

Engineering

- Land/Site Development
- Municipal Infrastructure
- Environmental/Water Resources
- Traffic/Transportation
- Recreational

Planning

- Land/Site Development
- Planning Application Management
- Municipal Planning
- Urban Design
- Expert Witness (LPAT)
- Wireless Industry

Landscape Architecture

- Streetscapes & Public Amenities
- Open Space, Parks & Recreation
- Community & Residential
- Commercial & Institutional
- Environmental Restoration



Rhythm Apartments 3080 Navan Road, Ottawa Noise Impact Feasibility Report

Rhythm Apartments
3080 Navan Road
City of Ottawa
Noise Impact Feasibility Report

Prepared By:

NOVATECH
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario
K2M 1P6

Novatech File: 122180
Ref: R-2023-005

Submitted: April 26, 2023
Revised: December 18, 2023

December 18, 2023

City of Ottawa
Planning and Infrastructure Approvals
110 Laurier Street West, 4th Floor
Ottawa, ON, K1P 1J1

Attention: Lucy Ramirez, Planner, Development Review

**Reference: Rhythm Apartments
Noise Impact Feasibility Report
Our File No.: 122180**


Please find enclosed the 'Noise Impact Feasibility Report' for the above noted development located in the City of Ottawa. This report is being submitted in support of the site plan application for the proposed development.

This report evaluates the environmental impact of noise from traffic and assesses the feasibility of mitigation measures to attenuate noise to acceptable levels.

Please contact the undersigned should you have any questions or comments pertaining to the enclosed report.

Yours truly,

NOVATECH



Greg MacDonald, P. Eng.
Director, Land Development and Public Sector Infrastructure

cc: Trevor Dickie

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	NOISE CRITERIA, NOISE SOURCES AND NOISE ATTENATION METHODS	2
2.1	Noise Sources	2
2.2	Methods for Noise Attenuation	3
2.3	Noise Barrier Requirements.....	3
2.4	Ventilation Requirements.....	4
2.5	Warning Clauses	4
2.6	Building Component Assessment	6
2.7	Summary of Attenuation Requirements.....	6
3.0	PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS	7
4.0	BUILDING FAÇADE ANALYSIS	8
5.0	CONCLUSION	12

Appendices

Appendix A: Excerpts from the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines,
MOE's NPC-300, the City of Ottawa's Transportation Master Plan and Official Plan

Appendix B: Sound Level Calculations

Appendix C: Acoustic Insulation Factor Tables

Tables

Table 1: Noise Level Criteria	2
Table 2: Traffic and Roadway Parameters	3
Table 3: Noise Attenuation measure requirements.....	7
Table 4: Simulation Results – Outdoor Living Areas	7
Table 5: Simulation Results – Plane of Window	8
Table 6: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW1	10
Table 7: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW2	10
Table 8: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW3	10
Table 9: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW4	10
Table 10: Selected Window and Wall Assemblies to Meet Maximum Attenuation Requirements	11
Table 11: Equivalent Sound Transmission Class, STC Values.....	11

Figures

Figure 1: Key Plan

Figure 2: Receiver Location Plan

Figure 3: Noise Attenuation Measures Plan

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Novatech has been retained to prepare a Noise Impact Feasibility Report on behalf of Seymour Pacific Development Ltd for the proposed site plan located at 3080 Navan Road within the City of Ottawa. The proposed site is denoted as Block 64 of the Caivan Rhythm residential development and is presently named Rhythm Apartments. The purpose of this report is to support the site plan application for the subject development and predict and mitigate excess noise. **Figure 1** Key Plan shows the site location.

The subject site is surrounded the following roads:

- Navan Road to the north,
- Renaud Road to the south,
- Page Road to the east, and
- Falsetto Street to the west (Future local road under construction)


An aerial of the subject site is provided in **Figure 1 – Key Plan – 3080 Navan Road**.

Figure 1: Key Plan – 3080 Navan Road



The proposed 0.67ha development includes a six (6) storey apartment building complete with above and below ground parking. The building has a 1900m² footprint, 119 residential units, and 100m² of office rental space located on the ground floor. The locations of all nodes used to confirm the noise levels at the building are included in **Figure 2 – Receiver Location Plan**.

This report follows recommendations of the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG) and MOEE NPC-300 Environmental Noise Guideline.



LEGEND

- POW POW NODE LOCATION
- OLA OLA NODE LOCATION
- (A) ARTERIAL ROAD CLASSIFICATION
- (C) COLLECTOR ROAD CLASSIFICATION
- (L) LOCAL ROAD CLASSIFICATION

C:\temp\AcPublish_12604122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Nov 23, 2023 - 3:58pm, mfang

NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com

CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

RECEIVER LOCATION PLAN

SCALE 1 : 1250

DATE NOV 2023 JOB 122180 FIGURE FIGURE 2

2.0 NOISE CRITERIA, NOISE SOURCES AND NOISE ATTENUATION METHODS

The City of Ottawa is concerned with noise from aircraft, roads, transitways, and railways, as expressed in Tables 2.2a: Sound Level Limit for Outdoor Living Areas – Road and Rail, Table 2.2b: Sound Level Limit for Indoor Living Areas Road and Rail, and Table 2.2c: Supplementary Sound Level Limits for Indoor Spaces – Road and Rail of the ENCG. The maximum suggested sound levels for outdoor and indoor living areas between 7am and 11pm are 55 dBA and 45 dBA, respectively. The maximum suggested sound level for indoor bedrooms is 40dBA between 11pm and 7am. For reference, Tables 2.2a, 2.2b and 2.2c of the ENCG are included in **Appendix A**.

Outdoor Living Area and Plane of Window receivers are defined as:

- **Outdoor Living Area (OLA):** The outdoor amenity area provided for quiet enjoyment of the outdoor environment during the daytime period (i.e., backyards, terraces, and patios). OLA noise levels are considered 3.0m from the building façade, 1.5m above grade.
- **Plane of Window (POW):** The indoor living space where the sound levels will affect the living room area during daytime hours and bedrooms during nighttime hours. POW noise levels are considered inside the building, 1.5m above the ground.

The noise level criteria are summarized in **Table 1**:

Table 1: Noise Level Criteria

Time Period	Receiver Location	Noise Level Criteria (Leq)
Daytime (07:00 – 23:00)	Outdoor Living Area (OLA)	55 dBA
Daytime (07:00 – 23:00)	Plane of Window (POW) at Living/Dining Rooms	45 dBA
Nighttime (23:00 – 07:00)	Plane of Window (POW) at Bedrooms/Sleeping Quarter	40 dBA

2.1 Noise Sources

The City of Ottawa Official Plan stipulates that a noise study shall be prepared when a new development is proposed within 100 metres of an arterial, major collector or collector roadway, or a rapid-transit corridor. There are no railway, airport, or stationary noise sources that affect this site. **Table 2** confirms the road noise sources for the site.

Table 2: Traffic and Roadway Parameters

	Navan Road	Page Road	Renaud
Roadway Classification	4-Lane Urban Arterial Undivided	2-Lane Urban Collector	2-Lane Urban Collector
Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT)	30,000 vehicles/day	8,000 vehicles/day	8,000 vehicles/day
Day/Night Split (%)	92/8	92/8	92/8
Medium Trucks (%)	7	7	7
Heavy Trucks (%)	5	5	5
Posted Speed	60 km/hr	40 km/hr	50 km/hr

Navan Road is classified as an urban arterial roadway in the City of Ottawa Transportation Master Plan and Official Plan. Navan Road is currently a 2-lane undivided arterial road with a posted speed of 60km/hr near the site. As per Map 10 in the Transportation Master Plan (TMP), Road Network – 2031 Network Concept, there are plans to widen Navan Road to 4 lanes in the future. Therefore, for the purposes of this report, a 4-lane undivided arterial road with an AADT level of 30,000 vehicles/day and a posted speed of 60km/hr will be utilized. Refer to **Appendix A** for the excerpt from the TMP.

2.2 Methods for Noise Attenuation

When OLA or POW sound levels are predicted to be approximately equal to or less than the maximum suggested levels in ENCG attenuation measures are not required. If the predicted noise levels are found to exceed the limits, noise mitigation and /or warning clauses are required. Warning clauses are discussed in section 2.5. The city of Ottawa's preferred noise mitigation methods are:

- Increasing the amount of soft ground between the noise sources and noise receptor,
- Inserting noise insensitive land between the noise source and the noise receptor,
- Orientating the building to provide shelter to noise sensitive areas,
- installing acoustic (noise) barriers,
- Installing air conditioning and forced air ventilation, and
- Enhancing construction techniques and construction quality.

2.3 Noise Barrier Requirements

Acoustic (noise) barriers are typically the most effective noise mitigation measure listed in Section 2.1. However, acoustic barriers are also typically visually unappealing, expensive to install and maintain, and reduce outdoor living space. Acoustic barriers are typically only considered when all other noise mitigation techniques listed in Section 2.1 are not available or sufficient to reduce predicted noise levels below the maximum allowable. Only noise mitigation measure that are economically and administratively feasible will be considered.

Acoustic barriers, if required, must conform to Part 3 of the City of Ottawa's Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (2016), and include the following characteristics:

- Minimum height of 2.2m; Maximum height of 2.5m, unless approved by the City,
- Situated 0.30m inside the private property line,
- A surface mass density not less than 20kg/sq.m, and
- No holes or gaps.

2.4 Ventilation Requirements

A forced air heating system with provision for a central air conditioning system is required if the plane of window daytime noise levels are between 55 dBA and 65 dBA and/or the nighttime noise levels are between 50 dBA and 60 dBA.

The installation of a central air conditioning system is required when the daytime noise level exceeds 65 dBA and/or the nighttime noise level exceeds 60 dBA.

2.5 Warning Clauses

When predicted noise levels exceed the specified criteria, the City of Ottawa and the MOE recommend warning clauses be registered as a notice on title and incorporated into the lease/rental/sale agreements to warn potential purchaser/buyers/tenants of the possible elevated noise levels.

Typical warning clauses should be registered as shown below. Warning clauses are extracted from Part 4, Appendix A the City of Ottawa ENCG and excerpts have been provided in **Appendix A** of this report. As stated in the City of Ottawa ENCG, due to the variation of noise impacts for any given site, it may be necessary to amend the example warning clauses to recognize the site conditions in each development.

It is recommended that the following noise clauses be registered on title and incorporated into the agreement of purchase and sales as required. Results can be found in **Table 5** from Section 3.0 of this report:

Type 1

"Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some outdoor activities as the sound levels may exceed the sound level limits of the City and Ministry of the Environment."

"To help address the need for sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an outdoor amenity area and indoor environment that is within provincial guidelines. Measures for sound attenuation include:

- An acoustic barrier"

"To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain sound attenuation features."

"The acoustic barrier shall be maintained and kept in good repair by the property owner. Any maintenance, repair or replacement is the responsibility of the owner and shall be with the same material or to the same standards, having the same colour, appearance and function of the original."

Additionally, if a tolerance of 5 dBA is being considered in some areas, it is recommended an additional noise clause be registered on title and incorporated into the agreement of purchase and sales:

Type 2

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic may, on occasion, interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment by up to 5 dBA.”

“To help address the need for sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an outdoor amenity area and indoor environment that is within provincial guidelines. Measures for sound attenuation include:

- An acoustic barrier”

“To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain sound attenuation features.”

“The acoustic barrier shall be maintained and kept in good repair by the property owner. Any maintenance, repair or replacement is the responsibility of the owner and shall be with the same material or to the same standards, having the same colour, appearance and function of the original.”

Type 3

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some outdoor activities as the sound levels may exceed the sound level limits of the City and Ministry of the Environment.”

“To help address the need for sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an outdoor amenity area and indoor environment that is within provincial guidelines. Measures for sound attenuation may include:

- Multi-pane glass
- Double brick veneer”

“To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain sound attenuation features.”

“This dwelling unit has also been designed with the provision for adding central air conditioning at the occupant’s discretion. Installation of central air conditioning will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment”

Type 4

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road traffic may occasionally interfere with some outdoor activities as the sound levels may exceed the sound level limits of the City and Ministry of the Environment.”

“To help address the need for sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an outdoor amenity area and indoor environment that is within provincial guidelines. Measures for sound attenuation may include:

- Multi-pane glass
- Double brick veneer
- High sound transmission class walls”

“To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain sound attenuation features.”

“This dwelling unit has also been supplied with a central air conditioning system and other measures which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment”

For units with multiple types of warning clauses, similar/identical wording can be combined as to not duplicate wording/information.

2.6 Building Component Assessment

When plane of window noise levels exceeds 65 dBA (daytime) or 60 dBA (nighttime) the exterior cladding system of the building envelope must be acoustically assessed to ensure indoor sound criteria are achieved. This includes analysis of the exterior wall, door, and/or glazing system specifications as appropriate.

The NRC research *Acoustic Insulation Factor: A Rating for the Insulation of Buildings against Noise* (June 1980, JD Quirt) is used to assess the building components and the required acoustic insulation factor (AIF). This method is recognized by the City of Ottawa.

The required AIF is based on the Outside L_{eq} , Indoor L_{eq} required, and the number of exterior façade components.

Minimum Required AIF = Outside L_{eq} – Indoor L_{eq} + 10 log₁₀ (Number of Components) + 2dB

Where, N = Number of components (walls, windows and roof);

L = Sound Level expressed on a common decibel scale.

2.7 Summary of Attenuation Requirements

Table 3 summarizes the required noise attenuation measures and warning clauses should sound criteria be exceeded. Excerpts from the MOE NPC-300 and City of Ottawa ENCG documents are included in **Appendix A** for reference.

Table 3: Noise Attenuation Measure Requirements

Assessment Location	L _{eq} (dBA)	Outdoor Control Measures	Indoor Control Measures		Warning Clause
			Ventilation Requirements	Building Components	
Outdoor Living Area (OLA)	Less than 55	None required	N/A	N/A	None required
	Between 55 and 60	Control measures (barriers) may not be required but should be considered	N/A	N/A	Required if resultant L _{eq} exceeds 55 dBA Type 1* or Type 2**
	More than 60	Barriers required	N/A	N/A	Required if resultant L _{eq} exceeds 55 dBA Type 1* or Type 2*
Plane of Living Room Window (POW)	Less than 55	N/A	None Required	None Required	None Required
	Between 55 and 65	N/A	Forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning	None Required	Required Type 3
	More Than 65	N/A	Central Air Conditioning	Acoustical performance of the windows and walls should be specified	Required Type 4
Plane of Bedroom Window (POW)	Less than 50	N/A	None Required	None Required	None Required
	Between 50 and 60	N/A	Forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning	None Required	Required Type 3
	More than 60	N/A	Central Air Conditioning	Acoustical performance of the windows and walls should be specified	Required Type 4

*Type 1 warning clause refers to units requiring a noise barrier that mitigates noise below 55dBA.

**Type 2 warning clause refers to units requiring a noise barrier but is technically or economically not feasible to reduce levels below 55dBA and a tolerance of up to 5dBA can be granted by the City.

3.0 PREDICTED NOISE LEVELS

Noise levels were analyzed using Version 5.03 of the STAMSON computer program. The predicted noise levels are listed in **Table 4&5**.

Table 4: Simulation Results – Outdoor Living Areas

Receiver Location*	Calculated Noise Level (dBa) 7:00-23:00		Outdoor Mitigation Method
	Un-attenuated	Attenuated	
OLA 1	52.20	-	N/A
OLA 2	51.95	-	N/A
OLA 3	54.46	-	N/A

*Locations correspond to receivers found in **Figure 2 – Receiver Location Plan**

From **Table 4**, noise levels of all OLAs are lower than 55 dBA and no attenuated required.

Table 5: Simulation Results – Plane of Window

Receiver Location *	Calculated Noise Level 7:00-23:00 (dBa)	Calculated Noise Level 23:00-7:00 (dBa)	Mitigation Method
	Un-attenuated	Un-attenuated	
POW1 6 th floor	69.46	61.87	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW1 1 st floor	67.63	60.03	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW2 6 th floor	69.53	61.93	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW2 1 st floor	67.67	60.08	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW3 6 th floor	70.16	62.56	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW3 1 st floor	68.40	60.80	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW4 6 th floor	65.24	57.65	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis
POW4 1 ST floor	62.19	54.60	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Installation of Air Conditioning • Warning Clauses as per Section 3.6 – Type 4 • Building Façade Analysis


*Locations and mitigation measures correspond to receivers found on **Figure 2 – Receiver Location Plan**

Based on the results above, we recommend Central Air Conditioning and the inclusion of Noise Clause type 4 be registered as a notice on title and incorporated into the lease/rental/sale agreements of all units. Refer to **Figure 3 – Noise Attenuation Measures Plan** for all proposed noise mitigation measures. Refer to **Appendix B** for all noise calculations.


4.0 BUILDING FAÇADE ANALYSIS

The City of Ottawa ENCG requires that wall & window construction be reviewed when noise levels exceed minimum requirements outlined in **Table 3**. The acoustical insulation factor (AIF) method recognized by the City of Ottawa is used to assess the wall and window requirements.

The Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF) is used as a measure of the reduction of outdoor noise provided by the elements of the outer surface of a building. The difference between the indoor noise criterion and the outdoor noise level establishes the acoustical insulation requirement for the exterior shell. The exterior shell is comprised of primarily two components; windows and walls (patio doors are treated as windows).



LEGEND

-  WARNING CLAUSE TYPE 4 REQUIRED
- (A) ARTERIAL ROAD CLASSIFICATION
- (C) COLLECTOR ROAD CLASSIFICATION
- (L) LOCAL ROAD CLASSIFICATION

C:\temp\AcPublish_12604122180-NC.dwg, Figure 3, Nov 23, 2023 - 3:58pm, mfang


NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com

CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

**NOISE ATTENUATION
MEASURES PLAN**

SCALE 1 : 1250 

DATE NOV 2023 JOB 122180 FIGURE FIGURE 3

Mathematically, this Acoustical Insulation Factor can be expressed as:

$$\text{Required AIF} = L_{\text{eq}} (\text{Outside}) - L_{\text{eq}} (\text{Inside}) + 10 \log_{10} (N) + 2\text{dBA}$$

Where, N = Number of components;
L = Sound Level expressed on a common decibel scale.

The worst scenarios are selected for the AIF and building façade analysis as below:

POW1 6th Floor are calculated as follows:

- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{day})} = 69.4 \text{ dBA} - 45 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 29 \text{ dBA}$
- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{night})} = 61.8 \text{ dBA} - 40 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 27 \text{ dBA}$

POW2 6th Floor are calculated as follows:

- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{day})} = 69.5 \text{ dBA} - 45 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 29 \text{ dBA}$
- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{night})} = 61.9 \text{ dBA} - 40 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 27 \text{ dBA}$

POW3 6th Floor are calculated as follows:

- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{day})} = 70.1 \text{ dBA} - 45 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 30 \text{ dBA}$
- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{night})} = 62.5 \text{ dBA} - 40 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 28 \text{ dBA}$

POW4 6th Floor are calculated as follows:

- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{day})} = 65.2 \text{ dBA} - 45 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 25 \text{ Dba}$
- $\text{AIF}_{\text{Residential}(\text{night})} = 57.6 \text{ dBA} - 40 \text{ dBA} + 10\log(2) \text{ dBA} + 2\text{dBA} = 23 \text{ dBA}$

Tables from the document entitled “Acoustic Insulation Factor: A Rating for the Insulation of Buildings Against Outdoor Noise”, produced by the Division of Building Research, National Research Council of Canada, June 1980 (J.D. Quirt) were used to assess the exterior facade against the required AIF. This reference material is included in **Appendix C**.

To assess the façade against the required AIF respective Leq values, the number of components in a wall, the calculated required AIF, percentage of window to room areas and exterior wall to room areas are required. Exterior facade analysis data is presented in **Tables 6, 7, 8, and 9**.

Table 6: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW1

Description	Residential Bedroom
Number and Type of Components Forming Building Envelope.	2 – Windows and Exterior Walls
Percentage of Window Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	14%
Percentage of Wall Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	131%

Table 7: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW2

Description	Residential Bedroom
Number and Type of Components Forming Building Envelope.	2 – Windows and Exterior Walls
Percentage of Window Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	16%
Percentage of Wall Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	51%

Table 8: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW3

Description	Residential Bedroom
Number and Type of Components Forming Building Envelope.	2 – Windows and Exterior Walls
Percentage of Window Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	14%
Percentage of Wall Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	123%

Table 9: Exterior Façade Analysis Data – POW4

Description	Residential Bedroom
Number and Type of Components Forming Building Envelope.	2 – Windows and Exterior Walls
Percentage of Window Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	16%
Percentage of Wall Area to Total Floor Area of Room.	152%

Architect floor plans were reviewed to calculate the window and wall to floor ratios (as seen above). The architect plans are included in **Appendix A**.

Using the percentage of window area to room area, and the required acoustical insulation factor (AIF), Table 5 in **Appendix C** was used to identify the various window assemblies needed to

satisfy the required AIF. Similarly, Table 6.3 in **Appendix C** was used to select the typical wall assembly needed to satisfy the required AIF.

Table 10 below lists the results of the analysis requiring assemblies to mitigate the indoor noise levels.

Table 10: Selected Window and Wall Assemblies to Meet Maximum Attenuation Requirements

Description	AIF	Double Pane Window Assembly Options	Typical Wall Assembly
POW1 – 6 th Floor	29	▪ 2 mm – 6 mm – 2 mm	EW1
POW2 – 6 th Floor	29	▪ 2 mm – 6 mm – 2 mm	EW1
POW3 – 6 th Floor	30	▪ 2 mm – 6 mm – 2 mm	EW1
POW4 – 6 th Floor	25	▪ 2 mm – 6 mm – 2 mm	EW1
Notes:			
I. EW1 type wall consisting of 12.7mm gypsum board, vapour barrier, 38x89mm studs with 50mm (or thicker) mineral wool or glass fibre batts in inter stud cavities plus rigid insulation (25-30mm).			
II. “2 mm – 6 mm – 2 mm” denotes 2 mm glass, 6 mm air space and 2 mm glass.			

The proposed exterior wall, EWIA 1.0 FRR, is superior to the required EW1 wall required to mitigate the indoor sound levels. Refer to **Appendix C** for the EWIA 1.0 FRR wall and EW1 wall details.

The proposed windows, 3mm/12.2mm/3mm (pane thickness/space between panes/ pane thickness), is superior to the required 2mm/6mm/2mm window required to mitigate the indoor sound levels. Refer to **Appendix C** for the window details.

Table 11 and 12 in **Appendix C** were used to convert the AIF values to Sound Transmission Class (STC) values. The largest STC results for selected analyzed units are summarized in **Table 11** below. The below STC values should be reviewed by the architect in relation to the proposed wall design. If required, the proposed structure should be modified to ensure that the required STC values will be accommodated.

Table 11: Equivalent Sound Transmission Class, STC Values

	Windows			Walls		
	AIF	Conversion	STC	AIF	Conversion	STC
POW1 – 6 th Floor	29	STC+3 = AIF	26	29	STC-8 = AIF	37
POW2 – 6 th Floor	29	STC+2 = AIF	27	29	STC-4 = AIF	33
POW3 – 6 th Floor	30	STC+3 = AIF	27	30	STC-8 = AIF	38
POW4 – 6 th Floor	25	STC+2 = AIF	23	25	STC-9 = AIF	34

5.0 CONCLUSION

This report recommends:

- The inclusion of Central Air Conditioning and Warning Clause Type 4 to be registered as a notice on title and incorporated into the lease/rental/sale agreements for all units in the proposed development.
- The construction of proposed exterior EWIA 1.0 FRR wall and 3mm/12.2mm/3mm windows are sufficient to mitigate the indoor noise levels.

NOVATECH ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS LTD.

Report By:




Ming Fang, C.E.T., B.Eng
CAD Technologist

Reviewed By:



Mark Bowen, B. Eng.
Project Manager
Land Development Engineering

Reviewed By:



Greg MacDonald, P. Eng.
Director - Land Development and
Public Sector Infrastructure

APPENDIX A:

EXCERPTS FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE CONTROL
GUIDELINES, THE MOE'S NPC-300, THE CITY OF OTTAWA'S TRANSPORTATION
MASTER PLAN AND OFFICIAL PLAN

ENVIRONMENTAL NOISE CONTROL GUIDELINES: Introduction and Glossary

January 2016

Table 2.2a: Sound Level Limit for Outdoor Living Areas - Road and Rail
(from NPC-300, 2013 Table C-1)

Time Period	Required Leq (16) (dBA)
16-hour, 07:00 – 23:00	55

Table 2.2b: Sound Level Limit for Indoor Living Areas Road and Rail
(from NPC-300, 2013 Table C-2)

Type of Space	Time Period	Required Leq (dBA)	
		Road	Rail
Living/dining, den areas of residences, hospitals, nursing homes, schools, daycare centres, etc.	07:00 – 23:00	45	40
Living/dining, den areas of residences, hospitals, nursing homes, etc. (except schools or daycare centres)	23:00 – 07:00	45	40
Sleeping quarters	07:00 – 23:00	45	40
	23:00 – 07:00	40	35

The Province also provides for supplementary indoor sound level limits for land uses not generally considered noise sensitive (see Table 2.2c below). These good practice design objectives should be addressed in any noise study prepared for the City. These supplementary sound level limits are based on the windows and doors to an indoor space being closed.

Table 2.2c: Supplementary Sound Level Limits for Indoor Spaces - Road and Rail (adapted from NPC-300 Table C-9)

Type of Space	Time Period	Required Leq (dBA)	
		Road	Rail
General offices, reception areas, retail stores, etc.	16 hours between 07:00 – 23:00	50	45
Theatres, places of worship, libraries, individual or semi-private offices, conference rooms, reading rooms, etc.	16 hours between 07:00 – 23:00	45	40
Sleeping quarters of hotels/motels	8 hours between 23:00 – 07:00	45	40
Sleeping quarters of residences, hospitals, nursing/retirement homes, etc.	8 hours between 23:00 – 07:00	40	35

Appendix B: Table of Traffic and Road Parameters To Be Used For Sound Level Predictions

Table B1 Traffic And Road Parameters To Be Used For Sound Level Predictions

Row Width (m)	Implied Roadway Class	AADT Vehicles/Day	Posted Speed Km/Hr	Day/Night Split %	Medium Trucks %	Heavy Trucks % ¹
NA ²	Freeway, Queensway, Highway	18,333 per lane	100	92/8	7	5
37.5-44.5	6-Lane Urban Arterial-Divided (6 UAD)	50,000	50-80	92/8	7	5
34-37.5	4-Lane Urban Arterial-Divided (4-UAD)	35,000	50-80	92/8	7	5
23-34	4-Lane Urban Arterial-Undivided (4-UAU)	30,000	50-80	92/8	7	5
23-34	4-Lane Major Collector (4-UMCU)	24,000	40-60	92/8	7	5
30-35.5	2-Lane Rural Arterial (2-RAU)	15,000	50-80	92/8	7	5
20-30	2-Lane Urban Arterial (2-UAU)	15,000	50-80	92/8	7	5
20-30	2-Lane Major Collector (2-UMCU)	12,000	40-60	92/8	7	5
30-35.5	2-Lane Outer Rural Arterial (near the extremities of the City) (2-RAU)	10,000	50-80	92/8	7	5
20-30	2-Lane Urban Collector (2-UCU)	8,000	40-50	92/8	7	5

¹ The MOE Vehicle Classification definitions should be used to estimate automobiles, medium trucks and heavy trucks.

² The number of lanes is determined by the future mature state of the roadway.

Environmental Noise Guideline

Stationary and Transportation Sources –
Approval and Planning

Publication NPC-300

Table C-10
Supplementary Indoor Aircraft Noise Limits
(Applicable over 24-hour period)

Type of Space	Indoor NEF/NEP*
General offices, reception areas, retail stores, etc.	15
Individual or semi-private offices, conference rooms, etc.	10
Living/dining areas of residences, sleeping quarters of hotels/motels, theatres, libraries, schools, daycare centres, places of worship, etc.	5
Sleeping quarters of residences, hospitals, nursing/retirement homes, etc.	0

* The indoor NEF/NEP values listed in Table C-10 are not obtained from NEF/NEP contour maps. The values are representative of the indoor sound levels and are used as assessment criteria for the evaluation of acoustical insulation requirements.

C7 Noise Control Measures

The following sections provide MOE guidance for appropriate noise control measures. These sections constitute requirements that are applied to MOE approvals for stationary sources. This information is also provided as guidance which land use planning authorities may consider adopting.

The definition in Part A describes the various types and application of noise control measures. All the noise control measures described in the definition are appropriate to address the impact of noise of transportation sources (road, rail and aircraft) on planned sensitive land uses. Only some of the noise control measures described in the definition are appropriate to address the noise impact of stationary sources on planned sensitive land uses.

C7.1 Road Noise Control Measures

C7.1.1 Outdoor Living Areas

If the 16-Hour Equivalent Sound Level, $L_{eq}(16)$ in the OLA is greater than 55 dBA and less than or equal to 60 dBA, noise control measures may be applied to reduce the sound level to 55 dBA. If measures are not provided, prospective purchasers or tenants should be informed of potential noise problems by a warning clause Type A.

If the 16-Hour Equivalent Sound Level, $L_{eq}(16)$ in the OLA is greater than 60 dBA, noise control measures should be implemented to reduce the level to 55 dBA. Only in cases where the required noise control measures are not feasible for technical, economic or administrative reasons would an excess above the limit (55 dBA) be acceptable with a warning clause Type B. In the above situations, any excess above the limit will not be acceptable if it exceeds 5 dBA.

C7.1.2 Plane of a Window – Ventilation Requirements

C7.1.2.1 Daytime Period, 07:00 – 23:00 Hours

Noise control measures may not be required if the L_{eq} (16) daytime sound level in the plane of a bedroom or living/dining room window is less than or equal to 55 dBA. If the sound level in the plane of a bedroom or living/dining room window is greater than 55 dBA and less than or equal to 65 dBA, the dwelling should be designed with a provision for the installation of central air conditioning in the future, at the occupant's discretion. Warning clause Type C is also recommended.

If the daytime sound level in the plane of a bedroom or living/dining room window is greater than 65 dBA, installation of central air conditioning should be implemented with a warning clause Type D. In addition, building components including windows, walls and doors, where applicable, should be designed so that the indoor sound levels comply with the sound level limits in Table C-2. The location and installation of the outdoor air conditioning device should comply with sound level limits of Publication NPC-216, Reference [32], and guidelines contained in Environmental Noise Guidelines for Installation of Residential Air Conditioning Devices, Reference [6], or should comply with other criteria specified by the municipality.

C7.1.2.2 Nighttime Period, 23:00 – 07:00 Hours

Noise control measures may not be required if the L_{eq} (8) nighttime sound level in the plane of a bedroom or living/dining room window is less than or equal to 50 dBA. If the sound level in the plane of a bedroom or living/dining room window is greater than 50 dBA and less than or equal to 60 dBA, the dwelling should be designed with a provision for the installation of central air conditioning in the future, at the occupant's discretion. Warning clause Type C is also recommended.

If the nighttime sound level in the plane of a bedroom or living/dining room window is greater than 60 dBA, installation of central air conditioning should be implemented, with a warning clause Type D. In addition, building components including windows, walls and doors, where applicable, should be designed so that the indoor sound levels comply with the sound level limits in Table C-2. The location and installation of the outdoor air conditioning device should comply with sound level limits of Publication NPC-216, Reference [32], and guidelines contained in Environmental Noise Guidelines for Installation of Residential Air Conditioning Devices, Reference [6], or should comply with other criteria specified by the municipality.

C7.1.3 Indoor Living Areas – Building Components

If the nighttime sound level outside the bedroom or living/dining room windows exceeds 60 dBA or the daytime sound level outside the bedroom or living/dining area windows exceeds 65 dBA, building components including windows, walls and doors, where applicable, should be designed so that the indoor sound levels comply with the

sound level limits in Table C-2. The acoustical performance of the building components (windows, doors and walls) should be specified.

C7.2 Rail Noise Control Measures

C7.2.1 Outdoor Living Areas

Whistle noise is not included in the determination of the outdoor daytime sound level due to railway trains. All the provisions of Section C7.1.1 apply also to noise control requirements for rail noise.

C7.2.2 Plane of a Window – Ventilation Requirements

Whistle noise is not included in the determination of the sound level in the plane of a window. All the provisions of Section C7.1.2 apply also to noise control requirements for rail noise.

C7.2.3 Indoor Living Areas – Building Components

The sound level, L_{eq} , during the daytime (16-hour) and nighttime (8-hour) periods is determined using the prediction method STEAM, Reference [34], immediately outside the dwelling envelope. Whistle noise is included in the determination of the sound level.

If the nighttime sound level outside the bedroom or living/dining room windows exceeds 55 dBA or the daytime sound level outside the bedroom or living/dining area windows exceeds 60 dBA, building components including windows, walls and doors, where applicable, need to be designed so that the indoor sound levels comply with the sound level limits in Table C-2. The acoustical performance of the building components (windows, doors and walls) needs to be specified.

In addition, the exterior walls of the first row of dwellings next to railway tracks are to be built to a minimum of brick veneer or masonry equivalent construction, from the foundation to the rafters when the rail traffic L_{eq} (24-hour), estimated at a location of a nighttime receptor, is greater than 60 dBA, and when the first row of dwellings is within 100 metres of the tracks.

C7.3 Combination of Road and Rail Noise

The noise impact in the OLA and in the plane of a window, and the requirements for outdoor measures, ventilation measures and warning clauses, should be determined by combining road and rail traffic sound levels.

The assessment of the indoor sound levels and the resultant requirement for the acoustical descriptors of the building components should be done separately for road

In Class 4 areas, where windows for noise sensitive spaces are assumed to be closed, the use of central air conditioning may be acceptable if it forms an essential part of the overall building designs.

C7.9 Verification of Noise Control Measures

It is recommended that the implementation of noise control measures be verified by qualified individuals with experience in environmental acoustics.

C8 Warning Clauses

The use of warning clauses or easements in respect of noise are recommended when circumstances warrant. Noise warning clauses may be used to warn of potential annoyance due to an existing source of noise and/or to warn of excesses above the sound level limits. Direction on the use of warning clauses should be included in agreements that are registered on title to the lands in question. The warning clauses would be included in agreements of Offers of Purchase and Sale, lease/rental agreements and condominium declarations. Alternatively, the use of easements in respect of noise may be appropriate in some circumstances. Additional guidance on the use of noise warning clauses is provided in Section C7.1.1, Section C7.1.2.1, Section C7.1.2.2, Section C7.3 and Section C7.4.

C8.1 Transportation Sources

The following warning clauses may be used individually or in combination:

TYPE A: (see Section C7.1.1)

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road traffic (rail traffic) (air traffic) may occasionally interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment.”

TYPE B: (see Section C7.1.1 and Section C7.4)

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing road traffic (rail traffic) (air traffic) may on occasions interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment.”

TYPE C: (see Section C7.1.2.1, Section C7.1.2.2 and Section C7.4)

“This dwelling unit has been designed with the provision for adding central air conditioning at the occupant’s discretion. Installation of

central air conditioning by the occupant in low and medium density developments will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment.”

TYPE D: (see Section C7.1.2.1, Section C7.1.2.2 and Section C7.4)

“This dwelling unit has been supplied with a central air conditioning system which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment.”

C8.2 Stationary Sources

It is not acceptable to use warning clauses in place of physical noise control measures to identify an excess over the MOE sound level limits. Warning clause (Type E) for stationary sources may identify a potential concern due to the proximity of the facility but it is not acceptable to justify exceeding the sound level limits.

TYPE E: (see Section C7.6)

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that due to the proximity of the adjacent industry (facility) (utility), noise from the industry (facility) (utility) may at times be audible.”

C8.3 Class 4 Area Notification

TYPE F: (see Section B9.2 and Section C4.4.2)

“Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to the adjacent industry (facility) (utility) are required to comply with sound level limits that are protective of indoor areas and are based on the assumption that windows and exterior doors are closed. This dwelling unit has been supplied with a ventilation/air conditioning system which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed.”

Appendix A: Warning Clauses

Under the Official Plan and this guideline warning clauses may be required to be incorporated into development through development agreements, registration on title and inclusion in Agreements of Purchase and Sale. This requirement may be included in any development, regardless of whether it is considered a noise sensitive land use.

A warning clause provides recognition for the City, Province landowner or tenants that noise may be a concern, that noise may be audible at times or even quite loud, and, depending on the type of development, provincial guidelines for noise may be exceeded. Warning clauses also recognize that environmental noise is a potential health hazard that does impact people and neighbourhoods. It is for this reason that, unless a non-noise sensitive land use is established, a warning clause should also include noise mitigation.

A warning clause is not considered a form of noise mitigation. It is not acceptable therefore to use warning clauses in place of physical noise control measures to identify an excess over the MOE or City noise limits. The reason for a warning clause on all development is twofold. Firstly, it is important to note that a land use that although the development may not be considered noise sensitive it may include employees or tenants that are personally sensitive to noise. A warning clause provides protection against complaints to the ministry of Environment should provincial guidelines be exceeded. Secondly, a warning clause on title could obviate the need for a new noise study in the future. In a redevelopment scenario the warning clause would provide recognition of the extent noise conditions.

Given the variation in potential intensity and impact of noise it will often be necessary to amend warning clauses to recognize the site specific conditions in each development. Final wording of any warning clause is to be approved by the City.

The following subsections provide example text to be adapted into warning clauses.

Surface Transportation Warning Clauses

Table A1 Surface Transportation Warning Clauses

Type	Example	Notes
Generic	<p><i>Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic may occasionally interfere with some outdoor activities as the sound levels may exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</i></p> <p><i>To help address the need for sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an outdoor amenity area that is within provincial guidelines. Measures for sound attenuation include:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>A setback of buildings from the noise source and</i> • <i>An acoustic barrier.</i> <p><i>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain sound attenuation features.</i></p> <p><i>The acoustic barrier shall be maintained and kept in good repair by the property owner. Any maintenance, repair or replacement is the responsibility of the owner and shall be with the same material or to the same standards, having the same colour, appearance and function of the original.</i></p> <p><i>Additionally this development includes trees and shrubs to screen the source of noise from occupants.</i></p>	<p>The generic warning clause outlines that MOE sound levels may be exceeded but the indoor environment and outdoor amenity areas are within guidelines.</p> <p>Mitigation measures are described including urban design features.</p> <p>Mention is also made of landscaping to screen the development visually from the source of noise.</p>
Extensive mitigation of indoor and	<p><i>“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units,</i></p>	<p>The warning clause makes reference to MOE sound levels</p>

Table A1 Surface Transportation Warning Clauses

Type	Example	Notes
<p>outdoor amenity area</p>	<p><i>sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic may, on occasion, interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</i></p> <p><i>To help address the need for sound attenuation this development includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>multi-pane glass;</i> • <i>double brick veneer;</i> • <i>an earth berm; and</i> • <i>an acoustic barrier.</i> <p><i>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features.</i></p> <p><i>The acoustic barrier shall be maintained and kept in good repair by the property owner. Any maintenance, repair or replacement is the responsibility of the owner and shall be with the same material or to the same standards, having the same colour, appearance and function of the original.</i></p> <p><i>This dwelling unit has also been designed with the provision for adding central air conditioning at the occupant’s discretion. Installation of central air conditioning will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</i></p>	<p>being exceeded from time to time and that there are sound attenuation features and landscaping within the development that should be maintained.</p> <p>An option for air conditioning is noted as well as landscaping to screen the source of noise.</p>

Table A1 Surface Transportation Warning Clauses

Type	Example	Notes
	<p><i>Additionally this development includes trees and shrubs to screen the source of noise from occupants.</i></p>	
<p>No outdoor amenity area</p>	<p><i>Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic will interfere with outdoor activities as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</i></p> <p><i>To help address the need for sound attenuation this development includes:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <i>multi-pane glass;</i> • <i>double brick veneer;</i> • <i>high sound transmission class walls.</i> <p><i>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features.</i></p> <p><i>This dwelling unit has been supplied with a central air conditioning system and other measures which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment</i></p>	<p>This warning clause notes that only an indoor environment is being provided for.</p>


Stationary Source Warning Clauses

The Province notes that it is not acceptable to use warning clauses in place of physical noise control measures to identify an excess over the MOE sound level limits for stationary sources. The generic warning clause for stationary sources (called Type E in NPC-300) may identify a potential concern due to the proximity of the facility but it is not possible to justify exceeding the sound level limits.

The wording of the generic stationary noise warning clause may also be used as the basis for new development adjacent to areas licensed for mineral aggregate extraction.

Note:
 1) This map forms part of the Transportation Master Plan of the City of Ottawa and must be read in conjunction with the text.
 2) This map is intended as a framework for planning and design; consequently alignments of proposed roads are approximate and subject to detailed study.
 3) The road network shown in the Kanata West to Fernbank Road area is subject to confirmation.

Note:
 1) La présente carte fait partie du Plan directeur de transports de la Ville d'Ottawa et doit être consultée en se reportant au texte même du Plan officiel.
 2) La présente carte doit servir de guide en matière de planification et de conception; il s'ensuit que le tracé des routes proposées n'est qu'approximatif et donnera lieu à une étude plus approfondie.
 3) Le réseau routier dans le secteur de Kanata Ouest au chemin Fernbank est provisoire et sujet à l'approbation.




SEE MAP 7
VOIR CARTE 7

Site

Provincial Highway	—	Chemins de propriété fédéral	Arterial - Existing	—	Artère - Établie
Federally Owned Road	—	Route provinciale	Arterial - Proposed (alignment defined)	- - -	Artère - Proposé (alignement déterminé)
City Freeway	—	Autoroute de ville	Arterial - Conceptual (alignment undefined)	↔	Artère - Conceptuelle (alignement à déterminer)
			Major Collector - Existing	—	Grande collectrice - Établie
			Major Collector - Proposed	- - -	Grande collectrice - Proposé
			Collector - Existing	—	Collectrice - Établie
			Collector - Proposed	- - -	Collectrice - Proposé
			New Interchange	●	Nouvel échangeur

Note:
 1) This map forms part of the Transportation Master Plan of the City of Ottawa and must be read in conjunction with the text.
 2) This map is intended as a framework for planning and design; consequently alignments of proposed roads are approximate and subject to detailed study.

Note:
 1) La présente carte fait partie du Plan directeur des transports de la Ville d'Ottawa et doit être consultée en se reportant au texte même du Plan officiel.
 2) La présente carte doit servir de guide en matière de planification et de conception; s'ensuit que le tracé des routes proposées n'est qu'approximatif et donnera lieu à une étude plus approfondie.



Navan Road to be widened

- New Arterials — Nouvelles artères
- Widened Arterial — Artères élargies
- Conceptual Arterial - - - Conception d'artères
- New or Widened Collector — Artères élargies ou nouvelles
- New Interchange ● Nouvel échangeur

TRANSPORTATION MASTER PLAN - Map 10
 ROAD NETWORK – 2031 NETWORK CONCEPT

PLAN DIRECTEUR DES TRANSPORTS - Carte 10
 RÉSEAU ROUTIER - CONCEPT DU RÉSEAU 2031




1 0.5 0 1 2 3 km

Prepared by: Planning and Growth Management Department
 Mapping & Graphics Unit, 2015 Revision
 Préparé par: Service des Urbanisme et de la gestion de la croissance, Unité de la cartographie et des graphiques, Révision 2015

Table A3 Road Projects

Project	General Description	Rationale	EA Status
Kanata Avenue (Affordable Network and Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between Highway 417 and Campeau Drive	Fulfills urban design initiatives ongoing in the vicinity of Kanata Town Centre	In progress
Klondike Road (Affordable Network and Network Concept)	Urbanize existing two-lane rural cross section between March Road and Sandhill Road	Provides continuity between March Road and new residential development in Kanata North	Not required
Leitrim Road (Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between River Road and Limebank Road	Provides capacity for development in Riverside South	Complete
	New four-lane re-aligned road between Limebank Road and Albion Road	Provides capacity for development in Riverside South	Complete
Lester Road (Affordable Network and Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between Airport Parkway and Bank Street	Accommodates growth in Riverside South and Leitrim and diverts traffic from Albion Road away from Blossom Park	Not started
Limebank Road (Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between Earl Armstrong Road and Mitch Owens Road	Addresses capacity deficiencies across the Leitrim screenline, in conjunction with the widening of Riverside Drive and Bank Street	Not started
Maple Grove Road (Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between Terry Fox Drive and Huntmar Drive	Accommodates Kanata West Development	Complete
March Road (Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between Old Carp Road and Dunrobin Road	Provides additional vehicular capacity to growth areas in north Kanata	Not started
Mer Bleue Road (Affordable Network and Network Concept)	Affordable: Widen from two to four lanes between Brian Coburn Boulevard and Renaud Road	Provides capacity for the development areas south of Innes Road	Complete
	Concept: New four-lane re-alignment, west of existing Mer Bleue Road, between Renaud Road and Navan Road	Provides capacity for the development areas south of Innes Road	Complete
Navan Road (Network Concept)	Widen from two to four lanes between Brian Coburn Boulevard and Mer Bleue Road.	Provides capacity for the development areas south of Innes Road	Not started



SITE MAP:

PROJECT STATUS:
CONCEPT

Revision Schedule	
No.	Description
A	CONCEPT
Revision Date: 12/23/2022	

SEAL: **ABELEARCHITECTURE**
TRADING AS ABELE ARCHITECTURE INC. 1-800-668-8818


TRADE CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION. DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, SCHEDULES AND DRAWINGS DESIGNS REPRESENTED AND SERVICE SHALL REMAIN THE COPYRIGHT AND PROPERTY OF SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. ANY REPRODUCTION OR USE FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT AUTHORIZED BY SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. IS PROHIBITED. CONTRACTORS SHALL REMAIN FAMILIAR WITH, SHALL REFER TO, AND SHALL PERFORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS AND BUILDING CODES. CONTRACTORS SHALL MAINTAIN GOOD INDUSTRY BUILDING AND SAFETY PRACTICES CONSISTENT WITH THE CONTRACT INTENT AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITIES.

ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND COSTS RELATED TO NON-MATERIAL CHANGES WILL NOT BE PAID TO CONTRACTORS FOR ANY CHANGES DEVELOPED BY SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. NON-MATERIAL CHANGES ARE DEEMED TO BE PLAN CHANGES OR SPECIFICATION ADJUSTMENTS THAT DO NOT SUBSTANTIALLY AFFECT THE VALUE, TIME AND QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO MEET THE PROJECT SCHEDULE TARGETS AND PROVIDE GOOD EFFICIENCY, PROGRESS, WORKMANSHIP AND QUALITY TOWARD DEFICIENCY-FREE RESULTS.


PROJECT NAME: RHYTHM APARTMENTS
PROJECT NUMBER: VP XXXX
ADDRESS: OTTAWA
DRAWING TITLE: FIRST / SECOND FLOOR PLAN

DRAWN BY: Author
CHECKED BY: Checker
DATE: DEC 23 2022
SCALE: 1 : 175
DRAWING #: A2.01


REV #:
A



1 F/F Level 1
1 : 175



2 F/F Level 2
1 : 175



PROJECT STATUS:
CONCEPT

Revision Schedule	
No.	Description
A	CONCEPT
Revision Date: 12/23/2022	

SEAL: **ABELEARCHITECTURE**
TRUSTED PROFESSIONAL ARCHITECT (B.C.) 1-604-886-8818


TRADE CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION. CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION. DRAWINGS USED AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE SHALL REMAIN THE COPYRIGHT AND PROPERTY OF SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. ANY REPRODUCTION, REUSE, OR USE FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT AUTHORIZED BY SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. IS PROHIBITED. CONTRACTORS SHALL REMAIN FAMILIAR WITH, SHALL REFER TO, AND SHALL PERFORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS AND BUILDING CODES. CONTRACTORS SHALL MAINTAIN GOOD INDUSTRY BUILDING AND SAFETY PRACTICES CONSISTENT WITH THE CONTRACT INTENT AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITIES.

ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND COSTS RELATED TO NON-MATERIAL CHANGES WILL NOT BE PAID TO CONTRACTORS FOR NON-MATERIAL DEVELOPMENTS. NON-MATERIAL CHANGES ARE DEEMED TO BE PLAN CHANGES OR SPECIFICATION ADJUSTMENTS THAT DO NOT SUBSTANTIALLY AFFECT THE VALUE, TIME AND QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO MEET THE PROJECT SCHEDULE TARGETS AND PROVIDE GOOD EFFICIENCY, PROGRESS, WORKMANSHIP AND QUALITY TOWARD DEFICIENCY-FREE RESULTS.


PROJECT NAME: RHYTHM APARTMENTS
PROJECT NUMBER: VP XXXX
ADDRESS: OTTAWA

DRAWING TITLE: THIRD / FOURTH FLOOR PLAN


DRAWN BY: Author
CHECKED BY: Checker
DATE: DEC 23 2022
SCALE: 1 : 175
DRAWING #: A2.02



1 F/F Level 3
1 : 175



2 F/F Level 4
1 : 175



SITE MAP:

PROJECT STATUS:
CONCEPT

Revision Schedule	
No.	Description
A	CONCEPT
Revision Date	
12/23/2022	

SEAL: **ABELEARCHITECTURE**
TRADING AS ABELE ARCHITECTURAL INC. 1 604 686 8818

TRADE CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION. CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION. CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION.

ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND COSTS RELATED TO NON-MATERIAL CHANGES WILL NOT BE PAID TO CONTRACTORS. CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY, FOR CLARIFICATION.

PROJECT NAME:
RHYTHM APARTMENTS



PROJECT NUMBER:
VP XXXX

ADDRESS:
OTTAWA

DRAWING TITLE:
FIFTH / SIXTH FLOOR PLAN

DRAWN BY: Author
CHECKED BY: Checker
DATE: DEC 23 2022
SCALE: 1 : 175
DRAWING #: _____

A2.03



PROJECT STATUS:
CONCEPT

Revision Schedule	
No.	Description
A	CONCEPT



Revision Date
12/23/2022

SEAL: **ABELEARCHITECTURE**
TRADING AS ABELE ARCHITECTURE INC. 1 866 686 818

TRADE CONTRACTORS SHALL VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES OR INCONSISTENCIES TO SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. WITHOUT DELAY. FOR CLARIFICATION OF DRAWINGS, DESIGNS REPRESENTED AND DRAWINGS USED AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE SHALL REMAIN THE COPYRIGHT AND PROPERTY OF SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. ANY REPRODUCTION OR USE FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT AUTHORIZED BY SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. IS PROHIBITED. CONTRACTORS SHALL REMAIN FAMILIAR WITH, SHALL REFER TO, AND SHALL PERFORM IN ACCORDANCE WITH LOCAL LAWS, REGULATIONS AND BUILDING CODES. CONTRACTORS SHALL MAINTAIN GOOD INDUSTRY BUILDING AND SAFETY PRACTICES CONSISTENT WITH THE CONTRACT INTENT AND THE REQUIREMENTS OF JURISDICTIONAL AUTHORITIES.

ADDITIONAL CLAIMS AND COSTS RELATED TO NON-MATERIAL CHANGES WILL NOT BE INCURRED BY SEYMOUR PACIFIC DEVELOPMENTS LTD. NON-MATERIAL CHANGES ARE DEEMED TO BE PLAN ADJUSTMENTS THAT DO NOT SUBSTANTIALLY AFFECT THE VALUE, TIME AND QUALITY OF CONSTRUCTION. CONTRACTORS SHALL MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO MEET THE PROJECT SCHEDULE TARGETS AND PROVIDE GOOD EFFICIENCY, PROGRESS, WORKMANSHIP AND QUALITY TOWARD DEFICIENCY-FREE RESULTS.

PROJECT NAME:	RHYTHM APARTMENTS
PROJECT NUMBER:	VP XXXX
ADDRESS:	OTTAWA
DRAWING TITLE:	ELEVATION
DRAWN BY:	Author
CHECKED BY:	Checker
DATE:	DEC 23 2022
SCALE:	1 : 175
DRAWING #:	A3.00
REV #:	A



APPENDIX B

Sound Level Calculations

Filename: ola1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Amenity Area - Southwest

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -47.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 75.00 / 75.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -59.00 deg
Barrier height : 6.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
Barrier elevation : 81.40 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 2: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -47.00 deg 75.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 75.00 / 75.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -47.00 deg Angle2 : 75.00 deg
Barrier height : 18.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 30.00 / 30.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 75.00 deg 90.00 deg

Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 75.00 / 75.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 75.00 deg Angle2 : 80.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 18.00 / 18.00 m
 Source elevation : 81.75 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 4: Page (day/night)

 Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
 Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
 Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
 Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 4: Page (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 34.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 97.00 / 97.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : 17.00 deg
 Barrier height : 18.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 81.45 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 5: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 5: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 34.00 deg 48.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 97.00 / 97.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 34.00 deg Angle2 : 48.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 50.00 / 50.00 m
Source elevation : 81.45 m
Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 6: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00

Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 6: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -72.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 100.00 / 100.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -72.00 deg
 Barrier height : 18.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 30.00 / 30.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 7: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
 Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
 Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
 Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 7: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -72.00 deg -54.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 100.00 / 100.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -72.00 deg Angle2 : -67.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 30.00 / 30.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 8: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 8: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -54.00 deg 15.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 100.00 / 100.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -54.00 deg Angle2 : 15.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 55.00 / 55.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 9: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 9: Renaud (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 15.00 deg 90.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 100.00 / 100.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 15.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 22.00 / 22.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.88 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.24 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	48.86 !	48.86 !
2.Navan	! 1.50 !	44.33 !	44.33 !
3.Navan	! 1.50 !	43.12 !	43.12 !
4.Page	! 1.50 !	40.99 !	40.99 !
5.Page	! 1.50 !	29.27 !	29.27 !
6.Renaud	! 1.50 !	32.89 !	32.89 !
7.Renaud	! 1.50 !	39.08 !	39.08 !
8.Renaud	! 1.50 !	36.76 !	36.76 !
9.Renaud	! 1.50 !	41.22 !	41.22 !
	Total		52.20 dBA

↑

Result summary (night)

	!	source	!	Road	!	Total
	!	height	!	Leq	!	Leq
	!	(m)	!	(dBA)	!	(dBA)
1.Navan	!	1.50	!	41.26	!	41.26
2.Navan	!	1.50	!	36.73	!	36.73
3.Navan	!	1.50	!	35.52	!	35.52
4.Page	!	1.50	!	33.39	!	33.39
5.Page	!	1.50	!	21.68	!	21.68
6.Renaud	!	1.50	!	25.29	!	25.29
7.Renaud	!	1.50	!	31.49	!	31.49
8.Renaud	!	1.50	!	29.17	!	29.17
9.Renaud	!	1.50	!	33.63	!	33.63
		Total				44.60 dBA

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 52.20
(NIGHT): 44.60

↑

↑

Filename: ola2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Amenity Area - Northwest

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -60.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 55.00 / 55.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -67.00 deg
Barrier height : 6.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
Barrier elevation : 81.40 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -60.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 55.00 / 55.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentleslope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -60.00 deg Angle2 : 83.00 deg
Barrier height : 18.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 10.00 / 10.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 43.00 deg

Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 99.00 / 99.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : 30.00 deg
 Barrier height : 18.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 81.45 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 4: Page (day/night)

 Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
 Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
 Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
 Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 4: Page (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 43.00 deg 50.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 99.00 / 99.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 43.00 deg Angle2 : 50.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 55.00 / 55.00 m
 Source elevation : 81.45 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
 Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -40.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 127.00 / 127.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -54.00 deg
Barrier height : 18.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 6: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00

Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 6: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -40.00 deg 11.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 127.00 / 127.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -40.00 deg Angle2 : 11.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 80.00 / 80.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 7: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 7: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 11.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 2 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 127.00 / 127.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : 11.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 49.00 / 49.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.75 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.24 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	48.21 !	48.21
2.Navan	! 1.50 !	47.55 !	47.55
3.Page	! 1.50 !	39.85 !	39.85
4.Page	! 1.50 !	26.22 !	26.22
5.Renaud	! 1.50 !	38.96 !	38.96
6.Renaud	! 1.50 !	34.33 !	34.33
7.Renaud	! 1.50 !	41.13 !	41.13
	Total		51.95 dBA

↑

Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	40.61 !	40.61
2.Navan	! 1.50 !	39.95 !	39.95
3.Page	! 1.50 !	32.26 !	32.26
4.Page	! 1.50 !	18.62 !	18.62
5.Renaud	! 1.50 !	31.37 !	31.37
6.Renaud	! 1.50 !	26.73 !	26.73
7.Renaud	! 1.50 !	33.54 !	33.54
	Total		44.35 dBA

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 51.95
 (NIGHT): 44.35

Filename: ola3r.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Amenity Area - East

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -81.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 57.00 / 57.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -81.00 deg
Barrier height : 6.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
Barrier elevation : 81.40 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -81.00 deg 60.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 57.00 / 57.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentleslope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -81.00 deg Angle2 : 60.00 deg
Barrier height : 18.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 12.00 / 12.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 60.00 deg 90.00 deg

Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 57.00 / 57.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 60.00 deg Angle2 : 72.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 18.00 / 18.00 m
 Source elevation : 81.75 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 4: Page (day/night)

 Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
 Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
 Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
 Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 4: Page (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 56.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 47.00 / 47.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : 6.00 deg
 Barrier height : 18.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 81.45 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -78.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 81.00 / 81.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : -90.00 deg Angle2 : -78.00 deg
Barrier height : 18.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 12.00 / 12.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
Barrier elevation : 81.20 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 6: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00

Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 6: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -78.00 deg -31.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 81.00 / 81.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : -78.00 deg Angle2 : -66.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 18.00 / 18.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 7: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
 Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
 Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
 Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 7: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -31.00 deg 70.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 81.00 / 81.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -31.00 deg Angle2 : 70.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 42.00 / 42.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
 Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 8: Renaud (day/night)

 Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
 Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
 Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
 Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 8: Renaud (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 70.00 deg 90.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 81.00 / 81.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 70.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 20.00 / 20.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 80.80 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.24 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Result summary (day)

!	source	!	Road	!	Total
!	height	!	Leq	!	Leq

	!	(m)	!	(dBA)	!	(dBA)
1.Navan	!	1.50	!	38.60	!	38.60
2.Navan	!	1.50	!	46.15	!	46.15
3.Navan	!	1.50	!	49.22	!	49.22
4.Page	!	1.50	!	49.84	!	49.84
5.Renaud	!	1.50	!	31.70	!	31.70
6.Renaud	!	1.50	!	45.52	!	45.52
7.Renaud	!	1.50	!	39.20	!	39.20
8.Renaud	!	1.50	!	37.17	!	37.17
Total				54.46 dBA		

↑

Result summary (night)

	!	source	!	Road	!	Total
	!	height	!	Leq	!	Leq
	!	(m)	!	(dBA)	!	(dBA)
1.Navan	!	1.50	!	31.00	!	31.00
2.Navan	!	1.50	!	38.55	!	38.55
3.Navan	!	1.50	!	41.63	!	41.63
4.Page	!	1.50	!	42.25	!	42.25
5.Renaud	!	1.50	!	24.11	!	24.11
6.Renaud	!	1.50	!	37.93	!	37.93
7.Renaud	!	1.50	!	31.60	!	31.60
8.Renaud	!	1.50	!	29.57	!	29.57
Total				46.86 dBA		

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 54.46
(NIGHT): 46.86

↑

↑

Filename: pow1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: First Floor POW1 - North West

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 87.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 44.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 103.00 / 103.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 43.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 3 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 164.00 / 164.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : 43.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 30.00 / 30.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.75 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road	! Total
	! height !	Leq	! Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	! (dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	67.58	! 67.58
2.Page	! 1.50 !	47.74	! 47.74
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	35.90	! 35.90
	Total		67.63 dBA

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road	! Total
	! height !	Leq	! Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	! (dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	59.98	! 59.98
2.Page	! 1.50 !	40.15	! 40.15
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	29.61	! 29.61
	Total		60.03 dBA

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 67.63
 (NIGHT): 60.03

↑

↑

Filename: pow16.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Sixth Floor POW1 - North West

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 87.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 44.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 103.00 / 103.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 43.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 3 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 164.00 / 164.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : 43.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 30.00 / 30.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.75 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road	! Total
	! height !	Leq	! Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	! (dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	69.38	! 69.38 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	51.78	! 51.78
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	41.89	! 41.89 *
	Total		69.46 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road	! Total
	! height !	Leq	! Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	! (dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	61.78	! 61.78 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	44.18	! 44.18
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	38.16	! 38.16 *
	Total		61.87 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 69.46
 (NIGHT): 61.87

↑
 ↑

Filename: pow2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: First Floor POW2 - North Middle

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 85.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 40.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 65.00 / 65.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -46.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 135.00 / 135.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -52.00 deg Angle2 : -46.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road	Total
	! height !	Leq	Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	(dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	67.57	67.57
2.Page	! 1.50 !	50.93	50.93
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	39.79	39.79
	Total		67.67 dBA

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road	Total
	! height !	Leq	Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	(dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	59.98	59.98
2.Page	! 1.50 !	43.33	43.33
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	32.19	32.19
	Total		60.08 dBA

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 67.67
 (NIGHT): 60.08

↑

↑

Filename: pow26.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Sixth Floor POW2 - North Middle

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 85.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 40.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 65.00 / 65.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg -46.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 135.00 / 135.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -52.00 deg Angle2 : -46.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	69.38 !	69.38 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	54.13 !	54.13
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	46.45 !	46.45 *
	Total		69.53 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	61.78 !	61.78 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	46.54 !	46.54
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	38.86 !	38.86 *
	Total		61.93 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 69.53
 (NIGHT): 61.93

↑
 ↑

Filename: pow3.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: First Floor POW3 - North East

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 81.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 79.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 19.00 / 19.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 0.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 94.00 / 94.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -56.00 deg Angle2 : -38.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	67.55 !	67.55 !
2.Page	! 1.50 !	60.72 !	60.72 !
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	47.09 !	47.09 !
	Total		68.40 dBA

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	59.95 !	59.95 !
2.Page	! 1.50 !	53.13 !	53.13 !
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	39.50 !	39.50 !
	Total		60.80 dBA

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 68.40
 (NIGHT): 60.80

↑

↑

Filename: pow36.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Sixth Floor POW3 - North East

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 26.00 / 26.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 81.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑
Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 79.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 19.00 / 19.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 0.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 94.00 / 94.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -56.00 deg Angle2 : -38.00 deg
 Barrier height : 3.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	69.38 !	69.38 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	61.86 !	61.86
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	52.15 !	52.15 *
	Total		70.16 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	61.78 !	61.78 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	54.27 !	54.27
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	44.56 !	44.56 *
	Total		62.56 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 70.16
 (NIGHT): 62.56

↑
 ↑

Filename: pow4.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: First Floor POW4 - South East

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 45.00 / 45.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 60.00 deg Angle2 : 78.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -35.00 deg 70.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 25.00 / 25.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 8.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 76.00 / 76.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -78.00 deg Angle2 : -60.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 4: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 4: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 8.00 deg 81.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 76.00 / 76.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 8.00 deg Angle2 : 81.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 20.00 / 20.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 81.00 deg 90.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 76.00 / 76.00 m
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 81.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.24 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	60.06 !	60.06 !
2.Page	! 1.50 !	57.35 !	57.35 !
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	49.61 !	49.61 !
4.Renaud	! 1.50 !	38.43 !	38.43 !
5.Renaud	! 1.50 !	32.31 !	32.31 !
	-----+-----+-----+-----		
	Total		62.19 dBA

↑
 Result summary (night)

	! source !	Road	! Total
	! height !	Leq	! Leq
	! (m) !	(dBA)	! (dBA)
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	52.47	! 52.47
2.Page	! 1.50 !	49.76	! 49.76
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	42.01	! 42.01
4.Renaud	! 1.50 !	30.83	! 30.83
5.Renaud	! 1.50 !	24.72	! 24.72
	Total		54.60 dBA

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 62.19
(NIGHT): 54.60

↑

↑

Filename: pow46.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Sixth Floor POW4 - South East

Road data, segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 60 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Navan (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 45.00 / 45.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 60.00 deg Angle2 : 78.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 81.75 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 40 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 2: Page (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -35.00 deg 70.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 25.00 / 25.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 1 (Flat/gentleslope; no barrier)
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 3: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 8.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 76.00 / 76.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)

Barrier angle1 : -78.00 deg Angle2 : -60.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 81.35 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 4: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 1 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 4: Renaud (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : 8.00 deg 81.00 deg
Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 76.00 / 76.00 m
Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
Barrier angle1 : 8.00 deg Angle2 : 81.00 deg
Barrier height : 3.00 m
Barrier receiver distance : 20.00 / 20.00 m
Source elevation : 80.60 m
Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
Barrier elevation : 83.26 m
Reference angle : 0.00

↑

Road data, segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod *
Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod *
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod *

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
 Road gradient : 1 %
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 8000
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00
 Number of Years of Growth : 0.00
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 5: Renaud (day/night)

 Angle1 Angle2 : 81.00 deg 90.00 deg
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)
 No of house rows : 0 / 0
 Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)
 Receiver source distance : 76.00 / 76.00 m
 Receiver height : 15.28 / 15.28 m
 Topography : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
 Barrier angle1 : 81.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
 Barrier height : 6.00 m
 Barrier receiver distance : 3.00 / 3.00 m
 Source elevation : 80.60 m
 Receiver elevation : 81.20 m
 Barrier elevation : 79.24 m
 Reference angle : 0.00

↑
 Result summary (day)

	! source !	Road !	Total !
	! height !	Leq !	Leq !
	! (m) !	(dBA) !	(dBA) !
1.Navan	! 1.50 !	63.40 !	63.40 *
2.Page	! 1.50 !	58.62 !	58.62
3.Renaud	! 1.50 !	53.73 !	53.73 *
4.Renaud	! 1.50 !	52.53 !	52.53 *
5.Renaud	! 1.50 !	41.01 !	41.01 *
	Total		65.24 dBA

* Bright Zone !

↑
 Result summary (night)

```

-----
! source ! Road ! Total
! height ! Leq ! Leq
! (m) ! (dBA) ! (dBA)
-----
1.Navan ! 1.50 ! 55.80 ! 55.80 *
2.Page ! 1.50 ! 51.03 ! 51.03
3.Renaud ! 1.50 ! 46.14 ! 46.14 *
4.Renaud ! 1.50 ! 44.94 ! 44.94 *
5.Renaud ! 1.50 ! 33.42 ! 33.42 *
-----
Total 57.65 dBA

```

* Bright Zone !

↑

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 65.24
(NIGHT): 57.65


↑

↑



LEGEND


● OLA



M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 3, Dec 13, 2022 - 2:18pm, mfang

<p>Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6</p> <p>Telephone (613) 254-9643 Facsimile (613) 254-5867 Website www.novatech-eng.com</p>	<p>CITY OF OTTAWA 3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APPARTMENTS)</p>	
	<p>OLA1 LOCATION AND ANGLES</p>	
<p>SCALE 1 : 1250 </p>		
<p>DATE DEC 2022</p>	<p>JOB 122180</p>	<p>FIGURE FIG-OLA1</p>

M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Dec 14, 2022 - 8:57am, mfang



LEGEND

● OLA



NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com

CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

OLA2 LOCATION AND ANGLES


SCALE 1 : 1250

DATE	JOB	FIGURE
DEC 2022	122180	FIG-OLA2



LEGEND

● OLA



M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Dec 14, 2022 - 8:57am, mfang



Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com


CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

OLA3 LOCATION AND ANGLES



DATE	JOB	FIGURE
DEC 2022	122180	FIG-OLA3

M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Dec 14, 2022 - 8:57am, mfang



LEGEND

● POW




NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com


CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

POW1 LOCATION AND ANGLES



DATE	JOB	FIGURE
DEC 2022	122180	FIG-POW1

M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Dec 14, 2022 - 8:57am, mfang



LEGEND

● POW




Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com


CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

POW2 LOCATION AND ANGLES



DATE	JOB	FIGURE
DEC 2022	122180	FIG-POW2

M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Dec 14, 2022 - 8:57am, mfang



LEGEND

● POW

NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com


CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

POW3 LOCATION AND ANGLES

SCALE 1 : 1250

DATE DEC 2022 JOB 122180 FIGURE FIG-POW3

M:\2022\122180\CAD\Civil\Figures\Noise\122180-NC.dwg, Figure 2, Dec 14, 2022 - 8:57am, mfang



LEGEND

● POW



NOVATECH

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects
Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive
Ottawa, Ontario, Canada K2M 1P6

Telephone (613) 254-9643
Facsimile (613) 254-5867
Website www.novatech-eng.com

CITY OF OTTAWA
3080 NAVAN ROAD (RHYTHM APARTMENTS)

POW4 LOCATION AND ANGLES



DATE	JOB	FIGURE
DEC 2022	122180	FIG-POW4

APPENDIX C

Acoustic Insulation Factor Tables

POWER 6th Floor

TABLE 5: Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Windows

Window area as a percentage of total floor area of room (1)		Single glazing	Double glazing of indicated glass thickness						Triple Glazing				
4	5		2mm and 2mm glass	3mm and 3mm glass	4mm and 4mm glass	5mm and 6mm glass	6mm and 6mm glass	3mm, 3mm and 3mm glass					
Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIR) (2)		Thickness	Interpane spacing in mm (3)						Interpane spacings in mm (5)				
		2mm											
35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22
36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
37	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25
39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26
40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27
41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28
42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29
43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31
45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32
46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33
47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34
48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35
49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36
50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37

Source: National Research Council, Division of Building Research, June 1980.

Explanatory Notes:

- 1) Where the calculated percentage window area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table values should be used.
- 2) AIR data listed in the table are for well-fitted weatherstripped units that can be opened. The AIR values apply only when the windows are closed. For windows fixed and sealed to the frame, add three (3) to the AIR given in the table.
- 3) If the interpane spacing or glass thickness for a specific double-glazed window is not listed in the table, the nearest listed values should be used.
- 4) The AIR ratings for 9mm and 12mm glasses are for laminated glass only; for solid glass subtract two (2) from the AIR values listed in the table.
- 5) If the interpane spacings for a specific triple-glazed window are not listed in the table, use the listed case whose combined spacings are nearest the actual combined spacing.
- 6) The AIR data listed in the table are for typical windows, but details of glass mounting, window seals, etc. may result in slightly different performance for some manufacturers' products. If laboratory sound transmission loss data (conforming to ASTM test method E-90) are available, these should be used to calculate the AIR.

TABLE 11: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for windows and doors:

Window (or door) area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
80	STC-5
63	STC-4
50	STC-3
40	STC-2
32	STC-1
25	STC
20	STC+1
16	STC+2
12.5	STC+3
10	STC+4
8	STC+5
6.3	STC+6
5	STC+7
4	STC+8

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Examples: For a window whose area = 20% of the room floor area and STC = 32 the AIF is $32 + 1 = 33$.

For a window whose area = 60% of the room floor area and STC = 29 the AIF is $29 - 4 = 25$.

POW1 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF - 3 = 26$$

TABLE 12: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for exterior walls:

Exterior wall area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
200	STC-10
160	STC-9
125	STC-8
100	STC-7
80	STC-6
63	STC-5
50	STC-4
40	STC-3
32	STC-2
25	STC-1
20	STC
16	STC+1
12.5	STC+2
10	STC+3
8	

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Example: For a wall whose area = 120% of room floor area and STC = 48 the AIF is $48 - 8 = 40$.

Pow 1 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF + 8 = 37$$

POW: 6th Floor

Table 6.3 - Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Exterior Wall

	Percentage of exterior wall area to total floor area of room										Type of Exterior Wall	
	16	20	25	32	40	50	63	80	100	125		160
Acoustic Insulation Factor	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	EW1
	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	EW2
	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	EW3
	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	EW4
	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	EW1R
	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	EW2R
	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	EW3R
	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	EW5
	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	EW4R
	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	EW6
	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	EW7 or EW5R
	63	62	61	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	EW8

Source : National Research Council, Division of Building Research, December 1980.

Explanatory Notes :

- 1) Where the calculated percentage wall area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table should be used.
- 2) The common structure of walls EW1 to EW5 is composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, vapour barrier, and 38 x 89 mm studs with 50 mm (or thicker) mineral wool or glass fibre batts in inter-stud cavities.
- 3) EW1 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, plus wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW2 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus rigid insulation (25-30 mm), and wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW3 denotes simulated mansard with structure as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 28 x 89 mm framing, sheathing, and asphalt roofing material.
 EW4 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing and 20 mm stucco.
 EW5 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 25 mm air space, 100 mm brick veneer.
 EW6 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 100 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW7 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 140 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW8 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 200 mm concrete.
- 4) R signifies the mounting of the interior gypsum board on resilient clips.
- 5) An exterior wall conforming to rainscreen design principles and composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, 100 mm concrete block, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 25 mm air space, and 100 mm brick veneer has the same AIF as EW6.
- 6) An exterior wall described in EW1 with the addition of rigid insulation (25-50 mm) between the sheathing and the external finish has the same AIF as EW2.

POW 2 6th Floor

TABLE 5: Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Windows

Window area as a Percentage of total floor area of room (1)		Single glazing	Double glazing of indicated glass thickness						Triple Glazing				
4	5		2mm and 2mm glass	3mm and 3mm glass	4mm and 4mm glass	3mm and 6mm glass	6mm and 6mm glass	3mm, 3mm and 3mm glass					
Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIR) (2)		Thickness	Interpane spacing in mm (3)						Interpane spacings in mm (5)				
35	36	2mm	6	13	16	13	6	6,6					
35	36	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22
36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
37	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25
39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26
40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27
41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28
42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29
43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31
45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32
46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33
47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34
48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35
49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36
50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37

Source: National Research Council, Division of Building Research, June 1980.

Explanatory Notes:

- 1) Where the calculated percentage window area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table values should be used.
- 2) AIR data listed in the table are for well-fitted weatherstripped units that can be opened. The AIR values apply only when the windows are closed. For windows fixed and sealed to the frame, add three (3) to the AIR given in the table.
- 3) If the interpane spacing or glass thickness for a specific double-glazed window is not listed in the table, the nearest listed values should be used.
- 4) The AIR ratings for 9mm and 12mm glass are for laminated glass only; for solid glass subtract two (2) from the AIR values listed in the table.
- 5) If the interpane spacings for a specific triple-glazed window are not listed in the table, use the listed case whose combined spacings are nearest the actual combined spacing.
- 6) The AIR data listed in the table are for typical windows, but details of glass mounting, window seals, etc. may result in slightly different performance for some manufacturers' products. If laboratory sound transmission loss data (conforming to ASTM test method E-90) are available, these should be used to calculate the AIR.

TABLE 11: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for windows and doors:

Window (or door) area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
80	STC-5
63	STC-4
50	STC-3
40	STC-2
32	STC-1
25	STC
20	STC+1
16	STC+2
12.5	STC+3
10	STC+4
8	STC+5
6.3	STC+6
5	STC+7
4	STC+8

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Examples: For a window whose area = 20% of the room floor area and STC = 32 the AIF is $32 + 1 = 33$.

For a window whose area = 60% of the room floor area and STC = 29 the AIF is $29 - 4 = 25$.

POW2 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF - 2 = 27$$

TABLE 12: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for exterior walls:

Exterior wall area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
200	STC-10
160	STC-9
125	STC-8
100	STC-7
80	STC-6
63	STC-5
50	STC-4
40	STC-3
32	STC-2
25	STC-1
20	STC
16	STC+1
12.5	STC+2
10	STC+3
8	

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Example: For a wall whose area = 120% of room floor area and STC = 48 the AIF is $48 - 8 = 40$.

POW 2 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF + 4 = 33$$

POW2 6th Floor

Table 6.3 - Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Exterior Wall

Percentage of exterior wall area to total floor area of room											Type of Exterior Wall	
	16	20	25	32	40	50	63	80	100	125		160
Acoustic	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	EW1
Insulation	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	EW2
Factor	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	EW3
	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	EW4
	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	EW1R
	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	EW2R
	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	EW3R
	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	EW5
	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	EW4R
	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	EW6
	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	EW7 or EW5R
	63	62	61	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	EW8

Source : National Research Council, Division of Building Research, December 1980.

Explanatory Notes :

- 1) Where the calculated percentage wall area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table should be used.
- 2) The common structure of walls EW1 to EW5 is composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, vapour barrier, and 38 x 89 mm studs with 50 mm (or thicker) mineral wool or glass fibre batts in inter-stud cavities.
- 3) EW1 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, plus wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW2 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus rigid insulation (25-30 mm), and wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW3 denotes simulated mansard with structure as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 28 x 89 mm framing, sheathing, and asphalt roofing material.
 EW4 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing and 20 mm stucco.
 EW5 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 25 mm air space, 100 mm brick veneer.
 EW6 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 100 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW7 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 140 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW8 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 200 mm concrete.
- 4) R signifies the mounting of the interior gypsum board on resilient clips.
- 5) An exterior wall conforming to rainscreen design principles and composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, 100 mm concrete block, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 25 mm air space, and 100 mm brick veneer has the same AIF as EW6.
- 6) An exterior wall described in EW1 with the addition of rigid insulation (25-50 mm) between the sheathing and the external finish has the same AIF as EW2.

P0W3 6th Floor

TABLE 5: Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Windows

Window area as a Percentage of total floor area of room (1)		Single glazing	Double glazing of indicated glass thickness						Triple Glazing 3mm, 3mm and 3mm, 3mm and 6mm glass					
4	5		6	8	10	13	16	20		25	32	40	50	63
		Thickness	Interpane spacing in mm (3)						Interpane spacings in mm (5)					
			2mm	3mm and 2mm glass	3mm and 3mm glass	4mm and 4mm glass	5mm and 5mm glass	6mm and 6mm glass						
35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22	
36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	
37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	
38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	
39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	
40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	
41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	
42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	
43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	
44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	
45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	
46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	
47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	
48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	
49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	
50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	

Source: National Research Council, Division of Building Research, June 1980.

Explanatory Notes:

- 1) Where the calculated percentage window area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table values should be used.
- 2) AIP data listed in the table are for well-fitted weatherstripped units that can be opened. The AIP values apply only when the windows are closed. For windows fixed and sealed to the frame, add three (3) to the AIP given in the table.
- 3) If the interpane spacing or glass thickness for a specific double-glazed window is not listed in the table, the nearest listed values should be used.
- 4) The AIP ratings for 9mm and 12mm glass are for laminated glass only; for solid glass subtract two (2) from the AIP values listed in the table.
- 5) If the interpane spacings for a specific triple-glazed window are not listed in the table, use the listed case whose combined spacings are nearest the actual combined spacing.
- 6) The AIP data listed in the table are for typical windows, but details of glass mounting, window seals, etc. may result in slightly different performance for some manufacturers' products. If laboratory sound transmission loss data (conforming to ASTM test method E-90) are available, these should be used to calculate the AIP.

TABLE 11: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for windows and doors:

Window (or door) area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
80	STC-5
63	STC-4
50	STC-3
40	STC-2
32	STC-1
25	STC
20	STC+1
16	STC+2
12.5	STC+3
10	STC+4
8	STC+5
6.3	STC+6
5	STC+7
4	STC+8

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Examples: For a window whose area = 20% of the room floor area and STC = 32 the AIF is $32 + 1 = 33$.

For a window whose area = 60% of the room floor area and STC = 29 the AIF is $29 - 4 = 25$.

POW 3 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF - 3 = 27$$

TABLE 12: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for exterior walls:

Exterior wall area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
200	STC-10
160	STC-9
125	STC-8
100	STC-7
80	STC-6
63	STC-5
50	STC-4
40	STC-3
32	STC-2
25	STC-1
20	STC
16	STC+1
12.5	STC+2
10	STC+3
8	

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Example: For a wall whose area = 120% of room floor area and STC = 48 the AIF is $48 - 8 = 40$.

POW 3 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF + 8 = 38$$

POW 3 6th Floor

Table 6.3 - Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Exterior Wall

	Percentage of exterior wall area to total floor area of room											Type of Exterior Wall
	16	20	25	32	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	
Acoustic	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	EW1
Insulation	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	EW2
Factor	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	EW3
	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	EW4
	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	EW1R
	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	EW2R
	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	EW3R
	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	EW5
	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	EW4R
	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	EW6
	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	EW7 or EW5R
	63	62	61	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	EW8

Source : National Research Council, Division of Building Research, December 1980.

Explanatory Notes :

- 1) Where the calculated percentage wall area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table should be used.
- 2) The common structure of walls EW1 to EW5 is composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, vapour barrier, and 38 x 89 mm studs with 50 mm (or thicker) mineral wool or glass fibre batts in inter-stud cavities.
- 3) EW1 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, plus wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW2 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus rigid insulation (25-30 mm), and wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW3 denotes simulated mansard with structure as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 28 x 89 mm framing, sheathing, and asphalt roofing material.
 EW4 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing and 20 mm stucco.
 EW5 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 25 mm air space, 100 mm brick veneer.
 EW6 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 100 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW7 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 140 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW8 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 200 mm concrete.
- 4) R signifies the mounting of the interior gypsum board on resilient clips.
- 5) An exterior wall conforming to rainscreen design principles and composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, 100 mm concrete block, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 25 mm air space, and 100 mm brick veneer has the same AIF as EW6.
- 6) An exterior wall described in EW1 with the addition of rigid insulation (25-50 mm) between the sheathing and the external finish has the same AIF as EW2.

P0W4 6th Floor

TABLE 5: Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Windows

Window area as a Percentage of total floor area of room (1)		Single glazing	Double glazing of indicated glass thickness						Triple Glazing				
4	5		2mm and 2mm glass	3mm and 3mm glass	4mm and 4mm glass	5mm and 6mm glass	6mm and 6mm glass	3mm, 3mm and 3mm glass	3mm, 3mm and 6mm glass				
			Interpane spacing in mm (3)						Interpane spacings in mm (5)				
			Thickness										
		2mm	6										
		3mm	13										
		3mm, 6mm	15	6									
		9mm (4)	22	16	13								
		12mm (4)	28	20	16	13	13	6,6	6,6	6,6			
35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23	22
36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
37	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25	24	23
38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26	25
39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27	26
40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28	27
41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	28
42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29
43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30
44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31
45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32
46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33
47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34
48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35
49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36
50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37

Source: National Research Council, Division of Building Research, June 1980.

Explanatory Notes:

- 1) Where the calculated percentage window area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table values should be used.
- 2) AIP data listed in the table are for well-fitted weatherstripped units that can be opened. The AIP values apply only when the windows are closed. For windows fixed and sealed to the frames, add three (3) to the AIP given in the table.
- 3) If the interpane spacing or glass thickness for a specific double-glazed window is not listed in the table, the nearest listed values should be used.
- 4) The AIP ratings for 9mm and 12mm glasses are for laminated glass only; for solid glass subtract two (2) from the AIP values listed in the table.
- 5) If the interpane spacing for a specific triple-glazed window are not listed in the table, use the listed case whose combined spacings are nearest the actual combined spacing.
- 6) The AIP data listed in the table are for typical windows, but details of glass mounting, window seals, etc, may result in slightly different performance for some manufacturers' products. If laboratory sound transmission loss data (conforming to ASTM test method E-90) are available, these should be used to calculate the AIP.

TABLE 11: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for windows and doors:

Window (or door) area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
80	STC-5
63	STC-4
50	STC-3
40	STC-2
32	STC-1
25	STC
20	STC+1
16	STC+2
12.5	STC+3
10	STC+4
8	STC+5
6.3	STC+6
5	STC+7
4	STC+8

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Examples: For a window whose area = 20% of the room floor area and STC = 32 the AIF is $32 + 1 = 33$.

For a window whose area = 60% of the room floor area and STC = 29 the AIF is $29 - 4 = 25$.

POW 4 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF - 2 = 23$$

TABLE 12: Approximate conversion from STC to AIF for exterior walls:

Exterior wall area expressed as percentage of room floor area	Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF)
200	STC-10
160	STC-9
125	STC-8
100	STC-7
80	STC-6
63	STC-5
50	STC-4
40	STC-3
32	STC-2
25	STC-1
20	STC
16	STC+1
12.5	STC+2
10	STC+3
8	

Note: For area percentages not listed in the table use the nearest listed value.

Example: For a wall whose area = 120% of room floor area and STC = 48 the AIF is $48 - 8 = 40$.

POW4 6th Floor

$$STC = AIF + 9 = 34$$

POW4 6th Floor

Table 6.3 - Acoustic Insulation Factor for Various Types of Exterior Wall


	Percentage of exterior wall area to total floor area of room											Type of Exterior Wall
	16	20	25	32	40	50	63	80	100	125	160	
Acoustic	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	30	29	EW1
Insulation	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	33	32	31	EW2
Factor	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	36	35	34	EW3
	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	37	EW4
	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	38	EW1R
	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	39	EW2R
	50	49	48	47	46	45	44	43	42	41	40	EW3R
	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	45	EW5
	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	47	46	EW4R
	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	48	EW6
	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	52	51	50	49	EW7 or EW5R
	63	62	61	60	59	58	57	56	55	54	53	EW8

Source : National Research Council, Division of Building Research, December 1980.

Explanatory Notes :

- 1) Where the calculated percentage wall area is not presented as a column heading, the nearest percentage column in the table should be used.
- 2) The common structure of walls EW1 to EW5 is composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, vapour barrier, and 38 x 89 mm studs with 50 mm (or thicker) mineral wool or glass fibre batts in inter-stud cavities.
- 3) EW1 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, plus wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW2 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus rigid insulation (25-30 mm), and wood siding or metal siding and fibre backer board.
 EW3 denotes simulated mansard with structure as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 28 x 89 mm framing, sheathing, and asphalt roofing material.
 EW4 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing and 20 mm stucco.
 EW5 denotes exterior wall as in Note 2), plus sheathing, 25 mm air space, 100 mm brick veneer.
 EW6 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 100 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW7 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 140 mm back-up block, 100 mm face brick.
 EW8 denotes exterior wall composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 200 mm concrete.
- 4) R signifies the mounting of the interior gypsum board on resilient clips.
- 5) An exterior wall conforming to rainscreen design principles and composed of 12.7 mm gypsum board, 100 mm concrete block, rigid insulation (25-50 mm), 25 mm air space, and 100 mm brick veneer has the same AIF as EW6.
- 6) An exterior wall described in EW1 with the addition of rigid insulation (25-50 mm) between the sheathing and the external finish has the same AIF as EW2.

Proposed Exterior Wall



Proposed Windows

To: Darrin Kondeau - Red River Lumber

CAUTION: External Email

Morning Darrin.

The standard is:

Standard dual SU < 1.6m² is 3mmLE/12.2mmAS/3mmCL.

Hope this helps.

Trevor Holowatiuk Regional Manager – Western Canada
JELD-WEN Inc.

Find us online / Nous trouver en ligne:



www.jeld-wen.ca



Please consider the environment before printing this email.