



SITE SERVICING BRIEF 135470-6.04.03

60 Denzil Doyle Court

CITY OF OTTAWA

Development Application File No. D07-12-22-0174



Table of Contents

1	INTRO	DUCTIO	N	. 1
	1.1	Scope		. 1
	1.2	Subjec	t Site	. 1
	1.3	Previo	us Studies	. 2
	1.4	Geote	chnical Considerations	. 2
2	WATER	R SUPPL	_Y	. 4
	2.1	Existing	g Conditions	. 4
	2.2	Design	Criteria	. 4
		2.2.1	Water Demands	. 4
		2.2.2	System Pressure	. 4
		2.2.3	Fire Flow Rates	. 5
		2.2.4	Boundary Conditions	. 5
		2.2.5	Hydraulic Model	. 5
	2.3	Propos	sed Water Plan	. 5
		2.3.1	Hydraulic Analysis	. 5
		2.3.2	Modeling Results	. 6
3	WASTE	EWATE	R DISPOSAL	. 7
	3.1	Existing	g Conditions	. 7
	3.2	Design	Criteria	. 7
	3.3	Recom	nmended Wastewater Plan	. 7
4	SITEST	ΓORMW	/ATER MANAGEMENT	. 8
	4.1		g Conditions	
	4.2		Criteria	
	4.3	•	sed Minor System	

MARCH 2023

- 1

Table of Contents (continued)

	4.4	Stormwater Management	9
	4.5	Inlet Controls	9
	4.6	On-Site Detention	10
	4.7	100 year + 20% Stress Test	11
	4.8	Quality Control1	11
5	GRADII	NG AND ROADS1	13
	5.1	Site Grading1	13
	5.2	Road Network1	13
6	SOURC	CE CONTROLS1	14
	6.1	General1	14
	6.2	Lot Grading1	14
	6.3	Vegetation1	14
	6.4	Groundwater Recharge1	14
7	CONVE	EYANCE CONTROLS1	15
	7.1	General1	15
	7.2	Catchbasins and Maintenance Hole Sumps	15
8	SEDIME	ENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN1	16
	8.1	General1	16
	8.2	Trench Dewatering1	16
	8.3	Seepage Barriers1	16
	8.4	Surface Structure Filters	16
a	CONCI	LISION	17

MARCH 2023

Table of Contents (continued)

List of Appendices

APPENDIX A

- Site Plan
- Legal Plan
- General Plan of Services Drawing C-001
- Notes of Pre-consultation

APPENDIX B

- City of Ottawa Boundary Conditions
- Watermain Demand Calculation Sheet
- FUS Fire Flow Requirement Calculation
- Modeling Output Files
- Water Entry Detail

APPENDIX C

Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

APPENDIX D

- Storm Drainage Area Plan Drawing C-500
- Storm Sewer Design Sheet
- Ponding Plan Drawing C-600
- Stormwater Management Design Sheet
- Underground Storage Calculation Sheet
- Orifice Sizing Sheet
- Overflow Calculation
- IPEX ICD Specifications
- Kanata South Business Park Stormwater Management Report
- Correspondence with RVCA Regarding Water Quality Control

APPENDIX E

- Grading Plan Drawing C-200
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawing C-900

MARCH 2023 iii

1 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Scope

IBI Group has been retained by Huntington Properties & Access Storage to prepare the necessary engineering plans, specifications and documents to support the proposed Site Plan Application for the subject lands in accordance with the policies set out by the Planning and Development Branch of the City of Ottawa. This Brief will present a detailed servicing scheme to support development of the property, and will include sections on water supply, wastewater management, minor and major stormwater management along with erosion and sediment control.

1.2 Subject Site

The Self Storage Facility is located northeast of the Denzil Doyle Court and Terence Matthews Crescent intersection. The proposed Self Storage Facility development is approximately 1.66 hectares in size and is bounded by Denzil Doyle Court to the west, Terence Matthews Crescent to the south, Michael Cowpland Drive to the east, and multiple developed lots to the north. Please refer to **Figure 1** for more information regarding the site location.



Figure 1 Site Location

The Self Storage Facility project will consist of the construction of 6 prefabricated metal storage buildings, including 1 that will house a rental office, along with vehicular access routes, dedicated

parking space and landscaping areas. A site plan of the envisioned development is included in **Appendix A**.

1.3 Previous Studies

Design of this project has been undertaken in accordance with the following reports:

 Kanata South Business Park – Stormwater Management Report prepared by A. J. Robinson & Associates Inc, February 1986

An engineering pre-consultation with the City of Ottawa was held in May 2021 regarding the proposed development. Notes from this meeting is included in **Appendix A**.

1.4 Geotechnical Considerations

Paterson Group Inc. was retained to prepare a geotechnical investigation for the site. The objectives of the investigation were to prepare a report to:

- Determine the subsoil and groundwater conditions at the site by means of test pits and boreholes and,
- To provide geotechnical recommendations pertaining to the design of the proposed development including construction considerations.

The geotechnical investigation report PG3798-2 Dated November 23, 2022 confirmed that the site consists mostly of stiff silty clay. A permissible grade raise restriction of 0.5m is recommended. Grade raise exceeding 0.5m will require geotechnical investigation.

The report contains recommendations which include but are not limited to the following:

- Fill placed below the foundations to meet OPSS Granular 'A' or Granular 'B' Type II placed in 300 mm lifts compacted to 98% SPMDD.
- Fill for roads to be suitable native material in 300mm lifts compared to 98% SPMDD

Pavement Structure - Car Parking Areas:

LOCAL ROAD	THICKNESS
Asphaltic Concrete	50mm
OPSS Granular A Base	150mm
OPSS Granular B Type II Subbase	300mm

Pavement Structure - Local Roadways:

LOCAL ROAD	THICKNESS
Asphaltic Concrete	90mm
OPSS Granular A Base	150mm

OPSS Granular B Type II Subbase	450mm

Pipe bedding and cover: At least 150 mm of OPSS Granular A should be used for pipe bedding
for sewer and water pipes. The bedding should extend to the spring line of the pipe. Cover
material, from the spring line to at least 300 mm above the obvert of the pipe should consist of
OPSS Granular A. The bedding and cover materials should be placed in maximum 225 mm thick
lifts and compacted to a minimum of 95% of the material's SPMDD.

2 WATER SUPPLY

2.1 Existing Conditions

As previously noted, the 1.66ha Self Storage Facility site is located east of Denzil Doyle Court, north of Terence Matthews Crescent, and east of Michael Cowpland Drive. The subject site is flanked on all three streets by existing watermains. An existing ductile iron 305mm diameter watermain is located within the Denzil Doyle Court right of way, the Terence Matthews Crescent right of way, and the Michael Cowpland right of way. All three watermains fall within the City of Ottawa's pressure district Pressure Zone 3 which will provide the water supply to the site.

2.2 Design Criteria

2.2.1 Water Demands

Water demands have been calculated for the full development. This site only consists of an office with an area of 94 m2. Siamese connections will be provided for all 6 storage buildings. Per unit population density and consumption rates are taken from Tables 4.1 and 4.2 at the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution and are summarized as follows:

Commercial Shopping Center 2500 I/1000m²/day
 Other Commercial 28,000 I/gross ha/day
 ICI Average Day Demand 28,000 I/gross ha/day
 ICI peak Daily Demand 42,000 I/gross ha/day
 ICI Peak Hour Demand 50,400 I/gross ha/day

A watermain demand calculation sheet is included in **Appendix B** and the total water demands are summarized as follows:

Average Day 0.0031 l/s
 Maximum Day 0.0046 l/s
 Peak Hour 0.0055 l/s

2.2.2 System Pressure

The Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution (WDG001), July 2010, City of Ottawa, Clause 4.2.2 states that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 480 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in Clause 4.2.2 of the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand conditions shall not be

less than 276 kPa (40 psi)

Fire Flow During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall not

be less than 140 kPa (20 psi) during a fire flow event.

Maximum Pressure In accordance with the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, the maximum

pressure should not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure reduction controls will be required for buildings where it is not possible/feasible to maintain the

system pressure below 552 kPa.

2.2.3 Fire Flow Rates

The Self Storage Facility site plan contains 6 prefabricated buildings. Calculations using the Fire Underwriting Survey (FUS) method were conducted to determine the fire flow requirement for the site. Results of the analysis provides a maximum fire flow rate of 8,000 l/min or 133.3l/s is required which is used in the hydraulic analysis. A copy of the FUS calculations is included in **Appendix B**.

2.2.4 Boundary Conditions

The City of Ottawa has provided the hydraulic boundary conditions at Denzil Doyle Court and Michael Cowpland Drive. A copy of the boundary conditions is included in **Appendix B** and summarized as follows:

 HYDRAULIC HEAD

 CRITERIA
 Denzil Doyle Court
 Michael Cowpland Drive

 Max HGL (Basic Day)
 161.5 m
 161.5 m

 Peak Hour
 156.6 m
 156.5 m

 Max Day + Fire (8,000 l/m)
 155.6 m
 153.8 m

Table 2. 1 Hydraulic Boundary Conditions

2.2.5 Hydraulic Model

A computer model for the subject site has been developed using the InfoWater program by Innovyze. The model includes the existing watermain and boundary condition on Denzil Doyle Court and Michael Cowpland Drive. New BC request has been sent out to include the boundary condition at Terence Matthews Crescent. The water model will be reviewed using the new boundary conditions in the next submission.

2.3 Proposed Water Plan

2.3.1 Hydraulic Analysis

A 200 mm watermain is proposed with the first connection to the existing 300mm watermain at Denzil Doyle Court and creates a loop through the site with a second connection to the existing

300mm watermain on Terence Matthews Crescent. The main loop of the watermain will be 200mm, with 150mm water service to each building per mechanical recommendation. Refer to the general plan of services **Drawing C-001** for detailed watermain layout for the subject site.

The hydraulic model was run under basic day conditions to determine the maximum pressure for the site. The minimum pressure for the site is determined in the peak hour analysis using the provided boundary condition. The model was run under the max day plus fire (8,000 L/min) boundary condition to determine the design fire flow at the hydrant locations. Results of the analysis for the site are summarized in Section 2.3.2 and the water model schematic and model results are included in **Appendix B**.

2.3.2 Modeling Results

The hydraulic model was run under basic day, maximum day with fire flows and under peak hour conditions. Results of the hydraulic model are included in **Appendix B** and summarized as follows:

Scenario

Basic Day (Max HGL) Pressure Range 581.09 to 594.91 kPa

Peak Hour (Min HGL) Pressure Range 532.25 to 546.76 kPa

Max Day + 8,000 I/min Fire Flow – Min. Fire Flow 136.10 I/s

A comparison of the results and design criteria is summarized as follows:

Maximum Pressure All nodes in basic day scenario exceed 552 kPa (80 psi), therefore pressure

reducing control is required for all buildings in this development. Pressure reducing valves (PRVs) are shown in both General Plan of Services Drawing

C-001 and Grading Plan C-200.

Minimum Pressure All nodes in the model exceed the minimum value of 276 kPa (40 psi).

Fire Flow All fire nodes exceed the fire flow requirement of 133.33 l/s (8,000 l/min).

3 WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

3.1 Existing Conditions

There is an existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer along Denzil Doyle Court, and a 250mm diameter sanitary sewer along Michael Cowpland Drive. To the south of the site, an existing 250mm diameter sanitary sewer on Terence Matthews Crescent provides deeper sewer connection to service the site.

3.2 Design Criteria

The sanitary sewers for the subject site will be based on the City of Ottawa design criteria. It should be noted that the sanitary sewer design for this study incorporates the latest City of Ottawa design parameters identified in Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-01. Some of the key criteria will include the following:

Average commercial flow = 28,000 l/s/ha

Peak ICI flow factor = 1.5 if ICI area is ≤ 20% total area

1.0 if ICI area is > 20% total area

Inflow and Infiltration Rate = 0.33 l/s/ha

Minimum Full Flow Velocity = 0.60 m/s

Maximum Full Flow Velocity = 3.0 m/s

Minimum Pipe Size = 200 mm diameter

3.3 Recommended Wastewater Plan

The on-site sanitary system will consist of 200mm PVC sewer installed at normal depth and slope and will provide a single 150mm service connection to the commercial building pad (Building A with office). The sewers have been designed using the criteria noted above in section 3.2 and outlet via a connection to the sanitary sewer within the Terence Matthews Crescent right of way to the south of the site. A copy of the sanitary sewer design sheet can be found in **Appendix C**. Please refer to the General Plan of Services **Drawing C-001** for further details.

4 SITE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

4.1 Existing Conditions

The existing undeveloped subject lands currently drains south towards Terence Matthews Crescent and Michael Cowpland Drive. There is an existing 375mm diameter storm sewer along Michael Cowpland Drive, and a 450mm diameter storm sewer along Denzil Doyle Court. To the south of the site, the existing 375mm diameter storm sewer on Terence Matthews Crescent provides deep sewer connection to service the site.

4.2 Design Criteria

The stormwater system was designed following the principles of dual drainage, making accommodations for both major and minor flow.

Some of the key criteria include the following:

•	Design Storm	1:2 year return (Ottawa)

Rational Method Sewer Sizing

•	Initial Time of Concentration	10 minutes
---	-------------------------------	------------

Runoff Coefficients

-	Landscaped Areas	C = 0.30
-	Asphalt/Concrete	C = 0.90
-	Roof	C = 0.90

Pipe Velocities
 0.80 m/s to 6.0 m/s

Minimum Pipe Size
 250 mm diameter
 (200 mm CB Leads)

4.3 Proposed Minor System

The minor storm sewers for the subject site will be sized based on the rational method and the City of Ottawa 2-year event. Minor storm flow to the downstream storm sewer network will be controlled by Inlet Control Devices (ICDs) to limit flow and prevent sewer surcharging downstream. A detailed storm sewer design sheet and the associated storm sewer drainage area plan is included in **Appendix D**. The sites outletting sewers, downstream of ICD's, have been sized such that they do not exceed the size of the connection sewers in the public ROW, however that they are able to convey the fixed flow generated by each respective ICD. The General Plan of Services, depicting all on-site storm sewers can be found in **Appendix A**.

4.4 Stormwater Management

The subject site will be limited to a release rate established using the criteria described in section 4.2 and the Stormwater Management Report for the Kanata South Business Park. This will be achieved through a combination of inlet control devices (ICD's) at inlet locations and a combination of surface and underground storage.

Flows generated that are in excess of the site's allowable release rate will be stored on site in strategic surface storage areas or underground storage and gradually released into the minor system so as not to exceed the site's allocation.

The maximum surface retention depth located within the developed areas will be limited to 300mm during a 100-year event. Overland flow routes will be provided in the grading to permit emergency overland flow, in excess of the 100-year event, from the site.

At certain locations within the site, the opportunity to store runoff is limited due to grading constraints and building geometry. These locations are generally located at the perimeter of the site where it is necessary to tie into public boulevards and adjacent properties or in areas where ponding stormwater is undesirable. These "uncontrolled" areas – 0.04 hectares in total, have a C value of 0.20. Based on 100-year storm uncontrolled flows, the uncontrolled areas generate 3.97 l/s runoff (refer to Section 4.5 for calculation).

The site grading and ponding has been designed to control water generated during the 1:100-year event, with no overflow leaving the site. Please refer to the SWM calculations in **Appendix D**.

4.5 Inlet Controls

The allowable release rate for the 1.66 Ha site can be calculated as follows:

Q_{allowable} = 74.2 L/s/Ha as per Kanata South Business Park SWM Report

Area = 1.66 Ha

= 123.17 L/s

As noted in Section 4.4, the landscaped area along south which will into the storm sewer uncontrolled.

Based on a 100-year event, the flow from the 0.04 Ha uncontrolled area can be determined as:

 $Q_{uncontrolled} = 2.78 \times C \times i_{100yr} \times Awhere:$

C = Average runoff coefficient of uncontrolled area = 0.2

i_{100yr} = Intensity of 100-year storm event (mm/hr)

= $1735.688 \times (T_c + 6.014)^{0.820} = 178.56 \text{ mm/hr}$; where $T_c = 10 \text{ minutes}$

A = Uncontrolled Area = 0.05 Ha

Therefore, the uncontrolled release rate can be determined as:

IBI GROUP
SITE SERVICING BRIEF
60 DENZIL DOYLE COURT
Prepared for Huntington Properties

Quncontrolled =
$$2.78 \times C \times i_{100yr} \times A$$

= $2.78 \times 0.2 \times 178.56 \times 0.05$
= 4.53 L/s

The maximum allowable release rate from the remainder of the site can then be determined as:

$$\mathbf{Q}_{\text{max allowable}} = \mathbf{Q}_{\text{restricted}} - \mathbf{Q}_{\text{uncontrolled}}$$
$$= 123.17 \text{ L/s} - 3.97 \text{ L/s}$$
$$= 118.64 \text{ L/s}$$

Based on the flow allowance at the various inlet locations, a combination of various sizes of inlet control devices (ICDs) were chosen in the design. The design of the inlet control devices is unique to each drainage area and is determined based on various factors, including hydraulic head and allowable release rate. The inlet control devices were sized according to the manufacturer's design charts. The restrictions will cause the on-site catchbasins and manholes to surcharge, generating surface ponding in the parking and landscaped areas. Ponding locations and elevations are summarized on the Ponding Plan **Drawing C-600**, and included in **Appendix D**.

4.6 On-Site Detention

The site was designed to limit runoff to the allowable release rate up to the 100-year storm event. Flows exceeding the 2-year storm, up to the 100-year storm will be contained on-site via surface and underground in-line storage. Orifices in manholes will be employed to control runoff from parking, access and landscape areas. To determine the resulting storage volumes a 2-year and 100-year storm was applied, starting at 2 minutes with time steps of 5 minutes interval until a peak storage volume requirement was attained for the sub-area being controlled. Available ponding volumes at each inlet were calculated using in-line structure volumes during the 100-year events.

The modified rational method was used to calculate maximum storage required for a given release rate. As per accepted convention, when underground storage is considered available storage the ICD release rate is to be reduced by 50% to account for the loss of head during the initial part of the rainfall event while the underground portion of the storage fills with runoff.

Major flow up to the 100-year storm is contained on-site and is gradually released to the minor system, aside from the small uncontrolled areas, major flow does not leave the site via overland flow.

The stormwater management for the site has ensured that there will be no surface ponding during the 2-year storm event except in the landscaped area.

A stormwater management summary sheet and the results of the on-site storage volume requirements are included in **Appendix D**.

A summary of the ICD type for each drainage area and corresponding storage details is provided in Table 4.1 below.

Table 4.1 - Post-Development Storage Summary Table

	Post-Development Flows					
Drainage Area	ICD TYPE	Restricted /Uncontrolled Flow (L/s) 100-year	Storage Required (m³) 100-year	St Surface	orage Provided (m³) Total
UNCONTROLLE	D FLOW				3 77 3	
UN	N/A	4.53	N/A	N/A	N/A	
CONTROLLED S	CONTROLLED STORM SEWER SYSTEM					
Area Tributary to CBMH106	TEMPEST HF	113	483.88	137.29	355.13	492.42
Area Tributary to CBMH140	TEMPEST LMF	5	43.72	67.70	-	67.70
TOTAL RESTRIC	TOTAL RESTRICTED RELEASED RATE					
		122.53				

4.7 100 year + 20% Stress Test

A cursory review of the 100yr event + 20% has been performed using the modified rational method. The Peak flow from each area during a 100year event has been increased by 20%. The calculations have been included in **Appendix D**.

A summary of the require storage volumes, and overflow balances is provided below.

DRAINAGE AREA	ICD RESTRICTED FLOW (L/s)	100yr20 STORAGE REQUIRED (m³)	TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED (m³)	100yr20 OVERFLOW (m ³)
Area Tributary to CBMH106	113	614.55	492.42	122.13
Area Tributary to CBMH140	5	55.47	67.70	0

The overland flow from the area tributary to CBMH106 is directed to Denzil Doyle Court. The volume of overflow is 122.13 m3. Based on a Tc of 50minutes, this volume can be reverse calculated to 40.71 L/s. Based on the spill point cross section, at the limit of the access, a simple rectangular channel with a bottom of 8.50m, at a grade of 2.0% can convey 40.71 L/s @ a depth of 0.01m. Therefore, the 100year +20% overflow of 40.71 L/s will have a maximum overflow depth of 0.01m (Ponding 102.06m). Refer to **Appendix D** for detailed overflow calculation.

4.8 Quality Control

According to Kanata South Business Park – Stormwater Management Report, the water quality aspects of the development were addressed with the following conclusions being presented:

 The light industrial/business park type industries are considered to produce a relatively low level of pollutants.

- The development is in the upper reaches of a large watershed draining to the Rideau River. The outlet from the site is to a municipal drain which is running at a very flat grade, thereby, presenting ample opportunity for pollutants to settle out.
- On-site control of stormwater by parking lot and possibly roof top storage will result in a reduction of pollutant loadings.
- Laboratory and field observations, indicate that installation of an orifice in the outlet of a
 catchbasin with a sump, has brought about a greater retention of grit and other solids
 after a storm event than observed with a conventional storm sewer outlet. The
 constricted release of flow from the orifice causes stormwater to backup in the
 catchbasin thereby keeping the turbulent zone of the water away from the sump and
 reducing velocities in the catchbasin. These actions facilitate settling of suspended
 solids into the sump.

Based on the above, it is felt that the proposed quantity control measures will also serve to ensure that the proposed development will not unduly affect the quality of water flowing from the site into Monahan Creek and thus to the Rideau River. Correspondence with RVCA regarding the water quality control is attached in **Appendix D**

5 GRADING AND ROADS

5.1 Site Grading

The existing grades within portions of the proposed development lands vary due to the existing topography of the site. The grading plan will require the balancing of various requirements including but not limited to geotechnical constraints, minimum/maximum slopes, overland routing of stormwater, all to ensure the site is graded in accordance with municipal standards.

Refer to the grading plan provided in **Appendix E**.

A retaining wall exceed 1.0m in height is anticipated along the north eastern property lines. A retaining wall less than 600mm height is anticipated along Terrance Mathews Drive. Terracing has been utilized around the balance of the perimeter to tie the proposed grading into existing.

5.2 Road Network

No public roads are proposed through the site. Minimum 6.0m wide drive aisle have been provided, as shown on the Site Plan in **Appendix A**. An internal Fire route has been shown where fire truck access is required, as determined by the site architect.

There are 53 parking stalls provided on the site, of which 3 are barrier free.

Noise attenuation features and indoor noise clause provisions will not be required commercial use lands for road noise generated by the adjacent roads.

6 SOURCE CONTROLS

6.1 General

Since an end of pipe treatment facility is already provided for the development lands, stormwater site management for the subject lands will focus on site level or source control management of runoff. Such controls or mitigative measures are proposed for this development not only for final development but also during construction and build out. Some of these measures are:

- flat site grading where possible
- vegetation planting
- groundwater recharge in landscaped areas

6.2 Lot Grading

Where possible, all of the proposed blocks within the development will make use of gentle surface slopes on hard surfaces such as asphalt and concrete. In accordance with local municipal standards, all grading will be between 0.5 and 5.0 percent for hard surfaces and 2.0 and 7.0 percent for all landscaped areas. Significant grade changes will be accomplished through the use of terracing (3:1 max slope), ramps and/or retaining walls. All street and parking lot catchbasins shall be equipped with 3.0m subdrains on opposite sides of a curbside catchbasin running parallel to the curb, and with 3.0m subdrains extending out from all 4 sides of parking lot catchbasins.

6.3 Vegetation

As with most site plans, the developer will be required to complete a vegetation and planting program. Vegetation throughout the development including planting along roadsides and within the individual blocks provides opportunities to re-create lost vegetation.

6.4 Groundwater Recharge

Groundwater recharge targets have not been identified for this site. Perforated sub-drain systems will be implemented at capture locations in all vegetated areas. This will promote increased infiltration during low flow events before water is collected by the storm sewer system.

7 CONVEYANCE CONTROLS

7.1 General

Besides source controls, the development also proposes to use several conveyance control measures to improve runoff quality. These will include:

- vegetated swales; and
- · catchbasin sumps and manhole sumps.

7.2 Catchbasins and Maintenance Hole Sumps

All catchbasins within the development, either rear yard or street, will be constructed with minimum 600 mm deep sumps. These sumps trap pollutants, sand, grit and debris which can be mechanically removed prior to being flushed into the minor pipe system. Both rear yard and street catchbasins will be to OPSD 705.02. All storm sewer maintenance holes serving local sewers less than 900 mm diameter shall be constructed with a 300 mm sump as per City standards.

8 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL PLAN

8.1 General

During construction, existing stream and conveyance systems can be exposed to significant sediment loadings. Although construction is only a temporary situation, it is proposed to possibly introduce a number of mitigative construction techniques to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings. These may include:

- Until the local storm sewer is constructed, groundwater in trenches will be pumped into a filter mechanism prior to release to the environment;
- sediment capture filter socks will remain on open surface structures such as maintenance holes and catchbasins until these structures are commissioned and put into use; and
- silt fence on the site perimeter will be installed.

8.2 Trench Dewatering

Any trench dewatering using pumps will be discharged into a filter trap made up of geotextile filters and straw bales similar in design to the OPSD 219.240 Dewatering Trap. These will be constructed in a bowl shape with the fabric forming the bottom and the straw bales forming the sides. Any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filters as needed, including sediment removal and disposal and material replacement as needed. It should be noted that that the contractor will be responsible for the design and management of the trap(s).

8.3 Seepage Barriers

In order to further reduce sediment loading to the stormwater management facility, seepage barriers will be installed on any surface water courses at appropriate locations that may become evident during construction. These barriers will be Light Duty Straw Bale Barriers per OPSD 219.100 and Heavy-Duty Silt Fence Barriers per OPSD 219.130; locations are shown on the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan included in **Appendix E**. They are typically made of layers of straw bales or geotextile fabric staked in place. All seepage barriers will be inspected and maintained as needed.

8.4 Surface Structure Filters

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree, manholes, convey surface water to sewers. Until streets are asphalted and curbed, all catchbasins and manholes will be constructed with sediment capture inserts or equivalent located between the structure frame and cover. These will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build until it is appropriate to remove same.

9 CONCLUSION

This report has illustrated that the proposed Kanata West Center development can be serviced via existing municipal services. The water network will be extended to provide necessary service. All sanitary and storm sewer designs for this development will be completed in conformance with City of Ottawa standards while acknowledging downstream constraints. By limiting flow into the minor storm sewer system as per the applicable local stormwater management criteria and allowing for excess surface storage on-site, all stormwater management requirements will be met. Adherence to the Sediment and Erosion Control Plan during construction will minimize harmful impacts on surface water.

Based on the information provided within this report, the plans prepared for the subject development can be serviced to meet City of Ottawa requirements.



Demetrius Yannoulopoulos, P. Eng. Director – Office Lead

Ryan Magladry, C.E.T Project Manager


Rolly





Amy Zhuang, P.ENG. Project Engineer

APPENDIX A

- Site Plan
- Legal Plan
- General Plan of Services Drawing C-001
- Notes of Pre-consultation







November 1, 2022

Pre-Application Consultation Meeting Minutes

Property Address: 60 Denzil Doyle Court

Location: Virtual – Microsoft Teams **Meeting Date:** November 1st, 2022

Attendees: City Staff:

Molly Smith – File Lead Steven Payne – Planning Coop Matthew Ippersiel – Urban Design Santhosh Kuruvilla – Engineer Mark Richardson – Forester Siobhan Kelly – Committee of Adjustment Hayley Murray – Forestry

Applicant Team:

Jill MacDonald – WSP
Hind Barnieh – Access Storage
Mathieu Desjardins – Huntington Properties
Terry Brule – IBI Group
Ryan Magladry – IBI Group
Derek Noble – Huntington Properties
Andrew Bouwman – Architecture 49
Jie Chen – Architecture 49

Regrets: Neeti Paudel – Transportation Project Manager (City)

Applicant

- Access Storage in partnership with Huntington Properties
- Six buildings proposed, want to maximize buildable area
- Building A 2-storeys, remainder of buildings are a single-storey
- Huntington Properties has owned the property for 15-years
- Building A 2-storey but climate controlled and has an office component that would only serve customers/site/facility. Office portion would be considered accessory due to nature of use and size. If office use is intended to serve as an office space outside of the site (regional), would not be considered accessory.

November 1, 2022

City Comments:

<u>Planning</u>

- 1. Complex Site Plan required. Please be aware of policy or procedures changes as a result of Bill 109.
- 2. Unclear if minor variances required. Please speak with Molly prior to submission.
- 3. Trees along Terence Matthews and Michael Cowpland need to be retained, please adjust the site plan layout to provide sufficient setbacks.
- 4. When submitting, elevations and site plan will need to include the whole site.
- 5. If possible, bicycle parking should be near main entrances and covered.
- 6. Additional landscaping and tree planting should be provided. Please look for opportunities to break up hardscaping with shade plantings.
- 7. Direct connections from the sidewalks should be provided.
- 8. Planning Rationale Required.

Feel free to contact Molly Smith, Planner (File Lead), at molly.smith@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

Minor Variance/Committee of Adjustment (if required)

Minor Variance

- The parking rate calculation depends on how the ancillary admin space functions (Building A)
 - Applicant confirmed that the admin space only services the warehouses on site –warehouse parking rate applicable

Provision	Required	Proposed
Warehouse:	(5000/100) x 0.8 = 40	54 spaces
0.8 per 100 m2 for the first 5000 m2 of gross floor area, and 0.4 per 100 m2 above 5000 m2 of gross floor area.	(3,557/100) x 0.4 = 14.2	
	40 + 14.2 = 54	

- If relief is required, the applicant can apply for a minor variance
- The new Official Plan designates the property <u>Neighbourhood</u> within the Suburban West Transect. If a minor variance is required, planning rationale will be required to support the proposed use and to demonstrate that it maintains the intent/purpose of the new Official Plan.
- PRED staff may support a minor variance for a reduction in parking if it contributes to the retention of trees along Terrence Matthews Cres (New OP -Policy 4.8.2)
- The Committee of Adjustment can grant a minor variance if the following criteria are met:
 - 1. The variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Official Plan
 - 2. The variance maintains the general intent and purpose of the Zoning By-law
 - 3. The variance is minor in nature

November 1, 2022

4. The variance is desirable for the appropriate development/use of the lands

Complete Application

For a complete list of the submission requirements, please refer to Section 2 of the application form:

https://app06.ottawa.ca/online services/forms/ds/minor variance en.pdf

Timelines

- The Site Plan Control application should be underway before applying to the Committee of Adjustment for a minor variance
- The Committee of Adjustment process takes approx. 12-14 weeks from application submission to end of the appeal period. Once an applicant submits and the Committee of Adjustment Coordinators deem the application complete, it takes 4-6 weeks to be heard at a public hearing

Urban Design

- 1. Maintain and improve the planted edge along Terence Matthews. This landscaped edge is present on all other properties on Terrence Matthews and defines the character of the street.
- 2. Consolidate the two snow storage areas in the narrow north corner of the site (increasing the snow storage area currently proposed).
- Drive aisles need to be reorganized and widened throughout the site to improve circulation and safety. Create more direct vehicle lanes to avoid the necessity for frequent turning.
- 4. Consider integrating a central drive aisle leading off of Denzil Doyle, which would be perpendicular to the street. This could become the main organizational element of the site and inform the orientation of the buildings.
- Rather than have parking spaces distributed in small pockets throughout the site, consolidate spaces in larger groups, perhaps primarily along the new widened vehicle aisle leading off Denzil Doyle (see previous comment).
- 6. Improve the interface between this site and the existing retirement home to the east. A landscape buffer is needed to screen the storage units from the residence's windows.
- 7. This application is not subject to review by the Urban Design Review Panel.
- 8. An Urban Design Brief is required as a part of your submission. This may be combined with your Planning Rationale report. Please refer to the attached Urban Design Brief Terms of Reference to inform the content of the brief.

Feel free to contact Matthew Ippersiel, Urban Designer, at matthew.ippersiel@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

November 1, 2022

Transportation

- 1. TIA will not be required.
- 2. Noise Impact Studies required for the following:
 - Stationary (if there will be any exposed mechanical equipment due to the proximity to neighbouring noise sensitive land uses)
- 3. The proposed access on Denzil Doyle creates an offset with the existing access on Denzil Doyle Court. Suggest that the access is proposed directly across the existing access on the west side.
- 4. ROW protection on Michael Cowpland is 26 m. Ensure this is protected and shown on the site plan.
- 5. The sidewalks along the frontages of Michael Cowpland Drive Terence Matthews Crescent is substandard. Please upgrade the sidewalks per City standards (1.8m min concrete sidewalk).
- 6. On site plan:
 - Show all details of the roads abutting the site up to and including the opposite curb; include such items as pavement markings, accesses and/or sidewalks.
 - b) Show all curb radii measurements; ensure that all curb radii are reduced as much as possible
 - c) Show lane/aisle widths.
- 7. As the proposed site is for the general public use, AODA legislation applies.
 - a) Clearly define accessible parking stalls and ensure they meet AODA standards (include an access aisle next to the parking stall and a pedestrian curb ramp at the end of the access aisle, as required).
 - b) Please consider using the City's Accessibility Design Standards, which provide a summary of AODA requirements. https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/creating-equal-inclusive-and-diverse-city/accessibility-services/accessibility-design-standards-standards
- 8. Provide direct and safe pedestrian connections from the parking to the buildings.
- 9. Turning movements for the largest vehicle should be assessed at the nearby intersections and at the accesses and within the site.
- 10. Emergency services or building code services should be contacted to ensure there are no issues with the fire route. They provided the following comments:
 - a) Assuming the red part is the proposed fire access routes If so, is the fire route more than 90m dead-end without a designated turnaround? Also, Building B and C do not appear to meet the OBC requirement to "face a street" and have a fire access route within 3-14m of the building face. Building B also appears to have a FDC that is more than 45m from a fire hydrant (although close).

Feel free to contact Neeti Paudel, Transportation Project Manager, at neeti.paudel@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

November 1, 2022

Parks

Parks and Facilities Planning Comments:

- 1. As per the <u>Parkland Dedication (By-law No. 2022-280) | City of Ottawa</u>, as amended, parkland dedication will be required as a condition of development. In this circumstance given the parcel size and proposed use, Cash in Lieu of parkland would be considered appropriate.
- 2. Based in the details provided, the proposal would be best considered a commercial development for the purposes of the parkland dedication by-law. The applicant is encouraged to review the parkland dedication by-law should they feel that an alternative land use category be more appropriate. The parkland requirement for a commercial use is calculated as 2% of the gross land area of the site being developed.
- 3. Please identify in the Planning Rationale (when the initial development application is submitted) how the requirements in the Parkland Dedication (By-law No. 2022-280) will be achieved.
- 4. Please provide the City with a surveyor's area certificate/memo which specifies the exact gross land area of the property parcel being developed.
- 5. The value of the land will be determined by the City's Realty Services Branch. The owner is responsible for any appraisal costs incurred by the City.
- 6. Please note that the park comments are preliminary and will be finalized (and subject to change) upon receipt of the requested supporting documentation. Additionally, if the proposed land use changes, then the parkland dedication requirement will be re-evaluated accordingly.

Feel free to contact Jeff Goettling with Parks and Facilities Planning Services (jeff.goettling@ottawa.ca) for follow-up questions.

Forestry

- 1. A Tree Conservation Report is needed for this SPC
- 2. The retention of the well-established trees along the south and south east boundaries of the property are a priority
 - a. Under the new Official Plan, referencing section 4.8.2, growth, development and intensification shall maintain the urban forest canopy. Mature, healthy trees should be preserved and provided space on private and public lands including the provision of adequate soil volumes on high quality soil.
- 3. Snow should not be stored within the critical rootzone of retained trees

Tree Conservation Report requirements:

- 1. A Tree Conservation Report (TCR) must be supplied for review along with the suite of other plans/reports required by the City
 - a. an approved TCR is a requirement of Site Plan approval.
 - b. The TCR may be combined with the LP provided all information is supplied

- 2. Any removal of privately-owned trees 10cm or larger in diameter, or city-owned trees of any diameter requires a tree permit issued under the Tree Protection Bylaw (Bylaw 2020 340); the permit will be based on an approved TCR and made available at or near plan approval.
- 3. The Planning Forester from Planning and Growth Management as well as foresters from Forestry Services will review the submitted TCR
 - a. If tree removal is required, both municipal and privately-owned trees will be addressed in a single permit issued through the Planning Forester
 - b. Compensation may be required for city owned trees if so, it will need to be paid prior to the release of the tree permit
- 4. The TCR must contain 2 separate plans:
 - a. Plan/Map 1 show existing conditions with tree cover information
 - b. Plan/Map 2 show proposed development with tree cover information
 - c. Please ensure retained trees are shown on the landscape plan
- 5. The TCR must list all trees on site, as well as off-site trees if the CRZ extends into the developed area, by species, diameter and health condition
 - a. please identify trees by ownership private onsite, private on adjoining site, city owned, boundary (trees on a property line)
- 6. If trees are to be removed, the TCR must clearly show where they are, and document the reason they cannot be retained
- 7. All retained trees must be shown, and all retained trees within the area impacted by the development process must be protected as per City guidelines available at Tree Protection Specification or by searching Ottawa.ca
- 8. The location of tree protection fencing must be shown on the plan
- The City encourages the retention of healthy trees; if possible, please seek opportunities for retention of trees that will contribute to the design/function of the site.

For more information on the process or help with tree retention options, contact Hayley Murray hayley.murray@ottawa.ca or on City of Ottawa.

Landscape Plan tree planting requirements:

For additional information on the following please contact tracy.smith@Ottawa.ca

Minimum Setbacks

- Maintain 1.5m from sidewalk or MUP/cycle track or water service laterals.
- Maintain 2.5m from curb
- Coniferous species require a minimum 4.5m setback from curb, sidewalk or MUP/cycle track/pathway.
- Maintain 7.5m between large growing trees, and 4m between small growing trees. Park or open space planting should consider 10m spacing, except where otherwise approved in naturalization / afforestation areas. Adhere to Ottawa Hydro's planting guidelines (species and setbacks) when planting around overhead primary conductors.

November 1, 2022

Tree specifications

- Minimum stock size: 50mm tree caliper for deciduous, 200cm height for coniferous.
- Maximize the use of large deciduous species wherever possible to maximize future canopy coverage
- Tree planting on city property shall be in accordance with the City of Ottawa's
 Tree Planting Specification; and include watering and warranty as described in
 the specification (can be provided by Forestry Services).
- Plant native trees whenever possible
- No root barriers, dead-man anchor systems, or planters are permitted.
- No tree stakes unless necessary (and only 1 on the prevailing winds side of the tree)

Hard surface planting

- Curb style planter is highly recommended
- No grates are to be used and if guards are required, City of Ottawa standard (which can be provided) shall be used.
- Trees are to be planted at grade

Soil Volume

• Please document on the LP that adequate soil volumes can be met:

Tree Type/Size	Single Tree Soil Volume (m3)	Multiple Tree Soil Volume (m3/tree)
Ornamental	15	9
Columnar	15	9
Small	20	12
Medium	25	15
Large	30	18
Conifer	25	15

Please note that these soil volumes are not applicable in cases with Sensitive Marine Clay.

Please follow the City's 2017 Tree Planting in Sensitive Marine Clay guidelines

Tree Canopy

 The landscape plan shall show how the proposed tree planting will replace and increase canopy cover on the site over time, to support the City's 40% urban forest canopy cover target.

• At a site level, efforts shall be made to provide as much canopy cover as possible, through tree planting and tree retention, with an aim of 40% canopy cover at 40 years, as appropriate.

• Indicate on the plan the projected future canopy cover at 40 years for the site.

Feel free to contact Hayley Murray, Forester, at hayley.murray@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

Engineering

Please note the following information regarding the engineering design submission for the above noted site:

- 1. The Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications are available at the following link: https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development-information-developers/development-application-review-process/development-application-submission/guide-preparing-studies-and-plans
- 2. Record drawings and utility plans are available for purchase from the City's Information Centre. Contact the City's Information Centre by email at informationcentre@ottawa.ca or by phone at (613) 580-2424 x44455
- 3. Stormwater quantity control criteria Refer to Kanata South Business Park Stormwater Management Report (February 1986 by A.J. Robinson & Associates Inc.). See attached report.
- 4. Stormwater quality control Consult with the Conservation Authority (MVCA) for their requirements. Include the correspondence with MVCA in the stormwater/site servicing report.
- 5. Existing watermains, sanitary and storm sewers are available on Denzil Doyle Court., Terence Matthews Cres., and Michael Cowpland Drive for service connections.
- 6. As per the City of Ottawa Slope Stability Guidelines for Development Applications an engineering report is required for any retaining walls proposed 1.0 m or greater in height within the subject site that addresses the global stability of the wall and provides structural details. A Retaining Wall Stability Analysis Report and Retaining Wall Structural Details are required to be provided from a Professional Engineer licensed in the Province of Ontario that demonstrates the proposed retaining wall structure has been assessed for global instability as per City standards. Please ensure the analysis and required documentation are provided as part of the submission to address this comment.
- 7. Emergency routes will need to be satisfactory to Fire Services. Please show fire routes on the site plan. For information regarding fire route provisions, please

consult with Kevin Heiss at kevin.heiss@ottawa.ca.

- 8. Clearly show and label the property lines on all sides of the property.
- 9. Clearly show and label all the easements (if any) on the property, on all plans.
- 10. When calculating the post development composite runoff coefficient (C), please provide a drawing showing the individual drainage area and its runoff coefficient.
- 11. When using the modified rational method to calculate the storage requirements for the site, the underground storage should not be included in the overall available storage. The modified rational method assumes that the restricted flow rate is constant throughout the storm which, in this case, underestimates the storage requirement prior to the 1:100-year head elevation being reached. Alternately, if you wish to include the underground storage, you may use an assumed average release rate equal to 50% of the peak allowable rate. Otherwise, disregard the underground storage as available storage or provide modeling to support the design.
- 12. Engineering plans are to be submitted on standard A1 size (594mm x 841mm) sheets.
- 13. Phase 1 ESA and Phase 2 ESA must conform to clause 4.8.4 of the Official Plan that requires that development applications conform to Ontario Regulation 153/04.
- 14. Provide the following information for water main boundary conditions:
 - 1. Location map with water service connection location(s).
 - 2. Average daily demand (I/s).
 - 3. Maximum daily demand (I/s).
 - 4. Maximum hourly demand (I/s).
 - 5. Fire flow demand (provide detailed fire flow calculations based on Fire Underwriters survey (FUS) Water Supply for Public Fire Protection). Exposure separation distances shall be defined on a figure to support the FUS calculation and required fire flow (RFF).
 - Hydrant capacity shall be assessed to demonstrate the RFF can be achieved. Please identify which hydrants are being considered to meet the RFF on a fire hydrant coverage plan as part of the boundary conditions request.
- 15. If you are proposing any exterior light fixtures, all must be included and approved as part of the site plan approval. Therefore, the lights must be clearly identified by make, model and part number. All external light fixtures must meet the criteria for full cut-off classification as recognized by the Illuminating Engineering Society of North America (IESNA or IES), and must result in minimal light spillage onto adjacent properties (as a guideline, 0.5 fc is normally the maximum allowable

spillage). In order to satisfy these criteria, the applicant must provide certification from an acceptable professional engineer. The location of all exterior fixtures, a table showing the fixture types (including make, model, part number), and the mounting heights must be included on a plan.

16. As per Ottawa Sewer Design Guideline section 4.4.4.7, a monitoring maintenance hole shall be required just inside the property line for all non-residential and multi residential buildings connections from a private sewer to a public sewer. See the sewer use By-law 2003-514(14) monitoring devices for details

Feel free to contact Santhosh Kuruvilla, Infrastructure Project Manager, at Santhosh.kuruvilla@ottawa.ca for follow-up questions.

Other

- Plans are to be standard A1 size (594 mm x 841 mm) or Arch D size (609.6 mm x 914.4 mm) sheets, dimensioned in metric and utilizing an appropriate Metric scale (1:200, 1:250, 1:300, 1:400 or 1:500).
- All PDF submitted documents are to be unlocked and flattened.
- A Waste Reduction Workplan Summary is required for the construction project as required by O.Reg. 102/94, being "Waste Audits and Waste Reduction Work Plans" made under the Environmental Protection Act, RSO 1990, c E.19, as amended.
- You are encouraged to contact the Ward Councillor, about the proposal.

Please refer to the links to <u>Guide to preparing studies and plans</u> and <u>fees</u> for further information. Additional information is available related to <u>building permits</u>, <u>development charges</u>, <u>and the Accessibility Design Standards</u>. Be aware that other fees and permits may be required, outside of the development review process. You may obtain background drawings by contacting <u>geoinformation@ottawa.ca</u>.

It is anticipated that, as a result of the *More Homes for Everyone Act, 2022*, for applications for site plan approval and zoning by-law amendments, new processes in respect of pre-application consultation will be in place as of January 1, 2023. The new processes are anticipated to require a multiple phase pre-application consultation approach before an application will be deemed complete. Applicants who have not filed a complete application by the effective date may be required to undertake further pre-application consultation(s) consistent with the provincial changes. The by-laws to be amended include By-law 2009-320, the Pre-Consultation By-law, By-law 2022-239, the planning fees by-law and By-law 2022-254, the Information and Materials for Planning Application By-law. The revisions are anticipated to be before Council in the period after the new Council takes office and the end of the year.

These pre-con comments are valid for one year. If you submit a development application(s) after this time, you may be required to meet for another pre-consultation meeting and/or the submission requirements may change. You are as well encouraged to contact us for a follow-up meeting if the plan/concept will be further refined.



APPLICANT'S STUDY AND PLAN IDENTIFICATION LIST

Legend: **S** indicates that the study or plan is required with application submission. **A** indicates that the study or plan may be required to satisfy a condition of approval/draft approval.

For information and guidance on preparing required studies and plans refer here:

S/A	ENGINEERING		S/A
S	1. Site Servicing Plan	Site Servicing Study / Assessment of Adequacy of Public Services	S
S	3. Grade Control and Drainage Plan	4. Geotechnical Study / Slope Stability Study	S
	5. Composite Utility Plan	6. Groundwater Impact Study	
	7. Servicing Options Report	8. Wellhead Protection Study	
	9. Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA)	10.Erosion and Sediment Control Plan / Brief	S
S	11.Storm water Management Report / Brief	12.Hydro geological and Terrain Analysis	
	13.Hydraulic Water main Analysis	14.Noise / Vibration Study	S
	15.Roadway Modification Functional Design	16.Confederation Line Proximity Study	

S/A	PLANNING / DESIGN / SURVEY		S/A
	17.Draft Plan of Subdivision	18.Plan Showing Layout of Parking Garage	
	19.Draft Plan of Condominium	20.Planning Rationale	S
S	21.Site Plan	22.Minimum Distance Separation (MDS)	
	23.Concept Plan Showing Proposed Land Uses and Landscaping	24.Agrology and Soil Capability Study	
	25.Concept Plan Showing Ultimate Use of Land	26.Cultural Heritage Impact Statement	
S	27.Landscape Plan	28.Archaeological Resource Assessment Requirements: S (site plan) A (subdivision, condo)	
S	29.Survey Plan	30.Shadow Analysis	
S	31.Architectural Building Elevation Drawings (dimensioned)	32.Design Brief (includes the Design Review Panel Submission Requirements)	
	33.Wind Analysis		

S/A	ENVIRONMENTAL		S/A	
S	34.Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment	35.Impact Assessment of Adjacent Waste Disposal/Former Landfill Site		
А	36.Phase 2 Environmental Site Assessment (depends on the outcome of Phase 1)	37.Assessment of Landform Features		
	38.Record of Site Condition	39.Mineral Resource Impact Assessment		
S	40.Tree Conservation Report	41.Environmental Impact Statement / Impact Assessment of Endangered Species		
	42.Mine Hazard Study / Abandoned Pit or Quarry Study	43.Integrated Environmental Review (Draft, as part of Planning Rationale)		
S/A	ADDITIONAL REQUIREMENTS		S/A	
S	44. Applicant's Public Consultation Strategy (may be provided as part of the Planning Rationale)	45.Site Lighting Plan	S	
Α	46. Site Lighting Certification Letter	47.		

Meeting Date: November 1, 2022	Application Type: Site Plan Control
File Lead (Assigned Planner): Molly Smith	Infrastructure Approvals Project Manager: Santhosh Kuruvilla
Site Address (Municipal Address): 60 Denzil Doyle Cour	t*Preliminary Assessment: 1☐ 2☐ 3☐ 4☐ 5

*One (1) indicates that considerable major revisions are required before a planning application is submitted, while five (5) suggests that proposal appears to meet the City's key land use policies and guidelines. This assessment is purely advisory and does not consider technical aspects of the proposal or in any way guarantee application approval.

It is important to note that the need for additional studies and plans may result during application review. If following the submission of your application, it is determined that material that is not identified in this checklist is required to achieve complete application status, in accordance with the Planning Act and Official Plan requirements, the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department will notify you of outstanding material required within the required 30 day period. Mandatory pre-application consultation will not shorten the City's standard processing timelines, or guarantee that an application will be approved. It is intended to help educate and inform the applicant about submission requirements as well as municipal processes, policies, and key issues in advance of submitting a formal development application. This list is valid for one year following the meeting date. If the application is not submitted within this timeframe the applicant must again preconsult with the Planning, Real Estate and Economic Development Department.

APPENDIX B

- City of Ottawa Boundary Conditions
- Watermain Demand Calculation Sheet
- FUS Fire Flow Requirement Calculation
- Modeling Output Files
- Water Entry Detail

Boundary Conditions 60 Denzil Doyle Crt.

Provided Information

Scenario	De	mand
Scenario	L/min	L/s
Average Daily Demand	1	0.02
Maximum Daily Demand	2	0.03
Peak Hour	4	0.06
Fire Flow Demand #1	8,000	133.33

Location



Results

Connection 1 – Michael Cowpland Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	161.5	84.2
Peak Hour	156.6	77.2
Max Day plus Fire 1	155.6	75.8

Ground Elevation = 102.3 m

Connection 2 - Denzil Doyle Crt.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	161.5	84.9
Peak Hour	156.5	77.8
Max Day plus Fire 1	153.8	74.0

Ground Elevation = 101.8 m

Notes

- 1. As per the Ontario Building Code in areas that may be occupied, the static pressure at any fixture shall not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi.) Pressure control measures to be considered are as follows, in order of preference:
 - a. If possible, systems to be designed to residual pressures of 345 to 552 kPa (50 to 80 psi) in all occupied areas outside of the public right-of-way without special pressure control equipment.
 - b. Pressure reducing valves to be installed immediately downstream of the isolation valve in the home/ building, located downstream of the meter so it is owner maintained.

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

WATERMAIN DEMAND CALCULATION SHEET

IBI GROUP IBI GROUP 333 PRESTON STREET OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1S 5N4

PROJECT: 60 Denzil Doyle Ct

CLIENT: Huntington Propertyies & Access Storage

FILE: 125600-6.4.4

PAGE: 1 OF 1

WZ

DATE PRINTED: 09-Mar-23

DESIGN:

		RESIDI	ENTIAL		NON	I-RESIDENTIAL	(ICI)	AVERAG	GE DAILY DEM	AND (I/s)	MAXIMU	M DAILY DEMA	AND (I/s)	MAXIMUN	I HOURLY DE	MAND (I/s)	
NODE	SINGLE	3 bedroom															FIRE
	FAMILY			POPULATION	INDUST.	COMM.	INSTIT.	RESIDENTIAL	ICI	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	ICI	TOTAL	RESIDENTIAL	ICI	TOTAL	DEMAND
	UNITS	UNITS	UNITS		(ha)	(ha)	(ha)										(l/min)
<u>Site</u>						0.0094			0.0031	0.0031		0.0046	0.0046		0.0055	0.0055	8,000

POPULATION DENSITY		WATER DEMAND RATES	<u>s</u>	PEAKING FACTORS		FIRE DEMANDS
Single Family	3.4 persons/unit	Residential	350 l/cap/day	Maximum Daily Residential	2.5 x avg. day	Single Family 10,000 l/min (166.7 l/s)
3 Bedroom Units	2.7 persons/unit	Commercial Shopping Ce	enter	Commercial	1.5 x avg. day	Semi Detached &
2 Bedroom Units	1.8 persons/unit	Other Commercial	2,500 L/(1000m2)/day	Maximum Hourly Residential Commercial	2.2 x avg. day 1.8 x avg. day	Townhouse 10,000 l/min (166.7 l/s) Fire flow for the site is determined using FUS.

Building 'A' - 2 Storey Commercial

Building Floor Area

Floor 1 1,697 m^2 Floor 2 1,697 Total 3,394 m^2

Fire Flow

F = 220C√A

C 0.8 C = 1.5 wood frame A 3,394 m^2 1.0 ordinary

F 10,253 l/min 0.6 fire-resistive Use 10,000 l/min 0.8 non-combustile 0.6 fire-resistive

Occupancy Adjustment -25% non-combustile

-15% limited combustile

Use -15% 0% combustile

+15% free burning +25% rapid burning

Adjustment -1500 l/min
Fire flow 8,500 l/min

<u>Sprinkler Adjustment</u> -30% system conforming to NFPA 13

-50% complete automatic system

Use -30%

Adjustment -2550 I/min

Exposure Adjustment

Building	Separation	Adja	Exposure		
Face	(m)	Length	Stories	L*H Factor	Charge *
north	11.6	9.4	1	10	10%
east	>45	0	0	0	0%
south	>45	0	0	0	0%
west	6.1	42.4	1	16	16%

Total 26%

Adjustment 2,210 l/min

Required Fire Flow

Total adjustments (340) I/min
Fire flow 8,160 I/min
Use 8,000 I/min
133.3 I/s

Building 'B' - 1 Storey Commercial

Building Floor Area

1 Storey $\frac{1,175}{1,175}$ Total $\frac{1,175}{1,175}$ m²

Fire Flow

F = 220C√A

C 0.8 C = 1.5 wood frame A 1,175 m^2 1.0 ordinary

F 6,033 I/min 0.8 non-combustile 0.6 fire-resistive

Use 6,000 l/min

Occupancy Adjustment -25% non-combustile

-15% limited combustile

Use -15% 0% combustile

+15% free burning

Adjustment -900 l/min +25% rapid burning

Fire flow 5,100 I/min

Sprinkler Adjustment -30% system conforming to NFPA 13

-50% complete automatic system

Use -30%

Adjustment -1530 l/min

Exposure Adjustment

Building	Separation	Adjad	cent Expose	ed Wall	Exposure
Face	(m)	Length	Stories	L*H Factor	Charge *
	-				
north	>45	0.0	0	0	0%
east	>45	0.0	0	0	0%
south	6.1	64.3	1	64	18%
west	6.1	16.7	1	17	15%

Total 33%

Adjustment 1,683 l/min

Required Fire Flow

 Total adjustments
 153
 I/min

 Fire flow
 5,253
 I/min

 Use
 5,000
 I/min

 83.3
 I/s

Building 'C' - 1 Storey Commercial

Building Floor Area

Fire Flow

F = 220C√A

C 0.8 C = 1.5 wood frame A 834 m^2 1.0 ordinary

Use 5,000 l/min

Occupancy Adjustment -25% non-combustile

-15% limited combustile

Use -15% 0% combustile +15% free burning

Adjustment -750 l/min +25% rapid burning

Fire flow 4,250 l/min

Sprinkler Adjustment -30% system conforming to NFPA 13

-50% complete automatic system

Use -30%

Adjustment -1275 l/min

Exposure Adjustment

Building	Separation	Adjad	Adjacent Exposed Wall			
Face	(m)	Length	Stories	L*H Factor	Charge *	
north	6.1	54.9	1	55	16%	
east	6.1	9.7	2	19	15%	
south	6.1	54.9	1	55	16%	
west	6.1	14.9	1	15	15%	

Total 62%

Adjustment 2,635 l/min

Required Fire Flow

Building 'D' - 1 Storey Commercial

Building Floor Area

1 Storey $\underline{}$ 1,435 Total 1,435 m²

Fire Flow

Use

F = 220C√A

C 0.8 C = 1.5 wood frame A 1,435 m^2 1.0 ordinary

F 6.667 I/min 0.8 non-combustile 0.6 fire-resistive

Use 7,000 l/min

Occupancy Adjustment -25% non-combustile

-15% limited combustile

0% combustile

+15% free burning

-15%

Adjustment -1050 l/min +25% rapid burning

Fire flow 5,950 l/min

Sprinkler Adjustment -30% system conforming to NFPA 13

-50% complete automatic system

Use -30%

Adjustment -1785 I/min

Exposure Adjustment

Building	Separation	Adjad	Adjacent Exposed Wall					
Face	(m)	Length	Stories	L*H Factor	Charge *			
north	6.1	54.9	1	55	16%			
east	6.1	32.4	2	65	16%			
south	>45	0	1	0	0%			
west	>45	0	1	0	0%			

Total 32%

Adjustment 1,904 l/min

Required Fire Flow

Building 'E' - 1 Storey Commercial

Building Floor Area

1 Storey 1,163 1,163 m² Total

Fire Flow

F = 220C√A

С 8.0 C = 1.5 wood frame Α 1,163 m² 1.0 ordinary

0.8 non-combustile F 6,002 l/min 0.6 fire-resistive

Use 6,000 l/min

-25% non-combustile Occupancy Adjustment

-15% limited combustile

Use 0% combustile -15%

+15% free burning +25% rapid burning

-900 I/min Adjustment

Fire flow 5,100 l/min

Sprinkler Adjustment -30% system conforming to NFPA 13

-50% complete automatic system

Use -30%

-1530 I/min Adjustment

Exposure Adjustment

Building	Separation	Adjad	Adjacent Exposed Wall				
Face	(m)	Length	Stories	L*H Factor	Charge *		
north	12.9	69.8	1	70	13%		
east	6.1	16.7	1	17	15%		
south	6.1	39.4	1	39	16%		
west	>45	0	1	0	0%		

Total 44%

Adjustment 2,244 l/min

Required Fire Flow

Total adjustments 714 l/min Fire flow 5,814 l/min Use 6,000 I/min 100.0 I/s

Building 'F' - 1 Storey Commercial

Building Floor Area

Fire Flow

F = 220C√A

C 0.8 C = 1.5 wood frame A 558 m^2 1.0 ordinary

F 4,157 l/min 0.8 non-combustile 0.6 fire-resistive

Use 4,000 l/min

Occupancy Adjustment -25% non-combustile

-15% limited combustile

Use -15% 0% combustile +15% free burning

Adjustment -600 l/min +25% rapid burning

Fire flow 3,400 l/min

Sprinkler Adjustment -30% system conforming to NFPA 13

-50% complete automatic system

Use -30%

Adjustment -1020 I/min

Exposure Adjustment

Building	Separation	Adjad	ed Wall	Exposure	
Face	(m)	Length	Stories	L*H Factor	Charge *
north	6.1	39.4	1	39	16%
east	6.1	15.2	1	15	15%
south	>45	0.0	1	0	0%
west	>45	0	1	0	0%


Total 31%

Adjustment 1,054 l/min


Required Fire Flow

Total adjustments 34 I/min
Fire flow 3,434 I/min
Use 3,000 I/min
50.0 I/s

Junctions and Pipes Layout




Average Day Pressures (kPa)




4	ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (kPa)
1	J01	0.0000	101.80	161.50	585.01
2	J02	0.0000	102.00	161.50	583.05
3	J03	0.0000	102.05	161.50	582.56
4	J04	0.0000	102.05	161.50	582.56
5	J05	0.0000	102.20	161.50	581.09
6	J06	0.0000	102.05	161.50	582.56
7	J07	0.0000	101.88	161.50	584.23
8	J08	0.0000	101.92	161.50	583.84
9	J09	0.0000	100.79	161.50	594.91
10	J10	0.0031	102.10	161.50	582.07

Peak Hour Pressures (kPa)




4	ID	Demand (L/s)	Elevation (m)	Head (m)	Pressure (kPa)
1	J01	0.0000	101.80	156.52	536.17
2	J02	0.0000	102.00	156.52	534.21
3	J03	0.0000	102.05	156.52	533.72
4	J04	0.0000	102.05	156.52	533.72
5	J05	0.0000	102.20	156.52	532.25
6	J06	0.0000	102.05	156.53	533.82
7	J07	0.0000	101.88	156.54	535.63
8	J08	0.0000	101.92	156.57	535.49
9	J09	0.0000	100.79	156.59	546.76
10	J10	0.0055	102.10	156.57	533.73

Max Day + Fire Flow 133.33 L/s



4	ID	Static Demand (L/s)	Static Pressure (kPa)	Static Head (m)	Fire-Flow Demand (L/s)	Residual Pressure (kPa)	Hydrant Available Flow (L/s)	Hydrant Pressure at Available Flow (kPa)
1	J02	0.0000	510.37	154.08	133.33	486.07	565.15	139.96
2	J03	0.0000	509.88	154.08	133.33	472.05	449.33	139.96
3	J04	0.0000	509.88	154.08	133.33	455.97	373.24	139.96
4	J05	0.0000	508.41	154.08	133.33	450.44	358.46	139.96
5	J06	0.0000	511.58	154.26	133.33	495.86	713.77	139.96
6	J10	0.0046	518.29	154.99	133.33	153.93	136.10	139.96

Date: Wednesday, March 08, 2023, Time: 13:39:53, Page 1



APPENDIX C

• Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet

SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

IBI


IBI GROUP
400-333 Preston Street
Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada
tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868
ibigroup.com

60 Denzil Doyle Court City of Ottawa Huntington Properties

								RESID	ENTIAL								ICI A	AREAS				INFILT	RATION ALI	LOWANCE			TOTAL			PROPO	SED SEWE	R DESIGN		
	LOCATION			AREA		UNIT	TYPES		AREA	POPU	ILATION	RES	PEAK			ARI	A (Ha)			ICI	PEAK	ARE	EA (Ha)	FLOW	FIXED	LOW (L/s)	FLOW	CAPACITY	LENGTH	DIA	SLOPE	VELOCITY	AVAIL	ABLE
STREET			TO MH	w/ Units (Ha)	SF	TH/SD	1 Bed APT	2 Bed APT	w/o Units (Ha)	IND	CUM	PEAK FACTOR	FLOW (L/s)	INSTIT IND	CUM		CUM	INDU:	CUM	PEAK FACTOR	FLOW (L/s)	IND	СПМ	(L/s)	IND	СПМ	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(full) (m/s)	CAPA L/s	CITY (%)
	BUILE	ING A M	1H2A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	1.66	1.66	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.81	1.66	1.66	0.55	0.00	0.0	1.35	22.47	4.88	150	2.00	1.232	21.11	93 97%
			1H3A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	1.66	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.81	0.00	1.66	0.55	0.00	0.0	1.35	34.22	30.59	200	1.00	1.055	32.86	96.04%
			1H4A							0.0	0.0	3.80	0.00	0.00	0.0	0.00	1.66	0.00	0.0	1.50	0.81	0.00	1.66	0.55	0.00	0.0	1.35	34.22	16.62	200	1.00	1.055	32.86	96.04%
																														250				
														=																				
Design Parameters:				Notes: 1. Mannings of	coefficient	(n) =		0.013				Designed:		WZ			No.							Revision ef - Submission	n No. 1							Date 2022-12-09		
Residential	ICI Area	ıs		2. Demand (p			280	0.013 0 L/day	200	L/day							2							ef - Submission								2023-03-09		
SF 3.4 p/p/u				3. Infiltration				3 L/s/Ha		,		Checked:		RM			a boograms comments to																	
TH/SD 2.7 p/p/u	INST 28,000 L/Ha/			4. Residentia					_												'													
1 Bed 1.4 p/p/u	COM 28,000 L/Ha/		E Obt			ormula = 1+(0.8 Correction		000)^0.5))0.	В			Davis Barta		105170 10																				
2 Bed 2.1 p/p/u Other 60 p/p/Ha	IND 35,000 L/Ha/ 17000 L/Ha/		E Chart	5. Commercia				sed on total	area			Dwg. Refer	ence:	135470-40	U	File Reference: Date:						Sheet No:												
ошег оо р/р/па	17000 L/ma/	uay	ľ			0%, otherwis		seu on tota	alea,									135470-6.04.							2022-12-0	9						1 of 1		

APPENDIX D

- Storm Drainage Area Plan Drawing C-500
- Storm Sewer Design Sheet
- Ponding Plan Drawing C-600
- Stormwater Management Design Sheet
- Underground Storage Calculation Sheet
- Orifice Sizing Sheet
- Overflow Calculation
- IPEX ICD Specifications
- Kanata South Business Park Stormwater Management Report
- Correspondence with RVCA Regarding Water Quality Control




STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

IBI

IBI GROUP 400-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com

60 Denzil Doyle Court City of Ottawa Huntington Properties

	LOCATION							AREA ((Ha)												RATIO	NAL DESI	GN FLOV	W										SE	EWER DA	ATA			
STREET	4DE 4 ID			C=	C=	C=	C=	C=	C= C	= C=	C=	C=	IND	CUM	INLET	TIME	TOTA	L i(2)	i (5)	i (10)	i (100)	2yr PE	PEAK 5yr PEAK	10yr PEA	K 100yr	PEAK FI	XED FLO		DESIGN	CAPACIT	Y LENGTI	Н	PIPE SIZE (mr	m)	SLOPE	VELOCITY	AVAIL	CAP (2yr)
STREET	AREA ID	FROM	то	0.20	0.25	0.40	0.50	0.58	0.65 0.7	75 0.80	0.85	0.90	2.78AC	2.78AC	(min)	IN PIPE	(min	(mn						/ (L/s) FLOW (L/s			N (L/s))	CUM	FLOW (L/s) (L/s)	(m)		NA W	<u>H</u>	(%)	(m/s)	(L/s)	(%)
	CBMH101	CBMH101	MH102							0.14	1		0.21	0.31	10.00	0.40	10.4	76	01				23.9	01			0.0	0	0.00	23.91	59.68	19.74	2	600		0.35	0.818	25.77	59.93%
	CDIVITIO		CBMH103							0.14	•				10.40		10.4						23.4				0.0		0.00	23.44	59.68	21.07		600		0.35	0.818		60.72%
	CBMH103	CBMH103										0.12	0.30			0.44	11.2		.75				45.1				0.0		0.00	45.11	91.46	21.30		75		0.35	0.802	46.35	
	CBMH104	CBMH104													11.27	0.87	12.1						62.2				0.0		0.00	62.25	133.02			50		0.20	0.810		53.20%
	CBMH130	CBMH130	CBMH112									0.09	0.23	0.23	10.00	0.98	10.9	76	.81				17.2	.29			0.0	0	0.00	17.29	59.68	48.26	3	100		0.35	0.818	42.39	71.02%
	CBMH110	CBMH110													10.00	0.82	10.8						7.6				0.0		0.00	7.69	59.68	40.00		00		0.35	0.818	52.00	87.12%
	CBMH111	CBMH111	CBMH112									0.07	0.18	0.28	10.82	0.78	11.6	73	.81				20.3	.31			0.0	0	0.00	20.31	59.68	38.50	3	000		0.35	0.818	39.37	65.96%
	CBMH112	CBMH112	MH113									0.05	0.13	0.63	11.60	0.44	12.0	71	16				44.5	51			0.0	n	0.00	44.51	91.46	21.20	2	75		0.25	0.802	46.04	51.33%
	ODIVITTIZ	MH113	MH114B									0.00			12.04	0.80	12.8						43.6				0.0		0.00	43.64	91.46			75		0.25	0.802		52.28%
	MH114B	MH114B	MH114									0.13			12.84	0.14							64.0				0.0		0.00	64.05	133.02			50		0.20	0.810		51.84%
	CBMH120	CBMH120	CBMH121						0.2	21					10.00	1.22	11.2	76	.81				33.6				0.0	0	0.00	33.63	91.46	58.70	3	75		0.25	0.802	57.83	
	CBMH121	CBMH121	MH114								0.21		0.50	0.93	11.22	0.20	11.4	72	.42				67.6	.65			0.0	0	0.00	67.65	133.02	9.58	4	50		0.20	0.810	65.37	49.15%
		MH114													12.98	0.70							126.				0.0		0.00	126.25	179.46	33.80		25		0.16			29.65%
	CBMH115	CBMH115	CBMH105									0.09	0.23	2.11	13.68	0.79	14.4	65	.06				137.	7.28			0.0	0	0.00	137.28	179.46	37.90	5	25		0.16	0.803	42.18	23.51%
	000411405	000411405	001111100									0.40	0.00	0.00	44.47	0.00	45.4		0.5				007				0.0	_	0.00	007.07	000.00	00.04				0.44	0.004	04.00	40.070/
	CBMH105 CBMH106	CBMH105 CBMH106													14.47 15.13	0.66	15.1						207.				0.0		0.00	207.87	239.68 129.34			i00		0.14	0.821	31.80 16.34	
	CBIVIH 106	CBIVIH 106	WH 107									0.05	0.13	3.42	15.13	0.47	15.6	וט	.40				210.	1.32			114.	00 1	14.00	<u>113.00</u>	129.34	31.76	3	175		0.50	1.134	16.34	12.63%
	CBMH140	CBMH140	MH107					0.19					0.31	0.31	10.00	0.23	10.2	76	81				23.5	53			5.0	0	5.00	5.00	59.68	11.41	3	000		0.35	0.818	54 68	91.62%
		MH107	Existing												15.60	0.30	15.9	60	.39				225.	5.16			0.0	0 1	19.00	118.00	129.34	20.64	3	75		0.50	1.134	11.34	8.77%
											Total	1.62	3.73	TRUE																			3	75	-				
																				All I	Private Sew	ers downst	tream of I	ICD are sized base	ed on Maxim	num Permi	nissible ICD rele	ase rate.											
Definitions:				Notes:											Designed	:	WZ					No.							Revis								Date		
Q = 2.78CiA, where:				1. Man	nings c	coefficient	(n) =	0.013														1					Desig	n Brief -	Submiss	ion No. 1							2022-12-09		
Q = Peak Flow in Litr		s)													<u> </u>							2					Desig	n Brief -	Submissi	ion No. 2							2022-03-09)	
A = Area in Hectares		, ,,													Checked		RM																						
i = Rainfall intensity		our (mm/hr) 2 YEAR																				-																	
[i = 732.951 / (TC+		5 YEAR													Dwg. Ref	oronco:	135470	500				1																	
[i = 998.0717 (TC+		10 YEAR													Dwg. Ret	erence.	1334/0	-500						ile Reference:						Da	to:						Sheet No:		
[i = 1174.184 / (TC		10 YEAR 100 YEAR																						35470-6.04.04						2022-							1 of 1		
[i = 1735.6667 (TC	J+0.014) [0.020]	100 TEAR																					10	33470-0.04.04						2022-	12-03						1 01 1		





IBI GROUP 400-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868

PROJECT: 60 Denzil Doyle DATE: 2023-03-09 FILE: 135470-6.04 REV#: DESIGNED BY: CHECKED BY: WZ RM

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Formulas and Descriptions

 i_{2yr} = 1:2 year Intensity = 732.951 / $(T_c+6.199)^{0.810}$ $i_{Syr} = 1.5$ year Intensity = 998.071 / $(T_c + 6.053)^{0.814}$

 i_{100yr} = 1:100 year Intensity = 1735.688 / (T_c+6.014)^{0.820}

T_c = Time of Concentration (min) C = Average Runoff Coefficient

A = Area (Ha) Q = Flow = 2.78CiA (L/s)

Maximum Allowable Release Rate

Restricted Flowrate (based on 74.2 L/s/Ha)

1.66 Ha

123.17 L/s

Uncontrolled Release (Q uncontrolled = 2.78*C*i 100yr *A uncontrolled)

0.2 $T_c =$ 10 min 178.56 mm/hr $i_{100yr} =$ 0.05 Ha

Q uncontrolled = 4.53 L/s

Maximum Allowable Release Rate (Q max allowable = Q restricted - Q uncontrolled)

118.64 L/s Q max allowable =

Release Rate Summary

	Area	Flow
Site	1.614	118.00
Uncontrolled	0.05	4.53
	1.660	122.53
Allowable		123.17
		TRUE

MODIFIED RATIONAL METHOD (100-Year & 2-Year Ponding)

Drainage Area	Area Tributa	ry to CBMH106						
Area (Ha)	1.42		,		ICD Flow Rate			
C =	0.86	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	./s)=	56.50	113.00			
		100-Year Pondin	ıg			100-Y	ear +20% Pc	nding
T _c Variable	i _{100yr}	Peak Flow Q _p =2.78xCi _{100yr} A	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume 100yr	100YRQp 20%	Qp - Qr	Volume 100+20
(min)	(mm/hour)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
40	75.15	255.90	56.50	199.40	478.57			
45	69.05	235.15	56.50	178.65	482.35			
50	63.95	217.79	56.50	161.29	483.88	261.35	204.85	614.55
55	59.62	203.05	56.50	146.55	483.60			
60	55.89	190.35	56.50	133.85	481.85			

	Sto	orage (m ³)				100+20	
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance	Overflow	Required	Balance
0.00	483.88	137.29	355.13	0.00	0.00	614.55	122.13 40.71
			overflows to:	Street			

Diaillage Area	Area ITIDUIA	TY TO COMIT 140						
Area (Ha)	0.19	9	•					
C =	0.58	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	./s)=	5.00				
		100-Year Pondin		100-Y	ear +20% Po	nding		
T _c Variable	i _{100yr}	Peak Flow Q _p =2.78xCi _{100yr} A	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume 100yr	100YRQp 20%	Qp - Qr	Volume 100+20
(min)	(mm/hour)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
45	69.05	21.13	5.00	16.13	43.56	1		
50	63.95	19.57	5.00	14.57	43.72			
55	59.62	18.25	5.00	13.25	43.72	21.90	16.90	55.77
60	55.89	17.11	5.00	12.11	43.59	1		
65	52.65	16.11	5.00	11.11	43.34	1		

		Sto	orage (m³)				100+20	
•	Overflow 0.00	Required 43.72	Surface 67.70	Sub-surface 0	Balance 0.00	Overflow 0.00	Required 55.77	Balance 0.00 0.00
				overflows to:	Street			

Drainage Area / to CBMH106

Area (Ha)	1.424	Ī		I	CD Flow Rate
C =	0.90	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	/s)=	57.00	114.00
		2-Year Ponding)		
T _c Variable	i _{2yr}	Peak Flow Q _p =2.78xCi _{2yr} A	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume 2yr
(min)	(mm/hour)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
23	47.66	169.84	57.00	112.84	155.72
24	46.37	165.27	57.00	108.27	155.91
25	45.17	160.97	57.00	103.97	155.95
26	44.03	156.91	57.00	99.91	155.85
27	42.95	153.07	57.00	96.07	155.63

Storage (m ³)												
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance	Ī							
0.00	155.95	137.29	355.13	0.00								

overflows to: Street

Drainage Area	/ to CBIVIH140				
Area (Ha)	0.190				
C =	0.90	Restricted Flow Q _r (L	_/s)=	5.00	Ì
		2-Year Ponding	9		
T _c	i.	Peak Flow	Q,	Q _p -Q _r	Volume
Variable	i _{2yr}	$Q_p = 2.78xCi_{2yr}A$	Q,	Q p −Q r	2yr
(min)	(mm/hour)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(m³)
35	36.06	17.14	5.00	12.14	25.50
36	35.37	16.81	5.00	11.81	25.51
37	34.70	16.50	5.00	11.50	25.52
38	34.06	16 19	5.00	11 19	25.52

0.00	25.52	67.70	0	0.00	
Overflow	Required	Surface	Sub-surface	Balance	Τ
	Sto	rage (m³)			
33.45	15.90	5.00	10.90	25.51	
34.00	10.19	3.00	11.19	25.52	

overflows to: Street

 $https://ibigroup.sharepoint.com/sites/Projects1/135470/Internal\ Documents/6.0_Technical/6.04_Civil/04_Design-Analysis/Submission\ \#2/CCS_swm_2023-03$ 1 of 1



IBI GROUP 500-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com PROJECT: 60 Denzil Doyle
DATE: 2023-03-09
FILE: 135470.6.04
REV #:
DESIGNED BY: WZ
CHECKED BY: RM

UNDERGROUND STORAGE CALCULATIONS - 60 Denzil Doyle

Pipe Storage					
From	То	Length	Diameter	X-sec Area	Volume
CBMH101	MH102	19.74	825	0.535	10.55
MH102	CBMH103	21.07	825	0.535	11.26
CBMH103	CBMH104	21.30	825	0.535	11.39
CBMH104	CBMH105	42.11	825	0.535	22.51
CBMH130	CBMH112	48.26	825	0.535	25.80
CBMH110	CBMH111	40.00	825	0.535	21.38
CBMH111	CBMH112	38.50	825	0.535	20.58
CBMH112	MH113	21.20	825	0.535	11.33
MH113	MH114B	38.73	825	0.535	20.70
MH114B	MH114	6.66	825	0.535	3.56
CBMH120	CBMH121	58.70	825	0.535	31.38
CBMH121	MH114	9.58	825	0.535	5.12
MH114	CBMH115	33.80	825	0.535	18.07
CBMH115	CBMH105	37.90	825	0.535	20.26
CBMH105	CBMH106	32.64	825	0.535	17.45
				Total	251.35

Structure Stora	nge	All	Ĭ			
	Base	Тор	Height	diameter	X-sec Area	Volume
CBMH101	99.223	102.05	2.83	1500	1.767	5.00
MH102	99.171	102.05	2.88	1500	1.767	5.09
CBMH103	99.088	102.05	2.96	1800	2.545	7.54
CBMH104	99.044	102.05	3.01	1500	1.767	5.31
CBMH130	99.383	102.05	2.67	1500	1.767	4.71
CBMH110	99.436	102.05	2.61	1500	1.767	4.62
CBMH111	99.372	102.05	2.68	1500	1.767	4.73
CBMH112	99.270	102.05	2.78	1800	2.545	7.07
MH113	99.217	102.05	2.83	1500	2.250	6.37
MH114B	99.144	102.05	2.91	1500	2.250	6.54
CBMH120	99.202	102.05	2.85	1500	2.250	6.41
CBMH121	99.107	102.05	2.94	1500	2.250	6.62
MH114	99.077	102.05	2.97	1800	3.240	9.63
CBMH115	99.010	102.05	3.04	1500	2.250	6.84
CBMH105	98.938	102.05	3.11	1800	3.240	10.08
CBMH106	98.872	102.05	3.18	1500	2.250	7.15
					Total	103.72

TOTAL AREA AII 355.07



IBI GROUP 400-333 Preston Street Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 Canada tel 613 225 1311 fax 613 225 9868 ibigroup.com

PROJECT:
DATE:
FILE:
REV #:
DESIGNED BY:
CHECKED BY: 60 Denzil Doyle 2023-03-09 135470 - 6.04.04 WZ RM

ORIFICE SIZING

Orifice coefficients						
Cv =	0.60					

					Theoretical		Recommended			
	Invert	Diameter	Centre ICD	Max. Pond Elevation	Hydraulic Slope	Target Flow	Orifice	Actual Flow	Orifice	Actual Flow
	(m)	(mm)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(I/s)	(m)	(I/s)	(m)	(I/s)
CBMH106	98.872	825	99.285	102.05	2.766	113.0	0.160	113.00	0.160	113.0
CBMH140	98.768	300	98.918	101.95	3.032	5.0	0.033	5.00	0.033	5.0
						118.00				118.00



IBI Group

333 Preston Street - Suite 400 Ottawa, Ontario K1S 5N4 **PROJECT:** 60 Denzil Doyle

City of Ottawa

DEVELOPER: Huntington Properties **JOB #:** 135470 - 6.04.04

DATE: 2023-03-09

DESIGN: WZ

FLOW EVALUATION:

Manning's Formula City of Ottawa sewer design guidelines 6.4.1 Q cap = $1000 * (A * R^2/^3 * S^1/2)/n$

Flow Calculations:

Drainage Area	Overall Site except Landscaped Area
Depth	0.01 m
Grade	2 %
Roughness:	0.013 Asphalt
Parameters	
Area	0.081 sq.m
Wetted Per.	8.519 m
Hydr. Radius	0.009
Q = (1/N)(A)(R^0.66)(S^0.5)	
Q _{CAPACITY} =	40.71 l/s
Target Release rate =	40.71 l/s

Overflow to west site entrance

Dimensions Used for Area

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{Width} & 8.5 \text{ m} \\ \text{Depth} & 0.01 \text{ m} \\ \end{array}$

PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD

Product Description

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD's are designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 5 preset flow curves, these ICDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

Product Function

TEMPEST HF (High Flow): designed to manage moderate to higher flows 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevent the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter and has been designed to limit head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.



TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump: The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these applications,

the HF Sump is offered. The HF Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.

TEMPEST MHF (Medium to High Flow):

The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.



Product Construction

The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.8 Kg (14.6 lbs).

Product Applications


The HF and MHF ICD's are available to accommodate both square and round applications:




Square Application

Round Application














The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:







Round Catch Basin

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT CITY OF KANATA KANATA SOUTH BUSINESS PARK

Prepared By: A.J. Robinson & Associates Inc. February 1986

, d

INTRODUCTION

The Kanata South Business Park is a 38.5 ha tract of land currently designated by the Regional Offical Plan for industrial use. The site is located within the City of Kanata and is bounded by Eagleson Road on the east, old Hazeldean Road on the west, the C.P. Railway on the north and O.H.E.C. Right-of-Way on the south.

This property, including approximately 80 ha to the south, has been the subject of previous development plans by the Township of Goulbourn and most recently by Oceatain Properties. The City of Kanata has purchased the land described above and intends to develop a high class light industrial/business park, complete with storm and sanitary sewers, water and curbed roadways.

The purpose of this report is to present for review and approval, the proposed stormwater management design analysis, conclusions and proposed design criteria. Both quality and quantity aspects are addressed.

EXISTING DRAINAGE/PREDEVELOPMENT FLOWS

The existing drainage for the site is shown on the grading and drainage plan (Drawing No. 8555-GI). The total site drainage area of 43 ha includes a portion of Eagleson Road from the railway to the south side of the hydro property. It is noted that a portion of the railway right-of-way and lands to the north, drain along the north boundary to the existing roadside ditch on old Hazeldean Road. Since this drainage pattern will not be altered and does not drain through the site, this area has been excluded from the analysis.

As the topography indicates drainage is generally from east to west to defined ditches which drain to the south into Monahan Creek, a municipal drain, and then into the Jock River.

The predevelopment flow conditions were modelled using OTTHYMO with the design storms and CN values being established from

previous hydrological studies (refer to Bibliography). Figure 1 shows the breakdown of drainage sub-basins for the predevelopment flow analysis resulting in the following calculated peak flow rates, off the Business Park, at the soutwest corner:

5 years - $1.64 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$ 100 years - $3.40 \text{ m}^3/\text{sec}$

The computer runs for the modelled system are attached as Appendix 1.

POST DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS

The City of Kanata has established stormwater management design criterion which stipulate that on an overall site basis, the 5 year and 100 year post development peak release rates must not exceed the predevelopment peak flow rate for the corresponding return period.

With these criteria in mind, it was decided to analyse the following scenarios for stormwater management for the proposed development:

- (1) 5 year post development storm sewer system with stormwater management pond sized for 5 and 100 year storm run-off.
- (2) Retention of 5 year run-off to predevelopment levels on individual lots, 5 year predevelopment flow sizing of storm sewers and stormwater management pond sized for the differences between the 5 and 100 year volume.
- (3) On-lot retention to 5 and 100 year predevelopment flow rates, and 5 year predevelopment flow sizing of storm sewers.

Due to the type of analysis requried for post development scenarios, the computer model OTTSWMM was used to evaluate the three alternatives described. The first scenario was very quickly rejected due to the extremely large storm sewers required (max size 2000 mm), the large volume of storage required (6900 m^3) and the corresponding area of land necessary to construct the pond (1.3 ha). The first alternative was simply not cost effective.

The OTTSWMM model simulated the 5 year event with each lot controlling the 5 year run-off in parking lots draining via controlled outlets to the storm sewer. During the 100 year event the excess run-off, beyond the 5 year, overflowed to the major system and was conveyed via swales and roadways to the proposed pond, located in the southwest corner of the site. The additional storage volume required to satisfy the 100 year release rate for the Business Park is approximately 3200 m³. In reviewing this option, several things became evident:

- (i) Due to a calculated 100 year flood level of Monahan Creek of 95.4 m and a corresponding maximum design water level for the proposed pond of 95.4 the effectiveness of a pond to control run-off and eliminate flooding was questionable.
- (ii) The construction of the pond and outlets necessitated considerable grading in a peat bog and caused approximately 0.66 ha of saleable land to be eliminated from the park.
- (iii) Since on-site controls for the 5 year release rate were being considered anyway, it was felt that the additional storage and controls to handle the 100 year flows were not that much more restrictive. It was felt that the 3200 m³ required for the pond could simply be distributed over the developed acreage of the park resulting in approximately 100 m³/ha additional storage volume.

Based on the third scenario the off-lot release rates, to maintain predevelopment flow rates at the outlet, were determined

to be the following:

5 year - 35.8 1/s/ha 100 years - 74.2 1/s/ha

It is noted that the storage volumes determined by OTTSWMM were based on a 73% imperviousness rate. Individual lots when developed will vary in coverage and imperviousness, thus actual storage volumes required, to maintain the stipulated release rates, will vary.

Typical minimum size lots (0.4 ha) were evaluated to confirm that this proposal was practical and relatively easily attainable.

Based on this analysis, the third scenario is proposed for the stormwater management control for this development.

WATER QUALITY

With the proposed quantity control measures in mind, the water quality aspects of the development were addressed with the following conclusions being presented:

- (1) The light industrial/business park type industries are considered to produce a relatively low level of pollutants.
- (2) The development is in the upper reaches of a large watershed draining to the Rideau River. The outlet from the site is to a municipal drain which is running at a very flat grade, thereby, presenting ample opportunity for pollutants to settle out.
- (3) On-site control of stormwater by parking lot and possibly roof top storage will result in a reduction of pollutant loadings.
- (4) Laboratory and field observations, indicate that installation of an orifice in the outlet of a

catchbasin with a sump, has brought about a greater retention of grit and other solids after a storm event than observed with a conventional storm sewer outlet. The constricted release of flow from the orifice causes stormwater to backup in the catchbasin thereby keeping the turbulent zone of the water away from the sump and also reducing velocities in the catchbasin. These actions facilitate settling of suspended solids into the sump.

Based on the above, it is felt that the proposed quantity control measures will also serve to ensure that the proposed development will not unduly effect the quality of water flowing from the site into Monahan Creek and thus to the Rideau River.

STORMWATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN CRITERIA

The following design criteria are proposed for the overall stormwater management for the Business Park:

- 1. Individual lot developers will be required to provide on lot grading and drainage controls to control site drainage to predevelopment release rates for both the 5 year and 100 year storm events.
- 2. The maximum off-lot release rates, on an area basis, will not exceed the following:

5 year release rate - 35.8 l/s/ha 100 year release rate - 74.2 l/s/ha

- 3. Lot grading and drainage controls will generally be up to the developer, however, the design and construction will require approval and certification from the City of Kanata.
- 4. Control of stormwater release off-site into the pipe network shall be with an orifice fixed to the outlet pipe of the catchbasin/manhole.

- 5. The minimum orifice size shall be 4700 mm². The depth of ponding of water over the orifice must be designed accordingly to meet the maximum allowable release rate and minimum orifice size.
- 6. The minimum cover of backfill over the orifice shall be 1.4 metres. Certain lots may require the orifice to be placed in a separate manhole located away form the low point in the parking lot.
- 7. All parking lot catchbasins/manholes shall contain sumps and will require regular maintenance. Sumps may have to be cleaned out more often than a conventional parking lot drainage network.
- The storm sewer system will be designed by the rational method using an average run-off co-efficient of 0.25 for developed areas. It is noted that the peak run-off from the storm sewer system calculated using this criterion is 1.80 m 3 /s comparing to 1.64 m 3 /s calculated using the OTTHYMO model.

MONAHAN CREEK

As shown on Drawing Nos. 8555-10 and 8555-11 it is proposed that the storm sewer system for the site will outlet to an open ditch running west along the Hydro lands and then southerly to Monahan Drain. Based on minimum cover requirements for the storm sewer and to minimize fill required within the development, the outlet grade of the ditch at Monahan Creek is proposed to be set at 93.52. The existing grade at this point of 94.4. Thus, to obtain outlet for the site, it will be necessary to deepen Monahan Creek from the point of outlet to the existing, and relatively new, culvert at Eagleson Road.

The proposed and existing grade of the deepened drain is shown on Drawing No. 8555-11. The grade up to Fernbank Road corresponds to the proposed grade presented in the Seto-Walt Report. At Fernbank Road, it is proposed to lower the existing culvert to

the new design grade. Based on a peak 25 year flow, calculated by Seto-Walt, of $4.36~\text{m}^3/\text{s}$, the existing 1.47~m culvert is slightly undersized with a capacity of $3.88~\text{m}^3/\text{s}$. If the culvert is damaged or is not suitable for reinstallation, it would be replaced with a 1.65~m culvert, to achieve free flow under the 25 year storm event. As part of the final design, we propose to review the flow calculation to confirm the above proposal.

It is noted that work on the drain, downstream of Eagleson Road, has been undertaken in the recent past and that the culvert at Eagleson Road has been replaced with a 1.95 m x 4.4 m concrete box culvert with a capacity of 18.9 m³/s. With this in mind and considering the fact that flooding levels suggested by the Seto-Walt Report are lower when the culvert structures are removed (refer to Figures 4-7b and 4-8b of that report), along with the proposal to outlet the development to the drain at predevelopment levels, it is felt that the downstream effects of channelization of the drain will be minimal, if any.

It is proposed that, since Monahan Creek is a municipal drain, the work will be carried out under Seciton 77 of the Drainage Act, whereby certain works (lowering and widening included) may be authorized and carried out by the drainage superintendent of the municipality without petitioning and without the necessity of an Engineer's Report.

FLOOD CONTROLS

In recognition of the maximum 100 year flood level of 95.4 established by the Kostuch Report, it is proposed that all roads and buildings will be kept at least 0.3 m above this elevation and that property owners will be advised accordingly. Seto-Walt calculated a maximum flood level of 96.0, however, since the Kostuch study utilized more sophisticated routing techniques and the topographical mapping is more accurate and comparable to current mapping, it is felt that the 95.4 m level is more representative.

The potential storage volume on the site below the 95.4 contour

level is limited to the southwest corner of the site and is estimated at 7000 m^3 . The additional volume of storage created by the excavation of the outlet ditch to Monahan Creek is approximately 7500 m^3 . Thus, the total volume of available storage is approximately the same after development.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1) Flood Risk Mapping of Kizell Drain and Shirley's Brook.
 A.J. Robinson and Associates Inc., May 1985.
- Sawmill Creek Water Quality and Quantity Study.
 A.J. Robinson and Associates Inc., July 1984.
- 3) Hazeldean South Industrial Park Monahan Drain Drainage Study. R.M. Kostuch Associates Ltd., July 1976.
- 4) Flood and Erosion Study of the Monahan Creek Drainage Basin. Seto-Walt and Associates Ltd., April 1975.

APPENDIX I

OTTHYMO RUNS

UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA HYDROLOGIC MODEL OTTHYNO (VERSION 1.0) NOVEMBER 1982		**		
THYMO (VERSION NOVEMBER 1982	100 田田 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	i O		0 0 6 7
TTHYMO (VERSION NOVEMBER 1982				
косемвея 1982		THYND (VERSIO	. 0 .	
	THE REAL PROPERTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PROPERTY ADDRESS			
			Cd Cd	
中教育中央永安部院院汉南安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安安	水水水水水水水水水水水	下少分分数放在 京京京教教長 學宗本教教教教教教教教教教教教教教	如称我亦亦亦亦亦亦亦亦如亦亦如亦如如如	本水香水水水香水水水水水水水水

THIS NODEL IS THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA VERSION OF THE HYDROLOGIC MODEL (HYMO) DEVELOPED BY J. R. WILLIAMS AND R. W. HANN (1973) OF THE U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE. IT HAS THREE NEW SUBROUTINES, URBHYD, KINRTE, AND MASHYD, AND HAS BEEN MODIFIED SUCH THAT IT CAN BE USED IN BOTH ENGLISH AND NETRIC UNITS.

DOCUMENTATION FOR THE MODEL CAN BE FOUND IN THE IMPSWM URBAN DRAINAGE MODELLING PROCEDURES MANAGENEW!) PROGRAM AT THE DEPARTMENT OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA. THE THE MODEL WAS DEVELOPED IN THE FRAME OF THE IMPSWM (IMPLEMENTATION OF STORMWATER (1982)

4.6 THE DETAILS ABOUT THE COPYRIGHT AND DISCLAIMER CAN BE FOUND BETWEEN LINES 22 AND

IN THE LISTING THE USER AGREES TO RESPECT THE COPYRIGHT AND THE DISCLAIMER. THE ENGLISH UNITS OPTION HAS BEEN SPECIFIED

* KANATA SOUTH INDUSTRIAL PARK
* PREDEVELOPMENT FLOWS
* 5 YR 12 HR SCS TYPE
* STORM DISTRIBUTION

*
START
START
COMPUTE HYD
AMAGG O ABYO
ALL ST

KAINFALL STARTS AT 0.0 HRS 1D=1 HYD NU=100 DT=0.100 DA=5.48 AA=0.0 AE=0.0 CN==93 1A=9.165 N=0.224 TP=0.189 N1=120 Ĉij.

							000 000 000 000	300 000 000 000	24, 500 0, 00 24, 600 0, 00 24, 700 0, 00	900 900 000	. 100 . 200 . 300 . 00	25. 400 0. 00 25. 500 0. 00 25. 500 0. 00
e describe a secondario de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición del composición de la composición del composición de la composición de la composición del compos				-		¥0.1F	0 CF 0	000	0.00	00 00 00 00 00 0	0000 0000	000
· opining/maps in the passes we account of					5. 900 HRS		18. 000 18. 100 18. 100		18, 500 18, 600 18, 700	i		19. 400 19. 500 19. 600
A THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PRO			*		(1)ME TO PEAK = 1CIENT 0.64	FLOW	ll		0,03			!
*		M D C	0799 0749 0799 0400 0400	্ৰ'ব'	7,96 1,277 INCHES TIME T VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT DORAPH FROM AREA 100		Σ.		12, 500 12, 600 12, 700			13. 400 13. 500 13. 600
	0799 0799 0799 0799 0799 0799 0799 1199 11	23.98 135.97 11.99 11.99	744 . 07470 744 . 0740 740 . 0400 740 . 040	1	Blate H	11014	ស្ត ស្ត្រ ស្ត្រ	ក្នុង ស្រួស ស្រួស	Time Book of the Control of the Cont	200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 200	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	0, 83 0, 80 0, 77
0.400 0.400 0.400 0.400	0799 0799 1199 1599	2398 2398 7986 1.798 3597 3597 1599 1599 1199 1199	0799 0799 0400 0400	0400 0400 0400 0400 996.	APH CD-ONDINATES RY CPS RUNDEF 998 INCHES RUNDE HY	And the second s	8 6 6 8 0 4 4 4	1 2 4 4 1 2 6 6 1 2 6 6 1 3 4 4	600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600 600	4.0	7 200 7 200 7,300	4.5
		, r-i		1	HE UNIT HYDROGRAPH C THARGE = 5.67 C INFALL = 1.495	FICK	တွင် မော်တိုင် ပြောတိုင်		00.00	00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°00°		00.00
a comment of the comm			The control of the co	SHAPE CON UNIT PEAK	SOM OF THE UNIT PEAL DISCHARGE TOTAL RAINFALL	ZH11	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0) 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	0.550 505.00 505.00 505.00	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1, 100 1, 200 1, 300	1, 400 1, 500 1, 600
		A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	:		0	de angele de la la composition de de la composition de de la composition de de la composition de de la composition della composition de la composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della composition della				200 a 100 a		

									,		
		00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.00.	and the second of the second o	0.000000000000000000000000000000000000	15. 900 16. 100 16. 200 16. 200 16. 300 16. 800 16. 800 16. 800		22. 800 22. 700 22. 700 22. 700 22. 800 22. 800		27, 400 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 100 28, 500 28, 500 28, 500 28, 700 28, 700		
	6, 000 6, 100 6,	4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	11.000 11.100 11.300 11.300 11.500 11.500 11.900 11.900 11.900	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	17. 000 17. 100 17. 200 17. 300 17. 400 17. 500 17. 700 17. 900				l		
ADD.	HYD UTE F	FLOW - HYD -	=6 HYD NO=520 1D=6 HYL =2 HYD NO=104 =0.0 AB=0.0 =120 IN CODE=-1 =120 IN CODE=-1	D I=1 II	11=5 RUNDFF VOLUME 1D I=1 DA=41.5 7 TP=0.268	= 1D 11=5	1 NCHES				
PR	SUM D PEAK TOTAL INT HYD	WAGE =	HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDI 19.80 CFS F 1.998 INCHES	-ORDINATES = 9.72. S RUNGFF = 0.72. NCHES RUNGFF VOLUME' HYDROGRAPH	99 TRI(IME TO PEAK = CIENT 0.36	4. 000 HRS	Andrews (Market Control of the Contr	milita de mar marcillo de ante esperim deportable a article.	And applications of statement o	
	11Mm HRS 0, 000 0, 100 0, 200 0, 500 0, 500 0, 500 0, 500	7.000000000000000000000000000000000000	11	FLOW CFS 19.86 18.42 16.00 13.74 10.50 8.98 6.76	TIME HRS 12, 000 12, 100 12, 200 12, 500 12, 600 12, 600	FLOW CFS 1.02 0.86 0.54 0.34 0.24	TIME HRS 18. 000 18. 200 18. 200 18. 300 18. 500 18. 500 18. 700 18. 800	FLOW CFS 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	T1ME HRS 24, 000 24, 100 24, 200 24, 400 24, 500 24, 600 24, 600	FLDW CFS 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.	

									ម បា ប	ů.
0.900			6,14						ì	
1,000	90.0		100 PE							
88										
1,000							- 2		3	***************************************
1.400										
1. 800 1. 800										
1,700										
1. 800 600										
1		. 2	. 1 .				3		1 .	
			,							
- 5	- 2		٠,				Ť		٠.	
							- 7		. 3	
0000	6 C	9,600	ni -	19, 600	0.00 0.01	21.600	0 0	27, 600	0 0 0 0	
							A .		1 .	
		e: ı								
		S O			4 -					
				0						
- 1	- i	50		ai -ai			- (-		. 1 .	
		io		νÕ.						
	-	σĸ		-0`-4						
		5 5	7 1 04 	o io						
		િં		·0.	. 1		- 1			
	4 .	y=1		r = 1						
			1	1						
				. 1						
			다 : 	151						
	+ 1		1.14	√ir	• 1				4 1 .	-
	> 0 0 0 0 0	11, 000) [ii							
		11.800	CH T	1			-			
900	10 1	11,900	1.1	r-	•					
ナーラー	VULUME P	ET TECHNO								

m

MO=101 DT=0.106 DA=2.38 =0.0 =0.185 K=0.141 TP=0.119 Ģ ₩ ¥

SHAPE CONSTANT UNIT PEAK =

ក ខ្មុំ ខ្មុំ

> 006 ம் ŧ PEAK O. 63 HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 9.78
>
> 2.94 CFS RUNOFF = 1.254 INCHES TIME TO 1.998 INCHES RUNOFF VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT SUM OF THE UNIT PERM INSCHARGE = TOTAL RAINFALL = PRINT HYD

S ₹ HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 101

188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 188. 100 189. 1

10.500 10.600 10.600 10.700 11.000	មិ ប៊ី គ	0. 09 16. 500 0. 00 22. 500 0. 00 28. 500 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 18. 600 0. 00 0 17. 600 0. 00	D 1=1 ID 11=2 RUNGFF VGLUME = 1.270 INCHES RUNGFF VGLUME = 1D 11=2 T=0.100 DA=5.85 NATES = 9.79 NATES = 10.710 INCHES TIME TO PEAK = 5.900 HRS HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 10.36	CW TIME FLOW TIME FLOW TIME FLOW FS HRS CFS HRS CFS HRS FS 12. 000 0. 10 18. 000 0. 00 24. 000 07 12. 100 0. 05 18. 100 0. 00 24. 100 61 12. 200 0. 05 18. 200 0. 00 24. 200 39 12. 400 0. 02 18. 300 0. 00 24. 400	
the state of the s		10. 500 10. 500 10. 500 10. 500 11. 000 11. 200 11. 500 11. 500 11. 500 11. 500 11. 500 11. 500 11. 500	#0=500 1 #0 00 CFS HYD #0 0 #0 0 #0 185 #0 0 F 1 CD-ORD1 CFS 8 B INCHES	11Me HR 6 6.000 6.100 6.200 6.500	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4

-0

000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000	00 kg	000	0 0 0 1 1						
10	88.		•						
1, 2, 2, 3, 4, 4, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5, 5,	1 700								
1.00	300								
10	000								
10	000 2	1						1 .	
1, 200 0.00									
14 200 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					14, 200				
1,000					14.300			26.300	
10					14, 400			26.400	
10	นโ				14.500			26.500	
100 0.00 0			i .		14.600	5		26. 600	
8 8 9 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0					14,700			26. 700	
10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10					14.800			26.800	
100								26, 900	
100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								27,000	
Expression Color Fig. 200 Color Colo								27, 100	
Second Color Second Second Color Color Second Color Second Color Second Color Seco								27.200	
400 0.00 9.400 0.29 15.400 0.00 27.								27.300	
\$5.0 0.00 \$5.00 0.00 \$27.500								27. 400	
600 0.01 9.60 0.12 15.60 0.00 21.700 0.00 21.700 0.00 27.70								27, 500	
700 0.01 9,700 0.18 15,700 0.00 21,700 0.00 27,700 0.00 800 0.01 9,800 0.17 15,800 0.00 21,800 0.00 27,700 0.00 100 0.02 10,000 0.14 15,900 0.00 21,800 0.00 27,700 0.00 100 0.02 10,200 0.16 16,000 0.00 22,100 0.00 27,700 0.00 200 0.02 10,200 0.16 16,000 0.00 22,100 0.00 27,700 0.00 200 0.03 10,200 0.15 16,200 0.00 22,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200 0.00 28,200								27. 400	
800 0.01 9,800 0.17 15,800 0.00 21,800 0.00 27,800 0.00 900 0.01 9,800 0.17 15,800 0.00 22,800 0.00 27,900 0.00 100 0.02 10,100 0.16 16,100 0.00 22,100 0.00 22,700 0.00 200 0.02 10,200 0.16 16,100 0.00 22,100 0.00 28,000 0.00 200 0.02 10,200 0.15 16,200 0.00 22,400 0.00 28,000 0.00 200 0.03 22,400 0.00 22,400 0.00 28,400 0.00 500 0.04 10,400 0.15 16,400 0.00 22,400 0.00 28,400 0.00 500 0.05 10,400 0.15 16,400 0.00 22,400 0.00 28,400 0.00 500 0.02 0.02 0.02 0.00				4.4				27. 700	
900 0.01 19.900 0.00 22.000 0.00 22.000 0.00 28.000 0.00 28.000 0.00 29.000 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.0000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000 0.000 29.000		2	٠.	***				27, 800	
000 0.02 10.000 0.16 16.000 0.00 22.000 0.00 28.000 0.00 29.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.00 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 0.000 20.000 20.000 0.000 20.0000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.0000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.0000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.000 20.00000 20.0000 20.0000 20.00000 20.00000 20.0000 20.0000 20.00000 20.00000 20.0000 20.0000				**1				27, 900	
100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0				~~				000 : 1000 : 100	
200 0.03 10.200 0.15 16.200 0.00 22.200 0.00 28				r-<				100	
300 0.04 10.300 0.15 16.300 0.00 22.300 0.00 28.400 0.00 28								28. 200 1 200 1 200	
450 0.04 10.40 0.15 15.40 0.00 22.40 0.00 28.40 0.00 28.40 0.00 28.40 0.00 28.40 0.00 28.50 0.00				۳,				28, 300	
500 0.05 10.500 0.15 16.500 0.00 22.500 0.00 28				***				26.400	
6-20 0.07 10, 600 0.15 16, 600 0.00 22, 600 0.00 28, 600 0.00 28, 600 0.00 28, 600 0.00 28, 600 0.00 28, 600 0.00 28, 7				**1				28.500	
0.00				474				28. 600	
0, 09 10, 800 0, 15 16, 800 0, 00 22, 800 0, 00 28, 800 0, 00 28, 800 0, 00 29, 800 0, 00 29, 800 0, 00 20, 800 0,				+-1				28, 700	
0. 10 10 500 0. 15 1700 0. 00 22. 900 0. 00 29. 700 0. 00 29. 00 0. 00 29. 00 0. 00 0. 15 17. 100 0. 00 23. 100 0. 00 29. 00 0. 00 29. 00 0. 00 29. 00 0. 00 22. 11. 200 0. 15 17. 200 0. 00 23. 300 0. 00 29. 200 0	_			7-4				000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	
0.13 11.000 0.15 17.000 0.00 23.000 0.00 24.000 0.00 27.000 0.000 27.000 27.000 0.000 27.000 0.000 27.000 0.000 27.000 0.000 27.000 0.0				***				28, 700	
0.16 11.100 0.15 17.100 0.00 23.100 0.00 27.100 0.00 2				***				14. OCO	
0.18 11.200 0.15 17.200 0.00 23.200 0.00 29.200 0.00 29.200 0.00 29.200 0.00 29.200 0.00 29.200 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.300 0.00 29.200 0.00 2				~~ \$				24. 100	
0, 20 11, 300 0, 15 17, 300 0, 00 23, 300 0, 00 29, 300 0. 00 29, 300 0. 00 20, 20 20,				Tri-i				29. 200 200	
0 22 11,400 0.15 17,400 0.00 23.400 0.00 29.400 0.00 0.00 1,04 11,500 0.15 17,500 0.00 23.500 0.00 23.500 0.00 29.500 0.00 29.500 0.00 23.500 0.00 29.				***				29, 300	
1, 04 11, 500 0, 15 17, 500 0, 00 23, 500 0, 00 24, 500 0, 00 0. 24, 500 0, 00 0. 25, 500 0, 00 0. 27, 500 0				***				29.400	
1, 95 11, 650 0.15 17, 600 0.00 23, 600 0.00 29, 600 0.00 27, 600 0.00		_		+~4			- 1	29. 500	
2.74 11,700 0.15 17,700 0.00 23.700 0.00 29.700 0.00 23.42 11,800 0.15 17,800 0.00 23.800 0.00 29.800 0.00 24.01 11,900 0.15 17,900 0.00 23.900 0.00 29.900 0.00 29.900 0.00	٠.			. ***				79, 600	
3, 42 11, 800 0, 15 17, 800 0, 00 23, 800 0, 00 29, 800 0, 00 4, 01 11, 900 0, 15 17, 900 0, 00 23, 900 0, 00 29, 900 0, 00 20,		-	11.700	***			133. 700 133. 700		
4,01 11,900 0.15 17,900 0.00 23,900 0.00 29,900 0.			11,800	****	17, 800		23, 800	ς.	
" VOLUME = 0,710 INCHE			•	O. 11	17,900		23, 900	o;	
	ĺs		THE TANK						

មា មា 185. K=0. AA=0.0 AB=0 0 CN*=78.6 1A=0. NI=120 RAIN CODE=-1 N = 3.007 48.790FS

(J Ö

SHAPE CONSTANT, UNIT PEAK =

HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 12,54 CFS RUNDFF = 1,990 INCHES RUNDFF

```
T
W
           100
 INCHES
           ď
777
           PEAK
0.36
 ်
                10.00
0.725 INCHES TIME TO
VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT
  HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 105
                VOLUME
                  10FF V
1=2
3.39
    347
 ID=3 HYD NO=530 ID 1=2 ID II=6
43.68 CFS
                HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 15.54 CFS RUNDFF = 1.998 INCHES RUNDFF
                1D=4
                SUN OF THE UNIT H
PEAK DISCHARGE =
TOTAL RAINFALL =
PRINT HYD
 FL DW
       SHAPE CONSTANT,
UNIT PEAK =
PEAK
ADD
HYD
  COMPUTE
ADD HYD
```

e 0.e	0000	o c	00	o c	90	0	o o	o	Ф (20	0 •	9 (<u> </u>	0	0	ō c	2 O	Ģ						****	Q	Š ć	\$ \$	Š	<u>ي</u>	5	δō	ŏ	000	δō	0(
3.	0000					- 1			,		! .														ic	o c	jo	Ó	ď (ċ	o o	o e	o c	jo	Ö.
	27, 300 27, 400 27, 500 27, 600																							m c	24.000	24. 100	24, 300	24, 400	24, 500	24, 600	24. 700 24. 800	24, 900	25, 000 25, 000	N 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	25, 300
	00000	. ;				!				. ,	1 .				· • ì									FLOW) 0 0	0.00		00.0	00.00	0.00) () () ()	0.00	0 (0 () 00 00 00	00.00
	21. 300 21. 400 21. 500 21. 600	. 1				i									!				ž.		760 INCHES	men melenyddinysyddinada. An dai dai dai dai "y " Cygrenydd yn i gygl y y Mhin daidd		TIME TO SERVICE TO SER	ັໝ່		o a	ioi	O)	က္ပါ	o w	ici	19,000		
	0.00	. :				:															= 0.7. ID II=3		A 540	FLOW	'nφ	4	3~ n,	3 (t)	0	00	<u> </u>		0,49	4 E	្រា
	15. 800 19. 800 19. 800	ហ្គាំ	റ് ഗ്	٠á٠	ઇ જ	i d	40 4	j	sj.	o v	· .	K	r. r	: r	: IS	7	N'r	· K			I=3 RUNOFF VOLUME ID I=4		FROM ARE	TIME									13.000		
	8 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	٠.					1. 04		-		44 4								CES		1D 1=4 ID 1 S NO=540	-	HYDROGRAPH	FLOW	00 CO 00 CO	in N	4	5 CC 5 CC 5 CC	30. 62	25.34	เต เต ช ช	18, 30	16.66	₩ ₩ 4. C	10 E
	9, 300 9, 400 9, 500	Pala	or or		end ()	# (5 5 €	4	: 40 ⊃ O	0.7	o c	·		- Lu t	:1 ·		-4.3	L . 1	ى د ساس	0, 7 10, 7		=5 HYD NG=540 57.83 CF 10=5 HYD	-		H H H	L								7,000		*. ·
	0.00 0.00 0.01 0.01				,														VOLUME = SCHARGE RAT		ID= PEAK FLOW = ADD HYD	91	•	FLOW	9 EO C	0.00	0.0°) () ()	000	0.00	0000	0, 00 0, 00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	6 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	3, 700	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	4,000	4, 100	4. 300 4. 300	4, 400	4 400	4, 700	4 4 0000 0000	\$ 000	5.100	5.200	000 80 80 80	D C C M M	5, 600	5,700	တ္တေ တေ လ	UNGFF FAK DI	a supplementaria de la facilita de la composição de la composição de la composição de la composição de la comp	ADD HYD PE	PRINT HYD		TIME	2000 C	0.100	0.200	0.800	0000	0.800	0.700	0, 800 0, 900	1.000	1, 100	1.300

TRITOR

 $\vec{}$

THIS MODEL 1S THE UNIVERSITY OF OTTAWA VERSION OF THE HYDROLOGIC MODEL (HYMO) DEVELOPED R. WILLIAMS AND R. W. HANN (1973) OF THE U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE. IT HAS THREE NEW SUBROUTINES, URBHYD, KINRTE, AND NASHYD, AND HAS BEEN MODIFIED SUCH THAT IT CAN BE USED BOTH ENGLISH AND METRIC UNITS

DOCUMENTATION FOR THE MODEL CAN BE FOUND IN THE IMPSWM URBAN DRAINAGE MODELLING PROCEDURES OF OTTAWA. THE THE MODEL WAS DEVELOPED IN THE FRAME OF THE IMPSWM (IMPLEMENTATION OF STORMWATER UNIVERSITY CIVIL ENGINEERING, MANAGEMENT) PROGRAN AT THE DEPARTMENT OF

AND THE DETAILS ABOUT THE COPYRIGHT AND DISCLAIMER CAN BE FOUND BETWEEN LINES 22

(1982).

44

IN THE LISTING, THE USER AGREES TO RESPECT THE COPYRIGHT AND THE DISCLAIMER. THE ENGLISH UNITS OPTION HAS BEEN SPECIFIED

KANATA SOUTH INDUSTRIAL PARK PREDEVELOPMENT FLOWS 100 YR 12 HR SCS TYPE STORM DISTRIBUTION

* KANATA SE * PREDEVE * 100 YR * STORM I START

RAINFALL STARTS AT 0.0 HRS 1D=1 HYD NG=100 DT=0.100 DA=5.48 AA=0.0 AB=0.0 CN*=93 1A=0.185 K=0.224 TP=0.189 N1=120

					! <i>.</i>	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
				1ME 4RS 0000 1000 2000 3000 4000	500 500 700 800 900	
				7.000000000000000000000000000000000000	0000000	
			5, 900 HRS	≠ ∤I ,	18, 500 18, 600 18, 700 18, 800 18, 900	19, 100 19, 200 19, 300 19, 400 19, 500 19, 500
			TIME TO PEAK = ICIENT 0.75 EA 100	FLOW 0.28 0.20 0.14 0.10 0.08	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0, 02 0, 02 0, 03 0, 01 0, 01
	ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស ស		9,96 113 INCHES ETRICCOEFF H FROM ARE	TIME HRS 12. 000 12. 200 12. 300 12. 400	1	13, 100 13, 200 13, 300 13, 400 13, 500 13, 600
4APH 521 . 062 521 . 062 521 . 062	1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1243 1243 1243	44888888888888888888888888888888888888	ATES = 2.3 RUNDEF = 2.3 RUNDEF VOLUM	FLDW CFS 9.40 7.38 5.69 4.68	40000-0	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2. 2.
(AINFALL HYET 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521 0521	1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040 1040	1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1242 1242	д АРН 10. 10.	TIME HRS 6. 000 6. 200 6. 200 6. 400	6. 500 6. 600 8. 700 8. 900 7. 000	7, 100 7, 200 7, 300 7, 400 7, 500 7, 600
6666 6666 71166	0.0000477040000404000404000404000040400004040004000404	1242 1242 1242 0621 0621 0621 0621 0621 CDNSTANT, N = 2	T HYDROG = 1 = 1D=1	- 12:1L	000000	
		SHAPE CONS	SUM OF THE UNI FEAK DISCHARGE TOTAL RAINFALL PRINT HYD	11ME HRS 0.000 0.100 0.200 0.300 0.400	0, 500 0, 600 0, 700 0, 700 1, 000	1. 200 1. 200 1. 300 1. 400 1. 500 1. 500

m

000	(C)		4		7.7.00				
) :	, e				19. 900				
c	o o	٠.			20.000 20.000			_	
o o	0 22 CO	0 0 0 0 1 0	14 200	, c	20. 200	300	26. 100 24. 200	200	
0.0	0	٠.	٠.	- 1	20, 300	.: .	· i		
0 0	0		•		20, 400				
0,0	ත් ට				20, 500				
0.0	10				20, 600				
0.0	oi Oi	-			20, 700				
0.0	co.	- 1			20, 800				
0.0	G			1	20, 900		ì.	1 .	
0 0	9.	4	15,000		21.000				
0.0	ф. Ф.				21, 100				
0.0	¢.				21, 200			_	
0.1	0.				21.300				
, 0	Ď				21, 400				
Ö. 1	.4		٠.	٧	21, 500	1.	÷.	ι.	
0.1	o-				21.600				
0.1	D.				21, 700				
0.1	φ.				21,800		_		
o.	9.				21.900				
0, 2	C C				22,000				
o o	7 10.				22, 100	١.		Ι.	
o o	10.	-			22, 200		_		
e O	t 10.				22, 300				
o o	10.				22. 400				
4.0	3 10.				22.500			-	
4	10.		!		22, 600		:		
O G	5 10.				22, 700				
0,6	0 10.		- 4		22.800				
♥ 0	.01				22, 900				
0, 7	1 1.				23,000				
හ ර					23, 100		_		
D. 9	***	. 1	:	- 1	23. 200				
0 	11.				23.300				
+-1 ,4					23, 400				
cri on					23, 500	-			
5.4	11.				23, 600				
7. 5	23 11 11 11				23, 700				
ው ርብ	- m		17,800		23, 800		_		
ব	11.900		17.900		23, 900	1 .	٤.	ž.	AND THE PERSON OF THE PERSON O
FF VOLUME =	THE STORY INCHES								

e ge

ক

5, 900 HRS SUM OF THE UNIT HYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 9,78

PEAK DISCHARGE = 5,12 CFS RUNGFF = 2,270 INCHES TIME TO PEAK
TOTAL RAINFALL = 3,104 INCHES RUNGFF VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT 0,73
PRINT HYD ID=2

HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 101

FLOW	CFS	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.0	00.0	0, 00	00.0	00.00	0, 00	00.0	0,00	00.0	00.0	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.0	0.00		00.0		00.00	00.00	
프	HRS	24,000	24, 100	24, 200	24, 300	24.400	24.500	24, 600	24, 700	24, 800	24,900	25.000	25, 100	25, 200	23, 300	25, 400	25, 500	25, 600	25, 700	25.800	25. 900	26.000	.26. 100	26. 200	26, 300	26. 400	26, 500	25, 600	26, 700	25, 800	26. 900	27.000	27, 100	27. 200	27, 300	27, 400	27.500	27, 600	27, 700	27.800	27, 900	28, 000	28, 100	28, 200	28, 300	
F.02	00 LL.	0.00	0.00						:										-							٠	- + I																	00.00		
TIME	五年	18,000	18, 100	18, 200	18, 300	18,400	18, 500	18, 600	18.700	18.800	18,900	19,000	19, 100	19, 200	19.300	19, 400	19.500	19, 600	19, 700	19.800	19, 900	20,000	20, 100	20, 200	20.300	20, 400	20. 500	20. 600	20, 700	20.800	20.700	21.000	21, 100	21.200	21.300	21.400	21,500	21. 600	21, 700	21.800	21.900	22.000	22, 100	22, 200	22, 300	
FLOW	SFC SFC	60.0	0.05	o. og	0.02	o. 03	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	00.0	0. 00	00.0	0.00	00.0	00.00	00.0	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.0	0, 00	00	0.00	Ö. 00	00 0	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.0	;
一工活	LEG		12, 100		٠	12.400	12, 500	12, 600	12, 700	12, 800	12,900	13,000	13, 100	13, 200	13,300	13, 400	13, 500	13, 600	13, 700	13.800	13, 900	14,000		14, 200		14, 400	- 1	14.600	14.700	14, 900	14, 900	15.000	15, 100	19, 200	15.300	15, 400	15, 500	15. 600	15,700	13, 800	15.900	16,000	,	16.200	16.300) ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;
FLOW	0 11. 0	3.40		-i	1.69	1.60	1. 27	1, 03	0	0.30	0.75	0, 66	0.39	0.54	0.51	0.49	0, 48	0, 46	O, 45	0, 44	0, 44	o, 38	0.34	0, 3공	0.31	0, 30	0, 30	0, 29	O. 29	0, 29	O. 24	O. 28	0.28	ට : ට	୍. ଅନ୍ତ	0.28	O. 23		-			****		0, 15		
工产	or E	6,000	6, 100	4.200	8, 300	6.400	S. 500	6, 600	6, 700	6. 800	6.900	7.000	7, 100	7, 200	7, 300	7, 400	7, 500	7. 600	7,700	7, 800	7, 900	g. 000	8, 100	8.200	8, 300	8, 400	0.00	8, 600	ø. 700	9.80°	G. 400	9,000	9, 100	9, 200	9. 300 9.	9, 400	9, 500	9. 600	9. 700	9.800	9, 900		10, 100		10, 300	
FLOW	ល	0.00	0.00	Ď. 00	0, 00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	00.0	00.0	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	0.00	0, 00	00.0	00.0	0.01	0, 61	0.0	0.03	0,03	0.04	0,05	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.07	0,08	0.09	0.09	ර 13	0.14	0.15	0, 17	* * . }
꼬	വസ്	0.000	0, 100	0.200	0.300	0.400	0, 500	0. 600	0, 700	0.800	0. 900	1, 000	1, 100	1. 200	1, 300	1.400	1.500	1,600	1, 750	1.850	1, 900	2,000	2, 100	13.000 13.000	N. 300	2. 400	300	2, 600	৪. 700	ව. ම00	2, 900	3.000	3. 100	3. 200	3.300	3, 400	3, 500	3. 600	3, 700	3.800	3, 900	4, 000	4, 100	4, 200	4, 300	;

	000000000000000000000000000000000000000			0W FS . 00	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	000000
700 700 900 900	29. 500 29. 500 20. 500 29. 500 29. 500 29. 700	0006			24. 200 24. 300 24. 300 24. 500 24. 500 24. 500	
		i			0.000 0.000 0.0000 0.0000	
	23, 000 23, 100 23, 200 23, 300 23, 400 23, 500	' 3	5. 900 HRS		18. 200 18. 300 18. 400 18. 500 19. 600	
		i ai	TIME TO PEAK =	102 FLOW CFS 0.18 0.10	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	0.00 0.01 0.01 0.01
	17, 000 17, 100 17, 200 17, 300 17, 400 17, 500	77	. 72 . 79 . INCHES	E E GG	122 200 122 300 122 400 122 500 122 600	
0 0 0 0 0 4 4 4 4 4	00000000	0.14 0.14 0.14 CFS CFS NG=500	0 14 0 17 18	HYDRUGRAPH FLOW CFS 5.99 4.12	6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	1, 43 1, 35 1, 07 1, 07 1, 07
10, 500 10, 500 10, 500 10, 600	11. 000 11. 100 11. 200 11. 300 11. 400 11. 500 11. 500	11. 000 11. 000 2. 270 INCHE 4YD ND=500 15. 57 CF	0.00 10.	TIME HRS 6, 000 6, 100		
	C O C O O O U 4 W 4 4 4 8 4 4 W 4 4 8 1 1 0 0 10 8 8	ARGE RATE ID=3	ANT, N = 1 RAIN ANT, N = 1 ANT HYDRC RGE = 1D=4	30 L	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	to a contract of the
4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 4, 700 000, 4, 4, 4, 000, 6,	1	900 900 UNDE	SHAPE CONSTANT UNIT PEAK = SUM OF THE UNI PEAK DISCHARGE TOTAL RAINFALL		0. 800 0. 300 0. 400 0. 500 0. 500 0. 700	

4)

					, 44 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14 - 14													-																	***************************************											
																																	000													
25, 500	-0	25.700	25,800	000 500	000 70	0000	E.S. 100	28, 200	26, 300	28, 400	26. 500	26, 600	26. 700	26. BOO	26.900	000 70	27 100	27, 200	27, 300	27, 400	27, 500	27.600	27, 700	27, 800	27, 900	28,000	28, 100	28, 200	23, 300	28, 400	28, 500	28. 500	28, 700	28.800	28, 900	29, 000 11, 000	29, 100	29. 200	29.300	29.400	29, 500	29, 600	29. 700	29, 800	29.900	
												(1						1					- 1	i			0.00													
					•													,						1					- 7				22, 700		7							5				
					· i							٠.						Α.											;				0.00		1							: .				
		13, 700										14, 600	14, 700	14,800	14,900	15,000	15, 100	15, 200	15, 300	15.400	15, 500	15.600	15, 700	15, 300	15, 900	16, 900	14, 100	16, 200	15, 300	16, 400	16, 500	16, 600	16, 700	16.800	18. 900	17, 000	17, 100	17, 200	17, 300			17. 600		17,800	17,900	
	- 2	-	_						-			j .						1															0 0		- 1											
																																	10, 700			11,000	11.100	11,200	11.300	11. 400	11, 500	11.600	11,700	11.800	11.900	DEMONITOR T
	00.00	00.0																															ന റ ്									4. 56			a. 31	III HAIL INO
1. 500	1.400	1.700	1.800		1							1 4						7 .						٠.				4, 200		4.400	4, 500	4. 600	4. 700	4, 300	4. 900	9, 000 	5, 100	5. 200	5.300	5, 400	5, 500	5. 600	5, 700	5, 800	5, 900	

ID II=3 ADD HYD
PEAK FLOW = 23.88 CFS RUNGFF VOLUME = ADD HYD ID=5 HYD NG=510 ID 1=4
COMPUTE HYD ID=5 HYD NG=510 ID 1=4

E S 006 មា PEAK 0.49 9,98 1.507 INCHES TIME TO VOLUMETRICCOEFFICIENT HYDROGRAPH FROM AREA 216 Ö. (A) THYDROGRAPH CO-ORDINATES = 26.79 CFS RUNDFF = 3.104 INCHES RUNDFF Ö AB=0.0 AA=0.0 AB=0.0 CN*=78.6 IA=0 NI=120 RAIN CODE=-1 AB 79CFS SUM OF THE UNIT P PEAK DISCHARGE = TOTAL RAINFALL = INT HYD SHAPE CONSTANT. UNIT PEAK = 00000000000

	0000		1											.1									Minister of the second of the				MOJ.	30 ·	0 0	00 00	0.00	00.00	00.0	000	0.00
	27.900		- 1						1					1						÷			THE PARTY OF THE PARTY AND ADDRESS OF THE PARTY OF THE PA				TIME Ogi	五	14, 000 40, 100	54 500	24: 300 34: 300	24, 400	24. SOO	24, 600	100/ 450
	00.00		- 1						. i .					. !									MINISTER MINISTER CONTRACTOR OF MINISTER OF STREET				700	ម្រ ម	0 0 0 0	00.00	0000	00.0	00.00	0, 00	00.0
	21.900								;					ì						INCHES			was principle of principle and other principles of the principles		5. 000 HRS		TIME I	-	18, 000	ο σ	် တ	i mi	œ.	mi i	m
	00.0		:						.ì.		4									1. 668 ID II=5			Station State (1997) and the state of the st		ME TO PEAK = IENT 0.49	104	301	CFS	1.91	1.01	1,00	0.79	0.64	0.33	C 450
	15,900	٠Ô	a_{i}^{\dagger}	ġ.	ģ ų	i d	; ₄	o -c	~	Ä		Γ.	· ;	7	. 1		٠,			II=5 RUNGFF VOLUME = ID I=1	n L	TP=0, 258	e de la companya de la constante de la constante de la companya de la companya de la companya de la constante d		0.0 E 0.0	ŭ I	TIME	± 2	12,000	10 000	18, 300 19, 300	12, 400	12, 500	12,600	001 0
	हा है हिंदी हिंदी	 1.38	• ;					† m	7 -				(D)	D (· }	× 1 -			D I=1 ID 1	7 0	5 K=0.317 TP	enem degrand describes and the least the second state of the second		11 11 UL.	HYDROGRAP	MO"L	0 1 1 1 1	42,05	4.1	7 00 7 00 7 00				-{\text{v}' \text{y}' \text{-}
	9, 900			10,400					11.000	11,100	11.200	11.300	11.400	000		11.700		INCHE	TO THE REAL PROPERTY OF THE PR	HYD NO=: 50, 57	2 HYD NO=104 2.0 AB=0.0	CN*=78, & IA=0.18'	N CODE=-1 3,001 210FS	f	GRAPH C 42,05 C 3,104	VA	III	C E E	6.000 4.100	8. 100 100	4. 200 6. 300	6, 400	6.500	6, 500	000 %
i	0.87	0, 45	- 3	2 4 2 5			2 12		.) .	1.48			ca cai :		10. de	1 F	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•		FLOW = CD=C		1	RAIN FORSTANT N = PEAK = 68.2		NF THE UNIT HYDRO DISCHARGE == RAINFALL == 1D=2	A Angelon III	FLOW	ល្អ	0000	0.00	000	00 °C	00.00		
	006, 8	 4. 200		4 5 0 0 0 0 0 0		100				5, 100	5, 200	5, 300	W 400	0000	9 800	907.5	300 S	UNDEF			COMPUTE HYD		SHAPE COI		SUM OF TI PEAK DISO TOTAL RAI RINT HYD	and the adjust of management of the additional control and additional additional and additional additio	E E	HRS	0,000		0 100 100	0.400		0.600	00%

:


					and the second s																and in the second the second in the second s					-												•										
				000						.1 .					. , ;						. ł			٠.			1 .			•		. !					- !						. 1					
				1000 1000 1000	1 .										. i						. 1						1 .					. ?					. 1						- 3					
				00.0	1 .					.! .					- ,1						. f	ż					1 .		-			. 1					. ;								٠.			
	18, 900	19,000	19, 100	19, 300	19. 400	19, 500	19, 600	19, 700	19.800	20 000	100 100 100	20, 300	20.300	20.400	20, 500	20, 600	20,700	20.800 20.800	100 400 110 400	55.5	000	01:10	01.100	000	21.500	21, 700	21.800	21.900	22,000	22, 100	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	מייי פייי	99. 400	22, 600	22, 700	22, 800	22, 900	23, 000	23. 100	23, 200	23, 300	23, 400	33 400	1000 1000 1000	300 mm	1000 1000 1000		
				0 C	4 .								. ,		?						- (1 .					• !					- 4						. 1					
TO 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				0000																,	,:											- 1	*															
	£.4		o i	יין ניי יין יי	1 .																											- 1													ý se	į'n	į	840
				2000				-		· i											.:								ó	ं		sļ,	s c	j c	id	o	0	<u></u>		<u>,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,</u>	+~f						1, 509 INCHES	
	Ċ.	Ö	တ် (0 0 00 0	Ö	ó	o e	o i	် င	o o	0	0	O	0	Ċ	0		o c	0 0	o c) <) (śς	ЭĊ	ic	Ö		Ó	Ö	Ö	o c	5 C		· +-		<u>-</u>	4l	74	ci	લ	m I	m -	ກ່ເ	i n) f.,		OLUME =	CHARGE RATE
	0.900	1.000	1.100	1.300	1.400	1,500	. 600	1.700	000	2,000	20.100	200	2.300	2, 400	2.300	2. 600	5 700 10 700	0 00 0 00 0 0 0 0	200	9. COC	200		3, 200	000	007.00	n (200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	3, 800	3, 900	4.000	4, 100	4. 200 300	4. GCC	4, 400	4 400	4, 700	4.800	4, 900	5,000	5, 100	u. 1900	000 m	n s 004 n	000.0	000 v	88.5	000 m	MOFF	D MAS
					-					-					,																	Andrew Bred stemps														,		

					FLOW	ខ្មា) () ()	. 1	0.00				0.00				- 2			800								0.00				0.00
·					コアニ				24, 300																			24, 600			26, 900	
				and a second	FLOW	łΙ			0,01					_																1.0	0 0 0	4
INCHES			6.100 HRS	AND THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPER	1	O F	18, 000 18, 100		18, 300		18, 000 18, 600			. 900 000				١.		14, 900			20.000			20. 200 30. 300		. 5 .			20, 900	21,000
1,584 ID II=6			IME TO PEAK = CIENT 0.49	105	O.L.	Q.		4	1. 27	~~ (0 0	00	C	0(⊃ c 4	9 9 9	0.33	0.31	0 . 0 :	0, C 9, U 9, 4	: ci	0, 20	0.19	0.17	0.16	o c	z c	- C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C - C	0.11	0.10	0.00	O. O.
1.7	DA=38.39 TP=0.347		0.00 10 INCHES ETRICCOEFF	ī. I	III L	2007	12, 000	12 200	12, 300	004.00	10, 200	12.700	12.800	006.00	000 500			٠.	,	12, 800			14, 000	,							14,900	15.000
ID ITE ID	or=o. 165 8 K=o. 411		INATES = RUNDEF = VC	HYDROGRAP	Ş	3 I	0) C 0) C 0) C		10.73	Çr	이 번 대 번 대 번 대 번			13.03		50		1.					_			(a, c)	_		100 100 101		# 4 d	₽0 °₽
YD NG=530 90,37 CI #3 MYI	HYD ND=105 0 AB=0.0 8.6 1A=0.1;	998 CFS	HYDROGRAPH CO-GRDINATES 33.04 CFS RUNGFF 3.104 INCHES RUNG 10=4	THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	TIME	HAG	6,000 +	2007			9 5 9 5 9 5	6. 700 6. 700			7,000		7, 300			7, 600			•				0 C 2 C 3 C	2	307.00			9,000
FLOW =	4620	Z	UNIT	A PART OF THE PART	301		000				0 0 0		i) () ()			-		00.00			:				o 6	11 4	0.01		000	6. O.
	CO PUTE HYD	SHAPE CONSTANT UNIT PEAK =	SUM DE THE PEAK DISCH TOTAL RAINE			on or T	0 0 0 0 0 0		0.300	_	_	9, 700		0. 900			1.300	١.		1.800			1 .				0 400 1000	4.			2. 200	3, 000

0 0 0 0 0 0			1 .					ŧ.,									.i.							eren aramananum Municervivadella, opini et eren aramananum Municervivadella, opini et eren aramananum Municervi	2	: 03 1 U	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	000	9 6	00.00	0.00	00.0	0, 00	00.00	0.00
27, 300			1					,									è		29, 800					DO () LATER OF THE PROPERTY O	<u> </u>	i di	24, 000	24, 100	24, 200	24, 300	24,400	14, 000 18, 000	24 700	24, 800	24.900	25,000	원동, 100	38. 200
000			1 .					١.				, È					ι.	-						AND		: (3) LL) C	0.01 0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0,00	0.00	00.0	00.00
21, 300		91.700	1					i									Ť		E3. 800					man primary na az na n. y park m., mandadad na han na na da manana da manana manana na na na na na na na na na	ld E ⊢	1001	18,000	18, 100			18, 400		18, 600	18, 300			19, 100	19, 200
0.00			è ~					1 .				- 1					.!.					!	1.540 ID II=3	0	70	ະ ທ ປະຊຸດ -	Ca T T T	4, 50	3.61	7. BB	ણ . ભાર	1. 40 E	1.04	1.17	. co	0.91	0. 82	0.40
		15, 700	i .		,	,								17, 200					17,800	-			RUNCFF VOLUME = ID I=4	1 1	<u>X</u>	T T T	12,000	12, 100					12, 800					13, 200
⇒ () (7 th (r)	٠.			,		: -		_		- 1		મ છ મ – મ દ			.! .			o di	(M EL C)	0 1=4 ID I	4D=540	HYDROGR		t (f)	00 OC	07.				ก เ ก เ ก เ	48. 40 48. 40					27, 78
	000		9. 800		0000	_		1			0000	j -		3007 : 12		• •••	11.600		11,800		1. 510 INCHES	HYD NC=540	4.	enaktien manger, warend Admide was en ' - oo o	<u>.</u> +- +-	1 1 1	000 'e	1 .			6. 400		8 405 4 705					7, 200
		() () () ()										. 5		(u		_	3.77		T.	96.99	VOLUME = SCHARGE RATE	i	# 67도 3.4.5	6=01	100	s eo u u i U	00.0	5, 00					00.00			00.0		00 n
a a a a	1, 200			,			4, 300	4, 400	,		000	. 1	000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 000 00	007 6			ί.				TONOTH C	ADD HYD	PEAK	PRINT HYD	Li E j	i UI	000.0	t .	0.200				0.000				1.100	- :

.

ge 123													The state of the s										er o dende er gejelen i skende den fenken beken besenkenne de de en er			٠		and as a contrast of the design of the contrast of the contras		•		AND THE PROJUBLES AND A SECOND THE CONTRACTOR AND A							
e c	00.0						•		ŧ .				٠, ١					!										١.				- 1		 					
to Africa and the State of the	25. 400		-						i .									1					- 1			_						. 1	_						
THE REPORT OF THE PARTY OF THE	0.00			.' -					} -													-						٤.											
AMPART PER TO SEE TO SEE TO SEE THE PROPERTY OF THE SECOND SEEDS OF THE SECOND	19, 400								: .									.1	_														4.0						
American Control of the Control of t	0, 60												- 25															٠.					-						
Personal Control Contr	13. 400		200.00	13. 400	14,000	14, 100	DD 8 8 7	004.41 14.400	100		14, 700			001.81				-1	10 V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V V				a f			-		16, 900						000 11					
market waste at the second of	04 0 •0 ± •0 ± •0 ±	ini	٤.	-20	11	m i	. 4	່າຄ	u i					0.0		i oi																					m E		
terior to the second of the se	7, 400	1.800	000 K	7, 900	0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°0°	0.0		8 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	GON (B)	8. 600 1800	0 C 0 C 0 C 0 C	8, 900 900	\$ 000	0 (d)	0078 75	; 9; 3; 4; 0; 0; 0;	9, 300	9000	G (935.7	100 G	() () () () () () () () () () () () () (10, 400	10 300	0000000	် ဝ	10.900	,	200	11.300	- 1	000 	 دست	. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5.				
New of Paris, and the Control of the	0 0 0																		es e e e													ं		4 -6		: #	SCHARGE PAIN		
	1.400		1,700						1 .				!					. 3																	005	CHORE	Qued.	TEL SA	



Amy Zhuang

From: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 2:50 PM

To: Amy Zhuang **Cc:** Emma Bennett

Subject: RE: 60 Denzil Doyle - Water Quality Measures Inquiry

*** Exercise caution. This is an EXTERNAL email. DO NOT open attachments or click links from unknown senders or unexpected email. ***

Hi Amy,

It would appear that the site is outleting to the Monahan, which provides water quality. I would confirm with the City. The RVCA does not have any water quality control requirements for the subject site.

Thanks,

Eric Lalande, MCIP, RPP

Planner, RVCA 613-692-3571 x1137

From: Emma Bennett <emma.bennett@rvca.ca>
Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 2:07 PM
To: Eric Lalande <eric.lalande@rvca.ca>

Subject: FW: 60 Denzil Doyle - Water Quality Measures Inquiry

Importance: High

Hi Eric,

Maps of the property/area are attached. I'm forwarding the docs the Acardis/IBI Group provided.

Let me know if there's any way I can help more with these inquiries.

Emma

From: LRC Info <info@Irconline.com>
Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 11:03 AM
To: Emma Bennett <emma.bennett@rvca.ca>

Subject: FW: 60 Denzil Doyle - Water Quality Measures Inquiry

Importance: High

From: RVCA Info <info@rvca.ca>

Sent: Wednesday, March 8, 2023 9:20 AM

To: LRC Info <info@Irconline.com>

Subject: FW: 60 Denzil Doyle - Water Quality Measures Inquiry

Importance: High

From: Alexis Perrin < APerrin@mvc.on.ca > Sent: Tuesday, March 7, 2023 10:14 AM

To: Amy.Zhuang@ibigroup.com

Cc: RVCA Info <info@rvca.ca>; Ryan Magladry <rmagladry@IBIGroup.com>

Subject: FW: 60 Denzil Doyle - Water Quality Measures Inquiry

Importance: High

Good morning Amy,

I am forwarding this to the Rideau Valley Conservation Authority. It is within their jurisdiction.

Have a great day,

Alexis Perrin | Regulations Officer | Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority 10970 Highway 7, Carleton Place, ON K7C 3P1 www.mvc.on.ca | t. 613-253-0006, ext. 232 | f. 613-253-0122 | aperrin@mvc.on.ca



This e-mail originates from the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify me at the telephone number above or by return e-mail and delete this communication and any copy immediately. Thank you.

From: Amy Zhuang < Amy.Zhuang@ibigroup.com>

Sent: March 6, 2023 10:25 PM

To: Alexis Perrin < APerrin@mvc.on.ca >

Cc: Ryan Magladry <rmagladry@IBIGroup.com>

Subject: 60 Denzil Doyle - Water Quality Measures Inquiry

Importance: High

Hi Alexis,

Hope you had a great weekend! This is Amy from IBI Group. We are preparing the engineering design for 60 Denzil Doyle Court, which is a self storage facility in Michael Cowpland Business Development Park. This development will consist of 6 prefabricated metal storage buildings, including a rental office within Building A. We were wondering if any additional water quality control measures are required for this site?

Please see attached for the previous stormwater management report, and the latest servicing & grading plan for the site. Let me know if you need additional info. Thank you very much!

WATER QUALITY

With the proposed quantity control measures in mind, the water quality aspects of the development were addressed with the following conclusions being presented:

- The light industrial/business park type industries are considered to produce a relatively low level of pollutants.
- (2) The development is in the upper reaches of a large watershed draining to the Rideau River. The outlet from the site is to a municipal drain which is running at a very flat grade, thereby, presenting ample opportunity for pollutants to settle out.
- (3) On-site control of stormwater by parking lot and possibly roof top storage will result in a reduction of pollutant loadings.
- (4) Laboratory and field observations, indicate that installation of an orifice in the outlet of a

4

catchbasin with a sump, has brought about a greater retention of grit and other solids after a storm event than observed with a conventional storm sewer outlet. The constricted release of flow from the orifice causes stormwater to backup in the catchbasin thereby keeping the turbulent zone of the water away from the sump and also reducing velocities in the catchbasin. These actions facilitate settling of suspended solids into the sump.

Based on the above, it is felt that the proposed quantity control measures will also serve to ensure that the proposed development will not unduly effect the quality of water flowing from the site into Monahan Creek and thus to the Rideau River.

Amy Zhuang

Civil Engineer P.ENG.

Suite 500, 333 Preston Street Ottawa ON K1S 5N4 Canada tel +1 613 225 1311 ext 64080



APPENDIX E

- Grading Plan Drawing C-200
- Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan Drawing C-900

