

# Stormwater Management Report and Servicing Brief

9-Storey Residential Building 211 Clarence Street Ottawa, ON

Prepared for:

Clarence Gate Holdings Inc. 1376 Bank Street, Unit 500. Ottawa (ON) K1H 7Y3

Attention: Alex Diaz

LRL File No.: 180647

May 24, 2022

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	1 INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION					
2	2 EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION					
3	SC	OPE OF WORK	2			
4	RE	GULATORY APPROVALS	2			
5	WA	TER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION	2			
5	5.1	Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage	2			
5	5.2	Water Supply Servicing Design	3			
6	SA	NITARY SERVICE	5			
e	6.1	Existing Sanitary Sewer Services	5			
e	6.2	Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design	5			
7	ST	ORMWATER MANAGEMENT	6			
7	7.1	Existing Stormwater Infrastructure	6			
7	7.2	Design Criteria	6			
	7.2	.1 Water Quality	6			
	7.2	.2 Water Quantity	6			
7	7.3	Method of Analysis	6			
7	7.4	Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls	7			
8	ER	OSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL	8			
9	со	NCLUSION	8			
10	0 REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS					

# **A**PPENDICES

- Appendix A Pre-consultation
- Appendix B Water Supply Calculation
- Appendix C Wastewater Collection Calculation
- Appendix D Stormwater Management Calculation Watts Roof Drain Specification
- Appendix E Civil Engineering Drawings
- Appendix F Proposed Site Plan Legal Survey As-built

# LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Design Parameters based on City of Ottawa Design Guidelines-Water	. 3
Table 2: Proposed Development Residential Population Estimate	. 3
Table 3: Summary of Anticipated Demands and Boundary Conditions	. 4
Table 4: Fire Protection Summary Table	. 5
Table 5: Post-development Drainage Areas	. 7
Table 6: Stormwater Release Rate & Storage Volume Summary	. 8

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Aerial View of Proposed Development ......1

#### 1 INTRODUCTION AND SITE DESCRIPTION

LRL Associates Ltd. was retained by Clarence Gate Holding Inc. to complete a Stormwater Management Analysis and Servicing Brief for the development of a proposed 9-storey residential building located at 211 Clarence Street, Ottawa, ON.

The subject property consists of one (1) lot that is legally described as Part of Lot 2, Registered Plan 4R-4282, City of Ottawa. Refer to survey included in *Appendix F*.



Figure 1: Aerial View of Proposed Development

The subject property has approximately 9.15 m frontage along Clarence street. The total site area is approximately **0.029 ha**. The development proposes a 9-storey apartment building that occupies the majority of the lot and consists of 34 units. For additional detail, refer to architectural site plan included in *Appendix F*.

This report has been prepared with reference to the conditions described above. Should there be any changes in the design features, which may relate to the stormwater and site servicing considerations, LRL Associates Ltd. should be advised to review the report recommendations.

#### 2 EXISTING SITE AND DRAINAGE DESCRIPTION

The subject site measures **0.029 ha** and is currently vacant. Overall the site is relatively flat, elevations range between 58.24 to 58.56 m.

Sewer and watermain mapping, along with as-built information collected from the City of Ottawa indicate the following existing infrastructure located within the adjacent rights-of-way.

#### **Clarence Street:**

- 203 mm diameter PVC watermain
- 250 mm diameter PVC sanitary sewer
- 375 mm diameter PVC storm sewer

#### 3 SCOPE OF WORK

As per applicable guidelines, the scope of work includes the following:

#### Stormwater management

- Calculate the allowable stormwater release rate.
- Calculate the anticipated post-development stormwater release rates.
- Demonstrate how the target quantity objectives will be achieved.

#### Water services

- Calculate the expected water supply demand at average and peak conditions.
- Calculate the required fire flow as per the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method.
- Confirm the adequacy of water supply and pressure during peak flow and fire flow.
- Describe the proposed water distribution network and connection to the existing system.

#### Sanitary services

- Describe the existing sanitary sewers available to receive wastewater from the building.
- Calculate peak flow rates from the development.
- Describe the proposed sanitary sewer system.

#### 4 **REGULATORY APPROVALS**

Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA) will be required for this site. However, based on Geotechnical Report, a Permit to Take Water (PTTW) is not expected to be required. Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA) is required to be consulted to determine stormwater quality control criteria for this site.

#### 5 WATER SUPPLY AND FIRE PROTECTION

#### 5.1 Existing Water Supply Services and Fire Hydrant Coverage

The subject property lies within the City of Ottawa 1W water distribution network pressure zone. Fronting the property there is an existing 203 mm watermain within Clarence Street. Three (3) existing fire hydrants are available to service the proposed development, refer to *Appendix B* for the location of fire hydrants.

#### 5.2 Water Supply Servicing Design

The new apartment building is proposed to be serviced via a single 150mm diameter water service lateral. The proposed water servicing should meet both domestic and fire protection water demand.

Table 1 summarizes the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines design parameters employed in the preparation of the water demand estimate.

Design Parameter	Value	
Residential Bachelor / 1-Bedroom Apartment	1.4 P/unit	
Other Commercial Average Daily Demand	2.8 L/m <sup>2</sup> /d	
Average Daily Demand	350 L/c/d	
Minimum Depth of Cover	2.4 m from top of watermain to finished grade	
Desired operating pressure during maximum daily	345 kPa (50 psi) and 552 kPa (80 psi)	
flow		
Minimum allowable pressure during peak hour flow	275 kPa (40 psi)	
Minimum allowable pressure during maximum	275 kPa (20 psi)	
daily + fire flow		

The interior layout and architectural floor plans have been reviewed and it was determined that the building will house **33** studio/one-bedroom units. Based on the City of Ottawa Design guidelines for population projection, this translates to approximately 47.6 residents. Table 2 below summarizes the proposed development as interpreted using Table 4.1 of the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.

Table 2: Proposed Development	Residential Population Estimate
-------------------------------	---------------------------------

Proposed Unit Type	Persons Per Unit	Number of Units	Population	
1-Bedroom/Studio Apartments	1.4	33	47.6	

The required water supply requirements for the residential units in proposed building have been calculated using the following formula:

$$\boldsymbol{Q} = (\boldsymbol{q} \times \boldsymbol{P} \times \boldsymbol{M})$$

Where,

q = Average water consumption (L/capita/day)
 P = Design population (capita)
 M = Peak factor

The following factors were used in calculations as per MOE Table 3-3 and Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution:

- Maximum Daily Demand Residential Factor = 7.8 (MOE)
- Peak Hour Demand Residential Factor = 11.8 (MOE)

Using the above-mentioned factors and design parameters listed in Table 1, anticipated total demands were calculated as follows:

- Average day demand = 0.15 L/s
- Maximum daily demand = 1.21 L/s
- Maximum hour demand = 14.35 L/s

Refer to *Appendix B* for water demand calculations.

The City of Ottawa was contacted to obtain boundary conditions associated with the estimated water demand, as indicated in the boundary request correspondence included in *Appendix B*. Table 3 below summarizes boundary conditions for the proposed development.

Scenario	Anticipated Demand	Boundary Conditions @ Clarence Street*	
	(L/s)	(m H2O/KPa)	
Average Daily Demand	0.15	115.4 / 561.3	
Peak Hour Demand	1.21	106.2 / 471.1	
Max Day + Fire Flow	14.35 + 183.3	104.5 / 454.4	
*Assumed Ground Elevation of 58.18m			

Table 3: Summary of Anticipated Demands and Boundary Conditions

Boundary Conditions (Table 3) show that pressures in all design scenario meet the minimum required pressure thresholds mentioned in Table 1. However, static water pressure in the average daily demand scenario exceeds the recommended pressure mentioned in Table 1. It is therefore recommended to install pressure reducing valves.

The estimated fire flow for the proposed buildings was calculated in accordance with *ISTB-2018-02* using the following conservatively assumed parameters;

- Type of construction Non-combustible
- Occupancy type Limited combustible
- Sprinkler Protection Non-Sprinklered.

The estimated fire flow demand was estimated to be **11,000 L/min** (183.3 L/s), see *Appendix B* for calculation details.

There are three (3) existing fire hydrants near the proposed building that are available to satisfy the required fire flow demand of 11,000 L/min. Refer to *Appendix B* for fire hydrant locations. Table 4 below summarizes the aggregate fire flow of the contributing hydrants in close proximity to the proposed development based on Table 18.5.4.3 of *ISTB-2018-02*.

Building	Fire Flow Demand (L/min)	Fire Hydrants within 75m	Fire Hydrant within 150m	Available Combined Fire Flow (L/min)
Proposed 9-Storey Apartment Building	11,000	2	1	(2 x 5678) + (1 x 3785) = 15,141

Table 4: Fire	Protection	Summary	Table
---------------	------------	---------	-------

The total available fire flow from contributing hydrants is equal to 15,141 L/min which is sufficient to provide adequate fire flow for the proposed development. A certified fire protection system specialist will need to be employed to design the building's fire suppression system and confirm the actual fire flow demand.

#### 6 SANITARY SERVICE

#### 6.1 Existing Sanitary Sewer Services

There is an existing 250 mm dia. sanitary sewer along Clarence Street fronting the subject site.

The post-development total flow was calculated to be **0.57 L/s** as a result of the proposed residential population and infiltration allowance. Refer to *Appendix C* for additional information on the calculated sanitary flows.

#### 6.2 Sanitary Sewer Servicing Design

The proposed development will be serviced via 150mm dia. sanitary service lateral. The wastewater flow from the proposed development was calculated to be **0.57 L/s**, which will be conveyed to the existing 250 mm dia. sanitary sewer within Clarence Street before ultimately discharging to the 1050mm trunk sewer within King Edward Ave. Refer to LRL drawing C401 for the proposed sanitary servicing.

The parameters used to calculate the anticipated sanitary flows are; residential average population per unit of 1.4 person for 1-bedroom, a residential daily sewage flow of 280 L/p/day, a residential peaking factor using Harmon equation (max=4) and a total infiltration rate of 0.33 L/s/ha. Based on these parameters and the total site area of 0.029 ha, the total anticipated sanitary flow was estimated **0.57 L/s**. Refer to *Appendix C* for the sanitary sewer design sheet.

Based on as-builts drawing provided from the City, the existing 250mm municipal sanitary sewer within Clarence Street right-of-way is sloped at 0.5% and has a maximum capacity of **42.05 L/s**.

The total anticipated sanitary flow from the proposed development represents approximately 1.4% of the maximum capacity of the downstream municipal sewer leg.

#### 7 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 7.1 Existing Stormwater Infrastructure

Stormwater runoff from the subject property is tributary to the City of Ottawa sewer system. As such, approvals for the proposed development within this area are under the approval authority of the City of Ottawa. Existing infrastructure fronting the subject site include a 375 mm dia. storm sewer along Clarence Street.

Based on review of the topography of the site, in pre-development conditions, runoff flows uncontrolled overland towards Clarence Street right-of-way (ROW). Refer to Pre-development Watershed Plan C701 in *Appendix E*.

#### 7.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater management criteria for this development are based on the pre-consultation with City of Ottawa officials, the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, 2012 (City standards), as well as the Ministry of the Environment's Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, 2003 (SWMPD Manual).

#### 7.2.1 Water Quality

The subject property lies within the Lower Rideau River sub-watershed and is therefore subject to review by the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority (RVCA). Based on correspondence with RVCA, refer to **Appendix A** for correspondence, further quality control measures will not be required as runoff from the proposed development will be primarily from clean rooftop and landscaped surfaces.

#### 7.2.2 Water Quantity

Based on pre-consultation with the City, correspondence included in *Appendix A*, the following stormwater management requirements, , were identified for the subject site:

- Meet an allowable release rate based on a maximum Rational Method runoff coefficient (C) of 0.5, employing the City of Ottawa IDF parameters for a 5-year storm with a calculated time of concentration equal to or greater than 10 minutes.
- Retain storm events greater than 5 year, up to and including the City of Ottawa 100-year storm event on site.

The total allowable stormwater release rate was calculated to be **4.17 L/s**. Refer to *Appendix D* for calculations.

#### 7.3 Method of Analysis

The Modified Rational Method has been used to calculate the runoff rate from the subject site and to quantify the detention storage required for quantity control. Refer to *Appendix D* for stormwater storage calculations.

#### 7.4 Proposed Stormwater Quantity Controls

The proposed stormwater management quantity control for this development will be accomplished using roof drains restricting the flow leaving the rooftop. Storage required as a result of quantity control will be accomplished through rooftop surface storage.

The subject site is proposed to be serviced via a 200 mm dia. storm service lateral that will connect to the existing 375 mm dia. storm sewer within Clarence street. The proposed servicing layout and connection points are shown on drawing C401 in *Appendix E*, and detailed calculations can be found in *Appendix D*.

The existing site is delineated by catchments EWS-01, which currently drains uncontrolled off the site towards Clarence street, refer to Pre-development Watershed Plan C701 included in *Appendix E*. The site has been analyzed and post-development watersheds have been allocated, see Table 5 and Post-development Watershed Plan C702 in *Appendix E*.

Drainage Area Name	Area (ha)	Weighted Runoff Coefficient	100 Year Weighted Runoff Coefficient (25% increase)	
WS-01 (un-controlled)	0.007	0.33	0.41	
WS-02 (controlled)	0.022	0.90	1.0	
Total	0.029	0.76	0.95	

#### Table 5: Post-development Drainage Areas

*Watershed WS-01* consists of small mainly landscaped areas north and south of the proposed building and is uncontrolled in post-development conditions. Runoff in the north portion of this watershed will be collected via two (2) area drains in the backyard which will be indirectly connected to the foundation drain via the building's internal mechanical system. Runoff from the remainder of this watershed will surface drain uncontrolled towards Clarence Street right-of-way.

*Watershed WS-02* consists of the building's envelope. Runoff in this watershed will be collected vis three (3) WATT's roof drains with a closed weir opening. Collected runoff on the roof will then discharge to the existing 375 mm dia. storm sewer within Clarence street via the proposed 200mm diameter storm outlet. Refer to C401 in *Appendix E* for servicing layout and connection points.

The building's rooftop was analysed and divided into three (3) ponding areas. A total of three (3) roof drains are proposed, each of which will restrict the discharge rate to **0.63 L/s**, resulting in a total release rate of **1.89 L/s** from the roof. The roof drain flow control device has been selected to provide a flow rate of **0.63 L/s** at a maximum flow depth of **0.15 m**. Proposed roof drains are to be **Watts RD-100-A** with a **closed** exposed weir opening. See **Appendix D** for more information about the selected roof drain and storage calculations.

Table 6 summarizes the release rates and storage volumes required to meet the allowable release rates.

Catchments	Area (ha)	100-Yr Release Rate (L/s)	100-Yr Storage Required (m <sup>3</sup> )	Total Available Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )
WS-01	0.007	1.42	0	0
WS-02 (Roof Controls)	0.022	1.89	6.62	10.14
TOTAL	0.029	3.31	6.62	10.14

It is calculated that a total of **6.62**  $m^3$  of storage will be required to attenuate flows to the release rate of **3.31** L/s. The runoff exceeding the allowable release rate will be stored on-site via rooftop ponding. Greater details of ponding extents, storage provided and proposed release rates can be found on drawing C601 (Stormwater Management Plan) in *Appendix E*.

#### 8 EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL

During construction, erosion and sediment controls will be provided primarily via a sediment control fence to be erected along the perimeter of the site where runoff has the potential of leaving the site. Inlet sediment control devices are also to be provided in any catch basin and/or manholes in and around the site that may be impacted by the site construction. Construction and maintenance requirements for erosion and sediment controls are to comply with Ontario Provincial Standard Specification OPSS 577. Refer to Erosion and Sediment Control Plan C101 in *Appendix E*.

#### 9 CONCLUSION

This Stormwater Management and Servicing Report for the proposed development at 211 Clarence street presents the rationale and details for the servicing requirements for the subject property.

In accordance with the report objectives, the servicing requirements for the development are summarized below:

#### Water Service

- The maximum required fire flow was calculated at 183.3 L/min using the FUS method.
- There are three (3) existing fire hydrants available to service the proposed development which will provide a combined fire flow of 15,141 L/min to the site.

- The new development will be serviced with 150 mm dia. water service connection to the existing 200 mm dia. watermain within Clarence Street.
- Boundary conditions received from the City of Ottawa indicate that sufficient pressure is available to meet the proposed development's water and fire flow demands.

#### Sanitary Service

- The total anticipated sanitary flow from the proposed development is 0.57 L/s.
- The proposed development will discharge sewage to the existing 250 mm dia. sanitary sewer within Clarence Street via a proposed 150 mm dia. sanitary service lateral.

#### **Stormwater Management**

- Stormwater quality control measures are not required as per consultation with RVCA.
- The storm water release rates from the proposed development will meet calculated allowable release rate of 4.17 L/s.
- Stormwater quantity control objectives will be met through on-site storm water storage on the roof.

#### **10 REPORT CONDITIONS AND LIMITATIONS**

The report conclusions are applicable only to this specific project described in the preceding pages. Any changes, modifications or additions will require a subsequent review by LRL Associates Ltd. to ensure the compatibility with the recommendations contained in this document. If you have any questions or comments, please contact the undersigned.

Prepared by:

LRL Associates Ltd.

Amr Salem, PMP Civil Designer



Mohan Basnet, P.Eng. Civil Engineer

# $\boldsymbol{\mathsf{APPENDIX}}\; \boldsymbol{\mathsf{A}}$

**Pre-consultation** 

Project #: 180647	
2022-05-17	
4.1 General Content	
Executive Summary (for larger reports only).	N/A
Date and revision number of the report.	Report Cover sheet
Location map and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layou of proposed development.	t Drawings/Figures
Plan showing the site and location of all existing services.	Figure 1
Development statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and reference to applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to which individual developments must adhere	Section 1.0
Summary of Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.	Section 4.0 & Appendi A
Reference and confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master Servicing Studies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the case where it is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and develop a defendable design criteria.	Section 5.1, 6.1, 7.1
Statement of objectives and servicing criteria.	Section 1.0
Identification of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate area.	Section 5.1, 6.1, 7.1
Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).	Section 7.0
Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.	C301

Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.			
Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.	N/A		
Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.	C401		
All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:			
∘Metric scale			
∘North arrow (including construction North)			
∘Key plan			
•Name and contact information of applicant and property owner	C401		
<ul> <li>Property limits including bearings and dimensions</li> </ul>			
<ul> <li>Existing and proposed structures and parking areas</li> </ul>			
∘Easements, road widening and rights-of-way			
∘Adjacent street names			
4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water			
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available	N/A		
Availability of public infrastructure to service proposed development	Section 5.1		
Identification of system constraints	Section 5.1		
Identify boundary conditions	Section 5.2		
Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure			

Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is calculated as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should Section 5.2 show available fire flow at locations throughout the development.

Provide a check of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is required to confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.	Section 5.2
Definition of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm servicing for all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design	N/A
Address reliability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves	N/A
Check on the necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.	N/A
Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range	Section 5.2
Description of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of proposed connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and appurtenances (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) including special metering provisions.	Section 5.2
Description of off -site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.	N/A
Confirmation that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines.	Section 5.2
Provision of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, parcels, and building locations for reference.	N/A
4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater	
Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).	Section 6.2
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.	N/A

Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.	N.A
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.	Section 6.1
Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)	Section 6.2
Calculations related to dry-weather and wet-weather flow rates from the development in standard MOE sanitary sewer design table (Appendix 'C') format.	Section 6.2 Appendix C
Description of proposed sewer network including sewers, pumping stations, and forcemains.	Section 6.2
Discussion of previously identified environmental constraints and impact on servicing (environmental constraints are related to limitations imposed on the development in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, soil cover, as well as protecting against water quantity and quality).	N/A
Pumping stations: impacts of proposed development on existing pumping stations or requirements for new pumping station to service development.	Section 6.1
Forcemain capacity in terms of operational redundancy, surge pressure and maximum flow velocity.	N/A
Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.	N/A
Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.	N/A
4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater Checklist	
Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or	Section 7.1

private property)

Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.	N/A
A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.	N/A
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.	Section 7.2.1
Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.	Section 7.2.1
Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.	Section 7.4
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.	N/A
Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.	N/A
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.	N/A
Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.	N/A
Storage requirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for minor events (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).	Section 7.4
Identification of watercourses within the proposed development and how watercourses will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed development with applicable approvals.	N/A
Calculate pre and post development peak flow rates including a description of existing site conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in comparison to existing conditions.	Section 7.4 Appendix D

Any proposed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.	N/A
Proposed minor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater trunk sewers, and stormwater management facilities.	Appendix D
If quantity control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has adequate capacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100 year return period storm event.	N/A
Identification of potential impacts to receiving watercourses Identification of municipal drains and related approval requirements.	N/A
Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.	Section 7.4
100 year flood levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from flooding for establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	NA
Inclusion of hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	N/A
Description of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the protection of receiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	Section 8.0
Identification of floodplains – proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.	N/A
Identification of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation	N/A
4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist	

Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.

Application for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resources Act.	N/A
Changes to Municipal Drains.	N/A

Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)

### 4.6 Conclusion Checklist

Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations	Section 9.0
Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.	Noted
All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario	Noted

#### **Amr Salem**

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments:	Alex Diaz <alex@arthousedevelopments.ca> April 27, 2022 10:21 PM Amr Salem Fwd: 211 Clarence Street - Preconsult Follow-up 20210728_111023_211_Clarence_Renders_Pre-Consult.pdf; 20210728_111023_211 _Clarence_Site_Plan_Elevations_Pre-Consult.pdf; Clarence, 211_Design Brief.pdf; Plans and Study list_211 Clarence.pdf</alex@arthousedevelopments.ca>
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Completed

Hi Amr

Attached is the pre-consultation follow up email from the City re 211 Clarence Is this what you are looking for? If something else let me know...

Alex Diaz Art House Developments 613-252-5931 <u>alex@arthousedevelopments.ca</u>

------ Forwarded message -----From: Bernier, John < John.Bernier@ottawa.ca</p>
Date: Mon, Oct 4, 2021 at 11:40 AM
Subject: 211 Clarence Street - Preconsult Follow-up
To: Jeffrey Kelly < <u>i.kelly@novatech-eng.com</u>
Cc: Dubyk, Wally < <u>Wally.Dubyk@ottawa.ca</u>
, Moise, Christopher < <u>christopher.moise@ottawa.ca</u>
, Bakhit, Reza < <u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u>
, Murray Chown < <u>m.chown@novatech-eng.com</u>
, <u>alex@arthousedevelopments.ca</u>
, Ashraf Arif < <u>aarif@synercapital.ca</u>
, Amr Salem < <u>asalem@lrl.ca</u>

Good Morning,

Please refer to the below [and/or attached notes] regarding the Pre-Application Consultation (precon) Meeting held on August 19, 2021 for the property at 211 Clarence for the development a 9storey, 31-unit apartment building. No parking spaces were proposed. I have also attached the required Plans & Study List for application submission.

Below [or attached] are staff's preliminary comments based on the information available at the time of pre-con meeting:

## <u>Planning</u>

- OP General Urban Area note that new draft OP will likely be tabled this fall and any applications submitted after this time will need to include a rationale as to how this project has regard for the future OP.
  - <u>Annex 12</u>: Viewshed Area of Parliament Buildings from Beechwood Cemetery
- Secondary Plan: Central Area Secondary Plan (Lowertown Policy Area)- supportive of a medium-profile residential building
- Zoning By-law: R4UD S77- \*\*\*R4T no longer applies\*\*\* Allows low-rise apartment buildings. Therefore, rezoning required to permit use and additional height (27.78m).
  - https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/documents/files/schedule77\_zbl\_en.pdf
  - 6.10m front yard setback
  - 21.4m height

#### • ZBA/Planning Rationale:

- Was made privy to the previous Preconsult that you had, in which the planner was asking for additional height: this current design might be a little too high. Would prefer a height that matches the buildings on either side.
  - Issues of landscaping, amenity space reqs, setbacks, and livability of the units don't appear to be addressed.
- Should you proceed:
  - Given the small size of the lot, rationale will be required to demonstrate that the proposed development would not represent an overdevelopment of the site. Attention should be given in particular to the site design, in particular the upper storeys of the building, as well as the treatment at ground level
  - Discussion on how the development is achieving the Lowertown Secondary Plan Design Criteria:
    - In particular medium-scale buildings should:
      - Special treatment of lower floors for visual interest
      - Setting back medium profile buildings to achieve human scale
      - Usable private amenity space
      - Opportunities for trees
      - Identifiable entrance
  - Note: R4T is no longer applicable, now subject to the R4UD requirements, many of which are not being met here.
  - View analysis will be required. Please include the following in order to model and assess whether it is outside of the Beechwood Viewshed.
    - an AutoCAD 3D .dwg (v2006), a Sketchup .skp , and a site plan with setbacks, etc. for accurate placement.
  - o 2 visitor parking spaces required and would require relief.

#### • <u>General Comments:</u>

- Flesh out the waste management strategy room dimensions, bins, door clearances etc.
- 16 Bicycle space proposed: we would encourage a 1:1 ratio since you are proposing 0 parking spaces.
- o Difficult to tell whether the amenity space requirements are being met.
- Rooftop amenity and studio apartment seem to be a conflict, would suggest removing this unit and enlarging this space.

#### <u>Urban Design</u>

• This proposal resides within one of the City's Design Priority Areas and must attend the City's UDRP. We recommend that the applicant come to an agreement with staff on an appropriate approach to locations of massing and overall height prior to their submission for a formal review with the Panel;

- We have the following comments/questions in regard to the current design:
  - **Height**: We recognize this site is problematic with a narrow width sandwiched between two mid-rise residential buildings and struggles with an appropriate contextual relationship.
    - The three storey option may not fit perfectly and be dwarfed by its neighbours however, it may result in a lower impact on residents of those existing buildings as compared to a higher more contextual design;
    - The nine storey option (or a height which more closely matches the neighbouring buildings) would appear to fit better in this context however, any impacts created by the footprint size will increase with each additional floor;
    - We recommend the proposal illustrate how the request for additional height will not negatively impact the two neighbouring buildings in regard to excessive shadowing of the neighbouring units facing the rear yards;
  - **Front yard set-back**: We recommend that any portion of the proposal which is proud of the neighbouring buildings provide some measure to reduce a blank wall effect through some architectural means (ie fenestration, material, etc.)
  - Rear yard set-back: We suggest that any variances to rear yard reductions using a three storey proposal may not be equivalent to a nine storey building with a similar foot print. We recommend the rear yard set-backs be reconsidered in the totality of the nine storey proposal with all additional impacts thereby created;
  - **Side yard set-back**: We note that the adjacent properties appear to be designed with the ability to have this property build to its side-yard lot line for a certain length. We recommend the proposal investigate the possibility of gaining additional floor area to the side lot lines to match the existing buildings as a way to increase floor area when the rear yard set-back may increase;
  - Materiality and heritage considerations: We recommend consideration of the material context of the Byward Market, especially concerning the street facing facade;

• A scoped Design Brief is a required submittal (and separate from any UDRP submission) for all Site Plan/Re-zoning applications and can be combined with the Planning Rationale. Please see the Design Brief Terms of Reference provided and consult the City's website for details regarding the UDRP schedule.

## Engineering

### General:

- It is the sole responsibility of the consultant to investigate the location of existing underground utilities in the proposed servicing area and submit a request for locates to avoid conflict(s). The location of existing utilities and services shall be documented on an Existing Conditions Plan.
- Any easements on the subject site shall be identified and respected by any development proposal and shall adhere to the conditions identified in the easement agreement. A legal survey plan shall be provided, and all easements shall be shown on the engineering plans.
- 1. Reference documents for information purposes :
  - Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines (October 2012)
  - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01
  - Technical Bulletins ISTB-2018-01, ISTB-2018-02 and ISTB-2018-03.
  - Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution (2010)
  - Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications in the City of Ottawa (2007)
  - City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications (revised 2012)
  - City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (January 2016)
  - City of Ottawa Accessibility Design Standards (2012) (City recommends development be in accordance with these standards on private property)
  - Ottawa Standard Tender Documents (latest version)
  - Ontario Provincial Standards for Roads & Public Works (2013)
  - Record drawings and utility plans are also available for purchase from the City (Contact the City's Information Centre by email at <u>InformationCentre@ottawa.ca</u> or by phone at (613) 580-424 x.44455).

Please note that this is the applicant responsibility to refer to the latest applicable guidelines while preparing reports and studies.



#### **Disclaimer:**

The City of Ottawa does not guarantee the accuracy or completeness of the data and information contained on the above image(s) and does not assume any responsibility or liability with respect to any damage or loss arising from the use or interpretation of the image(s) provided. This image is for schematic purposes only

#### Stormwater Management Criteria and Information:

- Water Quantity Control: Please control post-development runoff from the subject site, up to and including the 100-year storm event, to a 5-year pre-development level. The pre-development runoff coefficient will need to be determined as per existing conditions but in no case more than 0.5. [If 0.5 applies it needs to be clearly demonstrated in the report that the pre-development runoff coefficient is greater than 0.5]. The time of concentration (T<sub>c</sub>) used to determine the pre-development condition should be calculated. *Tc should not be less than 10 min. since IDF curves become unrealistic at less than 10 min; T<sub>c</sub> of 10 minutes shall be used for all post-development calculations].*
- Any storm events greater than the established 5-year allowable release rate, up to and including the 100-year storm event, shall be detained on-site. The SWM measures required to avoid impact on downstream sewer system will be subject to review.
- Please note that foundation drainage is to be independently connected to sewer main unless being
  pumped with appropriate back up power, sufficient sized pump and back flow prevention. It is
  recommended that the foundation drainage system be drained by a sump pump connection to
  the storm sewer to minimize risk of basement flooding as it will provide the best protection
  from the uncontrolled sewer system compared to relying on the backwater valve.

- Water Quality Control: Please consult with the local conservation authority (RVCA) regarding water quality criteria prior to submission of a Site Plan Control Proposal application to establish any water quality control restrictions, criteria and measures for the site. Correspondence and clearance shall be provided in the Appendix of the report.
- If Underground Storage proposed: Please note that the Modified Rational Method for storage computation in the Sewer Design Guidelines was originally intended to be used for above ground storage (i.e. parking lot) where the change in head over the orifice varied from 1.5 m to 1.2 m (assuming a 1.2 m deep CB and a max ponding depth of 0.3 m). This change in head was small and hence the release rate fluctuated little, therefore there was no need to use an average release rate.

When underground storage is used, the release rate fluctuates from a maximum peak flow based on maximum head down to a release rate of zero. This difference is large and has a significant impact on storage requirements. We therefore require that an average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate shall be applied to estimate the required volume. Alternatively, the consultant may choose to use a submersible pump in the design to ensure a constant release rate.

In the event that there is a disagreement from the designer regarding the required storage, The City will require that the designer demonstrate their rationale utilizing dynamic modelling, that will then be reviewed by City modellers in the Water Resources Group.

Please provide information on UG storage pipe. Provide required cover over pipe and details, chart of storage values, capacity etc. How will this pipe be cleaned of sediment and debris? (This to be discuss in SWM report)

Provide information on type of underground storage system including product name and model, number of chambers, chamber configuration, confirm invert of chamber system, top of chamber system, required cover over system and details, interior bottom slope (for self-cleansing), chart of storage values, length, width and height, capacity, entry ports (maintenance) etc.

Provide a cross section of underground chamber system showing invert and obvert/top, major and minor HWLs, top of ground, system volume provided during major and minor events. UG storage to provide actual 2- and 100-year event storage requirements.

In regard to all proposed UG storage, ground water levels (and in particular HGW levels) will need to be reviewed to ensure that the proposed system does not become surcharged and thereby ineffective. (Please provide discussion in SWM report)

Modeling can be provided to ensure capacity for both storm and sanitary sewers for the proposed development by City's Water Distribution Dept. – Modeling Group, through PM and upon request.

- Please note that the minimum orifice dia. for a plug style ICD is 83mm and the minimum flow rate from a vortex ICD is 6 L/s in order to reduce the likelihood of plugging.
- Post-development site grading shall match existing property line grades in order to minimize disruption to the adjacent residential properties. A **topographical plan of survey** shall be provided as part of the submission and a note provided on the plans.
- Please provide a Pre-Development Drainage Area Plan to define the pre-development drainage areas/patterns. Existing drainage patterns shall be maintained and discussed as part of the proposed SWM solution.

- If rooftop control and storage is proposed as part of the SWM solutions sufficient details (Cl. 8.3.8.4) shall be discussed and document in the report and on the plans. Roof drains are to be connected downstream of any incorporated ICDs within the SWM system and not to the foundation drain system. Provide a **Roof Drain Plan** as part of the submission.
- If Window wells are proposed, they are to be indirectly connected to the footing drains. A detail of
  window well with indirect connection is required, as is a note at window well location speaking to
  indirect connection.
- There must be at least 15cm of vertical clearance between the spill elevation and the ground elevation at the building envelope that is in proximity of the flow route or ponding area. The exception in this case would be at reverse sloped loading dock locations. At these locations, a minimum of 15cm of vertical clearance must be provided below loading dock openings. Ensure to provide discussion in report and ensure grading plan matches if applicable.

#### Sanitary Sewers:

• A 250mm dia. PVC Sanitary sewer (1992) is available within **Clarence St.** 

#### Storm Sewers:

• A 375mm dia. PVC Storm Sewer (1992) is available within Clarence St.

#### Water :

- A 203 mm dia. PVC watermain (1992) is available within **Clarence St.**
- Existing residential service to be blanked at the main. (If applicable, this has to be discuss in serving section of the report and to be shown and noted on the servicing plans)
- Water Supply Redundancy: Residential buildings with a basic day demand greater than 50m<sup>3</sup>/day (0.57 L/s) are required to be connected to a minimum of two water services separated by an isolation valve to avoid a vulnerable service area as per the Ottawa Design Guidelines - Water Distribution, WDG001, July 2010 Clause 4.3.1 Configuration. The basic day demand for this site not expected to exceed 50m<sup>3</sup>/day.
- Please review Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-0, maximum fire flow hydrant capacity is provided in Section 3 Table 1 of Appendix I. A hydrant coverage figure shall be provided and demonstrate there is adequate fire protection for the proposal. Two or more public hydrants are anticipated to be required to handle fire flow.
- Boundary conditions are required to confirm that the require fire flows can be achieved as well as availability of the domestic water pressure on the City street in front of the development. Use Table 3-3 of the MOE Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water System to determine Maximum Day and Maximum Hour peaking factors for 0 to 500 persons and use Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines, Water Distribution for 501 to 3,000 persons. Please provide the following information to the City of Ottawa via email to request water distribution network boundary conditions for the subject site. Please note that once this information has been provided to the City of Ottawa it takes approximately 5-10 business days to receive boundary conditions.

- 1. Type of Development and Units
- 2. Site Address
- 3. A plan showing the proposed water service connection location.
- 4. Average Daily Demand (L/s)
- 5. Maximum Daily Demand (L/s)
- 6. Peak Hour Demand (L/s)
- 7. Fire Flow (L/min)

Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the FUS calculation and required fore flow (RFF).

#### 1. Hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved.

#### Road Reinstatement

Where servicing involves three or more service trenches, either a full road width or full lane width 40 mm asphalt overlay will be required, as per amended Road Activity By-Law 2003-445 and City Standard Detail Drawing R10. The amount of overlay will depend on condition of roadway and width of roadway(s).

#### Permits and Approvals:

Please note that this project will be subject to an Environmental Compliance Approval (ECA).

#### **Required Engineering Plans and Studies:**

#### PLANS:

- Existing Conditions and Removals Plan
- Site Servicing Plan
- Grade Control and Drainage Plan
- Erosion and Sediment Control Plan
- Roof Drainage Plan ( If roof utilized as a SWM component)
- Topographical survey

#### **REPORTS**:

- Site Servicing and Stormwater Management Report
- Geotechnical Study/Investigation
- Slope Stability Assessment Reports ( if required, please see requirements below)
- Noise Control Study

- Phase I ESA
- Phase II ESA (Depending on recommendations of the Phase I ESA)
- Site lighting certificate
- Wind study

Please refer to the City of Ottawa Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans [Engineering]:

Specific information has been incorporated into both the <u>Guide to Preparing Studies and Plans</u> for a site plan. The guide outlines the requirement for a statement to be provided on the plan about where the property boundaries have been derived from.

Added to the general information for servicing and grading plans is a note that an **O.L.S**. should be engaged when reporting on or relating information to property boundaries or existing conditions. The importance of engaging an **O.L.S**. for development projects is emphasized.

#### Phase One Environmental Site Assessment:

- A Phase I ESA is required to be completed in accordance with Ontario Regulation 153/04 in support of this development proposal to determine the potential for site contamination. Depending on the Phase I recommendations a Phase II ESA may be required.
- The Phase I ESA shall provide all the required Environmental Source Information as required by O. Reg. 153/04. ERIS records are available to public at a reasonable cost and need to be included in the ESA report to comply with O.Reg. 153/04 and the Official Plan. The City will not be in a position to approve the Phase I ESA without the inclusion of the ERIS reports.
- Official Plan Section 4.8.4:

https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/official-plan-and-master-plans/official-plan/volume-1official-plan/section-4-review-development-applications#4-8-protection-health-and-safety

#### Geotechnical Investigation:

- A Geotechnical Study/Investigation shall be prepared in support of this development proposal.
- Reducing the groundwater level in this area can lead to potential damages to surrounding structures due to excessive differential settlements of the ground. The impact of groundwater lowering on adjacent properties needs to be discussed and investigated to ensure there will be no short term and long term damages associated with lowering the groundwater in this area.
- Geotechnical Study shall be consistent with the Geotechnical Investigation and Reporting Guidelines for Development Applications.

https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/cap137602.pdf

**Slope Stability Assessment Reports** 

- A report addressing the stability of slopes, prepared by a qualified geotechnical engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario, should be provided wherever a site has slopes (existing or proposed) steeper than 5 horizontal to 1 vertical (i.e., 11 degree inclination from horizontal) and/or more than 2 metres in height.
- A report is also required for sites having retaining walls greater than 1 metre high, that addresses the global stability of the proposed retaining walls.

https://documents.ottawa.ca/en/document/slope-stability-guidelines-development-applications

#### Noise Study:

- A **Transportation Noise Assessment** is required as the subject development is located within 100m proximity of an Arterial Road .
- A Stationary Noise Assessment is required in order to assess the noise impact of the proposed sources of stationary noise (mechanical HVAC system/equipment) of the development onto the surrounding residential area to ensure the noise levels do not exceed allowable limits specified in the City Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.

https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/documents/enviro\_noise\_guide\_en.pdf

#### Wind analysis:

 A wind analysis must be prepared, signed and stamped by an engineer who specializes in pedestrian level wind evaluation. Where a wind analysis is prepared by a company which do not have extensive experience in pedestrian level wind evaluation, an independent peer review may be required at the expense of the proponent

Terms of Reference: Wind Analysis (ottawa.ca)

#### Gas pressure regulating station

 A gas pressure regulating station may be required depending on HVAC needs (typically for 12+ units). Be sure to include this on the Grading, Site Servicing, SWM and Landscape plans. This is to ensure that there are no barriers for overland flow routes (SWM) or conflicts with any proposed grading or landscape features with installed structures and has nothing to do with supply and demand of any product.

### Regarding Quantity Estimates:

 Please note that external Garbage and/or bicycle storage structures are to be added to QE under Landscaping as it is subject to securities. In addition, sump pumps for Sanitary and Storm laterals and/or cisterns are to be added to QE under Hard items as it is subject to securities, even though it is internal and is spoken to under SWM and Site Servicing Report and Plan.

#### **CCTV** sewer inspection

• CCTV sewer inspection required for pre and post construction conditions to ensure no damage to City Assets surrounding site.

#### **Pre-Construction Survey**

 Pre-Construction (Piling/Hoe Ramming or close proximity to City Assets) and/or Pre-Blasting (if applicable) Survey required for any buildings/dwellings in proximity of 75m of site and circulation of notice of vibration/noise to residents within 150 m of site. Conditions for Pre-Construction/ Pre-Blast Survey & Use of Explosives will be applied to agreements. Refer to City's Standard S.P. No. F-1201 entitled Use of Explosives, as amended.

### **Exterior Site Lighting:**

 Any proposed light fixtures (both pole-mounted and wall mounted) must be part of the approved Site Plan. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for Full Cut-off Classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the please provide the City with a **Certification (Statement) Letter** from an acceptable professional engineer stating that the design is compliant.

**Construction approach** – Please contact the Right-of-Ways Permit Office <u>TMconstruction@ottawa.ca</u> early in the Site Plan process to determine the ability to construct site and copy **File Lead** <u>John.Bernier@ottawa.ca</u> on this request.

### **Transportation**

The Screening Form indicated that the TIA Trigger has been met for Design Priority Area and the Forecasting components are minor. Step 2 – Scoping is to be submitted for review. Also, the TIA report will be limited to the Design Review component therefore the Steps 3 & 4 to be submitted together. Ensure that both TDM checklists are filled out and appropriate measures are taken to achieve the target modal shares.

The purchaser, tenant or sub-lessee acknowledges the unit being rented/sold is not provided with any on-site parking and should a tenant/purchaser have a vehicle for which they wish to have parking that alternative and lawful arrangements will need to be made to accommodate their parking need at an alternative location. The Purchaser/Tenant also acknowledges that the availability and regulations governing on-street parking vary; that access to on-street parking, including through residential on-street parking permits issued by the City cannot be guaranteed now or in the future; and that a purchaser, tenant or sub-lessee intending to rely on on-street parking for their vehicle or vehicles does so at their own risk.

Please keep in mind that on street parking is not a viable option for tenants. Ensure that potential tenants are aware that there is no provision for parking.

The closure of an existing private approach shall reinstate the sidewalk, shoulder, curb and boulevard to City standards.

Permanent structures such as curbing, stairs, retaining walls, and underground parking foundation also bicycle parking racks are not to extend into the City's right-of-way limits

For any planter boxes/trees on the City's road right-of-way, an Encroachment Agreement along with a Maintenance Agreement will be required.

Bicycle parking spaces are required as per Section 111 of the Ottawa Comprehensive Zoning By-law. Bicycle parking spaces should be located in safe, secure places near main entrances and preferably protected from the weather.

#### **Community Association Comments**

- Include family-sized units.
- Concerned with the rear and front projecting beyond the neighbours.
- Provide wheelchair accessible amenity space and building entrance.
- Previous concerns to be aware of:
  - first floor balconies are too low and accessible to street posing a safety hazard for break-ins, theft privacy etc.
  - if used for storage, glass balconies encourage theft
  - building is too tall
  - I quote parts from 2011 objection
    - Heritage Sensitivity (Section 1.8.3e) The Byward Village plan states that City Council shall ensure that regardless of profile, residential development respect and is sensitive to nearby heritage buildings....Clarence Street is predominately a street of brick buildings on the block of the proposed development and on adjacent blocks as well. The developer is proposing a wood and glass box that is completely out of character with this neighbourhood
    - respectfully request that the cladding of a building on this site be sensitive to the character of the neighbourhood.
    - Safety of Reduced Sideyards Both the east and west sideyards are reduced.... I
      question whether .06 metres is adequate to provide access to residents at the rear
      of the building in case of a fire emergency.

- Furthermore, the long narrow alleyways created by these reduced sideyards provide ideal haunts for drug dealers, addicts and prostitutes. .... Residents of my neighbourhood are sensitive to the need to make every effort to avoid creating spaces that invite criminal activity. I respectfully request that steps be taken to ensure the safety of residents and neighbours with respect to the sideyards.
- when considered as a package the variances represent a gross over development of an already undersized lot.

#### <u>Other</u>

 $\circ~$  You are encouraged to contact the Ward Councillor, Mathieu Fleury

Please refer to the links to "<u>Guide to preparing studies and plans</u>" and <u>fees</u> for general information. Additional information is available related to <u>building permits</u>, <u>development charges</u>, and the <u>Accessibility Design Standards</u>. Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting informationcentre@ottawa.ca.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Regards,

#### John Bernier, MCIP, RPP

Planner II | Urbaniste II

Development Review, Central | Examen des projets d'aménagement, Central

Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department | Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique

City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa

110 Laurier Avenue West. Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1

613.580.2424 ext./poste 21576

ı.

ı

ottawa.ca/planning\_/ ottawa.ca/urbanisme

*Please note that during the current public health emergency I am working remotely. Email is the easiest and most reliable way of reaching me at this time. Thank you for your cooperation.* 

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

#### **Amr Salem**

From:Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>Sent:May 3, 2022 12:48 PMTo:Amr SalemSubject:RE: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Water Quality Criteria

Hi Amr,

Based on the site plan and outlet path, the RVCA does not have any quality control requirements for the proposed development. Best management practices are encouraged where possible.

Thank you,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP Planner, RVCA 613-692-3571 x1137

From: Amr Salem <asalem@lrl.ca>
Sent: Tuesday, May 3, 2022 12:40 PM
To: Jamie Batchelor <jamie.batchelor@rvca.ca>; Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>
Subject: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Water Quality Criteria

Hey guys,

Can you please provide your input regarding any quality control measures required for the proposed development at 211 Clarence given the info below;

Currently, the site is vacant as a previous residential dwelling has been recently demolished. Runoff flows uncontrolled to Clarence street ROW and is conveyed through municipal storm sewer approx. 1.5km before discharging to the Ottawa River.



The development proposes a 9-storey residential building with a footprint that occupies almost the entirety of the lot, with some room for landscaping at the rear yard. No parking proposed. Expected runoff is clean as flows will be collected from roof drains and CB at rear landscaped yard.

#### Thanks,



E asalem@lrl.ca

www.lrl.ca

Amr Salem, PMP<sup>®</sup>, B.Eng Civil Engineering Services LRL Engineering 5430 Canotek Road Ottawa, Ontario K1J 9G2

- **T** (613) 842-3434 or (877) 632-5664 ext 248
- **F** (613) 842-4338

We care deeply, so let us know how we did by completing our <u>Customer Satisfaction Survey</u>. Nous nous soucions profondément de votre opinion, nous vous invitons donc à nous faire savoir si nous avons satisfait vos attentes en remplissant notre <u>sondage sur la satisfaction de la clientèle</u>



W

# **APPENDIX B**

Water Supply Calculations



# Water Supply CalculationsLRL File No.180647Project211 ClarenceDateApril 26, 2022Prepared byAmr Salem

#### Water Demand based on the City of Ottawa Design Guidelines-Water Distribution, 2010

Domestic Demand						
Unit Type	Persons Per Unit	Number of Units	Population			
Studio/1-Bdrm Apartment	1.4	34	47.6			
Total		34	47.6			
Average Water Consumption Rate	280	L/c/d				
Average Day Demand	13,328	L/d	0.15	L/s		
Maximum Day Factor	7.9		(MOE Table 3-3)	)		
Maximum Daily Demand	104,799	L/d	1.21	L/s		
Peak Hour Factor	11.8		(MOE Table 3-3)	)		
Maximum Hour Demand	1,240,138	L/d	14.35	L/s		



#### **Fire Flow Calculations**

LRL File No.	180647
Date	April 26, 2022
Method	Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS)
Prepared by	Amr Salem

Step	Task	Term	Options	Multiplier	Choose:	Value	Unit	Fire Flow			
Structural Framing Material											
		Wood Frame	1.5								
	Choose frame used for	Coofficient C	Ordinary Construction	1.0							
1	building	related to the type of construction	Non-combustible construction	0.8	Ordinary Construction	1					
	building		Fire resistive construction <2 hrs	0.7							
			Fire resistive construction >2 hrs	0.6							
	_		Floor Space Area (A)								
2			Total area			1,752	m <sup>2</sup>				
3	Obtain fire flow before	Required fire flow	Fire I	$low = 220 \times C$	× A <sup>0.5</sup>		I /min	10 000			
	reductions	(rounded to nearest 1,000 L/min)		1000 - 220 x C	× A		£/11111	10,000			
			Reductions or surcharge due to factors af	fecting burning	g						
	Choose combustibility of contents	contents Occupancy hazard reduction or surcharge	Non-combustible	-25%	Limited combustible						
			Limited combustible	-15%		-15%	L/min				
4			Combustible	0%				8,500			
				Free burning	15%						
			Rapid burning	25%							
	Choose reduction for Sp sprinklers		Full automatic sprinklers	-30%	True	-30%					
5		Sprinkler reduction	Water supply is standard for both the system and fire department hose lines	-10%	True	-10%	L/min	5,100			
			Fully supervised system	-10%	False	0%					
	Choose separation		North side	20.1 to 30m	10%						
6		Exposuro distance between unite	East side	0 to 3m	25%		l /min	11 475			
		Exposure distance between units	South side	3.1 to 10m	20%		L/IIIII	11,475			
			West side	0 to 3m	25%	80%					
Net required fire flow											
	Minimum required fire flow rate (rounded to nearest 1000)				earest 1000)	L/min	11,000				
7	duration and volume				Minimum required	fire flow rate	L/s	183.3			
					Required duration	on of fire flow	hr	2.25			



# FIRE HYDRANT FIGURE



Distance to	buildings*	Maximum capacity <sup>b</sup>					
(ft)	(m)	(gpm)	(L/min)				
≤ 250	≤ 76	1500	5678				
> 250 and ≤ 500	> 76 and ≤ 152	1000	3785				
> 500 and ≤ 1000	> 152 and ≤ 305	750	2839				

Measured in accordance with 18.5.1.4 and 18.5.1.5.

<sup>b</sup> Minimum 20 psi (139.9 kPa) residual pressure.

#### **Amr Salem**

From: Sent: To: Subject: Attachments: Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca> May 5, 2022 2:54 PM Amr Salem RE: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Boundary Conditions Request 211 Clarence Street May 2022.pdf

Hi Amr

FYI, please note the FUS has released an update. Therefore, please ensure to considers the 2020 update for calculations going forward.

The following are boundary conditions, HGL, for hydraulic analysis at 211 Clarence Street (zone 1W) assumed to be connected to the 203 mm watermain on Clarence Street (see attached PDF for location).

Minimum HGL: 106.2 m

Maximum HGL: 115.4 m

Max Day + FF (183.3 L/s): 104.5 m

These are for current conditions and are based on computer model simulation.

Disclaimer: The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation.

#### Regards,

Reza Bakhit, P.Eng, C.E.T Project Manager Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique Development Review - Centeral Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2424 ext./poste 19346, <u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u> Please note: Given the current pandemic, I will be working from home until further notice; reaching me by email is the easiest. I will be checking my voicemail, just not as frequently as I normally would be.

From: Amr Salem <asalem@lrl.ca> Sent: Friday, April 29, 2022 1:36 PM To: Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca> Subject: RE: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Boundary Conditions Request CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

#### Hello Reza,



E asalem@lrl.ca www.lrl.ca

Please find OBC calcs attached for refence – fireflow demand is indeed more than 9,000 L /min.

Amr Salem, PMP<sup>®</sup>, B.Eng **Civil Engineering Services** LRL Engineering 5430 Canotek Road Ottawa, Ontario K1J 9G2 T (613) 842-3434 or (877) 632-5664 ext 248 F (613) 842-4338

Regards,

We care deeply, so let us know how we did by completing our **Customer Satisfaction Survey**. Nous nous soucions profondément de votre opinion, nous vous invitons donc à nous faire savoir si nous avons satisfait vos attentes en remplissant notre sondage sur la satisfaction de la clientèle



W

From: Bakhit, Reza <reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca> Sent: April 28, 2022 11:40 AM To: Amr Salem <asalem@lrl.ca> Subject: RE: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Amr,

Please use the OBC only and not per NFPA.

The City of Ottawa Water Guidelines does not reference NFPA for fire demand calculations. Please note the NFPA is for fire suppression only and not the firefighting. Even if it is proposed to install sprinkler system, still we must abide by OBC calculation. The fire demands should be submitted using the OBC method if there are no watermains being designed or the OBC method is lower than 150 L/s (9000 L/min).

Regards,

#### Reza Bakhit, P.Eng, C.E.T

**Project Manager** 

Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique

Development Review - Centeral Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2400 ext./poste 19346, <u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u> Please note: Given the current pandemic, I will be working from home until further notice; reaching me by email is the easiest. I will be checking my voicemail, just not as frequently as I normally would be.

From: Amr Salem <<u>asalem@lrl.ca</u>>
Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 11:20 AM
To: Bakhit, Reza <<u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u>>
Subject: RE: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Boundary Conditions Request

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hey Reza,

Can you confirm that the OBC method is as per the NFPA?

Where the total fireflow demand is assumed to be the sum of *the required flow rate for the sprinkler system* + *anticipated hose stream* as per the tables below;

### Table 11.2.2.1 Water Supply Requirements for Pipe Schedule Sprinkler Systems

Occupancy	Mini Resi Pres Requ	mum dual sure uired	Acceptab Base o (Includi Stream A	Duration	
classification -	psi	bar	gpm	L/min	(minutes)
Light hazard	15	1	500-750	1900-2850	30-60
Ordinary hazard	20	1.4	850-1500	3200-5700	60-90

	Inside	e Hose	Total Co Inside an He	Duration	
Occupancy	gpm	L/min	gpm	L/min	(minutes)
Light hazard	0, 50, or 100	0, 190, or 380	100	380	30
Ordinary hazard	0, 50, or 100	0, 190, or 380	250	950	60–90
Extra hazard	0, 50, or 100	0, 190, or 380	500	1900	90–120

# Table 11.2.3.1.2Hose Stream Allowance and Water SupplyDuration Requirements for Hydraulically Calculated Systems



# Thanks,

Amr Salem, PMP<sup>®</sup>, B.Eng Civil Engineering Services LRL Engineering 5430 Canotek Road Ottawa, Ontario K1J 9G2

**T** (613) 842-3434 or (877) 632-5664 ext 248

E <u>asalem@lrl.ca</u> W www.lrl.ca **F** (613) 842-4338

We care deeply, so let us know how we did by completing our <u>Customer Satisfaction Survey</u>. Nous nous soucions profondément de votre opinion, nous vous invitons donc à nous faire savoir si nous avons satisfait vos attentes en remplissant notre <u>sondage sur la satisfaction de la clientèle</u>



From: Bakhit, Reza <<u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u>> Sent: April 28, 2022 11:03 AM To: Amr Salem <<u>asalem@lrl.ca</u>> Subject: RE: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Boundary Conditions Request

Hi Amr,

Did you calculate the OBC first, and it was over 9000 L/min? Please note that as per the tech bulletin ISTB-2021-03, the OBC method can be used if the fire demand for the private property is less than 9,000 L/min. If the OBC fire demand reaches 9000 L/min, then the FUS method is to be used. Could you please confirm?

Regards,

Project Manager Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department / Direction générale de la planification, des biens immobiliers et du développement économique Development Review - Centeral Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2400 ext./poste 19346, <u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u> Please note: Given the current pandemic, I will be working from home until further notice; reaching me by email is the easiest. I will be checking my voicemail, just not as frequently as I normally would be.

From: Amr Salem <<u>asalem@lrl.ca</u>> Sent: Thursday, April 28, 2022 10:01 AM To: Bakhit, Reza <<u>reza.bakhit@ottawa.ca</u>> Subject: LRL180647 - 211 Clarence - Boundary Conditions Request Importance: High

CAUTION: This email originated from an External Sender. Please do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the source.

ATTENTION : Ce courriel provient d'un expéditeur externe. Ne cliquez sur aucun lien et n'ouvrez pas de pièce jointe, excepté si vous connaissez l'expéditeur.

Hello Reza,

I would like to kindly request boundary conditions for the proposed development at 211 Clarence using the following proposed development demands:

- Type of development: proposed 9-storey apartment bldg consisting of 34 units. . (draft site plan attached for reference)
- Proposed Connection Points:
  - Propose a connection point to the 200mm ex. watermain within Clarence St;



• Please provide pressures for the following water demand scenarios required for the proposed development:

	Demand
	L/s
Avg. Daily	0.15
Max Day + FUS	1.21 + 183.3
Peak Hour	14.35

Thank you,



#### Amr Salem, PMP<sup>®</sup>, B.Eng Civil Engineering Services LRL Engineering 5430 Canotek Road Ottawa, Ontario K1J 9G2

E <u>asalem@lrl.ca</u> W www.lrl.ca T (613) 842-3434 or (877) 632-5664 ext 248 F (613) 842-4338

We care deeply, so let us know how we did by completing our <u>Customer Satisfaction Survey</u>. Nous nous soucions profondément de votre opinion, nous vous invitons donc à nous faire savoir si nous avons satisfait vos attentes en remplissant notre <u>sondage sur la satisfaction de la clientèle</u>.



This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

1

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

י י

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



# APPENDIX C

Wastewater Collection Calculations

														Sanitary D	Design Pa	arameters						Pipe Desig	gn Paramet	ters	
	RJ KG I INGEMIERTE	LRL File No. Project: Location: Date:		180647 9-Storey Apa 211 Clarenc May 24, 202	artment Building æ Street 22			A C L H M C	Average D Commercia Light Indus Heavy Indu Maximum Commercia	Daily Flow = 280 L/p/ al & Institutional Flow strial Flow = 35000 L ustrial Flow = 55000 Residential Peak Fa al & Institutional Pea	day v = 50000 L /ha/day L/ha/day ctor = 4.0 k Factor = 1	/ha/day .5			Industrial Extraneo	l Peak Facto ous Flow = 0	or = as per .33L/s/gros	Appendix 4 ss ha	4-B = 7			Minimum V Manning's	/elocity = 0.6 n = 0.013	60 m/s	
																			•						-
1	LOCATION			RESIDEN	TIAL AREA AND POP	ULATION		COMME	RCIAL	INDUSTRI	AL	INSTITU	TIONAL	C+I+I	11	NFILTRATIO	NC	TOTAL			F	PIPE			
OTDEET	FROM	TONUL	AREA	DOD	CUMMULATIVE	PEAK	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	AREA ACCU.	PEAK	AREA	ACCU.	PEAK	TOTAL	ACCU.	INFILT.	FLOW	LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	MATERIA	CAP.	VEL.	1

STREET	FROM MH	ТО МН	AREA (Ha)	POP.	AREA (Ha)	POP.	PEAK FACT.	PEAK FLOW (I/s)	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	PEAK FACT.	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	FLOW (l/s)	AREA (Ha)	ACCU. AREA (Ha)	INFILT. FLOW (I/s)	FLOW (I/s)	LENGTH (m)	DIA. (mm)	SLOPE (%)	MATERIAL	CAP. (FULL) (I/s)	VEL. (FULL) (m/s)
Clarence Street	Bldg	SAN MH01	0.029	47.6	0.03	47.6	3.7	0.56	0.000	0.000	0.00	0.00	7.0	0.0	0.0	0.00	0.029	0.029	0.01	0.57	2.6	150	1.00%	PVC	15.23	0.86
	SAN MH01	EX.SAN						0.56											0.01	0.57	10.4	150	1.00%	PVC	15.23	0.86
	EX. S.	AN																				250	0.50%	PVC	42.05	0.86

	D	esigned:		PROJECT:	
NOTES Existing inverts and slopes are estimated. They are to be confirmed on-site.		A.S.		Apartment Building	
	С	hecked:		LOCATION:	
		V.J.		211 Clarence Street	
	D	wg. Reference:	File Ref.:	Date:	Sheet No.
		C.401	180647	2022-05-24	1 of 1

# **APPENDIX D**

Stormwater Management Calculations Watts Roof Drain Specification

#### LRL Associates Ltd. Storm Watershed Summary

	LRL File No.	180647
	Project:	Apartment Building
	Location:	211 Clarence
	Date:	May 10, 2022
	Designed:	Amr Salem
ENGINEERING I INGÉNIERIE	Drawing Reference:	C701/C702

#### Pre-Development Catchments

WATERSHED	C = 0.2	C = 0.70	C = 0.90	Total Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
EWS-01	157.7	0.0	130.0	287.7	0.0288	0.52
TOTAL	157.7	0.0	130.0	287.7	0.0288	0.52

#### Post-Development Catchments

WATERSHED	C = 0.20	C = 0.70	C = 0.90	Total Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
WS-01(UNCONTROLLED)	57.0		12.7	69.7	0.007	0.33
WS-02 (CONTROLLED)			218.0	218.0	0.022	0.90
TOTAL	57.0	0.0	230.7	287.7	0.0288	0.76

		LRL File No. Project: Location: Date: Designed: Drawing Ref.:	180647 Apartment Building 211 Clarence May 10, 2022 Anr Salem C.601			Storme	ater Management esign Sheet	
Runoff Equation C I A T <sub>c</sub>	= 2.78CIA (L/s) = Runoff coeffic = Rainfal intern = Area (ha) = Time of conce	i iint iity (mm/tr) entration (min)	= A / (Td + C) <sup>8</sup>					
Pre-development Stormwa Is C C I T T T C Total Area Allowable	ter Managemen 998.071 / (Tel 0.50 104.2 10 0.029 Release Rate=	1 + 6.053) <sup>8.814</sup> max of 0.5 as per mmhr min ha 4.17	City of Ottawa		998.071	b =	0.814 C = 6.0	353
Post-development Stormw Controlled	ater Manageme	nt Total Site WS-02 (1 Total Cont	Area = Roof) rolled =	0.029 0.022 0.022	ha ha ha	5R= R= 5R=	2R <sub>101</sub> 2R <sub>101</sub> 0.76 0.95 0.00 1.00 0.80 1.00	
Un-controlled		WS-0 Total Un-Co	i1 ntrolled =	0.007	ha ha	R= SR=	0.33 0.41 0.33 0.41	
Post-development Stormw 100 Year Storm Event:	ater Manageme = 1735.688 / (T	nt (Uncontrolled ( d + 6.014) <sup>0.000</sup>	Catchment WS-01)		1735.688	b =	0.820 C = 6.014	_
Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Controlled Release Rate Constant (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)				
Post-development Stormw 100 Year Storm Event:	ater Manageme = 1735.688 / (T	nt (WS-02 On Roc d + 6.014) <sup>0.500</sup>	<u>n</u>		1735.688	b =	0.820 C = 6.014	_
1			Storage Required	Controlled Release				
Time (min)	(mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>2</sup> )	Kate Constant (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Rate (L/s)		
10	178.6	10.82 8.66	5.36 6.09	1.89	0.00	1.89		
20	120.0	7.27	6.46	1.89	0.00	1.89		
30	91.9	5.57	6.62	1.89	0.00	1.89		
35	82.6	5.00	6.54 6.39	1.89	0.00	1.89		
45	69.1	4.18	6.20	1.89	0.00	1.89	<u></u>	7ABLE 1. Adjustoble
50 60	64.0	3.88	5.96 5.39	1.89	0.00	1.89		Weir Opening
70	49.8	3.02	4.74	1.89	0.00	1.89	Z/4N	Exposed
90	45.0	2.49	3.25	1.89	0.00	1.89	14-4-2	Fully Exposed
100	37.9	2.30	2.44	1.89	0.00	1.89	K-1-VW	1/2
120	32.9	1.99	0.75	1.89	0.00	1.89		1/4 Closed
Masimum R	lequired Roof Sti Watts Roof C Numbr Total Flow Total Flow To Effici Availab R	Summary of Roi orage (100 Year) = Drain Discharge = Proposed Head = ar of Roof Drain = ar of Roof Drain = tal Roof Surface = ive Roof Surface = oof Drain Model =	6.52 0.0042 150 0.53 3 1.89 218 203 10.14 Wats Reof Drain with Adjust	m <sup>3</sup> Usimm Us Us m <sup>2</sup> m <sup>3</sup> able flow Setting (Watt	*An Emergency overfa 93 s RD-100 Weir Opening	tw scupper is provided (% of total roof surfac = <b>Closed)</b>	$\label{eq:V-1} V - (t^n w)^2 h/3 = Ab/3$ above this height.	
	Total St Availab	orage Required = le Roof Storage =	6.62 10.14	m² m²	refer to LRL Plan C.	601		

Summary of release Rates and St	orage Volumes			
Catchment Area	Drainage Area (ha)	100-year Release Rate (L/s)	100-Year Required Storage (m3)	Total Available Storage (m3)
WS-01	0.007	1.42	0	0
WS-02 (Roof Controls)	0.022	1.89	6.62	10.14
TOTAL	0.029	3.31	6.62	10.14



## Adjustable Flow Control for Roof Drains

## ADJUSTABLE ACCUTROL (for Large Sump Roof Drains only)

WATTS®

For more flexibility in controlling flow with heads deeper than 2", Watts Drainage offers the Adjustable Accutrol. The Adjustable Accutrol Weir is designed with a single parabolic opening that can be covered to restrict flow above 2" of head to less than 5 gpm per inch, up to 6" of head. To adjust the flow rate for depths over 2" of head, set the slot in the adjustable upper cone according to the flow rate required. Refer to Table 1 below. Note: Flow rates are directly proportional to the amount of weir opening that is exposed.

#### EXAMPLE:

For example, if the adjustable upper cone is set to cover 1/2 of the weir opening, flow rates above 2" of head will be restricted to 2-1/2 gpm per inch of head.

Therefore, at 3" of head, the flow rate through the Accutrol Weir that has 1/2 the slot exposed will be: [5 gpm(per inch of head) x 2 inches of head] +  $2 \cdot 1/2$  gpm(for the third inch of head) =  $12 \cdot 1/2$  gpm.



#### TABLE 1. Adjustable Accutrol Flow Rate Settings

3		Head of Water				
Weir Opening	1"	2"	3"	4"	5"	6"
		Flow Rate (gallons per minute)				
Fully Exposed	5	10	15	20	25	30
3/4	5	10	13.75	17.5	21.25	25
1/2	5	10	12.5	15	17.5	20
1/4	5	10	11.25	12.5	13.75	15
Closed	5	10	10	10	10	10
Job Name			Model No.	5. 		
Engineer			Representa	tive		
	<b>FS</b> <sup>®</sup>	WATTS Drainage reserves the rig any obligation to make similar ch representative for any clarificatio	ht to modify or change product anges and modifications to pro n. Dimensions are subject to mo	t design or construction without oducts previously or subsequen anufacturing tolerances.	t prior notice and without incu Ily sold. See your WATTS Dra	rring inage CANAT
Specification Drainage Pro	oducts CANADA: 5435 North Service Road, Burlington, ON, L7L 5H7 TEL: 905-332-6718 TOLL-FREE: 1-888-208-8927 Website: www.wattscanada.ca					

ES-WD-RD-ACCUTROLADJ CANADA 0110



### ACCUTROL WEIR FLOW CONTROL

**SPECIFICATION:** Watts Drainage Products epoxy coated cast iron Accutrol Weir is designed with parabolic openings which limit the flow of rain water off a roof. Each weir slot controls flow to 5 gpm per inch of head to a maximum of 30 gpm at 6" head(for large sump), 25 gpm at 5" head(for small sump) . The Accutrol Weir is secured to the flashing clamp of the roof drain. The Accutrol Weir is available with 1 to 4 slots for the large sump drain and up to 3 slots for the small sump drain.

For Large Sump Roof Drains Specify the "-A" option and number of slots required. (ie. "RD-100-A2" for two slot weir) For Small Sump Roof Drains Specify the "-A" option and number of slots required. (ie. "RD-200-A1" for one slot weir)



# **APPENDIX E**

Civil Engineering Drawings

# 211 CLARENCE STREET, OTTAWA, ONTARIO

# **REVISION 01**



KEY PLAN (N.T.S.)



TITLE PAGE

SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN

DEMOLITION PLAN

SITE DEVELOPMENT PLAN

GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN

SERVICING PLAN

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

PRE-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN

POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN CONSTRUCTION DETAIL PLAN





5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2

www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434

C101	
C102	
C201	
C301	
C401	
C601	
C701	
C702	
C901	





#### GENERAL NOTES

- 1. ALL WORKS MATERIALS SHALL CONFIRM TO THE LAST REVISION OF THE STANDARDS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR THE CITY OF OTTAWA, ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS), WHERE APPLICABLE. LOCAL UTILITY STANDARDS AND MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION STANDARDS WILL APPLY WHERE REQUIRED.
- 2. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL CONFIRM THE LOCATION OF ALL EXISTING UTILITIES WITHIN THE SITE AND ADJACENT WORK AREAS. THE CONTRACTORS SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR PROTECTING ALL EXISTING UTILITIES TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR THE REPAIR OR REPLACEMENT OF ANY SERVICES OR UTILITIES DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION, TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION.
- 3. ALL DIMENSIONS SHALL BE CHECKED AND VERIFIED IN THE FIELD BY THE CONTRACTOR PRIOR TO THE START OF CONSTRUCTION, ANY DISCREPANCIES SHALL BE REPORTED IMMEDIATELY TO THE ENGINEER. LOST TIME DUE TO FAILURE OF THE CONTRACTORS TO CONFIRM UTILITY LOCATIONS AND NOTICY ENGINEER OF POSSIBLE CONFLICTS PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION WILL BE AT CONTRACTORS EXPENSE 4. ANY AREA BEYOND THE LIMIT OF THE SITE DISTURBED DURING CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE RESTORED TO ORIGINAL CONDITION OR
- BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITY HAVING JURISDICTION AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE RELOCATING OF EXISTING SERVICES AND/OR UTILITIES SHALL BE AS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS OR DETECTED BY THE ENGINEER AT THE EXPENSE OF DEVELOPERS. 5. ALL WORK SHALL BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE 'OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH AND SAFETY ACT AND REGULATIONS FOR
- CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS'. THE GENERAL CONTRACTORS SHALL BE DEEMED TO BE THE 'CONTRACTOR' AS DEFINED IN THE ACT. 6. ALL THE CONSTRUCTION SIGNAGE MUST CONFIRM TO THE MINISTRY OF TRANSPORTATION OF ONTARIO MANUAL OF UNIFORM TRAFFIC
- CONTROL DEVICES PER LATEST AMENDMENT 7. THE CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED THAT WORKS BY OTHERS MAY BE ONGOING DURING THE PERIOD OF THE CONTRACT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL COORDINATE CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES TO PREVENT CONFLICTS.
- 8. ALL DIMENSIONS ARE IN METRES UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE
- 9. THERE WILL BE NO SUBSTITUTION OF MATERIALS UNLESS PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL IS RECEIVED FROM THE ENGINEER. 10. ALL CONSTRUCTION SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE RECOMMENDATIONS MADE IN THE GEOTECHNICAL REPORT.
- 11. FOR DETAILS RELATING TO STORMWATER MANAGEMENT AND ROOF DRAINAGE REFER TO THE SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT
- 12. ALL SEWERS CONSTRUCTED WITH GRADES LESS THAN 1.0% SHALL BE INSTALLED USING LASER ALIGNMENT AND CHECKED WITH LEVEL INSTRUMENT PRIOR TO BACKFILLING.
- 13. THE CONTRACTOR IS RESPONSIBLE FOR OBTAINING ALL PERMITS REQUIRED AND TO BEAR THE COST OF THE SAME 14. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR ADDITIONAL BEDDING, OR ADDITIONAL STRENGTH PIPE IF THE MAXIMUM TRENCH WIDTH AS
- SPECIFIED BY OPSD IS EXCEEDED
- 15. ALL PIPE/CULVERT SECTION SIZES REFER TO INSIDE DIMENSIONS. 16. SHOULD DEEPLY BURIED ARCHAEOLOGICAL REMAINS BE FOUND ON THE PROPERTY DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES. THE HERITAGE OPERATIONS UNIT OF THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF CULTURE MUST BE NOTIFIED IMMEDIATELY.
- 17. ALL NECESSARY CLEARING AND GRUBBING SHALL BE COMPLETED BY THE CONTRACTOR. REVIEW WITH CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR AND THE CITY OF OTTAWA PRIOR TO ANY TREE CUTTING/REMOVAL 18. DRAWINGS SHALL BE READ ON CONJUNCTION WITH ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN.
- 19. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE THE PROJECT ENGINEER ON SET OF AS CONSTRUCTED SITE SERVICING AND GRADING DRAWINGS. 20. BENCHMARKS: IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT THE SITE BENCHMARK(S) HAS NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT ITS RELATIVE ELEVATION AND DESCRIPTION AGREES WITH THE INFORMATION DEPICTED ON THIS PLAN.

#### EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL NOTES

#### GENERAL

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES, TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF THE AREA DRAINAGE SYSTEM AND THE RECEIVING WATERCOURSE, DURING CONSTRUCTION ACTIVITIES, THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT FAILURE TO IMPLEMENT APPROPRIATE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES MAY BE SUBJECT TO PENALTIES IMPOSED BY ANY APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT SURFACE EROSION AND SEDIMENT RUNOFF RESULTING FROM THEIR CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS HAS POTENTIAL TO CAUSE A DETRIMENTAL IMPACT TO ANY DOWNSTREAM WATERCOURSE OR SEWER. AND THAT ALL CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS THAT MAY IMPACT UPON WATER QUALITY SHALL BE CARRIED OUT IN MANNER THAT STRICTLY MEETS THE REQUIREMENT OF ALL APPLICABLE LEGISLATION AND REGULATIONS.

AS SUCH, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING OUT THEIR OPERATIONS, AND SUPPLYING AND INSTALLING ANY APPROPRIATE CONTROL MEASURES, SO AS TO PREVENT SEDIMENT LADEN RUNOFF ENTERING ANY SEWER OR WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA.

THE CONTRACTOR ACKNOWLEDGES THAT NO ONE MEASURE IS LIKELY TO BE 100% EFFECTIVELY FOR EROSION PROTECTION AND CONTROLLING SEDIMENT RUNOFF AND DISCHARGES FROM THE SITE. THEREFORE, WHERE NECESSARY THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMPLEMENT ADDITIONAL MEASURES ARRANGED IN SUCH MANNER AS TO MITIGATE SEDIMENT RELEASE FROM THE CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS AND ACHIEVE SPECIFIC MAXIMUM PERMITTED CRITERIA WHERE APPLICABLE. SUGGESTED ON-SITE MEASURES MAY INCLUDE, BUT SHALL NOT BE LIMITED TO THE FOLLOWING METHODS: SEDIMENT PONDS FILTER BAGS, PUMP FILTERS, SETTLING TANKS, SILT FENCE, STRAW BALES, FILTER CLOTHS, CATCH BASIN FILTERS, CHECK DAMS AND/OR OTHER RECOGNIZED TECHNOLOGIES AND METHOD AVAILABLE AT THE TIME OF CONSTRUCTION, SPECIFIC MEASURES SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS OF OPSS 577 WHERE APPROPRIATE, OR IN ACCORDANCE WITH MANUFACTURER'S RECOMMENDATIONS.

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY AGENCY, THE INSTALLED CONTROL MEASURES FAIL TO PERFORM ADEQUATELY, THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUPPLY AND INSTALL ADDITIONAL OR ALTERNATIVE MEASURES AS DIRECTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR REGULATORY AGENCY. AS SUCH. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL HAVE ADDITIONAL CONTROL MATERIALS ON SITE AT ALL TIME WHICH ARE EASILY ACCESSIBLE AND MAY BE IMPLEMENTED BY HIM AT THE MOMENT'S NOTICE.

PRIOR TO COMMENCING WORK. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL SUBMIT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR SIX COPIES OF A DETAILED EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL PLAN (ESCP). THE ESCP WILL CONSIST OF WRITTEN DESCRIPTION AND DETAILED DRAWINGS INDICATING THE ON-SITE ACTIVITIES AND MEASURES TO BE USED TO CONTROL EROSION AND SEDIMENT MOVEMENT FOR EACH STEP OF THE WORK.

#### CONTRACTOR'S RESPONSIBILITIES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL ENSURE THAT ALL WORKERS, INCLUDING SUB-CONTRACTOR, IN THE WORKING ARE ARE AWARE OF THE IMPORTANCE OF THE EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES AND INFORMED OF THE CONSEQUENCES OF THE FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF ALL REGULATORY AGENCIES

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PERIODICALLY, AND WHEN REQUESTED BY THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, CLEAN OUT ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT DEPOSITS AS REQUIRED AT THE SEDIMENT CONTROL DEVICES, INCLUDING THOSE DEPOSITS THAT MAY ORIGINATE FROM OUTSIDE THE CONSTRUCTION AREA. ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED IN SUCH A MANNER THAT PREVENTS THE DEPOSITION OF THIS MATERIAL INTO THE SEWER WATERCOURSE AND AVOIDS DAMAGE TO CONTROL MEASURES. THE SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE SITE AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND MANAGED IN COMPLIANCE WITH REQUIREMENTS FRO EXCESS EARTH MATERIAL, AS SPECIFIED ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT.

THE CONTRACTOR SHALL IMMEDIATELY REPORT TO THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR ANY ACCIDENTAL DISCHARGES OF SEDIMENT MATERIAL INTO EITHER THE WATERCOURSE OR THE STORM SEWER SYSTEM. FAILURE TO REPORT WILL BE CONSTITUTE A BRACH OF THIS SPECIFICATION AND THE CONTRACTOR MAY ALSO BE SUBJECT TO THE PENALTIES IMPOSED BY THE APPLICABLE REGULATORY AGENCY. APPROPRIATE RESPONSE MEASURES, INCLUDING ANY REPAIRS TO EXISTING CONTROL MEASURES OR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ADDITIONAL CONTROL MEASURES, SHALL BE CARRIED OUT BY THE CONTRACTOR WITHOUT DELAY.

THE SEDIMENT CONTROL MEASURES SHALL ONLY BE REMOVED WHEN, IN THE OPINION OF THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR, THE MEASURE OR MEASURES, IS NO LONGER REQUIRED. NO CONTROL MEASURE MAY BE PERMANENTLY REMOVED WITHOUT PRIOR AUTHORIZATION FROM THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR. ALL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES SHALL BE REMOVED IN A MANNER THAT AVOIDS THE ENTRY OF ANY EQUIPMENT, OTHER THAN HAND-HELD EQUIPMENT, INTO ANY WATERCOURSE, AND PREVENTS THE RELEASE OF ANY SEDIMENT OR DEBRIS INTO ANY SEWER OR WATERCOURSE WITHIN OR DOWNSTREAM OF THE WORKING AREA. ALL ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM THE WORKING AREA AT THE CONTRACTOR'S EXPENSE AND MANAGED IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE REQUIREMENTS FOR EXCESS EARTH MATERIAL

WHERE, IN THE OPINION OF EITHER THE CONTRACT ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY, ANY OF THE TERMS SPECIFIED HEREIN HAVE NOT BEEN COMPLIED WITH OR PERFORMED IN A SUITABLE MANNER, OR TAT ALL, THE CONTRACTOR ADMINISTRATOR OR A REGULATORY AGENCY HAS THE RIGHT TO IMMEDIATELY WITHDRAW ITS PERMISSION TO CONTINUE THE WORK BUT MAY RENEW ITS PERMISSION UPON BEING SATISFIED THAT THE DEFAULTS OR DEFICIENCIES IN THE PERFORMANCE OF THIS SPECIFICATION BY THE CONTRACTOR HAVE BEEN REMEDIED.

#### SPILL CONTROL NOTES

- 1. ALL CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT SHALL BE RE-FUELED, MAINTAINED, AND STORED NO LESS THAN 30 METRES FROM WATERCOURSE, STEAMS, CREEKS, WOODLOTS, AND ANY ENVIRONMENTALLY SENSITIVE AREAS, OR AS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.
- 2. THE CONTRACTOR MUST IMPLEMENT ALL NECESSARY MEASURES IN ORDER TO PREVENT LEAKS, DISCHARGES OR SPILLS OF POLLUTANTS, DELETERIOUS MATERIALS, OR OTHER SUCH MATERIALS OR SUBSTANCES WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT
- 3. IN THE EVENT OF A LEAK, DISCHARGE OR SPILL OF POLLUTANT, DELETERIOUS MATERIAL OR OTHER SUCH MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE WHICH WOULD OR COULD CAUSE AN ADVERSE IMPACT TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL:
- 3.1. IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY APPROPRIATE FEDERAL, PROVINCIAL, AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT MINISTRIES, DEPARTMENTS, AGENCIES, AND AUTHORITIES OF THE INCIDENT IN ACCORDANCE WITH ALL CURRENT LAWS, LEGISLATION, ACTS, BY-LAWS, PERMITS, APPROVALS,
- 3.2. TAKE IMMEDIATE MEASURES TO CONTAIN THE MATERIAL OR SUBSTANCE, AND TO TAKE SUCH MEASURES TO MITIGATE AGAINST ADVERSE IMPACTS TO THE NATURAL ENVIRONMENT. 3.3. RESTORE THE AFFECTED AREA TO THE ORIGINAL CONDITION OR BETTER TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE AUTHORITIES HAVING

#### MUD MAT NOTES

JURISDICTION

1. THE GRANULAR MATERIAL WILL REQUIRE PERIODIC REPLACEMENT AS IT BECOMES CONTAMINATED BY VEHICLE TRAFFIC.

2. SEDIMENT SHALL BE CLEANED FROM PUBLIC ROADS AT THE END OF EACH DAY. 3. SEDIMENT SHALL BE REMOVED FROM PUBLIC ROADS BY SHOVELING OR SWEEPING AND DISPOSED OR PROPERLY IN A CONTROLLED SEDIMENT DISPOSAL AREA.

#### SITE GRADING NOTES

- 1. PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF THE SITE GRADING WORKS, ALL SILTATION CONTROL DEVICES SHALL BE INSTALLED AND OPERATIONAL PER EROSION CONTROL PLAN
- RECOMMENDATIONS
- OF CONSTRUCTION.
- AND OPSS 310
- 7. SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS AND FILL WITH GRANULAR 'B' COMPACTED IN MAXIMUM 30MM LIFTS.
- REQUIRED BY THE MUNICIPALITY.
- 11. REFER TO ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN FOR DIMENSIONS AND SITE DETAILS.
- STANDARDS

#### ROADWORK SPECIFICATIONS

- STOCK PILLED ON SITE AS DIRECTED BY NATIONAL MUNICIPALITY.

## SANITARY, FOUNDATION DRAIN, STORM SEWER AND WATERMAIN NOTES

#### GENERAL

- 1. LASER ALIGNMENT CONTROL TO BE UTILIZED ON ALL SEWER INSTALLATIONS.
- AND AT 60M INTERVALS IN THE SERVICE TRENCHES.
- PROCTOR DENSITY. A MINIMUM OF 300MM AROUND STRUCTURES.
- 5. "MODULOC" OR APPROVED PRE-CAST MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN ADJUSTERS TO BE USED IN LIEU OF BRICKING. PARGE ADJUSTING UNITS ON THE OUTSIDE ONLY.
- 6. SAFETY PLATFORMS SHALL BE PER OPSD 404.02. 7. DROP STRUCTURES SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 1003.01, IF APPLICABLE.
- SATISFACTION OF THE ENGINEER
- THE CONSULTANT FOR REVIEW AND APPROVAL PRIOR TO PLACEMENT OF WEAR COURSE ASPHALT.

#### <u>SANITARY</u>

- STANDARD DRAWINGS (OPSD). AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS) 11. ALL SANITARY GRAVITY SEWER SHALL BE PVC SDR 35, IPEX 'RING-TITE' (OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT) PER CSA STANDARD B182.2 OR LATEST
- AMENDMENT, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE 12. EXISTING MAINTENANCE STRUCTURES TO BE RE-BENCHED WHERE A NEW CONNECTION IS MADE.
- OTHERWISE
- SANITARY MAINTENANCE STRUCTURES SHALL BE BENCHED PER OPSD 701.021.

# <u>STORM</u>

DRAWING SSP-1.

- GASKETS AS PER CSA A257.3, OR LATEST AMENDMENT.
- SPECIFIED. BEDDING AND COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- 20. CATCH BASIN SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSD 705.010.
- 21. CATCH BASIN LEADS SHALL BE IN 200MM DIA. AT 1% SLOPE (MIN) UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.
- 22. ALL CATCH BASINS SHALL HAVE 600MM SUMPS, UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE.

- MADE NECESSARY BY THE WIDENED TRENCH.
- APPI ICABI E
- 27. RIP-RAP TREATMENT SEWER AND CULVERT OUTLETS PER OPSD 810.010.

### WATERMAIN

WATERMAIN.

THE SEWER

BACK FROM STUB.

2.4M.

- DRAWINGS (OPSD) AND SPECIFICATIONS (OPSS).
- 31. ALL PVC WATERMAINS SHALL BE AWWA C-900 CLASS 150, SDR 18 OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.
- 32. ALL WATER SERVICES LESS THAN OR EQUAL TO 50MM IN DIAMETER TO BE TYPE 'K' COPPER.
- AND COVER MATERIAL SHALL BE SPECIFIED BY THE PROJECT GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER.
- OTTAWA STD. W.36
- 36. VALVE BOXES SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD W24.

44. GENERAL WATER PLANT TO UTILITY CLEARANCE AS PER STD DWG R20.

FINISHED GRADE AT HYDRANT; FIRE HYDRANT LOCATION AS PER STD DWG W18.

45. FIRE HYDRANT INSTALLATION AS PER STD DWG W19, ALL BOTTOM OF HYDRANT FLANGE ELEVATIONS TO BE INSTALLED 0.10M ABOVE PROPOSED

47. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE HYDROSTATICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES UNLESS

48. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL BE BACTERIOLOGICALLY TESTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND ONTARIO GUIDELINES. ALL

OTHERWISE DIRECTED. PROVISIONS FOR FLUSHING WATER LINE PRIOR TO TESTING, ETC. MUST BE PROVIDED.

46. BUILDING SERVICE TO BE CAPPED 1.0M OFF THE FACE OF THE BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED AND MUST BE RESTRAINED A MINIMUM OF 12M

CHLORINATED WATER TO BE DISCHARGED AND PRETREATED TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS PRIOR TO DISCHARGE. ALL DISCHARGED WATER MUST BE

43. ALL WATERMAINS SHALL HAVE A MINIMUM COVER OR 2.4M, OTHERWISE THERMAL INSULATION IS REQUIRED AS PER STD DWG W22.

ADEQUATE STRUCTURAL SUPPORT FOR THE SEWER IS REQUIRED TO PREVENT EXCESSIVE DEFLECTION OF JOINTS AND SETTLING. THE LENGTH OF WATER PIPE SHALL BE CENTERED AT THE POINT OF CROSSING TO ENSURE THAT THE JOINTS WILL BE EQUIDISTANT AND AS FAR AS POSSIBLE FROM

42. THE MINIMUM VERTICAL CLEARANCE BETWEEN WATERMAIN AND SEWER/UTILITY IS 0.5M PER MOE GUIDELINES. FOR CROSSING UNDER SEWERS,

40. WATERMAIN CROSSING OVER AND BELOW SEWERS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W25,2 AND W25, RESPECTIVELY. 41. WATER SERVICES ARE TO BE INSULATED PER CITY STD. W23 WHERE SEPARATION BETWEEN SERVICES AND MAINTENANCE HOLES ARE LESS THAN

39. THE CONTRACTOR SHALL PROVIDE ALL TEMPORARY CAPS, PLUGS, BLOW-OFFS, AND NOZZLES REQUIRED FOR TESTING AND DISINFECTION OF THE

37. WATERMAIN IN FILL AREAS TO BE INSTALLED WITH RESTRAINED JOINTS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.25.5 AND W25.6. 38. THRUST BLOCKING OF WATERMAINS TO BE INSTALLED PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. W25.3 AND W25.4.

35. CATHODIC PROTECTION IS REQUIRED ON ALL METALLIC FITTINGS PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD.25.5 AND W25.6.

33. WATERMAIN TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STANDARD W17. UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. BEDDING 34. ALL PVC WATERMAINS, SHALL BE INSTALLED WITH A 10 GAUGE STRANDED COPPER TWU OR RWU TRACER WIRE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF

30. ALL WATERMAIN INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL STANDARD

28. ALL STORM SEWER/ CULVERTS TO BE INSTALLED WITH FROST TREATMENT PER OPSD 803.031 WHERE APPLICABLE. 29. ALL STORM MANHOLES WITH PIPE LESS THAN 900MM IN DIAMETER SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED WITH A 300MM SUMP AS PER SDG, CLAUSE 6.2.6.

PERFORATED SUBDRAIN FOR ROAD AND PARKING LOT CATCH BASIN SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY STD R1 UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 26. PERFORATED SUBDRAIN FOR REAR YARD AND LANDSCAPING APPLICATIONS SHALL BE INSTALLED PER CITY STD S29, S30 AND S31, WHERE

EXCEEDED, THE CONTRACTOR IS REQUIRED TO PROVIDE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR EXTRA TEMPORARY AND/OR PERMANENT REPAIRS 25. ALL ROAD AND PARKING LOT CATCH BASINS TO BE INSTALLED WITH ORTHOGONALLY PLACED SUBDRAINS IN ACCORDANCE WITH DETAIL.

23. ALL CATCH BASIN LEAD INVERTS TO BE 1.5M BELOW FINISHED GRADE UNLESS SPECIFIED OTHERWISE. 24. THE STORM SEWER CLASSES HAVE BEEN DESIGNED BASED ON BEDDING CONDITIONS SPECIFIED ABOVE. WHERE THE SPECIFIED TRENCH WIDTH IS

19. ALL PVC STORM SEWERS ARE TO BE SDR 35 APPROVED PER C.S.A. B182.2 OR LATEST AMENDMENT, UNLESS OTHERWISE SPECIFIED.

18. ALL STORM SEWER TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S6 AND S7 CLASS 'B' UNLESS OTHERWISE

17. ALL REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.2, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. ALL NON-REINFORCED CONCRETE STORM SEWER PIPE SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CSA A257.1, OR LATEST AMENDMENT. PIPE SHALL BE JOINED WITH STD. RUBBER

14. SANITARY MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE FRAME AND COVERS SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S24 AND S25. 16. 100MM THICK HIGH-DENSITY GRADE 'A' POLYSTYRENE INSULATION TO BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY STD W22 WHERE INDICATED ON

13. SANITARY GRAVITY SEWER TRENCH AND BEDDING SHALL BE PER CITY OF OTTAWA STD. S6 AND S7 CLASS 'B' BEDDING, UNLESS SPECIFIED

10. ALL SANITARY SEWER INSTALLATION SHALL CONFORM TO THE LATEST REVISIONS OF THE CITY OF OTTAWA AND THE ONTARIO PROVINCIAL

407. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM VIDEO INSPECTION OF ALL SEWERS, A COPY OF THE VIDEO AND INSPECTION REPORT SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO

VIDEO RECORDING IN A FORMAT ACCEPTABLE TO ENGINEER. ALL SEWER ARE TO BE FLUSHED PRIOR TO CAMERA INSPECTION. ASPHALT WEAR COURSE SHALL NOT BE PLACED UNTIL THE VIDEO INSPECTION OF SEWERS AND NECESSARY REPAIRS HAVE BEEN COMPLETED TO THE 9. CONTRACTOR SHALL PERFORM LEAKAGE TESTING, IN THE PRESENCE OF THE CONSULTANT, FOR SANITARY SEWERS IN ACCORDANCE WITH OPSS

8. THE CONTRACTOR IS TO PROVIDE CCTV CAMERA INSPECTIONS OF ALL SEWERS, INCLUDING PICTORIAL REPORT, ONE (1) CD COPY AND TWO (2)

3. SERVICES TO BUILDING TO BE TERMINATED 1.0M FROM THE OUTSIDE FACE OF BUILDING UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. 4. ALL MAINTENANCE STRUCTURE AND CATCH BASIN EXCAVATIONS TO BE BACKFILLED WITH GRANULAR MATERIAL COMPACTED TO 98% STANDARD

2. CLAY SEALS TO BE INSTALLED AS PER CITY STANDARD DRAWING S8. THE SEALS SHOULD BE AT LEAST 1.5M LONG (IN THE TRENCH DIRECTION) AND SHOULD EXTEND FROM TRENCH WALL TO TRENCH WALL. THE SEALS SHOULD EXTEND FROM THE FROST LINE AND FULLY PENETRATE THE BEDDING, SUB-BEDDING, AND COVER MATERIAL. THE BARRIERS SHOULD CONSIST OF RELATIVELY DRY AND COMPATIBLE BROWN SILTY CLAY PLACED IN MAXIMUM 225MM LIFTS AND COMPACTED TO A MINIMUM OF 95% SPMDD. THE CLAY SEALS SHOULD BE PLACED AT THE SITE BOUNDARIES

17. THE SUBGRADE SHALL BE CROWNED AND SLOPED AT LEAST 2% AND PROOF ROLLED WITH HEAVY ROLLERS. 18. SUB-EXCAVATE SOFT AREAS AND FILL WITH GRANULAR 'A', TYPE II COMPACTED IN MAXIMUM 300MM LIFTS. 19. ALL GRANULAR FOR ROADS SHALL BE COMPACTED TO MINIMUM OF 100% STANDARD PROCTOR DENSITY MAXIMUM DRY DENSITY (SPMDD).

15. ROADWORK TO BE COMPLETED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL REPORT, PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES. DATED NOVEMBER 2020. 16. AL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT OF CONSTRUCTION AND

14. WHERE APPLICABLE THE CONTRACTOR IS TO SUBMIT SHOP DRAWINGS TO THE ENGINEER FOR APPROVAL PRIOR TO CONSTRUCTION. SHOP DRAWINGS MUST BE SITE SPECIFIC, SIGNED AND SEALED BY A LICENSED STRUCTURAL ENGINEER. THE CONTRACTOR WILL ALSO BE REQUIRED TO SUPPLY AND GEOTECHNICAL CERTIFICATION OF THE AS-CONSTRUCTED RETAINING WALL TO THE ENGINEER PRIOR TO FINAL ACCEPTANCE.

13. SIDEWALKS TO BE 13MM & BEVELED AT 2:1 OR 6MM WITH NO BEVEL REQUIRED BELOW THE FINISHED FLOOR SLAB ELEVATION AT ENTRANCES REQUIRED TO BE BARRIER-FREE, UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED. ALL IN ACCORDANCE WITH OBC 3.8.1.3 & OTTAWA ACCESSIBILITY DESIGN

12. STEP JOINTS ARE TO BE USED WHERE PROPOSED ASPHALT MEETS EXISTING ASPHALT. ALL JOINTS MUST BE SEALED.

10. ALL PAVEMENT MARKING FEATURES AND SITE SIGNAGE SHALL BE PLACED PER ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. LINE PAINTING AND DIRECTIONAL SYMBOLS SHALL BE APPLIED WITH A MINIMUM OF TWO COATS OF ORGANIC SOLVENT PAINT.

8. ALL WORK ON THE MUNICIPAL RIGHT OF WAY AND EASEMENTS TO BE INSPECTED BY THE MUNICIPALITY PRIOR BACKFILLING. 9. CONTRACTOR TO OBTAIN A ROAD OCCUPANCY PERMIT 48 HOURS PRIOR TO COMMENCING ANY WORK WITHIN THE MUNICIPAL ROAD ALLOWANCE, IF

6. GRANULAR 'A' SHALL BE PLACED TO A MINIMUM THICKNESS OF 30MM AROUND ALL STRUCTURES WITHIN THE PAVEMENT AREA.

5. PAVEMENT REINSTATEMENT FOR SERVICE AND UTILITY CUTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. R10 AND OPSD 509.010

4. CONCRETE CURB SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE CITY OF OTTAWA STD. SC1.1 PROVISION SHALL BE MADE OR CURB DEPRESSIONS AS INDICATED ON ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN. CONCRETE SIDEWALK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH CITY OF OTTAWA STD SC1.4. ALL CURBS, CONCRETE ISLANDS, AND SIDEWALKS SHOWN O THIS DRAWING ARE TO BR PRICED IN SITE WORKS PORTION OF THE CONTRACT.

3. ALL TOPSOIL AND ORGANIC MATERIAL SHALL BE STRIPPED WITHIN THE ROAD AND PARKING AREAS ALLOWANCE PRIOR TO THE COMMENCEMENT

2. ALL GRANULAR AND PAVEMENT FOR ROADS/PARKING AREAS SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER'S

#### USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF TH CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. T ONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO T WNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK IOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER ONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. TH DNTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSEI WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS BSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.

UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS HALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT.

THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED ANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS A THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT TH WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF HE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING

#### IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR

UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES:

ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BI ADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OT CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FUL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM ANY IABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW O INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR ONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OF ODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRICE WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION. GENERAL NOTES:

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM E BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING

CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR

PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW TH ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS NCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.



NOV 2021









LRL-PRL\_841X594\_A1-EN





		GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF TH
		CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE CONTRACT THE CONTRACT AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION OF THE CONTRACT AND A DESCRIPTION OF THE DESCRIPTION
		SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION ( THE CONTRACT, THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY AN
	PROPOSED CORB	WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WOI NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAM
DC	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB	MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETE ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
իկիկիկիկի	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)	BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNI
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110	CONTINUES THAT HE HAS VEISUE DATE OF A PROVED THE DRAWINGS. IT CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSE WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED H
	PROPOSED FENCE	OBSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES O
▼	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT	OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINE ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NO TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJEC CHANGES TO THE DRAWING MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER
* * * * * *	PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)	UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWING SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB	CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NO
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AN PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS O CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLI CHANGES THIS CONDITION CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS
<u></u>	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT	THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT TI WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED
	PROPOSED RIP RAP	PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARG DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING.
2020202		IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, O
50.00	PROPOSED ELEVATION	ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTH
50.00HP	PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION	CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOU OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FU
50.00S	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION	AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM AT LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZ
50.00BC	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION	CHANGES.
50.00TC	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION	IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAY TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES (
50.00BW	PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF	COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISIN FROM SUCH CHANGES.
50.00TW	RETAINING WALL	IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FO CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR (
50.0051/	PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL	ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OF MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRICE
50.00EX		WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR T INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISIN
×70.19	EXISTING ELEVATION	FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION.
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE	EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FRO THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DAT CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIP
—— SUB —	PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN	AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMIN WORK.
—— sтм —	PROPOSED STORM SEWER	CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITION
SAN	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER	THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FO
WTR	PROPOSED WATERMAIN	PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLAN SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEM
STM		WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW TO ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSION
SAN		INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.
GAS	EXISTING GAS LINE	CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF AT DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.
	EXISTING MANHOLE	2m 0.5 0 2 4m
		SCALE: 1:100
_		
● ⊗		
0		
	PROPOSED FIPE INSULATION	I a IBIFCI I
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT	I SUBJECTIAL
		DDBOVAL
ws-xx		APPING
ONTROLLED		
EA RUNOFF		
	AREA IN HECTARES	
		01 ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL A.S. 24 MAY 202
		APPROVAL
		NO. REVISIONS BI DATE
		cashing and
		OFESSION
		SPR T
		S A mark to
		S M. BASNET S
		100501996
		802 05 24
		R
		WCE OF ON T
		NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

LRJ ENGINEERING | INGÉNIERIE

5430 Canotek Road I Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca I (613) 842-3434

## CLARENCE GATE HOLDINGS INC.

DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
A.S.	A.O.	V.J.
PROJECT		
PROPO	SED RE-DEVE	LOPMENT
211 CLARE	NCE STREET,	OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE

CLIENT

# DEMOLITION PLAN

PROJECT NO. 180647

C102

NOV 2021

DATE



		USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS
<u>ID:</u>	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN	GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO THE OWNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, THE SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT, THESE CONTRACT, ADD CONTRACT, ADD
	PROPOSED CURB	WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY
		ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.
	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.) PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110	CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS
0	PROPOSED FENCE	OBSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER
▼	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT	ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.
	PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)	UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT.
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB	THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT	CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BUT TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT	THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING.
	PROPOSED RIP RAP	UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES:
1000000		IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE
0.00	PROPOSED ELEVATION	MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT
0.00HP	PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION	OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT
0.00S	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION	AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED
0.00BC		CHANGES.
0.00TC	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION	TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST. INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEFS AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING
0.00BW	PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF RETAINING WALL	FROM SUCH CHANGES. IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR
0.00TW	PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL	CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR
0.00EX	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION	MODIFICATIONS TO LRU'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO
70.19		FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION.
		GENERAL NOTES:
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE	EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING
SUB	PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN	WORK.
—— STM ——	PROPOSED STORM SEWER	BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION.
SAN	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER	THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS,
WTR		SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE
SIM		ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED.
WTR	EXISTING WATERMAIN	CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY
GAS	EXISTING GAS LINE	DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.
	EXISTING MANHOLE	SCALE - 1:100
_		
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN	
R		
8		
	PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION	I ALBIELT I
	PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL	1 SUDJE OVAL 1
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT	1 DDRUVAL
/S-XX		APPING
RUNOFF		
	- AREA IN HECTARES	
		01 ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL A.S. 24 MAY 2022 No. REVISIONS BY DATE



NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED



5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca | (613) 842-3434

# CLARENCE GATE HOLDINGS INC.

CLAREN	CE GATE HOL	DINGS INC.
DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
A.S.	A.O.	V.J.
PROJECT PROPO 211 CLARE	SED RE-DEVE NCE STREET,	LOPMENT OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE

CLIENT

# GRADING AND DRAINAGE PLAN

PROJECT NO. 180647







PROP STM 200mmØ - 2.6m PVC DR-35 @ 1.0% (MIN) ≥ C/W BACKWATER VALVE PER CITY STD S14. FOUNDATION DRAINAGE SUMP PUMP DISCHARGE TO BE CONNECTED TO STM SERVICE 24.66(Meas) 24.64(P1) +

**CLARENCE STREET** EXISTING STM MH T/G = 58.23 — NE INV = 55.33 EXISTING SAN MH T/G = 58.21 ----NE INV = 54.79 \_\_\_\_\_\_ WTR 0.18 (RWA&Set)



DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
A.S.	A.O.	V.J.
PROJECT		
PROPOS 211 CLARE	LOPMENT OTTAWA, ON	





0.18 (RWA&Set)

# LEGEND:



- AREA IN HECTARES

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. T CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO TH WNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, T SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IE REQUIRED BY ALL WORK NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS BSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS. AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR

AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OF OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.

UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING.

#### IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR

ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LIL AND TO RELEASE IRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION.

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING

CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR

PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.



01 ISSUED FOR MUNICIPAL 01 A.S. 24 MAY 2022 \_\_\_\_\_ APPROVAL BY DATE No. REVISIONS



NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED



5430 Canotek Road I Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca I (613) 842-3434

## CLARENCE GATE HOLDINGS INC.

DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
A.S.	A.O.	V.J.
PROJECT		
PROPOS 211 CLAREI	GED RE-DEVELO NCE STREET, OT	PMENT TAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE

# STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN

PROJECT NO. 180647







	SUB	—
	STM	
	SAN	—
	WTR	
	STM	
	SAN	
	WTR	
	GAS	
•		
$\otimes$		

PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110 PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD) PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT PROPOSED RIP RAP PROPOSED ELEVATION PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF RETAINING WALL PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION EXISTING ELEVATION PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN PROPOSED STORM SEWER PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER PROPOSED WATERMAIN EXISTING STORM SEWER EXISTING SANITARY SEWER EXISTING WATERMAIN EXISTING GAS LINE EXISTING MANHOLE EXISTING CATCHBASIN PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN PROPOSED MANHOLE PROPOSED CURB STOP PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL STORM WATERSHED EXTENT -WATERSHED NAME -RUNOFF COEFFICIENT

- AREA IN HECTARES

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. TH CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO THI OWNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, THI SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS DBSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE. ALL DRAWINGS. SPECIFICATIONS. CADD FILES OR AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SECTIONAL, CADE TIES OF OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.

UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING. UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES:

IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR

ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION. GENERAL NOTES:

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING WORK. CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS

BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION. THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

SCALE : 1:100







NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED



5430 Canotek Road I Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.Irl.ca I (613) 842-3434

# CLARENCE GATE HOLDINGS INC.

DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
A.S.	A.O.	V.J.
PROJECT		
PROPO 211 CLARE	SED RE-DEVE NCE STREET,	ELOPMENT , OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE

# PRE-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN

PROJECT NO. 180647







	PROPOSED CURB
	PROPOSED DEPRESSED CURB
-יויויד	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
	PROPOSED FENCE
	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
	PROPOSED GRASS AREA (100mm TOP SOIL & SOD)
4 	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED RIP RAP
	PROPOSED ELEVATION
	PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION
	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION
	PROPOSED BOTTOM OF CURB / ASPHALT ELEVATION
	PROPOSED TOP OF CURB ELEVATION PROPOSED EXPOSED BOTTOM OF RETAINING WALL
	PROPOSED TOP OF RETAINING WALL
	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
	EXISTING ELEVATION
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
в —	PROPOSED 100mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN
м —	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
N —	PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER
rr —	
M —	EXISTING STORM SEWER
	EXISTING SANITARY SEWER
.s	
	EXISTING MANHOLE
	EXISTING CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOI E/CATCHBASIN
	PROPOSED MANHOLE
	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	STORM WATERSHED EXTENT
$\checkmark$	

-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT - AREA IN HECTARES

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. TH CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO TH OWNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, TH SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK NOT COMPLETELY DEINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. THE CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSELF WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS OBSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OR AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OF OTHER ELECTRONIC MEDIA AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT. CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER.

UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT. THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT

RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS AT THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THE WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT OF THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING. UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES:

IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR

ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FULL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRL AND TO RELEASE LRL FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OR MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION. GENERAL NOTES:

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING WORK.

CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS, INCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

![](_page_64_Picture_19.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_64_Picture_21.jpeg)

NOT AUTHENTIC UNLESS SIGNED AND DATED

![](_page_64_Picture_23.jpeg)

5430 Canotek Road | Ottawa, ON, K1J 9G2 www.lrl.ca l (613) 842-3434

## CLARENCE GATE HOLDINGS INC.

DESIGNED BY:	DRAWN BY:	APPROVED BY:
A.S.	A.O.	V.J.
PROJECT		

## PROPOSED RE-DEVELOPMENT 211 CLARENCE STREET, OTTAWA, ON

DRAWING TITLE

# POST-DEVELOPMENT WATERSHED PLAN

PROJECT NO. 180647

![](_page_64_Picture_32.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_65_Figure_4.jpeg)

USE AND INTERPRETATION OF DRAWINGS

GENERAL CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION ARE PART OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS AND DESCRIBE USE AND INTENT OF THE DRAWING. T CONTRACT DOCUMENTS INCLUDE NOT ONLY THE DRAWINGS, BUT ALSO THOWNER-CONTRACTOR AGREEMENTS, CONDITIONS OF THE CONTRACT, TH SPECIFICATIONS, ADDENDA, AND MODIFICATIONS ISSUED AFTER EXECUTION OF THE CONTRACT. THESE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS ARE COMPLEMENTARY, AND WHAT IS REQUIRED BY ANY ONE SHALL BE BINDING AS IF REQUIRED BY ALL. WORK NOT COMPLETELY DELINEATED HEREON SHALL BE CONSTRUCTED OF THE SAME MATERIALS AND DETAILED SIMILARLY AS WORK SHOWN MORE COMPLETELY ELSEWHERE IN THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

BY USE OF THE DRAWINGS FOR CONSTRUCTION OF THE PROJECT, THE OWNER CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS REVIEWED AND APPROVED THE DRAWINGS. TH CONTRACTOR CONFIRMS THAT HE HAS VISITED THE SITE, FAMILIARIZED HIMSE WITH THE LOCAL CONDITIONS, VERIFIED FIELD DIMENSIONS AND CORRELATED HIS OBSERVATIONS WITH THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE CONTRACT DOCUMENTS.

AS INSTRUMENTS OF SERVICE, ALL DRAWINGS, SPECIFICATIONS, CADD FILES OF AS INSTRUMENTS OF SECTION AND COPIED THERE OF FURNISHED BY THE ENGINEER ARE HIS PROPERTY. THEY ARE TO BE USED ONLY FOR THIS PROJECT AND ARE NOT TO BE USED ON ANY OTHER PROJECT, INCLUDING REPEATS OF THE PROJECT CHANGES TO THE DRAWINGS MAY ONLY BE MADE BY THE ENGINEER

UNLESS THE REVISION TITLE IS "ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION", THESE DRAWINGS SHALL BE CONSIDERED PRELIMINARY AND SHALL NOT BE USED AS A CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENT.

THESE DRAWINGS ILLUSTRATES THE WORK TO BE DONE. THE ENGINEER IS NOT RESPONSIBLE FOR THE MEANS, METHODS, TECHNIQUES, SEQUENCES, AND PROCEDURES USED TO DO THE WORK, OR THE SAFETY ASPECTS OF CONSTRUCTION, AND NOTHING ON THESE DRAWINGS EXPRESSED OR IMPLIED CHANGES THIS CONDITION. CONTRACTOR SHALL DETERMINE ALL CONDITIONS A THE SITE AND SHALL BE RESPONSIBLE FOR KNOWING HOW THEY AFFECT THI WORK. SUBMITTAL OF A BID TO PERFORM THIS WORK IS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT O THE RESPONSIBILITIES, AND THAT THEY HAVE BEEN FULLY CONSIDERED IN PLANNING OF THE WORK, AND THE BID PRICE. NO CLAIMS FOR EXTRA CHARGES DUE TO THESE CONDITIONS WILL BE FORTHCOMING.

#### UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES: IN THE EVENT THE CLIENT, THE CLIENT'S CONTRACTORS OR SUBCONTRACTORS, OR

ANYONE FOR WHOM THE CLIENT IS LEGALLY LIABLE MAKES OR PERMITS TO BE MADE ANY CHANGES TO ANY REPORTS, PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS OR OTHER CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS PREPARED BY LRL ASSOCIATES LTD. (LRL) WITHOUT OBTAINING LRL'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT, THE CLIENT SHALL ASSUME FUL RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE RESULTS OF SUCH CHANGES. THEREFORE THE CLIENT AGREES TO WAIVE ANY CLAIM AGAINST LRI AND TO BELEASE LRI FROM ANY LIABILITY ARISING DIRECTLY OR INDIRECTLY FROM SUCH UNAUTHORIZED CHANGES.

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES, TO THE FULLEST EXTENT PERMITTED BY LAW, TO INDEMNIFY AND HOLD HARMLESS LRL FROM ANY DAMAGES, LIABILITIES OR COST, INCLUDING REASONABLE ATTORNEY'S FEES AND COST OF DEFENSE, ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES

IN ADDITION, THE CLIENT AGREES TO INCLUDE IN ANY CONTRACTS FOR CONSTRUCTION APPROPRIATE LANGUAGE THAT PROHIBITS THE CONTRACTOR OR ANY SUBCONTRACTORS OF ANY TIER FROM MAKING ANY CHANGES OF MODIFICATIONS TO LRL'S CONSTRUCTION DOCUMENTS WITHOUT THE PRIOR WRITTEN APPROVAL OF LRL AND THAT FURTHER REQUIRES THE CONTRACTOR TO INDEMNIFY BOTH LRL AND THE CLIENT FROM ANY LIABILITY OR COST ARISING FROM SUCH CHANGES MADE WITHOUT SUCH PROPER AUTHORIZATION. GENERAL NOTES:

EXISTING SERVICES AND UTILITIES SHOWN ON THESE DRAWINGS ARE TAKEN FROM THE BEST AVAILABLE RECORDS, BUT MAY NOT BE COMPLETE OR TO DATE. CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY IN FIELD FOR LOCATION AND ELEVATION OF PIPES AND CHECK WITH THE UTILITY COMPANIES BEFORE DIGGING OR PERFORMING WORK.

CONTRACTOR IS ADVISED TO COLLECT INFORMATION ON SOIL CONDITIONS BEFORE START OF CONSTRUCTION.

THE ENGINEER WAIVES ANY AND ALL RESPONSIBILITY AND LIABILITY FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM FAILURE TO FOLLOW THESE PLANS, SPECIFICATIONS AND THE DESIGN INTENT THEY CONVEY, OR FOR PROBLEMS WHICH ARISE FROM OTHERS' FAILURE TO OBTAIN AND/OR FOLLOW THE ENGINEER'S GUIDANCE WITH RESPECT TO ANY ERRORS, OMISSIONS NCONSISTENCIES AMBIGUITIES OR CONFLICTS WHICH ARE ALLEGED. CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS AND NOTIFY THE ENGINEER OF ANY DISCREPANCIES BEFORE WORK COMMENCES. DO NOT SCALE DRAWINGS.

![](_page_65_Picture_21.jpeg)

NOV 2021

DATE

![](_page_65_Picture_23.jpeg)

# **APPENDIX F**

Proposed Site Plan Legal Survey As-builts

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

	PROPOSED MID-RIS
(floors 1-4)	9 STOREYS 34 UNITS = 24 STU 34 BICYCLE PARKIN O CAR PARKING COMMON AREA RO
	1718m2 BUILDING A 214 m2 BUILDING A 287.6 m2 SITE ARE
	211 CLARENCE - VA
31.5m	<ol> <li>LOT WIDTH - 12.0         <ul> <li>9.13m PROPOS</li> <li>LOT AREA - 360.1</li> <li>287.6m2 PROF</li> <li>BUILDING HEIGHT</li> <li>26.25m PROPO</li> <li>BUILDING HEIGHT</li> <li>26.25m PROPO</li> <li>(property has 27)</li> </ul> </li> <li>FRONT YARD SET         <ul> <li>3.0m PROPOSE</li> <li>SIDE YARD SETBA</li> <li>0.0m PROPOS</li> <li>SIDE YARD SETBA</li> <li>0.1m PROPOS</li> <li>SIDE YARD SETBA</li> <li>0.1m PROPOS</li> </ul> </li> <li>SIDE YARD SETBA</li> <li>0.1m PROPOS</li> <li>REAR YARD SETIA</li> <li>0.1m PROPOS</li> <li>REAR YARD SETIA</li> <li>A.5m PROPOS</li> <li>VISITORS PARKIN</li> <li>0 SPACES PRO</li> <li>AMENITY AREA</li> </ol>
	211 CLARENCE CLARENCE GAT

STREET TE HOLDINGS JANUARY 2022

SE APARTMENT BUILDING

JDIO UNITS + 10 ONE-BEDROOM UNITS

OF TERRACE

AREA - ABOVE GRADE AREA - BELOW GRADE

ARIANCES REQUESTED (based on current R4UD S77 Zoning)

.Om REQUIRED

SED

D.Om2 REQUIRED

POSED

- 10.7m REQUIRED 6.1m from front lot line

OSED

- 12.0m REQUIRED after 6.1m from front lot line POSED

21.4m height limit as per R4T S77 Zoning)

FBACK - 6.1m REQUIRED

SED (same as adjacent property to the right/east)

ACK (left/west) - 2.5m REQUIRED (for first 21m from front lot lir SED (same as adjacent property to the leftt/west)

ACK (left/west) - 6.0m REQUIRED (from 21m to 27m from front SED (same as adjacent property to the leftt/west)

ACK (right/east) - 2.5m REQUIRED (for first 21m from front lot li

ACK (right/east) - 6.0m REQUIRED (from 21m to 27m from front ED

TBACK (floors 1-4) - 7.5m REQUIRED

SED (rear yar setback floors 5-9 - 7.5m proposed)

NG - 2 SPACES REQUIRED

**DPOSED** 

SITE PLAN 613-860-3500 34 UNITS

![](_page_68_Figure_0.jpeg)

)	α	Legenu	
		Denotes	
		18	Survey Monument Planted
		11	Survey Monument Found
		н	Standard Iron Bar
		0	Short Standard Iron Bar
		n	Iron Bar
		0	Cut Cross
		0	Origin Unknown
		0	Witness
		85	Measured
		0	Registered Plan 42482
		U	Plan 4R-5973
		13	Plan 4R-11239
		0	Carleton Condominium Plan No. 418
		0	Ottawa-Carleton Standard Condominium Plan No. 664
		D	Plan by (AOG) dated March 10, 2021 (Job No. 21338-21)
		0	Plan by (647) dated February 9, 1973
			Plan by (647) dated February 5, 1969
		н	Inst CR626349
			Inst CR242100
		п	Maintenance Hole (Storm)
		D	Maintenance Hole (Sanitary)
Г			Underground Storm Sewer .
		"	Underground Sanitary Sewer
I		11	Underground Water
		n	Underground Power
		"	Underground Gas
		11	Underground Bell
W		0	Overhead Wires
			Utility Pole Light Standard
			Catch Basin
			Gas Meter
		0	Diameter
		11	Board Fence
		н	Wire Fence
		D	Metal Fence
		0	Concrete Retaining Wall
		в	Invert
		н	lop of Grate
		n	Underside of Eave
		0	Controline
		.,	Centre entre

J:\2021\753-21\_211 Clarence St\_topo\Final\753-21\_211 Clarence St\_PtL2 RP42482\_T\_F.dwg

![](_page_69_Figure_0.jpeg)

. And

\*:

CLARENCE STREET L (ALSO SEE RMOC PLAN No. 4284 -FOR WATER AS BUILTS.) CUMBERLAND STREET (SEE PLAN No. 2259) WATER SERVICE OFF CUMBERLAND ST 25 26 WATER SERVICE OFF CUMBERLAND ST. ASPHALT A \_\_\_\_\_ BRICK R/W ASPHALT SALEAL MATO BE REN'D & REP'D WITH PROPOSED 135m ONCRETE SIDE WALK PROPOSED ISCMM CONC. CURE & GUTTER 4 ------EL SEWER WITH PROPOSED 375mm ( 88.0m) SANITARY SEWER MH No 4 5 PROPOSED 250 mm (F .5 m) SANITARY SEWER PROPOSED 675mm (90.0m) STORM SEWER MH No, 6 MH No. 5 CA HYD, † 👎 ASPHALT • SP <sup>2</sup><sup>m</sup> 204 202 PORCH CONNECT 203mm WATERMAIN (SEE DETAIL "B", ON SHEET 2 of 5 ) 25 26 203mm SLEEVE TO EXIST. CUMBERLAND STREET (SEE PLAN No. 2259) EXISTING M.H. AND 375mm (9.Qm) COMBINED SEWER (ALSO SEE RMOC PLAN No. 4284-5 FOR WATER AS BUILTS.) TO BE REMOVED. SURFACE AT: & DF ROAD! -ASPH. - ASPH CONC. 林•十-- GRAVEL PEAT SAND - H-SILTY... CLAY And the second s -W.T. 1990 OCT. 20 Manahone Banahonahonahona → 600mm STORM - INV. 55.053 ( S ) IPLYWCCD BULKHEAD 900mm STORM ] - INV. 54.753 (N ) END OF BOREHOLE SPLIT SPOON AND AUGER REFUSAL ON .: 200 bm OHOR, PIPE 🖈 END OF BOREHOUE PROBABLE BEDROCK TTE PIPE CONSINED SEWER (BUILT 1911); 50mm SCHALSERVER GRADE LO 5 70 : WATERMAIN 2 PVC CL 150 DR 18 EXIS 58.15 -o----54,885 53,948 55,048 55,038 60.50 50

. Some

.

![](_page_69_Figure_3.jpeg)