Thornton Tomasetti

Findlay Creek #2 Elementary Public School with Daycare

820 Miikana Road, Ottawa, Ontario SW22240

Prepared For

Vladimir Popovic Partner N45 Architecture Inc. 71 Bank Street, 7th Floor Ottawa, ON K1P 5N2 Tel: 613-224-0095 x224

vladimirp@n45.ca

NOISE IMPACT STUDY

Prepared By

Surajudeen Adewusi, Ph.D., P.Eng. Senior Engineer Thornton Tomasetti 116 Albert Street, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K1P 5G3

Tel: 613 216 1247

<u>SAdewusi@ThorntonTomasetti.com</u> www.ThorntonTomasetti.com

Reviewed By

Robert Fuller, P.Eng. Project Engineer

June 15, 2022

Table of Contents

1.0	Introduction	1
2.0	Site	1
3.0	Noise Sources	1
4.0	Noise Assessment Criteria	2
4.1	Surface Transportation Noise Assessment Criteria	2
4.2	Stationary Source Noise Assessment Criteria	3
5.0	Impact of the Environment on the Project – Surface Transportation Noise	3
5.1	Points of Reception	3
5.2	Road Traffic Noise Parameters	4
5.3	Estimated Sound Levels at PORs	5
5.4	Discussion of Sound Level at OLAs	6
6.0	Surrounding Stationary Noise Source	7
7.0	Impact of the Project on Surrounding Area	7
7.1	Stationary Noise Sources	7
7.2	Receptor Locations	8
7.3	Stationary Source Noise Level Prediction	8
8.0	Concluding Comments	8
9.0	References	10
Apper	ndix A – Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations	15
Apper	ndix B— Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations with Increased Right of Way (ROW) for Miikana Road	22
Apper	ndix C1– Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations with Outdoor Classsroom relocated to 55 m from Kelly	
Farm	Drive	25
• •	ndix C2- Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations with 1.5 m Barrier located 20 meters from Outdoor	
	room along Kelly Farm Drive.	
	ndix D – Sound Power Level Data for AAON RTUs	
Apper	ndix E – CadnaA Input and Output Information	30
lict o	of Tables	
LIST O	of Tables	
Table	1: Sound Level Limits for Noise-Sensitive Areas – Road Noise	2
Table	2: ENCG Building Component Requirements (Road Noise – Daytime Only)	2
	3: ENCG Ventilation and Warning Clause Requirements (Road noise - Daytime Only)	
	4: ENCG Exclusion Limit Values for Class 1 Areas (New Noise-Sensitive Land Uses in Proximity to Existing nary Sources)	
	5: Points of Reception and Outdoor Living Areas	
	6: ENCG Traffic and Road Parameters for STAMSON Modelling	
	7: Calculated Sound Levels at PORs	
Table	8: Mechanical Noise Sources Associated With School	8
Tahle	9: Predicted Stationary Noise Source Levels at the Receptors	8

Thornton Tomasetti

List of Figures

Figure 1: Site Plan with Point of Reception	11
Figure 2: Aerial view of the site and surroundings	
Figure 3: Location of PORs for HVAC stationary noise sources	
Figure 4: Contour lines for HVAC noise sources on the surroundings	

1.0 Introduction

At the request of N45 Architecture Inc. (the Client), Thornton Tomasetti (TT) is pleased to present this Noise Impact Study (NIS) for the proposed two-storey Findlay Creek Elementary Public School with Daycare (the Project) to be located at 820 Miikana Road, Ottawa, Ontario. The objective of this study is to assess noise impacts from nearby surface transportation sources, as well as noise impacts from the Project onto nearby noise-sensitive points of reception (residences) to determine if the proposed Project meets the requirements stipulated in the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG) [1].

2.0 Site

TT staff visited the site on May 30, 2022. A draft Site Plan of the Project and an aerial photo of the Project surrounding areas are provided in Figure 1 and Figure 2, respectively. Figure 1 shows the Point of Reception (POR) locations. The site area and roads are approximately at the same level as shown in the topography drawing and observed during the site visit. The Project will be in a residential area with split zone site – Minor Institutional Zone (I1) and Residential Fourth Density Zone (R4Z).

The Project is bordered on the north and west by Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive respectively. The Project is bordered on the east and south by one- and two-storey residential buildings and a public Park (Salamander Park). The surrounding neighborhood consists of residential land uses.

3.0 Noise Sources

The only significant sources of noise impacting the Project are from the adjacent roads: Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive.

During the site visit on May 30, 2022, the surrounding arears were observed for any substantial existing stationary noise sources. The only source of noise heard was from tractors working on the internal roads construction for the residential buildings along Kelly Farm Drive opposite to the Project site. This is temporary noise source and will not be considered.

According to the City of Ottawa ENCG, human activities at Public Parks are not considered as stationary noise sources, hence noise from human activities at the Salamander Public Park located at the Project East is not an issue.

The proposed school has Kindergarten Play Area, Outdoor Classroom Area and Sport Field. The activities at these locations will not be considered as sources of noise but the Outdoor Classroom and Kindergarten Play Area will be considered as outdoor points of reception due to the transportation noise sources.

The HVAC system for the Project is a source of stationary noise to the surrounding residential buildings. The impact of the HVAC system on the surrounding area will be assessed. A quiet HVAC systems may be recommended to ensure the noise radiated to the surrounding area is small.

4.0 Noise Assessment Criteria

The City of Ottawa requirements for environmental noise impact assessments are outlined in the ENCG [1], which in turn reference the Environmental Noise Guideline, NPC-300 [2], prepared by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP). The Project will be located in a Class 1 area, which is defined as "an area with an acoustical environment typical of a major population center, where the background sound level is dominated by the activities of people, usually road traffic, often referred to as "urban hum.".

The sections below describe the applicable noise assessment criteria for surface transportation noise sources and stationary noise sources.

4.1 Surface Transportation Noise Assessment Criteria

Sound level limits outlined in ENCG for road traffic noise impacting on noise-sensitive areas applicable to the Project are summarized in Table 1. Sound level limits are given in A-weighted, equivalent sound levels (L_{eq} , dBA). Furthermore, based on the plane-of-window calculations for indoor spaces, upgraded building components, ventilation systems and warning clauses may be required. The ENCG building component and ventilation requirements for road noise applicable to the Project are shown in Tables 2 and 3, respectively. These requirements are based on calculated sound levels at Outdoor Living Areas (OLAs) and the plane-of-window of bedrooms and living/dining rooms.

Table 1: Sound Level Limits for Noise-Sensitive Areas – Road Noise

Type of Space	Time Period	Maximum L _{eq} (dBA)
Outdoor Living Area	Daytime (07:00 to 23:00)	55
Living/dining, den areas of residences, hospitals,	Daytime (07:00 to 23:00)	45
schools, etc. (indoor)	Nighttime (23:00 to 07:00)	45
	Daytime (07:00 to 23:00)	45
Sleeping quarters of residences (indoor)	Nighttime (23:00 to 07:00)	40

Table 2: ENCG Building Component Requirements (Road Noise – Daytime Only)

Assessment Location	Sound Level (time as noted)	Building Component Requirements
	Daytime $L_{EQ-16HR}$ Less than or equal to 65 dBA	Building compliant with the Ontario Building Code
Plane of Window	Daytime L _{EQ-16HR} Greater than 65 dBA	Building components (walls, windows, etc.) must be designed to achieve indoor sound level criteria

Table 3: ENCG Ventilation and Warning Clause Requirements (Road noise - Daytime Only)

Assessment Location	Sound Level (time as noted)	Ventilation Requirement	Warning Clause Requirement
	Daytime L _{EQ-16HR} Less than or equal to 55 dBA	None required	Not required
Plane of Window	Daytime L _{EQ-16HR} Greater than 55 dBA to less than or equal to 65 dBA	Forced air heating with provision for central air conditioning	Required Type C
	Daytime $L_{EQ-16HR}$ Greater than 65 dBA	Central air conditioning is required	Required Type D

4.2 Stationary Source Noise Assessment Criteria

Stationary sources of noise include all sources of sound and vibration that exist or operate on nearby premises, excluding construction noise sources. The noise level criterion for noise from stationary sources in a given time period is the higher value between (1) the time period exclusion limit value prescribed by the MECP, and (2) the corresponding minimum hourly background/ambient sound level (Leq,1hr) due to traffic during the time period. Exclusion limit values outlined in the ENCG for new noise-sensitive land uses in proximity to existing stationary noise sources have been summarized in Table 4 for Class 1 areas.

Table 4: ENCG Exclusion Limit Values for Class 1 Areas (New Noise-Sensitive Land Uses in Proximity to Existing Stationary Sources)

Type of Point of Reception	Time Period (Description)	Exclusion Limit - L _{eq,1hr} (dBA)
Outdoor Living Area (OLA)	07:00 to 23:00 (Daytime)	50
Plane of Window (Living Quarters)	07:00 to 23:00 (Daytime)	50
Plane of Window (Sleeping Quarters)	23:00 to 07:00 (Night-time)	45

The exclusion limits outlined in Table 4 apply to both neighboring "off-site" stationary noise sources which may impact the Project, as well as "on-site" stationary noise sources associated with the Project which may impact neighboring noise sensitive land uses (in this case, the neighboring residences).

5.0 Impact of the Environment on the Project – Surface Transportation Noise

5.1 Points of Reception

The surface transportation corridors impacting on the Project are Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive and both are located within 100 m of the Project.

Other local transportation routes in the area such as Salamander Way are shielded from the Project by residential buildings; and Quest Private is both far away from the critical POR and expected to have a very low volume of traffic. Therefore, they are not considered since their impact on the critical PORs will not be substantial.

Information about the exact locations of windows and doors was not available during this study. PORs were chosen to represent worst-case scenarios at the Plane of Window (PoW) of occupied spaces and Outdoor Living Areas (OLA). Only one PoW POR was considered, which represents the worst-case location

due to exposure to both road segments. Similarly, two PORs was considered for the OLAs (playground and outdoor classroom), at locations consistent with the ENCG's definition of 'Outdoor Amenity Area' (OAA). Table 5 summarizes the descriptions of the location of the PORs, and their locations are shown in Figure 1.

Table 5: Points of Reception and Outdoor Living Areas

Point of Reception (POR)	Level	POR Height (m)	Location	Building Facade	Notes/Comments
POR 1	2nd	4.5	Corner of building, 19.6 m and 16.5 m from the center of Miikana Rood and Kelly Farm Drive, respectively	Northwest	Sound levels at the second floor plane-of-window (PoW) of classroom and library.
OLA1	Ground	1.5	Kindergarten playground behind the building, 75.8 m from the center of Kelly Farm Drive.	Facing South	OLA
OLA2	Ground	1.5	Outdoor classroom behind the building, 41.5 m from the center of Kelly Farm Drive.	Facing South	OLA

5.2 Road Traffic Noise Parameters

The surface transportation corridors impacting on the Project are Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive, which are already constructed and in use. Miikana Road connects to Bank Road, which is an Arterial Road according to City of Ottawa Road Classification [3, 4], hence Miikana Road is considered as a 2-lane Major Collector road and Kelly Farm Drive is considered as 2-lane Collector road.

The "ultimate" road and traffic data information, including the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT), for Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive was obtained from the ENCG based on their roadway classifications and are summarized in Table 6. These parameters are used to predict the traffic noise levels following the prediction method outlined in the Ontario Road Noise Analysis Method for Environment and Transportation (ORNAMENT) [5], developed by the MECP. Software developed by the MECP to perform ORNAMENT calculations, STAMSON Version 5.04, was used to predict the noise levels. The output report files from STAMSON software are attached as Appendix A.

Table 6: ENCG Traffic and Road Parameters for STAMSON Modelling

				•		
Road	Implied Roadway Class	Speed Limit [km/h]	Ultimate AADT [Vehicles/day]	Day/Night Split [%]	Medium Trucks [%]	Heavy Trucks [%]
Miikana	2-Lane Major Collector (2- UMCU)	50	12,000	92/8	7	5
Kelly Farm	2-Lane Urban Collector (2-UCU)	50	8,000	92/8	7	5

5.3 Estimated Sound Levels at PORs

STAMSON 5.04 software for noise studies in Ontario involving transportation corridors developed by the MECP for the assessment of road and rail noise was used for the analysis. Details of the STAMSON calculations are provided in 0 and summarized in Table 7. The sound level predictions were modelled with sound-absorptive ground surfaces, except for roadways, which were modelled as sound reflective.

Table 7: Calculated Sound Levels at PORs

POR	Daytime L _{eq} (dBA)	Nighttime L _{eq} (dBA)	Building Component OR Noise Barrier Requirement	Ventilation Requirement	Warning Clause Requirement
POR1	66	58	Building components (walls, windows, etc.) must be designed to achieve indoor sound level criteria	Central air conditioning	Type D
OLA1	51	43	N/A	N/A	N/A
OLA2	57	49	Noise Barrier or relocation of OLA2 to another area.	N/A	N/A

5.3.1 Discussion of Sound Level at POR1

Table 7 shows that the calculated daytime surface transportation noise level measured at the Plane of Window (POR1) exceeds the ENCG sound level limits (greater than 65 dBA) presented in Table 2. It should be noted that POR1 at PoW is the worst-case scenario. Furthermore, the exact location of windows and doors are not available at the time of this study, and the vehicle traffic observed during the site visit on May 30, 2022 was very low. The L_{EQ} contributed by Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive are 64 dBA and 61 dBA, respectively. The distance between the noise source and reception is an important factor that affects sound level, hence an increase in the setback between the proposed school and Miikana Road from 7.5 m to 11 m will reduce the overall daytime L_{EQ} value at POR1 to 65 dBA, as shown in Appendix B.

If desired, the re-orientation of the school with 11 m setback from Miikana Road will reduce the sound level from 66 dBA to the acceptable level of 65 dBA at POR1 to comply with ENCG requirements without needing to design building components beyond the specifications of the Ontario Building Code.

5.3.2 Indoor Noise Control Measures

The results presented in Table 7 indicate that the calculated surface transportation noise level measured at the Plane of Window (POR1) is greater than 65 dBA due to exposure to both Miikana Road and Kelly Farm Drive during the daytime. As stated in Tables 2 and 3, City of Ottawa ENCG requires that if the sound level at PoW (POR1) for Daytime L_{EQ-16HR} is greater than 65 dBA, Building components (walls, windows, etc.) must be designed to achieve indoor sound level criteria with Type D warning clause. Therefore, the following noise control measures to mitigate the effect of surface transportation noise are required.

5.3.2.1 Ventilation Requirements

Central air conditioning must be provided to all occupied spaces inside the proposed school.

5.3.2.2 Building Component Requirements

The building envelope components (exterior walls and windows) all facades must be designed to meet indoor sound level of 45 dBA. The National Research Council of Canada (NRC) publications titled "Controlling Sound Transmission into Buildings" [6] provides a step-by-step procedure for calculating appropriate STC ratings for windows and other building envelope components to provide a required noise reduction.

For an assumed window to floor area ratio of 50 %, Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF) calculation method revealed that fixed windows with 3mm & 3mm double glazing glass and inter-pane spacing of 16 mm, and solid walls constructed in accordance with OBC will provide STC of 31 and 35 respectively and reduce the noise level in the classrooms to acceptable value.

Note that these example constructions are provided for reference only, and the performance of building elements, including window assemblies should be confirmed by the supplier or installer.

5.3.2.3 Warning Clause Requirements

A per the ENCG, warning clause Type 'D' must be included in agreements of offers of purchase and sale, as well as any lease/rental agreements associated with the school. Sample wording from the ENCG have been adapted below for the Project.

WARNING CLAUSE TYPE "D"

"This school has been supplied with a central air conditioning system which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the Municipality and the Ministry of the Environment."

5.4 Discussion of Sound Level at OLAs

Table 7 presents the daytime calculated surface transportation noise level measured at the Outdoor Living Areas (OLAs) as 51 dBA for the Kindergarten Play Area (OLA1) and 57 dBA at the Outdoor Classroom (OLA2) locations. While the sound level at OLA1 is within the recommended limit (55 dBA), that at OLA2 is more than the limit.

If desired, STAMSON calculations showed that by shifting the Outdoor Classroom from the current 41.5 m to 55 m from the Kelly Farm Drive will reduce the noise level at OLA2 to 55 dBA required by the City of Ottawa, please see Appendix C1 for the STAMSON results.

Alternatively, STAMSON calculations show that a barrier of 1.5 m at 20 m from the outdoor classroom will reduce the daytime noise level to 53 dBA, which is below the limit. See Appendix C2.

5.4.1 Outdoor Noise Control Measures

The location of the Outdoor Classroom may be shifted to 55 m from the middle of Kelly Farm Drive to achieve the require noise limit.

Alternatively, for the proposed site layout without re-orientation of the outdoor classroom, a 1.5 meters tall roadside barrier along Kelly Farm Drive placed at 20 meters from the Outdoor Classroom area will be required to reduce the noise level to acceptable value required by the City of Ottawa.

6.0 Surrounding Stationary Noise Source

There were no significant stationary noise sources in the vicinity of the Project at the time of site visit on May 30, 2022. Two tractors were working on the construction of internal roads on the other side of the Kelly Farm Drive on the day of the site visit. This is a temporary event hence is not considered as a source of noise for the Project.

7.0 Impact of the Project on Surrounding Area

Mechanical equipment, particularly the rooftop air handling units (RTU) for the Heating Ventilation and Airconditioning (HVAC) systems for the Project, which are expected to be operated 24 hours a day, seven days a week, is considered as a noise source to the surrounding residential buildings. Figure 3 shows the locations of the point of reception for buildings closest to the proposed project and the locations of the rooftop air handling units (RTUs). Three PORs (POR A, POR B, POR C) are consdiered.

7.1 Stationary Noise Sources

As presented in section 5.3.2, noise control measures are required for the present proposed layout of the project. The windows and doors have to remain closed and air conditioning systems have to be provided for air heating and cooling purposes. The required cooling load of the Heating Ventilation and Airconditioning (HVAC) system was estimated based on the footprint area of the project, which was found to be less than the value for a similar project, the Fernbank Public School at 480 Cope Drive. Therefore, the HVAC systems for the Fernbank Public School, a two-storey public school with daycare, are assumed for the analysis to consider the worst-case scenario for the Project. Detailed information about specific mechanical equipment for the Project is not available at the time of this study.

Twelve rooftop air handling units (RTU), which are expected to run 24 hours a day, seven days a week are considered. The RTUs have been sized for the Project such that they may operate at 75% speed during worst-case predictable conditions. Other small rooftop exhaust fans for bathrooms, etc. are considered insignificant noise sources in the context of this study. The 12 RTU units are listed in Table 8, for which radiated noise levels were obtained from the manufacturer (see Appendix D). All of the RTU noise sources are considered to be running for 45 minutes during the day and night, which is 75 % capacity for the evaluation of the $L_{\text{EQ-1HR}}$ calculation of the radiated noise to the surroundings to compare with the 45 dBA limit.

Table 8: Mechanical Noise Sources Associated With School

Source No.	Location	Description (make / model)	Sound Power Level Data Used (see Appendix B)	Overall Sound Power Level [dBA]
RTU-1	Rooftop	20 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-020)	"RN 13-20 Ton (75%)"	84
RTU-2	Rooftop	5 Ton RTU (AAON / RQ-005)	"RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton (75%)"	74
RTU-3, 4	Rooftop	11 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-011)	"RN 9 & 11 Ton (75%)"	77
RTU-5	Rooftop	6 Ton RTU (AAON / RQ-006)	"RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton (75%)"	74
RTU-6	Rooftop	10 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-010)	"RN 8 & 10 Ton (75%)"	81
RTU-7	Rooftop	7 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-007)	"RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton (75%)"	74
RTU-8	Rooftop	18 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-018)	"RN 13-20 Ton (75%)"	84
RTU-9	Rooftop	16 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-016)	"RN 13-20 Ton (75%)"	84
RTU-10	Rooftop	11 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-011)	"RN 9 & 11 Ton (75%)"	77
RTU-11	Rooftop	9 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-009)	"RN 9 & 11 Ton (75%)"	77
RTU-12	Rooftop	7 Ton RTU (AAON / RN-007)	"RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton (75%)"	74

7.2 Receptor Locations

Three critical plane-of-window receptor locations are chosen for nearest residential buildings to the west, north and east labelled as POR A, POR B and POR C. These critical noise receptors represent the locations that are most exposed to the nearest stationary noise sources, at a height of 4.5 m. These receptor locations are shown in Figure 3. Protection of these receptors is expected to result in protection of all other potential off-site plane-of-window and outdoor living area receptors.

7.3 Stationary Source Noise Level Prediction

Sound levels at the PORs due to the stationary sources associated with the school were calculated using CadnaA software, version 2019, in accordance with the methods described in ISO 9613-2, and the results are summarized in Table 9 below. Calculated noise level contours are also presented in Figure 4 and CadnaA input and output information is presented as Appendix E . The predicted stationary sound levels do not exceed the ENCG limit at the PORs hence no noise mitigation is required.

Table 9: Predicted Stationary Noise Source Levels at the Receptors

Receptor	Time Period	Predicted Stationary Sound Levels L _{EQ-1hr} (dBA)	Stationary Source Sound Level Limit L _{EQ-1hr} (dBA)	Compliance?
POR A	Night-time (0700 – 1900)	38	45	Yes
POR B	Night-time (0700 – 1900)	38	45	Yes
POR C	Night-time (0700 – 1900)	34	45	Yes

8.0 Concluding Comments

With the implementation of the proposed mitigation measures outlined in Sections 5.3.2 and 5.4.1, the noise impact of the nearby transportation noise sources on the Project is expected to meet the requirements of the ENCG. These noise control measures include:

Thornton Tomasetti

Provision of a central air conditioning system which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain

closed;

• Design of the building components (walls, windows, etc.) to achieve indoor sound level criteria and/or

re-orientation of the building.

Installation of a noise barrier around the outdoor classroom and/or re-location of the outdoor

classroom.

The proposed Project at 820 Miikana Road, Ottawa should therefore be approved from a noise

perspective.

Please do not hesitate to contact us if there are any questions.

Yours Truly,

Thornton Tomasetti

Surajudeen Adewusi, Ph.D., P.Eng.

Senior Engineer

Reviewed by:

Robert Fuller, P.Eng.

Project Engineer

DISCLAIMER - Achieving the required noise control requirements relies on correct incorporation of noise control recommendations into Architectural and Mechanical drawings and specifications, as well as correct installation during construction. On Request, TT will conduct drawing reviews and onsite reviews of noise control measures and provide observations as appropriate; however, notwithstanding the foregoing, it is expressly understood and agreed that TT shall not have control or charge of, and shall not be responsible for the acts or omissions, including but not limited to means, methods, techniques, sequences and procedures, of the Design Professionals and/or Contractors performing design and/or construction on the Project. Accordingly, TT shall not be held responsible for the failure of any party to properly incorporate the noise control measures stated in this report.

----- End -----

9.0 References

- 1. City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG), approved by Ottawa City Council in January 2016.
- 2. Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MOECP) Publication NPC-300: Stationary and Transportation Sources Approval and Planning, published in October 2013.
- 3. <a href="https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/community-design/design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-development-and-construction/community-design/design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-guidelines/completed-guidelines/village-collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-road-design-and-planning-guidelines/collector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-and-rural-arterialcollector-arterial
- 4. https://ottawa.ca/en/planning-development-and-construction/official-plan-and-master-plans/official-plan/volume-1-official-plan/section-7-annexes/annex-1-road-classification-and-rights-way
- 5. Ontario Road Noise Analysis Method for Environment and Transportation (ORNAMENT), Technical document published by the MOECC in October 1989.
- 6. Quirt, J David, "Controlling Sound Transmission into Buildings", NRC Publication 01-09-1985




Figure 1: Site Plan with Point of Reception

Page 11 of 30




Figure 2: Aerial view of the site and surroundings




Figure 3: Location of PORs for HVAC stationary noise sources.



Figure 4: Contour lines for HVAC noise sources on the surroundings.

Appendix A – Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 01-06-2022 15:35:24 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Filename: por1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Description: POR1 Findlay Creek Elementary School #2 Road data, segment # 1: Miikana (day/night) _____ Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume: 773/67 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient : Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Data for Segment # 1: Miikana (day/night) _____ Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods No of house rows : 0 / 0 Surface : 1 (Absorption of the state of the stat (No woods.) 1 (Absorptive ground surface) Surface : Receiver source distance : 19.60 / 19.60 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00 Road data, segment # 2: Kelly Farm (day/night) _____ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Data for Segment # 2: Kelly Farm (day/night) ______ Angle1 Angle2 : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg : 0 (No woods.) Wood depth No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1

1 (Absorptive ground surface)

: 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00

Topography

Receiver source distance : 16.50 / 16.50 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Results segment # 1: Miikana (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 64.38 + 0.00) = 64.38 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
-90 90 0.57 67.51 0.00 -1.82 -1.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 64.38

Segment Leq: 64.38 dBA

Results segment # 2: Kelly Farm (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 60.79 + 0.00) = 60.79 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
0 90 0.57 65.75 0.00 -0.65 -4.31 0.00 0.00 0.00 60.79

Segment Leg: 60.79 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.96 dBA

Results segment # 1: Miikana (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 56.79 + 0.00) = 56.79 dBA

Segment Leq : 56.79 dBA

Results segment # 2: Kelly Farm (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 53.19 + 0.00) = 53.19 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 0 90 0.57 58.15 0.00 -0.65 -4.31 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.19

Segment Leq: 53.19 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 58.36 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 65.96

(NIGHT): 58.36

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 01-06-2022 16:57:16
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: OLA1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: OLA1 Findlay Creek Elementary School #2

Road data, segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

Angle1 Angle2 : -25.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0

Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 75.80 / 75.80 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 51.00 + 0.00) = 51.00 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-25 90 0.66 65.75 0.00 -11.68 -3.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 51.00

Segment Leq: 51.00 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 51.00 dBA

Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 43.41 + 0.00) = 43.41 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-25 90 0.66 58.16 0.00 -11.68 -3.07 0.00 0.00 0.00 43.41

Segment Leq : 43.41 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 43.41 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 51.00 (NIGHT): 43.41

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 01-06-2022 17:02:39

MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: OLA2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours

Description: OLA2 Findlay Creek Elementary School #2

Road data, segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)

Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume : 515/45 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod

Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient : 0 %

Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

Data for Segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)

Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)

No of house rows : 0 / 0

Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface)

Receiver source distance : 41.50 / 41.50 m Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m

Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)

Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 56.96 + 0.00) = 56.96 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
-90 90 0.66 65.75 0.00 -7.34 -1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 56.96

Segment Leq: 56.96 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 56.96 dBA

Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 49.36 + 0.00) = 49.36 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.66 58.16 0.00 -7.34 -1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 49.36

Segment Leq : 49.36 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 49.36 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 56.96

(NIGHT): 49.36

Appendix B— Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations with Increased Right of Way (ROW) for Miikana Road

STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 02-06-2022 15:37:05 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT Filename: por1.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours Description: POR1 with increased ROW for Miikana Road Road data, segment # 1: Miikana (day/night) _____ Car traffic volume : 9715/845 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume: 773/67 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 552/48 veh/TimePeriod Posted speed limit : 50 km/h Road gradient : 0 % Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Data for Segment # 1: Miikana (day/night) _____ Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg Wood depth : 0 (No woods.) No of house rows : 0 / 0 : 1 (Absorptive ground surface) Receiver source distance : 23.00 / 23.00 mReceiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00 Road data, segment # 2: Kelly Farm (day/night) _____ Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod Medium truck volume: 515/45 veh/TimePeriod Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod Posted speed limit : 50 km/h 0 % Road gradient : Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete) Data for Segment # 2: Kelly Farm (day/night) _____ : 0.00 deg 90.00 deg Angle1 Angle2 : 0 Wood depth (No woods.) No of house rows : 0 / 0
Surface : 1 (Absorptive ground surface) Receiver source distance : 16.50 / 16.50 m Receiver height : 4.50 / 4.50 m Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier) Reference angle : 0.00

Results segment # 1: Miikana (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 63.29 + 0.00) = 63.29 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
-90 90 0.57 67.51 0.00 -2.91 -1.30 0.00 0.00 0.00 63.29

Segment Leq: 63.29 dBA

Results segment # 2: Kelly Farm (day)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 60.79 + 0.00) = 60.79 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
0 90 0.57 65.75 0.00 -0.65 -4.31 0.00 0.00 0.00 60.79

Segment Leg: 60.79 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.23 dBA

Results segment # 1: Miikana (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 55.69 + 0.00) = 55.69 dBA

Segment Leq: 55.69 dBA

Results segment # 2: Kelly Farm (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 53.19 + 0.00) = 53.19 dBA Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq 0 90 0.57 58.15 0.00 -0.65 -4.31 0.00 0.00 0.00 53.19

.....

Segment Leq: 53.19 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 57.63 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 65.23

(NIGHT): 57.63

Appendix C1– Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations with Outdoor Classsroom relocated to 55 m from Kelly Farm Drive

```
STAMSON 5.0
            NORMAL REPORT
                            Date: 03-06-2022 17:03:01
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT
Filename: ola1.te
                      Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Findlay Creek School-OLA2 moved to 55m 4rm Kelly
Road data, segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)
_____
Car traffic volume : 6477/563
                        veh/TimePeriod
Medium truck volume: 515/45 veh/TimePeriod
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
                 0 %
Road gradient :
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
Data for Segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)
_____
              : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
Angle1 Angle2
                  : 0 (No woods.)
Wood depth
               :
No of house rows
                       0 / 0
                       1 (Absorptive ground surface)
                  :
Receiver source distance : 55.00 / 55.00 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography
                  : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)
             : 0.00
Reference angle
Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day)
_____
Source height = 1.50 \text{ m}
ROAD (0.00 + 54.93 + 0.00) = 54.93 dBA
Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq
______
       90 0.66 65.75 0.00 -9.37 -1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 54.93
______
Segment Leq: 54.93 dBA
Total Leg All Segments: 54.93 dBA
Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (night)
_____
Source height = 1.50 \text{ m}
```

ROAD (0.00 + 47.33 + 0.00) = 47.33 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.66 58.16 0.00 -9.37 -1.46 0.00 0.00 0.00 47.33

Segment Leq: 47.33 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 47.33 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 54.93 (NIGHT): 47.33

Appendix C2— Results of STAMSON 5.04 Calculations with 1.5 m Barrier located 20 meters from Outdoor Classsroom along Kelly Farm Drive.

```
STAMSON 5.0
             NORMAL REPORT Date: 13-06-2022 16:08:49
MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT
Filename: ola2bar.te
                       Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours
Description: Barrier between Kelly Farm and Outdoor Classroom
Road data, segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)
_____
Car traffic volume : 6477/563 veh/TimePeriod
Medium truck volume: 515/45 veh/TimePeriod
Heavy truck volume : 368/32 veh/TimePeriod
Posted speed limit : 50 km/h
Road gradient :
                   0 %
Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)
Data for Segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day/night)
-----
Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg
                   : 0
Wood depth
                               (No woods.)
                :
                        0 / 0
No of house rows
                   :
                        1
                             (Absorptive ground surface)
Receiver source distance : 41.50 / 41.50 m
Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m
Topography
                   : 2 (Flat/gentle slope; with barrier)
               : -90.00 deg Angle2 : 90.00 deg
Barrier angle1
Barrier height
                   : 1.50 m
Barrier receiver distance : 20.00 / 20.00 m
Source elevation : 0.00 \text{ m}
Receiver elevation
Barrier elevation
                   : 0.00 m
                   : 0.00 m
Reference angle
                 : 0.00
Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (day)
_____
Source height = 1.50 \text{ m}
Barrier height for grazing incidence
_____
Source ! Receiver ! Barrier ! Elevation of
Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Height (m) ! Barrier Top (m)
_____
     1.50 ! 1.50 ! 1.50 !
ROAD (0.00 + 52.51 + 0.00) = 52.51 dBA
```

Segment Leq: 52.51 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 52.51 dBA

Results segment # 1: Kelly Farm (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

Barrier height for grazing incidence

ROAD (0.00 + 44.92 + 0.00) = 44.92 dBA

Anglel Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.57 58.16 0.00 -6.94 -1.30 0.00 0.00 -5.00 44.92

Segment Leq: 44.92 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 44.92 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 52.51 (NIGHT): 44.92

Appendix D – Sound Power Level Data for AAON RTUs

Total RQ 2 & 3 Ton Counter Total Tot	2 59 59 2 59 58 5 62 61
100% RQ 2 & 3 Ton Outlet 1 30 850 81 77 71 71 67 62	2 59 58 5 62 61
75% RQ 2 & 3 Ton Outlet 1 30 638 75 71 64 65 60 56 77 73 68 67 63 59	
75% RQ 2 & 3 Ton Outlet 1 30 638 75 71 64 65 60 56 Total 77 73 68 67 63 59	
Total 77 73 68 67 63 59	
Inlet 64 59 57 54 51 47 50% RQ 2 & 3 Ton Outlet 1 30 425 66 62 56 56 52 47	
Total 68 64 59 58 54 50	
Inlet 49 44 42 39 36 37 25% RQ 2 & 3 Ton Outlet 1 30 213 51 47 40 41 37 37	
Total 53 49 44 43 39 39	5 32 31
Inlet 85 79 77 75 71 68	8 65 64
100% RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton Outlet 1 30 1085 86 83 76 76 72 68	
Total 89 84 80 79 75 7' Inlet 78 73 71 69 65 6'	
75% RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton Outlet 1 30 814 80 77 70 70 66 6'	
Total 82 78 73 72 68 64 Inlet 70 64 62 60 56 53	
50% RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton Outlet 1 30 543 71 68 61 61 57 53	3 50 48
Total 74 69 65 64 59 56 Inlet 54 49 47 45 41 33	
25% RQ 4-6 RN 6 & 7 Ton Outlet 1 30 271 56 53 46 46 42 38	8 35 33
Total 59 54 50 48 44 4	1 38 37
Inlet 92 86 85 82 78 75	
100% RN 8 & 10 Ton Outlet 1 30 1085 94 90 83 83 79 75 Total 96 91 87 86 82 78	
Inlet 86 80 78 76 72 68	8 66 65
75% RN 8 & 10 Ton Outlet 1 30 814 87 84 77 77 73 69 Total 90 85 81 80 75 72	
Inlet 77 71 69 67 63 60	
50% RN 8 & 10 Ton Outlet 1 30 543 79 75 68 68 64 60 Total 81 76 72 71 67 63	
Inlet 62 56 54 52 48 49 25% RN 8 & 10 Ton Outlet 1 30 271 64 60 53 53 49 49	
25% RN 8 & 10 Ton Outlet 1 30 271 64 60 53 53 49 45 Total 66 61 57 56 52 46	
Inlet 88 82 80 78 74 7	1 68 67
100% RN 9 & 11 Ton Outlet 2 30 1085 89 86 79 79 75 7	1 68 66
Total 92 87 83 82 78 74 Inlet 81 76 74 72 68 64	
75% RN 9 & 11 Ton Outlet 2 30 814 83 80 73 73 69 64	4 61 60
Total 85 81 76 75 71 67 Inlet 66 61 59 57 53 49	
50% RN 9 & 11 Ton Outlet 2 30 407 68 64 58 58 54 49 Total 70 66 61 60 56 52	
Total 70 66 61 60 56 52 Inlet 57 52 50 48 44 40	
25% RN 9 & 11 Ton Outlet 2 30 271 59 56 49 49 45 47 Total 62 57 53 51 47 44	
Inlet 95 89 88 85 81 78 91 92 93 94 95 97 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98 98	
Total 99 94 90 89 85 8	1 78 77
Inlet 89 83 81 79 75 7' 75% RN 13-20 Ton Outlet 2 30 814 90 87 80 80 76 72	
Total 93 88 84 83 78 75	
Inlet 80 74 72 70 66 65 50% RN 13-20 Ton Outlet 2 30 543 82 78 71 71 67 65	3 60 59
Total 84 79 75 74 70 66 Inlet 65 59 57 55 51 44	
25% RN 13-20 Ton Outlet 2 30 271 67 63 56 56 52 48	8 45 44
Total 69 64 60 59 55 5	1 48 47
Inlet 97 91 89 87 83 80	
100% RN 25 & 30 Ton Outlet 3 30 1085 98 95 88 88 84 80 Total 101 96 92 91 86 83	
Inlet 90 85 83 81 77 73	3 70 70
75% RN 25 & 30 Ton Outlet 3 30 814 92 88 82 82 78 73 Total 94 90 85 84 80 76	
Inlet 81 76 74 72 68 64	
Total 86 81 77 75 71 67	7 65 64
Inlet 66 61 59 57 53 49 25% RN 25 & 30 Ton Outlet 3 30 271 68 65 58 58 54 49	
Total 70 66 62 60 56 52	



Appendix E – CadnaA Input and Output Information

Report (Siteplan_HVAC_EffectJune7b.cna)

Calculation Configuration

Configuration	
Parameter	Value
General	(1.5 0
Country	(user defined)
Max. Error (dB)	0.00
Max. Search Radius (#(Unit,LEN))	2000.00
Min. Dist Src to Rcvr	0.00
Partition	
Raster Factor	0.50
Max. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1000.00
Min. Length of Section (#(Unit,LEN))	1.00
Min. Length of Section (%)	0.00
Proj. Line Sources	On
Proj. Area Sources	On
Ref. Time	
Reference Time Day (min)	960.00
Reference Time Night (min)	480.00
Daytime Penalty (dB)	0.00
Recr. Time Penalty (dB)	0.00
Night-time Penalty (dB)	0.00
DTM	
Standard Height (m)	0.00
Model of Terrain	Triangulation
Reflection	
max. Order of Reflection	2
Search Radius Src	100.00
Search Radius Rcvr	100.00
Max. Distance Source - Rcvr	1000.00 1000.00
Min. Distance Rvcr - Reflector	1.00 1.00
Min. Distance Source - Reflector	0.10
Industrial (ISO 9613)	
Lateral Diffraction	some Obj
Obst. within Area Src do not shield	On
Screening	Excl. Ground Att. over Barrier
	Dz with limit (20/25)
Barrier Coefficients C1.2.3	3.0 20.0 0.0
Temperature (#(Unit,TEMP))	10
rel. Humidity (%)	70
Ground Absorption G	1.00
Wind Speed for Dir. (#(Unit,SPEED))	3.0
Roads (RLS-90)	0.0
Strictly acc. to RLS-90	
Railways (Schall 03 (1990))	
Strictly acc. to Schall 03 / Schall-Transrapid	
Aircraft (???)	
Strictly acc. to AzB	

Result Table

Rec	eiver	Land Use	Limiting	g Value		rel. Axis		Lr w/o Noi	se Control	dL	req.	Lr w/ Nois	e Control	Exce	eding	passive NC
Name	ID		Day	Night	Station	Distance	Height	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night	
			dB(A)	dB(A)	m	m	m	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)
POR A	POR_A		45	45				37.6	37.6	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	-
POR B	POR_B		45	45				38.2	38.2	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	-
POR C	POR C		45	45				34.1	34.1	-	-	0.0	0.0	-	-	- 1

Group Day and Night

Name	Expression		Р	artial S	um Lev	el							
		POR A POR B POR C											
		Dav	Niaht	Dav	Niaht	Dav	Niaht						

Sour	rce				Partia	Level		
Name	M.	ID	PO	RA	PO	R B	POI	RC
			Day	Night	Day	Night	Day	Night
RTU-1			26.0	26.0	19.5	19.5	12.1	12.1
RTU-1			35.7	35.7	28.4	28.4	22.0	22.0
RTU-3			25.3	25.3	23.2	23.2	16.0	16.0
RTU-4			22.6	22.6	26.2	26.2	17.4	17.4
RTU-5			19.0	19.0	21.7	21.7	14.5	14.5
RTU-6			24.1	24.1	31.3	31.3	23.1	23.1
RTU-7			16.5	16.5	22.1	22.1	16.0	16.0
RTU-8			25.1	25.1	33.2	33.2	28.4	28.4
RTU-12			13.6	13.6	21.0	21.0	21.4	21.4
RTU-11			15.9	15.9	22.4	22.4	25.1	25.1
RTU-9			24.6	24.6	29.9	29.9	28.2	28.2
RTU-10			16.7	16.7	22.3	22.3	22.6	22.6

Sound Sources

Point Sources

1 Office	JOU																							
Name	M.	ID	R	esult. PW	/L		Lw/L	.i		Correction	ı	Sound	d Reduction	Attenuation	Ope	erating T	ime	K0	Freq.	Direct.	Height	С	oordinates	
			Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Evening	Night	R	Area		Day	Special	Night					Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)		(m²)		(min)	(min)	(min)	(dB)	(Hz)		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
RTU-1		П	74.0	74.0	74.0	Lw	74		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453304.01	5017651.55	6.50
RTU-1			84.0	84.0	84.0	Lw	84		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453307.56	5017640.89	6.50
RTU-3			77.0	77.0	77.0	Lw	77		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453322.05	5017651.96	6.50
RTU-4			77.0	77.0	77.0	Lw	77		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453336.74	5017668.33	6.50
RTU-5			74.0	74.0	74.0	Lw	74		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453343.03	5017659.93	6.50
RTU-6			81.0	81.0	81.0	Lw	81		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453357.30	5017677.98	6.50
RTU-7			74.0	74.0	74.0	Lw	74		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	6.00 r	453363.18	5017669.17	6.00
RTU-8		П	84.0	84.0	84.0	Lw	84		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453379.55	5017689.73	6.50
RTU-12		П	74.0	74.0	74.0	Lw	74		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453400.11	5017701.90	6.50
RTU-11			77.0	77.0	77.0	Lw	77		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453410.60	5017693.09	6.50
RTU-9			84.0	84.0	84.0	Lw	84		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453390.88	5017669.59	6.50
RTU-10			77.0	77.0	77.0	Lw	77		0.0	0.0	0.0				45.00	45.00	45.00	0.0	500	(none)	0.50 g	453401.79	5017675.88	6.50

Line Sources

Nan	ne N	1. ID	F	Result. PV	/L	R	esult. PW	/L'		Lw/L	i		Correction	n	Soun	d Reduction	Attenuation	Op	erating 1	ime	K0	Freq.	Direct.	Moving Pt.	Src
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Evening	Night	R	Area		Day	Special	Night				Number	Speed
	\neg		(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)		(m²)		(min)	(min)	(min)	(dB)	(Hz)		Day Evening Ni	ght (km/h)

Geometry Line Sources

Name	He	ight		Coordinat	es	
	Begin	End	х	у	z	Ground
	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)

Area Sources

Na	ame	M. II	D	F	tesult. PV	VL	R	esult. PW	L"		Lw/L	.i	(Correction	1	Soun	d Reduction	Attenuation	Op	erating T	ime	K0	Freq.	Direct.	Moving Pt. Src
				Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Evening	Night	R	Area		Day	Special	Night				Number
			П	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)		(m²)		(min)	(min)	(min)	(dB)	(Hz)		Day Evening Night

Geometry Area Sources

Name	He	ight		Coordinat	es	
	Begin	End	х	у	z	Ground
	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)

Vertical Area Sources

Name	e M.	ID	R	esult. PV	/L	R	esult. PW	L"		Lw/L	.i	(Correction	า	Sound	d Reduction	Attenuation	Ор	erating	Time	K0	Freq.	Direct.
			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	Туре	Value	norm.	Day	Evening	Night	R	Area		Day	Specia	al Night			
	Т		(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)	dB(A)		(m²)		(min)	(min)	(min)	(dB)	(Hz)	

Geometry Vertical Area Sources

Name	Не	eight		Coordinat	es	
	Begin	End	х	у	z	Ground
	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)

Road

N	lame	М.	ID		Lme		Cou	nt Data		е	xact Cou	nt Data	a		Speed	d Limit	SCS	Surf	ace	Gradient	Mul	t. Refle	ction
Γ				Day	Evening	Night	DTV	Str.class.	М				p (%)		Auto	Truck	Dist.	Dstro	Туре		Drefl	Hbuild	Dist.
Г				(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)			Day	Evening	Night	Day	Evening	Night	(km/h)	(km/h)		(dB)		(%)	(dB)	(m)	(m)

Geometry Road

Name	H	eight		Coordinates						
	Begin End		х	у	z	Ground	(m)	(%)		
	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)				

Receptors

Name	M.	ID	Leve	el Lr	Limit.	Limit. Value		Land Use			Height C		oordinates	
			Day	Night	Day	Night	Туре	Auto	Noise Type			Х	Y	Z
			(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)	(dBA)				(m)		(m)	(m)	(m)
POR A		POR_A	37.6	37.6	45.0	45.0				4.50	r	453271.13	5017639.11	4.50
POR B		POR_B	38.2	38.2	45.0	45.0				4.50	r	453339.91	5017717.26	4.50
POR C		POR_C	34.1	34.1	45.0	45.0				4.50	r	453453.30	5017727.38	4.50

Obstacles

Barriers

Name	M.	ID	Absorption		Z-Ext.	Cantilever		Height		
			left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin	End	
					(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	

Geometry Barriers

Name	M.	ID	Abso	orption	Z-Ext.	Cant	ilever	Hei	ght	Coordinates				
			left	right		horz.	vert.	Begin	End	х	у	Z	Ground	
					(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	

Building

Name	M.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height	
						Begin	
						(m)	
School				0		6.00	r

Geometry Building

Name	M.	ID	RB	Residents	Absorption	Height	Т		Coordinat	es	
						Begin		х	у	Z	Ground
						(m)		(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)
School				0		6.00	r	453293.48	5017651.97	6.00	0.00
								453324.28	5017668.90	6.00	0.00
								453323.62	5017669.82	6.00	0.00
								453335.12	5017676.30	6.00	0.00
								453336.18	5017675.37	6.00	0.00
								453403.20	5017713.97	6.00	0.00
							I	453406.77	5017707.10	6.00	0.00
							I	453412.45	5017710.14	6.00	0.00
							T	453422.76	5017691.37	6.00	0.00
							Т	453415.36	5017687.53	6.00	0.00
							Т	453417.21	5017683.44	6.00	0.00
							T	453409.15	5017679.21	6.00	0.00
							T	453413.38	5017671.14	6.00	0.00
							1	453391.04	5017658.58	6.00	0.00
								453382.58	5017672.73	6.00	0.00
							1	453306.04	5017629.90	6.00	0.00
							1	453293.21	5017652.50	6.00	0.00

3D Reflector

Name	M.	ID	Туре	Attenuation	В	m	Height
				dB/100m	%	1/m	(m)

Geometry Absorption

Name	M.	ID	Туре	Attenuation	В	m	Height	Coordinates				
				dB/100m	%	1/m	(m)	х	у	Z	Ground	
								(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	

Ground Absorption

Name	M.	ID	G
			0.0
			0.0

Geometry Absorption

Name	M.	ID	G	Coord	inates
				х	у
				(m)	(m)
		П	0.0	453130.04	5017591.00
		П		453550.14	5017829.52
		П		453553.66	5017823.35
				453134.09	5017586.42
			0.0	453287.76	5017656.14
				453429.36	5017737.01
		П		453477.21	5017652.92
		П		453475.12	5017645.65
		П		453454.13	5017633.19
		П		453446.85	5017635.57
				453434.82	5017653.20
				453324.42	5017588.28

Contour Lines

Geometry Contour Line

Name	M.	ID	OnlyPts	Hei	ght	Coordinates					
				Begin	End	х	у	z			
				(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)	(m)			