

JLR No.: 26299-006.01
Revision: 3

June 30, 2023

Prepared for:

MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
200-180 Kent Street
Ottawa, ON
K1B 0B6

Prepared by:

J.L. RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED
343 Preston Street, Suite 900 and 1000
Ottawa, ON
K1S 1N4

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6 450 Huntmar Drive



Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1
1.1	General.....	1
1.2	Site Description.....	1
1.3	Proposed Development.....	1
1.4	Proposed Connections to Existing Infrastructure.....	1
1.5	Consultation and Permits.....	2
2.0	WATER SERVICING.....	4
2.1	Water Supply Design Criteria.....	4
2.2	Domestic Water Demands.....	4
2.3	Fire Flow Requirements.....	5
2.4	Proposed Water Servicing, Boundary Conditions and Water Model.....	5
2.4.1	Proposed Water Servicing.....	5
2.4.2	Boundary Conditions.....	6
2.4.3	Water Model.....	6
2.5	Simulation Results.....	7
2.5.1	Peak Hour.....	7
2.5.2	Maximum Day Plus Fire Flow.....	7
2.5.3	Maximum Pressure.....	7
2.6	Summary and Conclusions.....	7
3.0	WASTEWATER SERVICING.....	8
3.1	Background.....	8
3.2	Design Criteria.....	8
3.3	Proposed Sanitary Servicing and Design Flows.....	8
3.4	Overflow and Sanitary Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis.....	9
3.5	Summary and Conclusions.....	15
4.0	STORM SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT.....	16
4.1	Background.....	16
4.2	Design Criteria.....	16
4.3	Proposed Stormwater Management Approach.....	17
4.4	Proposed Minor System Servicing.....	18
4.4.1	Runoff Coefficient.....	18
4.4.2	Minor System Servicing.....	18
4.4.3	Inlet Control Devices.....	19
4.4.4	Water Quality.....	19
4.5	Stormwater Management Modelling Approach.....	20
4.5.1	Dual Drainage Model.....	20
4.5.2	Integration with the Carp River Modelling and Boundary Conditions.....	21
4.5.3	Simulation of Street Segments.....	21
4.5.4	Adjoining Existing Areas.....	22
4.6	Modelling Parameters.....	24
4.6.1	Hydrological Parameters.....	24
4.6.2	Simulation of Storm Distributions.....	24
4.6.3	Simulation of Park and Amenity Blocks.....	25
4.6.4	Simulation of Garage Access Ramps.....	25
4.7	Simulation Results.....	25
4.7.1	Low Point Ponding Analysis.....	26
4.7.2	Major System Flow.....	28

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

4.7.3	Storm Sewer HGL Analysis	30
4.7.4	Storm Sewer HGL Analysis for Existing Arcadia Stages	31
4.7.5	System Release Rates and Downstream HGLs.....	34
4.8	Summary and Conclusions.....	34
5.0	Erosion and Sedimentation Control	35
6.0	CONCLUSIONS	36

List of Tables

Table 2-1: Water Demands	5
Table 2-2: Fire Flow Requirements	5
Table 2-3: Hydraulic Boundary Conditions	6
Table 2-4: Watermain Internal Diameters and C-Factors	6
Table 3-1: Wastewater Key Design Parameters.....	8
Table 3-2: Sanitary Design Flow Summary	9
Table 3-3: Sanitary Annual Flows at Overflow Locations.....	10
Table 3-4: Freeboard from Sanitary HGL under Pumping Station Failure.....	11
Table 3-5: 2014 Signature Ridge Pumping Station (IBI Group)	12
Table 4-1: Allowable Release Rates	17
Table 4-2: C-Factors	18
Table 4-3: Water Quality Capacity Analysis	20
Table 4-4: Major Overland Flow Comparison along Campeau Drive	23
Table 4-5: Incoming Flow to the North and South Low Points – 3-hour Chicago Storm.....	23
Table 4-6: Release Rates for the Park and Amenity Area	25
Table 4-7: Catchbasin Ponding Depths (3-hour Chicago Event)	26
Table 4-8: Low Point Major System Ponding (3-hour Chicago Event)	27
Table 4-9: Major System Overland Flow Routes Analysis – Velocity x Depth (3hr Chicago)	28
Table 4-10: HGL Analysis (12-hour SCS Storm)	30
Table 4-11: Storm HGL Analysis Arcadia Stage 1 and 2 (12-hour SCS)	32
Table 4-12: Storm HGL Analysis Arcadia Stage 3 (12-hour SCS)	34
Table 4-13: System Release Rates Comparison.....	34

List of Figures

Figure 1-1: Location Plan	3
---------------------------------	---

List of Appendices

Appendix A1	Draft Plan of Subdivision, Concept Plan and Topographical Plan
Appendix A2	Pre-consultation notes
Appendix A3	As-built Information
Appendix A4	Servicing Study Checklist
Appendix B1	Water Demands and FUS Calculations
Appendix B2	City Correspondence – Boundary Conditions
Appendix B3	WaterCAD Schematics
Appendix B4	Simulation Results – Peak Hour Demand

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Appendix B5	Simulation Results – Maximum Day + Required Fire Flow
Appendix B6	Simulation Results – Maximum HGL
Appendix C1	Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet
Appendix C2	Background Sanitary Documents
Appendix D1	Storm
Appendix E1	Modelling Schematics
Appendix E2	CB Tables
Appendix E3	HGL Analysis
Appendix E4	Street Ponding Areas
Appendix E5	Historical References

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 General

In 2022, J.L. Richards & Associates Limited (JLR) was retained by Minto Communities Inc. (Minto) to prepare the detailed design of municipal infrastructure for Site Plan Approval (SPA) of Arcadia Stage 6. This Site Servicing Report (SSR) presents the servicing constraints and strategies for water, wastewater, stormwater servicing, and stormwater management in accordance with the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines, the associated technical bulletins and relevant design excerpts. This SSR also includes strategies for implementing erosion and sedimentation control measures throughout the construction phase of the project.

1.2 Site Description

Minto's Arcadia Stage 6 is located within the City of Ottawa's Official Plan boundary and consists of a ±5.58 ha parcel bounded by Campeau Drive and Arcadia Stage 3 to the north, Campeau Drive SWMF and Donum Lane to the east, Country Glen Way to the west and by the Light Rail Transit (LRT) / Feedmill Creek to the south (refer to Figure 1-1). The legal description of the subject property is Part of Block 2, Registered Plan 4M-1563 and Part of Lot 3, Concession 1 (Geographic Township of March), City of Ottawa (refer to Appendix A1 for the Legal Plan)

A topographical survey was completed by Stantec Inc. in May 2022 (Appendix A1). The survey indicates that the existing ground surface contains fill piles and generally slopes downwards in a northeasterly direction towards Donum Lane.

1.3 Proposed Development

The proposed development will consist of 368 residential units and one public parkette (0.56 ha). Overall, the site will feature 11 Executive Towns, 80 Avenue Towns, 13 Urban Towns and 264 Metro Towns. The Concept Plan for Arcadia Stage 6 is attached to Appendix A1.

1.4 Proposed Connections to Existing Infrastructure

A review of existing services was completed along both frontages of the subject property to identify existing sewers and watermains to service the development. The proposed connections to the existing infrastructure consists of the following (refer to Appendix A3 for a copy of the background drawings):

Watermain

- East: Connection to existing 305 mm diameter PVC watermain along Donum Lane.
- West: Connection to existing 305 mm diameter PVC watermain along Country Glen Way.

Sanitary

- East: Removal and relocation of existing maintenance hole on Donum Lane and connection using 375 mm pipe.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Storm

- East: Removal and relocation of existing maintenance hole on Donum Lane and connection using 1500 mm pipe.
- West: Connection to existing maintenance hole on Country Glen Way using 900 mm pipe. The existing 600 mm pipe initially intended to service the proposed development will be removed.

The existing watermain, storm and sanitary stubs on Donum Lane will be removed.


1.5 Consultation and Permits

A pre-consultation meeting was held on September 2, 2021, to discuss the planning process, design criteria, and servicing constraints. A copy of the pre-consultation meeting notes has been provided in Appendix A2.

As stated during the pre-consultation meeting, Stage 6 will be a Site Plan Control Application to the City of Ottawa. Existing structures are in place at the East and West side of the property line. These structures will be removed and/or relocated. As noted in the Servicing Drawings (S1 and S2), Stage 6 will have two storm, one sanitary and two watermain connections. An Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be necessary to meet the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) requirements. In addition, a Servicing Study Checklist has been included in Appendix A4 of this report. The checklist provides all the details associated with this development as well as the approval and permit requirements.

Site Servicing Report Arcadia Stage 6

Figure 1-1: Location Plan



Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

2.0 WATER SERVICING

2.1 Water Supply Design Criteria

A Hydraulic Network Analysis (HNA) was carried out to confirm the site's watermain sizing and to demonstrate its compliance to the Ottawa Design Guidelines for Water Distribution (July 2010) and Technical Bulletins ISDTB-2014-02, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2021-03. These documents are herein referred to as the Design Guidelines and TB-2014-02, TB-2018-02, and TB-2021-03, respectively.

Section 4.2.2 of the Design Guidelines states the following criteria for development additions to the public water distribution system:

- Under maximum hourly demand conditions (peak hour), the residual pressures shall not be less than 276 kPa (40 psi);
- During periods of maximum day and fire flow demand, the residual pressure at any point in the distribution system shall not be less than 140 kPa (20 psi);
- In accordance with the Ontario Building Code (OBC) in areas that may be occupied, the static pressure at any fixture shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi);
- The maximum pressure at any point in the distribution system in unoccupied areas shall not exceed 689 kPa (100 psi); and
- Feeder mains, which have been provided primarily for the purpose of redundancy, shall meet, at a minimum, the basic day plus fire flow demand.

2.2 Domestic Water Demands

The estimated domestic water demands presented in this section are based on the site layout and unit count proposed in the Concept Plan (Appendix A1). Since receiving the boundary conditions from the City (Appendix B2), the number of units has been reduced from 409 to 368. The proposed development now consists of 104 townhouses (11 Executive Towns, 80 Avenue Towns and 13 Rear Lane Towns) and 264 duplexes (Metro Towns). Additionally, a public parkette with a water service is proposed for this development.

The residential consumption rate for average day demand was set in accordance with the City's TB-2021-03. To represent water usage from the Public Parkette, a demand of 4.0 L/s was applied to junction node J-33 for all three (3) demand scenarios (average day demand, maximum day demand and peak hour demand). Table 2-1 summarizes the water consumption rates and total estimated water demands used in the HNA. Calculated in accordance with Section 4.2.8 of the Design Guidelines, the detailed water demand distribution is presented in Appendix B1.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Table 2-1: Water Demands

Demand Scenario	Water Consumption or Peaking Factor	Total Demands (L/s)
Average Day Demand	280 L/c/d	6.88
Maximum Day Demand	2.5 x Avg Day	11.20
Peak Hour Demand	2.2 x Max Day	19.83

2.3 Fire Flow Requirements

The City has specified that the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method shall be used for any public or private site where new fire hydrants are being designed. Specifically, the required fire flow (RFF) for each structure was calculated in accordance with TB-2018-02. Several firewalls were specified throughout the development to limit the maximum RFF to 15,000 L/min (250 L/s) in accordance with the boundary conditions received from the City of Ottawa. Critical fire areas for Arcadia Stage 6 are presented in Table 2-2.

Table 2-2: Fire Flow Requirements

Location	Block Number	Calculated Fire Flow L/min (L/s)
Critical Fire Area 1	Block 26	15,000 (250)
Critical Fire Area 2	Block 27	15,000 (250)
Critical Fire Area 3	Block MT-05	15,000 (250)
Critical Fire Area 4	Block MT-11	15,000 (250)
Critical Fire Area 5	Block MT-01	10,000 (167)
Critical Fire Area 6	Block MT-02	14,000 (233)

Refer to Appendix B1 for the detailed RFF calculations for the critical fire areas.

2.4 Proposed Water Servicing, Boundary Conditions and Water Model

2.4.1 Proposed Water Servicing

The proposed water servicing for Arcadia Stage 6 includes a private 203 mm watermain loop connected to the following existing watermains:

- Connection-1: the existing 305 mm watermain south of the intersection of Donum Lane and Campeau Drive; and
- Connection-2: the existing 305 mm watermain ± 150 m south of the intersection of Country Glen Way and Campeau Drive.

The water demands will be supplied by local 200 mm PVC watermains. A 50 mm PEX water service will be extended from the mainline to provide domestic water service to units which are not fronting the 200 mm watermains. All units will be provided with an individual 19 mm PEX water service from the front except for the duplex blocks with underground

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

parking, which will be provided with a shared water service into the mechanical room for each complex. The water service for the public parkette is proposed as a 50 mm copper pipe. Refer to Drawing S1 and S2 for the water servicing layout.

The construction will be carried out in phases. Phase 1 will consist of constructing and servicing MT-08, MT-10, MT-11, MT-12, MT-13 and MT-14, including the east underground parking structure. The proposed watermain along Feedmill Private and Creekway Private (north and east sides) will be constructed in Phase 1 to service these buildings. The full RFF can be achieved at every phase of construction for each building within that phase.

2.4.2 Boundary Conditions

Hydraulic boundary conditions were provided by the City at the two proposed connection locations (Connection-1 and Connection-2) listed in Section 2.4.1 above. Tables 2-3 summarizes the hydraulic boundary conditions received (refer to Appendix B2 for a copy of the City correspondence).

Table 2-3: Hydraulic Boundary Conditions

Demand Scenarios	Connection-1 Head (m)	Connection-2 Head (m)
Maximum HGL	161.3	161.3
Peak Hour	156.3	156.3
Max Day plus Fire 1 (167 L/s)	153.8	151.5
Max Day plus Fire 2 (250 L/s)	150.5	145.5

2.4.3 Water Model

A hydraulic water model within the WaterCAD® software platform was used to carry out the HNA (refer to the overall schematics presented in Appendix B3). The water demands from Table 2-1 and the boundary conditions from Table 2-3 were input into the model for each demand scenario. Table 2-4 summarizes the watermain diameters and roughness coefficients used in the model, based on Sections 4.2.12 and 4.3.5 of the Design Guidelines.

Table 2-4: Watermain Internal Diameters and C-Factors

Nominal Diameter	Inside Diameter	C-Factor
50 mm	41 mm (PEX) 50 mm (Copper)	100
150 mm	155 mm	100
200 mm	204 mm	110
300 mm	297 mm	120

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

2.5 Simulation Results

The HNA was carried out under steady-state peak hour, maximum day plus fire flow, and maximum pressure conditions to confirm that the proposed water servicing can meet the design criteria outlined in Section 2.1.

2.5.1 Peak Hour

The simulation results found the minimum pressure at the site during the peak hour condition to be 523 kPa (75.8psi) (refer to Appendix B4), which exceeds the minimum pressure criterion of 276 kPa (40 psi) per the Design Guidelines.

2.5.2 Maximum Day Plus Fire Flow

Fire water supply will be provided by hydrants located along the 203 mm watermains. Hydrant spacing was carried out in accordance with the Design Guidelines.

To ensure adequate fire protection, the maximum day demand shown in Table 2-1 was analyzed simultaneously with the fire flow requirements. The fire flow simulation was carried out by allowing WaterCAD® to calculate the maximum fire flow that can be drawn from each hydrant without allowing any part of the system to experience pressures less than 140 kPa (20 psi). Except for hydrant H-12, it is expected that the targeted fire flow of 15,000 L/min (250 L/s) can be provided throughout the site (refer to Appendix B5). As shown in Appendix B2, contributing fire hydrants were assessed for each structure within the site to confirm that adequate water supply is available per Appendix I of TB-2018-02.

As hydrant H-12 was only able to provide an available fire flow of 242 L/s, fire flow demands of 63 L/s were manually applied to the nearby hydrants (H-11, H-12, H-13 and H-14) to confirm that the hydrants could provide the RFF (250 L/s) while achieving the minimum pressure requirement of 140 kPa (20 psi). The results indicated that the hydrants were able to provide the RFF for Block MT-05 while maintaining the minimum pressure requirement throughout the site.

2.5.3 Maximum Pressure

Based on a zero (0 L/s) demand condition, the simulation results found the pressures at the site during the maximum pressure condition to range between 605 kPa (87.7 psi) and 649 kPa (94.1 psi) (refer to Appendix B6). Since these values exceed the maximum pressure constraint of 552 kPa (80 psi) per the Design Guidelines, all units within Arcadia Stage 6 will require pressure reducing valves (PRVs).

2.6 Summary and Conclusions

Based on the water simulation results, the proposed development can be serviced by a 203 mm watermain loop, 203 mm local watermains and 50 mm water service extensions as shown on Drawing S1 and S2. Simulation results under peak hour demand and maximum pressure conditions showed that the design criteria can be achieved with the installation of PRVs for all of the units within the site. Furthermore, adequate fire water supply can be achieved with the proposed servicing.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

3.0 WASTEWATER SERVICING

3.1 Background

In accordance with the Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWMSS), wastewater servicing in Arcadia Stage 6 is designed to outlet to the existing 675 mm diameter gravity sanitary sewer on Campeau Drive. Sanitary sewage will then be conveyed by gravity to the Signature Ridge Pump Station (SRPS) which, in turn, will eventually outlet to the Robert O. Pickard Environmental Centre where wastewater is processed and treated prior to discharge into the Ottawa River.

3.2 Design Criteria

The sanitary sewer system within Arcadia Stage 6 is designed in accordance with the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines and subsequent technical bulletins. The design parameters are applied under two scenarios as per ISTB Technical Bulletin 2018-01. In addition to the typical design values, annual values are used for the simulation of the system with failure of the pump station and operation of the overflows. The simulation of the pump station failure should show that the HGL of the sanitary system remains below the underside of footings due to the operation of the overflows. The key design parameters have been summarized in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1: Wastewater Key Design Parameters

Design Parameter	Design Value	Annual Value
Duplex ¹ Population Density	2.3 ppu	Same as design
Row Townhouse ² Population Density	2.7 ppu	Same as design
Residential Average Flow	280 L/Cap/Day	200 L/cap/day
Residential Peaking Factor	Harmon's Formula	Same as design
Harmon's Correction Factor (K)	0.8	0.6
Infiltration Allowance	0.33 L/s/ha	0.3
Manning's Roughness Coefficient (n)	0.013	0.013
Allowable Slopes	Varies (Refer to Section 6.1.2.2 of ODSG)	-
Allowable Velocities	0.6 m/s – 3.0 m/s	-
Allowable Freeboard	-	> 0 m

(1) The product "Metro Towns" are duplex units.

(2) The products "Rear Lane (or Urban) Towns", "Executive Towns", and "Avenue Towns" are row townhouse units.

3.3 Proposed Sanitary Servicing and Design Flows

Wastewater generated from Arcadia Stage 6 will be conveyed via a local 200 mm diameter sanitary sewer system that will discharge into the existing 375 mm sewer on Donum Lane as shown in the Servicing Drawings (S1-S2).

Wastewater flows from the proposed development are presented in the Arcadia Stage 6 Sanitary Design Sheet (refer to Appendix C1). Based on the design criteria (Table 3-1) and the site

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

constraints, a total design peak flow of 11.19 L/s is calculated for the development. Table 3-2 summarizes the results from the sanitary design sheet.

Table 3-2: Sanitary Design Flow Summary

Unit Type	Site Area	Unit Count	Unit Density	Average Flow	Res. Peak Flow	Infilt. Flow	Total Flow
Duplex	5.42 ha	264	2.3 ppu	280 L/cap/day	9.40 L/s	1.79 L/s	11.19 L/s
Row Townhouse		104	2.7 ppu				

The peak wastewater flow calculated for the proposed Arcadia Stage 6 development is 11.19 L/s as shown in Table 3-2. This is based on a total population of 888 people. The sanitary design spreadsheet prepared by IBI (Appendix C2) shows that a flow of 4.80 L/s was allocated for the western portion of Stage 6 and a flow of 20.06 L/s was allocated for a 24.3 ha land parcel which included the eastern portion of Stage 6. This amounts to a pro-rated flow allocation of 1.75 L/s for the eastern portion. Thus, the total flow allocation for the entire Stage 6 development is 6.55 L/s (4.80 L/s + 1.75 L/s).

It is noted that previously, the sanitary flows from this site were to be split between the western portion discharging to Country Glen Way and the eastern portion discharging to Donum Lane. Though two outlets were identified for this site, the flows were anticipated to quickly converge along Campeau Drive into the same sewer located northeast of the site at the Donum Lane/Winterset Road/Campeau Drive intersection (i.e., at ex. MH 307A). Although the calculated peak flow for Arcadia Stage 6 is 11.19 L/s which is greater than the original combined allocated flow of 6.55 L/s the following points discuss the downstream capacities:

- 1) The Donum Lane detailed design sheets completed as part of Arcadia Stage 3 & 4, show that there is sufficient residual downstream capacity to accept the 11.19 L/s from Arcadia Stage 6 on Donum Lane.
- 2) The design sheets from the KWMSS for Campeau Drive (Appendix C2) indicate that there is sufficient residual capacity in the downstream system up to the signature ridge pumping station to accommodate the increase in flow.

Given there is sufficient residual capacity in the sewer system, it is proposed to adopt the sanitary servicing strategy described in this section.

3.4 Overflow and Sanitary Hydraulic Grade Line Analysis

Protection against basement flooding within the existing Arcadia Stages 1 to 4 is currently provided by an existing overflow that outlets to the Paine Pond stormwater management facility along with other overflows in the wastewater sewer network. No new overflows are proposed for additional basement protection for Stage 6.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

The hydraulic grade line (HGL) analysis carried out for the detailed design of Stage 4 (latest analysis dated February 2020) demonstrated that the design criterion for freeboard was met within the system for Arcadia Stages 1 to 4, including a flow allowance from Stage 6. An updated HGL analysis has been carried out to confirm the HGL within the Stage 6 development.

The HGL analysis was completed using the PCSWMM software platform. The HGL analysis was based on the Signature Ridge HGL analysis completed by IBI in September 2014 with the following revisions:

- Peak wastewater flows were calculated in accordance with the parameters prescribed in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01 and based on residential unit counts and land uses per the current proposed Arcadia Development (Appendix 'A1').
- The local sanitary sewers in Stage 6 were added to the model.
- Land use and residential unit counts were updated using design documents from Arcadia Stages 1, 2 and the Arcadia Retail Development. Appendix C references all applicable documents. Allocations are shown on Drawing OSAN.
- Populations and land uses on the eastern side of the Carp River were maintained as per the 2014 HGL analysis; however, wastewater flows were recalculated based on the parameters in the Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01.
- Existing overflows in the model on the East side of the Carp River were maintained in the model although all sanitary sewers were updated as per the GeoOttawa information.
- As per the recent constructed works implemented in Stage 4, an emergency sanitary sewer overflow was included at the Paine SWMF at the 1:25 year design elevation of 93.37 m.

The revisions to the sewer shed areas are shown in the marked-up Figures from the September 2014 report (Appendix C8) along with the revised Sanitary Design Sheets for the Dry Weather Flow and Wet Weather Flow with the Annual Parameters. Table 3-4 summarize the wet and dry weather flows for Arcadia Stage 6 under the annual event.

The resulting flows at the overflows are listed in Table 3-3 below using the Annual Parameters for the Dry Weather Flow condition and the Wet Weather Flow Condition. The values can be summed to give the equivalent flow to the SRPS when the pump is operating.

Table 3-3: Sanitary Annual Flows at Overflow Locations

Overflow Location	Overflow Elevation (m)	Dry Weather Flow (l/s)	Wet Weather Flow (l/s)
Paine SWMF	93.37	29	42
SRPS Emergency Overflow	93.70	97	244
Richardson Ridge Overflow	94.10	6	34
Total Flow	-	132	319

The simulated HGL elevations were then compared to underside of footing (USF) elevations of Stage 4 and Stage 3. It should be noted that the USF used in this HGL analysis are generally

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

lower than those assumed as part of the 2013 IBI HGL analysis due to changes in the development criteria of the site during the design phases.

Table 3-4 displays the freeboard under dry and wet weather flows. Results in Table 3-4 indicate that a minimum freeboard greater 0.01m will be achieved throughout Stage 6. HGL levels for conceptual future stages can be found in Appendix 'C8'.

Table 3-4: Freeboard from Sanitary HGL under Pumping Station Failure

Manhole ID	Underside of Footing (m)	DWF Max HGL (m)	DWF Freeboard (m)	WWF Max HGL (m)	WWF Freeboard (m)
100A	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.20	0.04
101	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.20	0.04
101A	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.21	0.03
101B	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.21	0.03
102	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.21	0.03
102A	94.24	93.93	0.31	94.21	0.03
102B	94.44	93.93	0.51	94.22	0.22
103	94.62	93.92	0.70	94.21	0.41
104	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.21	0.03
105	94.24	93.92	0.32	94.21	0.03
106	94.24	93.93	0.31	94.22	0.02
107	94.69	93.93	0.76	94.22	0.47
109	94.80	93.93	0.87	94.23	0.57
110	94.80	93.93	0.87	94.23	0.57
110A	94.80	93.93	0.87	94.23	0.57
110B	94.80	93.93	0.87	94.23	0.57
111	94.80	93.93	0.87	94.23	0.57
112	95.10	93.93	1.17	94.23	0.87
113	95.10	93.93	1.17	94.23	0.87
114	95.10	93.93	1.17	94.23	0.87
114A	95.15	93.93	1.22	94.23	0.92
115	95.30	94.46	0.84	94.47	0.83
116	95.43	93.94	1.49	94.23	1.20
117	95.75	93.94	1.81	94.24	1.51
118	95.85	93.94	1.91	94.24	1.61

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Manhole ID	Underside of Footing (m)	DWF Max HGL (m)	DWF Freeboard (m)	WWF Max HGL (m)	WWF Freeboard (m)
119	95.50	93.99	1.51	94.24	1.26
120	96.14	94.28	1.86	94.28	1.86
121	96.14	94.13	2.01	94.24	1.90
122	96.14	94.71	1.43	94.72	1.42
123	96.14	94.27	1.87	94.27	1.87
124	96.14	94.07	2.07	94.07	2.07
124A	96.14	93.83	2.31	94.24	1.90

The table presented below displays the freeboard values for existing Arcadia Stages along with existing downstream infrastructure up to the Signature Ridge Pumping Station. The information summarized below provides a comparison of the updated results from the current JLR model to those presented in the SRPS report.

Table 3-5: 2014 Signature Ridge Pumping Station (IBI Group)

IBI Node ID	Ground Elevation (approx USF elev. From IBI) (m)	USF Elevation (from IBI)	IBI Ultimate Buildout Scenario HGL(m)	IBI Min. FB to ground (FB to approx. USF elev.) (m)	JLR Model Node	JLR HGL (m)	JLR Revised Minimum Freeboard to USF or Ground Elevation (m)	Difference from IBI
Campeau Drive								
1	103.5		99.53	3.97	MHSA66062	96.99	6.51	-2.54
2		96.68	95.47	1.21	MHSA66066	94.44	2.24	-1.03
2B		95.14	94.76	0.38	MHSA65328	94.27	0.87	-0.49
14	99.5		94.64	4.86	MHSA65349	94.19	5.31	-0.45
14A	96		94.52	1.48	MHSA65349	94.19	1.81	-0.33
3		94.8	94.5	0.3	MHSA65349	94.19	0.61	-0.31
4	94.86		94.44	0.42	MHSA65352	94.15	0.71	-0.29
5		94.2	94.23	-0.03	MHSA65123	94.12	0.08	-0.11
12465	95.7		94.27	1.43	MHSA12465	94.12	1.58	-0.15
South of Highway 417								
15	97.6		94.77	2.83	MHSA43765	94.26	3.34	-0.51
Didsbury Road								
20011	97.55		94.29	3.26	MHSA20011	94.13	3.42	-0.16
12461	96.05		94.26	1.79	MHSA12461	94.12	1.93	-0.14
Prop 5E	94.45		94.17	0.28	MHSA69564	94.11	0.34	-0.06

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

IBI Node ID	Ground Elevation (approx USF elev. From IBI) (m)	USF Elevation (from IBI)	IBI Ultimate Buildout Scenario HGL(m)	IBI Min. FB to ground (FB to approx. USF elev.) (m)	JLR Model Node	JLR HGL (m)	JLR Revised Minimum Freeboard to USF or Ground Elevation (m)	Difference from IBI
Prop5D	94.38		94.11	0.27	MHSA69565	94.1	0.28	-0.01
Prop 5C	94.33		94.06	0.27	MHSA69566	94.08	0.25	0.02
5A	95.15		94.03	1.12	MHSA65995	94.07	1.08	0.04
Arcadia Stage 1 and 2								
MH9			94.79		MHSA69409	94.29		-0.5
MH41		95.12	94.77	0.35	MHSA69400	94.29	0.83	-0.48
MH32			94.75		MHSA69392	94.29		-0.46
MH31		95.11	94.81	0.3	MHSA69391	94.55	0.56	-0.26
MH30		95.17	94.87	0.3	MHSA69390	94.76	0.41	-0.11
MH29		95.46	95.05	0.41	MHSA69389	94.99	0.47	-0.06
MH28		95.46	95.17	0.29	MHSA69388	95.1	0.36	-0.07
MH27		95.8	95.4	0.4	MHSA69387	95.33	0.47	-0.07
MH26		96.5	95.73	0.77	MHSA69386	95.66	0.84	-0.07
MH25 [S1]		97.77	96.02	1.75	MHSA69385	95.97	1.8	-0.05
MH40		95.11	94.77	0.34	MHSA69399	94.44	0.67	-0.33
MH39		95.1	94.77	0.33	MHSA69398	94.68	0.42	-0.09
MH38		95.17	94.53	0.64	MHSA69397	94.88	0.29	0.35
MH36		95.59	94.76	0.83	MHSA69396	95.1	0.49	0.34
MH37		96.07	95.06	1.01	MHSA69410	95.38	0.69	0.32
MH35		96.04	95.02	1.02	MHSA69395	95.35	0.69	0.33
MH34		96.5	95.46	1.04	MHSA69394	95.78	0.72	0.32
MH33			96.37		MHSA69393	96.67		0.3
MH8			94.8		MHSA69408	94.29		-0.51
MH7			94.8		MHSA69407	94.29		-0.51
MH6		95.27	94.82	0.45	MHSA69406	94.29	0.98	-0.53
MH24		95.2	94.82	0.38	MHSA69556	94.48	0.72	-0.34
MH23		95.37	94.82	0.55	MHSA69555	94.73	0.64	-0.09
MH22		95.16	94.82	0.34	MHSA69554	94.79	0.37	-0.03
MH21		95.5	94.7	0.8	MHSA69553	95.02	0.48	0.32
MH5		95.16	94.86	0.3	MHSA69405	94.38	0.78	-0.48
MH4		95.47	94.9	0.57	MHSA69404	94.54	0.93	-0.36
MH20		95.52	94.9	0.62	MHSA69551	94.83	0.69	-0.07
MH19		95.32	94.66	0.66	MHSA69552	95.05	0.27	0.39
MH3		96.17	95.02	1.15	MHSA69403	94.94	1.23	-0.08

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

IBI Node ID	Ground Elevation (approx USF elev. From IBI) (m)	USF Elevation (from IBI)	IBI Ultimate Buildout Scenario HGL(m)	IBI Min. FB to ground (FB to approx. USF elev.) (m)	JLR Model Node	JLR HGL (m)	JLR Revised Minimum Freeboard to USF or Ground Elevation (m)	Difference from IBI
MH18		96.24	95.03	1.21	MHSA69421	95.36	0.88	0.33
MH17		96.59	95.3	1.29	MHSA69420	95.63	0.96	0.33
MH16		96.59	95.41	1.18	MHSA69419	95.73	0.86	0.32
MH15		96.59	95.83	0.76	MHSA69417	96.26	0.33	0.43
MH13		97.25	95.94	1.31	MHSA69417	96.26	0.99	0.32
MH2		97.27	95.73	1.54	MHSA69402	95.68	1.59	-0.05
MH14		97.09	95.7	1.39	MHSA69416	96.02	1.07	0.32
MH13		97.25	95.94	1.31	MHSA69417	96.26	0.99	0.32
MH1 [S2]		98.12	96.31	1.81	MHSA69401	96.25	1.87	-0.06
S6		95.19	94.77	0.42	MHSA65325	94.28	0.91	-0.49
MH104A			94.725		MHSA65342	94.28		-0.445
Heritage Hills								
20116	107.9		103.86	4.04	MHSA20116	103.84	4.06	-0.02
20164	107.3		101.66	5.64	MHSA20164	101.64	5.66	-0.02
12735	102.01		96.37	5.64	MHSA12735	96.35	5.66	-0.02
12732	99.4		95.19	4.21	MHSA12732	94.84	4.56	-0.35
20098	106.8		104.32	2.48	MHSA20098	104.32	2.48	0
20179	106.6		103.67	2.93	MHSA20179	103.63	2.97	-0.04
20123	106.1		103.39	2.71	MHSA20123	103.33	2.77	-0.06
20127	105.4		101.7	3.7	MHSA20127	101.67	3.73	-0.03
20130	102.9		99.29	3.61	MHSA20130	99.27	3.63	-0.02
13058	98		95.06	2.94	MHSA13058	94.98	3.02	-0.08
20161	98		94.84	3.16	MHSA20161	94.61	3.39	-0.23
Terry Fox Drive (Richardson Ridge)								
Baylis	97.15		94.65	2.5	MHSA64878	95.03	2.12	0.38
Rchrdsn N.	96		94.6	1.4	MHSA64876	94.89	1.11	0.29
L. Rchrdsn		94.8	94.5	0.3	MHSA64834	94.45	0.35	-0.05
MH329A		94.81	94.49	0.32	MHSA64833	94.46	0.35	-0.03
MH328A		94.84	94.51	0.33	MHSA64832	94.46	0.38	-0.05
L. Rchrdsn Ea.		94.97	94.46	0.51	MHSA63532	94.45	0.52	-0.01
N62		94.7	94.44	0.26	MHSA63527	94.42	0.28	-0.02
Terry Fox Drive (Broughton to SRPS)								

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

IBI Node ID	Ground Elevation (approx USF elev. From IBI) (m)	USF Elevation (from IBI)	IBI Ultimate Buildout Scenario HGL(m)	IBI Min. FB to ground (FB to approx. USF elev.) (m)	JLR Model Node	JLR HGL (m)	JLR Revised Minimum Freeboard to USF or Ground Elevation (m)	Difference from IBI
Broughton		94.7	94.42	0.28	MHSA58579	94.39	0.31	-0.03
MH205	96.99		94.8	2.19	MHSA58578	94.46	2.53	-0.34
MH207		97.26	94.95	2.31	MHSA58577	94.52	2.74	-0.43
TBD	95.42		94.34	1.08	MHSA58582	94.29	1.13	-0.05
SRPS	95.35		94.03	1.32	SPRS	94.07	1.28	0.04

3.5 Summary and Conclusions

Wastewater servicing for Arcadia Stage 6 will be designed in accordance with the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, the associated technical bulletins, and various background documents as highlighted throughout this section. The proposed collection and conveyance of wastewater will consist of a local 200 mm diameter sewer which will outlet into Donum Lane as shown on Drawings S1 and S2. It is recommended that this wastewater servicing plan be implemented in order to provide adequate sanitary servicing for the proposed development.

4.0 STORM SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

4.1 Background

Similar to Arcadia Stages 1 to 4 stormwater management requirements for this development were originally set by the 2006 Kanata West Master Servicing Study (KWMSS), which identified a single stormwater management facility (referred as a Pond One) to service the area of the then proposed Arcadia developments. In 2018, after development of Stages 1 and 2, JLR evaluated various storm servicing strategies for the remainder of the Arcadia Development as part of the document entitled “Stormwater Management Strategy Report - Arcadia Residential Stages 3, 4 and Commercial Stage 2, JLR, May 2018”. The 2018 report identified that the preferred solution for the remainder of the Arcadia development was to incorporate a second stormwater management facility (SWM facility) on the south side of Campeau Drive which would improve HGL issues, allow for the immediate servicing of Campeau Drive extension and Light Rail Transit (LRT) and reduce submergence along the minor system when compared to a single pond servicing strategy. The two-pond concept was accepted by the City of Ottawa. The second SWM facility referred to as the Campeau Drive SWMF is the dedicated storm outlet for Stage 3, which included part of the Stage 6 lands.

Storm flows from the west side of Arcadia Stage 6 discharge to existing stubs on Country Glen Road that were included as part of the design for the commercial development on the west side of Country Glen Road. Discharges to the minor system on Country Glen Road flow via the existing minor system through Stages 1, 2 and 4 of Arcadia development and outlet to the Paine Pond, which provides water quantity and quality control for discharges to Carp River. Release rates to Country Glen Road were set in the Arcadia Commercial 370 Huntmar Drive Design Brief by IBI Group, October 2014.

Storm flows from the east side of Arcadia Stage 6 discharge to an existing storm sewer on Donum Lane, which discharges into Campeau Drive SWMF. This facility provides water quantity and quality controls prior to releasing controlled flows into the Carp River. Allowable release rates from the east side of Arcadia Stage 6 were set out in the JLR 2018 report and confirmed in the design of Arcadia Stage 3.

4.2 Design Criteria

Storm and stormwater management servicing for the Arcadia Stage 6 was developed in accordance with the City of Ottawa 2012 Sewer Design Guidelines (OSDG) and the more recent Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 (September 6, 2016). These two documents are herein referred to as the Design Guidelines in this section. A summary of the key storm and stormwater management criteria follows:

- Control minor system flows to the allowable release rates at existing stubs at Country Glen Road and Donum Lane;
- Storm sewers are designed to capture the 1:5 year storm event as a minimum using the Rational Method and using the regressions derived from Intensity-Duration-Frequency (IDF) equations as per the Design Guidelines;
- Provide a freeboard in the sewer network to the underside of footing (USF) of 300 mm during the 1:100-year storm where weeping tile connections are present;

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

- The runoff coefficients (C-factors) for the residential development were based on the maximum lot coverage permitted by the proposed zoning, as per the Design Guidelines. C-factors for non-residential land uses to be calculated based on the ratio of pervious and impervious surfaces depicted on proposed site plans;
- Minimum roadway profile grades at 0.5%;
- Roadway cross-fall of 3% was used for all streets;
- Minimum roadway slope of 0.1% from crest-to-crest for overland flow route;
- Minimum rear yard slope in the absence of perforated pipe system of 1.5% along with swale side slopes of 3 horizontal to 1 vertical;
- Maximum street ponding depth of 350 mm (static and dynamic) as per the Design Guidelines and maximum depth of rear yard flow to be 300 mm;
- Minimum vertical clearance of 0.15 m between the spill elevation on the street and the finished grade (garage elevation);
- Minimum vertical clearance of 0.30 m between the rear yard spill elevation and the ground elevation at the building in the rear yards;
- During the Climate Change event, the street ponding is not to reach the lowest building opening while the storm HGL must remain at or below the USF;
- The product of the velocity and depth of major system flows on streets during the 1:100-year design storm event is not to exceed 0.60 m²/s; and,
- Major system flows up to and including the 1:100-year design storm event are contained within the site and internally are self-contained within the park and amenity blocks.

4.3 Proposed Stormwater Management Approach

It is proposed to utilize both the west and east connection points to the existing minor storm sewers on Country Glen Road and Donum Lane respectively. The Country Glen Road sewer will utilize the two existing stubs located approximately 70 m (MH201, Ext 1) and 130 m (MH205, Ext 2) upstream of the intersection with Campeau Drive, while the Donum Lane sewer will have one connection point at the existing stub to the east of the proposed development. The stormwater management approach will require that the discharges to these locations are controlled to the allowable release rates identified in the design of Phase 1 and Stage 3 of the Arcadia site. These allowable release rates are identified in Table 4-1.

Table 4-1: Allowable Release Rates

Outlet	Allowable Release Rate (m ³ /s)	Set By
West – Country Glen Road (MH201, Ext 1)	0.148	Arcadia Commercial 370 Huntmar Drive Design Brief by IBI Group, October 2014
West – Country Glen Road (MH205, Ext 2)	0.492	Arcadia Commercial 370 Huntmar Drive Design Brief by IBI Group, October 2014 (Prorated by Contributing Area)
East – Donum Lane	0.567	Stormwater Management Strategy report- Arcadia Residential Stages 3, 4 and Commercial Stage 2, JLR, May 2018

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

The release rate to MH205 identified in the Arcadia Commercial 370 Huntmar Drive Design Brief (IBI Group, October 2014) design sheets, 520 L/s, was based on an upstream contributing area of 2.82 ha with a runoff coefficient of 0.70. However it is now intended to split the lands to this inlet stub and therefore the release rate will be prorated to each contributing area. Arcadia Stage 6 will contribute runoff from an area of 2.67 ha. At the same runoff coefficient, 0.70, and time of concentration, 12 minutes, the smaller area will have an allowable release rate of 492 L/s, which is shown in Table 4-1. The remaining area of 0.15 ha will remain undeveloped and subject to future site plans. The combined allowable release rate for lands covering the Stage 6 development to the Country Glen Road storm sewer is 640 L/s.

In order to achieve the allowable release rates, the stormwater management of the site will include online detention of the stormwater runoff in underground oversized sewers and allowing increased headwater depths in sewer sections with no weeping tile connections. Where weeping tile connections are proposed at houses with basements, the 1:5-year free flow capacity of the pipe network will be maintained, the 1:100-year HGL will remain 300mm below the underside of footing of connected units and the climate change event HGL will remain below the underside of footing of connected units.

4.4 Proposed Minor System Servicing

4.4.1 Runoff Coefficient

Runoff coefficients (C-Factors) were calculated for Arcadia Stage 6 based on the weighted product between the percentage of the pervious area at a C-Factor of 0.2 and the percentage of the impervious area at a C-Factor of 0.9. GIS Mapping of the impervious and pervious surfaces was used in the PCSWMM software spatial weighting tool to develop an overall weighted C-Factor for the site, excluding the park and amenity site. Due to consistency and density of the site, this approach provides a conservative C-Factor to be used across the site. C-Factors are provided in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2: C-Factors

Area	C-Factor
Site Development Area	0.78
Parkland (Public)	0.4
Amenity Space (Private)	0.58

One of the amenity spaces, which will remain private, has runoff from residential area and the C value for this subcatchment has been calculated separately based on an area weighting between 0.78 and 0.4 areas. A similar approach was used for three subcatchment areas with predominant open space and a reduced C-Factor of 0.58 was used.

4.4.2 Minor System Servicing

The proposed storm sewers of Arcadia Stage 6 were sized using the Rational Method based on the C-Factors presented in Table 4-2. Appropriate rainfall intensities were used in the Rational Method based on the rainfall regression

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

equations presented in Section 5.4.2 of the OSDG along with an inlet time of ten (10) minutes at the upstream end of the system. The Rational Method Storm Sewer Design Sheet is included in Appendix 'D1', while the Storm Drainage Plans included in the drawing set provide details associated with the storm drainage areas.

The storm drainage design sheet includes sewer sections which are used to control upstream flows through a restricted size. The restricted sewer sections operate under pressure in the minor system design event to control flows downstream and so show as operating beyond capacity in the design sheet, however these sewers are accounted for in the modelling HGL analysis.

4.4.3 Inlet Control Devices

Storm servicing for Arcadia Stage 6 was developed to limit all flows transmitted to the storm sewers and meet the 0.35 m criterion as the maximum street ponding depth requirement. To achieve this criterion, servicing was developed using ICDs at inlets to the minor system.

The response under the 1:5-year rational method calculation was used to determine the minimum ICD targeted flow for Arcadia Stage 6. The ICDs were selected based on the dynamic model head differential between the maximum HGL at the grate and the higher of the geodetic elevation of the centroid of the ICD or the downstream HGL, in each catch basin lead. Therefore, each ICD was sized to transmit the targeted peak flow based on the calculated water level depth at the top of grate. When water rises above the top of grate in the roadway sag, flows transmitted to the storm sewers will marginally increase due to the increase in the hydraulic head. Based on the range of flows and hydraulic heads at each catch basin, the following types of ICDs are proposed in Stage 6:

- IPEX Tempest Type A;
- IPEX Tempest Type B; and
- IPEX Tempest Type C;

Comprehensive ICD Tables referred to as the Catch Basin Table were prepared and are included in Appendix 'E2'. The Catch Basin Tables show specific information including top of grate elevation, pipe size and invert, the restricted capture rate and ICD type. The information shown on the Catch Basin Tables was extracted and shown on Drawing D1.

4.4.4 Water Quality

The storm discharge criterion for the subdivision is based on the enhanced protection level of 80% TSS removal. As described in JLR design briefs for Stormwater Management Facilities for Campeau and Paine Ponds, the 80 % of TSS removal was used as a design criterion to complete the detailed design of aforementioned facilities to provide water quality treatment for Arcadia Stage 6 development. Campeau Drive Pond services flows coming from eastern portion of

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

development while Paine Pond services flows from western portion of development.

The comparison of the design values used and the proposed values are shown in Table 4-3 and demonstrate that the ponds have the required water quality treatment capacity.

Table 4-3: Water Quality Capacity Analysis

	Stage 6 Area	Stage 6 C Factor	Stage 6 AxC
Campeau Pond Allocated	2.45	0.80	1.96
Campeau Pond Proposed	2.15	0.78	1.67
Paine Pond Allocated	3.55	0.70	2.48
Paine Pond Proposed	3.33	0.70	2.31

In Table 4-3 the allocated values to Campeau Pond are from the Arcadia Stage 3 Campeau Drive Pond Stormwater Management Facility Design Brief and the Stormwater Drainage Plan is contained in Appendix E. The allocated values to Paine Pond are from the design sheet in the Arcadia Commercial 370 Huntmar Drive Design Brief by IBI Group, October 2014 (also copied in Appendix E). Since the design sheet does not have any GIS mapping associated with it the split between Campeau Pond and Paine Pond is not specifically mapped, however the allocations were made in the modelling and the areas calculated now are consistent with the latest boundaries and GIS spatial mapping.

Table 4-3 shows that the overall AxC value proposed going to each pond is less than that originally allocated under the previous modelling which was used to size the pond permanent pool and extended detention volumes and therefore there is sufficient water quality control capacity in the downstream facilities. It is also recognized that the overall C-Factor of 0.78 used for the areas going to Campeau Pond is conservative as it does not reflect the park or amenity areas within the site boundaries, despite these areas being included in the area calculation.

4.5 Stormwater Management Modelling Approach

4.5.1 Dual Drainage Model

The analysis of both major and minor drainage systems was carried out to demonstrate their compliance with respect to the design criteria described in Section 4.2. The performance of the major overland system and minor storm sewer system was analyzed with PCSWMM. This software is a dynamic model which allows both hydrologic and hydraulic components to be simulated in the same platform and also allows the simulation of the interaction between the major and minor systems. The PCSWMM software platform was used to:

- Generate the surface runoff hydrograph for each sub-area under various recurrences.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

- Subdivide each inflow hydrograph into its minor and major system components based on the proposed inlet capture rates and roadway sag storage.
- Assess cascading, if any, and carry out dynamic routing of storm flows to determine flow depths along the roadways. As previously stated, the maximum major overland flow depths along the subdivision's roadways are to be limited to 350 mm or less, as per Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01.
- Demonstrate that the HGL along the storm sewers during the 1:100-year event without sedimentation is 300 mm below the basement's USFs.

PCSWMM was set-up to evaluate the proposed servicing as detailed on Drawings G1 (Grading), Drawings SWM1 (Ponding Plan), Drawings DST, ODS (Drainage) and Drawings S1-S2 & OS (Servicing). As per Drawings DST, the Arcadia Stage 6 lands were discretized into more refined sub-catchments for the immediate drainage area of Stage 6 and lumped sub-catchments for the entire modelled extents. To demonstrate the model schematic, Figure E1-1 and Figure E1-2 (Appendix E1) were prepared and depict the major and minor system elements of the model along with the subcatchments.

4.5.2 Integration with the Carp River Modelling and Boundary Conditions

In order to evaluate the design of the Paine and Campeau Stormwater Management Facilities and the impact on the Carp River, a sub-model of the City of Ottawa PCSWMM Carp River model was extracted to act as the downstream receiver for the stormwater management facilities. The inflow hydrograph at the upstream end of the sub-section of the Carp and the downstream stage hydrograph were both extracted from the overall Carp River model and used as inputs for the respective storm events in the Arcadia Detailed Design model.

Details of the detailed design model and the control of flows released to the Carp River were provided in the Paine Stormwater Management Facility Design Brief, JLR February 2020 for Arcadia Stage 4 and the Stormwater Management Facility Design Brief, Campeau Drive SWMF, JLR May 2019 for Arcadia Stage 3.

Both reports demonstrated that the use of the sub-model was a representation of the flows in the Carp River from the full model and that the stormwater management ponds provided sufficient controls to achieve no impact to the peak flows or maximum water levels in the Carp River.

Since the Arcadia Stage 6 development is internal to the detailed model used in these two reports and discharges to the two ponds, the Arcadia Stage 6 model will use the detailed sub-model to demonstrate that flows to the ponds can be maintained under the proposed Stage 6 stormwater management strategy and therefore there will be no impact on the Carp River.

4.5.3 Simulation of Street Segments

Flow directed to a street segment is split at the major system node; flows are broken down into minor and major system components using an outlet rating curve

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

representing the ICD capture and assigning the minor system flow directly into the minor conduit while maintaining the major system flows on the surface conduit. Flow through the outlet link is calculated based on the HGL above the elevation of the ICD and its rated capacity under various water surface elevations. The ICD rating curves are those provided by the manufacturer.

The storage in roadway sag is included in the model as being inherent within the major system conduits. The dynamic capability of PCSWMM means that the static and any dynamic flow is calculated in the model to provide one depth value at each sag location. The low points and high points in the street conduits are taken from the Civil 3D surface.

The subdivision's grading was developed with roadway static storage depths to maximize detention and attenuation of major overland flows while those of lesser volume sags were designed to maximize the conveyance capability of the dynamic section of the cross-section during events where cascading occurs.

4.5.4 Adjoining Existing Areas

The western half of Campeau Drive, from Huntmar Drive to 160 metres east of the roundabout with Country Glen Road, was included in the SWMHYMO modelling for Arcadia Phase I, which included flows from Campeau Drive, residential facing Campeau Drive, Country Glen Road and minor flows from the commercial areas. The minor system flows were extracted from the approved SWMHYMO modelling and imported into the PCSWMM modelling. The IBI design allowed for some major overland flow to continue down Campeau Drive to the low point at the junction with Donum Lane and this hydrograph was also extracted from the SWMHYMO model and imported into the PCSWMM model.

It should be noted that the previous modelling for Arcadia Phase I included Stage 6 as commercial with all major overland flows retained on site, however, due to the change to residential and some of the properties facing Campeau Drive, the grading means that there is some runoff contributing directly to Campeau Drive flows. In order to capture the change in major system flow and small changes in drainage areas as a result of Stage 4, the hydrographs along Campeau Drive from the Phase I model were removed and replaced with subcatchments, inlet links and weirs representing the runoff and conveyance included in the SWMHYMO model.

The hydrologic parameters used are consistent with the Phase I modelling and the flows in the model are consistent with those in the Phase I modelling. A comparison of the flows is provided in Table 4-4.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Table 4-4: Major Overland Flow Comparison along Campeau Drive

Section of Major Overland Flow along Campeau Drive	SWMHYMO 1:100 year 3-hour Chicago flow (m ³ /s)	PCSWMM 1:100 year 3-hour Chicago flow (m ³ /s)
MH300 to MH301 at commercial access on Campeau Drive	0.221	0.221
MH301 to MH302	0.267	0.263
MH302 to Country Glen Road roundabout	0.319	0.306
Country Glen Road roundabout to MH304	0.357	0.354
MH304 to Donum Lane roundabout	0.430	0.430

In addition, the total inflow to the low points on Campeau Drive at the Donum Lane roundabout were simulated in the Stage 4 model. The inlet capture defined in the Stage 4 model was set at the 1:10-year rate at each of the low point inlets. Additional flows to the low points at the Donum Lane roundabout included the immediate catchments in the model. In a change to the Stage 4 model, the actual impervious surface coverage was used to refine the imperviousness values used in the hydrology for the Campeau Drive catchment. The imperviousness reduced from 85.7% to 72.0% reflecting the front yards facing the north side of Campeau Drive covered by the catchment area. A comparison of the incoming flow for the 1:10-year and 1:100-year 3-hour Chicago storms at the north and south low points is provided in Table 4-5.

Table 4-5: Incoming Flow to the North and South Low Points – 3-hour Chicago Storm

Low Point	Stage 4 Model 1:10-year incoming flow (m ³ /s)	Stage 4 Model 1:100-year incoming flow (m ³ /s)	Stage 6 Model 1:10-year incoming flow (m ³ /s)	Stage 6 Model 1:100-year incoming flow (m ³ /s)	Stage 4 Modelled Minor System Inlet Capture Rate (m ³ /s)
North	0.198	0.517	0.174	0.480	0.2
South	0.156	0.452	0.159	0.457	0.16

The comparison with the flows in the SWMHYMO model with those in the Stage 4 modelling shows that the changes to the drainage areas have negligible impact on the hydraulics of Campeau Drive. The flows from upstream are consistent with the previous modelling and the inclusion of the runoff from Stage 6 front yards, along with refinement of the imperviousness values for Campeau Drive, means that the 1:10-year flow rate remains below, or at, the modelled inlet capture rate and the 1:100-year event flows are no greater, or at, previously simulated flow rates.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

4.6 Modelling Parameters

4.6.1 Hydrological Parameters

The following parameters were used in the hydrologic component of PCSWMM:

- **Areas and Imperviousness:** Catchment ID and drainage areas used by PCSWMM match those shown on either Drawing DST or Figure E-1 (Appendix E1). In regard to the imperviousness of subcatchments, C-Factors reported in Section 4.4.1 were used to estimate PCSWMM's imperviousness.
- **Catchment Width:** The catchment width is approximately twice the length of the street segment through the subcatchment, in accordance with the OSDG for the majority of catchments where there is an even split on the road. In some cases the catchment width is the length of the road section if the catchment is all to one side of the road.
- **Manning's Roughness Coefficient:** Manning's Roughness Coefficients of 0.013 and 0.25 were used for the impervious and pervious surfaces, respectively within the Stage 6 area, which are consistent with the OSDG. Historically other values have been used for the pervious component in other stages and these have been maintained.
- **CN Infiltration parameters:** For consistency with the City of Ottawa Carp River Model the CN infiltration approach was used. The CN value of 75 for pervious land cover was maintained from the Carp River Model.

Since PCSWMM is based on the Nonlinear Reservoir Routing Method (SWMM 5 engine) to generate runoff from subcatchments, the infiltration and depression storage are accounted for separately. The formulation of the SCS Loss Method incorporated into SWMM does not include the Initial Abstraction term. CN is used in SWMM to compute infiltration losses only, not total hydrologic losses as in the original SCS methodology. Therefore, the CN value is used and not a modified CN (CN*) as this alters for term to account for the difference in Initial Abstraction.

- **Initial Abstraction:** Initial abstraction of 4.67 mm and 1.57 mm was used for the pervious and impervious surfaces respectively, consistent with the OSDG and Carp River Model.

Note that for catchments that were previously modelled in SWMHYMO for Arcadia Phase I maintained the catchment parameters as per the SWMHYMO model to maintain consistency with previous work.

4.6.2 Simulation of Storm Distributions

The City of Ottawa requires that the performance of the minor and major systems be investigated under the 3-hour Chicago design storm. As such, 1:2-year, 1:5-year, 1:10-year, 1:25-year, 1:50-year, and 1:100-year 3-hour Chicago storms were

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

evaluated. In addition, the critical storm distribution for the Carp River is the 12-hour SCS storm and so this distribution was also assessed by the model for the same durations, in addition to the standard 24-hour SCS storm distribution for the same durations. The 12-hour SCS storm was found to be critical for the minor system HGL while the Chicago 3-hour storm for the major overland flow system.

It should be noted that the 12-hour SCS storm used in the modeling was labelled as the MTO 12-hour SCS storm in the Carp River modelling and the nomenclature has been maintained to differentiate it from the storms for Arcadia derived from the City of Ottawa IDF and OSDG.

The Climate Change stress test event was run for all three storm distributions used. As per the requirements of the Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, historical storms were also assessed, including the July 1, 1979 storm, the August 4, 1988 storm and the August 8, 1996 storm.

4.6.3 Simulation of Park and Amenity Blocks

For the park and amenity blocks the model includes a storage node with an outlet link to restrict flow to the minor system to the 1:5-year runoff rate for the blocks. In events greater than the 1:5-year return period then the storage node detains runoff over and above the release rate for the block. The 1:5-year release rates for the blocks are shown in Table 4-6.

Table 4-6: Release Rates for the Park and Amenity Area

Block	Area (ha)	Imperviousness (%)	Runoff Coefficient	1:5-year Release Rate (m³/s)	Storage Requirement (m³)
Park Block	0.50	28.57	0.40	0.058	50
Amenity Block	0.12	54.29	0.58	0.021	11

4.6.4 Simulation of Garage Access Ramps

Two of the units within the Stage 6 development have depressed garage access ramps. One of these will be able to drain via gravity to the storm sewer system while the other to the east of the site will require to be pumped to the minor system. A maximum pump rate of 9 L/s, approximately equivalent to a 1:10 year event. Where the gravity connection is provided the drain is above the underside of footing for the unit and 300mm freeboard should be maintained below the grate elevation.

4.7 Simulation Results

This section of the Report presents the results of the simulation of Stage 6 as part of the detailed model for the Arcadia site as a whole, incorporating Stages 1 to 4 and the Paine Pond and Campeau Drive stormwater management facilities. The modelling includes the future Stage 5 at a conceptual level with the same parameters as used in the Stage 3 and 4 models.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

The objective of this section is to assess the performance of the following systems under the build-out condition:

- The major overland system under extreme storm events (i.e., 1:100 year and climate change events) as per the OSDG; and
- The major overland system during the 1:2-year storm event and determine whether surface ponding is to occur.
- Appendices E4 and E5 provide Storm HGL analyses and Street Ponding Analyses respectively for a range of historical storms and interim conditions.

4.7.1 Low Point Ponding Analysis

The results at each of the low points, as generated by a 3-hour Chicago storm distribution, are set out in Table 4-7 and in The simulation results compiled in Table 4-7 above shows ponding depths at the locations where the catchbasin manhole structures were utilized to convey the surface flow into storm sewer. At these locations the modelling is such that surface flow head is required to be present to drive the flow through grate opening into the minor system. Ponding hydrographs for the 1:2 year and 1:5 year event showed that surface ponding is only present for the peak of the event to create the head in the model. The depths in the 1:2 year and 1:5 year events are only from the grate and are not as a result of any downstream ICD.

Table 4-8. Low points correspond to Area IDs from the ponding plan Drawing SWM1.

Table 4-7: Catchbasin Ponding Depths (3-hour Chicago Event)

Low Point ID	Top of Grate (m)	Maximum Static Depth	1:2 year Depth (mm)	1:5 year Depth (mm)	1:100 year Depth (mm)	Climate Change Depth (mm)
2	97.3	300	10	10	10	20
3	97.25	300	20	30	50	70
10	96.67	180	-	10	210	310
20	95.8	150	20	20	40	50
21	96.3	100	20	30	60	80
24	96.22	230	60	70	150	220
25	96.2	300	-	-	90	130

The simulation results compiled in Table 4-7 above shows ponding depths at the locations where the catchbasin manhole structures were utilized to convey the surface flow into storm sewer. At these locations the modelling is such that surface flow head is required to be present to drive the flow through grate opening into the minor system. Ponding hydrographs for the 1:2 year and 1:5 year event showed that surface ponding is only present for the peak of the event to create the head in

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

the model. The depths in the 1:2 year and 1:5 year events are only from the grate and are not as a result of any downstream ICD.

Table 4-8: Low Point Major System Ponding (3-hour Chicago Event)

Low Point ID	Top of Grate (m)	Maximum Static Depth	1:2 year Depth (mm)	1:5 year Depth (mm)	1:100 year Depth (mm)	Climate Change Depth (mm)
4	97.15	300	-	-	100	120
4	97.15	300	-	-	50	120
5	96.9	250	-	-	-	-
5	96.9	250	-	-	30	90
6	96.92	230	-	-	160	290
7	96.85	150	-	-	-	-
7	96.85	150	-	-	-	40
8	96.77	180	-	-	170	310
9	96.8	140	-	-	-	-
9	96.8	140	-	-	-	90
11	96.7	150	-	-	-	-
11	96.7	150	-	-	-	50
12	96.2	190	-	-	100	140
13	96	250	-	-	150	180
13	96	250	-	-	20	130
14	95.6	150	-	-	160	200
14	95.6	150	-	-	-	10
15	95.6	200	-	-	150	250
22	95.71	140	-	-	180	190
23	95.9	150	-	-	90	130
26	96.15	200	-	-	110	160
27	96.57	150	-	-	150	190
28	96.77	250	-	-	120	160
29	96.87	240	-	-	160	260

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Low Point ID	Top of Grate (m)	Maximum Static Depth	1:2 year Depth (mm)	1:5 year Depth (mm)	1:100 year Depth (mm)	Climate Change Depth (mm)
30	97.07	130	-	-	-	-
31	97.22	130	-	-	-	50
32	97.02	200	-	-	160	210
33	97.17	130	-	-	-	50
34	97.07	220	-	-	60	100
36	97.25	300	-	-	150	200
36	97.3	200	-	-	-	30

The simulation results compiled in Table 4-8 shows that:

- No ponding nor dynamic flow will occur in the 1:2-year or 1:5 year events within the site; and,
- Maximum ponding depth of 210 mm during the 1:100-year event;
- In the climate change event, the peak ponding depth is below 350mm

4.7.2 Major System Flow

The major system overland flow route simulation results for the 3-hour Chicago storm are summarized in Table 4-11 showing the values for Velocity x Depth design criteria where overland flow is present. A full list of all locations is included in Appendix E.

Table 4-9: Major System Overland Flow Routes Analysis – Velocity x Depth (3hr Chicago)

Street Segment ID	U/S ID	D/S ID	Velocity x Depth (m ² /s)			
			1:2 year	1:5 year	1:100 year	Climate Change
HP_ST6_J17-LP_ST6_J12	HP_ST6_J17	LP_ST6_J12	0	0	0	0.01
HP_ST6_J17-LP_ST6_J13	HP_ST6_J17	LP_ST6_J13	0	0	0	0.04
HP_ST6_J18-LP_ST6_J12	HP_ST6_J18	LP_ST6_J12	0	0	0.01	0.03
HP_ST6_J19-HP_ST6_J18	HP_ST6_J19	HP_ST6_J18	0	0	0	0.02
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J11	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J11_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J11_E	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J11_E	0	0	0	0.05

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Street Segment ID	U/S ID	D/S ID	Velocity x Depth (m ² /s)			
			1:2 year	1:5 year	1:100 year	Climate Change
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J2	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J2_W	0	0	0	0.04
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J2_E	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J2_E	0	0	0	0.04
HP_ST6_J1-LP_ST6_J24_2	J35	LP_ST6_J24	0	0	0	0.01
HP_ST6_J20-HP_ST6_J21	HP_ST6_J20	HP_ST6_J21	0	0	0	0.02
HP_ST6_J20-J29_E	HP_ST6_J20	J29_E	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J1	HP_ST6_J20	LP_ST6_J1_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J1_E	HP_ST6_J20	LP_ST6_J1_E	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J2_1	HP_ST6_J20	J29_W	0	0	0	0.06
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J2_2	J29_W	LP_ST6_J2_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J21-LP_ST6_J10	HP_ST6_J21	LP_ST6_J10	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J22-LP_ST6_J10	HP_ST6_J22	LP_ST6_J10	0	0	0.02	0.02
HP_ST6_J22-LP_ST6_J9	HP_ST6_J22	LP_ST6_J9	0	0	0.01	0.04
HP_ST6_J26-LP_ST6_J5	HP_ST6_J26	LP_ST6_J5	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J27-LP_ST6_J1_1	HP_ST6_J27_W	J28_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J27-LP_ST6_J1_2	J28_W	LP_ST6_J1_W	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J27-LP_ST6_J2	HP_ST6_J27_W	LP_ST6_J26_W	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J2-LP_ST6_J23	HP_ST6_J2	LP_ST6_J23	0	0	0.03	0.04
HP_ST6_J2-LP_ST6_J24	HP_ST6_J2	LP_ST6_J24	0	0	0.02	0.02
HP_ST6_J8-LP_ST6_J19	HP_ST6_J8	LP_ST6_J19	0	0	0	0.01
J12_S-LP_ST6_J25_S	J12_S	LP_ST6_J25_S	0	0	0.01	0.01
J29_E-LP_ST6_J2_E	J29_E	LP_ST6_J2_E	0	0	0	0.05
J32_W-LP_ST6_J21_W	J32_W	LP_ST6_J21_W	0	0	0.02	0.02

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Street Segment ID	U/S ID	D/S ID	Velocity x Depth (m ² /s)			
			1:2 year	1:5 year	1:100 year	Climate Change
J6-LP_ST6_J16	J6	LP_ST6_J16	0	0	0.01	0.02
J7_LP_ST6_J16	J7	LP_ST6_J16	0	0	0.02	0.02

Cascading flow only occurs through the street network in the events greater than the 1:5 year. In rainfall events where cascading flow does occur the velocity x depth of each of these major overland flow routes are under the allowable maximum of 0.6 m²/s and meeting design criteria for the events up to the 1:100 year design storm event.

4.7.3 Storm Sewer HGL Analysis

The storm sewer HGL under the ultimate servicing scenario is shown at each of the manhole nodes in Table 4-10. Where there is no Underside of Footing (USF) associated with the manhole a dash is shown in the table.

Table 4-10: HGL Analysis (12-hour SCS Storm)

MH ID	USF Elevation (m)	1:100 year Event Max HGL (m)	1:100 year Freeboard (m)-	Climate Change Max HGL (m)	Climate Change Freeboard (m)-
EXMH201	-	94.83	-	94.95	-
EXMH203	-	94.91	-	95.02	-
CBMH114	-	95.35	-	95.49	-
CBMH115	-	95.31	-	95.45	-
CBMH116	-	95.26	-	95.4	-
221	96.04	95.19	0.85	95.31	0.73
219	-	95.14	-	95.25	-
224A	96.04	95.11	0.93	95.22	0.82
CBMH2	-	95.57	-	95.57	-
224	-	95.03	-	95.12	-
211	-	95.92	-	96.08	-
210	-	95.9	-	96.06	-
216	-	95.89	-	96.05	-
217	-	95.85	-	96.01	-
218	-	95.77	-	95.93	-
213A	-	95.89	-	96.06	-
214A	-	95.86	-	96.02	-
212	95.28	94.85	0.43	94.97	0.31
213	95.36	94.85	0.51	94.97	0.39
214	95.47	94.85	0.62	94.97	0.5

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

MH ID	USF Elevation (m)	1:100 year Event Max HGL (m)	1:100 year Freeboard (m)-	Climate Change Max HGL (m)	Climate Change Freeboard (m)-
218A	95.52	94.86	0.66	94.98	0.54
215	95.43	94.85	0.58	94.97	0.46
208	-	94.05	-	94.27	-
207	94.89	94	0.89	94.21	0.68
206	95.09	93.94	1.15	94.13	0.96
205	94.24	93.87	0.37	94.05	0.19
201B	94.24	93.8	0.44	93.96	0.28
201	94.54	93.73	0.81	93.88	0.66
200A	-	93.65	-	93.88	-
200	-	93.65	-	93.88	-
CB102	-	94.77	-	94.85	-
CBMH103	94.72	94.51	0.21	94.53	0.19
204A	-	94.06	-	94.29	-
204	-	94.04	-	94.26	-
203	-	95.31	-	95.4	-
202	-	95.31	-	95.4	-
201A	-	95.3	-	95.39	-
CBMH107	-	95.34	-	95.43	-
202A	-	95.32	-	95.41	-
CB108	-	93.68	-	93.88	-
CB109	-	93.67	-	93.88	-
105A	-	93.65	-	93.88	-
CBMH104	-	93.65	-	93.88	-

The simulation results compiled in Table 4-10 shows that:

- All nodes achieve HGLs with 300 mm freeboard to the underside of footing in the 1:100-year event with the smallest freeboard being 300 mm; and,
- All nodes maintain a clearance to the underside of footing in the climate change stress test event.

4.7.4 Storm Sewer HGL Analysis for Existing Arcadia Stages

The storm sewer HGL under the ultimate servicing scenario is shown at each of the existing Arcadia Stages 1 and 2 manhole nodes in Table 4-11. Where there is no Underside of Footing (USF) associated with the manhole, a dash is shown in the table.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

Table 4-11: Storm HGL Analysis Arcadia Stage 1 and 2 (12-hour SCS)

MH ID	USF (m)	Stage 1 or 2 Interim Buildout HGL (m)	Ultimate Buildout HGL (m)	Difference between Stage 1 Interim & Stage 6 Ultimate HGL (m) ⁽¹⁾	Freeboard
MH200	95.15	94.75	94.36	-	0.79
MH201	95.31	94.96	94.57	-	0.74
MH202	95.37	95.07	94.71	-	0.66
MH203	95.43	95.13	94.79	-	0.64
MH204	95.69	95.20	94.92	-	0.77
MH205	97.59	95.87	95.92	0.05	1.67
MH206	97.59	96.01	96.05	0.04	1.54
MH207	97.74	96.50	96.54	0.04	1.20
MH208	97.64	97.13	97.17	0.04	0.47
MH209	96.71	95.74	95.66	-	1.05
MH210	95.94	95.27	95.07	-	0.87
MH211	95.64	95.31	95.16	-	0.48
MH212	96.14	95.54	95.50	-	0.64
MH213	95.89	95.31	95.20	-	0.69
MH214	95.89	95.27	95.15	-	0.74
MH215	95.49	95.15	94.86	-	0.63
MH216	95.39	95.09	94.67	-	0.72
MH217	95.64	95.21	94.74	-	0.90
MH501	97.82	95.72	95.54	-	2.28
MH502	96.32	95.37	94.99	-	1.33
MH503	95.57	94.87	94.23	-	1.34
MH504	95.23	94.53	93.96	-	1.27
MH505	95.16	94.48	93.92	-	1.24
MH506	95.12	94.42	93.87	-	1.25
MH513	97.25	96.48	96.11	-	1.14
MH514	96.87	96.01	95.67	-	1.20
MH515	96.59	96.14	95.74	-	0.85
MH516	96.59	95.63	95.27	-	1.32
MH517	96.52	95.51	95.11	-	1.41

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

MH ID	USF (m)	Stage 1 or 2 Interim Buildout HGL (m)	Ultimate Buildout HGL (m)	Difference between Stage 1 Interim & Stage 6 Ultimate HGL (m) ⁽¹⁾	Freeboard
MH518	96.17	95.26	94.83	-	1.34
MH519	95.32	94.85	94.33	-	0.99
MH520	95.27	94.81	94.26	-	1.01
MH521	95.14	94.81	94.05	-	1.09
MH522	95.14	94.73	94.03	-	1.11
MH523	95.31	94.72	94.03	-	1.28
MH524	95.16	94.63	93.98	-	1.18
MH525	97.77	95.25	95.25	-	2.52
MH526	96.42	95.25	95.16	-	1.26
MH527	95.77	95.05	94.70	-	1.07
MH528	95.47	94.88	94.57	-	0.90
MH529	95.46	94.81	94.51	-	0.95
MH530	95.23	94.68	94.40	-	0.83
MH531	95.11	94.54	94.28	-	0.83
MH533	97.68	95.58	95.58	-	2.10
MH534	96.42	95.78	95.38	-	1.04
MH535	96.04	95.31	94.71	-	1.33
MH536	95.59	95.05	94.56	-	1.03
MH537	96.07	95.16	94.81	-	1.26
MH538	95.31	94.81	94.39	-	0.92
MH539	95.11	94.59	94.23	-	0.88
MH540	95.11	94.46	94.13	-	0.98

(1) If the HGL in ultimate buildout condition is greater than the HGL of the Stage 1 Interim buildout, then the difference in HGL levels is provided.

The simulation results compiled in Table 4-12 shows that:

- The HGL levels for the ultimate buildout condition are lower than the HGL levels in Arcadia Stages 1 and 2 interim buildout conditions, except in Stage 1 at MH205, MH206, MH207, and MH208.
- A minimum freeboard of 0.3m is provided at all manhole nodes in the existing Arcadia Stages.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

The storm sewer HGL under the ultimate servicing scenario is shown at each of the existing Arcadia Stages 3 manhole nodes in Table 4-12. Where there is no Underside of Footing (USF) associated with the manhole, a dash is shown in the table.

Table 4-12: Storm HGL Analysis Arcadia Stage 3 (12-hour SCS)

MH ID	USF (m)	Stage 1 or 2 Interim Buildout HGL (m)	Ultimate Buildout HGL (m)	Difference between Stage 3 & Stage 6 Ultimate HGL (m) ⁽¹⁾	Freeboard
MH100	-	93.65	93.65	-	-

Table 4-12 shows that there is no impact on Donham Lane HGLs from the Stage 6 development.

4.7.5 System Release Rates and Downstream HGLs

The allowable release rates for the system to Donum Lane and Country Glen Road were identified in Section 4.3. The results of the modelling for the 1:100-year event for the three storm distributions are shown in Table 4-13

Table 4-13: System Release Rates Comparison

Event	Stage 6 to Donum Lane (m ³ /s)	Allowable to Donum Lane (m ³ /s)	Stage 6 to Country Glen Road (m ³ /s)	Allowable Release Rate to Country Glen Road (m ³ /s)
3-hour Chicago	0.563	0.567	0.640	0.640
12-hour SCS	0.500	0.567	0.616	0.640
24-hour SCS	0.497	0.567	0.603	0.640

Table 4-13 shows that the system meets the allowable release rate in each of the storm distributions for events up to the 1:100-year event. Since the allowable release rates are achieved the operations of the Paine Pond and Campeau Drive SWMF will be maintained as per the Design Briefs for the facilities and there will be no impact on the downstream Carp River.

4.8 Summary and Conclusions

The stormwater servicing and management concept is proposed to provide stormwater servicing for Arcadia Stage 6, as shown on the Servicing Plan (Drawing S1 and S2).

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

5.0 Erosion and Sedimentation Control

Erosion and sediment control measures, as outlined in the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites, will be implemented to trap sediment on site. The following erosion and sediment control measures can be implemented during construction as shown on the Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (Drawing ESC):

- Supply and installation of a silt fence barrier, as per OPSD 219.110.
- Supply and installation of siltsack or sentinel CB inserts between the frame and cover of catch basins and maintenance holes adjacent to the project area during construction, to prevent sediment from entering the sewer system.
- Stockpiling of material during construction is to be located along flat areas away from drainage paths. For material placed on sloped areas, stockpiles are to be enclosed with a silt fence to protect watercourses.
- All catch basins are to be equipped with sumps, inspected frequently, and cleaned as required.
- Temporary ICDs are to be placed blocking part of the sewer pipe in the connecting storm maintenance holes to eliminate construction debris from entering the existing storm sewer system. The ICDs are to be removed after the proposed storm sewers have been fully cleaned.
- A mud mat is to be built at each of the site entranceways to prevent the transport of sediment onto paved surfaces. The mud mat shall be:
 - Minimum of 20 m in length for the full width of the entrance way (10 m wide minimum).
 - Minimum of 400 mm thick underlain with a geotextile (or graded aggregate filter); and
 - Constructed with 50 mm diameter clear stone for the first 10 m (extending from the paved street) and the remainder of the length with 150 mm diameter clear stone.

The proposed removal and reinstatement measures as well as the erosion control measures shall conform to the following documents:

- “Guidelines on Erosion and Sediment Control for Urban Construction Sites” published by Ontario Ministries of Natural Resources, Environment, Municipal Affairs, and Transportation & Communication, Association of Construction Authorities of Ontario and Urban Development Institute, Ontario, May 1987.
- “MTO Drainage Manual”, Chapter F: “Erosion of Materials and Sediment Control”, Ministry of Transportation & Communications, 1985.
- “Erosion and Sediment Control” Training Manual by Ministry of Environment, Spring 1998.
- Applicable Regulations and Guidelines of the Ministry of Natural Resources.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Servicing of Minto's Arcadia Stage 6 development, as depicted on the detailed design drawings, has been accounted for in previous studies completed for the subject area. In General, the lands will be serviced as follows:

- Water servicing will be provided by connections to existing watermains on Country Glen Way and Donum Lane, and to the existing feedermain located on Campeau Drive.
- Wastewater servicing will be provided by a local sanitary sewer system that will outlet to existing sanitary sewers on Campeau Drive.
- Storm servicing is to be provided on-site by means of local sewers that outlet to the existing storm sewers on Donum Land and Country Glen way.
- Flows in excess of the prescribed allowable peak flow are to be detained by means of on-site storage methods; either above ground or underground or a combination of both.

Site Servicing Report

Arcadia Stage 6

This report has been prepared by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for Minto Communities Inc.'s exclusive use. Its discussions and conclusions are summary in nature and cannot properly be used, interpreted or extended to other purposes without a detailed understanding and discussions with the client as to its mandated purpose, scope and limitations. This report is based on information, drawings, data, or reports provided by the named client, its agents, and certain other suppliers or third parties, as applicable, and relies upon the accuracy and completeness of such information. Any inaccuracy or omissions in information provided, or changes to applications, designs, or materials may have a significant impact on the accuracy, reliability, findings, or conclusions of this report.

This report was prepared for the sole benefit and use of the named client and may not be used or relied on by any other party without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited, and anyone intending to rely upon this report is advised to contact J.L. Richards & Associates Limited in order to obtain permission and to ensure that the report is suitable for their purpose.

J.L. RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED

Prepared by:



Ivan Dzeperoski, P. Eng.,
Water Resources Engineer

Reviewed by:



Karla Ferrey, P.Eng.,
Senior Associate,
Manager, Ottawa, Civil Development
Senior Civil Engineer

Appendix A1

Concept Plan, Draft Plan of
Subdivision and Topographical
Survey

THESE DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED. ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE VERIFIED BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK. ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED DIRECTLY TO SRN ARCHITECTS INC.


NO.	DATE	ISSUED FOR:
8	26-AUG-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
9	23-SEP-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
10	09-NOV-22	REV PER SPA COMMENTS
11	18-NOV-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
12	17-JAN-23	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
13	24-FEB-23	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
14	12-APR-23	RE-ISSUED FOR SPA
15	29-MAY-23	RE-ISSUED FOR PERMIT
15	12-JUN-23	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
15	29-JUN-23	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT

ADDITIONAL NOTES:
PART OF BLOCK 2, REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563 AND PART OF LOT 3 CONCESSION 1
 (GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF HAWK) STANTEC GEOMATICS, 2022

LEGEND	
	MAIN ENTRANCE
	BICYCLE PARKING. REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
	BARRIER FREE PARKING
	VISITOR PARKING
	SEE PLAN
	FH FIRE HYDRANT. REFER TO CIVIL DRAWINGS
	LS LIGHT POLE. REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS
	LB LIGHT BOLLARD. REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS
	WM WALL MOUNTED LIGHT FIXTURE. REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS
	FR FIRE ROUTE SIGN AS PER CITY STANDARD
	BFPB BARRIER FREE PARKING SIGN. AS PER CITY STANDARD
	SS STOP SIGN
	IFZS IDLE FREE ZONE SIGN
	PS POPS SIGN
	DC TACTILE INDICATOR
	DC DEPRESSED CURB
	G GAS METER
	HM HYDRO METER
	NFBH NON FREEZABLE HOSE BIB
	EVC ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION
	SC SHAMASE CONNECTION
	SN SUITE NUMBER
	FBB FIRE BREAK BLOCK
	LLE LOWER LEVEL ELEVATION
	FEE FIRST FLOOR ELEVATION

PRELIMINARY, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
 ALL AREA CALCULATIONS ARE PRELIMINARY


NO.	DATE	REVISION/COMMENT:




1 SITE PLAN
 SP-100 1:650




KEY PLAN




2 CONCRETE PAD SCALE: N.T.S.




3 TYPICAL STEEL BOLLARDS SCALE: N.T.S.






4 DEPRESSED CONCRETE CURB SCALE: N.T.S.



5 SECTION - CONCRETE CURB/SIDEWALK SCALE: N.T.S.



6 TYP. CONCRETE CURB SCALE: N.T.S.



SITE STATISTICS			
PROVISION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED	COMPLIANCE
NET LOT AREA (excludes dedication):	NO MINIMUM	48559.08 SM [522885.59 SF]	N/A
DEDICATION AREA (municipal park):	NO MINIMUM	5577.22 SM [60032.69 SF]	N/A
GROSS LOT AREA (includes dedication):	1400 SM	54136.30 SM [582718.27 SF]	YES
BUILDING AREA	NO MINIMUM	13394.78 SM [144180.24 SF]	N/A
LOT COVERAGE (building area/net lot area):	NO MINIMUM	27.58 %	N/A
GROSS FLOOR AREA	NO MINIMUM	40205.88 SM [432772.49 SF]	N/A
NET F.S.I. (gross floor area/net lot area):	NO MINIMUM	0.81	N/A
GROSS F.S.I. (gross floor area/gross lot area):	NO MINIMUM	0.73	N/A
SETBACKS:			
MIN. FRONT YARD:	3.00 M	4.46 M	YES
MIN. CORNER SIDE YARD (WEST):	3.00 M	3.00 M	YES
MIN. CORNER SIDE YARD (EAST):	3.00 M	5.5 M	YES
MIN. REAR YARD:	6.00 M	13.95 M	YES
NUMBER OF TOWNHOUSE UNITS:		264 SUITES	
METRO-TOWNS - 2 BED:		104 SUITES	
TRADITIONAL-TOWNS - 3 BED:		368 SUITES	
TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS:		368 SUITES	
TOTAL PARKING SPACES:		208 PS	RATIO
RESIDENT PARKING SPACES (TRADITIONAL-TOWNS):	2 SPACES/UNIT = (1640.5) MIN. 208 PS	SURFACE: 208 UG: 0	2:1
VISITOR PARKING SPACES (TRADITIONAL-TOWNS) (INCLUDES BARRIER FREE PS):	N/A	SURFACE: 0 UG: 0	N/A
TOTAL PARKING SPACES:		306 PS	
RESIDENT PARKING SPACES (METRO-TOWNS):	0.5 SPACES/UNIT = (2040.5) MIN. 208 PS	SURFACE: 116 UG: 196	1:1
VISITOR PARKING SPACES (METRO-TOWNS) (INCLUDES BARRIER FREE PS):	0.1 SPACES/UNIT = (2040.5) MIN. 27 SP	SURFACE: 35 UG: 0	0.1:1
BARRIER FREE PARKING SPACES DEDICATED FOR VISITORS: [As per City of Ottawa Traffic and Parking By-law (No. 2017-301), Section 111]	0	SURFACE: 3 UG: 0	N/A
BICYCLE SPACES (METRO-TOWNS):			
LONG-TERM BICYCLE SPACES:	0.5 SPACES/UNIT = (2040.5) MIN. 132		132
TOTAL LANDSCAPE:		17885.58 SM [192518.74 SF]	YES
LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE AREA:			
SOFT LANDSCAPE AREA:	N/A	12464.27 SM [134164.29 SF] (69.68% OF LANDSCAPING)	N/A
HARD LANDSCAPE AREA:	N/A	5421.31 SM [58354.45 SF] (30.31% OF LANDSCAPING)	N/A
AMENITY:			
TOTAL AMENITY AREA:	284 UNITS X 6 SM = 1554 SM	LOWER RATIO = 16 SM X 132 UNITS = 2112 SM + BALCONY AREA = 8 SM X 132 = 1056 SM = 2904 SM TOTAL PRIVATE AREA + PRIVATE (2904 SM) + COMMUNAL (1714 SM) = 4618 SM TOTAL AMENITY	YES
(6 SM PER METRO UNIT INCLUDES PRIVATE + COMMUNAL)			
COMMUNAL AMENITY AREA: (50% OF REQUIRED)	284 UNITS X 3 SM = 792 SM	AREA BETWEEN MT-11 TO MT-14 (475 SM) + AREA BETWEEN MT-09 & MT-10 (308 SM) + AREA BEHIND BLOCK 15 & 16 (687 SM) + AREA SOUTH OF MT-01 (244 SM) = 1714 SM TOTAL COMMUNAL AREA	YES

SRN ARCHITECTS
 8395 JANE STREET, SUITE 203
 VAUGHAN, ONTARIO, L4K 5Y2
 PHONE: 905-417-5515 FAX: 905-417-5517

© SRN ARCHITECTS INC. 2020



Minto Communities Canada
 200-180 Kent Street
 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 0B6

PROJECT: **PARKSIDE**
 Ottawa, Ontario

DRAWING TITLE: **SITE PLAN**

DATE: 2022-02-14 SCALE: 1:500
 DRAWN BY: AB CHECKED BY: GR

PROJECT NUMBER: **S22009** DRAWING NUMBER: **A100**



PLAN 4M-

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS PLAN 4M-_____ IS REGISTERED IN THE LAND REGISTRY OFFICE FOR THE LAND TITLES DIVISION OF OTTAWA-CARLETON (NO. 4) AT _____ O'CLOCK ON THE _____ DAY OF _____ 2022 AND ENTERED IN THE REGISTER FOR P.I.N. _____ AND THE REQUIRED CONSENTS ARE REGISTERED AS PLAN DOCUMENT NUMBER OC-_____

REPRESENTATIVE FOR LAND REGISTRAR

THIS PLAN COMPRISES ALL OF PINS 04510-1211, 04510-1092, 04510-1209 AND 04510-1210. PART OF BLOCK 1 IS SUBJECT TO EASEMENT AS IN INSTRUMENT OC2248967.

PLAN OF SUBDIVISION OF
**PART OF BLOCK 2,
 REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563 AND
 PART OF LOT 3
 CONCESSION 1**
 (GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF MARCH)
 CITY OF OTTAWA

Scale 1:500

METRIC CONVERSION
 DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

GRID SCALE CONVERSION
 DISTANCES ARE GROUND AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO GRID BY MULTIPLYING BY THE COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.99914.

BEARING NOTE
 BEARINGS ARE GRID, DERIVED FROM THE CAN-NET VRS NETWORK OBSERVATIONS ON NCC HORIZONTAL CONTROL MONUMENTS 1972035 AND 19680191, CENTRAL MERIDIAN, 76°32' WEST LONGITUDE MTM ZONE 9, NAD83 (ORIGINAL).

19772035 N:5026040.42 E:324888.04
 19680191 N:5033564.26 E:388054.94

LEGEND

□	DENOTES	FOUND MONUMENTS (STANTEC)
□	SET MONUMENTS (IB)	SET MONUMENTS (IB)
IB	IRON BAR	UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED
SIB	ROUND IRON BAR	
SIB	STANDARD IRON BAR	
CC	CUT CROSS	
CP	CONCRETE PIN	
WIT	WITNESS	
PIN	PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	
M/MEAS	MEASURED	
PROP	PROPORTIONED	
OU	ORIGIN UNKNOWN	
STANTEC	STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD.	
P1	REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1661	

OWNER'S CERTIFICATE
 THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT:
 1. BLOCK 1 HAS BEEN LAID OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH OUR INSTRUCTIONS.

DATE _____

 VICE-PRESIDENT, LAND DEVELOPMENT
 MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
 I HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO BIND THE CORPORATION

DATE _____

 MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
 I HAVE THE AUTHORITY TO BIND THE CORPORATION

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
 I CERTIFY THAT:
 1. THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SURVEYS ACT, THE SURVEYORS ACT AND THE LAND TITLES ACT AND THE REGULATIONS MADE UNDER THEM.
 2. THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE DAY OF _____, 2022.

DATE _____
 FRANCIS LAU
 ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR

Stantec Geomatics Ltd.
 CANADA LANDS SURVEYORS
 ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS
 1331 CYDIE AVENUE, SUITE 300
 OTTAWA, ONTARIO, K1C 3G4
 TEL: 613.722.4400
 stantec.com

DRAWN: ME PVA * CHECKED: * FIELD: * PROJECT No.: 161614463-132A

OBSERVED REFERENCE POINTS DERIVED FROM THE CAN-NET VRS NETWORK GPS OBSERVATIONS ON NCC HORIZONTAL CONTROL MONUMENTS 19772035 AND 19680191, CENTRAL MERIDIAN, 76°32' WEST LONGITUDE MTM ZONE 9, NAD83 (ORIGINAL). COORDINATES TO URBAN ACCURACY PER SEC 14(2) OF O. REG. 216/10

POINT ID	NORTHING	EASTING
①	5017917.79	349316.85
②	5018259.72	349571.94

COORDINATES CANNOT, IN THEMSELVES, BE USED TO RE-ESTABLISH CORNERS OR BOUNDARIES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

TOPOGRAPHIC SKETCH OF
REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1661
AND
PART OF BLOCK 2
REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563
AND
PART OF LOT 3
CONCESSION 1
 (GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF MARCH)

CITY OF OTTAWA
 Scale 1:100

Stantec Geomatics Ltd.
 ONTARIO LAND SURVEYORS

BOUNDARY NOTE
 BOUNDARY NETWORK AND INFORMATION IS COPIED FROM REGISTERED PLAN 1563 AND IS NOT BASED ON ACTUAL SURVEY.

METRIC CONVERSION
 DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048

ELEVATION NOTE
 ELEVATIONS SHOWN HEREIN ARE GEODESIC (CGVD 1928-1978) AND ARE DERIVED FROM THE CANMET VRS NETWORK (MONUMENT OTTAWA ELEVATION=95.286)

LEGEND

SYMBOL	NOTES	FOUND MONUMENTS
IB	SET MONUMENTS	IRON BAR
IBB	ROUNDER IRON BAR	ROUNDER IRON BAR
SB	STANDARD IRON BAR	STANDARD IRON BAR
SBIB	SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR	SHORT STANDARD IRON BAR
CC	CUT CROSS	CUT CROSS
CP	CONCRETE PIN	CONCRETE PIN
WT	WITNESS	WITNESS
PN	PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER	PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION NUMBER
M/MEAS	MEASURED	MEASURED
PROF	PROFICED	PROFICED
ORGN	ORIGIN UNKNOWN	ORIGIN UNKNOWN
STANTEC	STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD.	STANTEC GEOMATICS LTD.
P1	REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563	REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563
P2	REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1661	REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1661
CP	CATCH BASIN	CATCH BASIN
SCIP	SIDE INLET CURB	SIDE INLET CURB
UV	UTILITY ACCESS	UTILITY ACCESS
LS	LIGHT STANDARD	LIGHT STANDARD
MCSAN	MAINTENANCE HOLE SANITARY	MAINTENANCE HOLE SANITARY
MCSW	MAINTENANCE HOLE SEWER	MAINTENANCE HOLE SEWER
MCSW	MAINTENANCE HOLE SEWER	MAINTENANCE HOLE SEWER
SN	SIGN	SIGN
TR	TRAFFIC CONTROL BOX	TRAFFIC CONTROL BOX
UP	UTILITY POLE	UTILITY POLE
OW	OVERHEAD WIRE	OVERHEAD WIRE

SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE
 I CERTIFY THAT:
 1. THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE 21st DAY OF MARCH, 2022.

DATE: FRANCIS LAU
 ONTARIO LAND SURVEYOR



DRAWN: TMT CHECKED: FL PML FIELD: CA PROJECT NO.: 16161463-111

Appendix A2

Pre-consultation Meeting Notes

Pre-application Consultation Meeting Notes

Site Address: 370 Huntmar Drive and 450 Huntmar Drive

Location: Virtual - Microsoft Teams

Meeting Date: August 12, 2021

Attendees: Colette Gorni – Planner, City of Ottawa
Wendy Tse – Planner, City of Ottawa
Justin Armstrong – Project Manager (Infrastructure), City of Ottawa
Mark Young – Planner (Urban Design), City of Ottawa
Jeff Goettling – Planner (Parks), City of Ottawa
Mike Russett – Planner (Parks), City of Ottawa
Jeffrey Ren – Co-op Student, City of Ottawa
Matt Craig – MVCA
Erica Ogden – MVCA
Curtiss Scarlett – Minto Communities Inc.
Bronwyn Anderson – Minto Communities Inc.
Kiara Gonzales – Minto Communities Inc.
Danielle Forget – Minto Communities Inc.
Alexandre Tourigny – J.L Richards
Eric Forhan – J.L Richards
Lucie Dalrymple – J.L Richards
Andrew Harte – CGH Transportation

Regrets: Mark Richardson – Planning Forester, City of Ottawa
Mike Giampa – Project Manager (Transportation), City of Ottawa
Matthew Hayley – Planner (Environmental), City of Ottawa

APPLICANT COMMENTS:

1. Two separate developments are proposed:
 - a. Stage 5 will be a Plan of Subdivision application and a Zoning By-law Amendment application:
 - Stage 5 is located east of Arcadia Stages 1-4; it is the last piece north of Campeau Drive;
 - Existing infrastructure extends to the boundaries of the site and two accesses off of Winterset Road are proposed;
 - A total of 225 low-rise units are proposed in the form of singles, townhouses and back-to-back townhouses; and,

- The site is designated as General Urban Area in the Official Plan; the Carp River Restoration Policy Area Overlay applies; and the site is zoned Development Reserve (DR).
- b. Stage 6 will be a Site Plan Control application and a Zoning By-law Amendment application:
- Stage 6 lands are located south of Campeau Drive, the parcel closest to the intersection of Huntmar Drive and Campeau Drive was sold by Minto to a hotel developer;
 - The site is designated as Mixed-Use Centre in the Official Plan, the Kanata West Concept Plan identifies this area as a community core, and the site is zoned Development Reserve;
 - Land dedications have been made for the proposed future Campeau Station LRT Station;
 - Two accesses, one off of Campeau Drive is proposed and one off of Country Glen Way, are proposed;
 - Infrastructure connections are proposed to be made from Country Glen Way and Donum Lane;
 - A total of 480 units are proposed in the form of stacked townhouses (please note that the submitted plans reference a higher unit count); and,
 - 2 communal amenity spaces are proposed.
2. The separate applications for both developments are expected to be submitted in September 2021 (Stage 5) and October 2021 (Stage 6).

STAFF COMMENTS:

Planning

Stage 5

1. A Major Zoning By-law Amendment application will be required for the Stage 5 lands to permit the proposed development. Urban Exception 1932 can be removed through this application as the Holding Symbol has now been lifted.
2. A new Plan of Subdivision application will be required to permit the proposed development, as Stage 5 was not included in the previous draft approval.
3. Please note that there is a 30cm reserve along Winterset Road that will need to be lifted.
4. Staff are generally satisfied with the current layout.

5. Please consider adding another pedestrian connection between Street 1 and 6; please consider providing a pedestrian plan with the application submissions.
6. Please submit a streetscape plan with your application. The location of trees and sidewalks should be considered early in the design process.

Stage 6

7. A Major Zoning By-law Amendment application will be required to permit the proposed development on the Stage 6 lands.
8. The Phase 6 lands previously received draft approval through a previous plan of subdivision application (File No. D07-16-16-0025).
9. It is understood that the applicant currently intends to allow the draft approval to lapse and pursue Site Plan Control and Plan of Condominium applications to permit the proposed development.
 - a. The proposed development requires a Complex (Manager Approval, Public Consultation) Site Plan Control Application.
10. Please note that there are 30cm reserves along Country Glen Way, Campeau, and Donum Lane.
11. It is understood that the applicant is interested in straightening the jagged section of the Country Glen Way right-of-way. Staff have reach out to the City's Corporate Real Estate Office (CREO) to discuss the possibility of a land swap, and will provide more information once a response is received.
12. Please ensure that adequate bicycle parking is provided both in and outside the proposed storage building.
13. Please review the City's Urban Design Guidelines for Transit Oriented Development to ensure that proposed development conforms to the guidelines

General

14. Fees and forms for the above mentioned applications can be found [here](#); please note that each planning application fee will be reduced by 10 per cent if two or more applications are submitted at the same time and for the same lands.
15. Please ensure that each submission considers the Official Plan policies that are applicable at the time of the submission of the application
 - a. If a complete application is received by no later than the day before the new Official Plan is adopted (October 2021), it will be processed on the basis of existing Official Plan policy provided it is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement.

- b. Applications received after the day before the new Official Plan is adopted (October 2021), will be reviewed and evaluated on the basis of the policies of the new Official Plan, which is consistent with the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement.

Please contact the Planner, Colette Gorni, at Colette.Gorni@ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

Urban Design

Stage 5

1. A design brief is required. A terms of reference is attached.
2. Please ensure the pathway connections to the Carp River Open Space Lands are accessible. This may require co-locating the two blocks in the vicinity of Lot 25 and Block 8 to provide additional length for these blocks.
3. Please consider locations for sidewalks and trees at the time of submission, as it relates to utilities and clay soils.
4. Orientation of units to minimize the need for noise walls on Winterset Road should be considered.
5. A pathway connection should be provided within Block 43 – Dry Pond to provide access to Winterset Road.

Stage 6

6. A design brief is required. A terms of reference is attached.
7. The subject lands are located within a design priority area. A high-quality site and building design are expected, suitable for a mixed use centre.
8. Consideration should be given to providing more than one product/dwelling type for this site.
9. Options to eliminate the need for a single loaded private street abutting Campeau Drive should be explored.
10. The current access on Campeau should be considered for a more urban treatment vs. a pork chop island.
11. The units abutting Campeau Drive in the Western Block, should be oriented to be in alignment with Campeau Drive vs. being offset.
12. The treatment of built form abutting Country Glen Way should be reviewed. Opportunities to regularize this property line should also be explored if possible.
13. Connectivity to the MUP along Feedmill Creek should be strengthened at key locations.

14. Consideration should be given to allowing for live/work arrangements at grade.
15. Consideration should be given to allowing for a mix of uses on-site.

Please contact Urban Design Planner Mark Young at Mark.Young@ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

Engineering

1. The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following address: <http://ottawa.ca/en/development-application-review-process-0/servicing-study-guidelines-development-applications>
2. Servicing and site works shall be in accordance with the following documents:
 - ⇒ Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
 - ⇒ Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (2010)
 - ⇒ Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January, 2016)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Park and Pathway Development Manual (2012)
 - ⇒ City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012)
 - ⇒ Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
 - ⇒ Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
3. Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at InformationCentre@ottawa.ca or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x.44455).
4. The Stormwater Management Criteria, for the subject site, is to be based on the criteria outlined in the KWMSS. Understanding that deviations have been made to the KWMSS in previous phases and that Phases 5 & 6 are the final two phases in the Arcadia subdivision area, and that infrastructure and stormwater management facilities surrounding the sites have been constructed as part of previous phases of development, it will be important to demonstrate that the surrounding infrastructure has been designed with enough capacity to support the proposed developments.

Deviations from previous design assumption shall be justified in the plans and reports.

5. As was mentioned in the pre-consultation meeting, Phases 5 & 6 are the final two phases in the Arcadia subdivision area, and the surrounding infrastructure intended to provide servicing for Phases 5 & 6 has been constructed as part of previous Phases. The plans and reports that are to be submitted in support of Phase 5 & 6 will need to demonstrate that the surrounding/downstream infrastructure has been designed with enough capacity to support the proposed developments and that any works required by the KWMSS to support the proposed developments have been completed. Any deviations within Phase 5 & 6 from previous design assumptions will need to be clearly justified.
6. Preference for servicing of Phase 5 would be entirely internal to Phase 5 (i.e., individual building service connections to Winterset to be avoided) in order to eliminate any potential service disruptions to existing residents.
7. Water Boundary condition requests must include the location of the service and the expected loads required by the proposed development. Please provide the following information:
 - i. Location of service
 - ii. Type of development and the amount of fire flow required (as per FUS, 1999).
 - iii. Average daily demand: ___ l/s.
 - iv. Maximum daily demand: ___ l/s.
 - v. Maximum hourly daily demand: ___ l/s.
8. As mentioned in the pre-consultation meeting, soil and geotechnical conditions are of potential concern for these sites. Sufficient justification should be provided to support the feasibility of Phase 5 and 6 proposals from a geotechnical perspective. For these proposals, where sensitive marine clays exist, the following information must be provided to the City:
 - A map that shows:
 - i. Location and depth of sensitive soils
 - ii. Location of utilities

iii. Location of proposed landscaping

9. MOECC ECA Requirements

It is anticipated that an MECP Environmental Compliance Approval(s) (ECA) will be needed (or existing will need to be amended) for sewers as well as for any deviation from previous ECA approvals.

10. Phase 1 ESAs and Phase 2 ESAs must conform to clause 4.8.4 of the Official Plan that requires that development applications conform to Ontario Regulation 153/04.

Please contact Infrastructure Project Manager Justin Armstrong at Justin.Armstrong@ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

Transportation

1. A TIA is warranted- proceed to scoping.
2. The application will not be deemed complete until the submission of the draft step 1-4, including the functional draft RMA package (if applicable) and/or monitoring report (if applicable). Although a full review of the TIA Strategy report (Step 4) is not required prior to an application, it is strongly recommended.
3. Synchro files are required with Step 4.
4. ROW protection on Campeau is 37.5m.
5. Geometric Road Design (GRD) drawings will be required with the first submission of underground infrastructure and grading drawings.
6. These drawings should include such items as, but is not limited to:
 - a. Road Signage and Pavement Marking for the subdivision;
 - b. Intersection control measure at new internal intersections; and
 - c. Location of depressed curbs and TWSIs;
 - d. Include traffic calming measures on roads within the limits of their subdivision to limit vehicular speed to 30 kph and improve pedestrian safety. These measures may include either vertical or horizontal features.
7. Site triangles at the following locations on the final plan will be required:
 - a. Local Road to Local Road: 3 metre x 3 metres
 - b. Local Road to Collector Road: 5 metre x 5 metres
 - c. Collector Road to Collector Road: 5 metre x 5 metres

- d. Collector Road to Arterial Road: 5 metre x 5 metres
8. A Road Noise Impact Study is required.
 9. Please note that all new applications (pre-consultation meetings dated after March 3, 2021) must use the NEW TRANS Trip Generation Manual when forecasting site generated trips using this manual. The TRANS committee (a joint transportation planning committee serving the National Capital region) finalized a new manual early in March 2021. The document will be available in French and English on the TRANS website <http://www.ncr-trans-rcn.ca/surveys/2009-trip-generation>. The new manual has simplified the conversion from vehicle trips to person trips and then trips by modal share.
 10. Any Development Charge road work may be front ended by the applicant, so long as the work is listed in the affordable network. Repayment will be based on warrants, as determined solely by the Transportation Services Department. A Front Ending application is required.

Please contact Transportation Project Manager Mike Giampa at Mike.Giampa@ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

Parks

1. Staff understand that the applicant intends to provide cash-in-lieu rather than dedicate land for parkland for both Stages 5 and 6.
2. Please confirm lands that are to be dedicated to the City (e.g., corner park blocks, dry ponds, open space blocks, etc.) in each application..
3. Please provide more information on pedestrian pathways to the adjacent park and open space blocks for Stage 5.
4. Please reach out to Councillor Sudds to discuss the cash-in-lieu of parkland proposal.

Please contact Parks Planner Mike Russett at Mike.Russett@ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

Environment

1. Up-dated EIS, should focus on the transition from the developed lands to the natural area/watercourse blocks.
2. Implementing all recommendations from older EIS if still applicable.

Please contact Environmental Planner Matthew Hayley at Matthew.Hayley@ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

Forestry

TCR requirements:

1. A Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City
 - a. An approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval.
 - b. The TCR may be combined with eh LP provided all information is supplied
2. As of January 1 2021, any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter, or publicly (City) owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 – 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.
3. The Planning Forester from Planning and Growth Management as well as foresters from Forestry Services will review the submitted TCR
 - a. If tree removal is required, both municipal and privately-owned trees will be addressed in a single permit issued through the Planning Forester
 - b. Compensation may be required for city owned trees – if so, it will need to be paid prior to the release of the tree permit
4. The TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ extends into the developed area, by species, diameter and health condition
5. Please identify trees by ownership – private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, co-owned (trees on a property line)
6. the TCR must list all trees on adjacent sites if they have a critical root zone that extends onto the development site
7. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained
8. All retained trees must be shown and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at [Tree Protection Specification](#) or by searching Ottawa.ca
 - a. The location of tree protection fencing must be shown on a plan;
 - b. Show the critical root zone of the retained trees; and,
 - c. If excavation will occur within the critical root zone, please show the limits of excavation .

9. The City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.

For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Mark Richardson mark.richardson@ottawa.ca or on [City of Ottawa](#)

Landscape Plan Tree Planting requirements:

10. Minimum Setbacks

- a. Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track.
- b. Maintain 2.5m from curb
- c. Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
- d. Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing.
- e. Adhere to Ottawa Hydro's planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when planting around overhead primary conductors.

11. Tree specifications

- a. Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
- b. Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy coverage
- c. Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa's Tree Planting Specification; and include watering and warranty as described in the specification (can be provided by Forestry Services).
- d. Plant native trees whenever possible
- e. No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
- f. No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)

12. Hard surface planting

- a. Curb style planter is highly recommended
- b. No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be provided) shall be used.

- c. Trees are to be planted at grade

13. Soil Volume

- a. Please ensure adequate soil volumes are met:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

**Please note that these soil volumes are not applicable in cases with Sensitive Marine Clay.

14. Sensitive Marine Clay

- a. Please follow the City's 2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines.

Please contact Adam Palmer at adam.palmer@Ottawa.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the landscape plan tree planting requirements.

MVCA

1. MVCA staff have recently reviewed permit applications and related documents required as part of the Lifting of a Holding Symbol application for the Stage 5 lands.
2. Please ensure that the Campeau pond setbacks and LRT alignment are considered as the site designs for both applications are further refined.
3. Please note that the MVCA will be conducting a floodplain mapping update by the end of the year.
4. Please refer to MVCA comments provided for previous stages of the Arcadia subdivision.

Please contact the MVCA's Planning Manager, Matt Craig, at MCraig@mvc.on.ca if you have any questions or require additional information relating to the comments above.

NEXT STEPS:


Please refer to the links to [Guide to preparing studies and plans](#) and [fees](#) for further information. Additional information is available related to [building permits](#), [development charges](#), and the [Accessibility Design Standards](#). Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting informationcentre@ottawa.ca.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.

Please do not hesitate to Colette Gorni, at Colette.Gorni@ottawa.ca, if you have any questions.


Appendix A3

As-Built Information



AS CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION
 PRODUCED FROM INFORMATION PROVIDED BY FIELD INSPECTOR
 Date: DECEMBER 17, 2019
 J.L. RICHARDS & ASSOCIATES LIMITED


NOTE: ALL SANITARY SERVICES FOR BLOCKS 38, 39, 40, 41 AND 42 ARE REQUIRED TO HAVE CONTROLLED SETTLEMENT JOINTS INSTALLED.



LEGEND:

- EXISTING CATCH BASIN
- PROPOSED CATCH BASIN c/w ICD
- PROPOSED MAINTENANCE HOLE c/w ICD
- FUTURE CATCH BASIN BY OTHERS
- PROPOSED CATCH BASIN LEAD
- PROPOSED ELBOW CATCH BASIN
- PROPOSED TEE CATCH BASIN
- PROPOSED 3-WAY CATCH BASIN
- 200mm PERFORATED PIPE AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD DRAWING S29
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN, VALVE & HYDRANT
- PROPOSED WATERMAIN REDUCER
- EXISTING WATERMAIN, VALVE & HYDRANT
- EXISTING SANITARY SEWER & MANHOLE
- EXISTING STORM SEWER & MANHOLE
- PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER & MANHOLE
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER & MANHOLE
- MANHOLE NUMBER AND SIZE (mm) c/w SAFETY PLATFORM PER OPSD 840-0
- SINGLE SERVICE CONNECTION (STORM, SANITARY AND WATER)
- DOUBLE SERVICE CONNECTION (STORM, SANITARY AND WATER)
- SERVICE CONNECTION REQUIRING BENDS
- PHASING LIMIT
- ACOUSTIC WALL
- CONCRETE SIDEWALK
- ASPHALT SIDEWALK
- GRAVEL ACCESS ROAD

WINTERSSET ROAD & DONUM LANE



DESIGN PROFILE ELEVATIONS	W.M. TOP ELEVATIONS	STORM SEWER INV. ELEVATION	SANITARY SEWER INV. ELEVATION	C.L. ROADWAY STATION
85.505	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+25.00
85.411	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+40.00
85.354	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+150.19
85.307	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+152.20
85.307	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+165.79
85.307	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+158.35
85.325	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+160.00
85.300	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+162.90
85.310	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+165.05
85.310	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+166.85
85.310	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+172.47
85.325	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+180.00
85.355	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+184.08
85.659	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+188.04
85.759	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+200.00
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+201.05
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+204.05
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+229.50
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+238.24
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+240.00
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+241.86
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+246.53
86.110	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+248.57
86.230	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+260.00
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+264.08
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+275.07
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+280.00
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+301.03
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+313.50
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+314.46
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+315.73
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+318.89
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+320.00
86.265	92.461	90.531	91.250	5+327.12

No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DDMMYY
09	AS-CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION ADDED	17/12/2019
08	ISSUED FOR SH-006 - GEOMETRY UPDATES	13/09/2019
07	ISSUED FOR SH-003 - SERVICE LATERAL UPDATES FOR 2-CAR GARAGE UNITS	13/08/2019
06	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	28/06/2019
05	ISSUED FOR TENDER	11/06/2019
04	ISSUED TO MECP REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	23/05/2019

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.
 VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.
 SCALE: 1:500H, 1:50V
 NOTE: SEE LEGEND & GENERAL NOTES FOR SERVICE BEND REQUIREMENTS.







CONSULTANT:
 PROJECT NORTH

PROFESSIONAL STAMP


PROJECT:
MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
ARCADIA STAGE 3
 450 HUNTMAR DRIVE
 DRAWING:
PLAN & PROFILE
WINTERSSET ROAD & DONUM LANE
 STA 5+125 TO 5+327.12

DESIGN: AT
 DRAWN: CJM
 CHECKED: LD
 JLR #: 26299-03
 DRAWING #: **02**



REVIEWED BY
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES BRANCH

Signed _____
Date _____ 2016
Plan Number _____



APPROVED REFUSED

THIS DAY OF _____, 20__



DERRICK MOODIE, ACTING MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES

No.	Description	Date	Checked
10	As-built	17:01:17	DPS
9	Revised as per City Comments	16:08:26	DGY
8	Revised as per new Campeau & asphalt w/m	16:08:03	DGY
7	Issued for Construction	16:07:13	DGY
6	Add Interim Access Rd	16:05:16	DGY
5	Issued for Tender	16:04:05	DGY
4	Revised as per City Comments	14:10:02	DGY
3	Revised as per City Comments	14:08:22	DGY
2	Issued for SPA Resubmission	14:06:27	DGY
1	Issued for SPA	13.11.18	D.G.Y.

Issued for _____


All measurements and conditions must be checked on the work by the contractor. This drawing not to be used for construction until signed.

Date _____



PROP OF ROAD	TOP OF WATERMAIN	STORM SEWER	SANITARY SEWER	STATION
97.90	94.24	95.105	92.58E	0+080
97.990	94.13	94.14N	94.45N	0+100
97.690	95.30	94.11E	94.12E	0+120
97.789	95.43	94.11E	94.12E	0+140
97.911	95.55	94.09N	94.09N	0+160
98.031	95.64	94.09N	94.09N	0+180
98.089	95.60	94.09N	94.09N	0+200
97.959	95.62	94.09N	94.09N	0+220
97.707	95.08	94.09N	94.09N	0+240
97.455	95.00	94.09N	94.09N	0+260
97.330	94.91	94.09N	94.09N	0+280
97.248	94.84	94.09N	94.09N	0+300
97.140	94.77	94.09N	94.09N	0+320
97.085	94.76	94.09N	94.09N	0+340
97.709	94.83	94.09N	94.09N	0+360
97.589	94.82	94.09N	94.09N	0+380
98.086	94.80	94.09N	94.09N	0+400
98.180	94.70	94.09N	94.09N	0+420
98.076	94.60	94.09N	94.09N	0+440
98.058	94.50	94.09N	94.09N	0+460
97.800	94.360	94.09N	94.09N	0+480

plotted




drawn by: DPS
checked by: DGY
printed: _____

scale: HOR 1:500
VERT. 1:50
date: NOV. 2013
file: 35355




drawing title:
PLAN AND PROFILE
COUNTRY GLEN WAY
370 HUNT ROAD DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON.




333 Preston Street Tower 1, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel
(613)225-1311 FAX (613)225-9868

D07-12-14-0014



- LEGEND:**
- MH2 PROPOSED STORM MANHOLE & NUMBER
 - CBMH100 PROPOSED CATCHBASIN MANHOLE & NUMBER
 - MH2A PROPOSED SANITARY MANHOLE & NUMBER
 - PROPOSED STORM SEWER & FLOW DIRECTION
 - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER & FLOW DIRECTION
 - HYD PROPOSED FIRE HYDRANT
 - ⊕ V&V PROPOSED WATER VALVE BOX
 - ⊕ V&C PROPOSED WATER VALVE CHAMBER
 - V VALVE
 - CS CURB STOP AND STAND POST
 - CB PROPOSED CATCHBASIN
 - DI PROPOSED DITCH INLET
 - 250mmØ SUBDRAIN
 - CLAY DYKE
 - ⊕ SIEMENSE CONNECTION
 - ⊕ M METER
 - ⊕ RM REMOTE METER
 - ⊕ PRV PRESSURE REDUCING VALVE
 - ⊕ W WATERMAIN IDENTIFICATION
 - ⊕ PC PIPE CROSSING IDENTIFICATION
 - 10.0 l/s CONTROLLED ROOF RELEASE RATE
 - INLET CONTROL DEVICE LOCATION (SEE DRAWING C-100A FOR DETAILS)
 - HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
 - 3.0m-2000 PVC INLET & OUTLET CONNECTORS (TYPICAL)
 - UNDERGROUND STORAGE CELL TRITON M-6 OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT
 - SIZE AND CAPACITY OF CELL



KEY PLAN

APPROVED REFUSED

THIS DAY OF _____, 20__


DERRICK MOODIE, ACTING MANAGER
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES

No.	Description	Date	Checked
10	As-built	17:01:17	DPS
9	Revised as per City Comments	16:08:26	DGY
8	Revised Campeau Dr per Stantec Plans	16:08:03	DGY
7	Issued for Construction	16:07:13	DGY
6	Add Interim Access Rd.	16:05:16	DGY
5	Issued for Tender	16:04:05	DGY
4	Revised as per City Comments	14:10:02	DGY
3	Revised as per City Comments	14:08:22	DGY
2	Issued for SPA Resubmission	14:06:27	DGY
1	Issued for SPA	13:11:18	D.G.Y.

All measurements and conditions must be checked on the work by the contractor. This drawing not to be used for construction until signed.

Date _____

plotted by: 35355-ArcadiaComm\5.9 Drawings\5904\Projects\C-100.dwg
Royal Names Servicing Plan Plot Style: AIA STANDARD-TULL-07B
Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: 3/16/2017 11:06 AM Last Saved By: dgm\m Last Saved At: Mar-16-17



drawn by: DPS scale: 1:500
checked by: DGY date: NOV. 2013
printed: file: 35355

REVIEWED BY:
DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES BRANCH

Signed: _____
Date: _____ 2016
Plan Number: _____

Arcadia Retail Development
Kanata, Ontario




200 Kent Street • Suite 180 • Ottawa, Ontario • K1P 0B6
Telephone: (613)782-3137 Fax: (613)782-5777

drawing title:
SITE SERVICING PLAN
370 HUNTMAR DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON.

drawing no.
C-100

333 Preston Street Tower 1, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel (613)225-1311 FAX (613)225-9868



SITE BENCHMARKS
N.T.S.

D07-12-14-0014

WATERMAIN SCHEDULE table with columns: STATION, DESCRIPTION, FINISHED, TOP OF, AS-BUILT. Includes details for various pipe sizes and materials like 3000 x 3000 TEE, 22 1/2 VERTICAL BEND, etc.

CATCH BASIN DATA TABLE table with columns: STRUCTURE ID, AREA ID, TOP OF GRATE, ELEVATION (INLET, OUTLET), DIAMETER (mm), TYPE, 100 yr HEAD, FLOW (l/s), CUSTOM IPEX TEMPEST ICD. Lists structures like CB123, CB122, CB120, etc.

CROSSING SCHEDULE table with columns: Location, Below Pipe (Size, Obvert), Above Pipe (Size, Invert), Difference. Lists various pipe crossings and their elevations.

DRAWING NOTES

- 1.0 GENERAL
1.1 CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION.
1.2 DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.
1.3 CONTRACTOR TO REPORT ALL DISCOVERIES OF ERRORS, OMISSIONS OR DISCREPANCIES TO THE ARCHITECT OR DESIGN ENGINEER AS APPLICABLE.
1.4 USE ONLY THE LATEST REVISED DRAWINGS OR THOSE THAT ARE MARKED 'ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION'.
1.5 ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL COMPLY WITH CURRENT CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
1.6 THIS DRAWING SHALL BE READ IN CONJUNCTION WITH ALL RELEVANT DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
1.7 FOR LEGAL SURVEY INFORMATION REFER TO REGISTERED PLAN.
1.8 REFER TO SURVEY BY PELLOW + ASSOCIATES ARCHITECTS INC. FOR SITE PLAN LAYOUT.
1.9 REFER TO LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS FOR SURFACE FEATURES DETAILS.
1.10 CONTRACTOR TO IMPLEMENT EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AS IDENTIFIED IN THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA. PRIOR TO UNDERTAKING ANY SITE ALTERATIONS (FILLING, GRADING, REMOVAL OF VEGETATION, ETC.) DURING ALL PHASES OF THE SITE PREPARATION AND CONSTRUCTION THE MEASURES ARE TO BE MAINTAINED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER AND CITY OF OTTAWA IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL. SHOULD ANY ADDITIONAL MEASURES BE REQUIRED TO ADDRESS FIELD CONDITIONS THEY SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER. THE CITY OF OTTAWA, SUCH ADDITIONAL MEASURES MAY INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO INSTALLATION OF FILTER CATCH BASINS CROSS MANHOLES AND CATCH BASINS LIDS TO PREVENT SEDIMENT FROM ENTERING THE STRUCTURE AND INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF A LIGHT DUTY SALT FENCE BARRIERS AS REQUIRED.
1.11 ALL IRON WORK ELEVATIONS SHOWN ARE APPROXIMATE AND ARE SUBJECT TO MINOR ADJUSTMENTS AS DETERMINED BY THE ENGINEER.
1.12 ALL CONCRETE CURBS AND SIDEWALKS TO CONFORM TO O.P.S. AND CONSTRUCTED TO CITY STANDARDS. ALL ONSITE CURBS TO BE BARRIER TYPE, WITH DEPRESSIONS AS NOTED.
1.13 ALL CONCRETE SHALL BE 'NORMAL PORTLAND CEMENT' IN ACCORDANCE WITH O.P.S.S. 1350 AND SHALL ACHIEVE A MINIMUM STRENGTH OF 30MPa AT 28 DAYS.
1.14 ALL CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC TO ACCESS SITE FROM CAMPEAU DRIVE.
1.15 FOR DETAILS OF TEST PITS SEE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
1.16 CONTRACTOR TO PROTECT EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND PROPERTY SUCH AS TREES, PARKING METERS, SIDEWALKS, CURBS, ASPHALT, AND STREET SIGNS FROM DAMAGE DURING CONSTRUCTION, AND WHERE SHOWN, THE ACCURACY OF THE POSITION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INFORM ITSELF OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, SHALL PROTECT ALL UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, AND SHALL ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.
1.17 THE POSITION OF POLE LINES, CONDUITS, WATERMAIN, SEWERS, AND OTHER UNDERGROUND AND ABOVEGROUND UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES ARE NOT NECESSARILY SHOWN ON THE CONTRACT DRAWINGS, AND THE ENGINEER SHALL NOT BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE LOCATION OF SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES IS NOT GUARANTEED. BEFORE STARTING WORK THE CONTRACTOR SHALL INFORM ITSELF OF THE EXACT LOCATION OF ALL SUCH UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, SHALL PROTECT ALL UTILITIES AND STRUCTURES, AND SHALL ASSUME ALL LIABILITY FOR DAMAGE TO THEM.
1.18 CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY SUFFICIENT FILL MATERIAL WHERE REQUIRED TO ROUGH GRADE THE SITE. ALL IMPORTED FILL MATERIAL TO BE CERTIFIED AS ACCEPTABLE BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
1.19 CONTRACTOR TO HALL EXCESS MATERIAL OFFSITE AS NECESSARY TO GRADE SITE TO MEET THE PROPOSED GRADES. ALL EXCESS MATERIAL TO BE HAULED OFFSITE AND DISPOSED OF AT AN APPROVED DUMP SITE. SHOULD THE CONTRACTOR DISCOVER ANY HAZARDOUS MATERIAL, CONTRACTOR IS TO NOTIFY ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE DISPOSAL METHOD/LOCATION.
1.20 FILL MATERIAL WITHIN THE PARKING LOT AND BUILDING PAD AREAS, AND SUPPORTING BUILDING FOUNDATIONS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD MODIFIED PROCTOR DENSITY AND TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
1.21 ALL COMPACTON METHODS TO BE PERFORMED TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER TO INCLUDE BUT NOT BE LIMITED TO THE THICKNESS OF LIFTS, AND COMPACTON EQUIPMENT USED.
1.22 ALL DISTURBED BOULEVARDS TO BE REINTEGRATED WITH SOG ON 100mm TOPSOIL.
1.23 UTILITY DUCTS TO BE INSTALLED PRIOR TO ROAD BASE CONSTRUCTION.
1.24 CLAY DIKES TO BE INSTALLED WHERE INDICATED ON THE DRAWINGS OR AS APPROVED AND DIRECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS.
1.25 ALL PIPE BEDDING TO BE OPSS GRANULAR 'A' PLACED A MINIMUM OF 300mm BELOW SEWER AND WATER PIPES AND COMPACTED TO SPRING LINE. BEDDING AND COVER MATERIAL AS PER RECOMMENDATIONS FROM GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
2.0 SANITARY
2.1 ALL SANITARY SEWER MAINS TO BE CSA CERTIFIED, BELL AND SPIGOT TYPE, ONLY FACTORY FITTINGS TO BE USED. SEWER TO BE INSTALLED AS PER OPSD 1005.01, SANITARY SEWER MATERIALS TO BE: 250mm AND SMALLER - PVC DR 28 300mm AND LARGER - CONC. CL. 100-D
2.2 ALL SANITARY MAINTENANCE HOLES TO BE 1.2m DIAMETER AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS COVERED WITH BENCHING, RUNGS, FRAME AND COVER, DROPP PIPES AND LANDING WHERE NEEDED.
2.3 SANITARY MANHOLE COVERS TO BE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S25 (MOD. OPSD. 401.020). SANITARY MANHOLE COVER TO BE CLOSED COVER TYPE, AS PER CITY STANDARD S24.
2.4 SANITARY SEWER LEAKAGE TEST AND CCTV INSPECTION SHALL BE COMPLETED AS PER CITY SPECIFICATIONS PRIOR TO INSTALLATION OF BASE COURSE ASPHALT.
2.5 ANY SANITARY SEWER WITH LESS THAN 2.0m COVER REQUIRES THERMAL INSULATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W22, OR AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
2.6 CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING SANITARY SEWER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE COST FOR SANITARY SEWER INSTALLATION. THIS INCLUDES REINSTATEMENT OF ROAD CUTS TO CITY STANDARDS.

Commercial - Storm STRUCTURE TABLE table with columns: NAME, RIM ELEV., INVERT IN, INVERT OUT, INVERT OUT AS-BUILT, DESCRIPTION. Lists structures like MH100, MH100B, MH110, etc.

3.0 STORM

- 3.1 ALL STORM SEWERS TO BE CSA CERTIFIED, BELL AND SPIGOT TYPE. ALL STORM SEWERS TO BE INSTALLED PER MANUFACTURER'S INSTRUCTIONS. ONLY FACTORY FITTINGS TO BE USED. STORM SEWER MATERIALS TO BE: 450mm AND SMALLER - PVC DR 35 450mm AND LARGER - CONC. CL. 100-D
3.2 ALL STORM MAINTENANCE HOLES TO BE SIZED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS AND AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS COMPLETE WITH BENCHING, RUNGS, AND FRAME AND COVER.
3.3 STORM HM COVERS TO BE OPEN TYPE, AS PER CITY STANDARD S24. FRAMES TO BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S25. CONTRACTOR TO INSTALL FILTER FABRIC UNDER STORM HM COVER UNITS, SODDING IS COMPLETE TOP FOR CSM, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
3.4 STORM MAINTENANCE HOLES AND CSMs TO BE OPSD, SIZE AS SPECIFIED, TAPER TOP FOR HM AND FLAT TOP FOR CSM, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
3.5 ALL CATCH BASINS TO BE AS PER OPSD 705.010, FRAME & FISH TYPE GRATE AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S19. 1.1 m CB LEAD PIPES TO BE 250mm DIAMETER PVC DR 35 @ 1.00% SLOPE. WITH OUTLET INVERT AT 1.45m BELOW TOP OF GRATE, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
3.6 150mm DIAMETER SOCK WRAPPED PERFORATED PVC SUBDRAINS TO BE INSTALLED AT ALL CB'S, EXTEND 3.0m FROM 4 SIDES OF CB. WHERE CB IS ADJACENT TO CURB EXTEND SUBDRAIN 3.0m IN EACH DIRECTION ALONG CURB.
3.7 ANY STORM SEWER WITH LESS THAN 2.0m COVER REQUIRES THERMAL INSULATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W22, OR AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
3.8 CONNECTION TO THE EXISTING STORM SEWER TO BE INCLUDED IN THE COST FOR STORM SEWER INSTALLATION. THIS INCLUDES REINSTATEMENT OF ROAD CUT TO CITY STANDARDS.
3.9 CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE IPEX TEMPEST ICD'S (OR EQUIVALENT) SHOP DRAWINGS BASED ON HEAD AND FLOW RATE SPECIFIED IN CB DATA TABLE. FOR ENGINEERS REFER TO ORDERING ICDS.
3.10 CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE TRITON M4 STORAGE CELL (OR EQUIVALENT) SHOP DRAWING BASED ON VOLUME SPECIFIED FOR ENGINEERS APPROVAL PRIOR TO ORDERING MATERIAL.
4.0 WATER
4.1 ALL WATERMANS TO BE PVC DR 18, WITH MINIMUM COVER OF 2.4m AND INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS. ALL DOMESTIC WATER SERVICES ARE TO BE 200mm.
4.2 THRUST BLOCKS TO BE INSTALLED AT ALL BENDS, TEES, AND CAPS ALL AS PER OPSD 1103.01 AND 1103.02.
4.3 CONTRACTOR TO CONDUCT PRESSURE AND LEAKAGE TESTING OF ALL WATERMANS AND DISINFECT AND CHLORINATE ALL WATERMANS TO THE SATISFACTION OF M.O.E. AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA.
4.4 TRACER WIRE TO BE INSTALLED ALONG THE FULL LENGTH OF WATERMAIN AND ATTACHED TO EACH MAIN STOP AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
4.5 ALL COMPONENTS OF THE WATER DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM SHALL BE CATHODICALLY PROTECTED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
4.6 ALL VALVES & VALVE BOXES AND CHAMBERS, HYDRANTS, AND HYDRANT VALVES AND ASSEMBLIES SHALL BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARDS.
4.7 ANY WATERMAIN WITH LESS THAN 2.4m COVER REQUIRES THERMAL INSULATION AS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W22, OR AS APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.
4.8 CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ACQUIRING THE WATER PERMIT FROM THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND PAYMENT OF ANY FEES ASSOCIATED WITH SECURING THE WATER PERMIT. OWNER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR REBURSING THE CONTRACTOR FOR THE ACTUAL COST OF ACQUIRING THE WATER PERMIT.
4.9 CONNECTION TO EXISTING WATERMAIN TO BE INCLUDED IN THE COST FOR THE WATERMAIN INSTALLATION. THIS INCLUDES REINSTATEMENT OF ROAD CUTS TO CITY STANDARDS.
5.0 PARKING LOT AND WORK IN PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY
5.1 CONTRACTOR TO REINSTATE ROAD CUTS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD R-10.
5.2 THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PREPARE A TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA. CONTRACTOR TO MAINTAIN TRAFFIC FLOW DURING THE ENTIRE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD. MAINTENANCE OF ROAD CUTS SHALL BE THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR. PROTECTION OF FLAGMEN, DEEPENING NECESSARY TO MAINTAIN THE FULL SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER AND ROAD AUTHORITY SHALL BE THE CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITY.
5.3 CONTRACTOR TO PREPARE SUBGRADE, INCLUDING PROOFROLLING, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF PLACEMENT OF GRANULAR B MATERIAL.
5.4 FILL TO BE PLACED AND COMPACTED PER THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT REQUIREMENTS.
5.5 CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY, PLACE AND COMPACT GRANULAR B MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ENGINEER WITH SAMPLES OF GRANULAR B MATERIAL FOR TESTING AND CERTIFICATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER THAT THE MATERIAL MEETS THE GRADATION REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
5.6 GRANULAR A MATERIAL TO BE PLACED ONLY UPON APPROVAL BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF GRANULAR B PLACEMENT.
5.7 CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY, PLACE AND COMPACT GRANULAR A MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ENGINEER WITH SAMPLES OF GRANULAR A MATERIAL FOR TESTING AND CERTIFICATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER THAT THE MATERIAL MEETS THE GRADATION REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
5.8 ASPHALT MATERIAL TO BE PLACED ONLY UPON APPROVAL BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER OF GRANULAR A PLACEMENT.
5.9 CONTRACTOR TO SUPPLY, PLACE AND COMPACT ASPHALT MATERIAL IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER. CONTRACTOR TO PROVIDE ENGINEER WITH SAMPLES OF ASPHALT MATERIAL FOR TESTING AND CERTIFICATION FROM THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER THAT THE MATERIAL MEETS THE REQUIREMENTS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
5.10 CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ESTABLISHING LINE AND GRADE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE PLANS, AND FOR PROVIDING THE ENGINEER WITH VERIFICATION PRIOR TO PLACEMENT.
5.11 ANY DITCHES DISTURBED DURING SERVICING AND GRADING OPERATIONS ARE TO BE REINSTATED TO THEIR ORIGINAL CONDITION AND FLOWING GRADES.
5.12 ALL RE GRADED AREAS IN EXISTING PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY AND ANY OTHER DISTURBED AREAS IN EXISTING PUBLIC RIGHTS OF WAY ARE TO BE FINISHED WITH SOG OR 100mm TOPSOIL.
5.13 ALL EXCESS MATERIAL TO BE HAULED OFFSITE AND DISPOSED OF AT AN APPROVED DUMP SITE. SHOULD THE CONTRACTOR DISCOVER ANY HAZARDOUS MATERIAL, CONTRACTOR IS TO NOTIFY ENGINEER IMMEDIATELY TO DETERMINE APPROPRIATE DISPOSAL METHOD/LOCATION.
5.14 PAVEMENT STRUCTURE (MATERIAL TYPES AND THICKNESSES) FOR HEAVY DUTY AND LIGHT DUTY AREAS TO BE AS SPECIFIED IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT AND SHOWN ON THE PLANS.

4.0 WATER table with columns: No., Description, Date, Checked. Lists issued for SPA Resubmission, Issued for SPA, etc.

KEY PLAN showing site location on a map with labels like CAMPEAU, HWY 417, CYCLONE TAYLOR, FRANK BRITTON. Includes approval box and project details: DERRICK MOODIE, ACTING MANAGER, DEVELOPMENT REVIEW, SUBURBAN SERVICES.

Commercial - Sanitary STRUCTURE TABLE table with columns: NAME, RIM ELEV., INVERT IN, INVERT OUT, INVERT OUT AS-BUILT, DESCRIPTION. Lists structures like BLK100A, BLK200A, BLK300A, etc.

PAVEMENT STRUCTURE LIGHT DUTY table with columns: HEAVY DUTY, COUNTRY GLEN WAY, INTERIM ACCESS ROAD. Lists materials like 50mm 12.5 SUPERPAVE, 150mm GRANULAR 'A', etc.

REVIEWED BY DEVELOPMENT REVIEW SERVICES BRANCH. Includes fields for Signed, Date, Plan Number.

IBI GROUP logo and project information: 333 Preston Street Tower 1, Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel (613)225-1311 FAX (613)225-9868. Drawing title: DETAILS AND SCHEDULES 370 HUNTMAR DRIVE OTTAWA, ON.

Appendix A4

Servicing Study Checklist

**MINTO COMMUNITIES INC. ARCADIA STAGE 6
DEVELOPMENT SERVICING STUDY CHECKLIST**

REFERENCED STUDIES AND REPORTS	REFERENCE
Site Servicing Report for Minto Communities Inc., Arcadia Stage 6 (J.L. Richards & Associates Limited, Revision 0 dated July 2022)	SSR
Geotechnical Investigation, Proposed Residential Development – Arcadia Stage 6, Campeau Drive - Ottawa, Ontario” Report Number PG5648-1, Revision 4 dated June 30, 2022	GR

4.1	GENERAL CONTENT	REFERENCE
<input type="checkbox"/>	Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Date and revision number of the report.	SSR (Title Page)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of proposed development.	SSR (Figure 1-1, Appendix 'A1')
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Site Servicing Plan (S1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere.	SSR (Sect. 1.0)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	SSR (Appendix 'A2')
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defensible design criteria.	SSR (Sect. 1.0)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	SSR (Sect. 2.1, 3.1, 3.2, 4.1, 4.2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	SSR (Sect. 1.4) Site Servicing Plan (S1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	SSR (Sect. 3.1, 4.1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	GR Grading Plans (G1, G2) Ponding Plans (SWM1, SWM2)

<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	GR
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Metric scale ▪ North arrow (including construction North) ▪ Key plan ▪ Name and contact information of applicant and property owner ▪ Property limits, including bearings and dimensions ▪ Existing and proposed structures and parking areas ▪ Easements, road widening and rights-of-way ▪ Adjacent street names 	All Drawings

4.2	DEVELOPMENT SERVICING REPORT: WATER	REFERENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available.	SSR (Sect. 2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development.	SSR (Sect. 1.4, 2.4) Site Servicing Plan (S1)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification of system constraints.	SSR (Sect. 2.0)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identify boundary conditions.	SSR (Sect. 2.4)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure.	SSR (Sect. 2.5, Appendix B)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.	SSR (Sect. 2.3, 2.5, Appendix B)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	SSR (Sect. 2.5)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modelling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project, including the ultimate design.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Address reliability requirements, such as appropriate location of shutoff valves.	Site Servicing Plan (S1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.	N/A

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range.	SSR (Sect. 2.0)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants), including special metering provisions.	SSR (Sect. 2.0) Site Servicing Plan (S1)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Description of off-site required feeder mains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	SSR (Sect. 2.2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	SSR (Appendix B)

4.3	DEVELOPMENT SERVICING REPORT: WASTEWATER	REFERENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	SSR (Sect. 3.2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	SSR (Sect. 3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the Guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	SSR (Sect. 1.4, 3.1, Appendix C)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable.)	SSR (Sect. 3.3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Calculations related to dry weather and wet weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	SSR (Appendix C)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of proposed sewer network, including sewers, pumping stations and forcemains.	SSR (Sect. 3.4, Appendix C)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	GR
<input type="checkbox"/>	Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	SSR (Section 3.4)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Special considerations, such as contamination, corrosive environment, etc.	N/A

4.4	DEVELOPMENT SERVICING REPORT: STORMWATER	REFERENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints, including legality of outlets (i.e., municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property).	SSR (Sect. 1.6, 6.1, 6.2)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	SSR (Sect. 1.3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	Drawing DST, ODST
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected sub watersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	SSR (Sect. 4.2, 4.3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	SSR (Sect. 4.3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.	SSR (Sect. 4, Drawing S1, DST, ODST)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Setback from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	Appendix A2

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Confirm consistency with subwatershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	SSR (Sect. 4)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:2 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	SSR (Sect. 4, Appendix E)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Calculate pre- and post-development peak flow rates, including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	SSR (Sect. 4, Appendix E)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Proposed minor and major systems, including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	Site Servicing Plan (S1) Plan and Profile Drawings Ponding Plans Appendix E
<input type="checkbox"/>	If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year return period storm event.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses.	SSR (Sect 4.3)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	SSR (Sect. 4.3)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	SSR (Sect. 4.3) Site Servicing Plan (S1) Ponding Plans (SWM1 & SWM2) Appendix E
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Inclusion of hydraulic analysis, including hydraulic grade line elevations.	SSR (Sect. 4.3, Appendix E)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	SSR (Sect. 5.0) Erosion & Sediment Control Plan (ESC)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.	N/A
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	GR

4.5	APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	REFERENCE
The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development, as well as the relevant issues affecting such approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:		
<input type="checkbox"/>	Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams, as defined in the Act.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Application for Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A
<input type="checkbox"/>	Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation, etc.).	N/A

4.6	CONCLUSION CHECKLIST	REFERENCE
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations.	SSR (Sect. 2, 3 & 4)
<input type="checkbox"/>	Comments received from review agencies, including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	N/A (first submission)
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a Professional Engineer registered in Ontario.	SSR, Drawings

Appendix B1

Water Demands and FUS
Calculations

WATERMAIN DEMAND CALCULATION SHEET

PROJECT : ARCADIA STAGE 6
 LOCATION : CITY OF OTTAWA
 DEVELOPER : MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.

NODE	RESIDENTIAL						NON-RESIDENTIAL			AVERAGE DAILY DEMAND (l/s)			MAXIMUM DAILY DEMAND (l/s)			PEAK HOUR DEMAND (l/s)		
	METRO (DUPEX) TOWNHOUSE	EXECUTIVE TOWNHOUSE	REAR LANE TOWNHOUSE	AVENUE (B2B) TOWNHOUSE	UNIT COUNT	POP'N	COMM (ha.)	INST. (ha.)	PARK (ha.)	Res.	Non-res.	Total	Res.	Non-res.	Total	Res.	Non-res.	Total
ARCADIA STAGE 6																		
J-3		5		5	10	27	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.22	0.00	0.22	0.48	0.00	0.48
J-4				11	11	30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.24	0.00	0.24	0.53	0.00	0.53
J-5				11	11	30	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.24	0.00	0.24	0.53	0.00	0.53
J-6				5	5	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.24	0.00	0.24
J-7		6		8	14	38	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.31	0.00	0.31	0.67	0.00	0.67
J-8			4	16	20	54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.44	0.00	0.44	0.96	0.00	0.96
J-9			4	16	20	54	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.44	0.00	0.44	0.96	0.00	0.96
J-10			5	8	13	35	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.28	0.00	0.28	0.63	0.00	0.63
J-11							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-12	10				10	23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.19	0.41	0.00	0.41
J-13	10				10	23	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.19	0.00	0.19	0.41	0.00	0.41
J-14	72				72	166	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.54	0.00	0.54	1.34	0.00	1.34	2.95	0.00	2.95
J-15	8				8	18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.15	0.33	0.00	0.33
J-16							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-17	8				8	18	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.06	0.00	0.06	0.15	0.00	0.15	0.33	0.00	0.33
J-18	24				24	55	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.18	0.00	0.18	0.45	0.00	0.45	0.98	0.00	0.98
J-19	16				16	37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.30	0.66	0.00	0.66
J-20							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-21	16				16	37	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.00	0.12	0.30	0.00	0.30	0.66	0.00	0.66
J-22							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-23							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-24	56				56	129	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.42	0.00	0.42	1.04	0.00	1.04	2.30	0.00	2.30
J-33	0						0.00	0.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	4.00	0.00	4.00	4.00
J-34	0						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-35	0						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-126	4				4	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.16
J-133	6				6	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.25	0.00	0.25
J-139							0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
J-134	4				4	9	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.03	0.00	0.03	0.07	0.00	0.07	0.16	0.00	0.16
J-135	6				6	14	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.04	0.00	0.04	0.11	0.00	0.11	0.25	0.00	0.25
J-136	12				12	28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.22	0.00	0.22	0.49	0.00	0.49
J-138	12				12	28	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.09	0.00	0.09	0.22	0.00	0.22	0.49	0.00	0.49
J-143	0						0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
TOTALS	264	11	13	80	368	888	0.00	0.00	4.00	2.88	4.00	6.88	7.20	4.00	11.20	15.83	4.00	19.83

ASSUMPTIONS

RESIDENTIAL DENSITIES

- DUPLEX (INFUSION TERRACES) 2.3 p / p / u
 - TOWNHOUSE UNITS (AVENUE, EXECUTIVE, ROW) 2.7 p / p / u

AVG. DAILY DEMAND

- Residential 280 l / cap / day
 - Institutional 28,000 l / ha / day
 - Commercial 28,000 l / ha / day

MAX. HOURLY DEMAND

- Residential 1,540 l / cap / day
 - Institutional 75,600 l / ha / day
 - Commercial 75,600 l / ha / day

MAX. DAILY DEMAND

- Residential 700 l / cap / day
 - Institutional 42,000 l / ha / day
 - Commercial 42,000 l / ha / day

Demand for public parkette assumed to be 4.0 l/s for avg. day, max day, and peak hour

THESE DRAWINGS ARE NOT TO BE SCALED. ALL DIMENSIONS MUST BE VERIFIED BY CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF ANY WORK. ANY DISCREPANCIES MUST BE REPORTED DIRECTLY TO SRN ARCHITECTS INC.

NO.	DATE	ISSUED FOR:
5	20-JUN-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
6	07-JUL-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
7	13-JUL-22	ISSUED FOR SPA
8	26-AUG-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
9	23-SEP-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
10	09-NOV-22	REV PER SPA COMMENTS
11	18-NOV-22	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
12	17-JAN-23	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
13	24-FEB-23	REV PER CLIENT COMMENT
14	06-APR-23	RE-ISSUED FOR SPA

ADDITIONAL NOTES:
**PART OF BLOCK 2,
 REGISTERED PLAN 4M-1563 AND
 PART OF LOT 3
 CONCESSION 1**
 (GEOGRAPHIC TOWNSHIP OF MARCH)
 STANTEC GEOMATICS, 2022

LEGEND

	MAIN ENTRANCE
	BICYCLE PARKING, REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS
	BARRIER FREE PARKING
	VISITOR PARKING
	FIRE HYDRANT, REFER TO CIVIL DRAWINGS
	LIGHT POLE, REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS
	LIGHT BOLLARD, REFER TO ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS
	WALL MOUNTED LIGHT FIXTURE, REFER TO ELECTRICAL DWGS
	FIRE ROUTE SIGN AS PER CITY STANDARD
	BARRIER FREE PARKING SIGN, AS PER CITY STANDARD
	STOP SIGN
	IDLE FREE ZONE SIGN
	POPS SIGN
	TACTILE INDICATOR
	DEPRESSED CURB
	GAS METER
	HYDRO METER
	NON-FREEZABLE HOSE BIB
	ELECTRIC VEHICLE CHARGING STATION
	STAIRCASE CONNECTION
	SUITE NUMBER
	FIRE BREAK BLOCK
	LOWER LEVEL ELEVATION
	FIRST FLOOR ELEVATION

PRELIMINARY, NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION
 ALL AREA CALCULATIONS ARE PRELIMINARY

NO.	DATE	REVISION COMMENT:


SRN ARCHITECTS
 8395 JANE STREET, SUITE 203
 VAUGHAN, ONTARIO L4K 5Y2
 PHONE: 905-417-5515 FAX: 905-417-5517

CLIENT:
Minto Communities Canada
 200-180 Kent Street
 Ottawa, Ontario K1P 0B6









PROJECT:
ARCADIA - STAGE 6
 Ottawa, Ontario

DRAWING TITLE:
SITE PLAN

DATE: 2022-04-14 SCALE: 1:500
 DRAWN BY: AB CHECKED BY: GR
 PROJECT NUMBER: DRAWING NUMBER:
S22009 SP-100



1 SITE PLAN
 SP-100 1:650



SITE STATISTICS

PROVISION	REQUIRED	PROPOSED	COMPLIANCE
NET LOT AREA (excludes dedication):	NO MINIMUM	48559.08 SM [522685.59 SF]	N/A
DEDICATION AREA (municipal park):	NO MINIMUM	5577.22 SM [60032.69 SF]	N/A
GROSS LOT AREA (includes dedication):	4400 SM	54136.30 SM [582718.27 SF]	YES
BUILDING AREA :	NO MINIMUM	13394.78 SM [144180.24 SF]	N/A
LOT COVERAGE (building area/net lot area):	NO MINIMUM	27.58 %	N/A
GROSS FLOOR AREA :	NO MINIMUM	40205.88 SM [432772.49 SF]	N/A
NET F.S.I. (gross floor area/net lot area):	NO MINIMUM	0.81	N/A
GROSS F.S.I. (gross floor area/gross lot area):	NO MINIMUM	0.73	N/A

SETBACKS:

MIN. FRONT YARD:	3.00 M	4.84 M	YES
MIN. CORNER SIDE YARD (WEST):	3.00 M	3.00 M	YES
MIN. CORNER SIDE YARD (EAST):	3.00 M	5.5 M	YES
MIN. REAR YARD:	3.00 M	13.95 M	YES

NUMBER OF TOWNHOUSE UNITS:
 METRO-TOWNS - 2 BED: 264 SUITES
 TRADITIONAL-TOWNS - 3 BED: 104 SUITES
368 SUITES

TOTAL NUMBER OF UNITS: 368 SUITES

TOTAL PARKING SPACES: 208 PS RATIO

RESIDENT PARKING SPACES (TRADITIONAL-TOWNS):	2 SPACES/UNIT = (194x) MIN 208 PS	SURFACE: 208 UG: 0 TOTAL: 208	2:1	YES
VISITOR PARKING SPACES (TRADITIONAL-TOWNS) (INCLUDES BARRIER FREE PS):	N/A	SURFACE: 0 UG: 0 TOTAL: 0	N/A	N/A
TOTAL PARKING SPACES:		306 PS		
RESIDENT PARKING SPACES (METRO-TOWNS):	0.9 SPACES/UNIT = (194x) MIN 238 PS	SURFACE: 116 UG: 156 TOTAL: 272	1:1	YES
VISITOR PARKING SPACES (METRO-TOWNS) (INCLUDES BARRIER FREE PS):	0.1 SPACES/UNIT = (204x) MIN 27 SP	SURFACE: 34 UG: 0 TOTAL: 34	0:1.1	YES
BARRIER FREE PARKING SPACES DEDICATED FOR VISITORS: [As per City of Ottawa Traffic and Parking By-law (No. 2017-301), Section 111]	0	SURFACE: 3 UG: 0 TOTAL: 3	N/A	N/A
BICYCLE SPACES (METRO-TOWNS):				
LONG-TERM BICYCLE SPACES:	0.5 SPACES/UNIT = (86x) MIN 132	SURFACE: 132 UG: 0 TOTAL: 132	1:32	YES

TOTAL LANDSCAPE: 30% 17885.58 SM [192518.74 SF] (96.83% OF NET LOT AREA) YES

LANDSCAPED OPEN SPACE AREA:

SOFT LANDSCAPE AREA:	N/A	12464.27 SM [134164.29 SF] (69.68% OF LANDSCAPING)	N/A
HARD LANDSCAPE AREA:	N/A	5421.31 SM [58354.45 SF] (30.31% OF LANDSCAPING)	N/A

FUS Fire Flow Calculations

Arcadia Stage 6 - Duplex Unit (MT-01)

(JLR 26299-006)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Sum of All Floors	318	m ²
C	Height in storeys	3	storeys
	Total Floor Area	955	m ²
D	Fire Flow Formula	F=220C√A	
	Fire Flow	10197	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	10000	L/min Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential unit
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1500	
	Fire Flow	8500	L/min No rounding applied.
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	<i>South Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	South Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>West Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	West Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>North Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>East Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	13.7	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	41.2	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	8.98	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	18%	
	Total Exposure Charge	18%	
Increase for Exposures	1530	L/min	
H	Fire Flow	10030	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	10000	L/min Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
City Cap	Required Fire Flow (RFF)	10000	L/min The City of Ottawa's cap does not apply since the building is a high rise building.
		167	L/s

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations
 In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

FUS Fire Flow Calculations

ARCADIA STAGE 6 - Block MT-02 - Metro Townhouse Units (JLR 26299-06)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Ground Floor Area	543.33	m ²
C	Height in storeys	3	storeys
	Total Floor Area	1629.99	m ²
D	Fire Flow Formula	F=220C√A	
	Fire Flow	13323	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	13000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential units have a limited combustible occupancy.
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1950	
	Fire Flow	11050	L/min
			No rounding applied.
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	No sprinkler.
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	<i>North Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>East Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	39.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	117.1	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	28.56	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	10%	
	<i>South Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Non-combustible	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
Separation Distance	50	m	
South Side Exposure Charge	0%		
<i>West Side Exposure</i>			
Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame		
Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame		
Length of Exposed Wall:	13.4	m	
Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys	
Length-Height Factor	40.2	m-storeys	
Separation Distance	9.1	m	
West Side Exposure Charge	18%		
Total Exposure Charge	28%		The total exposure charge is below the maximum value of 75%.
Increase for Exposures	3094	L/min	
H	Fire Flow	14144	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	14000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
City Cap (RFF)	Required Fire Flow	14000	L/min
		233	L/s

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations
 In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

FUS Fire Flow Calculations

ARCADIA STAGE 6 - Block MT-05 - Metro Townhouse Units (JLR 26299-06)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Ground Floor Area	435.34	m ²
C	Height in storeys	3	storeys
	Total Floor Area	1306.02	m ²
D	Fire Flow Formula	F=220C√A	
	Fire Flow	11926	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	12000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential units have a limited combustible occupancy.
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1800	
	Fire Flow	10200	L/min
			No rounding applied.
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	No sprinkler.
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	<i>North Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>East Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	28.6	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	85.7	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	28.56	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	9%	
	<i>South Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Non-combustible	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys	
Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys	
Separation Distance	50	m	
South Side Exposure Charge	0%		
<i>West Side Exposure</i>			
Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame		
Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame		
Length of Exposed Wall:	13.4	m	
Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys	
Length-Height Factor	40.2	m-storeys	
Separation Distance	21	m	
West Side Exposure Charge	8%		
	Total Exposure Charge	17%	The total exposure charge is below the maximum value of 75%.
	Increase for Exposures	1734	L/min
H	Fire Flow	11934	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	12000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
City Cap (RFF)	Required Fire Flow	12000	L/min
		200	L/s
			City Cap Does Not Apply

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations
 In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

FUS Fire Flow Calculations

ARCADIA STAGE 6 - Block MT-11 - Metro Townhouse Units
(JLR 26299-06)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Ground Floor Area	435.34	m ²
C	Height in storeys	3	storeys
	Total Floor Area	1306.02	m ²
D	Fire Flow Formula	F=220C√A	
	Fire Flow	11926	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	12000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential units have a limited combustible occupancy.
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1800	
	Fire Flow	10200	L/min
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	No sprinkler.
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	<i>North Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>East Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	32.5	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	97.4	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	12	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	15%	
	<i>South Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	13.4	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	40.2	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	6	m
	South Side Exposure Charge	18%	
	<i>West Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	20.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	60.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	25	m
	West Side Exposure Charge	8%	
	Total Exposure Charge	41%	
Increase for Exposures	4182	L/min	
H	Fire Flow	14382	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	14000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
City Cap	Required Fire Flow (RFF)	14000	L/min
		233	L/s
			City Cap Does Not Apply

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations
In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

FUS Fire Flow Calculations

ARCADIA STAGE 6 - Block 26 - Back to Backs
(JLR 26299-06)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Ground Floor Area	432.37	m ²
C	Height in storeys	3	storeys
	Total Floor Area	1297.11	m ²
D	Fire Flow Formula	F=220C√A	
	Fire Flow	11885	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	12000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential units have a limited combustible occupancy.
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1800	
	Fire Flow	10200	L/min
			No rounding applied.
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	No sprinkler.
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	<i>North Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	17.1	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	2	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	34.2	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	19.08	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	13%	
	<i>East Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>South Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	17.1	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	51.3	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	3.3	m
	South Side Exposure Charge	18%	
	<i>West Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
Length of Exposed Wall:	25.3	m	
Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys	
Length-Height Factor	75.8	m-storeys	
Separation Distance	19.4	m	
West Side Exposure Charge	14%		
	Total Exposure Charge	45%	The total exposure charge is below the maximum value of 75%.
	Increase for Exposures	4590	L/min
H	Fire Flow	14790	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	15000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
City Cap (RFF)	Required Fire Flow (RFF)	15000	L/min
		250	L/s

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations
In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

FUS Fire Flow Calculations

ARCADIA STAGE 6 - Block 27 - Back to Backs
(JLR 26299-06)

Step	Parameter	Value	Note
A	Type of Construction	Wood Frame	
	Coefficient (C)	1.5	
B	Ground Floor Area	432.46	m ²
C	Height in storeys	3	storeys
	Total Floor Area	1297.38	m ²
D	Fire Flow Formula	F=220C√A	
	Fire Flow	11886	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	12000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
E	Occupancy Class	Limited Combustible	Residential units have a limited combustible occupancy.
	Occupancy Charge	-15%	
	Occupancy Increase or Decrease	-1800	
	Fire Flow	10200	L/min
			No rounding applied.
F	Sprinkler Protection	None	No sprinkler.
	Sprinkler Credit	0%	
	Decrease for Sprinkler	0	L/min
G	<i>North Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	17.1	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	51.3	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	3.3	m
	North Side Exposure Charge	18%	
	<i>East Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	0.0	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	0	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	0.0	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	50	m
	East Side Exposure Charge	0%	
	<i>South Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Length of Exposed Wall:	17.1	m
	Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys
	Length-Height Factor	51.3	m-storeys
	Separation Distance	3.3	m
	South Side Exposure Charge	18%	
	<i>West Side Exposure</i>		
	Exposing Wall:	Wood Frame	
	Exposed Wall:	Wood Frame	
Length of Exposed Wall:	22.2	m	
Height of Exposed Wall:	3	storeys	
Length-Height Factor	66.5	m-storeys	
Separation Distance	19.39	m	
West Side Exposure Charge	14%		
Total Exposure Charge	50%		The total exposure charge is below the maximum value of 75%.
Increase for Exposures	5100	L/min	
H	Fire Flow	15300	L/min
	Rounded Fire Flow	15000	L/min
			Flow rounded to nearest 1000 L/min.
City Cap (RFF)	Required Fire Flow	15000	L/min
		250	L/s

Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) Fire Flow Calculations
In accordance with City of Ottawa Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 dated March 21, 2018

Arcadia Stage 6

FIRE FLOW ANALYSIS - HYDRANT SPACING

BLOCK 26			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-8	40	95	95
H-9	59	95	95
H-7	32	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			285
BLOCK 27			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-9	14	95	95
H-7	27	95	95
H-10	49	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			285
BLOCK MT-05			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-12	74	95	95
H-11	103	63	63
H-14	73	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			253
BLOCK MT-11			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-16	66	95	95
H-15	149	63	63
H-14	74	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			253
BLOCK MT-02			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-EX COUNTRY GLEN NORTH	91.91	63	63
H-2	74.91	95	95
H-EX COUNTRY GLEN SOUTH	149.59	63	63
H-3	146.55	63	63
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			284
BLOCK MT-03			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-10	19	95	95
H-11	67	95	95
H-9	71	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			285
BLOCK 28			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-7	33	95	95
H-9	47	95	95
H-6	46	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			285
BLOCK MT-01			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-EX COUNTRY GLEN SOUTH	107.87	63	63
H-EX COUNTRY GLEN NORTH	82.34	63	63
H-2	94.96	63	63
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			189
BLOCK MT-08			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-14	50.32	95	95
H-16	83.28	63	63
H-13	96.13	63	63
H-15	142.88	63	63
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			284
BLOCK MT-10			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-15	50.88	95	95
H-13	53	95	95
H-16	56.54	95	95
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			285
BLOCK MT-14			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-16	88.08	63	63
H-13	112.07	63	63
H-15	57.91	95	95
H-14	117.49	63	63
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			284
BLOCK MT-12			
Hydrant ID	Distance to Critical Structure (m)	Max. Flow ¹ (L/s)	Fire Flow Contribution (L/s)
H-16	56.66	95	95
H-14	105.08	63	63
H-15	119.12	63	63
H-1	105	63	63
Total Available Fire Flow (L/s):			284

Appendix B2

City Correspondence –
Boundary Conditions

Boundary Conditions Arcadia Stage 6

Provided Information

Scenario	Demand	
	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	179	2.98
Maximum Daily Demand	446	7.44
Peak Hour	982	16.36
Fire Flow Demand #1	10,000	166.67
Fire Flow Demand #2	15,000	250.00

Location



Results

Connection 1 – Donum Lane

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	161.3	95.4
Peak Hour	156.3	88.3
Max Day plus Fire 1	153.8	84.8
Max Day plus Fire 2	150.5	80.0

Ground Elevation = 94.2 m

Connection 2 – Country Glen Way

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	161.3	88.8
Peak Hour	156.3	81.7
Max Day plus Fire 1	151.5	74.9
Max Day plus Fire 2	145.5	66.4

Ground Elevation = 98.8 m

Notes

1. As per the Ontario Building Code in areas that may be occupied, the static pressure at any fixture shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi.) Pressure control measures to be considered are as follows, in order of preference:
 - a. If possible, systems to be designed to residual pressures of 345 to 552 kPa (50 to 80 psi) in all occupied areas outside of the public right-of-way without special pressure control equipment.
 - b. Pressure reducing valves to be installed immediately downstream of the isolation valve in the home/ building, located downstream of the meter so it is owner maintained.


Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.


Appendix B3

WaterCAD Schematics

Arcadia Stage 6 Model Schematic




Arcadia Stage 6 Model Schematic Elevation Model



Appendix B4

Simulation Results – Peak Hour
Demand

Arcadia Stage 6 Peak Hour Demand Existing Condition



**Arcadia Stage 6
Peak Hour Demand
Existing Condition
Junction Table**

Label	ID	Elevation (m)	Demand (L/s)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	Pressure (kPa)
J-136	518	99.44	0.49	152.84	523
J-14	461	98.09	2.95	151.64	524
J-135	516	99.44	0.25	153.25	527
J-138	521	99.44	0.41	153.48	529
J-143	534	99.44	0.08	153.81	532
J-134	514	99.44	0.16	154.29	537
J-24	476	97.54	2.30	152.77	540
J-21	349	98.12	0.66	155.11	558
J-19	354	97.64	0.66	155.56	567
J-22	347	98.12	0.00	156.23	569
J-33	483	96.60	4.00	154.88	570
J-133	512	97.90	0.25	156.28	571
J-139	523	97.90	0.00	156.29	571
J-8	54	97.66	0.96	156.22	573
J-9	167	97.60	0.97	156.22	574
J-7	53	97.50	0.67	156.22	575
J-126	500	97.40	0.16	156.25	576
J-10	55	97.35	0.63	156.22	576
J-3	44	97.30	0.48	156.23	577
J-4	47	97.15	0.53	156.22	578
J-11	360	97.10	0.00	156.22	579
J-5	171	97.10	0.53	156.22	579
J-6	50	97.00	0.24	156.22	580
J-13	363	96.60	0.41	156.21	583
J-12	62	96.50	0.41	156.22	584
J-18	70	96.40	0.98	156.23	586
J-34	480	96.30	0.00	156.21	586
J-15	67	96.30	0.33	156.23	586
J-35	462	96.17	0.00	156.22	588
J-16	351	95.95	0.00	156.23	590
J-20	471	95.95	0.00	156.23	590
J-17	74	95.90	0.33	156.24	591
J-23	34	95.01	0.00	156.27	600

Arcadia Stage 6
Peak Hour Demand
Existing Condition
Pipe Table

Label	Length (Scaled) (m)	Diameter (mm)	Material	Hazen-Williams C	Hydraulic Grade (Start) (m)	Hydraulic Grade (Stop) (m)	Flow (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)
P-16	72	41	PEX	100.0	156.23	155.11	1	0.50
P-17	44	41	PEX	100.0	156.23	155.56	1	0.50
P-20	67	41	PEX	100.0	156.21	156.22	0	0.03
P-113	18	41	PEX	100.0	151.64	156.22	-3	2.23
P-122	22	41	PEX	100.0	152.77	156.27	-2	1.74
P-134	32	41	PEX	100.0	156.28	154.29	1	1.05
P-136	46	41	PEX	100.0	153.25	152.84	0	0.37
P-135(1)	10	41	PEX	100.0	154.29	153.81	1	0.93
P-135(2)	29	41	PEX	100.0	153.81	153.25	1	0.56
P-141	51	41	PEX	100.0	153.81	153.48	0	0.31
P-123	14	50	Copper	100.0	156.21	154.88	4	2.04
P-1	37	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.22	4	0.12
P-2	63	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-2	0.05
P-6	38	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	2	0.05
P-7	31	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	2	0.05
P-8	27	204	PVC	110.0	156.27	156.30	-11	0.34
P-18	33	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	0	0.01
P-24	33	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.24	-5	0.16
P-25	6	204	PVC	110.0	156.24	156.24	4	0.11
P-27	32	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-3	0.08
P-29	9	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-5	0.14
P-30	25	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-5	0.14
P-31	11	204	PVC	110.0	156.21	156.22	-4	0.13
P-32	18	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	-4	0.13
P-34	9	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	2	0.06
P-35	59	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	2	0.06
P-36	46	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	0	0.01
P-37	27	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	0	0.01
P-38	14	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	1	0.03
P-39	15	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	1	0.03
P-40	67	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	0	0.01
P-41	45	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	0	0.01
P-42	14	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	3	0.08
P-43	22	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	3	0.08
P-44	62	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	-1	0.03
P-45	53	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	-1	0.03
P-46	9	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	2	0.05
P-47	22	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	2	0.05
P-48	67	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.23	-2	0.07
P-49	46	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-2	0.07
P-51	32	204	PVC	110.0	156.24	156.23	7	0.21
P-33(1)	10	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.22	6	0.18

**Arcadia Stage 6
Peak Hour Demand
Existing Condition
Pipe Table**


Label	Length (Scaled) (m)	Diameter (mm)	Material	Hazen-Williams C	Hydraulic Grade (Start) (m)	Hydraulic Grade (Stop) (m)	Flow (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)
P-33(2)	51	204	PVC	110.0	156.22	156.22	3	0.09
P-117	51	204	PVC	110.0	156.24	156.27	-9	0.27
P-118	18	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-1	0.02
P-119	9	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-1	0.02
P-121	41	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-3	0.08
P-19(1)	14	204	PVC	110.0	156.21	156.21	0	0.00
P-19(2)	21	204	PVC	110.0	156.21	156.21	-4	0.12
P-138	21	204	PVC	110.0	156.28	156.29	-9	0.27
P-140	73	204	PVC	110.0	156.25	156.28	-7	0.21
P-120(1)	9	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.23	-3	0.10
P-120(2)	54	204	PVC	110.0	156.23	156.24	-3	0.10
P-4	13	204	PVC	110.0	156.24	156.25	-7	0.21
P-139	109	297	PVC	120.0	156.29	156.30	-9	0.13

Appendix B5

Simulation Results – Maximum
Day + Required Fire Flow

Arcadia Stage 6

Maximum Day Fire Flow (RFF=250 L/s)



Arcadia Stage 6


Maximum Day Fire Flow (RFF=250 L/s)

Label	Fire Flow (Available) (L/s)	Flow (Total Available) (L/s)	Satisfies Fire Flow Constraints?	Pressure (Residual Lower Limit) (kPa)	Pressure (Calculated System Lower Limit) (kPa)	Pressure (Calculated Residual) (kPa)	Junction w/ Minimum Pressure (System)
H-12	242	242	True	140	169	140	J-33
H-15	250	250	True	140	367	381	J-14
H-14	250	250	True	140	313	301	J-21
H-13	250	250	True	140	338	355	J-14
H-11	250	250	True	140	248	261	J-33
H-10	250	250	True	140	312	305	J-6
H-9	250	250	True	140	282	252	J-11
H-8	250	250	True	140	271	264	J-10
H-7	250	250	True	140	299	259	J-9
H-6	250	250	True	140	308	296	J-4
H-5	250	250	True	140	299	258	J-8
H-4	250	250	True	140	264	262	J-7
H-3	250	250	True	140	293	260	J-7
H-2	250	250	True	140	341	328	J-126
H-16	250	250	True	140	261	281	J-21
H-1	250	250	True	140	297	314	J-21

Appendix B6

Simulation Results – Maximum
HGL

Arcadia Stage 6 Maximum HGL Analysis Existing Condition



Arcadia Stage 6
Maximum HGL Analysis
Existing Condition
Junction Table

Label	ID	Elevation (m)	Demand (L/s)	Hydraulic Grade (m)	Pressure (kPa)
J-134	514	99.44	0	161.30	605
J-135	516	99.44	0	161.30	605
J-136	518	99.44	0	161.30	605
J-138	521	99.44	0	161.30	605
J-143	534	99.44	0	161.30	605
J-22	347	98.12	0	161.30	618
J-21	349	98.12	0	161.30	618
J-14	461	98.09	0	161.30	619
J-133	512	97.90	0	161.30	620
J-139	523	97.90	0	161.30	620
J-8	54	97.66	0	161.30	623
J-19	354	97.64	0	161.30	623
J-9	167	97.60	0	161.30	623
J-24	476	97.54	0	161.30	624
J-7	53	97.50	0	161.30	624
J-126	500	97.40	0	161.30	625
J-10	55	97.35	0	161.30	626
J-3	44	97.30	0	161.30	626
J-4	47	97.15	0	161.30	628
J-5	171	97.10	0	161.30	628
J-11	360	97.10	0	161.30	628
J-6	50	97.00	0	161.30	629
J-13	363	96.60	0	161.30	633
J-33	483	96.60	0	161.30	633
J-12	62	96.50	0	161.30	634
J-18	70	96.40	0	161.30	635
J-15	67	96.30	0	161.30	636
J-34	480	96.30	0	161.30	636
J-35	462	96.17	0	161.30	637
J-16	351	95.95	0	161.30	640
J-20	471	95.95	0	161.30	640
J-17	74	95.90	0	161.30	640
J-23	34	95.01	0	161.30	649

Arcadia Stage 6
Maximum HGL Analysis
Existing Condition
Pipe Table

Label	Length (Scaled) (m)	Diameter (mm)	Material	Hazen-Williams C	Hydraulic Grade (Start) (m)	Hydraulic Grade (Stop) (m)	Flow (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)
P-16	72	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-17	44	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-20	67	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-113	18	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-122	22	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-134	32	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-136	46	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-135(1)	10	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-135(2)	29	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-141	51	41	PEX	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-123	14	50	Copper	100.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-1	37	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-2	63	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-6	38	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-7	31	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-8	27	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-18	33	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-24	33	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-25	6	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-27	32	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-29	9	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-30	25	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-31	11	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-32	18	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-34	9	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-35	59	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-36	46	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-37	27	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-38	14	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-39	15	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-40	67	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-41	45	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-42	14	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-43	22	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-44	62	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-45	53	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-46	9	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-47	22	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-48	67	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-49	46	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-51	32	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-33(1)	10	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00

**Arcadia Stage 6
Maximum HGL Analysis
Existing Condition
Pipe Table**

Label	Length (Scaled) (m)	Diameter (mm)	Material	Hazen-Williams C	Hydraulic Grade (Start) (m)	Hydraulic Grade (Stop) (m)	Flow (L/s)	Velocity (m/s)
P-33(2)	51	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-117	51	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-118	18	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-119	9	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-121	41	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-19(1)	14	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-19(2)	21	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-138	21	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-140	73	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-120(1)	9	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-120(2)	54	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-4	13	204	PVC	110.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00
P-139	109	297	PVC	120.0	161.30	161.30	0	0.00

Appendix C1

Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

Appendix C2

Background Sanitary
Documents



**ARCADIA STAGES 3 & 4
CITY OF OTTAWA
MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
JLR NO. 26299-03**

Single Family	3.4	pers/unit
Semi-Detached/Townhouse (row)	2.7	pers/unit
*Future Stage 5 Unit Density	44.0	units/ha
Manning's Coeff. N =	0.013	

q =	280	L/cap/day
l =	0.330	L/s/ha
Inst. =	28000	L/ha/day

Denotes Existing Sanitary Sewers from Arcadia Stage 2 Development as per JLR's design sheet dated July 2015
 Sanitary Drainage Areas/population for future Arcadia Stages 4 and 5
 Sanitary Drainage Areas/population for Arcadia Stage 3

STREET	M.H. #		RESIDENTIAL								COMMERCIAL			PARK/ROAD		INFILTRATION			PEAK DESIGN FLOW L/s	
			NUMBER OF UNITS		AREA ha	POPUL. peop.	CUMULATIVE		PEAKING FACTOR	POPUL. FLOW l/s	AREA ha	CUMM. AREA ha	PEAKING FACTOR	INST. FLOW l/s	AREA ha	CUMM. AREA ha	AREA ha	CUMM. AREA ha		PEAK EXTR. FLOW l/s
			SING.	MULT.			POPUL. peop.	AREA ha												
Eramosa Crescent (Stage 3B)	90	91	14.0		0.65	48	48	0.65	3.65	0.57		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.65	0.65	0.21	0.78
Eramosa Crescent (Stage 3B)	91	92	2.0		0.18	7	55	0.83	3.64	0.65		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.18	0.83	0.27	0.92
Eramosa Crescent (Stage 3B)	92	93	4.0		0.28	14	69	1.11	3.63	0.81		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.28	1.11	0.37	1.18
Eramosa Crescent (Stage 3B)	93	94	2.0		0.17	7	76	1.28	3.62	0.89		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.17	1.28	0.42	1.31
Eramosa Crescent (Stage 3B)	94	81	14.0		0.65	48	124	1.93	3.57	1.44		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.65	1.93	0.64	2.07
Paine Avenue (Stage 3B)	EX.9	81	6.0		0.28	20	20	0.28	3.70	0.24		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.28	0.28	0.09	0.33
Paine Avenue (Stage 3B)	81	80	12.0		0.52	41	185	2.73	3.53	2.12		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.52	2.73	0.90	3.02
Coco (Stage 3B)	27 E	23	5.0		0.30	17	17	0.30	3.71	0.20		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.30	0.30	0.10	0.30
Winterset Road (Stage 3B)	24	23	2.0		0.15	7	7	0.15	3.74	0.08		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.15	0.15	0.05	0.13
Winterset Road (Stage 3B)	23	22	17.0		0.83	58	82	1.28	3.61	0.96		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.83	1.28	0.42	1.38
Coco (Stage 3B)	27	28	2.0		0.18	7	7	0.18	3.74	0.08		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.18	0.18	0.06	0.14
Coco (Stage 3B)	28	80	15.0		0.69	51	58	0.87	3.64	0.68		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.69	0.87	0.29	0.97
Paine Avenue (Stage 3B)	80	22	5.0		0.33	17	260	3.93	3.48	2.94		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.33	3.93	1.30	4.23
Winterset Road (Stage 3B)	22	22B	1.0		0.16	3	345	5.37	3.44	3.85		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.16	5.37	1.77	5.62
Winterset Road (Stage 3B)	22B	21	5.0		0.32	17	362	5.69	3.43	4.03		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.32	5.69	1.88	5.91
Parabolica	72	71	2.0		0.17	7	7	0.17	3.74	0.08		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.17	0.17	0.06	0.14
Parabolica	71	21	14.0		0.67	48	55	0.84	3.64	0.65		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.67	0.84	0.28	0.93
Riverchase Drive (Stage 4)	21	20	11		0.56	37	454	7.09	3.40	5.00		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.56	7.09	2.34	7.34
Parabolica	73 S	61	5		0.30	17	17	0.30	3.71	0.20		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.30	0.30	0.10	0.30
Parabolica	72 S	73	7		0.33	24	24	0.33	3.70	0.29		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.33	0.33	0.11	0.40
Basalt	73	20	6	9	0.60	45	69	0.93	3.63	0.81		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.60	0.93	0.31	1.12
Winterset Road (Stage 4)	20	19		4	0.17	11	534	8.19	3.37	5.83		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.17	8.19	2.70	8.53
Winterset Road (Stage 4)	19	18		3	0.15	8	542	8.34	3.36	5.91		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.15	8.34	2.75	8.66
Calvington Avenue (Stage 3B)	62	61	6		0.31	20	20	0.31	3.70	0.24		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.31	0.31	0.10	0.34
Calvington Avenue (Stage 3B)	61	60	5	7	0.44	36	73	1.05	3.62	0.86		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.44	1.05	0.35	1.20
Calvington Avenue (Stage 3B)	60	18	2	2	0.22	12	85	1.27	3.61	0.99		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.22	1.27	0.42	1.41
Park (Stage 3B)	Stub	18				0	0	0.00				0.0	1.5	0.0	2.46	2.46	2.46	2.46	0.81	0.81
Winterset Road (Stage 3B)	18	16	5		0.30	17	644	9.91	3.33	6.95		0.0	1.5	0.0		2.46	0.30	12.37	4.08	11.04
Stage 5*	Stub	16		180	4.10	486	486	4.10	3.38	5.33		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	4.10	4.10	1.35	6.68

ARCADIA STAGES 3 & 4
CITY OF OTTAWA
MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
JLR NO. 26299-03

Designed by: NG

Checked by: TC

Date : April 2019

Table with 4 columns: Description, Value, Unit, and Density/Rate. Includes rows for Single Family (3.4 pers/unit), Semi-Detached/Townhouse (row) (2.7 pers/unit), *Future Stage 5 Unit Density (44.0 units/ha), and Manning's Coeff. N = (0.013).

Legend table with 2 columns: Symbol/Color and Description. Includes 'Denotes Existing Sanitary Sewers from Arcadia Stage 2 Development as per JLR's design sheet dated July 2015', 'Sanitary Drainage Areas/population for future Arcadia Stages 4 and 5', and 'Sanitary Drainage Areas/population for Arcadia Stage 3'.

Main sewer design table with columns: STREET, M.H. # (FROM, TO), Actual DIA., SEWER DATA (DIA., SLOPE, CAPAC., VEL., LENGTH), RESIDUAL CAP., UPSTREAM (Center Line, Obvert Drop, Obvert, Invert, Cover), DOWNSTREAM (Center Line, Obvert Drop, Obvert, Invert, Cover), and SELF-CLEANSING VELOCITIES (Angle, Depth, Area, Wetted Perimeter, Flow, Flow (L/s), Velocity (L/s), Q/Qmax).



**ARCADIA STAGES 3 & 4
CITY OF OTTAWA
MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
JLR NO. 26299-03**

Single Family	3.4	pers/unit
Semi-Detached/Townhouse (row)	2.7	pers/unit
*Future Stage 5 Unit Density	44.0	units/ha
Manning's Coeff. N =	0.013	

q =	280	L/cap/day
l =	0.330	L/s/ha
Inst. =	28000	L/ha/day

Denotes Existing Sanitary Sewers from Arcadia Stage 2 Development as per JLR's design sheet dated July 2015
Sanitary Drainage Areas/population for future Arcadia Stages 4 and 5
Sanitary Drainage Areas/population for Arcadia Stage 3

STREET	M.H. #		RESIDENTIAL								COMMERCIAL			PARK/ROAD		INFILTRATION			PEAK DESIGN FLOW L/s	
			NUMBER OF UNITS		AREA ha	POPUL. peop.	CUMULATIVE		PEAKING FACTOR	POPUL. FLOW l/s	AREA ha	CUMM. AREA ha	PEAKING FACTOR	INST. FLOW l/s	AREA ha	CUMM. AREA ha	AREA ha	CUMM. AREA ha		PEAK EXTR. FLOW l/s
			SING.	MULT.			POPUL. peop.	AREA ha												
Winterset Road	16	14	14		0.60	38	1168	14.61	3.20	12.13		0.0	1.5	0.0		2.46	0.60	17.07	5.63	17.76
Winterset Road	14	13	8		0.29	22	1189	14.90	3.20	12.33		0.0	1.5	0.0		2.46	0.29	17.36	5.73	18.06
Winterset Road	13	2	4		0.18	11	1200	15.08	3.20	12.44		0.0	1.5	0.0		2.46	0.18	17.54	5.79	18.23
Natare	45 E	46	17		0.48	46	46	0.48	3.66	0.54		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.48	0.48	0.16	0.70
Natare	47	46	3		0.13	8	8	0.13	3.74	0.10		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.13	0.13	0.04	0.14
Speedvale	46	39				0	54	0.61	3.65	0.64		0.0	1.5	0.0	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.64	0.21	0.85
Speedvale	39	38	16		0.48	43	97	1.09	3.60	1.13		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.03	0.48	1.12	0.37	1.50
Speedvale	38	37	12		0.31	32	130	1.40	3.57	1.50		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.03	0.31	1.43	0.47	1.97
Speedvale	37	36	9		0.24	24	154	1.64	3.55	1.77		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.03	0.24	1.67	0.55	2.32
Speedvale	36	30	7		0.24	19	173	1.88	3.54	1.98		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.03	0.24	1.91	0.63	2.61
Natare	45	44	4		0.19	11	11	0.19	3.73	0.13		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.19	0.19	0.06	0.19
Natare	44	43	6		0.18	16	27	0.37	3.69	0.32		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.18	0.37	0.12	0.44
Natare	43	42	10		0.31	27	54	0.68	3.65	0.64		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.31	0.68	0.22	0.86
Sweet Pea	54	53	17		0.80	58	58	0.80	3.64	0.68		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.80	0.80	0.26	0.95
Sweet Pea	53	52	2		0.15	7	65	0.95	3.63	0.77		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.15	0.95	0.31	1.08
Sweet Pea	52	51	10		0.44	34	99	1.39	3.60	1.15		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.44	1.39	0.46	1.61
Sweet Pea	51	50	1		0.10	3	102	1.49	3.59	1.19		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.10	1.49	0.49	1.68
Sweet Pea	50	42			0.01	0	102	1.50	3.59	1.19		0.0	1.5	0.0	0.01	0.01	0.02	1.51	0.50	1.69
Natare	42	40	16		0.48	43	199	2.66	3.52	2.27		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.01	0.48	2.67	0.88	3.15
Natare	40	31	5		0.18	14	213	2.84	3.51	2.42		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.01	0.18	2.85	0.94	3.36
Speedvale	34	33	6		0.27	16	16	0.27	3.71	0.19		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.27	0.27	0.09	0.28
Speedvale	33	32	29		0.68	78	95	0.95	3.60	1.10		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.68	0.95	0.31	1.42
Speedvale	32	31	12		0.30	32	127	1.25	3.57	1.47		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	0.30	1.25	0.41	1.88
Speedvale	31	30	14		0.32	38	377	4.41	3.43	4.19		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.01	0.32	4.42	1.46	5.65
Speedvale	30	30A				0	550	6.29	3.36	5.99		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.04		6.33	2.09	8.08
Speedvale	30A	2			0.20	0	550	6.49	3.36	5.99		0.0	1.5	0.0	0.20	0.24	0.40	6.73	2.22	8.22
Stage 5*	Stub	2	180		4.10	486	486	4.10	3.38	5.33		0.0	1.5	0.0		0.00	4.10	4.10	1.35	6.68
Winterset Road	2	2A			0.18	0	2236	25.85	3.04	22.02		0.0	1.5	0.0	0.18	2.88	0.36	28.73	9.48	31.50
Winterset Road	2A	ex. 307A				0	2236	25.85	3.04	22.02		0.0	1.5	0.0		2.88	0.00	28.73	9.48	31.50
Campeau Drive	ex.306A	ex. 307A			23.00	1700	1700	23.00	3.11	17.14	95.58	95.6	1.5	46.5	5.10	5.10	123.68	123.68	40.81	104.42
Donum Lane	South Stub	1A				0	0.00				24.28	24.3	1.5	11.8	0.37	0.37	24.65	24.65	8.13	19.94
Donum Lane	1A	ex. 307A				0	0.00					24.3	1.5	11.8	0.37	0.37	25.02	25.02	8.26	20.06
Campeau Drive	ex. 307A	ex. 308A					3936	48.85	2.87	36.63		119.9	1.5	58.3		8.35	0.00	177.43	58.55	153.45

SANITARY FLOW ALLOCATIONS
24.65 Ha, 20.06 L/s
Stage 6 Lands 2.15ha, 1.75 L/s

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET
PROJECT : Kanata West Servicibility Stury
LOCATION : CITY OF OTTAWA

PAGE 1 OF 1
 PROJECT: 3598-LD-03
 DATE: Apr 2005
 DESIGN: JIM
 FILE: 3598LD.sewers.XLS

PHASE 1 SIGNATURE RIDGE (population based criteria..ICI simultaneous peaking)

STREET	LOCATION		TOTAL AREA (Ha)	RESIDENTIAL						EMPLOYMENT/RETAIL/BUSINESS PARK/OPEN SPACES						INFILTRATION			TOTAL FLOW (l/s)	PROPOSED SEWER									
	FROM MH	TO MH		APPLIC AREA (Ha)	UNIT/Ha	TOTAL UNITS	POPULATION INDIV	ACCUM	PEAK FACTOR	PEAK FLOW (l/s)	APPLIC AREA (Ha)	ACCUM AREA (Ha)	TOTAL AREA (Ha)	FLOW RATE (l/Ha/d)	PEAK FLOW			INDIV		AREA (Ha)		PEAK FLOW (l/s)	CAPACITY l/s	VELOCITY (ful) m/s	LGTH. (m)	PIPE (mm)	GRADE %	AVAIL. CAP. (%)	
															INDIV	ACCUM	TOTAL			CUMUL	TOTAL CUMUL								
Campeau Drive Trunk Sewer	1	2	0.00							0.00	0.00		35000	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00											
			0.00							0.00	0.00		35000	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00											
			0.00							0.00	0.00		50000	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00											
			0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	50000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	283.79	1.27	500.0	525	0.40	100.00%		
	2	3	29.19	29.19	19	555	1664	1664	3.65	24.58							0.00	29.19	29.19										
			0.00							24.58				0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	29.19	8.17	32.75	286.61	0.98	700.0	600	0.20	88.57%		
	14	3	0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	50000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00									
			0.00							0.00	0.00	0.00	50000	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				148.74	0.91	920.0	450	0.25	100.00%		
	3	4					1664		3.65	24.58	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	29.19	8.17	32.75	200.67	0.90	150.0	675	0.20	83.68%		
	4A	4	27.86	27.86	19	529	1588		3.66	23.55							27.86	27.86	27.86	7.80	31.36	34.00	0.67	750.0	450	0.25	7.76%		
	4	5	4.13	1.76	50	88	263	3515	3.38	48.17	2.37	2.37	123.33	35000	1.44	1.44	1.44	4.13	4.13	61.18	17.13	66.74	200.67	0.90	600.0	750	0.20	66.74%	
Corel Centre Etc. (Existing Sewer)		15	6.05							6.05	6.05		30000	3.15	3.15		6.05												
			20.15							20.15	26.20	26.20	14400	5.04	8.19	8.19	20.15	26.20	26.20	7.34	45.52				Existing				
First Line Road Sewer		15	14.59							14.59	14.59		35000	8.87	8.87		14.59	14.59											
			11.97							11.97	26.56		35000	7.27	16.14		11.97	26.56											
			20.66							20.66	47.22		35000	12.55	28.69		20.66	47.22											
			28.89							28.89	76.11	76.11	35000	17.55	46.25	46.25	28.89	76.11	76.11	21.31	67.56	100.21	0.88	694.0	375	0.30	32.59%		
Totals South Of Queensway To SRPS	15	5A	102.31	0.00	0	0				102.31						54.44	102.31	102.31	58.65	113.08	113.08	203.90	1.24	230.0	450	0.47	44.54%		
Queensway		5	6.35							6.35	108.66		35000	3.86	58.29		6.35	6.35											
			11.80	5.02	50	251	752	752	3.88	11.81	6.79	115.45	115.45	35000	4.12	62.42	62.42	11.80	18.15	120.46	63.73	137.96	203.90	1.24	420.0	450	0.47	32.34%	
	5	5A	3.88							3.88	119.33		35000	2.36	64.77		3.88	124.34											
			25.54							25.54	144.87	268.20	35000	15.52	81.73	81.73	25.54	149.88	211.06	89.10	230.81	519.43	1.14	300.0	750	0.20	55.56%		
			149.88																	63.73	63.73								
Heritage Hills		5A	90.20	90.20	19	1714	5141	5141	3.23	67.35	0.00						90.20												
Heritage Hills		5A	4.88							67.35	4.88	4.88	4.88	50000	4.24	4.24	4.24	4.88	95.08	95.08	26.62	98.21							
Broughton-Richardson / Interstitial		5A																			65.00								
Total To SRPS	5A	SRPS	306.14	154.03	3136	9409				127.33	152.12					85.97		306.14	115.72	394.02	625.68	1.37	30.0	750	0.29	37.03%			

Average Daily Per capita Flow Rate = 350 l/cap/d
 Infiltration Allowance Flow Rate = 0.28 l/sec/Ha
 Residential Peaking Factor = 1+(14/(4+(P^0.5))), P=Pop. in 1000's, Max of 4
 Population density per unit = 3.00
 P. F. For Employment/Retail/Business Park = 1.50




Note: Sewer from node 5 to SRPS is existing and is to be replaced.

Revision No. 1: April 11, 2005
 Revision No. 2: April 20, 2005
 Revision No. 3: June 07, 2005
 Revision No. 4: Oct. 14, 2005
 Revision No. 5: Feb. 15, 2006



FIG. 4.2-2

File Location: V:\262000\26299-03 Arcadia - Stage 3 and Campeau Pond\3-JLR.DWG1-civil\26299-03 C DSANT.dwg



- AREA DESCRIPTIONS
- 87.3 ha COMMERCIAL / EMPLOYMENT AS PER SIGNATURE RIDGE PUMPING STATION HYDRAULIC GRADE ANALYSIS - IBI GROUP, 2014 (REV 2)
 - 5.2 COMMERCIAL & 3.56 ha INFILTRATION AS PER ARCADIA RETAIL DEVELOPMENT DESIGN (IBI GROUP, 2014)
 - 21 ha RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT AS PER ARCADIA STAGE 1 DETAILED DESIGN (IBI GROUP 2012) AND ARCADIA STAGE 2 DETAILED DESIGN (JLR 2014)
 - 2 ha RESIDENTIAL & R.O.W. AS PER ARCADIA STAGE 1 DETAILED DESIGN (IBI GROUP 2012)
 - 1.54 ha R.O.W. AS PER CURRENT DESIGN (JLR)
 - 2.15 ha FUTURE COMMERCIAL AS PER CURRENT DESIGN (JLR)
 - 0.37 ha R.O.W. AS PER CURRENT DESIGN (JLR)
 - 22.13 ha COMMERCIAL AS PER SIGNATURE RIDGE PUMPING STATION HYDRAULIC GRADE ANALYSIS - IBI GROUP, 2014 (REV 2)

No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DD/MM/YY
03	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - SUBMISSION 3	28/04/2019
02	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - SUBMISSION 2	22/02/2019
01	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - SUBMISSION 1	27/11/2018

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.

SCALE: 1:2000

CLIENT:

CONSULTANT:

www.jrichards.ca

CONSULTANT:

PROJECT:

MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
ARCADIA STAGE 3

450 HUNTMAR DRIVE

DRAWING:

OVERALL SANITARY DRAINAGE PLAN

DESIGN: AT	DRAWING #:
DRAWN: CJM	OSAN
CHECKED: LD	
JLR #: 26299-03	

City File No: DO7-16-16-0025 Plan No: 17816

Appendix D1

Storm Sewer Design Sheet

Appendix E1

Modelling Schematics

Appendix E2

CB Tables

STREET CATCHBASINS

Street Name	CB ID Number	T/G	Inlet		Outlet		Return Period (years)	Rational Method Capture Rate (1:5 yr) (L/s)	Max Depth (100 yr) (m)	1:100 Yr Restricted Capture Rate (L/s)	ICD TYPE
			Pipe Dia. (mm)	Invert	Pipe Dia. (mm)	Invert					
Feedmill	CB1	97.15	-	-	200	95.35	1:5	29.00	1.9	35.00	MHF IPEX TYPE B
	CB2	97.15	-	-	200	95.35	1:5	10.00	1.85	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB3	97.3	-	-	200	95.50	1:5	6.00	0.63	14.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB4	97.3	-	-	200	95.50	1:5	22.00	1.93	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB5	96.85	-	-	200	95.05	1:5	3.00	0.81	5.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB4A	96.9	-	-	200	95.10	1:5	5.00	0.71	8.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB5A	96.9	-	-	200	95.10	1:5	13.00	1.83	22.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB6	96.85	-	-	200	95.05	1:5	6.00	0.9	10.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB7	96.8	-	-	200	95.00	1:5	3.00	0.9	5.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB8	96.8	-	-	200	95.00	1:5	6.00	0.98	10.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB9	96.7	-	-	200	94.90	1:5	3.00	1.01	4.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB10	96.7	-	-	200	94.90	1:5	6.00	1.09	10.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CBMH11	96.2	200	94.45	250	94.40	1:5	28.00	1.90	35.00	MHF IPEX TYPE B
	CB12	96.2	-	-	200	94.51	1:5	-	-	-	NO ICD
	CB13	96	-	-	200	94.20	1:5	42.00	1.95	47.00	MHF IPEX TYPE C
	CB14	96	-	-	200	94.20	1:5	11.00	1.82	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
CB15	95.6	-	-	200	93.80	1:5	23.00	1.96	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A	
CB16	95.6	-	-	200	93.80	1:5	11.00	1.29	20.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A	
Clearpath	CB17	96.92	-	-	200	95.12	1:5	21.50	1.96	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB18	96.92	-	-	200	95.12	1:5	21.50	1.96	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB19	97.07	-	-	200	95.27	1:5	15.00	1.86	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB20	97.07	-	-	200	95.27	1:5	15.00	1.86	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB21	97.17	-	-	200	95.37	1:5	11.50	1.59	23.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB22	97.17	-	-	200	95.37	1:5	11.50	1.59	23.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB23	97.22	-	-	200	95.42	1:5	11.50	1.53	22.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB24	97.22	-	-	200	95.42	1:5	11.50	1.53	22.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB25	97.07	-	-	200	95.27	1:5	8.00	0.82	16.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB26	97.07	-	-	200	95.27	1:5	8.00	0.82	16.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB27	96.77	-	-	200	95.08	1:5	-	-	-	NO ICD
	CBMH28	96.77	200	95.02	250	94.97	1:5	27.00	1.92	33.00	MHF IPEX TYPE B
	CB29	96.57	-	-	200	94.77	1:5	16.50	1.95	22.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB30	96.57	-	-	200	94.77	1:5	16.50	1.95	22.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
Silverberry	CB31	96.77	-	-	200	94.97	1:5	21.00	1.97	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB32	96.77	-	-	200	94.97	1:5	21.00	1.97	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB33	97.02	-	-	200	95.22	1:5	22.00	1.96	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB34	97.02	-	-	200	95.22	1:5	22.00	1.96	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
Woodily	CB35	96.67	-	-	200	94.87	1:5	21.50	2.01	23.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB36	96.67	-	-	200	94.87	1:5	21.50	2.01	23.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB37	96.87	-	-	200	95.07	1:5	21.00	1.96	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB38	96.87	-	-	200	95.07	1:5	21.00	1.96	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
Arcadian	CB39	96.15	-	-	200	94.35	1:5	18.00	1.91	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB40	96.15	-	-	200	94.35	1:5	18.00	1.91	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CBMH41	96.2	200	94.45	250	94.40	1:5	15.00	1.87	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB42	96.2	-	-	200	94.51	1:5	15.00	1.87	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
Creekway	CB43	95.9	-	-	200	94.10	1:5	17.00	1.89	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB44	95.9	-	-	200	94.10	1:5	17.00	1.89	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB45	95.71	-	-	200	93.91	1:5	31.00	1.98	43.00	MHF IPEX TYPE C
	CB46	95.71	-	-	200	93.91	1:5	31.00	1.98	43.00	MHF IPEX TYPE C
	CB47	95.6	-	-	200	93.80	1:5	21.50	1.95	31.00	MHF IPEX TYPE B
	CB48	95.6	-	-	200	93.80	1:5	21.50	1.95	31.00	MHF IPEX TYPE B
CB106	95.77	-	-	200	93.60	1:5	-	-	-	NO ICD	

COURTYARD ICD TABLE

STREET	CB ID Number	T/G	INLET				OUTLET				1:100 Yr Restricted Flow (L/s)	ICD TYPE
			Pipe Dia. (mm)	Material	Pipe Length (m)	Invert	Pipe Dia. (mm)	Material	Pipe Length (m)	Invert		
BLOCK MT-12	CB108	95.40	-	-	-	-	375	HDPE	27.61	93.51	25.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB109	95.35	375	HDPE	27.61	93.23	375	HDPE	19.61	93.23	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CB107	95.50	-	-	-	-	300	PVC	33.61	93.93	39.00	MHF IPEX TYPE C
	CBMH202A	95.80	300	PVC	33.61	93.77	300	PVC	24.48	93.77	-	NO ICD
	CBMH104	94.75	-	-	-	-	375	HDPE	3.43	92.81	32.00	MHF IPEX TYPE_B
BLOCK MT-5	CB102	96.22	-	-	-	-	250	PVC	21.32	94.55	-	NO ICD
	CBMH103	96.30	250	PVC	21.32	94.34	375	PVC	60.98	94.34	-	NO ICD
AMENITY	CBMH2	97.25	-	-	-	-	300	PVC	12.74	95.45	24.00	MHF IPEX TYPE A
	CBMH114	97.40	-	-	-	-	300	PVC	18.66	95.13	36.00	MHF IPEX TYPE B
	CBMH115	97.30	300	PVC	18.66	95.07	300	PVC	19.63	95.07	-	NO ICD
	CBMH116	97.25	300	PVC	19.63	95.00	300	PVC	23.51	95.00	-	NO ICD
	CB111	95.90	-	-	-	-	200	PVC	34.15	95.00	-	NO ICD
BLOCK 2	PARK ST	96.60	-	-	-	-	250	PVC	8.00	94.70	58.00	CUSTOM 142 mm ICD
BLOCK 1	CB110	97.24	-	-	-	-	200	PVC	5.35	94.79	-	NO ICD

*HDPE to be Non-Perforated Smooth Interior

Appendix E3

HGL Analysis

Appendix E4

Street Ponding Areas



STREET PONDING TABLE

Ponding Area ID	Top of Grate (m)	Maximum Static Depth (m)	1:2 year		1:5 year Depth		1:10 year		1:25 year		1:50 year		1:100 year		Climate Change		Lowest Opening Grade (m)
			Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	Total Ponding Depth (m)	Max. HGL (m)	
1	97.40	0.30	0.00	-	0.02	97.42	0.07	97.47	0.11	97.51	0.14	97.54	0.17	97.57	0.21	97.61	98.15
2	97.30	0.30	0.01	97.31	0.01	97.31	0.01	97.31	0.01	97.31	0.01	97.31	0.01	97.31	0.02	97.32	98.15
3	97.25	0.30	0.02	97.27	0.03	97.28	0.04	97.29	0.04	97.29	0.05	97.30	0.05	97.30	0.07	97.32	98.15
4	97.15	0.30	0.00	-	0	-	0.03	97.18	0.07	97.22	0.09	97.24	0.1	97.25	0.12	97.27	98.15
4	97.15	0.30	0.00	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.05	97.20	0.12	97.27	98.15
5	96.90	0.25	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0	-	97.42
5	96.90	0.25	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0.03	96.93	0.09	96.99	97.42
6	96.92	0.23	0	-	0	-	0.05	96.97	0.09	97.01	0.11	97.03	0.16	97.08	0.29	97.21	97.33
7	96.85	0.15	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0	-	97.15
7	96.85	0.15	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.04	96.89	97.15
8	96.77	0.18	0	-	0	-	0.05	96.82	0.09	96.86	0.11	96.88	0.17	96.94	0.31	97.08	97.10
9	96.80	0.14	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0	-	97.10
9	96.80	0.14	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.09	96.89	97.10
10	96.67	0.18	0	-	0.01	96.68	0.06	96.73	0.1	96.77	0.12	96.79	0.21	96.88	0.31	96.98	97.10
11	96.70	0.15	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0	-	96.95
11	96.70	0.15	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.05	96.75	96.95
12	96.20	0.19	0	-	0	-	0.01	96.21	0.06	96.26	0.08	96.28	0.1	96.30	0.14	96.34	97.00
13	96.00	0.25	0	-	0	-	0.06	96.06	0.1	96.10	0.13	96.13	0.15	96.15	0.18	96.18	96.80
13	96.00	0.25	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0.02	96.02	0.13	96.13	96.80
14	95.60	0.15	0	-	0	-	0.06	95.66	0.1	95.70	0.13	95.73	0.16	95.76	0.2	95.80	96.35
14	95.60	0.15	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.01	95.61	96.35
15	95.60	0.20	0	-	0	-	0.01	95.61	0.07	95.67	0.10	95.70	0.15	95.75	0.25	95.85	96.25
16	94.75	0.30	0	-	0	-	0.03	94.78	0.12	94.87	0.17	94.92	0.22	94.97	0.3	95.05	96.25
17	95.40	0.30	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0.05	95.45	0.11	95.51	96.25
18	95.35	0.25	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.08	95.43	96.25
19	95.50	0.25	0.00	-	0	-	0.08	95.58	0.16	95.66	0.21	95.71	0.25	95.75	0.27	95.77	96.25
20	95.80	0.15	0.02	95.82	0.02	95.82	0.03	95.83	0.03	95.83	0.04	95.84	0.04	95.84	0.05	95.85	96.25
21	96.30	0.10	0.02	96.32	0.03	96.33	0.04	96.34	0.05	96.35	0.06	96.36	0.06	96.36	0.08	96.38	96.80
22	95.71	0.14	0	-	0	-	0.04	95.75	0.13	95.84	0.16	95.87	0.18	95.89	0.19	95.90	96.17
23	95.90	0.15	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.03	95.93	0.07	95.97	0.09	95.99	0.13	96.03	96.35
24	96.22	0.23	0.06	96.28	0.07	96.29	0.08	96.30	0.09	96.31	0.12	96.34	0.15	96.37	0.22	96.44	96.80
25	96.20	0.30	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.02	96.22	0.06	96.26	0.09	96.29	0.13	96.33	96.80
26	96.15	0.20	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.05	96.20	0.08	96.23	0.11	96.26	0.16	96.31	96.80
27	96.57	0.15	0	-	0	-	0.03	96.60	0.09	96.66	0.13	96.70	0.15	96.72	0.19	96.76	96.95
28	96.77	0.25	0	-	0	-	0.03	96.80	0.08	96.85	0.10	96.87	0.12	96.89	0.16	96.93	97.15
29	96.87	0.24	0	-	0	-	0.05	96.92	0.09	96.96	0.11	96.98	0.16	97.03	0.26	97.13	97.22
30	97.07	0.13	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0	-	97.37
31	97.22	0.13	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.05	97.27	97.50
32	97.02	0.20	0.00	-	0.00	-	0.05	97.07	0.09	97.11	0.12	97.14	0.16	97.18	0.21	97.23	97.32
33	97.17	0.13	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.05	97.22	97.53
34	97.07	0.22	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.03	97.10	0.06	97.13	0.1	97.17	97.46
35	97.25	0.30	0	-	0	-	0.04	97.29	0.09	97.34	0.12	97.37	0.15	97.40	0.2	97.45	97.82
36	97.30	0.20	0	-	0	-	0	-	0	-	0.00	-	0	-	0.03	97.33	97.91
36	97.30	0.20	0	-	0	-	0.04	97.34	0.09	97.39	0.12	97.42	0.13	97.43	0.15	97.45	97.91

Street Segment ID	U/S ID	D/S ID	Velocity x Depth (m ² /s)			Climate Change
			1:2 year	1:5 year	1:100 year	
HP_ST6_J10-LP_J7	HP_ST6_J10	J7	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J11-HP_ST6_J10	HP_ST6_J11	HP_ST6_J10	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J11-LP_ST6_J11	HP_ST6_J11	LP_ST6_J11_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J11-LP_ST6_J11_E	HP_ST6_J11	LP_ST6_J11_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J11-LP_ST6_J17	HP_ST6_J11	LP_ST6_J17	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J12-J6	HP_ST6_J12	J6	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J12-LP_ST6_J15	HP_ST6_J12	LP_ST6_J15	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J13-LP_ST6_J14	HP_ST6_J13	LP_ST6_J14	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J13-LP_ST6_J15	HP_ST6_J13	LP_ST6_J15	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J14-LP_ST6_J9	HP_ST6_J14	LP_ST6_J9	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J15-HP_ST6_J16	HP_ST6_J15	HP_ST6_J16	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J15-LP_ST6_J14	HP_ST6_J15	LP_ST6_J14	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J15-LP_ST6_J7	HP_ST6_J15	LP_ST6_J7	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J16-LP_ST6_J13	HP_ST6_J16	LP_ST6_J13	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J17-LP_ST6_J12	HP_ST6_J17	LP_ST6_J12	0	0	0	0.01
HP_ST6_J17-LP_ST6_J13	HP_ST6_J17	LP_ST6_J13	0	0	0	0.04
HP_ST6_J18-LP_ST6_J12	HP_ST6_J18	LP_ST6_J12	0	0	0.01	0.03
HP_ST6_J19-HP_ST6_J18	HP_ST6_J19	HP_ST6_J18	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J11	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J11_W	0	0	0	0.02
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J11_E	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J11_E	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J2	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J2_W	0	0	0	0.04
HP_ST6_J19-LP_ST6_J2_E	HP_ST6_J19	LP_ST6_J2_E	0	0	0	0.04
HP_ST6_J1-J32_E	HP_ST6_J1	J32_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J1-J32_W	HP_ST6_J1	J32_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J1-LP_ST6_J21_2	J32_E	LP_ST6_J21_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J1-LP_ST6_J24_1	HP_ST6_J1	J35	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J1-LP_ST6_J24_2	J35	LP_ST6_J24	0	0	0	0.01
HP_ST6_J20-HP_ST6_J21	HP_ST6_J20	HP_ST6_J21	0	0	0	0.02
HP_ST6_J20-J29_E	HP_ST6_J20	J29_E	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J1	HP_ST6_J20	LP_ST6_J1_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J1_E	HP_ST6_J20	LP_ST6_J1_E	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J2_1	HP_ST6_J20	J29_W	0	0	0	0.06
HP_ST6_J20-LP_ST6_J2_2	J29_W	LP_ST6_J2_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J21-LP_ST6_J10	HP_ST6_J21	LP_ST6_J10	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J22-LP_ST6_J10	HP_ST6_J22	LP_ST6_J10	0	0	0.02	0.02
HP_ST6_J22-LP_ST6_J9	HP_ST6_J22	LP_ST6_J9	0	0	0.01	0.04
HP_ST6_J23-HP_ST6_J14	HP_ST6_J23	HP_ST6_J14	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J23-LP_ST6_J7	HP_ST6_J23	LP_ST6_J7	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J23-LP_ST6_J8	HP_ST6_J23	LP_ST6_J8	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J24-LP_ST6_J6_2	HP_ST6_J24	LP_ST6_J6	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J24-LP_ST6_J8	HP_ST6_J24	LP_ST6_J8	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J25-LP_ST6_J5	HP_ST6_J25	LP_ST6_J5	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J25-LP_ST6_J6	HP_ST6_J25	LP_ST6_J6	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J26-LP_ST6_J5	HP_ST6_J26	LP_ST6_J5	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J27-E-LP_ST6_J26	HP_ST6_J27	LP_ST6_J26_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J27-HP_ST6_J26	HP_ST6_J27	HP_ST6_J26	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J27-J28_E	HP_ST6_J27	J28_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J27-LP_ST6_J1_1	HP_ST6_J27	J28_W	0	0	0	0.05
HP_ST6_J27-LP_ST6_J1_2	J28_W	LP_ST6_J1_W	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J27-LP_ST6_J2	HP_ST6_J27	LP_ST6_J26_W	0	0	0	0.03
HP_ST6_J28-J16_E	HP_ST6_J28	J16_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J28-J16_W	HP_ST6_J28	J16_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J2-LP_ST6_J23	HP_ST6_J2	LP_ST6_J23	0	0	0.03	0.04
HP_ST6_J2-LP_ST6_J24	HP_ST6_J2	LP_ST6_J24	0	0	0.02	0.02
HP_ST6_J30-CGW_J11	HP_ST6_J30	CGW_J11	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J30-J10_N	HP_ST6_J30	J10_N	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J30-J10_S	HP_ST6_J30	J10_S	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J31-N-J13_N	HP_ST6_J31	J13_N	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J31-N-J14	HP_ST6_J31	J14	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J31-S-J13_S	HP_ST6_J31	J13_S	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J31-S-J15_W	HP_ST6_J31	J15_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J3-LP_ST6_J22	HP_ST6_J3	LP_ST6_J22	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J3-LP_ST6_J23_1	HP_ST6_J3	J34	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J3-LP_ST6_J23_2	J34	LP_ST6_J23	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J4-LP_ST6_J22_1	HP_ST6_J4	J33	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J4-LP_ST6_J22_2	J33	LP_ST6_J22	0	0	0	0.01
HP_ST6_J5-HP_ST6_J4	HP_ST6_J5	HP_ST6_J4	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J5-J31_E	HP_ST6_J5	J31_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J5-J31_W	HP_ST6_J5	J31_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J5-LP_ST6_J21_E	HP_ST6_J5	LP_ST6_J21_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J5-LP_ST6_J21_W	HP_ST6_J5	LP_ST6_J21_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J6-LP_ST6_J18	HP_ST6_J6	LP_ST6_J18	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J7-LP_ST6_J18	HP_ST6_J7	LP_ST6_J18	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J7-LP_ST6_J19	HP_ST6_J7	LP_ST6_J19	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J8-LP_ST6_J19	HP_ST6_J8	LP_ST6_J19	0	0	0	0.01
HP_ST6_J9-HP_ST6_J8	HP_ST6_J9	HP_ST6_J8	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J9-J30_E	HP_ST6_J9	J30_E	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J9-J30_W	HP_ST6_J9	J30_W	0	0	0	0
HP_ST6_J9-LP_ST6_J17	HP_ST6_J9	LP_ST6_J17	0	0	0	0
J10-E-LP_ST6_J26_E	J10_E	LP_ST6_J26_E	0	0	0	0
J10-N-LP_ST6_J25_N	J10_N	LP_ST6_J25_N	0	0	0	0
J10-S-LP_ST6_J25_S	J10_S	LP_ST6_J25_S	0	0	0	0
J10-W-LP_ST6_J26_W	J10_W	LP_ST6_J26_W	0	0	0	0
J12-N-LP_ST6_J25_N	J12_N	LP_ST6_J25_N	0	0	0	0
J12-S-LP_ST6_J25_S	J12_S	LP_ST6_J25_S	0	0	0.01	0.01
J13-N-J12_N	J13_N	J12_N	0	0	0	0
J13-S-J12_S	J13_S	J12_S	0	0	0	0
J14-J10_W	J14	J10_W	0	0	0	0
J15-E-J10_E	J15_E	J10_E	0	0	0	0
J15-E-LP_ST6_J4_E	J15_E	LP_ST6_J4_E	0	0	0	0
J15-W-LP_ST6_J4_W	J15_W	LP_ST6_J4_W	0	0	0	0
J16-E-LP_ST6_J4_E	J16_E	LP_ST6_J4_E	0	0	0	0
J16-W-LP_ST6_J4_W	J16_W	LP_ST6_J4_W	0	0	0	0
J28-E-LP_ST6_J1_E	J28_E	LP_ST6_J1_E	0	0	0	0
J29-E-LP_ST6_J2_E	J29_E	LP_ST6_J2_E	0	0	0	0.05
J30-E-LP_ST6_J20_E	J30_E	LP_ST6_J20_E	0	0	0	0
J30-W-LP_ST6_J20_W	J30_W	LP_ST6_J20_W	0	0	0	0
J31-E-LP_ST6_J20_E	J31_E	LP_ST6_J20_E	0	0	0	0
J31-W-LP_ST6_J20_1	J31_W	LP_ST6_J20_W	0	0	0	0
J32-W-LP_ST6_J21_W	J32_W	LP_ST6_J21_W	0	0	0.02	0.02
J6-LP_ST6_J16	J6	LP_ST6_J16	0	0	0.01	0.02
J7-LP_ST6_J16	J7	LP_ST6_J16	0	0	0.02	0.02

Appendix E5

Historical References



APPROVED REFUSED

DATE _____

Felice Petti, P. Eng., Manager, Development Review
Suburban Services
City of Ottawa

No.	Description	Date	Checked
4	Revised as per City Comments	14:10:02	DGY
3	Revised as per City Comments	14:08:22	DGY
2	Issued for SPA Resubmission	14:06:27	DGY
1	Issued for SPA	13.11.18	DGY

Issued for _____

All measurements and conditions must be checked on the work by the contractor. This drawing not to be used for construction until signed.

Date _____

plotted by: J:\35355-ArcadiaComm\5.9 Drawings\5900\5900\A-C-500.dwg
Loyal Home Storm Drainage Plot Style: AIA STANDARD-FULL.ctb
Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: 15/6/2014 3:42 PM Last Saved By: dslumb Last Saved At: Oct. 3, 14


drawn by: DPS
checked by: DGY
printed: _____

scale: 1:500
date: NOV. 2013
file: 35355

LEGEND:

- 210A AREA ID
- 0.12|0.90 RUN OFF COEFFICIENT
- AREA IN HECTARES
- DRAINAGE AREA LIMITS
- MH110 STORM MANHOLE & NUMBER
- STORM SEWER & FLOW DIRECTION
- 21.6 CONTROLLED FLOW RATE FROM BUILDINGS
- EMERGENCY OVERLAND FLOW ROUTE

Areas of Stage 6 contributing to Country Glen Way and Paine Pond



Arcadia Retail Development
Kanata, Ontario



200 Kent Street • Suite 180 • Ottawa, Ontario • K1P 0B6
Telephone: (613)782-3137 Fax: (613)782-5777

drawing title:
STORM DRAINAGE AREA PLAN
370 HUNTMAR DRIVE
OTTAWA, ON.

drawing no.
C-500

333 Preston Street Tower 1, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario Canada K1S 5N4 Tel
(613)225-1311 FAX (613)225-9868




IBI Group
400-333 Preston Street
Ottawa, Ontario
K1S 5N4

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET


PROJECT: Arcadia Commercial
LOCATION: CITY OF OTTAWA
CLIENT: Minto Development Group

*HGL at obvert of pipe if pipe is not surcharged
** Finished floor for slab on grade commercial building
***Freeboard is from upstream MH HGL to FF

LOCATION				AREA (Ha)				RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW													SEWER DATA																		
STREET	AREA ID	FROM MH	TO MH	C= 0.20	C= 0.70	C= 0.75	C= 0.90	IND 2.78AC	CUM 2.78AC	INLET (min)	TIME IN PIPE	TOTAL (min)	i (5) (mm/hr)	i (10) (mm/hr)	i (100) (mm/hr)	5yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	10yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	100yr PEAK FLOW (L/s)	ICD FIXED FLOW (L/s)	DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	CAPACITY (L/s)	LENGTH (m)	PIPE SIZE (mm)			SLOPE (%)	VELOCITY (m/s)	AVAIL CAP (5yr)		surcharged pipe	upstream obvert	HGL* m	FF** m	Freeboard*** m					
																							DIA	W	H			(L/s)	(%)										
	205A	CICB205A	CICB205B			0.10		0.21	0.21	10.00	0.16	10.16	104.19	122.14	178.56	21.72					21.72	62.04	11.97	250			1.00	1.224	40.31	64.98%									
	205B	CICB205B	MAIN			0.06		0.13	0.33	10.00	0.01	10.01	104.19	122.14	178.56	34.76					34.76	87.74	0.64	250			2.00	1.731	52.98	60.38%									
Country Glen Way	-	MH205	MH204					0.00	14.78	14.34	0.39	14.73	85.74	100.42	146.67	1,267.51					1,267.51	1,928.87	30.36	1350			0.12	1.305	661.36	34.29%	no	95.48	95.48						
Country Glen Way	204B	CICB204B	CICB204A			0.06		0.13	0.13	10.00	0.12	10.12	104.19	122.14	178.56	13.03					13.03	62.04	9.00	250			1.00	1.224	49.00	78.99%									
Country Glen Way	204A	CICB204A	MAIN			0.07		0.15	0.27	10.12	0.02	10.14	103.55	121.38	177.45	28.07					28.07	87.74	2.34	250			2.00	1.731	59.67	68.01%									
Country Glen Way	-	MH204	MH203					0.00	15.05	14.73	1.01	15.74	84.44	98.89	144.42	1,271.12					1,271.12	1,928.87	79.00	1350			0.12	1.305	657.75	34.10%	no	95.43	95.43						
	240A	CICB240A	CICB240B					0.00	0.00	10.00	0.14	10.14	104.19	122.14	178.56	0.00					0.00	62.04	10.23	250			1.00	1.224	62.04	100.00%									
		CICB240B	MH240			0.05		0.13	0.13	10.14	0.16	10.30	103.46	121.28	177.30	12.94					12.94	87.74	16.81	250			2.00	1.731	74.79	85.25%									
DEPRESSED LOADING	240B	CB240C	MH240				0.02	0.05	0.05	10.00	0.68	10.68	104.19	122.14	178.56	5.21					5.21	43.87	35.30	250			0.50	0.866	38.65	88.11%									
	240C	CB240D	MH240				0.05	0.13	0.13	10.00	0.44	10.44	104.19	122.14	178.56	13.03					13.03	62.04	32.56	250			1.00	1.224	49.00	78.99%									
	-	MH240	MH203					0.00	0.30	10.68	0.37	11.05	100.74	118.07	172.58	30.24					30.24	63.80	19.34	300			0.40	0.874	33.56	52.60%	no	95.39	95.39						
Country Glen Way	-	MH203	MH202					0.00	15.35	15.74	0.59	16.32	81.24	95.13	138.90	1,247.42					1,247.42	1,928.87	45.86	1350			0.12	1.305	681.46	35.33%	no	95.31	95.31						
Country Glen Way		MH202	MH201					0.00	15.35	16.32	0.20	16.53	79.51	93.09	135.91	1,220.78					1,220.78	1,928.87	15.91	1350			0.12	1.305	708.09	36.71%	no	95.23	95.23						
Country Glen Way	201A	DCICB201A	DCICB201B	0.02		0.18		0.39	0.39	12.00	0.20	12.20	94.70	110.96	162.13	36.59					36.59	62.04	14.74	250			1.00	1.224	25.45	41.02%									
Country Glen Way	201B, 202	DCICB201B	MAIN			0.24		0.50	0.89	12.00	0.03	12.03	94.70	110.96	162.13	83.98					83.98	87.74	3.43	250			2.00	1.731	3.76	4.28%									
External East	EXT-1	CAP	MH201			0.73		1.42	1.42	10.00	0.29	10.29	104.19	122.14	178.56	148.01					148.01	248.09	14.54	600			0.15	0.850	100.07	40.34%	no	95.23	95.23						
Country Glen Way	-	MH201	MH200/cap					0.00	17.66	16.53	0.82	17.34	78.93	92.41	134.90	1,393.95					1,393.95	2,332.02	62.55	1500			0.10	1.278	938.07	40.23%	no	95.21	95.21						
Campeau Dr.	existing	MH 200/ CAP	EXMH303					0.05	3.55	0.80	3.62	17.66	76.68	89.77	131.03	1,354.27					1,354.27	2,332.02	24.50	1500			0.10	1.278	977.75	41.93%	no	95.17	95.088						
				AREA CHECK				TOTAL AREA		8.02		EXTERNAL AREA		3.55																									
										4.47																													
Definitions:				Notes:				Designed:				No.				Revision				Date																			
Q = 2.78CiA, where:				1. Mannings coefficient (n) =				RM				1.				Issued for SPA				11/15/2013																			
Q = Peak Flow in Litres per Second (L/s)												2				Revised per City Comments				6/27/2014																			
A = Area in Hectares (Ha)												3				Revised per City Comments				8/22/2014																			
i = Rainfall intensity in millimeters per hour (mm/hr)																Revised per City Comments				10/2/2014																			
[i = 998.071 / (TC+6.053)^0.814]																																							
5 YEAR																																							
[i = 1174.184 / (TC+6.014)^0.816]																																							
10 YEAR																																							
[i = 1735.688 / (TC+6.014)^0.820]																																							
100 YEAR																																							
								Dwg. Reference:				31855-500				File Reference:				31855.5.7.1				Date:				11/15/2013				Sheet No:				3 of 3			



PARK
2.46Ha / 6.07ac



LEGEND

- DRAINAGE BOUNDARY
- ARCADIA STAGE 1 & 2 DRAINAGE BOUNDARY
- FUTURE ARCADIA STAGES 3B, 4 & 5 DRAINAGE BOUNDARY
- PHASING LIMIT
- AREA IN HECTARES
- RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
- PIPE REACH UPSTREAM MAINTENANCE HOLE TO DOWNSTREAM MAINTENANCE HOLE
- EXISTING STORM SEWER & MANHOLE
- PROPOSED STORM SEWER & MANHOLE
- FUTURE STORM SEWER & MANHOLE
- UPDATED DRAINAGE AREA FROM EXISTING ARCADIA STAGES
- DRAINAGE AREA FROM EXISTING ARCADIA STAGES

No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DD/MYY
04	ISSUED FOR TENDER, ISSUED TO MECP, REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	23/05/2019
03	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - SUBMISSION 3	26/04/2019
02	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - SUBMISSION 2	22/02/2019
01	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - SUBMISSION 1	27/11/2018

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.

SCALE: 1:750

CLIENT:

CONSULTANT:

J.L. Richards
ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

CONSULTANT:

PROJECT NORTH

PROJECT:

MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
ARCADIA STAGE 3
450 HUNTMAR DRIVE

DRAWING:

STORM DRAINAGE PLAN

DESIGN: AT	DRAWING #:
DRAWN: CJM	DST1
CHECKED: LD	
JLR #: 26299-03	


2.45 | 0.8
CAMPEAU POND

Water quality area and imperviousness to Campeau Pond from Stage 6

File Location: V:\26000\26299-03 Arcadia - Stage 3 and Campeau Pond\3-LR.DWG\1-Civil\26299-03 C.DST1.dwg

City File No: DO7-16-16-0025 Plan No: 17816

U:\35805-Arcadia\SWA\3.9 Drawings\69swm\current\figures\huntmar drive culvert.dwg Layout Name: figure5 Plot S\Ve: ----- Plot Scale: 1:2,5649 Plotted At: 9/23/2014 1:09 PM Last Saved By: SVukic Last Saved At: Sep. 23, 14




Scale
7500

Project Title
**ARCADIA - STAGE 2
SWM REPORT**

Drawing Title
PROPOSED REALIGNED DITCH

Sheet No.
FIGURE 5

J:\3775_kanatawest\3.9 Drawings\3900 Storm Drainage\3900 Storm Drainage.dwg Layout Name: STORM DRAINAGE Plot Style: AIA STANDARD-HALF CTB Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: 6/27/2012 3:56 PM Plot Saved By: mminia.lst



LEGEND:

- 0.35
0.57R200
- AREA IN HECTARES
- RECEIVING MANHOLE/
AREA ID
- RUN OFF COEFFICIENT

14		
13		
12		
11		
10		
9		
8		
7		
6		
5		
4	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	12:06:27
3	REVISED PER LEGAL & CITY COMMENTS	LME 12:05:16
2	REVISED PER NEW LEGAL	LME 12:02:17
1	ISSUED FOR SUBMISSION	LME 10:11:26
No.	REVISIONS	By Date



IBI GROUP

333 Preston Street
Tower 1, Suite 400
Ottawa, Ontario
Canada K1S 5N4
Tel (613)225-1311
FAX (613)225-9868

Project Title

**ARCADIA
KANATA WEST
PHASE I**

Professional Engineer
L. M. ERON
Professional Engineer
No. 12345

Drawing Title

**STORM DRAINAGE
AREA PLAN**

Scale

1:750

Design	L.M.E.	Date	MARCH '09
Drawn	M.M.	Checked	R.W.W.
Project No.	3775	Drawing No.	500

J:\3775_kanatawest\5.9 Drawings\59am\3775-ponding-202-02.dwg Layout Name: Layout Plot Style: PLOT Scale: 1:28648 Plotted At: 6/8/202 2:02 PM Last Saved By: msaubertm Last Saved At: Jun 8, 2012



LEGEND:


- PONDING AREA
- AREA IN HECTARES
- RECEIVING MANHOLE/ AREA ID
- RUN OFF COEFFICIENT
- MAX PONDING

14			
13			
12			
11			
10			
9			
8			
7			
6			
5			
4			
3	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	P.S. 12:05:16	
2	REVISED PER NEW LEGAL	P.S. 12:02:21	
1	ISSUED FOR SUBMISSION	LME 10:11:26	
No.	REVISIONS	By	Date



IBI GROUP
 333 Preston Street
 Tower 1, Suite 400
 Ottawa, Ontario
 Canada K1S 5N4
 Tel (613)225-1311
 FAX (613)225-9868

Project Title
**ARCADIA
 KANATA WEST
 PHASE I**



Drawing Title
PONDING PLAN


Scale
 1:750

Design
 L.M.E. Date
 MARCH '09

Drawn
 M.B. Checked
 P.S.

Project No.
3775 Drawing No.
400

J:\3775_KanataWest\5.9 Drawings\59swmm\current\kanata-west\3775--swmhyno.dwg Sheet Set: ###





LEGEND

- AREA s201 AREA ID
- MH 201 MH ID
- ON-SITE STORAGE
- EXTENDED STORAGE
- TOTAL FLOW
- MAJOR FLOW
- MINOR FLOW

Plot Style: ---- Plot Scale: 1:1 Plotted At: May, 16, 12 12:58 PM Printed By: SLAVICA VUKIC Last Saved By: NICOLAS.DUBUS Last Saved At: May, 16, 12

C:\Users\ariff\Documents\Projects\35805\Drawings\35805-500-01-SWM Model Drainage Area Plan.dwg, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 3/14/2014, 4:15 PM, Project: 35805, User: ariff, Plot Date: 3/14/2014, 4:15 PM, Plotter: AutoCAD LT 2010, Plot Style: acad.ctb, Paper Size: A, Scale: 1:1, Title: SWM Model Drainage Area Plan.dwg, 15, 14, 13, 12, 11, 10, 9, 8, 7, 6, 5, 4, 3, 2, 1, 3/14/2014, 4:15 PM, Project: 35805, User: ariff, Plot Date: 3/14/2014, 4:15 PM, Plotter: AutoCAD LT 2010, Plot Style: acad.ctb, Paper Size: A, Scale: 1:1, Title: SWM Model Drainage Area Plan.dwg



LEGEND:

AREA ID
 AREA (ha)
 Imp. (%/Tp, hr)

DRAINAGE BOUNDARY

14		
13		
12		
11		
10		
9		
8		
7		
6		
5		
4		
2	REVISED AS PER CITY COMMENTS	P.S. 14/07/11
1	ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW	P.S. 14/03/31
No.	REVISIONS	By Date

IBI GROUP

333 Preston Street
 Tower 1, Suite 400
 Ottawa, Ontario
 Canada K1S 5N4
 Tel (613)225-1311
 FAX (613)225-9868

Project Title

**MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
ARCADIA STAGE 2**

370 HUNTMAR DRIVE



Drawing Title

**SWM MODEL DRAINAGE
AREA PLAN**

Scale

1:1000

Design	P.D.	Date	MARCH, 2014
Drawn	S.V.	Checked	P.S.
Project No.	35805	Drawing No.	500



KEYPLAN

NOTE:
 MAX. WATER ELEVATION OF EACH PONDING AREA (100% STATIC + DYNAMIC) PROVIDED BY IBI IN ACCORDANCE WITH ARGADIA STAGE 2 SWM REPORT AND STAGE 2 INLET DESIGN BRIEF DATED JULY 2014.

2	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - 2ND SUBMISSION	11/07/14
1	ISSUED TO CITY FOR REVIEW - 1ST SUBMISSION	31/03/14


No. ISSUE / REVISION DDMMYY

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.

SCALE: 1:500

CLIENT:
 MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
 200-180 KENT STREET
 OTTAWA, ON
 K1P 0B6




CONSULTANT:

J.L. Richards
 ENGINEERS ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS
 www.jrichards.ca

CONSULTANT:

PROJECT NORTH



PROJECT:
 MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
 ARGADIA STAGE 2
 370, 404, 410, 450 HUNTMAR DRIVE


DRAWING:
PONDING PLAN

DESIGN: H.M.	DRAWING #:
DRAWN: S.K.	SWM3
CHECKED: K.F.	
JLR #: 26299	

File Location: P:\262000\26299 - Arcadia Stage 2\IIR DIV\GIS\26299_C_SWM3_SWM3 (Ponding Plans).dwg

PLOT DATE: July 15, 2014 6:43:02 PM

J:\35805-ArcadiaSWM\5.9 Drawings\59swm\current\35805_Model Drainage area.dwg Layout Name: Fig 4 Plot Style: --- Plot Scale: 1:2,5849 Plotted At: 9/23/2014 12:51 PM Last Saved By: Stukic Last Saved At: Sep. 23. 14



Scale

Project Title
**ARCADIA STAGE 2
SWM REPORT**

Drawing Title
**STAGE 2
SWMHYMO MODEL SCHEMATIC**

Sheet No.

FIGURE 4

Area ID	Available Storage (m ³)			Storage Utilized (m ³)	Major System Cascading Overflow (l/s)
	Static	Dynamic	Total		
s217	44.41	N/A	44.41	44.42	44
r215b	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
r215c	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
s216	12.78	74.05	86.83	18.51	66
r201	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
s201	4.30	127.66	131.96	16.70	120
r200	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	0
s200	44.27	N/A	44.27	22.20	0

The overland flow was evaluated at downstream locations, based on proposed grades. Using the channel routing routine in SWMHYMO, maximum normal depth and velocity of flow have been quantified and results are presented below.

Table 2.4 Maximum Cumulative Overland Flow at Key Locations (100 year Chicago and SCS events)

Location		Max. Cum. Flow (l/s)		Depth (m)		Velocity (m/s)		d x v (m ² /s)	
		CHI	SCS	CHI	SCS	CHI	SCS	CHI	SCS
s210b	Calvington Avenue	141	145	0.08	0.08	0.68	0.68	0.05	0.05
s211b	Mission Trail Crescent	264	263	0.01	0.10	0.79	0.79	0.08	0.08
s215a	Brettonwood Ridge	313	313	0.11	0.11	0.77	0.83	0.09	0.09
s202b	Calvington Avenue	66	76	0.06	0.06	0.56	0.58	0.03	0.04
s216	Country Glen Way	66	66	0.06	0.06	0.56	0.56	0.03	0.03
s200	Clonrush Way (to SWM facility)	52	0	0.05	n/a	0.52	n/a	0.03	n/a
s201	Calvington Avenue (most downstream street segment)	119	120	0.07	0.07	0.65	0.65	0.05	0.05
s303c	Campeau Drive (to be confirmed at detailed design stage)	418	429	0.11	0.11	1.07	1.08	0.11	0.11

At each location, the maximum ponding depth is less than the maximum allowable 0.3 m, and the d x v product is less than the maximum allowable product of 0.6 per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.

Table 2.1 Hydrological parameters – Arcadia Phase 1 (continued)

Drainage Area		Receiving MH	Imp. (%)		Length LGI (m)	Minor System Restriction	
ID	Area (ha)		Timp	Ximp		Rational Method Flow (l/s)	ICD Flow* (l/s)
r303b	0.21	303	20	1	52	32	45
s303b	0.17	303	76	76	38	36.47	63.4
r217a	0.29	217	47	1	75	<i>incl. in r217b</i>	85
r217c	0.18	217	53	1	81	<i>incl. in r217b</i>	<i>incl. in r217b</i>
r217b	0.18	217	47	1	79	175	108
s217	0.51	217	76	76	82	107	106
r215b	0.15	215	47	1	42	<i>incl. in r215b</i>	<i>incl. in r215c</i>
r215c	0.08	216	53	1	39	62.5	73
s216	0.41	216	76	76	73	86	83.7
r201	0.08	201	47	1	43	23	26
s201	0.27	201	79	79	84	58	61.4
r200	0.35	200	53	1	60	<i>incl. in s200</i>	92
s200	0.24	200	76	76	75	51	54

* For rear yard catchments, 100 year simulated flow is captured

The below table summarizes the hydrological parameters for the external lands upstream of Arcadia Phase 1.

Table 2.2 Hydrological parameters – External Areas

Drainage Area		Receiving XPSWMM Node	Imp. (%)		Length LGI (m)	Surface Storage (cu-m)	Minor System Restriction (l/s)	
ID	Area (ha)		Timp	Ximp				
Phase 2	210	5.42	104	66	50	260	181	1301
	220	2.13	104	71	51	179	128	511
	230	2.26	104	69	51	184	116	542
	240	1.41	104	38	25	109	163	338
Huntmar	310	1.74	104	71	71	289	0	414
	300	1.73	300	71	71	196	0	412
Commercial Block	301	0.85	301	71	71	128	79 [†]	197
	303	8.99	303	71	71	372	790 [†]	1823

[†] Required storage, to be confirmed at detail design stage



The overland flow was evaluated at downstream locations, based on proposed grades. Using the channel routing routine in SWMHYMO, maximum normal depth and velocity of flow have been quantified and results are presented below. It should be noted that the 100 year SCS design storm is critical in terms of peak major system cascading flow.

Table 5.5 Summary of Cascading Major Overflow for Arcadia Stage 2

MAJOR SYSTEM SEGMENT ID	STREET NAME	ROAD ROW SECTION	CASCADING OVERFLOW (L/S)*		LONGITUDINAL SLOPE (%)	MAXIMUM VELOCITY (M/S)	MAXIMUM DEPTH (M)	VELOCITY X DEPTH (M ² /S)
			100 YR, 12HR SCS	100 YR, 3HR CHI				
S513	Westover Cres. (NO 1)	18	14	2	1.10%	0.48	0.03	0.01
S518	Westover Cres. (NO 1)	18	0	0	0.98%	0.00	0.00	0.00
S514	Westover Cres. (NO 1)	18	0	0	2.70%	0.00	0.00	0.00
S502	PAINE	22	87	74	0.98%	0.72	0.06	0.04
S537	BRETTONWOOD	18	0	0	1.83%	0.00	0.00	0.00
S520	Saddleback Cres. (NO 2)	18	40	25	1.49%	0.68	0.04	0.03
S505	PAINE	22	100	80	0.50%	0.58	0.07	0.04
S519	Saddleback Cres. (NO 2)	18	7	0	0.83%	0.34	0.02	0.01
S523	Saddleback Cres. (NO 2)	18	0	0	0.84%	0.00	0.00	0.00
S524	Saddleback Cres. (NO 2)	18	1	0	0.50%	0.10	0.01	0.00
S506	PAINE	22	53	32	0.50%	0.49	0.06	0.03
S534	Fallengale Cres. (NO 3)	18	34	31	0.63%	0.49	0.05	0.02
S535	Fallengale Cres. (NO 3)	18	38	34	1.62%	0.69	0.04	0.03
S536	Sweetwater Lane (NO 4)	18	63	59	1.83%	0.84	0.05	0.04
S539	Sweetwater Lane (NO 4)	18	71	66	0.75%	0.62	0.06	0.04
S540	Sweetwater Lane (NO 4)	18	34	31	0.62%	0.48	0.05	0.02
S526	Fallengale Cres. (NO 3)	18	49	47	1.70%	0.77	0.04	0.03
S529	Halyard Way (NO 5)	18	59	50	0.71%	0.58	0.05	0.03
S104	CLONRUSH	18	66	22	1.09%	0.69	0.05	0.04
S530	Halyard Way (NO 5)	18	20	13	0.54%	0.39	0.04	0.01
S531	Halyard Way (NO 5)	18	0	0	1.09%	0.00	0.00	0.00
S532	CLONRUSH	18	34	0	0.62%	0.48	0.05	0.02
S541	CLONRUSH	18	20	0	0.50%	0.29	0.03	0.01

Notes: Major system segment overflow from the 100 year 12 hour SCS and 100 year 3 hour Chicago design storm events were taken from the results of the SWMHYMO model (35805IM.dat/out). The output file is presented in **Appendix C**. Maximum velocity and maximum depth results are for the 100 year 12 hour SCS design storm as it generates higher peak flows.

The above table results indicate that at each location, the maximum ponding depth is less than the maximum allowable 0.3 m, and the d x v product is less than the maximum allowable product of 0.6 per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines.



LEGEND table with symbols for contours, elevations, flow directions, and other site features.

Revision history table with columns for No., Description, and Date.

Copyright notice: This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

CLIENT: minto Communities

CONSULTANT: J.L. Richards ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS

CONSULTANT: J.L. Richards ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS

PROFESSIONAL STAMP: PROJECT NORTH

PROJECT: MINTO COMMUNITIES INC. ARCADIA STAGE 3 450 HUNTMAR DRIVE


DRAWING: GRADING PLAN

DESIGN: J.L. Richards & Associates
DRAWN: J.L. Richards & Associates
CHECKED: J.L. Richards & Associates
JLR #: 26299-03
DRAWING #: G1

J.D. BARNES SURVEYING MAPPING GIS LAND INFORMATION SPECIALISTS. 602 STACIE DRIVE, SUITE 103, KANATA, ON K2K 2A9. T: (613) 731-7244 F: (613) 254-8659 www.jdbarnes.com




DRAWN BY: TF CHECKED BY: CM REFERENCE NO.: 19-10-123-00
PLOTTED: \$DATE\$ DATED: 09/23/21

NOTES: GRADING SURROUNDING TREES TO PROMOTE DRAINAGE TO TREE ROOT ZONE (IN SUCH A MANNER AS NOT TO BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE TREE). REFER TO APPROVED LANDSCAPE PLAN FROM FOTENI PLANNING & DESIGN.



MINIMUM BUILDING ELEVATIONS table with columns for Block/Unit numbers, floor levels, and proposed grades.

AS CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION: This drawing comprises the original design drawing updated to reflect Contractor supplied information as to final 'as constructed' conditions. The Contractor supplied information has not been verified, and as such, this drawing is not warranted by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for completeness or accuracy.
Date of Issue: 03/02/23



No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DDMMYY
13	REVISED UNIT BLOCK 60 AND REVISED UNIT BLOCKS 65 & 66	13/05/2020
12	REVISED UNIT BLOCKS 47 & 54 - RE-ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW	31/03/2020
11	REVISED USF REAR LANE TOWNHOMES	12/02/2020
10	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	08/01/2020
09	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	01/11/2019
08	ISSUED GRADING PLANS TO CITY FOR REVIEW	13/09/2019
07	ISSUED FOR TENDER ADDENDUM NO.1	28/05/2019
06	ISSUED FOR TENDER. ISSUED TO MECF. REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	23/05/2019

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.

SCALE: 1:500

CLIENT:

CONSULTANT:

ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

CONSULTANT:

PROFESSIONAL STAMP

PROJECT NORTH

PROJECT:

MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
ARCADIA STAGE 3

450 HUNTMAR DRIVE

DRAWING:

GRADING PLAN

DESIGN: AT

DRAWN: CJM

CHECKED: LD

JLR #: 26299-03

DRAWING #:

G2

AS CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION

This drawing comprises the original design drawing updated to reflect Contractor supplied information as to final "as constructed" conditions. The Contractor supplied information has not been verified and, as such, this drawing is not warranted by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for completeness or accuracy.

Date of Issue: 03/02/23

AS-CONSTRUCTED SURVEY GRADES ARE PER THE ABG SURVEY DATED JUNE 23, 2022 FROM J.D. BARNES

File Location: \\projects-clr\projects\26000\26299-03 Arcadia - Stage 3 and Campeau Pond\3-JLR DWG\1-Civil\Grading As-Built_Review\26299-03_C1 AS CONSTRUCTED.dwg


City File No: DO7-16-16-0025 Plan No: 17816

PLOT DATE: March 2, 2023 11:33:36 AM

AS CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION

This drawing comprises the original design drawing updated to reflect Contractor supplied information as to final "as constructed" conditions. The Contractor supplied information has not been verified and, as such, this drawing is not warranted by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for completeness or accuracy.

Date of Issue: 2023-03-02



LEGEND

- 91.00 CONTOUR
- 92.50 PROPOSED ELEVATION
- 92.45 BALANCED GROUND ELEVATION (B.G.E.) ABOVE PROPOSED USE FROM (DEC 2018)
- 92.45 EXISTING ELEVATION FROM STANTEC SURVEY FROM OCTOBER, 2018
- 1.50 PROPOSED CL ROAD HIGHLOW POINT
- SURFACE SLOPE
- FLOW DIRECTION
- MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION (1:100 YR)
- FF = 93.50 FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
- TF = 93.20 TOP OF FOUNDATION ELEVATION
- UF = 90.65 TOP OF FOOTING ELEVATION
- TERRACING (MAX. 3:1)
- PERFORATED PIPE SUBDRAIN
- SWALE
- DITCH CENTERLINE
- NOISE FENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NOISE FENCE DETAILED STUDY DATED APRIL 28, 2019
- TESTPIT NUMBER
- BH 1 BOREHOLE NUMBER
- 95.45 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- 95.45 UNDERSIDE OF TOPSOIL WHERE PRESENT (m)
- 95.45 PG - PREENGINEERED FILL LEVEL FROM TEST PIT INVESTIGATION (E.P. 2-48)
- GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- TYPICAL REINFORCED GRASS SERVICE ROAD

1:100 YR CARP RIVER WSEL FROM CARP RIVER PCSWIM MODEL DOCUMENTATION DRAFT REPORT MARCH 2016 PREPARED BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA, DATED MARCH 2016.

CJ068 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
CJ069 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
CJ070 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51

REFER TO DWG G3 FOR NOTES.

No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DDMMYY
12	ISSUED TO MINTO FOR UPDATED LOTS 36 AND 54	20/04/21
11	REVISED TO INCLUDE CLONRUSH WAY	16/10/20
10	PAINE AVENUE PAVEMENT WIDENING	25/09/20
09	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS / ISSUED TO PATERSON	03/09/20
08	ISSUED FOR SH023-RELOCATION OF LOT 125 SERVICE LATERAL & RELOCATION OF CB61	19/06/20
07	ISSUED FOR CITY STAMP	28/05/20

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.

SCALE: 1:500

CLIENT:

CONSULTANT:

www.jrichards.ca

CONSULTANT:

ENGINEERS - ARCHITECTS - PLANNERS

PROFESSIONAL STAMP

PROJECT NORTH

PROJECT:


MINTO COMMUNITIES INC.
ARCADIA STAGE 4

450 HUNTMAR DRIVE

DRAWING:

GRADING PLAN

DESIGN:	AT	DRAWING #:	G1
DRAWN:	SK		
CHECKED:	LD		
JLR #:	26299-004		




NOTES

WITH RESPECT TO THE MEDIUM TREES AND THE TREE PLANTING IN SENSITIVE MARINE CLAY SOILS - 2017 GUIDELINES, THE LANDSCAPE PLAN AND DESIGN WILL NEED TO REQUIRE THAT GRADING SURROUNDING THE TREE PROMOTES DRAINING TO THE TREE ROOT ZONE (IN SUCH A MANNER AS NOT TO BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE TREE).

File Location: V:\26000\26299-004 - Arcadia Stage 4B-Contract Admin\1 - Contract for Servicing\14 - Close Out\15 - As-Constructed\Grading\26299-004_C AS CONSTRUCTED GRD 2023-03-02.dwg


City File No: D07-16-16-0025 Plan No: 17816

AS CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION
 This drawing comprises the original design drawing updated to reflect Contractor supplied information as to final "as constructed" conditions. The Contractor supplied information has not been verified and, as such, this drawing is not warranted by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for completeness or accuracy.
 Date of Issue: 2023-03-02



LEGEND:

- 91.00 CONTOUR
- x 92.52 PROPOSED ELEVATION
- 92.45 BALANCED GROUND ELEVATION (0.9m ABOVE PROPOSED USE FROM DEC 2018)
- 92.45 EXISTING ELEVATION FROM STANTEC SURVEY FROM OCTOBER, 2018
- x 1 92.52 PROPOSED CL ROAD HIGHLOW POINT
- 1.5% SURFACE SLOPE
- FLOW DIRECTION
- MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION (1:100 YR)
- FF = 93.50 FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
- TF = 93.20 TOP OF FOUNDATION ELEVATION
- UF = 90.65 UNDERSIDE OF FOOTING ELEVATION
- TERRACING (MAX. 3:1)
- PERFORATED PIPE SUBDRAIN
- SWALE
- DITCH CENTERLINE
- NOISE FENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NOISE FENCE DETAILED STUDY DATED APRIL 28, 2015
- TESTPIT NUMBER
- BH 1 BOREHOLE NUMBER
- GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- UNDERSIDE OF TOPSOIL WHERE PRESENT (m)
- PG - PREENGINEERED FULL LEVEL FROM TEST PIT INVESTIGATION (m)
- GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- TYPICAL REINFORCED GRASS SERVICE ROAD



NOTES

WITH RESPECT TO THE MEDIUM TREES AND THE TREE PLANTING IN SENSITIVE MARINE CLAY SOILS - 2017 GUIDELINES, THE LANDSCAPE PLAN AND DESIGN WILL NEED TO REQUIRE THAT GRADING SURROUNDING THE TREE PROMOTES DRAINING TO THE TREE ROOT ZONE (IN SUCH A MANNER AS NOT TO BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE TREE).

1:100 YEAR CARP RIVER WSEL FROM "CARP RIVER PCSWMM MODEL DOCUMENTATION DRAFT REPORT MARCH 2016" PREPARED BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA, DATED MARCH 2016.
 CJ068 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
 CJ069 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
 CJ070 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
 REFER TO DWG G3 FOR NODES.

BLOCK 180 (WIDENING)

Maximum Release Rate: 88 L/s
 HCL at M+119B (1:100Yr 12H-SCS): 93.64
 Major Overland Flow to be directed to Paine Pond (RESERVE)

BLOCK 18

REFER TO DWG G3

11	ISSUED TO MINTO FOR UPDATED LOTS 36 AND 54	20/04/21
10	REVISED TO INCLUDE CLONRUSH WAY	16/10/20
09	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS / ISSUED TO PATERSON	03/09/20
08	ISSUED FOR SH-02- RELOCATION OF LOT 135 SERVICE LATERAL & RELOCATION OF CB#1	19/06/20
07	ISSUED FOR CITY STAMP	28/05/20
06	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	04/05/20
No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DDMMYY

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.

VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.
 SCALE: 1:500

CLIENT:
 Minto Communities

CONSULTANT:
 J.L. Richards ENGINEERS-ARCHITECTS-PLANNERS

CONSULTANT:
 J.D. BARNES LIMITED

PROFESSIONAL STAMP PROJECT NORTH


PROJECT:
 MINTO COMMUNITIES INC. ARCADIA STAGE 4
 450 HUNTMAR DRIVE

DRAWING:
 DESIGN: AT
 DRAWN: SK
 CHECKED: LD
 JLR #: 26299-004
 DRAWING #: G2

File Location: V:\26299-004 - Arcadia Stage 4 - As-Constructed\Drawings\26299-004_C_AS_CONSTRUCTED_GRD_2023-03-02.dwg

City File No: D07-16-16-0025 Plan No: 17816

AS CONSTRUCTED INFORMATION
 This drawing comprises the original design drawing updated to reflect Contractor supplied information as to final "as constructed" conditions. The Contractor supplied information has not been verified and, as such, this drawing is not warranted by J.L. Richards & Associates Limited for completeness or accuracy.
 Date of Issue: 2023-03-02



1:100 YEAR CARP RIVER WSEL FROM "CARP RIVER PCSWMM MODEL DOCUMENTATION DRAFT REPORT MARCH 2016" PREPARED BY THE CITY OF OTTAWA, DATED MARCH 2016.
 CJ068 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
 CJ069 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
 CJ070 1:100 YR WSEL 93.51
 REFER TO DWG G3 FOR NODES.

REFER TO DWG SWMF-P1 AS PART OF MINTO COMMUNITIES INC. PAINE POND DRAWING SET BY J.L. RICHARDS AND ASSOCIATES FOR COMPLETE PAINE POND GRADING.

REFER TO DWG G1

LEGEND:

- 91.00 CONTOUR
- 92.52 PROPOSED ELEVATION
- 92.45 BALANCED GROUND ELEVATION (0.9m ABOVE PROPOSED USE FROM DEC 2018)
- 92.45 EXISTING ELEVATION FROM STANTEC SURVEY FROM OCTOBER, 2018
- 92.52 PROPOSED CL ROAD HIGHLOW POINT
- 1.5% SURFACE SLOPE
- FLOW DIRECTION
- MAJOR OVERLAND FLOW DIRECTION (1:100 YR)
- FF = 93.50 FINISHED FLOOR ELEVATION
- TF = 93.20 TOP OF FOUNDATION ELEVATION
- UF = 90.65 UNDERSIDE OF FOOTING ELEVATION
- TERRACING (MAX. 3:1)
- PERFORATED PIPE SUBDRAIN
- SWALE
- DITCH CENTERLINE
- NOISE FENCE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE NOISE FENCE DETAILED STUDY DATED APRIL 28, 2019. TESTPIT NUMBER
- BH 1 BOREHOLE NUMBER
- 95.45 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- 95.45 UNDERSIDE OF TOPSOIL WHERE PRESENT (m)
- 95.45 PG - PREENGINEERED FULL LEVEL FROM TEST PIT INVESTIGATION (m)
- 95.45 GROUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)
- TYPICAL REINFORCED GRASS SERVICE ROAD

09	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS / ISSUED TO PATERSON	03/08/20
08	ISSUED FOR S1-002- RELOCATION OF LOT 135 SERVICE LATERAL & RELOCATION OF CB61	19/06/20
07	ISSUED FOR CITY STAMP	28/05/20
06	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	04/05/20
05	ISSUED FOR TENDER	18/03/20
04	ISSUED FOR MECP ECA APPLICATION	09/03/20
No.	ISSUE / REVISION	DDMMYY

This drawing is copyright protected and may not be reproduced or used for purposes other than execution of the described work without the express written consent of J.L. Richards & Associates Limited.
 VERIFY SHEET SIZE AND SCALES. BAR TO THE RIGHT IS 25mm IF THIS IS A FULL SIZE DRAWING.
 SCALE: 1:500

CLIENT:

CONSULTANT:


PROFESSIONAL STAMP
 PROJECT NORTH

PROJECT:
MINTO COMMUNITIES INC. ARCADIA STAGE 4
 450 HUNTMAR DRIVE

DRAWING:
GRADING PLAN
 DESIGN: AT
 DRAWN: SK
 CHECKED: LD
 JLR #: 26299-004
 DRAWING #:
G3

File Location: V:\2000\26299-004 - Arcadia Stage 4 - Contract Admin\1. Contract for Servicing\1. Close Out\15. As-Constructed Grading\26299-004_C AS CONSTRUCTED GRD 2023-03-02.dwg

City File No: D07-16-16-0025 Plan No: 17816



NOTES
 WITH RESPECT TO THE MEDIUM TREES (AND THE TREE PLANTING IN SENSITIVE MARINE CLAY SOILS - 2017 GUIDELINES, THE LANDSCAPE PLAN AND DESIGN WILL NEED TO REQUIRE THAT GRADING SURROUNDING THE TREE PROMOTE DRAINING TO THE TREE ROOT ZONE (IN SUCH A MANNER AS NOT TO BE DETRIMENTAL TO THE TREE).

BLOCK 186 (RESERVE)

BLOCK 180 (WIDENING)

PARK
 BLOCK 1816Ha / 6.07ac

BLOCK 5182a / 13.00ac
 SWP



Platinum member

www.jlrichards.ca

Ottawa

343 Preston Street
Tower II, Suite 1000
Ottawa ON Canada
K1S 1N4
Tel: 613 728-3571
ottawa@jlrichards.ca

Kingston

203-863 Princess Street
Kingston ON Canada
K7L 5N4
Tel: 613 544-1424
kingston@jlrichards.ca

Sudbury

314 Countryside Drive
Sudbury ON Canada
P3E 6G2
Tel: 705 522-8174
sudbury@jlrichards.ca

Timmins

834 Mountjoy Street S
Timmins ON Canada
P4N 7C5
Tel: 705 360-1899
timmins@jlrichards.ca

North Bay

501-555 Oak Street E
North Bay ON Canada
P1B 8E3
Tel: 705 495-7597

northbay@jlrichards.ca

Hawkesbury

326 Bertha Street
Hawkesbury ON Canada
K6A 2A8
Tel: 613 632-0287

hawkesbury@jlrichards.ca

Guelph

107-450 Speedvale Ave. West
Guelph ON Canada
N1H 7Y6
Tel: 519 763-0713

guelph@jlrichards.ca

