



THE EVERGREEN ON BLACKBURN
Site Servicing and Stormwater Management
Report

September 10, 2024

Prepared for:
Windmill Developments

Prepared by:
Stantec Consulting Ltd.

Stantec Project Number:
160401789

**The Evergreen on Blackburn
Introduction**

Revision	Description	Author	Date	Quality Check	Date	Independent Review	Date
0	SPC Application	MW/RB	2024/05/09	RB	2024/05/17	PM	2024/05/21
1	SPC Application	MW/RB	2024/09/10	RB	2024/09/12	PM	2024/09/13



**The Evergreen on Blackburn
Introduction**

The conclusions in the Report titled **The Evergreen of Blackburn Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report** are Stantec's professional opinion, as of the time of the Report, and concerning the scope described in the Report. The opinions in the document are based on conditions and information existing at the time the scope of work was conducted and do not take into account any subsequent changes. The Report relates solely to the specific project for which Stantec was retained and the stated purpose for which the Report was prepared. The Report is not to be used or relied on for any variation or extension of the project, or for any other project or purpose, and any unauthorized use or reliance is at the recipient's own risk.

Stantec has assumed all information received from Windmill Developments (the "Client") and third parties in the preparation of the Report to be correct. While Stantec has exercised a customary level of judgment or due diligence in the use of such information, Stantec assumes no responsibility for the consequences of any error or omission contained therein.

This Report is intended solely for use by the Client in accordance with Stantec's contract with the Client. While the Report may be provided by the Client to applicable authorities having jurisdiction and to other third parties in connection with the project, Stantec disclaims any legal duty based upon warranty, reliance or any other theory to any third party, and will not be liable to such third party for any damages or losses of any kind that may result.

Prepared by: Michael Wu
Signature
Michael Wu, E.I.T.
Printed Name

Reviewed by: Rob Brandrick
Signature
Rob Brandrick, P.Eng.
Printed Name

Approved by: Peter Moroz
Signature
Peter Moroz, P.Eng., MBA
Printed Name



Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	Project Information	1
1.2	Regulatory Framework	3
1.2.1	Supporting Information	3
1.3	Objective.....	4
2.0	POTABLE WATER SERVICING	5
2.1	Background	5
2.2	Design Criteria.....	5
2.2.1	Water Demand and Allowable Pressure	5
2.2.2	Fire Flow and Hydrant Capacity	6
2.3	Water Demand	6
2.3.1	Domestic Water Demand	6
2.3.2	Fire Flow Demand	6
2.4	Available Level of Service	7
2.4.1	Boundary Conditions	7
2.4.2	Allowable Domestic Pressure.....	7
2.4.3	Allowable Fire Flow Pressure.....	7
2.4.4	Fire Hydrant Coverage	7
2.5	Proposed Water Servicing.....	9
3.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING.....	10
3.1	Background	10
3.2	Design Criteria.....	10
3.3	Wastewater Generation and Servicing Design	10
3.4	Proposed Sanitary Servicing	11
4.0	STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND SERVICING	12
4.1	Background	12
4.2	Design Criteria.....	12
4.3	Existing Conditions	12
4.4	Stormwater Management Design.....	13
4.4.1	Allowable Release Rate	13
4.4.2	Quantity Control.....	14
4.4.3	Quality Control.....	14
4.5	Proposed Stormwater Servicing	15
5.0	SITE GRADING	16
6.0	OTHER CONSIDERATIONS	17
6.1	Geotechnical.....	17
6.2	Utilities	17
6.3	Erosion and Sediment Control During Construction	17
6.4	Regulatory Approvals	18
7.0	CLOSING.....	19

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.1: Unit Type Breakdown.....	2
Table 2.1: Estimated Domestic Water Demands	6
Table 2.2: Boundary Conditions.....	7



**The Evergreen on Blackburn
Introduction**

Table 3.1: Estimated Peak Wastewater Flow 10
Table 4.1: Summary of Post-Development Drainage Areas 13
Table 4.2: Allowable Target Release Rate 13
Table 4.3: Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Release Rates 14

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1: Key Plan of Site 2
Figure 2.1: Existing Fire Hydrant Coverage 8

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A SITE INFORMATION A.1
A.1 Site Plan A.1
A.2 Building Construction Confirmation A.2
APPENDIX B PRE-APPLICATION CONSULTATION B.1
APPENDIX C WATER C.1
C.1 Domestic Water Demand C.1
C.2 Fire Flow Demand (2020 FUS) C.2
C.3 Boundary Conditions (City of Ottawa) C.3
APPENDIX D SANITARY D.1
D.1 Sanitary Sewer Flow D.1
D.2 Sanitary Sewer Capacity D.2
APPENDIX E STORM E.1



1.0 Introduction

1.1 Project Information

This report is prepared to describe the servicing and stormwater management approach in support of a Site Plan Control (SPC) application for the proposed development by Windmill Developments located at 315 (portion of 321) Chapel Street, in the City of Ottawa. The site is 0.27 ha in size and is located in the Sandy Hill neighbourhood of the City of Ottawa. Approximately 0.17 ha of land is to be severed from the existing site to accommodate the proposed building and related residential apartment use.

The current zoning of the site is Residential Fifth Density, Urban Exception 2454, and Schedule 379 (R5B [2454] S379). A holding symbol is included for the entire site, save for a parcel fronting Laurier Avenue East. A portion of 321 Chapel Street is zoned Residential Fourth Density, Subzone UB and is subject to Urban Exception 480. A Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) application was approved at City Council on May 1, 2024, to rezone the entirety of the lands to Residential Fifth Density, Subzone B, Exception 2454, Schedule 379 (R5B[2454] S379), with changes to the Schedule and Site-Specific Exceptions. The Notice of Passing was issued May 14, 2024, and the deadline to file an appeal is June 3, 2024.

The proposed site consists of the Bate Memorial Hall and is bound by Laurier Avenue E. to the north, Blackburn Avenue and the existing church to the west, private property to the south, and Chapel Street to the east, as illustrated in **Figure 1.1** below.



**The Evergreen on Blackburn
Introduction**



Figure 1.1: Key Plan of Site

A copy of the proposed Site Plan (dated March 21, 2023, with revision dated 2024) prepared by Linebox Studio architects is provided in **Appendix A.1**. The proposed development is a nine-storey residential apartment building on a portion of the existing site. The Bate Memorial Hall is to be removed to accommodate the proposed building.

The proposed unit type breakdown is listed in **Table 1.1** below.

Table 1.1: Unit Type Breakdown

Unit Type	Quantity
Residential Apartment Units (ea.) - Total	121
One-bedroom	36
One-bedroom with den	10
Two-bedroom	33
Two-bedroom with den	6
Three-bedroom	5
Three-bedroom with den	4
Studio	27



The Evergreen on Blackburn Introduction

The number of residential units and the unit type breakdown to support the calculated building populations is provided on the site plan in **Appendix A.1**.

1.2 Regulatory Framework

The development of the Evergreen on Blackburn site is governed by the City of Ottawa's current Official Plan and applicable development application requirements.

The pre-application consultation process with the City of Ottawa establishes the initial design criteria associated with demonstrating the adequacy of servicing for the site.

1.2.1 SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Supporting documents referenced in support of this report include:

- *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (SDG)*, City of Ottawa, October 2012, including all subsequent technical bulletins
- *City of Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution*, City of Ottawa, July 2010, including all subsequent technical bulletins
- *Design Guidelines for Drinking Water Systems*, Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP), 2008
- *Fire Protection Water Supply Guideline for Part 3 in the Ontario Building Code*, Office of the Fire Marshal (OFM), October 2020
- *Water Supply for Public Fire Protection*, Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS), 2020
- *Fire Code*, National Fire Protection Agency, 2012
- Pre-Application Consultation (PC2023-0073) meeting notes and related correspondence with City of Ottawa staff (see **Appendix B**).
- *Geotechnical Investigation Proposed Mixed-Use Development 315 and 321 Chapel Street*, Paterson Group, Report PG6742-1, August 1, 2023.
- *All Saints – Adequacy of Public Services Report*, Stantec Consulting Ltd., Revision 1, November 15, 2023

Details of the existing infrastructure located within the adjacent public roads are obtained from available City of Ottawa as-built records.



1.3 Objective

This Adequacy of Public Services report assesses and identifies the site servicing and stormwater management (SWM) conditions which are generally consistent with City of Ottawa Design Guidelines and considers related pre-consultation advice provided by City of Ottawa staff.

The general and applicable site-specific objectives considered are summarized below. Specific technical design criteria details are described in the associated servicing sections of this report.

Potable Water Servicing

- Develop an assessment of the potable water and fire flow demand for the site.
- Identify that the City of Ottawa water distribution system can supply adequate water pressure to the site for typical operational and emergency conditions.

Wastewater (Sanitary Sewer) Servicing

- Develop an assessment of the wastewater flow projected for the site.
- Identify that the City of Ottawa sanitary sewer system can support the project wastewater flow from the site.

Storm Sewer Servicing and Stormwater Management

- Identify allowable flow contributions from the site to the City of Ottawa storm sewer (minor) and adjacent surface (major) drainage systems.
- Identify applicable water quality control and water balance control targets.
- Develop an assessment of the SWM system for the site to achieve applicable water quantity (minor and major system) control, water quality control, and water balance control targets.

Site Grading Plan

- Prepare a grading plan to support the servicing assessments and identify compatibility with surrounding existing ground conditions.

The accompanying figures and drawings illustrate the key components of the current servicing assessments.



2.0 Potable Water Servicing

2.1 Background

The site is within Pressure Zone '1W' of the City of Ottawa water distribution system.

The existing watermains along the boundaries of the site consist of a 200 mm diameter PVC watermain within Laurier Avenue East, a 200 mm diameter ductile iron watermain within Blackburn Avenue, and a 300 mm diameter cast iron watermain within Chapel Street.

Existing fire hydrants are located at the SW corner of the intersection of Laurier Ave E. and Blackburn Ave., and at the NW corner of the intersection of Laurier Ave E. and Chapel St.

2.2 Design Criteria

The following design criteria are applied to the assessment of the potable water and fire protection servicing for the site.

2.2.1 WATER DEMAND AND ALLOWABLE PRESSURE

The domestic water demand and allowable water pressure are assessed using the City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines (2010) as amended, and the ISTB 2021-03 Technical Bulletin.

Residential Apartment Population Rate

Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	1.4 persons / unit
2 Bedroom	2.1 persons / unit
3 Bedroom	3.1 persons / unit

Residential Apartment Demand

Average Daily (AVDY)	280 L/cap/day
Maximum Daily (MXDY)	2.5 x AVDY
Peak Hour (PKHR)	2.2 x MXDY

Allowable Water Pressure

MXDY Flow	345 kPa (50 psi) to 552 kPa (80 psi)
PKHR Flow Minimum	276 kPa (40 psi.)
MXDY + Fire Flow	140 kPa (20 psi.)
Maximum Allowable for Occupied Area	552 kPa (80 psi)



2.2.2 FIRE FLOW AND HYDRANT CAPACITY

Detailed fire flow requirements are assessed using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) methodology (2020). Site specific criteria considered are noted in Section 2.3.2.

Fire hydrant capacity is assessed based on Table 18.5.4.3 of the National Fire Protection Agency (NFPA) Fire Code document. A hydrant situated less than 76 m away from a building can supply a maximum capacity of 5,678 L/min, and a hydrant 76 to less than 152 m away can supply a maximum capacity of 3,785 L/min.

2.3 Water Demand

2.3.1 DOMESTIC WATER DEMAND

The domestic water demand is assessed based on the proposed development conditions described in **Table 1.1** and the design criteria described in **Section 2.2**.

The assessed domestic water demand for the site is summarized in **Table 2.1**. Supporting calculations are provided in **Appendix C.1**.

Table 2.1: Estimated Domestic Water Demands

Population	AVDY (L/s)	MXDY (L/s)	PKHR (L/s)
225	0.7	1.8	4.0

2.3.2 FIRE FLOW DEMAND

The fire flow demand is assessed based on:

- Type II - Noncombustible Construction / Type IV-A - Mass Timber Construction (i.e., building construction materials with a 1-hour fire resistance rating). Confirmation of the intended building construction as provided by the project architect is included in **Appendix A.2**.
- Total effective building area is the gross floor area of the largest floor plus 25% of the floor area for each of the two immediately adjoining floors.
 - Vertical openings are protected.
- Occupancy and contents factor considering non-combustible materials.
- A fully supervised automatic sprinkler system that conforms to the NFPA 13 standard supplied by a standard water supply.
- Exposure distances based on current adjacent structures having Type V (no fire resistance rating) construction with no firewall or sprinkler systems.



The Evergreen on Blackburn Potable Water Servicing

The fire flow is assessed to be approximately 5,000 L/min (83 L/s) for the proposed building. Supporting calculations per the FUS methodology are provided in **Appendix C.2**.

2.4 Available Level of Service

2.4.1 BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

The assessed domestic water and fire flow demands are used to confirm the level of servicing available to the proposed development from the adjacent municipal watermain and hydrants. The associated hydraulic grade line (HGL) elevation boundary conditions provided by the City of Ottawa (see **Appendix B.3** for correspondence) are summarized in **Table 2.2**. Based on the proposed connection from the site, only the data associated with Blackburn Ave is presented.

Table 2.2: Boundary Conditions

HGL Condition	Elevation (m)
Minimum HGL	106.0
Maximum HGL	115.4
Max. Day + Fire Flow (83 L/s) HGL	107.3

Note: Boundary condition results are based on a demand population of 245 persons. The current anticipated population at 225 persons is anticipated to have a negligible impact on the boundary conditions.

2.4.2 ALLOWABLE DOMESTIC PRESSURE

The anticipated finished floor elevation on the first floor of the apartment building at 70.6 m, serves as the reference elevation for the calculation of residual pressures at ground level. From the boundary condition HGL elevations, the pressures at the first-floor level are expected to range from 347 kPa to 439 kPa (50 psi to 64 psi) under normal operating conditions. The first-floor pressure is expected to be below the maximum allowable for occupied areas.

To ensure adequate water pressure above the first-floor elevation, booster pump requirements are to be confirmed by the mechanical engineering consultant during subsequent stages of the development application process.

2.4.3 ALLOWABLE FIRE FLOW PRESSURE

From the boundary condition HGL elevations, the watermains and nearby fire hydrants can provide the required fire flow while maintaining the minimum residual pressure of 138 kPa (20 psi).

2.4.4 FIRE HYDRANT COVERAGE

The building is to be sprinklered and a Siamese (fire department) connection provided. The Siamese connection is to be located near the building entrance in the northeastern corner of the building. The



The Evergreen on Blackburn Potable Water Servicing

existing fire hydrant at the southeast corner of Laurier Avenue and Blackburn Avenue is within 45 m of this hydrant. The Siamese connection location and the hydrant location are shown on **Drawing SSP-1**. The figure below also illustrates the Siamese connection and referenced hydrant location.



Figure 2.1: Existing Fire Hydrant Coverage

The existing fire hydrant satisfies the required hydrant coverage and flow capacity conditions based on:

- National Fire Protection Agency (NFPA) Table 18.5.4.3 in Appendix I of the City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 noting that a hydrant situated less than 76 m away from a building can supply a maximum capacity of 5,678 L/min.



The Evergreen on Blackburn Potable Water Servicing

- Section 3.2.5.16 of the Ontario Building Code, requiring the distance between the fire department connection and hydrant cannot be obstructed or more than 45 m.

2.5 Proposed Water Servicing

The development is to be serviced with a dual 150 mm connection to the existing watermain in Blackburn Avenue. The proposed water servicing is shown on **Drawing SSP-1**. Connections and service requirements are to be consistent with City of Ottawa guidelines and specifications.

Prior to installation of potable water servicing infrastructure, applicable existing water service laterals are to be blanked at the main by the City of Ottawa.

The mechanical engineering consultant is responsible to confirm the water pressure within each building is adequate to meet building code requirements.



3.0 Wastewater Servicing

3.1 Background

The existing sanitary sewers along the boundaries of the site consist of a 375 mm diameter PVC sewer within Laurier Ave E., a 300 mm diameter concrete sewer within Blackburn Ave, and a 300 mm diameter concrete sewer within Chapel St.

3.2 Design Criteria

The wastewater servicing is assessed using the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (2012) as amended, and the MECP Design Guidelines for Sewage Works. The following design criteria are applied to the assessment of wastewater servicing for the site.

Population criteria are the same as that applied for the water demand analysis (see **Section 2.2.1**).

Residential Wastewater Flow

Average Flow Generation	280 L/cap/day
Peaking Factor	Harmon Equation (max. residential = 4.0)
Harmon Correction Factor	0.80
Infiltration Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha

3.3 Wastewater Generation and Servicing Design

The peak wastewater flow is assessed based on the proposed development conditions described in **Table 1.1** and the design criteria described in **Section 3.2**.

The assessed peak wastewater flow for the site is summarized in **Table 3.1**. Supporting calculations are provided in **Appendix D.1**.

Table 3.1: Estimated Peak Wastewater Flow

Peak Residential Wastewater Flow			Infiltration Flow (L/s)	Total Peak Flow (L/s)
Population	Peak Factor	Peak Flow (L/s)		
225	3.5	2.6	0.1	2.6
Note: Sum of peak flows considers rounding.				

The anticipated peak wastewater flows for the proposed development were provided to the City of Ottawa staff to evaluate the adequacy of the receiving municipal sanitary sewer system in the vicinity of the site and downstream network.



The Evergreen on Blackburn Wastewater Servicing

Note: The confirmation of peak wastewater flows is based on a demand population of 245 persons and a gross area of 0.165 ha.

Confirmation was obtained through the Zoning By-law Amendment application process that an additional 3.2 L/s of peak sanitary flow from the proposed site is negligible for the downstream sanitary sewers (see correspondence in **Appendix C.2**). Therefore, it is considered that there is capacity for current 2.6 L/s sanitary peak flow from the site.

The current anticipated population at 225 persons and 0.18 ha gross area is anticipated to have a negligible impact on the municipal sanitary sewer capacity condition.

3.4 Proposed Sanitary Servicing

The development is to be serviced with a 200 mm connection to the existing 300 mm diameter sanitary sewer in Blackburn Ave. The proposed sanitary servicing is shown on **Drawing SSP-1**. Connections and service requirements are to be consistent with City of Ottawa guidelines and specifications.

The mechanical engineering consultant is responsible to confirm the appropriate backwater valve requirements are satisfied.



4.0 Stormwater Management and Servicing

4.1 Background

The existing storm drainage system along the boundaries of the site consists of curb and catch basins as part of a typical urban roadway section. Catch basins are connected to an associated storm sewer system. The existing storm sewers along the boundaries of the site consist of a 1200 mm diameter PVC sewer within Laurier Ave E., a 300 mm diameter concrete sewer within Blackburn Ave, and a 375 mm diameter concrete sewer within Chapel St.

4.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater management (SWM) and storm sewer servicing is assessed using the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (2012) as amended. The following design criteria are applied to the assessment of SWM and storm sewer servicing for the site.

- SWM conditions are assessed only for the 0.17 ha area anticipated to be severed to accommodate the proposed building.
- Control of the rooftop portion of the building to a 2-year pre-development level is only required for stormwater management control. The rest of the site can be kept uncontrolled.
 - A maximum pre-development rational method runoff coefficient 'C' of 0.50 is applied.
 - Time of flow for modified rational method calculations should be not less than 10 minutes.
- Water quality control is not required.
- Provide a pre-development drainage area plan (including from the church building) showing the existing drainage pattern.
- Provide a post-development drainage area plan ensuring that proposed development does not cause any restrictions to the existing drainage patterns.

4.3 Existing Conditions

The site area currently consists of an existing church with related buildings, open space, and parking area. All runoff from the site currently drains uncontrolled to the adjacent public roadways. There is no external drainage area draining into this property. The current pre-development drainage pattern is illustrated on **Figure FIG-3** as provided in **Appendix E**.

For the area associated with the proposed building ('EX-1', 'EX-02', and 'EX-03'), the existing condition rational method runoff coefficient is assessed at $C = 0.55$. Supporting calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.



4.4 Stormwater Management Design

Based on the proposed Site Plan, drainage area boundaries are defined as illustrated on **Figure SD-1**. Runoff coefficient values for modified rational method calculations are assigned to each drainage area based on the anticipated finished surface condition (e.g., asphalt, concrete, gravel, grass, etc.). A summary of drainage areas and runoff coefficients are provided in **Table 4.1**. Supporting calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.

Table 4.1: Summary of Post-Development Drainage Areas

Drainage Areas	Area (ha)	Runoff Coefficient, C	Outlet
UNC-1	0.030	0.64	Overland
UNC-2	0.012	0.65	Overland
CISTRN	0.141	0.70	Storm Sewer

The runoff coefficient for the 'CISTRN' area considers a pervious portion of the roof attributed to a proposed 'green roof'.

4.4.1 ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE

The rational method equation ($Q = 2.78 CiA$) is used to assess the allowable pre-development release rate from the site. The following parameters are used to assess the allowable release rate.

- Based on the calculated C value of 0.55 for the applicable existing site condition ('EX-1', 'EX-02', and 'EX-03'), a runoff coefficient of 0.50 is used to establish the allowable release rate.
- Rainfall intensity is for the City of Ottawa 2-year design storm. A Time of Concentration of ten minutes is applied based on the small site size and the proximity to the existing drainage system. The resultant intensity is 76.81 mm/hr.
- Contributing area is for the post-development rooftop portion(s) of the building only.

Table 4.2: Allowable Target Release Rate

Design Storm	Pre-Development Flow Rate (L/s) for C=0.50, i = 76.81 mm/hr, A=0.141 ha,
2-Year	15.1

Supporting calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.

For the proposed development, the target allowable release rate of 15.1 L/s is used to assess water quantity control measures to be applied.



The Evergreen on Blackburn Stormwater Management and Servicing

4.4.1.1 Uncontrolled Areas

For the 100-year return period design storm, the uncontrolled runoff rate for the existing 0.183 ha site area (applying the 0.50 runoff coefficient and an intensity of 178.56 mm/hr) is 45.4 L/s.

Relative to the existing site condition with 100% uncontrolled runoff, a post-development 2-year flow control for only 0.141 ha of the site is anticipated to reduce the total 100-year stormwater discharge from the site. The data summarized in **Table 4.3** indicates that the proposed SWM plan reduces the overall site storm runoff release rate by 37% compared to the pre-development C=0.50, 100-year design storm event.

Table 4.3: Comparison of Pre- and Post-Development Release Rates

Drainage Area	100-Year Discharge (L/s)
Pre-Development Total (0.183 ha)	45.4
Post-Development	
UNC-1 (0.030 ha)	9.5
UNC-2 (0.012 ha)	3.9
CISTRN (0.141 ha)	15.1
Post-Development Total (0.183 ha)	28.5
Difference (Post minus Pre)	-16.9 (-37.2%)

4.4.2 QUANTITY CONTROL

Based on the proposed change to the site condition, quantity control measures are needed to manage stormwater runoff to the allowable 2-year pre-development runoff flow rate.

A spreadsheet approach using the modified rational method (MRM) is applied to assess the quantity control volume required to control the 100-year post-development runoff rate to the 2-year pre-development runoff rate. The calculations consider the allowable release rate of 15.1 L/s and the runoff coefficient associated with the anticipated post-development catchment. The MRM calculations are provided in **Appendix E**.

A storage volume of 32 m³ is required to attenuate the 100-year peak flow from the applicable site area to the 2-year pre-development runoff rate of 15.1 L/s.

4.4.3 QUALITY CONTROL

Water quality control is not required.



4.5 Proposed Stormwater Servicing

The development is to be serviced with a 150 mm connection to the existing storm sewer in Blackburn Ave. The proposed storm servicing is shown on **Drawing SSP-1**. Connections and service requirements are to be consistent with City of Ottawa guidelines and specifications.

It is anticipated that the water quantity control storage volume is to be accommodated entirely within the internal plumbing system of the proposed building.

The mechanical engineering consultant is responsible to confirm that the appropriate backwater valve requirements are satisfied, the nature of the foundation drainage system, and that any roof drainage systems (including internal storage systems, roof drains, scuppers, and applicable green roof conditions) are adequate for accommodating the 100-year design storm conditions. It is noted that the 100-year SWM design condition is more stringent than the design condition associated with the typical building code requirements.



5.0 Site Grading

The proposed building occupies almost all the applicable site area. Site grading is limited to the interface areas between the building face and the new property lines to be created with the proposed legal property severance. The associated site grading is shown on **Drawing GP-1**.

The building finished floor elevation is indicated based on ensuring suitable elevation ties to the surrounding existing conditions, the relative maximum allowable elevation change between the existing curb and the building entrances to ensure appropriate accessibility conditions are achieved, and that positive drainage away from the building face is achieved.



6.0 Other Considerations

6.1 Geotechnical

Geotechnical conditions for the site are investigated by Paterson Group with findings presented in the supporting investigation report PG6742-1 dated August 1, 2023 (provided under separate cover in support of the development application process). Recommendations from the geotechnical report are intended to be followed as they relate to the proposed servicing strategy for the site.

6.2 Utilities

Existing utilities from Hydro Ottawa, Bell, Rogers, and Enbridge are anticipated to be used to service this site. The detailed design of the required utility services is to be further investigated as part of the composite utility planning process, which is to follow design circulation for the servicing plans. The relocation of existing utilities which may be in conflict with the proposed development is to be coordinated as needed with the individual utility providers as part of the site plan approval process.

6.3 Erosion and Sediment Control During Construction

To protect downstream water quality and prevent sediment build-up in catch basins and storm sewers, erosion and sediment control measures must be implemented during construction. Erosion and sediment control (ESC) measures are the responsibility of the contractor. Recommendations for ESC implementation are summarized as follows.

- Implement best management practices to provide appropriate protection of the existing and proposed drainage system and the receiving water course(s).
- Limit the extent of the exposed soils at any given time.
- Re-vegetate exposed areas as soon as possible.
- Minimize the area to be cleared and grubbed.
- Protect exposed slopes with geotextiles, geogrid, or synthetic mulches.
- Install silt barriers/fencing around the perimeter of the site to prevent the migration of sediment offsite.
- Install track out control mats (mud mats) at the entrance/egress as shown in Drawing ECDS-1 to prevent migration of sediment into the public ROW.
- Provide sediment traps and basins during dewatering works.
- Install sediment traps (such as SiltSack® by Terrafix) between catch basins and frames.



The Evergreen on Blackburn Other Considerations

- Schedule the construction works at times which avoid flooding due to seasonal rains.

The Contractor is also required to complete inspections and guarantee the proper performance of erosion and sediment control measures at least after every rainfall. The inspections are to include:

- Verification that water is not flowing under silt barriers.
- Cleaning and changing the sediment traps placed on catch basins.

The proposed location of silt fences, sediment traps, and other erosion control measures is shown on **Drawing ECDS-1**.

6.4 Regulatory Approvals

Given the nature of the anticipated site ownership and that the storm drainage is to be connected to an existing storm sewer, the site will not require an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) from the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) under O.Reg. 525/98.

Requirements for the completion of registration for potential groundwater pumping with the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR) and the preparation of a Water Taking and Discharge Plan as stipulated under O.Reg. 63/16 are to be coordinated by the geotechnical and/or hydrogeological engineer and the excavation contractor as needed. Additionally, although not anticipated, an MECP Permit to Take Water (PTTW), required for dewatering volumes exceeding 400,000L/day is to be coordinated by the geotechnical and/or hydrogeological engineer and the excavation contractor as needed.



7.0 Closing

The water, wastewater, and storm water servicing conditions assessed in this report indicate that the existing public services immediately adjacent to the project site and the proposed servicing strategy are adequate to support the proposed development.

The mechanical engineering consultant is responsible to confirm:

- The water pressure within each building is adequate to meet building code requirements.
- The appropriate backwater valve requirements are satisfied.
- The appropriate backwater valve requirements are satisfied, the nature of the foundation drainage system, and that any roof drainage systems (including internal storage systems, roof drains, scuppers, and applicable green roof conditions) are adequate for accommodating the 100-year design storm conditions. It is noted that the 100-year SWM design condition is more stringent than the design condition associated with the typical building code requirements.



APPENDICES



Appendix A Site Information

A.1 Site Plan



PIN 04212-0267

Laurier Ave. E

GENERAL NOTES:

1. SEE DIMENSION PLAN AND SITE PLAN TO BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH LANDSCAPE AND CIVIL PACKAGE DRAWINGS.
2. ALL CONCRETED ELEMENTS ARE TO BE REPAIRED.
3. PROTECTION SHALL BE MAINTAINED TO PREVENT DAMAGE TO EXISTING STRUCTURES.
4. PROTECTION FOR TREES NOT BEING REMOVED SHALL BE RESPECTED (REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLANS AND CONSERVATION NOTES).
5. ALL TREES SHOWING DAMAGE ARE TO BE REMOVED. REFER TO DIMENSION PLAN AND TREE CONSERVATION REPORT FOR REMOVED TREES. CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPAIRED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION.
6. ANY TREE DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE REPAIRED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION.
7. MAINTAIN EXISTING GRADE WHERE POSSIBLE.
8. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
9. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
10. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
11. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
12. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
13. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
14. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
15. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
16. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
17. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
18. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
19. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
20. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
21. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
22. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
23. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
24. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
25. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
26. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
27. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
28. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
29. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
30. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
31. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
32. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
33. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
34. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
35. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
36. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
37. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
38. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
39. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
40. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
41. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
42. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
43. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
44. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
45. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
46. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
47. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
48. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
49. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.
50. ALL EXISTING UTILITIES SHALL BE RELOCATED TO ORIGINAL POSITION.

PROVISIONS:

1. NEW PARKING VENTILATION GRATE
2. NEW CURB CUT
3. UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK/STERN. REFER TO CIVIL DRAWINGS FOR SPEC.
4. NEW ENTRANCE
5. NEW ENTRANCE
6. NEW ENTRANCE
7. PROPOSED PARKING LIGHTING
8. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
9. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
10. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
11. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
12. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
13. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
14. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
15. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
16. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
17. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
18. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
19. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
20. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
21. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
22. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
23. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
24. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
25. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
26. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
27. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
28. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
29. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
30. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
31. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
32. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
33. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
34. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
35. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
36. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
37. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
38. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
39. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
40. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
41. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
42. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
43. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
44. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
45. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
46. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
47. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
48. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
49. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN
50. NEW PARKING LIGHTS TO LANDSCAPE PLAN

LOADING MATRIX:

ITEM	FIELD	DATA
21	LEGAL DESCRIPTION	RESIDENCE 5374
22	CURRENT ZONING PERMITTED USE	RESIDENTIAL / HOTEL
23	LOT AREA	2.0201 (INCLUDING CHURCH PROPERTY)
24	LOT FRONTAGE	7.24m (INCLUDING CHURCH PROPERTY)
25	LOT DEPTH	2.79m
26	PARKING AREA	1.340m
27	LOADING SPACE	REQUIRED SEE NOTE 121 LINES 4-6 m = 7.24m COMMERCIAL ASSEMBLY AREA = 3.81m
28	BUILDING HEIGHT	11.000m MAX HEIGHT 103.915 m ASL SEE SECTION
29	LOADING ZONE	N/A
30	VEHICLE PARKING	REQUIRED RESIDENTIAL PARKING 2-32 PARKING SPACES UNIT = 63 RESIDENT PARKING SPACES REQUIRED VEHICLE PARKING 632 PARKING SPACES UNIT = 632 PARKING SPACES REQUIRED TOTAL 44
31	VEHICLE PARKING	REQUIRED RESIDENTIAL 2-32 PARKING SPACES UNIT = 63 RESIDENT PARKING SPACES REQUIRED TOTAL 44
32	LANDSCAPED AREAS	REQUIRED 30% OF AREA INCLUDING PORTIONS OF 215 CHURCH AND 215 BLACKBURN AVE. SEE INCLUDING THE CHURCH PROPERTY = 1,622.24 m ² PROVIDED 427.95 m ² TOTAL 162
33	DRIVE ACCESS	SEE NOTE

RESIDENTIAL UNIT COUNT	SPA AREA SCHEDULE
1	1
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9
10	10
11	11
12	12
13	13
14	14
15	15
16	16
17	17
18	18
19	19
20	20
21	21
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25
26	26
27	27
28	28
29	29
30	30
31	31
32	32
33	33
34	34
35	35
36	36
37	37
38	38
39	39
40	40
41	41
42	42
43	43
44	44
45	45
46	46
47	47
48	48
49	49
50	50

VEHICLE PARKING PROVIDED BY LEVEL

LEVEL	VEHICLE PARKING PROVIDED
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
17	0
18	0
19	0
20	0
21	0
22	0
23	0
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	0
30	0
31	0
32	0
33	0
34	0
35	0
36	0
37	0
38	0
39	0
40	0
41	0
42	0
43	0
44	0
45	0
46	0
47	0
48	0
49	0
50	0

BICYCLE PARKING PROVIDED

LEVEL	BICYCLE PARKING PROVIDED
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
13	0
14	0
15	0
16	0
17	0
18	0
19	0
20	0
21	0
22	0
23	0
24	0
25	0
26	0
27	0
28	0
29	0
30	0
31	0
32	0
33	0
34	0
35	0
36	0
37	0
38	0
39	0
40	0
41	0
42	0
43	0
44	0
45	0
46	0
47	0
48	0
49	0
50	0

FOR RENDERING INFO ONLY
2024-05-25 12:00:46 PM

THE EVERGREEN ON BLACKBURN
8 BLACKBURN AVE. OTTAWA, ONTARIO M7Y 1P7

SITE PLAN (PROPOSED)

Project Number / Numéro du projet: 2318
 Drawn / Dessiné: RM / CR, JR
 Checked / Vérifié: RM / JR
 Date / Date: MARCH 21, 2023
 Scale / Échelle: As Indicated

330 LAURIER AVE. E
PROPOSED 9 STOREY RESIDENTIAL BUILDING c/w
2 LEVELS OF UNDERGROUND PARKING
12 CONDOMINIUM SUITES

BLACKBURN AVE.

CHAPEL ST.

14 BLACKBURN AVE.



EXISTING AREAS - GRASS, PLAYING, GARDENS OR SIMILAR. SEE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS.
 UNDEVELOPED AREAS - CONCRETE PAVED OR SIMILAR. SEE LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS DRAWINGS.

SITE PLAN
1:500
2024-05-25 12:00:46 PM

A.2 Building Construction Confirmation



Wu, Michael

From: Michael Pranger <michael@linebox.ca>
Sent: May 23, 2023 10:37
To: Moroz, Peter
Cc: Ross Farris; Mingyuk Chen; Richard Michels; Reggie MacIntosh; Thiffault, Dustin; Wu, Michael
Subject: Re: FW: Windmill All Saints Residential Building Construction and Fire Protection Confirmation

Hi Peter,

- 1 - The construction will be a hybrid of Cast in Place Concrete construction and Encapsulated Mass Timber construction.
- 2 - Yes, all floor openings will be protected with fire ratings as required by the building code.

On Wed, May 17, 2023 at 2:32 PM Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com> wrote:

[Per our discussion, looking for guidance on the below referenced questions.](#)

[thx](#)

[Peter](#)

Peter Moroz P.Eng., MBA

Managing Principal, Community Development

Stantec
300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4
Cell: (613) 294-2851

peter.moroz@stantec.com

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: Friday, May 12, 2023 11:19 AM
To: reggie@linebox.ca
Cc: ross.farris@windmilldevelopments.com; Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: Windmill All Saints Residential Building Construction and Fire Protection Confirmation

Good morning, Reggie:

I was wondering if you could provide us the following information for the proposed All Saints residential building at Chapel Street. We would need them for requesting the hydraulic boundary conditions from the City.

1. Construction type.
2. Confirmation that the vertical openings (between floors) are going to be **protected** per the fire code requirements outlined in the Ontario and National Building Codes and whether the building will be sprinklered.

Thanks,

Michael Wu, EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Work: (613) 738-6033

Mobile: (613) 858-0548
michael.wu@stantec.com

Stantec
300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

Vacation Alert: I will be away on vacation from May 19th to June 2nd

--

Michael Pranger
Senior Architectural Technologist



michael@linebox.ca

[613.216.2609](tel:613.216.2609) x103

[613.806.5885](tel:613.806.5885)

Ottawa - Toronto - Montréal

linebox.ca



Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.

Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

Appendix B Pre-Application Consultation



Brandrick, Robert

From: Moroz, Peter
Sent: Thursday, June 22, 2023 1:15 PM
To: Brandrick, Robert
Subject: FW: 321 Chapel Street - All Saints

Peter

Peter Moroz P.Eng., MBA

Managing Principal, Community Development

Stantec
300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4
Cell: (613) 294-2851

peter.moroz@stantec.com


From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Thursday, May 4, 2023 3:29 PM
To: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>
Cc: Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>; Ross Farris <ross.farris@windmilldevelopments.com>; Jessica Centofanti <jessica.centofanti@windmilldevelopments.com>
Subject: RE: 321 Chapel Street - All Saints

Hello Peter,

Apologies for the confusion, my understanding is that the subject land will be severed to create a new parcel as shown in the picture below and most of the new lot will be covered by proposed building. In this case please control the roof area only to a 2-year pre development level and the remainder of the new lot can drain uncontrolled to the ROW.

Also please provide the following

Pre development drainage area plan(including from the Church Building) showing the existing drainage pattern
Post Development drainage area plan ensuring that proposed development does not cause any restrictions to the existing drainage patterns.



Please feel free to reach out if you have any follow up questions

Regards

Nishant Jhamb, P.Eng
Project Manager | Gestionnaire de projet
Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department
Development Review - Central Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 23112, nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca

From: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>
Sent: May 04, 2023 10:16 AM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>; Ross Farris <ross.farris@windmilldevelopments.com>; Jessica Centofanti <jessica.centofanti@windmilldevelopments.com>
Subject: 321 Chapel Street - All Saints

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Nishant, following up on the notes from pre-con meeting, and would like to clarify the following items:

The SWM methodology is conflicting – one set of notes identifies control of the 100yr post development flows to 5-year predevelopment levels, the other states only the rooftop is required for SWM control with the remainder kept uncontrolled to the street.

Can you please clarify which one is the correct methodology.

thx

Peter

Peter Moroz P.Eng., MBA

Managing Principal, Community Development

Stantec

300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue Ottawa ON K2C 3G4

Cell: (613) 294-2851

peter.moroz@stantec.com

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Please refer to the below regarding the Pre-Application Consultation (pre-con) Meeting held on April 3, 2023, for the property at 315 Chapel Street and the portion of 321 Chapel Street for Zoning By-law Amendment and Site Plan Control applications to redevelop the site as mixed-use mid-rise building with hotel use on floors ground to fourth and residential on upper floors. Bate Memorial Hall is proposed to be demolished. 315 Chapel Street is proposed to be severed through part lot control, as well as a portion of 321 Chapel Street to be integrated into the buildable area for the redevelopment. A new building is proposed to be constructed where Bate Memorial Hall stood, following the buildable area established in Schedule 379, with some adjustments proposed. A ZBLA is required to make adjustments to Schedule 379 and additional exception provisions.

Below are staff's preliminary comments based on the information available at the time of pre-con meeting:

Planning (M Masha Wakula)

- Policies and provisions (PPS, OP, CDP, Secondary Plan, etc.)

Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan is applicable.

The subject site is located in Sandy Hill Character Area as per Schedule A - Character Areas.

The subject site is designated as Corridor on Schedule B - Designation Plan. Corridors will be consistent with Section 6.2 – Corridors, of Volume 1 of the Official Plan.

Maximum number of storeys is six storeys as per Schedule C - Maximum Building Heights. However, Zoning By-law prevails.

The Secondary Plan Policies in Section 3.1 Built Form guides the developments to contribute to an active street life and pedestrian convenience through its design, function and activity. Please, elaborate in your planning rationale on how this will be achieved, and specific policies will be addressed, since the principal entrance now faces Blackburn Avenue compared to the previous proposal having principal entrance onto Laurier Avenue East.

Please elaborate in your submission on how the community uses will be provided with the proposed development as set out in 4.7.3 – Land Use and Built Form:

104) The lands municipally known as 315 Chapel Street shall be recognized as a Design Priority Area, and a mix of community and commercial uses that serve the Sandy Hill community will be permitted on the site.

With previous proposal, the focus was on lower level uses to accommodate community centre, retail, restaurant, office, etc. type uses. It was intended to be a community hub in the neighbourhood, with the residential or hotel uses as secondary to that main function.

Please elaborate in your submission on how the proposed development will mitigate the possible impact to the neighbouring property at 14 Blackburn Avenue as set out in Section 4.7.6 – Site Development:

120) Ensure that the scale, form, proportion and spatial arrangement of new development cause minimal intrusion on the sunlight, air and aspect enjoyed by

existing adjacent development. Wherever possible, such new development shall contribute to the overall physical environment.

Official Plan (2021):

Subject site is designated Minor Corridor within Downtown Core Transect.

Please, account for the following in your design:

As set out in Policy 4 in Section 5.1.4 – Provide direction to the Hubs and Corridors located within the Downtown Core Transect:

On Downtown Core Minor Corridors, all buildings shall have active entrances facing the Minor Corridor, regardless of use.

Currently, your main entrance faces Blackburn Avenue. Please, ensure that the entrances along Laurier Avenue East will remain active. Perhaps, the redesign of the front yard will be required to accommodate adjacent to hotel lobby outdoor patio.

Other applicable Sections and Policies:

Section 4.6 – Urban Design

Specifically:

4.6.1 – Promote design excellence in Design Priority Areas;

4.6.6 – Enable the sensitive integration of new development of Low-rise, Mid-rise and High-rise buildings to ensure Ottawa meets its intensification targets while considering liveability for all (Policy 7).

Section 6.2 – Corridors

Specifically:

6.2.1 – Define the Corridors and set the stage for their function and change over the life of this Plan

○ Building height and Rooftop amenity

Please keep the rooftop amenity area (interior) as small as possible to maintain the compliance with Policy 128) in Section 4.7.8 – Building Heights of the Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan:

128) Within the Sandy Hill Character Area, any maximum building heights permitted in the Zoning By-law that exceed the heights indicated on Schedule B as of the date of adoption of this Secondary Plan will continue to apply. Any increases beyond these maximum heights will require an Official Plan Amendment.

And with policies of the Official Plan (2021) as set out in Table 7 – Minimum and Maximum Height Overview Based on Official Plan Policy:

Low-rise and Mid-rise: minimum 2 storeys and maximum of 9 storeys are permitted along Minor Corridors.

○ Zoning

The subject lands are zoned:

portion of 315 Chapel Street lot (fronting onto Laurier Avenue East) - R5B[2454] S379, with Heritage Overlay (Section 60)

remaining portion of 315 Chapel Street lot - R5B[2454] S379-h, with Heritage Overlay (Section 60)

portion of 321 Chapel Street (intended to be severed and consolidated with part of 315 Chapel Street, strip of land between 315 Chapel Street and 14 Blackburn Avenue) - R4UB[480]

Please, list all the reliefs required in your Planning rationale to facilitate the 1st review of the zoning amendment application.

- Portion of 321 Chapel Street

Please, elaborate how the acquired land will be added to the existing development and its impact to the neighbouring 14 Blackburn Avenue. Currently, the subject strip of land accommodates the desirable transition/buffer between the proposed development and existing low-rise residential building and mitigates the impacts of the proposed mid-rise development.

- Balconies – South façade

It is advised to reduce the extent of the balconies on the southern façade as you are refining the design. The southern façade is subject to demonstrate that it does not have an adverse impact to the 14 Blackburn Avenue property.

- Accessibility and Affordability:

It is advised to provide residential units that meet accessibility standards and affordable residential units as set out in Section 4.7.3 – Land Use and Built Form of Central and East Downtown Core Secondary Plan:

101) Provide a wide variety of housing, including accommodation for low-income people, the elderly, the handicapped and others with special needs.

[ADS Site Plan Checklist](#)

- High Performance Development Standards

Please, follow [HPDS Overview for Applicants](#) and [HPDS Example Checklist](#).

Energy priorities evaluated and set early in design enable innovative solutions and design trade-offs that are not available later in the building design. Energy saving features are significantly less expensive to implement when embedded in plans prior to construction and can lead to significant operational cost savings.

- Parkland Dedication and Community Benefit Charges:

Please, familiarize yourself with recent changes: Community Benefits Charge By-law, [By-law No. 2022-307](#), and new Parkland Dedication By-law, [By-law No. 2022-280](#).

The former Section 37 regime has been replaced with a “Community Benefits Charge”, [By-law No. 2022-307](#), of 4% of the land value. This charge will be required for ALL buildings that are 5 or more storeys and 10 or more units and will be required at the time of building permit unless the development is subject to an existing

registered Section 37 agreement. Questions regarding this change can be directed to Ranbir.Singh@ottawa.ca.

- Additional items
 - Please, outline the Public Participation strategy (as directed by Bill 73) for the revised proposal in your Planning rationale.
 - Please, ensure you are proposing planting native species and avoiding planting invasive species: [Plants | City of Ottawa](#).

Feel free to contact the Planner, M Masha Wakula, at mmashawakula.vakula@ottawa.ca, for follow-up questions.

Heritage (Ashley Kotarba)

The property is designated under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, and the demolition, and new construction will require a heritage permit. The application will be reviewed by the Built Heritage Committee, Planning and Housing Committee and Council. More information about the process can be found here:

<http://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/heritage-conservation/changes-heritage-properties>

A Heritage Impact Assessment will be required to accompany this application. It should consider the impacts to the church, the demolition of Bate Memorial Hall, and impacts to the adjacent Russell-Range Heritage Conservation District. According to the Russell-Range HCD Plan, if the strip of land at 321 Chapel (designated under Part V of the OHA) is to be acquired, this severance will also require review through a Heritage Impact Assessment. This can all be in the same document.

An application fee will be charged for this new construction.

- Comments on the design
 - Heritage staff are pleased to hear that the roof of the church apse is no longer proposed for removal. The retention of the entire apse, and views of this feature will be an important element of this proposal.
 - As the proposal moves along, heritage staff will provide comments on the design. The materials should be compatible with the church, and the design should pick up on elements found on the heritage building. While the new construction will be taller than the church building, All Saints should remain the focus of the site and be the dominant feature. The new construction should be designed in a way to be subordinate to the heritage structure.

Feel free to contact the Heritage Planner, Ashley Kotarba, at Ashley.Kotarba@ottawa.ca, for follow-up questions.

Urban Design (Christopher Moise)

- The site is within a Design Priority Area and the proposal is subject to review by the City's Urban Design Review Panel prior to the application being deemed complete. Please contact udrp@ottawa.ca for details on submission requirements and scheduling.
- We appreciate the presentation material provided at the Pre-consultation meeting and have the following design comments:
 - We recommend additional exterior detail be provided for the UDRP meeting.
 - We recommend caution be taken regarding the relationship of the southern facade to the residential neighbourhood to the south, including the overhanging balconies.
 - We recommend the project team review the UDRP recommendations from Dec, 2017 as many of the issues remain relevant.
- A scoped Design Brief is a required submittal (and separate from any UDRP submission) for all Site Plan/Re-zoning applications. Please see the Design Brief Terms of Reference provided and consult the City's website for details regarding the UDRP schedule.
 - **Note. The Design Brief submittal should have a section which addresses these pre-consultation comments.**

Feel free to contact Christopher Moise, at christopher.moise@ottawa.ca, for follow-up questions.

Engineering (Nishant Jhamb)

- General:
 - Please provide the **Boundary Condition** request as soon as possible to confirm if the Fire demands can be met.
 - Please provide the new **Sanitary sewer discharge rate** and we confirm if sanitary sewer main has the capacity.
 - If there are any utilities being installed parallel within the existing Right of Way or a road modification within the existing Right of Way, or a shoring system with tie backs encroaching the ROW then a Municipal Consent Circulation would be required. The installation of any structure, structure footing, geo-membrane or perforated pipe encroaching into the existing ROW is not permitted without a separate Municipal Consent Approval.
 - It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area and submit a request for locates to avoid conflict(s). The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an **Existing Conditions Plan**.
 - Any easements on the subject site shall be identified and respected by any development proposal and shall adhere to the conditions identified in the easement agreement. A **legal survey plan** shall be provided, and all easements shall be shown on the engineering plans.
 - A deep excavation and dewatering operations have the potential to cause damages to the neighboring adjacent buildings/ City infrastructure. Document

that construction activities (excavation, dewatering, vibrations associated with construction, etc.) will not have an impact on any adjacent buildings and infrastructure.

- A **Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04** will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change to a more sensitive property use.
- All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plans to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the existing property lines and sight triangles.

- Reference documents for information purposes:
 - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
 - Technical Bulletins ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2018-03.
 - Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010)
 - Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
 - City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
 - City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January 2016)
 - City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012) (City recommends development be in accordance with these standards on private property)
 - Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
 - Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
 - Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at InformationCentre@ottawa.ca or by phone at (613) 580-424 x.44455).

- Stormwater Management Criteria and Information:
 - **Water Quantity Control:** In the absence of area specific SWM criteria please control post-development runoff from the subject site, up to and including the **100-year storm event**, to a **5-year pre-development level**. The pre-development runoff coefficient will need to be determined **as per existing conditions** but in no case more than 0.5. **[If 0.5 applies it needs to be clearly demonstrated in the report that the pre-development runoff coefficient is greater than 0.5]**. The time of concentration (T_c) used to determine the pre-development condition should be calculated. *T_c should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; T_c of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations*.
 - Any storm events greater than the established **5-year allowable** release rate, up to and including the **100-year storm event**, shall be detained on-site. The SWM measures required to avoid impact on downstream sewer system will be subject to review.
 - Document how any foundation drainage system will be integrated into the servicing design and show the positive outlet on the plan. Foundation drainage is to be independently connected to sewer main unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention. **It is recommended that the foundation drainage system be drained by a sump pump connection to the storm sewer to minimize risk of basement flooding**

as it will provide the best protection from the uncontrolled sewer system compared to relying on the backwater valve.

- Please note that as per *Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 section 8.3.11.1 (p.12 of 14)* **there shall be no surface ponding on private parking areas during the 5-year storm rainfall event.**
- **Underground Storage:** Please note that the Modified Rational Method for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.
- When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. **We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.**

In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.

Provide information on type of underground storage system including product name and model, number of chambers, chamber configuration, confirm invert of chamber system, top of chamber system, required cover over system and details, interior bottom slope (for self-cleansing), chart of storage values, length, width and height, capacity, entry ports (maintenance) etc. UG storage to provide actual 2- and 100-year event storage requirements.

In regard to all proposed UG storage, ground water levels (and in particular HGW levels) will need to be reviewed to ensure that the proposed system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective.

Modeling can be provided to ensure capacity for both storm and sanitary sewers for the proposed development by City's Water Distribution Dept. – Modeling Group, through PM and upon request.

- Post-development site grading shall match existing property line grades in order to minimize disruption to the adjacent residential properties. A **topographical plan of survey** shall be provided as part of the submission and a note provided on the plans.
- Please provide a **Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan** to define the pre-development drainage areas/patterns. **Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution.**
- **If rooftop control** and storage is proposed as part of the SWM solutions sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and document in the report and on the plans. Roof drains are to be connected downstream of any incorporated ICDs within the SWM system and not to the foundation drain system. Provide a **Roof Drain Plan** as part of the submission.

- Sanitary Sewer:
 - Please provide the new Sanitary sewer discharge and we confirm if sanitary sewer main has the capacity.
 - Please apply the wastewater design flow parameters in *Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01*.
 - Sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) *Monitoring Devices*.
 - A backwater valve is required on the sanitary service for protection.
 - Include correspondence from the Architect within the Appendix of the report confirming the number of residential units per building **and a unit type breakdown for each of the buildings** to support the calculated building populations.

- Water:
 - Existing residential service to be blanked at the main.
 - **Water Supply Redundancy:** Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m³/day (0.57 L/s) are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area as per the *Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, WDG001, July 2010 Clause 4.3.1 Configuration*. The basic day demand for this site not expected to exceed 50m³/day.
 - Please **review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02**, maximum fire flow hydrant capacity is provided in Section 3 Table 1 of Appendix I. A **hydrant coverage figure** shall be provided and **demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the proposal**. Two or more public hydrants are anticipated to be required to handle fire flow.
 - Boundary conditions are required to confirm that the require fire flows can be achieved as well as availability of the domestic water pressure on the City street in front of the development. Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons and use Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution for 501 to 3,000 persons. Please provide the following information to the City of Ottawa via email to request water distribution network boundary conditions for the subject site. Please note that once this information has been provided to the City of Ottawa it takes approximately 5-10 business days to receive boundary conditions.
 - Type of Development and Units
 - Site Address
 - A plan showing the proposed water service connection location.
 - **Average Daily Demand** (L/s)
 - **Maximum Daily Demand** (L/s)
 - **Peak Hour Demand** (L/s)
 - **Fire Flow** (L/min)

- Required Engineering Plans and Studies:

PLANS:

- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan
- Site Servicing Plan
- Grade Control and Drainage Plan
- Road Reinstatement Plan
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Roof Drainage Plan
- Topographical survey

REPORTS:

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report
- Geotechnical Study/Investigation
- Slope Stability Assessment Reports (if required, please see requirements below)
- Noise Control Study
- Phase I ESA
- Phase II ESA (Depending on recommendations of Phase I ESA)
- RSC (Record of the site Conditions)
- Wind analysis

Please refer to the **City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]**:

Specific information has been incorporated into both the [Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans](#) for a site plan. The guide outlines the requirement for a statement to be provided on the plan about where the property boundaries have been derived from.

Added to the general information for servicing and grading plans is a note that an O.L.S. should be engaged when reporting on or relating information to property boundaries or existing conditions. The importance of engaging an O.L.S. for development projects is emphasized.

- Phase One Environmental Site Assessment:
 - A Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. Depending on the Phase I recommendations a Phase II ESA may be required.
 - The Phase I ESA shall provide all the required Environmental Source Information as required by O. Reg. 153/04. ERIS records are available to public at a reasonable cost and need to be included in the ESA report to comply with O.Reg. 153/04 and the Official Plan. The City will not be in a position to approve the Phase I ESA without the inclusion of the ERIS reports.
 - Official Plan Section 4.8.4:
<https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/official-plan-and-master-plans/official-plan/volume-1-official-plan/section-4-review-development-applications#4-8-protection-health-and-safety>
- RSC (Record of the site Conditions)

- A RSC is required when changing the land use (zoning) of a property to a more sensitive land use and **a memorandum prepared by an environmental consultant confirming that no potential contaminating activities have taken place within the RSC area since the filling of the RSC.**
[Submitting a record of site condition | Ontario.ca](#)
- Geotechnical Investigation:
 - A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
 - Reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to potential damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to ensure there will be no short term and long term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.
 - Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the **Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications.**
<https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/cap137602.pdf>
- Slope Stability Assessment Reports
 - A report addressing the stability of slopes, prepared by a qualified geotechnical engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, should be provided wherever a site has slopes (existing or proposed) steeper than 5 horizontal to 1 vertical (i.e., 11 degree inclination from horizontal) and/or more than 2 metres in height.
 - A report is also required for sites having retaining walls greater than 1 metre high, that addresses the global stability of the proposed retaining walls.
<https://documents.ottawa.ca/en/document/slope-stability-guidelines-development-applications>
- Noise Study:
 - A **Transportation Noise Assessment** is required as the subject development is located within 100m proximity of Laurier Avenue East and Chapel Street
 - A **Stationary Noise Assessment** is required in order to assess the noise impact of the proposed sources of stationary noise (mechanical HVAC system/equipment) of the development onto the surrounding residential area to ensure the noise levels do not exceed allowable limits specified in the City Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.
- Wind analysis:
 - A wind analysis must be prepared, signed and stamped by an engineer who specializes in pedestrian level wind evaluation. Where a wind analysis is prepared by a company which do not have extensive experience in pedestrian level wind evaluation, an independent peer review may be required at the expense of the proponent.
[Terms of Reference: Wind Analysis \(ottawa.ca\)](#)

Fourth (4th) Review Charge:

Please be advised that a flat fee will be charged for additional reviews, after the 3rd review.

Construction approach – Please contact the Right-of-Ways Permit Office TMconstruction@ottawa.ca early in the Site Plan process to determine the ability to construct site and copy File Lead on this request.

Please note that these comments are considered preliminary based on the information available to date and therefore maybe amended as additional details become available and presented to the City. It is the responsibility of the applicant to verify the above information. The applicant may contact me for follow-up questions related to engineering/infrastructure prior to submission of an application if necessary.

Feel free to contact the Infrastructure Project Manager, Nishant Jhamb, at nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca, for follow-up questions.

Transportation (Wally Dubyk)

TIA Screening Form – CGH, Dated March 07, 2023

TIA Scoping Report – CGH, Received March 31, 2023

Site Plan A020, Dated March 09, 2023

- General Comments

Laurier Avenue E is classified as a Major Collector Road. There are no additional protected ROW limits identified in the OP.

Chapel Street is classified as a Collector Road. There are no additional protected ROW limits identified in the OP.

Blackburn Avenue is classified as a Local Road. There are no additional protected ROW limits identified in the OP.

The TIA Step 2 – Scoping report. Clarify the side access from Chapel Street and indicate how it is intended to function.

The consultant is to address how they plan to enable and encourage travel by sustainable modes (i.e. to make walking, cycling, transit, carpooling and telework more convenient, accessible, safe and comfortable). Please complete the City of Ottawa's *TDM Measures Checklist*.

5.0 metres x 5.0 metres sight triangle is required at the intersection of Laurier Avenue and Chapel Street based on Schedule C16 of the Official Plan and is to be dimensioned on all drawings.

A 3.0 metres x 3.0 metres sight triangle is required at the intersection of Laurier Avenue and Blackburn Avenue based on Schedule C16 of the Official Plan and is to be dimensioned on all drawings.

All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plan to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the sight triangles and/or future road widening protection limits.

Permanent structures such as curbing, stairs, retaining walls, and underground parking foundation also bicycle parking racks are not to extend into the City's right-of-way limits.

The purchaser, tenant or sub-lessee acknowledges the unit being rented/sold is not provided with any on-site parking and should a tenant/purchaser have a vehicle for which they wish to have parking that alternative and lawful arrangements will need to be made to accommodate their parking need at an alternative location. The Purchaser/Tenant also acknowledges that the availability and regulations governing on-street parking vary; that access to on-street parking, including through residential on-street parking permits issued by the City cannot be guaranteed now or in the future; and that a purchaser, tenant, or sub-lessee intending to rely on on-street parking for their vehicle or vehicles does so at their own risk.

The Owner acknowledges and agrees that all private accesses to Roads shall comply with the City's Private Approach By-Law being By-Law No. 2003-447 as amended <https://ottawa.ca/en/living-ottawa/laws-licences-and-permits/laws/law-z/private-approach-law-no-2003-447> or as approved through the Site Plan control process. Ensure that the driveway grade does not exceed 2% within the private property for a distance of 6.0 metres from the highway line; see Section 25 (s) of the Private Approach By-Law #2003-447.

If ramp exceeds 6% grade, a subsurface melting element will be required.

The consultant should review the sight distance to the access and any obstructions that may hinder the view of the driver.

The concrete sidewalk should be 2.0 metres in width and be continuous and depressed through the proposed access.

No private approach shall be constructed within 0.3 metres of any adjacent property measured at the highway line, and at the curb line or roadway edge.

The closure of an existing private approach shall reinstate the sidewalk, shoulder, curb and boulevard to City standards.

The Owner shall be required to enter into maintenance and liability agreement for all pavers, plant and landscaping material placed in the City right-of-way and the Owner shall assume all maintenance and replacement responsibilities in perpetuity.

For any planter boxes/trees on the City's road right-of-way, an Encroachment Agreement along with a Maintenance Agreement will be required.

Bicycle parking spaces are required as per Section 111 of the Ottawa Comprehensive Zoning By-law. Bicycle parking spaces should be in safe, secure places near main entrances and preferably protected from the weather.

Should the property Owner wish to use a portion of the City's Road allowance for construction staging, prior to obtaining a building permit, the property Owner must obtain an approved Traffic Management Plan from the Manager, Traffic Management, Transportation Services Department. The city has the right for any reason to deny use of the Road Allowance and to amend the approved Traffic Management Plan as required.

Feel free to contact the Transportation Project Manager, Wally Dubyk, at Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca, for follow-up questions.

Forestry (Mark Richardson)

- Planning Forester TCR requirements:
 - **Please note that all process for reviewing and approving TCRs are changing at the City – in order to effectively review your submission in a timely manner the Planning Forester will need to ensure that all TCR requirements have been addressed**
 - a Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City
 - an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval.
 - Any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter, or city-owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 – 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.
 - The TCR must contain 2 separate plans:
 - Plan/Map 1 - show existing conditions with tree cover information
 - Plan/Map 2 - show proposed development with tree cover information
 - Please ensure retained trees are shown on the landscape plan
 - the TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ extends into the developed area, by species, diameter and health condition
 - please identify trees by ownership – private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, co-owned (trees on a property line)
 - Compensation may be required for the removal of city owned trees.
 - If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained
 - All retained trees must be shown, and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at [Tree Protection Specification](#) or by searching Ottawa.ca
 - the location of tree protection fencing must be shown on the plan
 - show the critical root zone of the retained trees

- the City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.
- For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson mark.richardson@ottawa.ca or on City of Ottawa
- Planning Forester LP tree planting requirements:

Please note that all process for reviewing and approving LP tree planting has changed at the City – in order to effectively review your submission in a timely manner the Planning Forester will need to ensure that all the bullets listed below have been addressed

- Minimum Setbacks
 - Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track or water service laterals.
 - Maintain 2.5m from curb
 - Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
 - Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing, except where otherwise approved in naturalization / afforestation areas. Adhere to Ottawa Hydro’s planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when planting around overhead primary conductors.
- Tree specifications
 - Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
 - Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy coverage
 - Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa’s Tree Planting Specification; and include watering and warranty as described in the specification (can be provided by Forestry Services).
 - Plant native trees whenever possible
 - No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
 - No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)
- Hard surface planting
 - Curb style planter is highly recommended
 - No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be provided) shall be used.
 - Trees are to be planted at grade
- Soil Volume
 - Please document on the LP that adequate soil volumes can be met:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
----------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------------

Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

- Sensitive Marine Clay
 - Please follow the City's [2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines](#)

City Surveyor

- The determination of property boundaries, minimum setbacks and other regulatory constraints are a critical component of development. An Ontario Land Surveyor (O.L.S.) needs to be consulted at the outset of a project to ensure properties are properly defined and can be used as the geospatial framework for the development.
- Topographic details may also be required for a project and should be either carried out by the O.L.S. that has provided the Legal Survey or done in consultation with the O.L.S. to ensure that the project is integrated to the appropriate control network.

Questions regarding the above requirements can be directed to the City's Surveyor, Bill Harper, at Bill.Harper@ottawa.ca

Waste Services

- The development must include adequate facilities for the proper storage of allocated garbage, recycling, and green bin containers (if applicable) and such facilities built in accordance with the approved site design. Questions regarding the requirements can be directed to Andre.Laplante@ottawa.ca.

Other

- Plans are to be standard A1 size (594 mm x 841 mm) or Arch D size (609.6 mm x 914.4 mm) sheets, dimensioned in metric and utilizing an appropriate Metric scale (1:200, 1:250, 1:300, 1:400 or 1:500).
- All PDF submitted documents are to be unlocked, flattened and not saved as a portfolio file.
- For sites containing one or more buildings with a total GFA greater than 2000 square metres OR retail shopping complexes with a total GFA greater than 10,000 square metres OR sites containing office buildings with total GFA greater than 10,000 square metres hotels and motels with more than 75 units OR (human) hospitals OR educational institutions with more than 350 students OR manufacturing establishments working more than 16,000 person-hours in a month:
 - A Waste Reduction Workplan Summary is required for the construction project as required by O.Reg. 102/94, being "Waste Audits and Waste Reduction Work Plans" made under the Environmental Protection Act, RSO 1990, c E.19, as amended.

- You are encouraged to contact the Ward Councillor, Councillor Stéphanie Plante, at stephanie.plante@ottawa.ca about the proposal.

Please refer to the links to [Guide to preparing studies and plans](#) and [fees](#) for further information. Additional information is available related to [building permits](#), [development charges](#), and the [Accessibility Design Standards](#). Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting geoinformation@ottawa.ca.

It is anticipated that, as a result of the *More Homes for Everyone Act, 2022*, for applications for site plan approval and zoning by-law amendments, new processes in respect of pre-application consultation will be put in place. The new processes are anticipated to require a multiple phase pre-application consultation approach before an application will be deemed complete. Applicants who have not filed a complete application by the effective date may be required to undertake further pre-application consultation(s) consistent with the provincial changes. The by-laws to be amended include By-law 2009-320, the Pre-Consultation By-law, By-law 2022-239, the planning fees by-law and By-law 2022-254, the Information and Materials for Planning Application By-law.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

M Masha Wakula Planner I, Development Review Central
Urbaniste I, Examen des projets d'aménagement Central

City of Ottawa Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department
Ville d'Ottawa Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers
et du développement économique

110 Laurier Avenue West, Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1 / 613.580.2424 ext. 27029
mmashawakula.vakula@ottawa.ca / ottawa.ca/planning/ / ottawa.ca/urbanisme

cc:

Ashley Kotarba, Heritage Planning
Christopher Moise, Urban Design team
Nishant Jhamb, Infrastructure Project Manager, Development Review
Wally Dubyk, Transportation Project Manager, Development Review

Pre-Application Consultation Meeting Notes- Infrastructure
Property Address: 315 Chapel Street and the eastern portion of 321 Chapel Street
Application Type- SPC and ZBLA

General:

- Please provide the **Boundary Condition** request as soon as possible to confirm if the Fire demands can be met.
- Please provide the new **Sanitary sewer discharge rate** and we confirm if sanitary sewer main has the capacity.
- If there are any utilities being installed parallel within the existing Right of Way or a road modification within the existing Right of Way, or a shoring system with tie backs encroaching the ROW then a Municipal Consent Circulation would be required. The installation of any structure, structure footing, geo-membrane or perforated pipe encroaching into the existing ROW is not permitted without a separate Municipal Consent Approval.
- It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area and submit a request for locates to avoid conflict(s). The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an **Existing Conditions Plan**.
- Any easements on the subject site shall be identified and respected by any development proposal and shall adhere to the conditions identified in the easement agreement. A **legal survey plan** shall be provided and all easements shall be shown on the engineering plans.
- A deep excavation and dewatering operations have the potential to cause damages to the neighboring adjacent buildings/ City infrastructure. Document that construction activities (excavation, dewatering, vibrations associated with construction, etc.) will not have an impact on any adjacent buildings and infrastructure.
- A **Record of Site Condition (RSC) in accordance with O.Reg. 153/04** will be required to be filed and acknowledged by the Ministry prior to issuance of a building permit due to a change to a more sensitive property use.
- All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plans to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the existing property lines and sight triangles.
- Reference documents for information purposes :
 - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
 - Technical Bulletins ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2018-03.
 - Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution (2010)
 - Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
 - City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
 - City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January 2016)
 - City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012) (City recommends development be in accordance with these standards on private property)
 - Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)

- Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
- Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at InformationCentre@ottawa.ca or by phone at (613) 580-424 x.44455).

Stormwater Management Criteria and Information:

- **Water Quantity Control:** In the absence of area specific SWM criteria please control post-development runoff from the subject site, up to and including the **100-year storm event**, to a **5-year pre-development level**. The pre-development runoff coefficient will need to be determined **as per existing conditions** but in no case more than 0.5. **[If 0.5 applies it needs to be clearly demonstrated in the report that the pre-development runoff coefficient is greater than 0.5]**. The time of concentration (T_c) used to determine the pre-development condition should be calculated. *T_c should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; T_c of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations*.
- Any storm events greater than the established **5-year allowable** release rate, up to and including the **100-year storm event**, shall be detained on-site. The SWM measures required to avoid impact on downstream sewer system will be subject to review.
- Document how any foundation drainage system will be integrated into the servicing design and show the positive outlet on the plan. Foundation drainage is to be independently connected to sewer main unless being pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention. **It is recommended that the foundation drainage system be drained by a sump pump connection to the storm sewer to minimize risk of basement flooding as it will provide the best protection from the uncontrolled sewer system compared to relying on the backwater valve.**
- Please note that as per *Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 section 8.3.11.1 (p.12 of 14)* **there shall be no surface ponding on private parking areas during the 5-year storm rainfall event.**
- **Underground Storage:** Please note that the Modified Rational Method for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.
When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. **We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.**
In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.

Provide information on type of underground storage system including product name and model, number of chambers, chamber configuration, confirm invert of chamber system,

top of chamber system, required cover over system and details, interior bottom slope (for self-cleansing), chart of storage values, length, width and height, capacity, entry ports (maintenance) etc. UG storage to provide actual 2- and 100-year event storage requirements.

In regard to all proposed UG storage, ground water levels (and in particular HGW levels) will need to be reviewed to ensure that the proposed system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective.

Modeling can be provided to ensure capacity for both storm and sanitary sewers for the proposed development by City's Water Distribution Dept. – Modeling Group, through PM and upon request.

- Post-development site grading shall match existing property line grades in order to minimize disruption to the adjacent residential properties. A **topographical plan of survey** shall be provided as part of the submission and a note provided on the plans.
- Please provide a **Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan** to define the pre-development drainage areas/patterns. **Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution.**
- **If rooftop control** and storage is proposed as part of the SWM solutions sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and document in the report and on the plans. Roof drains are to be connected downstream of any incorporated ICDs within the SWM system and not to the foundation drain system. Provide a **Roof Drain Plan** as part of the submission.

Sanitary Sewer:

- Please provide the new Sanitary sewer discharge and we confirm if sanitary sewer main has the capacity.
- Please apply the wastewater design flow parameters in *Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2018-01*.
- Sanitary sewer monitoring maintenance hole is required to be installed at the property line (on the private side of the property) as per City of Ottawa Sewer-Use By-Law 2003-514 (14) *Monitoring Devices*.
- A backwater valve is required on the sanitary service for protection.
- Include correspondence from the Architect within the Appendix of the report confirming the number of residential units per building **and a unit type breakdown for each of the buildings** to support the calculated building populations.

Water :

- Existing residential service to be blanked at the main.
- **Water Supply Redundancy:** Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m³/day (0.57 L/s) are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area as per the *Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, WDG001, July 2010 Clause 4.3.1 Configuration*. The basic day demand for this site not expected to exceed 50m³/day.
- Please **review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02**, maximum fire flow hydrant capacity is provided in Section 3 Table 1 of Appendix I. A **hydrant coverage figure** shall be

provided and **demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the proposal**. Two or more public hydrants are anticipated to be required to handle fire flow.

- Boundary conditions are required to confirm that the require fire flows can be achieved as well as availability of the domestic water pressure on the City street in front of the development. Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons and use Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution for 501 to 3,000 persons. Please provide the following information to the City of Ottawa via email to request water distribution network boundary conditions for the subject site. Please note that once this information has been provided to the City of Ottawa it takes approximately 5-10 business days to receive boundary conditions.
 - Type of Development and Units
 - Site Address
 - A plan showing the proposed water service connection location.
 - **Average Daily Demand** (L/s)
 - **Maximum Daily Demand** (L/s)
 - **Peak Hour Demand** (L/s)
 - **Fire Flow** (L/min)

Required Engineering Plans and Studies:

PLANS:

- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan
- Site Servicing Plan
- Grade Control and Drainage Plan
- Road Reinstatement Plan
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Roof Drainage Plan
- Topographical survey

REPORTS:

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report
- Geotechnical Study/Investigation
- Slope Stability Assessment Reports (if required, please see requirements below)
- Noise Control Study
- Phase I ESA
- Phase II ESA (Depending on recommendations of Phase I ESA)
- RSC (Record of the site Conditions)
- Wind analysis

Please refer to the **City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]:**

Specific information has been incorporated into both the [Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans](#) for a site plan. The guide outlines the requirement for a statement to be provided on the plan about where the property boundaries have been derived from.

Added to the general information for servicing and grading plans is a note that an O.L.S. should be engaged when reporting on or relating information to property boundaries or existing conditions. The importance of engaging an O.L.S. for development projects is emphasized.

Phase One Environmental Site Assessment:

- A Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. Depending on the Phase I recommendations a Phase II ESA may be required.
- The Phase I ESA shall provide all the required Environmental Source Information as required by O. Reg. 153/04. ERIS records are available to public at a reasonable cost and need to be included in the ESA report to comply with O.Reg. 153/04 and the Official Plan. The City will not be in a position to approve the Phase I ESA without the inclusion of the ERIS reports.
- Official Plan Section 4.8.4:

<https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/official-plan-and-master-plans/official-plan/volume-1-official-plan/section-4-review-development-applications#4-8-protection-health-and-safety>

RSC (Record of the site Conditions)

- A RSC is required when changing the land use (zoning) of a property to a more sensitive land use and **a memorandum prepared by an environmental consultant confirming that no potential contaminating activities have taken place within the RSC area since the filling of the RSC.**

[Submitting a record of site condition | Ontario.ca](#)

Geotechnical Investigation:

- A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- Reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to potential damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to ensure there will be no short term and long term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.
- Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the **Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications.**

<https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/cap137602.pdf>

Slope Stability Assessment Reports

- A report addressing the stability of slopes, prepared by a qualified geotechnical engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, should be provided wherever a site has slopes (existing or proposed) steeper than 5 horizontal to 1 vertical (i.e., 11 degree inclination from horizontal) and/or more than 2 metres in height.
- A report is also required for sites having retaining walls greater than 1 metre high, that addresses the global stability of the proposed retaining walls.

<https://documents.ottawa.ca/en/document/slope-stability-guidelines-development-applications>

Noise Study:

- A **Transportation Noise Assessment** is required as the subject development is located within 100m proximity of Laurier Avenue East and Chapel Street

- A **Stationary Noise Assessment** is required in order to assess the noise impact of the proposed sources of stationary noise (mechanical HVAC system/equipment) of the development onto the surrounding residential area to ensure the noise levels do not exceed allowable limits specified in the City Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.

Wind analysis:

- A wind analysis must be prepared, signed and stamped by an engineer who specializes in pedestrian level wind evaluation. Where a wind analysis is prepared by a company which do not have extensive experience in pedestrian level wind evaluation, an independent peer review may be required at the expense of the proponent.

[Terms of Reference: Wind Analysis \(ottawa.ca\)](#)

Fourth (4th) Review Charge:

Please be advised that a flat fee will be charged for additional reviews, after the 3rd review.

Construction approach – Please contact the Right-of-Ways Permit Office TMconstruction@ottawa.ca early in the Site Plan process to determine the ability to construct site and copy File Lead on this request.

Please note that these comments are considered preliminary based on the information available to date and therefore maybe amended as additional details become available and presented to the City. It is the responsibility of the applicant to verify the above information. The applicant may contact me for follow-up questions related to engineering/infrastructure prior to submission of an application if necessary.

If you have any questions or require any clarification, please let me know.

Regards,

Nishant Jhamb, P.Eng
Project Manager |Gestionnaire de projet
Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department - Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique
Development Review - Central Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 23112, nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca

Pre-Application Consultation Meeting Notes

Property Address: 315, portion of 321 Chapel Street
PC2023-0073
April 3, 2023; 2:00PM

Attendees:

Christopher Moise – Urban Design team
Ashley Kotarba – Heritage
Nishant Jhamb – IPM
Wally Dubyk – TPM
Masha Wakula – File Lead
Mark Richardson – Forestry Planning
Tamara Nahal – Fotenn
Paul Black – Fotenn
Stephen Savell – Windmill Developments
Ross Farris – Windmill Developments
Jessica Centofanti – Windmill Developments
Barry Padolsky - Urban Design and Heritage Consultant
Peter Moroz – Stantec
Reggie MacIntosh - Linebox

Regrets:

N/A

Subject: 315, portion of 321 Chapel Street

Meeting notes:

Opening & attendee introduction

- Introduction of meeting attendees
- Overview of proposal

Property was rezoned a few years ago. The intention for the land now is to be severed and new property to be developed. High sustainability aspirations for the project: endorsement through One Planet Living Program, LEED “Platinum” Certification with Zero Carbon and City of Ottawa High Performance Development Standards.

The site is contemplated as 315 Chapel Street and the portion of 321 Chapel Street. The severance of the 315 Chapel Street site and acquisition of the portion of 321 Chapel Street is proposed.

The corner site is located on the intersection of Laurier Avenue East and Blackburn Avenue. The western portion of the restaurant extends to Chapel Street. The site is located in Downtown Core Transect and designated as a Minor Corridor along Laurier Avenue East. Minor Corridor designation applies to all properties fronting on Laurier and extends on entirety of the property. The intent is to face this minor Corridor and address the relevant designation policies.

The subject site is part of the Central East Downtown Core Secondary Plan and is in Sandy Hill Character Area, designated as Corridor. In Secondary Plan this designation has a

maximum height of six storeys, however Zoning By-law Amendment permits taller development.

The site is designated as heritage property, but only a former church. Bate Memorial Hall is not part of this designation. The portion that is designated is designated under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*. The statement of significance mentions the grounds as an important component as site heritage. The site is within the pocket surrounded by Heritage Conservation Districts, but the site isn't actually located in any of the HCDs.

The site is zoned Residential Fifth Density, Urban Exception 2454 and Schedule 379 to the north. To the south of the parcel a holding symbol is included as well. A portion of 321 Chapel Street is zoned Residential Fourth Density, Subzone UB and is subject to Urban Exception 480.

Schedule 379 shows areas where building is permitted.

There are few adjustments that the Applicant is looking to undertake. A lot of them is to revise the schedule to make the building work better. A lot of work was done to follow the schedule thoroughly. One of the reliefs/adjustments includes the maximum building height. The heights in the schedule are based on elevations from sea levels, so there are some adjustments there. Some setbacks and stepbacks are proposed to be adjusted as well.

Other adjustments:

- Hotel lobby GFA – the applicant would like to change it a little bit;
- Removing the site from the heritage overlay (Section 60);
- Plus a few refinements to permitted projections over the height limit;
- Urban Exception 2454 permits a number of uses, including hotel use;
- Maximum building height is nine storeys that the applicant intends to maintain with few exceptions to the levels;
- The applicant also seeks to permit hotel use in storeys one to four where it's currently not permitted.

The applicant presented the slightly revised architectural package.

All the existing trees along Laurier Avenue East are intended for preservation and the applicant is working on an arborist report now.

Main entrance to the building is off of Blackburn Avenue, as well as parking off of Blackburn Avenue to the south.

Couple of levels of parking are anticipated. The applicant is in conversation with structural engineer in terms of what system will be used, so no specific can be provided as of now.

The ground floor specifies the intent to provide levels one to four for hotel use. Ground floor will be also dedicated for hotel lobby, lounge space, perhaps some commercial/retail uses on the corner. Several suits will face Blackburn. At the back – bicycle parking, garbage, etc. Second, third and fourth floors are hotel suits. 80 suits for the hotel are anticipated.

Fourth floor provides first stepback with terrace all along Blackburn Avenue, to the south and back of the lot. This is the area where the adjustment is anticipated to improve the massing of the building.

Upper floors have residential units. Sixth floor plan represent an example plan with balconies. There is a potential relief for balcony stepback from the property line.

Top floor shows a rooftop terrace area with allowed terrace area and setbacks that were identified in previous zoning amendment, so that terrace does not approach the edge of the building. The applicant is also looking into idea of having potentially some enclosed amenity space on the roof level that raises an item for discussion if it will be considered a nine-storey building vs. a ten-storey building. At the minimum, the applicant would like it to be permitted to have a washroom to make terrace as usable as possible.

The diagram in the package identifies areas where the applicant is requesting adjustments to zoning schedule.

Potential for height adjustment: the diagram in the package identifies all of the above sea levels proposed. What applicant is proposing is to raise the building from the third floor up to avoid the third-floor intersecting with existing church windows at the rear of the apse. It allows far better connection from the street – from Laurier Avenue East and Blackburn Avenue, visible from the exterior, and from the interior as it is intended to be all open lobby and common areas open to below. This provides good visibility of the apse from the exterior and interior of the building.

General massing from the street view was presented by the applicant.

The applicant wanted to highlight that the reason for asking for more height is only with respect to heritage considerations, to make the building function and display the apse much better. The hotel use relief is an adjustment for the current market.

Preliminary comments and questions from staff:

- Heritage
 - Treatment of the apse.
The initial package sent by the applicant included a proposal to remove the roof of the apse.
The applicant clarified that this is not an intention anymore. The entire apse wall will remain and will be exposed both to the interior lobby and from the exterior. The applicant is still working with structural engineers to comply with Building Code and build in a way that the fire wall does not rely on the existing apse roof (potential additional structure around). The roof won't necessarily be visible from hotel site as a result of this intervention, but the intention is to keep the stone wall visible.
 - Lobby facing Blackburn Avenue
Previous proposal had the visibility from Laurier, and the applicant confirmed that this visibility will be maintained with the revised proposal.
 - Process
Heritage application will have to go to Planning and Housing Committee and City Council.
Heritage Impact Assessment is required, both for the impacts and changes to the church building and impact on the Russel Range HCD. Plus, the strip of land along Blackburn Avenue (portion of 321 Chapel): the plan has a note about lot consolidations and severances need to be accompanied by Heritage Impact Assessment. The Heritage Impact Assessment should speak to this too. It all can be combined in one document.
- Urban Design
 - UDRP
The subject site is within Design Priority Corridor, and attendance to UDRP is required.
 - Question why the hotel use was restricted in the first place
From the City perspective, the building was intended to provide community hub functions on the ground and up to fourth floor.

- Transition to the south
It was advised to be cautious on how the building is getting pushed towards the south with the revised design as presented, including the very large balconies. Transition to the south and to the existing neighbourhood in the south was really important during the first zoning amendment. It's going to be a sensitive issue and perhaps some design mitigation of this impact will be required.
- Design Brief
Design Brief will be required. It is a separate submission from the UDRP submission.
- Planning
 - Question why the community hub on ground-level floors is not part of the revised proposal
The applicant anticipates developing the site with mass timber construction and it is not feasible anymore to provide community space with anticipated construction model, sustainability and heritage considerations. The synergy between the restaurant, the hotel, micro-mobility as a hub (conference spaces etc.), small retail/commerce, condos, and using the existing church as a community space provides better feasibility model for the project to move forward.
 - Transition to the south
It was advised to explore how south façade and especially balconies can work better as a transition to the neighbouring low-rise residential properties to the south.
 - Portion of property at 321 Chapel Street
How this strip will be developed? Will it be maintained as a landscaped buffer? Or will it be included as part of the building?
The building won't be extended but it helps to plan the parking garage and opens more of the ground floor for other functions. It will be partially ramp for driving down and closer to Chapel Street it will be a landscaped area.
It was advised to avoid more impact on the neighbouring property along Blackburn.
 - Rooftop Amenity
There is a precedent in the city where the rooftop amenity area was provided and wasn't calculated as an additional storey towards a building height. It was advised to keep it at a minimum, however, and to evaluate it from a streetview perspective. As seen from perspective views, there is also a canopy extent over the amenity area and potentially there will be an elevator runover. Keeping the height at street level as nine storeys is what the City will be looking at and evaluating the impact of the relief. Will the area of the outdoor rooftop amenity be increased? The applicant confirmed that there is a slight increase of this rooftop amenity area.
Will it be used as for hotel use or for residential use? The applicant would like to maintain the flexibility. Some restrictions may apply for hotel use in terms of hours of operation, but more information will be provided by City staff.
 - Reduction in parking compared with the initial proposal
Though the parking garage is being reduced compared with the initial proposal, the applicant has confirmed that the proposal is compliant with parking requirements of the Zoning By-law.

- Rooftop Amenity follow-up question
It was advised to keep it as small as possible. Also, information provided that in zoning provisions it will be limited to the area, to the use, and to the square footage of the interior space.
- Engineering
 - Fire wall
The request for fire wall requirements will be provided.
The barrier between the new building and the church will become a fire wall.
 - Stormwater Management
Control of the rooftop portion of the building is only required for stormwater management control. The rest of the site can be kept uncontrolled.
- Forestry
 - Tree Conservation Report
TCR will be required. It was confirmed by the applicant that Landscape Architect will be from Stantec and Andrew Boyd will be an Arborist.
The list of TCR requirements will be sent in follow-up email.
It was advised to identify all trees ownership in TCR as there are a lot of City trees surrounding the site.
- Transportation
 - Scoping report
It was asked from the applicant to clarify the side access from Chapel Street and indicate how it is intended to function
 - Further steps
It was advised to proceed with the Step 3 – Forecasting report
 - General comments
Will be provided with follow-up comments for this pre-consult.

Appendix C Water

C.1 Domestic Water Demand



330 Laurier Avenue E (All Saints) - Domestic Water Demand Estimates



Site Plan provided by Linebox Studio (2024-08-29)
 Project Number: 160401789

Population densities as per Table 4.1 of the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines:		
1 Bedroom	1.4	ppu
2 Bedroom	2.1	ppu
3 Bedroom	3.1	ppu

Demand conversion factors as per Table 4.2 of the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines:		
Residential	280	L/cap/day

Apartment Unit Type	Studio	Population	Avg. Day Demand		Max. Day Demand ¹		Peak Hour Demand ¹	
			(L/min)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(L/s)	(L/min)	(L/s)
1 Bedroom	36	50	9.8	0.2	24.5	0.4	53.9	0.9
1 Bedroom + Den ²	10	21	4.1	0.1	10.2	0.2	22.5	0.4
2 Bedroom	33	69	13.4	0.2	33.5	0.6	73.8	1.2
2 Bedroom + Den ²	6	19	3.7	0.1	9.2	0.2	20.3	0.3
3 Bedroom	5	16	3.1	0.1	7.8	0.1	17.1	0.3
3 Bedroom + Den ²	4	12	2.3	0.0	5.8	0.1	12.8	0.2
Studio	27	38	7.4	0.1	18.5	0.3	40.6	0.7
Total	121	225	43.8	0.7	109.6	1.8	241.1	4.0

Notes:

- Water demand criteria used to estimate peak demand rates for residential areas are as follows:
 maximum day demand rate = 2.5 × average day demand rate
 peak hour demand rate = 2.2 × maximum day demand rate (as per Technical Bulletin ISD-2010-02)
- Assumption that "1 Bedroom + Den" has density of 2.1 ppu, "2-Bedroom + den", and "3-Bedroom + Den" has density of 3.1 ppu

C.2 Fire Flow Demand (2020 FUS)





FUS Fire Flow Calculation Sheet - 2020 FUS Guidelines

Stantec Project #: 160401789
 Project Name: 330 Laurier Avenue East (Evergreen)
 Date: 5/9/2024

Fire Flow Calculation #: 1
 Description: 9-storey + Roof top patio medium-rise residential apartment

Notes: Floor areas taken from GFA schedule provided in site plan by Linebox Studios (2024-05-08)

Step	Task	Notes										Value Used	Req'd Fire Flow (L/min)
1	Determine Type of Construction	Type II - Noncombustible Construction / Type IV-A - Mass Timber Construction										0.8	-
2	Determine Effective Floor Area	Sum of Largest Floor + 25% of Two Additional Floors					Vertical Openings Protected?					YES	-
		847	1002	1129	911	940	959	850	850	850	84	1379.75	-
3	Determine Required Fire Flow	(F = 220 x C x A ^{1/2}). Round to nearest 1000 L/min										-	7000
4	Determine Occupancy Charge	Non-Combustible										-25%	5250
5	Determine Sprinkler Reduction	Conforms to NFPA 13										-30%	-2625
		Standard Water Supply										-10%	
		Fully Supervised										-10%	
		% Coverage of Sprinkler System										100%	
6	Determine Increase for Exposures (Max. 75%)	Direction	Exposure Distance (m)	Exposed Length (m)	Exposed Height (Stories)	Length-Height Factor (m x stories)	Construction of Adjacent Wall	Firewall / Sprinklered ?			-	-	
		North	> 30	0	0	0-20	Type V	NO			0%	2730	
		East	20.1 to 30	50.5	2	> 100	Type V	NO			10%		
		South	3.1 to 10	25.7	2	41-60	Type V	NO			17%		
		West	0 to 3	50.5	2	> 100	Type V	NO			25%		
7	Determine Final Required Fire Flow	Total Required Fire Flow in L/min, Rounded to Nearest 1000L/min											5000
		Total Required Fire Flow in L/s											83.3
		Required Duration of Fire Flow (hrs)											1.75
		Required Volume of Fire Flow (m ³)											525

C.3 Boundary Conditions (City of Ottawa)



Wu, Michael

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Sent: July 27, 2023 14:23
To: Wu, Michael
Cc: Moroz, Peter; Thiffault, Dustin
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Sanitary Sewer Capacity Confirmation
Attachments: 330 Laurier Avenue June 2023.pdf

Hello Michael,

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 330 Laurier Avenue, (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203 mm watermain on Blackburn Avenue OR the 203 mm watermain on Laurier Avenue (see attached PDF for location).

Both Connections:

Min HGL: 106.0 m

Max HGL: 115.4 m

Max Day + FF (83.3 L/s): 107.3 m (Blackburn Connection)

Max Day + FF (83.3 L/s): 108.2 m (Laurier Connection)

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Thanks
Nishant

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: July 27, 2023 1:15 PM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Sanitary Sewer Capacity Confirmation

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Perfect, thanks for the quick response, Nishant!

On a side note, regarding the boundary conditions we received, the subject of the request was intended to be the watermain on Blackburn Avenue, where I expect the proposed building be serviced from, while the BC for the watermain on Laurier Avenue East was intended as a supplementary measure.

As such, I was wondering if there is a timeline on when we can expect the boundary conditions for Blackburn Avenue?

Thanks,

Michael Wu EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033

Michael.Wu@stantec.com

Stantec

300-1331 Clyde Avenue

Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2023 1:09 PM

To: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>

Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>

Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Sanitary Sewer Capacity Confirmation

Hi Michael, there is no concerns with proposed sanitary sewer discharge rate on both the streets.

Thanks

Nishant

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>

Sent: July 27, 2023 11:31 AM

To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>

Subject: 330 Laurier Avenue East Sanitary Sewer Capacity Confirmation

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

As a quick follow-up, Nishant, we would also like to confirm if the 300 mm diameter sanitary sewers on Blackburn Avenue and the 375 mm diameter sanitary sewers on Laurier Avenue East downstream from the site has the capacity to receive an additional 3.2 L/s of peak flow from the site.

Attached is the sanitary calculation sheet for your information.

Thanks,

Michael Wu EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033
Michael.Wu@stantec.com

Stantec
300-1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Wu, Michael

Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2023 4:13 PM

To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>

Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>

Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

Hi Nishant, thanks for the response. Any chance you could also provide the boundary conditions for the watermain in Blackburn Avenue?

Michael Wu EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033
Michael.Wu@stantec.com

Stantec
300-1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>

Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 9:56 AM

To: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>

Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>

Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

Hello

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 330 Laurier Avenue, (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203 mm watermain on Laurier Avenue (see attached PDF for location).

Min HGL: 106.0 m

Max HGL: 115.4 m

Max Day + FF (83.3 L/s): 108.2 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Thanks

Nishant Jhamb, P.Eng
Project Manager | Gestionnaire de projet
Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department
Development Review - Central Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 23112, nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: July 20, 2023 8:51 AM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Morning Nishant, just wanted to follow-up if the Water Resource group has provided the boundary conditions.

Thanks,

Michael Wu EIT
Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development
Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033
Michael.Wu@stantec.com
Stantec
300-1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 12, 2023 10:07 AM
To: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

Hello Michael,

I have submitted the request to Water Resource group. Please follow up in 2 weeks if you don't hear back.

In the meanwhile, can you please confirm if the following requirement from FUS 2020 will be met in the design of building. If not please revise the effective area calculation.

Please note an email confirmation will be required from the Architect at the time of Site plan submission.

FUS 2020 Requirement

Subdividing Buildings (Vertical Firewalls)

In determining Total Effective Area, a building may be subdivided if a vertical firewall with a fire-resistance rating of not less than 2 hours, and meeting the requirements of the National Building Code exists. If the firewall is properly constructed and all openings are properly protected in accordance with the NBC, then the boundary can be treated as protected with no exposure charge.

Notes:

Thanks

Nishant Jhamb, P.Eng
Project Manager | Gestionnaire de projet
Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department
Development Review - Central Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 23112, nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: June 07, 2023 2:18 PM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good afternoon, Nishant:

We would like to request hydraulic boundary conditions for the proposed residential development on 330 Laurier Avenue East. The proposed nine-storey residential building comprises of a total of 105 apartment units (8 studio, 1 one-bedroom, 42 one-bedroom with den, 23 two-bedroom, 30 two-bedroom with den, and 1 three-bedroom). The site is projected to serve 245 residents.

The proposed site is expected to be serviced via connections to the existing 203 mm diameter watermain on Blackburn Avenue, though we would also like to request boundary conditions from the 203 mm diameter watermain on Laurier Avenue East. Estimated domestic demands based on the City of Ottawa guidelines and fire flow requirements for the site are as follows:

- Domestic demands:
 - Average Day Demand: 0.8 L/s (47.7 L/min)
 - Maximum Day Demand: 2.0 L/s (119.2 L/min)
 - Peak Hour Demand: 4.4 L/s (262.2 L/min)
- Fire Flow Demand per FUS (2020) methodology: 83.3 L/s (5000 L/min)

Attached are the boundary condition map, building unit breakdown, and water demand and fire flow calculations for your information.

We appreciate your time looking into this for us, and please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or comments.

Thanks,

Michael Wu, EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Work: (613) 738-6033

Mobile: (613) 858-0548

michael.wu@stantec.com

Stantec

300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue

Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.

Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Appendix D Sanitary

D.1 Sanitary Sewer Flow





SUBDIVISION:
330 Laurier Avenue E.
 DATE: 2024-09-10
 REVISION: 1
 DESIGNED BY: MW
 CHECKED BY:

**SANITARY SEWER
 DESIGN SHEET**
 (City of Ottawa)

FILE NUMBER: 160401789

DESIGN PARAMETERS

MAX PEAK FACTOR (RES.)=	4.0	AVG. DAILY FLOW / PERSON	280 l/p/day	MINIMUM VELOCITY	0.60 m/s
MIN PEAK FACTOR (RES.)=	2.0	COMMERCIAL	28,000 l/ha/day	MAXIMUM VELOCITY	3.00 m/s
PEAKING FACTOR (INDUSTRIAL):	2.4	INDUSTRIAL (HEAVY)	55,000 l/ha/day	MANNINGS n	0.013
PEAKING FACTOR (ICI >20%):	1.5	INDUSTRIAL (LIGHT)	35,000 l/ha/day	BEDDING CLASS	B
PERSONS / 1 BEDROOM	1.4	INSTITUTIONAL	28,000 l/ha/day	MINIMUM COVER	2.50 m
PERSONS / 2 BEDROOM	2.1	INFILTRATION	0.33 l/s/ha	HARMON CORRECTION FACTOR	0.8
PERSONS / 3 BEDROOM	3.1				

LOCATION			RESIDENTIAL AREA AND POPULATION								COMMERCIAL	INDUSTRIAL (L)		INDUSTRIAL (H)		INSTITUTIONAL		GREEN / UNUSED		C+H	INFILTRATION			TOTAL	PIPE								
AREA ID NUMBER	FROM M.H.	TO M.H.	AREA (ha)	UNITS 1 BED	UNITS 2 BED	UNITS 3 BED	POP.	CUMULATIVE AREA (ha)	POP.	PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	TOTAL AREA (ha)	ACCU. AREA (ha)	INFILT. FLOW (l/s)	FLOW (l/s)	LENGTH (m)	DIA (mm)	MATERIAL	CLASS	SLOPE (%)	CAP. (FULL) (l/s)	CAP. V. PEAK FLOW (%)	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)	VEL. (ACT.) (m/s)
BLDG	BLDG	EXISTING	0.180	63	43	15	225	0.180	225	3.50	2.6	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.028	0.029	0.000	0.208	0.208	0.1	2.6	45.3	200	PVC	SDR 35	0.50	23.6	11.09%	0.74	0.41

- Notes
- Unit counts taken from Site Plan with revision dated August 2024
 - Studio units considered One Bedroom, One bedroom with den considered Two bedroom; Two bedrooms with den and Three bedrooms with considered as Three bedroom

D.2 Sanitary Sewer Capacity



Wu, Michael

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Sent: July 27, 2023 13:09
To: Wu, Michael
Cc: Moroz, Peter; Thiffault, Dustin
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Sanitary Sewer Capacity Confirmation

Hi Michael, there is no concerns with proposed sanitary sewer discharge rate on both the streets.

Thanks
Nishant

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: July 27, 2023 11:31 AM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: 330 Laurier Avenue East Sanitary Sewer Capacity Confirmation

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

As a quick follow-up, Nishant, we would also like to confirm if the 300 mm diameter sanitary sewers on Blackburn Avenue and the 375 mm diameter sanitary sewers on Laurier Avenue East downstream from the site has the capacity to receive an additional 3.2 L/s of peak flow from the site.

Attached is the sanitary calculation sheet for your information.

Thanks,

Michael Wu EIT
Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033
Michael.Wu@stantec.com

Stantec
300-1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Wu, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, July 25, 2023 4:13 PM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

Hi Nishant, thanks for the response. Any chance you could also provide the boundary conditions for the watermain in Blackburn Avenue?

Michael Wu EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033

Michael.Wu@stantec.com

Stantec

300-1331 Clyde Avenue

Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>

Sent: Thursday, July 20, 2023 9:56 AM

To: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>

Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>

Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

Hello

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 330 Laurier Avenue, (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203 mm watermain on Laurier Avenue (see attached PDF for location).

Min HGL: 106.0 m

Max HGL: 115.4 m

Max Day + FF (83.3 L/s): 108.2 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

Thanks

Nishant Jhamb, P.Eng

Project Manager | Gestionnaire de projet

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department

Development Review - Central Branch

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 23112, nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: July 20, 2023 8:51 AM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Morning Nishant, just wanted to follow-up if the Water Resource group has provided the boundary conditions.

Thanks,

Michael Wu EIT
Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development
Direct: 1 (613) 738-6033
Michael.Wu@stantec.com
Stantec
300-1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

From: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Sent: Monday, June 12, 2023 10:07 AM
To: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <Dustin.Thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: RE: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

Hello Michael,

I have submitted the request to Water Resource group. Please follow up in 2 weeks if you don't hear back.

In the meanwhile, can you please confirm if the following requirement from FUS 2020 will be met in the design of building. If not please revise the effective area calculation.

Please note an email confirmation will be required from the Architect at the time of Site plan submission.

FUS 2020 Requirement

Subdividing Buildings (Vertical Firewalls)

In determining Total Effective Area, a building may be subdivided if a vertical firewall with a fire-resistance rating of not less than 2 hours, and meeting the requirements of the National Building Code exists. If the firewall is properly constructed and all openings are properly protected in accordance with the NBC, then the boundary can be treated as protected with no exposure charge.

Notes:

Thanks

Nishant Jhamb, P.Eng
Project Manager | Gestionnaire de projet
Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department
Development Review - Central Branch
City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa
110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1
613.580.2424 ext./poste 23112, nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca

From: Wu, Michael <Michael.Wu@stantec.com>
Sent: June 07, 2023 2:18 PM
To: Jhamb, Nishant <nishant.jhamb@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Moroz, Peter <peter.moroz@stantec.com>; Thiffault, Dustin <dustin.thiffault@stantec.com>
Subject: 330 Laurier Avenue East Boundary Condition Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Good afternoon, Nishant:

We would like to request hydraulic boundary conditions for the proposed residential development on 330 Laurier Avenue East. The proposed nine-storey residential building comprises of a total of 105 apartment units (8 studio, 1 one-bedroom, 42 one-bedroom with den, 23 two-bedroom, 30 two-bedroom with den, and 1 three-bedroom). The site is projected to serve 245 residents.

The proposed site is expected to be serviced via connections to the existing 203 mm diameter watermain on Blackburn Avenue, though we would also like to request boundary conditions from the 203 mm diameter watermain on Laurier Avenue East. Estimated domestic demands based on the City of Ottawa guidelines and fire flow requirements for the site are as follows:

- Domestic demands:
 - Average Day Demand: 0.8 L/s (47.7 L/min)
 - Maximum Day Demand: 2.0 L/s (119.2 L/min)
 - Peak Hour Demand: 4.4 L/s (262.2 L/min)
- Fire Flow Demand per FUS (2020) methodology: 83.3 L/s (5000 L/min)

Attached are the boundary condition map, building unit breakdown, and water demand and fire flow calculations for your information.

We appreciate your time looking into this for us, and please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions or comments.

Thanks,

Michael Wu, EIT

Civil Engineering Intern, Community Development

Work: (613) 738-6033

Mobile: (613) 858-0548

michael.wu@stantec.com

Stantec

300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue

Ottawa ON K2C 3G4



The content of this email is the confidential property of Stantec and should not be copied, modified, retransmitted, or used for any purpose except with Stantec's written authorization. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete all copies and notify us immediately.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.

Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.

Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Caution: This email originated from outside of Stantec. Please take extra precaution.


Attention: Ce courriel provient de l'extérieur de Stantec. Veuillez prendre des précautions supplémentaires.

Atención: Este correo electrónico proviene de fuera de Stantec. Por favor, tome precauciones adicionales.

Appendix E Storm



W:\active\160401789\design\drawing\Detailed Design\160401789-DB.dwg
2024/09/12 6:00 PM By: Palangi, Jupiter



AUG. 2024
160401789

ORIGINAL SHEET - ANSI B

Stantec
Stantec Consulting Ltd.
300 - 1331 Clyde Avenue
Ottawa ON
Tel. 613.722.4420
www.stantec.com

Legend

	<p>AREA ID</p> <p>RUNOFF COEFFICIENT</p> <p>STORM DRAINAGE AREA ha.</p>		<p>EXISTING DIRECTION OF OVERLAND FLOW</p>
	<p>EXISTING STORM SEWER</p>		<p>EXISTING CATCHBASIN</p>
	<p>EXISTING STORM DRAINAGE BOUNDARY</p>		

Client/Project
WINDMILL DEVELOPMENT GROUP LTD.
WINDMILL - ALL SAINTS
High-Rise Development - Zoning By-law and Official Plan Amendment

Figure No.
3.0

Title
**EXISTING
STORM DRAINAGE PLAN**

Stormwater Management Calculations

File No: **160401789**
 Project: **Evergreen on Blackburn**
 Date: **13-Sep-24**

SWM Approach:
 Ground Uncontrolled, Roof to 2-Year

Pre-Development Site Conditions: Assumed Severed Parcel for All Saints 9-Storey Building

Overall Runoff Coefficient for Site and Sub-Catchment Areas

Runoff Coefficient Table										
Catchment Type	Sub-catchment Area		Area (ha) "A"	Runoff Coefficient "C"		"A x C"	Overall Runoff Coefficient			
	ID / Description									
Uncontrolled to City Street	Bates Hall, Apse, Roof, Walk	Hard	0.091	0.9	0.082					
		Soft	0.092	0.2	0.018					
		Subtotal			0.183		0.100	0.55		
			Hard	0.000	0.9	0.000				
			Soft	0.000	0.2	0.000				
		Subtotal			0.000		0.000			
			Hard	0.000	0.9	0.000				
			Soft	0.000	0.2	0.000				
		Subtotal			0.000		0.000			
			Hard	0.000	0.9	0.000				
			Soft	0.000	0.2	0.000				
		Subtotal			0.000		0.000			
		Hard	0.000	0.9	0.000					
		Soft	0.000	0.2	0.000					
	Subtotal			0.000		0.000				
Total					0.183		0.100			
Overall Runoff Coefficient= C:									0.55	

Total Roof Areas	0.000 ha
Total Tributary Surface Areas (Controlled and Uncontrolled)	0.183 ha
Total Tributary Area to Outlet	0.183 ha
 Total Uncontrolled Areas (Non-Tributary)	 0.000 ha
 Total Site	 <u>0.183 ha</u>

Stormwater Management Calculations

File No: **160401789**
 Project: **All Saints**
 Date: **13-Sep-24**

SWM Approach:
 Ground Uncontrolled, Roof to 2-Year

Post-Development Site Conditions:

Overall Runoff Coefficient for Site and Sub-Catchment Areas

Runoff Coefficient Table										
Catchment Type	Sub-catchment Area	ID / Description		Area (ha) "A"	Runoff Coefficient "C"		"A x C"		Overall Runoff Coefficient	
Uncontrolled - Ground Level Open above only	UNC-1		Hard	0.019		0.9	0.017			
			Soft	0.011		0.2	0.002			
			Subtotal			0.030			0.019	0.64
Uncontrolled - Ground Level Open above only	UNC-2		Hard	0.0077		0.9	0.007			
			Soft	0.0043		0.2	0.001			
			Subtotal			0.012			0.008	0.65
Controlled - Roof	Terrace and Roof		Hard	0.0700		0.9	0.063			
			Hard	0.0315		0.9	0.028			
			Soft	0.0395		0.2	0.008			
	Subtotal			0.141			0.099	0.70		
				Hard	0.000		0.9	0.000		
				Soft	0.000		0.2	0.000		
	Subtotal			0.000				0.000		
				Hard	0.000		0.9	0.000		
				Soft	0.000		0.2	0.000		
	Subtotal			0.000				0.000		
			Hard	0.000		0.9	0.000			
			Soft	0.000		0.2	0.000			
Subtotal			0.000				0.000			
Total Site					0.183		0.126		0.69	

Total Roof Areas	0.000 ha
Total Tributary Surface Areas (Controlled and Uncontrolled)	0.183 ha
Total Tributary Area to Outlet	0.183 ha
 Total Uncontrolled Areas (Non-Tributary)	 0.000 ha
 Total Site	 0.183 ha

Stormwater Management Calculations

Project #160401789, Evergreen on Blackburn
Modified Rational Method Calculations for Storage

<p>2 yr Intensity City of Ottawa</p>	$I = a/(t + b)^c$ <table border="1" style="margin-left: 20px;"> <tr><td>a =</td><td>732.951</td></tr> <tr><td>b =</td><td>6.199</td></tr> <tr><td>c =</td><td>0.81</td></tr> </table>	a =	732.951	b =	6.199	c =	0.81	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;">t (min)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">I (mm/hr)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>10</td><td>76.81</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>52.03</td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td>40.04</td></tr> <tr><td>40</td><td>32.86</td></tr> <tr><td>50</td><td>28.04</td></tr> <tr><td>60</td><td>24.56</td></tr> <tr><td>70</td><td>21.91</td></tr> <tr><td>80</td><td>19.83</td></tr> <tr><td>90</td><td>18.14</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>16.75</td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>15.57</td></tr> <tr><td>120</td><td>14.56</td></tr> </tbody> </table>	t (min)	I (mm/hr)	10	76.81	20	52.03	30	40.04	40	32.86	50	28.04	60	24.56	70	21.91	80	19.83	90	18.14	100	16.75	110	15.57	120	14.56																																														
a =	732.951																																																																															
b =	6.199																																																																															
c =	0.81																																																																															
t (min)	I (mm/hr)																																																																															
10	76.81																																																																															
20	52.03																																																																															
30	40.04																																																																															
40	32.86																																																																															
50	28.04																																																																															
60	24.56																																																																															
70	21.91																																																																															
80	19.83																																																																															
90	18.14																																																																															
100	16.75																																																																															
110	15.57																																																																															
120	14.56																																																																															
2 YEAR Predevelopment Target Release from Portion of Site																																																																																
<p>Subdrainage Area: Predevelopment Tributary Area to Outlet Area (ha): 0.141 C: 0.50</p> <p>Typical Time of Concentration</p> <table border="1" style="margin-left: auto; margin-right: auto;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 15%;">tc (min)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">I (2 yr) (mm/hr)</th> <th style="width: 15%;">Qtarget (L/s)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>10</td> <td>76.81</td> <td>15.1</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			tc (min)	I (2 yr) (mm/hr)	Qtarget (L/s)	10	76.81	15.1																																																																								
tc (min)	I (2 yr) (mm/hr)	Qtarget (L/s)																																																																														
10	76.81	15.1																																																																														
2 YEAR Modified Rational Method from Portion of Site																																																																																
<p>Subdrainage Area: Terrace and Roof Controlled - Roof Area (ha): 0.141 C: 0.70</p>																																																																																
<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 10%;">tc (min)</th> <th style="width: 10%;">I (5 yr) (mm/hr)</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Qactual (L/s)</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Qrelease (L/s)</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Qstored (L/s)</th> <th style="width: 10%;">Vstored (m³)</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td>10</td><td>76.81</td><td>21.2</td><td>15.1</td><td>6.1</td><td>3.7</td></tr> <tr><td>20</td><td>52.03</td><td>14.4</td><td>14.4</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>30</td><td>40.04</td><td>11.0</td><td>11.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>40</td><td>32.86</td><td>9.1</td><td>9.1</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>50</td><td>28.04</td><td>7.7</td><td>7.7</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>60</td><td>24.56</td><td>6.8</td><td>6.8</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>70</td><td>21.91</td><td>6.0</td><td>6.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>80</td><td>19.83</td><td>5.5</td><td>5.5</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>90</td><td>18.14</td><td>5.0</td><td>5.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>100</td><td>16.75</td><td>4.6</td><td>4.6</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>110</td><td>15.57</td><td>4.3</td><td>4.3</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> <tr><td>120</td><td>14.56</td><td>4.0</td><td>4.0</td><td>0.0</td><td>0.0</td></tr> </tbody> </table>			tc (min)	I (5 yr) (mm/hr)	Qactual (L/s)	Qrelease (L/s)	Qstored (L/s)	Vstored (m ³)	10	76.81	21.2	15.1	6.1	3.7	20	52.03	14.4	14.4	0.0	0.0	30	40.04	11.0	11.0	0.0	0.0	40	32.86	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0	50	28.04	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0	60	24.56	6.8	6.8	0.0	0.0	70	21.91	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	80	19.83	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.0	90	18.14	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0	100	16.75	4.6	4.6	0.0	0.0	110	15.57	4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0	120	14.56	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0
tc (min)	I (5 yr) (mm/hr)	Qactual (L/s)	Qrelease (L/s)	Qstored (L/s)	Vstored (m ³)																																																																											
10	76.81	21.2	15.1	6.1	3.7																																																																											
20	52.03	14.4	14.4	0.0	0.0																																																																											
30	40.04	11.0	11.0	0.0	0.0																																																																											
40	32.86	9.1	9.1	0.0	0.0																																																																											
50	28.04	7.7	7.7	0.0	0.0																																																																											
60	24.56	6.8	6.8	0.0	0.0																																																																											
70	21.91	6.0	6.0	0.0	0.0																																																																											
80	19.83	5.5	5.5	0.0	0.0																																																																											
90	18.14	5.0	5.0	0.0	0.0																																																																											
100	16.75	4.6	4.6	0.0	0.0																																																																											
110	15.57	4.3	4.3	0.0	0.0																																																																											
120	14.56	4.0	4.0	0.0	0.0																																																																											
SUMMARY TO OUTLET																																																																																
<p>Tributary Area 0.141 ha Total 2yr Flow 21.2 L/s Target 15.1 L/s Storage Volume 3.7 m³</p>																																																																																

Date: 9/13/2024

Stantec Consulting Ltd.

mrm_2024-09-13_SWM-LEED.xlsm, Modified RM (Roof Only)

\\ca0218-ppfss01\work_group\01-604\active\160401789\design\analysis\Detailed Design\swm\

Stormwater Management Calculations

Project #160401789, Evergreen on Blackburn
 Modified Rational Method Calculations for Storage

100 yr Intensity City of Ottawa	$I = a/(t + b)^c$		a =	1735.688	t (min)	I (mm/hr)
			b =	6.014	10	178.56
			c =	0.820	20	119.95
					30	91.87
					40	75.15
					50	63.95
					60	55.89
					70	49.79
					80	44.99
					90	41.11
				100	37.90	
				110	35.20	
				120	32.89	

100 YEAR Predevelopment Target Release from Portion of Site	
Subdrainage Area: Predevelopment Tributary Area to Outlet	
Area (ha):	0.141
C:	0.63
1.25 Multiplier Applied to Pre-development Condition	
Estimated Time of Concentration after Development	
tc	I (100 yr)
(min)	(mm/hr)
10	178.56
100yr	Q100yr
(L/s)	(L/s)
43.7	

100 YEAR Modified Rational Method from Portion of Site					
Subdrainage Area: Terrace and Roof					
Controlled - Roof					
Area (ha):	0.141				
C:	0.88				
1.25 Multiplier Applied to Post-development Condition					
tc	I (100 yr)	Qactual	Qrelease	Qstored	Vstored
(min)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m ³)
10	178.56	61.6	15.1	46.5	27.9
20	119.95	41.4	15.1	26.3	31.6
30	91.87	31.7	15.1	16.6	29.9
40	75.15	25.9	15.1	10.9	26.1
50	63.95	22.1	15.1	7.0	21.0
60	55.89	19.3	15.1	4.2	15.2
70	49.79	17.2	15.1	2.1	8.9
80	44.99	15.5	15.1	0.5	2.2
90	41.11	14.2	14.2	0.0	0.0
100	37.90	13.1	13.1	0.0	0.0
110	35.20	12.1	12.1	0.0	0.0
120	32.89	11.3	11.3	0.0	0.0

SUMMARY TO OUTLET	
Tributary Area	0.141 ha
Total 100yr Flow	61.6 L/s
Target	15.1 L/s
Storage Volume	31.6 m ³

Date: 9/13/2024

Stantec Consulting Ltd.

mrm_2024-09-13_SWM-LEED.xlsm, Modified RM (Roof Only)

\\ca0218-ppfss01\work_group\01-604\active\160401789\design\analysis\Detailed Design\swm\