


CITY OF OTTAWA

# 2262 BRAESIDE AVENUE TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT STRATEGY REPORT

OCTOBER 25, 2021

DRAFT





# 2262 BRAESIDE AVENUE TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT STRATEGY REPORT

CITY OF OTTAWA

DRAFT

PROJECT NO.: OUR REF. NO. 20M-01221-00  
DATE: OCTOBER 25, 2021

WSP  
SUITE 300  
2611 QUEENSVIEW DRIVE  
OTTAWA, ON, CANADA K2B 8K2

T: +1 613 829-2800  
F: +1 613 829-8299  
WSP.COM

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	SCREENING .....	1
2	SCOPING .....	2
2.1	Screening Form .....	2
2.2	Description of Proposed Development .....	2
2.3	Existing Conditions .....	3
2.3.1	Roadways and Pedestrian / Cycling Facilities .....	3
2.3.2	Intersections .....	4
2.3.3	Driveways .....	4
2.3.4	Transit Facilities .....	4
2.3.5	Area Traffic Management Measures .....	5
2.3.6	Peak Hour Travel Demands .....	5
2.3.7	Five-year Collision History .....	5
2.4	Planned Conditions .....	6
2.4.1	Changes to the Study Area Transportation Network .....	6
2.4.2	Other Study Area Developments .....	6
2.5	Study Area .....	6
2.6	Time Period .....	7
2.7	Horizon Years .....	7
2.8	Exemptions Review .....	7
3	FORECASTING .....	9
3.1	Development-Generated Travel Demand .....	9
3.1.1	Trip Generation .....	9
3.1.2	Trip Distribution .....	11
3.1.3	Trip Assignment .....	11
3.2	Background Network Traffic .....	12
3.3	Demand Rationalization .....	12
4	STRATEGY .....	14
4.1	Development Design .....	14
4.1.1	Design for sustainable modes .....	14

4.1.2	Circulation and Access .....	14
4.1.3	New Street Networks .....	14
<b>4.2</b>	<b>Parking .....</b>	<b>15</b>
4.2.1	Parking Supply .....	15
4.2.2	Spillover Parking .....	16
<b>4.3</b>	<b>Boundary Streets .....</b>	<b>17</b>
4.3.1	Alta Vista Drive .....	17
4.3.2	Braeside Avenue .....	18
<b>4.4</b>	<b>Access Intersection .....</b>	<b>19</b>
4.4.1	Location and Design of Access .....	19
4.4.2	INtersection Control .....	20
4.4.3	Intersection Design .....	21
<b>4.5</b>	<b>Transportation Demand Management.....</b>	<b>21</b>
4.5.1	Context for TDM .....	21
4.5.2	Need and Opportunity .....	21
4.5.3	TDM Program .....	22
<b>4.6</b>	<b>Neighbourhood Traffic Management .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4.7</b>	<b>Transit.....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4.8</b>	<b>Review of Network Concept.....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4.9</b>	<b>Intersection Design .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>4.10</b>	<b>Summary of Improvements Indicated and Modification Options.....</b>	<b>23</b>

## TABLES

TABLE 1-1. TRANSPORTATION IMPACT ASSESSMENT (TIA) SCREENING TRIGGERS .....	1
TABLE 2-1. EXISTING MODE SHARE – ALTA VISTA DISTRICT (LOW-RISE MULTIFAMILY HOUSING) .....	5
TABLE 2-2. FIVE YEAR COLLISION HISTORY SUMMARY .....	5
TABLE 2-3. EXEMPTIONS SUMMARY .....	7
TABLE 3-1. ESTIMATED TOTAL DEVELOPMENT- GENERATED PERSON-TRIPS (MULTI-UNIT LOW-RISE) .....	9

TABLE 3-2. DIRECTIONAL SPLITS (MULTI-UNIT LOW-RISE) .....	9
TABLE 3-3. EXISTING MODE SHARE.....	10
TABLE 3-4. FUTURE TRAVEL MODE SHARE TARGETS.....	10
TABLE 3-5. TRIPS GENERATED BY MODE .....	10
TABLE 3-6. TRIP DISTRIBUTION .....	11
TABLE 4-1. SWEPT PATH ASSESSMENT.....	14
TABLE 4-2. MINIMUM BY-LAW REQUIREMENTS FOR VEHICULAR PARKING AND PROPOSED PARKING SUPPLY .....	15
TABLE 4-3. MINIMUM BY-LAW REQUIREMENTS FOR BICYCLE PARKING AND PROPOSED PARKING SUPPLY .....	16
TABLE 4-4. SEGMENT MMLOS - ALTA VISTA DRIVE .....	17
TABLE 4-5. SEGMENT MMLOS - BRAESIDE AVENUE .....	18
TABLE 4-6. ACCESS INTERSECTION DESIGN ELEMENTS .....	19
TABLE 4-7. ALL-WAY STOP (MINOR ROAD) REQUIREMENTS.....	20

---

## FIGURES

FIGURE 2-1. AREA CONTEXT PLAN .....	2
FIGURE 2-2: BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN FACILITIES .....	3
FIGURE 2-3: OC TRANSPOR BUS ROUTES .....	4
FIGURE 2-4: STUDY AREA.....	6
FIGURE 3-1. VEHICLE TRIPS GENERATED BY DEVELOPMENT.....	12
FIGURE 4-1. ALTA VISTA DRIVE - EXISTING CROSS-SECTION .....	17
FIGURE 4-2. BRAESIDE AVENUE - EXISTING CROSS-SECTION.....	18
FIGURE 4-3. CITY OF OTTAWA, STANDARD DRAWING SC 7.1 CURB RETURN ENTRANCES, PRIVATE/UNSIGNALIZED (MARCH 2017).....	21

---

## APPENDICES

<b>A</b>	CIRCULATION COMMENT / RESPONSE
----------	--------------------------------



<b>B</b>	SCREENING FORM
<b>C</b>	DRAFT SITE PLAN
<b>D</b>	TRANS O-D SURVEY
<b>E</b>	DEVELOPMENT DESIGN CHECKLIST
<b>F</b>	AUTOTURN ANALYSIS
<b>G</b>	TDM CHECKLIST

# 1 SCREENING

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared to support the Site Plan Control Application and Zoning By-law Amendment for the development at 2262 Braeside in Ottawa. The TIA follows the City of Ottawa guidelines which potentially includes five steps:

- 1 Screening
- 2 Scoping
- 3 Forecasting
- 4 Analysis
- 5 TIA Report

The Screening Step determines the need to continue with a TIA Study. The development is assessed against three triggers: trip generation, location, and safety to identify the next step of the study. If one or more of the triggers is satisfied, the Scoping Step must be completed. If none of the triggers are satisfied, the TIA is deemed complete. If one or more triggers are satisfied, specific TIA components are required to be carried out depending on the combination of triggers (**Table 1-1**) that have been satisfied.

The proposed development at 2262 Braeside **satisfied the Location and Safety triggers** indicating that, as part of Steps Two through Five of the TIA process, the Design Review and Network Impact components should be completed. For reference, the completed Screening Form is provided in **Appendix B**.

**Table 1-1. Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) Screening Triggers**

Next Step of the TIA Process	TIA TRIGGERS SATISFIED		
	Trip Generation	Location	Safety
<i>Design Review and Network Impact</i>	No	Yes	Yes

## 2 SCOPING

### 2.1 SCREENING FORM

The completed Screening Form is provided in **Appendix B**.

### 2.2 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

This Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) has been prepared in support of the Zoning By-law Amendment and Site Plan Control Applications for the proposed development at 2262 Braeside Avenue in Ottawa, which represents a proposed extension to the existing Ellwood House retirement home at 2270 Braeside Avenue. The extension is located midblock on Braeside Avenue between Randall Avenue and Clontarf Avenue.

The property shares access points with St. Thomas The Apostle Church and Nursery School and Ottawa Fire Station 35. The property is currently zoned as a Minor Institutional Zone (I1A). **Figure 2-1** illustrates the Study Area Context.

The development information, as stated in the draft site plan attached as **Appendix C**, states that 38 new units will be built along with a net increase of 30 parking spaces in the shared parking lots with St. Thomas Church and School. As part of the Zoning By-law Amendment, parking requirements for the proposed development and other existing uses on the St. Thomas campus are proposed to be redistributed throughout reconfigured parking lots.

Two of the three existing site accesses will remain as the access/egress points to the proposed development. Both the accesses on Alta Vista Drive and Randall Avenue will remain, while the existing access on Braeside Avenue will be closed. Additionally, one new access is being proposed on Alta Vista Drive, along with a realignment of an existing access on Braeside Avenue. It should be noted that the access on Randall Avenue requires the vehicles to cross through Ottawa Fire Station 35's parking lot (not the fire access).

The facility will be built as a single phase with an estimated date of completion in 2022 and full occupancy in mid 2023.



**Figure 2-1. Area Context Plan**



---

## 2.3 EXISTING CONDITIONS

---

### 2.3.1 ROADWAYS AND PEDESTRIAN / CYCLING FACILITIES

The three existing roadways that the TIA will consider are Braeside Avenue, Alta Vista Drive, Randall Avenue.


None of these roads are designated as truck routes as identified on the Ottawa Urban Truck Routes map (March 2021) under the jurisdiction of the City of Ottawa. The road classification for City of Ottawa roadways are defined in the City of Ottawa Official Plan, 2013, Volume 1, Section 7, Annex 1 Road Classifications and Rights-of-Way.

**Braeside Avenue** is an urban local road that runs in a north-south alignment with a posted speed limit of 50 km/h. It has one traffic lane in each direction. The right-of-way adjacent to the proposed development is approximately 20 metres. Street parking is permitted on both sides of the road.

**Alta Vista Drive** is an urban major collector road that runs in a north-south alignment with a posted speed limit of 50 km/h. It has one traffic lane in each direction. The right-of-way adjacent to the driveway of the proposed development is approximately 30 metres. Street parking is prohibited on both sides of the road.

**Randall Avenue** is an urban local road that runs in an east-south alignment with a posted speed limit of 50 km/h. It has one traffic lane in each direction. The right-of-way adjacent to the driveway access to the proposed development is approximately 19 metres. Street parking is permitted on both sides of the road.

The existing pedestrian and cycling facilities providing direct connections to the proposed development are shown in **Figure 2-2**. Alta Vista Drive has an on-street cycling lane and 1.5m sidewalk on both sides of the road, while Randall Avenue possesses a 1.5m sidewalk on the north side of the road.



**Figure 2-2: Bicycle and Pedestrian Facilities**

---

### 2.3.2 INTERSECTIONS

The TIA will not consider any intersections in the study area given that the trip generation trigger was not met.

---

### 2.3.3 DRIVEWAYS

There are three existing accesses to Ellwood House.

- One access from Braeside Avenue just south of the existing Ellwood House.
- One access from Alta Vista Drive via the parking lot at St. Thomas The Apostle Church parking lot.
- One access from Randall Avenue via the parking lot for Ottawa Fire Station 35. However, it is not expected that any trips to and from 2262 Braeside Avenue will pass through this access.

Additionally, there is one access point to the former rectory at 2262 Braeside Avenue, but does not provide access to the parking lot at Ellwood House.

---

### 2.3.4 TRANSIT FACILITIES

OC Transpo does not provide transit service along Braeside Avenue. The nearest transit stops are on Alta Vista Drive servicing Route #44 which goes to or from Hurdman O-Train Station. Route 44 is a Local Route that operates seven days a week with a 15-minute frequency during peak hours.

There are two bus stops within 300 metres of the proposed development that all service Route #46 and are on Alta Vista Drive:

- Bus Stop #7180 is within 150 m from the proposed site
- Bus Stop #7179 is within 250 m from the proposed site

**Figure 2-3** highlights all OC Transpo bus routes on adjacent roadways in close proximity of the proposed development.



**Figure 2-3: OC Transpo Bus Routes**

---

### 2.3.5 AREA TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT MEASURES

The existing area traffic management measures identified adjacent to the proposed development site include:

- Boulevards between the sidewalk and road along Alta Vista Drive and Randall Avenue
  - Sidewalks are on both sides of Alta Vista Drive
  - Alta Vista Drive has curbside bike lanes on both sides of the road with painted lines to delineate from vehicular traffic
- 

### 2.3.6 PEAK HOUR TRAVEL DEMANDS

The TRANS Committee was established to co-ordinate transportation planning efforts among various planning agencies located within the National Capital Region. For this analysis, the existing mode share of the Alta Vista district for low-rise multifamily housing was taken as the existing mode share. A map of the district area is provided in **Appendix D**. The TRANS mode share for the Alta Vista Area is summarized in **Table 2-1**.

**Table 2-1. Existing Mode Share – Alta Vista District (Low-Rise Multifamily Housing)**

TRAVEL MODE	AM PEAK PERIOD (7:00 A.M. – 9:30 A.M.)	PM PEAK PERIOD (3:30 P.M. – 6:00 P.M.)
Auto-Driver	38%	38%
Auto-Passenger	15%	19%
Transit	35%	31%
Bicycle	1%	2%
Walk	10%	10%

*Source: 2021 TRANS Trip Generation Manual*

Reviewing the mode share for the new development, over half are expected to use their personal vehicles to commute as either a driver or passenger. During both AM and PM peak hour periods, auto-driver and auto-passenger modes account for 53% and 57% of the total vehicles that are travelling to and from Ellwood House. Additionally, transit mode share is expected to be over 30% for both peak periods.

---

### 2.3.7 FIVE-YEAR COLLISION HISTORY

Using the collision history from the City of Ottawa Open Data, WSP reviewed the number and types of collisions (January 1, 2015 through December 31, 2019) at the three existing access points to Ellwood House. More recent and detailed five-year collision data will be requested from the City in support of a more thorough collision review.

**Table 2-2** summarizes the five-year collision history on the boundary road.

**Table 2-2. Five Year Collision History Summary**

LOCATION	SUMMARY	TRENDS
Access Road: Alta Vista Drive and Access Point	Three collisions over the five-year period.	-
Access Road: Randall Avenue and Access Point	One collision over the five-year period.	-



---

## 2.4 PLANNED CONDITIONS

---

### 2.4.1 CHANGES TO THE STUDY AREA TRANSPORTATION NETWORK

Based on the City of Ottawa's Construction and Infrastructure projects, the only major project near the adjacent roads expected to this year is a buildings and facilities renewal at Ottawa Fire Station 35. Additionally, there are no proposed transit or active transportation improvements planned near the adjacent roads as part of the Transportation Master Plan (2013)

### 2.4.2 OTHER STUDY AREA DEVELOPMENTS

As indicated in the City of Ottawa's Development Application Search tool, there are no other developments near the study area that could influence it.

---

## 2.5 STUDY AREA

The limits for the Transportation Impact Assessment (TIA) study area are shown in **Figure 2-4**.



**Figure 2-4: Study Area**

---

## 2.6 TIME PERIOD

The time period identified for the traffic analysis are:

- Weekday Peak Hour: 3:15 p.m. to 4:15 p.m.

These are consistent with the peak hour identified in the turning movement counts that were collected at the Alta Vista Drive/Randall Avenue intersection on November 20, 2018.

---

## 2.7 HORIZON YEARS

The proposed facility is expected to be completed in one phase with a target build-out year of 2022 and full occupancy in mid 2023. In accordance with the TIA Guidelines, the following horizons will be considered for analysis

- 2023, which represents the anticipated buildout horizon,
- 2028, which represents the buildout year plus five years.

Recall that the trip generation trigger was not satisfied and thus no analysis of the adjacent intersection is proposed.

---

## 2.8 EXEMPTIONS REVIEW

Based on the review of the development and network conditions, the following elements shown in **Table 2-3** qualify for an exemption from this Transportation Impact Assessment.

**Table 2-3. Exemptions Summary**

MODULE	ELEMENT	EXEMPTIONS
<b>DESIGN REVIEW COMPONENT</b>		
4.1 Development Design	4.1.2 Circulation and Access	<b>Not Exempted</b> This element is only required for site plans.
	4.1.3 New Street Networks	<b>Exempted</b> This element is only required for plans of subdivision.
4.2 Parking	4.2.1 Parking Supply	<b>Not Exempted</b> This element is required for site plans.
	4.2.2 Spillover Parking	<b>Exempted</b> This element is only required for site plans where parking supply is 15% below unconstrained demand.
<b>NETWORK IMPACT COMPONENT</b>		
4.5 Transportation Demand Management	All Elements	<b>Not Exempted</b> This element was required for the residential component.
4.6 Neighborhood Traffic Management	4.6.1	<b>Exempted</b> Only required when the development relies on local or collector streets for access and total volumes exceed ATM capacity thresholds.
4.7 Transit		<b>Exempted</b> Required for site plans expected to have more than 60 employees on location at any given time.

4.8 Network Concept		<p><b>Exempted</b></p> <p>Required when proposed development generates more than 200 person-trips during the peak hour in excess of the equivalent volume permitted by establishing zoning.</p>
---------------------	--	---

DRAFT

## 3 FORECASTING

### 3.1 DEVELOPMENT-GENERATED TRAVEL DEMAND

#### 3.1.1 TRIP GENERATION

**Select Base Trip Generation.** The 2020 TRANS Trip Generation Manual was used to determine the base trip generation rate for a *Multi-Unit Low-Rise*:

- AM Base Rate: 1.35 person trips per unit (peak period)
- PM Base Rate: 1.58 person trips per unit (peak period)

**Estimated Total Development-Generated Person-Trips.** In accordance with the City of Ottawa's Transportation Impact Assessment Guidelines (2017), peak period conversion factors, from the TRANS Trip Generation Manual, were applied to both rates to establish the trip rates for the peak hour.

Ellwood House and the proposed extension is intended to be a safe and affordable permanent home to independent seniors. The TRANS Trip Generation Manual specifically mentions age as an influencing factor on the number of trips generated during a peak hour and a 20% reduction factor was applied to the estimated person trips during each peak hour. The total development-generated person-trips are shown in **Table 3-1**.

**Table 3-1. Estimated Total Development-Generated Person-Trips (Multi-Unit Low-Rise)**

PEAK HOUR	UNITS	PEAK PERIOD PERSON TRIP RATE	PEAK PERIOD CONVERSION FACTOR	PEAK HOUR PERSON TRIP RATE	TOTAL PERSON TRIPS	REDUCED PERSON TRIPS
AM	38	1.35	0.50	0.68	26	21
PM		1.58	0.44	0.70	27	22

With the residential directional splits from the TRANS Trip Generation Manual, the directional distribution of vehicles entering and exiting was determined and is shown in the following table.

**Table 3-2. Directional Splits (Multi-Unit Low-Rise)**

PEAK HOUR	PERSON TRIPS	% ENTER	% EXIT	ENTER	EXIT
AM	21	30%	70%	6	15
PM	22	56%	44%	12	10

**Identify Existing Mode Share.** The existing peak hour travel demand was identified from the most recent TRANS Origin-Destination Survey and presented in **Section 2.3.6**. The existing mode share is based on those values and is shown in the following table.

**Table 3-3. Existing Mode Share**

PEAK PERIOD	AUTO DRIVER	AUTO PASSENGER	TRANSIT	BICYCLE	WALK
AM	38%	15%	35%	1%	10%
PM	38%	19%	31%	2%	10%

**Future Mode Share Targets.** The future mode share targets are provided in **Table 3-4**.

**Table 3-4. Future Travel Mode Share Targets**

TRAVEL MODE	COMMUTER TARGET MODE SHARE	RATIONALE
Auto Driver	38%	Future mode share targets are anticipated to be close to existing conditions based on the nature of the development
Auto Passenger	17%	
Transit	33%	
Bicycle	2%	
Walk	10%	

**Projected Development Trips by Mode.** Based on the future mode share targets. The number of persons trips to and from the proposed development at 2262 Braeside Avenue are shown in **Table 3-5**.

**Table 3-5. Trips Generated by Mode**

MODE	TRIPS GENERATED	
	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
Auto Driver	8	8
Auto Passenger	3	4
Transit	7	7
Bicycle	0	0
Walk	2	2

**Trip Reduction Factors.** As mentioned earlier, a 20% reduction factor was applied to account for the average age of residents at Ellwood House. No other trip reduction factors were considered for this development based on the existing operations at the Ellwood House.



---

### 3.1.2 TRIP DISTRIBUTION

Currently, there are three accesses to Ellwood House, but as mentioned in **Section 2.3.3**, it is not expected that any trips to and from 2262 Braeside Avenue will pass through the access on Randall Avenue that crosses through the parking lot for Ottawa Fire Station 35. The Site Plan (**Appendix C**) indicates two accesses along Alta Vista Drive and one on Braeside Avenue. The trip distribution by cardinal direction for the proposed development is presented as follows:

**Table 3-6. Trip Distribution**

DIRECTION	CARDINAL DIRECTION SPLIT (%)	
	AM Peak Hour	PM Peak Hour
North	50%	50%
South	50%	50%

---

### 3.1.3 TRIP ASSIGNMENT

Trips were assigned to the adjacent transportation network and have been based upon a good understanding of existing travel patterns and the location of the parking spaces assigned to residents of Ellwood House. Since the Braeside Avenue access point is the closest to the parking spaces, it is anticipated that most vehicle trips to and from Ellwood House will pass through that access point. The vehicle trips for both AM and PM peak hour were assigned as follows:

- Alta Vista Drive (Northernmost Access Point): 12.5%
- Alta Vista Drive (Southernmost Access Point): 12.5%
- Braeside Avenue: 75%

The overall development-generated demand at the access points is shown in **Figure 3-1**.




Figure 3-1. Vehicle Trips Generated by Development

## 3.2 BACKGROUND NETWORK TRAFFIC

Since the Trip Generation Trigger was not satisfied and the Network Analysis component of this TIA study is exempted, background network traffic considerations have not been reviewed.

## 3.3 DEMAND RATIONALIZATION

Since the Trip Generation Trigger was not satisfied and the Network Analysis component of this TIA study is exempted, the future capacity issues at nearby intersections is studied is not considered in detail. However, a cursory review of the impact of this development to traffic operations on Alta Vista Drive was completed.

As part of the 2008 Transportation Master Plan, the City of Ottawa conducted a Road Infrastructure Needs Study. Within that analysis the assumed capacity at the Smyth/Hydro Screenline and Alta Vista Drive is 600 veh/hour per lane per direction. Given the proximity to the Alta Vista/Randall intersection and the similar cross-section on Alta Vista Drive, it can be assumed that the capacity is similar.

A traffic count from November 2018 indicated the following through volumes on Alta Vista Drive, north of the Alta Vista/ Randall intersection:

- AM Peak Hour (Northbound): 506
- AM Peak Hour (Southbound): 416
- PM Peak Hour (Northbound): 454
- PM Peak Hour (Southbound): 602

From the 2018 traffic counts, the southbound PM peak hour volumes are already exceeding the assumed lane capacity of 600 veh/h. However, as shown in **Section 3.1.3**, most vehicle trips generated are expected to enter and exit via the Braeside Avenue access with only one or two vehicles expected to use the Alta Vista Drive accesses.

DRAFT

## 4 STRATEGY

### 4.1 DEVELOPMENT DESIGN

#### 4.1.1 DESIGN FOR SUSTAINABLE MODES

The TDM-supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist includes two checklists, one for non-residential developments, and one for residential developments. The residential development checklist was completed to assess the opportunity to implement facilities that are supportive of sustainable modes. The completed checklist is attached as **Appendix E**.

Sustainable modes include cycling, walking and transit. As indicated in the checklist and shown on the proposed site plan, the proposed development accommodates cycling by providing the necessary bicycle parking and walking by providing safe and direct pedestrian access from Braeside Avenue and the internal parking lot.

#### 4.1.2 CIRCULATION AND ACCESS

The proposed site plan (**Appendix C**) provides a continuous drive movement between the three access points within the shared parking lot for maintenance vehicles that require reverse maneuvering. The site circulation was assessed using AutoTURN 11.0 to confirm suitability of the layout for a variety of design vehicles. The results are provided in the following table and the AutoTURN swept paths are provided in **Appendix F**.

The swept path assessment indicates that the heavy single unit design vehicles will have difficulty turning into the new proposed access on Alta Vista Drive. It is recommended that this entrance be signed as a No Truck entrance with larger vehicles circulating through the site using the existing south entrance on Alta Vista Drive and the realigned north entrance on Braeside Avenue.

**Table 4-1. Swept Path Assessment**

DESIGN VEHICLE	VEHICLE REPRESENTING	FINDINGS
HSU (TAC 2017)	Municipal Services/ Waste Removal	<p><b>Circulation:</b> HSU vehicles are able to enter through the Braeside Avenue access point and exit via the existing Alta Vista Drive access.</p> <p><b>Access to Loading Dock:</b> HSU vehicle can approach area but is not capable of fully backing into the location where the location of the potential future garbage room extension. This is unchanged from existing conditions.</p>

#### 4.1.3 NEW STREET NETWORKS

This section was exempted in the Transportation Impact Assessment Scoping Report submitted on October 13, 2021 and approved by the City of Ottawa on October 13, 2021. The approved exemption table is found in **Section 2.8**.

## 4.2 PARKING

### 4.2.1 PARKING SUPPLY

The proposed development parking requirements, based on its location, will be assessed in accordance with the Outer Urban/Inner Suburban Area (Area 'B') for minimum parking requirements as part of Schedule 1A to the City of Ottawa's Zoning By-Law 2008-250.

The minimum parking supply requirements for this development compared with the proposed parking supply are highlighted in **Table 4-2** and **Table 4-3**. Note that since the parking lot for all users of St. Thomas Church & School, Braeside House, and Ellwood House is shared, the parking spaces have been redistributed to meet the By-Law requirements.

**Table 4-2. Minimum By-Law Requirements for Vehicular Parking and Proposed Parking Supply**

TYPE	REQUIRED	CALCULATION	SITE PLAN	COMPLIANCE WITH BY-LAW
Vehicle – St. Thomas Church	103	10 spaces * 100m <sup>2</sup> of gross floor area of assembly areas (1,027 m <sup>2</sup> )	103	Meets the minimum requirements of the Zoning By-Law
Vehicle – St. Thomas Nursery	6	2 spaces * 100m <sup>2</sup> of gross floor area (284.7 m <sup>2</sup> )	6	Meets the minimum requirements of the Zoning By-Law
Vehicle – Braeside House	3	1 space * 100m <sup>2</sup> of gross floor area (342.6 m <sup>2</sup> )	7	Exceeds the minimum requirements of the Zoning By-Law
Vehicle – Ellwood House	8	0.25 spaces per dwelling units (30 dwelling units)	18	Meets the minimum requirements of the Zoning By-Law
Vehicle – Ellwood House Extension	10	0.25 spaces per dwelling units (38 dwelling units)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>130</b>		<b>134</b>	

**Table 4-3. Minimum By-Law Requirements for Bicycle Parking and Proposed Parking Supply**

TYPE	REQUIRED	CALCULATION	SITE PLAN	COMPLIANCE WITH BY-LAW
<b>Bicycle – St. Thomas Nursery</b>	1	1 spaces * 250m <sup>2</sup> of gross floor area (284.7 m <sup>2</sup> )	21	Meets the minimum requirements of the Zoning By-Law
<b>Bicycle – Braeside House</b>	2	0.25 space per dwelling unit (8 dwelling units)		
<b>Bicycle – Ellwood House</b>	8	0.25 spaces per dwelling units (30 dwelling units)		
<b>Bicycle – Ellwood House Extension</b>	10	0.25 spaces per dwelling units (38 dwelling units)		
<b>Total</b>	<b>21</b>		<b>21</b>	


#### 4.2.2 SPILLOVER PARKING

This section was exempted in the Transportation Impact Assessment Scoping Report submitted on October 13, 2021 and approved by the City of Ottawa on October 13, 2021. The approved exemption table is found in **Section 2.8**.

## 4.3 BOUNDARY STREETS

### 4.3.1 ALTA VISTA DRIVE

Alta Vista Drive is a major collector road with an existing 30-metre protected right-of-way in the study area adjacent to the proposed development site. The existing cross-section (**Figure 4-1**) includes a paved area approximately 11m wide with sidewalks in each direction with no median. The right-of-way allocation exceeds the minimum width requirement of 22m for a neighborhood collector street as per the City of Ottawa's Designing Neighborhood Collector Streets (2019) document.



**Figure 4-1. Alta Vista Drive - Existing Cross-Section**

#### 4.3.1.1 MOBILITY

The segment of Alta Vista Drive within the study area is identified as a General Urban Area in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (2013), Schedule B (Urban Policy Plan). The development is not proposing any changes to the boundary street. The resulting MMLOS targets and scores are indicated in **Table 4-4**.

**Table 4-4. Segment MMLOS - Alta Vista Drive**

	PLOS	BLOS	TLOS	TKLOS	VLOS
Target	C	C	D	-	VLOS Not Reported for Segments
Status Quo	D	C	D	-	


The PLOS 'C' is not achieved, in part, because the widths of the sidewalks are narrow. If the sidewalks were widened to 1.8m, a PLOS 'C' could be attained.

#### 4.3.1.2 SAFETY

Historical crash records for the study area were obtained from the City of Ottawa for the five years between January 2015 and December 2019. The TIA Guidelines indicate that patterns with six or more crashes should be identified. In this timeframe, there were three collisions along the roadway segment on Alta Vista Drive, and thus do not meet the TIA Guideline criteria.

### 4.3.2 BRAESIDE AVENUE

Braeside Avenue is a local road with an existing 20-metre protected right-of-way in the study area adjacent to the proposed development site. The existing cross-section (**Figure 4-2**) includes a paved area approximately 8m with no sidewalks or bicycle lanes. The right-of-way allocation does not meet the minimum width requirement of 22m for a neighborhood collector street as per the City of Ottawa's Designing Neighborhood Collector Streets (2019) document.



**Figure 4-2. Braeside Avenue - Existing Cross-Section**

#### 4.3.2.1 MOBILITY

The segment of Braeside Avenue within the study area is identified as a General Urban Area in the City of Ottawa's Official Plan (2013), Schedule B (Urban Policy Plan). The development is not proposing any changes to the boundary street. The resulting MMLOS targets and scores are indicated in **Table 4-5**.

**Table 4-5. Segment MMLOS - Braeside Avenue**

	PLOS	BLOS	TLOS	TKLOS	VLOS
Target	C	D	-	-	VLOS Not Reported for Segments
Status Quo	F	D	-	-	

The PLOS 'C' is not achieved, in part, because there are no sidewalks. If the sidewalks 2m sidewalks were implemented, PLOS 'C' could be attained.

#### 4.3.2.2 SAFETY

Historical crash records for the study area were obtained from the City of Ottawa for the five years between January 2015 and December 2019. The TIA Guidelines indicate that patterns with six or more crashes should be identified. In this timeframe, there were no collisions along the roadway segment on Braeside Avenue, and thus do not meet the TIA Guideline criteria.



## 4.4 ACCESS INTERSECTION

### 4.4.1 LOCATION AND DESIGN OF ACCESS

There are two new access points proposed for this development and are indicated in the Site Plan (Appendix C), one on Alta Vista Drive and one on Braeside Avenue. Both entrances are proposed as full movement access intersections.

A design compliance check was carried out for each of the two accesses following guidelines prepared by the City of Ottawa and the Transportation Association of Canada's Geometric Design Guidelines for Canadian Roads (2017). The design compliance check is summarized in **Table 4-6**.

**Table 4-6. Access Intersection Design Elements**

DESIGN ELEMENTS	MINIMUM REQUIRED	ALTA VISTA DRIVE (NEW)	BRAESIDE AVENUE (REALIGNED)
Access Type	-	Full Movement	Full Movement
One-way vs. Two-way	<25 vpd = one-way driveway <750 vpd = two-way driveway	<40 peak hour trips ~400 daily trips Two-Way	<20 peak hour trips ~200 daily trips Two-Way
Entrance Width	2.0m - 7.3m (TAC 2017)	6.9 m	6.0m
Corner Clearance	70m to traffic signals (TAC 2017)	>70m	>70m
Right Turn Radius	3.0 – 4.5m (TAC 2017)	<b>1.5m</b>	<b>2.0m</b>
Sight Distance (Intersection with No Control)	Left turn: 130m (TAC 2017)	No obstruction	No obstruction
	Right turn: 110m (TAC 2017)	No obstruction	No obstruction
Throat Length	15m for Alta Vista 8m for Braeside (TAC 2017)	19m	30m
Angle of Intersection	At or near 90°	Access to parking lot at intersection is 90°	Access to parking lot at intersection is 90°
Proximity to Adjacent Driveways	1m between driveways (TAC 2017)	Private driveway 15 m north of access	Private driveway 5 m north of access

DESIGN ELEMENTS	MINIMUM REQUIRED	ALTA VISTA DRIVE (NEW)	BRAESIDE AVENUE (REALIGNED)
Pedestrian Crossing Consideration	Ottawa Standard Drawing SC7.1 (Curb Return Private Entrance - Unsignalized)	6.9m pedestrian crossing Ottawa Standard SC7.1 (Curb Return at a Private Entrance)	6.0m pedestrian crossing (No sidewalk on Braeside)
Cycling Crossing Consideration	Large curb return radii with narrow driveway to minimize crossing distance	10.5m cycling crossing	9.5m cycling crossing

Generally, the proposed accesses meet the current best practices and accepted design guidance apart from the right turn radius at both accesses. Both radii do not meet the minimum TAC requirement of 3.0m and is, in part, why the turning movements from **Section 4.1.2** were not ideal.

#### 4.4.2 INTERSECTION CONTROL


An all-way stop minimum volume warrant for the minor road was conducted in accordance with the Ontario Traffic Manual (OTM) Book 5 for both proposed accesses under the future total planning horizon. Both of the following conditions must be met to warrant a stop at the two access points as illustrated in **Table 4-7**.

**Table 4-7. All-Way Stop (Minor Road) Requirements**

CONDITION	FUTURE TOTAL PLANNING HORIZON	
	Alta Vista Drive	Braeside Avenue
Total vehicle volume on all intersection approaches exceeds 350 for the highest hour recorded	Estimated Volumes: AM >500 PM: >1000	Estimated Volumes: AM <200 PM: <200
Volume split does not exceed 75/25 for three-way control or 65/35 for four-way control. Volume is defined as vehicles only	Volume Splits: AM: 95/5 PM: 95/5	Volume Splits: AM: 90/10 PM: 90/10

While the total vehicle volume is expected to exceed 350 for the highest hour recorded on Alta Vista Drive, the volume split is anticipated to greatly exceed 75/25 for a three-way control intersection. Similarly, the Braeside Avenue access is not expected to meet the necessary volume or volume split. Therefore, a stop control on the minor road was not warranted, and accordingly a traffic signal warrant was not analyzed.

For the Alta Vista entrance, pedestrian accommodations at the accesses should follow the City of Ottawa's Standard Drawing SC7.1 for Curb Return Entrances utilizing the Private / Unsignalized entrance option which includes a continuous depressed sidewalk across the access as shown below.



**Figure 4-3. City of Ottawa, Standard Drawing SC 7.1 Curb Return Entrances, Private/Unsignalized (March 2017)**

Signage options for the two driveways are provided below:

**Braeside Avenue:** Wayfinding signage indicating that this is the entrance nearest the delivery truck entrance.

**Alta Vista Drive:** Signage indicating that no trucks shall enter from both the north and south entrances.

#### 4.4.3 INTERSECTION DESIGN

The City of Ottawa's Multi-modal Level of Service (MMLOS) Guidelines (2015) note that the intersection MMLOS evaluation does not apply to unsignalized intersections. The three driveway accesses are proposed to be or remain unsignalized and no further evaluation was completed.

## 4.5 TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT

### 4.5.1 CONTEXT FOR TDM

Transportation Demand Management (TDM) describes a broad range of policies, programs and services designed to reduce the demand for vehicle use by influencing individual travel behaviour and providing expanded options. As mentioned in **Section 3.1.1**, the forecasted commuter mode share is: 38% auto driver, 33% transit, 17% auto passenger, 10% walking and 2% bicycle.

### 4.5.2 NEED AND OPPORTUNITY

With less than 10 vehicle trips expected from the proposed development during peak hours, limited impact should be anticipated to the existing road network.

---

### 4.5.3 TDM PROGRAM

The TDM-supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist was completed to assess the opportunity to implement facilities that are supportive of sustainable modes. The completed checklist is attached to this report as **Appendix G**.

The sustainable mode share at the proposed development can be maximized by including the following DM measures and amenities:

- Provide shuttle service for mall and/or supermarket runs
- Display relevant transit schedules at entrances
- Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes

---

## 4.6 NEIGHBOURHOOD TRAFFIC MANAGEMENT

This section was exempted in the Transportation Impact Assessment Scoping Report submitted on October 13, 2021 and approved by the City of Ottawa on October 13, 2021. The approved exemption table is found in **Section 2.8**.

---

## 4.7 TRANSIT

This section was exempted in the Transportation Impact Assessment Scoping Report submitted on October 13, 2021 and approved by the City of Ottawa on October 13, 2021. The approved exemption table is found in **Section 2.8**.

---

## 4.8 REVIEW OF NETWORK CONCEPT

This section was exempted in the Transportation Impact Assessment Scoping Report submitted on October 13, 2021 and approved by the City of Ottawa on October 13, 2021. The approved exemption table is found in **Section 2.8**.

---

## 4.9 INTERSECTION DESIGN

This section was exempted in the Transportation Impact Assessment Scoping Report submitted on October 13, 2021 and approved by the City of Ottawa on October 13, 2021. The approved exemption table is found in **Section 2.8**.

---

## 4.10 SUMMARY OF IMPROVEMENTS INDICATED AND MODIFICATION OPTIONS

A summary of transportation improvements proposed as part of this Transportation Impact Assessment are presented as follows:

### Development Design

- a) Provisions for sustainable modes on-site have been identified through the development design checklist. The proposed site plan accommodates cycling by providing the necessary bicycle parking and walking by providing safe and direct pedestrian access from Braeside Avenue and the internal parking lot.
- b) Heavy vehicle (HSU) circulation on-site is proposed between the realigned Braeside Avenue driveway and the existing Alta Vista Drive access. It is proposed that the new north access on Alta Vista Drive be signed as No Truck Entry.

**Reference: Section 4.1**

### Parking

- a) The proposed bicycle and vehicle parking satisfy the requirements of the Zoning By-Law (2008-250).

**Reference: Section 4.2**

### Boundary Street Design

- a) There are no proposed changes to the cross-sections of Alta Vista Drive and Braeside Avenue adjacent to the proposed development.
- b) The status quo MMLOS assessment of Alta Vista Drive and Braeside Avenue resulted in the BLOS meeting the MMLOS targets for the General Urban policy area, while the PLOS did not achieve the target because of the narrow width of the existing sidewalks.

**Reference: Section 4.3**

### Access Intersections

- a) New Alta Vista Drive Access: The access is proposed as full movement with unimpeded traffic flow along Alta Vista Drive. It provides access to Ellwood House via the connected parking lot with St. Thomas Church. Generally, the access design meets the minimum requirements provided by the TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, however the right turn radius may not easily accommodate the larger fleet vehicles and restricting truck access is recommended.
- b) Realigned Braeside Avenue Access: The access is proposed as full movement with unimpeded traffic flow along Braeside Avenue and provides direct access to Ellwood House. Generally, the access design meets the minimum requirements provided by the TAC Geometric Design Guide for Canadian Roads, however the right turn radius could be increased to more easily accommodate heavy vehicles.

**Reference: Section 4.4**

### Transportation Demand Management

- a) The existing road network has available capacity should the anticipated mode share targets not be met.

**Reference: Section 4.5**

# APPENDIX

## A CIRCULATION COMMENT / RESPONSE



## COMMENT AND RESPONSE LOG

**TO:** File  
**FROM:** Sarah McDonald, P. Eng.  
**SUBJECT:** 2262 Braeside Avenue Transportation Impact Assessment (current to Oct 25, 2021)  
**DATE:** October 25, 2021

---

## SCOPING REPORT COMMENT / RESPONSE

WSP Submission October 14, 2021  
City Comments Received October 14, 2021

### Section 2.8 Exemptions Review

- 1** Element 4.1.2. Clarify the rear lane delivery access needs to indicate how it is intended to function. Truck turning templates for municipal services as well as deliveries will also be required.  
Will be included in Strategy Report.
- 2** Element 4.2.1. Provide a table indicating the required parking to meet the zoning by-law and the proposed number of parking spaces.  
Will be included in Strategy Report.
- 3** Although the number of forecasted person trips may be less than 60, include Module 4.5 for the residential component. For example, ensuring that bicycle parking is appropriately placed and easily accessed will support this mode share. Include Module 4.5 - TDM Measures. For additional assistance with TDM strategies, contact [Kathleen.Wilker@ottawa.ca](mailto:Kathleen.Wilker@ottawa.ca)  
Will be included in Strategy Report.

### Next Steps

- 4** Please proceed to the forecasting report.  
Thank you. Forecasting and Strategy Report completed and submitted for comment on October 25 2021.
- 

Prepared by:

**Sarah McDonald, P. Eng.**  
**Project Manager, Transportation Planning**

# APPENDIX

## **B** SCREENING FORM





# APPENDIX

## **B** SCREENING FORM



## City of Ottawa 2017 TIA Guidelines Screening Form

### 1. Description of Proposed Development

Municipal Address	
Description of Location	
Land Use Classification	
Development Size (units)	
Development Size (m <sup>2</sup> )	
Number of Accesses and Locations	
Phase of Development	
Buildout Year	

If available, please attach a sketch of the development or site plan to this form.

### 2. Trip Generation Trigger

Considering the Development's Land Use type and Size (as filled out in the previous section), please refer to the Trip Generation Trigger checks below.

Land Use Type	Minimum Development Size
Single-family homes	40 units
Townhomes or apartments	90 units
Office	3,500 m <sup>2</sup>
Industrial	5,000 m <sup>2</sup>
Fast-food restaurant or coffee shop	100 m <sup>2</sup>
Destination retail	1,000 m <sup>2</sup>
Gas station or convenience market	75 m <sup>2</sup>

*\* If the development has a land use type other than what is presented in the table above, estimates of person-trip generation may be made based on average trip generation characteristics represented in the current edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual.*

**If the proposed development size is greater than the sizes identified above, the Trip Generation Trigger is satisfied.**

38 residential trips (ITE 252)  
Estimated <20 peak hour vehicle trips

### 3. Location Triggers

	Yes	No
Does the development propose a new driveway to a boundary street that is designated as part of the City's Transit Priority, Rapid Transit or Spine Bicycle Networks?		
Is the development in a Design Priority Area (DPA) or Transit-oriented Development (TOD) zone?*		

\*DPA and TOD are identified in the City of Ottawa Official Plan (DPA in Section 2.5.1 and Schedules A and B; TOD in Annex 6). See Chapter 4 for a list of City of Ottawa Planning and Engineering documents that support the completion of TIA).

**If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Location Trigger is satisfied.**

### 4. Safety Triggers

	Yes	No
Are posted speed limits on a boundary street are 80 km/hr or greater?		
Are there any horizontal/vertical curvatures on a boundary street limits sight lines at a proposed driveway?		
Is the proposed driveway within the area of influence of an adjacent traffic signal or roundabout (i.e. within 300 m of intersection in rural conditions, or within 150 m of intersection in urban/ suburban conditions)?		
Is the proposed driveway within auxiliary lanes of an intersection?		
Does the proposed driveway make use of an existing median break that serves an existing site?		
Is there is a documented history of traffic operations or safety concerns on the boundary streets within 500 m of the development?		
Does the development include a drive-thru facility?		

**If any of the above questions were answered with 'Yes,' the Safety Trigger is satisfied.**

### 5. Summary

	Yes	No
Does the development satisfy the Trip Generation Trigger?		
Does the development satisfy the Location Trigger?		
Does the development satisfy the Safety Trigger?		


**If none of the triggers are satisfied, the TIA Study is complete. If one or more of the triggers is satisfied, the TIA Study must continue into the next stage (Screening and Scoping).**

# APPENDIX

## C DRAFT SITE PLAN







**DRAWING LEGEND:**

[Solid Grey]	EXISTING CONSTRUCTION	[Dashed Line]	EXISTING FENCE
[Circle with Dot]	EXISTING TREES	[Solid Line]	NEW 1.8M HIGH FENCE
[Circle with X]	TREES TO BE REMOVED	[Thick Solid Line]	RETAINING WALL
[Circle with Star]	NEW TREES	[Hatched Box]	BIKE RACKS
[Cloud Shape]	EXISTING SHRUBS		

**DRAWING NOTES:**

(1) EXISTING SHEDS TO BE RELOCATED	(15) EXISTING CONCRETE SIDEWALK TO BE REMOVED
(2) RELOCATE LIGHT POLES	(16) EXISTING PLANTER TO BE REMOVED
(3) RELOCATE HYDRO TRANSFORMER	(17) EXISTING CHAIN LINK FENCE TO BE REMOVED
(4) EXISTING RECTORY TO BE DEMOLISHED	(18) EXISTING CONCRETE RETAINING WALL TO BE REMOVED
(5) REMOVE EXISTING TREES	(19) EXISTING FIRE HYDRANT
(6) EXISTING SIGNS	(20) EXISTING CONCRETE PORCH AND STEPS TO BE REMOVED
(7) EXISTING HOME TO BE DEMOLISHED	(21) LOCATION OF MEMORIAL
(8) POSSIBLE GARBAGE ROOM EXTENSION	(22) LINE OF NEW CANOPY ABOVE
(9) PATIO EXTENSION	
(10) VAN PARKING	
(11) REMOVE PART OF EXISTING CANOPY	
(12) EXISTING CATCH BASIN	

**SITE LIGHTING NOTES:**

1. ONLY FIXTURES THAT MEET THE CRITERIA FOR FULL CUT-OFF (SHARP CUT-OFF) CLASSIFICATION, AS RECOGNIZED BY THE ILLUMINATING ENGINEERING SOCIETY OF NORTH AMERICA (IESNA OR IES), AND;

2. IT MUST RESULT IN MINIMAL LIGHT SPILLAGE ONTO ADJACENT PROPERTIES. AS A GUIDELINE, 0.5 FC IS NORMALLY THE MAXIMUM ALLOWABLE SPILLAGE.

**LIGHTING LEGEND:**

[X]	EXISTING LIGHTING
[Circle]	LIGHTING STANDARD
[Diamond]	WALL MOUNTED LIGHTING
[Star]	SOFFIT LIGHTING
[Circle with X]	PEDESTAL LIGHTING


**PARKING LOT LANDSCAPE:**

AREA OF PARKING LOT 1+2+3: 1,909 M<sup>2</sup>  
LANDSCAPED AREA: 651 M<sup>2</sup> (34.10%)

AREA OF PARKING LOT 4+5: 5,120 M<sup>2</sup>  
LANDSCAPED AREA: 1,929 M<sup>2</sup> (37.68%)

**DESIGNATION:**

[Hatched Box]	PARKING LOT
[Solid Grey]	LANDSCAPED AREA



**DEVELOPMENT INFORMATION:**

**ZONING:**  
11A (1485)

**UNITS:**

28x	TYPE A	1 BDRM UNIT
(NET)	47.26 M <sup>2</sup>	/ 508.7 FT <sup>2</sup>
6x	TYPE B	1 BDRM BARRIER FREE
(NET)	47.28 M <sup>2</sup>	/ 508.9 FT <sup>2</sup>
4x	TYPE C	1 BDRM
(NET)	44.6 M <sup>2</sup>	/ 479.9 FT <sup>2</sup>

**38 IN TOTAL**

**BUILDING FOOTPRINT AREA:**  
630.5 M<sup>2</sup> / 6,787 FT<sup>2</sup>

**BUILDING GROSS AREA:**  
(INCLUDING BASEMENT)  
2,459 M<sup>2</sup> / 26,476 FT<sup>2</sup> (NET)

**COMMON AREAS (GFA)**  
479 M<sup>2</sup> / 5,156 FT<sup>2</sup> (NET)

**EFFICIENCY:**  
80%

**PARKING INFORMATION:**

**NUMBER OF PARKING SPACES AT 2.6M x 5.2M:**  
REQUIRED: 130  
PROVIDED: 134 (6 BARRIER FREE)

**LINE DENOTES:**

---	EXISTING PARKING SPACES: 104
---	PROPOSED PARKING SPACES: 30

**NUMBER OF BICYCLE PARKING AT 0.6M x 1.8M:**  
REQUIRED: 21  
PROVIDED: 21

**OUTDOOR AMENITY AREAS:**

**REQUIRED MIN. TOTAL AMENITY:** 228 M<sup>2</sup>  
**PROPOSED AMENITY AREAS:**  
COMMUNITY GARDENS: 218.7 M<sup>2</sup>  
EXISTING PATIO: 20.87 M<sup>2</sup>  
PROPOSED PATIO: 25.95 M<sup>2</sup>  
**IN TOTAL: 265.52 M<sup>2</sup>**

**GENERAL NOTES**

IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS ON SITE AND REPORT ANY ERRORS AND OMISSIONS TO THE ARCHITECT. ALL CONTRACTORS MUST COMPLY WITH ALL PERTINENT CODES AND BY-LAWS. DO NOT SCALE DRAWING. THESE DRAWINGS MAY NOT BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNTIL SIGNED. THIS DRAWING IS THE EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OF COLIZZA BRUNI ARCHITECTURE INCORPORATED. COPYRIGHT RESERVED.

02	OCT 13/21	REVISED FOR SPA
01	SEP 21/21	APPROVED CONCEPT SITE PLAN
NO.	DATE	DESCRIPTION

**COLIZZA BRUNI**  
architecture

76 CHAMBERLAIN AVE • OTTAWA • ONTARIO • K1S 1V9  
T 613.236.2944 • F 613.236.6777 • [www.colizzabruni.com](http://www.colizzabruni.com)

**PROJECT NAME**  
**ELLWOOD HOUSE EXTENSION**

**OTTAWA, ON**

**DRAWING TITLE**  
**SITE PLAN**

**DRAWING NO.**  
**SP1**

**SCALE**  
AS NOTED

**DRAWN BY**  
---

**DATE**  
10/20/21

**PROJECT NO.**  
001-16

# APPENDIX

## D TRANS O-D SURVEY



## Demographic Characteristics

Population	74,770	Actively Travelled	59,190
Employed Population	32,910	Number of Vehicles	37,270
Households	32,590	Area (km <sup>2</sup> )	38.5

Occupation Status (age 5+)	Male	Female	Total
Full Time Employed	15,840	12,940	28,780
Part Time Employed	1,660	2,470	4,130
Student	8,130	8,750	16,870
Retiree	6,200	8,840	15,030
Unemployed	1,200	950	2,150
Homemaker	50	2,150	2,200
Other	630	900	1,530
Total:	33,700	36,990	70,700

Traveller Characteristics	Male	Female	Total
Transit Pass Holders	7,620	9,140	16,760
Licensed Drivers	25,060	24,810	49,870
Telecommuters	140	60	200
Trips made by residents	92,440	98,770	191,210

Selected Indicators	
Daily Trips per Person (age 5+)	2.70
Vehicles per Person	0.50
Number of Persons per Household	2.29
Daily Trips per Household	5.87
Vehicles per Household	1.14
Workers per Household	1.01
Population Density (Pop/km2)	1940




Household Size		
1 person	10,780	33%
2 persons	11,010	34%
3 persons	4,790	15%
4 persons	3,880	12%
5+ persons	2,130	7%
Total:	32,590	100%


Households by Vehicle Availability		
0 vehicles	6,320	19%
1 vehicle	16,930	52%
2 vehicles	8,030	25%
3 vehicles	1,030	3%
4+ vehicles	290	1%
Total:	32,590	100%

Households by Dwelling Type		
Single-detached	12,320	38%
Semi-detached	1,790	5%
Townhouse	4,700	14%
Apartment/Condo	13,780	42%
Total:	32,590	100%

Population



Employed Population




\* In 2005 data was only collected for household members aged 11+ therefore these results cannot be compared to the 2011 data.

## Travel Patterns

### Top Five Origins of Trips to Alta Vista

#### AM Peak Period



### Summary of Trips to and from Alta Vista

#### AM Peak Period (6:30 - 8:59)

Districts	Destinations of Trips From		Origins of Trips To	
	District	% Total	District	% Total
Ottawa Centre	4,180	10%	680	1%
Ottawa Inner Area	4,970	12%	4,270	7%
Ottawa East	1,940	5%	2,370	4%
Beacons Hill	2,690	7%	1,850	3%
Alta Vista	16,220	39%	16,220	27%
Hunt Club	1,980	5%	7,990	13%
Merivale	3,010	7%	3,690	6%
Ottawa West	1,160	3%	1,550	3%
Bayshore / Cedarview	830	2%	2,330	4%
Orléans	1,050	3%	5,890	10%
Rural East	110	0%	430	1%
Rural Southeast	140	0%	1,550	3%
South Gloucester / Leitrim	160	0%	1,970	3%
South Nepean	460	1%	2,360	4%
Rural Southwest	160	0%	690	1%
Kanata / Stittsville	660	2%	1,810	3%
Rural West	20	0%	180	0%
Île de Hull	710	2%	190	0%
Hull Périphérie	360	1%	420	1%
Plateau	0	0%	680	1%
Aylmer	40	0%	480	1%
Rural Northwest	40	0%	300	1%
Pointe Gatineau	20	0%	740	1%
Gatineau Est	220	1%	270	0%
Rural Northeast	10	0%	320	1%
Buckingham / Masson-Angers	10	0%	70	0%
Ontario Sub-Total:	39,740	97%	55,830	94%
Québec Sub-Total:	1,410	3%	3,470	6%
Total:	41,150	100%	59,300	100%

### Trips by Trip Purpose

24 Hours	From District		To District		Within District	
Work or related	22,370	15%	46,540	31%	10,770	13%
School	8,550	6%	8,090	5%	6,440	8%
Shopping	16,500	11%	16,600	11%	14,550	17%
Leisure	11,940	8%	13,340	9%	7,720	9%
Medical	2,990	2%	7,860	5%	2,380	3%
Pick-up / drive passenger	9,390	6%	9,900	6%	6,990	8%
Return Home	75,570	50%	44,070	29%	33,060	39%
Other	4,870	3%	6,050	4%	3,240	4%
Total:	152,180	100%	152,450	100%	85,150	100%

AM Peak (06:30 - 08:59)	From District		To District		Within District	
Work or related	13,920	56%	28,300	66%	5,390	33%
School	5,340	21%	7,330	17%	5,600	35%
Shopping	510	2%	530	1%	320	2%
Leisure	570	2%	990	2%	480	3%
Medical	500	2%	1,760	4%	460	3%
Pick-up / drive passenger	1,790	7%	2,490	6%	2,110	13%
Return Home	1,380	6%	730	2%	910	6%
Other	910	4%	940	2%	930	6%
Total:	24,920	100%	43,070	100%	16,200	100%

PM Peak (15:30 - 17:59)	From District		To District		Within District	
Work or related	820	2%	1,340	5%	740	4%
School	550	1%	90	0%	70	0%
Shopping	3,920	9%	3,630	13%	2,830	14%
Leisure	2,550	6%	2,440	9%	1,580	8%
Medical	260	1%	670	2%	300	2%
Pick-up / drive passenger	3,310	7%	2,550	9%	2,390	12%
Return Home	31,900	72%	15,950	57%	11,310	58%
Other	1,270	3%	1,230	4%	440	2%
Total:	44,580	100%	27,900	100%	19,660	100%

Peak Period (%)	Total:	% of 24 Hours	Within District (%)
24 Hours	389,780		22%
AM Peak Period	84,190	22%	19%
PM Peak Period	92,140	24%	21%

### Trips by Primary Travel Mode

24 Hours	From District		To District		Within District	
Auto Driver	92,240	61%	92,670	61%	43,390	51%
Auto Passenger	24,030	16%	24,040	16%	13,430	16%
Transit	27,890	18%	27,220	18%	6,520	8%
Bicycle	2,180	1%	2,110	1%	1,390	2%
Walk	1,440	1%	1,510	1%	15,170	18%
Other	4,420	3%	4,890	3%	5,260	6%
Total:	152,200	100%	152,440	100%	85,160	100%

AM Peak (06:30 - 08:59)	From District		To District		Within District	
Auto Driver	12,430	50%	26,810	62%	6,330	39%
Auto Passenger	3,040	12%	5,100	12%	2,500	15%
Transit	7,540	30%	7,300	17%	1,700	10%
Bicycle	750	3%	750	2%	340	2%
Walk	280	1%	280	1%	3,210	20%
Other	880	4%	2,850	7%	2,140	13%
Total:	24,920	100%	43,090	100%	16,220	100%

PM Peak (15:30 - 17:59)	From District		To District		Within District	
Auto Driver	28,570	64%	15,990	57%	9,640	49%
Auto Passenger	5,930	13%	4,230	15%	3,570	18%
Transit	7,460	17%	6,420	23%	1,500	8%
Bicycle	630	1%	610	2%	470	2%
Walk	340	1%	310	1%	3,280	17%
Other	1,660	4%	340	1%	1,210	6%
Total:	44,590	100%	27,900	100%	19,670	100%

Avg Vehicle Occupancy	From District		To District		Within District	
24 Hours	1.26		1.26		1.31	
AM Peak Period	1.24		1.19		1.39	
PM Peak Period	1.21		1.26		1.37	

Transit Modal Split	From District		To District		Within District	
24 Hours	19%		19%		10%	
AM Peak Period	33%		19%		16%	
PM Peak Period	18%		24%		10%	



# APPENDIX

## **E** DEVELOPMENT DESIGN CHECKLIST

## **TDM-Supportive Development Design and Infrastructure Checklist:** *Residential Developments (multi-family or condominium)*

<b>Legend</b>	
<b>REQUIRED</b>	The Official Plan or Zoning By-law provides related guidance that must be followed
<b>BASIC</b>	The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
<b>BETTER</b>	The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance

<b>TDM-supportive design &amp; infrastructure measures:</b> <i>Residential developments</i>		<b>Check if completed &amp; add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references</b>
<b>1. WALKING &amp; CYCLING: ROUTES</b>		
<b>1.1 Building location &amp; access points</b>		
<b>BASIC</b>	1.1.1 Locate building close to the street, and do not locate parking areas between the street and building entrances	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>BASIC</b>	1.1.2 Locate building entrances in order to minimize walking distances to sidewalks and transit stops/stations	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>BASIC</b>	1.1.3 Locate building doors and windows to ensure visibility of pedestrians from the building, for their security and comfort	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>1.2 Facilities for walking &amp; cycling</b>		
<b>REQUIRED</b>	1.2.1 Provide convenient, direct access to stations or major stops along rapid transit routes within 600 metres; minimize walking distances from buildings to rapid transit; provide pedestrian-friendly, weather-protected (where possible) environment between rapid transit accesses and building entrances; ensure quality linkages from sidewalks through building entrances to integrated stops/stations ( <i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.3</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>N/A</b>
<b>REQUIRED</b>	1.2.2 Provide safe, direct and attractive pedestrian access from public sidewalks to building entrances through such measures as: reducing distances between public sidewalks and major building entrances; providing walkways from public streets to major building entrances; within a site, providing walkways along the front of adjoining buildings, between adjacent buildings, and connecting areas where people may congregate, such as courtyards and transit stops; and providing weather protection through canopies, colonnades, and other design elements wherever possible ( <i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.12</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Residential developments</i>		Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
REQUIRED	1.2.3 Provide sidewalks of smooth, well-drained walking surfaces of contrasting materials or treatments to differentiate pedestrian areas from vehicle areas, and provide marked pedestrian crosswalks at intersection sidewalks ( <i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.10</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
REQUIRED	1.2.4 Make sidewalks and open space areas easily accessible through features such as gradual grade transition, depressed curbs at street corners and convenient access to extra-wide parking spaces and ramps ( <i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.10</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
REQUIRED	1.2.5 Include adequately spaced inter-block/street cycling and pedestrian connections to facilitate travel by active transportation. Provide links to the existing or planned network of public sidewalks, multi-use pathways and on-road cycle routes. Where public sidewalks and multi-use pathways intersect with roads, consider providing traffic control devices to give priority to cyclists and pedestrians ( <i>see Official Plan policy 4.3.11</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
BASIC	1.2.6 Provide safe, direct and attractive walking routes from building entrances to nearby transit stops	<input type="checkbox"/>
BASIC	1.2.7 Ensure that walking routes to transit stops are secure, visible, lighted, shaded and wind-protected wherever possible	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BASIC	1.2.8 Design roads used for access or circulation by cyclists using a target operating speed of no more than 30 km/h, or provide a separated cycling facility	<input type="checkbox"/> N/A
<b>1.3 Amenities for walking &amp; cycling</b>		
BASIC	1.3.1 Provide lighting, landscaping and benches along walking and cycling routes between building entrances and streets, sidewalks and trails	<input type="checkbox"/>
BASIC	1.3.2 Provide wayfinding signage for site access (where required, e.g. when multiple buildings or entrances exist) and egress (where warranted, such as when directions to reach transit stops/stations, trails or other common destinations are not obvious)	<input type="checkbox"/>

TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Residential developments</i>			Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references	
2. WALKING & CYCLING: END-OF-TRIP FACILITIES				
2.1 Bicycle parking				
REQUIRED	2.1.1	Provide bicycle parking in highly visible and lighted areas, sheltered from the weather wherever possible (see <i>Official Plan policy 4.3.6</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
REQUIRED	2.1.2	Provide the number of bicycle parking spaces specified for various land uses in different parts of Ottawa; provide convenient access to main entrances or well-used areas (see <i>Zoning By-law Section 111</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
REQUIRED	2.1.3	Ensure that bicycle parking spaces and access aisles meet minimum dimensions; that no more than 50% of spaces are vertical spaces; and that parking racks are securely anchored (see <i>Zoning By-law Section 111</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
BASIC	2.1.4	Provide bicycle parking spaces equivalent to the expected number of resident-owned bicycles, plus the expected peak number of visitor cyclists	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	
2.2 Secure bicycle parking				
REQUIRED	2.2.1	Where more than 50 bicycle parking spaces are provided for a single residential building, locate at least 25% of spaces within a building/structure, a secure area (e.g. supervised parking lot or enclosure) or bicycle lockers (see <i>Zoning By-law Section 111</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
BETTER	2.2.2	Provide secure bicycle parking spaces equivalent to at least the number of units at condominiums or multi-family residential developments	<input type="checkbox"/>	
2.3 Bicycle repair station				
BETTER	2.3.1	Provide a permanent bike repair station, with commonly used tools and an air pump, adjacent to the main bicycle parking area (or secure bicycle parking area, if provided)	<input type="checkbox"/>	
3. TRANSIT				
3.1 Customer amenities				
BASIC	3.1.1	Provide shelters, lighting and benches at any on-site transit stops	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
BASIC	3.1.2	Where the site abuts an off-site transit stop and insufficient space exists for a transit shelter in the public right-of-way, protect land for a shelter and/or install a shelter	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A
BETTER	3.1.3	Provide a secure and comfortable interior waiting area by integrating any on-site transit stops into the building	<input type="checkbox"/>	N/A


TDM-supportive design & infrastructure measures: <i>Residential developments</i>		Check if completed & add descriptions, explanations or plan/drawing references
<b>4. RIDESHARING</b>		
<b>4.1 Pick-up &amp; drop-off facilities</b>		
<b>BASIC</b>	4.1.1 Provide a designated area for carpool drivers (plus taxis and ride-hailing services) to drop off or pick up passengers without using fire lanes or other no-stopping zones	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5. CARSHARING &amp; BIKESHARING</b>		
<b>5.1 Carshare parking spaces</b>		
<b>BETTER</b>	5.1.1 Provide up to three carshare parking spaces in an R3, R4 or R5 Zone for specified residential uses (see <i>Zoning By-law Section 94</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>N/A</b>
<b>5.2 Bikeshare station location</b>		
<b>BETTER</b>	5.2.1 Provide a designated bikeshare station area near a major building entrance, preferably lighted and sheltered with a direct walkway connection	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>6. PARKING</b>		
<b>6.1 Number of parking spaces</b>		
<b>REQUIRED</b>	6.1.1 Do not provide more parking than permitted by zoning, nor less than required by zoning, unless a variance is being applied for	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>BASIC</b>	6.1.2 Provide parking for long-term and short-term users that is consistent with mode share targets, considering the potential for visitors to use off-site public parking	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>BASIC</b>	6.1.3 Where a site features more than one use, provide shared parking and reduce the cumulative number of parking spaces accordingly (see <i>Zoning By-law Section 104</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>BETTER</b>	6.1.4 Reduce the minimum number of parking spaces required by zoning by one space for each 13 square metres of gross floor area provided as shower rooms, change rooms, locker rooms and other facilities for cyclists in conjunction with bicycle parking (see <i>Zoning By-law Section 111</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>6.2 Separate long-term &amp; short-term parking areas</b>		
<b>BETTER</b>	6.2.1 Provide separate areas for short-term and long-term parking (using signage or physical barriers) to permit access controls and simplify enforcement (i.e. to discourage residents from parking in visitor spaces, and vice versa)	<input type="checkbox"/>

# APPENDIX

**F**

## AUTOTURN ANALYSIS





1 SITE PLAN  
1:250



# APPENDIX

## **G** TDM CHECKLIST



**TDM Measures Checklist:**  
*Residential Developments (multi-family, condominium or subdivision)*

<b>Legend</b>	
<b>BASIC</b>	The measure is generally feasible and effective, and in most cases would benefit the development and its users
<b>BETTER</b>	The measure could maximize support for users of sustainable modes, and optimize development performance
<b>★</b>	The measure is one of the most dependably effective tools to encourage the use of sustainable modes

<b>TDM measures: <i>Residential developments</i></b>		<b>Check if proposed &amp; add descriptions</b>
<b>1. TDM PROGRAM MANAGEMENT</b>		
<b>1.1 Program coordinator</b>		
<b>BASIC</b> ★	1.1.1 Designate an internal coordinator, or contract with an external coordinator	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>1.2 Travel surveys</b>		
<b>BETTER</b>	1.2.1 Conduct periodic surveys to identify travel-related behaviours, attitudes, challenges and solutions, and to track progress	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>2. WALKING AND CYCLING</b>		
<b>2.1 Information on walking/cycling routes &amp; destinations</b>		
<b>BASIC</b>	2.1.1 Display local area maps with walking/cycling access routes and key destinations at major entrances ( <i>multi-family, condominium</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>2.2 Bicycle skills training</b>		
<b>BETTER</b>	2.2.1 Offer on-site cycling courses for residents, or subsidize off-site courses	<input type="checkbox"/>

TDM measures: <i>Residential developments</i>		Check if proposed & add descriptions
<b>3. TRANSIT</b>		
<b>3.1 Transit information</b>		
BASIC	3.1.1 Display relevant transit schedules and route maps at entrances ( <i>multi-family, condominium</i> )	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
BETTER	3.1.2 Provide real-time arrival information display at entrances ( <i>multi-family, condominium</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.2 Transit fare incentives</b>		
BASIC ★	3.2.1 Offer PRESTO cards preloaded with one monthly transit pass on residence purchase/move-in, to encourage residents to use transit	<input type="checkbox"/>
BETTER	3.2.2 Offer at least one year of free monthly transit passes on residence purchase/move-in	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.3 Enhanced public transit service</b>		
BETTER ★	3.3.1 Contract with OC Transpo to provide early transit services until regular services are warranted by occupancy levels ( <i>subdivision</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>3.4 Private transit service</b>		
BETTER	3.4.1 Provide shuttle service for seniors homes or lifestyle communities (e.g. scheduled mall or supermarket runs)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>4. CARSHARING &amp; BIKESHARING</b>		
<b>4.1 Bikeshare stations &amp; memberships</b>		
BETTER	4.1.1 Contract with provider to install on-site bikeshare station ( <i>multi-family</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
BETTER	4.1.2 Provide residents with bikeshare memberships, either free or subsidized ( <i>multi-family</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>4.2 Carshare vehicles &amp; memberships</b>		
BETTER	4.2.1 Contract with provider to install on-site carshare vehicles and promote their use by residents	<input type="checkbox"/>
BETTER	4.2.2 Provide residents with carshare memberships, either free or subsidized	<input type="checkbox"/>
<b>5. PARKING</b>		
<b>5.1 Priced parking</b>		
BASIC ★	5.1.1 Unbundle parking cost from purchase price ( <i>condominium</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>
BASIC ★	5.1.2 Unbundle parking cost from monthly rent ( <i>multi-family</i> )	<input type="checkbox"/>

TDM measures: <i>Residential developments</i>		Check if proposed & add descriptions
<b>6. TDM MARKETING &amp; COMMUNICATIONS</b>		
<b>6.1 Multimodal travel information</b>		
<b>BASIC</b> ★	6.1.1 Provide a multimodal travel option information package to new residents	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
<b>6.2 Personalized trip planning</b>		
<b>BETTER</b> ★	6.2.1 Offer personalized trip planning to new residents	<input type="checkbox"/>