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## Introduction

EXP was retained by B+H Architects on behalf of Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) to prepare a Traffic Impact Assessment (TIA) for the parking garage being constructed as a part of the Phase 1 1Door4Care (1D4C) hospital expansion located at 401 Smyth Road. The proposed parking garage is to be located on the northeast corner of the Ring Road (E-W) and Emergency Access Road Intersection as shown in Figure 1. The new parking garage is anticipated to house 1,050 parking spaces. Throughout this report the parking garage is considered to be the proposed development. The 1D4C building will have a separate and subsequent TIA completed and it will address the trip generation and travel impacts associated with it.

Figure 1: Site Location


## 1. Screening

A TIA screening form for the proposed development was completed to identify the needs of the TIA. A copy of the completed screening form is attached to this report as Appendix $\boldsymbol{A}$ and the findings are as follows:

## TRIP GENERATION

The proposed parking garage is anticipated to include 1,050 parking spaces. On its opening, the parking garage will replace existing surface parking lots currently used to service existing hospital trips. These surface parking lots will be displaced by the parking garage and the 1D4C building construction. However, given a pent-up demand for CHEO staff parking passes and room within the new garage to accommodate them before the occupation of the 1D4C building, some new vehicle trips will be generated. As a result, building the new parking garage will create more than 60 new vehicle trips; thus, it triggers the trip generation component of the TIA.

The parking garage is not in a design priority area or transit-oriented development zone and does not propose a new driveway to a boundary street; thus, the location triggers are not satisfied.

SAFETY The proposed development does not trigger any of the safety triggers.

Upon review of the City's screening assessment, EXP has confirmed the need to complete a TIA for the proposed development.

## 2. Scoping

### 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions

### 2.1.1 Proposed Development

CHEO is planning to expand hospital facilities within the existing CHEO campus. This includes a proposed treatment center for children called 1Door4Care. As shown in Figure 1, the building is anticipated to displace an existing surface parking lot currently in that location. It is anticipated that the 1D4C building will be occupied by 2027. As part of this expansion, a new $33,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ parking garage will also be constructed within the CHEO campus and it represents the "proposed development" in this TIA.

The parking garage will be constructed in 2024, prior to the 1D4C expansion that is expected to be complete by 2027.

As shown in Figure 1, the parking garage is anticipated to be located in the northwest quadrant of the intersection of General Hospital Access Road and Ring Road (E-W). The parking garage is expected to be a 7 -storey building (including an open-air roof) that houses 1,050 parking spaces. The first two floors of the proposed structure will service visitor parking demand and the 5 floors above will service staff parking demand. It is anticipated that this parking garage will be constructed and open for use by 2024.

On it's opening, the parking garage will replace Lot E , an existing 270 stall gravel surface parking lot, and house an additional 286 surface parking stalls from Lot B which will be displaced by the 1D4C building. Figure $\mathbf{2}$ illustrates the parking lot impact due to the parking garage and the future 1D4C building construction.

Figure 2: Parking Facilities


The site is currently zoned as Major Institutional (I2) Zone. The purpose of the Zone I2 is to:

- Ensure that major institutional uses such as hospitals, colleges, and universities are located at appropriate locations within areas designated as General Urban Area, Central Area, and Mixed-Use Centre in the Official Plan;
- Ensure that these large-scale high-traffic generating institutions locate only on large parcels of land, with direct access to an arterial road and near rapid transit stations and/or service;
- Impose regulations that ensure that the size and intensity of these uses are compatible with adjacent uses; and
- Permit minor institutional uses and provide for a range of ancillary service uses.

Table 1 outlines the proposed land uses that will be referenced for this analysis as identified and obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE) Trip Generation Manual $11^{\text {th }}$ Edition. Please note that the parking garage is not anticipated to generate any new trips on its own but will facilitate the need for a pent-up demand that will generate new auto trips to the campus. There are up to 360 staff on an existing parking waitlist. It is assumed spare spaces in the parking garage will be filled by this waitlist. Information provided by the Trip Generation Manual with assist in identifying the share of the 360 staff parking volumes occurring at the peak travel times and the splits in and out of the garage.

Table 1: Proposed Land Use

| Land Use Code | Size | Land Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 610 | $33,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ | Hospital |

Vehicle access to the parking garage is anticipated to be provided from Ring Road (E-W) via a full movement access.

### 2.1.2 Existing Conditions

## Roads and Traffic Control

The characteristics of the roads and intersections in the vicinity of the subject site are described below. Although an analysis of all intersections identified below has been undertaken, the focus of the TIA is to address the operation of those intersections that fall under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa and not those on the hospital road network.

- Smyth Road
- Smyth Road is a four-lane east-west running arterial road which features a posted speed limit of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The road features an urban cross-section with sidewalks on both sides of the road. Two signalized intersections serve the overall hospital and medical campus (CHEO/Ottawa General Hospital): Smyth Road / Ring Road (N-S) / South Haven Place (more focused to serve CHEO) and Smyth Road / General Hospital Access Road. Smyth Road / Ring Road (N-S) / South Haven Place features no turn lanes on Smyth Road. Smyth Road / General Hospital Access Road (more focused on serving OGH) features an eastbound left-turn lane and a westbound right-turn lane. Smyth Road is classified as a Spine Cycling Route and Truck Route by the City of Ottawa.
- Ring Road
- Ring Road is a two-lane road with a posted speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ that circles the CHEO and the General Hospital Campus. Portions of Ring Road have sidewalk; however, it is not a continuous network. The northern portion of Ring Road features a multi-use path on its north side. The intersection of Ring Road (N-S) / Ring Road (EW) in the southwest area of the campus is stop-controlled in the E-W direction. The Smyth Road / Ring Road (N-S) / South Haven Place intersection is signalized with southbound left and right turn lanes. North and southbound thru movements are not permitted at this intersection.
- General Hospital Access Road
- General Hospital Access Road is a north-south running local road that connects Ring Road to Smyth Road and provides access to the Ottawa Hospital General Campus. The road features sidewalks on both sides of the road. The intersection of Smyth Road / General Hospital Access Road features two southbound left turn lanes and one right turn lane. The intersection with Ring Road ( $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ ) is stop-controlled in the southbound, eastbound, and westbound directions, and is free-flowing in the northbound direction.
- Hospital Link Road
- Hospital Link Road is an east-west running two-lane local road with a posted speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. The road connects Ring Road to Alta Vista Road. There is no sidewalk along Hospital Link Road; however, there is a bidirectional multiuse path on its south side.

The existing lane configuration and traffic controls for the study area road network are presented in Figure 3.

Figure 3: Existing Lane Configuration and Traffic Controls


## Walking and Cycling

Walking and cycling facilities are somewhat limited within and around the CHEO campus. Existing facilities are as follows:

- Smyth Road features sidewalk on both sides of the road.
- The northern portion of Ring Road has a bi-directional multi-use path on its north side.
- Sidewalks are present intermittently along portions of Ring Road.


## Existing Transit Operations

The following transit routes pass by or enter the CHEO Campus:

- Route 45: Hospital to Hurdman \& N Rideau
- Route 45 is a route that runs between CHEO Campus and Hurdman Station. It runs 7 days a week with 15minute weekday headways and 30-minute weekend headways. In the vicinity of the CHEO Campus, bus stops are located in the eastbound and northbound direction of Ring Road.
- Route 55: Elmvale to Westgate
- Route 55 is a route that runs between Elmvale and Westgate, stopping at the CHEO front door as part of its route. It runs 7 days a week with 15 -minute weekday headways and 30 -minute weekend headways. In the vicinity of the CHEO Campus, a few bus stops are located along Ring Road and Smyth Road.
- Route 609: De La Salle to Elmvale
- Route 609 is a route that runs between De La Salle and Elmvale, stopping along Smyth Road as part of its route. It runs a limited service on weekdays only. In the vicinity of the CHEO Campus, a few bus stops are located along Smyth Road.

Snippets of the three route maps associated with these routes are shown in Figure 4.
Figure 4: OC Transpo Transit Route Maps 45, 55, and 609


## Existing Traffic Management Measures

There are no existing traffic management measures currently provided near the site.

## Traffic Volumes

Traffic volumes at the study intersections were provided by the City of Ottawa's Public Works Department or taken from a CHEO expansion traffic study completed by Stantec in June 2021. The City of Ottawa traffic counts and the traffic volume figures from the Stantec report are attached as Appendix B. Turning movement counts were collected during weekday AM and PM peak periods. Table 2 shows the month and year that traffic counts were collected.

Table 2: Collected Turning Movement Counts

| Location | Month / Year | Source* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Ring Road (N-S) / Hospital Link Road | February / 2020 | Stantec Traffic Study |
| Ring Road (N-S) / CHEO Access Road | February / 2020 | Stantec Traffic Study |
| Ring Road (N-S) / Ring Road (E-W) | February / 2020 | Stantec Traffic Study |
| Ring Road (N-S) / Smyth Road | October / 2022 | City of Ottawa Traffic Count |
| CHEO Access Road / Ring Road (E-W) | February / 2020 | Stantec Traffic Study |
| Emergency Access Road / Ring Road (E-W) | February / 2020 | Stantec Traffic Study |
| General Hospital Access Road / Ring Road (E-W) | February / 2020 | Stantec Traffic Study |
| General Hospital Access Road / Smyth Road | December / 2019 | City of Ottawa Traffic Count |

*Stantec Traffic Study is the 1Door4Care: Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) 1Door4Care Project - Transportation Study (June 2021) prepared by Stantec.
To develop 2022 traffic volumes, a 1\% annual growth rate was applied to the traffic counts collected prior to 2022. To develop the $1 \%$ growth rate, the City of Ottawa's long-range model (Exhibit 2.11 of the 2013 TMP) was used to provide the growth rate to/from the inner suburbs between 2011 and 2031.

It should be noted that the growth rate was only applied to through traffic along Smyth Road as traffic growth on the CHEO campus is largely based on the expansion of on-site services and facilities. Figure 5 illustrates the Existing 2022 traffic volumes at the study area intersections.

Figure 5: Existing 2022 AM and PM Peak Hour Volumes


## Collision History

Collision data was provided by the City of Ottawa for the period of 2016 to 2020 along Smyth Road. Collision data was not available within the hospital campus as these are private roads. The collision data was reviewed to determine if there are any collision patterns during the five (5) year period. Table 3 provides a summary of the collision data. The raw collision data can be found in Appendix C.

Table 3: Collision Data Summary

|  | Collision Type | Ring Road (N-S) / Smyth Road | General Hospital Access / Smyth Road | Smyth Road between Ring Road (N-S) and General Hospital Access |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total | All | 17 | 18 | 5 |
| Classification | Non-Fatal Injury | 4 | 1 | 2 |
|  | Property Damage Only | 13 | 16 | 3 |
|  | Non-Reportable | - | 1 | - |
| Collision Type | Rear End | 8 | 8 | 2 |
|  | Sideswipe | 3 | 5 | 2 |
|  | Turning Movement | 5 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Angle | 1 | - | - |
|  | SMV Other | - | 1 | - |
| Driver Action | Following Too Close | 6 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Failed to yield right-of-way | 6 | 1 | - |
|  | Improper Lane Change | 2 | 1 | - |
|  | Speed too fast for condition | 1 | - | - |
|  | Lost Control | - | 3 | - |
|  | Disobeyed Traffic Control | - | 1 | - |
|  | Improper Turn | - | 2 | - |
|  | Driving Properly | - | 1 | - |
|  | Unknown | 2 | 5 | 2 |
|  | Other | - | - | 2 |
| Environment | Clear | 12 | 14 | 4 |
|  | Rain | 3 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Snow | 2 | 2 | - |
| Light | Dawn | 1 | 2 | - |
|  | Daylight | 12 | 10 | 2 |
|  | Dusk | 1 | 2 | 1 |
|  | Dark | 3 | 4 | 2 |

The collision data presented in Table 3 found that approximately 1 in 5 collisions that occurred along this section of Smyth Road resulted in a non-fatal injury, suggesting the majority of vehicles are travelling at low enough speeds so as not to cause bodily harm.

There were no identifiable collision patterns in the provided data which suggests there is not any specific area of concern. The main type of collision was rear-end ( $45 \%$ ) followed by sideswipe ( $25 \%$ ) and turning movement ( $25 \%$ ). The most common type of driver action was following too close ( $28 \%$ ) or failing to yield the right-of-way ( $18 \%$ ). The majority of collisions occurred in clear weather ( $75 \%$ ) during the daytime ( $60 \%$ ).

### 2.1.3 Planned Conditions

## Planned Projects

Based on the City of Ottawa's 2013 Transportation Master Plan, the following transportation projects nearby the proposed development are scheduled to occur. Please note these projects are listed under the Road Network Concept plans and therefore are not anticipated to be finalized by the study's ultimate horizon year.

- Alta Vista Transportation Corridor
- Bus / High Occupancy vehicle lanes and transit signal priority between Riverside Drive and Ottawa Health Sciences Centre.
- New four-lane road between Nicholas Street / Highway 417 interchange and Riverside Drive.
- New four-lane road (including two peak-period bus lanes) between the Ottawa Health Sciences Centre and Walkley Road.
- Smyth Road
- Transit signal priority and queue jump lanes between Alta Vista Transportation Corridor and St. Laurent Boulevard.


## Planned Developments

Table 4 lists development applications that were identified on the City of Ottawa's Development Application Search Tool.

Table 4: Development Application Summary

| Location | Type | Year |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 700 Coronation | 4-storey, 35-unit residential building with 47 parking spaces. | Unknown |
| 355 Everest | 8-storey mid-rise apartment building with 101 units and 3 levels of <br> underground parking with 108 spaces. | 2020 |
| 1967 Riverside | Infill of the existing hospital campus with a continuum of care seniors <br> community consisting of a Long-Term Care Home (256 beds) in Phase <br> 1, and a 15-storey registered retirement home (270 beds) and shared <br> amenity space in the second phase. | Unknown |
| 200 Steamline <br> 230 Steamline <br> 260 Steamline | A seven-building high-rise development to be constructed in three <br> phases. The first phase of the proposal consists of two buildings, 15 <br> and 22 storeys high, with a total of 414 units. When phase 3 is <br> completed, a total of 1,890 units will be constructed. | Phase 1:2021 <br> Phase 2: 2027 <br> Phase 3: 2031 |
| 1971 St-Laurent | Three 17-storey residential use buildings with at-grade residential and <br> amenity space and public park space all fronting on St. Laurent Blvd. <br> Parking is provided at-grade and within a proposed new multi-level <br> above-ground parking garage. | Unknown |

### 2.2 Study Area and Time Periods

### 2.2.1 Study Area

The proposed study area for this proposed development is shown in Figure 6 and includes the following nine (9) intersections:

- Ring Road (N-S) / Hospital Link Road (City jurisdiction)
- Ring Road (N-S) / CHEO Access Road
- Ring Road (N-S) / Ring Road (E-W)
- Ring Road (N-S) / Smyth Road (City jurisdiction)
- CHEO Access Road / Ring Road (E-W)
- Emergency Access Road / Ring Road (E-W)
- Parking Garage Entrance / Ring Road (E-W)
- General Hospital Access Road / Ring Road (E-W)
- General Hospital Access Road / Smyth Road (City jurisdiction)

Figure 6: Study Intersections


### 2.2.2 Time Periods

The proposed scope of the transportation assessment includes the following analysis time periods:

- Weekday AM peak hour of roadway
- Weekday PM peak hour of roadway


### 2.2.3 Horizon Years

The scope of the transportation assessment proposes the following horizon years:

- 2022 existing conditions
- 2024 future background conditions
- 2024 total future conditions (parking garage build-out)

A future separate TIA that evaluates the 1D4C building impacts will be completed at a subsequent date. As the 1D4C building will be built within three years of the parking garage opening the 5 -year future horizon period is not being analyzed as part of this TIA. That time period will be reflected on and addressed in the 1D4C building TIA.

### 2.3 Exemption Review

The Exemptions Review table from the City of Ottawa Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines is summarized below in Table 5. Many elements are exempt as this TIA is only reviewing the parking garage. Another TIA will need to be completed when assessing the 1D4C building.

Table 5: Exemptions Review

| Module | Element | Exemption Considerations | Exempt? <br> (Yes/No) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Design Review Component |  |  |  |
| 4.1. Development <br> Design | 4.1.2. Circulation and Access | Only required for site plans | No |
|  | 4.1.3. New Street Networks | Only required for plans of subdivisions | Yes |
| 4.2. Parking | 4.2.1. Parking Supply | Only required for site plans | No |
|  | 4.2.2. Spillover Parking | Only required for site plans where parking is 15\% <br> below unconstrained demand | No |
| Network Impact Component |  |  |  |
| 4.5. Transportation <br> Demand <br> Management | All Elements | Not required for site plans expected to have fewer <br> than 60 employees and/or students on location at <br> any given time | Yes |
| 4.6. Neighbourhood <br> Traffic Management | 4.6.1. Adjacent <br> Neighbourhoods | Only required when the development <br> relies on local or collector streets for <br> access and total volumes exceed ATM <br> capacity thresholds | Yes |
| 4.8. Network <br> Concept | Only required when proposed development <br> generates more than 200 person-trips during the <br> peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume <br> permitted by establishing zoning | Yes |  |

## 3. Forecasting

### 3.1 Development Generated Travel Demand

### 3.1.3 Trip Generation and Mode Shares

## Trip Generation Rates

Trip generation for this TIA is unique in that the number of staff parking passes available through CHEO will dictate the number of new trips being generated with the introduction of the parking garage. The remaining trips destined to the parking garage will include those form the displaced parking lots due to construction. These trips already exist and will form part of the background volumes. The share of staff verses visitors parking has been kept constant and transfer to the new garage so there will ne no new visitor parking either. Despite knowing the number of parking passes available, further information is still required to determine the distribution of those trips through out the day and within the peaks needs to be determined as does the split of trips into and out of the garage.

Table 6 outlines the proposed rates that will be applied to the new parking pass staff. Rates were obtained from the Institute of Transportation Engineer's (ITE) Trip Generation Manual 11 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Edition. It was assumed Code 610 - Hospital would be the most appropriate proposed land use.

As previously stated, the parking garage is not anticipated to generate any new person trips. However, new trips will come from the 360 staff on an existing parking waitlist. These staff currently use an alternative mode (transit, vehicle passenger, cycle, or walk) or park their vehicles outside the hospital campus in the adjacent neighborhoods. With the construction of the parking garage, it is anticipated there will be a modal shift from transit / vehicle passenger /cycling / walking to driving as parking spaces in the parking garage will be filled by this waitlist.

Furthermore, the Ottawa General Hospital and CHEO have separate parking facilities with on-site signage that directs drivers to their own facilities. Visitors will follow the signs, so it is anticipated that no further trips will be generated. For staff parking, each of the two hospitals manage their own parking structure and they cater exclusively to their own staff. Given this, we do not see those attending OGH to use the CHEO parking structure and vice versa.

Table 6: Trip Generation Rates

| Land Use Code | Employees | Peak Hour | Vehicle Trip Rate Per Employee | Entering | Exiting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hospital (610) | 360 | AM | 0.28 | 72\% | 28\% |
| Hospital (610) | 360 | PM | 0.28 | 30\% | 70\% |

## Future Mode Share Targets

The CHEO parking garage is located in the Inner Area as defined by the City of Ottawa's 2013 Transportation Master Plan. Based on information in the Transportation Master Plan, in 2011 the Inner Area had a transit mode share of 42\% and 20\% for trips going to and coming from the Inner Area. By 2031 this is expected to minorly increase (approx. 2\%).

The City of Ottawa typically requires TIAs to develop mode share targets for proposed developments. However, mode share targets have not been developed for this TIA as a parking garage is only going to service vehicle trips. When a TIA is completed for the CHEO facility, mode share targets can be further explored.

## Vehicle Trip Generation

Using the rates noted in Table 6, EXP estimated the number of site-generated auto-trips. The estimated site-generated auto trips are shown in Table 7. Also, the City of Ottawa's typical method of calculating person-trips was not completed as the proposed development is a parking garage and will only serve auto trips.

| Land Use Code |  | Weekday AM Peak Hour |  |  | Weekday PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Trip Type | Total | In | Out | Total | In | Out |
|  | Auto Trips | 101 | 73 | 28 | 101 | 30 | 71 |

While 360 staff are on the parking waitlist, the ITE auto trip rate was still applied to the number of employees as all vehicle trips are not anticipated to occur during the peak hours and are expected to be spread throughout the day.

### 3.1.2 Trip Distribution

The distribution of site-generated traffic entering/exiting the site was developed using traffic data from the intersections of Smyth Road / Ring Road (N-S), Smyth Road / General Hospital Access Road, and Hospital Link Road / Ring Road (N-S). Key movements from these traffic counts were used to develop the proportion of traffic entering/exiting the site from each direction. The trip distribution percentages for site-generated traffic are presented in Table 8.

Table 8: Trip Distribution Percentages

|  | Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour \% | PM Peak Hour \% |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entering | Smyth Road / Ring Road (N-S) | EBL | 40 | 36 |
|  | Smyth Road / General Hospital Access Road | WBR | 47 | 55 |
|  | Hospital Link Road / Ring Road (N-S) | EBR | 13 | 9 |
|  | Smyth Road / Ring Road (N-S) | SBR | 16 | 45 |
|  | Smyth Road / General Hospital Access Road | SBL | 64 | 45 |
|  | Hospital Link Road / Ring Road (N-S) | NBL | 20 | 10 |

### 3.1.3 Trip Assignment

Site-generated trips were then assigned to the road network based on the proportions developed in Section 3.1.2. The AM and PM peak hour site-generated traffic volumes are presented in Figure 7.
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Figure 7: AM and PM Peak Hour Site Generated Trips
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### 3.2 Background Network Travel Demands

3.2.1 Transportation Network Plans

Transportation network improvements are planned to occur near the development. However, as described in Section 2.1.3, these improvements are not anticipated to occur until well after the opening of the proposed parking garage. As such, adjustments to traffic volumes and the road network to account for these improvements have not been made within the TIA.

### 3.2.2 Background Growth

To develop the 2024 background traffic volumes, a $1 \%$ annual growth rate was applied to the 2022 traffic volumes.

To develop the 1\% growth rate, the City of Ottawa's long-range model (Exhibit 2.11 of the 2013 TMP) was used to estimate the growth rate to/from the inner suburbs between 2011 and 2031.

It should be noted that the growth rate was only applied to through traffic along Smyth Road as traffic growth on the CHEO campus is largely based on the expansion of on-site services and facilities. Figure 8 illustrates the Background 2024 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes at the study area intersections. Figure 9 illustrates the Total (Background + Site Generated) 2024 AM and PM peak hour traffic volumes.

### 3.2.3 Other Developments

Developments that are currently under construction or in the development approval process are listed in Table 4. Due to their locations and after reviewing available TIAs conducted for the developments, the developments are not anticipated to have a significant impact on the study area identified in this TIA. As such, trips generated by these developments have not applied and have been considered as part of the background growth (i.e., the $1 \%$ annual growth rate applied).

### 3.2.4 Redistribution of Displaced Parking Trips

With the parking garage and 1D4C displacing existing surface parking lots (Lot B and E ), those lot trips were reassigned on the internal road network to the parking garage.

### 3.3 Demand Rationalization

Demand rationalization is carried out when estimated future peak hour demand on the transportation network exceeds future capacity. Given the relatively small number of trips being added onto the road network in this TIA, it is not anticipated to be required. Demand rationalization has not been applied at this time but will be considered if appropriate as TDM measures could be effective on the behaviour of CHEO staff.

Figure 8: Background 2024 AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes
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Figure 9: Total 2024 AM and PM Peak Hour Traffic Volumes


## 4. Analysis

### 4.1 Development Design

The proposed development and its transportation network elements were reviewed in order to ensure that a safe and efficient design has been proposed that will encourage walking, cycling, and transit use.

Pedestrian facilities will be provided between the proposed parking garage building entrance and the CHEO hospital facilities. A connection to the sidewalk along Ring Road (E-W) will be provided, as shown on the site plan. Sidewalks will be depressed and continuous across the study area road network, in accordance with City standards.

Bicycle parking will also be facilitated at the parking garage. It will be located at the south side of the garage and will be in accordance wit the minimum requirement of the City's Zoning By-Law. A copy of the proposed site plan is included in Appendix E.

OC Transpo's service will not have its riders destined to the parking garage so the associated design features for transit do not come into play. However, if one feels they should be in play, the guidelines for peak period service to provide service within a five minute $(400 \mathrm{~m})$ walk of the proposed development should be confirmed. Stops \#1808, \#7072, \#1806, and \#7234 are all located within 400 m actual walking distance (measured using legal crosswalks) of the proposed development. As stated previously, the nearest bus stops to the subject site are described in Section 2.1.2 and shown in Figure 4.

A review of the Transportation Demand Management (TDM) - Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist has been conducted. A copy of the TDM checklist is included in Appendix F. All required TDM-supportive design and infrastructure measures in the TDM checklist are met.

### 4.2 Parking

The parking garage itself does not generate a need for parking. It is the medical related buildings on campus that generate the parking needs. However, if one was to apply the by-law rates to identify parking requirements the following would come into play. The subject site is located in Area C on Schedule 1 and 1A of the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-Law. Minimum vehicular and bicycle parking rates for the proposed uses are identified and are summarized in the following Table 9.

Table 9: Parking Requirement Per Zoning By-Law

| Land Use | Rate | Units/GFA | Required |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Minimum Vehicle Parking |  |  |  |
| Hospital | 0.7 per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area | 33,500 m ${ }^{2}$ | 234.5 |
| Proposed Vehicle Parking |  |  | 1,050 Total |
| Minimum Bicycle Parking |  |  |  |
| Hospital | 1 per $1000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area | 33,500 m ${ }^{2}$ | 33.5 |
|  |  | Proposed Bicycle Parking | 40 Total |

The proposed development will include 1,050 parking spaces in a parking garage accessible via Ring Road (E-W), meeting the minimum Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation parking requirements. As the proposed supply of on-site parking meets or exceeds the By-law requirement, no further review of vehicular parking is required.

As was the case for vehicle parking, bicycle parking would not apply for a parking garage. However, if bicycle parking was calculated for the garage the proposed development will include a total of 40 bicycle parking spaces, meeting the minimum Zoning By-law 2008-250 Consolidation parking requirements for all land uses in the Site Plan.

The TIA guidelines identify the need to review spillover parking when the parking supply is $15 \%$ below demand. As the 1,050 proposed parking spaces are exceeded the required demand, a review of spillover parking is not required for the TIA.

### 4.3 Boundary Street Design

This section provides a review of the boundary streets using complete streets principles. The Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) guidelines produced by IBI Group in October 2015 were used to evaluate the levels of service for the boundary roadways for each mode of transportation. Schedule B of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan identifies entire study area road networks as being within the General Urban Area.

Targets for Pedestrians, Bicyclists, Transit, and Truck LOS for the boundary roadways adhere to those outlined in Exhibit 22 of the MMLOS guidelines. The boundary streets review evaluates the MMLOS for all boundary roadways based on existing conditions. Table 10 summarizes the findings of the Segment MMLOS for Existing (2022) conditions.

Table 10: Segment MMLOS - Existing (2022) Conditions

| LEVEL OF SERVICE BY MODES |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Segments | Pedestrian (PLOS) | Bicyclist (BLOS) | Transit (TLOS) | Truck (TkLOS) |
| Hospital Link Road | B | B | D | C |
| CHEO Access Road | B | B | D | C |
| Emergency Access Road | B | B | D | C |
| General Hospital Access Road | B | B | D | C |
| Smyth Road | C | B | D | B |
| Ring Road ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ ) | B | B | D | C |
| Ring Road (E-W) | F | B | E | C |
| Target | C | B | D | E |

Given the development is an urban general area, the target level of service for pedestrians and bicyclist is high (PLOS ' C ' and BLOS ' B '). As shown in Table 10, the target levels of service for pedestrians and transit are not met for Ring Road (E-W), however this is only a temporary condition and is expected to be significantly improved prior to the horizon year of this study with the development of the 1D4C building construction with surrounding road and landscape updates.. Detailed Segment MMLOS calculations can be found in Appendix G.

### 4.4 Access Intersections Design

The proposed parking garage building will be served by one entry/exit (allowing for two lane egress / two lane ingress) along Ring Road (E-W).

Section 25 (c) of the City of Ottawa's Private Approach By-Law identifies a requirement for two-way accesses driveway to have a width no greater than 9 m , as measured at the street line. Section 107 (1)(a) of the Zoning By-Law identifies a minimum width requirement of 6.7 m for a two-way driveway to a parking lot. The proposed access on Ring Road is approximately 15 m in width, measured at the property line, thereby meeting the requirements.

Section 25 (o) of the Private Approach By-Law identifies a requirement to provide a minimum spacing of 3 m between the nearest edge of the private approach and the property line, as measured at the street line. Due to the proximity of the site to the intersection of the Ring Road and Emergency Access Road it was suggested that the access to the subject property be as far east of the Ring Road and Emergency Access Road intersection as possible.

Intersection sight distance (ISD) at the proposed access has been determined using the TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads. The ISD for the access, for a design speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ( $10 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ above the posted speed limit), is as follows:

- Left Turn from Ring Road (E-W): 70 m
- Right Turn from Ring Road (E-W): 80 m

The required ISD for a passenger vehicle to turn left of right from the proposed access is shown in Figure 10.

Figure 10: Ring Road (E-W) Access Intersection Sight Distance


The stopping sight distance (SSD) requirement for a design speed of $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ is 65 m for vehicles turning left or right at the access. There is slight horizontal curvature along Ring Road ( $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ ) east of the proposed building entrance, however, as demonstrated in Figure 15, the ISD is not impacted. As such, it can be found that the required ISD and SSD at the access are adequate. Available sightlines are within recommended guidelines to allow safe all directional access to the development.

### 4.5 Transit

The transit trips are not anticipated to be generated by the subject parking garage building specifically. As described in Section 2.1.2, OC Transpo routes \#45 and \#55 travel on 15-minute headways during the weekdays, 30 -minute headways during the weekend. The existing transit services in the study area are anticipated to be sufficient to accommodate the demand from the proposed development.

### 4.6 Intersection Design

### 4.6.3 Existing Intersection MMLOS Analysis

This section provides a review of the signalized study area intersections using complete streets principles. The MMLOS guidelines produced by IBI Group in October 2015 were used to evaluate the LOS of the signalized study area intersections for each mode of transportation. The policy related area types for the study area intersections are described as follows:

- Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S): General Urban Area;
- Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road: General Urban Area.

The following Table 11 summarizes the findings of the MMLOS intersection analysis. Detailed intersection MMLOS calculations are included in Appendix H.
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Table 11: Intersection MMLOS Summary
LEVEL OF SERVICE BY MODES

| Intersection | Pedestrian <br> (PLOS) | Bicyclist <br> (BLOS) | Transit <br> (TLOS) | Truck <br> (TkLOS) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S) | D | D | F | F |
| Target | C | B | D | D |
| Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road | D | D | F | A |
| Target | C | B | D | D |

## Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S)

There are limited opportunities to improve the current PLOS of each approach without reducing the number of travel lanes or restricting turning movements. The level of comfort can be increased by implementing zebra-striped crosswalks at each approach. There is also limited opportunity in improving the delay score for pedestrians without incurring major delays for vehicles.

The BLOS is dependent on the number of travel lanes and operating speed. All approaches do not meet the target BLOS of C. Implementation of MUP on both north and south side on Smyth Road will enhance the cyclist user's convenience and this can be improved to the target of BLOS B.

The north approach does not meet the target TLOS of D. The TLOS is based on the average signal delay experienced by transit vehicles at each approach. The poor TLOS is a result of the average delay which includes travel time from end of queue to entering the intersection, and this will exceed more than 50 seconds at north approach. Reduction of traffic demands at the intersection would improve this level of service as would implementation of some form of transit signal priority such as a queue jump lane. The implementation of continuous bus lanes on Smyth Road would also improve the TLOS beyond the target TLOS of $D$.

The TkLOS is dependent on the number of lanes in each direction and the curb lane width. TkLOS could be improved to the target of $D$ if the wider turning radii is provided at the south approach.

## Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road

There is limited opportunity in improving the delay score without incurring major delays for vehicles. The east approach has a divided cross-section with median. Regardless of the median on the east approach, there are limited opportunities to improve the current PLOS without reducing the number of travel lanes or restricting turning movements. The level of comfort can be increased by implementing zebra-striped crosswalks on each approach.

As this intersection is a T-intersection, there is no space available to implement a two-stage left-turn bike box for cyclists coming from the west approach. Two-stage left turn bike boxes can be implemented at the north and east approaches. A jug handle and crossride for cyclists coming from the west approach could be implemented along with the installation of a bicycle traffic signal. The implementation of a higher order cycling facility (e.g. cycle track) would improve the BLOS of this intersection based on right turn characteristics.

The north approach does not meet the target TLOS of D. The TLOS is based on the average signal delay experienced by transit vehicles on each approach. The poor TLOS is a result of the average delay which includes travel time from end of queue to entering the intersection, and this will exceed more than 40 seconds on the north approach. Reduction of traffic demands at the intersection would improve this level of service as would the implementation of some form of transit signal priority such as a queue jump lane. The implementation of continuous bus lanes on Smyth Road would also improve the TLOS beyond the target TLOS of D.

Smyth Road and General Hospital Access Road intersection will meet the City's target, operating with TkLOS of A.

### 4.9.2 Background Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been completed for the 2024 background traffic conditions. The intersection parameters used in the analysis are consistent with the TIA guidelines (Saturation Flow rate: 1800 vphpl ${ }^{1}$, Peak Hour Factor: 1.0 for future conditions). Table 13 summarizes the results of the Synchro analysis for the 2024 background traffic conditions. Detailed Synchro reports are included in Appendix I.

Table 12: 2024 Background Intersection Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical <br> Movement | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { v/c } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay <br> (s) |  | Critical Movement | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay <br> (s) |  |
| Hospital Link Road/Ring Road (N-S) | Eastbound throughright | 0.54 | B | 12 | - | Westbound left-through | 0.40 | B | 11 | - |
| CHEO Access Road/Ring Road (N-S) | Northbound left-throughright | 0.81 | C | 23 | - | Southbound left-throughright | 0.81 | C | 24 | - |
| Ring Road (E-W)/Ring Road (N-S) | Westbound left-right | 0.75 | F | 57 | 40 | Westbound left-right | 0.75 | E | 39 | 44 |
| Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S) | Eastbound left-throughright | 0.89 | B | 15 | 195 | Southbound left | 0.58 | D | 50 | 45 |
| CHEO Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) | Southbound left-right | 0.11 | A | 0 | 0 | Westbound throughright | 0.16 | A | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) | Westbound throughright | 0.08 | A | 0 | 0 | Westbound throughright | 0.11 | A | 0 | 0 |
| General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) | Westbound left-throughright | 0.43 | B | 15 | - | Westbound left-throughright | 1.16 | $\mathrm{F}^{2}$ | 119 | - |
| Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road | Southbound left | 0.56 | D | 54 | 34 | Southbound left | 0.69 | D | 46 | 56 |
| Parking Garage <br> Access/Ring Road (E-W) | Southbound left | 0.20 | C | 16 | 5 | Southbound left | 0.18 | B | 13 | 5 |

The three intersections under the City's jurisdiction are the focus of this assessment and are highlighted in bold print in Table 13. All have been found to operate at an acceptable level and within City standards. Of the remaining intersections assessed, all which fall on the hospital road network, only the westbound left-right turning movement at the Ring Road (E-W)/ Ring Road ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ ) and the right-through-left movement at the General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) operate with a LOS F during the AM peak hour and PM peak hour respectively. Although these two have higher delay and queuing associate with them, these negative impacts do not affect the operations of the traffic signal on Smyth Road.

All other intersections are anticipated to operate with a LOS E or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

[^0]It is noted that some existing trips at both Parking Lot $B$ and Lot $E$ have re-routed to the Parking Garage Access. Assumptions follow below:

- $80 \%$ of traffic to/from Ring Road (E-W) would be re-routed to Parking Garage Access, with the remaining 20\% of traffic proceeding to/from Emergency Access Road.
- $70 \%$ of traffic to/from CHEO Access Road would be re-routed to Parking Garage Access, with the remaining $30 \%$ of traffic proceeding to/from the main hospital building (CHEO).

This is a relatively small number of vehicles that appear during both peak hours, it would have minimal impacts on the existing traffic and does not have significant impacts to the study area intersections.

### 4.9.3 Total Intersection Operations

Intersection capacity analysis has been completed for the 2024 total traffic conditions. The intersection parameters used in the analysis are consistent with the TIA guidelines (Saturation Flow rate: 1800 vphpl, Peak Hour Factor: 1.0 for future conditions). Table 14 summarizes the results of the Synchro analysis for the 2024 total traffic conditions. Detailed Synchro reports are included in Appendix I.

Table 13: 2024 Total Intersection Operations

| Intersection | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Critical Movement | $\begin{gathered} \text { Max } \\ \text { v/c } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Queue (m) | Critical <br> Movement | Max v/c | LOS | Delay <br> (s) | $95^{\text {th }}$ <br> Queue (m) |
| Hospital Link Road/Ring Road (N-S) | Eastbound throughright | 0.56 | B | 13 | - | Westbound left-through | 0.40 | B | 11 | - |
| CHEO Access Road/Ring Road (N-S) | Northbound left-throughright | 0.83 | C | 24 | - | Southbound left-throughright | 0.83 | C | 25 | - |
| Ring Road (E-W)/Ring Road (N-S) | Westbound left-right | 0.85 | F | 74 | 49 | Westbound left-right | 0.81 | E | 48 | 54 |
| Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S) | Eastbound left-throughright | 0.96 | B | 17 | 205 | Southbound left | 0.57 | D | 49 | 45 |
| CHEO Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) | Westbound throughright | 0.12 | A | 0 | 0 | Westbound throughright | 0.17 | A | 0 | 0 |
| Emergency Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) | Westbound throughright | 0.09 | A | 0 | 0 | Westbound throughright | 0.11 | A | 0 | 0 |
| General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) | Westbound left-throughright | 0.44 | C | 15 | - | Westbound left-throughright | 1.23 | $F^{3}$ | 144 | - |
| Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road | Southbound left | 0.57 | D | 53 | 36 | Southbound left | 0.69 | D | 45 | 58 |
| Parking Garage <br> Access/Ring Road (E-W) | Southbound left | 0.24 | B | 20 | 7 | Southbound left | 0.24 | B | 14 | 7 |

[^1]As with the 2024 Background Conditions the three main City intersections operate at and acceptable levels of service when the parking trip are included in the traffic mix. Also, for the 2024 total traffic conditions, the westbound turning movement at the Ring Road (E-W)/ Ring Road (N-S) intersection continues to operate with a LOS F during the AM peak hour as does the right-through-left movement at the General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) operate with a LOS F during the AM peak hour and PM peak hour respectively.

All other intersections are anticipated to operate with a LOS E or better during the weekday AM and PM peak hours under 2024 total traffic conditions. The site trips added to the road network will not have a significant impact on the traffic operations. Additionally, all unsignalized intersection movements are expected to operate within capacity and with acceptable delays.

## 5. Conclusion and Recommendations

Based on the foregoing, the conclusions and recommendation of this TIA can be summarized as follows:

## Development Design and Parking

- Pedestrian facilities will be provided between the parking garage building entrance and existing CHEO facilities. A connection to the sidewalk along Ring Road (E-W) will be provided, as shown on the site plan. Sidewalks will be continuous and depressed across the study area.
- OC Transpo stops \#1808, \#7072, \#1806, and \#7234 are located within a 400 m walking distance of the proposed parking garage entrance.
- With the 1050 proposed vehicular parking spaces, 40 proposed bicycle parking spaces will meet the requirement of the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-Law.


## Boundary Street MMLOS

- All boundary streets within the study area meet the target segment level of service, with the exception of Ring Road (E-W). However, given the proposed site plan with its implementation of new sidewalks across the study area, this is only a temporary condition and will be significantly improved in the near future with the construction of the 1D4C building and surrounding landscape.


## Access Design

- The proposed parking garage building will be served by one all-movement access along Ring Road (E-W). This access will be approximately 15 m in width and will meet all requirements of the City's Private Approach By-Law.
- Available sightlines are within recommended guidelines to allow safe all directional access to the proposed development.

Transit

- The existing transit services in the study area are anticipated to be sufficient to accommodate the demand from the proposed development.


## Intersection MMLOS

- The Smyth Road/Ring Road (N-S) intersection does not meet the target PLOS, BLOS, TLOS, or TkLOS.
- The Smyth Road/General Hospital Access Road intersection achieves the target TkLOS, however does not meet the target PLOS, BLOS, or TLOS.


## Background Traffic Conditions

- A $1 \%$ growth rate was applied to the study area road network.
- Under 2024 background traffic conditions, all intersections are anticipated to operate with a LOS D or better except the westbound movement at the intersection of General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) during PM peak hour and the, the westbound turning movement at the Ring Road ( $\mathrm{E}-\mathrm{W}$ )/ Ring Road ( $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}$ ) intersection continues to operate with a LOS F during the AM peak hour. However, these will not affect the City intersection operations along Smyth Road.


## Total Traffic Conditions

- A $1 \%$ growth rate was applied to the study area road network.
- Under 2024 total traffic conditions, all intersections are anticipated to operate with a LOS D or better except the westbound movement at the intersection of General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) during PM peak hour and the westbound turning movement at the Ring Road (E-W)/ Ring Road (N-S) intersection which continues to operate with a LOS F during the AM peak hour. However, these will not affect the City intersection operations along Smyth Road. It is also noted that traffic volumes on the westbound approach to the General Hospital Access Road/Ring Road (E-W) intersection are not related to the proposed development and represent existing background traffic conditions and anticipated traffic growth on the overall hospital campus.
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In summary, no changes to the existing intersections within the study area are required to serve the proposed development of a 1050 space parking garage. Traffic growth expected from servicing the induced vehicular demand is anticipated to be modest and accommodated through the existing transportation infrastructure.
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## Appendix A - TIA Screening Form

## (OAtawa <br> Certification Form for TIA Study PM

## TIA Plan Reports

On 14 June 2017, the Council of the City of Ottawa adopted new Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Guidelines. In adopting the guidelines, Council established a requirement for those preparing and delivering transportation impact assessments and reports to sign a letter of certification.

Individuals submitting TIA reports will be responsible for all aspects of development-related transportation assessment and reporting, and undertaking such work, in accordance and compliance with the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, the Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines.

By submitting the attached TIA report (and any associated documents) and signing this document, the individual acknowledges that $\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{he}$ meets the four criteria listed below.

## CERTIFICATION

I have reviewed and have a sound understanding of the objectives, needs and requirements of the City of Ottawa's Official Plan, Transportation Master Plan and the Transportation Impact Assessment (2017) Guidelines;

I have a sound knowledge of industry standard practice with respect to the preparation of transportation impact assessment reports, including multi modal level of service review;

I have substantial experience (more than 5 years) in undertaking and delivering transportation impact studies (analysis, reporting and geometric design) with strong background knowledge in transportation planning, engineering or traffic operations; and


I am either a licensed ${ }^{1}$ or registered ${ }^{2}$ professional in good standing, whose field of expertise
$\checkmark$ is either transportation engineering

or transportation planning .

1,2 License of registration body that oversees the profession is required to have a code of conduct and ethics guidelines that will ensure appropriate conduct and representation for transportation planning and/or transportation engineering works.

[^2]

Professional title: Manager Traffic Engineering


Signature of individual certifier that $s /$ he meets the above criteria

Office Contact Information (Please Print)
$\square$
$\square$

$\square$

## Stamp



## City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines Screening Form

## 1. Description of Proposed Development

| Municipal Address | 401 Smyth Road |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description of Location | NW corner of the Ring Road/General Hospital Access Intersection. |
| Land Use Classification | Parking Garage |
| Development Size (units) | N/A |
| Development Size $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2}\right)$ | 1,050 space Parking Garage; 33,519 m2 |
| Number of Accesses and Locations | 1 Access on Ring Road |
| Phase of Development | Phase 1 of 2 (there will be additional related to 1Door4Care). |
| Buildout Year | Parking Garage built and occupied by 2024. |

If available, please attach a sketch of the development or site plan to this form.

## 2. Trip Generation Trigger

Considering the Development's Land Use type and Size (as filled out in the previous section), please refer to the Trip Generation Trigger checks below.

| Land Use Type | Minimum Development Size |
| :---: | :---: |
| Single-family homes | 40 units |
| Townhomes or apartments | 90 units |
| Office | $3,500 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Industrial | $5,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop | $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Destination retail | $1,000 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |
| Gas station or convenience market | $75 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ |

* If the development has a land use type other than what is presented in the table above, estimates of person-trip generation may be made based on average trip generation characteristics represented in the current edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual.

If the proposed development size is greater than the sizes identified above, the Trip Generation Trigger is satisfied.
Parking Garage with 1,050 parking spaces. The proposed development will generate more than 60 new person trips due to an existing latent parking demand consisting of 360 staff. The garage will house displaced surface parking spaces onthe hospital campus due to new building development as well as facilitate the latent demand.

## 3. Location Triggers

Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is
designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit or Spine
Bicycle Networks?
Is the development in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit-oriented
Development (TOD) zone?*
*DPA and TOD are identified in the City of Ottawa Official Plan (DPA in Section 2.5.1 and Schedules A and B; TOD in Annex 6). See Chapter 4 for a list of City of Ottawa Planning and Engineering documents that support the completion of TIA).

If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Location Trigger is satisfied.

## 4. Safety Triggers

Are posted speed limits on a boundary street are $80 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ or greater?
Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway?

Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions)?

Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection?
Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site?

Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development?

Does the development include a drive-thru facility?


## If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Safety Trigger is satisfied.

## 5. Summary



## If none of the triggers are satisfied, the TIA Study is complete. If one or more of the triggers is satisfied, the TIA Study must continue into the next stage (Screening and Scoping).
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## Appendix B - City of Ottawa and Stantec Turning Movement Data

Turning Movement Count - Study Results
SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

| Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022 | WO No: | 40590 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time: | $07: 00$ | Device: |

Full Study Diagram


Turning Movement Count - Study Results

## SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

| Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022 | WO No: | 40590 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time: $07: 00$ | Device: | Miovision |

## Full Study Peak Hour Diagram



Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 40590
Device: Miovision
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## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 40590
Device: Miovision
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Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 40590
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Study Results

## SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 40590
Device: Miovision

## Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022

| Total Observed U-Turns |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Northbound: | 0 | Southbound: | 1 |
| Eastbound: | 0 | Westbound: | 0 |


| Period | SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | SMYTH RD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
|  | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { NB } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SB } \\ \text { TOT } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EB } \\ \text { TOT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LT | ST | RT |  |  |  |
| 07:00 08:00 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 28 | 0 | 99 | 127 | 132 | 337 | 713 | 1 | 1051 | 2 | 442 | 102 | 546 | 1597 | 1729 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 7 | 26 | 0 | 107 | 133 | 140 | 324 | 694 | 5 | 1023 | 2 | 564 | 77 | 643 | 1666 | 1806 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 22 | 0 | 129 | 151 | 155 | 201 | 511 | 3 | 715 | 3 | 401 | 34 | 438 | 1153 | 1308 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 28 | 0 | 152 | 180 | 186 | 111 | 428 | 4 | 543 | 2 | 465 | 15 | 482 | 1025 | 1211 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 31 | 0 | 150 | 181 | 187 | 147 | 407 | 4 | 558 | 1 | 441 | 23 | 465 | 1023 | 1210 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 10 | 119 | 0 | 296 | 415 | 425 | 113 | 551 | 6 | 670 | 3 | 822 | 23 | 848 | 1518 | 1943 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 102 | 1 | 258 | 361 | 366 | 67 | 469 | 2 | 538 | 2 | 697 | 3 | 702 | 1240 | 1606 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 38 | 0 | 171 | 209 | 214 | 56 | 464 | 10 | 530 | 0 | 578 | 13 | 591 | 1121 | 1335 |
| Sub Total | 28 | 1 | 19 | 48 | 394 | 1 | 1362 | 1757 | 1805 | 1356 | 4237 | 35 | 5628 | 15 | 4410 | 290 | 4715 | 10343 | 12148 |
| U Turns |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total | 28 | 1 | 19 | 48 | 394 | 1 | 1362 | 1758 | 1806 | 1356 | 4237 | 35 | 5628 | 15 | 4410 | 290 | 4715 | 10343 | 12149 |
| EQ 12Hr | 39 | 1 | 26 | 67 | 548 | 1 | 1893 | 2444 | 2510 | 1885 | 5889 | 49 | 7823 | 21 | 6130 | 403 | 6554 | 14377 | 16887 |

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor. 1.39

| AVG 12Hr | 35 | 1 | 23 | 60 | 493 | 2 | 2232 | 2200 | 2259 | 1696 | 5300 | 44 | 7041 | 19 | 5517 | 363 | 5899 | 12939 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr . totals by the AADT factor. . 90

| AVG 24Hr | 46 | 1 | 30 | 79 | 646 | 3 | 2924 | 2882 | 2959 | 2222 | 6943 | 58 | 9224 | 25 | 7227 | 476 | 7728 | 16950 | 19909 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr . totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.
Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Study Results

SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

| Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022 | WO No: | 40590 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time: $07: 00$ | Device: | Miovision |

## Full Study Cyclist Volume

SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

| Time Period |  | Northbound | Southbound | Street Total | Eastbound | Westbound | Street Total | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 7 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 6 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 4 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 5 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 4 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| Total |  | 20 | 31 | 51 | 27 | 19 | 46 | 97 | <br> ttawa <br> \title{

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

} <br> \title{

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

}

## Turning Movement Count - Study Results

SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

| Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022 | WO No: | 40590 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time: | $07: 00$ | Device: |

## Full Study Pedestrian Volume <br> SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL <br> SMYTH RD HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

| Time Period | NB Approach (E or W Crossing) | SB Approach (E or W Crossing) | Total | EB Approach ( N or S Crossing) | WB Approach ( N or S Crossing) | Total | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 07:00 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 07:30 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 |
| 07:30 07:45 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 4 |
| 07:45 08:00 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 9 |
| 08:00 08:15 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 08:15 08:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 08:30 08:45 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 |
| 08:45 09:00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 09:00 09:15 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09:15 09:30 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |
| 09:30 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 11:45 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 11:45 12:00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 |
| 12:00 12:15 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 13 |
| 12:15 12:30 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 10 | 15 |
| 12:30 12:45 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 7 |
| 12:45 13:00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| 13:00 13:15 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 7 |
| 13:15 13:30 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 |
| 15:00 15:15 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 15:15 15:30 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:30 15:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 15:45 16:00 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 12 |
| 16:00 16:15 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 9 |
| 16:15 16:30 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| 16:30 16:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 16:45 17:00 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 6 |
| 17:00 17:15 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 17:15 17:30 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 8 |
| 17:30 17:45 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| 17:45 18:00 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Total .......... | 15 | 81 | 96 | 8 | 53 | 61 | 157 |

Turning Movement Count - Study Results

## SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022
Start Time: 07:00

WO No:
Device:

40590
Miovision

## Full Study Heavy Vehicles

## SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W

## Northbound <br> Southbound

 SMYTH RDEastbound
Westbound

| Time | Period | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{S} \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{E} \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{gathered} \text { W } \\ \text { TOT } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | Grand Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 11 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 14 | 9 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 8 | 16 | 8 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 15 | 37 | 23 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 26 | 17 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 16 | 34 | 20 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 25 | 15 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 18 | 11 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 6 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 11 | 24 | 15 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 13 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 10 | 22 | 13 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 10 | 22 | 14 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 14 | 10 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 27 | 15 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 10 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 18 | 14 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 11 | 26 | 15 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 20 | 12 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 24 | 14 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 8 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 12 | 25 | 13 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 9 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 28 | 16 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 10 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 9 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 5 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 9 | 5 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 9 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 6 | 6 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 20 | 13 |
| Total: | None | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 59 | 134 | 134 | 51 | 125 | 0 | 347 | 0 | 112 | 11 | 261 | 608 | 371 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Study Results

SMYTH RD @ SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W
Survey Date: Tuesday, October 04, 2022
Start Time: 07:00
$\begin{array}{lc}\text { WO No: } & 40590 \\ \text { Device: } & \text { Miovision }\end{array}$

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total SOUTH HAVEN PL/GENERAL SMYTH RD

Time Period HOSPITAL ENTRANCE W Northbound Southbound U-Turn Total U-Turn Total

| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |

$\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Transportation Services - Traffic Services } \\ \text { Turning Movement Count - Study Results }\end{array}\right]$

Full Study Peak Hour Diagram

( 1 1 1



Comments

2020-Jan-30

( $)$ 7 1


Comments


Comments
 Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.


Note: U-Turns are included in Totals.

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services Turning Movement Count - Study Results SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E




5473326 - HOSPITAL LINK RD @ RING RD - FEB ... - TMC
Thu Feb 20, 2020

| Full Length (7 AM-10 AM, 11:30 AM-1:30 PM, 3 PM-6 PM) |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk) | Provided by: City of Ottawa |
| All Movements | Nep Constellation Dr, |
| ID: 754899, Location: $45.403939,-75.653508$, Site Code: 39524103 | Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA |


| Leg | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { East } \\ \text { We stbound } \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | South Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\left.\right\|_{\text {West }} ^{\text {Wastbound }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | T | T | L |  | U | App | Ped* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | R | L | U |  | App | Ped* | R |  | T | U | App | Ped* | lnt |
| 2020-02-20 7:00AM | 7 | 7 | 4 |  | 0 | 11 | 0 |  | 12 | 2 | 0 |  | 14 | 1 | 24 |  | 43 | 0 | 67 | 1 | 92 |
| 7:15AM | 10 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 19 |  |  | 8 | 2 | 0 |  | 20 | 3 | 25 |  | 48 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 112 |
| 7:30AM | 18 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 27 | 0 |  | 7 | 9 | 0 |  | 26 | 5 | 18 |  | 72 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 143 |
| 7:45AM | 14 |  | 6 |  | 0 | 20 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 11 | 0 |  | 34 | 3 | 40 |  | 58 | 1 | 99 | 0 | 153 |
| Hourly Total | 49 |  | 28 |  | 0 | 77 |  | 70 | 0 | 24 | 0 |  | 94 | 12 | 107 |  | 221 | 1 | 329 | 1 | 500 |
| Hourly Total | 18 |  | 10 |  | 0 | 28 | 0 | 23 | 3 | 7 | 0 |  | 30 | 4 | 31 |  | 43 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 132 |
| 8:15AM | 22 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 31 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 9 | 0 |  | 33 | 2 | 28 |  | 68 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 160 |
| 8:30AM | 14 |  | 13 |  | 0 | 27 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 9 | 0 |  | 31 | 3 | 23 |  | 33 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 114 |
| 8:45AM | 15 |  | 13 |  | 0 | 28 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 7 | 0 |  | 29 | 4 | 24 |  | 37 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 118 |
| Hourly Total | 69 |  | 45 |  | 0 | 114 | 0 |  | 1 | 32 | 0 |  | 123 | 13 | 106 |  | 181 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 524 |
| 9:00AM | 11 |  | 11 |  | 0 | 22 | 0 |  | 17 | 10 | 0 |  | 27 | 1 | 11 |  | 46 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 106 |
| 9:15AM |  |  | 7 |  | 0 | 15 | 0 |  | 2 | 8 | 0 |  | 20 |  | 10 |  | 24 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 69 |
| 9:30AM | 14 |  | 5 |  | 0 | 19 | 0 |  | 14 | 4 | 0 |  | 18 | 0 | 17 |  | 27 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 81 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { 9:44 AM } \\ \text { Hourlv Total } \end{array}$ | 16 |  | 11 |  | 0 | 27 | 0 |  | 18 | 4 | 0 |  | 22 | 0 | 15 |  | 32 | 0 | 47 | 3 | 96 |
|  | 49 |  | 34 |  | 0 | 83 | 0 |  | 61 | 26 | 0 |  | 87 | 2 | 53 |  | 129 | 0 | 182 | 3 | 352 |
| $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Hourly Total } \\ \hline 11: 30 \mathrm{AM} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 14 |  | 5 |  | 0 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 | 6 | 0 |  | 16 | 0 | 6 |  | 13 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 54 |
| 11:45AM | 12 |  | 6 |  | 0 | 18 | 0 |  | 2 | 5 | 0 |  | 17 | 0 | 6 |  | 10 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 51 |
| Hourly Total | 26 |  | 11 |  | 0 | 37 | 0 | 22 | 2 | 11 | 0 |  | 33 |  | 12 |  | 23 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 105 |
| 12:00PM | 41 |  | 5 |  | 0 | 46 | 2 |  | 12 | 9 | 0 |  | 21 |  | 12 |  | 14 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 93 |
| 12:15PM | 32 |  | 8 |  | 0 | 40 | 1 |  | 10 | 5 | 0 |  | 15 |  | 6 |  | 21 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 82 |
| 12:30PM | 28 |  | 11 |  | 0 | 39 | 2 |  | 9 | 9 | 0 |  | 18 | 0 | 7 |  | 20 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 84 |
| 12:45PM | 20 |  | 7 |  | 0 | 27 | 0 |  | 10 | 6 | 0 |  | 16 |  | 21 |  | 33 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 97 |
| Hourly Total | 121 |  | 31 |  | 0 | 152 |  |  | 41 | 29 | 0 |  | 70 |  | 46 |  | 88 | 0 | 134 | 1 | 356 |
| 1:00PM | 16 |  | 5 |  | 0 | 21 | 0 |  | 11 | 13 | 0 |  | 24 |  | 6 |  | 20 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 71 |
| 1:15PM | 12 |  | 8 |  | 0 | 20 |  |  | 16 | 2 | 0 |  | 18 |  | 13 |  | 18 | 0 | 31 | 1 | 69 |
| Hourly Total | 28 |  | 13 |  | 0 | 41 |  |  |  | 15 | 0 |  | 42 |  | 19 |  | 38 | 0 | 57 | 1 | 140 |
| 3:00PM | 40 |  | 18 |  | 0 | 58 | 0 |  | 8 | 18 | 0 |  | 26 |  | 6 |  | 26 | 0 | 32 | 0 | 116 |
| 3:15PM | 43 |  | 20 |  | 0 | 63 | 0 |  | 9 | 13 | 0 |  | 22 | 1 | 11 |  | 16 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 112 |
| 3:30PM | 51 |  | 11 |  | 0 | 62 | 0 |  | 8 | 18 | 0 |  | 26 | 3 | 6 |  | 7 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 101 |
| 3:45PM | 36 |  | 16 |  | 0 | 52 | 0 |  | 8 | 12 | 0 |  | 20 | 2 |  |  | 12 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 91 |
| Hourly Total | 170 |  | 65 |  | 0 | 235 | 0 | 33 |  | 61 | 0 |  | 94 |  | 30 |  | 61 | 0 | 91 | 2 | 420 |
| 4:00PM | 65 |  | 31 |  | 0 | 96 | 0 |  | 7 | 28 | 0 |  | 45 |  | 7 |  | 13 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 161 |
| 4:15PM | 46 |  | 20 |  | 0 | 66 |  |  | 15 | 14 | 0 |  | 29 |  | 4 |  | 18 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 117 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 4: 30 \mathrm{PM} \\ & \hline 4: 45 \mathrm{PM} \end{aligned}$ | 48 |  | 18 |  | 0 | 66 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 17 | 0 |  | 30 |  | 5 |  | 13 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 114 |
|  | 29 |  | 14 |  | 1 | 44 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 0 |  | 23 | 3 | 2 |  | 12 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 81 |
| Hourly Total | 188 |  | 83 |  | 1 | 272 | 0 | 53 | 3 | 74 | 0 |  | 127 | 11 | 18 |  | 56 | 0 | 74 | 3 | 473 |
| 5:00PM | 35 |  | 32 |  | 0 | 67 | 0 |  | 8 | 16 | 0 |  | 24 |  | 2 |  | 7 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 100 |
| 5:15PM | 30 |  | 10 |  | 0 | 40 | 0 |  | 5 | 15 | 0 |  | 20 | 3 | 6 |  | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 75 |
| 5:30PM | 19 |  | 7 |  | 0 | 26 | 0 |  | 7 | 8 | 0 |  | 15 |  | 7 |  | 8 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 56 |
| 5:45PM | 23 |  | 9 |  | 0 | 32 | 0 |  | 4 | 5 | 0 |  | 9 |  | 9 |  | 6 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 56 |
|  | 107 |  | 58 |  | 0 | 165 | 0 | 24 |  | 44 | 0 |  | 68 |  | 24 |  | 30 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 287 |
| Total | 807 |  | 368 |  | 1 | 1176 |  | 422 |  | 316 | 0 |  | 738 | 54 | 415 |  | 827 | 1 | 1243 | 13 | 3157 |
| \% Approach | 68.6\% |  | 31.3\% | 0.1\% |  | - |  | 57.2\% |  | 42.8\% |  |  | - |  | 33.4\% |  | 6.5\% | 0.1\% |  |  |  |
| \% Total | 25.6\% |  | 11.7\% | 0\% | \% 37 | 37.3\% |  | 13.4\% |  | 10.0\% | 0\% |  | 3.4\% |  | 13.1\% |  | 6.2\% | 0\% | 39.4\% |  |  |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 783 |  | 353 |  | 1 | 1137 |  | 403 |  | 274 | 0 |  | 677 |  | 403 |  | 772 | 1 | 1176 |  | 2990 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 97.0\% |  | 95.9\% | 100\% |  | 96.7\% |  | 95.5\% |  | 86.7\% | 0\% |  | 1.7\% |  | 97.1\% |  | 3.3\% | 100\% | 94.6\% |  | 94.7\% |
| Heavy | 23 |  | 15 |  | 0 | 38 |  |  | 9 | 41 | 0 |  | 60 |  | 10 |  | 54 | 0 | 64 |  | 162 |
| \% Heavy | 2.9\% |  | 4.1\% | 0\% |  | 3.2\% |  | 4.5\% |  | 13.0\% |  |  | 8.1\% |  | 2.4\% |  | 6.5\% | 0\% | 5.1\% |  | 5.1\% |
| Bicycles on Road |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 |  |  |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0.1\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0.1\% |  | 0\% |  | 0.3\% |  |  | 0.1\% |  | 0.5\% |  | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | ${ }^{0.2 \%}$ |
| Pedestrians |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | . |  |  | - | 54 |  |  | . | . | - | 11 |  |
|  |  | . |  |  | $\cdots$ |  | 100\% |  | - |  |  |  |  | 100\% |  |  |  | - |  | 84.6\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk |  |  |  |  | $\cdot$ |  | ${ }^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## (Ottawa)Transportation Services - Traffic Services <br> Turning Movement Count - Study Results SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E

| Survey Date: Wednesday, December 11, 2019 | Wo No: | 39229 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Start Time: $07: 00$ | Device: | Miovision |

Full Study 15 Minute U-Turn Total GENERAL HOSPITAL E SMYTH RD

| Time Period |  | general hospital e |  | SMYTH RD |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Northbound U-Turn Total | Southbound U-Turn Total | Eastbound U-Turn Total | Westbound U-Turn Total | Total |
| 07:00 | 07:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:15 | 07:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:30 | 07:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 07:45 | 08:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:00 | 08:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:15 | 08:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:30 | 08:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 08:45 | 09:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:00 | 09:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:15 | 09:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:30 | 09:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 09:45 | 10:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 11:30 | 11:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 11:45 | 12:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:00 | 12:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:15 | 12:30 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:30 | 12:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12:45 | 13:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:00 | 13:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13:15 | 13:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:00 | 15:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:15 | 15:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:30 | 15:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 15:45 | 16:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:00 | 16:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:15 | 16:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| 16:30 | 16:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16:45 | 17:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:00 | 17:15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | , | 0 |
| 17:15 | 17:30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 17:30 | 17:45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| 17:45 | 18:00 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Total |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

## CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF EASTERN ONTARIO (CHEO) 1DOOR4CARE PROJECT

## Scoping

June 14, 2021
Figure 6-2021 Base Traffic Volumes - AM Peak Hour


## CHILDREN'S HOSPITAL OF EASTERN ONTARIO (CHEO) 1DOOR4CARE PROJECT

## Scoping

June 14, 2021
Figure 72021 Base Traffic Volumes - PM Peak Hour


Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) 1Door4Care Phase 1A - Parking Garage Traffic Impact Study - Analysis Submission - Final Report Project Number: MRK-21023468-AO Date: 2023/02/02

## Appendix C - City of Ottawa Collision Data

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2016 To: December 31, 2020
Location: HIGHLAND TER @ SMYTH RD
Traffic Control: Stop sign
Total Collisions: 3

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Nov-09, Wed, 15:11 | Clear | SMV other | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Pedestrian | 1 |
| 2017-May-17, Wed, 16:06 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | West | Changing lanes | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Going ahead | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Stopped | Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle |  |
| 2020-Nov-20, Fri, 18:08 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West | Turning left | Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  | West | Overtaking | Police vehicle | Other motor vehicle |  |

Location: SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E
Traffic Control: Traffic signal
Total Collisions: 18

| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016-Sep-10, Sat, 19:54 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | West <br> West | Making "U" turn Going ahead | Pick-up truck Delivery van | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Oct-21, Fri,20:18 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | South <br> South | Changing lanes Turning right | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Dec-09, Fri,08:57 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Ice | West <br> West | Slowing or stopping Stopped | Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Dec-08, Fri,06:52 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | West <br> West <br> West <br> West | Turning left <br> Turning left <br> Turning left <br> Turning left | Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Jan-26, Fri,08:30 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Loose snow | East <br> East | Unknown <br> Stopped | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Jun-27, Wed,07:32 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> West | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2016 To: December 31, 2020

| Location: SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E Traffic Control: Traffic signal |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions: 18 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuve | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2018-Jul-06, Fri,07:29 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Going ahead Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Oct-17, Wed, 11:10 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Unknown Stopped | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Nov-30, Fri, 15:32 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West <br> East | Going ahead Turning left | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Jan-23, Wed,07:15 | Snow | Sideswipe | Non-reportable | Packed snow | East <br> East | Changing lanes <br> Going ahead | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Sep-05, Thu,07:57 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> West | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Sep-10, Tue,09:00 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | West <br> West <br> West | Going ahead <br> Stopped <br> Unknown | Truck - dump <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Unknown | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Dec-14, Sat,22:42 | Snow | SMV other | P.D. only | Packed snow | East | Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon | Pole (utility, power) | 0 |
| 2019-Dec-20, Fri,16:22 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Going ahead <br> Going ahead | Pick-up truck <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2020-Jan-15, Wed, 18:00 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Going ahead Stopped | Municipal transit bus Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2020-Jan-27, Mon,16:09 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Turning left Turning left | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2020-Mar-03, Tue,11:30 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Loose snow | West <br> West | Going ahead Slowing or stoppin | School van <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2016 To: December 31, 2020

| Location: SMYTH RD @ GENERAL HOSPITAL E Traffic Control: Traffic signal |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions: 18 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver Vehicle type |  | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2020-Mar-10, Tue,09:10 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | East <br> East | Going ahead Turning left | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| Location: SMYTH Traffic Control: Traf | RD @ SOU <br> fic signal | HAVEN PL/GE | RAL HOSP | RAN |  |  | Total Collisions: | 17 |  |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeu | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2016-Jun-10, Fri,09:15 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | North <br> North | Turning right <br> Turning right | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Jul-07, Thu, 11:24 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Dry | West <br> West <br> West | Slowing or stopping <br> Stopped <br> Stopped | Pick-up truck <br> Passenger van <br> Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Sep-17, Sat, 15:43 | Rain | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Wet | West <br> West | Going ahead Stopped | Municipal transit bus Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Dec-07, Wed, 14:34 | Clear | Sideswipe | Non-fatal injury | Dry | South <br> South | Changing lanes Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Dec-09, Fri,07:11 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Ice | East <br> East <br> East <br> East <br> East <br> East | Slowing or stopping <br> Slowing or stoppin <br> Slowing or stoppin <br> Slowing or stoppin <br> Slowing or stoppin <br> Slowing or stoppin | Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Jan-27, Fri,09:43 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> South | Going ahead Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Jun-27, Tue, 12:44 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | West <br> East | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2016 To: December 31, 2020

| Location: SMYTH <br> Traffic Control: Tra | RD @ SOL <br> fic signal | HAVEN PL/GE | RAL HOSPI | TRANC |  |  | Total Collisions: | 17 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2017-Jun-27, Tue,13:43 | Rain | Turning movement | P.D. only | Wet | West <br> East | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Aug-06, Sun,19:50 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> East | Going ahead Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2017-Nov-03, Fri, 19:44 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Dry | South <br> North | Going ahead Turning left | Automobile, station wagon Bus (other) | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Nov-07, Wed,18:00 | Rain | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | East <br> East | Going ahead Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Jan-07, Mon,08:35 | Clear | Turning movement | P.D. only | Ice | West <br> East | Turning left Going ahead | Passenger van <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Jan-22, Tue,10:40 | Clear | Angle | P.D. only | Packed snow | East <br> North | Going ahead <br> Going ahead | Unknown <br> Passenger van | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Aug-12, Mon, 14:40 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> East | Unknown Going ahead | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Nov-29, Fri, 16:49 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> East | Changing lanes Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Dec-04, Wed,09:50 | Snow | Rear end | P.D. only | Wet | East <br> East | Changing lanes Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2020-Sep-29, Tue,19:59 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East <br> West | Turning left Going ahead | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |

Transportation Services - Traffic Services
Collision Details Report - Public Version
From: January 1, 2016 To: December 31, 2020

| Location: SMYTH RD btwn GENERAL HOSPITAL \& HIGHLAND TER Traffic Control: No control |  |  |  |  | Total Collisions: 3 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2017-Nov-15, Wed, 17:00 | Rain | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Wet | East <br> East | Unknown Going ahead | Unknown Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2018-Oct-03, Wed,23:50 | Clear | Rear end | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> East | Pulling onto shoulder or toward curb Overtaking | Automobile, station wagon <br> Police vehicle | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2019-Apr-18, Thu, 16:45 | Clear | Sideswipe | P.D. only | Dry | East <br> East | Unknown Changing lanes | Unknown <br> Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| Location: SMYTH RD btwn HIGHLAND TER \& SOUTH HAVEN PL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Date/Day/Time | Environment | Impact Type | Classification | Surface Cond'n | Veh. Dir | Vehicle Manoeuver | Vehicle type | First Event | No. Ped |
| 2016-Mar-09, Wed, 19:13 | Clear | Rear end | Non-fatal injury | Wet | West <br> West | Going ahead Stopped | Automobile, station wagon Pick-up truck | Other motor vehicle <br> Other motor vehicle | 0 |
| 2016-Jun-30, Thu, 13:14 | Clear | Turning movement | Non-fatal injury | Dry | East <br> West | Going ahead Turning left | Automobile, station wagon Automobile, station wagon | Other motor vehicle Other motor vehicle | 0 |

Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) 1Door4Care Phase 1A - Parking Garage Traffic Impact Study - Analysis Submission - Final Report Project Number: MRK-21023468-AO Date: 2023/02/02

## Appendix D - 2011 Origin-Destination Survey (Alta Vista)

Nrombenime

## Alta Vista

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population | 74,770 | Actively Travelled |  | 59,190 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employed Population | 32,910 | Number of | hicles | 37,270 |
| Households | 32,590 | Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  | 38.5 |
| Occupation |  |  |  |  |
| Status (age 5+) |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Full Time Employed |  | 15,840 | 12,940 | 28,780 |
| Part Time Employed |  | 1,660 | 2,470 | 4,130 |
| Student |  | 8,130 | 8,750 | 16,870 |
| Retiree |  | 6,200 | 8,840 | 15,030 |
| Unemployed |  | 1,200 | 950 | 2,150 |
| Homemaker |  | 50 | 2,150 | 2,200 |
| Other |  | 630 | 900 | 1,530 |
| Total: |  | 33,700 | 36,990 | 70,700 |
| Traveller Characteristics |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Transit Pass Holders |  | 7,620 | 9,140 | 16,760 |
| Licensed Drivers |  | 25,060 | 24,810 | 49,870 |
| Telecommuters |  | 140 | 60 | 200 |
| Trips made by residents |  | 92,440 | 98,770 | 191,210 |


| Selected Indicators | 2.70 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person (age 5+) | 0.50 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.29 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 5.87 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.14 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.01 |
| Workers per Household | 1940 |
| Population Density (Pop/km2) |  |



| Household Size |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 person | 10,780 | $33 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 11,010 | $34 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 4,790 | $15 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 3,880 | $12 \%$ |
| $5+$ persons | 2,130 | $7 \%$ |
| Total: | 32,590 | $100 \%$ |


| Households by Vehicle Availability |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 0 vehicles | 6,320 | $19 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 16,930 | $52 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 8,030 | $25 \%$ |
| 3 vehicles | 1,030 | $3 \%$ |
| $4+$ vehicles | 290 | $1 \%$ |
| Total: | 32,590 | $100 \%$ |


| Households by Dwelling Type |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Single-detached | 12,320 | $38 \%$ |
| Semi-detached | 1,790 | $5 \%$ |
| Townhouse | 4,700 | $14 \%$ |
| Apartment/Condo | 13,780 | $42 \%$ |
| Total: | 32,590 | $100 \%$ |



[^3]Travel Patterns

Top Five Origins of Trips to Alta Vista
AM Peak Period


## Trips by Trip Purpose

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work or related | 22,370 | $15 \%$ | 46,540 | $31 \%$ | 10,770 | $13 \%$ |
| School | 8,550 | $6 \%$ | 8,090 | $5 \%$ | 6,440 | $8 \%$ |
| Shopping | 16,500 | $11 \%$ | 16,600 | $11 \%$ | 14,550 | $17 \%$ |
| Leisure | 11,940 | $8 \%$ | 13,340 | $9 \%$ | 7,720 | $9 \%$ |
| Medical | 2,990 | $2 \%$ | 7,860 | $5 \%$ | 2,380 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drive passenger | 9,390 | $6 \%$ | 9,900 | $6 \%$ | 6,990 | $8 \%$ |
| Return Home | 75,570 | $50 \%$ | 44,070 | $29 \%$ | 33,060 | $39 \%$ |
| Other | 4,870 | $3 \%$ | 6,050 | $4 \%$ | 3,240 | $4 \%$ |
| Total: | 152,180 | $100 \%$ | 152,450 | $100 \%$ | 85,150 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:30-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work or related | 13,920 | $56 \%$ | 28,300 | $66 \%$ | 5,390 | $33 \%$ |
| School | 5,340 | $21 \%$ | 7,330 | $17 \%$ | 5,600 | $35 \%$ |
| Shopping | 510 | $2 \%$ | 530 | $1 \%$ | 320 | $2 \%$ |
| Leisure | 570 | $2 \%$ | 990 | $2 \%$ | 480 | $3 \%$ |
| Medical | 500 | $2 \%$ | 1,760 | $4 \%$ | 460 | $3 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drive passenger | 1,790 | $7 \%$ | 2,490 | $6 \%$ | 2,110 | $13 \%$ |
| Return Home | 1,380 | $6 \%$ | 730 | $2 \%$ | 910 | $6 \%$ |
| Other | 910 | $4 \%$ | 940 | $2 \%$ | 930 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 24,920 | $100 \%$ | 43,070 | $100 \%$ | 16,200 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:30-17:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Work or related | 820 | $2 \%$ | 1,340 | $5 \%$ | 740 | $4 \%$ |
| School | 550 | $1 \%$ | 90 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $0 \%$ |
| Shopping | 3,920 | $9 \%$ | 3,630 | $13 \%$ | 2,830 | $14 \%$ |
| Leisure | 2,550 | $6 \%$ | 2,440 | $9 \%$ | 1,580 | $8 \%$ |
| Medical | 260 | $1 \%$ | 670 | $2 \%$ | 300 | $2 \%$ |
| Pick-up / drive passenger | 3,310 | $7 \%$ | 2,550 | $9 \%$ | 2,390 | $12 \%$ |
| Return Home | 31,900 | $72 \%$ | 15,950 | $57 \%$ | 11,310 | $58 \%$ |
| Other | 1,270 | $3 \%$ | 1,230 | $4 \%$ | 440 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 44,580 | $100 \%$ | 27,900 | $100 \%$ | 19,660 | $100 \%$ |


| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | \% of 24 Hours | Within District (\%) |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 389,780 |  | $22 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | 84,190 | $22 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | 92,140 | $24 \%$ | $21 \%$ |


| Summary of Trips to and from Alta Vista |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak Period (6:30-8:59) | Destinations of Trips From | Origins of |  |  |
|  |  |  | Trips To |  |
| Districts | District | \% Total | District | \% Total |
| Ottawa Centre | 4,180 | 10\% | 680 | 1\% |
| Ottawa Inner Area | 4,970 | 12\% | 4,270 | 7\% |
| Ottawa East | 1,940 | 5\% | 2,370 \| | 4\% |
| Beacon Hill | 2,690 | 7\% | 1,850 \| | 3\% |
| Alta Vista | 16,220 | 39\% | 16,220 | 27\% |
| Hunt Club | 1,980 \| | 5\% | 7,990 | 13\% |
| Merivale | 3,010 | 7\% | 3,690 | 6\% |
| Ottawa West | 1,160 \| | 3\% | 1,550 \| | 3\% |
| Bayshore / Cedarview | 830 - | 2\% | 2,330 \| | 4\% |
| Orléans | 1,050 [ | 3\% | 5,890 | 10\% |
| Rural East | 110 \| | 0\% | 430 \| | 1\% |
| Rural Southeast | 140 \| | 0\% | 1,550 \| | 3\% |
| South Gloucester / Leitrim | 160 \| | 0\% | 1,970 \| | 3\% |
| South Nepean | 460 - | 1\% | 2,360 \| | 4\% |
| Rural Southwest | 160 \| | 0\% | 690 \| | 1\% |
| Kanata / Stittsvile | 660 - | 2\% | 1,810 \| | 3\% |
| Rural West | 20 \| | 0\% | 180 \| | 0\% |
| Île de Hull | 710 \| | 2\% | 190\| | 0\% |
| Hull Périphérie | 360 \| | 1\% | 420 \| | 1\% |
| Plateau | 0 \| | 0\% | 680 \| | 1\% |
| Aylmer | 40 - | 0\% | 480 \| | 1\% |
| Rural Northwest | 40 \| | 0\% | $300 \mid$ | 1\% |
| Pointe Gatineau | 20 \| | 0\% | 740 | 1\% |
| Gatineau Est | $220 \mid$ | 1\% | 270 \| | 0\% |
| Rural Northeast | 10 \| | 0\% | 320 \| | 1\% |
| Buckingham / Masson-Angers | 10\| | 0\% | $70 \mid$ | 0\% |
| Ontario Sub-Total: | 39,740 | 97\% | 55,830 | 94\% |
| Québec Sub-Total: | 1,410 | 3\% | 3,470 | 6\% |
| Total: | 41,150 | 100\% | 59,300 | 100\% |

## Trips by Primary Travel Mode

| 24 Hours | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 92,240 | $61 \%$ | 92,670 | $61 \%$ | 43,390 | $51 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 24,030 | $16 \%$ | 24,040 | $16 \%$ | 13,430 | $16 \%$ |
| Transit | 27,890 | $18 \%$ | 27,220 | $18 \%$ | 6,520 | $8 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 2,180 | $1 \%$ | 2,110 | $1 \%$ | 1,390 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | 1,440 | $1 \%$ | 1,510 | $1 \%$ | 15,170 | $18 \%$ |
| Other | 4,420 | $3 \%$ | 4,890 | $3 \%$ | 5,260 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 152,200 | $100 \%$ | 152,440 | $100 \%$ | 85,160 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:30-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 12,430 | $50 \%$ | 26,810 | $62 \%$ | 6,330 | $39 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 3,040 | $12 \%$ | 5,100 | $12 \%$ | 2,500 | $15 \%$ |
| Transit | 7,540 | $30 \%$ | 7,300 | $17 \%$ | 1,700 | $10 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 750 | $3 \%$ | 750 | $2 \%$ | 340 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | 280 | $1 \%$ | 280 | $1 \%$ | 3,210 | $20 \%$ |
| Other | 880 | $4 \%$ | 2,850 | $7 \%$ | 2,140 | $13 \%$ |
| Total: | 24,920 | $100 \%$ | 43,090 | $100 \%$ | 16,220 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:30-17:59) | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 28,570 | $64 \%$ | 15,990 | $57 \%$ | 9,640 | $49 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 5,930 | $13 \%$ | 4,230 | $15 \%$ | 3,570 | $18 \%$ |
| Transit | 7,460 | $17 \%$ | 6,420 | $23 \%$ | 1,500 | $8 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 630 | $1 \%$ | 610 | $2 \%$ | 470 | $2 \%$ |
| Walk | 340 | $1 \%$ | 310 | $1 \%$ | 3,280 | $17 \%$ |
| Other | 1,660 | $4 \%$ | 340 | $1 \%$ | 1,210 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 44,590 | $100 \%$ | 27,900 | $100 \%$ | 19,670 | $100 \%$ |


| Avg Vehicle Occupancy | From District | To District | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 1.26 | 1.26 | 1.31 |
| AM Peak Period | 1.24 | 1.19 | 1.39 |
| PM Peak Period | 1.21 | 1.26 | 1.37 |


| Transit Modal Split | From District | To District | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | $19 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | $33 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $16 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
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## Appendix E - Site Plan



Children's Hospital of Eastern Ontario (CHEO) 1Door4Care Phase 1A - Parking Garage Traffic Impact Study - Analysis Submission - Final Report Project Number: MRK-21023468-AO Date: 2023/02/02

## Appendix F - Supportive TDM Development Design Checklist

## TDM Measures Checklist: <br> Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

## Legend

BASIC The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
BETTER
The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |
| 1.1 Program coordinator |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 1.1.1 | Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator | 区 |
| 1.2 Travel surveys |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 1.2.1 | Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress | $\square$ |
| 2. WALKING AND CYCLING |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes \& destinations |  |  |  |
| BASIC | 2.1.1 | Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances | X |
| 2.2 Bicycle skills training |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | $\star 2.2 .1$ | Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses | $\square$ |
| 2.3 Valet bike parking |  |  |  |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| BETTER | 2.3.1 | Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Transit information |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances | 区 |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information | 区 |
| BETTER | 3.1.3 | Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances | $\square$ |
|  | 3.2 | Transit fare incentives |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| BETTER | 3.2.1 | Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit | $\square$ |
| better | * 3.2.2 | Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees | $\square$ |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.2.3 | Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |
|  | 3.3 | Enhanced public transit service |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| better | 3.3.1 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | $\square$ |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| BETTER | 3.3.2 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |
|  | 3.4 | Private transit service |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| BETTER | 3.4.1 | Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | $\square$ |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| BETTER | 3.4.2 | Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |


| TDM measures：Non－residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \＆ add descriptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 4. | RIDESHARING |  |  |
|  | 4.1 | Ridematching service |  |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |  |
| BASIC | ＊4．1．1 | Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch．com | 区 |  |
|  | 4.2 | Carpool parking price incentives |  |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |  |
| BETTER | 4．2．1 | Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools | $\square$ |  |
|  | 4.3 | Vanpool service |  |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |  |
| BETTER | 4．3．1 | Provide a vanpooling service for long－distance commuters | $\square$ |  |
|  | 5. | CARSHARING \＆BIKESHARING |  |  |
|  | 5.1 | Bikeshare stations \＆memberships |  |  |
| BETTER | 5．1．1 | Contract with provider to install on－site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors | $\square$ |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |  |
| BETTER | 5．1．2 | Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel | $\square$ |  |
|  | 5.2 | Carshare vehicles \＆memberships |  |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |  |
| BETTER | 5．2．1 | Contract with provider to install on－site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants | $\square$ |  |
| BETTER | 5．2．2 | Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel | $\square$ |  |
|  | 6. | PARKING |  |  |
|  | 6.1 | Priced parking |  |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |  |
| BASIC | $\star$ 6．1．1 | Charge for long－term parking（daily，weekly，monthly） | 区 |  |
| BASIC | 6．1．2 | Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi－tenant sites | $\square$ |  |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |  |
| BETTER | 6．1．3 | Charge for short－term parking（hourly） | 区 |  |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. TDM MARKETING \& COMMUNICATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| 7.1 |  | Multimodal travel information |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 7.1.1 | Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students | 区 |  |
| Visitor travel |  |  |  |  |
| BETTER | * 7.1.2 | Include multimodal travel option information in invitations or advertising that attract visitors or customers (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square$ |  |
| 7.2 |  | Personalized trip planning |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BETTER | * 7.2.1 | Offer personalized trip planning to new/relocating employees | $\square$ |  |
| 7.3 |  | Promotions |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| better | 7.3.1 | Deliver promotions and incentives to maintain awareness, build understanding, and encourage trial of sustainable modes | $\square$ |  |
| 8. OTHER INCENTIVES \& AMENITIES |  |  |  |  |
| 8.1 |  | Emergency ride home |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BETTER | * 8.1.1 | Provide emergency ride home service to non-driving commuters | $\square$ |  |
| 8.2 |  | Alternative work arrangements |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BASIC | - 8.2.1 | Encourage flexible work hours | $\square$ |  |
| BETter | 8.2.2 | Encourage compressed workweeks | $\square$ |  |
| better | + 8.2.3 | Encourage telework | $\square$ |  |
| 8.3 |  | Local business travel options |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 8.3.1 | Provide local business travel options that minimize the need for employees to bring a personal car to work | $\square$ |  |
| 8.4 |  | Commuter incentives |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| better | 8.4.1 | Offer employees a taxable, mode-neutral commuting allowance | $\square$ |  |
| 8.5 On-site amenities |  |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |  |
| better | 8.5.1 | Provide on-site amenities/services to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands | 区 |  |
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## Appendix G - Detailed Segment MMLOS Calculation

Appendix G

|  |  | Hospital Link | CHEO Access Road | Emergency Access Road | General Hospital Access Road | Smyth Road | Ring Road ( N -S) | Ring Road (E-W) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sidewalk Wdith | 2.0 or more | 2.0 or more | 2.0 or more | 2.0 or more | 1.8 m | 2.0 or more | No sidewalk |
|  | Boulevard Width | Om | Om | Om | Om | Om | Om | Om |
|  | Average Daily Curb Lane Traffic Volume | <3000 vpd | <3000 vpd | <3000 vpd | <3000 vpd | >3000 vpd | <3000 vpd | <3000 vpd |
|  | On-street Parking | No | No | No | No | No | No | Yes |
|  | Operating Speed | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 40km/h |
|  | Level of Service | B | B | B | B | C | B | F |
|  | Target | C | C | C | C | C | C | C |
| $\frac{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{t}}{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{b}}$ | Road Classification | Local | Local | Local | Local | Arterial | Local | Local |
|  | Bike Route Classification | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | Spine Route | N/A | N/A |
|  | Type of Bikeway | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed |
|  | Travel Lanes | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
|  | Centerline Markings | No | Yes | No | No | Yes | Yes | Yes |
|  | Operating Speed | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 50km/h | 40km/h |
|  | Level of Service | B | B | B | B |  | B | B |
|  | Target | B | B | B | B | B | B | B |
| $\begin{aligned} & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ज} \\ & \text { 든 } \end{aligned}$ | Facility Type | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed | Mixed |
|  | Friction/Congestion/Incident Potential | Limited | Limited | Limited | Limited | Limited | Limited | Limited |
|  | Level of Service | D | D | D | D | D | D | E |
|  | Target | D | D | D | D | D | D | D |
| 旁 | Lane Width | 3.5 m to 3.7 m | 3.5 m to 3.7 m | 3.5 m to 3.7 m | 3.5 m to 3.7 m | 3.3 m to 3.5m | 3.5 m to 3.7 m | 3.5 m to 3.7 m |
|  | Travel Lanes | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Level of Service | C | C | c | c | B | c | C |
|  | Target | E | E | E | E | E | E | E |
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## Appendix H - Detailed Intersection MMLOS Calculation



## Appendix I - Detailed Synchro Report



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 44.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.6\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 362 | 763 | 2 | 1 | 556 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 119 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 362 | 763 | 2 | 1 | 556 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 119 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.984 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3263 | 0 | 0 | 3239 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 0 | 1658 | 1483 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.603 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.534 |  | 0.753 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1999 | 0 | 0 | 3090 | 0 | 0 | 932 | 0 | 1314 | 1483 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 588 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 446.7 |  |  | 395.2 |  |  | 147.1 |  |  | 52.2 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.2 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 402 | 848 | 2 | 1 | 618 | 114 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 132 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1252 | 0 | 0 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 132 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | , | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.4 | 31.7 |  | 31.7 | 31.7 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 84.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 36.5\% | 73.0\% |  | 36.5\% | 36.5\% |  | 27.0\% | 27.0\% |  | 27.0\% | 27.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 36.6 | 78.3 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  | 25.5 | 25.5 |  | 25.5 | 25.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.1 | 2.4 |  | 2.4 | 2.4 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.7 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 5.5 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 19.0 |  | 19.0 | 19.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 91.2 |  |  | 91.2 |  |  | 12.6 |  | 12.6 | 12.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.11 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.07 |  | 0.22 | 0.19 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 12.7 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | 44.0 |  | 48.2 | 0.6 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 12.7 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | 44.0 |  | 48.2 | 0.6 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  | D | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 12.7 |  |  | 5.5 |  |  | 44.0 |  |  | 9.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 56.8 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 1.5 |  | 6.7 | 0.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | \#160.0 |  |  | 56.4 |  |  | 5.2 |  | 14.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 422.7 |  |  | 371.2 |  |  | 123.1 |  |  | 28.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1585 |  |  | 2454 |  |  | 206 |  | 291 | 786 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.03 |  | 0.11 | 0.17 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 35 (30\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 100 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.79 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 10.1 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 75.6\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Smyth Road \& Ring Rd (N-S)



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 31.5\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 27.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 4 | 4 |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\varnothing 3$ |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 44 | 44 | F | 7 | F |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 378 | 536 | 687 | 427 | 133 | 203 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 378 | 536 | 687 | 427 | 133 | 203 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1658 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.277 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 483 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 474 |  | 39 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 395.2 | 413.8 |  | 54.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.5 | 29.8 |  | 3.9 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 420 | 596 | 763 | 474 | 148 | 226 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 420 | 596 | 763 | 474 | 148 | 226 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 7.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  |  | 14 | 24 | 14 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | pm+ov | custom | pm+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 3 | 43 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\emptyset 3$ |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.9 | 24.4 | 41.4 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 23.9 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 70.0 | 42.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 28.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.3\% | 60.9\% | 36.5\% | 11.3\% | 11.3\% | 24.3\% | 28\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.1 | 63.6 | 35.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 22.1 | 28.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 28.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 92.2 | 91.7 | 59.3 | 76.6 | 10.8 | 43.4 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.80 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 0.67 | 0.09 | 0.38 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.39 |  |
| Control Delay | 13.8 | 3.3 | 19.8 | 2.0 | 54.5 | 22.0 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 13.8 | 3.3 | 19.8 | 2.0 | 54.5 | 22.0 |  |
| LOS | B | A | B | A | D | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 7.7 | 13.0 |  | 34.9 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | B |  | C |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 20.2 | 13.4 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 16.5 | 30.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m63.4 | 24.1 | 84.8 | 12.2 | 25.9 | 42.4 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 371.2 | 389.8 |  | 30.4 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 661 | 2643 | 1711 | 1145 | 303 | 588 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.23 | 0.45 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.38 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 43 (37\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.64 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 14.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 61.7\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth Road \& General Hospital Access Rd


|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | \% |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 241 | 117 | 34 | 72 | 36 | 87 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 241 | 117 | 34 | 72 | 36 | 87 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) |  |  | 7.6 |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.956 |  |  |  | 0.904 |  |
| FIt Protected |  |  |  | 0.984 | 0.986 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1668 | 0 | 0 | 1717 | 1555 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.984 | 0.986 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1668 | 0 | 0 | 1717 | 1555 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 396.5 |  |  | 285.4 | 334.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 28.5 |  |  | 20.5 | 24.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 268 | 130 | 38 | 80 | 40 | 97 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 398 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 137 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 44.7\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 19 | 106 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 511 | 99 | 26 | 72 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 19 | 106 | 0 | 32 | 21 | 511 | 99 | 26 | 72 | 4 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.877 |  |  | 0.968 |  |  | 0.979 |  |  | 0.995 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.987 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1524 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 | 1705 | 0 | 0 | 1714 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.987 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1524 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 | 1705 | 0 | 0 | 1714 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 65.7 |  |  | 55.2 |  |  | 169.5 |  |  | 334.8 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.7 |  |  | 4.0 |  |  | 12.2 |  |  | 24.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 0 | 21 | 118 | 0 | 36 | 23 | 568 | 110 | 29 | 80 | 4 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 0 | 701 | 0 | 0 | 113 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | her |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utiliz | 58.5\% |  |  |  | Level | f Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 58 | 14 | 617 | 130 | 32 | 165 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 58 | 14 | 617 | 130 | 32 | 165 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Lanes Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.973 |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.962 |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1633 | 0 | 1705 | 0 | 0 | 1731 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.962 |  |  |  |  | 0.992 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1633 | 0 | 1705 | 0 | 0 | 1731 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 109.2 |  | 52.2 |  |  | 169.5 |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 12.2 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 64 | 16 | 686 | 144 | 36 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 80 | 0 | 830 | 0 | 0 | 219 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(m) | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 |  | 14 | 24 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.6\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | * $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }^{\uparrow} \hat{\downarrow}$ |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 362 | 763 | 2 | 1 | 556 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 119 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 362 | 763 | 2 | 1 | 556 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 119 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.984 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3263 | 0 | 0 | 3239 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 0 | 1658 | 1483 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.603 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.534 |  | 0.753 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1999 | 0 | 0 | 3090 | 0 | 0 | 932 | 0 | 1314 | 1483 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |  |  | 349 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 446.7 |  |  | 395.2 |  |  | 147.1 |  |  | 52.2 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.2 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Trafic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 402 | 848 | 2 | 1 | 618 | 114 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 132 |


| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1252 | 0 | 0 | 733 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 132 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width (m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector ( m ) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |

Switch Phase

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.

Splits and Phases: 4: Smyth Road \& Ring Rd (N-S)



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 31.5\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 27.3\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 | * | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\varnothing 3$ |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{1}$ | 44 | 44 | F' | ${ }^{*}$ | 「' |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 378 | 536 | 687 | 427 | 133 | 203 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 378 | 536 | 687 | 427 | 133 | 203 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1658 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.179 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 312 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 474 |  | 43 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 395.2 | 413.8 |  | 54.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.5 | 29.8 |  | 3.9 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 420 | 596 | 763 | 474 | 148 | 226 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 420 | 596 | 763 | 474 | 148 | 226 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 7.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  |  | 14 | 24 | 14 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | pm+ov | custom | pm+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 3 | 43 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
$m$ Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth Road \& General Hospital Access Rd



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 49.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 1 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 19 | 32 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 560 | 30 | 8 | 143 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 19 | 32 | 0 | 10 | 21 | 560 | 30 | 8 | 143 | 4 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.877 |  |  | 0.968 |  |  | 0.993 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1524 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 | 1729 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.996 |  |  | 0.963 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.997 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1524 | 0 | 0 | 1627 | 0 | 0 | 1729 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 82.9 |  |  | 57.3 |  |  | 178.5 |  |  | 325.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 23.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 0 | 21 | 36 | 0 | 11 | 23 | 622 | 33 | 9 | 159 | 4 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 678 | 0 | 0 | 172 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: $\quad$ OtherControl Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 56.1\% ${ }^{\text {Analysis Period (min) } 15}$ ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $t$ |  | 4 | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | M |  | $\hat{1}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 91 | 63 | 617 | 174 | 103 | 165 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 91 | 63 | 617 | 174 | 103 | 165 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.945 |  | 0.970 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.971 |  |  |  |  | 0.981 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1601 | 0 | 1693 | 0 | 0 | 1712 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.971 |  |  |  |  | 0.981 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1601 | 0 | 1693 | 0 | 0 | 1712 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance (m) | 109.2 |  | 52.2 |  |  | 178.5 |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 12.9 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 101 | 70 | 686 | 193 | 114 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 171 | 0 | 879 | 0 | 0 | 297 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(m) | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 |  | 14 | 24 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.0\% ICU Level of Service D
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }^{1} 1$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{1}$ |  |  | ¢ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 406 | 778 | 2 | 1 | 567 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 152 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 406 | 778 | 2 | 1 | 567 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 152 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.983 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3259 | 0 | 0 | 3239 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 0 | 1658 | 1483 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.594 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.387 |  | 0.753 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1970 | 0 | 0 | 3090 | 0 | 0 | 675 | 0 | 1314 | 1483 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 586 |  |
| Link Speed (kh) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 446.7 |  |  | 395.2 |  |  | 147.1 |  |  | 52.2 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.2 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 451 | 864 | 2 | 1 | 630 | 114 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 169 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1317 | 0 | 0 | 745 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 169 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (kh) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector ( m ) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak Hour

|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  | 10.0 | 10.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 10.4 | 31.7 |  | 31.7 | 31.7 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 42.0 | 84.0 |  | 42.0 | 42.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  | 31.0 | 31.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 36.5\% | 73.0\% |  | 36.5\% | 36.5\% |  | 27.0\% | 27.0\% |  | 27.0\% | 27.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 36.6 | 78.3 |  | 36.3 | 36.3 |  | 25.5 | 25.5 |  | 25.5 | 25.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  | 3.3 | 3.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.1 | 2.4 |  | 2.4 | 2.4 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  | 2.2 | 2.2 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 5.7 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 5.5 |  | 5.5 | 5.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  |  | Lag | Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  |  | Yes | Yes |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max |  | C-Max | C-Max |  | None | None |  | None | None |  |
| Walk Time (s) |  | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  | 19.0 |  | 19.0 | 19.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  | 16.0 | 16.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |  | 10 | 10 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 91.2 |  |  | 91.2 |  |  | 12.6 |  | 12.6 | 12.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.79 |  |  | 0.11 |  | 0.11 | 0.11 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.89dl |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.10 |  | 0.22 | 0.25 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 15.4 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 45.7 |  | 48.2 | 0.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 15.4 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 45.7 |  | 48.2 | 0.9 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  | D | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 15.4 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 45.7 |  |  | 8.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | D |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) |  | 67.2 |  |  | 12.8 |  |  | 1.5 |  | 6.7 | 0.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) |  | \#195.9 |  |  | 72.6 |  |  | 5.3 |  | 14.2 | 0.0 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 422.7 |  |  | 371.2 |  |  | 123.1 |  |  | 28.2 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 1562 |  |  | 2454 |  |  | 149 |  | 291 | 784 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio |  | 0.84 |  |  | 0.30 |  |  | 0.05 |  | 0.11 | 0.22 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 35 (30\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBTL, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 12.1 |  |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 79.3\% |  |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.
Splits and Phases: 4: Smyth Road \& Ring Rd (N-S)



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 29.9\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 34.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 16 | 35 | 96 | 173 | 23 | 7 | 196 | 157 | 516 | 21 | 141 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 35 | 96 | 173 | 23 | 7 | 196 | 157 | 516 | 21 | 141 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.912 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.920 |  |  | 0.992 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.959 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1584 | 0 | 0 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 1588 | 0 | 0 | 1721 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.959 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1584 | 0 | 0 | 1665 | 0 | 0 | 1588 | 0 | 0 | 1721 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 93.1 |  |  | 97.8 |  |  | 54.4 |  |  | 67.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 3.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 18 | 39 | 107 | 192 | 26 | 8 | 218 | 174 | 573 | 23 | 157 | 11 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 965 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: $\quad$ OtherControl Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 97.6\% $\begin{aligned} & \text { Analysis Period (min) } 15\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 | * | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\varnothing 3$ |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 44 | 44 | F' | ${ }^{*}$ | 「7 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 368 | 437 | 701 | 482 | 187 | 174 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 368 | 437 | 701 | 482 | 187 | 174 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1658 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.264 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 461 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 536 |  | 37 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 395.2 | 413.8 |  | 54.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.5 | 29.8 |  | 3.9 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 409 | 486 | 779 | 536 | 208 | 193 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 409 | 486 | 779 | 536 | 208 | 193 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 7.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  |  | 14 | 24 | 14 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | pm+ov | custom | pm+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 3 | 43 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  | $4$ | $t$ | $\pm$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\emptyset 3$ |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.9 | 24.4 | 41.4 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 23.9 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 70.0 | 42.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 28.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.3\% | 60.9\% | 36.5\% | 11.3\% | 11.3\% | 24.3\% | 28\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.1 | 63.6 | 35.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 22.1 | 28.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 28.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 89.7 | 89.2 | 57.4 | 77.1 | 13.3 | 45.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.78 | 0.78 | 0.50 | 0.67 | 0.12 | 0.39 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.32 |  |
| Control Delay | 14.9 | 3.8 | 21.6 | 2.2 | 53.5 | 19.1 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 14.9 | 3.8 | 21.6 | 2.2 | 53.5 | 19.1 |  |
| LOS | B | A | C | A | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.9 | 13.7 |  | 36.9 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | B |  | D |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 22.0 | 11.0 | 59.1 | 0.0 | 23.0 | 23.3 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m56.0 | m19.5 | 91.2 | 12.7 | 33.9 | 33.8 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 371.2 | 389.8 |  | 30.4 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 637 | 2571 | 1655 | 1171 | 372 | 617 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.47 | 0.46 | 0.56 | 0.31 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 43 (37\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.65 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 15.6 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth Road \& General Hospital Access Rd



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 27.5\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 205 | 7 | 3 | 590 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 205 | 7 | 3 | 590 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.878 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1525 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 1745 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1525 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 1745 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 82.9 |  |  | 57.3 |  |  | 178.5 |  |  | 325.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 23.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 0 | 19 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 228 | 8 | 3 | 656 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 660 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type:Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.0\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | K |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 127 | 120 | 114 | 80 | 62 | 669 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 127 | 120 | 114 | 80 | 62 | 669 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.934 |  | 0.944 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.975 |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1589 | 0 | 1647 | 0 | 0 | 1738 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.975 |  |  |  |  | 0.996 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1589 | 0 | 1647 | 0 | 0 | 1738 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance (m) | 109.2 |  | 52.2 |  |  | 178.5 |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 12.9 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 141 | 133 | 127 | 89 | 69 | 743 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 274 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 0 | 812 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(m) | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 |  | 14 | 24 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 77.5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | $\mathrm{AF}_{4}$ |  |  | * |  | \% | $\hat{\square}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 118 | 531 | 4 | 4 | 896 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 1 | 366 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 118 | 531 | 4 | 4 | 896 | 11 | 5 | 0 | 4 | 127 | 1 | 366 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.999 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.946 |  |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.991 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.971 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3283 | 0 | 0 | 3309 | 0 | 0 | 1603 | 0 | 1658 | 1483 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.598 |  |  | 0.953 |  |  | 0.481 |  | 0.751 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1981 | 0 | 0 | 3154 | 0 | 0 | 794 | 0 | 1311 | 1483 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 77 |  |  | 234 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance ( m ) |  | 446.7 |  |  | 395.2 |  |  | 147.1 |  |  | 52.2 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.2 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 131 | 590 | 4 | 4 | 996 | 12 | 6 | 0 | 4 | 141 | 1 | 407 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 725 | 0 | 0 | 1012 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 141 | 408 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector ( m ) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Splits and Phases: 4: Smyth Road \& Ring Rd (N-S)



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 24.1\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 21.2\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{\$}$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 20 | 10 | 179 | 459 | 28 | 36 | 101 | 155 | 123 | 21 | 155 | 26 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 20 | 10 | 179 | 459 | 28 | 36 | 101 | 155 | 123 | 21 | 155 | 26 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.884 |  |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.956 |  |  | 0.983 |  |
| FIt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.995 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1535 | 0 | 0 | 1657 | 0 | 0 | 1647 | 0 | 0 | 1707 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.995 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1535 | 0 | 0 | 1657 | 0 | 0 | 1647 | 0 | 0 | 1707 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 93.1 |  |  | 97.8 |  |  | 54.4 |  |  | 67.4 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 3.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 22 | 11 | 199 | 510 | 31 | 40 | 112 | 172 | 137 | 23 | 172 | 29 |
| Shared Lane Trafic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 581 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 0 | 224 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width( $m$ ) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: $\quad$ OtherControl Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 İ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth Road \& General Hospital Access Rd


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | 4 |  | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 54 | 117 | 125 | 47 | 94 | 105 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 54 | 117 | 125 | 47 | 94 | 105 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  |  | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Taper Length (m) | 2.5 |  |  |  | 2.5 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1658 | 1745 | 1745 | 1483 | 1658 | 1483 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1658 | 1745 | 1745 | 1483 | 1658 | 1483 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 64.8 | 93.1 |  | 57.0 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.7 | 6.7 |  | 4.1 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 60 | 130 | 139 | 52 | 104 | 117 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 60 | 130 | 139 | 52 | 104 | 117 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 97 |  |  | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 25.8\% |  |  |  |  | Level | Service A |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rightarrow$ | $\checkmark$ | $\checkmark$ |  | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 241 | 179 | 34 | 72 | 69 | 87 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 241 | 179 | 34 | 72 | 69 | 87 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) |  |  | 7.6 |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.942 |  |  |  | 0.925 |  |
| Flt Protected |  |  |  | 0.984 | 0.978 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1644 | 0 | 0 | 1717 | 1579 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.984 | 0.978 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1644 | 0 | 0 | 1717 | 1579 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 396.5 |  |  | 285.4 | 325.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 28.5 |  |  | 20.5 | 23.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 268 | 199 | 38 | 80 | 77 | 97 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 467 | 0 | 0 | 118 | 174 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.6\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


|  | 7 | 4 |  |  | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 95 | 69 | 617 | 203 | 112 | 165 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 95 | 69 | 617 | 203 | 112 | 165 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.943 |  | 0.967 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.972 |  |  |  |  | 0.980 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1600 | 0 | 1688 | 0 | 0 | 1710 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.972 |  |  |  |  | 0.980 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1600 | 0 | 1688 | 0 | 0 | 1710 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance (m) | 109.2 |  | 52.2 |  |  | 178.5 |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 12.9 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 106 | 77 | 686 | 226 | 124 | 183 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 183 | 0 | 912 | 0 | 0 | 307 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(m) | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 |  | 14 | 24 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |

## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 83.0\% ICU Level of Service E
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 435 | 778 | 2 | 1 | 567 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 156 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 435 | 778 | 2 | 1 | 567 | 103 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 156 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  |  | 0.977 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.982 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.950 |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 3256 | 0 | 0 | 3239 | 0 | 0 | 1658 | 0 | 1658 | 1483 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.589 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.371 |  | 0.753 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1953 | 0 | 0 | 3090 | 0 | 0 | 647 | 0 | 1314 | 1483 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  |  | 19 |  |  |  |  |  | 586 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 446.7 |  |  | 395.2 |  |  | 147.1 |  |  | 52.2 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 32.2 |  |  | 28.5 |  |  | 10.6 |  |  | 3.8 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 483 | 864 | 2 | 1 | 630 | 114 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 173 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 1349 | 0 | 0 | 745 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 173 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | , | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  | 6.1 | 30.5 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

AM Peak Hour

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

\# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.
Queue shown is maximum after two cycles.
dl Defacto Left Lane. Recode with 1 though lane as a left lane.
Splits and Phases: 4: Smyth Road \& Ring Rd (N-S)



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 32.0\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 36.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 | $t$ | 4 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | $\varnothing 3$ |
| Lane Configurations | \% | 44 | 44 | 7 | ${ }^{*}$ | 「7 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 368 | 437 | 701 | 516 | 205 | 174 |  |
| Future Volume (vph) | 368 | 437 | 701 | 516 | 205 | 174 |  |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |  |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Taper Length (m) | 30.0 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.97 | 1.00 |  |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  |  | 0.850 |  | 0.850 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1658 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Flt Permitted | 0.261 |  |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 455 | 3316 | 3316 | 1483 | 3216 | 1483 |  |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  |  |  | 573 |  | 37 |  |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 395.2 | 413.8 |  | 54.4 |  |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 28.5 | 29.8 |  | 3.9 |  |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |  |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |  |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |  |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 409 | 486 | 779 | 573 | 228 | 193 |  |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 409 | 486 | 779 | 573 | 228 | 193 |  |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |  |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Median Width(m) |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 7.0 |  |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  |  | 14 | 24 | 14 |  |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru | Thru | Right | Left | Right |  |
| Leading Detector (m) | 6.1 | 30.5 | 30.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 6.1 |  |
| Trailing Detector (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | pm+pt | NA | NA | pm+ov | custom | pm+ov |  |
| Protected Phases | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 |
| Permitted Phases | 2 |  |  | 6 | 3 | 43 |  |
| Detector Phase | 5 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR | Ø3 |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 10.0 | 10.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 10.0 |
| Minimum Split (s) | 23.9 | 24.4 | 41.4 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 23.9 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (s) | 28.0 | 70.0 | 42.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 28.0 | 32.0 |
| Total Split (\%) | 24.3\% | 60.9\% | 36.5\% | 11.3\% | 11.3\% | 24.3\% | 28\% |
| Maximum Green (s) | 22.1 | 63.6 | 35.6 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 22.1 | 28.0 |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.3 | 3.0 |
| All-Red Time (s) | 2.6 | 3.1 | 3.1 | 2.8 | 2.8 | 2.6 | 1.0 |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 5.9 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 5.9 |  |
| Lead/Lag | Lead |  | Lag | Lag | Lag | Lead | Lead |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? | Yes |  | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Minimum Gap (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Time Before Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Time To Reduce (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Recall Mode | None | C-Max | C-Max | None | None | None | None |
| Walk Time (s) |  |  | 7.0 |  |  |  | 7.0 |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) |  |  | 28.0 |  |  |  | 21.0 |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 88.8 | 88.3 | 56.4 | 77.0 | 14.2 | 46.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.49 | 0.67 | 0.12 | 0.40 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.66 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.31 |  |
| Control Delay | 15.1 | 4.0 | 22.6 | 2.3 | 52.9 | 18.4 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 15.1 | 4.0 | 22.6 | 2.3 | 52.9 | 18.4 |  |
| LOS | B | A | C | A | D | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.0 | 14.0 |  | 37.0 |  |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A | B |  | D |  |  |
| Queue Length 50th (m) | 24.3 | 11.1 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 25.2 | 23.0 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | m52.4 | m19.7 | 94.5 | 13.2 | 35.9 | 32.3 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (m) |  | 371.2 | 389.8 |  | 30.4 |  |  |
| Turn Bay Length (m) | 60.0 |  |  | 175.0 |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 632 | 2546 | 1625 | 1182 | 397 | 630 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.65 | 0.19 | 0.48 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.31 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 115 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Offset: 43 (37\%), Referenced to phase 2:EBTL and 6:WBT, Start of Green |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Coordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.66 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 16.0 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.2\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

m Volume for 95 th percentile queue is metered by upstream signal.
Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth Road \& General Hospital Access Rd



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 30.9\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | NBL | NBR |
| Lane Configurations | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ | M |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 56 | 51 | 85 | 195 | 128 | 53 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 56 | 51 | 85 | 195 | 128 | 53 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) |  |  | 7.6 |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.935 |  |  |  | 0.960 |  |
| FIt Protected |  |  |  | 0.985 | 0.966 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1632 | 0 | 0 | 1719 | 1618 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  |  |  | 0.985 | 0.966 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1632 | 0 | 0 | 1719 | 1618 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  |  | 50 | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) | 396.5 |  |  | 285.4 | 325.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) | 28.5 |  |  | 20.5 | 23.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 62 | 57 | 94 | 217 | 142 | 59 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 119 | 0 | 0 | 311 | 201 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) |  | 14 | 24 |  | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 40.0\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 211 | 7 | 3 | 599 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 0 | 17 | 37 | 0 | 5 | 7 | 211 | 7 | 3 | 599 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length ( m ) | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  | 0.878 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.996 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1525 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 1745 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  | 0.998 |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1525 | 0 | 0 | 1643 | 0 | 0 | 1735 | 0 | 0 | 1745 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 82.9 |  |  | 57.3 |  |  | 178.5 |  |  | 325.9 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 6.0 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  | 12.9 |  |  | 23.5 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 0 | 19 | 41 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 234 | 8 | 3 | 666 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 670 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 | 24 |  | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: | her |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 50.5\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Lane Group |  |  |  |  |  | $\dagger$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | * ${ }^{1}$ |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 131 | 126 | 114 | 109 | 71 | 669 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 131 | 126 | 114 | 109 | 71 | 669 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
|  | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Lanes Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt | 0.934 |  | 0.934 |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.975 |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1589 | 0 | 1630 | 0 | 0 | 1736 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.975 |  |  |  |  | 0.995 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1589 | 0 | 1630 | 0 | 0 | 1736 |
| Link Speed (k/h) | 50 |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |
| Link Distance ( m ) | 109.2 |  | 52.2 |  |  | 178.5 |
| Travel Time (s) | 7.9 |  | 3.8 |  |  | 12.9 |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
|  | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 146 | 140 | 127 | 121 | 79 | 743 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 286 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 822 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Right | Left | Right | Left | Left |
| Median Width(m) | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Link Offset(m) | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { Two way Left Turn Lane } & & & & & \\ \text { Headway Factor } & 1.09 & 1.09 & 1.09 & 1.09 & 1.09 & 1.09\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 | 14 |  | 14 | 24 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop |  | Free |  |  | Free |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 80.5\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service D |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Splits and Phases: 4: Smyth Road \& Ring Rd (N-S)



## Intersection Summary

Area Type: Other

Control Type: Unsignalized
Intersection Capacity Utilization 24.7\% ICU Level of Service A
Analysis Period (min) 15

|  | 4 |  | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ | $\hat{1}$ |  | Y |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 5 | 163 | 171 | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 5 | 163 | 171 | 3 | 9 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 | 1800 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 | 3.5 |
| Grade (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Storage Length (m) | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Taper Length (m) | 7.6 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Ped Bike Factor |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Frt |  |  | 0.998 |  | 0.969 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1742 | 1742 | 0 | 1628 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.998 |  |  | 0.963 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1742 | 1742 | 0 | 1628 | 0 |
| Link Speed (k/h) |  | 50 | 50 |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (m) |  | 130.9 | 64.8 |  | 106.6 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 9.4 | 4.7 |  | 7.7 |  |
| Confl. Peds. (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Confl. Bikes (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 |
| Growth Factor | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% | 2\% |
| Bus Blockages (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Parking (\#/hr) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mid-Block Traffic (\%) |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 6 | 181 | 190 | 3 | 10 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 187 | 193 | 0 | 13 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Left | Right | Left | Right |
| Median Width(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 3.5 |  |
| Link Offset(m) |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(m) |  | 4.9 | 4.9 |  | 4.9 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 | 1.09 |
| Turning Speed (k/h) | 24 |  |  | 14 | 24 | 14 |
| Sign Control |  | Free | Free |  | Stop |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Unsignalized |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 23.3\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |





Splits and Phases: 8: Smyth Road \& General Hospital Access Rd




[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Vehicles per hour per lane
    ${ }^{2}$ Due to the limited storage at this intersection, Northbound traffic is currently uncontrolled to provide a priority to inbound movements towards the emergency department. However, there is no way to force Synchro to provide the results for an unusual level of intersection control, three-way stops control cannot be coded for a four-way intersection. As such, all-way (four-way) stops control was assumed/modelled in Synchro to provide results, which may not be an accurate result.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ As noted above in section 4.9.2, Synchro does not provide the results for an unusual level of intersection control. As such, all-way (four-way) stops control was assumed/modelled in Synchro to provide results, which may not be an accurate result.

[^2]:    City Of Ottawa
    Infrastructure Services and Community
    Sustainability
    Planning and Growth Management
    110 Laurier Avenue West, 4th fl.
    Ottawa, ON K1P 1J1
    Tel. : 613-580-2424
    Fax: 613-560-6006

[^3]:    * In 2005 data was only collected for household members aged $11^{+}$therefore these results cannot be compared to the 2011 data.

