056		



Table B2 - 200mm Domestic Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS	COMMENTS
<p>Average Day Flow: Project Area = 1 Ha</p> <p>ADF_{BLDG} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p> <p>ADF_{TOTAL} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of ADF</p>
<p>Maximum Day Flow: Maximum Day Factor = 2.50</p> <p>MDF_{BLDG} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p> <p>MDF_{TOTAL} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of MDF</p>
<p>Peak Hour Flow: Peak Hour Factor = 2.20</p> <p>PHF_{BLDG} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p> <p>PHF_{TOTAL} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.7 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of PHF</p>
<p>Maximum Pressure = 552 kPa Minimum Pressure = 276 kPa Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow = 140 kPa Existing Static Pressure = 481 kPa Existing Residual Pressure = 394 kPa</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave Boundary Condition provided by City at Holmwood Ave</p>
<p>Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters</p> <p>Design Flow = 29.7 L/s Length = 360 m C = 110 Inside Diameter of Watermain = 204 mm</p> <p>Solve for Friction Headloss = 2.18 m Static Head = 0.50 m Total Headloss = 2.68 m = 26 kPa</p> <p>Residual Pressure for Site = 368 kPa > 276 kPa</p>	<p>From above - Peak Hour Flow Measured (length from the Holmwood Watermain to Building Connection) As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.</p> <p>Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)</p> <p>Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss</p>
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Holmwood Ave from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p> <p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building Peak Hour Flow and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>	
<p>Designed By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Project: Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - New North Stand</p>
<p>Checked By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Location: 1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON</p>
<p>Project Number: CA0033920.1056</p>	<p>Dwg. Reference:</p>

Table A3 - 200mm Fire Service Pipe Sizing




WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS	COMMENTS
<p>Average Day Flow: Project Area = 1 Ha</p> <p>ADF_{BLDG} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p> <p>ADF_{TOTAL} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of ADF</p>
<p>Maximum Day Flow: Maximum Day Factor = 2.50</p> <p>MDF_{BLDG} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p> <p>MDF_{TOTAL} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of MDF</p>
<p>Peak Hour Flow: Peak Hour Factor = 2.20</p> <p>PHF_{BLDG} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p> <p>PHF_{TOTAL} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.7 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of PHF</p>
<p>Fire Flow = 100.0 L/s</p> <p>Max Day + Fire Flow > Peak Hour Flow = 113.5 L/s > 29.73 L/s</p>	<p>The FUS (2020) calculated Fire Flow</p> <p>Max Day + Fire Flow for sizing calculations - Note: No upgrade to existing network Required</p>
<p>Maximum Pressure = 552 kPa Minimum Pressure = 276 kPa Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow = 140 kPa Existing Static Pressure = 466 kPa Existing Residual Pressure = 386 kPa</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street</p>
<p>Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters</p> <p>Design Flow = 113.5 L/s Length = 125 m C = 110 Inside Diameter of Watermain = 204 mm</p> <p>Solve for Friction Headloss = 9.05 m Static Head = 0.50 m Total Headloss = 9.55 m = 94 kPa</p> <p>Residual Pressure for Site = 292 kPa > 140 kPa</p>	<p>MDF + Fire Flow from above Measured (length from the Bank Watermain to Building Connection) As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.</p> <p>Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)</p> <p>Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss</p>
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Bank Street from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p>	
<p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building fire sprinkler demand and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>	
<p>Designed By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Project: Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - New North Stand</p>
<p>Checked By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Location: 1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON</p>
<p>Project Number: CA0033920.1056</p>	<p>Dwg. Reference:</p>



Table A4 - 200mm Domestic Service Pipe Sizing

WATERMAIN SIZING CALCULATIONS	COMMENTS
<p>Average Day Flow: Project Area = 1 Ha</p> <p>ADF_{BLDG} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p> <p>ADF_{TOTAL} = 467,424 L/d = 5.41 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of ADF</p>
<p>Maximum Day Flow: Maximum Day Factor = 2.50</p> <p>MDF_{BLDG} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p> <p>MDF_{TOTAL} = 1,168,128 L/d = 13.52 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of MDF</p>
<p>Peak Hour Flow: Peak Hour Factor = 2.20</p> <p>PHF_{BLDG} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.73 L/s</p> <p>PHF_{TOTAL} = 2,568,672 L/d = 29.7 L/s</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines and Existing Consumption Data from Lansdowne 1.0</p> <p>Sum of PHF</p>
<p>Maximum Pressure = 552 kPa Minimum Pressure = 276 kPa Minimum Pressure under Fire Flow = 140 kPa Existing Static Pressure = 466 kPa Existing Residual Pressure = 378 kPa</p>	<p>As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street Boundary Condition provided by City at Bank Street</p>
<p>Hazen-Williams Equation Parameters Design Flow = 29.7 L/s Length = 100 m C = 110 Inside Diameter of Watermain = 204 mm</p> <p>Solve for Friction Headloss = 0.76 m Static Head = 0.50 m Total Headloss = 1.26 m = 12 kPa</p> <p>Residual Pressure for Site = 365 kPa > 276 kPa</p>	<p>From above - Peak Hour Flow Measured (length from the Bank Watermain to Building Connection) As per City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines Assuming a PVC DR18 Watermain is used.</p> <p>Calculated using Hazen Williams Equation Estimated elevation difference (from boundary connection to building)</p> <p>Existing Residual pressure minus total headloss</p>
<p>The residual pressure for the proposed building is calculated by subtracting the total headloss from the residual pressure measured on the connection on Bank Street from City Boundary Condition. The residual pressure for the site is above the minimum pressure for the given pipe size.</p> <p>To present a conservative scenario, the above calculations assume that the service connection must supply 100% of the building Peak Hour Flow and that the watermain would not be looped or interconnected.</p>	
<p>Designed By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Project: Lansdowne Park 2.0 Redevelopment - New North Stand</p>
<p>Checked By: Ding Bang Yang, P.Eng.</p>	<p>Location: 1015 Bank Street Ottawa, ON</p>
<p>Project Number: CA0033920.1056</p>	<p>Dwg. Reference:</p>



- LEGEND.**
- FIRE DEPT. CONNECTION
 - FIRE HYDRANT
 - GAS VALVE
 - GENERATOR

FIRE SAFETY PLAN

SITE PLAN

TD PLACE NORTH STANDS
OTTAWA, ONTARIO

NOT TO SCALE
MAY 2014

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Apartment Facing Field**

Hydrant Type: **DARLING**

Paint: **Paint to code**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **68 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **39 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **875**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2689**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Back Entrance**

Hydrant Type: **McAvity**

Paint: **Paint to code**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **70 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **44 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **929**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2499**

Color Code: **BLUE**

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Behind Apartment (Bank St)**

Hydrant Type: **DARLING**

Paint: **Paint to code**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **70 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **61 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **41 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **897**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2264**

Color Code: **BLUE**

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Behind Apartment (Parkway)**

Hydrant Type: **DARLING**

Paint: **Paint to code**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **70 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **38 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **863**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2323**

Color Code: **BLUE**

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Box Office**

Hydrant Type: **McAavity**

Paint: **OK**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **Buried**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **68 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **42 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **908**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2790**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

Isolation valve-could not locate

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Cattle Castle**

Hydrant Type: **McAvity**

Paint: **Paint to code**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **70 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **38 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **863**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2323**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Cineplex**

Hydrant Type: **DARLING**

Paint: **OK**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **66 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **61 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **38 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **86**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2739**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Field Entrance**

Hydrant Type: **McAvity**

Paint: **Paint to code**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **Partially Paved over**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **70 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **60 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **39 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **875**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2086**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **On Field**

Hydrant Type: **McAavity**

Paint: **OK**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **70 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **43 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **918**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2471**

Color Code: **BLUE**

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Goodlife**

Hydrant Type: **Darling**

Paint: **OK**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **67 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **60 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **37 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **852**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2382**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Milestones**

Hydrant Type: **DARLING**

Paint: **OK**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **OK**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **67 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **62 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **34 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **817**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2739**

Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**

HYDRANTS-R-US Inc.

Hydrants-R-Us Inc.
53 Forest Creek Drive
K2S 1M1
613-804-0088
dalton@hydrantsrus.com

Sept 20th 2022

HYDRANT INSPECTION REPORT

Owner: **Ottawa Sports and Entertainment Group (TD PLACE)**

Hydrant Location: **Sporting Life**

Hydrant Type: **DARLING**

Paint: **OK**

Stem: **OK**

O-Rings: **OK**

Top Nut: **OK**

Valve Seat: **OK**

Condition of Water: **Normal**

Isolation Valve: **Partially Paved Over**

Flow test: **Complete**

Caps: **OK**

Residual Hydrant Static Pressure: **65 PSI**

Residual Hydrant Flowing Pressure: **58 PSI**

Flowing Hydrant Pitot Pressure: **41 PSI**

Number of Ports Flowed: **1**

Nozzle Size: **2 ½ in.**

Gallons Per Minute: **897**

Gallons Per Minute at 20 PSI: **2450**


Color Code: BLUE

Remarks: **OK**



REVISIONS/ ISSUES

CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. **DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWINGS**




NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE

DRAWN	J.T
DATE	2024/08/07
CHECKED	W.Y

LANSDOWNE EC

DWG. TITLE
HYDRANT COVERAGE SKETCH

SCALE	1:750	DWG. NO.	F01
PROJ. NO.	CA0033920.1056		



APPENDIX

C

- STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET
- DWG C08 – STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN
- EXISTING STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET AND DRAINAGE AREA PLAN BY STANTEC
- SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET
- EXISTING SANITARY DESIGN SHEET BY DSEL
- CORRESPONDANCE
- DWG C04 – GRADING PLANS
- DWG C05A/C05B – SERVICING PLANS

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET
LANSDOWNNE 2.0
REDEVELOPMENT
CITY OF OTTAWA
Project: CA0033920.1056
Date: January 2025






BLDG FLOW	LOCATION		AREA (Ha)						RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW										PROPOSED SEWER DATA																				
	AREA ID	FROM	TO	C= 0.20	C= 0.35	C= 0.75	C= 0.80	C= 0.90	C= 1.00	IND 2.78AC	CUM 2.78 AC	INLET (min)	TOTAL (min)	i (2) (mm/hr)	i (5) (mm/hr)	i (100) (mm/hr)	BLDG FLOW (L/s)	2yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	ICD FIXED FLOW (L/s)	DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	MODIFIED DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	MATERIAL PIPE	SIZE (mm)	SLOPE (%)	LENGTH (m)	CAPACITY (l/s)	VELOCITY (m/s)	TIME IN PIPE	AVAIL CAP (2yr) (L/s)	(%)							
Lansdownne 2.0																																							
+106 l/s	S. STANDS	Ex. STM 120	Ex. STM 119							0.000	0.000	20.00	21.24	52.03	70.25	119.95																							
		Ex. STM 119	Ex. STM 118							0.000	0.000	21.24	22.48	50.12	67.64	115.46																							
+106 l/s		Ex. STM 118	Ex. STM 117							0.000	0.000	22.48	22.63	48.36	65.24	111.33																							
		Ex. STM 117	STMH 208							0.000	0.000	22.63	22.67	48.16	64.97	110.85																							
+232.6 l/s	A3, A4, A5, BLDG I, K, N STANDS	Ex. STM 115	Ex. STM 114	1.118				0.440		1.722	1.722	20.00	21.02	52.03	70.25	119.95																							
		Ex. STM 114	STMH 209							0.000	1.722	21.02	22.06	50.44	68.08	116.22																							
	NEC 1, NEC 3	STMH 209	STMH 208	0.000				0.409		1.023	2.746	22.06	23.27	48.94	66.04	112.69																							
Q _{blgd Tot} = 444.6 l/s		STMH 208	STMH 207							0.000	2.746	23.27	23.70	47.30	63.80	108.84																							
		STMH 207	STMH 206							0.000	2.746	23.70	24.13	46.75	63.05	107.55																							
		STMH 206	STMH 205							0.000	2.746	24.13	24.56	46.22	62.33	106.31																							
	NEC 2	STMH 205	STMH 204	0.000				0.353		0.883	3.629	24.56	25.05	45.69	61.61	105.08																							
		STMH 204	STMH 203							0.000	3.629	25.05	25.50	45.11	60.82	103.71																							
	Great Lawn 5	STMH 203	STMH 202	0.089				0.026		0.115	3.744	25.50	26.16	44.58	60.10	102.48																							
	Great Lawn 6, A, D, D1	Ex. STMD	CBMH 210	1.280				0.572		2.143	2.143	20.00	21.00	52.03	70.25	119.95																							
	Great Lawn 4	CBMH 210	STMH 202	0.160				0.024		0.149	2.292	21.00	21.56	50.48	68.13	116.30																							
Q _{blgd Tot} = 444.6 l/s		CBMH 202	CHAMBER / Ex. Chamber							0.000	6.035	26.16	26.16	43.85	59.11	100.77																							
	OPGG5, Great Lawn 3	CHAMBER / Ex. Chamber	Ex. 1350 PIPE	0.219				0.120		0.422	6.457	26.16	26.16	43.85	59.11	100.77																							
+23.1 l/s	A1, BLDGS H, G, J, J1, J2, N1	Ex. STM-CCN1	NEW STMH 212	0.019				0.951		2.390	2.390	20.00	20.20	52.03	70.25	119.95																							
		NEW STMH 212	NEW STMH 211	0.000				0.034		0.085	2.475	20.20	20.80	51.71	69.81	119.20																							
		NEW STMH 211	Ex. STM 110							0.000	2.475	20.80	20.98	50.79	68.55	117.02																							
	OPGG1, OPGG4	Ex. STM 110	Ex. STM 109	0.035				0.258		0.665	3.140	20.98	21.19	50.50	68.16	116.35																							
Q _{blgd Tot} = 467.7 l/s		OPGG2	Ex. STM 109	0.065				0.224		0.597	10.194	26.16	27.44	43.85	59.11	100.77																							
	102, AA, BB, EE	Ex. STMDD	Ex. STMFF	1.410				0.594		2.270	2.270	21.70	22.27	49.45	66.73	113.88																							
	Great Lawn 1 & 2, T1, T2, V1, V2	Ex. STMFF	Ex. STMGG	0.523				0.287		1.009	3.279	22.27	23.33	48.64	65.62	111.98																							
		Ex. STMGG	Ex. STM 108							0.000	3.279	23.33	23.74	47.23	63.70	108.67																							
Q _{blgd Tot} = 467.7 l/s		OPGG3, 108	Ex. STM 108	0.167				0.316		0.883	14.356	27.44	28.59	42.50	57.26	97.60																							
+34.4 l/s, Q _{blgd Tot} = 502.1 l/s	A2, BLDGS A, B, C, D	Ex. STM 107	Ex. STM 106	0.032				1.555		3.908	18.265	28.59	28.88	41.35	55.71	94.93																							
	Controlled Flow*	Ex. STM 106	Ex. STM 105																																				
		Ex. STM 105	Ex. STM 104																																				
		Ex. STM 104	Ex. STM 103																																				
		Ex. STM 103	Ex. STM 102																																				
		Ex. STM 102	Ex. STM 101																																				
		Ex. STM 101	Ex. STM MH (O'Connor)																																				
Definition: Q=2.78CIA, where: Q = Peak Flow in Litres per Second (L/s) A = Area in Hectares (Ha) i = Rainfall Intensity in millimeters per hour (mm/hr) i = 732.951/(TC+6.199)^0.816 i = 1174.184/(TC+6.014)^0.816 i = 1735.688/(TC+6.014)^0.820				Notes: 1. Mannings coefficient (n) = 0.013 *5-Yr Flow controlled to 530 l/s (refer to SWM report for details)				Time-of-Concentration in the Swale FAA Equation: t (min) = 3.258 [(1.1 - C) L^0.5 / S^0.33] Where: Longest Watercourse Length, L (m). S (%) Runoff Coef. C = Impervious				Designed: Z.A.				No.				Revision				Date															
												Checked: D.B.Y.				1. City Submission No. 1				2023-05-25																			
												Dwg. Reference: C05A/C05B				2. City Submission No. 2				2023-09-22																			
																3. City Submission No. 3				2024-08-07																			
																4. City Submission No. 4				2025-01-14																			
																File Reference: CA0002045.0622				Date: 2023-09-22				Sheet No: 1 of 1															

Storm Sewer Calculation Sheet
Lansdowne Park Re-Development

Up	Down	BLDG ID	Q _{BLDG} (L/s)	Q _{BLDG TOT} (L/s)	AREA ID	Area (ha)	C (-)	Indiv AxC	Acc AxC	T _C (min)	I (mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Q _{TOT} (L/s)	Sewer Data								
														DIA (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	A _{hydraulic} (m ²)	R (m)	Velocity (m/s)	Qcap (L/s)	Time Flow (min)	Q / Q full (-)
120	119	S. Stands	106.0	106.0				0.00	0.00	20.0	70.3	0.0	106.0	450	0.20	59.6	0.159	0.113	0.80	127.5	1.2	0.83
119	118			106.0				0.00	0.00	21.2	67.6	0.0	106.0	450	0.20	59.6	0.159	0.113	0.80	127.5	1.2	0.83
118	117	S. Stands	106.0	212.0				0.00	0.00	22.5	65.2	0.0	212.0	600	0.20	8.7	0.283	0.150	0.97	274.6	0.1	0.77
117	116			212.0				0.00	0.00	22.6	65.0	0.0	212.0	600	0.20	3.8	0.283	0.150	0.97	274.6	0.1	0.77
116	113			212.0				0.00	0.00	22.7	64.8	0.0	212.0	600	0.20	62.4	0.283	0.150	0.97	274.6	1.1	0.77
										23.8												
115	114	I, K, N STANDS	232.6	232.6	A3, A4, A5	2.133	0.80	1.71	1.71	20.0	70.3	333.0	565.6	825	0.20	73.7	0.535	0.206	1.20	641.9	1.0	0.88
114	113			232.6				0.00	1.71	21.0	68.1	322.7	555.4	825	0.20	73.0	0.535	0.206	1.20	641.9	1.0	0.87
										22.0												
113	112			444.6				0.00	1.71	23.8	62.9	298.4	743.0	1050	0.10	47.8	0.866	0.263	1.00	863.5	0.8	0.86
										24.6												
A	B			0.0		0.870	0.35	0.30	0.30	15.0	83.6	70.7	70.7	600	0.10	100.0	0.283	0.150	0.69	194.2	2.4	0.36
B	C			0.0		0.430	0.35	0.15	0.46	17.4	76.5	96.6	96.6	600	0.10	100.0	0.283	0.150	0.69	194.2	2.4	0.50
C	D			0.0				0.00	0.46	19.9	70.6	89.2	89.2	600	0.10	57.0	0.283	0.150	0.69	194.2	1.4	0.46
D	D1			0.0		0.520	0.35	0.18	0.64	21.2	67.6	119.7	119.7	900	0.10	55.8	0.636	0.225	0.90	572.5	1.0	0.21
D1	112			0.0		0.340	0.35	0.12	0.76	22.3	65.6	137.8	137.8	900	0.10	85.0	0.636	0.225	0.90	572.5	1.6	0.24
										23.8												
112	109			444.6				0.00	2.46	24.6	61.6	421.4	866.0	1200	0.10	46.8	1.131	0.300	1.09	1232.9	0.7	0.70
										25.3												
111	110	H, G1, G2, J	23.1	23.1	A1	1.181	0.75	0.89	0.89	20.0	70.3	172.8	196.0	600	0.20	39.6	0.283	0.150	0.97	274.6	0.7	0.71
110	109			23.1				0.00	0.89	20.7	68.8	169.3	192.4	600	0.20	8.5	0.283	0.150	0.97	274.6	0.1	0.70
										20.8												
109	108			467.8				0.00	3.35	25.3	60.5	562.3	1030.0	1350	0.10	99.8	1.431	0.338	1.18	1687.8	1.4	0.61
										26.7												
CB1A	AA			0.0		0.430	0.60	0.26	0.26	15.0	83.6	59.9	59.9	375	0.15	114.0	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	3.1	0.88
AA	BB			0.0		0.360	0.35	0.13	0.38	18.1	74.7	79.7	79.7	450	0.12	35.0	0.159	0.113	0.62	98.8	0.9	0.81
BB	CC			0.0		0.870	0.35	0.30	0.69	19.0	72.5	138.6	138.6	525	0.24	120.0	0.216	0.131	0.97	210.7	2.1	0.66
CC	DD			0.0				0.00	0.69	21.1	68.0	130.0	130.0	525	0.24	38.0	0.216	0.131	0.97	210.7	0.7	0.62
										21.7												
EE	DD			0.0		0.320	0.35	0.11	0.11	15.0	83.6	26.0	26.0	300	0.40	59.0	0.071	0.075	0.87	61.2	1.1	0.43
										16.1												
DD	FF			0.0				0.00	0.80	21.7	66.7	148.2	148.2	900	0.10	31.0	0.636	0.225	0.90	572.5	0.6	0.26
										22.3												
H	G			0.0		0.270	0.35	0.09	0.09	15.0	83.6	21.9	21.9	300	0.20	66.0	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	1.8	0.51
G	J			0.0		0.310	0.35	0.11	0.20	16.8	78.2	44.1	44.1	375	0.15	30.0	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.8	0.65
J	FF			0.0		0.100	0.35	0.04	0.24	17.6	76.0	50.2	50.2	600	0.15	12.0	0.283	0.150	0.84	237.8	0.2	0.21
										17.8												
FF	GG			0.0				0.00	1.04	22.3	65.6	189.1	189.1	900	0.10	57.0	0.636	0.225	0.90	572.5	1.1	0.33
										23.4												
K	M			0.0		0.270	0.35	0.09	0.09	15.0	83.6	21.9	21.9	300	0.20	65.0	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	1.8	0.51
M	R			0.0		0.070	0.35	0.02	0.12	16.8	78.2	25.9	25.9	300	0.20	47.0	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	1.3	0.60
										18.1												

**Storm Sewer Calculation Sheet
Lansdowne Park Re-Development**


Up	Down	BLDG ID	Q _{BLDG} (L/s)	Q _{BLDG TOT} (L/s)	AREA ID	Area (ha)	C (-)	Indiv AxC	Acc AxC	T _C (min)	I (mm/hr)	Q (L/s)	Q _{TOT} (L/s)	Sewer Data								
														DIA (mm)	Slope (%)	Length (m)	A _{hydraulic} (m ²)	R (m)	Velocity (m/s)	Qcap (L/s)	Time Flow (min)	Q / Q full (-)
O	P			0.0		0.280	0.60	0.17	0.17	15.0	83.6	39.0	39.0	375	0.12	21.0	0.110	0.094	0.55	60.7	0.6	0.64
P	Q			0.0		0.180	0.60	0.11	0.28	15.6	81.6	62.5	62.5	375	0.10	34.0	0.110	0.094	0.50	55.4	1.1	1.13
Q	R			0.0		0.300	0.60	0.18	0.46	16.8	78.3	99.1	99.1	375	0.12	18.0	0.110	0.094	0.55	60.7	0.5	1.63
R	GG			0.0				0.00	0.58	17.3	76.8	122.6	122.6	600	0.10	13.0	0.283	0.150	0.69	194.2	0.3	0.63
										17.6												
S	U			0.0		0.130	0.60	0.08	0.08	15.0	83.6	18.1	18.1	450	0.20	30.0	0.159	0.113	0.80	127.5	0.6	0.14
U	GG			0.0		0.140	0.60	0.08	0.16	15.6	81.6	36.7	36.7	525	0.10	17.0	0.216	0.131	0.63	136.0	0.5	0.27
										16.1												
GG	108			0.0				0.00	1.78	17.6	75.9	374.5	374.5	900	0.10	22.0	0.636	0.225	0.90	572.5	0.4	0.65
										18.0												
108	107			0.0		0.340	0.60	0.20	5.33	26.7	58.3	863.2	863.2	1350	0.10	81.4	1.431	0.338	1.18	1687.8	1.2	0.51
107	106	A, B, C, D	34.4	502.2	A2	1.555	0.75	1.17	6.49	27.8	56.7	1023.0	1525.1	1350	0.10	20.7	1.431	0.338	1.18	1687.8	0.3	0.90
										28.1												
CONTROLLED FLOW																						
106	105		616.0	616.0				0.00	0.00	27.8	56.7	0.0	616.0	975	0.10	80.2	0.747	0.244	0.95	708.7	1.4	0.87
105	104			616.0				0.00	0.00	29.2	54.9	0.0	616.0	975	0.10	12.1	0.747	0.244	0.95	708.7	0.2	0.87
104	103			616.0				0.00	0.00	29.5	54.6	0.0	616.0	975	0.10	19.2	0.747	0.244	0.95	708.7	0.3	0.87
103	102			616.0				0.00	0.00	29.8	54.2	0.0	616.0	975	0.10	54.2	0.747	0.244	0.95	708.7	1.0	0.87
102	101			616.0				0.00	0.00	30.7	53.0	0.0	616.0	975	0.10	24.2	0.747	0.244	0.95	708.7	0.4	0.87
101	EX			616.0				0.00	0.00	31.2	52.5	0.0	616.0	975	0.10	5.8	0.747	0.244	0.95	708.7	0.1	0.87
										31.3												



COPYRIGHT RESERVED
 This plan and design are, and at all times remain the exclusive property of the client and consultant team and cannot be used or reproduced without written consent.
 Do not scale drawings. This drawing shall not be used for construction.

REVISIONS

No.	Date	Details	By
1	2011-11-21	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW	JVC
2	2011-12-12	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVC
3	2012-01-11	REVISED AS PER COORDINATION WITH CITY	JVC
4	2012-01-26	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	JVC



From: Yang, Winston
Sent: January 13, 2025 10:15 AM
To: Ali, Zarak
Subject: FW: Sanitary sewer capacity confirmation for Lansdowne redevelopment

Hi Zarak,

See below email correspondence from Abdul Mottalib for the sanitary capacity confirmation during ZBLA. It should be good for **comment 3.41**.

Yours truly,



Winston Yang

Lead Engineer – Technical Lead
Land Development & Municipal Engineering, Ontario
P.Eng., PMP.

T+ 1 613-829-2800
T+ 1 613-690-0538 (Direct)
M+ 1 647-628-8108

WSP Canada Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300
Ottawa, Ontario,
K2B 8K2 Canada

wsp.com

From: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Sent: May 8, 2023 3:05 PM
To: Nwanise, Nwanise <Nwanise.Nwanise@wsp.com>
Cc: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>; Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>; Jafferjee, Ishaque <Ishaque.Jafferjee@wsp.com>; Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>
Subject: FW: Sanitary sewer capacity confirmation for Lansdowne redevelopment

Hi Nwanise,

Please see below email. In terms of capacity, 77.07l/s is exceeding the city sewer capacity limit a bit. However as per the latest revised calculation, the demand is 54.82l/s which is lower than 77.07l/s, so the proposed development is acceptable.

--

Thanks,

Abdul
Mohammad Abdul Mottalib, P. Eng.
Extension: 27798

Sent: May 08, 2023 11:53 AM

To: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: RE: Sanitary sewer capacity confirmation for Lansdowne redevelopment

Hi Abdul

We ran the flow in the model and although there is a slight increase for all events, it is still within acceptable levels.

Regards

From: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Sent: April 26, 2023, 5:32 PM

To:.....

Cc: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: FW: Sanitary sewer capacity confirmation for Lansdowne redevelopment

Good afternoon,

Hope you are doing well. The below request is for sanitary capacity concern for Lansdown Project Phase 2.

Please take a look at it and let me know whether the city 600mm sanitary sewer on Holmwood Avenue has capacity to handle additional 27l/s flow from the proposed phase 2 development. With the additional flow, the total projected flow from the Lansdown Project will be 78l/s to the 600mm sanitary sewer on Holmwood Avenue.

It would be much appreciated if you could provide your response at your earliest possible time.

--

Thanks,

Abdul

Mohammad Abdul Mottalib, P. Eng.

Extension: 27798

From: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Sent: April 14, 2023 8:34 AM

To: Mottalib, Abdul <Abdul.Mottalib@ottawa.ca>

Subject: FW: Sanitary sewer capacity confirmation for Lansdowne redevelopment

Hi Abdul,

For my Lansdowne project can you action the below request about sanitary flows on Holmwood Ave

Thanks.

Sean.

Sean Moore, MCIP/RPP

Director, Lansdowne Park Redevelopment Project | Directeur, Projet de réaménagement du parc Lansdowne

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department | Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

Cell: 613-805-9804

Please note: The best way to reach me is either through email or my Cell #

From: Nwanise, Nwanise <Nwanise.Nwanise@wsp.com>

Sent: April 13, 2023 3:05 PM

To: Moore, Sean <Sean.Moore@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Yang, Winston <winston.yang@wsp.com>; Jafferjee, Ishaque <ishaque.jafferjee@wsp.com>

Subject: Sanitary sewer capacity confirmation for Lansdowne redevelopment

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hi Sean,

We would like to confirm if there is adequate capacity in the 600mm dia sanitary sewer on Holmwood Ave. to accommodate the projected additional sanitary sewer flows from the proposed Lansdowne 2.0 Redevelopment at 1015 Bank Street, Ottawa, ON.

The additional sanitary flows contributed by the site is estimated as 26.67L/s. The projected sanitary flows from the entire site will now be 77.07L/s.

See projected sanitary flow calculation and draft sanitary servicing plan attached.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Thank you.

Regards,

Regards,

Nwanise Nwanise, P.Eng.

Project Engineer



Municipal Engineering - Ottawa

WSP Global Inc.
2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300, Ottawa, ON
Ottawa, Ontario
K2B 6B7 Canada

wsp.com

NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/cas/. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message, please forward this message to cascompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire autorisé ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au www.wsp.com/lcap. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au conformitelcap@wsp.com afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des messages électroniques commerciaux.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Building	Retail (m ²)	Residential		Office (m ²)	Estimated WTR / SAN / STM per Mechanical Eng.				Estimated Per City of Ottawa Design Guidelines					Notes	
		# townhs	# apts		WTR (L/s)	FIRE (L/s)	SAN (L/s)	STM (L/s)	AVG (L/s)	WTR MAX. DAY (L/s)	PEAK HR (L/s)	FIRE (L/s)	SAN (L/s)		STM (L/s)
A	4,129	7	50		16.7		5.4	8.3	0.6	1.3	2.7	150	2.5	8.6	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
B	5,401	15			6.9		5.7	8.6	0.3	0.6	1.3	150	1.6	11.1	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
C	9,262	11			13.9		5.4	19.6	0.4	0.7	1.4	150	2.1	10.1	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
D	2,131	7			6.3		3.8	5.2	0.1	0.3	0.6	150	0.7	4.6	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail and residential)
G1	3,507				6.3		5.4	5.5	0.1	0.2	0.3	150	0.6	5.8	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail)
G2	399				5.0		2.6	2.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	150	0.1	1.3	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail)
H	7,294				9.5		500FU	9.5	0.2	0.3	0.6	150	1.3	11.7	Mech Eng values provided by LKM 2011-11-29 (Includes retail)
I	2,505			8,361					0.9	1.3	2.3	150	1.6	8.1	
J	1,220								0.0	0.1	0.1	150	0.2	4.3	
J - Salon	3,425								0.1	0.1	0.3	150	0.6	N/A	Roof covered in North Stands flow.
K			190						1.4	3.5	7.6	150	5.5	5.3	
North Stands									2.8	4.2	7.6	150	7.6	219.2	No City standard for estimating flow from stadium / civic centre. Used monitored data
South Stands					25.2	31.5	11.6	211	2.8	4.2	7.5	150	11.6	212.0	No City standard for estimating flow from stadium / civic centre. Used monitored data
Civil Centre									1.9	2.9	5.2	150	5.2	N/A	No City standard for estimating flow from stadium / civic centre. Used monitored data
Aberdeen	4,098								0.1	0.2	0.3	150	0.7	N/A	Peaked Roof, storm runoff included in surface drainage.
Horticulture	1,591								0.0	0.1	0.1	150	0.3	N/A	Peaked Roof, storm runoff included in surface drainage.
Total	44,962	40	240	8,361	89.9	31.5	39.8	270.1	11.8	19.9	38.0		42.1	502.2	

Notes

- Retail floor areas for buildings A, B, C, D, G1, G2, H, I, J, J - Salon provided by Perkins Eastman - November 18, 2011. Above table uses total GFA.
- Residential for Buildings A, B, C, D, and K component extracted from RFO Addendum 3 - October 20, 2011 as follows:
 - Parcel A1 = Residential Tower above Bldg A. 240units (280units max less townhomes) proportionate between Bldg A and K. Therefore, 240units x 66,000/316,000 = 50units.
 - Parcel A2 = Townhomes abutting buildings A, B, C, D. Assuming 1,225sq.ft townhomes = 40units. Divided between buildings per ground floor area shown on Perkins Eastman November 19, 2011 merchandising plan.
 - Bldg A = 3,426/19,104 x 40 = 7 units
 - Bldg B = 7,188/19,104 = 15 units
 - Bldg C = 5,096/19,104 = 11 units
 - Bldg D = 3,394/19,104 = 7units
 - Parcel B = Office tower above Building I, 90,000sq.ft.
 - Parcel C = Building K 240units (280units max less townhomes) proportionate between Bldg A and K. Therefore, 240units x 250,000/316,000 = 190units.
- Mech. Eng. Servicing for Bldgs A, B, C, D, G1, G2, H provided by LKM, dated July 19, 2011. Revised Storm and Sanitary flow per November 29, 2011 email.
- City of Ottawa rates were estimated accordingly

Water Supply

Retail: Average Day 2.5L/m²/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 1.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 2.7

Residential:

Townhouse Avg Day = 2.7p/unit x 350m³/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 2.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 5.5

Apartment Avg Day = 1.8p/unit x 350m³/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 2.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 5.5

Office: Average Day 75L/9.3m²/d, Max Day = Avg Day x 1.5, Peak Hour = Avg Day x 2.7

North and South Stands: City of Ottawa completed Flow Monitoring in 2005. A peak dry weather flow for a capacity game was recorded to be 15.1L/s.

Report titled "Lansdowne Park - 2005, Combined Sewer Flow Monitoring Report," G.A. Clark & Associates Limited, Proj. No: 200524

Interpolated Average Day, Max Day and, Peak Hour accordingly: Peak Hour = 15.1L/s, Max Day = Peak Hour / 1.8, Average Day = Peak Hour / 2.7

North and South stands flow proportioned by number of seating: North Stands = 14,542 South Stands = 14,284, as described in Lansdowne Park information material.

Civil Centre: Flow monitoring completed in 2005 indicated a peak a 4L/s. However, this recorded flow did not account for wastewater directed to Holmwood.

Civil Centre Flow estimated based on Stadium monitored flow and seating: 9,836 / 28,826 x 15.1 = 5.2L/s

Interpolated Average Day, Max Day and, Peak Hour accordingly: Peak Hour = 5.2L/s, Max Day = Peak Hour / 1.8, Average Day = Peak Hour / 2.7

Wastewater

Retail: Average Day 5L/m²/d x 24hour day / 12hour operation, Peak = Average Day x 1.5

Residential:

Townhouse Avg Day = 2.7p/unit x 350m³/d, Peak = Avg Day x 3.95

Apartment Avg Day = 1.8p/unit x 350m³/d, Peak = Avg Day x 3.95

Office: Average Day 75L/9.3m²/d, Peak = Avg Day x 1.5

North and South Stands: City of Ottawa completed Flow Monitoring in 2005. A peak dry weather flow for a capacity game was recorded to be 15.1L/s.

Report titled "Lansdowne Park - 2005, Combined Sewer Flow Monitoring Report," G.A. Clark & Associates Limited, Proj. No: 200524

Peak flow interpreted as peak monitored flow (15.1L/s)

North stands flow proportioned by number of seating: North Stands = 14,542 South Stands = 14,284, as described in Lansdowne Park information material.

Civil Centre: Flow monitoring completed in 2005 indicated a peak a 4L/s. However, this recorded flow did not account for wastewater directed to Holmwood.

Civil Centre Flow estimated based on Stadium monitored flow and seating: 9,836 / 28,826 x 15.1 = 5.2L/s

South Stands - Mechanical Consultant provided estimated peak Wastewater Flow Rate (Smith and Anderson (2011-12-02) servicing sketch)

Storm

See Separate Analysis - Estimated per City of Ottawa IDF curves and Control Flow roof drains where appropriate

North and South Stands assumed to have roof drains sized to accommodate 5-year storm only. To be confirmed by DSEL through modeling.


PROJECT: **Lansdowne Park Re-Development**
LOCATION: **City of Ottawa**
FILE REF: **10-378**
DATE: **19-Dec-11**

DESIGN PARAMETERS

Avg. Daily Flow Res.	350 L/p/d	Peak Fact Res. Per Harmons: Min = 2.0, Max =4.0	Infiltration / Inflow	0.28 L/s/ha	
Avg. Daily Flow Retail	5 L/m ² /d	Peak Fact. Retail	1.5	Min. Pipe Velocity	0.60 m/s full flowing
Avg. Office Flow	75 L/9.3m ² /d	Peak Fact. Office	1.5	Max. Pipe Velocity	3.00 m/s full flowing
			Mannings N	0.013	




Location			Residential Area and Population							Retail		Office		Other		Infiltration				Pipe Data									
Area ID	Up	Down	Area	Pop.		Cumulative		Peak	Q _{res}	Area	Accu.	Incr.	Accu.	Area	Accu.	Q _{C+H}	Total	Accu.	Infiltration	Total	DIA	Slope	Length	A _{hydraulic}	R	Velocity	Q _{cap}	Q / Q full	
			(ha)	Town's	Apt's	Area	Pop.	Fact.	(L/s)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(m ²)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(m ²)	(m)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(-)	
South Stands	19	18				0.0	0.000	0.0	4.00	0.0	-	-	-	11.6	11.6	11.6	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.6	300	0.20	61.0	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.27	
	18	17				0.0	0.000	0.0	4.00	0.0	-	-	-	11.6	11.6	11.6	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.6	300	0.20	9.3	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.27	
	17	16				0.0	0.000	0.0	4.00	0.0	-	-	-	11.6	11.6	11.6	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.6	300	0.20	5.8	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.27	
	16	13				0.0	0.000	0.0	4.00	0.0	-	-	-	11.6	11.6	11.6	0.000	0.000	0.000	11.6	300	0.20	62.6	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.27	
BLDG K, I, N.Stands	15	14		190		342.0	0.000	342.0	4.00	5.5	2,505	2,505	8,361	8,361	7.6	7.6	9.2	0.000	0.000	0.000	14.8	300	0.20	74.9	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.34
	14	13				0.0	0.000	342.0	4.00	5.5		2,505		8,361		7.6	9.2	0.000	0.000	0.000	14.8	300	0.20	74.9	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.34
	13	12				0.0	0.000	342.0	4.00	5.5		2,505		8,361		19.2	20.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	26.4	300	0.20	44.4	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.61
	12	9				0.0	0.000	342.0	4.00	5.5		2,505		8,361		19.2	20.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	26.4	300	0.20	56.6	0.071	0.075	0.61	43.2	0.61
BLDG G1, G2, H, J, Salon, Civic Cen	11	10				0.0	0.000	0.0	4.00	0.0	15,845	15,845	-	5.2	5.2	8.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	8.0	250	0.38	38.2	0.049	0.063	0.75	36.7	0.22	
	10	9				0.0	0.000	0.0	4.00	0.0		15,845		-		5.2	8.0	0.000	0.000	0.000	8.0	250	0.38	7.5	0.049	0.063	0.75	36.7	0.22
	9	8				0.0	0.000	342.0	4.00	5.5		18,350		8,361		24.4	28.8	0.000	0.000	0.000	34.3	375	0.15	84.0	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.51
Aberdeen Pavilion	8	7				0.0	0.000	342.0	4.00	5.5	4,098	22,448		8,361		24.4	29.5	0.000	0.000	0.000	35.0	375	0.15	23.3	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.52
BLDG A, B, C, D, Horticulture	7	5		40	50	198.0	0.000	540.0	3.96	8.7	22,514	44,962		8,361		24.4	33.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	42.0	375	0.15	83.5	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.62
	5	4				0.0	0.000	540.0	3.96	8.7		44,962		8,361		24.4	33.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	42.0	375	0.15	10.1	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.62
	4	3				0.0	0.000	540.0	3.96	8.7		44,962		8,361		24.4	33.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	42.0	375	0.15	17.5	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.62
	3	2				0.0	0.000	540.0	3.96	8.7		44,962		8,361		24.4	33.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	42.0	375	0.15	60.0	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.62
	2	1				0.0	0.000	540.0	3.96	8.7		44,962		8,361		24.4	33.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	42.0	375	0.15	24.7	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.62
	1	EX				0.0	0.000	540.0	3.96	8.7		44,962		8,361		24.4	33.4	0.000	0.000	0.000	42.0	375	0.15	9.7	0.110	0.094	0.61	67.9	0.62





BENCHMARK TABLE

Point No.	Northing	Easting	Elev
CC #1	5029149.213	368806.860	
CC #2	5029243.952	368876.257	
CC #3	5029193.203	368705.571	65.412
CC #6	5029144.760	368623.492	66.742
CC #7	5029095.379	368579.045	67.506
CC #8	5029101.070	368549.781	67.805
CC #9	5028989.253	368641.460	69.256
CP #87	5029086.967	368960.129	64.574
CP #88	5029257.273	369021.685	64.639
CP #102	5029082.645	368877.952	65.027
CP #103	5029112.963	368813.748	
CP #104	5029110.951	368837.055	65.020
CP #105	5029144.819	368801.911	65.107
CP #6006	5029086.150	368805.429	
CPW #83	5028853.673	368573.563	65.326
SPK #4	5029115.901	368853.680	
SPK #85	5028866.914	368672.574	65.202
SPK #7352	5029056.087	368811.849	71.292
SPK #8928	5029145.040	368779.868	66.016
SPK #8435	5029089.179	368788.229	68.714
PKN #8838	5029023.162	368892.308	64.954
TARGET ON VAIL #7696	5028924.093	368706.883	89.744
MAG #20001	5029282.143	368911.384	65.242



NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
5	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	2025-01-15
4	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	2024-12-30
3	ISSUED FOR RAINFALL CLASS ESTIMATE	2024-11-15
2	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	2024-09-13
1	ISSUED FOR SPA	2024-08-07


REVISIONS/ ISSUES
 CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWINGS



DRAWN: J.T.
 DATE: 2025/01/15
 CHECKED: W.Y.

LANSDOWNE EVENT CENTRE
 945 & 1015 BANK STREET

DWG. TITLE: **GRADING PLAN**
 SCALE: 1:400
 PROJ. NO.: CA0033920.1056
 DWG. NO.: **C04**



Point No.	Northing	Eastng	Elev
CC #1	5029149.213	368806.860	
CC #2	5029243.952	368876.257	
CC #3	5029193.203	368705.571	65.412
CC #6	5029144.760	368623.492	66.742
CC #7	5029095.379	368579.045	67.506
CC #8	5029101.070	368549.781	67.805
CC #9	5028989.253	368641.460	69.256
CP #87	5029086.967	368960.129	64.574
CP #88	5029257.273	369021.685	64.639
CP #102	5029082.645	368877.952	65.027
CP #103	5029112.963	368813.748	
CP #104	5029110.951	368837.055	65.020
CP #105	5029144.819	368801.911	65.107
CP #6006	5029086.150	368805.429	
CPW #83	5028853.673	368573.563	65.326
SPK #4	5029115.901	368853.680	
SPK #85	5028866.914	368672.574	65.202
SPK #7352	5029056.087	368811.849	71.292
SPK #8928	5029145.040	368779.868	66.016
SPK #8435	5029089.179	368788.229	68.714
PKN #8838	5029023.162	368892.308	64.954
TARGET ON VAIL #7696	5028924.093	368706.883	89.744
MAG #20001	5029282.143	368911.384	65.242



BRISBROOK BEYOND ARCHITECTS
 14 DUNCAN ST 4TH FLOOR
 TORONTO, ON M5H 3G8
 (416) 591-8999
 ARCHITECT

ENTUITIVE
 135 LAURIER AVE WEST, SUITE 413
 OTTAWA, ON K1P 5J2
 (343) 308-9274
 STRUCTURAL ENGINEER

TAMP
 200 KING ST. WEST, SUITE 310
 TORONTO, ON M5H 3T4
 (416) 499-8000
 MECH. PLUMB. FIRE PROTECTION ENGINEER

MULVEY & BANANI
 90 SHEPPARD AVE. EAST, SUITE 500
 TORONTO, ON M2N 3A
 (416) 751-2520
 ELEC. LIGHTING ENGINEER

S2O
 530 N. WOOD STREET #C
 CHICAGO, IL 60622
 (224) 717-1999
 FOOD AND BEVERAGE

CSW
 319 MCRAE AVENUE, SUITE 502
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1Z 0B9
 (613) 729-4536
 LANDSCAPE ARCHITECT

wsp
 2011 QUEENVIEW DR.
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO K2B 8K2
 (613) 829-2800
 CIVIL ENGINEER

NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
5	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	2025-01-15
4	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	2024-12-30
3	ISSUED FOR RFI/DO - CLASS B ESTIMATE	2024-11-15
2	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	2024-09-13
1	ISSUED FOR SPA	2024-08-07

REVISIONS/ ISSUES
 CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWINGS.




DRAWN: J.T
 DATE: 2025/01/15
 CHECKED: W.Y

LANSDOWNE EVENT CENTRE
 945 & 1015 BANK STREET


DWG. TITLE: **SERVICING PLAN**

SCALE: 1:400
 PROJ. NO: CA0033920.1056
 DWG. NO: C05A




DATE PLOTTED:

MATCH LINE
SEE DRAWING C05A



BENCHMARK TABLE

Point No.	Northing	Easting	Elev
CC #1	5029149.213	368806.860	
CC #2	5029243.952	368876.257	
CC #3	5029193.203	368705.571	65.412
CC #6	5029144.760	368623.492	66.742
CC #7	5029095.379	368579.045	67.506
CC #8	5029101.070	368549.781	67.805
CC #9	5028989.253	368641.460	69.256
CP #87	5029086.967	368960.129	64.574
CP #88	5029257.273	369021.685	64.639
CP #102	5029082.645	368877.952	65.027
CP #103	5029112.963	368813.748	
CP #104	5029110.951	368837.055	65.020
CP #105	5029144.819	368801.911	65.107
CP #6006	5029086.150	368805.429	
CPW #83	5028853.673	368573.563	65.326
SPK #4	5029115.901	368853.680	
SPK #85	5028866.914	368672.574	65.202
SPK #7352	5029056.087	368811.849	71.292
SPK #8928	5029145.040	368779.868	66.016
SPK #8435	5029089.179	368788.229	68.714
PKN #8838	5029023.162	368892.308	64.954
TARGET ON VAIL #7696	5028924.093	368706.883	89.744
MAG #20001	5029282.143	368911.384	65.242



NO.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
5	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	2025-01-15
4	ISSUED FOR COORDINATION	2024-12-30
3	ISSUED FOR RFP 50 - CLASS ESTIMATE	2024-11-15
2	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	2024-09-13
1	ISSUED FOR RFP	2024-08-07

REVISIONS/ ISSUES
CONTRACTOR SHALL CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH THE WORK. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWINGS



SEAL
D. B. YANG
100230568
2025-01-15
PROVINCE OF ONTARIO
LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER

DRAWN: J.T.
DATE: 2025/01/15
CHECKED: W.Y.

LANSDOWNE EVENT CENTRE
945 & 1015 BANK STREET

DWG. TITLE: **SERVICING PLAN**
SCALE: 1:400
PROJ. NO: CA0033920.1056
DWG. NO: **C05B**

APPENDIX

D

- DWG C06 – EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN