

## **Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment**

370 Athlone Avenue  
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Tony Zacconi & Mr. David Aston

Report: PE6096-1  
June 14, 2023

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>PAGE</b>
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY.....	iii
1.0 INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1 Site Description .....	1
1.2 Property Ownership.....	1
1.3 Applicable Site Condition Standard .....	2
2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION.....	2
2.1 Physical Setting .....	2
3.0 SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION .....	2
3.1 Overview of Site Investigation .....	2
3.2 Media Investigated .....	3
3.3 Phase I ESA Conceptual Site Model .....	3
3.4 Deviations from the Sampling and Analysis Plan .....	5
3.5 Physical Impediments.....	5
4.0 INVESTIGATION METHOD .....	6
4.1 Subsurface Investigation .....	6
4.2 Soil Sampling.....	6
4.3 Field Screening Measurements .....	7
4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation .....	7
4.5 Groundwater Sampling.....	8
4.6 Analytical Testing .....	8
4.7 Residue Management.....	9
4.8 Elevation Surveying.....	9
5.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION .....	9
5.1 Geology .....	9
5.2 Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient .....	10
5.3 Fine/Coarse Soil Texture .....	10
5.4 Field Screening.....	10
5.5 Soil Quality .....	11
5.6 Groundwater Quality.....	15
5.8 Phase II Conceptual Site Model .....	18
6.0 CONCLUSIONS .....	23
7.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS .....	26

---

## List of Figures

Figure 1 – Key Plan

Drawing PE6096-1 – Site Plan

Drawing PE6096-2 – Surrounding Land Use Plan

Drawing PE6096-3 – Test Hole Location Plan

Drawing PE6096-4 – Analytical Testing Plan – Soil

Drawing PE6096-5 – Analytical Testing Plan – Groundwater

## List of Appendices

Appendix 1    Sampling and Analysis Plan  
                  Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets  
                  Symbols and Terms  
                  Laboratory Certificates of Analysis

## **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

### **Assessment**

Based on the findings of this assessment, PAH and metal impacted fill was identified in the northwestern portion of the Phase II Property. Given the low solubility of PAH and metal parameters, in combination with visual observations made during the field program and analytical testing, the impacts are expected to be confined to the fill layer. There is no risk to the current use of the Phase II Property since the fill layer is below concrete slab.

It is our understanding that the Phase II Property may be redeveloped in the future. As such, the contaminated soil could be fully delineated and remediated in conjunction with site redevelopment. This contaminated soil will require disposal at a licensed waste disposal facility. Prior to off-site disposal of impacted soil at a licensed waste disposal facility, a leachate analysis of a representative sample of contaminated soil must be conducted in accordance with Ontario Regulation 347/558.

Groundwater impacted by VOCs (cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene) was identified in all well locations at the Phase II ESA. The VOC exceedances are suspected to be a result of a former off-site dry cleaners 70m south of the Phase II Property.

It is our opinion that the contaminated groundwater and soil do not pose a risk to the current use of the subject building, although further assessment would be required to confirm this opinion. However, the presence of contaminated groundwater and soil does pose a liability to the site.

Given that there is contaminated groundwater on-site and that the source of the cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene in all boreholes is expected to be a former off-site dry-cleaning facility, remediation of the groundwater to meet the generic MECP standards would not likely be a feasible option. In lieu of a generic remediation, a due diligence risk assessment should be completed to assess the risk to the current use of the land and any required risk mitigation measures that would be required to be implemented in any future developments. Further information can be provided upon request.

## Recommendations

### Soil and Groundwater

Based on the findings of this assessment, PAH and metal impacted fill was identified in the northwestern portion of the Phase II Property. Given the low solubility of PAH and metal parameters, in combination with visual observations made during the field program and analytical testing, the impacts are expected to be confined to the fill layer. There is no risk to the current use of the Phase II Property since the fill layer is below concrete slab.

It is our understanding that the Phase II Property may be redeveloped in the future. As such, the contaminated soil could be fully delineated and remediated in conjunction with site redevelopment. This contaminated soil will require disposal at a licensed waste disposal facility. Prior to off-site disposal of impacted soil at a licensed waste disposal facility, a leachate analysis of a representative sample of contaminated soil must be conducted in accordance with Ontario Regulation 347/558.

Groundwater impacted by VOCs (cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene) was identified in all well locations at the Phase II ESA. The VOC exceedances are suspected to be a result of a former off-site dry cleaners 70m south of the Phase II Property.

It is our opinion that the contaminated groundwater and soil do not pose a risk to the current use of the subject building, although further assessment would be required to confirm this opinion. However, the presence of contaminated groundwater and soil does pose a liability to the site.

Given that there is contaminated groundwater on-site and that the source of the cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene in all boreholes is expected to be a former off-site dry-cleaning facility, remediation of the groundwater to meet the generic MECP standards would not likely be a feasible option. In lieu of a generic remediation, a due diligence risk assessment should be completed to assess the risk to the current use of the land and any required risk mitigation measures that would be required to be implemented in any future developments. Further information can be provided upon request.

### Monitoring Wells

It is recommended that the monitoring wells be maintained for future sampling purposes. The monitoring wells will be registered with the MECP under Ontario Regulation 903 (Ontario Water Resources Act). At such a time that the monitoring wells are no longer required, they must be decommissioned in accordance with O.Reg. 903.

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

At the request of Mr. Tony Zacconi and Mr. David Aston, Paterson Group (Paterson) conducted a Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II-ESA) for the property addressed 370 Athlone Avenue, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario (the Phase II Property).

The purpose of this Phase II-ESA has been to address the areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) identified on the Phase II Property as a result of the findings of the Phase I-ESA.

### 1.1 Site Description

Address: 370 Athlone Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario.

Location: The Phase I Property is located on the west side of Athlone Avenue, approximately 45m north of the Richmond Road and Athlone Avenue intersection, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. Refer to Figure 1 - Key Plan in the Figures section following the text.

Latitude and Longitude: 45° 23' 37.932" N, 75° 45' 5.292" W

#### Site Description:

Configuration: Rectangular.

Area: 0.05 ha (approximately).

Zoning: R4UB – Fourth Density Residential Zone.

Current Use: The Phase I ESA Property is currently occupied with a residential dwelling with associated storage shed and garage.

Services: The Phase I ESA Property is situated in a municipally serviced area.

### 1.2 Property Ownership

The Phase II Property is currently owned by Mr. Tony Zacconi. Paterson was retained to complete this Phase II-ESA by Mr. Tony Zacconi.

## 1.3 Applicable Site Condition Standard

The site condition standards for the subject property were obtained from Table 3 of the document entitled, “*Soil, Ground Water and Sediment Standards for Use Under Part XV.1 of the Environmental Protection Act*”, prepared by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP), and dated April 15, 2011. The selected MECP standards are based on the following considerations:

- Full depth soil conditions;
- Coarse-grained soil conditions;
- Non-potable groundwater conditions;
- Residential land use.

Coarse-grained soil standards were chosen as a conservative approach. Grain size analysis was not completed.

## 2.0 BACKGROUND INFORMATION

### 2.1 Physical Setting

A one-storey residential building with a full basement level, a storage shed and a detached 2-car garage are present on the Phase I Property. The majority of the residence is considered to be the original building constructed in 1942 and is currently heated with a natural gas fired furnace. The residential dwelling is finished on the exterior with vinyl siding and with a sloped shingled roof. The car garage is finished on the exterior with wood siding and has a sloped shingled roof. The storage shed is finished on the exterior with concrete blocks with a slanted roof.

## 3.0 SCOPE OF INVESTIGATION

### 3.1 Overview of Site Investigation

The subsurface investigation for this assessment was conducted on May 18, 2023 and consisted of drilling three boreholes (BH1-23 to BH3-23) across the Phase II Property.

The boreholes were advanced to a depth of 7.60m depth below the existing ground surface and terminated within the bedrock. All boreholes (BH1-23 – BH3-23) were completed with groundwater monitoring well installations in order to access the groundwater table.

## 3.2 Media Investigated

During the course of this subsurface investigation, soil and groundwater samples were obtained from the Phase II Property and submitted for laboratory analysis. The rationale for sampling and analyzing these media is based on the contaminants of potential concern identified in the Phase I ESA.

The contaminants of potential concern for the soil and/or groundwater on the Phase II Property include the following:

- Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX);
- Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4);
- Volatile organic compounds (VOCs);
- Metals;
- PAHs.

Fill of questionable quality was identified during the drilling program which resulted in the addition of PAHs and metal parameters to the contaminants of potential concern identified in the Phase I ESA. These CPCs have the potential to be present in the soil matrix and/or the groundwater situated beneath the Phase I Property.

## 3.3 Phase I ESA Conceptual Site Model

### Geological and Hydrogeological Setting

The Geological Survey of Canada website on the Urban Geology of the National Capital Area was reviewed as part of this assessment. Based on the available information, the bedrock in the area of the subject site consists of interbedded limestone and dolomite of the Gull River Formation. The surficial geology consists of glacial till plains, with an overburden thickness ranging from approximately 2 m to 3 m.

Groundwater is anticipated to be encountered within the bedrock and flow in a northerly direction towards the Ottawa River.

### Water Bodies and Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest

No water bodies or areas of natural and scientific interest were identified within the Phase I study area. The nearest named water body with respect to the subject site is the Ottawa River, located approximately 750 m to the northwest.



## Drinking Water Wells

Based on the availability of municipal services, no drinking water wells are expected to be present within the Phase I Study Area.

## Neighbouring Land Use

The neighbouring lands within the Phase I study area consist of residential and commercial properties. Current land use is shown on Drawing PE6096-2 – Surrounding Land Use Plan, in the Figures section of this report.

## Potentially Contaminating Activities and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

As per Section 7.1 of the Phase I ESA report, four potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) resulting in three areas of potential environmental concern (APECs), were identified on the Phase I Property. These APECs include:

<b>Table 1 Areas of Potential Environmental Concern</b>					
<b>APEC</b>	<b>Location of APEC</b>	<b>PCA (O. Reg. 153/04 – Table 2)</b>	<b>Location of PCA</b>	<b>Contaminants of Potential Concern</b>	<b>Media Potentially Impacted</b>
<b>APEC #1</b> Former Auto body shop	Western Portion of Subject Site	<i>“Item 10: Commercial Autobody Shops”</i>	0 m West	BTEX PHCs (F <sub>1</sub> -F <sub>4</sub> ) VOCs	Soil and/or Groundwater
<b>APEC #2</b> Former retail fuel outlet with one (1) UST and Former auto service garage	Eastern Portion of Subject Site	<i>“Item 28: Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks”</i>  <i>“Item 52: Storage, Maintenance, Fuelling, and Repair of Equipment, Vehicles, and Material Used to Maintain Transportation Systems”</i>	40 m Southeast	BTEX PHCs (F <sub>1</sub> -F <sub>4</sub> )	Soil and/or Groundwater
<b>APEC #3</b> Former dry cleaners	Southern Portion of Subject Site	<i>Item 37 – Operation of Dry Cleaning Equipment (where chemicals are used)</i>	70 m South	VOCs	Soil and/or Groundwater

Other off-site PCAs were identified within the Phase I Study Area but were deemed not to be of any environmental concern to the Phase I Property based on their separation distances as well as their inferred down-gradient or cross-gradient orientation with respect to anticipated groundwater flow to the north.

Fill of questionable quality was identified during the drilling program. The fill of a questionable quality is considered to be a fourth APEC on the Phase II Property.

### **Contaminants of Potential Concern**

The contaminants of potential concern (CPCs) associated with the aforementioned APECs are considered to be:

- Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX);
- Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4);
- Volatile organic compounds (VOCs);
- Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs);
- Metals.

These CPCs have the potential to be present in the soil matrix and/or the groundwater situated beneath the Phase I Property.

### **Assessment of Uncertainty and/or Absence of Information**

The information available for review as part of the preparation of the Phase I ESA is considered to be sufficient to conclude that there are PCAs that result in APECs on the subject site.

The presence of PCAs was confirmed by a variety of independent sources, and as such, the conclusions of this report are not affected by uncertainty which may be present with respect to the individual sources.

## **3.4 Deviations from the Sampling and Analysis Plan**

No deviations from the Sampling and Analysis were made during the course of this Phase II-ESA.

## **3.5 Physical Impediments**

Due to the location of certain aboveground/underground utility services the final placement of select boreholes were marginally adjusted during the field drilling program.

## 4.0 INVESTIGATION METHOD

### 4.1 Subsurface Investigation

The subsurface investigation for this assessment was conducted on May 18, 2023 and consisted of drilling three boreholes (BH1-23 to BH3-23) across the Phase II Property, all of the three boreholes were equipped with groundwater monitoring wells (BH1-23 – BH3-23).

The boreholes were advanced to a depth of 7.60m below the existing ground surface and terminated within the bedrock. Bedrock was encountered/confirmed at depths ranging from 4.88m to 5.28m in all boreholes at the time of the field drilling program.

Under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel, the boreholes were drilled using a low-clearance drill rig provided by George Downing Estate Drilling of Hawkesbury, Ontario. The locations of the boreholes are illustrated on “Drawing PE6096-3 – Test Hole Location Plan”, appended to this report.

### 4.2 Soil Sampling

Soil sampling protocols were followed using the MECP document entitled, “*Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario*”, dated May 1996.

The samples were recovered using a stainless-steel split spoon, while wearing protective gloves (changed after each sample), and immediately placed into plastic bags. If significant contamination was encountered, the samples were instead placed into glass jars. Sampling equipment was routinely washed in soapy water and rinsed with methylhydrate after each split spoon to prevent any cross contamination of the samples. The samples were also stored in coolers to reduce analyte volatilization during transportation.

A total of 22 soil samples and 7 rock core samples were obtained from the boreholes by means of auger and split spoon sampling. The depths at which auger, split spoon and rock core samples were obtained from the boreholes are shown as “**AU**”, “**SS**” and “**RC**”, respectively, on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report.

### 4.3 Field Screening Measurements

All soil samples collected were subjected to a preliminary screening procedure, which included visual screening for colour and evidence of metals, as well as soil vapour screening with a Photo Ionization Detector.

The recovered soil samples were placed immediately into airtight plastic bags with nominal headspace. All lumps of soil inside the bags were broken by hand, and the soil was allowed to come to room temperature prior to conducting the vapour survey, ensuring consistency of readings between samples. To measure the soil vapours, the analyser probe was inserted into the nominal headspace above the sample. The sample was then agitated and manipulated gently by hand as the measurement was taken. The peak reading registered within the first 15 seconds was recorded as the vapour measurement. The parts per million (ppm) scale was used to measure concentrations of organic vapours.

The results of the vapour survey are presented on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets, appended to this report.

### 4.4 Groundwater Monitoring Well Installation

Three groundwater monitoring wells were installed on the Phase II Property as part of this assessment. These monitoring wells were constructed using 32 mm diameter Schedule 40 threaded PVC risers and screens. A sand pack consisting of silica sand was placed around the screen with a bentonite seal placed above to minimize cross-contamination.

The ground surface elevations of each borehole were surveyed with respect to a known geodetic elevation.

A summary of the monitoring well construction details are listed below in Table 2 as well as on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets provided in Appendix 1.

<b>Table 2 Monitoring Well Construction Details</b>						
<b>Well ID</b>	<b>Ground Surface Elevation (m ASL)</b>	<b>Total Depth (m BGS)</b>	<b>Screened Interval (m BGS)</b>	<b>Sand Pack (m BGS)</b>	<b>Bentonite Seal (m BGS)</b>	<b>Casing Type</b>
BH1-23	65.42	7.60	5.9 – 7.4	5.56 – 7.4	0.00 – 5.56	Flush mount
BH2-23	65.31	7.60	6.0 – 7.5	5.43 – 7.5	0.00 – 5.43	Flush mount
BH3-23	65.39	7.60	6.0 – 7.5	5.18 – 7.5	0.00 – 5.18	Flush mount

## 4.5 Groundwater Sampling

Groundwater sampling protocols were followed using the MECP document entitled, “*Guidance on Sampling and Analytical Methods for Use at Contaminated Sites in Ontario*”, dated May 1996.

Standing water was purged from each monitoring well prior to the recovery of the groundwater samples using dedicated sampling equipment. The samples were then stored in coolers to reduce possible analyte volatilization during their transportation. Further details of our standard operating procedure for groundwater sampling are provided in the Sampling and Analysis Plan, appended to this report.

## 4.6 Analytical Testing

The following soil and groundwater samples were submitted for laboratory analysis:

Table 3 Testing Parameters for Submitted Soil Samples										
Sample ID	Sample Depth & Stratigraphic Unit	Parameters Analyzed								Rationale
		BTEX	VOCs	PHCs (F <sub>1</sub> -F <sub>4</sub> )	PAHs	Metals	Hg	CrVI	pH	
BH1-23-AU1	0.3 m – 0.6 m Fill Material				X	X				To assess for quality of fill material.
BH1-23-SS5	3.6m – 4.2 m Glacial Till		X	X						To assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of a retail fuel outlet & auto service garage.
BH2-23-AU1	0.3 m – 0.6m Fill Material				X	X				To assess for quality of fill material.
BH2-23-SS6	3.8 m – 4.4 m Glacial Till		X	X						To assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of dry cleaners.
BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m Fill Material				X	X				To assess for quality of fill material.
BH3-23-AU2	0.4 m – 0.7 m Fill Material				X	X				To assess for quality of fill material.
BH3-23-SS7	3.8 m – 4.4 m Glacial Till		X	X						To assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of an autobody repair shop.

<b>Table 4 Testing Parameters for Submitted Groundwater Samples</b>				
Sample ID	Screened Interval & Stratigraphic Unit	Parameters Analyzed		Rationale
		VOCs	PHCs (F <sub>1-4</sub> )	
BH1-23-GW1	5.9 m – 7.4 m Bedrock	X	X	To assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of a retail fuel outlet & auto service garage.
BH2-23-GW1	6.0 m – 7.5 m Bedrock	X	X	To assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of dry cleaners.
BH3-23-GW1	6.0 m – 7.5 m Bedrock	X	X	To assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of an autobody repair shop.

Paracel Laboratories (Paracel), of Ottawa, Ontario, performed the laboratory analysis on the samples submitted for analytical testing. Paracel is a member of the Standards Council of Canada/Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation (SCC/CALA) and is accredited and certified by the SCC/CALA for specific tests registered with the association.

#### 4.7 Residue Management

All soil cuttings were retained on-site from the site following the field program, while all purge water and equipment cleaning fluids were retained on-site.

#### 4.8 Elevation Surveying

The ground surface elevations at each borehole location were surveyed using a GPS device by Paterson personnel and referenced to a geodetic datum.

### 5.0 REVIEW AND EVALUATION

#### 5.1 Geology

In general, the subsurface soil profile encountered at the borehole locations consists of fill material (concrete slab or topsoil, crushed stone, gravel, brown silty sand and trace clay) underlain by silty sand to sandy silt and glacial till.

Bedrock was encountered/confirmed at depths ranging from 4.88m to 5.28m in all boreholes at the time of the field drilling program. Site geology details are provided in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets in Appendix 1.

## 5.2 Groundwater Elevations, Flow Direction, and Hydraulic Gradient

Groundwater levels were measured using an electronic water level meter at BH1-23, BH2-23 and BH3-23 on May 23, 2023. The groundwater levels are summarized below in Table 5.

Borehole Location	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Water Level Depth (m below grade)	Water Level Elevation (m ASL)	Date of Measurement
BH1-23	65.42	4.67	60.75	May 23, 2023
BH2-23	65.31	4.55	60.76	
BH3-23	65.39	4.57	60.82	

The groundwater at the Phase II Property was encountered within the overburden at depths ranging from 4.55 m to 4.67 m below the existing ground surface. No unusual visual observations were identified within the recovered groundwater samples. Using the groundwater elevations recorded during the sampling event, groundwater contour mapping was completed as part of this assessment.

According to the mapped contour data, illustrated on Drawing PE6096-3 – Test Hole Location Plan in the appendix, the groundwater flow on the subject site was calculated to be in a southern direction. A horizontal hydraulic gradient of approximately 0.006 m/m was also calculated as part of this assessment. However, the groundwater levels likely had not stabilized at the time of the field work. It should be noted that groundwater levels are expected to fluctuate throughout the year with seasonal variations.

## 5.3 Fine/Coarse Soil Texture

The coarse-grained soil standards were selected.

## 5.4 Field Screening

Field screening of the soil samples collected during the drilling program resulted in organic vapour readings ranging from 0.3 ppm to 4.6 ppm, indicating that there is a negligible potential for the presence of volatile substances. Field screening results of each individual soil sample are provided on the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets appended to this report.

## 5.5 Soil Quality

Seven soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>), VOCs, metals and PAHs. The results of the analytical testing are presented below in Tables 6 to 10, as well as on the laboratory Certificates of Analysis included in Appendix 1.

<b>Table 6</b>					
<b>Analytical Test Results – Soil</b>					
<b>PHCs (F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>)</b>					
Parameter	MDL (µg/g)	Soil Samples (µg/g)			MECP Table 3 Residential Soil Standards (µg/g)
		May 18, 2023			
		BH1-23-SS5	BH2-23-SS6	BH3-23-SS7	
		Sample Depth (m bgs)			
		3.6 m – 4.2 m	3.8 m – 4.4 m	3.8 m – 4.4 m	
PHCs F <sub>1</sub>	7	nd	nd	nd	55
PHCs F <sub>2</sub>	4	nd	nd	nd	98
PHCs F <sub>3</sub>	8	nd	nd	nd	300
PHCs F <sub>4</sub>	6	nd	nd	nd	2800

*Notes:*

- MDL – Method Detection Limit
- nd – not detected above the MDL
- Bold and Underlined** – value exceeds selected MECP standards

No PHCs parameter concentrations were detected above the laboratory method detection limits in the soil samples analyzed. All concentrations comply with the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards.



Table 7 Analytical Test Results – Soil Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)					
Parameter	MDL (µg/g)	Soil Samples (ug/g)			MECP Table 3 Residential Soil Standards (µg/g)
		May 18, 2023			
		BH1-23-SS5	BH2-23-SS6	BH3-23-SS7	
		Sample Depth (m bgs)			
		3.6 m – 4.2 m	3.8 m – 4.4 m	3.8 m – 4.4 m	
Acetone	0.50	nd	nd	nd	16
Benzene	0.02	nd	nd	nd	0.21
Bromodichloromethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	13
Bromoform	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.27
Bromomethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Chlorobenzene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	2.4
Chloroform	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Dibromochloromethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	9.4
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	16
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	3.4
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	4.8
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.083
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	3.5
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	3.4
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.084
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Ethylbenzene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	2
Ethylene Dibromide	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Hexane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	2.8
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	0.50	nd	nd	nd	16
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.50	nd	nd	nd	1.7
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.75
Methylene Chloride	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.1
Styrene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.7
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.058
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Tetrachloroethylene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.28
Toluene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	2.3
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.38
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.05
Trichloroethylene	0.05	nd	nd	nd	0.061
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.05	nd	nd	nd	4
Vinyl Chloride	0.02	nd	nd	nd	0.02
Xylenes	0.05	nd	nd	nd	3.1

Notes:

- MDL – Method Detection Limit
- nd – not detected above the MDL
- value exceeds selected MECP standards

No VOC parameters were detected above the laboratory method detection limits in any of the soil samples analyzed. The results are in compliance with the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards.

Table 8 Analytical Test Results – Soil Metals						
Parameter	MDL (µg/g)	Soil Samples (µg/g)				MECP Table 3 Residential Soil Standards (µg/g)
		May 18, 2023				
		BH1-23-AU1	BH2-23-AU1	BH3-23-AU1	BH3-23-AU2	
		Sample Depth (m bgs)				
		0.3 m – 0.6 m	0.3 m – 0.6 m	0.07 m – 0.4 m	0.4 m – 0.7 m	
Antimony	1.0	nd	nd	2.4	nd	7.5
Arsenic	1.0	4.6	3.2	<b><u>80.6</u></b>	4.6	18
Barium	1.0	138	100	350	122	390
Beryllium	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.6	0.5	4
Boron	5.0	9.6	9.4	11.8	13.3	120
Cadmium	0.5	nd	nd	0.6	nd	1.2
Chromium	5.0	25.0	22.5	24.8	31.6	160
Cobalt	1.0	7.0	6.4	18.8	10.7	22
Copper	5.0	18.6	20.8	89.1	27.2	140
Lead	1.0	47.4	32.2	<b><u>139</u></b>	10.3	120
Molybdenum	1.0	nd	nd	<b><u>12.2</u></b>	1.8	6.9
Nickel	5.0	15.1	12.8	44.6	17.4	100
Selenium	1.0	nd	nd	1.3	nd	2.4
Silver	0.3	nd	nd	0.5	nd	20
Thallium	1.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	1
Uranium	1.0	nd	nd	nd	nd	23
Vanadium	10.0	32.3	31.6	34.4	47.4	86
Zinc	20.0	78.8	56.7	138	41.5	340

Notes:

- MDL – Method Detection Limit
- nd – not detected above the MDL
- Bold and Underlined** – value exceeds selected MECP standards

All metal parameter concentrations identified in the soil samples analysed comply with the MECP Table 3 standards except for the following. The Arsenic, Lead and Molybdenum concentrations in Soil Sample BH3-23-AU1.

<b>Table 9 Analytical Test Results – Soil PAHs</b>						
Parameter	MDL (µg/g)	Soil Samples (ug/g)				MECP Table 3 Residential Soil Standards (µg/g)
		May 18, 2023				
		BH1-23-AU1	BH2-23-AU1	BH3-23-AU1	BH3-23-AU2	
		Sample Depth (m bgs)				
		0.3m – 0.6m	0.3m – 0.6m	0.07m – 0.4m	0.4m – 0.7m	
Acenaphthene	0.02	nd	nd	0.06	nd	7.9
Acenaphthylene	0.02	nd	0.03	<b><u>0.31</u></b>	nd	0.15
Anthracene	0.02	nd	0.05	0.49	nd	0.67
Benzo[a]anthracene	0.02	0.03	0.13	<b><u>2.04</u></b>	0.03	0.5
Benzo[a]pyrene	0.02	0.04	0.13	<b><u>2.06</u></b>	0.03	0.3
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	0.02	0.03	0.09	<b><u>1.37</u></b>	nd	0.78
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	0.02	0.03	0.08	0.81	nd	6.6
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.02	nd	0.05	0.76	nd	0.78
Chrysene	0.02	0.05	0.15	1.84	0.04	7
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	0.02	nd	nd	<b><u>0.21</u></b>	nd	0.1
Fluoranthene	0.02	0.07	0.27	<b><u>4.12</u></b>	0.06	0.69
Fluorene	0.02	nd	nd	0.09	nd	62
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.02	nd	0.06	<b><u>0.73</u></b>	nd	0.38
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	nd	0.11	0.03	0.99
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.02	nd	nd	0.13	0.05	0.99
Methylnaphthalene (1&2)	0.04	nd	nd	0.25	0.08	0.99
Naphthalene	0.01	nd	nd	0.08	0.02	0.6
Phenanthrene	0.02	0.05	0.23	2.15	0.05	6.2
Pyrene	0.02	0.06	0.22	3.14	0.05	78

Notes:

- MDL – Method Detection Limit
- nd – not detected above the MDL
- Bold and Underlined** – value exceeds selected MECP standards

The concentrations of Acenaphthylene, Benzo[a]anthracene, Benzo[a]pyrene, Benzo[b]fluoranthene, Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene, Fluoranthene and Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene in Soil Sample BH3-23-AU1 exceed the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards. All other concentrations comply with the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards.

<b>Table 10 Maximum Concentrations – Soil</b>			
<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Maximum Concentration (µg/g)</b>	<b>Sample ID</b>	<b>Depth Interval (m BGS)</b>
Antimony	2.4	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Arsenic	<b>80.6</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Barium	350	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Beryllium	1.6	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Boron	13.3	BH3-23-AU2	0.4 m – 0.7 m
Cadmium	0.6	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Chromium	31.6	BH3-23-AU2	0.4 m – 0.7 m
Cobalt	18.8	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Copper	89.1	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Lead	<b>139</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Molybdenum	<b>12.2</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Nickel	44.6	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Selenium	1.3	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Silver	0.5	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Vanadium	47.4	BH3-23-AU2	0.4 m – 0.7 m
Zinc	138	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Acenaphthene	0.06	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Acenaphthylene	<b>0.31</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Anthracene	0.49	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Benzo[a]anthracene	<b>2.04</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Benzo[a]pyrene	<b>2.06</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	<b>1.37</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Benzo[g,h,i]perylene	0.81	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Benzo[k]fluoranthene	0.76	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Chrysene	1.84	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	<b>0.21</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Fluoranthene	<b>4.12</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Fluorene	0.09	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	<b>0.73</b>	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.11	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.13	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Methylnaphthalene (1&2)	0.25	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Naphthalene	0.08	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Phenanthrene	2.15	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
Pyrene	3.14	BH3-23-AU1	0.07 m – 0.4 m
<i>Notes:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <b><u>Bold and Underlined</u></b> – value exceeds selected MECP standards			

All other parameter concentrations analyzed were below the laboratory detection limits.

## 5.6 Groundwater Quality

Three groundwater samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>) and VOCs. The results of the analytical testing are presented below in Tables 11 to 13, as well as on the laboratory Certificates of Analysis included in Appendix 1.

<b>Table 11 Analytical Test Results – Groundwater PHCs (F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>)</b>					
Parameter	MDL (µg/L)	Groundwater Samples (ug/L)			MECP Table 3 Non-Potable Groundwater Standards (µg/L)
		May 23, 2023			
		BH1-23-GW1	BH2-23-GW1	BH3-23-GW1	
		Screening Interval (m bgs)			
		5.9 – 7.4	6.0 – 7.5	6.0 – 7.5	
PHCs F <sub>1</sub>	25	nd	220	188	750
PHCs F <sub>2</sub>	100	nd	nd	nd	150
PHCs F <sub>3</sub>	100	nd	nd	nd	500
PHCs F <sub>4</sub>	100	nd	nd	nd	500
<i>Notes:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> MDL – Method Detection Limit <input type="checkbox"/> nd – not detected above the MDL <input type="checkbox"/> <b><u>Bold and Underlined</u></b> – value exceeds selected MECP standards					

All PHC parameter concentrations are in compliance with the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Non-Potable Groundwater Standards.

Table 12 Analytical Test Results – Groundwater Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs)					
Parameter	MDL (µg/L)	Groundwater Samples (ug/L)			MECP Table 3 Fine-Grained Non-Potable Groundwater Standards (µg/L)
		May 23, 2023			
		BH1-23-GW1	BH2-23-GW1	BH3-23-GW1	
		Screening Interval (m bgs)			
		5.9 – 7.4	6.0 – 7.5	6.0 – 7.5	
Acetone	5.0	nd	nd	nd	130000
Benzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	44
Bromodichloromethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	85000
Bromoform	0.5	nd	nd	nd	380
Bromomethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	5.6
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.2	nd	nd	nd	0.79
Chlorobenzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	630
Chloroform	0.5	nd	nd	nd	2.4
Dibromochloromethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	82000
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0	nd	nd	nd	4400
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	4600
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	9600
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	8
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	320
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	1.6
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	1.6
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.5	<b><u>5.5</u></b>	<b><u>49.8</u></b>	<b><u>21.3</u></b>	1.6
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	1.6
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	16
1,3-Dichloropropene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	5.2
Ethylbenzene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	2300
Ethylene Dibromide	0.2	nd	nd	nd	0.25
Hexane	1.0	nd	nd	nd	51
Methyl Ethyl Ketone	5.0	nd	nd	nd	470000
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	5.0	nd	nd	nd	140000
Methyl tert-butyl ether	2.0	nd	nd	nd	190
Methylene Chloride	5.0	nd	nd	nd	610
Styrene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	1300
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	3.3
1,1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	3.2
Tetrachloroethylene	0.5	<b><u>154</u></b>	<b><u>1550</u></b>	<b><u>591</u></b>	1.6
Toluene	0.5	nd	nd	nd	18000
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	640
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5	nd	nd	nd	4.7
Trichloroethylene	0.5	<b><u>11.8</u></b>	<b><u>87.0</u></b>	<b><u>50.1</u></b>	1.6
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0	nd	nd	nd	2500
Vinyl Chloride	0.5	nd	nd	nd	0.5
Xylenes	0.5	nd	nd	nd	4,200

Notes:

- MDL – Method Detection Limit
- nd – not detected above the MDL
- Bold and Underlined** – value exceeds selected MECP standards

All VOC parameter concentrations were in compliance with the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Non-Potable Groundwater Standards with the exception of the following. The cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene in all groundwater samples.

<b>Table 13 Maximum Concentrations – Groundwater</b>			
<b>Parameter</b>	<b>Maximum Concentration (µg/L)</b>	<b>Sample ID</b>	<b>Depth Interval (m BGS)</b>
PHCs F <sub>1</sub>	220	BH2-23-GW1	6.0 – 7.5
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	<b>49.8</b>	BH2-23-GW1	6.0 – 7.5
Tetrachloroethylene	<b>1550</b>	BH2-23-GW1	6.0 – 7.5
Trichloroethylene	<b>87.0</b>	BH2-23-GW1	6.0 – 7.5
<i>Notes:</i> <input type="checkbox"/> <b><u>Bold and Underlined</u></b> – value exceeds selected MECP standards			

All other parameter concentrations analyzed were below the laboratory detection limits. The laboratory certificates of analysis are provided in Appendix 1.

## 5.8 Phase II Conceptual Site Model

The following section has been prepared in accordance with the requirements of O. Reg. 153/04 amended by the Environmental Protection Act. Conclusions and recommendations are discussed in a subsequent section.

### Site Description

#### Potentially Contaminating Activity and Areas of Potential Environmental Concern

As per Section 7.1 of the Phase I ESA report, four potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) resulting in three areas of potential environmental concern (APECs), were identified on the Phase I Property. These APECs include:

<b>Table 1 Areas of Potential Environmental Concern</b>					
<b>APEC</b>	<b>Location of APEC</b>	<b>PCA (O. Reg. 153/04 – Table 2)</b>	<b>Location of PCA</b>	<b>Contaminants of Potential Concern</b>	<b>Media Potentially Impacted</b>
<b>APEC #1</b> Former Auto body shop	Western Portion of Subject Site	<i>“Item 10: Commercial Autobody Shops”</i>	0 m West	BTEX PHCs (F <sub>1</sub> -F <sub>4</sub> ) VOCs	Soil and/or Groundwater
<b>APEC #2</b> Former retail fuel outlet with one (1) UST and Former auto service garage	Eastern Portion of Subject Site	<i>“Item 28: Gasoline and Associated Products Storage in Fixed Tanks”</i>  <i>“Item 52: Storage, Maintenance, Fuelling, and Repair of Equipment, Vehicles, and Material Used to Maintain Transportation Systems”</i>	40 m Southeast	BTEX PHCs (F <sub>1</sub> -F <sub>4</sub> )	Soil and/or Groundwater
<b>APEC #3</b> Former dry cleaners	Southern Portion of Subject Site	<i>Item 37 – Operation of Dry-Cleaning Equipment (where chemicals are used)</i>	70 m South	VOCs	Soil and/or Groundwater

### Contaminants of Potential Concern (CPCs)

The contaminants of potential concern for the soil and/or groundwater on the Phase II Property include the following:

- Benzene, Toluene, Ethylbenzene, and Xylenes (BTEX);
- Petroleum Hydrocarbons (PHCs, F1-F4);
- Volatile organic compounds (VOCs);
- Metals;
- PAHs.

Fill of questionable quality was identified during the drilling program which resulted in the addition of PAHs and metal parameters to the contaminants of potential concern identified in the Phase I ESA. These CPCs have the potential to be present in the soil matrix and/or the groundwater situated beneath the Phase I Property.



## Physical Setting

### Site Stratigraphy

The stratigraphy of the Phase II Property generally consists of:

- Fill material (concrete slab or topsoil, crushed stone, gravel, brown silty sand, trace clay); extending to depths ranging from 1.45 m to 1.76 m below ground surface.
- Brown silty sand to sandy silt with gravel; extending to depths ranging from 2.21 m to 2.97 m below ground surface.
- Glacial till; extending to depths ranging from approximately 4.88 m to 5.38 m below ground surface.
- Bedrock consisting of limestone; extending to 7.60 m depth below ground surface.

The site stratigraphy, from ground surface to the deepest aquifer or aquitard investigated, is provided in the Soil Profile and Test Data Sheets in Appendix 1.

### Hydrogeological Characteristics

The groundwater at the Phase II Property was encountered at depths ranging from approximately 4.55 m to 4.67 m below the existing ground surface.

Based on the measured groundwater levels, the groundwater was calculated to flow in a southeastern direction, however, the groundwater levels likely had not stabilized at the time of the field work.

### Approximate Depth to Bedrock

Bedrock was encountered/confirmed at depths ranging from 4.88m to 5.28m in all the boreholes at the time of the field drilling program.

### Approximate Depth to Water Table

The depth to the water table is approximately 4.55 m to 4.67 m below the existing ground surface.

### Sections 41 and 43.1 of Ontario Regulation 153/04

Section 41 of the Regulation does not apply to the Phase II Property, as the Phase II Property is not within 30 m of an environmentally sensitive area and the pH of the subsurface soil is between 5 and 9.

Section 43.1 of the Regulation does not apply to the Phase II Property in that the Phase II Property is not a Shallow Soil Property and is not within 30 m of a water body.

## **Environmental Condition**

### **Areas Where Contaminants are Present**

Based on the analytical test results, PAH and metal impacted soil (fill material) was identified in BH3-23. This borehole is located in the northwestern portion of the Phase II Property.

Groundwater impacted with VOC parameters cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene was identified in all monitoring wells installed on the Phase II Property (BH1-23 - BH3-23).

### **Types of Contaminants**

Fill material at BH3-23 contains concentrations of PAHs and metals in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards.

Groundwater impacted by VOCs (cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene) was identified in all monitoring wells.

### **Contaminated Media**

Based on the findings of this Phase II ESA, the fill (northwestern portion of the Phase II Property) has been impacted with metals and/or PAHs.

Groundwater across the Phase II Property has been impacted with VOCs.

### **What Is Known About Areas Where Contaminants Are Present**

The source of the PAH and Metal impacted fill material is unknown.

The VOC impacted groundwater is considered to have resulted from an off-site source, considered likely to be the former dry cleaners 70m south of the Phase II Property.

### **Distribution and Migration of Contaminants**

The surficial soil/fill in the vicinity of BH3-23 contains concentrations of PAHs and metals in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Course-Grained Residential Soil Standards. Given their low mobility, these contaminants are anticipated to be limited to fill material and are not considered to extend into the underlying native soils or the groundwater.

VOC impacted groundwater was identified across the Phase II Property. Based on the results of the Phase II ESA, the VOC impacts are limited to the groundwater. It is expected to have migrated onto the subject site from an off-site source located to the south.

### **Discharge of Contaminants**

The surficial soil/fill in the vicinity of BH3-23 contains elevated concentrations of PAHs and metals in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards.

VOC impacts were identified in the groundwater across the majority of the Phase II Property. Based on the current and historical use of the Phase II Property, the discharge of contaminants is not anticipated to have resulted from on-site activities.

### **Climatic and Meteorological Conditions**

In general, climatic and meteorological conditions have the potential to affect contaminant distribution. Two ways by which climatic and meteorological conditions may affect contaminant distribution include the downward leaching of contaminants by means of the infiltration of precipitation, and the migration of contaminants via groundwater levels and/or flow, which may fluctuate seasonally.

Based on the findings of this Phase II ESA, climatic and meteorological conditions are not considered to have affected contaminant distribution on the Phase II Property.

### **Potential for Vapour Intrusion**

Given the low volatility of PAH and metal parameters, in combination with the location of the identified soil contamination outside of any building footprints, the potential for vapour intrusion resulting from soil contamination is low. However, it is considered possible that there is some potential for vapour intrusion based on the presence of VOCs in the groundwater across the majority of the Phase II Property.

## 6.0 CONCLUSIONS

### Assessment

Paterson Group was retained by Tony Zacconi and David Aston to conduct a Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II-ESA) for the property addressed 370 Athlone Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario. The purpose of the Phase II-ESA was to address potentially contaminating activities (PCAs) that were identified during the Phase I-ESA and were considered to result in areas of potential environmental concern (APECs) on the subject site (Phase II Property).

The subsurface investigation for this assessment was conducted on May 18, 2023 and consisted of drilling three boreholes (BH1-23 to BH3-23) across the Phase II Property, all of the three boreholes were equipped with groundwater monitoring wells. The boreholes were advanced to a depth of 7.60 m below the existing ground surface and terminated within the bedrock layer.

In general, the subsurface soil profile encountered at the borehole locations consists of fill material (concrete slab or topsoil, crushed stone, gravel, brown silty sand, trace clay) underlain by silty sand to sandy silt and glacial till. Bedrock was encountered/confirmed at depths ranging from 4.88m to 5.28m in all boreholes at the time of the field drilling program.

Seven soil samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>), VOCs, metals and PAHs. Based on the analytical test results, the surficial soil/fill in the vicinity of BH3-23 contains concentrations of multiple PAH and metal parameters in excess of the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards. The remaining soil results comply with selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Residential Soil Standards.

Three groundwater samples were submitted for laboratory analysis of PHCs (F<sub>1</sub>-F<sub>4</sub>) and VOCs. All parameter concentrations were in compliance with the selected MECP Table 3 Coarse-Grained Non-Potable Groundwater Standards except for the following. The cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene in all groundwater samples.

## Recommendations

### Soil and Groundwater

Based on the findings of this assessment, PAH and metal impacted fill was identified in the northwestern portion of the Phase II Property. Given the low solubility of PAH and metal parameters, in combination with visual observations made during the field program and analytical testing, the impacts are expected to be confined to the fill layer. There is no risk to the current use of the Phase II Property since the fill layer is below concrete slab.

It is our understanding that the Phase II Property may be redeveloped in the future. As such, the contaminated soil could be fully delineated and remediated in conjunction with site redevelopment. This contaminated soil will require disposal at a licensed waste disposal facility. Prior to off-site disposal of impacted soil at a licensed waste disposal facility, a leachate analysis of a representative sample of contaminated soil must be conducted in accordance with Ontario Regulation 347/558.

Groundwater impacted by VOCs (cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene) was identified in all well locations at the Phase II ESA. The VOC exceedances are suspected to be a result of a former off-site dry cleaners 70m south of the Phase II Property.

It is our opinion that the contaminated groundwater and soil do not pose a risk to the current use of the subject building, although further assessment would be required to confirm this opinion. However, the presence of contaminated groundwater and soil does pose a liability to the site.

Given that there is contaminated groundwater on-site and that the source of the cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene, Tetrachloroethylene and Trichloroethylene in all boreholes is expected to be a former off-site dry-cleaning facility, remediation of the groundwater to meet the generic MECP standards would not likely be a feasible option. In lieu of a generic remediation, a due diligence risk assessment should be completed to assess the risk to the current use of the land and any required risk mitigation measures that would be required to be implemented in any future developments. Further information can be provided upon request.

## **Monitoring Wells**

It is recommended that the monitoring wells be maintained for future sampling purposes. The monitoring wells will be registered with the MECP under Ontario Regulation 903 (Ontario Water Resources Act). At such a time that the monitoring wells are no longer required, they must be decommissioned in accordance with O.Reg. 903.

## 7.0 STATEMENT OF LIMITATIONS

This Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment report has been prepared in general accordance with O. Reg. 153/04, as amended, and CSA Z769-00. The conclusions presented herein are based on information gathered from a limited sampling and testing program. The test results represent conditions at specific test locations at the time of the field program.

The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils and all test hole logs are furnished as a matter of general information only and test hole descriptions or logs are not to be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those of the test holes themselves.

Should any conditions be encountered at the Phase II Property and/or historical information that differ from our findings, we request that we be notified immediately in order to allow for a reassessment.

This report was prepared for the sole use of Mr. Tony Zacconi and Mr. David Aston. Permission and notification from the above noted parties and Paterson Group will be required prior to the release of this report to any other party.

### **Paterson Group Inc.**



Mohammed Ramadan, B.Sc.



Mark D'Arcy, P.Eng., QP<sub>ESA</sub>



### **Report Distribution:**

- Mr. Tony Zacconi and Mr. David Aston.
- Paterson Group Inc.

# **FIGURES**

**FIGURE 1 – KEY PLAN**

**DRAWING PE6096-1 – SITE PLAN**

**DRAWING PE6096-2 – SURROUNDING LAND USE PLAN**

**DRAWING PE6096-3 – TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN**

**DRAWING PE6096-4 – ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN – SOIL**

**DRAWING PE6096-5 – ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN – GROUNDWATER**








FIGURE 1  
**KEY PLAN**



**AREAS OF POTENTIAL ENVIRONMENTAL CONCERN:**

ID	ADDRESS	DESCRIPTION
1	277 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER AUTO BODY REPAIR SHOP
2	255 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER RETAIL FUEL OUTLET & AUTO SERVICE GARAGE
3	280-282 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER DRY CLEANERS




**PATERSON GROUP**  
 9 AURIGA DRIVE  
 OTTAWA, ON  
 K2E 7T9  
 TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

TONY ZACCONI AND DAVID ASTON  
**PHASE I - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT**  
 370 ATHLONE AVENUE  
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
**SITE PLAN**

Scale:	1:150	Date:	06/2023
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PE6096-1
Checked by:	MR	Dwg. No.:	<b>PE6096-1</b>
Approved by:	MSD	Revision No.:	

**PHASE I - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT STUDY AREA**



**POTENTIALLY CONTAMINATING ACTIVITIES:**

ID	ADDRESS	DESCRIPTION
1	277 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER AUTOBODY REPAIR SHOP
2	255 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER RETAIL FUEL OUTLET & AUTO SERVICE GARAGE
3	280-282 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER SPARKLE DRY CLEANERS
4	261 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER TIN SMITH
5	256 RICHMOND RD.	EXISTING RETAIL FUEL OUTLET
6	225 RICHMOND RD.	EXISTING AUTO SERVICE GARAGE
7	N/A	FORMER LANDFILL SITE
8	236 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER RETAIL FUEL OUTLET & AUTO SERVICE GARAGE
9	298 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER AUTO SERVICE GARAGE WITH 2 UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANKS
10	312 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER GORLEY CLEANERS
11	319 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER RETAIL FUEL OUTLET
12	320 RICHMOND RD.	FORMER BP PETROLEUM PRODUCTS
13	314 ATHLONE AVE.	FORMER AUTOBODY REPAIR SHOP
14	2020 SCOTT ST.	FORMER AUTO SERVICE GARAGE
15	2050 SCOTT ST.	PUMP REPAIR SHOP WITH UNDERGROUND STORAGE TANK
16	320 MCRAE AVE.	FORMER AUTOBODY SHOP & SERVICE CENTRE
17	339 CHURCHILL AVE.	FORMER DRY CLEANERS


SCALE: 1:2500

9 AURIGA DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON  
K2E 7T9  
TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

TONY ZACCONI AND DAVID ASTON  
**PHASE I - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT**  
 370 ATHLONE AVENUE  
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
**SURROUNDING LAND USE PLAN**

Scale:	1:2500	Date:	06/2023
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PE6096-1
Checked by:	MR	Dwg. No.:	<b>PE6096-2</b>
Approved by:	MSD	Revision No.:	



**PATERSON GROUP**  
 9 AURIGA DRIVE  
 OTTAWA, ON  
 K2E 7T9  
 TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

**TONY ZACCONI AND DAVID ASTON**  
**PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT**  
**370 ATHLONE AVENUE**

OTTAWA, ONTARIO


**TEST HOLE LOCATION PLAN**

Scale:	1:150	Date:	06/2023
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PE6096-1
Checked by:	MR	Dwg. No.:	<b>PE6096-3</b>
Approved by:	MSD	Revision No.:	

BH3-23-AU1	0.07-0.4m	May 18, 2023
Parameter	Result(µg/g)	Standard(µg/g)
Arsenic	80.6	18
Lead	139	120
Molybdenum	12.2	6.9
Acenaphthylene	0.31	0.15
Benzo[a]anthracene	2.04	0.5
Benzo[a]pyrene	2.06	0.3
Benzo[b]fluoranthene	1.37	0.78
Dibenzo[a,h]anthracene	0.21	0.1
Fluoranthene	4.12	0.69
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.73	0.38
Remaining Metals & PAHs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		
BH3-23-AU2	0.4-0.7m	May 18, 2023
Metals & PAHs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		
BH3-23-SS7	3.8-4.4m	May 18, 2023
VOCs & PHCs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		

BH1-23-AU1	0.3-0.6m	May 18, 2023
Metals & PAHs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		
BH1-23-SS5	3.6-4.2m	May 18, 2023
VOCs & PHCs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		

BH2-23-AU1	0.3-0.6m	May 18, 2023
Metals & PAHs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		
BH2-23-SS6	3.8-4.4m	May 18, 2023
VOCs & PHCs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		



**LEGEND:**

**SOIL RESULT COMPLIES WITH THE MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS**

**SOIL RESULT EXCEEDS THE MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS**

BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION  
 65.31 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)  
 [59.93] BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)  
 (60.76) GROUNDWATER SURFACE ELEVATION (m)

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.

SCALE: 1:150

9 AURIGA DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON  
K2E 7T9  
TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

TONY ZACCONI AND DAVID ASTON

PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT

370 ATHLONE AVENUE

OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Title: **ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - SOIL**

Scale:	1:150	Date:	06/2023
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PE6096-1
Checked by:	MR	Dwg. No.:	<b>PE6096-4</b>
Approved by:	MSD	Revision No.:	

294 ELMGROVE AVENUE  
GYMNASTICS CENTRE

366 ATHLONE AVENUE  
RESIDENTIAL DWELLING

367 ATHLONE AVENUE  
RESIDENTIAL DWELLING

Parameter	Result(µg/L)	Standard(µg/L)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	21.3	1.6
Tetrachloroethylene	591	1.6
Trichloroethylene	50.1	1.6
Remaining VOCs & PHCs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		

Parameter	Result(µg/L)	Standard(µg/L)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	5.5	1.6
Tetrachloroethylene	154	1.6
Trichloroethylene	11.8	1.6
Remaining VOCs & PHCs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		

Parameter	Result(µg/L)	Standard(µg/L)
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	49.8	1.6
Tetrachloroethylene	1550	1.6
Trichloroethylene	87	1.6
Remaining VOCs & PHCs comply with MECP Table 3 Standards		

**LEGEND:**

**GROUNDWATER RESULT COMPLIES WITH THE MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS**

**GROUNDWATER RESULT EXCEEDS THE MECP TABLE 3 STANDARDS**

⊕ BOREHOLE WITH MONITORING WELL LOCATION


65.31 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)

[59.93] BEDROCK SURFACE ELEVATION (m)

(60.76) GROUNDWATER SURFACE ELEVATION (m)

GROUND SURFACE ELEVATIONS AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS ARE REFERENCED TO A GEODETIC DATUM.

SCALE: 1:150



9 AURIGA DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON  
K2E 7T9  
TEL: (613) 226-7381

NO.	REVISIONS	DATE	INITIAL

TONY ZACCONI AND DAVID ASTON  
PHASE II - ENVIRONMENTAL SITE ASSESSMENT  
370 ATHLONE AVENUE  
OTTAWA, ONTARIO  
Title: **ANALYTICAL TESTING PLAN - GROUNDWATER**

Scale:	1:150	Date:	06/2023
Drawn by:	YA	Report No.:	PE6096-1
Checked by:	MR	Dwg. No.:	<b>PE6096-5</b>
Approved by:	MSD	Revision No.:	

# **APPENDIX 1**

**SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PLAN**

**SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA SHEETS**

**SYMBOLS AND TERMS**


**LABORATORY CERTIFICATES OF ANALYSIS**

# **Sampling & Analysis Plan**

370 Athlone Avenue  
Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for Mr. Tony Zacconi & Mr. David Aston

**Report: PE6096-SAP**  
**May 8, 2023**





---

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>PAGE</b>
1.0 SAMPLING PROGRAM.....	1
2.0 ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM.....	1
3.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES.....	2
3.2 Monitoring Well Installation Procedure .....	5
3.3 Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure .....	6
4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC) .....	7
5.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES.....	7
6.0 PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS .....	8

## 1.0 SAMPLING PROGRAM

Paterson Group Inc. (Paterson) was commissioned by Mr. Tony Zacconi & Mr. David Aston, to conduct a Phase II – Environmental Site Assessment (Phase II ESA) for the property addressed 370 Athlone Avenue, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario.

Based on the findings of the Phase I ESA, the following subsurface investigation program was developed.

Borehole	Location & Rationale	Proposed Depth & Rationale
BH1-23	Southeastern portion of the Phase I Property to assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of retail fuel outlet and auto service garage.	6-8 m; to intercept the groundwater table for the purpose of installing a monitoring well.
BH2-23	Southwestern portion of the Phase I Property to assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of a dry-cleaning facility.	6-8 m; to intercept the groundwater table for the purpose of installing a monitoring well.
BH3-23	Northwestern portion of the Phase I Property to assess for potential impacts resulting from the former off-site presence of an autobody repair shop.	6-8 m; to intercept the groundwater table for the purpose of installing a monitoring well.

Borehole locations are shown on Drawing PE6096-3 – Test Hole Location Plan, appended to the main report.

At each borehole, split-spoon samples of the overburden soils will be obtained at 0.76 m (2'6") intervals. All soil samples will be retained, and samples will be selected for submission following a preliminary screening analysis.

Following the borehole drilling, groundwater monitoring wells will be installed in three boreholes to allow for the collection of groundwater samples.

## 2.0 ANALYTICAL TESTING PROGRAM

The analytical testing program for soil at the Phase I Property is based on the following general considerations:

- At least one sample from each borehole should be submitted, in order to delineate the horizontal extent of contamination across the site.
- At least one sample from each stratigraphic unit should be submitted, in order to delineate the vertical extent of contamination at the site.
- In boreholes where there is visual or olfactory evidence of contamination, or where organic vapour meter or photoionization detector readings indicate the

presence of contamination, the 'worst-case' sample from each borehole should be submitted for comparison with MECP site condition standards.

- In boreholes with evidence of contamination as described above, a sample should be submitted from the stratigraphic unit below the 'worst-case' sample to determine whether the contaminant(s) have migrated downward.
- Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the Contaminants of Potential Concern identified in the Phase I ESA.

The analytical testing program for soil at the Phase I Property is based on the following general considerations:

- Groundwater monitoring wells should be installed in all boreholes with visual or olfactory evidence of soil contamination, in stratigraphic units where soil contamination was encountered, where those stratigraphic units are at or below the water table (i.e. a water sample can be obtained).
- Groundwater monitoring well screens should straddle the water table at sites where the contaminants of concern are suspected to be LNAPLs.
- At least one groundwater monitoring well should be installed in a stratigraphic unit below the suspected contamination, where said stratigraphic unit is water-bearing.
- Parameters analyzed should be consistent with the Contaminants of Concern identified in the Phase I ESA and with the contaminants identified in the soil samples.

## **3.0 STANDARD OPERATING PROCEDURES**

### **3.1 Environmental Drilling Procedure**

#### **Purpose**

The purpose of environmental boreholes is to identify and/or delineate contamination within the soil and/or to install groundwater monitoring wells in order to identify contamination within the groundwater.

#### **Equipment**

The following is a list of equipment that is in addition to regular drilling equipment stated in the geotechnical drilling SOP:

- Glass soil sample jars
- two buckets
- cleaning brush (toilet brush works well)
- dish detergent
- methyl hydrate
- water (if not available on site - water jugs available in trailer)
- latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant)
- RKI Eagle organic vapour meter or MiniRae photoionization detector (depending on contamination suspected)

### Determining Borehole Locations

If conditions on site are not as suspected, and planned borehole locations cannot be drilled, **call the office to discuss**. Alternative borehole locations will be determined in conversation with the field technician and supervising engineer.

After drilling is completed a plan with the borehole locations must be provided. Distances and orientations of boreholes with respect to site features (buildings, roadways, etc.) must be provided. Distances should be measured using a measuring tape or wheel rather than paced off. Ground surface elevations at each borehole should be surveyed relative to a geodetic benchmark, if one is available, or a temporary site benchmark which can be tied in at a later date if necessary.

### Drilling Procedure

The actual drilling procedure for environmental boreholes is the same as geotechnical boreholes (see SOP for drilling and sampling) with a few exceptions as follows:

- Continuous split spoon samples (every 0.6 m or 2') or semi-continuous (every 0.76 m or 2'6") are required.
- Make sure samples are well sealed in plastic bags with no holes prior to screening and are kept cool but unfrozen.
- If sampling for VOCs, BTEX, or PHCs F<sub>1</sub>, a soil core from each soil sample, which may be analyzed, must be taken and placed in the laboratory-provided methanol vial.
- Note all and any odours or discolouration of samples.
- Split spoon samplers must be washed between samples.
- If obvious contamination is encountered, continue sampling until vertical extent of contamination is delineated.

- As a general rule, environmental boreholes should be deep enough to intercept the groundwater table (unless this is impossible/impractical - call project manager to discuss).
- If at all possible, soil samples should be submitted to a preliminary screening procedure on site, either using a RKI Eagle, PID, etc. depending on type of suspected contamination.

### **Spoon Washing Procedure**

All sampling equipment (spilt spoons, etc.) must be washed between samples in order to prevent cross contamination of soil samples.

- Obtain two buckets of water (preferably hot if available)
- Add a small amount of dish soap to one bucket
- Scrub spoons with brush in soapy water, inside and out, including tip
- Rinse in clean water
- Apply a small amount of methyl hydrate to the inside of the spoon. (A spray bottle or water bottle with a small hole in the cap works well)
- Allow to dry (takes seconds)
- Rinse with distilled water, a spray bottle works well.

The methyl hydrate eliminates any soap residue that may be on the spoon and is especially important when dealing with suspected VOCs.

### **Screening Procedure**

The RKI Eagle is used to screen most soil samples, particularly where petroleum hydrocarbon contamination is suspected. The MiniRae is used when VOCs are suspected, however it also can be useful for detecting petroleum. These tools are for screening purposes only and cannot be used in place of laboratory testing. Vapour results obtained from the RKI Eagle and the PID are relative and must be interpreted.

Screening equipment should be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, more frequently if heavily used.

- Samples should be brought to room temperature; this is specifically important in colder weather. Soil must not be frozen.
- Turn instrument on and allow to come to zero - calibrate if necessary
- If using RKI Eagle, ensure instrument is in methane elimination mode unless otherwise directed.

- Ensure measurement units are ppm (parts per million) initially. RKI Eagle will automatically switch to %LEL (lower explosive limit) if higher concentrations are encountered.
- Break up large lumps of soil in the sample bag, taking care not to puncture bag.
- Insert probe into soil bag, creating a seal with your hand around the opening.
- Gently manipulate soil in bag while observing instrument readings.
- Record the highest value obtained in the first 15 to 25 seconds
- Make sure to indicate scale (ppm or LEL); also note which instrument was used (RKI Eagle 1 or 2, or MiniRae).
- Jar samples and refrigerate as per Sampling and Analysis Plan.

## 3.2 Monitoring Well Installation Procedure

### Equipment

- 5' x 2" threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC slotted well screen (5' x 1 ¼" if installing in cored hole in bedrock)
- 5' x 2" threaded sections of Schedule 40 PVC riser pipe (5' x 1 ¼" if installing in cored hole in bedrock)
- Threaded end-cap
- Slip-cap or J-plug
- Asphalt cold patch or concrete
- Silica Sand
- Bentonite chips (Holeplug)
- Steel flushmount casing

### Procedure

- Drill borehole to required depth, using drilling and sampling procedures described above.
- If borehole is deeper than required monitoring well, backfill with bentonite chips to required depth. This should only be done on wells where contamination is not suspected, in order to prevent downward migration of contamination.
- Only one monitoring well should be installed per borehole.
- Monitoring wells should not be screened across more than one stratigraphic unit to prevent potential migration of contaminants between units.
- Where LNAPLs are the suspected contaminants of concern, monitoring wells should be screened straddling the water table in order to capture any free product floating on top of the water table.

- Thread the end cap onto a section of screen. Thread second section of screen if required. Thread risers onto screen. Lower into borehole to required depth. Ensure slip-cap or J-plug is inserted to prevent backfill materials entering well.
- As drillers remove augers, backfill borehole annulus with silica sand until the level of sand is approximately 0.3 m above the top of the screen.
- Backfill with holeplug until at least 0.3 m of holeplug is present above the top of the silica sand.
- Backfill remainder of borehole with holeplug or with auger cuttings (if contamination is not suspected).
- Install flushmount casing. Seal space between flushmount and borehole annulus with concrete, cold patch, or holeplug to match surrounding ground surface.

### **3.3 Monitoring Well Sampling Procedure**

#### **Equipment**

- Water level metre or interface probe on hydrocarbon/LNAPL sites
- Spray bottles containing water and methanol to clean water level tape or interface probe
- Peristaltic pump
- Polyethylene tubing for peristaltic pump
- Flexible tubing for peristaltic pump
- Latex or nitrile gloves (depending on suspected contaminant)
- Allen keys and/or 9/16" socket wrench to remove well caps
- Graduated bucket with volume measurements
- pH/Temperature/Conductivity combo pen
- Laboratory-supplied sample bottles

#### **Sampling Procedure**

- Locate well and use socket wrench or Allan key to open metal flush mount protector cap. Remove plastic well cap.
- Measure water level, with respect to existing ground surface, using water level meter or interface probe. If using interface probe on suspected NAPL site, measure the thickness of free product.
- Measure total depth of well.
- Clean water level tape or interface probe using methanol and water. Change gloves between wells.
- Calculate volume of standing water within well and record.

- Insert polyethylene tubing into well and attach to peristaltic pump. Turn on peristaltic pump and purge into graduated bucket. Purge at least three well volumes of water from the well. Measure and record field chemistry. Continue to purge, measuring field chemistry after every well volume purged, until appearance or field chemistry stabilizes.
- Note appearance of purge water, including colour, opacity (clear, cloudy, silty), sheen, presence of LNAPL, and odour. Note any other unusual features (particulate matter, effervescence (bubbling) of dissolved gas, etc.).
- Fill required sample bottles. If sampling for metals, attach 75-micron filter to discharge tube and filter metals sample. If sampling for VOCs, use low flow rate to ensure continuous stream of non-turbulent flow into sample bottles. Ensure no headspace is present in VOC vials.
- Replace well cap and flushmount casing cap.

## 4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL (QA/QC)

The QA/QC program for this Phase II ESA is as follows:

- All non-dedicated sampling equipment (split spoons) will be decontaminated according to the SOPs listed above.
- All groundwater sampling equipment is dedicated (polyethylene and flexible peristaltic tubing is replaced for each well).
- Where groundwater samples are to be analyzed for VOCs, one laboratory-provided trip blank will be submitted for analysis with every laboratory submission.
- Approximately one (1) field duplicate will be submitted for every ten (10) samples submitted for laboratory analysis. A minimum of one (1) field duplicate per project will be submitted. Field duplicates will be submitted for soil and groundwater samples
- Where combo pens are used to measure field chemistry, they will be calibrated on an approximately monthly basis, according to frequency of use.

## 5.0 DATA QUALITY OBJECTIVES

The purpose of setting data quality objectives (DQOs) is to ensure that the level of uncertainty in data collected during the Phase II ESA is low enough that decision-making is not affected, and that the overall objectives of the investigation are met.



The quality of data is assessed by comparing field duplicates with original samples. If the relative percent difference (RPD) between the duplicate and the sample is within 20%, the data are considered to be of sufficient quality so as not to affect decision-making. The RPD is calculated as follows:

$$RPD = \left| \frac{x_1 - x_2}{(x_1 + x_2)/2} \right| \times 100\%$$

Where  $x_1$  is the concentration of a given parameter in an original sample and  $x_2$  is the concentration of that same parameter in the field duplicate sample.

For the purpose of calculating the RPD, it is desirable to select field duplicates from samples for which parameters are present in concentrations above laboratory detection limits, i.e. samples which are expected to be contaminated. If parameters are below laboratory detection limits for selected samples or duplicates, the RPD may be calculated using a concentration equal to one half the laboratory detection limit.

It is also important to consider data quality in the overall context of the project. For example, if the DQOs are not met for a given sample, yet the concentrations of contaminants in both the sample and the duplicate exceed the MOE site remediation standards by a large margin, the decision-making usefulness of the sample may not be considered to be impaired. The proximity of other samples which meet the DQOs must also be considered in developing the Phase II Conceptual Site Model; often there are enough data available to produce a reliable Phase II Conceptual Site Model even if DQOs are not met for certain individual samples.

These considerations are discussed in the body of the report.

## 6.0 PHYSICAL IMPEDIMENTS

Physical impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan may include:

- The location of underground utilities
- Poor recovery of split-spoon soil samples
- Insufficient groundwater volume for groundwater samples
- Breakage of sampling containers following sampling or while in transit to the laboratory
- Elevated detection limits due to matrix interference (generally related to soil colour or presence of organic material)

- 
- Elevated detection limits due to high concentrations of certain parameters, necessitating dilution of samples in laboratory
  - Drill rig breakdowns
  - Winter conditions
  - Other site-specific impediments

Site-specific impediments to the Sampling and Analysis plan are discussed in the body of the Phase II ESA report.

DATUM Elevations are referenced to a geodetic datum


REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger

DATE May 18, 2023

FILE NO.  
**PE6096**

HOLE NO.  
**BH 1-23**



100 200 300 400 500  
RKI Eagle Rdg. (ppm)  
▲ Full Gas Resp. △ Methane Elim.

**DATUM** Elevations are referenced to a geodetic datum


**REMARKS**

**BORINGS BY** CME 55 Power Auger

**DATE** May 18, 2023

**FILE NO.**  
**PE6096**

**HOLE NO.**  
**BH 2-23**



DATUM Elevations are referenced to a geodetic datum


REMARKS

BORINGS BY CME 55 Power Auger

DATE May 18, 2023

FILE NO.  
**PE6096**

HOLE NO.  
**BH 3-23**



# SYMBOLS AND TERMS

## SOIL DESCRIPTION

Behavioural properties, such as structure and strength, take precedence over particle gradation in describing soils. Terminology describing soil structure are as follows:

Desiccated	-	having visible signs of weathering by oxidation of clay minerals, shrinkage cracks, etc.
Fissured	-	having cracks, and hence a blocky structure.
Varved	-	composed of regular alternating layers of silt and clay.
Stratified	-	composed of alternating layers of different soil types, e.g. silt and sand or silt and clay.
Well-Graded	-	Having wide range in grain sizes and substantial amounts of all intermediate particle sizes (see Grain Size Distribution).
Uniformly-Graded	-	Predominantly of one grain size (see Grain Size Distribution).

The standard terminology to describe the relative strength of cohesionless soils is the compactness condition, usually inferred from the results of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT) 'N' value. The SPT N value is the number of blows of a 63.5 kg hammer, falling 760 mm, required to drive a 51 mm O.D. split spoon sampler 300 mm into the soil after an initial penetration of 150 mm. An SPT N value of "P" denotes that the split-spoon sampler was pushed 300 mm into the soil without the use of a falling hammer.

Compactness Condition	'N' Value	Relative Density %
Very Loose	<4	<15
Loose	4-10	15-35
Compact	10-30	35-65
Dense	30-50	65-85
Very Dense	>50	>85

The standard terminology to describe the strength of cohesive soils is the consistency, which is based on the undisturbed undrained shear strength as measured by the in situ or laboratory shear vane tests, unconfined compression tests, or occasionally by the Standard Penetration Test (SPT). Note that the typical correlations of undrained shear strength to SPT N value (tabulated below) tend to underestimate the consistency for sensitive silty clays, so Paterson reviews the applicable split spoon samples in the laboratory to provide a more representative consistency value based on tactile examination.

Consistency	Undrained Shear Strength (kPa)	'N' Value
Very Soft	<12	<2
Soft	12-25	2-4
Firm	25-50	4-8
Stiff	50-100	8-15
Very Stiff	100-200	15-30
Hard	>200	>30

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

### SOIL DESCRIPTION (continued)

Cohesive soils can also be classified according to their “sensitivity”. The sensitivity,  $S_t$ , is the ratio between the undisturbed undrained shear strength and the remoulded undrained shear strength of the soil. The classes of sensitivity may be defined as follows:

Low Sensitivity:	$S_t < 2$
Medium Sensitivity:	$2 < S_t < 4$
Sensitive:	$4 < S_t < 8$
Extra Sensitive:	$8 < S_t < 16$
Quick Clay:	$S_t > 16$

### ROCK DESCRIPTION

The structural description of the bedrock mass is based on the Rock Quality Designation (RQD).

The RQD classification is based on a modified core recovery percentage in which all pieces of sound core over 100 mm long are counted as recovery. The smaller pieces are considered to be a result of closely-spaced discontinuities (resulting from shearing, jointing, faulting, or weathering) in the rock mass and are not counted. RQD is ideally determined from NQ or larger size core. However, it can be used on smaller core sizes, such as BQ, if the bulk of the fractures caused by drilling stresses (called “mechanical breaks”) are easily distinguishable from the normal in situ fractures.

RQD %	ROCK QUALITY
90-100	Excellent, intact, very sound
75-90	Good, massive, moderately jointed or sound
50-75	Fair, blocky and seamy, fractured
25-50	Poor, shattered and very seamy or blocky, severely fractured
0-25	Very poor, crushed, very severely fractured

### SAMPLE TYPES

SS	-	Split spoon sample (obtained in conjunction with the performing of the Standard Penetration Test (SPT))
TW	-	Thin wall tube or Shelby tube, generally recovered using a piston sampler
G	-	"Grab" sample from test pit or surface materials
AU	-	Auger sample or bulk sample
WS	-	Wash sample
RC	-	Rock core sample (Core bit size BQ, NQ, HQ, etc.). Rock core samples are obtained with the use of standard diamond drilling bits.

## SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)

### PLASTICITY LIMITS AND GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION

WC%	-	Natural water content or water content of sample, %
LL	-	Liquid Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves as a liquid)
PL	-	Plastic Limit, % (water content above which soil behaves plastically)
PI	-	Plasticity Index, % (difference between LL and PL)
D <sub>xx</sub>	-	Grain size at which xx% of the soil, by weight, is of finer grain sizes These grain size descriptions are not used below 0.075 mm grain size
D <sub>10</sub>	-	Grain size at which 10% of the soil is finer (effective grain size)
D <sub>60</sub>	-	Grain size at which 60% of the soil is finer
C <sub>c</sub>	-	Concavity coefficient = $(D_{30})^2 / (D_{10} \times D_{60})$
C <sub>u</sub>	-	Uniformity coefficient = $D_{60} / D_{10}$

C<sub>c</sub> and C<sub>u</sub> are used to assess the grading of sands and gravels:

Well-graded gravels have:  $1 < C_c < 3$  and  $C_u > 4$

Well-graded sands have:  $1 < C_c < 3$  and  $C_u > 6$

Sands and gravels not meeting the above requirements are poorly-graded or uniformly-graded.

C<sub>c</sub> and C<sub>u</sub> are not applicable for the description of soils with more than 10% silt and clay (more than 10% finer than 0.075 mm or the #200 sieve)

### CONSOLIDATION TEST

p' <sub>o</sub>	-	Present effective overburden pressure at sample depth
p' <sub>c</sub>	-	Preconsolidation pressure of (maximum past pressure on) sample
C <sub>cr</sub>	-	Recompression index (in effect at pressures below p' <sub>c</sub> )
C <sub>c</sub>	-	Compression index (in effect at pressures above p' <sub>c</sub> )
OC Ratio		Overconsolidation ratio = $p'_c / p'_o$
Void Ratio		Initial sample void ratio = volume of voids / volume of solids
W <sub>o</sub>	-	Initial water content (at start of consolidation test)


### PERMEABILITY TEST

k	-	Coefficient of permeability or hydraulic conductivity is a measure of the ability of water to flow through the sample. The value of k is measured at a specified unit weight for (remoulded) cohesionless soil samples, because its value will vary with the unit weight or density of the sample during the test.
---	---	--




## SYMBOLS AND TERMS (continued)


### STRATA PLOT




Topsoil




Asphalt




Fill




Peat




Sand




Silty Sand




Silt



Sandy Silt



Clay




Silty Clay




Clayey Silty Sand



Glacial Till




Shale




Bedrock

### MONITORING WELL AND PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION

#### MONITORING WELL CONSTRUCTION



#### PIEZOMETER CONSTRUCTION



## Certificate of Analysis

**Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

9 Auriga Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9  
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 57557  
Project: PE6096  
Custody:

Report Date: 2-Jun-2023  
Order Date: 23-May-2023

Revised Report

**Order #: 2321086**

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted :

Parcel ID	Client ID
2321086-01	BH1-23-AU1
2321086-03	BH1-23-SS5
2321086-04	BH2-23-AU1
2321086-05	BH2-23-SS6
2321086-06	BH3-23-AU1
2321086-07	BH3-23-AU2
2321086-08	BH3-23-SS7

Approved By:



Dale Robertson, BSc  
Laboratory Director

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Analysis Summary Table**

Analysis	Method Reference/Description	Extraction Date	Analysis Date
PHC F1	CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID	24-May-23	24-May-23
PHCs F2 to F4	CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction	24-May-23	27-May-23
REG 153: Metals by ICP/MS, soil	EPA 6020 - Digestion - ICP-MS	29-May-23	29-May-23
REG 153: PAHs by GC-MS	EPA 8270 - GC-MS, extraction	25-May-23	26-May-23
REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS	EPA 8260 - P&T GC-MS	24-May-23	24-May-23
Solids, %	CWS Tier 1 - Gravimetric	25-May-23	26-May-23

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

<b>Client ID:</b>	BH1-23-AU1	BH1-23-SS5	BH2-23-AU1	BH2-23-SS6
<b>Sample Date:</b>	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00
<b>Sample ID:</b>	2321086-01	2321086-03	2321086-04	2321086-05
<b>MDL/Units</b>	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil

**Physical Characteristics**

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	86.8	90.1	92.0	88.5
----------	--------------	------	------	------	------

**Metals**

Antimony	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	-	<1.0	-
Arsenic	1.0 ug/g dry	4.6	-	3.2	-
Barium	1.0 ug/g dry	138	-	100	-
Beryllium	0.5 ug/g dry	0.5	-	0.5	-
Boron	5.0 ug/g dry	9.6	-	9.4	-
Cadmium	0.5 ug/g dry	<0.5	-	<0.5	-
Chromium	5.0 ug/g dry	25.0	-	22.5	-
Cobalt	1.0 ug/g dry	7.0	-	6.4	-
Copper	5.0 ug/g dry	18.6	-	20.8	-
Lead	1.0 ug/g dry	47.4	-	32.2	-
Molybdenum	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	-	<1.0	-
Nickel	5.0 ug/g dry	15.1	-	12.8	-
Selenium	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	-	<1.0	-
Silver	0.3 ug/g dry	<0.3	-	<0.3	-
Thallium	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	-	<1.0	-
Uranium	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	-	<1.0	-
Vanadium	10.0 ug/g dry	32.3	-	31.6	-
Zinc	20.0 ug/g dry	78.8	-	56.7	-

**Volatiles**

Acetone	0.50 ug/g dry	-	<0.50	-	<0.50
Benzene	0.02 ug/g dry	-	<0.02	-	<0.02
Bromodichloromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Bromoform	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Bromomethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Chlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Chloroform	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Dibromochloromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

	Client ID:	BH1-23-AU1	BH1-23-SS5	BH2-23-AU1	BH2-23-SS6
	Sample Date:	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00
	Sample ID:	2321086-01	2321086-03	2321086-04	2321086-05
	MDL/Units	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,3-Dichloropropene, total	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Ethylbenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-)	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Hexane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	0.50 ug/g dry	-	<0.50	-	<0.50
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.50 ug/g dry	-	<0.50	-	<0.50
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Methylene Chloride	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Styrene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Tetrachloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Toluene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Trichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Vinyl chloride	0.02 ug/g dry	-	<0.02	-	<0.02
m,p-Xylenes	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
o-Xylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
Xylenes, total	0.05 ug/g dry	-	<0.05	-	<0.05
4-Bromofluorobenzene	Surrogate	-	111%	-	102%
Dibromofluoromethane	Surrogate	-	85.1%	-	82.2%
Toluene-d8	Surrogate	-	106%	-	101%

**Hydrocarbons**

F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	7 ug/g dry	-	<7	-	<7
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	4 ug/g dry	-	<4	-	<4
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	8 ug/g dry	-	<8	-	<8
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	6 ug/g dry	-	<6	-	<6

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

	Client ID:	BH1-23-AU1	BH1-23-SS5	BH2-23-AU1	BH2-23-SS6
	Sample Date:	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00
	Sample ID:	2321086-01	2321086-03	2321086-04	2321086-05
	MDL/Units	Soil	Soil	Soil	Soil

**Semi-Volatiles**

	MDL/Units	BH1-23-AU1	BH1-23-SS5	BH2-23-AU1	BH2-23-SS6
Acenaphthene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	<0.02	-
Acenaphthylene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	0.03	-
Anthracene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	0.05	-
Benzo [a] anthracene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.03	-	0.13	-
Benzo [a] pyrene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.04	-	0.13	-
Benzo [b] fluoranthene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.03	-	0.09	-
Benzo [g,h,i] perylene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.03	-	0.08	-
Benzo [k] fluoranthene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	0.05	-
Chrysene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.05	-	0.15	-
Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	<0.02	-
Fluoranthene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.07	-	0.27	-
Fluorene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	<0.02	-
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	0.06	-
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	<0.02	-
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.02 ug/g dry	<0.02	-	<0.02	-
Methylnaphthalene (1&2)	0.04 ug/g dry	<0.04	-	<0.04	-
Naphthalene	0.01 ug/g dry	<0.01	-	<0.01	-
Phenanthrene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.05	-	0.23	-
Pyrene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.06	-	0.22	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl	Surrogate	76.5%	-	81.5%	-
Terphenyl-d14	Surrogate	76.4%	-	74.4%	-

Certificate of Analysis  
 Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers  
 Client PO: 57557

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023  
 Order Date: 23-May-2023  
 Project Description: PE6096

<b>Client ID:</b>	BH3-23-AU1	BH3-23-AU2	BH3-23-SS7	-
<b>Sample Date:</b>	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	-
<b>Sample ID:</b>	2321086-06	2321086-07	2321086-08	-
<b>MDL/Units</b>	Soil	Soil	Soil	-

**Physical Characteristics**

% Solids	0.1 % by Wt.	88.4	95.8	92.7	-
----------	--------------	------	------	------	---

**Metals**

Antimony	1.0 ug/g dry	2.4	<1.0	-	-
Arsenic	1.0 ug/g dry	80.6	4.6	-	-
Barium	1.0 ug/g dry	350	122	-	-
Beryllium	0.5 ug/g dry	1.6	0.5	-	-
Boron	5.0 ug/g dry	11.8	13.3	-	-
Cadmium	0.5 ug/g dry	0.6	<0.5	-	-
Chromium	5.0 ug/g dry	24.8	31.6	-	-
Cobalt	1.0 ug/g dry	18.8	10.7	-	-
Copper	5.0 ug/g dry	89.1	27.2	-	-
Lead	1.0 ug/g dry	139	10.3	-	-
Molybdenum	1.0 ug/g dry	12.2	1.8	-	-
Nickel	5.0 ug/g dry	44.6	17.4	-	-
Selenium	1.0 ug/g dry	1.3	<1.0	-	-
Silver	0.3 ug/g dry	0.5	<0.3	-	-
Thallium	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	<1.0	-	-
Uranium	1.0 ug/g dry	<1.0	<1.0	-	-
Vanadium	10.0 ug/g dry	34.4	47.4	-	-
Zinc	20.0 ug/g dry	138	41.5	-	-

**Volatiles**

Acetone	0.50 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.50	-
Benzene	0.02 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.02	-
Bromodichloromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Bromoform	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Bromomethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Chlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Chloroform	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Dibromochloromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

	MDL/Units	BH3-23-AU1 18-May-23 09:00 2321086-06 Soil	BH3-23-AU2 18-May-23 09:00 2321086-07 Soil	BH3-23-SS7 18-May-23 09:00 2321086-08 Soil	-
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,3-Dichloropropene, total	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Ethylbenzene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Hexane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	0.50 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.50	-
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	0.50 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.50	-
Methyl tert-butyl ether	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Methylene Chloride	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Styrene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Tetrachloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Toluene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Trichloroethylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Trichlorofluoromethane	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Vinyl chloride	0.02 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.02	-
m,p-Xylenes	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
o-Xylene	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
Xylenes, total	0.05 ug/g dry	-	-	<0.05	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene	Surrogate	-	-	109%	-
Dibromofluoromethane	Surrogate	-	-	83.8%	-
Toluene-d8	Surrogate	-	-	97.5%	-
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>					
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	7 ug/g dry	-	-	<7	-
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	4 ug/g dry	-	-	<4	-



Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

	Client ID:	BH3-23-AU1	BH3-23-AU2	BH3-23-SS7	-
	Sample Date:	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	18-May-23 09:00	-
	Sample ID:	2321086-06	2321086-07	2321086-08	-
	MDL/Units	Soil	Soil	Soil	-
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	8 ug/g dry	-	-	<8	-
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	6 ug/g dry	-	-	<6	-

**Semi-Volatiles**

Acenaphthene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.06	<0.02	-	-
Acenaphthylene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.31	<0.02	-	-
Anthracene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.49	<0.02	-	-
Benzo [a] anthracene	0.02 ug/g dry	2.04	0.03	-	-
Benzo [a] pyrene	0.02 ug/g dry	2.06	0.03	-	-
Benzo [b] fluoranthene	0.02 ug/g dry	1.37	<0.02	-	-
Benzo [g,h,i] perylene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.81	<0.02	-	-
Benzo [k] fluoranthene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.76	<0.02	-	-
Chrysene	0.02 ug/g dry	1.84	0.04	-	-
Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.21	<0.02	-	-
Fluoranthene	0.02 ug/g dry	4.12	0.06	-	-
Fluorene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.09	<0.02	-	-
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.73	<0.02	-	-
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.11	0.03	-	-
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.02 ug/g dry	0.13	0.05	-	-
Methylnaphthalene (1&2)	0.04 ug/g dry	0.25	0.08	-	-
Naphthalene	0.01 ug/g dry	0.08	0.02	-	-
Phenanthrene	0.02 ug/g dry	2.15	0.05	-	-
Pyrene	0.02 ug/g dry	3.14	0.05	-	-
2-Fluorobiphenyl	Surrogate	74.1%	99.5%	-	-
Terphenyl-d14	Surrogate	67.3%	91.1%	-	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Blank**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	ND	7	ug/g						
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	ND	4	ug/g						
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	ND	8	ug/g						
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	ND	6	ug/g						
<b>Metals</b>									
Antimony	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Arsenic	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Barium	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Beryllium	ND	0.5	ug/g						
Boron	ND	5.0	ug/g						
Cadmium	ND	0.5	ug/g						
Chromium	ND	5.0	ug/g						
Cobalt	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Copper	ND	5.0	ug/g						
Lead	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Molybdenum	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Nickel	ND	5.0	ug/g						
Selenium	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Silver	ND	0.3	ug/g						
Thallium	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Uranium	ND	1.0	ug/g						
Vanadium	ND	10.0	ug/g						
Zinc	ND	20.0	ug/g						
<b>Semi-Volatiles</b>									
Acenaphthene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Acenaphthylene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Anthracene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Benzo [a] anthracene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Benzo [a] pyrene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Benzo [b] fluoranthene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Benzo [g,h,i] perylene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Benzo [k] fluoranthene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Chrysene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Fluoranthene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Fluorene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Methylnaphthalene (1&2)	ND	0.04	ug/g						
Naphthalene	ND	0.01	ug/g						
Phenanthrene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Pyrene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	1.14		ug/g		85.3	50-140			
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	1.08		ug/g		81.1	50-140			
<b>Volatiles</b>									
Acetone	ND	0.50	ug/g						
Benzene	ND	0.02	ug/g						
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Bromoform	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Bromomethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Chloroform	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g						

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Blank**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,3-Dichloropropene, total	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Hexane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	ND	0.50	ug/g						
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	ND	0.50	ug/g						
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Methylene Chloride	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Styrene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Toluene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Trichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.02	ug/g						
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.05	ug/g						
o-Xylene	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Xylenes, total	ND	0.05	ug/g						
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	3.76		ug/g		118	50-140			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	2.74		ug/g		85.7	50-140			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	3.08		ug/g		96.3	50-140			

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Duplicate**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	ND	7	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	ND	4	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	ND	8	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	ND	6	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
<b>Metals</b>									
Antimony	ND	1.0	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
Arsenic	5.7	1.0	ug/g	6.4			11.3	30	
Barium	83.0	1.0	ug/g	89.7			7.8	30	
Beryllium	0.9	0.5	ug/g	1.0			10.4	30	
Boron	6.4	5.0	ug/g	7.7			18.5	30	
Cadmium	0.7	0.5	ug/g	0.6			19.2	30	
Chromium	21.4	5.0	ug/g	24.5			13.5	30	
Cobalt	8.5	1.0	ug/g	9.1			6.2	30	
Copper	17.3	5.0	ug/g	18.8			8.2	30	
Lead	17.1	1.0	ug/g	18.0			5.1	30	
Molybdenum	1.1	1.0	ug/g	3.4			NC	30	
Nickel	16.6	5.0	ug/g	18.0			8.0	30	
Selenium	ND	1.0	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
Silver	0.4	0.3	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
Thallium	ND	1.0	ug/g	ND			NC	30	
Uranium	1.1	1.0	ug/g	1.1			4.3	30	
Vanadium	35.2	10.0	ug/g	41.5			16.3	30	
Zinc	74.8	20.0	ug/g	83.1			10.5	30	
<b>Physical Characteristics</b>									
% Solids	89.9	0.1	% by Wt.	89.4			0.6	25	
<b>Semi-Volatiles</b>									
Acenaphthene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Acenaphthylene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Anthracene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Benzo [a] anthracene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Benzo [a] pyrene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Benzo [b] fluoranthene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Benzo [g,h,i] perylene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Benzo [k] fluoranthene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Chrysene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Fluoranthene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Fluorene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
1-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
2-Methylnaphthalene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Naphthalene	ND	0.01	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Phenanthrene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Pyrene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	40	
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	1.06		ug/g		71.2	50-140			
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	1.05		ug/g		70.2	50-140			
<b>Volatiles</b>									
Acetone	ND	0.50	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Benzene	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Bromoform	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Bromomethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Chloroform	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Duplicate**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Hexane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	ND	0.50	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	ND	0.50	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Methylene Chloride	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Styrene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Toluene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Trichloroethylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.02	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
o-Xylene	ND	0.05	ug/g	ND			NC	50	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	3.78		ug/g		109	50-140			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	2.88		ug/g		83.1	50-140			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	3.20		ug/g		92.3	50-140			

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Spike**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	182	7	ug/g	ND	91.2	80-120			
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	110	4	ug/g	ND	114	60-140			
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	284	8	ug/g	ND	120	60-140			
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	187	6	ug/g	ND	125	60-140			
<b>Metals</b>									
Antimony	45.4	1.0	ug/g	ND	90.2	70-130			
Arsenic	60.7	1.0	ug/g	2.6	116	70-130			
Barium	95.6	1.0	ug/g	35.9	119	70-130			
Beryllium	63.9	0.5	ug/g	ND	127	70-130			
Boron	59.9	5.0	ug/g	ND	114	70-130			
Cadmium	59.4	0.5	ug/g	ND	118	70-130			
Chromium	72.8	5.0	ug/g	9.8	126	70-130			
Cobalt	64.4	1.0	ug/g	3.6	122	70-130			
Copper	66.8	5.0	ug/g	7.5	119	70-130			
Lead	64.5	1.0	ug/g	7.2	114	70-130			
Molybdenum	60.5	1.0	ug/g	1.4	118	70-130			
Nickel	68.4	5.0	ug/g	7.2	122	70-130			
Selenium	53.2	1.0	ug/g	ND	106	70-130			
Silver	48.2	0.3	ug/g	ND	96.1	70-130			
Thallium	54.1	1.0	ug/g	ND	108	70-130			
Uranium	57.6	1.0	ug/g	ND	114	70-130			
Vanadium	80.1	10.0	ug/g	16.6	127	70-130			
Zinc	94.7	20.0	ug/g	33.2	123	70-130			
<b>Semi-Volatiles</b>									
Acenaphthene	0.132	0.02	ug/g	ND	71.1	50-140			
Acenaphthylene	0.126	0.02	ug/g	ND	67.7	50-140			
Anthracene	0.124	0.02	ug/g	ND	66.4	50-140			
Benzo [a] anthracene	0.133	0.02	ug/g	ND	71.3	50-140			
Benzo [a] pyrene	0.131	0.02	ug/g	ND	70.5	50-140			
Benzo [b] fluoranthene	0.127	0.02	ug/g	ND	68.3	50-140			
Benzo [g,h,i] perylene	0.111	0.02	ug/g	ND	59.8	50-140			
Benzo [k] fluoranthene	0.110	0.02	ug/g	ND	59.1	50-140			
Chrysene	0.162	0.02	ug/g	ND	87.1	50-140			
Dibenzo [a,h] anthracene	0.117	0.02	ug/g	ND	62.7	50-140			
Fluoranthene	0.123	0.02	ug/g	ND	65.9	50-140			
Fluorene	0.139	0.02	ug/g	ND	74.8	50-140			
Indeno [1,2,3-cd] pyrene	0.112	0.02	ug/g	ND	60.3	50-140			
1-Methylnaphthalene	0.170	0.02	ug/g	ND	91.2	50-140			
2-Methylnaphthalene	0.183	0.02	ug/g	ND	98.3	50-140			
Naphthalene	0.146	0.01	ug/g	ND	78.3	50-140			
Phenanthrene	0.177	0.02	ug/g	ND	95.2	50-140			
Pyrene	0.120	0.02	ug/g	ND	64.2	50-140			
Surrogate: 2-Fluorobiphenyl	1.04		ug/g		69.5	50-140			
Surrogate: Terphenyl-d14	1.33		ug/g		89.1	50-140			
<b>Volatiles</b>									
Acetone	8.00	0.50	ug/g	ND	80.0	50-140			
Benzene	2.79	0.02	ug/g	ND	69.7	60-130			
Bromodichloromethane	3.60	0.05	ug/g	ND	90.1	60-130			

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Client PO: 57557

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Spike**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Bromoform	4.08	0.05	ug/g	ND	102	60-130			
Bromomethane	3.26	0.05	ug/g	ND	81.5	50-140			
Carbon Tetrachloride	4.09	0.05	ug/g	ND	102	60-130			
Chlorobenzene	3.28	0.05	ug/g	ND	81.9	60-130			
Chloroform	3.26	0.05	ug/g	ND	81.6	60-130			
Dibromochloromethane	3.95	0.05	ug/g	ND	98.8	60-130			
Dichlorodifluoromethane	4.52	0.05	ug/g	ND	113	50-140			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	4.23	0.05	ug/g	ND	106	60-130			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	4.00	0.05	ug/g	ND	100	60-130			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	3.84	0.05	ug/g	ND	95.9	60-130			
1,1-Dichloroethane	3.50	0.05	ug/g	ND	87.6	60-130			
1,2-Dichloroethane	4.37	0.05	ug/g	ND	109	60-130			
1,1-Dichloroethylene	3.38	0.05	ug/g	ND	84.6	60-130			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	3.25	0.05	ug/g	ND	81.2	60-130			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	3.29	0.05	ug/g	ND	82.3	60-130			
1,2-Dichloropropane	2.61	0.05	ug/g	ND	65.3	60-130			
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	3.95	0.05	ug/g	ND	98.9	60-130			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	3.09	0.05	ug/g	ND	77.2	60-130			
Ethylbenzene	3.51	0.05	ug/g	ND	87.6	60-130			
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-	3.42	0.05	ug/g	ND	85.5	60-130			
Hexane	2.71	0.05	ug/g	ND	67.7	60-130			
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	9.47	0.50	ug/g	ND	94.7	50-140			
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	8.46	0.50	ug/g	ND	84.6	50-140			
Methyl tert-butyl ether	11.9	0.05	ug/g	ND	119	50-140			
Methylene Chloride	2.97	0.05	ug/g	ND	74.1	60-130			
Styrene	3.59	0.05	ug/g	ND	89.7	60-130			
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	3.68	0.05	ug/g	ND	91.9	60-130			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	3.74	0.05	ug/g	ND	93.5	60-130			
Tetrachloroethylene	3.14	0.05	ug/g	ND	78.6	60-130			
Toluene	3.41	0.05	ug/g	ND	85.3	60-130			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	3.86	0.05	ug/g	ND	96.6	60-130			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	2.94	0.05	ug/g	ND	73.5	60-130			
Trichloroethylene	2.98	0.05	ug/g	ND	74.5	60-130			
Trichlorofluoromethane	2.99	0.05	ug/g	ND	74.8	50-140			
Vinyl chloride	2.73	0.02	ug/g	ND	68.4	50-140			
m,p-Xylenes	7.48	0.05	ug/g	ND	93.5	60-130			
o-Xylene	4.03	0.05	ug/g	ND	101	60-130			
<i>Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene</i>	2.16		ug/g		67.5	50-140			
<i>Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane</i>	2.66		ug/g		83.0	50-140			
<i>Surrogate: Toluene-d8</i>	2.87		ug/g		89.7	50-140			

Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 57557

Report Date: 02-Jun-2023

Order Date: 23-May-2023

Project Description: PE6096

**Qualifier Notes:**

**Sample Data Revisions**

None

**Work Order Revisions / Comments:**

Revision 1: Revised report includes additional PAH and ICP data.

**Other Report Notes:**

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

Soil results are reported on a dry weight basis when the units are denoted with 'dry'.

Where %Solids is reported, moisture loss includes the loss of volatile hydrocarbons.

***CCME PHC additional information:***

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC crite
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.





Client Name: **PaterSON Group** Project Ref: **PE 6096** Page **1** of **1**

Contact Name: **Mark D'Arcy** Quote #:

Address: **1 Auriga Dr, Ottawa ON, K2E 7T9** PO #: **57557**

Telephone: **613-226-7381** E-mail: **mdarcy@paterSONgroup.ca**

Turnaround Time  
 1 day  3 day  
 2 day  Regular  
 Date Required: \_\_\_\_\_

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> REG 153/04 <input type="checkbox"/> REG 406/19    Other Regulation		Matrix Type: S (Soil/Sed.) GW (Ground Water) SW (Surface Water) SS (Storm/Sanitary Sewer) P (Paint) A (Air) O (Other)			Required Analysis											
Table 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Res/Park <input type="checkbox"/> Med/Fine Table 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Ind/Comm <input type="checkbox"/> Coarse Table 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Agri/Other Table _____ For RSC: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		REG 558 <input type="checkbox"/> PWQO CCME <input type="checkbox"/> MISA SU - Sani <input type="checkbox"/> SU - Storm Mun: _____ Other: _____		Matrix	Air Volume	# of Containers	Sample Taken		PHCs F1-F4	VOCs	PAHs	Metals by ICP	Hg	CrVI	B (HWS)	HOLD
Sample ID/Location Name		Date	Time													
1	BH1-23-Au1	S	2	May 18/23					X	X						
2	BH1-23-SS2													X		
3	BH1-23-SS5							X	X							
4	BH2-23-Au1													X		
5	BH2-23-SS6							X	X							
6	BH3-23-Au1									X	X					
7	BH3-23-Au2													X		
8	BH3-23-SS7							X	X							
9																
10																

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_ Method of Delivery: **Parcel Corner**

Relinquished By (Sign): <b>Trudy Blair</b>	Received By Driver/Depot:	Received at Lab: <b>SD</b>	Verified By: <b>SD</b>
Relinquished By (Print): <b>Trudy Blair</b>	Date/Time:	Date/Time: <b>May 23 3:50</b>	Date/Time: <b>May 23, 4:00</b>
Date/Time: <b>May 23 2023</b>	Temperature: _____ °C	Temperature: <b>24.7</b>	pH Verified: <input type="checkbox"/> By: _____

## Certificate of Analysis

**Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

9 Auriga Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2E 7T9  
Attn: Mark D'Arcy

Client PO: 57563  
Project: PE6096  
Custody:

Report Date: 30-May-2023  
Order Date: 24-May-2023

**Order #: 2321242**

This Certificate of Analysis contains analytical data applicable to the following samples as submitted :

Paracel ID	Client ID
2321242-01	BH1-23-GW1
2321242-02	BH2-23-GW1
2321242-03	BH3-23-GW1

Approved By:



Mark Foto, M.Sc.  
Lab Supervisor

Certificate of Analysis

Client: **Paterson Group Consulting Engineers**

Client PO: **57563**

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Project Description: **PE6096**

**Analysis Summary Table**

Analysis	Method Reference/Description	Extraction Date	Analysis Date
PHC F1	CWS Tier 1 - P&T GC-FID	26-May-23	26-May-23
PHCs F2 to F4	CWS Tier 1 - GC-FID, extraction	29-May-23	30-May-23
REG 153: VOCs by P&T GC/MS	EPA 624 - P&T GC-MS	26-May-23	26-May-23

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Client PO: 57563

Project Description: PE6096

<b>Client ID:</b>	BH1-23-GW1	BH2-23-GW1	BH3-23-GW1	-
<b>Sample Date:</b>	23-May-23 09:00	23-May-23 09:00	23-May-23 09:00	-
<b>Sample ID:</b>	2321242-01	2321242-02	2321242-03	-
<b>MDL/Units</b>	Ground Water	Ground Water	Ground Water	-

Volatiles					
Acetone	5.0 ug/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	-
Benzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Bromodichloromethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Bromoform	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Bromomethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Carbon Tetrachloride	0.2 ug/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	-
Chlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Chloroform	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Dibromochloromethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Dichlorodifluoromethane	1.0 ug/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,1-Dichloroethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,2-Dichloroethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,1-Dichloroethylene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.5 ug/L	5.5	49.8	21.3	-
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,2-Dichloropropane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,3-Dichloropropene, total	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Ethylbenzene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-)	0.2 ug/L	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	-
Hexane	1.0 ug/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	5.0 ug/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	-
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	5.0 ug/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	-
Methyl tert-butyl ether	2.0 ug/L	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	-
Methylene Chloride	5.0 ug/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	-
Styrene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Tetrachloroethylene	0.5 ug/L	154	1550	591	-
Toluene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Client PO: 57563

Project Description: PE6096

	Client ID:	BH1-23-GW1	BH2-23-GW1	BH3-23-GW1	-
	Sample Date:	23-May-23 09:00	23-May-23 09:00	23-May-23 09:00	-
	Sample ID:	2321242-01	2321242-02	2321242-03	-
	MDL/Units	Ground Water	Ground Water	Ground Water	-
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Trichloroethylene	0.5 ug/L	11.8	87.0	50.1	-
Trichlorofluoromethane	1.0 ug/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	-
Vinyl chloride	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
m,p-Xylenes	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
o-Xylene	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
Xylenes, total	0.5 ug/L	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	-
4-Bromofluorobenzene	Surrogate	117%	120%	119%	-
Dibromofluoromethane	Surrogate	121%	123%	122%	-
Toluene-d8	Surrogate	99.4%	98.2%	98.7%	-

**Hydrocarbons**

F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	25 ug/L	<25	220	188	-
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	100 ug/L	<100	<100	<100	-
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	100 ug/L	<100	<100	<100	-
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	100 ug/L	<100	<100	<100	-

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Client PO: 57563

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Blank**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	ND	25	ug/L						
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	ND	100	ug/L						
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	ND	100	ug/L						
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	ND	100	ug/L						
<b>Volatiles</b>									
Acetone	ND	5.0	ug/L						
Benzene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Bromoform	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Bromomethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.2	ug/L						
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Chloroform	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	ug/L						
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,3-Dichloropropene, total	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-	ND	0.2	ug/L						
Hexane	ND	1.0	ug/L						
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	ND	5.0	ug/L						
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	ND	5.0	ug/L						
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	2.0	ug/L						
Methylene Chloride	ND	5.0	ug/L						
Styrene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Toluene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Trichloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	ug/L						
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.5	ug/L						
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	ug/L						
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Xylenes, total	ND	0.5	ug/L						
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	96.2		ug/L		120	50-140			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	106		ug/L		132	50-140			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	81.3		ug/L		102	50-140			

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Client PO: 57563

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Duplicate**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	ND	25	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
<b>Volatiles</b>									
Acetone	ND	5.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Benzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Bromodichloromethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Bromoform	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Bromomethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Carbon Tetrachloride	ND	0.2	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Chlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Chloroform	6.50	0.5	ug/L	6.48			0.3	30	
Dibromochloromethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Dichlorodifluoromethane	ND	1.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,1-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,2-Dichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,1-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	1.83	0.5	ug/L	1.79			2.2	30	
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,2-Dichloropropane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Ethylbenzene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-	ND	0.2	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Hexane	ND	1.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	ND	5.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	ND	5.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Methyl tert-butyl ether	ND	2.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Methylene Chloride	ND	5.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Styrene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Tetrachloroethylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Toluene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Trichloroethylene	16.3	0.5	ug/L	16.4			0.9	30	
Trichlorofluoromethane	ND	1.0	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Vinyl chloride	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
m,p-Xylenes	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
o-Xylene	ND	0.5	ug/L	ND			NC	30	
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	93.6		ug/L		117	50-140			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	97.0		ug/L		121	50-140			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	79.8		ug/L		99.7	50-140			

Certificate of Analysis

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Client PO: 57563

Project Description: PE6096

**Method Quality Control: Spike**

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limit	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
<b>Hydrocarbons</b>									
F1 PHCs (C6-C10)	1870	25	ug/L	ND	93.5	68-117			
F2 PHCs (C10-C16)	1620	100	ug/L	ND	101	60-140			
F3 PHCs (C16-C34)	4020	100	ug/L	ND	102	60-140			
F4 PHCs (C34-C50)	2800	100	ug/L	ND	113	60-140			
<b>Volatiles</b>									
Acetone	113	5.0	ug/L	ND	113	50-140			
Benzene	35.8	0.5	ug/L	ND	89.5	60-130			
Bromodichloromethane	49.9	0.5	ug/L	ND	125	60-130			
Bromoform	44.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	111	60-130			
Bromomethane	27.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	68.8	50-140			
Carbon Tetrachloride	40.2	0.2	ug/L	ND	100	60-130			
Chlorobenzene	40.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	101	60-130			
Chloroform	42.0	0.5	ug/L	ND	105	60-130			
Dibromochloromethane	42.3	0.5	ug/L	ND	106	60-130			
Dichlorodifluoromethane	24.2	1.0	ug/L	ND	60.4	50-140			
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	42.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	106	60-130			
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	43.7	0.5	ug/L	ND	109	60-130			
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	39.3	0.5	ug/L	ND	98.3	60-130			
1,1-Dichloroethane	42.8	0.5	ug/L	ND	107	60-130			
1,2-Dichloroethane	36.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	91.2	60-130			
1,1-Dichloroethylene	42.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	106	60-130			
cis-1,2-Dichloroethylene	43.6	0.5	ug/L	ND	109	60-130			
trans-1,2-Dichloroethylene	42.0	0.5	ug/L	ND	105	60-130			
1,2-Dichloropropane	36.7	0.5	ug/L	ND	91.7	60-130			
cis-1,3-Dichloropropylene	42.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	106	60-130			
trans-1,3-Dichloropropylene	44.7	0.5	ug/L	ND	112	60-130			
Ethylbenzene	37.4	0.5	ug/L	ND	93.4	60-130			
Ethylene dibromide (dibromoethane, 1,2-	48.9	0.2	ug/L	ND	122	60-130			
Hexane	32.6	1.0	ug/L	ND	81.4	60-130			
Methyl Ethyl Ketone (2-Butanone)	82.3	5.0	ug/L	ND	82.3	50-140			
Methyl Isobutyl Ketone	121	5.0	ug/L	ND	121	50-140			
Methyl tert-butyl ether	103	2.0	ug/L	ND	103	50-140			
Methylene Chloride	39.8	5.0	ug/L	ND	99.4	60-130			
Styrene	45.1	0.5	ug/L	ND	113	60-130			
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	45.8	0.5	ug/L	ND	115	60-130			
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	46.3	0.5	ug/L	ND	116	60-130			
Tetrachloroethylene	43.8	0.5	ug/L	ND	109	60-130			
Toluene	38.8	0.5	ug/L	ND	97.0	60-130			
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	45.4	0.5	ug/L	ND	113	60-130			
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	40.9	0.5	ug/L	ND	102	60-130			
Trichloroethylene	40.5	0.5	ug/L	ND	101	60-130			
Trichlorofluoromethane	40.2	1.0	ug/L	ND	100	60-130			
Vinyl chloride	31.4	0.5	ug/L	ND	78.4	50-140			
m,p-Xylenes	77.3	0.5	ug/L	ND	96.7	60-130			
o-Xylene	38.6	0.5	ug/L	ND	96.4	60-130			
Surrogate: 4-Bromofluorobenzene	94.0		ug/L		117	50-140			
Surrogate: Dibromofluoromethane	110		ug/L		138	50-140			
Surrogate: Toluene-d8	76.3		ug/L		95.4	50-140			



Certificate of Analysis

Client: Paterson Group Consulting Engineers

Client PO: 57563

Report Date: 30-May-2023

Order Date: 24-May-2023

Project Description: PE6096

**Qualifier Notes:**

**Sample Data Revisions**

None

**Work Order Revisions / Comments:**

None

**Other Report Notes:**

n/a: not applicable

ND: Not Detected

MDL: Method Detection Limit

Source Result: Data used as source for matrix and duplicate samples

%REC: Percent recovery.

RPD: Relative percent difference.

NC: Not Calculated

*CCME PHC additional information:*

- The method for the analysis of PHCs complies with the Reference Method for the CWS PHC and is validated for use in the laboratory. All prescribed quality criteria identified in the method has been met.
- F1 range corrected for BTEX.
- F2 to F3 ranges corrected for appropriate PAHs where available.
- The gravimetric heavy hydrocarbons (F4G) are not to be added to C6 to C50 hydrocarbons.
- In the case where F4 and F4G are both reported, the greater of the two results is to be used for comparison to CWS PHC criteria.
- When reported, data for F4G has been processed using a silica gel cleanup.



2321242

Client Name: Paterson Group  
Contact Name: Mark D'Arcy  
Address: 9 Auriga Dr, Ottawa ON, K2E 7T9  
Telephone: 613 226-7381

Project Ref: PE 6096  
Quote #:  
PO #: 57563  
E-mail: mdarcy@patersongroup.ca

Turnaround Time  
 1 day  3 day  
 2 day  Regular  
Date Required: \_\_\_\_\_

REG 153/04  REG 406/19  
 Table 1  Res/Park  Med/Fine  
 Table 2  Ind/Comm  Coarse  
 Table 3  Agri/Other  
 Table \_\_\_\_\_  
For RSC:  Yes  No

Other Regulation  
 REG 558  PWQO  
 CCME  MISA  
 SU - Sani  SU - Storm  
Mun: \_\_\_\_\_  
 Other: \_\_\_\_\_

Matrix Type: **S** (Soil/Sed.) **GW** (Ground Water)  
**SW** (Surface Water) **SS** (Storm/Sanitary Sewer)  
**P** (Paint) **A** (Air) **O** (Other)

Required Analysis

Sample ID/Location Name	Matrix	Air Volume	# of Containers	Sample Taken		PHCs F1-F4	VOCs	PAHs	Metals by ICP	Hg	CrVI	B (HWS)							
				Date	Time														
1 BH1-23-GW1	GW		3	May 23/23		X	X												
2 BH2-23-GW1	GW		3	↓		X	X												
3 BH3-23-GW1	GW		3	↓		X	X												
4																			
5																			
6																			
7																			
8																			
9																			
10																			

Comments: \_\_\_\_\_

Method of Delivery: Paracel Corr

Relinquished By (Sign): Trudy Blair Received By Driver/Depot: \_\_\_\_\_ Received at Lab: [Signature] Verified By: [Signature]

Relinquished By (Print): Trudy Blair Date/Time: \_\_\_\_\_ Date/Time: May 24 6:12 PM Date/Time: May 25 2023 083

Date/Time: May 24, 2023 Temperature: \_\_\_\_\_ °C Temperature: 8.2 pH Verified:  By: NA