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### 1.0 Screening

### 1.1 Summary of Development

| Municipal Address | 4140 Kelly Farm Drive |
| :--- | :--- |
| Description of Location | The site is located within the Leitrim community area. The site is <br> located on the west side of Kelly Farm Drive on the east side of <br> Bradwell Way, approximately 280 metres northwest of Findlay Creek <br> Drive and adjacent to the existing Vimy Ridge Public School. |
| Land Use Classification | Institutional |
| Development Size | 1 storey elementary school and child care centre. The single storey <br> school is 4,630 $\mathrm{m}^{2}$ (49,837 sq. ft.) and provides a $275 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ childcare <br> facility. The preliminary site plan also shows the potential for 18 <br> portable classrooms. |
| Number of accesses and | The staff parking lot and school bus lay-by would be accessed from <br> Kelly Farm Drive. Bradwell Way would provide bus and parent drop- <br> off/pick-up lay-by areas. The daycare drop-off is located within the <br> staff parking lot. |
| Phases of development | 1 |
| Build-out year | September 2024 |

### 1.2 Trip Generation Trigger

The proposed elementary school is anticipated to generate over 60 person trips during the peak hour, therefore the trip generation trigger has been satisfied and a transportation impact assessment is required.

| Land Use Type | Minimum Development Size | Yes | No |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Single-family homes | 40 units |  | $x$ |
| Townhomes or apartments | 90 units |  |  |
| Office | 3,500 sq.m. | $x$ |  |
| Industrial | 5,000 sq.m. | $x$ |  |
| Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop | 100 sq.m. | $x$ |  |
| Destination retail | 1,000 sq.m. | $x$ |  |
| Gas station or convenience market | 75 sq.m. | $x$ |  |
| Other | 60 person trips or more during weekday peak hours | x |  |

Since the development satisfies the trip generation trigger, both the design review and network impact components will be addressed in the traffic impact assessment.

### 2.0 Scoping

### 2.1 Existing and Planned Conditions

### 2.1.1 <br> Proposed Development

The proposed development is located at 4140 Kelly Farm Drive in the Leitrim community. The site is currently zoned as I1E/H15 Minor Institutional Zone which permits a school and daycare among other types of developments. The school and childcare facility is anticipated to open in September 2024.

The development concept identifies a parking lot for staff and daycare drop-off/pick-ups. Access to the parking lot is planned via a single-lane entrance on Kelly Farm Drive. The development concept proposes on-street school bus lay-bys on Kelly Farm Drive and lay-bys on Bradwell Way for school bus and parent drop-off/pick-up. The bus lay-by area is planned to provide space for up to eleven school buses. Through discussions with the School Board, it is likely that the school will only require six school buses to meet student demands.

Figure 1 illustrates the location of the proposed development and Figure 2 illustrates the proposed site plan.

Figure 1: Site Location


Figure 2: Proposed Site Plan


Source: Site plan provided by PRTY Architect, dated Sept 28, 2022
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### 2.1.2.1 <br> Roads and Traffic Control

The roadways under consideration in the study area are described as follows:

| Bank Street | Bank Street is a municipally-owned arterial road running north-south. Bank Street runs from Wellington Street in the north (in downtown Ottawa) to Belmeade Road at the southern limits of the city, extending further south to Highway 401. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Findlay Creek Drive | Findlay Creek Drive is a municipally-owned collector road running east-west from Albion Road in the west, into the subdivision to the east of Bank Street. In the study area, the curb to curb width is approximately 11 metres, providing space for onstreet parking and a single lane in each direction. The posted speed limit is $50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$, which is reduced to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ during school days from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 2:00 PM to 5:00 PM. |
| Kelly Farm Drive | Kelly Farm Drive is a municipally-owned collector road running north-south. The roadway extends from Leitrim Road in the north to Dun Skipper Drive in the south. The posted speed limit is $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ during school days from 7:00 AM to 9:00 AM and from 2:00 PM to 5:00 PM. The curb to curb width is approximately 11 metres. |
| Bradwell Way | Bradwell Way is a municipally-owned local road running north-south from White Alder Avenue to Findlay Creek Drive. The posted speed is $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. |
| Golden Sedge Way | Golden Sedge Way is a municipally-owned local road running north-south from Bufflehead Way in the north to Findlay Creek Drive. The unposted speed limit is 50 km/h. |
| Long Point Circle | Long Point Circle is a municipally-owned local road with a posted speed limit of 40 $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$. |
| White Alder Avenue | White Alder Avenue is a municipally-owned local road running east-west from Bank Street to Findlay Creek Avenue. The posted speed limit is $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. |

2.1.2.2 Walking and Cycling

Figure 3 illustrates the existing pedestrian and cycling facilities in the study area as per the geoOttawa background imagery data, dated May 20, 2022.

The City of Ottawa's 2013 Cycling Plan identifies Bank Street as a spine route. There are no other dedicated cycling routes within the Findlay Creek subdivision study area, however the wide road widths on Findlay Creek Drive and Kelly Farm Drive provide space for comfortable cycling.

Figure 3: Existing Walking and Cycling Facilities


Source: geoOttawa, accessed May 20, 2022

Transit
Figure 4 shows the existing transit service near the proposed school, including Route \#93 and \#294.

Route \#93 is a 'Local' route. During the weekday AM peak hour, the route provides 14-minute headways into Ottawa and approximately 30-headways from Ottawa into Findlay Creek. Following the afternoon bell, the route operates on 30-minute headways into Ottawa and 20-30-minute headways into Findlay Creek. The infrequent transit service is likely to be underutilized by school staff.

Route \#294 is a 'Connexion' route between Findlay Creek and Hurdman station. It operates outbound from the Leitrim community during the AM peak hour and inbound to the Leitrim community during the PM peak hour. Therefore, it is not useful for school staff.


Figure 4: Existing Transit Service

| LEITRIM |
| :--- |
| BLOSSOM PARK |
| GREENBORO |
| HURDMAN |


$\mathbf{~ L O C a l ~}$| days a week $/ 7$ jours par semaine |
| :---: |
| All day service |
| Service toute la joumée |



Monday to Friday / Lundi au vendredi Peak periods only
Périodes de pointe seulement


## Traffic Management Measures

Findlay Creek Drive has a number of season vertical delineator signs that are spaced to reduce vehicle travel speeds. The posted speed limit is reduced to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ during school times.

Kelly Farm Drive has a number of season vertical delineator signs that are spaced to reduce vehicle travel speeds. The posted speed limit is reduced to $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ during school times. There is a vehicle speed display board facing southbound traffic in proximity to the proposed new school location. Figure 5 shows Kelly Farm Drive south of the proposed school site. The number of vehicles parked along the roadway at the time of the photo was a result of graduation ceremonies at the Vimy Ridge Public School.

DILLON
CONSULTING

Figure 5: Traffic Management Measures on Kelly Farm Drive


Image Date: June 15, 2022

Figure 6 illustrates the existing traffic volumes within the study area. Traffic volumes were collected by the City of Ottawa on Tuesday, June 7, 2022 at all intersections with exception of the Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive intersection, which was collected on Wednesday, December 4, 2019. Appendix A contains the traffic count data.

Figure 6: Existing Traffic Volumes (2022)


Lane Geometry and Traffic Control
Figure 7 illustrates the existing lane geometry and traffic control within the study area.

Figure 7: Lane Geometry and Traffic Control


### 2.1.2.7 Collision History

Figure 8 illustrates the number of collisions in the general vicinity of the site between 2015 and 2019. Many of the locations only show one or two collisions. Since this is a developing area, additional data will be needed to identify if there is a collision pattern. The intersection of Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive has experienced six collisions over the five-year period between 2015 and 2019 (inclusive).

Figure 8: Number of Collisions (2015-2019)


Source: Open Ottawa, accessed May 3, 2021

Figure 9 shows the 2031 'affordable' road network for the study area. A notable change within the study area is the widening of Bank Street in Phase 2 (2020-2025). Dillon completed a traffic analysis for the widening of Bank Street from Leitrim Road to Dun Skipper Road in the fall of 2021, which forecast traffic volumes to a 2031 build-out of the Findlay Creek area. The intersection of Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive is proposed to have northbound and southbound through lanes, with separate northbound and southbound left and right turn auxiliary lanes.

Figure $\mathbf{1 0}$ shows the 2031 road network concept which includes the widening of Albion Road and the conceptual realignment of Leitrim Road located in the north of the Leitrim community and the extension of Earl Armstrong Road to the south of the Leitrim community. The timing for these projects is currently unknown.


Figure 9: 2031 Affordable Road Network


Source: City of Ottawa 2013 TMP, 2031 Affordable Road Network

Figure 10: 2031 Road Network Concept


Source: City of Ottawa 2013 TMP, 2031 Road Network Concept
2.1.3.2 Walking and Cycling

There are no planned pedestrian or cycling facilities within the study area.
2.1.3.3

Transit
There are no planned transit projects that will directly impact the Leitrim community. To the west of the Leitrim community, the City of Ottawa is currently constructing a Light Rail Transit (LRT) extension into Riverside South. Figure 11 illustrates the most recent alignment of the new LRT line.

Figure 11: Rapid Transit Network


Source: https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/major-projects/stage-2-light-rail-transit-project/o-train-south-extension-0/upcoming-work\#q2-2022-lookahead-notice-south-segment

The City of Ottawa development applications website was reviewed and several developments were identified in the Findlay Creek area. Figure 12 illustrates the location of these development lands and Table 1 summarizes the size and build-out horizon of the development lands. Figure 13 illustrates the land use plan.

As noted in the table, the majority of the development lands were accounted for during the Leitrim Community Master Transportation Study (Leitrim MTS, March 2017). The Leitrim MTS assumed that Bank Street traffic would increase at a rate of $1 \%$ per year and it also accounted for the redistribution of traffic on the east side of Bank Street when Rotary Way is extended from Kelly Farm Drive to Bank Street.

There are three development lands (shown as purple polygons) that were not accounted for during the Leitrim MTS. Traffic generated by the 4791 Bank Street, 4639 Bank Street, and 3100 Leitrim Road Findlay Creek Stage 5 developments were not included in the Leitrim MTS 2031 traffic volume forecasts.

Figure 12: Findlay Creek Background Development


Figure 13: Land Use Plan


Source: Leitrim CDP Update (accessed May 2021)
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Table 1: Developments Lands in Findlay Creek between 2015 and 2031

| Development | Residential <br> Units | Commercial <br> Units <br> (sq.m.) | Build-out <br> year(s) | Current <br> Status | Source |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 2.2 Study Area and Time Periods

The study area includes the following intersections:

- Findlay Creek Drive and Golden Sedge Way;
- Findlay Creek Drive and Bradwell Way;
- Findlay Creek Drive and Kelly Farm Drive;
- Findlay Creek Drive and Long Point Crescent;
- Bradwell Way and Kelly Farm Drive;
- Kelly Farm Drive and White Alder Ave; and,
- Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive.

The selected time periods for analysis are the weekday AM peak hour between 7:45 AM and 8:45 AM and the PM after school (dismissal) peak hour between 2:30 PM and 3:30 PM, since these hours are directly impacted by school traffic.

The proposed development is anticipated to open in the 2024 school year. However, to simplify the analysis the 2025 and 2030 horizon years will be used to coincide with the general horizon years used for other TIA's and the build-out of the surrounding area.

### 2.3 Exemptions Review

Table 2 summarizes the exemptions review table from the City of Ottawa's 2017 Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines. Module 4.2.2 is not included since there are 45 parking spaces provided for 36 staff, therefore the demand is not expected to exceed the supply. There is also provision for an additional 17 parking spaces to be added if the 18 portables are added.

Module 4.6 may be included if the number of vehicle trips generated by the school is forecast to exceed the Area Traffic Management (ATM) thresholds of 2,500 vehicles per day or 300 vehicles during the peak hours.

Table 2: Exemptions Review

| Module | Element | Exemption Consideration | Status |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.1 Development Design | 4.1.2 Circulation and Access | Only required for site plans | Included |
|  | 4.1.3 New Street Networks | Only required for plans of subdivision | Not included |
| 4.2 Parking | 4.2.1 Parking Supply | Only required for site plans | Included |
|  | 4.2.2 Spillover Parking | Only required for site plans where parking supply is $15 \%$ below unconstrained demand | Not included |
| 4.5 Transportation Demand Management | All Elements | Not required for site plans expected to have fewer than 60 employees and/or students on location at any given time | Included |
| 4.6 Neighbourhood <br> Traffic Management | 4.6.1 Adjacent Neighbourhoods | Only required when the development relies on Local or Collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds | Included if threshold is met |
| 4.8 Network Concept |  | Only required when proposed development generates more than 200 person trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by established zoning | Not included |
| 4.9 Intersection Design | All Elements | Not required if site generation trigger is not met | Included |

### 3.0 Forecasting

### 3.1 Development-Generated Travel Demand

Traffic volumes within the study area will consist of trips generated by the proposed school, daycare and trips generated by background developments. The background development trips will consist of trips generated by the lands contained within the Leitrim MTS and additional developments with access to Bank Street.
3.1.1 School Trips

The school and childcare facility trip generation can be calculated using the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $11^{\text {th }}$ edition methodology or by using a first principles approach. The school trips were calculated using both approaches for comparison purposes.

Table 3 summarizes the vehicle trip generation for the proposed elementary school and daycare facility based on ITE trip rates.

Table 3: ITE Trip Generation - Vehicle Trips

| Land Use <br> (ITE Land Use Code) | Size | AM Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic (i.e. 7:00-9:00 AM) |  |  | PM Peak Hour of the School Site (i.e. 2:30-4:30 PM) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| Elementary school (520) | $700$ <br> Students | 280 | 239 | 518 | 145 | 170 | 315 |
| Daycare (565) | 2,960 sq. ft | 17 | 16 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total Auto Trips |  | 297 | 255 | 551 | 145 | 170 | 315 |

A first principles approach was also undertaken to forecast the number of vehicle and person trips that will be generated by the site. When fully constructed, the school is anticipated to have 40 staff members. The school board has indicated that the school will have approximately 2-3 portables within 5-7 years of opening the school. They anticipate that the school may ultimately have a maximum of 700 students, with up to nine portables, fewer than the 18 portables shown on the site plan. It is anticipated that 3-4 school buses will be used initially, with up to six buses in the future, fewer than the 11 buses shown on the site plan. The childcare facility is anticipated to accommodate 39 childcare spaces. The numbered items below document the assumptions and information gathered to form the first principles trip generation approach.

1. The TRANS Trip Generation Manual, 2020, indicates typical student travel mode share as observed within the city of Ottawa, see Table 4. The TRANS manual notes that each site exhibits its own unique characteristics, and may differ from site to site. The TRANS trip rates were adjusted to reflect the high number of students that will live within easy walking distance to the school, as indicated in Table 5. It was assumed that the walking and cycling trips will be increased compared to the standard rates, with a similar decrease in the auto passenger rates to reflect the residential nature of the catchment area and proximity to the school.
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Table 4: Elementary School Transportation Mode Share - TRANS Trip Generation Manual, 2020

| School <br> Type | Mode Share |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Auto <br> Passenger | School Bus | Transit | Walk | Bike | Other |
| Elementary | $22 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

Table 5: Elementary School Transportation Mode Share - Revised Split for Findlay Creek Community

| School <br> Type | Mode Share |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Auto <br> Passenger | School Bus | Transit | Walk | Bike | Other |
| Elementary | $15 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

2. The school is anticipated to support up to 700 students with 40 staff members, for a total of 740 person trips to the school.
3. It was assumed that on any given day, five percent (5\%) of students will be absent ( 665 students daily). It was anticipated that $100 \%$ of the 40 staff members are present.

## AM Peak Hour - Student Trips

4. Using the revised TRANS rates for auto passenger, the site will generate 100 auto passenger trips. Canada census data indicates $44 \%$ of households have one child, while $56 \%$ of households have two or more children. It was assumed that one automobile would carry 1.3 students, therefore approximately 77 automobiles will arrive carrying 100 students.
5. The elementary school will be serviced by six school buses. Assuming the TRANS bus rate is $54 \%$, the school is expected to generate 359 student trips by bus, for an average of 60 students per bus. A typical long school bus can carry up to 72 elementary students, assuming three students per seat.
6. It was assumed that the walking and cycling mode shares were based on the revised TRANS rates; therefore, active modes will account for the following:
a. Walking (24\%) - 159 trips
b. Cycling (5\%) - 33 trips (cycling trips will likely be higher during fair weather)

## AM Peak Hour - Staff Trips

7. During the AM peak period, the 40 elementary school staff are anticipated to generate one vehicle trip per employee. Of the proposed 40 staff members, it was assumed that 30 will arrive during the peak hour and the other 10 will arrive before or after the peak hour. To be conservative, it has been assumed that all employee trips are made by automobile since the proposed school is located far from rapid transit.

## PM Peak Hour - Student Trips

8. The school is planned to offer after school programs. Through discussion with the school board it was determined that approximately $14 \%$ of students would be enrolled within the after school program. Therefore, it was assumed that of the 665 students at the school, 93 students (14\%)
remained for after school programs. Therefore, 572 students leave the school after the bell. Assuming a similar automobile rate of $15 \%$, it can be expected that 66 automobiles will pick up 86 students (assuming 1.3 students per vehicle) at the end of school bell.

## Child Care Facility Operations

9. During the AM peak hour, approximately $50 \%(17 / 39)$ of childcare drop-offs are anticipated to occur by vehicle. During the PM peak hour of the school (bell time), no trips to or from the childcare facility are expected. Childcare drop-offs/pick-ups are likely to occur over a two-hour window as arrival and departure patterns are based on parent schedules and occur during the peak commuter hours. The childcare facility staff members will arrive before the peak hour of the school and depart after the afternoon peak hour.

Table 6 summarizes the trip generation of the school in terms of person trips based on the first principles approach and TRANS mode shares identified above. The trip generation first principles approach has been carried forward within this report as it more accurately reflects the anticipated operation of the site.

Table 6: Trip Generation - Persons Trips

| Location / Activity | AM Peak Hour of Roadway Traffic |  |  | PM Peak Hour of School (2:30-3:30 PM) |  |  | PM Peak Commuter Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| Staff Parking Lot |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff parking (vehicles) | 30 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 10 | 10 |
| Childcare drop-off/pick-up (vehicles) | 17 | 16 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 18 | 33 |
| On-Street Lay-bys |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| School bus trips (students) | 359 | 0 | 359 | 0 | 309 | 309 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| School bus trips (buses) | 6 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Student drop-off/pick-up trips (15\% of students) | 100 | 0 | 100 | - | 86 | 86 | 0 | 56 | 56 |
| Student drop-off/pick-up trips (vehicles) | 77 | 77 | 154 | 66 | 66 | 132 | 43 | 43 | 86 |


| Location / Activity | AM Peak Hour of Roadway Traffic |  |  | PM Peak Hour of School (2:30-3:30 PM) |  |  | PM Peak Commuter Hour |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total | Inbound | Outbound | Total |
| Active Transportation ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking (assume $24 \%$ of students) | 160 | 0 | 160 | 0 | 137 |  | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| Cycling (assume 5\% of students) | 33 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 29 |  | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Total Person Trips | 682 | 0 | 682 | 0 | 591 |  | 0 | 93 | 93 |
| Total Vehicle Trips | 124 autos 6 buses | 93 autos 6 buses | $217$ <br> autos <br> 6 buses | 66 autos 6 buses | 96 autos 6 buses |  | 58 autos | 71 autos | $\begin{aligned} & 114 \\ & \text { autos } \end{aligned}$ |

### 3.1.1.1

## Vehicle Trip Distribution

The distribution of staff trips and student drop-off/pick-up trips have been treated separately. School staff typically live across the region, whereas students will live close to the school in the nearby residential areas. Figure 14 illustrates the preliminary school boundary.

The proposed school is located in the southern part of Ottawa and therefore the majority of staff are anticipated to live west and north of the site. Based on the review of the background TIA reports, it has been assumed that staff trip distribution would follow the South Nepean District travel patterns. As such, it was assumed that staff would travel as follows:

- 60\% - North on Bank Street
- 5\% - South on Bank Street
- 35\% - West on Leitrim Road

Childcare drop-off/pick-up trips were assumed to originate from within the area south of Leitrim Road within the Findlay Creek community, following the same distribution as the student drop-off/pick-up trips.

- Table 7 summarizes the assumed trip distribution assumptions. Appendix B contains the TRANS Trip Distribution data for the Letrim/South Gloucester area.

[^0]Figure 14: Preliminary Findlay Creek School Boundary


Table 7: Assumed Trip Distribution - Vehicle Trips

| Direction <br> Relative to Site | Staff | Student \& Childcare <br> drop-off/pick-up <br> (Internal Trips) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| North | $60 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| East | $0 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| South | $5 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
| West | $35 \%$ | $11 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| Trip Assignment |  |  |

Vehicle trips were assigned to the road network in accordance with the distribution identified in Table 7.
It is anticipated that teachers will park in the parking lot off of Kelly Farm Drive. The childcare staff and clients will also use the parking lot. School buses are anticipated to access the southbound bus lay-by on Kelly Farm Drive. The parent drop-off/pick-up will occur on the east side of Bradwell Way.

Student trips were assigned to the road network based on the planned massing of residential housing areas within the preliminary school boundary.

Figure 15 illustrates the forecast site-generated trips.

Figure 15: Site Generated Trips


### 3.2 Background Network Travel Demand

### 3.2.1 Transportation Network Plans

The City's 2013 Transportation Master Plan identified the widening of Bank Street from Leitrim Road to Dun Skipper Road. Dillon is currently engaged in providing traffic analysis for the Bank Street widening project, which is in the detailed design stage. There are no other network modifications which will directly impact the study area road network.
3.2.2 Background Traffic Volume Growth

Traffic volumes at the intersection of Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive are expected to grow as a result of general background growth and specific development growth in the general area. Future 2025 traffic volumes were obtained from the Leitrim MTS. Future 2030 traffic volumes were obtained from Dillon's traffic analysis undertaken for the Bank Street widening project.

Traffic volumes within the Findlay Creek subdivision, once built-out, will no longer grow as there will be no additional development. All development-related growth within Findlay Creek was captured and is documented within the following subsection.

Other Background Developments
The Bank Street Widening traffic analysis considered various background developments as shown in Figure 12 and summarized in Table 1. Of these developments, only the Transport Canada lands, Remer and Idone lands, and 3100 Leitrim Road are expected to increase traffic volume within the study area on Kelly Farm Drive and Findlay Creek Drive.

The Remer and Idone lands are proceeding and much of the subdivision is nearing completion. The Transport Canada lands have not yet started and a planning application has not been made. The two subdivisions are located a minimum of 750 metres south of Findlay Creek Drive with access to Kelly Farm Drive and to Bank Street.

Traffic volume forecasts for the two developments were based on the number of residential units remaining to be occupied, as of June 2022. There are a total of 234 single-family houses and 99 townhomes to be built or occupied within these two subdivisions. The forecast number of trips generated by these lands is summarized in Table 8. The trip generation has been based on the TRANS Trip Generation Manual methodology, further information and calculations are contained in Appendix D. Based on the Leitrim MTS, it was assumed that $20 \%$ of traffic from the Remer and Idone lands would travel on Kelly Farm Drive, and distribute at the Findlay Creek Drive intersection based on existing established trends.

Table 8: Transport Canada Lands and Remer and Idone Lands Trip Generation

| Land Use | AM Peak Hour |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | In | Out | Total | In | Out | Total |
| Single Family | 37 | 87 | 124 | 87 | 53 | 140 |
| Townhouse | 11 | 27 | 38 | 24 | 19 | 43 |
| Total | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 3}$ |

The 3100 Leitrim Road development TIA indicated that the majority of traffic would use Leitrim Road for access. The study assumed a nominal traffic volume, 10 vehicles per hour or less, may travel on Kelly Farm Drive south to Findlay Creek Drive by 2030. The Leitrim Road development is not expected to be completed before 2025. The traffic volume assumed in the 3100 Leitrim Road TIA has been distributed within the 2030 background traffic volumes of this study.

Traffic Volumes
Figure 16 and Figure 17 illustrate the 2025 and 2030 future background traffic volumes, respectively.

Figure 16: 2025 Future Background Traffic Volumes


Figure 17: 2030 Background Traffic Volumes


The proposed development is not anticipated to increase traffic volumes significantly. Traffic volumes along Kelly Farm Drive are not anticipated to exceed capacity. For these reasons demand rationalization was not completed.

### 3.4 Total Future Traffic Forecasts

Figure 18 and Figure 19 illustrate the 2025 and 2030 total future traffic volumes, respectively. The total traffic volumes include the school site traffic and the future background traffic.

Figure 18: 2025 Total Traffic Volumes


Figure 19: 2030 Total Traffic Volumes


### 4.0 Analysis

### 4.1 Development Design

### 4.1.1 <br> Design for Sustainable Modes

Bicycle facilities - A total of six bike racks with eight rings each are proposed, providing a total of 48 bicycle parking spaces on the east and south sides of the school. Direct and convenient paved surfaces are provided to access the school from the bike parking areas.

Pedestrian access and circulation - The sidewalk and paved surfaces around the school provide direct access from the school bus lay-by to the main school entrance. Paved surfaces around the school also provide direct and convenient access from the staff parking lot, bicycle parking areas, childcare centre, and drop-off/pick-up lay-by area to the school and childcare entrances. The boulevard space will be paved between the sidewalks and the lay-by areas.

Transit facilities - OC Transpo stops are provided on Kelly Farm Drive in front of the site and at the intersection of Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way. The stops are connected by sidewalks on both the north and south sides of the roadway to the school site. A school bus lay-by lane is provided adjacent the school on Kelly Farm Drive. The bus lay-by is connected to the school through pedestrian walkways.

An on-street school bus lay-by on Kelly Farm Drive and an on-street parent drop-off/pick-up lay-by on Bradwell Way are provided. The school will have one driveway to Kelly Farm Drive on the west side of the school, which is intended for staff parking and childcare drop-off/pick-up. The staff parking lot also contains the waste bins.

School bus lay-by - The school bus lay-by will provide approximately 140 metres of storage space, capable of servicing eleven full-sized school buses at one time. The school board has indicated there will be up to six school buses used in the future when operating at capacity. Given that all buses are fullsized and present at one time, the lay-by will adequately service the future school bus lay-by demands. The 40 metres of school bus lay-by storage on Bradwell Way should be reallocated to parent drop-off/pick-up activity.

Parent drop-off/pick-up lay-by - The parent drop-off/pick-up lay-by is located on the east side of Bradwell Way. The lay-by parking bay provides storage space for approximately 13 vehicles, with an additional six vehicles from the unused bus lay-by storage. During the morning drop-off period, it is forecast to generate up to 100 vehicles over a $20-$ minute period, requiring each drop-off space to process (turnover) 5.3 vehicles (100/19) in the 20-minute period in advance of the bell time. Therefore, an average drop-off duration of less than four minutes (20/5.3) per vehicle is required, which is achievable. Parents should be encouraged to drop their students at the curb and continue their trip as opposed to entering the school. Given the location of the parent drop-off, the school should provide an

organized program to safely and efficiently bring the children between the two facilities, otherwise parents may walk their child into the school resulting in a lack of parking turnover in the lay-by area.

Following the afternoon bell, pick-ups are forecast to occur within a short 15-minute period. The after school pick-up demand is forecast at 66 vehicles, which would require each lay-by space to process (turnover) 3.5 vehicles (66/19) in 15-minutes. The average pick-up duration should not exceed approximately 4.2 minutes. To improve the pick-up operations at the end of the day, the school bus students could be released a few minutes in advance of the other students, which would allow the school buses to clear while providing additional space for parent pick-up short term parking. In addition, the west side of Kelly Farm Drive south to Findlay Creek Drive and on the east side of Findlay Creek Drive can be used for after school parent pick-up areas.

It is strongly recommended that the start and end of day bells are offset by at least 30 minutes from the adjacent Vimy Ridge Public School to avoid overlapping drop-off/pick-up activities.

Waste collection - The staff parking lot will be marked using painted lines. Parking end isles will be painted, therefore waste collection vehicles will be able to easily maneuver through the parking lot on weekends or after the school day has finished.

Figure 20 illustrates the waste collection truck easily maneuver in and out of the site, which was produced using AutoTURN software.

Figure 20: Waste Collection Truck Turning Templates


Childcare drop-off/pick-up area - The childcare drop-off/pick-up area is located within the staff parking lot and has approximately 30 metres designated for a drop-off/pick-up area, which can accommodate approximately five vehicles at a time. There are up to 18 drop-offs/pick-ups that may need to occur within an hour, which would require that each drop-off/pick-up parking space to process four vehicles per hour (18/5). Therefore, drop-offs/pick-ups would need to be less than 15 minutes (60/4). There is adequate short-term parking storage for the childcare drop-off/pick-up activity.

## 4.2 <br> Parking

Automobile Parking - As per City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2008-250 (Sections 101 and 102), the minimum parking space rate is 1.5 parking spaces per classroom and one parking spaces per $50 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of childcare space. The school will have 22 classrooms with up to 18 portables in the future. Therefore, 39 parking spaces ${ }^{2}$ are required for the school without portables and 66 parking spaces ${ }^{3}$ may be required if

[^1]the school reaches its maximum capacity. The site plan shows that 50 parking spaces will be provided at build-out and 67 parking spaces could be provided if the school reaches its maximum capacity. The proposed site plan shows parking supply exceeds the zoning by-law requirement.

Bicycle Parking - As per City of Ottawa Zoning By-law 2016-249 (Section 111), the minimum bicycle parking rate is one bicycle parking space per $100 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ of gross floor area. Therefore, 47 bicycle parking spaces ${ }^{4}$ are required, the site plan provides 48 spaces with six bicycle parking racks. Therefore, the site plan meets the zoning by-law requirements.

## 4.3

Boundary Street Design

### 4.3.1 Mobility

The Multi-Modal Level of Service (MMLOS) was evaluated of Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way to assist with developing a concept that maximizes the achievement of the MMLOS objectives. Since the development is within 300 metres of a school (the site itself), it is subject to MMLOS targets of the school policy area. Note that there are no targets for trucks on a collector roadway within the school policy area, and there are no targets for auto traffic between intersections (there are targets for auto traffic at signalized intersections only, there are no signalized intersections within proximity of the site).

Table 9 presents the MMLOS conditions for roadway segments adjacent the school on Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way. This MMLOS analysis is based on the planned conditions of the roadways once the school is constructed, which includes a mixed (auto and bicycle) travel lane adjacent the parking lay-by and sidewalks on both sides of Kelly Farm Drive. Bradwell Way is provided with a parking lay-by and sidewalk on the east side of the roadway. Kelly Farm Drive has a posted speed limit of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and the posted speed limit on Bradwell Way is $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$.

The analysis shows that all MMLOS targets are met for cycling and transit modes on Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way. The MMLOS targets for pedestrians are not met and could only be met if the speed limit on Kelly Farm Drive was reduced to $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ and if a boulevard of at least 0.5 metres wide was added beside the sidewalk on Bradwell Way.

[^2]
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Table 9: MMLOS Conditions - Segments

| Travel Mode | Criteria | Target | Kelly Farm Drive Collector Road (26 D) | Bradwell Way Local Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pedestrian LOS | Sidewalk width Boulevard width <br> AADT < 3000 | A | 1.8 metres $0.5-2$ metres <br> No (assume 14x multiplier for AM peak hour volumes) | 2 metres <br> 0 metres <br> Yes (assume 14x multiplier for AM peak hour volumes) |
|  | On-Street Parking Operating Speed Level of Service |  | $\begin{gathered} >30 \text { or }<50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} >30 \text { or }<50 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h} \\ \text { B } \end{gathered}$ |
| Cycling LOS | Type of facility <br> Number of travel lanes/direction | B | Mixed traffic $1$ | Mixed traffic $1$ |
|  | Operating speed |  | $\leq 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ | $\leq 40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ |
|  | Level of Service |  | A | A |
| Transit LOS | Type of facility Parking/driveway friction Level of Service | D | Mixed traffic Limited / Low D | Mixed traffic Limited / Low D |

Road Safety
The roadway should continue to operate with an acceptable safety performance. Traffic speeds should remain low and traffic volumes should remain similar to the existing condition.

### 4.4 Access Intersection Design

### 4.4.1 Location and Design of Driveway

The site driveway is located on Kelly Farm Drive providing a single lane in and out of the site. The site driveway is 6.5 metres wide and provides a clear throat distance of greater than 15 metres from the property line. This meets the requirements of the City of Ottawa Private Approach Bylaw (\#2003-447). The driveway is located with clear sightlines and should operate safely.

### 4.4.2 Intersection Control

The site driveway will be located on a relatively low-volume collector roadway (<5,000 AADT); therefore, stop-control (TWSC) facing traffic exiting the site driveway is appropriate.
4.4.3 Access Intersection Design

Table 10 summarizes the traffic operations for the intersection of Kelly Farm Drive and the site driveway for the weekday AM and PM peak hours in the 2025 and 2030 horizon years. Appendix E contains the intersection performance worksheets. Assuming single lane approaches and a stop sign facing traffic exiting the school, the driveway intersection will operate at a LOS A with minimal delay.
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Table 10: Site Driveway and Kelly Farm Drive Intersection Operations
Total Future 2025

| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB LR | $9.8(9.6)$ | A (A) | $0.02(0.04)$ | $0.5(1.0)$ |
| NB TR | $2.4(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.04(0.07)$ | $0.9(0.0)$ |
| SB LT | $0.0(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.12(0.11)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Future 2030 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LR | $9.9(9.6)$ | A (A) | $0.02(0.04)$ | $0.6(1.0)$ |
| NB TR | $2.3(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.04(0.07)$ | $0.9(0.0)$ |
| SB LT | $0.0(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.12(0.11)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |

Note: Results are presented in the format AM (PM) peak hour; Q95th (m) indicates the 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues, LOS is an abbreviation for Level-of-Service, $\mathrm{EB}=$ eastbound, $\mathrm{WB}=$ westbound, $\mathrm{SB}=$ southbound; LTR = left, through, right movements for single lane

## Transportation Demand Management

Appendix E contains the TDM checklists. From the TDM checklists, some recommendations are as follows:

- Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances;
- Provide links to OC Transpo and STO information on the school board website; and,
- Provide shower and lockers for staff use (these measures are provided).

The school board should also consider offering preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit, or provide reimbursement of monthly transit passes for employees.

### 4.6 Neighbourhood Traffic Management

Kelly Farm Drive is a collector road and Bradwell Way is a local road.
Forecast traffic volumes on Kelly Farm Drive during the weekday AM peak hour north of Bradwell Way are 272 vehicles per hour (vph), or approximately 3,300 vehicles per day (vpd). To the north of Findlay Creek Drive, the forecast two-way traffic volume is 371 vph or approximately $4,450 \mathrm{vpd}$. The forecast traffic volumes are generally in keeping with the collector roadway designation.

On Bradwell Way just west of Kelly Farm Drive, the forecast AM peak hour traffic volume is 136 vph, or 1,600 vpd. At the south end of Bradwell Way at Findlay Creek Drive, the traffic volumes are forecast at 120 vph , or approximately $1,400 \mathrm{vpd}$. The forecast traffic volumes are in keeping with a local roadway designation.

Given that the traffic volumes are within their roadway classifications and that the school activity is concentrated over short durations, neighbourhood traffic management is not deemed necessary.

## Transit

The proposed school may generate a very small number of OC Tranpso transit trips and therefore transit service will not be significantly impacted.

Transit service and stop locations are located directly in front of the proposed school on Kelly Farm Drive and at the intersection of Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way.

### 4.8 Review of Network Concept

A review of the network concept is not included within this study. The network concept review is only required when a proposed development generates more than 200 person trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by established zoning. The proposed school is in keeping with the proposed zoning.

### 4.9 Intersection Design

The following subsections provide a review of the study area intersection traffic operations. The existing, 2025 and 2030 forecast total future traffic conditions have been analysed using Synchro 11 software. The analysis includes the existing lane geometry and traffic control, as shown in Figure 7. The level-ofservice (LOS) of traffic signal controlled intersections in the City of Ottawa is based on the volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio, refer to Appendix D for the City of Ottawa LOS definitions.

### 4.9.1

Findlay Creek Drive and Golden Sedge Way
The intersection is forecast to operate at an acceptable LOS in future, as indicated in Table 11. The school impact on the intersection is negligible. Intersection modifications or traffic control modifications are not required to address auto traffic demands.

Table 11: Findlay Creek Drive and Golden Sedge Way Intersection Operations

| Existing <br> Approach/ MovementDelay (s) <br> AM (PM) | LOS <br> AM (PM) | V/C <br> AM (PM) | Q95th (m) <br> AM (PM) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB LT | $0.0(0.1)$ | A (A) | $0.00(0.00)$ | $0.0(0.1)$ |
| WB TR | $0.0(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.14(0.16)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
| SB LR | $10.4(11.6)$ | B (B) | $0.03(0.02)$ | $0.6(0.5)$ |
| Total Future 2025 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LT | $0.0(0.1)$ | A (A) | $0.00(0.00)$ | $0.0(0.1)$ |
| WB TR | $0.0(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.16(0.17)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
| SB LR | $10.7(11.9)$ | B (B) | $0.03(0.02)$ | $0.6(0.5)$ |
| Total Future 2030 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LT | $0.0(0.1)$ | A (A) | $0.00(0.00)$ | $0.0(0.1)$ |


| WB TR | $0.0(0.0)$ | A (A) | $0.16(0.17)$ | $0.0(0.0)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SB LR | $10.7(11.9)$ | B (B) | $0.03(0.02)$ | $0.6(0.5)$ |

Note: Results are presented in the format AM (PM) peak hour; Q95th (m) indicates the 95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ percentile queues, LOS is an abbreviation for Level-of-Service, $\mathrm{EB}=$ eastbound, $\mathrm{WB}=$ westbound, $\mathrm{SB}=$ southbound; LTR = left, through, right movements for single lane

### 4.9.2 Findlay Creek Drive and Bradwell Way

The intersection is forecast to operate at an acceptable LOS in future, as indicated in Table 12. The school impact on the intersection is negligible. Intersection modifications or traffic control modifications are not required to address auto traffic demands.

Table 12: Findlay Creek Drive and Bradwell Way Intersection Operations
Existing

| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) <br> AM (PM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q95th (m) } \\ & \text { AM (PM) } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB LT | 0.9 (0.6) | A (A) | 0.02 (0.01) | 0.4 (0.3) |
| WB TR | 0.0 (0.0) | A (A) | 0.14 (0.17) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| SB LR | 10.9 (11.4) | B (B) | 0.04 (0.02) | 0.9 (0.4) |
| Total Future 2025 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LT | 1.2 (0.9) | A (A) | 0.03 (0.02) | 0.7 (0.5) |
| WB TR | 0.0 (0.0) | A (A) | 0.18 (0.20) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| SB LR | 11.1 (11.4) | $B$ (B) | 0.06 (0.03) | 1.4 (0.8) |
| Total Future 2030 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LT | 1.2 (0.9) | A (A) | 0.03 (0.02) | 0.7 (0.5) |
| WB TR | 0.0 (0.0) | A (A) | 0.18 (0.20) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| SB LR | 11.1 (11.4) | B (B) | 0.06 (0.03) | 1.4 (0.8) |

Findlay Creek Drive and Kelly Farm Drive
The All-Way Stop controlled intersection is forecast to operate at an acceptable LOS in future, as indicated in Table 13. The school impact on the intersection is negligible. Intersection modifications or traffic control modifications are not required to address auto traffic demands.

Table 13: Findlay Creek Drive and Kelly Farm Drive Intersection Operations
Existing

| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) <br> AM (PM) | LOS <br> AM (PM) | V/C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB LTR | $10.7(10.5)$ | B (B) | $0.34(0.34)$ |
| WB LTR | $10.2(11.0)$ | B (B) | $0.30(0.39)$ |
| NB LTR | $10.2(9.9)$ | B (A) | $0.26(0.21)$ |
| SB LTR | $10.0(9.8)$ | B (A) | $0.20(0.18)$ |


| Total Future 2025 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C |
| EB LTR | $12.6(12.1)$ | B (B) | $0.41(0.39)$ |
| WB LTR | $12.8(13.6)$ | B (B) | $0.43(0.49)$ |
| NB LTR | $12.3(11.4)$ | B (B) | $0.37(0.29)$ |
| SB LTR | $12.5(12.0)$ | B (B) | $0.35(0.34)$ |
| Total Future 2030 | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C |
| Approach/ Movement | $12.8(12.3)$ | B (B) | $0.41(0.40)$ |
| EB LTR | $13.0(14.0)$ | B (B) | $0.45(0.50)$ |
| WB LTR | $12.5(11.5)$ | B (B) | $0.37(0.29)$ |
| NB LTR | $12.8(12.4)$ | B (B) | $0.38(0.36)$ |
| SB LTR |  |  |  |

### 4.9.4

Findlay Creek Drive and Long Point Crescent
The intersection is forecast to operate at an acceptable LOS in future, as indicated in Table 14. The school impact on the intersection is negligible. Intersection modifications or traffic control modifications are not required to address auto traffic demands.

Table 14: Findlay Creek Drive and Long Point Crescent Intersection Operations

| Existing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach/ Movement | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay (s) } \\ & \text { AM (PM) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q95th (m) } \\ & \text { AM (PM) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EB LT | 0.2 (0.4) | A (A) | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.1 (0.2) |
| WB TR | 0.0 (0.0) | A (A) | 0.14 (0.19) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| SB LR | 11.5 (12.5) | $B$ (B) | 0.08 (0.08) | 2.2 (2.1) |

Total Future 2025

| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EB LT | 0.3 (0.4) | A (A) | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.2 (0.3) |
| WB TR | 0.0 (0.0) | A (A) | 0.17 (0.21) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| SB LR | 12.5 (13.4) | $B(B)$ | 0.10 (0.09) | 2.7 (2.4) |
| Total Future 2030 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement EB LT | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Delay (s) } \\ & 0.3(0.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { A (A) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ 0.01(0.01) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q95th (m) } \\ & 0.2(0.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| WB TR | 0.0 (0.0) | A (A) | 0.18 (0.21) | 0.0 (0.0) |
| SB LR | 12.7 (13.5) | B (B) | 0.10 (0.09) | 2.7 (2.5) |

4.9.5

Bradwell Way and Kelly Farm Drive
The intersection is forecast to operate at an acceptable LOS in future, as indicated in Table 15. The school impact on the intersection is negligible. Intersection modifications or traffic control modifications are not required to address auto traffic demands.

Table 15: Bradwell Way and Kelly Farm Drive Intersection Operations

| Existing |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) <br> AM (PM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q95th (m) } \\ & \text { AM (PM) } \end{aligned}$ |
| EB LTR | 9.1 (9.0) | A (A) | 0.03 (0.02) | 0.9 (0.6) |
| WB LTR | 9.9 (9.8) | A (A) | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.3 (0.2) |
| NB LTR | 1.0 (0.3) | A (A) | 0.01 (0.00) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| SB LTR | 0.2 (0.3) | A (A) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.0 (0.1) |
| Total Future 2025 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LTR | 9.9 (9.6) | A (A) | 0.13 (0.10) | 3.5 (2.7) |
| WB LTR | 10.6 (10.4) | $B$ (B) | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.3 (0.2) |
| NB LTR | 0.9 (0.3) | A (A) | 0.01 (0.00) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| SB LTR | 0.1 (0.2) | A (A) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.0 (0.1) |
| Total Future 2030 |  |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C | Q95th (m) |
| EB LTR | 10.0 (9.7) | A (A) | 0.13 (0.10) | 3.5 (2.8) |
| WB LTR | 10.7 (10.5) | $B$ (B) | 0.01 (0.01) | 0.3 (0.2) |
| NB LTR |  | A (A) | 0.01 (0.00) | 0.3 (0.1) |
| SB LTR | 0.1 (0.2) | A (A) | 0.00 (0.00) | 0.0 (0.1) |

## Kelly Farm Drive and White Alder Avenue

The All-Way Stop controlled intersection is forecast to operate at an acceptable LOS in future, as indicated in Table 16. The school impact on the intersection is negligible. Intersection modifications or traffic control modifications are not required to address auto traffic demands.

Table 16: Kelly Farm Drive and White Alder Avenue Intersection Operations

| Existing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) <br> AM (PM) | LOS <br> AM (PM) | V/C <br> EB LTR (PM) |
| WB LTR | $8.1(8.0)$ | A (A) | $0.07(0.04)$ |
| NB LTR | $7.7(7.6)$ | A (A) | $0.08(0.05)$ |
| SB LTR | $8.1(7.7)$ | A (A) | $0.15(0.08)$ |
| Total Future 2025 | $8.1(8.2)$ | A (A) | $0.15(0.19)$ |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C |
| EB LTR | $8.3(8.1)$ | A (A) | $0.07(0.04)$ |
| WB LTR | $8.0(7.8)$ | A (A) | $0.10(0.06)$ |
| NB LTR | $8.4(7.8)$ | A (A) | $0.18(0.11)$ |
| SB LTR | $8.5(8.4)$ | A (A) | $0.19(0.21)$ |
| Total Future 2030 |  |  | LOS |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) |  | V/C |

The intersection of Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive currently operates at a very good LOS based on the existing traffic volumes, lane geometry and traffic control.

The intersection is forecast to operate over capacity based on the existing lane geometry and traffic signal timing. A detailed design project to address the capacity deficiencies is currently being undertaken by the city. The preliminary detailed design seeks to incorporate protected bicycle crossings however the preliminary findings indicate that the intersection will experience significant challenges as the design attempts to accommodate all users. This report has not identified future intersection improvements at the intersection.

Table 17: Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive Intersection Operations

| Existing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) <br> AM (PM) | $\begin{gathered} \text { LOS } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { V/C } \\ \text { AM (PM) } \end{gathered}$ |
| EB L | 59.4 (63.4) | D(D) | 0.90 (0.85) |
| EB TR | 27.2 (36.3) | A(A) | 0.04 (0.03) |
| WB L | 0 (36.2) | A(A) | 0.00 (0.03) |
| WB TR | 26.8 (36.1) | A(A) | 0.00 (0.01) |
| NB L | 8.6 (6.2) | A(A) | 0.05 (0.08) |
| NB T | 11.8 (7.1) | A(A) | 0.39 (0.25) |
| NB R | 8.1 (5.4) | A(A) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| SB L | 8.2 (5.5) | A(A) | 0.01 (0.01) |
| SB T | 11.1 (9.6) | A(A) | 0.34 (0.48) |
| SB R | 8.8 (7.0) | A(A) | 0.09 (0.23) |
| Overall | 24.7 (17.9) | A (A) | 0.56 (0.58) |
| Total Future 2025 |  |  |  |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C |
| EB L | 121.9 (84.6) | F (E) | 1.12 (0.95) |
| EB TR | 25.2 (34.2) | A (A) | 0.07 (0.14) |
| WB L | 25.7 (36.3) | A (A) | 0.13 (0.32) |
| WB TR | 27.1 (34.2) | A (A) | 0.25 (0.15) |
| NB L | 10.3 (18.1) | A (A) | 0.08 (0.30) |
| NB T | 99.3 (17.9) | F (C) | 1.15 (0.76) |
| NB R | 9.4 (6.8) | A (A) | 0.00 (0.00) |
| SB L | 564.0 (200.8) | F (F) | 2.10 (1.34) |
| SB T | 16.8 (26.3) | A (D) | 0.59 (0.89) |
| SB R | 10.0 (8.3) | A (A) | 0.07 (0.21) |
| Overall | 96.5 (42.6) | F (F) | 1.74 (1.22) |


| Total Future 2030 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Approach/ Movement | Delay (s) | LOS | V/C |
| EB L | $324.1(132.6)$ | $F(F)$ | $1.60(1.10)$ |
| EB TR | $25.4(34.1)$ | A (A) | $0.09(0.22)$ |
| WB L | $25.7(35.7)$ | A (A) | $0.12(0.34)$ |
| WB TR | $30.7(34.9)$ | A (A) | $0.53(0.28)$ |
| NB L | $11.4(43.2)$ | A (A) | $0.13(0.54)$ |
| NB T | $227.8(33.0)$ | F (E) | $1.44(0.94)$ |
| NB R | $9.4(7.2)$ | A (A) | $0.00(0.01)$ |
| SB L | $780.3(2247.5)$ | F (F) | $2.59(5.87)$ |
| SB T | $19.6(80.1)$ | B (F) | $0.69(1.10)$ |
| SB R | $10.0(8.9)$ | A (A) | $0.07(0.22)$ |
| Overall | $\mathbf{1 8 8 . 1}(\mathbf{2 6 4 . 6}$ | F (F) | $\mathbf{2 . 2 3}(4.45)$ |

### 4.9.8

## Pedestrian Crossing

Motorists travelling on Findlay Creek Drive approaching the Kelly Farm Drive intersection are presented with school crossing ahead signs in advance of the intersection. It should be noted by the City of Ottawa that school crossing signs are not present at the all-way stop crossing, as illustrated in Figure 21. It is recommended that the City of Ottawa review the signage at this intersection.

The Kelly Farm Drive at Bradwell Way intersection is currently signed as a school crossing on the south leg of the intersection as illustrated in Figure 22. The proposed school site is forecast to generate an additional 160 students walking trips within Findlay Creek to/from the school each day. Kelly Farm Drive is a collector road with an 11 metre curb-to-curb width in front of the proposed school, and the intersection is located more than 200 metres from the closest traffic control device. The City of Ottawa should monitor the Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way intersection to determine if a pedestrian crossover (PXO) becomes warranted.

Figure 21: Westbound Findlay Creek Drive missing School Crossing Signage at Kelly Farm


Figure 22: Northbound Kelly Farm Drive at Bradwell Way - School Crossing


## 5.0 <br> Summary/Conclusions

The Ottawa Catholic School Board is proposing to construct a new elementary school and childcare facility at 4140 Kelly Farm Drive in the Leitrim community. The site is located on the southwest corner of the Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way intersection. The proposed single storey elementary school is $4,630 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ (49,837 sq. ft.) and will provide a $275 \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ ( $2,960 \mathrm{sq}$. ft) childcare facility. The site plan includes the potential for up to 18 future portable classrooms, although the school board has indicated that they anticipate a maximum of to 9 portables. The school is planned to be fully operational by September 2024. The site zoning permits a school and childcare facility.

The site plan provides appropriate bicycle parking facilities, a total of six bicycle racks are proposed, each capable of supporting eight bicycles, for a total of 48 bicycle parking spaces. Pedestrian access from the public sidewalks are well defined and lead to the school doors. Adequate parking is provided to address the school parking demands and the short-term parking needs of the childcare centre.

The proposed site plan includes a defined parking lay-by area on Kelly Farm Drive to accommodate up to eight school buses, with an additional three school buses in the Bradwell Way lay-by if required. A parent drop-off/pick-up lay-by area is planned on Bradwell Way capable of accommodating up to 19 vehicles (if the school bus does not use the Bradwell Way lay-by), with the design in keeping with the City of Ottawa Local Residential Streets 30 km/h Design Toolbox guidelines.

It is forecast that Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way will meet the MMLOS targets for cycling and transit, however will only achieve a pedestrian LOS C and LOS B, respectively.

The school driveway to Kelly Farm Drive is anticipated to operate at LOS A with minimal delay during the weekday AM and PM peak hours. The intersection should operate under stop-control at the driveway, a formal stop sign is not required however could be provided.

All of the unsignalized intersections within the study area are forecast to operate at a very acceptable LOS to the 2030 future horizon year.

The signalized intersection of Bank Street and Findlay Creek Drive is anticipated to operate over capacity by 2025 based on the existing lane geometry. The city is currently preparing a detailed design for the reconstruction of the intersection to address the forecast capacity issues.

The following are recommended:

1. The City of Ottawa should review the School Crossing and Advance School Crossing signage at the Findlay Creek Drive and Kelly Farm Drive intersection for conformity with OTM Book 6.

September-22-4196
2. The City of Ottawa should monitor the Kelly Farm Drive and Bradwell Way intersection, which currently provides a signed school crossing. Over time, the crossing may warrant a pedestrian crossover (PXO).
3. Given the location of the parent drop-off, the school should provide an organized program to safely and efficiently bring the children between the two facilities, otherwise parents may walk their child into the school resulting in a lack of parking turnover in the lay-by area.
4. The school bell times should be offset at least 30 minutes from the adjacent Vimy Ridge Public School to avoid overlapping transportation demands.
5. The following TDM measures are to be provided:

- Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at school entrances;
- Provide links to OC Transpo and STO information on the school board website;
- Provide shower and lockers for staff use (these measures are provided); and,
- Consider offering preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit, or provide reimbursement of monthly transit passes for employees.


## Appendix A

## Traffic Count Data

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (2:30 PM-5 PM, 7:30 AM-9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on
Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962158, Location: 45.318765, -75.608157, Site Code: 40315103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L |  | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L U | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U |  | Ped* |  |
| 2022-06-07 7:30AM | 2 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 8 | 0 | 5 |  | 0 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 21 | 4 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 65 |
| 7:45AM | 3 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 5 |  | 0 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 70 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 24 | 4 | 0 | 33 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 10 |  | 0 | 28 | 7 | 7 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 53 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 135 |
| 8:00AM | 2 | 19 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | 15 | 2 | 6 | 20 | 3 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 89 |
| 8:15AM | 7 | 14 | 8 | 0 | 29 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 3 |  | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 74 |
| 8:30AM | 13 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 8 |  | 0 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 89 |
| 8:45AM | 4 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 70 |
| Hourly Total | 26 | 71 | 28 | 0 | 125 | 8 | 32 | 12 | 17 |  | 0 | 61 | 7 | 14 | 64 | 5 | 0 | 83 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 31 | 0 | 53 | 9 | 322 |
| 9:00AM | 5 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 10 |  | 0 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 107 |
| 9:15AM | 6 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 5 |  | 0 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 101 |
| Hourly Total | 11 | 58 | 6 | 0 | 75 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 15 |  | 0 | 33 | 10 | 10 | 57 | 3 | 0 | 70 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 30 | 5 | 208 |
| 2:30PM | 6 | 16 | 7 | 0 | 29 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 2 |  | 0 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 61 |
| 2:45PM | 6 | 25 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 2 |  | 0 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 65 |
| Hourly Total | 12 | 41 | 14 | 0 | 67 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 4 |  | 0 | 19 | 5 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 126 |
| 3:00PM | 11 | 20 | 8 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |  | 0 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 75 |
| 3:15PM | 9 | 24 | 11 | 0 | 44 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 |  | 1 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 80 |
| 3:30PM | 14 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 |  | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 106 |
| 3:45PM | 16 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 56 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 3 |  | 0 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 143 |
| Hourly Total | 50 | 111 | 37 | 0 | 198 | 6 | 19 | 14 | 18 |  | 1 | 52 | 8 | 19 | 77 | 9 | 0 | 105 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 30 | 0 | 49 | 8 | 404 |
| 4:00PM | 12 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 53 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 3 |  | 0 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 111 |
| 4:15PM | 13 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 |  | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 88 |
| 4:30PM | 12 | 23 | 13 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 5 |  | 0 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 88 |
| 4:45PM | 16 | 21 | 9 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 7 |  | 0 | 20 | 3 | 7 | 32 | 6 | 0 | 45 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 127 |
| Hourly Total | 53 | 97 | 53 | 0 | 203 | 4 | 26 | 11 | 18 |  | 0 | 55 | 8 | 19 | 82 | 12 | 0 | 113 | 0 | 12 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 43 | 1 | 414 |
| Total | 157 | 402 | 142 | 0 | 701 | 31 | 119 | 46 | 82 |  | 1 | 248 | 45 | 74 | 344 | 35 | 0 | 453 | 7 | 41 | 51 | 115 | 0 | 207 | 29 | 1609 |
| \% Approach | 22.4\% 5 | 57.3\% | 20.3\% 0 |  | - |  | 48.0\% | 18.5\% | 33.1\% |  | .4\% | - |  | 16.3\% | 75.9\% | 7.7\% 0\% |  | - |  | 19.8\% | 24.6\% | 55.6\% 0 |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 9.8\% | 25.0\% | 8.8\% 0 | 0\% | 43.6\% |  | 7.4\% | 2.9\% | 5.1\% |  | .1\% 1 | 15.4\% |  | 4.6\% | 21.4\% | 2.2\% 0\% | \% | 28.2\% |  | 2.5\% | 3.2\% | 7.1\% 0 | \% | 2.9\% |  |  |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 155 | 381 | 127 | 0 | 663 | - | 109 | 46 | 77 |  | 1 | 233 | - | 70 | 330 | 12 | 0 | 412 | - | 18 | 50 | 110 | 0 | 178 |  | 1486 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 98.7\% 9 | 94.8\% | 89.4\% 0 | 0\% | 94.6\% |  | 91.6\% | 100\% | 93.9\% |  | 0\% 9 | 94.0\% |  | 94.6\% | 95.9\% | 34.3\% 0\% | \% 9 | 90.9\% |  | 43.9\% | 98.0\% | 95.7\% 0 | \%\% | 6.0\% |  | 92.4\% |
| Heavy | 2 | 21 | 14 | 0 | 37 | - | 8 | 0 | 4 |  | 0 | 12 | - | 4 | 14 | 23 | 0 | 41 | - | 23 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 29 |  | 119 |
| \% Heavy | 1.3\% | 5.2\% | 9.9\% 0 | 0\% | 5.3\% | - | 6.7\% | 0\% | 4.9\% |  | 0\% | 4.8\% | - | 5.4\% | 4.1\% | 65.7\% 0\% |  | 9.1\% | - | 56.1\% | 2.0\% | 4.3\% 0 | \% 1 | 4.0\% |  | 7.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 4 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0.7\% 0 |  | 0.1\% | - | 1.7\% | 0\% | 1.2\% |  | 0\% | 1.2\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0.2\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 30 | - | - | - |  | - | - | 44 | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | - | - | - | - | - | 26 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 96.8\% | - | - | - |  | - |  | 97.8\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 89.7\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | - |  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 3.2\% | - | - | - |  | - | - | 2.2\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - |  | 10.3\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (2:30 PM-5 PM, 7:30 AM-9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962158, Location: 45.318765, -75.608157, Site Code: 40315103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 1279
In: 701
Out: 578


Out: 525
In: 453
Total: 978
[S] South

5571092 - COVID - WHITE ALDER AVE @ KELLY FA... - TMC
Tue Jun 7, 2022
AM Peak (8:30 AM - 9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on
Crosswalk)
$(\stackrel{\square}{\square}$ HMO
Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

ID: 962158, Location: 45.318765, -75.608157, Site Code: 40315103

| Leg <br> Direction | North Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L |  | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U |  | Ped* |  |
| 2022-06-07 8:30AM | 13 | 17 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 1 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 89 |
| 8:45AM | 4 | 21 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 70 |
| 9:00AM | 5 | 31 | 2 | 0 | 38 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 9 | 5 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 1 | 107 |
| 9:15AM | 6 | 27 | 4 | 0 | 37 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 37 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 12 | 4 | 101 |
| Total | 28 | 96 | 18 | 0 | 142 | 9 | 26 | 7 | 27 | 0 | 60 | 15 | 17 | 86 | 5 | 0 | 108 | 1 | 11 | 12 | 34 | 0 | 57 | 10 | 367 |
| \% Approach | 19.7\% | 67.6\% | 12.7\% 0\% |  | - |  | 43.3\% | 11.7\% | 45.0\% 0 |  | - |  | 15.7\% | 79.6\% | 4.6\% 0 |  | - |  | 19.3\% | 21.1\% | 59.6\% |  |  |  | - |
| \% Total | 7.6\% | 26.2\% | 4.9\% 0\% | \% | 38.7\% |  | 7.1\% | 1.9\% | 7.4\% 0 | 0\% 16 | 16.3\% |  | 4.6\% | 23.4\% | 1.4\% 0 | 0\% | 29.4\% |  | 3.0\% | 3.3\% | 9.3\% | \% | 5.5\% |  | - |
| PHF | 0.538 | 0.774 | 0.750 |  | 0.934 | - | 0.722 | 0.583 | 0.675 |  | 0.789 |  | 0.850 | 0.694 | 0.625 | - | 0.730 |  | 0.458 | 0.600 | 0.850 | - | 0.792 |  | 0.857 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 28 | 93 | 18 | 0 | 139 | - | 26 | 7 | 25 | 0 | 58 |  | 17 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 99 |  | 7 | 12 | 32 | 0 | 51 |  | 347 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 96.9\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 9 | 97.9\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 92.6\% 0 | 0\% 96 | 96.7\% |  | 100\% | 94.2\% | 20.0\% 0 | \% | 91.7\% |  | 63.6\% | 100\% | 94.1\% | \% | 9.5\% |  | 94.6\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |  | 20 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 3.1\% | 0\% 0 | \% | 2.1\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 7.4\% 0 | 0\% | 3.3\% |  | 0\% | 5.8\% | 80.0\% 0 |  | 8.3\% |  | 36.4\% | 0\% | 5.9\% | \% 1 | 0.5\% |  | 5.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 90.0\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  | 10.0\% | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7, 2022
AM Peak (8:30 AM - 9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962158, Location: 45.318765, -75.608157, Site Code: 40315103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 288
In: $142 \quad$ Out: 146


Out: 134 In: 108
Total: 242
[S] South

5571092 - COVID - WHITE ALDER AVE @ KELLY FA... - TMC
Tue Jun 7, 2022
PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on
Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962158, Location: 45.318765, -75.608157, Site Code: 40315103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | South <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App |  | R | T | L | U |  | Ped* |  |
| 2022-06-07 3:30PM | 14 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 106 |
| 3:45PM | 16 | 30 | 10 | 0 | 56 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 40 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 14 | 0 | 22 | 4 | 143 |
| 4:00PM | 12 | 26 | 15 | 0 | 53 | , | 10 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 111 |
| 4:15PM | 13 | 27 | 16 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 16 | 0 | - 1 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 88 |
| Total | 55 | 120 | 49 | 0 | 224 | 6 | 23 | 14 | 18 | 0 | 55 | 12 | 20 | 89 | 9 | 0 | 118 | 2 | 6 | 19 | 26 | 0 | 51 | 6 | 448 |
| \% Approach | 24.6\% | 53.6\% | 21.9\% 0\% |  | - |  | 41.8\% | 25.5\% | 32.7\% 0 |  | - |  | 16.9\% 7 | 75.4\% | 7.6\% 0\% |  | - |  | 11.8\% | 37.3\% | 51.0\% |  | - |  |  |
| \% Total | 12.3\% | 26.8\% 10 | 10.9\% 0 | \% 5 | 50.0\% |  | 5.1\% | 3.1\% | 4.0\% | 0\% | 12.3\% | - | 4.5\% | 19.9\% | 2.0\% 0\% | \% 2 | 26.3\% |  | 1.3\% | 4.2\% | 5.8\% | \% 1 | 1.4\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.859 | 0.811 | 0.766 | - | 0.949 |  | 0.575 | 0.700 | 0.500 | - | 0.917 |  | 0.625 | 0.556 | 0.750 | - | 0.590 |  | 0.500 | 0.679 | 0.464 | - | . 580 |  | 0.783 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 55 | 113 | 46 | 0 | 214 |  | 21 | 14 | 17 | 0 | 52 |  | 19 | 85 | 5 | 0 | 109 |  | 2 | 19 | 24 | 0 | 45 |  | 420 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 94.2\% | 93.9\% 0\% | \% 9 | 95.5\% |  | 91.3\% | 100\% | 94.4\% | 0\% | 94.5\% |  | 95.0\% 9 | 95.5\% | 55.6\% 0\% | \% 9 | 92.4\% |  | 33.3\% | 100\% | 92.3\% | \% 8 | 8.2\% |  | 93.8\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 10 | - | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | - | 1 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 9 |  | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 |  | 28 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 5.8\% | 6.1\% 0 | \% | 4.5\% | - | 8.7\% | 0\% | 5.6\% |  | 5.5\% | - | 5.0\% | 4.5\% | 44.4\% 0\% | \% | 7.6\% |  | 66.7\% | 0\% | 7.7\% | \% 1 | 1.8\% |  | 6.3\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 5 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - |  | 83.3\% | - | - | - | - |  | 91.7\% | - | - | - | - |  | 100\% | - | - | - | - |  | 83.3\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - |  | 16.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | 8.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - |  | 16.7\% | - |

[^3]PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962158, Location: 45.318765, -75.608157, Site Code: 40315103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 362
In: $224 \quad$ Out: 138


Out: 144 In: 118
Total: 262
[S] South

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (7:30 AM-9:30 AM, 2:30 PM-5 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962150, Location: 45.31821, -75.60458, Site Code: 40314103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,

| Leg <br> Direction | Eastbound St. <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Southbound St. Westbound |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound St. <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound St. Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App |  | R | T |  | L | U |  | App | Ped* | R |  | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U |  |  |  |
| 2022-06-07 7:30AM | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 4 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 5 | 1 | 0 |  | 22 | 3 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 46 |
| 7:45AM | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 17 | 6 | 0 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 44 |
| Hourly Total | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 7 | 2 |  |  | 39 | 9 | 0 | 48 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 90 |
| 8:00AM | 0 | 24 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 16 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 52 |
| 8:15AM | 2 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  |  | 12 | 8 | 0 | 21 | 9 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 1 | 59 |
| 8:30AM | 2 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 47 |
| 8:45AM | 2 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 56 |
| Hourly Total | 6 | 89 | 2 | 0 | 97 | 0 | 3 |  |  | 4 | 0 |  | 9 |  |  |  | 58 | 9 | 0 | 71 | 14 | 32 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 37 | 9 | 214 |
| 9:00AM | 2 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 25 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 15 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 106 |
| 9:15AM | 1 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 35 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 102 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 3 | 12 |  |  | 60 | 13 | 0 | 75 | 20 | 36 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 47 | 13 | 208 |
| 2:30PM | 1 | 20 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 42 |
| 2:45PM | 1 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 51 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 46 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 32 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 93 |
| 3:00PM | 1 | 21 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 19 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 50 |
| 3:15PM | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 59 |
| 3:30PM | 2 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  | 3 |  |  |  | 16 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 87 |
| 3:45PM | 3 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 42 | 5 | 0 | 49 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 114 |
| Hourly Total | 6 | 124 | 3 | 0 | 133 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  | 10 |  |  |  | 92 | 10 | 0 | 107 | 22 | 40 | 2 | 18 | 0 | 60 | 27 | 310 |
| 4:00PM | 1 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 24 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 62 |
| 4:15PM | 3 | 22 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 1 |  |  | 16 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 51 |
| 4:30PM | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 15 | 1 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 52 |
| 4:45PM | 1 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 1 |  |  |  | 48 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 92 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 106 | 4 | 1 | 116 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  | 4 |  |  |  | 03 | 15 | 1 | 127 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 257 |
| Total | 22 | 478 | 11 | 1 | 512 | 0 | 11 | 6 |  | 18 | 0 |  | 35 | 38 | 21 |  | 34 | 57 | 1 | 463 | 64 | 120 | 8 | 34 | 0 | 162 | 55 | 1172 |
| \% Approach | 4.3\% | 93.4\% | 2.1\% | 0.2\% | - |  | 31.4\% 1 | 17.1\% |  | 1.4\% 0\% |  |  | - |  |  |  | \% |  |  | - |  | 74.1\% |  | 1.0\% 0 |  |  |  |  |
| \% Total | 1.9\% | 40.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.1\% | 43.7\% |  | 0.9\% | 0.5\% |  | 1.5\% 0\% |  |  | 3.0\% |  | 1.8\% | 32. |  | 4.9\% | 0.1\% | 39.5\% |  | 10.2\% | .7\% | 2.9\% 0 | 0\% | 3.8\% |  |  |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 22 | 434 | 11 | 1 | 468 |  | 11 | 5 |  | 17 | 0 |  | 33 |  | 20 |  | 43 | 53 | 1 | 417 |  | 116 | 6 | 34 | 0 | 156 |  | 1074 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 90.8\% | 100\% | 100\% | 91.4\% |  | 100\% 8 | 83.3\% |  | 4.4\% 0\% |  |  | 4.3\% |  | 95.2\% | 89. | \% | 93.0\% | 100\% | 90.1\% |  | 96.7\% | 5.0\% | 100\% | \% |  |  | 91.6\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 44 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 41 | 4 | 0 | 45 |  | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  | 94 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 9.2\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8.6\% |  | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 10. |  | 7.0\% | 0\% | 9.7\% |  | 3.3\% | 2.5\% | 0\% |  | 3.1\% |  | 8.0\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  | 2 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% 1 | 16.7\% |  | 5.6\% 0\% |  |  | 5.7\% |  | 4.8\% |  | \% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.2\% |  | 0\% | 2.5\% | 0\% |  | 0.6\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | 59 | - | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  |  | 84.2\% |  |  | - | - | - |  | 92.2\% | - | - | - | - |  | 90.9\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | - |  |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  |  | - | - |  | - | 15.8\% |  |  | - |  | - | - | 7.8\% | - | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% |  |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (7:30 AM-9:30 AM, 2:30 PM-5 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962150, Location: 45.31821, -75.60458, Site Code: 40314103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] Eastbound St.
Total: 942
In: $512 \quad$ Out: 430


Out: 617
In: 463
Total: 1080
[S] Westbound St.

Tue Jun 7, 2022
AM Peak (8:30 AM - 9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
ID: 962150, Location: 45.31821, -75.60458, Site Code: 40314103

| Leg <br> Direction | Eastbound St. Southbound |  |  |  |  | Southbound St. Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound St. <br> Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound St. Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L U | App |  |  | T | L | U |  | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U |  | Ped* |  |
| 2022-06-07 8:30AM | 2 | 22 | 10 | 25 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 47 |
| 8:45AM | 2 | 26 | 0 0 | 28 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 56 |
| 9:00AM | 2 | 46 | 0 0 | 48 | 0 |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 6 | 0 |  | 15 | 20 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 26 | 9 | 106 |
| 9:15AM | 1 | 34 | 0 0 | 35 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 35 | 7 | 0 | 44 | 5 | 16 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 21 | 4 | 102 |
| Total | 7 | 128 | 10 | 136 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 14 | 3 | 90 | 14 | 0 | 107 | 22 | 50 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 62 | 18 | 311 |
| \% Approach | 5.1\% | 94.1\% | 0.7\% 0\% | - |  | 50.0\% 0\% | \% 50 | 50.0\% 0\% |  | - |  | 2.8\% 8 | 84.1\% | 13.1\% 0 |  | - |  | 80.6\% | 4.8\% | 14.5\% 0\% |  |  |  |  |
| \% Total | 2.3\% | 41.2\% | 0.3\% 0\% | 43.7\% | - | 1.0\% 0\% | \% | 1.0\% 0\% | \% |  |  | 1.0\% | 28.9\% | 4.5\% 0 | \% | 4.4\% |  | 16.1\% | 1.0\% | 2.9\% 0\% | \% | 9.9\% |  |  |
| PHF | 0.875 | 0.6960 | 0.250 | 0.708 |  | 0.750 | - 0 | 0.750 |  | 0.750 |  | 0.375 | 0.643 | 0.500 | - | 0.608 |  | 0.625 | 0.500 | 0.450 | - | 0.610 |  | 0.738 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 7 | 119 | 10 | 127 | - | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | - | 3 | 80 | 13 | 0 |  | - | 49 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 59 |  | 288 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 93.0\% | 100\% 0\% | 93.4\% | - | 100\% 0\% | \% | 100\% 0\% | \% 1 | 100\% |  | 100\% 8 | 88.9\% | 92.9\% 0\% | \% | 9.7\% |  | 98.0\% | 33.3\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 9 | 5.2\% |  | 92.6\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 9 | $0 \quad 0$ | 9 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  | 22 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 7.0\% | 0\% 0\% | 6.6\% | - | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% 0\% | \% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 1.1\% | 7.1\% 0 | \% | 0.3\% |  | 2.0\% | 33.3\% | 0\% 0\% | \% | 3.2\% |  | 7.1\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | $0 \quad 0$ | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 1 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% | 33.3\% | 0\% 0 |  | 1.6\% |  | 0.3\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | - | - | - | - | - | 14 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 78.6\% | - | - | - | - |  | 86.4\% | - | - | - | - |  | 77.8\% |  |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - - | - | 0 |  | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | 4 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | 21.4\% | - | - | - | - |  | 13.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | 22.2\% |  |

[^4]AM Peak (8:30 AM - 9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962150, Location: 45.31821, -75.60458, Site Code: 40314103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] Eastbound St.
Total: 238
In: 136 Out: 102


Out: 181 In: 107
Total: 288
[S] Westbound St.

5571092 - COVID - BRADWELL WAY @ KELLY FARM ... - TMC
Tue Jun 7, 2022
PM Peak (3:15 PM - 4:15 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on
Crosswalk)
$(\sim)+$ OWM
Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr, Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

ID: 962150, Location: 45.31821, -75.60458, Site Code: 40314103

| Leg <br> Direction | Eastbound St. <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  |  | Southbound St. Westbound |  |  |  |  |  | Westbound St. Northbound |  |  |  |  |  | Northbound St. Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  | Int |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | T | L | U | App |  | R | T | L | U |  | Ped* | R | T | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | L | U |  | Ped* |  |
| 2022-06-07 3:15PM | 0 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 29 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 6 | 59 |
| 3:30PM | 2 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 87 |
| 3:45PM | 3 | 31 | 1 | 0 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 42 | 5 | 0 | 49 | 20 | 16 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 15 | 114 |
| 4:00PM | 1 | 25 | 1 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 62 |
| Total | 6 | 128 | 3 | 1 | 138 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 5 | 97 | 14 | 0 | 116 | 22 | 38 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 59 | 27 | 322 |
| \% Approach | 4.3\% 9 | 92.8\% | 2.2\% | 0.7\% | - |  | 22.2\% | 11.1\% | 66.7\% |  | - |  | 4.3\% | 83.6\% | 12.1\% 0 |  | - |  | 64.4\% | 3.4\% | 32.2\% 0\% |  |  | - | - |
| \% Total | 1.9\% | 39.8\% | 0.9\% | 0.3\% | 42.9\% |  | 0.6\% | 0.3\% | 1.9\% | 0\% | 2.8\% |  | 1.6\% | 30.1\% | 4.3\% | 0\% | 36.0\% |  | 11.8\% | 0.6\% | 5.9\% 0\% | \% 1 | 8.3\% |  | - |
| PHF | 0.500 | 0.727 | 0.750 | 0.250 | 0.750 |  | 0.500 | 0.250 | 0.750 | - | 0.750 |  | 0.625 | 0.577 | 0.700 | - | 0.592 |  | 0.5940 | 0.250 | 0.396 | - | 0.527 |  | 0.706 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 6 | 112 | 3 | 1 | 122 |  | 2 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 9 |  | 5 | 88 | 12 | 0 | 105 |  | 37 | 2 | 19 | 0 | 58 |  | 294 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% 8 | 87.5\% | 100\% | 100\% | 88.4\% | - | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% |  | 100\% | 90.7\% | 85.7\% 0 | 0\% | 90.5\% |  | 97.4\% 1 | 100\% | 100\% 0\% | \% 9 | 8.3\% |  | 91.3\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 16 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 9 | 2 | 0 | 11 |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 28 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% 1 | 12.5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11.6\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 9.3\% | 14.3\% 0 |  | 9.5\% |  | 2.6\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 1.7\% | - | 8.7\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% 0\% |  | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - - | - | - | 10 | - | - | - | - | - | 21 | - | - | - | - | - | 26 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | 90.9\% | - | - | - | - |  | 95.5\% | - | - | - | - |  | 96.3\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | 0 |  | - | - - |  | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9.1\% | - | - | - | - | - | 4.5\% | - | - | - | - | - | 3.7\% | - |

[^5]PM Peak (3:15 PM - 4:15 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962150, Location: 45.31821, -75.60458, Site Code: 40314103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] Eastbound St.
Total: 257

In: $138 \quad$ Out: 119 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |



Total: 288
[S] Westbound St.

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (7:30 AM-9:30 AM, 2:30 PM-5 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962149, Location: 45.314963, -75.606975, Site Code: 40313103
$(\cdots)+$ OMO
Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2022-06-07 7:30AM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 101 |
| 7:45AM | 2 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 117 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 125 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 218 |
| 8:00AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 107 |
| 8:15AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 135 |
| 8:30AM | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 124 |
| 8:45AM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 130 |
| Hourly Total | 13 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 2 | 3 | 228 | 0 | 231 | 0 | 244 | 4 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 496 |
| 9:00AM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 136 |
| 9:15AM | 3 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 63 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 110 |
| Hourly Total | 5 | 4 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 3 | 126 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 107 | 1 | 0 | 108 | 0 | 246 |
| 2:30PM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 47 | 0 | 50 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 112 |
| 2:45PM | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 53 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 124 |
| Hourly Total | 3 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 100 | 0 | 104 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 0 | 236 |
| 3:00PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 115 |
| 3:15PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 50 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 54 | 2 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 109 |
| 3:30PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 87 | 2 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 148 |
| 3:45PM | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 91 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 168 |
| Hourly Total | 9 | 10 | 0 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 247 | 0 | 258 | 0 | 256 | 7 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 540 |
| 4:00PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 141 |
| 4:15PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 127 |
| 4:30PM | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 114 |
| 4:45PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 83 | 0 | 72 | 1 | 0 | 73 | 0 | 156 |
| Hourly Total | 4 | 3 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 249 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 274 | 7 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 538 |
| Total | 39 | 26 | 0 | 65 | 23 | 22 | 1075 | 0 | 1097 | 0 | 1093 | 19 | 0 | 1112 | 0 | 2274 |
| \% Approach | 60.0\% | 40.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 2.0\% | 98.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 98.3\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 1.7\% | 1.1\% | 0\% | 2.9\% | - | 1.0\% | 47.3\% | 0\% | 48.2\% | - | 48.1\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 48.9\% | - | - |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 39 | 24 | 0 | 63 | - | 21 | 1028 | 0 | 1049 | - | 1056 | 18 | 0 | 1074 | - | 2186 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 92.3\% | 0\% | 96.9\% | - | 95.5\% | 95.6\% | 0\% | 95.6\% | - | 96.6\% | 94.7\% | 0\% | 96.6\% | - | 96.1\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | - | 0 | 46 | 0 | 46 | - | 36 | 1 | 0 | 37 | - | 85 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 7.7\% | 0\% | 3.1\% | - | 0\% | 4.3\% | 0\% | 4.2\% | - | 3.3\% | 5.3\% | 0\% | 3.3\% | - | 3.7\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | - | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | - | 3 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 4.5\% | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0.2\% | - | 0.1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0.1\% | - | 0.1\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 22 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 95.7\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 4.3\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (7:30 AM-9:30 AM, 2:30 PM-5 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962149, Location: 45.314963, -75.606975, Site Code: 40313103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 106
In: 65 Out: 41


Tue Jun 7, 2022
AM Peak (8:15 AM - 9:15 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962149, Location: 45.314963, -75.606975, Site Code: 40313103
$(\cdots)+$ OMO
Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | L |  | App | Ped* | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2022-06-07 8:15AM | 2 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 71 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 135 |
| 8:30AM | 7 | 2 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 47 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 124 |
| 8:45AM | 4 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 54 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 66 | 3 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 130 |
| 9:00AM | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 63 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 65 | 1 | 0 | 66 | 0 | 136 |
| Total | 15 | 7 | 0 | 22 | 6 | 5 | 235 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 259 | 4 | 0 | 263 | 0 | 525 |
| \% Approach | 68.2\% | 31.8\% | 0\% | - | - | 2.1\% | 97.9\% | 0\% | - | - | 98.5\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 2.9\% | 1.3\% | 0\% | 4.2\% | - | 1.0\% | 44.8\% | 0\% | 45.7\% | - | 49.3\% | 0.8\% | 0\% | 50.1\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.536 | 0.583 | - | 0.611 | - | 0.417 | 0.827 | - | 0.845 | - | 0.952 | 0.333 | - | 0.953 | - | 0.965 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 15 | 6 | 0 | 21 | - | 5 | 223 | 0 | 228 | - | 252 | 4 | 0 | 256 | - | 505 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 85.7\% | 0\% | 95.5\% | - | 100\% | 94.9\% | 0\% | 95.0\% | - | 97.3\% | 100\% | 0\% | 97.3\% | - | 96.2\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | - | 7 | 0 | 0 | 7 | - | 20 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 14.3\% | 0\% | 4.5\% | - | 0\% | 5.1\% | 0\% | 5.0\% | - | 2.7\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.7\% | - | 3.8\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 6 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

AM Peak (8:15 AM - 9:15 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962149, Location: 45.314963, -75.606975, Site Code: 40313103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 31
In: 22
Out: 9


Tue Jun 7, 2022
PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962149, Location: 45.314963, -75.606975, Site Code: 40313103
$(\sim)+C M A$
Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2022-06-07 3:30PM | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 87 | 2 | 0 | 89 | 0 | 148 |
| 3:45PM | 8 | 3 | 0 | 11 | 4 | 5 | 91 | 0 | 96 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 168 |
| 4:00PM | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 141 |
| 4:15PM | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 60 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 62 | 3 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 127 |
| Total | 10 | 10 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 8 | 257 | 0 | 265 | 0 | 290 | 9 | 0 | 299 | 0 | 584 |
| \% Approach | 50.0\% | 50.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 3.0\% | 97.0\% | 0\% | - | - | 97.0\% | 3.0\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 1.7\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | 3.4\% | - | 1.4\% | 44.0\% | 0\% | 45.4\% | - | 49.7\% | 1.5\% | 0\% | 51.2\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.313 | 0.625 | - | 0.455 | - | 0.400 | 0.703 | - | 0.688 | - | 0.833 | 0.750 | - | 0.840 | - | 0.868 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 10 | 10 | 0 | 20 | - | 8 | 249 | 0 | 257 | - | 280 | 8 | 0 | 288 | - | 565 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 96.9\% | 0\% | 97.0\% | - | 96.6\% | 88.9\% | 0\% | 96.3\% | - | 96.7\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | - | 10 | 1 | 0 | 11 | - | 18 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 2.7\% | 0\% | 2.6\% | - | 3.4\% | 11.1\% | 0\% | 3.7\% | - | 3.1\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 1 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0.4\% | 0\% | 0.4\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0.2\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 80.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 20.0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

PM Peak (3:30 PM - 4:30 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962149, Location: 45.314963, -75.606975, Site Code: 40313103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 37
In: 20 Out: 17
윽으


Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (7:30 AM-9:30 AM, 2:30 PM-5 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962134, Location: 45.318414, -75.598857, Site Code: 40310103
$(\cdots)+$ OMO
Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2022-06-07 7:30AM | 7 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 55 | 2 | 0 | 57 | 0 | 108 |
| 7:45AM | 6 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 73 | 2 | 0 | 75 | 0 | 137 |
| Hourly Total | 13 | 14 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 3 | 83 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 128 | 4 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 245 |
| 8:00AM | 10 | 6 | 0 | 16 | 2 | 8 | 48 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 75 | 1 | 0 | 76 | 1 | 148 |
| 8:15AM | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 7 | 48 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 62 | 0 | 128 |
| 8:30AM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 50 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 147 |
| 8:45AM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 140 |
| Hourly Total | 18 | 25 | 0 | 43 | 7 | 29 | 206 | 0 | 235 | 0 | 281 | 4 | 0 | 285 | 1 | 563 |
| 9:00AM | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 58 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 145 |
| 9:15AM | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 138 |
| Hourly Total | 2 | 19 | 0 | 21 | 14 | 8 | 101 | 0 | 109 | 0 | 151 | 2 | 0 | 153 | 0 | 283 |
| 2:30PM | 4 | 4 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 4 | 56 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 138 |
| 2:45PM | 4 | 6 | 0 | 10 | 3 | 14 | 58 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 73 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 156 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 10 | 0 | 18 | 5 | 18 | 114 | 0 | 132 | 0 | 138 | 6 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 294 |
| 3:00PM | 4 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 7 | 69 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 146 |
| 3:15PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 68 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 58 | 1 | 0 | 59 | 0 | 154 |
| 3:30PM | 0 | 9 | 0 | 9 | 12 | 13 | 56 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 65 | 5 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 148 |
| 3:45PM | 3 | 11 | 0 | 14 | 7 | 13 | 50 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 167 |
| Hourly Total | 8 | 36 | 0 | 44 | 21 | 51 | 243 | 0 | 294 | 0 | 268 | 9 | 0 | 277 | 0 | 615 |
| 4:00PM | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 140 |
| 4:15PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 71 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 171 |
| 4:30PM | 4 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 73 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 180 |
| 4:45PM | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 73 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 173 |
| Hourly Total | 9 | 30 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 28 | 265 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 315 | 17 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 664 |
| Total | 58 | 134 | 0 | 192 | 48 | 137 | 1012 | 0 | 1149 | 0 | 1281 | 42 | 0 | 1323 | 1 | 2664 |
| \% Approach | 30.2\% | 69.8\% | 0\% | - | - | 11.9\% | 88.1\% | 0\% | - | - | 96.8\% | 3.2\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 2.2\% | 5.0\% | 0\% | 7.2\% | - | 5.1\% | 38.0\% | 0\% | 43.1\% | - | 48.1\% | 1.6\% | 0\% | 49.7\% | - | - |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 58 | 131 | 0 | 189 | - | 134 | 961 | 0 | 1095 | - | 1232 | 38 | 0 | 1270 | - | 2554 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 97.8\% | 0\% | 98.4\% | - | 97.8\% | 95.0\% | 0\% | 95.3\% | - | 96.2\% | 90.5\% | 0\% | 96.0\% | - | 95.9\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | - | 3 | 51 | 0 | 54 | - | 49 | 4 | 0 | 53 | - | 110 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 2.2\% | 0\% | 1.6\% | - | 2.2\% | 5.0\% | 0\% | 4.7\% | - | 3.8\% | 9.5\% | 0\% | 4.0\% | - | 4.1\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 43 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 1 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 89.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 5 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 10.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7, 2022
Full Length (7:30 AM-9:30 AM, 2:30 PM-5 PM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962134, Location: 45.318414, -75.598857, Site Code: 40310103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 371
In: 192 Out: 179
~~


Tue Jun 7, 2022
AM Peak (8:30 AM - 9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962134, Location: 45.318414, -75.598857, Site Code: 40310103
$(\sim)+C M A$
Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2022-06-07 8:30AM | 3 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 50 | 0 | 56 | 0 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 147 |
| 8:45AM | 4 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 60 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 140 |
| 9:00AM | 1 | 9 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 5 | 58 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 0 | 145 |
| 9:15AM | 1 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 2 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 46 | 0 | 79 | 2 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 138 |
| Total | 9 | 28 | 0 | 37 | 17 | 22 | 211 | 0 | 233 | 0 | 295 | 5 | 0 | 300 | 0 | 570 |
| \% Approach | 24.3\% | 75.7\% | 0\% | - | - | 9.4\% | 90.6\% | 0\% | - | - | 98.3\% | 1.7\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 1.6\% | 4.9\% | 0\% | 6.5\% | - | 3.9\% | 37.0\% | 0\% | 40.9\% | - | 51.8\% | 0.9\% | 0\% | 52.6\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.563 | 0.700 | - | 0.841 | - | 0.688 | 0.879 | - | 0.857 | - | 0.910 | 0.417 | - | 0.893 | - | 0.969 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 9 | 28 | 0 | 37 | - | 22 | 199 | 0 | 221 | - | 282 | 5 | 0 | 287 | - | 545 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 100\% | - | 100\% | 94.3\% | 0\% | 94.8\% | - | 95.6\% | 100\% | 0\% | 95.7\% | - | 95.6\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | - | 13 | 0 | 0 | 13 | - | 25 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 5.7\% | 0\% | 5.2\% | - | 4.4\% | 0\% | 0\% | 4.3\% | - | 4.4\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 14 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 82.4\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 3 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 17.6\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7, 2022
AM Peak (8:30 AM - 9:30 AM)
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962134, Location: 45.318414, -75.598857, Site Code: 40310103

Provided by: City of Ottawa
100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA
[N] North
Total: 64
In: 37 Out: 27
$の \stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$


PM Peak (4 PM - 5 PM) - Overall Peak Hour
All Classes (Lights and Motorcycles, Heavy, Pedestrians, Bicycles on Road, Bicycles on Crosswalk)
All Movements
ID: 962134, Location: 45.318414, -75.598857, Site Code: 40310103
()$\left.^{\circ}\right)+$ MM

Provided by: City of Ottawa 100 Constellation Dr,
Nepean, ON, K2G 5J9, CA

| Leg <br> Direction | North <br> Southbound |  |  |  |  | East <br> Westbound |  |  |  |  | West <br> Eastbound |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time | R | L | U | App | Ped* | R | T | U | App | Ped* | T | L | U | App | Ped* | Int |
| 2022-06-07 4:00PM | 2 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 48 | 0 | 58 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 140 |
| 4:15PM | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 5 | 71 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 84 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 0 | 171 |
| 4:30PM | 4 | 9 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 6 | 73 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 83 | 5 | 0 | 88 | 0 | 180 |
| 4:45PM | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 73 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 80 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 0 | 173 |
| Total | 9 | 30 | 0 | 39 | 1 | 28 | 265 | 0 | 293 | 0 | 315 | 17 | 0 | 332 | 0 | 664 |
| \% Approach | 23.1\% | 76.9\% | 0\% | - | - | 9.6\% | 90.4\% | 0\% | - | - | 94.9\% | 5.1\% | 0\% | - | - | - |
| \% Total | 1.4\% | 4.5\% | 0\% | 5.9\% | - | 4.2\% | 39.9\% | 0\% | 44.1\% | - | 47.4\% | 2.6\% | 0\% | 50.0\% | - | - |
| PHF | 0.563 | 0.833 | - | 0.750 | - | 0.700 | 0.908 | - | 0.916 | - | 0.938 | 0.708 | - | 0.943 | - | 0.922 |
| Lights and Motorcycles | 9 | 29 | 0 | 38 | - | 28 | 254 | 0 | 282 | - | 306 | 17 | 0 | 323 | - | 643 |
| \% Lights and Motorcycles | 100\% | 96.7\% | 0\% | 97.4\% | - | 100\% | 95.8\% | 0\% | 96.2\% | - | 97.1\% | 100\% | 0\% | 97.3\% | - | 96.8\% |
| Heavy | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | - | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 | - | 9 | 0 | 0 | 9 | - | 21 |
| \% Heavy | 0\% | 3.3\% | 0\% | 2.6\% | - | 0\% | 4.2\% | 0\% | 3.8\% | - | 2.9\% | 0\% | 0\% | 2.7\% | - | 3.2\% |
| Bicycles on Road | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 |
| \% Bicycles on Road | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | - | 0\% |
| Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Pedestrians | - | - | - | - | 100\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 | - | - | - | - | 0 |  |
| \% Bicycles on Crosswalk | - | - | - | - | 0\% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

*Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

Tue Jun 7， 2022
PM Peak（4 PM－ 5 PM）－Overall Peak Hour
All Classes（Lights and Motorcycles，Heavy，Pedestrians，Bicycles on Road，Bicycles on Crosswalk）
All Movements
ID：962134，Location：45．318414，－75．598857，Site Code： 40310103
［N］North
Total： 84
In： 39 Out： 45
の品


## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## FINDLAY CREEK DR @ BANK ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 04, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 39205
Device: Miovision


Comments

## Transportation Services - Traffic Services

## Turning Movement Count - Peak Hour Diagram

## FINDLAY CREEK DR @ BANK ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 04, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No: 39205
Device: Miovision


Comments

FINDLAY CREEK DR @ BANK ST

Survey Date: Wednesday, December 04, 2019
Start Time: 07:00

WO No:
39205
Device:
Full Study Summary (8 HR Standard)

Total Observed U-Turns

| Northbound: | 1 | Southbound: | 2 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eastbound: | 1 | Westbound: | 0 |

AADT Factor
1.00
BANK ST

| Period | Northbound |  |  | Southbound |  |  |  |  | Eastbound |  |  |  |  | Westbound |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WB } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grand } \\ & \text { Total } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { NB } \\ \text { TOT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { SB } \\ \text { TOT } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { STR } \\ & \text { TOT } \end{aligned}$ | LT | ST | RT | $\begin{array}{r} \text { EB } \\ \text { TOT } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | LT | ST | RT |  |  |  |
| 07:00 08:00 | 15 | 406 | 1 | 422 | 14 | 289 | 73 | 376 | 798 | 372 | 4 | 22 | 398 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 403 | 1201 |
| 08:00 09:00 | 28 | 389 | 3 | 420 | 5 | 318 | 134 | 457 | 877 | 345 | 8 | 32 | 385 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 391 | 1268 |
| 09:00 10:00 | 14 | 358 | 3 | 375 | 2 | 261 | 104 | 367 | 742 | 237 | 5 | 20 | 262 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 273 | 1015 |
| 11:30 12:30 | 19 | 330 | 5 | 354 | 4 | 370 | 266 | 640 | 994 | 247 | 7 | 21 | 275 | 9 | 3 | 8 | 20 | 295 | 1289 |
| 12:30 13:30 | 22 | 272 | 9 | 303 | 6 | 377 | 209 | 592 | 895 | 220 | 3 | 23 | 246 | 7 | 1 | 12 | 20 | 266 | 1161 |
| 15:00 16:00 | 34 | 298 | 2 | 334 | 5 | 577 | 331 | 913 | 1247 | 240 | 2 | 33 | 275 | 7 | 1 | 10 | 18 | 293 | 1540 |
| 16:00 17:00 | 51 | 290 | 2 | 343 | 3 | 683 | 458 | 1144 | 1487 | 226 | 1 | 36 | 263 | 7 | 1 | 11 | 19 | 282 | 1769 |
| 17:00 18:00 | 39 | 285 | 0 | 324 | 3 | 521 | 382 | 906 | 1230 | 210 | 0 | 20 | 230 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 234 | 1464 |
| Sub Total | 222 | 2628 | 25 | 2875 | 42 | 3396 | 1957 | 5395 | 8270 | 2097 | 30 | 207 | 2334 | 32 | 11 | 60 | 103 | 2437 | 10707 |
| U Turns | 1 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 4 |
| Total | 223 | 2628 | 25 | 2876 | 44 | 3396 | 1957 | 5397 | 8273 | 2098 | 30 | 207 | 2335 | 32 | 11 | 60 | 103 | 2438 | 10711 |
| EQ 12Hr | 310 | 365 | 35 | 3998 | 61 | 4720 | 2720 | 7501 | 11499 | 2916 | 42 | 288 | 3246 | 44 | 15 | 83 | 142 | 338 | 148 |

Note: These values are calculated by multiplying the totals by the appropriate expansion factor. 1.39

| AVG 12Hr | 310 | 3653 | 35 | 3998 | 61 | 4720 | 2720 | 7501 | 11499 | 2916 | 42 | 288 | 3246 | 44 | 15 | 83 | 142 | 3388 | 14887 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Equivalent 12 hr . totals by the AADT factor. $\mathbf{1 . 0 0}$

| AVG 24Hr | 406 | 4785 | 46 | 5237 | 80 | 6183 | 3563 | 9826 | 15063 | 3820 | 55 | 377 | 4252 | 58 | 20 | 109 | 187 | 4439 | 19502 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Note: These volumes are calculated by multiplying the Average Daily 12 hr . totals by 12 to 24 expansion factor.
Note: U-Turns provided for approach totals. Refer to 'U-Turn' Report for specific breakdown.

## Appendix B

## TRANS Trip Distribution

## South Gloucester / Leitrim

## Demographic Characteristics

| Population <br> Employed Population | 17,600 | Actively Travelled |  | 14,150 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8,910 | Number of | chicles | 11,080 |
| Households | 6,240 | Area ( $\mathrm{km}^{2}$ ) |  | 78.9 |
| Dccupation |  |  |  |  |
| Status (age 5+) |  | Male | Fernale | Total |
| Full Time Emplayed |  | 4,550 | 3,630 | 8,180 |
| Part Time Employed |  | 130 | 590 | 730 |
| Student |  | 2,160 | 2,130 | 4,290 |
| Retirce |  | 720 | 770 | 1,450 |
| Unemployed |  | 90 | 220 | 320 |
| Homemaker |  | 20 | 540 | 560 |
| Other |  | 80 | 120 | 200 |
| Total: |  | 7,750 | 8,010 | 15,760 |
| Trweller Characteristics |  | Male | Female | Total |
| Transit Pass Holders |  | 790 | 1,070 | 1,850 |
| Licensed Drivers |  | 5,790 | 5,940 | 11,730 |
| Telecommuters |  | 60 | 10 | 70 |
| Trips made by residents |  | 20,810 | 24,430 | 45,240 |

Selected Indicators

| Selected indicators | 2.87 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Daily Trips per Person (age 5 + ) | 0.63 |
| Vehicles per Person | 2.82 |
| Number of Persons per Household | 7.25 |
| Daily Trips per Household | 1.78 |
| Vehicles per Household | 1.43 |
| Workers per Household | 220 |
| Population Density (Pop/lom2) |  |



| Household Size |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 1 person | 830 | $14 \%$ |
| 2 persons | 1,870 | $30 \%$ |
| 3 persons | 1,170 | $19 \%$ |
| 4 persons | 1,630 | $26 \%$ |
| $5+$ persons | 630 | $11 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,240 | $100 \%$ |


| Households by Vehicie Avalablity |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| O vehicles | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| 1 vehicle | 2,080 | $33 \%$ |
| 2 vehicles | 3,510 | $56 \%$ |
| 3 vehicles | 510 | $8 \%$ |
| 4+ vehicles | 100 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,240 | $100 \%$ |
|  |  |  |
| Households by Dwelling Type |  |  |
| Single detached | 3,300 | $53 \%$ |
| Semi-detached | 770 | $12 \%$ |
| Townhouse | 2,010 | $32 \%$ |
| Apartment/Condo | 150 | $2 \%$ |
| Total: | 6,240 | $100 \%$ |



* In 2005 data was only collected for household members aged 11 therefore these results cannot be compared to the 2011 data.



Trips by Trip Purpose

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To District | Within District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Work or related | 6,300 | 29\% | 3,270 | 15\% | 700 | 6\% |
| School | 1,640 | 8\% | 840 | 4\% | 1,930 | 16\% |
| Shopping | 1,830 | 3\% | 720 | 3\% | 700 | 6\% |
| Lelsure | 2,730 | 13\% | 1,980 | 9\% | 650 | 6\% |
| Medical | 440 | 2\% | 120 | 1\% | 120 | 1\% |
| Pick-up/drive passenger | 1,610 | 7\% | 970 | 4\% | 1,720 | 14\% |
| Return Home | 6,020 | 28\% | 13,110 | 60\% | 5,320 | 44\% |
| Other | 1,160 | 5\% | 650 | 3\% | 850 | 7\% |
| Total: | 21,730 | 100\% | 21,700 | 100\% | 12,000 | 100\% |
| AM Peak (06:30-08:59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| Work or related | 4,650 | 64\% | 1,740 | 57\% | 420 | 11\% |
| School | 1,310 | 18\% | 810 | 27\% | 1,590 | 43\% |
| Shopping | 60 | 1\% | 40 | 13\% | 10 | OK |
| Leisure | 140 | 2\% | 50 | 2\% | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Medical | 30 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | O\% |
| Pick-up/drive passenger | 780 | 11\% | 180 | 6\% | 900 | 25\% |
| Return Home | 100 | 1\% | 120 | 4\% | 330 | $9 \%$ |
| Other | 150 | 2\% | 110 | 4\% | 430 | 12\% |
| Total: | 7,270 | 100\% | 3,050 | 100\% | 3,670 | 100\% |
| PM Peak (15-30-17.59) | From District | To District |  | Within District |  |  |
| Work or related | 140 | 3\% | 150 | 2\% | 40 | $1 \%$ |
| School | 30 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 50 | 2\% |
| Shopping | 270 | 6\% | 170 | 2\% | 210 | 6\% |
| Leisure | 840 | 19\% | 420 | 6\% | 140 | 4\% |
| Medical | 50 | 1\% | 0 | 0\% | 30 | 1\% |
| Plick-up/drive passenger | 310 | \% | 350 | 5\% | 400 | 12\% |
| Return Home | 2,400 | 54\% | 5,990 | 82\% | 2,350 | 69\% |
| Other | 400 | 9\% | 200 | 3\% | 150 | 4\% |
| Total: | 4,440 | 100\% | 7,290 | 100\% | 3,400 | 100\% |
| Peak Period (\%) | Total: | \% of 24 Hours |  | Wthin Distrikt [\%] |  |  |
| 24 Hours | 55,430 | 25\% |  | 22\% |  |  |
| AM Peak Period | 13,980 |  |  | 26\% |  |  |
| PM Peak Period | 15,130 | 27\% |  | 22\% |  |  |

## Trips by Primary Travel Mode

| 24 Hours | From District |  | To Dastrict |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 14,990 | $69 \%$ | 14,970 | $69 \%$ | 5,210 | $43 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 3,870 | $18 \%$ | 3,650 | $17 \%$ | 3,120 | $26 \%$ |
| Transit | 1,630 | $8 \%$ | 1,740 | $8 \%$ | 200 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 90 | $0 \%$ | 100 | $0 \%$ | 20 | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | 40 | $0 \%$ | 40 | $0 \%$ | 2,680 | $22 \%$ |
| Other | 1,110 | $5 \%$ | 1,200 | $6 \%$ | 770 | $6 \%$ |
| Total: | 21,730 | $100 \%$ | 21,700 | $100 \%$ | 12,000 | $100 \%$ |


| AM Peak (06:30-0859) | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 4,640 | $64 \%$ | 2,070 | $68 \%$ | 1,540 | $42 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,250 | $17 \%$ | 210 | $7 \%$ | 1,140 | $31 \%$ |
| Transit | 850 | $12 \%$ | 100 | $3 \%$ | 60 | $2 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 70 | $1 \%$ | 20 | $1 \%$ | 10 | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | 20 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 620 | $17 \%$ |
| Other | 420 | $6 \%$ | 640 | $21 \%$ | 300 | $83 \%$ |
| Total: | 7,270 | $100 \%$ | 3,040 | $100 \%$ | 3,670 | $100 \%$ |


| PM Peak (15:30-17:59) | From District |  | To District |  | Within District |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Auto Driver | 3,100 | $70 \%$ | 4,920 | $67 \%$ | 1,510 | $44 \%$ |
| Auto Passenger | 1,020 | $23 \%$ | 1,120 | $15 \%$ | 860 | $25 \%$ |
| Transit | 150 | $3 \%$ | 790 | $11 \%$ | 50 | $1 \%$ |
| Bicycle | 20 | $0 \%$ | 80 | $1 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| Walk | 10 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 850 | $25 \%$ |
| Other | 130 | $3 \%$ | 390 | $5 \%$ | 130 | $4 \%$ |
| Total: | 4,430 | $100 \%$ | 7,300 | $100 \%$ | 3,400 | $100 \%$ |


| Avg Vehicle Occupancy | From District | To District | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | 1.26 | 1.24 | 1.60 |
| AM Peak Feriod | 1.27 | 1.10 | 1.74 |
| PM Peak Period | 1.33 | 1.23 | 1.57 |


| Transit Modal Split | From District | To District | Within District |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 24 Hours | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| AM Peak Period | $13 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| PM Peak Period | $4 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $2 \%$ |

## Appendix C

## Background Development Trip Generation



## Appendix D

Intersection Performance Results
Synchro Output

## CAPACITY ANALYSIS AT SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

To assist in clarifying the arithmetic analysis associated with traffic engineering, it is often useful to refer to "Level of Service". The term Level of Service implies a qualitative measure of traffic flow at an intersection. It is dependent upon vehicle delay and vehicle queue lengths at the approaches. The Level of Service is usually calculated in terms of the ratio between traffic volumes and approach capacity, or "V/C" ratio.

The City of Ottawa has adopted criteria that directly relate the volume to capacity (V/C) ratio of a signalized intersection to a level of service (LOS) rating.

The following table describes the categories and characteristics of each level:

| Level of <br> Service <br> A | Ft this level of service, almost no signal phase is fully utilized by traffic. <br> Very seldom does a vehicle wait longer than one red indication. The <br> approach appears open, turning movements are easily made and drivers <br> have freedom of operation. | V/C Ratio |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| B | At this level, an occasional signal phase is fully utilized and many phases <br> approach full use. Many drivers begin to feel somewhat restricted within <br> platoons of vehicles approaching the intersection. | 0.60 |
| C | At this level, the operation is stable though with more frequent fully <br> utilized signal phases. Drivers feel more restricted and occasionally may <br> have to wait more than one red signal indication, and queues may develop <br> behind turning vehicles. This level is normally employed in urban <br> intersection design. | $0.71-0.80$ |
| D | At this level, the motorist experiences increasing restriction and instability <br> of flow. There are substantial delays to approaching vehicles during short <br> peaks within the peak period, but there are enough cycles with lower <br> demand to permit occasional clearance of developing queues and prevent <br> excessive backups. | $0.81-0.90$ |
| E | At this level, capacity is reached. There are long queues of vehicles <br> waiting upstream of the intersection, and delays to vehicles may extend to <br> several signal cycles. | $0.91-1.00$ |
| F | At this level, saturation occurs, with vehicle demand exceeding the <br> available capacity. | $>1.00$ |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 2 | 2 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 105 | 3 | 2 | 82 | 4 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) |  | 2 | 25 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 105 | 3 | 2 | 82 | 4 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 2 | 2 | 27 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 114 | 3 | 2 | 89 | 4 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 246 | 244 | 91 | 270 | 244 | 116 | 93 |  |  | 117 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 246 | 244 | 91 | 270 | 244 | 116 | 93 |  |  | 117 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 100 | 100 | 97 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 702 | 654 | 950 | 659 | 653 | 942 | 1514 |  |  | 1484 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 31 | 8 | 133 | 95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 2 | 4 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 27 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 903 | 742 | 1514 | 1484 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.1 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.1 | 9.9 | 1.0 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 23.2\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

6: Findlay Creek Dr \& Bradwell Way

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | F |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 313 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 0 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 230 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 340 | 230 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.07 | 0.05 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.2 | 4.3 | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.40 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 848 | 821 | 635 | 635 |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.9 | 8.9 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.9 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 9.5 |  | A |
| Level of Service | A | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $19.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |



18: Findlay Creek Dr \& White Alder Ave


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $p$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 16 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 32 | 85 | 32 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 3 | 8 | 10 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 45 | 12 | 32 | 85 | 32 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 17 | 3 | 9 | 11 | 8 | 24 | 7 | 49 | 13 | 35 | 92 | 35 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 29 | 43 | 69 | 162 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 17 | 11 | 7 | 35 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 9 | 24 | 13 | 35 |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.31 | -0.07 | 0.05 | 0.06 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.8 | 4.4 | 4.3 | 4.2 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.19 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 704 | 767 | 811 | 838 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.2 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.0 | 7.6 | 7.7 | 8.2 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.0 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 24.9\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | * |  |  | \& |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | * |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 4 | 0 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 66 | 3 | 4 | 95 | 3 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) |  | 0 | 16 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 66 | 3 | 4 | 95 | 3 |
| Sign Control | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 4 | 0 | 17 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 72 | 3 | 4 | 103 | 3 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 194 | 194 | 104 | 209 | 194 | 74 | 106 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{vC1}$, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 194 | 194 | 104 | 209 | 194 | 74 | 106 |  |  | 75 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.5 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 99 | 100 | 98 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 765 | 702 | 956 | 737 | 702 | 994 | 1313 |  |  | 1537 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 21 | 6 | 78 | 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 17 | 1 | 3 | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 912 | 757 | 1313 | 1537 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.0 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | A | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.0 | 9.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 1.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 16.7\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




6: Findlay Creek Dr \& Bradwell Way

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | * | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 1 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{4}$ |  |  | $\hat{1}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 0 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 0 | 278 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | , | 302 | , | 0 | 317 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 302 | 317 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.3 | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 830 | 826 | 618 | 618 |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.7 | 9.8 | 8.2 | 8.2 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.7 | 9.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 9.7 |  | A |
| Level of Service | $18.7 \%$ | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | 15 |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  |  |



18: Findlay Creek Dr \& White Alder Ave



AM Peak Hour
2: Kelly Farm Dr \& Bradwell Way



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 349 | 5 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 349 | 5 | 0 | 257 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 7 | 379 | 5 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 391 | 279 | 7 | 7 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.49 | -0.60 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 826 | 801 | 545 | 653 |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 7.8 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.0 | 9.6 | 8.9 | 7.8 |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 10.4 |  | A |
| Level of Service | B | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $35.1 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 352 | 29 | 22 | 52 | 45 | 126 | 22 | 1139 | 3 | 133 | 556 | 102 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 352 | 29 | 22 | 52 | 45 | 126 | 22 | 1139 | 3 | 133 | 556 | 102 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.89 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1719 | 1741 |  | 1805 | 1690 |  | 1656 | 1845 | 1615 | 1805 | 1759 | 1524 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.57 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 0.31 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1033 | 1741 |  | 1369 | 1690 |  | 542 | 1845 | 1615 | 118 | 1759 | 1524 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 383 | 32 | 24 | 57 | 49 | 137 | 24 | 1238 | 3 | 145 | 604 | 111 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 383 | 40 | 0 | 57 | 143 | 0 | 24 | 1238 | 2 | 145 | 604 | 65 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5\% | 0\% | 5\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 9\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 8\% | 6\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.33 | 0.33 |  | 0.33 | 0.33 |  | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 342 | 577 |  | 454 | 560 |  | 317 | 1081 | 946 | 69 | 1031 | 893 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.67 |  |  | 0.34 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.37 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.04 |  | 0.00 | c1.23 |  | 0.04 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.12 | 0.07 |  | 0.13 | 0.25 |  | 0.08 | 1.15 | 0.00 | 2.10 | 0.59 | 0.07 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 36.8 | 25.1 |  | 25.6 | 26.8 |  | 9.8 | 22.8 | 9.4 | 22.8 | 14.3 | 9.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 85.1 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.5 | 76.6 | 0.0 | 541.2 | 2.4 | 0.2 |
| Delay (s) | 121.9 | 25.2 |  | 25.7 | 27.1 |  | 10.3 | 99.3 | 9.4 | 564.0 | 16.8 | 10.0 |
| Level of Service | F | C |  | C | C |  | B | F | A | F | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 109.5 |  |  | 26.8 |  |  | 97.4 |  |  | 108.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 96.5 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 1.74 |  | 9.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 110.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $111.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |
| C Critical Lane Group |  |  |  |



|  | $\rangle$ |  |  | 7 | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | P |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 16 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 66 | 15 | 32 | 102 | 32 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 66 | 15 | 32 | 102 | 32 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 17 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 24 | 7 | 72 | 16 | 35 | 111 | 35 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 29 | 47 | 95 | 181 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 17 | 15 | 7 | 35 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 9 | 24 | 16 | 35 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.31 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.07 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.9 | 4.5 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.21 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 683 | 735 | 809 | 828 |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.1 | 7.8 | 7.8 | 8.4 |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 8.2 |  | A |
| Level of Service | A | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $25.8 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \& |  |  | \& |  |  | \$ |  |  | * |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 3 | 4 | 101 | 18 |
| Sign Control |  | 0 | 63 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 75 | 3 | 4 | 101 | 18 |
|  |  | Stop |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |  |
| Grade | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 82 | 3 | 4 | 110 | 20 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed (m/s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 220 | 219 | 120 | 286 | 228 | 84 | 130 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| VC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 220 | 219 | 120 | 286 | 228 | 84 | 130 |  |  | 85 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.5 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 | 100 | 93 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 736 | 679 | 921 | 619 | 672 | 981 | 1285 |  |  | 1524 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 89 | 6 | 88 | 134 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 68 | 1 | 3 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 869 | 679 | 1285 | 1524 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.7 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.6 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.6 | 10.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 19.9\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 7 | $\leftarrow$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | 4 |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 14 | 172 | 50 | 38 | 193 | 63 | 90 | 32 | 39 | 111 | 37 | 37 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 14 | 172 | 50 | 38 | 193 | 63 | 90 | 32 | 39 | 111 | 37 | 37 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 15 | 187 | 54 | 41 | 210 | 68 | 98 | 35 | 42 | 121 | 40 | 40 |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total (vph) | 256 | 319 | 175 | 201 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 15 | 41 | 98 | 121 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 54 | 68 | 42 | 40 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hadj (s) | -0.06 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 5.5 | 5.5 | 6.0 | 6.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.29 | 0.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 598 | 613 | 533 | 538 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 12.1 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 12.1 | 13.6 | 11.4 | 12.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | B | B | B | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 46.5\% |  | CU Level | f Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |







AM Peak Hour
2: Kelly Farm Dr \& Bradwell Way

|  | 4 |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 2 | 77 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 118 | 3 | 2 | 108 | 21 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 19 | 2 | 77 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 15 | 118 | 3 | 2 | 108 | 21 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 2 | 84 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 16 | 128 | 3 | 2 | 117 | 23 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal ( m ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VC, conflicting volume | 298 | 296 | 128 | 379 | 306 | 130 | 140 |  |  | 131 |  |  |
| vC1, stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC2, stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu, unblocked vol | 298 | 296 | 128 | 379 | 306 | 130 | 140 |  |  | 131 |  |  |
| tC, single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.1 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| $\mathrm{tC}, 2$ stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.2 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 | 100 | 91 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 99 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 650 | 612 | 906 | 522 | 604 | 926 | 1456 |  |  | 1467 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 107 | 8 | 147 | 142 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 4 | 16 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 84 | 3 | 3 | 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 834 | 637 | 1456 | 1467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.13 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 3.5 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.0 | 10.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.0 | 10.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 28.1\% | ICU Level of Service |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | $\hat{6}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 6 | 359 | 5 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 6 | 359 | 5 | 0 | 262 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 7 | 390 | 5 | 0 | 285 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 402 | 285 | 7 | 7 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 5 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.08 | 0.05 | 0.49 | -0.60 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.3 | 4.4 | 5.9 | 4.8 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.48 | 0.35 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 825 | 799 | 541 | 647 |
| Control Delay (s) | 11.2 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 7.8 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 11.2 | 9.7 | 9.0 | 7.8 |
| Approach LOS | B | A | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 10.6 |  | A |
| Level of Service | B | ICU Level of Service |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $35.7 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | 「 | ${ }^{*}$ | 4 | T |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 350 | 39 | 31 | 50 | 69 | 220 | 29 | 1436 | 5 | 165 | 668 | 104 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 350 | 39 | 31 | 50 | 69 | 220 | 29 | 1436 | 5 | 165 | 668 | 104 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.93 |  | 1.00 | 0.89 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1719 | 1749 |  | 1805 | 1683 |  | 1687 | 1845 | 1615 | 1805 | 1792 | 1524 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.40 | 1.00 |  | 0.71 | 1.00 |  | 0.23 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.06 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 719 | 1749 |  | 1344 | 1683 |  | 410 | 1845 | 1615 | 118 | 1792 | 1524 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 380 | 42 | 34 | 54 | 75 | 239 | 32 | 1561 | 5 | 179 | 726 | 113 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 47 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 380 | 53 | 0 | 54 | 294 | 0 | 32 | 1561 | 3 | 179 | 726 | 66 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 5\% | 0\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 7\% | 3\% | 0\% | 0\% | 6\% | 6\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 36.5 | 36.5 |  | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 | 64.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.33 | 0.33 |  | 0.33 | 0.33 |  | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 | 0.59 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 238 | 580 |  | 445 | 558 |  | 240 | 1081 | 946 | 69 | 1050 | 893 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.03 |  |  | 0.17 |  |  | 0.85 |  |  | 0.41 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.53 |  |  | 0.04 |  |  | 0.08 |  | 0.00 | c1.52 |  | 0.04 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.60 | 0.09 |  | 0.12 | 0.53 |  | 0.13 | 1.44 | 0.00 | 2.59 | 0.69 | 0.07 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 36.8 | 25.3 |  | 25.6 | 29.8 |  | 10.2 | 22.8 | 9.4 | 22.8 | 15.8 | 9.8 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 287.4 | 0.1 |  | 0.1 | 0.9 |  | 1.2 | 205.1 | 0.0 | 757.6 | 3.7 | 0.2 |
| Delay (s) | 324.1 | 25.4 |  | 25.7 | 30.7 |  | 11.4 | 227.8 | 9.4 | 780.3 | 19.6 | 10.0 |
| Level of Service | F | C |  | C | C |  | B | F | A | F | B | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 274.4 |  |  | 29.9 |  |  | 222.8 |  |  | 152.3 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |  | F |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 188.1 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 2.23 |  | 9.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 110.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $136.3 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

Analysis Period (min) 15

C Critical Lane Group


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | 4 | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 16 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 71 | 15 | 32 | 112 | 32 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 16 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 22 | 6 | 71 | 15 | 32 | 112 | 32 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 17 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 8 | 24 | 7 | 77 | 16 | 35 | 122 | 35 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 29 | 47 | 100 | 192 |  |
| Volume Left (vph) | 17 | 15 | 7 | 35 |  |
| Volume Right (vph) | 9 | 24 | 16 | 35 |  |
| Hadj (s) | 0.31 | -0.01 | 0.02 | 0.06 |  |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.9 | 4.6 | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.04 | 0.06 | 0.12 | 0.23 |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 676 | 727 | 807 | 828 |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.5 |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 8.1 | 7.9 | 7.9 | 8.5 |  |
| Approach LOS | A | A | A | A |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delay |  |  | 8.2 |  |  |
| Level of Service |  |  | A |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 26.3\% | ICU Level of Service | A |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\downarrow$ | 7 |  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | * |  |  | * |  |  | \& |  |  | \& |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 19 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 80 | 3 | 4 | 111 | 18 |
| Future Volume (Veh/h) | 19 | 0 | 63 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 80 | 3 | 4 | 111 | 18 |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Free |  |  | Free |  |
| Grade |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 21 | 0 | 68 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 87 | 3 | 4 | 121 | 20 |
| Pedestrians |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Width (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Walking Speed ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent Blockage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Right turn flare (veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Median type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | None |  |  | None |  |
| Median storage veh) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upstream signal (m) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| pX, platoon unblocked |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC , conflicting volume | 236 | 235 | 131 | 302 | 244 | 88 | 141 |  |  | 90 |  |  |
| VC 1 , stage 1 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vC 2 , stage 2 conf vol |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| vCu , unblocked vol | 236 | 235 | 131 | 302 | 244 | 88 | 141 |  |  | 90 |  |  |
| tC , single (s) | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 7.1 | 6.5 | 6.2 | 4.4 |  |  | 4.1 |  |  |
| tC, 2 stage (s) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| tF (s) | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.4 | 3.5 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.5 |  |  | 2.2 |  |  |
| p0 queue free \% | 97 | 100 | 93 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |  |  | 100 |  |  |
| cM capacity (veh/h) | 718 | 666 | 908 | 603 | 659 | 975 | 1272 |  |  | 1518 |  |  |
| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Total | 89 | 6 | 93 | 145 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Left | 21 | 3 | 3 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume Right | 68 | 1 | 3 | 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| cSH | 855 | 664 | 1272 | 1518 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Volume to Capacity | 0.10 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue Length 95th (m) | 2.8 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Delay (s) | 9.7 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane LOS | A | B | A | A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach Delay (s) | 9.7 | 10.5 | 0.3 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach LOS | A | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average Delay |  |  | 3.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization |  |  | 20.4\% |  | Level | Service |  |  | A |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

6: Findlay Creek Dr \& Bradwell Way




|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\geqslant$ | $\dagger$ |  | 4 | 4 | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | $\hat{\beta}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Sign Control |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |  | Stop |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 4 | 334 | 3 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 334 | 3 | 0 | 322 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Hourly flow rate (vph) | 4 | 363 | 3 | 0 | 350 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |


| Direction, Lane \# | EB 1 | WB 1 | NB 1 | SB 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Volume Total (vph) | 370 | 350 | 4 | 4 |
| Volume Left (vph) | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Volume Right (vph) | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Hadj (s) | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.57 | -0.60 |
| Departure Headway (s) | 4.4 | 4.4 | 6.0 | 4.9 |
| Degree Utilization, x | 0.45 | 0.42 | 0.01 | 0.01 |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 815 | 808 | 516 | 637 |
| Control Delay (s) | 10.8 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 7.9 |
| Approach Delay (s) | 10.8 | 10.5 | 9.1 | 7.9 |
| Approach LOS | B | B | A | A |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Delay | 10.7 |  |  |
| Level of Service | B | ACU Level of Service | A |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $31.0 \%$ |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) | 15 |  |  |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | $\hat{F}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | F | ${ }^{7}$ | 4 | 「 |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 244 | 66 | 46 | 101 | 45 | 145 | 28 | 1050 | 6 | 340 | 1237 | 277 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 244 | 66 | 46 | 101 | 45 | 145 | 28 | 1050 | 6 | 340 | 1237 | 277 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Total Lost time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt | 1.00 | 0.94 |  | 1.00 | 0.89 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.85 |
| Flt Protected | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 |  | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1703 | 1734 |  | 1787 | 1682 |  | 1626 | 1863 | 1380 | 1805 | 1863 | 1553 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.50 | 1.00 |  | 0.64 | 1.00 |  | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.05 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 888 | 1734 |  | 1204 | 1682 |  | 87 | 1863 | 1380 | 97 | 1863 | 1553 |
| Peak-hour factor, PHF | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 265 | 72 | 50 | 110 | 49 | 158 | 30 | 1141 | 7 | 370 | 1345 | 301 |
| RTOR Reduction (vph) | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 79 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 265 | 101 | 0 | 110 | 128 | 0 | 30 | 1141 | 5 | 370 | 1345 | 227 |
| Heavy Vehicles (\%) | 6\% | 2\% | 4\% | 1\% | 0\% | 0\% | 11\% | 2\% | 17\% | 0\% | 2\% | 4\% |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA | Perm | Perm | NA | Perm |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  | 2 | 6 |  | 6 |
| Actuated Green, G (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 |
| Effective Green, g (s) | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 32.5 | 32.5 |  | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 | 78.5 |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.27 | 0.27 |  | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| Clearance Time (s) | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 |  | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| Lane Grp Cap (vph) | 240 | 469 |  | 326 | 455 |  | 56 | 1218 | 902 | 63 | 1218 | 1015 |
| v/s Ratio Prot |  | 0.06 |  |  | 0.08 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.72 |  |
| v/s Ratio Perm | c0.30 |  |  | 0.09 |  |  | 0.34 |  | 0.00 | c3.82 |  | 0.15 |
| v/c Ratio | 1.10 | 0.22 |  | 0.34 | 0.28 |  | 0.54 | 0.94 | 0.01 | 5.87 | 1.10 | 0.22 |
| Uniform Delay, d1 | 43.8 | 33.9 |  | 35.1 | 34.5 |  | 11.0 | 18.5 | 7.2 | 20.8 | 20.8 | 8.4 |
| Progression Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Incremental Delay, d2 | 88.8 | 0.2 |  | 0.6 | 0.3 |  | 32.1 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 2226.8 | 59.3 | 0.5 |
| Delay (s) | 132.6 | 34.1 |  | 35.7 | 34.9 |  | 43.2 | 33.0 | 7.2 | 2247.5 | 80.1 | 8.9 |
| Level of Service | F | C |  | D | C |  | D | C | A | F | F | A |
| Approach Delay (s) |  | 101.5 |  |  | 35.2 |  |  | 33.1 |  |  | 467.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | F |  |  | D |  |  | C |  |  | F |  |


| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| HCM 2000 Control Delay | 264.6 | HCM 2000 Level of Service | F |
| HCM 2000 Volume to Capacity ratio | 4.45 |  | 9.0 |
| Actuated Cycle Length (s) | 120.0 | Sum of lost time (s) | H |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization | $113.9 \%$ | ICU Level of Service |  |

Analysis Period (min) 15
C Critical Lane Group


## Appendix E

TDM Measures

# TDM－Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist： <br> Non－Residential Developments（office，institutional，retail or industrial） 

| Legend |  |
| :---: | :--- |
| REQUIRED | The Official Plan or Zoning By－law provides related guidance <br> that must be followed |
| BASIC | The measure is generally feasible and effective，and in most <br> cases would benefit the development and its users |
| BETTER | The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable <br> modes，and optimize development performance |


|  | TDM－supportive design \＆infrastructure measures： Non－residential developments |  | Check if completed \＆ add descriptions，explanations or plan／drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | WALKING \＆CYCLING：ROUTES |  |
|  | 1.1 | Building location \＆access points |  |
| BASIC | 1．1．1 | Locate building close to the street，and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances | 囚 |
| BASIC | 1．1．2 | Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops／stations | 囚 |
| BASIC | 1．1．3 | Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building，for their security and comfort | ® |
|  | 1.2 | Facilities for walking \＆cycling |  |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.1 | Provide convenient，direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres； minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit；provide pedestrian－friendly，weather－protected （where possible）environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances；ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops／stations（see Official Plan policy 4．3．3） | 区 |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.2 | Provide safe，direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as：reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances；providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances；within a site，providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings，between adjacent buildings， and connecting areas where people may congregate， such as courtyards and transit stops；and providing weather protection through canopies，colonnades，and other design elements wherever possible（see Official Plan policy 4．3．12） | 区 |


|  | TDM－supportive design \＆infrastructure measures： Non－residential developments |  | Check if completed \＆ add descriptions，explanations or plan／drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .3$ | Provide sidewalks of smooth，well－drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas，and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks（see Official Plan policy 4．3．10） | 区 |
| REQUIRED | $1.2 .4$ | Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition，depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra－wide parking spaces and ramps（see Official Plan policy 4．3．10） | 区 |
| REQUIRED | 1.2.5 | Include adequately spaced inter－block／street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation．Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks，multi－use pathways and on－ road cycle routes．Where public sidewalks and multi－use pathways intersect with roads，consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians（see Official Plan policy 4．3．11） | 区 |
| BASIC | 1．2．6 | Provide safe，direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops | 区 |
| BASIC | 1．2．7 | Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure， visible，lighted，shaded and wind－protected wherever possible | 区 |
| BASIC | 1．2．8 | Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than $30 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ ， or provide a separated cycling facility | $\square$ N／A for site plan application． |
|  | 1.3 | Amenities for walking \＆cycling |  |
| BASIC | 1．3．1 | Provide lighting，landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets，sidewalks and trails | N／A site is located near street |
| BASIC | $1.3 .2$ | Provide wayfinding signage for site access（where required，e．g．when multiple buildings or entrances exist）and egress（where warranted，such as when directions to reach transit stops／stations，trails or other common destinations are not obvious） | $\square$ N／A school site |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: |  <br> add descriptions, explanations <br> or plan/drawing references |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2. | WALKING \& CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Customer amenities |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops | N/A, shelter already provided |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter | N/A, shelter already provided |
| better | 3.1.3 | Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building | $\square$ N/A for school |
|  | 4. | RIDESHARING |  |
|  | 4.1 | Pick-up \& drop-off facilities |  |
| BASIC | 4.1.1 | Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones | $\square$ N/A for school |
|  | 4.2 | Carpool parking |  |
| BASIC | 4.2.1 | Provide signed parking spaces for carpools in a priority location close to a major building entrance, sufficient in number to accommodate the mode share target for carpools | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| better | 4.2.2 | At large developments, provide spaces for carpools in a separate, access-controlled parking area to simplify enforcement | $\square$ N/A for school |
|  | 5. | CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |
|  | 5.1 | Carshare parking spaces |  |
| BETTER | 5.1.1 | Provide carshare parking spaces in permitted nonresidential zones, occupying either required or provided parking spaces (see Zoning By-law Section 94) | $\square$ N/A for school |
|  | 5.2 | Bikeshare station location |  |
| BETTER | 5.2.1 | Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection | $\square$ N/A for school |


|  | TDM-supportive design \& infrastructure measures: Non-residential developments |  | Check if completed \& add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | PARKING |  |
|  |  | Number of parking spaces |  |
| REQUIRED | 6.1.1 | Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for | N/A parking meets zoning requirements |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 | Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking | $\square$ N/A for school |
| BASIC | 6.1.3 | Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (see Zoning By-law Section 104) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| BETTER | 6.1.4 | Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (see Zoning By-law Section 111) | $\square$ N/A for school |
|  | 6.2 | Separate long-term \& short-term parking areas |  |
| BETTER | 6.2 .1 | Separate short-term and long-term parking areas using signage or physical barriers, to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage employees from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
|  | 7. | OTHER |  |
|  | 7.1 | On-site amenities to minimize off-site trips |  |
| BETTER | 7.1.1 | Provide on-site amenities to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |

## TDM Measures Checklist:

Non-Residential Developments (office, institutional, retail or industrial)

## Legend

BAsic The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
better
The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance
The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | Program coordinator |  |
| BASIC | * 1.1.1 | Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 1.2 Travel surveys |  |  |  |
| better | 1.2.1 | Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 2. WALKING AND CYCLING |  |  |  |
| 2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes \& destinations |  |  |  |
| BASIC | 2.1.1 | Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 2.2 Bicycle skills training |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | * 2.2.1 | Offer on-site cycling courses for commuters, or subsidize off-site courses | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 2.3 Valet bike parking |  |  |  |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 2.3.1 | Offer secure valet bike parking during public events when demand exceeds fixed supply (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3. | TRANSIT |  |
|  | 3.1 | Transit information |  |
| BASIC | 3.1.1 | Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances | $\triangle$ Recommended |
| BASIC | 3.1.2 | Provide online links to OC Transpo and STO information | $\boxtimes$ Recommended |
| better | 3.1.3 | Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
|  | 3.2 | Transit fare incentives |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| better | 3.2.1 | Offer preloaded PRESTO cards to encourage commuters to use transit | \ Recommended |
| BETTER | - 3.2.2 | Subsidize or reimburse monthly transit pass purchases by employees | Q Recommended |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.2.3 | Arrange inclusion of same-day transit fare in price of tickets (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
|  | 3.3 | Enhanced public transit service |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| better | 3.3.1 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.3.2 | Contract with OC Transpo to provide enhanced transit services (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
|  | 3.4 | Private transit service |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| better | 3.4.1 | Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for shift changes, weekends) | $\square \mathrm{N} /$ A for school |
|  |  | Visitor travel |  |
| better | 3.4.2 | Provide shuttle service when OC Transpo cannot offer sufficient quality or capacity to serve demand (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4. RIDESHARING |  |  |  |
| 4.1 Ridematching service |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 4.1.1 | Provide a dedicated ridematching portal at OttawaRideMatch.com | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 4.2 |  | Carpool parking price incentives |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | 4.2.1 | Provide discounts on parking costs for registered carpools | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 4.3 Vanpool service |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | 4.3.1 | Provide a vanpooling service for long-distance commuters | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 5. CARSHARING \& BIKESHARING |  |  |  |
|  | 5.1 | Bikeshare stations \& memberships |  |
| BETTER | 5.1.1 | Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station for use by commuters and visitors | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | 5.1.2 | Provide employees with bikeshare memberships for local business travel | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 5.2 Carshare vehicles \& memberships |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | 5.2.1 | Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by tenants | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| better | 5.2.2 | Provide employees with carshare memberships for local business travel | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 6. PARKING |  |  |  |
| 6.1 Priced parking |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 6.1.1 | Charge for long-term parking (daily, weekly, monthly) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| BASIC | 6.1.2 | Unbundle parking cost from lease rates at multi-tenant sites | $\square$ N/A for school |
| Visitor travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 6.1.3 | Charge for short-term parking (hourly) | $\square$ N/A for school |


| TDM measures: Non-residential developments |  |  | Check if proposed \& add descriptions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. TDM MARKETING \& COMMUNICATIONS |  |  |  |
| 7.1 |  | Multimodal travel information |  |
|  |  | Commuter travel |  |
| BASIC | * 7.1.1 | Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new/relocating employees and students | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| Visitor travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | * 7.1.2 | Include multimodal travel option information in invitations or advertising that attract visitors or customers (e.g. for festivals, concerts, games) | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 7.2 |  | Personalized trip planning |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | * 7.2.1 | Offer personalized trip planning to new/relocating employees | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 7.3 |  | Promotions |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 7.3.1 | Deliver promotions and incentives to maintain awareness, build understanding, and encourage trial of sustainable modes | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 8. OTHER INCENTIVES \& AMENITIES |  |  |  |
| 8.1 |  | Emergency ride home |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | * 8.1.1 | Provide emergency ride home service to non-driving commuters | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 8.2 |  | Alternative work arrangements |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 8.2.1 | Encourage flexible work hours | $\square$ N/A for school |
| BETTER | 8.2.2 | Encourage compressed workweeks | $\square$ N/A for school |
| BETTER | * 8.2.3 | Encourage telework | $\square$ N/A for school |
| 8.3 |  | Local business travel options |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BASIC | * 8.3.1 | Provide local business travel options that minimize the need for employees to bring a personal car to work | $\square$ N/A for school |
| 8.4 |  | Commuter incentives |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| BETTER | 8.4.1 | Offer employees a taxable, mode-neutral commuting allowance | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |
| 8.5 On-site amenities |  |  |  |
| Commuter travel |  |  |  |
| better | 8.5.1 | Provide on-site amenities/services to minimize mid-day or mid-commute errands | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ for school |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Walking \& cycling are anticipated to very low or negligible during the PM peak hour (of adjacent roadway traffic) since the school day is long over by the afternoon rush hour. Students participating in the after-school program were assumed to be picked-up.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2} 22$ classrooms $\times 1.5$ spaces/classroom +275 sq.m. daycare $\times 1$ parking space $/ 50$ sq.m daycare $=39$ spaces
    ${ }^{3}$ (22 classrooms +18 portables) $\times 1.5$ spaces/classroom +275 sq.m. daycare $\times 1$ parking space $/ 50$ sq.m daycare $=66$ spaces
    

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ 4,647sq.m gross school floor area $\times 1$ bicycle parking space $/ 100$ sq.m $=47$ bicycle parking spaces

[^3]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^4]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

[^5]:    *Pedestrians and Bicycles on Crosswalk. L: Left, R: Right, T: Thru, U: U-Turn

