

Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Engineering

Land/Site Development

Municipal Infrastructure

Environmental/ Water Resources

Traffic/ Transportation

Recreational

Planning

Land/Site Development

Planning Application Management

Municipal Planning

Urban Design

Expert Witness (LPAT)

Wireless Industry

Landscape Architecture

> Streetscapes & Public Amenities

Open Space, Parks & Recreation

Community & Residential

Commercial & Institutional

Environmental Restoration



Mattino Developments Inc. Block 21, Mattino Way

Servicing Design Brief

SERVICING DESIGN BRIEF MATTINO DEVELOPMENTS INC. BLOCK 21, MATTINO WAY



Prepared By:

NOVATECH Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2M 1P6

> November 1, 2019 Revised: March 4, 2020 Revised: July 2, 2020 Revised: November 17, 2020 Revised: August 5, 2022 Revised: October 5, 2022 Revised: December 21, 2022

Novatech File: 112021-10 Ref: R-2019-189



December 21, 2022

City of Ottawa Infrastructure Services and Community Sustainability 110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th Floor Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1

Attention: Mr. Kelby Lodoen Unseth, Planner II

Dear Mr. Lodoen Unseth:

Reference: Mattino Developments Inc. Block 21, Mattino Way Servicing Design Brief Our File No.: 112021-10

Enclosed for your review and approval is the revised Servicing Design Brief for the proposed Block 21 development.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Sincerely,

NOVATECH

Ilh

Lucas Wilson, P.Eng. Project Manager

M:\2012\112021\BLOCK 21\DATA\REPORTS\SERVICING & SWM\20221221\20221221-SITE SERVICING.DOCX

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 | INTRODUCTION 1 | 1 |
|--|---|--|
| 2.0 | ROADWAYS | 3 |
| 2.1 2.2 2.3 | EXISTING CONDITIONS | 3 3 3 |
| 3.0 | GRADING | 3 |
| 3.1 3.2 | EXISTING CONDITIONS | 3 4 |
| 4.0 | EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL | 4 |
| 5.0 | SANITARY SEWERS | 5 |
| 5.1 5.2 5.3 | EXISTING CONDITIONS | 555 |
| 6.0 | WATER | 7 |
| 6.1 6.2 | Existing Conditions | 7 7 |
| | | |
| 7.0 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT11 | 1 |
| 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 7. 7. 7.4 7.5 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT11STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CRITERIA111.1 Allowable Release Rate12EXISTING CONDITIONS11PROPOSED CONDITIONS113.1 Quality Control123.2 Minor System Design123.3 Major System Design14HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC MODELING15RESULTS OF HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS175.1 Minor System175.2 Major System175.3 Hydraulic Grade Line185.4 Peak Flows19 | 1 111334577789 |
| 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 7. 7.4 7.4 7.5 7. 7. 7. 7. 8.0 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 11 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CRITERIA 11 1.1 Allowable Release Rate 11 EXISTING CONDITIONS 11 PROPOSED CONDITIONS 11 3.1 Quality Control 12 3.2 Minor System Design 12 3.3 Major System Design 12 HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC MODELING 12 FRESULTS OF HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS 17 5.1 Minor System 17 5.2 Major System 17 5.3 Hydraulic Grade Line 16 5.4 Peak Flows 19 TEMPORARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION 19 | 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 4 5 7 7 7 8 9 9 |
| 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 7. 7. 7.4 7.5 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 8.0 8.1 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT11STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CRITERIA111.1 Allowable Release Rate111.1 Allowable Release Rate11EXISTING CONDITIONS11PROPOSED CONDITIONS113.1 Quality Control123.2 Minor System Design123.3 Major System Design12HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC MODELING15RESULTS OF HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS175.1 Minor System175.2 Major System175.3 Hydraulic Grade Line185.4 Peak Flows19TEMPORARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION19TEMPORARY SANITARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION19 | 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 4 5 7 7 7 8 9 9 9 |
| 7.0 7.1 7.2 7.3 7. 7. 7.4 7.5 7. 7. 7. 7. 7. 8.0 8.1 9.0 | STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 11 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT CRITERIA 11 1.1 Allowable Release Rate 11 1.1 Allowable Release Rate 11 EXISTING CONDITIONS 11 PROPOSED CONDITIONS 11 3.1 Quality Control 12 3.2 Minor System Design 12 3.3 Major System Design 12 3.3 Major System Design 14 HYDROLOGIC & HYDRAULIC MODELING 15 RESULTS OF HYDROLOGIC / HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS 17 5.1 Minor System 17 5.2 Major System 17 5.3 Hydraulic Grade Line 16 5.4 Peak Flows 15 TEMPORARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION 19 TEMPORARY SANITARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION 19 TEMPORARY SANITARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION 19 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 20 | 1 1 1 1 3 3 4 5 7 7 7 8 9 9 9 0 |

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 2-1: Roadway Structure | 3 |
|---|----|
| Table 5-1: Sanitary Sewer Design Parameters | 5 |
| Table 6-1: Watermain Design Criteria | 9 |
| Table 6-2: Water Flow Summary | 9 |
| Table 6-3: Summary of Hydraulic Model Results - Maximum Day + Fire Flow | 10 |
| Table 6-4: Summary of Hydraulic Model Results - Peak Hour Demand | 10 |
| Table 6-5: Summary of Hydraulic Model Results – Maximum Pressure Check | 10 |
| Table 7-1: Storm Sewer Design Parameters | 13 |
| Table 7-2: Subcatchment Model Parameters | 16 |
| Table 7-3: Inlet Control Devices & Design Flows | 17 |
| Table 7-4: Overland Flow Results (100-year, 3-hour Chicago storm event) | 18 |
| Table 7-5: 100-year HGL Elevations | 18 |
| Table 7-6: Summary of Peak Flows | 19 |
| | |

LIST OF FIGURES

- Figure 1-1: Key Plan
- Figure 1-2: Site Plan
- Figure 5-1: Sanitary Sewer Network
- Figure 6-1: Watermain Layout
- Figure 7-1: Storm Sewer Network

APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Design Sheets
- Appendix B: SWM Calculations / Modeling
- Appendix C: Drawings
- Appendix D: Geotechnical Memorandums

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The subject site is located within the Longfields community and is municipally known as 591 Via Mattino Way. The site is approximately 1.04 hectares and is bounded by a Transitway and Rail Corridor to the north and west, existing residential to the east, and the existing Longfields Central subdivision to the south. A key plan of the area is presented below in **Figure 1-1**.



Figure 1-1: Key Plan

The site is currently vacant. The proposed development will consist of 88 units in five threestorey apartment buildings (three 16-unit, two 20-unit apartments). The proposed site plan is shown in **Figure 2**.

This Servicing Design Brief provides information on the considerations and approach by which Novatech has analyzed the existing site information for the subject site, and details how the development lands will be serviced while meeting the City requirements and all other relevant regulations. This report should be read in conjunction with the following:

- Geotechnical Investigation, 'Proposed Residential Development, Mountshannon Drive, Ottawa, Ontario' prepared by Paterson dated January 31, 2013.
- Geotechnical Review Block 21 Existing Soils Information Memorandum, prepared by Paterson dated November 12, 2019 (PG2306-MEMO.08).
- Geotechnical Review Block 21 Existing Information Memorandum, prepared by Paterson dated November 23, 2020 (PG2306-MEMO.09).



Figure 1-2: Site Plan

2.0 ROADWAYS

2.1 Existing Conditions

Currently there is access to the site through Via Mattino Way (Local Road).

2.2 **Proposed Conditions**

The development will be accessed from two entrances along Via Mattino Way.

All roads within the development are 6.7m private roads with at-grade parking.

2.3 Roadway Design

Paterson has prepared a Geotechnical Investigation report for the development (January 2013) that provides recommendations for roadway structure, servicing and foundations. The site consists of private roads and at-grade parking; the recommended roadway structure is as follows:

Table 2-1: Roadway Structure

| Roadway Material Description | Pavement Structure Layer Thickness (mm) |
|--|---|
| | Private Road |
| Asphalt Wear Course: Superpave 12.5 (Class B) | 40 |
| Asphalt Binder Course: Superpave 19.0 (Class B) | 50 |
| Base: Granular A | 150 |
| Sub-Base: Granular B – Type II | <u>400</u> |
| Total | 640 |

3.0 GRADING

3.1 Existing Conditions

The site has a high point along the centre (north to south) and slopes approximately 1.0% easterly and westerly.

A Geotechnical investigation was carried out by Paterson which included 10 test pits within the Longfields Central subdivision (4 within the subject site). Test pits were dug at depths ranging from 6.10m to 6.70m below existing grade with no bedrock encountered. Each test pit was dry upon completion; therefore, groundwater levels were estimated based on moisture levels and colour of the recovered soil samples and expected to be between 2m to 3m below existing ground.

3.2 **Proposed Conditions**

The design grades will tie into existing elevations along the Transitway to the west, Parkland to the north and east and the adjacent residential lands to the south. For detailed grading refer to drawing 112021-10-GR.

The proposed grading will fall within these ranges:

- Landscaped Area: Minimum 1% Maximum 7%
- Roadway and Parking: Minimum 1.0%
- Maximum Terracing Grade of 3H:1V

4.0 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

The following erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented during construction in accordance with the "Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites" (Government of Ontario, May 1987).

- A qualified inspector should conduct regular visits to ensure the contractor is working in accord with the drawings and that mitigation measures are implemented as specified;
- Filter socks are to be placed under all new and existing catchbasins and storm manhole covers;
- Mud mats are to be placed at the construction entrances;
- Silt fences around the area under construction to be placed per OPSS 577 and OPSD 219.110;
- Application of topsoil and sod to disturbed areas; and,
- After complete build-out, all sewers are to be inspected and cleaned and all sediment and construction fencing is to be removed.

The proposed erosion and sediment control measures will be implemented prior to construction and will remain in place during construction until vegetation is established. There will be regular inspection and maintenance of the sediment control measures. It is important that precautions be taken during construction to prevent sediment from entering the proposed stormwater management systems. The erosion and sediment control plan is provided in **Appendix C**.

5.0 SANITARY SEWERS

5.1 Existing Conditions

An existing 200mm diameter sanitary stub is located at the eastern access to the site (MH119). There is also an existing 400mm diameter trunk sewer located north of the site.

5.2 **Proposed Conditions**

The peak design flow parameters in **Table 5-1** have been used in the sewer capacity analysis.

Unit and population densities and all other design parameters are specified in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012) and Technical bulletin ISTB-2018-01.

Sanitary flow from Block 21 is proposed to connect into the existing 200mm diameter sanitary stub that was provided during the construction of Longfields Central. The sanitary sewer layout is shown on 112021-10-GP (**Appendix C**), and the design sheet is attached in **Appendix A**. The site (approx. 1.04ha) will outlet at MH 119 (east entrance) with a peak design flow of 2.5 L/s. The wastewater flow is routed through the Longfields Central Subdivsion, directing flow to the East Barrhaven Trunk (EBHT) sanitary sewer. The EBHT drains into the West Rideau Collector Sewer (WRCS) on Merivale Road and eventually makes its way to the Robert O. Pickard Environmental Centre to be treated before being released to the Ottawa River.

| Parameter | Design Parameter | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Apartment (2 bedroom) Unit Population | 2.1 people/unit | |
| Apartment Unit Density | 88 Units (per Site Plan) | |
| Residential Flow Rate, Average Daily | 280 L/cap/day | |
| Residential Peaking Factor | Harmon Equation (min=2.0, max=4.0) | |
| Total Infiltration Rate | 0.33 L/s/ha | |
| Minimum Pipe Size | 200 mm | |
| Minimum Velocity | 0.6 m/s | |
| Maximum Velocity | 3.0 m/s | |

Table 5-1: Sanitary Sewer Design Parameters

5.3 Offsite Requirements

For the design of Longfields Central, a peak design flow of 4.0 L/s was calculated from MH 119 to MH 117, accounting for future flows from Block 21 (Longfields Central sanitary design sheet excerpt included in **Appendix A**). With the detailed design of Block 21 being complete, the peak design flow calculated from MH 119 to MH 117 is now 3.2 L/s. Since the proposed flows are lower than previously accounted for in the Longfields Central Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Study, there will be sufficient capacity offsite to service the proposed development.



Figure 5-1: Sanitary Sewer Network

6.0 WATER

6.1 Existing Conditions

The proposed development is located inside the 2W Pressure Zone. Reconfiguration of the existing pressure zone from 2W to 3C is anticipated in 2020. Existing 200mm diameter stubs are located at both entrances to the site off Via Mattino Way. An existing 200mm diameter watermain run along Boulder Way north of the site.

6.2 **Proposed Conditions**

Block 21 will be connected to the existing watermain network by way of two separate feed points. The two connections are proposed to the existing 200mm diameter stubs located at the entrances off Via Mattino Way.

The development will be serviced by 200mm diameter watermains and will provide sufficient capacity to maintain appropriate pressures and fire flows throughout the development. **Figure 4** provides a high-level schematic of the proposed water distribution system.

The watermain boundary conditions below were obtained from the City of Ottawa and have been included in **Appendix A**:

<u>Boundary Condition #1</u> – Located at Mountshannon Drive Existing 200mm x 400mm diameter watermain connection (Shown in **Appendix A**)

| | Existing Zone 2W | Future Zone 3C |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Head (m) |
| Maximum HGL | 133.0 | 147.8 |
| Peak Hour | 126.0 | 146.3 |
| Max Day + FF of 200 L/s | 124.3 | 145.9 |
| Max Day + FF of 250 L/s | 123.2 | 145.4 |

Boundary Condition #2 – Located at Campanale Avenue (Shown in **Appendix A**)

| | Existing Zone 2W | Future Zone 3C |
|-------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Head (m) |
| Maximum HGL | 133.0 | 147.8 |
| Peak Hour | 125.9 | 146.6 |
| Max Day + FF of 200 L/s | 119.4 | 141.6 |
| Max Day + FF of 250 L/s | 115.8 | 138.9 |

Construction of the first building within Block 21 is anticipated to be completed within 2021, later than what is anticipated for the reconfiguration to the future Zone 3C pressure zone. As such, the future Zone 3C boundary conditions will be used in the modelling for Block 21.

City of Ottawa watermain design Parameters are outlined in **Table 6-1**.



Figure 6-1: Watermain Layout

| Design Parameter | Design Criteria |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| Apartment (2 bedroom) Unit Population | 2.1 people/unit |
| Density | 88 units |
| Residential Demand | 280 L/c/d |
| Maximum Day Demand | 2.5 x Average Day |
| Peak Hour Demand | 2.2 x Maximum Day |
| | 200 L/s (Building 5) |
| Fire Demand | 217 L/s (Building 2 and 3) |
| | 233 L/s (Building 1) |
| | 250 L/s (Building 4) |
| Maximum Pressure | 690 kPa (100psi) unoccupied areas |
| Maximum Pressure | 552 kPa (80psi) occupied areas outside of ROW |
| Minimum Pressure | 275 kPa (40 psi) except during fire flow |
| Minimum Pressure | 140 kPa (20 psi) fire flow conditions |

Table 6-1: Watermain Design Criteria

Table 6-2: Water Flow Summary

| Unit Type | Units | Population | Average Day Demand (L/s) | Maximum Day Demand (L/s) | Peak Hour Demand (L/s) |
|------------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Apartments | 88 | 185 | 0.599 | 1.497 | 3.294 |
| Total | 88 | 185 | 0.599 | 1.497 | 3.294 |

Based on the fire underwriters survey, the fire flows were calculated as 200 L/s (Building 5), 217 L/s (Building 2 and 3), 233 L/s (Building 1) and 250 L/s (Building 4). Hydrant spacing and locations per City of Ottawa guidelines are illustrated on the Fire Hydrant Coverage Plan in **Appendix A**. Fire flow calculations are provided in **Appendix A**.

The proposed watermain was modeled using EPANET 2 (See 112021-10-GP for detailed watermain layout).

A summary of the model results are shown below in **Table 6-3**, **Table 6-4** and **Table 6-5**. Full model results are included in **Appendix A**.

| Operating Condition | Minimum Pressure |
|-----------------------|-------------------|
| Building #1 (233 L/s) | 277.43 kPa (HYD2) |
| Building #2 (217 L/s) | 300.48 kPa (HYD2) |
| Building #3 (217 L/s) | 285.96 kPa (HYD2) |
| Building #4 (250 L/s) | 222.79 kPa (HYD2) |
| Building #5 (200 L/s) | 316.18 kPa (HYD2) |

Table 6-3: Summary of Hydraulic Model Results - Maximum Day + Fire Flow

Table 6-4: Summary of Hydraulic Model Results - Peak Hour Demand

| Operating Condition | Maximum Pressure | Minimum Pressure |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 3.294 L/s through system | 523.76 kPa (HYD1) | 519.73 kPa (T1) |

The hydraulic modeling summarized above highlights the maximum and minimum system pressures during Peak Hour/Maximum Pressure Check conditions, and the minimum system pressures during the Maximum Day + Fire conditions. Since the Maximum Day + Fire Flow pressures are above the minimum 140 kPa and the Peak Hour Pressures onsite fall within the normal operating pressure range (345 kPa to 552 kPa) we conclude the proposed water design will adequately service the development

| Table 6-5: Summary of Hydraulic Model Results – Maximum Pressure Check |
|--|
|--|

| Operating Condition | Maximum Pressure | Minimum Pressure |
|--------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 0.599 L/s through system | 559.07 kPa (HYD3) | 532.29 kPa (CAP1) |

Average day pressures at HYD3 are slightly above 552 kPa at 559.07 kPa. Since the average day pressures are modelled within the watermain and not the service to the units, lower pressures will be encountered at the upper levels. Pressures at the first floor were modelled at Building 1, nearest HYD3. The average day pressures within the units are below 552 kPa. We conclude that pressure reducing valves are not necessary to reduce the modelled pressure below 552 kPa within the watermain as the modelled average day pressures within the services to the units are within the required range.

7.0 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

7.1 Stormwater Management Criteria

The following stormwater management criteria for the proposed development was prepared in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012) and the Longfields Central Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Study (Novatech, 2014). This report was prepared in accordance with the Longfields Davidson Heights Serviceability Study Update Report (1998).

- Provide a dual drainage system (i.e. minor and major system flows);
- Maximize the use of surface storage available on site;
- Control the runoff to MH122 to the allowable release rates Specified in **Section 7.1.1** using on-site storage;
- Ensure that no surface ponding will occur on the paved surfaces (i.e., private drive aisles or parking lots) during the 2-year storm event;
- Ensure that ponding is confined within the parking areas at a maximum depth of 0.35 m for both static ponding and dynamic flow; and,
- Provide guidelines to ensure that site preparation and construction is in accordance with the current Best Management Practices for Erosion and Sediment Control.

For the approval of the Longfields Central Subdivision, the following assumptions were made for the future development of Block 21 (see **Appendix B** for Longfields Central report excerpts):

- Restricted minor system flow of 37.5 L/s/ha;
- On-Site storage of 270 m³ (270 m³/ha);
 - \circ 100 m³ of surface storage;
 - 170 m³ of underground storage (superpipe and/or storage chambers).

7.1.1 Allowable Release Rate

The allowable release rate for Block 21 (1.04 ha) was established based on the restricted minor system flow of 37.5 L/s/ha (37.6 L/s) for all storms up-to and including the 100-year storm event.

7.2 Existing Conditions

Existing 525mm and 675mm diameter storm sewers run along Via Mattino Way adjacent to the proposed development. Stubs were provided at both entrances to the site (MH122 and MH124), a 250mm diameter storm sewer at the west entrance (MH124) and a 525mm diameter storm sewer at the east entrance (MH122). An existing 1350mm diameter trunk storm sewer runs along the adjacent parkland to the north.

7.3 **Proposed Conditions**

Runoff from Block 21 will be routed to the existing storm sewer system in Via Mattino Way through the existing 525mm diameter stub located at the private entrance to the east (MH122). The storm system within Longfields Central is directed to the 1350mm diameter trunk storm sewer within Mountshannon Drive and ultimately outlets to the Longfields Davidson Heights Stormwater Management Facility located southwest of the Leikin Drive and Bill Leathem Drive intersection. This existing facility provides water quality control prior to discharging to the Rideau

River via Barrhaven Creek. As such, on-site stormwater quality controls are not required. **Figure 5** outlines the proposed storm sewer system layout, and how it will connect to the existing network along Via Mattino Way.



7.3.1 Quality Control

As previously discussed, the Lonfields Davidson Heights SWM Facility provides the Quality Control for the site. The proposed site has a drainage area of approximately 1.04 ha and a runoff coefficient of 0.71. The site was previously referred to as areas 2A & 2B in the Longfields Central Design, which had a drainage area of 1.00 ha and runoff coefficient of 0.80 ha (refer to excerpt provided in **Appendix B**). When comparing the area x runoff coefficient values the proposed site has the same area, but a lower runoff coefficient than what was previously allocated, as shown below:

| <u>Parameter</u> | Longfields Central Design | <u>Current Design</u> |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|
| Drainage Area Runoff Coefficient | 1.00 ha 0.80 | 1.00 ha 0.71 |
| Area x Runoff Coefficient | 0.80 | 0.71 |

7.3.2 Minor System Design

Storm Sewers

The storm sewers comprising the minor system have been designed based on the criteria outlined in the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines using the principals of dual drainage. The design criteria used in sizing the storm sewers are summarized in **Table 6.1**.

The proposed storm sewers have been designed using the rational method to convey peak flows associated with a 2-year rainfall event. The storm sewer design sheets are provided in **Appendix A**. The corresponding Storm Drainage Area Plan (Drawing 112021-10-STM) is provided in **Appendix C**.

| Parameter | Design Criteria |
|---|--------------------------------|
| Private Roads | 2 Year Return Period |
| Storm Sewer Design | Rational Method |
| IDF Rainfall Data | Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines |
| Initial Time of Concentration (T _c) | 10 min |
| Minimum Velocity | 0.8 m/s |
| Maximum Velocity | 3.0 m/s |
| Minimum Diameter | 250 mm |

Underground Storage

The allowable release rate is quite restrictive, as such underground storage will be required to attenuate runoff from the site. Underground storage will be provided using a series of 600mm diameter storm sewers and 1200mm diameter structures providing approximately 67 m³ of storage. Refer to the proposed General Plan of Services (112021-10-GP) for storage pipe layout.

7.3.3 Major System Design

The site has been designed to convey runoff from storms that exceed the minor system capacity to Via Mattino Way. The roadway and parking areas have been graded to ensure that the 100-year peak overland flows are confined within the parking area at a maximum flow depth of 300mm.

The site has been graded to provide an emergency overland flow route that spills along the roadway and outlets to Via Mattino Way at the eastern entrance to the site.

Surface Storage

The stage-storage curves for each inlet were calculated based on the proposed Grading Plan (drawing 112021-10-GR). The total surface storage shown in the stage-storage curves at each inlet is provided in **Appendix B**. Approximately 278 m³ of total surface storage is available within the low-points of the parking areas and amenity space.

The total storage provided underground and on the surface is as follows:

| Structure ID | Underg Storag | ground Je (m³) | Surface (m | Storage 1 ³) | Total Storage (m ³) | | | | |
|------------------|----------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------|--|--|--|
| | Required (100-YR) | Provided | Required (100-YR) | Provided | Required (100-YR) | Provided | | | |
| CBMH1* | 13 | 13 | 8 | 22 | 21 | 35 | | | |
| CBMH5 | 8 | 8 | 25 | 22 | 33 | 30 | | | |
| TOTAL | 21 | 21 | 33 | 44 | 54 | 65 | | | |
| CBMH2* | - | - | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | | | |
| TOTAL | - | - | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | | | |
| MH7* | 17 | 17 | - | - | 17 | 17 | | | |
| CBMH4 | 10 | 10 | 17 | 26 | 27 | 36 | | | |
| CBMH7 | - | - | 36 | 35 | 36 | 36 | | | |
| CB1 | - | - | 39 | 39 | 39 | 39 | | | |
| TOTAL | 27 | 27 | 92 | 100 | 119 | 128 | | | |
| CBMH3* | 11 | 11 | 41 | 39 | 52 | 50 | | | |
| CBMH8 | - | - | 20 | 36 | 20 | 36 | | | |
| TOTAL | 11 | 11 | 61 | 75 | 67 | 86 | | | |
| CBMH6* | 8 8 | | 38 | 44 | 46 | 52 | | | |
| TOTAL | 8 8 | | 38 | 44 | 46 | 52 | | | |
| TOTAL OVERALL | 67 67 | | 239 | 278 | 300 | 346 | | | |

*Structure with ICD.

7.4 Hydrologic & Hydraulic Modeling

The *City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines* (October 2012) require hydrologic modeling for all dual drainage systems. The performance of the proposed storm drainage system for Block 21 was evaluated using the *PCSWMM* hydrologic/hydraulic modeling software.

Design Storms

The hydrologic analysis was completed using the following synthetic design storms and historical storms. The IDF parameters used to generate the design storms were taken from the Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012).

3-Hour Chicago Storms:

25mm 3-hr Chicago storm 2-year 3-hr Chicago storm 5-year 3-hr Chicago storm 100-year 3-hr Chicago storm 100-year (+20%) 3-hr Chicago storm 12-Hour SCS Storms:

2-year 12-hr SCS storm 5-year 12-hr Chicago storm 100-year 12-hr Chicago storm 100-year (+20%) 12-hour SCS storm

The 3-hour Chicago distribution generates the highest peak flows for both the minor and major systems and was determined to be the critical storm distribution for the design of the storm drainage system.

The proposed drainage system has also been stress tested using a 3-hour Chicago design storm that has a 20% higher intensity and total volume compared to the 100-year event.

Model Development

The PCSWMM model accounts for both minor and major system flows (*dual drainage*), including the routing of flows through the storm sewer network (*minor system*), and overland along the road network (*major system*). The results of the analysis were used to:

- Ensure no ponding in the paved areas following a 2-year event;
- Calculate the storm sewer hydraulic grade line for the 100-year storm event;
- Evaluate overland flow depths and ponding volumes in the paved areas during the 100year event; and
- Determine the total major and minor system runoff from the site to Via Mattino Way.

The model is capable of accounting for both static and dynamic storage within the private roadways and parking areas, including the overland flow across all high points and capture/bypass curves for inlets on continuous grade. The 100-year flow depths computed by the model represent the total (static + dynamic) ponding depths at low points for areas in road sags.

Storm Drainage Area Plan & Subcatchment Parameters

The Block 21 development has been divided into subcatchments based on the drainage areas tributary to each inlet of the proposed storm sewer system. The catchment areas are shown on the Storm Drainage Area Plan provided as drawing **112021-10-STM** in **Appendix C**.

The hydrologic parameters for each subcatchment were developed based on the Site Plan (**Figure 2**) and the Storm Drainage Area Plan specified above. Subcatchment parameters are outlined in **Table 7-2**.

| Area ID | Catchment Area | Runoff Coefficient | Percent Impervious | Zero Imperv. | Flow Length | Equivalent Width | Average Slope |
|---------|-------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------|----------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | (ha) | (C) | (%) | (%) | (m) | (m) | (%) |
| 1 | 0.08 | 0.79 | 84% | 25% | 25 | 32 | 1% |
| 2 | 0.14 | 0.75 | 79% | 30% | 25 | 52 | 1% |
| 3 | 0.09 | 0.74 | 77% | 40% | 20 | 45 | 1% |
| 4 | 0.12 | 0.76 | 80% | 45% | 20 | 60 | 1% |
| 5 | 0.08 | 0.74 | 77% | 30% | 20 | 40 | 1% |
| 6 | 0.11 | 0.72 | 74% | 25% | 20 | 55 | 1% |
| 7 | 0.15 | 0.71 | 73% | 40% | 20 | 75 | 1% |
| 8 | 0.13 | 0.69 | 70% | 30% | 20 | 65 | 1% |
| 9 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 20% | 25% | 15 | 47 | 1% |
| 10 | 0.04 | 0.70 | 71% | 10% | 15 | 27 | 1% |
| TOTAL | 1.00 ha | 0.71 | 73% | - | - | - | - |

Table 7-2: Subcatchment Model Parameters

Infiltration

Infiltration losses for all catchment areas were modeled using Horton's infiltration equation, which defines the infiltration capacity of the soil over the duration of a precipitation event using a decay function that ranges from an initial maximum infiltration rate to a minimum rate as the storm progresses. The default values for the Sewer Design Guidelines were used for all catchments.

| Horton's Equation: | Initial infiltration rate: | $f_{o} = 76.2 \text{ mm/hr}$ |
|-------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|
| $f(t) = f_c + (f_o - f_c)e^{-k(t)}$ | Final infiltration rate: | $f_{c} = 13.2 \text{ mm/hr}$ |
| | Decay Coefficient: | k = 4.14/hr |

Depression Storage

The default values for depression storage in the Sewer Design Guidelines were used for all catchments. Rooftops were assumed to provide no depression storage (Zero Imperv. Parameter).

- Depression Storage (pervious areas): 4.67 mm
- Depression Storage (impervious areas): 1.57 mm

<u>Equivalent Width</u>

Equivalent Width' refers to the width of the sub-catchment flow path. This parameter is calculated as described in the Sewer Design Guidelines, Section 5.4.5.6. The flow paths used to calculate the equivalent widths are shown on the PCSWMM schematics provided in **Appendix B**.

Impervious Values

Impervious values for each subcatchment area were calculated based on the proposed Site Plan (**Figure 2**) and correspond to the Runoff Coefficients using the following equation:

$$\% imp = \frac{C - 0.2}{0.7}$$

7.5 Results of Hydrologic / Hydraulic Analysis

The model was used to evaluate the performance of the proposed storm drainage system for Block 21.

7.5.1 Minor System

Inflows to the storm sewer were modeled based on the characteristics of each inlet. All the catchbasins in the parking areas are located at low points. Inflows to the storm sewer are based on the ICD specified for the inlet and the maximum depth of ponding. ICDs have been sized to limit the outlet peak flows to the allowable release rate. Details are outlined as follows in **Table 6.4**. ICDs information is indicated on the General Plan of Services (drawing 112021-10-GP).

| | | | | ICD Size | & Inlet Rate | | |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Structure ID | ICD Type | T/G (m) | Orifice Invert (m) | 100-year Head on Orifice (m) | 2-year Orifice Peak Flow* (L/s) | 5-year Orifice Peak Flow* (L/s) | 100-year Orifice Peak Flow* (L/s) |
| CBMH1 | Tempest LMF (Vortex 78) | 92.95 | 90.70 | 2.38 | 5.8 | 7.5 | 8.1 |
| CBMH2 | Tempest LMF (Vortex 70) | 92.95 | 91.19 | 2.02 | 3.9 | 5.4 | 6.0 |
| CBMH3 | Tempest LFM (Vortex 86) | 92.60 | 90.48 | 2.37 | 8.9 | 9.8 | 9.8 |
| CBMH6 | Tempest LMF (Vortex 72) | 92.95 | 90.70 | 2.53 | 6.3 | 6.9 | 7.1 |
| MH7 | Tempest LMF (Vortex 69) | 93.21 | 90.74 | 2.46 | 5.3 | 6.2 | 6.4 |

Table 7-3: Inlet Control Devices & Design Flows

*PCSWMM model results for a 3-hour Chicago storm distribution.

7.5.2 Major System

The major system network was evaluated using the PCSWMM model to ensure that the ponding depths conform to City standards. A summary of ponding depths at each inlet for the 2-year, 5-year, 100-year and 100-year (+20%) events are provided in **Appendix B**. The maximum static and dynamic ponding depths are less than 0.35m during all events, thereby meeting the major system criteria. In addition, there is no cascading flow over the highpoint during the 100-year storm event.

| | T/G | Max. Stati | c Ponding | 100-yr Event | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|-------|------------|-------------|--------------|-------|-----------|---------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Structure | 1/6 | Elev. | Spill Depth | Elev. | Depth | Cascading | Cascade | | | | | |
| | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | Flow? | (m) | | | | | |
| CB1 | 92.95 | 93.20 | 0.25 | 93.20 | 0.25 | Ν | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH1 | 92.95 | 93.17 | 0.22 | 93.08 | 0.13 | N | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH2 | 92.95 | 93.22 | 0.27 | 93.20 | 0.25 | Ν | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH3 | 92.60 | 92.85 | 0.25 | 92.85 | 0.25 | N | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH4 | 92.95 | 93.25 | 0.30 | 93.20 | 0.25 | N | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH5 | 92.85 | 93.07 | 0.22 | 93.08 | 0.23 | Y | 0.01 | | | | | |
| CBMH6 | 92.95 | 93.25 | 0.30 | 93.23 | 0.28 | Ν | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH7 | 92.95 | 93.20 | 0.25 | 93.20 | 0.25 | N | 0.00 | | | | | |
| CBMH8 | 92.60 | 92.92 | 0.32 | 92.85 | 0.25 | N | 0.00 | | | | | |

Table 7-4: Overland Flow Results (100-year, 3-hour Chicago storm event)

An expanded table of the ponding depths at low points in the roadway (including the stress-test event) is provided in **Appendix B**. Based on these results, the proposed storm drainage system will not experience any adverse flooding even with a 20% increase to the 100-year event.

7.5.3 Hydraulic Grade Line

The results of the analysis were used to determine if there would be any surcharging from the storm sewer system during the 100-year storm event. **Appendix B** provides a summary of the 100-year HGL elevation at each storm manhole within the proposed development, as well as a summary of the HGL elevations for a 20% increase (rainfall intensity and total precipitation) in the 100-year design event. The results of the HGL analysis and the stress testing indicates that the storm sewer does not surcharge during the 100-year event and 100-year+20% storm event

The results of the HGL analysis were used to ensure that a minimum freeboard of 0.30m is provided between the 100-year HGL and the designed underside of footing elevations. The 100-year HGL elevations at each storm manhole with respect to the lowest adjacent underside of footing elevation are provided in **Table 7-5**.

| Manhole ID | MH Invert Elevation | T/G Elevation | HGL Elevation (100yr) | Design USF | Clearance (100yr) |
|----------------|------------------------|------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) |
| HGL - Block 21 | | | | | |
| MH01 | 90.01 | 92.75 | 90.68 | 91.51 | 0.83 |
| MH03 | 90.19 | 93.40 | 90.69 | 91.51 | 0.82 |
| MH05 | 90.32 | 93.23 | 90.69 | 91.53 | 0.84 |
| MH07 | MH07 90.74 | | 90.79 | 91.70 | 0.91 |
| MH09 | 90.52 | 93.23 | 90.70 | 91.74 | 1.04 |
| EX MH122* | 89.77 | 92.85 | 90.68 | 91.03 | 0.35 |

Table 7-5: 100-year HGL Elevations

*Downstream 'fixed' outfall condition set at 100-year HGL within EX MH122 (90.68m). Initial depths based on fixed outfall elevation of 90.68m.

An expanded table showing the results of the stress test (100-year +20% event) and the HGL elevations is provided in **Appendix B**. The stress test indicates that the HGL elevations will be below the USF elevations for this event.

7.5.4 Peak Flows

The overall release rates from the ICDs were added to determine the overall release rate from the site. The results of this analysis indicate that the allowable release rates will be met for each storm event. Refer to **Table 7-6** for the modelled peak flows for each storm event.

The results of the PCSWMM analysis indicate that outflows from the proposed development will not exceed the allowable release rate for all storm events.

| Design Event | Allowable Release Rate (L/s) | Controlled Minor System Release Rate (L/s) | Major System Release Rate (L/s) |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------------------|
| 2-year | | 29.4 | 0 |
| 5-year | 37.6 | 35.6 | 0 |
| 100-year | | 37.4 | 0 |
| 100-year (+20%) | - | 37.6 | 102.9 |

Table 7-6: Summary of Peak Flows

*PCSWMM Model results for a 3-hr Chicago storm distribution; normal outfall condition.

8.0 TEMPORARY FLOW CONTROLS DURING CONSTRUCTION

As specified in the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October, 2012), temporary flow controls are required during construction. This is to prevent the possibility of new incomplete sewer infrastructure from causing excessive flows within the existing / operational downstream sewer system.

8.1 Temporary Sanitary Flow Controls During Construction

During construction the incomplete sanitary sewer system will require a temporary flow control within the most downstream maintenance hole from the site (SAN MH-8). As the total sanitary flows from the proposed development are estimated to be 2.5 L/s a Tempest LMF ICD (Vortex – 45) will be required.

The design head for the Tempest LMF ICD (Vortex – 45) is 2.0m, as per the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, as the depth in SAN MH-8 is 3.8m. Supporting correspondence and documentation for the Tempest LMF ICD is provided in **Appendix B**.

9.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The report conclusions are as follows:

- The proposed storm system will control post-development flow to the allowable release rate of 37.5 L/s/ha. All runoff volume from the 100-year storm event is stored on site using underground and above ground storage. Underground storage will be provided using a series of 600mm diameter storm sewers and 1200mm diameter structures. The Longfields Davidson Heights Stormwater Management Facility provides water quality control.
- 2) The proposed sanitary sewer conforms to City design criteria and provides a gravity outlet for the development site. There is sufficient capacity in the downstream sanitary sewers to accommodate the flows outletting to the existing Mattino Way sanitary sewers.
- 3) Connection to the existing watermains in Mattino Way will provide municipal water service to the development.
- 4) There is adequate fire protection to the proposed development, in accordance with the Fire Underwriter's Survey.
- 5) The proposed infrastructure (sanitary, storm and water) complies with City of Ottawa design standards.

10.0 CLOSURE

This report is respectfully submitted for review and approval. Please contact the undersigned should you have questions or require additional information.

Sincerely,

NOVATECH

Prepared By:



Lucas Wilson, P.Eng. Project Manager

Reviewed By:



Mark Bissett, P.Eng. Senior Project Manager

APPENDIX A: Design Sheets

Storm Sewer Design Sheet (Rational Method) Sanitary Sewer Design Sheets Excerpt from Longfields Central Site Servicing Report (Sanitary Design Sheet) Watermain Boundary Conditions Watermain Boundary Conditions Verification Correspondence Watermain Modelling Fire Flow Calculations Figure 1: Fire Hydrant Coverage Plan

| LC | CATION | | | | | AREA | | | | | | | FI | _OW | | | | | | | PROP | OSED SE | WER | | |
|----------------|--------------|------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--|-------------------|------------------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------|-----------|---------|-------|--------|----------|-----------------------|------------|-------------------------------|
| Location | From Node | To Node | Hard Surface | Soft Surface | Towns Front Yard | Towns Front Yard | Towns Rear Yard | Towns Rear Yard | Total Area | Weighted Runoff | Indivi 2.78 AR | Accum 2.78 AR | Time of Concentration | R | ain Intensity (mm/hr) | y Peak Flo | V Total Peak | Pipe | Size | Grade | Length | Capacity | Full Flow Velocity | Time of | Q/Qfull |
| | | | 0.00 | 0.20 | A.co. | | A.r.o.o. | | (ha) | Coefficient | | | | 2yr | 5yr | 10yr (1/s) | Flow (Q) | Turne | (mm) | (0/) | (m) | (1/0) | (m/a) | (min) | (0/) |
| Block 21 | | | 0.90 | 0.20 | Area | C | Area | C | (na) | | | | | | | (L/3) | (L/S) | Туре | (11111) | (70) | (11) | (1/S) | (11/5) | (mm.) | (70) |
| DIOCK 21 | | | 0.26 | 0.08 | | | | | 0.34 | 0.74 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 10.00 | 76.81 | | 53.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2, 3, 6 | CBMH4 | 7 | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | 0.0 | 53.4 | CONC | 600 | 0.20 | 50.4 | 286.5 | 0.98 | 0.86 | 18.6% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | 0.0 | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.70 | 10.86 | 73.67 | | 51.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2, 3, 6 | 7 | 3 | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.86 | | | 0.0 | 51.2 | PVC | 300 | 1.00 | 39.7 | 100.9 | 1.38 | 0.48 | 50.8% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.86 | | | 0.0 | _ | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 0.20 | 0.09 | | | | | 0.29 | 0.68 | 0.55 | 0.55 | 10.00 | 76.81 | | 42.3 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1, 4, 9, 10 | 9 | 5 | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | 0.0 | 42.3 | PVC | 375 | 0.25 | 50.3 | 91.5 | 0.80 | 1.05 | 46.2% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | 0.0 | | _ | | | | | | | |
| 7 | - | 2 | 0.11 | 0.04 | | | | | 0.15 | 0.71 | 0.30 | 0.85 | 11.05 | 73.01 | | 61.9 | 64.0 | CONC | 450 | 0.25 | 20.7 | 140 7 | 0.01 | 0.52 | 41 69/ |
| ' | 5 | 3 | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.05 | | | 0.0 | 01.9 | CONC | 450 | 0.25 | 20.7 | 140.7 | 0.91 | 0.55 | 41.0% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.05 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 1.54 | 11.57 | 71.25 | | 109.9 | 400.0 | 0010 | 450 | 0.05 | 40.4 | 440.7 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 70.0% |
| | 3 | , j | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 11.57 | | | 0.0 | 109.9 | CONC | 450 | 0.25 | 43.4 | 148.7 | 0.91 | 0.00 | 73.9% |
| | | | 0.15 | 0.06 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 1.96 | 12 37 | 68 76 | | 134.5 | | - | | | | | | | |
| 5.8 | 1 | EX122 | 0.10 | 0.00 | | | | | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.37 | 00.70 | | 0.0 | 134.5 | CONC | 525 | 0.25 | 37.2 | 224.3 | 1.00 | 0.62 | 59.9% |
| -,- | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.37 | | | 0.0 | _ | | | | - | | | | |
| Longfields Cen | tral | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| g | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 17, 27 | EX126 | EX124 | | | 0.22 | 0.62 | 0.05 | 0.54 | 0.27 | 0.61 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 10.00 | | 104.19 | 47.3 | 47.3 | PVC | 300 | 0.40 | 45.0 | 63.8 | 0.87 | 0.86 | 74.2% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.86 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| 4, 5, 6 | EX124 | EX122 | | | 0.36 | 0.66 | 0.12 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.65 | 0.87 | 1.32 | 10.86 | | 99.9 | 132.0 | 132.0 | CONC | 525 | 0.25 | 92.3 | 224.3 | 1.00 | 1.53 | 58.8% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.86 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 1.96 | 12.99 | 66.96 | | 130.9 | | | | | | | | | |
| | EX122 | EX120 | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 1.32 | 12.99 | | 90.67 | 119.8 | 250.8 | CONC | 675 | 0.30 | 18.6 | 480.3 | 1.30 | 0.24 | 52.2% |
| | | | | | | | | | 0.00 | | 0.00 | 0.00 | 12.99 | | | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 0 0 70 415 | | | | | | | | | | | 0 (1) | | N(4/0) | | 0 0453 | | | | | | | | Dest | | 4 (440004 40) |
| Q = 2.78 AIR | | WHERE : | | V IN LITKES PER | SECOND (L/S) | | | | | | Q = (1/n) A | A R^(2/3)S0 | (1/2) | WHERE : | | NULLA COEFFICIENT | | S (0.012) | | | | | Projec | נ: BIOCK 2 | i (112021-10) esigned: LBM |
| | | | | | | | | | | $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{h}$ | | | | | | De | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | I = RAINFALL IN R = WEIGHTED | RUNOFE COFFE | LIMETERS PER | HOUR (mm/h | nr) | | | | | | | | A = FLOW | V AREA (M) | | | | | | | Checked: MAB | | |

Block 21, Mattino Way: Storm Sewer Design Sheet (Rational Method)





Block 21, Mattino Way - Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

| | AREA | | | | | RESI | DENT | IAL | | | INF | LTRATIC | DN | | | | | PI | PE | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|---------|--------|-------|------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|-------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | | | Том | vns | Apartm | nents | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ID | From | То | Units | Pop. | Units | Pop. | Pop. | Accum. Pop. | Peak Factor | Peak Flow (l/s) | Total Area (ha) | Accum. Area (ha) | Infilt. Flow (l/s) | Total Flow (I/s) | Size (mm) | Slope (%) | Length (m) | Capacity (l/s) | Full Flow Vel. (m/s) | Actual Vel. (m/s) | Q/Q _{full} (%) | d/D |
| Block 21 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6 4 0 0.0 88 184.8 184.8 3.5 2.1 | | | | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 200 | 0.65 | 73.0 | 27.6 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 8.7% | 0.216 | | | | | | |
| | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 184.8 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 0.02 | 0.88 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 200 | 0.65 | 43.4 | 27.6 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 8.7% | 0.077 |
| | 2 | EX119 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 184.8 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 0.15 | 1.03 | 0.3 | 2.5 | 200 | 0.65 | 40.8 | 27.6 | 0.85 | 0.43 | 8.9% | 0.077 |
| Via Matti | no Way | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | EX121 | EX119 | 24 | 64.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 64.8 | 64.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 200 | 1.00 | 84.1 | 34.2 | 1.06 | 0.40 | 2.9% | 0.108 |
| | EX119 | EX117 | 4 | 10.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 10.8 | 260.4 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 0.10 | 0.80 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 200 | 0.35 | 18.2 | 20.2 | 0.62 | 0.38 | 15.8% | 0.297 |
| Design P | arameter | s: | | | l. | | 1 | | | Population | Density: | | | | | | | I | | Project: | Block 21 (| 112021-10) |
| Avg Flow/ | Person = | | 280 | l/day | | | | | | | ppl/unit | u | nits/net | ha | | | | | | | Desi | gned: LRW |
| Comm./In | st. Flow = | | 35000 | l/ha/da | у | | | A | Apartment | (2 Bedroom) | 2.10 | | 90 | | | | | | | | Che | cked: MAB |
| Infiltration = 0.33 I/s/ha Single | | | | Singles | 3.40 | | | | | | | | | | Date: Jur | ne 16, 2020 | | | | | | |
| Pipe Friction n = 0.013 Tow | | | | Towns | 2.70 | | 60 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Residential Peaking Factor = Harmon Equation (max 4, min 2) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |





| | | | | | | | | | SANIT | Long ARY SE | jfields C EWER D | entral ESIGN S | HEET | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---|-------------|--------------------------|------------------|------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------------|------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | AREA | | | | RES | SIDENTI | AL | | | | CI | INF | ILTRATIO | N | | | | | | PIPE | | | |
| AREA ID | From | То | Towns | Stacked Towns | Java | Pop. | Accum. Pop. | Peak Factor | Peak Flow (I/s) | C/I Area (Ha) | Peak Flow (I/s) | Total Area (ha) | Accum. Area (ha) | Infilt. Flow (I/s) | Total Flow (I/s) | Size (mm) | Slope (%) | Length (m) | Capacity (I/s) | Full Flow Vel. (m/s) | Q/Q _{full} (%) | d/D _{full} | v/V _{full} (%) |
| 645 Longfields | s Drive | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| C1 | C32 | 109 | 16 | | | 43.2 | 43.2 | 4.00 | 0.70 | | | 0.52 | 0.52 | 0.15 | 0.85 | 200 | 2.60 | 65.2 | 55.17 | 1.70 | 1.5% | 0.08 | 33.0% |
| A20 | 111 | 109 | 4 | | | 10.8 | 10.8 | 4.00 | 0.18 | | | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 200 | 2.00 | 24.9 | 48.39 | 1.49 | 0.5% | 0.00 | 0.0% |
| A1 | 109 | 107 | 10 | | | 27.0 | 81.0 | 4.00 | 1.31 | | | 0.29 | 1.01 | 0.28 | 1.60 | 200 | 0.50 | 55.8 | 24.19 | 0.75 | 6.6% | 0.16 | 54.0% |
| A2 | 107 | 105 | 10 | | | 27.0 | 108.0 | 4.00 | 1.75 | | | 0.27 | 1.28 | 0.36 | 2.11 | 200 | 0.55 | 35.4 | 25.38 | 0.78 | 8.3% | 0.19 | 60.0% |
| A3 | 105 | 103 | 6 | | | 16.2 | 124.2 | 4.00 | 2.01 | | | 0.17 | 1.45 | 0.41 | 2.42 | 200 | 1.75 | 41.8 | 45.26 | 1.40 | 5.3% | 0.16 | 54.0% |
| A5 | 121 | 119 | 25 | | | 67.5 | 67.5 | 4.00 | 1.09 | | | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.20 | 1.29 | 200 | 1.00 | 84.1 | 34.22 | 1.06 | 3.8% | 0.12 | 45.0% |
| A6,A7 | 119 | 117 | 2 | | 80 | 149.4 | 216.9 | 4.00 | 3.51 | | | 1.10 | 1.80 | 0.50 | 4.02 | 200 | 0.35 | 18.2 | 20.24 | 0.62 | 19.9% | 0.30 | 78.0% |
| A11,A21 | 117 | 115 | 1 | | | 2.7 | 219.6 | 4.00 | 3.56 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 2.08 | 0.58 | 4.31 | 200 | 0.35 | 28.5 | 20.24 | 0.62 | 21.3% | 0.30 | 78.0% |
| A12 | 115 | 113 | 3 | | | 8.1 | 227.7 | 4.00 | 3.69 | | | 0.09 | 2.17 | 0.61 | 4.30 | 200 | 0.35 | 18.8 | 20.24 | 0.62 | 21.2% | 0.30 | 78.0% |
| A4 | 113 | 103 | 21 | | | 56.7 | 284.4 | 4.00 | 4.61 | | | 0.57 | 2.74 | 0.77 | 5.38 | 200 | 0.35 | 75.5 | 20.24 | 0.62 | 26.6% | 0.34 | 83.0% |
| A13 A14 | 103 | 101 | 11 | 10 | | 56.7 | 465.3 | 3 99 | 7 52 | | | 0.52 | 4 71 | 1.32 | 8 84 | 200 | 0.35 | 67.9 | 20.24 | 0.62 | 43.7% | 0.44 | 96.0% |
| ///0,//14 | 100 | MS3 | | 10 | | 0.0 | 465.3 | 3.99 | 7.52 | | | 0.02 | 4.71 | 1.32 | 8.84 | 200 | 0.35 | 13.8 | 20.24 | 0.62 | 43.7% | 0.44 | 96.0% |
| Existing in Mo | untshannon D | Drive | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 0.1.1 | |
| A15 | MS1 | MS3 | | 16 | | 43.2 | 43.2 | 4.00 | 0.70 | | | 0.38 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.81 | 250 | 0.30 | 75.8 | 33.98 | 0.67 | 2.4% | 0.08 | 33.0% |
| Connection to | EBHT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A19 | MS3 | K2 | | | | 0.0 | 508.5 | 3.97 | 8.18 | | | 0.08 | 5.17 | 1.45 | <mark>9.63</mark> | 300 | 0.32 | 15.5 | 57.07 | 0.78 | 16.9% | 0.27 | 73.0% |
| Design Param Avg Flow/Perso Infiltration = Residential Pea | eters: on = aking Factor = I | Harmon Equa | 350 0.28 tion (max | : 4, min 2) | | l/day l/s/ha | | | | | | Population Towns Stacked Tow | Density: | 2.7 2.7 | ppl/unit ppl/unit | A. | ED PRC |)FESS/C | NAL EN | | | Proj Desi Che Date: Ma | 3ct: 112021 gned: LRW 3cked: MAB ay 16, 2014 |
| Pipe Friction n Comm./Inst. Fl Peaking Factor | = ow = [.] Comm./Inst. = | | 0.013 50000 1.5 | l/ha/day | | | | | | | | Java | | 1.8 | ppl/unit | LICEAL | M CROVING | A. BISS 4-05-1 | GINEER GINEER | E N C O N | GINE SULTA | TEC E R I N T S | N G |

Boundary Conditions for Longfields Block 21

Information Provided:

Date provided: Oct 2019

| | Demand | |
|----------------------|--------|-----|
| Scenario | L/min | L/s |
| Average Daily Demand | 36 | 0.6 |
| Maximum Daily Demand | 90 | 1.5 |
| Peak Hour | 198 | 3.3 |
| Fire Flow Demand #1 | 12000 | 200 |
| Fire Flow Demand #2 | 15000 | 250 |

Location:



Results

Connection 1 - Boulder Way

| | Existing | Zone 2W | Future | Zone 3C |
|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) |
| Maximum HGL | 133.0 | 57.8 | 147.8 | 78.8 |
| Peak Hour | 125.9 | 47.9 | 146.2 | 76.6 |
| Max Day plus Fire #1 | 117.4 | 35.7 | 138.6 | 65.9 |
| Max Day plus Fire #2 | 112.7 | 29.1 | 134.5 | 60.0 |

¹ Ground Elevation = 92.3 m

Connection 2 - Mountshannon

| | Existing | Zone 2W | Future | Zone 3C |
|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|
| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) |
| Maximum HGL | 133.0 | 58.2 | 147.8 | 79.3 |
| Peak Hour | 126.0 | 48.2 | 146.3 | 77.1 |
| Max Day plus Fire #1 | 124.3 | 45.9 | 145.9 | 76.6 |
| Max Day plus Fire #2 | 123.2 | 44.3 | 145.4 | 75.9 |

¹ Ground Elevation = 92 m

Connection 3 - Campanale

| | Existing | Zone 2W | Future Zone 3C | | | |
|----------------------|----------|-----------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Demand Scenario | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) | Head (m) | Pressure ¹ (psi) | | |
| Maximum HGL | 133.0 | 56.0 | 147.8 | 77.0 | | |
| Peak Hour | 125.9 | 46.0 | 146.6 | 75.4 | | |
| Max Day plus Fire #1 | 119.4 | 36.7 | 141.6 | 68.2 | | |
| Max Day plus Fire #2 | 115.8 | 31.6 | 138.9 | 64.4 | | |

¹ Ground Elevation = 93.6 m

Notes:

- 1) Confirm pressure reducing valves are not required once the pressure zone is reconfigured in 2020.
- 2) A 203 mm watermain was inserted in the model as shown on page 1.
- 3) Use the HGLs provided above to interpolate results for fires ranging from 200 l/s to 250 l/s, respectively.

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

| | | Block 2 Water Der | 21 mand | | | |
|------------|------|----------------------|------------|-------------|-------------|-----------|
| | | | | Average Day | Maximum Day | Peak Hour |
| | Area | | | Demand | Demand | Demand |
| | (ha) | Units | Population | (L/s) | (L/s) | (L/s) |
| Apartments | N/A | 88 | 185 | 0.599 | 1.497 | 3.294 |
| Total | 0.00 | 88 | 185 | 0.599 | 1.497 | 3.294 |

Water Demand Parameters

| Apartments (2 Bedroom) | 2.1 | ppl/unit |
|------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Residential Demand | 280 | L/c/day |
| Residential Max Day | 2.5 | x Avg Day |
| Residential Peak Hour | 2.2 | x Max Day |
| Posidential Fire Flow | 200, 217, | L/o |
| Residential File Flow | 233, 250 | L/S |

Block 21 - Watermain Demand

| Node | Apartments | Total Population | Average Day Residential Demand (L/s) | Maximum Day Residential Demand (L/s) | Peak Hour Residential Demand (L/s) | Fire Flow (L/s) |
|--------------------|------------|------------------|--|--|--|-----------------------|
| HYD1 | | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 233 |
| HYD2 | 20 | 42 | 0.136 | 0.340 | 0.749 | 250 |
| HYD3 | | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 250 |
| NODE1 | 68 | 143 | 0.463 | 1.157 | 2.545 | N/A |
| Total | 88 | 185 | 0.599 | 1.497 | 3.294 | |
| Water Demand Param | eters | | | | | |
| Singles | 3.4 | ppl/unit | Residential Max Day | | 2.5 | x Avg Day |
| Apartments | 2.1 | ppl/unit | Residential Peak Hour | | 2.2 | x Max Day |
| Residential Demand | 280 | L/c/day | Residential Fire Flow | | 200 - 250 | L/s |



Block 21 - Watermain Analysis

| Network Table - Node | s - (Peak Hour) | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-----------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 0 | 146.3 | 53.39 | 523.76 | 75.96 | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 0.75 | 146.3 | 53.03 | 520.22 | 75.45 | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 0 | 146.3 | 53.26 | 522.48 | 75.78 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 146.3 | 52.98 | 519.73 | 75.38 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 2.55 | 146.3 | 53.03 | 520.22 | 75.45 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 146.3 | -0.37 | 146.3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 146.6 | -18.23 | 146.6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links | - (Peak Hour) | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 3.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 3.07 | 0.09 | 0.09 | |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 0.75 | 0.02 | 0.01 | |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 2.32 | 0.07 | 0.05 | |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | -0.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -0.23 | 0.01 | 0.00 | |


| Network Table - Node | s - (Max Pressure Chec | k - Future Zone C3) | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 0 | 147.8 | 54.89 | 538.47 | 78.10 | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 0.14 | 147.8 | 54.53 | 534.94 | 77.59 | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 0 | 147.8 | 54.76 | 559.07 | 81.09 | |
| Junc CAP1 | 93.54 | 0.11 | 147.8 | 54.26 | 532.29 | 77.20 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 147.8 | 54.48 | 534.45 | 77.52 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 0.35 | 147.8 | 54.53 | 534.94 | 77.59 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 147.8 | -0.96 | 147.8 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 147.8 | -0.87 | 147.8 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links | - (Max Pressure Check | - Future Zone 3C) | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | -0.34 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.061 |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | -0.34 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.055 |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | -0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.055 |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | -0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.075 |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 0.26 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.058 |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -0.26 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.058 |



| Fire | Flow | Minimum Pressure | | | | |
|---------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------|------|--|--|
| Node | Flow (L/s) | Pressure (kPa) | Pressure (PSI) | Node | | |
| BLDG #1 | 233 | 277.43 | 40.24 | HYD2 | | |
| BLDG #2 | 217 | 300.48 | 43.58 | HYD2 | | |
| BLDG #3 | 217 | 285.96 | 41.48 | HYD2 | | |
| BLDG #4 | 250 | 222.79 | 32.31 | HYD2 | | |
| BLDG #5 | 200 | 316.18 | 45.86 | HYD2 | | |



Network Table - Nodes (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 1')

| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 95 | 122.06 | 29.15 | 285.96 | 41.48 | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 43.34 | 121.55 | 28.28 | 277.43 | 40.24 | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 95 | 122.33 | 29.29 | 287.33 | 41.67 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 122.03 | 28.71 | 281.65 | 40.85 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 1.16 | 122.04 | 28.77 | 282.23 | 40.93 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 145.6 | -143.62 | 145.6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 139.8 | -94.4 | 139.8 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links | (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 1') | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 133.29 | 4.08 | 97.57 | 0.023 |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 38.29 | 1.17 | 9.69 | 0.028 |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 43.34 | 1.33 | 12.18 | 0.028 |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 5.05 | 0.15 | 0.23 | 0.038 |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 6.20 | 0.19 | 0.33 | 0.037 |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -101.20 | 3.10 | 58.59 | 0.024 |



Network Table - Nodes (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 2')

| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
|-------------------------|------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 95 | 124.82 | 31.91 | 313.04 | 45.40 | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 61.34 | 123.9 | 30.63 | 300.48 | 43.58 | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 61 | 125.5 | 32.46 | 318.43 | 46.18 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 124.81 | 31.49 | 308.92 | 44.80 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 1.16 | 124.81 | 31.54 | 309.41 | 44.88 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 145.7 | -133.6 | 145.7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 140.7 | -88.42 | 140.7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links (| Max Day + FF 'Bldg 2') | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 120.89 | 3.70 | 81.42 | 0.024 |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 59.89 | 1.83 | 22.17 | 0.026 |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 61.34 | 1.88 | 23.18 | 0.026 |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 1.45 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.046 |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 2.61 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.042 |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -97.61 | 2.99 | 54.79 | 0.025 |



Network Table - Nodes (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 3')

| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 61 | 125.16 | 32.25 | 316.37 | 45.89 | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 95.34 | 122.42 | 29.15 | 285.96 | 41.48 | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 61 | 125.26 | 32.22 | 316.08 | 45.84 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 124.47 | 31.15 | 305.58 | 44.32 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 1.16 | 124.8 | 31.53 | 309.31 | 44.86 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 145.7 | -133.58 | 145.7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 140.7 | -88.45 | 140.7 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links | (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 3') | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 125.26 | 3.83 | 86.96 | 0.024 |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 64.26 | 1.97 | 25.26 | 0.026 |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 95.34 | 2.92 | 52.45 | 0.025 |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 31.08 | 0.95 | 6.58 | 0.029 |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 32.24 | 0.99 | 7.04 | 0.029 |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -93.24 | 2.85 | 50.33 | 0.025 |



Network Table - Nodes (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 4')

| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | | |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 60 | 119.21 | 26.3 | 258.00 | 37.42 | | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 95.34 | 115.98 | 22.71 | 222.79 | 32.31 | | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 95 | 118.61 | 25.57 | 250.84 | 36.38 | | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 118.04 | 24.72 | 242.50 | 35.17 | | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 1.16 | 118.6 | 25.33 | 248.49 | 36.04 | | |
| Resvr RES1 | 145.4 | -153.49 | 145.4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| Resvr RES2 | 138.9 | -101.53 | 138.9 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | | |
| Network Table - Links (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 4') | | | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction | |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor | |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 148.84 | 4.55 | 119.68 | 0.023 | |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 53.84 | 1.65 | 18.20 | 0.027 | |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 95.34 | 2.92 | 52.45 | 0.025 | |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 41.50 | 1.27 | 11.24 | 0.028 | |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 42.66 | 1.31 | 11.83 | 0.028 | |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -102.66 | 3.14 | 60.15 | 0.024 | |



Network Table - Nodes (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 5')

| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc HYD1 | 92.91 | 53 | 128.23 | 35.32 | 346.49 | 50.25 | |
| Junc HYD2 | 93.27 | 94.34 | 125.5 | 32.23 | 316.18 | 45.86 | |
| Junc HYD3 | 93.04 | 53 | 128.27 | 35.23 | 345.61 | 50.13 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 127.52 | 34.2 | 335.50 | 48.66 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 1.16 | 127.86 | 34.59 | 339.33 | 49.22 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 145.9 | -123.3 | 145.9 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 141.6 | -81.73 | 141.6 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links | (Max Day + FF 'Bldg 5') | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 115.81 | 3.54 | 75.20 | 0.024 |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 62.81 | 1.92 | 24.22 | 0.026 |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 94.34 | 2.89 | 51.44 | 0.025 |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 31.53 | 0.96 | 6.76 | 0.029 |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 32.69 | 1.00 | 7.22 | 0.029 |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -85.69 | 2.62 | 43.05 | 0.025 |



Network Table - Nodes (Max Day + FF '20 psi')

| | Elevation | Demand | Head | Pressure | Pressure | Pressure | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Node ID | m | LPS | m | m | kPa | psi | |
| Junc H1 | 92.91 | 97 | 111.32 | 18.41 | 180.60 | 26.19 | |
| Junc H2 | 93.27 | 97.34 | 108.62 | 15.35 | 150.58 | 21.84 | |
| Junc H3 | 93.04 | 97 | 111.67 | 18.63 | 182.76 | 26.51 | |
| Junc T1 | 93.32 | 0 | 110.76 | 17.44 | 171.09 | 24.81 | |
| Junc NODE1 | 93.27 | 1.16 | 111.02 | 17.75 | 174.13 | 25.26 | |
| Resvr RES1 | 145.4 | -171.72 | 145.4 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Resvr RES2 | 138.9 | -124.31 | 138.9 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | |
| Network Table - Links | (Max Day + FF '20 psi') | | | | | | |
| | Length | Diameter | Roughness | Flow | Velocity | Headloss | Friction |
| Link ID | m | mm | | LPS | m/s | m/km | Factor |
| Pipe P1 | 40 | 204 | 110 | 166.67 | 5.10 | 147.58 | 0.023 |
| Pipe P2 | 31 | 204 | 110 | 69.67 | 2.13 | 29.34 | 0.026 |
| Pipe P3 | 39 | 204 | 110 | 97.34 | 2.98 | 54.51 | 0.025 |
| Pipe P4 | 50 | 204 | 110 | 27.67 | 0.85 | 5.31 | 0.030 |
| Pipe P5 | 51 | 204 | 110 | 28.83 | 0.88 | 5.72 | 0.029 |
| Pipe P6 | 72 | 204 | 110 | -125.83 | 3.85 | 87.69 | 0.024 |



As per 2020 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Legend

Novatech Project #: 112021-10 Project Name: Block 21 Date: 12/14/2022 Input By: Lucas Wilson Reviewed By: Mark Bissett

Building Description: Bldg 1, 16 Unit Apartment Type V - Wood frame

| | | | | | | Total Fire | |
|----------------|-----------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|--|
| Step | | | Input | | Value Used | Flow | |
| | | | | | | (L/min) | |
| Base Fire Flow | | | | | | | |
| | Construction Ma | terial | | Mult | iplier | | |
| | Coefficient | Type V - Wood frame | Yes | 1.5 | | | |
| 1 | related to type | Type IV - Mass Timber | | Varies | | | |
| | of construction | Type III - Ordinary construction | | 1 | 1.5 | | |
| | C | Type II - Non-combustible construction | | 0.8 | | | |
| | | Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs) | | 0.6 | | | |
| | Floor Area | | • | | | | |
| | | Building Footprint (m ²) | 460 | | | | |
| | Α | Number of Floors/Storeys | 3 | | | | |
| 2 | | Area of structure considered (m ²) | | | 1,380 | | |
| | F | Base fire flow without reductions | | | | 12 000 | |
| | $F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}$ | | | | | 12,000 | |
| | | Reductions or Surc | harges | | | | |
| | Occupancy haza | rd reduction or surcharge | FUS Table 3 | Reduction | Surcharge | | |
| | | Non-combustible | Yes | -25% | | 9,000 | |
| 3 | | Limited combustible | | -15% | | | |
| - | (1) | Combustible | | 0% | -25% | 9,000 | |
| | | Free burning | | 15% | | | |
| | | Rapid burning | | 25% | | <u> </u> | |
| Γ | Sprinkler Reduct | tion | FUS Table 4 | Redu | ction | | |
| | | Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13) | | -30% | | | |
| | | Standard Water Supply | | -10% | | | |
| 4 | (2) | Fully Supervised System | | -10% | | 0 | |
| | (∠) | | Cumulati | ve Sub-Total | 0% | U | |
| | | Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m ²) | 0 | 0% | | | |
| | | | Cum | nulative Total | 0% | | |
| | Exposure Surch | arge | FUS Table 5 | | Surcharge | | |
| | | North Side | 3.1 - 10 m | | 20% | | |
| | | East Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | | |
| 5 | (2) | South Side | <u>3.1 - 10 m</u> | | 20% | 4 500 | |
| | (3) | West Side | >30m | | 0% | 4,500 | |
| | | | Cum | nulative Total | 50% | | |
| | | Results | | | | | |
| | Г | Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nea | rest 1000L/mi | n | L/min | 14.000 | |
| 6 | (1) + (2) + (3) | | | or | L/s | 233 | |
| | | (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) | | or | USGPM | 3,699 | |
| | | | | | | | |

As per 2020 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Legend

Novatech Project #: 112021-10 Project Name: Block 21 Date: 12/14/2022 Input By: Lucas Wilson Reviewed By: Mark Bissett

Building Description: Bldg 2, 16 Unit Apartment Type V - Wood frame

| | | | | | | Total Fire |
|------|------------------|---|---------------|---------------|------------|------------|
| Step | | | Input | | Value Used | Flow |
| | | | | | | (L/min) |
| | | Base Fire Flo | w | | | |
| | Construction Ma | terial | | Mult | iplier | |
| | Coefficient | Type V - Wood frame | Yes | 1.5 | | |
| 1 | related to type | Type IV - Mass Timber | | Varies | | |
| | of construction | Type III - Ordinary construction | | 1 | 1.5 | |
| | C | Type II - Non-combustible construction | | 0.8 | | |
| | | Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs) | | 0.6 | | |
| | Floor Area | | 1 | | | |
| | | Building Footprint (m ²) | 460 | | | |
| | Α | Number of Floors/Storeys | 3 | | - | |
| 2 | | Area of structure considered (m ²) | | | 1,380 | |
| | F | Base fire flow without reductions | | | | 12 000 |
| | • | $F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}$ | | | | 12,000 |
| | | Reductions or Surc | harges | | | |
| | Occupancy haza | rd reduction or surcharge | FUS Table 3 | Reduction | /Surcharge | |
| | | Non-combustible | Yes | -25% | | |
| 3 | | Limited combustible | | -15% | | |
| - | (1) | Combustible | | 0% | -25% | 9,000 |
| | | Free burning | | 15% | | |
| | | Rapid burning | | 25% | | |
| | Sprinkler Reduct | tion | FUS Table 4 | Redu | iction | |
| | | Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13) | | -30% | | |
| | | Standard Water Supply | | -10% | | |
| 4 | (2) | Fully Supervised System | | -10% | | 0 |
| | (2) | | Cumulati | ve Sub-Total | 0% | 0 |
| | | Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m ²) | 0 | 0% | | |
| | | | Cum | ulative Total | 0% | |
| | Exposure Surch | arge | FUS Table 5 | | Surcharge | |
| | | North Side | 3.1 - 10 m | | 20% | |
| | | East Side | >30m | | 0% | |
| 5 | (2) | South Side | 10.1 - 20 m | | 15% | 4 050 |
| | (3) | West Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | 4,050 |
| | | | Cum | ulative Total | 45% | |
| | | Results | | | | |
| | T | Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nea | rest 1000L/mi | n | L/min | 13,000 |
| 6 | (1) + (2) + (3) | $(2.000 \text{ L/min} \le \text{Fire Flow} \le 45.000 \text{ L/min})$ | | or | L/s | 217 |
| | | (2,000 E/11111 < 1 118 1 100 < 43,000 E/11111) | | or | USGPM | 3,435 |
| | | | | | | |

As per 2020 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Legend

Novatech Project #: 112021-10 Project Name: Block 21 Date: 12/14/2022 Input By: Lucas Wilson Reviewed By: Mark Bissett

Building Description: Bldg 3, 16 Unit Apartment Type V - Wood frame

| | | | | | | Total Fire | |
|----------------|------------------|--|---------------|----------------|------------|------------|--|
| Step | | | Input | | Value Used | Flow | |
| | | | | | | (L/min) | |
| Base Fire Flow | | | | | | | |
| | Construction Ma | terial | | Mult | iplier | | |
| | Coefficient | Type V - Wood frame | Yes | 1.5 | | | |
| 1 | related to type | Type IV - Mass Timber | | Varies | | | |
| | of construction | Type III - Ordinary construction | | 1 | 1.5 | | |
| | C | Type II - Non-combustible construction | | 0.8 | | | |
| | | Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs) | | 0.6 | | | |
| | Floor Area | | • | | | | |
| | | Building Footprint (m ²) | 460 | | | | |
| | Α | Number of Floors/Storeys | 3 | | Γ | | |
| 2 | | Area of structure considered (m ²) | | | 1,380 | | |
| | F | Base fire flow without reductions | | | | 12 000 | |
| | • | $F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}$ | | | | 12,000 | |
| | | Reductions or Surc | harges | | | | |
| | Occupancy haza | rd reduction or surcharge | FUS Table 3 | Reduction | /Surcharge | | |
| | | Non-combustible | Yes | -25% | | 9,000 | |
| 3 | | Limited combustible | | -15% | | | |
| - | (1) | Combustible | | 0% | -25% | 9,000 | |
| | | Free burning | | 15% | | | |
| | | Rapid burning | | 25% | | | |
| | Sprinkler Reduct | tion | FUS Table 4 | Redu | iction | | |
| | | Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13) | | -30% | | | |
| | | Standard Water Supply | | -10% | | | |
| 4 | (2) | Fully Supervised System | | -10% | | 0 | |
| | (4) | | Cumulati | ve Sub-Total | 0% | U | |
| | | Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m ²) | 0 | 0% | | | |
| | | | Cun | nulative Total | 0% | | |
| | Exposure Surcha | arge | FUS Table 5 | | Surcharge | | |
| | | North Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | | |
| | | East Side | >30m | | 0% | | |
| 5 | (2) | South Side | 3.1 - 10 m | | 20% | 2 600 | |
| | (3) | West Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | 3,600 | |
| | | | Cum | ulative Total | 40% | | |
| | | Results | | | - | | |
| | Г | Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nea | rest 1000L/mi | n | L/min | 13.000 | |
| 6 | (1) + (2) + (3) | | | or | L/s | 217 | |
| | | (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) | | or | USGPM | 3,435 | |
| | | | | | | | |

As per 2020 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Legend

Novatech Project #: 112021-10 Project Name: Block 21 Date: 12/14/2022 Input By: Lucas Wilson Reviewed By: Mark Bissett

Building Description: Bldg 4, 20 Unit Apartment Type V - Wood frame

| | | | | | | Total Fire |
|--|---------------------|--|-------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Step | | | Input | | Value Used | Flow |
| | | | | | | (L/min) |
| | | Base Fire Flo | W | | | |
| | Construction Ma | terial | | Mult | iplier | |
| | Coefficient | Type V - Wood frame | Yes | 1.5 | | |
| 1 | related to type | Type IV - Mass Timber | | Varies | | |
| | of construction | Type III - Ordinary construction | | 1 | 1.5 | |
| | C | Type II - Non-combustible construction | | 0.8 | | |
| | | Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs) | | 0.6 | | |
| | Floor Area | | • | | | |
| | | Building Footprint (m ²) | 570 | | | |
| | Α | Number of Floors/Storeys | 3 | | | |
| 2 | | Area of structure considered (m ²) | | | 1,710 | |
| | F | Base fire flow without reductions | | | | 14 000 |
| | ' | $F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}$ | | | | 17,000 |
| | | Reductions or Surc | harges | | | |
| | Occupancy haza | rd reduction or surcharge | FUS Table 3 | Reduction | Surcharge | |
| | | Non-combustible | Yes | -25% | | |
| 3 | | Limited combustible | | -15% | | |
| - | (1) | Combustible | | 0% | -25% | 10,500 |
| | | Free burning | | 15% | | |
| | | Rapid burning | | 25% | | |
| | Sprinkler Reduct | tion | FUS Table 4 | Redu | ction | |
| | | Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13) | | -30% | | |
| | | Standard Water Supply | | -10% | | |
| 4 | (2) | Fully Supervised System | | -10% | | 0 |
| | (∠) | | Cumulati | ve Sub-Total | 0% | U |
| | | Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m ²) | 0 | 0% | | |
| | | | Cum | nulative Total | 0% | |
| | Exposure Surch | arge | FUS Table 5 | | Surcharge | |
| | <u> </u> | North Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | |
| | | East Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | |
| 5 | (2) | South Side | <u>3.1 - 10 m</u> | | 20% | 4 000 |
| | (3) | West Side | >30m | | 0% | 4,200 |
| | | | Cum | nulative Total | 40% | |
| Resulte | | | | | | |
| Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nearest 1000L/min | | | | 15.000 | | |
| 6 | (1) + (2) + (3) | | | or | L/s | 250 |
| U | (1) + (2) + (3) (2) | (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) | | or | USGPM | 3,963 |
| | <u></u> | - | | • | L L | |

As per 2020 Fire Underwriter's Survey Guidelines

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

Legend

Novatech Project #: 112021-10 Project Name: Block 21 Date: 12/14/2022 Input By: Lucas Wilson Reviewed By: Mark Bissett

Building Description: Bldg 5, 20 Unit Apartment Type V - Wood frame

| | | | | | | Total Fire |
|--|-------------------------------|--|-------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Step | | | Input | | Value Used | Flow |
| | | | | | | (L/min) |
| | | Base Fire Flo | w | | | |
| | Construction Ma | terial | | Multi | iplier | |
| | Coefficient | Type V - Wood frame | Yes | 1.5 | | |
| 1 | related to type | Type IV - Mass Timber | | Varies | | |
| | of construction | Type III - Ordinary construction | | 1 | 1.5 | |
| | C | Type II - Non-combustible construction | | 0.8 | | |
| | | Type I - Fire resistive construction (2 hrs) | | 0.6 | | |
| | Floor Area | | 1 | | | |
| | | Building Footprint (m ²) | 570 | | | |
| | Α | Number of Floors/Storeys | 3 | | | |
| 2 | | Area of structure considered (m ²) | | | 1,710 | |
| | F | Base fire flow without reductions | | | | 14 000 |
| | • | $F = 220 C (A)^{0.5}$ | | | | 17,000 |
| | | Reductions or Surc | harges | | | |
| | Occupancy haza | rd reduction or surcharge | FUS Table 3 | Reduction/ | Surcharge | |
| | | Non-combustible | Yes | -25% | | |
| 3 | | Limited combustible | | -15% | | |
| - | (1) | Combustible | | 0% | -25% | 10,500 |
| | | Free burning | | 15% | | |
| | | Rapid burning | | 25% | | |
| Γ | Sprinkler Reduct | tion | FUS Table 4 | Redu | ction | |
| | | Adequately Designed System (NFPA 13) | | -30% | | |
| | | Standard Water Supply | | -10% | | |
| 4 | (2) | Fully Supervised System | | -10% | | 0 |
| | (∠) | | Cumulati | ve Sub-Total | 0% | U |
| | | Area of Sprinklered Coverage (m ²) | 0 | 0% | | |
| | | | Cum | nulative Total | 0% | |
| | Exposure Surch | arge | FUS Table 5 | | Surcharge | |
| | | North Side | >30m | | 0% | |
| | | East Side | >30m | | 0% | |
| 5 | (2) | South Side | 20.1 - 30 m | | 10% | 4 050 |
| | (3) | West Side | >30m | | 0% | 1,050 |
| | | | Cun | nulative Total | 10% | |
| Resulte | | | | | | |
| Total Required Fire Flow, rounded to nearest 1000L/min | | | | | 12.000 | |
| 6 | (1) + (2) + (3) | | | or | L/s | 200 |
| 5 | $(1) \cdot (2) \cdot (3)$ (2) | (2,000 L/min < Fire Flow < 45,000 L/min) | | or | USGPM | 3,170 |
| | | | | | | |



M:2012/112021/Block 21/CAD/Design/Figures/Design Brie/Fig 1-HYD Coverage Plan.dwg, FIG1, Oct 04, 2022 - 3:15pm, Iwilson

SHT8X11.DWG - 216mmx279mm

Lucas Wilson

| From: | Sharif, Golam <sharif.sharif@ottawa.ca></sharif.sharif@ottawa.ca> |
|----------|---|
| Sent: | Wednesday, December 21, 2022 8:51 AM |
| То: | Lucas Wilson |
| Cc: | Mark Bissett |
| Subject: | RE: Block 21 - 605 Via Way: Watermain Boundary Condition Verification |

Hi Lucas,

I have received the confirmation from our water modelling unit. There is no significant change on the BC, therefore use the 2019 BC. Please attached the correspondence in your report. Thanks.

Sharif

From: Lucas Wilson <l.wilson@novatech-eng.com>
Sent: December 19, 2022 3:21 PM
To: Sharif, Golam <sharif.sharif@ottawa.ca>
Cc: Mark Bissett <m.bissett@novatech-eng.com>
Subject: RE: Block 21 - 605 Via Way: Watermain Boundary Condition Verification

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Just wanted to follow up with you regarding the boundary condition verification. Since the fire flows haven't changed, is a verification still required?

Thanks,

Lucas Wilson, P.Eng., Project Manager | Engineering NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects 240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 Ext: 282 | Fax: 613.254.5867 The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.

From: Lucas Wilson
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 2:06 PM
To: Sharif, Golam <<u>sharif.sharif@ottawa.ca</u>>
Cc: Mark Bissett <<u>m.bissett@novatech-eng.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Block 21 - 605 Via Way: Watermain Boundary Condition Verification

Sharif – Previously provided fire flow values have been confirmed using the 2020 FUS guidelines (no change to fire flows), revised spreadsheet referencing 2020 FUS is attached.

Let me know if you need anything else.

Thanks,

Lucas Wilson, P.Eng., Project Manager | Engineering

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 Ext: 282 | Fax: 613.254.5867 The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.

From: Sharif, Golam <<u>sharif.sharif@ottawa.ca</u>>
Sent: Wednesday, December 14, 2022 12:09 PM
To: Lucas Wilson <<u>l.wilson@novatech-eng.com</u>>
Cc: Mark Bissett <<u>m.bissett@novatech-eng.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Block 21 - 605 Via Way: Watermain Boundary Condition Verification

HI Lucas,

Could you please update your FUS calculation. I believe your design and units have not changed, however, the 1999 FUS guideline has been updated. Please update that and we can verify if we can still use those BC. Thanks.

Sharif

From: Lucas Wilson <<u>l.wilson@novatech-eng.com</u>>
Sent: December 13, 2022 3:26 PM
To: Sharif, Golam <<u>sharif.sharif@ottawa.ca</u>>
Cc: Mark Bissett <<u>m.bissett@novatech-eng.com</u>>
Subject: Block 21 - 605 Via Way: Watermain Boundary Condition Verification

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

It has been requested in the most recent City of Ottawa comments to request a new boundary condition verification as the boundary conditions attached are from 2019. I have also attached the water demand and fire flows provided in the most recent submission.

Water Demand: Average Day Demand = 0.599 L/s Max Day Demand = 1.497 L/s Peak Hour Demand = 3.294 L/s

Residential fire flows: Building 1 = 233 L/s Building 2 = 217 L/s Building 3 = 217 L/s Building 4 = 250 L/s Building 5 = 200 L/s

Please let me know if you need any additional information.

Thanks,

ī

' '

ı

Lucas Wilson, P.Eng., Project Manager | Engineering

NOVATECH Engineers, Planners & Landscape Architects

240 Michael Cowpland Drive, Suite 200, Ottawa, ON K2M 1P6 | Tel: 613.254.9643 Ext: 282 | Fax: 613.254.5867 The information contained in this email message is confidential and is for exclusive use of the addressee.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

APPENDIX B

Excerpts from Longfields Central Site Servicing Report

Tempest LMF Correspondence & Documentation

PCSWMM Storage Node Curves

PCSWMM Model Results (Ponding) PCSWMM Model Results (HGL)

PCSWMM Model Schematics PCSWMM Model Results (100-year output data)



Longfields Central Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Study

Prepared for:



171 Claridge Drive Ottawa, ON K2J 5V8

Prepared by:

NOVATECH ENGINEERING CONSULTANTS LTD.

Suite 200, 240 Michael Cowpland Drive Kanata, Ontario K2M 1P6

> Issued: June 7, 2013 Revised: February 14, 2014 Revised: April 3, 2014 Revised: May 16, 2014 Revised: June 12, 2014 Revised: July 25, 2014

Ref: R-2014-073 Novatech File No. 112021

November 22, 2013

- Longfields Development (by Campanale)
 - Revised Rearyard Areas: 0.34 ha + 0.29ha = 0.63 ha @ C = 0.54
 - Right-Of-Way Areas: 0.28 ha+ 0.09 ha = 0.37 ha @ C = 0.69

It is therefore noted that the revised areas contributing from the Campanale Development total to 1.0 ha and may cause an increase in major system flow contributing to SWM Park 959.

5.4.5 Future Development Blocks

During detailed design of the Longfields Development, it was determined that the medium density residential area is unable to provide the 64 L/s/ha and 100 m³/ha through surface storage within the roadway and rearyard areas as requested in the *Longfields Davidson Heights Serviceability Study Update Report (1998)*. To achieve the guidelines set out in the Longfields Davidson Heights Serviceability Study Update Report (1998) throughout the development, the following high unit residential blocks will be restricted to the design criteria provided below:

<u>Block 1 (0.21 ha)</u>

- Restricted minor system flow of 6.0 L/s (28.8 L/s/ha)
- On-Site storage of 20.8 m³ (100 m³/ha)

Block 2 (0.15 ha)

- Restricted minor system flow of 9.6 L/s (64 L/s/ha)
- On-Site storage of 25 m³ (167 m³/ha)

Block 21 (1.0 ha)

- Restricted minor system flow of 37.6 L/s (37.5 L/s/ha)
- On-Site storage of 270 m^3 (270 m^3 /ha)
 - \circ 100 m³ of surface storage
 - 170 m³ of underground storage using either:
 - Superpipe storage
 - Underground storage chambers

It has been determined that the storage suggested above for each future residential block is sufficient for each block and can be accommodated through both surface and subsurface storage. Conditions must be placed within the subdivision agreement and registered on title for the site plan for all future blocks for the on-site storage criteria and restrictive release rates provided above.

Conceptual calculations have been completed for Block 21 to ensure sufficient storage is available within the future block. Through conceptual grading, it was determined that 100 m³ of surface storage can be provided within storage sags throughout the parking lot areas. The additional 170 m³ of necessary storage will be provided beneath the parking lot areas throughout the block using underground storage chambers. The chambers will be installed to provide temporary subsurface storage of runoff from storms up to 1:100 year event. The chambers conceptually designed for this report are provided by Stormtech (or approved equivalent) and have been designed with the following system requirements:

Novatech Engineering Consultants Ltd.

TEMPEST Product Submittal Package R3



Date: June 15, 2022

<u>Customer</u>: Novatech

Contact: Lucas Wilson

Location: Ottawa

Project Name: Mattino Way



Tempest LMF ICD Rd Shop Drawing







Tempest LMF ICD Flow Curve























Square CB Installation Notes:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
 - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
 - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8x3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts
- 2. Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8'' concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2'' depth up to 2-1/2''. Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Put the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you will hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts on the ends of the anchors
- 5. Install the wall mounting plate on the anchors and screw the nut in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the LMF device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the wall mounting plate and has created a seal.









Round CB Installation Notes: (Refer to square install notes above for steps 1, 3, & 4)

- 2. Use spigot catch basin wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 5. Install the CB spigot wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lb-ft). There should be no gap between the CB spigot wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Apply solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate and the spigot of the spigot CB wall plate. Slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the universal mounting plate hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered into the mounting plate and has created a seal.



CAUTION/WARNING/DISCLAIM:

- Verify that the inlet(s) pipe(s) is not protruding into the catch basin. If it is, cut it back so that the inlet pipe is flush with the catch basin wall.
- Any required cement in the installation must be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Please refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm required curing times or attend the IPEX <u>Online Solvent</u> <u>Cement Training Course</u>.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.



IPEX TEMPEST Inlet Control Devices Technical Specification

General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control where specified. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's must have no moving parts.

Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.



Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) PCSWMM Storage Curves (surface storage)



| CB1-Storage | | | | |
|-------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | | |
| 0.00 | 0.36 | 0.00 | | |
| 1.77 | 0.36 | 0.64 | | |
| 1.82 | 28.00 | 1.35 | | |
| 1.87 | 92.00 | 4.35 | | |
| 1.92 | 190.00 | 11.40 | | |
| 1.97 | 290.00 | 23.40 | | |
| 2.02 | 377.00 | 40.07 | | |
| 2.021 | 0.00 | 40.26 | | |
| 2.77 | 0.00 | 40.26 | | |

| CBMH1-Storage | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | |
| 2.25 | 1.17 | 2.63 | |
| 2.30 | 17.00 | 3.09 | |
| 2.35 | 68.00 | 5.21 | |
| 2.40 | 142.00 | 10.46 | |
| 2.45 | 222.00 | 19.56 | |
| 2.47 | 254.00 | 24.32 | |
| 2.48 | 0.00 | 25.59 | |
| 3.25 | 0.00 | 25.59 | |

| CBMH2-Storage | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | | |
| 1.76 | 1.17 | 2.06 | | |
| 1.81 | 5.60 | 2.23 | | |
| 1.86 | 22.60 | 2.93 | | |
| 1.91 | 50.80 | 4.77 | | |
| 1.96 | 90.30 | 8.30 | | |
| 2.01 | 141.20 | 14.08 | | |
| 2.03 | 164.60 | 17.14 | | |
| 2.04 | 0.00 | 17.96 | | |
| 3.04 | 0.00 | 17.96 | | |

| ea (m²) 1.17 1.17 | Volume (m ³) 0.00 2.48 |
|-------------------------|---|
| 1.17 | 0.00 2.48 |
| 1.17 | 2.48 |
| 0.00 | |
| 9.00 | 2.98 |
| 6.20 | 5.36 |
| 71.00 | 11.54 |
| 01.50 | 23.36 |
| 21.10 | 41.42 |
| 0.00 | 41.63 |
| 0.00 | 41.63 |
| | 19.00 76.20 71.00 01.50 21.10 0.00 0.00 |

| CBMH4-Storage | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | | |
| 2.11 | 1.17 | 2.47 | | |
| 2.16 | 7.50 | 2.69 | | |
| 2.21 | 30.00 | 3.62 | | |
| 2.26 | 63.00 | 5.95 | | |
| 2.31 | 110.00 | 10.27 | | |
| 2.36 | 170.00 | 17.27 | | |
| 2.41 | 260.00 | 28.02 | | |
| 2.42 | 0.00 | 29.32 | | |
| 3.11 | 0.00 | 29.32 | | |

| CBMH5-Storage | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | |
| 2.11 | 1.17 | 2.47 | |
| 2.16 | 17.10 | 2.93 | |
| 2.21 | 69.00 | 5.08 | |
| 2.26 | 147.10 | 10.48 | |
| 2.31 | 230.00 | 19.91 | |
| 2.33 | 261.00 | 24.82 | |
| 2.33 | 0.00 | 24.95 | |
| 3.11 | 0.00 | 24.95 | |

| CBMH6-Storage | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | |
| 2.25 | 1.17 | 2.63 | |
| 2.30 | 12.16 | 2.97 | |
| 2.35 | 48.59 | 4.48 | |
| 2.40 | 108.89 | 8.42 | |
| 2.45 | 193.72 | 15.99 | |
| 2.50 | 297.64 | 28.27 | |
| 2.55 | 417.45 | 46.15 | |
| 2.56 | 0.00 | 48.24 | |
| 3.25 | 0.00 | 48.24 | |

| CBMH7-Storage | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | | |
| 2.06 | 1.17 | 2.41 | | |
| 2.11 | 17.00 | 2.86 | | |
| 2.16 | 68.00 | 4.99 | | |
| 2.21 | 148.30 | 10.40 | | |
| 2.26 | 260.00 | 20.60 | | |
| 2.31 | 400.00 | 37.10 | | |
| 2.32 | 0.00 | 39.10 | | |
| 3.06 | 0.00 | 39.10 | | |

| CBMH8-Storage | | | | |
|---------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| Depth (m) | Area (m ²) | Volume (m ³) | | |
| 0.00 | 1.17 | 0.00 | | |
| 1.86 | 1.17 | 2.18 | | |
| 1.91 | 8.20 | 2.41 | | |
| 1.96 | 33.00 | 3.44 | | |
| 2.01 | 75.00 | 6.14 | | |
| 2.06 | 132.00 | 11.32 | | |
| 2.11 | 205.00 | 19.74 | | |
| 2.16 | 290.00 | 32.12 | | |
| 2.18 | 321.00 | 38.23 | | |
| 2.181 | 0.00 | 38.39 | | |
| 2.86 | 0.00 | 38.39 | | |

Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) PCSWMM Model Results (Ponding)



| СВ / СВМН | Invert Elev. (m) | Rim Elev. (m) | Spill Elev. (m) | Ponding Depth (m) | HGL Elev. (m) ¹ | | | | Ponding Depth (m) | | | | Spill Depth (m) | | | |
|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|-------|--------|------------------|-------------------|------|--------|------------------|-----------------|------|--------|------------------|
| ID | | | | | 2-yr | 5-yr | 100-yr | 100-yr (+20%) | 2-yr | 5-yr | 100-yr | 100-yr (+20%) | 2-yr | 5-yr | 100-yr | 100-yr (+20%) |
| CB1 | 91.18 | 92.95 | 93.20 | 0.25 | 92.43 | 93.05 | 93.20 | 93.21 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| CBMH1 | 90.70 | 92.95 | 93.17 | 0.22 | 91.92 | 92.72 | 93.08 | 93.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CBMH2 | 91.19 | 92.95 | 93.22 | 0.27 | 92.04 | 92.79 | 93.20 | 93.22 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CBMH3 | 90.48 | 92.60 | 92.85 | 0.25 | 92.34 | 92.72 | 92.85 | 92.88 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 |
| CBMH4 | 90.84 | 92.95 | 93.25 | 0.30 | 92.43 | 93.05 | 93.20 | 93.21 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CBMH5 | 90.74 | 92.85 | 93.07 | 0.22 | 91.92 | 92.72 | 93.08 | 93.09 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.23 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | 0.02 |
| CBMH6 | 90.70 | 92.95 | 93.25 | 0.30 | 92.67 | 93.10 | 93.23 | 93.26 | 0.00 | 0.15 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| CBMH7 | 90.89 | 92.95 | 93.20 | 0.25 | 92.43 | 93.05 | 93.20 | 93.21 | 0.00 | 0.10 | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| CBMH8 | 90.74 | 92.60 | 92.92 | 0.32 | 92.34 | 92.72 | 92.85 | 92.88 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.25 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

¹ 3-hour Chicago Storm.

Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) Summary of Hydraulic Grade Line (HGL) Elevations



| | Obvert Elevation | T/G Elevation | HGL Elevation ¹ | Surcharge | Clearance from T/G | HGL in Stress Test ¹ | |
|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | (m) | |
| MH1 | 90.53 | 92.75 | 90.68 | 0.15 | 2.07 | 90.69 | |
| MH3 | 90.64 | 93.40 | 90.69 | 0.05 | 2.71 | 90.69 | |
| MH5 | 90.77 | 93.23 | 90.69 | 0.00 | 2.54 | 90.69 | |
| MH7 (D/S ICD) | 91.04 | 93.21 | 90.79 | 0.00 | 2.42 | 90.79 | |
| MH9 | 90.89 | 93.23 | 90.70 | 0.00 | 2.53 | 90.70 | |

¹ 3-hour Chicago Storm; fixed outfall elevation of 90.68 m (100yr HGL in MH122).

Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) PCSWMM Model Schematic






Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) PCSWMM Model Schematic







Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) PCSWMM Model Schematic





Block 21 – Mattino Way (112021-10) **PCSWMM Model Output** 100yr 3-hour Chicago Storm

01

MH 3

| EPA | STORM | WATER | MANAGEMENT | MODEL | _ | VERSION | 5. | 1 | (Build | 5. | 1.013 |) |
|-----|-------|-------|------------|-------|---|---------|----|---|--------|----|-------|---|

| PA STORM WATER MAN | AGEMENT MODEL - | VERSION 5.1 (Bui | ld 5.1.013 | 3) | | | MH7 | STORAGE | 90.7 | 4 2 | 2.47 | 0.0 | | |
|--|-----------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|------------|----------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------|-------|-----------|--------------------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | MH9 | STORAGE | 90.5 | 2 2 | 2.72 | 0.0 | | |
| Longfields Block | 21 PCSWMM Model | (112021-10) | | | | | RYCB1 | STORAGE | 91.3 | 7 2 | 2.80 | 0.0 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Element Count | | | | | | | **************** Link Summary | | | | | | | |
| ************************************** | goo 1 | | | | | | ********** | From Nodo | To Nodo | T | | Longth | \$C1000 | Doughpoor |
| Number of subcatch | hments 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Number of nodes . | | | | | | | C01 | CBMH2 | HP-CBMH2 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | -11.0672 | 0.0150 |
| Number of links . | | | | | | | C02 | CB1 | HP-CB1 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | -12.5988 | 0.0150 |
| Number of land us | nts 0 es 0 | | | | | | C04 C05 | HP-CBMH4 | CBMH7 | COP | IDUIT | 2.0 | -15.1717 | 0.0150 |
| Humber of fand do. | | | | | | | C06 | CBMH6 | HP-CBMH6 | CON | DUIT | 2.0 | -15.1717 | 0.0150 |
| | | | | | | | C07 | HP-CBMH6 | CBMH1 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | 15.1717 | 0.0150 |
| ***** | | | | | | | C08 | CBMH7 | HP-CBMH7 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | -12.5988 | 0.0150 |
| ***************** | | | | | | | C11 | HP-CBMH2 | CBMH5 CBMH5 | COM | IDUIT | 2.0 | 18.8249 | 0.0150 |
| | | | Data | Recor | ding | | C12 | CBMH1 | HP-CBMH1 | CON | DUIT | 2.0 | -11.0672 | 0.0150 |
| Name | Data Source | | Type | Inter | val | | C13 | HP-CBMH1 | CBMH5 | CON | DUIT | 2.0 | 16.2088 | 0.0150 |
| Baingagol | C2br-100ur | | TNTENCT | TV 10 m | | | C14 | CBMH5 | HP-CBMH5 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | -11.0672 | 0.0150 |
| Kailigagei | CONT-100ÅT | | INTENSI | .11 10 10 | | | C16 | CBMH8 | HP-CBMH8 | CON | DUIT | 2.0 | -16.2088 | 0.0150 |
| | | | | | | | C17 | HP-CBMH8 | CBMH3 | CON | DUIT | 2.0 | 16.2088 | 0.0150 |
| ****** | *** | | | | | | C18 | CBMH3 | HP-CBMH3 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | -12.5988 | 0.0150 |
| Subcatchment Summa | ary *** | | | | | | C19 C20 | HP-RYCB1 PYCB1 | CBMH2 | CON | IDUIT | 2.0 | 14.6549 -3 5021 | 0.0150 |
| Name | Area | Width %Impe: | rv %Slc | pe Rain G | age | Outlet | CB1-MH7 | CB1 | Dummy-CB1 | CON | DUIT | 2.0 | 0.8334 | 0.0130 |
| | | | | | | | CBMH1-Storage | CBMH01-Dummy | CBMH1 | CON | DUIT | 17.0 | 0.1765 | 0.0130 |
| | | | | | | _ | CBMH2-MH9 | CBMH2-ICD | MH 9 | CON | DUIT | 14.0 | 1.3573 | 0.0130 |
| 01 | 0.08 | 32.00 84. | 30 1.00 60 1.00 | 100 Rainga 100 Rainga | gel cel | CBMH5 CBMH7 | CBMH3-ICD-MH1 CBMH4-MH7 | CBMH3-ICD | MH1 Dummu-CB1 | CON | IDUIT | 17.3 | 0.5202 | 0.0130 |
| 03 | 0.14 | 45.00 77.3 | 10 1.00 | 00 Rainga | gel | CBMH4 | CBMH5-CBMH1 | CBMH5 | CBMH1 | CON | DUIT | 20.2 | 0.1918 | 0.0130 |
| 04 | 0.12 | 60.00 80. | 00 1.00 | 000 Rainga | gel | CBMH1 | CBMH5-Storage | CBMH5-Dummy | CBMH5 | CON | DUIT | 20.0 | 0.2000 | 0.0130 |
| 05 | 0.08 | 40.00 77. | 10 1.00 | 00 Rainga | gel | CBMH8 | CBMH6-Storage1 | CBMH6-Dummy1 | CBMH6 | CON | IDUIT | 10.2 | 0.1961 | 0.0130 |
| 06 | 0.11 | 55.00 74. | 30 1.00 | 100 Rainga 100 Rainga | gel gel | CBI | CBMH6-Storage2 | CBMH6-Dummy2 CBMH7 | CBMH6 CBMH4 | CON | IDUIT | 10.2 | 0.1961 | 0.0130 |
| 08 | 0.13 | 65.00 70.0 | 00 1.00 | 00 Rainga | gel | CBMH3 | cbmh8-cbmh3 | CBMH8 | CBMH3 | CON | DUIT | 29.4 | 0.2041 | 0.0130 |
| 09 | 0.05 | 33.33 20. | 00 1.00 | 000 Rainga | gel | CBMH2 | Dummy-CB1-MH7 | Dummy-CB1 | MH7 | CON | DUIT | 8.7 | 0.2299 | 0.0130 |
| 10 | 0.04 | 26.67 71.4 | 40 1.00 | 000 Rainga | gel | RYCB1 | LCB01-CBMH7 | LCB1 | CBMH7 | CON | DUIT | 20.8 | 1.0097 | 0.0130 |
| | | | | | | | LCB1-HP-LCB1 | LCB1 | HP-LCB1 MH122 | CON | IDUIT | 1.0 | -16.2088 | 0.0350 |
| **** | | | | | | | MH1-MH122 MH3-MH1 | MH3 | MH122 MH1 | CON | DUIT | 43.4 | 0.2535 | 0.0130 |
| Node Summary | | | | | | | MH5-MH3 | MH5 | MH3 | CON | DUIT | 28.7 | 0.2439 | 0.0130 |
| ***** | | - . | | | | | MH7-MH3 | MH7-ICD | MH3 | CON | IDUIT | 39.7 | 1.0076 | 0.0130 |
| Name | Type | Elev | Max. Depth | Ponded Area | Inflow | | MH9-MH5 BYCB1-CBMH2 | MH9 BYCB1 | CBMH2 | CON | IDUIT | 50.3 | 0.2386 | 0.0130 |
| | -11 | | | | | | O-CBMH1 | CBMH1 | MH 9 | ORI | FICE | | | |
| HP-CBMH1 | JUNCTION | 93.17 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | O-CBMH2 | CBMH2 | CBMH2-ICD | ORI | FICE | | | |
| HP-CBMH2 | JUNCTION | 93.22 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | O-CBMH3 | CBMH3 | CBMH3-ICD | ORI | FICE | | | |
| HP-CBMH5 | JUNCTION | 93.07 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | O-MH7 | MH7 | MH7-ICD | ORI | FICE | | | |
| HP-CBMH6 | JUNCTION | 93.25 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| HP-CBMH7 | JUNCTION | 93.20 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| HP-CBMH8 HP-RYCB1 | JUNCTION | 92.92 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | Cross Section S | lummary | | | | | | |
| HP-CB1 | OUTFALL | 93.20 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | ********** | ***** | | | | | | |
| HP-CBMH3 | OUTFALL | 92.85 | 1.00 | 0.0 | | | | | Full | Full | Hyd. | Max. No | . of F | ull |
| HP-LCB1 | OUTFALL | 0.00 | 94.34 | 0.0 | | | Conduit | Shape | Depth | Area | Rad. | Width Bar | rels F | 'low |
| CB1 | STORAGE | 69.92 91.18 | 2.77 | 0.0 | | | C01 | RECT OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 54926 | 5.48 |
| CBMH01-Dummy | STORAGE | 90.71 | 2.39 | 0.0 | | | C02 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 58604 | 4.17 |
| CBMH1 | STORAGE | 90.70 | 3.25 | 0.0 | | | C04 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 64310 | 1.23 |
| CBMH2_TCD | STORAGE | 91.19 | 2.76 | 0.0 | | | C05 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 64310 | 1.23 |
| CBMH3 | STORAGE | 90.48 | 3.12 | 0.0 | | | C07 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 64310 | 0.23 |
| CBMH3-ICD | STORAGE | 90.48 | 2.14 | 0.0 | | | C08 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 58604 | 4.17 |
| CBMH4 | STORAGE | 90.84 | 3.11 | 0.0 | | | C09 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 69608 | 3.09 |
| CBMH5-Dummy | STORAGE | 90.74 an 72 | 3.11 | 0.0 | | | C11 C12 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 71635 | 5.48 |
| CBMH6 | STORAGE | 90.70 | 3.25 | 0.0 | | | C13 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 66472 | 2.09 |
| CBMH6-Dummy1 | STORAGE | 90.72 | 3.28 | 0.0 | | | C14 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 5492€ | 5.48 |
| CBMH6-Dummy2 | STORAGE | 90.72 | 2.58 | 0.0 | | | C15 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 81183 | 3.07 |
| CBMH7 CBMH8 | STORAGE | 90.89 an 74 | 2.06 | 0.0 | | | C16 C17 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 66472 | 2.09 |
| Dummy-CB1 | STORAGE | 90.76 | 3.24 | 0.0 | | | C18 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 58604 | 1.17 |
| LCB1 | STORAGE | 91.45 | 2.73 | 0.0 | | | C19 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 63205 | j.48 |
| MH1 | STORAGE | 90.01 | 2.74 | 0.0 | | | C20 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 30898 | 3.03 |
| MH3 MH5 | STORAGE | 90.19 | 3.21 2.91 | 0.0 | | | CB1-MH7 CBMH1-Storage | CIRCULAR | 0.20 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.20 | 1 29 | 7.95 |
| | | | | 0.0 | | | | | 2.00 | | | | - 20/ | |



Block 21 – Mattino Way (112021-10) **PCSWMM Model Output** 100yr 3-hour Chicago Storm

OTDOUT ND

ODMILO MILO

| CDM12 MID | CINCOLMIN | 0.50 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.50 | - | 112.00 |
|----------------|-----------|------|------|------|------|---|----------|
| CBMH3-ICD-MH1 | CIRCULAR | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 1 | 69.75 |
| CBMH4-MH7 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 268.96 |
| CBMH5-CBMH1 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 273.25 |
| CBMH5-Storage | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 274.61 |
| CBMH6-Storage1 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 271.91 |
| CBMH6-Storage2 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 271.91 |
| CBMH7-CBMH4 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 271.37 |
| cbmh8-cbmh3 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 277.40 |
| Dummy-CB1-MH7 | CIRCULAR | 0.60 | 0.28 | 0.15 | 0.60 | 1 | 294.41 |
| LCB01-CBMH7 | CIRCULAR | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.06 | 0.25 | 1 | 59.76 |
| LCB1-HP-LCB1 | RECT_OPEN | 1.00 | 3.00 | 0.75 | 3.00 | 1 | 28488.04 |
| MH1-MH122 | CIRCULAR | 0.53 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.53 | 1 | 209.86 |
| MH3-MH1 | CIRCULAR | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 1 | 143.54 |
| MH5-MH3 | CIRCULAR | 0.45 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.45 | 1 | 140.81 |
| MH7-MH3 | CIRCULAR | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 1 | 97.07 |
| MH9-MH5 | CIRCULAR | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.09 | 0.38 | 1 | 85.64 |
| RYCB1-CBMH2 | CIRCULAR | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.30 | 1 | 95.39 |

0 07 0 07 0 20

1 110 66

0 20

****** NOTE: The summary statistics displayed in this report are based on results found at every computational time step, not just on results from each reporting time step.

***** Analysis Options *********** Flow Units LPS Process Models: Rainfall/Runoff YES RDII NO Snowmelt NO Groundwater NO Flow Routing YES Ponding Allowed YES Water Quality NO Infiltration Method HORTON Flow Routing Method DYNWAVE Surcharge Method EXTRAN Starting Date 10/10/2019 00:00:00
 Stating vate
 10/10/2019 00:00:00

 Ending Date
 10/17/2019 00:00:00

 Antecedent Dry Days
 0.0

 Report Time Step
 00:05:00

 Wet Time Step
 00:05:00
Dry Time Step 01:00:00 Routing Time Step 2.00 sec Variable Time Step YES Maximum Trials 8 Number of Threads 4 Head Tolerance 0.001500 m

..... Control Actions Taken

| * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | × | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

| ***** | Volume | Depth |
|----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Runoff Quantity Continuity | hectare-m | mr |
| ******** | | |
| Total Precipitation | 0.071 | 71.667 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Infiltration Loss | 0.012 | 11.916 |
| Surface Runoff | 0.059 | 59.673 |
| Final Storage | 0.001 | 0.776 |
| Continuity Error (%) | -0.974 | |
| ***** | Volume | Volume |
| Flow Routing Continuity | hectare-m | 10^6 lt: |
| ******* | | |
| Dry Weather Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Wet Weather Inflow | 0.059 | 0.591 |
| Groundwater Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| RDII Inflow | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| External Inflow | 0.002 | 0.019 |
| External Outflow | 0.061 | 0.609 |
| Flooding Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| Evaporation Loss | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| | | |



| Exfi Init Fina Cont | ltration ial Store 1 Stored inuity En | Loss ed Volume . Volume rror (%) | | 0.000 0.003 0.003 0.036 | 0.000 0.027 0.027 | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| **** Time **** None | ********* -Step Cri ******* | ************ itical Elen ******** | **** ments **** | | | | | | |
| **** | ******* | ****** | ****** | | | | | | |
| High **** Link | est Flow ********* O-CBMH2 | Instabilit ************* (2) | y Indexes | | | | | | |
| **** Rout | ******** ing Time | *********** Step Summa | ** iry | | | | | | |
| **** Mini | mum Time | ************** Step | :** | 0.26 sec | | | | | |
| Aver Maxi Perc | age Time mum Time ent in St | Step Step teady State | : : : | 2.00 sec 2.00 sec 0.00 | | | | | |
| Aver Perc | age Itera ent Not (| ations per Converging | Step : : | 2.00 0.00 | | | | | |
| **** Subc **** | ********* atchment ******* | *********** Runoff Sum ******** | **** mary **** | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | Total | Total | Total | Total | Imperv | Perv | Total |
| Total | Peak | Runoff | Total Precip | Total Runon | Total Evap | Total Infil | Imperv Runoff | Perv Runoff | Total Runoff |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 | Peak Runoff atchment tr I | Runoff f Coeff LPS | Total Precip mm | Total Runon mm | Total Evap mm | Total Infil mm | Imperv Runoff mm | Perv Runoff mm | Total Runoff mm |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 | Peak Runoff atchment tr I | Runoff f Coeff LPS | Total Precip mm | Total Runon mm | Total Evap mm | Total Infil mm | Imperv Runoff mm | Perv Runoff mm | Total Runoff mm |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 | Peak Runofi atchment tr 1 | Runoff f Coeff LPS | Total Precip mm 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 | Peak Runoff atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 0.3 0.06 | Peak Runoff atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 03 0.06 04 0.08 | Peak Runofj atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.876 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 03 0.06 04 0.08 05 | Peak Runofj atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.858 0.876 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 54.62 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 03 0.06 04 0.08 0.05 0.05 0.05 | Peak Runofi atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 37.62 | Runoff E Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.858 0.876 0.856 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 11.42 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 54.62 52.57 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 7.50 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 60.07 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 03 0.06 04 0.08 0.05 0.65 0.05 06 0.07 07 | Peak Runofi atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 37.62 51.12 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.856 0.856 0.838 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 11.42 12.05 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 54.62 52.57 51.74 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 7.50 7.88 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 60.07 59.62 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 02 0.09 03 0.06 04 0.08 05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.7 0.09 0.8 | Peak Runofi atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 37.62 51.12 69.27 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.856 0.856 0.838 0.832 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 11.42 12.05 13.36 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 54.62 52.57 51.74 49.57 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 7.50 7.88 8.66 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 60.07 59.62 58.23 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 01 0.05 0.09 0.3 0.06 0.4 0.08 05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.7 0.7 0.09 0.8 0.09 0.8 0.09 0.09 | Peak Runofj atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 37.62 51.12 69.27 59.18 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.856 0.838 0.838 0.832 0.813 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 11.42 12.05 13.36 36.26 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 54.62 52.57 51.74 49.57 14.11 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 7.50 7.88 8.66 21.92 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 60.07 59.62 58.23 36.04 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^611 01 0.05 0.05 0.06 0.4 0.08 0.05 0.65 0.65 0.67 0.7 0.09 0.09 0.02 10 | Peak Runofj atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 37.62 51.12 69.27 59.18 15.98 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.856 0.838 0.838 0.832 0.813 0.503 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 11.42 12.05 13.36 36.26 12.67 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 56.87 54.62 52.57 51.74 49.57 14.11 50.29 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 7.50 7.88 8.66 21.92 8.45 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 60.07 59.62 58.23 36.04 58.74 |
| Total Runoff Subc 10^6 1 0.05 0.05 0.02 0.09 0.03 0.06 0.4 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.05 0.07 0.7 0.7 0.7 0.09 0.8 0.09 0.02 10 0.02 | Peak Runofi atchment tr 1 38.40 65.69 42.32 57.04 37.62 51.12 69.27 59.18 15.98 18.61 | Runoff f Coeff LPS 0.899 0.865 0.858 0.856 0.836 0.838 0.832 0.813 0.503 0.820 | Total Precip mm 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 71.67 | Total Runon mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Evap mm 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 | Total Infil mm 6.95 9.51 10.16 8.85 10.16 11.42 12.05 13.36 36.26 12.67 | Imperv Runoff mm 59.73 55.74 54.74 54.62 52.57 51.74 49.57 14.11 50.29 | Perv Runoff mm 4.67 6.23 6.73 5.94 6.73 7.50 7.88 8.66 21.92 8.45 | Total Runoff mm 64.40 61.97 61.47 62.80 61.35 60.07 59.62 58.23 36.04 58.74 |

Node Depth Summary

| Node | Туре | Average Depth Meters | Maximum Depth Meters | Maximum HGL Meters | Time Occu days | of Max irrence hr:min | Reported Max Depth Meters |
|----------|----------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------|
| HP-CBMH1 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.17 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| HP-CBMH2 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.22 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| HP-CBMH4 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.25 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| HP-CBMH5 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.01 | 93.08 | 0 | 01:21 | 0.00 |
| HP-CBMH6 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.25 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| HP-CBMH7 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.20 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| HP-CBMH8 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 92.92 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |
| HP-RYCB1 | JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 93.24 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 |

Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) **PCSWMM Model Output** 100yr 3-hour Chicago Storm

OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL OUTFALL

STORAGE

STORAGE

| NOV | ΛΤΞϹΗ |
|--------------------|-------------------------|
| Engineers, Planner | s & Landscape Architect |

| | No | nodes | were | surcharged. |
|--|----|-------|------|-------------|
|--|----|-------|------|-------------|

| **: | ***** | ***** | ****** |
|------------|---------|-------|----------|
| No(**: | de Floo | oding | Summary |
| No | nodes | were | flooded. |

***** Storage Volume Summary

| CBMH1 | STORAGE | 0.05 | 2.38 | 93.08 | 0 | 01:21 | 2.37 |
|--------------|---------|------|------|-------|---|-------|------|
| CBMH2 | STORAGE | 0.02 | 2.01 | 93.20 | 0 | 01:28 | 2.01 |
| CBMH2-ICD | STORAGE | 0.00 | 0.05 | 91.24 | 0 | 01:28 | 0.05 |
| CBMH3 | STORAGE | 0.24 | 2.37 | 92.85 | 0 | 01:40 | 2.37 |
| CBMH3-ICD | STORAGE | 0.20 | 0.21 | 90.69 | 0 | 01:09 | 0.21 |
| CBMH4 | STORAGE | 0.11 | 2.36 | 93.20 | 0 | 02:12 | 2.36 |
| CBMH5 | STORAGE | 0.05 | 2.34 | 93.08 | 0 | 01:21 | 2.33 |
| CBMH5-Dummy | STORAGE | 0.05 | 2.30 | 93.08 | 0 | 01:20 | 2.29 |
| CBMH6 | STORAGE | 0.05 | 2.53 | 93.23 | 0 | 01:34 | 2.53 |
| CBMH6-Dummy1 | STORAGE | 0.05 | 2.51 | 93.23 | 0 | 01:34 | 2.51 |
| CBMH6-Dummy2 | STORAGE | 0.05 | 2.51 | 93.23 | 0 | 01:34 | 2.51 |
| CBMH7 | STORAGE | 0.10 | 2.31 | 93.20 | 0 | 02:13 | 2.31 |
| CBMH8 | STORAGE | 0.04 | 2.11 | 92.85 | 0 | 01:38 | 2.11 |
| Dummy-CB1 | STORAGE | 0.11 | 2.44 | 93.20 | 0 | 02:12 | 2.44 |
| LCB1 | STORAGE | 0.07 | 1.75 | 93.20 | 0 | 02:11 | 1.75 |
| MH1 | STORAGE | 0.67 | 0.67 | 90.68 | 0 | 01:32 | 0.67 |
| MH3 | STORAGE | 0.49 | 0.50 | 90.69 | 0 | 01:32 | 0.50 |
| MH5 | STORAGE | 0.36 | 0.37 | 90.69 | 0 | 01:32 | 0.37 |
| MH7 | STORAGE | 0.12 | 2.46 | 93.20 | 0 | 02:12 | 2.46 |
| MH7-ICD | STORAGE | 0.00 | 0.05 | 90.79 | 0 | 02:13 | 0.05 |
| MH 9 | STORAGE | 0.16 | 0.18 | 90.70 | 0 | 01:08 | 0.18 |
| RYCB1 | STORAGE | 0.02 | 1.83 | 93.20 | 0 | 01:28 | 1.83 |
| | | | | | | | |

0.00 0.00 0.00 0.76

0.09

0.07

0.00 0.00 0.00 0.76

2.02

2.37

93.20

92.85

0.00

93.20

93.08

0 00:00

0 00:00

0 02:13 0 01:21

0.00

0.00 0.76 2.02

2.36

HP-CB1 HP-CBMH3

HP-LCB1 MH122

CBMH01-Dummy

CB1

Node Inflow Summary

***** Node Surcharge Summary

| Node Type | Maximum Lateral Inflow LPS | Maximum Total Inflow LPS | Time Occu days | of Max rrence hr:min | Lateral Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr | Total Inflow Volume 10^6 ltr | Flow Balance Error Percent | |
|----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| HP-CBMH1 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CBMH2 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CBMH4 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CBMH5 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 18.12 | 0 | 01:21 | 0 | 0.00725 | -0.001 | |
| HP-CBMH6 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CBMH7 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CBMH8 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-RYCB1 JUNCTION | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CB1 OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-CBMH3 OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| HP-LCB1 OUTFALL | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | ltr |
| MH122 OUTFALL | 0.00 | 37.41 | 0 | 01:35 | 0 | 0.628 | 0.000 | |
| CB1 STORAGE | 51.12 | 61.83 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.0661 | 0.0714 | 0.017 | |
| CBMH01-Dummy STORAGE | 0.00 | 12.46 | 0 | 01:07 | 0 | 0.00673 | 0.366 | |
| CBMH1 STORAGE | 57.04 | 58.78 | 0 | 01:09 | 0.0754 | 0.142 | 0.007 | |
| CBMH2 STORAGE | 15.98 | 34.09 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.018 | 0.0417 | -0.059 | |
| CBMH2-ICD STORAGE | 0.00 | 6.02 | 0 | 01:28 | 0 | 0.0417 | 0.108 | |
| CBMH3 STORAGE | 59.18 | 70.65 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.0757 | 0.133 | 0.006 | |
| CBMH3-ICD STORAGE | 0.00 | 9.79 | 0 | 01:40 | 0 | 0.135 | 0.002 | |
| CBMH4 STORAGE | 42.32 | 68.02 | 0 | 01:05 | 0.0553 | 0.142 | -0.016 | |
| CBMH5 STORAGE | 38.40 | 92.08 | 0 | 01:09 | 0.0515 | 0.075 | 0.025 | |
| CBMH5-Dummy STORAGE | 0.00 | 14.88 | 0 | 01:05 | 0 | 0.00817 | 0.059 | |
| CBMH6 STORAGE | 69.27 | 69.41 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.0894 | 0.0975 | 0.035 | |
| CBMH6-Dummy1 STORAGE | 0.00 | 11.43 | 0 | 01:05 | 0 | 0.00404 | 0.008 | |
| CBMH6-Dummy2 STORAGE | 0.00 | 11.43 | 0 | 01:05 | 0 | 0.00404 | 0.008 | |
| CBMH7 STORAGE | 65.69 | 67.63 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.0868 | 0.0911 | -0.012 | |
| CBMH8 STORAGE | 37.62 | 37.62 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.0491 | 0.057 | -0.025 | |
| Dummy-CB1 STORAGE | 0.00 | 59.38 | 0 | 01:06 | 0 | 0.214 | 0.019 | |
| LCB1 STORAGE | 0.00 | 11.25 | 0 | 01:02 | 0 | 0.00431 | 0.048 | |
| MH1 STORAGE | 0.00 | 37.41 | 0 | 01:35 | 0 | 0.629 | 0.000 | |
| MH3 STORAGE | 0.00 | 27.62 | 0 | 01:33 | 0 | 0.489 | -0.000 | |
| MH5 STORAGE | 0.00 | 21.26 | 0 | 01:30 | 0 | 0.27 | -0.001 | |
| MH7 STORAGE | 0.00 | 18.55 | 0 | 01:06 | 0 | 0.208 | 0.002 | |
| MH7-ICD STORAGE | 0.00 | 6.37 | 0 | 02:12 | 0 | 0.208 | 0.000 | |
| MH9 STORAGE | 0.00 | 14.15 | 0 | 01:24 | 0 | 0.168 | -0.001 | |
| RYCB1 STORAGE | 18.61 | 18.61 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.0235 | 0.0235 | -0.033 | |

| | Average | Avg | Evap | Exfil | Maximum | Max | Time | of Max | Maximum |
|--------------|---------|------|------|-------|---------|------|------|---------|---------|
| | Volume | Pcnt | Pcnt | Pcnt | Volume | Pcnt | 0ccu | irrence | Outflow |
| Storage Unit | 1000 m3 | Full | Loss | Loss | 1000 m3 | Full | days | hr:min | LPS |
| CB1 | 0.001 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.039 | 97 | 0 | 02:13 | 40.49 |
| CBMH01-Dummy | 0.000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 99 | 0 | 01:21 | 2.62 |
| CBMH1 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.008 | 30 | 0 | 01:21 | 61.22 |
| CBMH2 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.014 | 77 | 0 | 01:28 | 6.02 |
| CBMH2-ICD | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 3 | 0 | 01:28 | 6.02 |
| CBMH3 | 0.001 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.041 | 99 | 0 | 01:40 | 14.07 |
| CBMH3-ICD | 0.000 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 10 | 0 | 01:09 | 9.79 |
| CBMH4 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.017 | 57 | 0 | 02:12 | 27.62 |
| CBMH5 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 100 | 0 | 01:20 | 18.29 |
| CBMH5-Dummy | 0.000 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 99 | 0 | 01:20 | 5.60 |
| CBMH 6 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.038 | 79 | 0 | 01:34 | 29.52 |
| CBMH6-Dummy1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 77 | 0 | 01:34 | 1.88 |
| CBMH6-Dummy2 | 0.000 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 97 | 0 | 01:34 | 1.88 |
| CBMH7 | 0.001 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0.036 | 92 | 0 | 02:13 | 35.31 |
| CBMH8 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.020 | 52 | 0 | 01:38 | 20.91 |
| Dummy-CB1 | 0.000 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0.002 | 75 | 0 | 02:12 | 18.55 |
| LCB1 | 0.000 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 64 | 0 | 02:11 | 2.41 |
| MH1 | 0.001 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 25 | 0 | 01:32 | 37.41 |
| MH3 | 0.000 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 16 | 0 | 01:32 | 27.62 |
| MH5 | 0.000 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 13 | 0 | 01:32 | 21.26 |
| MH7 | 0.000 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0.003 | 99 | 0 | 02:12 | 6.37 |
| MH7-ICD | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 2 | 0 | 02:13 | 6.37 |
| MH 9 | 0.000 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 7 | 0 | 01:08 | 14.15 |
| RYCB1 | 0.000 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0.001 | 94 | 0 | 01:28 | 18.27 |

***** Outfall Loading Summary

| | Flow | Avg | Max | Total |
|--------------|-------|------|-------|----------|
| | Freq | Flow | Flow | Volume |
| Outfall Node | Pont | LPS | LPS | 10^6 ltr |
| | | | | |
| HP-CB1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| HP-CBMH3 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| HP-LCB1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 |
| MH122 | 83.62 | 1.28 | 37.41 | 0.628 |
| | | | | |
| System | 20.90 | 1.28 | 37.41 | 0.628 |

***** Link Flow Summary

| Link | Туре | Maximum Flow LPS | Time Occu days | of Max irrence hr:min | Maximum Veloc m/sec | Max/ Full Flow | Max/ Full Depth |
|------|---------|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|
| C01 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.10 |
| C02 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| C04 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| C05 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| C06 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.14 |
| C07 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| C08 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 |
| C09 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| C11 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| C12 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 |
| C13 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.11 |
| | | | | | | | |

Block 21 - Mattino Way (112021-10) **PCSWMM Model Output** 100yr 3-hour Chicago Storm

| C14 | CONDUIT | 18.12 | 0 | 01:21 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.12 | |
|----------------|---------|-------|---|-------|------|------|------|--|
| C15 | CONDUIT | 18.15 | 0 | 01:21 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.13 | |
| C16 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.13 | |
| C17 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | |
| C18 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | |
| C19 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.12 | |
| C20 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | |
| CB1-MH7 | CONDUIT | 40.49 | 0 | 01:06 | 1.29 | 1.35 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH1-Storage | CONDUIT | 12.46 | 0 | 01:07 | 0.07 | 0.05 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH2-MH9 | CONDUIT | 6.02 | 0 | 01:28 | 0.83 | 0.05 | 0.16 | |
| CBMH3-ICD-MH1 | CONDUIT | 9.79 | 0 | 01:40 | 0.16 | 0.14 | 0.83 | |
| CBMH4-MH7 | CONDUIT | 27.62 | 0 | 01:07 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH5-CBMH1 | CONDUIT | 51.44 | 0 | 01:08 | 0.18 | 0.19 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH5-Storage | CONDUIT | 14.88 | 0 | 01:05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH6-Storage1 | CONDUIT | 11.43 | 0 | 01:05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH6-Storage2 | CONDUIT | 11.43 | 0 | 01:05 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 1.00 | |
| CBMH7-CBMH4 | CONDUIT | 29.61 | 0 | 01:05 | 0.26 | 0.11 | 1.00 | |
| cbmh8-cbmh3 | CONDUIT | 20.91 | 0 | 01:22 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 1.00 | |
| Dummy-CB1-MH7 | CONDUIT | 18.55 | 0 | 01:06 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 1.00 | |
| LCB01-CBMH7 | CONDUIT | 11.25 | 0 | 01:02 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 1.00 | |
| LCB1-HP-LCB1 | CONDUIT | 0.00 | 0 | 00:00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.01 | |
| MH1-MH122 | CONDUIT | 37.41 | 0 | 01:35 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 1.00 | |
| MH3-MH1 | CONDUIT | 27.62 | 0 | 01:33 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 1.00 | |
| MH5-MH3 | CONDUIT | 21.26 | 0 | 01:30 | 0.14 | 0.15 | 0.90 | |
| MH7-MH3 | CONDUIT | 6.37 | 0 | 02:13 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.59 | |
| MH9-MH5 | CONDUIT | 14.15 | 0 | 01:23 | 0.19 | 0.17 | 0.63 | |
| RYCB1-CBMH2 | CONDUIT | 18.27 | 0 | 01:10 | 0.26 | 0.19 | 1.00 | |
| O-CBMH1 | ORIFICE | 8.14 | 0 | 01:21 | | | 1.00 | |
| O-CBMH2 | ORIFICE | 6.02 | 0 | 01:28 | | | 1.00 | |
| O-CBMH3 | ORIFICE | 9.79 | 0 | 01:40 | | | 1.00 | |
| O-CBMH6 | ORIFICE | 7.11 | 0 | 01:34 | | | 1.00 | |
| O-MH7 | ORIFICE | 6.37 | 0 | 02:12 | | | 1.00 | |

Flow Classification Summary

| | Adjusted | | | Fract | ion of | Time | in Flo | w Clas | s | |
|----------------|----------|------|------|-------|--------|------|--------|--------|------|-------|
| | /Actual | | Up | Down | Sub | Sup | Up | Down | Norm | Inlet |
| Conduit | Length | Dry | Dry | Dry | Crit | Crit | Crit | Crit | Ltd | Ctrl |
| C01 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C02 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C04 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C05 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C06 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C07 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C08 | 1.00 | 0.96 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C09 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C11 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C12 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C13 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C14 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| C15 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.00 |
| C16 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C17 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C18 | 1.00 | 0.98 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C19 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| C20 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CB1-MH7 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CBMH1-Storage | 1.00 | 0.76 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.00 |
| CBMH2-MH9 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CBMH3-ICD-MH1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| CBMH4-MH7 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.92 | 0.00 |
| CBMH5-CBMH1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 |
| CBMH5-Storage | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.96 | 0.00 |
| CBMH6-Storage1 | 1.00 | 0.78 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.00 |
| CBMH6-Storage2 | 1.00 | 0.78 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.97 | 0.00 |
| CBMH7-CBMH4 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| cbmh8-cbmh3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.00 |
| Dummy-CB1-MH7 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.00 |
| LCB01-CBMH7 | 1.00 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.00 |
| LCB1-HP-LCB1 | 1.00 | 0.99 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MH1-MH122 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MH3-MH1 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MH5-MH3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| MH7-MH3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 |
| MH9-MH5 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| RYCB1-CBMH2 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.99 | 0.00 |



0.01

0.01

0.01

| ****** | ****** | ***** |
|---------|-------------|--------|
| Conduit | Surcharge S | ummary |
| ****** | ******** | ***** |

MH3-MH1

MH7-MH3

RYCB1-CBMH2

| Conduit | Both Ends | Hours Full Upstream | Dnstream | Hours Above Full Normal Flow | Hours Capacity Limited |
|----------------|---------------|------------------------|--------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| CB1-MH7 | 8.65 | 8.65 | 8.71 | 0.06 | 0.11 |
| CBMH1-Storage | 3.67 | 3.67 | 3.71 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CBMH4-MH7 | 8.49 | 8.49 | 8.71 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CBMH5-CBMH1 | 3.65 | 3.65 | 3.71 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CBMH5-Storage | 3.61 | 3.61 | 3.65 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CBMH6-Storage1 | 3.30 | 3.30 | 3.31 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CBMH6-Storage2 | 3.30 | 3.30 | 3.31 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| CBMH7-CBMH4 | 8.37 | 8.37 | 8.49 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| cbmh8-cbmh3 | 3.45 | 3.45 | 3.49 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Dummy-CB1-MH7 | 8.71 | 8.71 | 8.78 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| LCB01-CBMH7 | 7.92 | 7.92 | 8.37 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| MH1-MH122 | 168.00 | 168.00 | 168.00 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| | | | | | |

168.00

0.01

1.80

Analysis begun on: Wed Jun 15 15:32:05 2022 Analysis ended on: Wed Jun 15 15:32:10 2022

Total elapsed time: 00:00:05

168.00

0.01

1.80

168.00

167.75

1.99

0.01

0.01

M:\2012\112021\Block 21\DATA\Calculations\Sewer Calcs\SWM\PCSWMM\Model Schematic-Output\ModelOutput_100yr_rev3.docx

APPENDIX C: Drawings

112021-10-GP 112021-10-GR 112021-10-STM 112021-10-ESC

| | WATERMAIN TABLE | | | | | | |
|----------|------------------|---------------------|-------|---------------------|--|--|--|
| Station | F/G ELEVATION | TOP OF WATERMAIN | COVER | DESCRIPTION | | | |
| 1+000.00 | 93.13 | 90.73 | 2.40 | CONNECT TO EXISTING | | | |
| 1+008.93 | 93.17 | 90.77 | 2.40 | 22.5° H. BEND | | | |
| 1+020.15 | 93.12 | 90.72 | 2.40 | HYD3 CONNECTION | | | |
| 1+022.01 | 93.12 | 90.72 | 2.40 | 22.5° H. BEND | | | |
| 1+025.00 | 93.11 | 90.71 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 1+049.26 | 93.29 | 90.89 | 2.40 | VB1 | | | |
| 1+050.00 | 93.30 | 90.90 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 1+051.26 | 93.32 | 90.92 | 2.40 | 200 x 200 TEE | | | |
| 1+054.31 | 93.37 | 90.97 | 2.40 | 11.25° H. BEND | | | |
| 1+075.00 | 93.21 | 90.81 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 1+085.04 | 93.25 | 90.85 | 2.40 | 22.5° H. BEND | | | |
| 1+090.45 | 93.27 | 90.87 | 2.40 | HYD2 CONNECTION | | | |
| 1+095.26 | 93.35 | 90.95 | 2.40 | 200 TO 150 REDUCER | | | |
| 1+100.00 | 93.22 | 90.82 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 1+100.07 | 93.22 | 90.82 | 2.40 | 22.5° H. BEND | | | |
| 1+102.02 | 93.23 | 90.83 | 2.40 | SP5 | | | |
| 1+116.61 | 93.51 | 91.11 | 2.40 | 45° H. BEND | | | |
| 1+117.70 | 93.57 | 91.17 | 2.40 | САР | | | |
| 2+000.00 | 93.32 | 90.92 | 2.40 | 200 x 200 TEE | | | |
| 2+002.00 | 93.31 | 90.91 | 2.40 | VB2 | | | |
| 2+007.85 | 93.28 | 90.88 | 2.40 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+008.59 | 93.28 | 90.30 | 1.66 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+009.44 | 93.27 | 90.30 | 1.65 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+010.18 | 93.27 | 90.87 | 2.40 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+025.00 | 93.59 | 91.19 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 2+025.27 | 93.59 | 91.19 | 2.40 | SP1 CONNECTION | | | |
| 2+027.86 | 93.56 | 91.16 | 2.40 | SP4 CONNECTION | | | |
| 2+042.53 | 93.32 | 90.92 | 2.40 | 45° H. BEND | | | |
| 2+048.26 | 93.41 | 91.01 | 2.40 | 45° H. BEND | | | |
| 2+050.00 | 93.26 | 90.86 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 2+050.31 | 93.25 | 90.85 | 2.40 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+050.74 | 93.25 | 91.07 | 2.18 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+051.71 | 93.25 | 91.07 | 2.18 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+052.14 | 93.25 | 90.85 | 2.40 | 45° V. BEND | | | |
| 2+072.84 | 93.34 | 90.94 | 2.40 | SP2 CONNECTION | | | |
| 2+073.84 | 93.32 | 90.92 | 2.40 | SP3 CONNECTION | | | |
| 2+075.00 | 93.30 | 90.90 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 2+096.23 | 92.93 | 90.53 | 2.40 | 45° H. BEND | | | |
| 2+099.23 | 92.91 | 90.51 | 2.40 | 45° H. BEND | | | |
| 2+100.00 | 92.89 | 90.49 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 2+101.23 | 92.88 | 90.48 | 2.40 | HYD1 CONNECTION | | | |
| 2+125.00 | 92.77 | 90.37 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 2+150.00 | 92.82 | 90.42 | 2.40 | - | | | |
| 21452.69 | 02.90 | 00.40 | 2.40 | | | | |

| | | TRANSITWAY | | | |
|----------------|------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|----------------------|
| | | ICD TA | BLE | | |
| TRUCTURE ID | T/G ELEVATION | INVERT | I.C.D. | HEAD (m) | RELEASE RATE (L/s |
| 7 | 93.23 | NE=90.74 SE=90.74 | Tempest LMF Vortex 69 | 2.46 | 6.4 |
| CBMH1 | 92.95 | NW=90.70 E=90.70 SW=90.70 | Tempest LMF Vortex 78 | 2.38 | 8.1 |
| CBMH2 | 92.95 | N=91.19 SW=91.19 | Tempest LMF Vortex 70 | 2.02 | 6.0 |
| CBMH3 | 92.60 | SW=90.48 NE=90.68 | Tempest LMF Vortex 86 | 2.37 | 9.8 |
| CBMH6 | 92.95 | SE=90.70 SW=90.70 NE=90.70 | Tempest LMF Vortex 72 | 2.53 | 7.1 |
| | | | | | |

| SEWER CROSSING TABLE | | | | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|-----------|--|--|--|
| LOCATION | ELEVATIONS | CLEARANCE | | | |
| C1 | STM INV=91.37 WM OBV=90.87 | 0.50m | | | |
| C2 | STM INV=90.80 WM OBV=90.30 | 0.50m | | | |
| C3 | WM INV=91.30 STM OBV=91.00 | 0.30m | | | |
| C4 | WM INV=90.65 SAN OBV=89.97 | 0.68m | | | |
| C5 | STM INV=90.40 SAN OBV=89.45 | 0.95m | | | |
| C6 | STM INV=90.52 SAN OBV=90.32 | 0.20m | | | |
| C7 | STM INV=90.71 WM OBV=90.21 | 0.50m | | | |
| | | | | | |

| SANITARY MANHOLE TABLE | | | | | | |
|------------------------|----------|-----------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| MANHOLE ID | SIZE(mm) | T/G ELEV (m) | INVERT (m) | PIPE DIAMETER (mm) | | |
| 2 | 1200Ø | 92.76 | NW=89.24 SW=89.18 | NW=200 SW=200 | | |
| 4 | 1200Ø | 93.23 | NE=89.58 SE=89.52 | NE=200 SE=200 | | |
| 6 | 1200Ø | 93.19 | N=90.08 SW=90.05 | N=200 SW=200 | | |
| 8 | 1200Ø | 92.81 | NE=89.02 SW=89.01 | NE=200 SW=200 | | |
| 119 | 1200Ø | 92.87 | E=88.90 NW=88.97 NE=88.96 | E=200 NW=200 NE=200 | | |

| CATCHBASIN/LCB TABLE | | | | | |
|----------------------|---------------|----------------|--------|--|--|
| CB ID | T/G ELEVATION | INVERT | I.C.D. | | |
| CB1 | 92.95 | 91.18 | - | | |
| LCB1 | 93.18 | 91.45 | - | | |
| LCB2 | 93.41 | 92.01 | - | | |
| RYCB1 | 93.17 | 91.37 91.77 | - | | |
| | | | | | |

| STORM MANHOLE TABLE | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----------|-----------------|--|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| MANHOLE ID | SIZE(mm) | T/G ELEV (m) | INVERT (m) | PIPE DIAMETER (mm) | I.C.D. | |
| 1 | 1500Ø | 92.75 | NW=90.08 SW=90.01 NE=90.39 | NW=450 SW=525 NE=300 | - | |
| 3 | 1200Ø | 93.42 | NE=90.25 SE=90.19 NW=90.34 | NE=450 SE=450 NW=300 | - | |
| 5 | 1200Ø | 93.24 | NE=90.40 SW=90.32 | NE=375 SW=450 | - | |
| 7 | 1200Ø | 93.23 | NE=90.74 SE=90.74 | NE=600 SE=300 | Tempest LMF Vortex 69 | |
| 9 | 1200Ø | 93.23 | SW=90.52 N=90.75 NE=91.00 | SW=375 N=150 NE=300 | - | |
| 122 | 1800Ø | 92.85 | E=89.77 NE=89.92 NW=89.92 | E=675 NE=525 NW=525 | Concentric Cylindrical Structure SI | |
| CBMH1 | 1500Ø | 92.95 | NW=90.70 E=90.70 SW=90.70 | NW=200 E=600 SW=600 | Tempest LMF Vortex 78 | |
| CBMH2 | 1200Ø | 92.95 | N=91.19 SW=91.19 | N=300 SW=300 | Tempest LMF Vortex 70 | |
| СВМНЗ | 1200Ø | 92.60 | SW=90.48 NE=90.68 | SW=300 NE=600 | Tempest LMF Vortex 86 | |
| CBMH4 | 1500Ø | 92.95 | SW=90.84 NE=90.84 | SW=600 NE=600 | - | |
| СВМН5 | 1500Ø | 92.85 | W=90.74 SE=90.74 | W=600 SE=600 | - | |
| CBMH6 | 1200Ø | 92.95 | SE=90.70 SW=90.70 NE=90.70 | SE=200 SW=600 NE=600 | Tempest LMF Vortex 72 | |
| CBMH7 | 1200Ø | 92.95 | SW=90.89 NW=91.24 | SW=600 NW=250 | - | |
| CBMH8 | 1200Ø | 92.60 | SW=90.74 | SW=600 | - | |

NOTE:

THE POSITION OF ALL POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER UNDERGROUND AND OVERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK, DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.



Telephone: (613) 440-3767

| | | | | | 0 0 0 |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| | | | | | |
| | | | | (x -x- x -x- x- | |
| | | | | | - |
| | | | <u>x -x-x-x-x</u> | | |
| -EASE E (L/s) | | | | Ē | |
| 6.4 | | | <u>*</u> | | |
| 8.1 6.0 | | | <u> x x x </u> x | | |
| 9.8 | | | x-x-x | 1.41 | SP5 Filoun |
| 7.1 | | ji T | HYD2 T/F=93.49 | | 10 <u>5</u> 1021 |
| | | <u> </u> | | Soominu VIII | Res la |
| | | | 4 | | |
| | | -x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x-x | | - | OR PIN |
| | | | I WM QU | 0 (\$ \$ | |
| | THWAY | <u>x-x-x-x</u> | 200mr | | |
| | PHALT P | | | .20% | |
| | EX. AS | | | AGE PIPE NØ STM @ (| |
| | | | | STOR. 0.4m-600mm | |
| | <u>x</u> | 2+000 | VB2 | 20 | ↓ ォ - - |
| | | 1+050 80 VB1 | | <u>[</u> 2]-/ | |
| | X X X X X | | | (| |
| | | | | Ð | |
| | × | | | | + |
| | | | | СВ1 | |
| | x | | | | |
| | <u></u> | HY | D3 | | |
| | x-x-x-x- | \ ^{T/F} | =92.79 | | |
| | x x x | | | | |
| | x x x x. | | WM Ø | | |
| 74 | | mmØ % | ⁰⁰ 200mm | | |
| PATHW/ | X | 14.9m - 250 STM @ 0.45 | <u>1+0</u> | RE | |
| ASPHALT | | ĘX | 200mt. | NE | W W3 CHAN |
| EX. , | | 5 | <u></u> | | |
| | | | | pc (| 20 • |
| | 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 | | | | |
| | | | | // | // |



| | ORT NELL NESSET | | SITE CONGRETION OF CONGRETION OF |
|---------------|---|----------------------------|--|
| NORTH | KEY PLAN | 47 (P/ | JRK |
| <u>LEGEND</u> | | HYD | |
| 4 | SANITARY MANHOLE, SEWER & DIRECTION OF FLOW | T/F=100.00 - Ò── | HYDRANT C/W VAL TF= TOP OF FLANG |
| | STORM MANHOLE, SEWER & DIRECTION OF FLOW | A | THRUST BLOCK ANI |
| <u>300mmØ</u> | WATERMAIN AND DIAMETER | 8 | WATER METER |
| 8 | VALVE & VALVE BOX | W | REMOTE WATER ME |
| ۲ | GATE VALVE CHAMBER PER W3 | LCB30 © | LANDSCAPE TYPE C |
| 95 | ROAD CATCHBASIN | RYCB1 | REAR YARD CATCH |
| | SITE LEGAL BOUNDARY | CBMH1 O | CATCH BASIN MANH |
| | EXISTING PROPERTY & ROW LINES | <u> </u> | STORAGE CHAMBE |
| | | | TENDODADY CONS |

1. DIMENSIONS AND LAYOUT INFORMATION SHALL BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION. 2. THE ORIGINAL TOPOGRAPHY AND GROUND ELEVATIONS, SERVICING AND SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE

- 3. CO-ORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND CONTRACTORS.
- 4. BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION, PROVIDE PROOF OF COMPREHENSIVE ALL RISK AND OPERATIONAL LIABILITY INSURANCE INCLUDING BLASTING. INSURANCE POLICY TO NAME THE OWNER, ENGINEER AND THE CITY AS CO-INSURED.
- 5. CONNECT TO EXISTING SYSTEMS AS DETAILED, INCLUDING ALL RESTORATION WORK NECESSARY TO REINSTATE SURFACES TO
- 6. DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NOT SHOWN ON THESE
- 7. OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. 8. RESTORE ALL TRENCHES AND SURFACE FEATURES TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF
- 9. REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY THE ENGINEER. EXCAVATE AND REMOVE FROM SITE ALL ORGANIC MATERIAL AND DEBRIS UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY THE ENGINEER.
- 11. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION PROJECT: PG2306-1 (JANUARY 31, 2013), PREPARED BY PATERSON GROUP FOR SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 12. PERFORATED PIPE SUB-DRAINS TO BE PROVIDED AT SUBGRADE LEVEL EXTENDING FROM THE ROADSIDE CATCHBASIN FOR A DISTANCE OF 3.0m, PARALLEL TO THE CURB IN TWO DIRECTIONS.

| 1. | SPECIFICATIONS: | | |
|----|--|-----------------------|--|
| | ITEM | SPEC. No. | REFERENCE |
| | CATCHBASIN (600x600mm) | 705.010 | OPSD |
| | STORM / SANITARY MANHOLE (1200Ø) | 701.010 | OPSD |
| | ROADSIDE CB, FRAME & COVER | S2 & S19 | CITY of OTTAWA |
| | STORM / SANITARY MH FRAME & COVER | S24.1 / S24 & S25 | CITY of OTTAWA |
| | STORM SEWER | PVC DR 35 OR CONC. | (CLASS SPECIFIED ON PROFILE DRAWINGS) |
| | SANITARY SEWER | PVC DR 35 | |
| | CATCHBASIN LEAD | PVC DR 35 | |
| | | | |
| 2. | INSULATE ALL PIPES (SAN/STM) THAT HAVE L | ESS THAN 1.5m COVER V | VITH 50mmX1200mm HI-40 INSULATION. PROVIDE 150mm |

- CLEARANCE BETWEEN PIPE AND INSULATION
- 3. SERVICES ARE TO BE CONSTRUCTED TO 1.0m FROM BUILDING FACE AT MINIMUM SLOPE OF 1.0% (2.0% IS PREFERRED).
- 4. PIPE BEDDING, COVER AND BACKFILL ARE TO BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95% OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY. THE USE OF CLEAR CRUSHED STONE AS A BEDDING LAYER SHALL NOT BE PERMITTED.
- 5. SEWER SERVICE CONNECTIONS PER CITY OF OTTAWA DETAILS S11 AND S11.1.
- 6. BACKWATER VALVES ARE TO BE INSTALLED ON SERVICES AS PER CITY STANDARDS (S14, S14.1, S14.2). 7. THE SITE SERVICING CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM FIELD TESTS FOR QUALITY CONTROL OF ALL SANITARY SEWERS. LEAKAGE TESTING SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS 410.07.16 AND 407.07.24. DYE TESTING IS TO BE COMPLETED ON ALL SANITARY SERVICES TO CONFIRM PROPER CONNECTION TO THE SANITARY SEWER MAIN. THE FIELD
- 8. STORM MANHOLES AND CBMHS SHALL HAVE 300mm SUMPS UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED 9. CONTRACTOR TO TELEVISE (CCTV) ALL PROPOSED SEWERS, 200mmØ OR GREATER PRIOR TO CONNECTING THE PROPOSED
- SEWERS. UPON COMPLETION OF CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE TO FLUSH AND CLEAN ALL SEWERS & 10. ALL CATCH BASIN LEADS SHALL BE 200mmØ @ 1.0% (MIN.) UNLESS SHOWN OTHERWISE.
- 11. ALL CATCH BASINS SHALL HAVE 600mm SUMPS UNLESS INDICATED OTHERWISE.

| ۱. | GENERAL: | | |
|----|---|-------------|----------------|
| | ITEM | DETAIL. No. | REFERENCE |
| | WATERMAIN TRENCHING | W17 | CITY OF OTTAWA |
| | THERMAL INSULATION IN SHALLOW TRENCHES | W22 / W23 | CITY OF OTTAWA |
| | WATERMAIN CROSSING BELOW SEWER / OVER SEWER | W25 / W25.2 | CITY OF OTTAWA |
| | THRUST BLOCK | W25.3 | CITY OF OTTAWA |
| | | | |

- 2. THE WATERMAIN SHALL BE PVC DR 18 IN ACCORDANCE WITH MATERIAL SPECIFICATION MW-18.1, UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED, COMPLETE WITH TRACING WIRE AND CATHODIC PROTECTION.
- 3. SUPPLY AND CONSTRUCT ALL WATERMAINS AND APPURTENANCES IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS. EXCAVATION, INSTALLATION, BACKFILL AND RESTORATION OF ALL WATERMAINS BY THE CONTRACTOR. CONNECTIONS AND SHUT-OFFS AT THE MAIN AND CHLORINATION OF THE WATER SYSTEM SHALL BE PERFORMED BY CITY
- 4. WATERMAIN SHALL BE MINIMUM 2.4m DEPTH BELOW GRADE UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 5. PROVIDE MINIMUM 0.30m CLEARANCE BETWEEN OUTSIDE OF PIPES AT ALL CROSSINGS.
- 6. HORIZONTAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN WATERMAIN AND SEWERS IS 2.5m (MIN.).
- 7. CONNECTION TO EXISTING WATERMAIN BY CITY FORCES. CIVIL CONTRACTOR TO EXCAVATE TRENCH, PLACE BEDDING, BACKFILL AND REINSTATE SURFACE TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER.
- 9. THERMAL INSULATION FOR WATERMAINS IN SHALLOW TRENCHES PER W22

- 12. WATERMAIN CROSSING OVER SEWER PER W25.2

CITY OF OTTAWA LONGFIELDS CENTRAL - BLOCK 21 605 VIA MATTINO WAY

GENERAL PLAN OF SERVICES



#18092



| | REL NESETT OF AUGULAR AND AUGULAR AND AUGULAR AND AUGULAR AND AUGULAR |
|-------|---|
| IORTH | KEY PLAN |
| EGEND | |

| <u>2.6%</u> × <u>72.55</u> × <u>72.45</u> | PROPOSED SLOPE AND DIRECTION PROPOSED ELEVATION EXISTING ELEVATION | HYD1- O- TF=102.84 VB1 ⊗ | PROPOSED HYDRANT AND TOP OF FLANGE ELEVATION PROPOSED VALVE & VALVE |
|---|--|--|---|
| ×103.41 | PROPOSED ELEVATION | SP 🛛 | PROPOSED SERVICE POST |
| ×103.41 EP | PROPOSED ELEVATION AT EDGE OF PAVEMENT | | PROPOSED SANITARY MANI |
| ×103.41 HP | PROPOSED ELEVATION AT HIGH POINT | | PROPOSED STORM MANHO |
| ×103.41 TC | PROPOSED ELEVATION AT TOP OF CURB | 95 | PROPOSED CATCHBASIN |
| FF= | FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION | | MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW D |
| TF= | TOP OF FOUNDATION | | 100 yr PONDING AREA |
| USF= | UNDERSIDE OF FOOTING | | 100 yr + 20% PONDING AREA |
| | - DRAINAGE SPLIT | | MAX. STATIC PONDING ARE |
| - - | - TERRACING AND BREAKLINE (MAX 3:1 TERRACE SLOPE) | — x — x — | TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION |

GENERAL NOTES:

- 1. DIMENSIONS AND LAYOUT INFORMATION SHALL BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION 2. THE ORIGINAL TOPOGRAPHY AND GROUND ELEVATIONS, SERVICING AND SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN ON T SUPPLIED FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO ACCURACY OF ALL INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THIS PLAN.
- 3. CO-ORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND CONTRACTORS.
- 4. BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION, PROVIDE PROOF OF COMPREHENSIVE ALL RISK AND OPERATIONAL I INCLUDING BLASTING. INSURANCE POLICY TO NAME THE OWNER, ENGINEER AND THE CITY AS CO-INSURED. INSURANCE TO BE SPECIFIED BY OWNER'S AGENT.
- $^\prime$ 5. CONNECT TO EXISTING SYSTEMS AS DETAILED, INCLUDING ALL RESTORATION WORK NECESSARY TO REINST/ EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER. 6. DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CO
- CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NOT DRAWINGS.
- 7. OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. sigma 8. RESTORE ALL TRENCHES AND SURFACE FEATURES TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER AND TO THE SATISI
- ASPHALT RESTORATION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL R-10. THICKNESS OF GRANULAR MATERIAL AND ASPHALT LAYERS TO MATCH EXISTING. BOULEVARDS SHALL BE REINSTATED WITH 100mm OF TOPSOIL AND SOD.
- 9. REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY ENGINEER.
- 10. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND UTILIZE METRIC UNITS.
- THE LEGAL BOUNDARY COMES FROM REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1527 SITE BENCH MARK - SPIKE AND WASHER IN ASPHALT ON MOUNT SHANNON DRIVE - N45016560.63 E36424 SITE BENCH MARK IS BASED ON VRS CAN-NET NETWORK - GEODETIC ELEVATIONS
- 12. CONCRETE SIDEWALK TO BE CONSTRUCTED AS PER CITY STANDARD.
- 13. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT (PG2306-1, DATED JANUARY 31, 2013), PREPARED BY PATERSON GROUP F CONDITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 14. PERFORATED PIPE SUB-DRAINS TO BE PROVIDED ALONG THE ROADWAY WITH INVERTS 300mm BELOW SUBG

GRADING AND PAVEMENT NOTES:

- ALL TOPSOIL, ORGANIC OR DELETERIOUS MATERIAL MUST BE ENTIRELY REMOVED FROM BENEATH THE PRO SURFACE (ie. PAVEMENT, CURB, SIDEWALK, ETC.) AREAS AS DIRECTED BY THE SITE ENGINEER OR GEOTECH EXPOSED SUBGRADES IN PROPOSED PAVED AREAS SHOULD BE HEAVILY PROOF ROLLED WITH A LARGE (1) STEEL DRUM ROLLER UNDER DRY CONDITIONS AND INSPECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR T
- GRANULARS. ANY SOFT AREAS EVIDENT FROM THE PROOF ROLLING SHOULD BE SUB-EXCAVATED AND REPLACED WITH S THAT IS FROST COMPATIBLE WITH THE EXISTING SOILS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINE THE GRANULAR BASE SHOULD BE PLACED IN MAXIMUM 300mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 98% OF
- PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY VALUE. ANY ADDITIONAL GRANULAR FILL USED BELOW THE PROPOSED F PLACED IN MAXIMUM 300mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95% OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIMU
- 5. ASPHALTIC CONCRETE TO BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 97% OF MARSHALL DENSITY.
- 6. ALL ROADWAYS TO HAVE 3% CROSSFALL INCLUDING SUBGRADE AND GRANULAR BASE. ROADWAY SUBGRADE TO BE INSPECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTIO
- WOVEN GEOTEXTILE IS REQUIRED BELOW THE GRANULAR MATERIALS; AND TO CONFIRM THE DEPTH AND C GRANULAR 'B' 8. PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF TOPLIFT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADJUST ALL STRUCTURES TO FINAL GRADE F
- 9. MINIMUM OF 2% GRADE FOR ALL GRASS AREAS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 10. MAXIMUM TERRACING GRADE TO BE 3:1 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 11. ALL GRADES BY CURBS ARE EDGE OF PAVEMENT GRADES UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 12. CURBS SHALL BE BARRIER CURB AS SPECIFIED AND CONSTRUCTED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD (SC1.
- 13. REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR PLANTING AND OTHER LANDSCAPE FEATURE DETAILS. 14. TOPOGRAPHY IS DERIVED FROM NCC 1:2000 MAPPING AND SUPPLEMENTED WITH FIELD SURVEY BY NOVATI

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE:

(613) 254-9643

(613) 254-5867

www.novatech-eng.com

ASPHALT SP-12.5 50mm ASPHALT SP-19.0 GRAN "A" GRAN "B" TYPE II TOTAL DEPTH



GRADING PLAN

| | ٦ |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| VG CRF | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| لم | |
| 5 | |
| \square | |
| $ \Lambda $ | |
| | |
| I | |
| BOX LOCATION | |
| | |
| -E | |
| | |
| RECTION | |
| | |
| | |
| 4 | |
| DN FENCING | |
| | |
| | |
| ION. | |
| | |
| | |
| LIABILITY INSURANCE | |
| AMOUNT OF | |
| TATE SURFACES TO | |
| | |
| OT SHOWN ON THESE | |
| | |
| SFACTION OF CITY OF | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 246.28 ELEV.=92.28m | |
| | |
| FOR SUBSURFACE | |
| GRADE PER CITY OF | |
| | |
| | |
| ROPOSED HARD CHNICAL ENGINEER. | |
| TO THE PLACEMENT OF | |
| SUITABLE MATERIAL EER. | |
| OF THE STANDARD PAVEMENT SHOULD BE | |
| VIUIVI DENSITY | |
| | |
| ON TO REVIEW IF A COMPACTION OF | |
| PER CITY OF OTTAWA | |
| | |
| | |
| 1.2). | |
| ТЕСН | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| PROJECT No. | |
| 112021-10 REV | |
| REV # 6 | |
| DRAWING NO. 112021-10-GR | 1 |
| PLANB1. DWG - 1000mmx707m #18092 | m |

6 19-01 l C D07

| | RIDOR | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|
| | RAILWAY CORR | | | | TRANSITWAY | |
| 100 YEAR PONDING ELEVATION | 100 YEAR PONDING DEPTH (m) | 100 YEAR +20% PONDING ELEVATION 93.21 | 100 YEAR + 20% PONDING DEPTH (m) 0.26 | MAX STATIC PONDING ELEVATION 93.20 | MAX STATIC PONDING DEPTH (m) 0.25 | |

WATERMAINS, SEWERS AND OTHER UNDERGROUND AND OVERGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK, DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES AND ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.



Ottawa, Ontario K2J 6B7 Telephone: (613) 440-3767



| | _ |
|----|----|
| C | ⊃ |
| Ċ | ħ |
| - | // |
| 7 | |
| C | 5 |
| | ĩ |
| C | Ď |
| _ | _ |
| 1 | |
| _ | ١. |
| C | N |
| 7 | _ |
| • | I. |
| Þ | _ |
| ١. | _ |
| С | ⊃ |
| 2 | 5 |
| L | 1 |

112021-10 REV # 6 112021-10-STM #18092

APPENDIX D: Geotechnical Memorandums

Geotechnical Review – Block 21 Existing Soils Information (Nov. 12/19) Geotechnical Review – Block 21 Existing Information (Nov. 23/20)

patersongroup

consulting engineers

re: Geotechnical Review - Block 21 Existing Soils Information Proposed Residential Development Block 21 - 591 Via Mattino Way - Ottawa to: Mattino Homes - Mr. Pino Mattino - mattino.ca@gmail.com date: November 12, 2019

file: PG2306-MEMO.08

The present memorandum has been prepared to provide a geotechnical review of the existing soils information located within the area of Block 21 within the aforementioned site. The present report should be read in conjunction with Paterson Report PG2306-1 dated January 13, 2013. Our response is summarized below:

Review of Existing Soils Information - Block 21

Paterson has reviewed the above noted geotechnical report with respect to the location of Block 21 within the development. Based on our review, the proposed development at Block 21 is partially within the area of study. A consistent subsurface profile was noted across the area of study and it is anticipated that a similar subsurface profile will be encountered within Block 21.

Therefore, the above noted geotechnical report is applicable for the proposed developments to be located within Block 21. A typical materials testing and inspection program for residential developments is required to be carried out during construction to confirm the geotechnical recommendations in the above noted geotechnical report, including the provided bearing capacities.

We trust that this information satisfies your immediate requirements.

Paterson Group Inc.

Colin Belcourt, P.Eng.

Head Office and Laboratory 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

David J. Gilbert, P.Eng.

Northern Office and Laboratory 63 Gibson Street North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4 Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334 **St. Lawrence Office** 993 Princess Street Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3 Tel: (613) 542-7381

patersongroup

consulting engineers

re: **Geotechnical Review - Block 21 Existing Information Proposed Residential Development** Block 21 - 591 Via Mattino Way - Ottawa

- Mattino Homes Mr. Pino Mattino mattino.ca@gmail.com to:
- date: November 23, 2020

file: PG2306-MEMO.09

Further to your request, Paterson Group (Paterson) prepared a response for the geotechnical review comments received from the City of Ottawa based on the memo issued on November 12, 2019 (PG2306-MEMO.06) for the proposed residential development of Block 21 within the aforementioned site.

Review of Existing Geotechnical Information - Block 21

Paterson reviewed the available geotechnical information for Block 21. The subject site has a consistent subsoil profile which is suitable for the proposed residential development. The available geotechnical information for Block 21 is in general conformance with City of Ottawa Geotechnical Investigation Guidelines. It's expected that during the construction phase, each foundation will be subjected to a geotechnical field inspection to confirm geotechnical conditions and design parameters.

Grading Plan Review

A grading plan prepared by Novatech Engineering (Drawing 112021-10-GR Revision 3 dated July 2, 2020 was reviewed and approved. Memo enclosed.

Northern Office and Laboratory

North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4

63 Gibson Street

We trust that this information satisfies your requirements.

Best Regards,

Paterson Group Inc.

Carlos P. Da Silva, P.Eng., ing., QP_{ESA}

Paterson Group Inc.

Head Office and Laboratory 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344

St. Lawrence Office Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334

993 Princess Street Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3 Tel: (613) 542-7381

patersongroup

memorandum

consulting engineers

| re: | Grading Plan Review - Block 21 | | | |
|-------|---|--|--|--|
| | Proposed Residential Development | | | |
| | Block 21 - 591 Via Mattino Way - Ottawa | | | |
| to: | Mattino Homes - Mr. Pino Mattino - mattino.ca@gmail.com | | | |
| date: | November 23, 2020 | | | |
| file: | PG2306-MEMO.10 | | | |

Further to your request, Paterson Group (Paterson) reviewed the following grading plan for the proposed residential complex with one basement level parking at the aforementioned site.

Grading Plan Review

A grading plan prepared by Novatech Engineering (Drawing 112021-10-GR Revision 3 dated July 2, 2020 was reviewed for Block 21.

Paterson Review

Based on the stiff to very stiff nature of the upper silty clay deposit and the underlying firm clay, the final grading being proposed for the subject development is within the acceptable permissible grade raise of 1.2 m and considered satisfactory from a geotechnical perspective.

We trust that this information satisfies your requirements.

Best Regards,

Paterson Group Inc.

Carlos P. Da Silva, P.Eng., ing., QP_{ESA}

Paterson Group Inc.

Head Office and Laboratory 154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa - Ontario - K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381 Fax: (613) 226-6344 Northern Office and Laboratory 63 Gibson Street North Bay - Ontario - P1B 8Z4 Tel: (705) 472-5331 Fax: (705) 472-2334 **St. Lawrence Office** 993 Princess Street Kingston - Ontario - K7L 1H3 Tel: (613) 542-7381

| ROADWAY | PONDING |
|---------|---------|
| | |

| 100 YEAR PONDING DEPTH (m) | 100 YEAR +20% PONDING ELEVATION | 100 YEAR + 20% PONDING DEPTH (m) | MAX STATIC PONDING ELEVATION | MAX STATIC PONDING DEPTH (m) |
|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 0.12 | 93.11 | 0.16 | 93.10 | 0.15 |
| 0.12 | 93.14 | 0.19 | 93.25 | 0.30 |
| 0.12 | 93.15 | 0.20 | 93.20 | 0.25 |
| 0.18 | 93.08 | 0.18 | 93.07 | 0.17 |
| 0.23 | 92.93 | 0.31 | 92.92 | 0.30 |
| 0.21 | 92.86 | 0.24 | 92.85 | 0.23 |
| 0.13 | 93.12 | 0.17 | 93.17 | 0.22 |
| 0.26 | 93.25 | 0.30 | 93.25 | 0.30 |
| 0.26 | 93.23 | 0.28 | 93.22 | 0.27 |
| • | | | . 4 | |

| | NELL MESBITT RE PARK NELL MESB |
|--------------|--|
| <u>IORTH</u> | KEY PLAN × |
| | |

<u>LEGEND</u>

| 2.6% | PROPOSED SLOPE AND DIRECTION | HYD1 -〇- TF=102.84 | PROPOSED HYDRANT AN TOP OF FLANGE ELEVATION |
|------------------|--|------------------------------|--|
| × 72.55 72.45 | PROPOSED ELEVATION EXISTING ELEVATION | VB1 🛛 | PROPOSED VALVE & VALV |
| ×103.41 | PROPOSED ELEVATION | SP 🛛 | PROPOSED SERVICE POS |
| ×103.41 EP | PROPOSED ELEVATION AT EDGE OF PAVEMENT | | PROPOSED SANITARY MA |
| ×103.41 HP | PROPOSED ELEVATION AT HIGH POINT | | PROPOSED STORM MANH |
| ×103.41 TC | PROPOSED ELEVATION AT TOP OF CURB | | PROPOSED CATCHBASIN |
| FF= | FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION | | MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW |
| TF= | TOP OF FOUNDATION | | 100 yr PONDING AREA |
| USF= | UNDERSIDE OF FOOTING | | 100 yr + 20% PONDING AR |
| | - DRAINAGE SPLIT | | MAX. STATIC PONDING AF |
| - Ψ - | TERRACING AND BREAKLINE (MAX 3:1 TERRACE SLOPE) | x x x x | TEMPORARY CONSTRUC |
| | | | |

GENERAL NOTES:

/ •

- 1. DIMENSIONS AND LAYOUT INFORMATION SHALL BE CONFIRMED PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION 2. THE ORIGINAL TOPOGRAPHY AND GROUND ELEVATIONS, SERVICING AND SURVEY INFORMATION SHOWN ON T SUPPLIED FOR INFORMATION PURPOSES ONLY. IT SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO ACCURACY OF ALL INFORMATION OBTAINED FROM THIS PLAN.
- 3. CO-ORDINATE AND SCHEDULE ALL WORK WITH OTHER TRADES AND CONTRACTORS.
- 4. BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION, PROVIDE PROOF OF COMPREHENSIVE ALL RISK AND OPERATIONAL I INCLUDING BLASTING. INSURANCE POLICY TO NAME THE OWNER, ENGINEER AND THE CITY AS CO-INSURED. INSURANCE TO BE SPECIFIED BY OWNER'S AGENT.
- $^\prime$ 5. CONNECT TO EXISTING SYSTEMS AS DETAILED, INCLUDING ALL RESTORATION WORK NECESSARY TO REINST/ EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER. 6. DETERMINE THE EXACT LOCATION, SIZE, MATERIAL AND ELEVATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES PRIOR TO CO
- CONSTRUCTION. PROTECT AND ASSUME ALL RESPONSIBILITY FOR ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WHETHER OR NO DRAWINGS.
- 7. OBTAIN AND PAY FOR ALL NECESSARY PERMITS AND APPROVALS BEFORE COMMENCING CONSTRUCTION. sigma 8. RESTORE ALL TRENCHES AND SURFACE FEATURES TO EXISTING CONDITIONS OR BETTER AND TO THE SATISI
- OTTAWA AUTHORITIES. ASPHALT RESTORATION SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA DETAIL R-10. • THICKNESS OF GRANULAR MATERIAL AND ASPHALT LAYERS TO MATCH EXISTING. •
- BOULEVARDS SHALL BE REINSTATED WITH 100mm OF TOPSOIL AND SOD. 9. REMOVE FROM SITE ALL EXCESS EXCAVATED MATERIAL UNLESS OTHERWISE INSTRUCTED BY ENGINEER.
- 10. ALL ELEVATIONS ARE GEODETIC AND UTILIZE METRIC UNITS.
- THE LEGAL BOUNDARY COMES FROM REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1527 SITE BENCH MARK - SPIKE AND WASHER IN ASPHALT ON MOUNT SHANNON DRIVE - N45016560.63 E36424 SITE BENCH MARK IS BASED ON VRS CAN-NET NETWORK - GEODETIC ELEVATIONS
- 12. CONCRETE SIDEWALK TO BE CONSTRUCTED AS PER CITY STANDARD.
- 13. REFER TO GEOTECHNICAL REPORT (PG2306-1, DATED JANUARY 31, 2013), PREPARED BY PATERSON GROUP F CONDITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION RECOMMENDATIONS.
- 14. PERFORATED PIPE SUB-DRAINS TO BE PROVIDED ALONG THE ROADWAY WITH INVERTS 300mm BELOW SUBG

GRADING AND PAVEMENT NOTES:

OTTAWA DETAIL R1.

- ALL TOPSOIL, ORGANIC OR DELETERIOUS MATERIAL MUST BE ENTIRELY REMOVED FROM BENEATH THE PRO SURFACE (ie. PAVEMENT, CURB, SIDEWALK, ETC.) AREAS AS DIRECTED BY THE SITE ENGINEER OR GEOTECH EXPOSED SUBGRADES IN PROPOSED PAVED AREAS SHOULD BE HEAVILY PROOF ROLLED WITH A LARGE (1) STEEL DRUM ROLLER UNDER DRY CONDITIONS AND INSPECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR T
- GRANULARS. ANY SOFT AREAS EVIDENT FROM THE PROOF ROLLING SHOULD BE SUB-EXCAVATED AND REPLACED WITH S THAT IS FROST COMPATIBLE WITH THE EXISTING SOILS AS RECOMMENDED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINE THE GRANULAR BASE SHOULD BE PLACED IN MAXIMUM 300mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 98% OF
- PROCTOR MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY VALUE. ANY ADDITIONAL GRANULAR FILL USED BELOW THE PROPOSED F PLACED IN MAXIMUM 300mm LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 95% OF THE STANDARD PROCTOR MAXIM
- 5. ASPHALTIC CONCRETE TO BE COMPACTED TO AT LEAST 97% OF MARSHALL DENSITY.
- 6. ALL ROADWAYS TO HAVE 3% CROSSFALL INCLUDING SUBGRADE AND GRANULAR BASE. ROADWAY SUBGRADE TO BE INSPECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTIO
- WOVEN GEOTEXTILE IS REQUIRED BELOW THE GRANULAR MATERIALS; AND TO CONFIRM THE DEPTH AND C GRANULAR 'B' 8. PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF TOPLIFT, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ADJUST ALL STRUCTURES TO FINAL GRADE F
- STANDARDS. 9. MINIMUM OF 2% GRADE FOR ALL GRASS AREAS UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 10. MAXIMUM TERRACING GRADE TO BE 3:1 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- 11. ALL GRADES BY CURBS ARE EDGE OF PAVEMENT GRADES UNLESS OTHERWISE INDICATED.
- 12. CURBS SHALL BE BARRIER CURB AS SPECIFIED AND CONSTRUCTED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD (SC1.
- 13. REFER TO LANDSCAPE PLAN FOR PLANTING AND OTHER LANDSCAPE FEATURE DETAILS. 14. TOPOGRAPHY IS DERIVED FROM NCC 1:2000 MAPPING AND SUPPLEMENTED WITH FIELD SURVEY BY NOVATI

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE:

ASPHALT SP-12.5 40mm 50mm ASPHALT SP-19.0 150mm GRAN "A" <u>400mm</u> 640mm GRAN "B" TYPE II TOTAL DEPTH

CITY OF OTTAWA LONGFIELDS CENTRAL - BLOCK 21 605 VIA MATTINO WAY

GRADING PLAN

| \times |
|-------------------------------------|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| <u> </u> |
| \langle |
| 1/1/ |
| |
| BOX LOCATION |
| |
| IOLE |
| E |
| RECTION |
| |
| A |
| N FENCING |
| |
| |
| ION. |
| THIS PLAN ARE VERIFY THE |
| |
| LIABILITY INSURANCE |
| |
| |
| DT SHOWN ON THESE |
| |
| SFACTION OF CITY OF |
| |
| |
| 246.28 ELEV.=92.28m |
| |
| FOR SUBSURFACE |
| GRADE PER CITY OF |
| |
| ROPOSED HARD |
| CHNICAL ENGINEER. |
| SUITABLE MATERIA |
| EER. |
| PAVEMENT SHOULD BE |
| |
| ON TO REVIEW IF A COMPACTION OF |
| PER CITY OF OTTAWA |
| |
| 1.2) |
| ı.∠). |
| TECH |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| PRUJECT No. 112021-10 |
| REV # 3 |
| DRAWING NO. 112021-10-GR |
| PLANB1.DWG - 1000mmx707mn #18092 |

60 19-01 l C D07