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On April 14, 2022, the Province's Bill 109 received Royal Assent providing legislative direction to implement the More Homes for Everyone Act, 2022 aiming to increase the supply of a range of housing options to make housing more affordable. Revisions have been made to the TIA guidelines to comply with Bill 109 and streamline the process for applicants and staff.
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$\nabla$
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is either transportation engineeringor transportation planning.
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared in support of a Site Plan application for a proposed development at 2006 Scott Street, 2020 Scott Street, 2026 Scott Street, 314 Athlone Avenue, 316 Athlone Avenue, and 318 Athlone Avenue.

The subject site is surrounded by the following:

- Scott Street and the OC Transpo East-West Transitway to the north;
- Ashton Avenue, Lion's Park, and residential properties fronting Athlone Avenue to the south;
- Athlone Avenue and residential uses to the east; and
- Various existing low-rise retail uses along Scott Street to the west. A residential development is proposed at 2050 Scott Street, directly abutting the subject site to the west.

The property at 2026 Scott Street is currently occupied by the Granite Curling Club, which will be relocated to 2740 Queensview Drive. The site is currently served by an existing full-movement access to Scott Street and a rear access at the eastern terminus of Ashton Avenue.

The property at 2020 Scott Street was previously occupied by a used car dealer, with access to Scott Street. The property at 2006 Scott Street was previously occupied by a retail store, with access to Scott Street. The property at 314 Athlone Avenue is currently being used as an office space, while the properties at 316 and 318 Athlone Avenue are residential homes. All existing buildings on-site will be demolished as part of this application.

The subject site is designated as 'Corridor - Mainstreet' (Scott Street) in Schedule B2 of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan and zoned as 'Traditional Mainstreet' (TM[2829]). The original TIA in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment application for this development was submitted in April 2022 and resubmitted in September 2022 (City Application No. D02-02-22-0037).

The proposed development consists of two 40-storey towers with a total of 856 dwelling units and approximately $3,207 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of ground-floor commercial space. Phase 1 of the development includes the East Building, which consists of 392 dwellings and $1,287 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial space. Phase 2 of the development includes the West Building, which consists of 464 dwellings and $1,920 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial space. An underground parking garage with a total of 313 parking spaces will be provided beneath the entire development. The development will be accessed via one fullmovement driveway to Athlone Avenue, which will be the only access constructed as part of Phase 1. One full-movement driveway to Scott Street will be constructed as part of Phase 2. The parking garage will be constructed in two phases, but the two phases will not be separated once complete (i.e. vehicles will be able to access any parking area from either driveway). Buildout of Phase 1 is anticipated to occur in 2026 and buildout of Phase 2 is anticipated to occur in 2029.

The study area intersections include the proposed accesses and the intersections of Scott Street/ Churchill Avenue, Scott Street/Winona Avenue, Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, Scott Street/ Tweedsmuir Avenue, and Scott Street/McRae Avenue. This study area is consistent with the City's TIA Guidelines, which outlines that all arterial signalized intersections within 400 m should be included.

The selected time periods for the analysis are the weekday AM and PM peak hours, as they represent the 'worst case' combination of site generated traffic and adjacent street traffic. Analysis will be completed for the Phase 1 build-out year (2026) and 5 -year horizon (2031). Phase 2 is assumed to be built-out prior to the five-year horizon of Phase 1. Due to the extended build-out time frame for Phase 2, this report will forego the five-year horizon beyond Phase 2.

The conclusions and recommendations of this TIA can be summarized as follows:

## Forecasting

- The ultimate proposed development is projected to generate 380 person trips (including 51 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and 366 person trips (including 55 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.
- Accounting for the existing development, the ultimate proposed development is projected to generate an additional 341 person trips (including 20 additional vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and an additional 319 person trips (but four fewer vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.


## Development Design and Parking

- Sidewalks will be maintained along the subject site's frontages to Scott Street and Athlone Avenue, and internal walkways will be provided around the perimeter of each building, connecting to the sidewalks on Scott Street and Athlone Avenue. Landscaped walkways and central amenity space will also provide pedestrian connectivity between Scott Street, Athlone Avenue, Ashton Avenue, and the Lion's Park land to the immediate south of the subject site.
- A total of 918 bicycle parking spaces are proposed within the underground parking garage or on the ground floor.
- The proposed development will remove the two existing accesses to Scott Street and provide one new access, as part of Phase 2. In the event that the new access is constructed prior to the decommissioning of the temporary bus detour along Scott Street, a relocation of the temporary transitway platform by 7 m to the east will be required to accommodate the proposed access. City staff have advised that the required modifications to the transitway platform are to be constructed as part of the proposed development.
- All required Transportation Demand Management (TDM)-supportive design and infrastructure measures in the TDM checklist for residential developments will be met.
- Garbage rooms will be located on the first level of the underground parking garage, and move-in rooms are located on the ground floor of each building. For the east building, the move-in room will be accessed at the south face, adjacent to the parking garage ramp. Garbage collection will occur curbside along Athlone Avenue near the parking garage access. For the west building, the move-in room will be accessed at the west face. Garbage collection will occur curbside along Scott Street.
- There is no proposed on-site fire route for either building, as the main entrances to each building will front onto Scott Street.
- The proposed development will meet the minimum vehicle parking, maximum vehicle parking, and minimum bicycle parking requirements outlined in the City's Zoning By-Law (ZBL). There is no requirement to provide any loading spaces under the ZBL.


## Boundary Streets

- The results of the segment MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:
- Neither boundary street meets the target pedestrian level of service (PLOS) A;
- Scott Street meets the target bicycle level of service (BLOS) A, while Athlone Avenue does not meet the target BLOS D;
- Scott Street does not meet the target transit level of service (TLOS) A;
- Scott Street meets the target truck level of service (TkLOS) D.
- Scott Street cannot achieve the target PLOS A on either side of the roadway without reducing the operating speed to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or slower, based on the existing traffic volumes.
- Athlone Avenue can achieve the target PLOS A and BLOS A through a reduction in the operating speed of the roadway to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The planned integrated renewal of Athlone Avenue is anticipated to include traffic calming to achieve this operating speed.


## Access Intersections

- Access to the proposed underground parking garage will be provided via one fullmovement driveway to Athlone Avenue, and one full-movement driveway to Scott Street. Access to the loading area for the east building will be provided via the proposed access to Athlone Avenue, and access to the loading area for the west building will be provided via the proposed access to Scott Street. Only the Athlone Avenue access will be constructed as part of the first phase, and the Scott Street access will be constructed as part of the second phase.
- The design of the proposed accesses have been evaluated using the relevant provisions of the City's Private Approach By-Law (PABL) and Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)'s Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads. The proposed accesses will meet all relevant requirements, except for the following.
- Section 25(c) of the PABL identifies a maximum width requirement of 9 m for any two-way private approach, as measured at the street line. Section 107(1)(a) of the ZBL requires any two-way private approach serving an apartment parking garage with 20 or more parking spaces to have a minimum width of 6.0 m and a maximum width of 6.7 m . The proposed access to Athlone Avenue will have an overall width of approximately 7.0 m at the street line, and the proposed access to Scott Street will have an overall width of approximately 11.5 m at the street line. The underground parking garage ramps will be approximately 6.0 m to 6.5 m in width, meeting the requirements of Section 107(1)(a) of the ZBL, but the increased access widths are required to also facilitate loading and delivery trucks at the loading spaces for each building. It is requested that the requirements of Section 25(c) of the PABL be waived for the proposed accesses.
- Based on Section 25(m)(ii) of the PABL, the nearest edge of any private approach that serves 300 or more parking spaces must be a minimum of 60 m from the nearest intersecting street line or any other private approach, when it is a residential development within 46 m of an arterial roadway. The minimum requirement is not met by the proposed underground garage access to Athlone Avenue, as less than 60 m of frontage is provided on that roadway. The site plan shows the garage access to Athlone Avenue will be located approximately 44 m south of Scott Street, and approximately as far south as possible.
- Based on Section $25(\mathrm{p})$ of the PABL, the nearest edge of any private approach must be a minimum of 3 m from the adjacent property line. Section $25(\mathrm{r})$ suggests that a private approach may be constructed within 3 m from the adjacent property line if it is approved through Site Plan Control. The western edge of the proposed loading access to Scott Street will be located approximately 1.0 m from the nearest property line. The adjacent site at 2050 Scott Street is currently under construction. As the future access to this development will be located approximately 5.75 m from the property line, it is requested that this requirement be waived for the proposed access to Scott Street. The southern edge of the proposed access to Athlone Avenue will be located approximately 1.7 m from the nearest property line. As the existing driveway to the adjacent house at 322 Athlone Avenue is located approximately 5.0 m from the property line, it is requested that this requirement also be waived for the proposed access to Athlone Avenue.
- Section 25(u) of the PABL identifies that a maximum grade of $2 \%$ to $6 \%$ for the first 9 m inside the property line, for any private approach serving a parking area with more than 50 parking spaces. The Athlone Avenue access does not meet this requirement, as it will have a proposed maximum grade of $6.6 \%$ (descending towards the roadway for drainage purposes) for the first 6 m within the property line, followed by a flat area before transitioning down to the parking garage. As the access will have a downgrade toward the roadway, drivers' sightlines to pedestrians are not anticipated to be impacted. Therefore, a waiver to this requirement of the PABL is requested for the Athlone Avenue access. The Scott Street access meets this requirement, as it will have a proposed maximum grade of 2.6\% for the first 4 m within the property line and the garage door, followed by a 5 m flat area within the building.
- As Athlone Avenue and Scott Street are straight and generally level roadways, adequate sightlines can be provided at both proposed access locations. In the interim condition, it is anticipated that OC Transpo buses stopped at the temporary eastbound platform will periodically obscure outbound drivers at the access to Scott Street. Sightlines will therefore improve at this access when the platform is decommissioned.
- Providing vehicular access to both Scott Street and Athlone Avenue are recommended, based on the overall size and density of the site. This provides future users with an alternative route should an accident occur along Athlone Avenue, or in the event that there are operational issues with one of the garage doors. The subject site is very large (approximately $6,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in area), and spans over 100 m of frontage on Scott Street. The proposed development will contain two buildings with 856 dwellings, approximately 3,207 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ GFA of ground-floor commercial or retail space, and 313 parking spaces in an underground garage.
- The proposed accesses are anticipated to operate with an acceptable vehicular level of service (Auto LOS).


## Transportation Demand Management

- The proponent will provide the following residential TDM measures:
- Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
- Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances;
- Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent;
- Provide a multi-modal travel information package to new residents.
- The subject site is across Scott Street from a future LRT station. Providing limited parking near transit stations act as a strong incentive for residents, visitors, and patrons of the proposed development to travel to/from the site via transit. Further, a total of 918 bicycle parking spaces are proposed, equating to 1.07 bicycle spaces per unit and exceeding the minimum requirements of the ZBL.


## Neighbourhood Traffic Management

- Based on the existing traffic count data at Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, the two-way peak hour traffic volumes on Athlone Avenue are approximately 62 vehicles during the AM peak hour and 72 vehicles during the PM peak hour, and the average annual daily traffic is approximately 740 vehicles.
- Phase 1 of the proposed development represents the highest traffic generator on Athlone Avenue, as all site-generated trips will enter and exit the site via the Athlone Avenue access. Phase 1 is anticipated to increase peak hour traffic volumes on Athlone Avenue by approximately ten vehicles south of the proposed access, and approximately 16 to 17 vehicles north of the proposed access. Therefore, the NTM thresholds are not anticipated to be met in the future as a result of this development, and no Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM) measures are identified.


## Transit

- Phase 1 of the proposed development is projected to generate a net additional 93 transit trips during the AM peak hour and 89 transit trips during the PM peak hour.
- The ultimate proposed development is projected to generate a net additional 208 transit trips during the AM peak hour and 206 transit trips during the PM peak hour.
- The need for more frequent service on the future LRT, or existing routes $16,50,81$, and 153 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.


## Intersection MMLOS

- The results of the intersection MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:
- No study area intersections meet the target PLOS;
- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue meet the target BLOS, while Scott Street/Athlone

Avenue and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue do not;

- No study area intersections meet the target TLOS;
- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue does not meet the target TkLOS.
- No approaches at any study area intersection achieves the target PLOS A. Without reducing the crossing width to an equivalent of two 3.5 m -wide lanes (i.e. 7.0 m or less), the target PLOS A cannot be achieved. Therefore, no recommendations are identified.
- The south and east approaches do not meet the target BLOS A based on left turn characteristics. The south approach consists of a single lane and is stop-controlled, and left-turning cyclists from this approach can queue with vehicles to make their left turn. For cyclists at the east approach, the target BLOS A can only be met by providing a two-stage, left-turn bike box. However, a bicycle signal would be required, as the existing intersection is only an intersection pedestrian signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.
- The south and east approaches do not meet the target BLOS A based on left turn characteristics. The south approach consists of a single lane and is stop-controlled, and left-turning cyclists from this approach can queue with vehicles to make their left turn. For cyclists at the east approach, a bicycle signal would be required, as the existing intersection is only an intersection pedestrian signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.
- The target TLOS A equates to grade-separated ROW for transit facilities. This is addressed by the planned extension of the Confederation Line LRT, which will serve the study area at Westboro Station.
- As Scott Street and Churchill Avenue are truck routes, trucks are required to perform northbound right turns and westbound left turns at this intersection. A compound curve has been implemented at this corner to accommodate the northbound right turn movement for heavy vehicles. Therefore, no recommendations are identified.


## Existing Traffic Operations

- All approaches within the study area meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transitonly approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue. It is noted that this approach is currently closed due to Stage 2 LRT construction, and that bus operations at this station may be reduced once Westboro Station is served by LRT, resulting in improved operations at this approach. Further, when the pedestrian phase is actuated at this intersection, southbound buses utilizing this approach would be able to turn right onto Scott Street unimpeded, or turn left onto Scott Street once pedestrians had completed their crossing.


## Background Traffic Operations

- After the addition of background traffic volumes, all approaches within the study area continues to meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transit-only approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue.


## Total Traffic Operations

- After the addition of site-generated traffic volumes, all approaches within the study area continues to meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transit-only approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue. The addition of site-generated traffic is anticipated to have marginal effects on traffic operations within the study area.
- The proposed development is recommended from a transportation perspective.


### 1.0 SCREENING

### 1.1 Introduction

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared in support of a Site Plan application for a proposed development at 2006 Scott Street, 2020 Scott Street, 2026 Scott Street, 314 Athlone Avenue, 316 Athlone Avenue, and 318 Athlone Avenue.

The subject site is surrounded by the following:

- Scott Street and the OC Transpo East-West Transitway to the north;
- Ashton Avenue, Lion's Park, and residential properties fronting Athlone Avenue to the south;
- Athlone Avenue and residential uses to the east; and
- Various existing low-rise retail uses along Scott Street to the west. A residential development is proposed at 2050 Scott Street, directly abutting the subject site to the west.

A view of the subject site is provided in Figure 1.
The property at 2026 Scott Street is currently occupied by the Granite Curling Club, which will be relocated to 2740 Queensview Drive. The site is currently served by an existing full-movement access to Scott Street and a rear access at the eastern terminus of Ashton Avenue.

The property at 2020 Scott Street was previously occupied by a used car dealer, with access to Scott Street. The property at 2006 Scott Street was previously occupied by a retail store, with access to Scott Street. The property at 314 Athlone Avenue is currently being used as an office space, while the properties at 316 and 318 Athlone Avenue are residential homes. All existing buildings on-site will be demolished as part of this application.

### 1.2 Proposed Development

The subject site is designated as 'Corridor - Mainstreet' (Scott Street) in Schedule B2 of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan and zoned as 'Traditional Mainstreet' (TM[2829]). The original TIA in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment application for this development was submitted in April 2022 and resubmitted in September 2022 (City Application No. D02-02-22-0037).

The proposed development consists of two 40-storey towers with a total of 856 dwelling units and approximately $3,207 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of ground-floor commercial space. Phase 1 of the development includes the East Building, which consists of 392 dwellings and $1,287 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial space. Phase 2 of the development includes the West Building, which consists of 464 dwellings and $1,920 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial space. An underground parking garage with a total of 313 parking spaces will be provided beneath the entire development. The development will be accessed via one fullmovement driveway to Athlone Avenue, which will be the only access constructed as part of Phase 1. One full-movement driveway to Scott Street will be constructed as part of Phase 2. The parking garage will be constructed in two phases, but the two phases will not be separated once complete (i.e. vehicles will be able to access any parking area from either driveway). Buildout of Phase 1 is anticipated to occur in 2026 and buildout of Phase 2 is anticipated to occur in 2029.

A copy of the site plan is included in Appendix A. A site context plan, which includes the site plan and shows all details of the roadway network immediately surrounding the site, is included in Figure 2.

Figure 1: View of the Subject Site


### 1.3 Screening Form

The City's TIA Guidelines identify three triggers for completing a TIA report, including trip generation, location, and safety. The criteria for each trigger are outlined in the City's TIA Screening Form. The trigger results are as follows:

- Trip Generation Trigger - The development is anticipated to generate over 60 peak hour person trips; further assessment is required based on this trigger.
- Location Trigger - The development is located in a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) Zone (within 600 m of Westboro and Dominion Transit Stations) and a Design Priority Area (DPA); further assessment is required based on this trigger.
- Safety Trigger - The development proposes a new driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal; further assessment is required based on this trigger.

The proposed development satisfies all three triggers for completing a TIA. A copy of the TIA Screening Form is included in Appendix B.


### 2.0 SCOPING

### 2.1 Existing Conditions

### 2.1.1 Roadways

All roadways within the study area fall under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa.
Scott Street is classified as an arterial roadway east of Churchill Avenue, and a local roadway west of Churchill Avenue. It runs on an east-west alignment from Bayview Station Road/Albert Street to Churchill Avenue. Transit vehicles are able to travel on a temporary detour route west of Churchill Avenue, which connects to the Sir John A. Macdonald Parkway. East of Churchill Avenue, Scott Street has a two-lane semi-urban cross section with a curb/sidewalk on the south side. On-street parking is not permitted on Scott Street within the study area. Scott Street has a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. East of Churchill Avenue, it is also designated as a truck route, permitting full loads. The Official Plan reserves a 26 m right-of-way (ROW) for Scott Street; a widening is required as part of this application.

Churchill Avenue is classified as a major collector roadway between Carling Avenue and Richmond Road, an arterial roadway between Richmond Road and Scott Street, a collector roadway between Scott Street and Lanark Avenue, and a local roadway north of Lanark Avenue. It runs on a north-south alignment between Carling Avenue and north of Ferndale Avenue. In the vicinity of the subject site, Churchill Avenue has a two-lane undivided urban cross section with a regulatory speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Churchill Avenue is designated as a truck route between Carling Avenue and Scott Street, permitting full loads. Parking bays are provided and on-street parking is permitted on both sides of Churchill Avenue between Richmond Road and Scott Street.

Winona Avenue is a north-south local roadway that runs from Scott Street to Richmond Road. The roadway has a two-lane undivided urban cross-section with a posted speed limit of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. On-street parking is permitted along the west side of the roadway.

Ashton Avenue is an east-west local roadway that runs from Winona Avenue to the rear of the subject site. The roadway has a two-lane undivided cross-section with a regulatory speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. On-street parking is permitted along the north side of the roadway.

Athlone Avenue is a north-south local roadway that runs from Scott Street to Clare Gardens Park. Within the study area, the roadway has a two-lane undivided semi-urban cross-section, sidewalks on the west side, and a regulatory speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. On-street parking is permitted along both sides of the roadway. Athlone Avenue is not designated as a truck route, and 'No Heavy Trucks' (Rb-62) signage is provided at Scott Street. The City’s Official Plan does not reserve any additional ROW protections for Athlone Avenue.

Tweedsmuir Avenue is a north-south local roadway that runs from Scott Street to Currell Avenue. Within the study area, the roadway has a two-lane undivided urban cross-section, sidewalks on the east side, and a regulatory speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. On-street parking is permitted along the west side of the roadway. Tweedsmuir Avenue is not designated as a truck route, and 'No Heavy Trucks' (Rb-62) signage is provided at Scott Street.

McRae Avenue is a north-south local roadway that runs from Scott Street to Richmond Road. The roadway has a two-lane undivided urban cross-section, sidewalks on both sides, and a regulatory speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. Parking is restricted on both sides. McRae Avenue is a restricted loads truck route.

The roadway network of the greater area surrounding the subject site is illustrated in Figure 3.
Figure 3: Roadway Network


### 2.1.2 Intersections

## Scott Street/Churchill Avenue

- Signalized intersection
- North approach consists of one shared left turn/ through/right turn lane
- South approach consists of one shared left turn/ through lane and one right turn lane (right turns on red prohibited)
- East approach consists of one left turn lane and one shared through/right turn lane
- West approach consists of one shared through/ right turn lane (left turns prohibited)
- Ladder crosswalks/crossrides on all approaches
- Cycle tracks on east and west approaches



## Scott Street/Winona Avenue

- Unsignalized, with stop control on the minor approach (Winona Avenue)
- One shared lane on all approaches
- Ladder crosswalk/crossride on south approach
- Cycle tracks on east and west approaches



## Scott Street/Athlone Avenue

- Unsignalized, with stop control on the minor approach (Athlone Avenue)
- Intersection pedestrian signal is provided on the west approach
- One shared lane on south and west approaches (northbound left turn movement is prohibited)
- East approach consists of one shared left turn/ through lane and one transit-only through lane
- Ladder crosswalks on south and west approaches
- Crossride on south approach
- Cycle tracks on east/west approaches



## Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue

- Unsignalized, with stop control on the minor approach (Tweedsmuir Avenue)
- Intersection pedestrian signal is provided on the east approach
- One shared lane on south and west approaches
- East approach consists of one shared left turn/ through lane and one transit-only through lane
- Ladder crosswalks on south and east approaches
- Crossride on south approach
- Cycle tracks on east/west approaches



## Scott Street/McRae Avenue

- Unsignalized, with stop control on the minor approach (McRae Avenue)
- One shared lane on all approaches
- Cycle tracks on east/west approaches



### 2.1.3 Driveways

In accordance with the City's TIA Guidelines, a review of adjacent driveways along the boundary roads (within 200 m of the subject site) are provided as follows:

## Ashton Avenue, North Side:

- Two driveways to the residential building at 295 Ashton Avenue
- Four driveways to the residential dwellings at 297/299, 301, 305, and 307 Ashton Avenue


## Athlone Avenue, East Side:

- One driveway to the parking lot serving the apartment building at 2000 Scott Street
- Thirteen driveways to residential dwellings at 315, 317, 319, 327, 329/331, 333, 335, 341, 345, 347, 349, 353, and 357 Athlone Avenue

Ashton Avenue, South Side:

- Four driveways to the residential dwellings at 294/298, 300, 302, and 306 Ashton Avenue


## Athlone Avenue, West Side:

- Ten driveways to residential dwellings at 322, 326, 330, 334, 338, 342, 346, 350, 354, and 358 Athlone Avenue


## Scott Street, North Side:

- None


## Scott Street, South Side:

- Two gated accesses to the vacant land at 2070 Scott Street (to be developed)
- One driveway to the garage at 2046 Scott Street (to be redeveloped)
- One driveway to the hot tub/sauna store at 2050 Scott Street (to be redeveloped)
- Access to the parking area for a moving company at 1994 Scott Street


### 2.1.4 Pedestrian and Cycling Facilities

Within the study area, sidewalks are currently provided on both sides of Scott Street, both sides of Churchill Avenue, the west side of Athlone Avenue, the east side of Tweedsmuir Avenue, and both sides of McRae Avenue. A pedestrian crossover is located mid-block on McRae Avenue, approximately 70 m south of Scott Street. Intersection pedestrian signals are provided along Scott Street, east of Tweedsmuir Avenue and west of Athlone Avenue, providing easy pedestrian access to the Westboro Transit Station.

Cycle tracks are provided along both sides for Scott Street east of Churchill Avenue. West of Churchill Avenue, an asphalt multi-use pathway (MUP) is provided along the south side of Scott Street and the temporary transitway detour. The cycle tracks on the north side provides connectivity to Tunney's Pasture Station and the MUP system along Sir John A. Macdonald Parkway to the east, and the cycle tracks/MUP on the south side provides connectivity to Dominion Station to the west.

Scott Street and Churchill Avenue (south of Scott Street) are designated as Spine Routes in the City's Ultimate Cycling Network. Churchill Avenue north of Scott Street is designated as a Local Route. Cross-town Bikeway \#2 runs east-west through the study area and utilizes Scott Street and Churchill Avenue.

### 2.1.5 Area Traffic Management

There are no Area Traffic Management (ATM) studies within the study area that are currently in progress. Seasonal flex-posts are implemented along Churchill Avenue at Roy Duncan Park, north of Workman Avenue.

An integrated renewal of Winona Avenue, Wilmont Avenue, Elmgrove Avenue, and Picton Avenue is scheduled for construction in 2024, and an integrated renewal of Athlone Avenue from Scott Street to Byron Avenue is tentatively scheduled for construction in 2025. These projects will require full reconstruction of these roadways to replace watermain and sewer infrastructure, and traffic calming measures to reduce the operating speed to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ will be incorporated when the roadways are rebuilt.

### 2.1.6 Transit

The locations of OC Transpo bus stops in the vicinity of the subject site are described in Table 1, and are shown in Figure 4. A summary of the various routes which serve the study area is included in Table 2. Detailed route information and an excerpt from the OC Transpo System Map are included in Appendix C.

Table 1: OC Transpo Transit Stops

| Stop | Location | Routes Serviced |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#3012 <br> (Westboro) | North side of Scott Street, between Athlone Avenue <br> and Tweedsmuir Avenue; temporary platforms <br> currently along Scott Street during LRT construction | $16,50,57,61,62,63,64,66,67$, <br> $73,74,75,82,87,153,164,252$, <br> $256,257,258,261,262,263$, <br> $264,265,267,268,282,404$ |
| $\# 4841$ | East side of McRae Avenue, <br> south of Scott Street | 81,153 |
| $\# 4884$ | East side of Churchill Avenue, <br> north of Scott Street | 16,153 |
| $\# 4893$ | West side of McRae Avenue, <br> south of Scott Street | 81,153 |
| $\# 5615$ | West side of Churchill Avenue, <br> north of Scott Street | 16,153 |
| $\# 7379$ | East side of Churchill Avenue, |  |
| south of Scoti Street |  |  |$\quad 50$

Figure 4: OC Transpo Bus Stop Locations


Note: Temporary bus platforms on Scott Street are provided for Westboro Station (stop \#3012) during construction of the Confederation Line LRT extension. The eastbound platform is located along the subject site's frontage, and the westbound platform is located between Athlone Avenue and Tweedsmuir Avenue.

Table 2: OC Transpo Route Information

| Route | From $\leftrightarrow$ To | Frequency |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16 | Main $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture / Westboro | 30 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 50 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Lincoln Fields | 30 minute headways, Mon-Sat |
| 57 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow \mathrm{N}$ Rideau | 30 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 61 | Terry Fox / Stittsville $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture / Gatineau | 20 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 62 | Terry Fox / Stittsville $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 30 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 63 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Briarbrook } \leftrightarrow \\ \text { Tunney's Pasture / Gatineau } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5-10 minute headways during peak periods, 7-days per week, all day service |
| 64 | Morgan's Grant $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 15 minute headways during peak periods, Mon-Fri, all day service |
| 66 | Kanata / Solandt $\leftrightarrow$ Gatineau/Tunney's Pasture | 15 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 67 | Terry Fox / Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Cope | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, all day service |
| 73 | Leikin $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 74 | Nepean Woods $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 30 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 75 | Tunney's Pasture / Gatineau $\leftrightarrow$ Barrhaven Centre / Cambrian | 15 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 81 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Clyde | 30 minute headways, 7 days per week, no evening service on weekends |
| 82 | Lincoln Fields / Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Bayshore | 30 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 87 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Baseline | 15 minute headways, 7 days per week, all day service |
| 153 | Tunney's Pasture / Carlingwood $\leftrightarrow$ Lincoln Fields | 60 minute headways, 7 days per week, select time periods |
| 164 | Hope Side $\leftrightarrow$ Terry Fox | 60 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 252 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Templeford | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 256 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Bridlewood | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 257 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Bridlewood | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 258 | Grandview $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 261 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Stittsville Main | 30-60 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 262 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ West Ridge | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 263 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Stanley Corners | 60 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 264 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Terry Fox | 60 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 265 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Beaverbrook | 60 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 267 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Glen Cairn | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 268 | Tunney's Pasture $\leftrightarrow$ Kanata Lakes | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 282 | Trend-Arlington $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 30 minute headways, Mon-Fri, peak periods only |
| 404 | Canadian Tire Centre $\leftrightarrow$ Tunney's Pasture | 5-20 minute headways, only during periods before or after events at the Canadian Tire Centre |

### 2.1.7 Existing Traffic Volumes

Weekday traffic counts were completed by the City of Ottawa or for recent TIA studies and have been used to determine the existing pedestrian, cyclist, and vehicular traffic volumes at the study area intersections. All counts were conducted prior to the Scott Street detour that was completed in 2022. The traffic counts were completed on the following dates.

## Intersection

- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue
- Scott Street/Winona Avenue
- Scott Street/Athlone Avenue
- Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue
- Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue
- Scott Street/McRae Avenue

Count Date Source
August 13, $2019 \quad$ (City Count)
October 16, 2019 (City Count)
November 22, 2017 (City Count)
March 28, $2017 \quad$ (City Count)
July 18, 2019 (2020 TIA, 320 McRae Ave)
July 18, 2019 ( 2020 TIA, 320 McRae Ave)

Existing traffic volumes along the study area roadways are shown in Figure 5. Peak hour summary sheets of the above traffic counts are included in Appendix D.

Peak hour pedestrian/cyclist volumes were not included in the summary sheets for the July 2019 Scott Street/McRae Avenue and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue counts. Peak hour vehicle volumes from the July 2019 count and peak hour pedestrian/cyclist volumes from the March 2017 have been shown at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue.

### 2.1.8 Collision Records

Historical collision data has been obtained from the City's Public Works and Service Department for the study area intersections. Copies of the collision summary report are included in Appendix E.

The collision data has been evaluated to determine if there are any identifiable collision patterns, which are defined in the City's TIA Guidelines as 'more than six collisions in five years for any one movement.' A summary of the number of collisions at each intersection from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2019 is shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Reported Collisions

| Intersection | Impact Types |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Angle | Sideswipe | Rear End | Turning Mvmt | SMV/Other | 6 |  |
| Scott Street/ <br> McRae Avenue | 5 | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | $\mathbf{5}$ |
| Scott Street/ <br> Churchill Avenue | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| Scott Street/ <br> Athlone Avenue | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |
| Scott Street/ <br> Tweedsmuir Avenue | 1 | - | 2 | - | - | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |
| Scott Struet/ <br> Winona Avenue | - | 1 | - | - | - | $\mathbf{1}$ |  |

1. SMV = Single Motor Vehicle

Figure 5: Existing Traffic Volumes


## Scott Street/McRae Avenue

A total of six collisions were reported at this intersection over the course of the last five years. Of these, there were five angle impacts and one rear end collision. Of the five angle impacts, two involved northbound left turning vehicles, two involved northbound right turning vehicles, and one involved an eastbound left turning vehicle. One of the collisions caused injuries, but none caused fatalities.

## Scott Street/Churchill Avenue

A total of five collisions were reported at this intersection over the course of the last five years. Of these, there was one sideswipe impact and four 'other' impacts. Two of the collisions involved a pedestrian. Two of the collisions caused injuries, but none caused fatalities.

## Scott Street/Athlone Avenue

A total of three collisions were reported at this intersection over the course of the last five years. Of these, there was one rear end collision, one turning movement collision, and one 'other' impact. One of the collisions involved a cyclist and one involved a pedestrian. Two of the collisions caused injuries, but none caused fatalities.

## Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue

A total of three collisions were reported at this intersection over the course of the last five years. Of these, there were two rear end collisions and one angle impact. One of the collisions caused injuries, but none caused fatalities.

## Scott Street/Winona Avenue

One collision was reported at this intersection over the course of the last five years. The reported collision was a sideswipe collision and caused property damage only.

### 2.2 Planned Conditions

### 2.2.1 Planned Infrastructure Projects

The City of Ottawa's Transportation Master Plan (TMP) 2031 Affordable Rapid Transit and Transit Priority (RTTP) Network identifies the extension of Light Rail Transit (LRT) to the east, west, and south (Phase 2). Construction for Phase 2 of the LRT (i.e. the Confederation Line Extension West) began in 2019, and is anticipated to be completed in 2025. This project involves extending the western LRT terminus from Tunney's Pasture Station to both Moodie Station and Algonquin College. As part of this project, the Westboro Transit Station will be converted to Westboro LRT Station. The proposed western Confederation Line extension is shown in Figure 6.

During the LRT Phase 2 construction, buses are routed off the existing Transitway onto Scott Street, which has been extended west of Churchill Avenue to Roosevelt Avenue, crossing to the north side of the Transitway on a temporary bridge at Roosevelt Avenue and extended westerly from Workman Avenue to the Sir John A. Macdonald Parkway. This detour is anticipated to be used by buses until 2025 (i.e. the estimated completion time for Phase 2 LRT). It is acknowledged that the temporary Westboro Station platforms on Scott Street may remain in place for a period after rail service begins.

Figure 6: LRT Phase 2 - Confederation Line Extension West
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### 2.2.2 Other Area Developments

A review of the City's Development Application Search Tool has been conducted to identify any developments in the vicinity of the subject site that are being constructed, are approved, or are in the approval process. Other developments in the area are described as follows:

## 335 Roosevelt Avenue

A residential development is proposed at 335 Roosevelt Avenue. The development proposes two high-rise residential buildings with 246 units and two mid-rise residential buildings with 17 units. A TIA report, dated December 2020 and revised March 2022, was prepared by Novatech in support of Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-Law Amendment applications for this site. The estimated date of full occupancy is 2026.

319-327 Richmond Road, 380 Winona Avenue, and 381 Churchill Avenue
A mixed-use development is proposed at 319-327 Richmond Road, 380 Winona Avenue, and 381 Churchill Avenue. This development proposes 184 apartment units and $1,738 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail space. Access is proposed on Churchill Avenue and Winona Avenue. A TIA was prepared by CGH Transportation, dated May 2020, in support of this development. The estimated date of occupancy was 2022.

320 McRae Avenue
A mixed-use development is proposed at 320 McRae Avenue. This development proposes 307 apartment units, 11 townhouses, and $9,494 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial land uses. A TIA, dated January 2020, was prepared by CGH Transportation in support of a Site Plan application for this development. The estimated date of full occupancy was 2022.

## 1946 Scott Street

A residential development is proposed at 1946 Scott Street. This development proposes a 12storey building with approximately 60 apartment units. A TIA was prepared by Parsons, dated August 2017, in support of this development. The estimated date of full occupancy was 2019.

## 1950 Scott Street

A residential development is proposed at 1950 Scott Street. This development proposes a 20storey building with approximately 141 condominium/apartment units. A Transportation Brief, written by Parsons, was submitted in July 2018 in support of this development. The estimated date of full occupancy was 2020.

## 2050 Scott Street

A mixed-use development is proposed directly west of the subject site. The development proposes a 30 -storey residential building on three- and six- storey podiums with approximately 353 units and $233 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of ground floor commercial/office. Access is proposed via Scott Street. A TIA report was prepared by Parsons, dated February 2021, in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment for the proposed development. The estimated date of occupancy was 2021.

## 2070 Scott Street

A mixed-use development is proposed at the southeast corner of the Scott Street/Churchill Avenue intersection. The development proposes a 23-storey tower with 241 units and $5,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of retail. An underground parking garage with access to Winona Avenue is proposed. A TIA was prepared by Stantec, dated November 2019, in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment and Site Plan Control for this development. The estimated date of occupancy was 2022.

### 2.3 Study Area and Time Periods

The study area intersections include the proposed accesses and the intersections of Scott Street/ Churchill Avenue, Scott Street/Winona Avenue, Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, Scott Street/ Tweedsmuir Avenue, and Scott Street/McRae Avenue. This study area is consistent with the City's TIA Guidelines, which outlines that all arterial signalized intersections within 400 m should be included.

The selected time periods for the analysis are the weekday AM and PM peak hours, as they represent the 'worst case' combination of site generated traffic and adjacent street traffic. Analysis will be completed for the Phase 1 build-out year (2026) and 5 -year horizon (2031). Phase 2 is assumed to be built-out prior to the five-year horizon of Phase 1. Due to the extended build-out time frame for Phase 2, this report will forego the five-year horizon beyond Phase 2.

### 2.4 Exemptions Review

This module reviews possible exemptions from the final TIA, as outlined in the TIA Guidelines. The applicable exemptions for this site are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: TIA Exemptions

| Module | Element | Exemption Criteria | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Design Review Component |  |  |  |
| 4.1 <br> Development Design | 4.1.2 <br> Circulation and Access | - Only required for site plans | Not Exempt |
|  | 4.1.3 <br> New Street <br> Networks | - Only required for plans of subdivision | Exempt |
| 4.2 <br> Parking | 4.2.1 <br> Parking Supply | - Only required for site plans | Not Exempt |
|  | 4.2.2 <br> Spillover <br> Parking | - Only required for site plans where parking supply is $15 \%$ below unconstrained demand | Exempt |
| Network Impact Component |  |  |  |
| 4.5 <br> Transportation Demand Management | All elements | - Not required for site plans expected to have fewer than 60 employees and/or students on location at any given time | Not Exempt |
| 4.6 <br> Neighbourhood Traffic Management | 4.6.1 <br> Adjacent <br> Neighbourhoods | - Only required when the development relies on local or collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds | Not Exempt |
| 4.8 <br> Network <br> Concept | All elements | - Only required when proposed development generates more than 200 person-trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by the established zoning | Exempt |

The proposed development conforms to the recent rezoning of the subject site, and therefore Module 4.8: Network Concept is exempt from further review. Based on the foregoing, the following modules will be included in the TIA report:

## Design Review Component

- Module 4.1: Development Design
- Module 4.2: Parking
- Module 4.3: Boundary Streets
- Module 4.4: Access Design


## Network Impact Component

- Module 4.5: Transportation Demand Management
- Module 4.6: Neighbourhood Traffic Management
- Module 4.7: Transit
- Module 4.9: Intersection Design


### 3.0 FORECASTING

### 3.1 Development-Generated Travel Demand

### 3.1.1 Trip Generation

## Existing Trip Generation

As discussed in Section 1.1, the subject site has most recently been occupied by the Granite Curling Club (2026 Scott Street), a used car dealership (2020 Scott Street), a retail store (2006 Scott Street), office space (314 Athlone Avenue), and two semi-detached dwellings (316-318 Athlone Avenue). Based on street-level photography, the retail space appears to have been vacant since at least May 2016 and the car dealership appears to have been vacant since at least June 2019. It has been assumed that the buildings at 2006 and 2020 Scott Street are vacant for the purposes of estimating the number of trips generated by the existing uses. In addition, the two semi-detached dwellings are not assumed to generate any peak hour trips. These are both conservative assumptions that reduce the estimated number of existing trips generated.

Trips generated by the existing curling club are based on the number of ice sheets and maximum number of players. The club includes four ice sheets, which can have a maximum of eight players per sheet (consisting of two teams of four). Games are scheduled to be two hours each, starting at 9:00am and running until 11:00pm. Outside of a two-hour game, people are assumed to arrive five to 15 minutes before the scheduled start, and depart up to 30 minutes after finishing to socialize. Therefore, overlap between earlier players departing and later players arriving is assumed to occur every two hours (i.e. at 11:00am, 1:00pm, 3:00pm, 5:00pm, 7:00pm, and $9: 00 \mathrm{pm})$. Based on the above, it has been assumed that AM peak hour trips consist of 32 players arriving for the first game of the day, and PM peak hour trips consist of 32 players arriving for an afternoon game and 32 players departing after playing the previous game.

Trips generated by the existing office space at 314 Athlone Avenue have been estimated using the trip generation rates for the Small Office Building (land use code 712), as outlined in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Using aerial photography, the gross floor area (GFA) of the office space is estimated to be approximately $3,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$. Trips estimated using the ITE Trip Generation Manual have been converted to person trips using an adjustment factor of 1.28, consistent with the City's TIA Guidelines.

The estimated number of person trips generated by the existing curling club and small office space are shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Existing Development - Peak Hour Person Trip Generation

| Land Use | ITE Code | Units/GFA | AM Peak Hour (pph) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | PM Peak Hour (pph) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT | TOT | IN | OUT | TOT |
| Curling Club | - | 4 ice sheets | 32 | - | 32 | 32 | 32 | 64 |
| Small Office Building | 712 | $3,000 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
|  |  | Total | 38 | 1 | 39 | 35 | 38 | 73 |

\author{

1. pph: person trips per hour
}

It is anticipated that most patrons of the curling club arrive and depart in their own personal vehicle, based on Novatech's experience on the proposed development application for the new Granite Curling Club location at 2730 Queensview Drive. Therefore, the assumed mode shares for the curling club are summarized as $85 \%$ auto driver, $5 \%$ auto passenger, $5 \%$ transit, and $5 \%$ pedestrian.

The TRANS Trip Generation Manual Summary Report, prepared in October 2020 by WSP, includes AM peak hour data to estimate the mode shares for employment trip generators, based on location. For the purposes of this analysis, trips generated by the small office space are assumed to generally follow the mode shares of the Ottawa West district, which is summarized as $54 \%$ auto driver, $8 \%$ auto passenger, $28 \%$ transit, $5 \%$ cyclist, and $5 \%$ pedestrian.

A breakdown of the existing trips by modal share is shown in Table 6.
Table 6: Existing Development - Peak Hour Trips by Mode Share

| Travel Mode | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | OUT | TOT | IN | OUT | TOT |
| Curling Club Person Trips | 32 | 0 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 64 |
| Auto Driver $85 \%$ | 27 | - | 27 | 27 | 27 | 54 |
| Auto Passenger 5\% | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Transit 5\% | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Cyclist 0\% | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Pedestrian 5\% | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Small Office Person Trips | 6 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 6 | 9 |
| Auto Driver 54\% | 3 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Auto Passenger 8\% | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Transit 28\% | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Cyclist 5\% | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Pedestrian 5\% | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Total Existing Person Trips | 38 | 1 | 39 | 35 | 38 | 73 |
| Auto Driver | 30 | 1 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 59 |
| Auto Passenger | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Transit | 4 | - | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Cyclist | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Pedestrian | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |

From the previous tables, the existing uses on the subject site are estimated to generate 39 person trips (including 31 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and 73 person trips (including 59 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

## Proposed Residential Trip Generation

The number of person trips generated by the proposed residential dwellings have been estimated using the TRANS Trip Generation Manual, which present peak hour trip generation rates and mode shares for different types of housing for the AM and PM peak periods. The data is divided into rates and mode shares for Single-Family Detached Housing, Low-Rise Multifamily Housing (one or two storeys), and High-Rise Multifamily Housing (three or more storeys). For the HighRise Multifamily Housing land use, the process of converting the trip generation estimates from peak period to peak hour is shown below.

The TRANS Trip Generation Manual identifies the subject site as being located within the Ottawa West district, which has the following observed mode shares for high-rise multifamily housing during the peak hours:

- Auto Driver: $\quad 28 \%$ AM peak, $33 \%$ PM peak;
- Auto Passenger: $11 \%$ AM peak, $11 \%$ PM peak;
- Transit: $41 \%$ AM peak, $26 \%$ PM peak;
- Cyclist: 3\% AM peak, $7 \%$ PM peak;
- Pedestrian: 16\% AM peak, 23\% PM peak.

The subject site is located within a Transit-Oriented Development (TOD) zone. The City has provided target mode shares for any transit-oriented developments, which are the following:

- Auto Driver: 15\% during both peak hours;
- Auto Passenger: $5 \%$ during both peak hours;
- Transit: $65 \%$ during both peak hours;
- Non-Auto: $15 \%$ during both peak hours.

It is assumed that both the proposed residential and commercial uses will generally be consistent to the TOD mode shares with an increase to the pedestrian mode share, reflecting the higher number of pedestrians within the Ottawa West area.

The estimated number of person trips generated by the proposed dwellings for the AM and PM peak periods are shown in Table 7. A breakdown of these trips by modal share is shown in Table 8.

## Table 7: Proposed Residential - Peak Period Trip Generation

| Land Use | TRANS Rate | Units | AM Peak Period (ppp) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | PM Peak Period (ppp) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT | тот | IN | OUT | TOT |
| Phase 1, buildout year 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-Rise Multifamily Housing | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM: } 0.80 \\ & \text { PM: } 0.90 \end{aligned}$ | 392 units | 97 | 217 | 314 | 205 | 148 | 353 |
| Phase 2, buildout year 2029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High-Rise Multifamily Housing | AM: 0.80 PM: 0.90 | 464 units | 115 | 256 | 371 | 242 | 176 | 418 |
|  |  | Total | 212 | 473 | 685 | 447 | 324 | 771 |

[^1]Table 8: Proposed Residential - Peak Period Trips by Mode Share

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak Period |  |  | PM Peak Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IN | OUT | TOT | IN | OUT | TOT |
| Phase 1 Person Trips |  | 97 | 217 | 314 | 205 | 148 | 353 |
| Auto Driver | 15\% | 15 | 32 | 47 | 31 | 22 | 53 |
| Auto Passenger | 5\% | 5 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| Transit | 55\% | 53 | 119 | 172 | 113 | 81 | 194 |
| Cyclist | 5\% | 5 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 8 | 18 |
| Pedestrian | 20\% | 19 | 44 | 63 | 41 | 29 | 70 |
| Phase 2 Person Trips |  | 115 | 256 | 371 | 242 | 176 | 418 |
| Auto Driver | 15\% | 17 | 39 | 56 | 36 | 27 | 63 |
| Auto Passenger | 5\% | 6 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| Transit | 55\% | 63 | 142 | 205 | 133 | 97 | 230 |
| Cyclist | 5\% | 6 | 12 | 18 | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| Pedestrian | 20\% | 23 | 51 | 74 | 49 | 34 | 83 |
| Auto Driver (Total) |  | 32 | 71 | 103 | 67 | 49 | 116 |
| Auto Passenger (Total) |  | 11 | 23 | 34 | 22 | 17 | 39 |
| Transit (Total) |  | 116 | 261 | 377 | 246 | 178 | 424 |
| Cyclist (Total) |  | 11 | 23 | 34 | 22 | 17 | 39 |
|  |  | 42 | 95 | 137 | 90 | 63 | 153 |

Table 4 of the TRANS Trip Generation Manual includes adjustment factors to convert the estimated number of trips generated for each mode from peak period to peak hour. A breakdown of the peak hour trips by mode is shown in Table 9.

Table 9: Proposed Residential - Peak Hour Trips by Mode Share

| Travel Mode | Adj. Factor ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM | PM | IN | OUT | TOT | IN | OUT | TOT |
| Auto Driver | 0.48 | 0.44 | 7 | 16 | 23 | 14 | 10 | 24 |
| Auto Passenger | 0.48 | 0.44 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 8 |
| Transit | 0.55 | 0.47 | 29 | 66 | 95 | 53 | 38 | 91 |
| Cyclist | 0.58 | 0.48 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Pedestrian | 0.58 | 0.52 | 11 | 25 | 36 | 21 | 15 | 36 |
| Phase 1 Person Trips |  |  | 52 | 118 | 170 | 98 | 70 | 168 |
| Auto Driver | 0.48 | 0.44 | 8 | 18 | 26 | 16 | 12 | 28 |
| Auto Passenger | 0.48 | 0.44 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| Transit | 0.55 | 0.47 | 35 | 77 | 112 | 63 | 45 | 108 |
| Cyclist | 0.58 | 0.48 | 3 | 7 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 10 |
| Pedestrian | 0.58 | 0.52 | 13 | 30 | 43 | 25 | 18 | 43 |
| Phase 2 Person Trips |  |  | 62 | 138 | 200 | 115 | 83 | 198 |
| Auto Driver | (Phase 1+2) |  | 15 | 34 | 49 | 30 | 22 | 52 |
| Auto Passenger | (Phase 1+2) |  | 5 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 17 |
| Transit | (Phase 1+2) |  | 64 | 143 | 207 | 116 | 83 | 199 |
| Cyclist | (Phase 1+2) |  | 6 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 19 |
| Pedestrian | (Phase 1+2) |  | 24 | 55 | 79 | 46 | 33 | 79 |
| Total Proposed Person Trips |  |  | 114 | 256 | 370 | 213 | 153 | 366 |

From the previous table, the proposed Phase 1 residences are estimated to generate 170 person trips (including 23 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour and 168 person trips (including 24 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour. At full buildout, the proposed residences are estimated to generate 370 person trips (including 49 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour and 366 person trips (including 52 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

## Proposed Commercial Trip Generation

The number of person trips generated by the proposed ground-floor commercial/retail units has been estimated using the trip generation rates in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition, corresponding to the Strip Retail Plaza (code 822) land use. Trips estimated using the ITE Trip Generation Manual have been converted to person trips using an adjustment factor of 1.28, consistent with the City's TIA Guidelines. As discussed prior, it assumed that the proposed commercial trips will follow the same mode shares as the proposed residential trips.

The estimated number of person trips generated by the proposed commercial uses are shown in Table 10, and broken down by mode share in Table 11.

Table 10: Proposed Commercial - Peak Hour Trip Generation

| Land Use | ITE Code | Area | AM Peak Hour (pph) ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | PM Peak Hour (pph) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IN | OUT | тот | IN | OUT | тот |
| Phase 1, buildout year 2026 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strip Retail Plaza | 822 | 1,287 ft ${ }^{2}$ | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Phase 2, buildout year 2029 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Strip Retail Plaza | 822 | 1,920 ft ${ }^{2}$ | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
|  |  | Total | 7 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 26 |

1. pph: person trips per peak hour

Table 11: Proposed Commercial - Peak Hour Trips by Mode Share

| Travel Mode | Mode Share | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | IN | OUT | TOT | IN | OUT | тот |
| Phase 1 | Person Trips | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Auto Driver | 15\% | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Auto Passenger | 5\% | - | - | 0 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Transit | 55\% | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Cyclist | 5\% | - | - | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Pedestrian | 20\% | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Phase 2 | Person Trips | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 16 |
| Auto Driver | 15\% | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Auto Passenger | 5\% | - | - | 0 | - | 1 | 1 |
| Transit | 55\% | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| Cyclist | 5\% | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| Pedestrian | 20\% | - | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Auto Driver | (Phase 1+2) | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Auto Passenger | (Phase 1+2) | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Transit | (Phase 1+2) | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 14 |
| Cyclist | (Phase 1+2) | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Pedestrian | (Phase 1+2) | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Total Proposed | Person Trips | 7 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 13 | 26 |

From the previous table, the proposed Phase 1 ground-floor commercial spaces are estimated to generate four person trips (including one vehicle trip) during the AM peak hour and ten person trips (including one vehicle trip) during the PM peak hour. At full buildout, the proposed groundfloor commercial spaces are estimated to generate ten person trips (including two vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour and 26 person trips (including three vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

## Net Trip Generation

To determine the estimated net number of new trips generated by the proposed development, the existing trip generation estimates shown in Table 6 have been subtracted from the proposed trip generation estimates shown in Table 9 and Table 11. The results of this calculation are presented in Table 12.

Table 12: Net Person Trip Generation

| Travel Mode | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IN | OUT | TOT | IN | OUT | TOT |
| Existing Trips | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |
| Auto Driver | 30 | 1 | 31 | 29 | 30 | 59 |
| Auto Passenger | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| Transit | 4 | - | 4 | 3 | 4 | 7 |
| Cyclist | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Pedestrian | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Proposed Residential Trips | $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 6}$ |
| Auto Driver | 15 | 34 | 49 | 30 | 22 | 52 |
| Auto Passenger | 5 | 11 | 16 | 10 | 7 | 17 |
| Transit | 64 | 143 | 207 | 116 | 83 | 199 |
| Cyclist | 6 | 13 | 19 | 11 | 8 | 19 |
| Pedestrian | 24 | 55 | 79 | 46 | 33 | 79 |
| Proposed Commercial Trips | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ |
| Auto Driver | 2 | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 |
| Auto Passenger | - | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Transit | 3 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 8 | 14 |
| Cyclist | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| Pedestrian | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 5 |
| Net Additional Person Trips | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 1 9}$ |
| Auto Driver | -13 | 33 | 20 | 3 | -7 | -4 |
| Auto Passenger | 2 | 11 | 13 | 9 | 5 | 14 |
| Transit | 63 | 145 | 208 | 119 | 87 | 206 |
| Cyclist | 7 | 13 | 20 | 12 | 9 | 21 |
| Pedestrian | 24 | 56 | 80 | 48 | 34 | 82 |

From the previous table, the ultimate proposed development is projected to generate an additional 341 person trips (including 20 additional vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and an additional 319 person trips (but four fewer vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.

While it is probable that some trips generated by the proposed development will be internally captured (i.e. residents may travel between their dwelling and commercial units on the ground floor), it has conservatively been assumed that all site-generated trips are external to the study area. Similarly, it is assumed that the ground-floor commercial units will not generate any passby trips, as all parking spaces on-site will be located within an underground parking garage.

### 3.1.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The assumed distribution of trips generated by the existing and proposed developments have been derived from existing traffic patterns within the study area and logical trip routing. Different distributions have been assumed for the existing curling club, existing office space, proposed residences, and proposed commercial, as described below.

## Existing Curling Club

Site-generated curling trips have been distributed based on the two-way off-peak traffic patterns of the study area, as trips to/from the curling club are not anticipated to follow the commuter traffic patterns observed during the AM and PM peak hour. The assumed trip distribution for the existing curling club can be summarized as follows:

- $10 \%$ to/from the north via Churchill Avenue;
- $40 \%$ to/from the south via Winona Avenue;
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via McRae Avenue;
- $40 \%$ to/from the east via Scott Street.

All trips to/from the south via Winona Avenue have been assigned to the access on Ashton Avenue, at the back of the curling club. All trips to/from the north via Churchill Avenue, south via McRae Avenue, and east via Scott Street have been assigned to the access on Scott Street.

## Existing Office Space

Site-generated office trips have been distributed based on the traffic patterns associated with the typical commute to/from a place of employment (i.e. inbound trips during the AM peak hour and outbound trips during the PM peak hour). The assumed trip distribution for the existing office building can be summarized as follows:

- $10 \%$ to/from the north via Churchill Avenue;
- $35 \%$ to/from the south via Churchill Avenue;
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via Athlone Avenue;
- $45 \%$ to/from the east via Scott Street.

All trips generated by the existing office space have been assigned to the access on Athlone Avenue.

## Proposed Residential

Site-generated residential trips have been distributed based on the traffic patterns associated with the typical commute to/from home (i.e. outbound trips during the AM peak hour and inbound trips during the PM peak hour). The assumed trip distribution for the proposed development can be summarized as follows:

- $30 \%$ to/from the south via Churchill Avenue;
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via Athlone Avenue;
- $15 \%$ to/from the south via McRae Avenue;
- $45 \%$ to/from the east via Scott Street.

All peak hour trips generated by the Phase 1 residences have been assigned to the Athlone Avenue ramp, as this will be the only garage access constructed as part of Phase 1. At full buildout, the peak hour trips have been re-assigned to the two underground parking garage ramps, as the garage will be one continuous level and all parking spaces will be accessible from either ramp. All trips to/from the south via Athlone Avenue have been assigned to the proposed Athlone Avenue ramp, and all trips to/from the south via Churchill Avenue or McRae Avenue and all trips to/from the east via Scott Street have been assigned to the proposed Scott Street ramp.

## Proposed Commercial

Site-generated commercial trips have been distributed based on the two-way off-peak traffic patterns of the study area. The assumed trip distribution can be summarized as follows:

- $10 \%$ to/from the north via Churchill Avenue;
- $40 \%$ to/from the south via Churchill Avenue;
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via McRae Avenue;
- $40 \%$ to/from the east via Scott Street.

All peak hour trips generated by the proposed commercial units have been assigned to the proposed Athlone Avenue ramp in the Phase 1 year, and all trips have been assigned to the proposed Scott Street ramp at full buildout.

## Volume Figures

Traffic volumes generated by the existing uses are shown in Figure 7.
The new traffic volumes generated by the proposed development in the Phase 1 year 2026 and the horizon year 2031 are shown in Figure 8 and Figure 9, respectively.

The net traffic volumes generated by the subject site in 2026 and 2031 (i.e. the existing sitegenerated traffic is subtracted) are shown in Figure 10 and Figure 11, respectively.

### 3.2 Background Traffic

### 3.2.1 Other Area Developments

Traffic generated by the following proposed developments have been added to the future background volumes. Relevant excerpts from their associated traffic studies are included in Appendix G.

## 335 Roosevelt Avenue

The development proposes 246 high-rise dwellings and 17 mid-rise dwellings. The TIA report, prepared in December 2020 and revised in March 2022 by Novatech, estimated that full buildout of the development would occur in 2026. Therefore, traffic generated by this development has been added to the 2026 and 2031 background volumes.

## 319-327 Richmond Road, 380 Winona Avenue, and 381 Churchill Avenue

The development proposes 184 apartment dwellings and $1,738 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of retail space. The TIA report, prepared in May 2020 by CGH Transportation, estimated that full buildout of the development would occur in 2022. Therefore, traffic generated by this development has been added to the 2026 and 2031 background volumes.

Figure 7: Existing Site-Generated Traffic Volumes


Figure 8: Proposed Site-Generated Traffic Volumes (2026)


Figure 9: Proposed Site-Generated Traffic Volumes (2031)


Figure 10: Net Site-Generated Traffic Volumes (2026)


Figure 11: Net Site-Generated Traffic Volumes (2031)


## 320 McRae Avenue

The development proposes 307 apartment dwellings, 11 townhouses, and 9,494 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial land uses. The TIA report, prepared in January 2020 by CGH Transportation, estimated that full buildout of the development would occur in 2022. Therefore, traffic generated by this development has been added to the 2026 and 2031 background volumes.

## 1950 Scott Street

The development proposes 141 condominium/apartment dwellings. The TIA report, prepared in July 2018 by Parsons, estimated that full buildout of the development would occur in 2020. Therefore, traffic generated by this development has been added to the 2026 and 2031 background volumes.

## 2050 Scott Street

The development proposes 353 apartment dwellings and $233 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of ground floor commercial/office space. The TIA report, prepared in February 2021 by Parsons, estimates that full buildout of the development would occur in 2021. Therefore, traffic generated by this development has been added to the 2026 and 2031 background volumes.

## 2070 Scott Street

The development proposes 241 apartment dwellings and $5,500 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of retail space. The TIA report, prepared in November 2019 by Stantec, estimates that full buildout of the development would occur in 2022. Therefore, traffic generated by this development has been added to the 2026 and 2031 background volumes.

### 3.2.2 General Background Growth Rate

A review of the City's Strategic Long-Range Model has been conducted, comparing snapshots of the 2011 and 2031 AM peak hour traffic volumes. The long-range snapshots are included in Appendix H .

Within the study area, the long-range snapshots identify generally negative growth on Scott Street between 2011 and 2031. It is anticipated that the transit and non-auto infrastructure upgrades along Scott Street, which includes improvements such as the extension of the Confederation Line LRT and cycle tracks along Scott Street, will increase the use of active transportation modes. To maintain a conservative analysis, an annual growth rate of $0 \%$ for vehicular traffic volumes within the study area has been applied, and the traffic volumes generated by the other area developments described in the previous section have been added directly.

### 3.3 Future Traffic Conditions

The figures below present the following future traffic conditions:

- Other area development-generated volumes in 2026 and 2031 are shown in Figure 12;
- Background traffic volumes in 2026 and 2031 are shown in Figure 13;
- Total traffic volumes in 2026 are shown in Figure 14;
- Total traffic volumes in 2031 are shown in Figure 15.

Figure 12: Other Area Development-Generated Traffic Volumes


Figure 13: 2026 and 2031 Background Traffic Volumes


Figure 14: 2026 Total Traffic Volumes


Figure 15: 2031 Total Traffic Volumes


### 3.4 Demand Rationalization

A review of the existing and background intersection operations has been conducted using Synchro 11, to determine if and when traffic volumes exceed capacity within the study area. The intersection parameters used in the analysis are consistent with the City's TIA Guidelines (Saturated Flow Rate: 1,800 vphpl, Peak Hour Factor: 0.9 in existing conditions and 1.0 in future conditions). Signal timing plans for the signalized intersection at Scott Street/Churchill Avenue and signalized pedestrian crossings at Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, and Scott Street/ Tweedsmuir Avenue are included in Appendix I. All study area intersections are within 600 m of a rapid transit station. Per Exhibit 22 of the Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) Guidelines (produced by IBI Group in October 2015), the target vehicular level of service (Auto LOS) at all study area intersections is an Auto LOS E, which equates to a maximum vehicle-to-capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio of 1.00 or maximum approach delay of 50 seconds.

The intersections at Scott Street/Athlone Avenue and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue are fourlegged unsignalized intersections, but include pedestrian-actuated crossing signals at one approach of each intersection. Due to limitations in Synchro, these intersections are modelled as both a two-legged pedestrian-actuated signal and a four-legged unsignalized intersection. This approach has been taken to adequately model the traffic operations for both the major street (Scott Street) and minor streets (Athlone Avenue or Tweedsmuir Avenue).

### 3.4.1 Existing Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the existing traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in Table 11 for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in Appendix J.

Table 13: Existing Traffic Operations

| Intersection |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue |  | 0.90 | D | SBL/T/R | 0.76 | C | NBR |
| Scott Street/Winona Avenue |  | 12 sec | B | NBL/R | 12 sec | B | NBL/R |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue | (1) | 0.45 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.50 | A | WBL/T/R |
|  | (2) | 14 sec | B | NBL/T/R | 14 sec | B | NBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue |  | 0.44 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.44 | A | WBL/T/R |
|  | (2) | 69 sec | F | SBL/T/R | 140 sec | F | SBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/McRae Avenue |  | 17 sec | C | NBL/R | 24 sec | C | NBL/R |

1. Intersection modelled as a two-legged pedestrian crossing; results identify maximum v/c ratio for through traffic on Scott Street
2. Intersection modelled as a side-street stop-controlled intersection; results identify maximum approach delay for side street

From the previous table, all approaches within the study area meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transit-only approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue. It is noted that this approach is currently closed due to Stage 2 LRT construction. Bus operations at Westboro Station may be reduced once the station is served by LRT, resulting in improved operations at the southbound approach. Further, when the pedestrian phase is actuated at this intersection, southbound buses utilizing this approach would be able to turn right onto Scott Street unimpeded, or turn left onto Scott Street once pedestrians had completed their crossing.

### 3.4.2 2026/2031 Background Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2026/2031 background traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in Table 12 for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in Appendix K.

Table 14: 2026/2031 Background Traffic Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue | 0.87 | D | NBR | 0.76 | C | NBR |
| Scott Street/Winona Avenue | 13 sec | B | NBL/R | 13 sec | B | NBL/R |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue | 0.44 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.48 | A | WBL/T/R |
| Scott StreetAthone Avenue (2) | 14 sec | B | NBL/T/R | 14 sec | B | NBL/T/R |
| ott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue (1) | 0.43 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.42 | A | WBL/T/R |
| (2) | 69 sec | F | SBL/T/R | 135 sec | F | SBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/McRae Avenue | 18 sec | C | NBL/R | 25 sec | C | NBL/R |

1. Intersection modelled as a two-legged pedestrian crossing; results identify maximum v/c ratio for through traffic on Scott Street
2. Intersection modelled as a side-street stop-controlled intersection; results identify maximum approach delay for side street

From the previous table, all approaches within the study area continue to meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transit-only approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue.

### 4.0 ANALYSIS

### 4.1 Development Design

### 4.1.1 Design for Sustainable Modes

Sidewalks will be maintained along the subject site's frontages to Scott Street and Athlone Avenue, and internal walkways will be provided around the perimeter of each building, connecting to the sidewalks on Scott Street and Athlone Avenue. Landscaped walkways and central amenity space will also provide pedestrian connectivity between Scott Street, Athlone Avenue, Ashton Avenue, and the Lion's Park land to the immediate south of the subject site.

A total of 918 bicycle parking spaces within the underground parking garage or the ground floor. The total number of bicycle parking spaces will meet the minimum required number of bicycle spaces per the City's ZBL. A review of the minimum requirements outlined in the City's ZBL is included in Section 4.2.

OC Transpo's service design guideline for peak period service is to provide service within a fiveminute ( 400 m ) walk of home, work, or school for $95 \%$ of urban residents. Main entrances to both proposed buildings are anticipated to be within 400 m walking distance of Westboro Station and bus stops on Churchill Avenue and McRae Avenue. These stops are discussed in Section 2.1.6 and shown in Figure 4.

OC Transpo temporary bus stop \#3012 for eastbound buses is located on Scott Street, between the existing eastern access to the subject site and the western access that is currently shared between the neighbouring property and the subject site. The proposed development will remove the two existing accesses to Scott Street and provide one new access, as part of Phase 2. In the event that the new access is constructed prior to the decommissioning of the temporary bus detour along Scott Street, a relocation of the temporary transitway platform by 7 m to the east will be required to accommodate the proposed access. City staff have advised that the required modifications to the transitway platform are to be constructed as part of the proposed development. Relocation of the platform will also include the relocation of bollards at the western end of the platform and directional/attention tactile walking surface indicators (TWSIs) to the new eastern end of the platform, removal of the existing curb depression to bring the platform to full height, and the provision of a standard shelter at the eastern end of the platform. The impacts of the proposed driveway to the temporary bus stop are shown in Figure 16.

A review of the Transportation Demand Management (TDM)-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist has been conducted, and is included in Appendix L. All required TDM-supportive design and infrastructure measures in the TDM checklist for residential developments will be met. In addition to the required measures, it is anticipated that the following 'basic' or 'better' measures will be met:

- Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances;
- Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations;
- Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort;
- Provide safe, direct, and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops.


### 4.1.2 Circulation and Access

Garbage rooms will be located on the first level of the underground parking garage, and move-in rooms are located on the ground floor of each building. For the east building, the move-in room will be accessed at the south face, adjacent to the parking garage ramp. Light Single Unit (LSU)sized vehicles will reverse from Athlone Avenue, across the garage ramp, and into the move-in room. Medium Single Unit (MSU) design vehicles will be able to reverse into the access and unload beyond the garage ramp (i.e. across from the move-in room). Garbage collection will occur curbside along Athlone Avenue near the parking garage access.

For the west building, the move-in room will be accessed at the west face. Garbage collection will occur curbside along Scott Street. LSU-sized vehicles will reverse from Scott Street, down the west face and into the move-in room. MSU design vehicles will reverse and park/unload along the west face. Vehicle turning movements at the proposed loading areas are shown in Figures 17 through 25.

There is no proposed on-site fire route for either building, as the main entrances to each building will front onto Scott Street.











### 4.2 Parking

The subject site is located in Area B of Schedule 1 and Area Y of Schedule 1A of the City's ZBL, and is located within 600 m of a rapid transit station identified in Schedule 2A of the City's ZBL. The minimum vehicular, maximum vehicular, minimum bicycle parking, and minimum loading spaces rates for the proposed development are identified in Sections 101, 102, 103, 111, and 113 of the ZBL.

A review of the proposed parking supply versus the minimum/maximum parking requirements per the City's ZBL are shown in Table 13.

Table 15: Parking Review

| Land Use | Rate | Units | Required | Provided |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Resident and Visitor Vehicle Parking (Section 101/102 of ZBL) |  |  |  |  |
| Apartment, High-Rise | No minimum residential parking rate, per ZBL Urban Exception 2829 | 856 units | $\begin{gathered} 0 \\ \text { (resident) } \end{gathered}$ | 313 |
|  | 0.1 spaces per dwelling unit after the first 12 units and up to a maximum of 30 spaces per building |  | $\begin{gathered} 60 \\ \text { (visitor) } \end{gathered}$ | 60 |
| Retail Store | No minimum retail parking rate, as it is located entirely on the ground floor and is less than $500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 298 m² | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 60 | 373 |
| Maximum Vehicle Parking (Section 103 of ZBL) |  |  |  |  |
| Apartment, High-Rise | 0.6 spaces per dwelling unit, per ZBL Urban Exception 2829 (combined resident and visitor parking) | 856 units | 514 | 373 |
| Retail Store | 3.6 spaces per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 298 m² | 11 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 525 | 373 |
| Minimum Bicycle Parking (Section 111 of ZBL) |  |  |  |  |
| Apartment, High-Rise | 0.5 spaces per dwelling unit | 856 units | 428 | 918 |
| Retail Store | 1.0 space per $250 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ GFA | 298 m² | 1 |  |
|  |  | Total | 429 | 918 |
| Minimum Loading (Section 113 of ZBL) |  |  |  |  |
| Apartment, High-Rise | No spaces required | 856 units | 0 | 0 |
| Retail Store | No spaces required when GFA is less than 2,000 m² | 298 m² | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | Total | 0 | 0 |

Based on the previous table, the proposed development will meet the minimum vehicle parking, maximum vehicle parking, and minimum bicycle parking requirements outlined in the ZBL. There is no requirement to provide any loading spaces under Section 113 of the ZBL.

### 4.3 Boundary Streets

This section provides a review of the boundary streets Scott Street and Athlone Avenue, using complete streets principles. The MMLOS Guidelines, produced by IBI Group in October 2015, were used to evaluate the levels of service for each alternative mode of transportation on the boundary streets. An MMLOS review has been conducted for Scott Street and Athlone Avenue, based on existing conditions.

Based on Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines, the boundary streets have been evaluated using the targets for roadways 'within 600m of a rapid transit station.' A detailed MMLOS review of the boundary streets is included in Appendix M. A summary of the segment MMLOS results for Scott Street and Athlone Avenue is provided in Table 14.

Table 16: Segment MMLOS Summary

| Segment | PLOS |  | BLOS |  | TLOS |  | TkLOS |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target |
| Scott Street | D | A | A | A | D | A | B | D |
| Athlone Avenue | F |  | F | D | - | - | - | - |

The results of the segment MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:

- Neither boundary street meets the target pedestrian level of service (PLOS) A;
- Scott Street meets the target bicycle level of service (BLOS) A, while Athlone Avenue does not meet the target BLOS D;
- Scott Street does not meet the target transit level of service (TLOS) A;
- Scott Street meets the target truck level of service (TkLOS) D.


## Scott Street, between Winona Avenue and Athlone Avenue

The street does not meet the target PLOS A, BLOS A, or TLOS A.
Per Exhibit 4 of the MMLOS Guidelines, Scott Street cannot achieve the target PLOS A on either side of the roadway without reducing the operating speed to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or slower, based on the existing traffic volumes.

Per Exhibit 15 of the MMLOS Guidelines, Scott Street can only achieve the target TLOS A by providing segregated transit facilities. Once Stage 2 of the Confederation Line LRT is complete, the bus detour will not need to run along Scott Street, and light rail transit will be provided immediately north of the roadway. Therefore, the target TLOS will be met.

## Athlone Avenue, between Scott Street and Richmond Road

The street does not meet the target PLOS A or BLOS D.
Per Exhibit 4 of the MMLOS Guidelines, Athlone Avenue can achieve the target PLOS A through a reduction in the operating speed of the roadway to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The planned integrated renewal of Athlone Avenue is anticipated to include traffic calming to achieve this operating speed.

Per Exhibit 11 of the MMLOS Guidelines, Athlone Avenue can achieve the target BLOS D by reducing the operating speed to $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The planned integrated renewal of Athlone Avenue is anticipated to include traffic calming that will reduce the operating speed to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which would improve the level of service to a BLOS A.

### 4.4 Access Intersections

Access to the proposed underground parking garage will be provided via one full-movement driveway to Athlone Avenue, and one full-movement driveway to Scott Street. Access to the loading area for the east building will be provided via the proposed access to Athlone Avenue, and access to the loading area for the west building will be provided via the proposed access to Scott Street. Only the Athlone Avenue access will be constructed as part of the first phase, and the Scott Street access will be constructed as part of the second phase.

## Access Design

The design of the proposed accesses have been evaluated using the relevant provisions of the City's Private Approach By-Law (PABL) and Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)'s Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads.

The proposed access locations meet the provisions of Section 25(a) of the PABL, which outlines that a maximum of two two-way private approaches can be provided to any roadway where 46 m to 150 m of frontage is provided.

Section 25(c) of the PABL identifies a maximum width requirement of 9 m for any two-way private approach, as measured at the street line. Section 107(1)(a) of the ZBL requires any two-way private approach serving an apartment parking garage with 20 or more parking spaces to have a minimum width of 6.0 m and a maximum width of 6.7 m . The proposed access to Athlone Avenue will have an overall width of approximately 7.0 m at the street line, and the proposed access to Scott Street will have an overall width of approximately 11.5 m at the street line. The underground parking garage ramps will be approximately 6.0 m to 6.5 m in width, meeting the requirements of Section 107(1)(a) of the ZBL, but the increased access widths are required to also facilitate loading and delivery trucks at the loading spaces for each building. It is requested that the requirements of Section 25(c) of the PABL be waived for the proposed accesses.

Based on Section 25(m)(ii) of the PABL, the nearest edge of any private approach that serves 300 or more parking spaces must be a minimum of 60 m from the nearest intersecting street line or any other private approach, when it is a residential development within 46 m of an arterial roadway.

The minimum requirement of 60 m to the nearest intersecting street line is met by the proposed underground garage access to Scott Street, which is approximately 90m west of Athlone Avenue. However, the minimum requirement is not met by the proposed underground garage access to Athlone Avenue, as less than 60 m of frontage is provided on that roadway. The site plan shows the garage access to Athlone Avenue will be located approximately 44m south of Scott Street, and approximately as far south as possible.

Based on Section $25(\mathrm{p})$ of the PABL, the nearest edge of any private approach must be a minimum of 3 m from the adjacent property line. Section $25(\mathrm{r})$ suggests that a private approach may be constructed within 3 m from the adjacent property line if it is approved through Site Plan Control.

The western edge of the proposed loading access to Scott Street will be located approximately 1.0 m from the nearest property line. The adjacent site at 2050 Scott Street is currently under construction. As the future access to this development will be located approximately 5.75 m from the property line, it is requested that this requirement be waived for the proposed access to Scott Street. The southern edge of the proposed access to Athlone Avenue will be located approximately 1.7 m from the nearest property line. As the existing driveway to the adjacent house at 322 Athlone Avenue is located approximately 5.0 m from the property line, it is requested that this requirement also be waived for the proposed access to Athlone Avenue.

TAC's Geometric Design Guide identifies minimum corner clearance requirements between a private approach and an existing intersection, measuring nearest edge to nearest edge. For signalized intersections, TAC identifies a minimum corner clearance of 70 m for full-movement accesses to arterial roadways and a minimum corner clearance of 15 m for full-movement accesses to local roadways. The concept plan shows that the proposed underground garage accesses to Scott Street and Athlone Avenue will meet these requirements.

Section 25(u) of the PABL identifies that a maximum grade of $2 \%$ to $6 \%$ for the first 9 m inside the property line, for any private approach serving a parking area with more than 50 parking spaces. The Athlone Avenue access does not meet this requirement, as it will have a proposed maximum grade of $6.6 \%$ (descending towards the roadway for drainage purposes) for the first 6 m within the property line, followed by a flat area before transitioning down to the parking garage. As the access will have a downgrade toward the roadway, drivers' sightlines to pedestrians are not anticipated to be impacted. Therefore, a waiver to this requirement of the PABL is requested for the Athlone Avenue access. The Scott Street access meets this requirement, as it will have a proposed maximum grade of $2.6 \%$ for the first 4 m within the property line and the garage door, followed by a 5 m flat area within the building.

TAC's Geometric Design Guide identifies minimum stopping sight distance (SSD) and intersection sight distance (ISD) requirements, based on the roadway grade and design speed (taken as the speed limit plus $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ). Level grades and design speeds of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Athlone Avenue and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ for Scott Street have been assumed in this review. The SSD and ISD requirements for each roadway are summarized as follows:

- SSD:
- ISD, left turns:
- ISD, right turns:

50m for Athlone Avenue and 85m for Scott Street;
85m for Athlone Avenue and 130m for Scott Street;
75 m for Athlone Avenue and 110 m for Scott Street.

As Athlone Avenue and Scott Street are straight and generally level roadways, adequate SSD can be provided at both proposed access locations. It is anticipated that adequate ISD can be provided for any vehicles turning left or right from the proposed accesses as well, as there is very limited vegetations on neighbouring properties that could obscure sightlines for outbound drivers. In the interim condition, it is anticipated that OC Transpo buses stopped at the temporary eastbound platform will periodically obscure outbound drivers at the access to Scott Street. Sightlines will therefore improve at this access when the platform is decommissioned.

## Access Justification

Schedule B2 of the City's Official Plan identifies Scott Street as a Mainstreet Corridor. Policy 6.2.1.4(b) of the Official Plan prescribes that in the case of developments that front onto both a corridor and a side street, that vehicular access 'shall generally be provided from the side street.' Policy 4.1.2.4(4) of the Official Plan states that 'development of land abutting an existing or planned cycling facility identified in the TMP and associated plans will be designed to minimum vehicle access across the cycling facility in order to reduce potential conflict point, such as by providing vehicular access to parking and service areas from side streets or rear lanes.' Providing an access to Scott Street is not prohibited based on these policies, but it is noted that any proposed accesses along Scott Street should require appropriate justification.

The subject site is very large (approximately $6,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in area), and spans over 100 m of frontage on Scott Street. The proposed development will contain two buildings with 856 dwellings, approximately $3,207 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ GFA of ground-floor commercial or retail space, and 313 parking spaces in an underground garage. As the development is located within a TOD zone, it has been assumed that the majority of residents or patrons will use transit during peak hours. However, higher vehicle usage may occur for the personal use of residents outside of peak hours. Proposing more than the single access to Athlone Avenue provides future users with an alternative route should an accident occur along Athlone Avenue, or in the event that there are operational issues with one of the garage doors.

For these reasons, two accesses for vehicles are recommended, based on the overall size and density of the site. The proposed access to Scott Street adheres to the spacing requirements of TAC's Geometric Design Guide and Section 25(m)(ii) of the City's PABL, and therefore should be permitted.

## Access Operations

Analysis of the access intersection operations have been conducted in Synchro, with the results summarized in Table 17. The intersection parameters used in the analysis are consistent with the TIA Guidelines (Saturated Flow Rate: 1,800 vphpl, Peak Hour Factor: 1.0 for future conditions). Detailed Synchro reports at the accesses are included in Appendix N.

Table 17: Access Intersection Operations

| Intersection |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Delay | LOS | Mvmt | Delay | LOS | Mvmt |  |
| Site Access to <br> Athlone Avenue | 2026 (Phase 1) | 9 sec | A | EBL/R | 9 sec | A | EBL/R |
| Site Access to <br> Scott Street | 2031 (Ultimate) | 9 sec | A | EBL/R | 9 sec | A | EBL/R |

Based on the previous table, the proposed accesses are anticipated to operate with an acceptable Auto LOS.

### 4.5 Transportation Demand Management

### 4.5.1 Context for TDM

The two proposed buildings will be constructed in separate phases. The unit count and breakdown for each building can be summarized as follows.

## East Building (Phase 1)

- 83 studio units;
- 145 one-bedroom units;
- 156 two-bedroom units;
- 8 three-bedroom units; and
- $1,287 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial/retail space.


## West Building (Phase 2)

- 79 studio units;
- 219 one-bedroom units;
- 159 two-bedroom units;
- 7 three-bedroom units; and
- $1,920 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ of commercial/retail space.


### 4.5.2 Need and Opportunity

The subject site is designated as 'Corridor - Mainstreet' on Schedule B2 of the City's Official Plan, and within the Scott Street Traditional Main Street DPA. As shown in Section 3.1.1, the peak hour driver shares observed within the Ottawa West district ( $28 \%$ in AM peak and $33 \%$ in PM peak for residential generators, and 55\% in AM peak and 50\% in PM peak for commercial generators) are significantly greater than the driver share target for Transit-Oriented Developments ( $15 \%$ in both peaks). If the proposed development has a driver share of $30 \%$ during the peak hours (i.e. more consistent with the observed residential shares within the Ottawa West district), rather than the assumed driver share of $15 \%$, this would equate to an increase of approximately 49 to 52 vehicles during the peak hours.

A failure to meet the mode share targets (included in Section 3.1.1) is not anticipated to result in failing operations within the study area. It is anticipated that the mode share targets are attainable, as the subject site is proximally located to commercial areas, parks, and recreation areas, and across Scott Street from future LRT service.

### 4.5.3 TDM Program

A review of the City's TDM Measures Checklist has been conducted by the proponent. A copy of the completed residential checklist is included in Appendix L. The proponent will provide the following TDM measures:

- Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
- Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances;
- Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent;
- Provide a multi-modal travel information package to new residents.

The proposed parking supply would be approximately 67 spaces short of the minimum requirement, based on rates for Area Y within the City's ZBL. The subject site is across Scott Street from a future LRT station. Providing limited parking near transit stations act as a strong incentive for residents, visitors, and patrons of the proposed development to travel to/from the site via transit. Further, a total of 918 bicycle parking spaces are proposed, equating to 1.07 bicycle spaces per unit and exceeding the minimum requirements of the ZBL.

### 4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Management

The TIA Guidelines identify two-way peak hour traffic volume thresholds for considering when a Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM) plan should be developed, in cases where a site relies on local or collector roadways for access. Since an access to Athlone Avenue (i.e. a local roadway) is proposed, this module is included in this TIA.

The NTM two-way volume thresholds are as follows:

- Local: Maximum of 1,000 vehicles per day, or 120 vehicles during the peak hour;
- Collector: Maximum of 2,500 vehicles per day, or 300 vehicles during the peak hour;
- Major Collector: Maximum of 5,000 vehicles per day, or 600 vehicles during the peak hour.

Based on the existing traffic count data at Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, the two-way peak hour traffic volumes on Athlone Avenue are approximately 62 vehicles during the AM peak hour and 72 vehicles during the PM peak hour, and the average annual daily traffic is approximately 740 vehicles.

As shown in Figure 8, Phase 1 of the proposed development is anticipated to increase peak hour traffic volumes on Athlone Avenue by approximately ten vehicles south of the proposed access, and approximately 16 to 17 vehicles north of the proposed access. This phase represents the highest traffic generator on Athlone Avenue, as all site-generated trips will enter and exit the site via the Athlone Avenue access until the Scott Street access is constructed. Therefore, the NTM thresholds are not anticipated to be met in the future as a result of this development, and no NTM measures are identified.

### 4.7 Transit

Based on the trip generation estimates presented in Section 3.1, the proposed development is anticipated to generate the following number of net additional transit trips during the peak hours:

- Phase 1 (2026)
- 93 additional transit trips during the AM peak hour (26 in, 67 out);
- 89 additional transit trips during the PM peak hour ( $52 \mathrm{in}, 37$ out).
- Ultimate Development (2029)
- 208 additional transit trips during the AM peak hour (63 in, 145 out);
- 206 additional transit trips during the PM peak hour (119 in, 87 out).

For the purposes of this transit review, only the ultimate development has been considered to estimate if transit capacity constraints will occur within the study area.

The origin-destination data for Ottawa West from the City's 2011 TRANS O-D Survey Report was considered in determining where transit trips will travel to/from the proposed development. It is anticipated that most transit trips will arrive or depart via future LRT service at Westboro Station. It is also anticipated that any transit trips via bus will board and alight at bus stops at Scott Street/ Churchill Avenue or Scott Street/McRae Avenue.

The assumed distribution of transit trips to/from the development can be summarized as follows.

## AM Peak Hour

- $45 \%$ to/from the east via OC Route 1 ;
- $30 \%$ to/from the west via OC Route 1 ;
- $15 \%$ to/from the south via OC Route 50;
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via OC Route 81.


## PM Peak Hour

- $40 \%$ to/from the east via OC Route 1 ;
- $30 \%$ to/from the west via OC Route 1 ;
- $15 \%$ to/from the south via OC Route 50 ;
- $10 \%$ to/from the south via OC Route 81 ;
- $5 \%$ to/from the east via OC Route 153.

Transit utilization data from the Winter 2020 period (January 5 to March 7) has been obtained from OC Transpo, and is included in Appendix C. This period is considered the most recent 'normal' ridership period, before ridership was impacted by the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic. Average peak period (6:00am to 9:00am and 3:00pm to 6:00pm) boarding, alighting, and bus load at departure information was obtained for stops within the study area.

By the Phase 1 buildout year of 2026, the Confederation Line Extension will be completed. Westboro Station is assumed to still be served by buses for the local OC Transpo Routes 16, 50, and 153, with all other trips being served by LRT. Therefore, transit ridership for the LRT at Westboro Station has been estimated by accumulating the existing boarding and alighting trips for all routes that use the transitway, as of the Winter 2020 period.

As shown in Appendix C, this is assumed to include OC Transpo Routes 57, 58, 61, 62, 63, 64, $66,73,74,75,82,83,84,87,164,251,252,256,257,258,261,262,263,264,265,266,267$, $268,270,271,272,273,275,277,278,282,283$, and 284. To determine the average load at departure for the future LRT, the average loads at departure for each bus route listed above have been multiplied by the number of times that route serves Westboro Station in the peak hours, and then divided by 12 to reflect an assumed five-minute headway for the future LRT.

Existing and projected boarding and alighting information is summarized in Table 16. Any zero $(0)$ values in the table indicate a measured average boarding and alighting value of zero, rather than an absence of data. Peak period boarding and alighting data have been converted to peak hour boardings and alightings, using factors of 0.55 for the AM peak hour and 0.47 for the PM peak hour (per the TRANS Trip Generation Manual).

Table 18: Transit Utilization

|  | Location | Route | Dir | Bo | arding (tp |  | Alig | hting (tph) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stop |  |  | Dir | Existing | Ultimate | Total | Existing | Ultimate | Total |
| AM Peak | k Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | EB | 163 | 68 | 231 | 59 | 30 | 89 |
|  |  | 1 | WB | 81 | 46 | 127 | 58 | 20 | 78 |
| \#3012 | Westboro Station | 16 | EB | 6 | - | 6 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \#3012 | Westboro Station | 16 | WB | 0 | - | 0 | 8 | - | 8 |
|  |  | 50 | EB | 1 | - | 1 | 7 | - | 7 |
|  |  | 50 | WB | 3 | - | 3 | 1 | - | 1 |
| \#4841 | Scott/McRae | 81 | EB | 0 | - | 0 | 6 | 7 | 13 |
| \#4893 | Scott/McRae | 81 | WB | 0 | 15 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 |
| \#4884 | Churchill/Workman | 16 | EB | 5 | - | 5 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \#5615 | Churchill/Transit Bridge | 16 | WB | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | - | 3 |
| \#7379 | Churchill/Scott | 50 | EB | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| \#7380 | Churchili/Scott | 50 | WB | 1 | 23 | 24 | 1 | - | 1 |


| Stop | Location | Route | Dir |  | rding (tp |  |  | hting (tp |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Location |  |  | Existing | Ultimate | Total | Existing | Ultimate | Total |
| PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#3012 | Westboro Station | 1 | EB | 100 | 37 | 137 | 62 | 50 | 112 |
|  |  | 1 | WB | 97 | 27 | 124 | 157 | 38 | 195 |
|  |  | 16 | EB | 3 | - | 3 | 0 | - | 0 |
|  |  | 16 | WB | 0 | - | 0 | 7 | - | 7 |
|  |  | 50 | EB | 0 | - | 0 | 4 | - | 4 |
|  |  | 50 | WB | 5 | - | 5 | 4 | - | 4 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
|  |  | 153 | WB | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \#4841 | Scott/McRae | 81 | EB | 1 | - | 1 | 3 | 12 | 15 |
|  |  | 153 | WB | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | 6 | 7 |
| \#4893 |  | 81 | WB | 2 | 9 | 11 | 2 | - | 2 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \#4884 | Churchill/Workman | 16 | EB | 1 | - |  | 0 | - | 0 |
| \#5615 | Churchill/Transit Bridge | 16 | WB | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | - | 3 |
| \#7379 | Churchill/Scott | 50 | EB | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 19 | 20 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | 1 |
| \#7380 |  | 50 | WB | 1 | 14 | 15 | 1 | - | 1 |
| \#7380 |  | 153 | WB | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |

1. tph: transit trips per hour

The site-generated impacts to OC Routes 1, 16, 50, 81, and 153 during the weekday peak hours can be summarized.

## Route 1 (Confederation Line Eastbound)

At Westboro Station, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional 68 AM boarding trips, 30 AM alighting trips, 37 PM boarding trips, and 50 PM alighting trips. As Route 1 is assumed to run on approximately 5 -minute headways, this equates to six AM boardings, three AM alightings, four PM boardings, and five PM alightings per train.

For the eastbound platform, the existing average train loads at departure are estimated to be approximately 207 riders in the AM peak and 71 riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average loads when departing Westboro Station are anticipated to increase from 207 riders to 213 riders during the AM peak hour, and from 71 riders to 75 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, the proposed development is not anticipated to require more frequent service for Route 1.

## Route 1 (Confederation Line Westbound)

At Westboro Station, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional 46 AM boarding trips, 20 AM alighting trips, 27 PM boarding trips, and 38 PM alighting trips. As Route 1 is assumed to run on approximately 5 -minute headways, this equates to four AM boardings, two AM alightings, three PM boardings, and four PM alightings per train.

For the westbound platform, the existing average train loads at departure are estimated to be approximately 54 riders in the AM peak and 125 riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average loads when departing Westboro Station are anticipated to increase from 54 riders to 58 riders during the AM peak hour, and from 125 riders to 128 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, the proposed development is not anticipated to require more frequent service for Route 1.

## Route 16 (to Main or Scott/Churchill)

The proposed development is not anticipated to generate any transit trips that will travel on OC Route 16, which serves the study area at stops \#4884 and \#5615. It is anticipated that any sitegenerated trips that would use this route will travel on Route 1 instead, as both routes converge at Tunney's Pasture Station.

The existing average loads at departure for Route 16 within the study area (approximately one to three riders at stops \#4884 and \#5615) does not identify a need for more frequent service of this route.

## Route 50 (to Tunney's Pasture)

At stop \#7379, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional ten AM alighting trips and 19 PM alighting trips. As Route 50 runs on approximately 30 -minute headways, this equates to five $A M$ alightings and ten PM alightings per bus.

The existing average bus loads at departure are 21 riders in the AM peak and ten riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average bus loads when arriving at stop \#7379 are anticipated to increase from 21 riders to 26 riders during the AM peak hour, and from ten to 20 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, more frequent service for Route 50 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

## Route 50 (to Lincoln Fields)

At stop \#7380, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional 23 AM boarding trips and 14 PM boarding trips. As Route 50 runs on approximately 30 -minute headways, this equates to 12 AM boardings and seven PM boardings per bus.

The existing average bus loads at departure are 12 riders in the AM peak and 16 riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average bus loads when departing stop \#7380 are anticipated to increase from 12 riders to 24 riders during the AM peak hour, and from 16 riders to 23 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, more frequent service for Route 50 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

## Route 81 (to Tunney's Pasture)

At stop \#4841, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional seven AM alighting trips and 12 PM alighting trips. As Route 81 runs on approximately 30-minute headways, this equates to four AM alightings and six PM alightings per bus.

The existing average bus loads at departure are 15 riders in the AM peak and six riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average bus loads when arriving at stop \#4841 are anticipated to increase from 15 riders to 19 riders during the AM peak hour, and from six to 12 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, more frequent service for Route 81 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

## Route 81 (to Clyde)

At stop \#4893, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional 15 AM boarding trips and nine PM boarding trips. As Route 81 runs on approximately 30-minute headways, this equates to eight AM boardings and five PM boardings per bus.

The existing average bus loads at departure are five riders in the AM peak and 14 riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average bus loads when departing stop \#4893 are anticipated to increase from five riders to 13 riders during the AM peak hour, and from 14 riders to 19 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, more frequent service for Route 81 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

Route 153 (to Tunney's Pasture)
At stop \#4893, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional five PM boarding trips. This route does not serve the study area during the AM peak hour. As Route 153 runs on approximately 60 -minute headways, these trips will all board the same bus during the PM peak hour.

The existing average bus load at departure is five riders in the PM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average bus load when departing stop \#4893 is anticipated to increase from five riders to ten riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, more frequent service for Route 153 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

## Route 153 (to Lincoln Fields)

At stop \#4841, the proposed development is projected to generate an additional six PM alighting trips. As Route 153 runs on approximately 60-minute headways, these trips will all board the same bus during the PM peak hour.

The existing average bus load at departure is five riders in the AM peak. Accounting for the above trips, the average bus load when arriving at stop \#4841 is anticipated to increase from five riders to 11 riders during the PM peak hour. Therefore, more frequent service for Route 153 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.

### 4.8 Intersection Design

### 4.8.1 Intersection MMLOS Review

This section provides a review of the signalized study area intersections using complete streets principles. The signalized intersections within the study area have been evaluated for PLOS, BLOS, TLOS, and TkLOS. The MMLOS targets associated for intersections 'within 600 m of a rapid transit station' have been used to evaluate the existing conditions at Scott Street/Churchill Avenue, Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue. The full intersection MMLOS analysis is included in Appendix M. A summary of the results is shown in Table 18.

Table 19: Intersection MMLOS Summary

| Intersection | PLOS |  | BLOS |  | TLOS |  | TkLOS |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target | Actual | Target |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue | E | A | A | A | D | A | F | D |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue | C |  | D |  | B |  | - |  |
| Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue | C |  | D |  | B |  | - |  |

The results of the intersection MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:

- No study area intersections meet the target PLOS;
- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue meets the target BLOS, while Scott Street/Athlone Avenue and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue do not;
- No study area intersections meet the target TLOS;
- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue does not meet the target TkLOS.

Each intersection is discussed in greater detail below.

## Scott Street/Churchill Avenue

The intersection does not meet the target PLOS A, TLOS A, or TkLOS D.
No approaches achieve the target PLOS A. Without reducing the crossing width to an equivalent of two 3.5 m -wide lanes (i.e. 7.0 m or less), the target PLOS A cannot be achieved. Therefore, no recommendations are identified.

The north, south, and east approaches do not meet the target TLOS A, which equates to gradeseparated ROW for transit facilities. This is addressed by the planned extension of the Confederation Line LRT, which will serve the study area at Westboro Station.

All approaches do not meet the target TkLOS D. This intersection forms part of the truck route of Scott Street and Churchill Avenue, requiring trucks to perform northbound right turns and westbound left turns. A compound curve has been implemented at this corner to accommodate the northbound right turn movement for heavy vehicles. Therefore, no recommendations are identified.

## Scott Street/Athlone Avenue

The intersection does not meet the target PLOS A, BLOS A, or TLOS A.
All crosswalks at this intersection have a crossing width equivalent to three or four 3.5 m -wide lanes. There is limited opportunity in improving to the target PLOS A at each approach without reducing the crossing distance significantly or restricting turning movements.

The south and east approaches do not meet the target BLOS A based on left turn characteristics. The south approach consists of a single lane and is stop-controlled, and left-turning cyclists from this approach can queue with vehicles to make their left turn. For cyclists at the east approach, Exhibit 12 of the MMLOS Guidelines indicates that the target BLOS A can only be met by providing a two-stage, left-turn bike box. However, a bicycle signal would be required, as the existing intersection is only an intersection pedestrian signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.

The east and west approaches do not meet the target TLOS A, which equates to grade-separated ROW for transit facilities. This is addressed by the planned extension of the Confederation Line LRT, which will serve the study area at Westboro Station.

## Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue

The intersection does not meet the target PLOS A, BLOS A, or TLOS A.
All crosswalks at this intersection have a crossing width equivalent to three to five 3.5 m -wide lanes. There is limited opportunity in improving to the target PLOS A at each approach without reducing the crossing distance significantly or restricting turning movements.

The south and east approaches do not meet the target BLOS A based on left turn characteristics. The south approach consists of a single lane and is stop-controlled, and left-turning cyclists from this approach can queue with vehicles to make their left turn. For cyclists at the east approach, a bicycle signal would be required, as the existing intersection is only an intersection pedestrian signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.

The east and west approaches do not meet the target TLOS A, which equates to grade-separated ROW for transit facilities. This is addressed by the planned extension of the Confederation Line LRT, which will serve the study area at Westboro Station.

### 4.8.2 2026 Total Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2026 total traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in Table 19 for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in Appendix $\mathbf{N}$.

Table 20: 2026 Total Traffic Operations

| Intersection |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue |  | 0.87 | D | NBR | 0.77 | C | NBR |
| Scott Street/Winona Avenue |  | 13 sec | B | NBL/R | 13 sec | B | NBL/R |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue | (1) | 0.44 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.48 | A | WBL/T/R |
|  | (2) | 14 sec | B | NBL/T/R | 12 sec | B | NBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue | (1) | 0.44 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.41 | A | WBL/T/R |
|  | (2) | 69 sec | F | SBL/T/R | 131 sec | F | SBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/McRae Avenue |  | 17 sec | C | NBL/R | 24 sec | C | NBL/R |

1. Intersection modelled as a two-legged pedestrian crossing; results identify maximum v/c ratio for through traffic on Scott Street
2. Intersection modelled as a side-street stop-controlled intersection; results identify maximum approach delay for side street

Compared to the 2026 background conditions, the addition of site-generated traffic is anticipated to have marginal effects on traffic operations within the study area.

### 4.8.3 2031 Total Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been conducted for the 2031 total traffic conditions. The results of the analysis are summarized in Table 20 for the weekday AM and PM peak hours. Detailed reports are included in Appendix $\mathbf{N}$.

Table 21: 2031 Total Traffic Operations

| Intersection |  | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt | Max v/c or Delay | LOS | Mvmt |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue |  | 0.87 | D | NBR | 0.78 | C | NBR |
| Scott Street/Winona Avenue |  | 13 sec | B | NBL/R | 13 sec | B | NBL/R |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue | (1) | 0.46 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.48 | A | WBL/T/R |
|  | (2) | 14 sec | B | NBL/T/R | 12 sec | B | NBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue | (1) | 0.45 | A | EBL/T/R | 0.42 | A | WBL/T/R |
|  | (2) | 71 sec | F | SBL/T/R | 137 sec | F | SBL/T/R |
| Scott Street/McRae Avenue |  | 18 sec | C | NBL/R | 26 sec | D | NBL/R |

1. Intersection modelled as a two-legged pedestrian crossing; results identify maximum v/c ratio for through traffic on Scott Street
2. Intersection modelled as a side-street stop-controlled intersection; results identify maximum approach delay for side street

Compared to the 2031 background conditions, the addition of site-generated traffic is anticipated to have marginal effects on traffic operations within the study area.

### 5.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based on the foregoing, the conclusions of this TIA can be summarized as follows:

## Forecasting

- The ultimate proposed development is projected to generate 380 person trips (including 51 vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and 366 person trips (including 55 vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.
- Accounting for the existing development, the ultimate proposed development is projected to generate an additional 341 person trips (including 20 additional vehicle trips) during the AM peak hour, and an additional 319 person trips (but four fewer vehicle trips) during the PM peak hour.


## Development Design and Parking

- Sidewalks will be maintained along the subject site's frontages to Scott Street and Athlone Avenue, and internal walkways will be provided around the perimeter of each building, connecting to the sidewalks on Scott Street and Athlone Avenue. Landscaped walkways and central amenity space will also provide pedestrian connectivity between Scott Street, Athlone Avenue, Ashton Avenue, and the Lion's Park land to the immediate south of the subject site.
- A total of 918 bicycle parking spaces are proposed within the underground parking garage or on the ground floor.
- The proposed development will remove the two existing accesses to Scott Street and provide one new access, as part of Phase 2. In the event that the new access is constructed prior to the decommissioning of the temporary bus detour along Scott Street, a relocation of the temporary transitway platform by 7 m to the east will be required to accommodate the proposed access. City staff have advised that the required modifications to the transitway platform are to be constructed as part of the proposed development.
- All required Transportation Demand Management (TDM)-supportive design and infrastructure measures in the TDM checklist for residential developments will be met.
- Garbage rooms will be located on the first level of the underground parking garage, and move-in rooms are located on the ground floor of each building. For the east building, the move-in room will be accessed at the south face, adjacent to the parking garage ramp. Garbage collection will occur curbside along Athlone Avenue near the parking garage access. For the west building, the move-in room will be accessed at the west face. Garbage collection will occur curbside along Scott Street.
- There is no proposed on-site fire route for either building, as the main entrances to each building will front onto Scott Street.
- The proposed development will meet the minimum vehicle parking, maximum vehicle parking, and minimum bicycle parking requirements outlined in the City's Zoning By-Law (ZBL). There is no requirement to provide any loading spaces under the ZBL.


## Boundary Streets

- The results of the segment MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:
- Neither boundary street meets the target pedestrian level of service (PLOS) A;
- Scott Street meets the target bicycle level of service (BLOS) A, while Athlone Avenue does not meet the target BLOS D;
- Scott Street does not meet the target transit level of service (TLOS) A;
- Scott Street meets the target truck level of service (TkLOS) D.
- Scott Street cannot achieve the target PLOS A on either side of the roadway without reducing the operating speed to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ or slower, based on the existing traffic volumes.
- Athlone Avenue can achieve the target PLOS A and BLOS A through a reduction in the operating speed of the roadway to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The planned integrated renewal of Athlone Avenue is anticipated to include traffic calming to achieve this operating speed.


## Access Intersections

- Access to the proposed underground parking garage will be provided via one fullmovement driveway to Athlone Avenue, and one full-movement driveway to Scott Street. Access to the loading area for the east building will be provided via the proposed access to Athlone Avenue, and access to the loading area for the west building will be provided via the proposed access to Scott Street. Only the Athlone Avenue access will be constructed as part of the first phase, and the Scott Street access will be constructed as part of the second phase.
- The design of the proposed accesses have been evaluated using the relevant provisions of the City's Private Approach By-Law (PABL) and Transportation Association of Canada (TAC)'s Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads. The proposed accesses will meet all relevant requirements, except for the following.
- Section 25(c) of the PABL identifies a maximum width requirement of 9 m for any two-way private approach, as measured at the street line. Section 107(1)(a) of the ZBL requires any two-way private approach serving an apartment parking garage with 20 or more parking spaces to have a minimum width of 6.0 m and a maximum width of 6.7 m . The proposed access to Athlone Avenue will have an overall width of approximately 7.0 m at the street line, and the proposed access to Scott Street will have an overall width of approximately 11.5 m at the street line. The underground parking garage ramps will be approximately 6.0 m to 6.5 m in width, meeting the requirements of Section 107(1)(a) of the ZBL, but the increased access widths are required to also facilitate loading and delivery trucks at the loading spaces for each building. It is requested that the requirements of Section 25(c) of the PABL be waived for the proposed accesses.
- Based on Section 25(m)(ii) of the PABL, the nearest edge of any private approach that serves 300 or more parking spaces must be a minimum of 60 m from the nearest intersecting street line or any other private approach, when it is a residential development within 46 m of an arterial roadway. The minimum requirement is not met by the proposed underground garage access to Athlone Avenue, as less than 60m of frontage is provided on that roadway. The site plan shows the garage access to Athlone Avenue will be located approximately 44 m south of Scott Street, and approximately as far south as possible.
- Based on Section $25(\mathrm{p})$ of the PABL, the nearest edge of any private approach must be a minimum of 3 m from the adjacent property line. Section $25(\mathrm{r})$ suggests that a private approach may be constructed within 3 m from the adjacent property line if it is approved through Site Plan Control. The western edge of the proposed loading access to Scott Street will be located approximately 1.0 m from the nearest property line. The adjacent site at 2050 Scott Street is currently under construction. As the future access to this development will be located approximately 5.75 m from the property line, it is requested that this requirement be waived for the proposed access to Scott Street. The southern edge of the proposed access to Athlone Avenue will be located approximately 1.7 m from the nearest property line. As the existing driveway to the adjacent house at 322 Athlone Avenue is located approximately 5.0 m from the property line, it is requested that this requirement also be waived for the proposed access to Athlone Avenue.
- Section $25(\mathrm{u})$ of the PABL identifies that a maximum grade of $2 \%$ to $6 \%$ for the first 9 m inside the property line, for any private approach serving a parking area with more than 50 parking spaces. The Athlone Avenue access does not meet this requirement, as it will have a proposed maximum grade of $6.6 \%$ (descending towards the roadway for drainage purposes) for the first 6 m within the property line, followed by a flat area before transitioning down to the parking garage. As the access will have a downgrade toward the roadway, drivers' sightlines to pedestrians are not anticipated to be impacted. Therefore, a waiver to this requirement of the PABL is requested for the Athlone Avenue access. The Scott Street access meets this requirement, as it will have a proposed maximum grade of 2.6\% for the first 4 m within the property line and the garage door, followed by a 5 m flat area within the building.
- As Athlone Avenue and Scott Street are straight and generally level roadways, adequate sightlines can be provided at both proposed access locations. In the interim condition, it is anticipated that OC Transpo buses stopped at the temporary eastbound platform will periodically obscure outbound drivers at the access to Scott Street. Sightlines will therefore improve at this access when the platform is decommissioned.
- Providing vehicular access to both Scott Street and Athlone Avenue are recommended, based on the overall size and density of the site. This provides future users with an alternative route should an accident occur along Athlone Avenue, or in the event that there are operational issues with one of the garage doors. The subject site is very large (approximately $6,600 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ in area), and spans over 100 m of frontage on Scott Street. The proposed development will contain two buildings with 856 dwellings, approximately 3,207 $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ GFA of ground-floor commercial or retail space, and 313 parking spaces in an underground garage.
- The proposed accesses are anticipated to operate with an acceptable vehicular level of service (Auto LOS).


## Transportation Demand Management

- The proponent will provide the following residential TDM measures:
- Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances;
- Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances;
- Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent;
- Provide a multi-modal travel information package to new residents.
- The subject site is across Scott Street from a future LRT station. Providing limited parking near transit stations act as a strong incentive for residents, visitors, and patrons of the proposed development to travel to/from the site via transit. Further, a total of 918 bicycle parking spaces are proposed, equating to 1.07 bicycle spaces per unit and exceeding the minimum requirements of the ZBL.


## Neighbourhood Traffic Management

- Based on the existing traffic count data at Scott Street/Athlone Avenue, the two-way peak hour traffic volumes on Athlone Avenue are approximately 62 vehicles during the AM peak hour and 72 vehicles during the PM peak hour, and the average annual daily traffic is approximately 740 vehicles.
- Phase 1 of the proposed development represents the highest traffic generator on Athlone Avenue, as all site-generated trips will enter and exit the site via the Athlone Avenue access. Phase 1 is anticipated to increase peak hour traffic volumes on Athlone Avenue by approximately ten vehicles south of the proposed access, and approximately 16 to 17 vehicles north of the proposed access. Therefore, the NTM thresholds are not anticipated to be met in the future as a result of this development, and no Neighbourhood Traffic Management (NTM) measures are identified.


## Transit

- Phase 1 of the proposed development is projected to generate a net additional 93 transit trips during the AM peak hour and 89 transit trips during the PM peak hour.
- The ultimate proposed development is projected to generate a net additional 208 transit trips during the AM peak hour and 206 transit trips during the PM peak hour.
- The need for more frequent service on the future LRT, or existing routes $16,50,81$, and 153 is not anticipated as a result of the proposed development.


## Intersection MMLOS

- The results of the intersection MMLOS analysis can be summarized as follows:
- No study area intersections meet the target PLOS;
- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue meet the target BLOS, while Scott Street/Athlone Avenue and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue do not;
- No study area intersections meet the target TLOS;
- Scott Street/Churchill Avenue does not meet the target TkLOS.
- No approaches at any study area intersection achieves the target PLOS A. Without reducing the crossing width to an equivalent of two 3.5 m -wide lanes (i.e. 7.0 m or less), the target PLOS A cannot be achieved. Therefore, no recommendations are identified.
- The south and east approaches do not meet the target BLOS A based on left turn characteristics. The south approach consists of a single lane and is stop-controlled, and left-turning cyclists from this approach can queue with vehicles to make their left turn. For cyclists at the east approach, the target BLOS A can only be met by providing a two-stage, left-turn bike box. However, a bicycle signal would be required, as the existing intersection is only an intersection pedestrian signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.
- The south and east approaches do not meet the target BLOS A based on left turn characteristics. The south approach consists of a single lane and is stop-controlled, and left-turning cyclists from this approach can queue with vehicles to make their left turn. For cyclists at the east approach, a bicycle signal would be required, as the existing intersection is only an intersection pedestrian signal. This is identified for the City's consideration.
- The target TLOS A equates to grade-separated ROW for transit facilities. This is addressed by the planned extension of the Confederation Line LRT, which will serve the study area at Westboro Station.
- As Scott Street and Churchill Avenue are truck routes, trucks are required to perform northbound right turns and westbound left turns at this intersection. A compound curve has been implemented at this corner to accommodate the northbound right turn movement for heavy vehicles. Therefore, no recommendations are identified.


## Existing Traffic Operations

- All approaches within the study area meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transitonly approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue. It is noted that this approach is currently closed due to Stage 2 LRT construction, and that bus operations at this station may be reduced once Westboro Station is served by LRT, resulting in improved operations at this approach. Further, when the pedestrian phase is actuated at this intersection, southbound buses utilizing this approach would be able to turn right onto Scott Street unimpeded, or turn left onto Scott Street once pedestrians had completed their crossing.


## Background Traffic Operations

- After the addition of background traffic volumes, all approaches within the study area continues to meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transit-only approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue.


## Total Traffic Operations

- After the addition of site-generated traffic volumes, all approaches within the study area continues to meet the target Auto LOS E, except for the transit-only approach at Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue. The addition of site-generated traffic is anticipated to have marginal effects on traffic operations within the study area.

Based on the foregoing, the proposed development is recommended from a transportation perspective.
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## APPENDIX A

Site Plan


## APPENDIX B

TIA Screening Form

## City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines TIA Screening

1. Description of Proposed Development

| Municipal Address | 2006-2026 Scott St \& 314-318 Athlone Ave |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description of Location | SW corner of Scott/Athlone intersection |
| Land Use Classification | Residential w. Ground-Floor Commercial |
| Development Size (units) | 856 units (392 units in Phase 1, 464 units in Phase 2) |
| Development Size square metre $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | $3,207 \mathrm{ft2}$ (1,287 ft2 in Phase 1, 1,920 ft2 in Phase 2) |
| Number of Accesses and Locations | 1 access to Athlone (Phase 1), 1 access to Scott (Phase 2) |
| Phase of Development | 2 |
| Buildout Year | Phase $1-2026$ / Phase $2-2029$ |

If available, please attach a sketch of the development or site plan to this form.

## 2. Trip Generation Trigger

Considering the Development's Land Use type and Size (as filled out in the previous section), please refer to the Trip Generation Trigger checks below.

## Table notes:

1. Table 2, Table 3 \& Table 4 TRANS Trip Generation Manual
2. Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual 11.1 Ed.

| Land Use Type | Minimum Development Size |
| :---: | :---: |
| Single-family homes | 60 units |
| Multi-Use Family (Low-Rise) ${ }^{1}$ | 90 units |
| Multi-Use Family (High-Rise) ${ }^{1}$ | 150 units |
| Office ${ }^{2}$ | 1,400 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Industrial ${ }^{2}$ | 7,000 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop ${ }^{2}$ | $110 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Destination retail ${ }^{2}$ | 1,800 m ${ }^{2}$ |
| Gas station or convenience market ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ | $90 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

## If the proposed development size is equal to or greater than the sizes identified above, the Trip Generation Trigger is satisfied.

## 3. Location Triggers

|  | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary <br> street that is designated as part of the Transit Priority Network, <br> Rapid Transit network or Cross-Town Bikeways? | $\boxed{ }$ | $\square$ |
| Is the development in a Hub, a Protected Major Transit Station <br> Area (PMTSA), or a Design Priority Area (DPA)?? | $\boxed{ }$ | $\square$ |

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Location Trigger is satisfied.

## 4. Safety Triggers

|  | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Are posted speed limits on a boundary street are 80 kilometers per hour ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ ) or greater? | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway? | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 metre [m] of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions)? | $v$ | $\square$ |
| Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection? | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ |
| Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site? | $\square$ | $\checkmark$ |

[^2]|  | Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the |  |
| development? |  |

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Safety Trigger is satisfied.

## 5. Summary

| Results of Screening | Yes | No |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Does the development satisfy the Trip Generation Trigger? | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Does the development satisfy the Location Trigger? | $\checkmark$ |  |
| Does the development satisfy the Safety Trigger? | $\checkmark$ |  |

If none of the triggers are satisfied, the TIA Study is complete. If one or more of the triggers is satisfied, the TIA Study must continue into the next stage (Screening and Scoping).

## APPENDIX C
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MAIN
TUNNEY'S PASTURE WESTBORO

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine
All day service
Service toute la journée


| Station |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| A. | No Sunday service / Aucun service le dimanche |
| Timepoint / Heures de passage |  |

2020.04

$\bigcirc$
Schedule / Horaire
613-560-1000
Text / Texto $\qquad$ 560560
plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres
Customer Service
Service à la clientèle
Lost and Found / Objets perdus......613-563-4011
Security / Sécurité .
613-741-2478
Effective May 3, 2020
En vigueur 3 mai 2020

## 50 <br> LINCOLN FIELDS <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE Local <br> Monday to Saturday / Lundi au samedi

No service Sat. eve. or all day Sunday / Aucun service le soir le sam. ou toute la journée dimanche

2019.06
$\qquad$
Text / Texto

BAYSHORE
CRYSTAL BAY
TUNNEY'S PASTURE

## 7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day and limited overnight service
Service toute la journée et limité la nuit


When O-Train Line 1 is not running overnight, Route 57 will be extended downtown to Rideau Station. / Lorsque la Ligne 1 de l'O-Train ne circule pas la nuit, le circuit 57 sera prolongée au centre-ville jusqu'à la station Rideau.

## 7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service and limited overnight
Service toute la journée et limité la nuit

2021.09

| $\qquad$ 613-560-1000 <br> Text / Texto* $\qquad$ 560560 <br> plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres *Standard message rates may apply / Les tarifs réguliers de messagerie texte peuvent s'appliquer |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service |  |
| Service à la clientèle | 613-560-5000 |
| Lost and Found / Objets | perdus. ..... 613-563-4011 |
| Security / Sécurité | 613-741-2478 |
| Effective Se | ptember 5, 2021 |
| En vigueur 5 | septembre 2021 |
| - Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

TERRY FOX STITTSVILLE
TUNNEY'S PASTURE

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine
All day service
Service toute la journée

2021.09

|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer ServiceService à la clientèle ...................613-560-5000 |  |
| Lost and Found / Obj | ardus......613-563-4011 |
| Security / Sécurité.. | ..... 613-741-2478 |
| Effective S | ptember 5, 2021 |
| - Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |



INNOVATION
BRIARBROOK TUNNEY'S PASTURE GATINEAU

Rapide
7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine
All day service
Service toute la journée

2021.09


MORGAN'S GRANT
INNOVATION
TUNNEY'S PASTURE

Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi
All day service
Service toute la journée

2021.09

| © <br> Schedule / Horaire ......613-560-1000 Text / Texto* $\qquad$ 560560 $\qquad$ <br> bate chiftes |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer ServiceService à la clientèle ..................613-560-5000 |  |
|  |  |
| Lost and Found / Objets perdus......613-563-4011 |  |
| Security / Sécurité ................... 613-741-2478 |  |
| Effective September 5, 2021 |  |
| En vigueur 5 septembre 2021 |  |
| CTranspo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## 66 <br> KANATA <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE <br> GATINEAU <br> Local

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement


## 67 <br> COPE <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE TERRY FOX <br> Local

Monday to Friday / lundi au vendredi
All day service
Service toute la journée

2022.06


Schedule / Horaire $\qquad$ .613-560-1000 Text / Texto* 560560 plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres *Standard message rates may apply / Les tarits réguliers de messageie texte pevenent s'appliquer
Customer Service
Service à la clientèle


Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi
Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement


| $=\mathrm{O}$ | Transitway \& Station |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | Park \& Ride / Parc-o-bus |
| Timepoint / Heures de passage |  |

2019.07


Future route after 0-Train Line 1 is open
Trajet du circuit après l'ouverture de la Ligne 1 de lo-Train

NEPEAN WOODS TUNNEY'S PASTURE

Rapide
7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine
All day service
Service toute la journée

$=$ Transitway \& Station
Park \& Ride / Parc-o-bus
Timepoint / Heures de passage Text / Texto* $\qquad$


CAMBRIAN
BARRHAVEN C.
TUNNEY'S PASTURE GATINEAU
Rapide

## 7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service and limited overnight
Service toute la journée et limité la nuit

2020.04



Future route after 0-Train Line 1 is open
Trajet du circuit après l'ouverture de la Ligne 1 de l'0-Train

7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine
All day service
Service toute la journée

2021.06


BASELINE
TUNNEY'S PASTURE

## 7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine

All day service
Service toute la journée


$$
\begin{array}{cl}
=- & \text { Transitway \& Station } \\
\text { Park \& Ride / Parc-o-bus } \\
\text { Timepoint / Heures de passage }
\end{array}
$$

2019.07


Future route after $\mathbf{0}$-Train Line $\mathbf{1}$ is open
Trajet du circuit après l'ouverture de la Ligne 1 de l 0 -Train

153
LINCOLN FIELDS
TUNNEY'S PASTURE CARLINGWOOD

Local
7 days a week / 7 jours par semaine
Selected time periods only Périodes sélectionnées seulement


| O | Station |
| :---: | :--- |
| ॥!।!।!। | Some trips / Quelques trajets |
| $\boldsymbol{\Delta}$ | Timepoint / Heures de passage |

2019.10

Schedule / Horaire. . . . . . .613-560-1000 Text / Texto $\qquad$ 560560
plus your four digit bus stop number / p/us votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres
Customer Relations
Service à la clientèle

TERRY FOX
HOPE SIDE

## Monday to Friday/ Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

Transitway Station / Station du Transitway
Peak Periods Only / Périodes de pointe seulement
Some trips to / from Tunney's Pasture
Quelques trajets de / vers Tunney's Pasture
Park \& Ride / Parc-o-bus
Timepoint / Heures de passage
2020.12

Schedule / Horaire $\qquad$ 613-560-1000
Text / Texto $\qquad$ 560560
plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres
Customer Service
Service à la clientèle
Lost and Found / Objets perdus......613-563-4011
Security / Sécurité 613-741-2478

Effective December 21, 2020
En vigueur 21 décembre 2020

TUNNEY'S
PASTURE
TEMPLEFORD

## Connexion

Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi
Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06

| Schedule / Horaire ......613-560-1000 Text / Texto* 560560$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service <br> Service à la clientèle $\qquad$ 613-560-5000 |  |
| Lost and Found/ Objets perdus ......613-563-4011 |  |
| Security / Sécurité...................613-741-2478 |  |
| Effective June 26, 2022 |  |
| En vigueur 26 juin 2022 |  |
| C.STranspo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## 256 <br> BRIDLEWOOD <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE <br> Connexion

Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi
Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

= $=$ Transitway \& Station
Limited stops: Off only in AM / No stop in PM Arrêts limités: débarquement en AM seulement/ aucun arrêt en PM
AM: Off only - PM: Full Service
AM : débarquement seul. - PM : service complet
2022.06
2022.06


## Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06

| Schedule / Horaire .......613-560-1000 <br> Text / Texto* $\qquad$ 560560 <br> plus your fou our digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres *Standard message rates may apply / Les tarifs réguliers de messagerie texte peuvent s'appliquer |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service |  |
| Service à la clientèle | 613-560-5000 |
| Lost and Found / Objets | erdus...... 613-563-4011 |
| Security / Sécurité. | .......... 613-741-2478 |
| Effective | June 26, 2022 |
| En vigueu | r 26 juin 2022 |
| - Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## 258 <br> GRANDVIEW <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE

## Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement


Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi
Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06

| Schedule / Horaire ...... 613-560-1000 <br> Text / Texto* $\qquad$ 560560 <br> plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres *Standard message rates may apply / Les tarifs réguliers de messagerie texte peuvent s'appliquer |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service |  |
| Service à la clientèle | 613-560-5000 |
| Lost and Found/ Objets | erdus.......613-563-4011 |
| Security / Sécurité | 613-741-2478 |
| Effective | une 26, 2022 |
| En vigueu | 26 juin 2022 |
| - Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## WEST RIDGE

TUNNEY'S PASTURE

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06

| Schedule / Horaire .......613-560-1000 <br> Text / Texto*...................... 560560 <br> plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres <br> *Standard message rates may apply / Les tarifís réguliers de messagerie texte peuvent s'appliquer |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service |  |
| Service à la clientèle . . . . . . . . . . . . . 613-560-5000 |  |
| Lost and Found / Objets perdus......613-563-4011 |  |
| Security / Sécurité . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 613-741-2478 |  |
| Effective June 26, 2022 |  |
| En vigueur 26 juin 2022 |  |
| - Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## 263 <br> STANLEY CORNERS <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE

## Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06


## 264 <br> TERRY FOX <br> TUNNEY'S PASTURE <br> Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06


## Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06

| Schedule / Horaire ......613-560-1000 Text / Texto* $\qquad$ 560560 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service <br> Service à la clientèle $\qquad$ 613-560-5000 |  |
| Lost and Found/ Objets perdus......613-563-4011 |  |
| Security / Sécurité....................613-741-2478 |  |
| Effective June 26, 2022 |  |
| En vigueur 26 juin 2022 |  |
| © Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## Connexion

Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi
Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06


## Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

2022.06

| Schedule / Horaire ......613-560-1000 Text / Texto* $\qquad$ 560560 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Customer Service <br> Service à la clientèle $\qquad$ 613-560-5000 |  |
|  |  |
| Lost and Found / Objets perdus.......613-563-4011 <br> Security / Sécurité <br> 613-741-2478 |  |
|  |  |
| Effective June 26, 2022 |  |
| En vigueur 26 juin 2022 |  |
| - Transpo | INFO 613-560-5000 octranspo.com |

## Connexion

## Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi

Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement

$=\mathrm{O}=$ Transitway \& Station
2020.01

| Schedule / Horaire........613-560-1000 Text / Texto $\qquad$ 560560 plus your four digit bus stop number / plus votre numéro d'arrêt à quatre chiffres |
| :---: |
| Customer Service Service à la clientèle .................... 613-741-4390 |
| Lost and Found / Objets perdus......613-563-4011 |
| Security / Sécurité....................613-741-2478 |
| Effective January 5, 2020 |
| En vigueur 5 janvier 2020 |
| C.Transpo <br> INFO 613-741-4390 octranspo.com |



Subject:
Request for Transit Data - Westboro/Scott St (2026 Scott Street)

From: Rathwell, Graham [graham.rathwell@ottawa.ca](mailto:graham.rathwell@ottawa.ca)
Sent: Monday, December 20, 2021 4:58:52 PM
To: Rochelle Fortier [r.fortier@novatech-eng.com](mailto:r.fortier@novatech-eng.com)
Cc: Patrick Hatton [p.hatton@novatech-eng.com](mailto:p.hatton@novatech-eng.com); Brad Byvelds [B.Byvelds@novatech-eng.com](mailto:B.Byvelds@novatech-eng.com); Jennifer Luong [i.luong@novatech-eng.com](mailto:i.luong@novatech-eng.com)
Subject: RE: Request for Transit Data - Westboro/Scott St (2026 Scott Street)
And here is the table for 2026 Scott. All of the same comments apply. Please let me know if there are any questions.

| Stop | Stop Location | Route | Dir | AM (6:00-9:00) |  |  | PM (15:00-18:00) |  |  | 24-hr |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Boardings | Alightings | Avg Load at Departure | Boardings | Alightings | Avg Load at Departure | Boardings | Alightings | Avg Load at Departure |
| 4841 | MCRAE / SCOTT | 81 | EB | 0 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 27 | 7 |
|  |  | 153 | WB | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 5 |
| 4864 | RICHMOND / EDEN | 11 | WB | 1 | 13 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 21 | 6 | 31 | 12 |
| 4865 | RICHMOND / EDGEWOOD | 11 | EB | 4 | 0 | 17 | 4 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 11 |
| 4884 | CHURCHILL / WORKMAN | 16 | EB | 8 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 1 |
| 4893 | MCRAE / SCOTT | 81 | WB | 0 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 8 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 3 |
| 5615 | CHURCHILL/ <br> TRANSIT BRIDGE | 16 | WB | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 2 |
| 7379 | CHURCHILL / SCOTT | 50 | EB | 5 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 11 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| 7380 | CHURCHILL / SCOTT | 50 | WB | 2 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | 153 | WB | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 1A | 57 | WB | 3 | 12 | 8 | 15 | 45 | 33 | 34 | 101 | 16 |
|  |  | 58 | EB | 6 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 8 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | 61 | WB | 10 | 10 | 15 | 45 | 111 | 43 | 81 | 185 | 31 |
|  |  | 62 | WB | 4 | 1 | 21 | 13 | 25 | 30 | 21 | 54 | 22 |
|  |  | 63 | IB | 22 | 16 | 13 | 12 | 47 | 33 | 40 | 103 | 19 |
|  |  | 64 | IB | 11 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 17 | 20 | 29 | 50 | 14 |
|  |  | 66 | WB | 21 | 25 | 30 | - | - | - | 23 | 29 | 29 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 1B | 73 | SB | 7 | 0 | 9 | - | - | - | 7 | 0 | 8 |
|  |  | 74 | SB | 5 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 17 | 31 | 15 | 19 |
|  |  | 75 | SB | 12 | 3 | 15 | 62 | 64 | 22 | 111 | 104 | 21 |
|  |  | 82 | WB | 0 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 5 | 13 |



|  |  | 83 | NB | 1 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 2 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 84 | WB | 4 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 18 | 3 | 12 |
|  |  | 87 | NB | 1 | 3 | 4 | 49 | 14 | 19 | 69 | 23 | 10 |
|  |  | 164 | SB | 0 | 4 | 2 | - | - | - | 0 | 4 | 2 |
|  |  | 258 | OB | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 7 |
|  |  | 282 | OB | - | - | - | 1 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 23 |
|  |  | 284 | SB | - | - | - | 0 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 8 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 2A | 57 | EB | 15 | 9 | 38 | 14 | 8 | 12 | 75 | 31 | 14 |
|  |  | 58 | WB | 11 | 2 | 26 | 4 | 1 | 12 | 18 | 4 | 18 |
|  |  | 61 | EB | 45 | 8 | 34 | 7 | 11 | 24 | 73 | 29 | 22 |
|  |  | 62 | EB | 0 | 0 | 13 | 17 | 6 | 28 | 38 | 19 | 15 |
|  |  | 63 | OB | 18 | 1 | 39 | 16 | 12 | 21 | 58 | 24 | 21 |
|  |  | 64 | OB | 12 | 6 | 28 | 9 | 7 | 12 | 36 | 13 | 15 |
|  |  | 66 | EB | - | - | - | 18 | 23 | 28 | 23 | 27 | 24 |
|  |  | 73 | NB | - | - | - | 16 | 11 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 17 |
|  |  | 74 | NB | 14 | 9 | 36 | 11 | 12 | 22 | 55 | 51 | 29 |
|  |  | 75 | NB | 58 | 15 | 47 | 18 | 13 | 34 | 131 | 87 | 30 |
|  |  | 82 | EB | 20 | 1 | 29 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 6 | 20 |
|  |  | 83 | SB | 7 | 7 | 21 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 27 | 19 | 17 |
|  |  | 84 | EB | 12 | 3 | 27 | 21 | 9 | 19 | 34 | 14 | 20 |
|  |  | 87 | SB | 30 | 7 | 22 | 17 | 3 | 11 | 73 | 22 | 10 |
|  |  | 164 | NB | - | - | - |  | No d |  |  | No d |  |
|  |  | 251 | IB | 4 | 0 | 11 | - | - | - | 4 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | 252 | IB | 2 | 4 | 19 | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 20 |
|  |  | 256 | IB | 4 | 3 | 34 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 35 |
|  |  | 257 | IB | 7 | 4 | 35 | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | 35 |
|  |  | 258 | IB | 19 | 0 | 16 | - | - | - | 19 | 0 | 17 |
|  |  | 261 | IB | 2 | 1 | 33 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 33 |
|  |  | 262 | IB | 0 | 0 | 38 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 38 |
|  |  | 263 | IB | 0 | 0 | 30 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  | 264 | IB | 0 | 0 | 31 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 31 |
|  |  | 265 | IB | 0 | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 25 |
|  |  | 266 | IB | 5 | 0 | 25 | - | - | - | 5 | 0 | 25 |
|  |  | 267 | IB | 2 | 1 | 40 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 39 |
|  |  | 268 | IB | 1 | 1 | 37 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 37 |
|  |  | 282 | IB | 10 | 5 | 33 | - | - | - | 12 | 5 | 33 |
|  |  | 283 | IB | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | 284 | NB | 5 | 3 | 19 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 3A | 16 | EB | 11 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 50 | WB | 6 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | 153 | WB | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 4A | 16 | WB | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 |
|  |  | 50 | EB | 2 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 28 | 11 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |


| 270 | IB | 6 | 0 | 40 | - | - | - | 5 | 0 | 40 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 271 | IB | 1 | 6 | 57 | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 57 |
| 272 | IB | 3 | 3 | 50 | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 49 |
| 273 | IB | 5 | 2 | 48 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 46 |
| 275 | IB | 5 | 3 | 59 | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 55 |
| 277 | IB | 7 | 12 | 55 | - | - | - | 9 | 14 | 55 |
| 278 | IB | 3 | 4 | 36 | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 34 |

Best,
Graham Rathwell
Transit Planner, Network Service Design
Service Planning Branch
Transit Services Department
OC Transpo | City of Ottawa

From: Rathwell, Graham
Sent: December 20, 2021 4:35 PM
To: Rochelle Fortier [r.fortier@novatech-eng.com](mailto:r.fortier@novatech-eng.com)
Cc: Patrick Hatton [p.hatton@novatech-eng.com](mailto:p.hatton@novatech-eng.com); Brad Byvelds [B.Byvelds@novatech-eng.com](mailto:B.Byvelds@novatech-eng.com); Jennifer Luong [j.luong@novatech-eng.com](mailto:j.luong@novatech-eng.com)
Subject: RE: Request for Transit Data - Westboro/Scott St (1950 Scott Street)

Hi again Rochelle,
The technical issues have finally been resolved. Please find below the requested data for 1950 Scott Street in the table below. I will follow-up shortly with a separate email for 2026 Scott Street.

Data was sampled from the period of January 5 to March 16 2020, which is the last 'normal' ridership period before pandemic-related impacts began. Please note that cells with a zero (0) value indicate a measured average value of zero, based on available APC data, rather than an absence of data. Cells with a dash (-) indicate that the route in question does not serve the stop in the given time period.

Further, please note the following for Connexion (200-series) routes serving Westboro Station:

- Routes 258, 282, and 284 are the only Connexion routes that are planned to serve Westboro Station in both directions (inbound AM, outbound PM).
- All other Connexion routes (250s, 260s, 270s, and 280s not listed above) drop-off customers on request only in the AM, and bypass Westboro in the PM.
- Customers are permitted to board these routes in the AM only if they are already stopping to let customers off, otherwise they do not stop.
- AM Connexion service is split between two stops on the same inbound platform: 2A (the main inbound stop with 250 s, 260 s , 280 s), and an off-only stop at the far west end of the platform (270s). These are listed separately in the table below.
- While Connexion routes do not provide an even or consistent level of service at Westboro in the peak periods, it's still important to include the ridership data: taken together, they contribute to the overall total customer flows to/from Westboro that would otherwise need to be accommodated on mainline routes.


|  |  | 66 | EB | - | - | - | 18 | 23 | 28 | 23 | 27 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 73 | NB | - | - | - | 16 | 11 | 19 | 16 | 11 | 17 |
|  |  | 74 | NB | 14 | 9 | 36 | 11 | 12 | 22 | 55 | 51 | 29 |
|  |  | 75 | NB | 58 | 15 | 47 | 18 | 13 | 34 | 131 | 87 | 30 |
|  |  | 82 | EB | 20 | 1 | 29 | 8 | 5 | 15 | 30 | 6 | 20 |
|  |  | 83 | SB | 7 | 7 | 21 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 27 | 19 | 17 |
|  |  | 84 | EB | 12 | 3 | 27 | 21 | 9 | 19 | 34 | 14 | 20 |
|  |  | 87 | SB | 30 | 7 | 22 | 17 | 3 | 11 | 73 | 22 | 10 |
|  |  | 164 | NB | - | - | - |  | No d |  |  | No |  |
|  |  | 251 | IB | 4 | 0 | 11 | - | - | - | 4 | 0 | 11 |
|  |  | 252 | IB | 2 | 4 | 19 | - | - | - | 6 | 5 | 20 |
|  |  | 256 | IB | 4 | 3 | 34 | - | - | - | 4 | 3 | 35 |
|  |  | 257 | IB | 7 | 4 | 35 | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | 35 |
|  |  | 258 | IB | 19 | 0 | 16 | - | - | - | 19 | 0 | 17 |
|  |  | 261 | IB | 2 | 1 | 33 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 33 |
|  |  | 262 | IB | 0 | 0 | 38 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 38 |
|  |  | 263 | IB | 0 | 0 | 30 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 30 |
|  |  | 264 | IB | 0 | 0 | 31 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 31 |
|  |  | 265 | IB | 0 | 1 | 25 | - | - | - | 0 | 1 | 25 |
|  |  | 266 | IB | 5 | 0 | 25 | - | - | - | 5 | 0 | 25 |
|  |  | 267 | IB | 2 | 1 | 40 | - | - | - | 3 | 2 | 39 |
|  |  | 268 | IB | 1 | 1 | 37 | - | - | - | 1 | 2 | 37 |
|  |  | 282 | IB | 10 | 5 | 33 | - | - | - | 12 | 5 | 33 |
|  |  | 283 | IB | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 3 |
|  |  | 284 | NB | 5 | 3 | 19 | - | - | - | 5 | 3 | 17 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 3A | 16 | EB | 11 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 30 | 0 | 1 |
|  |  | 50 | WB | 6 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 8 | 16 | 24 | 10 | 10 |
|  |  | 153 | WB | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO 4A | 16 | WB | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 |
|  |  | 50 | EB | 2 | 12 | 21 | 0 | 8 | 9 | 2 | 28 | 11 |
|  |  | 153 | EB | - | - | - | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 3012 | WESTBORO STN OFF ONLY | 270 | IB | 6 | 0 | 40 | - | - | - | 5 | 0 | 40 |
|  |  | 271 | IB | 1 | 6 | 57 | - | - | - | 1 | 6 | 57 |
|  |  | 272 | IB | 3 | 3 | 50 | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 49 |
|  |  | 273 | IB | 5 | 2 | 48 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 46 |
|  |  | 275 | IB | 5 | 3 | 59 | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 55 |
|  |  | 277 | IB | 7 | 12 | 55 | - | - | - | 9 | 14 | 55 |
|  |  | 278 | IB | 3 | 4 | 36 | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | 34 |

## APPENDIX D

## Traffic Count Data

 CHURCHILL AVE @ SCOTT STSurvey Date: Tuesday, August 13, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 38699
Device: Miovision


Comments

## CHURCHILL AVE @ SCOTT ST

Survey Date: Tuesday, August 13, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 38699
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## SCOTT ST @ WINONA AVE

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 16, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 38864
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## SCOTT ST @ WINONA AVE

Survey Date: Wednesday, October 16, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 38864
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## ATHLONE AVE @ SCOTT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2017
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 37320
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## ATHLONE AVE @ SCOTT ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2017
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 37320
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

Turning Movement Count - Study Results
ATHLONE AVE @ SCOTT ST
Survey Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2017
Start Time: 07:00
WO No:
37320
Device:
Miovision

## Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Wednesday, November 22, 2017

## Total Observed U-Turns

| Northbound: | 1 | Southbound: | 0 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eastbound: | 0 | Westbound: | 4 |

AADT Factor .90

|  | ATHLONE AVE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SCOTT ST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Grann } \\ \text { Total } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { WB } \\ \text { TOT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Period | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \text { NB } \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SB } \\ \text { TOT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \text { EB } \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST | RT |  |  |  |
| 07:00 08:00 | 5 | 0 | 24 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 9 | 326 | 4 | 339 | 5 | 210 | 5 | 220 | 559 | 588 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 7 | 0 | 28 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 9 | 461 | 9 | 479 | 22 | 249 | 6 | 277 | 756 | 791 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 9 | 312 | 4 | 325 | 15 | 248 | 1 | 264 | 589 | 613 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 6 | 269 | 15 | 290 | 20 | 281 | 3 | 304 | 594 | 625 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 9 | 0 | 30 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 10 | 252 | 7 | 269 | 8 | 244 | 0 | 252 | 521 | 560 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 3 | 0 | 19 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 7 | 301 | 6 | 314 | 11 | 409 | 2 | 422 | 736 | 758 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 10 | 0 | 24 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 10 | 319 | 12 | 341 | 19 | 464 | 1 | 484 | 825 | 859 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 8 | 396 | 9 | 413 | 21 | 523 | 0 | 544 | 957 | 999 |
| Sub Total | 48 | 0 | 208 | 256 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 68 | 2636 | 66 | 2770 | 121 | 2628 | 18 | 2767 | 5537 | 5793 |
| U Turns | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 4 |  |  | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Total | 49 | 0 | 208 | 257 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 68 | 2636 | 66 | 2770 | 125 | 2628 | 18 | 2771 | 5541 | 5798 |
| EQ 12 Hr | 68 | 0 | 289 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 357 | 95 | 3664 | 92 | 3851 | 174 | 3653 | 25 | 3852 | 7703 | 8060 |

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor. 1.39

| AVG 12Hr | 61 | 0 | 260 | 321 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 86 | 3298 | 83 | 3467 | 157 | 3288 | 22 | 3467 | 6934 | 7255 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr . totals by the AADT factor. . 90

| AVG 24 Hr | 80 | 0 | 341 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 113 | 4320 | 109 | 4542 | 206 | 4307 | 29 | 4542 | 9084 | 9505 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr . totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.
Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.
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## APPENDIX E

Collision Records

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2015 To: December 31, 2019

| Location: ATHLONE AVE @ SCOTT ST Traffic Control: Traffic signal |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions: 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuve | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2016-Aug-12, Fri,08:27 | Rain | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Wet | West <br> East | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Bicycle | Cyclist <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Oct-04, Wed, 16:57 | Rain | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Wet | West | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2019-Nov-22, Fri,07:15 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> East <br> East | Going ahead <br> Stopped <br> Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle Other motor vehicle Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| Location: CHURC <br> Traffic Control: Stop | HILL AVE @ sign | SCOTT ST |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions: | 5 |  |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuve | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2016-Feb-18, Thu,07:15 | Clear | SMV other | P.D. only | Ice | North | Pulling away from shoulder or curb | Automobile, station wagon | Skidding/sliding | 0 |
| 2017-Feb-10, Fri,00:00 | Clear | SMV unattended vehicle | P.D. only | Dry | East | Unknown | Unknown | Unattended vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Jan-15, Mon,19:15 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Loose snow | North <br> North | Stopped Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Feb-05, Mon, 16:24 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2019-Feb-12, Tue,16:00 | Snow | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Loose snow | North | Going ahead | Unknown | Pedestrian | 1 |

Location: MCRAE AVE @ SCOTT ST
Traffic Control: Stop sign Total Collisions: 6

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type |  | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-Feb-05, Thu, 13:15 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Wet | West | Reversing | Snow plow | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | North | Turning right | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Aug-08, Mon,13:00 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | North | Turning left | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2015 To: December 31, 2019

## Location: MCRAE AVE @ SCOTT ST

Traffic Control: Stop sign
Total Collisions: 6

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Sep-02, Fri, 10:10 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> North | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Jun-05, Mon, 13:09 | Clear | Angle | Non-fatal injury | Dry | North East | Turning right Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Dec-11, Wed,17:40 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Packed snow | West <br> West | Going ahead Stopped | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Dec-31, Tue,16:00 | Snow | Angle | P.D. only | Slush | North East | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| Location: SCOTT <br> Traffic Control: Stop | ST @ WIN sign | AVE |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions: | 1 |  |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2018-Aug-19, Sun, 14:13 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | West West | Pulling away from shoulder or curb Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |

Location: TWEEDSMUIR AVE @ SCOTT ST
Traffic Control: Traffic signal Total Collisions: 3

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type |  | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015-Jun-21, Sun, 12:50 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | West | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon |  | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Stopped | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Jun-09, Thu, 12:15 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Dry | North | Slowing or stopping Automobile, station wagon |  | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2016-Oct-03, Mon,08:03 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |

## APPENDIX F

Relevant Excerpts of TRANS Trip Generation Manual (WSP, 2020)
to make use of this resource while considering the local land use context and trip characteristics for all travel modes through local and regional data.

Table 2: Person-Trip Conversion Factor

| Factor | Application | Apply To | Period | Value |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Person-Trip <br> Conversion | Vehicle to person-trip conversion, to <br> normalize the measure of trip rates to <br> account for all modes. Applicable to the <br> Factor | Vehicle <br> ITE trip generation rates, which are <br> mainly reported as vehicle trip rates. | trip rates | All | 1.28

## 3 RESIDENTIAL TRIP GENERATION RATES

### 3.1 Development of Residential Trip Rates

The residential trip generation rates in this manual are reflect the number of person-trips per household during the peak period. The morning peak period is from 7:00 AM to 9:30 AM, while the afternoon peak period is from 3:30 PM to 6:00 PM.

A geographic review of trip generation rates found that rates varied by dwelling type but not significantly by the geographic sectors and districts used in the 2009 TRANS Trip Generation Study ${ }^{1}$. As such, residential trip generation rates in this manual are defined for the following three dwelling types:

- Single-Family Detached Housing
- Multifamily Housing (Low-Rise)
- Multifamily Housing (High-Rise)

Low-rise housing refers to any building that houses multiple families that is two storeys or less (e.g. semi-detached homes, townhouses). High-rise housing refers to any building that houses multiple families that is three or more storeys (e.g. apartments and condo buildings). These dwelling types are from the TRANS Origin-Destination Survey but are organized to be equivalent to the categories of the ITE Trip Generation Manual and local generator surveys.

[^3]
### 3.2 Recommended Residential Trip Generation Rates

A blended trip rate was developed from the three data sources through application of a rank-sum weighting process, considering the strengths and weaknesses of each dataset for the dwelling type in question. The recommended blended residential person-trip rates are presented in Table 3. All rates represent person-trips per dwelling unit and are to be applied to the AM or PM peak period.

Table 3: Recommended Residential Person-trip Rates

| ITE Land Use |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Code | Dwelling Unit Type $\quad$ Period | Person-Trip |
| :---: |
| Rate |

### 3.3 Adjustment Factors - Peak Period to Peak Hour

The various trip generation data sources require some adjustment to standardize the data for developing robust blended trip rates. The peak period conversion factor in Table 4 may be used where applicable to develop trip generation rate estimates in the desired format.

Table 4: Adjustment Factors for Residential Trip Generation Rates

| Factor | Application | Apply To | Period | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Peak Period Conversion Factor | Peak period to peak hour conversion. Because the 2020 TRANS Trip Generation Study reports trip generation rates by peak period, factors must be applied if the practitioner requires peak hour rates. In practice, the conversion to peak hour trip rates should occur after the application of modal shares. | Person-trip rates per peak period | AM | 0.50 |
|  |  |  | PM | 0.44 |
|  |  | Vehicle trip rates per peak period | AM | 0.48 |
|  |  |  | PM | 0.44 |
|  |  | Transit trip rates per peak period | AM | 0.55 |
|  |  |  | PM | 0.47 |
|  |  | Cycling trip rates per peak period | AM | 0.58 |
|  |  |  | PM | 0.48 |
|  |  | Walking trip rates per peak period | AM | 0.58 |
|  |  |  | PM | 0.52 |



Figure 1: National Capital Region by Sector

Table 8: Residential Mode Share for High-Rise Multifamily Housing

| District | Period | Mode |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Auto Driver | Auto Pass. | Transit | Cycling | Walking |
| Ottawa Centre | AM | 18\% | 2\% | 26\% | 1\% | 52\% |
|  | PM | 17\% | 9\% | 21\% | 1\% | 52\% |
| Ottawa Inner Area | AM | 26\% | 6\% | 28\% | 5\% | 34\% |
|  | PM | 25\% | 8\% | 21\% | 6\% | 39\% |
| Île de Hull | AM | 27\% | 3\% | 37\% | 12\% | 21\% |
|  | PM | 26\% | 8\% | 27\% | 11\% | 28\% |
| Ottawa East | AM | 39\% | 7\% | 38\% | 2\% | 13\% |
|  | PM | 40\% | 14\% | 28\% | 3\% | 15\% |
| Beacon Hill | AM | 48\% | 9\% | 30\% | 3\% | 10\% |
|  | PM | 52\% | 16\% | 28\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Alta Vista | AM | 38\% | 12\% | 42\% | 2\% | 7\% |
|  | PM | 45\% | 16\% | 28\% | 2\% | 9\% |
| Hunt Club | AM | 39\% | 6\% | 44\% | 1\% | 9\% |
|  | PM | 44\% | 11\% | 35\% | 2\% | 9\% |
| Merivale | AM | 41\% | 6\% | 42\% | 2\% | 8\% |
|  | PM | 41\% | 11\% | 33\% | 2\% | 13\% |
| Ottawa West | AM | 28\% | 11\% | 41\% | 3\% | 16\% |
|  | PM | 33\% | 11\% | 26\% | 7\% | 23\% |
| Bayshore/Cedarview | AM | 40\% | 12\% | 38\% | 2\% | 8\% |
|  | PM | 40\% | 15\% | 33\% | 1\% | 11\% |
| Hull Périphérie | AM | 48\% | 11\% | 30\% | 1\% | 10\% |
|  | PM | 47\% | 15\% | 23\% | 3\% | 13\% |
| Orleans | AM | 54\% | 7\% | 29\% | 0\% | 10\% |
|  | PM | 61\% | 13\% | 21\% | 0\% | 6\% |
| South Gloucester / Leitrim | AM | 50\% | 15\% | 25\% | 1\% | 9\% |
|  | PM | 53\% | 17\% | 21\% | 1\% | 9\% |
| South Nepean | AM | 58\% | 6\% | 30\% | 2\% | 4\% |
|  | PM | 54\% | 15\% | 25\% | 0\% | 7\% |
| Kanata - Stittsville | AM | 43\% | 26\% | 28\% | 0\% | 4\% |
|  | PM | 55\% | 19\% | 21\% | 0\% | 5\% |
| Plateau | AM | 53\% | 9\% | 35\% | 3\% | 1\% |
|  | PM | 65\% | 7\% | 25\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Aylmer | AM | 45\% | 17\% | 25\% | 0\% | 13\% |
|  | PM | 31\% | 21\% | 23\% | 4\% | 20\% |
| Pointe Gatineau | AM | 44\% | 15\% | 24\% | 3\% | 14\% |
|  | PM | 52\% | 15\% | 20\% | 2\% | 11\% |
| Gatineau Est | AM | 53\% | 10\% | 25\% | 0\% | 12\% |
|  | PM | 61\% | 10\% | 25\% | 0\% | 4\% |
| Masson-Angers | AM | 63\% | 15\% | 19\% | 0\% | 3\% |
|  | PM | 64\% | 18\% | 16\% | 0\% | 1\% |
| Other Rural Districts | AM | 63\% | 15\% | 19\% | 0\% | 3\% |
|  | PM | 64\% | 18\% | 16\% | 0\% | 1\% |

## 5 RESIDENTIAL DIRECTIONAL SPLITS

After calculating the total person trips generated by the development and applying the appropriate modal shares, directional factors can be applied to estimate the number of inbound and outbound trips by vehicle. The vehicle trip directional splits were developed for both the AM and PM peak periods ${ }^{2}$. The vehicle trip directional splits, as shown in Table 9, have been developed for the NCR based on a review of the local trip generator surveys as well as the latest published data in the ITE Trip Generation Manual (10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition).

Table 9: Recommended Vehicle Trip Directional Splits (Peak Period)

| ITE Land Use Code | Dwelling Unit Type | Period | Inbound | Outbound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 210 | Single-detached | AM | 30\% | 70\% |
|  |  | PM | 62\% | 38\% |
| 220 | Multi-Unit (Low-Rise) | AM | 30\% | 70\% |
|  |  | PM | 56\% | 44\% |
| 221 \& 222 | Multi-Unit (High-Rise) | AM | 31\% | 69\% |
|  |  | PM | 58\% | 42\% |

## 6 NON-RESIDENTIAL MODE SHARE

Mode shares were developed for three types of non-residential development: schools (elementary and high school); employment generators; and commercial (retail) generators. These mode shares were developed through data provided by the Ville de Gatineau from local school surveys as well as the TRANS Origin-Destination Survey. The non-residential mode shares presented below are limited and do not capture all development types. For data on the travel characteristics associated with colleges and universities, transportation terminals, and sports and entertainment venues in the National Capital Region, practitioners should refer to the various reports for the TRANS Special Generators Survey (2013), which are posted on the TRANS website. For other development types, practitioners may need to carry out their own local generator data collection where necessary.

[^4]
### 6.2 Employment Generators

Mode shares for trips to employment generators were developed from the 2011 TRANS Origin-Destination Survey by isolating the 'travel to work' trips. However, with the way the data is collected, employment related trips departing the workplace could not be isolated to identify mode share. As a result, peak direction mode shares could only be calculated for the AM peak period. Table 12 provides the mode share by district during the AM peak period for employment trips in the peak inbound direction. These trips represent trips to the workplace and do not include work-related trips (e.g. for business meetings) or trips classified as working on the road (e.g. delivery trips). Multi-modal trips for employment generators were classified by the mode used to arrive at the workplace (e.g. a park-andride trip would be classified as a transit trip since the person arrived at the workplace on transit). Considering the strong likelihood of employees using the same mode of transportation when leaving wok, it is fair to equivocate the PM peak period employment generator mode with the AM peak period.

Table 12: Employment Generator Mode Share by District (AM Peak Period)

| District | Mode |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Auto <br> Driver | Auto <br> Pass. | Transit | Cycling | Walking |
| Ottawa Centre | $24 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Ottawa Inner Area | $45 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Île de Hull | $40 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
| Ottawa East | $66 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Beacon Hill | $73 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Alta Vista | $69 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Hunt Club | $83 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Merivale | $70 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Ottawa West | $54 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Bayshore/Cedarview | $77 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Hull Périphérie | $75 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Orleans | $71 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| South Gloucester / | $89 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| Leitrim | $70 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| South Nepean | $80 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Kanata - Stittsville | $84 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Plateau | $82 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Aylmer | $83 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $5 \%$ |  |
| Pointe Gatineau | $80 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Gatineau Est | $88 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## APPENDIX G

Other Area Developments
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### 1.0 SCREENING

### 1.1 Introduction

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) report has been prepared in support of Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications for 335 Roosevelt Avenue.

The subject site is surrounded by the following:

- A Multi-Use Pathway (MUP) and the OC Transpo East-West Transitway to the north;
- Wilmont Avenue and low density residential development to the south;
- A high density residential apartment building to the east; and
- Roosevelt Avenue and low density residential development to the west.

A view of the subject site is provided in Figure 1.
The site currently has gated accesses at Roosevelt Avenue and at Wilmont Avenue, restricting local traffic from shortcutting between Richmond Road and Churchill Avenue.

Figure 1: View of the Subject Site


Figure 8: Site Generated Traffic
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## 1 Screening

This study has been prepared according to the City of Ottawa's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. Accordingly, a Step 1 Screening Form has been prepared and is included as Appendix A, along with the Certification Form for TIA Study PM. As shown in the Screening Form, a TIA is required including the Design Review Component and the Network Impact Component.

## 2 Existing and Planned Conditions

### 2.1 Proposed Development

The proposed development, located at 381 Churchill Avenue, 380 Winona Avenue, 319, 325, and 327 Richmond Road, is currently zoned as part Traditional Mainstreet (TM H15), part General Mixed Use (GM1), and part Residential Fourth Density (R4). The existing land uses include a car garage and maintenance shop, two small retail stores and a residential apartment with six units. TOD principles apply to the proposed development Study Area.

The proposed development is a nine-storey building with 184 apartment units, 1738 square metres of retail space, 130 vehicle parking spots, and 99 bicycle parking spaces. The site is proposed to have two accesses; one of which is a full movement access on Churchill Avenue approximately 65 metres north of the Churchill Avenue / Richmond Road intersection (measured from access centreline to intersection centre). The second access is located on Winona Avenue approximately 50 metres north of the Winona Avenue / Richmond Road intersection (measured from access centreline to intersection centre) and is a loading entrance with access solely to loading aisles. The anticipated full build-out and occupancy horizon is 2022. Figure 1 illustrates the Study Area context. Figure 2 illustrates the proposed site plan of the development.


Figure 13: New Site Generation Auto Volumes


## 6 Background Network Travel Demands

### 6.1 Transportation Network Plans

The transportation network plans were discussed in Section 2.3.1. Both TOD policies and the opening of the Westboro LRT station and Dominion LRT station have been accounted for within the modal share assumptions. No road improvements are noted for this area with the exception of future road sewer, and water work along Winona Avenue.
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## 1 Screening

This study has been prepared according to the City of Ottawa's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. Accordingly, a Step 1 Screening Form has been prepared and is included as Appendix A, along with the Certification Form for TIA Study PM. As shown in the Screening Form, a TIA is required including the Design Review component and the Network Impact Component. This study has been prepared to support a site plan application for 320 McRae Avenue.

## 2 Existing and Planned Conditions

### 2.1 Proposed Development

The proposed development located at 320 McRae Avenue is currently a mix of residential and commercial buildings. The site is in an area that is zoned as part Traditional Mainstreet (TM 2489 S382-h), part Parks and Open Space (O 1) and part General Mixed Zone (GM2490 H (15) h). The proposed development is within 400 metres of the future Westboro LRT Station to be built by 2025 and therefore TOD principles apply to the applicable future horizons.

The proposed development is made up of a four-storey commercial / residential tower, and a commercial / residential tower with both a 26-storey and a six-storey component. The development is expected to have 882 square metres ( 9,494 square feet) of commercial space, 307 apartment units, 11 townhouse units, 185 underground automobile parking spaces and 163 bicycle parking spaces. Of the 163 bicycle spaces, 123 will be underground and due to space restrictions, 15 bicycle parking spaces will be slightly off the property and 25 will be in the loading area. The site is proposed to have two full-movement accesses, one approximately 40 metres, curb to curb, south of Scott Street on Tweedsmuir Avenue (Site Access \#1) and the second approximately 120 metres, curb to curb, south of Scott Street on McRae Avenue (Site Access \#2). Site Access \#2 is a loading access and is intended for truck use only. A drop-off area is located on McRae Avenue, approximately 23 metres, curb to curb, south of Scott Street. The anticipated full build-out and occupancy horizon is 2022. Figure 1 illustrates the Study Area Context. Figure 2 illustrates the proposed concept plan.
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## TIA Strategy Report

## 1. SCREENING FORM

The Screening Form is provided as Appendix A. The trip generation trigger was met based on the development size, the location trigger was met based on the development being in a Design Priority Area (DPA), and the safety trigger was met based on the proposed site driveway's proximity to the Scott/Lanark signalized intersection. As triggers have been met, the TIA process continued with the Scoping and Forecasting reports, provided herein.

## 2. SCOPING REPORT

### 2.1. EXISTING AND PLANNED CONDITIONS

### 2.1.1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Based on the proposed Site Plan, it is our understanding that the proponent is proposing a single-phase residential development located at 1950 Scott Street with an expected occupancy date in 2020. The proposed residential development will consist of approximately 141 condominium/apartment units with 162 proposed residential parking spaces and 10 visitor parking spaces. A single full-movement vehicle access is proposed to Clifton Road at the southern boundary of the site. The site is located on three property parcels, which are currently occupied by a single occupant onestory building and single-family homes and are zoned as Residential Fifth Density and Residential Third Density. The local context of the site is provided as Figure 1 and the proposed Site Plan is provided as Figure 2.

Figure 1: Local Context


Figure 9: 'New' Site-Generated Traffic


It is noteworthy that the existing turn restrictions are understood to be in place to help prevent cut-through traffic through the neighbourhood. Based on the existing count data at the Clifton/Scott intersection, there are a number of drivers that do not comply with these existing turn restrictions. Some site-generated traffic originating/destined from/to the east will be required to travel along the southern portion on Clifton Road during the peak hours to comply with the existing turn restrictions. This is represented in Figure 9.

### 3.2. BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMANDS

### 3.2.1. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS

Refer to section 2.1.3 Planned Conditions - Planned Study Area Transportation Network Changes.

### 3.2.2. BACKGROUND GROWTH

Background traffic growth for the area is expected to grow based on significant planned area developments. However, given Stage 2 LRT construction, the City is expecting to see negative vehicle growth along Scott Street in the future (see map attached as Appendix E). As such, for background traffic projections, the projected vehicle volumes from the planned area developments ( 1960 Scott Street and 320 McRae ) were layered onto the existing traffic volumes for the build out year 2020. As the City expects to see a significant increase in transit modes once Stage 2 LRT is constructed in this area (2023) and a decline in traffic volumes, and as there is likely to be continued development growth in the area, the vehicle traffic volumes for horizon year 2025 is assumed to be the same as year 2020.
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## TIA STRATEGY REPORT

The following Strategy Report has been prepared in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBLA) for the proposed residential development located at 2050 Scott Street. This document follows the TIA process, as outlined in the City Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines (2017). City comments and responses have been included as Appendix A.

## 1. SCREENING FORM

The completed Screening Form for the proposed residential development at 2050 Scott Street confirmed the need for a TIA in support of the proposed development based on the Trip Generation, Location and Safety triggers. The proposed development consists of approximately 355 residential units; is located in a Design Priority Area (DPA) and Transit Oriented Development (TOD) area; and has a proposed driveway within the influence area of an adjacent traffic signal. The Screening Form is provided in Appendix B.

## 2. SCOPING REPORT

### 2.1. EXISTING AND PLANNED CONDITIONS

### 2.1.1. PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

It is our understanding that the proponent is proposing to construct a residential development located at 2050 Scott Street. A single-phased project is proposed with assumed buildout year of 2021. The development will consist of a 30-storey residential building on a 3 - and 6-storey podiums with approximately 353 units and $233 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of ground commercial/office. The taller portion of the building is located closer to Scott Street while the 3-and 6-storey podiums extend towards Ashton Avenue. Vehicle access is proposed at Scott Street via a single all movement driveway. An underground parking lot with 204 vehicle spaces and 292 bicycle spaces are proposed. The site is located between 2 different land zonings, TM[103] fronting Scott Street and R4G on the south portion of the parcel towards Ashton Avenue. This TIA is in support of a Zoning By-Law Amendment (ZBLA) to vary the height schedule from 6-storeys (18 meters) to 30-storeys within the TM zoning and from 4-storeys (11 meters) to 6-storeys within the R4 zoning. Height step-backs (staggering) are proposed to assist in the transition from low-rise to mid- and high-rise from south to north. This TIA is also in support of a Site Plan Application (SPA). The site is currently occupied by a mechanic garage, a hot tub retailer and 3 residential houses. The local context of the site is provided as Figure 1 and the proposed Site Plan is provided as Figure 2.

Figure 1: Local Context


Figure 9: ‘New’ Site-Generated Traffic


### 3.2. BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMANDS

### 3.2.1. TRANSPORTATION NETWORK PLANS

As mentioned in Section 2.1.3 Planned Conditions, 210 to 225 buses will be detoured on to Scott Street for the AM and PM peak periods respectively as part of the Stage 2 LRT West Extension construction. These buses were layered on to the study area intersections for the duration of anticipated construction (2021 to 2025) and are exhibited in Figure 10. Note that as part of the bus detours, Churchill/Scott intersection will be upgraded to a signalized intersection.

Figure 10: Buses Detoured on Scott Street


### 3.2.2. BACKGROUND GROWTH \& OTHER DEVELOPMENTS

The emphasis in the City's recent Official Plan and Transportation Master Plan is to place priority on transit, encourage intensification around transit stations, encourage mixed-use developments and provide "complete streets" that better accommodate the active transportation needs of its residents and reduce the use of the private auto. Given the location of the site near future Confederation Line LRT Extension and future Scott Street 'Complete Street' plan, the trips generated from this development as well as nearby developments will likely choose alternate modes of transportation over driving. It is expected to see a decrease in vehicle traffic along Scott Street in the future as the public transportation network near the site becomes mature and alternate modes of transportation become more desirable (see map of anticipated background growth attached as Appendix F). As such, the background vehicle traffic volumes for horizon year 2026 is assumed to be the same as year 2021.

The projected vehicle volumes from the planned area developments as discussed in Section 2.1.3. 'Planned Conditions Other Area Developments' were added to the study area intersections and are shown in Figure 11. The volumes from the other area development along with detoured buses were layered onto the existing traffic volumes for the future interim analysis volumes. Since the bus detour are anticipated between 2021 and 2025 , they have been removed from 2026
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Figure 1 - Site Location


Figure 12 - Site Trips


### 3.2 BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAVEL DEMAND

### 3.2.1 Transportation Network Plans

As outlined in Table 4 in Section 2.1.3.1, there are two transit projects that are expected to occur within the vicinity of the proposed development; Western Light Rail Transit and the Richmond Road Transit Signal Priority. Based on direction from the City of Ottawa, the Western LRT is planned to be implemented by the 2027 ultimate horizon of the subject development.

### 3.2.2 Background Growth

The City of Ottawa provided Figure 13 below, which outlines the average annual growth rates based on trend lines. As illustrated in this figure, the average annual growth in the Westboro neighbourhood is in the range of $0.2 \%-2.0 \%$. To be conservative, a $2 \%$ annual background growth rate was used in the subject analysis.

## APPENDIX H

## Strategic Long-Range Model




## APPENDIX I

Signal Timing Plans

Traffic Signal Timing
City of Ottawa, Public Works Department
Traffic Signal Operations Unit

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Intersection: | Main: Scott | Side: | Churchill |  |
| Controller: | ATC 3 | TSD: | 6040 |  |
| Author: | Matthew Andeson | Date: | 16-Jan-2023 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

## Existing Timing Plans ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak <br> 1 | Off Peak <br> 2 | PM Peak <br> 3 | Night <br> 4 | Weekend <br> 5 | Walk | DW | A+R |
| Cycle | 95 | 85 | 95 | 85 | 85 |  |  |  |
| Offset | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| EB Thru | 26 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 7 | 11 | $3.0+4.4$ |
| WB Thru | 26 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 7 | 11 | $3.0+4.4$ |
| NB Thru | 28 | 28 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 7 | 14 | $3.3+3.1$ |
| SB Thru | 28 | 28 | 33 | 28 | 28 | 7 | 14 | $3.3+3.1$ |
| WB Left | 41 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 27 | - | - | $3.0+3.8$ |
| NB Right (fp) | 41 | 27 | 32 | 27 | 27 | - | - | $3.0+3.8$ |

Phasing Sequence ${ }^{\ddagger}$
Plan:


Notes: 1) The WB left turn/NB right turn has a min recall of 5 s green
2) The NB right turn is prohibited on red
3) The EB left turn is prohibited

Schedule

| Weekday |  | Saturday |  | Sunday |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | Plan | Time | Plan | Time | Plan |
| 0:15 | 4 | 0:15 | 4 | 0:15 | 4 |
| 6:30 | 1 | 6:30 | 2 | 6:30 | 2 |
| 9:30 | 2 | 9:00 | 5 | 9:00 | 5 |
| 15:00 | 3 | 18:30 | 2 | 18:00 | 2 |
| 18:30 | 2 | 22:30 | 4 | 22:30 | 4 |

## Notes

$\dagger$ : Time for each direction includes amber and all red intervals
$\ddagger$ : Start of first phase should be used as reference point for offset
Asterisk (*) Indicates actuated phase
(fp): Fully Protected Left Turn
4............. Pedestrian signal

Traffic Signal Timing
City of Ottawa, Public Works \& Environmental Services Department
Traffic Signal Operations Unit

| Intersection: | Main: Scott | Side: | Athlone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Controller: | ATC 3 | TSD: | 6584 |
| Author: | Kymen Kwan | Date: | 06-Dec-2021 |

Existing Timing Plans ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Plan | Ped Minimum Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak <br> 1 | Off Peak <br> 2 | PM Peak <br> 3 | Night <br> 4 | Weekend <br> 5 | Walk | DW | A+R |  |  |
| Cycle | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |  |  |
| EB Thru | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | - | - | $3.3+2.5$ |  |  |
| WB Thru | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | 30.8 | - | - | $3.3+2.5$ |  |  |
| NS Ped | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 7 | 11 | $3.0+1.0$ |  |  |

## Phasing Sequence ${ }^{\ddagger}$

Plan: All


Schedule
Weekday

| Time | Plan |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0: 15$ | 4 |
| $6: 30$ | 1 |
| $9: 30$ | 2 |
| $15: 00$ | 3 |
| $18: 30$ | 2 |
| $21: 30$ | 4 |

Weekend

| Time | Plan |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0: 15$ | 4 |
| $6: 30$ | 2 |
| $11: 00$ | 5 |
| $19: 30$ | 2 |
| $22: 00$ | 4 |

## Notes

$\dagger$ : Time for each direction includes amber and all red intervals
$\ddagger$ : Start of first phase should be used as reference point for offset
Asterisk (*) Indicates actuated phase
(fp): Fully Protected Left Turn
4............. $\rightarrow$ Pedestrian signal

## Traffic Signal Timing

City of Ottawa, Public Works \& Environmental Services Department
Traffic Signal Operations Unit

| Intersection: | Main: Scott | Side: | Tweedsmuir |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Controller: | ATC 3 | TSD: | 5781 |
| Author: | Kymen Kwan | Date: | 06-Dec-2021 |

## Existing Timing Plans ${ }^{\dagger}$

| Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | AM Peak <br> 1 | Off Peak <br> 2 | PM Peak <br> 3 | Night <br> 4 | Weekend <br> 5 | Walk | DW | A+R |
| Cycle | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |
| Offset | X | X | X | X | X |  |  |  |
| EB Thru | 28 | 25 | 28 | 25 | 25 | - | - | $3.3+2.5$ |
| WB Thru | 28 | 25 | 28 | 25 | 25 | - | - | $3.3+2.5$ |
| NS Ped | 22 | 25 | 22 | 25 | 25 | 7 | 11 | $3.0+1.0$ |

## Phasing Sequence ${ }^{\ddagger}$

Plan: All


Schedule
Weekday

| Time | Plan |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0: 15$ | 4 |
| $6: 30$ | 1 |
| $9: 30$ | 2 |
| $15: 00$ | 3 |
| $18: 30$ | 2 |
| $22: 30$ | 4 |

Saturday

| Time | Plan |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0: 15$ | 4 |
| $6: 30$ | 2 |
| $9: 00$ | 5 |
| $18: 30$ | 2 |
| $22: 30$ | 4 |

Sunday

| Time | Plan |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0: 15$ | 4 |
| $6: 30$ | 2 |
| $9: 00$ | 5 |
| $18: 00$ | 2 |
| $22: 30$ | 4 |

## Notes

$\dagger$ : Time for each direction includes amber and all red intervals
$\ddagger$ : Start of first phase should be used as reference point for offset
Asterisk (*) Indicates actuated phase
(fp): Fully Protected Left Turn
4 Pedestrian signal

## APPENDIX J

## Existing Synchro Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $t$ | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「' |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 7 | 13 | 208 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 97 | 358 | 58 | 199 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 7 | 13 | 208 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 97 | 358 | 58 | 199 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.94 |  | 0.98 | 0.90 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.914 |  |  | 0.871 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.999 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.989 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1364 | 0 | 1461 | 1175 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 1383 | 0 | 1631 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.569 |  |  |  | 0.937 |  |  | 0.888 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1364 | 0 | 853 | 1175 | 0 | 0 | 1524 | 1383 | 0 | 1458 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 14 |  |  | 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 33 |  | 12 | 12 |  | 33 | 32 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 32 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 27 |  |  | 19 |  |  | 27 |  |  | 14 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 15\% | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 15\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 8 | 14 | 231 | 3 | 18 | 14 | 108 | 398 | 64 | 221 | 2 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 22 | 0 | 231 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 398 | 0 | 287 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\%$ |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | ¢4 | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 416 | 14 | 15 | 221 | 1 | 31 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 416 | 14 | 15 | 221 | 1 | 31 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.995 |  |  |  | 0.869 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.997 | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1617 | 0 | 0 | 2921 | 1454 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.997 | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1617 | 0 | 0 | 2921 | 1454 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 40 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 194.8 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 14.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | 2 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 22 |  |  |  | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 462 | 16 | 17 | 246 | 1 | 34 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 478 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 35 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 37.3\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 11 | 468 | 8 | 23 | 260 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 11 | 468 | 8 | 23 | 260 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1613 | 0 | 0 | 1543 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.945 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1599 | 0 | 0 | 1463 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 194.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 256.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 117 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 117 | 64 |  | 16 | 16 |  | 64 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 11 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 20\% | 1\% | 7\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 12 | 520 | 9 | 26 | 289 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 541 | 0 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |



| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 4 | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 475 | 3 | 10 | 263 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 475 | 3 | 10 | 263 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1558 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1531 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 149 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 149 | 6 |  | 122 | 122 |  | 6 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 528 | 3 | 11 | 292 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |

AM Peak Hour

|  | $4$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | , |  |  |  | - | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.2 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 41.8 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.44 |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.4 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.9 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.9 |  |  | 6.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 33.3 |  |  | 16.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 56.1 |  |  | 28.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  | 251.0 |  |  | 27.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1220 |  |  | 1139 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 313 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.59 |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 56.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 34.4\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 4: Tweedsmuir \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \emptyset 2$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  | 22 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $406$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |

AM Peak Hour

|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 490 | 25 | 98 | 265 | 13 | 73 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 490 | 25 | 98 | 265 | 13 | 73 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.993 |  |  |  | 0.885 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.987 | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1633 | 0 | 0 | 1607 | 1472 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.987 | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1633 | 0 | 0 | 1607 | 1472 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 544 | 28 | 109 | 294 | 14 | 81 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 572 | 0 | 0 | 403 | 95 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.1\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 |  |  | \% |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 475 | 3 | 10 | 263 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 475 | 3 | 10 | 263 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.875 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1564 | 0 | 0 | 1460 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1564 | 0 | 0 | 1460 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 149 |  | 15 | 15 |  | 149 | 6 |  | 122 | 122 |  | 6 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 8 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 528 | 3 | 11 | 292 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.5\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「' |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 7 | 11 | 291 | 8 | 46 | 18 | 148 | 208 | 41 | 117 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 7 | 11 | 291 | 8 | 46 | 18 | 148 | 208 | 41 | 117 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.95 |  | 0.96 | 0.86 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.919 |  |  | 0.872 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.998 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.987 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1464 | 0 | 1576 | 1181 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 1410 | 0 | 1618 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.605 |  |  |  | 0.955 |  |  | 0.815 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1464 | 0 | 968 | 1181 | 0 | 0 | 1584 | 1410 | 0 | 1330 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 12 |  |  | 51 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 41 |  | 17 | 17 |  | 41 | 33 |  | 11 | 11 |  | 33 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 12 |  |  | 61 |  |  | 22 |  |  | 26 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 9\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 8 | 12 | 323 | 9 | 51 | 20 | 164 | 231 | 46 | 130 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 20 | 0 | 323 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 184 | 231 | 0 | 179 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |


| 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.4 |  | 11.8 | 25.4 |  | 27.4 | 27.4 | 11.8 | 27.4 | 27.4 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 |  | 32.0 | 62.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 31.6\% |  | 33.7\% | 65.3\% |  | 34.7\% | 34.7\% | 33.7\% | 34.7\% | 34.7\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.6 |  | 25.2 | 54.6 |  | 26.6 | 26.6 | 25.2 | 26.6 | 26.6 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 4.4 |  | 3.8 | 4.4 |  | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.4 |  | 6.8 | 7.4 |  |  | 6.4 | 6.8 |  | 6.4 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | Max |  | Min | Max |  | None | None | Min | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |  |  | 11.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 40 |  |  | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 29.4 |  | 55.4 | 54.8 |  |  | 17.3 | 18.6 |  | 17.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 |  | 0.64 | 0.64 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.22 |  | 0.20 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.04 |  | 0.43 | 0.08 |  |  | 0.58 | 0.76 |  | 0.67 |  |
| Control Delay | 16.5 |  | 9.7 | 3.1 |  |  | 38.2 | 47.8 |  | 43.8 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 16.5 |  | 9.7 | 3.1 |  |  | 38.2 | 47.8 |  | 43.8 |  |
| LOS | B |  | A | A |  |  | D | D |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay | 16.5 |  |  | 8.7 |  |  | 43.5 |  |  | 43.8 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.9 |  | 22.3 | 0.5 |  |  | 25.2 | 34.2 |  | 25.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 6.0 |  | 38.0 | 4.8 |  |  | 43.5 | 54.5 |  | 43.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 53.3 |  |  | 59.9 |  |  | 291.3 |  |  | 249.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 508 |  | 802 | 771 |  |  | 491 | 414 |  | 413 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 |  | 0.40 | 0.08 |  |  | 0.37 | 0.56 |  | 0.43 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 29.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 70.0\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 6 |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | * 4 | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 338 | 6 | 39 | 435 | 2 | 38 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 338 | 6 | 39 | 435 | 2 | 38 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.998 |  |  |  | 0.871 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 3114 | 1456 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.998 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 3114 | 1456 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 40 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 194.8 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 14.0 | 21.0 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 6 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 376 | 7 | 43 | 483 | 2 | 42 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 383 | 0 | 0 | 526 | 44 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.1\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 4 | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 396 | 9 | 21 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 396 | 9 | 21 | 523 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1621 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1603 | 0 | 0 | 1621 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 194.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 256.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 18.5 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 130 |  | 16 | 16 |  | 130 | 87 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 87 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 4 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 9 | 440 | 10 | 23 | 581 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 459 | 0 | 0 | 604 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  |  |  |  | WBL |  | 4 <br> WBR | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \text { NBL } \end{aligned}$ | NBT | NBR |  | $\stackrel{1}{\text { ¢ }}$ | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.8 | 30.8 |  | 30.8 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 44.8 |  |  | 44.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.2 |  |  | 9.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.2 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.2 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 29.5 |  |  | 44.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 49.1 |  |  | 74.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 170.8 |  |  | 60.2 |  |  | 232.4 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1193 |  |  | 1205 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 299 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.38 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 54.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.9\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Athlone \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \varnothing 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{SR}_{64}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 s |
| $\sqrt{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | * |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 402 | 7 | 14 | 444 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 402 | 7 | 14 | 444 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1671 | 0 | 0 | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.984 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1671 | 0 | 0 | 1591 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 228 |  | 23 | 23 |  | 228 | 10 |  | 187 | 187 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 2 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 447 | 8 | 16 | 493 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 455 | 0 | 0 | 515 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |

## PM Peak Hour

 Existing Traffic

PM Peak Hour

| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | Internal Link Dist (m) |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{\dagger}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 399 | 39 | 95 | 430 | 30 | 168 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 399 | 39 | 95 | 430 | 30 | 168 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.988 |  |  |  | 0.885 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.991 | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1647 | 1472 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.991 | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1647 | 1472 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 50 | 50 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 443 | 43 | 106 | 478 | 33 | 187 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 486 | 0 | 0 | 584 | 220 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 84.6\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




## APPENDIX K

## Background Synchro Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | F |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 233 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 377 | 58 | 199 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 233 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 377 | 58 | 199 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.93 |  | 0.96 | 0.89 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.924 |  |  | 0.874 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.999 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.989 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1356 | 0 | 1461 | 1163 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 1383 | 0 | 1630 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.577 |  |  |  | 0.944 |  |  | 0.891 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1356 | 0 | 851 | 1163 | 0 | 0 | 1533 | 1383 | 0 | 1456 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 13 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 15\% | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 15\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 233 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 377 | 58 | 199 | 2 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 233 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 377 | 0 | 259 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 6 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | $\uparrow_{*}+$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 429 | 20 | 21 | 232 | 15 | 45 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 429 | 20 | 21 | 232 | 15 | 45 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.899 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 2920 | 1488 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 2920 | 1488 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 194.8 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 14.0 | 28.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 30 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 429 | 20 | 21 | 232 | 15 | 45 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 449 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 60 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | ¢ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 11 | 512 | 8 | 23 | 275 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 11 | 512 | 8 | 23 | 275 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1616 | 0 | 0 | 1545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.951 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1604 | 0 | 0 | 1475 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 194.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 256.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 30.8 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 120 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 120 | 70 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 20\% | 1\% | 7\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 512 | 8 | 23 | 275 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |



| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | Internal Link Dist (m) |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | Storage Cap Reductn |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | Intersection Summary |


|  | $4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 519 | 3 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 519 | 3 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.983 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1539 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 160 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 160 | 10 |  | 130 | 130 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 519 | 3 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 522 | 0 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  | $\psi$EBL |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $4$ | NBT |  | $\begin{gathered} > \\ \text { SBL } \end{gathered}$ | $\downarrow$SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.2 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 41.8 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.3 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.8 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.8 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 32.4 |  |  | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 54.6 |  |  | 27.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  | 251.0 |  |  | 27.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1220 |  |  | 1144 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 316 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.58 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 56.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.0 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity U | 7.1\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: $\quad 4$ : Tweedsmuir \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow ø 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}_{604}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  | 22 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sqrt{\square 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\%$ |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 529 | 30 | 108 | 278 | 15 | 102 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 529 | 30 | 108 | 278 | 15 | 102 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.993 |  |  |  | 0.882 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.986 | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1633 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 1469 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.986 | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1633 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 1469 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 529 | 30 | 108 | 278 | 15 | 102 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 559 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 117 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.6\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | \% |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 11 | 512 | 8 | 23 | 275 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 11 | 512 | 8 | 23 | 275 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.878 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1617 | 0 | 0 | 1550 | 0 | 0 | 1464 | 0 | 0 | 846 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1617 | 0 | 0 | 1550 | 0 | 0 | 1464 | 0 | 0 | 846 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 194.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 256.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 30.8 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 120 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 120 | 70 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 20\% | 1\% | 7\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 512 | 8 | 23 | 275 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 531 | 0 | 0 | 304 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.5\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | \% | ( | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 519 | 3 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 519 | 3 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.876 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1569 | 0 | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1569 | 0 | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 160 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 160 | 10 |  | 130 | 130 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 519 | 3 | 10 | 278 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 522 | 0 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.2\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\frac{1}{\dagger}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「' |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 311 | 8 | 46 | 18 | 149 | 235 | 41 | 118 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 311 | 8 | 46 | 18 | 149 | 235 | 41 | 118 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.95 |  | 0.96 | 0.84 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.922 |  |  | 0.872 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.997 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1461 | 0 | 1576 | 1149 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 1410 | 0 | 1617 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.605 |  |  |  | 0.956 |  |  | 0.867 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1461 | 0 | 962 | 1149 | *1 | 0 | 1584 | 1410 | 0 | 1407 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 46 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 50 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 50 | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 9\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 311 | 8 | 46 | 18 | 149 | 235 | 41 | 118 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 19 | 0 | 311 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 235 | 0 | 162 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |


| 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | 4 | \% |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 |  | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 25.4 |  | 11.8 | 25.4 |  | 27.4 | 27.4 | 11.8 | 27.4 | 27.4 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.0 |  | 32.0 | 62.0 |  | 33.0 | 33.0 | 32.0 | 33.0 | 33.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 31.6\% |  | 33.7\% | 65.3\% |  | 34.7\% | 34.7\% | 33.7\% | 34.7\% | 34.7\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.6 |  | 25.2 | 54.6 |  | 26.6 | 26.6 | 25.2 | 26.6 | 26.6 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 4.4 |  | 3.8 | 4.4 |  | 3.1 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 3.1 | 3.1 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 7.4 |  | 6.8 | 7.4 |  |  | 6.4 | 6.8 |  | 6.4 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lag |  | Lead |  |  |  |  | Lead |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |  |  | Yes |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | Max |  | Min | Max |  | None | None | Min | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |  |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |  |  | 11.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  | 14.0 | 14.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 40 |  |  | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 40 | 40 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 29.2 |  | 55.4 | 54.8 |  |  | 16.8 | 18.7 |  | 16.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.34 |  | 0.65 | 0.64 |  |  | 0.20 | 0.22 |  | 0.20 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.04 |  | 0.41 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.54 | 0.76 |  | 0.58 |  |
| Control Delay | 16.5 |  | 9.2 | 3.0 |  |  | 37.1 | 47.3 |  | 39.6 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 16.5 |  | 9.2 | 3.0 |  |  | 37.1 | 47.3 |  | 39.6 |  |
| LOS | B |  | A | A |  |  | D | D |  | D |  |
| Approach Delay | 16.5 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  | 43.1 |  |  | 39.6 |  |
| Approach LOS | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | D |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 0.9 |  | 21.3 | 0.5 |  |  | 22.6 | 34.8 |  | 22.1 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 5.8 |  | 34.6 | 4.4 |  |  | 39.9 | 54.2 |  | 39.6 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 53.3 |  |  | 59.9 |  |  | 291.3 |  |  | 249.6 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 40.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 507 |  | 805 | 753 |  |  | 494 | 417 |  | 440 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.04 |  | 0.39 | 0.07 |  |  | 0.34 | 0.56 |  | 0.37 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 85.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 75 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 28.6 |  |  | Intersection LOS: C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 73.1\% |  |  |  | Level of | ervice D |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | *4 | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 355 | 16 | 49 | 447 | 10 | 46 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 355 | 16 | 49 | 447 | 10 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.889 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.995 | 0.991 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 3112 | 1476 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.995 | 0.991 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 3112 | 1476 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 83.9 |  |  | 194.8 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 14.0 | 28.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 355 | 16 | 49 | 447 | 10 | 46 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 371 | 0 | 0 | 496 | 56 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.3\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | * |  | \% | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 425 | 9 | 21 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 425 | 9 | 21 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1623 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1608 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 194.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 256.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 30.8 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 140 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 140 | 90 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 90 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 425 | 9 | 21 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 577 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |



| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | * |  |  | $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 431 | 7 | 14 | 477 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 431 | 7 | 14 | 477 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1620 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 240 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 240 | 10 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 431 | 7 | 14 | 477 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 496 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |



| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 425 | 42 | 122 | 459 | 34 | 186 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 425 | 42 | 122 | 459 | 34 | 186 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.988 |  |  |  | 0.886 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.992 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1472 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.992 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1472 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 425 | 42 | 122 | 459 | 34 | 186 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 467 | 0 | 0 | 581 | 220 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 91.5\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 425 | 9 | 21 | 556 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 425 | 9 | 21 | 556 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.875 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1624 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 1460 | 0 | 0 | 846 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1624 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 1460 | 0 | 0 | 846 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 194.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 256.4 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 14.0 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 30.8 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 140 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 140 | 90 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 90 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 425 | 9 | 21 | 556 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 442 | 0 | 0 | 577 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.9\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | \% |  | $\downarrow$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 431 | 7 | 14 | 477 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 431 | 7 | 14 | 477 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.899 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1488 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1488 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 240 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 240 | 10 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 431 | 7 | 14 | 477 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 438 | 0 | 0 | 496 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.1\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX L

## Transportation Demand Management

# TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist: Residential Developments (multi-family or condominium) 

## Legend

REQUIRED The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed
BASIC

BETTER
The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users

The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

| TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WALKING \& CYCLING: ROUTES |  |
|  |  | Building location \& access points |  |
| BASIC | 1.1.1 | Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 1.1.2 | Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations | $\checkmark$ |
| BASIC | 1.1.3 | Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort | $\nabla$ |
|  |  | Facilities for walking \& cycling |  |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.1 | Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations (see Official Plan policy 4.3.3) | $\nabla$ |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.2 | Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.12) | $\nabla$ |


| TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments |  |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .3$ | Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) | $\checkmark$ |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .4$ | Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps (see Official Plan policy 4.3.10) | $\nabla$ |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.5 | Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and onroad cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians (see Official Plan policy 4.3.11) | $\nabla$ |
| BASIC | 1.2.6 | Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops | $\checkmark$ |
| BASIC | 1.2.7 | Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 1.2.8 | Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, or provide a separated cycling facility | $\square$ |
|  | 1.3 | Amenities for walking \& cycling |  |
| BASIC | 1.3.1 | Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 1.3.2 | Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious) | $\square$ |


|  | TDM-s | upportive design \& infrastructure measures: Residential developments | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WALKING \& CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES |  |
|  |  | Bicycle parking |  |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.1 | Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see Official Plan policy 4.3.6) | $\square$ |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.2 | Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or wellused areas (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\nabla$ |
| REQUIRED | 2.1.3 | Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than $50 \%$ of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 2.1.4 | Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of resident-owned bicycles, plus the expected peak number of visitor cyclists | $\square$ |
|  | 2.2 | Secure bicycle parking |  |
| REQUIRED | 2.2.1 | Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single residential building, locate at least $25 \%$ of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\nabla$ |
| BETTER | 2.2.2 | Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units at condominiums or multifamily residential developments | $\square$ |
|  | 2.3 | Bicycle repair station |  |
| BETTER | $2.3 .1$ | Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided) | $\square$ |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Customer amenities |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops | $\square$ |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter | $\square$ |
| BETTER | 3.1.3 | Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building | $\square$ |



## TDM Measures Checklist:

Residential Developments (multi-family, condominium or subdivision)

## Legend

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
better
The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

* The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

| TDM measures: Residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Program coordinator |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 1.1.1 | Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator | $\square$ |
| 1.2 Travel surveys |  |  |  |
| better | 1.2.1 | Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress | $\square$ |
| 2. WALKING AND CYCLING |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes \& destinations |  |  |  |
| BASIC | 2.1.1 | Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances (multi-family, condominium) | $\checkmark$ |
|  | 2.2 | Bicycle skills training |  |
| better | 2.2.1 | Offer on-site cycling courses for residents, or subsidize off-site courses | $\square$ |


| TDM measures: Residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |  |
|  | 3.1 | Transit information |  |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances (multi-family, condominium) | $\square$ |  |
| better | 3.1.2 | Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances (multi-family, condominium) | $\square$ |  |
|  | 3.2 | Transit fare incentives |  |  |
| BASIC | $\star \text { 3.2.1 }$ | Offer PRESTO cards preloaded with one monthly transit pass on residence purchase/move-in, to encourage residents to use transit | $\square$ |  |
| better | 3.2.2 | Offer at least one year of free monthly transit passes on residence purchase/move-in | $\square$ |  |
|  | 3.3 | Enhanced public transit service |  |  |
| BETTER | * 3.3.1 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide early transit services until regular services are warranted by occupancy levels (subdivision) | $\square$ |  |
|  | 3.4 | Private transit service |  |  |
| BETTER | 3.4.1 | Provide shuttle service for seniors homes or lifestyle communities (e.g. scheduled mall or supermarket runs) | $\square$ |  |
|  | 4. | CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |  |
|  | 4.1 | Bikeshare stations \& memberships |  |  |
| BETTER | 4.1.1 | Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station (multi-family) | $\square$ |  |
| BETTER | 4.1.2 | Provide residents with bikeshare memberships, either free or subsidized (multi-family) | $\square$ |  |
|  | 4.2 | Carshare vehicles \& memberships |  |  |
| BETTER | 4.2.1 | Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by residents | $\square$ |  |
| BETTER | 4.2.2 | Provide residents with carshare memberships, either free or subsidized | $\square$ |  |
|  | 5. | PARKING |  |  |
|  | 5.1 | Priced parking |  |  |
| BASIC | * 5.1.1 | Unbundle parking cost from purchase price (condominium) | $\square$ |  |
| BASIC | * 5.1.2 | Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent (multi-family) | $\checkmark$ |  |

Check if proposed \& add descriptions

## 6. TDM MARKETING \& COMMUNICATIONS

### 6.1 Multimodal travel information

| BASIC | $\star$ 6.1.1 | Provide a multimodal travel option information <br> package to new residents |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

### 6.2 Personalized trip planning

BETTER * 6.2.1 Offer personalized trip planning to new residents

## APPENDIX M

MMLOS Analysis

## Segment MMLOS Analysis

This section provides a review of the boundary streets Scott Street and Athlone Avenue, using complete streets principles. The Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) Guidelines, produced by IBI Group in October 2015, were used to evaluate the levels of service for each alternative mode of transportation, based on the targets for roadways 'within 600 m of a rapid transit station.'

Exhibit 4 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the segment pedestrian level of service (PLOS) of the boundary streets. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggest a target PLOS A for all roadways within 600 m of a rapid transit station. The results of the segment PLOS analysis are summarized in Table 1.

Exhibit 11 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the segment bicycle level of service (BLOS) of the boundary streets. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggest a target BLOS A for Crosstown Bikeways within 600m of a rapid transit station (Scott Street), and a target BLOS D for all roadways with no cycling designation within 600 m of a rapid transit station (Athlone Avenue). The results of the segment BLOS analysis are summarized in Table 2.

Exhibit 15 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the segment transit level of service (TLOS) of Scott Street only, as transit service is not provided on Athlone Avenue. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggest a target TLOS A for Rapid Transit Corridors within 600 m of a rapid transit station. The results of the segment TLOS analysis are summarized in Table 3.

Exhibit 20 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the segment truck level of service (TkLOS) of Scott Street only, as Athlone Avenue is not a truck route. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggest a target TkLOS D for Truck Routes within 600m of a rapid transit station. The results of the segment TkLOS analysis are summarized in Table 4.

Table 1: PLOS Segment Analysis

| Sidewalk Width | Boulevard Width | Avg. Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume | Presence of OnStreet Parking | Operating Speed ${ }^{(1)}$ | PLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scott Street (north side, Winona Avenue to Athlone Avenue) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | > 2.0 m | > 3,000 vpd | No | 60 km/h | C |
| Scott Street (south side, Winona Avenue to Athlone Avenue) |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.8 m | > 2.0 m | $>3,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | No | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | D |
| Athlone Avenue (east side, Scott Street to Richmond Road) |  |  |  |  |  |
| No sidewalk |  | $\leq 3,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | Yes | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | F |
| Athlone Avenue (west side, Scott Street to Richmond Road) |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\geq 2.0 \mathrm{~m}$ | Om | $\leq 3,000 \mathrm{vpd}$ | Yes | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | C |

1. Operating speed taken as the speed limit plus $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

Table 2: BLOS Segment Analysis

| Road Class | Bike Route | Type of Bikeway | Travel Lanes | Operating Speed | BLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scott Street (north side, Winona Avenue to Athlone Avenue) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Arterial | Crosstown Bikeway | Cycle Track | 2 | $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | A |
| Athlone Avenue (both sides, Scott Street to Richmond Road)     <br> Local No Class Mixed Traffic 2 $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3: TLOS Segment Analysis

| Facility Type | Exposure to Congestion Delay, Friction, and Incidents |  |  | TLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Friction | Incident Potential |  |  |
| Scott Street (Winona Avenue to Athlone Avenue) |  |  |  |  |
| Mixed Traffic - Limited <br> Parking/Driveway Friction | Yes | Low | Medium | D |

Table 4: TkLOS Segment Analysis

| Curb Lane Width | Number of Travel Lanes Per Direction | TkLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scott Street (Winona Avenue to Athlone Avenue) |  |  |
| 3.5 m to 3.7 m | 1 | B |

## Intersection MMLOS Analysis

The following provides a review of the signalized intersections within the study area, using complete streets principles. All study area intersections are within 600 m of a rapid transit station, and therefore those MMLOS targets have been used to evaluate each intersection. Scott Street/Churchill Avenue has been evaluated based on the future signal planned for that intersection. Scott Street/Athlone Avenue and Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue have been evaluated based on existing conditions.

Exhibit 5 of the Addendum to the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the existing PLOS of the study area intersections. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggests a target PLOS A for all roadways within 600 m of a rapid transit station. The future signal at Scott Street/Churchill Avenue has not been evaluated for delay score. The results of the intersection PLOS analysis are summarized in Table 5 through Table 7.

Exhibit 12 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the existing BLOS of the study area intersections. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggests a target BLOS A for Crosstown Bikeways within 600 m of a rapid transit station (Scott Street, Churchill Avenue), and a target BLOS D for all roadways with no cycling route designation within 600 m of a rapid transit station (Athlone Avenue, Tweedsmuir Avenue). The results of the intersection BLOS analysis are summarized in Table 9.

Exhibit 16 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the existing TLOS of the study area intersections. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines suggests a target TLOS A for Rapid Transit Corridors within 600 m of a rapid transit station (east approach of Scott Street, south approach of Churchill Avenue), and does not identify a target TLOS for roadways without a Rapid Transit or Transit Priority designation (west approach of Scott Street, north approach of Churchill Avenue, Athlone Avenue, Tweedsmuir Avenue). The results of the intersection TLOS analysis are summarized in Table 10.

Exhibit 21 of the MMLOS Guidelines has been used to evaluate the existing TkLOS of the study area intersections. Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS Guidelines identifies a target TkLOS D for arterial truck routes within 600 m of a rapid transit station (east approach of Scott Street, south approach of Churchill Avenue). No target is identified for local roadways with no truck route designations within 600 m of a rapid transit station (Athlone Avenue, Tweedsmuir Avenue). Therefore, only the intersection of Scott Street/Churchill Avenue has been evaluated for TkLOS. The results of the intersection TkLOS analysis are summarized in Table 11.

Table 5: PLOS Intersection Analysis - Scott Street/Churchill Avenue

| CRITERIA | North Approach |  | South Approach |  | East Approach |  | West Approach |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PETSISCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CROSSING DISTANCE CONDITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median > 2.4 m in Width | No | 88 | No | 88 | No | 72 | No | 88 |
| Lanes Crossed (3.5m Lane Width) | 4 |  | 4 |  | 5 |  | 4 |  |
| SIGNAL PHASING AND TIMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Left Turn Conflict | No Left Turn/Prohibited | 0 | Perm + Prot | -8 | Permissive | -8 | Permissive | -8 |
| Right Turn Conflict | Permissive or Yield | -5 | Permissive or Yield | -5 | Protected | 0 | Permissive or Yield | -5 |
| Right Turn on Red | RTOR Allowed | -3 | RTOR Prohibited | 0 | RTOR Allowed | -3 | RTOR Allowed | -3 |
| Leading Pedestrian Interval | No | -2 | No | -2 | No | -2 | No | -2 |
| CORNER RADIUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel Radius | $>5 \mathrm{~m}$ to 10 m | -5 | $>5 \mathrm{~m}$ to 10 m | -5 | $>10 \mathrm{~m}$ to 15 m | -6 | $>5 \mathrm{~m}$ to 10 m | -5 |
| Parallel Right Turn Channel | No Right Turn Channel | -4 | No Right Turn Channel | -4 | No Right Turn Channel | -4 | No Right Turn Channel | -4 |
| Perpendicular Radius | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Perpendicular Right Turn Channel | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| CROSSING TREATMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment | Zebra Stripe | -4 | Zebra Stripe | -4 | Zebra Stripe | -4 | Zebra Stripe | -4 |
|  | PETSI SCORELOS | 65 |  | 60 |  | 45 |  | 57 |
|  |  | c |  | c |  | D |  | D |
| DELAY SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length |  | 95 |  | 95 |  | 95 |  | 95 |
| Pedestrian Walk Time |  | 48.6 |  | 7.6 |  | 7.6 |  | 7.6 |
|  | DELAY SCORE LOS | $\begin{gathered} 11.3 \\ B \end{gathered}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {40.2 }}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {c }}^{40.2}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {40.2 }}$ |
| OVERALL |  | C |  | E |  | E |  | E |

Table 6: PLOS Intersection Analysis - Scott Street/Athlone Avenue

| CRITERIA | North Approach |  | South Approach |  | East Approach |  | West Approach |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PETSI SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| CROSSING DISTANCE CONDITIONS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median > 2.4 m in Width | N/A | 0 | No | 105 | N/A | 0 | No | 88 |
| Lanes Crossed ( 3.5 m Lane Width) | N/A |  | 3 |  | N/A |  | 4 |  |
| SIGNAL PHASING AND TIMING |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Left Turn Conflict | N/A | 0 | Permissive | -8 | N/A | 0 | Permissive | -8 |
| Right Turn Conflict | N/A | 0 | Permissive or Yield | -5 | N/A | 0 | No Right Turn/Prohibited | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red | N/A | 0 | RTOR Prohibited | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Leading Pedestrian Interval | N/A | 0 | No | -2 | N/A | 0 | No | -2 |
| CORNER RADIUS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parallel Radius | N/A | 0 | <3m | -3 | N/A | 0 | No Right Turn | 0 |
| Parallel Right Turn Channel | N/A | 0 | No Right Turn Channel | -4 | N/A | 0 | No Right Turn | 0 |
| Perpendicular Radius | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Perpendicular Right Turn Channel | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| CROSSING TREATMENT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Treatment | N/A | 0 | Zebra Stripe | -4 | N/A | 0 | Zebra Stripe | -4 |
|  | PETSI SCORE | - |  | 79 |  | - |  | 74 |
|  | LOS | - |  | B |  | - |  | C |
| DELAY SCORE |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 54.8 |
| Pedestrian Walk Time |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  | 9.0 |
|  | DELAY SCORE | - |  | - |  | $\stackrel{-}{-}$ |  | 19.1 B |
|  | OVERALL | - |  | B |  | - |  | C |

Table 7: PLOS Intersection Analysis - Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue


Table 8: BLOS Intersection Analysis

| Approach | Facility Type | Criteria | Travel Lanes and/or Speed | BLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue |  |  |  |  |
| North Approach | Mixed Traffic | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Protected intersection | A |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation |  |  |
| South Approach | Mixed Traffic | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Protected intersection | A |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation |  |  |
| East Approach | Cycle Track | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Protected intersection | A |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation |  |  |
| West Approach | Cycle Track | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Protected intersection | A |
|  |  | Left Turn <br> Accommodation |  |  |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue |  |  |  |  |
| North Approach | N/A | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Cyclists prohibited; transit approach only (currently closed) | - |
|  |  | Left Turn <br> Accommodation |  |  |
| South Approach | Mixed Traffic | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Shared left turn/through/right turn lane | A |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation | No lanes crossed; $\geq 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | D |
| East Approach | Cycle Track | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Cyclists prohibited; transit only | - |
|  |  | Left Turn <br> Accommodation | No lanes crossed; $\geq 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | C |
| West Approach | Cycle Track | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Shared through/right turn lane | A |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation | Cyclists prohibited; transit only | - |
| Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue |  |  |  |  |
| North Approach | N/A | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Cyclists prohibited; transit approach only (currently closed) | - |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation |  |  |
| South Approach | Mixed Traffic | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Shared left turn/through/right turn lane | A |
|  |  | Left Turn <br> Accommodation | No lanes crossed; $\geq 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | D |
| East Approach | Cycle Track | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Cyclists prohibited; transit only | - |
|  |  | Left Turn <br> Accommodation | No lanes crossed; $\geq 60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | C |
| West Approach | Cycle Track | Right Turn Lane Characteristics | Shared through/right turn lane | A |
|  |  | Left Turn Accommodation | Cyclists prohibited; transit only | - |

Table 9: TLOS Intersection Analysis

| Approach | Delay ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | TLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue Peak |  |  |  |
| North Approach | 60 sec | 39 sec | F |
| South Approach | 35 sec | 27 sec | E |
| East Approach | 9 sec | 9 sec | B |
| Scott Street/Athlone Avenue | 8 sec | B |  |
| East Approach | 8 sec | B |  |
| West Approach | 9 sec | 8 sec |  |
| Scott Street/Tweedsmuir Avenue |  | B |  |
| East Approach | 7 sec | 8 sec | B |
| West Approach | 9 sec | 8 sec |  |

1. Delay based on outputs from Synchro analysis of 2031 total conditions

Table 10: TkLOS Intersection Analysis

| Approach | Effective Corner Radius | Number of Receiving Lanes <br> Departing Intersection | TkLOS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scott Street/Churchill Avenue | 1 | F |  |
| North Approach | $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 1 | E |
| South Approach | 10 m to 15 m | 1 | F |
| East Approach | $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ | 1 | F |
| West Approach | $<10 \mathrm{~m}$ |  |  |

## APPENDIX N

Total Synchro Analysis

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | \% |  | - | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | T |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 238 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 379 | 55 | 199 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 238 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 379 | 55 | 199 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.93 |  | 0.96 | 0.89 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.924 |  |  | 0.874 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.999 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.989 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1356 | 0 | 1461 | 1163 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 1383 | 0 | 1630 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.577 |  |  |  | 0.944 |  |  | 0.896 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1356 | 0 | 851 | 1163 | 0 | 0 | 1533 | 1383 | 0 | 1465 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 13 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 15\% | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 15\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 238 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 379 | 55 | 199 | 2 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 238 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 379 | 0 | 256 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | * $\uparrow+$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 428 | 20 | 21 | 237 | 15 | 45 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 428 | 20 | 21 | 237 | 15 | 45 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.899 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 2919 | 1488 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 2919 | 1488 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 86.1 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 28.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 30 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 428 | 20 | 21 | 237 | 15 | 45 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 448 | 0 | 0 | 258 | 60 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 11 | 512 | 7 | 28 | 261 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 11 | 512 | 7 | 28 | 261 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1617 | 0 | 0 | 1545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.936 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1604 | 0 | 0 | 1452 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 108.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 62.0 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 120 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 120 | 70 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 20\% | 1\% | 7\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 11 | 512 | 7 | 28 | 261 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 530 | 0 | 0 | 295 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |



| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\frac{1}{1}$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 530 | 3 | 10 | 268 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 530 | 3 | 10 | 268 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1562 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1537 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 160 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 160 | 10 |  | 130 | 130 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 530 | 3 | 10 | 268 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 533 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type Cl+Ex Cl+Ex Cl+Ex <br> Detector 1 Channel    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type Cl+Ex Cl+Ex <br> Detector 2 Channel 0.0  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  | $\psi$EBL |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $4$ | ¢NBT |  |  | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.2 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 41.8 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.44 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.4 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.9 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.9 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 33.4 |  |  | 14.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 56.2 |  |  | 26.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  | 251.0 |  |  | 27.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1220 |  |  | 1143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 312 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.59 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 56.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity U | 7.8\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: $\quad 4$ : Tweedsmuir \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow ø 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}_{604}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  | 22 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sqrt{\square 6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 537 | 33 | 108 | 270 | 13 | 102 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 537 | 33 | 108 | 270 | 13 | 102 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.992 |  |  |  | 0.880 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.986 | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 1465 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.986 | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 1465 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 537 | 33 | 108 | 270 | 13 | 102 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 570 | 0 | 0 | 378 | 115 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.7\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | , | 4 | \% |  | - | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 530 | 3 | 10 | 268 | 5 | 3 | - | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 530 | 3 | 10 | 268 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.876 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1568 | 0 | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1568 | 0 | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 160 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 160 | 10 |  | 130 | 130 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 533 | 0 | 0 | 283 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.9\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「' |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 315 | 8 | 42 | 18 | 149 | 240 | 38 | 118 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 315 | 8 | 42 | 18 | 149 | 240 | 38 | 118 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.95 |  | 0.96 | 0.84 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.922 |  |  | 0.874 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.997 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1461 | 0 | 1576 | 1155 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 1410 | 0 | 1619 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.604 |  |  |  | 0.956 |  |  | 0.876 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1461 | 0 | 960 | 1155 | *1 | 0 | 1583 | 1410 | 0 | 1423 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 50 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 50 | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 9\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | , | 8 | 11 | 315 | 8 | 42 | 18 | 149 | 240 | 38 | 118 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 19 | 0 | 315 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 240 | 0 | 159 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 6 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | ** | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 357 | 16 | 49 | 447 | 10 | 46 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 357 | 16 | 49 | 447 | 10 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.889 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.995 | 0.991 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 3112 | 1476 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.995 | 0.991 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 3112 | 1476 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 86.1 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 28.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 357 | 16 | 49 | 447 | 10 | 46 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 373 | 0 | 0 | 496 | 56 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.4\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\stackrel{1}{*}$ |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 411 | 8 | 30 | 542 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 411 | 8 | 30 | 542 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1622 | 0 | 0 | 1655 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1606 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 108.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 62.0 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 140 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 140 | 90 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 90 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) 8 411 8 30 542 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 <br> Shared Lane Traffic (\%)        0     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 427 | 0 | 0 | 572 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  | $\begin{aligned} & * \\ & \text { EBL } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  | $4$ <br> WBR | $4$NBL | NBT |  |  | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.8 | 30.8 |  | 30.8 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 44.8 |  |  | 44.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 7.9 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 7.9 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 7.9 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 26.8 |  |  | 41.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 44.7 |  |  | 68.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 84.8 |  |  | 60.2 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1195 |  |  | 1194 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 304 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.36 |  |  | 0.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 54.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 57.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Athlone \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \varnothing 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{AR}_{604}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 s |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | Internal Link Dist (m) |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $4$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 424 | 7 | 14 | 471 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 424 | 7 | 14 | 471 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1620 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 240 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 240 | 10 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 424 | 7 | 14 | 471 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |



| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 4 | \% |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 420 | 40 | 122 | 454 | 33 | 186 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 420 | 40 | 122 | 454 | 33 | 186 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.988 |  |  |  | 0.885 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1472 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.993 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1472 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 420 | 40 | 122 | 454 | 33 | 186 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 460 | 0 | 0 | 576 | 219 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 90.7\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service E |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | \% |  | 4 |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations | M |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $\dagger$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 7 | 4 | 6 | 42 | 30 | 10 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 7 | 4 | 6 | 42 | 30 | 10 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.951 |  |  |  | 0.966 |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.969 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1544 | 0 | 0 | 1665 | 1618 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.969 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1544 | 0 | 0 | 1665 | 1618 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 66.2 |  |  | 190.5 | 62.0 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  |  | 22.9 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 7 | 4 | 6 | 42 | 30 | 10 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 11 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 40 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 | 24 |  |  | 14 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | .5\% |  |  |  | Level | ervice A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 424 | 7 | 14 | 471 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 424 | 7 | 14 | 471 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.899 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1488 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1488 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 240 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 240 | 10 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 424 | 7 | 14 | 471 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 490 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 62.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | \% |  | - | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | T |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 245 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 382 | 55 | 199 | 2 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 245 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 382 | 55 | 199 | 2 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 30.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.93 |  | 0.96 | 0.89 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.924 |  |  | 0.874 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.999 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.989 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1356 | 0 | 1461 | 1163 | 0 | 0 | 1624 | 1383 | 0 | 1630 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.576 |  |  |  | 0.944 |  |  | 0.896 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1356 | 0 | 849 | 1163 | 0 | 0 | 1533 | 1383 | 0 | 1465 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 13 |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 20 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 15\% | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 15\% | 8\% | 3\% | 4\% | 4\% | 2\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 10 | 13 | 245 | 3 | 16 | 13 | 98 | 382 | 55 | 199 | 2 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 245 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 111 | 382 | 0 | 256 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | $\%$ |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | ¢4 | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 431 | 20 | 21 | 244 | 15 | 45 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 431 | 20 | 21 | 244 | 15 | 45 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.899 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 2919 | 1488 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.996 | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1614 | 0 | 0 | 2919 | 1488 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 86.1 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 28.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 30 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 8\% | 6\% | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 431 | 20 | 21 | 244 | 15 | 45 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 451 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 60 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 39.0\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 2031 Total Traffic |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\pm$ |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 11 | 535 | 7 | 22 | 272 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 11 | 535 | 7 | 22 | 272 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1618 | 0 | 0 | 1545 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1606 | 0 | 0 | 1476 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 108.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 62.0 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 120 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 120 | 70 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 70 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 20\% | 1\% | 7\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 11 | 535 | 7 | 22 | 272 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 553 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector $2 \operatorname{Size}(\mathrm{~m})$ |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  | $\psi$EBL |  |  |  |  |  | $4$NBL | NBT |  | $\begin{gathered} * \\ \text { SBL } \end{gathered}$ | $\frac{1}{\downarrow}$ | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 30.8 | 30.8 |  | 30.8 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 44.8 |  |  | 44.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 9.3 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.3 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.3 |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 38.9 |  |  | 17.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 64.7 |  |  | 30.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 84.8 |  |  | 60.2 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1195 |  |  | 1098 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 330 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.46 |  |  | 0.39 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 54.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.46 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.7 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 41.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Athlone \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \emptyset 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | $\mathrm{A}_{6}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\xrightarrow{\rightarrow 0.8 \mathrm{~s}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 24 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ø6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | Internal Link Dist (m) |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | 1 | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | * |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 542 | 3 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 542 | 3 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1563 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1537 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 160 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 160 | 10 |  | 130 | 130 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 542 | 3 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 545 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type Cl+Ex Cl+Ex Cl+Ex <br> Detector 1 Channel    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type Cl+Ex Cl+Ex <br> Detector 2 Channel 0.0  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  | $\psi$EBL |  |  | WBL |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \text { NBL } \end{aligned}$ | NBT |  |  | ।SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.2 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 41.8 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.45 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.5 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.1 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.1 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 34.6 |  |  | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 58.2 |  |  | 26.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  | 251.0 |  |  | 27.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1220 |  |  | 1143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 308 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.60 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 56.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Semi Act-Uncoord |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 38.5\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 4: Tweedsmuir \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \emptyset 2$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }} \mathbf{R}_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  | 22 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\sqrt{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 547 | 35 | 108 | 275 | 14 | 102 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 547 | 35 | 108 | 275 | 14 | 102 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.992 |  |  |  | 0.881 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.986 | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 1467 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.986 | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1631 | 0 | 0 | 1606 | 1467 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 5\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 547 | 35 | 108 | 275 | 14 | 102 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 582 | 0 | 0 | 383 | 116 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 78.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 530 | 6 | 11 | 278 | 12 | 23 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 530 | 6 | 11 | 278 | 12 | 23 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.998 |  |  |  | 0.911 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.998 | 0.983 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1624 | 0 | 0 | 1540 | 1500 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.998 | 0.983 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1624 | 0 | 0 | 1540 | 1500 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 86.1 |  |  | 108.8 | 89.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.2 |  |  | 7.8 | 10.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 30 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 4\% | 1\% | 1\% | 10\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 530 | 6 | 11 | 278 | 12 | 23 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 536 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 35 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 43.2\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

AM Peak Hour


## Intersection Summary

## Area Type: CBD

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 55.9\%
ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 542 | 3 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 542 | 3 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.876 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1568 | 0 | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 0 | 1568 | 0 | 0 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 804 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 160 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 160 | 10 |  | 130 | 130 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 10 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 6\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 542 | 3 | 10 | 274 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 34 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 545 | 0 | 0 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.6\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{1}$ | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | 「 |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 |  | 11 | 321 | 8 | 43 | 18 | 149 | 247 | 38 | 118 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 321 | 8 | 43 | 18 | 149 | 247 | 38 | 118 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 40.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  | 10.0 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 0.95 |  | 0.96 | 0.84 |  |  | 0.99 |  |  | 0.99 |  |
| Frt |  | 0.922 |  |  | 0.874 |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.997 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.988 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1461 | 0 | 1576 | 1154 | 0 | 0 | 1660 | 1410 | 0 | 1619 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.603 |  |  |  | 0.956 |  |  | 0.876 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1461 | 0 | 959 | 1154 | 0 | 0 | 1583 | 1410 | 0 | 1423 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | No |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 11 |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 77.3 |  |  | 83.9 |  |  | 315.3 |  |  | 273.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 5.6 |  |  | 6.0 |  |  | 22.7 |  |  | 19.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 50 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 50 | 40 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 40 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 15 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 30 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 9\% | 5\% | 1\% | 2\% | 8\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 8 | 11 | 321 | 8 | 43 | 18 | 149 | 247 | 38 | 118 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 19 | 0 | 321 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 247 | 0 | 159 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template |  | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru | Right | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) |  | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 1 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA | Over | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |  | 8 | 1 |  | 4 |  |
| Permitted Phases |  |  |  | 6 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 4 |  |  |
| Detector Phase |  | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  | 8 | 8 | 1 | 4 | 4 |  |

## PM Peak Hour

2031 Total Traffic

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Splits and Phases: 1: Churchill \& Scott


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 6 |  | 4 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | 个 |  |  | * ${ }^{\text {¢ }}$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 364 | 16 | 49 | 454 | 10 | 46 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 364 | 16 | 49 | 454 | 10 | 46 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 10.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 30.0 |  | 10.0 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.994 |  |  |  | 0.889 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.995 | 0.991 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 3111 | 1476 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.995 | 0.991 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1634 | 0 | 0 | 3111 | 1476 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 83.9 |  |  | 86.1 | 233.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.0 |  |  | 6.2 | 28.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 364 | 16 | 49 | 454 | 10 | 46 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 380 | 0 | 0 | 503 | 56 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.1\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $4$ | NBT |  |  | 2031 Total Traff |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{\wedge}{*}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 428 | 8 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 428 | 8 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.981 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1610 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 108.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 62.0 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 140 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 140 | 90 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 90 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 428 | 8 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 584 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


| $4$ |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \\ & \text { NBL } \end{aligned}$ | NBT | NBR |  | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) 30.8 | 30.8 |  | 30.8 | 30.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  | 56.2\% | 56.2\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) 25.0 | 25.0 |  | 25.0 | 25.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 44.8 |  |  | 44.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.37 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay | 8.0 |  |  | 9.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 |  |  | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay | 8.0 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay | 8.0 |  |  | 10.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 28.2 |  |  | 42.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 47.0 |  |  | 69.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) | 84.8 |  |  | 60.2 |  |  | 38.0 |  |  | 30.4 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 1198 |  |  | 1210 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 309 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.37 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 54.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 60.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 9.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 52.1\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: 3: Athlone \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \varnothing 2$ |  |  |  |  | $\mathbf{H}_{64}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 s |
| $\sqrt{\square}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30.8 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | $\varnothing 4$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | $44 \%$ |
| Maximum Green (s) | 20.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | 3.0 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | None |
| Recall Mode | 7.0 |
| Walk Time (s) | 11.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 100 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | Internal Link Dist (m) |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | Base Capacity (vph) |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | Spillback Cap Reductn |
| Storage Cap Reductn | Reduced v/c Ratio |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\stackrel{+}{\$}$ |  |  | \& |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 433 | 7 | 14 | 484 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 433 | 7 | 14 | 484 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  | 1.00 |  |  | 1.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1620 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.986 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1670 | 0 | 0 | 1598 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 240 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 240 | 10 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 433 | 7 | 14 | 484 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 503 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Position(m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Size(m) | 6.1 | 1.8 |  | 6.1 | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Type | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  | Cl+Ex | $\mathrm{Cl}+\mathrm{Ex}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |  | 28.7 |  |  | 28.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |  | 1.8 |  |  | 1.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turn Type |  | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 15.8 | 15.8 |  | 15.8 | 15.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |
| :--- |
| Lane Configurations |
| Traffic Volume (vph) |
| Future Volume (vph) |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) |
| Lane Util. Factor |
| Ped Bike Factor |
| Frt |
| Flt Protected |
| Satd. Flow (prot) |
| Flt Permitted |
| Satd. Flow (perm) |
| Right Turn on Red |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |
| Link Speed (k/h) |
| Link Distance (m) |
| Travel Time (s) |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |
| Peak Hour Factor |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) |
| Adj. Flow (vph) |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) |
| Enter Blocked Intersection |
| Lane Alignment |
| Median Width(m) |
| Link Offset(m) |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |
| Headway Factor |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |
| Number of Detectors |
| Detector Template |
| Leading Detector (m) |
| Trailing Detector (m) |
| Detector 1 Position(m) |
| Detector 1 Size(m) |
| Detector 1 Type |
| Detector 1 Channel |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) |
| Detector 2 Position(m) |
| Detector 2 Size(m) |
| Detector 2 Type |
| Detector 2 Channel |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |
| Turn Type |
| Protected Phases |
| Permitted Phases |
| Detector Phase |
| Switch Phase |
| Minimum Initial (s) |
| Minimum Split (s) |


|  | $\psi$EBL |  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ \text { WBR } \end{gathered}$ | $4$NBL | ¢ $\dagger$ |  |  |  | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group |  |  | EBR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 28.0 |  | 28.0 | 28.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  | 56.0\% | 56.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.2 | 22.2 |  | 22.2 | 22.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.5 | 2.5 |  | 2.5 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.8 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | Max | Max |  | Max | Max |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 41.8 |  |  | 41.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.74 |  |  | 0.74 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.35 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay |  | 7.4 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.4 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Delay |  | 7.8 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 7.8 |  |  | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 25.2 |  |  | 31.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | 42.4 |  |  | 52.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 60.2 |  |  | 43.4 |  |  | 251.0 |  |  | 27.7 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1242 |  |  | 1188 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 357 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.50 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 56.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | 8.4\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Splits and Phases: $\quad$ 4: Tweedsmuir \& Scott |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\rightarrow \square_{\square 2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{\text {A }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 s |  |  |  |  |  | 22 s |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $406$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group $\quad$ Ø4 |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Total Split (s) | 22.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 44\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 |
| Recall Mode | None |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 100 |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  |
| v/c Ratio |  |
| Control Delay |  |
| Queue Delay |  |
| Total Delay |  |
| LOS |  |
| Approach Delay |  |
| Approach LOS |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | 4 | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 427 | 42 | 122 | 464 | 36 | 186 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 427 | 42 | 122 | 464 | 36 | 186 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.988 |  |  |  | 0.887 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.992 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1474 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.990 | 0.992 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1640 | 0 | 0 | 1646 | 1474 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 67.4 |  |  | 200.5 | 303.1 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 4.9 |  |  | 14.4 | 21.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 60 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 10 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 427 | 42 | 122 | 464 | 36 | 186 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 469 | 0 | 0 | 586 | 222 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 92.1\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service F |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  | 4 | $p$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\hat{F}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | * |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 441 | 13 | 22 | 557 | 12 | 17 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 441 | 13 | 22 | 557 | 12 | 17 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.996 |  |  |  | 0.921 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.998 | 0.980 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1637 | 0 | 0 | 1641 | 1512 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.998 | 0.980 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1637 | 0 | 0 | 1641 | 1512 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 30 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 86.1 |  |  | 108.8 | 89.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 6.2 |  |  | 7.8 | 10.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  | 20 | 20 |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  |  |  | 5 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 1\% | 1\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 441 | 13 | 22 | 557 | 12 | 17 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 454 | 0 | 0 | 579 | 29 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 4.0 |  |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Free |  |  | Free | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.3\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | , |  | $\dagger$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  | 7 |  | $\uparrow$ | 个 |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 42 | 30 | 0 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 42 | 30 | 0 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.865 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1449 | 0 | 1670 | 1675 | 0 |  |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.997 |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1449 | 0 | 1670 | 1675 | 0 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 30 |  |  | 30 | 30 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 66.2 |  |  | 190.5 | 62.0 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  |  | 22.9 | 7.4 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  | 20 |  |  | 20 |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  | 5 |  |  |  | 5 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 2 | 3 | 42 | 30 | 0 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 2 | 0 | 45 | 30 | 0 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 | 24 |  |  | 14 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Free | Free |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz |  |  |  |  | Level | ervice A |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | , | 4 | \% | $\pm$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 8 | 428 | 8 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 428 | 8 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.868 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1626 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 1453 | 0 | 0 | 846 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1626 | 0 | 0 | 1656 | 0 | 0 | 1453 | 0 | 0 | 846 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 108.8 |  |  | 84.2 |  |  | 62.0 |  |  | 54.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 7.8 |  |  | 6.1 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 3.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 140 |  | 20 | 20 |  | 140 | 90 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 90 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 2\% | 1\% | 1\% | 2\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 8 | 428 | 8 | 20 | 564 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 444 | 0 | 0 | 584 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: CBD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 66.8\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\qquad$

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\ddagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | \& |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 433 | 7 | 14 | 484 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 433 | 7 | 14 | 484 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.899 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1488 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1672 | 0 | 0 | 1625 | 0 | 0 | 1488 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 84.2 |  |  | 67.4 |  |  | 275.0 |  |  | 51.7 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.1 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 19.8 |  |  | 3.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) | 240 |  | 30 | 30 |  | 240 | 10 |  | 200 | 200 |  | 10 |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  | 5 |  |  | 20 |  |  | 5 |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 3\% | 100\% | 1\% | 1\% | 1\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 0 | 433 | 7 | 14 | 484 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 0 | , |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 440 | 0 | 0 | 503 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |  | 5.0 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 | 1.16 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.6\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.

[^1]:    1. ppp: person trips per peak period
[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Hubs are identified in Schedules B1 to B8 of the City of Ottawa Official Plan. PMTSAs are identified in Schedule C1 of the Official Plan. DPAs are identified in Schedule C7A and C7B of the Official. See Chapter 4 for a list of City of Ottawa Planning and Engineering documents that support the completion of TIA.

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ While person trip rates were not found to vary significantly with geographic area, location does have an impact on mode share as discussed in Section 4.2. As a result, vehicular trip rates do vary by geography as reflected in previous versions of the manual. The variation by dwelling type, in part, reflects differences in the number of persons per dwelling.

[^4]:    ${ }^{2}$ A directional split for active transportation was calculated based on the local generator surveys for low-rise and mid-rise land uses. The splits are mostly in-line with the vehicle directional splits, which could be used as a rough assumption for areas with lower vehicle mode share.

