



SITE SERVICING BRIEF 135470-6.04.03

75 Michael Cowpland Drive

CITY OF OTTAWA

Development Application File No. D07-12-22-0174



Document Control Page

CLIENT:	Huntington Properties & Access Storage	
PROJECT NAME:	60 Denzil Doyle Court	
REPORT TITLE:	Error! Reference source not found.	
IBI REFERENCE:	135470-6.04.03	
VERSION:		
DIGITAL MASTER:	[File Location]	
ORIGINATOR:	[Name]	
REVIEWER:	[Name]	
AUTHORIZATION:	[Name]	
CIRCULATION LIST:		
HISTORY:		

Table of Contents

1	INTRO	DUCTIO	N	. 1	
	1.1	Scope		. 1	
	1.2	Subjec	Subject Site1		
	1.3	Previo	us Studies	. 2	
	1.4	Geote	chnical Considerations	. 2	
2	WATE	R SUPPI	LY	. 4	
	2.1	Existin	g Conditions	. 4	
	2.2	Design	n Criteria	. 4	
		2.2.1	Water Demands	. 4	
		2.2.2	System Pressure	. 4	
		2.2.3	Fire Flow Rates	. 5	
		2.2.4	Boundary Conditions	. 5	
		2.2.5	Hydraulic Model	. 5	
	2.3	Propos	sed Water Plan	. 6	
		2.3.1	Hydraulic Analysis	. 6	
		2.3.2	Modeling Results	. 6	
3	WASTI	EWATE	R DISPOSAL	. 7	
	3.1	Existin	g Conditions	. 7	
	3.2	Design	n Criteria	. 7	
	3.3	Recom	nmended Wastewater Plan	. 7	
4	SITES	TORMW	/ATER MANAGEMENT	. 8	
	4.1	Existin	g Conditions	. 8	
	4.2		o Criteria		
	4.3	Propos	sed Minor System	. 8	

Table of Contents (continued)

	4.4	Stormwater Management	
	4.5	Inlet Controls9	ı
	4.6	On-Site Detention	1
	4.7	100 year + 20% Stress Test	
	4.8	Quality Control	
5	GRADI	NG AND ROADS 13	i
	5.1	Site Grading	i
	5.2	Road Network	
6	SOURC	CE CONTROLS 14	
	6.1	General14	
	6.2	Lot Grading	
	6.3	Vegetation14	
	6.4	Groundwater Recharge	
7	CONVE	EYANCE CONTROLS15	<u>,</u>
	7.1	General	,
	7.2	Catchbasins and Maintenance Hole Sumps	
8	SEDIM	ENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN	j
	8.1	General	j
	8.2	Trench Dewatering	i
	8.3	Seepage Barriers	i
	8.4	Surface Structure Filters	i
9	CONCI	_USION 17	,

Table of Contents (continued)

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A

- Site Plan
- Legal Plan
- General Plan of Services Drawing C-001
- Notes of Pre-consultation

APPENDIX B

- City of Ottawa Boundary Conditions
- Watermain Demand Calculation Sheet
- FUS Fire Flow Requirement Calculation
- Modeling Output Files
- Water Entry Detail
- Correspondence from Architect and Mechanical Engineer

APPENDIX C

- Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet
- OPSD 1003.010 Drop Structure

APPENDIX D

- Storm Drainage Area Plan Drawing C-500
- Storm Sewer Design Sheet
- Ponding Plan Drawing C-600
- Stormwater Management Design Sheet
- Underground Storage Calculation Sheet
- Orifice Sizing Sheet
- Overflow Calculation
- IPEX ICD Specifications
- Kanata South Business Park Stormwater Management Report
- OGS HydroDome Sizing Summary
- StormTech MC-3500 Underground Storage Chamber Details

APPENDIX E

- Grading Plan Drawing C-200
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawing C-900

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope

IBI Group has been retained by Huntington Properties & Access Storage to prepare the necessary engineering plans, specifications and documents to support the proposed Site Plan Application for the subject lands in accordance with the policies set out by the Planning and Development Branch of the City of Ottawa. This Brief will present a detailed servicing scheme to support development of the property, and will include sections on water supply, wastewater management, minor and major stormwater management along with erosion and sediment control.

1.2 Subject Site

The Self Storage Facility is located northeast of the Denzil Doyle Court and Terence Matthews Crescent intersection. The proposed Self Storage Facility development is approximately 1.66 hectares in size and is bounded by Denzil Doyle Court to the west, Terence Matthews Crescent to the south, Michael Cowpland Drive to the east, and multiple developed lots to the north. Please refer to **Figure 1** for more information regarding the site location.



Figure 1 Site Location

The Self Storage Facility project will consist of the construction of 6 prefabricated metal storage buildings, including 1 that will house a rental office, along with vehicular access routes, dedicated

parking space and landscaping areas. A site plan of the envisioned development is included in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Previous Studies

Design of this project has been undertaken in accordance with the following reports:

 Kanata South Business Park – Stormwater Management Report prepared by A. J. Robinson & Associates Inc, February 1986

An engineering pre-consultation with the City of Ottawa was held in May 2021 regarding the proposed development. Notes from this meeting is included in **Appendix A**.

1.4 Geotechnical Considerations

Paterson Group Inc. was retained to prepare a geotechnical investigation for the site. The objectives of the investigation were to prepare a report to:

- Determine the subsoil and groundwater conditions at the site by means of test pits and boreholes and,
- To provide geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design of the proposed development including construction considerations.

The geotechnical investigation report PG3798-2 Dated November 23, 2022 confirmed that the site consists mostly of silty clay. Based on the undrained shear strength testing results, a permissible grade raise of 0.8 m is recommended for the subject site and within 6 m of building footprints. A permissible grade raise restriction of up to 1.1 m is recommended for areas located a minimum of 6 m beyond building footprints. Grade raise exceeding the limits will require geotechnical investigation.

The report contains recommendations which include but are not limited to the following:

- Fill used for grading beneath the proposed development to meet OPSS Granular 'A' or Granular 'B' Type II placed in lifts no greater than 300 mm compacted to 98% SPMDD.
- Pavement Structure Car Only Parking Areas:

LOCAL ROAD	THICKNESS
Asphaltic Concrete	50mm
OPSS Granular A Base	150mm
OPSS Granular B Type II Subbase	300mm

Pavement Structure – Access Lanes and Heavy Truck Parking Areas:

LOCAL ROAD	THICKNESS
Asphaltic Concrete	90mm
OPSS Granular A Base	150mm
OPSS Granular B Type II Subbase	450mm

IBI GROUP
SITE SERVICING BRIEF
60 DENZIL DOYLE COURT
Prepared for Huntington Properties

- Pipe bedding and cover: The pipe bedding for water and pipes placed on a relatively dry, undisturbed subgrade surface should consist of at least 150 mm of OPSS Granular A material. Where the bedding is located upon silty clay the thickness of the bedding material should be increased to a minimum of 300 mm of OPSS Granular A. The bedding layer should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover material, from the spring line to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe should consist of OPSS Granular A or Granular B Type II. The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 300 mm thick lifts compacted to a minimum of 99% of the material's SPMDD.
- The excavation side slopes above the groundwater level extending to a maximum depth of 3 m should be cut back at 1H:1V or flatter. The flatter slope is required for excavation below groundwater level.

2 WATER SUPPLY

2.1 Existing Conditions

As previously noted, the 1.66ha Self Storage Facility site is located east of Denzil Doyle Court, north of Terence Matthews Crescent, and east of Michael Cowpland Drive. The subject site is flanked on all three streets by existing watermains. An existing ductile iron 305mm diameter watermain is located within the Denzil Doyle Court right of way, the Terence Matthews Crescent right of way, and the Michael Cowpland right of way. All three watermains fall within the City of Ottawa's pressure district Pressure Zone 3 which will provide the water supply to the site.

2.2 Design Criteria

2.2.1 Water Demands

Water demands have been calculated for the full development. This site only consists of an office with an area of 94 m2. Siamese connections will be provided for all 6 storage buildings. Per unit population density and consumption rates are taken from Tables 4.1 and 4.2 at the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution and are summarized as follows:

Commercial Shopping Center 2500 I/1000m²/day
 Other Commercial 28,000 I/gross ha/day
 ICI Average Day Demand 28,000 I/gross ha/day
 ICI peak Daily Demand 42,000 I/gross ha/day
 ICI Peak Hour Demand 75,600 I/gross ha/day

A watermain demand calculation sheet is included in **Appendix B** and the total water demands are summarized as follows:

Average Day 0.0030 l/s
 Maximum Day 0.0046 l/s
 Peak Hour 0.0082 l/s

2.2.2 System Pressure

The Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (WDG001), July 2010, City of Ottawa, Clause 4.2.2 states that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 480 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in Clause 4.2.2 of the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand conditions shall not be

less than 276 kPa (40 psi)

Fire Flow During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall not

be less than 140 kPa (20 psi) during a fire flow event.

Maximum Pressure In accordance with the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, the maximum

pressure should not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure reduction controls will be required for buildings where it is not possible/feasible to maintain the

system pressure below 552 kPa.

2.2.3 Fire Flow Rates

The Self Storage Facility site plan contains 6 prefabricated buildings with automatic sprinkler system. All buildings will fall under OBC Section 3.10 "Self Service Storage Buildings", F-2 Occupancy and non-combustible. The sprinkler system will be designed and installed in accordance with NFPA-13 requirements. The sprinkler system will be supplied from the city water connection and the demand will be calculated using the hazard classification plus the appropriate inside/outside hose allowances.

Calculations using the Fire Underwriting Survey (FUS version 2020) were conducted to determine the fire flow requirement for the site. Results of the analysis provides a maximum fire flow rate of 9,000 l/min or 150.0 l/s is required which is used in the hydraulic analysis. A copy of the FUS calculations is included in **Appendix B**.

2.2.4 Boundary Conditions

The City of Ottawa has provided the hydraulic boundary conditions at Denzil Doyle Court. A copy of the boundary conditions is included in **Appendix B** and summarized as follows:

Table 2. 1 Hydraulic Boundary Conditions

CRITERIA	HYDRAULIC HEAD
ONITENIA	Denzil Doyle Court
Max HGL (Basic Day)	161.1 m
Peak Hour	156.5 m
Max Day + Fire (9,000 l/m)	152.3 m

2.2.5 Hydraulic Model

A computer model for the subject site has been developed using the InfoWater program by Innovyze. The model includes the existing watermain and boundary condition at Denzil Doyle Court.

2.3 Proposed Water Plan

2.3.1 Hydraulic Analysis

A 200 mm watermain is proposed with the connection to the existing 300mm watermain at Denzil Doyle Court. The watermain will be 200mm to Building A, and 150mm to service rest of the site. 150mm water service is proposed to each building per mechanical recommendation. Refer to the general plan of services **Drawing C-001** for detailed watermain layout for the subject site.

The hydraulic model was run under basic day conditions to determine the maximum pressure for the site. The minimum pressure for the site is determined in the peak hour analysis using the provided boundary condition. The model was run under the max day plus fire (9,000 L/min) boundary condition to determine the design fire flow at the hydrant locations. Results of the analysis for the site are summarized in Section 2.3.2 and the water model schematic and model results are included in **Appendix B**.

2.3.2 Modeling Results

The hydraulic model was run under basic day, maximum day with fire flows and under peak hour conditions. Results of the hydraulic model are included in **Appendix B** and summarized as follows:

Scenario

Basic Day (Max HGL) Pressure Range 577.17 to 581.09 kPa
Peak Hour (Min HGL) Pressure Range 532.10to 536.02 kPa

Max Day + 9,000 I/min Fire Flow – Min. Fire Flow 162.52 I/s

A comparison of the results and design criteria is summarized as follows:

Maximum Pressure All nodes in basic day scenario exceed 552 kPa (80 psi), therefore pressure

reducing control is required for all buildings in this development. Pressure reducing valves (PRVs) are shown in both General Plan of Services Drawing

C-001 and Grading Plan C-200.

Minimum Pressure All nodes in the model exceed the minimum value of 276 kPa (40 psi).

Fire Flow All fire nodes exceed the fire flow requirement of 150.0 l/s (9,000 l/min).

3 WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

3.1 Existing Conditions

There is an existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer along Denzil Doyle Court, and a 250mm diameter sanitary sewer along Michael Cowpland Drive. To the south of the site, an existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer on Terence Matthews Crescent provides deeper sewer connection to service the site.

3.2 Design Criteria

The sanitary sewers for the subject site will be based on the City of Ottawa design criteria. It should be noted that the sanitary sewer design for this study incorporates the latest City of Ottawa design parameters identified in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01. Some of the key criteria will include the following:

Average commercial flow = 28,000 l/s/ha

Peak ICI flow factor = 1.5 if ICI area is ≤ 20% total area

1.0 if ICI area is > 20% total area

Inflow and Infiltration Rate = 0.33 l/s/ha

Minimum Full Flow Velocity = 0.60 m/s

Maximum Full Flow Velocity = 3.0 m/s

Minimum Pipe Size = 200 mm diameter

3.3 Recommended Wastewater Plan

The on-site sanitary system will consist of 200mm PVC sewer installed at normal depth and slope and will provide a single 100mm service connection to the commercial building pad (Building A with office). Another 100mm sanitary service connection is proposed to Building B. The sewers have been designed using the criteria noted above in section 3.2 and outlet via a connection to the sanitary sewer within the Terence Matthews Crescent right of way to the south of the site. A copy of the sanitary sewer design sheet can be found in **Appendix C.** Please refer to the General Plan of Services **Drawing C-001** for further details.

SITE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT 4

4.1 **Existing Conditions**

The existing undeveloped subject lands currently drains south towards Terence Matthews Crescent and Michael Cowpland Drive. There is an existing 375mm diameter storm sewer along Michael Cowpland Drive, and a 450mm diameter storm sewer along Denzil Doyle Court. To the south of the site, the existing 375mm diameter storm sewer on Terence Matthews Crescent provides deep sewer connection to service the site.

4.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater system was designed following the principles of dual drainage, making accommodations for both major and minor flow.

Some of the key criteria include the following:

•	Design Storm		1:2year return (Ottawa)
•	Ra	tional Method Sewer Sizing	
•	Init	ial Time of Concentration	10 minutes
•	Ru	noff Coefficients	
	-	Landscaped Areas	C = 0.30
	-	Asphalt/Concrete	C = 0.90
	-	Roof	C = 0.90

Pipe Velocities 0.80 m/s to 6.0 m/s

Minimum Pipe Size 250 mm diameter (200 mm CB Leads)

4.3 **Proposed Minor System**

The minor storm sewers for the subject site will be sized based on the rational method and the City of Ottawa 2-year event. Minor storm flow to the downstream storm sewer network will be controlled by Inlet Control Devices (ICDs) to limit flow and prevent sewer surcharging downstream. A detailed storm sewer design sheet and the associated storm sewer drainage area plan is included in Appendix D. The sites outletting sewers, downstream of ICD's, have been sized such that they do not exceed the size of the connection sewers in the public ROW, however that they are able to convey the fixed flow generated by each respective ICD. The General Plan of Services, depicting all on-site storm sewers can be found in **Appendix A**.

JULY 2023 8

4.4 Stormwater Management

The subject site will be limited to a release rate established using the criteria described in section 4.2 and the Stormwater Management Report for the Kanata South Business Park. This will be achieved through a combination of inlet control devices (ICD's) at inlet locations and a combination of surface and underground storage.

Flows generated that are in excess of the site's allowable release rate will be stored on site in strategic surface storage areas or underground storage and gradually released into the minor system so as not to exceed the site's allocation.

The maximum surface retention depth located within the developed areas will be limited to 300mm during a 100-year event. Overland flow routes will be provided in the grading to permit emergency overland flow, in excess of the 100-year event, from the site.

At certain locations within the site, the opportunity to store runoff is limited due to grading constraints and building geometry. These locations are generally located at the perimeter of the site where it is necessary to tie into public boulevards and adjacent properties or in areas where ponding stormwater is undesirable. These "uncontrolled" areas – 0.0456 hectares in total, have a C value of 0.30 (X1.25 as per City Comment). Based on 100-year storm uncontrolled flows, the uncontrolled areas generate 8.49 l/s runoff (refer to Section 4.5 for calculation).

The site grading and ponding has been designed to control water generated during the 1:100-year event, with no overflow leaving the site. Please refer to the SWM calculations in **Appendix D**.

4.5 Inlet Controls

The allowable release rate for the 1.66 Ha site can be calculated as follows:

Q_{allowable} = 74.2 L/s/Ha as per Kanata South Business Park SWM Report

Area = 1.66 Ha

= 123.17 L/s

As noted in Section 4.4, the landscaped area along south which will into the storm sewer uncontrolled.

Based on a 100-year event, the flow from the 0.04 Ha uncontrolled area can be determined as:

 $Q_{uncontrolled} = 2.78 \times C \times i_{100yr} \times Awhere:$

C = Average runoff coefficient of uncontrolled area = 0.2

i_{100yr} = Intensity of 100-year storm event (mm/hr)

= $1735.688 \times (T_c + 6.014)^{0.820} = 178.56 \text{ mm/hr}$; where $T_c = 10 \text{ minutes}$

A = Uncontrolled Area = 0.0456 Ha

Therefore, the uncontrolled release rate can be determined as:

IBI GROUP SITE SERVICING BRIEF 60 DENZIL DOYLE COURT Prepared for Huntington Properties

$$Q_{uncontrolled} = 2.78 \times C \times i_{100yr} \times A$$

= $2.78 \times 0.3 \times 1.25 \times 178.56 \times 0.0456$
= 8.49 L/s

The maximum allowable release rate from the remainder of the site can then be determined as:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\text{max allowable}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\text{restricted}} - \mathbf{Q}_{\text{uncontrolled}}$$
$$= 123.17 \text{ L/s} - 8.49 \text{ L/s}$$
$$= 114.68 \text{ L/s}$$

Based on the flow allowance at the various inlet locations, a combination of various sizes of inlet control devices (ICDs) were chosen in the design. The design of the inlet control devices is unique to each drainage area and is determined based on various factors, including hydraulic head and allowable release rate. The inlet control devices were sized according to the manufacturer's design charts. The restrictions will cause the on-site catchbasins and manholes to surcharge, generating surface ponding in the parking and landscaped areas. Ponding locations and elevations are summarized on the Ponding Plan **Drawing C-600**, and included in **Appendix D**.

4.6 On-Site Detention

The site was designed to limit runoff to the allowable release rate up to the 100-year storm event. Flows exceeding the 2-year storm, up to the 100-year storm will be contained on-site via surface and underground in-line storage. Orifices in manholes will be employed to control runoff from parking, access and landscape areas. To determine the resulting storage volumes a 2-year and 100-year storm was applied, starting at 2 minutes with time steps of 5 minutes interval until a peak storage volume requirement was attained for the sub-area being controlled. Available ponding volumes at each inlet were calculated using in-line structure volumes during the 100-year events.

The modified rational method was used to calculate maximum storage required for a given release rate. As per accepted convention, when underground storage is considered available storage the ICD release rate is to be reduced by 50% to account for the loss of head during the initial part of the rainfall event while the underground portion of the storage fills with runoff.

Major flow up to the 100-year storm is contained on-site and is gradually released to the minor system, aside from the small uncontrolled areas, major flow does not leave the site via overland flow.

The stormwater management for the site has ensured that there will be no surface ponding during the 2-year storm event except in the landscaped area.

A stormwater management summary sheet and the results of the on-site storage volume requirements are included in **Appendix D**.

A summary of the ICD type for each drainage area and corresponding storage details is provided in Table 4.1 below.

Table 4.1 - Post-Development Storage Summary Table

Post-Development Flows						
Drainage Area	ICD TYPE	Restricted /Uncontrolled Flow (L/s)	Storage Required (m³)	Storage Provided (m³)		m³)
		100-year	100-year	Surface	Underground	Total
UNCONTROLLE	UNCONTROLLED FLOW					
UN	N/A	8.49	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CONTROLLED S	CONTROLLED STORM SEWER SYSTEM					
Area Tributary to CBMH106	TEMPEST HF	110	488.55	148.16	342.88	491.04
Area Tributary		4.5	45.39	67.70	_	67.70
to CBMH140	TEMPEST LMF	4.0	70.00	01.10		01.10
TOTAL RESTRIC	TOTAL RESTRICTED RELEASED RATE					
		122.99				

4.7 100 year + 20% Stress Test

A cursory review of the 100yr event + 20% has been performed using the modified rational method. The Peak flow from each area during a 100year event has been increased by 20%. The calculations have been included in **Appendix D**.

A summary of the require storage volumes, and overflow balances is provided below.

DRAINAGE AREA	ICD RESTRICTED FLOW (L/s)	100yr20 STORAGE REQUIRED (m³)	TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED (m³)	100yr20 OVERFLOW (m³)
Area Tributary to CBMH106	110	619.92	491.04	128.88
Area Tributary to CBMH140	4.5	57.49	67.70	0

The overland flow from the area tributary to CBMH106 is directed to Denzil Doyle Court. The volume of overflow is 128.88 m3. Based on a Tc of 50minutes, this volume can be reverse calculated to 42.12 L/s. Based on the spill point cross section, at the limit of the access, a simple rectangular channel with a bottom of 8.50m, at a grade of 2.0% can convey 42.12 L/s @ a depth of 0.01m. Therefore, the 100year +20% overflow of 40.71 L/s will have a maximum overflow depth of 0.01m (Ponding 102.06m). Refer to **Appendix D** for detailed overflow calculation.

4.8 Quality Control

According to Kanata South Business Park – Stormwater Management Report, the water quality aspects of the development were addressed with the following conclusions being presented:

 The light industrial/business park type industries are considered to produce a relatively low level of pollutants.

JULY 2023

- The development is in the upper reaches of a large watershed draining to the Rideau River. The outlet from the site is to a municipal drain which is running at a very flat grade, thereby, presenting ample opportunity for pollutants to settle out.
- On-site control of stormwater by parking lot and possibly roof top storage will result in a reduction of pollutant loadings.
- Laboratory and field observations, indicate that installation of an orifice in the outlet of a
 catchbasin with a sump, has brought about a greater retention of grit and other solids
 after a storm event than observed with a conventional storm sewer outlet. The
 constricted release of flow from the orifice causes stormwater to backup in the
 catchbasin thereby keeping the turbulent zone of the water away from the sump and
 reducing velocities in the catchbasin. These actions facilitate settling of suspended
 solids into the sump.

Based on the above, it is felt that the proposed quantity control measures will also serve to ensure that the proposed development will not unduly affect the quality of water flowing from the site into Monahan Creek and thus to the Rideau River. Correspondence with RVCA regarding the water quality control is attached in **Appendix D**.

To provide sufficient water quality control, an OGS is proposed before the storm outlet to the street. An 80% of TSS removal is provided by the OGS. Detailed calculation and specifications for the OGS are included in **Appendix D**.

5 GRADING AND ROADS

5.1 Site Grading

The existing grades within portions of the proposed development lands vary due to the existing topography of the site. The grading plan will require the balancing of various requirements including but not limited to geotechnical constraints, minimum/maximum slopes, overland routing of stormwater, all to ensure the site is graded in accordance with municipal standards.

Refer to the grading plan provided in **Appendix E**.

A retaining wall exceed 1.0m in height is anticipated along the north eastern property lines. A retaining wall less than 600mm height is anticipated along Terrance Mathews Drive. Terracing has been utilized around the balance of the perimeter to tie the proposed grading into existing.

5.2 Road Network

No public roads are proposed through the site. Minimum 6.0m wide drive aisle have been provided, as shown on the Site Plan in **Appendix A**. An internal Fire route has been shown where fire truck access is required, as determined by the site architect.

There are 52 parking stalls provided on the site, of which 3 are barrier free.

Noise attenuation features and indoor noise clause provisions will not be required commercial use lands for road noise generated by the adjacent roads.

6 SOURCE CONTROLS

6.1 General

Since an end of pipe treatment facility is already provided for the development lands, stormwater site management for the subject lands will focus on site level or source control management of runoff. Such controls or mitigative measures are proposed for this development not only for final development but also during construction and build out. Some of these measures are:

- flat site grading where possible
- vegetation planting
- groundwater recharge in landscaped areas

6.2 Lot Grading

Where possible, all of the proposed blocks within the development will make use of gentle surface slopes on hard surfaces such as asphalt and concrete. In accordance with local municipal standards, all grading will be between 0.5 and 5.0 percent for hard surfaces and 2.0 and 7.0 percent for all landscaped areas. Significant grade changes will be accomplished through the use of terracing (3:1 max slope), ramps and/or retaining walls. All street and parking lot catchbasins shall be equipped with 3.0m subdrains on opposite sides of a curbside catchbasin running parallel to the curb, and with 3.0m subdrains extending out from all 4 sides of parking lot catchbasins.

6.3 Vegetation

As with most site plans, the developer will be required to complete a vegetation and planting program. Vegetation throughout the development including planting along roadsides and within the individual blocks provides opportunities to re-create lost vegetation.

6.4 Groundwater Recharge

Groundwater recharge targets have not been identified for this site. Perforated sub-drain systems will be implemented at capture locations in all vegetated areas. This will promote increased infiltration during low flow events before water is collected by the storm sewer system.

7 CONVEYANCE CONTROLS

7.1 General

Besides source controls, the development also proposes to use several conveyance control measures to improve runoff quality. These will include:

- vegetated swales; and
- · catchbasin sumps and manhole sumps.

7.2 Catchbasins and Maintenance Hole Sumps

All catchbasins within the development, either rear yard or street, will be constructed with minimum 600 mm deep sumps. These sumps trap pollutants, sand, grit and debris which can be mechanically removed prior to being flushed into the minor pipe system. Both rear yard and street catchbasins will be to OPSD 705.02. All storm sewer maintenance holes serving local sewers less than 900 mm diameter shall be constructed with a 300 mm sump as per City standards.

8 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN

8.1 General

During construction, existing stream and conveyance systems can be exposed to significant sediment loadings. Although construction is only a temporary situation, it is proposed to possibly introduce a number of mitigative construction techniques to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings. These may include:

- Until the local storm sewer is constructed, groundwater in trenches will be pumped into a filter mechanism prior to release to the environment;
- sediment capture filter socks will remain on open surface structures such as maintenance holes and catchbasins until these structures are commissioned and put into use; and
- silt fence on the site perimeter will be installed.

8.2 Trench Dewatering

Any trench dewatering using pumps will be discharged into a filter trap made up of geotextile filters and straw bales similar in design to the OPSD 219.240 Dewatering Trap. These will be constructed in a bowl shape with the fabric forming the bottom and the straw bales forming the sides. Any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filters as needed, including sediment removal and disposal and material replacement as needed. It should be noted that that the contractor will be responsible for the design and management of the trap(s).

8.3 Seepage Barriers

In order to further reduce sediment loading to the stormwater management facility, seepage barriers will be installed on any surface water courses at appropriate locations that may become evident during construction. These barriers will be Light Duty Straw Bale Barriers per OPSD 219.100 and Heavy-Duty Silt Fence Barriers per OPSD 219.130; locations are shown on the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan included in **Appendix E**. They are typically made of layers of straw bales or geotextile fabric staked in place. All seepage barriers will be inspected and maintained as needed.

8.4 Surface Structure Filters

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree, manholes, convey surface water to sewers. Until streets are asphalted and curbed, all catchbasins and manholes will be constructed with sediment capture inserts or equivalent located between the structure frame and cover. These will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build until it is appropriate to remove same.

9 CONCLUSION

This report has illustrated that the proposed Kanata West Center development can be serviced via existing municipal services. The water network will be extended to provide necessary service. All sanitary and storm sewer designs for this development will be completed in conformance with City of Ottawa standards while acknowledging downstream constraints. By limiting flow into the minor storm sewer system as per the applicable local stormwater management criteria and allowing for excess surface storage on-site, all stormwater management requirements will be met. Adherence to the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan during construction will minimize harmful impacts on surface water.

Based on the information provided within this report, the plans prepared for the subject development can be serviced to meet City of Ottawa requirements.



Demetrius Yannoulopoulos, P. Eng. Director - Office Lead

Ryan Magladry, C.E.T Project Manager


Rolly



Amy Zhuang, P.ENG. Project Engineer

APPENDIX A

- Site Plan
- Legal Plan
- General Plan of Services Drawing C-001
- Notes of Pre-consultation



PADMOUNT TRANSFORMER BY HYDRO OTTAWA. PROVIDE

AND UTS0038.

= DENOTES TREE TO BE REMOVED

DENOTES NEW TREE. REFER TO LANDSCAPE

= DENOTES NEW TREE. REFER TO LANDSCAPE

DENOTES TREE TO REMAIN

= BOLLARD

SITE LOCATION

TRANSFORMER BASE TO HYDRO OTTAWA STANDARDS. PRECAST

TRANSFORMER BASE AND BOLLARDS BY GENERAL CONTRACTOR. REFER TO HYDRO OTTAWA STANDARD DETAILS UFS0001, UGS0002 Building Area:

Existing: N/A

Proposed: 8,557 m2 (92,106.8 ft2)

Lot Coverage (Sec 205., Table 205):

Building Height (Sec. 205, Table 205(h)):

Floor Space Index (Sec. 205, Table 205(g)):

Required Yards (Sec. 206, Table 206B):

Min. Rear Side Yard: 12 m (7.5 m required)

Min. Front Yard: Building A - 12 m (12 m required)

Min. Interior Side Yard: Buildings B and E - 7.5 m (7.5 m required)

Business Park Industrial, Subzone 4 - Kanata South

ZONING INFORMATION:

Required: 55% (maximum)

Required: 22 m (maximum)

Required: 2 (maximum)

Business Park (IP4)

Proposed: 41%

Proposed: 6.6 m

Proposed: 0.5

150 ISABELLA STREET, SUITE 1000 | OTTAWA, ON, CANADA K1S 1V7 Phone: 613-238-0440 | ottawa@architecture49.com | architecture49.com

STORAGE

THIS DRAWING AND DESIGN IS COPYRIGHT PROTECTED. DO NOT REPRODUCE OR REVISE WITHOUT WRITTEN

> EDIT FAMILY AND ADD QR CODE FOR BIM 360 SITE. REMOVE HIDDEN LINE.

PROJECT NO.: 219-00058-11 CONTRACT NO. DRAWN BY: NM/AS CHECKED BY: JC/AB APPROVED BY: Approve

EDIT FAMILY AND ADD BUILDING FOOTPRINT KEYPLAN HERE. REMOVE TEXT AND HIDDEN LINE. ADD SHADED AREAS WITH YES/NO PARAMATERS TO CONTROL VISIBILITY OF

REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION REISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN APPLICATION

TOTAL PROPOSED G.F.A. 8,243 SQ.M LOT COVERAGE(8,243-1514 BLDG"A") 6729 40% **ACCESS STORAGE 75**

THE MAXIMUM PERMITTED LOT COVERAGE IS 55%

ONTARIO BUILDING CODE

1. SITE PLAN DESIGN IS BASED ON "SECTION 3.10. SELF-

2. OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATION IS F-2, ALL BUILDING

ARE SPRINKLERED. OCCUPANT LOAD DOES NOT APPLY.

3. SPATIAL SEPARATIONS DOES NOT APPLY BETWEEN

BUILDINGS AS PER OBC 3.10.4.3 (4). THE DISTANCE

BETWEEN INDIVIDUAL BUILDINGS SHALL NOT BE LESS

4. PER OBC 3.10, PROVISIONS FOR FIRE FIGHTING

PATH OF TRAVEL FOR THE FIREFIGHTER FROM THE

MEETS 9M WIDE ACCESS ROUTE AND UNOBSTRUCTED

VEHICLE TO THE FIRE DEPARTMENT CONNECTION (FDC)

AS PER TABLE 205(C)

SERVICE STORAGE BUILDINGS".

OF MAX. 45M.

PAVING, SODDED AREAS, AND APPROACHES, ETC. TO

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR'S APPROVAL AT THE

TO BE REPAIRED TO CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR'S

BELOW ALL STRUCTURES, PAVING, WALKWAYS, ETC.

SOD TO REMAIN TO BE PROTECTED DURING

APPROVAL AT THE CONTRACTORS OWN COST.

AREAS USE COMPACTED GRANULAR BASE.

TREE PROTECTION STANDARDS.

SHRUB PLANTING

CONTRACTORS OWN COSTS.

REMAIN TO BE PROTECTED DURING CONSTRUCTION TO

7. ALL EXISTING TREES, SHRUB BEDS, MULCH BEDS, AND

CONSTRUCTION. AREAS DAMAGED DURING CONSTRUCTION

8. USE SPECIFIED BACKFILL IN ALL TRENCHES RUNNING

9. FILL ALL HOLES AND LOW AREAS TO DESIGN SUBGRADE

WITH COMPACTED FILL (SUITABLE TO SURFACE FINISH),

FOR SODDED/PLANTED AREAS USE COMPACTED CLEAN

EARTH FILL SUITABLE FOR PLANT GROWTH. FOR PAVED

10. ALL TREES WITHIN OR IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO

AREA OF WORK TO BE PROTECTED TO CITY OF OTTAWA

ALL EXISTING, REMOVED, AND PROPOSED TREE AND


REFER TO LANDSCAPE DRAWINGS FOR LOCATIONS OF


MICHAEL COWPLAND **DRIVE**

DRAWING TITLE

GENERAL SITE PLAN

DRAWING NO.





November 1, 2022

Pre-Application Consultation Meeting Minutes

Property Address: 60 Denzil Doyle Court

Location: Virtual – Microsoft Teams **Meeting Date:** November 1st, 2022

Attendees: City Staff:

Molly Smith – File Lead Steven Payne – Planning Coop Matthew Ippersiel – Urban Design Santhosh Kuruvilla – Engineer Mark Richardson – Forester Siobhan Kelly – Committee of Adjustment Hayley Murray – Forestry

Applicant Team:

Jill MacDonald – WSP
Hind Barnieh – Access Storage
Mathieu Desjardins – Huntington Properties
Terry Brule – IBI Group
Ryan Magladry – IBI Group
Derek Noble – Huntington Properties
Andrew Bouwman – Architecture 49
Jie Chen – Architecture 49

Regrets: Neeti Paudel – Transportation Project Manager (City)

Applicant

- Access Storage in partnership with Huntington Properties
- Six buildings proposed, want to maximize buildable area
- Building A 2-storeys, remainder of buildings are a single-storey
- Huntington Properties has owned the property for 15-years
- Building A 2-storey but climate controlled and has an office component that would only serve customers/site/facility. Office portion would be considered accessory due to nature of use and size. If office use is intended to serve as an office space outside of the site (regional), would not be considered accessory.

November 1, 2022

City Comments:

<u>Planning</u>

- 1. Complex Site Plan required. Please be aware of policy or procedures changes as a result of Bill 109.
- 2. Unclear if minor variances required. Please speak with Molly prior to submission.
- 3. Trees along Terence Matthews and Michael Cowpland need to be retained, please adjust the site plan layout to provide sufficient setbacks.
- 4. When submitting, elevations and site plan will need to include the whole site.
- 5. If possible, bicycle parking should be near main entrances and covered.
- 6. Additional landscaping and tree planting should be provided. Please look for opportunities to break up hardscaping with shade plantings.
- 7. Direct connections from the sidewalks should be provided.
- 8. Planning Rationale Required.

Feel free to contact Molly Smith, Planner (File Lead), at molly.smith@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

Minor Variance/Committee of Adjustment (if required)

Minor Variance

- The parking rate calculation depends on how the ancillary admin space functions (Building A)
 - Applicant confirmed that the admin space only services the warehouses on site –warehouse parking rate applicable

Provision	Required	Proposed
Warehouse:	(5000/100) x 0.8 = 40	54 spaces
0.8 per 100 m2 for the first 5000 m2 of gross floor area, and 0.4 per 100 m2 above 5000 m2 of gross floor area.	(3,557/100) x 0.4 = 14.2	
	40 + 14.2 = 54	

- If relief is required, the applicant can apply for a minor variance
- The new Official Plan designates the property <u>Neighbourhood</u> within the Suburban West Transect. If a minor variance is required, planning rationale will be required to support the proposed use and to demonstrate that it maintains the intent/purpose of the new Official Plan.
- PRED staff may support a minor variance for a reduction in parking if it contributes to the retention of trees along Terrence Matthews Cres (New OP -Policy 4.8.2)
- The Committee of Adjustment can grant a minor variance if the following criteria are met:
 - 1. The variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan
 - 2. The variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law
 - 3. The variance is minor in nature

November 1, 2022

4. The variance is desirable for the appropriate development/use of the lands

Complete Application

For a complete list of the submission requirements, please refer to Section 2 of the application form:

https://app06.ottawa.ca/online services/forms/ds/minor variance en.pdf

Timelines

- The Site Plan Control application should be underway before applying to the Committee of Adjustment for a minor variance
- The Committee of Adjustment process takes approx. 12-14 weeks from application submission to end of the appeal period. Once an applicant submits and the Committee of Adjustment Coordinators deem the application complete, it takes 4-6 weeks to be heard at a public hearing

Urban Design

- 1. Maintain and improve the planted edge along Terence Matthews. This landscaped edge is present on all other properties on Terrence Matthews and defines the character of the street.
- 2. Consolidate the two snow storage areas in the narrow north corner of the site (increasing the snow storage area currently proposed).
- Drive aisles need to be reorganized and widened throughout the site to improve circulation and safety. Create more direct vehicle lanes to avoid the necessity for frequent turning.
- 4. Consider integrating a central drive aisle leading off of Denzil Doyle, which would be perpendicular to the street. This could become the main organizational element of the site and inform the orientation of the buildings.
- Rather than have parking spaces distributed in small pockets throughout the site, consolidate spaces in larger groups, perhaps primarily along the new widened vehicle aisle leading off Denzil Doyle (see previous comment).
- 6. Improve the interface between this site and the existing retirement home to the east. A landscape buffer is needed to screen the storage units from the residence's windows.
- 7. This application is not subject to review by the Urban Design Review Panel.
- 8. An Urban Design Brief is required as a part of your submission. This may be combined with your Planning Rationale report. Please refer to the attached Urban Design Brief Terms of Reference to inform the content of the brief.

Feel free to contact Matthew Ippersiel, Urban Designer, at matthew.ippersiel@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

November 1, 2022

Transportation

- 1. TIA will not be required.
- 2. Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
 - Stationary (if there will be any exposed mechanical equipment due to the proximity to neighbouring noise sensitive land uses)
- 3. The proposed access on Denzil Doyle creates an offset with the existing access on Denzil Doyle Court. Suggest that the access is proposed directly across the existing access on the west side.
- 4. ROW protection on Michael Cowpland is 26 m. Ensure this is protected and shown on the site plan.
- 5. The sidewalks along the frontages of Michael Cowpland Drive Terence Matthews Crescent is substandard. Please upgrade the sidewalks per City standards (1.8m min concrete sidewalk).
- 6. On site plan:
 - Show all details of the roads abutting the site up to and including the opposite curb; include such items as pavement markings, accesses and/or sidewalks.
 - b) Show all curb radii measurements; ensure that all curb radii are reduced as much as possible
 - c) Show lane/aisle widths.
- 7. As the proposed site is for the general public use, AODA legislation applies.
 - a) Clearly define accessible parking stalls and ensure they meet AODA standards (include an access aisle next to the parking stall and a pedestrian curb ramp at the end of the access aisle, as required).
 - b) Please consider using the City's Accessibility Design Standards, which provide a summary of AODA requirements. https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/creating-equal-inclusive-and-diverse-city/accessibility-services/accessibility-design-standards-standards
- 8. Provide direct and safe pedestrian connections from the parking to the buildings.
- 9. Turning movements for the largest vehicle should be assessed at the nearby intersections and at the accesses and within the site.
- 10. Emergency services or building code services should be contacted to ensure there are no issues with the fire route. They provided the following comments:
 - a) Assuming the red part is the proposed fire access routes If so, is the fire route more than 90m dead-end without a designated turnaround? Also, Building B and C do not appear to meet the OBC requirement to "face a street" and have a fire access route within 3-14m of the building face. Building B also appears to have a FDC that is more than 45m from a fire hydrant (although close).

Feel free to contact Neeti Paudel, Transportation Project Manager, at neeti.paudel@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

November 1, 2022

Parks

Parks and Facilities Planning Comments:

- 1. As per the <u>Parkland Dedication (By-law No. 2022-280) | City of Ottawa</u>, as amended, parkland dedication will be required as a condition of development. In this circumstance given the parcel size and proposed use, Cash in Lieu of parkland would be considered appropriate.
- 2. Based in the details provided, the proposal would be best considered a commercial development for the purposes of the parkland dedication by-law. The applicant is encouraged to review the parkland dedication by-law should they feel that an alternative land use category be more appropriate. The parkland requirement for a commercial use is calculated as 2% of the gross land area of the site being developed.
- 3. Please identify in the Planning Rationale (when the initial development application is submitted) how the requirements in the Parkland Dedication (By-law No. 2022-280) will be achieved.
- 4. Please provide the City with a surveyor's area certificate/memo which specifies the exact gross land area of the property parcel being developed.
- 5. The value of the land will be determined by the City's Realty Services Branch. The owner is responsible for any appraisal costs incurred by the City.
- 6. Please note that the park comments are preliminary and will be finalized (and subject to change) upon receipt of the requested supporting documentation. Additionally, if the proposed land use changes, then the parkland dedication requirement will be re-evaluated accordingly.

Feel free to contact Jeff Goettling with Parks and Facilities Planning Services (jeff.goettling@ottawa.ca) for follow-up questions.

Forestry

- 1. A Tree Conservation Report is needed for this SPC
- 2. The retention of the well-established trees along the south and south east boundaries of the property are a priority
 - a. Under the new Official Plan, referencing section 4.8.2, growth, development and intensification shall maintain the urban forest canopy. Mature, healthy trees should be preserved and provided space on private and public lands including the provision of adequate soil volumes on high quality soil.
- 3. Snow should not be stored within the critical rootzone of retained trees

Tree Conservation Report requirements:

- 1. A Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City
 - a. an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval.
 - b. The TCR may be combined with the LP provided all information is supplied

- 2. Any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter, or city-owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.
- 3. The Planning Forester from Planning and Growth Management as well as foresters from Forestry Services will review the submitted TCR
 - a. If tree removal is required, both municipal and privately-owned trees will be addressed in a single permit issued through the Planning Forester
 - b. Compensation may be required for city owned trees if so, it will need to be paid prior to the release of the tree permit
- 4. The TCR must contain 2 separate plans:
 - a. Plan/Map 1 show existing conditions with tree cover information
 - b. Plan/Map 2 show proposed development with tree cover information
 - c. Please ensure retained trees are shown on the landscape plan
- 5. The TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ extends into the developed area, by species, diameter and health condition
 - a. please identify trees by ownership private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, boundary (trees on a property line)
- 6. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained
- 7. All retained trees must be shown, and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at Tree Protection Specification or by searching Ottawa.ca
- 8. The location of tree protection fencing must be shown on the plan
- The City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.

For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Hayley Murray hayley.murray@ottawa.ca or on City of Ottawa.

Landscape Plan tree planting requirements:

For additional information on the following please contact tracy.smith@Ottawa.ca

Minimum Setbacks

- Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track or water service laterals.
- Maintain 2.5m from curb
- Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
- Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing, except where otherwise approved in naturalization / afforestation areas. Adhere to Ottawa Hydro's planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when planting around overhead primary conductors.

November 1, 2022

Tree specifications

- Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
- Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy coverage
- Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa's
 Tree Planting Specification; and include watering and warranty as described in
 the specification (can be provided by Forestry Services).
- Plant native trees whenever possible
- No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
- No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)

Hard surface planting

- Curb style planter is highly recommended
- No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be provided) shall be used.
- Trees are to be planted at grade

Soil Volume

• Please document on the LP that adequate soil volumes can be met:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

Please note that these soil volumes are not applicable in cases with Sensitive Marine Clay.

Please follow the City's 2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines

Tree Canopy

 The landscape plan shall show how the proposed tree planting will replace and increase canopy cover on the site over time, to support the City's 40% urban forest canopy cover target.

• At a site level, efforts shall be made to provide as much canopy cover as possible, through tree planting and tree retention, with an aim of 40% canopy cover at 40 years, as appropriate.

• Indicate on the plan the projected future canopy cover at 40 years for the site.

Feel free to contact Hayley Murray, Forester, at hayley.murray@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

Engineering

Please note the following information regarding the engineering design submission for the above noted site:

- 1. The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following link: https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development-information-developers/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans
- 2. Record drawings and utility plans are available for purchase from the City's Information Centre. Contact the City's Information Centre by email at informationcentre@ottawa.ca or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x44455
- 3. Stormwater quantity control criteria Refer to Kanata South Business Park Stormwater Management Report (February 1986 by A.J. Robinson & Associates Inc.). See attached report.
- 4. Stormwater quality control Consult with the Conservation Authority (MVCA) for their requirements. Include the correspondence with MVCA in the stormwater/site servicing report.
- 5. Existing watermains, sanitary and storm sewers are available on Denzil Doyle Court., Terence Matthews Cres., and Michael Cowpland Drive for service connections.
- 6. As per the City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications an engineering report is required for any retaining walls proposed 1.0 m or greater in height within the subject site that addresses the global stability of the wall and provides structural details. A Retaining Wall Stability Analysis Report and Retaining Wall Structural Details are required to be provided from a Professional Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario that demonstrates the proposed retaining wall structure has been assessed for global instability as per City standards. Please ensure the analysis and required documentation are provided as part of the submission to address this comment.
- 7. Emergency routes will need to be satisfactory to Fire Services. Please show fire routes on the site plan. For information regarding fire route provisions, please

consult with Kevin Heiss at kevin.heiss@ottawa.ca.

- 8. Clearly show and label the property lines on all sides of the property.
- 9. Clearly show and label all the easements (if any) on the property, on all plans.
- 10. When calculating the post development composite runoff coefficient (C), please provide a drawing showing the individual drainage area and its runoff coefficient.
- 11. When using the modified rational method to calculate the storage requirements for the site, the underground storage should not be included in the overall available storage. The modified rational method assumes that the restricted flow rate is constant throughout the storm which, in this case, underestimates the storage requirement prior to the 1:100-year head elevation being reached. Alternately, if you wish to include the underground storage, you may use an assumed average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate. Otherwise, disregard the underground storage as available storage or provide modeling to support the design.
- 12. Engineering plans are to be submitted on standard A1 size (594mm x 841mm) sheets.
- 13. Phase 1 ESA and Phase 2 ESA must conform to clause 4.8.4 of the Official Plan that requires that development applications conform to Ontario Regulation 153/04.
- 14. Provide the following information for water main boundary conditions:
 - 1. Location map with water service connection location(s).
 - 2. Average daily demand (I/s).
 - 3. Maximum daily demand (I/s).
 - 4. Maximum hourly demand (I/s).
 - 5. Fire flow demand (provide detailed fire flow calculations based on Fire Underwriters survey (FUS) Water Supply for Public Fire Protection). Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the FUS calculation and required fire flow (RFF).
 - Hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Please identify which hydrants are being considered to meet the RFF on a fire hydrant coverage plan as part of the boundary conditions request.
- 15. If you are proposing any exterior light fixtures, all must be included and approved as part of the site plan approval. Therefore, the lights must be clearly identified by make, model and part number. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for full cut-off classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable

spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the applicant must provide certification from an acceptable professional engineer. The location of all exterior fixtures, a table showing the fixture types (including make, model, part number), and the mounting heights must be included on a plan.

16. As per Ottawa Sewer Design Guideline section 4.4.4.7, a monitoring maintenance hole shall be required just inside the property line for all non-residential and multi residential buildings connections from a private sewer to a public sewer. See the sewer use By-law 2003-514(14) monitoring devices for details.

Feel free to contact Santhosh Kuruvilla, Infrastructure Project Manager, at Santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

Other

- Plans are to be standard A1 size (594 mm x 841 mm) or Arch D size (609.6 mm x 914.4 mm) sheets, dimensioned in metric and utilizing an appropriate Metric scale (1:200, 1:250, 1:300, 1:400 or 1:500).
- All PDF submitted documents are to be unlocked and flattened.
- A Waste Reduction Workplan Summary is required for the construction project as required by O.Reg. 102/94, being "Waste Audits and Waste Reduction Work Plans" made under the Environmental Protection Act, RSO 1990, c E.19, as amended.
- You are encouraged to contact the Ward Councillor, about the proposal.

Please refer to the links to <u>Guide to preparing studies and plans</u> and <u>fees</u> for further information. Additional information is available related to <u>building permits</u>, <u>development charges</u>, <u>and the Accessibility Design Standards</u>. Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting <u>geoinformation@ottawa.ca</u>.

It is anticipated that, as a result of the *More Homes for Everyone Act, 2022*, for applications for site plan approval and zoning by-law amendments, new processes in respect of pre-application consultation will be in place as of January 1, 2023. The new processes are anticipated to require a multiple phase pre-application consultation approach before an application will be deemed complete. Applicants who have not filed a complete application by the effective date may be required to undertake further pre-application consultation(s) consistent with the provincial changes. The by-laws to be amended include By-law 2009-320, the Pre-Consultation By-law, By-law 2022-239, the planning fees by-law and By-law 2022-254, the Information and Materials for Planning Application By-law. The revisions are anticipated to be before Council in the period after the new Council takes office and the end of the year.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.



APPLICANT'S STUDY AND PLAN IDENTIFICATION LIST

Legend: **S** indicates that the study or plan is required with application submission. **A** indicates that the study or plan may be required to satisfy a condition of approval/draft approval.

For information and guidance on preparing required studies and plans refer here:

S/A	ENGIN	EERING	S/A
S	1. Site Servicing Plan	Site Servicing Study / Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services	S
S	3. Grade Control and Drainage Plan	4. Geotechnical Study / Slope Stability Study	S
	5. Composite Utility Plan	6. Groundwater Impact Study	
	7. Servicing Options Report	8. Wellhead Protection Study	
	9. Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA)	10.Erosion and Sediment Control Plan / Brief	S
S	11.Storm water Management Report / Brief	12.Hydro geological and Terrain Analysis	
	13.Hydraulic Water main Analysis	14.Noise / Vibration Study	S
	15.Roadway Modification Functional Design	16.Confederation Line Proximity Study	

S/A	PLANNING / DES	IGN / SURVEY	S/A
	17.Draft Plan of Subdivision	18.Plan Showing Layout of Parking Garage	
	19.Draft Plan of Condominium	20.Planning Rationale	S
S	21.Site Plan	22.Minimum Distance Separation (MDS)	
	23.Concept Plan Showing Proposed Land Uses and Landscaping	24.Agrology and Soil Capability Study	
	25.Concept Plan Showing Ultimate Use of Land	26.Cultural Heritage Impact Statement	
S	27.Landscape Plan	28.Archaeological Resource Assessment Requirements: S (site plan) A (subdivision, condo)	
S	29.Survey Plan	30.Shadow Analysis	
S	31.Architectural Building Elevation Drawings (dimensioned)	32.Design Brief (includes the Design Review Panel Submission Requirements)	
	33.Wind Analysis		

S/A	ENVIRON	NMENTAL	S/A
S	34.Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment	35.Impact Assessment of Adjacent Waste Disposal/Former Landfill Site	
А	36.Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment (depends on the outcome of Phase 1)	37.Assessment of Landform Features	
	38.Record of Site Condition	39.Mineral Resource Impact Assessment	
S	40.Tree Conservation Report	41.Environmental Impact Statement / Impact Assessment of Endangered Species	
	42.Mine Hazard Study / Abandoned Pit or Quarry Study	43.Integrated Environmental Review (Draft, as part of Planning Rationale)	
S/A	ADDITIONAL	REQUIREMENTS	S/A
S	44. Applicant's Public Consultation Strategy (may be provided as part of the Planning Rationale)	45.Site Lighting Plan	S
Α	46. Site Lighting Certification Letter	47.	

Meeting Date: November 1, 2022	Application Type: Site Plan Control
File Lead (Assigned Planner): Molly Smith	Infrastructure Approvals Project Manager: Santhosh Kuruvilla
Site Address (Municipal Address): 60 Denzil Doyle Cour	t*Preliminary Assessment: 1☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5

*One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required before a planning application is submitted, while five (5) suggests that proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.

It is important to note that the need for additional studies and plans may result during application review. If following the submission of your application, it is determined that material that is not identified in this checklist is required to achieve complete application status, in accordance with the Planning Act and Official Plan requirements, the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department will notify you of outstanding material required within the required 30 day period. Mandatory pre-application consultation will not shorten the City's standard processing timelines, or guarantee that an application will be approved. It is intended to help educate and inform the applicant about submission requirements as well as municipal processes, policies, and key issues in advance of submitting a formal development application. This list is valid for one year following the meeting date. If the application is not submitted within this timeframe the applicant must again preconsult with the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department.

APPENDIX B

- City of Ottawa Boundary Conditions
- Watermain Demand Calculation Sheet
- FUS Fire Flow Requirement Calculation
- Modeling Output Files
- Water Entry Detail
- Correspondence from Architect and Mechanical Engineer

Boundary Conditions 75 Micheal Cowpland

Provided Information

Scenario	De	mand
Scenario	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	0.18	0.0030
Maximum Daily Demand	0.28	0.0046
Peak Hour	0.49	0.0082
Fire Flow Demand #1	9,000	150

Location



Results

Connection 1 – Denzil Doyle Court

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	161.1	83.4
Peak Hour	156.5	76.8
Max Day plus Fire Flow	152.3	70.9

<u>Notes</u>

- 1. As per the Ontario Building Code in areas that may be occupied, the static pressure at any fixture shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi.) Pressure control measures to be considered are as follows, in order of preference:
 - a. If possible, systems to be designed to residual pressures of 345 to 552 kPa (50 to 80 psi) in all occupied areas outside of the public right-of-way without special pressure control equipment.
 - b. Pressure reducing valves to be installed immediately downstream of the isolation valve in the home/ building, located downstream of the meter so it is owner maintained.

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

WATERMAIN DEMAND CALCULATION SHEET

IBI

IBI GROUP 333 PRESTON STREET OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1S 5N4

PROJECT: 75 Michael Cowpland

CLIENT: Huntington Propertyies & Access Storage

FILE: 125600-6.4.4
DATE PRINTED: 30-Jun-23

DESIGN: WZ PAGE: 1 OF 1

		RESID	ENTIAL		NON	I-RESIDENTIAL	(ICI)	AVERAG	GE DAILY DEM	AND (I/s)	MAXIMU	M DAILY DEMA	AND (I/s)	MAXIMUM	HOURLY DE	MAND (I/s)	
NODE	SINGLE	3 bedroom	2 bedroom														FIRE
	FAMILY			POPULATION	INDUST.	COMM.	INSTIT.	RESIDENTIAL	ICI	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	ICI	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	ICI	TOTAL	DEMAND
	UNITS	UNITS	UNITS		(ha)	(ha)	(ha)										(l/min)
<u>Site</u>						0.0094			0.0030	0.0030		0.0046	0.0046		0.0082	0.0082	9,000

POPULATION DENSITY		WATER DEMAND RATE	<u>S</u>	PEAKING FACTORS		FIRE DEMANDS
Single Family	3.4 persons/unit	Residential	350 l/cap/day	Maximum Daily Residential	2.5 x avg. day	Single Family 10,000 l/min (166.7 l/s)
3 Bedroom Units	2.7 persons/unit	Commercial Shopping Ce	enter	Commercial	1.5 x avg. day	Semi Detached &
			2,500 L/(1000m2)/day	Maximum Hourly		Townhouse 10,000 I/min (166.7 I/s)
2 Bedroom Units	1.8 persons/unit	Other Commercial		Residential	2.2 x max. day	
		2	28,000 L/Ha/day	Commercial	1.8 x max. day	Fire flow for the site is determined using FUS.

FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY

75 Michael Cowpland | Huntington Properties 135470-6.0 | Rev #1 | 2023-06-30 Prepared By: AZ | Checked By: RM

STEP	Contents	Discription		Adjustment Fa	ctor	Res	ult
	Building A	1st Floor Area		Height 3.0m	1	1514	m2
1	(2-storey)	2nd Floor Area		Height 3.1m	3	1514	m2
	Total Effective Floor Area	(Storage space exceeding 3m in height, floor area	a X 3)			6056	m2
		Type V Wood Frame	1.5	Tuno II			
•	Tune of Cometauration	Type III Ordinary Construction	1.0	Type II Noncombustible	0.0		
2	Type of Construction	Type II Noncombustible Construction	0.8	Construction	0.8		
		Type I Fire Resistive Construction	0.6	Construction			
3	Required Fire Flow	RFF = 220CVA				13696	L/mir
		Noncombustible Contents	-25%				
		Limited Conbustible Contents	-15%	Cook with 50			
	Occupancy and Contents	Combustible Contents	0%	Conbustible - F2	0%	0	L/min
4		Free Burning Contents	15%	Storage Rooms			
		Rapid Burning Contents	25%				
	Fire Flow					13696	L/mir
		Automatic Sprinkler Conforming to NFPA 13	-30%	Yes	-30%	-4109	L/mir
	Automatic Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply for both the system and	4.00/	W	4.007	4270	. /
5	Protection	Fire Department Hose Lines	-10%	Yes	-10%	-1370	L/mir
		Fully Supervised System	-10%	No			
	Fire Flow			3		8218	L/mir
	Exposure Adjustment	Based on Table 6 Exposure Adjustement Charges	for Subjec	t Building			
		Separation (m)	9.0				
	North	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	47.6	with unprotected	8%	657	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	>30	th			
	South	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	with unprotected	0%	0	L/mir
_		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
6		Separation (m)	9.3	The second and a			
	East	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	6.6	with unprotected	6%	493	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	>30	201-			
	West	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	with unprotected	0%	0	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
	Fire Flow			-		9368	L/mir
7	Total Required Fire Flow	Rounded to Nearest 1000 L/min				9000	L/mir
	•	•				150	L/s

FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY

75 Michael Cowpland | Huntington Properties 135470-6.0 | Rev #1 | 2023-06-30 Prepared By: AZ | Checked By: RM

STEP	Contents	Discription		Adjustment Fa	ctor	Res	ult
	Building B	1st Floor Area		Height 3.2m	3	1180	m2
1	(1-storey)						
	Total Effective Floor Area	(Storage space exceeding 3m in height, floor area	ı X 3)			3540	m2
		Type V Wood Frame	1.5	Type II			
2	Type of Construction	Type III Ordinary Construction	1.0	Noncombustible	0.8		
2	Type of Construction	Type II Noncombustible Construction	8.0	Construction	0.8		
		Type I Fire Resistive Construction	0.6	Construction			
3	Required Fire Flow	RFF = 220CVA				10472	L/mir
		Noncombustible Contents	-25%				
		Limited Conbustible Contents	-15%	Conbustible - F2			
4	Occupancy and Contents Fire Flow Automatic Sprinkler Protection Fire Flow Exposure Adjustment	Combustible Contents	0%	Storage Rooms	0%	0	L/mii
4		Free Burning Contents	15%	Storage Rooms			
		Rapid Burning Contents	25%				
	Fire Flow					10472	L/mi
		Automatic Sprinkler Conforming to NFPA 13	-30%	Yes	-30%	-3141	L/mi
	Automatic Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply for both the system and	-10%	Yes	-10%	-1047	L/mi
5	Protection	Fire Department Hose Lines	-10%	res	-10%	-1047	L/IIIII
		Fully Supervised System	-10%	No			
	Fire Flow			-		6283	L/mi
	Exposure Adjustment	Based on Table 6 Exposure Adjustement Charges	for Subjec	t Building			
		Separation (m)	7.1	with unprotected			
	North	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	16.7	opening	6%	377	L/mi
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	>30	with unprotected			
	South	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	opening	0%	0	L/mi
6		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
U		Separation (m)	>30	with unprotected			
	East	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	opening	0%	0	L/mi
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	6.1	with upprotected			
	West	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	54.9	with unprotected	8%	503	L/mi
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
	Fire Flow					7163	L/mi
7	Total Required Fire Flow	Rounded to Nearest 1000 L/min		<u>-</u>		7000	L/mi
						117	L/s

FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY

75 Michael Cowpland | Huntington Properties 135470-6.0 | Rev #1 | 2023-06-30 Prepared By: AZ | Checked By: RM

STEP	Contents	Discription		Adjustment Fa	ctor	Res	ult
	Building C	1st Floor Area		Height 3.2m	3	840	m2
1	(1-storey)						
	Total Effective Floor Area	(Storage space exceeding 3m in height, floor area	ı X 3)			2520	m2
		Type V Wood Frame	1.5	Type II			
2	Type of Construction	Type III Ordinary Construction	1.0	Noncombustible	0.8		
_	Type of construction	Type II Noncombustible Construction	8.0	Construction	0.8		
		Type I Fire Resistive Construction	0.6	Construction			
3	Required Fire Flow	RFF = 220C√A				8835	L/mir
		Noncombustible Contents	-25%				
		Limited Conbustible Contents	-15%	Conbustible - F2			
4	Occupancy and Contents	Combustible Contents	0%	Storage Rooms	0%	0	L/mir
4		Free Burning Contents	15%	Storage Rooms			
		Rapid Burning Contents	25%				
	Fire Flow					8835	L/mir
		Automatic Sprinkler Conforming to NFPA 13	-30%	Yes	-30%	-2651	L/mir
	Automatic Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply for both the system and	-10%	Yes	-10%	-884	L/miı
5	Protection	Fire Department Hose Lines	-10/0	163	-10/0	-004	L/11111
		Fully Supervised System	-10%	No			
	Fire Flow			-		5301	L/mir
	Exposure Adjustment	Based on Table 6 Exposure Adjustement Charges	for Subjec	t Building			
		Separation (m)	7.1	with unprotected			
	North	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	15.2	opening 6%	6%	318	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	9.0	with unprotected			
	South	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	30.4	opening	7%	371	L/mir
6		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
O		Separation (m)	6.1	with unprotected			
	East	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	54.9	·	8%	424	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	6.1	with upprotected			
	West	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	54.9	with unprotected	8%	424	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
	Fire Flow					6838	L/mir
7	Total Required Fire Flow	Rounded to Nearest 1000 L/min				7000	L/mir
						117	L/s

FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY

75 Michael Cowpland | Huntington Properties 135470-6.0 | Rev #1 | 2023-06-30 Prepared By: AZ | Checked By: RM

STEP	Contents	Discription		Adjustment Fa	ctor	Res	ult
	Building D	1st Floor Area		Height 3.2m	3	1440	m2
1	(1-storey)						
	Total Effective Floor Area	(Storage space exceeding 3m in height, floor area	ı X 3)			4320	m2
		Type V Wood Frame	1.5	Type II			
2	Type of Construction	Type III Ordinary Construction	1.0	Noncombustible	0.8		
	Type of Construction	Type II Noncombustible Construction	0.8	Construction	0.8		
		Type I Fire Resistive Construction	0.6	Construction			
3	Required Fire Flow	RFF = 220CVA				11568	L/mir
		Noncombustible Contents	-25%				
		Limited Conbustible Contents	-15%	Conbustible - F2			
4	Occupancy and Contents	Combustible Contents	0%	Storage Rooms	0%	0	L/mir
4		Free Burning Contents	15%	Storage Rooms			
		Rapid Burning Contents	25%			4320 11568 0 11568 -3470 -1157 6941 0 625	
	Fire Flow					11568	L/mir
		Automatic Sprinkler Conforming to NFPA 13	-30%	Yes	-30%	-3470	L/mii
	Automatic Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply for both the system and	-10%	Yes	-10%	-1157	L/mii
5	Protection	Fire Department Hose Lines	-10%	res	-10%	-115/	L/IIIII
		Fully Supervised System	-10%	No			
	Fire Flow			-		6941	L/mir
	Exposure Adjustment	Based on Table 6 Exposure Adjustement Charges	for Subjec	t Building			
		Separation (m)	>30	with unprotected			
	North	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	opening	0%	0	L/mi
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	9.0	with unprotected			
	South	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	64.8	opening	9%	625	L/mir
6		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
O		Separation (m)	6.1	with unprotected			
	East	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	54.9	opening	8%	555	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	>30	with upprotected			
	West	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	with unprotected	0%	0	L/mii
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
	Fire Flow					8121	L/mir
7	Total Required Fire Flow	Rounded to Nearest 1000 L/min				8000	L/mir
						133	L/s

FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY

75 Michael Cowpland | Huntington Properties 135470-6.0 | Rev #1 | 2023-06-30 Prepared By: AZ | Checked By: RM

STEP	Contents	Discription		Adjustment Fa	ctor	Res	ult
	Building E	1st Floor Area		Height 3.2m	3	1180	m2
1	(1-storey)						
	Total Effective Floor Area	(Storage space exceeding 3m in height, floor area	ı X 3)			3540	m2
		Type V Wood Frame	1.5	Type II			
2	Type of Construction	Type III Ordinary Construction	1.0	Noncombustible	0.8		
	Type of Construction	Type II Noncombustible Construction	0.8	Construction	0.8		
		Type I Fire Resistive Construction	0.6	Construction			
3	Required Fire Flow	RFF = 220C√A				10472	L/mir
		Noncombustible Contents	-25%				
		Limited Conbustible Contents	-15%	Conbustible - F2			
4	Occupancy and Contents	Combustible Contents	0%	Storage Rooms	0%	0	L/mir
4		Free Burning Contents	15%	Storage Rooms			
		Rapid Burning Contents	25%				
	Fire Flow					10472	L/mir
		Automatic Sprinkler Conforming to NFPA 13	-30%	Yes	-30%	-3141	L/mir
	Automatic Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply for both the system and	-10%	Yes	-10%	-1047	L/mii
5	Protection	Fire Department Hose Lines	-10%	res	-10%	-1047	L/IIIII
		Fully Supervised System	-10%	No			
	Fire Flow			-		6283	L/mir
	Exposure Adjustment	Based on Table 6 Exposure Adjustement Charges	for Subjec	t Building			
		Separation (m)	>30	with unprotected			
	North	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	opening	0%	0	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	7.1	with unprotected			
	South	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	16.7	opening	6%	377	L/mir
6		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
U		Separation (m)	>30	with unprotected			
	East	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	opening	0%	0	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	6.0	with upprotected			
	West	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	39.4	with unprotected	7%	440	L/mir
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
	Fire Flow					7100	L/mir
7	Total Required Fire Flow	Rounded to Nearest 1000 L/min				7000	L/mir
						117	L/s

FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY


75 Michael Cowpland | Huntington Properties 135470-6.0 | Rev #1 | 2023-06-30 Prepared By: AZ | Checked By: RM

STEP	Contents	Discription		Adjustment Fa	ctor	Res	ult
	Building F	1st Floor Area		Height 3.2m	3	575	m2
1	(1-storey)						
	Total Effective Floor Area	(Storage space exceeding 3m in height, floor area	X 3)			1725	m2
		Type V Wood Frame	1.5	Tuno II			
•	Town of County of in	Type III Ordinary Construction	1.0	Type II	0.0		
2	Type of Construction	Type II Noncombustible Construction	0.8	Noncombustible	0.8		
		Type I Fire Resistive Construction	0.6	Construction			
3	Required Fire Flow	RFF = 220CVA				7310	L/min
		Noncombustible Contents	-25%				
		Limited Conbustible Contents	-15%	Camburatible F3			
4	Occupancy and Contents	Combustible Contents	0%	Conbustible - F2	0%	0	L/min
4		Free Burning Contents	15%	Storage Rooms			
		Rapid Burning Contents	25%				
	Fire Flow					7310	L/min
		Automatic Sprinkler Conforming to NFPA 13	-30%	Yes	-30%	-2193	L/min
	Automatic Sprinkler	Standard Water Supply for both the system and	-10%	Yes	-10%	721	I /min
5	Protection	Fire Department Hose Lines	-10%	res	-10%	-731	L/min
		Fully Supervised System	-10%	No			
	Fire Flow			-		4386	L/min
	Exposure Adjustment	Based on Table 6 Exposure Adjustement Charges	for Subjec	t Building			
		Separation (m)	>30				
	North	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	with unprotected	0%	0	L/min
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	7.3				
	South	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	15.2	with unprotected	6%	263	L/min
6		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
ь		Separation (m)	6.0	The second and a			
	East	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	39.4	with unprotected	7%	307	L/min
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
		Separation (m)	>30	talaa.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a.a			
	West	Length X Height Factor (m.storeys)	0	with unprotected	0%	0	L/min
		Construction Type	Type II	opening			
	Fire Flow					4956	L/min
7	Total Required Fire Flow	Rounded to Nearest 1000 L/min				5000	L/min
	-					83	L/s

Junctions and Pipes Layout




Average Day Pressures (kPa)




4	ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (kPa)
1	J01	0.0000	101.80	161.10	581.09
2	J02	0.0000	102.00	161.10	579.13
3	J03	0.0000	102.05	161.10	578.64
4	J04	0.0000	102.05	161.10	578.64
5	J05	0.0000	102.20	161.10	577.17
6	J06	0.0000	102.05	161.10	578.64
7	J07	0.0000	101.88	161.10	580.31
8	J08	0.0000	101.92	161.10	579.92
9	J10	0.0030	102.10	161.10	578.15
10	J11	0.0000	102.05	161.10	578.64

Peak Hour Pressures (kPa)




4	ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (kPa)				
1	J01	0.00	101.80	156.50	536.02				
2	J02	0.00	102.00	156.50	534.06				
3	J03	0.00	102.05	156.50	533.57				
4	J04	0.00	102.05	156.50	533.57				
5	J05	0.00	102.20	156.50	532.10				
6	J06	0.00	102.05	156.50	533.57				
7	J07	0.00	101.88	156.50	535.23				
8	J08	0.00	101.92	156.50	534.84				
9	J10	0.00	102.10	156.50	533.08				
10	J11	0.00	102.05	156.50	533.57				


Max Day + Fire Flow 9000 L/min



	ID	Static Demand (L/s)	Static Pressure (kPa)	Static Head (m)	Fire-Flow Demand (L/s)	Residual Pressure (kPa)	Hydrant Available Flow	Hydrant Pressure at Available Flow (kPa)
1	J02	0.0000	492.90	152.30	150.00	389.39	286.42	149.96
2	J03	0.0000	492.41	152.30	150.00	312.35	212.25	149.96
3	J04	0.0000	492.41	152.30	150.00	221.44	170.21	149.96
4	J05	0.0000	490.94	152.30	150.00	197.02	162.52	149.96
5	J06	0.0000	492.41	152.30	150.00	445.17	437.11	149.96
6	J10	0.0082	491.92	152.30	150.00	274.81	191.71	149.96

Date: Wednesday, June 28, 2023, Time: 16:24:46, Page 1





2023-06-06	updated detail issued for site plan response	-
2023-03-03	ISSUED FOR SITE PLAN RESPONSE	-
DATE	REVISION	REF

THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHER FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND / OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCES, AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.

THIS DRAWING IS THE PROPERTY OF GOODKEY WEEDMARK & ASSOCIATES LIMITED AND ALL COPYRIGHTS ARE RESERVED. NO USE OF THIS DRAWING MAY BE MADE WITHOUT EXPRESS WRITTEN CONSENT.

DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS

L'INGÉNIEUR DÉCLINE TOUTE RESPONSABILITÉ DÉCOULANT DE PROBLÈMES FAISANT SUITE AU NON RESPECT DES PLANS, DEVIS ET DE L'INTENTION DU CONCEPT QU'ILS INDIQUENT OU DE TOUS LES PROBLÈMES POUVANT RESULTER DU DÉFAUT D'OBTENIR ET / OU DE SUNRE LES CONSEILS DE L'INGÉNIEUR EN CE QUI CONCERNE LES ERREURS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTANCES, AMBIGUITÉS OU CONFLITS ALLÈGUÉS.

CE DESSIN EST LA PROPRIÉTÉ LITTÉRAIRE DE GOODKEY WEEDMARK & ASSOCIATES LIMITED ET TOUS LES DROITS SONT RÉSERVÉS. L'UTILISATION EST INTERDITE SANS LE CONSENTEMENT ÉCRIT DE L'AUTEUR.

NE PAS MESURER LES DESSINS A L'ÉCHELLE



1688 Woodward Dr. Ottawa Ontario Canada K2C 3R8

613 727-5111 Voice 613 727-5115 Fax www.gwal.com

Fax

PROJECT/PROJET

KANATA SOUTHWEST SELF STORAGE

75 MICHAEL COWPLAND DRIVE, OTTAWA, ON

TITLE/TITRE

Examiné par

TYPICAL BUILDING (BUILDING B TO F) **ENTRY DETAIL**

Scale	N.T.S.	
Échelle	N.1.3.	
Design by	J. HANSEN	Project no./ No.du projet
Conçu par		2021-566
Drawn by	J. HANSEN	DWG. No. No. DESSIN
Dessiné par		
Reviewed by	R. LEFEBVRE	SK2

Amy Zhuang

From: Bouwman, Andrew <Andrew.Bouwman@architecture49.com>

Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 8:29 AM

To: Amy Zhuang

Cc: Terry Brule; Ryan Magladry; Frank Abrantes; Mathieu Desjardins; Elisabeth Gebremedhin; Hind

Barnieh; Chen, Jie

Subject: RE: APD Preferred grading - 60 Denzil Doyle Court

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

Hi Amy,

All buildings will fall under OBC Section 3.10 "Self Service Storage Buildings", F-2 Occupancy and non-combustible.

Andrew Bouwman, m.a.a.t.o.

Architectural Technologist

Architecture49 Inc.

1345 Rosemount Ave., Cornwall, Ontario K6J 3E5 Canada D+1 613.935.0508 F+1 613.936.0335 C+1 613.363.5502

#

www.architecture49.com/ontario

From: Amy Zhuang <Amy.Zhuang@ibigroup.com>

Sent: November 30, 2022 2:12 AM

To: Hind Barnieh hbarnieh@accesspd.ca; Bouwman, Andrew Andrew.Bouwman@architecture49.com

Cc: Terry Brule <tbrule@IBIGroup.com>; Ryan Magladry <rmagladry@IBIGroup.com>; Frank Abrantes

<egebremedhin@accesspd.ca>

Subject: RE: APD Preferred grading - 60 Denzil Doyle Court

Hi Hind, thank you for the grading plans!

Just another question – could you confirm if the construction type will be wood frame/ordinary or non-combustible/fire-resistive? Thanks!

Amy Zhuang P.ENG.

Civil Engineer

Suite 500, 333 Preston Street Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada tel +1 613 225 1311 ext 64080

٠				
•				

 $\label{eq:BI Group is now proudly a part of Arcadis.}$

NOTE: This email message/attachments may contain privileged and confidential information. If received in error, please notify the sender and delete this e-mail message.

NOTE: Ce courriel peut contenir de l'information privilégiée et confidentielle. Si vous avez recu ce message par erreur, veuillez le mentionner immédiatement à l'expéditeur et effacer ce courriel.

Amy Zhuang

From: Jordan Hansen <jhansen@gwal.com>
Sent: Monday, June 26, 2023 8:23 AM

To: Amy Zhuang

Cc: Chen, Jie; Bouwman, Andrew

Subject: RE: 75 Michael Cowpland - Fire Department Hose Line

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

Hi Amy,

The sprinkler system will be designed and installed in accordance with NFPA-13 requirements. The sprinkler system will be supplied from the city water connection and the demand will be calculated using the hazard classification plus the appropriate inside/outside hose allowances.

Based on the below it appears this would meet the requirements for a standard water supply.

Thanks,

JORDAN HANSEN C.E.T. | Mechanical Technologist

GOODKEY, WEEDMARK & ASSOCIATES LTD.

Email: jhansen@gwal.com

Office: (613) 727-5111 ext. 236 Mobile: (613) 282-5291

Address: 1688 Woodward Drive | Ottawa, Ontario | K2C 3R8

Website: www.gwal.com





Your Trusted Choice for Mechanical & Electrical Consulting Engineers Since 1956

Follow us on LinkedIn

From: Amy Zhuang <Amy.Zhuang@ibigroup.com>

Sent: Friday, June 23, 2023 10:44 AM **To:** Jordan Hansen <jhansen@gwal.com>

Cc: Chen, Jie <jie.chen@architecture49.com>; Bouwman, Andrew <Andrew.Bouwman@architecture49.com>

Subject: RE: 75 Michael Cowpland - Fire Department Hose Line

Hi Jordan, could you confirm if the water supply is standard for both the sprinkler system and the fire department hose lines? Thanks!

Amy Zhuang P.ENG.

Civil Engineer

APPENDIX C

- Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet
- OPSD 1003.010 Drop Structure


SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

IBI GROUP 400-333 Presto Ottawa, Ontario tel 613 225 131 ibitaryus con

400-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com

75 Michael Cowpland City of Ottawa Huntington Properties

								RESIDE	NTIAL								ICI A	AREAS				INFILT	RATION ALL	OWANCE			TOTAL			PROPO	SED SEWER	R DESIGN		
	LOCATION			AREA		UNIT	TYPES		AREA	POPU	LATION	RES	PEAK			ARE	A (Ha)			ICI	PEAK	ARI	EA (Ha)	FLOW	FIXED	FLOW (L/s)	FLOW	CAPACITY	LENGTH	DIA	SLOPE	VELOCITY	AVA	ILABLE
STREET	ADEAID	FROM	TO	w/ Units	SF	TH/SD	1 Bed	2 Bed	w/o Units	IND	01111		FLOW	INSTIT	UTIONAL	COMM		INDUS		PEAK	FLOW	INID	0.114	(1.1-)	INID.	01184	(1.1-)	(1.1-)	()	()	(0/)	(full)	CAI	PACITY
SIREEI	AREA ID	MH	MH	(Ha)	SF	TH/SD	APT	APT	(Ha)	IND	CUM	FACTOR	(L/s)	IND	CUM	IND	CUM	IND	CUM	FACTOR	(L/s)	IND	CUM	(L/s)	IND	CUM	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(m/s)	L/s	(%)
		Building B	MH8A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.12	0.12	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.06	0.12	0.12	0.04	0.00	0.0	0.10	5.39	21.68	100	1.00	0.665	5.29	98.22%
		Building A	MH8A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.15	0.15		0.0	1.50	0.07	0.15	0.27	0.09	0.00	0.0	0.16	5.39	6.31	100	1.00	0.665	5.23	97.01%
		MH8A	MH2A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.39	1.66	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.81	1.39	1.66	0.55	0.00	0.0	1.35	27.59	12.82	200	0.65	0.851	26.23	95.10%
		MH2A	MH3A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	1.66	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.81	0.00	1.66	0.55	0.00	0.0	1.35	27.59	38.47	200	0.65	0.851	26.23	95.10%
		MH3A	MH4A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	1.66	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.81	0.00	1.66	0.55	0.00	0.0	1.35	27.59	20.51	200	0.65	0.851	26.23	95.10%
																														250				
									1													+					1							
									1																									
									t t																									
									1																									
	1			1					<u> </u>										L			1							1		1			
esign Parameters:				Notes:								Designed:		WZ			No.							Revision								Date		
					s coefficien			0.013									1							f - Submissio								2022-12-09		
Residential		ICI Areas			l (per capita)) L/day	200 L	L/day							2							f - Submissio								2023-03-10		
SF 3.4 p/p/u					n allowance		0.33	3 L/s/Ha				Checked:		RM			3						Design Brie	f - Submissio	n No. 3							2023-06-30		
TH/SD 2.7 p/p/u		00 L/Ha/day		Resident	tial Peaking												4					De	esign Brief - S	ubmission No	. 3 Revised							2023-07-19		
1 Bed 1.4 p/p/u		00 L/Ha/day				Formula = 1+(00)^0.5))0.8																										
2 Bed 2.1 p/p/u		00 L/Ha/day	MOE Chart			= 0.8 Correction						Dwg. Refer	ence:	135470-40	0																			
Other 60 p/p/Ha	170	00 L/Ha/day				itutional Peak		sed on total	area,									ile Referenc							Date:							Sheet No:		
				1.5 if g	reater than 2	20%, otherwis	e 1.0					l					1	135470-6.04.	04						2022-12-0	9						1 of 1		




- 1 Concrete shall be placed to undisturbed ground and the outside face of the maintenance hole, but there shall be a minimum of 150mm of 15MPa concrete around the drop pipe.
- 2 Concrete shall be secured to the maintenance hole with 450mm long, 13mm diameter threaded rods and drilled expansion anchors down either side of the drop pipe at 300mm centres.
- A All dimensions are in millimetres unless otherwise shown.

ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWING	Nov 2016	Rev	3 STAVON
CAST-IN-PLACE MAINTENANCE HOLE DROP STRUCTURE TEE			
	OPSD	100	03.010

APPENDIX D


- Storm Drainage Area Plan Drawing C-500
- Storm Sewer Design Sheet
- Ponding Plan Drawing C-600
- Stormwater Management Design Sheet
- Underground Storage Calculation Sheet
- Orifice Sizing Sheet
- Overflow Calculation
- IPEX ICD Specifications
- Kanata South Business Park Stormwater Management Report
- OGS HydroDome Sizing Summary
- StormTech MC-3500 Underground Storage Chamber Details





75 Michael Cowpland City of Ottawa Huntington Properties

	LOCATIO	ON						A (Ha)											RATIO	NAL DESIGI											SEWER DA				
STREET	AREA ID	FROM	то	C=		C=		C=					CUM	INLET	TIME	TOTAL	i (2)	i (5)	i (10)	i (100)	2yr PEAK 5	yr PEAK	10yr PEAK 100	yr PEAK	FIXED FI		DESIGN	CAPACITY	LENGTH	PIPE SIZE	(mm)	SLOPE	VELOCITY	AVAIL	_ CAP (*
KEEI	AREA ID	FRUM	10	0.20	0.25	0.40	0.50 0.58	0.65	0.75 0.	.80 0.85	0.90	2.78A0	2.78AC	(min)	IN PIPE	(min)	(mm/hr)	(mm/h	r) (mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	FLOW (L/s) FL	OW (L/s) I	FLOW (L/s) FL	OW (L/s)	IND	CUM	FLOW (L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	DIA W	Н	(%)	(m/s)	(L/s)	1
																															+				+
	CBMH101	CBMH101	MH102						0.	.15			0.33		0.39	10.39					25.62				0.00	0.00	25.62	59.68	18.97	300		0.35	0.818	34.06	
		MH102	CBMH103										0.33		0.41	10.80					25.14				0.00	0.00	25.14	59.68	20.22	300		0.35	0.818	34.55	
	CBMH103	CBMH103	CBMH104									0.30		10.80	0.44	11.24	73.87				46.82				0.00	0.00	46.82	59.68	21.65	300		0.35	0.818	12.86	
	CBMH104	CBMH104	CBMH105								0.11	0.28	0.91	11.24	0.87	12.11	72.35				65.77				0.00	0.00	65.77	91.46	42.11	375	+	0.25	0.802	25.68	- 2
	MH111	MH111	MH112								0.09	0.23	0.23	10.00	0.98	10.98	76.81				17.29				0.00	0.00	17.29	59.68	48.29	300		0.35	0.818	42.39	7
	MH110. MH110B	MH110	MH112								0.11	0.28	0.28	10.00	1.69	11.69	76.81				21.14				0.00	0.00	21.14	59.68	83.04	300		0.35	0.818	38.54	- 6
											0.11	0.20	0.20	10.00	1.00	11.00	7 0.0 1				2				0.00	0.00		00.00	00.01	000		0.00	0.010	00.01	+
	MH112	MH112	MH113								0.05	0.13	0.63	11.69	0.53	12.23	70.87				44.33				0.00	0.00	44.33	59.68	26.21	300		0.35	0.818	15.36	2
	MH113	MH113	MH114								0.13		0.95	12.23	0.36	12.59					65.79				0.00	0.00	65.79	91.46	17.52	375		0.25	0.802	25.66	
		MH114	CBMH121									0.00	0.95	12.59	0.40	12.99	68.11				64.76				0.00	0.00	64.76	91.46	19.04	375		0.25	0.802	26.70	
		UGChamber 2	MH109									0.00	0.00	10.00	0.02	10.02	76.81				0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00	100.88	2.01	300	-	1.00	1.383	100.88	1
		MH109	CBMH120									0.00		10.02	0.03	10.05					0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00	100.88	2.49	300		1.00	1.383	100.88	
	CBMH120	CBMH120	CBMH121						0.20			0.42	0.42	10.00	1.20	11.20	76.81				32.03				0.00	0.00	32.03	59.68	58.70	300		0.35	0.818	27.65	+.
	CBMH121	CBMH121	CBMH115						0.20	0.21			1.86		0.90	12.10					135.14				0.00	0.00	135.14	179.46	43.35	525		0.16	0.803	44.33	
	CBMH115	CBMH115	CBMH105								0.09	0.23	2.09	12.10	0.81	12.91	69.60				145.40				0.00	0.00	145.40	179.46	39.24	525		0.16	0.803	34.06	+
		UGChamber1	MH108									0.00	0.00	10.00	0.02	10.02	76.81				0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00	100.88	1.27	300		1.00	1.383	100.88	. 1
		MH108	CBMH105									0.00	0.00	10.02	0.04	10.06	76.75				0.00				0.00	0.00	0.00	100.88	3.62	300		1.00	1.383	100.88	1
	CBMH105	CBMH105	CBMH106								0.12	0.30	3.30	12.91	0.61	13.52	67.19				221.61				0.00	0.00	221.61	248.09	30.91	600		0.15	0.850	26.48	+
	CBMH106	CBMH106	MH107								0.05	0.14	3.43	13.52	0.42	13.93	65.51				224.92			1	14.00	114.00	<u>110.00</u>	129.34	28.27	375		0.50	1.134	19.34	
	CBMH140	CBMH140	MH107				0.17					0.28	0.28	10.00	0.30	10.30	76.81				21.55				5.00	5.00	4.50	59.68	14.61	300	+	0.35	0.818	55.18	9
		MH107	OGS										3.71		0.05	13.98	64.41				239.23					119.00	114.50	129.34	3.45	375		0.50	1.134	14.84	
		OGS	Existing							Tota	1 161		3.71 TRUE	13.98	0.29	14.27	64.28				238.74				0.00	119.00	114.50	129.34	19.66	375 375		0.50	1.134	14.84	+
										Tota	1.01	5.71	IIIOL						All Private Sew	vers downstre	am of ICD are s	sized based	on Maximum Pe	rmissible ICD	release rat	е.				373					+
ions:				Notes:										Designed:		WZ				No.						Revis							Date		
78CiA, whe		4.4.		1. Man	nings co	efficient (n) = 0.013	3												1					esign Brief						+		2022-12-09		
eak Flow in rea in Hecta	Litres per Second	(L/S)												Checked:		RM				2					esign Brief esian Brief						-		2022-03-09		
	ares (на) sity in millimeters p	er hour (mm/hr)	1											Cilecked:		IXIVI				4							No. 3 Revised				+		2023-06-30		
732.951 / (7	TC+6.199)^0.810]	2 YEAR														105170 5	00																		
	TC+6.053)^0.814] (TC+6.014)^0.816													Dwg. Refe	rence:	135470-5	UU				File Refer	ronco:					Date				+		Sheet No:		_
	(TC+6.014)^0.816			1										i							riie keter	ence.					2022-12						1 of 1		



IBI

IBI GROUP

400-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868

 PROJECT:
 75 Michael Cowpland

 DATE:
 2023-07-19

 FILE:
 135470-6.04
 REV#: DESIGNED BY: CHECKED BY: WZ RM

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Formulas and Descriptions

i_{2yr} = 1:2 year Intensity = 732.951 / (T_c+6.199)^{0.810} i_{5yr} = 1:5 year Intensity = 998.071 / $(T_c+6.053)^{0.814}$

 i_{100yr} = 1:100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / $(T_c+6.014)^{0.820}$

T_c = Time of Concentration (min) C = Average Runoff Coefficient

A = Area (Ha) Q = Flow = 2.78CiA (L/s)

Maximum Allowable Release Rate

Restricted Flowrate (based on 74.2 L/s/Ha)

1.66 Ha 123.17 L/s

Uncontrolled Release (Q uncontrolled = 2.78*C*i 100yr *A uncontrolled)

0.375 $T_c =$ 178.56 mm/hr 0.0456 Ha

Q uncontrolled = 8.49 L/s

Maximum Allowable Release Rate (Q max allowable = Q restricted - Q uncontrolled)

114.68 L/s Q_{max allowable} =

Release Rate Summary

	Area	FIOW
Site	1.614	114.50
Uncontrolled	0.05	8.49
	1.660	122.99
Allowable		123.17
		TRUE

MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD (100-Year & 2-Year Ponding)

Drainage Area	Area Tributar	y to CBMH106						
Area (Ha)	1.42		, i		ICD Flow Rate			
C =	0.86	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	/s)=	55.00	110.00			
		100-Y	ear +20% Po	nding				
T _c Variable	i _{100yr}	Peak Flow Q _p =2.78xCi _{100yr} A	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume 100yr	100YRQp 20%	Qp - Qr	Volume 100+20
(min)	(mm/hour)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
41	73.83	251.43	55.00	196.43	483.22			
46	67.96	231.43	55.00	176.43	486.96			
51	63.03	214.66	55.00	159.66	488.55	257.59	202.59	619.92
56	58.83	200.36	55.00	145.36	488.40			
61	55.21	188.01	55.00	133.01	486.83			

	Sto	orage (m³)			100+20			
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance	Overflow	Required	Balance	
0.00	488.55	148.16	346.56	0.00	0.00	619.92	125.20 40.91	
			overflows to:	Street				

Drainage Area	Area Tributa	ry to СВИН140						
Area (Ha)	0.19	9						
C =	0.58	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	_/s)=	4.50				
		100-Year Pondin	ng			100-Y	ear +20% Pc	nding
T _c Variable	i _{100yr}	Peak Flow Qp=2.78xCi _{100yr} A	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume 100yr	100YRQp 20%	Qp - Qr	Volume 100+20
(min)	(mm/hour)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
46	67.96	20.80	4.50	16.30	44.99	1		
51	63.03	19.29	4.50	14.79	45.27	1		
56	58.83	18.01	4.50	13.51	45.39	21.61	17.11	57.49
61	55.21	16.90	4.50	12.40	45.38	1		
66	52 OF	15.02	4.50	11 //2	45.26	1		

	Sto	orage (m ³)				100+20	
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance	Overflow	Required	Balance
0.00	45.39	67.70	0	0.00	0.00	57.49	0.00
			overflows to:	Street			

Drainage Area	/ to CBMH106								
Area (Ha)	1.424				ICD Flow Rate				
C =	0.90	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	./s)=	55.00	110.00				
2-Year Ponding									
T _c Variable (min)	i _{2yr} (mm/hour)	Peak Flow $Q_p = 2.78xCi_{2yr}A$ (L/s)	Q, (L/s)	Q _p -Q _r (L/s)	Volume 2yr (m³)				
24	46.37	165.27	55.00	110.27	158.79				
25	45.17	160.97	55.00	105.97	158.95				
26	44.03	156.91	55.00	101.91	158.97				
27	42.95	153.07	55.00	98.07	158.87				
28	41.93	149.43	55.00	94.43	158.65				

		Storage (m3)		
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance	
0.00	158.97	148.16	346.56	0.00	

overflows to: Street

Drainage Area	/ to CBMH140								
Area (Ha)	0.190								
C = 0.90 Restricted Flow Q_r (L/s)= 4.50									
	2-Year Ponding								
T _c Variable	i _{2yr}	Peak Flow $Q_p = 2.78 \times Ci_{2yr} A$ (L/s)	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume 2yr (m³)				
(min) 40	(mm/hour) 32.86	(L/S) 15.62	(L/s) 4.50	(L/s)	26.70				
41	32.30	15.35	4.50	10.85	26.70				
42	31.76	15.10	4.50	10.60	26.70				
43	31.23	14.85	4.50	10.35	26.69				
44	30.73	14.61	4.50	10.11	26.68				

		Storage (m3)	
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance
0.00	26.70	67.70	0	0.00

overflows to: Street

https://ibigroup.sharepoint.com/sites/Projects1/135470/internal Documents/6.0_Technical/6.04_Civil/04_Design-Analysis/Submission #3 Revised UG Chamber/CCS_swm_2023-07-19

1 of 1



IBI GROUP 500-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com
 PROJECT:
 75 Michael Cowpland

 DATE:
 2023-07-19

 FILE:
 135470.6.04

 REV #:

 DESIGNED BY:
 WZ

 CHECKED BY:
 RM

UNDERGROUND STORAGE CALCULATIONS - 60 Denzil Doyle

Pipe Storage		AII			
From	То	Length	Diameter	X-sec Area	Volume
CBMH101	MH102	18.97	300	0.071	1.34
MH102	CBMH103	20.22	300	0.071	1.43
CBMH103	CBMH104	21.65	300	0.071	1.53
CBMH104	CBMH105	42.11	375	0.110	4.65
MH111	MH112	48.29	300	0.071	3.41
MH110	MH112	83.04	300	0.071	5.87
MH112	MH113	26.21	300	0.071	1.85
MH113	MH114	17.52	375	0.110	1.93
MH114	CBMH121	19.04	375	0.110	2.10
CBMH120	CBMH121	58.70	300	0.071	4.15
CBMH121	CBMH115	43.35	525	0.216	9.38
CBMH115	CBMH105	39.24	525	0.216	8.49
CBMH105	CBMH106	30.91	600	0.283	8.74
				Total	54.89

Structure Stora	ge					
	Base	Тор	Height	diameter	X-sec Area	Volume
CBMH101	99.463	102.05	2.59	1200	1.131	2.93
MH102	99.367	102.05	2.68	1200	1.131	3.03
CBMH103	99.236	102.05	2.81	1200	1.131	3.18
CBMH104	99.141	102.05	2.91	1200	1.131	3.29
MH111	99.670	102.05	2.38	1200	1.131	2.69
MH110	99.791	102.05	2.26	1200	1.131	2.55
MH112	99.441	102.05	2.61	1200	1.131	2.95
MH113	99.329	102.05	2.72	1200	1.440	3.92
MH114	99.265	102.05	2.78	1200	1.440	4.01
CBMH120	99.383	102.05	2.67	1200	1.440	3.84
CBMH121	99.157	102.05	2.89	1200	1.440	4.17
CBMH115	99.058	102.05	2.99	1200	1.440	4.31
CBMH105	98.975	102.05	3.07	1500	2.250	6.92
CBMH106	98.899	102.05	3.15	1200	1.440	4.54
					T-4-1	50.00
					Total	52.33

TOTAL AREA AII 107.22



IBI GROUP 400-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com
 PROJECT:
 75 Michael Cowpland

 DATE:
 2023-07-19

 FILE:
 135470 - 6.04.04

 REV #:

 DESIGNED BY:
 WZ

 CHECKED BY:
 RM

ORIFICE SIZING

Orifice coefficients							
Cv =	0.60						

							inec	oreticai	ĸ	ecommenaea
	Invert	Diameter	Centre ICD	Max. Pond Elevation	Hydraulic Slope	Target Flow	Orifice	Actual Flow	Orifice	Actual Flow
	(m)	(mm)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	(m)	(I/s)	(m)	(I/s)
CBMH106	98.900	825	99.313	102.05	2.738	110.0	0.158	110.00	0.158	110.0
CBMH140	98.885	300	99.035	101.95	2.915	4.5	0.031	4.50	0.032	4.5
						114.50				114.50



IBI Group

333 Preston Street - Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario

K1S 5N4

PROJECT: 75 Michael Cowpland

City of Ottawa

DEVELOPER: Huntington Properties

JOB #: 135470 - 6.04.04

DATE: 2023-07-19

DESIGN: WZ

FLOW EVALUATION:

Manning's Formula City of Ottawa sewer design guidelines 6.4.1

Q cap = $1000 * (A * R^2/^3 * S^1/2) / n$

Flow Calculations:

Drainage Area	Overall Site except Landscaped Area
Depth	0.01 m
Grade	2 %
Roughness:	0.013 Asphalt
Parameters	
Area	0.081 sq.m
Wetted Per.	8.519 m
Hydr. Radius	0.010
Q = (1/N)(A)(R^0.66)(S^0.5)	
Q _{CAPACITY} =	40.91 l/s
Target Release rate =	40.91 l/s

Overflow to west site entrance

Dimensions Used for Area

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Width} & 8.5 \text{ m} \\ \text{Depth} & 0.01 \text{ m} \\ \end{array}$

PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD

Product Description

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD's are designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 5 preset flow curves, these ICDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

Product Function

TEMPEST HF (High Flow): designed to manage moderate to higher flows 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevent the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter and has been designed to limit head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.



TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump: The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these applications,

the HF Sump is offered. The HF Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.

TEMPEST MHF (Medium to High Flow):

The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.



Product Construction

The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.8 Kg (14.6 lbs).

Product Applications


The HF and MHF ICD's are available to accommodate both square and round applications:




Square Application

Round Application














The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:







Round Catch Basin

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT CITY OF KANATA KANATA SOUTH BUSINESS PARK

Prepared By: A.J. Robinson & Associates Inc. February 1986

, si

INTRODUCTION

The Kanata South Business Park is a 38.5 ha tract of land currently designated by the Regional Offical Plan for industrial use. The site is located within the City of Kanata and is bounded by Eagleson Road on the east, old Hazeldean Road on the west, the C.P. Railway on the north and O.H.E.C. Right-of-Way on the south.

This property, including approximately 80 ha to the south, has been the subject of previous development plans by the Township of Goulbourn and most recently by Oceatain Properties. The City of Kanata has purchased the land described above and intends to develop a high class light industrial/business park, complete with storm and sanitary sewers, water and curbed roadways.

The purpose of this report is to present for review and approval, the proposed stormwater management design analysis, conclusions and proposed design criteria. Both quality and quantity aspects are addressed.

EXISTING DRAINAGE/PREDEVELOPMENT FLOWS

The existing drainage for the site is shown on the grading and drainage plan (Drawing No. 8555-GI). The total site drainage area of 43 ha includes a portion of Eagleson Road from the railway to the south side of the hydro property. It is noted that a portion of the railway right-of-way and lands to the north, drain along the north boundary to the existing roadside ditch on old Hazeldean Road. Since this drainage pattern will not be altered and does not drain through the site, this area has been excluded from the analysis.

As the topography indicates drainage is generally from east to west to defined ditches which drain to the south into Monahan Creek, a municipal drain, and then into the Jock River.

The predevelopment flow conditions were modelled using OTTHYMO with the design storms and CN values being established from

previous hydrological studies (refer to Bibliography). Figure 1 shows the breakdown of drainage sub-basins for the predevelopment flow analysis resulting in the following calculated peak flow rates, off the Business Park, at the soutwest corner:

5 years - $1.64 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ 100 years - $3.40 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$

The computer runs for the modelled system are attached as Appendix 1.

POST DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

The City of Kanata has established stormwater management design criterion which stipulate that on an overall site basis, the 5 year and 100 year post development peak release rates must not exceed the predevelopment peak flow rate for the corresponding return period.

With these criteria in mind, it was decided to analyse the following scenarios for stormwater management for the proposed development:

- (1) 5 year post development storm sewer system with stormwater management pond sized for 5 and 100 year storm run-off.
- (2) Retention of 5 year run-off to predevelopment levels on individual lots, 5 year predevelopment flow sizing of storm sewers and stormwater management pond sized for the differences between the 5 and 100 year volume.
- (3) On-lot retention to 5 and 100 year predevelopment flow rates, and 5 year predevelopment flow sizing of storm sewers.

Due to the type of analysis requried for post development scenarios, the computer model OTTSWMM was used to evaluate the three alternatives described. The first scenario was very quickly rejected due to the extremely large storm sewers required (max size 2000 mm), the large volume of storage required (6900 m^3) and the corresponding area of land necessary to construct the pond (1.3 ha). The first alternative was simply not cost effective.

The OTTSWMM model simulated the 5 year event with each lot controlling the 5 year run-off in parking lots draining via controlled outlets to the storm sewer. During the 100 year event the excess run-off, beyond the 5 year, overflowed to the major system and was conveyed via swales and roadways to the proposed pond, located in the southwest corner of the site. The additional storage volume required to satisfy the 100 year release rate for the Business Park is approximately 3200 m³. In reviewing this option, several things became evident:

- (i) Due to a calculated 100 year flood level of Monahan Creek of 95.4 m and a corresponding maximum design water level for the proposed pond of 95.4 the effectiveness of a pond to control run-off and eliminate flooding was questionable.
- (ii) The construction of the pond and outlets necessitated considerable grading in a peat bog and caused approximately 0.66 ha of saleable land to be eliminated from the park.
- (iii) Since on-site controls for the 5 year release rate were being considered anyway, it was felt that the additional storage and controls to handle the 100 year flows were not that much more restrictive. It was felt that the 3200 m³ required for the pond could simply be distributed over the developed acreage of the park resulting in approximately 100 m³/ha additional storage volume.

Based on the third scenario the off-lot release rates, to maintain predevelopment flow rates at the outlet, were determined

to be the following:

5 year - 35.8 1/s/ha 100 years - 74.2 1/s/ha

It is noted that the storage volumes determined by OTTSWMM were based on a 73% imperviousness rate. Individual lots when developed will vary in coverage and imperviousness, thus actual storage volumes required, to maintain the stipulated release rates, will vary.

Typical minimum size lots (0.4 ha) were evaluated to confirm that this proposal was practical and relatively easily attainable.

Based on this analysis, the third scenario is proposed for the stormwater management control for this development.

WATER QUALITY

With the proposed quantity control measures in mind, the water quality aspects of the development were addressed with the following conclusions being presented:

- (1) The light industrial/business park type industries are considered to produce a relatively low level of pollutants.
- (2) The development is in the upper reaches of a large watershed draining to the Rideau River. The outlet from the site is to a municipal drain which is running at a very flat grade, thereby, presenting ample opportunity for pollutants to settle out.
- (3) On-site control of stormwater by parking lot and possibly roof top storage will result in a reduction of pollutant loadings.
- (4) Laboratory and field observations, indicate that installation of an orifice in the outlet of a

catchbasin with a sump, has brought about a greater retention of grit and other solids after a storm event than observed with a conventional storm sewer outlet. The constricted release of flow from the orifice causes stormwater to backup in the catchbasin thereby keeping the turbulent zone of the water away from the sump and also reducing velocities in the catchbasin. These actions facilitate settling of suspended solids into the sump.

Based on the above, it is felt that the proposed quantity control measures will also serve to ensure that the proposed development will not unduly effect the quality of water flowing from the site into Monahan Creek and thus to the Rideau River.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN CRITERIA

The following design criteria are proposed for the overall stormwater management for the Business Park:

- 1. Individual lot developers will be required to provide on lot grading and drainage controls to control site drainage to predevelopment release rates for both the 5 year and 100 year storm events.
- 2. The maximum off-lot release rates, on an area basis, will not exceed the following:

5 year release rate - 35.8 l/s/ha 100 year release rate - 74.2 l/s/ha

- 3. Lot grading and drainage controls will generally be up to the developer, however, the design and construction will require approval and certification from the City of Kanata.
- 4. Control of stormwater release off-site into the pipe network shall be with an orifice fixed to the outlet pipe of the catchbasin/manhole.

- 5. The minimum orifice size shall be 4700 mm². The depth of ponding of water over the orifice must be designed accordingly to meet the maximum allowable release rate and minimum orifice size.
- 6. The minimum cover of backfill over the orifice shall be 1.4 metres. Certain lots may require the orifice to be placed in a separate manhole located away form the low point in the parking lot.
- 7. All parking lot catchbasins/manholes shall contain sumps and will require regular maintenance. Sumps may have to be cleaned out more often than a conventional parking lot drainage network.
- 8. The storm sewer system will be designed by the rational method using an average run-off co-efficient of 0.25 for developed areas. It is noted that the peak run-off from the storm sewer system calculated using this criterion is 1.80 m³/s comparing to 1.64 m³/s calculated using the OTTHYMO model.

MONAHAN CREEK

As shown on Drawing Nos. 8555-10 and 8555-11 it is proposed that the storm sewer system for the site will outlet to an open ditch running west along the Hydro lands and then southerly to Monahan Drain. Based on minimum cover requirements for the storm sewer and to minimize fill required within the development, the outlet grade of the ditch at Monahan Creek is proposed to be set at 93.52. The existing grade at this point of 94.4. Thus, to obtain outlet for the site, it will be necessary to deepen Monahan Creek from the point of outlet to the existing, and relatively new, culvert at Eagleson Road.

The proposed and existing grade of the deepened drain is shown on Drawing No. 8555-11. The grade up to Fernbank Road corresponds to the proposed grade presented in the Seto-Walt Report. At Fernbank Road, it is proposed to lower the existing culvert to

the new design grade. Based on a peak 25 year flow, calculated by Seto-Walt, of $4.36~\text{m}^3/\text{s}$, the existing 1.47~m culvert is slightly undersized with a capacity of $3.88~\text{m}^3/\text{s}$. If the culvert is damaged or is not suitable for reinstallation, it would be replaced with a 1.65~m culvert, to achieve free flow under the 25 year storm event. As part of the final design, we propose to review the flow calculation to confirm the above proposal.

It is noted that work on the drain, downstream of Eagleson Road, has been undertaken in the recent past and that the culvert at Eagleson Road has been replaced with a 1.95 m x 4.4 m concrete box culvert with a capacity of 18.9 m³/s. With this in mind and considering the fact that flooding levels suggested by the Seto-Walt Report are lower when the culvert structures are removed (refer to Figures 4-7b and 4-8b of that report), along with the proposal to outlet the development to the drain at predevelopment levels, it is felt that the downstream effects of channelization of the drain will be minimal, if any.

It is proposed that, since Monahan Creek is a municipal drain, the work will be carried out under Seciton 77 of the Drainage Act, whereby certain works (lowering and widening included) may be authorized and carried out by the drainage superintendent of the municipality without petitioning and without the necessity of an Engineer's Report.

FLOOD CONTROLS

In recognition of the maximum 100 year flood level of 95.4 established by the Kostuch Report, it is proposed that all roads and buildings will be kept at least 0.3 m above this elevation and that property owners will be advised accordingly. Seto-Walt calculated a maximum flood level of 96.0, however, since the Kostuch study utilized more sophisticated routing techniques and the topographical mapping is more accurate and comparable to current mapping, it is felt that the 95.4 m level is more representative.

The potential storage volume on the site below the 95.4 contour

level is limited to the southwest corner of the site and is estimated at 7000 m^3 . The additional volume of storage created by the excavation of the outlet ditch to Monahan Creek is approximately 7500 m^3 . Thus, the total volume of available storage is approximately the same after development.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Flood Risk Mapping of Kizell Drain and Shirley's Brook.
 A.J. Robinson and Associates Inc., May 1985.
- Sawmill Creek Water Quality and Quantity Study.
 A.J. Robinson and Associates Inc., July 1984.
- 3) Hazeldean South Industrial Park Monahan Drain Drainage Study. R.M. Kostuch Associates Ltd., July 1976.
- 4) Flood and Erosion Study of the Monahan Creek Drainage Basin. Seto-Walt and Associates Ltd., April 1975.

APPENDIX I

OTTHYMO RUNS

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA HYDROLOGIC MODEL OTTHYNO (VERSION 1.0) NOVEMBER 1982		**		
THYMO (VERSION NOVEMBER 1982	100 田田 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	i O		0 0 6 7
TTHYMO (VERSION NOVEMBER 1982				
косемвея 1982		THYND (VERSIO	. 0 .	
	The same of the sa			
			Cd Cd	
中教育中央永安部院会次高安部的公司共享的最高的人,并并没有农民之代表中的政治、中国大学、中国大学、中国大学、中国大学、中国大学、中国大学、中国大学、中国大学	水水水水水水水水水水水	下少分分数放在 京京京教教長 學宗本教教教教教教教教教教教教教教	如称我亦亦亦亦亦亦亦亦如亦亦如亦如如如	本水香水水水香水水水水水水水水

THIS NODEL IS THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA VERSION OF THE HYDROLOGIC MODEL (HYMO) DEVELOPED BY J. R. WILLIAMS AND R. W. HANN (1973) OF THE U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE. IT HAS THREE NEW SUBROUTINES, URBHYD, KINRTE, AND MASHYD, AND HAS BEEN MODIFIED SUCH THAT IT CAN BE USED IN BOTH ENGLISH AND NETRIC UNITS.

DOCUMENTATION FOR THE MODEL CAN BE FOUND IN THE IMPSWM URBAN DRAINAGE MODELLING PROCEDURES MANAGENEW!) PROGRAM AT THE DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA. THE THE MODEL WAS DEVELOPED IN THE FRAME OF THE IMPSWM (IMPLEMENTATION OF STORMWATER (3851)

4.6 THE DETAILS ABOUT THE COPYRIGHT AND DISCLAIMER CAN BE FOUND BETWEEN LINES 22 AND

IN THE LISTING THE USER AGREES TO RESPECT THE COPYRIGHT AND THE DISCLAIMER. THE ENGLISH UNITS OPTION HAS BEEN SPECIFIED

* KANATA SOUTH INDUSTRIAL PARK
* PREDEVELOPMENT FLOWS
* 5 YR 12 HR SCS TYPE
* STORM DISTRIBUTION

*
START
START
COMPUTE HYD
AMAGG O ABYO
ALL ST

KAINFALL STARTS AT 0.0 HRS 1D=1 HYD NU=100 DT=0.100 DA=5.48 AA=0.0 AE=0.0 CN==93 1A=9.165 N=0.224 TP=0.189 N1=120 Ĉij.

							000 000 000 000	300 000 000 000	24, 500 0, 00 24, 600 0, 00 24, 700 0, 00	900 900 000	. 100 . 200 . 300 . 00	25. 400 0. 00 25. 500 0. 00 25. 500 0. 00
e describe a secondario de la companio del companio del companio de la companio del companio del companio de la companio del comp				-		¥0.1F	0 CF 0	000	0.00	00 00 00 00 00 0	0000 0000	000
· opining/maps in the passes we account of					5. 900 HRS		18. 000 18. 100 18. 100		18, 500 18, 600 18, 700	i		19. 400 19. 500 19. 600
A THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO			*		(1)ME TO PEAK = 1CIENT 0.64	FLOW	ll		0,03			!
*		M D C	0799 0749 0799 0400 0400	্ৰ'ব'	7,96 1,277 INCHES TIME T VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT DORAPH FROM AREA 100		Σ.		12, 500 12, 600 12, 700			13. 400 13. 500 13. 600
	0799 0799 0799 0799 0799 0799 0799 1199 11	23.98 135.97 11.99 11.99	744 . 07470 744 . 0740 740 . 0400 740 . 040	1	Blate H	11014	ស្ត ស្ត្រី ស្ត្រី	ក្នុង ស្រួស ស្រួស	Time Book of the Control of the Cont	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0, 83 0, 80 0, 77
0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400	0799 0799 1199 1599	2398 2398 7986 1.798 3597 3597 1599 1599 1199 1199	0799 0799 0400 0400	0400 0400 0400 0400 996.	APH CD-ONDINATES RY CPS RUNDEF 998 INCHES RUNDE HY	And the second s	8 6 6 8 0 4 4 4	1 2 4 4 1 2 6 6 1 2 6 6 1 3 4 4	600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	4.0	7 200 7 200 7,300	4.5
		, r-i		1	HE UNIT HYDROGRAPH C THARGE = 5.67 C INFALL = 1.495	FICK	တွင် မော်တိုင် ပြောတိုင်		00.00	00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°		00.00
a comment of the comm			The control of the co	SHAPE CON UNIT PEAK	SOM OF THE UNIT PEAL DISCHARGE TOTAL RAINFALL	ZH11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0.550 505.00 505.00 505.00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1, 100 1, 200 1, 300	1, 400 1, 500 1, 600
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	:		0	de angele de la la composition de de la composition de de la composition de de la composition de de la composition della composition de la composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della				200 a 100 a		

									,		
		00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.	and the second of the second o	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	15. 900 16. 100 16. 200 16. 200 16. 300 16. 800 16. 800 16. 800		22. 800 22. 700 22. 700 22. 700 22. 800 22. 800		27, 400 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 500 28, 500 28, 500 28, 700 28, 700		
	6, 000 6, 100 6,	4 0 0 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.000 11.100 11.300 11.300 11.500 11.500 11.900 11.900 11.900	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	17. 000 17. 100 17. 200 17. 300 17. 400 17. 500 17. 700 17. 900				l		
ADD.	HYD UTE F	FLOW - HYD -	=6 HYD NO=520 1D=6 HYL =2 HYD NO=104 =0.0 AB=0.0 =120 IN CODE=-1 =160 =165	D I=1 II	11=5 RUNDFF VOLUME 1D I=1 DA=41.5 7 TP=0.268	= 1D 11=5	1 NCHES				
PR	SUM D PEAK TOTAL INT HYD	WAGE =	HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDI 19.80 CFS F 1.998 INCHES	-ORDINATES = 9.72. S RUNGFF = 0.72. NCHES RUNGFF VOLUME' HYDROGRAPH	99 TRI(IME TO PEAK = CIENT 0.36	4. 000 HRS	Andrews (Market Control of the Contr	milita de mar marcillo de ante esperim deportable a article.	And applications of state of the state of th	
	11Mm HRS 0, 000 0, 100 0, 200 0, 500 0, 500 0, 500 0, 500	7.000000000000000000000000000000000000	11	FLOW CFS 19.86 18.42 16.00 13.74 10.50 8.98 6.76 7.70	TIME HRS 12, 000 12, 100 12, 200 12, 500 12, 600 12, 600	FLOW CFS 1.02 0.86 0.54 0.34 0.24	TIME HRS 18. 000 18. 200 18. 200 18. 300 18. 500 18. 500 18. 700 18. 800	FLOW CFS 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	T1ME HRS 24, 000 24, 100 24, 200 24, 400 24, 500 24, 600 24, 600	FLDW CFS 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	

									ម បា ប	ů.
0.900			6,14						ì	
1,000	90.0		100 PE							
88			-							
1,000							- 2		3	***************************************
1.400										
1. 800 1. 800										
1,700										
1. 800 600 600										
1		. 2	. 1 .				3		1 .	
			,							
- 5	- 2		٠,				Ť		٠.	
							- 7		. 3	-
0000	6 C	9,600	ni -	19, 600	0.00 0.01	21.600	0 0	27, 600	0 0 0 0	
							A .		1 .	
		e: ı								
		50			4 -					
				0						
- 1	- i	50		ai -ai			- (-		. 1 .	
		io		νÕ.						
	-	σĸ		-0 -4						
		5 5	7 1 04 	o io						
		િં		·0.	. 1		- 1			
	4 .	y-4		r = 1						
			1	1						
				. 1						
			다 : 	151						
	+ 1		1.14	√ir	• 1				4 1 .	-
	> 0 0 0 0 0	11, 600) [ii							
		11.800	CH T	1			-			
900	10 1	11,900	1.1	r-	•					
ナーラー	VULUME P	ET TECHNO								

മ

202				13.800		14, 800		מסכל מסכל	_
1, 900	8 6			13, 900		19, 900		25, 900	
200	٠.			14,000		20,000		26.000	
27, 100	0.00			14, 100		20, 100		26.100	
COM 13				14, 200		20. 200		24, 200	
2. 250	١.			14, 300		20,300		26, 300	_
004 400						20, 400		26. 400	
00g						20, 500		26. 500	_
009						20. 600		26. 600	-
200						20, 700		26. 700	
000 N						20.800		26.800	
2, 900	1 .	1		٠.		20, 900	i .	26.900	
3,000						21.000		27.000	
3, 100						21.100		27, 100	
0000						21, 200		27.200	
000 000 000						21.300		27, 300	
004 B						21.400		27. 400	_
3.800	1 -	3 .				21,500		27.500	
00%						21,600		27. 600	_
1007						21, 700		27, 700	
00%						21.800		27.800	
003						21, 900		27.900	
000 a						22, 000		28. 000	
4 100						22, 100	5 .	28, 100	Ι.
000 4						22, 200		28, 200	_
4, 300						22, 300		28.300	
00 4 S						22, 400		26, 400	
4,500						22, 500		28.500	
4, 500						22, 600		28. 600	1
1000	٠,					22, 700		28. 700	
0000						22, 800		28, 800	
4.900						22, 900		28, 900	
0 0 0 8		·				23, 000		29.000	-
000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00		***				23, 100		29.100	
(0) (0) (1)		. ,				23, 200		29, 200	- 1
1000	٠. ٠	į.,		, .		PJ, 300	ł.	29, 300	١.
12 P	္ခြင္း	11, 400	0, 21	17, 400	00.0	23, 400	00.0	29, 400	00.00
00m		4000				23, 500		000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	
000		~		-		23, 600		27, 600	
00/F W						20.700		ľ.•-	
1000 in				17, 800		53, 800		29. BOO	- 4
100 S	1 -	11, 900		17. 500		23, 900	٠.	G.	

FUTE HYD 1D=2 HYD NG=101 DT=0.100 DA=2.38
AA=0.0 AB=0.0
CN*=93 1A=0.185 K=0.141 TP=0.119
NI=120
RAIN CDDE=-1
SHAPE CONSTANT, N = 2.996
UH11 PEAK = 8.800FS COMPUTE HYD

ក ខ្មុំ ខ្មុំ

> 006 ம் ŧ PEAK O. 63 HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 9.78
>
> 2.94 CFS RUNOFF = 1.254 INCHES TIME TO 1.998 INCHES RUNOFF VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT SUM OF THE UNIT PERM INSCHARGE = TOTAL RAINFALL = PRINT HYD

S ₹ HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 101

188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 189. 1

10.500 0.09 16.500 0.00 10.500 0.00 10.500 0.00 0.00 10.500 0.00 0.	ng UL	500 0.00 28.500 0.00 600 0.00 28.500 0.00 700 0.00 28.500 0.00 800 0.00 28.700 0.00 900 0.00 28.700 0.00 100 0.00 29.700 0.00 200 0.00 29.700 0.00 200 0.00 29.300 0.00 400 0.00 29.500 0.00 700 0.00 29.500 0.00 700 0.00 29.500 0.00 700 0.00 29.500 0.00 700 0.00 0.00 0.00 700 0.00 0.00 0.00 700 0.00 0.00 0.00	800 0 00 00 53, 800 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	TIME FLOW TIME FLOW HRS CFS HRS CFS CFS OO 0.00 Z4.100 0.00 Z4.200 0.00 Z4.300 0.00 Z4.300 0.00 Z4.500
10.500 0.09 10.500 0.09 10.500 0.09 10.500 0.09 11.200 0.09 11.1000 0.09 11.200 0.09 11.500 0.09			1=2 1. 270 1NCHE	PEAK = 0.36 = 0.05
10.500 10.500 10.500 11.000 11.000 11.000 11.500 11.500 11.500 11.500 11.500 11.500 11.700 11.500 11.700 11.800		099 116. 099 116. 099 117. 099 117. 099 117. 099 117.	1D 11=2 1D 11=2 0 DA=5.	7 VOLUMY 7 V
	:	0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500 0.500	1.900 1.900	COLORDINATE CFS RUNOF HRS CC

-0

	And the second s	AND THE RESIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPE									
	음 :	0.00		O. 46	13. 500		19, 500		20.000		
					13, 600		19, 600				
	1 700		7, 700	0, 44	13, 700		19.700				
	000			0.44	13, 800		19, 800				
	008				13, 900		19, 900				
	200	1		0.00	14, 000		20, 000	1.			
					100		20, 100				
		3 o			14, 200		20, 200				
	0 CO				0000		20.300				
					2000 77		20,400				
	† 1				1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.		00 in				
	2000	0,00	- 1		14 600		20 700				
					14. 000 14.000		90.700				
					007.44		00.00				
					2000) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C) (C				
					14. 900		EO. 700				
					15.000		1.000				
					19, 100		21.100				-
					15.200		N1. 200				
					15.300		21.300				
					15.400		21.400				
					15.500		21.500				
					15, 600		21.600				
					15, 100		21, 700				
	200	0.01	2000	7- 0	15, 850	0.00	21.800	0, 00	27, 800	0, 00	
					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		1000				
					12. 200		22. 200				
					16.000) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i) (i				
	- 0				16. 100		100 1100 1100				
	4. 200		10, 200		16. 200		155 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150 150	4			
	4, 300				16.300		000 GG	,			1
	4 400				٠.		22, 400				
	4 500						22, 500				
	4,600						22, 600				
			10, 700				22. 700				
							22, 800				
							22. 400	- 43			
and a supplemental and a supplem		١.	١.				23,000				
	5, 100						23, 100	-			
							23, 200				
	2000						23, 300				
			11 400				23, 400				
			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				BU. 500				
	5.500	- 1	11.600		17.600		23. 600				
	5 450		11 700	0.15			23, 700				
	300 K		11.800	0.15			23, 800				
	0000	1 o	11,900	0.15			23, 900				
	ít		O. 710 INCHES	4	•						
)	T T T	4 01	2 H C							
	7	ゴーロ コン	ř	-1	and the same of the contract o	And the second s		The second secon	AND AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PR		-

ADD HYD PEAK FLOW = 1D=5 HYD NG=510 ID 1=4 ID 11=3
RUNGFF VOLUME = 1.031 INCHES
ADD HYD ID=9 HYD NG=510 ID 1=4
COMPUTE HYD ID=1 HYP NG=103 DT=0.100 DA=23.89

មា មា មា 185. K=0. AA=0.0 AB=0 0 CN*=78.6 1A=0. NI=120 RAIN CODE=-1 N = 3.007 48.790FS

(J Ö

SHAPE CONSTANT, UNIT PEAK =

HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 12,54 CFS RUNDFF = 1,990 INCHES RUNDFF

```
T
W
                                                                           100
      INCHES
                                                                            ď
      777
                                                                            PEAK
0.36
      ်
                                                                                                           FLDW 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.34 0.0 9.3
                                                                      10.00
0.725 INCHES TIME TO
VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT
             HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 105
                                                                                                          VOLUME
                                                                                                                         10FF V
1=2
3.39
                              347
          ID=3 HYD NO=530 ID 1=2 ID II=6
42.68 CFS
                                                                                                            HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 15.54 CFS RUNDFF = 1.998 INCHES RUNDFF
                                                                                                           1D=4
                                                                                                            SUN OF THE UNIT H
PEAK DISCHARGE =
TOTAL RAINFALL =
PRINT HYD
      FL DW
                                                  SHAPE CONSTANT,
UNIT PEAK =
      PEAK
ADD
HYD
                  COMPUTE
 ADD HYD
```

e 0.e	0000	o c	00	o c	90	0	o o	o	Ф (20	0 •	9 (<u> </u>	0	0	ō c	2 O	Ģ						****	Q	Š ć	\$ \$	Š	<u>ي</u>	5	δō	ŏ	000	δō	0(
3.	0000					- 1			,		! .														ic	o c	jo	Ó	ď (ċ	o o	o e	o c	jo	Ö.
	27, 300 27, 400 27, 500 27, 600																							m c	24.000	24. 100	24, 300	24, 400	24, 500	24, 600	24. 700 24. 800	24, 900	25, 000 25, 000	N 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	25, 300
	00000	. ;				!				. ,	1 .				· • ì									FLOW) 0 0	0.00		00.0	00.00	0.00) () () ()	0.00	0 (0 () 00 00 00	00.00
	21. 300 21. 400 21. 500 21. 600	. 1				i									!				ž.		760 INCHES	men melenyddinysyddinada. An dai dai cad tame ' yn ' Cygrenydd ynn y gynt y c tham daidd		TIME TO SERVICE THE SERVICE TH	ັໝ່		o a	ioi	O)	က္ပါ	o w	ici	19,000		
	0.00	. :				:															= 0.7. ID II=3		A 540	FLOW	'nφ	4	3~ n,	3 (t)	0	00	<u> </u>		0,49	4 E	្រា
	15. 800 19. 800 19. 800	ហ្គាំ	റ് ഗ്	٠á٠	ઇ જ	i d	40 4	j	sj.	o v	· .	K	r. r	: r	: IS	7	K r	· K			I=3 RUNOFF VOLUME ID I=4		FROM ARE	TIME									13.000		
	8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	٠.					1. 04		-		44 4								CES		1D 1=4 ID 1 S NO=540	-	HYDROGRAPH	FLOW	00 CO 00 CO	in N	4	5 CC 5 CC 5 CC	30. 62	25.34	เต เต ช ช	18, 30	16.66	₩ ₩ 4. C	10 E
	9, 300 9, 400 9, 500	Pala	or or		end ()	# (5 € Č	4	: 40 ⊃ O	0.7	o c	·		- Lu t			-43	L . 1	u	0, 7 10, 7		=5 HYD NG=540 57.83 CF 10=5 HYD	-		H H H	L								7,000		*. ·
	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01				,														VOLUME = SCHARGE RAT		ID= PEAK FLOW = ADD HYD	91	•	FLOW	9 EO C	0.00	0.0°) () ()	000	0.00	0000	0, 00 0, 00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	3, 700	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	4,000	4, 100	4. 300 4. 300	4, 400	4 400	4, 700	4 4 000 000	\$ 000	5.100	5.200	000 80 80 80	D C C M M	5. 600	5,700	တ္တေ တေ လ	UNGFF FAK DI	a supplementaria de la facilita de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la comp	ADD HYD PE	PRINT HYD		TIME	2000 C	0.100	0.200	0.800	0000	0.800	0.700	0, 800 0, 900	1.000	1, 100	1.300

TRITOR

 $\vec{}$

THIS MODEL 1S THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA VERSION OF THE HYDROLOGIC MODEL (HYMO) DEVELOPED R. WILLIAMS AND R. W. HANN (1973) OF THE U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE. IT HAS THREE NEW SUBROUTINES, URBHYD, KINRTE, AND NASHYD, AND HAS BEEN MODIFIED SUCH THAT IT CAN BE USED BOTH ENGLISH AND METRIC UNITS

DOCUMENTATION FOR THE MODEL CAN BE FOUND IN THE IMPSWM URBAN DRAINAGE MODELLING PROCEDURES OF OTTAWA. THE THE MODEL WAS DEVELOPED IN THE FRAME OF THE IMPSWM (IMPLEMENTATION OF STORMWATER UNIVERSITY CIVIL ENGINEERING, MANAGEMENT) PROGRAN AT THE DEPARTMENT OF

AND THE DETAILS ABOUT THE COPYRIGHT AND DISCLAIMER CAN BE FOUND BETWEEN LINES 22

(1982).

44

IN THE LISTING, THE USER AGREES TO RESPECT THE COPYRIGHT AND THE DISCLAIMER. THE ENGLISH UNITS OPTION HAS BEEN SPECIFIED

KANATA SOUTH INDUSTRIAL PARK PREDEVELOPMENT FLOWS 100 YR 12 HR SCS TYPE STORM DISTRIBUTION

* KANATA SE * PREDEVE * 100 YR * STORM I START

RAINFALL STARTS AT 0.0 HRS 1D=1 HYD NG=100 DT=0.100 DA=5.48 AA=0.0 AB=0.0 CN*=93 1A=0.185 K=0.224 TP=0.189 N1=120

					! <i>.</i>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
				1ME 4RS 0000 1000 2000 3000 4000	500 500 700 800 900	
				7.000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000	
			5, 900 HRS	≠ ∤I ,	18, 500 18, 600 18, 700 18, 800 18, 900	19, 100 19, 200 19, 300 19, 400 19, 500 19, 500
			TIME TO PEAK = ICIENT 0.75 EA 100	FLDW 0.28 0.20 0.14 0.10 0.08	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0, 02 0, 02 0, 03 0, 01 0, 01
	ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស		9,96 113 INCHES ETRICCOEFF H FROM ARE	TIME HRS 12. 000 12. 200 12. 300 12. 400	1	13, 100 13, 200 13, 300 13, 400 13, 500 13, 600
4APH 521 . 062 521 . 062 521 . 062	1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1243 1243 1243	44888888888888888888888888888888888888	ATES = 2.3 RUNDEF = 2.3 RUNDEF VOLUM	FLDW CFS 9.40 7.38 5.69 4.68	40000-0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
(AINFALL HYET 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521	1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040	1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1242	д АРН 10. 10.	TIME HRS 6. 000 6. 200 6. 200 6. 400	6. 500 6. 600 8. 700 8. 900 7. 000	7, 100 7, 200 7, 300 7, 400 7, 500 7, 600
00000 00000	0.0000477040000404000404000404000040400004040004000404	1242 1242 1242 0621 0621 0621 0621 0621 CDNSTANT, N = 2	T HYDROG = 1 = 1D=1	- 12:1L	000000	
		SHAPE CONS	SUM OF THE UNI FEAK DISCHARGE TOTAL RAINFALL PRINT HYD	11ME HRS 0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400	0, 500 0, 600 0, 700 0, 700 1, 000	1. 200 1. 200 1. 300 1. 400 1. 500 1. 500

m

000	(C)		4		7.7.00				
) :	, e				19. 900				
c	o o	٠.			20.000 20.000			_	
o o	0 22 CO	0 0 0 0 1 0	14 200	, c	20. 200	300	26. 100 24. 200	200	
0.0	0	٠.	٠.	- 1	20, 300	.: .	· i		
0 0	0				20, 400				
0,0	ත් ට				20, 500				
0.0	10				20, 600				
0.0	oi Oi	-			20, 700				
0.0	co.	- 1			20, 800				
0.0	G			1	20, 900		ì.	1 .	
0 0	9.	4	15,000		21.000				
0.0	ф. Ф.				21, 100				
0.0	¢.				21, 200			_	
0.1	0.				21.300				
, 0	Ď				21, 400				
Ö. 1	9.		٠.	٧	21, 500	1.	÷.	ι.	
0.1	o-				21.600				
0.1	D.				21, 700				
0.1	φ.				21,800		_		
o.	9.				21.900				
0, 2	C C				22,000				
o o	7 10.				22, 100	١.		Ι.	
o o	10.	-			22, 200		_		
e O	t 10.				22, 300				
o o	10.				22. 400				
4.0	3 10.				22.500			-	
4	10.		!		22, 600		:		
O G	5 10.				22, 700				
0,6	0 10.		- 4		22.800				
♥ 0	.01				22, 900				
0, 7	1 1.				23,000				
හ ර					23, 100		_		
D. 9	***	. 1	:	- 1	23. 200				
0 	11.				23.300				
+-1 ,4					23, 400				
cri on					23, 500	-			
5.4	11.				23, 600				
7. 5	23 11 11 11				23, 700				
ው ርብ	- m		17,800		23, 800		_		
ব	11.900		17.900		23, 900	1 .	٤.	ž.	***************************************
FF VOLUME =	THE STORY INCHES								

e ge

ক

5, 900 HRS SUM OF THE UNIT HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 9,78

PEAK DISCHARGE = 5,12 CFS RUNGFF = 2,270 INCHES TIME TO PEAK
TOTAL RAINFALL = 3,104 INCHES RUNGFF VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT 0,73
PRINT HYD ID=2

HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 101

FLOW	CFS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	0, 00	00.0	00.0	0, 00	00.0	0,00	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.0	0.00		00.0		00.00	00.0	
프	HRS	24,000	24, 100	24, 200	24, 300	24.400	24.500	24, 600	24, 700	24, 800	24,900	25.000	25, 100	25, 200	23, 300	25, 400	25, 500	25, 600	25, 700	25.800	25. 900	26.000	.26. 100	26. 200	26, 300	26. 400	26, 500	25, 600	26, 700	25, 800	26. 900	27.000	27, 100	27. 200	27, 300	27, 400	27.500	27, 600	27, 700	27.800	27, 900	28, 000	28, 100	28, 200	28, 300	
F.02	00 LL.	0.00	0.00						:										-							٠	- + I																	00.00		
TIME	五年	18,000	18, 100	18, 200	18, 300	18,400	18, 500	18, 600	18.700	18.800	18,900	19,000	19, 100	19, 200	19.300	19, 400	19.500	19, 600	19, 700	19.800	19, 900	20,000	20, 100	20, 200	20.300	20, 400	20. 500	20. 600	20, 700	20.800	20.700	21.000	21, 100	21.200	21.300	21.400	21,500	21.600	21, 700	21.800	21.900	22.000	22, 100	22, 200	22, 300	
FLOW	2 8 7 2	60.0	0,05	o. og	0.02	o. 03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	00.0	0. 00	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.00	00.0	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.0	0, 00	00	0.00	Ö. 00	00 0	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.0	;
一工活	LEG		12, 100		٠	12.400	12, 500	12, 600	12, 700	12, 800	12,900	13,000	13, 100	13, 200	13,300	13, 400	13, 500	13, 600	13, 700	13.800	13, 900	14,000		14, 200		14, 400	- 1	14.600	14.700	14, 900	14, 900	15.000	15, 100	19, 200	15.300	15, 400	15, 500	15, 600	15,700	13, 800	15.900	16,000	,	16.200	16.300) ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
FLOW	0 11. 0	3.40		-i	1.69	1.60	1.27	1, 03	0	0.30	0.75	0, 66	0.39	0.54	0.51	0.49	0, 48	0, 46	O, 45	0, 44	0, 44	o, 38	0.34	0, 3공	0.31	0, 30	0, 30	0, 29	O. 29	0, 29	O. 24	O. 28	0.28	ට : ට	୍ର ଅନ୍ତ	0.28	O. 23		-			****		0, 15		
工产	or E	6,000	6, 100	4.200	8, 300	6.400	S. 500	6, 600	6, 700	6. 800	6.900	7.000	7, 100	7, 200	7, 300	7, 400	7, 500	7. 600	7,700	7, 800	7, 900	g. 000	8, 100	8.200	8, 300	8, 400	0.00	8, 600	ø. 700	9.80°	G. 400	9,000	9, 100	9, 200	9.300 9.300	9, 400	9, 500	9. 600	9. 700	9.800	9, 900		10, 100		10, 300	
FLOW	ល	0.00	0.00	Ď. 00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	00.0	00.0	0.01	0, 61	0.0	0.03	0,03	0.04	0,05	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.07	0,08	0.09	0.09	ර 13	0.14	0.15	0, 17	* * . }
포	വസ്	0.000	0, 100	0.200	0.300	0.400	0, 500	0. 600	0, 700	0.800	0. 900	1, 000	1, 100	1. 200	1, 300	1.400	1.500	1,600	1, 750	1.850	1, 900	2,000	2, 100	13.000 13.000	N. 300	2. 400	300	2, 600	થ. 700	ව. ම00	2, 900	3.000	3. 100	3. 200	3.300	3, 400	3, 500	3. 600	3, 700	3.800	3, 900	4, 000	4, 100	4, 200	4, 300	;

	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			0W FS . 00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000
700 700 900 900	29. 500 29. 500 20. 500 29. 500 29. 500 29. 700	0006			24. 200 24. 300 24. 300 24. 500 24. 500 24. 500	
		i			0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000	
	23, 000 23, 100 23, 200 23, 300 23, 400 23, 500	' 3	5. 900 HRS		18. 200 18. 300 18. 400 18. 500 19. 600	
		i ai	TIME TO PEAK =	102 FLOW CFS 0.18 0.10	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01
	17, 000 17, 100 17, 200 17, 300 17, 400 17, 500	77	. 72 . 79 INCHES	E E GG	122 200 122 300 122 400 122 500 122 600	
0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4	00000000	0.14 0.14 0.14 CFS CFS NG=500	0 14 0 17 18	HYDRUGRAPH FLOW CFS 5.99 4.12	6 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1, 43 1, 35 1, 07 1, 07 1, 07
10, 500 10, 500 10, 500 10, 600	11. 000 11. 100 11. 200 11. 300 11. 400 11. 500 11. 500	11. 000 11. 800 11. 800 11. 800 10. 270 INCHE 12. 270 INCHE 15. 57 CF 15. 57 CF	0.00 10.	TIME HRS 6, 000 6, 100		
	C O C O O O U 4 W 4 4 4 8 4 4 W 4 4 8 1 1 0 0 1 0 8 8	ARGE RATE ID=3	ANT, N = 1 RAIN ANT, N = 1 ANT HYDRC RGE = 1D=4	30 L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	to a contract of the
4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 700 000, 4, 4, 4, 000, 6,	1	900 900 UNDE	SHAPE CONSTANT UNIT PEAK = SUM OF THE UNI PEAK DISCHARGE TOTAL RAINFALL		0. 800 0. 300 0. 400 0. 500 0. 500 0. 700	

4)

					, 44 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14													-																	***************************************											
																																	000													
25, 500	-0	25.700	25,800	000 500	000 70	000	E.S. 100	28, 200	26, 300	28, 400	26. 500	26, 600	26. 700	26. BOO	26.900	000 70	27 100	27, 200	27, 300	27, 400	27, 500	27.600	27, 700	27, 800	27, 900	28,000	28, 100	28, 200	23, 300	28, 400	28, 500	28. 500	28, 700	28.800	28, 900	29, 000 11, 000	29, 100	29. 200	29.300	29.400	29, 500	29, 600	29.700	29, 800	29.900	
												(1						1					- 1	i			0,00													
					•													,						1					- 7				22, 700		7							5				
					· i							٠.						Α.											;				0.00		1							: .				
		13, 700										14, 600	14, 700	14,800	14,900	15,000	15, 100	15, 200	15, 300	15.400	15, 500	15.600	15, 700	15, 300	15, 900	16, 900	14, 100	16, 200	15, 300	16, 400	16, 500	16, 600	16, 700	16.800	18.900	17, 000	17, 100	17, 200	17, 300			17. 600		17,800	17, 900	
	- 2	-	_						-			j .						1															0 0		- 1											
																																	10, 700			11,000	11.100	11,200	11.300	11. 400	11, 500	11.600	11,700	11.800	11.900	DEMONITOR T
	00.00	00.0																															ന റ ്									4. 56			a. 31	III HAIL INO
1. 500	1.400	1.700	1.800		1							1 4						7 .						٠.				4, 200		4.400	4, 500	4. 600	4. 700	4, 300	4. 900	9, 000 	5, 100	5. 200	5.300	5, 400	5, 500	5. 600	5, 700	5, 800	5, 900	

ID II=3 ADD HYD
PEAK FLOW = 23.88 CFS RUNGFF VOLUME = ADD HYD ID=5 HYD NG=510 ID 1=4
COMPUTE HYD ID=5 HYD NG=510 ID 1=4

E S S 006 មា PEAK 0.49 9,98 1.507 INCHES TIME TO VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 216 Ö. (A) THYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 26.79 CFS RUNDFF = 3.104 INCHES RUNDFF Ö AB=0.0 AA=0.0 AB=0.0 CN*=78.6 IA=0 NI=120 RAIN CODE=-1 AB 79CFS SUM OF THE UNIT P PEAK DISCHARGE = TOTAL RAINFALL = INT HYD SHAPE CONSTANT. UNIT PEAK = 00000000000

0000									. 1						١.									7 7 7 7 7 7	o L	0 0 0 0	0 00	0.00	00.00	00.0	00.00	00.0
27.900									t												A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O			TIME	n Ž	14, 000 40 100	54. FF	000 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	24, 400	14. BOO	24, 500	24, 700
000			1						. 1															7102	OFIG	0 0 0 0 0	7 70	2000	0000	00.00	00.00	00.00
21.900																				INCHES		5.000 HRS		TIME	<u>.</u>	18, 000	o lo	က် က	ာ် ထ	i m	m	m
0.00									- i											1, 663 ID II=5	Stationary Security for the Control of Security Control of Securit	ME TO PEAK = IENT 0.49	104	A COL	C F G	1,91	1.01	a C C	0.70	. 44 44	0.33	ر ا
15,900		-0	40	ò	ú	ā	Ġ.	ō.	o r			~	7	7	7	į~.	<u>~ 1</u>			RUNOFF VOLUME = 10 IS 1	e de la companya de l	E 0.0	i.	TIME	SET.	12,000	777	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	.4 t.	1	12, 600	007 61
. छा	 	1.38	ee T						7 -		•			 		1.17		i	S CFS	D I=1 ID 1 VD=520 F=0.100 DZ K=0.317 T	and the state of t	11 11 UL.	HYDROGRAP	MO"IL	េញ	42.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00	4.5	7 C 7 C 7 C				
9, 400	10, 100			10, 400				000000000000000000000000000000000000000	. 1	;	0000		11.400	11, 500	11.500			11.900	1.507 INCHES	HYD NO=: 50. 57 10=: 60. 57 10=: 60. 67 10=: 60. 67 10=: 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60. 60.	2,001 210Fs	HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES 42.05 CFS RUNDFF 3.104 INCHES RUND		E E	C E C	6,000 4,000	00 CC	4. 200 300	0. 4	000 q	6, 600	002.3
0.87		0, 45	- 5				0.87	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	-1				S . 15		10, 55			۲.	VOLUME = ISCHARGE BATE	FLOW = ID=C AA=C CH*: NI=I	E O	NF THE UNIT HYDRO DISCHARGE = RAINFALL = ID <u>=</u> 2		FLOW	CTS	000	0.00	9 8) () ()	00.0		
3, 400		4, 200			4, 500			4. 600		000		5, 300	5, 400	5, 500	5. 500	5, 700	000 is		RUNOFF PEAK DI	ASO HYD PEAK ADD COMPUTE HYD SHAPE CONST	UNIT PEN	SUM OF TI PEAK DISA TOTAL RA RINT HYD		工工	HRS	00000	0,100	0 100 100 100) C	0 500	0.600	

:


					and the second s																					-												•										
				000						.1 .					. , ;						. ł			٠.			1 .			•		. 1					- !						. 1					
				1000 1000 1000	1 .										. i						. 1						1 .					. ?					. 1						- 3					
				00.0	1 .					.! .					- ,1						. (ż					1 .		-			. 1					. ;								٠.			
	18, 900	19,000	19, 100	19, 300	19. 400	19, 500	19, 600	19, 700	19.800	20 000	100 100 100	20, 300	20.300	20.400	20, 500	20, 600	20,700	20.800 20.800	100 400 110 400	55.5	0000	01:10	01.100	000	21.500	21, 700	21.800	21.900	22,000	22, 100	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	מייי פייי	99. 400	22, 600	22, 700	22, 800	22, 900	23, 000	23. 100	23, 200	23, 300	23, 400	33 400	1000 1000 1000	300 mm	1000 1000 1000		
				0 C	4 .								. ,		?						-)						1 .					• !					- 4						. 1					
TO 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				0000																,	,:											- 1	*															
	£.4		o i	יין ניי יין יי	1 .																											- 1													ý se	į'n	į	840
				2000				-		· i											.:								ó	ं		sļ,	s c	j c	id	o	0	<u></u>		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	+~f						1, 509 INCHES	
	Ċ.	Ö	တ် (0 0 00 0	Ö	ó	o e	o i	် င	o o	0	0	O	0	Ċ	0	3 :	o c	0 0	o c	S) (śς	ЭC	ic	Ö		Ó	Ö	Ö	o c	5 (c		· +-		<u>-</u>	4l	74	ci	લ	m I	m -	ກ່ເ	i n) f.,		OLUME =	CHARGE RATE
	0.900	1.000	1.100	1.300	1.400	1,500	. 600	1.700	000	2,000	20.100	200	2.300	2, 400	2.300	2. 600	5 700 10 700	0 00 0 00 0 0 0 0	200	9. COC	200		3, 200	000	007.00	n (200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	3, 800	3, 900	4.000	4, 100	4. 200 300	4. GCC	4, 400	4 400	4, 700	4.800	4, 900	5,000	5, 100	u. 1900	000 m	n s 004 n	000.0	000 v	88.5	000 m	MOFF	D MAS
					-					-					,												A WIN CHIEF STORY OF THE					Andrew Bred stemps														,		

					FLOW	ខ្លួ) () () ()	. 1	0.00				0.00				- 2			800								0. 00			00.00	
·					コアニ				24, 300																			26. 600			26, 900	
				and a second	FLOW	łΙ			0,01					_									٠.					4 .			8 0 0	
INCHES			6.100 HRS	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	1	O F	18, 000 18, 100		18, 300		18, 000 18, 600			. 900 000						14, 900			20.000		100 E00						20, 900	21,000
1,584 ID II=6			IME TO PEAK = CIENT 0.49	105	O.L.	Q.		4	1. 27	~~ (0 0	00	C	0(⊃ ¢	9 9 9	0.33	0.31	0 : O :	0, C 9, U 9, 4	(() () ()	0, 20	0.19	0.17	O. 10) C	. m	0, 12	0.11	0. 10	8-00 00 00	0.08
1.7	DA=38.39 TP=0.347		0.00 10 INCHES ETRICCOEFF	ī. I	III L	2007	12, 000	12 200	12, 300	004.00	10, 200	12.700	12.800	006.00	000 500			٠.	,	12, 800			14, 000	,							14,900	15, 000
ID ITE ID	or=o. 165 8 K=o. 411		INATES = RUNDEF = VC	HYDROGRAP	Ş	3 I	0) C 0) C 0) C		10.73	Çr	이 번 대 번 대 번 대 번			13.03		5 0		1.					_			\ 0 } D 8					10 i	# €
YD NG=530 90,37 CI #3 MYI	HYD ND=105 0 AB=0.0 8.6 1A=0.1;	998 CFS	HYDROGRAPH CO-GRDINATES 33.04 CFS RUNGFF 3.104 INCHES RUNG 10=4	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	TIME	HAG	6,000 +	000.0			9 5 9 5 9 5	6. 700 6. 700			7,000		7, 300			7, 600			•			000 . GOO		2	8 700			9.000 6.000
FLOW =	4620	Z	UNIT	A PART OF THE PART	301		000				0 0 0 0		i) () ()			ž.		00.00			:			o, c					6 C C C	¢. 07
	CO PUTE HYD	SMAPE CONSTANT	SUM DE THE PEAK DISCH TOTAL RAINE			on or T	0 0 0 0 0 0		0.300	_	_	9, 700		0. 900			1.300	١.	-	1.800			1 .			200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200		1 .			2.300	3, 600

0 0 0 0 0 0			1 .					Ι.								. 1									FLOW	(B)	00.00	00.00	00.0	0.00	0000		00.00	00.00	00.0	00.00	00.00	0.00
27, 300			1					,								i			19. 400 19. 400						F	SE	24,000	24, 100	24, 200	24, 300	24, 400	14. 000 14. 000	24 700	002 F0	24, 900	25,000	고등, 100	25, 200
000			1 .					1.			, ì					. i		-			Principal to the out free and the second is an independent of the adversary				30	(B)	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	000	0,00	0.00	00.0	00.00
21, 300		91.700	1					i								Ť			10 in		A CANADA CANADA A CANADA CANAD			MARTINE AND	E E	HRO	18.000	18, 100			18, 400		18, 600	18,300			19, 100	19, 200
0.07			è ~					1 .			. 1					. !					tan Para Para Para Para Para Anton Ser Status a Republic y de de Companyo y la colo que manego de la colo	- - - - -	ID 11=3	540	30 E		(0) (1)	4. 30	3.61	9.00	(i)	1.40	1.07		. co. :	0.91	0. 82	0.70
		15, 700	i .		,	,		١,					17, 100			. 1			17, 900		ومدارية والمراود ماود موه مساهمة وماهم والمساومة والمراومة والمراومة والمراومة والمساومة والمراومة والمساومة والمراومة والمراو	I = 0 = I = I = I = I = I = I = I = I =	_ <u> </u>	I	E E	1 A A L	12,000	12, 100		-			12, 600					13, 200
च (C) (,	7 th (r)	٠.			,	្រ មិញ ពីពា	: -	_							. !					OF S	0 I=4 ID I	40=540	нуряося	Ī.	(0) (1) (1)	120.04	07.				ດກ. ເຄື່ອ	0 K K O			32,98		27,78
	002 6		9. 800		0000	_		1		0.800	ol.				11.400	-)		000	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	1. 510 INCHIB		HYD NG=540	,		4	i in	9,000				6. 400		000 A			7.500		7, 200
		() () () ()					0. 53				. 5		na - 		પાં દ જે ઘ પાં દ	. 3	g s	- 4. 7. 1. 3.1 1.14.		VOLUME =	E PATE	10=5 H H H H	H CAR	C=01	30	(E)		2, 00					90.00			00° 00°		000 0
a a a a	7 289 1 7 299			,			4, 300	١.		0 0 0 0 + +	. 1	000	00 to 100	9. GOC		0000				CHOPF	1	ADD HYD PEAK		PRINT HYD	<u> [</u>	T. C.	000.0	t .	0.200				0.000				1.100	- :

.

ge 12	and the state of t										A Pro- Principle of the State o												TARREST AND THE REST OF STREET, STREET					er med de segeramelenske skiper om oktobe i skiper om oktobe en								•							•					
Œ CL	1 .				- 4	-			•		t						٠, ١						. !									00.00	1 -					- 1										
a (print) a dissiplication replaced representation of the designation	25. 400																.1						. 1					- 4					1 .					. 1										
THE MEMORY PROPERTY OF THE PRO	1 .				. '						}	-															-					00.0	٤.															
pages de la companya	19, 400										:						. :				_	_	.1	_								25																
And the second of the second o																	2.5															0 0 0	٠.						-									
Property and a second of the second and the second	13.400	13, 500		13, 750	13. 900		14,000	14, 100	14, 200	14,300	14, 400					1 4 500 0 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	J.	10, 400 10, 400 10, 400					-1					. :									17. 300	٠,		17, 400								
material states of the state of		rì	£ 5]	۲.,		o.	ir.	m.		á	មា	u i						i r		d (.i <										: 64 : 40											·i)	1	D D			
THE STATE AND A ST	7, 400	000	7. 500	02K K	7, 800	7, 950	000 000 000 000	000	0 0 0 0 0 0 0	000 000 000	8, 400	a, 500	8, 600	8.700	0 0 0 0	ට ග්ර ග්ර	20075		0000 6	5 5 5 5 7 8	, 4 0.04 0.05 0.05 0.05	9 6 F c	000		0.000	: 05 5 5	10,100	10,300	2000 2000 2000 2000	10, 400	000 O	10, 800	10.900	7-4	11. 100	, . i		- 1					\$		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Marter and Princeton Princeton Community of the Community	0, 50																															^ CO	7				g. :	ol.	ęį.		. المنت	-0 °		H E	MODE MAKE			
1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1.400																						. 3									2002										0 0 1	į		10 46 -	į	T.	





Hydroworks Sizing Summary

Private Development-OGS 1 Kanata, Ontario

06-04-2023

Recommended Size: HydroDome HD 5

A HydroDome HD 5 is recommended to provide 80 % annual TSS removal based on a drainage area of 1.61 (ha) with an imperviousness of 94.3 % and Ottawa CDA, Ontario rainfall for the 20 um to 2000 um particle size distribution.

The recommended HydroDome HD 5 treats 99 % of the annual runoff and provides 80 % annual TSS removal for the Ottawa CDA rainfall records and 20 um to 2000 um particle size distribution.


The HydroDome has a siphon which creates a discontinuity in headloss. The given peak flow of .23 (m3/s) is greater than the full pipe flow of .18 (m3/s) indicating the pipe will be surcharged during the peak flow. Full pipe flow was assumed for the headloss calculations. The pressure head in the pipe was not evaluated since this would require a hydraulic gradeline analysis. The headloss was calculated to be 399 (mm) above the crown of the 375 (mm) outlet pipe.

This summary report provides the main parameters that were used for sizing. These parameters are shown on the summary tables and graphs provided in this report.


If you have any questions regarding this sizing summary please do not hesitate to contact Hydroworks at 888-290-7900 or email us at support@hydroworks.com.

The sizing program is for sizing purposes only and does not address any site specific parameters such as hydraulic gradeline, tailwater submergence, groundwater, soils bearing capacity, etc. Headloss calculations are not a hydraulic gradeline calculation since this requires a starting water level and an analysis of the entire system downstream of the HydroDome.


TSS Removal Sizing Summary




TSS Particle Size Distribution




Rainfall Station - Ottawa CDA, Ontario(1960 To 2001)




Site Physical Characteristics




Dimensions And Capacities




Generic HD 5 CAD Drawing




TSS Buildup And Washoff



Upstream Quantity Storage




Other Parameters




Flagged Issues

If there is underground detention storage upstream of the HydroDome please contact Hydroworks to ensure it has been modeled correctly.


Hydroworks Sizing Program - Version 5.7 Copyright Hydroworks, LLC, 2022 1-800-290-7900 www.hydroworks.com






APPENDIX E

- Grading Plan Drawing C-200
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawing C-900





HUNTINGTON **PROPERTIES**

Huntington

This drawing has been prepared solely for the intended use, thus any reproduction or distribution for any purpose other than authorized by IBI Group is forbidden. Written dimensions shall have precedence over scaled dimensions. Contractors shall verify and be responsible for all dimensions and conditions on the job, and IBI Group shall be informed of any variations from the dimensions and conditions shown on the drawing. Shop drawings shall be submitted to IBI Group for general conformance before proceeding with fabrication.

IBI Group Professional Services (Canada) Inc. is a member of the IBI Group of companies

ISSUE	S	
No.	DESCRIPTION	DATE
1	ISSUED FOR CITY REVIEW	2022-12-09
2	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	2023-03-09
3	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	2023-07-07
4	REVISED PER CITY COMMENTS	2023-07-19

SEE 010, 011, 012 FOR NOTES, LEGEND, CB TABLE, STREET SECTIONS AND DETAILS



Huntington Properties A49 Architecture

Mechanical & Electrical: Goodkey, Weedmark & Associates Limited



Suite 400 – 333 Preston Street Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 / 613 241 3300 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com

PROPOSED SELF STORAGE DEVELOPMENT

75 MICHAEL COWPLAND

S.L. / D.D. PROJECT MGR:	T.R.B. APPROVED BY:
S.L. / D.D.	T.R.B.
135470 DRAWN BY:	CHECKED BY:
PROJECT NO:	

SEDIMENT - EROSION PLAN

18885 File Location

(15/12-900_SEDIMENT - EROSION PLAN. D07-12-22-0174

Š

CITY