

Geotechnical Investigation

Client:

Katasa Groupe 69 rue Jean-Proulx, Unit 301 Gatineau, QC J8Z 1W2

Attn: Chaxu Baria, Project Management Assistant

Type of Document: FINAL

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario

Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0

EXP Services Inc. 100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, Ontario K2B 8H6 t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337

Date Submitted: 2023.06.29

Table of Contents

Executi	ive Sumr	nary 1						
1.	Introdu	action 3						
2.	Site Description							
3.	Available Information							
4.	Geology of the Site							
	4.1	Surficial Geology 6						
	4.2	Bedrock Geology 6						
5.	Proced	ure7						
	5.1	Fieldwork7						
	5.2	Laboratory Testing Program7						
	5.3	Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) Survey						
6.	Subsur	face Conditions and Groundwater Levels9						
	6.1	Asphaltic Concrete Pavement Structure and Fill9						
	6.2	Silty Clay and Sandy Silt9						
	6.3	Silty Sand and Gravel Glacial Till10						
	6.4	Shale Bedrock						
	6.5	Groundwater Level Measurements 11						
7.	Site Cla	ssification for Seismic Site Response and Liquefaction Potential of Soils						
	7.1	Site Classification for Seismic Site Response12						
	7.2	Liquefaction Potential of Soils						
8.	Grade I	Raise Restrictions						
9.	Founda	tion Considerations 14						
10.	Floor S	ab and Drainage Requirements 15						
	10.1	Lowest Floor Level as a Concrete Surface15						
	10.2	Lowest Floor Level as a Paved Surface15						
11.	Lateral	Earth Pressures Against Basement Walls 17						
12.	Excavat	tions and De-Watering Requirements 19						
	12.1	Excess Soil Management						

	12.1.1	Rock Excavation	21
	12.2	De-Watering Requirements and Impact of Groundwater Lowering on Adjacent Structur	es21
13.	Pipe Be	dding Requirements	23
14.	Backfill	ing Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes	24
15.	Corrosi	on Potential	25
16.	Tree Pl	anting Restrictions	26
17.	Earthw	orks Quality Control During Construction	27
18.	Genera	l Comments	28

List of Tables

Table I: Summary of Laboratory Testing Program
Table II: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis –Granular Fill Sample
Table III: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination – Silty Clay and Sandy Silt1
Table IV: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis – Glacial Till Sample
Table V: Summary of Auger and Soil Sampler Refusal and Bedrock Depths (Elevations) in Boreholes 1
Table VI: Summary of Unconfined Compressive Strength Test Results – Bedrock Cores
Table VII: Summary of Groundwater level Measurements 1
Table VIII: Chemical Test Results – Soil and Bedrock Samples 2

List of Figures

Figure 1 –	Site Location Plan
Figures 2 –	Borehole Location Plan
Figures 3 to 7 –	Borehole Logs
Figures 8 to 11 –	Grain-size Distribution Curves

Appendices

- Appendix A: Borehole Logs from Previous Investigations
- Appendix B: Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves Survey Report by GPR
- Appendix C: Bedrock Core Photographs
- Appendix D: Laboratory Certificate of Analysis

Executive Summary

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation completed for the proposed high-rise development to be located at 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard in Ottawa, Ontario. Terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP Services Inc. (EXP) proposal OTT-23005035-A0 Rev. 1 dated May 5, 2023. Authorization to proceed with this work was provided by the Katasa Groupe on May 8, 2023 under Purchase Order (PO) No. 60-080523.

It is understood that that the site is to be redeveloped in two phases, Phase 1 consisting of a 25-storey building with 226 residential rental apartments and Phase 2 will be a 20-storey building with 199 rental apartments. The buildings will have four basement parking levels. The existing building will be demolished as part of the proposed development.

EXP understands that Phase 1 and Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) have been already carried out at the site. The ESA reports were originally carried out for commercial use and are being updated for residential use by others.

The fieldwork for this geotechnical investigation was undertaken between June 1 and June 6, 2023 and consists of five (5) boreholes (Borehole Nos. 1 to 5) advanced to auger refusal and/or termination depths ranging from 3.2 m to 15.4 m (Elevation 65.3 m to Elevation 53.1 m) below the existing grade. Thirty-two (32) mm monitoring wells, with screened sections, were installed in selected boreholes for long-term monitoring of the groundwater levels. The monitoring wells were installed in accordance with EXP standard practice, and the installation configuration is documented on the respective borehole log.

The boreholes indicate the site is underlain by an asphaltic concrete pavement structure and fill which are underlain by native deposits ranging in consistency from silty clay to sandy silt. This layer is in turn is underlain by silty sand and gravel glacial till which extends to the depth of auger refusal in all the boreholes, 3.2 m to 6.0 m depths (Elevation 65.3 m to Elevation 62.9 m). The glacial till contains boulders and cobbles. The presence of shale bedrock and its quality was proven by advancing beyond the refusal depths using washboring and core drilling techniques to the termination depth of Borehole Nos. 2 and 5, 15.4 and 15.2 m respectively (Elevation 53.5 m and Elevation 53.1 m).

The shale bedrock at the site is of the Carlsbad formation. This type of shale is prone to deterioration when exposed to the elements. It also heaves due to a complex mechanism caused in part from the bio-oxidation of the sulphides in the rock, which react with calcite seams to form expanding gypsum. This occurs when oxygen is permitted to enter the rock, usually by lowering of the water table and this process is accelerated by the presence of heat. Therefore, special treatment of the Carlsbad shale bedrock will need to be incorporated into the design and construction of the proposed buildings.

The groundwater was found to be range from 1.8 m to 2.1 m depth.

The results of the seismic shear wave survey conducted at the site are provided in the report attached in Appendix B. The survey indicates that the seismic shear wave velocity for footings placed on bedrock would be greater than 1,500 m/s. Table 4.1.8.4.A of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (as amended May 2, 20219) indicates that a seismic shear wave velocity value greater than 1,500 m/s falls within the range of velocities for site class A (V_{s30} <1,500 m/s). Therefore, the site classification for seismic response is **Class A**.

All overburden soils will be removed as part of the proposed development and therefore there is no liquefaction potential of the soils at the site during a seismic event.

From a geotechnical perspective there are no restrictions to raising the grades at the site since it is anticipated that all subsurface soils will be excavated down to the bedrock, removed from the site.

It is our understanding that the proposed buildings will each contain four (4) levels of underground parking and the footings are expected to be set at a depth of approximately 12.0 m (or deeper) below the existing ground surface, i.e., in the shale bedrock.

Spread and strip footings founded on the sound shale bedrock, competent and free of soil filled seams may be designed for a factored geotechnical resistance at Ultimate Limit State (ULS) of 1000 kPa. The factored ULS value includes a resistance factor of 0.5. The Serviceability Limit State (SLS) bearing pressure of the bedrock, required to produce 25 mm settlement of the structure will be much larger than the recommended value for factored geotechnical resistance at ULS. Therefore, the factored

geotechnical resistance at ULS will govern the design. Settlements of footing designed for the above recommended factored geotechnical resistance at ULS and properly constructed are expected to be less than 10 mm.

The lowest floor level of the parking garages for the proposed buildings, either concrete slab-on-grade or as a paved surface, will be located at an approximate 12.0 m depth below the existing grade and will be founded in the shale bedrock which is susceptible to expansion as indicated above. Therefore, special treatment and consideration must be implemented to protect the surface of the shale.

The lowest floor level for the parking garages is anticipated to be located below the groundwater level. Therefore, underfloor and perimeter drainage systems will be required for the proposed below grade parking garages. All subsurface structures should be waterproofed.

Excavation of the soils may be undertaken using heavy equipment capable of removing debris as well as cobbles, boulders and within fill or the glacial till. All excavations must be undertaken in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils on site are considered to be Type 3 and as such must be cut back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation above the groundwater level. Within zones of persistent seepage and below the groundwater level in the soils, the excavation side slopes are expected to slough and eventually stabilize at a slope of 2H:1V to 3H:1V. The excavations will extend into the shale bedrock. The excavation side slopes in the upper depths of the weathered/highly fractured zones of the bedrock may be cut back at a 1H:1V gradient. The excavation side slopes in the sound bedrock may be undertaken with near vertical sides subject to examination by a geotechnical engineer.

The excavations will extend into the shale bedrock. The excavation side slopes in the upper depths of the weathered/highly fractured zones of the bedrock may be cut back at a 1H:1V gradient. The excavation side slopes in the sound bedrock may be undertaken with near vertical sides subject to examination by a geotechnical engineer.

The exposed shale bedrock surface along all excavation walls should be shotcreted within the same day of exposure to protect the rock face from rapid deterioration due to exposure to the elements.

It is anticipated that due to proximity of existing buildings and infrastructure, the excavations will likely have to be undertaken within the confines of a shoring system. The shoring system may consist of steel H soldier pile and timber lagging system, interlocking sheeting system and/or secant pile shoring system.

The excavation of the sound shale bedrock to extensive depths below the bedrock surface is anticipated to require line drilling and blasting. Hoe ramming can be used for small quantities of rock but is a slow process. Should blasting not be permitted, the excavation of the shale bedrock would have to be undertaken by line drilling. Specialized contractors bidding on this project should decide on their own the most preferred rock removal method. The exposed shale bedrock surface along all excavation walls should be shotcreted within the same day of exposure to protect the rock face from rapid deterioration due to exposure to the elements.

The overburden soils to be excavated from the site are not considered suitable for reuse as backfill material in the interior or exterior of the buildings and it is anticipated that the majority of the material required for backfilling purposes will need to be imported and should preferably conform to OPSS 1010 (as amended by SSP110S13) for Granular B Type II.

A hydrogeological study must be completed as part of the final design to establish the quantity of water to be pumped as well as any potential influence of the construction on neighboring properties so appropriate design steps can be implemented as part of the construction and design, i.e. shoring, drainage, etc.

The above and other related considerations are discussed in greater detail in the main body of this report.

1. Introduction

EXP Services Inc. (EXP) is pleased to present the results of the geotechnical investigation completed for the proposed high-rise development to be located at 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard in Ottawa, Ontario. Terms and conditions of this assignment were outlined in EXP Services Inc. (EXP) proposal OTT-23005035-A0 Rev. 1 dated May 5, 2023. Authorization to proceed with this work was provided by Katasa Groupe on May 8, 2023 under Purchase Order (PO) No. 60-080523.

It is understood that that the site is to be redeveloped in two phases, Phase 1 consisting of a 25-storey building with 226 residential rental apartments and Phase 2 will be a 20-storey building with 199 rental apartments. The buildings will have four basement parking levels. The existing building will be demolished as part of the development.

EXP understands that Phase 1 and Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) have been already carried out at the site. The ESA reports were originally carried out for commercial use and are being updated for residential use by others.

This geotechnical investigation was undertaken to:

- a) Establish the subsurface soil, bedrock and groundwater conditions at the five (5) borehole locations;
- b) Classify the site for seismic site response in accordance with the requirements of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (as amended May 2, 2019) and assess the potential for liquefaction of the subsurface soils during a seismic event;
- c) Comment on grade-raise restrictions;
- Make recommendations regarding the most suitable type of foundations, founding depth and bearing pressure at serviceability limit state (SLS) and factored geotechnical resistance at ultimate limit state (ULS) of the founding strata and comment on the anticipated total and differential settlements of the recommended foundation type;
- e) Discuss the feasibility of constructing the lowest floor slab as a slab on grade and provide comments regarding perimeter and underfloor drainage systems;
- f) Pipe bedding requirements for the proposed underground services;
- g) Provide lateral earth pressure parameters (for static and seismic conditions) for the subsurface (basement) walls;
- h) Pavement structures for underground parking; and
- i) Comment on excavation conditions and de-watering requirements during construction;

The comments and recommendations given in this report are based on the assumption that the above-described design concepts will proceed into construction. If changes are made either in the design phase or during construction, this office must be retained to review these modifications. The result of this review may be a modification of our recommendations, or it may require additional field or laboratory work to check whether the changes are acceptable from a geotechnical viewpoint.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

2. Site Description

The Site is located on the southwest corner of the intersection of St. Laurent Boulevard and Belfast Road. The Site is rectangular in shape with an approximate area of 0.6 hectares (1.4 acres) and is currently occupied by a single-storey, single-tenant commercial building, with one basement level and an asphaltic concrete surface parking lot. The existing building has an approximate footprint of 685 m². A Site Location Plan is provided as Figure 1.

The ground surface is generally flat with elevations at the borehole locations ranging from 68.87 m to 68.32 m.

3. Available Information

The following reports with geotechnical information regarding the site were made available to EXP:

- Phase I & II Environmental Site Assessment, 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario" dated September 2021 and prepared by DST.
- Supplemental Phase Environmental Site Assessment, 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario" dated, February 2022 and prepared by DST.

As part of this investigation a total of seven boreholes, Borehole Nos. BH22-1 to BH22-3 and MW1-21 to MW4-21, were drilled on the subject site. Borehole 22-3 was drilled inside of the existing building and the remainder were drilled in the existing parking lot. A review of the borehole logs revealed the following

- Subsurface conditions include a layer of fill overlain by silty clay or silty sand which in turn is underlain by glacial till
- Possible bedrock was encountered at 6.1 m below the existing asphaltic concrete surface and inferred at 3.4 m below the existing floor slab within the building
- Sroundwater was encountered at depths ranging from 1.6 m to 1.9 m below the existing grade

MW1-21 and MW2-21 were located during the 2023 field investigation and the water levels in the monitoring wells are included in this report.

The borehole logs from this investigation are included in Appendix A and plotted on Figure 2.

4. Geology of the Site

4.1 Surficial Geology

The surficial geology was reviewed via the Google Earth applications published by the Ontario Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines available via www.mndm.gov.on.ca/en/mines-and-minerals/applications/ogsearth/surficial-geology and was last modified on May 23, 2017. The map indicates that beneath any fill the site is underlain by fine-textured glaciolacustrine deposits consisting of silt and silty clay, minor sand and gravel. The surficial deposits are shown in Image 1 below.



Fine-textured glaciolacustrine deposits: silt and silty clay, minor sand and gravel

Image 1 – Surficial Geology

4.2 Bedrock Geology

The bedrock geology was reviewed via the Google Earth applications published by the Ontario Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines available via http://www.geologyontario.mndm.gov.on.ca/mines/data/google/ MRD219/geology/doc.kml and publish in 2007. The map indicates shale and limestone of the Carlsbad formation.



Shale and Limestone of the Carlsbad Formation

Image 2 – Bedrock Geology

5. Procedure

5.1 Fieldwork

The fieldwork for this geotechnical investigation was undertaken between June 1 and June 6, 2023 and consists of five (5) boreholes (Borehole Nos. 1 to 5) advanced to auger refusal and termination depths ranging from 3.2 m to 15.4 m (Elevation 65.3 m to Elevation 53.1 m) below the existing grade.

The locations and geodetic elevations of the boreholes were surveyed by EXP. Prior to the fieldwork, the locations of the boreholes were cleared of any public and private underground services.

The boreholes were drilled using a CME-75 truck mounted drill rig equipped with continuous flight hollow stem augers and the capability to sample soil and bedrock. Standard penetration tests (SPTs) were performed in the boreholes at 0.6 m to 0.75 m depth intervals with soil samples retrieved by the split-barrel sampler. Auger samples were also taken from below the asphaltic concrete surface. The undrained shear strength of the clayey soils were measured by conducting pocket penetrometer tests. The bedrock was cored in Borehole Nos. 2 and 5 by conventional rock coring methods. A careful record of any sudden drops of the core barrel, colour of the wash water and wash water return were recorded during the rock coring operation.

Thirty-two (32) mm monitoring wells, with screened sections, were installed in selected the boreholes for long-term monitoring of the groundwater levels. The monitoring wells were installed in accordance with EXP standard practice, and the installation configuration is documented on the respective borehole log. The boreholes were backfilled upon completion of the field work and the installation of the monitoring wells.

On completion of the fieldwork, the soil and rock samples were transported to the EXP laboratory in Ottawa.

5.2 Laboratory Testing Program

The soil samples were visually examined in the laboratory by a geotechnical engineer. The soil samples were classified in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) and the modified Burmeister System (as per the 2006 Fourth Edition Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM)).

A summary of the soil laboratory testing program is shown in Table I

7

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Table I: Summary of Laboratory Testing Program						
Type of Test	Number of Tests Completed					
Soil Samples						
Moisture Content Determination	43					
Unit Weight Determination	6					
Grain Size Analysis	4					
Atterberg Limit Determination	2					
Corrosion Analysis of soil (pH, sulphate, chloride and resistivity)	1					
Bedrock Cores						
Unit Weight Determination	3					
Unconfined Compressive Strength Test	3					
Corrosion Analysis of rock (pH, sulphate, chloride and resistivity)	1					

5.3 Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW) Survey

A seismic shear wave survey of the site was undertaken by Geophysics GPR International Inc. (GPR) on June 14, 2023. The purpose of the survey is to determine the seismic shear wave velocity of the site from the existing ground surface to 30.0 m depth and based on the results of the survey, provide the classification of the site for seismic response. The location of the seismic survey line is shown in Figure No. 2. The seismic shear wave survey report is attached in Appendix B.

6. Subsurface Conditions and Groundwater Levels

A detailed description of the subsurface conditions and groundwater levels from this geotechnical investigation are given on the attached Borehole Logs, Figure Nos. 3 to 7 inclusive. The borehole logs and related information depict subsurface conditions only at the specific locations and times indicated. Subsurface conditions and water levels at other locations may differ from conditions at the locations where sampling was conducted. The passage of time also may result in changes in the conditions interpreted to exist at the locations where sampling was conducted.

Boreholes were drilled to provide representation of subsurface conditions as part of a geotechnical exploration program and are not intended to provide evidence of potential environmental conditions.

It should be noted that the soil and rock boundaries indicated on the borehole logs are inferred from non-continuous sampling and observations during drilling operations. These boundaries are intended to reflect approximate transition zones for the purpose of geotechnical design and should not be interpreted as exact planes of geological change. The "Note on Sample Descriptions" preceding the borehole logs form an integral part of this report and should be read in conjunction with this report.

A review of the borehole logs indicates the following subsurface conditions with depth and groundwater levels.

6.1 Asphaltic Concrete Pavement Structure and Fill

The pavement structure encountered in the boreholes consists of a 25 mm to 50 mm thick surficial asphaltic concrete layer underlain by a 310 mm to 850 mm thick granular fill layer that extends to depths ranging from 0.36 m to 0.9 m below the existing grade (Elevation 68.2 m to Elevation 67.6 m). The granular fill layer generally consists of sand and crushed gravel. Based on SPT N-values of 15 to 31 the granular fill is in a compact to dense state. The moisture content of the granular fill ranges from 1 percent to 4 percent.

The results from the grain-size analysis conducted on one (1) sample of the granular fill are summarized in Table II. The grain-size distribution curve is shown in Figure 8.

Table II: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis – Granular Fill Sample							
Borehole No. (BH) –	Denth (m)	Grain-Size Analysis (%)					
Auger Sample No. (AS)	Depth (m)	Gravel	Sand	Fines	Soil Classification (USCS)		
BH3 AS1	0.05 – 0.2	55	37	8	Poorly Graded Gravel with Silt and Sand (GP-GM)		

Based on a review of the results of the grain-size analysis the fill may be classified a Poorly Graded Gravel with Silt and Sand (GP-GM) in accordance with the USCS.

The asphaltic concrete pavement structure is underlain by fill in all the boreholes (except in Borehole No. 4) which extends to depths of 1.1 m to 1.2 m (Elevation 67.8 m to Elevation 67.2 m) and generally consists of silty sand with gravel. The SPT N-values of 15 to 26 indicates a compact state. The moisture content of the fill ranges from 3 percent to 17 percent.

6.2 Silty Clay and Sandy Silt

A layer of native material which varied in consistency from silty clay to sandy silt was encountered below the fill in all the boreholes. The silty clay/sandy silt extends to depths of 2.2 m to 4.1 m (Elevation 66.3 m to Elevation 64.5 m). The undrained shear strength of the clayey portions of the material ranges from 48 kPa to 96 kPa indicating a firm to stiff consistency. Based on SPT N-values of 3 to 18 the sandy silt is in a very loose to compact state. The natural moisture content and unit weight of the silty clay and sandy silt ranges from 15 percent to 38 percent and 18.6 kN/m³ to 21.2 kN/m³, respectively.

The results from the grain-size analysis conducted on one (1) sample of the silty clay and one (1) sample of the sandy silt are summarized in Table III. The grain-size distribution curves are shown in Figures 9 and 10.

9

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Table III: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination –Silty Clay and Sandy Silt									
Developing (DU)	Dauth	Grain-Size Analysis (%) and Atterberg Limits (%)							
Borehole No. (BH) – Sample No. (SS)	Depth (m)	Gravel	Sand	Silt	Clay	Liquid Limit	Plastic Limit	Plasticity Index	Soil Classification (USCS)
BH2 – SS4	1.5 - 2.1	0	2	67	31	34	20	14	Silty Clay of Low Plasticity (CL)
BH3 – SS5	3.0 - 3.7	9	34	43	14	Non-Plastic		tic	Sandy Silt (ML)

Based on a review of the results of the grain-size analysis and Atterberg Limit Determination the samples range from a Silty Clay of Low Plasticity (CL) to a Sandy Silt (ML) in accordance with the USCS.

6.3 Silty Sand and Gravel Glacial Till

Glacial till was contacted below the silty clay/sandy silt in all the boreholes at 2.2 m to 4.1 m depths (Elevation 66.3 m to Elevation 64.5 m). The composition of the glacial till contains varying amounts of gravel, sand, silt and clay. The glacial till contains cobbles, boulders, shale fragments and possible large slab pieces of shale. The SPT N-values of with the glacial till range from 4 to 48 indicating a loose to dense condition. The natural moisture content of the glacial till ranges from 2 percent to 20 percent.

The results from the grain-size analysis conducted on one (1) sample of the glacial till are summarized in Table IV. The grain-size distribution curve is shown in Figure 11.

Table IV: Summary of Results from Grain-Size Analysis – Glacial Till Sample								
Borehole No. (BH) —	Dopth (m)	Grain-Size Analysis (%)						
Sample Sample No. (SS)	Depth (m)	Gravel	Sand	Fines	Soil Classification (USCS)			
BH4 SS4	2.3 - 2.9	30	40	30	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)			

Based on a review of the results of the grain-size analysis the glacial till may be classified a Silty Sand with Gravel (SM) in accordance with the USCS.

6.4 Shale Bedrock

Auger refusal was met in all the borehole at 3.2 m to 6.0 m depths (Elevation 65.3 m to Elevation 62.9 m).

A summary of the auger refusal depths as well as the depth of bedrock confirmed by coring are shown in Table V.

Table V: Summary of Auger and Soil Sampler Refusal and Bedrock Depths (Elevations) in Boreholes									
Borehole (BH) No.	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Refusal Depth (m) (Elevation(m))		Depth (Elevation) of Proven Bedrock (m)	Comment wrt to Depth (Elevation) of Bedrock Surface				
BH-01	68.60	5.3	(63.3)		Auger refusal at 5.3 m				
BH-02	68.87	6.0	(62.9)	6.0 (62.9)	9.4 m length of bedrock cored below 6.0 m depth				
BH-03	68.62	5.5	(63.1)		Auger refusal at 5.5 m				
BH-04	68.50	3.2	(65.3)		Auger refusal at 3.2 m				
BH-05	68.32	4.0	(64.3)	4.0 (64.3)	11.2 m length of bedrock cored below 4.0 m depth				

A review of Table V indicates the depth of auger refusal ranges from 3.2 m to 6.0 m (Elevation 65.3 m to Elevation 62.9 m) below existing grade. Auger refusal may indicate cobble/boulder within the glacial till layer or the bedrock surface.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

The presence of the shale bedrock was proven in Borehole Nos. 2 and 5 by coring. Based on the bedrock coring results, the total core recovery (TCR) ranges from 88 percent to 100 percent. The rock quality designation (RQD) generally ranges from 49 percent to 100 percent indicating a bedrock quality ranging from poor to excellent.

Unit weight determination and unconfined compressive strength tests were conducted on three (3) rock core sections and the results are summarized in Table VI. Photographs of the rock cores are shown in Appendix C.

Table VI: Summary of Unconfined Compressive Strength Test Results – Bedrock Cores								
Borehole (BH) No. – Run No.	Depth (m)	Unit Weight (kN/m³)	Unconfined Compressive Strength (MPa)	Classification of Rock with respect to Strength				
BH2 Run1	6.2 - 6.3	26.2	31.5	Medium Strong				
BH2 Run6	14.6 - 14.8	26.4	49.3	Medium Strong				
BH5 Run3	7.4 - 7.5	26.2	38.2	Medium Strong				

A review of the test results in Table VI indicates the strength of the rock may be classified as medium strong in accordance with the Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (CFEM), Fourth Edition, 2006.

As previously mentioned, the shale bedrock at the site is of the Carlsbad formation. This type of shale is prone to deterioration when exposed to the elements. It also heaves due to a complex mechanism caused in part from the bio-oxidation of the sulphides in the rock, which react with calcite seams to form expanding gypsum. This occurs when oxygen is permitted to enter the rock, usually by lowering of the water table and this process is accelerated by the presence of heat. Therefore, special treatment of the Carlsbad shale bedrock will need to be incorporated into the design and construction of the proposed buildings.

6.5 Groundwater Level Measurements

A summary of the groundwater level measurements taken in the monitoring wells are shown in Table VII.

Table VII: Summary of Groundwater level Measurements									
Borehole (BH) /Monitoring Well (MW) No.	Ground Surface Elevation (m)	Date of Measurement (Elapsed Time in Days from Date of Installation)	Screened Material	Groundwater Depth Below Ground Surface (Elevation), m					
BH-02	68.87	June 12, 2023 (7 days)	Shale	1.9 (67.0)					
BH-05	68.32	June 12, 2023 (7 days)	Shale	2.4 (65.9)					
MW1-21	n/a	June 12, 2023 (>1 year)	Clayey Sand	1.8 (n/a)					
MW2-21	n/a	June 6, 2023 (~1 year)	Clayey Sand/Poss. Bedrock	1.8 (n/a)					

The groundwater level in the overburden was found to be 1.8 m below the existing ground surface. The groundwater within the shale was found to be 1.9 m to 2.1 m (Elevation 67.0 m to Elevation 65.9 m).

Water levels were determined in the boreholes and monitoring wells at the times and under the conditions noted above. Note that fluctuations in the level of groundwater may occur due to a seasonal variation such as precipitation, snowmelt, rainfall activities, and other factors not evident at the time of measurement and therefore may be at a higher level during wet weather periods.

7. Site Classification for Seismic Site Response and Liquefaction Potential of Soils

7.1 Site Classification for Seismic Site Response

The results of the seismic shear wave survey conducted at the site are provided in the report attached in Appendix B. The survey indicates that the seismic shear wave velocity for footings placed on bedrock would be greater than 1,500 m/s. Table 4.1.8.4.A of the 2012 Ontario Building Code (as amended May 2, 20219) indicates that a seismic shear wave velocity value greater than 1,500 m/s falls within the range of velocities for site class A (V_{s30} <1,500 m/s). Therefore, the site classification for seismic response is **Class A**.

7.2 Liquefaction Potential of Soils

All overburden soils will be removed as part of the proposed development and therefore there is no liquefaction potential of the soils at the site during a seismic event.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

8. Grade Raise Restrictions

From a geotechnical perspective there are no restrictions to raising the grades at the site since it is anticipated that all subsurface soils will be excavated down to the bedrock, removed from the site. Where the grade is raised from the bedrock surface it is anticipated to be replaced with imported granular fill compacted to the specified degree of compaction indicated in this report.

9. Foundation Considerations

It is our understanding that four (4) levels of underground parking will be provided to the proposed high-rise structures and therefore, and it has been assumed that footings of the proposed buildings will be set at approximately 12.0 m (or deeper) below the existing ground surface. Based on a review of the borehole logs the footings will be placed in the shale bedrock surface

Spread and strip footings founded on the sound shale bedrock, competent and free of soil filled seams may be designed for a factored geotechnical resistance at Ultimate Limit State (ULS) of 1000 kPa. The factored ULS value includes a resistance factor of 0.5. The Serviceability Limit State (SLS) bearing pressure of the bedrock, required to produce 25 mm settlement of the structure will be much larger than the recommended value for factored geotechnical resistance at ULS. Therefore, the factored geotechnical resistance at ULS will govern the design. Settlements of footing designed for the above recommended factored geotechnical resistance at ULS and properly constructed are expected to be less than 10 mm.

The factored sliding resistance at ULS between the underside of concrete and the top of the un-weathered sound bedrock is 0.56 and includes a resistance factor of 0.8.

All the footing beds should be thoroughly examined by a geotechnical engineer to ensure that the bedrock area is capable of supporting the design ULS value. Where fractured rock is encountered, sub-excavation may be undertaken to the underlying more competent bedrock. Alternatively, the footings may be redesigned to a reduced factored geotechnical resistance at ULS.

As indicated in Section 6.4 of this report, the Carlsbad shale bedrock is prone to swelling under certain conditions of heat and humidity. It is also prone to rapid deterioration especially for the portion of the shale bedrock below the groundwater table when it is exposed to the elements. Therefore, the base and sides of the exposed shale bedrock in the footing excavation should be cleaned of any soil or deleterious material, examined by a geotechnical engineer and the approved shale subgrade covered with a skim coat of concrete within the same day of its first exposure. Alternatively, the surface of the shale bedrock may be kept wet at all times. For reasons given previously, the concrete for the footings should be poured flush with the rock surfaces.

The recommended factored geotechnical resistance at ULS has been calculated by EXP from the borehole information for the design stage only. The investigation and comments are necessarily on-going as new information of underground conditions becomes available. For example, more specific information is available with respect to conditions between boreholes when foundation construction is underway. The interpretation between boreholes and the recommendations of this report must therefore be checked through field monitoring provided by an experienced geotechnical engineer to validate the information for use during the construction stage.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

10. Floor Slab and Drainage Requirements

The lowest floor level of the parking garages for the proposed buildings will be located at an approximate 12.0 m depth below the existing grade and founded in the shale bedrock surface. Based on the borehole information, the lowest floor slabs may be constructed as a concrete slab-on-grade or as a paved surface. The concrete and asphalt pavement structures indicated below are for light duty traffic only (cars).

The lowest floor level for the parking garages is anticipated to be located below the groundwater level by approximately 10 m. Therefore, underfloor and perimeter drainage systems will be required for the proposed below grade parking garages.

The underfloor drainage system may consist of 100 mm diameter perforated pipe or equivalent placed in parallel rows at 5 m to 6 m centres and at least 300 mm below the underside of the floor slab. The drains should be set on 100 mm of pea-gravel and covered on top and sides with 150 mm of pea-gravel and 300 mm of CSA Fine Concrete Aggregate. The CSA Fine Concrete Aggregate may be replaced by an approved porous geotextile membrane, such as Terrafix 270R or equivalent. The perimeter drains may also consist of 100 mm diameter perforated pipe set on the footings and surrounded with 150 mm of pea-gravel and 300 mm of CSA Concrete Aggregate. The perimeter and underfloor drains should be connected to separate sumps equipped with backup pumps and generators in case of mechanical failure and/or power outage, so that at least one system would be operational should the other fail.

The finished exterior grade should be sloped away from the buildings to prevent ponding of surface water close to the exterior walls of the buildings.

For floor slabs founded on the shale bedrock, special procedures will be required during slab construction. The shale bedrock of the Carlsbad formation is known to heave due to a complex mechanism caused in part by the bio-oxidation of sulphides in the rock which then react with the calcite seams to form expanding gypsum. This occurs when oxygen is permitted to enter the rock, usually by lowering the water table. Cracking of the floor slab due to heaving of the shale has occurred in some structures in Ottawa. A 50 mm thick concrete mud slab should be placed on the surface of the shale as a seal prior to placement of the granular fill immediately after excavation and approval by a qualified geotechnical engineer or technician.

10.1 Lowest Floor Level as a Concrete Surface

The subgrade is anticipated to be shale bedrock. The subgrade should be examined by a geotechnical engineer and any loose/soft zones of the bedrock should be excavated and removed. Upon approval, the bedrock subgrade should be prepared as noted above.

Following approval and preparation of the bedrock subgrade, the concrete slab for light duty traffic (cars only) may be constructed as follows:

- 150 mm thick concrete with 32 MPa compressive strength and air content of 5 percent to 8 percent; over
- 150 mm thick layer of OPSS 1010 Granular A compacted to 100 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density • (SPMDD); over
- 300 mm minimum thick layer of OPSS 1010 Granular B Type II compacted to 100 percent SMPDD.

The concrete slab should be reinforced and adequate saw cuts should be provided in the floor slab to control cracking. Additional recommendations can be provided once the final design of the lower floor level has been determined.

10.2 Lowest Floor Level as a Paved Surface

The subgrade is anticipated to consist of shale bedrock. The exposed shale bedrock should be examined by a geotechnical engineer and any loose/soft zones of the bedrock should be excavated and removed. Following approval and preparation of the bedrock subgrade, the asphalt pavement structure for light duty traffic (cars only) may be constructed on the bedrock subgrade as follow:

- 65 mm thick layer of asphaltic concrete consisting of HL3/SP12.5 The asphaltic concrete should be placed and compacted as per OPSS 310 and 313 and should be designed in accordance with OPSS 1150/1151; over
- 150 mm thick layer of OPSS Granular A compacted to 100 percent SPMDD; over
- 450 mm thick layer of OPSS Granular B Type II compacted to 100 percent SPMDD.

11. Lateral Earth Pressures Against Basement Walls

The subsurface basement walls of the proposed buildings will be subjected to lateral static earth pressure as well as lateral dynamic earth pressure during a seismic event. The lateral static earth <u>pressure</u> that the subsurface walls would be subjected to may be computed from equations (i) and (ii) and the lateral dynamic earth <u>force</u> from equation (iii) given below.

The equations given below assume that the backfill against the subsurface walls will be free-draining granular material and that subsurface drains will be provided to prevent build-up of hydrostatic pressure behind the wall. Equation (i) will be applicable to the portion of the subsurface wall in the overburden soil. Equation (ii) will be applicable to the portion of the subsurface wall in the overburden soil. Equation (ii) will be applicable to the portion of the subsurface wall and the rock where the earth pressure will be considerably reduced due to the narrow backfill between the subsurface wall and the rock face resulting in an arching effect (Spangler & Handy, 1984). The weight of the overburden soil and any surcharge load stress (such as traffic load at ground surface and foundations of existing adjacent buildings) should be considered as surcharge when computing lateral pressure using equation (ii).

The lateral static earth pressure against the subsurface walls may be computed from the following equation:

P =	K ₀ (γh +q) (i	i)
-----	----------------------------	----

where

Ρ

- = lateral earth pressure acting on the subsurface wall; kN/m²
- K₀ = lateral earth pressure coefficient for 'at rest' condition for Granular B Type II backfill material = 0.50

 γ = unit weight of free draining granular backfill; OPSS Granular B Type II = 22 kN/m³

h = depth of point of interest below top of backfill, m

q = surcharge load stress, kPa

Lateral static earth pressure (σ_n) due to narrow earth backfill between subsurface wall and rock face at depth z:

$$\sigma_n = \frac{\gamma B}{2 \tan \delta} \left(1 - e^{-2k_B^Z \tan \delta} \right) + kq$$
 (ii)

Where

 γ = unit weight of backfill = 22 kN/m³

B = backfill width (m)

z = depth from top of wall (m)

 δ = friction angle between the backfill and wall and rock (assumed to be equal) = 17 degrees

k = lateral earth pressure coefficient for 'at rest' condition = 0.50

q = surcharge pressure including pressures from overburden soil, traffic at ground surface and foundations from existing adjacent buildings (kPa)

17

The lateral dynamic (seismic) thrust may be computed from the equation given below:

∆ _{Pe} =	$\gamma H^2 \frac{a_h}{g}$	^{<u>-</u>} F _b	(iii)
where	Δ_{Pe}	=	dynamic thrust in kN/m of wall
	Н	=	height of wall, m
	γ	=	unit weight of free draining granular backfill; OPSS Granular B Type II = 22 kN/m^3
	$\frac{a_h}{g}$	=	seismic coefficient = 0.32 (Ottawa Area)
	Fь	=	thrust factor = 1.0

The dynamic thrust does not take into account the surcharge load. The resultant force acts approximately at 0.63H above the base of the wall.

All subsurface walls should be properly waterproofed.

Where the basement walls will be poured against the bedrock or temporary shoring, vertical drainage board must be installed on the face of the excavation wall or temporary shoring to provide necessary drainage. Vertical drainage board such as Alidrain, Geodrain, Miridrain or equivalent may be used for this purpose. Full coverage using drainage boards can be considered to minimize the risk of water penetration through the subsurface basement walls.

Where the upper portion of the subsurface basement wall is backfilled with granular material, the vertical drainage board should extend into the backfill to provide drainage of the backfill. The top of the drainage board should be covered with a fabric filter to prevent the loss of overlying soil into the drainage board.

The vertical drainage board should be connected to a solid discharge pipe that passes through the foundation wall and outlets to a solid pipe inside the buildings that leads to a sump. The solid pipe inside the buildings should be connected to a separate sump from the sumps used for the perimeter and underfloor drains, so that this system would be operational should one of the other drainage systems fail.

12. Excavations and De-Watering Requirements

12.1 Excess Soil Management

Ontario Regulation 406/19 specifies protocols that are required for the management and disposal of excess soils. As set forth in the regulation, specific analytical testing protocols need to be implemented and followed based on the volume of soil to be managed and the requirements of the receiving site. The testing protocols are specific as to whether the soils are stockpiled or in situ. In either scenario, the testing protocols are far more onerous than have been historically carried out as part of standard industry practices. These decisions should be factored in and accounted for prior to the initiation of the project-defined scope of work. EXP would be pleased to assist with the implementation of a soil management and testing program that would satisfy the requirements of Ontario Regulation 406/19.

Overburden Soil Excavation

Excavation of the soils may be undertaken using heavy equipment capable of removing debris as well as cobbles, boulders and within fill or the glacial till.

All excavations must be undertaken in accordance with the Occupational Health and Safety Act (OHSA), Ontario Reg. 213/91. Based on the definitions provided in OHSA, the subsurface soils on site are considered to be Type 3 and as such must be cut back at 1H:1V from the bottom of the excavation above the groundwater level. Within zones of persistent seepage and below the groundwater level in the soils, the excavation side slopes are expected to slough and eventually stabilize at a slope of 2H:1V to 3H:1V.

It is anticipated that due proximity existing buildings and infrastructure, the excavations will likely have to be undertaken within the confines of a shoring system. The shoring system may consist of steel H soldier pile and timber lagging system, interlocking sheeting system and/or secant pile shoring system.

The type of shoring system required would depend on a number of factors including:

- Proximity of the excavation to existing structures and infrastructure;
- Type of foundations of the existing adjacent buildings and the difference in founding levels between the foundations of new buildings and existing adjacent buildings; and
- The subsurface soil, bedrock and groundwater conditions.

A conventional shoring system consisting of soldier pile and timber lagging is more flexible compared to the interlocking steel sheeting system and the secant pile shoring system. In areas where there is concern for lateral yielding of the soils and the potential of settlement of nearby structures and infrastructure, the use of a steel interlocking sheeting system or secant pile system can be considered. The shoring system will require lateral restraint provided by tiebacks consisting of rock anchors. Due to the presence of cobbles and boulders in the subsurface soils, pre-drilling may be required for the installation of the soldier piles. The presence of cobbles and boulders in the subsurface soils should also be taken into consideration for other contemplated shoring systems.

The need for a shoring system, the most appropriate shoring system and the design and installation of the shoring system should be determined by the contractors bidding on this project. The design and installation of the shoring system should be undertaken by a professional engineer experienced in shoring design and by a contractor experienced in the installation of shoring systems. The shoring system should be designed and installed in accordance with OHSA and the 2006 CFEM (Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual (Fourth Edition)).

Soldier Pile and Timber Lagging System

A conventional steel H soldier pile and timber lagging shoring system must be designed to support the lateral earth pressure given by the expression below:

 $P = k (\gamma h + q)$

Where:

Р	=	the pressure, at any depth, h, below the ground surface
k	=	applicable earth pressure coefficient;
		active lateral earth pressure coefficient = 0.33
		'at rest' lateral earth pressure coefficient = 0.50
γ	=	unit weight of soil to be retained, estimated at 22 kN/m ³
h	=	the depth, in metres, at which pressure, P, is being computed
q	=	the equivalent surcharge acting on the ground surface adjacent to the shoring system

The pressure distribution assumes that drainage is permitted between the lagging boards and that no build-up of hydrostatic pressure may occur.

The shoring system as well as adjacent settlement sensitive structures and infrastructure should be monitored for movement (deflection) on a periodic basis during construction operations.

The exposed shale bedrock surface along all excavation walls should be shotcreted within the same day of exposure to protect the rock face from rapid deterioration due to exposure to the elements, as previously discussed.

Many geologic materials deteriorate rapidly upon exposure to meteorological elements. Unless otherwise specifically indicated in this report, walls and floors of excavations must be protected from moisture, desiccation, and frost action throughout the course of construction.

Secant Pile Shoring System

The secant pile shoring system should be designed to resist 'at rest' lateral earth thrust in addition to the hydrostatic thrust as given by the expression below:

$$P_{0} = K_{0} q (h_{1} + h_{2}) + \frac{1}{2} K_{0} \gamma h_{1}^{2} + K_{0} \gamma h_{1} h_{2} + \frac{1}{2} K_{0} \gamma' h_{2}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{w} h_{2}^{2}$$

where:

- P_0 = at rest' earth and water thrusts acting against secant pile wall (kN/m)
- K_0 = 'at rest' lateral earth pressure coefficient = 0.50
- q = surcharge acting adjacent to the excavation (kPa)
- h_1 = height of shoring from the ground surface to groundwater table (m)
- h_2 = height of shoring from groundwater table to the bottom of excavation (m)
- γ = unit weight of the soil = 22 kN/m³
- γ' = submerged unit weight of soil = 11.2 kN/m³
- γ_w = unit weight of water = 9.8 kN/m³

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Secant pile walls consist of overlapping concrete piles that form a strong watertight barrier. They can be constructed with conventional drilling methods. Secant pile walls typically include both reinforced primary and un-reinforced secondary piles. The primary piles overlap the secondary piles, with secondary piles essentially acting as concrete lagging. The reinforcement in the primary piles generally consists of steel reinforcing bar cages or steel beams. The result is a continuous intersecting line of concrete piles that are placed before any excavation is performed.

The shoring system as well as adjacent settlement sensitive structures and infrastructure should be monitored for movement (deflection) on a periodic basis during construction operations.

12.1.1 Rock Excavation

The excavations will extend into the shale bedrock. The excavation side slopes in the upper depths of the weathered/highly fractured zones of the bedrock may be cut back at a 1H:1V gradient. The excavation side slopes in the sound bedrock may be undertaken with near vertical sides subject to examination by a geotechnical engineer.

The shale bedrock may be excavated using a hoe ram for removal of small quantities of the bedrock; however, this process is expected to be very slow. The exposed shale bedrock surface along all excavation walls should be shotcreted within the same day of exposure to protect the rock face from rapid deterioration due to exposure to the elements, as previously discussed.

The excavation of the sound bedrock to extensive depths below the bedrock surface may be undertaken by line drilling and blasting method. Should blasting not be permitted, the excavation of the shale bedrock would have to be undertaken by line drilling. Specialized contractors bidding on this project should decide on their own the most preferred rock removal method; hoe ramming or line drilling and blasting.

Rock Support

The weathered and fractured rock face may require support in the form of rock bolts to maintain the integrity of the rock face in conjunction with a wire mesh system and the shotcrete mentioned above. Excavations that will extend a significant depth into the bedrock will have to be undertaken in a staged approach with the rock excavated in a pre-determined depth interval (for example every 3 m). The exposed rock face in each stage will have to be examined by a geotechnical engineer to determine the number of rock bolts required. The rock bolt system should be installed in this manner to the bottom of the excavation.

Vibration Control

The vibration limits for blasting should be in accordance with City of Ottawa Special Provisions (SP No. 1201).

It is recommended that a pre-construction survey of adjacent building(s) and infrastructure be undertaken prior to any earth (soil) and rock excavation work as well as vibration monitoring during excavation, blasting and construction operations. Prior to the commencement of blasting, a detailed blast methodology should be submitted by the Contractor.

12.2 De-Watering Requirements and Impact of Groundwater Lowering on Adjacent Structures

Excavations above the groundwater may be dewatered by conventional sump pumping techniques. Excavations below the groundwater level are expected to be more problematic and may result in greater water seepage, loss of ground and disturbance of the soils. Under these conditions, it is recommended that these excavations should be undertaken within the confines of a shoring system as previously discussed. In this regard, seepage of groundwater into the shored excavation should still be anticipated but may be removed by collecting the water at low points within the excavation and pumping from sumps. In areas of high infiltration, a higher seepage rate should be anticipated and the need for high-capacity pumps to keep the excavation dry should not be ignored.

It is recommended that a hydrogeological study (with a geotechnical component) be undertaken for the purpose of estimating the volume of groundwater anticipated to enter the un-shored (worst case) and shored excavation (which permits drainage) and the zone of influence resulting from dewatering of the excavation. The zone of influence may be used to determine the impact, if any, dewatering of the excavation may have on nearby existing infrastructure and buildings. If it is determined that the zone of influence extends to nearby existing infrastructure and buildings, the geotechnical component of the hydrogeological study

would involve estimating settlements of the nearby existing infrastructure and buildings as a result of lowering the groundwater table at the site and providing recommendations to minimize the estimated settlements.

The excavation depth for the proposed buildings will extend below the groundwater level and would necessitate groundwater removal from the site. It is noteworthy to mention that new legislation came into force in Ontario on March 29, 2016 to regulate groundwater takings for construction dewatering purposes. Prior to March 29, 2016, a Category 2 Permit to Take Water (PTTW) was required from the Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change (MOECC) for groundwater takings related to construction dewatering, where taking volumes in excess of 50 m³/day, but less than 400 m³/day, and the taking duration was no more than 30 consecutive days. The new legislation replaces the Category 2 PTTW for construction dewatering with a new process under the Environmental Activity and Sector Registry (EASR). The EASR is an on-line registry, which allows persons engaged in prescribed activities, such as water takings, to register with the (now) Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) instead of applying for a PTTW.

To be eligible for the new EASR process, the construction dewatering taking must be less than 400 m³/day under normal conditions. The water taking can be groundwater, storm water, or a combination of both. It should be noted that the 30-consecutive day limit on the water taking under the old Category 2 PTTW process has been removed in the new EASR process. Also, it should be noted that the EASR process requires two technical studies be prepared by a Qualified Person, prior to any water taking. These studies include a Water Taking Report, which provides assurance that the taking will not cause any unacceptable impacts, and a Discharge Plan, which provides assurance that the discharge will not result in any adverse impacts to the environment. EXP has qualified persons who can prepare these types of reports, if required. A significant advantage of the new EASR process over the former Category 2 PTTW process, is that the groundwater taking may begin immediately after completing the on-line registration of the taking and paying the applicable fee, assuming the accompanying technical studies have been completed. The former PTTW process typically took more than 90 days, which had the potential to impact construction schedules.

Although this investigation has estimated the groundwater levels at the time of the fieldwork, and commented on dewatering and general construction problems, conditions may be present which are difficult to establish from standard boring and excavating techniques and which may affect the type and nature of dewatering procedures used by the contractor in practice. These conditions include local and seasonal fluctuations in the groundwater table, erratic changes in the soil profile, thin layers of soil with large or small permeabilities compared with the soil mass, etc. Only carefully controlled tests using pumped wells and observation wells will yield the quantitative data on groundwater volumes and pressures that are necessary to adequately engineer construction dewatering systems.

13. Pipe Bedding Requirements

The invert depths of the underground services are not known at the time of this geotechnical investigation. It is anticipated that the subgrade for the proposed municipal services will be the shale bedrock.

The bedding for the underground services including material specifications, thickness of cover material and compaction requirements conform to the Ontario Provincial Standard Specification and Drawings (OPSS and OPSD) based on the selection of the pipe material and size.

It is recommended that the pipe bedding be 150 mm thick and consist of OPSS Granular A. The bedding material should be placed along the sides and on top of the pipe to provide a minimum cover of 300 mm. The bedding should be compacted to at least 98 percent of the standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD).

The municipal services should be installed in short open trench sections that are excavated and backfilled the same day. The special treatment of the Carlsbad shale bedrock must be carried out during excavations for municipal services.

14. Backfilling Requirements and Suitability of On-Site Soils for Backfilling Purposes

The soils to be excavated from the site will comprise of fill, sandy silt/silty clay and glacial till. From a geotechnical perspective, these soils are not considered suitable for reuse as backfill material in the interior or exterior of the buildings. Therefore, it is anticipated that the majority of the material required for backfilling purposes in the interior and exterior of the proposed buildings will need to be imported and should preferably conform to OPSS 1010 (as amended by SSP110S13) for Granular B Type II. The backfill should be placed in 300 mm thick lifts compacted to 95 percent standard Proctor maximum dry density (SPMDD) outside the buildings and to 98 percent SPMDD inside the buildings.

15. Corrosion Potential

Chemical tests limited to pH, sulphate, chloride and resistivity were undertaken on two (2) samples, one (1) sample of the glacial till overburden soil and one (1) sample of the shale bedrock. A summary of the results is shown in Table VIII. The laboratory certificate of analysis is shown in Appendix C.

Table VIII: Chemical Test Results – Soil and Bedrock Samples									
Borehole No Sample No. (SS)	Depth (m)	Soil/Bedrock Type	рН	Sulphate (%)	Chloride (%)	Resistivity (ohm-cm)			
BH 3 SS7	4.6 - 5.2	TILL	9.83	0.002	0.001	1880			
BH 2 Run 2	9.1 – 9.5	SHALE	8.90	0.012	0.002	2770			

The results indicate the soil has a negligible sulphate attack on subsurface concrete. The concrete should be in accordance with the most recent CSA A.23.1.

The results of the resistivity test indicate the glacial till is corrosive to moderately corrosive bare steel and the shale bedrock is mildly corrosive to bare steel as per the National Association of Corrosion Engineers (NACE). Appropriate measures should be undertaken to protect buried steel elements from corrosion.

16. Tree Planting Restrictions

It is anticipated that all subsurface soils on site including the fill and native overburden soils will be excavated down to the bedrock and removed from site for the construction of the proposed new buildings. Since all subsurface soils will be excavated and removed from the site and, where required, replaced with compacted granular fill, there are no tree planting restrictions from a geotechnical perspective.

26

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

17. Earthworks Quality Control During Construction

All earthworks activities from construction of footing foundations to subgrade preparation to the placement and compaction of fill soils should be inspected by geotechnical personnel to ensure that construction proceeds in accordance with the project specifications.

18. General Comments

The comments and recommendations given in this report are preliminary in nature as they are based on the assumption that the above-described design concepts will proceed into construction. If changes are made either in the design phase or during construction, this office must be retained to review these modifications. The result of this review may be a modification of our recommendations, or it may require additional field or laboratory work to check whether the changes are acceptable from a geotechnical viewpoint. This geotechnical report should be updated once final design for the proposed development is available.

The information contained in this report is not intended to reflect on environmental aspects of the soils and groundwater and it is understood that a Phase 1 and Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessments (ESA) have been already carried out at the site and are being updated for the proposed property use by others.

We trust that the information contained in this report will be satisfactory for your purposes. Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact this office.

1 2/11

Daniel Wall, M. Eng., P.Eng. Geotechnical Engineer Earth and Environment



AUUR

Ismail M. Taki, M.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Manager, Eastern Region Earth and Environment

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Figures





£ ₽ ₽

A0_Geo. Plotted

Drawings\23005035-29, 2023 3:44 PM

Execution\65 st Plotted: Jun

8 ¶40

ne: E:\01T\01T-23005035-aved: Jun 29, 2023 3:43

	* EXP. <u>t: +1.</u> 2650 Ottav
UNE 2023 CHECKED	PROPOSED HIGI 1531 ST. LAURENT BOL
/ IT AS	BOREHOLE

Notes On Sample Descriptions

1. All sample descriptions included in this report follow the Canadian Foundations Engineering Manual soil classification system. This system follows the standard proposed by the International Societv for Soil Mechanics and Foundation Engineering. Laboratory grain size analyses provided by **exp** Services Inc. also follow the same system. Different classification systems may be used by others; one such system is the Unified Soil Classification. Please note that, with the exception of those samples where a grain size analysis has been made, all samples are classified visually. Visual classification is not sufficiently accurate to provide exact grain sizing or precise differentiation between size classification systems.



UNIFIED SOIL CLASSIFICATION

- 2. Fill: Where fill is designated on the borehole log it is defined as indicated by the sample recovered during the boring process. The reader is cautioned that fills are heterogeneous in nature and variable in density or degree of compaction. The borehole description may therefore not be applicable as a general description of site fill materials. All fills should be expected to contain obstruction such as wood, large concrete pieces or subsurface basements, floors, tanks, etc., none of these may have been encountered in the boreholes. Since boreholes cannot accurately define the contents of the fill, test pits are recommended to provide supplementary information. Despite the use of test pits, the heterogeneous nature of fill will leave some ambiguity as to the exact composition of the fill. Most fills contain pockets, seams, or layers of organically contaminated soil. This organic material can result in the generation of methane gas and/or significant ongoing and future settlements. Fill at this site may have been monitored for the presence of methane gas and, if so, the results are given on the borehole logs. The monitoring process does not indicate the volume of gas that can be potentially generated nor does it pinpoint the source of the gas. These readings are to advise of the presence of gas only, and a detailed study is recommended for sites where any explosive gas/methane is detected. Some fill material may be contaminated by toxic/hazardous waste that renders it unacceptable for deposition in any but designated land fill sites; unless specifically stated the fill on this site has not been tested for contaminants that may be considered toxic or hazardous. This testing and a potential hazard study can be undertaken if requested. In most residential/commercial areas undergoing reconstruction, buried oil tanks are common and are generally not detected in a conventional geotechnical site investigation.
- 3. Till: The term till on the borehole logs indicates that the material originates from a geological process associated with glaciation. Because of this geological process the till must be considered heterogeneous in composition and as such may contain pockets and/or seams of material such as sand, gravel, silt or clay. Till often contains cobbles (60 to 200 mm) or boulders (over 200 mm). Contractors may therefore encounter cobbles and boulders during excavation, even if they are not indicated by the borings. It should be appreciated that normal sampling equipment cannot differentiate the size or type of any obstruction. Because of the horizontal and vertical variability of till, the sample description may be applicable to a very limited zone; caution is therefore essential when dealing with sensitive excavations or dewatering programs in till materials.
Log of Borehole <u>BH-01</u>

*ex	n
0/1	\sim

Project No:	OTT-23005035-A0			CAP
Project:	Proposed High-Rise Development		Figure No. <u>3</u>	I
Location:	1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario		Page. 1 of 1	
Date Drilled:	'June 6, 2023	_ Split Spoon Sample	Combustible Vapour Reading	
Drill Type:	CME-75 Track-Mounted Drill Rig	Auger Sample II - SPT (N) Value O	Natural Moisture Content Atterberg Limits	× ⊢⊸
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation	Dynamic Cone Test	Undrained Triaxial at % Strain at Failure	\oplus
Logged by:	MD Checked by: DW	Shear Strength by + Vane Test S	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test	

	G	S Y		Geodetic	D e	Standar	a Penel	rauon 16	est in Val	ue	2	50 50	ur Readin 0 75	g (ppm) 60	Ă	Natural
	Ŵ	B	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation m	p	20 Shear Streng	40 10	60) (30 kPa	Nat Atterb	ural Moistu erg Limits	re Conter (% Dry W	it % eight)	P	Unit Wt. kN/m ³
		Ľ		68.6	n 0	50	100	15	0 2	00		0	6	0	ĽS	
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE ~ 40 mm thick	68.6					$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \end{array}$		X	• • • • • • •		$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot$	Χ	AS1
		***	FILL Sand and gravel trace silt brown moist			22									Λ	
		>>>>	(compact)	-		····· ₽ ·					×			<u></u>	X	SS2
		\otimes	(÷	• • • • • •	••••••		· · · · · · · ·		\cdots	$\dot{\cdot}$	/	
		\otimes														
		\otimes		67.4	1	10								****	ΙX	SS3
			SILTY CLAY	07.4											$\langle \rangle$	
	ł	///	Trace gravel, brown, moist, (stiff)						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					÷::::	()	
	ł	///					Pa		$\cdot \cdot $	<u> : : : : :</u>				÷::::	Λ	
						•:••••••• •	x		·÷÷÷÷			···· X		÷÷÷÷•	X	SS4
				-	2									·····	/	18.6
		////		66.4												
			SANDY SILI Brown wet (loose to compact)													
				-			<u>;;;</u> ;		****		x			÷;;;;	Y	SS5
									· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				· · · · · · · ·	······································	Λ	000
		//	_		2		÷ • • •	• • • • •	$\frac{1}{2}$			•••••••	· · · · ·	$\dot{\cdot}$	(
					3		÷	• • • • •	****	••••	• • • • •			****	\backslash	
		///				Ö			••••••			×		÷	X	SS6
		///		_			÷+++		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			•••••••		·····	/	
		///							$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \\ \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot \end{array}$					······································		
		///							$\cdot \cdot $		· · · · · · · · ·		(* 1 * 2 (* (* 1 * 2 * 2 * 1	$\sim \sim \sim \sim$		
				64.5	4	7	<u>;;</u> ;;;		<u></u>			×		<u></u>	Y	997
		M	<u>GLACIAL TILL</u>				<u>::</u>		÷÷÷;		.			÷::::	Λ	557
		S//	Silty sand with gravel and shale fragments,						·•••••			•••••	· · · · · ·	÷ : : : : :		
		ĽB.	- liace clay, grey, (delise)													
	ł						32								V	
3		U/X		_	5		⊙ :-;-:		·:···	<u> </u>	::X::::				Ň	SS8
07/0		JA S	Auger grinding from 5.2 m to 5.3 m depth	62.2											/	23.3
-	ŀ	2/922	Auger Refusal at 5.3 m Depth	03.3					****							
5							:::::		::::					::::		
							::::		::::		::::		::::	::::		
2																
5																
5																
Š.																
														:::::		
5																
5																
][::::									
ĘL									<u></u>							
ц - Г	NO	TES:														
2				WATER	٦L	EVEL RECOR	RDS				CC	RE DRIL	LING RE	CORD		

1 ST.	NOTES:	WA [.]	TER LEVEL RECO	RDS	CORE DRILLING RECORD									
: 153	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %						
IOLE	2. Borehole was backfilled upon completion													
REH	3. Field work supervised by an exp representative.													
= BO	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions													
LOG OF	5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23005035-A0													

Log of Borehole <u>BH-02</u>

r toject No.	011-23003033-A0			Figure No 4	
Project:	Proposed High-Rise Development				
Location:	1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario			Page1_01_2_	
Date Drilled:	'June 5, 2023	Split Spoon Sample		Combustible Vapour Reading	
Drill Type:	CME-75 Track-Mounted Drill Rig	Auger Sample SPT (N) Value	II 0	Natural Moisture Content X Atterberg Limits O	
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation	Dynamic Cone Test — Shelby Tube	•	Undrained Triaxial at \oplus % Strain at Failure	
Logged by:	MD Checked by: DW	Shear Strength by Vane Test	+ s	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test	

		S Y			Geodetic			St	tandar	d Per	netration T	est N Va	lue	Combus 2	stible Vap 50	our Readir	ng (ppm) 50	A	Natural
l	Ŵ	B	SOIL DESCRIPTION		Elevation	n p		Shear	20 Streps	4	0 6	0	80 kPa	Nat	ural Mois	ture Conter	nt% /eight)	P	Unit Wt.
	-	Ľ			m 68.87	ĥ	'n	Shear	50	ייין 1(00 15	50 2	200	2	0	40 6	0	ĒS	kN/m°
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE ~ 50 mm thick	Γ	68.8	0	۰ ۲	÷ : • : •		÷		••••••		×					AS1
		\bigotimes	FILL				1:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		÷÷								17	
		\otimes	_Sand and gravel, trace to some silt, brown	, _	4		Ľ	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		31 : ⊙-:			+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++			*****		Цγ	SS2
		\otimes	moist, (dense)				1:			<u>.</u>		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		X		<u>:::::</u> :		:://	002
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$					1:			÷									
		\otimes	_	_	67.8	1	1 -	<u>9</u>	+::	÷÷						+		HV	662
			SILTY CLAY				1.			÷÷	· · · · · · ·			×			·	÷Λ	333
		//	Low plasticity, trace to some gravel, brown	,			1:									1111		14	
			 MOISI, (SIIII) Rootlets and shells from approx 1.4 m to 1 	5	1		ţ.			<u>.</u>								1/	
	- 6	//	m	.0		-		5	. 72									ΞĮΥ	SS4
	Ť	//	_	_	66.9	<i>31</i>	, Ŀ	<u>.</u>	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		· · · · · · ·		+			<u>}:::::</u>		<u>:</u> /	004
							- :			$\frac{1}{2}$								ΞĤ	
		//						÷÷÷÷	• • • • •	÷÷	• • • • • •		+ • • • •			+ • • • •	÷ • • •		
		//h	_	-	-		F	12-		96 k	Pa 👬					+		HV	0.05
		//					1:								*			ΞÅ	885
		//			65.9		1:							×				Ľμ	
	ć		GLACIAL TILL		00.9	3	3	⊹ : : : ⊹ : : : :		÷								:1/	
	l l	S) S)	Silty sand with gravel and shale fragments	,			1:	÷ 10 ÷		÷:::		•••••••			• • • • • • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	÷Ν	322
	l	HD	_trace clay, grey, (loose to compact)	_			Ŀ	÷ Či ÷		÷ŀ			+					ΞΛ	000
							-	****	• • • • •	÷÷	· • • • •	••••••	+			$+\cdots+$	÷ • • •	: 	
							1:	· · · · · ·		÷÷						¥	÷	:+	
		HD	_	_	4	4	₄ -	-8		<u></u>						+		4//	
		7D					1:	:0:::				••••••		×.				ΞŇ	SS7
		SI A					1:			<u>.</u>								Ľ	
		H)	_	-	-		ŀ	****		÷÷						+		-	
			Dense below 4.6 m in depth				1.											- 1	
n		<u>U</u> k					1:				48			X		****		ΞĮΧ	SS8
7107		(A)	_	_	1	5	5			<u></u>		.: : .:						=//	
/0		95 B					1:			<u>.</u>								Ë	
וחי		6/20	_	_			Ŀ	÷ ÷ i ÷		÷.;,	50/125 mn		+			+ : : : : :	·: : : :	<u>://</u>	
VA.							1:	÷ : : :		÷÷	0.00		<u>+</u> ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	×		<u>+</u> ::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::::	÷ : : :	÷ΙΧ	SS9
A							-											: / \	
5		6/10			62.9	6	6 -	****		÷						<u></u>			
S I			Black slightly weathered medium strong				1:	· · · · · ·									· · · · · · ·		
<u>r</u>			Black, sightly weathered, mediam strong				1:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<									
C LO			-	_	1		t.	****		***		··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						-	CORE1
ng D							1:			÷::		••••••			••••••				CONCI
Ē.				_		7	, Ŀ		·	÷		••••••					$ \cdot \cdot \cdot $		
z				_		1	· [·	÷ • • •	$\overline{\mathbf{H}}$	÷						+			
ב							1:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		÷	· · · · · · ·	•••••							
2			_	_	4		ļ:	****					1			1			
z							1:									1			
HH H							1:												
5			Continued Next Page			8	в Ц			<u></u>	L			· · · · · · · · ·			L. <u></u> .		
<u> </u>	NOTES:				WAT	ERI	LE	VEL R	ECO	RDS				CC	RE DR	LLING RE)	
231	1.E	Boreho	others	D-4			V	Vater			Hole Ope	n	Run			% Rec.		R	QD %
Date)ate		Level (m)		To (m)		No.	. (m)		23								
ž	2.3	s∠ mm	i weil installed upon completion	une 12	, 2023			1.9						6-7	.2	100			66
Ш	3.F	-ield v	vork supervised by an exp representative.										2	1.2-8	5.Ծ	98			00 77
	1 0		atos on Sampla Decorintiana										1 3 1	0.ö - 1	U.3	98			11

4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions LOG OF BO

5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23005035-A0

Project No: <u>OTT-23005035-A0</u>

14.8 - 15.4 7

10.3 - 11.8

11.8 - 13.3

13.3 - 14.8

4

5

6

100 71

100

98

100

99

100

Log of Borehole <u>BH-02</u>



Project: Proposed High-Rise Development

Project No: OTT-23005035-A0

Figure No.

Г	lojeci	rioposed high-Rise Developing							Page.	_2_ of	2		
G	S Y		Geodet	ic D	Standar	d Penetration Tes	t N Val	ue	Combustibl 250	e Vapour Read 500	ling (ppn 750	n) S A M	Natural
W L	B	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevatio	n p	20 Shear Streng	40 60 gth	8	30 kPa	Natural Atterberg	Moisture Cont Limits (% Dry	ent % Weight)	P	Unit Wt.
	Ľ		60.87	h 8	50	100 150	2	00	20	40	60	E	COPE2
		SHALE BEDROCK Black slightly weathered medium stre	ona									÷.	COREZ
		(continued)	g									÷:	
		_	_				* • • •				• • • • •	·	
							÷ • • •						
		_	_	9			<u></u>					$\frac{1}{2}$	
												÷:	
		_	_				÷				• • • •	····	
												÷.	CORE3
							÷ : • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					÷:	
		—	-	10			÷ ; ; ; ;					<u>.</u>	
							****					÷:	
		_	_				· · · · · ·				• • • • •	····	
							÷ • • • •						
							÷:::					÷.	
		_	_	11								÷.	CORE4
												÷:	
		_	_				<u></u>						
				10			****						
		_		12			* • • •				• • • • •		
ŀ	·						÷						
		_	_		<u>;;;;;</u>	<u></u>	<u></u>					÷.	CORE5
间													
闾	÷		_	13							• • • •	····	
i.	i												
												<u>:</u> :-	
		—	-									<u>.</u>	

E		_	_	14			***				• • • • • •	····	CODEC
	· E											÷:	COREO
												Ξ.	
E I I		_	-				÷ : : :					÷.	
6/28	÷											÷	
۲.		—	_	15			***						00057
A H							÷ • • •						CORE/
ĕĿĦ		Borehole Terminated at 15.4 m [53.5	_									
5			Jopin										
RO													
2												-	
S.GI							::::					:	
8													
T N													
Ð												-	
BLV													
ENT													
												:	
J.L	OTES:		14/4-						0000			n	
1	. Boreho	ble data requires interpretation by EXP before	WA		Water	Hole Onen		Run	Denth			R R	QD %
щ,	32 mm		Date	L	.evel (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)		<u></u>		
Ę Į	J∠ mm Eicla⊡		June 12, 2023		1.9				6-7.2 72-88	10	U L		66 88
ORE .		to an Sample Draminitian						3	8.8 - 10.3	98			77
ă 4	. See No							4	10.3 - 11.8	3 10	D		100
0 5	. Log to	be read with EXP Report UTI-23005035-A0						5	11.8 - 13.3	3 99)		98
21			1					6	13.3 - 14.8	3 10	J		100

14.8 - 15.4 7

100

71

Log of Borehole <u>BH-03</u>

*ex	p.

Project No:	OTT-23005035-A0		
Project:	Proposed High-Rise Development		Figure No. <u> </u>
Location:	1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario		Page. I of I
Date Drilled:	'June 1, 2023	Split Spoon Sample	Combustible Vapour Reading
Drill Type:	CME-75 Track-Mounted Drill Rig	Auger SampleISPT (N) ValueO	Natural Moisture Content X Atterberg Limits
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation	Dynamic Cone Test	Undrained Triaxial at \oplus Strain at Failure
Logged by:	JE Checked by: DW	Shear Strength by + Vane Test S	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test

	G	S Y		Geodetic	De		Standard	Pen	etration I	est N va	lue	2 Combu	stible va 50	500 75	ig (ppm) 50	A	Natural
	W	BO	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Elevation	p	2	20 Shear Strengt	41 h) 6	0	80 kPa	_ Na Atteri	ural Mois berg Limi	sture Contei ts (% Dry W	nt % /eight)	PL	Unit Wt. kN/m ³
		Ľ		68.62	n 0		50	10	0 1	50 2	200		20	40 6	0	ЦS	
		>>>	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE ~ 50 mm thick	68.6			·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·> ·	•	$\begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot$	-> -> -> -> -> ->		· X				$\overline{)}$	AS1
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$	Gravel with silt and sand poorly graded				····· 20 ·····	•	<pre></pre>		+ + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	×	$\left \begin{array}{c} \cdot \cdot$		······	Įγ	24.3
		\bigotimes	_ brown, moist, (compact)	68.0		t	<u></u>		<u></u>							M	SS2
		\boxtimes						• •	$\dot{\dot{}}$		+			+		/ \	
		\bigotimes	Silty sand, some gravel, brown, moist,					• • •	****							A/	
		\not	(compact)	67.5	1		·····	• • •	****		+ • • • •	× ×				X	SS3
			SANDY SILT													! /\	21.2
			– (compact)	_		Ľ											
			(compact)								<u>+</u> :::::					N	
							q		÷ : : : :		+::::		×			X	SS4
			_	-	2	2 -	····		****		+	+ • • • • •		+ • • • • •		\mathbb{V}	
											1						
									2.2.2.2		1333	: :::::					
			_	-		t			****				×.			Įγ	SS5
							••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		******* *****		+::::					lΛ	000
								• •	÷÷÷÷	·• • • • •	+	• • • • • •			·····	()	
			_		3	, <u> </u>			****		+		$\left\{ \cdot \right\} \leftrightarrow \left\{ \cdot \right\}$			A/	
							·····18·····	••••	$\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle \langle \cdot \rangle$			· · · · · · ×				Įχ	SS6
			_	_		Ľ	*****	• • •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		++++++	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<u> </u>			$\left \right\rangle$	
				64.9					$\dot{\cdot}$		11221				2212		
		M	<u>GLACIAL TILL</u>					• •	ŚŚŚŚ		+						
		8///	_ Silty sand with gravel and shale fragments,	-	4	ŀ	15		<u> </u>		+++++			++++++		łV	007
		<u>M</u>	trace clay, grey, (loose to compact)													ΙΛ	221
																/ \	
			-	-		t			:::::								
		(A)					14		<u>.</u>		<u>+::::</u>					N	
3		la l			_		$\cdots \cdots \odot \cdots \cdots$	• • •	$\div \div \div \div$	·: : : :	+ : - : : :	× · · · ·		+ : : : : :	÷ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷	X	SS7
107		470			5	Γ.	·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	••••	* * * *		+ : - : :	• • • • • •		+ : : : : :	·÷ ÷ • • •	\mathbb{V}	
2		()))					4				+ • • • • •					\square	
3		6XX		63.1	_	+	<u> </u>		****			× *				Å	558
¥			Auger Refusal at 5.5 m Depth														
[]																	
2								::	\vdots \vdots \vdots \vdots		1 : : : :	1 : : : :	::::				
Ş																	
									::::				::::				
Ś																	
ġ																	
3																	
Ē																	
ןפ ב									::::								
Z																	
Ś																	
ξL										. : : : :			:	1::::			
<u>-</u> [NŌ	TES:		WATE	ERL	LE	VEL RECOR	DS				CC	RE DR		CORD		
	1.	Boreh	ehole data requires interpretation by EXP before Water Hole Open Run Depth % Rec ROD %														

-											
1 ST.	NOTES:	WA	TER LEVEL RECO	RDS	CORE DRILLING RECORD						
153	use by others	Date	Water	Hole Open To (m)	Run No	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %			
OLE	2. Borehole was backfilled upon completion		2010. ()		110.	()					
REH	3. Field work supervised by an exp representative.										
BOF	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions										
LOG OF	5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23005035-A0										

	Log of Bo	rehole BH-	-04		*eyn
Project No:	OTT-23005035-A0				CAP.
Project:	Proposed High-Rise Development				1
Location:	1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario			Page. I of _	<u> </u>
Date Drilled:	'June 1, 2023	Split Spoon Sample	3	Combustible Vapour Readir	ng 🗌
Drill Type:	CME 75 Track Mounted Drill Pig	Auger Sample		Natural Moisture Content	×
Блії турс.	CIVIE-75 Track-Woullied Drill Nig	SPT (N) Value O	C	Atterberg Limits	н
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation	Dynamic Cone Test	_	Undrained Triaxial at	Ð
		Shelby Tube		% Strain at Failure	Ψ
Logged by:	JE Checked by: DW	Shear Strength by + Vane Test S	+ s	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test	

	5	S		D	5		Star	ndaro	d Pe	netration	Tes	st N Va	alue		Con	nbus	stible Var	oour	Readi	ng (ppm)	S	N-4 -
G	; ¥	SOIL DESCRIPTION	Geodetic Elevation	e p	Ľ		20)		40	60		80			25 Nati	o0 (ural Mois	500 sture	7 Conte	50 nt %	- M P	Natural Unit Wt.
			m	ĥ	i S	Shea	ar St	treng	,th	00	450		~~~	kPa	At	terb	erg Limit	ts (%	Dry V	Veight)	L	kN/m ³
	\mathbf{x}	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE ~ 50 mm thick	68.5 / 68.5	0)			, 			150		200		.			40				Δ <u>S</u> 1
	\otimes	FILL	/			÷ i ÷ i		• • • •	**												F	
	\otimes	Sand and crushed gravel, trace silt, browr	ı, _			÷ i	15				•	÷ ; ;		•••••		×					ΞV	552
	\otimes	moist, (compact)					Ĭ		÷.:												tΛ	21.1
	\otimes	₩	67.6			ŝ			ŝ					•••••							\mathbf{H}	ł
	V	- <u>SANDY SILT</u>	. –	1	ı Hit	8	÷	÷÷	÷÷									+:			ΗV	662
	V	I race to some clay, trace gravel, with sha																			A	21.0
											•						•••••	+ -	• • •		\vdash	
								•									• • • • • •	+ :	• • •		Λ	1
						0											×···	-			X	SS4
			_	2	2	***		· · · ·	***							÷		+:	<u></u>		-//\	
	K		66.3										-		[-			-]
	K	Silty sand with gravel and shale fragments	s,												[
		trace clay, grey, (loose)			-	Õ.			÷							>	(īχ	SS5
						÷		•	÷												1//	
			_	3	3 Hž	÷÷		÷	÷÷	50/125 n	nm-							+-	÷÷÷			000
	2	Auror Defused at 2.2 m Denth	65.3	-	44	÷		÷	÷										<u></u>		\downarrow	556
AURENT BLVD GINT LOGS.GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 6/28/23																						
L. N	OTE	TES:																				

1 ST.	NOTES:	WA	TER LEVEL RECOR	RDS	CORE DRILLING RECORD							
153	use by others	Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	RQD %				
OLE	2. Borehole was backfilled upon completion											
E	3. Field work supervised by an exp representative.											
BO	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions											
LOG OF	5.Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23005035-A0											

Log of Borehole <u>BH-05</u>

r toject No.	011-23003033-A0			Figure No. 7	L
Project:	Proposed High-Rise Development				
Location:	1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario			Page. I of Z	
Date Drilled:	'June 5, 2023	Split Spoon Sample	\boxtimes	Combustible Vapour Reading	
Drill Type:	CME-75 Track-Mounted Drill Rig	Auger Sample SPT (N) Value	II 0	Natural Moisture Content X Atterberg Limits \bigcirc	
Datum:	Geodetic Elevation	Dynamic Cone Test – Shelby Tube	—	Undrained Triaxial at \oplus Strain at Failure	
Logged by:	MD Checked by: DW	Shear Strength by Vane Test	+ s	Shear Strength by Penetrometer Test	

	~	S Y			Geodetic	D	Standa	d Penetration	Test N Val	ue	Combu	stible Va	pour Readii 500 7	ng (ppm) '50	SA	Natural
	Ŵ	B	SOIL DESCRIPTION		Elevation	p	20 Shear Stron	40	60 8	30 kDo	Na	tural Mois	sture Conte	ent %	P	Unit Wt.
	-	Ľ			m 60.22	h	50	100 ·	150 2	кга 00	Allen	20	40 (so	LE S	kN/m°
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$	ASPHALTIC CONCRETE ~ 25 mm th	ick /	68.3	0			1	Ĭ	×	<u> T</u>	<u> Tuit</u>	Ť	ХĨ	AS1
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$	FILL	/											F	
		\boxtimes	Sand with gravel, some silt to silty, with	n clay				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••			• • • • • • •		łV	000
		\otimes	pockets, brown grey, moist, (compact)								X	1			١Ň	552
		$\otimes\!$													1 \	
		$\times\!\!\times\!\!\times$										1			۸/	
		\bigotimes			67.2	1	5					*****			ŧγ	SS3
			SILTY CLAY to SANDY SILT												łΛ	000
			I race to some gravel, dark brown to gr	ey,											7	
			- moist, (very loose to loose/firm)	_								1.1.1.1.1		1.2.2.2.2	1/	
							4 48 kPa								tΜ	664
										+ : - : - :					łΛ	334
			_	_		2										
										<u> </u>					1	
	¥				65.92							×			Λ /	
			_	_			\odot					1			ŧγ	SS 5
										<u> : : : : :</u>	· X · ·				tΛ	000
					65.3							1			μ	
		¢,	GLACIAL TILL			3						1.3.3.1.			1/	
		$\partial \mathcal{D}$	Silty sand with gravel and shale fragme	ents,			···· 20 ···					12222	: - : : : : : :		tV	226
		6/X	trace clay, grey, (compact)									1			łΛ	330
		(A)	_									1			1	
		6.25							1			1.1.2.1.1			1	
		6/X			64.3			\cdots		+ i - i - i	· ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷	1.5.5.5	•		Ð	SS7
			SHALE BEDROCK			4						1			ÍΠ	
			Black, slightly weathered, medium stro	ng												
ł										+ : · : : :						
ł	.[].]		_	_								1.1.1.1				
Ī	·E·															CORE1
2	Ξ.							• • • • • • • • • • •	· · · · · · · · · · · ·	+::::	· ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷		• • • • • • •	+ ÷ ÷ ÷ ÷		
28/	:H:]		_	_		5						1				
9	·E·															
E	Ξ.							• • • • • • • • • • •		+ : : : : :	· · · · · · ·		: - : : : : :			
₹	:H:]		_	_								1.1.1.1			-	
¥.	·E·															
FI	E.		_	_		6		$\langle \cdot \cdot \cdot \rangle$		+ : · ? ÷ !	· · · · · · ·	$\left\{\cdot\right\}$: + : · : · : · :	\sim		
Š	÷⊞.					0										
원																CORE2
5			_	_												OUNCE
ß										• • • • • •					-	
S																
의						7						$\{\cdot\} \in \{\cdot\}$		****		
₽						ľ	·····	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•••••		1.5.6.6	· · · · · · · ·	÷::÷	-	
0 C															1	
Ž			_	_								1:::		12222	1	
Ë								• • • • • • • • • •		+ : - : - :	$\cdot \div \cdots \div$			1	1	
										1					1	
Å						8				<u> </u>	1	1	<u> </u>	1	11	CORE3
ابر ا	NO	TFS.	Continued Next Page	[-										
20	1	Boreh/	le data requires interpretation by EXP before		WATE	RL	EVEL RECO	RDS			CC	ORE DR	ILLING R	ECORD		
153	1.	use by	others	Dat	e	1	Water evel (m)	Hole Op To (m))	Run No.	Dep (m	ith 1)	% Re	c.	R	QD %

۳ ار	2.32 mm well installed upon completion
ШЩ	3. Field work supervised by an exp representative.
ğ	4. See Notes on Sample Descriptions

Project No: <u>OTT-23005035-A0</u>

LOG OF E 5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23005035-A0

	0					
WAT	TER LEVEL RECO	RDS		CORE DF	RILLING RECOR	D
Date	Water Level (m)	Hole Open To (m)	Run No.	Depth (m)	% Rec.	
'June 12, 2023	2.4		1	4 - 5.5	100	Γ
			2	5.5 - 7.1	99	
			3	7.1 - 8.7	99	
			4	8.7 - 10.1	100	
			5	10.1 - 11.7	100	
			6	11.7 - 13.2	100	
		-	7	13.2 - 14.8	100	

14.8 - 15.2

Log of Borehole <u>BH-05</u>



Project: Proposed High-Rise Development

Figure No.

	0,000		on							Page.	_2_ of	2		
	S Y			Geodetic	D	Standar	d Penetration T	est N Val	ue	Combustible 250	Vapour Read	ing (ppm) 750	SA	Natural
Ŵ	M B O	SOIL DESCRIPTION		Elevation m	p t	20 Shear Streng	40 6 gth	i0 i	30 kPa	Natural M Atterberg L	Ioisture Conte imits (% Dry	ent % Veight)	PL	Unit Wt.
	Ĺ			60.32	n 8	50	100 1	50 2	00	20	40	60	S	
		SHALE BEDROCK Black, slightly weathered, medium sti	ona											
		(continued)	5											
		_					***							
		_	_		9								-	
		_	_				····				··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		-	CORE4
		-	_		10) 	****				******		-	
		_	_				****		+ : · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		······································	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
														CORE5
		_	_		11									
		-	_											
													-	
		_	_		12									
					12									
		_	_								***		-	CORE6
		_	_		13	,	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	+ : · > <: : · + : · > : : + :		····	· • · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-	
												- -> - ->		
		-	_								******			
		_	_		14								-	CORE7
		_												
													-	
		-	_	50.4	15	; 								CORE8
		Borehole Terminated at 15.2 m	Depth	53.1							******		-	
											<u></u>			
NO	TES:			<u></u> (λ/ΔΤ	FRI		RDS					FCORD		
1.1	Boreho use bv	le data requires interpretation by EXP before others	Dete			Water	Hole Ope	en	Run	Depth		ec.	R	QD %
2	~) 32 mm	well installed upon completion		2022	L	_evel (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)	10	<u> </u>		10
31	Field w	ork supervised by an exp representative		2023		2.4			2	4 - 5.5 5.5 - 7.1	99	,		9 64
4.5	See No	tes on Sample Descriptions							3	7.1 - 8.7	99			77

Project No: OTT-23005035-A0

LOG OF BOREHOLE 1531 ST. LAURENT BLVD GINT LOGS. GPJ TROW OTTAWA.GDT 6/28/23 5. Log to be read with EXP Report OTT-23005035-A0

	Water	r Hole Open		Run	Depth	% Rec.	Γ
	Level (m)	To (m)		No.	(m)		
	2.4			1	4 - 5.5	100	Γ
				2	5.5 - 7.1	99	
				3	7.1 - 8.7	99	
				4	8.7 - 10.1	100	
				5	10.1 - 11.7	100	
				6	11.7 - 13.2	100	
				7	13.2 - 14.8	100	
				8	14.8 - 15.2	88	

14.8 - 15.2 8

95

100 92 100

100-2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6

Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate ASTM C-136



Unified Soil Classification System

EXP Project No.:	OTT-23005035-A0	Project Name :	ject Name : Proposed High-Rise Redevelopment										
Client :	Katasa Groupe	Project Location	n :	1531 St. Lauren	t Blvd, C	Ottawa, Ontario							
Date Sampled :	June 1, 2023	Borehole No:		BH3	Sample	: G	iS1	Depth (m) :	0.1-0.2				
Sample Composition :		Gravel (%)	55	Sand (%)	37	Silt & Clay (%)	8	Figuro :	0				
Sample Description :	Poorly Graded Gravel with Silt and Sand (GP-GM)								ð				

Percent Passing

*ех



Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422



EXP Project No.:	OTT-23005035-A0	Project Name :		Proposed High-	Rise Red	levelopment	t			
Client :	Katasa Groupe	Project Location :	ect Location : 1531 St Laurent Blvd, Ottawa, ON							
Date Sampled :	June 1, 2023	Borehole No:		BH2	Sam	ple No.:	SS	64	Depth (m) :	1.5-2.1
Sample Description :		% Silt and Clay	98	% Sand	2	% Gravel		0	Figuro :	٥
									riguie.	9

Silty Clay of Low Plasticity (CL)

Percent Passing

Sample Description :



Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Particle Size Analysis of Soil ASTM C-136/ASTM D422



EXP Project No.:	OTT-23005035-A0	Project Name :		Proposed High-	Rise Re	development				
Client :	Katasa Groupe	Project Location	:	1531 St Laurent	Blvd, O	ttawa, ON				
Date Sampled :	d : June 1, 2023 Borehole No: BH3 Sample No.:				SS	5	Depth (m) :	3.0-3.6		
Sample Description :		% Silt and Clay	57	% Sand	34	% Gravel		9	Figuro :	10
Sample Description :	San	dy Silt (ML)					rigure .	10	

100-2650 Queensview Drive

3"

100

GRAVEL

Coarse

Grain-Size Distribution Curve Method of Test For Sieve Analysis of Aggregate **ASTM C-136**

Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6



Unified Soil Classification System

Grain size (mm)

EXP Project No.:	OTT-23005035-A0	Project Name :		Proposed High	-Rise Re	development			
Client :	Katasa Groupe	Project Locatio	n :	1531 St. Laurer	nt Blvd, C	Ottawa, Ontario			
Date Sampled :	June 1, 2023	Borehole No:		BH4	Sample	: S	S4	Depth (m) :	2.3-2.9
Sample Composition :		Gravel (%)	30	Sand (%)	40	Silt & Clay (%)	30	Eiguro I	44
Sample Description :		Silty Sand	Silty Sand with Gravel (SM)						11

*ех

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Appendix A – Borehole Logs from Previous Investigations



Page 1 of 1 **MW1-21**

DST Project No. 02105693.000

Client 101381 Canada Inc. & Coffee Factory Inc. Project Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd, Ottawa, ON

	(u		;	uo	(m)		Material Description		be	tecov	CCGD / PID Reading		Ana	alysis	or labor	ratory a	analysis	Remarks
Danth (m)	Flevation	Water levi		Well constructi	<i>Depth (m)</i> Elevation	Symbol		Sample #	Sample Ty	% Samp. F	CCGD	DIA	PAHs	PHC/BTEX	Metals / Inorganics	VOCs	Others	
87 F					0 0.05		ASPHALT FILL - gravel, trace sand, grey, moist											Monitoring Well Protected with Flushmount Casing
DST LOGO.0	5				0.3		- some sand											
VT LIB/LIBRARY -								SS1		45	0 ppm	5 ppm						
4.2020/GIN	.0				0.9	 	CLAYEY SAND - some gravel, brown, damp											PHC odour
DM HOME 03.2						·/·.		SS2		25	570 ppm	23 ppm						
	.5		<u>_</u>		1.5		- grey											Groundwater level at 1.56 mbgs on May 11, 2021.
	.0					/. /. /.		SS3		70	240 ppm	130 ppm						PHC sheen on water
JMENDOZA						/. /.				1								
C:\USERS	.5				2.3	/-, /-,	- wet											
3PJ Library						/·. /.		SS4		80	560 ppm	210 ppm		~		~		
-BLVD ESA.	.0					/. /.				1								
					3.1	, ,	- grey, wet											faint PHC odour
V02105693	.5					./., ./.,		SS5		20	0 ppm	28 ppm						
03.24.2020					3.7		SANDY GRAVEL - grey			1								
	.0																	
ITS\WORK F								SS6		60	0 ppm	6 ppm						
	.5						End of Borehole at 4.5 m.			1								-
RS/JMENDOZ	0																	
File: C:\USE																		



Page 1 of 1 **MW2-21**

DST Project No. 02105693.000

Client 101381 Canada Inc. & Coffee Factory Inc.

 ${}_{\mbox{Project}}$ Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd, Ottawa, ON

	-	Ì _	5	(n		Material Description		ЭС	ecov	CCGD / PID Reading		Analysis Submitted for laboratory analy			atory a	nalvsis	Remarks
Danth (m)	Elevation /	Water leve	Well constructio	<i>Depth (m)</i> Elevation (Symbol		Sample #	Sample Tyl	% Samp. R	CCCGD	D d	PAHs	PHC/BTEX	Metals / Inorganics	VOCs	Others	
				0 0.075		ASPHALT FILL - GRAVEL, trace sand, grey, moist											Monitoring Well Protected with Flushmount Casing
INT LIB/LIBRARY - DST LOGO.	.5						SS1		50	0 ppm	0 ppm						
	.0			0.9		- SAND, trace gravel, brown, moist CLAYEY SAND - grey, moist	SS2		65	0 ppm	1 ppm						
	.0	Ţ			· / · / · / · / ·		SS3		75	0 ppm	0 ppm						Groundwater level at 1.78 mbgs on May 11, 2021.
				2.3		- some gravel, grey, moist											
SA.GPJ Library: C:N	.5				· / · / · / · / · / · / ·		SS4		100	0 ppm	0 ppm						
	.0				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		SS5		100	0 ppm	2 ppm		~		~		
- 3.	.5			3.4		POSSIBLE BEDROCK - (Augered) highly weathered and fractured											
	.0			3.9		- no recovery											
	.5					End of Borehole at 4.4 m.											
	.0																



Page 1 of 1 **MW3-21**

DST Project No. 02105693.000

Client 101381 Canada Inc. & Coffee Factory Inc.

Project Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd, Ottawa, ON

	(m)	0	uo	_ (u		Material Description		,pe	Recov	CCGD / PID Reading		Analysis Submitted for laboratory analy				inalysis	Remarks
Depth (m)	Elevation	Water lev	Well constructi	<i>Depth (m,</i> Elevation	Symbol		Sample #	Sample Ty	% Samp. F	CCGD	DID	PAHs	PHC/BTEX	Metals / Inorganics	VOCs	Others	
				0 0.075		ASPHALT FILL - GRAVEL, trace sand, grey, moist	1										Monitoring Well Protected w Flushmount Casing
0.5				0.6		- SILTY SAND trace gravel, brown, moist	SS1		65	0 ppm	0 ppm						
-1.0				0.9		- some gravel CLAYEY SAND - brown, moist	SS2		75	0 ppm	0 ppm						
-2.0		Ţ					SS3		100	0 ppm	0 ppm						Groundwater level at 1.84 mt on May 11, 2021.
2.5							SS4		100	0 ppm	0 ppm						
-3.0				3.1		- some gravel, dark brown, moist to wet											
3.5				•			SS5		75	0 ppm	0 ppm		✓ 		✓ 		
4.0					1 . 1 . 1 . 1		SS6		80	0 ppm	0 ppm						
4.5				4.6	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	SANDY GRAVEL - trace clay, grey, wet	SS7		25	0 ppm	0 ppm						
-5.0						End of Borehole at 5.2 m.											



Page 1 of 1 **MW4-21**

DST Project No. 02105693.000

Client 101381 Canada Inc. & Coffee Factory Inc.

Project Phase I/II Environmental Site Assessment

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd, Ottawa, ON

	Э ш	-	uc	я ш		Material Description		эс	ecov	CCGD / PID Reading		Analysis Submitted for laboratory analy				inalvsis	Remarks
Depth (m)	Elevation (Water leve	Well constructio	<i>Depth (m)</i> Elevation (Symbol		Sample #	Sample Ty	% Samp. R	CCGD	DId	PAHs	PHC/BTEX	Metals / Inorganics	NOCs	Others	
-				0 0.075		ASPHALT FILL - GRAVEL, trace sand, grey, moist	1										Monitoring Well Protected with Flushmount Casing
- 0.5				0.5		CLAYEY SAND - trace gravel, brown, moist	SS1		50	0 ppm	0 ppm						
-1.0							SS2		75	0 ppm	1 ppm						
- 2.0		Ţ					SS3		100	0 ppm	0 ppm		~		~	~	Other: pH Groundwater level at 1.89 mbgs on May 11, 2021.
- 2.5				2.3		- grey, wet	SS4		80	0 ppm	0 ppm						
- 3.0 - 3.5 -				3.5	· / · / · / · / · / · · · · · · · · · ·	SANDY GRAVEL - some clay, dark brown, wet	555		60	0 ppm	0 ppm						
-4.0					· △ · △ · △ · △ · △	End of Borehole at 4.1 m.	SS6		75	0 ppm	1 ppm						
- 4.5 -																	
-5.0																	



Page 1 of 1 BHMW22-01

DST Project No. 02105693.001

Client Katasa Groupe + Développement

Project Supplemental Phase II ESA

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd., Ottawa, ON

Date January 24, 2022 Method Hollow Stem Auger Diameter 200 mm

ubrary: C:\USERS\MENDOZA\ONEDRIVE - ENGLOBE CORP\DOCUMENTS\WORK FROM HOME 03.24.2020\02105693 ST LAURENT\GINT FILES\02 **Material Description** CHVC / PID Analysis Remarks % Sample Recov. *Depth (m)* Elevation (m) Elevation (m) Well construction Sample Type Submitted for laboratory analysis Water level Depth (m) Sample # PHC/BTEX Symbol Metals Others CCGD PAHs VOCs DID ASPHALT 0 Monitoring well protected with flushmount casing FILL - SILTY SAND, grey, damp, loose 0.15 SS1 0.5 SILTY CLAY - grey, damp, compact 0.75 1.0 SS2 0 ppm 0 ppm Templore: DST - ENVIRONMENTAL LOG SHEET A1 Date: February 3, 2022 Ster C:\USERS\MendoZA\ONEDRIVE - ENGLOBE CORP\DOCUMENTS\WORK FROM HOME 03.24.2020\02105693 ST LAURENT\GINT FILES\02105693 ST LAURENT PHASE II ESA.GPJ 1.5 1.5 grey/blue, dense SS3 0 ppm 0 ppm 2.0 Groundwater level at 2.26 mbgs on January 26, 2022. 2.5 SS4 \checkmark maa 0 maa 0 CLAY - grey, damp, compact 2.85 3.0 SS5 0 ppm 0 ppm 3.5 - with gravel, black, loose 3.75 4.0 SSE \checkmark 0 ppm 0 ppm 4.4 - with rock (shale), grey/blue, dense 4.5 SS7 0 ppm 0 ppm 5.0 POSSIBLE ROCK - (Augered) highly weathered and fracrtured 5.2 5.5 6.0 End of Borehole at 6.1 m.



Page 1 of 1 BHMW22-02

DST Project No. 02105693.001

Client Katasa Groupe + Développement

Project Supplemental Phase II ESA

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd., Ottawa, ON

End of Borehole at 6.1 m.

Date January 24, 2022 Method Hollow Stem Auger Diameter 200 mm

ubrary: C:\USERS\MENDOZA\ONEDRIVE - ENGLOBE CORP\DOCUMENTS\WORK FROM HOME 03.24.2020\02105693 ST LAURENT\GINT FILES\02 **Material Description CHVC / PID** Analysis Remarks % Sample Recov. *Depth (m)* Elevation (m) Elevation (m) Well construction Sample Type Submitted for laboratory analysis Water level Depth (m) Sample # PHC/BTEX Symbol Metals Others CCGD PAHs VOCs DID ASPHALT 0 Monitoring well protected with flushmount casing FILL - SAND & GRAVEL, trace silt, grey 0.15 SS1 0 ppm 0 ppm 0.5 - GRAVEL, loose 0.6 1.0 SS2 0 ppm 1 ppm SILTY SAND - some gravel, compact, moist 1.35 ·|• Templore: DST - ENVIRONMENTAL LOG SHEET A1 Date: February 3, 2022 Ster C:\USERS\MendoZA\ONEDRIVE - ENGLOBE CORP\DOCUMENTS\WORK FROM HOME 03.24.2020\02105693 ST LAURENT\GINT FILES\02105693 ST LAURENT PHASE II ESA.GPJ 1.5 T L SS3 0 ppm 0 ppm Groundwater level at 1.85 mbgs on January 26, 2022. 2.0 CLAY - grey/green, moist, compact 2.1 2.5 SS4 \checkmark maa 0 maa 0 2.85 - grey, wet 3.0 SS5 maa 0 0 ppm 3.5 some gravel 3.6 4.0 SS6 \checkmark 0 ppm 0 ppm 4.4 light grey 4.5 SS7 0 ppm 0 ppm 5.0 - dark grey 5.2 5.5 SS8 mag 0 0 ppm 6.0



BHMW22-03 Page 1 of 1

DST Project No. 02105693.001

Client Katasa Groupe + Développement

Project Supplemental Phase II ESA

Address 1531 St. Laurent Blvd., Ottawa, ON

Date January 28, 2022 Method Portable Drilling Equipment Diameter 125 mm

ubrary: C:\USERS\MENDOZA\ONEDRIVE - ENGLOBE CORP\DOCUMENTS\WORK FROM HOME 03.24.2020\02105693 ST LAURENT\GINT FILES\02 **Material Description** CHVC / PID Analysis Remarks % Sample Recov *Depth (m)* Elevation (m) Elevation (m) Well construction Sample Type Submitted for laboratory analysis Water level Depth (m) Sample # PHC/BTEX Symbol Metals Others CCGD PAHs VOCs DID CONCRETE 0 Monitoring well protected with flushmount casing FILL - granular 0.15 Groundwater level at 0.24 mbgs on January 31, 2022. 0.5 1.0 SANDY CLAY - grey, moist, loose 1.2 Template: DST - ENVIRONMENTAL LOG SHEET A1 Date: February 3, 2022 File: C:\USERS\MENDOZA\ONEDRIVE - ENGLOBE CORP\DOCUMENTS\WORK FROM HOME 03.24.2020\02105693 ST LAURENT\GINT FILES\02105693 ST LAURENT PHASE II ESA.GPJ SS1 \checkmark 1.5 0 ppm CRUSHED STONE 1.8 ۵ 2.0 ø 2.5 ۵ ۵ ۵ . p 3.0 End of Borehole at 3.0 m. Inferred Bedrock 3 3.5 4.0 4.5 5.0 5.5 6.0

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Appendix B – Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves Survey Report by GPR



100 – 2545 Delorimier StreetTel. : (450) 679-2400Longueuil (Québec)Fax : (514) 521-4128Canada J4K 3P7info@geophysicsgpr.comwww.geophysicsgpr.com

June 27th, 2023

Transmitted by email: <u>ismail.taki@exp.com</u> Our Ref.: GPR23-04556-b

Mr. Ismail Taki, M.Eng., P.Eng. Senior Manager, Earth & Environment, Eastern Region **exp** Services inc. 100 – 2650 Queensview Drive Ottawa ON K2B 8H6

Subject:Shear Wave Velocity Sounding for the Site Class Determination1531 St-Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa (ON)

[Project: OTT-23005035-A0]

Dear Sir,

Geophysics GPR International inc. has been mandated by **exp** Services inc. to carry out seismic surveys at 1531 St-Laurent Boulevard, in Ottawa (ON). The geophysical investigation used the Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves (MASW), the Spatial AutoCorrelation (SPAC), and the seismic refraction methods. From the subsequent results, the seismic shear wave velocity values were calculated for the soil and the rock, to determine the Site Class.

The surveys were carried out on June 14th, 2023, by Mr. Mario Nucciarone, B.Sc. geophysics and Mrs. Anne-Catherine Cyr, trainee. Figure 1 shows the regional location of the site and Figure 2 illustrates the location of the seismic spreads. Both figures are presented in the Appendix.

The following paragraphs briefly describe the survey design, the principles of the testing methods, and the results presented in table and graph.

MASW PRINCIPLE

The *Multi-channel Analysis of Surface Waves* (MASW) and the *SPatial AutoCorrelation* (SPAC or MAM for *Microtremors Array Method*) are seismic methods used to evaluate the shear wave velocities of subsurface materials through the analysis of the dispersion properties of the Rayleigh surface wave. The MASW is considered an "active" method, as the seismic signal is induced at known location and time in the geophones' spread axis. Conversely, the SPAC is considered a "passive" method, using the low frequency "signals" produced far away. The method can also be used with "active" seismic source records. The SPAC method generally allows deeper Vs soundings. Its dispersion curve can then be merged with the one of higher frequency from the MASW to calculate a more complete inversion. The dispersion properties are expressed as a change of velocities with respect to frequencies. Surface wave energy will decay exponentially with depth. Lower frequency surface waves will travel deeper and thus be more influenced by deeper velocity layering than the shallow higher frequency waves. The inversion of the Rayleigh wave dispersion curve yields a shear wave (V_S) velocity depth profile (sounding).

Figure 3 schematically outlines the basic operating procedure for the MASW method. Figure 4 illustrates an example of one of the MASW/SPAC records, the corresponding spectrogram analysis and resulting 1D V_s model.

INTERPRETATION

The main processing sequence involved data inspection and edition when required; spectral analysis ("phase shift" for MASW, and "cross-correlation" for SPAC); picking the fundamental mode; and 1D inversion of the MASW and SPAC shot records using the SeisImagerSW[™] software. The data inversions used a nonlinear least squares algorithm.

In theory, all the shot records for a given seismic spread should produce a similar shearwave velocity profile. In practice, however, differences can arise due to energy dissipation, local surface seismic velocities variations, and/or dipping of overburden layers or rock. In general, the precision of the calculated seismic shear wave velocities (V_s) is around 15% or better.

More detailed descriptions of these methods are presented in *Shear Wave Velocity Measurement Guidelines for Canadian Seismic Site Characterization in Soil and Rock*, Hunter, J.A., Crow, H.L., et al., Geological Surveys of Canada, General Information Product 110, 2015.



SURVEY DESIGN

The seismic spreads were installed on a parking lot (Figure 2). The geophone spacing was 3.0 metres for the main spread, using 24 geophones. Two shorter seismic spreads, with geophone spacings of 0.5 and 1.0 metre, were dedicated to the near surface materials. The seismic records were produced with a seismograph Terraloc Pro 2 (from ABEM Instrument), and the geophones were 4.5 Hz.

The seismic records counted 4096 data, sampled at 1000 μ s for the MASW surveys, and at 40 μ s for the seismic refraction. The records included a pre-trigged portion of 10 ms. An 8 kg sledgehammer was used as the energy source, with impacts being recorded off both ends of the seismic spreads. A stacking procedure was also used to improve the Signal / Noise ratio for the seismic records.

The shear wave depth sounding can be considered as the average of the bulk area within the geophone spread, especially for its central half-length.

RESULTS

The MASW calculated V_s results are illustrated at Figure 5. Some low seismic velocities were calculated between 0.5 and 2 metres deep.

The \overline{V}_{S30} value results from the harmonic mean of the shear wave velocities, from the surface to 30 metres deep. It is calculated by dividing the total depth of interest (30 metres) by the sum of the time spent in each velocity layer from the surface down to 30 metres, as:

 $\overline{V}_{S30} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i / V_i} \mid \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_i = 30 \text{ m}$ (N: number of layer; H_i : thickness of layer "*i*"; V_i : V_s of layer "*i*")

Thus, the \overline{V}_{S30} value represents the seismic shear wave velocity of an equivalent homogeneous single layer response, between the surface and 30 metres deep.

The calculated \overline{V}_{S30} value of the actual site is 945.0 m/s (Table 1), corresponding to the Site Class "B". However, the Site Classes A and B are not to be used if there is 3 metres or more of soils between the rock and the bottom of the spread footing, pile cap or mat foundation. In the case the bottom of the foundation would be 1.4 metres or less from the rock, the \overline{V}_{S30}^* value would be greater than 1500 m/s, corresponding to the Site Class "A" (Table 2).



CONCLUSION

Geophysical surveys were carried out to identify the Site Class at 1531 St-Laurent Boulevard, in Ottawa (ON). The seismic surveys used the MASW and the SPAC analysis, and the seismic refraction to calculate the \overline{V}_{S30} value. Its calculation is presented at Table 1.

The \overline{V}_{S30} value of the actual site is 945 m/s, corresponding to the Site Class "B" (760 < $\overline{V}_{S30} \leq 1500$ m/s), as determined through the MASW and SPAC methods, Table 4.1.8.4.-A of the NBC (2015), and the Building Code, O. Reg. 332/12. It must be noted that the Site Classes A and B are not to be used if there is 3 metres or more of soils between the rock and the bottom of the spread footing, pile cap or mat foundation.

In the case the bottom of the foundation would be 1.4 metres or less from the rock surface, the \overline{V}_{S30}^* value would be greater than 1500 m/s, corresponding to the Site Class "A" (\overline{V}_{S30} > 1500 m/s).

It must also be noted that other geotechnical information gleaned on site; including the presence of liquefiable soils, very soft clays, high moisture content etc. (cf. Table 4.1.8.4.-A of the NBC 2015) can supersede the Site classification provided in this report based on the \overline{V}_{S30} value.

The V_s values calculated are representative of the in situ materials and are not corrected for the total and effective stresses.

Hoping the whole to your satisfaction, we remain yours truly,

high P. Eng.

Jean-Luc Arsenault, M.A.Sc., P.Eng. Senior Project Manager







Figure 1: Regional location of the Site (source: OpenStreetMap©)



Figure 2: Location of the seismic spreads (source: geoOttawa)





Figure 3: MASW Operating Principle



Figure 4: Example of a MASW/SPAC record, Phase Velocity - Frequency curve of the Rayleigh wave and resulting 1D Shear Wave Velocity Model





Figure 5: MASW Shear-Wave Velocity Sounding



	TABLE 1			
V _{S30} Calculation fo	r the Site	Class	(actual	site)

Douth		Vs		Thiskness	Cumulative	Delay for	Cumulative	Vs at given
Depth	Min.	Median	Max.	Inickness	Thickness	med. Vs	Delay	Depth
(m)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m)	(m)	(s)	(s)	(m/s)
0	182.9	208.2	246.4		Grad	e Level (June 1	4, 2023)	
0.5	164.3	171.7	183.9	0.50	0.50	0.002401	0.002401	208.2
1.0	155.8	172.1	179.4	0.50	1.00	0.002911	0.005312	188.2
1.5	174.0	203.2	276.0	0.50	1.50	0.002906	0.008218	182.5
2.0	207.7	236.7	286.0	0.50	2.00	0.002461	0.010679	187.3
3.0	463.7	547.3	594.5	1.00	3.00	0.004226	0.014905	201.3
4.0	1195.2	1336.1	1394.7	1.00	4.00	0.001827	0.016732	239.1
5.0	1421.2	1606.6	1685.9	1.00	5.00	0.000748	0.017480	286.0
7.0	1558.3	1683.7	1807.9	2.00	7.00	0.001245	0.018725	373.8
9.0	1669.4	1706.7	1809.8	2.00	9.00	0.001188	0.019913	452.0
12.0	1676.4	1744.8	1834.1	3.00	12.00	0.001758	0.021671	553.7
16.0	1687.1	1781.1	1847.9	4.00	16.00	0.002293	0.023963	667.7
20.0	1680.9	1799.2	1864.6	4.00	20.00	0.002246	0.026209	763.1
25.0	1689.4	1812.9	1903.7	5.00	25.00	0.002779	0.028988	862.4
30				5.00	30.00	0.002758	0.031746	945.0
							.	045.0
							VS30 (m/s)	945.0
							Class	B ⁽¹⁾

(1) The Site Classes A and B are not to be used if there is 3 metres or more of soils between the rock and the bottom of the spread footing, pile cap or mat foundation.

TABLE 2 Limit for the Site Class A

Donth		Vs		Thicknose	Cumulative	Delay for	Cumulative	Vs at given
Depth	Min.	Median	Max.	Inickness	Thickness	med. Vs	Delay	Depth
(m)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m/s)	(m)	(m)	(s)	(s)	(m/s)
0	182.9	208.2	246.4					
0.5	164.3	171.7	183.9					
1.0	155.8	172.1	179.4	1	l insit for the f		4 matrice of ea:11	
1.5	174.0	203.2	276.0		Limit for the a	Sile Class A (1.	4 metres of son)	
2.0	207.7	236.7	286.0	1				
2.6	207.7	236.7	286.0	1				
3.0	463.7	547.3	594.5	0.40	0.40	0.001690	0.001690	236.7
4.0	1195.2	1336.1	1394.7	1.00	1.40	0.001827	0.003517	398.0
5.0	1421.2	1606.6	1685.9	1.00	2.40	0.000748	0.004266	562.6
7.0	1558.3	1683.7	1807.9	2.00	4.40	0.001245	0.005511	798.4
9.0	1669.4	1706.7	1809.8	2.00	6.40	0.001188	0.006699	955.4
12.0	1676.4	1744.8	1834.1	3.00	9.40	0.001758	0.008456	1111.6
16.0	1687.1	1781.1	1847.9	4.00	13.40	0.002293	0.010749	1246.6
20.0	1680.9	1799.2	1864.6	4.00	17.40	0.002246	0.012995	1339.0
25.0	1689.4	1812.9	1903.7	5.00	22.40	0.002779	0.015774	1420.1
32.6				7.60	30.00	0.004192	0.019966	1502.6

1502.6 Vs30 (m/s) Class Α

Class



EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Appendix C – Bedrock Core Photographs

	0 m	DRY BEDROCK CORES	
		WET BEDROCK CORES	
6	.0 m		
	Tel		
	7.2	m	
	C		
	*e	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON, K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH2	^{Core Runs} Run 1: 6.0 m - 7.2 m Run 2: 7.2 m - 8.8 m	Project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023	-	1531 St. Laurent Boulevard Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-1

		DRY BEDROCK CORES	
	TELL &		P
	a tal	8.8 m	
		WET BEDROCK CORES	
		8.8 m	
	CT +		
	*e	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH2	^{Core Runs} Run 2: 7.2 m - 8.8 m Run 3: 8.8 m - 10.3 m	project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-2

		DRY BEDROCK CORES	
	Run3 Confided		94
	10.3 m		
			11.8 m
	- Separation and a	WET BEDROCK CORES	
	Run3 Contin-et		иција 19 ат
	10.3 m		
	CE LI		
			11.8 m
		EXP Services Inc.	
	**e	t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH2	^{Core Runs} Run 3: 8.8 m - 10.3 m Run 4: 10.3 m - 11.8 m	Project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-3

		DRY BEDROCK CORES	
(11.8 m		Ľ
		13.3 m	
	ent Pett Gibble And Sec	WET BEDROCK CORES	
	11.8 m		
		13.3 m	
	*e	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH2	^{Core Runs} Run 5: 11.8 m - 13.3 m Run 6: 13.3 m - 14.8 m	project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-4

		DRY BEDROCK CORES	
	Continue	NEED CONTRACT	
		14.8 m	
		15.4 m	•
		WET BEDROCK CORES	
	Runs		
		14.8 m	
		15.4 m	
	*6	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com	
		2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH2	^{Core Runs} Run 6: 13.3 m - 14.8 m Run 7: 14.8m - 15.4 m	oroject Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-5

		DRY BEDROCK CORES	
	Run I		
			T
		5.5 m	n
	CINE I		
		WET BEDROCK CORES	
4	.0 m		The second se
			T
		5.5 m	
	CANCE.	Less Less Less Less	
	*	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com	
		2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH5	^{Core Runs} Run 1: 4.0 m - 5.5 m Run 2: 5.5 m - 7.1 m	project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-6

	A STATISTICS OF A STATISTICS O	DRY	BEDROCK CORES		
			- 18507	7.1 m	8-11)
	X III	KIT			
				Data and	
	CAREB REAL STORE	WET B	EDROCK CORES	Bellottere er ander non-abbass	
	Date				
				7.1 m	
		NAMES OF THE OWNER O		enotações not manifelique	
	**e	EXP Se t: +1.613.6 2650 Que Ottawa, O	ervices Inc. www 688.1899 f: +1.613.22 ensview Drive, Suite 1 N K2B 8H6, Canada	.exp.com 25.7337 00	
Borehole No: BH5	Core Runs Run 2: 5.5 m - 7.1 m Run 3: 7.1 m - 8.7 m	project Pro	Geotechnical Investig oposed High-Rise Dev 1531 St. Laurent Bou	ation - elopment levard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs			FIG C-7
		DRY BEDROCK CORES			
---------------------	---	--	--------------------------------		
		8.7 m	4 		
		III HAT MODELLA Y			
		100000000000000000000000000000000000000			
		WET BEDROCK CORES	10.1 m		
		8.7 m	14 14 16		
	TT				
	1 Alton		10.1 m		
	*e	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada			
Borehole No: BH5	^{Core Runs} Run 3: 7.1 m - 8.7 m Run 4: 8.7 m - 10.1 m	project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0		
June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-8		

		DRY BEDROCK CORES							
[10.1 m	201 Martin Participation of the Card							
			2. Contraction of the second s						
	11.7 m								
		WET BEDROCK CORES							
	10.1 m								
	11.7 m								
	*e	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada							
Borehole No:	Core Runs	project Geotechnical Investigation -	Project N0:						
BH5	Run 5: 10.1 m-11.7 m Run 6: 11.7 m-13.2 m	Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	OTT-23005035-A0						
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-9						

		DRY BEDROCK CORES	
		1 13.2 m	
	REAL - (MI	WET BEDROCK CORES	
		13.2 m	
		EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com	
	*e	t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa, ON K2B 8H6, Canada	
Borehole No: BH5	Core Runs Run 6: 11.7 m-13.2 m Run 7: 13.2 m-14.8 m	^{project} Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0
Date Cored		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-10

	A second	DRY BEDROCK CORES					
		14.8 m					
		15.2 m					
	a subset of the second						
		WET BEDROCK CORES					
14.8 m							
		15.2 m	I				
	*e	EXP Services Inc. www.exp.com t: +1.613.688.1899 f: +1.613.225.7337 2650 Queensview Drive, Suite 100 Ottawa ON K2B 8H6 Canada					
Borehole No: BH5	^{Core Runs} Run 7: 13.2 m-14.8 m Run 8: 14.8 m-15.2 m	project Geotechnical Investigation - Proposed High-Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard	Project N0: OTT-23005035-A0				
Date Cored 'June 5, 2023		Rock Core Photographs	FIG C-10				

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Appendix D – Laboratory Certificate of Analysis



CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC 2650 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE, UNIT 100 OTTAWA, ON K2B8H6 (613) 688-1899 ATTENTION TO: Daniel Wall PROJECT: OTT-23003035-A0 AGAT WORK ORDER: 23Z032873 SOIL ANALYSIS REVIEWED BY: Nivine Basily, Inorganics Report Writer DATE REPORTED: Jun 12, 2023 PAGES (INCLUDING COVER): 5 VERSION*: 1

Should you require any information regarding this analysis please contact your client services representative at (905) 712-5100

*Notes	

Disclaimer:

- All work conducted herein has been done using accepted standard protocols, and generally accepted practices and methods. AGAT test methods may
 incorporate modifications from the specified reference methods to improve performance.
- All samples will be disposed of within 30 days after receipt unless a Long Term Storage Agreement is signed and returned. Some specialty analysis may be exempt, please contact your Client Project Manager for details.
- AGAT's liability in connection with any delay, performance or non-performance of these services is only to the Client and does not extend to any other third party. Unless expressly agreed otherwise in writing, AGAT's liability is limited to the actual cost of the specific analysis or analyses included in the services.
- This Certificate shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of the laboratory.
- The test results reported herewith relate only to the samples as received by the laboratory.
- Application of guidelines is provided "as is" without warranty of any kind, either expressed or implied, including, but not limited to, warranties of
 merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. AGAT assumes no responsibility for any errors or omissions in the guidelines
 contained in this document.
- All reportable information as specified by ISO/IEC 17025:2017 is available from AGAT Laboratories upon request.
- For environmental samples in the Province of Quebec: The analysis is performed on and results apply to samples as received. A temperature above 6°C upon receipt, as indicated in the Sample Reception Notification (SRN), could indicate the integrity of the samples has been compromised if the delay between sampling and submission to the laboratory could not be minimized.

AGAT Laboratories (V1)

ľ

Member of: Association of Professional Engineers and Geoscientists of Alberta
(APEGA)
Mostorn Envire Agricultural Laboratory Appagiation (M/EALA)

(APEGA) Western Enviro-Agricultural Laboratory Association (WEALA) Environmental Services Association of Alberta (ESAA) AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. Measurement Uncertainty is not taken into consideration when stating conformity with a specified requirement.

Page 1 of 5



Certificate of Analysis

AGAT WORK ORDER: 23Z032873 PROJECT: OTT-23003035-A0

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

SAMPLING SITE:

5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

ATTENTION TO: Daniel Wall

SAMPLED BY:

(Soil) Inorganic Chemistry									
DATE RECEIVED: 2023-06-06						DATE REPORTED: 2023-06-12			
	_			BH2 run 2					
	S	AMPLE DES	CRIPTION:	30'-31'4"	BH3 SS7 15'-17'				
		SAM	PLE TYPE:	Soil	Soil				
		DATE	SAMPLED:	2023-06-05	2023-06-06				
Parameter	Unit	G/S	RDL	5048676	5048679				
Chloride (2:1)	µg/g		2	22	12				
Sulphate (2:1)	µg/g		2	115	18				
pH (2:1)	pH Units		NA	8.90	9.83				
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	ohm.cm		1	2770	1880				

Comments: RDL - Reported Detection Limit; G / S - Guideline / Standard

5048676-5048679 EC, pH, Chloride and Sulphate were determined on the extract obtained from the 2:1 leaching procedure (2 parts DI water: 1 part soil). Resistivity is a calculated parameter. Redox potential measured on as received sample. Due to the potential for rapid change in sample equilibrium chemistry with exposure to oxidative/reduction conditions laboratory results may differ from field measured results.

Analysis performed at AGAT Toronto (unless marked by *)



Certified By:

Back



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

Quality Assurance

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

PROJECT: OTT-23003035-A0

SAMPLING SITE:

AGAT WORK ORDER: 23Z032873

ATTENTION TO: Daniel Wall

SAMPLED BY:

						-									
RPT Date: Jun 12, 2023				OUPLICAT	E		REFEREN	ICE MA	TERIAL	METHOD	BLANK	SPIKE	MAT	RIX SPI	KE
PARAMETER	Batch	Batch Sample Dup #1 Dup #2 RPD Method Blank Measured Limits Value Lower Upper	ptable nits	Recovery	Acceptable Limits		Recovery	Acceptable Limits							
							value	Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper		Lower	Upper
(Soil) Inorganic Chemistry															
Chloride (2:1)	5041676		59	59	0.0%	< 2	96%	70%	130%	96%	80%	120%	101%	70%	130%
Sulphate (2:1)	5041676		72	72	0.0%	< 2	98%	70%	130%	96%	80%	120%	100%	70%	130%
pH (2:1)	5051017		8.07	8.09	0.2%	NA	94%	80%	120%						

Comments: NA signifies Not Applicable.

pH duplicates QA acceptance criteria was met relative as stated in Table 5-15 of Analytical Protocol document.





AGAT QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORT (V1)

Page 3 of 5

AGAT Laboratories is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) and/or Standards Council of Canada (SCC) for specific tests listed on the scope of accreditation. AGAT Laboratories (Mississauga) is also accredited by the Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation Inc. (CALA) for specific drinking water tests. Accreditations are location and parameter specific. A complete listing of parameters for each location is available from www.cala.ca and/or www.scc.ca. The tests in this report may not necessarily be included in the scope of accreditation. RPDs calculated using raw data. The RPD may not be reflective of duplicate values shown, due to rounding of final results.



5835 COOPERS AVENUE MISSISSAUGA, ONTARIO CANADA L4Z 1Y2 TEL (905)712-5100 FAX (905)712-5122 http://www.agatlabs.com

Method Summary

CLIENT NAME: EXP SERVICES INC

PROJECT: OTT-23003035-A0

AGAT WORK ORDER: 23Z032873

ATTENTION TO: Daniel Wall

SAMPLING SITE:		SAMPLED BY:							
PARAMETER	AGAT S.O.P	LITERATURE REFERENCE	ANALYTICAL TECHNIQUE						
Soil Analysis	ł								
Chloride (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH						
Sulphate (2:1)	INOR-93-6004	modified from SM 4110 B	ION CHROMATOGRAPH						
рН (2:1)	INOR 93-6031	modified from EPA 9045D and MCKEAGUE 3.11	PH METER						
Resistivity (2:1) (Calculated)	INOR-93-6036	McKeague 4.12, SM 2510 B,SSA #5 Part 3	CALCULATION						

	A 1	La	oora	tori	ies RUSH	5835 Coopers Avenue Mississauga, Ontario L4Z 1Y2 22,5100 Fax: 905.712.5122 webearth.agatlabs.con	e 2 2 m	Laboratory Use Work Order #: 23-2 Cooler Quantity:	0 nly 2032878	
Chain of Custody Record Report Information: Company: Contact: Address: Phone: Reports to be sent to: 1. Email: Contact				Regional control of the second	Inking Water Chain of Custody Form (potable water ase check all applicable boxes) Regulation 153/04 Table		Arrival Temperatures: 23.9 123.5 123.5 7.9 17.9 18.9 Custody Seal Intact: Yes No ØN/A Notes: Turnaround Time (TAT) Required: Regular TAT (Most Analysis) 5 to 7 Business Days Rush TAT (Rush Surcharges Apply) 3 Business 2 Business Next Busines Days Days Day			
2. Email: Project Information: Project: Site Location: Sampled Bu: Descent	s-Ao creat, o	Hawa		- C	□Fine Is this submission for a ecord of Site Condition? Ce □ Yes □ No □	Indicate One Report Guideline on ertificate of Analysis Yes I No		OR Date Requ Delease prov *TAT is exclusive For 'Same Day' ana	ired (Rush Surcharges May Apply): (2/23) ide prior notification for rush TAT e of weekends and statutory holidays Iysls, please contact your AGAT CPM	
AGAT ID #: Please note: If quotation number is a Invoice Information: Company: Contact: Address: Email:	PO: not provided, client with E	be billed full price for a	analysis s No	Sar B GW O P S SD SW	mple Matrix Legend Biota Ground Water Oil Paint Soil Sediment Surface Water	& Inorganics & Inorganics	Disposal Characterization TCI P.	Mail Tucos Tables Bland Tucos Base Mail Tucos Tables Bland Tucos Base Solis SPLP Rainwater Leach Metals Tucos Tsvocs Solis Characterization Package MS Metals, BTEX, F1-F4 MS Metals, BTEX, F1-F4	eriete orise sthuthy y Hazadous or High Concentration (Y/N)	
Sample Identification	Date Sampled	Time Sampled	# of Containers	Sample Matrix	e Comments/ Special Instructions	Metals Metals BTEX, F Analyze PAHs Total Po	VOC	TCLP: C Excess SPLP: C Excess PH, ICPI Salt - E0	Potential	
BH 2 run 2 30'10'-31'4" BH 3 857 15-17	Jine 5 Jine 6	AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM AM PM		rock soil						
Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign): Seff Mac M New Samples Relinquished By (Print Name and Sign):	-0	Date	Time	30pm	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign):		06?	1073 Time 16032		
Somples Relinquished By (Print Rame and Sign):	J	Date	Time	30	Samples Received By (Print Name and Sign):	Date	18	Time	Page <u>l</u> of <u>l</u> №: T <u>1</u> <u>2</u> <u>2</u> <u>9</u> <u>1</u> <u>0</u>	

Pink Copy - Client I Yellow Copy - AGAT | White Copy - AGAT

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

Legal Notification

This report was prepared by EXP Services for the account of Katasa Groupe.

Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. EXP Services Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this project.

EXP Services Inc.

Project Name: Proposed High Rise Development 1531 St. Laurent Boulevard, Ottawa, Ontario Project Number: OTT-23005035-A0 June 29, 2023 Final Report

List of Distribution

Report Distributed To: