



GRADIENTWIND
ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS


PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY

797 Richmond Road
Ottawa, Ontario

Report: 21-048-PLW







May 7, 2021

PREPARED FOR

Dentech Holdings Inc.
797 Richmond Road
Ottawa, ON K2A 0G7

PREPARED BY

Daniel Davalos, MESc., Junior Wind Scientist
Steven Hall, M.A.Sc., P.Eng., Senior Wind Engineer

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON, CANADA K0A 1L0 | 613 836 0934
GRADIENTWIND.COM

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report describes a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study undertaken to satisfy concurrent Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) and Site Plan Control application submissions for the proposed development located at 797 Richmond Road in Ottawa (hereinafter referred to as "subject site" or "proposed development"). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify any areas where wind conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, as required.

The study involves simulation of wind speeds for selected wind directions in a three-dimensional (3D) computer model using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique, combined with meteorological data integration, to assess pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site according to City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria. The results and recommendations derived from these considerations are detailed in the main body of the report (Section 5), illustrated in Figures 3A-8B, and summarized as follows:

1) All grade-level areas within and surrounding the subject site are predicted to be acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. Specifically, wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks, building access points, transit stops, and nearby parking lots are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. One exception is as follows:

    a. During the typical use period, defined as May to October, conditions over the amenity space at the northwest corner of the proposed development are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing. Depending on the programming of the space, these conditions may be considered acceptable. If required, sitting conditions may be extended over the full area using landscape features such as glazed wind barriers or coniferous plantings in dense arrangements along the north and east perimeters of the space.

2) To extend sitting conditions over the full rooftop amenity terrace, it is recommended that a 1.6-metre-tall barrier, typically glazed, be installed around the full perimeter of the terrace.

3) Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas within and surrounding the subject site were found to experience conditions that could be considered dangerous.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION 1

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE 1

3. OBJECTIVES 2

4. METHODOLOGY 3

4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling 3

4.2 Wind Speed Measurements 4

4.3 Meteorological Data Analysis 4

4.4 Pedestrian Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa 6

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 8

5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions – Grade Level 9

5.2 Wind Comfort Conditions – Common Amenity Terrace 11

5.3 Wind Safety 11

5.4 Applicability of Results 11

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 12

FIGURES

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Simulation of the Atmospheric Boundary Layer

1. INTRODUCTION

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc. (Gradient Wind) was retained by Dentech Holdings Inc., to undertake a pedestrian level wind (PLW) study to satisfy concurrent Zoning By-law Amendment (ZBLA) and Site Plan Control application submissions for the proposed development located at 797 Richmond Road in Ottawa (hereinafter referred to as "subject site" or "proposed development"). Our mandate within this study is to investigate pedestrian wind comfort and safety within and surrounding the subject site, and to identify any areas where wind conditions may interfere with certain pedestrian activities so that mitigation measures may be considered, as required.

Our work is based on industry standard computer simulations using the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) technique and data analysis procedures, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, architectural drawings provided by Chmiel Architects Inc., in April 2021, surrounding street layouts and existing and approved future building massing information obtained from the City of Ottawa, as well as recent satellite imagery.

2. TERMS OF REFERENCE

The subject site is located at 797 Richmond Road on the north elevation of Richmond Road, approximately 80 meters (m) to the west of the intersection of Cleary Avenue and Richmond Road. Throughout this report, Richmond Road is referred to as the south elevation.



Rendering of Proposed Development, Southwest Perspective (Courtesy of Chmiel Architects Inc.)

The proposed development comprises a 9-storey building plus mechanical penthouse. Above two levels of underground parking, the grade level has a nearly square planform and comprises retail units along the east and west elevations, a main lobby along the south elevation, and shared building support spaces throughout the remainder of the level. Access to the underground parking is provided by a ramp along the north elevation from Holland Avenue via a laneway along the east elevation. At Level 2, the building steps out at the north and east elevations. Levels 2 through 9 comprise residential units with balconies on all façades. Above Level 9, the building steps back from the north and south elevations

at the roof level. The roof level comprises mechanical space along the west elevation and indoor amenity space along the west elevation which opens to a rooftop amenity terrace along the north elevation. The roof level accommodates rooftop mechanical space along the south elevation.

The near-field surroundings (defined as an area within 200 m of the subject site) are characterized mostly low-rise buildings in all directions with taller buildings along Richmond Road. Notably, the River Parkway Children's Centre at 40 Cleary Avenue lies approximately 75 m to the west-southwest, the First Unitarian Congregation of Ottawa at 30 Cleary Avenue lies approximately 100 m to the northwest, and a 15-storey building at 75 Cleary Avenue approximately 50 m to the northeast of the subject site. The far-field surroundings (defined as an area beyond the near-field but within a 2-kilometre (km) radius of the subject site) are characterized by the open exposure of Ottawa River from the southwest clockwise to the north-northeast, and by mostly low-rise massing with isolated clusters of taller buildings for the remaining compass directions. Notably, the Carlingwood Shopping Centre lies approximately 900 m to the south and the Ottawa River flows southwest to northeast approximately 300 m to the northwest.

Key areas under consideration include surrounding sidewalks, walkways, bus stops, and building access points. Site plans for the proposed and approved massing scenarios are illustrated in Figures 1A and 1B, respectively, while Figures 2A-2F illustrate the computational models used to conduct the study.

3. OBJECTIVES

The principal objectives of this study are to (i) determine comparative pedestrian wind comfort and safety conditions at key areas within and surrounding the development site; (ii) identify areas where wind conditions may interfere with the intended uses of outdoor spaces; and (iii) recommend suitable mitigation measures, where required.

4. METHODOLOGY

The approach followed to quantify pedestrian wind conditions over the site is based on CFD simulations of wind speeds across the subject site within a virtual environment, meteorological analysis of the Ottawa area wind climate, and synthesis of computational data with City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria[1]. The following sections describe the analysis procedures, including a discussion of the noted pedestrian wind criteria.

4.1 Computer-Based Context Modelling

A computer based PLW study was performed to determine the influence of the wind environment on pedestrian comfort over the proposed development site. Pedestrian comfort predictions, based on the mechanical effects of wind, were determined by combining measured wind speed data from CFD simulations with statistical weather data obtained from Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport. The general concept and approach to CFD modelling is to represent building and topographic details in the immediate vicinity of the subject site on the surrounding model, and to create suitable atmospheric wind profiles at the model boundary. The wind profiles are designed to have similar mean and turbulent wind properties consistent with actual site exposures.

An industry standard practice is to omit trees, vegetation, and other existing and planned landscape elements from the model due to the difficulty of providing accurate seasonal representation of vegetation. The omission of trees and other landscaping elements produces slightly more conservative (i.e., windier) wind speed values.

[1] City of Ottawa Terms of References: Wind Analysis
https://documents.ottawa.ca/sites/default/files/torwindanalysis_en.pdf

4.2 Wind Speed Measurements

The PLW analysis was performed by simulating wind flows and gathering velocity data over a CFD model of the site for 12 wind directions. The CFD simulation model was centered on the study building, complete with surrounding massing within a diameter of approximately 820 m.


Mean and peak wind speed data obtained over the subject site for each wind direction were interpolated to 36 wind directions at 10° intervals, representing the full compass azimuth. Measured wind speeds approximately 1.5 m above local grade and the rooftop amenity terrace were referenced to the wind speed at gradient height to generate mean and peak velocity ratios, which were used to calculate full-scale values. Gradient height represents the theoretical depth of the boundary layer of the earth's atmosphere, above which the mean wind speed remains constant. Further details of the wind flow simulation technique are presented in Appendix A.

4.3 Meteorological Data Analysis

A statistical model for winds in Ottawa was developed from approximately 40 years of hourly meteorological wind data recorded at Ottawa Macdonald-Cartier International Airport and obtained from Environment and Climate Change Canada. Wind speed and direction data were analyzed for each month of the year to determine the statistically prominent wind directions and corresponding speeds, and to characterize similarities between monthly weather patterns.

The statistical model of the Ottawa area wind climate, which indicates the directional character of local winds on a seasonal basis, is illustrated on the following page. The plots illustrate seasonal distribution of measured wind speeds and directions in kilometers per hour (km/h). Probabilities of occurrence of different wind speeds are represented as stacked polar bars in sixteen azimuth divisions. The radial direction represents the percentage of time for various wind speed ranges per wind direction during the measurement period. The preferred wind speeds and directions can be identified by the longer length of the bars. For Ottawa, the most common winds occur for westerly wind directions, followed by those from the east, while the most common wind speeds are below 36 km/h. The directional preference and relative magnitude of wind speed changes somewhat from season to season.

SEASONAL DISTRIBUTION OF WIND
OTTAWA MACDONALD-CARTIER INTERNATIONAL AIRPORT



Notes:

1. Radial distances indicate percentage of time of wind events.
2. Wind speeds are mean hourly in km/h, measured at 10 m above the ground.

4.4 Pedestrian Comfort and Safety Criteria – City of Ottawa

Pedestrian comfort and safety criteria are based on the mechanical effects of wind without consideration of other meteorological conditions (i.e., temperature, relative humidity). The comfort criteria assume that pedestrians are appropriately dressed for a specified outdoor activity during any given season. Five pedestrian comfort classes are based on 20% non-exceedance mean wind speed ranges, which include (1) Sitting; (2) Standing; (3) Strolling; (4) Walking; and (5) Uncomfortable. More specifically, the comfort classes and associated mean wind speed ranges are summarized as follows:

1) **Sitting:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 10 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 16 km/h.

2) **Standing:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 14 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 22 km/h.

3) **Strolling:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 17 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 27 km/h.

4) **Walking:** Mean wind speeds no greater than 20 km/h occurring at least 80% of the time. The equivalent gust wind speed is approximately 32 km/h.

5) **Uncomfortable:** Uncomfortable conditions are characterized by predicted values that fall below the 80% target for walking. Brisk walking and exercise, such as jogging, would be acceptable for moderate excesses of this criterion.

The pedestrian safety wind speed criterion is based on the approximate threshold that would cause a vulnerable member of the population to fall. A 0.1% exceedance gust wind speed of 90 km/h is classified as dangerous. The gust speeds, and equivalent mean speeds, are selected based on 'The Beaufort Scale', presented on the following page, which describes the effects of forces produced by varying wind speed levels on objects. Gust speeds are included because pedestrians tend to be more sensitive to wind gusts than to steady winds for lower wind speed ranges. For strong winds approaching dangerous levels, this effect is less important because the mean wind can also create problems for pedestrians.

THE BEAUFORT SCALE

Number	Description	Wind Speed (km/h)		Description
		Mean	Gust	
2	Light Breeze	6-11	9-17	Wind felt on faces
3	Gentle Breeze	12-19	18-29	Leaves and small twigs in constant motion; wind extends light flags
4	Moderate Breeze	20-28	30-42	Wind raises dust and loose paper; small branches are moved
5	Fresh Breeze	29-38	43-57	Small trees in leaf begin to sway
6	Strong Breeze	39-49	58-74	Large branches in motion; Whistling heard in electrical wires; umbrellas used with difficulty
7	Moderate Gale	50-61	75-92	Whole trees in motion; inconvenient walking against wind
8	Gale	62-74	93-111	Breaks twigs off trees; generally impedes progress

Experience and research on people's perception of mechanical wind effects has shown that if the wind speed levels are exceeded for more than 20% of the time, the activity level would be judged to be uncomfortable by most people. For instance, if a mean wind speed of 10 km/h were exceeded for more than 20% of the time most pedestrians would judge that location to be too windy for sitting. Similarly, if a mean wind speed of 20 km/h at a location were exceeded for more than 20% of the time, walking or less vigorous activities would be considered uncomfortable. As these criteria are based on subjective reactions of a population to wind forces, their application is partly based on experience and judgment.

Once the pedestrian wind speed predictions have been established throughout the site, the assessment of pedestrian comfort involves determining the suitability of the predicted wind conditions for discrete regions within and surrounding the subject site. This step involves comparing the predicted comfort classes to the desired comfort classes, which are dictated by the location type for each region (i.e., a sidewalk, building entrance, amenity space, or other). An overview of common pedestrian location types and their desired comfort classes are summarized on the following page.

DESIRED PEDESTRIAN COMFORT CLASSES FOR VARIOUS LOCATION TYPES

Location Types	Desired Comfort Classes
Primary Building Entrance	Standing
Secondary Building Access Point	Standing / Strolling / Walking
Primary Public Sidewalk	Strolling / Walking
Secondary Public Sidewalk / Bicycle Path	Walking
Outdoor Amenity Space	Sitting / Standing / Strolling
Café / Patio / Bench / Garden	Sitting
Transit Stop	Sitting / Standing
Public Park / Plaza	Standing / Strolling
Garage / Service Entrance	Walking
Parking Lot	Strolling / Walking
Vehicular Drop-Off Zone	Standing / Strolling / Walking

5. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following discussion of predicted pedestrian wind conditions is accompanied by Figures 3A-6B, which illustrate seasonal wind conditions at grade level for the proposed and approved massing scenarios, and Figures 7A-7D, which illustrate seasonal wind conditions over the rooftop amenity terrace serving the proposed development. Wind conditions are presented as continuous contours of wind comfort within and surrounding the subject site. The colour contours indicate various wind comfort classes predicted for certain regions, which correspond to the City of Ottawa wind comfort criteria in Section 4.4. Wind conditions comfortable for sitting or more sedentary activities are represented by the colour green, standing are represented by yellow, strolling by orange, and walking by blue. Uncomfortable conditions are represented by magenta.

Regarding the rooftop amenity terrace, Figures 8A and 8B illustrate wind comfort conditions and the percentage of time the roof areas are predicted to be suitable for sitting during the typical use period, defined as May to October, inclusive. Figure 8A represents wind comfort based on the definitions in Section 4.4, as noted above, while Figure 8B represents sitting percentages. Pedestrian conditions are summarized in the following pages for each area of interest.

5.1 Wind Comfort Conditions – Grade Level

Sidewalk and Building Entrances along Richmond Road: Following the introduction of the proposed development, the sidewalk along Richmond Road is predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of mostly standing and strolling throughout the remainder of the year. Owing to the protection of the building façade, conditions in the vicinity of building entrances are predicted to be suitable for sitting throughout the year. The noted conditions are considered acceptable according to the guidelines in Section 4.4.

Near the transit stop to the northeast, which includes a shelter, conditions are predicted to be suitable for a mix of standing and strolling during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of strolling and walking throughout the remainder of the year. The noted conditions are considered acceptable according to the guidelines in Section 4.4.

The introduction of the proposed development results in mostly similar conditions along the Richmond Road sidewalk, in comparison to existing conditions. Conditions over the Richmond Road sidewalk with the approved massing are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, becoming suitable for mostly standing during the autumn, and suitable for a mix of standing and strolling during the spring and winter. Near the transit stop to the northeast, which includes a shelter, conditions with the approved massing are predicted to be suitable for standing during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of strolling and walking throughout the remainder of the year.

Neighbouring Parking Lot and Laneway along East Elevation: Following the introduction of the proposed development, the neighbouring parking lot along the east elevation is predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of standing and strolling during the autumn, and suitable for a mix of sitting, standing, and walking during the spring and winter. The noted conditions are considered acceptable according to the guidelines in Section 4.4.

Conditions along the laneway are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the summer and autumn, becoming suitable for a mix of standing and strolling during the spring and winter. The noted conditions are considered acceptable according to the guidelines in Section 4.4.

The introduction of the proposed development results in slightly windier conditions over the neighbouring parking lot along the east elevation, in comparison to existing conditions. Conditions over the parking lot with the approved massing are predicted to be suitable for mostly sitting during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the spring and autumn, and suitable for a mix of sitting, standing, and strolling during the winter. The increases in wind speed at grade are consistent with expectations for a tall building in an open or suburban context.

Outdoor Amenity Space and Building Entrances along North Elevation: Conditions over the amenity space at the northwest corner of the proposed development are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing during the typical use period of late spring through early autumn, becoming suitable for a mix of standing and strolling throughout the remainder of the year. During the typical use period, the standing conditions are located at the west edge of the amenity space. Depending on the programming of the space, these conditions may be considered acceptable. If required, sitting conditions may be extended over the full amenity space using landscape features such as glazed wind barriers or coniferous plantings in dense arrangements along the north and east perimeters of the space.

Owing to the protection of the building façade, conditions in the vicinity of building entrances are predicted to be suitable for sitting throughout the year. The noted conditions are considered acceptable according to the guidelines in Section 4.4.

Neighbouring Parking Lot along West Elevation: Following the introduction of the proposed development, the neighbouring parking lot along the west elevation is predicted to be suitable for mostly sitting during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of sitting and standing throughout the remainder of the year. A small region at the northeast of the parking lot is predicted to be suitable for standing during the summer and autumn, becoming suitable for strolling during the spring and winter. The noted conditions are considered acceptable according to the guidelines in Section 4.4.

The introduction of the proposed development results in slightly windier conditions over the neighbouring parking lot along the west elevation, in comparison to existing conditions. Conditions over the parking lot with the approved massing are predicted to be suitable for sitting during the summer, becoming suitable for a mix of sitting and standing throughout the remainder of the year. The increases in wind speed at grade are consistent with expectations for a tall building in an open or suburban context.

5.2 Wind Comfort Conditions – Common Amenity Terrace

Rooftop Amenity Terrace: The amenity terrace atop the proposed development is predicted to be mostly suitable for sitting during the typical use period with standing conditions located along the perimeters of the terrace. To extend the sitting conditions over the full area, it is recommended that a 1.6-m-tall barrier, typically glazed, be installed around the perimeter of the terrace.

5.3 Wind Safety

Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas surrounding the subject site at grade level or on the common amenity terrace were found to experience conditions that could be considered dangerous, as defined in Section 4.4.

5.4 Applicability of Results

Wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks beyond the subject site, as well as at nearby primary building entrances, are predicted to be acceptable for their intended pedestrian uses during each seasonal period upon the introduction of the proposed development. Notably, while conditions over the walkway between the buildings at 773 Richmond Road and 75 Cleary Avenue are predicted to be moderately windy, these windy conditions are also predicted for the approved massing scenario (i.e., prior to the introduction of the proposed development).

Pedestrian wind comfort and safety have been quantified for the specific configuration of existing and foreseeable construction around the subject site. Future changes (i.e., construction or demolition) of these surroundings may cause changes to the wind effects in two ways, namely: (i) changes beyond the immediate vicinity of the site would alter the wind profile approaching the site; and (ii) development in proximity to the site would cause changes to local flow patterns. In general, development in urban centers generally creates reduction in the mean wind speeds and localized increases in the gustiness of the wind.

Regarding primary and secondary building access points, wind conditions predicted in this study are only applicable to pedestrian comfort and safety. As such, the results should not be construed to indicate wind loading on doors and associated hardware.

6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

A complete summary of the predicted wind conditions is provided in Section 5 and illustrated in Figures 3A-8B. Based on computer simulations using the CFD technique, meteorological data analysis of the Ottawa wind climate, City of Ottawa wind comfort and safety criteria, and experience with numerous similar developments in Ottawa, the study concludes the following:

1) All grade-level areas within and surrounding the subject site are predicted to be acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. Specifically, wind conditions over surrounding sidewalks, building access points, transit stops, and nearby parking lots are considered acceptable for the intended pedestrian uses throughout the year. One exception is as follows:
    a. During the typical use period, conditions over the amenity space at the northwest corner of the proposed development are predicted to be suitable for a mix of sitting and standing. Depending on the programming of the space, these conditions may be considered acceptable. If required, sitting conditions may be extended over the full area using landscape features such as glazed wind barriers or coniferous plantings in dense arrangements along the north and east perimeters of the space.
2) To extend sitting conditions over the full rooftop amenity terrace, it is recommended that a 1.6-m-tall barrier, typically glazed, be installed around the full perimeter of the terrace.
3) Within the context of typical weather patterns, which exclude anomalous localized storm events such as tornadoes and downbursts, no pedestrian areas within and surrounding the subject site were found to experience conditions that could be considered dangerous.


Sincerely,

Gradient Wind Engineering Inc.



Daniel Davalos, MESc.
Junior Wind Scientist

Steven Hall, M.A.Sc., P.Eng.
Senior Wind Engineer




GRADIENTWIND
ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON
613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM

PROJECT	797 RICHMOND ROAD, OTTAWA PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY
SCALE	1:1500
DRAWING NO.	21-048-PLW-1A
DATE	MAY 6, 2021
DRAWN BY	O.R.

DESCRIPTION

FIGURE 1A:
PROPOSED SCENARIO
SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT



GRADIENTWIND
ENGINEERS & SCIENTISTS

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON
613 836 0934 • GRADIENTWIND.COM

PROJECT	797 RICHMOND ROAD, OTTAWA PEDESTRIAN LEVEL WIND STUDY
SCALE	1:1500
DRAWING NO.	21-048-PLW-1B
DATE	MAY 6, 2021
DRAWN BY	O.R.

DESCRIPTION

FIGURE 1B:
APPROVED SCENARIO
SITE PLAN AND SURROUNDING CONTEXT




FIGURE 2A: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, PROPOSED MASSING, EAST PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2B: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2A




FIGURE 2C: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, APPROVED MASSING, EAST PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2D: CLOSE-UP VIEW OF FIGURE 2C




FIGURE 2E: COMPUTATIONAL MODEL, PROPOSED MASSING, WEST PERSPECTIVE




FIGURE 2F: CLOSE UP OF FIGURE 2E




FIGURE 3A: SPRING – PROPOSED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 3B: SPRING – APPROVED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 4A: SUMMER – PROPOSED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 4B: SUMMER – APPROVED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 5A: AUTUMN – PROPOSED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL



FIGURE 5B: AUTUMN – APPROVED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 6A: WINTER – PROPOSED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 6B: WINTER – APPROVED MASSING – WIND COMFORT CONDITIONS, GRADE LEVEL




FIGURE 7A: SPRING – WIND COMFORT, ROOFTOP AMENITY TERRACE




FIGURE 7B: SUMMER – WIND COMFORT, ROOFTOP AMENITY TERRACE




FIGURE 7C: AUTUMN – WIND COMFORT, ROOFTOP AMENITY TERRACE




FIGURE 7D: WINTER – WIND COMFORT, ROOFTOP AMENITY TERRACE




FIGURE 8A: TYPICAL USE PERIOD – WIND COMFORT, ROOFTOP AMENITY TERRACE




FIGURE 8B: TYPICAL USE PERIOD – PERCENTAGE OF TIME SUITABLE FOR SITTING, TERRACE



APPENDIX A

SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

127 WALGREEN ROAD, OTTAWA, ON, CANADA K0A 1L0 | 613 836 0934
GRADIENTWIND.COM

SIMULATION OF THE ATMOSPHERIC BOUNDARY LAYER

The atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) is defined by the velocity and turbulence profiles according to industry standard practices. The mean wind profile can be represented, to a good approximation, by a power law relation, Equation (1), giving height above ground versus wind speed [1], [2].

$$U = U_g \left(\frac{Z}{Z_g}\right)^{\alpha} \qquad \text{Equation (1)}$$

where, $\boldsymbol{U}$ = mean wind speed, $\boldsymbol{U_g}$ = gradient wind speed, $\boldsymbol{Z}$ = height above ground, $\boldsymbol{Z_g}$ = depth of the boundary layer (gradient height), and α is the power law exponent.

For the model, U_g is set to 6.5 metres per second (m/s), which approximately corresponds to the 60% mean wind speed for Ottawa based on historical climate data and statistical analyses. When the results are normalized by this velocity, they are relatively insensitive to the selection of gradient wind speed.

Z_g is set to 540 m. The selection of gradient height is relatively unimportant, so long as it exceeds the building heights surrounding the subject site. The value has been selected to correspond to our physical wind tunnel reference value.

α is determined based on the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings (i.e., the area that it not captured within the simulation model).

Table 1 presents the values of α used in this study, while Table 2 presents several reference values of α. When the upstream exposure of the far-field surroundings is a mixture of multiple types of terrain, the α values are a weighted average with terrain that is closer to the subject site given greater weight.

TABLE 1: UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE) VS TRUE WIND DIRECTION

Wind Direction (Degrees True)	Alpha Value (α)
0	0.16
49	0.23
74	0.24
103	0.24
167	0.24
197	0.24
217	0.25
237	0.21
262	0.15
282	0.17
302	0.17
324	0.17

TABLE 2: DEFINITION OF UPSTREAM EXPOSURE (ALPHA VALUE)

Upstream Exposure Type	Alpha Value (α)
Open Water	0.14-0.15
Open Field	0.16-0.19
Light Suburban	0.21-0.24
Heavy Suburban	0.24-0.27
Light Urban	0.28-0.30
Heavy Urban	0.31-0.33

The turbulence model in the computational fluid dynamics (CFD) simulations is a two-equation shear-stress transport (SST) model, and thus the ABL turbulence profile requires that two parameters be defined at the inlet of the domain. The turbulence profile is defined following the recommendations of the Architectural Institute of Japan for flat terrain [3].

$$I(Z) = \begin{cases} 0.1\left(\frac{Z}{Z_g}\right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z > 10\ \text{m} \\ 0.1\left(\frac{10}{Z_g}\right)^{-\alpha-0.05}, & Z \leq 10\ \text{m} \end{cases} \qquad \text{Equation (2)}$$

$$L_t(Z) = \begin{cases} 100\ \text{m}\sqrt{\frac{Z}{30}}, & Z > 30\ \text{m} \\ 100\ \text{m}, & Z \leq 30\ \text{m} \end{cases} \qquad \text{Equation (3)}$$

where, I = turbulence intensity, L_t = turbulence length scale, Z = height above ground, and α is the power law exponent used for the velocity profile in Equation (1).

Boundary conditions on all other domain boundaries are defined as follows: the ground is a no-slip surface; the side walls of the domain have a symmetry boundary condition; the top of the domain has a specified shear, which maintains a constant wind speed at gradient height; and the outlet has a static pressure boundary condition.

REFERENCES

[1] P. Arya, "Chapter 10: Near-neutral Boundary Layers," in *Introduction to Micrometeorology*, San Diego, California, Academic Press, 2001.

[2] S. A. Hsu, E. A. Meindl and D. B. Gilhousen, "Determining the Power-Law WInd Profile Exponent under Near-neutral Stability Conditions at Sea," vol. 33, no. 6, 1994.

[3] Y. Tamura, H. Kawai, Y. Uematsu, K. Kondo and T. Okhuma, "Revision of AIJ Recommendations for Wind Loads on Buildings," in *The International Wind Engieering Symposium, IWES 2003*, Taiwan, 2003.