

265 Catherine Street

Transportation Impact Assessment Report

DRAFT

March 2024



TIA Plan Reports

On 14 June 2017, the Council of the City of Ottawa adopted new Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. In adopting the guidelines, Council established a requirement for those preparing and delivering transportation impact assessments and reports to sign a letter of certification.

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that s/he meets the four criteria listed below.

CERTIFICATION

- 1. I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines;
- 2. I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;
- 3. I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and
- 4. I am either a licensed¹ or registered² professional in good standing, whose field of expertise [check $\sqrt{\text{appropriate field(s)}}$ is either transportation engineering $\sqrt{}$ or transportation planning \square .

1,2 License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.

Dated at	Ottawa	this	4	day of <u>March</u> , 2024	
	(City)				
Name:	Austin	n Shih, P.I		se Print)	
Professional Title:	Sen	nior Projec		,	
Troressionar Title.		<u>101 1 10jec</u>	t iviaii	1501	
		A	adi J	21	
Signatu	ıre of Individu	al certifie	r that s	/he meets the above four criteria	
8					
Office Contact Inf	Formation (Ple	ease Print	t)		
Address:			,		
1223 Michael Stree	t North, Suite	100			
City / Postal Code:					
Ottawa, Ontario, K1J 7T2					
Telephone / Extens	ion:				
613-738-4160					
E-Mail Address:					
austin.shih@parsor	is.com				

265 Catherine Street

Transportation Impact Assessment Report

prepared for: Brigil Construction Inc. 98 Lois Street Gatineau, QC J8Y 3R7



March 5, 2024

478038-01000

DOCUMENT CONTROL PAGE

CLIENT:	Brigil Construction
PROJECT NAME:	265 Catherine Street
REPORT TITLE:	Transportation Impact Assessment Report
PARSONS PROJECT NO:	478038 - 01000
APPLICATION TYPE:	Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBLA) and Site Plan Control (SPC) Application
VERSION:	Final
DIGITAL MASTER:	\\xccan57fs01\data\ISO\478038\1000\DOCS\STEP5-TIA\265 Catherine St - TIA Report March 2024.docx
ORIGINATOR	Basel Ansari P.Eng.
REVIEWER:	Austin Shih, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.
AUTHORIZATION:	
CIRCULATION LIST:	Wally Dubyk, C.E.T.
HISTORY:	 TIA Step 1 Screening Form – June 14, 2022 TIA Step 2 Scoping Report – June 14, 2022 TIA Step 3 Forecasting Report – March 13, 2023 TIA Step 4 Strategy Report – May 19, 2023 TIA Report – March 08, 2024



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	SCREENI	NG FORM	1
2.0	SCOPING	REPORT	1
	2.1. EXIST	TING AND PLANNED CONDITIONS	1
	2.1.1.	PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT	1
	2.1.2.	EXISTING CONDITIONS	4
	2.1.3.	PLANNED CONDITIONS	14
	2.1.	.3.2 Future Transportation Network Changes	14
	2.1.	.3.3 Other Area Developments	17
	2.2. STUD	Y AREA AND TIME PERIODS	17
	2.3. EXEN	1PTION REVIEW	18
3.0	FORECAS	STING	18
	3.1. DEVE	LOPMENT GENERATED TRAVEL DEMAND	18
	3.1.1.	TRIP GENERATION AND MODE SHARES	18
	3.1.2.	TRIP DISTRIBUTION AND ASSIGNMENT	25
	3.2. BACK	GROUND NETWORK TRAFFIC	26
	3.2.1.	TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS	26
	3.2.2.	BACKGROUND GROWTH	26
	3.2.3.	OTHER DEVELOPMENTS	28
	3.3. DEMA	AND RATIONALIZATION	28
4.0	ANALYSIS	S	31
	4.1. DEVE	LOPMENT DESIGN	31
	4.1.1.	DESIGN FOR SUSTAINABLE MODES	31
	4.1.2.	CIRCULATION AND ACCESS	32
	4.1.3.	NEW STREET NETWORK	34
	4.2. PARK	ING	34
	4.2.1.	PARKING SUPPLY	34
	4.2.2.	PARKING VARIANCE IMPLICATIONS	35
	4.3. BOUN	NDARY STREET DESIGN	35
	4.4. ACCE	SS INTERSECTION DESIGN	38
	4.5. TRAN	SPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT	38
	4.5.1.	CONTEXT FOR TDM	38
	4.5.2.	NEED AND OPPORTUNITY	39
	4.5.3.	TDM PROGRAM	39
	4.6. NEIGI	HBOURHOOD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT	40
	4.7. TRAN	SIT	41
	4.8. REVIE	EW OF NETWORK CONCEPT	43
	4.9. INTER	RSECTION DESIGN	43
	4.9.1.	INTERSECTION CONTROL	43
	4.9.2.	INTERSECTION DESIGN	43
5.0	FINDINGS	S, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	48



LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 1: LOCAL CONTEXT	1
FIGURE 2: EXISTING SITE ACCESSES AND CIRCULATION	2
FIGURE 3: PROPOSED FULL SITE CONCEPT PLAN	3
FIGURE 4: ADJACENT DRIVEWAYS WITHIN 200M OF SITE ACCESS	9
FIGURE 5: STUDY AREA ACTIVE TRANSPORTATION FACILITIES	10
FIGURE 6: AREA TRANSIT NETWORK	11
FIGURE 7: BUS STOP LOCATIONS	11
FIGURE 8: EXISTING PEAK HOUR TRAFFIC VOLUMES	12
FIGURE 9: EXISTING PEDESTRIAN AND CYCLISTS PEAK HOUR VOLUMES	13
FIGURE 10: CENTRETOWN CDP STUDY AREA	16
FIGURE 11: STUDY AREA	
FIGURE 12: PHASE 1 SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC	
FIGURE 13: FULL BUILDOUT PHASE 1 + PHASE 2 SITE-GENERATED TRAFFIC	26
FIGURE 14: FUTURE BACKGROUND 2026 TRAFFIC VOLUMES	27
FIGURE 15: FUTURE BACKGROUND 2031 TRAFFIC VOLUMES	27
FIGURE 16: FUTURE BACKGROUND 2036 TRAFFIC VOLUMES	28
FIGURE 17: TOTAL PROJECTED 2026 TRAFFIC VOLUMES	29
FIGURE 18: TOTAL PROJECTED 2031 TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
FIGURE 19: TOTAL PROJECTED 2036 TRAFFIC VOLUMES	
FIGURE 20: PROPOSED BUS STOP ENHANCEMENTS	
FIGURE 21: TRANSIT RIDERSHIP DATA BUS STOP LOCATIONS	42
LIST OF TABLES	
TABLE 1: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT SITE STATISTICS	2
TABLE 2: EXEMPTIONS REVIEW SUMMARY	
TABLE 3: PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT TRIP RATES	
TABLE 4: RESIDENTIAL UNITS PEAK PERIOD PERSON TRIP GENERATION	19
TABLE 5: HIGH-RISE APARTMENTS PEAK PERIOD TRIPS MODE SHARES BREAKDOWN	19
TABLE 6: TOWNHOMES PEAK PERIOD TRIPS MODE SHARES BREAKDOWN	19
TABLE 7: PEAK PERIOD TO PEAK HOUR CONVERSION FACTORS (2020 TRANS MANUAL)	19
TABLE 8: HIGH-RISE APARTMENTS PEAK HOUR TRIPS MODE SHARE BREAKDOWN	20
TABLE 9: TOWNHOMES PEAK HOUR TRIPS MODE SHARE BREAKDOWN	20
TABLE 10: HIGH-RISE APARTMENTS PEAK HOUR TRIPS MODE SHARE BREAKDOWN	20
TABLE 11: TOWNHOMES PEAK HOUR TRIPS MODE SHARE BREAKDOWN	
TABLE 12: HIGH-RISE APARTMENTS MODE SHARES BREAKDOWN (2020 TRANS REPORT)	
TABLE 13: TOWNHOMES MODE SHARES BREAKDOWN (2020 TRANS REPORT)	
TABLE 14: TOTAL RESIDENTIAL TRIP GENERATION	
TABLE 15: RETAIL UNITS PEAK HOUR PERSON TRIPS	
TABLE 16: TRANS 2020 MODE SHARES FOR COMMERCIAL USE AND PROPOSED MODE SHARES	
TABLE 17: RETAIL PEAK HOUR TRIPS MODE SHARE BREAKDOWN	
TABLE 18: TOTAL NONRESIDENTIAL TRIP GENERATION	
TABLE 19: RESIDENTIAL PEAK HOUR TRIPS WITH INTERNAL REDUCTIONS	
TABLE 20: TOTAL TRIPS GENERATED	
TABLE 21: REQUIRED AND PROPOSED VEHICLE PARKING SPACES	
TABLE 22: REQUIRED AND PROPOSED BICYCLE PARKING SPACES	
TABLE 23: MMLOS ANALYSIS, BOUNDARY ROAD SEGMENTS	
TABLE 24: ARLINGTON AVE EXISTING AND FUTURE TWO-WAY VOLUMES	40



TABLE 25: TRANSIT RIDERSHIP DATA (JAN 5, 2020 - MAR 16, 2020)	42
TABLE 26: EXISTING CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE	
TABLE 27: FUTURE BACKGROUND 2036 CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE	44
TABLE 28: TOTAL PROJECTED 2026 CONDITIONS INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE - PHASE 1	45
TABLE 29: FUTURE PROJECTED 2031 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE - PHASE 1 & 2	45
TABLE 30: FUTURE PROJECTED 2036 INTERSECTION PERFORMANCE - PHASE 1 & 2	46
TABLE 31: QUEUEING ANALYSIS FOR SENSITIVE INTERSECTION MOVEMENTS (2036 PROJECTED)	46
TABLE 32: MMLOS ANALYSIS, SIGNALIZED INTERSECTION	47

LIST OF APPENDICES

APPENDIX A: SCREENING FORM & CITY COMMENT REPONSES

APPENDIX B: TRANSIT ROUTE MAPS

APPENDIX C: TRAFFIC DATA
APPENDIX D: COLLISION DATA

APPENDIX E: INTERNAL REDUCTION CALCULATIONS

APPENDIX F: TDM CHECKLIST

APPENDIX G: PASSENGER CAR AND TRUCK TURNING TEMPLATES

APPENDIX H: MMLOS ANALYSIS: ROAD SEGMENTS APPENDIX I: SYNCHRO ANALYSIS SUMMARY REPORTS

APPENDIX J: SIMTRAFFIC SUMMARY REPORTS APPENDIX K: MMLOS ANALYSIS: INTERSECTIONS



TIA REPORT

Parsons has been retained by Brigil Construction to prepare a TIA in support of Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBLA) and Site Plan Control (SPC) Application for a three-tower residential development. This document follows the TIA process as outlined in the City Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines (2017). The following report represents Step 5 – TIA Report. The Screening Form and responses to City Comments have been provided in **Appendix A**.

1.0 SCREENING FORM

The Screening Form confirmed the need for a TIA Report based on the Trip Generation and Safety triggers. The Trip Generation trigger was met as the development is anticipated to generate more than 60 person trips during peak hours. The Safety trigger was met following a review of collisions history in the study area.

2.0 SCOPING REPORT

2.1. Existing and Planned Conditions

2.1.1. Proposed Development

The proposed development will be located at the municipal address of 265 Catherine St, replacing the existing Greyhound Bus Station that is no longer active. The local context of the site is illustrated in **Figure 1**.



The subject site currently provides accesses onto Catherine St only as shown in **Figure 2**. The site is currently zoned as a General Mixed-Use Zone and is located within the Ontario Ministry of Transportation (MTO) permit control zone.



Arlington St

Sus Travel Direction
General Traffic Direction

Figure 2: Existing Site Accesses and Circulation

The proposed development will consist of residential and commercial uses constructed as a two phased development. The site statistics have been summarized in **Table 1**. The full buildout concept plan is illustrated in **Figure 3** (high quality plan provided in **Appendix A**).

Tuble 1.1 Toposed Development Site Statistics							
Land Use	Storeys	Residential (Units)	Commercial (m ²)	Vehicle Parking	Bike Parking		
Phase 1							
Building A (Tower 1)	32	400	1,299	144	410		
	Phase 1 Total	400	1,299	144	410		
Phase 2							
Building B (Towers 2, 3)	34 to 36	727	1,124	216	739		
Building C (Townhomes)	3	7	0	-	=		
	Phase 2 Total	734	1,124	216	739		
Full Buildout Total		1,134	2,423	360	1,149		

Table 1: Proposed Development Site Statistics

All vehicle parking will be provided in a two-level underground parking garage accessed by new two-way ramps on Catherine St and Arlington St. Almost all bicycle parking spaces will be provided within the underground parking garage as well, which will be accessed through main elevator cores or through the parking ramps. A one-way southbound woonerf connecting Arlington St to Catherine St is proposed which will primarily serve as an extension of the expansive pedestrian realm onsite, but has been designed to also accommodate loading and garbage truck operations. Phase 1, including the woonerf is assumed to be completed by 2026 and Phase 2 is assumed to be completed by 2031.

Further detail for on site circulation can be found in **Section 4.1**, for vehicle and bike parking in **Section 4.2**, and for site access/driveways in **Section 4.4**.






Figure 3: Proposed Full Site Concept Plan



2.1.2. Existing Conditions

Area Road Network

The following roads were included in the TIA. Description for each road within the study area has been provided below.

Kent Street is a north-south municipal arterial road that extends from Wellington St in the north to Chamberlain Ave in the south forming the east boundary road to the site. The roadway operates as a one-way northbound road with a three-lane cross-section and on-street parking. The posted speed limit is 50km/h.

Lyon Street N is a north-south municipal arterial road that extends from Wellington St in the north to Catherine St in the south that forms the western site boundary. The roadway operates as a one-way southbound road with a two-lane cross-section. The speed limit is assumed to be 50km/h.

Catherine Street is an east-west municipal arterial road bordering the site to the south that extends from Queen Elizabeth Dr in the east to Bronson Ave in the west, where it continues as Raymond St. The roadway currently operates as a one-way westbound road with a three-lane cross-section and an assumed speed limit of 50km/h.

Arlington Avenue is an east-west municipal local road that extends from Bank St in the east to Booth St in the west, forming the northern site boundary. The roadway consists of a two-way two-lane cross-section, with a posted speed limit of 30km/h.

Bank Street is a north-south municipal arterial road that extends from Wellington St in the north to past the City of Ottawa's limits in the south. Within the study area, the roadway consists of a two-way two-lane cross-section with a posted speed limit of 50km/h north of Catherine St and 40km/h south of thereof. Additionally, Bank St is designated as a traditional mainstreet in the City of Ottawa Official Plan.

Percy Street is a north-south municipal local road that extends from Laurier Ave W in the north to Fifth Ave in the south. Notably, the southbound through movement is not permitted on Percy St at the Chamberlain Ave intersection. Within the study area, the road consists of a two-way two-lane cross-section with on-street parking, a posted speed limit of 30km/h north of Catherine St and an assumed speed limit of 40km/h south of thereof.

Gladstone Avenue is an east-west municipal major collector road that extends from Parkdale Ave in the west to Cartier St in the east. The roadway consists of a two-lane cross-section along the majority of its length, with a four-lane cross-section between Bank St and Kent St. The speed limit is assumed to be 50km/h in the study area.

Bronson Avenue is a north-south municipal arterial road that extends from Sparks St in the north to Heron St on/off ramps in the south, where it continues as the Airport Parkway. The road consists of a four-lane cross-section, with posted speed limits of 50km/h.

Chamberlain Avenue/Isabella Street are east-west municipal arterial roads that extend from Bronson Ave in the west as Chamberlain Ave to Bank St, where it continues east as Isabella St to Queen Elizabeth Dr in the east. The roadway is one-way eastbound only with a two-lane cross-section and a 50km/h speed limit within the study area.



Existing Study Area Intersections

Lyon/Catherine

The Lyon/Catherine intersection is a four-legged signalized intersection of southbound and westbound one-way streets. The westbound approach consists of two through lanes and a shared through/left-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a right-turn lane. Only westbound and southbound operations are permitted at this intersection. The southbound egress serves the Hwy 417 westbound on-ramp




Kent/Catherine

The Kent/Catherine intersection is a four-legged signalized intersection of northbound and westbound one-way streets. The westbound approach consists of one through lane, one through/right-turn lane and one right-turn lane. The northbound approach consists of two through lanes and a through/left-turn lane. One northbound through lane is separated by a median on the approach. Only westbound and northbound operations are permitted at this intersection and westbound right-turns are not permitted on a red light.



Bank/Catherine

The Bank/Catherine intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection, where Catherine St is westbound only. The northbound approach consists of a through lane and a through/left-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a through/right-turn lane. The westbound approach consists of two through lanes and a through/right-turn lane. There are no eastbound operations at this intersection.





Percy/Catherine

The Percy/Catherine intersection is a four-legged signalized intersection of southbound and westbound one-way streets. The westbound approach consists of two through lanes and a shared through/left-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a right-turn lane. A north-south bidirectional bike crossing with a bike signal is provided on the west leg of the intersection. Only westbound and southbound operations are permitted at this intersection for vehicles. The southbound right-turn on red is prohibited.



Lyon/Arlington

The Lyon/Arlington intersection is an unsignalized four-legged intersection, with Stop control on Arlington Ave. Lyon St operates as one-way southbound. The westbound approach consists of a through/left-turn lane and the eastbound approach consists of a through/right-turn lane. Lyon St consists of a through/left-turn and through/right-turn lanes. There are no northbound operations at this intersection.



Kent/Arlington

The Kent/Arlington intersection is a signalized fourlegged intersection, where Kent St is northbound only. The northbound approach consists of a through lane, a through/left-turn lane and a through/right-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of a through/left-turn lane and the westbound approach consists of a through/rightturn lane. There are no southbound operations at this intersection.





Bank/Arlington

The Bank/Arlington intersection is an unsignalized three-legged "T" intersection, with Stop control on Arlington Ave. The northbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/left-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. Arlington St consists of a single all-movement lane. There are no restricted movements at this intersection.



Kent/Gladstone

The Kent/Gladstone intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection, where Kent St is northbound only. The northbound approach consists two through lanes, a shared through/right-turn lane and a left-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of a through lane and a left-turn lane, while the westbound approach consists of a shared through/right-turn lane. There are no southbound operations at the intersection.



Lyon/Gladstone

The Lyon/Gladstone intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection, where Lyon St is southbound only. The southbound approach consists of a shared through/right-turn lane and a shared through-left-turn lane. The eastbound approach consists of a through lane and an unmarked short right-turn lane. The westbound approach consists of a through lane and an unmarked short left-turn lane. There are no northbound operations at this intersection.






Bank/Chamberlain/Isabella

The Bank/Chamberlain/Isabella intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection, where Chamberlain Ave/Isabella St is eastbound only. The northbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/left-turn lane. The eastbound approach consist of a through lane, a shared through/left-turn lane and a stop-controlled right-turn lane. There are no westbound operations at this intersection.



Bronson/Catherine

The Bronson/Catherine intersection is a signalized four-legged intersection, where Catherine St is westbound only. The northbound approach consists of two through lanes and a left-turn lane. The southbound approach consists of a through lane and a shared through/right-turn lane. The westbound approach consists of a through lane, a shared through/left-turn lane, a left-turn lane and a right-turn lane. There are no eastbound operations at this intersection.



Existing Driveways to Adjacent Developments

Within 200m of the proposed site accesses along Catherine St and Arlington St, there is a total of 37 adjacent driveways as shown in **Figure 4**. Along Arlington St, there are 24 adjacent accesses (21 north side, 3 south side). Nearly all Arlington St accesses are used by individual residential units, with the exception of the access nearest to the northwest corner of the Kent/Arlington intersection, which is used to give access to the parking lot of a small restaurant.

Along Catherine St, there are 13 adjacent accesses (5 north side, 8 south side). On the north side of Catherine St, the four accesses west of Lyon St are for individual residential units, some of which are being used as office/business, while the accesses east of Kent St is for a gas station. All south side accesses are used for office buildings, business, and commercial units of different sizes.



ARLINGTON

ARLINGTON

CATHERINE

CATHERINE

Figure 4: Adjacent Driveways within 200m of Site Access

Existing Area Traffic Management Measures

Various area traffic management measures are currently provided within the study area, including the following:

- Advance pedestrian walk phases at the intersections of Kent/Catherine and Bank/Catherine,
- Bike signal and crossing phase at the intersection of Percy/Catherine,
- Zebra crosswalks on all legs at the intersection of Kent/Catherine, Lyon/Gladstone, Bank/Catherine, and Bronson/Catherine.
- Textured brick crosswalks on the east leg of the intersection of Lyon/Arlington, west leg of Kent/Arlington and west leg of Bank/Arlington,
- Curb extensions on the south side of Arlington Ave at the intersections of Lyon St and Kent St, as well as north side at the intersection of Kent St.
- Curb extension on the east side of Kent St at the intersection of Arlington Ave,
- On-street parking permitted along sections of Arlington Ave (including south side site frontage), Kent St (including east side site frontage), Lyon St, Percy St, Catherine St, and Gladstone Ave,
- Speed humps at different locations along Percy St, Lyon St, and Arlington Ave (including two at site frontage),
- Reduced 30km/h speeds along Percy St north of Catherine St and Arlington Ave,
- Southbound through restriction along Percy St at Chamberlain Ave intersection, where only bikes are permitted, and
- Modal filter along Bay St, 20m north of Catherine St, which prevent vehicles from passing and permits pedestrians and cyclists.
- Eastbound traffic restriction on Arlington Ave, approximately 50m east of Bronson Ave.

In addition to the above, the City of Ottawa has provided a list of Temporary Traffic Calming (TTC) measures within or near the study area, which includes:

- A "SLOW" pavement marking on Arlington Ave, west of Kent St.
- A speed display board on Kent St, north of Arlington Ave.
- Delineators on Lyon St, north of Gladstone Ave.
- Delineators, painted bulb-out, "SLOW" pavement marking and speed display boards on different locations of Percy St, north of Catherine St.



Pedestrian/Cycling Network

The active transportation network facilities for pedestrians and cyclists are illustrated in **Figure 5** (map obtained from GeoOttawa). As shown, sidewalk facilities are provided throughout the study area, including both sides of all roadways. Southbound bike lanes are provided on Lyon St, north of Arlington Ave, and a bi-directional cycle track is provided along Percy St. Northbound bike lanes are also provided along Bay St, 30m north of Catherine St. Although not identified in the map shown, it is noted that a contraflow (eastbound) bike lane is provided on McLeod St, between Percy St and Lyon St.

Additionally, the City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan (TMP) designates Arlington Ave, Lyon St (north of Arlington Ave), Percy St and Bay St as cycling spine routes. Bank St and Gladstone Ave are suggested cycling routes, along with a small portion of Arlington Ave, between Percy St and Lyon St. Chamberlain Ave/Isabella St are classified as part of a Crosstown Bikeway route.



The following description of OC Transpo routes within the study area reflect the current bus operations:

- Route #6 (Greenboro <-> Rockcliffe): identified by OC Transpo as a "Frequent Route", this
 route operates all day, 7 days a week and at an average rate of every 15 minutes or less from
 6am to 6pm. The nearest bus stops to the site are at the intersections of Bank/Arlington and
 Bank/Catherine.
- Route #7 (Carleton <-> St. Laurent): identified by OC Transpo as a "Frequent Route", this route operates all day, 7 days a week and at an average rate of every 15 minutes or less from 6am to 6pm. The nearest bus stops to the site are at the intersections of Bank/Arlington and Bank/Catherine.



- Route #14 (St-Laurent <-> Tunney's Pasture): identified by OC Transpo as a "Frequent Route",
 this route operates all day, 7 days a week and at an average rate of every 15 minutes or less
 from 6am to 6pm. The nearest bus stops to the site are at the intersections of Lyon/Gladstone
 and Kent/Gladstone.
- Route #55 (Westgate <-> Elmvale): identified by OC Transpo as a "Local Route", this route
 operates throughout the day during the week. The nearest bus stop to the site is along
 Catherine St, at the frontage of the site.
- Route #114 (Rideau <-> Carlington): identified by OC Transpo as a "Local Route", this route
 operates from Monday to Friday on a selected trip only basis. The nearest bus stops to the
 site are at the intersections of Lyon/Gladstone and Kent/Gladstone.

The transit network for the study area is illustrated in **Figure 6** and the transit route maps are provided in **Appendix B. Figure 7** illustrates the bus stop locations.

Figure 7: Bus Stop Locations

Moshu Ice

Wilf & Ada's T

Noreservations)

MacEven Bank T

& Catherine

McNap

Menore Street (Docs)

Clocktower T

Bruggers & Beer

The Works Craft Preprint Net Company

The TEN

SPOT the glebe

Popeyes T

Louisiana Kitchen

Popeyes T

Louisiana Kitchen

Popeyes T

Louisiana Kitchen

Peak Hour Travel Demands

The existing peak hour traffic volumes at the signalized intersections within the study area were obtained from the City of Ottawa for the following intersections:

- Kent/Catherine Conducted Wednesday, April 18, 2018
- Lyon/Catherine Conducted Wednesday, April 18, 2018
- Bank/Catherine Conducted Thursday, April 19, 2018
- Percy/Catherine Conducted Thursday, April 19, 2018
- Lyon/Gladstone Conducted Wednesday, August 24, 2022
- Kent/Gladstone Conducted Tuesday, April 25, 2017
- Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain Conducted Wednesday, April 18, 2018
- Catherine/Bronson Conducted Thursday, April 19, 2018

In addition to the City of Ottawa counts, new traffic counts were obtained separately for the following intersection:

- Kent/Arlington Conducted Tuesday, April 11, 2023
- Lyon/Arlington Conducted Tuesday, April 11, 2023
- Bank/Arlington (mainly in/out volumes on Arlington Ave were collected) Conducted Tuesday, April 18, 2023




The traffic volumes at study area intersections are illustrated in **Figure 8**, While existing active transportations (pedestrian and cyclist) volumes at study area intersections has been provided in **Figure 9**. Raw traffic count data provided in **Appendix C**.

It is important to note that Greyhound shut down their operations in Canada during the COVID-19 pandemic. While some of the traffic counts collected predate the closure, there is no way to verify peak hour traffic activity when the station was still active. The expectation is the weekday morning and afternoon activity was not significant. Therefore, existing traffic counts were not adjusted to remove the bus station traffic from pre-COVID-19 traffic data.

Traffic volumes at study area intersections were balanced conservatively to account for notable differences between adjacent intersections. No additional traffic growth adjustment was applied to the traffic volumes up to the existing horizon year (2023).

However, two developments that have been constructed in recent years, which includes 203 Catherine St and 488-500 Bank St, have been accounted for by adding their estimated vehicle trips to the existing traffic volumes. Note that the transportation memo for 488-500 Bank St was obtained from the City, but City staff have indicated that the TIA brief for 203 Catherine St is outdated (2011) and unavailable. Using the number of units for the 203 Catherine St development (200 units based on developer website), the number of site-generated trips were calculated. Travel mode and trip distribution assumptions followed the same assumptions of 265 Catherine St, as provided in **Section 3.0**.








Figure 9: Existing Pedestrian and Cyclists Peak Hour Volumes

Existing Road Safety Conditions

A five-year collision history data (2017-2021, inclusive) was obtained from the City of Ottawa open data source for the 11 study area intersections and segments between intersections. Upon analyzing the collision data, the total number of collisions observed within the broader study area was 427 collisions within the past five-years. The majority of the collisions 359 (84%) resulted in property damage only, and 68 (16%) resulted in non-fatal injury. There were no fatal collisions recorded. Overall, the collisions type frequencies were mainly split in three distinct groups, 117 (27%) were sideswipe, 110 (26%) angle, 98 (23%) turning movement. Rear end collisions accounted for 62 (15%) and the rest less than 25 (<5%) collisions each type.

Within the study area, the quantity of collisions and collisions per million entering vehicles (MEV) at each location has occurred at a rate of:

- Bronson/Catherine: 91. MEV 1.27
- Percy/Catherine: 6, MEV 0.42
- Lyon/Catherine: 17, MEV 0.80
- Kent/Catherine: 96, MEV 2.64
- Bank/Catherine: 61, MEV 1.44
- Gladstone/Lyon: 8, MEV 0.42
- Arlington/Lyon: 2, MEV 0.12
- Gladstone/Kent: 25, MEV 0.59
- Arlington/Kent: 23, MEV 0.82
- Arlington/Bank: 10, MEV 0.41

- Bank/Chamberlain: 41. MEV 0.93
- Mid-block on Catherine (Bronson to Bank): 16 (915m)
- Mid-block on Arlington (Lyon to Bank): 8 (350m)
- Mid-block on Gladstone (Lyon to Kent): 8 (190m)
- Mid-block on Lyon (Gladstone to Catherine): 4 (315m)
- Mid-block on Kent (Gladstone to Catherine): 7 (315m)
- Mid-block on Bank (Arlington to Chamberlain): 4 (185m)
- Collisions with Pedestrians: 13 (3%)
- Collisions with Cyclists: 10 (2%)

Kent/Catherine showed to have a higher-than-average MEV or likeliness of collision than other intersections. The leading types of collisions at this intersection involved turning movements 46 (48%), sideswipe 23 (24%) and angle 20 (21%), accounting for up to 93% of all collision types. All these types of collisions involve a vehicle



changing directions, switching lanes, or turning. The City has implemented no right or left turns for the heavier northbound movement and have added a no-right-on-red for the westbound movement, effectively eliminating potential turning conflicts with opposing movements. Still, turning movements account for the highest collision type, a possible side effect of non-compliance. A red-light camera has been added to the westbound movement as of 2020, which can help mitigate some collisions from the westbound approach. The shared westbound through/right-turn lane may be resulting in unpredictable movements or lane changes by drivers which causes confusion and leads to increased conflict potential. Although there are many collisions at this location, it is believed that they occur at low speeds given that only 7% of all collisions caused non-fatal injuries.

Other intersections with MEV greater than one was all intersections where two arterials meet. The higher quantity of collisions at these intersections are indicative of the high volumes of vehicles, congestion and increased decision-making tasks required by drivers.

The intersection of Bank/Catherine experienced collisions with 6 cyclists and 8 pedestrians, accounting for 61% of all study area active transportation collisions. Bank Street, an arterial mainstreet with plenty of commercial opportunities attracts large crowds of pedestrians, cyclists, and vehicles alike. It is highly recommended that this intersection be redesigned to comply with the recent introduction of the Protected Intersection Design measures to priority the safety of the more vulnerable active transportation users. However, this task of retrofitting this intersection should not be a responsibility of the developer.

It is important to note that there are long-term plans to redesign a section of the Catherine St corridor that includes some of the intersections noted above, with the intention of enhancing safety and transit priority that benefits all road users. Further discussion on this design is provided in **Section 2.1.3**.

No other major trends were identified. The source collision data as provided by the City of Ottawa and related analysis is provided as **Appendix D.**

2.1.3. Planned Conditions

2.1.3.2 Future Transportation Network Changes

Transportation Master Plan (TMP)

The City of Ottawa's TMP (2031 affordable Rapid Transit and Transit Priority Network) illustrates Bank St as a transit priority corridor with isolated measures between Albert St in the north and Riverside Dr in the south, along with Gladstone Ave between Elgin St in the east and Preston St in the west.

Catherine St Functional Design Study

A functional design study was completed by the City for Chamberlain Ave, Catherine St, and Isabella St. Within the study area frontage, modifications include:

- A proposed transit priority lane on Catherine St, west of Kent St, which converts the north generalpurpose lane to a dedicated transit lane. The current development proposal would move the start of
 the transit priority lane further west by approximately 70m. Based on input from City staff, the western
 half of the bus lane would permit 1 hour parking during off-peak hours from 9am to 3:30pm. The
 development proposed modifications along Catherine St are not expected to impact the proposed
 parking locations.
- A double westbound right-turn lane at the intersection of Catherine/Kent, which allows for separate
 pedestrian and right-turn traffic signal phases and significantly reduces collision potential at the
 westbound approach of the intersection.
- No right-turn-on-red for the westbound movement at the intersection of Catherine/Kent.
- A two-way 3.0m wide multi-use pathways on the south side of Chamberlain Ave and Isabella St.

These plans have received formal approval based on traffic study and public consultation. However, the detailed design and subsequent construction are not anticipated to begin until the ongoing MTO bridge rehabilitation



work on Highway 417 is completed. <u>City staff confirmed it would be reasonable to assume implementation of the Catherine St design by 2031</u>.

Centretown Community Design Plan (CDP) and Secondary Plan

The CDP and Secondary Plan were completed in 2013 with the purpose of creating a comprehensive design plan to guide and manage future growth in the Centretown area of Ottawa. The purpose of the Secondary Plan is to translate many key aspects of the CDP into statutory policy. As illustrated in **Figure 10**, the CDP spans a wide area from Rideau Canal to Bronson Ave and from the Queensway to Gloucester St. However, the core study area of the CDP is an area bounded by Elgin St to the east, Kent St to the west, Highway 417 to the south and Gloucester St to the north. Nonetheless, recommendations were made in the CDP for the Centretown area as a whole.

Based on the CDP, the Centretown area is divided into four different character areas, which include the Northern Character Area, the Central Character Area, the Southern Character Area, and the Residential Character Area. The proposed 265 Catherine St development is located in the Southern Character Area, which acts as a "buffer" between the busy Highway 417 and the Central and Residential zones. The Southern Area currently consists of mostly low to mid-rise buildings, with few high-rise buildings and primarily retail and employment land uses. The vision for the Southern area anticipates high-rise buildings with



at-grade commercial uses in addition to residential uses and "gateway buildings and architecture" on corner sites fronting arterials (such as Catherine St), along with improved streetscape and public park opportunities along all routes, including Catherine St.

The relevant recommendations below were provided in the CDP.

- Pedestrian Network: Catherine St intersections at Lyon St, Kent St and Bank St have been identified as potential locations for improved pedestrian crossing. It should be noted that some measures were already in place or may have already taken effect since the CDP and Secondary Plans were introduced in 2013. Some of the measures included providing curb extensions and removing on-street parking, providing zebra crosswalks, prohibiting right-turns on red, and providing pedestrian push buttons and countdown signals.
- Transit Network: general suggested strategies include provision of transit priority measures during
 future roadway reconstruction such as transit lanes, bulbouts and additional shelters, as well as
 providing enhanced waiting facilities at bus stops. The City has completed a functional design study for
 Chamberlain, Catherine, and Isabella, which includes the conversion of a general-purpose lane to a new
 transit priority lane.
- Cycling Network: a suggested general strategy included provision of cycling infrastructure as part of new
 proposed developments, expanding the cycling network and implementing other cycling improvements
 guided by the Ottawa Cycling Plan and Centretown CDP. The City functional design study also includes
 new cycling infrastructure and treatments.
- Transportation Demand Management: suggested TDM Measures which could be incorporated as part
 of new developments include the provision of enhanced bicycle and pedestrian access (weatherprotected facilities, safe and secure bicycle parking, streetscape improvements), improvements to
 transit access (provision of shelters and other amenities, service planning changes), and provision of
 car-sharing facilities. <u>TDM measures were incorporated into the development proposal</u>.



- Right of Way (ROW) Protection: the City identifies target widths for ROW protection to be 23m for Catherine St and 20m for Kent St and Lyon St (with a perspective to address the needs of pedestrians and increase streetscape opportunities). For Kent St and Lyon St, the maximum land requirement from property abutting the existing ROW is 0.90m. Additionally, the two roads are subject to a widening/easement policy (discussed in more detail in Section 4.3). These ROW protection limits were accounted for in the development proposal.
- Parking Supply: the CDP suggests encouraging the provision of off-street public parking in new
 development where appropriate. The amount of available on-street parking is expected to decrease
 overtime due to providing additional space for pedestrians, cyclists, and public transit.
- Two-Way Conversion of Roads: one major recommendation of the CDP involves converting each of Kent St and Lyon St to two-way roads. This would improve the street environment for all users, slow down traffic, create a greater choice of routes and improve wayfinding. The timeline for this modification is unknown and no studies assessing the effects of this modification have been produced yet. It has been assumed this recommendation will not be implemented within the established future horizons for this TIA.
- Streetscapes: the Catherine St and Kent St corridors are both illustrated as locations for priority streetscape improvements in the CDP and Secondary Plan, with Catherine St and Lyon St both enlisted in the Secondary Plan as key streets to undertake streetscape improvements as part of the capital budget for any road and infrastructure renewal program. For Catherine St, streetscape design strategies include a vision for a tree-lined street, and a generous sidewalk and landscape setbacks between the development and the sidewalk. For Kent St and Lyon St, streetscape design strategies include streetscape environment improvement through conversion of both roads to two-way, planting trees where space permits and rebuilding the asphalt sidewalk along Lyon St to City standards.




Figure 10: Centretown CDP Study Area



2.1.3.3 Other Area Developments

This section outlines adjacent developments within the study area. Based on the City of Ottawa's Development Applications search tool, several applications have been initiated near the proposed development site. However, the majority of these applications are either for low-rise apartment buildings with minimal traffic generation or for renovating/adding new units to existing low-rise units. Any traffic generated by the minor adjacent future developments will be captured in the background growth rate in traffic forecasting. Only one development has been identified given its relatively larger size:

30-48 Chamberlain Avenue: a TIA report was prepared by CGH in October 2020 in support of a 16-storey apartment building containing 150 apartment units. The development is anticipated to be constructed in a single phase by 2024 and is expected to generate a minimal number of vehicles in the study area with up to 42 total two-way traffic volumes during peak hours. Given the low number of traffic volumes, this development has been captured in the background growth rate in traffic forecasting.

2.2. Study Area and Time Periods

For the purposes of this report, Phase 1 of the proposed development is assumed to be constructed by 2026, while the full buildout is assumed to be completed by 2031. As such, horizon years 2026 and 2031 will be analyzed using the weekday morning and afternoon peak hour time period traffic volumes. Analysis of horizon year 2036 (five-years after full buildout) will also be included as per the requirements of the TIA Guidelines. However, it should be noted that the City of Ottawa TMP, including the affordable networks only provide plans for future City transportation infrastructure up to year 2031.

A meeting was held with City staff on Tuesday, April 25, 2023, to discuss the TIA Step 3 comments received on March 30, 2023. City staff confirmed in a meeting they do not have major concerns with excluding three select intersections: Chamberlain/Percy, Gladston/Bank and Flora/Bank.

We have discounted the Chamberlain/Percy intersection because Percy St is a one-way street section, it only permits the southbound left-turn at Chamberlain, and it is signalized with no opposing traffic. We do not anticipate any concerns with operations at this location with the low amount of site generated traffic anticipated.

The two Bank St intersections were also excluded because we do not anticipate significant site generated traffic at either of the Gladstone/Bank or Flora/Bank intersections; they will be few in comparison to existing traffic volumes on Bank St. Kent St is expected to be the primary outbound route northbound. Inbound traffic from the north will be split between Lyon St and Bank Street, and the likely access street from Bank St will be either Arlington Ave (which we have added) or Catherine St (that was already captured). We expect site generated traffic will have negligible long-term impacts on these intersections.

Proposed study area intersections, agreed to by City staff, are listed below and illustrated in Figure 11.

- Lyon/Catherine
- Kent/Catherine
- Bank/Catherine
- Bronson/Catherine
- Percy/Catherine
- Kent/Gladstone

- Lyon/Gladstone
- Lyon/Arlington
- Kent/Arlington
- Bank/Arlington
- Bank/Chamberlain/Isabella



GLADSTONE

GLADSTONE

MOLEOD

ARRINGTON

ARRINGTON

ARRINGTON

ARRINGTON

CHAMBERLAN

CHAMBERLAN

Figure 11: Study Area

2.3. Exemption Review

The modules/elements of the TIA process in **Table 2** are recommended to be exempt based on the City's TIA guidelines, the current ZBLA/SPC process and the current site plan arrangement.

Table 2: Exemptions Review Summary

Module	Element	Exemption Consideration
4.1 Development Design	4.1.3 New Street Networks	Only required for plans of subdivision.

3.0 FORECASTING

3.1. Development Generated Travel Demand

3.1.1. Trip Generation and Mode Shares

Trip Generation Rates

The proposed development will consist of 7 townhome units, 1,127 apartment units and 2,423m² (26,081ft²) of ground floor retail space. The trip rates for the land uses are summarized in **Table 3** below.

The appropriate trip generation rates for townhomes and high-rise apartment units were obtained from the 2020 TRANS Trip Generation Manual. The Manual provides person-trip rates during the peak AM and PM periods (i.e. 7am-9:30am and 3:30pm-6pm). The peak hour trip generation rates for the non-residential land uses were obtained from the ITE Trip Generation Manual (11th edition), assuming the "Retail Strip Plaza (less than 40,000 ft² GFA)" land use for the total retail area.

Table 3: Proposed Development Trip Rates

Land Use	ITE/TRANS Designation	Data	Trip Rates	
Land USE	ITE/TRANS Designation	Source	AM Peak	PM Peak
Residential	"High-Rise Apartments"	TRANS	T = 0.8(du);	T = 0.9(du);
Residential	"Townhomes (Low-Rise Units)"	TRANS	T = 1.35(du);	T = 1.58(du);
Commercial	"Retail Strip Plaza"	ITE 822	T = 0.66Ln(x) + 1.84	T = 0.71Ln(x) + 2.72

Notes: T = Average Vehicle Trip Ends

du = Dwelling unit

 $x = Gross Floor Area (1,000 ft^2)$



Residential Trip Generation

Using the respective residential trip rates in **Table 3**, the total number of vehicles per hour generated by the proposed residential land uses of the development are calculated for the morning and afternoon peak periods, as shown in **Table 4**.

Table 4: Residential Units Peak Period Person Trip Generation

Phase	Land Use	Dwelling Units	AM Peak Period Person Trips	PM Peak Period Person Trips
Phase 1	High-Rise Apartments	400	320	360
Phase 2	High-Rise Apartments	727	582	654
Pilase 2	Townhomes (Low-Rise Units)	7	9	11
	Total	1,134	911	1,025

The proposed development's residential land use is anticipated to generate a total of approximately 911 and 1,025 person trips during the morning and afternoon peak periods, respectively. The total peak period person trips in **Table 4** for each land use are then divided into different travel modes using mode share percentages obtained from the 2020 TRANS Manual for the "Ottawa Inner Area" district. **Table 5** and **Table 6** provide the travel mode breakdown for the proposed high-rise apartments and townhomes, respectively.

Table 5: High-Rise Apartments Peak Period Trips Mode Shares Breakdown

Tubio or riight till	Table 3. High-Rise Aparthents Feak Fellou Hips Mode Shales Bleakdown				
Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Period Person Trip	Mode Share	PM Peak Period Person Trips	
Phase 1					
Auto Driver	26%	84	25%	91	
Auto Passenger	6%	19	8%	29	
Transit	28%	89	21%	77	
Cycling	5%	18	6%	21	
Walking	34%	110	39%	141	
Total Person Trips	100%	320	100%	360	
Phase 2					
Auto Driver	26%	152	25%	166	
Auto Passenger	6%	35	8%	53	
Transit	28%	162	21%	140	
Cycling	5%	32	6%	38	
Walking	34%	200	39%	257	
Total Person Trips	100%	582	100%	654	

Table 6: Townhomes Peak Period Trips Mode Shares Breakdown

ruble of forminomes real reflect imps mode offares breakdown					
Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Period Person Trip	Mode Share	PM Peak Period Person Trips	
Phase 2					
Auto Driver	27%	3	31%	3	
Auto Passenger	8%	1	9%	1	
Transit	26%	2	20%	2	
Cycling	9%	1	9%	1	
Walking	30%	3	31%	3	
Total Person Trips	100%	9	100%	11	

Standard traffic analysis is usually conducted using the morning and afternoon peak hour trips as they represent a worst-case scenario. The 2020 TRANS Manual provides conversions rates from peak period to peak hours for different mode shares, as shown in **Table 7** below.

Table 7: Peak Period to Peak Hour Conversion Factors (2020 TRANS Manual)

Travel Mode	Peak Period to Peak Hour Conversion Factors		
Traver Wode	AM	PM	
Auto Driver and Passenger	0.48	0.44	
Transit	0.55	0.47	
Bike	0.58	0.48	
Walk	0.58	0.52	



Using the conversion rates in **Table 7** and the peak period person trips for different travel modes in **Table 5** and **Table 6**, the peak hour trips for different travel modes can be calculated as shown in **Table 8** and **Table 9**.

Table 8: High-Rise Apartments Peak Hour Trips Mode Share Breakdown

Travel Mode	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips
Phase 1		
Auto Driver	40	40
Auto Passenger	9	13
Transit	49	36
Cycling	10	10
Walking	64	74
Total Person Trips	173	173
Phase 2		
Auto Driver	73	73
Auto Passenger	17	24
Transit	89	66
Cycling	18	18
Walking	116	134
Total Person Trips	314	314

Table 9: Townhomes Peak Hour Trips Mode Share Breakdown

Travel Mode	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips	
Phase 2			
Auto Driver	1	2	
Auto Passenger	0	0	
Transit	1	1	
Cycling	1	0	
Walking	2	2	
Total Person Trips	5	5	

As shown above, the residential land use of the proposed development is anticipated to generate a total of up to 492 total person trips, which includes up to 115 vehicle trips, 26 to 37 passenger trips, 103 to 139 transit trips and 211 to 238 active transportation (walking and cycling) trips during peak hours.

Considering the location and context surrounding the proposed development, such as proximity to the Highway 417, and the notable distance from LRT or a rapid transit corridor, it was assumed that a higher auto driver mode share would be more appropriate relative to the district average, which accounts for the Confederation line and several LRT stations. The increase in auto-driver mode share comes at the expense of transit, walking and cycling. The adjusted mode share percentages are shown in **Table 10** and **Table 11** for the high-rise apartments and townhomes, respectively. Note that the same mode share percentages are applied to both the AM and PM peak hours.

Table 10: High-Rise Apartments Peak Hour Trips Mode Share Breakdown

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips
Phase 1			
Auto Driver	40%	69	69
Auto Passenger	10%	17	17
Transit	20%	35	35
Cycling	5%	9	9
Walking	25%	43	43
Total Person Trips	100%	173	173
Phase 2			
Auto Driver	40%	125	126
Auto Passenger	10%	31	31
Transit	20%	63	63
Cycling	5%	16	16
Walking	25%	78	79
Total Person Trips	100%	314	314



Table 11: Townhomes Peak Hour Trips Mode Share Breakdown

Travel Mode	Mode Share	AM Peak Hour Trips	PM Peak Hour Trips
Phase 2			
Auto Driver	40%	2	2
Auto Passenger	10%	1	1
Transit	20%	1	1
Cycling	5%	0	0
Walking	25%	1	1
Total Person Trips	100%	5	5

Using the modified mode shares above, the breakdown of inbound and outbound trips for the high-rise apartments and townhomes are provided in **Table 12** and **Table 13** respectively. The inbound and outbound percentages were obtained from the 2020 TRANS Manual.

Table 12: High-Rise Apartments Mode Shares Breakdown (2020 TRANS Report)

Table 12. This is Apartition mode offices broadward (2020 Harris Report)										
Travel Mode	AM Pe	ak (Person T	rips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)						
Travel Mode	In (31%)	Out (69%)	Total	In (58%)	Out (42%)	Total				
Phase 1										
Auto Driver	21	48	69	40	29	69				
Auto Passenger	5	12	17	10	7	17				
Transit	11	24	35	20	15	35				
Cycling	3	6	9	5	4	9				
Walking	13	30	43	25	18	43				
Total Person Trips	54	119	173	100	73	173				
Phase 2										
Auto Driver	39	86	125	73	53	126				
Auto Passenger	10	21	31	18	13	31				
Transit	20	43	63	37	26	63				
Cycling	5	11	16	9	7	16				
Walking	24	54	78	46	33	79				
Total Person Trips	97	217	314	182	132	314				

Table 13: Townhomes Mode Shares Breakdown (2020 TRANS Report)

Travel Mode	AM Pe	ak (Person Ti	rips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)			
Travel Mode	In (30%)	Out (70%)	Total	In (56%)	Out (44%)	Total	
Phase 2							
Auto Driver	1	1	2	1	1	2	
Auto Passenger	0	1	1	1	0	1	
Transit	0	1	1	1	0	1	
Cycling	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Walking	0	1	1	1	0	1	
Total Person Trips	2	4	5	3	2	5	

Using the tables above, the projected number of trips anticipated to be generated by the residential land uses of the proposed development are provided in **Table 14**.



Table 14: Total Residential Trip Generation

Troval Mada	AM Pe	eak (Person Tr	rips/h)	PM F	Peak (Person Tr	ips/h)
Travel Mode	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Phase 1						
Auto Driver	21	48	69	40	29	69
Auto Passenger	5	12	17	10	7	17
Transit	11	24	35	20	15	35
Cycling	3	6	9	5	4	9
Walking	13	30	43	25	18	43
Total Person Trips	54	119	173	100	73	173
Phase 2						
Auto Driver	40	87	127	74	54	128
Auto Passenger	10	22	32	19	13	32
Transit	20	44	64	38	26	64
Cycling	5	11	16	9	7	16
Walking	24	55	79	47	33	80
Total Person Trips	99	221	319	185	134	319
Total						
Auto Driver	61	135	196	114	83	197
Auto Passenger	15	34	49	29	20	49
Transit	31	68	99	58	41	99
Cycling	8	17	25	14	11	25
Walking	37	85	122	72	51	123
Total Person Trips	153	340	492	285	207	492

As shown in **Table 14**, the total number of vehicle trips anticipated to be generated by the residential land uses are 197 vehicles per hour during both the morning and afternoon peak hours.

Retail Units Trip Generation

The proposed non-residential land uses of the site consist of retail units, where the exact occupants of the retail units have not been confirmed as of yet. It is important to note that the development is not located in any retail node and the Catherine St corridor is not utilized for any many retail uses, as opposed to a traditional mainstreet such as Bank St. Therefore, the majority of patrons using the retail units are expected to be either internal site residents or local walking trips from adjacent developments, which would generate a very minimal number of new vehicle trips.

Using the trip rates provided in **Table 3**, the total number of person trips per hour generated by the proposed retail units are multiplied by a factor of 1.28, as per TIA standards, to account for typical North American auto occupancy values of approximately 1.15 and combined transit and non-motorized modal shares of less than 10%. The resulting total person trips per hour are summarized in **Table 15**.

Table 15: Retail Units Peak Hour Person Trips

Land Use	GFA (ft²)	AM Pe	ak (Person T	rips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)		
Land USE	GFA (IL-)	In (60%)	Out (40%)	Total	In (50%)	Out (50%)	Total
Phase 1							
Strip Retail Plaza	13,984	27	19	46	63	63	126
Phase 2							
Strip Retail Plaza	12,096	25	17	42	57	57	114
Total	52	36	88	120	120	240	

The commercial elements of the proposed development are intended primarily to serve local residents and nearby communities (the population will increase as future developments and intensification plans continue to progress in the downtown).

Given the mixture of land uses proposed onsite, an internal reduction rate was applied based on mixed-use parameters described in Section 6.5 of the ITE Trip Generation Manual 3rd Edition, to account for multi-purpose peak hour trips such as a local resident shopping, getting a haircut, stopping at a drycleaner, or any other minor



retail tenant that may occupy a retail space. These trips may be reduced to reflect double counted trips, which has been incorporated in the trip generation tables that follow. The base calculation for determining the quantity of internal reductions has been provided in **Appendix E.**

Pass-by trips were also considered for commercial uses. Pass-by trips are intermediate trips along the original route between the primary origin and destination, such as a trip to a retail use while travelling between home and another destination. These are not considered 'new' trips, but existing trips already on the network. Appendix E of the ITE Trip Generation Manual 3rd edition was used to determine pass-by rates. Pass-by trips were calculated after the internal reduction factor was applied.

The proposed mode shares for commercial uses have been summarized in Table 16.

Travel Mode	TRANS Mode Shares		Mode		Proposed Mode Share (AM & PM)	Proposed Modal Share Rationale
	AM	PM	(AIVI & FIVI)			
Auto Driver	39%	22%	15%	A reduction in driver mode share from TRANS is justifiable given the small		
Auto Passenger	2%	4%	5%	scale of commercial uses proposed. Nearby high-density residential, commercial and office settings, plus low parking availability promote walking and cycling to access the commercial uses on site.		
Transit	16%	12%	15%	Transit anticipated to be similar to existing mode shares.		
Cycling	ling 3% 4% 5% The ma		5%	The majority of trips are anticipated to be generated locally and will most likely		
Walking 40% 58% 60%		60%	attract nearby pedestrians, cyclists, and residents of the same development.			

Table 16: TRANS 2020 Mode Shares for Commercial Use and Proposed Mode Shares

The trip generation rates for commercial land uses from **Table 15** were used along with the proposed sizes for each phase of development and the proposed mode shares from **Table 16** to provide the retail peak hour trips breakdown shown in **Table 17**. Residential and commercial vehicle trips are both inputted into the internal reductions sheets in **Appendix E** to determine the internal trips. Note that the internal reductions for Phase 2 use combined vehicle trips of both Phases 1 and 2 to internal trips at full buildout.

Travel Mode	Mode	AM Pea	k (Person Trip	os/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)		
Traver Mode	Share	In (60%)	Out (40%)	Total	In (50%)	Out (50%)	Total
Phase 1							
Auto Driver		8	6	14	17	15	32
Pre-Internal Reduction	15%	8	6	14	18	18	36
Vehicles Reduced		0	0	0	-1	-3	-4
Auto Passenger	5%	3	2	5	6	6	12
Transit	15%	8	5	13	18	18	36
Cycling	5%	3	2	4	6	6	12
Walking	60%	30	21	52	72	72	144
Total Person Trips	100%	52	36	88	119	117	236
Pass-By	0%(35%)	0	0	0	-6	-6	-12
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips	-	8	6	14	11	9	20
Phase 2							
Auto Driver		3	2	5	7	4	11
Pre-Internal Reduction	15%	4	3	7	9	9	18
Vehicles Reduced		-1	-1	-2	-2	-5	-7
Auto Passenger	5%	2	1	3	3	3	9
Transit	15%	3	2	5	8	8	16
Cycling	5%	1	1	2	3	3	9
Walking	60%	15	10	25	34	34	68
Total Person Trips	100%	24	16	40	55	52	107
Pass-By	0%(35%)	0	0	0	-2	-2	-4
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips		3	2	5	5	2	7

Table 17: Retail Peak Hour Trips Mode Share Breakdown

As shown in **Table 17**, the retail land uses are expected to generate 88 to 236 person trips during peak hours of Phase 1, as well as 40 to 107 persons trips during the peak hours of Phase 2. In total, the nonresidential land uses are expected to generate trips as shown in **Table 18** below.



Table 18: Total Nonresidential Trip Generation

Travel Mode	AM Pe	eak (Person Ti	rips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)			
Traver Wode	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total	
Auto Driver	8	5	13	17	14	31	
Pre-Internal Reduction	9	6	15	19	19	38	
Vehicles Reduced	-1	-1	-2	-2	-5	-7	
Auto Passenger	3	2	5	7	7	14	
Transit	7	5	12	17	17	34	
Cycling	2	2	4	6	6	12	
Walking	31	21	52	71	71	142	
Total Person Trips	51	35	86	118	115	233	
Pass-By	0	0	0	-6	6	-12	
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips	8	5	13	11	8	19	

Total Trips Generated

Similar to commercial, an internal reduction to residential trips is applicable, as shown in **Table 19**.

Table 19: Residential Peak Hour Trips with Internal Reductions

Table 13. Residential Fear flour https with internal reductions									
Travel Mode	Mode	AM Pea	k (Person	Trips/h)	PM Peak (Person Trips/h)				
Travel Wode	Share	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total		
Phase 1									
,	Auto Driver	21	48	69	37	28	65		
Pre-Internal Reduction		21	48	69	40	29	69		
Vehicles Reduced		0	0	0	-3	-1	-4		
Total 'New' Ve	ehicle Trips	21	48	69	37	28	65		
Phase 1 & 2 Combined									
A	Auto Driver	60	134	194	109	81	190		
Pre-Internal Reduction		61	135	196	114	83	197		
Vehicles Reduced		-1	-1	-2	-5	-2	-7		
Total 'New' Ve	hicle Trips	60	134	194	109	81	190		

The total person trips anticipated to be generated by the residential and non-residential land uses of the proposed future development are provided in **Table 20**, which includes all travel mode shares of the residential units plus the auto driver mode of the nonresidential uses.

Table 20: Total Trips Generated

Travel Mode	AM Peak (Person Trips/h)			PM Peak (Person Trips/h)		
	In	Out	Total	In	Out	Total
Phase 1						
Auto Driver	29	54	83	54	43	97
Pre-Internal Reduction	29	54	83	58	47	105
Vehicles Reduced	0	0	0	-4	-4	-8
Auto Passenger	8	14	22	16	13	29
Transit	19	29	48	38	33	71
Cycling	6	8	13	11	10	21
Walking	43	51	95	97	90	187
Total Person Trips	105	156	261	216	189	405
Pass-By	0	0	0	-6	-6	-12
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips	29	54	83	48	37	85
Phase 1 & 2 Combined						
Auto Driver	68	139	207	126	95	221
Pre-Internal Reduction	70	141	211	133	102	235
Vehicles Reduced	-2	-2	-4	-7	-7	-14
Auto Passenger	18	36	54	36	27	63
Transit	38	73	111	75	58	133
Cycling	10	19	29	20	17	37
Walking	68	106	174	143	122	265
Total Person Trips	202	373	575	400	319	719
Pass-By	0	0	0	-6	-6	-12
Total 'New' Vehicle Trips	68	139	207	120	89	209



Based on the results provided in **Table 20**, the proposed future development is anticipated to generate a total of approximately <u>575 and 720 person trips during the morning and afternoon peak hours respectively, including roughly 210 'new' vehicle trips in both peak hour periods.</u>

3.1.2. Trip Distribution and Assignment

Based on the 2011 OD Survey (Ottawa Inner Area district) and the location of adjacent arterial roadways and neighbourhoods, the distribution of site-generated traffic volumes was estimated as follows:

- 20% to/from the east via HWY-417;
- 30% to/from the west HWY-417;
- 25% to/from the north via Bronson Ave/Bank St/Lyon St/Kent St; and,
- 25% to/from the south via Bronson Ave/Bank St.

The anticipated 'new' auto trips for the proposed development from **Table 20** were then assigned to the road network as shown in **Figure 12** for Phase 1 and **Figure 13** for total site-generated traffic at full buildout. At Phase 1, the Catherine St garage access and the woonerf are expected to be constructed. The Arlington Ave access is expected to be constructed at Phase 2. Note that no vehicles were modelled using the woonerf as truck traffic using this access will be infrequent and generally occur during off-peak hours.

Figure 12: Phase 1 Site-Generated Traffic **←**0(0) **Ł**0(0) Gladstone 0(0) ^ 0(0) → £ 0(0) ← 0(0) **Arlington** ₀₍₀₎ ♣ (4(7) ¬ 0(0) ♣ 4(7) → **↑** 🟲 ↑ ↑ (3) (3) 24°. → 20(0) → 0(0) £54(37) **1** 0(0) ↑ † 00 (0) **1**0(0) ← 6(10) **1**0(0) **←** 38(26) **√** ¹⁶⁽¹¹⁾ ←0(0) **←**11(7) ← 22(15) **←** ¹⁶⁽¹¹⁾ **L**₀₍₀₎ ←20(34) **Catherine** 9(14) Percy Lyon Bronson Isabella Chamberlain **AM Peak Hour Volumes** PM Peak Hour Volumes






Figure 13: Full Buildout Phase 1 + Phase 2 Site-Generated Traffic

3.2. Background Network Traffic


3.2.1. Transportation network plans

Refer to Section 2.1.3: Planned Conditions.

3.2.2. Background Growth

The development is located in the Downtown Core Transect (as designated within the Official Plan), where policies are aimed towards augmenting and prioritizing the movement of pedestrians, cyclists, and transit users. Traffic flow and parking requirements are secondary priorities, which suggest traffic volumes along study area roadways may not increase as rapidly in the future and may even experience a decline. However, based on the vision of the Centretown CDP and Secondary Plan, more development intensification is planned along Catherine St, which will increase population within the study area. As such, a conservative 0.5% background growth rate was applied to arterial roads within the study area that provide connectivity to and from Highway 417, including Catherine St, Kent St, Lyon St, and Chamberlain Ave. The future background 2026, 2031 and 2036 traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 14, Figure 15 and Figure 16, respectively.










Figure 16: Future Background 2036 Traffic Volumes

3.2.3. Other Developments

Refer to Section 2.1.3.3 - Other Area Developments, no other area development included in future conditions.

3.3. Demand Rationalization

The following section indicates factors that may be used to rationalize the future travel demands in the study area and determine if there are potential capacity limitations and how they may be addressed.

The total projected 2026, 2031 and 2036 traffic volumes can be calculated by superimposing the site-generated traffic in **Figure 12** and **Figure 13**, onto the future background traffic in **Figure 14**, **Figure 15** and **Figure 16**. The total projected 2026, 2031 and 2036 traffic volumes are illustrated in **Figure 17**, **Figure 18** and **Figure 19**, respectively.

It is important to note that the ongoing evolution of travel behaviour post-COVID-19, combined with long-term transportation network changes of the Centretown area and broader City of Ottawa investments in transit and active transportation (as discussed in **Section 2.1.3**), are expected to gradually discourage auto use in the Downtown Transect (as designated in the City Official Plan). Further discussion on elements is provided below.

TDM Measures

The Centretown CDP suggests implementing aggressive TDM Measure as part of new developments in the Southern Area of the Centretown district, where the proposed development is located. These measures include providing enhanced pedestrian, cycling, and transit facilities where possible, as part of new developments. The purpose of such measures is to reduce reliance on vehicle travel modes and encourage alternative travel behaviors. Depending on the size and density of future developments, implementing appropriate TDM measures may help reduce future traffic volumes in the Centretown area. For the future development at 265 Catherine St,



aggressive measures may be implemented to incentivize residents to rely on transit and active transportation modes such as walking and cycling. These measures are identified in **Section 4.5**.

LRT

The City of Ottawa LRT construction is underway, where Stage 1 has already been constructed and in use as of 2019, while Stage 2 is under construction and includes further expansions of the LRT corridor in different directions. Lyon Station and Parliament Station are located along Queen St in the Centretown area, where the Lyon Station is located approximately 1.4km north of the proposed development site.


The LRT is expected to have resulted in significant reductions in background traffic volumes, influencing the Centretown area as a whole. Most traffic volumes used for the purpose of this TIA Report were mostly conducted in 2018, prior to the opening of the LRT Stage 1. As such, they may not reflect any changes in travel patterns as a result of the LRT. As the LRT continues to expand and the travel behaviors of background trips adjust, it is expected that transit usage would increase, while background traffic decreases.

COVID-19 Changes to Travel Behavior

The COVID-19 pandemic resulted in significant implications to travel behaviors across the country. A significant percentage of the workforce have shifted to a work-from-home only or hybrid home/office work schedule, with such effects expected to have long lasting impacts. This change resulted in a noticeable reduction of traffic volumes during peak hours – anecdotally, interprovincial bridge crossings are currently 75% below pre-covid levels (based on ongoing work for the Wellington Street Closure Assessment by the City of Ottawa).

As businesses continue to adjust to new and more widely desirable work schedules, it is uncertain how persistent the reduction in traffic volumes will remain. Therefore, it is important to acknowledge that any growth applied to background traffic volumes from pre-COVID levels should be considered a conservative assumption.

In this TIA, a 0.5% background traffic growth rate was assumed from pre-COVID traffic volumes (discussed in **Section 3.2.2**) without applying any further reductions to the study area traffic volumes, representing as a worst-case scenario. The impact of the proposed development's site-generated traffic volumes on the study area intersections and roadways will be determined in the subsequent sections of the TIA report.




PARSONS

98(138) 345(541) 89(86) ←143(314) **←**15(28) **1**48(75) ← 165(324) **Gladstone** 36(67) ♣ 1787(930) ♣ 97(131) ♣ 82(75) **♣** 277(450) **→** 184(247)→ 24(52) **♣** 22(27) **←** 397(731) **Bank 1** 93(63) ← 18(30) ←12(37)) **←** ²⁵⁽¹⁷⁾ ←23(45) **€** 17(30) **Arlington** 26(40) 4 1783(1073) → 131(93) 4 28(24) **→** (152(125) **→** 40(25) **♣** 83(83) **→** 93(70) 4 1(428) → 14(9) 🗗 18(19) → 0(2) → **L** 57(39) ←129(121) **1**44(142) ←404(713) **1**28(296) **←** 282(366) A 69(45) 1 367(285) 498(596) 526(727) **1** 202(158) **4** 630(653) **5** 176(236) ←264(724) **←**92(166) **1**41(72) **4**468(647 **1** 565(314) ← 443(723) ← 270(553) **←** 270(224) Catherine 70(50) -1396(870) -278(206) · 582(340) · Kent Percy Lyon 396(734) 190(189) Bronson Isabella Chamberlain 82(67) **→**521(624) **→**82(124) **→** хx **AM Peak Hour Volumes** PM Peak Hour Volumes

Figure 18: Total Projected 2031 Traffic Volumes

Figure 19: Total Projected 2036 Traffic Volumes



4.0 ANALYSIS

4.1. Development Design

A description of the available and proposed transportation network elements for different travel modes is provided in the sections below.

4.1.1. Design for Sustainable Modes

A woonerf is proposed that bisects the site north-south connecting Catherine St and Arlington Ave. It has been carefully designed as an enhanced pedestrian amenity area that also permits infrequent vehicle access for trucks/loading vehicles (see Section 4.1.2 for further discussion on vehicle access). The woonerf ties into the publicly accessible open spaces within the site, and feature unique pavers, an offset alignment and various landscaping accents that provides strong visual cues to any drivers that this is a calm pedestrian environment. The woonerf provides excellent pedestrian and cycling permeability through the block that ties into the existing municipal active transportation network.

Sidewalk facilities will be provided on all site frontages and will be at least 2.0m wide at all locations. Given the location of the development, pedestrian facilities within the Centretown area are well established and help to provide optimal access to transit stop locations.

Along Catherine St, the existing bus stop at the site frontage (approximately 45m west of Kent St) will be maintained at the same approximate location but relocated to align with the new proposed curb location. **Figure 20** illustrates the proposed future bus facilities. The bus stop provided will be in accordance with City specification SC12, with a 20m bus stop zone along the curb.

The city intends to convert the north curbside general purpose travel lane on Catherine St to a transit priority lane between the Kent St and Bronson Ave, as per the Catherine Street Functional Design Study. The development proposes to shift the start of the transit priority lane approximately to 80m west of Kent St (70m west of proposed start location in the Catherine St Functional Design Study), to accommodate the required accesses and pickup/dropoff layby on Catherine St. The potential service and operational implications of this change will be discussed in **Section 4.9**.

The proposed bulb-outs on Catherine St are also advantageous in that they are expected to reduce conflicts to buses as vehicles exiting the accesses would turn directly onto the general traffic lane of Catherine St, rather than merge onto the dedicated bus lane before merging into general traffic lane, thereby limiting potential conflict points with buses. An additional benefit to the bus pad and bulbout design is that it prevents the bus shelter from interrupting the pedestrian realm, providing a better experience for active users. Both the location of the future bus stop and its design and the shift of the bus lane (subject to operational impacts discussed in **Section 4.9**) were discussed and agreed on with City transit services staff.




Figure 20: Proposed Bus Stop Enhancements



Other bus stops in the study area include existing bus stops on Gladstone Ave at both Lyon St and Kent St that are approximately 250m walking distance from the site. While outside of typical walking distance, it is noted that the LRT operates north of the site at approximately 1.4km walking distance.

Note that the City of Ottawa's TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure has been provided in **Appendix F** and discussed in more detail in **Section 4.5**.

4.1.2. Circulation and Access

Truck and passenger vehicle turning maneuvers at all site accesses and laybys have been reviewed in significant detail and several iterations and adjustments were made to ensure their ability to accommodate the expected design vehicles. All vehicle turning templates have been provided in **Appendix G.**

Circulation and Access

The proposed development will provide two accesses to the underground parking garage:

- 1. Catherine St, approximately 60m west of Kent St, and
- 2. Arlington Ave, approximately 25m east of Lyon St.

There will be 2-levels of underground parking, where visitor and retail parking spaces will be provided on the first level and will be separated from resident parking spaces through the use of restricted gate access that only residents can enter.

The proposed woonerf, connecting Arlington Ave to Catherine St, was developed after early discussions with City staff. Current City policies (in the New Official Plan and Centretown CDP) encourage loading activities in the downtown districts to be off-street/internalized rather than on-street. Although the primary function of the woonerf is to provide an enhanced pedestrian environment and meeting place for the local community, its secondary function is to enable garbage pickup for all buildings, and moving/loading operations for Buildings A and B.

General traffic is not permitted within the woonerf, only designated truck traffic (garbage, emergency and moving trucks). This restriction will be reinforced with signage, but is also supported by its various unique design elements, such as:

- One-way southbound vehicle travel on Arlington Ave is far less intense than Catherine St, which reduces the risk of short-cutting or infiltration of general traffic on the woonerf. Furthermore, the one-way restrictions on the main fronting streets (Catherine St, Kent St, and Lyon St) make shortcutting less prominent or attractive for drivers.
- Materials and landscaping the aesthetic provides strong visual cues to drivers that the woonerf is not a road.
- Furniture and fixtures the placement of street furniture and fixtures also offer visual cues as well as added friction to further reinforce the woonerf is not a road.

If the proposed design is shown to be insufficient to maintain compliance, property management may always consider a physical gate in the future.

Given the proposed curb extensions along Arlington Ave and at the Lyon/Arlington intersection resulting in a narrower road for Arlington Ave, City staff requested a truck turn check be completed for southbound left-turns from Lyon St to Arlington Ave. The truck turn templates are provided in **Appendix G**, which show that HSU trucks would be able to complete their turns from the left lane on Lyon St, without conflict with curbs or any vehicles in the oncoming lane of Arlington Ave. Given the one-way travel direction of Lyon St, completing a truck turn from the right turn without any conflicts would be difficult for both existing and proposed conditions. Trucks completing the turn from the left lane are expected to take reasonable precautions to ensure the right lane is clear of vehicles before completing the turn.



Lyon St Layby

The layby on Lyon Street serves as a drop-off space for move-ins and is located near a secured service corridor that leads to the elevator core in Tower 3. Due to the configuration of Building B with the two towers, it is not possible to provide internal access to both tower cores from the loading bay located under Tower 2 adjacent to the internal lane. Since the City will not permit loading vehicles to reverse onto a public street, the internal lane (or woonerf) functions to allow loading vehicles to access the site and leave in a forward motion during scheduled times. Since the core of Tower 3 is not near the internal lane, vehicles are not be able to access this tower from the internal part of the site without either reversing onto Arlington Avenue from an exterior loading space located between Buildings B and C (townhouse), or an internal loading bay located adjacent to the parking garage ramp along Arlington Avenue, or driving through the entire site to reach the internal lane to be able to leave in a forward motion.

The landscaped open space throughout the site has been designed to minimize vehicles and to favor pedestrians. Additionally, the buildings have been designed to minimize blank façades or 'back-of-house' type functions such as loading bays, garbage rooms etc., and instead have been designed to favor the pedestrian realm by providing as much animation and visibility around the entire site. From a transportation perspective, the layby proposal is considered acceptable for the following reasons:

- Lyon St is one-way southbound, which eliminates vehicle conflicts from on-coming vehicles.
- Lyon St is a traffic calmed road, there is a speed hump and on-street parking on the east side of the road just north of Arlington Ave.
- The layby is located as far north as possible along the frontage, ensuring there is as much separation from the Catherine St intersection as possible.
- Lyon St has a wide pavement width (nearly 9m for two travel lanes), which provides ample space for vehicles in the adjacent lane to pass comfortably if a truck is in the layby.
- The proposed design includes a 2.0m continuous sidewalk along the frontage, thus the layby does not impinge on the pedestrian realm.
- Loading operations with large trucks are expected to be infrequent (coinciding with move-ins), and rarely occur during the weekday morning/afternoon peak hour periods.

The Lyon St layby should be signed as a loading zone with time restrictions, which limits use to 15-minutes or less for loading/unloading operations.

Catherine St Layby

A layby has also been proposed on Catherine St, with one notable difference; it is intended to only serve as a temporary pick up and drop off area for general traffic, it will not be a loading area for trucks. The potential implications of a layby on Catherine St were assessed, and ultimately deemed reasonable for the following reasons:

- The intent for this layby is primarily pickup-drop offs or deliveries to the building on the southeast corner
 of the property, which are infrequent.
- Vehicle conflict risks related to the lay-by are expected to be less pronounced since Catherine St is a
 one-way street westbound and Kent St is one-way northbound, which minimizes opposing and oncoming
 traffic interactions.
- There are two general purpose travel lanes on Catherine St that will reduce the risk of queue spillback or conflicts if a vehicle is maneuvering into or out of the layby.

The Catherine St layby may also be signed a loading zone with time restrictions or split in order to add space for public paid parking. These choices may be reviewed and decided in collaboration with City Parking Services. As mentioned previously, while the proposed layby and curb extensions along Catherine St result in modifications to the City proposed functional design for Catherine St, the proposed design by the development met the approval of City transit services.



4.1.3. New Street Network

Exempt, refer to Table 2.

4.2. Parking

4.2.1. Parking Supply

Based on City of Ottawa Parking Provisions, Schedule 1A, the proposed development is located in "Area X". As such, the required number of parking spaces will be calculated based on the rates set out for this area. The proposed development will locate all vehicle parking spaces in the two-level underground parking garage, while the bicycle parking spaces will be located in various locations with most located on a mezzanine level of the building and some located outdoors. **Table 21** provides a summary of the required and the proposed parking rates for vehicles and **Table 22** for bicycles.

		Zoning By-	Law Parking Rates	Reo	uired Spa	ces	Prop	osed Space	s
Land Use	Size	Base	Visitor/Retail	Base	Visitor/ Retail	Total	Base	Visitor/ Retail	Total
Phase 1									
High-Rise Residential	400 Units	0.5 per unit, excluding first 12 units	0.1 per unit, excluding first 12 units, 30 spaces max per building	194	30	224	93	50 Shared with retail	143
Retail*	625 m ²	-	1.25 per 100 m ²	Shared with 100 m ² - 8 8 Residential visitor parking		al visitor	-		
			Phase 1 Total	194	38	232	93	50	143
Phase 2									
High-Rise Residential	727 Units	0.5 per unit, excluding first 12 units per building	0.1 per unit, excluding first 12 units, 30 spaces max per building	352	60	412	450	62 Shared with retail	
Townhomes	7 units	0.75 per unit	0.1 per unit, excluding first 12 units, 30 spaces max per building	6	1	7	152		214
Retail*	1,047 m ²	-	1.25 per 100 m ²	-	13	13	Shared with Residential visitor parking		1
			Phase 2 Total	358	74	432	152	62	214
Full Buildout	Total			552	112	664	245	112	357
*Retail units	with an are	ea less than 200 m²	do not require off-street vehic	le parkin	g to be pro	vided, as	per the Parki	ng Provision	S.

Table 21: Required and Proposed Vehicle Parking Spaces

As shown in **Table 21**, the development intends to provide fewer vehicle parking spaces than the minimum requirements by approximately 300 residential parking spaces. As such, a parking variance is needed as part of the development application.

The potential implications for residential vehicle parking demand are provided in the following section. However, it is noted that the minimum requirements for both visitors and retail use are being maintained. Additionally, it is worth noting that 1 carshare space will be provided as part of Phase 1 and 2 carshare spaces will be provided as part of Phase 2, for a total of 3 carshare space.



Zoning By-Law Parking Rates **Required Spaces Proposed Spaces** Land Use Size **Bicycle Bicycle Bicycle** Phase 1 High-Rise Residential 400 Units 0.5 per unit 200 400 Retail 1,299 m² 1.0 per 250 m² 6 10 Phase 1 Total 206 410 Phase 2 727 Units 364 729 High-Rise Residential 0.5 per unit **Townhomes** 7 units Retail 1,124 m² 1.0 per 250 m² 5 10 Phase 2 Total 369 739 Full Buildout Total 1,149 575

Table 22: Required and Proposed Bicycle Parking Spaces

The proposed number of bicycle parking spaces exceed the required minimum, providing at least a 1:1 ratio for the number of units to promote cycling use. The majority of bicycle parking is proposed to be provided in the two-level underground parking garage, with easy access through building elevators and the garage ramps. Some bike parking is also proposed outdoor near amenity areas. At least 50% of bicycle spaces are horizontal as per City requirements.

4.2.2. Parking Variance Implications

The development proposal provides approximately 300 fewer vehicle parking spaces than the By-Law requirement. To offset the reliance on vehicles and vehicle parking requirements, the site is providing excess bike parking spaces (at least a 1:1 ratio with units) that is supported by high quality pedestrian and cycling facilities in the vicinity, and a mix of different land uses that promotes a walkable neighbourhood. The City's long-term plan for Catherine St includes a new transit priority lane with a bus stop along the development frontage, as well as augmented pedestrian and cycling accommodations at study area intersections. A strong TDM program is proposed to encourage alternate modes of transportation that will leverage the existing and planned infrastructure provided by the city (further details provided in Section 4.5), which reduces the need for excess vehicle parking. During the public consultation with the local community, the reduction in parking supply was supported. Lastly, the reduction in parking is supported by policies in the New Official Plan to maximize the priority of movement for sustainable modes in the Downtown Core Transect and limiting on-site parking where possible.¹

In the unlikely event that parking spillover is observed, the Centretown Local Area Parking Study (LAPS) from 2016 suggests there is available on-street parking supply within the Centretown neighbourhood to accommodate potential demand. The LAPS table 22 documented between 50-57% on-street parking utilization during all time periods, meaning that there is almost half of remaining on-street parking unoccupied and available. During paid periods, an increase in public off-street parking up to a maximum of 80% occupancy was documented. During weekends, the off-street parking utilization is normally less than 10%, providing a large availability of parking². City By-Law is also equipped to respond with greater enforcement if there is an observed increase in parking infractions.

4.3. Boundary Street Design

Rights-of-Way and Corner Triangles

The City of Ottawa Official Plan Schedule C16 identifies Right-of-Way (ROW) protection requirements, including widening/easement needs and corner triangles at intersections. For the boundary streets surrounding the development site, the ROW requirements at the site frontage are as follows:

² https://pub-ottawa.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?documentid=41676



¹ City of Ottawa Official Plan (2021), City of Ottawa, Section 5.1, Pg 133-137.

- Catherine St: the Official Plan indicates the ROW protection requirement to be 23m. The existing ROW is approximately 18m. The development meets the ROW protection needs along Catherine St.
- Kent St and Lyon St: the Official Plan indicates the ROW protection requirement to be 20m, with a stipulation that the maximum land requirements needed from the property abutting the existing ROW being 0.9m. Additionally, both frontages are subject to a widening/easement policy, whereby a 1.5m wide and 4.5m high unobstructed surface easement for the use of pedestrians along the street frontage is required. The development meets both the ROW protection needs and widening/easement policy needs along Kent St and Lyon St.

In addition to the ROW protection at frontages, corner triangles at intersections are required as dedications of land for road ROW. As shown in the Site Plan, a 5mx5m corner triangle, as per minimum requirement, is provided on all corners of the property, with the exception of the Arlington/Kent intersection, where a 3mx3m sight triangle was proposed. This corner of the property will be city owned for the future public park. City staff confirmed in a meeting held on April 25, 2023, that there are no concerns with the reduced sight triangle at the Arlington/Kent intersection corner.

MMLOS Analysis for Boundary Streets

Using discrete quantitative methods, the Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) analysis describes the level of convenience and comfort experienced by pedestrians, cyclists, transit, and trucks. MMLOS analysis was conducted at the boundary roads of the proposed development, which includes Catherine St, Kent St, Lyon St, and Arlington Ave. The geometry and features along three of the boundary streets (Catherine St, Kent St, and Lyon St) are anticipated to differ between the existing and future horizon year conditions as a result of both the future Catherine St Functional Design Plan and the proposed development's Site Plan. Below is a description of the proposed development's existing boundary streets and future modifications at the site's frontage:

Catherine St (arterial road classification)

- Existing
 - o 2.0m wide sidewalk and no boulevard,
 - 3 lanes total (WB only),
 - o 3.7m or wider lanes,
 - Operating speed of 50 to 60km/h,
 - Less than 3000 average daily curb lane traffic volume,
 - o No on-street parking, cycling facilities or transit facilities, and
 - A designated truck route.
- Future
 - o New curbside bus lane
 - o Greater than 2.0m wide boulevard, and
 - o 3.5m wide lanes.

Kent St (arterial road classification)

- Existing
 - 1.8m wide sidewalk and no boulevard,
 - 3 lanes total (NB only),
 - 3.7m or wider lanes,
 - Operating speed of 50 to 60km/h,
 - o More than 3000 average daily curb lane traffic volume,
 - o No on-street parking on the west side (only on east side), cycling facilities or bus routes, and
 - A designated truck route.
- Future
 - o 2.0m wide sidewalks and no boulevard.



Lyon St (arterial road classification)

- Existing
 - 1.5m wide sidewalk and no boulevard,
 - 2 lanes total (SB only), 0
 - 3.7m or wider lanes,
 - Operating speed of 50 to 60km/h,
 - More than 3000 average daily curb lane traffic volume,
 - No on-street parking, cycling facilities or bus routes, and
 - 0 Not a designated truck route.

Future

- A greater than 2.0m wide sidewalk and no boulevard with loading layby on the north half of the block.
- A 2.0m wide sidewalk and 3m wide boulevard on the south half of the block.
- Note that the north half design will be used for analysis to asses a more "critical" condition.

Arlington Ave (local road classification)

Existing

- 1.5m wide sidewalk and no boulevard,
- 2 lanes total (1 WB and 1 EB),
- Operating speed of 30 to 50km/h, 0
- Less than 3000 average daily curb lane traffic volume,
- 0 Permitted on-street parking,
- No cycling facilities or bus routes, and
- Not a designated truck route.

Future

2.0m wide sidewalks and greater than 2.0m wide boulevard.

Detailed analysis sheets have been provided in Appendix H. Table 23 below provides a summary of the results, along with the minimum desirable targets obtained from the MMLOS Guidelines, for each respective travel mode. The targets are based on the proposed development site's location in a "within 300m of a school" (i.e. Glashan Elementary School) Policy Area for both existing and future conditions.

Table 23: MMLOS Analysis, Boundary Road Segments

	Level of Service									
Road Segment	Pedestria	an (PLOS)	Bicycle	(BLOS)	Transi	t (TLOS)	Truck	k (TkLOS)		
	PLOS	Target	BLOS	Target	TLOS	Target	TkLOS	Target		
Catherine St	C, B*	Α	Е	D	D, B*	С	A, A*	D		
Kent St	F, E*	Α	Е	D	N/A	N/A	A, A*	D		
Lyon St	F, E*	Α	D	D	N/A	N/A	A, A*	E		
Arlington Ave	E, B*	Α	Α	В	N/A	N/A	N/A	No Target		
*Result based on future	street design a	at site frontage	2.		•					

Red font in the table above indicates that the respective desirable target has not been met. As shown in **Table** 23, the minimum desirable pedestrian LOS targets are not met at any of the road segments in both existing and future conditions. This is due to a combination of factors, which includes high curbside lane traffic volumes, high operating speeds given the arterial designation of three of the boundary roads and limited opportunity for boulevard width. It should be noted that in future conditions, a boulevard wider than 2.0m will be provided along both Catherine St and Arlington Ave, which reflects significant improvements in the PLOS results.

The minimum desirable bicycle LOS targets are not met on Catherine St and Kent St primarily due to the number of travel lanes (three on-way lanes), which reduces cyclist comfort. The minimum desirable transit LOS target is



not met on Catherine St in existing conditions but is expected to be met in the future as a result of the proposed transit lane.

It should be noted that there are no applicable TLOS results or targets for Kent St, Lyon St, or Arlington Ave as there are no active transit routes along these roads. Similarly, there are no minimum desirable truck LOS target along Arlington St given its local road designation with limited truck usage.

4.4. Access Intersection Design

As was described in **Section 4.1.2**, access to the underground parking garage will be provided via two accesses, one along Catherine St that will be constructed as part of Phase 1, and the other access along Arlington Ave to be constructed as part of Phase 2. Both accesses will provide 6m wide ramps. The Catherine St access will be located approximately 60m west of Kent St, while the Arlington Ave access will be located approximately 25m east of Lyon St. The access designs include bulb-outs according to City specifications, which also act as a traffic calming measures along the frontage street (by reducing the effective pavement width).

The two garage access points ensure a balanced spread of traffic (thereby reducing traffic loading to any one access point) and provides more direct access for residents. One of the drawbacks of one-way streets on three frontages is it forces inefficient vehicle routing if access points to the site are limited. A single access off Catherine St would encourage traffic infiltration on surrounding streets (particularly vehicles coming from the northwest), increasing the number of turns and create more pedestrian and cyclist conflicts on the adjacent road network than having a secondary access of Arlington St.

The proposed access design would also reduce traffic loading on any one street. Both Arlington Ave and Catherine St are sensitive corridors for different reasons. Catherine St is a future transit priority corridor and a "feeder" street to Highway 417, which would benefit from fewer vehicle trips turning in and out of the site during peak hour periods. Arlington Ave is a traffic calmed local street with direct residential frontage, which requires more consideration of traffic implications to local residents. Splitting the traffic distribution at two different access points reduces long-term operational risks.

The design considerations for the proposed woonerf were previously described in **Section 4.1.2**. It will be constructed as part of Phase 1. The south access point will be located approximately 15m west of the proposed garage access off Catherine St, which does not adhere to the Private Approach By-law (PABL). However, Catherine St is a one-way only street with westbound travel and the woonerf is one-way only southbound. The woonerf would only permit exiting vehicles from the site resulting in very low conflict potential between the woonerf access and the underground parking garage access. The access design includes bulb-outs according to City design specifications, which enables adequate sightlines between accesses. Therefore, the proposed separation distance was considered acceptable. The north woonerf access will be located approximately 60m east of the Arlington Ave access, which adheres to the PABL and is similarly designed based on City specifications.

Providing additional access points from the woonerf was a strategic choice to prioritize off-street loading operations within the woonerf, as well as separate residential traffic from truck traffic, which ultimately balances onsite and adjacent corridor operations.

4.5. Transportation Demand Management

4.5.1. Context for TDM

Based on the 2021 City of Ottawa Official Plan, the proposed development's boundary roads Catherine St, Kent St and Lyon St are all designated as minor corridors within Design Priority Areas, along with Gladstone Ave. Bank St and Bronson Ave are both designated as mainstreet corridors within Design Priority Areas.

Given the proposed land-use of the development as a residential building, it is assumed that most trips generated will be from residents leaving the site in the AM peak to go to work and returning to the site in the PM



peak. **Sections 3.1.1** and **3.1.2** describe how many trips are anticipated per travel mode and anticipates the likely locations that they will travel to and from based on the OD-Survey 2011 for Ottawa.

The development is proposing to provide 1,127 apartment units within 3 towers up to 36-storeys high, along with 7 townhome units and approximately 2,423m² of retail space. A breakdown of the unit types on the Site Plan indicates that the apartment units provided will consist of 167 bachelor units, 260 one-bedroom units, 258 one-bedroom and den units, 370 two-bedroom units, 30 two-bedroom and den units and 49 three-bedroom units. The property is owned and will be managed by the property developer, Brigil.

4.5.2. Need and Opportunity

The proposed development is located in a well-developed core area of the City of Ottawa, where transit and active transportation facilities are well-maintained and developed, which naturally results in an increased transit and active transportation usage and decreased auto trips. However, given the development's location relative to Highway 417, as well as near the southern limit of the Centretown area, it is reasonable to expect that auto driver mode shares will be higher relative to the typical Centretown mode share splits.

In order to ensure that personal vehicle use remains reasonable given the size of the proposed development, aggressive Transportation Demand Management (TDM) measures will need to be utilized. The proposed development TDM measures are described in detail in **Section 4.5.3** below. Additionally, **Section 4.2** details the rationale for providing a reduced number of parking spaces compared to minimum zoning bylaw requirements.

4.5.3. TDM Program

The TDM Infrastructure and TDM Measures Checklists have been provided in **Appendix F**. The proposed measures in each respective checklists are identified below.

Proposed measures identified in the TDM-supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist are:

- Ten (10) out of the ten (10) "Required" measures have been satisfied.
- Twelve (12) out of fourteen (14) "Basic" measures related to Walking and Cycling and Parking have been satisfied, namely:
 - Locating building close to the street.
 - Locating building entrances to minimize walk distance to sidewalks and transit.
 - Locating building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians.
 - Providing safe, direct and attractive walking routes to transit.
 - Ensuring walking routes are secure, visible, and lighted.
 - Designing roads for cyclist circulation.
 - Providing lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes.
 - Providing wayfinding signage for site access.
 - o Providing bicycle parking equivalent to expected number of resident-owned and visitor cyclists.
 - Providing off-site transit shelter at a new location with shelter.
 - o Providing a designated area to drop off or pick up passengers.
 - Providing shared parking for different uses (i.e. visitors, commercial, etc.)
- Four (4) out of seven (7) "Better" measures related to Walking and Cycling and Carsharing and Bikesharing have been satisfied, namely:
 - Providing secure bike parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units.
 - o Providing a permanent bike repair station adjacent to bicycle parking area.
 - Providing up to three carshare parking spaces.
 - Providing separate areas for short-term and long-term parking with access controls.

Proposed measures identified in the TDM Measures Checklist are:

• Five (5) out of seven (7) "Basic" measures related to Walking and Cycling, Transit, Parking and TDM Marketing have been satisfied. Three (3) of those, which have been designated by an asterisk (*), are



considered by the TDM Measures to be some of the most dependably effective tools to encourage sustainable travel modes. This includes:

- Display walking and cycling information at major entrances.
- Display transit information at major entrances.
- *Offer preloaded PRESTO card to residents one monthly transit pass.
- * Unbundle parking costs from monthly rent.
 - * Provide multi-modal travel information package to new residents.
- Five (5) out of eleven (11) "Better" measures related to Walking and Cycling, Transit, Carsharing and Bikesharing, Parking and TDM Marketing have been satisfied. One (1) of those, which has been designated by an asterisk (*), is considered by the TDM Measures to be some of the most dependably effective tools to encourage sustainable travel modes. This includes:
 - o Offer on-site cycling courses for residents or subsidize off-site courses.
 - Install on-site bikeshare station.
 - Provide residents bikeshare memberships.
 - Provide on-site carshare vehicles for residents.
 - *Offer personalized trip planning to new residents.

4.6. Neighbourhood Traffic Management

This module compares the maximum one-way traffic of a local road during morning and afternoon peak hours, to the respective threshold provided by the City of Ottawa TIA Guidelines.

Site-generated traffic of the proposed development are expected to use local road Arlington Ave as part of their access route to/from the proposed development. The thresholds provided in the TIA Guidelines indicate a maximum ideal one-way traffic of 120 veh/h for local roads during peak hours. Using the total projected 2036 traffic volumes in **Figure 19**, future traffic volumes along Arlington Ave were compared to existing volumes and the ideal local road threshold as shown in **Table 24**. Arlington Ave was divided into three sections to gain full understanding of traffic activity.

Roadway	Classification Ideal Daily Threshold		Ideal Peak Hour Threshold	Section	Peak Hour Two-Way Volumes AM (PM)				
		(veh/day)	(veh/h)		Existing	Volumes AM (PM) xisting Projected 9 (71) 39 (71)			
Authoritor				West of Lyon St	39 (71)	39 (71)			
Arlington Ave	Local	1,000	120	Between Lyon St and Kent St	22 11 121 12 12 12	167 (178)			
AVC				Between Kent St and Bank St	283 (235)	325 (269)			

Table 24: Arlington Ave Existing and Future Two-Way Volumes

As shown in Table 24, note the following:

- West of Lyon St: traffic volumes along this section of Arlington Ave are well below the ideal threshold of
 a local road in both existing and future conditions. This indicates the majority of this traffic is local traffic
 and there is very limited cut-through traffic activity occurring on Arlington Ave between Bronson Ave and
 Lyon St. The low volumes are also attributed to the eastbound traffic restriction on Arlington Ave,
 approximately 50m east of Bronson Ave.
- <u>Between Lyon St and Kent St</u>: traffic volumes along this section of Arlington Ave are near the ideal threshold of a local road in existing conditions but are expected to exceed the threshold by up to approximately 58 veh/h in future projected conditions.
 - It should be noted that some traffic increase along Arlington Ave would have occurred regardless of whether an access is provided along Arlington Ave. This is due to the one-way nature of the surrounding roads that would have forced southbound traffic on Lyon St to take a circuitous route along Arlington Ave and Bank St to use the access along Catherine St.
 - Traffic volumes exceeding the ideal threshold of a local road is not an automatic indication of traffic operational problems on Arlington Ave. Traffic analysis will identify if there will be any



intersection operational concerns at adjacent intersections and any safety concerns can be mitigated through the use of traffic calming measures and speed reduction. It is noted that this section of Arlington Ave has a reduced posted speed of 30km/h, along with intersection curb extensions and two speed humps. The future development is expected to add additional midblock curb extensions at site accesses that will further narrow the road and help further calm the street.

- Between Kent St and Bank St: traffic volumes along this section of Arlington Ave are well above the ideal
 threshold of a local road in both existing and projected conditions and are approaching the 300veh/h
 threshold of a collector road. The high traffic volumes are like caused by a combination of the following:
 - The drop-off/pick-up activity that would occur during peak hours (especially in the AM) at Glashan Elementary School on the south side of Bank St. Since the school acts as a traffic generator during peak hours, there would be limited opportunity to mitigate these traffic volumes.
 - Cut-through traffic may be using Arlington Ave as a quicker route to travel between Kent St and Bank St – more specifically, eastbound traffic exiting Highway 417 at Kent St are likely using Arlington Ave in order to access Bank St. Similarly, traffic travelling northbound on Bank St can use Arlington Ave instead of Catherine St to travel northbound on Kent St. There are few opportunities to limit this traffic infiltration besides road closures, which requires further study by the City and Council approval.

While site generated traffic is expected to contribute to this section, it will be to a much smaller proportion compared to existing/background traffic. The city Neighbourhood Traffic Calming Branch may consider investigating this section of Arlington Ave if future concerns are raised and validated through the established city process.

It is important to reiterate that the Arlington St corridor is already traffic calmed, including speed humps. That said, the development proposal introduces four new bulb-outs at the two proposed access points that will narrow the road from existing 10m to 7.0m, which reinforces the traffic calmed environment.

4.7. Transit

As shown in **Table 20**, the proposed development is anticipated to generate up to 133 transit trips during peak hour periods. These trips will have access to existing bus routes within the study area, which includes OC Transpo bus routes #6, #7, #14, #55 and #114.

Existing transit ridership data (pre-COVID to reflect 'typical' ridership before pandemic impacts) was obtained from OC Transpo for six bus stops near the proposed development site, as shown in **Figure 21**. The data, as provided in **Table 25**, is a summary of average bus boarding, alighting and occupancy information for bus routes at each of the respective stop numbers, during morning and afternoon peak hours.






Figure 21: Transit Ridership Data Bus Stop Locations

Table 25: Transit Ridership Data (Jan 5, 2020 - Mar 16, 2020)

Ston					AM			PM	
Stop No.	Location	Route	Direction	Boarding	Alighting	Avg. Load at Depart.	Boarding	Alighting	Avg. Load at Depart.
2480	Catherine/ Kent	55	WB	11	5	21	11	80	16
6642	Gladstone/	14	WB	5	6	20	7	12	28
6642	Kent	114	WB	-	-	-	-	-	-
6646	Gladstone/	14	EB	6	13	19	12	17	16
0040	Kent	114	EB	-	-	-	-	-	-
6850	Chamberlain/ Kent	55	EB	16	8	17	15	17	15
7666	Bank/	6	SB	22	34	23	58	54	38
1000	Arlington	7	WB	24	26	24	20	38	28
8895	Bank/	6	NB	14	28	33	12	16	30
0095	Catherine	7	EB	11	5	23	20	19	31

As shown in Table 25, the average load of each bus route at its respective bus stop ranges from about 15 to 38 persons during the peak hours. It should be noted that these bus routes serve their respective stops several times during peak hours. Bus route #6, #7 and #14 in particular are "frequent routes" that arrive every 15 minutes or less during peak hours.

Based on information obtained from the OC Transpo website, the person capacity of OC Transpo vehicles, which includes the number of seats on the bus plus the standing capacity, ranges from approximately 57 occupants in its smallest vehicles to approximately 110 occupants in its largest vehicles. Some of these routes connect to the Confederation Line LRT approximately 1.4km north of the development site, which has a significantly higher frequency and ample capacity of 336 occupants.

Therefore, based on the current average bus loads and the future implementation of the Catherine St transit priority lane, the estimated 133 site generated transit trips during the peak hour periods are expected to be adequately accommodated by transit service at full buildout.

The existing bus stop located along Catherine St at the site frontage will be redesigned as a bus shelter at the new curb location that will adhere to the City bus stop specification, SC12. A 20m bus stop zone will be provided along the curb. Additionally, since modifications are proposed to the future Catherine St functional design plan,



the impacts of reducing the length of the transit lane were analyzed in detail in **Section 4.9.2**, which confirmed there will be limited impacts to transit operations along the corridor with the refinement made.

4.8. Review of Network Concept

There are no identifiable planning screenlines within or in close proximity of the study area. A strong TDM program in combination with planned City sustainable infrastructure limits the anticipated number of vehicle and transit trips. Therefore, no major modifications are needed for the network to continue to perform acceptably. Transit trips were discussed in **Section 4.7** and is expected to be accommodated by the existing bus operations in the study area. Vehicle trips are also expected to be accommodated along study area roads, where any intersection operational concerns will be confirmed as part of **Section 4.9.2**.

4.9. Intersection Design

4.9.1. Intersection Control

Stop or Yield control may be considered for traffic exiting the underground parking garage ramps and the woonerf, to be confirmed during the detailed design. All other off-site intersection controls in the study area will continue to operate as per existing conditions, with the exceptions of recommended signal timing adjustments at the intersections of Catherine/Kent and Bank/Chamberlain/Isabella, as part of the future Catherine St Functional Design Plan Modifications, which is assumed to be completed in the 2031 and 2036 horizon years.

Additionally, the WBR movement at Catherine/Kent, will be fully protected with no-right-turn-on-red restriction and time separated pedestrian phase. At Bank/Chamberlain/Isabella, the NBR will not permit right-turn-on-red due to the proposed bidirectional crossing on the south leg of the intersection.

4.9.2. Intersection Design

Synchro 11 Trafficware was used to analyze intersection performance of intersections within the study area. Critical movements at each of the intersections were assessed based on either the movement with the highest volume-to-capacity ratio (for signalized intersections), or the movement experiencing the highest average delay (for unsignalized intersections). It should be noted that, as per the TIA Guidelines, the Peak Hour Factor (PHF) used for analysis was 0.90 in existing conditions and 1.0 in all future scenario conditions. All Synchro report outputs for existing and future conditions have been provided in **Appendix I**.

Existing Conditions Intersection Performance

Table 26 below summarizes the intersection performance of study area intersections, based on existing conditions traffic volumes illustrated in **Figure 8**.

		Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak)							
Intersection		Critical Movem	ent	Intersection 'As a Whole'					
intersection	LOS	max. v/c or avg. delay (s)	Movement	Delay (s)	LOS	v/c			
Catherine/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.41(0.51)	SBT(WBT)	11.4(16.5)	A(A)	0.29(0.47)			
Catherine/Kent (S)	C(A)	0.72(0.56)	NBT(WBR)	24.7(17.4)	C(A)	0.72(0.55)			
Bank/Catherine (S)	D(E)	0.89(0.99)	WBT(SBT)	29.1(50.8)	D(D)	0.89(0.88)			
Catherine/Percy (S)	A(A)	0.28(0.52)	SBT(WBT)	7.5(10.6)	A(A)	0.26(0.48)			
Arlington/Kent (S)	C(A)	0.77(0.47)	NBT(NBT)	16.1(8.1)	C(A)	0.72(0.43)			
Gladstone/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.41(0.60)	SBT(SBT)	16.3(15.5)	A(A)	0.37(0.55)			
Gladstone/Kent (S)	C(B)	0.79(0.61)	NBT(EBT)	9.7(13.5)	C(B)	0.76(0.61)			
Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain (S)	E(C)	0.91(0.79)	SBT(SBT)	16.7(17.7)	D(C)	0.82(0.76)			
Catherine/Bronson (S)	F(F)	1.03(1.11)	WBL(WBL)	45.2(55.8)	E(E)	0.91(0.98)			
Arlington/Lyon (U)	B(C)	13(18)	EB(WB)	2(2)	A(A)	-			
Arlington/Bank (U)	C(C)	20(20)	EB(EB)	3(3)	A(A)	-			

Table 26: Existing Conditions Intersection Performance

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 0.9 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane.

⁽U) - Unsignalized intersection, movement with highest average delay identified as critical movement.



⁽S) – Signalized intersection, movement with highest v/c ratio identified as critical movement.

As shown in **Table 26**, the signalized intersections 'as a whole' operate at a LOS 'E' or better during the morning and afternoon peak hours.

The Catherine/Bronson intersection had a critical westbound left-turn above capacity for both the AM and PM peak hour. Bronson Ave is a major north-south arterial road in the city, which moves large volumes of commuters from the downtown and from the east end who exit Highway 417 and proceed southbound on Bronson Ave. The resulting performance for the WBL movement was expected. That said, the WB approach queue was shown to be acceptable (further detail provided in **Section 4.9.3**). Considering the Catherine/Bronson intersection was only recently modified as part of the MTO bridge rehabilitation project, only signal timing optimizations should be completed - no further mitigation is recommended at this location.

Future Background 2036 Intersection Performance

The most critical of all background conditions between background 2026, 2031 and 2036 was chosen for analysis. The 2036 background accounts for a 0.5% annual growth rate and includes all adjacent developments plus the transit priority lane conversion along Catherine Street resulting in a reduction from three general travel lanes to two general travel lanes as part of the Catherine St Functional Design Plan.

The results from this scenario were compared with intersection performance results within the study area after the proposed development is added, in order to quantify the adjacent road network implications of the proposed development. **Table 27** below summarizes the intersection operational performance at study area intersections using Synchro analysis software for this scenario.

		Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak)							
Intersection		Critical Movem	ent	Intersection 'As a Whole'					
intersection	LOS	max. v/c or avg. delay (s)	Movement	Delay (s)	LOS	v/c			
Catherine/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.34(0.50)	SBT(WBT)	14.6(17.9)	A(A)	0.33(0.49)			
Catherine/Kent (S)	D(B)	0.83(0.61)	NBT(NBT)	55.9(25.4)	C(A)	0.75(0.55)			
Bank/Catherine (S)	D(D)	0.89(0.89)	WBT(WBT)	26.6(29.1)	D(C)	0.85(0.78)			
Catherine/Percy (S)	A(B)	0.42(0.69)	WBT(WBT)	9.7(14.6)	A(B)	0.34(0.62)			
Arlington/Kent (S)	C(A)	0.73(0.49)	NBT(NBT)	60.7(55.5)	B(A)	0.69(0.45)			
Gladstone/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.36(0.53)	SBT(SBT)	12.5(14.9)	A(A)	0.33(0.50)			
Gladstone/Kent (S)	C(B)	0.79(0.64)	NBT(NBT)	13.4(13.3)	C(A)	0.74(0.60)			
Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain (S)	C(C)	0.76(0.79)	EBT(EBT)	17.3(18.2)	B(C)	0.65(0.72)			
Catherine/Bronson (S)	E(E)	0.91(0.92)	SBT(WBL)	38.1(39.4)	D(E)	0.90(0.92)			
Arlington/Lyon (U)	B(C)	13(17)	EB(WB)	2(2)	A(A)	-			
Arlington/Bank (U)	C(C)	18(17)	EB(EB)	3(2)	A(A)	-			

Table 27: Future Background 2036 Conditions Intersection Performance

As shown in **Table 27** intersections are projected to operate similarly to existing conditions. In general, intersections with roads connecting to Highway 417 and which received a 0.5% annual growth rate experienced a slight worsening in intersection performance, while other intersections a slight improvement due to increasing the PHF to 1.00 compared to existing PHF of 0.90 as per TIA Guidelines.

Total Projected 2026 Intersection Performance

Within this scenario, a new right-in-right-out (RIRO) access to the site has been added to Catherine Street approximately 60m west of Kent St. The Woonerf was not modelled as traffic volumes are expected to be very minimal and generally off-peak hours.

Table 28 below summarizes the intersection operational performance at study area intersections using Synchro analysis software for this scenario, based on total projected 2026 volumes in **Figure 17**.



Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.0 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane.

⁽S) – Signalized intersection, movement with highest v/c ratio identified as critical movement.

⁽U) – Unsignalized intersection, movement with highest average delay identified as critical movement.

Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak) **Critical Movement** Intersection 'As a Whole' Intersection max. v/c or LOS Delay (s) Movement LOS v/c avg. delay (s) Catherine/Lyon (S) A(A) 0.32(0.42)SBT(SBT) 8.7(14.1) A(A) 0.28(0.39)Catherine/Kent (S) C(A) 0.75(0.59)NBT(NBT) 22.9(15.2) B(A) 0.70(0.54)Bank/Catherine (S) D(D) 0.84(0.86)WBT(WBT) 25.6(28.5) 0.84(0.78)D(C) Catherine/Percy (S) 0.25(0.52)WBT(WBT) 7.4(10.5) 0.25(0.47)A(A) A(A)Arlington/Kent (S) 0.71(0.46)NBT(NBT) 15.6(7.3) 0.67(0.42)C(A) B(A) Gladstone/Lyon (S) A(A) 0.35(0.52) SBT(SBT) 12.4(14.6) A(A) 0.32(0.49)0.72(0.59) Gladstone/Kent (S) 0.77(0.62) 13.1(11.2) C(B) NBT(NBT) C(A) 0.64(0.70) 0.75(0.73) 17.0(16.9) Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain (S) C(C) EBT(EBT) B(B) 0.87(0.89) Catherine/Bronson (S) D(D) WBL(WBL) 34.4(36.4) D(D) 0.85(0.88) Arlington/Lyon (U) B(C) 13(16) EB(WB) 2(2)A(A) Catherine/Site Access (U) A(A) 10(10) SB(SB) 1(0) A(A) Arlington/Bank (U) C(C) 15(17) EB(EB) 2(2)A(A)

Table 28: Total Projected 2026 Conditions Intersection Performance - Phase 1

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.0 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. Catherine/Bronson was optimized while keeping the same cycle length.

As shown in **Table 28**, the intersections will continue to operate similar to, or in some instances better than, existing conditions. The site access intersection to Catherine St operates well.

Total Projected 2031 Intersection Performance

Within this scenario, a new full movement site access to Arlington St approximately 25m east of Lyon St has been added. Additionally, a general-purpose travel lane on Catherine St has been removed to a total of two general travel lanes to account for the Catherine St Functional Design Plan. Additionally, as requested by city staff, a time separated east-west pedestrian phase was modelled. The crossing distance was measured at approximately 13m, and as such, a 13s pedestrian phase was modelled assuming 1m/s approach.

Table 29 below summarizes the intersection operational performance at study area intersections using Synchro analysis software for this scenario, based on total projected 2031 traffic volumes in **Figure 18**.

		Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak)								
Intersection		Critical Movem	ent	Intersect	ion 'As	a Whole'				
intersection	LOS	max. v/c or avg. delay (s)	Movement	Delay (s)	LOS	v/c				
Catherine/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.37(0.52)	WBT(WBT)	13.6(17.5)	A(A)	0.36(0.51)				
Catherine/Kent (S)	D(B)	0.83(0.65)	NBT(NBT)	55.5(25.8)	C(A)	0.75(0.57)				
Bank/Catherine (S)	E(E)	0.94(0.92)	WBT(WBT)	29.2(30.6)	D(D)	0.88(0.81)				
Catherine/Percy (S)	A(B)	0.35(0.64)	WBT(WBT)	8.0(12.0)	A(A)	0.32(0.58)				
Arlington/Kent (S)	C(A)	0.73(0.48)	NBT(NBT)	59.6(53.7)	B(A)	0.70(0.46)				
Gladstone/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.36(0.54)	SBT(SBT)	12.5(14.9)	A(A)	0.33(0.51)				
Gladstone/Kent (S)	C(B)	0.78(0.64)	NBT(NBT)	13.3(13.5)	C(A)	0.73(0.60)				
Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain (S)	C(C)	0.76(0.76)	EBT(EBT)	17.3(17.8)	B(C)	0.66(0.73)				
Catherine/Bronson (S)	D(E)	0.88(0.91)	WBL(WBL)	35.9(37.9)	D(D)	0.87(0.90)				
Arlington/Lyon (U)	B(C)	13(16)	EB(WB)	2(2)	A(A)	-				
Catherine/Site Access (U)	A(A)	9(9)	SB(SB)	1(0)	A(A)	-				
Arlington/Site Access (U)	A(A)	9(9)	NB(NB)	4(3)	A(A)	-				
Arlington/Bank (U)	C(C)	17(19)	EB(EB)	3(3)	A(A)	-				

Table 29: Future Projected 2031 Intersection Performance - Phase 1 & 2

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.0 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. Catherine/Bronson was optimized while keeping the same cycle length.

As shown in **Table 29**, the study area intersections will continue to operate similar to, or in some instances better than, existing conditions. All the site accesses operate well.



⁽S) - Signalized intersection, movement with highest v/c ratio identified as critical movement.

⁽U) - Unsignalized intersection, movement with highest average delay identified as critical movement.

⁽S) - Signalized intersection, movement with highest v/c ratio identified as critical movement.

⁽U) - Unsignalized intersection, movement with highest average delay identified as critical movement.

Total Projected 2036 Intersection Performance

Total projected 2036 model assumes the same road geometries as total projected 2031 scenario. The main difference in this scenario is that a 0.5% annual growth rate has been applied to background traffic volumes for an additional 5 years, which represents a conservative scenario (as previously discussed in **Section 3.3**).

Table 30 below summarizes the intersection operational performance at study area intersections using Synchro analysis software for this scenario, based on total projected 2036 traffic volumes in **Figure 19**.

Table 30: Future Projected 2036 Inter	section Performance - Phase 1 & 2
---------------------------------------	-----------------------------------

		Weekday AM Peak (PM Peak)							
Intersection		Critical Movem	ent	Intersection 'As a Whole'					
IIIGISCUUII	LOS	max. v/c or avg. delay (s)	Movement	Delay (s)	LOS	v/c			
Catherine/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.38(0.53)	WBT(WBT)	19.4(17.8)	A(A)	0.37(0.52)			
Catherine/Kent (S)	C(B)	0.80(0.66)	NBT(NBT)	22.0(27.2)	C(A)	0.74(0.58)			
Bank/Catherine (S)	D(E)	0.90(0.93)	WBT(WBT)	28.1(31.2)	D(D)	0.87(0.81)			
Catherine/Percy (S)	A(B)	0.36(0.65)	WBT(WBT)	8.0(12.1)	A(A)	0.32(0.59)			
Arlington/Kent (S)	C(A)	0.73(0.49)	NBT(NBT)	25.3(53.9)	B(A)	0.70(0.47)			
Gladstone/Lyon (S)	A(A)	0.37(0.54)	SBT(SBT)	12.5(15.1)	A(A)	0.34(0.51)			
Gladstone/Kent (S)	C(B)	0.79(0.63)	NBT(NBT)	17.2(13.8)	C(A)	0.74(0.60)			
Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain (S)	C(C)	0.78(0.75)	EBT(EBT)	17.7(17.6)	B(C)	0.68(0.73)			
Catherine/Bronson (S)	D(E)	0.82(0.93)	SBT(WBL)	31.8(39.8)	C(E)	0.80(0.92)			
Arlington/Lyon (U)	B(C)	13(16)	EB(WB)	2(2)	A(A)	-			
Catherine/Site Access (U)	A(A)	9(9)	SB(SB)	1(0)	A(A)	-			
Arlington/Site Access (U)	A(A)	9(9)	NB(NB)	4(3)	A(A)	-			
Arlington/Bank (U)	C(C)	16(19)	EB(EB)	3(3)	A(A)	-			

Note: Analysis of signalized intersections assumes a PHF of 1.0 and a saturation flow rate of 1800 veh/h/lane. Catherine/Bronson was optimized while keeping the same cycle length.

Even with 5 years of additional background growth, the full buildout volumes plus 2036 background volumes continue to operate well. All intersections as a whole and associated critical movements operate within City of Ottawa standards with a v/c below 1.00.

Queueing Implications on Catherine Street

SimTraffic and Synchro softwares were used to determine queueing and the risk of spillback on study area intersections. Within the simulation parameters, the westbound right-turn at the Bank/Catherine intersection was treated as a defacto turn-lane to model a more realistic flow in the SimTraffic model. **Table 31** summarizes sensitive locations for queueing, predominantly on Catherine St which is proposed to have future exclusive transit lanes. Detailed SimTraffic output results have been provided in **Appendix J.**

Table 31: Queueing Analysis for Sensitive Intersection Movements (2036 Projected)

Intersection - Movement	Available Storage	•	asted Queues M) (m)	SimTraffic Forecasted Queues AM (PM) (m)		
	(m)	50th Percentile	95 th Percentile	50th Percentile	95 th Percentile	
Bank/Catherine - WB ₁	210m	48(52)	#72(#77)	91(104)	141(185)	
Kent/Catherine - WBR	130m	44(24)	m54(m28)	42(24)	62(39)	
Kent/Catherine - WBT	130111	32(55)	m39(m64)	32(43)	47(58)	
Lyon/Catherine - WBL	160m (80m	30(55)	m43(73)	48(35)	70(63)	
Lyon/Catherine - WBT	bus lane start)	30(55)	11143(73)	30(24)	49(45)	
Percy/Catherine - WB ₁	275m	6(25)	12(39)	19(41)	35(66)	
Bronson/Catherine - WB ₁	120, 250m ₂	75(91)	#125(#157)	72(73)	110(100)	

^{# - 95}th percentile volume exceeds capacity; queue may be longer; m – volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal. 1. The longest westbound movement queue was used. 2. 120m to terminus of 417 off-ramp and 250m to Percy St.



⁽S) – Signalized intersection, movement with highest v/c ratio identified as critical movement.

⁽U) - Unsignalized intersection, movement with highest average delay identified as critical movement.

As shown in **Table 31**, all forecasted vehicle queues within the Catherine St corridor are contained to their respective road segments without spilling back to the upstream signalized intersection.

The Catherine St Functional Design Study proposes converting a general-purpose travel lane to a transit priority lane along Catherine St between Kent St and Bronson St. As previously discussed, the proposed development plans on adding two new accesses to Catherine St, one that is two-way servicing the underground parking garage located approximately 60m west of Kent St. The second access is a right-out only for the woonerf, which is located approximately 80m west of Kent St and is anticipated to have very infrequent use.

To reduce conflict between buses in the transit lane and the proposed driveways on Catherine St, the development proposal shifts the start of the transit lane west of the woonerf access, approximately 80m west of Kent St and 80m east of Lyon St. As shown in **Table 31**, vehicle queues on Lyon/Catherine westbound do not exceed 70m in the WBL lane and 50m in the WBT lane, which suggests the risk of buses being blocked from entering the transit priority lane in the future is low. Furthermore, the signal timing plans between Kent St and Lyon St may be optimized to ensure the vehicle queue is "flushed" out prior to the arrival of oncoming vehicles.

The corridor performance along Catherine St in the 2036 horizon (shown in **Table 30**) does not suggest there will be any notable operational implications to transit operations and travel times with the development proposal and the shift of the transit lane. The transit priority lane is expected to ensure buses can move efficiently through the corridor unencumbered.

MMLOS Analysis for Signalized Intersections

As per requirements of the TIA Guidelines, MMLOS analysis was conducted for signalized intersections within the study area. Since the Catherine St Functional Design Plan will result in future modifications at study area intersections, analysis was conducted for each of existing and future conditions.

Similar to boundary street MMLOS analysis, the signalized intersection MMLOS analysis is conducted for four different travel modes, including pedestrian, cyclist, transit, and trucks. For each travel mode, the minimum desirable LOS target is obtained from the City of Ottawa TIA Guidelines. A summary of the analysis results and respective minimum desirable LOS targets are provided in **Table 32**, with the detailed analysis provided in **Appendix K**.

		Level of Service								
Intersection	Pedestri	an (PLOS)	Bicycle	(BLOS)	Transit	(TLOS)	Truck (TkLOS)			
	PLOS	Target	BLOS	Target	TLOS ₁	Target	TkLOS	Target		
Catherine/Kent	C, C*	Α	F	D	D	- (D)	D	D		
Catherine/Lyon	C, C*	Α	F	С	С	- (D)	D	D		
Arlington/Kent	D	Α	Е	D, (C)	-	-	-	-		
Bank/Catherine	C, C*	Α	Ε	D, (B)	F	D	В	D		
Gladstone/Lyon	С	С	Α	C	С	D	F	-		
Gladstone/Kent	С	Α	F	D, (C)	D	D	D	D		
Catherine/Percy	D, D*	С	E, F*	С	С	- (D)	D	D		
Catherine/Bronson	E, E*	С	Е	D	F	- (D)	D	D		
Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain	D, D*	Α	E, D*	D, (B)	Е	D	D, B*	D		
*Result based on Catherine St Funct	ional Design	Plan; $(xx) = fu$	ture target v	vhen it diffe	rs from existi	ng target 1.	TLOS was ev	aluated		

Table 32: MMLOS Analysis, Signalized Intersection

Red font in the table above indicates that the desirable target LOS is not achieved.

With regards to pedestrian LOS, the results are largely based on the number of lanes that pedestrians
have to cross, followed by the degree of comfort and safety that pedestrians feel while crossing. This
includes factors such as the amount of interference with crossing pedestrians due to permissible vehicle
left-turns and right-turns.



based on 2036 full buildout operations only.

- With regards to bicycle LOS, the target LOS was only met at Gladstone/Lyon and future Bank/Isabella
 intersections. Other intersections failed to meet the bicycle LOS target due to the lack of cycling facilities
 at the intersection or turning movement facilities at the approaches such as two-stage left-turn boxes.
- With regards to transit LOS, the target LOS is achieved at most intersections with the exception of Bank/Catherine, Bank/Isabella, and Catherine/Bronson due to the bus movement approaches exceeding 30 second delays. Buses operate in mixed traffic at various locations, so they experience the same level of delay as general traffic at the intersection. Adjusting the signal timing and phasing of the intersections to provide more dedicated green time to the approaches used by busses may help reduce the traffic delays.
- With regards to truck LOS, all locations with a target goal were met.

5.0 FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the results summarized herein, the following transportation related conclusions are offered:

Existing Conditions

- The site is located at the former Greyhound Bus Station (currently not in operation).
- Nearby Bank St and Gladstone Ave are designated transit priority corridors with isolated measures within the 2031 Affordable Network in the TMP.
- Catherine St is currently undergoing a study to include exclusive bus lanes originating between Kent St and Lyon St.
- Overall, there were 427 collisions recorded in five years within the study area. Kent/Catherine showed
 to have higher than average likeliness of collisions, but most resulted in property damage only.
 Bank/Catherine intersection broadcasted a disproportionate number of collisions with pedestrians and
 cyclists compared to other study intersections. Bank St being an arterial mainstreet which attracts active
 users is recommended to be retrofitted to include Protected Intersection Design Guide measures to
 priority the safety of vulnerable users.
- The site is currently accessed by three right-in right-out driveways to/from Catherine St.
- Existing intersections operate at acceptable overall LoS 'E' or better. The intersection of Catherine/Bronson has critical movements at capacity during the weekday peak hours for the westbound left-turn.

Proposed Development

- A two phased development is proposed, with the first development occurring on the east side of the site.
- The proposed development will comprise of approximately 1,134 residential units and 26,081 ft² of ground floor commercial/retail, within three towers and podiums ranging from 32 to 36-storeys high and 7 townhomes.
- The proposed development is projected to generate approximately 111 to 133 'new' transit trips during
 the AM and PM peak hour periods, which can be accommodated by various routes operating near to the
 site. Local route 50 operates on Catherine St adjacent to the site. Frequent routes 6 and 7 operate on
 Bank St and frequent route 14 operates on Gladstone Ave. An ongoing study is determining the
 feasibility of adding exclusive transit lanes on Catherine St.
- A total of 357 parking spaces are proposed which is lower than the city's minimum parking requirements for this location, triggering a parking variance. The development has proposed strong TDM measures



and excess bike parking spaces to promote alternate modes of transportation and reduce the reliance on vehicles for this site. In the event of spillover, on-street parking is available, which would help promote slower driving speeds as a traffic calming measure.

- The developer proposes 1,149 bike parking spaces, the majority located indoors in a well-lit secured
 area near elevators in the mezzanine level. A few bike parking spaces are proposed outdoor near the
 commercial uses. The proposed number of bike parking are provided at a ratio of at least 1:1 per
 residential unit.
- An extensive list of TDM measures have been proposed for this development to support a parking
 variance to provide fewer spaces than the By-Law requirement, as well as support the Official Plan
 policies to encourage sustainable modes of transportation in the Downtown Transect. Please refer to
 Section 4.5 or Appendix F for further details.
- The proposed development is projected to generate 'new' vehicle volumes of approximately 210 veh/h two-way total during the weekday morning and afternoon peak hours.
- Access to the underground parking lot will be provided via two accesses, where one access will be located along Catherine St and will be constructed as part of Phase 1, and the other access will be located along Arlington Ave and constructed at full buildout. Two access points to the parking garage ensure efficient access for residents and spread of vehicle traffic to the site. This prevents excess traffic infiltration on adjacent streets (increasing pedestrian and cycling conflicts at intersections) with only one access point, due to the one-way operation of the three frontage streets to the subject site.
- A one-way southbound woonerf is proposed connecting Arlington St and Catherine St. This woonerf was added to allow garbage pick-up and delivery drop off internal to the site as dictated in various policies for the City of Ottawa. The risk of short-cutting by general traffic is expected to be very low due to lower traffic volumes on Arlington St and limited route options with Catherine St being one-way westbound. Materials, landscaping, furniture and fixtures were carefully chosen to dissuade drivers as well as promote an enhanced environment for pedestrians.
- The separation distance between the woonerf access and the adjacent parking garage access off Catherine St does not adhere to the Private Approach By-law. However, considering the very low anticipated volume, predominantly off-peak, combined with the one-way operations of Catherine St, the proposed separation distance was deemed appropriate given the context.
- Due to difficulties in providing an internal loading bay for Tower 3, a loading layby has been proposed
 on Lyon St for any commercial uses relating to Phase 2. Given that Lyon St is a one-way traffic calmed
 street with wide pavement width and loading operations expected to be infrequent and during off-peak
 hours, the layby was considered acceptable.
- A loading layby has been proposed on Catherine St for pick-up/drop-off for Building A. Given that
 Catherine St and Kent St are both one-way streets, there are two adjacent general-purpose lanes, and
 the potential uses will be infrequent and likely during off-peak periods, the layby was considered
 acceptable.

Future Conditions

- Other nearby developments and a 0.5% growth rate were applied to existing volumes on arterials
 connecting to Highway 417 to estimate background conditions. The furthest horizon, 2036 background
 conditions showed overall intersection performance of all study area intersections was LoS 'E' or better
 and with critical movement of 'E' or better which is similar to existing.
- The MMLOS road segment analysis shows that existing and future conditions on boundary streets do
 not meet MMLOS area targets for pedestrians due the pedestrian infrastructure and high vehicular
 volumes, coupled with aggressive targets due to the proximity to a school. However, there are notable



improvements proposed to the pedestrian realm as part of the development, such as wide boulevards and sidewalks. The bike targets were only met at Lyon St and Arlington Ave due to the number of travel lanes. There is only a transit route on Catherine St. Transit goals are not met for existing conditions due to mixed traffic but meet the target in future conditions if a segregated bus lane is built. Truck targets were all met.

- The MMLOS intersection analysis shows that truck target goals are met at all intersections. Given the higher-operating speeds and number of travel lanes, or high target rate due to proximity to a school, it is not possible to meet pedestrian target goals with the exception of Gladstone/Lyon. The bicycle target goals were also not met at most locations given the lack of cycling facilities on all approaches, the quantity of lanes required to be crossed and the higher operating speeds. Only Gladstone/Lyon met the bike targets and future Bank/Isabella. The transit TLoS was met at most intersections with the exception of Bank/Catherine, Bank/Isabella, and Catherine/Bronson due to delays greater than 30 seconds.
- Future phase 1 conditions with the addition of pedestrians, cyclists, transit users and site vehicle traffic
 performed at acceptable levels of service with respect to v/c and delay resulting in overall LoS 'D' or
 better and with critical movement of 'D' or better.
- Future full buildout conditions with the addition of pedestrians, cyclists, transit users and site vehicle traffic performed at acceptable levels of service with respect to v/c and delay resulting in overall LoS 'D' or better and with critical movement of 'E' or better.
- The section of Arlington Ave between Kent St and Bank St experiences higher levels of vehicle traffic than the city local road threshold, which is likely triggered by short-cut traffic to/from Kent St (predominantly the Hwy 417 off-ramp) and Bank St. While site generated traffic is expected to contribute to this section, it will be to a much smaller proportion compared to existing/background traffic. It is also important to reiterate that the Arlington St corridor is already traffic calmed, including speed humps. That said, the development proposal introduces four new bulb-outs at the two proposed access points that will narrow the road from existing 10m to 7.0m, which reinforces the traffic calmed environment. The city Neighbourhood Traffic Calming Branch may consider investigating this section of Arlington Ave if future concerns are raised and validated through the established city process.
- The City of Ottawa has completed a study to convert at general-purpose travel lane to a transit priority lane on Catherine St, between Kent St and Lyon St. The original study suggested starting the transit lanes just west of Kent St, however, this study has recommended shifting the start approximately 80m further west to reduce conflict with the site proposed accesses. Synchro and SimTraffic simulation determined that shifting the start of the transit priority lane west by 80m posed limited risk of buses being blocked from entering the lane by a vehicle queue. Furthermore, the city may consider optimizing signal timing plans of the Lyon St and Kent St intersections on Catherine St to ensure vehicles are "flushed" out prior to the arrival of oncoming vehicles.
- The corridor performance along Catherine St in the 2036 horizon does not suggest there will be any
 notable operational implications to transit operations and travel times with the development proposal.
 The transit priority lane is expected to ensure buses can move efficiently through the corridor
 unencumbered.

Based on the foregoing findings, the proposed development located at 265 Catherine St is recommended from a transportation perspective.

Prepared By:

Basel Ansari, P. Eng. Transportation Engineers Reviewed By:

Austin Shih, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Senior Transportation Engineer

Appendix A:

Screening Form & City Comment Reponses



City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines **TIA Screening Form**

Date 6-Jun-22
Project 265 Catherine TIA
Project Number 478038-01000

	- 3	
Results of Screening	Yes/No	
Development Satisfies the Trip Generation Trigger	Yes	
Development Satisfies the Location Trigger	No	
Development Satisfies the Safety Trigger	Yes	


Module 1.1 - Description of Proposed Development	t en
Municipal Address	265 Catherine St
Description of location	At Greyhound Station, borders Kent, Catherine, Lyon, Arlington
Land Use	Residential apartment building
Development Size	1335 units, two towers, townhomes, office building
Number of Accesses and Locations	TBD
Development Phasing	Assumed 1 phase
Buildout Year	Estimated 2025
Sketch Plan / Site Plan	See attached

Module 1.2 - Trip Generation Trigger		
Land Use Type	Townhomes or Apartments	
Development Size	1335	Units
Trip Generation Trigger Met?	Yes	

Module 1.3 - Location Triggers	
Development Proposes a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit, or Spine Bicycle Networks (See Sheet 3)	No
Development is in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit- oriented Development (TOD) zone. (See Sheet 3)	No
Location Trigger Met?	No


Module 1.4 - Safety Triggers			
Posted Speed Limit on any boundary road	<80	km/h	
Horizontal / Vertical Curvature on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway	No		
A proposed driveway is within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions) or within auxiliary lanes of an intersection;	Yes		
A proposed driveway makes use of an existing median break that serves an existing site	No		
There is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development	Yes		
The development includes a drive-thru facility	No		
Safety Trigger Met?	Yes		






Garbage Plan


A101.S






PARKING SLAB FLOOR DRAIN

(MIN 2100mm AFF)





CATHERINE STREET

5 Landscaped Open Space

Date No. Description REVISION RECORD 2023-05-15 Zoning By-Law Amendment & Site Plan t 416 598 1240 www.bdpquadrangle.com 21007 N/A PROJECT SCALE Project Statistics, Context and Phasing Plans, Legends


BDP. Quadrangle

The Well, 8 Spadina Avenue, Suite 2100, Toronto, ON M5V 0S8 265 Catherine Street, Ottawa

> RL RJL DRAWN REVIEWED

A101.S

Note: This drawing is the property of the Architect and may not be reproduced or used without the expressed consent of the Architect. The Contractor is responsible for checking and verifying all levels and dimensions and shall report all discrepancies to the Architect and obtain clarification prior to commencing work.





29 February 2024

City of Ottawa
Development Review Services
110 Laurier Avenue West
Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

Attention: Wally Dubyk

Dear Wally:

Re: 265 Catherine Street TIA

Step 5 - Response to City Comments

The following response has been prepared in response to City of Ottawa TIA Strategy Report comments received on August 21, 2023. City comments are presented in black with the corresponding responses from Parsons in Green.

Transportation Engineering Services

Section 2.2 Study Area and Time Periods:

- 1. The following three intersections were previously requested by City staff to be included in the study area:
 - 1) Gladstone Avenue and Bank Street
 - 2) Flora Street and Bank Street
 - 3) Chamberlain Avenue and Percy Street

Provide written justification within Section 2.2 of the TIA for excluding these intersections from the study area.

We have discounted the Chamberlain/Percy intersection because Percy St is a one-way street section, it only permits the southbound left-turn at Chamberlain, and it is signalized with no opposing traffic. We do not anticipate any concerns with operations at this location with the low amount of site generated traffic anticipated.

We do not anticipate significant site generated traffic at Gladstone/Bank or Flora/Bank intersections; they will be few in comparison to existing traffic volumes on Bank St. Kent St is expected to be the primary outbound route northbound. Inbound traffic from the north will be split between Lyon St and Bank Street, and the likely access street from Bank St will be either Arlington Ave (which we have added) or Catherine St (that was already captured). We expect site generated traffic will have negligible long-term impacts on these intersections.

A meeting was held with City staff on Tuesday, April 25, 2023, to discuss the TIA Step 3 comments received on March 30, 2023. City staff confirmed in a meeting they do not have major concerns with excluding the selected intersections.

The above noted justification to be provided in Section 2.2 of the revised TIA report.

Section 2.1.3.2 Future Transportation Network Changes:

2. In the discussion of the Centretown CDP on page 15 and page 16 of the TIA, it is stated that "limited information is provided regarding the implementation of the streetscape" of Catherine Street, Kent Street, and Lyon Street. This statement is not accurate. Specific direction and design strategies for public realm improvements as part of development are located on page 64 of the CDP for Catherine Street and on page 67 of the CDP for Kent Street and Lyon Street. These recommendations should be reviewed to ensure that the modifications proposed as part of the 265 Catherine Street development are consistent with the Centretown CDP.

Noted, TIA Report text has been updated to reflect streetscape design strategies listed in the CDP for the boundary roads.

3. Also note the following comment previously provided in response to the TIA Forecasting submission: "Consult with Mark Young (Program Manager, Public Realm & Urban Design) regarding the public realm design as per the Centretown CDP (i.e., treed boulevard, material selection etc.)."

Noted. Proponents indicated they will consult with Mark Young.

Section 3.1.1 Development Trip Generation:

4. The previous Forecasting TIA described non-residential developments as retail units, a grocery store and café/restaurant units. Have these units now been replaced with the 'Strip Retail Plaza' land use code as per Table 15? Note that the title of Table 15 still reads 'Supermarket Peak Hour Person Trips' Land Use.

The applicant could not confirm the potential tenants as they are not known at this time. So the Site Plan was updated to indicate "Retail" units and a general rate was used in the TIA Report for the purpose of trip generation. Table 15 label has been updated.

Right-of-Way Comments:

- 5. The ROW Protection measured to centerline of road and illustrated on the site plan remains outstanding for the frontages of:
 - 1) Kent Street (20m) and easement policy described below,
 - 2) Catherine Street (23m),
 - 3) Lyon Street (20m) and easement policy described below.

The ROW protection measurements have been labeled on the Site Plan for the three frontages. For Kent St and Lyon St, as per Official Plan Schedule C16: Maximum land requirement from property abutting existing ROW (0.90m).

6. Note that, in addition to ROW protection requirements, Lyon Street and Kent Street are subject to the widening/easement policy 2.1.1 (d) of Schedule C16, which generally requires a 1.5m-wide unobstructed surface easement for the use of pedestrians along the street frontage. The Lyon Street frontage seems to comply with this easement requirement, but the Kent Street frontage does not. Revise the Kent Street frontage to provide the 1.5m easement.

Kent Street frontage has been revised. Both Kent Street and Lyon Street comply with the 1.5m easement requirement.

7. The 3m x 3m corner triangle proposed at the Arlington Avenue and Kent Street intersection should be increased to 5m x 5m.

5mx5m sight triangles have been provided on all corners of the property with the exception of the Arlington/Kent intersection, where a 3mx3m sight triangle was proposed. This corner of the property will be City owned for the future public park.

City staff confirmed in a meeting held on April 25, 2023, that thee are no concerns with the reduced sight triangle at the Kent/Arlington intersection corner. Justification was also added to Section 4.3 of the TIA Report.

Lyon Street Frontage:

8. Transportation Engineering Services appreciates the proposed improvements to pedestrian facilities, landscaping, and streetscaping along Lyon Street as part of the development, which is consistent with policies of the Official Plan and Centretown CDP.

Noted.



9. Note the following comment previously provided in response to the TIA Forecasting submission: "A use of the layby is noted as "truck loading". Per Policy 4.6.5 3) of the Official Plan, loading areas should internalized into the design of the site." Section 4.1.2 of the TIA notes that the proposed woonerf is too far away from Tower 3 for realistic loading operations. However, additional discussion should be provided on what alternative loading access arrangements were explored for Tower 3 and why the Lyon Street layby was preferred. For example, discuss whether a loading access was considered adjacent to the Arlington Avenue parking garage access.

The transportation justification for the Lyon Street layby is provided in Section 4.1 of the TIA Report, as follows: The proposed layby on Lyon Street is needed to provide a truck loading area to the adjacent Building C. The proposed woonerf provides access to Buildings A and B, and garbage loading for all uses, but is too far for reasonable access to Building C. In this case, we believe the lay-by proposal on Lyon Street is reasonable and presents low risks to corridor operations and the pedestrian/cycling realm. Lyon Street is a one-way street southbound, which eliminates opposing traffic conflicts. It is also a traffic calmed street (there is a speed hump just north of Arlington) and provides existing on-street parking on the east side (north of Arlington Avenue), which reflects a calmer and safer environment that is more suitable for infrequent loading operations. Finally, the existing pavement width of Lyon Street is a generous 8.5m for two general purpose travel lanes, which provides ample room for unencumbered travel if a truck is located within the layby.

The layby on Lyon Street serves as a drop-off space for move-ins and is located near a secured service corridor that leads to the elevator core in Tower 3. Due to the configuration of Building B with the two towers, it is not possible to provide internal access to both tower cores from the loading bay located under Tower 2 adjacent to the internal lane. Since the City will not permit loading vehicles to reverse onto a public street, the internal lane (or woonerf) functions to allow loading vehicles to access the site and leave in a forward motion during scheduled times. Since the core of Tower 3 is not near the internal lane, vehicles are not be able to access this tower from the internal part of the site without either reversing onto Arlington Avenue from an exterior loading space located between Buildings B and C (townhouse), or an internal loading bay located adjacent to the parking garage ramp along Arlington Avenue, or driving through the entire site to reach the internal lane to be able to leave in a forward motion. The landscaped open space throughout the site has been designed to minimize vehicles and to favor pedestrians. Additionally, the buildings have been designed to minimize blank façades or 'back-of-house' type functions such as loading bays, garbage rooms etc., and instead have been designed to favor the pedestrian realm by providing as much animation and visibility around the entire site.

- 10. The description of future conditions on Lyon Street in Section 4.3 note "2.0m wide sidewalks and no boulevard". However, the site plan and grading plan show that the future development frontage (east side) of Lyon Street includes the following:
 - 1) a 2.0m sidewalk with 3m a boulevard on the south half of the block
 - 2) a greater than 2.0m sidewalk with loading layby on the north half of the block

Please correct Section 4.3 and the future segment MMLOS analysis.

This description is focused on the section of Lyon Street where the loading bay is provided. An updated description has been added in the TIA Report to include other sections of the Lyon frontage. However, the analysis still reflects the north half as the more critical condition. Section 4.3 has been updated.

11. An RMA will be required for the proposed changes on Lyon Street.

Noted.

Catherine Street Frontage:


12. The relocation of the Catherine Street bus stop (ID: 2480) further west is not supported as it increases walking distances for transit customers. Refer to comments by Transit Services below.

After discussions with City of Ottawa staff, the bus stop was moved back to its approximate current location. Refer to response to comment #69 for more detail.


13. The statement in Section 4.1.1 that the existing bus stop location "conflicts with the new accesses proposed on Catherine Street" is not accurate. It is actually the proposed "temporary drop off" layby on Catherine Street that



conflicts with the existing bus stop. This layby, as well as the access bulb-outs, must be removed from the plans (refer to the curb line proposed by the Chamberlain, Catherine & Isabella Functional Design Study as well as the markup below).



Through communication with City staff, including OC Transpo's Sara Akkaoui, it was agreed upon that the bus stop would be returned to its approximate current location and the curbs fronting the site on Catherine Street would be modified as shown in the image below. The proposed Catherine Street layby would be shortened to 2 parking spaces to make space for a 20m bus stop zone. The curb extensions west of the woonerf would also be removed in favor of the future Catherine Street bus lane. The TIA Report and Site Plan have been updated to reflect the new plans.



14. Note that, on the western half of the Catherin Street frontage where there is no bus stop or accesses, the bus lane proposed as part of the Chamberlain, Catherine & Isabella Functional Design Study permits 1 hour parking during off-peak hours from 9 AM to 3:30 PM.

Noted, this information has been added to the TIA Report. The parking spaces are not expected to be impacted with plan proposed in comment 69.

15. In Section 4.3 of the TIA, the proposed future improvements to the Catherine Street sidewalk and addition of a landscaped boulevard should be discussed/listed. Segment MMLOS evaluation should also be revised to account for the new landscaped boulevard.

TIA Report Section 4.3 updated as per comment.

16. An RMA will be required for the Catherine Street modifications.

Noted.



17. Coordinate design and construction of the development and any proposed roadway modifications with the integrated road, sewer and watermain project for Catherine Street.


Proponent notified.

Arlington Avenue Frontage:

18. Section 4.3 of the TIA states that the future condition of Arlington Avenue includes 2.0m wide sidewalks with no boulevard. However, the site plan and grading plan show a proposed boulevard of approximately 2.2m on the south side of Arlington Avenue. The addition of a landscaped boulevard should be discussed/listed. Segment MMLOS evaluation should also be revised to account for the new landscaped boulevard.

TIA Report Section 4.3 updated as per comment.

19. Consider moving the start of the bulb-out for the Arlington Avenue parking ramp entrance closer to Lyon Street. Consider the markup below:



Site Plan and TIA Report have been updated as per recommended markup.

20. An RMA will be required for the proposed changes on Arlington Avenue.

Noted.

Kent Street Frontage:

21. Section 4.3 of the TIA states that Kent Street has no on-street parking for the segment adjacent to the development. Please note the existing on-street parking bay on the east side of Kent Street between Catherine Street and Arlington Avenue.

Noted. Section 4.3 has been updated to mention existing on-street parking on the east side of Kent Street. However, note that analysis will still reflect the west side, which fronts the proposed development.

Section 4.1.2 Circulation and Access:

22. Regarding access management of the woonerf, the bollards labelled as "removable" in the site plan and "retractable" in Appendix G of the TIA are not discussed. Please note the motor vehicle access control provided by these bollards. Clarify whether they are removable or retractable.

The previously proposed bollards have been removed from the site plan given the many challenges of operating in Ottawa's varied climate. The intent is to provide passive reinforcement to deter short-cut traffic. Further discussion is provided in Section 4.1.2 of the TIA Report.

23. Provide swept path analysis for an HSU turning from Lyon Street to Arlington Avenue given the newly proposed bulb-outs.



Noted, additional truck turn templates showing a southbound left-turn from Lyon Street to Arlington Avenue has been provided in the TIA Report.

Section 4.2.1 Parking Supply:

- 24. Section 4.2.1 notes that "the majority of bicycle parking is proposed in secure indoor storage rooms located in the mezzanine level, with easy access to elevators and the outside". This description should also note
 - 1) the locations of bicycle accesses (i.e., generally located on Arlington Avenue or to/from the internal pedestrian areas); and,
 - 2) that each dedicated bicycle access includes a staircase with bicycle trough (i.e., runnel) per the latest mezzanine floor plan.

The mezzanine level has been removed in latest site plans and bicycle parking is now being provided in the two-level parking garage. As such, description of bicycle parking has been updated in the TIA Report. Resident access to bicycle storage rooms in the garage will be through the main elevator cores and the parking garage ramps.

25. The site plan statistics indicate that of the 718 indoor secure bicycle parking spaces, 638 are vertical while the remaining 80 are horizontal. There are an additional 20 bicycle parking spaces located on the exterior ground level that are horizontal, for a total of 100 horizontal spaces. Section 111 (11) of the zoning by-law (bicycle parking space rates and provisions) requires that 50% of bicycle parking be horizontal. Therefore, the proposed balance of horizontal and vertical spaces must be adjusted.

In the latest site plans, at least 50% of bicycle spaces being provided are horizontal, as required.

26. Consider increasing the number of bicycle parking spaces provided to a target of 1.0 per residential unit.

As per latest Site Plan, bicycle parking spaces have been increased to 1.0 per residential unit.

Section 4.4 Access Intersection Design:

27. Note that the grading plan does not depict the access design correctly (i.e., per City of Ottawa standard SC7.1). Please revise.

Proponent notified. Plans expected to be revised.

28. MMLOS analysis for study area signalized intersections is a requirement of Element 4.9.2 of the TIA Guidelines. This analysis should be moved to Section 4.9 of the TIA.

Noted, analysis to be moved to Section 4.9 in the updated TIA Report.

Section 4.5.3 TDM program:

29. Section 4.5.3 of the TIA claims that the development is "providing secure bike parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units". This is inaccurate. The development is proposing 718 secure bicycle parking spaces for 1,021 units. Please increase the number of bicycle parking spaces to 1.0 per unit. Otherwise, "uncheck" this TDM-supportive measure.

As per latest Site Plan, the development is proposing 1.0 bicycle spaces per residential unit,

30. The ground floor plan includes a "workbench" in the bicycle lobby at each bicycle parking entrance. Therefore TDM-supportive measure 2.3.1 (bicycle repair station) can be "checked" in Appendix F and listed in Section 4.5.3.



Noted. Section 4.5.3 and TDM-supportive measures in Appendix F have been updated.

Section 4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Management:

31. Note that the eastbound traffic restriction located on Arlington Avenue approximately 50m east of Bronson Avenue is partially responsible for the low existing traffic volume occurring on Arlington Avenue between Bronson Avenue and Lyon Street. This restriction should be listed in Section 2.1.1 Existing Conditions and added to the discussion in Section 4.6.

Noted. Section 4.6 and 2.1.1 have been updated to reflect comment.

Section 4.9.2 Intersection Design:

32. Discussion of the Total Projected 2031 Intersection Performance notes that "a time separated pedestrian crossing of the south approach at Catherine/Kent was modelled". Is this intended to refer to the north leg?

The time-separated phase is in reference to a separated east-west pedestrian phasing (i.e. north and south legs). TIA Report has been updated to clarify.

Appendix A:

33. The title of Appendix A refers to "City Comment Response". There is no City comment response in Appendix A. Please revise title.

Comment responses were missed in the previous submission, but the responses to these comments (dated August 21, 2023) has been included in the Appendix.

Traffic Signal Design

34. The proposed fire hydrant location at the intersection of Catherine Street and Kent Street isn't in an ideal spot due to signal pole and pedestrian crossing placement requirements.

Proponent notified. Location of fire hydrant has been shifted a little to the right in latest Site Plan.

35. Based on the proposed geometric modifications and reconstruction works, existing traffic signal infrastructure will be impacted by the areas of excavation/work. As such, the temporary relocation/removal of traffic signal infrastructure will be required. The Traffic Signals Design Unit will also be required to complete a traffic signal plant design as part of the overall project scope. The City's Traffic Signal Design & Coordination Unit is required to be engaged during the development and planning of the functional design to determine requirements at traffic signals. An agreement on the functional design must be met, prior to RMA approval and prior to a request to initiate signal design activities.

Noted. The City's Traffic Signal Design and Coordination Unit will be engaged at the time of functional design.

Traffic Engineering

36. Catherine Street functional design proposed a transit priority lane on Catherine Street, west of Kent St, which converts one of the three general purpose lanes to two general purpose lanes and a transit lane. The current development proposal would move the start of the transit priority lane further west by approximately 100m. This should be verified with Catherine Street design project manager for acceptance.

The separation distance between the woonerf access and the adjacent parking garage access off Catherine Street does not adhere to the Private Approach By-law.



A layby has also been proposed on Catherine St, for pick up and drop off area for general traffic.

These three access / laybys are located within 80m of the Intersection of Catherine and Kent and are a cause for safety concern if simultaneous movements exit the woonerf, the parking garage and the layby.

As per response to comment #69, a new design for the bus lane has been discussed and confirmed with City staff. Justification and discussion of access/laybys is provided in Sections 4.1 and 4.4 of the TIA Report. Catherine Street is a one-way street westbound and the woonerf is one-way southbound, which reduces the conflict points typically found between the two adjacent all-movement accesses. Therefore, we believe the proposed design presents a low risk to users.

Streetlighting

37. No comments with the TIA for this circulation. Street lighting reserves the right to make future comments based on subsequent submissions.

Noted.

38. Future considerations are as follows:

If there are any proposed changes to the existing roadway geometry, the City of Ottawa Street Light Asset Management Group is required to provide a full street light design. Upon completion of proposed roadway geometry design changes, please submit digital Micro Station drawings with proposed roadway geometry changes to the Street Lighting Department, so that we may proceed with the detailed street light design and coordination with the Street Light maintenance provider and all necessary parties. Be advised that the applicant will be 100% responsible for all costs associated with any Street Light design as a result of the roadway geometry change.

Alterations and /or repairs are required where the existing street light plant is directly, indirectly or adversely affected by the scope of work under this circulation, due to the proposed road reconstruction process. All street light plant alterations and/or repairs must be performed by the City of Ottawa's Street Light maintenance provider.

Noted.

Transit Services

Site Plan

39. OC Transpo does not support the relocation of the bus stop further west towards Lyon Street. The bus stop should remain close to Kent Street to reduce walking distances for customers on Route 55 (westbound operates on Catherine Street, and eastbound operates on Chamberlain Avenue, meaning customers will need to cross the highway for one of their directions of travel).

A new option has been discussed and agreed on with City staff, as per response to comments #65 and #66. The bus stop has been returned to its original approximate location and designed as per City specification SC12.

40. OC Transpo recommends maintaining the bus lane for the length of the block as per the approved Functional Design for Catherine Street. The rationale for shortening the bus lane to reduce conflicts with the accesses and buses is unclear, as buses would still be operating past the accesses in a general traffic lane in this proposal, as opposed to a dedicated lane as per the Catherine Street Functional Design.

Refer to comment responses #65, #69 and #96. Additionally, as per discussion with City staff, analysis provided in the TIA Report Section 4.9.2 demonstrates that queue lengths do not block buses from entering the future



bus lane. The proposed bulb-outs are expected to reduce conflicts to buses as vehicles exiting the accesses would turn directly onto the general traffic lane of Catherine St, rather than merge onto the dedicated bus lane before merging into general traffic lane, thereby limiting potential conflict points with buses.

TIA

41. Transit Network - June 2022 info in a document dated April 2023 seems out of date. Frequent routes description is not accurate (6, 7, 14 operate on 15-minute headways or less from 6am to 6pm). Route 55 is a local route that operates all day and wouldn't be categorized as select trips only.

Noted, Transit Network information has been updated in the TIA Report.

42. Disagree with the assessment that the transit mode share should be reduced in favour of increasing the auto mode share. Several frequent services are provided within close proximity to the subject site. Combined headways on Bank St (routes 6 and 7) throughout most of the day are 7-8 minutes, and peak hour headways under 5 minutes. All routes that provide service in the vicinity of this development provide a connection to an 0-Train station on Line 1 (and future Line 3) and 2. Acknowledging the numerous TDM measures proposed as part of this development, further thought should be given to ways of encouraging (or more directly limiting) the use of automobiles.

The transit mode share for both the apartment units and the townhomes has been reduced from 28/26% in the AM and 21/20% in the PM to 20% in both peak hours. This represents a slight decrease for the AM and nearly the same percentage in the PM. In our opinion, the decrease in transit percentage in favor of increasing the auto mode share is reasonable given the proximity of the development to the highway and the fact that the Ottawa Inner Area district includes the Confederation Line, which increases the transit mode share for the entire district but is located approximately 1.4km north of the development site.

General Comments

43. Catherine Street is designated as an Arterial Road within the City's Official Plan with a ROW protection limit of 23.0 metres. The ROW protection limit and the offset distance (11.5 metres) are to be dimensioned from the existing centerline of pavement and shown on the drawings. The Certified Ontario Land Surveyor is to confirm the ROW protected limits and any portion that may fall within the private property to be conveyed to the City.

Site Plan illustrates the ROW protection measurements as indicated.

44. ROW interpretation – Land for a road widening will be taken equally from both sides of a road, measured from the centreline in existence at the time of the widening if required by the city. The centreline is a line running down the middle of a road surface, equidistant from both edges of the pavement. In determining the centreline, paved shoulders, bus lay-bys, auxiliary lanes, turning lanes and other special circumstances are not included in the road surface.

Site Plan illustrates the ROW protection measurements as indicated.

45. Kent Street is designated as an Arterial Road within the City's Official Plan with a ROW protection limit of 20.0 metres. Maximum land requirement from property abutting existing ROW (0.90 m). Subject to widening/easement policy. The ROW protection limit is to be dimensioned on the drawings. The Certified Ontario Land Surveyor is to confirm the ROW protected limits and any portion that may fall within the private property to be conveyed to the City.

Site Plan illustrates the ROW protection measurements as indicated.

46. Lyon Street is designated as an Arterial Road within the City's Official Plan with a ROW protection limit of 20.0 metres. Maximum land requirement from property abutting existing ROW (0.90 m). Subject to widening/easement policy. The ROW protection limit is to be dimensioned on the drawings. The Certified Ontario



Land Surveyor is to confirm the ROW protected limits and any portion that may fall within the private property to be conveyed to the City.

Site Plan illustrates the ROW protection measurements as indicated.

47. A 5.0 metres x 5.0 metres sight triangle would be required at the intersection of Kent Street Arlington Avenue. The sight triangle area is to be conveyed to the city and is to be shown on all drawings. The sight triangle dimensions are to be measured from the ROW protected limits.

A 3x3m sight triangle was considered acceptable based on a meeting with City staff (April 25, 2023). See response to comment 62.

48. A 5.0 metres x 5.0 metres sight triangle would be required at the intersection of Lyon Street and Catherine Street. The sight triangle area is to be conveyed to the city and is to be shown on all drawings. The sight triangle dimensions are to be measured from the ROW protected

The 5m x 5m sight triangle has been provided.

49. All underground and above ground building footprints and permanent walls need to be shown on the plan to confirm that any permanent structure does not extend either above or below into the sight triangles and/or future road widening protection limits.

Proponent notified.

50. Permanent structures such as curbing, stairs, retaining walls, and underground parking foundation also bicycle parking racks are not to extend into the City's right-of-way limits.

Proponent notified.

51. The concrete sidewalks should be 2.0 metres in width and be continuous and depressed through the proposed accesses.

Noted. Sidewalks at least 2.0m wide and following City specifications through accesses are being provided.

52. The closure of an existing private approach shall reinstate the sidewalk, shoulder, curb and boulevard to City standards.

Proponent notified.

53. The Owner acknowledges and agrees that all private accesses to Roads shall comply with the City's Private Approach By-Law being By-Law No. 2003-447 as amended https://ottawa.ca/en/living-ottawa/laws-licences-and-permits/laws/law-z/private-approach-law-no-2003-447 [ottawa.ca] or as approved through the Site Plan control process.

The proposed Site Plan has two accesses off of Catherine Street that will not adhere to the PABL. A rationale was provided in Section 4.4 of the TIA Report to support this design.

Refer to response to Comments 90-92.

54. The city does not recommend a lay-by along Catherine Street within the City's ROW.

It is our opinion that the proposed lay-by on Catherine Street does not present a significant risk to long-term corridor vehicle operations. The intent for this layby is primarily pickup-drop offs or deliveries to the building on the southeast corner of the property, which are infrequent. Vehicle conflict risks related to the lay-by are expected to be less pronounced since Catherine Street is a one-way street westbound and Kent Street is one-



way northbound, which minimizes opposing and oncoming traffic interactions. There are also two general purpose travel lanes on Catherine Street that will reduce the risk of queue spillback if a vehicle is maneuvering into or out of the layby.

Additionally, note that the layby was modified in the latest Site Plan and reduced in length to approximately half of its previous length, in order to accommodate City requirements for the bus stop. Refer to discussion provided in Section 4.4 of the TIA Report for further details.

55. The Owner shall be required to enter into maintenance and liability agreement for all pavers, plant and landscaping material placed in the City right-of-way and the Owner shall assume all maintenance and replacement responsibilities in perpetuity.

Noted.

56. Bicycle parking spaces are required as per Section 111 of the Ottawa Comprehensive Zoning By-law. Bicycle parking spaces should be in safe, secure places near main entrances and preferably protected from the weather.

Noted, the applicant will be providing at least the minimum requirement based on the by-law. The majority of spaces will be provided in the parking garage, with some available outdoor near amenity areas.

57. Relocating an existing roadway curbing by 30 cm will require a RMA report and approval by the delegated authority. Please confirm if you are triggering an RMA.

Noted.

58. A construction Traffic Management Plan is to be provided for approval by the Senior Engineer, Traffic Management, Transportation Services Dept.

Noted.



Appendix B:

Transit Route Maps






ROCKCLIFFE GREENBORO

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service Service toute la journée





2023.09



Customer Service Service à la clientèle.613-560-5000

Lost and Found / Objets perdus..... **613-563-4011** Security / Sécurité 613-741-2478

Effective Fall 2020

octranspo.com


En vigueur automne 2020 INFO 613-560-5000 **C** Transpo





7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service Service toute la journée



Station
Peak periods only / Périodes de pointe seulement
Timepoint / Heures de passage

2020.08



octranspo.com

C Transpo






ST-LAURENT TUNNEY'S PASTURE

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service Service toute la journée



Station

Timepoint / Heures de passage

CC Transpo INFO 613-741-4390 octranspo.com

Automne 2020




55

ELMVALEWESTGATE

Local

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine



StationsTimepoint / Heures de passage

octranspo.com

CC Transpo




114

CARLINGTON RIDEAU

Local

Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Selected trips only Trajets sélectionnés seulement



Station

Timepoint / Heures de passage

2020.08



pias your four digit bus stop number i pias votre numero à arret à quatre crimites

Effective Fall 2020 En vigueur automne 2020

C Transpo

INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com

Appendix C:

Traffic Data



Turning Movement Count Summary Report Including Peak Hours, AADT and Expansion Factors



All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Arlington Street & Kent Street

Ottawa, ON

Tuesday, April 11, 2023 0700 **AADT Factor:** 0.7 Survey Date: Start Time:

Cloudy 7° C **Survey Duration:** 0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800 Weather AM: 8 Hrs. **Survey Hours**:

Weather PM: Mostly Sunny 17° C T. Carmody Surveyor(s):

		Arlir	igto	n St			Arlin	gto	n St				K	ent S	St.			Ke	ent (St.			
		Ea	stbou	ınd	,		We	stbou	ınd				No	rthboı	ınd			Sou	ıthbo	und			
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	UT	E/B Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	W/B Tot	Street Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	N/B Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	S/B Tot	Street Total	Grand Total
0700-0800	4	27	0	0	31	0	7	53	0	60	91	9	1524	60	0	1593	0	0	0	0	0	1593	1684
0800-0900	19	48	0	0	67	0	11	93	0	104	171	15	1618	121	0	1754	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1925
0900-1000	7	41	0	0	48	0	9	49	0	58	106	18	1225	103	0	1346	0	0	0	0	0	1346	1452
1130-1230	5	32	0	0	37	0	3	52	0	55	92	19	775	117	0	911	0	0	0	0	0	911	1003
1230-1330	7	31	0	0	38	0	14	40	0	54	92	17	711	114	0	842	0	0	0	0	0	842	934
1500-1600	18	51	0	0	69	0	21	53	0	74	143	17	914	84	0	1015	0	0	0	0	0	1015	1158
1600-1700	7	53	0	0	60	0	14	44	0	58	118	25	923	74	0	1022	0	0	0	0	0	1022	1140
1700-1800	12	61	0	0	73	0	18	63	1	82	155	22	1021	93	0	1136	0	0	0	0	0	1136	1291
Totals	79	344	0	0	423	0	97	447	1	545	968	142	8711	766	0	9619	0	0	0	0	0	9619	10587

Equivalent 12 & 24-hour Vehicle Volumes Including the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) Factor **Applicable to the Day and Month of the Turning Movement Count**

Expansion factors are applied exclusively to standard weekday 8-hour turning movement counts conducted during the hours of 0700h - 1000h, 1130h - 1330h and 1500h - 1800h

		Equivale	nt 12-h	our v	ehicle v	olumes	s. Thes	e volun	nes are	calcul	ated by	multip	lying the	e 8-hour	totals I	y the 8	⇒ 12 ex	pansio	n facto	or of 1.39)	
Equ. 12 Hr	110	478	0	0	588	0	135	621	1	758	1346	197	12108	1065	0	13370	0	0	0	0	0 13370	14716
		Δver	ane da	ilv 12	-hour v	hicle v	olume	s Thes	e volur	nes are	calcula	ted hy	multinly	ying the	enniva	lent 12-l	our tota	als hy th	ιε ΔΔΓ	T facto	r of · 0.7	
AADT 12-hr	77	335	0	0	412	0	94	435	1	530	942	138			0	9359	0	0	0	0	0 9359	10301
	24	-Hour AA	DT Th	200.14	alumaa	0 r 0 0 0 0	oulotos	l by my	ltinhuin	a tha a	vorogo (lailu 1	2 hours	rabiala v	olumos	by the	12 ->24	ovnone	ion fo	otor of 1	24	
AADT 24 Hr	101	-noui AA 438	ווו.וע. ה	0	539	are car	124	570	nupiyii 1∎	695	1234	•	11103			12261	12 7 24 0	expans	01011 1a1 ∩	Ctor or i	.31 0 1 12261	13495

AADT and expansion factors provided by the City of Ottawa

AM Peak Ho	ur Fac	ctor •	>	0.	95									Hi	ghes	t Hourl	y Vehi	cle Vo	lume	Betv	ween (700h 8	k 1000h
AM Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
0800-0900	19	48	0	0	67	0	11	93	0	104	171	15	1618	121	0	1754	0	0	0	0	0	1754	1925
OFF Peak H	our Fa	ctor	→	0.	90									Hiç	ghes	t Hourl	y Vehi	cle Vo	lume	Betv	ween 1	130h 8	k 1330h
OFF Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
1130-1230	5	32	0	0	37	0	3	52	0	55	92	19	775	117	0	911	0	0	0	0	0	911	1003
PM Peak Ho	ur Fac	tor =		0.	89									Hi	ghes	t Hourl	y Vehi	cle Vo	lume	Betv	ween 1	500h 8	k 1800h
PM Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
1700-1800	12	61	0	0	73	0	18	63	1	82	155	22	1021	93	0	1136	0	0	0	0	0	1136	1291

Comments:

OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 20.00% of the heavy vehicle traffic. The bicycle totals include 7 varieties of electric personal transportation types. Many vehicles on Kent Street turn left or right to Arlington Street from the centre lane.

Notes:


- 1. Includes all vehicle types except bicycles, electric bicycles, and electric scooters.
- 2. When expansion and AADT factors are applied, the results will differ slightly due to rounding.

Printed on: 4/13/2023 Prepared by: thetrafficspecialist@gmail.com Summary: All Vehicles



Turning Movement Count Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams


All Vehicles Except Bicycles





Turning Movement Count Summary, OFF and EVENING Peak Hour Flow Diagrams


All Vehicles Except Bicycles





Turning Movement Count Heavy Vehicle Summary (FHWA Class 4-13) Flow Diagram





Comments:

Printed on: 4/13/2023


OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 20.00% of the heavy vehicle traffic. The bicycle totals include 7 varieties of electric personal transportation types. Many vehicles on Kent Street turn left or right to Arlington Street from the centre lane.



Turning Movement Count All Buses Summary (FHWA Class 4 ONLY) Flow Diagram



Summary: Buses Only



Comments:


Printed on: 4/13/2023

OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 20.00% of the heavy vehicle traffic. The bicycle totals include 7 varieties of electric personal transportation types. Many vehicles on Kent Street turn left or right to Arlington Street from the centre lane.



Turning Movement Count Bicycle Summary Flow Diagram





Comments:

Printed on: 4/13/2023

OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 20.00% of the heavy vehicle traffic. The bicycle totals include 7 varieties of electric personal transportation types. Many vehicles on Kent Street turn left or right to Arlington Street from the centre lane.



Turning Movement Count Pedestrian Crossings Summary and Flow Diagram



Arlington Street & Kent Street Ottawa, ON Tuesday, April 11, 2023 **Pedestrian** 0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800 Crossings Kent St. **Hour Survey** City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 14 **Grand Total Pedestrian Crossings Note** The values in the summary table below and the flow diagram represent the number of pedestrian crossings **NOT** the number of individual pedestrian**s** crossing. For example, some pedestrians will cross one approach, then another to reach their destination. Accordingly, one pedestrian crossing two approaches

Time Devied	West Side Crossing	East Side Crossing	Street	South Side Crossing	North Side Crossing	Street	Grand
Time Period	Arlington St.	Arlington St.	Total	Kent St.	Kent St.	Total	Total
0700-0800	10	16	26	4	21	25	51
0800-0900	27	36	63	55	18	73	136
0900-1000	15	18	33	12	20	32	65
1130-1230	8	11	19	10	24	34	53
1230-1330	9	18	27	3	23	26	53
1500-1600	17	42	59	46	44	90	149
1600-1700	27	27	54	23	24	47	101
1700-1800	16	43	59	24	37	61	120
Totals	129	211	340	177	211	388	728

Kent St.

Comments:

Printed on: 4/13/2023

OC Transpo and Para Transpo buses, private buses and school buses comprise 20.00% of the heavy vehicle traffic. The bicycle totals include 7 varieties of electric personal transportation types. Many vehicles on Kent Street turn left or right to Arlington Street from the centre lane.

will be recorded as two crossings.



Diagrams, Maps and Photographs



Arlington Street & Lyon Street

Tuesday, April 11, 2023









Turning Movement Count Summary Report Including Peak Hours, AADT and Expansion Factors



All Vehicles Except Bicycles

Arlington Street & Lyon Street

Ottawa, ON

Tuesday, April 11, 2023 **AADT Factor:** 0.7 **Survey Date:** Start Time: 0700

Cloudy 7° C **Survey Duration:** 0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800 Weather AM: 8 Hrs. Survey Hours:

Weather PM: Mostly Sunny 17° C Surveyor(s): J. Mousseau

		Arlin	igto	n St			Arlin	gto	n St				K	ent S	St.			Ke	ent S	St.			
		Ea	stbou	ınd			We	stboı	ınd				No	rthbou	ınd			Sou	ıthboı	und			
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	UT	E/B Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	W/B Tot	Street Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	N/B Tot	LT	ST	RT	UT	S/B Tot	Street Total	Grand Total
0700-0800	0	10	0	1	11	5	7	0	0	12	23	0	0	0	0	0	19	113	2	0	134	134	157
0800-0900	0	19	0	0	19	11	13	0	0	24	43	0	0	0	0	0	44	166	7	0	217	217	260
0900-1000	0	11	4	0	15	7	16	0	0	23	38	0	0	0	0	0	39	161	9	0	209	209	247
1130-1230	0	10	3	0	13	7	13	0	0	20	33	0	0	0	0	0	27	150	13	0	190	190	223
1230-1330	0	5	2	0	7	8	20	0	0	28	35	0	0	0	0	0	35	160	9	0	204	204	239
1500-1600	0	21	4	0	25	12	25	0	1	38	63	0	0	0	0	0	39	344	6	0	389	389	452
1600-1700	0	19	1	0	20	7	33	0	0	40	60	0	0	0	0	0	46	336	12	0	394	394	454
1700-1800	0	17	2	0	19	13	23	0	2	38	57	0	0	0	0	0	42	278	18	0	338	338	395
Totals	0	112	16	1	129	70	150	0	3	223	352	0	0	0	0	0	291	1708	76	0	2075	2075	2427

Equivalent 12 & 24-hour Vehicle Volumes Including the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) Factor Applicable to the Day and Month of the Turning Movement Count

Expansion factors are applied exclusively to standard <u>weekday</u> 8-hour turning movement counts conducted during the hours of 0700h - 1000h, 1130h - 1330h and 1500h - 1800h

		Equivale	nt 12-h	our ve	hicle v	olumes	. These	volume	es are	calcula	ted by n	nultiplyii	ng the 8	3-hour	totals by	the 8	3 ⇒ 12	expans	ion fact	or of 1.39		
Equ. 12 Hr	0	156	22	1	179	97	209	0	4	310	489	0	0	0	0	0	404	2374	106	0 2884	2884	3374
		A		- 40 !			L	TI	1		-11-1-		10.1.1.	(1				4-1-1	(l A A I	T ((((
		Avera	age dali	y 12-r	iour ver	licie vo	numes.	inese v	olum(es are c	aiculate	a by mu	litipiyin	g tne e	quivaier	IT 12-	nour to	otais by	tne AAI	OT factor of: ()./	
AADT 12-hr	0	109	16	1	126	68	146	0	3	217	342	0	0	0	0	0	283	1662	74	0 2019	2019	2361
	24-	Hour AA	DT. The	ese vo	lumes	are cal	culated	by mult	iplyin	g the av	erage d	aily 12-h	our vel	hicle vo	olumes l	y the	12 ➡	24 expa	nsion fa	actor of 1.31		
AADT 24 Hr	Λ	143	20	1	164	89	191	0	4	284	449	0	0	Λ	0	0	371	2177	97	0 2645	2645	3094

AADT and expansion factors provided by the City of Ottawa

AM Peak Ho	ur Fac	tor =)	0.	89									Highes	t Hour	ly Veh	icle Vo	lume	Betv	veen (700h 8	k 1000h
AM Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT U	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
0815-0915	0	18	0	0	18	11	12	0	0	23	41	0	0	0 (0	44	174	9	0	227	227	268
OFF Peak H	our Fa	ctor	→	0.	95									Highes	t Hour	ly Veh	icle Vo	lume	Betv	veen 1	130h 8	k 1330h
OFF Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT U	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
1230-1330	0	5	2	0	7	8	20	0	0	28	35	0	0	0 (0	35	160	9	0	204	204	239
PM Peak Ho	ur Fac	tor 🖣	\	0.	93									Highes	t Hour	ly Veh	icle Vo	lume	Betv	veen 1	500h 8	k 1800h
PM Peak Hr	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	LT	ST	RT U	Total	LT	ST	RT	UT	Total	Str. Tot.	Gr. Tot.
1545-1645	0	19	2	0	21	8	37	0	0	45	66	0	0	0 (0	43	364	13	0	420	420	486

Comments:

Transit buses and school buses comprise 30.23% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Lyon Street ramp to Highway 417 westbound closed due to construction. Southbound traffic south of Arlington Street is open to right turns to Catherine Street. Many S/B left turning vehicles to Arlington Street E/B do so from the west through lane.

Notes:


- 1. Includes all vehicle types except bicycles, electric bicycles, and electric scooters.
- 2. When expansion and AADT factors are applied, the results will differ slightly due to rounding.

Printed on: 4/13/2023 Prepared by: thetrafficspecialist@gmail.com Summary: All Vehicles



Turning Movement Count Summary, AM and PM Peak Hour Flow Diagrams

All Vehicles Except Bicycles






Turning Movement Count Summary, OFF and EVENING Peak Hour Flow Diagrams

All Vehicles Except Bicycles








Turning Movement Count Heavy Vehicle Summary (FHWA Class 4-13) Flow Diagram





Comments:

Printed on: 4/13/2023


Transit buses and school buses comprise 30.23% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Lyon Street ramp to Highway 417 westbound closed due to construction. Southbound traffic south of Arlington Street is open to right turns to Catherine Street. Many S/B left turning vehicles to Arlington Street E/B do so from the west through lane.



Turning Movement Count All Buses Summary (FHWA Class 4 ONLY) Flow Diagram



Summary: Buses Only



Comments:


Printed on: 4/13/2023

Transit buses and school buses comprise 30.23% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Lyon Street ramp to Highway 417 westbound closed due to construction. Southbound traffic south of Arlington Street is open to right turns to Catherine Street. Many S/B left turning vehicles to Arlington Street E/B do so from the west through lane.



Turning Movement Count Bicycle Summary Flow Diagram





Comments:

Printed on: 4/13/2023

Transit buses and school buses comprise 30.23% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Lyon Street ramp to Highway 417 westbound closed due to construction. Southbound traffic south of Arlington Street is open to right turns to Catherine Street. Many S/B left turning vehicles to Arlington Street E/B do so from the west through lane.



Turning Movement Count Pedestrian Crossings Summary and Flow Diagram



Arlington Street & Lyon Street Ottawa, ON Tuesday, April 11, 2023 **Pedestrian** 0700-1000, 1130-1330 & 1500-1800 Crossings Kent St. **Hour Survey** City of Ottawa Ward ▶ 14 <u> 166</u> Grand Total Pedestrian Crossings **Note** The values in the summary table below and the flow diagram represent the number of pedestrian crossings 104 **NOT** the number of individual pedestrian**s** crossing. For example, some pedestrians will cross one approach, then another to reach their destination. Accordingly, one pedestrian crossing two approaches

Time Devied	West Side Crossing	East Side Crossing	Street	South Side Crossing	North Side Crossing	Street	Grand
Time Period	Arlington St.	Arlington St.	Total	Kent St.	Kent St.	Total	Total
0700-0800	7	4	11	5	15	20	31
0800-0900	11	11	22	16	31	47	69
0900-1000	3	5	8	4	7	11	19
1130-1230	12	6	18	21	10	31	49
1230-1330	10	9	19	14	26	40	59
1500-1600	16	5	21	23	35	58	79
1600-1700	18	4	22	11	17	28	50
1700-1800	16	6	22	10	25	35	57
Totals	93	50	143	104	166	270	413

Kent St.

Comments:

Printed on: 4/13/2023

Transit buses and school buses comprise 30.23% of the heavy vehicle traffic. Lyon Street ramp to Highway 417 westbound closed due to construction. Southbound traffic south of Arlington Street is open to right turns to Catherine Street. Many S/B left turning vehicles to Arlington Street E/B do so from the west through lane.

will be recorded as two crossings.

DIRECTIONAL TRAFFIC FLOW

	Intersection:	: Arlingto	on Avenue		8	at Ban	k Street					
	DATE: Day:	18	Month:	Apr.	Year:	2023		Da	y of Week:	Tuesday		
	Observer: J										_	
					Chkd by:		I	Date:				
Ped	TIME PERIO	D: From:	7 : 8	35	To:	8	:	35	_	N		
•	0	1		0		0	HV Bikes			梁		
‡	111	21	1	0		0	Pass. Vehicles	Street Name:	Bank Street			
St Aı	reet Name: rlington Avenue	╽┖			_		Pas					
kes H	V Pass. Vehicles	′ •		1		4	Я	Ч	0		0	
1 (0 21	*	! L	G		г						
0 (0 0	-	3				S	—	0		0	0
			5	<u> </u>	*		; 	70] ⁰		0	0
Т		I	8						s. Ve icles		HV	Bikes
1 4	120		Pass. Vehicles		0		C)	Street	Name:		
		me:							Pedestri	ans		$\overline{}$
		Street Name: Bank Street	2 0		0		0		┪	0		
P/	ARSONS								‡	0		


DIRECTIONAL TRAFFIC FLOW

	Intersection:	Arlington	Avenue			at Ban	k Stree	et				
	DATE: Day:	18	Month:	Apr.	Year:	2023		Da	y of Week:	Tuesday	<u>r </u>	
	Observer:	Jordan Terada	ı		_ Weather	Rain						
					Chkd by:			Date:				
	TIME PERIO	D: From:	4 : 15		To:	5	:	15		N		
Pedestrians		1								٨		
*		0		0		0	Bikes			N/		
	0	0		0		0	HV I			XX		
										•		
‡								me:	eet			
4	.2							Street Name:	Bank Street			
		27					hicles	Stree	Ban			
		<u> </u>					Pass. Vehicles					
Street Name Arlington A		╽┕┷					Pę					
es HV	Pass. Vehicles	,		↓		4	Я	4			0	
1	1 ass. Venicles	¬ ` '		ý		_						
	• •	~ ".		•		F		L			屵	
0	20	-										
<u> </u>		=					_				0	0
							S					
	0											
0	0	s						Ļ			屵	
			_					_				
					\$			~			0	0
		┪						F	7			
									s. Vehicles		HV	Bikes
1	106	R ₂							Street	Name:		
		Pass. Vehicles	58		0			0		Nume.		
1 1		Pa Ba			Ü			Ü	Pedestria	ns		
		ne:							*			
		et Nar K Stre	0	╢	0			0	┪	0		
		Street Name: Bank Street Bikes HV	3	$\dashv \vdash$	0			0	┨			
		o, m			-			-	- 1 1			\dashv
									*	0		
PARSO	ONS									J		



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST



October 3, 2022 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




October 3, 2022 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST




Comments

2022-Oct-03 Page 2 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST




Comments

2022-Oct-03 Page 3 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST



Comments

2022-Oct-03 Page 1 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 1

.90

BANK ST CATHERINE ST

	No	rthbou	nd		So	uthbou	und			Ea	astbou	nd		V	/estbo	und			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Tota
07:00 08:00	212	493	0	705	0	268	92	360	1065	0	0	0	0	100	566	165	831	831	1896
08:00 09:00	271	602	0	873	0	356	113	469	1342	0	0	0	0	167	580	196	943	943	2285
09:00 10:00	211	392	0	603	0	350	135	485	1088	1	0	0	1	153	436	172	761	762	1850
11:30 12:30	195	288	0	483	0	380	142	522	1005	0	0	0	0	154	349	132	635	635	1640
12:30 13:30	205	310	0	515	0	415	150	565	1080	0	0	0	0	150	367	158	675	675	1755
15:00 16:00	194	268	0	462	0	566	148	714	1176	0	0	0	0	177	538	161	876	876	2052
16:00 17:00	164	325	0	489	0	663	122	785	1274	0	0	0	0	219	559	153	931	931	2205
17:00 18:00	176	352	0	528	0	644	113	757	1285	0	0	0	0	218	371	150	739	739	2024
Sub Total	1628	3030	0	4658	0	3642	1015	4657	9315	1	0	0	1	1338	3766	1287	6391	6392	15707
U Turns				0				0	0				0				1	1	1
Total	1628	3030	0	4658	0	3642	1015	4657	9315	1	0	0	1	1338	3766	1287	6392	6393	15708
EQ 12Hr	2263	4212	0	6475	0	5062	1411	6473	12948	1	0	0	1	1860	5235	1789	8885	8886	21834
Note: These	values a	re calcul	lated by	/ multiply	ing the	totals b	y the a	opropriat	e expansi	ion facto	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	2037	3791	0	5828	0	5969	1663	5826	11653	1	0	0	1	1674	4712	1610	7996	7997	19651
Note: These	volumes	are calc	culated	by multip	lying th	ne Equiv	valent 1	2 hr. tota	als by the	AADT f	actor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	2668	4966	0	7635	0	7819	2179	7632	15265	1	0	0	1	2193	6173	2109	10475	10476	25743
Note: These	volumes	are calc	culated	by multip	olying th	ne Avera	age Dai	ly 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 24	l expans	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

October 3, 2022 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

BANK ST

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments CATHERINE ST

Southbound Northbound Eastbound Westbound S **STR** w STR Grand E **Time Period** LT ST RT LT ST RT LT ST RT LT ST RT TOT TOT TOT TOT TOT TOT Total 07:00 07:15 07:15 07:30 n n 07:30 07:45 07:45 08:00 08:00 08:15 08:15 08:30 08:45 08:30 08:45 09:00 09:00 09:15 09:15 09:30 09:30 09:45 09:45 10:00 11:30 11:45 11:45 12:00 12:00 12:15 12:15 12:30 12:30 12:45 12:45 13:00 13:00 13:15 13:15 13:30 15:00 15:15 15:15 15:30 15:30 15:45 16:00 15:45 16:00 16:15 n n 17:15 17:30 17:30 17:45 17:45 18:00 16:15 16:30 16:30 16:45 17:00 n 16:45 n 17:00 17:15 15,708 Total:

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

October 3, 2022 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

BANK ST CATHERINE ST

Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
07:15 07:30	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
07:30 07:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
07:45 08:00	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
08:00 08:15	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
08:15 08:30	1	1	2	0	1	1	3
08:30 08:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
09:15 09:30	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
09:30 09:45	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
09:45 10:00	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
11:30 11:45	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
11:45 12:00	1	2	3	0	0	0	3
12:00 12:15	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
12:15 12:30	0	4	4	0	0	0	4
12:30 12:45	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
15:00 15:15	1	2	3	0	2	2	5
15:15 15:30	2	2	4	1	0	1	5
15:30 15:45	3	2	5	0	0	0	5
15:45 16:00	4	1	5	0	1	1	6
16:00 16:15	0	1	1	0	1	1	2
17:15 17:30	1	2	3	0	0	0	3
17:30 17:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
17:45 18:00	4	3	7	0	0	0	7
16:15 16:30	2	2	4	0	1	1	5
16:30 16:45	4	2	6	0	1	1	7
16:45 17:00	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
17:00 17:15	0	4	4	0	0	0	4
Total	45	36	81	2	9	11	92

October 3, 2022 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

BANK ST CATHERINE ST

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	2	5	7	8	9	17	24
07:15 07:30	4	5	9	18	10	28	37
07:30 07:45	2	5	7	18	18	36	43
07:45 08:00	2	6	8	12	16	28	36
08:00 08:15	10	5	15	18	42	60	75
08:15 08:30	13	17	30	28	46	74	104
08:30 08:45	11	19	30	74	50	124	154
08:45 09:00	9	15	24	19	34	53	77
09:00 09:15	8	8	16	15	36	51	67
09:15 09:30	4	14	18	9	25	34	52
09:30 09:45	4	19	23	2	23	25	48
09:45 10:00	5	3	8	4	23	27	35
11:30 11:45	7	11	18	15	25	40	58
11:45 12:00	5	11	16	21	28	49	65
12:00 12:15	7	24	31	18	28	46	77
12:15 12:30	6	14	20	21	37	58	78
12:30 12:45	4	12	16	28	14	42	58
12:45 13:00	15	10	25	16	42	58	83
13:00 13:15	8	6	14	12	30	42	56
13:15 13:30	9	5	14	15	30	45	59
15:00 15:15	9	14	23	22	23	45	68
15:15 15:30	10	22	32	69	42	111	143
15:30 15:45	9	13	22	25	34	59	81
15:45 16:00	11	4	15	37	33	70	85
16:00 16:15	6	9	15	32	27	59	74
17:15 17:30	8	8	16	43	61	104	120
17:30 17:45	10	6	16	38	41	79	95
17:45 18:00	7	15	22	31	50	81	103
16:15 16:30	13	15	28	48	41	89	117
16:30 16:45	6	11	17	33	49	82	99
16:45 17:00	12	22	34	43	45	88	122
17:00 17:15	13	15	28	36	53	89	117
Total	249	368	617	828	1065	1893	2510

October 3, 2022 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

BANK ST CATHERINE ST

	N	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			Е	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	2	4	0	13	0	5	1	11	24	0	0	0	9	2	6	1	9	18	21
07:15 07:30	0	3	0	10	0	5	2	17	27	0	0	0	5	2	3	7	12	17	22
07:30 07:45	2	4	0	12	0	3	1	9	21	0	0	0	10	3	7	1	11	21	21
07:45 08:00	1	6	0	16	0	8	0	16	32	0	0	0	7	1	6	2	9	16	24
08:00 08:15	0	5	0	11	0	2	1	11	22	0	0	0	9	4	8	3	15	24	23
08:15 08:30	2	7	0	22	0	10	0	18	40	0	0	0	10	3	8	1	12	22	31
08:30 08:45	3	3	0	18	0	8	1	12	30	0	0	0	13	4	9	0	13	26	28
08:45 09:00	3	11	0	23	0	7	1	24	47	0	0	0	10	2	6	5	13	23	35
09:00 09:15	12	6	0	28	0	8	0	17	45	0	0	0	17	2	5	3	10	27	36
09:15 09:30	0	4	0	17	0	11	0	17	34	0	0	0	5	2	5	2	9	14	24
09:30 09:45	4	4	0	18	0	7	0	14	32	0	0	0	6	3	2	3	8	14	23
09:45 10:00	1	2	0	15	0	10	1	16	31	0	0	0	14	2	12	3	17	31	31
11:30 11:45	3	3	0	17	0	8	0	14	31	0	0	0	6	3	3	3	9	15	23
11:45 12:00	0	10	0	14	0	2	0	13	27	0	0	0	3	2	3	1	6	9	18
12:00 12:15	1	6	0	16	0	4	0	10	26	0	0	0	11	5	10	0	15	26	26
12:15 12:30	2	6	0	11	0	3	2	12	23	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	3	9	16
12:30 12:45	2	0	0	7	0	4	0	5	12	0	0	0	8	1	6	1	8	16	14
12:45 13:00	1	7	0	17	0	6	1	15	32	0	0	0	8	3	6	1	10	18	25
13:00 13:15	1	3	0	8	0	4	0	7	15	0	0	0	6	0	5	0	5	11	13
13:15 13:30	1	4	0	12	0	5	0	12	24	0	0	0	10	2	9	3	14	24	24
15:00 15:15	0	5	0	15	0	7	3	15	30	0	0	0	13	3	10	0	13	26	28
15:15 15:30	0	3	0	13	0	8	1	12	25	0	0	0	13	2	12	0	14	27	26
15:30 15:45	0	7	0	12	0	4	0	12	24	0	0	0	13	1	13	1	15	28	26
15:45 16:00	0	6	0	8	0	2	0	8	16	0	0	0	8	0	8	0	8	16	16
16:00 16:15	0	5	0	8	0	3	1	9	17	0	0	0	10	0	9	0	9	19	18
17:15 17:30	0	1	0	12	0	10	0	11	23	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5	14
17:30 17:45	0	6	0	10	0	3	0	9	19	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	5	9	14
17:45 18:00	0	7	0	13	0	6	0	14	27	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	5	16
16:15 16:30	0	3	0	6	0	2	2	7	13	0	0	0	10	1	8	0	9	19	16
16:30 16:45	0	5	0	11	0	6	1	12	23	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	4	9	16
16:45 17:00	1	7	0	16	0	7	1	17	33	0	0	0	3	1	1	2	4	7	20
17:00 17:15	1	4	0	10	0	5	1	10	20	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	5	12	16
Total: None	43	157	0	439	0	183	21	406	845	0	0	0	263	56	199	45	300	563	704

October 3, 2022 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CATHERINE ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39991

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total BANK ST CATHERINE ST


Time I	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total	
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0	
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0	
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0	
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0	
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0	
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0	
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0	
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0	
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0	
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0	
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0	
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	1	1	
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0	
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0	
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0	
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0	
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0	
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0	
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0	
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0	
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0	
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0	
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0	
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0	
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0	
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0	
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0	
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0	
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0	
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0	
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0	
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0	
To	otal	0	0	0	1	1	

October 3, 2022 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST



W.O. 5365004 - WED APR 18TH - CONSULTANT - (8HR REIMPORT)

May 28, 2020 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




W.O. 5365004 - WED APR 18TH - CONSULTANT - (8HR REIMPORT)

May 28, 2020 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST



Comments W.O. 5365004 - WED APR 18TH - CONSULTANT - (8HR REIMPORT)

2020-May-28 Page 1 of 3





WO No:

39632

Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST






Comments W.O. 5365004 - WED APR 18TH - CONSULTANT - (8HR REIMPORT)

2020-May-28 Page 2 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST



Comments W.O. 5365004 - WED APR 18TH - CONSULTANT - (8HR REIMPORT)

2020-May-28 Page 3 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0 .90

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

			В	ANK S	ST					CH	IAMBE	RLAI	N AVE	N/ISAE	BELLA	ST			
	No	rthbou	nd		So	uthbou	nd			Е	astbou	ınd		W	estbou	ınd			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	0	655	83	738	105	264	0	369	1107	58	391	56	505	0	2	0	2	507	1614
08:00 09:00	0	834	142	976	168	372	0	540	1516	74	487	75	636	0	0	0	0	636	2152
09:00 10:00	0	471	111	582	158	380	0	538	1120	84	499	68	651	0	0	1	1	652	1772
11:30 12:30	0	433	144	577	182	463	0	645	1222	68	567	91	726	0	0	0	0	726	1948
12:30 13:30	0	429	139	568	135	432	0	567	1135	67	518	115	700	0	0	0	0	700	1835
15:00 16:00	0	441	139	580	185	523	0	708	1288	63	582	126	771	0	0	0	0	771	2059
16:00 17:00	0	442	102	544	174	686	0	860	1404	54	565	99	718	0	0	0	0	718	2122
17:00 18:00	0	449	101	550	182	677	0	859	1409	54	580	139	773	0	0	0	0	773	2182
Sub Total	0	4154	961	5115	1289	3797	0	5086	10201	522	4189	769	5480	0	2	1	3	5483	15684
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	0	4154	961	5115	1289	3797	0	5086	10201	522	4189	769	5480	0	2	1	3	5483	15684
EQ 12Hr	0	5774	1336	7110	1792	5278	0	7070	14179	726	5823	1069	7617	0	3	1	4	7621	21801
Note: These	values a	re calcu	lated by	/ multipl	lying the	totals by	y the ap	opropriat	e expans	ion fac	tor.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	0	4898	1133	6031	1520	4477	0	5996	12761	615	4939	907	6461	0	2	1	4	6859	19621
Note: These	volumes	are cal	culated	by multi	iplying t	he Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. tota	als by the	AADT	factor.			0.9					
AVG 24Hr	0	6416	1484	7900	1991	5864	0	7855	15755	806	6470	1188	8464	0	3	2	5	8469	24224
Note: These	volumes	are cal	culated	by multi	iplying t	he Avera	ige Dail	y 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 2	4 expan	sion fac	ctor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

May 28, 2020 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

BANK ST

CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA S

		N	orthbou	ınd		Sc	uthbou	nd			Е	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	118	15	133	24	54	0	78	16	10	74	16	100	0	2	0	2	16	313
07:15	07:30	0	128	15	143	26	54	0	80	18	15	94	22	131	0	0	0	0	18	354
07:30	07:45	0	196	21	217	25	78	0	103	11	20	102	10	132	0	0	0	0	11	452
07:45	08:00	0	213	32	245	30	78	0	108	15	13	121	8	142	0	0	0	0	15	495
08:00	08:15	0	215	37	252	43	81	0	124	18	21	102	20	143	0	0	0	0	18	519
08:15	08:30	0	210	31	241	41	83	0	124	16	23	121	15	159	0	0	0	0	16	524
08:30	08:45	0	214	37	251	41	95	0	136	15	13	118	18	149	0	0	0	0	15	536
08:45	09:00	0	195	37	232	43	113	0	156	20	17	146	22	185	0	0	0	0	20	573
09:00	09:15	0	143	45	188	34	87	0	121	22	21	142	16	179	0	0	0	0	22	488
09:15	09:30	0	113	27	140	37	98	0	135	20	23	141	11	175	0	0	1	1	20	451
09:30	09:45	0	109	14	123	59	94	0	153	22	15	109	19	143	0	0	0	0	22	419
09:45	10:00	0	106	25	131	28	101	0	129	20	25	107	22	154	0	0	0	0	20	414
11:30	11:45	0	110	30	140	36	124	0	160	19	21	137	18	176	0	0	0	0	19	476
11:45	12:00	0	117	27	144	50	106	0	156	16	12	144	28	184	0	0	0	0	16	484
12:00	12:15	0	111	38	149	50	104	0	154	19	20	151	21	192	0	0	0	0	19	495
12:15	12:30	0	95	49	144	46	129	0	175	21	15	135	24	174	0	0	0	0	21	493
12:30	12:45	0	100	31	131	39	109	0	148	12	18	139	20	177	0	0	0	0	12	456
12:45	13:00	0	117	37	154	28	105	0	133	14	17	120	30	167	0	0	0	0	14	454
13:00	13:15	0	98	40	138	34	112	0	146	12	21	141	22	184	0	0	0	0	12	468
13:15	13:30	0	114	31	145	34	106	0	140	15	11	118	43	172	0	0	0	0	15	457
15:00	15:15	0	120	38	158	45	114	0	159	12	16	148	28	192	0	0	0	0	12	509
15:15	15:30	0	114	33	147	51	120	0	171	14	17	141	32	190	0	0	0	0	14	508
15:30	15:45	0	98	38	136	40	131	0	171	6	13	137	41	191	0	0	0	0	6	498
15:45	16:00	0	109	30	139	49	158	0	207	13	17	156	25	198	0	0	0	0	13	544
16:00	16:15	0	132	27	159	35	163	0	198	10	13	133	34	180	0	0	0	0	10	537
16:15	16:30	0	102	28	130	50	165	0	215	12	14	137	22	173	0	0	0	0	12	518
16:30	16:45	0	98	25	123	46	179	0	225	15	17	153	26	196	0	0	0	0	15	544
16:45	17:00	0	110	22	132	43	179	0	222	9	10	142	17	169	0	0	0	0	9	523
17:00	17:15	0	117	24	141	43	174	0	217	10	12	156	35	203	0	0	0	0	10	561
17:15	17:30	0	123	20	143	43	188	0	231	9	14	139	42	195	0	0	0	0	9	569
17:30	17:45	0	100	24	124	50	148	0	198	12	18	141	40	199	0	0	0	0	12	521
17:45	18:00	0	109	33	142	46	167	0	213	8	10	144	22	176	0	0	0	0	8	531
Total:		0	4154	961	5115	1289	3797	0	5086	471	522	4189	769	5480	0	2	1	3	471	15,684

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

May 28, 2020 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

BANK ST CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

		BAINTOI		011) (IIIDL		J, (BLLL) (0 !	
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
7:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:30 07:45	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
7:45 08:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
08:00 08:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
8:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
8:45 09:00	3	0	3	0	0	0	3
9:00 09:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
9:15 09:30	0	2	2	1	0	1	3
9:30 09:45	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
9:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:30 11:45	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
1:45 12:00	1	1	2	1	0	1	3
2:00 12:15	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
2:15 12:30	1	2	3	0	0	0	3
2:30 12:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
2:45 13:00	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
3:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:15 13:30	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
5:00 15:15	2	2	4	2	0	2	6
5:15 15:30	2	3	5	0	0	0	5
5:30 15:45	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
5:45 16:00	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
6:00 16:15	3	5	8	0	0	0	8
6:15 16:30	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
6:30 16:45	3	4	7	2	0	2	9
6:45 17:00	4	4	8	0	0	0	8
7:00 17:15	1	2	3	1	0	1	4
7:15 17:30	2	5	7	0	0	0	7
7:30 17:45	2	1	3	1	0	1	4
7:45 18:00	2	3	5	1	0	1	6
Γotal	41	43	84	9	1	10	94

May 28, 2020 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

BANK ST

CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA S

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	6	1	7	11	7	18	25
07:15 07:30	5	2	7	8	14	22	29
07:30 07:45	5	0	5	18	15	33	38
07:45 08:00	4	2	6	19	17	36	42
08:00 08:15	5	2	7	17	32	49	56
08:15 08:30	18	3	21	29	28	57	78
08:30 08:45	12	5	17	60	43	103	120
08:45 09:00	9	5	14	33	32	65	79
09:00 09:15	7	1	8	19	23	42	50
09:15 09:30	7	5	12	13	24	37	49
09:30 09:45	0	1	1	16	12	28	29
09:45 10:00	23	0	23	31	16	47	70
11:30 11:45	8	1	9	13	19	32	41
11:45 12:00	5	2	7	15	25	40	47
12:00 12:15	17	2	19	15	30	45	64
12:15 12:30	6	3	9	21	19	40	49
12:30 12:45	8	2	10	16	29	45	55
12:45 13:00	9	1	10	18	20	38	48
13:00 13:15	3	1	4	22	21	43	47
13:15 13:30	6	0	6	20	31	51	57
15:00 15:15	12	1	13	24	24	48	61
15:15 15:30	21	0	21	95	28	123	144
15:30 15:45	7	1	8	26	31	57	65
15:45 16:00	10	2	12	27	32	59	71
16:00 16:15	15	1	16	23	29	52	68
16:15 16:30	13	5	18	49	41	90	108
16:30 16:45	16	2	18	39	49	88	106
16:45 17:00	19	2	21	46	34	80	101
17:00 17:15	21	3	24	43	43	86	110
17:15 17:30	16	3	19	55	54	109	128
17:30 17:45	19	5	24	50	50	100	124
17:45 18:00	11	1	12	41	41	82	94
Total	343	65	408	932	913	1845	2253

W.O. 5365004 - WED APR 18TH - CONSULTANT - (8HR REIMPORT)

May 28, 2020 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

BANK ST

CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA S

		N	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			Е	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	7	0	7	0	9	0	9	16	0	5	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	22
07:15	07:30	0	9	1	10	1	7	0	8	18	0	6	1	7	0	0	0	0	7	25
07:30	07:45	0	6	0	6	1	4	0	5	11	1	4	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	17
07:45	08:00	0	6	3	9	3	3	0	6	15	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	17
08:00	08:15	0	8	1	9	4	5	0	9	18	0	8	1	9	0	0	0	0	9	27
08:15	08:30	0	6	3	9	0	7	0	7	16	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	20
08:30	08:45	0	6	1	7	4	4	0	8	15	1	8	3	12	0	0	0	0	12	27
08:45	09:00	0	10	4	14	1	5	0	6	20	1	13	1	15	0	0	0	0	15	35
09:00	09:15	0	5	6	11	5	6	0	11	22	2	7	1	10	0	0	0	0	10	32
09:15	09:30	0	8	3	11	1	8	0	9	20	3	11	0	14	0	0	1	1	15	35
09:30	09:45	0	6	1	7	6	9	0	15	22	1	7	3	11	0	0	0	0	11	33
09:45	10:00	0	4	1	5	5	10	0	15	20	0	3	3	6	0	0	0	0	6	26
11:30	11:45	0	2	6	8	1	10	0	11	19	1	7	1	9	0	0	0	0	9	28
11:45	12:00	0	6	2	8	1	7	0	8	16	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	21
12:00	12:15	0	9	1	10	4	5	0	9	19	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	23
12:15	12:30	0	4	4	8	5	8	0	13	21	1	8	2	11	0	0	0	0	11	32
12:30	12:45	0	3	2	5	1	6	0	7	12	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	17
12:45	13:00	0	6	1	7	2	5	0	7	14	0	5	3	8	0	0	0	0	8	22
13:00	13:15	0	4	1	5	3	4	0	7	12	1	9	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	22
13:15	13:30	0	7	1	8	2	5	0	7	15	0	7	2	9	0	0	0	0	9	24
15:00	15:15	0	6	0	6	0	6	0	6	12	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	20
15:15	15:30	0	3	1	4	1	9	0	10	14	1	4	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	20
15:30	15:45	0	3	0	3	2	1	0	3	6	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	11
15:45	16:00	0	6	0	6	3	4	0	7	13	1	4	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	19
16:00	16:15	0	5	1	6	0	4	0	4	10	1	8	2	11	0	0	0	0	11	21
16:15	16:30	0	5	0	5	5	2	0	7	12	1	6	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	19
16:30	16:45	0	6	1	7	2	6	0	8	15	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	17
16:45	17:00	0	4	1	5	2	2	0	4	9	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	14
17:00	17:15	0	4	0	4	0	6	0	6	10	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	16
17:15	17:30	0	1	1	2	1	6	0	7	9	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	15
17:30	17:45	0	5	1	6	1	5	0	6	12	0	6	1	7	0	0	0	0	7	19
17:45	18:00	0	5	0	5	1	2	0	3	8	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	15
Total:	None	0	175	48	223	68	180	0	248	471	17	192	30	239	0	0	1	1	240	711

May 28, 2020 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BANK ST @ CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 39632

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total BANK ST CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA S


Time I	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
Te	otal	0	0	0	0	0

May 28, 2020 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST



W.O. 5365004 - THURS APR 19TH - CONSULTANT - 48 HRS (REIMPORT - 8HR STANDARD)

March 11, 2020 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




W.O. 5365004 - THURS APR 19TH - CONSULTANT - 48 HRS (REIMPORT - 8HR STANDARD)

March 11, 2020 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST




Comments W.O. 5365004 - THURS APR 19TH - CONSULTANT - 48 HRS (REIMPORT - 8HR STANDAR

2020-Mar-11 Page 1 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST




Comments W.O. 5365004 - THURS APR 19TH - CONSULTANT - 48 HRS (REIMPORT - 8HR STANDAR

2020-Mar-11 Page 2 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST



Comments W.O. 5365004 - THURS APR 19TH - CONSULTANT - 48 HRS (REIMPORT - 8HR STANDAR

1054

762

0

292

2545

1491

Vehicles

Total

2020-Mar-11 Page 3 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0 .90

Eastbound: () Westbound: ()

PRONSON AVE

CATHEDINE ST/DAYMOND ST

			BRO	NSON	AVE					(CATH	ERINE	E ST/F	RAYMO	OND S	T			
	No	rthbou	nd		So	uthbou	und			Ea	astbou	nd		٧	/estbo	und			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	478	846	0	1324	0	428	140	568	1892	0	0	0	0	465	446	345	1256	1256	3148
08:00 09:00	519	1038	0	1557	0	428	118	546	2103	0	0	0	0	492	479	346	1317	1317	3420
09:00 10:00	387	699	0	1086	0	406	133	539	1625	0	0	0	0	480	403	329	1212	1212	2837
11:30 12:30	382	620	0	1002	0	527	173	700	1702	0	0	0	0	471	401	301	1173	1173	2875
12:30 13:30	349	568	0	917	0	560	167	727	1644	0	0	0	0	484	321	310	1115	1115	2759
15:00 16:00	299	747	0	1046	0	783	177	960	2006	0	0	0	0	697	517	299	1513	1513	3519
16:00 17:00	265	813	0	1078	0	733	130	863	1941	0	0	0	0	677	638	248	1563	1563	3504
17:00 18:00	281	725	0	1006	0	727	147	874	1880	0	0	0	0	662	438	310	1410	1410	3290
Sub Total	2960	6056	0	9016	0	4592	1185	5777	14793	0	0	0	0	4428	3643	2488	10559	10559	25352
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	2960	6056	0	9016	0	4592	1185	5777	14793	0	0	0	0	4428	3643	2488	10559	10559	25352
EQ 12Hr	4114	8418	0	12532	0	6383	1647	8030	20562	0	0	0	0	6155	5064	3458	14677	14677	35239
Note: These	values a	re calcul	lated by	y multiply	ing the	totals b	y the a	opropriat	te expans	ion facto	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	3490	7140	0	10630	0	5414	1397	6811	18506	0	0	0	0	5221	4295	2933	12449	13209	31715
Note: These	volumes	are calc	culated	by multip	olying t	he Equiv	/alent 1	2 hr. tota	als by the	AADT fa	actor.			0.9					
AVG 24Hr	4572	9353	0	13925	0	7092	1830	8923	22848	0	0	0	0	6839	5627	3843	16308	16308	39156
Note: These	volumes	are calc	culated	by multip	olying t	he Avera	age Dai	ly 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 24	expans	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

March 11, 2020 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

BRONSON AVE

CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

		No	orthbou	ınd		Sc	outhbou	ınd			E	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	92	184	0	276	0	99	31	130	883	0	0	0	0	103	112	91	306	883	712
07:15	07:30	120	223	0	343	0	115	38	153	1036	0	0	0	0	113	99	89	301	1036	797
07:30	07:45	143	228	0	371	0	107	41	148	1061	0	0	0	0	118	115	89	322	1061	841
07:45	08:00	123	211	0	334	0	107	30	137	996	0	0	0	0	131	120	76	327	996	798
08:00	08:15	129	244	0	373	0	106	31	137	1064	0	0	0	0	131	122	73	326	1064	836
08:15	08:30	124	267	0	391	0	104	31	135	1119	0	0	0	0	132	125	90	347	1119	873
08:30	08:45	125	262	0	387	0	109	23	132	1118	0	0	0	0	136	128	92	356	1118	875
08:45	09:00	141	265	0	406	0	109	33	142	1106	0	0	0	0	93	104	91	288	1106	836
09:00	09:15	129	207	0	336	0	87	25	112	967	0	0	0	0	120	128	105	353	967	801
09:15	09:30	88	179	0	267	0	124	37	161	942	0	0	0	0	132	107	79	318	942	746
09:30	09:45	81	164	0	245	0	107	39	146	838	0	0	0	0	106	90	70	266	838	657
09:45	10:00	89	149	0	238	0	88	32	120	792	0	0	0	0	122	78	75	275	792	633
11:30	11:45	97	165	0	262	0	104	40	144	874	0	0	0	0	117	107	82	306	874	712
11:45	12:00	93	134	0	227	0	109	48	157	829	0	0	0	0	117	108	85	310	829	694
12:00	12:15	99	143	0	242	0	167	42	209	934	0	0	0	0	104	98	69	271	934	722
12:15	12:30	93	178	0	271	0	147	43	190	984	0	0	0	0	133	88	65	286	984	747
12:30	12:45	90	140	0	230	0	139	33	172	895	0	0	0	0	124	87	90	301	895	703
12:45	13:00	84	139	0	223	0	103	45	148	806	0	0	0	0	126	78	67	271	806	642
13:00	13:15	84	148	0	232	0	168	46	214	957	0	0	0	0	118	71	77	266	957	712
13:15	13:30	91	141	0	232	0	150	43	193	908	0	0	0	0	116	85	76	277	908	702
15:00	15:15	73	192	0	265	0	174	47	221	1117	0	0	0	0	184	104	81	369	1117	855
15:15	15:30	77	183	0	260	0	195	41	236	1124	0	0	0	0	169	136	81	386	1124	882
15:30	15:45	69	175	0	244	0	214	48	262	1156	0	0	0	0	191	139	70	400	1156	906
15:45	16:00	80	197	0	277	0	200	41	241	1135	0	0	0	0	153	138	67	358	1135	876
16:00	16:15	66	207	0	273	0	192	35	227	1128	0	0	0	0	177	160	52	389	1128	889
16:15	16:30	78	190	0	268	0	184	28	212	1084	0	0	0	0	183	170	47	400	1084	880
16:30	16:45	63	203	0	266	0	191	40	231	1122	0	0	0	0	157	162	74	393	1122	890
16:45	17:00	58	213	0	271	0	166	27	193	1078	0	0	0	0	160	146	75	381	1078	845
17:00	17:15	51	179	0	230	0	185	30	215	1066	0	0	0	0	174	138	83	395	1066	840
17:15	17:30	73	199	0	272	0	178	36	214	1121	0	0	0	0	173	119	85	377	1121	863
17:30	17:45	76	174	0	250	0	208	39	247	1103	0	0	0	0	150	89	74	313	1103	810
17:45	18:00	81	173	0	254	0	156	42	198	1014	0	0	0	0	165	92	68	325	1014	777
Total:		2960	6056	0	9016	0	4592	1185	5777	32357	0	0	0	0	4428	3643	2488	10559	32357	25,352

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

March 11, 2020 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

BRONSON AVE CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

		BROHOON AVI	_				
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
07:15 07:30	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:00 12:15	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:30 12:45	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
16:15 16:30	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
16:30 16:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
16:45 17:00	0	2	2	0	0	0	2
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	1	1	2	0	1	1	3
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
Total	10	7	17	1	6	7	24

March 11, 2020 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

BRONSON AVE

CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	2	2	3	6	9	11
07:15 07:30	0	6	6	5	6	11	17
07:30 07:45	0	6	6	4	5	9	15
07:45 08:00	0	2	2	4	9	13	15
08:00 08:15	0	6	6	7	7	14	20
08:15 08:30	0	11	11	8	15	23	34
08:30 08:45	0	12	12	4	8	12	24
08:45 09:00	0	11	11	7	15	22	33
09:00 09:15	0	8	8	9	11	20	28
09:15 09:30	0	4	4	4	1	5	9
09:30 09:45	0	6	6	8	1	9	15
09:45 10:00	0	4	4	10	2	12	16
11:30 11:45	0	5	5	9	6	15	20
11:45 12:00	0	11	11	7	3	10	21
12:00 12:15	0	12	12	6	5	11	23
12:15 12:30	0	1	1	10	2	12	13
12:30 12:45	0	9	9	13	8	21	30
12:45 13:00	0	5	5	10	2	12	17
13:00 13:15	0	7	7	8	8	16	23
13:15 13:30	0	2	2	5	4	9	11
15:00 15:15	0	8	8	20	12	32	40
15:15 15:30	0	14	14	11	18	29	43
15:30 15:45	0	4	4	12	3	15	19
15:45 16:00	0	1	1	8	3	11	12
16:00 16:15	0	5	5	10	5	15	20
16:15 16:30	0	7	7	7	10	17	24
16:30 16:45	0	7	7	6	4	10	17
16:45 17:00	0	4	4	8	8	16	20
17:00 17:15	0	12	12	14	8	22	34
17:15 17:30	0	5	5	11	3	14	19
17:30 17:45	1	5	6	18	5	23	29
17:45 18:00	0	6	6	13	4	17	23
Total	1	208	209	279	207	486	695

W.O. 5365004 - THURS APR 19TH - CONSULTANT - 48 HRS (REIMPORT - 8HR STANDARD)

March 11, 2020 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

BRONSON AVE

CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

	N	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			Е	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	1	0	10	0	6	8	18	28	0	0	0	17	2	8	3	13	30	29
07:15 07:30	2	3	0	14	0	7	4	18	32	0	0	0	11	2	5	4	11	22	27
07:30 07:45	2	5	0	13	0	4	5	16	29	0	0	0	11	2	4	2	8	19	24
07:45 08:00	4	2	0	15	0	6	6	18	33	0	0	0	15	3	5	4	12	27	30
08:00 08:15	2	2	0	13	0	5	2	13	26	0	0	0	12	4	8	4	16	28	27
08:15 08:30	1	9	0	21	0	7	1	18	39	0	0	0	4	4	2	1	7	11	25
08:30 08:45	1	8	0	23	0	9	2	23	46	0	0	0	7	5	4	4	13	20	33
08:45 09:00	0	3	0	21	0	11	3	23	44	0	0	0	7	7	4	6	17	24	34
09:00 09:15	8	6	0	25	0	7	2	26	51	0	0	0	17	4	7	11	22	39	45
09:15 09:30	1	7	0	23	0	10	1	26	49	0	0	0	11	5	9	8	22	33	41
09:30 09:45	2	5	0	15	0	4	2	14	29	0	0	0	9	4	5	3	12	21	25
09:45 10:00	3	5	0	22	0	5	0	21	43	0	0	0	9	9	6	11	26	35	39
11:30 11:45	2	3	0	13	0	2	0	10	23	0	0	0	8	6	6	5	17	25	24
11:45 12:00	3	4	0	17	0	6	4	20	37	0	0	0	14	4	7	6	17	31	34
12:00 12:15	2	3	0	12	0	6	0	13	25	0	0	0	7	1	5	4	10	17	21
12:15 12:30	1	3	0	12	0	3	0	8	20	0	0	0	6	5	5	2	12	18	19
12:30 12:45	2	6	0	15	0	6	1	18	33	0	0	0	9	1	6	5	12	21	27
12:45 13:00	0	3	0	10	0	3	1	10	20	0	0	0	3	4	2	3	9	12	16
13:00 13:15	1	5	0	15	0	6	0	15	30	0	0	0	8	3	7	4	14	22	26
13:15 13:30	4	4	0	15	0	4	0	11	26	0	0	0	10	3	6	3	12	22	24
15:00 15:15	0	6	0	18	0	9	2	21	39	0	0	0	4	3	2	4	9	13	26
15:15 15:30	2	8	0	15	0	2	0	13	28	0	0	0	5	3	3	3	9	14	21
15:30 15:45	2	4	0	13	0	5	1	16	29	0	0	0	6	2	3	6	11	17	23
15:45 16:00	4	3	0	14	0	5	0	10	24	0	0	0	7	2	3	2	7	14	19
16:00 16:15	1	3	0	14	0	6	0	13	27	0	0	0	7	4	6	4	14	21	24
16:15 16:30	1	4	0	14	0	7	1	13	27	0	0	0	3	2	1	1	4	7	17
16:30 16:45	1	1	0	9	0	6	0	11	20	0	0	0	4	1	3	4	8	12	16
16:45 17:00	0	4	0	11	0	4	0	9	20	0	0	0	4	3	4	1	8	12	16
17:00 17:15	0	3	0	11	0	5	0	9	20	0	0	0	2	3	2	1	6	8	14
17:15 17:30	0	2	0	6	0	2	0	4	10	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	3	4	7
17:30 17:45	2	5	0	10	0	2	2	9	19	0	0	0	6	1	2	0	3	9	14
17:45 18:00	1	3	0	9	0	4	0	7	16	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	3	6	11
Total: None	56	133	0	468	0	174	48	474	942	0	0	0	247	105	143	119	367	614	778

March 11, 2020 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

BRONSON AVE @ CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 39598

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total

BRONSON AVE CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST


Time F	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
To	tal	0	0	0	0	0

March 11, 2020 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST



January 13, 2023 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




January 13, 2023 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST




Comments

2023-Jan-13 Page 3 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST




Comments

2023-Jan-13 Page 1 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST



Comments

2023-Jan-13 Page 2 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0 .90

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

	KENT ST								CATHERINE ST										
	No	rthbou	nd		Sou	ıthbou	nd			E	astbou	ınd		V	/estbo	und			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	36	1225	0	1261	0	0	0	0	1261	0	0	0	0	0	304	504	808	808	2069
08:00 09:00	54	1326	0	1380	0	0	0	0	1380	0	0	0	0	0	392	530	922	922	2302
09:00 10:00	41	968	0	1009	0	0	0	0	1009	0	0	0	0	0	411	342	753	753	1762
11:30 12:30	36	626	0	662	0	0	0	0	662	0	0	0	0	0	452	231	683	683	1345
12:30 13:30	40	631	0	671	0	0	0	0	671	0	0	0	0	0	477	174	651	651	1322
15:00 16:00	29	652	0	681	0	0	0	0	681	0	0	0	0	0	556	302	858	858	1539
16:00 17:00	18	590	0	608	0	0	0	0	608	0	0	0	0	0	479	311	790	790	1398
17:00 18:00	11	730	0	741	0	0	0	0	741	0	0	0	0	0	366	306	672	672	1413
Sub Total	265	6748	0	7013	0	0	0	0	7013	0	0	0	0	0	3437	2700	6137	6137	13150
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	265	6748	0	7013	0	0	0	0	7013	0	0	0	0	0	3437	2700	6137	6137	13150
EQ 12Hr	368	9380	0	9748	0	0	0	0	9748	0	0	0	0	0	4777	3753	8530	8530	18278
Note: These v	alues a	re calcu	lated by	y multiply	ing the	totals b	y the ap	propriat	e expans	ion facto	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	331	8442	0	8773	0	0	0	0	8773	0	0	0	0	0	4299	3378	7677	7677	16450
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	culated	by multip	lying th	e Equiv	alent 12	2 hr. tota	ls by the	AADT f	actor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	434	11059	0	11493	0	0	0	0	11493	0	0	0	0	0	5632	4425	10057	10057	21550
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	culated	by multip	olying th	e Avera	ge Dail	y 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 24	l expan	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

January 13, 2023 Page 3 of 8



KENT ST

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments CATHERINE ST

	N	orthbou	ınd		Sc	uthbou	ınd		Eastbound					Westbound					
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	7	277	0	284	0	0	0	0	284	0	0	0	0	0	72	116	188	188	472
07:15 07:30	8	293	0	301	0	0	0	0	301	0	0	0	0	0	58	123	181	181	482
07:30 07:45	9	312	0	321	0	0	0	0	321	0	0	0	0	0	90	117	207	207	528
07:45 08:00	12	343	0	355	0	0	0	0	355	0	0	0	0	0	84	148	232	232	587
08:00 08:15	12	314	0	326	0	0	0	0	326	0	0	0	0	0	100	134	234	234	560
08:15 08:30	13	347	0	360	0	0	0	0	360	0	0	0	0	0	98	127	225	225	585
08:30 08:45	17	329	0	346	0	0	0	0	346	0	0	0	0	0	107	128	235	235	581
08:45 09:00	12	336	0	348	0	0	0	0	348	0	0	0	0	0	87	141	228	228	576
09:00 09:15	8	293	0	301	0	0	0	0	301	0	0	0	0	0	95	97	192	192	493
09:15 09:30	12	229	0	241	0	0	0	0	241	0	0	0	0	0	104	91	195	195	436
09:30 09:45	8	225	0	233	0	0	0	0	233	0	0	0	0	0	112	76	188	188	421
09:45 10:00	13	221	0	234	0	0	0	0	234	0	0	0	0	0	100	78	178	178	412
11:30 11:45	13	169	0	182	0	0	0	0	182	0	0	0	0	0	105	52	157	157	339
11:45 12:00	7	150	0	157	0	0	0	0	157	0	0	0	0	0	105	68	173	173	330
12:00 12:15	6	133	0	139	0	0	0	0	139	0	0	0	0	0	125	50	175	175	314
12:15 12:30	10	174	0	184	0	0	0	0	184	0	0	0	0	0	117	61	178	178	362
12:30 12:45	9	193	0	202	0	0	0	0	202	0	0	0	0	0	120	65	185	185	387
12:45 13:00	9	160	0	169	0	0	0	0	169	0	0	0	0	0	125	31	156	156	325
13:00 13:15	8	134	0	142	0	0	0	0	142	0	0	0	0	0	108	34	142	142	284
13:15 13:30	14	144	0	158	0	0	0	0	158	0	0	0	0	0	124	44	168	168	326
15:00 15:15	8	151	0	159	0	0	0	0	159	0	0	0	0	0	120	90	210	210	369
15:15 15:30	10	148	0	158	0	0	0	0	158	0	0	0	0	0	154	67	221	221	379
15:30 15:45	3	180	0	183	0	0	0	0	183	0	0	0	0	0	156	69	225	225	408
15:45 16:00	8	173	0	181	0	0	0	0	181	0	0	0	0	0	126	76	202	202	383
16:00 16:15	4	219	0	223	0	0	0	0	223	0	0	0	0	0	157	77	234	234	457
16:15 16:30	0	102	0	102	0	0	0	0	102	0	0	0	0	0	144	92	236	236	338
16:30 16:45	5	113	0	118	0	0	0	0	118	0	0	0	0	0	100	79	179	179	297
16:45 17:00	9	156	0	165	0	0	0	0	165	0	0	0	0	0	78	63	141	141	306
17:00 17:15	4	193	0	197	0	0	0	0	197	0	0	0	0	0	100	70	170	170	367
17:15 17:30	1	193	0	194	0	0	0	0	194	0	0	0	0	0	95	88	183	183	377
17:30 17:45	4	158	0	162	0	0	0	0	162	0	0	0	0	0	86	90	176	176	338
17:45 18:00	2	186	0	188	0	0	0	0	188	0	0	0	0	0	85	58	143	143	331
Total:	265	6748	0	7013	0	0	0	0	7013	0	0	0	0	0	3437	2700	6137	6137	13,150

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

January 13, 2023 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

KENT ST CATHERINE ST

Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
08:00 08:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
8:15 08:30	1	0	1	0	2	2	3
08:30 08:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
8:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
9:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
9:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:30 11:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1:45 12:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
2:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
2:15 12:30	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
2:30 12:45	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
2:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
3:15 13:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
5:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
5:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
5:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
6:00 16:15	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
6:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	3	3	3
6:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
6:45 17:00	0	1	1	1	0	1	2
7:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
7:15 17:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
7:30 17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
7:45 18:00	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
Total	8	2	10	3	13	16	26

January 13, 2023 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

KENT ST CATHERINE ST

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	2	9	11	3	0	3	14
07:15 07:30	0	4	4	0	3	3	7
07:30 07:45	4	9	13	5	9	14	27
07:45 08:00	7	8	15	4	6	10	25
08:00 08:15	8	12	20	5	8	13	33
08:15 08:30	8	9	17	6	20	26	43
08:30 08:45	3	11	14	0	72	72	86
08:45 09:00	10	10	20	3	15	18	38
09:00 09:15	8	6	14	2	5	7	21
09:15 09:30	4	7	11	4	5	9	20
09:30 09:45	8	15	23	2	2	4	27
09:45 10:00	6	8	14	8	2	10	24
11:30 11:45	8	10	18	2	6	8	26
11:45 12:00	4	12	16	2	6	8	24
12:00 12:15	12	12	24	5	3	8	32
12:15 12:30	5	11	16	3	6	9	25
12:30 12:45	5	6	11	2	0	2	13
12:45 13:00	9	15	24	9	2	11	35
13:00 13:15	7	8	15	0	3	3	18
13:15 13:30	6	11	17	0	7	7	24
15:00 15:15	5	13	18	3	9	12	30
15:15 15:30	8	15	23	4	77	81	104
15:30 15:45	10	12	22	2	2	4	26
15:45 16:00	8	8	16	3	9	12	28
16:00 16:15	8	14	22	1	9	10	32
16:15 16:30	10	12	22	5	11	16	38
16:30 16:45	8	4	12	1	2	3	15
16:45 17:00	4	15	19	0	12	12	31
17:00 17:15	8	10	18	3	13	16	34
17:15 17:30	7	9	16	3	12	15	31
17:30 17:45	4	14	18	2	8	10	28
17:45 18:00	1	5	6	0	8	8	14
Total	205	324	529	92	352	444	973

January 13, 2023 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

KENT ST CATHERINE ST

	N	orthbou	ınd		Sc	uthbou	nd			Eastbound Westbound									
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	11	0	11	1	12	23	13
07:15 07:30	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	4	6	0	0	0	5	0	5	2	7	12	9
07:30 07:45	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	6	8	0	0	0	6	0	5	5	10	16	12
07:45 08:00	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	7	11	0	0	0	4	0	4	3	7	11	11
08:00 08:15	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	5	8	0	0	0	10	0	10	2	12	22	15
08:15 08:30	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	0	4	0	4	1	5	9	8
08:30 08:45	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	7	14	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	7	14	14
08:45 09:00	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	7	12	0	0	0	4	0	4	2	6	10	11
09:00 09:15	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	8	14	0	0	0	4	0	4	2	6	10	12
09:15 09:30	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	6	10	0	0	0	10	0	10	2	12	22	16
09:30 09:45	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	5	9	0	0	0	7	0	7	1	8	15	12
09:45 10:00	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	12	19	0	0	0	4	0	4	5	9	13	16
11:30 11:45	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	6	11	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	3	5	8
11:45 12:00	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	4	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	10	7
12:00 12:15	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	6	6
12:15 12:30	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	10	16	0	0	0	8	0	8	4	12	20	18
12:30 12:45	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	8	0	0	0	7	0	7	0	7	14	11
12:45 13:00	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	5	9	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	5	7
13:00 13:15	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	6	10	0	0	0	5	0	5	2	7	12	11
13:15 13:30	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	3	6	0	0	0	5	0	3	2	5	10	8
15:00 15:15	1	1	0	2	0	0	0	10	12	0	0	0	8	0	7	9	16	24	18
15:15 15:30	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	10	12	0	0	0	4	0	4	8	12	16	14
15:30 15:45	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	12	16	0	0	0	7	0	7	8	15	22	19
15:45 16:00	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	6	11	0	0	0	5	0	5	1	6	11	11
16:00 16:15	1	6	0	7	0	0	0	13	20	0	0	0	2	0	1	7	8	10	15
16:15 16:30	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	7	0	7	1	8	15	10
16:30 16:45	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	5	7	0	0	0	3	0	3	3	6	9	8
16:45 17:00	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	3	8	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	6	7
17:00 17:15	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	7	11	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	4	5	8
17:15 17:30	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	4	8	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	6
17:30 17:45	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	5	6
17:45 18:00	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	4	7	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	5
Total: None	8	114	0	122	0	0	0	193	315	0	0	0	159	0	151	79	230	389	352

January 13, 2023 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40741

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total KENT ST CATHERINE ST


Time I	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
To	otal	0	0	0	0	0

January 13, 2023 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36



January 13, 2023 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740
Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




January 13, 2023 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740
Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision



Comments


2023-Jan-13 Page 3 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740
Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision



Comments

2023-Jan-13 Page 2 of 9



CATHERINE ST

0

0

0

691

691

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 **Start Time:** 07:00 Device: Miovision LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Heavy **Vehicles**

20

0

0


671


0


0

0

Cars







Cars

0

0

Heavy **Vehicles**

WO No:

40740

Total

Comments

2023-Jan-13 Page 1 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

.90

LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36 CATHERINE ST

	Nor	thbou	nd		So	uthbou	und			Ea	astbou	nd		V	Vestbou	ınd			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Tota
07:00 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	223	94	317	317	0	0	0	0	150	192	0	342	342	659
08:00 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	258	123	381	381	0	0	0	0	222	219	0	441	441	822
09:00 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	213	108	321	321	0	0	0	0	183	244	0	427	427	748
11:30 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	279	122	401	401	0	0	0	0	236	265	0	501	501	902
12:30 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	267	110	377	377	0	0	0	0	241	269	0	510	510	887
15:00 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	402	213	615	615	0	0	0	0	200	407	0	607	607	1222
16:00 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	297	301	598	598	0	0	0	0	169	344	0	513	513	1111
17:00 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	366	246	612	612	0	0	0	0	171	218	0	389	389	1001
Sub Total	0	0	0	0	0	2305	1317	3622	3622	0	0	0	0	1572	2158	0	3730	3730	7352
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	0	0	2305	1317	3622	3622	0	0	0	0	1572	2158	0	3730	3730	7352
EQ 12Hr	0	0	0	0	0	3204	1831	5035	5035	0	0	0	0	2185	3000	0	5185	5185	10219
Note: These v	alues ar	e calcul	ated by	/ multiply	ing the	totals b	by the a	ppropriate	e expansi	ion facto	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	0	0	0	0	0	3777	2158	4532	4532	0	0	0	0	1966	2700	0	4666	4666	9197
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	olying th	he Equi	valent 1	2 hr. tota	ls by the	AADT fa	actor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	0	0	0	0	0	4948	2827	5937	5937	0	0	0	0	2575	3537	0	6112	6112	12048
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	olying tl	he Aver	age Dai	ly 12 hr. 1	totals by	12 to 24	expans	sion fac	tor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

January 13, 2023 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740

Start Time: 07:00 **Device:** Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

CATHERINE ST

		No	orthbou		ZUDI		uthbou	nd			E	astbour	nd		We	estboun	ıd			
Time Perio	iod	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:	:15	0	0	0	0	0	44	20	64	64	0	0	0	0	37	51	0	88	88	152
07:15 07:	:30	0	0	0	0	0	58	19	77	77	0	0	0	0	24	38	0	62	62	139
07:30 07:	:45	0	0	0	0	0	64	30	94	94	0	0	0	0	45	50	0	95	95	189
07:45 08:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	57	25	82	82	0	0	0	0	44	53	0	97	97	179
08:00 08:	:15	0	0	0	0	0	62	27	89	89	0	0	0	0	57	57	0	114	114	203
08:15 08:	:30	0	0	0	0	0	66	29	95	95	0	0	0	0	59	53	0	112	112	207
08:30 08:	:45	0	0	0	0	0	74	38	112	112	0	0	0	0	56	57	0	113	113	225
08:45 09:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	56	29	85	85	0	0	0	0	50	52	0	102	102	187
09:00 09:	:15	0	0	0	0	0	57	34	91	91	0	0	0	0	46	56	0	102	102	193
09:15 09:	:30	0	0	0	0	0	61	28	89	89	0	0	0	0	53	56	0	109	109	198
09:30 09:	:45	0	0	0	0	0	64	26	90	90	0	0	0	0	50	61	0	111	111	201
09:45 10:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	31	20	51	51	0	0	0	0	34	71	0	105	105	156
11:30 11:	:45	0	0	0	0	0	56	31	87	87	0	0	0	0	62	74	0	136	136	223
11:45 12:	2:00	0	0	0	0	0	68	28	96	96	0	0	0	0	53	58	0	111	111	207
12:00 12:	::15	0	0	0	0	0	73	31	104	104	0	0	0	0	53	72	0	125	125	229
12:15 12:	::30	0	0	0	0	0	82	32	114	114	0	0	0	0	68	61	0	129	129	243
12:30 12:	:45	0	0	0	0	0	65	29	94	94	0	0	0	0	54	72	0	126	126	220
12:45 13:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	71	30	101	101	0	0	0	0	62	74	0	136	136	237
13:00 13:	:15	0	0	0	0	0	80	25	105	105	0	0	0	0	62	51	0	113	113	218
13:15 13:	:30	0	0	0	0	0	51	26	77	77	0	0	0	0	63	72	0	135	135	212
15:00 15:	:15	0	0	0	0	0	132	47	179	179	0	0	0	0	61	72	0	133	133	312
15:15 15:	:30	0	0	0	0	0	100	62	162	162	0	0	0	0	50	114	0	164	164	326
15:30 15:	:45	0	0	0	0	0	92	52	144	144	0	0	0	0	43	131	0	174	174	318
15:45 16:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	78	52	130	130	0	0	0	0	46	90	0	136	136	266
	:15	0	0	0	0	0	73	89	162	162	0	0	0	0	53	101	0	154	154	316
	:30	0	0	0	0	0	88	76	164	164	0	0	0	0	46	115	0	161	161	325
	:45	0	0	0	0	0	69	62	131	131	0	0	0	0	36	63	0	99	99	230
16:45 17:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	67	74	141	141	0	0	0	0	34	65	0	99	99	240
17:00 17:	:15	0	0	0	0	0	93	77	170	170	0	0	0	0	48	57	0	105	105	275
17:15 17:	:30	0	0	0	0	0	110	60	170	170	0	0	0	0	50	61	0	111	111	281
	:45	0	0	0	0	0	84	68	152	152	0	0	0	0	35	52	0	87	87	239
17:45 18:	:00	0	0	0	0	0	79	41	120	120	0	0	0	0	38	48	0	86	86	206
Total:		0	0	0	0	0	2305	1317	3622	3622	0	0	0	0	1572	2158	0	3730	3730	7,352

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

January 13, 2023 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

CATHERINE ST

		IVI TII LION	10 12021100		OATTILININE C	· •	
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
11:30 11:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
11:45 12:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	0	2	2	2
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17:30 17:45	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
17:45 18:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
Total	0	4	4	1	6	7	11

January 13, 2023 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

CATHERINE ST

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	4	5	0	0	0	5
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1
07:30 07:45	1	3	4	0	0	0	4
07:45 08:00	1	3	4	0	0	0	4
08:00 08:15	1	8	9	0	3	3	12
08:15 08:30	2	5	7	3	1	4	11
08:30 08:45	4	2	6	4	1	5	11
08:45 09:00	1	7	8	1	2	3	11
09:00 09:15	3	6	9	1	1	2	11
09:15 09:30	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
09:30 09:45	7	2	9	5	0	5	14
09:45 10:00	8	2	10	2	0	2	12
11:30 11:45	6	2	8	0	2	2	10
11:45 12:00	5	7	12	0	1	1	13
12:00 12:15	14	7	21	3	0	3	24
12:15 12:30	5	6	11	1	1	2	13
12:30 12:45	7	5	12	1	0	1	13
12:45 13:00	3	5	8	1	0	1	9
13:00 13:15	1	4	5	4	1	5	10
13:15 13:30	7	7	14	3	2	5	19
15:00 15:15	9	11	20	6	0	6	26
15:15 15:30	4	6	10	5	1	6	16
15:30 15:45	0	11	11	6	1	7	18
15:45 16:00	7	5	12	3	0	3	15
16:00 16:15	3	5	8	2	0	2	10
16:15 16:30	4	6	10	5	2	7	17
16:30 16:45	1	1	2	1	0	1	3
16:45 17:00	3	3	6	3	0	3	9
17:00 17:15	3	9	12	4	0	4	16
17:15 17:30	1	7	8	2	0	2	10
17:30 17:45	1	2	3	0	0	0	3
17:45 18:00	1	1	2	0	0	0	2
Total	115	153	268	67	19	86	354

January 13, 2023 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON
IC120BR36
Northhound

CATHERINE ST

		No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			E	astbour	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time P	eriod	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	8	0	4	0	4	12	0	0	0	9	4	9	0	13	22	17
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	7	0	5	0	5	12	0	0	0	3	2	3	0	5	8	10
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	7	6
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	5	0	5	10	5
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	7	4	7	0	11	18	11
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	5	1	5	0	6	11	7
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	5	9	7
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	8	6
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	7	4
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	4	5	4	0	9	13	9
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	5	0	3	0	3	8	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	4	6	7
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	6	0	6	0	6	12	7
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	2	3	0	0	0	4	1	2	0	3	7	5
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	6	0	3	1	4	10	0	0	0	6	3	5	0	8	14	12
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	8	6
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	5	0	4	0	4	9	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	5	9	9
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	4	2	4	0	6	10	7
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	3	7	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	4
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	3	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	3	2	3	0	5	8	6
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	3	1	2	0	3	6	4
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	0	0	0	6	4	6	0	10	16	11
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5	4
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2	5	0	0	0	6	2	5	0	7	13	9
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	8	4
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	4	6	0	0	0	8	0	6	0	6	14	10
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	6	2	6	0	8	14	8
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	0	3	5	3
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	8	5
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	2
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	4	6	4
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total:	None	0	0	0	84	0	40	7	47	131	0	0	0	126	44	119	0	163	289	210

January 13, 2023 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

Survey Date: Wednesday, April 18, 2018 WO No: 40740

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total


		LYON ST/HWY		CAT	HERINE ST	
Time	Period	IC120BR Northbound U-Turn Total	36 Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
To	otal	0	0	0	0	0

January 13, 2023 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST



April 5, 2023 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




April 5, 2023 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST



Heavy

Vehicles

Total


Comments

2023-Apr-05 Page 3 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST



Comments

2023-Apr-05 Page 1 of 9

0

220

0

220

0

0


0

Total



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST



Comments

2023-Apr-05 Page 2 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0

.90

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

			PE	RCY S	ST							CAT	HERII	NE ST					
	Nor	thbou	nd		So	uthbou	ınd			Ea	astbou	ınd		٧	Vestbou	ınd			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	83	39	122	122	0	0	0	0	45	161	0	206	206	328
08:00 09:00	0	0	0	0	0	129	57	186	186	0	0	0	0	71	234	0	305	305	491
09:00 10:00	0	0	0	0	0	79	33	112	112	0	0	0	0	84	216	0	300	300	412
11:30 12:30	0	0	0	0	0	84	36	120	120	0	0	0	0	136	321	0	457	457	577
12:30 13:30	2	0	0	2	0	64	33	97	99	0	0	0	0	89	279	0	368	368	467
15:00 16:00	0	0	0	0	0	103	58	161	161	0	0	0	0	126	553	0	679	679	840
16:00 17:00	0	0	0	0	0	119	43	162	162	0	0	0	0	162	644	0	806	806	968
17:00 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	125	63	188	188	0	0	1	1	120	442	0	562	563	751
Sub Total	2	0	0	2	0	786	362	1148	1150	0	0	1	1	833	2850	0	3683	3684	4834
U Turns				0				0	0				0				0	0	0
Total	2	0	0	2	0	786	362	1148	1150	0	0	1	1	833	2850	0	3683	3684	4834
EQ 12Hr	3	0	0	3	0	1093	503	1596	1598	0	0	1	1	1158	3961	0	5119	5121	6719
Note: These v	alues ar	e calcul	ated by	/ multiply	ing the	totals b	y the a	ppropriat	e expansi	ion facto	or.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	3	0	0	3	0	1288	593	1436	1438	0	0	1	1	1042	3565	0	4607	4609	6047
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	olying th	he Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. tota	ls by the	AADT f	actor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	4	0	0	4	0	1687	777	1881	1884	0	0	1	1	1365	4670	0	6035	6038	7922
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	olying tl	he Avera	age Dai	ly 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 24	expan	sion fac	ctor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

April 5, 2023 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

PERCY ST

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments CATHERINE ST

Southbound Westbound Northbound Eastbound S **STR** w STR Grand Ε LT **Time Period** ST RT LT ST RT LT ST RT LT ST RT TOT TOT TOT TOT TOT TOT Total 07:00 07:15 07:15 07:30 O n n 07:30 07:45 07:45 08:00 08:00 08:15 08:15 08:30 08:30 08:45 08:45 09:00 09:00 09:15 09:15 09:30 09:30 09:45 09:45 10:00 11:30 11:45 11:45 12:00 12:00 12:15 12:15 12:30 12:30 12:45 12:45 13:00 13:00 13:15 13:15 13:30 15:00 | 15:15 15:15 15:30 15:30 15:45 16:00 15:45 16:00 16:15 O n n 16:15 16:30 16:30 16:45 16:45 17:00 17:00 17:15 17:15 17:30 17:30 17:45 O 17:45 18:00 4,834 Total:

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

April 5, 2023 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

PERCY ST CATHERINE ST

Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	- Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
07:15 07:30	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:00 08:15	2	1	3	0	0	0	3
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	0	1	1	1
08:45 09:00	1	0	1	2	0	2	3
09:00 09:15	2	0	2	0	0	0	2
09:15 09:30	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
09:30 09:45	2	0	2	1	0	1	3
09:45 10:00	4	0	4	0	0	0	4
11:30 11:45	1	1	2	0	3	3	5
11:45 12:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
12:15 12:30	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
12:30 12:45	0	3	3	0	0	0	3
12:45 13:00	0	1	1	0	2	2	3
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
13:15 13:30	3	2	5	1	1	2	7
15:00 15:15	1	1	2	0	1	1	3
15:15 15:30	2	0	2	0	3	3	5
15:30 15:45	1	3	4	1	1	2	6
15:45 16:00	0	1	1	0	0	0	1
16:00 16:15	2	1	3	0	1	1	4
16:15 16:30	1	4	5	0	0	0	5
16:30 16:45	3	1	4	0	0	0	4
16:45 17:00	0	3	3	0	1	1	4
17:00 17:15	1	4	5	0	0	0	5
17:15 17:30	0	10	10	0	1	1	11
17:30 17:45	0	4	4	0	2	2	6
17:45 18:00	0	6	6	0	1	1	7
Total	31	49	80	5	18	23	103

April 5, 2023 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

PERCY ST CATHERINE ST

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	1	2	3	3	6	8
07:15 07:30	1	3	4	8	3	11	15
07:30 07:45	2	0	2	12	1	13	15
07:45 08:00	2	1	3	10	2	12	15
08:00 08:15	2	2	4	15	3	18	22
08:15 08:30	2	7	9	13	4	17	26
08:30 08:45	6	5	11	23	5	28	39
08:45 09:00	3	4	7	14	4	18	25
09:00 09:15	3	2	5	9	5	14	19
09:15 09:30	0	1	1	1	2	3	4
09:30 09:45	0	2	2	4	1	5	7
09:45 10:00	0	3	3	6	0	6	9
11:30 11:45	2	0	2	3	3	6	8
11:45 12:00	7	2	9	10	1	11	20
12:00 12:15	9	4	13	6	4	10	23
12:15 12:30	3	7	10	4	2	6	16
12:30 12:45	3	3	6	4	0	4	10
12:45 13:00	4	5	9	3	0	3	12
13:00 13:15	4	3	7	4	7	11	18
13:15 13:30	3	2	5	2	1	3	8
15:00 15:15	8	2	10	17	6	23	33
15:15 15:30	2	12	14	7	5	12	26
15:30 15:45	5	5	10	8	1	9	19
15:45 16:00	0	5	5	5	1	6	11
16:00 16:15	8	5	13	13	1	14	27
16:15 16:30	2	6	8	9	0	9	17
16:30 16:45	0	12	12	14	3	17	29
16:45 17:00	6	10	16	14	6	20	36
17:00 17:15	0	9	9	16	2	18	27
17:15 17:30	5	4	9	17	2	19	28
17:30 17:45	1	4	5	13	3	16	21
17:45 18:00	1	2	3	9	3	12	15
Total	95	133	228	296	84	380	608

April 5, 2023 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

PERCY ST CATHERINE ST

	No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd			Е	astboui	nd		We	estbour	nd			
Time Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	5	2	4	0	6	11	7
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	4	1	3	0	4	8	5
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	2
07:45 08:00	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	5	2	4	0	6	11	7
08:00 08:15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	10	1	10	0	11	21	11
08:15 08:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5	4
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	2	0	1	1	2	4	0	0	0	8	1	7	0	8	16	10
08:45 09:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	7	4
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	7	0	1	0	1	8	0	0	0	7	6	7	0	13	20	14
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	7	4
09:30 09:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	6	0	2	1	3	9	0	0	0	4	4	3	0	7	11	10
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	6	1	6	0	7	13	8
11:45 12:00	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	3	5	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	3	4
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	1	4	0	5	9	5
12:15 12:30	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	4	3	4	0	7	11	7
12:30 12:45	2	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	4	2	2	0	4	8	6
12:45 13:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3	2
13:00 13:15	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	4	2	4	0	6	10	6
13:15 13:30	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	3	2	3	0	5	8	5
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	2	5	0	0	0	3	2	2	0	4	7	6
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	4	2	3	0	5	9	6
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	4	1	3	0	4	8	5
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	7	4
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	8	3	8	0	11	19	11
16:15 16:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	1	5	0	6	11	6
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1
16:45 17:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	8	5
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	4	0	2	0	2	6	0	0	0	1	2	1	0	3	4	5
17:15 17:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	3	6	3
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	2
17:45 18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	2
Total: None	2	0	0	58	0	12	11	23	81	0	0	0	122	44	109	0	153	275	178

April 5, 2023 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

CATHERINE ST @ PERCY ST

Survey Date: Thursday, April 19, 2018 WO No: 40917

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total PERCY ST CATHERINE ST


Time I	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
To	otal	0	0	0	0	0

April 5, 2023 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST



July 9, 2021 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




July 9, 2021 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST




Comments

2021-Jul-09 Page 1 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST




Comments

2021-Jul-09 Page 2 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST



Comments

2021-Jul-09 Page 3 of 3



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0 .90

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 0

			K	ENT S	Т							GLAD	OTEC	NE AVI	Ξ				
	No	orthbou	ınd		Sou	ıthbou	nd			Е	astbou	ınd		٧	/estbo	und			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	14	1536	96	1646	0	0	0	0	1646	61	214	0	275	0	100	104	204	479	2125
08:00 09:00	42	1679	98	1819	0	0	0	0	1819	82	291	0	373	0	172	130	302	675	2494
09:00 10:00	34	943	107	1084	0	0	0	0	1084	76	256	0	332	0	173	76	249	581	1665
11:30 12:30	36	633	80	749	0	0	0	0	749	55	288	0	343	0	182	77	259	602	1351
12:30 13:30	33	569	97	699	0	0	0	0	699	54	321	0	375	0	201	74	275	650	1349
15:00 16:00	47	667	108	822	0	0	0	0	822	66	394	0	460	0	237	72	309	769	1591
16:00 17:00	52	722	106	880	0	0	0	0	880	75	450	0	525	0	324	75	399	924	1804
17:00 18:00	60	791	101	952	0	0	0	0	952	86	371	0	457	0	248	83	331	788	1740
Sub Total	318	7540	793	8651	0	0	0	0	8651	555	2585	0	3140	0	1637	691	2328	5468	14119
U Turns	0			0	0			0	0	0			0	0			0	0	0
Total	318	7540	793	8651	0	0	0	0	8651	555	2585	0	3140	0	1637	691	2328	5468	14119
EQ 12Hr	442	10481	1102	12025	0	0	0	0	12025	771	3593	0	4364	0	2275	960	3235	7599	19624
Note: These	values a	are calcu	ılated b	y multiply	ing the	totals b	y the a	opropriat	te expans	ion fac	tor.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	398	9433	992	10823	0	0	0	0	10823	694	3234	0	3928	0	2048	864	2912	6840	17663
Note: These	volume	s are cal	culated	by multip	olying th	e Equiv	alent 1	2 hr. tota	als by the	AADT	factor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	521	12357	1300	14178	0	0	0	0	14178	909	4237	0	5146	0	2683	1132	3815	8961	23139
Note: These	volume	s are cal	culated	by multip	olying th	e Avera	ige Dail	ly 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 2	4 expan	sion fac	ctor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

July 9, 2021 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

KENT ST

Full Study 15 Minute Increments GLADSTONE AVE

		No	Northbound Southbound Eastbound					Westbound												
Time I	Period	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00	07:15	1	319	24	344	0	0	0	0	344	17	51	0	68	0	20	10	30	98	442
07:15	07:30	2	382	24	408	0	0	0	0	408	13	40	0	53	0	18	21	39	92	500
07:30	07:45	6	402	25	433	0	0	0	0	433	15	64	0	79	0	22	31	53	132	565
07:45	08:00	5	433	23	461	0	0	0	0	461	16	59	0	75	0	40	42	82	157	618
08:00	08:15	8	428	33	469	0	0	0	0	469	22	79	0	101	0	31	42	73	174	643
08:15	08:30	7	435	19	461	0	0	0	0	461	22	70	0	92	0	50	28	78	170	631
08:30	08:45	16	402	22	440	0	0	0	0	440	22	69	0	91	0	44	36	80	171	611
08:45	09:00	11	414	24	449	0	0	0	0	449	16	73	0	89	0	47	24	71	160	609
09:00	09:15	6	319	28	353	0	0	0	0	353	17	66	0	83	0	51	31	82	165	518
09:15	09:30	14	243	26	283	0	0	0	0	283	27	66	0	93	0	33	17	50	143	426
09:30	09:45	4	177	29	210	0	0	0	0	210	15	61	0	76	0	41	15	56	132	342
09:45	10:00	10	204	24	238	0	0	0	0	238	17	63	0	80	0	48	13	61	141	379
11:30	11:45	6	158	12	176	0	0	0	0	176	14	67	0	81	0	33	18	51	132	308
11:45	12:00	8	167	28	203	0	0	0	0	203	17	82	0	99	0	55	25	80	179	382
12:00	12:15	14	153	25	192	0	0	0	0	192	13	65	0	78	0	44	19	63	141	333
12:15	12:30	8	155	15	178	0	0	0	0	178	11	74	0	85	0	50	15	65	150	328
12:30	12:45	9	149	20	178	0	0	0	0	178	15	78	0	93	0	56	14	70	163	341
12:45	13:00	6	153	19	178	0	0	0	0	178	12	66	0	78	0	47	22	69	147	325
13:00	13:15	15	141	32	188	0	0	0	0	188	16	96	0	112	0	48	19	67	179	367
13:15	13:30	3	126	26	155	0	0	0	0	155	11	81	0	92	0	50	19	69	161	316
15:00	15:15	11	154	15	180	0	0	0	0	180	20	85	0	105	0	59	19	78	183	363
15:15	15:30	14	157	28	199	0	0	0	0	199	18	102	0	120	0	56	21	77	197	396
15:30	15:45	9	167	21	197	0	0	0	0	197	16	107	0	123	0	65	14	79	202	399
15:45	16:00	13	189	44	246	0	0	0	0	246	12	100	0	112	0	57	18	75	187	433
16:00	16:15	14	172	23	209	0	0	0	0	209	14	118	0	132	0	86	25	111	243	452
16:15	16:30	9	195	28	232	0	0	0	0	232	24	111	0	135	0	71	19	90	225	457
16:30	16:45	16	167	18	201	0	0	0	0	201	19	116	0	135	0	89	18	107	242	443
16:45	17:00	13	188	37	238	0	0	0	0	238	18	105	0	123	0	78	13	91	214	452
17:00	17:15	19	176	29	224	0	0	0	0	224	20	99	0	119	0	69	17	86	205	429
17:15	17:30	14	201	27	242	0	0	0	0	242	26	109	0	135	0	53	25	78	213	455
17:30	17:45	15	215	26	256	0	0	0	0	256	25	93	0	118	0	69	21	90	208	464
17:45	18:00	12	199	19	230	0	0	0	0	230	15	70	0	85	0	57	20	77	162	392
Total:		318	7540	793	8651	0	0	0	0	8651	555	2585	0	3140	0	1637	691	2328	8651	14,119

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

July 9, 2021 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

KENT ST GLADSTONE AVE

		IXEIVI OI			· · · ·	<u></u>		
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total	
07:00 07:15	1	0	1	2	3	5	6	
07:15 07:30	1	0	1	3	2	5	6	
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	4	5	9	9	
07:45 08:00	3	0	3	6	2	8	11	
08:00 08:15	2	0	2	9	3	12	14	
08:15 08:30	4	0	4	6	1	7	11	
08:30 08:45	0	0	0	7	5	12	12	
08:45 09:00	7	0	7	7	4	11	18	
09:00 09:15	0	0	0	7	1	8	8	
09:15 09:30	7	0	7	1	2	3	10	
09:30 09:45	1	0	1	2	1	3	4	
09:45 10:00	0	0	0	3	2	5	5	
11:30 11:45	0	0	0	3	3	6	6	
11:45 12:00	1	0	1	1	4	5	6	
12:00 12:15	0	0	0	6	2	8	8	
12:15 12:30	1	0	1	2	2	4	5	
12:30 12:45	1	0	1	3	4	7	8	
12:45 13:00	3	0	3	2	7	9	12	
13:00 13:15	1	0	1	3	1	4	5	
13:15 13:30	3	0	3	0	1	1	4	
15:00 15:15	0	0	0	4	1	5	5	
15:15 15:30	0	1	1	1	0	1	2	
15:30 15:45	0	0	0	3	2	5	5	
15:45 16:00	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	
16:00 16:15	0	0	0	4	2	6	6	
16:15 16:30	3	0	3	1	7	8	11	
16:30 16:45	0	0	0	2	7	9	9	
16:45 17:00	1	0	1	4	5	9	10	
17:00 17:15	0	0	0	6	5	11	11	
17:15 17:30	1	0	1	4	7	11	12	
17:30 17:45	0	0	0	3	3	6	6	
17:45 18:00	1	0	1	3	1	4	5	
Total	42	1	43	112	97	209	252	

July 9, 2021 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

KENT ST GLADSTONE AVE

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	2	4	6	3	4	7	13
07:15 07:30	3	9	12	9	5	14	26
07:30 07:45	8	5	13	16	5	21	34
07:45 08:00	9	8	17	13	8	21	38
08:00 08:15	10	11	21	18	12	30	51
08:15 08:30	17	24	41	21	17	38	79
08:30 08:45	17	15	32	14	18	32	64
08:45 09:00	12	10	22	13	10	23	45
09:00 09:15	6	11	17	7	14	21	38
09:15 09:30	6	6	12	8	6	14	26
09:30 09:45	6	13	19	4	4	8	27
09:45 10:00	6	15	21	8	7	15	36
11:30 11:45	9	6	15	11	5	16	31
11:45 12:00	5	13	18	4	8	12	30
12:00 12:15	13	16	29	8	12	20	49
12:15 12:30	12	13	25	14	17	31	56
12:30 12:45	16	15	31	14	9	23	54
12:45 13:00	14	10	24	3	9	12	36
13:00 13:15	15	16	31	4	13	17	48
13:15 13:30	12	16	28	6	8	14	42
15:00 15:15	10	14	24	5	12	17	41
15:15 15:30	12	6	18	17	15	32	50
15:30 15:45	13	11	24	2	16	18	42
15:45 16:00	12	9	21	5	11	16	37
16:00 16:15	12	11	23	9	10	19	42
16:15 16:30	17	12	29	17	14	31	60
16:30 16:45	18	10	28	9	12	21	49
16:45 17:00	16	11	27	13	12	25	52
17:00 17:15	23	13	36	13	13	26	62
17:15 17:30	8	17	25	13	14	27	52
17:30 17:45	20	12	32	9	10	19	51
17:45 18:00	15	13	28	12	6	18	46
Total	374	375	749	322	336	658	1407

July 9, 2021 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

KENT ST GLADSTONE AVE

		No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd		Eastbound				Westbound						
Time Pe	eriod	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 0	7:15	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	3	2	0	5	0	2	0	2	7	12
07:15 0	7:30	1	3	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	2	0	3	0	1	1	2	5	10
07:30 0	7:45	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	5	0	6	0	5	0	5	11	16
07:45 0	00:80	0	5	1	6	0	0	0	0	6	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	6	12
08:00	8:15	0	7	1	8	0	0	0	0	8	3	4	0	7	0	2	2	4	11	19
08:15 0	08:30	0	10	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	3	0	3	0	4	0	4	7	17
08:30 0	8:45	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	6	0	6	0	4	0	4	10	17
08:45 0	9:00	2	7	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	2	3	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	14
09:00 0	9:15	2	5	3	10	0	0	0	0	10	0	5	0	5	0	3	2	5	10	20
09:15 0	9:30	0	4	1	5	0	0	0	0	5	0	2	0	2	0	3	0	3	5	10
09:30 0	9:45	0	9	1	10	0	0	0	0	10	1	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	5	15
09:45 1	0:00	0	6	0	6	0	0	0	0	6	1	3	0	4	0	7	0	7	11	17
11:30 1	1:45	0	5	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	2	0	3	0	2	0	2	5	10
11:45 1:	2:00	1	7	1	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	2	0	2	0	3	0	3	5	14
12:00 1	2:15	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	2	1	3	7	11
12:15 1:	2:30	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	4	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	11
12:30 1:	2:45	1	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	4	0	4	0	3	0	3	7	10
12:45 1	3:00	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	1	3	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	7
13:00 1	3:15	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	0	3	0	3	5	8
13:15 1:	3:30	1	4	0	5	0	0	0	0	5	1	7	0	8	0	2	0	2	10	15
	5:15	0	13	1	14	0	0	0	0	14	1	4	0	5	0	5	1	6	11	25
15:15 1	5:30	0	11	2	13	0	0	0	0	13	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	16
15:30 1	5:45	0	12	0	12	0	0	0	0	12	0	6	0	6	0	3	0	3	9	21
15:45 1	6:00	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	1	2	0	3	0	3	1	4	7	16
	6:15	0	8	0	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	4	0	4	0	2	0	2	6	14
16:15 1	6:30	0	9	0	9	0	0	0	0	9	0	5	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	15
	6:45	0	7	1	8	0	0	0	0	8	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	10
16:45 1	7:00	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	3	0	3	0	1	0	1	4	8
17:00 1	7:15	1	6	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	1	4	0	5	0	1	0	1	6	13
	7:30	0	7	0	7	0	0	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
	7:45	0	4	0	4	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	0	4	0	1	0	1	5	9
17:45 1	8:00	0	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	3	5
Total: N	None	11	196	13	220	0	0	0	0	220	19	102	0	121	0	74	9	83	204	424

July 9, 2021 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ KENT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, April 25, 2017 WO No: 36848

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total KENT ST GLADSTONE AVE


Time F	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	0
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	0	0
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
То	tal	0	0	0	0	0

July 9, 2021 Page 8 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST



December 14, 2022 Page 1 of 8




Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram




December 14, 2022 Page 2 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST




Comments

2022-Dec-14 Page 3 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST




Comments

2022-Dec-14 Page 2 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST



Comments

2022-Dec-14 Page 1 of 9



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 Total Observed U-Turns AADT Factor

Northbound: 0 Southbound: 0

.90

Eastbound: 0 Westbound: 3

			L'	YON S	Т					GLADSTONE AVE									
	Northbound				So	uthbou	und			Е	astbou	ınd		٧	Vestbou	ınd			
Period	LT	ST	RT	NB TOT	LT	ST	RT	SB TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	EB TOT	LT	ST	RT	WB TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 08:00	0	0	0	0	60	133	66	259	259	0	125	6	131	7	88	0	95	226	485
08:00 09:00	0	0	0	0	92	149	98	339	339	0	155	9	164	9	104	0	113	277	616
09:00 10:00	0	0	0	0	60	143	82	285	285	0	184	18	202	2	137	0	139	341	626
11:30 12:30	0	0	0	0	89	176	113	378	378	0	217	22	239	20	197	0	217	456	834
12:30 13:30	0	0	0	0	91	172	103	366	366	1	227	20	248	17	204	0	221	469	835
15:00 16:00	0	0	0	0	96	212	122	430	430	0	198	18	216	14	216	0	230	446	876
16:00 17:00	0	0	0	0	85	273	143	501	501	0	229	25	254	12	315	0	327	581	1082
17:00 18:00	0	0	0	0	94	263	117	474	474	0	237	25	262	16	245	0	261	523	997
Sub Total	0	0	0	0	667	1521	844	3032	3032	1	1572	143	1716	97	1506	0	1603	3319	6351
U Turns				0				0	0				0				3	3	3
Total	0	0	0	0	667	1521	844	3032	3032	1	1572	143	1716	97	1506	0	1606	3322	6354
EQ 12Hr	0	0	0	0	927	2114	1173	4214	4214	1	2185	199	2385	135	2093	0	2232	4618	8832
Note: These v	alues ar	e calcul	ated by	y multiply	ing the	totals b	y the a	ppropriat	e expansi	ion fact	tor.			1.39					
AVG 12Hr	0	0	0	0	834	2493	1383	3793	3793	1	1966	179	2146	122	1884	0	2009	4156	7949
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	olying th	he Equi	valent 1	2 hr. tota	ls by the	AADT	factor.			.90					
AVG 24Hr	0	0	0	0	1093	3266	1812	4969	4969	1	2575	234	2811	160	2468	0	2632	5444	10413
Note: These v	olumes	are calc	ulated	by multip	olying tl	he Aver	age Dai	ly 12 hr.	totals by	12 to 2	4 expan	sion fac	ctor.	1.31					

Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

December 14, 2022 Page 3 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute Increments

LYON ST GLADSTONE AVE

		No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbound Eastbound			Westbound										
Time Pe	eriod	LT	ST	RT	N TOT	LT	ST	RT	S TOT	STR TOT	LT	ST	RT	E TOT	LT	ST	RT	W TOT	STR TOT	Grand Total
07:00 C	07:15	0	0	0	0	10	23	13	46	46	0	20	3	23	0	12	0	12	35	81
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	17	29	14	60	60	0	29	0	29	1	23	0	24	53	113
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	18	31	15	64	64	0	43	2	45	3	28	0	31	76	140
07:45	00:80	0	0	0	0	15	50	24	89	89	0	33	1	34	3	25	0	28	62	151
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	0	20	37	20	77	77	0	36	3	39	1	23	0	25	64	141
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	21	40	22	83	83	0	31	1	32	3	17	0	20	52	135
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	31	34	24	89	89	0	44	3	47	5	30	0	35	82	171
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	20	38	32	90	90	0	44	2	46	0	34	0	34	80	170
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	16	34	17	67	67	0	54	4	58	0	47	0	47	105	172
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	22	34	25	81	81	0	42	5	47	0	32	0	32	79	160
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	10	47	19	76	76	0	39	5	44	1	26	0	27	71	147
09:45 1	10:00	0	0	0	0	12	28	21	61	61	0	49	4	53	1	32	0	33	86	147
11:30 1	11:45	0	0	0	0	11	51	31	93	93	0	56	7	63	5	48	0	54	117	210
11:45 1	12:00	0	0	0	0	30	36	23	89	89	0	58	2	60	4	52	0	56	116	205
12:00 1	12:15	0	0	0	0	29	52	27	108	108	0	56	3	59	6	43	0	49	108	216
12:15 1	12:30	0	0	0	0	19	37	32	88	88	0	47	10	57	5	54	0	59	116	204
12:30 1	12:45	0	0	0	0	27	43	27	97	97	0	66	4	70	4	47	0	51	121	218
12:45 1	13:00	0	0	0	0	25	49	27	101	101	0	51	11	62	4	56	0	60	122	223
13:00 1	13:15	0	0	0	0	23	48	22	93	93	1	54	4	59	4	52	0	56	115	208
13:15 1	13:30	0	0	0	0	16	32	27	75	75	0	56	1	57	5	49	0	54	111	186
15:00 1	15:15	0	0	0	0	19	48	29	96	96	0	56	5	61	2	56	0	58	119	215
15:15 1	15:30	0	0	0	0	26	57	36	119	119	0	50	3	53	4	50	0	55	108	227
15:30 1	15:45	0	0	0	0	29	52	27	108	108	0	40	4	44	5	54	0	59	103	211
15:45 1	16:00	0	0	0	0	22	55	30	107	107	0	52	6	58	3	56	0	59	117	224
	16:15	0	0	0	0	21	55	27	103	103	0	50	5	55	2	67	0	69	124	227
	16:30	0	0	0	0	27	79	39	145	145	0	64	8	72	4	79	0	83	155	300
	16:45	0	0	0	0	18	76	44	138	138	0	49	6	55	1	85	0	86	141	279
	17:00	0	0	0	0	19	63	33	115	115	0	66	6	72	5	84	0	89	161	276
	17:15	0	0	0	0	22	71	22	115	115	0	68	7	75	3	66	0	69	144	259
	17:30	0	0	0	0	31	77	27	135	135	0	66	11	77	6	58	0	64	141	276
	17:45	0	0	0	0	21	60	31	112	112	0	59	3	62	4	69	0	73	135	247
17:45 1	18:00	0	0	0	0	20	55	37	112	112	0	44	4	48	3	52	0	55	103	215
Total:		0	0	0	0	667	1521	844	3032	3032	1	1572	143	1716	97	1506	0	1606	3322	6,354

Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

December 14, 2022 Page 4 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Cyclist Volume

LYON ST GLADSTONE AVE

				F411		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Time Period	Northbound	Southbound	Street Total	Eastbound	Westbound	Street Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	0	2	2	3	4	7	9
07:15 07:30	0	0	0	2	1	3	3
07:30 07:45	0	0	0	4	2	6	6
07:45 08:00	1	1	2	7	1	8	10
08:00 08:15	0	4	4	4	3	7	11
08:15 08:30	1	0	1	3	0	3	4
08:30 08:45	1	0	1	2	1	3	4
08:45 09:00	0	3	3	3	1	4	7
09:00 09:15	0	2	2	2	1	3	5
09:15 09:30	0	0	0	5	2	7	7
09:30 09:45	0	1	1	2	2	4	5
09:45 10:00	0	1	1	2	1	3	4
11:30 11:45	0	3	3	2	2	4	7
11:45 12:00	0	3	3	6	4	10	13
12:00 12:15	0	2	2	4	1	5	7
12:15 12:30	2	1	3	4	3	7	10
12:30 12:45	0	0	0	2	3	5	5
12:45 13:00	1	3	4	2	3	5	9
13:00 13:15	0	3	3	5	1	6	9
13:15 13:30	0	7	7	2	1	3	10
15:00 15:15	0	2	2	7	4	11	13
15:15 15:30	0	0	0	9	7	16	16
15:30 15:45	0	2	2	2	2	4	6
15:45 16:00	1	1	2	1	4	5	7
16:00 16:15	4	3	7	10	8	18	25
16:15 16:30	1	4	5	8	5	13	18
16:30 16:45	1	2	3	5	5	10	13
16:45 17:00	1	5	6	4	10	14	20
17:00 17:15	0	4	4	6	5	11	15
17:15 17:30	0	10	10	3	7	10	20
17:30 17:45	0	6	6	2	7	9	15
17:45 18:00	0	2	2	7	7	14	16
Total	14	77	91	130	108	238	329

December 14, 2022 Page 5 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Pedestrian Volume

LYON ST GLADSTONE AVE

Time Period	NB Approach (E or W Crossing)	SB Approach (E or W Crossing)	Total	EB Approach (N or S Crossing)	WB Approach (N or S Crossing)	Total	Grand Total
07:00 07:15	1	0	1	0	0	0	1
07:15 07:30	1	0	1	1	2	3	4
07:30 07:45	1	3	4	1	0	1	5
07:45 08:00	11	6	17	1	9	10	27
08:00 08:15	5	2	7	2	3	5	12
08:15 08:30	2	3	5	4	4	8	13
08:30 08:45	3	5	8	0	4	4	12
08:45 09:00	6	5	11	4	8	12	23
09:00 09:15	1	1	2	3	3	6	8
09:15 09:30	2	8	10	1	5	6	16
09:30 09:45	5	6	11	6	3	9	20
09:45 10:00	11	3	14	3	3	6	20
11:30 11:45	4	7	11	5	2	7	18
11:45 12:00	16	5	21	0	4	4	25
12:00 12:15	6	9	15	5	3	8	23
12:15 12:30	15	12	27	8	3	11	38
12:30 12:45	10	8	18	5	3	8	26
12:45 13:00	8	11	19	6	6	12	31
13:00 13:15	13	5	18	8	4	12	30
13:15 13:30	8	2	10	7	6	13	23
15:00 15:15	4	10	14	5	1	6	20
15:15 15:30	12	15	27	6	2	8	35
15:30 15:45	11	5	16	5	7	12	28
15:45 16:00	5	12	17	6	4	10	27
16:00 16:15	7	5	12	11	1	12	24
16:15 16:30	11	8	19	5	4	9	28
16:30 16:45	9	9	18	4	8	12	30
16:45 17:00	5	10	15	5	3	8	23
17:00 17:15	12	11	23	5	1	6	29
17:15 17:30	19	18	37	17	3	20	57
17:30 17:45	6	10	16	3	7	10	26
17:45 18:00	9	11	20	4	8	12	32
Total	239	225	464	146	124	270	734

December 14, 2022 Page 6 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study Heavy Vehicles

LYON ST GLADSTONE AVE

$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$			No	orthbou	und		Sc	uthbou	ınd		Eastbound			Westbound							
07:15	Time P	eriod	LT	ST	RT		LT	ST	RT	_		LT	ST	RT		LT	ST	RT		-	
07:30 07:45 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 0 2 0 5 0 10 2 5 0 12 22 12 12 07:45 08:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 2 2	07:00	07:15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	4	9	6
07.45	07:15	07:30	0	0	0	1	1	0	1	2	3	0	0	0	2	1	1	0	3	5	4
D8:00	07:30	07:45	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	5	0	10	2	5	0	12	22	12
08:15	07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	0	2	0	6	0	2	0	4	10	6
08:30	08:00	08:15	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	4	0	2	0	6	0	3	0	6	12	8
08:45	08:15	08:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	4	9	6
09:00 09:15 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 2 3 3 0 4 0 8 0 2 0 7 15 9	08:30	08:45	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	3	4	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	3	6	5
09:15	08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	1	0	4	0	2	0	3	7	4
09:30 09:45 0 0 0 0 3 0 2 0 2 5 0 1 1 2 0 0 0 0 1 3 4	09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	3	0	4	0	8	0	2	0	7	15	9
09:45 10:00 0 0 0 1 1 0 0 1 2 0 3 1 7 0 3 0 7 14 8	09:15	09:30	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	3	0	5	0	1	0	4	9	6
11:30 11:45 0 0 0 1 0 0 3 3 4 0 4 0 9 1 2 0 7 16 10 11:45 12:00 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 0 4 9 7 12:00 12:15 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 0 2 0 4 9 6 12:30 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 <td>09:30</td> <td>09:45</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>3</td> <td>4</td>	09:30	09:45	0	0	0	3	0	2	0	2	5	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	1	3	4
11:45 12:00 0 0 2 0 2 1 3 5 0 2 0 4 9 7 12:00 12:15 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 3 0 2 0 5 0 2 0 4 9 6 12:15 12:30 0 <td>09:45</td> <td>10:00</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>1</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>14</td> <td>8</td>	09:45	10:00	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	2	0	3	1	7	0	3	0	7	14	8
12:00	11:30	11:45	0	0	0	1	0	0	3	3	4	0	4	0	9	1	2	0	7	16	10
12:15 12:30 0	11:45	12:00	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	5	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	4	9	7
12:30 12:45 0 0 0 2 1 2 2 5 7 0 2 0 6 0 2 0 5 11 9 12:45 13:00 0 0 0 1 1 1 0 2 3 0 5 0 6 0 1 0 7 13 8 13:00 13:15 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 3 0 3 0 9 0 4 0 8 17 10 13:15 13:30 0 0 0 2 0 1 2 3 5 0 1 0 6 1 3 0 5 11 8 15:00 15:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0	12:00	12:15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	2	0	5	0	2	0	4	9	6
12:45 13:00 0 0 1 1 1 0 2 3 0 5 0 6 0 1 0 7 13 8 13:00 13:15 0 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 3 0 3 0 9 0 4 0 8 17 10 13:15 13:30 0 0 0 0 2 0 1 0 6 1 3 0 5 11 8 15:00 15:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 4 0 4 0 7 0 3 0 7 14 9 15:15 15:30 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 2 0 1 0 3 6 4 15:45 16:00 0	12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	6	0	2	0	6	12	6
13:00 13:15 0 0 0 1 0 2 3 3 0 3 0 9 0 4 0 8 17 10 13:15 13:30 0 0 0 2 0 1 2 3 5 0 1 0 6 1 3 0 5 11 8 15:00 15:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 4 0 4 0 7 0 3 0 7 14 9 15:15 15:30 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 2 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 3 6 4 4 15:35 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 0 0 0 12 2 12 0 6 0 12 <td>12:30</td> <td>12:45</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>5</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>11</td> <td>9</td>	12:30	12:45	0	0	0	2	1	2	2	5	7	0	2	0	6	0	2	0	5	11	9
13:15 13:30 0 0 0 2 0 1 2 3 5 0 1 0 6 1 3 0 5 11 8 15:00 15:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 2 4 0 4 0 7 0 3 0 7 144 9 15:15 15:30 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 2 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 3 6 4 15:30 15:45 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 0 4 0 12 22 12 15:45 16:00 0 0 1 0 1 2 0 2 0 4 0 2 0 4 8 5 16:00 16:15 0	12:45	13:00	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	2	3	0	5	0	6	0	1	0	7	13	8
15:00 15:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 4 0 4 0 7 0 3 0 7 14 9 15:15 15:30 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 2 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 3 6 4 15:30 15:45 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 6 0 10 0 4 0 12 22 12 15:45 16:00 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 0 2 0 4 0 2 0 4 8 5 16:00 16:15 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 7 0 2 0 6 13 8	13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	3	3	0	3	0	9	0	4	0	8	17	10
15:15 15:30 0 0 0 1 0 1 2 2 0 1 0 3 0 1 0 3 6 4 15:30 15:45 0 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 0 0 10 0 4 0 12 22 12 15:45 16:00 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 0 2 0 4 0 2 0 4 8 5 16:00 16:15 0 0 0 1 1 1 2 3 0 4 0 7 0 2 0 6 13 8 16:15 16:30 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 0 3 0 2 0 4 7 4 16:45 17:00	13:15	13:30	0	0	0	2	0	1	2	3	5	0	1	0	6	1	3	0	5	11	8
15:30 15:45 0 0 0 2 0 0 2 2 0 6 0 10 0 4 0 12 22 12 15:45 16:00 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 0 2 0 4 0 2 0 4 8 5 16:00 16:15 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 3 0 4 0 7 0 2 0 6 13 8 16:15 16:30 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 2 0 4 7 4 4 1 1 0 3 0 2 0 4	15:00	15:15	0	0	0	2	0	2	0	2	4	0	4	0	7	0	3	0	7	14	9
15:45 16:00 0 0 1 0 1 2 0 2 0 4 0 2 0 4 8 5 16:00 16:15 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 3 0 4 0 7 0 2 0 6 13 8 16:15 16:30 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 4 7 4 4 1 0 0 0 0 4 7 4 1 0 </td <td>15:15</td> <td>15:30</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>3</td> <td>6</td> <td>4</td>	15:15	15:30	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	2	0	1	0	3	0	1	0	3	6	4
16:00 16:15 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 2 3 0 4 0 7 0 2 0 6 13 8 16:15 16:30 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 2 0 4 7 4 16:30 16:45 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 5 0 1 0 3 8 7 16:45 17:00 0	15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	2	2	0	6	0	10	0	4	0	12	22	12
16:15 16:30 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 3 0 2 0 4 7 4 16:30 16:45 0 0 0 0 2 2 2 4 6 0 2 0 5 0 1 0 3 8 7 16:45 17:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 8 7 16:45 17:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 6 3 17:00 17:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 0 4 0 1 0 3 7 6 17:15 17:30 0 0 <td< td=""><td>15:45</td><td>16:00</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>1</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>4</td><td>0</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>4</td><td>8</td><td>5</td></td<>	15:45	16:00	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	0	2	0	4	0	2	0	4	8	5
16:30 16:45 0 0 0 2 2 2 4 6 0 2 0 5 0 1 0 3 8 7 16:45 17:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 6 3 17:00 17:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 1 3 5 0 2 0 4 0 1 0 3 7 6 17:15 17:30 0 0 0 1 0	16:00	16:15	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	2	3	0	4	0	7	0	2	0	6	13	8
16:45 17:00 0	16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	4	7	4
17:00 17:15 0 0 0 2 0 2 1 3 5 0 2 0 4 0 1 0 3 7 6 17:15 17:30 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 2 0 4 1 2 0 5 9 5 17:30 17:45 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 1 17:45 18:00 0<	16:30	16:45	0	0	0	2	0	2	2	4	6	0	2	0	5	0	1	0	3	8	7
17:15 17:30 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 2 0 4 1 2 0 5 9 5 17:30 17:45 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 1 17:45 18:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 0 0 3 6 3	16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	3	0	2	0	3	6	3
17:30 17:45 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 2 1 17:45 18:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 0 0 0 3 6 3	17:00	17:15	0	0	0	2	0	2	1	3	5	0	2	0	4	0	1	0	3	7	6
17:45 18:00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 0 3 0 3	17:15	17:30	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	4	1	2	0	5	9	5
	17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	2	1
T-t-t, Normal O 0 0 20 40 00 07 04 04 0 77 0 400 0 07 000	17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	0	0	0	3	6	3
Total: None 0 0 0 30 12 22 27 61 91 0 77 2 169 6 63 0 158 327 209	Total:	None	0	0	0	30	12	22	27	61	91	0	77	2	169	6	63	0	158	327	209

December 14, 2022 Page 7 of 8



Turning Movement Count - Study Results

GLADSTONE AVE @ LYON ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, August 24, 2022 WO No: 40574

Start Time: 07:00 Device: Miovision

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total LYON ST GLADSTONE AVE

Time	Period	Northbound U-Turn Total	Southbound U-Turn Total	Eastbound U-Turn Total	Westbound U-Turn Total	Total
07:00	07:15	0	0	0	0	0
07:15	07:30	0	0	0	0	0
07:30	07:45	0	0	0	0	0
07:45	08:00	0	0	0	0	0
08:00	08:15	0	0	0	1	1
08:15	08:30	0	0	0	0	0
08:30	08:45	0	0	0	0	0
08:45	09:00	0	0	0	0	0
09:00	09:15	0	0	0	0	0
09:15	09:30	0	0	0	0	0
09:30	09:45	0	0	0	0	0
09:45	10:00	0	0	0	0	0
11:30	11:45	0	0	0	1	1
11:45	12:00	0	0	0	0	0
12:00	12:15	0	0	0	0	0
12:15	12:30	0	0	0	0	0
12:30	12:45	0	0	0	0	0
12:45	13:00	0	0	0	0	0
13:00	13:15	0	0	0	0	0
13:15	13:30	0	0	0	0	0
15:00	15:15	0	0	0	0	0
15:15	15:30	0	0	0	1	1
15:30	15:45	0	0	0	0	0
15:45	16:00	0	0	0	0	0
16:00	16:15	0	0	0	0	0
16:15	16:30	0	0	0	0	0
16:30	16:45	0	0	0	0	0
16:45	17:00	0	0	0	0	0
17:00	17:15	0	0	0	0	0
17:15	17:30	0	0	0	0	0
17:30	17:45	0	0	0	0	0
17:45	18:00	0	0	0	0	0
To	otal	0	0	0	3	3

December 14, 2022 Page 8 of 8

Appendix D:

Collision Data

Total Area 1

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total	
P.D. only	55	85	112	81	1	8	12	5	359	
Non-fatal injury	7	13	5	29	0	13	1	0	68	
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Total	62	98	117	110	1	21	13	5	427	
	#4 or 15%	#3 or 23%	#1 or 27%	#2 or 26%	#8 or 0%	#5 or 5%	#6 or 3%	#7 or 1%		

84% 16% 0% 100%

BRONSON AVE/CATHERINE ST/RAYMOND ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV						
2017-2021	91	39,156	1825	1.27						

Cyclists
1

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	16	14	25	16	0	1	0	1	73
Non-fatal injury	1	5	1	9	0	2	0	0	18
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	17	19	26	25	0	3	0	1	91
	19%	21%	29%	27%	0%	3%	0%	1%	

80% 20% 0% 100%

CATHERINE ST/PERCY ST

-,	.,			
Years	Total #	24 Hr AADT	Davs	Collisions/MEV
i cars	Collisions	Veh Volume	Days	Comsions/intv
2017-2021	6	7,922	1825	0.42

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	1	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	5
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	2	0	2	0	0	0	1	6
	17%	33%	0%	33%	0%	0%	0%	17%	

83% 17% 0% 100%

CATHERINE ST/LYON ST/HWY 417 LYON IC120BR36

CATHERINE ST/ETON ST/TWT 417 ETON TC120DRS0							
Years	Years Total # Collisions		Days	Collisions/MEV			
2017-2021	17	11,711	1825	0.80			

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	2	0	1	10	0	1	0	0	14
Non-fatal injury	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	3
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	3	0	1	12	0	1	0	0	17
	18%	0%	6%	71%	0%	6%	0%	0%	•

82% 18% 0% 100%

CATHERINE ST/KENT ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	96	19,918	1825	2.64

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	5	42	22	18	0	0	0	2	89
Non-fatal injury	0	4	1	2	0	0	0	0	7
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	5	46	23	20	0	0	0	2	96
	5%	48%	24%	21%	0%	0%	0%	2%	

93% 7% 0% 100%

BANK ST/CATHERINE ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	61	23,164	1825	1.44

Peds	Cyclists
8	6

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	11	3	15	7	1	2	0	0	39
Non-fatal injury	3	3	1	7	0	8	0	0	22
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	14	6	16	14	1	10	0	0	61
•	23%	10%	26%	23%	2%	16%	0%	0%	

64% 36% 0% 100%

GLADSTONE AVE/LYON	N ST	
--------------------	------	--

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	8	10,413	1825	0.42

Peds	Cyclists
1	1

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	2	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	6
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	2	1	0	4	0	1	0	0	8
	25%	120/-	00/-	E00/-	00/-	120/-	00/-	00/-	

75% 25% 0% 100%

ARLINGTON AVE/LYON ST

AREINGTON AVE, ETON ST							
Years	Total #	24 Hr AADT	Davs	Collisions/MEV			
rears	Collisions Veh Volum		Days	CUIIISIUIIS/IIIEV			
2017-2021	2	8,854	1825	0.12			

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	2
	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

100% 0% 0% 100%

GLADSTONE AVE/KENT ST

GLADSTONL	SLADSTONE AVE/RENT ST								
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV					
2017-2021	25	23,139	1825	0.59					

Peds	Cyclists
1	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	5	4	5	10	0	0	0	0	24
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	5	4	5	10	0	1	0	0	25
	20%	16%	20%	40%	0%	4%	0%	0%	

96% 4% 0% 100%

ARLINGTON AVE/KENT ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	23	15,280	1825	0.82

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	1	6	12	3	0	0	0	0	22
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	6	12	4	0	0	0	0	23
	4%	26%	52%	17%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

96% 4% 0% 100%

ARLINGTON AVE/BANK ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	10	13,240	1825	0.41

Peds	Cyclists
1	1

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
-------------------------------	----------	---------------------	-----------	-------	-------------	-----------	------------------------------	-------	-------

•	10%	20%	10%	40%	0%	10%	0%	10%		
Total	1	2	1	4	0	1	0	1	10	100
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	09
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	2	20
P.D. only	1	2	1	3	0	0	0	1	8	809

30% 20%)%

BANK ST/CHAMBERLAIN AVE N/ISABELLA ST

		,		
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	41	24,224	1825	0.93

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	8	8	11	5	0	2	0	0	34
Non-fatal injury	2	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	7
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	10	8	11	10	0	2	0	0	41
	24%	20%	27%	24%	0%	5%	0%	0%	

83% 17% 0% 100%

ROAD SEGMENTS

CATHERINE ST, BRONSON AVE to PERCY ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	5	n/a	1825	n/a

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	5
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	5
	20%	0%	60%	20%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

100% 0% 0% 100%

CATHERINE ST. BAY ST to PERCY ST

0/11112112112	5, () 1, E () 1 E () 1 E () 1							
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV				
	001110110	ren rename						
2017-2021	2	n/a	1825	n/a				

0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2
<u> </u>	0%	50%	0%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

100% 0% 0% 100%

CATHERINE ST, LYON to KENT ST

Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV
2017-2021	5	n/a	1825	n/a

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	5
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	3	0	0	1	0	0	5
	0%	20%	60%	0%	0%	20%	0%	0%	

100% 0% 0% 100%

CATHERINE ST. BANK ST to KENT ST

CATHERINE 31, BANK 31 to KENT 31							
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV			
2017-2021	4	n/a	1825	n/a			

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Collisions

2

Rear End

Veh Volume

n/a

Turning Movement

1825

Sideswipe

n/a

Angle

Approaching

SMV other

SMV unattended vehicle

Other

Total

2017-2021

Classification of Accident

	0%	0% T to LYON ST	100%	0%	0%	0%	0% Cyclists	0%	
otal			100%	0%	0%	0%	U ₀ %	0%	_
s+s1			5	ı	ı	U	U	U	. 5
on-reportable	0 0	0 0	0 5	0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 5
n-fatal injury	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
D. only	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	4
Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
2017-2021	5	n/a	1825	n/a					
Years	Collisions	Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV		0	0		
	LINGTON AV	E to CATHER]	Peds	Cyclists		
				U 70	U 70			U-70	
otal	0%	0%	2 100%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0	2
on-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
on-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
D. only	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	2
Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
2017-2021	2	n/a	1825	n/a					
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV		0	o		
KENT ST, FLO						Peds	Cyclists		
- Country - Coun	13%	0%	25%	0%	0%	0%	63%	0%	
otal	1	0	2	0	0	0	5	0	8
lon-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
.D. only lon-fatal injury	0	0	1	0	0	0	4 1	0	6 2
Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
2017-2021	8	n/a	1825	n/a					
Years	Collisions	Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV		0	0		
GLADSTONE	AVE, KENT S	T to LYON ST	N		1	Peds	Cyclists		
	0%	0%	25%	0%	0%	25%	50%	0%	
otal	0	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	4
Ion-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
lon-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Classification of Accident P.D. only	Rear End	Turning Movement 0	Sideswipe 1	Angle 0	Approaching 0	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle 2	Other 0	Total 4
		, ,							
2017-2021	Collisions 4	Veh Volume n/a	1825	n/a		0	0		
Years	Total #	24 Hr AADT	Days	Collisions/MEV			-		
LYON ST N	CLADSTON	E AVE to CAT	LEDINE CT			Peds	Cyclists		
	0%	0%	50%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	
otal	o	Ö	2	2	o o	0	o o	0	4
Ion-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
I.D. only Ion-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
					-	•	vehicle		
Accident	Rear End	Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	unattended	Other	Total

P.D. only	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1009
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0%
Total	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	1009
1	0%	0%	0%	Ω%	0%	0%	100%	0%	•	•

00%)%)% 00%

ARLINGTON AVE, BANK ST to KENT ST							
Years	Total #	24 Hr AADT	Davs	Coll			
rears	Collisions	Veh Volume	Days	Con			

RELINGTON AVE, BANK ST to RENT ST							
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV			
2017-2021	6	n/a	1825	n/a			

Peds	Cyclists
0	0

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	6
Non-fatal injury	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	1	1	0	0	0	4	0	6
	0%	17%	17%	0%	0%	0%	67%	0%	

100% 0% 0% 100%

BANK SI, ARLINGION AVE to CATHERINE SI						
Years	Total # Collisions	24 Hr AADT Veh Volume	Days	Collisions/MEV		
2017-2021	4	n/a	1825	n/a		

Peds	Cyclists
0	1

Classification of Accident	Rear End	Turning Movement	Sideswipe	Angle	Approaching	SMV other	SMV unattended vehicle	Other	Total
P.D. only	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	3
Non-fatal injury	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
Non-reportable	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	4
-	25%	25%	50%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	

75% 25% 0% 100%

Appendix E:

Internal Reduction Calculations

	NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool								
Project Name:	265 Catherine Phase 1		Organization:	Parsons					
Project Location:			Performed By:						
Scenario Description:	AM Internal Reduction		Date:	2/27/2024					
Analysis Year:			Checked By:						
Analysis Period:	AM Street Peak Hour		Date:						

Land Use	Developme	Development Data (For Information Only)				Estimated Vehicle-Trips ³	
Land Use	ITE LUCs1	Quantity	Units		Total	Entering	Exiting
Office					0		
Retail					8	5	3
Restaurant					0		
Cinema/Entertainment					0		
Residential					69	21	48
Hotel					0		
All Other Land Uses ²					0		
					77	26	51

	Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates									
Land Use		Entering Tri	ps		Exiting Trips					
Land Use	Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized			
Office										
Retail										
Restaurant										
Cinema/Entertainment										
Residential										
Hotel										
All Other Land Uses ²										

	Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)									
Origin (Franc)		Destination (To)								
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office										
Retail										
Restaurant										
Cinema/Entertainment										
Residential										
Hotel										

		Table 4-A: I	nternal Person-Tri _l	o Origin-Destination Matrix*							
Origin (From)		Destination (To)									
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office		0	0	0	0	0					
Retail	0		0	0	0	0					
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0					
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0					
Residential	0	0	0	0		0					
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0						

Table 5-A	Table 5-A: Computations Summary								
	Total	Entering	Exiting						
All Person-Trips	77	26	51						
Internal Capture Percentage	0%	0%	0%						
External Vehicle-Trips ⁵	77	26	51						
External Transit-Trips ⁶	0	0	0						
External Non-Motorized Trips ⁶	0	0	0						

Table 6-A: Interna	al Trip Capture Percentaç	jes by Land Use
Land Use	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips
Office	N/A	N/A
Retail	0%	0%
Restaurant	N/A	N/A
Cinema/Entertainment	N/A	N/A
Residential	0%	0%
Hotel	N/A	N/A

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Manual* , published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

⁶Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.

³Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE *Trip Generation Manual*).

⁴Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-A vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be made to Tables 5-A, 9-A (O and D). Enter transit, non-motorized percentages that will result with proposed mixed-use project complete.

 $^{^5}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A.

Project Name:	265 Catherine Phase 1
Analysis Period:	AM Street Peak Hour

	Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends										
Land Use	Tab	le 7-A (D): Enter	ing Trips		Table 7-A (O): Exiting Trips						
Land Ose	Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*		Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*				
Office	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				
Retail	1.00	5	5		1.00	3	3				
Restaurant	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				
Residential	1.00	21	21		1.00	48	48				
Hotel	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				

	Table 8-A (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin)										
Orinia (F)	Destination (To)										
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office		0	0	0	0	0					
Retail	1		0	0	0	0					
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0					
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0					
Residential	1	0	10	0		0					
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0						

	Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination)										
Onimin (F)		Destination (To)									
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office		2	0	0	0	0					
Retail	0		0	0	0	0					
Restaurant	0	0		0	1	0					
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0					
Residential	0	1	0	0		0					
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0						

	Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips)										
Destination Land Hea		Person-Trip Estimates			External Trips by Mode*						
Destination Land Use	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²				
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Retail	0	5	5		5	0	0				
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Residential	0	21	21		21	0	0				
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0				
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0				

	Table 9-A (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips)										
Origin Land Has		Person-Trip Estimates			External Trips by Mode*						
Origin Land Use	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²				
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Retail	0	3	3		3	0	0				
Restaurant	0	0	0	1	0	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0				
Residential	0	48	48	1	48	0	0				
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0				
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0				

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

²Person-Trips

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

	NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool										
Project Name:	Project Name: 265 Catherine Phase 1 Organization:										
Project Location:			Performed By:								
Scenario Description:	PM Internal Reduction		Date:	2/27/2024							
Analysis Year:		Checked By:									
Analysis Period:	PM Street Peak Hour	Date:									

Land Use	Developme	ent Data (For Info	rmation Only)		Estimated Vehicle-Trips ³	
Land OSE	ITE LUCs1	Quantity	Units	Total	Entering	Exiting
Office				0		
Retail				20	10	10
Restaurant				0		
Cinema/Entertainment				0		
Residential				69	40	29
Hotel				0		
All Other Land Uses ²				0		
				89	50	39

	Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates										
Landllan		Entering Tri	ps		Exiting Trips						
Land Use	Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		Veh. Occ.⁴	% Transit	% Non-Motorized				
Office											
Retail											
Restaurant											
Cinema/Entertainment											
Residential											
Hotel											
All Other Land Uses ²											

	Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)										
Origin (From)				Destination (To)							
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel					
Office											
Retail					150						
Restaurant											
Cinema/Entertainment											
Residential		150									
Hotel											

Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix*										
Origin (From)		Destination (To)								
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office		0	0	0	0	0				
Retail	0		0	0	3	0				
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0				
Residential	0	1	0	0		0				
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0					

Table 5-P: Computations Summary										
Total Entering Exiting										
All Person-Trips	89	50	39							
Internal Capture Percentage	9%	8%	10%							
External Vehicle-Trips ⁵	81	46	35							
External Transit-Trips ⁶	0	0	0							
External Non-Motorized Trips ⁶	0	0	0							

Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use								
Land Use	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips						
Office	N/A	N/A						
Retail	10%	30%						
Restaurant	N/A	N/A						
Cinema/Entertainment	N/A	N/A						
Residential	8%	3%						
Hotel	N/A	N/A						

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Manual*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.

³Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE *Trip Generation Manual*).

⁴Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-P vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be

⁵Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P.

⁶Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

Analysis Period:	PM Street Peak Hour
Project Name:	265 Catherine Phase 1

Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends											
	Table	Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips				Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips					
Land Use	Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*		Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*				
Office	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				
Retail	1.00	10	10		1.00	10	10				
Restaurant	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				
Residential	1.00	40	40		1.00	29	29				
Hotel	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0				

Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin)										
Onimin (France)				Destination (To)						
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office		0	0	0	0	0				
Retail	0		3	0	3	1				
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0				
Residential	1	12	6	0		1				
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0					

Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination)										
Origin (From)		Destination (To)								
Oligili (Floili)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office		1	0	0	2	0				
Retail	0		0	0	18	0				
Restaurant	0	5		0	6	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		2	0				
Residential	0	1	0	0		0				
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0					

	Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips)									
Destination Land Hea	P	Person-Trip Estimates				External Trips by Mode*				
Destination Land Use	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²			
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Retail	1	9	10		9	0	0			
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Residential	3	37	40		37	0	0			
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0			
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0			

	Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips)									
Ovinin Land Han	P	Person-Trip Estimates			External Trips by Mode*					
Origin Land Use	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²			
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Retail	3	7	10		7	0	0			
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Residential	1	28	29		28	0	0			
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0			
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0			

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

²Person-Trips

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

	NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool								
Project Name:	Full Buildout 265 Catherine		Organization:	Parsons					
Project Location:			Performed By:						
Scenario Description:	AM Internal Reduction		Date:	2/27/2024					
Analysis Year:			Checked By:						
Analysis Period:	AM Street Peak Hour		Date:						

Landllan	Developme	Development Data (For Information Only)				Estimated Vehicle-Trips ³	
Land Use	ITE LUCs1	Quantity	Units		Total	Entering	Exiting
Office					0		
Retail					14	8	6
Restaurant					0		
Cinema/Entertainment					0		
Residential					196	61	135
Hotel					0		
All Other Land Uses ²					0		
					210	69	141

	Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates								
Landillan		Entering Tri	ps		Exiting Trips				
Land Use	Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		
Office									
Retail									
Restaurant									
Cinema/Entertainment									
Residential									
Hotel									
All Other Land Uses ²									

	Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)									
Origin (From)				Destination (To)						
Oligili (Floili)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office										
Retail										
Restaurant										
Cinema/Entertainment										
Residential										
Hotel										

Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix*									
Destination (To)									
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel			
Office		0	0	0	0	0			
Retail	0		0	0	1	0			
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0			
Residential	0	1	0	0		0			
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 5-A: Computations Summary									
Total Entering Exiting									
All Person-Trips	210	69	141						
Internal Capture Percentage	2%	3%	1%						
External Vehicle-Trips ⁵	206	67	139						
External Transit-Trips ⁶	0	0	0						
External Non-Motorized Trips ⁶									

Table 6-A: Interna	Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use							
Land Use	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips						
Office	N/A	N/A						
Retail	13%	17%						
Restaurant	N/A	N/A						
Cinema/Entertainment	N/A	N/A						
Residential	2%	1%						
Hotel	N/A	N/A						

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Manual* , published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

⁶Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.

³Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE *Trip Generation Manual*).

⁴Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-A vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be made to Tables 5-A, 9-A (O and D). Enter transit, non-motorized percentages that will result with proposed mixed-use project complete.

 $^{^5}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A.

Project Name:	Full Buildout 265 Catherine
Analysis Period:	AM Street Peak Hour

Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends									
Landllas	Tab	le 7-A (D): Enter	ing Trips		Table 7-A (O): Exiting Trips				
Land Use	Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips Person-Trips*			Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*		
Office	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0		
Retail	1.00	8	8		1.00	6	6		
Restaurant	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0		
Cinema/Entertainment	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0		
Residential	1.00	61	61		1.00	135	135		
Hotel	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0		

Table 8-A (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin)									
Origin (Fram)		Destination (To)							
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel			
Office		0	0	0	0	0			
Retail	2		1	0	1	0			
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0			
Residential	3	1	27	0		0			
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0				

	Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination)									
Origin (Fram)		Destination (To)								
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel				
Office		3	0	0	0	0				
Retail	0		0	0	1	0				
Restaurant	0	1		0	3	0				
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0				
Residential	0	1	0	0		0				
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0					

	Ta	ble 9-A (D): Int	ernal and Externa	l Tri	ips Summary (Enterin	g Trips)	
Destination Land Use		Person-Trip Esti	mates		External Trips by Mode*		
Destination Land Use	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0
Retail	1	7	8		7	0	0
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0
Residential	1	60	61		60	0	0
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0

	Table 9-A (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips)									
Origin Land Use		Person-Trip Esti	mates		External Trips by Mode*					
Origin Land Ose	Internal	External	Total		Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²			
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Retail	1	5	6		5	0	0			
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0			
Residential	1	134	135		134	0	0			
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0			
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0			

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

²Person-Trips

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

	NCHRP 684 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool								
Project Name:	Project Name: Full Buildout 265 Catherine		Organization:	Parsons					
Project Location:	:		Performed By:						
Scenario Description:	: PM Internal Reduction		Date:	2/27/2024					
Analysis Year:			Checked By:						
Analysis Period:	PM Street Peak Hour		Date:						

		ent Data (For Info		1	timates (Single-Use Site Estimate) Estimated Vehicle-Trips ³		
Land Use	ITE LUCs ¹	Quantity	Units	Total	Entering	Exiting	
Office				0			
Retail				36	18	18	
Restaurant				0			
Cinema/Entertainment				0			
Residential				197	114	83	
Hotel				0			
All Other Land Uses ²				0			
				233	132	101	

	Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates								
Landllan		Entering Tri	ips			Exiting Trips			
Land Use	Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		Veh. Occ.4	% Transit	% Non-Motorized		
Office									
Retail									
Restaurant									
Cinema/Entertainment									
Residential									
Hotel									
All Other Land Uses ²									

	Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance)								
Origin (From)	Destination (To)								
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel			
Office									
Retail					150				
Restaurant									
Cinema/Entertainment									
Residential		150							
Hotel									

Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix*									
Origin (From)		Destination (To)							
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel			
Office		0	0	0	0	0			
Retail	0		0	0	5	0			
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0			
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0			
Residential	0	2	0	0		0			
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0				

Table 5-P: Computations Summary								
	Total	Entering	Exiting					
All Person-Trips	233	132	101					
Internal Capture Percentage	6%	5%	7%					
External Vehicle-Trips ⁵	219	125	94					
External Transit-Trips ⁶	0	0	0					
External Non-Motorized Trips ⁶	0	0	0					

Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use							
Land Use	Entering Trips	Exiting Trips					
Office	N/A	N/A					
Retail	11%	28%					
Restaurant	N/A	N/A					
Cinema/Entertainment	N/A	N/A					
Residential	4%	2%					
Hotel	N/A	N/A					

¹Land Use Codes (LUCs) from *Trip Generation Manual*, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.

²Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator.

³Enter trips assuming no transit or non-motorized trips (as assumed in ITE *Trip Generation Manual*).

⁴Enter vehicle occupancy assumed in Table 1-P vehicle trips. If vehicle occupancy changes for proposed mixed-use project, manual adjustments must be ⁵Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P.

⁶Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas A&M Transportation Institute - Version 2013.1

Project Name:	Full Buildout 265 Catherine
Analysis Period:	PM Street Peak Hour

Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends							
Land Use	Table	e 7-P (D): Entering	Trips		Table 7-P (O): Exiting Trips		
Land Ose	Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*	Î	Veh. Occ.	Vehicle-Trips	Person-Trips*
Office	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0
Retail	1.00	18	18		1.00	18	18
Restaurant	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0
Residential	1.00	114	114		1.00	83	83
Hotel	1.00	0	0		1.00	0	0

Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin)								
Origin (From)	Destination (To)							
Oligili (Floili)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel		
Office		0	0	0	0	0		
Retail	0		5	1	5	1		
Restaurant	0	0		0	0	0		
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0		
Residential	3	35	17	0		2		
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0			

Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination)						
Origin (Frame)				Destination (To)		
Origin (From)	Office	Retail	Restaurant	Cinema/Entertainment	Residential	Hotel
Office		1	0	0	5	0
Retail	0		0	0	52	0
Restaurant	0	9		0	18	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	1	0		5	0
Residential	0	2	0	0		0
Hotel	0	0	0	0	0	

Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips)							
Destination Land Has	Р	erson-Trip Estima	ites		External Trips by Mode*		
Destination Land Use	Internal	External	Total	1	Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0
Retail	2	16	18		16	0	0
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0
Residential	5	109	114		109	0	0
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0

Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips)							
Origin Land Has	P	erson-Trip Estima	tes		External Trips by Mode*		
Origin Land Use	Internal	External	Total	Ī	Vehicles ¹	Transit ²	Non-Motorized ²
Office	0	0	0		0	0	0
Retail	5	13	18		13	0	0
Restaurant	0	0	0		0	0	0
Cinema/Entertainment	0	0	0		0	0	0
Residential	2	81	83		81	0	0
Hotel	0	0	0		0	0	0
All Other Land Uses ³	0	0	0		0	0	0

¹Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

²Person-Trips

³Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site is not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Appendix F:

TDM Checklist

TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist:

Residential Developments (multi-family or condominium)

Legend The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	1.	WALKING & CYCLING: ROUTES	
	1.1	Building location & access points	
BASIC	1.1.1	Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances	☑
BASIC	1.1.2	Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations	\mathbf{Z}
BASIC	1.1.3	Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort	✓
	1.2	Facilities for walking & cycling	
REQUIRED	1.2.1	Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3)	
REQUIRED	1.2.2	Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.12)	

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
REQUIRED	1.2.3	Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)	
REQUIRED	1.2.4	Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10)	
REQUIRED	1.2.5	Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and onroad cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11)	
BASIC	1.2.6	Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops	☑
BASIC	1.2.7	Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible	☑
BASIC	1.2.8	Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility	✓
	1.3	Amenities for walking & cycling	
BASIC	1.3.1	Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails	♂
BASIC	1.3.2	Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious)	☑

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	2.	WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILI	TIES
	2.1	Bicycle parking	
REQUIRED	2.1.1	Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.6)	♂
REQUIRED	2.1.2	Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well-used areas (see Zoning By-law Section 111)	
REQUIRED	2.1.3	Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored (see Zoning By-law Section 111)	
BASIC	2.1.4	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of resident-owned bicycles, plus the expected peak number of visitor cyclists	
	2.2	Secure bicycle parking	
REQUIRED	2.2.1	Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single residential building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see Zoning By-law Section 111)	
BETTER	2.2.2	Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units at condominiums or multifamily residential developments	✓
	2.3	Bicycle repair station	
BETTER	2.3.1	Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided)	✓
	3.	TRANSIT	
	3.1	Customer amenities	
BASIC	3.1.1	Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops	
BASIC	3.1.2	Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter	
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building	

	TDM-s	supportive design & infrastructure measures: Residential developments	Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
	4.	RIDESHARING	
	4.1	Pick-up & drop-off facilities	
BASIC	4.1.1	Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones	✓
	5 .	CARSHARING & BIKESHARING	
	5.1	Carshare parking spaces	
BETTER	5.1.1	Provide up to three carshare parking spaces in an R3, R4 or R5 Zone for specified residential uses (see Zoning By-law Section 94)	
	5.2	Bikeshare station location	
BETTER	5.2.1	Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection	
	6.	PARKING	
	6.1	Number of parking spaces	
REQUIRED	6.1.1	Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for	
BASIC	6.1.2	Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking	
BASIC	6.1.3	Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (see Zoning By-law Section 104)	
BETTER	6.1.4	Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (see Zoning By-law Section 111)	
	6.2	Separate long-term & short-term parking areas	
BETTER	6.2.1	Provide separate areas for short-term and long-term parking (using signage or physical barriers) to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage residents from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa)	

TDM Measures Checklist:

Residential Developments (multi-family, condominium or subdivision)

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes


	TDM	measures: Residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions
	1.	TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT	
	1.1	Program coordinator	
BASIC	1.1.1 Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator		
	1.2	Travel surveys	
BETTER	1.2.1	Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress	
	2.	WALKING AND CYCLING	
	2.1	Information on walking/cycling routes & des	tinations
BASIC	2.1.1	Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances (multi-family, condominium)	
	2.2	Bicycle skills training	
BETTER	2.2.1	Offer on-site cycling courses for residents, or subsidize off-site courses	₫


	TDM	measures: Residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions
	3.	TRANSIT	
	3.1	Transit information	
BASIC	3.1.1	Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances (multi-family, condominium)	
BETTER	3.1.2	Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances (multi-family, condominium)	
	3.2	Transit fare incentives	
BASIC ★	3.2.1	Offer PRESTO cards preloaded with one monthly transit pass on residence purchase/move-in, to encourage residents to use transit	
BETTER	3.2.2	Offer at least one year of free monthly transit passes on residence purchase/move-in	
	3.3	Enhanced public transit service	
BETTER *	3.3.1	Contract with OC Transpo to provide early transit services until regular services are warranted by occupancy levels (subdivision)	
	3.4	Private transit service	
BETTER	3.4.1	Provide shuttle service for seniors homes or lifestyle communities (e.g. scheduled mall or supermarket runs)	
	4.	CARSHARING & BIKESHARING	
	4.1	Bikeshare stations & memberships	
BETTER	4.1.1	Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station (<i>multi-family</i>)	☑
BETTER	4.1.2	Provide residents with bikeshare memberships, either free or subsidized (multi-family)	☑
	4.2	Carshare vehicles & memberships	
BETTER	4.2.1	Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by residents	✓
BETTER	4.2.2 Provide residents with carshare memberships, either free or subsidized		
	5.	PARKING	
	5.1	Priced parking	
BASIC	5.1.1	Unbundle parking cost from purchase price (condominium)	
BASIC	5.1.2	Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent (multi-family)	₫


	TDM	measures: Residential developments	Check if proposed & add descriptions
	6.	TDM MARKETING & COMMUNICATION	S
	6.1	Multimodal travel information	
BASIC *	6.1.1	Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new residents	
	6.2	Personalized trip planning	
BETTER 🖈	6.2.1	Offer personalized trip planning to new residents	\mathbf{Z}

Appendix G:

Passenger Car and Truck Turning Templates







Appendix H:

MMLOS Analysis: Road Segments

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Segments Form

Consultant	Parsons	Project	478038-01000
Scenario	Existing and Future	Date	29-Feb-24
Comments			

SEGMENTS		Street A	Catherine St	Kent St	Lyon St	Arlington Ave	Catherine (future) Kent (future)	Lyon (future)
		Sileel A	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
Pedestrian	Sidewalk Width	F	≥ 2 m	1.8 m	1.5 m	1.5 m	≥ 2 m	≥ 2 m	≥ 2 m
	Boulevard Width		< 0.5	< 0.5 m	< 0.5 m	< 0.5 m	> 2 m	< 0.5	< 0.5
	Avg Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume		≤ 3000	> 3000	> 3000	≤ 3000	≤ 3000	> 3000	> 3000
	Operating Speed On-Street Parking		> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 30 to 50 km/h yes	> 50 to 60 km/h	> 50 to 60 km/h no	> 50 to 60 km/h no
	Exposure to Traffic PLoS		C	F	F	E	A	E	E
	Effective Sidewalk Width		2.0 m	1.5 m	1.5 m	1.5 m	2.5 m	2.0 m	2.0 m
	Pedestrian Volume		250 ped/hr	250 ped/hr	250 ped/hr	250 ped/hr	250 ped/hr	250 ped/hr	250 ped/hr
	Crowding PLoS		В	В	В	В	В	В	В
	Level of Service		С	F	F	Е	В	Е	Е
			ŭ	•	•			_	=
Bicycle	Type of Cycling Facility		Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic	Mixed Traffic			
	Number of Travel Lanes		2-3 lanes total	2-3 lanes total	≤ 2 (no	≤ 2 (no			
	Operating Speed		> 50 to 60 loos //s	≥ 50 to 60 km/h	centreline) ≥ 50 to 60 km/h	centreline) ≤ 40 km/h			
	# of Lanes & Operating Speed LoS		≥ 50 to 60 km/h	2 50 to 60 km/n	2 50 to 60 km/n	≤ 40 Km/n	_	_	_
	·							_	_
	Bike Lane (+ Parking Lane) Width								
	Bike Lane Width LoS	l E	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Bike Lane Blockages								
	Blockage LoS		-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	Median Refuge Width (no median = < 1.8 m)		< 1.8 m refuge	< 1.8 m refuge	< 1.8 m refuge	< 1.8 m refuge			
	No. of Lanes at Unsignalized Crossing		≤ 3 lanes	≤ 3 lanes	≤ 3 lanes	≤ 3 lanes			
	Sidestreet Operating Speed Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest LoS		≤ 40 km/h A	≤ 40 km/h A	≤ 40 km/h A	≤ 40 km/h A	-	_	
	Unsignalized Crossing - Lowest Los				Α		-	-	-
	Level of Service		E	E	D	Α	-	-	-
Transit	Facility Type	D	Mixed Traffic				Bus lane		
	Friction or Ratio Transit:Posted Speed		Vt/Vp ≥ 0.8				Cf ≤ 60		
	Level of Service		D	-	-	-	В	-	-
~	Truck Lane Width	Α	> 3.7 m	> 3.7 m	> 3.7 m		≤ 3.5 m		
	Travel Lanes per Direction		> 1	> 1	> 1		> 1		
	Level of Service		Α	Α	Α	-	Α	-	-

Appendix I:

Synchro Analysis Summary Reports



Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St


Lane Group Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph)	EBL	EDT						-	•		•	
Traffic Volume (vph)		EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Traffic Volume (vph)					4413						*	7
Future Valume (uph)	0	0	0	222	219	0	0	0	0	0	258	123
ruture volume (VDII)	0	0	0	222	219	0	0	0	0	0	258	123
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4571	0	0	0	0	0	1784	1547
Flt Permitted	•	•	•	•	0.975	•	•	-	•	•		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4538	0	0	0	0	0	1784	1517
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	•	•		•	247	•	•	-	•	•		137
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	490	0	0	0	0	0	287	137
Turn Type	•	•	•	Perm	NA	•	•	<u> </u>			NA	Perm
Protected Phases				1 01111	8						6	1 01111
Permitted Phases				8	0						0	6
Minimum Split (s)				26.2	26.2						28.3	28.3
Total Split (s)				40.0	40.0						35.0	35.0
Total Split (%)				53.3%	53.3%						46.7%	46.7%
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	3.3
				1.9	1.9						2.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)				1.9								
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)					5.2						5.3	5.3
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?					04.0						00.7	00.7
Act Effct Green (s)					34.8						29.7	29.7
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.46						0.40	0.40
v/c Ratio					0.22						0.41	0.20
Control Delay					9.9						16.3	6.2
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Delay					9.9						16.3	6.2
LOS					Α						В	Α
Approach Delay					9.9						13.1	
Approach LOS					Α						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)					19.9						34.8	3.5
Queue Length 95th (m)					25.4						57.2	18.3
Internal Link Dist (m)		271.6			163.9			117.8			52.8	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					2238						706	683
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.22						0.41	0.20
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 48 (64%), Referenced to phase	e 8:WBTL, S	Start of Gree	n									
Natural Cycle: 55												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.41												
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.4				Int	tersection LC	OS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 47.6%	6			IC	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB	On Ramp/L	yon St N & 0	Catherine S	St								
_					_							
₩ Ø6				.	₩ Ø8 (R)						
35.0					0.0	,						

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

	۶	→	\searrow	•	•	•	•	†	/	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					∳ ሴ	7		4413				
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	389	537	54	1333	0	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	389	537	54	1333	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	2923	1394	0	4911	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted								0.998				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	2923	1303	0	4906	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								70				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	707	322	0	1541	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	•	•	-	•	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	•	•	•	
Protected Phases					8	. •		2				
Permitted Phases						8	2	_				
Minimum Split (s)					22.8	22.8	22.5	22.5				
Total Split (s)					32.0	32.0	38.0	38.0				
Total Split (%)					42.7%	42.7%	50.7%	50.7%				
Yellow Time (s)					3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)					2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)					5.8	5.8		5.8				
Lead/Lag					5.0	5.0		5.0				
Lead-Lag Optimize?					26.2	26.2		32.2				
Act Effct Green (s)												
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.35	0.35		0.43				
v/c Ratio					0.69	0.71		0.72				
Control Delay					26.7	30.2		19.1				
Queue Delay					0.0	0.0		3.4				
Total Delay					26.7	30.2		22.6				
LOS					С	С		С				
Approach Delay					27.8			22.6				
Approach LOS					С			С				
Queue Length 50th (m)					52.0	47.5		60.6				
Queue Length 95th (m)					m60.6	m56.4		76.6				
Internal Link Dist (m)		163.9			131.7			67.4			53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1021	455		2146				
Starvation Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Spillback Cap Reductn					0	0		496				
Storage Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.69	0.71		0.93				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 15 (20%), Referenced to phase	e 8:WBT, St	art of Green										
Natural Cycle: 55												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.72												
Intersection Signal Delay: 24.7				Inf	tersection Lo	OS: C						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.8%	<u></u>				U Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15				10	5 E6401 01 C	, 5, 1100 U						

Lane Group	Ø9	
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		
Protected Phases	9	
Permitted Phases		
Minimum Split (s)	5.0	
Total Split (s)	5.0	
Total Split (%)	7%	
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		
Lead-Lag Optimize?		
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Queue Length 50th (m)		
Queue Length 95th (m)		
Internal Link Dist (m)		
Turn Bay Length (m)		
Base Capacity (vph)		
Starvation Cap Reductn		
Spillback Cap Reductn		
Storage Cap Reductn		
Reduced v/c Ratio		
Intersection Summary		

	≯	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	>	ţ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					ፈቀሴ			413			∳ ኄ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	170	587	198	266	575	0	0	366	130
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	170	587	198	266	575	0	0	366	130
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4430	0	0	3212	0	0	2870	C
Flt Permitted			•	•	0.991			0.617	•		20.0	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4374	0	0	1951	0	0	2870	C
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	•	•	•	•	84		•	1001	•	•	64	•
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1061	0	0	935	0	0	551	C
Turn Type	U	U	0	Perm	NA	0	pm+pt	NA	0	U	NA	
Protected Phases				1 01111	8		9	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8	0		2				U	
Minimum Split (s)				18.6	18.6		10.4	16.4			16.4	
Total Split (s)				25.0	25.0		15.0	40.0			25.0	
				33.3%	33.3%		20.0%	53.3%			33.3%	
Total Split (%)				3.3				3.3			3.3	
Yellow Time (s)					3.3		3.3					
All-Red Time (s)				2.3	2.3		2.1	2.1			2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.6			5.4			5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag			Lag			Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)					19.4			34.6			19.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.26			0.46			0.26	
v/c Ratio					0.89			0.88			0.69	
Control Delay					35.6			22.7			27.2	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay					35.6			22.7			27.2	
LOS					D			С			С	
Approach Delay					35.6			22.7			27.2	
Approach LOS					D			С			С	
Queue Length 50th (m)					48.9			25.0			32.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)					#72.7			#48.9			49.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		131.7			201.7			90.2			52.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1193			1061			797	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.89			0.88			0.69	
					0.03			0.00			0.03	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 70 (93%), Referenced to phase 2	2:NBTL ar	nd 6:SBT, S	tart of Gree	n								
Natural Cycle: 90												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89												
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.1					tersection Lo							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.9%				IC	CU Level of S	Service D						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume exceeds cap	acity, que	ue may be l	onger.									
Queue shown is maximum after two		, , , ,										
	,											
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & Cath	erine St											
2.5												



Lane Group	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7
Lane Configurations			
Traffic Volume (vph)			
Future Volume (vph)			
Satd. Flow (prot)			
Flt Permitted			
Satd. Flow (perm)			
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			
Lane Group Flow (vph)			
Turn Type			
Protected Phases	1	5	7
Permitted Phases			
Minimum Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (%)	7%	7%	7%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)			
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Act Effct Green (s)	res	168	168
Actuated g/C Ratio			
v/c Ratio			
Control Delay			
Queue Delay			
Total Delay			
LOS			
Approach Delay			
Approach LOS			
Queue Length 50th (m)			
Queue Length 95th (m)			
Internal Link Dist (m)			
Turn Bay Length (m)			
Base Capacity (vph)			
Starvation Cap Reductn			
Spillback Cap Reductn			
Storage Cap Reductn			
Reduced v/c Ratio			
Intersection Summary			
intersection outlinary			

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ţ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4413						ĵ.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	71	234	0	0	0	0	0	129	57
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	71	234	0	0	0	0	0	129	57
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4552	0	0	0	0	0	1645	0
Flt Permitted	-	-	_	•	0.988	-	•	-	•	•		_
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4523	0	0	0	0	0	1645	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	•	•	•	<u> </u>	160	•	•	•	•		1010	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	339	0	0	0	0	0	206	0
Turn Type	U	U	0	Perm	NA	0	•	U	0	U	NA	U
Protected Phases				1 Cilli	8						6	
Permitted Phases				8	U						U	
Detector Phase				8	8						6	
Switch Phase				Ü	0						U	
				10.0	10.0						10.0	
Minimum Initial (s)											23.4	
Minimum Split (s)				26.5	26.5							
Total Split (s)				34.0	34.0						24.0	
Total Split (%)				37.8%	37.8%						26.7%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				2.2	2.2						2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0						0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.5						5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode				None	None						Max	
Act Effct Green (s)					11.9						18.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.29						0.45	
v/c Ratio					0.24						0.28	
Control Delay					6.3						9.6	
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	
Total Delay					6.3						9.6	
LOS					Α						Α	
Approach Delay					6.3						9.6	
Approach LOS					A						A	
Queue Length 50th (m)					3.4						7.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)					7.4						25.6	
Internal Link Dist (m)		71.6			271.6			106.7			288.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)		7 1.0			27 1.0			100.1			200.0	
Base Capacity (vph)					3170						740	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.11						0.28	
					0.11						0.28	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 41.7												
Natural Cycle: 65												
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.28												
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.5					tersection L0							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 37.9%				IC	CU Level of S	Service A						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Cat	herine St											
l .	- 1									1		


Lane Group	Ø3	Ø7
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		_
Protected Phases	3	7
Permitted Phases		
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	1.0	1.0
Minimum Split (s)	6.4	6.4
Total Split (s)	16.0	16.0
Total Split (%)	18%	18%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.	
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes
	None	
Recall Mode	ivone	None
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Queue Length 50th (m)		
Queue Length 95th (m)		
Internal Link Dist (m)		
Turn Bay Length (m)		
Base Capacity (vph)		
Starvation Cap Reductn		
Spillback Cap Reductn		
Storage Cap Reductin		
Reduced v/c Ratio		
Intersection Summary		

	ၨ	-	•	•	←	•	•	†	/	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		4			ĵ,			ፈተሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	19	₄1 48	0	0	11	93	15	1708	131	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	19	48	0	0	11	93	15	1708	131	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1745	0	0	1542	0	0	4790	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted	•	0.914	•	•		•	•		-	•	•	•
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1610	0	0	1542	0	0	4788	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	•		-	•	8	•	•	26	-	•	•	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	74	0	0	115	0	0	2061	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	<u> </u>		NA		Perm	NA	<u> </u>		•	•
Protected Phases	1 01111	4			8		1 01111	2				
Permitted Phases	4	7			U		2	_				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3			27.3		32.3	32.3				
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0			28.0		47.0	47.0				
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%			37.3%		62.7%	62.7%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0				
()	2.0	0.0			0.0		2.0	0.0				
Lost Time Adjust (s)		5.3			5.3			5.3				
Total Lost Time (s)		ე.ა			ე.ა			5.3				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?		00.7			00.7			44.7				
Act Effct Green (s)		22.7			22.7			41.7				
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30			0.30			0.56				
v/c Ratio		0.15			0.24			0.77				
Control Delay		13.3			19.4			13.2				
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			2.8				
Total Delay		13.3			19.4			16.0				
LOS		В			В			В				
Approach Delay		13.3			19.4			16.0				
Approach LOS		В			В			В				
Queue Length 50th (m)		6.3			9.0			104.4				
Queue Length 95th (m)		13.4			m12.7			113.9				
Internal Link Dist (m)		164.0			143.1			53.0			216.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)		487			472			2673				
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			483				
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.15			0.24			0.94				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	2:NBTL. S	Start of Green	n									
Natural Cycle: 60	,											
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77												
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.1				Int	ersection LC	S· B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.8%					U Level of S	-						
Analysis Period (min) 15				10	O LOVOI OI O	OI VIOU U						
m Volume for 95th percentile queue												

Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arlington Ave

	ᄼ	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	~	>	ţ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		•	7	¥	•						4î.b	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	184	24	15	143	0	0	0	0	89	320	98
Future Volume (vph)	0	184	24	15	143	0	0	0	0	89	320	98
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1733	1547	1729	1750	0	0	0	0	0	3240	0
FIt Permitted				0.626							0.991	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1733	1485	1120	1750	0	0	0	0	0	3215	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			38								48	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	204	27	17	159	0	0	0	0	0	564	0
Turn Type		NA	Perm	Perm	NA					Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8						6	
Permitted Phases		•	4	8						6		
Minimum Split (s)		17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2					22.6	22.6	
Total Split (s)		38.0	38.0	38.0	38.0					37.0	37.0	
Total Split (%)		50.7%	50.7%	50.7%	50.7%					49.3%	49.3%	
Yellow Time (s)		3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)		1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9					2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					2.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2						5.6	
Lead/Lag		J.Z	J.Z	J.Z	5.2						5.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
0 1		32.8	32.8	32.8	32.8						31.4	
Act Effet Green (s)		0.44	0.44	0.44	0.44						0.42	
Actuated g/C Ratio												
v/c Ratio		0.27	0.04	0.03	0.21 24.7						0.41	
Control Delay		14.7	3.5	21.7							15.0	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Delay		14.7	3.5	21.7	24.7						15.0	
LOS		В	Α	С	C						B	
Approach Delay		13.4			24.4						15.0	
Approach LOS		В		2.2	С						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		17.7	0.0	2.2	21.3						25.7	
Queue Length 95th (m)		31.2	3.1	m4.1	m33.2						38.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		254.8			165.0			215.6			214.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)				25.0								
Base Capacity (vph)		757	670	489	765						1373	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.27	0.04	0.03	0.21						0.41	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 10 (13%), Referenced to phase	4·FBT and	d 8·WBTI	Start of Gree	en								
Natural Cycle: 40		u 0, c	, tui (0 , 0 , 0 , 0 , 0 , 0 , 0 , 0 , 0 , 0	•••								
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.41												
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.3				Inf	tersection LOS	·R						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.8%					U Level of Serv							
Analysis Period (min) 15				10	o Lovel of Oeth	7,00 D						
m Volume for 95th percentile queue is	metered	hy unetrear	n eignal									

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



	٠	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	~	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	75	*			Î.		*	ተ ላሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	82	277	0	0	165	148	36	1698	97	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	82	277	0	0	165	148	36	1698	97	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	1662	1717	0	0	1552	0	1729	4790	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted	0.404						0.950					
Satd. Flow (perm)	679	1717	0	0	1552	0	1444	4790	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					6			18				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	91	308	0	0	347	0	40	1995	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4						2					
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4			21.4		20.4	20.4				
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0			30.0		45.0	45.0				
Total Split (%)	40.0%	40.0%			40.0%		60.0%	60.0%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1			2.1		2.1	2.1				
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4			5.4		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)	24.6	24.6			24.6		39.6	39.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.33	0.33			0.33		0.53	0.53				
v/c Ratio	0.41	0.55			0.68		0.05	0.79				
Control Delay	27.1	25.9			29.2		1.5	3.1				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	27.1	25.9			29.2		1.5	3.1				
LOS	С	С			С		A	Α				
Approach Delay		26.1			29.2			3.1				
Approach LOS		С			С			Α				
Queue Length 50th (m)	11.5	40.4			41.1		0.4	7.3				
Queue Length 95th (m)	25.7	65.2			68.8		m0.6	8.3				
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0			168.8			216.0			203.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0						40.0					
Base Capacity (vph)	222	563			513		762	2537				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.41	0.55			0.68		0.05	0.79				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												

Actuated Cycle Length: 75
Offset: 36 (48%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed


Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79

Intersection Signal Delay: 9.7
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.8%

Intersection LOS: A ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



46 s Existing AM

Synchro 11 Report

	۶	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		414	7					ት ቤ			413	
Traffic Volume (vph)	74	487	75	0	0	0	0	834	142	168	372	0
Future Volume (vph)	74	487	75	0	0	0	0	834	142	168	372	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3225	1446	0	0	0	0	3154	0	0	3223	0
Flt Permitted		0.993									0.526	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3218	1358	0	0	0	0	3154	0	0	1721	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			134					27				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	623	83	0	0	0	0	1085	0	0	600	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2		5	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4							6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2		5	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					10.0		5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	26.2					23.1		11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	29.0	29.0	29.0					31.0		15.0	46.0	
Total Split (%)	38.7%	38.7%	38.7%					41.3%		20.0%	61.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	2.9					3.1		3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.2	6.2					6.1			6.1	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None	None					C-Max		None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		19.9	19.9					42.8			42.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.27	0.27					0.57			0.57	
v/c Ratio		0.73	0.18					0.60			0.91dl	
Control Delay		30.2	2.2					12.6			12.2	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		30.2	2.2					12.6			12.2	
LOS		C	Α					В			В	
Approach Delay		26.9						12.6			12.2	
Approach LOS		С						В			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		41.1	0.0					48.8			17.2	
Queue Length 95th (m)		56.1	3.4					71.1			m62.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		296.0	U. 1		233.4			215.6			90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		200.0	40.0		200			2.0.0			00.2	
Base Capacity (vph)		978	506					1809			981	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.64	0.16					0.60			0.61	
		0.04	0.10					0.00			0.01	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 1 (1%), Referenced to phase 2:	NBT and 6	S:SBTL, Star	t of Green									
Natural Cycle: 70												
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73												
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.7				Int	ersection LOS	S: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.2%				ICI	U Level of Ser	vice D						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
m Volume for 95th percentile queue i	is metered	by upstrear	n signal.									
dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1	though lar	ne as a left la	ane.									
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St & Cha	amberlain <i>i</i>	Ave/Isabella	St									
1 Ø2 (R)				Ø5			₹ 04					
31 s				15 s			29 s					
1				100			273					
I I							I					

	•	-	•	•	←	•	1	†	~	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				1	ፈቀሴ		7	44			♦ %	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	492	479	346	519	1038	0	0	428	118
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	492	479	346	519	1038	0	0	428	118
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1430	4136	0	1712	3390	0	0	3087	0
Flt Permitted				0.950	0.992		0.234					
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	1430	4136	0	422	3390	0	0	3087	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					78						30	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	372	1091	0	577	1153	0	0	607	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8		5.7	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Minimum Split (s)				28.3	28.3			23.8			23.8	
Total Split (s)				34.0	34.0			76.0			33.0	
Total Split (%)				30.9%	30.9%			69.1%			30.0%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3			3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				3.0	3.0			3.5			3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)				6.3	6.3			6.8			6.8	
Lead/Lag											Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?											Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)				27.7	27.7		69.8	69.2			26.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.25	0.25		0.63	0.63			0.24	
v/c Ratio				1.03	0.99		0.92	0.54			0.80	
Control Delay				97.6	64.1		39.1	12.6			46.6	
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Delay				97.6	64.1		39.1	12.6			46.6	
LOS				F	Е		D	В			D	
Approach Delay					72.6			21.5			46.6	
Approach LOS					Е			С			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)				~100.0	85.3		62.9	67.5			61.7	
Queue Length 95th (m)				#166.0	#118.8		#123.4	84.3			82.7	
Internal Link Dist (m)		141.5			120.8			240.1			287.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)				80.0			45.0					
Base Capacity (vph)				360	1099		626	2132			758	
Starvation Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio				1.03	0.99		0.92	0.54			0.80	

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 110

Actuated Cycle Length: 110
Offset: 38 (35%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 100 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.03


Intersection Signal Delay: 45.2
Intersection Capacity Utilization 85.7%

Intersection LOS: D ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15

- Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
- Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
- # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.





Lane Group Ø5 Ø7 Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph) Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (perm) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases 5 7 Permitted Phases 5 7 Minimum Split (s) 11.2 11.8 Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (s) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time (s) Lead Lead Lead-Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effect Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio V/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Intermal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvat
Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph) Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (RTOR) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Fermitted Phases Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (s) Satolar Split (s) Total Split (s) Satolar Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio V/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Future Volume (vph) Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (RTOR) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Permitted Phases Minimum Split (s) 11.2 11.8 Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Satd. Flow (prot) Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (RTOR) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Fermitted Phases Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (%) Yellow Time (s) Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay Los Approach LOS Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Fit Permitted Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (RTOR) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Fermitted Phases Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (%) Yellow Time (s) Lost Time (s) Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio V/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Satd. Flow (perm) Satd. Flow (RTOR) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s) Yellow Time (s) All-Red Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio V/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced V/c Ratio
Satd. Flow (RTOR) Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s) Yellow Time (s) All-Red Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio V/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay Los Approach LOS Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced V/c Ratio
Lane Group Flow (vph) Turn Type Protected Phases Permitted Phases Minimum Split (s) 11.2 11.8 Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Turn Type Protected Phases Permitted Phases Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (s) Total Split (w) Yellow Time (s) All-Red Time (s) Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Protected Phases Permitted Phases Minimum Split (s) 11.2 11.8 Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Permitted Phases Minimum Split (s) 11.2 11.8 Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Tum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Tum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Total Split (s) 23.0 20.0 Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Tum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Total Split (%) 21% 18% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead Lead-Lag Qptimize? Yes Act Effet Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
All-Red Time (s) 2.9 3.5 Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Lost Time Adjust (s) Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reducth Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Total Lost Time (s) Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Lead/Lag Lead Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Act Effct Green (s) Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
v/c Ratio Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Control Delay Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Queue Delay Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Total Delay LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
LOS Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Approach Delay Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Approach LOS Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Queue Length 50th (m) Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Queue Length 95th (m) Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Base Capacity (vph) Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Starvation Cap Reductn Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio
Reduced v/c Ratio
Intersection Summary

-												
Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		1 3			4 12						4Tb	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	18	0	11	12	0	0	0	0	44	324	9
Future Vol, veh/h	0	18	0	11	12	0	0	0	0	44	324	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	32	0	15	15	0	32	9	0	10	10	0	9
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	11
Mvmt Flow	0	20	0	12	13	0	0	0	0	49	360	10
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	482	209	313	487	-				10	0	0
Stage 1	-	472	-	10	10	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	10	-	303	477	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.62	6.9	7.68	6.5	-				4.2	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.62	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.68	5.5	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.06	3.3	3.59	4	-				2.25	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	474	803	599	484	0				1586	-	-
Stage 1	0	547	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	662	559	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	448	796	556	457	-				1571	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	448	-	556	457	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	521	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	612	533	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.4			12.6						0.9		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		448	500	1571	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.045	0.051	0.031	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		13.4	12.6	7.4	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		В	В	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
		EDD	ND	NDT	CDT	CDD
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	404	00	₽	1	00
Traffic Vol, veh/h	21	124	86	687	372	22
Future Vol, veh/h	21	124	86	687	372	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	111	0	0	111
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3	2	5	8	5
Mvmt Flow	23	138	96	763	413	24
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1110	330	548	0	-	0
Stage 1	536	-	040	-	_	_
Stage 2	574	-	_	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.96	4.14			
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	0.90	4.14			-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
		3.33	2.22	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5		1018	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	207	663		-	-	-
Stage 1	556	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	532	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	136	595	914	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	136	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	408	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	477	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	19.9		1.7		0	
HCM LOS	C		1.7		U	
I IOW LOG	U					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		914	NBT -	400	SBT -	SBR -
Capacity (veh/h)		914	-	400	-	-
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		914 0.105	-	400 0.403	-	-

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4413						•	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	192	506	0	0	0	0	0	343	285
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	192	506	0	0	0	0	0	343	285
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4736	0	0	0	0	0	1802	1532
Flt Permitted	•	•	•	•	0.986	-	•	•	-	•		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4703	0	0	0	0	0	1802	1490
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		•	-	•	131	-	•	•	•	•		73
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	775	0	0	0	0	0	381	317
Turn Type	•	•	•	Perm	NA	<u> </u>	•		•		NA	Perm
Protected Phases				1 01111	8						6	1 01111
Permitted Phases				8	U						U	6
Minimum Split (s)				26.2	26.2						28.3	28.3
Total Split (s)				28.0	28.0						47.0	47.0
Total Split (%)				37.3%	37.3%						62.7%	62.7%
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)				1.9	1.9						2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)					5.2						5.3	5.3
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)					22.8						41.7	41.7
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.30						0.56	0.56
v/c Ratio					0.51						0.38	0.37
Control Delay					18.6						15.5	12.8
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Delay					18.6						15.5	12.8
LOS					В						В	В
Approach Delay					18.6						14.3	
Approach LOS					В						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)					11.4						48.3	33.7
Queue Length 95th (m)					17.8						72.0	56.8
Internal Link Dist (m)		271.6			163.9			117.8			52.8	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1520						1001	860
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.51						0.38	0.37
					0.01						0.00	0.01
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to p	hase 8:WBTL, \$	Start of Gree	en									
Natural Cycle: 55												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.51												
Intersection Signal Delay: 16.5					tersection L0							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 4	6.5%			IC	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417	WB On Ramp/L	yon St N &	Catherine S	St .			Т					
4							±	n (D)				
▼ Ø6							▼ Ø	3 (R)				
4/s							28 s					

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					∳ ሴ	7		ቀቀሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	643	289	25	820	0	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	643	289	25	820	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	3180	1303	0	4863	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted								0.999				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	3180	1204	0	4861	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								70				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	746	289	0	939	0	0	0	0
Turn Type					NA	Perm	Perm	NA				
Protected Phases					8			2				
Permitted Phases						8	2					
Minimum Split (s)					22.8	22.8	22.5	22.5				
Total Split (s)					38.0	38.0	32.0	32.0				
Total Split (%)					50.7%	50.7%	42.7%	42.7%				
Yellow Time (s)					3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)					2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)					5.8	5.8		5.8				
Lead/Lag					0.0	0.0		0.0				
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)					32.2	32.2		26.2				
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.43	0.43		0.35				
•												
v/c Ratio					0.55	0.56		0.54				
Control Delay					15.1	17.1		19.4				
Queue Delay					0.0	0.0		0.0				
Total Delay					15.1	17.1		19.4				
LOS					B	В		B				
Approach Delay					15.7			19.4				
Approach LOS					В			В				
Queue Length 50th (m)					35.2	27.3		35.3				
Queue Length 95th (m)					m38.3	m31.7		46.9				
Internal Link Dist (m)		163.9			131.7			67.4			53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1365	516		1743				
Starvation Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Spillback Cap Reductn					0	0		21				
Storage Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.55	0.56		0.55				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 12 (16%), Referenced to phase	e 8:WBT, St	art of Green	l									
Natural Cycle: 55												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.56												
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.4				Inf	tersection L	OS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.7%	6				U Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15				., .								

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

8 8 (R)

8 9 8 (R)

ne Configurations affic Volume (vph) ture Volume (vph) td. Flow (prot) Permitted td. Flow (perm) td. Flow (RTOR) ne Group Flow (vph) m Type stected Phases nimum Split (s) tal Split (%) tal Split (%) tal Split (%) tal Split (%) tal Lost Time (s) tal Lost Time (s) tal Lost Time (s) tal Lag Optimize?	
Affic Volume (vph) Atture Volume Volume Atture Volum	
ture Volume (vph) td. Flow (prot) Permitted td. Flow (perm) td. Flow (RTOR) ne Group Flow (vph) n Type betected Phases mitted Phases minum Split (s) tal Split (s) tal Split (%) Red Time (s) at Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
td. Flow (prot) Permitted td. Flow (perm) td. Flow (RTOR) ne Group Flow (vph) m Type otected Phases nimum Split (s) tal Split (s) tal Split (%) Red Time (s) otel Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
Permitted td. Flow (perm) td. Flow (RTOR) ne Group Flow (vph) rm Type stected Phases nimum Split (s) tal Split (s) tal Split (%) llow Time (s) st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
td. Flow (perm) td. Flow (RTOR) ne Group Flow (vph) m Type stected Phases simum Split (s) tal Split (s) tal Split (%) llow Time (s) st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) st Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) st Adjust (s)	
td. Flow (RTOR) ne Group Flow (vph) m Type stected Phases simum Split (s) tal Split (s) tal Split (%) tal Lost Time (s)	
ne Group Flow (vph) m Type stected Phases smitted Phases simum Split (s) stal Split (s) stal Split (%) s	
m Type betected Phases prinitted Phases nimum Split (s) tal Split (s) tal Split (%) tal Split (%) T% fllow Time (s) tal Control Control Strain Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s)	
## A standard of the content of the	
rmitted Phases nimum Split (s) 5.0 tal Split (s) 7% tal Split (%) 7% fllow Time (s) 2.0 Red Time (s) 0.0 st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
tal Split (s) 5.0 tal Split (%) 7% Illow Time (s) 2.0 Red Time (s) 0.0 st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
tal Split (s) 5.0 tal Split (%) 7% Illow Time (s) 2.0 Red Time (s) 0.0 st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
tal Split (%) 7% flow Time (s) 2.0 Red Time (s) 0.0 st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
## 2.0	
st Time Adjust (s) tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
tal Lost Time (s) ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
ad/Lag ad-Lag Optimize?	
ad-Lag Optimize?	
t Effct Green (s)	
tuated g/C Ratio	
Ratio	
ntrol Delay	
eue Delay	
tal Delay	
S	
proach Delay	
proach LOS	
eue Length 50th (m)	
eue Length 95th (m)	
ernal Link Dist (m)	
rn Bay Length (m)	
se Capacity (vph)	
arvation Cap Reductn	
illback Cap Reductn	
orage Cap Reductn	
duced v/c Ratio	
ersection Summary	
Signaturi Guillinary	

	۶	→	*	•	+	•	1	†	/	/	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					ፈተሴ			413			ቀ ሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	232	600	156	184	328	0	0	689	126
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	232	600	156	184	328	0	0	689	126
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4598	0	0	3259	0	0	3116	0
Flt Permitted					0.988			0.551				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4516	0	0	1828	0	0	3116	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					48						28	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1098	0	0	568	0	0	906	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8		9	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Minimum Split (s)				18.6	18.6		10.4	16.4			16.4	
Total Split (s)				24.0	24.0		14.0	41.0			27.0	
Total Split (%)				32.0%	32.0%		18.7%	54.7%			36.0%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				2.3	2.3		2.1	2.1			2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.6			5.4			5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag			Lag			Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)				100	18.4			35.6			21.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.25			0.47			0.29	
v/c Ratio					0.25			0.55			0.29	
Control Delay					46.8			12.3			54.8	
Queue Delay					0.1			0.0			25.0	
Total Delay					46.9			12.3			79.7	
LOS					40.9 D			12.3 B			19.1 E	
Approach Delay					46.9			12.3			79.7	
Approach LOS					40.9 D			12.3 B			13.1 E	
Queue Length 50th (m)					53.9			15.8			65.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)					#81.5			20.1			#104.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		131.7			201.7			90.2			52.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)		131.7			201.7			90.2			52.9	
Base Capacity (vph)					1144			1031			917	
					0			0			917	
Starvation Cap Reductn					1			0			69	
Spillback Cap Reductn								0				
Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio					0 0.96						0 1.07	
					0.90			0.55			1.07	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	2:NBTL ar	nd 6:SBT, St	tart of Gree	า								
Natural Cycle: 80												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.99												
Intersection Signal Delay: 50.8					itersection LO							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.2%				IC	CU Level of S	ervice D						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume exceeds cap		ue may be l	onger.									
Queue shown is maximum after two	cycles.											
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & Cath	nerine St											
Åå _{Ø1} ↑ Ø2 (R)		<u> </u>									<u> </u>	
5 41 e												



Lane Group	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7
Lane Configurations			
Traffic Volume (vph)			
Future Volume (vph)			
Satd. Flow (prot)			
Flt Permitted			
Satd. Flow (perm)			
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			
Lane Group Flow (vph)			
Turn Type			
Protected Phases	1	5	7
Permitted Phases			-
Minimum Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (%)	7%	7%	7%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)			
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Act Effct Green (s)	res	168	168
Actuated g/C Ratio			
v/c Ratio			
Control Delay			
Queue Delay			
Total Delay			
LOS			
Approach Delay			
Approach LOS			
Queue Length 50th (m)			
Queue Length 95th (m)			
Internal Link Dist (m)			
Turn Bay Length (m)			
Base Capacity (vph)			
Starvation Cap Reductn			
Spillback Cap Reductn			
Storage Cap Reductn			
Reduced v/c Ratio			
Intersection Summary			
intersection outlinary			

	۶	→	\rightarrow	•	•	•	•	†	/	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations					44ሴ						Î.	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	153	684	0	0	0	0	0	121	39
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	153	684	0	0	0	0	0	121	39
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4819	0	0	0	0	0	1726	0
Flt Permitted		-			0.991	_	_		_	•	1	_
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4800	0	0	0	0	0	1726	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	U	0	U	U	160	•	U	•	U	U	1120	U
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	930	0	0	0	0	0	177	0
Turn Type	U	U	U	Perm	NA	U	U	U	U	U	NA	U
Protected Phases				reiiii	8						6	
				0	ð						О	
Permitted Phases				8	0						^	
Detector Phase				8	8						6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)				10.0	10.0						10.0	
Minimum Split (s)				26.5	26.5						23.4	
Total Split (s)				34.0	34.0						24.0	
Total Split (%)				37.8%	37.8%						26.7%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				2.2	2.2						2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0						0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.5						5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag						0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode				None	None						Max	
Act Effct Green (s)				None	15.8						18.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.35						0.41	
v/c Ratio					0.52						0.41	
Control Delay					10.6						11.1	
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	
Total Delay					10.6						11.1	
LOS					В						В	
Approach Delay					10.6						11.1	
Approach LOS					В						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)					16.6						8.6	
Queue Length 95th (m)					24.8						22.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)		71.6			271.6			106.7			288.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					3082						709	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.30						0.25	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 45.5												
Natural Cycle: 65												
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52												
Intersection Signal Delay: 10.6				In	tersection LC	OS: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 43.5%					U Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15				- IC	O LEVELUI S	CIVILE A						
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catl	herine St											

Lane Group	Ø3	Ø7
	203	וטי
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		
Protected Phases	3	7
Permitted Phases		
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	1.0	1.0
Minimum Split (s)	6.4	6.4
Total Split (s)	16.0	16.0
Total Split (%)	18%	18%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	۷.۱	۷. ا
Total Lost Time (s)		
		المما
Lead/Lag		Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Money	Yes
Recall Mode	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Queue Length 50th (m)		
Queue Length 95th (m)		
Internal Link Dist (m)		
Turn Bay Length (m)		
Base Capacity (vph)		
Starvation Cap Reductn		
Spillback Cap Reductn		
Storage Cap Reductin		
Reduced v/c Ratio		
Neduced V/C Kallo		
Intersection Summary		


	۶	→	•	•	←	•	•	†	/	\	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		વ			1 3			ፈቀሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	61	0	0	18	63	22	1021	93	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	12	61	0	0	18	63	22	1021	93	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1805	0	0	1561	0	0	4823	0	0	0	0
FIt Permitted		0.958						0.999				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1734	0	0	1561	0	0	4821	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					58			31				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	81	0	0	90	0	0	1261	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4	•			•		2	_				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3			27.3		32.3	32.3				
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0			28.0		47.0	47.0				
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%			37.3%		62.7%	62.7%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0				
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0			0.0		2.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3			5.3			5.3				
Lead/Lag		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effet Green (s)		22.7			22.7			41.7				
()		0.30			0.30			0.56				
Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio					0.30			0.56				
Control Delay		0.15 28.8			9.8			6.4				
,								-				
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0 9.8			0.3 6.6				
Total Delay		28.8										
LOS		С			A			A				
Approach Delay		28.8			9.8			6.6				
Approach LOS		C			A			A				
Queue Length 50th (m)		10.4			1.3			20.1				
Queue Length 95th (m)		m19.9			m5.6			23.9				
Internal Link Dist (m)		164.0			143.1			53.0			216.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)		524			512			2694				
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			659				
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.15			0.18			0.62				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	e 2:NBTL, S	Start of Gree	n									
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.47												
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.1				Int	ersection LC	S: A						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.2%	6				U Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15				.0								
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	by upstream	n signal.									

m volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream sign

	۶	→	•	•	←	4	1	†	~	/	Ţ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		*	7	7	*						413-	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	247	52	28	314	0	0	0	0	86	499	138
Future Volume (vph)	0	247	52	28	314	0	0	0	0	86	499	138
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1784	1547	1729	1784	0	0	0	0	0	3252	C
FIt Permitted				0.552							0.994	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1784	1408	961	1784	0	0	0	0	0	3238	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			58								46	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	274	58	31	349	0	0	0	0	0	803	0
Turn Type		NA	Perm	Perm	NA					Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8						6	
Permitted Phases			4	8						6		
Minimum Split (s)		17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2					22.6	22.6	
Total Split (s)		39.0	39.0	39.0	39.0					36.0	36.0	
Total Split (%)		52.0%	52.0%	52.0%	52.0%					48.0%	48.0%	
Yellow Time (s)		3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)		1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9					2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2						5.6	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)		33.8	33.8	33.8	33.8						30.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.45	0.45	0.45	0.45						0.41	
v/c Ratio		0.34	0.09	0.07	0.43						0.60	
Control Delay		14.9	4.0	6.7	11.3						18.7	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Delay		14.9	4.0	6.7	11.3						18.7	
LOS		В	A	Α	В						В	
Approach Delay		13.0			10.9						18.7	
Approach LOS		В			В						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		24.0	0.0	1.4	39.4						42.7	
Queue Length 95th (m)		40.5	5.7	m2.7	52.4						59.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		254.8			165.0			215.6			214.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)				25.0								
Base Capacity (vph)		803	666	433	803						1339	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.34	0.09	0.07	0.43						0.60	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase	4·FRT an	d 8·WRTI	Start of Gree	en								
Natural Cycle: 40	Di uli	. J	July Of Oil	•••								
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.60												
Intersection Signal Delay: 15.5				Inf	ersection LOS	S· B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.9%					U Level of Se	-						
Analysis Period (min) 15				10	2 20101 01 00	11.00						

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Analysis Period (min) 15



	•	-	\rightarrow	•	←	•	1	†	~	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	•			î,		7	ቀ ቀሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	75	450	0	0	324	75	67	882	131	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	75	450	0	0	324	75	67	882	131	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	1729	1767	0	0	1719	0	1729	4618	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted	0.392						0.950					
Satd. Flow (perm)	695	1767	0	0	1719	0	1522	4618	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					21			44				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	83	500	0	0	443	0	74	1126	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4						2					
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4			21.4		20.4	20.4				
Total Split (s)	40.0	40.0			40.0		35.0	35.0				
Total Split (%)	53.3%	53.3%			53.3%		46.7%	46.7%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1			2.1		2.1	2.1				
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4			5.4		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)	34.6	34.6			34.6		29.6	29.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.46	0.46			0.46		0.39	0.39				
v/c Ratio	0.26	0.61			0.55		0.12	0.61				
Control Delay	23.4	28.7			17.1		4.6	5.2				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	23.4	28.7			17.1		4.6	5.2				
LOS	С	С			В		A	Α				
Approach Delay		27.9			17.1			5.1				
Approach LOS		С			В			Α				
Queue Length 50th (m)	8.9	66.5			40.9		1.5	7.0				
Queue Length 95th (m)	m19.7	97.8			66.8		3.3	9.0				
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0			168.8			216.0			203.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0						40.0					
Base Capacity (vph)	320	815			804		600	1849				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.26	0.61			0.55		0.12	0.61				
Intersection Summary												

Cycle Length: 75

Actuated Cycle Length: 75
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 45 Control Type: Pretimed


Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61

Intersection Signal Delay: 13.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.9%

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



	۶	-	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	-	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		414	7					∳ ሴ			413	
Traffic Volume (vph)	53	590	120	0	0	0	0	448	91	175	720	C
Future Volume (vph)	53	590	120	0	0	0	0	448	91	175	720	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3347	1547	0	0	0	0	3136	0	0	3324	0
Flt Permitted		0.996									0.700	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3343	1403	0	0	0	0	3136	0	0	2309	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			134					33				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	715	133	0	0	0	0	599	0	0	994	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2		5	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4							6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2		5	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					10.0		5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	26.2					23.1		11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	31.0	31.0	31.0					30.0		14.0	44.0	
Total Split (%)	41.3%	41.3%	41.3%					40.0%		18.7%	58.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	2.9					3.1		3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.2	6.2					6.1			6.1	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None	None					C-Max		None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		22.1	22.1					40.6			40.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.29	0.29					0.54			0.54	
v/c Ratio		0.73	0.26					0.35			0.79	
Control Delay		28.2	5.1					10.4			14.1	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0			2.1	
Total Delay		28.2	5.1					10.4			16.3	
LOS		С	Α					В			В	
Approach Delay		24.6						10.4			16.3	
Approach LOS		С						В			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		46.2	0.0					22.7			82.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)		62.2	10.5					34.6			m84.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		296.0			233.4			215.6			90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)			40.0									
Base Capacity (vph)		1105	553					1714			1251	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0			139	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.65	0.24					0.35			0.89	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to phase Natural Cycle: 65	e 2:NBT an	d 6:SBTL, S	tart of Green	l								
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79												
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.7				Int	ersection LOS:	В						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.3%)				U Level of Servi							
Analysis Period (min) 15												

Analysis Period (min) 15 m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				*	ፈተሴ		¥	44			↑ Ъ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	690	573	270	292	762	0	0	801	165
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	690	573	270	292	762	0	0	801	165
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1458	4279	0	1679	3390	0	0	3261	0
Flt Permitted				0.950	0.987		0.097					
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	1458	4279	0	171	3390	0	0	3261	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					76						27	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	430	1274	0	324	847	0	0	1073	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Minimum Split (s)				28.3	28.3		11.2	23.8			23.8	
Total Split (s)				33.0	33.0		25.0	67.0			42.0	
Total Split (%)				33.0%	33.0%		25.0%	67.0%			42.0%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				3.0	3.0		2.9	3.5			3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)				6.3	6.3		6.2	6.8			6.8	
Lead/Lag							Lead				Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?							Yes				Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)				26.7	26.7		60.8	60.2			35.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.27	0.27		0.61	0.60			0.35	
v/c Ratio				1.11	1.06		0.84	0.42			0.92	
Control Delay				113.2	78.8		44.0	11.3			44.2	
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Delay				113.2	78.8		44.0	11.3			44.2	
LOS				F	E		D	В			D	
Approach Delay					87.5			20.4			44.2	
Approach LOS					F			С			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)				~111.0	~101.7		45.0	42.4			101.6	
Queue Length 95th (m)				#177.9	#132.0		#90.1	54.9			#142.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		141.5		,,,,,,,	120.8		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	240.1			287.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)				80.0	0.0		45.0					
Base Capacity (vph)				389	1198		387	2040			1165	
Starvation Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio				1.11	1.06		0.84	0.42			0.92	
Intersection Summary												

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 60 (60%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 1.11

Intersection Signal Delay: 55.8 Intersection Capacity Utilization 87.7%

Intersection LOS: E ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15

- Volume exceeds capacity, queue is theoretically infinite.
- Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
- # 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

 Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 13: Bronson Ave & Catherine St



Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		1 5			4 37						476	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	43	564	13
Future Vol, veh/h	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	43	564	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	20	0	8	8	0	20	19	0	3	3	0	19
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	21	2	9	41	0	0	0	0	48	627	14
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	752	348	431	759	-				3	0	0
Stage 1	-	749	-	3	3	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	3	-	428	756	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.6	6.9	7.5	6.6	-				4.1	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.6	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.6	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.05	3.3	3.5	4.05	-				2.2	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	332	654	513	329	0				1632	-	-
Stage 1	0	410	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	581	407	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	310	643	466	307	-				1627	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	310	-	466	307	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	384	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	522	381	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16.9			18						0.6		
HCM LOS	С			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		326	327	1627	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.072	0.153	0.029	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		16.9	18	7.3	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		С	С	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.5	0.1	-	-						

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			413	♠ ₽	-02.1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	107	58	426	708	27
Future Vol, veh/h	20	107	58	426	708	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	42	0	0	42
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	- -	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	_	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	_	_	0	0	_
Grade, %	0	_		0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	90	90	90	90	90	90
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	5	3	0
Mymt Flow	22	119	64	473	787	30
IVIVITIL FIOW	22	119	04	4/3	181	30
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1209	451	859	0	-	0
Stage 1	844	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	365	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.92	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.31	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	178	558	791	-	-	-
Stage 1	387	-	-	_	-	-
Stage 2	679	_	_	_	_	_
Platoon blocked, %	010					
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	146	536	760	_	_	_
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	146	-	-	-	_	_
Stage 1	329				_	
Stage 2	653	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	000	-	-	-	-	-
					0.5	
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	20.2		1.7		0	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		760	-	377		-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.085	-	0.374	_	_
HCM Control Delay (s)		10.2	0.5	20.2	_	
HCM Lane LOS		10.2 B	0.5 A	20.2 C	_	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	Α -	1.7	_	
now your wille Q(ven)		0.3	-	1.7	_	-



Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

	≯	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					413						•	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	247	233	0	0	0	0	0	275	131
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	247	233	0	0	0	0	0	275	131
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	3183	0	0	0	0	0	1784	1547
Flt Permitted					0.975							
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	3160	0	0	0	0	0	1784	1517
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	_				247	_	_	_	_	_		131
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	480	0	0	0	0	0	275	131
Turn Type				Perm	NA						NA	Perm
Protected Phases Permitted Phases				0	8						6	6
				8 26.2	26.2						28.3	6 28.3
Minimum Split (s) Total Split (s)				36.0	36.0						39.0	39.0
Total Split (%)				48.0%	48.0%						52.0%	52.0%
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)				1.9	1.9						2.0	2.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)				1.3	0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)					5.2						5.3	5.3
Lead/Lag					0.2						0.0	0.0
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)					30.8						33.7	33.7
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.41						0.45	0.45
v/c Ratio					0.33						0.34	0.17
Control Delay					10.2						23.8	11.9
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Delay					10.2						23.8	11.9
LOS					В						С	В
Approach Delay					10.2						19.9	
Approach LOS					В						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)					29.0						36.9	2.1
Queue Length 95th (m)					m41.7						59.0	20.4
Internal Link Dist (m)		271.6			163.9			117.8			52.8	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1443						801	753
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	0 47
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.33						0.34	0.17
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8	B:WBTL, S	Start of Gree	n									
Natural Cycle: 55												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.34												
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6					tersection LC							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.0%				IC	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15 m Volume for 95th percentile queue is	metered	by upstream	signal.									
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB O	n Ramp/L	yon St N & (Catherine S	it								
♥ Ø6						Ø8 (R)						
20 -					26 -							

	۶	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	1	†	/	>	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations					^	77		ተተጌ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	425	576	58	1423	0	0	0	(
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	425	576	58	1423	0	0	0	C
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	3262	2696	0	4911	0	0	0	Č
Flt Permitted	•	•	•	•	OLOL	2000	•	0.998	•	•	•	•
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	3262	2696	0	4906	0	0	0	C
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	U	U	U	U	3202	2030	U	70	U	U	U	
	0	0	0	0	425	576	0	1481	0	0	0	C
Lane Group Flow (vph)	U	U	U	U	NA	Prot			U	U	U	· ·
Turn Type							Perm	NA				
Protected Phases					8	8		2				
Permitted Phases							2	•				
Detector Phase					8	8	2	2				
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)					10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0				
Minimum Split (s)					15.8	15.8	22.5	22.5				
Total Split (s)					29.6	29.6	32.4	32.4				
Total Split (%)					39.5%	39.5%	43.2%	43.2%				
Yellow Time (s)					3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)					2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0	0.0		0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)					5.8	5.8		5.8				
Lead/Lag					0.0	0.0		0.0				
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode					C-Max	C Mov	Max	Max				
						C-Max	IVIAX					
Act Effct Green (s)					29.0	29.0		26.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.39	0.39		0.35				
v/c Ratio					0.34	0.55		0.83				
Control Delay					23.3	26.5		26.1				
Queue Delay					0.0	0.0		50.5				
Total Delay					23.3	26.5		76.6				
LOS					С	С		Е				
Approach Delay					25.2			76.6				
Approach LOS					С			Е				
Queue Length 50th (m)					28.7	44.2		65.8				
Queue Length 95th (m)					m35.2	m55.1		83.5				
Internal Link Dist (m)		163.9			131.7			67.4			53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)						60.0						
Base Capacity (vph)					1261	1042		1785				
Starvation Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Spillback Cap Reductn					0	0		1050				
Storage Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.34	0.55		2.01				
Reduced V/C Rallo					0.34	0.55		2.01				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 8:	WBT Start	of Green										
Natural Cycle: 60	Di, Otali	. J.										
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83												
Intersection Signal Delay: 55.9				let.	ersection L	00· E						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 64.6%)			ICI	J Level of S	service C						
Analysis Period (min) 15 m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	by upstream	n signal.									
Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB C	Off Ramp/C	hamberlain .	Ave/Kent St	& Catherin	e St							
↑ ø₂				Ă₽.	19		4 ♣ Ø8 (R)				
				12 -			20.6-					

Long Croup	<i>α</i> 0
Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
FIt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	3.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0
Total Split (s)	13.0
Total Split (%)	17%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	J.J
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	Notie
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (m)	
Queue Length 95th (m)	
Internal Link Dist (m)	
Turn Bay Length (m)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Cap Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	
Storage Cap Reductn	
Reduced v/c Ratio	
Intersection Summary	

	۶	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	•	†	/	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations					ፈቀሴ			414			∳ ሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	176	631	202	268	575	0	0	376	137
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	176	631	202	268	575	0	0	376	137
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4439	0	0	3211	0	0	2863	(
Flt Permitted					0.991			0.648				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4384	0	0	2049	0	0	2863	(
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					76						74	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1009	0	0	843	0	0	513	C
Turn Type				Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8		9	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Minimum Split (s)				18.6	18.6		10.4	16.4			16.4	
Total Split (s)				24.0	24.0		10.4	41.0			30.6	
Total Split (%)				32.0%	32.0%		13.9%	54.7%			40.8%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				2.3	2.3		2.1	2.1			2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.6			5.4			5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag			Lag			Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)					18.4			35.6			25.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.25			0.47			0.34	
v/c Ratio					0.89			0.80			0.51	
Control Delay					36.9			19.0			19.0	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Delay					36.9			19.0			19.0	
LOS					D			В			В	
Approach Delay					36.9			19.0			19.0	
Approach LOS					D			13.0 B			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)					46.9			25.6			25.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)					#70.3			#39.3			39.2	
Internal Link Dist (m)		131.7			201.7			90.2			52.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)		101.7			201.7			30.2			02.0	
Base Capacity (vph)					1132			1050			1011	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.89			0.80			0.51	
Reduced V/C Rallo					0.09			0.00			0.51	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase 2	2:NBTL ar	nd 6:SBT, St	tart of Gree	n								
Natural Cycle: 65												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89												
Intersection Signal Delay: 26.6					tersection LO							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.6%				IC	CU Level of S	ervice D						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
# 95th percentile volume exceeds cap Queue shown is maximum after two		ue may be l	onger.									
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & Cath	erine St											
# kø₁												
5s 41s								_ـــا				
# Aø5 ▼ Ø6 (R)					↑ ø9		A Pø7	√ Ø8				

Lane Group	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7
Lane Configurations			
Traffic Volume (vph)			
Future Volume (vph)			
Satd. Flow (prot)			
Flt Permitted			
Satd. Flow (perm)			
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			
Lane Group Flow (vph)			
Turn Type	•	-	-
Protected Phases	1	5	7
Permitted Phases			
Minimum Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (%)	7%	7%	7%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)			
Total Lost Time (s)			
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Act Effct Green (s)			
Actuated g/C Ratio			
v/c Ratio			
Control Delay			
Queue Delay			
Total Delay			
LOS			
Approach Delay			
Approach LOS			
Queue Length 50th (m)			
Queue Length 95th (m)			
Internal Link Dist (m)			
Turn Bay Length (m)			
Base Capacity (vph)			
Starvation Cap Reductn			
Spillback Cap Reductn			
Storage Cap Reductn			
Reduced v/c Ratio			
Intersection Summary			

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	/	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					413						ĵ,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	71	249	0	0	0	0	0	129	57
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	71	249	0	0	0	0	0	129	57
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	3170	0	0	0	0	0	1645	C
Flt Permitted					0.989							
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	3150	0	0	0	0	0	1645	C
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					160							
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	320	0	0	0	0	0	186	C
Turn Type				Perm	NA						NA	
Protected Phases					8						6	
Permitted Phases				8								
Detector Phase				8	8						6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)				10.0	10.0						10.0	
Minimum Split (s)				26.5	26.5						23.4	
Total Split (s)				36.0	36.0						41.2	
Total Split (%)				40.0%	40.0%						45.8%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				2.2	2.2						2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0						0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.5						5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag							
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes							
Recall Mode				None	None						Max	
Act Effct Green (s)					12.0						36.0	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.20						0.61	
v/c Ratio					0.42						0.19	
Control Delay					11.6						6.4	
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	
Total Delay					11.6						6.4	
LOS					В						Α	
Approach Delay					11.6						6.4	
Approach LOS					В						Α	
Queue Length 50th (m)					7.6						6.6	
Queue Length 95th (m)					16.2						21.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		106.8			271.6			106.7			288.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1716						1004	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.19						0.19	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 90												
Actuated Cycle Length: 58.9												
Natural Cycle: 65												
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.42												
Intersection Signal Delay: 9.7				Int	tersection LO	DS: A						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 39.0%	, D			IC	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Ca	atherine St											
.1					N _{Ø7}	-						ł.
ℓ ♥ Ø6				,	. - Ø7	♥ Ø8						
41.2 s				6.4	4 s 36	S					6.	4s


Lane Group	Ø3	Ø7
Lane Configurations	<u> </u>	ועי
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		_
Protected Phases	3	7
Permitted Phases		
Detector Phase		
Switch Phase		
Minimum Initial (s)	1.0	1.0
Minimum Split (s)	6.4	6.4
Total Split (s)	6.4	6.4
Total Split (%)	7%	7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag		Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?		Yes
Recall Mode	None	None
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Queue Length 50th (m)		
Queue Length 95th (m)		
Internal Link Dist (m)		
Turn Bay Length (m)		
Base Capacity (vph)		
Starvation Cap Reductn		
Spillback Cap Reductn		
Storage Cap Reductn		
Reduced v/c Ratio		
Intersection Summary		

	۶	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	1	†	/	\	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		ર્વ			î,			ፈተሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	19	48	0	0	11	93	15	1826	131	0	0	1
Future Volume (vph)	19	48	0	0	11	93	15	1826	131	0	0	(
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1745	0	0	1542	0	0	4797	0	0	0	(
Flt Permitted		0.918										
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1617	0	0	1542	0	0	4795	0	0	0	(
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					11			24				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	67	0	0	104	0	0	1972	0	0	0	(
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4						2					
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3			27.3		32.3	32.3				
Total Split (s)	27.4	27.4			27.4		47.6	47.6				
Total Split (%)	36.5%	36.5%			36.5%		63.5%	63.5%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0				
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3			5.3			5.3				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)		22.1			22.1			42.3				
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.29			0.29			0.56				
v/c Ratio		0.14			0.23			0.73				
Control Delay		33.0			16.6			15.3				
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			48.7				
Total Delay		33.0			16.6			64.0				
LOS		C			В			04.0 E				
Approach Delay		33.0			16.6			64.0				
Approach LOS		C			В			о _{4.0}				
Queue Length 50th (m)		9.0			8.3			88.2				
Queue Length 95th (m)		19.9			m13.3			107.7				
Internal Link Dist (m)		164.0			143.1			53.0			216.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)		104.0			170.1			55.0			210.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		476			462			2714				
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			1414				
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
Storage Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.14			0.23			1.52				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	2·NBTI S	Start of Gree	n									
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73												
Intersection Signal Delay: 60.7				Int	ersection LO)S· F						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.2%					U Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15	,			10	C E0401 01 0	J. 1100 U						
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	hy unstream	n signal									
Volume for obtain percentage queue	.o motoreu	of about all	. Jigi idi.									
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arlin	naton Ave											

Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arlington Ave

	۶	→	•	•	—	•	1	†	~	/	+	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		•	7	¥	•						413	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	184	24	15	143	0	0	0	0	89	343	98
Future Volume (vph)	0	184	24	15	143	0	0	0	0	89	343	98
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1733	1547	1729	1750	0	0	0	0	0	3249	0
FIt Permitted				0.641							0.992	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1733	1485	1146	1750	0	0	0	0	0	3225	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			38								47	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	184	24	15	143	0	0	0	0	0	530	0
Turn Type		NA	Perm	Perm	NA					Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8						6	
Permitted Phases			4	8						6		
Minimum Split (s)		17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2					22.6	22.6	
Total Split (s)		36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0					39.0	39.0	
Total Split (%)		48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%					52.0%	52.0%	
Yellow Time (s)		3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)		1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9					2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2						5.6	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)		30.8	30.8	30.8	30.8						33.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41						0.45	
v/c Ratio		0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20						0.36	
Control Delay		15.8	3.3	5.4	7.4						13.3	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Delay		15.8	3.3	5.4	7.4						13.3	
LOS		В	A	Α	Α						В	
Approach Delay		14.4			7.3						13.3	
Approach LOS		В			Α						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		16.6	0.0	0.7	9.4						22.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)		29.8	2.8	m1.1	13.7						33.4	
Internal Link Dist (m)		254.8			165.0			215.6			214.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)				25.0								
Base Capacity (vph)		711	632	470	718						1462	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20						0.36	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phas	o 1:EDT on	4 Q·\N/DTI (Start of Grad	n .								
Natural Cycle: 40	C 4.LDI ali	u o.vvb i L, v	olari di Grec	7 11								
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.36												
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.5				Jul	tersection LOS	C. D						
	V ₋				U Level of Se	-						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.09 Analysis Period (min) 15	/U			10	O LEVELUI SE	I VICE D						

Analysis Period (min) 15 m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



	•	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	ţ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	•			î,		7	ተ ቀሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	82	277	0	0	165	148	36	1808	97	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	82	277	0	0	165	148	36	1808	97	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	1662	1717	0	0	1552	0	1729	4793	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted	0.468						0.950					
Satd. Flow (perm)	786	1717	0	0	1552	0	1444	4793	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					5			16				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	82	277	0	0	313	0	36	1905	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4						2					
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4			21.4		20.4	20.4				
Total Split (s)	32.0	32.0			32.0		43.0	43.0				
Total Split (%)	42.7%	42.7%			42.7%		57.3%	57.3%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1			2.1		2.1	2.1				
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4			5.4		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)	26.6	26.6			26.6		37.6	37.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.35	0.35			0.35		0.50	0.50				
v/c Ratio	0.29	0.46			0.57		0.05	0.79				
Control Delay	27.3	28.1			24.1		8.1	9.1				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	27.3	28.1			24.1		8.1	9.1				
LOS	С	С			С		Α	Α				
Approach Delay		27.9			24.1			9.0				
Approach LOS		С			С			Α				
Queue Length 50th (m)	9.9	37.6			34.5		1.4	28.4				
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.4	59.7			58.6		m2.9	43.3				
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0			168.8			216.0			203.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0						40.0					
Base Capacity (vph)	278	608			553		723	2410				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.29	0.46			0.57		0.05	0.79				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to phase	4:EBTL ar	nd 8:WBT, S	tart of Gree	n								
Natural Cycle: 55		,										
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79												
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.4				Int	ersection LC	S: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.0%	1				U Level of S	-						
And in Decirity of Aff				. •		-						

Analysis Period (min) 15 m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 8: Kent St & Gladstone Ave

	•	→	\rightarrow	•	•	•	•	†	1	-	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		413	7					♠ ₺			413	
Traffic Volume (vph)	75	520	75	0	0	0	0	835	143	176	382	(
Future Volume (vph)	75	520	75	0	0	0	0	835	143	176	382	C
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3228	1446	0	0	0	0	3153	0	0	3220	C
Flt Permitted	•	0.994		•	-	-	•		-	_	0.547	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3221	1358	0	0	0	0	3153	0	0	1772	C
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			134									
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	595	75	0	0	0	0	978	0	0	558	C
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA	-	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2		5	6	
Permitted Phases	4	•	4					=		6	•	
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2		5	6	
Switch Phase	•	•	•					=		_	•	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					10.0		5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	26.2					23.1		11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	26.2	26.2	26.2					37.7		11.1	48.8	
Total Split (%)	34.9%	34.9%	34.9%					50.3%		14.8%	65.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	2.9					3.1		3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0		U	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.2	6.2					6.1			6.1	
Lead/Lag		0.2	0.2					0.1			V.1	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None	None					C-Max		None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	110110	18.3	18.3					44.4		140110	44.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.24	0.24					0.59			0.59	
v/c Ratio		0.76	0.17					0.52			0.53	
Control Delay		32.8	1.8					10.7			14.3	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0			0.0	
Total Delay		32.8	1.8					10.7			14.3	
LOS		C	A					В			В	
Approach Delay		29.4	,,					10.7			14.3	
Approach LOS		C						В			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		39.7	0.0					41.4			40.2	
Queue Length 95th (m)		56.6	2.4					56.7			m55.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		296.0			233.4			215.6			90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		200.0	40.0		20011			2.0.0			00.2	
Base Capacity (vph)		858	460					1865			1047	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.69	0.16					0.52			0.53	
		0.00	00					0.02			0.00	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to	phase 2:NBT and	d 6:SBTL, S	start of Green	1								
Natural Cycle: 65												
Control Type: Actuated-Coordina	ated											
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76						0.5						
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.3	2004				ersection LC							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 8	82.8%			IC	U Level of S	ervice E						
Analysis Period (min) 15		h										
m Volume for 95th percentile q	ueue is metered	by upstrear	n signal.									
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St	& Chamberlain A	Ave/Isabella	St									
(a) (b)					\	3.5		►Ø4				
Ø2 (R)					_ <u>_</u>	95	- 1	-104				


	۶	→	\rightarrow	•	←	*	•	†	~	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				- 1	ፈቀሴ		*	44			∳ ሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	524	510	368	553	1105	0	0	456	126
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	524	510	368	553	1105	0	0	456	126
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1430	4136	0	1712	3390	0	0	3087	0
Flt Permitted				0.950	0.992		0.218					
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	1430	4136	0	393	3390	0	0	3087	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					78						29	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	356	1046	0	553	1105	0	0	582	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8		57	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Minimum Split (s)				28.3	28.3			23.8			23.8	
Total Split (s)				36.8	36.8			73.2			28.9	
Total Split (%)				33.5%	33.5%			66.5%			26.3%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3			3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				3.0	3.0			3.5			3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				0.0	0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)				6.3	6.3			6.8			6.8	
Lead/Lag											Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?											Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)				30.5	30.5		67.0	66.4			22.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.28	0.28		0.61	0.60			0.20	
v/c Ratio				0.90	0.87		0.89	0.54			0.91	
Control Delay				65.2	44.0		34.2	14.0			60.0	
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Delay				65.2	44.0		34.2	14.0			60.0	
LOS				Е	D		С	В			Е	
Approach Delay					49.4			20.8			60.0	
Approach LOS					D			С			Е	
Queue Length 50th (m)				85.4	77.4		63.9	68.4			61.7	
Queue Length 95th (m)				#147.2	#97.8		#122.6	85.5			#93.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		141.5			120.8			240.1			287.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)				80.0			45.0					
Base Capacity (vph)				396	1203		621	2046			643	
Starvation Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio				0.90	0.87		0.89	0.54			0.91	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 110												
Actuated Cycle Length: 110 Offset: 60 (55%), Referenced to phase	2·NRTI an	nd 6:SBT_St	art of Gree	n								
Natural Cycle: 90	Z.IND I Z GII	ia 0.051, 0	art or oroo									
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91												
Intersection Signal Delay: 38.1				lr	ntersection LC	DS: D						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.1%					CU Level of S							
Applyoic Poriod (min) 15				10	20 50000000	5. 7100 L						

Splits and Phases: 13: Bronson Ave & Catherine St

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Analysis Period (min) 15



Lane Group	Ø5	Ø7
Lane Configurations		
Traffic Volume (vph)		
Future Volume (vph)		
Satd. Flow (prot)		
Flt Permitted		
Satd. Flow (perm)		
Satd. Flow (RTOR)		
Lane Group Flow (vph)		
Turn Type		
Protected Phases	5	7
Permitted Phases		
Minimum Split (s)	11.2	11.8
Total Split (s)	32.5	11.8
Total Split (%)	30%	11%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)		
Total Lost Time (s)		
Lead/Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)		
Actuated g/C Ratio		
v/c Ratio		
Control Delay		
Queue Delay		
Total Delay		
LOS		
Approach Delay		
Approach LOS		
Queue Length 50th (m)		
Queue Length 95th (m)		
Internal Link Dist (m)		
Turn Bay Length (m)		
Base Capacity (vph)		
Starvation Cap Reductn		
Spillback Cap Reductn		
Storage Cap Reductn		
Reduced v/c Ratio		
Intersection Summary		

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		T.			4						4Tb	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1 3	0	11	4 12	0	0	0	0	44	345	9
Future Vol., veh/h	0	18	0	11	12	0	0	0	0	44	345	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	32	0	15	15	0	32	9	0	10	10	0	9
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	·-	-	None		-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	11
Mvmt Flow	0	18	0	11	12	0	0	0	0	44	345	9
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	457	201	295	461					10	0	0
Stage 1	-	447	-	10	10	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	_	10	-	285	451	_				_		_
Critical Hdwy	-	6.62	6.9	7.68	6.5	_				4.2	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	_	5.62	-	-	-	_				-	-	_
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.68	5.5	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.06	3.3	3.59	4	-				2.25	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	490	813	617	500	0				1586	-	-
Stage 1	0	562	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	679	574	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	465	806	578	475	-				1571	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	465	-	578	475	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	538	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	633	549	-				-	-	-
•												
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.1			12.3						0.9		
HCM LOS	В			В								
	_											
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		465	519	1571	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.039	0.044	0.028	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		13.1	12.3	7.4	0.1	_						
HCM Lane LOS		В	12.0 B	A	A	_						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	0.1	0.1	-	_						
		V. 1	· · · · ·	· · · ·								

-						
Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.8					
·						
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			413	ቀ ሴ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	21	124	86	691	390	22
Future Vol, veh/h	21	124	86	691	390	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	111	0	0	111
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3	2	5	8	5
Mymt Flow	21	124	86	691	390	22
	-1	121	- 55	- 001	- 000	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1030	317	523	0	-	0
Stage 1	512	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	518	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.96	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	_	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.33	2.22	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	233	676	1040	-	_	_
Stage 1	572	-	-	_	_	_
Stage 2	568	_	_	_	_	
Platoon blocked, %	000			_	_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	159	607	933	_	_	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	159	-	-	-	-	-
	436		_			
Stage 1						
Stage 2	509	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	17.5		1.6		0	
HCM LOS	C					
	<u> </u>					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		933	-	431	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.092	-	0.336	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.2	0.6	17.5	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Α	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	1.5	-	-
Tom Jour Joure Q(VOII)		0.0		1.0		

Lanes, Volumes, Timings 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	~	/	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					4 13						•	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	210	539	0	0	0	0	0	365	304
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	210	539	0	0	0	0	0	365	304
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	3296	0	0	0	0	0	1802	1532
Flt Permitted	-	•	_	•	0.986	•	•	•	•	•		
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	3273	0	0	0	0	0	1802	1490
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	-	•	-	•	97	•	•	•	•	-		184
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	749	0	0	0	0	0	365	304
Turn Type	•		•	Perm	NA	•			•	<u> </u>	NA	Perm
Protected Phases				1 01111	8						6	1 01111
Permitted Phases				8	0						0	6
Minimum Split (s)				26.2	26.2						28.3	28.3
Total Split (s)				38.0	38.0						37.0	37.0
Total Split (%)				50.7%	50.7%						49.3%	49.3%
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3						3.3	3.3
				1.9	1.9						2.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)				1.9								
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)					5.2						5.3	5.3
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?					00.0						04.7	04.7
Act Effct Green (s)					32.8						31.7	31.7
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.44						0.42	0.42
v/c Ratio					0.50						0.48	0.41
Control Delay					13.8						26.7	17.1
Queue Delay					0.0						0.0	0.0
Total Delay					13.8						26.7	17.1
LOS					В						С	В
Approach Delay					13.8						22.3	
Approach LOS					В						С	
Queue Length 50th (m)					56.5						52.2	26.8
Queue Length 95th (m)					73.2						77.5	50.0
Internal Link Dist (m)		271.6			163.9			117.8			52.8	
Turn Bay Length (m)												
Base Capacity (vph)					1485						761	736
Starvation Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Storage Cap Reductn					0						0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.50						0.48	0.41
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase	e 8:WBTL, S	Start of Gree	en									
Natural Cycle: 55												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.50												
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.9					tersection LO							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.5%	6			IC	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15												
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB	On Ramp/L	yon St N &	Catherine S	St								
a a					_							
₩ Ø6					🏺 🌹 Ø	8 (R)						
37 s					38 s							

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					44	111		444				
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	691	315	27	878	0	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	0	691	315	27	878	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	3357	2521	0	4863	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted								0.999				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	3357	2521	0	4861	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)								70				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	691	315	0	905	0	0	0	0
Turn Type					NA	Prot	Perm	NA				
Protected Phases					8	8		2				
Permitted Phases							2					
Detector Phase					8	8	2	2				
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)					10.0	10.0	10.0	10.0				
Minimum Split (s)					15.8	15.8	22.5	22.5				
Total Split (s)					34.0	34.0	28.0	28.0				
Total Split (%)					45.3%	45.3%	37.3%	37.3%				
Yellow Time (s)					3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)					2.5	2.5	2.5	2.5				
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0	0.0		0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)					5.8	5.8		5.8				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode					C-Max	C-Max	Max	Max				
Act Effct Green (s)					33.4	33.4		22.2				
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.45	0.45		0.30				
v/c Ratio					0.46	0.28		0.61				
Control Delay					27.3	25.1		22.9				
Queue Delay					0.0	0.0		1.2				
Total Delay					27.3	25.1		24.1				
LOS					C	С		С				
Approach Delay					26.6			24.1				
Approach LOS					С			С				
Queue Length 50th (m)					51.4	23.8		36.7				
Queue Length 95th (m)					m62.2	m28.8		49.1				
Internal Link Dist (m)		163.9			131.7			67.4			53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)						60.0						
Base Capacity (vph)					1495	1122		1488				
Starvation Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Spillback Cap Reductn					0	0		345				
Storage Cap Reductn					0	0		0				
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.46	0.28		0.79				
Intersection Summany												
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	WDT Ct											
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase 8:	WB1, Stan	of Green										
Natural Cycle: 60												
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.61												
				11	oroodian L	20· C						
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.4					ersection L							
Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.5%				iCi	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15	io motoro-l	hy unetre s	oiane!									
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	by upstream	ı sıgnal.									
Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB C	Off Ramn/C	hamberlain .	Ave/Kent St	& Catherin	e St							
				• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		44						



Lana Craun	Ø0
Lane Group	Ø9
Lane Configurations	
Traffic Volume (vph)	
Future Volume (vph)	
Satd. Flow (prot)	
Flt Permitted	
Satd. Flow (perm)	
Satd. Flow (RTOR)	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	
Turn Type	
Protected Phases	9
Permitted Phases	
Detector Phase	
Switch Phase	
Minimum Initial (s)	1.0
Minimum Split (s)	13.0
Total Split (s)	13.0
Total Split (%)	17%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	3.0
Total Lost Time (s)	
Lead/Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	
Recall Mode	None
Act Effct Green (s)	None
Actuated g/C Ratio	
v/c Ratio	
Control Delay	
Queue Delay	
Total Delay	
LOS	
Approach Delay	
Approach LOS	
Queue Length 50th (m)	
Queue Length 95th (m)	
Internal Link Dist (m)	
Turn Bay Length (m)	
Base Capacity (vph)	
Starvation Cap Reductn	
Spillback Cap Reductn	
Storage Cap Reductn	
Reduced v/c Ratio	
Intersection Summary	

Ø6 (R)

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations					ፈቀሴ			413			∳ ሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	236	644	158	188	328	0	0	695	130
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	236	644	158	188	328	0	0	695	130
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	0	4610	0	0	3260	0	0	3110	0
Flt Permitted					0.989			0.536				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	0	4530	0	0	1746	0	0	3110	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					46	•			•	<u> </u>	31	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	0	1038	0	0	516	0	0	825	0
Turn Type	U	U	•	Perm	NA	U	pm+pt	NA	U	0	NA	U
Protected Phases				T CITII	8		9	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8	U		2	2			U	
Minimum Split (s)				18.6	18.6		10.4	16.4			16.4	
				24.4	24.4		10.4	40.6			30.2	
Total Split (s)												
Total Split (%)				32.5%	32.5%		13.9%	54.1%			40.3%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				2.3	2.3		2.1	2.1			2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0			0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)					5.6			5.4			5.4	
Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag			Lag			Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				Yes	Yes			Yes			Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)					18.8			35.2			24.8	
Actuated g/C Ratio					0.25			0.47			0.33	
v/c Ratio					0.89			0.56			0.79	
Control Delay					37.0			13.5			28.4	
Queue Delay					0.0			0.0			0.5	
Total Delay					37.0			13.5			28.9	
LOS					D			В			С	
Approach Delay					37.0			13.5			28.9	
Approach LOS					D			В			С	
Queue Length 50th (m)					49.6			15.0			52.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)					#73.0			20.0			73.7	
Internal Link Dist (m)		131.7			201.7			90.2			52.9	
Turn Bay Length (m)		101.7			201.7			30.2			JZ.J	
Base Capacity (vph)					1169			920			1049	
Starvation Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
					0			0			45	
Spillback Cap Reductn												
Storage Cap Reductn					0			0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio					0.89			0.56			0.82	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phas	e 2:NBTL ar	nd 6:SBT, S	tart of Gree	า								
Natural Cycle: 70		,										
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89												
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.1				In	tersection L0	19· C						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.6%	/ <u>-</u>				CU Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15	0			IC.	O Level Of C	ICI VICE D						
# 95th percentile volume exceeds c	onooity aug	uo may ba l	ongor									
Queue shown is maximum after two		ue may be i	onger.									
	·											
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & Ca	therine St					Т						
# ø1 ©2 (R)												
5 s 40.6 s												

Future Background 2036 PM Synchro 11 Report

↑ Ø9


Lane Group	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7
Lane Configurations			
Traffic Volume (vph)			
Future Volume (vph)			
Satd. Flow (prot)			
Flt Permitted			
Satd. Flow (perm)			
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			
Lane Group Flow (vph)			
Turn Type	- 1	E	7
Protected Phases	1	5	- 1
Permitted Phases	- ^	5 0	5 0
Minimum Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (s)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Total Split (%)	7%	7%	7%
Yellow Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)			
Total Lost Time (s)			
Lead/Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes	Yes	Yes
Act Effct Green (s)			
Actuated g/C Ratio			
v/c Ratio			
Control Delay			
Queue Delay			
Total Delay			
LOS			
Approach Delay			
Approach LOS			
Queue Length 50th (m)			
Queue Length 95th (m)			
Internal Link Dist (m)			
Turn Bay Length (m)			
Base Capacity (vph)			
Starvation Cap Reductn			
Spillback Cap Reductn			
Storage Cap Reductn			
Reduced v/c Ratio			
Internation Cummer			
Intersection Summary			

Canale Configurations		۶	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	4	†	/	>	ļ	4
ane Configurations 1	Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Traffic Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 153 728 0 0 0 0 0 121 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 153 728 0 0 0 0 0 121 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 13354 0 0 0 0 0 727 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 13354 0 0 0 0 0 7727 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 3354 0 0 0 0 0 7727 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 3341 0 0 0 0 0 7727 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 3341 0 0 0 0 0 7727 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 0 160 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 160 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 160 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 0 160 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 0 160 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 0 180 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 0 180 intuitive Volume (yrph) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
Clark Change Ch		0	0	0	153	728	0	0	0	0	0	121	3
salt Flow (prof)								0					3
Termitted						3354							,
salt. Flow (prom) and Group Flow (pyth) and Group Flow (pyth) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 100 and Group Flow (pyth) 0 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 100 NA NA Portacted Phases 8 8 selected Phases 8 8 selected Phase 8 8 8 selected Phase 8 8 8 8 selected Phase selected Phase 8 9 8 8 8 8 6 formating flow (pyth) 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.		•	•	•			•		•	•	•		
Sate Flow (PTOR)		0	0	Λ	0		n	0	Λ	n	n	1727	
ame Group Flow (typh) 0 0 0 881 0 0 0 0 160 110 111 111 111 111 111 11		U	U	U	U		U	U	U	U	U	1121	
Turn Type		٥	٥	Λ	٥		Λ	٥	٥	n	٥	160	
Protected Phases 8		U	U	U			U	U	U	U	U		
Page					reiiii								
Delector Phase					0	0						Ü	
Switch Phase						0						^	
Minimum Initial (s)					ď	ď						Ь	
Infinitum Split (s)					40.0	40.0						40.0	
Total Spili (s) S2.2% S2.2% 33.6% (ellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3													
Collab C													
A													
Ni-Red Time (s)													
Description													
Solition	All-Red Time (s)				2.2								
Lag	Lost Time Adjust (s)					0.0							
Read II Mode	Total Lost Time (s)					5.5						5.4	
Read II Mode	Lead/Lag				Lag	Lag							
None Max					Yes								
19.7 25.0					None							Max	
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.35 0.45 0.45 0.69 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.21 0.22 0.22 0.22													
Ac Ratio 0.69 0.21													
15.1 11.8 20.00 15.1 11.8 20.00 10.0													
December Contemporary Contempo													
15.1													
B	Total Delay												
Approach Delay Approach LOS B B B Deueue Length 50th (m) 30.8 9.2 Dueue Length 95th (m) 46.3 23.6 Dueue Length 95th (m) 46.3 23.6 Dueue Length (m) Jum Bay Length (m) Jum Bay Length (m													
September Sept													
Queue Length 50th (m) 30.8 9.2													
Queue Length 95th (m)													
106.8 271.6 106.7 288.0													
Turn Bay Length (m) Jase Capacity (vph) 2547 774 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.35 0.21 Intersection Summary Sycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Autural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St	Queue Length 95th (m)		400.0						100 7				
Starvation Cap Reductn			100.8			2/ 1.0			100.7			288.0	
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0						05.47						774	
Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0													
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.35 0.21 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.35 0.21 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Asximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Reduced v/c Ratio ntersection Summary Cycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Aaximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Cycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St	Reduced v/c Ratio					0.35						0.21	
Cycle Length: 90 Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St	Intersection Summary												
Actuated Cycle Length: 55.7 Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Natural Cycle: 65 Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.69 Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3% ICU Level of Service A Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St					In	ersection I (ns. B						
Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St													
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Catherine St					- IC	O Level Of S	CIVICE A						
1													
. ↓ a6	Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Cath	erine St											
; ↓ a6													
; ↓ a6													
: ₩ a6 ##a7 ₹ a8 ##k	l i			. I	-								
	e + Ø6		Ι.	A B07	# (79							.	# Ř

	۶	→	•	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBI
Lane Configurations		વ			ĵ,			ፈተሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	61	0	0	18	63	22	1098	93	0	0	
Future Volume (vph)	12	61	0	0	18	63	22	1098	93	0	0	(
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1805	0	0	1561	0	0	4825	0	0	0	(
Flt Permitted		0.961						0.999				
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1739	0	0	1561	0	0	4823	0	0	0	(
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					50			26				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	73	0	0	81	0	0	1213	0	0	0	(
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4				-		2					
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3			27.3		32.3	32.3				
Total Split (s)	31.0	31.0			31.0		44.0	44.0				
Total Split (%)	41.3%	41.3%			41.3%		58.7%	58.7%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0			2.0		2.0	2.0				
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0			0.0		2.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3			5.3			5.3				
Lead/Lag		0.0			0.0			0.0				
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)		25.7			25.7			38.7				
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.34			0.34			0.52				
v/c Ratio		0.12			0.14			0.32				
Control Delay		26.9			9.3			10.5				
Queue Delay		0.0			0.0			49.8				
Total Delay		26.9			9.3			60.3				
LOS		20.9 C			9.5 A			00.5 E				
Approach Delay		26.9			9.3			60.3				
Approach LOS		20.9 C			9.5 A			00.5 E				
Queue Length 50th (m)		9.1			1.3			48.5				
Queue Length 95th (m)		m18.8			m5.6			68.8				
Internal Link Dist (m)		164.0			143.1			53.0			216.0	
		104.0			143.1			55.0			210.0	
Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph)		595			567			2501				
Starvation Cap Reductn		0			0			1423				
Spillback Cap Reductn		0			0			0				
		0			0			0				
Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio		0.12			0.14			1.13				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	2-NIDTI C	Start of Gran	n									
Natural Cycle: 60	Z.INDTL, C	nait of Giee	П									
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.49												
				1.4	ersection LC	NC. F						
Intersection Signal Delay: 55.5												
Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.7%				IC	U Level of S	ervice A						
Analysis Period (min) 15		h atas - ·	!									
m Volume for 95th percentile queue i	is metered	by upstream	n signal.									
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arlin	aton Avo											

Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arlington Ave

	ᄼ	-	•	•	←	•	•	†	/	>	↓	1
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		*	7	7	•						4Tb	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	247	52	28	314	0	0	0	0	86	535	138
Future Volume (vph)	0	247	52	28	314	0	0	0	0	86	535	138
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	1784	1547	1729	1784	0	0	0	0	0	3261	(
Flt Permitted				0.574							0.994	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	1784	1407	997	1784	0	0	0	0	0	3248	(
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			52								45	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	247	52	28	314	0	0	0	0	0	759	(
Turn Type		NA	Perm	Perm	NA					Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4			8						6	
Permitted Phases			4	8						6	•	
Minimum Split (s)		17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2					22.6	22.6	
Total Split (s)		37.0	37.0	37.0	37.0					38.0	38.0	
Total Split (%)		49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%					50.7%	50.7%	
Yellow Time (s)		3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)		1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9					2.3	2.3	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0					2.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2						5.6	
Lead/Lag		J.Z	J.Z	J.Z	J.Z						J.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)		31.8	31.8	31.8	31.8						32.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42						0.43	
v/c Ratio					0.42						0.43	
		0.33 16.0	0.08 4.5	0.07 8.4	12.7						16.4	
Control Delay												
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						0.0	
Total Delay		16.0	4.5	8.4	12.7						16.4	
LOS		В	Α	Α	В						В	
Approach Delay		14.0			12.3						16.4	
Approach LOS		В			В						В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		22.6	0.0	1.5	35.6						37.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)		38.4	5.7	m3.3	55.1						52.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)		254.8			165.0			215.6			214.3	
Turn Bay Length (m)				25.0								
Base Capacity (vph)		756	626	422	756						1428	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	0						0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42						0.53	
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase	4:EBT an	d 8:WBTL, S	Start of Gree	en								
Natural Cycle: 40												
Control Type: Pretimed												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.53												
Intersection Signal Delay: 14.9				Int	tersection LOS:	: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0%					U Level of Serv							
Analysis Period (min) 15												
		by upstrear										



	•	→	\rightarrow	•	←	•	4	†	/	\	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	7	•			ĵ,		*	ቀ ቀሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	75	450	0	0	324	75	67	939	131	0	0	0
Future Volume (vph)	75	450	0	0	324	75	67	939	131	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (prot)	1729	1767	0	0	1720	0	1729	4627	0	0	0	0
Flt Permitted	0.453						0.950					
Satd. Flow (perm)	800	1767	0	0	1720	0	1522	4627	0	0	0	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					21			37				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	75	450	0	0	399	0	67	1070	0	0	0	0
Turn Type	Perm	NA			NA		Perm	NA				
Protected Phases		4			8			2				
Permitted Phases	4						2					
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4			21.4		20.4	20.4				
Total Split (s)	43.0	43.0			43.0		32.0	32.0				
Total Split (%)	57.3%	57.3%			57.3%		42.7%	42.7%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3			3.3		3.3	3.3				
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1			2.1		2.1	2.1				
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4			5.4		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Act Effct Green (s)	37.6	37.6			37.6		26.6	26.6				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.50			0.50		0.35	0.35				
v/c Ratio	0.19	0.51			0.46		0.12	0.64				
Control Delay	18.1	21.5			13.5		8.6	9.7				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0			0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	18.1	21.5			13.5		8.6	9.7				
LOS	В	С			В		Α	Α				
Approach Delay		21.0			13.5			9.6				
Approach LOS		С			В			Α				
Queue Length 50th (m)	6.6	49.4			32.3		3.0	16.4				
Queue Length 95th (m)	m16.0	78.6			53.2		m4.7	15.0				
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0			168.8			216.0			203.6	
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0						40.0					
Base Capacity (vph)	401	885			872		539	1664				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0			0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.51			0.46		0.12	0.64				
Intersection Summary												
Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												

Actuated Cycle Length: 75
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 45 Control Type: Pretimed

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64

Intersection Signal Delay: 13.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.0%

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15 m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



	۶	-	\rightarrow	•	←	•	1	†	/	-	↓	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBF
Lane Configurations		413	*					∳ ሴ			414	
Traffic Volume (vph)	55	629	120	0	0	0	0	450	93	180	725	(
Future Volume (vph)	55	629	120	0	0	0	0	450	93	180	725	(
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	3346	1547	0	0	0	0	3131	0	0	3324	C
Flt Permitted		0.996									0.722	
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	3343	1402	0	0	0	0	3131	0	0	2373	C
Satd. Flow (RTOR)			134									
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	684	120	0	0	0	0	543	0	0	905	C
Turn Type	Perm	NA	Perm					NA		pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases		4						2		5	6	
Permitted Phases	4		4							6		
Detector Phase	4	4	4					2		5	6	
Switch Phase												
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0					10.0		5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	26.2					23.1		11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	27.0	27.0	27.0					36.9		11.1	48.0	
Total Split (%)	36.0%	36.0%	36.0%					49.2%		14.8%	64.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3					3.0		3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	2.9					3.1		3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0					0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		6.2	6.2					6.1			6.1	
Lead/Lag												
Lead-Lag Optimize?												
Recall Mode	None	None	None					C-Max		None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)		19.5	19.5					43.2			43.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.26	0.26					0.58			0.58	
v/c Ratio		0.79	0.26					0.30			0.66	
Control Delay		32.9	5.1					9.0			12.8	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0					0.0			1.4	
Total Delay		32.9	5.1					9.0			14.3	
LOS		С	Α					Α			В	
Approach Delay		28.7						9.0			14.3	
Approach LOS		С						Α			В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		46.1	0.0					19.8			71.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)		64.3	9.4					28.5			m90.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)		296.0			233.4			215.6			90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)			40.0									
Base Capacity (vph)		927	485					1801			1365	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0					0			265	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0					0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.74	0.25					0.30			0.82	
Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75												
Actuated Cycle Length: 75												
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to phase Natural Cycle: 65	e 2:NBT and	d 6:SBTL, S	tart of Greer	1								
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated												
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79												
Intersection Signal Delay: 18.2				Int	ersection LC	S: B						
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.9%	0				U Level of S							
Analysis Period (min) 15												
. , ,		by upstrean										

	٠	→	•	•	←	•	1	†	/	-	ļ	4
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations				*	ፈተሴ		*	44			♠ ₽	
Traffic Volume (vph)	0	0	0	735	610	288	311	812	0	0	853	176
Future Volume (vph)	0	0	0	735	610	288	311	812	0	0	853	176
Satd. Flow (prot)	0	0	0	1458	4279	0	1679	3390	0	0	3260	0
FIt Permitted				0.950	0.987		0.099					
Satd. Flow (perm)	0	0	0	1458	4279	0	175	3390	0	0	3260	0
Satd. Flow (RTOR)					80						26	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	0	0	412	1221	0	311	812	0	0	1029	0
Turn Type				Perm	NA		pm+pt	NA			NA	
Protected Phases					8		5	2			6	
Permitted Phases				8			2					
Minimum Split (s)				28.3	28.3		11.2	23.8			23.8	
Total Split (s)				37.0	37.0		22.0	63.0			41.0	
Total Split (%)				37.0%	37.0%		22.0%	63.0%			41.0%	
Yellow Time (s)				3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3			3.3	
All-Red Time (s)				3.0	3.0		2.9	3.5			3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)				6.3	6.3		6.2	6.8			6.8	
Lead/Lag							Lead				Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?							Yes				Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)				30.7	30.7		56.8	56.2			34.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio				0.31	0.31		0.57	0.56			0.34	
v/c Ratio				0.92	0.89		0.92	0.43			0.91	
Control Delay				61.9	40.5		59.4	13.5			43.5	
Queue Delay				0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			0.0	
Total Delay				61.9	40.5		59.4	13.5			43.5	
LOS				Е	D		Е	В			D	
Approach Delay					45.9			26.2			43.5	
Approach LOS					D			С			D	
Queue Length 50th (m)				89.3	82.1		44.4	44.8			97.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)				#154.3	#109.4		#94.6	58.3			#136.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		141.5			120.8			240.1			287.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)				80.0			45.0					
Base Capacity (vph)				447	1369		337	1905			1132	
Starvation Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Spillback Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Storage Cap Reductn				0	0		0	0			0	
Reduced v/c Ratio				0.92	0.89		0.92	0.43			0.91	
Intersection Summary												

Cycle Length: 100

Actuated Cycle Length: 100
Offset: 60 (60%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Pretimed

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92


Intersection Signal Delay: 39.4 Intersection Capacity Utilization 92.3%


Intersection LOS: D ICU Level of Service F

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.





•												
Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		1 3			4 37						đħ.	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	43	601	13
Future Vol, veh/h	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	43	601	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	20	0	8	8	0	20	19	0	3	3	0	19
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	43	601	13
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	716	334	407	722	-				3	0	0
Stage 1	-	713	-	3	3	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	3	-	404	719	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.6	6.9	7.5	6.6	-				4.1	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.6	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.6	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.05	3.3	3.5	4.05	-				2.2	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	348	668	533	346	0				1632	-	-
Stage 1	0	426	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	600	424	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	327	656	491	325	-				1627	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	327	-	491	325	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	402	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	547	400	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16.2			17						0.6		
HCM LOS	С			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		343	346	1627	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.061	0.13	0.026	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		16.2	17	7.3	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		С	С	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.4	0.1	-	-						

-						
Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.2					
·		FDD	ND	NOT	ODT	000
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	¥	407	50	41,₩	1	07
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	107	58	428	719	27
Future Vol, veh/h	20	107	58	428	719	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	42	0	0	42
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	5	3	0
Mvmt Flow	20	107	58	428	719	27
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1105	415	788	0	iviajuiz -	0
	775	415	700	-		U
Stage 1					-	-
Stage 2	330	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.92	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.31	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	208	589	840	-	-	-
Stage 1	420	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	707	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	174	566	807	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	174	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	366	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	679	-	-	-	-	-
Annragah	EP		ND		CD	
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	17.3		1.5		0	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		807	-	418	-	- ODIX
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.072	-	0.304	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.8	0.4	17.3		
HCM Lane LOS		9.0 A	0.4 A	17.3 C	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	- A	1.3		-
now 95th %tile Q(ven)		0.2	-	1.3	-	-



	•	ţ	4	
ane Group	WBT	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ተ ተ ጌ	*	#	
raffic Volume (vph)	260	262	125	
uture Volume (vph)	260	262	125	
ane Group Flow (vph)	512	262	125	
urn Type	NA	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	8	6		
Permitted Phases	•	0	6	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	28.3	28.3	
otal Split (s)	35.0	40.0	40.0	
otal Split (%)	46.7%	53.3%	53.3%	
'ellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	
	3.3 1.9	2.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)				
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0 5.3	0.0 5.3	
otal Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.3	5.3	
ead/Lag				
ead-Lag Optimize?	20.0	0.4 =	A	
Act Effct Green (s)	29.8	34.7	34.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.40	0.46	0.46	
/c Ratio	0.26	0.32	0.16	
Control Delay	1.0	22.5	11.4	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	
otal Delay	1.0	22.5	11.4	
.OS	Α	С	В	
Approach Delay	1.0	18.9		
Approach LOS	Α	В		
Queue Length 50th (m)	0.3	34.8	1.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	m1.2	54.1	19.3	
nternal Link Dist (m)	109.2	52.8		
urn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1956	825	769	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.26	0.32	0.16	
	0.20	0.02	0.10	
ntersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 75				
Actuated Cycle Length: 75				
Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to p	hase 8:WBTL,	Start of Gre	en	
latural Cycle: 55				
Control Type: Pretimed				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.32				
ntersection Signal Delay: 8.7				Intersection LOS: A
ntersection Capacity Utilization 4	9.3%			ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15				
n Volume for 95th percentile qu	ueue is metered	by upstrear	n signal.	
p 4		, ,	J	
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417	WB On Ramp/L	von St N &	Catherine St	
		-, s st. it u		
al				
▼ Ø6				Ø8 (R)

	←	•	†			
Lane Group	WBT	WBR	NBT	Ø9		
Lane Configurations	∳ ሴ	7	4413			
Traffic Volume (vph)	426	549	1356			
Future Volume (vph)	426	549	1356			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	673	302	1420			
Turn Type	NA	Perm	NA			
Protected Phases	8		2	9		
Permitted Phases		8				
Minimum Split (s)	22.8	22.8	22.5	5.0		
Total Split (s)	36.0	36.0	34.0	5.0		
Fotal Split (%)	48.0%	48.0%	45.3%	7%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0		
All-Red Time (s)	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	5.8	5.8	5.8			
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Act Effct Green (s)	30.2	30.2	28.2			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.40	0.40	0.38			
v/c Ratio	0.57	0.58	0.75			
Control Delay	17.4	19.5	22.4			
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	3.9			
Total Delay	17.4	19.5	26.2			
LOS	В	В	C			
Approach Delay	18.0		26.3			
Approach LOS	В		C			
Queue Length 50th (m)	31.8	28.5	59.5			
Queue Length 95th (m)	m46.4	m43.0	75.6			
Internal Link Dist (m)	131.7	111-10.0	67.4			
Turn Bay Length (m)	101.7		07.4			
Base Capacity (vph)	1181	524	1888			
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0			
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	377			
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.57	0.58	0.94			
Couded V/C (Callo	0.31	0.50	0.34			
ntersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 12 (16%), Referenced to pha	ase 8:WBT, S	tart of Gree	en			
Natural Cycle: 55						
Control Type: Pretimed						
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.75						
ntersection Signal Delay: 22.9				Intersection LOS: C		
ntersection Capacity Utilization 66.0	0%			ICU Level of Service C		
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volume for 95th percentile queu	ue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EE	B Off Ramp/C	hamberlair	Ave/Kent St	& Catherine St		
↑ ø₂				# k ø9)	

	←	•	†	ţ				
Lane Group	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7	
Lane Configurations	ፈቀሴ		413	∳ ሴ				
Fraffic Volume (vph)	608	275	578	376				
Future Volume (vph)	608	275	578	376				
_ane Group Flow (vph)	986	0	853	520				
Turn Type	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	9	2	6	1	5	7	
Permitted Phases		2	_	•	•		•	
Minimum Split (s)	18.6	10.4	16.4	16.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)	24.7	10.4	40.3	29.9	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (%)	32.9%	13.9%	53.7%	39.9%	7%	7%	7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag					Lead	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Lag Yes		Lag Yes	Lag Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)	19.1		34.9	24.5	168	res	res	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.33				
v/c Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.53				
Control Delay	32.2		21.6	19.5				
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	32.2		21.6	19.5				
LOS	C		C	B				
Approach Delay	32.2		21.6	19.5				
Approach LOS	С		С	В				
Queue Length 50th (m)	44.6		27.3	26.0				
Queue Length 95th (m)	#64.9		#45.9	40.2				
Internal Link Dist (m)	201.7		90.2	52.9				
Turn Bay Length (m)								
Base Capacity (vph)	1175		1018	984				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.84		0.84	0.53				
Intersection Summary								
Cycle Length: 75								
Actuated Cycle Length: 75								
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	se 2·NRTL a	nd 6:SBT	Start of Gree	n				
Natural Cycle: 70	00 Z.ND1L U	11d 0.0D1, C	olari or Oroc	11				
Control Type: Pretimed								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84								
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.6				Into	ersection LC	1S- C		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.7	0/_				J Level of Se			
Analysis Period (min) 15	70			100	Level of St	SI VICE D		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds of	canacity due	aua may ha	longer					
Queue shown is maximum after to		eue may be	ionger.					
	,							
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & C	atherine St							
# Ø2 (R)						- 1		
ÆBØ1♥								
5 s 40.3 s								
2 e							, I	_
#Rø5				•	N Ø9	- 1	# Baz	V 0/8

	←	ţ				
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	Ø3	Ø7		
Lane Configurations	ተቀሴ	Ť.				
Traffic Volume (vph)	260	1 129				
Future Volume (vph)	260	129				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	347	186				
Turn Type	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	6	3	7		
Permitted Phases						
Detector Phase	8	6				
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0		
Minimum Split (s)	26.5	23.4	6.4	6.4		
Total Split (s)	34.0	24.0	16.0	16.0		
Total Split (%)	37.8%	26.7%	18%	18%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3		
All-Red Time (s)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.4				
Lead/Lag	Lag	*		Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes		
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	None		
Act Effct Green (s)	11.9	18.8	1100	110110		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.29	0.45				
v/c Ratio	0.25	0.25				
Control Delay	6.4	9.4				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	6.4	9.4				
LOS	A	A				
Approach Delay	6.4	9.4				
Approach LOS	A	A				
Queue Length 50th (m)	3.5	6.6				
Queue Length 95th (m)	7.6	23.3				
Internal Link Dist (m)	271.6	288.0				
Turn Bay Length (m)						
Base Capacity (vph)	3171	740				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.11	0.25				
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 41.7						
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.25						
Intersection Signal Delay: 7.4					ersection LOS: A	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.4%	6			IC	U Level of Service A	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & C	atherine St	<u> </u>				
·						
1		w #		4	_	
♦ Ø6	- 1	TF07		17	Ø8	Ååø3

	→	←	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ħ	4Î	4Th	_
Traffic Volume (vph)	18	4 1 12	329	
Future Volume (vph)	18	12	329	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	18	23	386	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	
Intersection Summary				
Control Type: Unsignalized				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 32.99	%			ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.1											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		↑ ⊾			∡î						4Tb	
Traffic Vol. veh/h	0	1 3	0	11	4 12	0	0	0	0	48	329	9
Future Vol. veh/h	0	18	0	11	12	0	0	0	0	48	329	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	32	0	15	15	0	32	9	0	10	10	0	9
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	·-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	11
Mvmt Flow	0	18	0	11	12	0	0	0	0	48	329	9
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	449	193	295	453	-				10	0	0
Stage 1	-	439	-	10	10	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	10	-	285	443	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.62	6.9	7.68	6.5	-				4.2	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.62	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.68	5.5	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.06	3.3	3.59	4	-				2.25	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	495	822	617	506	0				1586	-	-
Stage 1	0	567	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	679	579	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	468	815	576	479	-				1571	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	468	-	576	479	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	541	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	631	552	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13			12.2						1		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt			WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		468	521	1571	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.038	0.044	0.031	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		13	12.2	7.4	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		В	В	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	0.1	0.1	-	-						

	۶	→	←	†	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBT	
Lane Configurations		<u></u>	ĵ.	ፈተሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	19	₄ 52	11	1741	
Future Volume (vph)	19	52	11	1741	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	0	71	104	1887	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	1 01111	4	8	2	
Permitted Phases	4	7	•		
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3	27.3	32.3	
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0	28.0	47.0	
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%	37.3%	62.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3	5.3	5.3	
Lead/Lag		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)		22.7	22.7	41.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.56	
v/c Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.50	
Control Delay		32.6	16.1	11.5	
		0.0	0.0	3.4	
Queue Delay		32.6	16.1	15.0	
Total Delay LOS		32.6 C	10.1 B	15.0 B	
		32.6	16.1	15.0	
Approach Delay					
Approach LOS		C	8.3	В	
Queue Length 50th (m)		9.5		32.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)		20.6	m12.8	52.3	
Internal Link Dist (m)		164.0	143.1	53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)		101	175	0070	
Base Capacity (vph)		491	475	2676	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	676	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.14	0.22	0.94	
ntersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	0.11==:				
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phas	e 2:NBTL, S	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.71					
ntersection Signal Delay: 15.6					ntersection LOS: B
ntersection Capacity Utilization 68.5%	6			IC	CU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15					
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	by upstream	m signal.		
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arl	ington Ave				
+	gv				A
Ø2 (R)					→ Ø4
47 s					28 s
					l 4—

	-	•	1	•	ļ
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	A	#	ች	A	ፈፒኔ
Traffic Volume (vph)	184	24	15	143	331
Future Volume (vph)	184	24	15	143	331
Lane Group Flow (vph)	184	24	15	143	518
Turn Type	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	NA
Protected Phases	4			8	6
Permitted Phases		4	8		
Minimum Split (s)	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	22.6
Total Split (s)	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	39.0
Total Split (%)	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	52.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	30.8	30.8	30.8	30.8	33.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.45
v/c Ratio	0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20	0.35
Control Delay	15.8	3.3	5.7	7.7	13.2
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	15.8	3.3	5.7	7.7	13.2
LOS	В	Α	Α	Α	В
Approach Delay	14.4			7.5	13.2
Approach LOS	В			A	В
Queue Length 50th (m)	16.6	0.0	0.7	10.0	21.7
Queue Length 95th (m)	29.8	2.8	m1.1	14.1	32.5
Internal Link Dist (m)	254.8			165.0	214.3
Turn Bay Length (m)			25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	711	632	470	718	1460
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20	0.35
Intersection Summary					

Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase 4:EBT and 8:WBTL, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.35

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.4 Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.3% Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave



	۶	→	←	4	†	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT	
Lane Configurations	*	•	ĵ.	*	 ቀቀኄ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	82	277	165	36	1723	
Future Volume (vph)	82	277	165	36	1723	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	82	277	313	36	1820	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4	8		2	
Permitted Phases	4			2		
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4	21.4	20.4	20.4	
Total Split (s)	32.9	32.9	32.9	42.1	42.1	
Total Split (%)	43.9%	43.9%	43.9%	56.1%	56.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Act Effct Green (s)	27.5	27.5	27.5	36.7	36.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.37	0.37	0.49	0.49	
v/c Ratio	0.28	0.44	0.55	0.05	0.77	
Control Delay	26.7	27.6	22.8	8.1	8.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	26.7	27.6	22.8	8.1	8.6	
LOS	С	С	С	Α	Α	
Approach Delay		27.4	22.8		8.6	
Approach LOS		С	С		Α	
Queue Length 50th (m)	9.8	37.3	33.6	1.0	19.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.1	59.2	57.1	m2.8	39.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0	168.8		216.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0			40.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	291	629	572	706	2352	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.28	0.44	0.55	0.05	0.77	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to phase	4:EBTL a	nd 8:WBT, S	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 50						
Control Type: Pretimed						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.77						
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.1				Int	tersection LO)S: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.3%				IC	U Level of Se	ervice D
Analysis Period (min) 15						

Splits and Phases: 8: Kent St & Gladstone Ave

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



Ø6 (R)

	→	•	†	-	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	413	1	♦ %		414	
Traffic Volume (vph)	506	78	839	176	382	
Future Volume (vph)	506	78	839	176	382	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	587	78	982	0	558	
Turn Type	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	4	. 51111	2	5	6	
Permitted Phases	T	4	L	6		
Detector Phase	4	4	2	5	6	
Switch Phase	7	7		J		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	23.1	11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	26.2	26.2	37.7	11.1	48.8	
Total Split (%)	34.9%	34.9%	50.3%	14.8%	65.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	34.9%	34.9%	3.0	3.0	3.0	
	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	
All-Red Time (s)				3.1		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.2	6.2	6.1		6.1	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	18.3	18.3	44.4		44.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.24	0.24	0.59		0.59	
v/c Ratio	0.75	0.18	0.52		0.53	
Control Delay	32.5	2.1	10.3		14.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Delay	32.5	2.1	10.3		14.5	
LOS	С	Α	В		В	
Approach Delay	29.0		10.3		14.5	
Approach LOS	С		В		В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	39.1	0.0	40.0		40.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)	55.8	2.9	55.1		m57.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)	296.0		215.6		90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		40.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	858	460	1880		1047	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.68	0.17	0.52		0.53	
Reduced V/C Ratio	0.00	0.17	0.52		0.55	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to pha	ise 2·NRT an	d 6:SBTL 5	Start of Gree	n		
Natural Cycle: 65	100 Z.INDT UIT	u o.ob i L, v	Start or Gree	,11		
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated	l					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75						
				lad	romantian LOC: D	
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.0	70/				ersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.7	/%			IC	U Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volume for 95th percentile queu	ie is metered	by upstrea	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St & C	Chamberlain A	Ave/Isabella	a St			
+						
Ø2 (R)					Ø5	
37.7 s					11.1s	
0717 0					11,13	

	←	4
Lane Group	WBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ተ ተ ጌ	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	457	54
Future Volume (vph)	457	54
Lane Group Flow (vph)	486	54
Sign Control	Free	
Intersection Summary		
Control Type: Unsignalized		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 20	0.2%	

Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.1					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	LDL		ተ ቀሴ	WEIT	ODL	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	457	29	0	54
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	457	29	0	54
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	_	-	_	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-34	538944	0	_	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	0	-	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	457	29	0	54
WWIIICH IOW	U	U	401	23	U	J 4
Major/Minor			Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All			-	0	-	243
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy			-	-	-	7.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-	-	3.92
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			_	-	0	646
Stage 1			-		0	-
Stage 2			_	_	0	_
Platoon blocked. %			_	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	-	646
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			_	-	_	-
Stage 1						_
Stage 2			-	-	-	_
Olago Z			_	-	_	_
Approach			WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			0		11.1	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		WBT	W/DD	SBLn1		
			WBK			
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	646		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.084		
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	11.1		
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0.3		

	۶	†	↓
Lane Group	EBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	W	413	♦ %
Traffic Volume (vph)	21	694	393
Future Volume (vph)	21	694	393
Lane Group Flow (vph)	149	780	415
Sign Control	Stop	Free	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55	.8%		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.9					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
		EDK	INDL			SDK
Lane Configurations	21	128	96	₽	↑ ↑ 393	22
Traffic Vol, veh/h			86	694		
Future Vol, veh/h	21	128	86	694	393	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	111	_ 0	_ 0	111
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3	2	5	8	5
Mvmt Flow	21	128	86	694	393	22
NA - ' / NA'	M* 0		Matrid		M-1- 0	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1034	319	526	0	-	0
Stage 1	515	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	519	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.96	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.33	2.22	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	231	674	1037	-	-	-
Stage 1	570	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	568	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked. %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	158	605	931	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	158	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	434	-	-	_	_	_
Stage 2	509	-	-		_	-
Olago Z	503	_				_
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	17.6		1.6		0	
HCM LOS	С					
Min I /M . i M		NDI	NDT	EDL .4	ODT	000
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		931	-	433	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.092	-	0.344	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.3	0.6	17.6	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Α	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	1.5	-	-

* 1 *		
Lane Group WBL WBT NBL NBT SBT	Ø5 Ø	0 7
Lane Configurations \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \		
Traffic Volume (vph) 510 486 527 1054 434		
Future Volume (vph) 510 486 527 1054 434		
Lane Group Flow (vph) 347 1011 527 1054 554		
Turn Type Perm NA pm+pt NA NA		
Protected Phases 8 57 2 6	5	7
Permitted Phases 8 2		
Minimum Split (s) 28.3 28.3 23.8 23.8	11.2 11	1.8
Total Split (s) 37.0 37.0 73.0 30.2	31.0 11	1.8
Total Split (%) 33.6% 33.6% 66.4% 27.5%	28% 11	%
Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3	3.3 3	3.3
All-Red Time (s) 3.0 3.0 3.5	2.9 3	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
Total Lost Time (s) 6.3 6.8 6.8		
Lead/Lag Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize? Yes	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s) 30.7 30.7 66.8 66.2 23.4		
Actuated g/C Ratio 0.28 0.28 0.61 0.60 0.21		
v/c Ratio 0.87 0.83 0.85 0.52 0.82		
Control Delay 60.8 41.0 28.9 13.8 50.0		
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0		
Total Delay 60.8 41.0 28.9 13.8 50.0		
LOS E D C B D		
Approach Delay 46.1 18.8 50.0		
Approach LOS D B D		
Queue Length 50th (m) 82.5 72.8 59.8 64.2 57.0		
Queue Length 95th (m) #140.8 91.0 #106.4 80.4 #81.7		
Internal Link Dist (m) 120.8 240.1 287.4		
Turn Bay Length (m) 80.0 45.0		
Base Capacity (vph) 399 1215 618 2040 679		
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0		
Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0		
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0		
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.87 0.83 0.85 0.52 0.82		
Intersection Summary		
Cycle Length: 110		

Cycle Length: 110
Actuated Cycle Length: 110

Offset: 60 (55%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.87


Intersection Signal Delay: 34.4


Intersection Capacity Utilization 87.1%

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.





Intersection LOS: C

ICU Level of Service E

	←	ļ	1	
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	ተቀ15	*	1	
raffic Volume (vph)	540	348	289	
uture Volume (vph)	540	348	289	
ane Group Flow (vph)	752	348	289	
Turn Type	NA	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	8	6		
Permitted Phases			6	
finimum Split (s)	26.2	28.3	28.3	
Total Split (s)	35.0	40.0	40.0	
Total Split (%)	46.7%	53.3%	53.3%	
/ellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	2.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.3	5.3	
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?		a · =	A -	
Act Effct Green (s)	29.8	34.7	34.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.40	0.46	0.46	
v/c Ratio	0.38	0.42	0.37	
Control Delay	9.3	23.1	15.8	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	9.3	23.1	15.8	
LOS	A	C	В	
Approach Delay	9.3	19.8		
Approach LOS	A	B	07.4	
Queue Length 50th (m)	6.0 13.7	47.4 72.9	27.1 46.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)			40.4	
Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m)	109.2	52.8		
Base Capacity (vph)	1962	833	771	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.38	0.42	0.37	
	0.50	0.42	0.51	
ntersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 75				
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phas	se 8:WBTL,	Start of Gre	en	
Natural Cycle: 55				
Control Type: Pretimed				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.42				
ntersection Signal Delay: 14.1				Intersection LOS: B
ntersection Capacity Utilization 47.19 Analysis Period (min) 15	%			ICU Level of Service A
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB	3 On Ramp/l	Lyon St N &	Catherine St	
↓ Ø6				▼ Ø8 (R)
T 20				y vo (r.)

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St Lane Group WBT **WBR** NBT Ø9 **↑1** 693 **₹†** 837 Lane Configurations **300** Traffic Volume (vph) Future Volume (vph) 693 300 837 Lane Group Flow (vph) 723 270 876 Turn Type NA Perm NA Protected Phases 8 Permitted Phases 8 22.8 22.5 22.8 5.0 Minimum Split (s) 28.0 Total Split (s) 42.0 42.0 5.0 Total Split (%) 56.0% 56.0% 37.3% 7% Yellow Time (s) 2.0 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 2.5 2.5 2.5 0.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.8 5.8 5.8 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? 36.2 22.2 Act Effct Green (s) 36.2 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.48 0.48 0.30 v/c Ratio 0.47 0.46 0.59 Control Delay 9.7 8.5 22.5 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 22.5 8.5 9.7 LOS Α Α С 22.5 Approach Delay 8.8 Approach LOS С Α Queue Length 50th (m) 21.7 16.1 35.2 Queue Length 95th (m) m29.6 m23.2 47.3 Internal Link Dist (m) 131.7 67.4 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1534 581 1485 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.47 0.46 0.59 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 12 (16%), Referenced to phase 8:WBT, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.59 Intersection Signal Delay: 15.2 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.9% ICU Level of Service A

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Analysis Period (min) 15

Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St Ø8 (R) Ø2

	←	•	†	ļ				
Lane Group	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7	
ane Configurations	ፈተሴ		413	ቀ ሴ				
raffic Volume (vph)	624	200	330	695				
Future Volume (vph)	624	200	330	695				
ane Group Flow (vph)	1018	0	530	837				
Furn Type	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	9	2	6	1	5	7	
Permitted Phases	<u> </u>	2	_	•	•		•	
Minimum Split (s)	18.6	10.4	16.4	16.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)	24.6	10.4	40.4	30.0	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (%)	32.8%	13.9%	53.9%	40.0%	7%	7%	7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)	19.0		35.0	24.6	165	165	169	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.33				
v/c Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.33				
	34.7		13.7	29.6				
Control Delay	0.0		0.0	0.7				
Queue Delay	34.7		13.7	30.2				
Total Delay								
LOS	C 34.7		B	C 30.2				
Approach Delay			13.7					
Approach LOS	C		В	C				
Queue Length 50th (m)	48.1		16.0	54.0				
Queue Length 95th (m)	#69.9		20.7	#77.2				
Internal Link Dist (m)	201.7		90.2	52.9				
Turn Bay Length (m)	4404		000	4007				
Base Capacity (vph)	1181		920	1037				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	43				
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.86		0.58	0.84				
Intersection Summary								
Cycle Length: 75								
Actuated Cycle Length: 75								
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to pha	ise 2:NBTL a	nd 6:SBT. S	Start of Gree	en				
Natural Cycle: 70				•••				
Control Type: Pretimed								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.86								
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.5				Inte	ersection LC	S· C		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.1	1%				J Level of Se			
Analysis Period (min) 15	1 70			100	2010, 0, 0	51 V100 B		
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity que	eue may he	longer					
Queue shown is maximum after t		out may be	.ongon					
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & C	atherine St							
· .	Zati Ioi II IG OL							
Ååø₁• ¶ø₂ (R)								
1 DZ (K)								
5 s 40.4 s								
<u> </u>					•		1.5	←
#Bø5• ▼ Ø6 (R)					1 Ø9		7 FØ7	♥ Ø8

	+	+				
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	Ø3	Ø7		
Lane Configurations	4413					
Traffic Volume (vph)	709	1 3				
Future Volume (vph)	709	121				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	873	160				
Turn Type	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	6	3	7		
Permitted Phases	U	U	J	1		
Detector Phase	8	6				
Switch Phase	0	U				
	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0		
Minimum Initial (s)			1.0 6.4	1.0		
Minimum Split (s)	26.5	23.4		6.4		
Total Split (s)	34.0	24.0	16.0	16.0		
Total Split (%)	37.8%	26.7%	18%	18%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3		
All-Red Time (s)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0				
Γotal Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.4				
_ead/Lag	Lag			Lead		
_ead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes		
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	None		
Act Effct Green (s)	14.6	18.7				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.33	0.42				
//c Ratio	0.52	0.22				
Control Delay	10.5	10.4				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	10.5	10.4				
LOS	В	В				
Approach Delay	10.5	10.4				
Approach LOS	В	В				
Queue Length 50th (m)	15.2	7.2				
Queue Length 95th (m)	22.8	20.1				
nternal Link Dist (m)	271.6	288.0				
Furn Bay Length (m)	211.0	200.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	3166	729				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0				
		0				
Spillback Cap Reductn Storage Cap Reductn	0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.28	0.22				
	0.28	0.22				
ntersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 44.3						
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52						
ntersection Signal Delay: 10.5				Ini	tersection LOS: B	
ntersection Capacity Utilization 44.2%	6				U Level of Service A	
Analysis Period (min) 15	•			10	5 25101 01 0011100 /1	
maryolo i onou (mill) to						
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Ca	atherine St	<u> </u>				
. 1				م ا	_	1
. 1	- 1	E. E.		7.7	-	E. E

	→	←	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	ĵ.	4	4Tb	<u> </u>
Traffic Volume (vph)	19	4 37	572	
Future Volume (vph)	19	37	572	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	21	45	635	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	
Intersection Summary				
Control Type: Unsignalized				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 39.99	%			ICU Level of Service A

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.2											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		T.			4						đħ.	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1 3	2	8	3 7	0	0	0	0	50	572	13
Future Vol., veh/h	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	50	572	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	20	0	8	8	0	20	19	0	3	3	0	19
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	·-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	19	2	8	37	0	0	0	0	50	572	13
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	701	320	407	707	-				3	0	0
Stage 1	-	698	-	3	3	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	3	-	404	704	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.6	6.9	7.5	6.6	-				4.1	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.6	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.6	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.05	3.3	3.5	4.05	-				2.2	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	355	682	533	353	0				1632	-	-
Stage 1	0	433	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	600	430	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	332	670	489	330	-				1627	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	332	-	489	330	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	406	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	544	403	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16			16.8						0.7		
HCM LOS	С			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt			WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		349	350	1627	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.06	0.129	0.031	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		16	16.8	7.3	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		С	С	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.4	0.1	-	-						

	۶	→	←	†	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBT	
Lane Configurations		વ	ĵ.	ፈተሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	12	68	18	1047	
Future Volume (vph)	12	68	18	1047	
ane Group Flow (vph)	0	80	81	1162	
Furn Type	Perm	NA	NA	NA	
Protected Phases		4	8	2	
Permitted Phases	4				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3	27.3	32.3	
Total Split (s)	31.0	31.0	31.0	44.0	
Total Split (%)	41.3%	41.3%	41.3%	58.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Fotal Lost Time (s)		5.3	5.3	5.3	
_ead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)		25.7	25.7	38.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.34	0.34	0.52	
//c Ratio		0.13	0.14	0.46	
Control Delay		27.6	8.2	5.7	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	0.2	
Total Delay		27.6	8.2	5.9	
.OS		C	A	A	
Approach Delay		27.6	8.2	5.9	
Approach LOS		C	A	A	
Queue Length 50th (m)		10.2	1.1	16.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)		m20.8	m5.1	19.7	
nternal Link Dist (m)		164.0	143.1	53.0	
Γurn Bay Length (m)		10 110		00.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		598	573	2501	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	491	
Spillback Cap Reductn		Ŏ	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.13	0.14	0.58	
		JJ	J	0.00	
ntersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phas	e 2:NBTL,	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.46					
ntersection Signal Delay: 7.3					tersection LOS: A
ntersection Capacity Utilization 53.7%	6			IC	CU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15					
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	l by upstrear	m signal.		
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arli	ington Ave				T A
√Tø2 (R)					- 7ø4
44 s					31 s
					←

	-	•	•	•	ļ
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	A	#	*	A	4Tb
Traffic Volume (vph)	247	52	28	314	517
Future Volume (vph)	247	52	28	314	517
Lane Group Flow (vph)	247	52	28	314	741
Turn Type	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	NA
Protected Phases	4			8	6
Permitted Phases		4	8		
Minimum Split (s)	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	22.6
Total Split (s)	37.0	37.0	37.0	37.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	50.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6
Lead/Lag			· · ·	•	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	31.8	31.8	31.8	32.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.43
v/c Ratio	0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42	0.52
Control Delay	16.0	4.5	7.8	12.1	16.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	16.0	4.5	7.8	12.1	16.1
LOS	В	A	A	В	В
Approach Delay	14.0		.,	11.8	16.1
Approach LOS	В			В	В
Queue Length 50th (m)	22.6	0.0	1.5	35.5	36.2
Queue Length 95th (m)	38.4	5.7	m3.3	55.1	51.2
Internal Link Dist (m)	254.8			165.0	214.3
Turn Bay Length (m)			25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	756	626	422	756	1427
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42	0.52
Intersection Summany					

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase 4:EBT and 8:WBTL, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.6 Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.2% Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave



	•	→	+	1	†
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT
Lane Configurations	*	A		*	ተ ቀኄ
Traffic Volume (vph)	75	450	1 324	67	895
Future Volume (vph)	75	450	324	67	895
Lane Group Flow (vph)	75	450	399	67	1026
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases		4	8		2
Permitted Phases	4			2	
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4	21.4	20.4	20.4
Total Split (s)	43.0	43.0	43.0	32.0	32.0
Total Split (%)	57.3%	57.3%	57.3%	42.7%	42.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	37.6	37.6	37.6	26.6	26.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.35	0.35
v/c Ratio	0.19	0.51	0.46	0.12	0.62
Control Delay	18.0	21.5	13.5	5.1	5.7
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	18.0	21.5	13.5	5.1	5.7
LOS	В	С	В	Α	Α
Approach Delay		21.0	13.5		5.6
Approach LOS		С	В		Α
Queue Length 50th (m)	6.6	49.6	32.2	1.4	6.1
Queue Length 95th (m)	m16.2	78.9	53.1	3.0	8.1
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0	168.8		216.0
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0			40.0	
Base Capacity (vph)	401	885	873	539	1664
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.51	0.46	0.12	0.62
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	(EDT	- 10 14/07	0111-0		
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to ph	iase 4:EBTL a	nd 8:WBT,	Start of Gre	en	
Natural Cycle: 45					
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.62					
Intersection Signal Delay: 11.2	00/				tersection L
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67	.2%			IC	U Level of S
Analysis Period (min) 15					
m Volume for 95th percentile que	eue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.		
0.17 1.01	0				
Splits and Phases: 8: Kent St &	Gladstone Ave)			
∢†				1 &	

Ø2

32 s

43 s

Ø8 (R)

43 s

	→	•	†	-	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	413	1	∳ ሴ		41,	
Traffic Volume (vph)	607	122	457	180	725	
Future Volume (vph)	607	122	457	180	725	
_ane Group Flow (vph)	669	122	550	0	905	
Furn Type	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	4	. •	2	5	6	
Permitted Phases	•	4	_	6	•	
Detector Phase	4	4	2	5	6	
Switch Phase	7	7	2	3	U	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	23.1	11.1	23.1	
Fotal Split (s)	28.8	28.8	35.1	11.1	46.2	
			46.8%	14.8%	61.6%	
Total Split (%)	38.4%	38.4%				
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.2	6.2	6.1		6.1	
_ead/Lag						
_ead-Lag Optimize?			0.1:			
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	20.5	20.5	42.2		42.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.27	0.56		0.56	
//c Ratio	0.73	0.25	0.31		0.68	
Control Delay	29.7	4.9	9.1		12.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.1	
Total Delay	29.7	4.9	9.1		13.8	
_OS	С	Α	Α		В	
Approach Delay	25.9		9.1		13.8	
Approach LOS	С		Α		В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	43.3	0.0	19.5		71.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)	60.4	9.3	29.0		m91.5	
nternal Link Dist (m)	296.0		215.6		90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		40.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	1006	516	1779		1329	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		211	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.67	0.24	0.31		0.81	
	0.01	0.24	0.51		0.01	
ntersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to phas	se 2:NBT an	d 6:SBTL, S	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73						
					tersection LOS: B	
	%			IC	U Level of Service E	
ntersection Capacity Utilization 82.7%						
ntersection Capacity Utilization 82.7% Analysis Period (min) 15						
ntersection Signal Delay: 16.9 ntersection Capacity Utilization 82.79 Analysis Period (min) 15 n Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	by upstream	m signal.			
ntersection Capacity Utilization 82.79 Analysis Period (min) 15 n Volume for 95th percentile queue						
ntersection Capacity Utilization 82.79 Analysis Period (min) 15 n Volume for 95th percentile queue						Ι Δ
ntersection Capacity Utilization 82.79 Analysis Period (min) 15 n Volume for 95th percentile queue					№ 05	₩94

	←	1
Lane Group	WBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	ቀ ቀሴ	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	631	37
Future Volume (vph)	631	37
Lane Group Flow (vph)	679	37
Sign Control	Free	
Intersection Summary		
Control Type: Unsignalized		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	24 0%	

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations				WEIT	ODL	7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	ተተቤ 631	48	0	37
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	631	48	0	37
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	_	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-24	424832	0	_	0	_
Grade, %		0	0	-	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	631	48	0	37
WWW	· ·	U	001	40	U	01
Major/Minor			Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All			-	0	-	340
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy			-	-	-	7.14
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-	-	3.92
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	0	560
Stage 1			-	-	0	-
Stage 2			-	-	0	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	-	560
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	-	-	-
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
211.93						
Annragah			WD		CD	
Approach			WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			0		11.9	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		WBT	WBR	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)			-	560		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		_		0.066		
HCM Control Delay (s)		_	_	11.9		
HCM Lane LOS		_	_	В		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		_	_	0.2		
TOW JOHN JOHN A (VOII)				0.2		

	۶	†	↓
Lane Group	EBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	W	413	♦ %
Traffic Volume (vph)	20	430	724
Future Volume (vph)	20	430	724
Lane Group Flow (vph)	134	488	751
Sign Control	Stop	Free	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.1	1%		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.2					
	EBL	EBR	NDI	NDT	CDT	SBR
Movement		EBK	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	*	444		414	↑ ↑	07
Traffic Vol, veh/h	20	114	58	430	724	27
Future Vol, veh/h	20	114	58	430	724	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	42	0	0	42
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	5	3	0
Mvmt Flow	20	114	58	430	724	27
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1111	418	793	0	-	0
	780	410	193	-		-
Stage 1						
Stage 2	331	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.92	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.31	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	206	587	837	-	-	-
Stage 1	418	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	706	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	172	564	805	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	172	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	364	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	678	-	-	-	-	-
Annroach	EB		NB		SB	
Approach	17.5		1.5		0	
HCM Control Delay, s			1.5		Ü	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		805		421		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.072	_	0.318	_	_
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.8	0.4	17.5		_
HCM Lane LOS		3.0 A	Α	17.5 C	-	_
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	-	1.4		
How sour wille Q(ven)		0.2		1.4	-	-


	•	←	4	†	↓
Lane Group	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	ፈተሴ	*	44	Φħ
Traffic Volume (vph)	707	582	296	773	813
Future Volume (vph)	707	582	296	773	813
Lane Group Flow (vph)	396	1174	296	773	980
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA
Protected Phases		8	5	2	6
Permitted Phases	8		2		
Minimum Split (s)	28.3	28.3	11.2	23.8	23.8
Total Split (s)	37.0	37.0	23.0	63.0	40.0
Total Split (%)	37.0%	37.0%	23.0%	63.0%	40.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.8	6.8
Lead/Lag			Lead		Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes
Act Effct Green (s)	30.7	30.7	56.8	56.2	33.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31	0.57	0.56	0.33
v/c Ratio	0.89	0.86	0.84	0.41	0.89
Control Delay	56.4	37.9	44.8	13.2	42.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	56.4	37.9	44.8	13.2	42.4
LOS	Е	D	D	В	D
Approach Delay		42.5		22.0	42.4
Approach LOS		D		С	D
Queue Length 50th (m)	84.5	77.5	40.1	42.0	92.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	#145.8	96.6	#83.5	54.7	#128.5
Internal Link Dist (m)		120.8		240.1	287.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	80.0		45.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	447	1368	354	1905	1100
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.89	0.86	0.84	0.41	0.89
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 100					
Actuated Cycle Length: 100					
Offset: 60 (60%), Referenced to p	hase 2·NRTL a	and 6:SBT_9	Start of Gree	n	
Natural Cycle: 75	ANGOU Z.HDTE	U.UD1, C	Mart of Olde	,,,	
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89					

Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.89 Intersection Signal Delay: 36.4

Intersection Capacity Utilization 89.0% Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.



Intersection LOS: D

ICU Level of Service E



	←	ļ	4	
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	413	*	7	
Traffic Volume (vph)	270	282	128	
Future Volume (vph)	270	282	128	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	540	282	128	
Turn Type	NA	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	8	6		
Permitted Phases			6	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	28.3	28.3	
Total Split (s)	36.0	39.0	39.0	
Total Split (%)	48.0%	52.0%	52.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.3	5.3	
Lead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Act Effct Green (s)	30.8	33.7	33.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.41	0.45	0.45	
v/c Ratio	0.37	0.35	0.17	
Control Delay	8.9	23.7	11.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	8.9	23.7	11.5	
LOS	Α	С	В	
Approach Delay	8.9	19.9		
Approach LOS	Α	В		
Queue Length 50th (m)	28.0	37.6	2.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	m40.1	60.0	19.5	
Internal Link Dist (m)	107.6	52.8		
Turn Bay Length (m)				
Base Capacity (vph)	1457	801	752	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.37	0.35	0.17	
Intersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 75				
Actuated Cycle Length: 75		0, , , ,		
Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to	phase 8:WBTL,	Start of Gre	en	
Natural Cycle: 55				
Control Type: Pretimed				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.37				Literative LOO D
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.6	FO 40/			Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization	50.4%			ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15		h C		
m Volume for 95th percentile q	lueue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.	
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417	7 WB On Ramp/l	Lyon St N &	Catherine St	
4				₹ an (n)
▼ Ø6				♥ ♥ Ø8 (R)

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

	+	•	†	
Lane Group	WBT	WBR	NBT	Ø9
Lane Configurations	44	##	4412	
Traffic Volume (vph)	44 3	565	1396	
Future Volume (vph)	443	565	1396	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	443	565	1466	
Turn Type	NA	Prot	NA	
Protected Phases	8	8	2	9
Permitted Phases	U	U		9
Detector Phase	8	8	2	
Switch Phase	U	U		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0
Minimum Split (s)	15.8	15.8	22.5	13.0
Total Split (s)	30.0	30.0	32.0	13.0
Total Split (%)	40.0%	40.0%	42.7%	17%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0
All-Red Time (s)	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.8	5.8	5.8	
Lead/Lag	5.0	5.0	J.U	
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	Max	None
Act Effct Green (s)	29.4	29.4	26.2	NULLE
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.39	0.35	
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.59	0.83	
Control Delay	22.6	25.3	26.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	50.6	
Total Delay	22.6	25.3	77.1	
LOS	ZZ.0 C	23.3 C	77.1 E	
Approach Delay	24.1	U	77.1	
Approach LOS	24.1 C		77.1 E	
Queue Length 50th (m)	29.6	42.6	65.5	
Queue Length 95th (m)	m35.0	m51.1	82.9	
Internal Link Dist (m)	131.7	11131.1	67.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)	131.7	60.0	07.4	
Base Capacity (vph)	1278	1056	1759	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	1033	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.35	0.54	2.02	
Intersection Summary	0.00	0.04	2.02	
Cycle Length: 75				
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	0.\M/DT .Ot	d of Cross		
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to pha	ise 8:WBT, Star	t of Green		
Natural Cycle: 60	and .			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinate	ea			
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.83				1.1
Intersection Signal Delay: 55.5	2.00/			Int
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63	J.9%			IC
Analysis Period (min) 15	ana la restere d	hu unatar -	m alar -!	
m Volume for 95th percentile gu	ieue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.	

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

32 s

30 s

	•	•	†	ţ				
Lane Group	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7	
Lane Configurations	ፈላሴ		413	♦ %				
Traffic Volume (vph)	630	278	582	404				
Future Volume (vph)	630	278	582	404				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	1008	0	860	548				
Turn Type	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	9	2	6	1	5	7	
Permitted Phases		2						
Minimum Split (s)	18.6	10.4	16.4	16.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)	23.0	10.4	42.0	31.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (%)	30.7%	13.9%	56.0%	42.1%	7%	7%	7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)	17.4		36.6	26.2	163	163	163	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.23		0.49	0.35				
v/c Ratio	0.23		0.49	0.52				
Control Delay	43.6		19.1	18.7				
	0.0		0.0	0.0				
Queue Delay	43.6		19.1					
Total Delay LOS				18.7				
	D		B 19.1	B				
Approach Delay	43.6			18.7				
Approach LOS	D		В	В				
Queue Length 50th (m)	47.8		25.3	27.2				
Queue Length 95th (m)	#73.5		#38.8	41.5				
Internal Link Dist (m)	201.7		90.2	52.9				
Turn Bay Length (m)								
Base Capacity (vph)	1075		1054	1050				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.94		0.82	0.52				
Intersection Summary								
Cycle Length: 75								
Actuated Cycle Length: 75								
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	e 2·NRTL a	nd 6:SRT S	Start of Gree	n				
Natural Cycle: 70	00 Z.IND I L U	ina 0.001, c	nait of Oloc	11				
Control Type: Pretimed								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.94								
Intersection Signal Delay: 29.2				Inte	ersection LC	1C · C		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.1	0/				J Level of S			
	70			ICC	J Level of S	ervice E		
Analysis Period (min) 15 # 95th percentile volume exceeds of	onnoite au		langar					
# 95th percentile volume exceeds of Queue shown is maximum after to		eue may be	ionger.					
	•							
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & C	atherine St							
# ø ₁								
5 s 42 s								1
ÅÅø5 ♦ Ø6 (R)					↑ ø9		#Aø7	√ Ø8

	•	↓				
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	Ø3	Ø7		
Lane Configurations	414	ĥ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	264	129				
Future Volume (vph)	264	129				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	356	186				
Turn Type	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	6	3	7		
Permitted Phases						
Detector Phase	8	6				
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0		
Minimum Split (s)	26.5	23.4	6.4	6.4		
Total Split (s)	34.0	24.0	16.0	16.0		
Total Split (%)	37.8%	26.7%	18%	18%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3		
All-Red Time (s)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.4				
Lead/Lag	Lag			Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes		
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	None		
Act Effct Green (s)	11.9	18.8				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.29	0.45				
v/c Ratio	0.35	0.25				
Control Delay	7.2	9.4				
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0				
Total Delay	7.2	9.4				
LOS	Α	A				
Approach Delay	7.2	9.4				
Approach LOS	Α	Α				
Queue Length 50th (m)	5.4	6.6				
Queue Length 95th (m)	11.8	23.3				
Internal Link Dist (m)	271.6	288.0				
Turn Bay Length (m)						
Base Capacity (vph)	2220	740				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0				
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.16	0.25				
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 90						
Actuated Cycle Length: 41.7						
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.35						
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.0				In	tersection LOS: A	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 39.6%	0				CU Level of Service A	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Ca	atherine St	t				
T. 1 010) 01 tt 00	1					
	- 1					
	- 1					
1	- 1			امر (_	ه با
▼ Ø6	- 1	FF _{Ø7}		- 2	Ø8	Å åø3
7 20					20	

	→	+	+
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	î.	4	4Tb
Traffic Volume (vph)	18	र्दी 12	337
Future Volume (vph)	18	12	337
Lane Group Flow (vph)	18	37	400
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.6	%		

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		Ť.			4						đЪ	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1 3	0	25	4 12	0	0	0	0	54	337	9
Future Vol., veh/h	0	18	0	25	12	0	0	0	0	54	337	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	32	0	15	15	0	32	9	0	10	10	0	9
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	6	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	11
Mvmt Flow	0	18	0	25	12	0	0	0	0	54	337	9
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	469	197	311	473	-				10	0	0
Stage 1	-	459	-	10	10	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	10	-	301	463	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.62	6.9	7.68	6.5	-				4.2	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.62	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.68	5.5	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.06	3.3	3.59	4	-				2.25	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	482	817	601	493	0				1586	-	-
Stage 1	0	555	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	664	568	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	454	810	558	464	-				1571	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	454	-	558	464	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	527	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	614	539	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.3			12.4						1.1		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		454	524	1571	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.04	0.071	0.034	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		13.3	12.4	7.4	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		В	В	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-						

	•	→	←	†			
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBT			
Lane Configurations			î,	ፈተሴ			
Traffic Volume (vph)	40	₄ 1 83	18	1783			
Future Volume (vph)	40	83	18	1783			
ane Group Flow (vph)	0	123	111	1940			
Furn Type	Perm	NA	NA	NA			
Protected Phases	1 01111	4	8	2			
Permitted Phases	4	7	U				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3	27.3	32.3			
Fotal Split (s)	28.0	28.0	28.0	47.0			
otal Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%	37.3%	62.7%			
rellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3			
II-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0			
ost Time Adjust (s)	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3	5.3	5.3			
ead/Lag		5.5	0.0	0.0			
.ead-Lag Optimize?							
Act Effet Green (s)		22.7	22.7	41.7			
actuated g/C Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.56			
/c Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.50			
Control Delay		29.1	15.9	15.4			
		0.0	0.0	48.7			
Queue Delay otal Delay		29.1	15.9				
OS		29.1 C	15.9 B	64.1 E			
		29.1	15.9	64.1			
oproach Delay							
pproach LOS		C	В	E 07.0			
ueue Length 50th (m)		15.1	8.7	87.6			
Queue Length 95th (m)		29.2	m13.3	106.9			
nternal Link Dist (m)		138.7	143.1	53.0			
urn Bay Length (m)		171	470	0070			
ase Capacity (vph)		471	479	2672			
tarvation Cap Reductn		0	0	1393			
pillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0			
torage Cap Reductn		0	0	1.50			
educed v/c Ratio		0.26	0.23	1.52			
ntersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 75							
actuated Cycle Length: 75	0.1/==:						
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to pha	se 2:NBTL, S	Start of Gree	en				
latural Cycle: 60							
ontrol Type: Pretimed							
laximum v/c Ratio: 0.73							
tersection Signal Delay: 59.6					tersection LOS: E		
ntersection Capacity Utilization 69.6	5%			IC	CU Level of Service C		
nalysis Period (min) 15							
Nolume for 95th percentile queu	e is metered	by upstrear	m signal.				
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & A	rlington Ave						
+	J					A	
Ø2 (R)						→ Ø4	
47 s						28 s	
						I ←	

Lane Group		-	•	•	←	↓
Traffic Volume (vph) 184 24 15 143 345 Future Volume (vph) 184 24 15 143 345 Lane Group Flow (vph) 184 24 15 143 532 Turn Type NA Perm Perm NA NA Protected Phases 4 8 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 6 Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 52.0 Total Split (s) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3	Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	SBT
Traffic Volume (vph) 184 24 15 143 345 Future Volume (vph) 184 24 15 143 345 Lane Group Flow (vph) 184 24 15 143 532 Turn Type NA Perm Perm NA NA Protected Phases 4 8 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 6 Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 Lead-Isplit (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 </td <td></td> <td>4</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		4				
Future Volume (vph) 184 24 15 143 345 Lane Group Flow (vph) 184 24 15 143 532 Turn Type NA Perm Perm NA NA Protected Phases 4 8 8 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 8 6 Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time (s) 5.2 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>345</td></t<>						345
Turn Type NA Perm Perm NA NA Protected Phases 4 8 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 30.0 39.0 Total Split (s) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2		184	24	15	143	345
Turn Type NA Perm Perm NA NA Protected Phases 4 8 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 6 Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.5 5.2 5.2	Lane Group Flow (vph)	184	24	15	143	532
Protected Phases 4 8 6 Permitted Phases 4 8 Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0	,	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	NA
Minimum Split (s) 17.2 17.2 17.2 17.2 22.6 Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.6 Lead/Lag Vertice (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effet Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effet Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effet Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act action of Creation (s) 4.0 0.41 0.41 0.41		4			8	6
Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.6 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effect Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effect Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 V/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3	Permitted Phases		4	8		
Total Split (s) 36.0 36.0 36.0 36.0 39.0 Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.6 Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8	Minimum Split (s)	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	22.6
Total Split (%) 48.0% 48.0% 48.0% 52.0% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.5 5.5 5.5 5.5 5.6 5.6 6.0 0.0 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>						
Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.0 0.0	,	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	52.0%
All-Red Time (s) 1.9 1.9 1.9 1.9 2.3 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.6 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8<	,	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.6 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 LOS B A A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 2		1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3
Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.2 5.6 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0			0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn				5.2	5.2	5.6
Lead-Lag Optimize? 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Turn Bay Length (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
Act Effct Green (s) 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.8 33.4 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.41 0.45 v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Turn Bay Length (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>						
v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 <		30.8	30.8	30.8	30.8	33.4
v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36 Control Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 <	Actuated g/C Ratio			0.41		0.45
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0						0.36
Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0	Control Delay	15.8	3.3	5.5	7.5	13.3
Total Delay 15.8 3.3 5.5 7.5 13.3 LOS B A A A B Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0	Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		15.8	3.3	5.5	7.5	13.3
Approach Delay 14.4 7.3 13.3 Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0	LOS	В	Α	Α	Α	В
Approach LOS B A B Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		14.4				13.3
Queue Length 50th (m) 16.6 0.0 0.7 9.4 22.5 Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		В			A	В
Queue Length 95th (m) 29.8 2.8 m1.1 13.8 33.5 Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		16.6	0.0	0.7	9.4	22.5
Internal Link Dist (m) 254.8 165.0 214.3 Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		29.8	2.8	m1.1	13.8	33.5
Turn Bay Length (m) 25.0 Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		254.8			165.0	214.3
Base Capacity (vph) 711 632 470 718 1462 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0				25.0		
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 0		711	632	470	718	1462
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0		0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0	Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
		0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.26 0.04 0.03 0.20 0.36	Reduced v/c Ratio	0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20	0.36
Intersection Summary	Interpostion Common					

Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase 4:EBT and 8:WBTL, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.36 Intersection Signal Delay: 12.5


Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.6%

Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave



	•	→	←	•	†
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT
Lane Configurations	*	*	ĵ,	*	ቀ ቀኄ
Traffic Volume (vph)	82	277	165	36	1787
Future Volume (vph)	82	277	165	36	1787
Lane Group Flow (vph)	82	277	313	36	1884
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases		4	8		2
Permitted Phases	4			2	
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4	21.4	20.4	20.4
Total Split (s)	31.9	31.9	31.9	43.1	43.1
Total Split (%)	42.5%	42.5%	42.5%	57.5%	57.5%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag		***			***
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	26.5	26.5	26.5	37.7	37.7
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.50	0.50
v/c Ratio	0.30	0.46	0.57	0.05	0.78
Control Delay	27.4	28.2	24.1	7.9	8.9
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	27.4	28.2	24.1	7.9	8.9
LOS	C	C	C	A	A
Approach Delay		28.0	24.1	,,	8.8
Approach LOS		C	C		Α
Queue Length 50th (m)	9.9	37.6	34.4	1.5	28.8
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.4	59.7	58.6	m2.9	43.3
Internal Link Dist (m)	20.4	165.0	168.8	1112.3	216.0
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0	100.0	100.0	40.0	210.0
Base Capacity (vph)	275	606	552	725	2416
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	000	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.30	0.46	0.57	0.05	0.78
Neudoed V/C Ratio	0.30	0.40	0.57	0.05	0.70
Intersection Summary					
Citale Lengths 75					

Cycle Length: 75
Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.78


Intersection Signal Delay: 13.3
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.6%

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service D

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 8: Kent St & Gladstone Ave



	→	•	†	/	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	414	#	♠ ₽		414	
Traffic Volume (vph)	521	82	845	190	396	
Future Volume (vph)	521	82	845	190	396	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	603	82	988	0	586	
Turn Type	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	5	6	
Permitted Phases		4		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	2	5	6	
Switch Phase						
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	23.1	11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	26.2	26.2	37.7	11.1	48.8	
Total Split (%)	34.9%	34.9%	50.3%	14.8%	65.1%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.2	6.2	6.1		6.1	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	18.4	18.4	44.3		44.3	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25	0.59		0.59	
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.19	0.53		0.56	
Control Delay	33.1	2.4	10.8		14.2	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Delay	33.1	2.4	10.8		14.2	
LOS	С	Α	В		В	
Approach Delay	29.4		10.8		14.2	
Approach LOS	С		В		В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	40.3	0.0	42.1		42.4	
Queue Length 95th (m)	57.5	3.5	57.5		m58.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)	296.0		215.6		90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		40.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	858	460	1861		1039	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.70	0.18	0.53		0.56	
Internation Comments						
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to ph	hase 2:NBT and	d 6:SBTL, S	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinate	ed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76						
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.3					tersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84	1.2%			IC	U Level of Service E	:
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volume for 95th percentile que	eue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St &	Chamberlain A	Ave/Isabella	a St			
↑					\ \rac{1}{2}	
Ø2 (R)					™ Ø5	
37.7 s					11.1s	
L						

	←	4	
Lane Group	WBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	∳ Ъ	#	
Traffic Volume (vph)	468	69	
Future Volume (vph)	468	69	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	509	69	
Sign Control	Free		
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 26.2%			ICU Level of Service A

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.2					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	↑ ↑ 468	41	0	69
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	468	41	0	69
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-4!	521984	0	_	0	_
Grade, %	- '`	0	0	_	0	
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	468	41	0	69
IVIVIALE I IOW	U	U	700	41		03
Major/Minor			Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All			-	0	-	255
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy			-	-	-	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-	-	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	0	744
Stage 1			-	-	0	-
Stage 2			_	_	0	-
Platoon blocked, %			_	_		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			_	_	-	744
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			_	_	_	-
Stage 1			_	-		_
			_	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Approach			WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			0		10.3	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lang/Majan Moust		WDT	WDD	CDI 4		
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		WBT	WBR			
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	744		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.093		
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	10.3		
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0.3		

	→	•	4
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL
Lane Configurations	î₃	4	W
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	4 23	14
Future Volume (vph)	62	23	14
Lane Group Flow (vph)	72	40	70
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.1%)		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	4.1					
			14/51	MAT		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1 62			4 23	¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		10	17		14	56
Future Vol, veh/h	62	10	17	23	14	56
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	62	10	17	23	14	56
			••		• •	
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	72	0	124	67
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	67	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	57	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	_	-	_	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	_	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	_	1528	_	871	997
Stage 1		_	-	_	956	-
Stage 2		_	-	_	966	_
Platoon blocked. %	-	_	_	-	300	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-		1528		861	997
	_	_				
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	861	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	956	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	955	-
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		3.1		9	
HCM LOS	U		0.1		A	
TICIVI EOS						
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		966	-	-	1528	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.072	_	_	0.011	_
HCM Control Delay (s)		9	_	_	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS		A	_		Α	A
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	_	-	0	-
HOW JOHN JOHN Q(VEII)		U.Z	_		U	_

	•	†	ļ.
Lane Group	EBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	W	413	ቀ ኄ
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	691	397
Future Volume (vph)	28	691	397
Lane Group Flow (vph)	180	784	419
Sign Control	Stop	Free	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.9%	%		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.5					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W	LDIX	HUL	414		OBIX
Traffic Vol, veh/h	28	152	93	691	↑ ↑ 397	22
Future Vol, veh/h	28	152	93	691	397	22
	20	152	111	091	397	111
Conflicting Peds, #/hr		-	Free	Free	Free	Free
Sign Control	Stop	Stop				
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	3	2	5	8	5
Mvmt Flow	28	152	93	691	397	22
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1051	321	530	0	- IVIAJOIZ	0
Stage 1	519	321	550	-		-
Stage 2	532	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.96	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.33	2.22	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	226	672	1033	-	-	-
Stage 1	226 568	672	1033	-	-	-
						-
Stage 1	568	-				- - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, %	568	-		-	-	-
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	568 559 152	603	927	- - -	- - -	- -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	568 559 152 152	-	-	- - -	- - -	-
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1	568 559 152 152 427	603	927	- - - -	- - - -	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	568 559 152 152	603	927	- - -	- - -	-
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2	568 559 152 152 427 501	603	927	- - - -	-	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2	568 559 152 152 427 501	603	927 - - - - NB	- - - -	- - - - - - - SB	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603	927	- - - -	-	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2	568 559 152 152 427 501	603	927 - - - - NB	- - - -	- - - - - - - SB	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603	927 - - - - NB	- - - -	- - - - - - - SB	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603	927 - - - - NB 1.6		- - - - - - - SB	
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603 - - -	927 - - - - NB	- - - - - - -	- - - - - - - SB	- - - -
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h)	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603 - - - - NBL 927	927 NB 1.6	- - - - - - - - 413		
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603 - - - - NBL 927 0.1	927 NB 1.6	EBLn1 413 0.436		
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s)	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	- 603 NBL 927 0.1 9.3	927	EBLn1 413 0.436 20.3		
Stage 1 Stage 2 Platoon blocked, % Mov Cap-1 Maneuver Mov Cap-2 Maneuver Stage 1 Stage 2 Approach HCM Control Delay, s HCM LOS Minor Lane/Major Mvmt Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio	568 559 152 152 427 501 EB 20.3	603 - - - - NBL 927 0.1	927 NB 1.6	EBLn1 413 0.436		

	•	←	4	†	↓		
Lane Group	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø5	Ø7
Lane Configurations	*	ፈተሴ	*	44	ቀ ቤ		
Traffic Volume (vph)	526	498	540	1080	445		
Future Volume (vph)	526	498	540	1080	445		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	352	1039	540	1080	568		
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA		
Protected Phases		8	57	2	6	5	7
Permitted Phases	8		2				
Minimum Split (s)	28.3	28.3		23.8	23.8	11.2	11.8
Total Split (s)	37.0	37.0		73.0	30.2	31.0	11.8
Total Split (%)	33.6%	33.6%		66.4%	27.5%	28%	11%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.5	3.5	2.9	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	6.3	6.3		6.8	6.8		
Lead/Lag					Lag	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?					Yes	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)	30.7	30.7	66.8	66.2	23.4		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.28	0.28	0.61	0.60	0.21		
v/c Ratio	0.88	0.86	0.88	0.53	0.84		
Control Delay	62.5	42.8	32.4	14.0	51.5		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Delay	62.5	42.8	32.4	14.0	51.5		
LOS	Е	D	С	В	D		
Approach Delay		47.8		20.1	51.5		
Approach LOS		D		С	D		
Queue Length 50th (m)	84.0	76.1	62.1	66.5	58.9		
Queue Length 95th (m)	#143.9	94.8	#114.9	83.1	#85.3		
Internal Link Dist (m)		120.8		240.1	287.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)	80.0		45.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	399	1213	613	2040	679		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.88	0.86	0.88	0.53	0.84		
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 110							
Actuated Cycle Length: 110		1000=					
Offset: 60 (55%), Referenced to	phase 2:NBTL a	and 6:SBT, S	Start of Gree	en			
Natural Cycle: 90							


Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.88 Intersection Signal Delay: 35.9

Intersection LOS: D ICU Level of Service E

Intersection Capacity Utilization 88.8% Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.



1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Truture Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Turn Type NA NA NA Perm Protected Phases 8 6 Permitted Phases 6 Minimum Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 1.03 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3		←	ļ	1
Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Future Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Furn Type NA NA NA Perm Protected Phases 8 6 Permitted Phases 8 6 Riminum Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% 7 Sellow Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effe Creen (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated giC Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 T7.0 Queue Delay 13.3 26.7 T7.0 Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 Approach LOS B C Queue Length 50th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Furner Sellow Type Treimed Maximum Vc Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Lane Group	WBT	SBT	SBR
Traffic Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Future Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Lane Group Flow (vph) 777 366 296 Turn Type NA NA Perm Protected Phases 8 6 Permitted Phases 6 Minimum Split (s) 26.2 28.3 28.3 Total Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Vellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag (s) 6.5 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag (s) 7.7 1.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 7.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 7.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 7.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 7.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 8.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 8.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 8.7 1.0 Lost Ratio 9.7 1.0 Lost Ratio				
Future Volume (vph) 553 366 296 Lane Group Flow (vph) 777 366 296 Tum Type NA NA Perm Protected Phases 8 6 6 Permitted Phases 6 6 Minimum Split (s) 26.2 28.3 28.3 Total Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Vellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effet Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 V/C Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Ueue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Tum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Solorage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Solorage Cap R	Traffic Volume (vph)	553		
Lane Group Flow (vph) 777 366 296 Turn Type NA NA Perm Protected Phases 8 6 Permitted Phases 6 6 Minimum Split (s) 26.2 28.3 28.3 Total Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 AlR-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effet Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Cueue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach Dolay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C Cueue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Cueue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced V/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Turn Type				
Protected Phases Permitted Phases Bermitted Phases 6 Remitted Phases 6 Rominimum Split (s) 26.2 28.3 28.3 Rotal Split (s) 38.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 Lead/Lag Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 V/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS BC Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS BC Approach LOS				
Permitted Phases 6 Minimum Split (s) 26.2 28.3 28.3 Minimum Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (%) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Cadd Cadd Cadd Cadd Cadd Cadd Cadd Ca				
Minimum Split (s) 26.2 28.3 28.3 Total Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (s) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 37.0 37.0 Total Split (%) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 O.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Celeval (s) 5.2 Solution (s) 5.2 Solution (s) 5.2 Columbra (s) 5.3 Celeval (s) 6.0 Columbra (s) 6.2 Columbra (s) 6.2				6
Total Split (s) 38.0 37.0 37.0 Total Split (%) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 All-Red Time (s) 1.9 2.0 2.0 Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag Optimize? Act Effict Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Coueue Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Coueue Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach Delay 13.5 5.2 5.8 Exercised (s) Exer		26.2	28.3	
Total Split (%) 50.7% 49.3% 49.3% Yellow Time (s) 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.				
Yellow Time (s)				
All-Red Time (s)				
Lost Time Adjust (s) 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effc Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C B Approach LOS B C Queue Length 95th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced Vic Ratio 0.52 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Orffset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Spillis and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Total Lost Time (s) 5.2 5.3 5.3 Lead/Lag Lead/Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS BC BC BApproach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS BC CB B				
Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effet Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Act Effet Green (s) 0.44 0.42 0.42 v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C B Approach LOS B C Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 0.42 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.62 0.6		5.2	5.5	0.0
Act Effct Green (s) 32.8 31.7 31.7 Actuated g/C Ratio 0.44 0.42 0.42 v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Actuated g/C Ratio		20.0	24.7	24.7
v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach LOS B C C Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Copacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Intersection Capacity Uti				
Control Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 50th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reducth 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reducth 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reducth 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Spilts and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Queue Delay 0.0 0.0 0.0 Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turum Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15				
Total Delay 13.3 26.7 17.0 LOS B C B Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Approach Delay				
Approach Delay 13.3 22.3 Approach LOS B C Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Coffset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Total Delay			
Approach LOS				В
Queue Length 50th (m) 53.6 52.3 26.1 Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Approach Delay			
Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Approach LOS			
Queue Length 95th (m) 71.5 77.8 49.0 Internal Link Dist (m) 107.6 52.8 Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Queue Length 50th (m)	53.6	52.3	26.1
Internal Link Dist (m) Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Queue Length 95th (m)			49.0
Turn Bay Length (m) Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Internal Link Dist (m)			
Base Capacity (vph) 1489 761 731 Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Starvation Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St		1489	761	731
Spillback Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Storage Cap Reductn 0 0 0 0 Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Reduced v/c Ratio 0.52 0.48 0.40 Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Intersection Summary Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St		0.52	0.40	0.40
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Intersection Summary			
Actuated Cycle Length: 75 Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Cycle Length: 75			
Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to phase 8:WBTL, Start of Green Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St	Actuated Cycle Length: 75			
Natural Cycle: 55 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St		phase 8:WBTL.	Start of Gre	en
Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St		,, ·		
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.52 Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.5 Intersection LOS: B Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service B Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.4% Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St				
Analysis Period (min) 15 Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St		55.4%		
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St		JO. T /0		
4	Alialysis Fellou (IIIII) 13			
4	Splits and Dhases: 1. Live. 447	7 M/D On Dama/	von C+ NI 0	Cathorina Ct
♥ Ø6 (R)	Spills and Phases: 1: HWy 417	vvb On Kamp/L	_yon ot N &	Cauterine St
♥ Ø6 Ø8 (R)				
♥ Ø6 Ø8 (R)				
Ø6 Ø8 (R)				
♥ Ø6 Ø8 (R)	l al			
27 -	¥ Ø6			
5/ S	37 s			

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

	←	•	†		
Lane Group	WBT	WBR	NBT	Ø9	
Lane Configurations	**	77	444		
Traffic Volume (vph)	723	314	870		
Future Volume (vph)	723	314	870		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	723	314	920		
Turn Type	NA	Prot	NA		
Protected Phases	8	8	2	9	
Permitted Phases	- 0	- 0		<u> </u>	
Detector Phase	8	8	2		
Switch Phase	U	U			
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.8	15.8	22.5	13.0	
Total Split (s)	35.0	35.0	27.0	13.0	
Total Split (%)	46.7%	46.7%	36.0%	17%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	30.0%	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	
	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0 5.8				
Total Lost Time (s)	ე.ŏ	5.8	5.8		
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?	C Mari	C M	N.A	None	
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	34.4	34.4	21.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.46	0.46	0.28		
v/c Ratio	0.47	0.27	0.65		
Control Delay	26.8	24.3	24.2		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	1.3		
Total Delay	26.8	24.3	25.6		
LOS	C	С	C		
Approach Delay	26.1		25.6		
Approach LOS	C		С		
Queue Length 50th (m)	53.8	23.4	38.3		
Queue Length 95th (m)	m63.2	m27.7	51.3		
Internal Link Dist (m)	131.7		67.4		
Turn Bay Length (m)		60.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	1539	1156	1420		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	289		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.47	0.27	0.81		
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase	8-WRT Star	t of Green			
Natural Cycle: 60	U.VVD1, Utal	t or Oreen			
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65					
Intersection Signal Delay: 25.8				Intersection LOS: (,
	80/			ICU Level of Service	
	//0			IOO Feagi oi 26tai	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 51.8 Analysis Period (min) 15					JE A

Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

Ø2

Ø8 (R)

13 s

35 s

	-	1	†					
Lane Group	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7	
Lane Configurations	ፈተሴ		413	↑ 12-				
Traffic Volume (vph)	653	206	340	713				
Future Volume (vph)	653	206	340	713				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	1047	0	546	855				
Turn Type	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	9	2	6	1	5	7	
Permitted Phases	v	2	_	v	•	•	•	
Minimum Split (s)	18.6	10.4	16.4	16.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)	24.0	10.4	41.0	30.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (%)	32.0%	13.9%	54.7%	40.8%	7%	7%	7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0				
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6		5.4	5.4				
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)	18.4		35.6	25.2				
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.34				
v/c Ratio	0.92		0.58	0.81				
Control Delay	40.3		13.2	29.0				
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.8				
Total Delay	40.3		13.2	29.8				
LOS	D		В	C				
Approach Delay	40.3		13.2	29.8				
Approach LOS	D		В	C				
Queue Length 50th (m)	50.7		15.6	54.9				
Queue Length 95th (m)	#75.5		20.4	#77.5				
Internal Link Dist (m)	201.7		90.2	52.9				
Turn Bay Length (m)								
Base Capacity (vph)	1144		946	1062				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	52				
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.92		0.58	0.85				
Intersection Summary								
Cycle Length: 75								
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	0.1	105==						
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to ph	ase 2:NBTL a	ind 6:SBT, S	Start of Gree	en				
Natural Cycle: 75								
Control Type: Pretimed								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.92								
Intersection Signal Delay: 30.6					ersection LC			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 81.	7%			ICU	J Level of Se	ervice D		
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume exceeds		eue may be	longer.					
Queue shown is maximum after	two cycles.							
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St &	Catherine St							
	31					П		
∯ ø₁•						l		
5 41 s								
					_		1	_
# Aø5					↑ ø9	I	# ko7	40
25 DO (K)				- 1	1 200		207	T 10

	•	↓					
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	Ø3	Ø7			
Lane Configurations	414	Ť.					
Traffic Volume (vph)	724	1 3					
Future Volume (vph)	724	121					
Lane Group Flow (vph)	890	160					
Turn Type	NA	NA					
Protected Phases	8	6	3	7			
Permitted Phases							
Detector Phase	8	6					
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			
Minimum Split (s)	26.5	23.4	6.4	6.4			
Total Split (s)	34.0	24.0	16.0	16.0			
Total Split (%)	37.8%	26.7%	18%	18%			
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3			
All-Red Time (s)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1			
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0					
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.4					
Lead/Lag	Lag			Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes			
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	None			
Act Effct Green (s)	18.6	18.7					
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.39					
v/c Ratio	0.64	0.24					
Control Delay	11.9	12.6					
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0					
Total Delay	11.9	12.6					
LOS	В	В					
Approach Delay	11.9	12.6					
Approach LOS	В	В					
Queue Length 50th (m)	24.5	8.5					
Queue Length 95th (m)	38.3	22.9					
Internal Link Dist (m)	271.6	288.0					
Turn Bay Length (m)							
Base Capacity (vph)	2050	669					
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0					
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0					
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0					
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.43	0.24					
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 48.3							
Natural Cycle: 65							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64							
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.0				Int	ersection LOS: B		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.6%					U Level of Service A		
Analysis Period (min) 15				10	O LOVE OF COTATION A		
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Ca	atherine St	+					
opino anu i nases. 4. Felicy St & Ca	TO SUITE SI	1					
	- 1						
	- 1						
1	- 1					l	
♦ Ø6	- 1	FF _{Ø7}		12	Ø8	Ååø3	
▼ 120				¥	שט	נשייי	

	→	←	ţ	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	î,	4	₫Ъ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	19	4 37	587	
Future Volume (vph)	19	37	587	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	21	54	661	
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	
Intersection Summary				
Control Type: Unsignalized				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 40.9%	0			ICU Level of Service A

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.6											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		Ť.			4						476	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1 5	2	17	3 7	0	0	0	0	61	587	13
Future Vol., veh/h	0	19	2	17	37	0	0	0	0	61	587	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	20	0	8	8	0	20	19	0	3	3	0	19
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	<u> </u>	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	19	2	17	37	0	0	0	0	61	587	13
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	738	327	436	744	-				3	0	0
Stage 1	-	735	-	3	3	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	3	-	433	741	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.6	6.9	7.5	6.6	-				4.1	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.6	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.6	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.05	3.3	3.5	4.05	-				2.2	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	338	675	509	335	0				1632	-	-
Stage 1	0	416	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	577	414	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	312	663	462	310	-				1627	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	312	-	462	310	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	386	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	516	384	-				-	-	-
ŭ												
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	16.7			17.3						0.9		
HCM LOS	С			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		329	346	1627	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.064	0.156	0.037	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		16.7	17.3	7.3	0.2	-						
HCM Lane LOS		С	С	A	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.5	0.1	-	-						

	۶	→	+	†	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBT	
Lane Configurations			î,	ፈተሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	25	₄ 1 83	30	1073	
Future Volume (vph)	25	83	30	1073	
ane Group Flow (vph)	0	108	93	1206	
Furn Type	Perm	NA	NA	NA	
Protected Phases		4	8	2	
Permitted Phases	4				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3	27.3	32.3	
Total Split (s)	31.0	31.0	31.0	44.0	
Fotal Split (%)	41.3%	41.3%	41.3%	58.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3	5.3	5.3	
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)		25.7	25.7	38.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.34	0.34	0.52	
//c Ratio		0.19	0.16	0.48	
Control Delay		26.0	9.3	9.8	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	49.8	
Total Delay		26.0	9.3	59.6	
LOS		C	A	E	
Approach Delay		26.0	9.3	59.6	
Approach LOS		C	A	E	
Queue Length 50th (m)		13.0	1.6	48.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)		m25.4	m6.3	68.3	
nternal Link Dist (m)		138.7	143.1	53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)				00.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		576	582	2498	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	1422	
Spillback Cap Reductn		Ŏ	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.19	0.16	1.12	
		JJ	J	2	
ntersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phas	e 2:NBTL,	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48					
ntersection Signal Delay: 53.7					tersection LOS: D
ntersection Capacity Utilization 54.6%	6			IC	CU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15					
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	is metered	l by upstrear	m signal.		
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Arli	ington Ave				
√g _{2 (R)}					- Ø4
44 s					31 s
					←

	-	•	•	←	ļ
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	*	7	*	•	4Tb
Traffic Volume (vph)	247	52	28	314	541
Future Volume (vph)	247	52	28	314	541
Lane Group Flow (vph)	247	52	28	314	765
Turn Type	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	NA
Protected Phases	4			8	6
Permitted Phases		4	8		
Minimum Split (s)	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	22.6
Total Split (s)	37.0	37.0	37.0	37.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	50.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	31.8	31.8	31.8	32.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.43
v/c Ratio	0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42	0.54
Control Delay	16.0	4.5	8.4	12.7	16.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	16.0	4.5	8.4	12.7	16.4
LOS	В	A	Α	В	В
Approach Delay	14.0			12.3	16.4
Approach LOS	В			В	В
Queue Length 50th (m)	22.6	0.0	1.5	35.6	38.0
Queue Length 95th (m)	38.4	5.7	m3.3	55.1	53.4
Internal Link Dist (m)	254.8			165.0	214.3
Turn Bay Length (m)			25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	756	626	422	756	1428
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42	0.54
Intersection Summary					

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase 4:EBT and 8:WBTL, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.54

Intersection Signal Delay: 14.9 Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.8% Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave



	•	→	—	1	†
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT
Lane Configurations	*	*	ĵ.	*	ተ ተጌ
Traffic Volume (vph)	75	450	324	67	930
Future Volume (vph)	75	450	324	67	930
Lane Group Flow (vph)	75	450	399	67	1061
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases		4	8	. •	2
Permitted Phases	4	•		2	
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4	21.4	20.4	20.4
Total Split (s)	43.0	43.0	43.0	32.0	32.0
Total Split (%)	57.3%	57.3%	57.3%	42.7%	42.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag	J.T	V. 1	U. (Ο. τ	U. 1
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	37.6	37.6	37.6	26.6	26.6
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.35	0.35
v/c Ratio	0.19	0.51	0.46	0.12	0.64
Control Delay	18.0	21.5	13.5	9.0	10.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	18.0	21.5	13.5	9.0	10.1
LOS	В	C C	В	Α	В
Approach Delay	<u>_</u>	21.0	13.5	Α	10.0
Approach LOS		C C	В		Α
Queue Length 50th (m)	6.6	49.2	32.3	3.1	16.9
Queue Length 95th (m)	m16.0	78.4	53.2	m5.0	15.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	11110.0	165.0	168.8	1110.0	216.0
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0	100.0	100.0	40.0	210.0
Base Capacity (vph)	401	885	872	539	1663
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductin	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.51	0.46	0.12	0.64
	0.19	0.01	0.40	0.12	0.04
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					

Cycle Length: 75
Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to phase 4:EBTL and 8:WBT, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 45 Control Type: Pretimed Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64

Intersection Signal Delay: 13.5
Intersection Capacity Utilization 67.8%

Intersection LOS: B
ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.



	→	•	†	>	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	414	#	Αħ		4ħ	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	624	124	468	189	734	
Future Volume (vph)	624	124	468	189	734	
ane Group Flow (vph)	691	124	561	0	923	
Furn Type	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	4		2	5	6	
Permitted Phases	•	4	=	6	•	
Detector Phase	4	4	2	5	6	
Switch Phase					•	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	23.1	11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0	35.9	11.1	47.0	
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%	47.9%	14.8%	62.7%	
'ellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.2	6.2	6.1		6.1	
_ead/Lag			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	20.3	20.3	42.4		42.4	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.27	0.27	0.57		0.57	
v/c Ratio	0.76	0.26	0.32		0.70	
Control Delay	31.2	5.2	9.6		13.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.5	
Total Delay	31.2	5.2	9.6		14.4	
LOS	C	A	Α		В	
Approach Delay	27.2	, ,	9.6		14.4	
Approach LOS	C		A		В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	45.6	0.0	21.3		74.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	63.8	9.8	30.7		m92.0	
Internal Link Dist (m)	296.0	0.0	215.6		90.2	
Furn Bay Length (m)		40.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	970	502	1772		1320	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		215	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.71	0.25	0.32		0.84	
	0.11	0.20	0.02		0.07	
tersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to ph	ase 2:NBT an	d 6:SBTL, S	Start of Gree	n		
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinate	ed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76						
ntersection Signal Delay: 17.8				Int	ersection LOS: B	
ntersection Capacity Utilization 84	.2%				U Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volume for 95th percentile que	eue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St &	Chamberlain /	Ave/Isabella	a St			
•					_	A.
Ø2 (R)					Ø5	∜ 04
35.9 s					11.1s	28 s
<i>\</i> .						
▼ Ø6 (R)						
47.0						

	←	4	
Lane Group	WBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	♦ %	7	
Traffic Volume (vph)	647	45	
Future Volume (vph)	647	45	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	719	45	
Sign Control	Free		
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 31.3%			ICU Level of Service A

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.7					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	↑1 ₃ 647	72	0	45
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	647	72	0	45
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length		-	_	-	_	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-2	949120	0	_	0	-
Grade, %	- 2	0	0	_	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	647	72	0	45
WWIIICI IOW	U	U	041	12	U	70
Major/Minor			Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All			-	0	-	360
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy			-	-	-	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-	-	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	0	637
Stage 1			-	-	0	-
Stage 2			-	-	0	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	-	637
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	-	-	-
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Approach			WB		SB	
			0		11.1	
HCM Control Delay, s			U			
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		WBT	WBR	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	637		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.071		
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	11.1		
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0.2		


	-	←	•	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	
Lane Configurations	ĵ.	4	W	
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	4 45	9	
Future Volume (vph)	62	45	9	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	80	75	45	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	
Intersection Summary				
Control Type: Unsignalized				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.99	%			ICU Level of Service A


Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations		LDI	VVDL		TADE	NUI
Traffic Vol, veh/h	1 62	18	30	4 5	9	36
Future Vol. veh/h	62	18	30	45 45	9	36
,	02	0	0	45	0	30
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	~			~		_
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mvmt Flow	62	18	30	45	9	36
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	80	0	176	71
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	71	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	105	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.12	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2		_	_	-	5.42	_
Follow-up Hdwy	_	_	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	_	-	1518	-	814	991
Stage 1	-	-	1310	-	952	-
Stage 2	-		_		919	
		-	-		919	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-	1510	-	=00	004
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1518	-	798	991
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	798	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	952	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	901	-
, in the second second						
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
	0		3		9	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		3			
HCM LOS					Α	
Minor Lane/Major Mymt		NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBLn1	EBT_	EBR -	WBL 1518	WBT -
Capacity (veh/h)		945	-	-	1518	-
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		945 0.048	-	-	1518 0.02	-
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio HCM Control Delay (s)		945 0.048 9	- - -	- -	1518 0.02 7.4	- - 0
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio		945 0.048	-	-	1518 0.02	-


	•	†	+
Lane Group	EBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	14	413	ቀ ሴ
Traffic Volume (vph)	24	428	731
Future Volume (vph)	24	428	731
Lane Group Flow (vph)	149	498	758
Sign Control	Stop	Free	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.5%	6		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.6					
	רחי	EDD	ND	NDT	CDT	CDD
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W	405	70	414	↑1 ₃ 731	07
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	125	70	428		27
Future Vol, veh/h	24	125	70	428	731	27
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	42	0	0	42
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	5	3	0
Mymt Flow	24	125	70	428	731	27
		0		0		
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1141	421	800	0	-	0
Stage 1	787	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	354	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.92	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-		_	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	_	_	-	-	_
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.31	2.2			_
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	197	584	832	_		
Stage 1	414	-	-	-		-
Stage 2	687	_				
	007	-	-			
Platoon blocked, %	404	F04	000	-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	161	561	800	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	161	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 1	352	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	660	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	19.2		1.7		0	
HCM LOS	19.2 C		1.7		U	
LICINI FO2	Ü					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		800	-	401		-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.088	_	0.372	-	_
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.9	0.4	19.2	_	_
HCM Lane LOS		9.9 A	Α	19.2 C	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	- -	1.7		_

	•	←	1	†	ļ
Lane Group	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	**	ፈተሴ	K	44	1
Traffic Volume (vph)	727	596	304	77	833
Future Volume (vph)	727	596	304	792	833
Lane Group Flow (vph)	407	1201	304	792	1005
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA
Protected Phases	1 61111	8	рит-рі 5	2	6
Permitted Phases	8	0	2	Z	U
Minimum Split (s)	28.3	28.3	11.2	23.8	23.8
(-)	28.3 37.0	28.3 37.0	22.0	63.0	41.0
Total Split (s)					
Total Split (%)	37.0%	37.0%	22.0%	63.0%	41.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.5	3.5
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.8	6.8
Lead/Lag			Lead		Lag
Lead-Lag Optimize?			Yes		Yes
Act Effct Green (s)	30.7	30.7	56.8	56.2	34.2
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31	0.57	0.56	0.34
v/c Ratio	0.91	0.88	0.90	0.42	0.89
Control Delay	60.0	39.3	55.5	13.3	41.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	60.0	39.3	55.5	13.3	41.4
LOS	Е	D	Е	В	D
Approach Delay		44.6		25.0	41.4
Approach LOS		D		С	D
Queue Length 50th (m)	87.7	80.1	42.8	43.4	93.8
Queue Length 95th (m)	#151.5	#102.4	#90.8	56.4	#130.6
Internal Link Dist (m)		120.8	5 0.0	240.1	287.4
Turn Bay Length (m)	80.0	120.0	45.0	L 10.1	201.1
Base Capacity (vph)	447	1368	337	1905	1132
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn					
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.91	0.88	0.90	0.42	0.89
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 100					
Actuated Cycle Length: 100					
Offset: 60 (60%), Referenced to ph	nace 2:NRTI	and 6.CRT	Start of Groo	an .	
Natural Cycle: 75	IUSC Z.NDIL	and 0.3DT, c	Mart of Gift	711	
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.91					
Intersection Signal Delay: 37.9	00/				tersection LOS: D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 90	0.8%			IC	U Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15					
# 95th percentile volume exceed		eue may be	longer.		
Queue shown is maximum after	r two cycles.				







1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

	←	ļ	4	
ane Group	WBT	SBT	SBR	
ane Configurations	413	*	7	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	275	289	131	
Future Volume (vph)	275	289	131	
ane Group Flow (vph)	550	289	131	
Furn Type	NA	NA	Perm	
Protected Phases	8	6	T CITI	
Permitted Phases	0	U	6	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	28.3	28.3	
Fotal Split (s)	36.0	39.0	39.0	
	48.0%		52.0%	
Total Split (%)		52.0%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	2.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.3	5.3	
_ead/Lag				
Lead-Lag Optimize?				
Act Effct Green (s)	30.8	33.7	33.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.41	0.45	0.45	
ı/c Ratio	0.38	0.36	0.17	
Control Delay	18.9	23.9	11.6	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	18.9	23.9	11.6	
.OS	В	С	В	
Approach Delay	18.9	20.1		
Approach LOS	В	С		
Queue Length 50th (m)	29.6	38.7	2.3	
Queue Length 95th (m)	m43.0	61.4	19.9	
nternal Link Dist (m)	107.6	52.8		
Furn Bay Length (m)	101.0	02.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	1460	801	753	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.38	0.36	0.17	
Reduced v/c Rallo	0.30	0.30	0.17	
ntersection Summary				
Cycle Length: 75				
Actuated Cycle Length: 75				
Offset: 24 (32%), Referenced to p	phase 8:WBTL.	Start of Gre	en	
Natural Cycle: 55				
Control Type: Pretimed				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.38				
ntersection Signal Delay: 19.4				Intersection LOS: B
ntersection Capacity Utilization 5	50.7%			ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15	JULI 70			100 Estat di dollatorit
n Volume for 95th percentile qu	IJEIJE is matered	hy unetrea	m signal	
ii voidine ioi 35tii perceittile qi	ucue is ilieleieu	by upolica	ıı sıyııdı.	
Enlite and Dhagas 4.1 her. 447	MP On Dame!	von C+ NI 0	Cathorina Ct	
Splits and Phases: 1: Hwy 417	WB On Ramp/L	_yon St N &	Catherine St	
al.				l ← -
▼ Ø6				♥ ▼ Ø8 (R)

2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

	←	•	†		
Lane Group	WBT	WBR	NBT	Ø9	
Lane Configurations	44	11	4412		
Traffic Volume (vph)	452	579	1430		
Future Volume (vph)	452	579	1430		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	452	579	1502		
Turn Type	NA	Prot	NA		
Protected Phases	8	8	2	9	
Permitted Phases					
Detector Phase	8	8	2		
Switch Phase					
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.8	15.8	22.5	13.0	
Total Split (s)	28.0	28.0	34.0	13.0	
Total Split (%)	37.3%	37.3%	45.3%	17%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	Max	Max	C-Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	27.4	27.4	28.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.37	0.37	0.38		
v/c Ratio	0.38	0.59	0.80		
Control Delay	16.4	19.1	23.7		
Queue Delay	0.0	2.2	0.2		
Total Delay	16.4	21.3	23.9		
LOS	В	C	C		
Approach Delay	19.1		23.9		
Approach LOS	В		C		
Queue Length 50th (m)	31.6	44.2	64.6		
Queue Length 95th (m)	m38.6	m53.5	81.9		
Internal Link Dist (m)	131.7	11100.0	689.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	.31.1	60.0	000.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	1191	985	1887		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	263	56		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.38	0.80	0.82		
	0.00	0.00	0.02		
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to phase	2:NBTL, Sta	rt of Green			
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80					
Intersection Signal Delay: 22.0				Intersec	on LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.1	%			ICU Lev	I of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15					
m Volume for 95th percentile queu	e is metered	by upstrea	m signal.		

	←	•	†	ļ					
Lane Group	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7		
ane Configurations	ፈተሴ		413	∳ ሴ					
Traffic Volume (vph)	645	278	582	404					
uture Volume (vph)	645	278	582	404					
ane Group Flow (vph)	1023	0	860	548					
Turn Type	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA					
Protected Phases	8	9	2	6	1	5	7		
Permitted Phases	_	2		•		-			
Minimum Split (s)	18.6	10.4	16.4	16.4	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Total Split (s)	24.0	10.4	41.0	30.6	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Total Split (%)	32.0%	13.9%	54.7%	40.8%	7%	7%	7%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0		
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0		0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0		
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6		5.4	5.4					
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes		Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Act Effct Green (s)	18.4		35.6	25.2	103	103	103		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.34					
v/c Ratio	0.23		0.47	0.54					
Control Delay	38.3		21.2	19.8					
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.0					
Total Delay	38.3		21.2	19.8					
LOS	30.3 D		21.2 C	19.0 B					
	38.3		21.2	19.8					
Approach Delay									
Approach LOS	D		C 26.2	B 28.1					
Queue Length 50th (m)	47.9								
Queue Length 95th (m)	#72.1		#44.5	42.7					
Internal Link Dist (m)	702.3		90.2	52.9					
Turn Bay Length (m)	4404		4005	4044					
Base Capacity (vph)	1131		1025	1011					
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0					
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	0					
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0					
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.90		0.84	0.54					
Intersection Summary									
Cycle Length: 75									
Actuated Cycle Length: 75									
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to pha	ise 2:NBTL a	nd 6:SBT. S	Start of Gree	n					
Natural Cycle: 75		,							
Control Type: Pretimed									
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.90									
Intersection Signal Delay: 28.1				Inte	ersection LC	S: C			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.4	1%				J Level of S				
Analysis Period (min) 15	.,,				2010.0.0				
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity que	eue may be	longer						
Queue shown is maximum after t		, aca, 20	iongen.						
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & 0	Catherine St								
·						П			
Ååø₁• ¶ø₂ (R)						I			
5.0 41.0									
715							1	_	
ii					◀	I	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		
#Bø5• ▼ Ø6 (R)					1 Ø9		7 PØ7	▼ Ø8	

	•	ţ					
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	Ø3	Ø7			
Lane Configurations	413						
Traffic Volume (vph)	270	1 129					
Future Volume (vph)	270	129					
Lane Group Flow (vph)	362	186					
Turn Type	NA	NA					
Protected Phases	8	6	3	7			
Permitted Phases		•	•				
Detector Phase	8	6					
Switch Phase							
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			
Minimum Split (s)	26.5	23.4	6.4	6.4			
Total Split (s)	34.0	24.0	16.0	16.0			
Total Split (%)	37.8%	26.7%	18%	18%			
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3			
All-Red Time (s)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1			
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0					
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.4					
Lead/Lag	Lag			Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes			
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	None			
Act Effct Green (s)	11.9	18.8					
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.29	0.45					
v/c Ratio	0.36	0.25					
Control Delay	7.3	9.4					
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0					
Total Delay	7.3	9.4					
LOS	Α	Α					
Approach Delay	7.3	9.4					
Approach LOS	Α	Α					
Queue Length 50th (m)	5.6	6.6					
Queue Length 95th (m)	12.1	23.3					
Internal Link Dist (m)	271.6	288.0					
Turn Bay Length (m)							
Base Capacity (vph)	2220	740					
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0					
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0					
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0					
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.16	0.25					
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 41.7							
Natural Cycle: 65							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.36							
Intersection Signal Delay: 8.0				Int	ersection LOS: A		
Intersection Capacity Utilization 39.7%)				U Level of Service A		
Analysis Period (min) 15							
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Ca	atherine St	ŧ					
οριιο απα τ πασοσ. 4. 1 στος σι α σο	1						
	- 1						
	- 1						
l 1	- 1			م ا		1	
♦ Ø6	- 1	FR _{Ø7}		12	Ø8	∄ åø3	
▼ 200				7	שט	כשיייי	

•
Lane Group EBT WBT SBT
Lane Configurations 🕻 🐧 🚓
Lane Configurations Traffic Volume (vph) 18 12 345
Future Volume (vph) 18 12 345
Lane Group Flow (vph) 18 37 408
Sign Control Stop Stop Free
Intersection Summary
Control Type: Unsignalized
Control Type: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Utilization 33.8%

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		Ť.			4						476	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1 3	0	25	4 12	0	0	0	0	54	345	9
Future Vol, veh/h	0	18	0	25	12	0	0	0	0	54	345	9
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	32	0	15	15	0	32	9	0	10	10	0	9
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	<u> </u>	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	5	1	11
Mvmt Flow	0	18	0	25	12	0	0	0	0	54	345	9
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	477	201	315	481	-				10	0	0
Stage 1	-	467	-	10	10	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	10	-	305	471	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.5	6.9	7.68	6.5	-				4.2	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.5	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.68	5.5	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4	3.3	3.59	4	-				2.25	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	490	813	597	487	0				1586	-	-
Stage 1	0	565	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	660	563	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	461	806	555	458	-				1571	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	461	-	555	458	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	536	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	610	534	-				-	-	-
ŭ												
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	13.1			12.5						1.1		
HCM LOS	В			В								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		461	519	1571	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.039	0.071	0.034	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		13.1	12.5	7.4	0.1	-						
HCM Lane LOS		В	В	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	0.2	0.1	-	-						

	۶	→	←	†	
ane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBT	
ane Configurations		4	ĵ.	ፈተሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	40	4 83	18	1826	
Future Volume (vph)	40	83	18	1826	
ane Group Flow (vph)	0	123	111	1983	
urn Type	Perm	NA	NA	NA	
Protected Phases		4	8	2	
Permitted Phases	4				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3	27.3	32.3	
Total Split (s)	27.6	27.6	27.6	47.4	
Total Split (%)	36.8%	36.8%	36.8%	63.2%	
/ellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3	5.3	5.3	
Lead/Lag					
_ead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)		22.3	22.3	42.1	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.30	0.30	0.56	
//c Ratio		0.26	0.23	0.73	
Control Delay		29.3	16.6	14.1	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	11.5	
Total Delay		29.3	16.6	25.6	
_OS		C	В	C	
Approach Delay		29.3	16.6	25.6	
Approach LOS		C	В	C	
Queue Length 50th (m)		15.2	9.1	47.8	
Queue Length 95th (m)		29.2	m13.7	71.5	
nternal Link Dist (m)		138.7	143.1	53.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)		100.1	140.1	00.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		475	474	2698	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	723	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.26	0.23	1.00	
ntersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75	acc 2-NDTL	Start of Cra	nn .		
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phylotyral Cycle: 60	iase z.NBTL, S	olari di Gre	3 11		
latural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.73					terrestina I OC: C
ntersection Signal Delay: 25.3	F0/				tersection LOS: C
tersection Capacity Utilization 70	1.5%			IC	CU Level of Service C
nalysis Period (min) 15 Volume for 95th percentile que	eue is metered	hy unstrea	m signal		
		by upon cai	n signai.		
plits and Phases: 6: Kent St &	Arlington Ave				T &
1 ø₂ (R)					2 04
17.4s					27.6 s

Ø8 27.6 s

	-	•	•	←	↓
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	A	#	*	A	413
Traffic Volume (vph)	184	24	15	143	353
Future Volume (vph)	184	24	15	143	353
Lane Group Flow (vph)	184	24	15	143	540
Turn Type	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	NA
Protected Phases	4		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	8	6
Permitted Phases		4	8		_
Minimum Split (s)	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	22.6
Total Split (s)	36.0	36.0	36.0	36.0	39.0
Total Split (%)	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	48.0%	52.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	30.8	30.8	30.8	30.8	33.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.41	0.45
v/c Ratio	0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20	0.37
Control Delay	15.8	3.3	5.1	7.0	13.4
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	15.8	3.3	5.1	7.0	13.4
LOS	В	Α	Α	Α	В
Approach Delay	14.4			6.8	13.4
Approach LOS	В			Α	В
Queue Length 50th (m)	16.6	0.0	0.6	8.5	23.1
Queue Length 95th (m)	29.8	2.8	m1.0	m13.4	34.2
Internal Link Dist (m)	254.8			165.0	214.3
Turn Bay Length (m)			25.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	711	632	470	718	1463
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.26	0.04	0.03	0.20	0.37
Intersection Summany					

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase 4:EBT and 8:WBTL, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.37

Intersection Signal Delay: 12.5 Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.4% Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15


m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave



	۶	→	•	4	†
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT
Lane Configurations	*	*	î.	*	ተተጌ 1829
Traffic Volume (vph)	82	277	165	36	1829
Future Volume (vph)	82	277	165	36	1829
Lane Group Flow (vph)	82	277	313	36	1926
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	NA
Protected Phases		4	8		2
Permitted Phases	4	01.1	0.1.1	2	00.4
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4	21.4	20.4	20.4
Total Split (s)	31.5	31.5	31.5	43.5	43.5
Total Split (%)	42.0%	42.0%	42.0%	58.0%	58.0%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?	00.4	00.4	00.4	20.4	20.4
Act Effct Green (s)	26.1	26.1	26.1	38.1	38.1
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.51	0.51
v/c Ratio	0.30	0.46	0.58	0.05	0.79
Control Delay	27.7	28.4	24.7	9.3	14.1
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	27.7	28.4	24.7	9.3	14.1
LOS	С	C	C	Α	B
Approach Delay		28.3	24.7		14.0
Approach LOS	40.0	C	C	0.0	B
Queue Length 50th (m)	10.0	37.8	34.9	2.3	45.2
Queue Length 95th (m)	23.5	59.9	59.3	m2.9	45.7
Internal Link Dist (m)	20.0	165.0	168.8	40.0	216.0
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0	507	E 40	40.0	0440
Base Capacity (vph)	269	597	543	733	2442
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.30	0.46	0.58	0.05	0.79
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to pha	ise 4:EBTL a	nd 8:WBT	Start of Gree	en	
Natural Cycle: 55			213.77 07 070		
Control Type: Pretimed					
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79					
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.2				In	tersection LOS
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.4	1%				U Level of Sen
Analysis Period (min) 15	. , •			10	2 20701 01 001
m Volume for 95th percentile queu	ie is metered	by unstream	m signal		

Splits and Phases: 8: Kent St & Gladstone Ave



Ø6 (R)

	→	•	†	/	↓		
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	NBT	SBL	SBT		
Lane Configurations	414	7	♦ %		41		
Traffic Volume (vph)	534	82	845	190	396		
Future Volume (vph)	534	82	845	190	396		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	616	82	988	0	586		
Turn Type	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA		
Protected Phases	4	1 01111	2	5	6		
Permitted Phases	-	4		6	•		
Detector Phase	4	4	2	5	6		
Switch Phase	•	•	_	•	V		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0		
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	23.1	11.1	23.1		
Fotal Split (s)	26.2	26.2	37.7	11.1	48.8		
Fotal Split (%)	34.9%	34.9%	50.3%	14.8%	65.1%		
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0		
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1		
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	J. I	0.0		
Fotal Lost Time (s)	6.2	6.2	6.1		6.1		
_ead/Lag	0.2	0.2	0.1		U. I		
_ead-Lag Optimize?							
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	C-Max		
Act Effct Green (s)	18.5	18.5	44.2	INOHE	44.2		
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.25	0.25	0.59		0.59		
//c Ratio	0.23	0.23	0.59		0.59		
Control Delay	33.6	2.4	10.9		14.6		
	0.0	0.0	0.0		0.0		
Queue Delay	33.6	2.4	10.9		14.6		
Total Delay LOS	33.6 C				14.0 B		
		Α	B				
Approach Delay	30.0		10.9		14.6		
Approach LOS	C	0.0	B		B		
Queue Length 50th (m)	41.5	0.0	42.1		43.0		
Queue Length 95th (m)	58.8	3.5	57.5		m59.6		
nternal Link Dist (m)	677.2	40.0	578.7		90.2		
Turn Bay Length (m)	050	40.0	4050		4007		
Base Capacity (vph)	858	460	1858		1037		
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0		
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0		
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.72	0.18	0.53		0.57		
ntersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 75							
Actuated Cycle Length: 75							
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to phas	se 2:NBT an	d 6:SBTL, S	Start of Gree	en			
Natural Cycle: 65							
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated							
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.78							
ntersection Signal Delay: 17.7					ersection LOS: B		
ntersection Capacity Utilization 84.69	%			IC	U Level of Service E		
Analysis Period (min) 15							
m Volume for 95th percentile queue	e is metered	by upstrea	m signal.				
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St & Ch	hamberlain	Ave/Isabella	a St				
↑ >					 _	<u> </u>	
Ø2 (R)					Ø5	\$ ₽Ø4	
37.7 s					11.1s	26.2 s	

	•	4	
Lane Group	WBT	SBR	
Lane Configurations	∱ Ъ	7	
Traffic Volume (vph)	479	69	
Future Volume (vph)	479	69	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	520	69	
Sign Control	Free		
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 26.5%			ICU Level of Service A

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	1.2					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations	LDL	LDI		WDIX	ODL	JUIN 7
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	↑1 > 479	41	0	69
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	479	41	0	69
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	09
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	- -	None
Storage Length	_	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-1	521984	0	_	0	-
Grade. %		021304	0	-	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	479	41	0	69
INIVITIL FIOW	U	U	4/9	41	U	09
Major/Minor			Major2		Minor2	
Conflicting Flow All			-	0	-	260
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy			-	-	-	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-	-	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	0	739
Stage 1			-	-	0	-
Stage 2			_	_	0	_
Platoon blocked, %				_	Ū	
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			_	_	-	739
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	_	_	-
Stage 1			_	_	_	_
Stage 2			_	_	_	_
Stage 2						
Approach			WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			0		10.4	
HCM LOS					В	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		WBT	WBR	SBLn1		
		WD1	WDK -	739		
Capacity (veh/h) HCM Lane V/C Ratio				0.093		
		-	-			
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	10.4		
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0.3		

	→	←	4	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	
Lane Configurations	ħ	4	W	
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	23	14	
Future Volume (vph)	62	23	14	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	72	40	70	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	
Intersection Summary				
Control Type: Unsignalized				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.1%	6			ICU Level of Service A

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	4.1					
		בחם	WDI	WDT	NDI	NDD
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1 62	40	4-7	4 23	¥	50
Traffic Vol, veh/h		10	17		14	56
Future Vol, veh/h	62	10	17	23	14	56
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	0	0	2	2
Mvmt Flow	62	10	17	23	14	56
Major/Minor	Major4		Major		Minord	
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	^=
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	72	0	124	67
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	67	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	57	-
Critical Hdwy	-	-	4.1	-	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	-	5.42	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.2	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1541	-	871	997
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	956	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	966	-
Platoon blocked. %		-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1541	-	861	997
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver		_	-	-	861	-
Stage 1		_	-	_	956	_
Stage 2		_	_	_	955	_
Olago Z					333	
Approach	EB		WB		NB	
HCM Control Delay, s	0		3.1		9	
HCM LOS					Α	
Minor Long/Major Mumt		NBLn1	EBT	EBR	W/DI	WBT
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt					WBL	
Capacity (veh/h)		966	-	-	1541	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.072	-	-	0.011	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9	-	-	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS		Α	-	-	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	-	-	0	-

	•	†	+
Lane Group	EBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	W	4 13	ት ጌ
Traffic Volume (vph)	28	691	397
Future Volume (vph)	28	691	397
Lane Group Flow (vph)	180	784	419
Sign Control	Stop	Free	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.9%	0		

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.5					
		EDE	ND	NDT	OPT	000
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W			413	٨ß	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	28	152	93	691	397	22
Future Vol, veh/h	28	152	93	691	397	22
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	111	0	0	111
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	0	2	5	8	5
Mvmt Flow	28	152	93	691	397	22
NA - ' /NA'	N		Matad		M-1-0	
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
Conflicting Flow All	1051	321	530	0	-	0
Stage 1	519	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	532	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.9	4.14	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.3	2.22	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	226	681	1033	-	-	-
Stage 1	568	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	559	-	-	-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %				-	-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	152	611	927	-	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	152	-	_	-	-	_
Stage 1	427	_	-	_	_	_
Stage 2	501	-	-	-	-	_
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	20.1		1.6		0	
HCM LOS	С					
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		927		416	<u> </u>	ODK -
			-			
HCM Cantral Dalay (a)		0.1	- 0.0	0.433	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.3	0.6	20.1	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		A	Α	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	2.1	-	-

	•	←	4	†	↓			
Lane Group	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø5	Ø7	
Lane Configurations	75	ፈቀሴ	¥	44	∳ ሴ			
Traffic Volume (vph)	538	510	553	1105	456			
Future Volume (vph)	538	510	553	1105	456			
Lane Group Flow (vph)	324	957	498	995	523			
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA			
Protected Phases		8	57	2	6	5	7	
Permitted Phases	8		2					
Minimum Split (s)	28.3	28.3		23.8	23.8	11.2	11.8	
Total Split (s)	37.6	37.6		72.4	28.8	31.8	11.8	
Total Split (%)	34.2%	34.2%		65.8%	26.2%	29%	11%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3		3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	3.0	3.0		3.5	3.5	2.9	3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0		0.0	0.0			
Total Lost Time (s)	6.3	6.3		6.8	6.8			
Lead/Lag					Lag	Lead		
Lead-Lag Optimize?					Yes	Yes		
Act Effct Green (s)	31.3	31.3	66.2	65.6	22.0			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.28	0.28	0.60	0.60	0.20			
v/c Ratio	0.80	0.77	0.79	0.49	0.82			
Control Delay	52.6	37.0	23.6	13.7	51.3			
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0			
Total Delay	52.6	37.0	23.6	13.7	51.3			
LOS	D	D	С	В	D			
Approach Delay		41.0		17.0	51.3			
Approach LOS		D		В	D			
Queue Length 50th (m)	74.7	65.8	56.1	60.1	54.0			
Queue Length 95th (m)	#125.2	83.0	#83.4	75.5	#78.2			
Internal Link Dist (m)		120.8		240.1	287.4			
Turn Bay Length (m)	80.0		45.0					
Base Capacity (vph)	406	1246	631	2021	640			
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0			
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0			
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0			
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.80	0.77	0.79	0.49	0.82			

Intersection Summary

Cycle Length: 110 Actuated Cycle Length: 110

Offset: 60 (55%), Referenced to phase 2:NBTL and 6:SBT, Start of Green

Natural Cycle: 90 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.82

Intersection Signal Delay: 31.8


Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.2%


Intersection LOS: C ICU Level of Service E

Analysis Period (min) 15

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.

Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.





	+	4	†		
Lane Group	WBT	WBR	NBT	Ø9	
Lane Configurations	44	77	441		
Traffic Volume (vph)	739	321	890		
Future Volume (vph)	739	321	890		
Lane Group Flow (vph)	739	321	941		
Turn Type	NA	Prot	NA		
Protected Phases	8	8	2	9	
Permitted Phases	0			.	
Detector Phase	8	8	2		
Switch Phase		- 0	L		
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	1.0	
Minimum Split (s)	15.8	15.8	22.5	13.0	
Total Split (s)	35.0	35.0	27.0	13.0	
Total Split (%)	46.7%	46.7%	36.0%	17%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.5	2.5	2.5	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.8	5.8	5.8		
Lead/Lag	5.0	5.0	5.0		
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Recall Mode	C-Max	C-Max	Max	None	
Act Effct Green (s)	34.4	34.4	21.2	NOTIC	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.46	0.46	0.28		
v/c Ratio	0.48	0.40	0.26		
Control Delay	27.0	24.4	24.6		
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	3.8		
Total Delay	27.0	24.4	28.4		
LOS	27.0 C	24.4 C	20.4 C		
Approach Delay	26.2	U	28.4		
Approach LOS	20.2 C		20.4 C		
Queue Length 50th (m)	55.2	23.9	39.5		
Queue Length 95th (m)	m64.0	m28.1	52.6		
Internal Link Dist (m)	131.7	11120.1	689.6		
Turn Bay Length (m)	131.7	60.0	009.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	1539	1156	1420		
Starvation Cap Reductn	1539	0	0		
•	0	0	382		
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	382		
Storage Cap Reductn Reduced v/c Ratio	0.48	0.28	0.91		
	U.48	υ.Ζδ	0.91		
Intersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 0 (0%), Referenced to pha	ase 8:WBT, Star	t of Green			
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinate	ted				
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66					
Intersection Signal Delay: 27.2				Intersecti	on LOS: C
Intersection Capacity Utilization 52	2.7%			ICU Leve	l of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15					
m Volume for 95th percentile qu	ueue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.		

Splits and Phases: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St



	•	•	†	ļ				
Lane Group	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	Ø1	Ø5	Ø7	
Lane Configurations	ፈቀሴ		414	∳ ሴ				
Traffic Volume (vph)	668	206	340	713				
Future Volume (vph)	668	206	340	713				
Lane Group Flow (vph)	1062	0	546	855				
Turn Type	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA				
Protected Phases	8	9	2	6	1	5	7	
Permitted Phases	•	2	_		•			
Minimum Split (s)	18.6	10.4	16.4	16.4	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (s)	24.0	10.4	41.0	30.6	5.0	5.0	5.0	
Total Split (%)	32.0%	13.9%	54.7%	40.8%	7%	7%	7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.0	2.0	2.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.3	2.1	2.1	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	۷.۱	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.6		5.4	5.4				
. ,					Load	Lood	Load	
Lead/Lag	Lag		Lag	Lag	Lead	Lead	Lead	
Lead-Lag Optimize? Act Effct Green (s)	Yes 18.4		Yes 35.6	Yes 25.2	Yes	Yes	Yes	
. ,								
Actuated g/C Ratio v/c Ratio	0.25		0.47	0.34				
.,	0.93		0.58	0.81				
Control Delay	41.7		13.1	29.0				
Queue Delay	0.0		0.0	0.8				
Total Delay	41.7		13.1	29.8				
LOS	D		В	С				
Approach Delay	41.7		13.1	29.8				
Approach LOS	D		В	С				
Queue Length 50th (m)	51.7		15.4	54.9				
Queue Length 95th (m)	#77.2		20.0	#77.5				
Internal Link Dist (m)	702.3		90.2	52.9				
Turn Bay Length (m)								
Base Capacity (vph)	1146		946	1062				
Starvation Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Spillback Cap Reductn	0		0	52				
Storage Cap Reductn	0		0	0				
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.93		0.58	0.85				
Intersection Summary								
Cycle Length: 75								
Actuated Cycle Length: 75								
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to pha	ise 2:NBTL a	ind 6:SBT, S	Start of Gree	en				
Natural Cycle: 75								
Control Type: Pretimed								
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93								
Intersection Signal Delay: 31.2					ersection LO			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 82.0)%			ICl	J Level of Se	ervice D		
Analysis Period (min) 15								
# 95th percentile volume exceeds	capacity, qu	eue may be	longer.					
Queue shown is maximum after t		•	-					
Splits and Phases: 3: Bank St & C	Catherine St							
##ø1 1 02 (R)								
5 s 41 s								
11 I					•		1 107 ₹ 08	
# Pø5 ▼ Ø6 (R)					↑ ø9	- 1	. ₹₽ Ø7 ♥ Ø8	

	←	ļ					
Lane Group	WBT	SBT	Ø3	Ø7			
Lane Configurations	413						
Traffic Volume (vph)	741	1 121					
Future Volume (vph)	741	121					
Lane Group Flow (vph)	907	160					
Turn Type	NA	NA					
Protected Phases	8	6	3	7			
Permitted Phases	-	•	•				
Detector Phase	8	6					
Switch Phase	-	•					
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	1.0	1.0			
Minimum Split (s)	26.5	23.4	6.4	6.4			
Total Split (s)	34.0	24.0	16.0	16.0			
Total Split (%)	37.8%	26.7%	18%	18%			
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3			
All-Red Time (s)	2.2	2.1	2.1	2.1			
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	,	,			
Total Lost Time (s)	5.5	5.4					
Lead/Lag	Lag	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		Lead			
Lead-Lag Optimize?	Yes			Yes			
Recall Mode	None	Max	None	None			
Act Effct Green (s)	18.9	18.7	110110	110110			
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.39	0.38					
v/c Ratio	0.65	0.24					
Control Delay	12.0	12.8					
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0					
Total Delay	12.0	12.8					
LOS	В	В					
Approach Delay	12.0	12.8					
Approach LOS	В	В					
Queue Length 50th (m)	25.3	8.6					
Queue Length 95th (m)	39.3	23.2					
Internal Link Dist (m)	271.6	288.0					
Turn Bay Length (m)	271.0	200.0					
Base Capacity (vph)	2039	665					
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0					
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0					
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0					
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.44	0.24					
Intersection Summary							
Cycle Length: 90							
Actuated Cycle Length: 48.6							
Natural Cycle: 65							
Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord							
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65							
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.1				Int	ersection LOS: B		
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.1%	/_				J Level of Service A		
Analysis Period (min) 15	0			IC	D Level Of Service A		
	-41						
Splits and Phases: 4: Percy St & Ca	atnerine St						
	- 1						
	- 1			- 1		1	
1	- 1	Ako7		- 1		Ååø3	
▼ Ø6		.π 1Ø7		₩.	Ø8	A P Ø 3	

	→	+	+
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	î.	4	4Th
Traffic Volume (vph)	19	4 37	601
Future Volume (vph)	19	37	601
Lane Group Flow (vph)	21	54	675
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Control Type: Unsignalized Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.3%			
intersection dapacity offization 41.570			

Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection												
Int Delay, s/veh	2.5											
Movement	EBL	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	WBR	NBL	NBT	NBR	SBL	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations		Ť.			4						476	
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	1 5	2	17	3 7	0	0	0	0	61	601	13
Future Vol, veh/h	0	19	2	17	37	0	0	0	0	61	601	13
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	20	0	8	8	0	20	19	0	3	3	0	19
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Stop	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free	Free
RT Channelized	<u> </u>	-	None	-	·-	None	-	-	None	-	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	-	-	-	0	-
Grade, %	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-	-	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	5	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mvmt Flow	0	19	2	17	37	0	0	0	0	61	601	13
Major/Minor	Minor2			Minor1						Major2		
Conflicting Flow All	-	752	334	443	758	-				3	0	0
Stage 1	-	749	-	3	3	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	3	-	440	755	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	-	6.6	6.9	7.5	6.6	-				4.1	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	-	5.6	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	-	-	-	6.5	5.6	-				-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	-	4.05	3.3	3.5	4.05	-				2.2	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	0	332	668	503	329	0				1632	-	-
Stage 1	0	410	-	-	-	0				-	-	-
Stage 2	0	-	-	571	408	0				-	-	-
Platoon blocked, %											-	-
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	306	656	456	304	-				1627	-	-
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	306	-	456	304	-				-	-	-
Stage 1	-	380	-	-	-	-				-	-	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	510	378	-				-	-	-
Approach	EB			WB						SB		
HCM Control Delay, s	17			17.6						0.8		
HCM LOS	С			С								
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		EBLn1	WBLn1	SBL	SBT	SBR						
Capacity (veh/h)		322	340	1627	-	-						
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.065	0.159	0.037	-	-						
HCM Control Delay (s)		17	17.6	7.3	0.2	-						
HCM Lane LOS		С	С	Α	Α	-						
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.2	0.6	0.1	-	-						

	۶	→	+	†	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBT	
Lane Configurations		∡î	ĵ.	ፈተሴ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	25	₄ 1 83	30	1098	
Future Volume (vph)	25	83	30	1098	
ane Group Flow (vph)	0	108	93	1231	
urn Type	Perm	NA	NA	NA	
Protected Phases		4	8	2	
Permitted Phases	4				
Minimum Split (s)	27.3	27.3	27.3	32.3	
Total Split (s)	31.0	31.0	31.0	44.0	
Fotal Split (%)	41.3%	41.3%	41.3%	58.7%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	
ost Time Adjust (s)		0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)		5.3	5.3	5.3	
Lead/Lag		- 0.0			
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)		25.7	25.7	38.7	
Actuated g/C Ratio		0.34	0.34	0.52	
r/c Ratio		0.19	0.16	0.49	
Control Delay		25.9	9.8	10.0	
Queue Delay		0.0	0.0	49.8	
otal Delay		25.9	9.8	59.7	
OS		C	Α	E	
Approach Delay		25.9	9.8	59.7	
Approach LOS		C	A	E	
Queue Length 50th (m)		13.0	1.6	49.7	
Queue Length 95th (m)		m25.2	m6.4	69.9	
nternal Link Dist (m)		138.7	143.1	53.0	
Furn Bay Length (m)		100.7	170.1	55.0	
Base Capacity (vph)		576	579	2501	
Starvation Cap Reductn		0	0	1427	
Spillback Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn		0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio		0.19	0.16	1.15	
		0.10	0.10	1.10	
ntersection Summary					
Cycle Length: 75					
Actuated Cycle Length: 75					
Offset: 50 (67%), Referenced to phase	se 2:NBTL,	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 60					
Control Type: Pretimed					
/laximum v/c Ratio: 0.49					
ntersection Signal Delay: 53.9				In	itersection LOS: D
ntersection Capacity Utilization 55.1	%			IC	CU Level of Service B
analysis Period (min) 15					
n Volume for 95th percentile queu	e is metered	l by upstrea	m signal.		
Splits and Phases: 6: Kent St & Ar	rlington Ave				
√ T ø2 (R)					₹04
44 s					31 s
					 ←

	→	•	•	←	ļ
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	A	7	*	•	413-
Traffic Volume (vph)	247	52	28	314	553
Future Volume (vph)	247	52	28	314	553
Lane Group Flow (vph)	247	52	28	314	777
Turn Type	NA	Perm	Perm	NA	NA
Protected Phases	4			8	6
Permitted Phases		4	8		
Minimum Split (s)	17.2	17.2	17.2	17.2	22.6
Total Split (s)	37.0	37.0	37.0	37.0	38.0
Total Split (%)	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	49.3%	50.7%
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3
All-Red Time (s)	1.9	1.9	1.9	1.9	2.3
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Lost Time (s)	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.2	5.6
Lead/Lag					
Lead-Lag Optimize?					
Act Effct Green (s)	31.8	31.8	31.8	31.8	32.4
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.42	0.43
v/c Ratio	0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42	0.54
Control Delay	16.0	4.5	8.5	12.8	16.6
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total Delay	16.0	4.5	8.5	12.8	16.6
LOS	В	A	A	В	В
Approach Delay	14.0	.,	.,	12.4	16.6
Approach LOS	В			В	В
Queue Length 50th (m)	22.6	0.0	1.5	36.2	38.8
Queue Length 95th (m)	38.4	5.7	m3.2	55.1	54.6
Internal Link Dist (m)	254.8	Ų.,	1110.2	165.0	214.3
Turn Bay Length (m)	201.0		25.0	100.0	211.0
Base Capacity (vph)	756	626	422	756	1428
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.33	0.08	0.07	0.42	0.54
	2.30	0.00	0.0.	V	0.01
Intersection Summary					

Cycle Length: 75 Actuated Cycle Length: 75

Offset: 45 (60%), Referenced to phase 4:EBT and 8:WBTL, Start of Green


Natural Cycle: 40 Control Type: Pretimed
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.54

Intersection Signal Delay: 15.1 Intersection Capacity Utilization 68.3% Intersection LOS: B ICU Level of Service C

Analysis Period (min) 15

m Volume for 95th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.

Splits and Phases: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave



	•	→	←	4	†	
Lane Group	EBL	EBT	WBT	NBL	NBT	
Lane Configurations	*		ĵ₃	*	ተ ተጌ	
Traffic Volume (vph)	75	450	324	67	952	
Future Volume (vph)	75	450	324	67	952	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	75	450	399	67	1083	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	NA	Perm	NA	
Protected Phases		4	8		2	
Permitted Phases	4			2		
Minimum Split (s)	21.4	21.4	21.4	20.4	20.4	
Total Split (s)	42.0	42.0	42.0	33.0	33.0	
Total Split (%)	56.0%	56.0%	56.0%	44.0%	44.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	5.4	
Lead/Lag						
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Act Effct Green (s)	36.6	36.6	36.6	27.6	27.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.49	0.49	0.49	0.37	0.37	
v/c Ratio	0.19	0.52	0.47	0.12	0.63	
Control Delay	20.1	24.3	14.2	8.5	9.1	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	20.1	24.3	14.2	8.5	9.1	
LOS	С	С	В	A	Α	
Approach Delay		23.7	14.2		9.1	
Approach LOS		С	В		Α	
Queue Length 50th (m)	7.3	54.0	33.2	3.1	16.9	
Queue Length 95th (m)	m17.0	83.0	54.8	m4.8	15.7	
Internal Link Dist (m)		165.0	168.8		216.0	
Turn Bay Length (m)	30.0			40.0		
Base Capacity (vph)	385	862	850	560	1726	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.19	0.52	0.47	0.12	0.63	
	00	0.02	V.	V	0.03	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 23 (31%), Referenced to	phase 4:EBTL ar	nd 8:WBT,	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 45						
Control Type: Pretimed						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.63						
Intersection Signal Delay: 13.8					tersection L	
Intersection Capacity Utilization	68.3%			IC	U Level of S	Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volume for 95th percentile of	queue is metered	by upstrea	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 8: Kent St	& Gladstone Ave					
≪ ↑				1		
1 Ø2				, –	Ø4 (R)	

Ø8 (R)

Ø6 (R)

	-	\rightarrow	†	>	↓	
Lane Group	EBT	EBR	NBT	SBL	SBT	
Lane Configurations	414	7	ት ጌ	022	44	
Fraffic Volume (vph)	638	124	468	189	734	
Future Volume (vph)	638	124	468	189	734	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	705	124	561	0	923	
Turn Type	NA	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	
Protected Phases	4	••••	2	5	6	
Permitted Phases		4		6		
Detector Phase	4	4	2	5	6	
Switch Phase				_	•	
Minimum Initial (s)	10.0	10.0	10.0	5.0	10.0	
Minimum Split (s)	26.2	26.2	23.1	11.1	23.1	
Total Split (s)	29.0	29.0	34.9	11.1	46.0	
Total Split (%)	38.7%	38.7%	46.5%	14.8%	61.3%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.0	3.0	3.0	
All-Red Time (s)	2.9	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.1	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0.0	
Total Lost Time (s)	6.2	6.2	6.1		6.1	
Lead/Lag	··-				·	
Lead-Lag Optimize?						
Recall Mode	None	None	C-Max	None	C-Max	
Act Effct Green (s)	21.1	21.1	41.6		41.6	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.28	0.28	0.55		0.55	
v/c Ratio	0.75	0.25	0.32		0.71	
Control Delay	30.0	5.0	10.1		13.0	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0		1.3	
Total Delay	30.0	5.0	10.1		14.3	
LOS	С	A	В		В	
Approach Delay	26.3		10.1		14.3	
Approach LOS	C		В		В	
Queue Length 50th (m)	45.8	0.0	22.1		74.1	
Queue Length 95th (m)	63.9	9.6	31.7		m91.8	
Internal Link Dist (m)	677.2		578.7		90.2	
Turn Bay Length (m)		40.0				
Base Capacity (vph)	1015	519	1742		1296	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0		184	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0		0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.69	0.24	0.32		0.83	
	0.00	0.2.	0.02		0.00	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 75						
Actuated Cycle Length: 75						
Offset: 60 (80%), Referenced to phase	se 2:NBT an	d 6:SBTL, S	Start of Gree	n		
Natural Cycle: 65						
Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.75						
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.6					tersection LOS: B	
Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.6	%			IC	U Level of Service E	
Analysis Period (min) 15						
m Volume for 95th percentile queu	e is metered	by upstrea	m signal.			
Splits and Phases: 9: Bank St & C	hamberlain <i>i</i>	Ave/IsabeIla	a St			
+						. A.
Ø2 (R)					Ø5	∜ Ø4
34.9 s				1	1.1 s	29 s
l.						

	←	4
Lane Group	WBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	♦ %	7
Traffic Volume (vph)	662	45
Future Volume (vph)	662	45
Lane Group Flow (vph)	734	45
Sign Control	Free	
Intersection Summary		
Control Type: Unsignalized		
Intersection Capacity Utilization	31.7%	

Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	0.6					
Movement	EBL	EBT	WBT	WBR	SBL	SBR
Lane Configurations						1
Traffic Vol, veh/h	0	0	↑1 ₃ 662	72	0	45
Future Vol, veh/h	0	0	662	72	0	45
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	_	-	-	-	-	0
Veh in Median Storage, #	-2	949120	0	_	0	_
Grade, %	_	0	0	-	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	0	0	662	72	0	45
	¥		002	•=	•	
Major/Minor			Majora		MinorO	
Major/Minor			Major2		Minor2	007
Conflicting Flow All			-	0	-	367
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy			-	-	-	6.94
Critical Hdwy Stg 1			-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2			-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy			-	-	-	3.32
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	0	630
Stage 1			-	-	0	-
Stage 2			-	-	0	-
Platoon blocked, %			-	-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver			-	-	-	630
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver			-	-	-	-
Stage 1			-	-	-	-
Stage 2			-	-	-	-
Approach			WB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s			0		11.2	
HCM LOS			U		11.2 B	
I IOIVI LOS					D.	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		WBT	WBR	SBLn1		
Capacity (veh/h)		-	-	630		
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		-	-	0.071		
HCM Control Delay (s)		-	-	11.2		
HCM Lane LOS		-	-	В		
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		-	-	0.2		

	-	←	•	
Lane Group	EBT	WBT	NBL	
Lane Configurations	ĵ.	4	W	
Traffic Volume (vph)	62	4 45	9	
Future Volume (vph)	62	45	9	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	80	75	45	
Sign Control	Free	Free	Stop	
Intersection Summary				
Control Type: Unsignalized				
Intersection Capacity Utilization 20.99	%			ICU Level of Service A

Analysis Period (min) 15

Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	3.2					
				14/5-		
Movement	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBR
Lane Configurations	1 62			4 45	¥	
Traffic Vol, veh/h		18	30		9	36
Future Vol, veh/h	62	18	30	45	9	36
Conflicting Peds, #/hr	0	0	0	0	0	0
Sign Control	Free	Free	Free	Free	Stop	Stop
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	-	-	-	-	0	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	_	_	0	0	_
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	2	2	2	2	2	2
Mymt Flow	62	18	30	45	9	36
IVIVIII(I IOW	02	10	30	40	9	30
Major/Minor	Major1		Major2		Minor1	
Conflicting Flow All	0	0	80	0	176	71
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	71	-
Stage 2	-	_	-	-	105	-
Critical Hdwy	_	_	4.12	_	6.42	6.22
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	_	_	-	_	5.42	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	_		_	_	5.42	_
Follow-up Hdwy	-	-	2.218	-	3.518	3.318
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver			1518	-	814	991
Stage 1	-	-	1310	-	952	991
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	919	-
Platoon blocked, %	-	-		-		
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	-	-	1518	-	798	991
Mov Cap-2 Maneuver	-	-	-	-	798	-
Stage 1	-	-	-	-	952	-
Stage 2	-	-	-	-	901	-
Anaroach	EB		WB		NB	
Approach						
HCM Control Delay, s	0		3		9	
HCM LOS					Α	
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBLn1	EBT	EBR	WBL	WBT
Capacity (veh/h)		945	-	-	1518	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.048			0.02	
			-	-		-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9	-	-	7.4	0
HCM Lane LOS		A	-	-	Α	Α
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.1	-	-	0.1	-


	≯	†	+
Lane Group	EBL	NBT	SBT
Lane Configurations	14	413	ት ጌ
Traffic Volume (vph)	24	428	731
Future Volume (vph)	24	428	731
Lane Group Flow (vph)	149	498	758
Sign Control	Stop	Free	Free
Intersection Summary			
Control Type: Unsignalized			
Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.5%	6		

Analysis Period (min) 15

-						
Intersection						
Int Delay, s/veh	2.6					
Movement	EBL	EBR	NBL	NBT	SBT	SBR
Lane Configurations	W	LOIX	HUL	414		OBIX
Traffic Vol, veh/h	24	125	70	428	↑ ↑	27
Future Vol. veh/h	24	125	70	428	731	27
	0	0	42	420	0	42
Conflicting Peds, #/hr				Free	Free	Free
Sign Control	Stop	Stop	Free			
RT Channelized	-	None	-	None	-	None
Storage Length	0	-	-	-	-	-
Veh in Median Storage, #	0	-	-	0	0	-
Grade, %	0	-	-	0	0	-
Peak Hour Factor	100	100	100	100	100	100
Heavy Vehicles, %	0	1	0	5	3	0
Mvmt Flow	24	125	70	428	731	27
Major/Minor	Minor2		Major1		Major2	
	1141	421	800	0		^
Conflicting Flow All					-	0
Stage 1	787	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	354	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy	6.8	6.92	4.1	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 1	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Critical Hdwy Stg 2	5.8	-	-	-	-	-
Follow-up Hdwy	3.5	3.31	2.2	-	-	-
Pot Cap-1 Maneuver	197	584	832	-	-	-
Stage 1	414	-	-	-	-	-
Stage 2	687	-	_	-	-	_
Platoon blocked. %				_		_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	161	561	800	_	_	_
Mov Cap-1 Maneuver	161	-	-	-	-	-
	352	-	-	_	-	-
Stage 1				-	-	-
Stage 2	660	-	-	-	-	-
Approach	EB		NB		SB	
HCM Control Delay, s	19.2		1.7		0	
HCM LOS	C					
	<u> </u>					
						05-
Minor Lane/Major Mvmt		NBL	NBT	EBLn1	SBT	SBR
Capacity (veh/h)		800	-	401	-	-
HCM Lane V/C Ratio		0.088	-	0.372	-	-
HCM Control Delay (s)		9.9	0.4	19.2	-	-
HCM Lane LOS		Α	Α	С	-	-
HCM 95th %tile Q(veh)		0.3	-	1.7	-	-
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		0.0				

	•	←	4	†	↓	
Lane Group	WBL	WBT	NBL	NBT	SBT	
Lane Configurations	*	ፈተሴ	*	44	A 13	
Traffic Volume (vph)	744	610	311	812	853	
Future Volume (vph)	744	610	311	812	853	
Lane Group Flow (vph)	417	1229	311	812	1029	
Turn Type	Perm	NA	pm+pt	NA	NA	
Protected Phases	7 3.111	8	5	2	6	
Permitted Phases	8	- 3	2			
Minimum Split (s)	28.3	28.3	11.2	23.8	23.8	
Total Split (s)	37.0	37.0	22.0	63.0	41.0	
Total Split (%)	37.0%	37.0%	22.0%	63.0%	41.0%	
Yellow Time (s)	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
All-Red Time (s)	3.0	3.0	2.9	3.5	3.5	
Lost Time Adjust (s)	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
	6.3	6.3	6.2	6.8	6.8	
Total Lost Time (s)	0.3	0.3		0.0		
Lead/Lag			Lead		Lag	
Lead-Lag Optimize?	20 =	00 7	Yes	F0.0	Yes	
Act Effct Green (s)	30.7	30.7	56.8	56.2	34.2	
Actuated g/C Ratio	0.31	0.31	0.57	0.56	0.34	
v/c Ratio	0.93	0.90	0.92	0.43	0.91	
Control Delay	63.9	41.1	59.4	13.5	43.5	
Queue Delay	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	
Total Delay	63.9	41.1	59.4	13.5	43.5	
LOS	Е	D	Е	В	D	
Approach Delay		46.8		26.2	43.5	
Approach LOS		D		С	D	
Queue Length 50th (m)	90.6	82.9	44.4	44.8	97.0	
Queue Length 95th (m)	#157.0	#110.9	#94.6	58.3	#136.1	
Internal Link Dist (m)		120.8		240.1	287.4	
Turn Bay Length (m)	80.0	.=	45.0			
Base Capacity (vph)	447	1368	337	1905	1132	
Starvation Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Spillback Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Storage Cap Reductn	0	0	0	0	0	
Reduced v/c Ratio	0.93	0.90	0.92	0.43	0.91	
Neuticed V/C RailO	0.93	0.90	0.92	0.43	0.91	
Intersection Summary						
Cycle Length: 100						
Actuated Cycle Length: 100						
Offset: 60 (60%), Referenced to p	ohase 2:NBTL a	and 6:SBT S	Start of Gree	en		
Natural Cycle: 90		0.021, 0				
Control Type: Pretimed						
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.93						
Intersection Signal Delay: 39.8				ln:	tersection LO	g- D
Intersection Capacity Utilization 9	12 50/				U Level of Se	
. ,	12.J /0			IU	O LEVELUI SE	IVICE F
Analysis Period (min) 15	do oone -!t-	0110 ma-11 k -	lange-			
# 95th percentile volume excee		eue may be	ionger.			
Queue shown is maximum aft	ei iwo cycies.					

Splits and Phases: 13: Bronson Ave & Catherine St



Appendix J:

SimTraffic Summary Reports

Intersection: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	T	R
Maximum Queue (m)	77.3	52.1	65.5	27.6
Average Queue (m)	47.5	29.7	40.5	13.1
95th Queue (m)	70.3	48.8	61.1	22.2
Link Distance (m)	123.9	123.9	62.4	62.4
Upstream Blk Time (%)			1	
Queuing Penalty (veh)			1	
Storage Bay Dist (m)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB
Directions Served	Т	Т	R	R	LT	Т	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	53.1	48.9	56.4	64.9	78.7	87.6	86.5
Average Queue (m)	32.1	26.3	33.0	42.5	54.1	56.6	56.0
95th Queue (m)	47.4	43.6	50.8	62.0	72.5	78.0	79.6
Link Distance (m)	132.5	132.5	132.5		711.8	711.8	711.8
Upstream Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							
Storage Bay Dist (m)				60.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)			0	1			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0	2			

Intersection: 3: Bank St & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	R	LT	T	Т	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	142.3	145.8	48.7	107.1	102.2	59.9	59.7
Average Queue (m)	78.2	91.4	20.5	61.0	43.6	37.2	30.5
95th Queue (m)	123.5	141.2	37.1	100.8	83.9	58.4	52.6
Link Distance (m)	714.6	714.6	714.6	107.4	107.4	56.5	56.5
Upstream Blk Time (%)				1	0	1	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)				3	0	3	2
Storage Bay Dist (m)							
Storage Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							

Total Projected 2036 AM SimTraffic Report

Intersection: 4: Percy St & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	48.1	44.6	39.2
Average Queue (m)	18.7	16.9	17.1
95th Queue (m)	35.0	33.1	33.1
Link Distance (m)	284.8	284.8	302.5
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 6: Kent St & Arlington Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LT	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	41.2	22.1	61.1	69.4	64.4
Average Queue (m)	20.0	10.2	47.0	53.1	57.0
95th Queue (m)	35.0	19.9	62.7	69.5	68.4
Link Distance (m)	157.2	142.2	54.3	54.3	54.3
Upstream Blk Time (%)			3	7	12
Queuing Penalty (veh)			17	45	79
Storage Bay Dist (m)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	T	R	L	T	LT	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	50.2	11.6	12.3	25.3	56.6	49.9
Average Queue (m)	21.7	2.8	2.1	10.9	32.3	21.1
95th Queue (m)	39.8	9.8	8.5	21.4	51.6	40.0
Link Distance (m)	269.8	269.8		183.7	231.1	231.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						
Storage Bay Dist (m)			25.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)				0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)				0		

Total Projected 2036 AM SimTraffic Report

Intersection: 8: Kent St & Gladstone Ave

Movement	EB	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	T	TR	L	T	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	46.4	79.0	81.6	21.2	90.7	63.5	68.7
Average Queue (m)	14.6	38.8	39.9	4.6	40.6	45.7	48.7
95th Queue (m)	33.0	65.4	69.4	15.0	68.8	59.1	64.4
Link Distance (m)		183.7	178.3		227.2	227.2	227.2
Upstream Blk Time (%)					0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)					0		
Storage Bay Dist (m)	30.0			40.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)	1	17			7		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	14			2		

Intersection: 9: Bank St & Chamberlain Ave/Isabella St

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	Т	R	Т	TR	LT	T
Maximum Queue (m)	62.3	65.8	38.2	83.5	74.3	97.8	85.4
Average Queue (m)	39.3	41.4	1.3	41.8	42.7	45.4	31.1
95th Queue (m)	57.8	61.0	16.0	68.8	67.6	81.7	65.8
Link Distance (m)	690.7	690.7		591.2	591.2	107.4	107.4
Upstream Blk Time (%)						0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)						1	0
Storage Bay Dist (m)			40.0				
Storage Blk Time (%)		9					
Queuing Penalty (veh)		7					

Intersection: 13: Bronson Ave & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	LT	Т	TR	L	Т	T	T	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	85.3	89.0	82.8	120.9	47.4	139.2	112.8	90.8	81.0	
Average Queue (m)	51.8	59.5	50.5	71.7	44.2	75.5	57.4	54.5	47.7	
95th Queue (m)	76.5	82.1	73.8	109.5	54.0	127.3	97.0	81.2	74.4	
Link Distance (m)		126.8	126.8	126.8		261.7	261.7	295.2	295.2	
Upstream Blk Time (%)				0						
Queuing Penalty (veh)				1						
Storage Bay Dist (m)	80.0				45.0					
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	2			13	7				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	1	4			63	37				

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 285

Total Projected 2036 AM SimTraffic Report

Intersection: 1: Hwy 417 WB On Ramp/Lyon St N & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	T	R
Maximum Queue (m)	78.7	58.9	67.5	53.8
Average Queue (m)	34.9	23.7	48.9	29.2
95th Queue (m)	62.7	45.2	68.8	47.1
Link Distance (m)	123.9	123.9	62.4	62.4
Upstream Blk Time (%)			2	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)			5	0
Storage Bay Dist (m)				
Storage Blk Time (%)				
Queuing Penalty (veh)				

Intersection: 2: Hwy 417 EB Off Ramp/Chamberlain Ave/Kent St & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB
Directions Served	T	T	R	R	LT	T	T
Maximum Queue (m)	62.6	61.4	39.7	43.3	60.8	64.3	63.0
Average Queue (m)	42.9	41.4	19.5	24.5	40.5	40.6	36.6
95th Queue (m)	58.1	55.0	33.0	38.9	57.2	57.9	57.5
Link Distance (m)	132.5	132.5	132.5		711.8	711.8	711.8
Upstream Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							
Storage Bay Dist (m)				60.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							

Intersection: 3: Bank St & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	R	LT	T	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	183.6	179.8	58.9	111.7	112.5	68.9	62.4
Average Queue (m)	103.2	104.2	16.2	80.7	52.1	49.2	46.3
95th Queue (m)	185.3	185.0	37.3	132.5	116.2	66.7	66.6
Link Distance (m)	714.6	714.6	714.6	107.4	107.4	56.5	56.5
Upstream Blk Time (%)				14	1	5	5
Queuing Penalty (veh)				37	4	21	22
Storage Bay Dist (m)							
Storage Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							

Total Projected 2036 PM SimTraffic Report

Intersection: 4: Percy St & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	74.7	61.7	35.5
Average Queue (m)	40.8	30.8	16.3
95th Queue (m)	65.5	52.5	29.4
Link Distance (m)	284.8	284.8	302.5
Upstream Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			
Storage Bay Dist (m)			
Storage Blk Time (%)			
Queuing Penalty (veh)			

Intersection: 6: Kent St & Arlington Ave

Movement	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB
Directions Served	LT	TR	LT	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	37.2	22.4	56.8	60.6	58.9
Average Queue (m)	18.1	9.8	33.5	38.8	41.3
95th Queue (m)	31.4	19.6	52.0	58.1	58.6
Link Distance (m)	157.2	142.2	54.3	54.3	54.3
Upstream Blk Time (%)			0	1	1
Queuing Penalty (veh)			1	2	3
Storage Bay Dist (m)					
Storage Blk Time (%)					
Queuing Penalty (veh)					

Intersection: 7: Lyon St N & Gladstone Ave

Movement	EB	EB	WB	WB	SB	SB
Directions Served	T	R	L	T	LT	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	55.5	15.7	21.4	48.2	73.7	68.4
Average Queue (m)	25.7	5.6	4.7	20.6	38.1	37.3
95th Queue (m)	44.7	13.7	14.8	37.5	61.4	58.6
Link Distance (m)	269.8	269.8		183.7	231.1	231.1
Upstream Blk Time (%)						
Queuing Penalty (veh)						
Storage Bay Dist (m)			25.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)			0	2		
Queuing Penalty (veh)			0	1		

Total Projected 2036 PM SimTraffic Report

Intersection: 8: Kent St & Gladstone Ave

Movement	EB	EB	WB	NB	NB	NB	NB
Directions Served	L	Т	TR	L	T	T	TR
Maximum Queue (m)	54.8	99.7	74.8	19.6	33.7	33.3	47.1
Average Queue (m)	14.5	51.9	36.2	5.4	14.8	16.9	22.9
95th Queue (m)	37.8	86.7	59.9	15.0	29.4	29.1	37.4
Link Distance (m)		183.7	178.3		227.2	227.2	227.2
Upstream Blk Time (%)							
Queuing Penalty (veh)							
Storage Bay Dist (m)	30.0			40.0			
Storage Blk Time (%)	0	24			0		
Queuing Penalty (veh)	0	18			0		

Intersection: 9: Bank St & Chamberlain Ave/Isabella St

Movement	EB	EB	EB	NB	NB	SB	SB
Directions Served	LT	T	R	T	TR	LT	Т
Maximum Queue (m)	70.2	70.4	39.5	74.7	78.1	79.6	75.8
Average Queue (m)	41.9	43.7	1.9	34.9	30.6	33.6	27.9
95th Queue (m)	61.2	64.6	18.5	70.0	63.3	60.9	56.5
Link Distance (m)	690.7	690.7		591.2	591.2	107.4	107.4
Upstream Blk Time (%)						0	0
Queuing Penalty (veh)						0	0
Storage Bay Dist (m)			40.0				
Storage Blk Time (%)		11	0				
Queuing Penalty (veh)		13	0				

Intersection: 13: Bronson Ave & Catherine St

Movement	WB	WB	WB	WB	NB	NB	NB	SB	SB	
Directions Served	L	LT	T	TR	L	T	T	T	TR	
Maximum Queue (m)	102.2	110.2	88.2	99.5	47.4	119.2	100.3	173.6	171.0	
Average Queue (m)	66.4	73.3	56.8	64.7	41.0	56.9	41.8	101.5	95.8	
95th Queue (m)	93.3	99.8	82.3	93.5	55.6	102.1	80.3	162.8	157.3	
Link Distance (m)		126.8	126.8	126.8		261.7	261.7	295.2	295.2	
Upstream Blk Time (%)		0								
Queuing Penalty (veh)		1								
Storage Bay Dist (m)	80.0				45.0					
Storage Blk Time (%)	3	5			11	4				
Queuing Penalty (veh)	17	20			45	14				

Zone Summary

Zone wide Queuing Penalty: 224

Total Projected 2036 PM SimTraffic Report

Appendix K:

MMLOS Analysis: Intersections

Multi-Modal Level of Service - Intersections Form

Consultant
Scenario
Comments

arsons	Project
xisting and Future	Date

478038-01000	
29-Feb-24	

	INTERSECTIONS		Cather	ine/Kent			Cather	ine/Lyon			Arlingto
	Crossing Side	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH
	Lanes Median	5 No Median - 2.4 m	5 No Median - 2.4 m	4 No Median - 2.4 m	3 No Median - 2.4 m	3 No Median - 2.4 m	4 No Median - 2.4 m	4 No Median - 2.4 m	4 No Median - 2.4 m	4 No Median - 2.4 m	4 No Median - 2.4 m
	Conflicting Left Turns	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive
	Conflicting Right Turns	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control
	Right Turns on Red (RToR) ?	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed				
	Ped Signal Leading Interval?	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	No
ian	Right Turn Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel
str	Corner Radius	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	3-5m	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	10-15m
Pedestrian	Crosswalk Type	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings
-	PETSI Score	63	68	74	91	87	71	79	71	66	53
	Ped. Exposure to Traffic LoS	С	С	С	Α	В	С	В	С	С	D
	Cycle Length	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75
	Effective Walk Time Average Pedestrian Delay	16 23	16 23	27 15	27 15	15 24	15 24	32 12	32 12	11 27	11 27
	Pedestrian Delay LoS	C	C C	В	В	C C	C C	В	B	C	C
	1 oddourian Boldy 200	С	С	С	В	С	С	В	С	С	D
	Level of Service			<u> </u>		 				<u> </u>	
			<u>'</u>	<u> </u>				C			L
	Approach From	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH
	Bicycle Lane Arrangement on Approach			Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic					Mixed Traffic
	Right Turn Lane Configuration			> 50 m		> 50 m					≤ 50 m
	Right Turning Speed			≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h					≤ 25 km/h
<u>0</u>	Cyclist relative to RT motorists	-	<u> </u>	F	<u> </u>	F	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	•	D
Bicycle	Separated or Mixed Traffic	-	•	Mixed Traffic	•	Mixed Traffic	•	•	•	-	Mixed Traffic
ĕ	Left Turn Approach										One lane crossed
	Operating Speed										> 50 to < 60 km/h
	Left Turning Cyclist	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	E
	Level of Service			-				-			E
<u></u>	Average Signal Delay										
Transit		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ta Ta	Level of Service			-				-			
	Effective Corner Radius			< 10 m		< 10 m					
충	Number of Receiving Lanes on Departure from Intersection			≥ 2		≥ 2					
Truck		-	-	D	-	D	-	-	-	-	-
	Level of Service		ı	D			I	D			

on/Kent			Bank/C	atherine			Gladsto	ne/Lyon			Gladstone/Kent		
EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	
3	3	4	5	3	4	3	3	4	4	4	4	4	
No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	
No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Protected/ Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	
Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	Permissive or yield control	No right turn	Permissive or yield control	
RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	
No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	No	
No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Channel	
5-10m	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	3-5m	3-5m	
Std transverse markings	Textured/coloured pavement	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Textured/coloured pavement					
79	91	67	60	89	71	87	86	74	65	66	68	66	
В	Α	С	С	В	С	В	В	С	С	С	С	С	
75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	
33	33	13	13	31	16	28	28	21	21	16	16	32	
12	12	26	26	13	23	15	15	19	19	23	23	12	
В	В	С	С	В	С	В	В	В	В	С	С	В	
В	В	С	С	В	С	В	В	С	С	С	С	С	
)			(<u>C</u>			(<u> </u>			(
EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	
Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic		Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP			Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic		
≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m		Not Applicable			≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m		
≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h		Not Applicable			≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h		
D	-	D	-	D	-	Not Applicable	-	-	D	•	D	-	
Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	-	Separated	-	-	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	-	
	No lane crossed		One lane crossed	One lane crossed		2-stage, LT box		No lane crossed			≥ 2 lanes crossed	No lane crossed	
	≤ 40 km/h		> 50 to < 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h		> 50 to < 60 km/h		> 50 to < 60 km/h			> 50 to < 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h	
-	В	•	E	E	•	Α		С	•		F	С	
-	-	-	-	E	-	Α	-	-	-	-	F	-	
i .			1	E				4			1	F	
								≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec			≤ 30 sec	
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	С	С	-	-	D	
				-			(ı	ס	
		10 - 15 m		10 - 15 m		< 10 m			< 10 m		< 10 m	< 10 m	
		≥ 2		≥ 2		1			≥ 2		≥ 2	≥ 2	
	-	В		В	-	F	-	-	D	-	D	D	
				В								כ	

		Catheri	ne/Percy			Catherine	e/Bronson		Bank/Isabella/Chamberlain				
WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	
4	4	5	4 No Madian 2.4 m	3	6 No Median - 2.4 m		4	4	5 Na Madiana 24 na	5	4	3	
No Median - 2.4 m Permissive	No Median - 2.4 m No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No Median - 2.4 m No left turn / Prohib.		No Median - 2.4 m No left turn / Prohib.		No left turn / Prohib.	Protected/	Permissive	No Median - 2.4 m No left turn / Prohib.	Protected/	No Median - 2.4 m No left turn / Prohib.	
No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	Protected	Permissive or yield		No right turn	Permissive Permissive or yield	No right turn	Protected/	Permissive Permissive or yield	No right turn	
-			-		control		-	control	-	Permissive	control	-	
RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	
No	No	No	No	Yes	No		No	No	No	No	No	No	
No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel		No Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	Smart Channel	
No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	10-15m		5-10m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	10-15m	No Right Turn	5-10m	
Textured/coloured pavement	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings		Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	Std transverse markings	
74	70	55	79	98	31		70	69	55	45	66	90	
С	С	D	В	Α	E	-	С	С	D	D	С	Α	
75	90	90	90	90	100		100	100	75	75	75	75	
32	8	8	22	22	12		50	16	12	12	14	28	
12	37	37	26	26	39		13	35	26	26	25	15	
В	D	D	С	С	D	-	В	D	c	C _	С	В	
С	D	D	С	С	Е	-	С	D	D	D	С	В	
		ļ	D			i	■			1	ס		
WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	
Mixed Traffic	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic			Mixed Traffic		Mixed Traffic	
≤ 50 m	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m			≤ 50 m		≤ 50 m	
≤ 25 km/h	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h			≤ 25 km/h		≤ 25 km/h	
D	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	D	-	D	-	D	-	-	D	-	D	
Mixed Traffic	Separated	Separated	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	-	-	Mixed Traffic	-	Mixed Traffic	
		No lane crossed	One lane crossed			One lane crossed	One lane crossed		One lane crossed			One lane crossed	
		≤ 40 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h			> 50 to < 60 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h		> 40 to ≤ 50 km/h			> 50 to < 60 km/h	
-	-	В	E	-	-	Е	Е	-	D	-	-	Е	
-	-	В	E	-	-	-	E	-	-	-	-	Е	
			E			i				1	E		
≤ 30 sec													
D	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
			-				-				-		
	< 10 m				> 15 m		< 10 m			10 - 15 m		< 10 m	
	≥ 2				≥ 2		≥ 2			≥2		≥ 2	
-	D	-	-	-	Α	-	D	-	-	В	-	D	
			D)			ı)		
	•				•								

No left turn / Prohib. Permissive No left turn / Prohib. Permissive No left turn / Prohib. Permissive Protected No right turn N		Catherine/k	Cent (Future)			Catherine/L	_yon (Future)						
No Median - 2.4 m No Median -	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH
No left turn / Prohib.	3	4	4	0 - 2	3	4	3	3	4	4	3	3	3
No left turn / Prohib. Permissive or yield control RTOR prohibited RTOR prohibit	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m
Protected No right turn No Rig	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.		No left turn / Prohib.
Yes Yes No No No No No No Yes Yes Yes Yes No No Right Turn 5-10m No Right Turn Sold transverse markings Sold transverse mar	Protected	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	No right turn	•	,	No right turn	No right turn	,	No right turn
No Right Turn Schra stripe hi-vis markings mark	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited
No Right Turn Zebra stripe hi-vis markings To be the stripe hi-vis markin	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Zebra stripe hi-vis markings m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	No Channel
markings	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	5-10m	No Right Turn	5-10m
A B C A A C A B C B C D	Zebra stripe hi-vis markings			•								•	
75 90 16 16 27 27 15 15 32 32 13 13 31 16 8 23 23 15 15 24 24 12 12 26 26 13 23 37 C C B B C C B C D C C C B B C C B C D	101	84	73	106	90	74	96	88	67	76	89	88	87
16 16 27 27 15 15 32 32 13 13 31 16 8 23 23 15 15 24 24 12 12 26 26 13 23 37 C C B B C C B B C C B C D C C C B B C C B C D	Α	В	С	Α	Α	С	Α	В	С	В	В	В	В
23 23 15 15 24 24 12 12 26 26 13 23 37 C C B B C C B C C B C D C C C B C C B C C D	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	75	90
C C B B C C B C D C C C B C C B C D C C C C C D C C D	16	16	27	27	15	15	32	32	13	13	31	16	8
C C B C B B C C D C C C	23	23	15	15	24	24	12	12	26	26	13	23	37
ССС	С	С	В	В	С	С	В	В	С	С	В	С	D
	С	С	С	В	С	С	В	В	С	С	В	С	D
NORTH SOUTH EAST WEST NORTH SOUTH EAST WEST NORTH SOUTH EAST WEST NORTH			С		С				С				
	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH

Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP

Not Applicable
Not Applicable

Not Applicable Separated

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-					-				-		
		≤ 30 sec				≤ 20 sec		≤ 40 sec	≤ 30 sec	> 40 sec		
-	-	D		-	-	С	-	E	D	F	-	-
	D)		С								

-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-					-						

No right turn No right turn Protected Permissive or yield control Permissive or yield control No right turn Protected Permissive or yield control No right turn No Right tur	Catherine/P	ercy (Future)			Catherine/E	Bronson (Future)		E	ank/Isabella/Cha	amberlain (Futu	re)
No Median - 24 m No Median -		EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH		EAST	WEST
Permissive No left Lum / Proble No left Lum / Proble No left Lum / Proble Permissive				-							
No right Tum	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 m	No Median - 2.4 m		No Median - 2.4 i
No right turn No right turn Prolected Control No right turn Control No right turn Prolected Control No right turn Prolected Control No right turn Prolected Control No Right Turn No Right T	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	No left turn / Prohib.			No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	Permissive	No left turn / Prohib.	Permissive	No left turn / Prohi
No N	No right turn	No right turn	Protected			No right turn		No right turn	Protected		No right turn
No Right Turn No Right Tur	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed		RTOR allowed	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR prohibited	RTOR allowed
No Right Turn No Right Turn No Right Turn Sild transverse	No	No	Yes	No		No	No	No	No	No	No
Self trainverse Self framewine Sel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel		No Channel	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Channel	No Right Turn	Smart Channel
markings ma	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	10-15m		5-10m	No Right Turn	No Right Turn	10-15m	No Right Turn	10-15m
B											Zebra stripe hi-vis markings
90 90 90 100 100 100 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	88	96	101	31		70	69	74	56	86	92
8 22 22 12 50 16 12 12 14 28 13 13 15 26 26 26 25 15 15 15 15 16 17 26 26 26 26 39 13 3 35 26 26 26 26 15 15 16 17 26 17 26 17 26 26 26 26 26 26 15 15 16 17 26 1	В	Α	Α	E	-	С	С	С	D	В	Α
37 26 26 39 13 35 26 26 25 15 D											
D											
D											
SOUTH											
SOUTH	ע	C	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	U	<u> </u>	ט	L C	В
Curb Bike Lane, voletrack or MUP Mixed Traffic Curb Bike Lane, voletrack or MUP Curb Bike Lane, voletrack or MUP Cycletrack or MUP Cy)				E			ا	D	
Sycletrack or MUP Mixed Traffic Sycletrack or MUP Sycletr	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST	NORTH	SOUTH	EAST	WEST
Not Applicable ≤ 25 km/h Not Applicable Separated Not Applicable Not Applicable </td <td>Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP</td> <td>Mixed Traffic</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUI</td>	Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUP	Mixed Traffic									Curb Bike Lane, Cycletrack or MUI
Not Applicable Separated F - - - - Not Applicable Separated Not Applicable Mot Applicable Separated Not Applicable Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separated Separ	Not Applicable	> 50 m							Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Separated Mixed Traffic - - Separated	Not Applicable	≤ 25 km/h							Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
No lane crossed	Not Applicable	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	Not Applicable	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
≤ 40 km/h > 50 to < 60 km/h > 50 to < 50 km/h > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h > 50 to < 60 km/h > 60 km/h > 40 to ≤ 50 km/h > 40 to	Separated	Mixed Traffic	•	•	-	-	-	-	Separated	Separated	Separated
B E - - - - D A - D B F - - - - A - D 5 20 sec > 40 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 40 sec - C - F C F - C C - E C F - C C C - E 10 - 15 m ≥ 2 ≥ 2 ≥ 2 ≥ 2 - - - - - B - B	No lane crossed	One lane crossed						One lane crossed	2-stage, LT box		1 lane crossed
B F - - - - - A - D F C > 40 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 40 sec C F C F - C C C - E C F - C C C - E 10 - 15 m ≥ 2 ≥ 2 ≥ 2 - - - - B - B	≤ 40 km/h	> 50 to < 60 km/h						> 40 to ≤ 50 km/h	> 40 to ≤ 50 km/h		> 50 to < 60 km/h
F	В	E	-	-	-	-	-	D	Α	-	D
≤ 20 sec > 40 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 20 sec ≤ 40 sec C F C F C C C C C C C C C E L 10 - 15 m 10 - 15 m 10 - 15 m ≥ 2 ≥ 2 - - - - - - - - - B - B	В	F	-	-	-	-	-	-	Α	-	D
- C - F C F - C C - E 10-15 m 10-15 m 10-15 m ≥2 ≥2 ≥2 - - - - - - - B - B	I	F				-			ı	D	
C F E 10-15 m 10-15 m ≥2 ≥2 - - - - B - B		≤ 20 sec		> 40 sec	≤ 20 sec	> 40 sec		≤ 20 sec	≤ 20 sec		≤ 40 sec
10-15 m 10-15 m ≥2 ≥2 = 2 B - B	-	С	-	F	С	F	-	С	С	-	E
B - B	(C				F			ı	E	
B									10 - 15 m		10 - 15 m
									≥2		≥ 2
- В	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	В	-	В
		-				-				В	