

2021-02-18

**Eric Brisson**, President  
Bridor Developments  
996-B St-Augustin  
Embrun, ON | K0A 1W0  
613-443-3575  
eric.brisson@oligogroup.com

---


**Bridor Developments – 1592 Tenth Line Apartment Buildings  
Noise Impact Study**

Dear Eric,

We are pleased to present the following traffic noise study for a new proposed residential development of two stacked townhome apartment buildings at 1592 Tenth Line Road in Ottawa, Ontario. As part of the Site Plan Application (SPA), the City of Ottawa has requested a noise study to be completed. The planned development is for two new apartment buildings consisting of stacked townhomes with a total of 30 residential units between the two buildings, which is in proximity to Tenth Line Road. As per City of Ottawa requirements, noise from traffic and noise from the new buildings to the surrounding area must be considered. There is no significant or large noise-making equipment included in the design of the new building, therefore noise from the new development to the surrounding area will be minimal and we will only be conducting a brief analysis for noise from small condensing units to be used for each unit. In addition, there are no sources of significant noise from the surrounding area that may impact the new development.

This study considers traffic noise from Tenth Line Road (~12.5m from the east façade of the closest building). This noise source is the only traffic noise source considered in this study. All other noise sources, such as other main or arterial roads, principal rail lines and airport influence zone are outside of limits as per the City of Ottawa ENCG and Schedule G of the City of Ottawa Official Plan.

It was found that noise levels at the plane of window (POW) at each of the PORs analyzed are above 55 dBA and a detailed building component analysis was completed. Mitigation measures above the Ontario Building Code (OBC) were found to be required for windows on the east façade of the building further from Tenth Line Road. Our full traffic noise analysis is provided in Section 4.0 and 5.0. In addition, we have also addressed any potential noise from the condensing units to the surrounding area for the new development as well and have provided some general recommendations in Section 6.0.



## 1.0 Introduction

State of the Art Acoustik Inc. was commissioned by Bridor Developments to complete a noise impact study as requested by the City of Ottawa for the site plan application of two proposed apartment buildings consisting of stacked townhomes to be located at 1592 Tenth Line Road in Ottawa, Ontario. We have followed the 2016 City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG), which are compliant with the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) NPC-300.

In Section 2.0, the site plan of the building is shown and surrounding area is analyzed for possible noise sources which would impact the proposed development. This section also shows angles and distances from the sources to receptor points. This study includes only noise from road sources and there is no other nearby sources. In addition, this analysis includes a brief analysis of stationary noise to the surrounding area from the small condensing units that are to be used for heating and air conditioning in each unit.

In Section 3.0, the noise impact calculation procedure is described and in Section 4.0, the predicted noise impact from Tenth Line Road has been analyzed. Section 5.0 provides a detailed analysis of the building components of the development, as the noise levels at the exterior PORs is above 55 dBA.


## 2.0 Site Plan Evaluation

### 2.1 Project Description

The proposed development consists of two new residential buildings, with three storeys of stacked townhomes. The building is located at 1592 Tenth Line Road in Ottawa, Ontario. The area surrounding the development consists primarily of low-rise residential and public buildings, including a recreation complex and public library across the road. We have considered traffic noise from Tenth Line Road as the only traffic noise source for this location, as per the City of Ottawa requirements, and all other potential road noise sources are outside of the distances outlined in Section 2.2.1 of the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines.

### 2.2 Site Plan Review

The following Figure 2.1 shows the site plan of the proposed buildings including its proximity to Tenth Line Road, which is located approximately 12.5m from the closest façade of the closest building. Figure 2.2 shows the proposed site with the distance and angles to Tenth Line Road indicated. Tenth Line Road is indicated as an arterial road, as per City of Ottawa Schedule G.








Figure 2.1 – Site plan of 1592 Tenth Line Road.




**STATE OF THE ART ACOUSTIK INC.**

43 – 1010 Polytek Street Ottawa, ON K1J 9J3 www.sota.ca E: sota@sota.ca T: 613-745-2003 F: 613-745-9687



**Figure 2.2** – Surrounding area 1592 Tenth Line Road with locations, distances and angles of relevant noise sources



**STATE OF THE ART ACOUSTIK INC.**

43 – 1010 Polytek Street Ottawa, ON K1J 9J3 www.sota.ca E: sota@sota.ca T: 613-745-2003 F: 613-745-9687

### 3.0 NOISE IMPACT PROCEDURE

#### 3.1 Procedure Used to Assess Noise Impacts

This assessment uses the City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines (ENCG), dated January 2016, to assess and mitigate noise from roads, transit ways, railways and aircraft. The maximum road noise levels for indoor areas that apply to this building are taken from Table 2.2b of the ENCG and summarized in Table 3.1 below with outdoor level limits shown in Table 3.2.

Time	Indoor Leq Levels (dBA) Class 1, 2 & 3 Areas
	Road Traffic Noise Level Limit (dBA)
07:00 – 23:00	45 for living/dining areas of residences and sleeping quarters
07:00 – 23:00	50 for general offices, reception areas, retail stores, etc.
23:00 - 07:00	40 for sleeping quarters

**Table 3.1 – Criteria for Indoor Area Road Noise Levels**

The ENCG states that noise control studies are to be prepared when the indoor area is within the following setback distances from the road, highway and railway noise sources:

- 100m from an arterial road or a major collector, light rail corridor or bus rapid transitway
- 250m from an existing or proposed highway
- 300m from a proposed or existing rail corridor or secondary main railway line
- 500m from a 400-series provincial highway or principle main railway line

Tenth Line Road is within 100m of the planned development and therefore an analysis of the impact of traffic noise is required.


#### 3.2 Noise Attenuation Requirements

This section outlines the required noise control measures and warning clauses and when to apply them, as stipulated by the ENCG for placement within purchase agreements.

If sound levels are predicted to be less than the specified criteria, no attenuation measures are required on the part of the proponent. If the predicted noise exceeds the criteria, the City of Ottawa recommends several attenuation measures.

These attenuation measures may include any or all of the following:

- construction of a noise barrier wall and/or berm;
- installation of a forced air ventilation system with provision for central air;
- installation of central air;
- acoustically selected building façade components






Where excessive noise levels may adversely affect the property or its use, the ENCG requires notices in the form of a Warning Clause to be placed on title in order to alert the buyer or renter of a possible environmental noise condition or a limitation on his/her property rights. The notices on title must be included in the Development Agreement(s) and in the Agreement(s) or Offer(s) of Purchase and Sale.

The City of Ottawa requires a Warning Clause whenever noise could meet or exceed 55 dBA 16 hour  $L_{eq}$  at the Outdoor Living Area or Plane of Window of any living or sleeping area prior to any noise mitigation.

Table 3.2 provides the types of warning clauses and example text to be adapted into warning clauses. These warning clauses should be taken as example only and are taken from Appendix A of the ENCG which also states:

*“A warning clause is not considered a form of noise mitigation. It is not acceptable therefore to use warning clauses in place of physical noise control measures to identify an excess over the MOE or City noise limits.”*

TYPE	Example Text	Notes
Generic	<p>Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transit way traffic may occasionally interfere with some outdoor activities as the sound levels may exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment. To help address the need for sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an indoor environment that is within provincial guidelines. Possible measures for sound attenuation include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• multi-pane glass;</li> <li>• brick veneer;</li> <li>• concrete panels;</li> </ul>	<p>The generic warning clause outlines that MOE sound levels may be exceeded but the indoor environment is within guidelines. Mitigation measures are described including urban design features. Mention is also made of landscaping to screen the development visually from the source of noise.</p>
Extensive mitigation of indoor and outdoor amenity area	<p>“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic may, on occasion, interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</p> <p>To help address the need for sound attenuation this development may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• multi-pane glass;</li> <li>• brick veneer;</li> <li>• construction of a solid fence in backyard area</li> </ul> <p>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features. This dwelling unit has also been designed with the provision</p>	<p>The warning clause makes reference to MOE sound levels being exceeded from time to time and that there are sound attenuation features and landscaping within the development that should be maintained.</p>



	for adding central air conditioning at the occupant's discretion. Installation of central air conditioning will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.	
No outdoor amenity area	<p>Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic will interfere with outdoor activities as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment. To help address the need for sound attenuation this development may includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• multi-pane glass;</li> <li>• brick veneer;</li> <li>• construction of a solid fence in backyard area</li> </ul> <p>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features. This dwelling unit has been supplied with a central air conditioning system and other measures which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</p>	This warning clause notes that only an indoor environment is being provided for.

**Table 3.3** - Warning Clause Types and Example Text from the City of Ottawa (from ENCG Table A1)

### 3.3 Building Component Assessment (AIF Analysis)

According to the ENCG, when noise levels could exceed 55 dBA at the Plane of Windows (POW) of a living area (day) or sleeping quarters (night) the exterior cladding system of the building envelope must be acoustically designed to ensure the indoor noise criteria is achieved. The City of Ottawa recognizes the Acoustic Insulation Factor (AIF<sup>1</sup>) method as an appropriate analysis technique.

To comply with the City of Ottawa policies, the building envelope will require a minimum AIF rating to provide the indoor noise level required for living, dining and bedrooms of residential dwellings as described below.


The City of Ottawa's ENCG outlines the following maximum indoor  $L_{eq}$  limits:

- maximum daytime indoor  $L_{eq}$  for living spaces should be 45 dBA
- maximum nighttime indoor  $L_{eq}$  for bedrooms should be 40 dBA

For the overall exterior wall of any room, the required AIF for road and rail transportation noise is:

$$\text{Required AIF} = \text{Outside } L_{eq} - \text{Indoor } L_{eq} (\text{Req}) + 2\text{dB} \quad (1)$$

When the exterior is comprised of components, then the AIF required of each component is determined by the following equation<sup>1</sup>:



$$\text{Required AIF} = \text{Outside } L_{eq} - \text{Indoor } L_{eq} (\text{Req}) + 10 \log_{10} (\text{Number of Components}) + 2\text{dB} \quad (2)$$

The required AIF is based on the Outside  $L_{eq}$ , Indoor  $L_{eq}$  required and the total number of exterior façade components. The AIF method allows for the number of components to be reduced if any component significantly exceeds the required AIF<sup>1</sup>:

“If the AIF of any component exceeds the required AIF by 10 or more, the calculation should be repeated for the other components with the ‘total number of components’ reduced by one. This reduction in the number of components lowers the required AIF for the others.”

<sup>1</sup> J.D. Quirt, Building Research Note: Acoustic Insulation Factor: A Rating for the Insulation of Buildings against Outdoor Noise, National Research Council [Revised June 1980]

## 4.0 Surface Transportation Noise Study

The following section describes our analysis of the road noise impact on the two new proposed buildings at 1592 Tenth Line Road.


### 4.1 Road Traffic Information

For this study, the only surface transportation noise sources considered was traffic from Tenth Line Road, which is located to the east of the front façade of the new building. The new proposed buildings are farther than 100m from any other collector or arterial road, and are not near any rail lines or within the zone of influence of the airport therefore no other surface noise sources are considered.

Table 4.1 below summarizes the roadway’s parameters obtained from Table B1 on p. 75 of The City of Ottawa Environmental Noise Control Guidelines 2016, “Appendix B: Table of Traffic and Road Parameters To Be Used For Sound Level Predictions” for the respective roadway class.

Roadway	Implied Roadway Class	Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT) Veh/Day	Posted Speed	Day/Night Split (%)	Medium Trucks (%)	Heavy Trucks (%)
<b>Tenth Line Rd.</b>	4 Lane Urban Arterial - Undivided	30,000	60 km/h	92/8	7	5

**Table 4.1 – Summary of Major Roadway Noise Sources.**





## 4.2 Procedure Used for Roadway Noise Analysis

In order to calculate the road noise impact at the proposed development, we utilized the Ministry of Environment's STAMSON modeling software version 5.04. This program allows us to input variables of a road such as traffic volume, types of vehicles, speed, barrier locations and topography to determine the environmental noise impact at a point of reception.

## 4.3 Points of Reception

To determine the worst case noise impact on the façade of the building, we have chosen two points of reception (POR); at the north east corner on the ground floor of each townhome building. According to the drawings, there are no bedrooms along the façade of the building closest to Tenth Line Rd and these rooms are the main living area of each unit on each floor. In the building further from Tenth Line Road, there are bedrooms along the façade closest to Tenth Line Rd and therefore the most north east bedroom will be the second point of reception. Each POR is at the plane of window (POW) of the 1<sup>st</sup> floor at a height of 1.5m. The position of our points of reception is shown in Figure 4.1 and 4.2 indicated by the blue cross. Table 4.2 below summarizes receiver heights and distances.





Figure 4.1 – 1<sup>st</sup> floor plan view showing POR1.





**Figure 4.2** – Front elevation view showing POR1.

A front view elevation of the building further from Tenth Line was not provided however is similar to the front elevation shown for POR1.

Receiver	Height (m)	Distance from Closest Source	Angle to source segment from POR (left)	Angle to source segment from POR (right)
POR1	1.5	~12.5m (Tenth Line Rd.)	90°	90°
POR2	1.5	~34m (Tenth Line Rd.)	90°	90°

**Table 4.2** – Table of receiver height and distance from noise source.


#### 4.4 Parameters Used for Analysis

The parameters used in STAMSON to assess the noise impact at POR1 are shown below in Table 4.3:

Parameter	Values Used
Noise Source:	Tenth Line Rd
Time Period	16h/8h
Topography	Flat/gentle slope no barrier
Rows of Houses	0
Density of First Row%	N/A
Intermediate Surface	Reflective
Receiver Height (m)	1.5
Source Receiver Distance (m)*	15

**Table 4.3** – Parameters used in STAMSON model at POR1 (1<sup>st</sup> floor living area)

\*The minimum source-receiver distance that can be input into STAMSON is 15m.



The parameters used in STAMSON to assess the noise impact at POR2 are shown below in Table 4.4:

Parameter	Values Used
Noise Source:	Tenth Line Rd
Time Period	16h/8h
Topography	Flat/gentle slope no barrier
Rows of Houses	0
Density of First Row%	N/A
Intermediate Surface	Reflective
Receiver Height (m)	1.5
Source Receiver Distance* (m)	34

**Table 4.4** – Parameters used in STAMSON model at POR 2 (south-west bedroom, Block A)

We have assessed both daytime and nighttime levels for POR1 and POR2.

#### 4.5 Surface Transportation Noise Levels


Table 4.5 below summarizes the predicted sound pressure levels at the points of reception from the results of the STAMSON environmental noise software calculation (Appendix A) for Tenth Line Rd.

	POR 1 (dBA)		POR 2 (dBA)	
	Day	Night	Day	Night
<b>Tenth Line Rd.</b>	73.0	65.4	69.5	61.8
<b>Total</b>	<b>73.0</b>	<b>65.4</b>	<b>69.5</b>	<b>61.8</b>

**Table 4.5** – Predicted Road Noise at each Point of Reception

#### 4.6 Roadway Noise Summary and Analysis

We have calculated the predicted noise level caused by traffic using STAMSON and have shown a 16h  $L_{eq}$  for daytime hours is **73.0 dBA**, at POR1 and **69.5 dBA** at POR2. The 8h  $L_{eq}$  for nighttime hours at POR1 is **65.4 dBA** and at POR2 is **61.8 dBA**. As the levels during the day and at night are above 55 dBA, an evaluation of exterior building components (AIF analysis) is required. Detailed preliminary assemblies for the exterior walls were not yet available, however we the exterior is to be a combination of vinyl siding and brick and we have based preliminary assemblies based on this information and common exterior wall assemblies. Each POR is on the ground floor which has the stone exterior wall assembly. The assembly is listed below for the PORs in this report and is analyzed in the following section.



## EXTERIOR STONE / BRICK MASONRY WALL – POR1 and POR2

- Stone / brick masonry.
- 1" rigid insulation
- 6" steel studs @16" o.c. max.
- 5.5" batt insulation
- 5/8" type x gypsum board

## 5.0 Exterior Building Component Analysis (AIF Method)

In this section, we determine if the building complies with the City of Ottawa's ENCG indoor noise requirements based on the existing or proposed wall and window construction. We compare the required minimum façade AIF to the estimated AIF of the currently selected façade materials.

### 5.1.1 Building Components

The current design of each POR's façades is made up of the following components:


- 1) Exterior wall (Brick/stone for POR1 and POR2)
- 2) Window (No assembly yet specified, will be determined through AIF analysis)

The proposed exterior wall compositions at each POR are as given in the previous section and Table 5.1 below. The façades are composed of stone/brick and as shown in Figure 4.2. The wall type for POR1 and POR2 (stone) is sufficiently similar to wall type EW5 described in the Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) document "Road and Rail Noise: Effects on Housing". Table 5.1 shows a comparison of these wall compositions.

Exterior Wall Assembly	CMHC Road and Rail Noise Wall Type
-Stone / brick masonry. -1" rigid insulation -6" steel studs @16" o.c. max. -5.5" batt insulation -5/8" type x gypsum board	<b>Wall Type EW5</b> -100mm brick veneer -25mm airspace -Sheathing -50mm mineral wool or glass fibre batts -38x89mm wood studs -12.7mm gypsum board

**Table 5.1** – Comparison of new building exterior wall and equivalent wall from CMHC, Road and Rail Noise: Effects on Housing.


There are no glazing assemblies indicated in the drawings and therefore we have assumed a double pane window that meets minimum OBC requirements such as the following example:




Basic Window Assembly
3m glazing
13mm interplane spacing
3mm glazing


**Table 5.2** –Window Assembly used in Calculations

The calculation of AIF for each building component depends on the ratio of the area of a given component on the exterior to the total floor area of the corresponding interior room. Using plan view and elevation drawings, we have determined these dimensions for the living area of POR1 and bedroom of POR2 for which we determined the noise impact at each POR. The areas of the exterior wall components and ratios to the floor are given in Table 5.3 below. The layouts of the two spaces are shown in Figure 5.1 and Figure 5.2.




**Figure 5.1** – Layout of living area in ground floor unit used for analysis of POR1. Exterior wall assembly equivalent to CMHC wall type EW5.





**Figure 5.2** – Layout of bedroom in north east ground floor unit used for analysis of POR2 indicated in blue. Exterior wall assembly equivalent to CMHC wall type EW5.





### 5.1.2 AIF Calculations

Below in Table 5.4, we provide the results of our AIF calculations based on the procedure given in Section 3.3 and the building component information given in Section 5.1 and dimensions from the plans for each component at all PORs. Component AIFs are determined based on component area ratio to floor area given in CMHC “Road and Rail Noise: Effects on Housing” Tables 6.2 and 6.3. As stated in Section 3.3, if the AIF of any component exceeds the required AIF by 10 or more (Comp1 AIF > Init AIF +10), the calculation should be repeated for the other components with the ‘total number of components’ reduced by one. This gives the Final Required AIF for component 2 for which the component AIF is compared to.


POR1												
Room Floor Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Number of Components	Component Number	Component Type	Component Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	Component Area ratio to Floor Area (%)	Outside Leq	Required Indoor Leq	Initial Required AIF	Component AIF	Comp1 AIF > Init AIF +10	Final Required AIF	Acceptable Component AIF
30.9	2	1	Exterior Wall	20.2	65%	73	45	33	49	Yes	30	Yes
30.9	2	2	Window	8	26%	73	45	33	30	Yes	30	Yes

**Table 5.3** – POR1 AIF parameters used in calculations, resulting required AIF and component AIF, and statement if component AIF is acceptable.

POR2												
Room Floor Area (m2)	Number of Components	Component Number	Component Type	Component Area (m2)	Component Area ratio to Floor Area (%)	Outside Leq	Required Indoor Leq	Initial Required AIF	Component AIF	Comp1 AIF > Init AIF +10	Final Required AIF	Acceptable Component AIF
10.1	2	1	Exterior Wall	13.3	132%	70	45	30	46	Yes	27	Yes
10.1	2	2	Window	7.1	70%	70	45	30	26	Yes	27	No

**Table 5.4** – POR2 AIF parameters used in calculations, resulting required AIF and component AIF, and statement if component AIF is acceptable.

As noted in the final column of Table 5.4, the window assembly used in our calculation does not meet the AIF requirement for POR2 and needs to be improved.



For POR2, the window assembly must be a minimum AIF of AIF 27, which can be translated into an STC rating for the glazing, using the percentage area of the window to the total floor area in Table D2 in Appendix D of CMHC "Road and Rail Noise: Effects on Housing". By using this table, we obtain that a glazing assembly of at least STC 31 is to be used and have provided options in Table 5.5 below for meeting this requirement.

Recommended Glazing Assembly	STC Rating
4mm glazing 13mm airspace 4mm glazing	STC 32 (Test ID TR16-111)
5mm laminated glazing 13mm airspace 5mm glazing	STC 33 (Test ID TR16-112)

**Table 5.5 – Example glazing assemblies to meet AIF requirements at POR1.**

We would recommend an STC 31 rated glazing assembly for all windows and glass doors facing Tenth Line Road (east façade) for the building further from Tenth Line Road (Units 13 through 30). This is due to the larger ratio of window to floor area of the bedroom (POR2) which affects the AIF calculation more than for POR1 although POR1 is closer to Tenth Line Road. While not required, it would be beneficial to upgrade windows facing Tenth Line for the building closer to Tenth Line Rd to one of those given in Table 5.5 as well, as the AIF of the preliminary window assembly is at the AIF limit as shown in Table 5.3 (Component AIF = 30, Required AIF = 30).

## 5.2 Warning Clauses


Since the predicted noise level from surface transportation exceeds 55 dBA, a generic warning clause must be added to the development agreement.

The City of Ottawa requires a Warning Clause whenever noise could meet or exceed 55 dBA 16 hour Leq at the Outdoor Living Area or Plane of Window of any living or sleeping area prior to any noise mitigation.

Table 5.6 provides the types of warning clauses and example text to be adapted into warning clauses. These warning clauses should be taken as example only and are taken from Appendix A of the ENCG which also states:


*"A warning clause is not considered a form of noise mitigation. It is not acceptable therefore to use warning clauses in place of physical noise control measures to identify an excess over the MOE or City noise limits."*

TYPE	Example Text	Notes
Generic	Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transit way traffic may occasionally interfere with some outdoor activities as the sound levels may exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment. To help address the need for	The generic warning clause outlines that MOE sound levels may be exceeded but the indoor environment is within guidelines.



	<p>sound attenuation this development has been designed so as to provide an indoor environment that is within provincial guidelines. Measures for sound attenuation include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• multi-pane glass;</li> <li>• brick veneer;</li> <li>• concrete panels;</li> </ul>	<p>Mitigation measures are described including urban design features. Mention is also made of landscaping to screen the development visually from the source of noise.</p>
Extensive mitigation of indoor and outdoor amenity area	<p>“Purchasers/tenants are advised that despite the inclusion of noise control features in the development and within the building units, sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic may, on occasion, interfere with some activities of the dwelling occupants as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</p> <p>To help address the need for sound attenuation this development may include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• multi-pane glass;</li> <li>• brick veneer;</li> <li>• construction of a solid fence in backyard area</li> </ul> <p>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features. This dwelling unit has also been designed with the provision for adding central air conditioning at the occupant’s discretion. Installation of central air conditioning will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</p>	<p>The warning clause makes reference to MOE sound levels being exceeded from time to time and that there are sound attenuation features and landscaping within the development that should be maintained.</p>
No outdoor amenity area	<p>Purchasers/tenants are advised that sound levels due to increasing road/rail/Light Rail/transitway traffic will interfere with outdoor activities as the sound levels exceed the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</p> <p>To help address the need for sound attenuation this development may includes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• multi-pane glass;</li> <li>• brick veneer;</li> <li>• construction of a solid fence in backyard area</li> </ul> <p>To ensure that provincial sound level limits are not exceeded it is important to maintain these sound attenuation features. This dwelling unit has been supplied with a central air conditioning system and other measures which will allow windows and exterior doors to remain closed, thereby ensuring that the indoor sound levels are within the sound level limits of the City and the Ministry of the Environment.</p>	<p>This warning clause notes that only an indoor environment is being provided for.</p>

**Table 5.6 – Warning Clause Types and Example Text from the City of Ottawa (from ENCG Table A1)**



### 5.3 Traffic Noise Assessment Summary

#### Exterior Walls

##### Exterior Wall Assemblies

##### EXTERIOR STONE / BRICK MASONRY WALL


- Stone / brick masonry.
- 1" rigid insulation
- 6" steel studs @16" o.c. max.
- 5.5" batt insulation
- 5/8" type x gypsum board

The AIF value for the exterior wall is equivalent or exceeds the requirements significantly and no changes are required.

#### Exterior Glazing

We have provided recommended window assemblies for POR2 in Table 5.5 and have recommended that the glazing STC requirement of at least STC 31 be applied to all windows facing Tenth Line Road on all floors of the building further from Tenth Line Rd (Units 13-30). As demonstrated in the analysis of POR1, it is not necessary to maintain the STC 31 requirement for the building closer to Tenth Line Rd (Units 1-12) and a basic assembly of 3mm glazing, 13mm airspace and 3mm glazing can be used however as the Component AIF of this assembly is equal to the Required AIF calculated (AIF 30), it would be beneficial to upgrade this assembly to one given in Table 5.5 as well.

In addition, because the predicted noise level from surface transportation exceeds 55 dBA, a generic warning clause must be added to the development agreement.



## 6.0 Additional Noise Considerations

### 6.1 Stationary Noise to Surrounding Area


In addition to the noise impact from traffic onto the new development, it was also requested that the impact from equipment from the new development be addressed. Bridor Developments has indicated that there will not be any significant noise-making equipment associated with the proposed development such as MUA/AHUs, chillers, cooling towers, generators, etc. and that residential units are intended to have an internal boiler system with a small air handler in each unit with an AC condenser on the balcony. Therefore the only exterior noise generating equipment is the condensers on the balcony of each unit which do not generate a significant amount of noise. We have been provided with the proposed condensing units to be used, which are to be located on balconies, meaning that the closest condensing unit to an adjacent property will be approximately 12.5m from the balcony of the north west unit to the property line of the residence to the south. The units that will be used, as shown in the Appendix, have sound power levels of 54 dBA from which we can determine the sound pressure at a certain distance away. With a basic calculation, using the distance from the property line (12.5m), we can see that the resulting sound pressure levels are well below 45 dBA in Figure 6.2 and that even multiple units at this distance will not result in a sound pressure level even close to 45 dBA.

Point Source Lp from Lw, hemi-spherical radiation				Metric
	Lw		R1	
	54.0 dB		12.5 m	
			41.01 ft	
	Lp			
	24.1 dB			

**Figure 6.2** – Sound pressure level calculation at a distance of 12.5m at the closest property line.

Even combining two sources of the same sound power levels at the same distance away will result in a 3 dBA increase of the resulting sound power levels at the given distance. Therefore, the condensing units will not have a significant noise impact on the surrounding existing properties. We have also provided some general recommendations for these condensing units:

- Install units on neoprene mounts or pads such as Mason BR mounts or Mason SW pads so that minimal vibration is transmitted to the balcony and to the structure itself.
- Shield condensing units as much as possible from adjacent balconies, ideally with solid balcony dividers.
- Select quiet versions of condensing unit models if possible.



## 7.0 Conclusion

We have analyzed the traffic noise impact for road sources for the new proposed development to be located at 1592 Tenth Line Road. A detailed building component analysis was required as noise levels from the traffic noise sources (Tenth Line Rd) was greater than 55 dBA at the Plane of Window (POW) at each of the PORs. After completing a detailed AIF analysis of the exterior building components, the proposed exterior wall assemblies as listed in Section 5.1 are acceptable. We have recommended window assemblies for the windows and glass doors on the east façade of building further away from Tenth Line Road have an STC rating of at least STC 31 on all floors. We have provided sample assemblies to meet this requirement in Table 5.5.

In addition, the only noise generating equipment from the development to the surrounding area will be small condensing units on residential balconies, which should not be problematic for neighbouring properties however we have provided some general recommendations in order to minimize issues to adjacent units within the new development in Section 6.1.

If you have any questions or concerns regarding this report, please let us know.


Sincerely,

Patrick Richard, M.Sc.E.  
Acoustic Consultant

Approved By:



Donald Buchan, P.Eng  
Principal  
Buchan Lawton Parent Ltd.




**STATE OF THE ART ACOUSTIK INC.**

43 - 1010 Polytek Street Ottawa, ON K1J 9J3 [www.sota.ca](http://www.sota.ca) E: [sota@sota.ca](mailto:sota@sota.ca) T: 613-745-2003 F: 613-745-9687



## Appendix A STAMSON Calculations



**STATE OF THE ART ACOUSTIK INC.**

43 – 1010 Polytek Street   Ottawa, ON   K1J 9J3   [www.sota.ca](http://www.sota.ca)   E: [sota@sota.ca](mailto:sota@sota.ca)   T: 613-745-2003   F: 613-745-9687

STAMSON 5.0    NORMAL REPORT    Date: 10-02-2021 16:48:24  
 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: 1592tlr.te    Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours  
 Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Tenth Line (day/night)

-----  
 Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod \*  
 Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod \*  
 Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod \*  
 Posted speed limit : 60 km/h  
 Road gradient : 0 %  
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

\* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000  
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00  
 Number of Years of Growth : 10.00  
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00  
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00  
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Tenth Line (day/night)

-----  
 Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg  
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)  
 No of house rows : 0 / 0  
 Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)  
 Receiver source distance : 15.00 / 15.00 m  
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m  
 Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)  
 Reference angle : 0.00


Results segment # 1: Tenth Line (day)

-----  
 Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 73.01 + 0.00) = 73.01 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-----  
 -90 90 0.00 73.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 73.01



-----  
Segment Leq : 73.01 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 73.01 dBA

Results segment # 1: Tenth Line (night)  
-----

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 65.41 + 0.00) = 65.41 dBA


Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-----  
-90 90 0.00 65.41 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 65.41  
-----

Segment Leq : 65.41 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 65.41 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 73.01  
(NIGHT): 65.41



STAMSON 5.0 NORMAL REPORT Date: 10-02-2021 16:47:36  
 MINISTRY OF ENVIRONMENT AND ENERGY / NOISE ASSESSMENT

Filename: 1592TLR2.te Time Period: Day/Night 16/8 hours  
 Description:

Road data, segment # 1: Tenth Line (day/night)

-----  
 Car traffic volume : 24288/2112 veh/TimePeriod \*  
 Medium truck volume : 1932/168 veh/TimePeriod \*  
 Heavy truck volume : 1380/120 veh/TimePeriod \*  
 Posted speed limit : 60 km/h  
 Road gradient : 0 %  
 Road pavement : 1 (Typical asphalt or concrete)

\* Refers to calculated road volumes based on the following input:

24 hr Traffic Volume (AADT or SADT): 30000  
 Percentage of Annual Growth : 0.00  
 Number of Years of Growth : 10.00  
 Medium Truck % of Total Volume : 7.00  
 Heavy Truck % of Total Volume : 5.00  
 Day (16 hrs) % of Total Volume : 92.00

Data for Segment # 1: Tenth Line (day/night)

-----  
 Angle1 Angle2 : -90.00 deg 90.00 deg  
 Wood depth : 0 (No woods.)  
 No of house rows : 0 / 0  
 Surface : 2 (Reflective ground surface)  
 Receiver source distance : 34.00 / 34.00 m  
 Receiver height : 1.50 / 1.50 m  
 Topography : 1 (Flat/gentle slope; no barrier)  
 Reference angle : 0.00


Results segment # 1: Tenth Line (day)

-----  
 Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 69.45 + 0.00) = 69.45 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-----  
 -90 90 0.00 73.01 0.00 -3.55 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 69.45  
 -----



Segment Leq : 69.45 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 69.45 dBA

Results segment # 1: Tenth Line (night)

Source height = 1.50 m

ROAD (0.00 + 61.86 + 0.00) = 61.86 dBA

Angle1 Angle2 Alpha RefLeq P.Adj D.Adj F.Adj W.Adj H.Adj B.Adj SubLeq

-90 90 0.00 65.41 0.00 -3.55 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 61.86

Segment Leq : 61.86 dBA

Total Leq All Segments: 61.86 dBA

TOTAL Leq FROM ALL SOURCES (DAY): 69.45  
(NIGHT): 61.86

