



**Geotechnical Investigation  
Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive  
Ottawa, Ontario**

**Client:**

Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive  
Ottawa, Ontario K2J 4R8

**Type of Document:**

**DRAFT**

**Project Number:**

OTT-22013837-A0

**Prepared By:**

Matthew Zammit, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.  
Geotechnical Engineer  
Geotechnical Services  
Earth and Environment

**Reviewed / Approved By:**

Ismail Taki, M.Eng, P.Eng.  
Senior Manager  
Geotechnical Services  
Earth and Environment

**Date Submitted:**

November 2, 2022

## Table of Contents:

<b>Executive Summary</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>1. Introduction</b> .....	<b>3</b>
<b>2. Site Description</b> .....	<b>4</b>
<b>3. Procedure</b> .....	<b>5</b>
<b>4. Subsurface Soil and Groundwater Conditions</b> .....	<b>6</b>
4.1 Topsoil .....	6
4.2 Fill .....	6
4.3 Clay .....	6
4.4 Glacial Till.....	7
4.5 Inferred Boulders or Bedrock .....	7
4.6 Groundwater Level.....	8
<b>5. Seismic Site Classification and Liquefaction Potential of Soils</b> .....	<b>9</b>
5.1 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response .....	9
5.2 Liquefaction Potential of Soils .....	9
<b>6. Grade Raise Restrictions</b> .....	<b>10</b>
<b>7. Foundation Considerations</b> .....	<b>11</b>
<b>8. Slab-on-Grade Construction and Permanent Drainage Systems</b> .....	<b>13</b>
<b>9. Excavation and De-Watering Requirements</b> .....	<b>14</b>
9.1 Excess Soil Management .....	14
9.2 Excavations .....	14
9.3 De-Watering Requirements .....	14
<b>10. Backfilling Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes</b> .....	<b>16</b>
<b>11. Subsurface Concrete Requirements</b> .....	<b>17</b>
<b>12. General Comments</b> .....	<b>18</b>

## Appendices:

- Appendix A: Laboratory Certificate of Analysis
- Appendix B: Legal Notification

## Figures:

- Figure 1 – Site Location Plan
- Figure 2 – Borehole Location Plan
- Figures 3 to 5 – Borehole Logs
- Figures 6 to 8 – Grain Size Distribution Curves

## List of Tables:

<b>Table I: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination - Clay Samples.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Table II: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Glacial Till Sample .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>Table III: Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements .....</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>Table IV: Results of pH, Sulphate, and Resistivity Tests on Soil Samples .....</b>	<b>17</b>

## Executive Summary

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation completed for the proposed addition to the Haven Baptist church building situated at Civic 4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, Ontario (Figure 1). Terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP's proposal number: OTT-22013837-A0 dated May 19, 2022. Authorization to proceed with this investigation was provided by Haven Baptist Church via the EXP work authorization signed August 31, 2022.

It is our understanding that the building addition will be a slab on grade 279 square meter (3000 square feet) rectangular shaped structure extending on the east side of the existing church building. The design finished floor of the proposed addition and final site grades were not available at the time of this geotechnical investigation, however, it is expected that the finished floor of the proposed addition will match that of the existing building and minimum grade raise will be required as part of the proposed development.

The fieldwork for this investigation was completed on October 11, 2022 and consists of three (3) boreholes (Borehole Nos. 1, 2, and 3) drilled to termination and cone refusal depths of 6.7 m and 15.3 m respectively. A 19 mm diameter standpipe (with 1.5 m slotted section) was installed in Borehole Nos. 1 and 3 for long-term monitoring of the groundwater level.

The borehole information revealed the subsurface conditions to consist of topsoil over fill to roughly 1.5 m depth underlain by firm to very stiff native clay to depths ranging between 4.6 to 7.9 m. The clay is underlain by loose to compact glacial till containing boulders and cobbles to refusal depth contacted at 15.3 m depth (Elevation 79.2 m) in Borehole No. 2. The groundwater level was established at depths of 2.7 to 3.4 m below existing ground (Elevation 91.3 m to 91.1 m).

Based on the borehole information and Table 4.1.8.4.A of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (OBC) as amended May 2, 2019, the site classification for seismic site response is estimated to be **Class C**. The subsurface soils are not susceptible to liquefaction during a seismic event.

As indicated above, minimum grade raise is expected to be required at the site as part of the proposed addition. However, for design purposes, a maximum grade raise of 0.5 m is considered acceptable for the site. If higher grade raise is required, this office must be contacted for additional information.

Based on a review of the borehole information, it is considered feasible to support the proposed building addition by strip and spread footings founded in the upper 1.0 m of the native brown clay to a maximum depth of 2.5 m below existing grade (Elevation 92.0 m to Elevation 91.5 m). For strip footings having a maximum width of 0.9 m and square pad footings having a maximum width and length of 3.0 m founded on the native brown clay to a maximum depth of 2.5 m below existing grade (Elevation 92.0 m and Elevation 91.5 m), the footings may be designed for a bearing pressure at serviceability limit state (SLS) of 120 kPa and factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of 180 kPa. The factored ULS value includes a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5. The recommended SLS and factored ULS values are valid for the maximum footing founding depth of 2.5 m below existing grade on the native brown clay and for a maximum site grade raise of 0.5 m. Should the footings extend to greater depths below the maximum depth of 2.5 m below existing grade and/or the site grade raise will be greater than 0.5 m, EXP should be contacted to review and provide updated SLS and factored ULS values for the footings.

It is recommended that the footing of the proposed addition be placed at the same level as the existing footing.

A minimum of 1.5 m of earth cover should be provided to the exterior foundations of heated structures to protect them from damage due to frost penetration. The frost cover should be increased to 2.1 m for unheated structures if snow will not be removed from their vicinity and to 2.4 m if snow will be removed from the vicinity of the structure. When earth cover is less than the minimum required, an equivalent thermal combination of earth cover and rigid insulation or rigid insulation alone should be provided. EXP can provide additional comments in this regard, if required.

The floor slab of the proposed structure with no basement can be constructed as slab on grade placed on engineered fill over native silty clay. For this purpose, all the fill from the proposed building envelope must be removed to the native soils and replaced with engineered fill as per recommendation of this report.

A perimeter drainage system should be installed for the proposed new building addition. If the perimeter drainage system of the existing building is encountered during the construction of the new building addition, it should be reinstated following construction of the new building addition. The perimeter drainage system may comprise of 150 mm diameter perforated pipe or equivalent covered at the top, sides and bottom with a minimum 150 mm thick layer of clear stone that is completely wrapped or covered with a non-woven geotextile such as Terrafix 270R or equivalent. The perimeter drainage system should be connected to a sump to provide positive drainage. Underfloor drainage system is not required for the proposed building addition.

The excavations may be undertaken by conventional excavation and shall be completed in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils on site are considered to be Type 3 and for open cut excavations, the side slopes of the excavation must be cut back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation

Seepage of the surface and subsurface water into the excavations above the groundwater level is anticipated and it should be possible to collect water entering the excavations at low points and to remove it by conventional pumping techniques.

It is anticipated that the majority of the material required for engineered fill and for backfilling purposes for this project would have to be imported and should preferably conform to the specifications provided in the attached report.

The above and other related considerations are discussed in greater detail in the attached report.

## 1. Introduction

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation completed for the proposed addition to the Haven Baptist Church situated at Civic address of 4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, Ontario (Figure 1). Terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP's proposal number: OTT-22013837-A0 dated May 19, 2022. Authorization to proceed with this investigation was provided by Haven Baptist Church via the signed EXP work authorization form dated August 31, 2022.

It is our understanding that the building addition will be an approximately 279 square meter (3000 square feet) rectangular shaped structure with no basement extending on the east side of the existing church building. The design finished floor of the proposed addition and final site grades were not available at the time of this geotechnical investigation, however, it is expected that the finished floor of the proposed addition will match that of the existing building and minimum grade raise will be required as part of the proposed development.

This geotechnical investigation was undertaken to:

- a) Establish the subsurface soil conditions and groundwater levels at three (3) boreholes drilled within the area of the proposed addition,
- b) Provide classification of the site for seismic design in accordance with requirements of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (OBC) as amended May 2, 2019 and assess the liquefaction potential of the subsurface soils during a seismic event,
- c) Discuss grade raise restrictions,
- d) Provide the bearing pressure at Serviceability Limit State (SLS) and factored geotechnical resistance at Ultimate Limit State (ULS) of the most suitable type of foundation for the proposed building addition, as well as anticipated total and differential settlements,
- e) Comment on slab-on-grade construction and permanent drainage requirements,
- f) Discuss excavation conditions and dewatering requirements during construction of the foundation for the proposed building addition,
- g) Comment on backfilling requirements and suitability of the on-site soils for backfilling purposes; and
- h) Discuss the subsurface concrete requirements and the corrosion potential of subsurface soils to buried metal structures/members.

The comments and recommendations given in this report assume that the above-described design concept will proceed into construction. If changes are made either in the design phase or during construction, this office must be retained to review these modifications. The result of this review may be a modification of our recommendations, or it may require additional field or laboratory work to check whether the changes are acceptable from a geotechnical viewpoint.

## **2. Site Description**

The property, "Haven Baptist Church" is located in a suburban developed residential area of the City of Ottawa. It is rectangular-in shape, bounded by a park on the east and north sides, Nepean Seventh Day Adventist church to the west, and by Strandherd drive to the south.

The proposed building addition is planned to be located in the landscaped grassy area on the east side (rear) of the existing building.

A topographical survey plan prepared by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebakk Ltd. (AOV) for the site dated December 10, 2018 was provided to exp as reference material. A review of this plan revealed that the topography of the site is relatively flat, gradually sloping down from north to south. In the area of the building addition, the site slopes down from the existing building to the Strandherd drive roadside ditch from an approximate elevation of Elevation 94.45 m to Elevation 93.71 m.

### 3. Procedure

The fieldwork for the geotechnical investigation was completed on October 11, 2022 and consists of three (3) boreholes (Borehole Nos. 1, 2, and 3) drilled to termination and cone refusal depths of 6.7 m and 15.3 m respectively. The borehole locations are shown on Figure 2. The fieldwork was supervised on a full-time basis by a representative from EXP.

Prior to the fieldwork, the locations of the boreholes were staked in the field and their locations cleared of any public and private underground services. The geodetic elevation of the ground surface at each borehole location was estimated from the topographical survey plan of the site dated December 10, 2018 and prepared by AOV. Therefore, the borehole ground surface elevations should be considered as approximate.

The boreholes were drilled using a track-mounted drill rig equipped with hollow stem augers and operated by a drilling specialist subcontracted to EXP. Standard penetration tests (SPTs) were performed in all the boreholes at 0.75 m and 1.5 m depth intervals and the soil samples were retrieved by the split-spoon sampler. The undrained shear strength of the cohesive soil was measured by conducting penetrometer and in-situ vane tests. In Borehole No. 2, a dynamic cone penetration test (DCPT) was conducted beside the auger hole from 1.5 m to a cone refusal depth of 15.3 m below ground surface.

A 19 mm diameter standpipe (with 1.5 m slotted section) was installed in Borehole Nos. 1 and 3 for long-term monitoring of the groundwater level. The monitoring well was installed in accordance with EXP standard practice and the installation configuration is documented on the respective borehole log. The boreholes were backfilled upon completion of drilling and the installation of the standpipes.

All soil samples were visually examined in the field for textural classification, logged, preserved in plastic bags and identified accordingly. On completion of the fieldwork, all the soil samples were transported to the EXP laboratory located in the City of Ottawa where they were visually examined by a geotechnical engineer and the borehole logs were prepared. The engineer also assigned the laboratory testing which consisted of performing the following tests on the soil samples:

Natural Moisture Content Determination .....	26 tests
Natural Unit Weight Determination.....	5 tests
Grain Size Analysis.....	3 tests
Atterberg Limits.....	3 tests
Chemical Analysis (pH, sulphate and chloride) .....	2 tests



## 4. Subsurface Soil and Groundwater Conditions

A detailed description of the subsurface soil and groundwater conditions encountered in the boreholes is given on the borehole logs, Figures 3, 4, and 5. The borehole logs and related information depict subsurface conditions only at the specific locations and times indicated. Subsurface conditions and water levels at other locations may differ from conditions at the locations where sampling was conducted. The passage of time may also result in changes in the conditions interpreted to exist at the locations where sampling was conducted.

The boreholes were drilled to provide representation of subsurface conditions as part of a geotechnical exploration program and are not intended to provide evidence of environmental conditions.

It should be noted that the soil boundaries indicated on the borehole logs are intended to reflect approximate transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design and should not be interpreted as exact planes of geological change. The “Notes on Sample Descriptions” preceding the borehole logs forms an integral part of this report and should be read in conjunction with this report.

A review of the borehole logs indicates the following subsurface soil conditions with depth and groundwater level measurements.

### 4.1 Topsoil

A surficial topsoil layer ranging between 200 and 300 mm was contacted at the location of the three boreholes.

### 4.2 Fill

The topsoil in all three boreholes is underlain by heterogeneous fill that extends to depths of 1.0 to 1.5 m depth (Elevation 92.9 to 93.0 m). The fill consists of silty sand, with gravel, trace clay and topsoil inclusions. It is loose as indicated by the standard penetration test (SPT) N values of 5 to 9 blows for 300 mm penetration of the split spoon sampler. The moisture content and unit weight of the fill ranged from 8 to 18 percent and 18.7 and 19.2 kN/m<sup>3</sup> respectively.

### 4.3 Clay

The fill in the boreholes is underlain by a native silty clay deposit extending to 4.6 m to 7.9 m in the boreholes (Elevation 89.8 m to 86.6 m). The upper 1.5 m of the clay is desiccated brown and has an undrained shear strength ranging from 110 kPa to 140 kPa indicating a very stiff consistency. The upper clay crust is underlain by lower grey clay with an undrained shear strength of 43 kPa to 77 kPa indicating a firm to stiff consistency. The sensitivity values of the clay deposit ranged from 4.3 to 8.0 indicating a sensitive clay.

The natural moisture content and unit weight of the clay deposit is 27 to 75 percent and 18.7 kN/m<sup>3</sup> to 20.2 kN/m<sup>3</sup> respectively.

The results from the grain-size analysis and Atterberg limit determination conducted on one (1) sample of the brown clay crust (BH2 SS3) and one (1) sample of un-desiccated grey clay (BH2 SS5) is summarized in Table II. The grain-size distribution curves are shown in Figure 6 and Figure 7, respectively.

**Table I: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination - Clay Samples**

Borehole (BH) No. – Sample (SS) No.	Depth (m)	Grain-Size Analysis (%)			Atterberg Limits (%)				
		Gravel	Sand	Fines (Silt and Clay)	Moisture Content	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Soil Classification (USCS)
BH 2 – SS3	1.5 – 2.1	0	6	94	27	37	18	19	Clay of Low Plasticity (CL)
BH 2 – SS5	4.6 - 5.2	0	0	100	65	44	22	22	Clay of Low Plasticity (CL)

Based on a review of the results of the grain-size analysis and Atterberg limits, the clay deposit at the site can be classified as of low plasticity (CL) in accordance with the USCS.

#### 4.4 Glacial Till

The clay deposit is underlain by glacial till extending to the maximum explored/sampled depths in all boreholes of 6.7 m to 15.3 m (Elevation 79.2 m to 87.7 m). The glacial till comprised of silty sand with gravel and clay contains cobbles and boulders. It is very loose to compact and has a natural moisture content of 9 to 47 percent.

The results from the grain-size analysis conducted on one (1) sample of the glacial till is summarized in Table II. The grain-size distribution curve is shown in Figure 8

**Table II: Summary of Grain-size Analysis Results – Glacial Till Sample**

Borehole No. – Sample No.	Depth (m)	Grain-size Analysis (%)			Atterberg Limits (%)	Soil Classification (USCS)
		Gravel	Sand	Silt and Clay		
BH2 – SS8	9.2 – 9.8	13	48	39	Non-plastic	Silty Sand (SM)

Based on a review of the results of the grain-size analysis, the glacial till may be classified as a silty sand in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS).

#### 4.5 Inferred Boulders or Bedrock

Auger refusal was met in Borehole No. 2 at 13.9 m below ground surface. Following auger refusal, a dynamic cone penetration test (DCPT) was conducted adjacent to the hole at borehole No. 2 from a 1.5 m depth to cone refusal at a 15.3 m depth (Elevation 79.2 m). It is not known if refusal was met on boulders within the glacial till deposit or on the surface of the bedrock.

## 4.6 Groundwater Level

Groundwater levels were collected in the open boreholes upon completion as well as in standpipes installed in Borehole Nos. 1, and 3 and results summarized in Table III.

Table III: Summary of Groundwater Level Measurements			
Borehole No. (BH)	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Date of Measurement (Elapsed Time in Days from Date of Installation)	Groundwater Depth Below Ground Surface (Elevation), m
BH 1	94.41	October 27, 2022 (16 days)	3.2 (91.2)
BH 2	94.50	October 11, 2022 (0 days)	3.4 (91.1)
BH 3	94.00	October 27, 2022 (16 days)	2.7 (91.3)

A review of Table III indicates the groundwater table at the site ranges from 2.7 m to 3.4 m (Elevation 91.3 m to Elevation 91.1 m).

Groundwater levels were determined in the boreholes at the time and under the condition stated in the scope of services. Note that fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to a seasonal variation such as precipitation, snowmelt, rainfall activities, and other factors not evident at the time of measurement and therefore may be at a higher level during wet weather periods.

## **5. Seismic Site Classification and Liquefaction Potential of Soils**

### **5.1 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response**

Based on the borehole information and Table 4.1.8.4.A in the 2012 Ontario Building Code (as amended May 2, 2019), the site classification for seismic site response is **Class C**.

### **5.2 Liquefaction Potential of Soils**

The subsurface soils are not considered to be liquefiable during a seismic event.

## **6. Grade Raise Restrictions**

The site is underlain by a clay deposit that is prone to consolidation settlement if overstressed by loads imposed on it by site grade raise, foundations and by the permanent lowering of the groundwater level following construction. Overstressing of the clay will result in its consolidation and subsequent settlement of foundations, which may exceed tolerable limits of the structure resulting in cracking of the structure.

Major grade raise is not anticipated as part of the proposed construction, however for the purpose of this geotechnical investigation, a maximum grade raise of 0.5 m has been assumed.

If the above assumption is incorrect, EXP should be contacted to review the acceptability of the proposed grade raise from a geotechnical point of view and provide updated bearing pressure value at serviceability limit state (SLS) and factored geotechnical resistance value at ultimate limit state (ULS) for the footings of the proposed new building addition.

## 7. Foundation Considerations

The finished floor level of the proposed addition with no basement, as well as the finished exterior grades were not available at time of preparation of this report. However, it is expected that the floor of the proposed addition will match that of the existing building. Also, the exterior grades are expected to generally match the existing grades and therefore minimum grade raise to be required at the site as part of the proposed addition. It is also assumed that the footing of the proposed addition will match that of the existing building.

The investigation has revealed that the site is suitable to found the proposed addition on the upper levels of the native silty clay contacted at depths of 1.0 m to 1.5 m below grade. The existing fill is not considered suitable to support the footings for the proposed building addition


Strip and spread footings founded in the upper 1.0 m of the native brown clay to a maximum depth of 2.5 m below existing grade (Elevation 92.0 m to Elevation 91.5 m) with a maximum width of 0.9 m and 3 m respectively may be designed for a bearing pressure at serviceability limit state (SLS) of 120 kPa and factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of 180 kPa. The factored ULS value includes a geotechnical resistance factor of 0.5. The recommended SLS and factored ULS values are valid for a maximum site grade raise of 0.5 m. If this is different, EXP should be contacted to review and provide updated SLS and factored ULS values for the footings.

The total and differential settlements of footings designed in accordance with the recommendations of this report and with careful attention to construction detail are expected to be less than 25 mm and 19 mm respectively.

To minimize the need to underpin the existing footings along the west wall of the existing building where the proposed new building addition will be located, it is recommended that the footings for the new building addition be placed at the same depth as the existing footings.

If deeper excavation is required for the new footings located adjacent to existing footings, underpinning of the existing footings may be required. EXP can provide additional recommendations regarding the underpinning of the existing footings.

Footings at different elevations should be located such that the higher footings are set below a line drawn up at 10 horizontal to 7 vertical (10H:7V) from the near edge of the lower footing. This concept should also be applied to service excavation, etc. to ensure that undermining is not a problem.



FOOTINGS NEAR SERVICE TRENCHES OR AT DIFFERENT ELEVATIONS

All footing beds should be examined by a geotechnical engineer to ensure that the founding soil is capable of supporting the bearing pressure at SLS and that the footings have been properly prepared.

It should be noted that the exposed clay subgrade surface is susceptible to disturbance due to movement of workers and construction traffic and the prevailing weather conditions during construction. To prevent disturbance to the clay subgrade, the approved footing beds should be covered or protected with a 50 mm thick concrete mud slab.

A minimum of 1.5 m of earth cover should be provided to the exterior foundations of heated structures to protect them from damage due to frost penetration. The frost cover should be increased to 2.1 m for unheated structures if snow will not be removed from their vicinity and to 2.4 m if snow will be removed from the vicinity of the structure. When earth cover is less than the minimum required, an equivalent thermal combination of earth cover and rigid insulation or rigid insulation alone should be provided. EXP can provide additional comments in this regard, if required.

The recommended bearing pressure at SLS and factored geotechnical resistances at ULS have been calculated by EXP from the borehole information for the design stage only. The investigation and comments are necessarily ongoing as new information of underground conditions becomes available. For example, more specific information is available with respect to conditions between boreholes when foundation construction is underway. The interpretation between boreholes and the recommendations of this report must therefore be checked through field monitoring provided by an experienced geotechnical engineer to validate the information for use during the construction stage.

## **8. Slab-on-Grade Construction and Permanent Drainage Systems**

The existing soil matching the ground floor of the existing structure is topsoil over silty sand fill. The ground floor may be designed as slab on grade. The existing fill is not considered suitable to support the slab-on-grade and should be excavated and removed down to the native clay within the floor slab footprint. The floor slab should be set on a bed of well-packed 19 mm clear stone at least 200 mm thick placed on the engineered fill pad at least 300 mm thick and should consist of Ontario Provincial Standard Specification (OPSS) Granular B Type II which should be placed in 300 mm lifts and each lift compacted to 98 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD). The clear stone would prevent the capillary rise of moisture from the sub-soil to the floor slab. Adequate saw cuts should be provided in the floor slab to control cracking.

A perimeter drainage system should be installed around the proposed new building addition. If the perimeter drainage system of the existing building is encountered during the construction of the new building addition, it should be reinstated following construction of the new building addition. The perimeter drainage system may comprise of 150 mm diameter perforated pipe or equivalent covered at the top, sides and bottom with a minimum 150 mm thick layer of clear stone that is completely wrapped or covered with a non-woven geotextile such as Terrafix 270R or equivalent. The perimeter drainage system should be connected to a sump to provide positive drainage. Underfloor drainage system is not required for the proposed building addition with no basement.

The ground floor slab should be set at least 150 mm above the surrounding exterior grades and the finished exterior grade should be sloped away from the building to prevent ponding of surface water close to the exterior walls of the proposed building addition.



## **9. Excavation and De-Watering Requirements**

### **9.1 Excess Soil Management**

Ontario Regulation 406/19 specifies protocols that are required for the management and disposal of excess soils. As set forth in the regulation, specific analytical testing protocols need to be implemented and followed based on the volume of soil to be managed and the requirements of the receiving site. The testing protocols are specific as to whether the soils are stockpiled or in situ. In either scenario, the testing protocols are far more onerous than have been historically carried out as part of standard industry practices. These decisions should be factored in and accounted for prior to the initiation of the project-defined scope of work. EXP would be pleased to assist with the implementation of a soil management and testing program that would satisfy the requirements of Ontario Regulation 406/19.

### **9.2 Excavations**

Excavations for the construction of the proposed building addition foundations are anticipated to extend a maximum of 2.0 m depth below existing ground surface. These excavations will extend through the fill and into the native clay and will be above the groundwater level or slightly below the groundwater table.

The excavations may be undertaken by conventional excavation equipment.

All excavations must be undertaken in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils on site are considered to be Type 3 and for open cut excavations, the side slopes of the excavation must be cut back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation. For zones along the sidewalls of the excavation of persistent water seepage, the excavation side slopes are expected to slough and eventually stabilize at a slope of 2H:1V from the bottom of the excavation.

Base heave type failure is not expected in excavations that extend into the native clay to a maximum 2.5 m depth below existing grade.

The clay stratum at the site is susceptible to disturbance due to the movement of construction equipment and personnel on its surface. It is therefore recommended that the excavation at the site should be undertaken by equipment that does not need to travel on the excavated surface, such as a Gradall or mechanical shovel.

Extra care should be exercised during the excavation close to the existing building to prevent the undermining of the existing footings. Reference is made to Section 7 of this report regarding measures to prevent the undermining of existing footings.

Many geologic materials deteriorate rapidly upon exposure to meteorological elements. Unless otherwise specifically indicated in this report, walls and floors of excavations must be protected from moisture, desiccation, and frost action throughout the course of construction.

### **9.3 De-Watering Requirements**

Seepage of the surface and subsurface water into the excavations is anticipated and it should be possible to collect water entering the excavations at low points and to remove it by conventional pumping techniques. High-capacity

pumps may be required to keep the excavation dry in zones of persistent seepage where more permeable soil may exist along the side walls of the excavation.

Although this investigation has estimated the groundwater levels at the time of the fieldwork, and commented on dewatering and general construction problems, conditions may be present which are difficult to establish from standard boring and excavating techniques and which may affect the type and nature of dewatering procedures used by the contractor in practice. These conditions include local and seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater table, erratic changes in the soil profile, thin layers of soil with large or small permeabilities compared with the soil mass, etc. Only carefully controlled tests using pumped wells and observation wells will yield the quantitative data on groundwater volumes and pressures that are necessary to adequately engineer construction dewatering systems.

## **10. Backfilling Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes**

The material to be excavated from the site will comprise of fill and native clay. Portions of the fill may be re-used as backfill material in the landscaped areas. The excavated soils are not considered suitable for use under structural elements and for backfilling purposes and therefore must be disposed off-site or used in landscaped areas.

It is anticipated that the majority of the material required for engineered fill and for backfilling purposes for this project would have to be imported and should preferably conform to the following specification:

- Engineered fill under slab-on-grade - OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 98 percent SPMDD.
- Backfill in footing trenches and against foundation walls – OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II placed in 300 mm thick lifts and each lift compacted to 98 percent of the SPMDD inside the building and 95 percent SPMDD outside the building respectively.

## 11. Subsurface Concrete Requirements

Chemical tests limited to pH, sulphate, and resistivity determination were performed on two (2) samples of the native clay soil. The laboratory certificate of analysis is attached in Appendix A and the results are summarized in Table IV below.

Table IV: Results of pH, Sulphate, and Resistivity Tests on Soil Samples					
Borehole No. (Sample No.)	Depth (m)	Soil Type	pH	Sulphate (%)	Resistivity (Ohm*cm)
BH 1 – SS3	1.5 - 2.1	Native Brown Clay	7.06	0.0005	6060
BH 3 – SS4	3.0 – 3.6	Native Grey Clay	7.28	0.0185	2270

The test results indicate the native brown and grey clays have a sulphate content of less than 0.1 percent. This concentration of sulphate in the native clays would have a negligible potential of sulphate attack on subsurface concrete. The concrete should be designed in accordance with Table Nos. 3 and 6 of CSA A.23.1-19. However, the concrete should be dense, well compacted and cured.

Based on a review of the resistivity test result, the native clay samples are considered to be mildly corrosive to bare steel as per the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE). Appropriate measures should be undertaken to protect buried steel elements from corrosion.

## 12. General Comments

The comments given in this report are intended only for the guidance of design engineers. The number of boreholes required to determine the localized underground conditions, between boreholes affecting construction costs, techniques, sequencing, equipment, scheduling, etc., would be much greater than has been carried out for design purposes. Contractors bidding on or undertaking the works should, in this light, decide on their own investigations, as well, as their own interpretations of the factual borehole results, so that they may draw their own conclusions as to how the subsurface conditions may affect them.

The information contained in this report is not intended to reflect on environmental aspects of the soils. Should specific information be required, including for example, the presence of pollutants, contaminants or other hazards in the soil, additional testing may be required.

We trust that the information contained in this report is satisfactory for your purposes. Should you have any questions, please contact this office.

Sincerely,

**DRAFT**

Matthew Zammit, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.  
Geotechnical Engineer  
Earth and Environment



Ismail Taki, M.Eng, P.Eng.  
Senior Manager  
Earth and Environment

EXP Services Inc.

*Haven Baptist Church  
Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-22013837-A0  
November 2, 2022*

# Figures

Filename: E:\OTT\OTT-22013837-A0\60\_Execution\65 Drawings\22013837-A0\_Geo.dwg  
 Last Saved: Oct 25, 2022 1:15 PM  
 Last Plotted: Oct 25, 2022 1:15 PM  
 Plotted by: SeverA



**exp Services Inc.**  
 100-2650 Queensview Drive  
 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6  
 www.exp.com




DESIGN	MZ
DRAWN	AS
DATE	OCTOBER 2022
FILE NO	OTT-22013837-A0

GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION  
 HAVEN BAPTIST CHURCH  
 4000 STRANDHERD DRIVE, OTTAWA, ON

SITE PLAN

SCALE	1:10,000
SKETCH NO	FIG 1




**NOTES:**

1. THE BOUNDARIES AND SOIL TYPES HAVE BEEN ESTABLISHED ONLY AT TEST HOLE LOCATIONS. BETWEEN TEST HOLES THEY ARE ASSUMED AND MAY BE SUBJECT TO CONSIDERABLE ERROR.
2. SOIL SAMPLES WILL BE RETAINED IN STORAGE FOR THREE MONTHS AND THEN DESTROYED UNLESS THE CLIENT ADVISES THAT AN EXTENDED TIME PERIOD IS REQUIRED.
3. TEST HOLE ELEVATIONS SHOULD NOT BE USED TO DESIGN BUILDING(S) OR FLOOR SLABS OR PARKING LOT(S) GRADES.
4. TOPSOIL QUANTITIES SHOULD NOT BE ESTABLISHED FROM THE INFORMATION AT THE TEST HOLE LOCATIONS.
5. THIS DRAWING FORMS PART OF THE REPORT PROJECT NUMBER AS REFERENCED AND SHOULD BE USED ONLY IN CONJUNCTION WITH THIS REPORT.
6. BASE PLAN INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM ANGELO MATTIA SPADOLA ARCHITECT, DRAWING/SHEET NO.: A0.0, DATED MAY 10, 2022.

**LEGEND**

- PROPERTY LINE
- EXISTING CHURCH BUILDING
- NEW BUILDING ADDITION

**BH-1** BOREHOLE NO. & LOCATION  
(94.4 m) (XX.X) – APPROXIMATE GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)




<b>exp Services Inc.</b> 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6 <a href="http://www.exp.com">www.exp.com</a>		DESIGN MZ	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION HAVEN BAPTIST CHURCH 4000 STRANDHERD DRIVE, OTTAWA, ON	SCALE 1:400
	DRAWN AS	DATE OCTOBER 2022		SKETCH NO
FILE NO OTT-22013837-A0			BOREHOLE LOCATION PLAN	<b>FIG 2</b>

Filename: E:\OTT\22013837-A0\_60\_Execution\65 Drawings\22013837-A0\_Geo.dwg  
 Last Saved: Oct 28, 2022 9:24 AM  
 Last Plotted: Oct 28, 2022 9:25 AM  
 Plotted by: Severa



## Notes On Sample Descriptions

- All sample descriptions included in this report follow the Canadian Foundations Engineering Manual soil classification system. This system follows the standard proposed by the International Society for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Laboratory grain size analyses provided by **exp** Services Inc. also follow the same system. Different classification systems may be used by others; one such system is the Unified Soil Classification. Please note that, with the exception of those samples where a grain size analysis has been made, all samples are classified visually. Visual classification is not sufficiently accurate to provide exact grain sizing or precise differentiation between size classification systems.



- Fill:** Where fill is designated on the borehole log it is defined as indicated by the sample recovered during the boring process. The reader is cautioned that fills are heterogeneous in nature and variable in density or degree of compaction. The borehole description may therefore not be applicable as a general description of site fill materials. All fills should be expected to contain obstruction such as wood, large concrete pieces or subsurface basements, floors, tanks, etc., none of these may have been encountered in the boreholes. Since boreholes cannot accurately define the contents of the fill, test pits are recommended to provide supplementary information. Despite the use of test pits, the heterogeneous nature of fill will leave some ambiguity as to the exact composition of the fill. Most fills contain pockets, seams, or layers of organically contaminated soil. This organic material can result in the generation of methane gas and/or significant ongoing and future settlements. Fill at this site may have been monitored for the presence of methane gas and, if so, the results are given on the borehole logs. The monitoring process does not indicate the volume of gas that can be potentially generated nor does it pinpoint the source of the gas. These readings are to advise of the presence of gas only, and a detailed study is recommended for sites where any explosive gas/methane is detected. Some fill material may be contaminated by toxic/hazardous waste that renders it unacceptable for deposition in any but designated land fill sites; unless specifically stated the fill on this site has not been tested for contaminants that may be considered toxic or hazardous. This testing and a potential hazard study can be undertaken if requested. In most residential/commercial areas undergoing reconstruction, buried oil tanks are common and are generally not detected in a conventional geotechnical site investigation.
- Till:** The term till on the borehole logs indicates that the material originates from a geological process associated with glaciation. Because of this geological process the till must be considered heterogeneous in composition and as such may contain pockets and/or seams of material such as sand, gravel, silt or clay. Till often contains cobbles (60 to 200 mm) or boulders (over 200 mm). Contractors may therefore encounter cobbles and boulders during excavation, even if they are not indicated by the borings. It should be appreciated that normal sampling equipment cannot differentiate the size or type of any obstruction. Because of the horizontal and vertical variability of till, the sample description may be applicable to a very limited zone; caution is therefore essential when dealing with sensitive excavations or dewatering programs in till materials.

# Log of Borehole BH-01



Project No: OTT-22013837-A0

Figure No. 3

Project: Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church

Page. 1 of 1

Location: 4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, Ontario

Date Drilled: Oct. 11, 2022

Split Spoon Sample

Combustible Vapour Reading

Drill Type: CME-75 Track Mounted Drill Rig

Auger Sample

Natural Moisture Content

SPT (N) Value

Atterberg Limits

Datum: Approximate Elevation

Dynamic Cone Test

Undrained Triaxial at


Shelby Tube

% Strain at Failure

Logged by: M.Z. Checked by: I.T.

Shear Strength by Vane Test

Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test



LOG OF BOREHOLE OTT-22013837 - 4000 STRANDHERD DRIVE BH LOGS.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 11/1/22

- NOTES:**
- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
  - A 19 mm diameter standpipe was installed as shown.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-22013837-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Oct 27, 2022	3.2	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-02



Project No: OTT-22013837-A0

Figure No. 4

Project: Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church

Page. 1 of 2

Location: 4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, Ontario

Date Drilled: Oct. 11, 2022

Split Spoon Sample

Combustible Vapour Reading

Drill Type: CME-75 Track Mounted Drill Rig

Auger Sample

Natural Moisture Content

SPT (N) Value

Atterberg Limits

Datum: Approximate Elevation

Dynamic Cone Test

Undrained Triaxial at

Shelby Tube


% Strain at Failure

Logged by: M.Z. Checked by: I.T.

Shear Strength by

Penetrometer Test

Vane Test



Continued Next Page

**NOTES:**

- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
- Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.
- Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
- See Notes on Sample Descriptions
- Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-22013837-A0

**WATER LEVEL RECORDS**

Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Upon Completion	3.4	12.5

**CORE DRILLING RECORD**

Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

LOG OF BOREHOLE OTT-22013837 - 4000 STRANDHERD DRIVE BH LOGS.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 11/11/22

# Log of Borehole BH-02



Project No: OTT-22013837-A0

Figure No. 4

Project: Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church

Page. 2 of 2

SOIL LOG	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>
			20	40	60	80	250	500	750	
			Shear Strength kPa				Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)			
	<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel, cobbles, boulders, some clay, wet (very loose to compact) (continued)	84.5								
									SS9	
									SS10	
	Auger refusal met boulders or bedrock at 13.9 m depth	80.6							SS11	
	Dynamic Cone Penetration Test (DCPT) conducted beside auger hole from 1.5 m to cone refusal at 15.3 m depth									
	<b>Cone Refusal at 15.3 m Depth</b>	79.2								

LOG OF BOREHOLE OTT-22013837 - 4000 STRANDHERD DRIVE BH LOGS.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 11/1/22

- NOTES:
- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
  - Borehole backfilled upon completion of drilling.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-22013837-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Upon Completion	3.4	12.5

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %

# Log of Borehole BH-03



Project No: OTT-22013837-A0

Figure No. 5

Project: Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church

Page. 1 of 1

Location: 4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, Ontario

Date Drilled: Oct. 11, 2022

Split Spoon Sample

Combustible Vapour Reading

Drill Type: CME-75 Track Mounted Drill Rig

Auger Sample

Natural Moisture Content

SPT (N) Value

Atterberg Limits

Datum: Approximate Elevation

Dynamic Cone Test

Undrained Triaxial at

Shelby Tube

% Strain at Failure

Logged by: M.Z. Checked by: I.T.

Shear Strength by Vane Test

Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

GWL	SOIL	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Approximate Elevation m	Depth	Standard Penetration Test N Value				Combustible Vapour Reading (ppm)			Natural Unit Wt. kN/m <sup>3</sup>	
					Shear Strength kPa				250	500	750		
					20	40	60	80	Natural Moisture Content % Atterberg Limits (% Dry Weight)				
		<b>TOPSOIL</b> ~200 mm thick	94	0									
		<b>FILL</b> Silty sand, with gravel, topsoil inclusions, brown, moist (loose)	93.8	0	7						X		SS1 19.2
		<b>SILTY CLAY</b> Light brown to grey, moist to wet (firm to very stiff)	93.0	1	9						X		SS2
				2	6						X		SS3 19.3
			91.3	3		110 kPa							
		wet, grey below 3 m depth		3		s = 5.5							
				4		48 kPa							
				4		s = 6.9							
		<b>GLACIAL TILL</b> Silty sand with gravel, some clay, wet (very loose to loose)	89.4	5							X		SS4
				5									
				6							X		SS5
				6									
				6							X		SS6
				6									
				6							X		SS7
				6									
		<b>Borehole Terminated at 6.7 m Depth</b>	87.3										

LOG OF BOREHOLE OTT-22013837 - 4000 STRANDHERD DRIVE BH LOGS.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 11/1/22

- NOTES:
- Borehole data requires interpretation by EXP before use by others
  - A 19 mm diameter standpipe was installed as shown.
  - Field work supervised by an EXP representative.
  - See Notes on Sample Descriptions
  - Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-22013837-A0

WATER LEVEL RECORDS		
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)
Oct 27, 2022	2.7	

CORE DRILLING RECORD			
Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-22013837-A0	Project Name :	Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church				
Client :	Haven Baptist Church	Project Location :	4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON				
Date Sampled :	October 11, 2022	Borehole No:	2	Sample No.:	SS3	Depth (m) :	1.5-2.1
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	94	% Sand	6	% Gravel	0	Figure :                      6
Sample Description :	<b>Clay of Low Plasticity (CL)</b>						




## Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

### Unified Soil Classification System

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-22013837-A0	Project Name :	Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church				
Client :	Haven Baptist Church	Project Location :	4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON				
Date Sampled :	October 11, 2022	Borehole No:	2	Sample No.:	SS5	Depth (m) :	4.6-5.2
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	100	% Sand	0	% Gravel	0	Figure : <span style="float: right;">7</span>
Sample Description :	<b>Clay of Low Plasticity (CL)</b>						




**Grain-Size Distribution Curve  
Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil  
ASTM C-136/ASTM D422**

**EXP Services Inc.**  
100-2650 Queensview Drive  
Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

**Unified Soil Classification System**

<b>CLAY AND SILT</b>	<b>SAND</b>			<b>GRAVEL</b>	
	Fine	Medium	Coarse	Fine	Coarse



EXP Project No.:	OTT-22013837-A0	Project Name :	Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church			
Client :	Haven Baptist Church	Project Location :	4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON			
Date Sampled :	October 11, 2022	Borehole No:	2	Sample No.:	SS8	
Sample Description :	% Silt and Clay	39	% Sand	48	% Gravel	13
Sample Description :	<b>GLACIAL TILL: Silty Sand (SM)</b>				Depth (m) :	9.2-9.8
					Figure :	8



EXP Services Inc.

*Haven Baptist Church  
Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-22013837-A0  
November 2, 2022*

# **Appendix A: Laboratory Certificate of Analysis**

**CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC**  
**2650 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, UNIT 100**  
**OTTAWA, ON K2B8H6**  
**(613) 688-1899**

**ATTENTION TO: Ismail M. Taki**

**PROJECT: OTT-22013837-AO**

**AGAT WORK ORDER: 22Z958177**

**SOIL ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Nivine Basily, Inorganics Report Writer**

**DATE REPORTED: Oct 24, 2022**

**PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 5**

**VERSION\*: 1**

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

\*Notes

*Disclaimer:*

- *All work conducted herein has been done using accepted standard protocols, and generally accepted practices and methods. AGAT test methods may incorporate modifications from the specified reference methods to improve performance.*
- *All samples will be disposed of within 30 days after receipt unless a Long Term Storage Agreement is signed and returned. Some specialty analysis may be exempt, please contact your Client Project Manager for details.*
- *AGAT's liability in connection with any delay, performance or non-performance of these services is only to the Client and does not extend to any other third party. Unless expressly agreed otherwise in writing, AGAT's liability is limited to the actual cost of the specific analysis or analyses included in the services.*
- *This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.*
- *The test results reported herewith relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.*
- *Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. AGAT assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the guidelines contained in this document.*
- *All reportable information as specified by ISO/IEC 17025:2017 is available from AGAT Laboratories upon request.*



## Certificate of Analysis

AGAT WORK ORDER: 22Z958177

PROJECT: OTT-22013837-AO

5835 COOPERS AVENUE  
MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO  
CANADA L4Z 1Y2  
TEL (905)712-5100  
FAX (905)712-5122  
<http://www.agatlabs.com>

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

SAMPLING SITE: 400 Strandherd Dr., Ottawa

ATTENTION TO: Ismail M. Taki

SAMPLED BY: EXP

### (Soil) Inorganic Chemistry

DATE RECEIVED: 2022-10-17

DATE REPORTED: 2022-10-24

Parameter	Unit	SAMPLE DESCRIPTION: BH#1 SS3 5'-7'		BH#3 SS4	
		G / S	RDL	4427042	4427044
Sulphate (2:1)	µg/g		2	5	185
pH (2:1)	pH Units		NA	7.06	7.28
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	ohm.cm		1	6060	2270

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

**4427042-4427044** Sulphate & pH were determined on the extract obtained from the 2:1 leaching procedure (2 parts DI water: 1 part soil).

Resistivity is a calculated parameter.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by \*)

Certified By:



*Ismael M. Taki*

## Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

AGAT WORK ORDER: 22Z958177

PROJECT: OTT-22013837-AO

ATTENTION TO: Ismail M. Taki

SAMPLING SITE: 400 Strandherd Dr., Ottawa

SAMPLED BY: EXP

### Soil Analysis

RPT Date: Oct 24, 2022			DUPLICATE				Method Blank	REFERENCE MATERIAL			METHOD BLANK SPIKE			MATRIX SPIKE		
PARAMETER	Batch	Sample Id	Dup #1	Dup #2	RPD	Measured Value		Acceptable Limits		Recovery	Acceptable Limits		Recovery	Acceptable Limits		
								Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper	

**(Soil) Inorganic Chemistry**

Sulphate (2:1)	4420293		8	8	NA	< 2	101%	70%	130%	98%	80%	120%	92%	70%	130%
pH (2:1)	4428128		7.07	7.15	1.1%	NA	99%	80%	120%						

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.

pH duplicates QA acceptance criteria was met relative as stated in Table 5-15 of Analytical Protocol document.

Duplicate NA: results are under 5X the RDL and will not be calculated.

Certified By: \_\_\_\_\_





## Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

AGAT WORK ORDER: 22Z958177

PROJECT: OTT-22013837-AO

ATTENTION TO: Ismail M. Taki

SAMPLING SITE: 400 Strandherd Dr., Ottawa

SAMPLED BY: EXP

PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE
<b>Soil Analysis</b>			
Sulphate (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH
pH (2:1)	INOR 93-6031	modified from EPA 9045D and MCKEAGUE 3.11	PH METER
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	INOR-93-6036	McKeague 4.12, SM 2510 B, SSA #5 Part 3	CALCULATION

### Laboratory Use Only

Work Order #: 222958177  
Cooler Quantity: 1 medium  
1/2 bag (make 1 pack)  
Arrival Temperatures: 21.7 | 21.5 | 21.7  
L-T → 3.4 | 3.9 | 4.3  
Custody Seal Intact:  Yes  No  N/A  
Notes: Bagged Ice

## Chain of Custody Record

If this is a Drinking Water sample, please use Drinking Water Chain of Custody Form (potable water consumed by humans)

### Report Information:

Company: EXP Services Inc. Ottawa  
Contact: Ismail Taki  
Address: 2650 Queensview dr. Unit 100  
Ottawa, ON, K2B 8H6  
Phone: 613-688-1899 Fax: \_\_\_\_\_  
Reports to be sent to:  
1. Email: ismail.taki@exp.com  
2. Email: jeff.macmillan@exp.com

### Regulatory Requirements:

(Please check all applicable boxes)

- Regulation 153/04  Excess Soils R406  Sewer Use  
 Sanitary  Storm  
Table Indicate One      Table Indicate One      Region \_\_\_\_\_  
 Ind/Corn  Res/Park  Agriculture  Regulation 558  Prov. Water Quality Objectives (PWQO)  
Soil Texture (Check One)  CCME  Other  
 Coarse  Fine Indicate One

### Turnaround Time (TAT) Required:

**Regular TAT**  5 to 7 Business Days  
**Rush TAT** (Rush Surcharges Apply)  
 3 Business Days  2 Business Days  Next Business Day  
**OR** Date Required (Rush Surcharges May Apply): \_\_\_\_\_

Please provide prior notification for rush TAT  
\*TAT is exclusive of weekends and statutory holidays

For 'Same Day' analysis, please contact your AGAT CPM

### Project Information:

Project: OTT-22013837-A0  
Site Location: 4000 Stranherd dr., Ottawa  
Sampled By: EXP  
AGAT Quote #: \_\_\_\_\_ PO: \_\_\_\_\_  
Please note: If quotation number is not provided, client will be billed full price for analysis.

### Is this submission for a Record of Site Condition?

Yes  No

### Report Guideline on Certificate of Analysis

Yes  No

### Sample Matrix Legend

- B** Biota
- GW** Ground Water
- O** Oil
- P** Paint
- S** Soil
- SD** Sediment
- SW** Surface Water

### Invoice Information:

Bill To Same: Yes  No

Company: \_\_\_\_\_  
Contact: \_\_\_\_\_  
Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
Email: \_\_\_\_\_

Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	# of Containers	Sample Matrix	Comments/ Special Instructions	Y/N	Field Filtered - Metals, Hg, CrVI, DOC	0. Reg 153	0. Reg 406	Potentially Hazardous or High Concentration (Y/N)
BH # 1 SS3 5'-7'	Oct.11	AM	1	S						
BH # 3 SS4 10'-12'	Oct.11	AM	1	S						
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								
		AM								

Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): <u>Jeff MacMillan</u>	Date: <u>Oct.17/22</u>	Time: <u>3:26 PM</u>	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign): <u>C. Griffith</u>	Date: <u>Oct 17 2022</u>	Time: <u>15h27</u>	22 OCT 18: 9:45
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): <u>CA TO Puro</u>	Date: <u>Oct 17 2022</u>	Time: <u>10:00</u>	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign):	Date:	Time:	Page <u>1</u> of <u>1</u>
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign):	Date:	Time:	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign):	Date:	Time:	No: <b>T 133548</b>

EXP Services Inc.

*Haven Baptist Church  
Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-22013837-A0  
November 2, 2022*

## **Appendix B: Legal Notification**

EXP Services Inc.

*Haven Baptist Church  
Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-22013837-A0  
November 2, 2022*

## Legal Notification

This report was prepared by EXP Services Inc. (EXP) for the account of Pastor Greg Johnston of Haven Baptist Church.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. EXP accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this project.





EXP Services Inc.

*Haven Baptist Church  
Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Addition to Haven Baptist Church  
4000 Strandherd Drive, Ottawa, ON  
OTT-22013837-A0  
November 2, 2022*

## Report Distribution

Pastor Greg Johnston, Haven Baptist Church - [Johnstonsrice@gmail.com](mailto:Johnstonsrice@gmail.com)

