2705460 ONTARIO INC.

1151 AND 1131 TERON ROAD RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, OTTAWA, ON SERVICING REPORT

APRIL 16, 2021 1ST SUBMISSION





1151 AND 1131 TERON ROAD, RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT, OTTAWA, ON SERVICING REPORT

MANOR PARK MANAGEMENT

SITE PLAN APPLICATION IST SUBMISSION

PROJECT NO.: 211-01794-00 DATE: APRIL 2021

WSP 2611 QUEESVIEW DRIVE, SUITE 300 OTTAWA, ON, CANADA, K2B 8K2

TEL.: +1 613-829-2800

WSP.COM



2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, ON, Canada K2B 8K2

Tel.: +1 613-829-2800

wsp.com

April 16, 2021

David Renfroe Manor Park Management

Attention: David Renfroe,

Dear Sir:

Subject: 1151 and 1131 Teron Road – Residential Development - Servicing Report

Please find attached our servicing report, including civil engineering design drawings, prepared for your review prior to first submission.

Yours sincerely,

Ding Bang (Winston) Yang, P.Eng. Project Engineer

WSP ref.: 20M-01534-00

QUALITY MANAGEMENT

ISSUE/REVISION	FIRST ISSUE	REVISION 1	REVISION 2	REVISION 3
Remarks	Issued for Site Plan Application			
Date	April 16 th , 2021			
Prepared by	Ding Bang (Winston) Yang			
Signature	Debot			
Checked by	Ishaque Jafferjee			
Signature	they			
Project number	20M-01534-00			

SIGNATURES

PREPARED BY

Delegto

Ding Bang (Winston) Yang, P.Eng Project Engineer



REVIEWED BY



Ishaque Jafferjee, P.Eng. Senior Project Manager

This report was prepared by WSP Canada Inc. for the account of 2705460 Ontario Inc, in accordance with the professional services agreement. The disclosure of any information contained in this report is the sole responsibility of the intended recipient. The material in it reflects WSP Canada Inc.'s best judgement in light of the information available to it at the time of preparation. Any use which a third party makes of this report, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are the responsibility of such third parties. WSP Canada Inc. accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report. This limitations statement is considered part of this report.

The original of the technology-based document sent herewith has been authenticated and will be retained by WSP for a minimum of ten years. Since the file transmitted is now out of WSP's control and its integrity can no longer be ensured, no guarantee may be given with regards to any modifications made to this document.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	GENERAL1
1.1	Executive summary1
1.2	Date and Revision Number2
1.3	Location Map and Plan2
1.4	Adherence to zoning and related requirements
1.5	Pre-Consultation meetings3
1.6	Higher level studies3
1.7	Statement of objectives and servicing criteria3
1.8	Available existing and proposed infrastructure3
1.9	Environmentally significant areas, watercourses and municIpal drains
1.10	Concept level master grading plan
1.11	Impacts on private services
1.12	Development phasing
1.13	Geotechnical sutdy4
1.14	Drawing requirement 4
2	WATER DISTRIBUTION
2.1	Consistency with master servicing study and availability of public infrastructure
2.2	System constraints and boundary conditions
2.3	Confirmation of adequate domestic supply and pressure6
2.4	Confirmation of adequate fire flow protection7
2.5	Check of high pressure7
2.6	Phasing constraints7
2.7	Reliability requirements7
2.8	Need for pressure zone bounday modification

1151 and 1131 Teron Road Residential Development, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 20M-01534-00 2705460 Ontario Inc.

2.9	Capability of major infrastructure to supply sufficient
2.10	Description of proposed water distribution network 8
2.11	Off-site requirements
2.12	Calculation of water demands
2.13	Model Schematic8
3	WASTEWATER DISPOSAL9
3.1	Design Criteria9
3.2	Consistency with master servicing study9
3.3	Review of Soil conditions9
3.4	Description of existing sanitary sewer9
3.5	Verification of available capacity in downstream sewer 9
3.6	Calculations for New sanitary sewEr9
3.7	Description of proposed sewer network10
3.8	Environmental constraints10
3.9	Pumping requirements10
3.10	Force-mains10
3.11	Emergency overflows from sanitary pumping stations 10
3.12	Special considerations10
4	SITE STORM SERVICING 11
4.1	Existing condition11
4.2	Analysis of availabLe capacity in public infrastructure11
4.3	Drainage drawing11
4.4	Water quantity control objective11
4.5	Water quality control objective11
4.6	Design criteria12

1151 and 1131 Teron Road Residential Development, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 20M-01534-00 2705460 Ontario Inc.

4.7	Proposed minor system	12
4.8	Stormwater management	12
4.9	Inlet Controls	12
4.10	On-site detention	13
4.11	Watercourses	13
4.12	Pre and Post development peak flow rates	13
4.13	Diversion of drainage catchment areas	13
4.14	Downstream capacity where quanTity control is not proposed	13
4.15	Impacts to receiving watercourses	13
4.16	Municipal drains and related approvals	13
4.17	Means of conveyance and storage capacity	13
4.18	Hydraulic analysis	13
4.19	Identification of floodplains	14
4.20	Fill constraints	14
5	SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL	15
5.1	General	15
6	APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS	16
6.1	General	16
7	CONCLUSION CHECKLIST	17
7.1	Conclusions and recommendations	17
7.2	Comments received from review agencies	17

1151 and 1131 Teron Road Residential Development, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 20M-01534-00 2705460 Ontario Inc. WSP

Page vii

TABLES

TABLE 2-1:	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR	
	CONNECTION 1 - STEACIE DRIVE	5
TABLE 2-2:	BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FOR	
	CONNECTION 2 - TERON ROAD	5

FIGURES

APPENDICES

A

- PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES
- TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PLAN
- SIGNED EASEMENT AGREEMENT

В

- WATERMAIN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FROM CITY OF OTTAWA
- EMAILS FROM CITY OF OTTAWA
- FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY FIRE FLOW CALCULATION
- WATER DEMAND CALCULATION
- С
- SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

D

- STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET
- STORM DRAINAGE PLAN CO4
- GRADING PLAN CO2
- SERVICING PLAN C03
- STORMCEPTOR EF4 DETAILS AND SIZING REPORT

1151 and 1131 Teron Road Residential Development, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 20M-01534-00 2705460 Ontario Inc.

vsp

- Е
 - EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN C05
- F
- SUBMISSION CHECK LIST

1151 and 1131 Teron Road Residential Development, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 20M-01534-00 2705460 Ontario Inc.

1 GENERAL

1.1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

WSP was retained by 2705460 Ontario Inc. C/O Anand Aggarwal to provide servicing, grading and stormwater management design services, in support of the site plan approval, for the proposed residential development located at 1151 and 1131 Teron Road, north of Teron Road, south of March Road and east of Steacie Drive. The proposed development consists of two residential towers, 1151 Teron Road – 9 storeys and 1131 Teron Road – 3 storeys, over 1 level of underground parking garage. This report will provide sufficient detail to demonstrate that the proposed development can be supported by the existing municipal infrastructure services, such as watermain, sanitary and storm sewers and that the servicing design conforms to the applicable standards and guidelines. The report will also include measures to be taken during the construction to minimize erosion and sedimentation. A separate report (1151 and 1131 Teron Road – Stormwater Management Report) will be provided detailing stormwater management approach, addressing the quantity control and quality measures in accordance with the applicable guidelines.

Currently the land proposed for the 1151 Teron Road residential development is natural landscaping area with mainly covered by grass and trees. The gross building area for 1151 is 9,889.0 m². The land proposed for the 1131 Teron Road residential development is occupied by a bungalow and drawing. The site is surrounded by commercial and residential development. It is part of lot 5 concession 4, Geographic Township of March, now City of Ottawa (refer to Appendix A for the Topographical Survey Plan by Annis, O'Sullivan, Vollebekk Ltd, February 2021). Based on the topographic survey, the 1151 Teron Road ground, predominantly grass and shrubs, sloping from the high elevation of 89.71 m in the south to the March Road ditch in the north of the site. For 1131 Teron Road, the overall topography is draining north to south toward Teron Road. Existing on-site detention facilities have not been constructed in the existing sites. The existing topographic conveys overland runoff to March Road Ditch and Teron Road ROW. Quality control will be provided as specified by the MVCA.

The City of Ottawa required that the design of a drainage and stormwater management system in this development must be prepared in accordance with the following documents:

- Sewer Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, October 2012;
- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ministry of the Environment, March 2003; and
- Stormwater Management Facility Design Guidelines, City of Ottawa, April 2012

This report was prepared utilizing servicing design criteria obtained from available sources, and outlines the design for water, sanitary wastewater, and stormwater facilities.

The format of this report matches that of the servicing study checklist found in Section 4 of the City of Ottawa's Servicing Study Guidelines for Development Applications, November 2009.

The following municipal services are available within Teron Road to the development as recorded from as-built drawings from City of Ottawa:

Teron Road:

- 225 and 300 mm conc storm sewer, 610mm watermain.

Steacie Drive:

- 305mm watermain.

Weeping Wilow Lane:

- 250 mm sanitary sewer.

It is proposed that:

- On-site stormwater management systems, bioswale will be provided to attenuate flow rates leaving the new parking lot to March Road ditch.
- On-site stormwater management systems, employing surface and roof storage will be provided to attenuate flow rates leaving new building to Teron Road.
- Existing drainage patterns, previously established controlled flow rates will be maintained. Refer to the stormwater management report for details.

1.2 DATE AND REVISION NUMBER

This version of the report is the first revision, dated April 16^{th} , 2021.

1.3 LOCATION MAP AND PLAN

The proposed residential development at 1151 and 1131 Teron Road, in the City of Ottawa at the location shown in Figure 1-1 below.



Figure 1-1 Site Location

1151 and 1131 Teron Road Residential Development, Ottawa, ON Servicing Report Project No. 20M-01534-00 2705460 Ontario Inc.

1.4 ADHERENCE TO ZONING AND RELATED REQUIREMENTS

The proposed property use will be in conformance with zoning and related requirements prior to approval and construction and is understood to be in conformance with current zoning.

1.5 PRE-CONSULTATION MEETINGS

A pre-consultation meeting was held with the City of Ottawa on January 14, 2021. Notes from this meeting are provided in Appendix A.

1.6 HIGHER LEVEL STUDIES

The review for servicing has been undertaken in conformance with, and utilizing information from, the following documents:

- Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines, Second Edition, Document SDG002, October 2012, City of Ottawa including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2012-4 (20 June 2012)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-01 (05 February 2014)
 - Technical Bulletin PIEDTB-2016-01 (September 6, 2018)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-01 (21 March 2018)
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2018-04 (27 June 2018)
- Ottawa Design Guidelines Water Distribution, July 2010 (WDG001), including:
 - Technical Bulletin ISDTB-2014-02 (May 27, 2014)
 - Technical Bulletin ISTB-2018-02 (21 March 2018)

- Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, March 2003 (SMPDM).

- Design Guidelines for Drinking-Water Systems, Ontario Ministry of the Environment and Climate Change, 2008 (GDWS).

- Fire Underwriters Survey, Water Supply for Public Fire Protection (FUS), 1999.

1.7 STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES AND SERVICING CRITERIA

The objective of the site servicing is to meet the requirements for the proposed modification of the site while adhering to the stipulations of the applicable higher-level studies and City of Ottawa servicing design guidelines.

1.8 AVAILABLE EXISTING AND PROPOSED INFRASTRUCTURE

As described above, a municipal storm sewer and a watermain are located within Teron Road right of way. But the city has stated connecting to the 610 mm watermain along Teron Road is not allowed. Watermain connection is required to route to the 300 mm watermain along Steacie Drive, and one water service will be connected to the existing 150 mm hydrant lead north of Teron Road for redundancy. Since no sanitary sewer is anticipated along Teron Road. New sanitary sewer will be connected to the existing sanitary sewers along Weeping Wilow Lane from the proposed development. A new piped stormwater system conveys the proposed parking lot drainage to March Road ditch. Quantity control is required to restrict

the discharge leaving the development areas to March Road ditch and Teron Road, as noted in the Stormwater Management Report. Thus, the on-site storm runoff for the south side will be captured by the proposed deck drains and roof drains and directed to the external cistern located on the west side of the building at 1151 Teron Road. For the north side will be captured by the proposed bio-swale and directed to the March Road ditch to the north of the site.

The existing boundary road at the site will remain open. Temporary one lane closure for Teron Road is foreseen during the connection and installation of the proposed watermain to Steacie Drive and Teron Road intersection.

1.9 ENVIRONMENTALLY SIGNIFICANT AREAS, WATERCOURSES AND MUNICIPAL DRAINS

The proposed development site is surrounded by commercial and residential lands. The subjected site is divided into parking lot and building parcel. Runoff from parking lot is directed to the March Road ditch north of the site.

Runoff from the building parcel is directed to the 225 mm storm sewer along Teron Road. Bio-swale and Oil grit separator have been proposed to provide quality control as specified by the MVCA.

1.10 CONCEPT LEVEL MASTER GRADING PLAN

A detailed grading plan for the development site has been developed, matching the existing overland flow pattern of directing overflow drainage to March Road ditch and Teron Road. The site topographic survey, included in Appendix A, provides evidence of direction of overland flow of the site from south to north.

Grading will employ terraced slopes of 3H:1V to provide transitions from the new work areas to existing grades. No changes will be made to grades at the property perimeter.

1.11 IMPACTS ON PRIVATE SERVICES

There are no existing domestic private services (septic system and well) located on the site. There are no neighbouring properties using private services.

1.12 DEVELOPMENT PHASING

No development phasing is expected for the current proposal.

1.13 GEOTECHNICAL SUTDY

A preliminary geotechnical investigation report has been prepared by Paterson Group, project number, PG5283-1, dated April 1, 2021, and its recommendations has been taken into account in developing the engineering specifications.

1.14 DRAWING REQUIREMENT

The engineering plans submitted for site plan approval are in compliance with City requirements.

2 WATER DISTRIBUTION

2.1 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY AND AVAILABILITY OF PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

There are an existing 305mm diameter municipal watermain along Steacie Drive and 610mm diameter municipal watermain along Teron Road providing water to 1151 and 1131 Teron Road. For the proposed development, a 203mm diameter private watermain looping extended from the existing 305mm municipal watermain along Steacie Drive and a 150mm diameter private watermain extended from the existing 150mm diameter hydrant lead at the proposed entrance will provide redundancy for the development. Two water services connections will be extended to the 1151 and 1131 Building mechanical rooms.

The existing municipal fire hydrant at the proposed entrance will be relocated east of the new entrance within 45m of the Siamese connection. No changes are required to the existing City water distribution system to allow servicing for this property.

The new apartment buildings will be protected with a supervised automatic fire protection sprinkler system and will required a 250mm diameter water service.

2.2 SYSTEM CONSTRAINTS AND BOUNDARY CONDITIONS

Boundary conditions have been obtained from the City of Ottawa at the 305 mm diameter watermain on Steacie Drive and 150mm diameter watermain along Teron Road for the development, are included in Appendix B. A max fire flow demand of 167 l/s (10,000 l/min) has been calculated for the proposed development as noted in Section 2.4. The boundary conditions were supplied by the City of Ottawa, based on fire flows and domestic demands estimated by WSP for the proposed residential development.

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS (To be completed later)	
SCENARIO	HGL (m)
Maximum HGL	130.2
Minimum HGL (Peak Hour)	126.9
Max Day + Fire Flow	127.6

Table 2-2: Boundary Conditions for Connection 2 - Teron Road

BOUNDARY CONDITIONS (To be completed later)	
SCENARIO	HGL (m)
Maximum HGL	130.2
Minimum HGL (Peak Hour)	126.9
Max Day + Fire Flow	127.9

2.3 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE DOMESTIC SUPPLY AND PRESSURE

Water demands are based on Table 4.2 of the Ottawa Design Guidelines – Water Distribution. As previously noted, the development is considered as light industrial development, consisting of three one-storey commercial buildings. A water demand calculation sheet is included in Appendix B, and the total water demands are summarized as follows:

	Proposed 9-Stprey	Proposed 3-Storey
Average Day	0.64 l/s	0.10 l/s
Maximum Day	1.59 l/s	0.26 l/s
Peak Hour	3.49 l/s	0.57 l/s

The 2010 City of Ottawa Water Distribution Guidelines stated that the preferred practice for design of a new distribution system is to have normal operating pressures range between 345 kPa (50 psi) and 552 kPa (80 psi) under maximum daily flow conditions. Other pressure criteria identified in the guidelines are as follows:

Minimum Pressure	Minimum system pressure under peak hour demand conditions shall not be less than 276 kPa (40 psi)
Fire Flow	During the period of maximum day demand, the system pressure shall not be less than 140 kPa (20 psi) during a fire flow event.
Maximum Pressure	Maximum pressure at any point the distribution system shall not exceed 689 kPa (100 psi). In accordance with the Ontario Building/Plumbing Code, the maximum pressure should not exceed 552 kPa (80 psi). Pressure reduction controls may be required for buildings where it is not possible/feasible to maintain the system pressure below 552 kPa.

Water pressure at municipal connections check:

Min. HGL @ Connection 1 – Pavement elevation = 126.90m – 89.91m = 36.99m = 362.66 k	Ра
Min. HGL @ Connection 2 – Pavement elevation = 126.90m – 90.48m = 36.42m = 357.07 k	Pa

Water pressure at building connection (at average day) check:
Max. HGL @ Connection 1 – Finished floor elevation = 130.20m – 91.00 = 39.2m = 384.32 kPa
Max. HGL @ Connection 2 – Finished floor elevation = 130.20m – 91.00 = 39.2m = 384.32 kPa

Water pressure at building connection (at max. hour demand) check: Min. HGL @ Connection 1 – Finished floor elevation = 126.90m-91.00m = 35.90m = 351.97 kPa Min. HGL @ Connection 2 – Finished floor elevation = 126.90m-91.00m = 35.90m = 351.97 kPa

Water pressure at building connection (at max. day + fire demand):

(Max Day + Fire) HGL @ Connection 1 - Finished floor elevation = 127.60m-91.00m = 36.60m = 358.83 kPa

(Max Day + Fire) HGL @ Connection 2 - Finished floor elevation = 127.90m-91.00m = 36.90m = 361.77 kPa

The minimum water pressure inside the building at the connection is determined with the minimum HGL condition, resulting in a pressure of 351.97 kPa which exceed the minimum requirement of 276 kPa per the guidelines.

2.4 CONFIRMATION OF ADEQUATE FIRE FLOW PROTECTION

The fire flow rate has been calculated using the Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) method. The method takes into account the type of building construction, the building occupancy, the use of sprinklers and the exposures to adjacent structures. Assuming non-combustible construction and with sprinkler system, a fire flow demand of 167 l/s (10,000 l/min) for the 9-Storey building at 1151 Teron Road, and 100 l/s (6,000 l/min) for the 3-Storey building at 1131 Teron Road have been calculated. A copy of the calculation is included in Appendix B.

The demand of 10,000 l/min can be delivered through three municipal fire hydrants. Two existing public hydrants are located along Teron Road, one is within 45 m of the building at the proposed entrance, and is rated at 5700 l/min. The second public hydrant is located east of Bethune Way along Teron Road, is within 150 m of the building, and is rated at 3800 l/min. The third one is at the south-west corner of the intersection of Steacie Drive and Teron Road, is within 170 m of the building, and are rated at 3800 l/min each. The three hydrants have a combined total of 13,300 l/min.

The proposed apartment buildings will be serviced by a 250 mm service off the 305 mm watermain at the intersection of Steacie Drive and Teron Road and a 150 mm service off the 610 mm watermain along Teron Road. The services will run into the water entry room. The proposed building will be sprinklered and fire protection will be provided with the fire department Siamese connection within 45 m of the public fire hydrant from the private access road.

The boundary condition for Maximum Day and Fire Flow results in a pressure of 358.83 kPa and 361.77 kPa at the ground floor level for both connections. In the guidelines, a minimum residual pressure of 140 kPa must be maintained in the distribution system for a fire flow and maximum day event. As a pressure of 358.837 kPa and 361.77 kPa are achieved, the fire flow requirement is exceeded.

2.5 CHECK OF HIGH PRESSURE

High pressure is not a concern. The maximum water pressure inside the building at the connection is determined with the maximum HGL condition, resulting in a pressure of 384.32 kPa which is less than the 552 kPa threshold in the guideline in which pressure control is required. Based on this result, pressure control is not required for this building.

2.6 PHASING CONSTRAINTS

No phasing constraints exist.

2.7 RELIABILITY REQUIREMENTS

DMA chamber as per city of Ottawa standard W3 and shut off valve will be provided at the study boundary from Teron Road. The existing 250mm private watermain is connected to a looped section of the 305mm City watermain at Steacie Drive. Water flow can be isolated from either direction along Steacie Drive and Teron Road. A redundant 150mm service is connected off the 610mm watermain along Teron Road, and shut off valve will be provided.

2.8 NEED FOR PRESSURE ZONE BOUNDAY MODIFICATION

There is no need for a pressure zone boundary modification.

2.9 CAPABILITY OF MAJOR INFRASTRUCTURE TO SUPPLY SUFFICIENT WATER

The current infrastructure is capable of meeting the domestic demand based on City requirements and fire demand as determined by FUS requirements for the proposed apartment buildings.

2.10 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED WATER DISTRIBUTION NETWORK

A 250mm and a 150mm private watermain looping is proposed to be provided into the proposed residential development. The 250mm private water service will be connected to the 305mm municipal watermain along Steacie Drive. The 150mm private water service will be connected to the 610mm municipal watermain along Teron Road. A relocated existing public hydrant is located within 45 metres of the fire department connection on the south side of the building as per OBC requirements.

2.11 OFF-SITE REQUIREMENTS

No off-site improvements to watermains, feedermains, pumping stations, or other water infrastructure are required to maintain existing conditions and service the adjacent buildings, other than the connection of the new private watermain to the City watermain in the west frontage of the site.

2.12 CALCULATION OF WATER DEMANDS

Water demands were calculated by as described in Sections 2.3 and 2.4 above.

2.13 MODEL SCHEMATIC

The water works consist only two building services, a model schematic is not required for this development.

3 WASTEWATER DISPOSAL

3.1 DESIGN CRITERIA

•

In accordance with the City of Ottawa's Sewer Design Guidelines, the following design criteria have been utilized in order to predict wastewater flows generated by the subject site and complete the sewer design;

Minimum Velocity	0.6 m/s
Maximum Velocity	3.0 m/s
Manning Roughness Coefficient	0.013
Average sanitary flow for residential use	280 L/cap/day
Average sanitary flor for commercial use	28,000 L/Ha/day
Commercial/Institutional Peaking Factor	1.5
Infiltration Allowance (Total)	0.33 L/s/Ha
Minimum Sewer Slopes – 200 mm diameter	0.32%

3.2 CONSISTENCY WITH MASTER SERVICING STUDY

The outlet for the sanitary service from the proposed apartment buildings is the 250 mm diameter private sanitary sewer on Weeping Willow Lane. The Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines provide estimates of sewage flows based on residential development. The sanitary design sheet have been attached to Appendix C for reference.

3.3 **REVIEW OF SOIL CONDITIONS**

There are no specific local subsurface conditions that suggest the need for a higher extraneous flow allowance.

3.4 DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SANITARY SEWER

The outlet sanitary sewer for this development is the existing 250 mm diameter sewer on Weeping Willow Lane. This private sewer will outlet to a 900 mm diameter sanitary trunk sewer, then discharge to municipal wastewater treatment facility.

3.5 VERIFICATION OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN DOWNSTREAM SEWER

The capacity of the downstream 250 mm diameter sewer on Weeping Willow Lane at 0.20% slope is 26.59 L/s, which is adequate for the flow assumptions from the proposed development. This existing sewer also services approximately 7.9 ha of the residential development area along Jackson Crt. Assuming this existing area generate a proportional flow of 0.61 L/s, then the combined existing and anticipated flow estimate is 3.22 L/s.

3.6 CALCULATIONS FOR NEW SANITARY SEWER

A sanitary sewer design sheet is provided for the proposed development. See Appendix C for details.

3.7 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED SEWER NETWORK

The proposed sanitary sewer network on site will consist of series manholes and 200 mm diameter public sanitary sewers with 200 mm diameter building service.

3.8 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSTRAINTS

There are no previously identified environmental constraints that impact the sanitary servicing design in order to preserve the physical condition of watercourses, vegetation, or soil cover, or to manage water quantity or quality.

3.9 **PUMPING REQUIREMENTS**

The proposed development will have no impact on existing pumping stations and will not require new pumping facilities.

3.10 FORCE-MAINS

No force-mains are required specifically for this development.

3.11 EMERGENCY OVERFLOWS FROM SANITARY PUMPING STATIONS

No pumping stations are required for this site, except as required internally for the plumbing design to service the lower area of the building.

3.12 SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS

There is no known need for special considerations for sanitary sewer design related to existing site conditions.

4 SITE STORM SERVICING

4.1 EXISTING CONDITION

Drainage from the site currently flows overland to a receiving March Road ditch on the north of the property. Further downstream, drainage is conveyed via piped storm sewer network along March Road. As noted in the pre-consultation meeting and associated notes from Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority and the City of Ottawa, the stormwater design criteria for the site modifications are the following:

- Areas discharging to March Road ditch will require to control post-development flows up to the 100-yr controlled to corresponding pre-development flows.
- Areas discharging to Teron Road Storm sewer will require post-development flows up to the 100-yr controlled to the pre-development 5-yr with a C-value of existing or 0.5, whichever is less, and a calculated time of concentration no less than 10 min.

The Mississippi Valley Conservation Authority has asked that 80% TSS removal be provided for stormwater discharges.

4.2 ANALYSIS OF AVAILABLE CAPACITY IN PUBLIC INFRASTRUCTURE

The allowable release rate for the 2.32 Ha site has been calculated in SWM Report. The total allowable release rate to March Road ditch is 48 L/s and to Teron Road is 29 L/s. Detailed calculations are provided in SWM Report. The receiving March Road ditch and downstream storm sewer network along Teron Road and March Road already accept uncontrolled flow from the site equal to or greater than the allowable release rate of 48 L/s to March Road and 29 L/s to Teron Road that will be generated from the proposed development under the 100-year return period storm event. The existing topography for 1151 Teron Road conveys overland runoff to March Road ditch. And for 1131 Teron Road, the overland runoff goes to Teron Road. No capacity issues with existing infrastructure have been noted during consultations with the City or MVCA.

4.3 DRAINAGE DRAWING

Drawing C03 shows the receiving storm sewer and site storm sewer network. Drawing C02 provides proposed grading and drainage and includes existing grading information. Drawing C04 provide a post-construction drainage sub-area plan, including both site and roof information. Site sub-area information is also provided on the storm sewer design sheet attached in Appendix D.

4.4 WATER QUANTITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

Refer to the Stormwater Management Memo for the water quantity objective for the site.

4.5 WATER QUALITY CONTROL OBJECTIVE

As noted previously, the designated water quality control objective is the achieve 80% TSS removal. This objective will be achieved through the use of oil and grit separator for the runoff generated from the building parcel, achieving the approximate TSS removal required as well as oil capture. For the parking parcel, it can be achieved through the use of bio-swale. Also, hydrocarbon capture and retention will be provided with the designed bio-swale for the parking parcel.

4.6 DESIGN CRITERIA

The stormwater system was designed following the principles of dual drainage, making accommodation for both major and minor flow.

Some of the key criteria include the following:

- Design Storm (minor system)
- Rational Method Sewer Sizing
- Initial Time of Concentration
- Runoff Coefficients Landscaped Areas Asphalt/Concrete Traditional Roof
- Pipe Velocities
- Minimum Pipe Size

1:2-year return (Ottawa)

10 minutes

C = 0.25 C = 0.90 C = 0.90 0.80 m/s to 6.0 m/s 250 mm diameter (200 mm CB Leads and service pipes)

4.7 PROPOSED MINOR SYSTEM

The detailed design for this site provides two storm sewer outlets, one to the north March Road ditch, the other one to Teron Road south of the development site.

For the parking parcel, the drainage system consists of a series of catchbasins, manholes and storm sewers leading to the outlet manhole STMH102 at the northwest corner of the site. All drainage areas for the parking areas are collected in the bio-swale system. But the large amount of undisturbed existing grass areas of uncontrolled surface drainage will also enter the ditch to the north. Grading beyond the developed areas will be consistent with existing conditions, and will not generate additional flows to these off-site areas.

For the building parcel, The drainage system consists of a series of deck-drains, cistern and storm sewers leading to the outlet manhole cbmh201 at the south of the site. All drainage areas on the site are collected in the building piping system with no exception of uncontrolled flow leaving the building parcel.

It is also customary for larger buildings to be provided with piped storm services for roof drainage. There are no downspouts proposed. The storm services are connected to the storm sewer downstream of the controlled flow point, ensuring an unobstructed flow for these areas.

Using the above noted criteria, the on-site storm sewers were sized accordingly. A detailed storm sewer design sheet and the associated post development storm sewer drainage area plan are included in Appendix D.

4.8 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

Refer to Stormwater Management Report for details.

4.9 INLET CONTROLS

Refer to Stormwater Management Report for details.

4.10 ON-SITE DETENTION

Refer to Stormwater Management Report for details.

4.11 WATERCOURSES

For the parking parcel, the minor and major flow will be ultimately directed to the March Road ditch then to the downstream storm sewer network along March Road. For the building parcel, the minor and major flow will be ultimately directed to the downstream storm sewer network along Teron Road.

4.12 PRE AND POST DEVELOPMENT PEAK FLOW RATES

Pre and post development peak flow rates for the impacted areas of the site have been noted in the Stormwater Management Report and storm sewer design sheet.

4.13 DIVERSION OF DRAINAGE CATCHMENT AREAS

There will be no diversion of existing drainage catchment areas arising from the proposed work described in this report.

4.14 DOWNSTREAM CAPACITY WHERE QUANTITY CONTROL IS NOT PROPOSED

This checklist item is not applicable to this development as quantity control is provided.

4.15 IMPACTS TO RECEIVING WATERCOURSES

No significant negative impact is anticipated to downstream receiving watercourses due to proposed quantity and quality control measures.

4.16 MUNICIPAL DRAINS AND RELATED APPROVALS

There is no municipal drain on the site or associated with the drainage from the site.

4.17 MEANS OF CONVEYANCE AND STORAGE CAPACITY

The means of flow conveyance and storage capacity are described in the Stormwater Management Report.

4.18 HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS

Hydraulic calculations for the site storm sewers are provided in the storm sewer design sheet and the Stormwater Management Report.

4.19 IDENTIFICATION OF FLOODPLAINS

There is no designated floodplain on the site of this development.

4.20 FILL CONSTRAINTS

There is no known fill constraint applicable to this site related to any floodplain. The site is generally being raised higher relative to existing conditions. No fill constraints related to soil conditions are anticipated, as confirmed in the geotechnical report.

5 SEDIMENT AND EROSION CONTROL

5.1 GENERAL

During construction, existing storm sewer system can be exposed to sediment loadings. A number of construction techniques designed to reduce unnecessary construction sediment loadings will be used including;

- The installation of straw bales within existing drainage features surrounding the site;
- Bulkhead barriers will be installed in the outlet pipes;
- Filter cloths will remain on open surface structures such as manholes and catchbasins until these structures are commissioned and put into use;
- Installation of silt fence, where applicable, around the perimeter of the proposed work area.

During construction of the services, any trench dewatering using pumps will be fitted with a "filter sock." Thus, any pumped groundwater will be filtered prior to release to the existing surface runoff. The contractor will inspect and maintain the filter sock as needed including sediment removal and disposal.

All catchbasins, and to a lesser degree, manholes, convey surface water to sewers. Consequently, until the surrounding surface has been completed, these structures will be covered to prevent sediment from entering the minor storm sewer system. These measures will stay in place and be maintained during construction and build-out until it is appropriate to remove them.

During construction of any development both imported and native soils are placed in stockpiles. Mitigative measures and proper management to prevent these materials entering the sewer system are needed.

During construction of the deeper watermains and sewers, imported granular bedding materials are temporarily stockpiled on site. These materials are however quickly used up and generally placed before any catchbasins are installed.

Refer to the Erosion and Sedimentation Control Plan C07 provided in Appendix E.

6 APPROVAL AND PERMIT REQUIREMENTS

6.1 **GENERAL**

The proposed development is subject to site plan approval and building permit approval.

MVCA will provide review or direction for the SWM design.

No permits or approvals are anticipated to be required from the Ontario Ministry of Transportation, National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, or any other provincial or federal regulatory agency.

7 CONCLUSION CHECKLIST

7.1 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

It is concluded that the proposed development can meet all provided servicing constraints and associated requirements. It is recommended that this report be submitted to the City of Ottawa in support of the application for site plan approval.

7.2 COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM REVIEW AGENCIES

This is the first submission, there is no comment at this point.





- PRE-CONSULTATION MEETING NOTES
- TOPOGRAPHIC SURVEY PLAN
- SIGNED EASEMENT AGREEMENT

Yang, Winston

Armstrong, Justin <justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca></justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca>
January 14, 2021 3:59 PM
Yang, Winston; Worth, Ben
1131 - 1151 Teron Road SPC Discussion

Hi Winston and Ben,

See the following bullet-point summary of our discussion from earlier today.

- SWM quantity criteria to reflect previous requirements:
 - Areas discharging to March Road ditch post-development flows up to the 100-yr controlled to corresponding pre-development flows.
 - Areas discharging to Teron Road storm sewer post-development flows up to the 100-yr controlled to the pre-development 5-yr with a C-value of existing or 0.5, whichever is less, and a calculated time of concentration no less than 10 min).
- SWM quality criteria to be confirmed by the MVCA, however 80% TSS removal was previously required and should be assumed for the site unless MVCA indicates otherwise. MVCA to confirm if treatment is required for rooftop portion of site discharging to the Teron Road storm sewer. Matt Craig <u>mcraig@mvc.on.ca</u> was included as an MVCA contact in previous comments letter.
- There is no sanitary sewer fronting the site in Teron Road or March Road. A connection to the private sanitary
 sewer within Weeping Willow Lane was previously proposed. A letter of agreement must be provided from the
 owner of the private sanitary sewer to allow for the connection / easement / works on private property. An
 easement & or a Joint Use & Maintenance Agreement with the owner of the sewer will be needed. An
 assessment of available capacity will also need to be performed.
- Water service connections to the 610mm feedermain within Teron Road are not permitted. As such, a local watermain extension must be made from Steacie Drive and extended along Teron Road to the development site in order to service the development. A **second** connection to the existing hydrant lateral (fed by the 610mm feedermain) would be accepted, assuming a **first** connection to the local watermain off Steacie Drive is provided. If the 610mm feedermain is taken offline, there must be another watermain feed to service the development.

Feel free to contact me should you have any more questions.

Justin

During this period of uncertainty surrounding COVID-19, we are following best practices recommended to minimize the risk of exposure, while ensuring that service to our clients remains as uninterrupted as possible. I am working from home and will respond to emails at my earliest opportunity. Should there be delays due to internet connectivity, I thank your understanding and patience.

Justin Armstrong, E.I.T.

Project Manager Planning, Infrastructure and Economic Development Department - Services de la planification, de l'infrastructure et du développement économique Development Review - West Branch City of Ottawa | Ville d'Ottawa 110 Laurier Avenue West Ottawa, ON | 110, avenue. Laurier Ouest. Ottawa (Ontario) K1P 1J1 613.580.2400 ext./poste 21746, justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

ı

ı

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.



EASEMENT AGREEMENT

THIS AGREEMENT (the "Agreement") is made as of November $\underline{14}^{+l_{1}}$, 2018.

BETWEEN:

KANCO-VARLEY LTD.

(hereinafter referred to as the "Grantor")

- and -

1550751 ONTARIO LIMITED & PHILLIP BOTTRIELL

(hereinafter collectively referred to as the "Grantee")

- and -

NORTHVIEW APARTMENT REIT

(hereinafter referred to as "Northview")

RECITALS:

- A. The Grantor is the owner of the lands legally described in Schedule "A" hereto (the "Grantor's Lands").
- B. The Grantee is the owner of the lands legally described in Schedule "B" hereto (the "Grantee's Lands").
- C. There is a sewer (the "Sewer") on the Grantor's Lands that is owned by the City of Ottawa (the "City"), located within an existing easement on the Grantor's Lands in favour of the City, which Sewer has the capacity to service the Grantee's Lands.
- D. The Grantor has agreed to convey an easement over a portion of the Grantor's Lands as marked on Schedule "C" hereto (the "Servient Lands"), on the terms and conditions as set out in this Agreement.

NOW THEREFORE, in consideration of the Purchase Price (as hereafter defined), the mutual covenants and agreements set forth in this Agreement, and for other good and valuable consideration (the receipt and sufficiency of which are hereby acknowledged), the parties hereto covenant and agree as follows:

- 1. **Recitals:** The above recitals are true and accurate and in full force and effect as though repeated herein at length.
- 2. Grant of Easement: The Grantor hereby agrees to grant to the Grantee a temporary easement for the purpose of constructing a connection to the Sewer to service the Grantee's Lands (hereafter referred to as the "Works"), on the terms set out in Schedule "D" to this Agreement
(hereafter referred to as the "**Temporary Easement**"). The Grantor shall execute such documentation as may be necessary to effect the registration of the Temporary Easement on title to the Servient Lands, and the cost of such registration shall be paid by the Grantee. The terms of the Temporary Easement set out in Schedule "D" to this Agreement shall be included as a schedule to the Temporary Easement registered on title to the Servient Lands as aforesaid. The Temporary Easement hall be subject to the provisions of the Planning Act (Ontario) (the "Act"). The Grantee shall be responsible, at its sole cost and expense, to obtain any consents to the Temporary Easement from the applicable Committee of Adjustment, as may be required under the Act. Pending such consent, the term of the easement shall not be more than twenty-one (21) years less one (1) day.

- 3. **Purchase Price:** In consideration of the grant of Temporary Easement, the Grantee agrees to pay to the Grantor's parent entity, Northview, or such other person as Northview may direct in its sole discretion, the sum of Twenty-five Thousand Dollars (\$25,000.00) (the "**Purchase Price**") as follows:
 - a. Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) paid to Northview by Certified Cheque or Bank Draft within two (2) business days following execution of this Agreement by all parties; and
 - b. Fifteen Thousand Dollars (\$15,000.00) on the earlier of December 1, 2018 and seven (7) calendar days before the commencement of any construction on the Servient Lands.
- 4. **Changes:** Any change to the path or location of the Temporary Easement shall require the consent of Northview, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld.
- 5. Acknowledgments: The Parties hereby acknowledge and agree as follows:
 - a. Each party hereto has reviewed, or had adequate opportunity to review, the report of David Schaeffer Engineering Ltd. (the "Engineering Report") confirming the capacity of the Sewer, and each party hereto has, by the Engineering Report or otherwise, satisfied themselves that the Sewer has adequate and sufficient capacity to service the Grantee's Property in addition to the Grantor's Property, provided that, during the term of the Temporary Easement, the Grantee shall not construct more units on the Grantee's Property than shall be approved by the City in light of the capacity of the Sewer, and in any event, regardless of the City's approval, during the term of the Temporary Easement, the Grantee shall not construct more units on the Grantee's Property than the number of units that the Grantee knows, after reasonable inquiry, are within the capacity of the Sewer to service.
 - b. No party hereto has made any representations or warranties of any kind to any other party hereto regarding the Engineering Report or the contents thereof, or as capacity of the Sewer.

6. Covenants of Grantee:

- a. Prior to commencing any construction on the Servient Lands, the Grantee shall retain the services of qualified consultant to clean and perform a camera assessment of the Sewer, and the Grantee shall provide to Northview a copy of the report regarding the Sewer obtained from such consultant.
- b. The Grantee shall advise Northview, by email at the email address provided herein, of its intention to commence construction on the Servient Lands, at least seven (7) days prior to commencement of such construction.
- c. The Grantee shall use reasonable efforts to ensure that the road forming part of the Servient Lands will not be diverted for more than two (2) days.
- d. The Grantee shall obtain a plan of survey from a qualified land surveyor showing the location of the Servient Lands, and cause such plan of survey to be registered on title to such property/properties as may be required with respect to the easements contemplated by this Agreement.
- e. The Grantee hereby undertakes and agrees to reinstate the Grantor's Lands to its condition prior to any construction. In particular, the excavated road section will be compacted and paved and the grassed area will be sodded by the Grantee. The Grantee will water the sod in place and replace or replant any damages lilac bushes as necessary. The Grantee will provide before and after photos and inspection reports showing the work being undertaken and completed.
- 7. Legal Fees: The Grantee agrees to reimburse Northview for its legal fees associated with this Agreement, or the easements or any of the documents or other matters contemplated hereby, up to a maximum of Three Thousand Dollars (\$3,000.00), inclusive of Harmonized Sales Tax ("HST").
- 8. HST: If the transfer of the Temporary Easement is subject to HST then such tax shall be in addition to the Purchase Price. The Grantor will not collect HST if the Grantee provides to the Grantor a warranty that the Grantee is registered under the Excise Tax Act (the "ETA"), together with a copy of the Grantee's ETA registration, a warranty that the Grantee will self-assess and remit the HST payable and file the prescribed form and shall indemnify the Grantor in respect of any HST payable. The foregoing warranties shall not merge but shall survive the completion of the transaction. If the transfer of the Temporary Easement is not subject to HST, the Grantor agrees to certify on or before closing, that the transaction is not subject to HST.
- 9. Permanent Easement: Upon completion of the Works, the Grantor shall, for nominal consideration, convey to the City a permanent easement over the Servient Lands (the "Permanent Easement") for the purpose of operating and maintaining the Works, which Permanent Easement shall contain such terms as the City may require. The Grantor shall execute such documentation as may be required to convey the Permanent Easement to the City, and to effect the registration of the Permanent Easement on title to the Servient Lands, as may be required by the City, and the cost of registering the Permanent Easement on title to

No

the Servient Lands shall be paid by the Grantee or the City, as may be determined between those two parties.

- 10. Release of Temporary Easement: Upon the registration of the Permanent Easement being duly certified by the Land Registrar for Ontario, the Grantee shall release all right, title and interest in and to the Temporary Easement, and the Grantee shall prepare and execute such documentation as may be required to effect the deletion of the Temporary Easement from title to the Servient Lands (the "Release Documents"). The Grantor shall also execute such Release Documents as may be required to delete the Temporary Easement from title to the Servient Lands, and the cost of registering the required Release Documents shall be paid by the Grantee.
- 11. Non-Construction: The Grantee agrees that, once paid, the Purchase Price is non-refundable, regardless of whether the Grantee constructs or commences construction of the Works. In the event the Grantee commences but does not complete construction of the Works during the term of the Temporary Easement, the Grantee shall be responsible for the cost of reinstating the Servient Lands to the state they were in prior to construction by the Grantee and for discharging the Temporary Easement and registering such discharge on title to the Servient Lands.
- 12. **Notices:** Notices to be delivered pursuant to this Agreement may be delivered by electronic mail as follows:

To the Grantor: Brianna Guenther & Chris Slater – bguenther@northviewreit.com and cslater@northviewreit.com

To the Grantee: Phil Bottriell - philb@propertyinspection.ca

- 13. Entire Agreement: This Agreement constitutes the entire agreement between the parties hereto relating to the subject matter hereof and supersedes all prior agreements, understandings, negotiations and discussions between the parties, whether oral or written.
- 14. **Further and other acts:** Each of the parties hereto shall from time to time hereafter and upon any reasonable request of any other party, execute and deliver, make or cause to be made all such further acts, deeds, assurances and things as may be required or necessary to more effectually implement and carry out the true intent and meaning of this Agreement.
- 15. **Successors and assigns:** All of the covenants and agreements in this Agreement shall be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and permitted assigns and shall enure to the benefit of and be enforceable by the parties hereto and their respective successors and their permitted assigns.
- 16. **Governing law:** This Agreement shall be governed by and construed in accordance with the laws of the Province of Ontario.
- 17. **Counterparts/Electronic Signatures:** This Agreement may be executed in separate counterparts and transmitted by electronic means, and in any number of counterparts, and all such counterparts taken together will be deemed to constitute one and the same original

Ww

- 4 -

instrument and signatures conveyed by electronic means shall be treated as of originals, provided that each party hereto undertakes to deliver to each other party hereto a copy bearing its original signature as soon as possible following a request for same.

[signature page to follow]

M

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties have executed this Agreement as of the first date written above.

KANCO-VARLEY LTD.

2 Per:_ Name: Briana Guenther

COUNS

Title: (c) (porate Secretary 3, legal I have authority to bind the Corporation

1550751 ONTARIO LIMITED

Per:

Name! Phil Bottriell Title: President I have authority to bind the Corporation

PHILIAP BOTTRIEĽL

NORTHVIEW APARTMENT REIT

Per:__ Name: Briana Greatner Title: Corporate Secretary 3 I have authority to bind the Trust Lesal cousel

aren

WITNESS:

SCHEDULE "A"

Grantor's Lands:

Firstly: BLK T, PL 815 , S/T MH7206,N472609,N472728,N472758 KANATA. S/T EASEMENT IN GROSS AS IN OC474764 (PIN 04151-0183 (LT));

Secondly: BLK S, PL 815 , S/T N524849 ; S/T MH6689,MH7206,N472609,N472728,N472758 KANATA. S/T EASEMENT IN GROSS AS IN OC474764 (PIN 04515-0163 (LT))

SCHEDULE "B"

Grantee's Lands:

Firstly: PT LT 5, CON 4 BEING PTS 3, 4, 5 & 6, 4R15089, MARCH/KANATA. SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT IN FAVOUR OF THE HYDRO-ELECTRIC POWER COMMISSION OF ONTARIO, AS IN MH3313. SUBJECT TO AN EASEMENT IN FAVOUR OF THE HYDRO-ELECTRIC POWER COMMISSION OF ONTARIO, AS IN MH3625 (PIN 04514-0316 (LT)).

H

Secondly: PT LT 5, CON 4; AS IN N405176; KANATA/MARCH (PIN 04514-0002 (LT)).

SCHEDULE "C"

LOCATION OF EASEMENT



PB

SCHEDULE "D"

TEMPORARY EASEMENT

SEWER EASEMENT

The Grantor grants, conveys and transfers to the Grantee, its successors and assigns, but subject to all the terms and conditions hereinafter contained the following:

- (a) the temporary right and easement over that piece of land shown as the hatched rectangle on Schedule "A" hereto (the "Sewer Connection") for the purpose to enter on and construct, install, place, lay, erect, operate, maintain, alter, repair, replace, reconstruct and remove a sewer lateral connection as necessary for servicing the lands described as Pt Lt 5, Con 4; as in N405176; Kanata/March (PIN 04514-0002 (LT)) and Pt Lt 5 Con 4 being Pts 3, 4, 5 & 6, 4R15089, March/Kanata (PIN 04514-0316 (LT)) (the "Dominant Lands"), and equipment appurtenant thereto including all pipes, fixtures and equipment as the Grantee may from time to time or at any time hereafter deem requisite under the lands of the Grantor described as Blk T, Pl 815, S/T MH7206, N472609, N472728, N472758 Kanata. S/T Easement in Gross as in OC474764 (PIN 04151-0183 (LT))(the "Servient Lands"), for the purpose of creating a connection to the City of Ottawa sewer system to service the Dominant Lands, which sewer currently runs under the lands described as Parts 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 & 6 on Plan 5R-12392.
- (b) the temporary right and easement for the purpose to provide access and to enter on and to pass and repass at any and all times in, over, along, across and upon the Servient Lands for all purposes necessary or convenient for the construction and installation of the utilities necessary for servicing the Dominant Lands.

Together with the temporary right and licence of free, uninterrupted, unimpeded and unobstructed access to the Grantee, its servants, agents, contractors and sub-contractors to enter on and to pass and repass at any and all times from the date of acceptance of this easement, in, over, along and upon the Servient Lands, with or without vehicles, supplies, machinery and equipment for all purposes necessary or convenient to the exercise and enjoyment of the rights and easement hereby granted.

Together with the temporary right and licence to trim, trees and brush and to remove any concrete or asphalt surface or soil necessary and incidental to permit access to construct, maintain, repair and replace any part of the said sewer connection.

The aforementioned rights and easement are herein granted on the following terms and conditions which are hereby mutually covenanted and agreed to by and between the Grantor and the Grantee.

- 1. The Grantee shall be responsible for any damage to the property of the Grantor on the Servient Lands caused by the acts or omissions of the Grantee or of persons acting under the authority of the Grantor.
- 2. Notwithstanding any rule of law or equity, the utilities and all other equipment and appurtenances, brought onto or buried in or under the Servient Lands by the Grantee shall at

all times remain the property of the Grantee notwithstanding that the same may be annexed or affixed to the freehold and shall at any time and from time to time be removable in whole or in part by the Grantee or its successors and assigns.

- 3. Following completion of any work on the Servient Lands or surrounding lands in relation to the Sewer Connection, the Grantee shall, at its expense, reinstate the Servient Lands to the condition they were in prior to the commencement of construction, including:
 - a. compacting and paving any excavated road section of the Servient Lands or surrounding lands;
 - b. sodding any excavated grassed areas on the Servient Lands or surrounding lands, and watering the sod in place;
 - c. replacing or replanting any damaged lilac bushes as necessary;
 - d. rebuild the stone retaining wall on the Servient Lands as may be required; and
 - e. the Grantee will provide before and after photographs of the Servient Lands showing the completion of the above-referenced work (the "**Restoration**")
- 4. The Grantor shall not interfere with the Sewer Connection, and shall not excavate, drill, install, erect, build or permit to be excavated, drilled, installed, erected or built, on, in, over, through or under the Servient Lands any pit, well, building, structure, trees, shrubs, hedges, new shade or ornamental trees or other obstruction of any nature without the prior written consent of the Grantee, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, but otherwise the Grantor shall have the right fully to use and enjoy the Servient Lands, subject always to and so as not to interfere with the rights and easement hereby granted to the Grantee.
- 5. The Grantor retains the right to grant easements, on, in, over, along, across, upon or under the Servient Lands to any person, public utility or municipal body it desires and under any terms and conditions it deems desirable, provided however that no such grant shall interfere with the prior rights conferred on the Grantee by this grant of easement. Prior to the construction or any other works being the subject matter of any additional or further easements, within the Servient Lands, the grantees of such further easements, will be required to submit plans for the review of the Grantee herein and to obtain from the Grantee herein the written consent, which consent shall not be unreasonably withheld, to enter on the Servient Lands or to construct the other works so as to ensure that no conflict will exist between the parties as regards the installation of the other works.
- 6. The Grantee shall save harmless and indemnify the Grantor from and against all manner of action, causes of action, claims, demands, loss, costs, suits, including legal costs of such suits that may arise, be sustained or prosecuted against the Grantor arising from the Grantee's use of the Servient Lands in respect of this easement.
- 7. The Grantee shall be responsible for the maintenance of the utilities on or with respect to the

Sewer Connection on the Servient Lands during their term of use to the reasonable satisfaction of the Grantor.

8. The Grantee shall comply with all applicable municipal by-laws and Provincial Statutes when excavating on the Servient Lands and shall erect suitable protective fencing and/or barricades and flashers around any such excavation.

The term of this Temporary Easement shall expire on the date that is the earlier of:

- a. the date the Grantee releases all right, title and interest under this Temporary Easement; and
- b. October 1, 2038;

after which date the Grantee shall have released this Temporary Easement and all rights and interests appurtenant thereto, and the Grantee shall thereafter have no claim of any kind whatsoever with respect to the Servient Lands.

- 9. The rights and easements hereby granted are and shall be of the same force and effect to all intents and purposes as a covenant running with the land and this transfer, including all the covenants and conditions herein contained, shall extend to, be binding upon and enure to the benefit of the heirs, executors, administrators, successors in title and assigns of the parties hereto respectively, and all covenants herein contained shall be construed to be several as well as joint, and wherever the singular or masculine is used, it shall be construed as if the plural or the feminine or the neutral, as the case may be, had been used where the context or the party or parties hereto so require, and the rest of the sentence shall be construed as if the grammatical and terminological changes thereby rendered necessary had been made.
- 10. The Grantor covenants with the Grantee that no other easement will be granted concerning the Servient Lands described herein prior to the registration of this document.

SCHEDULE "A"

LOCATION OF EASEMENT



.



APPENDIX

B

- WATERMAIN BOUNDARY CONDITIONS FROM
 CITY OF OTTAWA
- EMAILS FROM CITY OF OTTAWA
- FIRE UNDERWRITERS SURVEY FIRE FLOW CALCULATION
- WATER DEMAND CALCULATION

Boundary Conditions 1151 and 1131 Teron Road

Provided Information

Sectoria	Demand			
Scenario	L/min	L/s		
Average Daily Demand	45	0.75		
Maximum Daily Demand	111	1.85		
Peak Hour	244	4.06		
Fire Flow Demand #1	10,000	166.67		

Location



Results

Connection 1 – Steacie Dr.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	130.2	57.4
Peak Hour	126.9	52.7
Max Day plus Fire 1	127.6	53.7

Ground Elevation = 89.8 m

Connection 2 – Teron Rd.

Demand Scenario	Head (m)	Pressure ¹ (psi)
Maximum HGL	130.2	57.2
Peak Hour	126.9	52.5
Max Day plus Fire 1	127.9	54.0

Ground Elevation = 89.9 m

Disclaimer

The boundary condition information is based on current operation of the city water distribution system. The computer model simulation is based on the best information available at the time. The operation of the water distribution system can change on a regular basis, resulting in a variation in boundary conditions. The physical properties of watermains deteriorate over time, as such must be assumed in the absence of actual field test data. The variation in physical watermain properties can therefore alter the results of the computer model simulation. Fire Flow analysis is a reflection of available flow in the watermain; there may be additional restrictions that occur between the watermain and the hydrant that the model cannot take into account.

Yang, Winston

From:	Armstrong, Justin <justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca></justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca>
Sent:	March 4, 2021 10:08 AM
То:	Yang, Winston
Subject:	RE: Boundary Condition for 1151 and 1131 Teron Road
Attachments:	1151 and 1131 Teron Road_03March2021.docx

Hi Winston,

See attached boundary conditions for 1151 and 1131 Teron.

Regards,

Justin

From: Yang, Winston <Winston.Yang@wsp.com>
Sent: February 17, 2021 12:21 PM
To: Armstrong, Justin <justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca>
Subject: RE: Boundary Condition for 1151 and 1131 Teron Road

Hi Justin,

Sorry for the mistake. Thanks for pointing it out. It should be limited combustible instead of non-combustible. And the numbers mentioned below are correct, please proceed.

Yours truly,

Ding Bang (Winston) Yang, P.Eng. Project Engineer Infrastructure



T+1613-690-0538

2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2, Canada

www.wsp.com

From: Armstrong, Justin <<u>justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca</u>>
Sent: February 17, 2021 10:36 AM
To: Yang, Winston <<u>Winston.Yang@wsp.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Boundary Condition for 1151 and 1131 Teron Road

Thanks for providing this Winston.

Before I send it off for boundary conditions, I just wanted to clarify the following item:

• As identified in the Technical Bulletin to the City of Ottawa Water Design Guidelines, ISTB-2018-02, residential buildings are to be attributed a Limited Combustible Occupancy (-15%) rather than the Non-Combustible Occupancy (-25%) that was applied. When this change is made to the FUS calculations, the RFF for the 3-storey building becomes 6,000 L/min while the RFF for the 9-storey building becomes 10,000 L/min.

Please confirm that you are agreeable to the above point, and I will forward the boundary conditions for the site with the following demands:

Average Daily Demand	0.75 L/s
Maximum Daily Demand	1.85 L/s
Maximum Hourly Demand	4.06 L/s
Fire Flow Demand	10,000 L/min, 167 L/s

Thanks,

Justin

From: Yang, Winston <<u>Winston.Yang@wsp.com</u>>
Sent: February 16, 2021 4:00 PM
To: Armstrong, Justin <<u>justin.armstrong@ottawa.ca</u>>
Subject: Boundary Condition for 1151 and 1131 Teron Road

Hi Justin,

Thanks for the St. James Boundary Condition.

We also need the boundary condition for the 1151 and 1131 Teron Road project.

The proposed 9-Storey and 3-Storey Buildings will be serviced by an extension from Steacie Drive and extended along Teron Road to he development site.

For redundancy, the second connection will be tied into the existing hydrant lateral along Teron Road. And the existing hydrant will be relocated since the existing hydrant is in conflict with the proposed entrance.

Please see below site location and proposed connection for your reference.



The fire flow and domestic water demands calculations are attached for your review.

Please provide boundary condition at the connection points of Steacie Drive and Teron Road.

Should you have any questions please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours truly,

Ding Bang (Winston) Yang, P.Eng. Project Engineer Infrastructure



T+1613-690-0538

2611 Queensview Drive, Suite 300 Ottawa, Ontario, K2B 8K2, Canada NOTICE: This communication and any attachments ("this message") may contain information which is privileged, confidential, proprietary or otherwise subject to restricted disclosure under applicable law. This message is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s). Any unauthorized use, disclosure, viewing, copying, alteration, dissemination or distribution of, or reliance on, this message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, or you are not an authorized or intended recipient, please notify the sender immediately by replying to this message, delete this message and all copies from your e-mail system and destroy any printed copies. You are receiving this communication because you are listed as a current WSP contact. Should you have any questions regarding WSP's electronic communications policy, please consult our Anti-Spam Commitment at www.wsp.com/casl. For any concern or if you believe you should not be receiving this message to caslcompliance@wsp.com so that we can promptly address your request. Note that not all messages sent by WSP qualify as commercial electronic messages.

AVIS : Ce message, incluant tout fichier l'accompagnant (« le message »), peut contenir des renseignements ou de l'information privilégiés, confidentiels, propriétaires ou à divulgation restreinte en vertu de la loi. Ce message est destiné à l'usage exclusif du/des destinataire(s) voulu(s). Toute utilisation non permise, divulgation, lecture, reproduction, modification, diffusion ou distribution est interdite. Si vous avez reçu ce message par erreur, ou que vous n'êtes pas un destinataire ou voulu, veuillez en aviser l'expéditeur immédiatement et détruire le message et toute copie électronique ou imprimée. Vous recevez cette communication car vous faites partie des contacts de WSP. Si vous avez des questions concernant la politique de communications électroniques de WSP, veuillez consulter notre Engagement anti-pourriel au <u>www.wsp.com/lcap</u>. Pour toute question ou si vous croyez que vous ne devriez pas recevoir ce message, prière de le transférer au <u>conformitelcap@wsp.com</u> afin que nous puissions rapidement traiter votre demande. Notez que ce ne sont pas tous les messages transmis par WSP qui constituent des message selectroniques commerciaux.

-LAEmHhHzdJzBITWfa4Hgs7pbKl

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

I

I

1

This e-mail originates from the City of Ottawa e-mail system. Any distribution, use or copying of this e-mail or the information it contains by other than the intended recipient(s) is unauthorized. Thank you.

Le présent courriel a été expédié par le système de courriels de la Ville d'Ottawa. Toute distribution, utilisation ou reproduction du courriel ou des renseignements qui s'y trouvent par une personne autre que son destinataire prévu est interdite. Je vous remercie de votre collaboration.

Fire Flow Design Sheet (FUS) 1131 and 1151 Teron Road City of Ottawa WSP Project No. 20M-01534-00

Date: 12-Apr-21



Proposed 9-Storey Building Fire Flow Requirements Based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) 1999

1. An estimate of the Fire Flow required for a given fire area may be estimated by: $F = 220 C_{1/2}$ A

F = required fire flow in litres per minute

- C = coefficient related to the type of construction
 - 1.5 for wood construction (structure essentially combustible)
 - 1.0 for ordinary construction (brick or other masonry walls, combustible floor and interior)
 - 0.8 for noncombustible construction (unprotected metal structural components, masonry or metal walls) 0.6 for fire-resistive construction (fully protected frame, floors, roof)
- A = total floor area in square metres (including all storeys, but excluding basements at least 50% below grade)

 $A = 9889 m^2$ C = 0.8

F = 17502.0 L/min

rounded off to 18,000 L/min (min value of 2000 L/min)

2. The value obtained in 1. may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having a low contents fire hazard.

Non-combustible -25%	
Limited Combustible -15%	
Combustible 0%	
Free Burning 15%	
Rapid Burning 25%	
Reduction due to low occupancy hazard	-15% x 18,000 = 15,300 L/min

3. The value obtained in 2. may be reduced by as much as 50% for buildings equipped with automatic sprinkler protection.

Adequate Sprinkler confirms to NFP	A13	-30%
Water supply common for sprinklers	-10%	
Fully supervised system		-10%
No Automatic Sprinkler System		0%
Reduction due to Sprinkler System	- <mark>50%</mark> _X 15,300	= -7,650 L/min

4. The value obtained in 2. is increased for structures exposed within 45 metres by the fire area under consideration.

	Sep	paration	<u>Charge</u>					
		0 to 3 m	25%					
	3.1	to 10 m	20%					
	10.1	to 20 m	15%					
	20.1	to 30 m	10%					
	30.1	to 45 m	5%					
Side	1	170	0%	north side				
Side 2	2	18	15%	east side				
Side 3	3	46	0%	south side				
Side 4	4	270	0%	west side				
			15%		(Total sha	Il not exceed	75%)	
Inc	creas	e due to	separation	15% x	15,300 =	2,295 L	/min	
5. The flo	w rec	uiremen	t is the valu	e obtained	in 2., minu	s the reduction	n in 3., plus the	addition in 4.
The	e fire f	flow requ	irement is	10,000	L/min	(Rounded to	nearest 1000 l	L/min)
			or	167	L/sec			
			or	2,642	gpm (us)			
			or	2,200	gpm (uk)			

Based on method described in: "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection - A Guide to Recommended Practice", 1991 by Fire Underwriters Survey

Fire Flow Design Sheet (FUS) 1131 and 1151 Teron Road City of Ottawa WSP Project No. 20M-01534-00

Date: 12-Apr-21



Proposed 3-Storey Building Fire Flow Requirements Based on Fire Underwriters Survey (FUS) 1999

1. An estimate of the Fire Flow required for a given fire area may be estimated by: $F = 220 C_{1/2}$ A

F = required fire flow in litres per minute

- C = coefficient related to the type of construction
 - 1.5 for wood construction (structure essentially combustible)
 - 1.0 for ordinary construction (brick or other masonry walls, combustible floor and interior)
 - 0.8 for noncombustible construction (unprotected metal structural components, masonry or metal walls) 0.6 for fire-resistive construction (fully protected frame, floors, roof)
- A = total floor area in square metres (including all storeys, but excluding basements at least 50% below grade)

 $A = 1640 m^2$ C = 0.8

F = 7127.5 L/min

rounded off to 7,000 L/min (min value of 2000 L/min)

2. The value obtained in 1. may be reduced by as much as 25% for occupancies having a low contents fire hazard.

Non-combustible -25%		
Limited Combustible -15%		
Combustible 0%		
Free Burning 15%		
Rapid Burning 25%		
Reduction due to low occupancy hazard	-15% x 7,000	= 5,950 L/min

3. The value obtained in 2. may be reduced by as much as 50% for buildings equipped with automatic sprinkler protection.

Adequate Sprinkler confirms to NFP	-30%	
Water supply common for sprinklers	-10%	
Fully supervised system	-10%	
No Automatic Sprinkler System	0%	
		<u> </u>
Reduction due to Sprinkler System	- <mark>50%</mark> _X 5,950	= -2,975 L/min

4. The value obtained in 2. is increased for structures exposed within 45 metres by the fire area under consideration.

	Separation	<u>Charge</u>				
	0 to 3 m	25%				
	3.1 to 10 m	20%				
1	0.1 to 20 m	15%				
2	0.1 to 30 m	10%				
3	0.1 to 45 m	5%				
Side 1	15	15%	north side			
Side 2	11	15%	east side			
Side 3	46	0%	south side			
Side 4	18	15%	west side			
	[45%		(Total shal	l not exceed 75%)	
l			450/	5 050	0.0701/	
Incre	ease due to	separation	45% X	5,950 =	2,678 L/min	
5. The flow	requirement	t is the valu	e obtained	in 2., minus	the reduction in 3., plus the a	ddition in 4.
The fi	ire flow requ	irement is	6,000	L/min	(Rounded to nearest 1000 L/	min)
		or	100	L/sec		
		or	1,585	gpm (us)		
		or	1,320	gpm (uk)		
					Based on method described in:	

Based on method described in: "Water Supply for Public Fire Protection - A Guide to Recommended Practice", 1991 by Fire Underwriters Survey

Water Demand Calculation Sheet

Project:	1131 and 1151 Teron Road	Date:	2021-04-12
Location:	City of Ottawa	Design:	WY
WSP Project No.	20M-01534-00	Page:	1 of 1

Residential					Non-Residenta	ail	Ave	rage Daily		N	laximum Dail	у	Ma	ximum Hou	rly	Fire	
Proposed Buildings		Units		Don	Industrial	Institutional	Commercial	Den	nand (I/s)		Demand (I/s)			D	emand (I/s)		Demand
	SF	APT	ST	Pop.	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	Res.	Non-Res.	Total	Res.	Non-Res.	Total	Res.	Non-Res.	Total	(I/s)
Proposed 9-Storey																	
Building																	
1 Bedroom		59		83				0.27		0.27	0.67		0.67	1.47		1.47	167
2 Bedroom		54		113				0.37		0.37	0.92		0.92	2.02		2.02	167
Proposed 3-Storey																	
Building																	
1 Bedroom		8		11				0.04		0.04	0.09		0.09	0.20		0.20	100
2 Bedroom		10		21				0.07		0.07	0.17		0.17	0.37		0.37	100
											••=-		0.1			0.07	
		1							1	1					<u> </u>		<u>.</u>

Population Densities

Single Family Semi-Detached Duplex Townhome (Row) Bachelor Apartment 1 Bedroom Apartment 2 Bedroom Apartment 3 Bedroom Apartment 4 Bedroom Apartment Avg. Apartment

Average Daily Demand

Residentail Industrial Institutional Commercial

3.4 person/unit

2.7 person/unit

2.3 person/unit

2.7 person/unit

1.4 person/unit 1.4 person/unit

2.1 person/unit

3.1 person/unit 4.1 person/unit

1.8 person/unit

280 l/cap/day 35000 l/ha/day 28000 l/ha/day 28000 l/ha/day

Maximum Daily Demand

Residential Industrial Institutional Commercial 2.5 x avg. day 1.5 x avg. day 1.5 x avg. day 1.5 x avg. day **Maximum Hourly Demand**

Residential Industrial Institutional Commercial

\mathbf{NS}

2.2 x max. day 1.8 x max. day

1.8 x max. day

1.8 x max. day





SANITARY SEWER DESIGN SHEET

1131 and 1151 Teron Road Residential Development Project: 20M-01534-00 Date: April, 2021

	LOCA	TION						RESI	DENTIAL AR	EA AND POP	PULATION						ll.	IDUSTRIAL		COM	MERCIAL	INSTITU	JTIONAL	I+C+I	IN	FILTRATION					PIPE			
LOCATION	FROM	то	SANITARY	INDV	ACCU			NUMBER	OF UNITS			POPUI	LATION		PEAK	GROSS	DEVEL.	ACCU.	PEAK	INDIV	ACCU.	INDIV	ACCU.	PEAK	INDIV	ACCU.	INFILT.	TOTAL	LENGTH	DIA.	SLOPE	CAP.	VEL.	AVAIL.
	М.Н.	M.H.	AREA ID	AREA	AREA				1-BED	2-BED	3-BED	INDIV	ACCU	PEAK FACT.	FLOW	AREA	AREA	AREA	FACTOR	AREA	AREA	AREA	AREA	FLOW	AREA	AREA	FLOW	FLOW				(FULL)	(FULL)	CAP.
				(ha)	(ha)	SINGLES	SEMIS	TOWNS	APT.	APT.	APT.	POP	POP		(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)		(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(ha)	(ha)	(l/s)	(l/s)	(m)	(mm)	(%)	(l/s)	(m/s)	(%)
				(1104)	(1104)							101.	101.																					
	1													то у	VEEPING WI		NE																	
	RLDG	SAMH101		0.174	0.174				67.00	64.00	1	228	229	2.50	2.50		1			1	1	T	T	r –	0 174	0.17	0.06	2.65	0.75	200	1.00	22.90	1.04	01 029
	BEDG	SAMITTOT		0.174	+ 0.174	•			07.00	04.00		220	220	3.50	2.55										0.174	0.17	0.00	2.03	0.75	200	1.00	32.00	1.04	91.937
	SAMU101	SAMU102			0.174	1						0	229	2.50	2.50										0.000	0.17	0.06	2.65	22.70	200	1.00	22.80	1.04	01 029
	SAMITTOT	SAMITTOZ			0.174	•						0	220	3.50	2.55										0.000	0.17	0.00	2.03	22.70	200	1.00	32.00	1.04	31.33 /
	SAMH102	SAMU102			0.174	1							229	2.50	2.50										0.000	0.17	0.06	2.65	15 70	200	1.00	22.80	1.04	01 029
	SAMITTOZ	SAMITTOS			0.174	•							220	3.50	2.55										0.000	0.17	0.00	2.03	13.70	200	1.00	32.00	1.04	31.33 /
Jackson Court	EVISTING	EXISTING		0.600	0.690			9.00				24	24	2.60	0.20										0.69.0	0.60	0.22	0.52	119.00	250	0.20	26.50	0.54	08.05%
Jackson Court	EXISTING	EXISTING		0.030	0.030	,		3.00				24	24	3.03	0.23					-					0.090	0.03	0.23	0.52	110.00	230	0.20	20.33	0.34	90.03 /
Wooping Willow Lano	EVISTING	SAMU102		0.090	0.770			2.00				5	20	2.69	0.25										0.090	0.77	0.25	0.61	52.00	250	0.20	26.50	0.54	07 719
weeping willow Lane	EXISTING	SAMITTOS		0.000	0.770	,		2.00				5		3.00	0.55										0.000	0.77	0.25	0.01	33.00	230	0.20	20.33	0.34	51.117
Wooping Willow Lano	SVMH102	EXISTING			0.944	1						0	259	2.49	2.01										0.000	0.04	0.21	3 22	19.00	250	0.20	26.50	0.54	97 999
weeping winow Lane	SAMITTOS	EXISTING			0.344	•						0	2.50	3.40	2.31										0.000	0.34	0.51	5.22	40.00	230	0.20	20.33	0.34	07.00 /
																				-														
																				-														
																				-														
																				1														
																				-														
																				-														
																				1														
																				-														
																				-														
																				1														
																				-														
																				-														
									DESIGN PAR	AMETERS																								
																										DESIGNED			NO		REVISION		D	ATE
RESIDENTIAL AVG. DAILY		280	l/can/day			COMMERC		ACTOR -		15		EA > 20%)				=1 OW (1/e)	\ _	P*a*M/86	5400				PERSO			Ding Bang V	ang P Eng		1	City S	ubmission	No 1	2021	-04-12
	FLOW =	28,000	l/ha/day			COMMENC		A01011=		1.0	(WHEN AR	EA < 20%)		PEAKEX	TRANEOUS	ELOW (1/4	- /- 5) =	I*Ac	5400		SINGLES		3.4			CHECKED	ang, r .Eng.			Oity O	0011100101		LULI	04 12
COMMENDIAL AVG. DALET	12011-	0.324	l/ha/say							1.0	(WHEN AN	LA < 20 /0)		RESIDEN	TIAL PEAKIN	I LOW, (II	97 - DR M -	1+(14/(4+P)	2^0 5))*K		SEMI-DETAC	HED	27			Ishaque leff	orioo P Eng							
INSTITUTIONAL AVG DAIL	V FLOW -	28.000	l/ha/day			INSTITUT		FACTOR -		15		EA > 20%)				REA (ha)	, w =	1+(1-)(++)	0.5)) IX			-9	27			PROJECT	orjee, r .Eng.							
NOTITO HONAL AVG. DAL	112011-	0.324	l/ha/s			Normon	OTWAET EAR			1.0	(WHEN AR	EA < 20%)		P = POPI			S)				SINGLE APT		14			1131 and 11	51 Teron Boa	h						
LIGHT INDUSTRIAL FLOW	_	35.000	l/ha/day							1.0	(271 (20 /0)		. =. 0. 0	2		0)				2-BED APT		21			Residential [)evelonment							
Let a we so that he we		0 405	l/ha/s			RESIDENT	TIAL CORRE		OBK=	0.80				SEWER)can (l/s) -		1/N S^(1/	/2) B^(2/3) Ac		3-BED APT		3.1											
HEAVY INDUSTRIAL FLOW	-	55.000	l/ha/dav		1	MANNING	N =			0.013				(MANNIN	G'S EQUATI	ON)							0			Ottawa, Onta	ario							
		0.637	l/ha/s		1	PEAK EXT	RANEOUS	FLOW, I (I/s/ha	ı) =	0.33				,		/										PAGE NO.			FILE & DWO	G. REFER	NCE:			
								, . (7																	1 of 1								





APPENDIX

D

- STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET
- STORM DRAINAGE PLAN CO4
- GRADING PLAN CO2
- SERVICING PLAN C03
- STORMCEPTOR EF4 DETAILS AND SIZING
 REPORT

STORM SEWER DESIGN SHEET

1131 and 1151 Teron Road Residential Development Project: 20M-01534-00 Date: April, 2021

	LOC	ATION				AREA	Ha)					RATIONAL DESIGN FLOW			DESIGN FLOW	ow						PROPSOED SEWER DATA									
STREET		FROM	то	C=	C=	C=	C=	C=	C=	IND	CUM	INLET	TOTAL	i (2)	i (5)	i (100)	BLDG 2yr PEAK	5yr PEAK	100yr PEAF	< ICD FIXED	DESIGN	MODIFIED	MATERIAL	SIZE	SLOPE I	LENGTH	CAPACITY	VELOCITY	TIME	AVAIL	CAP (2yr)
Officer		1 Hom	10	0.25	0.35	0.50	0.60 (0.75	0.90	2.78AC	2.78 AC	(min)	(min)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	(mm/hr)	FLOW (L/s) FLOW (L/s	FLOW (L/s)) FLOW (L/s)) FLOW (L/s)	FLOW (L/s)	DESIGN FLOW (L/s)	PIPE	(mm)	(%)	(m)	(l/s)	(m/s)	IN PIPE	(L/s)	(%)
																								_	$ \longrightarrow $		<u> </u>				───
	1	1		1	1 1					1	1	1	1	1	o leron Road	0		1	1	1	1		1	1	<u> </u>				1	1	
Toron Road									0 202	0.509	0.509	10.00	10.02	76.91	104.10	179.56	20.01				20.01	17.00		250.0	1.00	1 70	50.52	1.01	0.02	20.52	71 4 4 9/
Teron noau	D-DEDGT & DDEDG 2	BUILDING SERVICE	31111204						0.203	0.308	0.508	10.00	10.02	70.01	104.19	178.50	33.01				39.01	17.00	FVC DR-30	230.0	1.00	1.70	39.33	1.21	0.02	20.52	7 1.44 /6
Teron Boad	B-101 & B-102	CISTERN	CBMH201	0.061					0.113	0.325	0.325	10.00	10.01	76.81	104.19	178.56	24.97			-	24.97		PVC DB-35	300.0	1.00	1.15	96.80	1.37	0.01	71.83	74.20%
Teron Road		CBMH201	STMH202		1					0.000	0.325	10.01	10.04	76.75	104.12	178.43	24.95				24.95		PVC DR-35	300.0	0.65	1.40	78.04	1.10	0.02	53.09	68.03%
																															,
Teron Road		STMH202	STMH203							0.000	0.325	10.04	10.13	76.67	104.01	178.24	24.93				24.93		PVC DR-35	300.0	0.65	6.05	78.04	1.10	0.09	53.11	68.06%
																															<u> </u>
	T	T		1		-	-	-		1		1		To N	arch Road D	Ditch							1					-	1		
March Road	A 101	CR101	STMU101	0.042					0.146	0.204	0.204	10.00	10.21	76.91	104.10	179.56	20.20				20.20			200.0	1.00	12.29	22.92	1.04	0.21	2.52	7 7 7 9 %
March Hudu	A-IUI	CBIUI	311/11/11	0.042					0.140	0.394	0.394	10.00	10.21	70.01	104.15	178.50	30.30				30.30		FVC DR-30	200.0	1.00	13.30	32.03	1.04	0.21	2.55	1.12/0
	A-102	CB102	STMH101-STMH102	0.022					0.100	0.265	0.265	10.00	10.18	76.81	104.19	178.56	20.39				20.39		PVC DR-35	200.0	1.00	11.00	32.83	1.04	0.18	12.44	37.89%
																															1
	A-103	CB103	STMH101-STMH102	0.044					0.132	0.361	0.361	10.00	10.17	76.81	104.19	178.56	27.71				27.71		PVC DR-35	200.0	1.00	10.90	32.83	1.04	0.17	5.12	15.59%
																															,
		STMH101	STMH102							0.000	1.021	10.21	11.42	75.99	103.08	176.63	77.58				77.58		PVC DR-35	375.0	0.30	63.15	96.13	0.87	1.21	18.55	19.30%
		071411400													07.00	100 51	70.00				70.00		51/0 55 05	075.0							
		SIMH102	OUILEI							0.000	1.021	11.42	11.69	/1./4	97.23	166.51	/3.23				/3.23		PVC DR-35	375.0	0.30	14.05	96.13	0.87	0.27	22.90	23.82%
													-			ł		-	-	-				-	⊢ −−+		───				/
Definition:				Notes:												Designed:	D.B.Y.		No.		I	B	levision						Da	te	
Q=2.78CiA, where:				1. Manni	ngs coefficient	(n) =	0.013	Ti	ime-of-Co	oncentratio	on in the S	wale							1.			City Sub	bmission No. 1						2021-0	04-12	
Q = Peak Flow in Litre	s per Second (L/s)				-			FA	AA Equatio	on: t (min)	= 3.258 [(1	.1 - C) L^0	5 / S^.33]																		
A = Area in Hectares ((Ha)							w	here: Lo	ngest Wate	rcourse Le	ngth, L (m)	S (%)			Checked:	D.B.Y./I.J.														
i = Rainfall Intensity in	millimeters per hour (mr	n/hr)									Runo	ff Coef.C =		Impervious																	
i = 732.951/(TC+6.	199)^0.810		2 Year							No.	L (m)	S %	Tc (min)						_	1											
i = 1174.184/(TC+6	5.014)^0.816		5 Year										#DIV/0!			Dwg. Referen	ce: C04														
I = 1/35.688/(TC+6	5.014)^0.820		100 Year																	File	M-01534-00			2	Date: 021-04-12				Sheet	t NO: f 1	





ILENAME: V:110-13 MI-LD - 2020 Projects/20M-01534-00 - 1151 Teron Road/3. Drawings/Working drawings/Working drawings/20M-01534-00_Civil. LOTDATE: Apr 15, 2021 - 11:42am, cawy069741



LENAME: V:\10-13 MI-LD - 2020 Projects\20M-01534-00 - 1151 Teron Road\3. Drawings\Working drawings\Working drawings\20M-01534-00_Civi OTDATE: Apr 15, 2021 - 11:42am, cawv069741



LENAME: V:\10-13 MI-LD - 2020 Projects\20M-01534-00 - 1151 Teron Road\3. Drawings\Working drawings\Working drawings\20M-01534-00_Civ OTDATE: Ar 15, 2021 - 11:43am. cawv069341



NO PRODUCT SUBSTITUTIONS SHALL BE ACCEPTED UNLESS SUBMITTED 10 DAYS PRIOR TO PROJECT BID DATE, OR AS DIRECTED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD.

STANDARD DETAIL NOT FOR CONSTRUCTION

	The design and information shown on this drawing is provided as a service to the project owner, engineer	and contractor by Imbrium Systems ("Imbrium"). Neither this drawing, nor any purt thereof, may be used reconcision or motified in any menues without	the prior written consent of imbrum. Failure to comply is done at the user's own risk and imbrum expressly	discialints any lability or responsibility for such use. If discretancies between the supplied information upon	which the drawing is based and actual field conditions are encountered as site work progresses, these	for re-evaluation of the design. Imbrum accepts no Itability for designs based on missing, incomplete or	inaccurate information supplied by others.
		####	####	####	JSK	JSK	┢
PLAN VIEW (STANDARD)		+	#	#	DATES	1AL RELEASE	REVISION DESCRIPTION
		### ##	### ##	### ##	/18 UPI	LINI 21%	Щ Ш
		##	4##	##	6/8	5/26	A
		####	####	####	-	0	MARK
PLAN VIEW (INLET TOP) SE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL STORMCEPTOR REPRESENTATIVE. ED ON THE BEST AVAILABLE INFORMATION AT THE TIME. SOME CATION OR CONNECTION PIPING MAY BE NECESSARY BASED IGURATION REVISIONS. ELEVATIONS SHOULD BE MAINTAINED STRUCTURE (IF REQUIRED).	Ċ						
SITE SPECIFIC DATA REQUIREMENTS STORMCEPTOR MODEL EF4 STRUCTURE ID * WATER QUALITY FLOW RATE (L/s) * PEAK FLOW RATE (L/s) * RETURN PERIOD OF PEAK FLOW (yrs) * DRAINAGE AREA (HA) *				INDIAN	7037 RIDGE ROAD, SUITE 350, HANOVER, MD 2 JSA 888-278-8826 CA 800-568-4801 INTL +1-416	The Structure and The Point State of Structure	District Control Control (2010) (2
DRAINAGE AREA IMPERVIOUSNESS (%)	DATE	: 6/0/	117				
PIPE DATA: I.E. MAT'L DIA SLOPE % HGL	DESI	GNE) / D:		RAW	N:	
NLET #1 * * * * *	JSI	K		_	JSK		
NLET #2 * * * * *	BS	F			SP	/vED:	_
DUTLET * * * * *	PRO	JECT	No.:	S	SEQUE	NCE	No.:
PER ENGINEER OF RECORD	SHEE	T:					
			1		OF	1	

INLET #2

OUTLET



Stormceptor[®]EF Sizing Report

rovince.	Ontario - Sault Ste Marie	Project Name	:	1151 - 1131 Teron	Road Res Project			
City:	Ottawa	Project Numb	er:	20M-01534-00				
Nearest Rainfall Station:	OTTAWA MACDONALD-CAR	TIER Designer Nan	ne:	Ding Bang Yang				
	INT'L AP	Designer Con	npany:	WSP Canada Inc				
NCDC Rainfall Station Id:	6000	Designer Ema	il:	winston.yang@ws	p.com			
Years of Rainfall Data:	37	Designer Pho	ne:	613-690-0538				
Site Name:	151 - 1131 Teron Road	EOR Name:						
	151 - 1151 Teron Koad	EOR Compan	y:					
Drainage Area (ha): 0.	.17	EOR Email:						
Runoff Coefficient 'c': 0.	.67	EOR Phone:						
Farget TSS Removal (%): 8 Required Water Quality Runoff	30.0 Volume Capture (%):	90.00		(TSS) Load Sizing S	Reduction ummary			
Estimated Water Quality Flow R	Rate (L/s):	4.12		Stormceptor	TSS Removal			
				Model	Provided (%			
		NI -		FF4	89			
Dil / Fuel Spill Risk Site?		No		EF4				
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control?		No Yes		EF4 EF6	91			
Dil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Jpstream Flow Control? Jpstream Orifice Control Flow F	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s):	No Yes 18.00		EF4 EF6 EF8	91 92			
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control? Upstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s):	No Yes 18.00		EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10	91 92 93			
Dil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control? Upstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s):	No Yes 18.00		EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12	91 92 93 93			
Dil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Jpstream Flow Control? Jpstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s): low Rate (L/s): g/ha/yr):	No Yes 18.00		EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12	91 92 93 93			
Dil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Jpstream Flow Control? Jpstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s): low Rate (L/s): g/ha/yr):	No Yes 18.00	nended St	EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12 ormceptor EF	91 92 93 93 93 Model:			
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control? Upstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s): ow Rate (L/s): g/ha/yr): Estimate	No Yes 18.00 Recomn d Net Annual Sedin	nended Sta nent (TSS)	EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12 ormceptor EF	91 92 93 93 Model: ion (%):			
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control? Upstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s): low Rate (L/s): g/ha/yr): Estimate	No Yes 18.00 Recomm d Net Annual Sedin Water Qual	nended Sta ment (TSS) ity Runoff	EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12 ormceptor EF Load Reduct Volume Capt	91 92 93 93 Model: ion (%): ure (%):			
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control? Upstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s): low Rate (L/s): g/ha/yr): Estimate	No Yes 18.00 Recomm d Net Annual Sedin Water Quali	nended St nent (TSS) ity Runoff	EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12 ormceptor EF Load Reduct Volume Capt	91 92 93 93 Model: ion (%): ure (%):			
Oil / Fuel Spill Risk Site? Upstream Flow Control? Upstream Orifice Control Flow F Peak Conveyance (maximum) Fl Site Sediment Transport Rate (k	Rate to Stormceptor (L/s): low Rate (L/s): g/ha/yr): Estimate	No Yes 18.00 Recomm d Net Annual Sedin Water Quali	nended Sta ment (TSS) ity Runoff	EF4 EF6 EF8 EF10 EF12 ormceptor EF Load Reduct Volume Capt	91 92 93 93 Model: 1 ion (%): 2			



×

Stormceptor[®]EF Sizing Report

THIRD-PARTY TESTING AND VERIFICATION

Stormceptor[®] **EF** and **Stormceptor**[®] **EFO** are the latest evolutions in the Stormceptor[®] oil-grit separator (OGS) technology series, and are designed to remove a wide variety of pollutants from stormwater and snowmelt runoff. These technologies have been third-party tested in accordance with the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators** and performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the **ISO 14034 Environmental Technology Verification (ETV)** protocol.

PERFORMANCE

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO remove stormwater pollutants through gravity separation and floatation, and feature a patentpending design that generates positive removal of total suspended solids (TSS) throughout each storm event, including highintensity storms. Captured pollutants include sediment, free oils, and sediment-bound pollutants such as nutrients, heavy metals, and petroleum hydrocarbons. Stormceptor is sized to remove a high level of TSS from the frequent rainfall events that contribute the vast majority of annual runoff volume and pollutant load. The technology incorporates an internal bypass to convey excessive stormwater flows from high-intensity storms through the device without resuspension and washout (scour) of previously captured pollutants. Proper routine maintenance ensures high pollutant removal performance and protection of downstream waterwavs.

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION (PSD)

► The **Canadian ETV PSD** shown in the table below was used, or in part, for this sizing. This is the identical PSD that is referenced in the Canadian ETV *Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators* for both sediment removal testing and scour testing. The Canadian ETV PSD contains a wide range of particle sizes in the sand and silt fractions, and is considered reasonably representative of the particle size fractions found in typical urban stormwater runoff.

Particle	Percent Less	Particle Size	Dercent
Size (µm)	Than	Fraction (µm)	Percent
1000	100	500-1000	5
500	95	250-500	5
250	90	150-250	15
150	75	100-150	15
100	60	75-100	10
75	50	50-75	5
50	45	20-50	10
20	35	8-20	15
8	20	5-8	10
5	10	2-5	5
2	5	<2	5



x
Stormceptor[®]

Stormceptor[®]EF Sizing Report

Upstream Flow Controlled Results								
Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
1	51.3	51.3	0.32	19.0	16.0	93	47.7	47.7
2	8.7	60.0	0.63	38.0	32.0	93	8.1	55.8
3	5.8	65.8	0.95	57.0	47.0	93	5.4	61.2
4	4.6	70.4	1.27	76.0	63.0	91	4.2	65.4
5	4.2	74.6	1.58	95.0	79.0	89	3.7	69.1
6	3.2	77.8	1.90	114.0	95.0	88	2.8	71.9
7	2.6	80.4	2.22	133.0	111.0	86	2.2	74.2
8	2.4	82.8	2.53	152.0	127.0	85	2.0	76.2
9	1.9	84.7	2.85	171.0	142.0	83	1.6	77.8
10	1.6	86.3	3.17	190.0	158.0	81	1.3	79.1
11	1.3	87.6	3.48	209.0	174.0	79	1.0	80.1
12	1.1	88.7	3.80	228.0	190.0	77	0.8	80.9
13	1.3	90.0	4.12	247.0	206.0	76	1.0	81.9
14	1.1	91.1	4.43	266.0	222.0	74	0.8	82.7
15	0.6	91.7	4.75	285.0	237.0	73	0.4	83.2
16	0.8	92.5	5.07	304.0	253.0	72	0.6	83.8
17	0.7	93.2	5.38	323.0	269.0	70	0.5	84.2
18	0.5	93.7	5.70	342.0	285.0	69	0.3	84.6
19	0.6	94.3	6.02	361.0	301.0	67	0.4	85.0
20	0.5	94.8	6.33	380.0	317.0	66	0.3	85.3
21	0.2	95.0	6.65	399.0	332.0	64	0.1	85.5
22	0.4	95.4	6.97	418.0	348.0	63	0.3	85.7
23	0.5	95.9	7.28	437.0	364.0	62	0.3	86.0
24	0.4	96.3	7.60	456.0	380.0	60	0.2	86.3
25	0.1	96.4	7.92	475.0	396.0	59	0.1	86.3





Rainfall Intensity (mm / hr)	Percent Rainfall Volume (%)	Cumulative Rainfall Volume (%)	Flow Rate (L/s)	Flow Rate (L/min)	Surface Loading Rate (L/min/m²)	Removal Efficiency (%)	Incremental Removal (%)	Cumulative Removal (%)
26	0.3	96.7	8.23	494.0	412.0	58	0.2	86.5
27	0.4	97.1	8.55	513.0	427.0	58	0.2	86.7
28	0.2	97.3	8.87	532.0	443.0	58	0.1	86.8
29	0.2	97.5	9.18	551.0	459.0	57	0.1	86.9
30	0.2	97.7	9.50	570.0	475.0	57	0.1	87.1
31	0.1	97.8	9.82	589.0	491.0	57	0.1	87.1
32	0.2	98.0	10.13	608.0	507.0	57	0.1	87.2
33	0.1	98.1	10.45	627.0	522.0	57	0.1	87.3
34	0.1	98.2	10.77	646.0	538.0	57	0.1	87.3
35	0.1	98.3	11.08	665.0	554.0	57	0.1	87.4
36	0.2	98.5	11.40	684.0	570.0	56	0.1	87.5
37	1.5	100.0	11.72	703.0	586.0	56	0.8	88.4
38	0.1	100.1	12.03	722.0	602.0	56	0.1	88.4
39	0.1	100.2	12.35	741.0	617.0	56	0.1	88.5
40	0.1	100.3	12.67	760.0	633.0	56	0.1	88.5
41	0.1	100.4	12.98	779.0	649.0	56	0.1	88.6
42	0.1	100.5	13.30	798.0	665.0	56	0.1	88.6
43	0.2	100.7	13.62	817.0	681.0	56	0.1	88.7
44	0.1	100.8	13.93	836.0	697.0	56	0.1	88.8
45	0.1	100.9	14.25	855.0	712.0	55	0.1	88.9
46	-0.9	100.0	14.57	874.0	728.0	55	N/A	88.4
47	0.1	100.1	14.88	893.0	744.0	55	0.1	88.4
48	-0.1	100.0	15.20	912.0	760.0	55	N/A	88.4
49	0.0	100.0	15.52	931.0	776.0	55	0.0	88.4
50	0.0	100.0	15.83	950.0	792.0	55	0.0	88.4
Estimated Net Annual Sediment (TSS) Load Reduction = 8								88 %









Maximum Pipe Diameter / Peak Conveyance									
Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model Diameter		Min Angle Inlet / Outlet Pipes	Max Inle Diame	et Pipe eter	Max Out Diam	et Pipe eter	Peak Cor Flow	nveyance Rate
	(m)	(ft)		(mm)	(in)	(mm)	(in)	(L/s)	(cfs)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	90	609	24	609	24	425	15
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	90	914	36	914	36	990	35
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	90	1219	48	1219	48	1700	60
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	90	1828	72	1828	72	2830	100

SCOUR PREVENTION AND ONLINE CONFIGURATION

► Stormceptor® EF and EFO feature an internal bypass and superior scour prevention technology that have been demonstrated in third-party testing according to the scour testing provisions of the Canadian ETV Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators, and the exceptional scour test performance has been third-party verified in accordance with the ISO 14034 ETV protocol. As a result, Stormceptor EF and EFO are approved for online installation, eliminating the need for costly additional bypass structures, piping, and installation expense.

DESIGN FLEXIBILITY

► Stormceptor[®] EF and EFO offers design flexibility in one simplified platform, accepting stormwater flow from a single inlet pipe or multiple inlet pipes, and/or surface runoff through an inlet grate. The device can also serve as a junction structure, accommodate a 90-degree inlet-to-outlet bend angle, and can be modified to ensure performance in submerged conditions.

OIL CAPTURE AND RETENTION

► While Stormceptor® EF will capture and retain oil from dry weather spills and low intensity runoff, **Stormceptor® EFO** has demonstrated superior oil capture and greater than 99% oil retention in third-party testing according to the light liquid reentrainment testing provisions of the Canadian ETV **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators**. Stormceptor EFO is recommended for sites where oil capture and retention is a requirement.









45*-90* 0*-45* 0*-45* 45*-90*

INLET-TO-OUTLET DROP

Elevation differential between inlet and outlet pipe inverts is dictated by the angle at which the inlet pipe(s) enters the unit.

x

0° - 45° : The inlet pipe is 1-inch (25mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

45° - 90° : The inlet pipe is 2-inches (50mm) higher than the outlet pipe.

HEAD LOSS

The head loss through Stormceptor EF is similar to that of a 60-degree bend structure. The applicable K value for calculating minor losses through the unit is 1.1. For submerged conditions the applicable K value is 3.0.

	Pollutant Capacity											
Stormceptor EF / EFO	Model I Diameter		Depth Pipe In Sump	Outlet vert to Floor)	Oil Volume		Recommended Sediment Maintenance Depth *		Maximum Sediment Volume *		Maximum Sediment Mass **	
	(m)	(ft)	(m)	(ft)	(L)	(Gal)	(mm)	(in)	(L)	(ft³)	(kg)	(lb)
EF4 / EFO4	1.2	4	1.52	5.0	265	70	203	8	1190	42	1904	5250
EF6 / EFO6	1.8	6	1.93	6.3	610	160	305	12	3470	123	5552	15375
EF8 / EFO8	2.4	8	2.59	8.5	1070	280	610	24	8780	310	14048	38750
EF10 / EFO10	3.0	10	3.25	10.7	1670	440	610	24	17790	628	28464	78500
EF12 / EFO12	3.6	12	3.89	12.8	2475	655	610	24	31220	1103	49952	137875

*Increased sump depth may be added to increase sediment storage capacity

** Average density of wet packed sediment in sump = 1.6 kg/L (100 lb/ft³)

Feature	Benefit	Feature Appeals To	
Patent-pending enhanced flow treatment	Superior, verified third-party	Regulator Specifying & Design Engineer	
and scour prevention technology	performance	negarator, opeenying a besign engineer	
Third-party verified light liquid capture	Proven performance for fuel/oil hotspot	Regulator, Specifying & Design Engineer,	
and retention for EFO version	locations	Site Owner	
Functions as bend, junction or inlet	Design flevibility	Specifying & Design Engineer	
structure	Design nextonity		
Minimal drop between inlet and outlet	Site installation ease	Contractor	
Large diameter outlet riser for inspection and maintenance	Easy maintenance access from grade	Maintenance Contractor & Site Owner	

STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO DRAWINGS

For standard details, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef STANDARD STORMCEPTOR EF/EFO SPECIFICATION

For specifications, please visit http://www.imbriumsystems.com/stormwater-treatment-solutions/stormceptor-ef



STANDARD PERFORMANCE SPECIFICATION FOR "OIL GRIT SEPARATOR" (OGS) STORMWATER QUALITY TREATMENT DEVICE

PART 1 – GENERAL

1.1 WORK INCLUDED

This section specifies requirements for selecting, sizing, and designing an underground Oil Grit Separator (OGS) device for stormwater quality treatment, with third-party testing results and a Statement of Verification in accordance with ISO 14034 Environmental Management – Environmental Technology Verification (ETV).

1.2 REFERENCE STANDARDS & PROCEDURES

ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV)

Canadian Environmental Technology Verification (ETV) Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.**

1.3 SUBMITTALS

1.3.1 All submittals, including sizing reports & shop drawings, shall be submitted upon request with each order to the contractor then forwarded to the Engineer of Record for review and acceptance. Shop drawings shall detail all OGS components, elevations, and sequence of construction.

1.3.2 Alternative devices shall have features identical to or greater than the specified device, including: treatment chamber diameter, treatment chamber wet volume, sediment storage volume, and oil storage volume.

1.3.3 Unless directed otherwise by the Engineer of Record, OGS stormwater quality treatment product substitutions or alternatives submitted within ten days prior to project bid shall not be accepted. All alternatives or substitutions submitted shall be signed and sealed by a local registered Professional Engineer, based on the exact same criteria detailed in Section 3, in entirety, subject to review and approval by the Engineer of Record.

PART 2 – PRODUCTS

2.1 OGS POLLUTANT STORAGE

The OGS device shall include a sump for sediment storage, and a protected volume for the capture and storage of petroleum hydrocarbons and buoyant gross pollutants. The <u>minimum</u> sediment & petroleum hydrocarbon storage capacity shall be as follows:

2.1.1 4 ft (1219 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

6 ft (1829 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

- 8 ft (2438 mm) Diameter OGS Units:
- 10 ft (3048 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

12 ft (3657 mm) Diameter OGS Units:

PART 3 – PERFORMANCE & DESIGN

3.1 GENERAL

 $\begin{array}{l} 1.19 \ m^{3} \ sediment \ / \ 265 \ L \ oil \\ 3.48 \ m^{3} \ sediment \ / \ 609 \ L \ oil \\ 8.78 \ m^{3} \ sediment \ / \ 1,071 \ L \ oil \\ 17.78 \ m^{3} \ sediment \ / \ 1,673 \ L \ oil \\ 31.23 \ m^{3} \ sediment \ / \ 2,476 \ L \ oil \\ \end{array}$





The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall be verified in accordance with ISO 14034:2016 Environmental management – Environmental technology verification (ETV). The OGS stormwater quality treatment device shall remove oil, sediment and gross pollutants from stormwater runoff during frequent wet weather events, and retain these pollutants during less frequent high flow wet weather events below the insert within the OGS for later removal during maintenance. The Manufacturer shall have at least ten (10) years of local experience, history and success in engineering design, manufacturing and production and supply of OGS stormwater quality treatment device systems, acceptable to the Engineer of Record.

3.2 SIZING METHODOLOGY

The OGS device shall be engineered, designed and sized to provide stormwater quality treatment based on treating a minimum of 90 percent of the average annual runoff volume and a minimum removal of an annual average 60% of the sediment (TSS) load based on the Particle Size Distribution (PSD) specified in the sizing report for the specified device. Sizing shall be determined using historical rainfall data and a sediment removal performance curve derived from the actual third-party verified laboratory testing data. The OGS device shall also have sufficient annual sediment storage capacity as specified and calculated in Section 2.1.

3.3 CANADIAN ETV or ISO 14034 ETV VERIFICATION OF SCOUR TESTING

The OGS device shall have Canadian ETV or ISO 14034 ETV Verification of third-party scour testing conducted in accordance with the Canadian ETV Program's **Procedure for Laboratory Testing of Oil-Grit Separators.**

3.3.1 To be acceptable for on-line installation, the OGS device must demonstrate an average scour test effluent concentration less than 10 mg/L at each surface loading rate tested, up to and including 2600 L/min/m².



x



EROSION AND SEDIMENTATION CONTROL PLAN CO5



ENAME: V:\10-13 MI-LD - 2020 Projects/20M-01534-00 - 1151 Teron Road\3. Drawings\Working drawings\Working drawings\20M-01534-00_CIv OTDATE: Apr 15, 2021 - 11:43am.cawv069741



APPENDIX

SUBMISSION CHECK LIST

4.1 General Content

Executive Summary (for larger reports only).

Comments:	
Date and rev	vision number of the report.
Comments:	
Location ma proposed de	up and plan showing municipal address, boundary, and layout of evelopment.
Comments:	
Plan showin	g the site and location of all existing services.
Comments:	
Developmen reference to which indiv	nt statistics, land use, density, adherence to zoning and official plan, and applicable subwatershed and watershed plans that provide context to idual developments must adhere.
Comments:	
Summary of	Pre-consultation Meetings with City and other approval agencies.
Comments:	
Reference a Servicing St case where i develop a do	nd confirm conformance to higher level studies and reports (Master udies, Environmental Assessments, Community Design Plans), or in the t is not in conformance, the proponent must provide justification and efendable design criteria.
Comments:	
Statement o	f objectives and servicing criteria.
Comments:	
Identificatio area.	n of existing and proposed infrastructure available in the immediate
Comments:	

Γ

☐ Identification of Environmentally Significant Areas, watercourses and Municipal Drains potentially impacted by the proposed development (Reference can be made to the Natural Heritage Studies, if available).

Comments:
Concept level master grading plan to confirm existing and proposed grades in the development. This is required to confirm the feasibility of proposed stormwater management and drainage, soil removal and fill constraints, and potential impacts to neighbouring properties. This is also required to confirm that the proposed grading will not impede existing major system flow paths.
Comments:
Identification of potential impacts of proposed piped services on private services (such as wells and septic fields on adjacent lands) and mitigation required to address potential impacts.
Comments:
Proposed phasing of the development, if applicable.
Comments:
Reference to geotechnical studies and recommendations concerning servicing.
Comments:
All preliminary and formal site plan submissions should have the following information:
 Metric scale North arrow (including construction North) Key plan Name and contact information of applicant and property owner Property limits including bearings and dimensions Existing and proposed structures and parking areas Easements, road widening and rights-of-way Adjacent street names

4.2 Development Servicing Report: Water

Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study, if available

Comments:	
Availability	of public infrastructure to service proposed development
Comments:	
Identificatio	on of system constraints
Comments:	
Identify bo	undary conditions
Comments:	
Confirmatio	on of adequate domestic supply and pressure
Comments:	
Confirmation calculated a flow at loca	on of adequate fire flow protection and confirmation that fire flow is as per the Fire Underwriter's Survey. Output should show available fire ations throughout the development.
Comments:	
Provide a c required to	heck of high pressures. If pressure is found to be high, an assessment is confirm the application of pressure reducing valves.
Comments:	
Definition of servicing for	of phasing constraints. Hydraulic modeling is required to confirm or all defined phases of the project including the ultimate design
Comments:	
Address rel	liability requirements such as appropriate location of shut-off valves
Comments:	
Check on th	ne necessity of a pressure zone boundary modification.
Comments:	

Reference to water supply analysis to show that major infrastructure is capable of delivering sufficient water for the proposed land use. This includes data that shows that the expected demands under average day, peak hour and fire flow conditions provide water within the required pressure range

Comments:			
Description proposed c appurtenar including s	n of the proposed water distribution network, including locations of connections to the existing system, provisions for necessary looping, and nees (valves, pressure reducing valves, valve chambers, and fire hydrants) pecial metering provisions.		
Comments:			
Description of off-site required feedermains, booster pumping stations, and other water infrastructure that will be ultimately required to service proposed development, including financing, interim facilities, and timing of implementation.			
Comments:			
Confirmati Guidelines	on that water demands are calculated based on the City of Ottawa Design		
Comments:			
Provision c parcels, and	of a model schematic showing the boundary conditions locations, streets, d building locations for reference.		
Comments:			

4.3 Development Servicing Report: Wastewater

Summary of proposed design criteria (Note: Wet-weather flow criteria should not deviate from the City of Ottawa Sewer Design Guidelines. Monitored flow data from relatively new infrastructure cannot be used to justify capacity requirements for proposed infrastructure).

Comments:
Confirm consistency with Master Servicing Study and/or justifications for deviations.
Comments:
Consideration of local conditions that may contribute to extraneous flows that are higher than the recommended flows in the guidelines. This includes groundwater and soil conditions, and age and condition of sewers.
Comments:
Description of existing sanitary sewer available for discharge of wastewater from proposed development.
Comments:
Verify available capacity in downstream sanitary sewer and/or identification of upgrades necessary to service the proposed development. (Reference can be made to previously completed Master Servicing Study if applicable)
Comments:
Identification and implementation of the emergency overflow from sanitary pumping stations in relation to the hydraulic grade line to protect against basement flooding.
Comments:
Special considerations such as contamination, corrosive environment etc.

Comments:

4.4 Development Servicing Report: Stormwater

Description of drainage outlets and downstream constraints including legality of outlets (i.e. municipal drain, right-of-way, watercourse, or private property)

Comments:
Analysis of available capacity in existing public infrastructure.
Comments:
A drawing showing the subject lands, its surroundings, the receiving watercourse, existing drainage patterns, and proposed drainage pattern.
Comments:
Water quantity control objective (e.g. controlling post-development peak flows to pre-development level for storm events ranging from the 2 or 5 year event (dependent on the receiving sewer design) to 100 year return period); if other objectives are being applied, a rationale must be included with reference to hydrologic analyses of the potentially affected subwatersheds, taking into account long-term cumulative effects.
Comments:
Water Quality control objective (basic, normal or enhanced level of protection based on the sensitivities of the receiving watercourse) and storage requirements.
Comments:
Description of the stormwater management concept with facility locations and descriptions with references and supporting information.
Comments:
Set-back from private sewage disposal systems.
Comments:
Watercourse and hazard lands setbacks.
Comments:
Record of pre-consultation with the Ontario Ministry of Environment and the Conservation Authority that has jurisdiction on the affected watershed.
Comments:

Confirm consistency with sub-watershed and Master Servicing Study, if applicable study exists.

Comments:	
Storage rec minor even	quirements (complete with calculations) and conveyance capacity for ts (1:5 year return period) and major events (1:100 year return period).
Comments:	
Identification watercours developme	on of watercourses within the proposed development and how es will be protected, or, if necessary, altered by the proposed nt with applicable approvals.
Comments:	
Calculate r existing site comparisor	ore and post development peak flow rates including a description of e conditions and proposed impervious areas and drainage catchments in a to existing conditions.
Comments:	
Any propo	sed diversion of drainage catchment areas from one outlet to another.
Comments:	
Proposed r trunk sewe	ninor and major systems including locations and sizes of stormwater rs, and stormwater management facilities.
Comments:	
If quantity adequate ca return perio	control is not proposed, demonstration that downstream system has apacity for the post-development flows up to and including the 100-year od storm event.
Comments:	
Identificati	on of potential impacts to receiving watercourses
Comments:	
Identificati	on of municipal drains and related approval requirements.
Comments:	

Descriptions of how the conveyance and storage capacity will be achieved for the development.

Comments:		
100 year flo flooding for	od levels and major flow routing to protect proposed development from establishing minimum building elevations (MBE) and overall grading.	
Comments:		
Inclusion of	hydraulic analysis including hydraulic grade line elevations.	
Comments:		
Description protection o	of approach to erosion and sediment control during construction for the freceiving watercourse or drainage corridors.	
Comments:		
Identification of floodplains - proponent to obtain relevant floodplain information from the appropriate Conservation Authority. The proponent may be required to delineate floodplain elevations to the satisfaction of the Conservation Authority if such information is not available or if information does not match current conditions.		
Comments:		
Identificatio	on of fill constraints related to floodplain and geotechnical investigation.	
Comments:		

4.5 Approval and Permit Requirements: Checklist

The Servicing Study shall provide a list of applicable permits and regulatory approvals necessary for the proposed development as well as the relevant issues affecting each approval. The approval and permitting shall include but not be limited to the following:

Conservation Authority as the designated approval agency for modification of floodplain, potential impact on fish habitat, proposed works in or adjacent to a watercourse, cut/fill permits and Approval under Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. The Conservation Authority is not the approval authority for the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act. Where there are Conservation Authority regulations in place, approval under the Lakes and Rivers Improvement Act is not required, except in cases of dams as defined in the Act.

	Comments:		
	Application Act.	pplication for Certificate of Approval (CofA) under the Ontario Water Resouct.	
	Comments:		
	Changes to	o Municipal Drains.	
	Comments:		
_	01		

Other permits (National Capital Commission, Parks Canada, Public Works and Government Services Canada, Ministry of Transportation etc.)

Comments:

4.6 Conclusion Checklist

Clearly stated conclusions and recommendations

Comments:

Comments received from review agencies including the City of Ottawa and information on how the comments were addressed. Final sign-off from the responsible reviewing agency.

Comments:

 \square

All draft and final reports shall be signed and stamped by a professional Engineer registered in Ontario

Comments: