

FINAL Environmental Impact Study

20 Cedarow Court, Ottawa, Ontario

Prepared for:

Nautical Lands Group

700 Finley Ave., Unit 4 Ajax, ON L1S 3Z2

Attn: Angela Mariani Development Planner

September 29, 2021

Pinchin File: 247248.000



Issued to:
Contact:
Issued on:
Pinchin File:
Issuing Office:
Primary Pinchin
Contact:

Nautical Lands Group Angela Mariani September 29, 2021 247248.000 2470 Milltower Court, Mississauga, ON L5N 7W5 Rocky Yao, Senior Project Manager, 289-971-7821

Author:

Rocky Yao, M.Sc., CISEC, EP Senior Project Manager, Regional Practice Leader, Environmental Science 289-971-7821 ryao@pinchin.com

Reviewer:

Mario E. Buszynski, M.Sc. MCIP, RPP Senior Project Advisor, Environmental Science 416-254-6614 mbuszynski@pinchin.com



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRO	DUCTION			
2.0	NATU	RAL HERITAGE POLICY CONTEXT			
	2.1 2.2 2.3	Provincial Policy Statement			
3.0	METH	ODOLOGY OF BIOPHYSICAL INVENTORY			
	3.1 3.2	Background Review and Agency Consultation5Field Assessment63.2.1Vegetation Assessment3.2.2Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest and Provincially Significant Wetland73.2.33.2.3Significant Valleylands73.2.43.2.5Incidental Wildlife Observations			
4.0	RESU	LTS OF INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS			
	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6 4.7 4.8	Landform Features8Vegetation Survey94.2.1Vegetation Communities9Surface Water, Groundwater and Fish Habitat10Area of Natural and Scientific Interest and Provincially Significant Wetland11Significant Valleylands11Species at Risk12Incidental Wildlife Observations13Natural Heritage System and Ecological Connectivity			
5.0	PROP	OSED DEVELOPMENT			
	5.1 5.2	Impact Assessment (Direct and Indirect)145.1.1Direct Impacts145.1.2Indirect Impacts15Residual and Cumulative Effects Assessment16			
6.0	RECO	MMENDED MITIGATION AND ENHANCEMENT MEASURES 17			
7.0	CLOS	URE			
8.0	REFERENCES				
9.0	LIMIT	ATIONS			



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A	SITE FIGURES
APPENDIX B	CITY OF OTTAWA PLANNING MAPS
APPENDIX C	SELECTED SITE PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX D	VASCULAR PLANT LIST
APPENDIX E	SPECIES AT RISK SCREENING TABLE
APPENDIX F	PROPOSED SITE PLAN
APPENDIX G	RESTORATION PLANTING PLAN



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Pinchin Ltd. (Pinchin) was retained by Nautical Lands Group (Client) to complete an Environmental Impact Study (EIS) for the subject property located at 20 Cedarow Court, Ottawa, ON (Site) to support Phase 2 of the Wellings of Stittsville development. The purpose of the EIS is to evaluate the natural heritage features within 120 m of the Site (Study Area) with regard to potential impacts and recommended mitigation measures from the development of the Site. A map of the Site with the surrounding area is shown on Figure 1 in **Appendix A**.

The Site consists of undeveloped land with four different vegetation communities occurring directly on the Site, as shown on Figure 2 in **Appendix A**. The vegetation communities include a mixed meadow, a maple deciduous forest, a sumac shrub thicket and a residential roadway. A fifth vegetation community, a graminoid mineral marsh, occurs north of the site alongside Poole Creek, which is located approximately 25-50 m north of the Site. A detailed review and analysis on the vegetation communities and potential natural features on the Site is provided in Section 5.0 of this report. The Phase 1 of development construction has already occurred on the adjacent property east of the Site, and now the Client proposes to construct Phase 2 of the development, including the construction of four multi-storey, mixed use of commercial and residential buildings, as well as associated amenities.

In order to support the Site Plan Control application, this EIS was requested by the Client as a part of the Site Plan Approval (SPA) requirements for the proposed development. This Scoped EIS as required will be conducted in accordance with the City of Ottawa EIS Guidelines (2010) and the City of Ottawa Official Plan (2003). This Scoped EIS will also be completed in consistency with the provincial and regional policies including the Provincial Policy Statement (2020), Ontario Regulation 153/06 under the Conservation Authorities Act (1990), and Endangered Species Act (2007).

This EIS report was prepared to: identify natural heritage features present on or adjacent to the Site; characterize their ecological functions; evaluate the environmental effects of the development proposal that might reasonably be expected to have an impact on these features; and provide recommendations of mitigation measures to minimize and mitigate the potential direct and indirect impacts.

2.0 NATURAL HERITAGE POLICY CONTEXT

The following provincial, regional and municipal legislation and policies were reviewed prior to an evaluation of the natural heritage features and functions of the Site and adjacent area was undertaken:

- Provincial Policy Statement (2020);
- City of Ottawa Official Plan (2003); and
- Ontario Regulation 153/06 (1990)



The following sections provide a summary of the above legislation and policies applicable to potential development planning of the Study Area.

2.1 Provincial Policy Statement

The Provincial Policy Statement (2020) sets a policy foundation for regulating development and land use. It sets out guidelines for development while protecting resources of interest to the province, public health and safety and the quality of the natural environment. The PPS does support development and improved land use for planning, management and growth, but it does so in ways to enhance communities through efficient land use and environmental management and protection.

2.2 City of Ottawa Official Plan

The Site is designated as a General Urban Area on Schedule B of the Official Plan, as shown in **Appendix B.** This designation permits development of mixed housing, retail, service, entertainment and more. The proposed development is in line with this zoning, as it will provide residential apartments as well as spaces for businesses to sell their goods and services. Poole Creek is adjacent to the Site within the Study Area and is recognized as a part of the Natural Heritage System as seen in Schedules L2 and L3 of the Official Plan. It was determined during the Site visit that the extent of this Natural Heritage System did not extend onto the Site itself. Any new development proposed of lands adjacent to Poole Creek should meet all applicable Conservation Authority regulations (City of Ottawa, 2003).

2.3 Ontario Regulation 153/06

Pursuant to the *Regulation of Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses*, any development in or on areas defined in the regulation area (e.g. river or stream valleys, hazardous land, wetlands) requires permission from the Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority (MVCA) under the Ontario Regulation 170/06. The Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority may grant permission for development in or on these areas if the control of flooding, erosion, dynamic beaches, pollution or the conservation of land will not be affected by the development. The Regulation also states that all areas within the jurisdiction of the Authority are delineated on a series of maps under the title "Ontario Regulation 97/04: Regulation for Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses", which is discussed in detail in section 2.2 (MVCA, 2019).

3.0 METHODOLOGY OF BIOPHYSICAL INVENTORY

3.1 Background Review and Agency Consultation

A desktop background review of available information sources relating to the Study Area was conducted prior to a site reconnaissance.



Included in the review were natural heritage features present on the Site and in the surrounding area, historical species occurrences available from the Natural Heritage Information Centre (NHIC), existing wildlife data records, Species of Conservation Concern lists and other relevant information. Additionally, information and documents available from the Client including site history and Site plan were also reviewed for this Site. Applicable policies and guidelines including the City of Ottawa Official Plan (City of Ottawa, 2003). This document references the MNRF Natural Heritage Reference Manual (Ministry of Natural Resources, 2010) and the Provincial Policy Statement 2020 (Ministry of Housing and Municipal Affairs, 2020) which were reviewed for this report. This EIS report has been updated to reflect the review comments received from both the City of Ottawa and MVCA.

Natural heritage resources with the potential to be present on the Study Area were identified through the following information sources:

- An assessment of habitat through aerial photographs and online mapping:
 - Land Information Ontario (MNRF 2019a); and
 - o Google Earth.
- A review of historical occurrence records for Species of Conservation Concern within or adjacent to the Study Area:
 - Natural Heritage Information Centre (MNRF 2019b) 1 Km grid 18VR2714;
 - Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario (BSC 2019) 1 Km grid 18VR21;
 - Atlas of the Mammals of Ontario (Dobbyn 1994) 100 Km grid, zone VF18;
 - Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (ON 2019) 1Km grid 18VR21;
 - Ontario Butterfly Atlas (TEA 2019) 1Km grid 18VR21;
 - o DFO Aquatic Species at Risk Map (GOC, 2019);
 - Ontario Regulation 230/08 Species at Risk in Ontario List (COSSARO 2019a); and
 - Provincial and federal assessments, recovery strategies, and management plans.

3.2 Field Assessment

Pinchin conducted field surveys to characterize the natural heritage features present on the Site and in the surrounding landscape. A summary of methodologies for the field work component completed by Pinchin is provided below for reference.



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

3.2.1 Vegetation Assessment

Vegetation communities within the Study Area were assessed and described using the provincial Ecological Land Classification system. The *Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario: First Approximation and its Application* (Lee et al., 1998) was referenced to classify the habitats by ecosite. Ecosites classified within the Study Area were then applied to polygons mapped using aerial imagery.

The vegetation communities were sampled for their structure, species composition and habitat characteristics. This information was supplemented by floristic surveys at the time of visit. Species names generally follow the nomenclature of Flora Ontario (Newmaster and Ragupathy, 2012) and the NHIC.

3.2.2 Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest and Provincially Significant Wetland

Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI) are defined as areas of land and water containing natural landscapes or features that have been identified as having life science or earth science values related to protection, scientific study or education. The MNRF categorizes ANSIs as either provincially significant, regionally significant or locally significant based on five criteria: representation, condition, diversity, other ecological considerations and special features. Provincially significant ANSIs represent the "best examples" of their representative features and characteristics in the province as a whole; they have extra protection from the provincial Planning Act and Natural Heritage policies of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) compared with the other two categories (MNR, 2010).

Wetlands are defined as lands that are seasonally or permanently covered by shallow water as well as lands where the water table is close to the surface causing the formation of hydric soils and favouring the dominance of hydrophytic or water tolerant plants. Provincially Significant Wetlands (PSW) are wetlands that have been identified by the Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources as crossing a threshold score using the Ontario wetland Evaluation System (OWES) during their identification and evaluation This score takes into account a variety of factors including diversity of landforms, vegetation communities, plant and animal species and habitat as well we ecological functions such as water filtration and erosion control, as well as value for scientific research and public education (MNR, 2010).

3.2.3 Significant Valleylands

The Natural Heritage Reference Manual (OMNR, 2010) provides criteria for the identification and classification of valleylands. Some of these criteria include surface and ground water connections, landform prominence, distinctive geomorphic landforms, degree of naturalness, species and community diversity, unique species and communities, habitat value and linkage functions. Within the City of Ottawa, Significant Valleylands are defined as valleylands with slopes more than 15% and a length of more than 50 m, with water present for some period of the year, excluding man-made features (City of Ottawa, 2003). These Significant Valleylands act as corridors and linkages in the City of Ottawa's Natural Heritage System, as shown in **Appendix B**.



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

3.2.4 Species at Risk

The likelihood of occurrence for species at risk was assessed qualitatively based on the ability of the habitat to meet one or more life requisites for each species at risk identified during the desktop assessment. If habitat suitable for species at risk was identified, additional survey effort was applied in that area. If incidental species at risk were observed, they were recorded throughout the field assessment within and adjacent to the Site.

3.2.5 Incidental Wildlife Observations

Wildlife was surveyed as part of general wildlife surveys during the Site visits. These surveys involved general coverage recording all species observations and signs, including tracks / trails, scat, burrows, dens, browse, and vocalizations. The wildlife surveys took place during the coincident surveys for vegetation communities and vascular plants. Significant wildlife habitat was assessed according to the MNRF Natural Heritage Reference Manual and the MNRF Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide (MNRF 2000).

4.0 RESULTS OF INVENTORY AND ANALYSIS

A summer field assessment was conducted by a qualified biologist on August 20th, 2019 starting at 11:30am. The weather during the field assessment was sunny and 28 degrees Celsius. A map of the natural features present on and surrounding the Site is provided on Figure 3 on **Appendix A**. Selected Site photographs as described below are provided for reference in **Appendix C**.

4.1 Landform Features

The Site is bounded by Cedarow Court and residential developments to the west, Hazeldean Road to the south, industrial development to the east and Poole Creek to the north. The area surrounding the site consists of residential developments, businesses and other urban centre uses. The northern most area of the Site near Poole Creek lies within the regulation limit under the Authority of the MVCA. Just north of the property line also lies the floodplain. The Site consists of natural features with only the eastern edge containing development. The Ontario Geological Survey classifies the Site as being of Middle Ordovician origin (limestone, dolostone, shale, arkose and sandstone) (Ontario Geological Survey, 1991) and on swamps and bogs (Chapman and Putnam, 1984). The soils in the Study Area are classified by Agricultura Canada and the Ministry of Agriculture and Food as Mineral soils, of the Gleysolic Order (Soil landscapes of Canada ID 54500631) (OMAF, 1979). Soil samples taken at the time of visit indicated a clay loam soil, with wetland indicators (mottles and gley) being found within the Reed-canary Grass marsh described below. Gley occurs when the oxygen in the soil becomes depleted (due to water saturation) resulting in the iron being reduced taking on a blue-grey colouration.



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

This reduced iron is also mobile and can re-oxidize, producing reddish, yellow, or orange spotting, which is known as mottling. Both of these features are indicators of wetland presence due to the water table being close to the surface.

4.2 Vegetation Survey

The Study Area consists of five different vegetation communities with four within the Site and one just north of the Site. Ecological Land Classification (ELC) polygons for the Site are shown on Figure 3 in **Appendix A**. A total of 76 vascular plant species were identified on the Site from the vegetation survey. A full vascular plant species inventory as observed on the Site is catalogued in Table 1 in **Appendix D**.

4.2.1 Vegetation Communities

A review of historic aerial photography shows that the Site was cleared for agriculture as recently as 1980 (City of Ottawa, 2019). Since this, these former crop fields have become colonized with common and early-successional species. In total, five vegetation communities were identified on and north of the Site, including High Density Residential, Dry Fresh Manitoba Maple Deciduous Forest, Reed-canary Grass Graminoid Mineral Meadow Marsh, Dry Fresh Mixed Meadow, and Sumac Deciduous Shrub Thicket communities. These communities show a range from very early-successional (mixed meadow) to later early-successional (deciduous forest) and therefore have high species overlap. Each vegetation community is described in detail below, and a map of their locations is shown on Figure 3 in **Appendix A**.

Dry-Fresh Mixed Meadow (MEMM3): This community begins at the edge of Hazeldean Road to the south of the Site. This community consists of a generally disturbed meadow with species and composition typical of urban areas. The dominant species include Smooth Brome (*Bromus inermis*) and Late Goldenrod (*Solidago altissima*), with secondary species including Common Milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*), Lesser Burdock (*Arctium minus*) and Wild Parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa*). Wild Parsnip is a tall perennial wildflower from the carrot family (*Apiaceae*) but is an unwanted invasive plant in Ontario. The seeds of Wild Parsnip, containing chemicals called furanocoumarins as deterrence to animals from eating the plant, can cause a burn-like rash on skin if exposed to the sap and then sunlight. Within this community, Wild Parsnip is found in scattered clumps throughout with the highest density being close to Hazeldean Road. Care should be taken in and around these invasive plants. A soil sample taken from this community showed silty clay soils with no mottling of gley present. A second, smaller meadow of the same composition is located within the Manitoba Maple Forest described below as shown on Figure 3.

Sumac Deciduous Shrub Thicket (THDM1-2): This community occurs between Cedarow Court, the above mixed meadow and the Manitoba Maple Forest described below. Similar to the mixed meadow, this community contains common native and invasive species which are well adapted to urban areas.



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

The dominant species in this community are Staghorn Sumac (*Rhus typhina*) and Common Red Raspberry (*Rubus idaeus*). These shrubs are commonly found throughout southern and eastern Ontario, particularly within open areas due to the lack of shading from taller trees, as are found elsewhere on the Site. Typical understory species include Late Goldenrod and Smooth Brome. Wild Parsnip is also found within this community, with the highest density being found in and around the disturbed area near Cedarow Court. A soil sample taken within this community showed silty clay soils, with no mottling or gley found.

Dry-Fresh Manitoba Maple Deciduous Forest (FODM4-5): This community occurs along the northern edge of the Site and extends off onto the public property. This community is dominated by Manitoba Maple (*Acer negundo*) with White Ash (*Fraxinus americana*), Balsam Poplar (*Populus balsamifera*) and White Elm (*Ulmus Americana*) making up the rest of the canopy. Manitoba Maple is an invasive non-native species which is frequently found within urban areas. This species was not present pre-settlement but is now naturalized throughout eastern Ontario. The subcanopy is dominated by Staghorn Sumac, Manitoba Maple and White Ash. The ground cover layer is dominated by Late Goldenrod and Smooth Brome. There are a number of dead ash trees within this community, and some living trees which are displaying bark sloughing that is an early sign of Emerald Ash Borer infestation. Emerald Ash Borer is an invasive insect, originally from Asia, which was first discovered in Ontario in 2002, and have been a major issue in southern Ontario since their introduction (OFAH, 2012).

Reed-canary Grass Graminoid Mineral Meadow Marsh (MAMM1-3): This community occurs entirely off of the Site within the publicly owned lands. The marsh begins at the base of the bank and stretches across Poole Creek to the bank of the other side. This community is dominated by Reed-canary Grass (*Phalaris arundinacea*), with Spotted Jewelweed (*Impatiens capensis*), Spotted Joe-pye Weed (*Eutrochium maculatum*), and cattails (*Typha angustifolia* and *Typha X glauca*) forming the secondary species. A soil sample taken from this community showed loam soils with mottling seen at a depth of 20 cm and gley at a depth of 35 cm.

The final community, High Density Residential (**CVR_2**), consists of a roadway being used on the adjacent property as part of the first phase of development.

4.3 Surface Water, Groundwater and Fish Habitat

Results from the background review and field assessment indicate that no watercourses or fish habitat were present on the Site. The nearest watercourse is the Poole Creek located north of the Site approximately 40-50 m away. No Aquatic Species at Risk were identified in the background review for the Site. The floodplain has been identified as being north of the Site; however, a small portion of the Site falls under the regulation limit of the MVCA.



The stretch of Poole Creek within the Reed-canary Grass Graminoid Mineral Meadow Marsh community consists of a free-flowing meandering stream, with a primarily cobblestone substrate and with gravel and sand within the gaps (MVCA, 2009). The thermal regime of this creek is cool/cold water, indicating potential groundwater recharge areas at some sections of the watercourse. There is evidence of beaver activity adjacent to Poole Creek with stumps of felled trees and game trails visible within the grasses. These stones provide essential habitat for benthic species such as caddisflies, mayflies and stoneflies, which were all observed within the stream.

The rocky substrate also provides habitat for fish species with Creek Chub (*Semotilus atromaculatus*) and Bass (*Micropterus sp.*) being observed in the creek during field assessment. Although no record within 1 km of the Site was found on the DFO Aquatic Species at Risk mapping, the City noted that American Eel (*Anguilla rostrata*), *Threatened*, occurs in Poole Creek. At the time of visit, the wet width and bankfull width of the stream were approximately 2.5 m and 3.5 m, respectively. There is also extensive refuse dumping observed within the stream and adjacent riparian areas.

Groundwater was not studied for this report but included in a separate geotechnical investigation for groundwater for foundations of the proposed development. Seasonal variations in the water table should be expected, with higher levels occurring during wet weather conditions in the spring and fall and lower levels occurring during dry weather conditions.

4.4 Area of Natural and Scientific Interest and Provincially Significant Wetland

There are no Areas of Natural and Scientific Interest (ANSI) including life science and earth science ANSIs present on or adjacent to the Site.

Based on the NHIC mapping during desktop review, there are no evaluated wetlands including *Provincially Significant Wetlands* mapped on the Site or within the Study Area (i.e. 120 m from the Site). After completing the field assessment, one wetland community was found in the Study Area, but off of the Site. This wetland lies within the floodplain of Poole Creek just north of the Site boundary. The exact boundaries and significance of the wetland are not known at this time. It is noteworthy that the proposed development footprint is farther south from this wetland area.

4.5 Significant Valleylands

The stream valley of Poole Creek is considered Significant Valleylands due to the steep slopes (>15%) and extended length (>50 m) associated with the valley, the presence of Poole Creek and associated cold water fish habitat, vegetated valley slopes and a distinctive geomorphic landform. MVCA was previously consulted on the top of slope limits prior to the Phase 1 development. The top of stable slope was surveyed within the Study Area with MVCA and is shown within the Site Plan in Figure 4 in **Appendix A**. The limit of the Significant Valley should align with this top of stable slope limit as the top of the Significant Valley.



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

A minimum 15 m buffer for the top of stable slope is required from the MVCA. This protective buffer is indicated on Figure 4 in **Appendix A.** A minimum of 30 m buffer is required from the boundary of the Urban Natural Features unless it is demonstrated through an EIS that there will be no negative impacts to the feature. These buffers are all contained within the Poole Creek Regulation Limit which will not be encroached upon. This will be addressed further in sections 5.0 and 6.0 below.

4.6 Species at Risk

A total of 16 Species at Risk (SAR) were identified as having potential habitat on the Site as a result of the background review of the NHIC records and other available data sources for the Study Area surrounding the Site. Those species, their listing status, the last observed date, and the sources used to identify their presence in the area surrounding the Site are all summarized in Table 2. Species at Risk Screening in **Appendix E**.

Based on background review and field assessment, the majority of those SAR do not have suitable habitat on the Site. Species that have a potential to occur include Snapping Turtle (*Chelydra serpentina*), Blanding's Turtle (*Emydoidea blandingii*), American Eel, Bobolink (Dolichonyx oryzivorus), Eastern Meadowlark (*Sturnella magna*), Eastern Wood-pewee (*Contopus virens*) and Monarch Butterflies (*Danaus plexippus*). Butternut (*Juglans cinerea*) have been found previously on the Site and within the Study Area; however, no Butternut trees were observed on the Site during the most recent Site visit.

Potential SAR on the Site

Monarch Butterflies (*Special Concern*) can be found wherever milkweed and wildflowers exist and were observed on our Site during field surveys. There are also occasional milkweed and wildflowers present in the mineral meadow on the Site, however it is too small in size to be considered significant wildlife habitat.

Both Snapping Turtles (*Special Concern*) and Blanding's Turtles (*Threatened*) have the potential to utilize the Site as habitat due to the proximity to Poole Creek. It is likely that they could utilize the wetland area to the north of the Site in the Study Area as habitat and potential nesting areas. The wetland is small in size, but it is adjacent to Poole Creek which these reptile species likely utilize as a corridor for movement to other wetlands upstream and/or downstream.

As discussed earlier, American Eel (*Threatened*) has the potential to occur in Poole Creek off the Site within the Study Area. Although no record within 1 km of the Site was found on the DFO Aquatic Species at Risk mapping, this benthic fish SAR may utilize the substrate and bottom section of Poole Creek adjacent to the Site for protection and cover.



Eastern Meadowlark (*Threatened*) and Bobolink (*Threatened*) have the potential to utilize the Dry-Fresh Mixed Meadow on Site as habitat. The meadow is small in size and next to a busy road, so it is not the best quality habitat for these avian species. Given the surrounding urban landscapes, there is a chance these species would utilize this habitat over other areas due to large amount of developed areas. However, the noise pollution from being in a busy urban area could deter the birds from utilizing this space.

Eastern Wood-pewee (*Special Concern*) has the potential to utilize the Dry-Fresh Manitoba Maple Deciduous Forest as habitat. This species often utilizes edge habitats of mature deciduous forests. The forest on the Site is small in size but is near a watercourse and provides potential habitat to this avian species.

It is likely that due to the fragmented and urbanized landscape surrounding the Site that this species may choose to utilize this space. However, the noise pollution from being in a busy urban centre could deter the birds from utilizing this space.

None of the above Species at Risk or Species of Conservation Concern were observed on the Site or within the Study Area during the field surveys.

Previously Confirmed SAR on/adjacent to the Site

Butternuts, *Endangered*, are most often found on moist, well-drained soils and along streams. There were Butternut trees identified by the previous Arborist assessment conducted for both Phase 1 and 2 development areas. A permit to harm or remove those trees and their habitats has already been obtained by the Client from the province. The original Butternut inventory and transplanting plan was detailed in the Butternut transplanting plan (DALA, 2011), while the Butternut compensation planting and maintenance agreement was formed with the City of Ottawa (CMHC, 2011). Based on the Tree Conservation Report (TCR) for the Phase 2 development area and confirmation with the City planning staff for the Tree Conservation Report, there were no Butternut trees identified within the Site boundaries in the Phase 2 development area (Golder, 2020).

4.7 Incidental Wildlife Observations

Due to the anthropogenic influences experienced on the Site and surrounding areas there were limited number of wildlife observed during the field survey. The following wildlife species were observed on the Site and in the Study Area: Creek Chub (*Semotillus atromaculatus*, S5), White Tailed Deer (*Odocoileus virginianus*, S5), Banded Hairstreak (*Satyrium calanus*, S4), Yellow Garden Orbweaver (*Argiope aurantia*, S5), American Beaver (*Castor canadensis*, S5), Eastern Cottontail (*Sylvilagus floridanus*, S5) and Brownheaded Cowbird (*Molothrus ater*, S4B). All of these species are well adapted to urban environments, and none of these species are endangered, threatened or special concern in protection status.



4.8 Natural Heritage System and Ecological Connectivity

The Site is bounded by an under-construction residential apartment building to the east, an industrial/commercial development to the west, Poole Creek to the north, and Hazeldean Road to the south. The Site is in an area zoned as urban, with much of the surrounding landscape consisting of housing developments and businesses. The watercourse to the north of the Site within the Study Area flows through the urban area with a riparian zone established throughout its reach. Currently, there is a significant riparian zone separating our Site from the watercourse.

Due to the Site being surrounded by urban development, the watercourse north of the Site acts as a corridor for wildlife movement and dispersal. Poole Creek is well connected within the landscape and provides safe passage for species that need to avoid busy urban centres. Although the surrounding areas are mostly urban and residential, Poole Creek eventually leads to less disturbed and green spaces which these species can utilize.

The natural features on the Site do not appear to have a great amount of ecological value as a public area. The watercourse in the Study Area and its riparian zone should be maintained with an appropriate buffer to allow for wildlife to traverse freely as a movement corridor. The proposed development should be set back from these valuable features to maintain their ecological integrity. Efforts at ecological restoration including invasive species removal, planting of native species, and removal of dumping refuse could elevate the ecological value of the disturbed area.

5.0 PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

Currently, the Client has completed Phase 1 of the construction to the east of the Site, creating a residential apartment complex. Phase 2 is proposed for the Site, consisting of four mixed-use residential apartment buildings with business and retail fronts, underground parking and associated amenities. A Site Plan for the proposed development is included in **Appendix F** in this report.

As a result of the proposed construction of these structures and associated activities, direct and indirect impacts have been identified and assessed in detail below.

5.1 Impact Assessment (Direct and Indirect)

5.1.1 Direct Impacts

The proposed development will be entirely contained within the existing footprint of the Site. From an ecological perspective, the potential direct impacts from site construction on the natural features as a result of the proposed residential development on the Site include:

- The removal of trees and vegetation on the Site;
- Landscaping construction within the 15 m buffer from the Top of Stable Slope; and
- The displacement of wildlife on the Site.



Three vegetation communities on the Site, including Dry-Fresh Mixed Meadow, Sumac Deciduous Shrub Thicket, and Dry-Fresh Manitoba Maple Deciduous Forest, will be removed to accommodate the proposed development. A Tree Conservation Report submitted for the Phase 2 development area documented all trees to be retained or preserved with a minimum DBH of more than 10 cm as required by the City of Ottawa guidelines. The wetland to the north of the Site within the Study Area will be protected from the proposed development and associated construction activities. A setback from development from this wetland will be established from the wetland boundary. Poole Creek and the associated Significant Valleylands are adjacent to the Site to the northwest and provide connectivity for wildlife movement within the larger landscape; therefore, this watercourse and valleylands will also need to be adequately protected from construction activities.

Although Poole Creek and the associated Significant Valleylands will not be impacted by the development, landscaping construction is likely to occur within a portion of the 15 m buffer from the Top of Stable Slope, around the perimeter of the building. Sod and lawn will likely to be installed as well as other landscaping trees and shrubs. Care should be taken to ensure the installation of landscape materials that promote infiltration, as to not have any negative effects on the valleylands and other natural heritage features downslope. The impacts from this landscaping are expected to be temporary and minimal. Additional details on this area can be found within the separate Landscape Plan (Levstek, 2020).

A restoration area has been proposed in order to ecologically offset any impacts from the proposed Phase 2 development. Due to the heavily treed areas in the top of stable slope buffer zone, the restoration planting will focus on this less vegetated area below the top of stable slope limit. The size of the restoration area for planting trees and shrubs is approximately 0.109 hectare. The designated restoration area is shown in Figure 4 **Appendix A** and discussed in Section 6.0 below. A separate report, titled Geotechnical Investigation: Proposed Mixed-Use Development, prepared by Paterson Group, has shown that there will be no negative impacts to the hazard lands. This determination and mapping can be found in Figure 5 of **Appendix A**.

Existing wildlife that inhabit the Site within the footprint of construction will be displaced as a result of site alteration and construction. As many were observed incidentally on the Site, these wildlife are mostly common suburban species that could migrate to wooded and wetland areas away from the Site to continue their life processes.

5.1.2 Indirect Impacts

The potential indirect impacts to the natural heritage features (i.e. wetland, valleyland and watercourse within the Study Area) include the following:

• Effects on plants and wildlife at the northern portion of the Site and adjacent to the Site by construction noise, dust and vibration; and



Sedimentation of the wetland, valleyland and creek off the Site by construction activities.

The impact on the wetland and valleyland communities and their inhabitant plants and wildlife is limited to the species located within or directly adjacent to the Site, as a result of the contained development within the Site. Further, this indirect impact is not significant as construction will take place during the day and the species have adapted to traffic noise as well as human activities in the suburban area. A buffer between the wetland boundary and the proposed development will be established to ensure that the wetland does not incur significant damage. It is possible that invasive species spread may occur in the adjacent communities due to construction occurring close to the 15 m buffer, and landscaping occurring within the 15 m buffer. Sediment and erosion control measures will be installed to help aid in the spread of these species through sediment and water, as well as additional measures to be addressed in a separate construction monitoring and mitigation plan.

During the construction period, wildlife including birds and mammals that occasionally use the wetlands on the Site for foraging and breeding may be disrupted and are likely to abandon the disturbed portions due to indirect impacts of noise and vibration. It is likely that any species impacted will utilize the wildlife corridor that Poole Creek provides to move off of the Site. With the application of protective measures to the surrounding natural areas, the wetland ecosystem will continue to perform their landscape and ecological functions.

Stormwater runoff from site construction and alteration has potential impacts to the adjacent wetland, valleyland and creek by releasing sediment-laden water to these valuable natural features. The successful establishment of erosion and sediment control measures may act as a sufficient barrier to protect these adjacent features. A wildlife exclusion fence should also be installed to ensure that turtle species with the potential to be on the Site cannot enter into the construction area (MNRF, 2013). If installed properly, the erosion and sediment control fencing can act as a turtle exclusion fencing.

5.2 Residual and Cumulative Effects Assessment

Residual environmental effects are any permanent, non-mitigable change in an identified valued ecosystem component. As residual environmental effects on the natural environment cannot be completely addressed through mitigation, they are likely to persist following project completion. Residual effects may result in cumulative effects through the interaction between residual effects of the project and those associated with other identified project and/or activities. Due to local construction of the material retail units building within the Site surrounded by developed land, the residual effects from the Site construction are projected to be low significance in magnitude, geographic extent, duration and frequency.



September 29, 2021 Pinchin File: 247248 FINAL

With sufficient mitigation measures implemented prior to the construction activities, no cumulative impacts to the natural heritage features are anticipated as a result of the proposed residential construction. Recommendations and mitigation and enhancement measures for the potential direct and indirect impacts are detailed in Section 6.0 below.

6.0 RECOMMENDED MITIGATION AND ENHANCEMENT MEASURES

Based upon the above direct and indirect impact assessment according to the EIS guidelines provided by the City of Ottawa and the MVCA, there are identified direct impacts and minor indirect impacts on the natural environment, including woodland present on the Site and wetland and watercourse within the Study Area. The wetland to the north of the Site is currently unidentified, and therefore have no status. The wetland may form a part of a larger wetland complex along Poole Creek.

The Poole Creek as a cool/cold watercourse has its headwaters in the Upper Poole Creek Wetland Complex and drains north-easterly through the village of Stittsville into the Carp River. According to the City of Ottawa and MVCA's EIS Guidelines, proposed mitigation measures, including recommendations for timing windows or other specifications for implementation, for all potential negative impacts will need to be included in the EIS.

Furthermore, mitigation measures relating to the protection of setbacks and buffers during on-site works (such as fencing) must be implemented prior to the commencement of those works. Therefore, exclusion fencing to the sensitive natural features should be established and protected from the proposed apartment building development.

As avoidance is the most effective approach to mitigating environmental impacts, the proposed development will be set back from the wetland, valleyland and drainage features north of the Site. Within the exclusion zone established, no development activities including site grading and construction will take place on the Site. Landscaping may occur within the 15 m buffer from the Top of Stable Slope around the perimeter of the building. Additional details can be found within the Landscape Plan.

The natural heritage features described above provide a good ecological value for plant, fish and wildlife, protection of the wetland and watercourse from the proposed development is warranted to prevent soil erosion from occurring and sediment-laden water from entering these valuable natural features during site construction. The following recommendations are provided for the protection of the above key features prior to construction or site alteration.

Additionally, restoration and/or enhancement plans must be timely developed and effectively implemented on the Site to ensure that no negative impacts will occur to the woodland post construction.

Tree and vegetation removal:

• The extent of potential tree and vegetation removal within the Site is restricted to the construction footprint as necessary.



- The removal or preservation of trees should follow the Tree Conservation Report for the Phase 2 development area.
- To minimize or avoid impacts to breeding and nesting birds, the removal of tree and vegetation will be outside of the breeding period between April 15 and August 15.
- As Wild Parsnip was confirmed to be present within the mixed meadow (MEMM3) and shrub thicket (THDM1-2) communities. This is a toxic plant that should be handled carefully. The removal of any Wild Parsnip and other noxious plants should be conducted by a Professional Landscaper who is familiar with the species and with the procedures of invasive plant control and removal.
- Disturbed areas should be replanted with locally grown native plant species.

Erosion and sediment control and best management measures:

- An Erosion and Sediment Control (ESC) Plan with ecological protection measures will need to be developed for the construction on the Site. It is recommended that the site alteration and construction against this ESC Plan will be monitored regularly (i.e. weekly) by a qualified Environmental Monitor and overseen by a certified Inspector of Sediment and Erosion Control.
- Prior to construction and site alteration, adequate ESC measures including a sediment fencing should be established around the Site upgradient from the natural heritage features until the disturbed area is restored upon construction completion. Sufficient buffers to the adjacent natural features through protection zones will be established.
- Construction equipment will remain within the areas of active construction and will not cross the sediment control measures.
- If required, repair and maintenance of the installed ESC measures are conducted regularly with outcomes monitored by the qualified Environmental Monitor until construction completion.
- Disturbed areas should be stabilized and reseeded immediately post construction to prevent site erosion and/or sedimentation. The ESC measures should be removed at the completion of construction once the Site has stabilized.

Wildlife and Species at Risk encounter protocol:

 If wildlife are encountered during construction, work should cease immediately and allow the animal to naturally move out of the construction zone. If the animal does not leave the area for a prolonged period of time, please consult with a qualified biologist or Environmental Monitor for possible response or mitigation measures.



- If an animal is injured or deceased or if a Species at Risk is found on the Site, the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks will be contacted for guidance and handling.
- Wildlife exclusion fencing or equivalent for turtles should be installed along the property boundary to deter any species from entering the construction area due to the proximity of the Site to Poole Creek and the associated wetland.

Restoration and enhancement measures and monitoring:

- A Restoration Planting Plan for a planting area of approximately 0.109 hectare has been developed for the restoration and enhancement area near the Site within the Study Area, with planting materials and instructions detailed in **Appendix G**. This restoration will take place as compensation for the potential negative effects of construction and landscaping on the 15 m buffer.
- A restoration monitoring is required post restoration to validate the restoration and enhancement measures realized on the Site within one year of construction completion according to the location, species, quantity, specs, etc. for tree and shrub planting outlined in the Restoration Planting Plan.

With the above recommendations taken into account and diligently implemented on the Site, no additional adverse negative impacts to the ecological integrity of the Site and the Study Area will result from the proposed residential development.

7.0 CLOSURE

The enclosed Environmental Impact Study report has been prepared to assess the natural heritage features including the terrestrial and aquatic conditions on the Site and within the Study Area. The information contained herein as a result of the EIS regarding the proposed residential development is provided to the Client for the Application of a Site Plan Approval.

In the event that clarifications or further information is required by the Client and approval agencies, please do not hesitate to contact the primary Pinchin contact indicated in the contact page of this document.



8.0 **REFERENCES**

- Bird Studies Canada. 2018. Atlas Data Summary. Retrieved in October 2019 from Atlas of the Breeding Birds of Ontario: http://www.birdsontario.org/atlas/datasummaries.jsp?lang=en
- City of Ottawa. 2003. City of Ottawa Official Plan. Retrieved in August from https://ottawa.ca/en/city-hall/planning-and-development/official-plan-and-masterplans/official-plan/volume-1-official-plan
- City of Ottawa. 2012. City of Ottawa Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines. Retrieved in August from https://app06.ottawa.ca/calendar/ottawa/citycouncil/occ/2012/06-27/pec/04%20-%20Doc%201%20-%20EIS%20Guidelines_2012%5b1%5d.pdf
- City of Ottawa, 2019. City of Ottawa Aerial Imagery. Accessed in October 2019 from the geo Ottawa Portal at http://maps.ottawa.ca/geoOttawa/.
- Chapman, L. J., and D. F. Putnam. 1984. The Physiography of Southern Ontario. 3rd ed.
 Toronto: Ontario Geological Survey. Accessed in November 2019
- Dobbyn, J. (S.) 1994. Atlas of the Mammals of Ontario. Federation of Ontario Naturalists.
- Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. April 2014. Provincial Policy Statement Under the *Planning Act*.
- Golder. 2020. Tree Conservation Report. 20 Cedarow Court, Part Lot 27, Concession 12, Goulbourn Township, Carlton County, Ottawa. 18pp.
- Government of Canada. 2019. DFO Aquatic Species at Risk Map. Retrieved in October 2019 at https://www.dfo-mpo.gc.ca/species-especes/sara-lep/map-carte/index-eng.html
- Government of Canada. 2018. A to Z Species Index. Retrieved in October 2019 from Species At Risk Public Registry: http://sararegistry.gc.ca/sar/index/default_e.cfm
- iNaturalist.org. 2018. Retrieved in October 2019 from web application http://www.inaturalist.org
- Lee, H., Bakowsky, W., Riley, J., Bowles, J., Puddister, M., Uhlig, P., & McMurray, S.
 1998. Ecological Land Classification for Southern Ontario: First Approximation and its Application. North Bay: Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, Southcentral Science Section, Science Development and Transfer Branch.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2000. Significant Wildlife Habitat Technical Guide, 2000. Queen's printer for Ontario.



- Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority. 2019. Regulation Policies: Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alteration to Shorelines and Watercourses. Retrieved in October 2019 at http://mvc.on.ca/wp-content/uploads/2013/07/MVCA-Regulations-Policy-September-2019.pdf
- Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority, 2009. Poole Creek Macro Stream Assessment Report. Retrieved in November 2019 from http://www.mvc.on.ca/wpcontent/uploads/2013/05/Poole-Creek-Macro-Stream-Assessment-2009.pdf
- Ministry of Natural Resources. 2010. Natural Heritage Reference Manual for Natural Heritage Policies of the Provincial Policy Statement, 2005 Second Edition. Toronto, ON: Queen's Printer for Ontario.
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2019b. Make a Natural Heritage Area Map. Retrieved in October 2019 from Natural Heritage Information Centre Website: https://www.ontario.ca/page/natural-heritage-information-centre
- Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry. 2015. Significant Wildlife Habitat Crieteria Schedules for Ecoregion 6E. Queen's Printer for Ontario
- Newmaster, S.G. and S. Ragupathy. 2012. Flora Ontario Integrated Botanical Information System (FOIBIS), Phase I. University of Guelph, Canada. Accessed in August 2019 at http://www.uoguelph.ca/foibis/
- Ontario Federation of Anglers and Hunters. 2012. Emerald Ash Borer Fact Sheet. Retrieved in October 2019 from http://www.invadingspecies.com/emerald-ash-borer/
- Ontario Geological Survey. 1991. "Bedrock geology of Ontario, southern sheet; Map 2544." Toronto: Queen's Printer for Ontario. Accessed in November 2019.
- Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food. 1979. Soils, capability and land use in the Ottawa urban fringe. Accessed in October 2019 at http://sis.agr.gc.ca/cansis/publications/surveys/on/on47/index.html
- Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources, 2013. Species at Risk Branch Best Practices Technical Note: Reptile and Amphibian Exclusion Fencing Version 1.1. Retrieved in November 2019 from https://files.ontario.ca/environment-and-energy/species-atrisk/mnr_sar_tx_rptl_amp_fnc_en.pdf
- Ontario Insects. 2019. Ontario Butterfly Atlas. Toronto Entomologists Association. Accessed in October 2019 at http://www.ontarioinsects.org/draw_map.html?spIndex=-99&listItem=167.+Monarch%2C+Danaus+plexippus&area=squares&view=0Q0Q0&starti ng=1333&rgYear=2000&year=y&greenYear=2018&redYear=2004&gYear=2018&rYear= 2016&nObsHigh=999999&nObsLow=1



- Ontario Nature. 2015. Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas: a citizen science project to map the distribution of Ontario's reptiles and amphibians. Ontario Nature, Ontario. Accessed in October 2019 at: http://www.ontarionature.org/atlas
- Sullivan, B.L., C.L. Wood, M.J. Iliff, R.E. Bonney, D. Fink, and S. Kelling. 2009. eBird: a citizen-based bird observation network in the biological sciences. Biological Conservation 142: 2282-2292

9.0 LIMITATIONS

This EIS report work was performed subject to the Terms and Limitations presented or referenced in the proposal for this project. Information provided by Pinchin is intended for the Client to obtain a Site Plan Approval. Pinchin will not provide results or information to any third party unless disclosure by Pinchin is required by law. Any use by a third party of reports or documents authored by Pinchin or any reliance by a third party of or decisions made by a third party based on the findings described in said documents, is the sole responsibility of such third parties. Pinchin accepts no responsibility for damages suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions conducted. No other warranties are implied or expressed.

247248 UPDATED FINAL Environmental Impact Study 20 Cedarow Court Sttitsville ON Sept 29 2021.docx

APPENDIX A SITE FIGURES



		20 CEDAROW COURT, STITTSVILLE ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY	247248 DATE: SEPT. 2019	CEGEND Subject Property		
	CLIENT NAME:	NAUTICAL LANDS GROUP		SEPT. 2019		Service Layer Credits
	PROJECT LOCATIO	^{N:} 20 CEDAROW COURT, STITTSVILLE, ONTARIO	SCALE:	1:5,000	G	DigitalGlobe, GeoEye Geographics, CNES/ JSGS, AeroGRID, IG
	FIGURE NAME:	SITE LOCATION	FIGURE NO.	1		Community



FIGURE NAME:	STUDY AREA	FIGURE NO.	2	
PROJECT LOCATIO	N: 20 CEDAROW COURT, STITTSVILLE, ONTARIO	SCALE:	1:2,500	
CLIENT NAME:	NAUTICAL LANDS GROUP	DATE:	SEPT. 2019	Study Area
	20 CEDAROW COURT, STITTSVILLE ENVIRONMENTAL STUDY		247248	Approximate Site Boundary



PINCHIN	PROJ
	FIGU





APPENDIX B CITY OF OTTAWA PLANNING MAPS





APPENDIX C SELECTED SITE PHOTOGRAPHS

SELECTED SITE PHOTOGRAPHS



(all photos were taken during Site surveys on August 20th, 2019)

Photo 1 – Deciduous thicket from Cedarow Court, with Phase 1 development visible in background.



Photo 2 - Mixed meadow at the southern end of the Site



Photo 3 - Reed-canary Grass marsh to the north of the Site



Photo 4 – Mixed meadow with deciduous forest in the background



Photo 5 – View of the Site from Hazeldean Road.



Photo 6 – View of Poole Creek to the north of the Site, with the Reed-Canary Grass marsh on both riparian areas.
APPENDIX D VASCULAR PLANT LIST

Table 1: Vascular Plant Species

Scientific Name	Common Name	S-Rank	CC CC	CW
Acer freemanii	Freeman's Maple	SNA	6	-5
Acer negundo	Manitoba Maple	S5	0	0
Acer tartaricum ssp. Ginnala	Amur Maple	SNA		5
Alliaria petiolata	Garlic Mustard	SNA		0
Ambrosia artemisiifolia	Common Ragweed	S5	0	3
Arctium minus	Common Burdock	SNA		3
Artemisia vulgaris	Common Wormwood	SNA		5
Asclepias incarnata	Swamp Milkweed	S5	6	-5
Asclepias syriaca	Common Milkweed	S5	0	5
Bidens cernuus	Nodding Beggarticks	S5	2	-5
Bidens frondosa	Devil's Beggarticks	S5	3	-3
Bromus inermis	Smooth Brome	SNA		5
Butomus umbellatus	Flowering-rush	SNA		-5
Cichorium intybus	Chicory	SNA		5
Cirsium arvense	Canada Thistle	SNA		3
Cirsium vulgare	Bull Thistle	SNA		3
Cornus racemosa	Gray Dogwood	S5	2	0
Daucus carota	Queen Anne's Lace	SNA		5
Dryopteris carthusiana	Spinulose Wood Fern	S5	5	-3
Echinocystis lobata	Wild Mock-cucumber	S5	3	-3
Elymus repens	Creeping Wildrye	SNA		3
Equisetum arvense	Field Horsetail	S5	0	0
Erigeron annuus	Annual Fleabane	S5	0	3
Erigeron philadelphicus	Philadelphia Fleabane	S5	1	-3
Eutrochium maculatum	Spotted Joe Pye Weed	S5	3	-5
Frangula alnus	Glossy Buckthorn	SNA		0
Fraxinus americana	White Ash	S4	4	3
Glyceria striata	Fowl Mannagrass	S5	3	-5
Impatiens capensis	Spotted Jewelweed	S5	4	-3
Inula helenium	Elecampane	SNA		3
Leucanthemum vulgare	Oxeye Daisy	SNA		5
Linaria vulgaris	Butter-and-eggs	SNA		5
Lonicera tatarica	Tartarian Honeysuckle	SNA		0
Lotus corniculatus	Garden Bird's-foot Trefoil	SNA		3
Lycopus uniflorus	Northern Water-horehound	S5	5	-5
Lythrum salicaria	Purple Loosestrife	SNA		-5
Medicago lupulina	Black Medic	SNA		3
Melilotus albus	White Sweet-clover	SNA		3
Mentha canadensis	Canada Mint	S5	3	-3
Oxalis stricta	Upright Yellow Wood-sorrel	S5?	0	3
Panicum capillare	Common Panicgrass	S5	0	0
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia creeper	S4?	6	3
Pastinaca sativa	Wild Parsnip	SNA		5
Persicaria maculosa	Spotted Lady's-thumb	SNA		-3
Phalaris arundinacea	Reed Canary Grass	S5	0	-3

Phleum pratense	Common Timothy	SNA		3
Phragmites australis	Common Reed	S4	0	-3
Plantago major	Common Plantain	SNA		3
Poa palustris	Fowl Bluegrass	S5	5	-3
Populus deltoides	Eastern Cottonwood	S5	4	0
Potamogeton crispus	Curly-leaved Pondweed	SNA		-5
Rhamnus cathartica	Common Buckthorn	SNA		0
Rhus typhina	Staghorn Sumac	S5	1	3
Robinia pseudoacacia	Black Locust	SNA		3
Rubus allegheniensis	Allegheny Blackberry	S5	2	3
Rubus idaeus	Common Red Raspberry	S5	2	3
Rumex crispus	Curly Dock	SNA		0
Sagittaria latifolia	Broad-leaved Arrowhead	S5	4	-5
Salix discolor	Pussy Willow	S5	3	-3
Salix euxina	Crack Willow	SNA		0
Schoenoplectus tabernaemontani	Soft-stemmed Bulrush	S5	5	-5
Silene vulgaris	Bladder Campion	SNA		5
Solanum dulcamara	Bittersweet Nightshade	SNA		0
Solidago altissima	Tall Goldenrod	S5	1	3
Solidago rugosa	Rough-stemmed Goldenrod	S5	4	0
Stachys palustris	Marsh Hedge-nettle	SNA		-5
Taraxacum officinale	Common Dandelion	SNA		3
Trifolium pratense	Red Clover	SNA		3
Trifolium repens	White Clover	SNA		3
Tussilago farfara	Colt's-foot	SNA		3
Typha angustifolia	Narrow-leaved Cattail	SNA		-5
Typha X glauca	(Typha angustifolia X Typha latifolia)	SNA		-5
Ulmus americana	American Elm	S5	3	-3
Urtica dioica	Stinging Nettle	S5	2	0
Vicia cracca	Tufted Vetch	SNA		5
Vitis riparia	Riverbank Grape	S5	0	0

APPENDIX E SPECIES AT RISK SCREENING TABLE

Table 2. Species at Risk Screening for the Study Area

Table 2. Sp	ecies at Risk Scree	ening for the Study A	Area				Back	ground Infor	mation Source	5				
Туре	Common Name	Scientific Name	Srank	SARO Status	COSEWIC Status	Last Obs Date	NHIC Grid 18VR2714	Atlas of Ontario Mammals (Dobbyn 1994)	Atlas of the Breeding Bird of Ontario (Cadman 2009)	Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (ON 2018)	Ontario Butterfly Atlas (Macnaight on 2018)	Notes on Preferred Habitat ¹	Confirmed observation on Site	Suitable Habitat on Site
	Snapping Turtle	Chelydra serpentina	\$3	SC	sc	2018	•			•		Prefer shallow, slow-mmovnig waters with abundant vegetation, but can also live in deeper water habitats. During the nesting season June-July, they can be gound on gravelly or sandy areas on land.	NO	Yes, the Reed-canary Grass mineral meadow and the Mixed Meadow both provide potential nesting habitat for the species. Due to the proximity to the stream in the Study Area, this species could occur on the Site.
REPTILE	Blandings Turtle	Emydoidea blandingii	53	THR	THR	2019				•		Can be found in shallow water in large wetlands and shallow lakes with abundant water vegetation. During nesting season they can be found utilizing sandy and gravelly areas.	NO	Yes, the Reed-canary Grass mineral meadow and the Mixed Meadow both provide potential nesting habitat for the species. Due to the proximity to the stream in the Study Area, this species could occur on the Site.
	Bank Swallow	Riparia riparia	S4B	THR	THR	2004			•			Nest in burrows in natural nd human-made settings where there are vertical faces in silt and sand deposits. Many nests are on river banks, but can be found in sand and gravel pits.	No	No, there were no banks on the Site that were suitable for this species.
	Bobolink	Dolichonyx oryzivorus	S4B	THR	THR	2004			•			Can be found in tallgrass prairie, open meadows, hayfields, and dense grasses. They build their nests on the ground amongst the dense vegetation .	No	Yes, this species could utilize the Fresh Mixed Meadow on the Site has habitat.
BIRD	Eastern Meadowlark	Sturnella magna	S4B	THR	THR	2004			•			Breed primarily in moderately tall grasslands such as pastures, hayfields and weedy borders of croplands, roadsides and other open areas.	No	Yes, this species could utilize the Fresh Mixed Meadow on the Site has habitat.
	Barn Swallow	Hirundo rustica	S4B	THR	THR	2003			•			Nest along human-made structures such as open barns, under bridges and in culverts. Attracted to open structures to build their nests, including ledges. They prefer rough- cut wood structures as the mud nests adheres better.	No	No, there were no structures on the Site that this species could utilize as habitat.

							Back	ground Infor	mation Source	e				
Туре	Common Name	Scientific Name	Srank	SARO Status	COSEWIC Status	Last Obs Date	NHIC Grid 18VR2714	Atlas of Ontario Mammals (Dobbyn 1994)	Atlas of the Breeding Bird of Ontario (Cadman 2009)	Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (ON 2018)	Ontario Butterfly Atlas (Macnaight on 2018)	Notes on Preferred Habitat ¹	Confirmed observation on Site	Suitable Habitat on Site
	Eastern Wood-Pewee	Contopus virens	S4B	sc	SC	2005			•			Live in the mid-canopy layer of forest clearings and edges of deciduous and mixed forests. It is most abundandtly found in intermediate-age mature forest stands with little understory vegetation.	No	Yes, this species could utilize the Dry Fresh Manitoba Maple Deciduous Forest that is on the Site.
BIRD	Evening Grosbeak	Coccothraustes vespertinus	S4B	SC	SC	2005			•	wa W de		Generally found in open, mature mixed- wood forests dominated by ir species, White Spruce of Trembling Aspen. Is dependant on the Spruc Budworm and seed crops from boreal species.	No	No, the forest on the Site does not provide suitable habitat for this species preferences.
	Wood Thrush	Hylocichla mustelina	S4B	SC	THR	2004			•			Lives in mature deciduous and mixed forests, seeking moist stands of trees with well-developed undergrowth and tall trees for perching. They prefer large forests, but will also use smaller stands of trees, building their nests in saplings, trees or shrubs, usually of Sugar Maple or American Beech.	No	No, the forest on Site is not mature enough and does not provide suitable habitat for this species preferences.
	Little Brown Bat	Myotis lucifuga	S4	END	END	-		•				Roost in trees and buildings such as attics, abandoned builings and barns. Generally found in coniferous or deciduous forests along edge habitat, foraging in clearings near sources of water.	No	No, this species does not have suitable habitat on the Site.
MAMMAL	Eastern Small-footed Myotis	Myotis leibii	S2S3	END	END	-						Roost in a variety of habitats, including in or under rocks, in rock outcrops, in buildings, under bridges, or in caves, mines or hollow trees	No	No, this species does not have suitable habitat on the Site.
	Northern Myotis	Myptis septentrionalis	\$3	END	END	-						Roost under loose bark and in cavities of trees. Hibernate from October/November to March/April most often in caves or abandoned mines	No	No, this species does not have suitable habitat on the Site.

							Back	ground Infor	mation Sourc	e				
Туре	Common Name	Scientific Name	Srank	SARO Status	COSEWIC Status	Last Obs Date	NHIC Grid 18VR2714	Atlas of Ontario Mammals (Dobbyn 1994)	Atlas of the Breeding Bird of Ontario (Cadman 2009)	Ontario Reptile and Amphibian Atlas (ON 2018)	Ontario Butterfly Atlas (Macnaight on 2018)	Notes on Preferred Habitat ¹	Confirmed observation on Site	Suitable Habitat on Site
MAMMAL	Tri-coloured Bat	Pipistrellus subflavus	S3	END	END	-		•				Forms day roosts and maternity colonies in older forests but can also be found in barns or other structures. Forage over water along streams in the forest. Overwinter in caves from October-April.	No	No, this species does not have suitable habitat on the Site.
INSECT	Monarch	Danaus plexippus	S4B	SC	SC	2018					•	Caterpillars feed on milkweed plants and are confined to meadows and open areas where milkweed grows. Adults forage on a variety of wildflowers and milkweed.	No	Yes, there is milkweed and wildflowers growing on the Site that this species could utilize as habitat.
FISH	American Eel	Anguilla rostrata	S1?	END	THR	-						Broad diversity of habitats and can live in both fresh and salt water.	No	No, there is no habitat on the Site. There is habitat within the greater Study Area.
PLANT	Butternut	Juglans cinerea	52?	END	END	-						Grows alone or in small groups in deciduous forests. Prefers moist, well- drained soil and is often found along streams	Yes	Yes. Individuals were found. Further information can be found in Appendix B
SARO COSEWIC		Species at Risk Ontario (O. Reg. 230, Committee on the Status of Endang		ada	ł	·					NHIC Srank (Sub	national) Legend Critically imperiled, at very high risk of extirpation.		•
Definitions		committee on the status of cliuding		uuu							S2	Imperiled, at high risk of extirpation.		
Endangered (END)		Species facing imminent extirpation	or extinction								S3	Vulnerable, at moderate risk of extirpation.		
Threatened (THR)		Species likely to become endangere					ad throate				S4 S5	Apparently secure, at fairly low risk of extirpation. Secure, at low or no risk of extirpation.		
Special Concern (SC Extirpated (EXR)	1	Species that may become threatene Species which no longer exist in the				ctensuls and identifie	כע נווולמנג				B	Conservation status refers to breeding population.		
DD		Data defficient	2 2								N	Conservation status refers to non-breeding population.		
References														
1		Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR). 2000. Significant V	Wildlife Habitat Tech	nical Guide. Peterboro	ough: Queen's Printer	for Ontario.							
2		Government of Canada. 2018. Speci	es at Risk Act: COSE	WIC Assessments an	nd Status Reports. Acce	essed February 2019.	https://www.canada.ca/en/environmen	nt-climate-chang	ge/services/specie	es-risk-public-regi	istry/cosewic-asse	ssments-status-reports.html.		
3							cessed February 2019. http://sararegistr		x/default_e.cfm.					
4		Ministry of the Environment, Conser	vation and Parks. 2	018. Species at Risk i	in Ontario. Accessed Fe	ebruary 2019. https:/	/www.ontario.ca/page/species-risk-ont	ario#section-3.						

5 Butterflies of Ontario. 2019. Red-disked Alpine. Accessed February 2019. http://www.ontariobutterflies.ca/families/nymphalidae/red-disked-alpine. 6

Butterflies and Moths of North America. 2018. Red-disked Alpine. Accessed February 2019. https://www.butterfliesandmoths.org/sighting_details/1053970.

APPENDIX F PROPOSED SITE PLAN



PROJECT INFORMATION	PROJECT TEAM	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION: PART OF LOT 27, CONCESSION 12 GEOGRAPHICAL TOWNSHIP OF GOULBOURN	PROJECT NAME	WELLINGS OF STITTSVILLE PHASE 2-3 5731 HAZELDEAN ROAD STITTSVILLE, ON K2S 1T1
CITY OF OTTAWA PREPARED BY ANNIS, O'SULLIVAN, /OLLEBEKK LTD. FIELD WORK COMPLETED JANUARY	OWNER / APPLICANT	NAUTICAL LANDS GROUP 2962 CARP ROAD OTTAWA, ONTARIO K01 1L0
10, 2019 ZONING: AM9[474]	ARCHITECT	CHMIEL ARCHITECTS 109 BANK STREET, SUITE 200, OTTAWA, ONTARIO K1P 5N5
PHASE 2/3 RESIDENTIAL UNITS: 283 PHASE 4 RESIDENTIAL UNITS: 203	ENGINEERS	STANTEC (CIVIL) 1331 CLYDE AVENUE, SUITE 400 OTTAWA, ON K2C 3G4
SITE AREA: 23235.8 SQ.M		JAIN CONSULTANTS (M&E) 7405 E DANBRO CRESCENT MISSASSAUGA, ON L5N 6P8
		MCINTOSH-PERRY (STRUCTURAL) 115 WALGREEN ROAD CARP, ON K0A 1L0
	SURVEYOR	ANNIS O'SULLIVAN VOLLEBEKK LTD. 14 CONCOURSE GATE SUITE 500 NEPEAN, ON K2E 7S6
	LANDSCAPE	LEVSTEK CONSULTANTS 5871 HUGH CRESCENT OTTAWA, ONTARIO K0A 2W0



Phase 2/3 - Unit Count	1 BD	1 BD + D	2 BD	2 BD + D	Total
Ground Floor	24	-	2	-	26
Second Floor	44	-	12	2	58
Third Floor	44	-	12	2	58
0 Fourth Floor	46	-	10	2	58
Fifth Floor	48	-	8	2	58
Sixth Floor	12	4	10	0	26
Total:	218	4	54	8	284
		END			

Phase 4 - Unit Count	Studio	1 BD	1 BD + D	2 BD	2 BD + D	Total
Ground Floor	2	1	21	4	3	31
Second Floor	4	-	21	2	4	31
Third Floor	6	-	22	4	3	35
0 Fourth Floor	5	2	21	5	2	35
Fifth Floor	5	2	21	5	2	35
Sixth Floor	5	2	18	1	4	30
Total:	27	7	124	21	18	197



1 2	Descrip Issued for Coordination Issued for Coordination	tion Date 21-05-1 21-06-0	9
\bigvee			
PROJE	ECT NORTH		
6			
		MAY NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTIO UNTIL SIGNED BY THE ARCHITECT	N
	chmie	architect	S
		200 - 109 Bank Street Ottawa ON K1P 5N5 t (613) 234-3585 f (613) 234-6224	
W		F STITTSVILLI ASE 2	E
	ECT NO.	URT, STITTSVILLE, ON	
PROJE	19-1764	MA	
SCALE	E 1:200 ING TITLE	CHECKED RC	





APPENDIX G RESTORATION PLANTING PLAN



Common Name	Scientific Name	Planting Area	Form	Symbol	Quantity	Specs	Comments
American Beech	Fagus grandifolia	•	Tree	Fg	3	60mm WB	
Balsam Fir	Abies balsamea	•	Tree	Ab	2	60mm WB	
White Birch	Betula papyrifera	•	Tree	Вр	2	60mm WB	Betula alleghaniensis for substitute if necessary
Bitternut Hickory	Carya cordiformis	•	Tree	Cc	5	60mm WB	
Black Cherry	Prunus serotina	•	Tree	Ps	3	60mm WB	
Red Maple	Acer rubrum	•	Tree	Ar	7	60mm WB	Acer saccharum for substitue if necessary
Red Oak	Quercus rubra	•	Tree	Qr	3	60mm WB	Quercus macrocarpa for substitue if necessary
Tamarack	Larix laricina	•	Tree	U	3	60mm WB	
White Pine	Pinus strobus	•	Tree	Ps	6	150cm WB	
White Spruce	Picea glauca	•	Tree	Pg	4	150cm WB	
Red-osier Dogwood	Cornus sericea	•	Shrub	Cs	15	60cm 3gal	
Gray Dogwood	Cornus racemosa	•	Shrub	Cr	10	60cm 3gal	Cornus sericea for substitue if necessary
Black Elderberry	Sambucus canadensis	•	Shrub	Sc	8	60cm 3gal	Sambucus pubens for substitue if necessary
Bush-honeysuckle	Diervilla Ionicera	•	Shrub	DI	7	60cm 3gal	
Service Berry	Amelanchier arhorea	•	Shruh	Aa	12	60cm 3gal	

