## SITE SERVICING AND STORMWATER MANAGEMENT REPORT

Project Address – 5574 Rockdale Road, Vars

Owner/Client: Address: City file Number: Mr. JP Bergeron 880 Smith Road, Navan ON, K4B 1N9 D07-12-14-0007

By Blanchard Letendre Engineering Ltd. Date – November 29, 2021 Our File Reference: 19-276

Previous Submission Completed by A. Dagenais & Assoc. Inc. April 30, 2019 December 8, 2017 September 9<sup>th</sup>, 2015 July 29, 2015 September 17, 2014 April 10th, 2014 November 11th, 2013

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table	of Contents	2
1.0	Introduction	3
2.0	Site Plan	4
3.0	STORM WATER MANAGEMENT	5
3.1	Balance Flow Requirements	5
3.2	One hundred Year Storm Event	6
3	2.1 Roof Drain calculations	6
3	2.2 Storage calculations	6
3	2.3 Structure Storage	6
3.3	Five Year Storm Event	7
3	3.1 Storage calculations	8
3	3.2 Structure Storage Error! Bookmark not defined	d.
3.4	Trench Drain and Pump	8
3.5	Quality Control	9
3.6	Phase 2 Considerations	9
4.0	SANITARY SEWER DESIGN	9
4.1	Septic Tank	9
4.2	Tertiary Treatment Units	9
4.3	Area Bed Design 1	0
4	3.1 Stone layer 1	.0
4	3.2 Extended Area (Base of the septic sand) 1	0
4.4	Pumping Station 1	0
4.5	Elevations of structures 1	. 1
5.0	WATER CONNECTION DESIGN 1	.1
5.1	Domestic water requirements 1	. 1
5.2	Fire Flow Requirements 1	. 1
5.3	Design Flow 1	2
5.4	Water Capacity Comments 1	2
6.0	CONCLUSION1	3

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 3 of 12

### **APPENDIX TABLE**

- Appendix A Site Development Drawings
- Appendix B Tributary Areas
- Appendix C Surface Ponding & Drainage Diagram
- Appendix D Storm Water Design Sheet
- Appendix E Stormwater Storage
- Appendix F Intensity Duration Curves
- Appendix G ICD Data Table and STC Design Brief
- Appendix H Ontario Building Code
- Appendix I Ashre Tables & Ottawa Sewer Capacity Tables
- Appendix J Boundary Conditions & HGL
- Appendix K Erosion and Sediment Control
- Appendix L Enviro Septic Design Paramaters
- Appendix M Slope Stability and Retaining Wall

## FIGURE TABLE

Figure 1 – Existing Site – Page 4

## **1.0** INTRODUCTION

Blanchard Letendre Engineering Ltd. (BLEL) was retained by JP Bergeron. to finalize their site servicing and stormwater management for their proposed site located at 5574 Rockdale Road in Vars. This report summarized proposed site servicing and stormwater management and should be read in conjunction with the engineering drawings prepare by BLEL.

This report and site servicing plan have been prepared based on the design prepare by A. Dagenais Associates Ltd. and the site survey completed by Annis O'Sullivan Vollebekk,. The information contained herein is based on the provided drawings and report and if there is any discrepancy with the survey or site plan, BLEL should be informed in order to verify the information and complete the changes if required.

A Dagenais & Assoc. Inc., previously retained by JP Bergeron to provide revised site development drawings and a storm water management report for the proposed residential project. As A Dagenais & Assoc. Inc., has been acquired by BL Engineering, this report is a summary of data, calculations, design and support documentation required for the site services of this project.

## 2.0 SITE PLAN

The proposed site is to be located in Vars Ottawa, Ontario. As per the aerial picture in figure 1, the existing site consist of and green space area on the west side of the property. The property located at 5574 Rockdale, Vars consist of approximately 1.77ha of undeveloped land and will consist of two area, affect area (0.61ha) and un unaffected area (1.16ha).



Figure 1- Existing site at 5574 Rockdale Rd, Vars, Ontario

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 5 of 12

## 3.0 STORM WATER MANAGEMENT

## 3.1 Balance Flow Requirements

The site consists of approximately 1.777 ha of vacant land.

The site is proposed to be considered in three parts:

- 1- An affected area that will include a laneway, parking, proposed building and a storm water management swale;
- 2- An uncontrolled swale to service potential future development, will remain grass and be considered unaffected (Area A8);
- 3- An unaffected area to remain entirely as is (Area A9).

The unaffected area, consisting of Area A9 in the storm water management plan, is being considered for future development (such as a second building) which will require storm water management practices to be constructed along with the future building.

Since the unaffected area is divided from the roadside ditch by 5 residential properties and it is uncertain if any one of them will be available for use to convey a controlled flow, the uncontrolled swale (Area A8) is being proposed as an option for future storm water management design. We therefore proposed to direct stormwater from the proposed development exclusively to the swale on the south side of the laneway.

The proposed storm water management will consider management of the affected area, controlling flows are based on the 100-year. Only design flows assuming contribution from post-Phase 2 developments will be used in design, however the lower interim flows have been included in the appendices for reference.

The pre-development flow of the 5-year storm was calculated using a 5-year storm and a 10-minute time of concentration for the affected area. The pre-development flow of the 100-year storm was calculated using a 100-year storm and a 10-minute time of concentration for the affected area. The pre-development flows for the swale and the unaffected area were calculated using a 10-minute times of concentration, as well as 5-year and 100-year storms depending on the subject design storm. From intensity duration curves established for the Ottawa area (see Appendix F) the intensity of 104.20mm/hr for the 5yr predevelopment flow and 178.60mm/hr for the 100-year predevelopment flow. A run-off coefficient of 0.3 was used as per City Design Guidelines (for grass areas).

The post-development flows were based on 5 and 100 years storm events with a time concentration of 10 minutes for the affected area and 10 minutes for the unaffected areas. From intensity duration curves established for the Ottawa area, a copy included in Appendix 'F', we established rain intensities of I = 104.4 mm/hr (5 years) and I = 179.0 mm/hr (100 years) correspondingly. A runoff coefficient of 0.30 for the soft surfaces and 0.90 for the hard surfaces were used for a 5-

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 6 of 12

year storm event. For the 100-year storm we have increased the coefficients by 25% as per City's Sewer Guidelines, meaning 0.375 for soft; except for hard surfaces that were limited at 0.95.

Using the Rational Method and considering the tributary areas of the proposed development or affected area (see Appendix 'B'), we calculated the pre and post development flows. See also the Storm Sewer Design Sheet in Appendix 'D'.

### **3.2** One hundred Year Storm Event

In the Storm Sewer Design sheet, the pre-development flow was calculated as 238.64 L/s. The affected area was found to have a predevelopment flow of 65.95 L/s. Area's A1-A7 will surface drain to the controlled swale on the south side of the private approach. The permitted flow from the swale is 65.95L/s.

The proposed design flow restriction will be achieved with an IPEX ICD at CB#1, (with a head of 0.36 m) at for a restricted flow of 65.95 L/s. Therefore, the total release flow will be 65.95L/s. For IPEX chart, see Appendix "G".

### **3.2.1 Roof Drain calculations**

The proposed roofs are pitched; therefore, roof drains are not proposed.

### **3.2.2** Storage calculations

The total flow into CB#1 during a 100-year storm event will be the total flow from areas A1-A7. Therefore, the flow is 238.64 L/s for a 100 years storm which is being limited to **65.95 L/s**. The ICD by IPEX (Type D) has a head of 0.36m (77.56) (Ponding elevation) -77.20m (outlet) = 0.36 m). Based on the restricted flow and rainfall intensity, the accumulated volume generated by this restriction would be 113.24 cu. m. See Appendix "D" for Stormwater Storage.

## 3.2.3 Structure Storage

The stormwater volume is proposed to be stored in the swale. The shape of the swale is proposed to be constructed with side slopes (maximum 3H:1V) side walls and a 'flat' bottom (minimum 2% cross fall from bottom of walls to centerline of swale). Average slope has been shown on the site development drawing C200.

Ponding capacity of the swale has been calculated as the sum of the capacity of sections of the swale. The capacity of each section has been calculated as the length of the section crossed with the average area of the section. The average area of each section was calculated using the cross-sectional area of the swale at the upstream and the downstream end of each section.

The cross-sectional area of the swale at section ends is dependent on ponding elevation in the swale. Through computations and using AutoCAD volumes, the 100-year ponding elevation was found to be 77.56m. The resulting ponding attributes have been summarized in the following table:

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 7 of 12

0.400

0.400

10

10

Target Storage =

15.040

15.440

15.440

Section	Ponding Elevation (m)	Swale Elevation (m)	Average Area (sq m)	Length (m)	Volume (cu m)	Capacity (cu m)
		SO	UTH SWALE			
1	77.56	77.28	0.331	10	3.310	3.31
2	77.56	77.3	1.061	10	10.610	13.92
3	77.56	77.32	1.593	10	15.930	29.85
4	77.56	77.34	1.384	10	13.840	43.69
5	77.56	77.36	1.237	10	12.370	56.06
6	77.56	77.38	1.057	10	10.570	66.63
7	77.56	77.4	0.935	10	9.350	75.98
8	77.56	77.42	0.847	10	8.470	84.45
9	77.56	77.44	0.847	10	8.470	92.92
10	77.56	77.46	0.535	7.78	4.268	97.18
11	77.56	77.48	0.288	10.66	3.071	100.25
12	77.56	77.5	0.112	12.08	1.353	101.61
13	77.56	77.52	0.04	20.97	1.239	102.85
14	77.56	77.56	0.04	20.94	0.838	103.68
			Targe	t Storage =		103.689
		NC	ORTH SWALE			
15	77.56	77.45	0.562	10	5.620	5.620
16	77.56	77.47	0.502	10	5.020	10.640
17	77.56	77.49	0.288	10	2.880	13.520
18	77.56	77.51	0.112	10	1.120	14.640

\*Note: The table was constructed beginning at the outlet. Section 14 is south of the private of the property and section 15 to 20 is adjacent to the north.

0.04

0.04

Therefore, the surface storage capacity is 119.129/113.24\*100 = 105% of the required volume.

#### 3.3 **Five Year Storm Event**

77.56

77.56

77.53

77.55

19

20

In the Storm Sewer Design sheet, the pre-development flow was calculated as 104.2 L/s. The affected area was found to have a predevelopment flows of 65.95L/s. Area's A1-A8 will surface drain to the controlled swale on the south and north side of the private approach. The runoff from the site will then be restricted to 65.95L/s as per section 3.2 of this report.

### **3.3.1** Storage calculations

The total flow into CB#1 during a 5-year storm event will be the total flow from areas A1-A8. Therefore, the flow is 113.05 L/s for a 5 years storm which is being limited to 65.95 L/s. The total storage required under the 5 year storm event was estimated at 28.26 cu. m which will be stored in the swale that provides the storage for the 100 year storm event.

## 3.4 Trench Drain and Pump

The proposed elevation at the bottom of the ramp will be lower than the adjacent swale elevations, and therefore must be pumped.

The system will be designed to accommodate a 1hr storm assuming pump failure at the onset of the storm, as well as a water level in the pit at a level only just insufficient to engage the alarm.

## 3.4.1 Pump Selection

A 1HP sump pump by Flotec is capable of pumping 5.75L/s (5468 GPH) with a maximum head of 2.22m (7.29ft). Therefore, we propose alternating Flotec 1HP pumps with float actuated control panel.

## 3.4.2 Pit design

The discharge pipe must exit the pump chamber above the 100-year ponding elevation. We propose a 2.0% slope on the discharge pipe, draining towards the swale. This will provide a pipe invert at the pump chamber of 77.78. We therefore propose a pump inlet elevation of 75.56m (77.78 -2.21 = 75.56). The proposed pump has a 3 1/2" clearance from bottom of pit to pump inlet, therefore the pit sump will be at an elevation of 75.49m.

The OFF float will be installed at 4" (elev = 75.59), ON float at 14" (elev = 75.79), and a high alarm float at 17" (elev = 75.86).

Additional flow reserve volume to was accounted for (up to the 100-year design storm) sufficient to provide a 1hr response time in case of pump failure.

Considering flooding would begin to occur at an elevation of 75.79, there would therefore be a reserve depth of 1.32m (77.18 - 75.79 = 1.32m). Assuming a water elevation in the pit just below the high-water alarm float level at the commencement of the storm would be the worst-case scenario. For a 3.6m diameter pit, there would be a reserve capacity of 13.44 cu m before flooding. A 60-minute storm would generate 13.39cu m of water (Refer to tables in Appendix "E").

Therefore the proposed 3.6m diameter precast concrete manhole shall be used as a sump pit, or equivalent volume. Assuming a 1.8m monolithic base, the underside of transition slab would be at an elevation of 77.29m. A 1.2m diameter riser with a height of 0.9m, 1.2m diameter flat top, 6" leveling ring and 6" frame and grate would bring the top of grate elevation to 79.08m. Refer to site development drawings for finished grade elevations (see appendix "A") and MCON specification sheet in Appendix E.

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 9 of 12

## 3.5 Quality Control

A water quality control requirement of 80% TSS removal was set by the South Nation Conservation Authority. We propose to achieve this requirement by means of an "end of the line" treatment unit. We are proposing a Stormceptor unit. Using the Stormceptor sizing software, the STC 300 unit was selected. The software generated report has been attached (See Appendix "G").

## 3.6 Phase 2 Considerations

As Phase 2 of the development is not proposed in the near future with the client, no work proposed will be completed during the Phase 1. Area A9 was left uncontrolled as potential Phase 2 of the project which will have its separate stormwater management and quality control.

## 4.0 SANITARY SEWER DESIGN

As per Part 8 of the Ontario Building Code (See Appendix "H"), Table 8.2.1.3.A,

Apartments, Based on Occupant Load	275 L/c/d
Occupancy, Based on Subsection 3.1.17	2 people per bedroom
Therefore:	
$6 \ge 2$ bedrooms $\ge 2$ people per room =	24 people
$6 \ge 1$ bedrooms $\ge 2$ people per room =	12 people
Total=	36 people

Therefore, the total daily design sanitary sewage flow for this development is 9900 L/d [275L/c/d x 36 people = 9900 L/d].

## 4.1 Septic Tank

Since the building will have a residential use, the volume of the septic tank must be at least 2 times the daily design sanitary sewage flow as per sentence 8.2.2.3.(1) of the OBC.

Tank Volume = 2 x 9900 =19 800 L

Therefore, we will use a standard Boucher Precast Concrete Limited (or equivalent) 5000gal (22 500 L) concrete septic tank c/w Polylok PL122 or equivalent effluent filter. See details on plan.

## 4.2 Tertiary Treatment Units

The Ottawa Septic System Office has included a requirement of tertiary treatment based on expected sewage characteristics of senior citizens. The proposed treatment unit is the EnviroSeptic Treatment System (BMEC 13-03-365).

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 10 of 12

The design parameters were provided by the EnviroSeptic product representative and attached in Appendix "L". The proposed design parameters were reviewed and it is our professional opinion that they are suitable for the proposed site and design flow. During construction, the final designed will be completed by EnviroSeptic which will signed off their final design.

## 4.3 Area Bed Design

The area bed will be a sand layer with the EnviroSeptic pipes contained within it. The bed will have dimensions 17.38m X 9.45m and a total contact surface of 164.19 sq m.

## 4.3.1 Stone layer

The EnviroSeptic System does not have a stone later.

## 4.3.2 Extended Area (Base of the septic sand)

The proposed sand layer shall be 700mm thick and have an area not less than the greater of:

1-Area Bed (164.19 sq m);

Or

2-A=QT/850 = (9900)(6)/850 = 70 sq m

The minimum required size of the sand layer is therefore a matching area and footprint of the sand layer. (The percolation rate of the native soil "T=6" was obtained from the geotechnical report by Morey Assoc. Ltd. for this site, dated Sept. 2013, file # 013300).

## 4.4 **Pumping Station**

A pumping chamber is required for dosing purposes only. Mechanical Engineer and plumber to take note of proposed pipe invert at exterior side of foundation wall. Gravity drainage of basement fixtures or floor drains may not be possible and an internal sewage pit should be considered.

The EnviroSeptic system does not follow OBC requirements for dosing 75% of the volume of the distribution piping. We are proposing a demand dosing system designed to dose 1238L each cycle for approximately 8 cycles per day. We propose a dose rate of 1.25L/s for total dosing time in excess of 15 minutes in order to prevent dosing in excess of 75L/min, which is not suitable for this system.

The pump chamber is proposed to be constructed of 1.2m diameter concrete casing. With a cross sectional area of 1.131 sq m, the required working depth of the pump chamber will be 1.1m.

We are proposing a 0.1m elevation difference between the bottom of the chamber and the pump inlet, a 1.1m working depth, and a 0.16m buffer between high float and alarm float. We also propose the alarm float elevation to match the inlet elevation of 77.96. With a top of grate elevation of 78.54, we are therefore proposing a total pump chamber height of 1.93m.

With an inlet elevation of 76.76 and an outlet elevation of 78.18, the head on the pump will be 1.47m. With a flow of 1.25L/s (19.8GPM), a Meyer's SRM4 series pump is more than sufficient

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 11 of 12

to be used as the dosing pump. When used in combination with EnviroSeptic, a dosing pump must be installed with a velocity reducer and differential venting.

## 4.5 Elevations of structures

The proposed area bed will outlet to the west at an elevation 77.65. The footer of the proposed bed will be set back from the outlet by approximately 3m. With a contact surface at a slope of 1%, the elevation of the contact surface at the footer will be 77.68m. Continuing at 1% up to the header, the elevation of the contact surface at the header (17.38m @ 1.0%) will be 77.85. Working up from there, we have the following table of elevations of structures for the septic system:

	Inlet	Outlet	Underside Elevation of	Top Elevation of	F/G
Structure	Elevation	Elevation	Structure	Structure	Elevation
BLDG	N/A	78.13	N/A	N/A	N/A
Tank	78.09	78.01	75.83	78.40	78.54
Pump	77.96	78.18	76.78	78.40	78.54
header	78.15	N/A	N/A	N/A	78.75
CS@header	N/A	N/A	77.85	N/A	N/A
footer	77.98	N/A	N/A	N/A	78.58
CS @footer	N/A	N/A	77.68	N/A	N/A

## 5.0 WATER CONNECTION DESIGN

## 5.1 Domestic water requirements

Based on the preliminary concept of having 12 units, 6 of which are to be 2-bedroom units and 6 of which are to be 1-bedroom units, and following the city of Ottawa design guidelines for water distribution, we have a design water demand as follows:

6 rooms at 2.1 people per room for 12.6 people 6 rooms at 1.4 people per room for 8.4 people

The predicted population of this building would therefore be 21 people. As the population estimated is higher with the sanitary calculation, a population of 36 people was used for the design. The guideline specifies a design flow of 350L/c/day. The total demand would therefore be 12600L/d, which translates to an average daily demand of 0.015L/s. Therefore: ADD = 0.15L/s; MDD = 0.36L/s; MHD = 0.80L/s

## 5.2 Fire Flow Requirements

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 12 of 12

As the new residential building will not have a fire suppression sprinkler system, the new service was sized to supply the daily water demand. Based on the Ontario building code calculations, the fire flow was evaluated at **76.67L/s**. Refer to Appendix 'C' for the fire flow calculation sheet.

As the fire hydrant is located beyond the maximum allowable spacing from the building entrances, a new private hydrant will be installed as requested by the City fire department. The new hydrant will be installed on the north side of the private driving entrance and has been position to suit the city fire department request.

The proposed site will be serviced with a new 150mm water service which will service the new private hydrant before being teed to two separate 50mm water service for the resident building and future development. The new 150mm water service will be connected to the existing 203mm diameter watermain on Rockdale Road. The new services will be installed at the east elevation of the new buildings.

### 5.3 Design Flow

The design flow shall be the greater of the Maximum Hourly Demand (MHD); or the combined Fire Flow plus Maximum Daily Demand.

Design Flow = 0.80L/s

We are proposing a 150mm diameter private main with a 150x50x50 pre-manufactured tee servicing the proposed building and a second branch capped for future use. The branch to the building is proposed to be reduced to a 50mm service lateral between the tee and the building. Considering the flow in the building lateral will consist of the building's domestic demand only, it will be sized using the MDD alone.

### 5.4 Water Capacity Comments

The boundary conditions and HGL for hydraulic analysis for 5574 Rockdale Road were obtained from the city. See attached copy in Appendix "J". From the boundary conditions, we noted that we have a minimum pressure check of 108.4 m and for the estimated water main elevation of 75.80 m, a maximum pressure estimate of 46.31 psi.

An HGL table was used to tabulate the characteristics of the private main and service (See appendix "J"), including friction and elevation losses and available pressure. As per the table, the friction loss servicing this building is 1.82psi [46.31psi - 44.49psi = 1.82psi]. There is also an approximately 0.25psi friction loss from water meter to furthest fixture, and a total elevation difference of 9.57m (31.4ft) from the water main to the shower head on the top floor. The head loss for elevation will be 13.60psi [31.4ft x 0.433 = 13.60psi], for a total pressure loss of 15.67psi to service this building. The available pressure at the furthest fixture will therefore be 30.74psi, which is adequate.

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276 Page 13 of 12

## 6.0 CONCLUSION

In our opinion, the proposed development project, including the design recommendations provided in this report and on the Site Development Drawings, meets the approval requirements for the applicable approval agencies as well as the 2003 MOE requirements.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Sincerely Yours,




Guillaume Brunet, P. Eng.

Herr

Benjamin Falconer, E.I.T.


5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

## APPENDIX "A" Site Development Drawings



DAT	<u>A</u>
ZONING - V3E	pasement garage (15 parkings) 7.995 m (irregular)
LOT AREA -	17765.7 sq.m
MIN. LOT AREA REQ'D -	900 sq.m
LOT WIDTH -	Irregular: min. 29.952m
MIN. LOT WIDTH REQ'D -	24.0 m
FRONT YARD -	Irregular: Min. 31.374m
MIN. FRONT YARD REQ'D -	9.0 m
SIDE YARD -	34.310 m
MIN. SIDE YARD REQ'D -	3.5 m
REAR YARD -	11.968 m
MIN. REAR YARD REQ'D -	11.0 m
LANDSCAPE OPEN SPACE -	35.37 %
LANDSCAPE OPEN SPACE REQ	25 %
LOT COVERAGE -	3.36 %
MAX. LOT COVERAGE -	25 %
BUILDING HEIGHT -	10.103m
MAX. BUILDING HEIGHT -	15.0 m
DENSITY -	6.75 units per hectare
MAXIMUM DENSITY -	99 units per hectare
AMENITY AREA PROVIDED	416 sq/m
SIDE YARD AMENITY	235 sq/m
REAR YARD AMENITY	181 sq/m

NUMBER OF PARKING FOR UNITS       GARAGE 16 parking in building + 12 exterior parking = 28 Parking 1 Parking x 12 = 12 Parkings         NUMBER OF PARKING REQUIRED FOR UNITS-       1 Parking x 12 = 12 Parkings         NUMBER OF VISITOR PARKING NUMBER OF VISITOR PARKING REQUIRED       5 Parkings 0.2 Parking x 12 = 2.4 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF PROVIDED PARKINGS TOTAL NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKINGS       28 + 5 = 33 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKINGS       12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings         LEGEND :       GREEN (SOD & SEED)         PROPOSED BUILDING       HEAVY ASPHALT PAVEMENT         BALCONY       LIGHT ASPHALT PAVEMENT	AMENITY AREA REQUIRED	6 sq/m per unit (20 x 12 units = 240 sq/m) 10% of gross floor area (10% x 597.94 = 59.79 sq/m) Total - 240 sq/m + 59.79 sq/m = 299.79 sq/m		
REQUIRED FOR UNITS-       12 Parkings         12 Parkings       12 Parkings         NUMBER OF VISITOR       5 Parkings         PARKING       0.2 Parking x 12 = 2.4 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       0.2 Parking x 12 = 2.4 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       28 + 5 = 33 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       28 + 5 = 33 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings         LEGEND :       GREEN (SOD & SEED)         PROPOSED BUILDING       LIGHT ASPHALT				
PARKING       0.2 Parkings         NUMBER OF VISITOR       0.2 Parking x 12 = 2.4 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       28 + 5 = 33 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       28 + 5 = 33 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF       12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings         LEGEND :       GREEN (SOD & SEED)         PROPOSED BUILDING       LIGHT ASPHALT				
PARKING REQUIRED       0.2 Parking x 12 - 2.4 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF PROVIDED PARKINGS       28 + 5 = 33 Parkings         TOTAL NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKINGS       12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings         LEGEND :       GREEN (SOD & SEED)         PROPOSED BUILDING       HEAVY ASPHALT         HEAVY ASPHALT       Image: Constraint of the second s		5 Parkings		
PROVIDED PARKINGS 28 + 5 = 33 Parkings   TOTAL NUMBER OF REQUIRED PARKINGS 12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings   12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings GREEN (SOD & SEED)   LEGEND : PROPOSED BUILDING HEAVY ASPHALT PAVEMENT   PROPOSED BUILDING LIGHT ASPHALT		0.2 Parking x 12 = 2.4 Parkings		
REQUIRED PARKINGS       12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings         LEGEND :       GREEN (SOD & SEED)         PROPOSED BUILDING       HEAVY ASPHALT         Image: Second S		28 + 5 = 33 Parkings		
LEGEND :     HEAVY ASPHALT       PROPOSED BUILDING     HEAVY ASPHALT       LIGHT ASPHALT		12 + 2.4 = 14.4 Parkings		
PROPOSED BUILDING	LEGEND :	GREEN (S	OD & SEED)	> + + + + + + > + + + + + +
	PROPOSED BUILDING			
	BALCONY			
SIDEWALK CONCRETE OR INTERLOCK			G WALL	



5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

## APPENDIX "B" Tributary Areas

## BLANCHARD LETENDRE ENGINEERING

File No.	19-276	Date:	November 29, 2020
Project:	New 12 Units Apartment Building	Designed:	Guillaume Brunet
<b>Project Address:</b>	5574 Rockdlade Rd. Vars	Checked:	Guillaume Brunet
Client:	Bergeron Construction	<b>Drawing Reference:</b>	C200 & C300

### PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA (UNAFFECTED AREA)

Catchment Area	Runoff Coefficient		Total Area (ha)	Combined C	
Catchinent Area	C = 0.3	<b>C</b> = <b>0.80</b>	C = 0.90	Total Alea (lla)	Combined C
UNAFFECTED	1.020	0.000	0.000	1.020	0.30
TOTAL	1.020	0.000	0.000	1.020	0.30

### PRE-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA (AFFECTED AREA)

Catchment Area	R	unoff Coeffici	ent	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
Catchinent Area	C = 0.3	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Total Al ca (lla)	Combined C
E-01	0.639	0.000	0.000	0.639	0.30
E-02	0.160	0.000	0.000	0.160	0.30
TOTAL	0.799	0.000	0.000	0.799	0.30

### POST-DEVELOPMENT DRAINAGE AREA


	R	unoff Coeffic	ient		
Catchment Area	C = 0.30	C = 0.80	C = 0.90	Total Area (ha)	Combined C
WS-01	0.273	0.000	0.090	0.363	0.45
WS-02	0.018	0.000	0.003	0.021	0.39
WS-03	0.018	0.000	0.024	0.042	0.64
WS-04	0.010	0.000	0.085	0.095	0.84
WS-05	0.000	0.000	0.022	0.022	0.90
WS-06	0.026	0.000	0.027	0.053	0.61
WS-07	0.037	0.000	0.000	0.037	0.30
WS-08	0.166	0.000	0.000	0.166	0.30
WS-09	0.980	0.000	0.000	0.980	0.30
TOTAL	1.528	0.000	0.251	1.779	0.38

### <u>RUNOFF COEFFICIENT (C)</u> Grass Gravel

Gravel	0.80
Asphalt / rooftop	0.90

0.30


### LEGEND: EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN PROPOSED EASEMENT PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.) PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT ×50.00 PROPOSED ELEVATION ×50.00HP PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION ×50.00SW PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION ×50.00EX MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION EXISTING ELEVATION × 70.19 PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110 - STM - STM - STM - PROPOSED STORM SEWER - SAN - SAN - SAN - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER - WTR - WTR WTR - PROPOSED WATERMAIN - SAN - SAN - SAN - EXISTING SANITARY SEWER - WTR - WTR WTR - EXISTING WATERMAIN PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN $\otimes$ PROPOSED CURB STOP PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL STORM WATERSHED EXTENT WATERSHED NAME AREA RUNOF AREA IN HECTARES PROPOSSED GRASS AREA PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT PROPOSED GRAVEL AREA PROPOSED RIP RAP AS PER OPSD 810.010 PROPOSED WATER METER PROPOSED ACCESS GATE $\square$ PROPOSED GROWING FIELD



11.38

COPYRIGHT RESERVED THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND BE RESP DIMENSIONS OD NOT SCALE THE DRAWING AN	
DIMENSIONS. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING - AN OMISSIONS SHALL BE REPORTED TO BLANCHAF ENGINEERING LTD. WITHOUT DELAY. THE COPY AND DRAWINGS ARE THE PROPERTY OF BLANC ENGINEERING LTD. REPRODUCTION OR USE FO	RD LETENDRE RIGHTS TO ALL DESIGNS HARD LETENDRE R ANY PURPOSE OTHER
THAN THAT AUTHORIZED BY BLANCHARD LETEN IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.	NDRE ENGINEERING LTD.
ENGINEERING S	ТАМР
SS PROFESSION 4	
SEROFESSIONAL SECONFESSIONAL V.L. BRUNET 100191036 29/1/1 2021	ATTENTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P
SER OFESSIONAL SER OFESSIONAL SER OFESSIONAL SER OFESSIONAL SER OFESSIONAL	ATTENTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P
SEROFESSIONAL SECONFESSIONAL V.L. BRUNET 100191036 29/1/1 2021	ATTENTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P
#8 #7	ATTENTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P
#8	ATTENTION OF THE PARTY OF THE P
#8         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7	29 / 11 / 2021 24 / 08 / 2020
#8	29/11/2021
#8         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6	29 / 11 / 2021 24 / 08 / 2020 26 / 03 / 2020
#8         #7         #6         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY)
#8         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE IN G
#8         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #4         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #4         #3         #4         #4         #5         #4         #6         #7         #8         #9         #1	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) <b>FENDRE</b> IN G Drario, KOA IWI
#8         #7         #6         #5         #4         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 2         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 1         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 1         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7          #10          I	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) <b>FENDRE</b> N G Dataio, KOA IWI blengineering.ca
#8         #7         #6         #5         #4         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #2       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #3       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #4       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #3       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #4       ISSU	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE Dataio, KOA IWI bengineering.ca
#8         #7         #6         #5         #4         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 2         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         BELANCHARD LET ENGINEERI         767, Notre Dame, Local 42, Embru, O (613) 693-0700         CLIENT:         BERGERON CONST I72 STTHOMAS R	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE Dataio, KOA IWI bengineering.ca
#8         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         BELANCHARD LET         NO.         REVISION         CLIENT:         BERGERON CONST         172 STTHOMAS R         VARS, ON, KOA 3         PROJECT:         12 UNIT APARTMENT         5574 ROCKDALE R	Particular Partic
#8         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION         COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         BELANCHARD LET         TATE STTHOMAS R         VARS, ON, KOA 3         PROJECT:         12         UNIT APARTMENT	Particular Partic
#8         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO.         REVISION	29/11/2021 24/08/2020 26/03/2020 DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) DATE (DD/MM/YYYY) TENDRE Dataio, KOA IWI blengineeringca
#8         #7         #6         #7         #6         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUE 7         RENGINE 2         767, Notre Dame, Local 42, Embru, CO         (613) 693.0700         CLIENT:         BERGERON CONST         TARS, ON, KOA 3     <	ENDRE ATE (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY)
#8         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         100191036         #4         #3         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO. REVISION         BELANCHARD LET         NO. REVISION         CLIENT:         BERGERON CONST         172 STTHOMAS R         VARS, ON, KOA 3         PROJECT:         12 UNIT APARTMENT         5574 ROCKDALE R         VARS, ON         DRAWING:         PRE DEVELOPMEN         DRAINAGE P	ENDRE DATE (DD/MM/YYYY)  ENDRE N G  CENDRE N G  DATE (DD/MM/YYYY)  FENDRE N G  Coad  Show a state of the state
#8	ENDRE ATE (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY) CENDRE NG Date (DD/MM/YYYY)
#8         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         100191036         #8         #7         #6         #7         #6         #7         11         ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 8         #2       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO. REVISION         REVISION         COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 7         #1       ISSUED FOR CITY COMMENTS 6         NO. REVISION       REVISION         CLIENT:         BERGERON CONST         172 STTHOMAS R         VARS, ON, KOA 3         PROJECT:         12 UNIT APARTMENT         ST4 ROCKDALE R         VARS, ON         DRAWING:         PAPER FORMAT:         PAPER FORMAT:	ENDRE DATE (DD/MM/YYYY)  ENDRE N G  CENDRE N G  DATE (DD/MM/YYYY)  FENDRE N G  Coad  Show a state of the state


LEGEND:	
	EXISTING PROPERTY LINE TO REMAIN
	PROPOSED EASEMENT
_	PROPOSED TERRACING (3:1 MIN.)
•	PROPOSED DOOR ENTRANCE/EXIT
×50.00	PROPOSED ELEVATION
×50.00HP	PROPOSED HIGH POINT ELEVATION
×50.00SW	PROPOSED SWALE ELEVATION
×50.00EX	MATCH INTO EXISTING ELEVATION
× 70.19	EXISTING ELEVATION
	PROPOSED OVERLAND MAJOR FLOW ROUTE
-0000000	PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110
	<ul> <li>PROPOSED 200mmØ PERFORATED SUBDRAIN</li> </ul>
	PROPOSED STORM SEWER
	<ul> <li>PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER</li> <li>PROPOSED WATERMAIN</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>EXISTING SANITARY SEWER</li> </ul>
- WTR - WTR - WTR -	<ul> <li>EXISTING WATERMAIN</li> </ul>
	PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN
8	PROPOSED CURB STOP
	<ul> <li>PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL</li> <li>STORM WATERSHED EXTENT</li> </ul>
$\sim$	
WS-XX	- WATERSHED NAME
CONTROLLED	-RUNOFF COEFFICIENT
AREA RUNOFF	- AREA IN HECTARES
	PROPOSSED GRASS AREA
	PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB
	PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT
	PROPOSED GRAVEL AREA
	PROPOSED RIP RAP AS PER OPSD 810.010
M	PROPOSED WATER METER
<u> </u>	PROPOSED ACCESS GATE
	PROPOSED GROWING FIELD



COPYRIGHT RESERVED THE CONTRACTOR SHALL VERIFY AND BE RESPONSIBLE OF ALL DIMENSIONS. DO NOT SCALE THE DRAWING - ANY ERRORS OR OMISSIONS SHALL BE REPORTED TO BLANCHARD LETENDRE ENGINEERING LTD. WITHOUT DELAY. THE COPYRIGHTS TO ALL DESIGNS AND DRAWINGS ARE THE PROPERTY OF BLANCHARD LETENDRE ENGINEERING LTD. REPRODUCTION OR USE FOR ANY PURPOSE OTHER THAN THAT AUTHORIZED BY BLANCHARD LETENDRE ENGINEERING LTD. IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.
ROFESSIONA
SED OFESSIONAL SE
SI CL BRUNET
29/14/2021
SI CL BRUNET
#8
#8         #8           #7
#8         #7           #6
#8
#8
#8
#8
#8
#8
#8
#8
Image: Sector
#8
Image: State of the second
Image: State of the state
Image: State of the second
#8

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276


## APPENDIX "C" Surface Ponding & Drainage Diagram




PROPOSED SILT FENCE AS PER OPSD 219.110 - STM - STM - STM - PROPOSED STORM SEWER - SAN - SAN - SAN - PROPOSED SANITARY SEWER - WTR - WTR - PROPOSED WATERMAIN - SAN - SAN - SAN - EXISTING SANITARY SEWER - WTR - WTR - EXISTING WATERMAIN PROPOSED CATCHBASIN-MANHOLE/CATCHBASIN PROPOSED CURB STOP (3) PROPOSED PIPE INSULATION PROPOSED 100 YEAR HIGH WATER LEVEL STORM WATERSHED EXTENT AREA RUNOFF -AREA IN HECTARES PROPOSSED GRASS AREA PROPOSED CONCRETE FEATURES/SLAB PROPOSED HEAVY DUTY ASPHALT PROPOSED LIGHT DUTY ASPHALT PROPOSED GRAVEL AREA PROPOSED RIP RAP AS PER OPSD 810.010 PROPOSED WATER METER PROPOSED ACCESS GATE


PROPOSED GROWING FIELD


**—** 





77.00EX





5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

## APPENDIX "D" Storm Water Design Sheet



File No.	19-276	Date:	November 29, 2020			
Project:	New 12 Units Apartment Building	Designed:	Guillaume Brunet			
Project Address:	5574 Rockdlade Rd. Vars	Checked:	Guillaume Brunet			
Client:	Bergeron Construction	Drawing Reference:	C200 & C300			
	STORM WATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN SHEET					

5 YEAR STORM EVENT

PRE-DEVELOPMENT STORMATER MANAGEMENT

Runoff	Catchment Area	Area			$\sum R_5$
	EWS-01	0.639	ha	R=	0.30
Un-Controlled	EWS-02	0.160	ha	R=	0.30
	Total Uncontrolled =	0.799	ha	$\Sigma R=$	0.30

PRE-DEVELOPMENT ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE

Q = 2.78CIA (L/s)

### $I_5 = \ 998.071 \ / \ (Tc + 6.053)^{0.814}$

C = I = Tc = Total =	104.2 10	up to a maximum of 0.5 as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines mm/hr min
Allowable Release Rate=		ha L/s

POST-DEVELOPMENT STORMATER MANAGEMENT

Runoff	Catchment Area	Are	a		$\sum R_5$	$\sum R_{100}$
	WS-01	0.363	ha	R=	0.45	0.56
	WS-02	0.021	ha	R=	0.39	0.48
	WS-03	0.042	ha	R=	0.64	0.80
	WS-04	0.095	ha	R=	0.84	1.00
Controlled	WS-05	0.022	ha	R=	0.90	1.00
	WS-06	0.053	ha	R=	0.61	0.76
	WS-07	0.037	ha	R=	0.30	0.38
	WS-08	0.166	ha	R=	0.30	0.38
	Total Contolled =	0.799	ha	$\Sigma R=$	0.49	0.60
	WS-09*	0.980	ha	R=	0.30	0.38
	Total Un-Controlled =	0.980	ha	$\Sigma R=$	0.30	0.38

 $I_5 = 998.071 / (Tc + 6.053)^{0.814}$ 

#### \* WS-09 will not be accounted for as it will remain unaffected

			REQUIRED STOR	AGE	]		PII	STORAGE (PARKING	G RAMP)
	Intensity	Controlled	Storage Volume	Controlled Release	Uncontrolled	Total Release	Controlled	() X ( 3)	Controlled Release
Time (min)	(mm/hr)	Runoff (L/s)	(m <sup>3</sup> )	Rate (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Rate (L/s)	Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Rate (L/s)
10	104.2	113.05	28.26	65.95	0.00	65.95	6.93	4.16	0.00
15	83.6	90.66	22.24	65.95	0.00	65.95	5.56	5.00	0.00
20	70.3	76.22	12.33	65.95	0.00	65.95	4.68	5.61	0.00
25	60.9	66.07	0.19	65.95	0.00	65.95	4.05	6.08	0.00
30	53.9	58.51	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	3.59	6.46	0.00
35	48.5	52.64	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	3.23	6.78	0.00
40	44.2	47.94	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	2.94	7.06	0.00
45	40.6	44.08	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	2.70	7.30	0.00
50	37.7	40.86	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	2.51	7.52	0.00
60	32.9	35.74	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	2.19	7.89	0.00
70	29.4	31.87	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	1.95	8.21	0.00
80	26.6	28.82	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	1.77	8.49	0.00
90	24.3	26.35	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	1.62	8.73	0.00
500	6.3	6.81	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.42	12.54	0.00
720	4.7	5.08	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.31	13.46	0.00
1440	2.7	2.90	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.18	N/A	N/A

Storage Volume = (Controlled Runoff - Controlled RR)/1000 \* (Time\*60s)

STORMATER STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

Total Storage Required =	28.26 m <sup>3</sup>
Surface Storage =	121.86 m <sup>3</sup>
Total Available Storage =	121.86 m <sup>3</sup>



File No.	19-276		Date:	November 29, 2020
Project:	New 12 Units Apartment Building		Designed:	Guillaume Brunet
Project Address:	5574 Rockdlade Rd. Vars		Checked:	Guillaume Brunet
Client:	Bergeron Construction		Drawing Reference:	C200 & C300
	STORM WAT	R MANAGEMENT DESIGN SHEET		
		100 YEAR STORM EVENT		

PRE-DEVELOPMENT STORMATER MANAGEMENT

Runoff	Catchment Area	Area			$\sum R_5$
	EWS-01	0.639	ha	R=	0.30
Un-Controlled	EWS-02	0.160	ha	R=	0.30
	Total Uncontrolled =	0.799	ha	$\Sigma R =$	0.30

PRE-DEVELOPMENT ALLOWABLE RELEASE RATE

Q = 2.78CIA (L/s)

### $I_5 = \ 998.071 \ / \ (Tc + 6.053)^{0.814}$

 C =
 0.3
 up to a maximum of 0.5 as per City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines

 I =
 104.2
 mm/hr

 Tc =
 10
 min

 Total =
 0.799
 ha

 Allowable Release Rate=
 65.95
 L/s

POST-DEVELOPMENT STORMATER MANAGEMENT

Runoff	Catchment Area	An	ea		$\sum R_5$	$\sum R_{100}$
	WS-01	0.363	ha	R=	0.45	0.56
	WS-02	0.021	ha	R=	0.39	0.48
	WS-03	0.042	ha	R=	0.64	0.80
	WS-04	0.095	ha	R=	0.84	1.00
Controlled	WS-05	0.022	ha	R=	0.90	1.00
	WS-06	0.053	ha	R=	0.61	0.76
	WS-07	0.037	ha	R=	0.30	0.38
	WS-08	0.166	ha	R=	0.30	0.38
	Total Contolled =	0.799	ha	$\Sigma R =$	0.49	0.60
	WS-09*	0.980	ha	R=	0.30	0.38
	Total Un-Controlled =	0.980	ha	$\Sigma \mathbf{R} =$	0.30	0.38

 $I_{100}=\ 1735.688 \ / \ (Tc + 6.014)^{0.820}$ 

#### \* WS-09 will not be accounted for as it will remain unaffected

			REQUIRED STOR	AGE			PIT STOR	PIT STORAGE (PARKING RAMP)		
Time (min)	Intensity (mm/hr)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	Uncontrolled Runoff (L/s)	Total Release Rate (L/s)	Controlled Runoff (L/s)	Storage Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	Controlled Release Rate (L/s)	
10	178.6	238.64	103.62	65.95	0.00	65.95	11.88	7.13	0.00	
15	142.9	190.98	112.53	65.95	0.00	65.95	9.51	8.56	0.00	
20	120.0	160.31	113.24	65.95	0.00	65.95	7.98	9.58	0.00	
25	103.8	138.79	109.26	65.95	0.00	65.95	6.91	10.37	0.00	
30	91.9	122.78	102.30	65.95	0.00	65.95	6.11	11.01	0.00	
35	82.6	110.37	93.28	65.95	0.00	65.95	5.50	11.54	0.00	
40	75.1	100.43	82.76	65.95	0.00	65.95	5.00	12.00	0.00	
45	69.1	92.28	71.11	65.95	0.00	65.95	4.60	12.41	0.00	
50	64.0	85.47	58.58	65.95	0.00	65.95	4.26	12.77	0.00	
60	55.9	74.70	31.52	65.95	0.00	65.95	3.72	13.39	0.00	
90	41.1	54.94	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	2.74	14.77	0.00	
120	32.9	43.96	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	2.19	15.76	0.00	
360	13.7	18.34	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.91	19.73	0.00	
500	10.5	14.06	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.70	21.01	0.00	
720	7.8	10.46	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.52	22.50	0.00	
1440	4.4	5.94	0.00	65.95	0.00	65.95	0.30	N/A	N/A	

Storage Volume = (Controlled Runoff - Controlled RR)/1000 \* (Time\*60s)

#### STORMATER STORAGE REQUIREMENTS

Total Storage Required =	113.24 m <sup>3</sup>
Dry PondStorage =	121.86 m <sup>3</sup>
Total Available Storage =	121.86 m <sup>3</sup>

## B BLANCHARD LETENDRE ENGINEERING

File No.	19-276
Project:	New 12 Units Apartment Building
Project Address:	5574 Rockdlade Rd. Vars
Client:	Bergeron Construction

Date:	November 29, 2020
Designed:	Guillaume Brunet
Checked:	Guillaume Brunet
Drawing Reference:	C200 & C300

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN SHEET

SEWER DESIGN

Indiv.         Accum.         Time of Conc.         Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr)           2.78AC         2.78AC         (min.)         (mm/hr)           0.02         0.02         10.00         104.19           0.47         0.49         10.84         99.98	Image: Constraint of the second sec
0.47 0.49 10.84 99.98	
	48.67 375 PVC 0.25% 15.0 87.7 0.79 0.31 0.
0.22 0.22 10.00 104.19	22.74 300 PVC 0.34% 51.0 56.4 0.80 1.07 0.
0.15 0.37 11.07 98.88	36.62 375 PVC 0.25% 29.0 87.7 0.79 0.61 <b>0.</b>
0.00 0.86 11.67 96.11	82.37 375 PVC 0.20% 9.0 77.82 0.70 0.21 1.
0.00 0.00 10.00 104.19	0.43 200 PVC 0.25% 13.0 16.4 0.52 0.42 0.
0.00 0.01 10.42 102.05	0.73 200 PVC 0.25% 24.5 16.4 0.52 0.78 0.
0.09 0.09 10.00 104.19	9.44 300 PVC 0.34% 18.0 56.4 0.80 0.38 0.
-0.04 0.06 11.20 98.27	5.41 300 PVC 0.34% 14.0 56.4 0.80 0.29 0.
	5.34         250         PVC         0.18%         1.5         25.0         0.51         0.05         0.05
	5.34         2.50         PVC         0.18%         1.5         2.50         0.51         0.05         0.31           5.32         250         PVC         0.18%         3.5         25.0         0.51         0.11         0.33

#### DESIGN PARAMETERS NOTES

Runoff Coefficient (C)
Grass
Gravel
Asphalt / rooftop

0.30

0.80

0.90

Q = 2.78 AIC, where Q = Peak flow in Litres per second (L/s) A = Area in hectares (ha) I = Rainfall Intensity (mm/hr) C = Runoff Coefficient

Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport IDF curve  $I_5=998.071~/~(T_c+6.053)^{0.814}$ Min. velocity = 0.76 m/s Manning's "n" = 0.013



File No.	19-276
Project:	New 12 Units Apartment Building
Project Address:	5574 Rockdlade Rd. Vars
Client:	Bergeron Construction

Date: November 29, 2020 Designed: Guillaume Brunet Checked: Guillaume Brunet Drawing Reference: C200 & C300

STORM WATER MANAGEMENT DESIGN SHEET

SEWER DESIGN

LOCATI	ON			MANH	OLE INFORM	MATION	MANHOLE INFORMATION					
From MH	To MH	Up Invert (m)	Down Invert (m)	T/G Up Stream (m)	T/G Down Stream	Up Depth obv (m)	Down Depth obv (m)	Up Depth inv (m)	Pipe Storage 100 year (m <sup>3</sup> )	Upstream CB/MH Size (m)	Water Depth 100 year (m)	CB/MH Storag 100 year (m <sup>3</sup> )
	-											
CB03	CB02	67.79	67.66	68.80	68.80	0.71	0.77	0.71	2.83	0.60	0.71	0.25
CB02	CB01	67.63	67.59	68.80	69.10	0.80	1.13	0.80	1.66	0.60	0.80	0.29
CB05	CB04	67.87	67.69	68.90	68.82	0.73	0.75	0.73	3.61	0.60	0.73	0.26
CB04	CB01	67.66	67.59	68.82	69.10	0.78	1.13	0.78	3.20	0.60	0.78	0.28
CB01	CITY	67.53	67.53	69.10	69.10	1.19	1.19	1.19	0.99	0.60	1.19	0.43
CB11	CB10	68.37	68.34	68.80	68.80	0.23	0.26	0.23	0.41	0.60	0.23	0.08
CB10	CB08	68.31	68.24	68.80	68.95	0.29	0.41	0.29	0.77	0.60	0.29	0.11
							•					•
CB10	CB09	68.30	68.24	68.90	68.95	0.30	0.41	0.30	1.27	0.60	0.30	0.11
CB09	CB08	68.18	68.14	68.95	69.10	0.47	0.71	0.47	0.99	0.60	0.47	0.17
CB08	MH07	68.10	68.10	69.10	69.10	0.75	0.75	0.75	0.07	0.60	0.75	0.27
MH07	CITY	68.11	68.10	69.10	69.10	0.74	0.75	0.74	0.17	0.60	0.74	0.27
	•		•				•	•			•	•
									15.98			2.52

HWL (100 Year)	69.00
TOTAL STORAGE - 100 YEAR	18.50

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

## APPENDIX "E" Stormwater Storage

	Hydrograph Table # 1									
		Ponding C	alculations fo	r the Swale (Phase	ə 1)					
Hydrograph for a 5 year storm										
Time	Intensity (I)	Q=0.923i	Restriction (L/s)	Net Flow		Ponding (L)				
(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	,	accumulation (L/s)		Fonding (L)				
5	140	129.22	65.95	63.27		18981.00				
10	104.4	96.3612	65.95	30.4112		18246.720				
15	85.6	79.0088	65.95	13.0588		11752.92				
20	72	66.456	65.95	0.506		607.200				
30	53.9	49.7497	65.95	-16.2003		-29160.540				
40	45	41.535	65.95	-24.415		-58596.000				
50	38.5	35.5355	65.95	-30.4145		-91243.50				
60	32	29.536	65.95	-36.414		N/A				
120	18.9	17.4447	65.95	N/A		N/A				
360	8.4	7.7532	65.95	N/A		N/A				
720	4.8	4.4304	65.95	N/A		N/A				
1440	2.6	2.3998	65.95	N/A		N/A				
				r the Swale (Phase	ə 1)					
			lydrograph for a 1	1						
Time	Intensity (I)	Q=1.032i	Restriction (L/s)	Net Flow		Ponding (L)				
(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	,	accumulation (L/s)						
5	242.6	250.3632	65.95	184.4132		55323.960				
10	179	184.728	65.95	118.778		71266.800				
15	146.8	151.4976	65.95	85.5476		76992.840				
30	91.9	94.8408	65.95	28.8908		52003.440				
40	76	78.432	65.95	12.482		29956.800				
50	65	67.08	65.95	1.13		3390.000				
60	53.2	54.9024	65.95	-11.0476		-39771.360				
120	31.5	32.508	65.95	-33.442		N/A				
360	14.5	14.964	65.95	N/A		N/A				
720	8	8.256	65.95	N/A		N/A				
1440	4.3	4.4376	65.95	N/A		N/A				

Section	Ponding Elevation (m)	Swale Elevation (m)	Average Area (sq m)	Length (m)	Volume (cu m)	Capacity (cu m)
			SOUTH SW/	ALE		
1	77.56	77.28	0.331	10	3.310	3.310
2	77.56	77.3	1.061	10	10.610	13.920
3	77.56	77.32	1.593	10	15.930	29.850
4	77.56	77.34	1.384	10	13.840	43.690
5	77.56	77.36	1.237	10	12.370	56.060
6	77.56	77.38	1.057	10	10.570	66.630
7	77.56	77.4	0.935	10	9.350	75.980
8	77.56	77.42	0.847	10	8.470	84.450
9	77.56	77.44	0.847	10	8.470	92.920
10	77.56	77.46	0.535	7.978	4.268	97.188
11	77.56	77.48	0.288	10.664	3.071	100.259
12	77.56	77.5	0.112	12.083	1.353	101.613
13	77.56	77.52	0.04	30.975	1.239	102.852
14	77.56	77.56	0.04	20.94	0.838	103.689
			Ta	arget Storage =		103.689
			NORTH SW/	ALE		
15	77.56	77.45	0.562	10	5.620	5.620
16	77.56	77.47	0.502	10	5.020	10.640
17	77.56	77.49	0.288	10	2.880	13.520
18	77.56	77.51	0.112	10	1.120	14.640
19	77.56	77.53	0.04	10	0.400	15.040
20	77.56	77.55	0.04	10	0.400	15.440
			Та	arget Storage =		15.440
			TOTAL STORAGE =			119.129

Hydrograph Table # 2 Ponding Calculations for the Pit									
Ponding (	Net Flow accumulation	Restriction	Q=0.066i	Intensity (I)	Time				
Fonding (	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(mm/hr)	(min.)				
2772.0	9.24	0	9.24	140	5				
4134.2	6.8904	0	6.8904	104.4	10				
5084.0	5.6496	0	5.6496	85.6	15				
5702.4	4.752	0	4.752	72	20				
6403.3	3.5574	0	3.5574	53.9	30				
7128.0	2.97	0	2.97	45	40				
7623.0	2.541	0	2.541	38.5	50				
7603.2	2.112	0	2.112	32	60				
8981.3	1.2474	0	1.2474	18.9	120				
11975.0	0.5544	0	0.5544	8.4	360				
13685.	0.3168	0	0.3168	4.8	720				
N/A	N/A	0	0.1716	2.6	1440				

		Pond	ing Calcula	ations for the Pit	
		Hy	drograph for a	a 100 year storm	
Time	Intensity (I)	Q=0.07i	Restriction	Net Flow accumulation	Ponding (L)
(min.)	(mm/hr)	(L/s)	(L/s)	(L/s)	Poliuling (L)
5	242.6	16.982	0	16.982	5094.600
10	179	12.53	0	12.53	7518.000
15	146.8	10.276	0	10.276	9248.400
30	91.9	6.433	0	6.433	11579.400
40	76	5.32	0	5.32	12768.000
60	53.2	3.724	0	3.724	13406.400
75	47.26	3.3082	0	3.3082	14886.900
120	31.5	2.205	0	2.205	15876.000
360	14.5	1.015	0	1.015	21924.000
720	8	0.56	0	0.56	24192.000
1440	4.3	0.301	0	N/A	N/A

5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

## APPENDIX "F" Intensity Duration Curves

Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines

#### SECTION 5

### STORM AND COMBINED SEWER DESIGN

### 5.4.2 IDF Curves and Equations

An IDF (Intensity Duration Frequency) curve is a statistical description of the expected rainfall intensity for a given duration and storm frequency. In Ottawa, the IDF curve is derived from Meteorological Services of Canada (MSC) rainfall data taken from the Macdonald-Cartier airport. Rainfall collected from 1967 to 1997 was analyzed using the Gumbel Distribution. The following Table 5.1 shows the analyzis results provided by MSC. The IDF equations have been derived on the basis of a regression equation of the form:

$$intensity = \left[\frac{A}{(Td+C)^3}\right]$$

where:

Intensity = mm/hr

Id - time of duration (min)

A, B, C = regression constants for each return period

Table 5.1 Ottawa IDF Table: 1967 to 1997

Time	2 year	5 year	10 year	25 year	50 year	100 year
(min)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	(mu/br)
5	102.80	140.20	165.00	196.00	219.00	242.60
10	77.10	104.40	122.50	145.30	162.20	179.00
15	63.30	85.60	100.40	119.10	133.00	146.80
30	39.90	53.90	63.10	74.70	83.40	91.90
60	24.20	32.00	37.10	43.60	48.50	53.20
1 <b>2</b> 0	14.30	18.90	22.00	25.80	28.70	31.50
360	6.20	8.40	9.90	11.70	13.10	14.50
720	3.60	4.80	5.60	6.60	7.30	8.00
440	2.00	2.60	3.00	3.50	3.90	4.30

City of Ottawa

November 2004

#### SECTION 5

#### STORM AND COMBINED SEWER DESIGN

IDF curve equations (Intensity in mun/hr)

100 year Intensity	= 1735.688 / (Time in min + 6.014) • • • • •
50 year Intensity	= 1569.580 / (Time in min + 6.014) 0.500
25 year Intensity	$= 1402.884 / (Time in min + 6.018)^{0.819}$
10 year Intensity	$= 1174.184 / (Time in min + 6.014)^{0.816}$
5 year Intensity	= 998.071 / (Time in min + 6.053) 0.814
2 year Intensity	= 732.951 / (Time in min + 6.199) 0.810

The IDF curves based on the above equations can be found in Appendix 5-A

#### 5.4.3 Design Storms

Computer modeling requires the input of a design storm. The design storm is then used to generate a runoff hydrograph to determine how an area will respond and perform. Numerous types of design storms can be used ranging from historical storms to IDF curve-derived storms. This section briefly discusses the various types of design storms.

### 5.4.3.1 Application to Hydrologic Models

The design storms presented herein are meant to be used in hydrologic models to simulate runoff from events of various return frequencies. When choosing a design storm, the designer should perform a sensitivity analysis using various storms and use the one that is most conservative.

As noted below, the Chicago distribution is one of the most used storus for urban runoff applications. When dealing with rural areas, the SCS Type II storm is prefarred. The AES storm can also be used for urban applications; however, care must be taken when choosing the type of distribution. As a rule of thumb, the 30% distribution should be used unless historical data proves otherwise.

When using a design storm, the designer must be careful in choosing the right storm time step. The storm's duration should be greater than twice the basin's time of concentration. A time step that is too small may overestimate peak flows. Should it be required to maintain a storm time step less than 10 minutes, consideration should be given to averaging the peak intensities to a 10-minute or greater average.

Some historical storms are also presented below and are to be used as a check of how various systems function during extreme events. It is not the intent of these guidelines to require that these storms be used for design purposes.

#### 5.4.3.2 Chicego Design Storm

The Chicago storm distribution was developed by C.J. Keifer and H. Chu and is based on 25 years of rainfall record in the city of Chicago. This storm distribution, which is derived with IDF curves, is generally applied to urban basins where peak runoff rates are largely influenced by peak rainfall intensities.

City of Ottawa	5.13	November 2004
	A second s	

# APPENDIX "G" ICD Data table & STC Design Brief

## Volume III: TEMPEST INLET CONTROL DEVICES

## Municipal Technical Manual Series



LMF (Low to Medium Flow) ICD HF (High Flow) ICD MHF (Medium to High Flow) ICD



## IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> Inlet Control Devices


**Municipal Technical Manual Series** 

Vol. I, 2nd Edition

© 2018 by IPEX. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without prior written permission.

For information contact: IPEX, Marketing, 1425 North Service Road East, Oakville, Ontario, Canada, L6H 1A7

The information contained here within is based on current information and product design at the time of publication and is subject to change without notification. IPEX does not guarantee or warranty the accuracy, suitability for particular applications, or results to be obtained therefrom.



## ABOUT IPEX

At IPEX, we have been manufacturing non-metallic pipe and fittings since 1951. We formulate our own compounds and maintain strict quality control during production. Our products are made available for customers thanks to a network of regional stocking locations throughout North America. We offer a wide variety of systems including complete lines of piping, fittings, valves and custom-fabricated items.

More importantly, we are committed to meeting our customers' needs. As a leader in the plastic piping industry, IPEX continually develops new products, modernizes manufacturing facilities and acquires innovative process technology. In addition, our staff take pride in their work, making available to customers their extensive thermoplastic knowledge and field experience. IPEX personnel are committed to improving the safety, reliability and performance of thermoplastic materials. We are involved in several standards committees and are members of and/or comply with the organizations listed on this page.

For specific details about any IPEX product, contact our customer service department.

#### CONTENTS

# TEMPEST INLET CONTROL DEVICES Technical Manual About IPEX

Section One:	Product Information: TEMPEST Low, Medium Flow (LMF) ICD Purpose	, +
	Product Description	
	Product Function	
	Product Construction	
	Product Applications	ŧ
	Chart 1: LMF 14 Preset Flow Curves5	5
	Chart 2: LMF Flow Vs. ICD Alternatives	5
	Product Installation	
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a square catch basin:	
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a round catch basin:	5
	Product Technical Specification	
	General	
	Materials	
	Dimensioning	
	Installation	,
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD	
	Product Description	3
	Product Function	3
	Product Construction	3
	Product Applications	
	Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves	>
	Product Installation	
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a square catch basin: 10	
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a round catch basin: 10	
	Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF Sump into a square or round catch basin: 11	1
	Product Technical Specification	
	General	1
	Materials 11	1
	Dimensioning	1
	Installation	1

#### PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST LOW, MEDIUM FLOW (LMF) ICD

#### Purpose

To control the amount of storm water runoff entering a sewer system by allowing a specified flow volume out of a catch basin or manhole at a specified head. This approach conserves pipe capacity so that catch basins downstream do not become uncontrollably surcharged, which can lead to basement floods, flash floods and combined sewer overflows.

#### **Product Description**

Our LMF ICD is designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter and larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 14 preset flow curves, the LMF ICD has the ability to provide flow rates: 2lps – 17lps (31gpm – 270gpm)

#### **Product Function**

The LMF ICD vortex flow action allows the LMF ICD to provide a narrower flow curve using a larger orifice than a conventional orifice plate ICD, making it less likely to clog. When comparing flows at the same head level, the LMF ICD has the ability to restrict more flow than a conventional ICD during a rain event, preserving greater sewer capacity.

#### **Product Construction**

Constructed from durable PVC, the LMF ICD is light weight 8.9 Kg (19.7 lbs).

#### **Product Applications**


Will accommodate both square and round applications:


**Square Application** 

**Round Application** 











Spigot CB Wall Plate




Universal Mounting Plate Hub Adapter



IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD







Water Flow Rate (Lps)

TEMPEST LMF ICD

#### **PRODUCT INSTALLATION**

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device.
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
   (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the universal mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### N WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- 2. Use the spigot catch basin wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the CB spigot wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Apply solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of the CB wall plate, then slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the universal mounting plate hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the mounting plate and has created a seal.

## WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut back the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at ipexna.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

TEMPEST

#### PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

#### General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's shall have no moving parts.

#### **Materials**

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

#### Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

#### Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD

#### **PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD**

#### **Product Description**

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD's are designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 5 preset flow curves, these ICDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

#### **Product Function**

**TEMPEST HF (High Flow):** designed to manage moderate to higher flows 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevent the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter and has been designed to limit head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.

**TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump:** The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these applications,

the HF Sump is offered. The HF Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.

#### TEMPEST MHF (Medium to High Flow):

The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.




#### Product Construction


The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.8 Kg (14.6 lbs).

#### **Product Applications**

The HF and MHF ICD's are available to accommodate both square and round applications:



The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:



IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD




Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves

#### **PRODUCT INSTALLATION**

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers, (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
   (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the universal wall mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### 🚹 WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- Use the round catch basin spigot adaptor to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- 3. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the spigot CB wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot CB wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Put solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of the CB wall plate, then slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

IPEX Tempest<sup>™</sup> LMF ICD

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF Sump into a Square or Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, mastic tape and metal strapping
  - Material: (2) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (2) washers,
    (2) nuts, HF Sump pieces (2).
- 2. Apply solvent cement to the spigot end of the top half of the sump. Apply solvent cement to the hub of the bottom half of the sump. Insert the spigot of the top half of the sump into the hub of the bottom half of the sump.
- 3. Install the 8" spigot of the device into the outlet pipe. Use the mastic tape to seal the device spigot into the outlet pipe. You should use a level to be sure that the fitting is standing at the vertical.
- 4. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make a series of 2 holes along each side of the body throat. The depth of the hole should be between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the 2 holes.
- 5. Install the anchors (2) in the holes by using a hammer. Put the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- 6. Cut the metal strapping to length and connect each end of the strapping to the anchors. Screw the nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). The device should be completely flush with the catch basin wall.

# 

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

#### PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION

#### General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control where specified. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook shall be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above shall not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices shall consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's shall have no moving parts.

#### **Materials**

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

#### Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

#### Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

#### 12 IPEX Tempest™ LMF ICD

#### SALES AND CUSTOMER SERVICE

IPEX Inc. Toll Free: (866) 473-9462 **ipexna.com** 

#### About the IPEX Group of Companies

As leading suppliers of thermoplastic piping systems, the IPEX Group of Companies provides our customers with some of the largest and most comprehensive product lines. All IPEX products are backed by more than 50 years of experience. With state-ofthe-art manufacturing facilities and distribution centers across North America, we have established a reputation for product innovation, quality, end-user focus and performance.

Markets served by IPEX group products are:

- Electrical systems
- · Telecommunications and utility piping systems
- PVC, CPVC, PP, ABS, PEX, FR-PVDF and PE pipe and fittings (1/4" to 48")
- Industrial process piping systems
- Municipal pressure and gravity piping systems
- Plumbing and mechanical piping systems
- PE Electrofusion systems for gas and water
- · Industrial, plumbing and electrical cements
- Irrigation systems

Products manufactured by IPEX Inc. Tempest™ is a trademark of IPEX Branding Inc.

This literature is published in good faith and is believed to be reliable. However it does not represent and/or warrant in any manner the information and suggestions contained in this brochure. Data presented is the result of laboratory tests and field experience.

A policy of ongoing product improvement is maintained. This may result in modifications of features and/or specifications without notice.




MNMNTPIP110817C © 2012 IPEX MN0038C

# CSO/STORMWATER MANAGEMENT



# <sup>®</sup> HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> VHV / SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulator



# JOHN MEUNIER

#### HYDROVEX® VHV / SVHV VERTICAL VORTEX FLOW REGULATOR


#### APPLICATIONS

One of the major problems of urban wet weather flow management is the runoff generated after a heavy rainfall. During a storm, uncontrolled flows may overload the drainage system and cause flooding. Due to increased velocities, sewer pipe wear is increased dramatically and results in network deterioration. In a combined sewer system, the wastewater treatment plant may also experience significant increases in flows during storms, thereby losing its treatment efficiency.

A simple means of controlling excessive water runoff is by controlling excessive flows at their origin (manholes). John Meunier Inc. manufactures the HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> VHV / SVHV line of vortex flow regulators to control stormwater flows in sewer networks, as well as manholes.

The vortex flow regulator design is based on the fluid mechanics principle of the forced vortex. This grants flow regulation without any moving parts, thus reducing maintenance. The operation of the regulator, depending on the upstream head and discharge, switches between orifice flow (gravity flow) and vortex flow. Although the concept is quite simple, over 12 years of research have been carried out in order to get a high performance.


The **HYDROVEX**<sup>®</sup> **VHV** / **SVHV** Vertical Vortex Flow Regulators (**refer to Figure 1**) are manufactured entirely of stainless steel, and consist of a hollow body (1) (in which flow control takes place) and an outlet orifice (7). Two rubber "O" rings (3) seal and retain the unit inside the outlet pipe. Two stainless steel retaining rings (4) are welded on the outlet sleeve to ensure that there is no shifting of the "O" rings during installation and use.



#### FIGURE 1: HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> VHV-SVHV VERTICAL VORTREX FLOW REGULATORS

#### ADVANTAGES

- The **HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> VHV** / **SVHV** line of flow regulators are manufactured entirely of stainless steel, making them durable and corrosion resistant.
- Having no moving parts, they require minimal maintenance.
- The geometry of the **HYDROVEX**<sup>®</sup> **VHV** / **SVHV** flow regulators allows a control equal to an orifice plate, having a cross section area 4 to 6 times smaller. This decreases the chance of blockage of the regulator, due to sediments and debris found in stormwater flows. **Figure 2** illustrates the comparison between a regulator model 100 SVHV-2 and an equivalent orifice plate. One can see that for the same height of water, the regulator controls a flow approximately four times smaller than an equivalent orifice plate.
- Installation of the **HYDROVEX**<sup>®</sup> **VHV** / **SVHV** flow regulators is quick and straightforward and is performed after all civil works are completed.
- Installation requires no special tools or equipment and may be carried out by any contractor.
- Installation may be carried out in existing structures.



#### FIGURE 2: DISCHARGE CURVE SHOWING A HYDROVEX® FLOW REGULATOR VS AN ORIFICE PLATE

#### **SELECTION**

Selection of a VHV or SVHV regulator can be easily made using the selection charts found at the back of this brochure (see Figure 3). These charts are a graphical representation of the maximum upstream water pressure (head) and the maximum discharge at the manhole outlet. The maximum design head is the difference between the maximum upstream water level and the invert of the outlet pipe. All selections should be verified by John Meunier Inc. personnel prior to fabrication.

#### **Example:**

- 2m (6.56 ft.) ✓ Maximum design head
- ✓ Maximum discharge ✓ Using Figure 3 - VHV

6 L/s (0.2 cfs) model required is a 75 VHV-1

#### **INSTALLATION REQUIREMENTS**

All HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> VHV / SVHV flow regulators can be installed in circular or square manholes. Figure 4 gives the various minimum dimensions required for a given regulator. It is imperative to respect the minimum clearances shown to ensure easy installation and proper functioning of the regulator.

#### **SPECIFICATIONS**

In order to specify a **HYDROVEX**<sup>®</sup> regulator, the following parameters must be defined:

- The model number (ex: 75-VHV-1)
- The diameter and type of outlet pipe (ex: 6" diam. SDR 35)
- The desired discharge (ex: 6 l/s or 0.21 CFS)
- The upstream head (ex: 2 m or 6.56 ft.) \*
- The manhole diameter (ex: 36" diam.)
- The minimum clearance "H" (ex: 10 inches)
- The material type (ex: 304 s/s, 11 Ga. standard)
- \* Upstream head is defined as the difference in elevation between the maximum upstream water level and the invert of the outlet pipe where the HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> flow regulator is to be installed.

# PLEASE NOTE THAT WHEN REQUESTING A PROPOSAL, WE SIMPLY REQUIRE THAT YOU PROVIDE US WITH THE FOLLOWING:

- project design flow rate
- > pressure head
- chamber's outlet pipe diameter and type



Typical VHV model in factory




VHV-1-O (standard model with odour control inlet)



VHV with Gooseneck assembly in existing chamber without minimum release at the bottom



FV – SVHV (mounted on sliding plate)




*FV* – *VHV-O* (mounted on sliding plate with odour control inlet)



VHV with air vent for minimal slopes

**A<sup>®</sup> HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup>** 




# JOHN MEUNIER

FIGURE 3 - VHV

**A<sup>®</sup> HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup>** 


# SVHV Vertical Vortex Flow Regulator



JOHN MEUNIER


Model Number	Regu Dian			Manhole neter		n Outlet ameter	Miniı Clear	mum ance
	<b>A</b> (mm)	<b>A</b> (in.)	<b>B</b> (mm)	<b>B</b> (in.)	<b>C</b> (mm)	<b>C</b> (in.)	<b>H</b> (mm)	<b>H</b> (in.)
50VHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
75VHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
100VHV-1	325	13	900	36	150	6	200	8
125VHV-2	275	11	900	36	150	6	200	8
150VHV-2	350	14	900	36	150	6	225	9
200VHV-2	450	18	1200	48	200	8	300	12
250VHV-2	575	23	1200	48	250	10	350	14
300VHV-2	675	27	1600	64	250	10	400	16
350VHV-2	800	32	1800	72	300	12	500	20


#### FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN CIRCULAR MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL VHV)



FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN CIRCULAR MANHOLE
FIGURE 4 (MODEL SVHV)

Model Number		ulator neter		Manhole neter		n Outlet ameter		mum rance
	<b>A</b> (mm)	<b>A</b> (in.)	<b>B</b> (mm)	<b>B</b> (in.)	<b>C</b> (mm)	<b>C</b> (in.)	<b>H</b> (mm)	<b>H</b> (in.)
25 SVHV-1	125	5	600	24	150	6	150	6
32 SVHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
40 SVHV-1	200	8	600	24	150	6	150	6
50 SVHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
75 SVHV-1	375	15	900	36	150	6	275	11
100 SVHV-2	275	11	900	36	150	6	250	10
125 SVHV-2	350	14	900	36	150	6	300	12
150 SVHV-2	425	17	1200	48	150	6	350	14
200 SVHV-2	575	23	1600	64	200	8	450	18
250 SVHV-2	700	28	1800	72	250	10	550	22
300 SVHV-2	850	34	2400	96	250	10	650	26
350 SVHV-2	1000	40	2400	96	250	10	700	28







Model Number	Regu Dian		Minimum Wi	•	Minimur Pipe Di	n Outlet ameter	Minii Clear	mum ance
	<b>A</b> (mm)	<b>A</b> (in.)	<b>B</b> (mm)	<b>B</b> (in.)	<b>C</b> (mm)	<b>C</b> (in.)	<b>H</b> (mm)	<b>H</b> (in.)
50VHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
75VHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
100VHV-1	325	13	600	24	150	6	200	8
125VHV-2	275	11	600	24	150	6	200	8
150VHV-2	350	14	600	24	150	6	225	9
200VHV-2	450	18	900	36	200	8	300	12
250VHV-2	575	23	900	36	250	10	350	14
300VHV-2	675	27	1200	48	250	10	400	16
350VHV-2	800	32	1200	48	300	12	500	20

#### FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN SQUARE MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL VHV)

*NOTE:* In the case of a square manhole, the outlet flow pipe must be centered on the wall to ensure enough clearance for the unit.







Model Number	-	ulator neter		Chamber dth		n Outlet ameter		mum rance
	<b>A</b> (mm)	<b>A</b> (in.)	<b>B</b> (mm)	<b>B</b> (in.)	<b>C</b> (mm)	<b>C</b> (in.)	<b>H</b> (mm)	<b>H</b> (in.)
25 SVHV-1	125	5	600	24	150	6	150	6
32 SVHV-1	150	6	600	24	150	6	150	6
40 SVHV-1	200	8	600	24	150	6	150	6
50 SVHV-1	250	10	600	24	150	6	150	6
75 SVHV-1	375	15	600	24	150	6	275	11
100 SVHV-2	275	11	600	24	150	6	250	10
125 SVHV-2	350	14	600	24	150	6	300	12
150 SVHV-2	425	17	600	24	150	6	350	14
200 SVHV-2	575	23	900	36	200	8	450	18
250 SVHV-2	700	28	900	36	250	10	550	22
300 SVHV-2	850	34	1200	48	250	10	650	26
350 SVHV-2	1000	40	1200	48	250	10	700	28

#### FLOW REGULATOR TYPICAL INSTALLATION IN SQUARE MANHOLE FIGURE 4 (MODEL SVHV)

NOTE:

In the case of a square manhole, the outlet flow pipe must be centered on the wall to ensure enough clearance for the unit.





#### INSTALLATION

The installation of a HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> regulator may be undertaken once the manhole and piping is in place. Installation consists of simply fitting the regulator into the outlet pipe of the manhole. John Meunier Inc. recommends the use of a lubricant on the outlet pipe, in order to facilitate the insertion and orientation of the flow controller.

#### MAINTENANCE

HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> regulators are manufactured in such a way as to be maintenance free; however, a periodic inspection (every 3-6 months) is suggested in order to ensure that neither the inlet nor the outlet has become blocked with debris. The manhole should undergo periodically, particularly after major storms, inspection and cleaning as established by the municipality

#### **GUARANTY**

The HYDROVEX<sup>®</sup> line of VHV / SVHV regulators are guaranteed against both design and manufacturing defects for a period of 5 years. Should a unit be defective, John Meunier Inc. is solely responsible for either modification or replacement of the unit.

John Meunier Inc. ISO 9001 : 2008 Head Office 4105 Sartelon Saint-Laurent (Quebec) Canada H4S 2B3 Tel.: 514-334-7230 www.johnmeunier.com Fax: 514-334-5070 cso@johnmeunier.com

**Ontario Office** 

2000 Argentia Road, Plaza 4, Unit 430 Mississauga (Ontario) Canada L5N 1W1 Tel.: 905-286-4846 www.johnmeunier.com Fax: 905-286-0488 ontario@johnmeunier.com Fax: 215-885-4741 asteele@johnmeunier.com

USA Office 2209 Menlo Avenue Glenside, PA USA 19038 Tel.: 412-417-6614 www.johnmeunier.com



# Volume III: TEMPEST™ INLET CONTROL DEVICES

# Municipal Technical Manual Series

SECOND EDITION

LMF (Low to Medium Flow) ICD HF (High Flow) ICD MHF (Medium to High Flow) ICD




# IPEX Tempest™ Inlet Control Devices

# **Municipal Technical Manual Series**

Vol. I, 2nd Edition

© 2012 by IPEX. All rights reserved. No part of this book may be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without prior written permission. For information contact: IPEX, Marketing, 2441 Royal Windsor Drive, Mississauga, Ontario, Canada, L5J 4C7.

The information contained here within is based on current information and product design at the time of publication and is subject to change without notification. IPEX does not guarantee or warranty the accuracy, suitability for particular applications, or results to be obtained therefrom.



#### **ABOUT IPEX**

At IPEX, we have been manufacturing non-metallic pipe and fittings since 1951. We formulate our own compounds and maintain strict quality control during production. Our products are made available for customers thanks to a network of regional stocking locations throughout North America. We offer a wide variety of systems including complete lines of piping, fittings, valves and custom-fabricated items.

More importantly, we are committed to meeting our customers' needs. As a leader in the plastic piping industry, IPEX continually develops new products, modernizes manufacturing facilities and acquires innovative process technology. In addition, our staff take pride in their work, making available to customers their extensive thermoplastic knowledge and field experience. IPEX personnel are committeed to improving the safety, reliability and performance of thermoplastic materials. We are involved in several standards committees and are members of and/or comply with the organizations listed on this page.

For specific details about any IPEX product, contact our customer service department.

#### CONTENTS

#### TEMPEST INLET CONTROL DEVICES Technical Manual About IPEX

Section One:	Product Information: TEMPEST Low, Medium Flow (LMF) ICD         Purpose       4         Product Description       4         Product Function       4         Product Construction       4         Product Applications       4         Chart 1: LMF 14 Preset Flow Curves       5         Chart 2: LMF Flow Vs. ICD Alternatives       5
	Product Installation Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a square catch basin:
	Product Technical Specification         General
Section Two:	Product Information: TEMPEST High Flow (HF) & Medium, High Flow (MHF) ICD         Product Description         Product Function         8         Product Construction         8         Product Applications         8         Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves
Section Two:	Product Description

<u>4</u> .1945.X

#### PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST LOW, MEDIUM FLOW (LMF) ICD

#### Purpose

To control the amount of storm water runoff entering a sewer system by allowing a specified flow volume out of a catch basin or manhole at a specified head. This approach conserves pipe capacity so that catch basins downstream do not become uncontrollably surcharged, which can lead to basement floods. flash floods and combined sewer overflows.

#### **Product Description**

Our LMF ICD is designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter and larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 14 preset flow curves, the LMF ICD has the ability to provide flow rates: 2lps - 17lps (31gpm - 270gpm)

#### **Product Function**

The LMF ICD vortex flow action allows the LMF ICD to provide a narrower flow curve using a larger orifice than a conventional orifice plate ICD, making it less likely to clog. When comparing flows at the same head level, the LMF ICD has the ability to restrict more flow than a conventional ICD during a rain event, preserving greater sewer capacity.

#### **Product Construction**


Constructed from durable PVC, the LMF ICD is light weight 8.9 Kg (19.7 lbs),

#### **Product Applications**

Will accommodate both square and round applications:

**Square Application** Universal **Mounting Plate** 





**Round Application** 


Spigot CB Wall Plate




Universal Mounting Plate Hub Adapter



LIME (00





manual with

other gases including air-over-water-boosters

NOTE: Do not

#### **PRODUCT INSTALLATION**

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD Into a Square Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers,
     (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device.
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
   (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the universal mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST LMF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- Use the spigot catch basin wall plate to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors,
- Install the CB spigot wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Apply solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of the CB wall plate, then slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the universal mounting plate hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the ICD device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut back the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

#### IPEX Tempest LMF ICD

6



#### **PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION**

#### General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook will be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above must not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices will consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's shall have no moving parts.

#### Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

#### Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

#### Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

the "Ball of

IPEX Tempest LMF ICD

#### 7

No. No.

#### **PRODUCT INFORMATION: TEMPEST HF & MHF ICD**

#### **Product Description**

Our HF, HF Sump and MHF ICD's are designed to accommodate catch basins or manholes with sewer outlet pipes 6" in diameter or larger. Any storm sewer larger than 12" may require custom modification. However, IPEX can custom build a TEMPEST device to accommodate virtually any storm sewer size.

Available in 5 preset flow curves, these iCDs have the ability to provide constant flow rates: 9lps (143 gpm) and greater

#### **Product Function**



**TEMPEST HF (High Flow):** designed to manage moderate to higher flows 15 L/s (240 gpm) or greater and prevent the propagation of odour and floatables. With this device, the cross-sectional area of the device is larger than the orifice diameter

and has been designed to limit head losses. The HF ICD can also be ordered without flow control when only odour and floatable control is required.

TEMPEST HF (High Flow) Sump: The height of a sewer outlet pipe in a catch basin is not always conveniently located. At times it may be located very close to the catch basin floor, not providing enough sump for one of the other TEMPEST ICDs with universal back plate to be installed. In these applications, the HF Sump is offered. The



HF Sump offers the same features and benefits as the HF ICD; however, is designed to raise the outlet in a square or round catch basin structure. When installed, the HF sump is fixed in place and not easily removed. Any required service to the device is performed through a clean-out located in the top of the device which can be often accessed from ground level.

#### TEMPEST MHF (Mediam to High Flow):


The MHF plate or plug is designed to control flow rates 9 L/s (143 gpm) or greater. It is not designed to prevent the propagation of odour and floatables.




The HF, HF Sump and MHF ICDs are built to be light weight at a maximum weight of 6.8 Kg (14.6 lbs).

#### **Product Applications**

The HF and MHF ICD's are available to accommodate both square and round applications:



The HF Sump is available to accommodate low to no sump applications in both square and round catch basins:






Chart 3: HF & MHF Preset Flow Curves

9

A IPEX

HE & MHE IOD

#### **PRODUCT INSTALLATION**

Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Square Catch Basin:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: Impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers,
     (4) nuts, universal mounting plate, ICD device
- Use the mounting wall plate to locate and mark the hole
   (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a minimum of 1-1/2" depth up to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes,
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- 5. Install the universal wall mounting plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the wall mounting plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. From the ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the universal wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF or MHF ICD into a Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification.
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level and marker.
  - Material: (4) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (4) washers and (4) nuts, spigot CB wall plate, universal mounting plate hub adapter, ICD device.
- 2. Use the round catch basin spigot adaptor to locate and mark the hole (4) pattern on the catch basin wall. You should use a level to ensure that the plate is at the horizontal.
- Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make the four holes at a depth between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the holes.
- 4. Install the anchors (4) in the holes by using a hammer. Thread the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors with the hammer. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- Install the spigot CB wall plate on the anchors and screw the 4 nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). There should be no gap between the spigot CB wall plate and the catch basin wall.
- 6. Put solvent cement on the hub of the universal mounting plate, hub adapter and the spigot of the CB wall plate, then slide the hub over the spigot. Make sure the universal mounting plate is at the horizontal and its hub is completely inserted onto the spigot. Normally, the corners of the hub adapter should touch the catch basin wall.
- 7. From ground above using a reach bar, lower the device by hooking the end of the reach bar to the handle of the ICD device. Align the triangular plate portion into the mounting wall plate. Push down the device to be sure it has centered in to the wall mounting plate and has created a seal.

#### WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Cell your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

10

IPEX Tempest" LMF ICD



IPEX

HF & WHF ICO

# Instructions to assemble a TEMPEST HF Sump into a Square or Round Catch Basin:

#### STEPS:

- 1. Materials and tooling verification:
  - Tooling: impact drill, 3/8" concrete bit, torque wrench for 9/16" nut, hand hammer, level, mastic tape and metal strapping
  - Material: (2) concrete anchor 3/8 x 3-1/2, (2) washers, (2) nuts, HF Sump pieces (2).
- 2. Apply solvent cement to the spigot end of the top half of the sump. Apply solvent cement to the hub of the bottom half of the sump. Insert the spigot of the top half of the sump into the hub of the bottom half of the sump.
- 3. Install the 8" spigot of the device into the outlet pipe. Use the mastic tape to seal the device spigot into the outlet pipe. You should use a level to be sure that the fitting is standing at the vertical.
- 4. Use an impact drill with a 3/8" concrete bit to make a series of 2 holes along each side of the body throat. The depth of the hole should be between 1-1/2" to 2-1/2". Clean the concrete dust from the 2 holes.
- 5. Install the anchors (2) in the holes by using a hammer. Put the nuts on the top of the anchors to protect the threads when you hit the anchors. Remove the nuts from the ends of the anchors.
- 6. Cut the metal strapping to length and connect each end of the strapping to the anchors. Screw the nuts in place with a maximum torque of 40 N.m (30 lbf-ft). The device should be completely flush with the catch basin wall.

## WARNING

- Verify that the outlet pipe doesn't protrude into the catch basin. If it does, cut down the pipe flush to the catch basin wall.
- The solvent cement which is used in this installation is to be approved for PVC.
- The solvent cement should not be used below 0°C (32°F) or in a high humidity environment. Refer to the IPEX solvent cement guide to confirm the required curing time or visit the IPEX Online Solvent Cement Training Course available at www.ipexinc.com.
- Call your IPEX representative for more information or if you have any questions about our products.

#### **PRODUCT TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION**

#### General

Inlet control devices (ICD's) are designed to provide flow control at a specified rate for a given water head level and also provide odour and floatable control where specified. All ICD's will be IPEX Tempest or approved equal.

All devices shall be removable from a universal mounting plate. An operator from street level using only a T-bar with a hook shall be able to retrieve the device while leaving the universal mounting plate secured to the catch basin wall face. The removal of the TEMPEST devices listed above shall not require any unbolting or special manipulation or any special tools.

High Flow (HF) Sump devices shall consist of a removable threaded cap which can be accessible from street level with out entry into the catchbasin (CB). The removal of the threaded cap shall not require any special tools other than the operator's hand.

ICD's shall have no moving parts.

#### Materials

ICD's are to be manufactured from Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC) or Polyurethane material, designed to be durable enough to withstand multiple freeze-thaw cycles and exposure to harsh elements.

The inner ring seal will be manufactured using a Buna or Nitrile material with hardness between Duro 50 and Duro 70.

The wall seal is to be comprised of a 3/8" thick Neoprene Closed Cell Sponge gasket which is attached to the back of the wall plate.

All hardware will be made from 304 stainless steel.

#### Dimensioning

The Low Medium Flow (LMF), High Flow (HF) and the High Flow (HF) Sump shall allow for a minimum outlet pipe diameter of 200mm with a 600mm deep Catch Basin sump.

#### Installation

Contractor shall be responsible for securing, supporting and connecting the ICD's to the existing influent pipe and catchbasin/manhole structure as specified and designed by the Engineer.

IPEX Tempest LMF ICD

-Π ŋ

#### 12 IPEX Tempest LMF ICD

A IPEX ....

NOTE: Do not use or test the products in this manue with compressed air or other gases much in the

#### SALES AND CUSTOMER SERVICE

Canadian Customers call IPEX Inc. Toll free: (886) 473-8462 www.faszlac.com

U.S. Customers cell IPEX USA LLC Toil tree: (800) 463-8572 www.lpexamerica.com

#### About the IPEX Group of Companies

As leading suppliers of thermoplastic piping systems, the IPEX Group of Companies provides our customers with some of the largest and most comprehensive product lines. All IPEX products are backed by more than 50 years of experience. With state-of-the-art manufacturing facilities and distribution centers across North America, we have established a reputation for product innovation, quality, end-user focus and performance.

Markets served by IPEX group products are

- Electrical systems
- · Telecommunications and utility piping systems
- PVC, CPVC, PP, ABS, PEX, FR-PVDF and PE pipe and fittings (144" to 48")
- + Industrial process piping systems
- · Municipal pressure and gravity piping systems
- Plumbing and mechanical piping systems
- · PE Electrofusion systems for gas and water
- Industrial, plumbing and electrical cements
- Imgation systems

Products manufactured by IPEX Inc. and distributed in the United States by IPEX USA LLC.

Tempest<sup>as</sup> is a trademark of IPEX Branding Inc.

This literature is punchashed at good faith and at schewed to be reliable towerse. It Sides not represent anglior seathant at any manner the electronickin and suggestions costained in this brochume. Date presented a film result of Subgratory tests and field experience.

A delicy of phasing product improvement is maintained. This may result in modifications of Brahums and a specifications without notice.

NUMBER STORES

IPEX



#### Stormceptor Design Summary PCSWMM for Stormceptor

#### **Project Information**

#### Rainfall

Date	23/07/2015	
Project Name	12 Unit Residential	
Project Number	013-286	
Location	Vars	
Designer Inform	mation	
Company	A. Dagenais	
Contact	Michael	

Name	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A
State	ON
ID	6000
Years of Records	1967 to 2003
Latitude	45°19'N
Longitude	75°40W

80

95

#### Notes

N/A

#### **Drainage Area**

Total Area (ha)	0.61
Imperviousness (%)	41

The Stormceptor System model STC 300 achieves the water quality objective removing 85% TSS for a Fine (organics, silts and sand) particle size distribution and 98% runoff volume.

#### Stormceptor Sizing Summary

# Upstream Storage Discharge Storage Discharge (ha-m) (L/s) 0.000 00.000 s the 0.013 33.070

Water Quality Objective

TSS Removal (%)

Runoff Volume (%)

Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal	Runoff Volume
	%	%
STC 300	85	-99
STC 750	85	100
STC 1000	90	100
STC 1500	90	100
STC 2000	92	100
STC 3000	93	100
STC 4000	94	100
STC 5000	94	100
STC 6000	96	100
STC 9000	97	100
STC 10000	97	100
STC 14000	98	100



#### **Particle Size Distribution**

Removing silt particles from runoff ensures that the majority of the pollutants, such as hydrocarbons and heavy metals that adhere to fine particles, are not discharged into our natural water courses. The table below lists the particle size distribution used to define the annual TSS removal.

Particle Size µm	Distribution %	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity m/s	Particle Size	Distribution %	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity m/s
20 60 150 400 2000	20 20 20 20 20	1.3 1.8 2.2 2.65 2.65	0.0004 0.0016 0.0108 0.0647 0.2870				

#### **Stormceptor Design Notes**

Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor version 1.0

 Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal.

Only the STC 300 is adaptable to function with a catch basin inlet and/or inline pipes.

Only the Stormceptor models STC 750 to STC 6000 may accommodate multiple inlet pipes.

#### Inlet and outlet invert elevation differences are as follows:

Injet and Outlet Pipe Invert Elevations Differences

Inlet Pipe Configuration	STC 300	STC 750 to STC 6000	STC 9000 to STC 14000
Single inlet pipe	75 mm	25 mm	75 mm
Multiple inlet pipes	75 mm	75 mm	Only one inlet pipe.

Design estimates are based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.

 Design estimates assume that the storm drain is not submerged during zero flows. For submerged applications, please contact your local Stormceptor representative.

 Design estimates may be modified for specific spills controls. Please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further assistance.

For pricing inquiries or assistance, please contact imbrium Systems Inc., 1-800-565-4801.



#### Stormceptor Sizing Detailed Report PCSWMM for Stormceptor

#### **Project Information**

Date	23/07/2015
Project Name	12 Unit Residential
Project Number	013-286
Location	Vars

#### **Stormwater Quality Objective**

This report outlines how Stormceptor System can achieve a defined water quality objective through the removal of total suspended solids (TSS). Attached to this report is the Stormceptor Sizing Summary.

#### **Stormceptor System Recommendation**

The Stormceptor System model STC 300 achieves the water quality objective removing 85% TSS for a Fine (organics, silts and sand) particle size distribution and 99% runoff volume.

#### The Stormceptor System

The Stormceptor oil and sediment separator is sized to treat stormwater runoff by removing pollutants through gravity separation and flotation. Stormceptor's patented design generates positive TSS removal for all rainfall events, including large storms. Significant levels of pollutants such as heavy metals, free oils and nutrients are prevented from entering natural water resources and the re-suspension of previously captured sediment (scour) does not occur.

Stormceptor provides a high level of TSS removal for small frequent storm events that represent the majority of annual rainfall volume and pollutant load. Positive treatment continues for large infrequent events, however, such events have little impact on the average annual TSS removal as they represent a small percentage of the total runoff volume and pollutant load.

Stormceptor is the only oil and sediment separator on the market sized to remove TSS for a wide range of particle sizes, including fine sediments (clays and silts), that are often overlooked in the design of other stormwater treatment devices.



#### Small storms dominate hydrologic activity, US EPA reports

"Early efforts in stormwater management focused on flood events ranging from the 2-yr to the 100-yr storm. Increasingly stormwater professionals have come to realize that small storms (i.e. < 1 in. rainfall) dominate watershed hydrologic parameters typically associated with water quality management issues and BMP design. These small storms are responsible for most annual urban runoff and groundwater recharge. Likewise, with the exception of eroded sediment, they are responsible for most pollutant washoff from urban surfaces. Therefore, the small storms are of most concern for the stormwater management objectives of ground water recharge, water quality resource protection and thermal impacts control."

"Most rainfall events are much smaller than design storms used for urban drainage models. In any given area, most frequently recurrent rainfall events are small (less than 1 in. of daily rainfall)."

"Continuous simulation offers possibilities for designing and managing BMPs on an individual site-by-site basis that are not provided by other widely used simpler analysis methods. Therefore its application and use should be encouraged."

– US EPA Stormwater Best Management Practice Design Guide, Volume 1 – General Considerations, 2004

#### **Design Methodology**


Each Stormceptor system is sized using PCSWMM for Stormceptor, a continuous simulation model based on US EPA SWMM. The program calculates hydrology from up-to-date local historical rainfall data and specified site parameters. With US EPA SWMM's precision, every Stormceptor unit is designed to achieve a defined water quality objective.

The TSS removal data presented follows US EPA guidelines to reduce the average annual TSS load. Stormceptor's unit process for TSS removal is settling. The settling model calculates TSS removal by analyzing (summary of analysis presented in Appendix 2):

- Site parameters
- Continuous historical rainfall, including duration, distribution, peaks (Figure 1)
- Interevent periods
- Particle size distribution
- Particle settling velocities (Stokes Law, corrected for drag)
- TSS load (Figure 2)
- Detention time of the system

The Stormceptor System maintains continuous positive TSS removal for all influent flow rates. Figure 3 illustrates the continuous treatment by Stormceptor throughout the full range of storm events analyzed. It is clear that large events do not significantly impact the average annual TSS removal. There is no decline in cumulative TSS removal, indicating scour does not occur as the flow rate increases.





**Figure 1. Runoff Volume by Flow Rate for OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A – ON 6000, 1967 to 2003 for 0.61 ha, 41% impervious.** Small frequent storm events represent the majority of annual rainfall volume. Large infrequent events have little impact on the average annual TSS removal, as they represent a small percentage of the total annual volume of runoff.





Figure 2. Long Term Pollutant Load by Flow Rate for OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A – 6000, 1967 to 2003 for 0.61 ha, 41% impervious. The majority of the annual pollutant load is transported by small frequent storm events. Conversely, large infrequent events carry an insignificant percentage of the total annual pollutant load.





**Figure 3.** Cumulative TSS Removal by Flow Rate for OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A – 6000, 1967 to 2003. Stormceptor continuously removes TSS throughout the full range of storm events analyzed. Note that large events do not significantly impact the average annual TSS removal. Therefore no decline in cumulative TSS removal indicates scour does not occur as the flow rate increases.



#### Appendix 1 Stormceptor Design Summary

#### **Project Information**

De aleman la famo atlan		
Location	Vars	
Project Number	013-286	
Project Name	12 Unit Residential	
Date	23/07/2015	
-		

### Designer InformationCompanyA. Dagenais

Company	A. Dage
Contact	Michael

#### Rainfall

Name	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A
State	ON
ID	6000
Years of Records	1967 to 2003
Latitude	45°19'N
Longitude	75°40'W

#### Notes

N/A

#### ....

#### Drainage Area

Total Area (ha)	0.61
Imperviousness (%)	41

The Stormceptor System model STC 300 achieves the water quality objective removing 85% TSS for a Fine (organics, silts and sand) particle size distribution and 99% runoff volume.

#### Water Quality Objective

TSS Removal (%)	80
Runoff Volume (%)	95

#### **Upstream Storage**

Storage	Discharge
(ha-m)	(L/s)
0.000	00.000
0.013	33.070

#### **Stormceptor Sizing Summary**

Stormceptor Model	TSS Removal	Runoff Volume	
	%	%	
STC 300	85	99	
STC 750	89	100	
STC 1000	90	100	
STC 1500	90	100	
STC 2000	92	100	
STC 3000	93	100	
STC 4000	94	100	
STC 5000	94	100	
STC 6000	96	100	
STC 9000	97	100	
STC 10000	97	100	
STC 14000	98	100	



#### **Particle Size Distribution**

Removing silt particles from runoff ensures that the majority of the pollutants, such as hydrocarbons and heavy metals that adhere to fine particles, are not discharged into our natural water courses. The table below lists the particle size distribution used to define the annual TSS removal.

	Fine (organics, silts and sand)							
Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity		Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity
μm	%		m/s		μm	%	-	m/s
20 60 150 400 2000	20 20 20 20 20	1.3 1.8 2.2 2.65 2.65	0.0004 0.0016 0.0108 0.0647 0.2870					

#### **Stormceptor Design Notes**

- Stormceptor performance estimates are based on simulations using PCSWMM for Stormceptor version 1.0
- Design estimates listed are only representative of specific project requirements based on total suspended solids (TSS) removal.
- Only the STC 300 is adaptable to function with a catch basin inlet and/or inline pipes.
- Only the Stormceptor models STC 750 to STC 6000 may accommodate multiple inlet pipes.
- Inlet and outlet invert elevation differences are as follows:

#### Inlet and Outlet Pipe Invert Elevations Differences

Inlet Pipe Configuration	STC 300	STC 750 to STC 6000	STC 9000 to STC 14000
Single inlet pipe	75 mm	25 mm	75 mm
Multiple inlet pipes	75 mm	75 mm	Only one inlet pipe.

- Design estimates are based on stable site conditions only, after construction is completed.
- Design estimates assume that the storm drain is not submerged during zero flows. For submerged applications, please contact your local Stormceptor representative.
- Design estimates may be modified for specific spills controls. Please contact your local Stormceptor representative for further assistance.
- For pricing inquiries or assistance, please contact Imbrium Systems Inc., 1-800-565-4801.



#### Appendix 2 Summary of Design Assumptions

#### SITE DETAILS

#### Site Drainage Area

Sile Dialilage Alea			
Total Area (ha) 0.61		Imperviousness (%)	41
Surface Characteristics		Infiltration Parameters	
Width (m)	156	Horton's equation is used to estimate	infiltration
Slope (%)	2	Max. Infiltration Rate (mm/h)	61.98
Impervious Depression Storage (mm)	0.508	Min. Infiltration Rate (mm/h)	10.16
Pervious Depression Storage (mm)	5.08	Decay Rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.00055
Impervious Manning's n	0.015	Regeneration Rate (s <sup>-1</sup> )	0.01
Pervious Manning's n 0.25			
		Evaporation	
Maintenance Frequency		Daily Evaporation Rate (mm/day)	2.54
Sediment build-up reduces the storage volume for sedimentation. Frequency of maintenance is assumed for TSS removal calculations.		Dry Weather Flow	

Assumed for TSS removal calculations. Maintenance Frequency (months) 12

Dry Weather Flow (L/s)	No
------------------------	----

#### **Upstream Attenuation**

Stage-storage and stage-discharge relationship used to model attenuation upstream of the Stormceptor System is identified in the table below.

Storage	Discharge
ha-m	L/s
0.000	00.000
0.013	33.070




#### PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION

#### **Particle Size Distribution**

Removing fine particles from runoff ensures the majority of pollutants, such as heavy metals, hydrocarbons, free oils and nutrients are not discharged into natural water resources. The table below identifies the particle size distribution selected to define TSS removal for the design of the Stormceptor System.

	Fine (organics, silts and sand)							
Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity		Particle Size	Distribution	Specific Gravity	Settling Velocity
μm	%	-	m/s		μm	%	-	m/s
20 60 150 400 2000	20 20 20 20 20	1.3 1.8 2.2 2.65 2.65	0.0004 0.0016 0.0108 0.0647 0.2870					



PCSWMM for Stormceptor Grain Size Distributions

Figure 1. PCSWMM for Stormceptor standard design grain size distributions.



#### **TSS LOADING**

#### **TSS Loading Parameters**

TSS Loading Function

Buildup / Washoff

#### Parameters

Target Event Mean Concentration (EMC) (mg/L)	125
Exponential Buildup Power	0.4
Exponential Washoff Exponential	0.2

#### HYDROLOGY ANALYSIS

PCSWMM for Stormceptor calculates annual hydrology with the US EPA SWMM and local continuous historical rainfall data. Performance calculations of the Stormceptor System are based on the average annual removal of TSS for the selected site parameters. The Stormceptor System is engineered to capture fine particles (silts and sands) by focusing on average annual runoff volume ensuring positive removal efficiency is maintained during all rainfall events, while preventing the opportunity for negative removal efficiency (scour).

Smaller recurring storms account for the majority of rainfall events and average annual runoff volume, as observed in the historical rainfall data analyses presented in this section.

#### **Rainfall Station**


Rainfall Station	OTTAWA MAC	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INT'L A		
Rainfall File Name	ON6000.NDC	Total Number of Events	4537	
Latitude	45°19'N	Total Rainfall (mm)	20978.1	
Longitude	75°40'W	Average Annual Rainfall (mm)	567.0	
Elevation (m)		Total Evaporation (mm)	753.2	
Rainfall Period of Record (y)	37	Total Infiltration (mm)	12338.5	
Total Rainfall Period (y)	37	Percentage of Rainfall that is Runoff (%)	37.8	



#### Rainfall Event Analysis

Rainfall Depth	No. of Events	Percentage of Total Events	Total Volume	Percentage of Annual Volume
mm		%	mm	%
6.35	3564	78.6	5671	27.0
12.70	508	11.2	4533	21.6
19.05	223	4.9	3434	16.4
25.40	102	2.2	2244	10.7
31.75	60	1.3	1704	8.1
38.10	33	0.7	1145	5.5
44.45	28	0.6	1165	5.6
50.80	9	0.2	416	2.0
57.15	5	0.1	272	1.3
63.50	1	0.0	63	0.3
69.85	1	0.0	64	0.3
76.20	1	0.0	76	0.4
82.55	0	0.0	0	0.0
88.90	1	0.0	84	0.4
95.25	0	0.0	0	0.0
101.60	0	0.0	0	0.0
107.95	0	0.0	0	0.0
114.30	1	0.0	109	0.5
120.65	0	0.0	0	0.0
127.00	0	0.0	0	0.0
133.35	0	0.0	0	0.0
139.70	0	0.0	0	0.0
146.05	0	0.0	0	0.0
152.40	0	0.0	0	0.0
158.75	0	0.0	0	0.0
165.10	0	0.0	0	0.0
171.45	0	0.0	0	0.0
177.80	0	0.0	0	0.0
184.15	0	0.0	0	0.0
190.50	0	0.0	0	0.0
196.85	0	0.0	0	0.0
203.20	0	0.0	0	0.0
209.55	0	0.0	0	0.0
>209.55	0	0.0	0	0.0


#### Frequency of Occurence by Rainfall Depths





#### Pollutograph


Flow Rate	Cumulative Mass
L/s	%
1	88.0
4	99.9
9	100.0
16 25	100.0 100.0
25	100.0
49	100.0
49 64	100.0
81	100.0
100	100.0
121	100.0
144	100.0
169	100.0
196	100.0
225	100.0
256	100.0
289	100.0
324	100.0
361 400	100.0 100.0
400	100.0
44 1	100.0
529	100.0
576	100.0
625	100.0
676	100.0
729	100.0
784	100.0
841	100.0
900	100.0





		Cumulativa
Runoff Rate	Runoff Volume	Cumulative
TUTION TRate		Runoff Volume
1.4-	3	
L/s	m³	%
1	32431	67.0
4	45913	94.8
9	47960	99.0
16	48310	99.7
25	48408	99.9
36	48433	100.0
49	48433	100.0
64	48433	100.0
81	48433	100.0
100	48433	100.0
121	48433	100.0
144	48433	100.0
169	48433	100.0
196	48433	100.0
225	48433	100.0
256	48433	100.0
289	48433	100.0
324	48433	100.0
361	48433	100.0
400	48433	100.0
441	48433	100.0
484	48433	100.0
529	48433	100.0
576	48433	100.0
625	48433	100.0
676	48433	100.0
729	48433	100.0
784	48433	100.0
841	48433	100.0
900	48433	100.0

#### Cumulative Runoff Volume by Runoff Rate





www.mconproducts.com



**M CON Products Inc.** 2150 Richardson Side Road Carp, ON KOA 1LO Tel: 1-800-267-5515 Email: SalesCarp@mconproducts.com

M CON Pipe & Products Inc. 2691 Greenfield Road Ayr, ON NOB 1E0 Tel: 1-866-537-3338 Email: SalesAyr@mconproducts.com










Section B-B



**Base Slab** (mass - 10140 kg)




Riser (mass - 10808 kg/m)

Maintenance Hole 3600mm Riser and Base Slab

- See page 43 for maximum pipe size and alignment angles.
   Available riser section heights 305, 610, 914, 1219, 1524, 1829, and 2440.
- Maintenance hole steps (circular hollow aluminum) as per OPSD 405.010.
   All dimensions are in millimeters unless otherwise shown.





5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

# APPENDIX "H" Ontario Building Code

D=Ontario

#### 8.2.1.3. Sewage System Design Flows

(1) For residential occupancies, the total daily design sanitary sewage flow shall be at least the value in Column 2 as determined from Table 8.2.1.3.A. (See Appendix A.)

(2) For all other occupancies, the total daily design sanitary sewage flow shall be at least the value in Column 2 as determined from Table 8.2.1.3.B. (See Appendix A.)

(3) Where a *building* contains more than one establishment, the total daily design sanitary sewage flow shall be the sum of the total daily design sanitary sewage flow for each establishment.

(4) Where an occupancy is not listed in Table 8.2.1.3.B., the highest of metered flow data from at least 3 similar establishments shall be acceptable for determining the total daily design sanitary sewage flow.

Residential Occupency	Volume, litres
partments, Condominiums, Other Multi-family Dwellings - per person <sup>(1)</sup>	275
loarding Houses	
(a) Per person,	
(i) with meals and laundry facilities, or,	200
(ii) without meal or laundry facilities, and	150
(b) Per non-resident staff per 8 hour shift	40
oarding School - per person	300
wellings	
(a) 1 bedroom dwelling	750
(b) 2 bedroom dwelling	1100
(c) 3 bedroom dwelling	1 600
(d) 4 bedroom dwelling	2 000
(e) 5 bedroom dwelling	2 500
(f) Additional flow for <sup>(2)</sup>	
(I) each bedroom over 5,	500
(ii) (A) each 10 m <sup>2</sup> (or part of it) over 200 m <sup>2</sup> up to 400 m <sup>2</sup> (3),	100
(B) each 10 m <sup>2</sup> (or part of it) over 400 m <sup>2</sup> up to 600 m <sup>2</sup> <sup>(3)</sup> , and	75
(C) each 10 m <sup>2</sup> (or part of it) over 600 m <sup>2</sup> <sup>[3]</sup> , or	50
(iii) each fixture unit over 20 fixture units	50
otels and Motels (excluding bars and restaurants)	
(a) Regular, per room	250
(b) Resort hotel, cottage, per person	500
(c) Self service laundry, add per machine	2 500
ork Camp/Construction Camp, semi-permanent per worker	250
Column 1	2

#### Table 8.2.1.3.A. Residential Occupancy Forming Part of Sentence 8.2.1.3.(1)

Notes to Table 8.2.1.3.A.:

(1) The occupant load shall be calculated using Subsection 3.1.17.

(2) Where multiple calculations of sanitary sewage volume is permitted, the calculation resulting in the highest flow shall be used in determining the design daily sanitary sewage flow.

(3) Total finished area, excluding the area of the finished basement.

Ontario

(3) Tanks referred to in Sentences (1) and (2) are not required to conform to the requirements of Clause 10.2.(j) of CSA B66 "Design, Material, and Manufacturing Requirements for Prefabricated Septic Tanks and Sewage Holding Tanks".

(4) Sentence (2) does not apply to a tank that is an integral part of a prefabricated Class 1 sewage system.

(5) Access openings shall be located to facilitate the pumping of all compartments and the servicing of the inlet and outlet of each compartment not accessible by removal of the tank top or part of it.

(6) A tank shall not be covered by soil or leaching bed fill having a depth greater than the maximum depth of burial that the tank is designed to withstand.

(7) A tank shall be securely anchored when located in an area subject to flooding or where ground water levels may cause hydrostatic pressures.

#### 8.2.2.3. Septic Tanks

(1) The minimum working capacity of a septic tank shall be the greater of 3 600 L and,

- (a) in residential occupancies, twice the daily design sanitary sewage flow, or
- (b) in non-residential occupancies, three times the daily design sanitary sewage flow.

(2) Every septic tank shall be constructed in such a manner that any sanitary sewage flowing through the tank will pass through at least 2 compartments.

- (3) The working capacity of the compartments required in Sentence (2) shall be sized such that,
- (a) the first compartment is at least 1.3 times the daily design sanitary sewage flow but in no case less than 2 400 L, and
- (b) each subsequent compartment shall be at least 50% of the first compartment.

(4) Where multiple tanks are to be used to meet the requirements of Sentences (2) and (3), the tanks shall be connected in series such that,

- (a) the first tank in the series shall have at least a capacity as calculated in Clause (3)(a), however at no time shall a tank having a *working capacity* of less than 3 600 L be used,
- (b) all additional tanks after the first tank, excluding pump or dosing tanks shall have at least a working capacity equal to the volume required by Clause (3)(b),
- (c) the pipe between the outlet of one tank and the inlet of the next tank in the series shall have a minimum slope of 2 percent,
- (d) there shall be no partitions in the tank except where a partition is required to maintain the structural integrity of the tank, in which case openings within the partition shall be provided to allow the free movement of *sanitary sewage* throughout the tank, and
- (e) all piping between tanks shall be continuous and shall be connected to the tank through the use of flexible watertight seals that will permit differential movement between the tanks.

(5) Partitions separating the *septic tank* into compartments shall extend at least 150 mm above the liquid level at the outlet, and there shall be one or more openings through or above the partition.

(6) The openings required between compartments referred to in Sentence (2) shall have a total cross-sectional area of at least three times the area of the inlet pipe and be located between the top and a level 150 mm above the liquid level at the outlet to provide for the free flow of air between compartments.

- (7) Sanitary sewage shall pass from one compartment to another of the septic tank as follows:
- (a) by means of a device similar to that described in CSA B66, "Design, Material, and Manufacturing Requirements for Prefabricated Septic Tanks and Sewage Holding Tanks" for outlet devices, or
- (b) through two or more openings through the partition located in a horizontal line, and evenly spaced across the width of the partition, centred at approximately 40% of the liquid depth below the surface of the liquid, and having a total area of between three and five times that of the cross-sectional area of the inlet pipe.



(8) A septic tank shall be of such design and construction as will permit the collection and holding of sanitary sewage in it to a depth of not less than 1 000 mm, except that a depth of not less than 900 mm is permitted where the excavation is in rock, or to avoid rupture or displacement of the tank due to ground water pressure.

(9) Except as provided in Sentences (10) and (11), every *septic tank* shall be installed in such a manner that the access openings are located not more than 300 mm below the ground surface.

(10) Where the top of the *septic tank* is located more than 300 mm below the ground surface, it shall be equipped with risers that extend from the access opening of the *septic tank* to within 300 mm of the ground surface.

(11) Where risers are used they shall conform to the requirements of CSA B66, "Design, Material, and Manufacturing Requirements for Prefabricated Septic Tanks and Sewage Holding Tanks", and shall have adequate access openings to allow for regular maintenance of the *septic tank*.

#### 8.2.2.4. Holding Tanks

(1) All holding tanks shall be of such design and construction as will allow the complete removal of solid matter that can be expected to settle in the holding tank through an apparatus or device suitable for allowing the contents of the holding tank to be removed from the holding tank.

(2) A holding tank shall have a working capacity of not less than 9 000 L.

(3) Where two or more tanks are used to meet the requirement of Sentence (2), they shall be deemed to be one holding tank provided they are connected in such a manner as will allow the sanitary sewage contained in them to flow between the tanks.

(4) The working capacity of the tanks described in Sentence (3) shall not include any portion of any tank that cannot be completely drained due to the manner in which the connections are made.

#### Section 8.3. Class 1 Sewage Systems

#### 8.3.1. General Requirements

#### 8.3.1.1. Scope

(1) This Section applies to the construction of a Class 1 sewage system.


#### 8.3.1.2. Application

(1) Except as provided in Sentence (2), a Class 1 sewage system shall be designed to receive only human body waste for disposal.

(2) Where the *sewage system* is specifically designed for the biological decomposition of non-waterborne biodegradable kitchen wastes or requires the addition of small quantities of plant matter to improve the decomposition of human body waste, it may receive such wastes in addition to human body waste.

(3) Where the sewage system is designed with a drain for the removal of excess liquid, then the sewage system shall drain to a Class 3, 4, or 5 sewage system.

# APPENDIX "I" ASHRAE TABLES & OTTAWA SEWER CAPACITY TABLES



Notest 1. The clavet is based on straight tees, i.e., branches A, B and C are the and the state.

2. Freezers fees in derived circelt is obtained by solociting proper curve ac-corefly to illustrations, determining the flow at the circled branch and multiplying the pressure loss for the name size elbow at the flow rate in the circle of breach by the equivalent elbows indicated.

3. When the size of an outlet is reduced the equivalent chert do not apply. Therefore, the maximum loss for nell will not encoul 2 above equivalents at the maximum flog

A. The top carve of the chart is the average of 4 carves, i decode fiberiated.

.

Friction Loss for Water in Plastic Pipe (Schedule 30) Pas. 4

#### APPENDIX 6-A

 $\langle \rangle$ 

. }

ŀ

#### SEWER CAPACITY TABLES

:

į.

ŧ,

					Dênatmine (mrd.0			
		diorest and			- (N-5)	1.45	1	
and and formal						g		74
100			6	TV			100	1 13
8.5	17,50	5.00			1			
	ELLO	2.70	1					
-	1 BLES	25	161.96	1 2.00	1 1			
6	PELET	2.98	196.72	1 234		Statement of the local division of the local		
4.7	94.46	11	134.60	1 245	1 216-73-74	2.02	1	-
6	di.As	2.11	eur	248	201.00	277		
15	64.01	SIN	116.06		100.75	2.80	1 242.20	E.EO
8	BILSIS	1.15	1 HUAD	2.12	1 17677 1	2.50	1 STEET	2.70
-	61.51	1 10	67.74	1,13	\$42.57	1.01	1 200,00	2.97
1	56.52	1/5	62.04	1.5	1 100.85	195	182.01	1.60
A.BS	21.20	1.18	ELAT.	1.10	1 61.53	1.56	576.30	1.05
0.0	SLAD.	1.00	T BLM	1.14	85.70	1.21	172.52	2.62
ELES	11.50	0.00	68.50	1.18	64.84%	(36)	171.60	1.61
6.86	31.13	0.01	67.68	1.56	1 1 1 1 1 1	1.50	140.42	1.40
6.84	31,30	GLO	1 88.60	1.12	BILAN I	1.27	107.64	. 147
6.12	80,08	(10)	65.96	1.55	BLSE I	-127-	20.00	145
3.0	\$0.60	0.04.	ELAS	5.50	60.28	1.24	113.00	1.43
0.76	20.50	D.C.E.	64,20	1 168	10.10	1.0	12184	1/2
6.78	2181.	1.62	BLDU	1 1.07	ELSE'	121	100.40	\$.40
6.24	20,43	0.81	6417	145	36.36 . 1	1.18	167,58	1.38
8.72	20,63	0.00	ELE4	124	ALCON I	1.17	100.21	1.59
07	21,83	6.88	61.51	1.02	1 84,40	1.10	1 185.65	1.84
6.60	1 1 1	DAT	61.10	1.01	85.46	1.16	180.63	1.92
6.65	17.60	6.65	65.40	0.00	1 214	1.11	148.65	1.20
0.81	27,27	6.61	0.63	1.00	1 80.71	1.51	140.05	1.50
0.62	20.84	E.As	61.61	D.Be	79.4	1.60	144.82	13
6.6	82,60	10.82	46.05	0.86	MH ·	1.10	141.88	124
6.65	80.08	0.80	47.36	0.03	1 78.53 1	1.65	12020	1.22
0.65	26.01	6.76	40.43	0.00	7640	148	190.88	1.90
6.64	22.96	0.78	41.60	616	76.13	142	134.41	1.15
And I	24.67	0.75	44.74	6.05	72.76	1.60	1 1110	1.56
0.6	24.10	8.78	45.57	DAT.	71.50 - 1	0.65	1222.94	1.13
0.48	25.75	6.52	0.5	Q.BS	1 00.00	0.90	12072	1.11
648	20.21	6.92	49.08	6/3	1 BALL	0.04	124,08	1.00
6.422	22.49	<b>RUN</b>	10.78	0.50	60.81	0.6M	130.12	1.05
MAR 1	22.57	0,08	40.91	0.74	85.50. 1	0.50	118.64	4.64
0.40	21,64	. 0.67	38.24	637	08.83	CAT .	115.00	1.61
0.35	21,20	0.65	20.68	6.76	SEAR 1		TELES ]	1.00
0.16	25,51 1	D.A.S.	100	0.72	60.06	And in case of the local division of the loc	106.21	0.15
0.84	10,50	0.61	\$5,81	0.71	:40.39	8.80 H.	105.87	0.90
0,120	\$0.85	6.80	SUDA	6496	67,67	0.70	101.47	D.M
0.52	5 E		94.09	CUTY	6648	0.78	\$00LES - 1	\$L85
0.25	1		S-LDL	( (L))	10.44	0.000	\$1.46 · 1	6.8.8
0.200			1.13	6.60	4143	0.65	60.64	6.70
0.10					46,12	LAR .	81,80	0.72
8.100	1				-CLEA	1.2	78.80	0.80
0.57	1						76.42	01.0
0.16			-			-	78.40	0.8%
0,15							78.64 : 1	6.62
0.14	1						68,44	6.63

Hydrautic Elements of Superith Walted Cleveler Severe Fideling Pull: 200 - 377 www Risander ford Still

City of Ottawa

November 2004

#### **APPENDIX 6-A**

+ \_ + + +

.

**S** 

а.

Ę

()

)

#### SEWER CAPACITY TABLES

#### FLOW (LA) No. 418 2 1 \$75 č 0 ē 0 ٧ 2.70 211 2.6 444.98 430.08 430.08 2.63 2.0 TE A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER ETED BOX BIGS DIAL 2.50 2.50 2.50 2.50 1212121212111 19125 11012 2,80 2.81 1983年 1983年 1985年 2.40 前方 1165 618 0.000 10 415.65 130 EST J BOULS 6.E 6.7E 401.20 362.20 375.37 1,80 TOP.0 112 0.5 210.32 100.32 100.31 100.35 (10)F 2 · Y 4 · S 646 ESUE FILL 0 TTOR 1 10.3 70.6 aleA 1261 0.31 10 3.10 546.54 6.3 Γ, 1 6.20 CTr. 0.0 11 10 100 . 141.52 142.52 142.51 142.51 1.10 200.77 200.52 16 2015 447.1 alle. (10) 10 E A 624 CLEG 216,88 1- T C S S S 211.57 0.22 20 022 ALL. 0.21 158.30 1.0 8.100 6.10 11.50 1.6 122.66 121 145 17 K H 154.90 6.0 10 0.96 116.07 178.45 0.15 116.20 0.70 0.31 2.1 8.8 8.0 8.0 61.94 1421 60 DRA 1 E.B. **0.0**22 2014 S 0,12 1.10 0.118 ( f.; II. 0.B 0.111 61.7 135 3, 111 E.C. 201.9 260.7 0.70

 blychastic Einsteric of Azzieth Misler diseater beener. Flowing Poli: Azi: - 678 star Diseaster (n=0.019)

City of Ottawa

November 2004

# APPENDIX "J" BOUNDARY CONDITIONS & HGL

#### **Michael Jans**

From:	Alvey, Harry [Harry.Alvey@ottawa.ca]
Sent:	August-07-13 1:07 PM
To:	'Michael Jans'
Cc:	Fitzpatrick, Anne
Subject:	RE: 5574 Rockdale, vars

Good Afternoon Michael;

Here are the water boundary conditions as you requested;

The boundary conditions depend strongly on pump selection. Ignoring fires, minimum pressure actually occurs during basic (average) demand conditions when the duty pump is running. During peak hour or fire conditions, the duty pump does not operate. Larger capacity pumps with higher discharge pressures operate during these conditions.

Boundary conditions at the site are as follows:

Basic Day average= 115.4 m Minimum pressure during Basic Day = 108.4m Peak Hour on Max Day = 119.3 m

The system is not designed to supply the required fire demand. The development will need to consider the fire supply limitation, adjust building design accordingly, and/or provide additional on-site fire fighting measures. Below I have provided two boundary conditions based on fire flows that would result in the range of roughly 20 psi and above at the property.

FF = 95 L/s, Max Day + Fire = 93.6 m (~21 psi) FF = 90 L/s, Max Day + Fire = 98.3 m (~28 psi)

For the record, a 3 hour fire flow of 95 L/s at max day would drop the pump station clearwells to 30% full, assuming a starting point of 75%.

If you have any questions or need any additional information let me know.


Sincerely;

Harry

Harry R. Alvey Senior Infrastructure Approval Engineer Development Review Rural Services

					cital a dire di la	cickini			
Location	Chainage	Diameter	Friction	TWM	F/G	Cover	Fric loss	Elev loss	Pressure
Main	0	150	0.013053	75.80	30 78.2	2.40			46.31
EA	9.7122	150	0.013053	75.20	0 78.39	3.19	0.126777		46.18322
0+10	10	150	0.013053	75.19	.9 78.38	3.19	0.003757		46.17947
CL ditch	16.134	150	0.013053	74.95	5 77.35	2.40	0.08007		46.0994
Valve	19.171	150	0.013053	74.96	6 77.98	3.02	0.039643		46.05975
0+20	20	150	0.013053	75.01	1 77.98	2.97	0.010821		46.04893
wall (high side)	24.936	150	0.013053	75.28	8 77.98	2.70	0.064432		45.9845
Wall (low side)	25.2356	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.71	2.41	0.003911		45.98059
0+30	30	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.72	2.42	0.062192		45.9184
0+40	40	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.74	2.44	0.130534		45.78786
0+50	50	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.76	2.46	0.130534		45.65733
0+60	60	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.78	2.48	0.130534		45.5268
0+70	70	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.8	2.50	0.130534		45.39626
0+80	80	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.82	2.52	0.130534		45.26573
06+0	06		0.013053	75.30	0 77.84	2.54	0.130534		45.13519
0+100	100	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.86	2.56	0.130534		45.00466
0+110	110	150	0.013053	75.30	0 77.89	2.59	0.130534		44.87413
C\L Swale	119.21	150	0.013053	75.25	5 77.66	2.41	0.120222		44.7539
0+120	120	150	0.013053	75.23	3 78.48	3.25	0.010312		44.74359
Main Tee	120.618	150	0.013053	75.94	4 78.35	2.41	0.008067		44.73552
E/A	124.143	50	0.007252	76.00	0 78.63	2.63	0.025563		44.70996
bend	125.244	50	0.007252	76.00	0 78.55	2.55	0.007984		44.70198
0+130	130	50	0.007252	76.00	0 78.75	2.75	0.03449		44.66749
0+140	140	50	0.007252	76.00	0 78.57	2.57	0.072519		44.59497
bend	141.738	50	0.007252	76.00	0 78.93	2.93	0.012604		44.58237
S/W	148.148	50	0.007252	76.00	0 78.93	2.93	0.046485		44.53588
grass	149.489	50	0.007252	76.00	0 79.1	3.10	0.009725		44.52616
0+150	150	50	0.007252	76.00	0 79.1	3.10	0.003706		44.52245
BLDG	154.836	50	0.007252	76.00	0 79.22	3.22	0.03507		44.48738
	175.585	25	0.007252	85.37	4		0.150160	13 ENE10	CE17E 0C

# APPENDIX "K" EROSION AND SEDIMENT CONTROL




- FROM AREAS TO BE DISTURBED (SEE PLAN FOR
- LOCATION). 1.1.2. INSTALL GEOSOCK INSERTS WITH AN OVERFLOW IN
- ALL THE DOWNSTREAM CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLES.
- 1.1.3. INSTALL SILTSACK FILTERS IN ALL CONCRETE CATCH
- BASIN STRUCTURES.
- 1.1.4. INSPECT MEASURES IMMEDIATELY AFTER INSTALLATION.

#### 2. DURING CONSTRUCTION:

- 2.1. WORK TO BE DONE IN THE VICINITY OF MAJOR WATERWAYS TO BE CARRIED OUT FROM JULY TO SEPTEMBER ONLY.
- 2.2. MINIMIZE THE EXTENT OF DISTURBED AREAS AND THE
- DURATION OF EXPOSURE.
- 2.3. PROTECT DISTURBED AREAS FROM RUNOFF. 2.4. PROVIDE TEMPORARY COVER SUCH AS SEEDING OR MULCHING IF DISTURBED AREA WILL NOT BE REHABILIATED WITHIN 30 DAYS.
- 2.5. INSPECT SILT FENCE, FILTER CLOTHS, AND CATCH BASIN SUMPS WEEKLY AND AFTER EVERY MAJOR STORM EVENT. CLEAN AND REPAIR WHEN NECESSARY 2.6. PLAN TO BE REVIEWED AND REVISED AS REQUIRED
- DURING CONSTRUCTION.
- 2.7. EROSION CONTROL FENCING TO BE ALSO INSTALLED AROUND THE BASE OF ALL STOCKPILES.
- 2.8. DO NOT LOCATE TOPSOIL PILES AND EXCAVATION MATERIAL CLOSER THAN 2.5m FROM ANY PAVED SURFACE, OR ONE WHICH IS TO BE PAVED BEFORE PILE IS REMOVED. ALL TOPSOIL PILES ARE TO BE SEEDED IF THEY ARE TO REMAIN ON SITE LONG ENOUGH FOR SEEDS TO GROW (30 DAYS).
- 2.9. CONTROL WIND-BLOWN DUST OFF SITE TO ACCEPTABLE LEVELS BY SEEDING TOPSOIL PILES AND OTHER AREAS TEMPORARILY (PROVIDE WATERING AS REQUIRED). 2.10. ALL EROSION CONTROL STRUCTURE TO REMAIN IN
- PLACE UNTIL ALL DISTURBED GROUND SURFACES HAVE BEEN STABILIZED EITHER BY PAVING OR RESTORATION OF VEGETATIVE GROUND COVER. 2.11. NO ALTERNATE METHODS OF EROSION PROTECTION
- SHALL BE PERMITTED UNLESS APPROVED BY THIS CONSULTING ENGINEER AND THE CITY DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS. "TO PREVENT UNNECESSARY SEDIMENT DISCHARGE, THE CONTRACTOR IS PERMITTED TO PLACE ADDITIONAL SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL MEASURES IN A TIMELY MANNER, IF REQUIRED. THE CONTRACTOR TO ADVISE CONSULTANT ONCE INSTALLED FOR INSPECTION." 2.12. CONTRACTOR RESPONSIBLE FOR CITY ROADWAY AND
- SIDEWALK TO BE CLEANED OF ALL SEDIMENT FROM VEHICULAR TRACKING ETC, AT THE END OF EACH WORK DAY.
- 2.13. PROVIDE GRAVEL ENTRANCE WHEREVER EQUIPMENT LEAVES THE SITE TO PREVENT MUD TRACKING ONTO PAVED SURFACES. GRAVEL BED SHALL BE A MINIMUM OF 15m LONG. 4m WIDE AND 0.3m DEEP AND SHALL CONSIST OF COARSE (50mm CRUSHER-RUN LIMESTONE). MAINTAIN GRAVEL ENTRANCE IN CLEAN CONDITION.


- 3.1. PROVIDE PERMANENT COVER CONSISTING OF TOPSOIL
- AND SEED TO DISTURBED AREA. 3.2. REMOVE STRAW BALE FLOW CHECK DAMS, SILT FENCES AND FILTER CLOTHS ON CATCH BASINS AND MANHOLE COVERS AFTER DISTURBED AREAS HAVE BEEN
- REHABILITATED AND STABILIZED. 3.3. INSPECT AND CLEAN CATCH BASIN SUMPS AND STORM SEWERS.

### LEGEND:




PROPOSED ACCESS GATE PROPOSED GROWING FIELD


**—** 



# APPENDIX "L" ENVIRO SEPTIC DESIGN PARA



We reinvent the way to treat and evacuate wastewater



**Biological and ecological** treatment system

No moving parts | No electricity | No mantle

The simplest, most cost effecient tertiary quality Class 4 system



### The Enviro-Septic® pipe is a patented product comprised of four components

- A cylindrical pipe made of high density polyethylene. The walls of the pipe are corrugated to increase the surface area for heat transfer. They are also perforeted in order to let the effluent flow out. Each corrugation has a unique notched design which encourages the flow of air around the pipe. The flow of air is necessary for the proliferation of the bacterie that is responsible for the treatment of the wastewater.
- The Bio-Accelerator™ allows for a fast ramp-up time.

(17)

 $\square$ 

- A randomly oriented fiber mesh covers the pipe, facilitates the supply of oxygen and acts as a support structure for the biomass.
- A non-woven geotextile membrane is sewn around the pipe to prevent send from entering the pipe.

### **ENVIRO-SEPTIC®** PROCESS

The wastewater from the septic tank will flow by gravity into a distribution box equipped with equalizers. From the distribution box the wastewater is evenly distributed into the rows of Enviro-Septic® pipe.


The effluent arriving into the Enviro-Septic<sup>®</sup> pipe is cooled to ground temperature. The corrugations of the pipe facilitate this process by providing a large surface area for heat exchange. The system acts as an underground radiator. The cooling process encourages the separation of greases and some of the suspended solids. The solids, that are lighter than water, float to the surface as foam. The heavier solids will end up at the bottom of the pipe to create scum. These solids remain inside the pipe and helps prevent the soil from becoming clogged.


The effluent leaves the pipe through the perforations found on the entire circumference of the pipe. Afterwards, it works its way through the mat of plastic fibers where the bacteris have settled to treat the additional amount of suspended solids. The mat of plastic fibers is conditioned by the liquid level fluctuations inside the pipe, which is caused by the peak periods of water use in the house. This aerobic/anaerobic condition encourages the proliferation of the bacteria performing the treatment.

This process is similar to the deterioration of a wood picket fence. The deterioration always starts at the ground level where the humidity conditions change from day to day, and where the bacteria accelerate the wood's deterioration.

The effluent travels through the geo-textile where another layer of bacteria is forming on the internal surface. By capillary action, the geotextile and the surrounding send gather and distribute the effluent on the pipe's circumference, which facilitates the evacuation of water to the surrounding ground. This phenomenon can be compared to the wick of an oil lamp in which the fuel moves towards the area where the combustion occurs.

The treatment continues as the effluent passes through the system send that surrounds the Enviro-Septic® pipe. When the water finally reaches the receiving soil, almost all of the contaminants have been removed from the water. It thus infiltrates into the ground much more easily, to be evacuated from the site.






### Enviro-Septic<sup>®</sup> System advantages

- Due to the multiple configurations possible, it offers a large design flexibility.
- The installation is quick, easy, and does not require any special tools or filtaring media that require periodic replacement.
- It can be installed in sloped areas without the need of supplementary embankments. This reduces the costs and provides an aestheticelly pleasing finished product.
- Excellent QUALITY/DURABILITY/PRICE ratio.
- No mantle required

### Enviro-Septic<sup>®</sup> System characteristics

- It makes it possible to build an effective infiltration system having a longer service life compared to traditional systems.
- The installation is quick, easy, and does not require any special tools.
- A system that forgives! The round shape of the biomat which has established on the circumference of the pipe encourages the rejuvenation of the treatment and evacuation capacities following improper use of the system.
- A tested technology: more than 100 000 installations to date in North America.





	T M S
No.	
Cantor of	}-
ALC: NO.	Ŵ
	$\geq$
	vi
S	
and the second second	
- N	
DX.	
5	
lii and a start and a start a	
0	
-	
1	



Enviro-Septic Configuration Simulator - BMEC Authorization of September 25th 2008

For leaching bed Version 1.3

Project Name: Apartment Building Designer Name: Bergeron Const.

21/05/2015

Ren cells         Min/cm         ock           6         Min/cm         ock           8900         L/d         ock           9.6         m         ock           9.6         m         ock           1.2         m         ock           0.6         m         ock           1.2         m         ock           0.6         m         ock           1.2         m         ock           1.1.2         m         ock           1.1.10         ESP         ock           2.0         Rows         ock           2.0         Rows         ock			Enter proper information in	1	Then validate the	
erccolation time (T-Time)     6     Min/cm     0K       Enviro-Septic System Design Flow     9900     L/d     0K       S <sub>me</sub> - Minimum Ventcal Separation     0.6     m     0K       S <sub>me</sub> - Minimum Ventcal Separation     0.6     m     0K       S <sub>me</sub> - Minimum Ventcal Separation     0.6     m     0K       of the receiving soli     1.2     m     0K       of the receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     0K       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     0K       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     0K       Minimum Inspected sand layer (if     0     m     0K       So - Separation distance     0.6     m     0K       Minimum Inspect Pipes     335.5     m     0K       Minimum Inspect Pipes     20     Rows     0K       ef of rows of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K		Information required or element calculated	the green cells	Units	comguration	Instructions / constructions
Enviro-Septic System Design Flow     8900     L/d     OK       S <sub>min</sub> - Minimum Vertical Separation     0.6     m     OK       S <sub>min</sub> - Minimum Vertical Separation     0.6     m     OK       of the receiving soil     1.2     m     OK       of the excavation     0.6     m     OK       of the excavation     0.6     m     OK       of the excavation     0.6     m     OK       Bislope of the ground     1     %     OK       Sicope of the imported sand layer (if     0     m     OK       Chnees of the imported sand layer (if     0     m     OK       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     335.5     m     OK       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rowis     OK       et of Forws of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rowis     OK	1	Soil percolation time (T-Time)	¢,	Min/cm	ŏ	Enter the resolving call T-Time.
Sinan - Minimum Vortical Separation     0.6     m     0.6       of the receiving soli     1.2     m     0K       of the excavation     0.6     m     0K       of the excavation     0.6     m     0K       al Slope of the ground     1     %     0K       al Slope of the imported sand layer (if     0     m     0K       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     0K       Minimum number of Enviro-Septic Pipes     35.5     m     0K       Minimum fenviro-Septic Pipes     335.5     m     0K       Minimum fenviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K       Minimum Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K		Enter Enviro-Septic System Design Flow	0068	2	ŏ	Enter the Enviro-Septic System Design Flow as determined from 8.2.1.3 of the Ontario On-Sile Sewage Systems Code.
of the receiving soil     1.2     m     0K       of the excavation     0.6     m     0K       of the excavation     0.6     m     0K       al Slope of the ground     1     %     0K       Dept of receiving soil under the excavation     0.6     m     0K       Dept of receiving soil under the excavation     0.6     m     0K       Mentor of the imported sand layer (if     0     m     0K       Kitnimum number of Enviro-Septic Pipes     335.5     m     0K       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     335.5     m     0K       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K       et of forwes of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K	1	S <sub>mm</sub> - Minimum Vertical Separation		E		Minimum Vertheal Separation as measured from the bottom of the Enviro-Septic System sand to High ground water table or Bedrock or Soll with a percolation time (T) greater than 60 crititin.
of the excavation     0.6     m     OK       al Stope of the ground     1     %     OK       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     OK       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     OK       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     OK       Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     OK       Minimum number of Enviro-Septic Pipes     110     ESP     M       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     335.5     m     OK       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     OK       eff of rows of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     OK	1	Dept of the receiving soli	1.2	E	Х	Enter the dept of the receiving soil from the surface (original grade) to: High ground water table or Bedrock or Soil with a percolation time (1) greater than 60 cm/min. If receiving soil has a T-Time > 50 ntin/cm, enter 0.
al Stope of the ground 1 % 0 Km 0.K m 110 ESP m 0.K m 110 ESP m 110 Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes 335.5 m 0.K m 0.K m 110 eSP m 110 e Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes 335.5 m 0.K m 0.K m 110 e est m 0.K m 110 e est m 110 est m 11	1	Dept of the excavation	0.6	ε	ŏ	Enter the dept at which the base of the system will be installed. If imported sand is used under the Enviro-Septic System, enter the dept Jt which the imported sand is installed. If the system or the imported sand layer are installed at the aufface (original grade), the value is 0.
Dept of receiving soli under the excavation     0.6     m     OK       Ckness of the imported sand layer (if     0     m     0K       So - Separation distance     0.6     m     0K       Minimum numbar of Enviro-Septic Pipes     110     ESP     0K       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     335.5     m     0K       Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K       eff of rows of Enviro-Septic Pipes     20     Rows     0K		Natural Slope of the ground	-	%	ŏ	The stope must be 25 % or loss. For a flet land, the stope is 0%.
ckiness of the imported sand layer (if 0 m 0 k S <sub>o</sub> - Separation distance 0.6 m 0 k Minimum number of Enviro-Septic Pipes 110 ESP 0 k Minimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes 335.5 m 2 m <sup>2</sup> k Minimum Enviro-Septic Contact Area 148.5 m <sup>2</sup> o k er of Enviro-Septic Pipes Per row 5.5 ESP 0 k		D <sub>s</sub> - Dept of receiving soil under the excavation	9,6	E	žõ	This value represent the thickness of receiving soil remaining after excavation before the high ground water table or bedrock or soil with a percolation time (T) greater than 50 cm/min.
Manuel         0.6         m         0.K           Pipes         110         ESP         0K           Pipes         335.5         m         0K           Area         148.5         m²         0K           Area         148.5         m²         0K           5.5         ESP         0K         0K		Is - Thickness of the imported sand layer (If used)	0	ε	OK	Enter the dept of receiving soil still in place after installation between the base of the system or the imported sund layer and the high ground water table or bedrock or soil with a percolation time (T) greater than 50 cm/mid.
Pipes         110         ESP           Pipes         335.5         m           Area         148.5         m²           Area         148.5         m²           Solution         20         Rows         or           5.5         ESP         or		S <sub>0</sub> - Separation distance	0.6	ε	OK	This value represent the thickness of soli and imported eand (when used) between the system base and the ground water table or bedrock or soli with a percolation time {T} greater than 50 cm/min.
Pipes         335.5         m           Area         148.5         m²           Area         148.5         m²           20         Rows         ok           5.5         ESP         ok	T	Minimum number of Enviro-Septic Pipes	110	ESP		This value represent the minimum number of Enviro-Septic pipes required to treat the daily flow of Septic Tank Effluent using formula QPGO.
Area 148.5 m² 20 Rows ok	Ĩ	Althimum length of Enviro-Septic Pipes	335.5	E	1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 - 1999 -	This value represent the minimum length of Enviro-Septic pipes required to treat the daily flow of Septic Tank Effluent using formula 3,05*(O(30).
20 Rows OK 5.5 ESP OK		Minimum Enviro-Septic Contact Area	148.5	a²		This value represent the minimum Enviro-Septic contect Area using formula QT/400
6.5 ESP Q	-	Number of rows of Enviro-Septic Pipes	50	Rows	УŃ	Enter the number of rows of the configuration wanted.
		Number of Enviro-Septic Pipes per row	5.5	esp B	QK	Erther the number of pipes per row for the configuration wanted. This number should equal or graster than 2 without going over 10.
110 ESP OK	1	Total number of Enviro-Septic Pipes	110	ESP		This value represent the product of the number of rows by the number of pipes per rows (line 13.x line 13), An error message will appear if the result is smaller than the minimum number of pipes required shown as line

1/2

7

"OKV will be shown when all Enviro-Septic design rules of the configuration simulator have been met.	ŏ	alidation	Final Configuration Validation	Final Con	25
The volume of imported sand required is the product of the langth by the width by the number of section and by the thickness of the imported sand layer enter on line 9.	-	Ē	0.0	counterior of the votume of imported Sand Required	N
The volume of system sand required is the product of the length by the width by the rumber of section and by the thickness of the sand layer from which we subtract the volume of the Enviro- Section and by the thickness of the sand layer from which we subtract the volume of the Enviro- Section and the the section and the sand layer from the section and the sectin and the section a	2 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	cœ	90.8	Estimation of the Volumo of System Sand Required	1
The value represents the width of the system including sand extension when it is required (for elope above 10 %)			NIA	System including System Sand Extension	32
the wate represents the length of the down slope sand extension when it is required (for slope above 10 %)		E	N/A	UNISTRATION TRANSPORT OF TRANSPORT	
The other work solutions we integr or one system above ground on the limit of the contact area or, in other works, where the 1;13 latent backfill starts. The height may be a little bit more in the context of the system to keep a small stope on top for rainweter evocuation.	Partially Above Ground System	ε	0.30	completely above ground	8 8
The Hydraulic Loading Rate represent the volume of water per square meter per day based on the Trail Design Daily Flow and the Total Enviro-Septic Contact Area.		L/m <sup>2</sup> .d	60.29	Hydraullic Loading Rate (HLR)	
This value represent the total Enviro-Septic Contact Area.	ok ,	m2	164.19	I otal Enviro-Septic Contact Area	0
This value represent the total Enviro-Septic Contact Area for each independant section.	н.	2 <sup>m</sup>	164.19	Total Enviro-Septic Contact Area per section	87
This value represent the width of a section including the Center to Center Spacing and the Lateral Spacing.		E	9.45	W - WIRTI OF ONG SECTION OF THE ENVIRO-Septie	27
This value represent the length of a row of pipes plus the two Extremity Extension Distances		E	17.38	L - Length of one section of the Enviro-Septic System	26
Extremity extension spacing needs to be 0,3 or more.	ОК	ε	0.3	E <sub>E</sub> - Extremity Extension Distance	2.6
Suggested Extremity axtension specing calculated automatically based on the Center to Center Spacing. The minimum value is 0,3 m. When Ecc is 0,9 m or above. EE is half Ecc 0,15 m.			1.3	Suggested E <sub>E</sub> 0	24
When slope is more than 3%, the Lateral extension spacing is larger downhill than uphill. The EL2 is calculated automatically and is equal to the Center to Center Specing.			NIA	NN	33
Lateral extension spacing needs to be 0.45 or more.	ОĶ	ε	0.45	E L Lateral Extension Distance	5
Svoggested Lateral extension specing calculated automatically based on the Center to Center Spacing. The minimum vatue is 0,45 m. When Ecc is 0,9 m or above, Et is half Ecc.			45	Suggested EL 0	
Enter the Center to Center Spacing. The minimum ECC is 0,45 m	ŏ	E	0.45	Ecc - Center to Center Spacing	50
Suggested Center to Center spacing calculated automatically based on an equal distribution of the rows of pipes. The minimum value is 0,45 m.		È	6	Suggested E <sub>cc</sub> 0	18
The number of section chosen must allow even distribution of rows between sections (E.r. 9 rows can be divided in 3 section of 3 rows, but 8 rows can't be divided in 3 sections).	OK	section(s)	-	Number of sections	18
This value represent the product of the number of pipes per row by the lenght of one pipe.		E	16.78	Total length of a row of Enviro-Septic Pipes	42
This value represent the product of the total number of pipes required by the langth of one pipe.		ε	336.5	Totel langth of Enviro-Septic Pipes	45

1

{

Attention: The casegore is requoted to conform to all applicable laws and to all Enviro-Soptic design rules. This simulator is provided free of sharge as a configuration development tool and the user understands that DBD Expert no. cannol be held responsible for arrows or omissions because of this service.

2/2

向 N E V >

1

ł

大変なない jeen in × 6<sup>963</sup> 6 The second



21/05/2015

Date:

	Value	9
Designer: Bergeron Const.	Element	Soil percolation time (T-Time)


Project: Apartment Building

	value	Units
soli percolation time (1-Time)	9	Min/cm
Enviro-Septic System Design Flow	0066	P/7
Number of rows of Enviro-Septic Pipes	20	Rows
Number of Enviro-Septic Pipes per row	5.5	ESP
Total number of Enviro-Septic Pipes	110	ESP
Total length of Enviro-Septic Pipes	16.775	E
Number of sections	~	section(s)
Total Enviro-Septic Contact Area	164.2	m2
Hydraulic Loading Rate (HLR)	60.3	L/m <sup>2</sup> .d
Estimation of the Volume of System Sand Required	90.8	°E
Estimation of the Volume of Imported Sand Required	0.0	°.

Legend	
Ds	Depth of receiving soil before limiting condition
Ecc	Center to Center Spacing
ш	Extremity Extension Distance
ឃី	Lateral Extension Distance
Eu	Lateral Extension Distance Up-hill (Sloped system)
EL2	Lateral Extension Distance Down-hill (Stoped system)
Is	Thickness of imported sand layer
	Length of one section of the Enviro-Septic System
So	Separation distance under the system
с. С	Sand Extension - Slope of more than 10%
S <sub>Min</sub>	Minimum Vertical Separation distance form the base of the system to Rock, Clay or Water Table
W1	Width of one section of the Enviro-Septic System
W <sub>2</sub>	Width Enviro-Septic System with Sand Extension (when applicable)

Number of sections:

-




1

2/3

k

, x



3/3

777 Bay Street, 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor Toronto, Ontario, M5G 2E5

Tel: 416 585 4234 Fax: 416 585 7531 Web: <u>www.obc.mah.gov.on.</u> Ontario Building Materials

Evaluation Commission Commission d'évaluation des matériaux de construction

Date of Authorization BMEC Authorization Number BMEC Application

BMEC Application Number Date of Amendment July 26, 2001 BMEC 01-08-260 A2000-19

> A 2006-15 July 27, 2006

#### **AUTHORIZATION REPORT - The Whitewater Area Bed System**

1. Applicant

Delta Environmental Products P.O. Box 969 Denham Springs, LA USA, 70727 2. Manufacturing

Delta Environmental Products, Inc. 8285 Florida Blvd Denham Springs, LA, USA, 70726

Canwest Tanks & Ecological Systems. 11975 Old Yale Road Surrey BC, V3W 3X4

Tel: 800 219-9183 Fax: 225 664-9467

#### 3. Description

The Whitewater Area Bed System primarily consists of a pre-treatment tank, a tertiary treatment unit, an effluent filter and an area bed.

Delta Environmental Products Inc.'s tertiary treatment units permitted for use with this system are referenced in the Supplementary Guidelines to the Building Code, as amended, as meeting tertiary quality effluent criteria, and include models FD 50 FF, DF 60 FF, DF 75 FF, DF 100 FF, DF 150 FF and DF 150 x 2.

An effluent filter is required downstream of the treatment unit. The specification of the effluent filter may vary depending on the area bed system design, and the filter models permitted for use with this Area Bed are located in Section 4.1. of this authorization.

The area bed is comprised of two parts: the stone layer and the sand layer. The sand layer of an area bed is sized in consideration of the soil it rests on, and under certain conditions it may be required to be laterally extended. This lateral sand extension is known as the mantle.

The effluent is sent to the stone layer, either by gravity or by a pump, via a pipe. This pipe leads from the treatment unit and terminates at the distribution box or header. From the distribution box or header, the effluent is sent to a series of perforated distribution pipes that run through the stone layer.

#### 4. Authorization Requested

The applicant seeks to have the Whitewater Area Bed System, which incorporates a treatment unit designed so that the effluent meets the tertiary effluent quality criteria referenced in Table 8.6.2.2.A. of the Building Code, authorized for use as a Class 4 System that is connected to an absorption system other than the leaching bed as referred to in Article 8.6.1.2. of the Building Code.

#### 5. Assessment

Reports and assessment provided by the applicant demonstrate that if the Whitewater Area Bed System is constructed, installed, operated, maintained and monitored in accordance with the limitations of the manufacturer specifications and conditions stated in this authorization, a level of performance equivalent to that required of a class 4 sewage systems will be provided.

The following reports were submitted and reviewed are:

- 1. Whitewater Systems Owners Manual, Models DF 50, DF 60, DF 75, DF100 or DF 150.
- 2. Technical Background Information Memo relating to Canwest Tanks & Ecological Systems dated September 18, 2000.
- 3. CAN/CSA-B66-00 Prefabricated Septic Tanks and Sewage Holding Tanks, Plumbing Products and Materials - a National Standard of Canada.
- 4. Operations, Specifications & Test Data on the Free Access Sand Filter July 1999, including a February 16, 1998, NSF International Report on the Delta Environmental Products Inc. DF-40M and Free Access Sand Filter. Tested under the provisions of ANSI/NSF Standard 40.
- The "Supplemental (Canadian Version) Owner's / Operator's Manual" dated September 1999, which incorporates a schedule of required maintenance to be conducted on the system every six (6), twelve (12) and twenty four (24) months.

- 6. NSF International Report on the evaluation of Delta products Inc., model DF 40- Wastewater Treatment System.
- 7. Whitewater Installation Operation and Maintenance Manuals.
- 8. Whitewater Service / Maintenance Agreement.
- 9. Whitewater Inspection Work Order,
- 10. Whitewater Treatment Units Pre-treatment Sizes, dated July 17, 2006.
- 11. Sample Drawings, Gunnell Engineering Ltd, dated July 7, 2006.

#### 6. Authorization

A. The Area Bed System is authorized as an equivalent to other Class 4 sewage systems as referenced to in Section 8.7. "Leaching Beds" of the Building Code; all other requirements pertaining to the design, installation and construction are subject to the regulations of the Building Code, and to the following terms and conditions.

#### 1.0. Definitions

A word or phase used in this Authorization has the following meaning for the purposes of this Authorization:

Area Bed means the part of a leaching bed comprised of a stone layer and the underlying unsaturated sand layer intended to further treat and distribute the effiuent, and does not include the area referred to as the mantle.

Contact Area means the area of infiltrative surface, directly below the area bed, required to absorb the treated effluent into the underlying native soil, but does not include the area where the mantle, if required, comes into contact with the native soil.

Extended Contact Area means the area of the sand bed, as extended, and mantle, where required, to meet the necessary lateral extension such that the effluent is absorbed into the underlying soil

**Infiltrative Surface** means the area of interface where effluent migrates downward from the sand layer of the area bed and, if necessary, the mantle and passes into the native soil or leaching bed fill.

Mantle means the lateral extension of the area bed using imported leaching bed fill having a T time of 15 min/cm or less, but does not include the area referred to as the area bed, necessary to provide an area of hydraulic catchments in any direction in which the effluent entering the leaching bed fill will move horizontally such that effluent is treated and absorbed.

ç

1.1744

Raised or Partially Raised Area Bed means a sewage system in which any part of the area bed is above the natural ground elevation.

Uniform Distribution means the even dispersal of effluent throughout all areas of an area bed and adjoining mantle, if required, as it migrates down from the stone layer to the underlying sand layer to either the native soil or mantle comprised of imported soil.

Vertical Separation means the depth of unsaturated soil below a leaching bed as measured from the bottom of the absorption trench or the bottom of the stone layer to a limiting surface such as high ground water table, rock or soil with a percolation time greater than 50 min/cm.

#### 2.0 Installation Requirements

- 2.1. This Authorization is valid only for Delta Environmental Products Inc.
- 2.2. Only Delta Environmental Products Inc. manufacturer trained and authorized agents or employees shall install, maintain and service the area bed system.
- 2.3. The Area Bed System shall be installed as per the manufacturer's installation instructions.
- 2.4. The Service and Maintenance Agreement prescribed by Sentence 8.9.2.3.(2) of the Building Code requires that the persons authorized by the manufacturer to service and maintain Area Bed System and who have entered into the agreement with the person operating the treatment unit, and shall:
  - 2.4.1. conduct and record at least once during every twelve month period, an inspection and servicing as specified by the manufacturer of the Delta treatment unit, and provide a copy to the person operating the Area Bed System;
  - 2.4.2. provide a copy of the Delta Environmental Products operation and maintenance manual revised, to the person operating the Area Bed System and to the authority having jurisdiction at the time of the permit application;
  - 2.4.3. conduct sampling and testing in accordance with the requirements of Clauses 8.9.2.4.(1)(a) and (b) of the Building Code;
    - 2.4.3.1. once during the first 12 months after the Area Bed is put into use, and
    - 2.4.3.2. thereafter, once during every 48 month period after the previous sampling has been completed.

- 2.4.4. promptly submit the sampling test results to the person operating the Area Bed System.
- 2.5. Delta Environmental Products Inc. shall retain records of the sampling test results for each Area Bed System received pursuant to the terms and conditions set out in 2.4. above, for a period of 10 years and shall promptly forward copies of those records to a chief building official upon request.

#### 3.0 System Requirements

- 3.1. All pipe connections in the system (i.e. treatment units, accessory treatment units, tanks, pumps and filters) where incorporated, shall be flexible and watertight.
- 3.2. The Delta Environmental Products Inc. treatment units used in the system shall use the daily design flows as referenced in Table 3.2.1. "Daily Design Flow",

Table 3.2.1. Daily Design Flow

Treatment Unit Models	Flow Range measured in Litres	Minimum Pre-treatment Tank Size measured in Litres
DF 50	850 to 1900	1140
DF 60	1900 to 2300	1140
DF 75	2300 to 2900	1360
DF 100	2900 to 3800	1810
DF 150	3800 to 5700	3400
DF 150 x 2	5700 to 10 000	6800
Column 1	Column 2	Column 3

#### 4.0. Design

- 4.1. The Area Bed System treatment units shall be fitted with an a bottomless sand filter or a BK-2000 filter, except
  - 4.1.1. where the distribution of the effluent to the area bed is pressurized, GAG Sim/Tech 100 micron pressure filter, model number STF-100 and STF-100AZ, or a 100 micron Vortex filter shall be used.
- 4.2. An absorption system comprised of a stone layer overlying a sand layer and having a total minimum depth of 500 mm, and:

ł

Ĩ

- 4.2.1. the stone layer shall be a minimum depth of 200 mm, and
- 4.2.2. the sand layer shall be a minimum depth of 250 mm and have a percolation time of 6 to 10 min/cm.
- 4.3. The stone layer required by 4.2. above, shall have a minimum area as specified by the manufacturer but not less than:
  - 4.3.1. where the total daily design sanitary sewage flow does not exceed 3000 L, the area shall be such that the loading on the surface of the stone layer does not exceed 75 L/m<sup>2</sup> per day, or
  - 4.3.2. where the total daily design sanitary sewage flow exceeds 3000 L, the area shall be such that the loading on the surface of the stone layer does not exceed 50 L/m<sup>2</sup> per day.
- 4.4. The stone layer shall be rectangular in shape, with the long dimension parallel to the site contours.
- 4.5. The stone layer required by the terms and conditions set out in 4.2. above, shall be protected with a permeable geo-textile fabric in such a manner so as to prevent soil or leaching bed fill from entering the stone.
- 4.6. The bottom of the stone layer shall be at all points vertically separated at least 600 mm from the high ground water table, rock or soil with a T time of 6 or less, or greater than 50 min/cm; except:
  - 4.6.1. where the underlying soil has a T time of between 6 and 50 min/cm, the bottom of the stone layer at all points may be reduced to 450 mm to rock, high water table, and soil having a T time of 50 min/cm.
- 4.7. The effluent shall be evenly distributed over the stone layer to within 600 mm of the perimeter edge of the stone layer using distribution pipes in accordance with the Building Code Appendix A-8.7.5.3.(2); or other means that achieves even distribution to within 600 mm of the perimeter edge of the stone layer.
- 4.8. The sand layer shall have a minimum area that is the greater of;
  - 4.8.1. the area of the stone layer required by the terms and conditions set out in 4.4. above,
  - 4.8.2. where the sand layer is installed in soil having a T time of 15 min/cm or less, the loading rate at the base of the area bed, shall be calculated using the formula A = QT/850 (L/m<sup>2</sup>/day), or

- 4.8.3. where the sand layer is installed in or on soil having a T time of greater than 15 min/cm, that the sand layer be extended using imported leaching bed fill having a T time of not more than 15 min/cm, the construction of the extended sand layer, including the area bed and mantle shall:
  - 4.8.3.1. be of a depth of at least 250 mm,
  - 4.8.3.2. extend at least 15 m beyond the perimeter of the treatment unit, or distribution pipes if utilized, in any direction that the effluent entering the soil will move horizontally,
  - 4.8.3.3. be calculated using the formula A = QT/400 or by using the example calculations as they are provided, in Table 4.8.3.3. "Combined Area Bed and Mantle Loading Rates", and
  - 4.8.3.4. be rectangular in shape.

Where:

- A is the area of contact in m<sup>2</sup> between the base of the sand layer and the underlying native soil,
- Q is the total daily design sanitary sewage flow in litres, and is the perception time of the verded time and the second second
- is the percolation time of the underlying native soil in min/cm to a maximum of 50.

Table 4.8.3.3.

Combined Area Bed and Mantle Loading Rates Example Calculations

Loading Rate A = QT/400	
T of the native soil.	Loading rate (L/m <sup>2</sup> /day)
≤ 15	27
20	20
30	13
40	10
≥50	8
Column 1	Column 2

(c) the Applicant, or the material, system or building design that is the subject matter of this Authorization, has failed to comply with any of the terms and conditions set out in this Authorization; or

and a state

4

ł

į

- (d) any Building Code provision relevant to this Authorization has been amended or remade.
- 5. Where the BMEC receives additional information concerning the material, system or building design authorized herein, the BMEC may review this Authorization and the BMEC may after the review amend or revoke this Authorization as in the opinion of the BMEC may be necessary.

Dated at Toronto this 27 day of July 2006.

#### BUILDING MATERIALS EVALUATION COMMISSION

Edward Link, P. Eng. Vice-Chair, BMEC 4.9. Any Area Bed System that must be raised to meet the vertical separation distances required by the terms and conditions set out in 4.6. of this Authorization, shall meet the mantle requirements of the terms and conditions set out in 4.8., regardless of the T time of the native soil.

#### **B.General Conditions**

÷

- 1. The use of the Area Bed System and as described in the specific terms and conditions set out in 6.A. must comply with the *Building Code Act*, 1992 (the "Act") as amended or re-enacted from time to time and except as specifically authorized herein, with the Building Code.
- 2. A copy of this Authorization shall accompany each application for a building permit and shall be maintained on the site of the construction with the building permit.
- 3. The Applicant named in Part 1 hereof shall promptly notify the BMEC of:
  - (a) the failure of the Applicant, or of the material, system or building design that is the subject matter of this Authorization, to comply with any of the terms and conditions set out in 6.A. above; or
  - (b) the occurrence of any of the events described in conditions 6.B.4.(a) and (b)(ii) below.
- 4. The BMEC may amend or revoke this Authorization where it determines that:
  - (a) any change has been made to:
    - (i) the material, system or building design that is the subject matter of this Authorization;
    - (ii) the address of the applicant specified in Part 1 of this Authorization; or,
    - (iii) the ownership of the applicant specified in Part 1 of this Authorization.
  - (b) the use of the material, system or building design authorized herein;
    - does not comply with the Act any relevant legislation as they may be amended or re-enacted from time to time; or
    - (ii) provides an unsatisfactory level of performance, in situ.

# Approved as an alternative to a Class 4 System producing Tertiary Quality Effluent


### Over 100,000 systems installed! Approved in Canada, the USA, Mexico and Europe

The Enviro-Septic<sup>®</sup> System is easy to install, does not require a stone layer, does not require a mantle, does not require hydro if gravity flow is achieved, no moving parts, no media to replace, and now is priced similar to that of a conventional, pipe and stone system.

The system requires system sand which is readily available at most sand and gravel suppliers across Ontario. In some case System Sand is priced below filter sand or septic sand.

Looking for a cost effective and efficient system that produces tertiary quality effluent?

#### **BMEC Authorization & Design Information Available**



5574 Rockdale, On Our File Ref. 19-276

### APPENDIX "M" SLOPE STABILITY AND RETAINING WALL DESING

#### **GENERAL NOTES**

OTHER COMPONENTS, INCLUDING BACKFILL, DRAINAGE AND LANDSCAPING TO BE DESIGNED BY OTHERS. THE FOLLOWING RECON RETAINING WALL SYSTEM:

	φ	с	γ	SOIL TYPE
RETAINED SOIL (WALL 1)	32°	0 PSF	22 kN/m³	GRANULAR BACKFILL
FOUNDATION SOIL (BELOW LEVELING PAD	30°	0 PSF	18 kN/m³	ONSITE LEAN CLAY
LEVELING PAD	40°	0 PSF	21 kN/m <sup>3</sup>	CRUSHED STONE

<u> </u>	THE WREED FILLE DEDICITED		
		_	

	<b>RECON SERIES 50</b>
NT:	GRAVITY (WALL 1)
DD:	NCMA 2009 (3RD EDITION)
	12kPa (WALL 1)
	NONE
	NONE
	0.32G

WASHED, ANGULAR CRUSHED STONE.


THE MANUFACTURER INFORMATION SHALL ACCOMPANY THE CONSTRUCTION PLANS.

BEARING CAPACITY. THE FILL SHALL BE INSTALLED AS DIRECTED BY THE GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEER..

DETERMINED BY OSHA REGULATIONS AND MATCH FIELD CONDITIONS AS DETERMINED BY THE CONTRACTOR.

STANDARDS.

- RECON WALL UNITS HAVE A MINIMUM 28-DAY COMPRESSIVE STRENGTH OF 27.5 MPa. STANDARD WEIGHT







## **AESTHETICS & PERFORMANCE**

The look, size a durability of massive natural stone with the long-term performance of a fully engineered, structural wall





### **ReCon Retaining Wall Systems**

ReCon Retaining Wall Systems, Inc. is an industry leader in supplying aesthetically pleasing and structurally superior retaining wall solutions. ReCon focuses on providing value to its customer, including:

- Engineering and testing for tall gravity walls and taller geogrids walls.
- Solutions that accommodate wall needs rather than dictate them.
  - Durability (wet-cast, air-entrained).
  - Product shape and size choices that work.

### Let us bring value to your project.

#### **Features and Benefits:**

- Large Size and Mass
- Tall Gravity Walls
  - Unique tongue-and-groove lock-and-placement design, combined with massive size and weight, permits wall heights up to 20+ ft. (6m) without reinforcing geogrid. Eliminates the time and cost associated with excavation and soil replacement when reinforcing geogrid is required.
  - Significantly taller ReCon Walls can be built by incorporating geogrids, setback or tiers.
- Durability
  - Made of wet-cast, air-entrained concrete with a minimum psi of 4,000 (28 MPa). The durability required in environments prone to the challenges of freeze/thaw cycles, road salts or brackish water.
- Faster Installation
  - Walls can be constructed quickly using equipment generally available to contractors (skid steers or backhoes), maximizing productivity and minimizing manual labor. No mortar, no pins.



#### • Engineered and Tested

 A ReCon Wall can be professionally engineered and designed (using shear and geogrid connection data unique to ReCon) for wall performance that is generally unavailable for natural stone walls. ReCon walls also meet the ASTM C1776 specification for Wet-Cast Precast Modular Retaining Wall Units.

#### • Customized Design and Aesthetics

- The natural stone finish has several different textures, which prevents repetition in the overall wall pattern. Stains are readily available and easily applied in the field after installation to achieve a natural look that will last for years.
- Block comes in multiple depths, to optimize design efficiency by providing the mass when required or eliminating it when not required to save material and freight cost.
- Tapered block design allows both inside and outside 90degree corners or curves.
- Caps or special top units that allow greenscape within four inches of the finished wall's face are available for top-ofwall finishing options.



#### **Block Specifications**

- Block Face: 5.33 sq. ft. (0.5m<sup>2</sup>), or 48 in. x 16 in. (120 cm x 40 cm)
- Available Depths: 24", 39", 45", 60", 66", 72", 78", 84" (60, 100, 115, 150, 170, 185, 200 or 210 cm)
- Mass: 1,000 to 4100 pounds (450 kg to 1900 kg) per block.
- Concrete: Minimum of 4,000 psi (28MPa)
- Lifting Device: Lifting insert loop
- Turning Radius: Approximately 15 feet (4.5 m) (varies with wall height)
- Retaining Wall Batter: 3.6 degrees automatically built into the system. Can be adjusted to 7.2 degrees with the use of field-installed spacers. Can be adjusted from 9 to 26 degrees with the use of the ReCon Channel Block.

#### **Texture & Color Options**

ReCon block is available in a Weathered Edge Pattern. Natural stone finishes have several different textures, which prevents repetition in the overall wall pattern. Stains are readily available and easily applied in the field to achieve a natural look that will last for years.



#### **Full Block**

Lifting inset loop


Taper of block and unique curved tongue permit turning radius of about 15 ft. (4.5m)

Unique tongue-andgroove lock and placement for safe and secure walls

Natural-looking stone face available in multiple textures and can be stained to virtually any color

#### **Block Shapes**











FULL MIDDLE BLOCK



HALF BLOCK




FULL TOP BLOCK Top of block is recessed (starting behind the  $4^{\prime\prime}$  (11 cm) texture on top of block at the face). Permits planting of sod to within  $4^{\prime\prime}$  (11 cm) of front of the retaining wall.



FENCE BLOCK Double sided facing, tongue and groove on ends.



REVERSIBLE CORNER BLOCK 90° corners.



CAPSTONE Alternate top-of-wall treatment used in lieu of full top block.



#### **Engineering and Installation Guidelines**

#### **Design and Specification**

A ReCon wall requires a site-specific design and analysis prepared by a registered professional engineer. ReCon has a comprehensive set of tools to aid architects and engineers in the specification and design of a ReCon Wall.





Blocks being set in place with a backhoe and chain.

#### Installation Steps\*\*

- Excavate and prepare soil foundation.
- Prepare leveling pad: A level and compacted base is essential for proper wall installation.
- Install and level base course: Individual blocks are then set • in place using the lifting insert loop. The lifting insert loop is attached to a cable suspended from a backhoe or other lifting equipment.
- Drain tile •
- Drainage aggregate
- Install additional courses. •
- Place geogrids (if required). .
- Install additional courses. •
- Backfill and compact.
- Check compaction regularly.


For more product and installation information on the ReCon Wall system, please contact Boyd Bros Concrete or visit us on the web at www.reconwalls.com to find a supplier in your area.



5450 Cuddy Street Osgoode, ON KOA 2W0 Tel:613-826-2318 Fax: 613-826-3679 www.boydbrosconcrete.ca info@boydbrosconcrete.ca


#### **Typical Gravity Retaining Wall Section**

Great for "cut" walls. Utilize ReCon's Industry leading block depth choices to create an efficient design. Allows the designer to maximize the usable real estate at the base of the wall by eliminating geogrids. Allows the contractor to save time & money on excavation and compaction of soils.



#### **Typical Geogrid Retaining Wall Section**

Great for "fill" walls. Utilize ReCon's 24" deep block and allow the geogrids to "pick up the load."



\*\*The installation steps represent a basic outline for a ReCon Wall installation and are not meant to serve as a complete construction or installation guide. Every ReCon Wall must be designed by a registered professional engineer. Design and other industry professionals can view online or download a complete ReCon design and construction reference manual at www.reconwalls.com.

ReCon Block is produced and marketed pursuant to a license agreement with ReCon Wall Systems, Inc., 7600 West 27th St., #229, St. Louis Park, MN 55426. Patents issued: US 6,829,867 B2 and US 7,341,685 B2.





7600 West 27th Street, Suite 229 St. Louis Park, MN 55426 www.reconwalls.com Tel: +1 952-922-0027 Fax: +1 952-922-0028



2672 Highway 43, PO Box 184 Kemptville, Ontario, K0G 1J0 T:613.215.0605 • F:613.258.0605

May 7, 2021

File: 021311

Bergeron Construction 2010 287 St-Thomas Road Vars, Ontario K0A 3H0

Attention: Ms. Carole Bergeron

RE: SLOPE STABILITY ASSESSMENT PROPOSED UNDERGROUND PARKING RAMP RETAINING WALLS 12 UNIT APARTMENT BUILDING 5574 ROCKDALE ROAD, VARS CUMBERLAND WARD, CITY OF OTTAWA, ONTARIO

Dear Madams/Sirs:

This letter report provides the results of a slope stability assessment carried out for the proposed underground parking ramp retaining walls at the above noted proposed 12 unit apartment building site. The purpose of the assessment was to demonstrate that the proposed retaining walls at the site, extending up to a maximum of some 2.1 metres in height, will have a factor of safety against global slope instability/failure of at least 1.5 for static conditions and at least 1.1 for seismic conditions.

The above mentioned proposed retaining walls and the finished grades for the subject site are indicated on the grading plan drawing prepared by and provided to us by email by Blanchard and Letendre Engineering (BLE) and is titled "Site Grading Plan", for project "12 Unit Apartment Building 5574 Rockdale Road, Vars, On", drawing No. C200, revision 2 dated 24/08/20. That grading plan drawing should be read in conjunction with this report.



#### PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT AND SITE BACKGROUND

For discussion purposes Rockdale Road is considered to exist at the east side of the subject site (see Key Plan, Figure 1). The site consists of an irregular shaped parcel of land some 1.8 hectares in plan area located on the west side of Rockdale Road, Vars, in the City of Ottawa, Ontario. It is understood that plans are being prepared to construct a two storey, 12 unit apartment building with underground parking at the site. The above mentioned BLE grading plan drawing indicates proposed retaining walls on either side of the proposed driveway ramp that leads to the underground basement parking area within the proposed building and that the proposed retaining walls are aligned to follow the plan area shape of the driveway ramp. Based on the proposed finished grades and the retaining walls elevation is indicated to be 79.22 metres and the lowest proposed finished grade elevation at the bottom of the retaining walls is indicated to be 77.18 metres, resulting in a maximum retained height of 2.04 metres. The BLE grading plan drawing further indicates that the proposed finished grades back of the retaining walls is relatively flat and, in general, do not significantly increase in elevation relative to the proposed top of retaining wall elevations.

The proposed retaining walls design details shown on the BLE grading plan drawing indicate that the proposed retaining walls are to consist of manufactured precast concrete blocks.

For the purposes of this report and for a conservative approach, the maximum retained height of the proposed retaining walls is considered to be 2.1 metres.

The results of previous test pits put down by Morey Associates Ltd. at the site in close proximity to the proposed retaining walls indicate that the area of the proposed retaining walls is underlain by a layer of fine to medium sand with a trace to some silt followed by a deposit of silty sand glacial till. A review of several available MOE Water Well Records for wells in the area of the site, obtained from the province of Ontario map-based search website, indicates that between some 3 to 5 metres of overburden followed by shale and limestone bedrock was encountered by the well drillers.



#### PROPOSED RETAINING WALLS SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSES

Computer stability analyses were carried out for the above mentioned 2.1 metre high retaining walls using GeoStudio 2012 Slope/W software package produced by GEO-SLOPE International Ltd., in order to determine a factor of safety against global failure for the retaining walls. The slope section used in the analyses was chosen by Morey Associates Ltd. to represent the highest retaining wall (as described above). The retaining walls on both sides of the proposed driveway/ramp are indicated to have the same maximum height, therefore one section of retaining wall was analyzed and represents both of the retaining walls.

The soil and bedrock conditions used in the analyses were based on the above described subsurface information and the proposed finished grades/grade raises and structure locations indicated on the above mentioned BLE grading plan drawing. Based on our interpretation of the BLE grading plan drawing no surcharge loads are considered likely adjacent to the top of the highest portions of the proposed retaining walls, however for a conservative approach a live load surcharge (i.e.: vehicle load) back of the top of the retaining walls has been considered in the analyses.

The slope stability analyses parameters used for the retaining wall backfill material are:

Cohesion, c' = 0.5 kilopascals Internal Friction Angle,  $\phi$ ' = 32 degrees Unit Weight,  $\gamma$  = 22.0 kilonewtons per cubic metre

The slope stability analyses parameters used for the native sand material are:

Cohesion, c' = 0.5 kilopascals Internal Friction Angle,  $\phi$ ' = 30 degrees Unit Weight,  $\gamma$  = 18.0 kilonewtons per cubic metre

The slope stability analyses parameters used for the native glacial till material are:

Cohesion, c' = 0.5 kilopascals Internal Friction Angle,  $\phi$ ' = 35 degrees Unit Weight,  $\gamma$  = 20.5 kilonewtons per cubic metre

The slope stability analyses parameters used for the bedrock material are:

Cohesion, c' = 550 kilopascals Internal Friction Angle,  $\phi$ ' = 24 degrees Unit Weight,  $\gamma$  = 26.0 kilonewtons per cubic metre



The above parameters used in the analyses are based on experience with similar soil types in the Ottawa and surrounding area as well as information published by the Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR) and City of Ottawa relating to the subsurface conditions described above. It is pointed out that the above indicated bedrock parameters represent what is considered a very poor quality rock mass of disintegrated, poorly interlocked, heavily broken rock with a mixture of angular and rounded rock pieces. These bedrock parameters have been selected as a conservative approach. Further, for a conservative approach, the soil was assumed to be nearly fully saturated with the groundwater level within 0.1 to 0.3 metres from the ground surface.

Global stability analyses for the retaining walls were carried out for both static conditions and pseudo-static (seismic) conditions. A seismic coefficient of 0.16 was used in the pseudo-static analysis which is considered half of the peak ground acceleration for the Ottawa area and is the industry norm for pseudo-static stability analysis for the Ottawa and surrounding area.

For the purposes of assessing the results of the computer stability analyses for static conditions, a calculated factor of safety against global failure of 1 or less is considered to indicate the retaining walls to be unstable/failing; a factor of safety against global failure of 1.1 to 1.2 is considered to indicate the retaining walls to be unstable to bordering on failure; a factor of safety against global failure of 1.3 to 1.5 is considered to indicate the retaining walls to be less likely to fail in the long term and provides a degree of confidence against failure ranging from marginal to adequate should actual conditions vary from the assumed conditions and a factor of safety against global failure of greater than 1.5 is considered to indicate long term stability. For pseudo-static conditions a factor of safety against global failure of 1.1 is considered to indicate adequate retaining wall stability.

The results of the slope stability analyses (see Appendix A) indicate that the calculated factors of safety against global failure for the maximum 2.1 metre high retaining walls is 3.2 and 1.7 for static conditions and pseudo-static (seismic) conditions, respectively. The above factors of safety against global failure for static and seismic conditions are above of 1.5 and 1.1, respectively, and are considered to indicate adequate long term stability of the proposed retaining walls.



#### CONCLUSION

Based on the above calculated factors of safety against global instability/failure, it is considered that the above mentioned proposed maximum 2.1 metre high retaining walls are in no danger of a global instability/failure.

We trust the above information is sufficient for your present purposes. If you have any questions concerning this letter, please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Yours truly, Morey Associates Ltd.

D.G. Mo-

D. G. Morey, P.Eng. Director/Civil Engineer

Attachments:	Figure 1
	Appendix A

File: 021311








#### APPENDIX A

#### COMPUTER SLOPE STABILITY ANALYSES RESULTS STATIC AND PSEUDO-STATIC CONDITIONS





2672 HWY.43, PO BOX 184 KEMPTVILLE, ONTARIO K0G 1J0

30

T:613.215.0605 info@moreyassociates.com

