patersongroup

Consulting Engineers

154 Colonnade Road South Ottawa, Ontario Canada, K2E 7J5 Tel: (613) 226-7381

Fax: (613) 226-6344

Geotechnical Engineering

Environmental Engineering
Hydrogeology
Geological Engineering
Materials Testing
Building Science

www.patersongroup.ca

June 2, 2021

File: PH4146-LET.01 Revision 1

M.B. Ford Construction 10-203 Colonnade Road South Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7K3

Attention: Chris Vaughan

Subject: Hydrogeological Report and Terrain Analysis

2167 McGee Side Road

Carp, Ontario

Introduction

Further to your request, Paterson Group (Paterson) has conducted a Hydrogeological Report and Terrain Analysis in support of the proposed construction of a slab-on-grade commercial building which consists of office space and 2 warehouse units to be located within the southwest portion of the subject site at 2167 McGee Side Road in Carp, Ontario. The purpose of these works has been to determine the suitability of the water supply aquifer underlying the site to service the proposed development and the suitability of the soils to adequately attenuate sewage effluent through a Class 4 Sewage System.

Description of Proposed Property

The majority of the subject site is occupied by a gravel-surfaced parking area. The ground surface is relatively flat within the central portion of the site and slopes down at the eastern and southern boundaries of the subject site, where a culvert system is present. The subject site is bordered to the north by undeveloped, densely tree land, to the east by John Cavanaugh Drive, to the south by McGee Side Road and a commercial building to the west. The site is currently zoned as General Heavy Industrial (RG). The surrounding properties to the north and west are also zoned RG, while the properties to the east and south is zoned as a Rural Commercial (RC).

Field Program

As a means to demonstrate the adequacy of the aquifer underlying the subject lands, with respect to water quality and quantity, a new drilled well was constructed and tested. The new drilled well, with a Water Well Record (WWR) ID of A313110, is referred to as TW1 for the purpose of this assessment. TW1 has a 150 mm diameter steel casing extending to a depth of 17.7 m below ground surface (bgs). The total depth of the well was indicated to be 152.4 m bgs. According to the well record, grey to brown limestone bedrock was encountered at a depth of approximately 3.0 m bgs. Based upon available geological mapping, the drift thickness varies from 3 to 5 m bgs.

The new drilled well is located in the southwest corner of the property, adjacent to the proposed access lane off of McGee Side Road. Refer to attached Paterson Drawing PH4162 -2 - Site Plan for the well location. The new drilled well is fully accessible with the 150 mm diameter steel casing extending 0.42 m above the existing ground surface. The well stick-up meets the minimum height requirement as per Ontario Regulation 903.

As a means to evaluate the water supply aquifer intercepted by the well, the well was subjected to a 8 hour constant rate pumping test. Due to observed elevated turbidity of the water during the test, the 8 hour pumping test was subsequently extended by a duration of 1.5 hours, totalling 9.5 hours. The extended 9.5 hour pump test successfully demonstrated a reduction in the turbidity of the water. The pumping test was conducted on March 4, 2021 under the full-time supervision of Paterson personnel.

A submersible pump was provided by Air Rock Drilling Co. (Air Rock) for the 9.5 hour pumping test. A licensed water well technician (Air Rock) was retained to complete the necessary plumbing related activities. A discharge hose assembly with a gate valve was connected to the rented pump. The discharge line was placed at a sufficient distance to ensure that the discharge water was being directed away from the well. Upon completion of the test, the pump was removed and the well was disinfected by Air Rock.

The pumping test was carried out at a pumping rate of 12 L/min for a duration of 9.5 hours. During the pumping test, the pumping rate was periodically measured using the timed volume correlation method. The pump rate was maintained within 5% of the selected pump rate. The static water level was recorded manually and an electronic datalogger (VanEssen TD-Diver) was installed in the test well prior to the start of the pumping test. The data logger recorded water levels at 30 second intervals. In addition, manual water level readings were taken at periodic intervals during the test.

Recovery data was collected from the well following the completion of the pumping. The well was noted to have achieved 95% recovery approximately 2 hours and 35 minutes after the completion of the pumping.

File: PH4146-LET.01 Revision 1

Groundwater samples were collected at 4 hours and 9.5 hours after the start of pumping. Prior to collection of the groundwater samples, the free chlorine residual was verified to be non-detectable. The water samples were submitted for comprehensive testing of bacteriological, chemical and physical water quality parameters consistent with the standard 'Subdivision Supply' suite of parameters.

All samples were collected unfiltered and unchlorinated and were placed directly into clean bottles supplied by the analytical laboratory. Samples were placed immediately into a cooler with ice and were transported directly to the Eurofins laboratory in Ottawa. All samples were received by the laboratory within 24 hours of collection.

A series of field tests of the pumped water were carried out at the well head during the 9.5 hour pumping test. The parameters tested at the well head included: pH, total dissolved solids, conductivity, turbidity and temperature.

Aquifer Analysis

Water Quantity

Pumping test data was analyzed using AquiferTest Pro (v. 2016.1) aquifer analysis software package by Schlumberger Water Services. Drawdown data was measured using an electronic water level tape and an electronic datalogger unit.

E 1:SUMMARY OF WATER SUPPLY AQUIFER CHARACTERISTICS OF TW1				
AQUIFER PARAMETER	RESULT OF ANALYSIS			
Transmissivity (m²/day)	0.09			
Pumping Rate (L/min)	12			
Pre-test Static Water Level (m)	4.01			
Post-test Water level (m)	41.30			
Available Drawdown (m)	148.39			
% Drawdown During Pumping Test	25.13			
Specific Capacity (L/min/m drawdown)	0.32			

The drawdown data was analyzed using the Theis (Theis, 1935) and the Theis Recovery methods of analysis. Aquifer transmissivity is estimated to be approximately 0.09 m²/day.

The pumping test results show that TW1 has a high yield to support the water demands for the proposed building. Overall maximum drawdown at a constant pumping rate for a period of 9.5 hrs was approximately 37.29 m (25.13 % of the available drawdown). 95% recovery was achieved approximately 2.58 hours after the end of pumping. The total volume of water pumped during the 9.5 hour pumping test was approximately 6,840 L. This is approximately 1.3 times the maximum total daily design volume of water required to support the proposed commercial development (maximum 5,150 L/day). The total daily design sewage flow (TDDSF) volume was provided by D.B. Gray Engineering and is discussed in the Terrain Analysis portion of this report.

The suitability of the aquifer to supply the proposed commercial development was assessed using the methodology provided in MECP Procedure D-5-5 (MOEE, 1996).


Based on the information summarized in Table 1, it is readily apparent that the new water supply well has intercepted an adequately strong water supply aquifer. It is considered to have sufficient quantity to service the proposed commercial development under typical usage, in addition to the neighboring buildings whose wells may intercept the same water supply aquifer.

The majority of the available water well records for the neighbouring properties on the MECP Well Record mapping website consist of agricultural use, commercial use, or public use well records. All surrounding WWR are attached to this report.

Water Quality

Field Data

Turbidity, electrical conductivity, total dissolved solids (TDS), pH and temperature were measured at the wellhead during the pumping test. The measurements and time intervals for each of these parameters are summarized on the graphical representation below. In addition, a Hach Pocket Colorimeter II chlorine reader was used to measure the free chlorine residual level. No chlorine residual was detected in the discharge water prior to the collection of the water samples.



Laboratory Data

The laboratory water quality obtained from the pumping test of TW1 is provided in Table 2 below and the laboratory analyses reports can be found attached.

		OD	WS	Title			
PARAMETER				TW1			
	UNITS	LIMIT	TYPE	GW1 (4 hr)	GW2 (9.5 hr)		
	3			2021-03-05	2021-03-05		
MICROBIOLOGICAL		55.			ot .		
Escherichia Coli (E.Coli)	ct/100mL	0	MAC	0	0		
Total Coliforms	ct/100mL	0	MAC	0	0		
GENERAL CHEMICAL - HE	ALTH RELATI	ED			di nama		
Fluoride	mg/L	1.5(2.4)	MAC	0.15	0.14		
N-NO2 (Nitrite)	mg/L	1	MAC	<0.10	<0.10		
N-NO3 (Nitrate)	mg/L	10	MAC	<0.10	<0.10		
Turbidity (Laboratory)	NTU	1.0 (5.0)	MAC/AO	>100	2.00		
Turbidity (Field)	NTU	1.0 (5.0)	MAC/AO	>100	3.83		
N-NH3 (Ammonia)	mg/L	-	6-2	0.02	0.02		
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	-		0.20	0.10		
GENERAL CHEMICAL - AE	STHETIC REL	ATED					
Hardness (as CaCO3)	mg/L	100	OG	382	391		
Ion Balance	unitless		0.70	1.02	1.04		
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	500	AO	640	640		
Alkalinity (as CaCO3)	mg/L	500	OG	346	344		
Chloride	mg/L	250	AO	120	119		
Colour	TCU	5	AO	<2	<2		
Conductivity	uS/cm	-	-	1,100	1,100		
рН	unitless	6.5-8.5	AO	7.98	8.02		
Sulphide	mg/L	0.05	AO	<0.1	0.03		
Sulphate	mg/L	500	AO	83	79		
Calcium	mg/L		-	115	117		
Iron	mg/L	0.3	AO	3.69	0.09		
Potassium	mg/L	-	-	5	4		
Magnesium	mg/L	-	-	23	24		
Manganese	mg/L	0.05	AO	0.06	< 0.01		
Sodium	mg/L	200	AO	105	103		
Phenois	mg/L	_	-	<0.001	< 0.001		
Tannin & Lignin	mg/L	-	1-1	<0.1	<0.1		
Dissolved Organic Carbon	mg/L	5	AO	1.50	1.50		

^{1.} ODWS identifies the following types of parameters:

MAC=Maximum Allowable Concentration

AO = Aesthetic Objective

OG= Operational Guideline

2. Shaded Concentration Indicates an Exceedance of the ODWS Objective

File: PH4146-LET.01 Revision 1

The bacteriological test results (Certificate of Analysis - Report No. 1948880) indicated that E.coli and Total Coliforms were non detect in the well water (0 ct/100mL). Paterson personnel confirmed that the free chlorine residual was 0 mg/L prior to the collection of the bacteriological sample.

The water quality of the subject water supply well meets all the Ontario Drinking Water Standards (ODWS) maximum acceptable concentrations (MAC). Furthermore, the water meets all of the aesthetic objectives (AO) and operational guidelines (OG) with the exception of the following:

Hardness (As CaCO ₃)
TDS
Turbidity

Each of these groundwater parameters are discussed in detail below. It should be noted that the results of the 4 hour field tests were likely effected by sediments from seams within the bedrock aquifer which were disturbed by the pump test. This is further supported by the substantial reduction in turbidity, iron and manganese observed in the 9.5 hour test results.

Hardness as CaCO₃

Hardness, expressed as calcium carbonate, an operational guideline, does not appear in the ODWS. Rather, it appears in the Technical Support Documents for Ontario Drinking Water Standards, Objectives and Guidelines as a parameter with an operational guideline of 100 mg/L. At the measured concentration of 391 mg/L, the water is considered to be hard. The Technical Support Document for ODWS publication states that water with hardness in excess of 500 mg/L may be unacceptable for most domestic purposes, however, there is no maximum treatable value available. It is expected the hardness concentration can be treated using commercial grade water softener technologies, if desired by the owner.

TDS

Total dissolved solids (TDS) refers to the concentration of inorganic substances dissolved in water. The main constituents are typically chloride, sulphates, calcium, magnesium and bicarbonates. Water with a TDS concentration above 500 mg/L of TDS may not palatable. Procedure D-5-5 does not provide a 'treatability limit' for TDS, but it does require written rationale that corrosion, encrustation, or taste problems will not occur.

If desired, the owner has the ability to install an off-the-shelf reverse osmosis system that can adequately treat the TDS levels noted in the results. It should be noted this is not considered a recommendation and should only be installed if taste is considered an issue

File: PH4146-LET.01 Revision 1

by the end user. The owner has the ability to retain a water treatment specialist to ensure the taste of the water meets their needs.

The Langelier Saturation Index (Langelier, 1936) is used to predict the calcium carbonate stability of water. It indicates whether the water will precipitate, dissolve, or be in equilibrium with calcium carbonate. The results of the Langelier calculation (LSI = 0.8) indicate the water is super saturated and tends to precipitate a scale layer of calcium carbonate (scale forming but non-corrosive). See Langelier Saturation Index Calculation attached for calculation details.

Turbidity

Turbidity, which is generally an aesthetic parameter, was detected in the laboratory test samples at values of >100 and 2.0 NTU in the 4 and 9.5 hour tests, respectively. Field testing detected the samples at values of >100 and 3.83 NTU in the 4 and 9.5 hour tests, respectively. The pump test was extended from 8 to 9.5 hours to demonstrate that continued pumping would result in a decrease in turbidity. It is expected that further development of the well would further reduce turbidity values. The elevated turbidity in the laboratory sample from the 4 hour test result (>100 NTU) is attributed to the clearing out of seams within the bedrock.

The ODWS maximum acceptable concentration for turbidity in drinking water entering the distribution system is 1 NTU. The Aesthetic Objective for turbidity in drinking water reaching the consumer is 5 NTU. The field test parameters at 9.5 hours are below the 5 NTU objective.

Sodium

Sodium is an aesthetic parameter, and was detected in the test samples at a concentration of 105 and 103 mg/L, which is less than the ODWS aesthetic objective of 200 mg/L. Sodium is a unique water quality parameter in that it has a cautionary limit of 20 mg/L for health related purposes, but can be present in raw water up to 200 mg/L and still be within the aesthetic objective. It is a requirement of the ODWS that the Medical Officer of Health be notified of the water quality results where sodium is present in concentrations exceeding 20 mg/L and it is intended for consumption. The purpose of this is such that the information can be disseminated to local physicians for their use in the treatment of individuals requiring reduced sodium dietary needs.

Terrain Analysis

Surficial Geology

Field investigations were carried out on November 20, 2020. The investigation consisted of advancing 7 boreholes to maximum depths ranging from 1.6 and 4.8 m below ground surface (bgs), respectively. The test holes were distributed in a manner to provide general coverage of the proposed development taking into consideration site features. The location of the test holes on the property are delineated on the Test Hole Location Plan, Drawing No. PG5602-1, attached.

The test hole locations were recorded and the subsurface conditions, including the soil morphology and depth to the groundwater table (if encountered), were carefully observed and recorded. The soils encountered were classified texturally in the field, and later reviewed in the laboratory.

The subsurface profile consisted of fill material extending to depths of 0.3 to 0.6 m bgs and consists of various amounts of silty sand with crushed stone, gravel and organics. The fill material is underlain by a glacial till deposit consisting of brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders. Practical refusal to augering was encountered at depths ranging from 1.6 and 4.8 m bgs. Groundwater levels were measured in the boreholes at depths ranging from 0.9 to 4.0 m bgs.

Reference should be made to the borehole logs appended to this report for the details of the soil profiles encountered at each test hole location. The client should be aware that any information pertaining to soils are furnished as a matter of general information only and borehole descriptions are not be interpreted as descriptive of conditions at locations other than those described by the boreholes themselves.

A sieve was submitted from BH 7-20 - SS2 at a depth of 0.8 to 1.4 m within the proposed area of the septic bed. In accordance with Unified Soil Classification System (USCS) the soil type is defined as a silty sand. Analytical results from the sieve testing can be found attached.

Hydrogeological Sensitivity of the Site

The subject site is bordered to the north by undeveloped, densely tree land, to the east by John Cavanaugh Drive, to the south by McGee Side Road and a commercial building to the west. The subject development will be serviced by a private well and septic system.

File: PH4146-LET.01 Revision 1

Based upon the field investigations, the overburden thickness ranges from approximately 1.6 to 4.8 m depth at the borehole locations. The overburden soils are recorded to consist of fill material overlying a glacial till.

As the proposed site is expected to have bedrock within 2 m of the ground surface in areas, the site is considered hydrogeologically sensitive. Horizontal separation distances have been doubled between the septic components and the onsite well to a minimum of 30 m. The minimum well casing depth for the constructed TW1 has been extended to greater than double the minium length (>12 m), at 17.7 m below ground surface.

The topography of the site is relatively flat within the central portion of the site and slopes down at the eastern and southern boundaries of the subject site, where a culvert system is present. The regional groundwater flow is considered to be in a northeasterly direction, towards the Carp River.

The water quality of the bedrock aquifer targeted as the preferred water supply aquifer for the development, shows no indications of surface water or surface impacts from sewage system effluent.

Conceptual Lot Development Plan

It is proposed that a slab-on-grade commercial building which consists of office space and 2 warehouse units will be constructed within the southwest portion of the subject site. The location of the proposed structure can be found on the attached Paterson Drawing PH4146 - 1 - Site Plan. It illustrates that the proposed design layout is adequate to accommodate the associated private services and meet all the regulated separation criteria.

Sewage System Design

In order to minimize the risk of long-term contamination of services, a minimum horizontal separation distance of 30 metres is recommended between the onsite drilled well and the closest distribution pipe of the onsite sewage system. This separation distance shall be increased according to the OBC requirements for beds constructed above the original ground surface. In consideration of the proposed location of the septic area, the existing wells, the proximity of the neighbouring sewage systems and wells with respect to the proposed sewage system, the minimum regulatory separation distances can be easily attained on the subject property. In addition, a minimum of 100 mm of imported soil seal may be required to provide system isolation due to the shallow overburden (<2 m).

Total Daily Design Sewage Flow

A total daily design sewage flow (TDDSF) of 5,150 L/day was provided by D.B. Gray Engineering for the office space and the 2 warehouse units. It is understood that the above noted TDDSF is based on available design details provided by M.B. Ford Construction at the time of report preparation. Typical commercial developments will have lower actual loading compared to the conservative design loads as per the OBC.

Proposed Sewage System

Detailed designs regarding the sewage system have not been completed at the time of report preparation. However, based on the available space, it is expected that a conventional Class 4 Sewage System will easily fit on the subject site. The reader should be aware that there are numerous other types of class 4 sewage systems that could potentially be used at the subject site. The system is expected to have a daily design load capacity of 5,150 L/day and will govern the allowable flows under the current Ontario Building Code (OBC). Also, a minimum of 100 mm of imported soil seal may be required to provide system isolation due to the shallow overburden (<2 m).

PREDICTIVE NITRATE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

In order to demonstrate that private services would adequately support the proposed commercial development, a predictive nitrate impact assessment for the subject site was completed. The values shown in the Predictive Nitrate Impact Assessment attached to this report are summarized below.

Site area	0.8 Ha
Impervious area %	50.80 %
Daily sewage flow (Average daily flow - Peak. It is expected that actual volumes will	Maximum 5.15 m ³ be much lower)
Concentration of nitrate in effluent (Value based on typical effluent concentration)	40 mg/L
Concentration of nitrate in effluent with treatment (Value based on tertiary treatment system with 90% nitrate reduced)	4 mg/L ction)
Surplus Water (The surplus water value was estimated based on Environment Carwith a soil type comprised of fine sand (Urban Lawn/Shall anthropogenic sources)	

Com	bined infiltration factor based on:	0.65
•	Topography infiltration factor	0.25
•	Soil texture infiltration factor	0.30
•	Cover infiltration factor	0.10

The topography infiltration factor of 0.25 is based upon a combination of flat land with average slope < 0.6 m/km and rolling land, average slope 2.8 to 3.8 m/km for the proposed development.

The soil texture infiltration factor was based upon an "open sandy loam" with a value of 0.3 which is a reasonable generalization based upon the site investigation and available geological mapping.

The "vegetative cover infiltration factor" was calculated as 0.1 based upon the minimum value for cultivated land.

The calculation for a standard septic system results in a predicted nitrate concentration of 26.3 mg/L nitrate concentration for the subject site, using a value of 40 mg/L nitrate concentration within the effluent. This value was based upon using a conservative value of up to 5,150 L/day for the daily sewage flow. It is expected that the actual usage should be much lower and could be verified after construction based upon water usage.

An existing approved tertiary treatment system capable of reducing the nitrate loading in the effluent is the Waterloo Biofilter brand. The system has an available nitrate reduction of 25 to 35% based upon the standard single pass system and 50 to 65% based upon a double pass re-circulation system. With the addition of the WaterNOx system, 90 to 95% total nitrogen removal can be achieved. This would reduce the nitrate concentration in the effluent from 40 mg/L down to as low as 4 mg/L. Provided the value of 26.3 mg/L of nitrates for the fully sized system, a 50 to 65% reduction would result in a value of 9.2 to 13.2 mg/L and a 90% reduction would provide a value of 2.6 mg/L.

Based on the predicted nitrate concentration, nitrate reduction will be required for the sewage system in order for the development to reach the required value at the property boundaries. As the building is not expected to be filled with tenants immediately, nitrate concentrations and flows can be monitored to determine the actual nitrate concentration as the building is receiving tenants with the potential to add in the WaterNOx system to ensure compliance. Additionally, there are other approved and readily available technologies that can provide similar treatment levels. These can be explored during the design process to ensure the appropriate treatment level is provided based on the design flows.

File: PH4146-LET.01 Revision 1

Based on the results of the predicted nitrate impact assessment, it is our opinion that the proposed property can adequately support the proposed commercial development without having an adverse impact on the underlying bedrock aquifer.

Conclusions

Based on the information contained within the body of this report, the following conclusions can be drawn:

- 1. The results of the water supply assessment have provided satisfactory evidence that the water supply aquifer underlying the subject site can support the proposed commercial development from both a quality and quantity perspective.
- 2. The preferred water supply aquifer intercepted by TW1 contains a water supply that is potable, and contains only elevated concentrations of hardness, TDS, and turbidity. The above noted parameters can be treated with current readily available water conditioning equipment.
- 3. It is anticipated that further development of the onsite well will reduce turbidity levels to below 1 NTU.
- 4. The sodium concentrations were measured to be above the 20 mg/L reporting limit and, as such, the Medical Officer of Health for the City of Ottawa should be informed to assist area physicians in the treatment of local residents on sodium reduced diets.
- 5. The onsite well and septic system components must have a minium of 30 m horizontal separation as the site is considered hydrogeologically sensitive. Any onsite wells must be designed to have double the minimum casing length required by O.Reg 903 for a total of 12 m.
- 6. The predicted nitrate concentrations at the property boundaries are predicted to be near the required 10 mg/L threshold with a standard double pass Waterloo Biofilter treatment system based on a maximum volume of 5,150 L/day. As the tenants are not determined at this stage, the total volume is expected to be below the maximum calculated value. If additional denitrification is deemed necessary, a standard system such as the Waterloo Biofilter WaterNOx system would easily provide the required treatment necessary. This is a sample system to indicate treatment is possible and equivalent systems can be used to meet the required criteria.
- 7. The subject site is sufficient in size to accommodate a new sewage system and meet all the regulatory separation criteria.
- 8. A Sewage System Permit and Building Permit need to be issued prior to the commencement of construction on the proposed commercial development or the proposed septic system.

- 9. The results of the Hydrogeological Report and Terrain Analysis have provided satisfactory evidence that the subject site can support the proposed commercial development with respect to water quality, quantity and sewage system placement.
- 10. The construction of an onsite sewage system will not affect the performance or water quality associated with a drilled well, contingent upon the on site sewage system is designed in accordance with the Ontario Building Code (i.e. properly sized sewage system and conforming to all separation distances) and a minimum 100 mm soil seal provided beneath the leaching bed/mantle area to ensure system isolation.

We trust that this satisfies your present requirements. Should you have any questions regarding this submission, please do not hesitate to contact the undersigned.

Yours truly,

PATERSON GROUP INC.

- Kevin A. Pickard, EIT



Michael S. Killam, P.Eng.

Attachments:

's

- ☐ Eurofins Certificate of Analysis
- ☐ AquiferTest Pro Pumping Test Analysis Reports
- ☐ Langelier Saturation Index Calculation
- PG5602: Soil Profile and Test Data Logs
- ☐ Paterson Drawing PG5602-1 Test Hole Location Plan
- ☐ Paterson Drawing PH4146-2 Site Plan
- Paterson Sieve Analysis
- ☐ Predictive Nitrate Impact Assessment Calculations
- ☐ Waterloo Biofilter WaterNOx System Information
- □ Water Well Disinfection Instructions.

Paterson Group Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF WELL COMPLIANCE



I (Jeremy Hanna) AIR ROCK DRILLING CO. LTD DO HEREBY CERTIFY
that I am licensed to drill water wells in the Province of Ontario, and that I have
supervised the drilling of the water well on the property of:
OWNER: 11840398 CANADA INC.
Location: # 2167 MEGEE SIDE POAD CARP
LOT: 11 CON: 2 PLAN# $5R-12610$ S/L# \times
Ottawa-Carleton / Geographical Township of HUNTLEY
I CERTIFY FURTHER that, I am aware of the well drilling requirements, the guidelines, recommendations and regulations of the Ministry of the Environment governing well installations in the Province of Ontario, and the standards specified in any subdivision agreement and hydrogeological report applicable to this site and City Standards.
AND DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT the said well has been drilled, cased, grouted (cement or bentonite) as applicable and constructed in strict conformity with the standards required.
Signed this 23RD Day of FEBRUARY, 2021
Jung January 1988
Jeremy Hanna (T3632) Air Rock Drilling Co. Ltd. (C-7681)
The Engineer on behalf of the Landowner set out above, Certifies that he/she has inspected the well and it was constructed in accordance with the specifications in O.Reg 903, this report and the Hydrogeological Report with regards to casing length and grouting requirements.
Signed this day of,
2021112
(Fraince)
(Engineer)

Shaping our future together Ensemble, formons notre avenir

City of Ottawa Client Service Centre 8763 Victoria Street

Ville d'Ottawa Centre de service R243, rue Victoria

Ontario Winistry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks	13110 Below) Well Record Regulation 903 Ontario Water Resources Act
Measurements recorded in: ☐ Metric VImperial A 31	3 110 Page of
Well Owner's Information	
First Name Last Name/Organization Last Name/Organization Canada Inc.	E-mail Address
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name) Municipality	Province Postal Code Telephone No. (inc. area code)
Well Location	peam Graf RAM Det
Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) # 2167 WS6 FE 20 DE POAD #	Lot Concession
County/District/Municipality City/Town/Village	Province Postal Code Ontario
UTM Coordinates Zone , Easting , Northing Municipal Plan and	
NAD 8 3 18 42 1737 50 18 421	PLAN 5R-126 10
Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions General Colour Most Common Material Other Materials	General Description Depth (mft) From To
(Hardfacked) Sand & Grow	1el 0'10'
Gray himes	10' 130'
Gley & Brown	himestore 130' 200'
gray himeson	200, 200
	D. W. EN HVITET A
Annular Space Depth Set at (m/fD) Type of Sealant Used Volume Place Volume Place	
From To (Material and Type) (m³/ft³) 58 ' 49 ' 49 + Connect S(1,10) 10,99	☐ Clear and sand free ☐ Time Water Level Time Water Level Time Water Level Time (m/ft) (m/ft) (m/ft)
48' 0' Bentonite Sturry 16.80	If purmising discentinued, give reason: Static Level 2, 2" 65,8"
TO CONTROL (S)	1 8 9 1 62.7 Pump intake set at (ml) 2 2 3 3 4 7
	2001
Method of Construction Well Use	311 C
□ Cable Tool □ Diamond □ Public □ Commercial □ Not us □ Rotary (Conventional) □ Jetting □ Domestic □ Municipal □ Dewate	ering Duration of pumping
☐ Rotary (Reverse) ☐ Driving ☐ Livestock ☐ Test Hole ☐ Monito ☐ Boring ☐ Digging ☐ Irrigation ☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning	Final water level end of pumping (m/ft) 10 3; 10 500
Air percussion Industrial Other, specify Other, spe	65(8" 15 36 15 47 6
Construction Record - Casing Status of We Inside Open Hole OR Material Wall Depth (mttp Water Supply	Recommended pump depth (mag) 20 40.8 20 429
Diameter (Galvanized, Fibreglass, Concrete, Plastic, Steel) (cm/in) From To Replacement V	Vell 450' 25 45. 25 38.8
6141 Steel .188" +2' 58' Recharge Well Dewatering We	Recommended pump rate (/min GPM)
6" Open the 58' 500' Observation an Monitoring Hole	d/or Well production (//midGPM) 40 53.9 40 39.
Alteration (Construction)	Disinfested?
☐ Abandoned, Insufficient Sup	Ply (74s) No 60 65, 8 60 7, 2
Construction Record - Screen Abandoned, Po Outside Material Depth (m/ft) Water Quality	Please provide a map below following instructions on the back.
Diameter (Plastic, Galvanized, Steel) Slot No. From To Abandoned, oth specify	ner,
☐ Other, specify	- 70 FT
Water Details Hole Diameter	1000 V 8Kg 2
Water found at Depth Kind of Water: Fresh Untested Depth (m/G) Diam	eter Rock 2167
Water found at Depth Kind of Water: Fresh Cuntested 58 13/	WEGEE SIDE ROAD
Water found at Depth Kind of Water: Fresh Untested 58 ' 500' 6	" MCGELAD
(m/fi) Gas Other, specify	SIDE PONCE
Well Contractor and Well Technician Information Business Name of Well Contractor Well Contractor's Licence	No.
AIR fock DRILLING COLED C7481	\
Business Address (Street Number Name) 6659 Tonktown Road Hickman	Comments:
Province Postal Code Business E-mail Address Out Kara D.Z.o	Well owner's Date Package Delivered Ministry Use Only
Bus.Telephone No. (inc. area code) Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)	information package delivered 2021 Mark 100 2355007
Well Technician's Licence No. Signature of Technician and/or Contractor Date Submitted	Date Work Completed
0506E (2020/06) © Queen's Printer for printerio, 2020 Ministry's C	
() V	- 1· #

	Township, Village	CORD L	GROUND WATER 15 N ONTARIO RESOURCES CO	9 30 (5 1962 WATER
Casing and Screen Record		Pumping		
Inside diameter of casing	Static level	16'	_	
Total length of casing 25	Test-pumping	Tale	9	G.P.M.
Type of screen	Pumping level		8	
Length of screen	Duration of tes	st pumping	My.	
Depth to top of screen	Water clear or	cloudy at end of	test Cl	ear
Diameter of finished hole	l .			G.P.M.
	with pump set	ting of ろが	feet belo	ow ground surface
Well Log			Wate	r Record
Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)
Clay o	2	120	120	1
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?		Location	of Well	1
Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? Drilling or Boring Firm Address.		ram below show nd lot line. Ind		
Licence Number				
Name of Driller or Borer Address Date (Signature of Joseph Drillip or Joseph General) Form 7 15M Sets 60-5930		73017	75'	300.
OWRC COPY				CSS/83

Basin 23 WATER WI	Test-pumping rate 5 G.P.M. Pumping level 20' Duration of test pumping //2 hr
Well Log	Water Record
Overburden and Bedrock Record The Clay & loan Grey limestone. 14'	To at which water(s) found P8'-100' P5' Fush
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used? Lis well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? Drilling Firm Address Licence Number H. S. Sanks Address Date May 3 (Signature of Licensed Driving Contractor) Per Anna J.	Location of Well In diagram below show distances of well from road and lot line. Indicate north by arrow. LoTII CON 2 LoTIO LoTIO CON 2 CON 3

UTM	Town Date	REC	ORD RESOLUTION Glay Pumpin	month by	SION 3069
Total length of casing /2	To	est-pumping r	rate	6	G.P.M
Type of screen	Pu	umping level	ď	1 0 '	
Length of screen Depth to top of screen				1/2	
Diameter of finished hole				test Cla	G.P.M
					ow ground surface
Well Log	L	``			er Record
Overburden and Bedrock Record		From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)
- previously delled	,		70		1
limestone		70	/30	125	Jh&rh
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?				of Well distances of we	
Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? Drilling or Boring Firm Address Licence Number Name of Driller or Borer			, ·	25	4d'
Address Date (Signature of Intensed Drilling or Bornig Contractor) Form 7 15M Sets 10 950 OWRC COPY			1017	COH LOT	2 (10

UTM	LL REC	ORD		10 664	3079
Con. Lot	Date completed	(day	month	year	964
Casing and Screen Record		Pumpin	~		
Inside diameter of casing 4.4. Total length of casing 2.2. Type of screen Length of screen	Static level Test-pumping representation of test	ate 8	·		G.P.M
Depth to top of screen	 Water clear or cl			a-1_	
Diameter of finished hole	Recommended 1				
	with pump settir	ng of 100	feet belo	w ground	d surface
Well Log			Wate	r Record	97.40
Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of (fresh, sulph	salty,
gray limestone	9	105	71	fr	ish_
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used? Nouse Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? upland: Drilling or Boring Firm A: Stanton Address Paken ham Licence Number Name of Driller or Borer Address Date Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor) Form 7 10M-62-1152 OWRC COPY			SCHOOL CHURET LOTIO	arrow.	1,

	_	-		
$\frac{1}{2}$	The state of the s	GF	ROUND WATER B	RANCH /
UTM 118 412117110E			-15 ₂₀ N3	32 3 24
5 R 501/810 510 N Ontario Water Rese	ources Commission	Act	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~	
Elev. 4 R 0375 WATER WEI		£ ~=	ONTARIO WAT EOURCES COMM	
7071			2/.	Hay
Basin 25 County or District Welston			leht.	weg
Con. 3 Lot //		(uay	month	year)
	ddressCay	f On	1	
Casing and Screen Record	<i>V</i>	Pumpin	g Test	
Inside diameter of casing 4 "	Static level	20'		
Total length of casing 7	Test-pumping ra	ate <i>5</i>	<i>i</i>	G.P.M.
Type of screen Mone	Pumping level	2	5	
Length of screen	Duration of test	pumping	1/2 hr	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Depth to top of screen	Water clear or cl	oudy at end of	test Cle	av
Diameter of finished hole	Recommended	pumping rate.	5	G.P.M.
	with pump setting	ng of $\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{I}}$	feet belo	w ground surface
Well Log				Record
Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.,	To ft. ,	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)
grey limestone	2.	1011	1 00 111	,
greg limestone		101'	100-101	fresh
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?		Location	of Well	
house & farm	In diagr		distances of wel	ll from 🤇
Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? upland	road	ot line. Inc	licate north by	arrow
Drilling or Boring Firm Wm & Spenker	1 Am	My	1	A Com
4/3 Edgeworth are	pro	+ 11		م کر کا
Address Ottawa 3	LU	1 ' '		Ti
Address	Con	N 31		
Licence Number 485			× 26.	0
Name of Driller or Borer WME Sparks			4	3 ''
Address			26	TO HUR
Date Sept 28 161		61.	DERCAD	* ALY
Tim & Sparley			<u></u>	
(Signature of Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor)				
Form 7 15M Sets 60-5930				
OWRC COPY			•	CSS.S8

Basin 25 County or District Corleto 7	ources Commission L REC Cownship, Village, Date completed	ORD Town or City	15 No	3126/ They		
Con	dress	(day	month	year)		
Casing and Screen Record		Pumping	ı Test			
Inside diameter of casing	Static level	• •	<u> </u>			
Total length of casing. 14				G.P.M.		
Type of screen						
Length of screen	Pumping level Duration of test pumping					
Depth to top of screen	Water clear or o	cloudy at end of	test .el	ear		
Diameter of finished hole	Recommended	pumping rate	5	G.P.M.		
	with pump sett	ing of 100	feet belo	w ground surface		
Well Log			Water Record			
Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s)	Kind of water (fresh, salty,		
shel	0	12	found	sulphur)		
		Location	-£ Wall			
Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside? Drilling or Boring Firm Address Address		am below show	distances of we	Ar .		
Name of Driller or Borer A. Stanton Address Date Lept 3/64		15 1 cc	2300	, b		
(Signature of Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor)		Certs		χ		
Form 7 15M-60-4138		10-10		- A		
OWRC COPY	(Evittaise)		: ₹ *!	Sulfate V		



The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act

WATER WELL RECORD

3	1	F	8	α
	L	•		


Water management in Ontario 1. PRINT ONLY IN SPAC 2. CHECK CORRECT	ES PROVIDED BOX WHERFJAPPLICABLE	1511759-1 MUNICIP. CON. CON. 192
county on district A	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, PITY, TOWN, VILLAG	1 10-
OWNER (SURMAME FIRST) /28-47	On410	DATE COMPLETED W35 W
	19 4 d / (RC BASIN CODE II III III
V Loc	0F 0VERBURDEN AND 250	4 0.585 5 25 30 31 47 47
GENERAL COLOUR MOST	OTHER MATERIALS	ROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS) CENERAL DESCRIPTION DEPTH - FEET
COMMON MATERIAL	OWEN MATERIALS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION FROM TO
grey clay	ormel	3 11
gray limestone		// /39
*		
,		
31 00//12/05/// 0//392	45	
41 WATER RECORD	CASING & OPEN HOL	43 54 65 75 80 E RECORD Z SIZE(S) OF OPENING 31-33 DIAMETER 34-38 LENGTH 39-40
WATER OUND KIND OF WATER IN	ISIDE WALL MATERIAL THICKNESS	DEPTH - FEET W INCHES FEET
RESH 3 SULPHUR 14 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	10-11 STEEL 12 CONTRACTOR OF STEEL 12 CONTRAC	ROM TO DEPTH TO TOP 41-44 BO OF SCREEN
15-18 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 19 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	3 CONCRETE -188	61 PLUGGING & SEALING RECORD
20-23 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	17-18 1 STEEL 19 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE	20-23 DEPTH SET AT - FEET FROM TO MATERIAL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT, LEAD PACKER, ETC.) 10-13 14-17
25-28 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	4 OPEN HOLE 24-25 1 ☐ STEEL 26	0/39 10-13 14-17
30-33 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34 80 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE	26-29 30-33 80
71 PUMPING TEST METHOD 10 PUMPING RATE	11-14 DURATION OF PUMPING	LOCATION OF WELL
1 D PUMP 2 BAILER 0005 STATIC WATER LEVEL 25 END OF WATER LEVE	GPM. 15-16 17-18 HOURS MINS.	IN DIAGRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES OF WELL FROM ROAD AND LOT LINE. INDICATE NORTH BY ARROW.
LEVEL PUMPING WATER LEVE 19-21 22-24 15 MINUTES 3C 26-28	2 RECOVERY	A 60'.
Z IF FLOWING. Z IF FLOWING. 38-41 PUMP INTAKE SET AT	FEET OF FEET WATER AT END OF TEST 42	722
IF FLOWING. GIVE RATE GOMENTALE SET AT GPM. RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE RECOMMENDED.	FEET 1 CLEAR 2 CLOUDY 43-45 RECOMMENDED 46-49	11
SHALLOW BE DEEP SETTING 070	FEET RATE 0005 GPM.	10
FINAL SPECIFIC CAI		100
STATUS 2	5 ☐ ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY 6 ☐ ABANDONED, POOR QUALITY 7 ☐ UNFINISHED	Cays Rel
55-56 DOMESTIC 5	COMMERCIAL	Curs Rel
3 IRRIGATION 7	MUNICIPAL PUBLIC SUPPLY COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING	
□ OTHER	9 NOT USED	
METHOD 1 CABLE TOOL 2 ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL)		
OF DRILLING 3	8 DEITING 9 DRIVING	
CE THE OF WELL CONTRACTOR	LICENS NUMBER	DRILLERS REMARKS: DATA 58 CONTRACTOR 59-62 DATE RECEIVED 63-68 80
o Thank I flam well	July 3644	SOURCE / 36.44 180572 DATE OF INSPECTION INSPECTOR
NAME OF DIVILLER OR STORER	More Int.	S REMARKS:
TOUR STORE OF CONTRACTOR IN	SUBMISSION DATE M 30	CSS.SS WI
IRC COPY	DAY 3 Mg May YR	
ARC COPY	(/	<u>A</u>



The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act WATER WELL RECORD

319/5d

Water management in Ontario 1. PRINT ONLY IN SE 2. CHECK ☑ CORRECT	T BOX WHERE APPLICABLE	11	15119	21 Mu	5005 C	i. 1011/1	
Carleton	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CIT	1	3	9 CON., BLOCK	, TRACT, SURVEY, ETC.		LOT 25-27
OWNER (SURNAME FIRST)	ADDRESS	ey 1		10	DATE	COMPLETED	18-53
	ING	en M/a	ELEVATION .	88. #2	DAY_(06 no. 05	
	01832	26 4	394	4 26	JAN 1	2, 1975	, <u>^⊮</u> 44 -
	G OF OVERBURDEN	AND BEDRO	OCK MATERI	ALS (SEE INSTRU			
GENERAL COLOUR MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MAT	ERIALS		GENERAL DES	CRIPTION	DEPTH FROM	- FEET
brown sand				1.	//		>
brown "	stone	s)		har	bel	37	9
grey Simistone				June		9	1111
							171
					W		
	4,						
		_					
				<u> </u>			
(31) Waa31628011 1 12 049	628121101411	21/5		.			
32					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
41 WATER RECORD	51 CASING & O	PEN HOLE	DECORD.	SIZE(S) OF OPE	65 NING 31-33 DIA	METER 34-38 LE	75 80 NGTH 39-40
WATER FOUND AT - FEET KIND OF WATER	HISIDE DIAM. MATERIAL	WALL DE	PTH - FEET	ш		INCHES	FEET
10-13 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 14 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	INCHES 12	INCHES FRO	-4	MATERIAL AND	TYPE	DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	41-44 80
15-18 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 19	3 ☐ CONCRETE						FEET
20-23 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	18 1 STEEL 19		74/	DEPTH SET AT -	GING & SEA		NT GROUT,
1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 24 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL 25-28 29	2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE		24/1	FROM 10-13	TO MATERIAL AN	D TYPE LEAD PA	CKER, ETC.)
25-28 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	24-25 I STEEL 26	22	27-30	18-21	22-25		
30-33 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34 80 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL	2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE			26-29	30-33 80		
PUMPING TEST METHOD 10 PUMPING RATE	4 OPEN HOLE	IPING 1 F					
1 Prump 2 Bailer 000 7	GPM 15-16	7) ()17-18			ION OF WE		
STATIC WATER LEVEL 25 LEVEL END OF PUMPING WATER L	EVELS DURING 1	UMPING ECOVERY	IN D LOT	IAGRAM BELOW SHOW LINE. INDICATE NOR	/ DISTANCES OF WELL F TH BY ARROW.	ROM ROAD AND	
	30 MINUTES 45 MINUTES 32-34	60 MINUTES					$ \langle X $
JIF FLOWING. 38-41 PUMP INTAKE SET	AT WATER AT END OF	TEST 42				K	
Z IF FLOWING. 38-41 PUMP INTAKE SET GIVE RATE \$PM.	FEET 1 CLEAR	2 ☐ CLOUDY			1.1	Latio K	
RECOMMENDED PUMP TYPE PUMP PUMP SETTING	43-45 RECOMMENDED PUMPING ATE PLANT	46-49 GPM.	****				
50-53 QQQ, GPIN/FT. SPECIFIC) Grm.			*	No+11.	
FINAL 1 WATER SUPPLY	5 🗌 ABANDONED, INSUFFI	CIENT SUPPLY			<u>.</u> .	~ · · // ·	1
STATUS OF WELL 2 □ OBSERVATION WELL 3 □ TEST HOLE 4 □ RECHARGE WELL	6 ☐ ABANDONED, POOR C 7 ☐ UNFINISHED			·2mi	\5		
55-56	5 COMMERCIAL		11	~ • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	41	111	
WATER 2 STOCK 3 IRRIGATION	6 MUNICIPAL 7 D PUBLIC SUPPLY		<u></u>			-	
USE 4 □ INDUSTRIAL □ OTHER	9 \square cooling or air conditi			• (221	41		
57 CABLE TOOL	6 ☐ BORING			24			
METHOD 2 □ ROTARY (CONVENTION OF 3 □ ROTARY (REVERSE)	7 DIAMOND 8 JETTING						
DRILLING 4 ROTARY (AIR) 5 AR PERCUSSION	9 DRIVING		MILLEDG BENARY	.			
NAME OF WELL CONTRACTOR	LICENC	CE NUMBER	DATA	58 CONTRACTOR	59-62 DATE RECEIVE	M T I I M O	63-68 80
O Capital Hater	Supply 13	558	SOURCE DATE OF INSPECT	15-5	~y 0.	41072	
Sor 490 Stella	Allen Da		DATE OF INSPECT	IUN 1	NSPECTOR		
NAME OF DRILLER OR BORER	Licence				/)	Р	R.
SIGNATURE OF CONTRACTOR COMMAN	SOUMISSION DATE				CSS.	~	A.P. ▼
- Narrox anonal	DAY_S MO_S	2YR	ס	-	- K	w I	
OWRC COPY					Part of the second		A



The Ontario Water Resources Commission Act WATER WELL RECORD

319/51

<u>. —</u>	management in O	I TRIMI ONLI	IN SPACES PROVI	RE APPLICABLE	11		15121	18	MUNI	5005	con.	M	<u> 63</u>
ŀ	Y OR DISTRICT		į.	untley	TOWN, VIL	LAGE	3	9 C	ON., BLOCK,	TRACT, SURVEY	, ETC.		22 23 24 OT 25-27
OWNER	R (SURNAME FIRST	Homes Ltd.		ADDRESS	Mai-	ם ב	Day 1314		J		DATE COMPL		8-53
	1512118	ZOME FASTING	1776	NORTHING		RC.	Box 1316	RC.	ttawa,	DDE	DAY	мо. <u>_//</u>	YR IV
1 2				501799	•	4	393	4	26	JA	N 12,	1975	44
GENE	RAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL		OTHER MATER	,	DKO	CK MATERIA	<u> </u>	ERAL DESC			DEPTH	- FEET
gr	.eA	gravel	sandi	L flatrock	<u>.</u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>			FROM	то
	ey	limestone				-		pack:			-	35	15
				······································				1102.0				15	125
					·	<u>.</u>			·	-			
ļ 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					"						
} 												-	·
													
					<u></u>			 .					
				·						·			
<u> </u>		<u> </u>			···				·				
					401	· .							
31	0015/2	11/28 1 1/11	25/2/15		, ,	, 1	, , , . !	, .		<u> </u>			
32	10 14	15		32									
41	WATER	RECORD	51 CA 9	SING & OP	EN HC	LE I	<u> </u>	Z SIZ	54 E(S) OF OPENI OT NO.)	NG 31-3	65 DIAMETER	34-38 LE	75 BO NGTH 39-40
AT -	FEET KI	ND OF WATER SH 3 SULPHUR	DIAM. INCHES	MATERIAL T	WALL HICKNESS INCHES	DEP FROM	TH - FEET	₩A.	TERIAL AND	TYPE		INCHES PTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	FEET 41-44 80
0124	2 🗌 SAL	TY 4 MINERAL		☐ GALVANIZED	188	D	0021-16	SC				- JONEEN	FÉET
	1 FRE 2 SAL	SH 3 SULPHUR TY 4 MINERAL	5 7/8	CONCRETE OPEN HOLE STEEL 19		21	125 20-23		PLUGG	ING &	SEALI	NG RE	CORD
	1 ☐ FRE 2 ☐ SAL	TY 4 MINERAL	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	GALVANIZED CONCRETE		- /		FRO	м то	———di MATER	RIAL AND TYP		NT GROUT, CKER, ETC.)
	2 🗌 SAL		24-25	OPEN HOLE STEEL 26		2/	0/25			22-25			
	30-33 1		3 ;	☐ GALVANIZED ☐ CONCRETE ☐ OPEN HOLE				2	6-29	30-33 80	<u>-</u>		·····
71 /	PING TEST METHOD	10 PUMPING R	VTE 11-1	DURATION OF PUMPI	NG TO	7		<u> </u>	OCATI	ON OF	WELL		
	STATIC WA	TER LEVEL 25	GP	M. 15-16 HOURS _	PING		IN DI	AGRAM BI	ELOW SHOW	DISTANCES OF	·	ROAD AND	1
TES1	LEVEL	22-24 15 MINUT	ER LEVELS DURII	2 REC	OVERY 60 MINUTES		LOT L	INE. IND	ICATE NORTH	I BY ARROW.		7	
0 O	25 FEET 075	FEET 075	EET () 75 FEI	ET 075 FEET		ΕT	Fo	T11				X	-
GIVE	RATÉ	38-41 PUMP INTAK GPM.	E SET AT Fei	WATER AT END OF TE	EST CLOUDY	42	, /1		从				-
1-	MMENDED PUMP TYP	E RECOMMEND	ED 43-4	FECOMMENDED PUMPING T RATE OUS	46- 4		WOT.	[0					
50-53		2.2 GPM./FT. SPEC	···		GP		111				11		
1	INAL FATUS	1 WATER SUPPLY 2 OBSERVATION W		ANDONED, INSUFFICII ANDONED, POOR QUA					5	•		₹	
	WELL	3 TEST HOLE 4 RECHARGE WELL	7 □ UN	FINISHED						7			
\A		1 DOMESTIC 2 STOCK	5 COMME				1 -	44	,	X			
	uce A \	3 IRRIGATION 4 INDUSTRIAL	7 🗌 PUBLIC		IING		+ 4	~~~		C .			
	57	OTHER		9 NOT USE) 					2			
M	ETHOD	1 ☐ CABLE TOOL 2 ☐ ROTARY (CONVEN 3 ☐ ROTARY (REVERS	ITIONAL)	6 D BORING 7 DIAMOND B DIETTING									
DR		PROTARY (AIR) AIR PERCUSSION	ć	DRIVING			IIIEDE DEULDUS			•			
NAME	OF WELL CONTRA	ACTOR		LICENCE	NUMBER	_ [<u>~</u>	DATA SOURCE		ONTRACTOR	59-62 DATE	RECEIVED \		63-68 80
O Car		er Supply L			58		DATE OF INSPECTIO	ON	/5 ⁵	59-62 DATE	1 V]	172	
	OF DRILLER OR	tittsville, BORER	Ontario	LICENCE	NUMBER	USE	REMARKS:			·	L		
Z k	ALLEY KE	venagh	7	MISSION DATE								Р	R
U 2/	elter	Larence	- //	6 10	YR. 72	OFF						WI	
OV	VRC CO		1		·			<u></u>			<u></u>		

4 R 5 0 1 8 3 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	350.	2376 J	316/59 1000 1000	P
Basin 25 WATER WE			71	
County or District	Township, Village,	Town or City	fent	ller
Con. 2 2 Lot //	Date completed	18	Sept.	1968
	idress		month	year)
	Idress	ango.		
Casing and Screen Record		Pumping	-	
Inside diameter of casing.	i i			
Total length of casing 22	1			G.P.M
Type of screen	Pumping level	70		
Length of screen				
Depth to top of screen Diameter of finished hole	l			ear
Diameter of finished hole	i ·			G.P.M
	with pump setti	ng of / 00	T	ow ground surface
Well Log		 	+	er Record
Overburden and Bedrock Record	From ft.	To ft.	Depth(s) at which water(s) found	Kind of water (fresh, salty, sulphur)
Shale are stone	8	10	63	fresh
grey timestone	10	129	129	
For what purpose(s) is the water to be used?	1	Location (e Wall	
have	In diagra	m below show		ell from
Is well on upland in valley or on hillside?		lot line. Ind	icate north by	
Is well on upland, in valley, or on hillside?	A_{i}	1 4	1501	
Dinning of Borning Linin	1 N	*	1.3	, /
Address Pakenhan			X	of 11
Licence Number 3060			4	-o410
Name of Driller or Borer 59MC				
Address		3 11 (() 2	
Date Syst 18/68				
Buston Starton	.]			
(Signature of Licensed Drilling or Boring Contractor)		+	1	
Form 7 5M 60-20912		V 1-1	UNTLE	1
OWRC COPY		μ,		1 4 >

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT The Ontario Water Resources Act

FORM 7 07-091

Ontario	1. PRINT ONLY I	N SPACES PROVIDED		1 514		ORI			الل
COUNTY OR DISTRICT		TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, C		3	CON.	BLOCK, TRACT, SU	14 15 *	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 	22 23 2 LQT 25-27
Carleton		Huntley			2		DATE COM	PLETED	41-53
		HING	Saith Fr	ells Onta	rio	BASIN CODE	DAY_O	B NO. 0	7 YR. 74
1227641	10 421	5018	526	4 364		26	JUL 08	, 1977	' 301
GENERAL COLOUR		LUG UF UVERBURDE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	OUR WATER	IALO (SEE II	NSTRUCTIONS)			
	COMMON MATERIAL		ATERIALS		GENER	AL DESCRIPTION		FROM	H - FEET
grey	clay hardpan	sand			cked			0	5
grey	limestone	boulders &	Z GLEAST		cked oken			6	30
grey	limestone				dium			30	3 3 62
								- 33	02
	661051281719 1003	1012141314 003	321571	6062211	<u> </u>				<u></u>
32 10	14 (5			43			<u> </u>		75 80
(41) WAT	KIND OF WATER	INSIDE	OPEN HOLE	RECORD DEPTH - FEET	SIZE(S) (SLOT)	OF OPENING NO.)	31-33 DIAMET	INCHES	LENGTH 39-40
10-13	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 14 SALTY 4 MINERAL	DIAM. MATERIAL INCHES STEEL	THICKNESS INCHES	пом то ООЗЗ "	MATER	AL AND TYPE		DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	41-44 80
15-18 1	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 19 SALTY 4 MINERAL	06 2 □ GALVANIZED CONCRETE	200		61	PLUGGIA	G & SEAL	ING RECO	FEET
20-23 1	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 24	17-18 1 STEEL 2 GALVANIZED	19	20-23	1	T AT - FEET	MATERIAL AND	TVDC (CEME	NT GROUT, CKER, ETC.)
25-28 1 🗆	SALTY 4 MINERAL FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29	06 3 CONCRETE		0062	10-1;	 			
<u> </u>	SALTY 4 MINERAL FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34 50	2 GALVANIZED	:6	27-30	18-2				
Z []	SALTY 4 MINERAL	4 C OPEN HOLE] [30-33 80			
71 1 DEPUMP	2 D BAILER 00		PUMPING -16 <i>OO</i> 17-18 IURS <u>OO</u> WINS		LC	CATION	OF WELL	2/18	,
STATIC LEVEL	PUMPING	EVELS DURING 1 2	PUMPING RECOVERY	IN DI LOT	IAGRAM BELOV LINE. INDIC	V SHOW DISTANC CATE NORTH BY A	ES OF WELL F RROW.	ROM ROAD A	۷D
P 025	040 FEET 040 FE	10	2-34 35-37	(0)					i
FEET FLOWING. GIVE RATE RECOMMENDED PUM	38-41 PUMP INTAKE	SET AT WATER AT END	OF TEST 42	Ħ					
RECOMMENDED PUM	PUMP	7001	2 ☐ CLOUDY		+	00+5	نـــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	
SHALLOW		50 FEET RATE OO	<i>0</i> 5 × GPM.			·3 mil	L T	7 .3	
FINAL	1 WATER SUPPLY 2 OBSERVATION WEL	\$ ABANDONED, INSU		-	3			5,	
STATUS (OF WELL	3 TEST HOLE 4 RECHARGE WELL	L 6 ABANDONED, POOR 7 UNFINISHED	R QUALITY	Con Con	16	5		=	,
55-	DOMESTIC STOCK	5 COMMERCIAL 6 MUNICIPAL		H	9.9	==		*	
WATER O	IRRIGATION INDUSTRIAL	7 PUBLIC SUPPLY 6 COOLING OR AIR COND		()	2				
;	OTHER	9 Not	T USED					~	,
METHOD E	2 ROTARY (CONVENT				1.2			7	7
DRILLING	4 D ROTARY (AIR) 5 D AIR PERCUSSION	9 🔲 DRIVING		7 DRILLERS REMAR	Ks:				
NAME OF WELL CO			CENCE NUMBER	> DATA SOURCE		TRACTOR 39-62	DATI	15-74	63-68 80
ADDRESS ADDRESS ADDRESS NAME OF DRILLER NAME OF DRILLER NAME OF DRILLER NAME OF DRILLER NAME OF DRILLER	al Water Suppl	y Ltd.	1558	DATE OF INSPE	CTION	558	220	<u>98 74</u>	<u> </u>
NAME OF DRILLER	O Stittsville	o, Ontario	CENCE NUMBER	D PEMARKS	20,19,	16 166	Per	itney	ne o
		SUBMISSION DATE		FICE 1	it hised	at lime	of	P	WA
Malty	Adinna	9 MO.	7	ō		ensp	V CSS.	58 ₩	1

SIGNATURE OF CONT

C53.33

Ministry		The Ontario	Water Resources	Act 31 & Ry
of the Environment	WAT	ER WI	ELL F	RECORD
Ontario 1. PRINT ONLY IN SPACES PROVIDED 2. CHECK CORRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE COUNTY OF DISTRICT TOWNSHIP, BORQUEH. C		1517377	15.005	C.G.N. 02
a lest	+ Carleter	n (Harrelly)	en di	0/0"
ling	Majestin	ELEVATION RC	O, Mesean .	TE COMPLETED NO VR SO
LOG OF OVERBURDE	3. / 1/9 4 25	[O.Z.B.O] 4	26	
CENERAL COLOUR MOST	ATERIALS	GENER	AL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH - FEET FROM TO
4				
grey clay stones		in Company		0 /2
grey shaly linears				12 84
GG1 = RIGST 12 1008 H2 15 82 11				
32 12 13 14 15 15 15 15 15 15 15		43 51ZE 5	OF OPENING 31-33	65 75 80 DIAMITER 34-38 LENGTH 39-40
WATER FOUND KIND OF WATER INSIDE DIAM MATERIAL INCHES	WALL DE THICKNESS INCHES FROM	PTH - FEET SLOT	NO I	DIAMETER 34-38 LENGTH 39-40
10-13 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 14	12	13-16 8		OF SCREEN FEET
2 SALTY 4 MINERAL 7 4 0 OFEN HOLE 20-23 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 24	19	20-23 DEPTH SE	TAT FEEL	SEALING RECORD AL AND TYPE (CEMENT GROUT LEAD PACKER ETC.)
2 SALTY 4 MINERAL 3 COLURETE 25-28 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29 4 OPEN HOLE 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL 24-25 1 SIEEL 2		27-30	3 14-17	
30-33 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34 80 2 GALVANIZED 3 CONCRETE 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL 4 OPEN HOLE		26-29		-
	-16 () 17-18	LC	CATION OF W	/ELL
STATIC WATER LEVEL 25 LEVEL END OF WATER LEVELS DURING	PUMPING RECOVERY		V SHOW DISTANCES OF V CATE NORTH BY ARROW.	VELL FROM ROAD AND
1 1 0 5 (80) (80°20 (80°20) (80°30)	2-34 OFO 35-37 FEET FEET			<i>N</i> .
GPM FEET 1 CLEAR	2 to CLOUDY	١		, 11
So-53	4 46-49 GPM		0	Side la
FINAL TO WATER SUPPLY STATUS TO OBSERVATION WELL ABANDONED POOR			Acri	S.J. Pd.
OF WELL 3 TEST HOLE 7 UNFINISHED			2 Km	^
WATER 2 STOCK 6 MUNICIPAL 3 RRIGATION 7 PUBLIC SUPPLY			B	1.16.16.1
□ OTHER 9 □ NOT			\sim	
METHOD OF 5 DRILLING CABLE TOOL 6 BORING 7 DIAMOND 7 DI				
DRILLING 1 (I ROTARY (AIR) 9 DRIVING 1 MARY OF WELL CONTRICATOR 1 A CO		DRILLERS REMARKS		
HOLDON Mains Welling Ber 326, Richmond C	3644	DATA 58 CONT SOURCE DATE OF INSPECTION	RACTOR 59-62 DATE REC	11280
	ENCE NUMBER	D REMARKS	Km	
SIGNATURE OF THACKS SUBMISSION DATE	1/ 80			
MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT COPY		<u> </u>		CSS. EJ FORM NO. 0506—4—77 FORM.7

Ministry of the Environment

WATER WELL RECORD

Ontario	1. PRINT ONLY IN SPA	ACES PROVIDED T BOX WHERE APPLICABLE	15175	26 75005	~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~ ~
Carletor	1	TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLA	GE	CON BLOCK, TRACT, SURVEY	ETC LOO /s/27
OWNER (SURNAME FI	RST) 28-47	ADDRESS	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		DATE COMPLETED 48-53
Pri-Tec L	ZQNE EASTING	R. R. # 5, Box 9	33, Ottawa,		DAY 22 MO 10 YR 80
ر کیا	1/2/5	99 5018299	<u>#</u> 0365		" " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "
	·	OF OVERBURDEN AND BED	ROCK MATERIA	LS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)	
GENERAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS		GENERAL DESCRIPTION	DEPTH - FEET FROM TO
Brown	Sand	Stones	Fil	1	0 4
Brown	Sandy Clay	Boulders			4 13
Grey	Limestone		50 f	t	13 150
		<u> </u>			
32 0004	HE128 [1210] 100 3K	051361 0150215185	بالبيبال		
1 2 10	ER RECORD	CASING & OPEN HOL		SIZE(S) OF OPENING	33 DIAMETER 34.38 LENGTH 34.40
WATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER	CASING & OPEN HOL	DEPTH - FEET	Z ISLOT NO)	33 DIAMETER 34-38 LENGTH 39-40
0048 7.0		CHES INCHES	FROM TO	MATERIAL AND TYPE	DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN 41-44 10
0145 15-10 1 🗆	FRESHET TO SHAPHUR 19	STEEL 12 GALVANIZED 3 GONCRETE	0 002113-16	61 PLUGGING	FEET
20.00	SALTY MINERAL FRESH 3 SULPHUR 24	4 □ OPEN HOLE 7 1/16 1 □ STEEL 19	21 X55 1 50	DEPTH SET AT - FEET	& SEALING RECORD ERIAL AND TYPE ICEMENT GROUT
2 🗆	SALTY 4 MINERAL	GALVANIZED GONCRETE	0150	FROM TO 10-13 14-17	LEAD PACKER, ETC)
2 🗆	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29 SALTY 4 MINERAL	4 OPEN HOLE 24-25 1 STEEL 26 2 GALVANIZED	27-30	18-21 22-25	
	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 34 60 SALTY 4 MINERAL	1 CONCRETE		26-29 30-33 80	
71 PUMPING TEST METH	OD 10 PUMPING RATE	11-14 DURATION OF PUMPING	7	LOCATION OF	W.F.I.I
1 DE PUMP	WATER LEVEL 25	GPM 01 15-16 00 17-1	s	LOCATION OF	
LEVEL	END OF WATER LEVELS PUMPING	DURING 1 M PUMPING TO MINUTES 45 MINUTES 60 MINUTES	LOT LI	GRAM BELOW SHOW DISTANCES O NE INDICATE NORTH BY ARRO	F WELL FROM ROAD AND W.
020 FEET	26-28	29-31 32-34 35-3 340 FEET 040 FEET 040 FEE		•	
IF FLOWING,	38-41 PUMP INTAKE SET AT	WATER AT END OF TEST 4			
IF FLOWING, GIVE RATE RECONMENDED PUMP	GPM 4(J FEET 1 1 CLEAR Z CLOUDY 43-45 RECOMMENDED 46-4	,		O.C #5
SHALLOW		FEET RATE 0005 GPM	1	.15 miles	7
FINAL	1 WATER SUPPLY	S ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY	1 8		1,50
STATUS	2 OBSERVATION WELL 3 TEST HOLE	6 ABANDONED POOR QUALITY 7 UNFINISHED	%		1 1
OF WELL SS-	I I L. DOMESTIC 5 [COMMERCIAL			· .
WATER	2 to stock	MUNICIPAL PUBLIC SUPPLY			12
USE U	4 INDUSTRIAL & [COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING 9		67.	7.6 22 3
NACTUOD S	1 CABLE TOOL		3		
METHOD 5	2 ROTARY (CONVENTIONAL 3 ROTARY (REVERSE)	8 🔲 JETTING	Σ		4
DRILLING	4 ROTARY (AIR) 5 M AIR PERCUSSION	9 DRIVING	DRILLERS REMARKS:	N.	
NAME OF WELL CO	NTRACTOR	LICENCE NUMBER] [DATA	\$8 CONTRACTOR 59-62 DATE	PAN NO RELIE
Capital ADDRESS	water Supply Ltd.	1558	SOURCE OF INSPECT	1 1558	~00001
Box 490	Stittsville, Ont	terio.	SE	(MSPECTOR	
Z			O REMARKS:		
SIGNATURE OF COR	TRACTOR CLUS	SUBMISSION DATE DAY 25 MO. 10 YR. 8	OFFICE		2 -
MINIST	RY OF THE ENVIR	RONMENT COPY			FORM NO. 0506—4—77 FORM 7

MINISTRY OF THE ENVIRONMENT The Ontario Water Resources Act

(8)	W	AT				L R		CORI)	316	54
Ontario	1. PRINT ONLY IN 2. CHECK ⊠ COR			11) 1	5177	781	MUNICIP. 1.500	چ څ	\$N	
Ottawa Owner (surname el	a-Carleton	1	est Car			ntley	cor	Conc	. 2		6//5-27 11 48-53
	Pritec Lt	d.	·	Rd., C	Carp,	Ontar:	io	BASIN CODE	DAY_30	OOO	09 yr. 8
21)	: (18 421	877	50/6	3299	725	0370	4	BASIN CODE		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
GENERAL COLOUR	MOST	OG OF O		IN AND BI	EDROC	K MATERIA		INSTRUCTIONS)		DEPT	H - FEET
drown	Sand	B.	oulders				GENE	RAL DESCRIPTION		FROM	то
Gray	Limestone		<u>our</u> der o							15	250
Black	Limestone									250	298
		1								-	
:				~~ <u>~</u>						1	
									- Jan		
							,,				
	562813 025	0215	0.29	8815	ـا لــلـ		للل		نيا ليا	ЩЦ	
32 10 WAT	TER RECORD		32 CASUNG 0	0.05.01.11	43		SIZE	54 (S) OF OPENING	31-33 DIAME	TER 34-38	75 8
VIATER FOUND AT - FEET	KIND OF WATER	INSIDE DIAM	CASING &	WALL THICKNESS	DEPT	H - FEET	E E	01 NO 1		INCHES	FEE:
	FRESH 3 [] SULPHUR 14 SALTY 4 [] MINERAL	0610-11	¹ x STEEL	INCHES	FROM	10	SCR	ERIAL AND TYPE		DEPTH TO TOP OF SCREEN	41-44 B
15-1B 1 🗆	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 19 SALTY 4 MINERAL	64	2 ☐ GALVANIZED 3 ☐ CONCRETE 4 ☐ OPEN HOLE	1 88	0	2 2 2 2	61	PLUGGIN	IG & SEAL	ING REC	
20-23 1	FRESH 3 [] SULPHUR 24	17-18	1] STEEL 2] GALVANIZED	19	22	6 298	DEPTH FROM	SET AT - FEET	MATERIAL AND		ENT GROUT, PACKER ETC)
25-28 1	SALTY 4 [] MINERAL FRESH 3 [] SULPHUR 29		3 CONCRETE 4 X OPEN HOLE 1 STEEL	26		27-30		10-13 14-17			
30-33 1	FRESH 3 [] SULPHUR 34 8		Z GALVANIZED GALVANIZED GONGRETE			27-30		6-29 30-33 80			
2 D	SALTY 4 [] MINERAL HOD 10 PEMPING RATI		4 C OPEN HOLE	PUMP: NG	<u> </u>				•		BBC BCC Living Williams - seminanting selection in the large
7		^	_{БРМ.} 01 Н	5-16 00	17-18 MINS			OCATION			
STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL 25 END OF WATER L PUMPING 22-24 15 MINUTES	EVELS DURIN	2 [PUMPING RECOVERY 5 60 MINU	7.55	LOT L		OW SHOW DISTANC DICATE NORTH BY A		FROM ROAD	AND
道 0 20	125 FEET 125 FEE	28 2	9-31	32-34	35-37	Fa					
OF FLOWING, GIVE RATE RECOMMENDED PUM	38-41 PUMP INTAKE		WATER AT EN		42						
RECOMMENDED PUM	PUMP	2 2 5	-45 RECOMMENDED		46-49			/	6	9	
50-53	GPM./FT. SPE	· · · · · ·		0000	GPM			27' 	·		
FINAL	1 M WATER SUPPLY 2 OBSERVATION WEL		ABANDONED, INSI ABANDONED, POO		PLY					u A	
STATUS OF WELL	3 TEST HOLE 4 RECHARGE WELL		UNFINISHED				-	- 	i gi V	ò	
WATER _	1 M DOMESTIC 2 □ STOCK	5 COM 6 MUN							b V	7	
USE 0	3 IFRIGATION 4 INDUSTRIAL OTHER		LIC SUPPLY LING OR AIR CONI 9 🔲 NO					Charch of		4	
	57 CABLE TOOL		6 [] BORING			,		Church >1	7 17		
METHOD OF 5	2 ROTARY (CONVENT 3 ROTARY (REVERSE		7 DIAMOND)	=	CAR	0	<u>C 0</u>	$\overline{}$		
DRILLING	4 POTARY (AIR) 5 AIR PERCUSSION		9 DRIVING			ILLERS REMARK					
NAME OF WELL C	ontractor 1 Water Supp)]v I+	i	ICENCE NUMBER	Z \	DATA SOURCE	58	CONTRACTOR 59-62	0 3 C	3 8	9 63-68 80
ADDRESS				1558	110	DATE OF INSPEC	CTION	1558 INSPECTOR	vo (JU D	~
NAME OF DRILLE		.1e, 0		OA 3GO	E USE					Р	
S S. Mil			SUBMISSION DATE	(C)	OFFICE						
IN I	MUDURAL	\mathcal{W}	DAY DAY MO.	<u>10 </u>	利[回				C	S. 6 V	V.I

	The Oman	O Traiei Nesou	ICES ACI	
WATE	R W	ELL	RECC	RD

Or	Env ntario		N SPACES PROVIDED RRECT BOX WHERE APPLICABLE	15190	74			έγ , .			
Ottawa-Carleton			TOWNSHIP, BOROUGH, CITY, TOWN, VILLAGI	_	CON . BLC	Conc.		I	LOT 25-27		
			13090: Kanat	<u> </u>	K2K 1X		DATE COM	PLETED 0	41-53 6YR. 84 _		
			13090. Kana	# 0365		3 	11	MO_U	\\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\		
	LOG OF OVERBURDEN AND BEDROCK MATERIALS (SEE INSTRUCTIONS)										
GE	NERAL COLOUR	MOST COMMON MATERIAL	OTHER MATERIALS	GENERAL DESCRIPTION DEPTH FEET TO							
В	rown	Sand		Packe	ed .			0	4		
G	iray	Sand	Gravel	Packe	ed			; 4	8		
	iray	Limestone		Soft				8	12		
G	iray	Limestone		Medi	LIM			12	260		
		*			· 				•		
		\$									
-					/	MOE					
-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				VF-18)					
31	0004	62878 . 1000	8212811179 60121215851	62602157			1 (1 1 1			
32								<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
(4		ER RECORD	CASING & OPEN HOLE	RECORD	SIZE(S) OF	OPENING 3	65 I-33 DIAMET	ER 34-38 L	75 80 ENGTH 39-40		
WAT.	ER FOUND T'- FEET	FRESH 3 SULPHUR 14		FROM TO	MATERIAL	AND TYPE	1	OEPTH TO TOP	FEET 41-44 30		
0	155' ' 🗀	FRESH 3 WSULPHUR 19	10-11 1 STEEL 12 2 GALVANIZED 188	0(2022	S				FEET		
2501 2 SALTY 4 MINERAL 6 17-18 1 OPEN HOLE 20-23 1 FRESH 3 SULPHUR 24				20-23 DEPTH SET AT - FEET MATERIAL AND TYPE ICEMENT GROUT							
<u> </u>	2 🗆	SALTY 4 MINERAL FRESH 3 SULPHUR 29	6 GALVANIZED 3 □ CONCRETE 4 ☑ OPEN HOLE	22 (0260	FROM 10-13	10 18-17	TENTAL AND	LEAD PAG	CKER. ETC.)		
-	2 🗆	SALTY 4 MINERAL	24-25 ! STEEL 26	27-30	18-21	22-25					
<u> </u>		FRESH 3 SULPHUR SALTY 4 MINERAL	3 CONCRETE 4 OPEN HOLE		26-29	30-33 80					
7.	MPING TEST METH	OD 10 PUMPING RATI	E 11-14 DURATION OF PUMPING 15-16 15-16 17-18 OO15 GPM 15 HOURS 0MINS	3111	LOC	ATION OF	WELL				
	STATIC LEVEL	WATER LEVEL 25 END OF WATER L PUMPING	EVELS DURING	P. W. IN DIAGE	RAM BELOW SH	HOW DISTANCES	WELL F	ROM ROAD AN	a۱		
TEST	19-21	22-24 IS MINUTES 26-2	29-31 32-34 35-37		1		7				
PING	IF FLOWING. GIVE RATE	7 60 FEET 060 FEE	SET AT WATER AT END OF TEST 42	123		,	g				
PUMPIN	RECOMMENDED PUMP	GPM. TYPE RECOMMENDED	FEET 1 CLEAR 2 CLOUDY D 43-45 RECOMMENDED 46-49 PUMPING	(\		V					
1 1	SHALLOW	DEEP SETTING	680 FEET RATE GOS 5 GPM				Va .				
	FINAL	1 WATER SUPPLY 2 DESERVATION WEL	5 ABANDONED, INSUFFICIENT SUPPLY L				2				
	STATUS OF WELL	TEST HOLE RECHARGE WELL	7 UNFINISHED				Ž				
	SS-S6 1 DOMESTIC S COMMERCIAL 2 A STOCK 6 D MUNICIPAL				/ 6/	a Km.					
	USE 62	I IRRIGATION INDUSTRIAL OTHER	7 ☐ PUBLIC SUPPLY ■ ☐ COOLING OR AIR CONDITIONING 9 ☐ NOT USED		J# ~		1	*	-		
		7 CABLE TOOL	\$ □ BORING		<i>-</i> 0		300				
ļ	OF /	2 PROTARY (CONVENT	TIONAL) 7 DIAMOND DISTRING			(13				
	DRILLING	4 ROTARY (AIR) 5 AIR PERCUSSION	9 DRIVING	DRILLERS REMARKS		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	₹ \				
1 1	NAME OF WELL CO		LICENCE NUMBER	DATA SOURCE DATE OF INSPECTIO	58 CONTRAC	TOR 59-62 DAY	07	084	2 "#"		
ပြ		Water Supply Stittsville		l w l		INSPECTOR	- <u>·</u>		J **		
NTR.		Stittsville	S REMARKS		1						
2	7 . \ 1	nagh / J. Moor	<i>n</i> = 1	OFFICE				-	_		
	MINIS	TRY OF THE END	DAY OG MO OG YR A				(CSC. 6	-77 508W 7		

Ontario Ministry of the Environment	Well Tat 4 04970:	it Below)	Well Record
O I I COII I O W I I W I W I W I W I W I W I W	A049T	Regulation	on 903 Ontario Water Resources Ac Page of
Well Owner's Information			
First Name Last Name	E-mail Addres	SS	☐ Well Constructed
Mailing Address (Street Number/Name, RR)	Municipality	Province / Postal Code	by Well Owner Telephone No. (inc. area code)
+2171 MEGE Side	Road Caro	CAT KOLAL	relephone No. (inc. area code)
Part A Construction and/or Major Alteration of a			44911111111
Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name, RR)	0 OTownship 0	Lot 11	Concession
County/District/Municipality	City/Town/Village	WITE I	Province Postal Code
Otowa Cor leter	Car	0	Ontario Jan 1 1
UTM Coordinates Zone Easting Northing	GPS Unit Make Model	Mode of Operation:	Undifferentiated Averaged
NAD 8 3 1 5 4 5 9 4 1 9 9 10	Tal Magazian	Differentiated, specify	
Overburden and Bedrock Materials (see instructions on General Colour Most Common Material	Other Materials	General Description	Depth (Metres)
Sand Go	2000		From To
Carlo	200		
5,00	1200		10/0
	The state of the s		
		A PART OF THE PART	
	V		
~ 01	0000	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
ATRI	RIDKINE	210 W	
Annular Space/Abandonment Se			ell Yield Testing
Depth Set at (Metres) Type of Sealant Used From To (Material and Type)	Volume Placed (Cubic Metres)	Check box if after test of well yield, water was:	Draw Down Recovery Time Water Level Time Water Level
610 0 West Coment	5/400 1.2724	Clear and sand free	(Min) (Metres) (Min) (Metres)
	7	state If pumping discontinued, give reason:	Static Static Level 34-70
		in puriping discontinued, give reason.	1708 1 3236
		Pumping test method	2 8 00 2 3 30
		Pump intake set at (Metres)	3 9 50 3 2 25
Method of Construction ☐ Cable Tool ☐ Diamond ☐ Public	Water Use	The set adjustes	4 10 30 4 29 18
☐ Rotary (Conventional) ☐ Jetting ☐ Dőmestic	☐ Municipal ☐ Dewatering	Pumping rate (Litres/min)	5 11 20 5 20 20
☐ Rotary (Reverse) ☐ Driving ☐ Livestock ☐ Rotary (Air) ☐ Digging ☐ Irrigation	☐ Test Hole ☐ Monitoring ☐ Cooling & Air Conditioning	Duration of pumping	100
Air percussion Boring Industrial	The second section of	hrs + Omin	15, 22, 3
Other, specify Status of Well		Final water level end of pumping (Metres)	15 18 60 15 20.
Water Supply Dewatering Well	Observation and/or Monitoring Hole	Recommended pump type	20 9, 40 20 1660
☐ Replacement Well ☐ Abandoned, Insufficient Supply ☐ Test Hole ☐ Abandoned, Poor Water Quality	☐ Alteration (Construction)	☐ Shallow ☐ Deep	25 23.87 25 1390
☐ Recharge Well ☐ Abandoned, other, specify		Recommended pump depth	30 26, 30 158
Location of Well		Recommended pump rate	40 2066 40 9 90
Please provide a map below showing: - all property boundaries, and measurements sufficient to locate	the well in relation to fixed points,	(Litrestimin))	50 2 7 60 50 1 80
 an arrow indicating the North direction detailed drawings can be provided as attachments no larger that 	an legal size (8.5" by 14")	If flowing give rate	60 34 90 60 6 30
- vidigital pictures of inside of well can also be provided	(NT)		I have a
	\sim	4	r Details of Water
			esh Salty Sulphur Minerals
IN IKM	160		of Water STEF
		Water found at Depth Kind	esh
0/2	171 MCGEE	•	esh
	171 -0000	Casing Used Screen Used	d Casing and Well Details
7 40	SIDE	Galvanized Galvanized	Diameter of the Hele (Centimetres)
		Steel Steel	Depth of the Hole (typeres)
Date Well Completed Was the well owner's information D	ate the Well Record and Package	Plastic Plastic	15231
C(yyy/mm/de/) 3 package delivered? No S	telivered to Well Owner (ywy/mm/dd)	Concrete Concrete	Wall Thickness (Metres)
Well Contractor and Well Technici	an Information	No Casing and Screen Used	Inside Diameter of the Casing (Metres)
Business Name of Well Contractor	Well Contractor's Licence No.	Dopen Hole (0 15235	11.1588
Business Address (Street No./Name, number, RR)	Municipality	D(sinfected? Yes No	Depth of the Casing (Majtrds)
PRA I	RICHMOND		y Use Only
Province Rostal Code Business E-mail Ad	7	Audit No.	Well Contractor No.
Bus. Telephone No. (inc. area code) Name of Well Technician (L	aet Nama Firet Nama\	z 60149	Date of Jespestion (consider (14)
G B R A DI TA	api Ivame, Flist Ivame)	Date Received (yyyy/mm/dd) OCT 1 5 2007	Date of Inspection (yyyy/mm/dd)
Well Technician's Licence No. Signature of Technician	Date Submitted (yyyy/mm/dd)	Remarks	
asses (4/2000)	0007-10-10		
0506E (11/2006)	Ministry's Copy		© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2006

Measurements recorded in: ☐ Metric ☐ Imperial Well Tag#: A2	Regula		Well Record o Water Resources Ac Pageof
Well Owner's Information First Name Last Name / Organization Mailing Address (Street Number/Name) Well Location Address of Well Location (Street Number/Name) County/District/Municipality UTM Coordinates Zone, Easting Northing Municipal Plan and S	Jon on Rola	IAO GILE Conce	one No. (inc. area code) 5 8 9 9 8 7 8 4
NAD 8 3 PH2 1/95 U Bloff2 Overburden and Bedrock Materials/Abandonment Sealing Record (see instructions or General Colour Most Common Material Other Materials Whom Haufon Sond grand Gray Haufon 1 and grand Gray Pork	the back of this form) General Descripti		Depth (m/ht) From To 8 26 20 75
Annular Space Depth Set at (m/fl)	After test of well yield, water was: Clear and sand free Other, specify If pumping discontinued, give reason Pump intake set at (m/ft) Pumping rate (l/min \(GPM \)) Duration of pumping	1 9.9 2 0.3 3 0.9 4 0.5 5 0.6 10 0.7 15 0.8	Recovery
Test Hole Recharge Well Dewatering Well Dewatering Well Dewatering Well Dewatering Well Description and/or Monitoring Hole Alteration (Construction) Abandoned, Insufficient Supply Abandoned, Insufficient Supply Abandoned, Poor Water Quality Abandoned, Other, Specify Other, specify Depth (m/h) Other, specify Depth (m/h) Diameter From To Depth (m/h) Diameter Depth (m/h) Diameter From To Diameter From To Com/h) Diameter To Com/h	Recommended pump rate (I/min / GPM)* Well production (I/min / GPM) Disinfected? Yes \(\sumset \text{No} \)	25 // ,	7 25 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Address (Street Number/Name) Postal Code Name of Well Technician (Last Name, First Name)	Well owner's information package delivered delivered Date Work Completed Date Work Com	Audit No. Z	ry Use Only 232515 1 9 2016



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group Page 1 of 7

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

Dear Erik Ardley:

Please find attached the analytical results for your samples. If you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to call (61	3-727	7-5	6	;0	9)	Ö	g	Ç	6	j۲	5	_!	7.	7	2.	12	7	-7	-7			<u>.</u> -	š-	3.	3	3	3	3	13	1:	1	5 1	6	6	(11	11	al	a	C	(3	c	t	3	Æ	ıt	a	t	٠i	S	e	16	h	t	O'	10	n)	o	d	(Š	e	S	25	a	e	М	n	. 1	t.	rf	O!	c	n	91	re		s	hi	tŀ		ìC	in	di	r	aı	aa	90	re	r	s	15	n	ıc	io	ic	ti	st	2	2	le	u	ıu	α	C	v	ı۱	ın	aı	а		9	e	76	v	a١	а	a	1	h	ł		ı	i	u	u	L	Ì	o	C	/(/(v	v	١	•	f	ľ	ı	1			_
---	-------	-----	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	----	----	---	----	----	---	----	----	--	--	------------	----	----	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	------------	---	---	---	----	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	----	---	---	----	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	---	---	---	---	-----	----	----	----	---	---	----	----	--	---	----	----	--	----	----	----	---	----	----	----	----	---	---	----	---	----	----	----	----	----	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	----	----	----	---	--	---	---	----	---	----	---	---	---	---	---	--	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----	----	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	--	--	---

Report Comments:	
APPROVAL:	
	Addrine Thomas, Inorganics Supervisor

All analysis is completed at Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) unless otherwise indicated.

Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) is accredited by CALA, Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 for tests which appear on the scope of accreditation. The scope is available at: http://www.cala.ca/scopes/2602.pdf.

Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) is licensed by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) for specific tests in drinking water (license #2318). A copy of the license is available upon request.

Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) is accredited by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Rural Affairs for specific tests in agricultural soils.

Please note: Field data, where presented on the report, has been provided by the client and is presented for informational purposes only. Guideline values listed on this report are provided for ease of use (informational purposes) only. Eurofins recommends consulting the official provincial or federal guideline as required. Unless otherwise stated, measurement uncertainty is not taken into account when determining guideline or regulatory exceedances.



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

Group	Analyte	MRL	Units	Lab I.D. Sample Matrix Sample Type Sampling Date Sample I.D. Guideline	1544901 GW 2021-03-04 GW1	1544902 GW 2021-03-04 GW2
Anions	Cl	1	mg/L	AO 250	120	119
	F	0.10	mg/L	MAC 1.5	0.15	0.14
	N-NO2	0.10	mg/L	MAC 1.0	<0.10	<0.10
	N-NO3	0.10	mg/L	MAC 10.0	<0.10	<0.10
	SO4	1	mg/L	AO 500	83	79
General Chemistry	Alkalinity as CaCO3	5	mg/L	OG 500	346	344
,	Colour	2	TCU		<2	<2
	Conductivity	5	uS/cm		1100	1100
	DOC	0.5	mg/L	AO 5	1.5	1.5
	рН	1.00	-	6.5-8.5	7.98	8.02
	S2-	0.01	mg/L	AO 0.05		0.03
		0.1	mg/L	AO 0.05	<0.1*	
	TDS	10	mg/L	AO 500	640*	640*
	Turbidity	0.1	NTU	AO 5.0	>100	2.0
Hardness	Hardness as CaCO3	1	mg/L	OG 100	382*	391*
Indices/Calc	Ion Balance	0.01			1.02	1.04
Metals	Ca	1	mg/L		115	117
	Fe	0.03	mg/L	AO 0.3	3.69*	0.09
	K	1	mg/L		5	4
	Mg	1	mg/L		23	24
	Mn	0.01	mg/L	AO 0.05	0.06*	<0.01
	Na	2	mg/L	AO 200	105	103
Nutrients	N-NH3	0.010	mg/L		0.023	0.021
	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	0.100	mg/L		0.197	0.104
Subcontract-Inorg	Phenols	0.001	mg/L		<0.001	<0.001

Guideline = ODWSOG

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted. Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.

^{* =} Guideline Exceedence



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

Group	Analyte	MRL	Units	Lab I.D. Sample Matrix Sample Type Sampling Date Sample I.D. Guideline	1544901 GW 2021-03-04 GW1	1544902 GW 2021-03-04 GW2
Subcontract-Inorg	Tannin & Lignin	0.1	mg/L		<0.1	<0.1

Guideline = ODWSOG

* = Guideline Exceedence

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted. Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

QC Summary

An	alyte	Blank		QC % Rec	QC Limits
Run No 396958 Method C SM2130B	Analysis/Extraction Date 20)21-03-05	Analyst	AET	
Turbidity		<0.1 NTU		100	70-130
Run No 396999 Method C SM2540	Analysis/Extraction Date 20	021-03-09	Analyst	SKH	
TDS		<10 mg/L		99	90-110
Run No 397023 Method SM 4110	Analysis/Extraction Date 20	021-03-08	Analyst	R_R	
N-NO2		<0.10 mg/L		113	90-110
N-NO3		<0.10 mg/L		104	90-110
SO4		<1 mg/L		100	90-110
Run No 397049 Method SM2320,2510	Analysis/Extraction Date 20 4500H/F	021-03-08	Analyst	AET	
Alkalinity (CaCO3)	<5 mg/L		106	90-110
Conductivity		<5 uS/cm		100	90-110
F		<0.10 mg/L		102	90-110
pH				100	90-110
Run No 397050 Method EPA 351.2	Analysis/Extraction Date 20	021-03-09	Analyst	AET	

Guideline = ODWSOG

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted. Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.

^{* =} Guideline Exceedence



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

QC Summary

Analyte		Blank		QC % Rec	QC Limits
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen		<0.100 mg/L		93	70-130
Run No 397051 Analys Method EPA 350.1	sis/Extraction Date 20	21-03-09	Analys	t AET	
N-NH3		<0.010 mg/L		114	80-120
Run No 397053 Analys Method C SM2120C	sis/Extraction Date 20	21-03-09	Analys	t SKH	
Colour		<2 TCU		98	90-110
Run No 397066 Analys Method M SM3120B-3500C	sis/Extraction Date 20	21-03-09	Analys	t Z_S	
Calcium		<1 mg/L		105	90-110
Potassium		<1 mg/L		105	87-113
Magnesium		<1 mg/L		103	76-124
Sodium		<2 mg/L		117	82-118
Run No 397091 Analys Method SM 4110	sis/Extraction Date 20	121-03-09	Analys	t R_R	
Chloride		<1 mg/L		100	90-110
Run No 397096 Analys Method SM 5310B	sis/Extraction Date 20	21-03-10	Analys	t AET	
DOC		<0.5 mg/L		97	80-120

Guideline = ODWSOG

* = Guideline Exceedence

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted. Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

QC Summary

An	alyte	Blank		QC % Rec	QC Limits
Run No 397103 Method C SM2340B	Analysis/Extraction Date 20)21-03-10 A i	nalyst	AET	
Hardness as CaC	O3				
Ion Balance					
Run No 397167 Method EPA 200.8	Analysis/Extraction Date 20	121-03-11 A l	nalyst	H_D	
Iron		<0.03 mg/L		92	80-120
Manganese		<0.01 mg/L		92	80-120
Run No 397168 Method C SM4500-S2-	Analysis/Extraction Date 20	n21-03-11 A i	nalyst	AET	
S2-		<0.01 mg/L		89	80-120
Run No 397177 Method SUBCONTRA	Analysis/Extraction Date 20	121-03-08 A l	nalyst	AET	
Phenols		<0.001 mg/L		88	69-132
Tannin & Lignin		<0.1 mg/L		110	

Guideline = ODWSOG

* = Guideline Exceedence

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted. Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948880

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-11

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

Sample Comment Summary

Sample ID: 1544901 GW1 Significant amount of solids in preserved bottle were not included in TKN analysis. S2- MRL elevated due to matrix interference (dilution was done).

Guideline = ODWSOG

* = Guideline Exceedence

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted. Methods references and/or additional QA/QC information available on request.



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948884

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-06

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

Page 1 of 2

Dear Erik Ardle	/ :
-----------------	------------

Р	lease fin	ıd a	ttache	d the	analyt	ical	results f	for your sam	ples. If	you	have any	quest	ons re	garding	a this re	eport,	please of	do not h	าesita	te to	call ((613 [.]	-727-	5692	١).

Report Comments:

APPROVAL:

Dragana Dzeletovic-Andric, Microbiology Team Lead

All analysis is completed at Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) unless otherwise indicated.

Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) is accredited by CALA, Canadian Association for Laboratory Accreditation to ISO/IEC 17025 for tests which appear on the scope of accreditation. The scope is available at: http://www.cala.ca/scopes/2602.pdf.

Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) is licensed by the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks (MECP) for specific tests in drinking water (license #2318). A copy of the license is available upon request.

Eurofins Environment Testing Canada Inc. (Ottawa, Ontario) is accredited by the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food, and Rural Affairs for specific tests in agricultural soils.

Please note: Field data, where presented on the report, has been provided by the client and is presented for informational purposes only. Guideline values listed on this report are provided for ease of use (informational purposes) only. Eurofins recommends consulting the official provincial or federal guideline as required. Unless otherwise stated, measurement uncertainty is not taken into account when determining guideline or regulatory exceedances.



Environment Testing

Client: Paterson Group

154 Colonnade Rd. South

Nepean, ON K2E 7T7

Attention: Mr. Erik Ardley

PO#: 31705

Invoice to: Paterson Group

 Report Number:
 1948884

 Date Submitted:
 2021-03-05

 Date Reported:
 2021-03-06

 Project:
 PH4146

 COC #:
 870803

Group	Analyte	MRL	Units	Lab I.D. Sample Matrix Sample Type Sampling Date Sample I.D. Guideline	1544909 GW 2021-03-04 GW1	1544910 GW 2021-03-04 GW2
Microbiology	Escherichia Coli	0	ct/100mL	MAC 0	0	0
	Total Coliforms	0	ct/100mL	MAC 0	0	0

Guideline = ODWSOG

* = Guideline Exceedence

Results relate only to the parameters tested on the samples submitted.

Analytical Method: AMBCOLM1


additional QA/QC information available on request.

Pumping Test Analysis Report Project: Proposed Commercial Building Number: PH4146 M B Ford Construction Client: Location: 2167 McGee Side Rd. Pumping Test: TW1 Pumping Test Pumping Well: Well 1 Test Conducted by: EA Test Date: 19/03/2021 Analysis Performed by: EA Theis Analysis Date: 19/03/2021 Aquifer Thickness: Discharge: variable, average rate 0.2 [l/s] Time [min] 400 600 200 800 1000 0.00 10.00 20.00 30.00 40.00 50.00 Well 1 Calculation using Theis

Observation Well	Transmissivity	Storage coefficient	Radial Distance to PW	
	[m²/d]		[m]	
Well 1	9.23 × 10 ⁻²		0.08	

Pumping Test Analysis Report Project: Proposed Commercial Building Number: PH4146 Client: M B Ford Construction

Location: 2167 McGee Side Rd.	Pumping Test: TW1 Pumping Test	Pumping Well: Well 1
Test Conducted by: EA		Test Date: 19/03/2021
Analysis Performed by: EA	Theis Recovery	Analysis Date: 19/03/2021
Aquifer Thickness:	Discharge: variable, average rate 0.2 [l/s]	



Calculation using THEIS & JACOB

Observation Well	Transmissivity	Radial Distance to PW	
	[m²/d]	[m]	
Well 1	9.14 × 10 ⁻²	0.08	

					Pumping T	est An	alysis Report		
					Project: Pro	posed C	Commercial Building	g	
					Number: PH	4146			
					Client: M E	Ford C	onstruction		
.00	cation: 2167 McGee S	ide Rd.	Pumping	Test: TW1	Pumping Test		Pumping Well: W	ell 1	
e	st Conducted by: EA		1				Test Date: 19/03/2	2021	
q	uifer Thickness: NAN	m	Discharge	e: variable,	average rate 0).2 [l/s]			
	Analysis Name	Analysis Perfe	ormed by	Method na	ame	Well		T [m²/d]	S
	Theis	EA		Theis		Well 1		9.23 × 10 ⁻²	
	Theis Recovery	EA		Theis Rec	covery	Well 1		9.14 × 10 ⁻²	
							Average	9.19 × 10 ⁻²	

patersongroup

Somme Street PH4089

TW1 i	nputs			
рН	8.02	A	0.18	
TDS	640	В	2.42	
Hardness	391	С	2.19	
Alkalinity	344	D	2.54	
Temp.	8.1			
- 1		pHs =	7.172828503	

Langel	lier Saturation Index (LSI) Cal	culation	(Langelier, 1936)						
	LSI = pH - pHs pHs = (9.3 + A + B) - (C + D) Where:	A = (Log10 [TDS] - 1) / B = -13.12 x Log10 (oC C = Log10 [Ca2+ as Ca0 D = Log10 [alkalinity as	+ 273) + 34.55 CO3] - 0.4						
		LSI =	0.8						
LSI	Effect								
0.5 to 2	Water is super saturated and tends to precipitate a scale	layer of calcium carbonate (scale	forming but non-corrosive)						
0 to 0.5	Water is super saturated and tends to precipitate a scale I	ayer of calcium carbonate (slightly	scale forming and corrosive)	1.					
0	Water is saturated (in equilibrium) with calcium carbonate. A scale layer of calcium carbonate is neither precipitated nor dissolved.								
0 to -0.5	Water is under saturated and tends to dissolve solid calcium carbonate (slightly corrosivebut non-scale forming).								
-0.5 to -2	Water is under saturated and tends to dissolve solid calcium carbonate (slightly corrosivebut non-scale forming). Water is under saturated and tends to dissolve solid calcium carbonate (seriously corrosive).								

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic FILE NO. **PG5602 REMARKS** HOLE NO. BH 1-20 BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE November 20, 2020 **SAMPLE** Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT **DEPTH** ELEV. Piezometer Construction **SOIL DESCRIPTION** 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 0+117.98FILL: Brown silty sand with crushed 1 stone 0.30 ΑU 2 1 + 116.98SS 2 25 48 SS 3 67 44 2 + 115.98GLACIAL TILL: Dense to very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders SS 4 79 66 3+114.98X SS 5 0 50+ 🛭 SS 6 50+ 50 4+113.987 67 50+ 4.80 End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 4.80m depth (GWL @ 3.96m - Dec. 2, 2020) 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

▲ Undisturbed

△ Remoulded

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic FILE NO. **PG5602 REMARKS** HOLE NO. BH 2-20 BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE November 20, 2020 **SAMPLE** Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT **DEPTH** ELEV. Piezometer Construction **SOIL DESCRIPTION** 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 0+117.96FILL: Crushed stone with silty sand ΑU 1 and gravel 0.30 ΑU 2 1 + 116.96SS 3 75 28 **GLACIAL TILL:** Compact to very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders SS 4 50+ 60 2+115.96SS 5 27 50+ SS 6 50 +2.82 End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 2.82m depth (Piezometer blocked and dry at 2.04m depth - Dec. 2, 2020) 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa)

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic FILE NO. **PG5602 REMARKS** HOLE NO. BH 3-20 BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE November 20, 2020 **SAMPLE** Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT **DEPTH** ELEV. Piezometer Construction **SOIL DESCRIPTION** 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 0+117.39FILL: Brown silty sand, some gravel 1 0.60 SS 2 60 50+ 1 + 116.39GLACIAL TILL: Very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and SS 3 22 50 +boulders 2 + 115.39¥ **ℤSS** 4 100 50+ End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 2.44m depth (GWL @ 2.26m - Dec. 2, 2020) 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

FILE NO.

PG5602

REMARKS

Geodetic

DATUM

REMARKS BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance [Orill			D	ATE I	Novembe	er 20, 202	20	HOL	E NO.	вн	4-20	
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		SAN	IPLE		DEPTH	ELEV.	Pen. R	esist. 0 mm				٤
	STRATA E	TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(m)	(m)		Vater				Piezometer Construction
GROUND SURFACE	SI	H	DN	REC	N		117.07	20	40	60	8	80	Pie.
FILL: Brown silty sand with crushed stone0.30		AU	1			0-	-117.07						
		& AU	2										
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	3	50	28	1-	-116.07						
		SS	4	75	34	0	-115.07						
0.00		ss	5	50	50+	2-	-115.07						
End of Borehole	^^^^	Δ.											
Practical refusal to augering at 2.69m depth													
(GWL @ 0.94m - Dec. 2, 2020)													
								20 Shea ▲ Undist	40 ar Streaturbed			a)	00

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO. PG5602
REMARKS	D.:III			_		N		20	HOLE NO. BH 5-20
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance			041		DATE	Novembe	er 20, 202		
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT			/IPLE		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)	1	esist. Blows/0.3m 0 mm Dia. Cone
	STRATA	TYPE	NUMBER	RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD			0 V	Vater Content % 40 60 80 O mm Dia. Cone Vater Content %
GROUND SURFACE	ST	H	N DN	REC	N O N		117.00	20	40 60 80 Gong
FILL: Brown silty sand, some gravel, trace organics		AU	1			- 0-	-117.98		
GLACIAL TILL: Compact to very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders		ss	2	83	17	1-	-116.98		
1.62	2 \^^^^	∑.ss	3	100	50+				
End fo Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 1.62m									
depth									
(GWL @ 1.33m - Dec. 2, 2020)									
								20 She	40 60 80 100 ar Strength (kPa)
								▲ Undist	turbed \triangle Remoulded

154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA


DATUM Geodetic FILE NO. **PG5602 REMARKS** HOLE NO. BH 6-20 BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance Drill DATE November 20, 2020 **SAMPLE** Pen. Resist. Blows/0.3m STRATA PLOT **DEPTH** ELEV. Piezometer Construction **SOIL DESCRIPTION** 50 mm Dia. Cone (m) (m) RECOVERY N VALUE or RQD NUMBER Water Content % **GROUND SURFACE** 80 20 0+117.23FILL: Crushed stone with silty sand, trace organics 0.30 1 1 + 116.23**GLACIAL TILL:** Compact to very SS 2 67 26 dense, light brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles and boulders SS 3 75 57 2 + 115.23SS 4 73 50+ End of Borehole Practical refusal to augering at 2.57m depth (GWL @ 2.09m - Dec. 2, 2020) 40 60 80 100 Shear Strength (kPa) ▲ Undisturbed △ Remoulded


154 Colonnade Road South, Ottawa, Ontario K2E 7J5

SOIL PROFILE AND TEST DATA

Geotechnical Investigation Prop. Commercial Building - 2167 McGee Side Road Ottawa, Ontario

DATUM Geodetic									FILE NO	PG5602			
REMARKS	D-:111			_		\		20	HOLE N	D. BH 7-20			
BORINGS BY CME-55 Low Clearance			SVI	/IPLE	ATE	Novembe	er 20, 202		ociet P	ows/0.3m			
SOIL DESCRIPTION	PLOT		JAN	Τ		DEPTH (m)	ELEV. (m)		0 mm Di		e ion		
	STRATA	TYPE	NUMBER	% RECOVERY	N VALUE or RQD	(,	(,	- v	O Water Content %				
GROUND SURFACE	STF	Į.	NUN	RECC	N O t			20	40	Piezometer Construction			
	^^^^		1			0-	118.08						
		& AU	2										
		× 70											
GLACIAL TILL: Dense to very dense, brown silty sand with gravel, cobbles		$\sqrt{}$					447.00						
and boudlers		SS	3	92	34] -	117.08						
		Ц											
		ss	4	75	50+								
2.06						2-	116.08						
End of Borehole		- -				_	110.00				1361 H-6661		
Practical refusal to augering at 2.06m depth													
(Piezometer blocked and dry at 1.38m													
depth - Dec. 2, 2020)													
								20	40	60 80 10	00		
								Shea ▲ Undist	ar Streng urbed /	t h (kPa) Remoulded			





No.			
LEGEND:	<u>:</u>		
\Phi	BOR	EHOLE LOCATION	
117.96	GRO	OUND SURFACE ELEVATION (m)	
(115.14)		CTICAL REFUSAL TO AUGERING VATION (m)	
BENCHM	ARK	INFORMATION:	
(NAD83 Z		/	
Survey PI	an pro	ovided by D.B. Gray Engineering Inc.	
	\dashv		
	\dashv		

M.B FORD CONSTRUCTION

paterson group

PROPOSED WAREHOUSE & OFFICE

SITE PLAN

Rev.

paterso consulting e	ngroup engineers						\$	SIEVE ANAL ASTM C1		
CLIENT:	MB Ford Construction Ltd.	DESCRIPTION:		Fine A	ggregate	FILE NO:			PH4146	
CONTRACT NO.:	-	SPECIFICATION	:		Soil	LAB NO:			23277	
PROJECT:	2167 McGee Side	INTENDED USE:			-	DATE RECEIVE	ĒD:		6-Jan-21	
PROJECT.	2167 WicGee Side	PIT OR QUARRY	' :		-	DATE TESTED:			6-Jan-21	
DATE SAMPLED:	20-Nov-21	SOURCE LOCAT	ION:	BH7	- SS2	DATE REPORT	ED:		8-Jan-21	
SAMPLED BY:	-	SAMPLE LOCAT	ION:	2'6	- 4'6	TESTED BY:			D.K	_
0	.01	0.1		Si 1	eve Size (mm)	10	0		100	
90.0					•	*	***			
80.0										
70.0										
60.0										
% 50.0										
30.0										
20.0										
10.0										
0.0	1		Sand	d	T	Gravel				\exists
	Silt and Clay	Fin	ne	Medium Coarse	Fine		Coarse		Cobble	
dentification		Soil Classi	ification	•	MC(%)	LL	PL	PI	Cc 0.89	Cu
•	D100 D60	D30	D10	Gravel (%)	Sar	nd (%)	Silt	t (%)	0.89 Clay	8.5
	26.5 0.17 Comments:	0.055	0.02	6.0	5	55.3		, /	38.7	
		C	Curtis Beadow				Joe Fosv	rth, P. Eng.		
REVIEWE	D BY:		n Ru			Je	A 2	>		

patersongroup **SIEVE ANALYSIS** consulting engineers ASTM C136 CLIENT: MB Ford Construction Ltd. DESCRIPTION: FILE NO.: Fine Aggregate PH4146 CONTRACT NO.: SPECIFICATION: Soil LAB NO.: 23277 DATE REC'D: INTENDED USE: 6-Jan-21 PROJECT: 2167 McGee Side PIT OR QUARRY: DATE TESTED: 6-Jan-21 BH7 - SS2 DATE SAMPLED: 20-Nov SOURCE LOCATION: DATE REP'D: 8-Jan-21 2'6 - 4'6 SAMPLED BY: SAMPLE LOCATION: TESTED BY: D.K WEIGHT BEFORE WASH 741.8 WEIGHT AFTER WASH 469.3 SIEVE SIZE WEIGHT PERCENT LOWER **UPPER** PERCENT REMARK **RETAINED SPEC SPEC** RETAINED **PASSING** (mm) 150 106 75 63 53 37.5 0.0 26.5 0.0 100.0 26.8 19 96.4 3.6 26.8 16 3.6 96.4 26.8 13.2 96.4 3.6 34.9 9.5 4.7 95.3 38.3 6.7 5.2 94.8 44.8 4.75 6.0 94.0 2.36 58.4 7.9 92.1 75.1 1.18 10.1 89.9 109.7 0.6 14.8 85.2 193.8 73.9 0.3 26.1 321.5 43.3 0.15 56.7 455.0 0.075 61.3 38.7 468.5 PAN SIEVE CHECK FINE 0.17 0.3% max. REFERENCE MATERIAL OTHER TESTS RESULT LAB NO. RESULT Joe Forsyth, P. Eng. **Curtis Beadow** In hu REVIEWED BY:

2167 McGee Side Road

PRE DEVELOPMENT CO	NDITIONS		POST DEVELOPMENT CONDITIONS				
Groundwater Flow Through	NOT USE)	Groundwater Flow Through	NOT USED			
Background Nitrate Concentration (C _b) =		9 mg/L	Background Nitrate Concentration (C _b) =	0-п	mg/L		
Hydraulic Conductivity (k) =		9 -m/s	Hydraulic Conductivity (k) =	0 -m	n/s		
lorizontal Gradient (i) =		Ð	Horizontal Gradient (i) =	θ			
ength (L) =		9 -m	Length (L) =	0 -m	n		
Aquifer Thickness (t) =		9 -m	Aquifer Thickness (t) =	θ-m	m		
Groundwater Flow (Q _b) =		0 -m³/day	Groundwater Flow (Q _b) =	0 -m	m³/day		
nfiltration Factors			Infiltration Factors				
Городгарну		θ	Topography	0.25			
Soil	0.0	Ð	Soil	0.30			
Cover	0.0	Ð	Cover	0.10			
	Total	9	То	otal 0.65			
Site Characteristics			Site Characteristics				
Area of Site:	-	m^2	Area of Site :	7,622 m	n²		
		9	Roof + driveway areas + gravel fill area	3,872 m	n²		
		9	Length of roadways:	- m	n		
		Ð	Width of roadways	- m	m^2		
			Total area of roadways:	-			
			Impervious Area	3,872 m	n ²		
			Percent Impervious Area =	50.80 %	%		
nfiltration Area =	-	m ²	Infiltration Area =	3,750 m	n²		
Septic Effluent			Septic Effluent				
Concentration of Effluent (Cs) =		9 mg/L	Concentration of Effluent (Cs) =	4 n	mg/L		
Daily Sewage Flow (Qs)=		9 m ³	Daily Sewage Flow (Qs)=	5.15 m	m ³		
			See Note 1 below.				
nfiltration Calculation			Infiltration Calculation				
Nitrate concentration in precipitation (C _i) =		9 mg/L	Nitrate concentration in precipitation (C _i) =	0 n	mg/L		
Surplus Water (Environment Canada)		mm/yr	Surplus Water (Environment Canada)	402 m	mm/yr		
Factored Water Surplus =		9 mm/yr	Factored Water Surplus =	261 m	mm/yr		
Total volume of Infiltration	-	m³/yr	Infiltration % due to stormwater management measures	0%			
			Infiltration rate from stormwater management measures =	0 m	nm/yr		
nfiltration flow entering the system (Q_i) =		9 m ³ /day	Infiltration Flow Entering the System (Q_i) =	3 m	m³/day		
Mass Balance Model (MOEE, 1995)			Mass Balance Model (MOEE, 1995)				
$C_T = (Q_b C_b + Q_e C_e + Q_i C_i)/(Q_b + Q_e + Q_i) = Cumulat$	ive Nitrate Concentrat	ion	$C_T = (Q_bC_b + Q_eC_e + Q_iC_i)/(Q_b + Q_e + Q_i) = Cumulative Nit$	rate Concentration			
Q _b = flow entering the system across the upgradient area		⊕ m³/day	Q _b = flow entering the system across the upgradient area	0 m	m³/day		
C _b = background nitrate concentration		9 mg/L	C _b = background nitrate concentration	0 m	mg/L		
$\mathrm{Q_e}$ = flow entering the system from the septic drainfield		⊕ m³/day	Q _e = flow entering the system from the septic drainfield	5.15 m	n³/day		
$C_{\rm e}$ = concentration of nitrates in the septic effluent	1	9 mg/L	C _e = concentration of nitrates in the septic effluent		mg/L		
Q_i = flow entering the system from infiltration		⊕ m³/day	Q _i = flow entering the system from infiltration	3 m	m³/day		
C_{i} = Concentration of nitrates in the infiltrate		9 mg/L	C _i = Concentration of nitrates in the infiltrate	0 m	mg/L		
	C _T = #DIV/0!	mg/L		C _T = 2.63 m	ng/L		
Estimate Number of Lots		1 lots	Estimate Number of Lots	1 lo	ots		



Available upgrade for all Waterloo Biofilter advanced wastewater treatment systems



Nitrogen is a nutrient naturally found in human wastewater. Excess nitrogen in groundwater is a public health concern, while excess nitrogen in surface waters can stimulate algae blooms and lake eutrophication. Not only can this be a nuisance and interfere with the enjoyment of water bodies - but serious health and ecosystem problems can result such as 'blue baby' syndrome, fish kills, and 'brown or red tide' algae toxins that accumulate in shellfish.

Excess nitrogen in the environment can:



Contaminate Drinking Water Sources with High Levels of Nitrate



Limit Recreation Activities such as Swimming, Boating, and Fishing



Lower Property Values by Impairing Quality of Surface Water




Lower Dissolved Oxygen Levels and Reduce Fish Populations

Multiple Levels of Removal

The Waterloo Biofilter system itself removes 25-35% of total nitrogen with a single-pass configuration, and 50-65% of total nitrogen with a double-pass configuration where treated effluent is recirculated back to the septic tank. With a WaterNOx-S or WaterNOx-LS denitrification filter installed after the Waterloo Biofilter treatment unit, up to 95% total nitrogen removal can be achieved.

WaterNOx-S

The WaterNOx-S recirculates nitrified effluent up through a plastic filtration media with external carbon source added for denitrification.



A pump re-circulates the water through the filtration media. External carbon is added

WaterNOx-S Benefits

- Permanent filtration medium
- Easy set-up and servicing
- No filter media backwashing
- Safe, non-toxic carbon source
- Low energy use
- New or retrofit applications

WaterNOx-LS

The WaterNOx-LS uses autotrophic bacteria to denitrify nitrified effluent in a proprietary blend of agricultural minerals.




Water goes down to the bottom of the tank, then flows up through the media and out the outlet.

WaterNOx-LS Benefits

- 100% passive, no energy used
- No extra pump necessary
- No chemical addition
- ► Long, 10+ year filter media lifespan
- Self-buffered to neutral pH
- Minimal increase to BOD and TSS



Disinfection Instruction Sheet



vour trouble.

If your drinking water continues to test positive on repeated submissions, consult your local health unit, which can help you interpret the results of your tests and provide you with advice on what measures you can take to safeguard your drinking water.

The first step in identifying the reason for repeated adverse water quality is to conduct a visual inspection of your well. Start with a close look at your well. The area around it should be

clear of any potential contaminant sources, such as pets, lawn care products, and gardens. Once you're satisfied that the area around your well is okay, take a good, close look at the well itself. If you have an older well, make sure that the cap and the sealant around the well casing isn't cracked or damaged. If it is, you need to fix or replace it right away. If the source of the problem can't be detected, consult a licensed well contractor right away to identify the source of the problem and eliminate it. You can save yourself a lot of money by doing this instead of rushing out to buy a home treatment device that may be expensive to install, operate,

and maintain. And it may not eliminate the source of

(If you have a cistern, please talk to your public health unit about disinfection requirements.)

- 1. Measure the diameter of the well.
- 2. Measure the well depth and the static or resting water level, then calculate the depth of water in the well.
- 3. Using the table on this sheet, measure out the amount of bleach needed. (The table gives the volume of bleach needed for different well sizes.) Then, pour the mixture into your well.
- 4. If possible, mix the water in the well. This can be accomplished by attaching a hose to a tap, running water from the well, through the hose and back into the well.
- 5. After adding chlorine to the well, remove or bypass any carbon filters that are in the system for water treatment. If you don't, these filters will remove the chlorine from the water, and any pipes beyond the filter will not get disinfected. Replace with new filters after chlorination to avoid reintroducing bacteria into the system.
- 6. Run water at every faucet in the house (and barn, if you have one) until a strong chlorine odour is detected. Be aware that your nose may lose its ability to detect chlorine.
- 7. If there is no chlorine smell or it is very weak, add more bleach to the well and repeat Step 6 above.
- 8. Drain the water heater and fill with chlorinated water.
- 9. Backflush the water softener and all water filters (except carbon filters).

Casing Di	ameter	Volume of Unscented Bleach (5.25% solution)
Millimetres	Inches	Millilitres
50	2	6
100	4	30
150	6	60
200	8	100
250	10	200
300	12	250
400	16	400
500	20	650
600	24	900
900	36	2000 (2 litres)
1200	48	3600 (3.6 litres)

For example: If you have 6 metres (20 feet) of water in your well and it has a casing diameter of 100 mm or 4 inches, you would add 60 mm or 2 fluid ounces of bleach.

* For questions or more information on how to disinfect your well, contact your local health unit.

stand in the system for at least 12 hours.

10. Let the chlorinated water

- 11. Clear chlorine from the well by running an outside hose to the ground surface. Then, run clear water through the faucets until the water no longer smells of chlorine.
- 12. Avoid putting too much chlorine into the septic system because the bacteria needed for septic decomposition may be killed.
- 13. Do not drink the water without boiling it until test results show the water is safe to drink.

For more information

Ontario Government Ministry Abbreviations

Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care MOHLTC (also MOH)

Ministry of the Environment MOE (also MOEE)

Ontario Ministry of Agriculture and Food OMAF (also OMAFRA)

Ontario Government Information Lines

MOE Public Information Centre: 1-800-565-4923

MOE Water Well Records: 1-888-396-9355

MOHLTC INFOline: 1-800-268-1154

OMAF Agricultural Information Contact Centre: 1-877-424-1300

Ontario Government Web Sites

MOE: www.ene.gov.on.ca

MOHLTC: www.health.gov.on.ca

OMAF: www.gov.on.ca/omaf

Publications available on-line

Health Canada: www.hc-sc.gc.ca

- $\bullet \ A \ Guide \ to \ Well \ Water \ Treatment \ and \ Maintenance;$
- Water treatment devices for disinfection of drinking water.

MOHLTC: www.health.gov.on.ca

- How to use water safely during a "Boil Water Advisory";
- E. coli Bacteria;
- List of Public Health Units in Ontario.

OMAF: www.gov.on.ca/omaf

- Assessing the Potential for Ground Water Contamination on Your Farm, Publication 97-017;
- Best Management Practices: Water Wells, OMAFRA and Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2003 (to order).

MOE: www.ene.gov.on.ca

- Important Facts About Water Well Construction, Publication 3788;
- Water Wells and Groundwater Supplies: The Protection of Water Quality in Bored and Dug Wells, Information Sheet PIB 601b;
- Water Wells and Groundwater Supplies: The Protection of Water Quality in Drilled Wells, Information Sheet PIB 602b.

